

# Well-Quasi-Orders

Christian Sternagel\*

March 17, 2025

## Abstract

Based on Isabelle/HOL’s type class for preorders, we introduce a type class for well-quasi-orders (wqo) which is characterized by the absence of “bad” sequences (our proofs are along the lines of the proof of Nash-Williams [1], from which we also borrow terminology). Our main results are instantiations for the product type, the list type, and a type of finite trees, which (almost) directly follow from our proofs of (1) Dickson’s Lemma, (2) Higman’s Lemma, and (3) Kruskal’s Tree Theorem. More concretely:

1. If the sets  $A$  and  $B$  are wqo then their Cartesian product is wqo.
2. If the set  $A$  is wqo then the set of finite lists over  $A$  is wqo.
3. If the set  $A$  is wqo then the set of finite trees over  $A$  is wqo.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Infinite Sequences</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Lexicographic Order on Infinite Sequences . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Minimal elements of sets w.r.t. a well-founded and transitive relation</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Enumerations of Well-Ordered Sets in Increasing Order</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>The Almost-Full Property</b>	<b>7</b>
4.1	Basic Definitions and Facts . . . . .	7
4.2	An equivalent inductive definition . . . . .	8
4.3	Special Case: Finite Sets . . . . .	11
4.4	Further Results . . . . .	11
<b>5</b>	<b>Constructing Minimal Bad Sequences</b>	<b>12</b>

---

\*The research was funded by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): J3202.

<b>6 A Proof of Higman's Lemma via Open Induction</b>	<b>15</b>
6.1 Some facts about the suffix relation . . . . .	15
6.2 Lexicographic Order on Infinite Sequences . . . . .	15
<b>7 Almost-Full Relations</b>	<b>17</b>
7.1 Adding a Bottom Element to a Set . . . . .	17
7.2 Adding a Bottom Element to an Almost-Full Set . . . . .	17
7.3 Disjoint Union of Almost-Full Sets . . . . .	18
7.4 Dickson's Lemma for Almost-Full Relations . . . . .	18
7.5 Higman's Lemma for Almost-Full Relations . . . . .	19
7.6 Natural Numbers . . . . .	19
<b>8 Well-Quasi-Orders</b>	<b>19</b>
8.1 Basic Definitions . . . . .	19
8.2 Equivalent Definitions . . . . .	20
8.3 A Type Class for Well-Quasi-Orders . . . . .	21
8.4 Dickson's Lemma . . . . .	22
8.5 Higman's Lemma . . . . .	22
<b>9 Kruskal's Tree Theorem</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>10 Instances of Well-Quasi-Orders</b>	<b>27</b>
10.1 The Option Type is Well-Quasi-Ordered . . . . .	27
10.2 The Sum Type is Well-Quasi-Ordered . . . . .	27
10.3 Pairs are Well-Quasi-Ordered . . . . .	27
10.4 Lists are Well-Quasi-Ordered . . . . .	28
<b>11 Multiset Extension of Orders (as Binary Predicates)</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>12 Multiset Extension Preserves Well-Quasi-Orders</b>	<b>34</b>

## 1 Infinite Sequences

Some useful constructions on and facts about infinite sequences.

```
theory Infinite-Sequences
imports Main
begin
```

The set of all infinite sequences over elements from  $A$ .

```
definition SEQ A = {f::nat ⇒ 'a. ∀ i. f i ∈ A}
```

```
lemma SEQ-iff [iff]:
  f ∈ SEQ A ←→ (∀ i. f i ∈ A)
⟨proof⟩
```

The  $i$ -th "column" of a set  $B$  of infinite sequences.

**definition**  $\text{ith } B i = \{f i \mid f. f \in B\}$

**lemma**  $\text{ithI [intro]:}$

$f \in B \implies f i = x \implies x \in \text{ith } B i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{ithE [elim]:}$

$\llbracket x \in \text{ith } B i; \bigwedge f. \llbracket f \in B; f i = x \rrbracket \implies Q \rrbracket \implies Q$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{ith-conv:}$

$x \in \text{ith } B i \longleftrightarrow (\exists f \in B. x = f i)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The restriction of a set  $B$  of sequences to sequences that are equal to a given sequence  $f$  up to position  $i$ .

**definition**  $\text{eq-upto} :: (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \text{ set} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \text{ set}$   
**where**

$\text{eq-upto } B f i = \{g \in B. \forall j < i. f j = g j\}$

**lemma**  $\text{eq-uptoI [intro]:}$

$\llbracket g \in B; \bigwedge j. j < i \implies f j = g j \rrbracket \implies g \in \text{eq-upto } B f i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{eq-uptoE [elim]:}$

$\llbracket g \in \text{eq-upto } B f i; \llbracket g \in B; \bigwedge j. j < i \implies f j = g j \rrbracket \implies Q \rrbracket \implies Q$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{eq-upto-Suc:}$

$\llbracket g \in \text{eq-upto } B f i; g i = f i \rrbracket \implies g \in \text{eq-upto } B f (\text{Suc } i)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{eq-upto-0 [simp]:}$

$\text{eq-upto } B f 0 = B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{eq-upto-cong [fundef-cong]:}$

**assumes**  $\bigwedge j. j < i \implies f j = g j$  **and**  $B = C$   
**shows**  $\text{eq-upto } B f i = \text{eq-upto } C g i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 1.1 Lexicographic Order on Infinite Sequences

**definition**  $\text{LEX } P f g \longleftrightarrow (\exists i::\text{nat}. P (f i) (g i) \wedge (\forall j < i. f j = g j))$   
**abbreviation**  $\text{LEXEQ } P \equiv (\text{LEX } P)^{==}$

**lemma**  $\text{LEX-imp-not-LEX:}$

**assumes**  $\text{LEX } P f g$

```

and [dest]:  $\bigwedge x y z. P x y \implies P y z \implies P x z$ 
and [simp]:  $\bigwedge x. \neg P x x$ 
shows  $\neg \text{LEX } P g f$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma LEX-cases:
assumes LEX P f g
obtains (eq) f = g | (neq) k where  $\forall i < k. f i = g i$  and P (f k) (g k)
⟨proof⟩

lemma LEX-imp-less:
assumes  $\forall x \in A. \neg P x x$  and f ∈ SEQ A ∨ g ∈ SEQ A
and LEX P f g and  $\forall i < k. f i = g i$  and f k ≠ g k
shows P (f k) (g k)
⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 2 Minimal elements of sets w.r.t. a well-founded and transitive relation

```

theory Minimal-Elements
imports
  Infinite-Sequences
  Open-Induction.Restricted-Predicates
begin

locale minimal-element =
  fixes P A
  assumes po: po-on P A
  and wf: wfp-on P A
begin

definition min-elt B = (SOME x. x ∈ B ∧ ( $\forall y \in A. P y x \implies y \notin B$ ))

lemma minimal:
assumes x ∈ A and Q x
shows  $\exists y \in A. P^{==} y x \wedge Q y \wedge (\forall z \in A. P z y \implies \neg Q z)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma min-elt-ex:
assumes B ⊆ A and B ≠ {}
shows  $\exists x. x \in B \wedge (\forall y \in A. P y x \implies y \notin B)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma min-elt-mem:
assumes B ⊆ A and B ≠ {}
shows min-elt B ∈ B

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *min-elt-minimal*:  
  **assumes**  $*: B \subseteq A$   $B \neq \{\}$   
  **assumes**  $y \in A$  **and**  $P y (\text{min-elt } B)$   
  **shows**  $y \notin B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

