

Wetzel's Problem and the Continuum Hypothesis

Lawrence C. Paulson

February 21, 2022

Abstract

Let F be a set of analytic functions on the complex plane such that, for each $z \in \mathbb{C}$, the set $\{f(z) \mid f \in F\}$ is countable; must then F itself be countable? The answer is yes if the Continuum Hypothesis is false, i.e., if the cardinality of \mathbb{R} exceeds \aleph_1 . But if CH is true then such an F , of cardinality \aleph_1 , can be constructed by transfinite recursion.

The formal proof illustrates reasoning about complex analysis (analytic and homomorphic functions) and set theory (transfinite cardinalities) in a single setting. The mathematical text comes from *Proofs from THE BOOK* [1, pp. 137–8], by Aigner and Ziegler.

Contents

1	Wetzel’s Problem, Solved by Erdős	3
1.1	Added to the developer libraries	3
1.2	Making the embedding explicit	8
1.3	The cardinality of the continuum	8
1.4	Cardinality of an arbitrary HOL set	10
1.5	Wetzel’s problem	13
1.5.1	When the continuum hypothesis is false	13
1.5.2	When the continuum hypothesis is true	15

Acknowledgements The author was supported by the ERC Advanced Grant ALEXANDRIA (Project 742178) funded by the European Research Council. Thanks also to Manuel Eberl for advice on proving a function to be holomorphic.

1 Wetzel's Problem, Solved by Erdős

Martin Aigner and Günter M. Ziegler. Proofs from THE BOOK. (Springer, 2018). Chapter 19: Sets, functions, and the continuum hypothesis Theorem 5 (pages 137–8)

theory *Wetzels-Problem* **imports**

HOL-Complex-Analysis.Complex-Analysis ZFC-in-HOL.ZFC-Typeclasses

begin

1.1 Added to the developer libraries

lemma *inj-on-restrict-iff*: $A \subseteq B \implies \text{inj-on } (\text{restrict } f B) A \iff \text{inj-on } f A$
by (*metis inj-on-cong restrict-def subset-iff*)

lemma *Rats-closure-real*: $\text{closure } \mathbb{Q} = (\text{UNIV}::\text{real set})$

proof –

have $\bigwedge x::\text{real}. x \in \text{closure } \mathbb{Q}$

by (*metis closure-approachable dist-real-def rational-approximation*)

then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *fsigma-UNIV [iff]*: $\text{fsigma } (\text{UNIV}::'a::\text{real-inner set})$

proof –

have $(\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}) = (\bigcup i. \text{cball } 0 (\text{of-nat } i))$

by (*auto simp: real-arch-simple*)

then show *?thesis*

by (*metis closed-cball fsigma.intros*)

qed

theorem *complex-non-denum*: $\exists f::\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex. surj } f$

by (*metis (full-types) Re-complex-of-real comp-surj real-non-denum surj-def*)

lemma *uncountable-UNIV-complex*: $\text{uncountable } (\text{UNIV}::\text{complex set})$

using *complex-non-denum unfolding uncountable-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *analytic-on-prod [analytic-intros]*:

$(\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies (f i) \text{ analytic-on } S) \implies (\lambda x. \text{prod } (\lambda i. f i x) I) \text{ analytic-on } S$

by (*induct I rule: infinite-finite-induct*) (*auto simp: analytic-on-mult*)

lemma *holomorphic-countable-zeros*:

assumes $S: f \text{ holomorphic-on } S \text{ open } S \text{ connected } S$ **and** $\text{fsigma } S$

and $\neg f \text{ constant-on } S$

shows $\text{countable } \{z \in S. f z = 0\}$

proof –

obtain $F::\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex set}$

where $F: \text{range } F \subseteq \text{Collect compact}$ **and** $\text{Seq}: S = (\bigcup i. F i)$

using $\langle \text{fsigma } S \rangle$ **by** (*meson fsigma-Union-compact*)

have $\text{fin}: \text{finite } \{z \in F i. f z = 0\}$ **for** i

using *holomorphic-compact-finite-zeros assms F Seq Union-iff* **by** *blast*
have $\{z \in S. f z = 0\} = (\bigcup i. \{z \in F i. f z = 0\})$
using *Seq* **by** *auto*
with *fin* **show** *?thesis*
by (*simp add: countable-finite*)
qed

lemma *holomorphic-countable-equal*:
assumes *f holomorphic-on S g holomorphic-on S open S connected S and fsigma S*
and *eq: uncountable {z∈S. f z = g z}*
shows $S \subseteq \{z \in S. f z = g z\}$
proof –
obtain *z where z: z∈S f z = g z*
using *eq not-finite-existsD uncountable-infinite* **by** *blast*
have $(\lambda x. f x - g x)$ *holomorphic-on S*
by (*simp add: assms holomorphic-on-diff*)
then have $(\lambda x. f x - g x)$ *constant-on S*
using *holomorphic-countable-zeros assms* **by** *force*
with *z* **have** $\bigwedge x. x \in S \implies f x - g x = 0$
unfolding *constant-on-def* **by** *force*
then show *?thesis*
by *auto*
qed

lemma *holomorphic-countable-equal-UNIV*:
assumes *fg: f holomorphic-on UNIV g holomorphic-on UNIV*
and *eq: uncountable {z. f z = g z}*
shows $f=g$
using *holomorphic-countable-equal [OF fg] eq* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *finite-iff-less-Aleph0*: $finite (elts x) \longleftrightarrow vcard x < \omega$
proof
show $finite (elts x) \implies vcard x < \omega$
by (*metis Card- ω Card-def finite-lesspoll-infinite infinite- ω lesspoll-imp-Card-less*)
show $vcard x < \omega \implies finite (elts x)$
by (*meson Ord-cardinal cardinal-epoll eqpoll-finite-iff infinite-Ord-omega less-le-not-le*)
qed

lemma *cadd-left-commute*: $j \oplus (i \oplus k) = i \oplus (j \oplus k)$
using *cadd-assoc cadd-commute* **by** *force*

lemmas *cadd-ac = cadd-assoc cadd-commute cadd-left-commute*

lemma *csucc-lt-csucc-iff*: $\llbracket Card \kappa'; Card \kappa \rrbracket \implies (csucc \kappa' < csucc \kappa) = (\kappa' < \kappa)$
by (*metis Card-csucc Card-is-Ord Card-lt-csucc-iff Ord-not-less*)

lemma *csucc-le-csucc-iff*: $\llbracket Card \kappa'; Card \kappa \rrbracket \implies (csucc \kappa' \leq csucc \kappa) = (\kappa' \leq \kappa)$
by (*metis Card-csucc Card-is-Ord Card-lt-csucc-iff Ord-not-less*)

lemma *Card-Un* [*simp,intro*]:
assumes *Card(x) Card(y)* **shows** *Card(x \sqcup y)*
by (*metis Card-is-Ord Ord-linear-le assms sup.absorb2 sup.orderE*)

