

A Formalization of Weighted Path Orders and Recursive Path Orders*

Christian Sternagel René Thiemann Akihisa Yamada

March 17, 2025

Abstract

We define the weighted path order (WPO) and formalize several properties such as strong normalization, the subterm property, and closure properties under substitutions and contexts. Our definition of WPO extends the original definition by also permitting multiset comparisons of arguments instead of just lexicographic extensions. Therefore, our WPO not only subsumes lexicographic path orders (LPO), but also recursive path orders (RPO). We formally prove these subsumptions and therefore all of the mentioned properties of WPO are automatically transferable to LPO and RPO as well. Such a transformation is not required for Knuth–Bendix orders (KBO), since they have already been formalized. Nevertheless, we still provide a proof that WPO subsumes KBO and thereby underline the generality of WPO.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Preliminaries	3
2.1	Status functions	3
2.2	Precedence	4
2.3	Local versions of relations	5
2.4	Interface for extending an order pair on lists	11
3	Multiset extension of an order pair	11
3.1	Pointwise multiset order	13
3.2	Multiset extension for order pairs via the pointwise order and <i>mult</i>	17
3.3	One-step versions of the multiset extensions	19
3.4	Cancellation	21

*Supported by FWF (Austrian Science Fund) projects P27502 and Y757.

3.5	Implementation friendly versions of <i>mult2-s</i> and <i>mult2-ns</i> . . .	22
3.6	Local well-foundedness: restriction to downward closure of a set	24
3.7	Trivial cases	27
3.8	Executable version	30
4	Multiset extension of order pairs in the other direction	34
4.1	List based characterization of <i>multpw</i>	35
4.2	Definition of the multiset extension of $>$ -orders	35
4.3	Basic properties	36
4.4	Multisets as order on lists	53
4.5	Special case: non-strict order is equality	55
4.6	Executable version	57
5	The Weighted Path Order	61
6	The Recursive Path Order as an instance of WPO	100
7	The Lexicographic Path Order as an instance of WPO	103
8	The Knuth–Bendix Order as an instance of WPO	106
8.1	Aligning least elements	106
8.2	A restricted equality between KBO and WPO	109
9	Executability of the orders	118

1 Introduction

Path orders are well-founded orders on terms that are useful for automated deduction, e.g., for termination proving of term rewrite systems or for completion-based theorem provers. Well-known path orders are the lexicographic path order (LPO) [3], the recursive path order (RPO) [2], and the Knuth–Bendix order (KBO) [4], and all of these orders are presented in a standard textbook on term rewriting [1, Chapter 5].

Whereas the mentioned path orders date back to the last century, the weighted path order (WPO) has only recently been presented [9, 10]. It has two nice properties. First, the search for suitable parameters is feasible and tools like NaTT and TTT2 implement it. Second, WPO is quite powerful and versatile: in fact, KBO and LPO are just instances of WPO. Moreover, with a slight extension of WPO (adding multiset-comparisons) also RPO is covered.

This AFP-entry provides a full formalization of WPO and also the connection to KBO, LPO, and RPO. Here, for the existing formal version of KBO [5, 6] it is just proven that WPO can simulate it by choosing suitable

parameters, whereas LPO and RPO are defined from scratch and many properties of LPO and RPO—such as strong normalization, closure under contexts and substitutions, transitivity, etc.—are derived from the corresponding WPO properties.

Note that most of the WPO formalization is described in [8]. The formal version deviates from the paper version only by the additional possibility to perform multiset-comparisons instead of lexicographic comparisons within WPO. The formal version of LPO and RPO extend their original definitions as well: the RPO definition is taken from [7], and LPO is defined as this extended RPO where always lexicographic comparisons are performed when comparing lists of terms. The formalization of multiset-comparisons (w.r.t. two orders) is described in more detail in [7].

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Status functions

A status function assigns to each n-ary symbol a list of indices between 0 and n-1. These functions are encapsulated into a separate type, so that recursion on the i-th subterm does not have to perform out-of-bounds checks (e.g., to ensure termination).

theory *Status*

imports

First-Order-Terms.Term

begin

typedef *'f status* = { ($\sigma :: 'f \times \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat list}$). ($\forall f k. \text{set } (\sigma (f, k)) \subseteq \{0 ..< k\}$)}

morphisms *status Abs-status*

by (*rule exI[of - $\lambda -. []$] auto*)

setup-lifting *type-definition-status*

lemma *status: set (status $\sigma (f, n)) \subseteq \{0 ..< n\}$*

by (*transfer*) *auto*

lemma *status-aux[termination-simp]: $i \in \text{set } (\text{status } \sigma (f, \text{length } ss)) \implies ss ! i \in \text{set } ss$*

using *status[of $\sigma f \text{length } ss$] unfolding set-conv-nth by force*

lemma *status-termination-simps[termination-simp]:*

assumes *i1: $i < \text{length } (\text{status } \sigma (f, \text{length } xs))$*

shows *size (xs ! (status $\sigma (f, \text{length } xs) ! i)) < \text{Suc } (\text{size-list size } xs)$ (**is** *?a < ?c*)*

proof –

from *i1 have status $\sigma (f, \text{length } xs) ! i \in \text{set } (\text{status } \sigma (f, \text{length } xs))$ by auto*

from *status-aux*[*OF this*] **have** $?a \leq \text{size-list size } xs$ **by** (*auto simp: termination-simp*)
then show *?thesis* **by auto**
qed

lemma *status-ne*:
 $\text{status } \sigma (f, n) \neq [] \implies \exists i < n. i \in \text{set } (\text{status } \sigma (f, n))$
using *status [of $\sigma f n$]*
by (*meson atLeastLessThan-iff set-empty subsetCE subsetI subset-empty*)

lemma *set-status-nth*:
 $\text{length } xs = n \implies i \in \text{set } (\text{status } \sigma (f, n)) \implies i < \text{length } xs \wedge xs ! i \in \text{set } xs$
using *status [of $\sigma f n$]* **by force**

lift-definition *full-status* :: $'f \text{ status is } \lambda (f, n). [0 ..< n]$ **by auto**

lemma *full-status[simp]*: $\text{status full-status } (f, n) = [0 ..< n]$
by transfer auto

An argument position i is simple wrt. some term relation, if the i -th subterm is in relation to the full term.

definition *simple-arg-pos* :: $('f, 'v) \text{ term rel} \Rightarrow 'f \times \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{simple-arg-pos rel } f \ i \equiv \forall ts. i < \text{snd } f \longrightarrow \text{length } ts = \text{snd } f \longrightarrow (\text{Fun } (fst \ f) \ ts, ts ! i) \in \text{rel}$

lemma *simple-arg-posI*: $\llbracket \bigwedge ts. \text{length } ts = n \implies i < n \implies (\text{Fun } f \ ts, ts ! i) \in \text{rel} \rrbracket \implies \text{simple-arg-pos rel } (f, n) \ i$
unfolding *simple-arg-pos-def* **by auto**

end

2.2 Precedence

A precedence consists of two compatible relations (strict and non-strict) on symbols such that the strict relation is strongly normalizing. In the formalization we model this via a function "prc" (precedence-compare) which returns two Booleans, indicating whether the one symbol is strictly or weakly bigger than the other symbol. Moreover, there also is a function "prl" (precedence-least) which gives quick access to whether a symbol is least in precedence, i.e., without comparing it to all other symbols explicitly.

theory *Precedence*
imports
Abstract-Rewriting.Abstract-Rewriting
begin

locale *irrefl-precedence* =
fixes *prc* :: $'f \Rightarrow 'f \Rightarrow \text{bool} \times \text{bool}$
and *prl* :: $'f \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

```

assumes prc-refl:  $prc\ f\ f = (False, True)$ 
and prc-stri-imp-nstri:  $fst\ (prc\ f\ g) \implies snd\ (prc\ f\ g)$ 
and prl:  $prl\ g \implies snd\ (prc\ f\ g) = True$ 
and prl3:  $prl\ f \implies snd\ (prc\ f\ g) \implies prl\ g$ 
and prc-compat:  $prc\ f\ g = (s1, ns1) \implies prc\ g\ h = (s2, ns2) \implies prc\ f\ h = (s,$ 
 $ns) \implies$ 
 $(ns1 \wedge ns2 \longrightarrow ns) \wedge (ns1 \wedge s2 \longrightarrow s) \wedge (s1 \wedge ns2 \longrightarrow s)$ 
begin
lemma prl2:
assumes g: prl g shows  $fst\ (prc\ g\ f) = False$ 
proof (rule ccontr)
assume  $\neg\ ?thesis$ 
then obtain b where  $gf: prc\ g\ f = (True, b)$  by (cases prc g f, auto)
obtain b1 b2 where  $gg: prc\ g\ g = (b1, b2)$  by force
obtain b' where  $fg: prc\ f\ g = (b', True)$  using prl[OF g, of f] by (cases prc f
 $g, auto$ )
from prc-compat[OF gf fg gg] gg have  $gg: fst\ (prc\ g\ g)$  by auto
with prc-refl[of g] show  $False$  by auto
qed

```

abbreviation $pr \equiv (prc, prl)$

end

```

locale precedence = irrefl-precedence +
constrains prc ::  $'f \Rightarrow 'f \Rightarrow bool \times bool$ 
and prl ::  $'f \Rightarrow bool$ 
assumes prc-SN:  $SN\ \{(f, g). fst\ (prc\ f\ g)\}$ 

```

end

2.3 Local versions of relations

theory *Relations*

imports

HOL-Library.Multiset

Abstract-Rewriting.Abstract-Rewriting

begin

Common predicates on relations

definition *compatible-l* :: $'a\ rel \Rightarrow 'a\ rel \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $compatible-l\ R1\ R2 \equiv R1\ O\ R2 \subseteq R2$

definition *compatible-r* :: $'a\ rel \Rightarrow 'a\ rel \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $compatible-r\ R1\ R2 \equiv R2\ O\ R1 \subseteq R2$

Local reflexivity

definition *locally-refl* :: $'a\ rel \Rightarrow 'a\ multiset \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $locally-refl\ R\ A \equiv (\forall\ a. a \in\# A \longrightarrow (a, a) \in R)$

definition *locally-irrefl* :: 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a multiset \Rightarrow bool **where**
locally-irrefl R A $\equiv (\forall t. t \in\# A \longrightarrow (t,t) \notin R)$