A lexicographically minimal sequence w.r.t. a given set of sequences  $C$

**fun** *lexmin*  
**where**  
  *lexmin*:  $\text{lexmin } C i = \text{min-elt} (\text{ith} (\text{eq-upto } C (\text{lexmin } C) i) i)$   
  **declare** *lexmin* [*simp del*]

**lemma** *eq-upto-lexmin-non-empty*:  
  **assumes**  $C \subseteq \text{SEQ } A$  **and**  $C \neq \{\}$   
  **shows**  $\text{eq-upto } C (\text{lexmin } C) i \neq \{\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lexmin-SEQ-mem*:  
  **assumes**  $C \subseteq \text{SEQ } A$  **and**  $C \neq \{\}$   
  **shows**  $\text{lexmin } C \in \text{SEQ } A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *non-empty-ith*:  
  **assumes**  $C \subseteq \text{SEQ } A$  **and**  $C \neq \{\}$   
  **shows**  $\text{ith} (\text{eq-upto } C (\text{lexmin } C) i) i \subseteq A$   
  **and**  $\text{ith} (\text{eq-upto } C (\text{lexmin } C) i) i \neq \{\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lexmin-minimal*:  
   $C \subseteq \text{SEQ } A \implies C \neq \{\} \implies y \in A \implies P y (\text{lexmin } C i) \implies y \notin \text{ith} (\text{eq-upto } C (\text{lexmin } C) i) i$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lexmin-mem*:  
   $C \subseteq \text{SEQ } A \implies C \neq \{\} \implies \text{lexmin } C i \in \text{ith} (\text{eq-upto } C (\text{lexmin } C) i) i$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *LEX-chain-on-eq-upto-imp-ith-chain-on*:  
  **assumes** *chain-on* (*LEX P*) (*eq-upto C f i*) (*SEQ A*)  
  **shows** *chain-on* *P* (*ith (eq-upto C f i) i*) *A*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**end**

### 3 Enumerations of Well-Ordered Sets in Increasing Order

```

theory Least-Enum
imports Main
begin

locale infinitely-many1 =
  fixes P :: "'a :: wellorder ⇒ bool"
  assumes infm: ∀ i. ∃ j>i. P j
begin

  Enumerate the elements of a well-ordered infinite set in increasing order.

  fun enum :: nat ⇒ 'a where
    enum 0 = (LEAST n. P n) |
    enum (Suc i) = (LEAST n. n > enum i ∧ P n)

  lemma enum-mono:
    shows enum i < enum (Suc i)
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma enum-less:
    i < j ⟹ enum i < enum j
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma enum-P:
    shows P (enum i)
    ⟨proof⟩

end

locale infinitely-many2 =
  fixes P :: "'a :: wellorder ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool"
  and N :: "'a"
  assumes infm: ∀ i≥N. ∃ j>i. P i j
begin

  Enumerate the elements of a well-ordered infinite set that form a chain w.r.t.
  a given predicate P starting from a given index N in increasing order.

  fun enumchain :: nat ⇒ 'a where
    enumchain 0 = N |
    enumchain (Suc n) = (LEAST m. m > enumchain n ∧ P (enumchain n) m)

  lemma enumchain-mono:
    shows N ≤ enumchain i ∧ enumchain i < enumchain (Suc i)
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma enumchain-chain:
    shows P (enumchain i) (enumchain (Suc i))

```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
end
```

```
end
```

## 4 The Almost-Full Property

```
theory Almost-Full
```

```
imports
```

```
HOL-Library.Sublist
```

```
HOL-Library.Ramsey
```

```
Regular-Sets.Regexp-Method
```

```
Abstract-Rewriting.Seq
```

```
Least-Enum
```

```
Infinite-Sequences
```

```
Open-Induction.Restricted-Predicates
```

```
begin
```

```
lemma le-Suc-eq':
```

```
 $x \leq Suc y \longleftrightarrow x = 0 \vee (\exists x'. x = Suc x' \wedge x' \leq y)$ 
```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma ex-leq-Suc:
```

```
 $(\exists i \leq Suc j. P i) \longleftrightarrow P 0 \vee (\exists i \leq j. P (Suc i))$ 
```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma ex-less-Suc:
```

```
 $(\exists i < Suc j. P i) \longleftrightarrow P 0 \vee (\exists i < j. P (Suc i))$ 
```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

### 4.1 Basic Definitions and Facts

An infinite sequence is *good* whenever there are indices  $i < j$  such that  $P(i) (f j)$ .

```
definition good :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
```

```
where
```

```
good P f  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists i j. i < j \wedge P(f i) (f j)$ )
```

A sequence that is not good is called *bad*.

```
abbreviation bad P f  $\equiv$   $\neg$  good P f
```

```
lemma goodI:
```

```
 $\llbracket i < j; P(f i) (f j) \rrbracket \implies$  good P f
```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma goodE [elim]:
```

*good P f  $\Rightarrow$  ( $\bigwedge i j. \llbracket i < j; P(f i) (f j) \rrbracket \Rightarrow Q$ )  $\Rightarrow Q$*   
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *badE [elim]:*

*bad P f  $\Rightarrow$  (( $\bigwedge i j. i < j \Rightarrow \neg P(f i) (f j)$ )  $\Rightarrow Q$ )  $\Rightarrow Q$*   
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**definition** *almost-full-on :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  bool*

**where**

*almost-full-on P A  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall f \in \text{SEQ } A. \text{ good } P f$ )*

**lemma** *almost-full-onI [Pure.intro]:*

*( $\bigwedge f. \forall i. f i \in A \Rightarrow \text{good } P f$ )  $\Rightarrow$  almost-full-on P A*  
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *almost-full-onD:*

**fixes** *f :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a and A :: 'a set*  
**assumes** *almost-full-on P A and  $\bigwedge i. f i \in A$*   
**obtains** *i j where i < j and P(f i) (f j)*  
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

## 4.2 An equivalent inductive definition

**inductive** *af for A*

**where**

*now: ( $\bigwedge x y. x \in A \Rightarrow y \in A \Rightarrow P x y$ )  $\Rightarrow af A P$*   
*| later: ( $\bigwedge x. x \in A \Rightarrow af A (\lambda y z. P y z \vee P x y)$ )  $\Rightarrow af A P$*

**lemma** *af-imp-almost-full-on:*

**assumes** *af A P*  
**shows** *almost-full-on P A*  
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *af-mono:*

**assumes** *af A P*  
**and**  $\forall x y. x \in A \wedge y \in A \wedge P x y \longrightarrow Q x y$   
**shows** *af A Q*  
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *accessible-on-imp-af:*

**assumes** *accessible-on P A x*  
**shows** *af A ( $\lambda u v. \neg P v u \vee \neg P u x$ )*  
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *wfp-on-imp-af:*

**assumes** *wfp-on P A*  
**shows** *af A ( $\lambda x y. \neg P y x$ )*  
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

```

lemma af-leq:
  af UNIV ((≤) :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ bool)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition NOTAF A P = (SOME x. x ∈ A ∧ ¬ af A (λy z. P y z ∨ P x y))

lemma not-af:
  ¬ af A P ⟹ (∃ x y. x ∈ A ∧ y ∈ A ∧ ¬ P x y) ∧ (∃ x ∈ A. ¬ af A (λy z. P y z
  ∨ P x y))
  ⟨proof⟩

fun F
  where
    F A P 0 = NOTAF A P
    | F A P (Suc i) = (let x = NOTAF A P in F A (λy z. P y z ∨ P x y) i)

lemma almost-full-on-imp-af:
  assumes af: almost-full-on P A
  shows af A P
  ⟨proof⟩

hide-const NOTAF F

lemma almost-full-on-UNIV:
  almost-full-on (λ- -. True) UNIV
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma almost-full-on-imp-reflp-on:
  assumes almost-full-on P A
  shows reflp-on A P
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma almost-full-on-subset:
  A ⊆ B ⟹ almost-full-on P B ⟹ almost-full-on P A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma almost-full-on-mono:
  assumes A ⊆ B and ⋀x y. Q x y ⟹ P x y
  and almost-full-on Q B
  shows almost-full-on P A
  ⟨proof⟩

Every sequence over elements of an almost-full set has a homogeneous subsequence.

lemma almost-full-on-imp-homogeneous-subseq:
  assumes almost-full-on P A
  and ∀ i::nat. f i ∈ A
  shows ∃ φ::nat ⇒ nat. ∀ i j. i < j → φ i < φ j ∧ P (f (φ i)) (f (φ j))

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

Almost full relations do not admit infinite antichains.