lemma *csucc-0* [*simp*]: *csucc 0 = 1*
by (*simp add: finite-csucc one-V-def*)

lemma *InfCard-Aleph* [*simp, intro*]:
assumes *Ord α*
shows *InfCard(Aleph α)*
unfolding *InfCard-def*
by (*metis Aleph-0 Aleph-increasing Card-Aleph antisym-conv1 assms in-succ-iff nless-le zero-in-succ*)

corollary *Aleph-csquare-eq* [*simp*]: *Ord $\alpha \implies \aleph\alpha \otimes \aleph\alpha = \aleph\alpha$*
using *InfCard-csquare-eq* **by** *auto*

lemma *small-Times-iff*: *small (X \times Y) \longleftrightarrow small X \wedge small Y \vee X= $\{\}$ \vee Y= $\{\}$*
(is - = ?rhs)
proof
assume *: *small (X \times Y)*
{ **have** *small X \wedge small Y* **if** *x \in X y \in Y* **for** *x y*
proof -
have *X \subseteq fst '(X \times Y) Y \subseteq snd '(X \times Y)*
using *that* **by** *auto*
with *that* **show** *?thesis*
by (*metis * replacement smaller-than-small*)
qed **}**
then show *?rhs*
by (*metis equalsOI*)
next
assume *?rhs*
then show *small (X \times Y)*
by *auto*
qed

lemma *lepoll-small*:
assumes *A \lesssim B* *small B*
shows *small A*
by (*meson assms lepoll-iff replacement smaller-than-small*)

lemma *countable-iff-vcards-less1*: *countable (elts x) \longleftrightarrow vcard x $<$ $\aleph 1$*
by (*simp add: countable-iff-le-Aleph0 lt-csucc-iff one-V-def*)

lemma *countable-infinite-vcards*: *countable (elts x) \wedge infinite (elts x) \longleftrightarrow vcard x = $\aleph 0$*
by (*metis Aleph-0 countable-iff-le-Aleph0 dual-order.refl finite-iff-less-Aleph0 less-V-def*)

lemma *vcard-set-image*: $\text{inj-on } f \text{ (elts } x) \implies \text{vcard (ZFC-in-HOL.set (f ` elts } x)) = \text{vcard } x$
by (*simp add: cardinal-cong*)

definition *transrec* :: $((V \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow V \Rightarrow 'a$
where *transrec* $H a \equiv \text{wfrec } \{(x,y). x \in \text{elts } y\} H a$

lemma *transrec*: $\text{transrec } H a = H (\lambda x \in \text{elts } a. \text{transrec } H x) a$
proof –
have $(\text{cut (wfrec } \{(x, y). x \in \text{elts } y\} H) \{(x, y). x \in \text{elts } y\} a) = (\lambda x \in \text{elts } a. \text{wfrec } \{(x, y). x \in \text{elts } y\} H x)$
by (*force simp: cut-def*)
then show *?thesis*
unfolding *transrec-def*
by (*simp add: foundation wfrec*)
qed

lemma *less-succ-self*: $x < \text{succ } x$
by (*simp add: less-eq-V-def order-neq-le-trans subset-insertI*)

lemma *subset-smaller-vcard*:
assumes $\kappa \leq \text{vcard } x \text{ Card } \kappa$
obtains y **where** $y \leq x \text{ vcard } y = \kappa$
proof –
obtain φ **where** φ : *bij-betw* $\varphi \text{ (elts (vcard } x)) \text{ (elts } x)$
using *cardinal-epoll eqpoll-def* **by** *blast*
show *thesis*
proof
let $?y = \text{ZFC-in-HOL.set } (\varphi ` \text{elts } \kappa)$
show $?y \leq x$
by (*meson* φ *assms* *bij-betwE set-image-le-iff small-elts vsubsetD*)
show $\text{vcard } ?y = \kappa$
by (*metis vcard-set-image Card-def assms bij-betw-def bij-betw-subset* φ *less-eq-V-def*)
qed
qed

lemma *vcard-sup*: $\text{vcard } (x \sqcup y) \leq \text{vcard } x \oplus \text{vcard } y$
proof –
have $\text{elts } (x \sqcup y) \lesssim \text{elts } (x \uplus y)$
unfolding *lepoll-def*
proof (*intro exI conjI*)
let $?f = \lambda z. \text{if } z \in \text{elts } x \text{ then } \text{Inl } z \text{ else } \text{Inr } z$
show *inj-on* $?f \text{ (elts } (x \sqcup y))$
by (*simp add: inj-on-def*)
show $?f ` \text{elts } (x \sqcup y) \subseteq \text{elts } (x \uplus y)$
by *force*

qed
then show *?thesis*
using *cadd-ac*
by (*metis cadd-def cardinal-cong cardinal-idem lepoll-imp-Card-le vsum-0-epoll*)
qed

lemma *elts-cmult*: $\text{elts } (\kappa' \otimes \kappa) \approx \text{elts } \kappa' \times \text{elts } \kappa$
by (*simp add: cmult-def elts-vcard-VSigma-epoll*)

lemma *vcard-Sup-le-cmult*:

assumes *small U* **and** $\kappa: \bigwedge x. x \in U \implies \text{vcard } x \leq \kappa$

shows $\text{vcard } (\bigsqcup U) \leq \text{vcard } (\text{set } U) \otimes \kappa$

proof –

have $\exists f. f \in \text{elts } x \rightarrow \text{elts } \kappa \wedge \text{inj-on } f \text{ (elts } x) \text{ if } x \in U \text{ for } x$

using κ [*OF that*] **by** (*metis cardinal-le-lepoll image-subset-iff-funcset lepoll-def*)

then obtain φ **where** $\varphi: \bigwedge x. x \in U \implies (\varphi x) \in \text{elts } x \rightarrow \text{elts } \kappa \wedge \text{inj-on } (\varphi x) \text{ (elts } x)$

by *metis*

define u **where** $u \equiv \lambda y. @x. x \in U \wedge y \in \text{elts } x$

have $u: u y \in U \wedge y \in \text{elts } (u y) \text{ if } y \in \bigcup (\text{elts } ` U) \text{ for } y$

unfolding *u-def* **by** (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) that someI2-ex UN-iff*)

define ψ **where** $\psi \equiv \lambda y. (u y, \varphi (u y) y)$

have $U: \text{elts } (\text{vcard } (\text{set } U)) \approx U$

by (*metis <small U> cardinal-epoll elts-of-set*)

have $\text{elts } (\bigsqcup U) = \bigcup (\text{elts } ` U)$

using *<small U>* **by** *blast*

also have $\dots \lesssim U \times \text{elts } \kappa$

unfolding *lepoll-def*

proof (*intro exI conjI*)

show $\text{inj-on } \psi \text{ (} \bigcup (\text{elts } ` U) \text{)}$

using φu **by** (*smt (verit) psi-def inj-on-def prod.inject*)

show $\psi ` \bigcup (\text{elts } ` U) \subseteq U \times \text{elts } \kappa$

using φu **by** (*auto simp: psi-def*)

qed

also have $\dots \approx \text{elts } (\text{vcard } (\text{set } U) \otimes \kappa)$

using U *elts-cmult eqpoll-sym eqpoll-trans times-epoll-cong* **by** *blast*

finally have $\text{elts } (\bigsqcup U) \lesssim \text{elts } (\text{vcard } (\text{set } U) \otimes \kappa)$.

