

Wetzel's Problem and the Continuum Hypothesis

Lawrence C. Paulson

February 21, 2022

Abstract

Let F be a set of analytic functions on the complex plane such that, for each $z \in \mathbb{C}$, the set $\{f(z) \mid f \in F\}$ is countable; must then F itself be countable? The answer is yes if the Continuum Hypothesis is false, i.e., if the cardinality of \mathbb{R} exceeds \aleph_1 . But if CH is true then such an F , of cardinality \aleph_1 , can be constructed by transfinite recursion.

The formal proof illustrates reasoning about complex analysis (analytic and homomorphic functions) and set theory (transfinite cardinalities) in a single setting. The mathematical text comes from *Proofs from THE BOOK* [1, pp. 137–8], by Aigner and Ziegler.

Contents

1	Wetzel’s Problem, Solved by Erdős	3
1.1	Added to the developer libraries	3
1.2	Making the embedding explicit	6
1.3	The cardinality of the continuum	6
1.4	Cardinality of an arbitrary HOL set	7
1.5	Wetzel’s problem	9
1.5.1	When the continuum hypothesis is false	9
1.5.2	When the continuum hypothesis is true	9

Acknowledgements The author was supported by the ERC Advanced Grant ALEXANDRIA (Project 742178) funded by the European Research Council. Thanks also to Manuel Eberl for advice on proving a function to be holomorphic.

1 Wetzels Problem, Solved by Erdős

Martin Aigner and Günter M. Ziegler. Proofs from THE BOOK. (Springer, 2018). Chapter 19: Sets, functions, and the continuum hypothesis Theorem 5 (pages 137–8)

theory *Wetzels-Problem* **imports**

HOL-Complex-Analysis.Complex-Analysis ZFC-in-HOL.ZFC-Typeclasses

begin

1.1 Added to the developer libraries

lemma *inj-on-restrict-iff*: $A \subseteq B \implies \text{inj-on } (\text{restrict } f B) A \iff \text{inj-on } f A$
<proof>

lemma *Rats-closure-real*: $\text{closure } \mathbb{Q} = (\text{UNIV}::\text{real set})$
<proof>

lemma *fsigma-UNIV [iff]*: $\text{fsigma } (\text{UNIV} :: 'a::\text{real-inner set})$
<proof>

theorem *complex-non-denum*: $\nexists f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex. surj } f$
<proof>

lemma *uncountable-UNIV-complex*: $\text{uncountable } (\text{UNIV} :: \text{complex set})$
<proof>

lemma *analytic-on-prod [analytic-intros]*:
 $(\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies (f i) \text{ analytic-on } S) \implies (\lambda x. \text{prod } (\lambda i. f i x) I) \text{ analytic-on } S$
<proof>

lemma *holomorphic-countable-zeros*:
assumes $S: f \text{ holomorphic-on } S \text{ open } S \text{ connected } S$ **and** $\text{fsigma } S$
and $\neg f \text{ constant-on } S$
shows $\text{countable } \{z \in S. f z = 0\}$
<proof>

lemma *holomorphic-countable-equal*:
assumes $f \text{ holomorphic-on } S \text{ open } S \text{ connected } S$ **and** $\text{fsigma } S$
and $\text{eq: uncountable } \{z \in S. f z = g z\}$
shows $S \subseteq \{z \in S. f z = g z\}$
<proof>

lemma *holomorphic-countable-equal-UNIV*:
assumes $\text{fg: } f \text{ holomorphic-on } \text{UNIV } g \text{ holomorphic-on } \text{UNIV}$
and $\text{eq: uncountable } \{z. f z = g z\}$
shows $f=g$
<proof>

lemma *finite-iff-less-Aleph0*: $\text{finite } (\text{elts } x) \longleftrightarrow \text{vcard } x < \omega$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cadd-left-commute*: $j \oplus (i \oplus k) = i \oplus (j \oplus k)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemmas *cadd-ac = cadd-assoc cadd-commute cadd-left-commute*