```
lemma almost-full-on-imp-no-antichain-on:  
  assumes almost-full-on P A  
  shows ∉ antichain-on P f A  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

If the image of a function is almost-full then also its preimage is almost-full.

```
lemma almost-full-on-map:  
  assumes almost-full-on Q B  
  and h 'A ⊆ B  
  shows almost-full-on (λx y. Q (h x) (h y)) A (is almost-full-on ?P A)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

The homomorphic image of an almost-full set is almost-full.

```
lemma almost-full-on-hom:  
  fixes h :: 'a ⇒ 'b  
  assumes hom: ∀x y. [x ∈ A; y ∈ A; P x y] ⇒ Q (h x) (h y)  
  and af: almost-full-on P A  
  shows almost-full-on Q (h 'A)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

The monomorphic preimage of an almost-full set is almost-full.

```
lemma almost-full-on-mon:  
  assumes mon: ∀x y. [x ∈ A; y ∈ A] ⇒ P x y = Q (h x) (h y) bij-betw h A B  
  and af: almost-full-on Q B  
  shows almost-full-on P A  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

Every total and well-founded relation is almost-full.

```
lemma total-on-and-wfp-on-imp-almost-full-on:  
  assumes totalp-on A P and wfp-on P A  
  shows almost-full-on P= A  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma Nil-imp-good-list-emb [simp]:  
  assumes f i = []  
  shows good (list-emb P) f  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma ne-lists:  
  assumes xs ≠ [] and xs ∈ lists A  
  shows hd xs ∈ A and tl xs ∈ lists A  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma list-emb-eq-length-induct [consumes 2, case-names Nil Cons]:  
  assumes length xs = length ys
```

```

and list-emb P xs ys
and Q [] []
and  $\bigwedge x y \text{ xs } \text{ ys}. [P x y; \text{list-emb } P \text{ xs } \text{ ys}; Q \text{ xs } \text{ ys}] \implies Q (x \# \text{xs}) (y \# \text{ys})$ 
shows Q xs ys
⟨proof⟩

lemma list-emb-eq-length-P:
assumes length xs = length ys
and list-emb P xs ys
shows  $\forall i < \text{length } \text{ xs}. P (\text{xs} ! i) (\text{ys} ! i)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

### 4.3 Special Case: Finite Sets

Every reflexive relation on a finite set is almost-full.

```

lemma finite-almost-full-on:
assumes finite: finite A
and refl: reflp-on A P
shows almost-full-on P A
⟨proof⟩

lemma eq-almost-full-on-finite-set:
assumes finite A
shows almost-full-on (=) A
⟨proof⟩

```

### 4.4 Further Results

```

lemma af-trans-extension-imp-wf:
assumes subrel:  $\bigwedge x y. P x y \implies Q x y$ 
and af: almost-full-on P A
and trans: transp-on A Q
shows wfp-on (strict Q) A
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma af-trans-imp-wf:
assumes almost-full-on P A
and transp-on A P
shows wfp-on (strict P) A
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma wf-and-no-antichain-imp-qo-extension-wf:
assumes wf: wfp-on (strict P) A
and anti:  $\neg (\exists f. \text{antichain-on } P f A)$ 
and subrel:  $\forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. P x y \longrightarrow Q x y$ 
and qo: qo-on Q A
shows wfp-on (strict Q) A
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma every-qo-extension-wf-imp-af:
  assumes ext:  $\forall Q. (\forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. P x y \rightarrow Q x y) \wedge$ 
     $qo\text{-}on Q A \rightarrow wfp\text{-}on (\text{strict } Q) A$ 
    and  $qo\text{-}on P A$ 
  shows almost-full-on P A
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

## 5 Constructing Minimal Bad Sequences

```

theory Minimal-Bad-Sequences
imports
  Almost-Full
  Minimal-Elements
begin

```

A locale capturing the construction of minimal bad sequences over values from  $A$ . Where minimality is to be understood w.r.t.  $\text{size}$  of an element.

```

locale mbs =
  fixes A :: ('a :: size) set
begin

```

Since the  $\text{size}$  is a well-founded measure, whenever some element satisfies a property  $P$ , then there is a size-minimal such element.

```

lemma minimal:
  assumes  $x \in A$  and  $P x$ 
  shows  $\exists y \in A. \text{size } y \leq \text{size } x \wedge P y \wedge (\forall z \in A. \text{size } z < \text{size } y \rightarrow \neg P z)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma less-not-eq [simp]:
   $x \in A \Rightarrow \text{size } x < \text{size } y \Rightarrow x = y \Rightarrow \text{False}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

The set of all bad sequences over  $A$ .

```

definition BAD P = { $f \in \text{SEQ } A. \text{bad } P f$ }

```

```

lemma BAD-iff [iff]:
   $f \in \text{BAD } P \leftrightarrow (\forall i. f i \in A) \wedge \text{bad } P f$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

A partial order on infinite bad sequences.

```

definition geseq :: ((nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\times$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a)) set
where
  geseq =
     $\{(f, g). f \in \text{SEQ } A \wedge g \in \text{SEQ } A \wedge (f = g \vee (\exists i. \text{size } (g i) < \text{size } (f i) \wedge (\forall j < i. f j = g j)))\}$ 

```

The strict part of the above order.

```

definition gseq :: ((nat ⇒ 'a) × (nat ⇒ 'a)) set where
  gseq = {(f, g). f ∈ SEQ A ∧ g ∈ SEQ A ∧ (∃ i. size (g i) < size (f i) ∧ (∀ j < i. f j = g j))}

lemma gseq-iff:
  (f, g) ∈ gseq ↔
    f ∈ SEQ A ∧ g ∈ SEQ A ∧ (f = g ∨ (∃ i. size (g i) < size (f i) ∧ (∀ j < i. f j = g j)))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gseq-iff:
  (f, g) ∈ gseq ↔ f ∈ SEQ A ∧ g ∈ SEQ A ∧ (∃ i. size (g i) < size (f i) ∧ (∀ j < i. f j = g j))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gseqE:
  assumes (f, g) ∈ gseq
  and ∀ i. f i ∈ A; ∀ i. g i ∈ A; f = g] ⇒ Q
  and ∪ i. [∀ i. f i ∈ A; ∀ i. g i ∈ A; size (g i) < size (f i); ∀ j < i. f j = g j] ⇒
  Q
  shows Q
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gseqE:
  assumes (f, g) ∈ gseq
  and ∪ i. [∀ i. f i ∈ A; ∀ i. g i ∈ A; size (g i) < size (f i); ∀ j < i. f j = g j] ⇒
  Q
  shows Q
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale min-elt-size?: minimal-element measure-on size UNIV A
rewrites measure-on size UNIV ≡ λx y. size x < size y
⟨proof⟩

context
  fixes P :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
  begin

A lower bound to all sequences in a set of sequences B.

abbreviation lb ≡ lexmin (BAD P)

lemma eq-up-to-BAD-mem:
  assumes f ∈ eq-up-to (BAD P) g i
  shows f j ∈ A
  ⟨proof⟩

Assume that there is some infinite bad sequence h.

context

```

```

fixes h :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a
assumes BAD-ex: h  $\in$  BAD P
begin

```

When there is a bad sequence, then filtering *BAD P* w.r.t. positions in *lb* never yields an empty set of sequences.