then show *?thesis*

by (*simp add: cmult-def lepoll-cardinal-le*)

qed

lemma *csucc-le-Card-iff*: $\llbracket \text{Card } \kappa'; \text{Card } \kappa \rrbracket \implies \text{csucc } \kappa' \leq \kappa \iff \kappa' < \kappa$

by (*metis Card-csucc Card-is-Ord Card-lt-csucc-iff Ord-not-le*)

lemma *cadd-InfCard-le*:

assumes $\alpha \leq \kappa \beta \leq \kappa$ *InfCard* κ

shows $\alpha \oplus \beta \leq \kappa$

using *assms* **by** (*metis InfCard-cdouble-eq cadd-le-mono*)

lemma *cmult-InfCard-le*:
assumes $\alpha \leq \kappa \ \beta \leq \kappa \ \text{InfCard } \kappa$
shows $\alpha \otimes \beta \leq \kappa$
using *assms*
by (*metis InfCard-csquare-eq cmult-le-mono*)

lemma *vcard-Aleph [simp]*: $\text{Ord } \alpha \implies \text{vcard } (\aleph \alpha) = \aleph \alpha$
by (*simp add: Card-cardinal-eq*)

lemma *omega-le-Aleph [simp]*: $\text{Ord } \alpha \implies \omega \leq \aleph \alpha$
using *InfCard-def* **by** *auto*

1.2 Making the embedding explicit

definition *V-of* :: $'a::\text{embeddable} \Rightarrow V$
where $V\text{-of} \equiv \text{SOME } f. \text{inj } f$

lemma *inj-V-of*: $\text{inj } V\text{-of}$
unfolding *V-of-def* **by** (*metis embeddable-class.ex-inj some-eq-imp*)

declare *inv-f-f* [*OF inj-V-of, simp*]

lemma *inv-V-of-image-eq [simp]*: $\text{inv } V\text{-of } ' (V\text{-of } ' X) = X$
by (*auto simp: image-comp*)

lemma *infinite-V-of*: $\text{infinite } (\text{UNIV}::'a \text{ set}) \implies \text{infinite } (\text{range } (V\text{-of}::'a::\text{embeddable} \Rightarrow V))$
using *finite-imageD inj-V-of* **by** *blast*

lemma *countable-V-of*: $\text{countable } (\text{range } (V\text{-of}::'a::\text{countable} \Rightarrow V))$
by *blast*

lemma *elts-set-V-of*: $\text{small } X \implies \text{elts } (\text{ZFC-in-HOL.set } (V\text{-of } ' X)) \approx X$
by (*metis inj-V-of inj-eq inj-on-def inj-on-image-epoll-self replacement set-of-elts small-iff*)

lemma *V-of-image-times*: $V\text{-of } ' (X \times Y) \approx (V\text{-of } ' X) \times (V\text{-of } ' Y)$
proof –
have $V\text{-of } ' (X \times Y) \approx X \times Y$
by (*meson inj-V-of inj-eq inj-onI inj-on-image-epoll-self*)
also have $\dots \approx (V\text{-of } ' X) \times (V\text{-of } ' Y)$
by (*metis eqpoll-sym inj-V-of inj-eq inj-onI inj-on-image-epoll-self times-epoll-cong*)
finally show *?thesis* .

qed

1.3 The cardinality of the continuum

definition *Real-set* $\equiv \text{ZFC-in-HOL.set } (\text{range } (V\text{-of}::\text{real} \Rightarrow V))$
definition *Complex-set* $\equiv \text{ZFC-in-HOL.set } (\text{range } (V\text{-of}::\text{complex} \Rightarrow V))$
definition *C-continuum* $\equiv \text{vcard } \text{Real-set}$

lemma *V-of-Real-set: bij-betw V-of (UNIV::real set) (elts Real-set)*
by (*simp add: Real-set-def bij-betw-def inj-V-of*)

lemma *uncountable-Real-set: uncountable (elts Real-set)*
using *V-of-Real-set countable-iff-bij uncountable-UNIV-real* **by** *blast*

lemma *Card C-continuum*
by (*simp add: C-continuum-def Card-def*)

lemma *C-continuum-ge: C-continuum \geq \aleph_1*
proof –
have \neg *C-continuum $<$ \aleph_1*
proof –
have \neg *vcard Real-set \leq \aleph_0*
using *countable-iff-le-Aleph0 uncountable-Real-set* **by** *presburger*
then show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: C-continuum-def lt-csucc-iff one-V-def*)
qed
then show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: C-continuum-def Ord-not-less*)
qed

lemma *V-of-Complex-set: bij-betw V-of (UNIV::complex set) (elts Complex-set)*
by (*simp add: Complex-set-def bij-betw-def inj-V-of*)

lemma *uncountable-Complex-set: uncountable (elts Complex-set)*
using *V-of-Complex-set countableI-bij2 uncountable-UNIV-complex* **by** *blast*

lemma *Complex-vcard: vcard Complex-set = C-continuum*
proof –
define *emb1* **where** *emb1* \equiv *V-of o complex-of-real o inv V-of*
have *elts Real-set \approx elts Complex-set*
proof (*rule lepoll-antisym*)
show *elts Real-set \lesssim elts Complex-set*
unfolding *lepoll-def*
proof (*intro conjI exI*)
show *inj-on emb1 (elts Real-set)*
unfolding *emb1-def Real-set-def*
by (*simp add: inj-V-of inj-compose inj-of-real inj-on-imageI*)
show *emb1 ‘ elts Real-set \subseteq elts Complex-set*
by (*simp add: emb1-def Real-set-def Complex-set-def image-subset-iff*)
qed
define *emb2* **where** *emb2* \equiv ($\lambda z. (V-of (Re z), V-of (Im z))$) *o inv V-of*
have *elts Complex-set \lesssim elts Real-set \times elts Real-set*
unfolding *lepoll-def*
proof (*intro conjI exI*)
show *inj-on emb2 (elts Complex-set)*

unfolding *emb2-def Complex-set-def inj-on-def*
by (*simp add: complex.expand inj-V-of inj-eq*)
show *emb2 ' elts Complex-set \subseteq elts Real-set \times elts Real-set*
by (*simp add: emb2-def Real-set-def Complex-set-def image-subset-iff*)
qed
also have $\dots \approx$ *elts Real-set*
by (*simp add: infinite-times-epoll-self uncountable-Real-set uncountable-infinite*)
finally show *elts Complex-set \lesssim elts Real-set .*
qed
then show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: C-continuum-def cardinal-cong*)
qed