Local symmetry

definition *locally-sym* :: 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a multiset \Rightarrow bool **where**
locally-sym R A $\equiv (\forall t u. t \in\# A \longrightarrow u \in\# A \longrightarrow (t,u) \in R \longrightarrow (u,t) \in R)$

definition *locally-antisym* :: 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a multiset \Rightarrow bool **where**
locally-antisym R A $\equiv (\forall t u. t \in\# A \longrightarrow u \in\# A \longrightarrow (t,u) \in R \longrightarrow (u,t) \in R \longrightarrow t = u)$

Local transitivity

definition *locally-trans* :: 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a multiset \Rightarrow 'a multiset \Rightarrow 'a multiset \Rightarrow bool **where**
locally-trans R A B C $\equiv (\forall t u v. t \in\# A \longrightarrow u \in\# B \longrightarrow v \in\# C \longrightarrow (t,u) \in R \longrightarrow (u,v) \in R \longrightarrow (t,v) \in R)$

Local inclusion

definition *locally-included* :: 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a multiset \Rightarrow 'a multiset \Rightarrow bool **where**
locally-included R1 R2 A B $\equiv (\forall t u. t \in\# A \longrightarrow u \in\# B \longrightarrow (t,u) \in R1 \longrightarrow (t,u) \in R2)$

Local transitivity compatibility

definition *locally-compatible-l* :: 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a multiset \Rightarrow 'a multiset \Rightarrow 'a multiset \Rightarrow bool **where**
locally-compatible-l R1 R2 A B C $\equiv (\forall t u v. t \in\# A \longrightarrow u \in\# B \longrightarrow v \in\# C \longrightarrow (t,u) \in R1 \longrightarrow (u,v) \in R2 \longrightarrow (t,v) \in R2)$

definition *locally-compatible-r* :: 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a multiset \Rightarrow 'a multiset \Rightarrow 'a multiset \Rightarrow bool **where**
locally-compatible-r R1 R2 A B C $\equiv (\forall t u v. t \in\# A \longrightarrow u \in\# B \longrightarrow v \in\# C \longrightarrow (t,u) \in R2 \longrightarrow (u,v) \in R1 \longrightarrow (t,v) \in R2)$

included + compatible \longrightarrow transitive

lemma *in-cl-tr*:

assumes $R1 \subseteq R2$

and *compatible-l* R2 R1

shows *trans* R1

proof –

{

fix x y z

assume *s-x-y*: $(x,y) \in R1$ **and** *s-y-z*: $(y,z) \in R1$

from *assms s-x-y* **have** $(x,y) \in R2$ **by** *auto*

with *s-y-z* *assms*(2)[*unfolded compatible-l-def*] **have** $(x,z) \in R1$ **by** *blast*

```

}
then show ?thesis unfolding trans-def by fast
qed

```

```

lemma in-cr-tr:
  assumes  $R1 \subseteq R2$ 
  and compatible-r  $R2 R1$ 
  shows trans  $R1$ 
proof -
  {
    fix  $x y z$ 
    assume  $s-x-y: (x,y) \in R1$  and  $s-y-z: (y,z) \in R1$ 
    with assms have  $(y,z) \in R2$  by auto
    with  $s-x-y$  assms(2)[unfolded compatible-r-def] have  $(x,z) \in R1$  by blast
  }
  then show ?thesis unfolding trans-def by fast
qed

```

If a property holds globally, it also holds locally. Obviously.

```

lemma r-lr:
  assumes refl  $R$ 
  shows locally-refl  $R A$ 
  using assms unfolding refl-on-def locally-refl-def by blast

```

```

lemma tr-ltr:
  assumes trans  $R$ 
  shows locally-trans  $R A B C$ 
  using assms unfolding trans-def and locally-trans-def by fast

```

```

lemma in-lin:
  assumes  $R1 \subseteq R2$ 
  shows locally-included  $R1 R2 A B$ 
  using assms unfolding locally-included-def by auto

```

```

lemma cl-lcl:
  assumes compatible-l  $R1 R2$ 
  shows locally-compatible-l  $R1 R2 A B C$ 
  using assms unfolding compatible-l-def and locally-compatible-l-def by auto

```

```

lemma cr-lcr:
  assumes compatible-r  $R1 R2$ 
  shows locally-compatible-r  $R1 R2 A B C$ 
  using assms unfolding compatible-r-def and locally-compatible-r-def by auto

```

If a predicate holds on a set then it holds on all the subsets:

```

lemma lr-trans-l:
  assumes locally-refl  $R (A + B)$ 
  shows locally-refl  $R A$ 
  using assms unfolding locally-refl-def

```

by *auto*

lemma *li-trans-l*:

assumes *locally-irrefl* R ($A + B$)
shows *locally-irrefl* R A
using *assms* **unfolding** *locally-irrefl-def*
by *auto*

lemma *ls-trans-l*:

assumes *locally-sym* R ($A + B$)
shows *locally-sym* R A
using *assms* **unfolding** *locally-sym-def*
by *auto*

lemma *las-trans-l*:

assumes *locally-antisym* R ($A + B$)
shows *locally-antisym* R A
using *assms* **unfolding** *locally-antisym-def*
by *auto*

lemma *lt-trans-l*:

assumes *locally-trans* R ($A + B$) ($C + D$) ($E + F$)
shows *locally-trans* R A C E
using *assms*[*unfolded locally-trans-def*, *rule-format*]
unfolding *locally-trans-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *lin-trans-l*:

assumes *locally-included* $R1$ $R2$ ($A + B$) ($C + D$)
shows *locally-included* $R1$ $R2$ A C
using *assms* **unfolding** *locally-included-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *lcl-trans-l*:

assumes *locally-compatible-l* $R1$ $R2$ ($A + B$) ($C + D$) ($E + F$)
shows *locally-compatible-l* $R1$ $R2$ A C E
using *assms*[*unfolded locally-compatible-l-def*, *rule-format*]
unfolding *locally-compatible-l-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *lcr-trans-l*:

assumes *locally-compatible-r* $R1$ $R2$ ($A + B$) ($C + D$) ($E + F$)
shows *locally-compatible-r* $R1$ $R2$ A C E
using *assms*[*unfolded locally-compatible-r-def*, *rule-format*]
unfolding *locally-compatible-r-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *lr-trans-r*:

assumes *locally-refl* R ($A + B$)
shows *locally-refl* R B
using *assms* **unfolding** *locally-refl-def*
by *auto*

lemma *li-trans-r*:
assumes *locally-irrefl* R $(A + B)$
shows *locally-irrefl* R B
using *assms* **unfolding** *locally-irrefl-def*
by *auto*

lemma *ls-trans-r*:
assumes *locally-sym* R $(A + B)$
shows *locally-sym* R B
using *assms* **unfolding** *locally-sym-def*
by *auto*

lemma *las-trans-r*:
assumes *locally-antisym* R $(A + B)$
shows *locally-antisym* R B
using *assms* **unfolding** *locally-antisym-def*
by *auto*

lemma *lt-trans-r*:
assumes *locally-trans* R $(A + B)$ $(C + D)$ $(E + F)$
shows *locally-trans* R B D F
using *assms*[*unfolded locally-trans-def*, *rule-format*]
unfolding *locally-trans-def*
by *auto*

lemma *lin-trans-r*:
assumes *locally-included* $R1$ $R2$ $(A + B)$ $(C + D)$
shows *locally-included* $R1$ $R2$ B D
using *assms* **unfolding** *locally-included-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *lcl-trans-r*:
assumes *locally-compatible-l* $R1$ $R2$ $(A + B)$ $(C + D)$ $(E + F)$
shows *locally-compatible-l* $R1$ $R2$ B D F
using *assms*[*unfolded locally-compatible-l-def*, *rule-format*]
unfolding *locally-compatible-l-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *lcr-trans-r*:
assumes *locally-compatible-r* $R1$ $R2$ $(A + B)$ $(C + D)$ $(E + F)$
shows *locally-compatible-r* $R1$ $R2$ B D F
using *assms*[*unfolded locally-compatible-r-def*, *rule-format*]
unfolding *locally-compatible-r-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *lr-minus*:
assumes *locally-refl* R A
shows *locally-refl* R $(A - B)$
using *assms* **unfolding** *locally-refl-def* **by** (*meson in-diffD*)

lemma *li-minus*:
assumes *locally-irrefl* R A

shows *locally-irrefl* $R (A - B)$
using *assms* **unfolding** *locally-irrefl-def* **by** (*meson in-diffD*)

lemma *ls-minus*:
assumes *locally-sym* $R A$
shows *locally-sym* $R (A - B)$
using *assms* **unfolding** *locally-sym-def* **by** (*meson in-diffD*)

lemma *las-minus*:
assumes *locally-antisym* $R A$
shows *locally-antisym* $R (A - B)$
using *assms* **unfolding** *locally-antisym-def* **by** (*meson in-diffD*)

lemma *lt-minus*:
assumes *locally-trans* $R A C E$
shows *locally-trans* $R (A - B) (C - D) (E - F)$
using *assms*[*unfolded locally-trans-def*, *rule-format*]
unfolding *locally-trans-def* **by** (*meson in-diffD*)

lemma *lin-minus*:
assumes *locally-included* $R1 R2 A C$
shows *locally-included* $R1 R2 (A - B) (C - D)$
using *assms* **unfolding** *locally-included-def* **by** (*meson in-diffD*)

lemma *lcl-minus*:
assumes *locally-compatible-l* $R1 R2 A C E$
shows *locally-compatible-l* $R1 R2 (A - B) (C - D) (E - F)$
using *assms*[*unfolded locally-compatible-l-def*, *rule-format*]
unfolding *locally-compatible-l-def* **by** (*meson in-diffD*)

lemma *lcr-minus*:
assumes *locally-compatible-r* $R1 R2 A C E$
shows *locally-compatible-r* $R1 R2 (A - B) (C - D) (E - F)$
using *assms*[*unfolded locally-compatible-r-def*, *rule-format*]
unfolding *locally-compatible-r-def* **by** (*meson in-diffD*)