```

lemma eq-up-to-BAD-non-empty:
  eq-up-to (BAD P) lb i  $\neq$  {}
  {proof}

```

```

lemma non-empty-ith:
  shows ith (eq-up-to (BAD P) lb i) i  $\subseteq$  A
  and ith (eq-up-to (BAD P) lb i) i  $\neq$  {}
  {proof}

```

**lemmas**

```

lb-minimal = min-elt-minimal [OF non-empty-ith, folded lexmin] and
lb-mem = min-elt-mem [OF non-empty-ith, folded lexmin]

```

*lb* is a infinite bad sequence.

```

lemma lb-BAD:
  lb  $\in$  BAD P
  {proof}

```

There is no infinite bad sequence that is strictly smaller than *lb*.

```

lemma lb-lower-bound:
   $\forall g. (lb, g) \in gseq \longrightarrow g \notin BAD P$ 
  {proof}

```

If there is at least one bad sequence, then there is also a minimal one.

```

lemma lower-bound-ex:
   $\exists f \in BAD P. \forall g. (f, g) \in gseq \longrightarrow g \notin BAD P$ 
  {proof}

```

```

lemma gseq-conv:
   $(f, g) \in gseq \longleftrightarrow f \neq g \wedge (f, g) \in geseq$ 
  {proof}

```

There is a minimal bad sequence.

```

lemma mbs:
   $\exists f \in BAD P. \forall g. (f, g) \in gseq \longrightarrow good P g$ 
  {proof}

```

**end**

**end**

**end**

```
end
```

## 6 A Proof of Higman's Lemma via Open Induction

```
theory Higman-OI
imports
  Open-Induction.Open-Induction
  Minimal-Elements
  Almost-Full
begin
```

### 6.1 Some facts about the suffix relation

```
lemma wfp-on-strict-suffix:
```

```
  wfp-on strict-suffix A
```

```
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma po-on-strict-suffix:
```

```
  po-on strict-suffix A
```

```
⟨proof⟩
```

### 6.2 Lexicographic Order on Infinite Sequences

```
lemma antisymp-on-LEX:
```

```
  assumes irreflp-on A P and antisymp-on A P
```

```
  shows antisymp-on (SEQ A) (LEX P)
```

```
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma LEX-trans:
```

```
  assumes transp-on A P and f ∈ SEQ A and g ∈ SEQ A and h ∈ SEQ A
```

```
    and LEX P f g and LEX P g h
```

```
  shows LEX P f h
```

```
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma qo-on-LEXEQ:
```

```
  transp-on A P ⇒ qo-on (LEXEQ P) (SEQ A)
```

```
⟨proof⟩
```

```
context minimal-element
```

```
begin
```

```
lemma glb-LEX-lexmin:
```

```
  assumes chain-on (LEX P) C (SEQ A) and C ≠ {}
```

```
  shows glb (LEX P) C (lexmin C)
```

```
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma dc-on-LEXEQ:
```

```
  dc-on (LEXEQ P) (SEQ A)
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

Properties that only depend on finite initial segments of a sequence (i.e., which are open with respect to the product topology).

**definition** *pt-open-on*  $Q A \longleftrightarrow (\forall f \in A. Q f \longleftrightarrow (\exists n. (\forall g \in A. (\forall i < n. g i = f i) \rightarrow Q g)))$

**lemma** *pt-open-onD*:

*pt-open-on*  $Q A \implies Q f \implies f \in A \implies (\exists n. (\forall g \in A. (\forall i < n. g i = f i) \rightarrow Q g))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *pt-open-on-good*:

*pt-open-on* (*good*  $Q$ ) (*SEQ*  $A$ )

$\langle proof \rangle$

**context** *minimal-element*  
**begin**

**lemma** *pt-open-on-imp-open-on-LEXEQ*:

**assumes** *pt-open-on*  $Q$  (*SEQ*  $A$ )

**shows** *open-on* (*LEXEQ*  $P$ )  $Q$  (*SEQ*  $A$ )

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *open-on-good*:

*open-on* (*LEXEQ*  $P$ ) (*good*  $Q$ ) (*SEQ*  $A$ )

$\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**lemma** *open-on-LEXEQ-imp-pt-open-on-counterexample*:

**fixes**  $a b :: 'a$

**defines**  $A \equiv \{a, b\}$  **and**  $P \equiv (\lambda x y. False)$  **and**  $Q \equiv (\lambda f. \forall i. f i = b)$

**assumes** [*simp*]:  $a \neq b$

**shows** *minimal-element*  $P A$  **and** *open-on* (*LEXEQ*  $P$ )  $Q$  (*SEQ*  $A$ )

**and**  $\neg$  *pt-open-on*  $Q$  (*SEQ*  $A$ )

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *higman*:

**assumes** *almost-full-on*  $P A$

**shows** *almost-full-on* (*list-emb*  $P$ ) (*lists*  $A$ )

$\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

## 7 Almost-Full Relations

```
theory Almost-Full-Relations
imports Minimal-Bad-Sequences
begin

lemma (in mbs) mbs':
assumes  $\neg$  almost-full-on  $P A$ 
shows  $\exists m \in \text{BAD } P. \forall g. (m, g) \in \text{gseq} \longrightarrow \text{good } P g$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

### 7.1 Adding a Bottom Element to a Set

```
definition with-bot :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a option set ( $\setminus_{\perp}$  [1000] 1000)
```

```
where
```

$$A_{\perp} = \{\text{None}\} \cup \text{Some } A$$

```
lemma with-bot-iff [iff]:
Some  $x \in A_{\perp} \longleftrightarrow x \in A$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma NoneI [simp, intro]:
None  $\in A_{\perp}$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma not-None-the-mem [simp]:
 $x \neq \text{None} \implies \text{the } x \in A \longleftrightarrow x \in A_{\perp}$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma with-bot-cases:
 $u \in A_{\perp} \implies (\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies u = \text{Some } x \implies P) \implies (u = \text{None} \implies P) \implies P$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma with-bot-empty-conv [iff]:
 $A_{\perp} = \{\text{None}\} \longleftrightarrow A = \{\}$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma with-bot-UNIV [simp]:
 $\text{UNIV}_{\perp} = \text{UNIV}$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

### 7.2 Adding a Bottom Element to an Almost-Full Set

```
fun
option-le :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a option  $\Rightarrow$  'a option  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
option-le  $P \text{None } y = \text{True} \mid$ 
option-le  $P (\text{Some } x) \text{None} = \text{False} \mid$ 
option-le  $P (\text{Some } x) (\text{Some } y) = P x y$ 
```

```

lemma None-imp-good-option-le [simp]:
  assumes f i = None
  shows good (option-le P) f
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma almost-full-on-with-bot:
  assumes almost-full-on P A
  shows almost-full-on (option-le P) A⊥ (is almost-full-on ?P ?A)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

### 7.3 Disjoint Union of Almost-Full Sets

```

fun
  sum-le :: ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('b ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a + 'b ⇒ 'a + 'b ⇒ bool
where
  sum-le P Q (Inl x) (Inl y) = P x y |
  sum-le P Q (Inr x) (Inr y) = Q x y |
  sum-le P Q x y = False

lemma not-sum-le-cases:
  assumes ¬ sum-le P Q a b
  and ⋀x y. [a = Inl x; b = Inl y; ¬ P x y] ⇒ thesis
  and ⋀x y. [a = Inr x; b = Inr y; ¬ Q x y] ⇒ thesis
  and ⋀x y. [a = Inl x; b = Inr y] ⇒ thesis
  and ⋀x y. [a = Inr x; b = Inl y] ⇒ thesis
  shows thesis
  ⟨proof⟩

```

When two sets are almost-full, then their disjoint sum is almost-full.