1.4 Cardinality of an arbitrary HOL set

definition *gcard :: 'a::embeddable set \Rightarrow V*
where *gcard X \equiv vcard (ZFC-in-HOL.set (V-of ' X))*

lemma *gcard-big-0: \neg small X \implies gcard X = 0*
by (*metis elts-eq-empty-iff elts-of-set gcard-def inv-V-of-image-eq replacement vcard-0*)

lemma *gcard-empty-0 [simp]: gcard {} = 0*
by (*metis gcard-def image-is-empty vcard-0 zero-V-def*)

lemma *gcard-single-1 [simp]: gcard {x} = 1*
by (*simp add: gcard-def*)

lemma *gcard-finite-set: \llbracket finite X; a \notin X $\rrbracket \implies$ gcard (insert a X) = succ (gcard X)*
by (*simp add: gcard-def inj-V-of inj-image-mem-iff finite-csucc vcard-finite-set*)

lemma *gcard-eq-card: finite X \implies gcard X = ord-of-nat (card X)*
by (*induction X rule: finite-induct (auto simp add: gcard-finite-set)*)

lemma *Card-gcard [iff]: Card (gcard X)*
by (*simp add: Card-def gcard-def*)

lemma *gcard-eq-vcard [simp]: gcard (elts x) = vcard x*
by (*metis cardinal-cong elts-set-V-of gcard-def small-elts*)

lemma *gcard-epoll: small X \implies elts (gcard X) \approx X*
by (*metis cardinal-epoll elts-set-V-of epoll-trans gcard-def*)

lemma *gcard-image-le:*
assumes *small A*
shows *gcard (f ' A) \leq gcard A*
proof –
have (*V-of ' f ' A \lesssim (V-of ' A)*)

by (*metis image-lepoll inv-V-of-image-eq lepoll-trans*)
 then show *?thesis*
 by (*simp add: assms gcard-def lepoll-imp-Card-le*)
 qed

lemma *gcard-image*: $\text{inj-on } f \ A \implies \text{gcard } (f \text{ ` } A) = \text{gcard } A$
 by (*metis dual-order.antisym gcard-big-0 gcard-image-le small-image-iff the-inv-into-onto*)

lemma *lepoll-imp-gcard-le*:
 assumes $A \lesssim B$ *small B*
 shows $\text{gcard } A \leq \text{gcard } B$
proof –
 have $\text{elts } (\text{ZFC-in-HOL.set } (V\text{-of } \text{ ` } A)) \approx A \ \text{elts } (\text{ZFC-in-HOL.set } (V\text{-of } \text{ ` } B)) \approx B$
 by (*meson assms elts-set-V-of lepoll-small*) +
 with $\langle A \lesssim B \rangle$ show *?thesis*
 unfolding *gcard-def*
 by (*meson lepoll-imp-Card-le eqpoll-sym lepoll-iff-leqpoll lepoll-trans*)
 qed

lemma *subset-imp-gcard-le*:
 assumes $A \subseteq B$ *small B*
 shows $\text{gcard } A \leq \text{gcard } B$
 by (*simp add: assms lepoll-imp-gcard-le subset-imp-lepoll*)

lemma *gcard-le-lepoll*: $\llbracket \text{gcard } A \leq \alpha; \text{small } A \rrbracket \implies A \lesssim \text{elts } \alpha$
 by (*meson eqpoll-sym gcard-eqpoll lepoll-trans1 less-eq-V-def subset-imp-lepoll*)

lemma *gcard-Union-le-cmult*:
 assumes *small U* and $\kappa: \bigwedge x. x \in U \implies \text{gcard } x \leq \kappa$ and $sm: \bigwedge x. x \in U \implies \text{small } x$
 shows $\text{gcard } (\bigcup U) \leq \text{gcard } U \otimes \kappa$
proof –
 have $\exists f. f \in x \rightarrow \text{elts } \kappa \wedge \text{inj-on } f \ x$ if $x \in U$ for x
 using κ [*OF that*] *gcard-le-lepoll* by (*smt (verit) Pi-iff TC-small imageI lepoll-def subset-eq*)
 then obtain φ where $\varphi: \bigwedge x. x \in U \implies (\varphi \ x) \in x \rightarrow \text{elts } \kappa \wedge \text{inj-on } (\varphi \ x) \ x$
 by *metis*
 define u where $u \equiv \lambda y. @x. x \in U \wedge y \in x$
 have $u: u \ y \in U \wedge y \in (u \ y)$ if $y \in \bigcup (U)$ for y
 unfolding *u-def* using *that* by (*fast intro!: someI2*)
 define ψ where $\psi \equiv \lambda y. (u \ y, \varphi \ (u \ y) \ y)$
 have $U: \text{elts } (\text{gcard } U) \approx U$
 by (*simp add: gcard-eqpoll*)
 have $\bigcup U \lesssim U \times \text{elts } \kappa$
 unfolding *lepoll-def*
proof (*intro exI conjI*)
 show *inj-on* ψ ($\bigcup U$)
 using $\varphi \ u$ by (*smt (verit) ψ -def inj-on-def prod.inject*)

show $\psi \text{ ' } \bigcup U \subseteq U \times \text{elts } \kappa$
using φ u **by** (*auto simp: ψ -def*)
qed
also have $\dots \approx \text{elts } (\text{gcard } U \otimes \kappa)$
using U *elts-cmult eqpoll-sym eqpoll-trans times-eqpoll-cong* **by** *blast*
finally have $(\bigcup U) \lesssim \text{elts } (\text{gcard } U \otimes \kappa)$.
then show *?thesis*
by (*metis cardinal-idem cmult-def gcard-eq-vcard lepoll-imp-gcard-le small-elts*)
qed

lemma *countable-iff-g-le-Aleph0*: $\text{small } X \implies \text{countable } X \longleftrightarrow \text{gcard } X \leq \aleph_0$
unfolding *gcard-def*
by (*metis countable-iff-le-Aleph0 countable-image elts-of-set inv-V-of-image-eq replacement*)

lemma *countable-imp-g-le-Aleph0*: $\text{countable } X \implies \text{gcard } X \leq \aleph_0$
by (*meson countable countable-iff-g-le-Aleph0*)

lemma *finite-iff-g-le-Aleph0*: $\text{small } X \implies \text{finite } X \longleftrightarrow \text{gcard } X < \aleph_0$
by (*metis Aleph-0 elts-set-V-of eqpoll-finite-iff finite-iff-less-Aleph0 gcard-def*)

lemma *finite-imp-g-le-Aleph0*: $\text{finite } X \implies \text{gcard } X < \aleph_0$
by (*meson finite-iff-g-le-Aleph0 finite-imp-small*)