Notations

notation *restrict* (**infixl** $\langle \rangle$ 80)

lemma *mem-restrictI*[*intro!*]: **assumes** $x \in X y \in X (x,y) \in R$ **shows** $(x,y) \in R$
 $\uparrow X$
using *assms* **unfolding** *restrict-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *mem-restrictD*[*dest*]: **assumes** $(x,y) \in R \uparrow X$ **shows** $x \in X y \in X (x,y) \in R$
using *assms* **unfolding** *restrict-def* **by** *auto*

end

2.4 Interface for extending an order pair on lists

theory *List-Order*

imports

Knuth-Bendix-Order.Order-Pair

begin

type-synonym *'a list-ext* = *'a rel* \Rightarrow *'a rel* \Rightarrow *'a list rel*

locale *list-order-extension* =

fixes *s-list* :: *'a list-ext*

and *ns-list* :: *'a list-ext*

assumes *extension*: *SN-order-pair S NS* \Longrightarrow *SN-order-pair (s-list S NS) (ns-list S NS)*

and *s-map*: $\llbracket \bigwedge a b. (a,b) \in S \Longrightarrow (f a, f b) \in S; \bigwedge a b. (a,b) \in NS \Longrightarrow (f a, f b) \in NS \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (as, bs) \in s\text{-list } S NS \Longrightarrow (map f as, map f bs) \in s\text{-list } S NS$

and *ns-map*: $\llbracket \bigwedge a b. (a,b) \in S \Longrightarrow (f a, f b) \in S; \bigwedge a b. (a,b) \in NS \Longrightarrow (f a, f b) \in NS \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (as, bs) \in ns\text{-list } S NS \Longrightarrow (map f as, map f bs) \in ns\text{-list } S NS$

and *all-ns-imp-ns*: *length as = length bs* $\Longrightarrow \llbracket \bigwedge i. i < length bs \Longrightarrow (as ! i, bs ! i) \in NS \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (as, bs) \in ns\text{-list } S NS$

type-synonym *'a list-ext-impl* = (*'a* \Rightarrow *'a* \Rightarrow *bool* \times *bool*) \Rightarrow *'a list* \Rightarrow *'a list* \Rightarrow *bool* \times *bool*

locale *list-order-extension-impl* = *list-order-extension s-list ns-list for*

s-list ns-list :: *'a list-ext* +

fixes *list-ext-impl*

assumes *list-ext-s*: $\bigwedge s ns. s\text{-list } \{(a,b). s a b\} \{(a,b). ns a b\} = \{(as, bs). fst (list-ext (\lambda a b. (s a b, ns a b))) as bs\}$

and *list-ext-ns*: $\bigwedge s ns. ns\text{-list } \{(a,b). s a b\} \{(a,b). ns a b\} = \{(as, bs). snd (list-ext (\lambda a b. (s a b, ns a b))) as bs\}$

and *s-ext-local-mono*: $\bigwedge s ns s' ns' as bs. (set as \times set bs) \cap ns \subseteq ns' \Longrightarrow (set as \times set bs) \cap s \subseteq s' \Longrightarrow (as, bs) \in s\text{-list } ns s \Longrightarrow (as, bs) \in s\text{-list } ns' s'$

and *ns-ext-local-mono*: $\bigwedge s ns s' ns' as bs. (set as \times set bs) \cap ns \subseteq ns' \Longrightarrow (set as \times set bs) \cap s \subseteq s' \Longrightarrow (as, bs) \in ns\text{-list } ns s \Longrightarrow (as, bs) \in ns\text{-list } ns' s'$

end

3 Multiset extension of an order pair

Given a well-founded order \prec and a compatible non-strict order \succsim , we define the corresponding multiset-extension of these orders.

theory *Multiset-Extension-Pair*

imports

HOL-Library.Multiset

begin

lemma *mult-locally-cancel*:

assumes *trans s* **and** *locally-irrefl s (X + Z)* **and** *locally-irrefl s (Y + Z)*

shows $(X + Z, Y + Z) \in \text{mult } s \longleftrightarrow (X, Y) \in \text{mult } s$ (**is** ?L \longleftrightarrow ?R)

proof

assume ?L **thus** ?R **using** *assms(2, 3)*

proof (*induct Z arbitrary: X Y*)

case (*add z Z*)

obtain $X' Y' Z'$ **where** $*$: $\text{add-mset } z X + Z = Z' + X'$ $\text{add-mset } z Y + Z = Z' + Y'$ $Y' \neq \{\#\}$

$\forall x \in \text{set-mset } X'. \exists y \in \text{set-mset } Y'. (x, y) \in s$

using *mult-implies-one-step[OF <trans s> add(2)]* **by** *auto*

consider $X2$ **where** $Z' = \text{add-mset } z X2 \mid X2 Y2$ **where** $X' = \text{add-mset } z X2$
 $Y' = \text{add-mset } z Y2$

using $*$ (1,2) **by** (*metis add-mset-remove-trivial-If insert-iff set-mset-add-mset-insert union-iff*)

thus ?case

proof (*cases*)

case 1 **thus** ?thesis **using** $*$ *one-step-implies-mult[of Y' X' s X2]*

by (*auto simp: add.commute[of - {#-#}] add.assoc intro: add(1)*)

(*metis add.hyps add.prem(2) add.prem(3) add-mset-add-single li-trans-l union-mset-add-mset-right*)

next

case 2 **then obtain** y **where** $y \in \text{set-mset } Y2$ $(z, y) \in s$ **using** $*$ (4) *add(3, 4)*

by (*auto simp: locally-irrefl-def*)

moreover from *this transD[OF <trans s> - this(2)]*

have $x' \in \text{set-mset } X2 \implies \exists y \in \text{set-mset } Y2. (x', y) \in s$ **for** x'

using 2 $*$ (4)[*rule-format, of x'*] **by** *auto*

ultimately show ?thesis **using** $*$ *one-step-implies-mult[of Y2 X2 s X']* 2 *add(3, 4)*

by (*force simp: locally-irrefl-def add.commute[of {#-#}] add.assoc[symmetric] intro: add(1)*)

qed

qed *auto*

next

assume ?R **then obtain** $I J K$

where $Y = I + J$ $X = I + K$ $J \neq \{\#\}$ $\forall k \in \text{set-mset } K. \exists j \in \text{set-mset } J. (k, j) \in s$

using *mult-implies-one-step[OF <trans s>]* **by** *blast*

thus ?L **using** *one-step-implies-mult[of J K s I + Z]* **by** (*auto simp: ac-simps*)

qed

lemma *mult-locally-cancelL*:

assumes *trans s locally-irrefl s (X + Z) locally-irrefl s (Y + Z)*
shows $(Z + X, Z + Y) \in \text{mult } s \longleftrightarrow (X, Y) \in \text{mult } s$
using *mult-locally-cancel[OF assms]* **by** (*simp only: union-commute*)

lemma *mult-cancelL*:

assumes *trans s irrefl s* **shows** $(Z + X, Z + Y) \in \text{mult } s \longleftrightarrow (X, Y) \in \text{mult } s$
using *assms*
by (*auto simp: union-commute intro!: mult-cancel elim: irrefl-on-subset*)

lemma *wf-trancl-conv*:

shows $\text{wf } (r^+) \longleftrightarrow \text{wf } r$
using *wf-subset[of r⁺ r]* **by** (*force simp: wf-trancl*)

3.1 Pointwise multiset order

inductive-set *multpw* :: 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a multiset rel **for** *ns* :: 'a rel **where**

empty: $(\{\#\}, \{\#\}) \in \text{multpw } ns$
| *add*: $(x, y) \in ns \Longrightarrow (X, Y) \in \text{multpw } ns \Longrightarrow (\text{add-mset } x \ X, \text{add-mset } y \ Y) \in \text{multpw } ns$

lemma *multpw-emptyL* [*simp*]:

$(\{\#\}, X) \in \text{multpw } ns \longleftrightarrow X = \{\#\}$
by (*cases X*) (*auto elim: multpw.cases intro: multpw.intros*)

lemma *multpw-emptyR* [*simp*]:

$(X, \{\#\}) \in \text{multpw } ns \longleftrightarrow X = \{\#\}$
by (*cases X*) (*auto elim: multpw.cases intro: multpw.intros*)

lemma *refl-multpw*:

assumes *refl ns* **shows** *refl (multpw ns)*

proof –

have $(X, X) \in \text{multpw } ns$ **for** *X* **using** *assms*
by (*induct X*) (*auto intro: multpw.intros simp: refl-on-def*)
then show *?thesis* **by** (*auto simp: refl-on-def*)

qed

lemma *multpw-Id-Id* [*simp*]:

multpw Id = Id

proof –

have $(X, Y) \in \text{multpw } (Id :: 'a \text{ rel}) \Longrightarrow X = Y$ **for** *X Y* **by** (*induct X Y rule: multpw.induct*) *auto*

then show *?thesis* **using** *refl-multpw[of Id]* **by** (*auto simp: refl-on-def*)

qed

lemma *mono-multpw*:

assumes $ns \subseteq ns'$ **shows** $\text{multpw } ns \subseteq \text{multpw } ns'$

proof –

have $(X, Y) \in \text{multpw } ns \Longrightarrow (X, Y) \in \text{multpw } ns'$ **for** *X Y*

by (*induct X Y rule: multpw.induct*) (*insert assms, auto intro: multpw.intros*)
then show *?thesis* by *auto*
qed

lemma *multpw-converse*:

$$\text{multpw } (ns^{-1}) = (\text{multpw } ns)^{-1}$$

proof –

have $(X, Y) \in \text{multpw } (ns^{-1}) \implies (X, Y) \in (\text{multpw } ns)^{-1}$ for *X Y* and *ns :: 'a rel*

by (*induct X Y rule: multpw.induct*) (*auto intro: multpw.intros*)

then show *?thesis* by *auto*

qed

lemma *multpw-local*:

$$(X, Y) \in \text{multpw } ns \implies (X, Y) \in \text{multpw } (ns \cap \text{set-mset } X \times \text{set-mset } Y)$$

proof (*induct X Y rule: multpw.induct*)

case (*add x y X Y*) then show *?case*

using *mono-multpw[of ns \cap set-mset X \times set-mset Y ns \cap insert x (set-mset X) \times insert y (set-mset Y)]*

by (*auto intro: multpw.intros*)

qed *auto*

lemma *multpw-split1R*:

assumes (*add-mset x X, Y*) \in *multpw ns*

obtains *z Z* where $Y = \text{add-mset } z Z$ and $(x, z) \in ns$ and $(X, Z) \in \text{multpw } ns$

using *assms*

proof (*induct add-mset x X Y arbitrary: X thesis rule: multpw.induct*)

case (*add x' y' X' Y'*) then show *?case*

proof (*cases x = x'*)

case *False*

obtain *X''* where [*simp*]: $X = \text{add-mset } x' X''$

using *add(4) False*

by (*metis add-eq-conv-diff*)

have $X' = \text{add-mset } x X''$ using *add(4)* by (*auto simp: add-eq-conv-ex*)

with *add(2)* obtain *Y'' y* where $Y' = \text{add-mset } y Y''$ ($(x, y) \in ns$ (X'', Y''))