lemma *csucc-lt-csucc-iff*: $\llbracket \text{Card } \kappa'; \text{Card } \kappa \rrbracket \implies (\text{csucc } \kappa' < \text{csucc } \kappa) = (\kappa' < \kappa)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *csucc-le-csucc-iff*: $\llbracket \text{Card } \kappa'; \text{Card } \kappa \rrbracket \implies (\text{csucc } \kappa' \leq \text{csucc } \kappa) = (\kappa' \leq \kappa)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Card-Un* [*simp,intro*]:
 assumes $\text{Card}(x) \text{Card}(y)$ shows $\text{Card}(x \sqcup y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *csucc-0* [*simp*]: $\text{csucc } 0 = 1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *InfCard-Aleph* [*simp, intro*]:
 assumes $\text{Ord } \alpha$
 shows $\text{InfCard}(\text{Aleph } \alpha)$
 ⟨proof⟩

corollary *Aleph-csquare-eq* [*simp*]: $\text{Ord } \alpha \implies \aleph\alpha \otimes \aleph\alpha = \aleph\alpha$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *small-Times-iff*: $\text{small } (X \times Y) \longleftrightarrow \text{small } X \wedge \text{small } Y \vee X = \{\} \vee Y = \{\}$
 (is - = ?rhs)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *lepoll-small*:
 assumes $A \lesssim B$ $\text{small } B$
 shows $\text{small } A$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *countable-iff-vcard-less1*: $\text{countable } (\text{elts } x) \longleftrightarrow \text{vcard } x < \aleph 1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *countable-infinite-vcard*: $\text{countable } (\text{elts } x) \wedge \text{infinite } (\text{elts } x) \longleftrightarrow \text{vcard } x = \aleph 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *vcard-set-image*: $\text{inj-on } f (\text{elts } x) \implies \text{vcard } (\text{ZFC-in-HOL.set } (f \text{ ` } \text{elts } x)) = \text{vcard } x$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition $transrec :: ((V \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow 'a$
where $transrec\ H\ a \equiv wfrec\ \{(x,y). x \in elts\ y\}\ H\ a$

lemma $transrec$: $transrec\ H\ a = H\ (\lambda x \in elts\ a. transrec\ H\ x)\ a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $less-succ-self$: $x < succ\ x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $subset-smaller-vcard$:
assumes $\kappa \leq vcard\ x\ Card\ \kappa$
obtains y **where** $y \leq x\ vcard\ y = \kappa$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $vcard-sup$: $vcard\ (x \sqcup y) \leq vcard\ x \oplus vcard\ y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $elts-cmult$: $elts\ (\kappa' \otimes \kappa) \approx elts\ \kappa' \times elts\ \kappa$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $vcard-Sup-le-cmult$:
assumes $small\ U$ **and** $\kappa: \bigwedge x. x \in U \implies vcard\ x \leq \kappa$
shows $vcard\ (\bigsqcup U) \leq vcard\ (set\ U) \otimes \kappa$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $csucc-le-Card-iff$: $\llbracket Card\ \kappa'; Card\ \kappa \rrbracket \implies csucc\ \kappa' \leq \kappa \longleftrightarrow \kappa' < \kappa$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cadd-InfCard-le$:
assumes $\alpha \leq \kappa\ \beta \leq \kappa\ InfCard\ \kappa$
shows $\alpha \oplus \beta \leq \kappa$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cmult-InfCard-le$:
assumes $\alpha \leq \kappa\ \beta \leq \kappa\ InfCard\ \kappa$
shows $\alpha \otimes \beta \leq \kappa$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $vcard-Aleph$ [$simp$]: $Ord\ \alpha \implies vcard\ (\aleph\ \alpha) = \aleph\ \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $omega-le-Aleph$ [$simp$]: $Ord\ \alpha \implies \omega \leq \aleph\ \alpha$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.2 Making the embedding explicit