lemma *countable-infinite-gcard*: $\text{countable } X \wedge \text{infinite } X \longleftrightarrow \text{gcard } X = \aleph_0$
proof –
have $\text{gcard } X = \omega$
if $\text{countable } X$ **and** $\text{infinite } X$
using *that countable countable-imp-g-le-Aleph0 finite-iff-g-le-Aleph0 less-V-def*
by *auto*
moreover have $\text{countable } X$ **if** $\text{gcard } X = \omega$
by (*metis Aleph-0 countable-iff-g-le-Aleph0 dual-order.refl gcard-big-0 omega-nonzero that*)
moreover have False **if** $\text{gcard } X = \omega$ **and** $\text{finite } X$
by (*metis Aleph-0 dual-order.irrefl finite-iff-g-le-Aleph0 finite-imp-small that*)
ultimately show *?thesis*
by *auto*
qed

lemma *uncountable-gcard*: $\text{small } X \implies \text{uncountable } X \longleftrightarrow \text{gcard } X > \aleph_0$
by (*simp add: Ord-not-le countable-iff-g-le-Aleph0 gcard-def*)

lemma *uncountable-gcard-ge*: $\text{small } X \implies \text{uncountable } X \longleftrightarrow \text{gcard } X \geq \aleph_1$
by (*simp add: uncountable-gcard csucc-le-Card-iff one-V-def*)

lemma *subset-smaller-gcard*:
assumes $\kappa: \kappa \leq \text{gcard } X \text{ Card } \kappa$
obtains Y **where** $Y \subseteq X$ $\text{gcard } Y = \kappa$
proof (*cases small X*)

```

case True
with subset-smaller-vc [OF  $\kappa$  [unfolded gcard-def]] show ?thesis
by (metis elts-of-set gcard-def less-eq-V-def replacement set-of-elts subset-imageE
that)
next
case False
with assms show ?thesis
by (metis antisym gcard-big-0 le-0 order-refl that)
qed

```

lemma *Real-gcard*: $\text{gcard } (\text{UNIV}::\text{real set}) = \text{C-continuum}$
by (*metis C-continuum-def Real-set-def gcard-def*)

lemma *Complex-gcard*: $\text{gcard } (\text{UNIV}::\text{complex set}) = \text{C-continuum}$
by (*metis Complex-set-def Complex-vc* *gcard-def*)

lemma *gcard-Times* [*simp*]: $\text{gcard } (X \times Y) = \text{gcard } X \otimes \text{gcard } Y$
proof (*cases small X* \wedge *small Y*)
case *True*
have $V\text{-of } (X \times Y) \approx (V\text{-of } X) \times (V\text{-of } Y)$
by (*metis V-of-image-times*)
also have $\dots \approx \text{elts } (\text{vc} (\text{ZFC-in-HOL.set } (V\text{-of } X))) \times \text{elts } (\text{vc} (\text{ZFC-in-HOL.set } (V\text{-of } Y)))$
by (*metis True cardinal-epoll eqpoll-sym replacement set-of-elts small-iff times-epoll-cong*)
also have $\dots \approx \text{elts } (\text{vtimes } (\text{vc} (\text{ZFC-in-HOL.set } (V\text{-of } X))) (\text{vc} (\text{ZFC-in-HOL.set } (V\text{-of } Y))))$
using *elts-VSigma* **by** *auto*
finally show *?thesis*
using *True cardinal-cong* **by** (*simp add: gcard-def cmult-def*)
next
case *False*
have $\text{gcard } (X \times Y) = 0$
by (*metis False Times-empty gcard-big-0 gcard-empty-0 small-Times-iff*)
then show *?thesis*
by (*metis False cmult-0 cmult-commute gcard-big-0*)
qed

1.5 Wetzel's problem

definition *Wetzel* :: $(\text{complex} \Rightarrow \text{complex}) \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where *Wetzel* $\equiv \lambda F. (\forall f \in F. f \text{ analytic-on UNIV}) \wedge (\forall z. \text{countable}((\lambda f. f z) \text{ ` } F))$

1.5.1 When the continuum hypothesis is false

proposition *Erdos-Wetzel-nonCH*:

assumes *W*: *Wetzel F* **and** *NCH*: $\text{C-continuum} > \aleph_1$ **and** *small F*
shows *countable F*

proof –

have $\exists z0. \text{gcard } ((\lambda f. f z0) \text{ ` } F) \geq \aleph1$ **if** *uncountable F*
proof –
have $\text{gcard } F \geq \aleph1$
using *small F* **that** *uncountable-gcard-ge* **by** *blast*
then obtain F' **where** $F' \subseteq F$ **and** $F': \text{gcard } F' = \aleph1$
by (*meson Card-Aleph Ord-1 subset-smaller-gcard small F*)
then obtain φ **where** $\varphi: \text{bij-betw } \varphi (\text{elts } (\aleph1)) F'$
by (*metis TC-small eqpoll-def gcard-epoll*)
define S **where** $S \equiv \lambda \alpha \beta. \{z. \varphi \alpha z = \varphi \beta z\}$
have *co-S: gcard (S α β) \leq $\aleph0$ if $\alpha \in \text{elts } \beta$ $\beta \in \text{elts } (\aleph1)$ for $\alpha \beta$*
proof –
have $\varphi \alpha$ *holomorphic-on UNIV* $\varphi \beta$ *holomorphic-on UNIV*
using $W \text{ ` } F' \subseteq F$ **unfolding** *Wetzel-def*
by (*meson Ord- ω 1 Ord-trans φ analytic-imp-holomorphic bij-betwE subsetD*
that)
moreover have $\varphi \alpha \neq \varphi \beta$
by (*metis Ord- ω 1 Ord-in-Ord Ord-trans OrdmemD φ bij-betw-imp-inj-on*
inj-on-def less-V-def that)
ultimately have *countable (S α β)*
using *holomorphic-countable-equal-UNIV* **unfolding** *S-def* **by** *blast*
then show *?thesis*
using *countable-imp-g-le-Aleph0* **by** *blast*
qed
define SS **where** $SS \equiv \bigsqcup ((\lambda \beta. \bigsqcup ((\lambda \alpha. S \alpha \beta) \text{ ` } \text{elts } \beta)) \text{ ` } \text{elts } (\aleph1))$