\in *multpw ns*

by (*auto intro: add(3)*)

then show *?thesis* using *add(1) add(5)[of y add-mset y' Y'']*

by (*auto simp: ac-simps intro: multpw.intros*)

qed *auto*

qed *auto*

lemma *multpw-splitR*:

assumes $(X1 + X2, Y) \in \text{multpw } ns$

obtains *Y1 Y2* where $Y = Y1 + Y2$ and $(X1, Y1) \in \text{multpw } ns$ and $(X2, Y2) \in \text{multpw } ns$

using *assms*

proof (*induct X2 arbitrary: Y thesis*)

case (*add* $x2$ $X2$)
from *add*(3) **obtain** $Y' y2$ **where** $(X1 + X2, Y') \in \text{multpw } ns$ $(x2, y2) \in ns$
 $Y = \text{add-mset } y2 Y'$
by (*auto elim: multpw-split1R simp: union-assoc[symmetric]*)
moreover then obtain $Y1 Y2$ **where** $(X1, Y1) \in \text{multpw } ns$ $(X2, Y2) \in$
 $\text{multpw } ns$ $Y' = Y1 + Y2$
by (*auto elim: add(1)[rotated]*)
ultimately show ?*case* **by** (*intro add(2)*) (*auto simp: union-assoc intro: multpw.intros*)
qed *auto*

lemma *multpw-split1L*:
assumes $(X, \text{add-mset } y Y) \in \text{multpw } ns$
obtains $z Z$ **where** $X = \text{add-mset } z Z$ **and** $(z, y) \in ns$ **and** $(Z, Y) \in \text{multpw}$
 ns
using *assms multpw-split1R[of y Y X ns⁻¹ thesis]* **by** (*auto simp: multpw-converse*)

lemma *multpw-splitL*:
assumes $(X, Y1 + Y2) \in \text{multpw } ns$
obtains $X1 X2$ **where** $X = X1 + X2$ **and** $(X1, Y1) \in \text{multpw } ns$ **and** $(X2,$
 $Y2) \in \text{multpw } ns$
using *assms multpw-splitR[of Y1 Y2 X ns⁻¹ thesis]* **by** (*auto simp: multpw-converse*)

lemma *locally-trans-multpw*:
assumes *locally-trans* ns S T U
and $(S, T) \in \text{multpw } ns$
and $(T, U) \in \text{multpw } ns$
shows $(S, U) \in \text{multpw } ns$
using *assms(2,3,1)*
proof (*induct S T arbitrary: U rule: multpw.induct*)
case (*add* x y X Y)
then show ?*case* **unfolding** *locally-trans-def*
by (*auto 0 3 intro: multpw.intros elim: multpw-split1R*)
qed *blast*

lemma *trans-multpw*:
assumes *trans* ns **shows** *trans* ($\text{multpw } ns$)
using *locally-trans-multpw unfolding locally-trans-def trans-def*
by (*meson assms locally-trans-multpw tr-ltr*)

lemma *multpw-add*:
assumes $(X1, Y1) \in \text{multpw } ns$ $(X2, Y2) \in \text{multpw } ns$ **shows** $(X1 + X2, Y1$
 $+ Y2) \in \text{multpw } ns$
using *assms(2,1)*
by (*induct X2 Y2 rule: multpw.induct*) (*auto intro: multpw.intros simp: add.assoc[symmetric]*)

lemma *multpw-single*:
 $(x, y) \in ns \implies (\{x\}, \{y\}) \in \text{multpw } ns$
using *multpw.intros(2)[OF - multpw.intros(1)]* .

lemma *multpw-mult1-commute*:

assumes *compat*: $s \ O \ ns \subseteq s$ **and** *reflns*: *refl ns*

shows $mult1 \ s \ O \ multpw \ ns \subseteq multpw \ ns \ O \ mult1 \ s$

proof –

{ **fix** $X \ Y \ Z$ **assume** $1: (X, Y) \in mult1 \ s \ (Y, Z) \in multpw \ ns$

then obtain $X' \ Y' \ y$ **where** $2: X = Y' + X' \ Y = add-mset \ y \ Y' \ \forall x. x \in \# X' \longrightarrow (x, y) \in s$

by (*auto simp: mult1-def*)

moreover obtain $Z' \ z$ **where** $3: Z = add-mset \ z \ Z' \ (y, z) \in ns \ (Y', Z') \in multpw \ ns$

using $1(2) \ 2(2)$ **by** (*auto elim: multpw-split1R*)

moreover have $\forall x. x \in \# X' \longrightarrow (x, z) \in s$ **using** $2(3) \ 3(2)$ *compat* **by** *blast*

ultimately have $\exists Y'. (X, Y') \in multpw \ ns \wedge (Y', Z) \in mult1 \ s$ **unfolding** *mult1-def*

using *refl-multpw[OF reflns]*

by (*intro exI[of - Z' + X]*) (*auto intro: multpw-add simp: refl-on-def*)

}

then show *?thesis* **by** *fast*

qed

lemma *multpw-mult-commute*:

assumes $s \ O \ ns \subseteq s$ *refl ns* **shows** $mult \ s \ O \ multpw \ ns \subseteq multpw \ ns \ O \ mult \ s$

proof –

{ **fix** $X \ Y \ Z$ **assume** $1: (X, Y) \in mult \ s \ (Y, Z) \in multpw \ ns$

then have $\exists Y'. (X, Y') \in multpw \ ns \wedge (Y', Z) \in mult \ s$ **unfolding** *mult-def*

using *multpw-mult1-commute[OF assms]* **by** (*induct rule: converse-trancl-induct*) (*auto 0 3*)

}

then show *?thesis* **by** *fast*

qed

lemma *wf-mult-rel-multpw*:

assumes $wf \ s \ s \ O \ ns \subseteq s$ *refl ns* **shows** $wf \ ((multpw \ ns)^* \ O \ mult \ s \ O \ (multpw \ ns)^*)$

using *assms(1)* *multpw-mult-commute[OF assms(2,3)]* **by** (*subst qc-wf-relto-iff*) (*auto simp: wf-mult*)

lemma *multpw-cancel1*:

assumes $trans \ ns \ (y, x) \in ns$

shows $(add-mset \ x \ X, add-mset \ y \ Y) \in multpw \ ns \implies (X, Y) \in multpw \ ns$ (**is** $?L \implies ?R$)

proof –

assume $?L$ **then obtain** $x' \ X'$ **where** $X: (x', y) \in ns \ add-mset \ x' \ X' = add-mset \ x \ X \ (X', Y) \in multpw \ ns$

by (*auto elim: multpw-split1L simp: union-assoc[symmetric]*)

then show $?R$

proof (*cases x = x'*)

case *False* **then obtain** $X2$ **where** $X2: X' = add-mset \ x \ X2 \ X = add-mset \ x' \ X2$


```

    using X(2) by (auto simp: add-eq-conv-ex)
    then obtain y' Y' where Y: (x, y') ∈ ns Y = add-mset y' Y' (X2, Y') ∈
  multpw ns
    using X(3) by (auto elim: multpw-split1R)
    have (x', y') ∈ ns using X(1) Y(1) ‹trans ns› assms(2) by (metis trans-def)
    then show ?thesis using Y by (auto intro: multpw.intros simp: X2)
  qed auto
qed

```

lemma *multpw-cancel*:

```

  assumes refl ns trans ns
  shows (X + Z, Y + Z) ∈ multpw ns ⟷ (X, Y) ∈ multpw ns (is ?L ⟷ ?R)
proof
  assume ?L then show ?R
  proof (induct Z)
    case (add z Z) then show ?case using multpw-cancel1[of ns z z X + Z Y +
Z] assms
    by (auto simp: refl-on-def union-assoc)
  qed auto
next
  assume ?R then show ?L using assms refl-multpw by (auto intro: multpw-add
simp: refl-on-def)
qed

```

lemma *multpw-cancelL*:

```

  assumes refl ns trans ns shows (Z + X, Z + Y) ∈ multpw ns ⟷ (X, Y) ∈
  multpw ns
  using multpw-cancel[OF assms, of X Z Y] by (simp only: union-commute)

```

3.2 Multiset extension for order pairs via the pointwise order and *mult*

definition *mult2-s ns s* ≡ *multpw ns O mult s*

definition *mult2-ns ns s* ≡ *multpw ns O (mult s)*

lemma *mult2-ns-conv*:

```

  shows mult2-ns ns s = mult2-s ns s ∪ multpw ns
  by (auto simp: mult2-s-def mult2-ns-def)

```

lemma *mono-mult2-s*:

```

  assumes ns ⊆ ns' s ⊆ s' shows mult2-s ns s ⊆ mult2-s ns' s'
  using mono-multpw[OF assms(1)] mono-mult[OF assms(2)] unfolding mult2-s-def
  by auto

```

lemma *mono-mult2-ns*:

```

  assumes ns ⊆ ns' s ⊆ s' shows mult2-ns ns s ⊆ mult2-ns ns' s'
  using mono-multpw[OF assms(1)] mono-mult[OF assms(2)] unfolding mult2-ns-def
  by auto

```

lemma *wf-mult2-s*:

assumes $wf\ s\ s\ O\ ns \subseteq s\ refl\ ns$

shows $wf\ (mult2-s\ ns\ s)$

using *wf-mult-rel-multipw*[*OF assms*] *assms* **by** (*auto simp: mult2-s-def wf-mult intro: wf-subset*)

lemma *refl-mult2-ns*:

assumes *refl ns* **shows** $refl\ (mult2-ns\ ns\ s)$

using *refl-multipw*[*OF assms*] **unfolding** *mult2-ns-def refl-on-def* **by** *fast*

lemma *trans-mult2-s*:

assumes $s\ O\ ns \subseteq s\ refl\ ns\ trans\ ns$

shows $trans\ (mult2-s\ ns\ s)$

using *trans-multipw*[*OF assms*(3)] *trans-trancl*[*of mult1 s, folded mult-def*] *multipw-mult-commute*[*OF assms*(1,2)]

unfolding *mult2-s-def trans-O-iff* **by** (*blast 8*)

lemma *trans-mult2-ns*:

assumes $s\ O\ ns \subseteq s\ refl\ ns\ trans\ ns$

shows $trans\ (mult2-ns\ ns\ s)$

using *trans-multipw*[*OF assms*(3)] *trans-trancl*[*of mult1 s, folded mult-def*] *multipw-mult-commute*[*OF assms*(1,2)]

unfolding *mult2-ns-def trans-O-iff* **by** (*blast 8*)

lemma *compat-mult2*:

assumes $s\ O\ ns \subseteq s\ refl\ ns\ trans\ ns$

shows $mult2-ns\ ns\ s\ O\ mult2-s\ ns\ s \subseteq mult2-s\ ns\ s\ mult2-s\ ns\ s\ O\ mult2-ns\ ns$