```

lemma almost-full-on-Plus:
  assumes almost-full-on P A and almost-full-on Q B
  shows almost-full-on (sum-le P Q) (A <+> B) (is almost-full-on ?P ?A)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

### 7.4 Dickson's Lemma for Almost-Full Relations

When two sets are almost-full, then their Cartesian product is almost-full.

```

definition
  prod-le :: ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('b ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a × 'b ⇒ 'a × 'b ⇒ bool
where
  prod-le P1 P2 = (λ(p1, p2) (q1, q2). P1 p1 q1 ∧ P2 p2 q2)

```

```

lemma prod-le-True [simp]:
  prod-le P (λ- -. True) a b = P (fst a) (fst b)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma almost-full-on-Sigma:
  assumes almost-full-on P1 A1 and almost-full-on P2 A2
  shows almost-full-on (prod-le P1 P2) (A1 × A2) (is almost-full-on ?P ?A)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

## 7.5 Higman's Lemma for Almost-Full Relations

```
lemma almost-full-on-lists:  
  assumes almost-full-on P A  
  shows almost-full-on (list-emb P) (lists A) (is almost-full-on ?P ?A)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

## 7.6 Natural Numbers

```
lemma almost-full-on-UNIV-nat:  
  almost-full-on ( $\leq$ ) (UNIV :: nat set)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
end
```

# 8 Well-Quasi-Orders

```
theory Well-Quasi-Orders  
imports Almost-Full-Relations  
begin
```

## 8.1 Basic Definitions

```
definition wqo-on :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  bool where  
  wqo-on P A  $\longleftrightarrow$  transp-on A P  $\wedge$  almost-full-on P A
```

```
lemma wqo-on-UNIV:  
  wqo-on ( $\lambda$ - -. True) UNIV  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma wqo-onI [Pure.intro]:  
  [ $\llbracket$ transp-on A P; almost-full-on P A $\rrbracket \implies$  wqo-on P A  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma wqo-on-imp-reflp-on:  
  wqo-on P A  $\implies$  reflp-on A P  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma wqo-on-imp-transp-on:  
  wqo-on P A  $\implies$  transp-on A P  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma wqo-on-imp-almost-full-on:  
  wqo-on P A  $\implies$  almost-full-on P A  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma wqo-on-imp-qo-on:
```

*wqo-on P A  $\implies$  qo-on P A*  
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *wqo-on-imp-good:*  
*wqo-on P A  $\implies \forall i. f i \in A \implies \text{good } P f$*   
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *wqo-on-subset:*  
 *$A \subseteq B \implies \text{wqo-on } P B \implies \text{wqo-on } P A$*   
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

## 8.2 Equivalent Definitions

Given a quasi-order  $P$ , the following statements are equivalent:

1.  $P$  is almost-full.
2.  $P$  does neither allow decreasing chains nor antichains.
3. Every quasi-order extending  $P$  is well-founded.

**lemma** *wqo-af-conv:*  
**assumes** *qo-on P A*  
**shows** *wqo-on P A  $\longleftrightarrow$  almost-full-on P A*  
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *wqo-wf-and-no-antichain-conv:*  
**assumes** *qo-on P A*  
**shows** *wqo-on P A  $\longleftrightarrow$  wfp-on (strict P) A  $\wedge \neg (\exists f. \text{antichain-on } P f A)$*   
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *wqo-extensions-wf-conv:*  
**assumes** *qo-on P A*  
**shows** *wqo-on P A  $\longleftrightarrow (\forall Q. (\forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. P x y \longrightarrow Q x y) \wedge \text{qo-on } Q A \longrightarrow \text{wfp-on (strict } Q) A)$*   
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *wqo-on-imp-wfp-on:*  
*wqo-on P A  $\implies$  wfp-on (strict P) A*  
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

The homomorphic image of a wqo set is wqo.

**lemma** *wqo-on-hom:*  
**assumes** *transp-on ( $h^A$ ) Q*  
**and**  *$\forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. P x y \longrightarrow Q (h x) (h y)$*   
**and** *wqo-on P A*  
**shows** *wqo-on Q ( $h^A$ )*  
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

The monomorphic preimage of a wqo set is wqo.

```

lemma wqo-on-mon:
  assumes *:  $\forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. P x y \longleftrightarrow Q (h x) (h y)$ 
  and bij: bij-betw  $h A B$ 
  and wqo: wqo-on  $Q B$ 
  shows wqo-on  $P A$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

### 8.3 A Type Class for Well-Quasi-Orders

In a well-quasi-order (wqo) every infinite sequence is good.

```

class wqo = preorder +
  assumes good: good ( $\leq$ ) f

```

```

lemma wqo-on-class [simp, intro]:
  wqo-on ( $\leq$ ) (UNIV :: ('a :: wqo) set)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma wqo-on-UNIV-class-wqo [intro!]:
  wqo-on  $P$  UNIV  $\implies$  class.wqo  $P$  (strict  $P$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

```

The following lemma converts between *wqo-on* (for the special case that the domain is the universe of a type) and the class predicate *class.wqo*.

```

lemma wqo-on-UNIV-conv:
  wqo-on  $P$  UNIV  $\longleftrightarrow$  class.wqo  $P$  (strict  $P$ ) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

The strict part of a wqo is well-founded.

```

lemma (in wqo) wfP (<)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma wqo-on-with-bot:
  assumes wqo-on  $P A$ 
  shows wqo-on (option-le  $P$ )  $A_\perp$  (is wqo-on ?P ?A)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma wqo-on-option-UNIV [intro]:
  wqo-on  $P$  UNIV  $\implies$  wqo-on (option-le  $P$ ) UNIV
  ⟨proof⟩

```

When two sets are wqo, then their disjoint sum is wqo.

```

lemma wqo-on-Plus:
  assumes wqo-on  $P A$  and wqo-on  $Q B$ 
  shows wqo-on (sum-le  $P Q$ ) ( $A <+> B$ ) (is wqo-on ?P ?A)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma wqo-on-sum-UNIV [intro]:
  wqo-on  $P$  UNIV  $\implies$  wqo-on  $Q$  UNIV  $\implies$  wqo-on (sum-le  $P Q$ ) UNIV
  ⟨proof⟩

```

## 8.4 Dickson's Lemma

```
lemma wqo-on-Sigma:  
  fixes A1 :: 'a set and A2 :: 'b set  
  assumes wqo-on P1 A1 and wqo-on P2 A2  
  shows wqo-on (prod-le P1 P2) (A1 × A2) (is wqo-on ?P ?A)  
(proof)
```

```
lemmas dickson = wqo-on-Sigma
```

```
lemma wqo-on-prod-UNIV [intro]:  
  wqo-on P UNIV ==> wqo-on Q UNIV ==> wqo-on (prod-le P Q) UNIV  
(proof)
```