have $F'\text{-eq: } F' = \varphi \text{ ` } \text{elts } \omega1$
using φ *bij-betw-imp-surj-on* **by** *auto*
have $\S: \bigwedge x xa. xa \in \text{elts } \omega1 \implies \text{gcard } (\bigcup \alpha \in \text{elts } xa. S \alpha xa) \leq \omega$
by (*metis Aleph-0 TC-small co-S countable-UN countable-iff-g-le-Aleph0*
less- ω 1-imp-countable)
have $\text{gcard } SS \leq \text{gcard } ((\lambda \beta. \bigcup \alpha \in \text{elts } \beta. S \alpha \beta) \text{ ` } \text{elts } \omega1) \otimes \aleph0$
apply (*simp add: SS-def*)
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) \S TC-small gcard-Union-le-cmult imageE*)
also have $\dots \leq \aleph1$
proof (*rule cmult-InfCard-le*)
show $\text{gcard } ((\lambda \beta. \bigcup \alpha \in \text{elts } \beta. S \alpha \beta) \text{ ` } \text{elts } \omega1) \leq \omega1$
using *gcard-image-le* **by** *fastforce*
qed *auto*
finally have $\text{gcard } SS \leq \aleph1$.
with *NCH* **obtain** $z0$ **where** $z0 \notin SS$
by (*metis Complex-gcard UNIV-eq-I less-le-not-le*)
then have *inj-on ($\lambda x. \varphi x z0$) (elts $\omega1$)*
apply (*simp add: SS-def S-def inj-on-def*)
by (*metis Ord- ω 1 Ord-in-Ord Ord-linear*)
then have $\text{gcard } ((\lambda f. f z0) \text{ ` } F') = \aleph1$
by (*smt (verit) F' F'-eq gcard-image imageE inj-on-def*)
then show *?thesis*
by (*metis TC-small F' \subseteq F image-mono subset-imp-gcard-le*)
qed

with W show ?thesis
 unfolding Wetzel-def by (meson countable uncountable-gcard-ge)
 qed

1.5.2 When the continuum hypothesis is true

lemma Rats-closure-real2: closure $(\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}) = (UNIV::real\ set) \times (UNIV::real\ set)$
 by (simp add: Rats-closure-real closure-Times)

proposition Erdos-Wetzel-CH:

assumes CH: $C\text{-continuum} = \aleph_1$

obtains F where Wetzel F and uncountable F

proof –

define D where $D \equiv \{z. \text{Re } z \in \mathbb{Q} \wedge \text{Im } z \in \mathbb{Q}\}$

have $Deq: D = (\bigcup x \in \mathbb{Q}. \bigcup y \in \mathbb{Q}. \{\text{Complex } x\ y\})$

using complex.collapse by (force simp: D-def)

with countable-rat have countable D

by blast

have infinite D

unfolding Deq

by (intro infinite-disjoint-family-imp-infinite-UNION Rats-infinite) (auto simp: disjoint-family-on-def)

have $\exists w. \text{Re } w \in \mathbb{Q} \wedge \text{Im } w \in \mathbb{Q} \wedge \text{norm } (w - z) < e$ if $e > 0$ for z and $e::real$

proof –

obtain $x\ y$ where $x \in \mathbb{Q}\ y \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $xy: \text{dist } (x,y) (\text{Re } z, \text{Im } z) < e$

using $\langle e > 0 \rangle$ Rats-closure-real2 by (force simp: closure-approachable)

moreover have $\text{dist } (x,y) (\text{Re } z, \text{Im } z) = \text{norm } (\text{Complex } x\ y - z)$

by (simp add: norm-complex-def norm-prod-def dist-norm)

ultimately show $\exists w. \text{Re } w \in \mathbb{Q} \wedge \text{Im } w \in \mathbb{Q} \wedge \text{norm } (w - z) < e$

by (metis complex.sel)

qed

then have cloD: closure $D = UNIV$

by (auto simp: D-def closure-approachable dist-complex-def)

obtain ζ where $\zeta: \text{bij-betw } \zeta (\text{elts } \aleph_1) (UNIV::complex\ set)$

by (metis Complex-gcard TC-small assms eqpoll-def gcard-egpoll)

define inD where $inD \equiv \lambda\beta\ f. (\forall\alpha \in \text{elts } \beta. f (\zeta\ \alpha) \in D)$

define Φ where $\Phi \equiv \lambda\beta\ f. f\ \beta$ analytic-on $UNIV \wedge inD\ \beta (f\ \beta) \wedge inj\text{-on } f (\text{elts } (\text{succ } \beta))$

have *: $\exists h. \Phi\ \gamma ((\text{restrict } f (\text{elts } \gamma))(\gamma:=h))$

if $\gamma: \gamma \in \text{elts } \aleph_1$ and $\forall\beta \in \text{elts } \gamma. \Phi\ \beta\ f$ for $\gamma\ f$

proof –

have $f: \forall\beta \in \text{elts } \gamma. f\ \beta$ analytic-on $UNIV \wedge inD\ \beta (f\ \beta)$

using that by (auto simp: Φ -def)

have inj: inj-on $f (\text{elts } \gamma)$

using that by (simp add: Φ -def inj-on-def) (meson Ord- ω_1 Ord-in-Ord Ord-linear)

obtain h where h analytic-on $UNIV$ inD $\gamma\ h (\forall\beta \in \text{elts } \gamma. h \neq f\ \beta)$

proof (cases finite (elts γ))

case True

```

then obtain  $\eta$  where  $\eta$ : bij-betw  $\eta$   $\{..<card (elts \gamma)\} (elts \gamma)$ 
  using bij-betw-from-nat-into-finite by blast
define  $g$  where  $g \equiv f \circ \eta$ 
define  $w$  where  $w \equiv \zeta \circ \eta$ 
have  $gf$ :  $\forall i < card (elts \gamma). h \neq g i \implies \forall \beta \in elts \gamma. h \neq f \beta$  for  $h$ 
  using  $\eta$  by (auto simp: bij-betw-iff-bijections g-def)
have *:  $\exists h. h$  analytic-on UNIV  $\wedge (\forall i < n. h (w i) \in D \wedge h (w i) \neq g i (w$ 
i))
  if  $n \leq card (elts \gamma)$  for  $n$ 
  using that
proof (induction n)
  case 0
  then show ?case
    using analytic-on-const by blast
next
  case (Suc n)
  then obtain  $h$  where  $h$  analytic-on UNIV and  $hg$ :  $\forall i < n. h (w i) \in D \wedge$ 
 $h (w i) \neq g i (w i)$ 
    using Suc-leD by blast
  define  $p$  where  $p \equiv \lambda z. \prod_{i < n. z - w i}$ 
  have  $p0$ :  $p z = 0 \iff (\exists i < n. z = w i)$  for  $z$ 
    unfolding p-def by force
  obtain  $d$  where  $d$ :  $d \in D - \{g n (w n)\}$ 
    using  $\langle infinite D \rangle$  by (metis ex-in-conv finite.emptyI infinite-remove)
  define  $h'$  where  $h' \equiv \lambda z. h z + p z * (d - h (w n)) / p (w n)$ 
  have  $h'$ -eq:  $h' (w i) = h (w i)$  if  $i < n$  for  $i$ 
    using that by (force simp: h'-def p0)
  show ?case
proof (intro exI strip conjI)
  have  $nless$ :  $n < card (elts \gamma)$ 
    using Suc.prem Suc-le-eq by blast
  with  $\eta$  have  $\eta n \neq \eta i$  if  $i < n$  for  $i$ 
    using that unfolding bij-betw-iff-bijections
    by (metis lessThan-iff less-not-refl order-less-trans)
  with  $\zeta \eta \gamma$  have  $pwn$ -nonzero:  $p (w n) \neq 0$ 
    apply (clarsimp simp: p0 w-def bij-betw-iff-bijections)
    by (metis Ord- $\omega$ 1 Ord-trans nless lessThan-iff order-less-trans)
  then show  $h'$  analytic-on UNIV
    unfolding h'-def p-def by (intro analytic-intros  $\langle h$  analytic-on UNIV  $\rangle$ )
  fix  $i$ 
  assume  $i < Suc n$ 
  then have  $\S$ :  $i < n \vee i = n$ 
    by linarith
  then show  $h' (w i) \in D$ 
    using  $h'$ -eq  $hg$   $d$   $h'$ -def  $pwn$ -nonzero by force
  show  $h' (w i) \neq g i (w i)$ 
    using  $\S$   $h'$ -eq  $hg$   $h'$ -def  $d$   $pwn$ -nonzero by fastforce
qed
qed