$s \subseteq mult2-s\ ns\ s$

using *trans-multipw*[*OF assms*(3)] *trans-trancl*[*of mult1 s, folded mult-def*] *multipw-mult-commute*[*OF assms*(1,2)]

unfolding *mult2-s-def mult2-ns-def trans-O-iff* **by** (*blast 8*)+

Trivial inclusions

lemma *mult-implies-mult2-s*:

assumes $refl\ ns\ (X, Y) \in mult\ s$

shows $(X, Y) \in mult2-s\ ns\ s$

using *refl-multipw*[*of ns*] *assms* **unfolding** *mult2-s-def refl-on-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *mult-implies-mult2-ns*:

assumes $refl\ ns\ (X, Y) \in (mult\ s)^=$

shows $(X, Y) \in mult2-ns\ ns\ s$

using *refl-multipw*[*of ns*] *assms* **unfolding** *mult2-ns-def refl-on-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *multipw-implies-mult2-ns*:

assumes $(X, Y) \in multipw\ ns$

shows $(X, Y) \in mult2-ns\ ns\ s$

unfolding *mult2-ns-def* **using** *assms* **by** *simp*

3.3 One-step versions of the multiset extensions

lemma *mult2-s-one-step*:

assumes $ns \ O \ s \subseteq \ s \ refl \ ns \ trans \ s$

shows $(X, Y) \in \ mult2\text{-}s \ ns \ s \longleftrightarrow (\exists X1 \ X2 \ Y1 \ Y2. X = X1 + X2 \wedge Y = Y1 + Y2 \wedge$

$(X1, Y1) \in \ multpw \ ns \wedge Y2 \neq \{\#\} \wedge (\forall x. x \in\# \ X2 \longrightarrow (\exists y. y \in\# \ Y2 \wedge (x, y) \in \ s)))$ **(is** $?L \longleftrightarrow ?R$ **)**

proof

assume $?R$ **then obtain** $X1 \ X2 \ Y1 \ Y2$ **where** $*$: $X = X1 + X2 \ Y = Y1 + Y2$ $(X1, Y1) \in \ multpw \ ns$ **and**

$Y2 \neq \{\#\} \ \forall x. x \in\# \ X2 \longrightarrow (\exists y. y \in\# \ Y2 \wedge (x, y) \in \ s)$ **by** *blast*

then have $(Y1 + X2, Y1 + Y2) \in \ mult \ s$

using $\langle trans \ s \rangle$ **by** *(auto intro: one-step-implies-mult)*

moreover have $(X1 + X2, Y1 + X2) \in \ multpw \ ns$

using $\langle refl \ ns \rangle \ refl\text{-}multpw[of \ ns]$ **by** *(auto intro: multpw-add simp: refl-on-def *)*

ultimately show $?L$ **by** *(auto simp: mult2-s-def *)*

next

assume $?L$ **then obtain** $X1 \ X2 \ Z1 \ Z2 \ Y2$ **where** $*$: $X = X1 + X2 \ Y = Z1 + Y2$ $(X1, Z1) \in \ multpw \ ns$

$(X2, Z2) \in \ multpw \ ns \ Y2 \neq \{\#\} \ \forall x. x \in\# \ Z2 \longrightarrow (\exists y. y \in\# \ Y2 \wedge (x, y) \in \ s)$

by *(auto 0 3 dest!: mult-implies-one-step[OF $\langle trans \ s \rangle$] simp: mult2-s-def elim!: multpw-splitL) metis*

have $\forall x. x \in\# \ X2 \longrightarrow (\exists y. y \in\# \ Y2 \wedge (x, y) \in \ s)$

proof *(intro allI impI)*

fix x **assume** $x \in\# \ X2$

then obtain $X2'$ **where** $X2 = add\text{-}mset \ x \ X2'$ **by** *(metis multi-member-split)*

then obtain $z \ Z2'$ **where** $Z2 = add\text{-}mset \ z \ Z2'$ $(x, z) \in \ ns$ **using** $*(4)$ **by** *(auto elim: multpw-split1R)*

then have $z \in\# \ Z2 \ (x, z) \in \ ns$ **by** *auto*

then show $\exists y. y \in\# \ Y2 \wedge (x, y) \in \ s$ **using** $*(6) \ \langle ns \ O \ s \subseteq \ s \rangle$ **by** *blast*

qed

then show $?R$ **using** $*$ **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *mult2-ns-one-step*:

assumes $ns \ O \ s \subseteq \ s \ refl \ ns \ trans \ s$

shows $(X, Y) \in \ mult2\text{-}ns \ ns \ s \longleftrightarrow (\exists X1 \ X2 \ Y1 \ Y2. X = X1 + X2 \wedge Y = Y1 + Y2 \wedge$

$(X1, Y1) \in \ multpw \ ns \wedge (\forall x. x \in\# \ X2 \longrightarrow (\exists y. y \in\# \ Y2 \wedge (x, y) \in \ s)))$ **(is** $?L \longleftrightarrow ?R$ **)**

proof

assume $?L$ **then consider** $(X, Y) \in \ multpw \ ns \mid (X, Y) \in \ mult2\text{-}s \ ns \ s$

by *(auto simp: mult2-s-def mult2-ns-def)*

then show $?R$ **using** *mult2-s-one-step[OF assms]*

by *(cases, intro exI[of - $\{\#\}$], THEN exI[of - Y], THEN exI[of - $\{\#\}$], THEN exI[of - X]]) auto*

next

assume $?R$ **then obtain** $X1\ X2\ Y1\ Y2$ **where** $X = X1 + X2\ Y = Y1 + Y2$
 $(X1, Y1) \in \text{multpw } ns \ \forall x. x \in \# X2 \longrightarrow (\exists y. y \in \# Y2 \wedge (x, y) \in s)$ **by** *blast*
then show $?L$ **using** $\text{mult2-s-one-step}[OF\ \text{assms},\ \text{of } X\ Y]\ \text{count-inject}[\text{of } X2\ \{\#\}]$
by (*cases* $Y2 = \{\#\}$) (*auto simp: mult2-s-def mult2-ns-def*)
qed

lemma *mult2-s-locally-one-step'*:

assumes $ns\ O\ s \subseteq s\ \text{refl } ns\ \text{locally-irrefl } s\ X\ \text{locally-irrefl } s\ Y\ \text{trans } s$
shows $(X, Y) \in \text{mult2-s } ns\ s \longleftrightarrow (\exists X1\ X2\ Y1\ Y2. X = X1 + X2 \wedge Y = Y1 + Y2 \wedge$
 $(X1, Y1) \in \text{multpw } ns \wedge (X2, Y2) \in \text{mult } s)$ (**is** $?L \longleftrightarrow ?R$)

proof

assume $?L$ **then show** $?R$ **unfolding** $\text{mult2-s-one-step}[OF\ \text{assms}(1,2,5)]$

using $\text{one-step-implies-mult}[\text{of } -\ s\ \{\#\}]$ **by** *auto metis*

next

assume $?R$ **then obtain** $X1\ X2\ Y1\ Y2$ **where** $x: X = X1 + X2$ **and** $y: Y = Y1 + Y2$ **and**

$ns: (X1, Y1) \in \text{multpw } ns$ **and** $s: (X2, Y2) \in \text{mult } s$ **by** *blast*

then have $l: \text{locally-irrefl } s\ (X2 + Y1)$ **and** $r: \text{locally-irrefl } s\ (Y2 + Y1)$

using $\text{assms}(3, 4)$ **by** (*auto simp add: locally-irrefl-def*)

show $?L$ **using** $ns\ s\ \text{mult-locally-cancelL}[OF\ \text{assms}(5)\ l\ r]\ \text{multpw-add}[OF\ ns,\ \text{of } X2\ X2]\ \text{refl-multpw}[OF\ \langle \text{refl } ns \rangle]$

unfolding $\text{mult2-s-def refl-on-def } x\ y$ **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *mult2-s-one-step'*:

assumes $ns\ O\ s \subseteq s\ \text{refl } ns\ \text{irrefl } s\ \text{trans } s$

shows $(X, Y) \in \text{mult2-s } ns\ s \longleftrightarrow (\exists X1\ X2\ Y1\ Y2. X = X1 + X2 \wedge Y = Y1 + Y2 \wedge$

$(X1, Y1) \in \text{multpw } ns \wedge (X2, Y2) \in \text{mult } s)$ (**is** $?L \longleftrightarrow ?R$)

using $\text{assms}\ \text{mult2-s-locally-one-step}'$ **by** (*simp add: mult2-s-locally-one-step' ir-refl-def locally-irrefl-def*)

lemma *mult2-ns-one-step'*:

assumes $ns\ O\ s \subseteq s\ \text{refl } ns\ \text{irrefl } s\ \text{trans } s$

shows $(X, Y) \in \text{mult2-ns } ns\ s \longleftrightarrow (\exists X1\ X2\ Y1\ Y2. X = X1 + X2 \wedge Y = Y1 + Y2 \wedge$

$(X1, Y1) \in \text{multpw } ns \wedge (X2, Y2) \in (\text{mult } s)^=)$ (**is** $?L \longleftrightarrow ?R$)

proof –

have $(X, Y) \in \text{multpw } ns \implies ?R$

by (*intro exI[of - $\{\#\}$], THEN exI[of - Y], THEN exI[of - $\{\#\}$], THEN exI[of - X]]]) *auto**

moreover have $X = X1 + Y2 \wedge Y = Y1 + Y2 \wedge (X1, Y1) \in \text{multpw } ns \implies ?L$ **for** $X1\ Y1\ Y2$

using $\text{multpw-add}[of\ X1\ Y1\ ns\ Y2\ Y2]\ \text{refl-multpw}[OF\ \langle \text{refl } ns \rangle]$ **by** (*auto simp: mult2-ns-def refl-on-def*)

ultimately show $?thesis$ **using** $\text{mult2-s-one-step}'[OF\ \text{assms}]$ **unfolding** mult2-ns-conv **by** *auto blast*

qed

3.4 Cancellation

lemma *mult2-s-locally-cancel1*:

assumes $s \ O \ ns \subseteq s \ ns \ O \ s \subseteq s \ refl \ ns \ trans \ ns \ locally\text{-}irrefl \ s \ (add\text{-}mset \ z \ X)$
 $locally\text{-}irrefl \ s \ (add\text{-}mset \ z \ Y) \ trans \ s$