definition $V\text{-of} :: 'a::\text{embeddable} \Rightarrow V$
where $V\text{-of} \equiv \text{SOME } f. \text{inj } f$

lemma $\text{inj-}V\text{-of}: \text{inj } V\text{-of}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare $\text{inv-f-f} [\text{OF } \text{inj-}V\text{-of}, \text{simp}]$

lemma $\text{inv-}V\text{-of-image-eq} [\text{simp}]: \text{inv } V\text{-of } ` (V\text{-of } ` X) = X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{infinite-}V\text{-of}: \text{infinite } (UNIV::'a \text{ set}) \Longrightarrow \text{infinite } (\text{range } (V\text{-of}::'a::\text{embeddable} \Rightarrow V))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{countable-}V\text{-of}: \text{countable } (\text{range } (V\text{-of}::'a::\text{countable} \Rightarrow V))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{elts-set-}V\text{-of}: \text{small } X \Longrightarrow \text{elts } (\text{ZFC-in-HOL.set } (V\text{-of } ` X)) \approx X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $V\text{-of-image-times}: V\text{-of } ` (X \times Y) \approx (V\text{-of } ` X) \times (V\text{-of } ` Y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.3 The cardinality of the continuum

definition $\text{Real-set} \equiv \text{ZFC-in-HOL.set } (\text{range } (V\text{-of}::\text{real} \Rightarrow V))$

definition $\text{Complex-set} \equiv \text{ZFC-in-HOL.set } (\text{range } (V\text{-of}::\text{complex} \Rightarrow V))$

definition $C\text{-continuum} \equiv \text{vcard } \text{Real-set}$

lemma $V\text{-of-Real-set}: \text{bij-betw } V\text{-of } (UNIV::\text{real set}) (\text{elts } \text{Real-set})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{uncountable-Real-set}: \text{uncountable } (\text{elts } \text{Real-set})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{Card } C\text{-continuum}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $C\text{-continuum-ge}: C\text{-continuum} \geq \aleph_1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $V\text{-of-Complex-set}: \text{bij-betw } V\text{-of } (UNIV::\text{complex set}) (\text{elts } \text{Complex-set})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{uncountable-Complex-set}: \text{uncountable } (\text{elts } \text{Complex-set})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Complex-vcard*: $\text{vcard } \text{Complex-set} = C\text{-continuum}$
<proof>

1.4 Cardinality of an arbitrary HOL set

definition *gcard* :: $'a::\text{embeddable set} \Rightarrow V$
where $\text{gcard } X \equiv \text{vcard } (\text{ZFC-in-HOL.set } (V\text{-of } 'a \ X))$

lemma *gcard-big-0*: $\neg \text{small } X \Longrightarrow \text{gcard } X = 0$
<proof>

lemma *gcard-empty-0* [*simp*]: $\text{gcard } \{\} = 0$
<proof>

lemma *gcard-single-1* [*simp*]: $\text{gcard } \{x\} = 1$
<proof>

lemma *gcard-finite-set*: $\llbracket \text{finite } X; a \notin X \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{gcard } (\text{insert } a \ X) = \text{succ } (\text{gcard } X)$
<proof>

lemma *gcard-eq-card*: $\text{finite } X \Longrightarrow \text{gcard } X = \text{ord-of-nat } (\text{card } X)$
<proof>

lemma *Card-gcard* [*iff*]: $\text{Card } (\text{gcard } X)$
<proof>

lemma *gcard-eq-vcard* [*simp*]: $\text{gcard } (\text{elts } x) = \text{vcard } x$
<proof>

lemma *gcard-eqpoll*: $\text{small } X \Longrightarrow \text{elts } (\text{gcard } X) \approx X$
<proof>

lemma *gcard-image-le*:
assumes $\text{small } A$
shows $\text{gcard } (f \ 'a \ A) \leq \text{gcard } A$
<proof>

lemma *gcard-image*: $\text{inj-on } f \ A \Longrightarrow \text{gcard } (f \ 'a \ A) = \text{gcard } A$
<proof>

lemma *lepoll-imp-gcard-le*:
assumes $A \lesssim B$ $\text{small } B$
shows $\text{gcard } A \leq \text{gcard } B$
<proof>

lemma *subset-imp-gcard-le*:
assumes $A \subseteq B$ $\text{small } B$
shows $\text{gcard } A \leq \text{gcard } B$