## 8.5 Higman's Lemma

```
lemma transp-on-list-emb:  
  assumes transp-on A P  
  shows transp-on (lists A) (list-emb P)  
(proof)
```

```
lemma wqo-on-lists:  
  assumes wqo-on P A shows wqo-on (list-emb P) (lists A)  
(proof)
```

```
lemmas higman = wqo-on-lists
```

```
lemma wqo-on-list-UNIV [intro]:  
  wqo-on P UNIV ==> wqo-on (list-emb P) UNIV  
(proof)
```

Every reflexive and transitive relation on a finite set is a wqo.

```
lemma finite-wqo-on:  
  assumes finite A and refl: reflp-on A P and transp-on A P  
  shows wqo-on P A  
(proof)
```

```
lemma finite-eq-wqo-on:  
  assumes finite A  
  shows wqo-on (=) A  
(proof)
```

```
lemma wqo-on-lists-over-finite-sets:  
  wqo-on (list-emb (=)) (UNIV::('a::finite) list set)  
(proof)
```

```
lemma wqo-on-map:  
  fixes P and Q and h  
  defines P' ≡ λx y. P x y ∧ Q (h x) (h y)  
  assumes wqo-on P A
```

```

and wqo-on Q B
and subset: h ` A ⊆ B
shows wqo-on P' A
⟨proof⟩

lemma wqo-on-UNIV-nat:
  wqo-on (≤) (UNIV :: nat set)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 9 Kruskal's Tree Theorem

```

theory Kruskal
imports Well-Quasi-Orders
begin

locale kruskal-tree =
  fixes F :: ('b × nat) set
  and mk :: 'b ⇒ 'a list ⇒ ('a::size)
  and root :: 'a ⇒ 'b × nat
  and args :: 'a ⇒ 'a list
  and trees :: 'a set
  assumes size-arg: t ∈ trees ⇒ s ∈ set (args t) ⇒ size s < size t
  and root-mk: (f, length ts) ∈ F ⇒ root (mk f ts) = (f, length ts)
  and args-mk: (f, length ts) ∈ F ⇒ args (mk f ts) = ts
  and mk-root-args: t ∈ trees ⇒ mk (fst (root t)) (args t) = t
  and trees-root: t ∈ trees ⇒ root t ∈ F
  and trees-arity: t ∈ trees ⇒ length (args t) = snd (root t)
  and trees-args: ∀s. t ∈ trees ⇒ s ∈ set (args t) ⇒ s ∈ trees
begin

lemma mk-inject [iff]:
  assumes (f, length ss) ∈ F and (g, length ts) ∈ F
  shows mk f ss = mk g ts ⇔ f = g ∧ ss = ts
  ⟨proof⟩

inductive emb for P
where
  arg: [(f, m) ∈ F; length ts = m; ∀t ∈ set ts. t ∈ trees;
    t ∈ set ts; emb P s t] ⇒ emb P s (mk f ts) |
  list-emb: [(f, m) ∈ F; (g, n) ∈ F; length ss = m; length ts = n;
    ∀s ∈ set ss. s ∈ trees; ∀t ∈ set ts. t ∈ trees;
    P (f, m) (g, n); list-emb (emb P) ss ts] ⇒ emb P (mk f ss) (mk g ts)
monos list-emb-mono

lemma almost-full-on-trees:
  assumes almost-full-on P F
  shows almost-full-on (emb P) trees (is almost-full-on ?P ?A)

```

```

⟨proof⟩

inductive-cases
  emb-mk2 [consumes 1, case-names arg list-emb]: emb P s (mk g ts)

inductive-cases
  list-emb-Nil2-cases: list-emb P xs [] and
  list-emb-Cons-cases: list-emb P xs (y#ys)

lemma list-emb-trans-right:
  assumes list-emb P xs ys and list-emb (λy z. P y z ∧ (forall x. P x y —> P x z)) ys
zs
  shows list-emb P xs zs
⟨proof⟩

lemma emb-trans:
  assumes trans: ∏f g h. f ∈ F —> g ∈ F —> h ∈ F —> P f g —> P g h —> P f h
  assumes emb P s t and emb P t u
  shows emb P s u
⟨proof⟩

lemma transp-on-emb:
  assumes transp-on F P
  shows transp-on trees (emb P)
⟨proof⟩

lemma kruskal:
  assumes wqo-on P F
  shows wqo-on (emb P) trees
⟨proof⟩

end

end
theory Kruskal-Examples
imports Kruskal
begin

datatype 'a tree = Node 'a 'a tree list

fun node
where
  node (Node f ts) = (f, length ts)

fun succs
where
  succs (Node f ts) = ts

```

```

inductive-set trees for A
where
  f ∈ A ⇒ ∀ t ∈ set ts. t ∈ trees A ⇒ Node f ts ∈ trees A

lemma [simp]:
  trees UNIV = UNIV
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation kruskal-tree-tree: kruskal-tree A × UNIV Node node succs trees A
for A
  ⟨proof⟩

thm kruskal-tree-tree.almost-full-on-trees
thm kruskal-tree-tree.kruskal

definition tree-emb A P = kruskal-tree-tree.emb A (prod-le P (λ- -. True))

lemma wqo-on-trees:
assumes wqo-on P A
shows wqo-on (tree-emb A P) (trees A)
  ⟨proof⟩

If the type 'a' is well-quasi-ordered by P, then trees of type 'a tree' are well-quasi-ordered by the homeomorphic embedding relation.

instantiation tree :: (wqo) wqo
begin
  definition s ≤ t ↔ tree-emb UNIV (≤) s t
  definition (s :: 'a tree) < t ↔ s ≤ t ∧ ¬(t ≤ s)

  instance
    ⟨proof⟩
  end

datatype ('f, 'v) term = Var 'v | Fun 'f ('f, 'v) term list

fun root
where
  root (Fun f ts) = (f, length ts)

fun args
where
  args (Fun f ts) = ts

inductive-set gterms for F
where
  (f, n) ∈ F ⇒ length ts = n ⇒ ∀ s ∈ set ts. s ∈ gterms F ⇒ Fun f ts ∈ gterms F

interpretation kruskal-term: kruskal-tree F Fun root args gterms F for F

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**thm** *kruskal-term.almost-full-on-trees*

**inductive-set** *terms*

**where**

$\forall t \in \text{set } ts. t \in \text{terms} \implies \text{Fun } f \text{ ts} \in \text{terms}$

**interpretation** *kruskal-variadic: kruskal-tree UNIV Fun root args terms*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**thm** *kruskal-variadic.almost-full-on-trees*

**datatype** *'a exp = V 'a | C nat | Plus 'a exp 'a exp*

**datatype** *'a symb = v 'a | c nat | p*

**fun** *mk*

**where**

$mk (v x) [] = V x |$

$mk (c n) [] = C n |$

$mk p [a, b] = Plus a b$

**fun** *rt*

**where**

$rt (V x) = (v x, 0::nat) |$

$rt (C n) = (c n, 0) |$

$rt (Plus a b) = (p, 2)$

**fun** *ags*

**where**

$ags (V x) = [] |$

$ags (C n) = [] |$

$ags (Plus a b) = [a, b]$

**inductive-set** *exp*s

**where**

$V x \in \text{exp} |$

$C n \in \text{exp} |$

$a \in \text{exp} \implies b \in \text{exp} \implies Plus a b \in \text{exp}$

**lemma** [*simp*]:

**assumes** *length ts = 2*

**shows** *rt (mk p ts) = (p, 2)*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** [*simp*]:

**assumes** *length ts = 2*

**shows** *ags (mk p ts) = ts*

```

⟨proof⟩

interpretation kruskal-exp: kruskal-tree
  { $(v\ x,\ 0) \mid x.\ True$ }  $\cup$  { $(c\ n,\ 0) \mid n.\ True$ }  $\cup$  { $(p,\ 2)$ }
  mk rt ags exps
⟨proof⟩