```



```

show ?thesis
  using * [OF order-refl] η that gf
  by (simp add: w-def bij-betw-iff-bijections inD-def) metis
next
case False
then obtain η where η: bij-betw η (UNIV::nat set) (elts γ)
  by (meson γ countable-infiniteE' less-ω1-imp-countable)
then have η-inject [simp]: η i = η j  $\longleftrightarrow$  i=j for i j
  by (simp add: bij-betw-imp-inj-on inj-eq)
define g where g  $\equiv$  f o η
define w where w  $\equiv$  ζ o η
then have w-inject [simp]: w i = w j  $\longleftrightarrow$  i=j for i j
  by (smt (verit) Ord-ω1 Ord-trans UNIV-I η γ ζ bij-betw-iff-bijections
  comp-apply)
define p where p  $\equiv$  λn z.  $\prod_{i < n}$ . z - w i
define q where q  $\equiv$  λn.  $\prod_{i < n}$ . 1 + norm (w i)
define h where h  $\equiv$  λn ε z.  $\sum_{i < n}$ . ε i * p i z
define BALL where BALL  $\equiv$  λn ε. ball (h n ε (w n)) (norm (p n (w n)) /
(fact n * q n))
  — The demonimator above is the key to keeping the epsilons small
define DD where DD  $\equiv$  λn ε. D ∩ BALL n ε - {g n (w n)}
define dd where dd  $\equiv$  λn ε. SOME x. x ∈ DD n ε
have p0: p n z = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  (∃ i < n. z = w i) for z n
  unfolding p-def by force
have [simp]: p n (w i) = 0 if i < n for i n
  using that by (simp add: p0)
have q-gt0: 0 < q n for n
  unfolding q-def by (smt (verit) norm-not-less-zero prod-pos)
have DD n ε  $\neq$  {} for n ε
proof —
  have r > 0  $\implies$  infinite (D ∩ ball z r) for z r
    by (metis islimpt-UNIV limpt-of-closure islimpt-eq-infinite-ball cloD)
  then have infinite (D ∩ BALL n ε) for n ε
    by (simp add: BALL-def p0 q-gt0)
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis DD-def finite.emptyI infinite-remove)
qed
then have dd-in-DD: dd n ε ∈ DD n ε for n ε
  by (simp add: dd-def some-in-eq)

have h-cong: h n ε = h n ε' if  $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies \varepsilon i = \varepsilon' i$  for n ε ε'
  using that by (simp add: h-def)
have dd-cong: dd n ε = dd n ε' if  $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies \varepsilon i = \varepsilon' i$  for n ε ε'
  using that by (metis dd-def DD-def BALL-def h-cong)

have [simp]: h n (cut ε less-than n) = h n ε for n ε
  by (meson cut-apply h-cong less-than-iff)
have [simp]: dd n (cut ε less-than n) = dd n ε for n ε
  by (meson cut-apply dd-cong less-than-iff)

```

```

define coeff where coeff  $\equiv$  wfrec less-than ( $\lambda \varepsilon n. (dd\ n\ \varepsilon - h\ n\ \varepsilon\ (w\ n)) /$ 
p n (w n))
have coeff-eq: coeff n = (dd n coeff - h n coeff (w n)) / p n (w n) for n
by (simp add: def-wfrec [OF coeff-def])

have norm-coeff: norm (coeff n) < 1 / (fact n * q n) for n
using dd-in-DD [of n coeff]
by (simp add: q-gt0 coeff-eq DD-def BALL-def dist-norm norm-minus-commute
norm-divide divide-simps)
have h-truncated: h n coeff (w k) = h (Suc k) coeff (w k) if k < n for n k
proof -
have ( $\sum i < n. coeff\ i * p\ i\ (w\ k)$ ) = ( $\sum i < Suc\ k. coeff\ i * p\ i\ (w\ k)$ ) +
( $\sum i = Suc\ k.. < n. coeff\ i * p\ i\ (w\ k)$ )
by (smt (verit) Suc-le-eq atLeast0LessThan le0 sum.atLeastLessThan-concat
that)
also have ... = ( $\sum i < Suc\ k. coeff\ i * p\ i\ (w\ k)$ )
by simp
finally show ?thesis
by (simp add: h-def)
qed
have norm-p-bound: norm (p n z')  $\leq q\ n * (1 + norm\ z) ^ n$ 
if dist z z'  $\leq$  1 for n z z'
proof (induction n)
case 0
then show ?case
by (auto simp: p-def q-def)
next
case (Suc n)
have norm z' - norm z  $\leq$  1
by (smt (verit) dist-norm norm-triangle-ineq3 that)
then have §: norm (z' - w n)  $\leq (1 + norm\ (w\ n)) * (1 + norm\ z)$ 
by (simp add: mult.commute add-mono distrib-left norm-triangle-le-diff)
have norm (p n z') * norm (z' - w n)  $\leq (q\ n * (1 + norm\ z) ^ n) * norm$ 
(z' - w n)
by (metis Suc mult.commute mult-left-mono norm-ge-zero)
also have ...  $\leq (q\ n * (1 + norm\ z) ^ n) * (1 + norm\ (w\ n)) * ((1 +$ 
norm z)
by (smt (verit) § Suc mult.assoc mult-left-mono norm-ge-zero)
also have ...  $\leq q\ n * (1 + norm\ (w\ n)) * ((1 + norm\ z) * (1 + norm\ z)$ 
 $^ n)$ 
by (simp add: mult-ac)
finally have norm (p n z') * norm (z' - w n)  $\leq q\ n * (1 + norm\ (w\ n))$ 
 $* ((1 + norm\ z) * (1 + norm\ z) ^ n)$  .
with that show ?case
by (auto simp: p-def q-def norm-mult simp del: fact-Suc)
qed

show ?thesis