<proof>

lemma *gcard-le-lepoll*: $\llbracket \text{gcard } A \leq \alpha; \text{small } A \rrbracket \implies A \lesssim \text{elts } \alpha$
<proof>

lemma *gcard-Union-le-cmult*:

assumes *small U and* $\kappa: \bigwedge x. x \in U \implies \text{gcard } x \leq \kappa$ **and** *sm:* $\bigwedge x. x \in U \implies$
small x

shows $\text{gcard } (\bigcup U) \leq \text{gcard } U \otimes \kappa$

<proof>

lemma *countable-iff-g-le-Aleph0*: $\text{small } X \implies \text{countable } X \longleftrightarrow \text{gcard } X \leq \aleph_0$
<proof>

lemma *countable-imp-g-le-Aleph0*: $\text{countable } X \implies \text{gcard } X \leq \aleph_0$
<proof>

lemma *finite-iff-g-le-Aleph0*: $\text{small } X \implies \text{finite } X \longleftrightarrow \text{gcard } X < \aleph_0$
<proof>

lemma *finite-imp-g-le-Aleph0*: $\text{finite } X \implies \text{gcard } X < \aleph_0$
<proof>

lemma *countable-infinite-gcard*: $\text{countable } X \wedge \text{infinite } X \longleftrightarrow \text{gcard } X = \aleph_0$
<proof>

lemma *uncountable-gcard*: $\text{small } X \implies \text{uncountable } X \longleftrightarrow \text{gcard } X > \aleph_0$
<proof>

lemma *uncountable-gcard-ge*: $\text{small } X \implies \text{uncountable } X \longleftrightarrow \text{gcard } X \geq \aleph_1$
<proof>

lemma *subset-smaller-gcard*:

assumes $\kappa: \kappa \leq \text{gcard } X$ *Card* κ

obtains Y **where** $Y \subseteq X$ $\text{gcard } Y = \kappa$

<proof>

lemma *Real-gcard*: $\text{gcard } (\text{UNIV}::\text{real set}) = \text{C-continuum}$
<proof>

lemma *Complex-gcard*: $\text{gcard } (\text{UNIV}::\text{complex set}) = \text{C-continuum}$
<proof>

lemma *gcard-Times [simp]*: $\text{gcard } (X \times Y) = \text{gcard } X \otimes \text{gcard } Y$
<proof>

1.5 Wetzel's problem

definition *Wetzel* :: (complex \Rightarrow complex) set \Rightarrow bool

where *Wetzel* $\equiv \lambda F. (\forall f \in F. f \text{ analytic-on } UNIV) \wedge (\forall z. \text{countable}((\lambda f. f z) ' F))$

1.5.1 When the continuum hypothesis is false

proposition *Erdos-Wetzel-nonCH*:

assumes *W*: *Wetzel F* **and** *NCH*: *C-continuum* $> \aleph_1$ **and** *small F*

shows *countable F*

<proof>

1.5.2 When the continuum hypothesis is true

lemma *Rats-closure-real2*: *closure* ($\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$) = (*UNIV*::real set) \times (*UNIV*::real set)

<proof>

proposition *Erdos-Wetzel-CH*:

assumes *CH*: *C-continuum* = \aleph_1

obtains *F* **where** *Wetzel F* **and** *uncountable F*

<proof>

theorem *Erdos-Wetzel*: *C-continuum* = $\aleph_1 \iff (\exists F. \text{Wetzel } F \wedge \text{uncountable } F)$

<proof>

end

References

- [1] M. Aigner and G. M. Ziegler. *Proofs from THE BOOK*. Springer, 6th edition, 2018.