```

```

thm kruskal-exp.almost-full-on-trees

hide-const (open) tree-emb V C Plus v c p

end

```

## 10 Instances of Well-Quasi-Orders

```

theory Wqo-Instances
imports Kruskal
begin

```

```

10.1 The Option Type is Well-Quasi-Ordered

instantiation option :: (wqo) wqo
begin
definition  $x \leq y \longleftrightarrow \text{option-le } (\leq) \ x\ y$ 
definition  $(x :: 'a \text{ option}) < y \longleftrightarrow x \leq y \wedge \neg(y \leq x)$ 

instance
  ⟨proof⟩
end

```

### 10.2 The Sum Type is Well-Quasi-Ordered

```

instantiation sum :: (wqo, wqo) wqo
begin
definition  $x \leq y \longleftrightarrow \text{sum-le } (\leq) \ (\leq) \ x\ y$ 
definition  $(x :: 'a + 'b) < y \longleftrightarrow x \leq y \wedge \neg(y \leq x)$ 

instance
  ⟨proof⟩
end

```

### 10.3 Pairs are Well-Quasi-Ordered

If types '*a*' and '*b*' are well-quasi-ordered by *P* and *Q*, then pairs of type '*a*  $\times$  '*b*' are well-quasi-ordered by the pointwise combination of *P* and *Q*.

```

instantiation prod :: (wqo, wqo) wqo
begin
definition  $p \leq q \longleftrightarrow \text{prod-le } (\leq) \ (\leq) \ p\ q$ 

```

```
definition (p :: 'a × 'b) < q  $\longleftrightarrow$  p ≤ q  $\wedge \neg (q \leq p)$ 
```

```
instance  
  ⟨proof⟩  
end
```

#### 10.4 Lists are Well-Quasi-Ordered

If the type '*a*' is well-quasi-ordered by *P*, then lists of type '*a list*' are well-quasi-ordered by the homeomorphic embedding relation.

```
instantiation list :: (wqo) wqo  
begin  
  definition xs ≤ ys  $\longleftrightarrow$  list-emb (≤) xs ys  
  definition (xs :: 'a list) < ys  $\longleftrightarrow$  xs ≤ ys  $\wedge \neg (ys \leq xs)$   
  
  instance  
    ⟨proof⟩  
  end  
  
end
```