$(add\text{-}mset \ z \ X, add\text{-}mset \ z \ Y) \in mult2\text{-}s \ ns \ s$

shows $(X, Y) \in mult2\text{-}s \ ns \ s$

proof –

obtain $X1 \ X2 \ Y1 \ Y2$ **where** $*$: $add\text{-}mset \ z \ X = X1 + X2$ $add\text{-}mset \ z \ Y = Y1$
 $+ Y2$ $(X1, Y1) \in multpw \ ns$

$(X2, Y2) \in mult \ s$ **using** *assms(8)* **unfolding** *mult2-s-locally-one-step'[OF*
assms(2,3,5,6,7)] **by** *blast*

from *union-single-eq-member[OF this(1)]* *union-single-eq-member[OF this(2)]*
multi-member-split

consider $X1'$ **where** $X1 = add\text{-}mset \ z \ X1' \mid Y1'$ **where** $Y1 = add\text{-}mset \ z \ Y1'$
 $\mid X2' \ Y2'$ **where** $X2 = add\text{-}mset \ z \ X2' \ Y2 = add\text{-}mset \ z \ Y2'$

unfolding *set-mset-union Un-iff* **by** *metis*

then show *?thesis*

proof (*cases*)

case 1 **then obtain** $Y1' \ z'$ **where** $*$: $(X1', Y1') \in multpw \ ns$ $Y1 = add\text{-}mset$
 $z' \ Y1' \ (z, z') \in ns$

using $*$ **by** (*auto elim: multpw-split1R*)

then have $(X, Y1' + Y2) \in mult2\text{-}s \ ns \ s$ **using** $*$ 1

by *auto (metis add-mset-add-single assms(2 - 7) li-trans-l mult2-s-locally-one-step')*

moreover

have $(Y1' + Y2, Y) \in multpw \ ns$

using *refl-multpw[OF <refl ns>]* $*$ $**$ *multpw-cancel1[OF <trans ns> ** (3), of*
Y1' + Y2 Y]

by (*auto simp: refl-on-def*)

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *compat-mult2[OF assms(1,3,4)]* **unfolding**
mult2-ns-conv **by** *blast*

next

case 2 **then obtain** $X1' \ z'$ **where** $*$: $(X1', Y1') \in multpw \ ns$ $X1 = add\text{-}mset$
 $z' \ X1' \ (z', z) \in ns$

using $*$ **by** (*auto elim: multpw-split1L*)

then have $(X1' + X2, Y) \in mult2\text{-}s \ ns \ s$ **using** $*$ 2

by *auto (metis add-mset-add-single assms(2 - 7) li-trans-l mult2-s-locally-one-step')*

moreover

have $(X, X1' + X2) \in multpw \ ns$

using *refl-multpw[OF <refl ns>]* $*$ $**$ *multpw-cancel1[OF <trans ns> ** (3), of*
X X1' + X2]

by (*auto simp: refl-on-def*)

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *compat-mult2[OF assms(1,3,4)]* **unfolding**
mult2-ns-conv **by** *blast*

next

case 3 **then show** *?thesis* **using** *assms **

by (*auto simp: mult2-s-locally-one-step' union-commute*[of {#-#}] *union-assoc*[*symmetric*]
mult-cancel mult-cancel-add-mset)
*(metis *(1) *(2) add-mset-add-single li-trans-l li-trans-r mult2-s-locally-one-step'*
mult-locally-cancel)
qed
qed

lemma *mult2-s-cancel1*:

assumes $s \ O \ ns \subseteq s \ ns \ O \ s \subseteq s \ refl \ ns \ trans \ ns \ irrefl \ s \ trans \ s$ (*add-mset z X,*
add-mset z Y) $\in \ mult2-s \ ns \ s$
shows $(X, Y) \in \ mult2-s \ ns \ s$
using *assms mult2-s-locally-cancel1* **by** (*metis irrefl-def locally-irrefl-def*)

lemma *mult2-s-locally-cancel*:

assumes $s \ O \ ns \subseteq s \ ns \ O \ s \subseteq s \ refl \ ns \ trans \ ns \ locally-irrefl \ s \ (X + Z) \ locally-irrefl$
 $s \ (Y + Z) \ trans \ s$
shows $(X + Z, Y + Z) \in \ mult2-s \ ns \ s \implies (X, Y) \in \ mult2-s \ ns \ s$
using *assms(5, 6)*
proof (*induct Z*)
case (*add z Z*) **then show** *?case*
using *mult2-s-locally-cancel1* [*OF assms(1-4), of z X + Z Y + Z*]
by *auto (metis add-mset-add-single assms(7) li-trans-l)*
qed *auto*

lemma *mult2-s-cancel*:

assumes $s \ O \ ns \subseteq s \ ns \ O \ s \subseteq s \ refl \ ns \ trans \ ns \ irrefl \ s \ trans \ s$
shows $(X + Z, Y + Z) \in \ mult2-s \ ns \ s \implies (X, Y) \in \ mult2-s \ ns \ s$
using *mult2-s-locally-cancel assms* **by** (*metis irrefl-def locally-irrefl-def*)

lemma *mult2-ns-cancel*:

assumes $s \ O \ ns \subseteq s \ ns \ O \ s \subseteq s \ refl \ ns \ trans \ s \ irrefl \ s \ trans \ ns$
shows $(X + Z, Y + Z) \in \ mult2-s \ ns \ s \implies (X, Y) \in \ mult2-ns \ ns \ s$
unfolding *mult2-ns-conv* **using** *assms mult2-s-cancel multpw-cancel* **by** *blast*

3.5 Implementation friendly versions of *mult2-s* and *mult2-ns*

definition *mult2-alt* $:: \ bool \Rightarrow 'a \ rel \Rightarrow 'a \ rel \Rightarrow 'a \ multiset \ rel$ **where**

$\mult2-alt \ b \ ns \ s = \{(X, Y). (\exists X1 \ X2 \ Y1 \ Y2. X = X1 + X2 \wedge Y = Y1 + Y2$
 \wedge
 $(X1, Y1) \in \ multpw \ ns \wedge (b \vee Y2 \neq \{\#\}) \wedge (\forall x. x \in\# \ X2 \longrightarrow (\exists y. y \in\# \ Y2$
 $\wedge (x, y) \in \ s))\}$

lemma *mult2-altI*:

assumes $X = X1 + X2 \ Y = Y1 + Y2 \ (X1, Y1) \in \ multpw \ ns$
 $b \vee Y2 \neq \{\#\} \ \forall x. x \in\# \ X2 \longrightarrow (\exists y. y \in\# \ Y2 \wedge (x, y) \in \ s)$
shows $(X, Y) \in \ mult2-alt \ b \ ns \ s$
using *assms* **unfolding** *mult2-alt-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *mult2-altE*:

assumes $(X, Y) \in \text{mult2-alt } b \text{ ns } s$
obtains $X1 \ X2 \ Y1 \ Y2$ **where** $X = X1 + X2 \ Y = Y1 + Y2 \ (X1, Y1) \in \text{multpw}$
 ns
 $b \vee Y2 \neq \{\#\} \ \forall x. x \in\# \ X2 \longrightarrow (\exists y. y \in\# \ Y2 \wedge (x, y) \in s)$
using *assms* **unfolding** *mult2-alt-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *mono-mult2-alt*:

assumes $ns \subseteq ns' \ s \subseteq s'$ **shows** $\text{mult2-alt } b \text{ ns } s \subseteq \text{mult2-alt } b \text{ ns}' \ s'$
unfolding *mult2-alt-def* **using** *mono-multpw[OF assms(1)]* **assms** **by** (*blast 19*)

abbreviation $\text{mult2-alt-s} \equiv \text{mult2-alt } \text{False}$

abbreviation $\text{mult2-alt-ns} \equiv \text{mult2-alt } \text{True}$

lemmas $\text{mult2-alt-s-def} = \text{mult2-alt-def}[\text{where } b = \text{False}, \text{unfolded simp-thms}]$

lemmas $\text{mult2-alt-ns-def} = \text{mult2-alt-def}[\text{where } b = \text{True}, \text{unfolded simp-thms}]$

lemmas $\text{mult2-alt-sI} = \text{mult2-altI}[\text{where } b = \text{False}, \text{unfolded simp-thms}]$

lemmas $\text{mult2-alt-nsI} = \text{mult2-altI}[\text{where } b = \text{True}, \text{unfolded simp-thms } \text{True-implies-equals}]$

lemmas $\text{mult2-alt-sE} = \text{mult2-altE}[\text{where } b = \text{False}, \text{unfolded simp-thms}]$

lemmas $\text{mult2-alt-nsE} = \text{mult2-altE}[\text{where } b = \text{True}, \text{unfolded simp-thms } \text{True-implies-equals}]$

Equivalence to *mult2-s* and *mult2-ns* **lemma** *mult2-s-eq-mult2-s-alt*:

assumes $ns \ O \ s \subseteq s \ \text{refl } ns \ \text{trans } s$

shows $\text{mult2-alt-s } ns \ s = \text{mult2-s } ns \ s$

using *mult2-s-one-step[OF assms]* **unfolding** *mult2-alt-s-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *mult2-ns-eq-mult2-ns-alt*:

assumes $ns \ O \ s \subseteq s \ \text{refl } ns \ \text{trans } s$

shows $\text{mult2-alt-ns } ns \ s = \text{mult2-ns } ns \ s$

using *mult2-ns-one-step[OF assms]* **unfolding** *mult2-alt-ns-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *mult2-alt-local*:

assumes $(X, Y) \in \text{mult2-alt } b \text{ ns } s$

shows $(X, Y) \in \text{mult2-alt } b \ (ns \cap \text{set-mset } X \times \text{set-mset } Y) \ (s \cap \text{set-mset } X \times \text{set-mset } Y)$

proof –

from *assms* **obtain** $X1 \ X2 \ Y1 \ Y2$ **where** $*$: $X = X1 + X2 \ Y = Y1 + Y2$ **and**