```

```

proof
  define hh where hh  $\equiv \lambda z. \text{suminf } (\lambda i. \text{coeff } i * p \ i \ z)$ 
  have hh holomorphic-on UNIV
  proof (rule holomorphic-uniform-sequence)
    fix n — Many thanks to Manuel Eberl for suggesting these approach
    show h n coeff holomorphic-on UNIV
      unfolding h-def p-def by (intro holomorphic-intros)
  next
    fix z
    have uniform-limit (cball z 1) (λn. h n coeff) hh sequentially
      unfolding hh-def h-def
    proof (rule Weierstrass-m-test)
      let ?M =  $\lambda n. (1 + \text{norm } z) ^ n / \text{fact } n$ 
      have  $\exists N. \forall n \geq N. B \leq (1 + \text{real } n) / (1 + \text{norm } z)$  for B
      proof
        show  $\forall n \geq \text{nat } [B * (1 + \text{norm } z)]. B \leq (1 + \text{real } n) / (1 + \text{norm } z)$ 
          using norm-ge-zero [of z] by (auto simp: divide-simps simp del:
norm-ge-zero)
        qed
      then have L: liminf (λn. ereal ((1 + real n) / (1 + norm z))) = ∞
        by (simp add: Lim-PInfTy flip: liminf-PInfTy)
      have  $\forall_F n \text{ in sequentially. } 0 < (1 + \text{cmod } z) ^ n / \text{fact } n$ 
        using norm-ge-zero [of z] by (simp del: norm-ge-zero)
      then show summable ?M
        by (rule ratio-test-convergence) (auto simp: add-nonneg-eq-0-iff L)
      fix n z'
      assume  $z' \in \text{cball } z \ 1$ 
      then have  $\text{norm } (\text{coeff } n * p \ n \ z') \leq \text{norm } (\text{coeff } n) * q \ n * (1 + \text{norm } z) ^ n$ 
        by (metis norm-p-bound norm-mult mem-cball mult.assoc mult-left-mono
norm-ge-zero)
      also have  $\dots \leq (1 / \text{fact } n) * (1 + \text{norm } z) ^ n$ 
      proof (rule mult-right-mono)
        show  $\text{norm } (\text{coeff } n) * q \ n \leq 1 / \text{fact } n$ 
          by (metis divide-divide-eq-left less-divide-eq less-eq-real-def norm-coeff
q-gt0)
        qed auto
      also have  $\dots \leq ?M \ n$ 
        by (simp add: divide-simps)
      finally show  $\text{norm } (\text{coeff } n * p \ n \ z') \leq ?M \ n .$ 
      qed
      then show  $\exists d > 0. \text{cball } z \ d \subseteq \text{UNIV} \wedge \text{uniform-limit } (\text{cball } z \ d) (\lambda n. h$ 
n coeff) hh sequentially
        using zero-less-one by blast
      qed auto
    then show hh analytic-on UNIV
      by (simp add: analytic-on-open)
    have hh-eq-dd: hh (w n) = dd n coeff for n
    proof —

```

```

have hh (w n) = h (Suc n) coeff (w n)
  unfolding hh-def h-def by (intro suminf-finite) auto
also have ... = dd n coeff
  by (induction n) (auto simp add: p0 h-def p-def coeff-eq [of Suc -] coeff-eq
[of 0])
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
then have hh (w n) ∈ D for n
  using DD-def dd-in-DD by fastforce
then show inD γ hh
  unfolding inD-def by (metis η bij-betw-iff-bijections comp-apply w-def)
have hh (w n) ≠ f (η n) (w n) for n
  using DD-def dd-in-DD g-def hh-eq-dd by auto
then show ∀ β ∈ elts γ. hh ≠ f β
  by (metis η bij-betw-imp-surj-on imageE)
qed
qed
with f show ?thesis
  using inj by (rule-tac x=h in exI) (auto simp: Φ-def inj-on-def)
qed
define G where G ≡ λf γ. @h. Φ γ ((restrict f (elts γ))(γ:=h))
have next: Φ γ ((restrict f (elts γ))(γ:= G f γ))
  if γ ∈ elts (ℕ1) ∀ β ∈ elts γ. Φ β f for f γ
  unfolding G-def using * [OF that] by (metis someI)
have G-restr: G (restrict f (elts γ)) γ = G f γ if γ ∈ elts (ℕ1) for f γ
  by (auto simp: G-def)
define f where f ≡ transrec G
have Φf: Φ β f if β ∈ elts (ℕ1) for β
  using that
proof (induction β rule: eps-induct)
  case (step γ)
  then have *: ∀ β ∈ elts γ. Φ β f
    using Ord-ω1 Ord-trans by blast
  have [simp]: inj-on (λβ. G (restrict f (elts β)) β) (elts γ) ↔ inj-on f (elts γ)
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) f-def transrec inj-on-cong)
  have f γ = G f γ
    by (metis G-restr transrec f-def step.prem)
  with next [OF step.prem] * show ?case
    by (metis Φ-def elts-succ fun-upd-same fun-upd-triv inj-on-restrict-eq re-
strict-upd)
qed
then have anf: ∧β. β ∈ elts (ℕ1) ⇒ f β analytic-on UNIV
  and inD: ∧α β. [β ∈ elts (ℕ1); α ∈ elts β] ⇒ f β (ζ α) ∈ D
  using Φ-def inD-def by blast+
have injf: inj-on f (elts (ℕ1))
  using Φf unfolding inj-on-def Φ-def by (metis Ord-ω1 Ord-in-Ord Ord-linear-le
in-succ-iff)
show ?thesis
proof

```

```

let ?F = f ' elts (ℵ1)
have countable ((λf. f z) ' f ' elts ω1) for z
proof -
  obtain α where α: ζ α = z α ∈ elts (ℵ1) Ord α
  by (meson Ord-ω1 Ord-in-Ord UNIV-I ζ bij-betw-iff-bijections)
  let ?B = elts ω1 - elts (succ α)
  have eq: elts ω1 = elts (succ α) ∪ ?B
  using α by (metis Diff-partition Ord-ω1 OrdmemD less-eq-V-def succ-le-iff)
  have (λf. f z) ' f ' ?B ⊆ D
  using α inD by clarsimp (meson Ord-ω1 Ord-in-Ord Ord-linear)
  then have countable ((λf. f z) ' f ' ?B)
  by (meson ‹countable D› countable-subset)
  moreover have countable ((λf. f z) ' f ' elts (succ α))
  by (simp add: α less-ω1-imp-countable)
  ultimately show ?thesis
  using eq by (metis countable-Un-iff image-Un)
qed
then show Wetzel ?F
  unfolding Wetzel-def by (blast intro: anf)
show uncountable ?F
  using Ord-ω1 countable-iff-less-ω1 countable-image-inj-eq injf by blast
qed
qed

theorem Erdos-Wetzel: C-continuum = ℵ1 ⟷ (∃ F. Wetzel F ∧ uncountable F)
  by (metis C-continuum-ge Erdos-Wetzel-CH Erdos-Wetzel-nonCH TC-small less-V-def)

end

```

References

- [1] M. Aigner and G. M. Ziegler. *Proofs from THE BOOK*. Springer, 6th edition, 2018.