### 11 Multiset Extension of Orders (as Binary Predicates)

```
theory Multiset-Extension
imports
  Open-Induction.Restricted-Predicates
  HOL-Library.Multiset
begin

  definition multisets :: 'a set ⇒ 'a multiset set where
    multisets A = {M. set-mset M ⊆ A}

  lemma in-multisets-iff:
    M ∈ multisets A  $\longleftrightarrow$  set-mset M ⊆ A
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma empty-multisets [simp]:
    {} ∈ multisets F
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma multisets-union [simp]:
    M ∈ multisets A  $\Longrightarrow$  N ∈ multisets A  $\Longrightarrow$  M + N ∈ multisets A
    ⟨proof⟩

  definition mulex1 :: ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a multiset ⇒ 'a multiset ⇒ bool where
    mulex1 P = (λM N. (M, N) ∈ mult1 {(x, y). P x y})
```

```

lemma mulex1-empty [iff]:
  mulex1 P M {#}  $\longleftrightarrow$  False
  {proof}

lemma mulex1-add: mulex1 P N (M0 + {#a#})  $\implies$ 
  ( $\exists M.$  mulex1 P M M0  $\wedge$  N = M + {#a#})  $\vee$ 
  ( $\exists K.$  ( $\forall b.$  b  $\in\#$  K  $\longrightarrow$  P b a)  $\wedge$  N = M0 + K)
  {proof}

lemma mulex1-self-add-right [simp]:
  mulex1 P A (add-mset a A)
  {proof}

lemma empty-mult1 [simp]:
  ({#}, {#a#})  $\in$  mult1 R
  {proof}

lemma empty-mulex1 [simp]:
  mulex1 P {#} {#a#}
  {proof}

definition mulex-on :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a multiset  $\Rightarrow$  'a multiset  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  mulex-on P A = (restrict-to (mulex1 P) (multisets A))++

abbreviation mulex :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a multiset  $\Rightarrow$  'a multiset  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  mulex P  $\equiv$  mulex-on P UNIV

lemma mulex-on-induct [consumes 1, case-names base step, induct pred: mulex-on]:
assumes mulex-on P A M N
  and  $\bigwedge M N.$  [M  $\in$  multisets A; N  $\in$  multisets A; mulex1 P M N]  $\implies$  Q M N
  and  $\bigwedge L M N.$  [mulex-on P A L M; Q L M; N  $\in$  multisets A; mulex1 P M N]
 $\implies$  Q L N
shows Q M N
{proof}

lemma mulex-on-self-add-singleton-right [simp]:
assumes a  $\in$  A and M  $\in$  multisets A
shows mulex-on P A M (add-mset a M)
{proof}

lemma singleton-multisets [iff]:
  {#x#}  $\in$  multisets A  $\longleftrightarrow$  x  $\in$  A
  {proof}

lemma union-multisetsD:
assumes M + N  $\in$  multisets A
shows M  $\in$  multisets A  $\wedge$  N  $\in$  multisets A

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mulex-on-multisetsD* [*dest*]:  
  **assumes** *mulex-on P F M N*  
  **shows** *M ∈ multisets F and N ∈ multisets F*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *union-multisets-iff* [*iff*]:  
  *M + N ∈ multisets A ↔ M ∈ multisets A ∧ N ∈ multisets A*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *add-mset-multisets-iff* [*iff*]:  
  *add-mset a M ∈ multisets A ↔ a ∈ A ∧ M ∈ multisets A*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mulex-on-trans*:  
  *mulex-on P A L M ⇒ mulex-on P A M N ⇒ mulex-on P A L N*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *transp-on-mulex-on*:  
  *transp-on B (mulex-on P A)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mulex-on-add-right* [*simp*]:  
  **assumes** *mulex-on P A M N and a ∈ A*  
  **shows** *mulex-on P A M (add-mset a N)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *empty-mulex-on* [*simp*]:  
  **assumes** *M ≠ {#} and M ∈ multisets A*  
  **shows** *mulex-on P A {#} M*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mulex-on-self-add-right* [*simp*]:  
  **assumes** *M ∈ multisets A and K ∈ multisets A and K ≠ {#}*  
  **shows** *mulex-on P A M (M + K)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult1-singleton* [*iff*]:  
  *({#x#}, {#y#}) ∈ mult1 R ↔ (x, y) ∈ R*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mulex1-singleton* [*iff*]:  
  *mulex1 P {#x#} {#y#} ↔ P x y*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *singleton-mulex-onI*:  
  *P x y ⇒ x ∈ A ⇒ y ∈ A ⇒ mulex-on P A {#x#} {#y#}*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *reflclp-mulex-on-add-right* [*simp*]:  
**assumes**  $(\text{mulex-on } P A) == M N$  **and**  $M \in \text{multisets } A$  **and**  $a \in A$   
**shows**  $\text{mulex-on } P A M (N + \{\#a\#})$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *reflclp-mulex-on-add-right'* [*simp*]:  
**assumes**  $(\text{mulex-on } P A) == M N$  **and**  $M \in \text{multisets } A$  **and**  $a \in A$   
**shows**  $\text{mulex-on } P A M (\{\#a\#} + N)$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *mulex-on-union-right* [*simp*]:  
**assumes**  $\text{mulex-on } P F A B$  **and**  $K \in \text{multisets } F$   
**shows**  $\text{mulex-on } P F A (K + B)$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *mulex-on-union-right'* [*simp*]:  
**assumes**  $\text{mulex-on } P F A B$  **and**  $K \in \text{multisets } F$   
**shows**  $\text{mulex-on } P F A (B + K)$   
*{proof}*

Adapted from  $wf ?r \implies \forall M. M \in \text{Wellfounded.acc} (\text{mult1 } ?r)$  in *HOL-Library.Multiset*.

**lemma** *accessible-on-mulex1-multisets*:  
**assumes**  $wf: wfp\text{-on } P A$   
**shows**  $\forall M \in \text{multisets } A. \text{accessible-on } (\text{mulex1 } P) (\text{multisets } A) M$   
*{proof}*

**lemmas** *wfp-on-mulex1-multisets* =  
*accessible-on-mulex1-multisets* [*THEN accessible-on-imp-wfp-on*]

**lemmas** *irreflp-on-mulex1* =  
*wfp-on-mulex1-multisets* [*THEN wfp-on-imp-irreflp-on*]

**lemma** *wfp-on-mulex-on-multisets*:  
**assumes**  $wfp\text{-on } P A$   
**shows**  $wfp\text{-on } (\text{mulex-on } P A) (\text{multisets } A)$   
*{proof}*

**lemmas** *irreflp-on-mulex-on* =  
*wfp-on-mulex-on-multisets* [*THEN wfp-on-imp-irreflp-on*]

**lemma** *mulex1-union*:  
 $\text{mulex1 } P M N \implies \text{mulex1 } P (K + M) (K + N)$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *mulex-on-union*:  
**assumes**  $\text{mulex-on } P A M N$  **and**  $K \in \text{multisets } A$   
**shows**  $\text{mulex-on } P A (K + M) (K + N)$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *mulex-on-union'*:

**assumes** *mulex-on P A M N and K ∈ multisets A*

**shows** *mulex-on P A (M + K) (N + K)*

*{proof}*

**lemma** *mulex-on-add-mset*:

**assumes** *mulex-on P A M N and m ∈ A*

**shows** *mulex-on P A (add-mset m M) (add-mset m N)*

*{proof}*

**lemma** *union-mulex-on-mono*:

*mulex-on P F A C ⇒ mulex-on P F B D ⇒ mulex-on P F (A + B) (C + D)*

*{proof}*

**lemma** *mulex-on-add-mset'*:

**assumes** *P m n and m ∈ A and n ∈ A and M ∈ multisets A*

**shows** *mulex-on P A (add-mset m M) (add-mset n M)*

*{proof}*

**lemma** *mulex-on-add-mset-mono*:

**assumes** *P m n and m ∈ A and n ∈ A and mulex-on P A M N*

**shows** *mulex-on P A (add-mset m M) (add-mset n N)*

*{proof}*

**lemma** *union-mulex-on-mono1*:

*A ∈ multisets F ⇒ (mulex-on P F) == A C ⇒ mulex-on P F B D ⇒*

*mulex-on P F (A + B) (C + D)*

*{proof}*

**lemma** *union-mulex-on-mono2*:

*B ∈ multisets F ⇒ mulex-on P F A C ⇒ (mulex-on P F) == B D ⇒*

*mulex-on P F (A + B) (C + D)*

*{proof}*

**lemma** *mult1-mono*:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge x y. \llbracket x \in A; y \in A; (x, y) \in R \rrbracket \Rightarrow (x, y) \in S$

**and**  $M \in \text{multisets } A$

**and**  $N \in \text{multisets } A$

**and**  $(M, N) \in \text{mult1 } R$

**shows**  $(M, N) \in \text{mult1 } S$

*{proof}*

**lemma** *mulex1-mono*:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge x y. \llbracket x \in A; y \in A; P x y \rrbracket \Rightarrow Q x y$

**and**  $M \in \text{multisets } A$

**and**  $N \in \text{multisets } A$

**and**  $\text{mulex1 } P M N$

**shows**  $\text{mulex1 } Q M N$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mulex-on-mono*:

**assumes**  $*: \bigwedge x y. [\![x \in A; y \in A; P x y]\!] \implies Q x y$   
**and** *mulex-on*  $P A M N$   
**shows** *mulex-on*  $Q A M N$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult1-refcl*:

**assumes**  $(M, N) \in mult1 R$   
**shows**  $(M, N) \in mult1 (R^=)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mulex1-refclp*:

**assumes** *mulex1*  $P M N$   
**shows** *mulex1*  $(P^{==}) M N$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mulex-on-refclp*:

**assumes** *mulex-on*  $P A M N$   
**shows** *mulex-on*  $(P^{==}) A M N$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *surj-on-multisets-mset*:

$\forall M \in multisets A. \exists xs \in lists A. M = mset xs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *image-mset-lists [simp]*:

$mset ` lists A = multisets A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *multisets-UNIV [simp]*:  $multisets UNIV = UNIV$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *non-empty-multiset-induct [consumes 1, case-names singleton add]*:

**assumes**  $M \neq \{\#\}$   
**and**  $\bigwedge x. P \{\#x\# \}$   
**and**  $\bigwedge x M. P M \implies P (\text{add-mset } x M)$   
**shows**  $P M$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mulex-on-all-strict*:

**assumes**  $X \neq \{\#\}$   
**assumes**  $X \in multisets A$  **and**  $Y \in multisets A$   
**and**  $*: \forall y. y \in \# Y \longrightarrow (\exists x. x \in \# X \wedge P y x)$   
**shows** *mulex-on*  $P A Y X$

$\langle proof \rangle$

The following lemma shows that the textbook definition (e.g., “Term Rewrit-

ing and All That") is the same as the one used below.

**lemma** *diff-set-Ex-iff*:

$X \neq \{\#\} \wedge X \subseteq \# M \wedge N = (M - X) + Y \longleftrightarrow X \neq \{\#\} \wedge (\exists Z. M = Z + X \wedge N = Z + Y)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Show that *mulex-on* is equivalent to the textbook definition of multiset-extension for transitive base orders.

**lemma** *mulex-on-alt-def*:

**assumes** *trans: transp-on A P*

**shows** *mulex-on P A M N  $\longleftrightarrow$  M ∈ multisets A  $\wedge$  N ∈ multisets A  $\wedge$  ( $\exists X Y Z.$*

$X \neq \{\#\} \wedge N = Z + X \wedge M = Z + Y \wedge (\forall y. y \in \# Y \longrightarrow (\exists x. x \in \# X \wedge P y x))$

$\text{(is } ?P M N \longleftrightarrow ?Q M N\text{)}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

## 12 Multiset Extension Preserves Well-Quasi-Orders

**theory** *Wqo-Multiset*

**imports**

*Multiset-Extension*

*Well-Quasi-Orders*

**begin**

**lemma** *list-emb-imp-reflclp-mulex-on*:

**assumes** *xs ∈ lists A and ys ∈ lists A*

**and** *list-emb P xs ys*

**shows** *(mulex-on P A) == (mset xs) (mset ys)*

$\langle proof \rangle$

The (reflexive closure of the) multiset extension of an almost-full relation is almost-full.

**lemma** *almost-full-on-multisets*:

**assumes** *almost-full-on P A*

**shows** *almost-full-on (mulex-on P A) == (multisets A)*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *wqo-on-multisets*:

**assumes** *wqo-on P A*

**shows** *wqo-on (mulex-on P A) == (multisets A)*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

## References

- [1] C. S. J. A. Nash-Williams. On well-quasi-ordering finite trees. *Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 59(4):833–835, 1963.  
[doi:10.1017/S0305004100003844](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004100003844).