$(X1, Y1) \in \text{multpw } ns \ (b \vee Y2 \neq \{\#\}) \ (\forall x. x \in\# \ X2 \longrightarrow (\exists y. y \in\# \ Y2 \wedge (x, y) \in s))$

unfolding *mult2-alt-def* **by** *blast*

then have $X = X1 + X2 \wedge Y = Y1 + Y2 \wedge$

$(X1, Y1) \in \text{multpw } (ns \cap \text{set-mset } X \times \text{set-mset } Y) \wedge (b \vee Y2 \neq \{\#\}) \wedge$

$(\forall x. x \in\# \ X2 \longrightarrow (\exists y. y \in\# \ Y2 \wedge (x, y) \in s \cap \text{set-mset } X \times \text{set-mset } Y))$

using *multpw-local[of X1 Y1 ns]*

$\text{mono-multpw}[of \ ns \ \cap \ \text{set-mset } \ X1 \ \times \ \text{set-mset } \ Y1 \ \ ns \ \cap \ \text{set-mset } \ X \ \times \ \text{set-mset}$

$Y] \ \text{assms}$

unfolding $*$ *set-mset-union* **unfolding** *set-mset-def* **by** *blast*

then show *?thesis* **unfolding** *mult2-alt-def* **by** *blast*

qed

3.6 Local well-foundedness: restriction to downward closure of a set

definition $wf\text{-below} :: 'a\ rel \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $wf\text{-below } r\ A = wf\ (Restr\ r\ ((r^*)^{-1}\ \text{``}\ A))$

lemma $wf\text{-below-UNIV}[simp]$:
shows $wf\text{-below } r\ UNIV \longleftrightarrow wf\ r$
by (*auto simp: wf-below-def rtrancl-converse[symmetric] Image-closed-trancl[OF subset-UNIV]*)

lemma $wf\text{-below-mono1}$:
assumes $r \subseteq r'$ $wf\text{-below } r'\ A$ **shows** $wf\text{-below } r\ A$
using *assms* **unfolding** $wf\text{-below-def}$
by (*intro wf-subset[OF assms(2)[unfolded wf-below-def]] Int-mono Sigma-mono Image-mono iffD2[OF converse-mono] rtrancl-mono subset-refl*)

lemma $wf\text{-below-mono2}$:
assumes $A \subseteq A'$ $wf\text{-below } r\ A'$ **shows** $wf\text{-below } r\ A$
using *assms* **unfolding** $wf\text{-below-def}$
by (*intro wf-subset[OF assms(2)[unfolded wf-below-def]] blast*)

lemma $wf\text{-below-pointwise}$:
 $wf\text{-below } r\ A \longleftrightarrow (\forall a. a \in A \longrightarrow wf\text{-below } r\ \{a\})$ (*is ?L \longleftrightarrow ?R*)

proof

assume $?L$ **then show** $?R$ **using** $wf\text{-below-mono2}$ [*of* $\{-\}$ $A\ r$] **by** *blast*

next

have $*$: $(r^*)^{-1}\ \text{``}\ A = \bigcup \{(r^*)^{-1}\ \text{``}\ \{a\} \mid a. a \in A\}$ **unfolding** $Image\text{-def}$ **by** *blast*
assume $?R$

{ fix $x\ X$ **assume** $*$: $X \subseteq Restr\ r\ ((r^*)^{-1}\ \text{``}\ A)$ $\text{``}\ X\ x \in X$

then obtain a **where** $**$: $a \in A$ $(x, a) \in r^*$ **unfolding** $Image\text{-def}$ **by** *blast*

from $*$ **have** $X \cap ((r^*)^{-1}\ \text{``}\ \{a\}) \subseteq (Restr\ r\ ((r^*)^{-1}\ \text{``}\ A)\ \text{``}\ X) \cap ((r^*)^{-1}\ \text{``}\ \{a\})$ **by** *auto*

also have $\dots \subseteq Restr\ r\ ((r^*)^{-1}\ \text{``}\ \{a\})\ \text{``}\ (X \cap ((r^*)^{-1}\ \text{``}\ \{a\}))$ **unfolding** $Image\text{-def}$ **by** *fastforce*

finally have $X \cap ((r^*)^{-1}\ \text{``}\ \{a\}) = \{ \}$ **using** $\langle ?R \rangle ** (1)$ **unfolding** $wf\text{-below-def}$

by (*intro wfE-pf[*of* Restr r ((r*)⁻¹ `` {a})] (auto simp: Image-def)*)

then have $False$ **using** $*(2)$ $**$ **unfolding** $Image\text{-def}$ **by** *blast*

}

then show $?L$ **unfolding** $wf\text{-below-def}$ **by** (*intro wfI-pf*) *auto*

qed

lemma $SN\text{-on-Image-rtrancl-conv}$:

$SN\text{-on } r\ A \longleftrightarrow SN\text{-on } r\ (r^*\ \text{``}\ A)$ (*is ?L \longleftrightarrow ?R*)

proof

assume $?L$ **then show** $?R$ **by** (*auto simp: SN-on-Image-rtrancl*)

next

assume $?R$ **then show** $?L$ **by** (*auto simp: SN-on-def*)

qed

lemma *SN-on-iff-wf-below*:
 $SN\text{-on } r \ A \longleftrightarrow wf\text{-below } (r^{-1}) \ A$
proof –
{ **fix** f
 assume $f \ 0 \in r^* \ \text{“} \ A$ **and** $** : (f \ i, f \ (Suc \ i)) \in r$ **for** i
 then have $f \ i \in r^* \ \text{“} \ A$ **for** i
 by (*induct i*) (*auto simp: Image-def, metis UnCI relcomp.relcompI rtrancl-unfold*)
 then have $(f \ i, f \ (Suc \ i)) \in Restr \ r \ (r^* \ \text{“} \ A)$ **for** i **using** $**$ **by** *auto*
}
moreover then have $SN\text{-on } r \ (r^* \ \text{“} \ A) \longleftrightarrow SN\text{-on } (Restr \ r \ (r^* \ \text{“} \ A)) \ (r^* \ \text{“} \ A)$
 unfolding *SN-on-def* **by** *auto blast*
moreover have $(\bigwedge i. (f \ i, f \ (Suc \ i)) \in Restr \ r \ (r^* \ \text{“} \ A)) \implies f \ 0 \in r^* \ \text{“} \ A$ **for** f **by** *auto*
then have $SN\text{-on } (Restr \ r \ (r^* \ \text{“} \ A)) \ (r^* \ \text{“} \ A) \longleftrightarrow SN\text{-on } (Restr \ r \ (r^* \ \text{“} \ A))$
UNIV
 unfolding *SN-on-def* **by** *auto*
 ultimately show *?thesis* **unfolding** *SN-on-Image-rtrancl-conv* [*of - A*]
 by (*simp add: wf-below-def SN-iff-wf rtrancl-converse converse-Int converse-Times*)
qed

lemma *restr-trancl-under*:
shows $Restr \ (r^+) \ ((r^*)^{-1} \ \text{“} \ A) = (Restr \ r \ ((r^*)^{-1} \ \text{“} \ A))^+$
proof (*intro equalityI subrelI, elim IntE conjE[OF iffD1[OF mem-Sigma-iff]]*)
fix $a \ b$ **assume** $*$: $(a, b) \in r^+ \ b \in (r^*)^{-1} \ \text{“} \ A$
then have $(a, b) \in (Restr \ r \ ((r^*)^{-1} \ \text{“} \ A))^+ \wedge a \in (r^*)^{-1} \ \text{“} \ A$
proof (*induct rule: trancl-trans-induct[consumes 1]*)
 case 1 then show *?case* **by** (*auto simp: Image-def intro: converse-rtrancl-into-rtrancl*)
 next
 case 2 then show *?case* **by** (*auto simp del: Int-iff del: ImageE*)
qed
then show $(a, b) \in (Restr \ r \ ((r^*)^{-1} \ \text{“} \ A))^+$ **by** *simp*
next
fix $a \ b$ **assume** $(a, b) \in (Restr \ r \ ((r^*)^{-1} \ \text{“} \ A))^+$
then show $(a, b) : Restr \ (r^+) \ ((r^*)^{-1} \ \text{“} \ A)$ **by** *induct auto*
qed

lemma *wf-below-trancl*:
shows $wf\text{-below } (r^+) \ A \longleftrightarrow wf\text{-below } r \ A$
using *restr-trancl-under*[*of r A*] **by** (*simp add: wf-below-def wf-trancl-conv*)

lemma *wf-below-mult-local*:
assumes $wf\text{-below } r \ (set\text{-mset } X)$ **shows** $wf\text{-below } (mult \ r) \ \{X\}$

proof –
have $foo : mult \ r \subseteq mult \ (r^+)$ **using** *mono-mult*[*of r r^+*] **by** *force*
have $(Y, X) \in (mult \ (r^+))^* \implies set\text{-mset } Y \subseteq ((r^+)^*)^{-1} \ \text{“} \ set\text{-mset } X$ **for** Y

proof (*induct rule: converse-rtrancl-induct*)
case (*step Z Y*)
obtain $I J K$ **where** $*$: $Y = I + J Z = I + K$ ($\forall k \in \text{set-mset } K. \exists j \in \text{set-mset } J. (k, j) \in r^+$)
using *mult-implies-one-step[OF - step(1)]* **by** *auto*
{ **fix** k **assume** $k \in \# K$
then obtain j **where** $j \in \# J$ ($k, j) \in r^+$ **using** $*(3)$ **by** *auto*
moreover then obtain x **where** $x \in \# X$ ($j, x) \in r^*$ **using** *step(3)* **by** (*auto simp: **)
ultimately have $\exists x. x \in \# X \wedge (k, x) \in r^*$ **by** *auto*
}
then show $?case$ **using** $* \text{ step}(3)$ **by** (*auto simp: Image-def*) *metis*
qed *auto*
then have $q: (Y, X) \in (\text{mult } (r^+))^* \implies y \in \# Y \implies y \in ((r^+)^*)^{-1}$ “*set-mset X for Y y by force*”
have $\text{Restr } (\text{mult } (r^+)) (((\text{mult } (r^+))^*)^{-1} \text{ “}\{X\}\text{”)} \subseteq \text{mult } (\text{Restr } (r^+)) (((r^+)^*)^{-1} \text{ “}\{X\}\text{”)}$ “*set-mset X*”
proof (*intro subrelI*)
fix $N M$ **assume** $(N, M) \in \text{Restr } (\text{mult } (r^+)) (((\text{mult } (r^+))^*)^{-1} \text{ “}\{X\}\text{”})$
then have $**$: $(N, X) \in (\text{mult } (r^+))^* (M, X) \in (\text{mult } (r^+))^* (N, M) \in \text{mult } (r^+)$ **by** *auto*
obtain $I J K$ **where** $*$: $M = I + J N = I + K J \neq \{\#\} \forall k \in \text{set-mset } K. \exists j \in \text{set-mset } J. (k, j) \in r^+$
using *mult-implies-one-step[OF - <(N, M) ∈ mult (r^+)>]* **by** *auto*
then show $(N, M) \in \text{mult } (\text{Restr } (r^+)) (((r^+)^*)^{-1} \text{ “}\{X\}\text{”})$
using $q[\text{OF } ** (1)]$ $q[\text{OF } ** (2)]$ **unfolding** $*$ **by** (*auto intro: one-step-implies-mult*)
qed
note $\text{bar} = \text{subset-trans}[\text{OF Int-mono}[\text{OF foo Sigma-mono}] \text{ this}]$
have $((\text{mult } r^*)^{-1} \text{ “}\{X\}\text{”)} \subseteq ((\text{mult } (r^+))^*)^{-1} \text{ “}\{X\}\text{”}$ **using** *foo* **by** (*simp add: Image-mono rtrancl-mono*)
then have $\text{Restr } (\text{mult } r) (((\text{mult } r)^*)^{-1} \text{ “}\{X\}\text{”)} \subseteq \text{mult } (\text{Restr } (r^+)) (((r^+)^*)^{-1} \text{ “}\{X\}\text{”})$ “*set-mset X*”
by (*intro bar*) *auto*
then show $?thesis$ **using** *wf-mult assms wf-subset*
unfolding *wf-below-trancl[of r, symmetric]* **unfolding** *wf-below-def* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *qc-wf-below*:

assumes $s O ns \subseteq (s \cup ns)^* O s$ *wf-below s A*
shows *wf-below (ns* O s O ns*) A*
unfolding *wf-below-def*
proof (*intro wfI-pf*)
let $?A' = ((ns^* O s O ns^*)^*)^{-1} \text{ “} A$
fix X **assume** $X \subseteq \text{Restr } (ns^* O s O ns^*) ?A' \text{ “} X$
let $?X' = ((s \cup ns)^* \cap \text{UNIV} \times ((s^*)^{-1} \text{ “} A)) \text{ “} X$
have $*$: $s O (s \cup ns)^* \subseteq (s \cup ns)^* O s$
proof –
{ **fix** $x y z$ **assume** $(y, z) \in (s \cup ns)^*$ **and** $(x, y) \in s$
then have $(x, z) \in (s \cup ns)^* O s$

```

proof (induct y z)
  case rtrancl-refl then show ?case by auto
next
  case (rtrancl-into-rtrancl a b c)
  then have (x, c) ∈ ((s ∪ ns)* O (s ∪ ns))* O s using assms by blast
  then show ?case by simp
qed }
then show ?thesis by auto
qed
{ fix x assume x ∈ Restr (ns* O s O ns*) ?A' “ X
  then obtain y z where **: y ∈ X z ∈ A (y, x) ∈ ns* O s O ns* (x, z) ∈ (ns*
O s O ns*)* by blast
  have (ns* O s O ns*) O (ns* O s O ns*)* ⊆ (s ∪ ns)* by regexp
  then have (y, z) ∈ (s ∪ ns)* using *(3,4) by blast
  moreover have ?X' = {}
  proof (intro wfE-pf[OF assms(2)[unfolded wf-below-def]] subsetI)
    fix x assume x ∈ ?X'
    then have x ∈ ((s ∪ ns)* ∩ UNIV × ((s*)-1 “ A)) “ Restr (ns* O s O ns*)
?A' “ X using X by auto
    then obtain x0 y z where **: z ∈ X x0 ∈ A (z, y) ∈ ns* O s O ns* (y, x)
∈ (s ∪ ns)* (x, x0) ∈ s*
      unfolding Image-def by blast
      have (ns* O s O ns*) O (s ∪ ns)* ⊆ ns* O (s O (s ∪ ns*)) by regexp
      with *(3,4) have (z, x) ∈ ns* O (s O (s ∪ ns*)) by blast
      moreover have ns* O ((s ∪ ns)* O s) ⊆ (s ∪ ns)* O s by regexp
      ultimately have (z, x) ∈ (s ∪ ns)* O s using * by blast
      then obtain x' where z ∈ X (z, x') ∈ (s ∪ ns)* (x', x) ∈ s (x', x0) ∈ s*
(x, x0) ∈ s* x0 ∈ A
        using *(1,2,5) converse-rtrancl-into-rtrancl[OF - *(5)] by blast
        then show x ∈ Restr s ((s*)-1 “ A) “ ?X'
          unfolding Image-def by blast
        qed
      ultimately have False using *(1,2) unfolding Image-def by blast
    }
  then show X = {} using X by blast
qed

```

lemma *wf-below-mult2-s-local*:

```

assumes wf-below s (set-mset X) s O ns ⊆ s refl ns trans ns
shows wf-below (mult2-s ns s) {X}
using wf-below-mult-local[of s X] assms multpw-mult-commute[of s ns]
wf-below-mono1[of multpw ns O mult s (multpw ns)* O mult s O (multpw ns)*
{X}]
qc-wf-below[of mult s multpw ns {X}]
unfolding mult2-s-def by blast

```

3.7 Trivial cases

lemma *mult2-alt-emptyL*:

$(\{\#\}, Y) \in \text{mult2-alt } b \text{ ns } s \iff b \vee Y \neq \{\#\}$
unfolding *mult2-alt-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *mult2-alt-emptyR*:

$(X, \{\#\}) \in \text{mult2-alt } b \text{ ns } s \iff b \wedge X = \{\#\}$
unfolding *mult2-alt-def* **by** (*auto intro: multiset-eqI*)

lemma *mult2-alt-s-single*:

$(a, b) \in s \implies (\{\#a\#\}, \{\#b\#\}) \in \text{mult2-alt-s ns } s$
using *mult2-altI[of - \{\#\} - - \{\#\} - ns False s]* **by** *auto*

lemma *multpw-implies-mult2-alt-ns*:

assumes $(X, Y) \in \text{multpw ns}$
shows $(X, Y) \in \text{mult2-alt-ns ns } s$
using *assms* **by** (*intro mult2-alt-nsI[of X X \{\#\} Y Y \{\#\}]*) *auto*

lemma *mult2-alt-ns-conv*:

$\text{mult2-alt-ns ns } s = \text{mult2-alt-s ns } s \cup \text{multpw ns}$ (**is** $?l = ?r$)

proof (*intro equalityI subrelI*)

fix $X Y$ **assume** $(X, Y) \in ?l$

thm *mult2-alt-nsE*

then obtain $X1 X2 Y1 Y2$ **where** $X = X1 + X2$ $Y = Y1 + Y2$ $(X1, Y1) \in \text{multpw ns}$

$\forall x. x \in \# X2 \longrightarrow (\exists y. y \in \# Y2 \wedge (x, y) \in s)$ **by** (*auto elim: mult2-alt-nsE*)

then show $(X, Y) \in ?r$ **using** *count-inject[of X2 \{\#\}]*

by (*cases Y2 = \{\#\}*) (*auto intro: mult2-alt-sI elim: mult2-alt-nsE mult2-alt-sE*)

next

fix $X Y$ **assume** $(X, Y) \in ?r$ **then show** $(X, Y) \in ?l$

by (*auto intro: mult2-alt-nsI multpw-implies-mult2-alt-ns elim: mult2-alt-sE*)

qed

lemma *mult2-alt-s-implies-mult2-alt-ns*:

assumes $(X, Y) \in \text{mult2-alt-s ns } s$
shows $(X, Y) \in \text{mult2-alt-ns ns } s$
using *assms* **by** (*auto intro: mult2-alt-nsI elim: mult2-alt-sE*)

lemma *mult2-alt-add*:

assumes $(X1, Y1) \in \text{mult2-alt } b1 \text{ ns } s$ **and** $(X2, Y2) \in \text{mult2-alt } b2 \text{ ns } s$
shows $(X1 + X2, Y1 + Y2) \in \text{mult2-alt } (b1 \wedge b2) \text{ ns } s$

proof –

from *assms* **obtain** $X11 X12 Y11 Y12 X21 X22 Y21 Y22$ **where**

$X1 = X11 + X12$ $Y1 = Y11 + Y12$

$(X11, Y11) \in \text{multpw ns}$ $(b1 \vee Y12 \neq \{\#\})$ $(\forall x. x \in \# X12 \longrightarrow (\exists y. y \in \# Y12 \wedge (x, y) \in s))$

$X2 = X21 + X22$ $Y2 = Y21 + Y22$

$(X21, Y21) \in \text{multpw ns}$ $(b2 \vee Y22 \neq \{\#\})$ $(\forall x. x \in \# X22 \longrightarrow (\exists y. y \in \# Y22 \wedge (x, y) \in s))$

unfolding *mult2-alt-def* **by** (*blast 9*)

then show *?thesis*

by (intro mult2-altI[of - X11 + X21 X12 + X22 - Y11 + Y21 Y12 + Y22])
(auto intro: multpw-add simp: ac-simps)

qed

lemmas mult2-alt-s-s-add = mult2-alt-add[of - - False - - - False, unfolded
simp-thms]

lemmas mult2-alt-ns-s-add = mult2-alt-add[of - - True - - - False, unfolded
simp-thms]

lemmas mult2-alt-s-ns-add = mult2-alt-add[of - - False - - - True, unfolded
simp-thms]

lemmas mult2-alt-ns-ns-add = mult2-alt-add[of - - True - - - True, unfolded
simp-thms]

lemma multpw-map:

assumes $\bigwedge x y. x \in\# X \implies y \in\# Y \implies (x, y) \in ns \implies (f x, g y) \in ns'$

and $(X, Y) \in \text{multpw } ns$

shows $(\text{image-mset } f X, \text{image-mset } g Y) \in \text{multpw } ns'$

using *assms(2,1)* by (induct X Y rule: multpw.induct) (auto intro: multpw.intros)

lemma mult2-alt-map:

assumes $\bigwedge x y. x \in\# X \implies y \in\# Y \implies (x, y) \in ns \implies (f x, g y) \in ns'$

and $\bigwedge x y. x \in\# X \implies y \in\# Y \implies (x, y) \in s \implies (f x, g y) \in s'$

and $(X, Y) \in \text{mult2-alt } b \ ns \ s$

shows $(\text{image-mset } f X, \text{image-mset } g Y) \in \text{mult2-alt } b \ ns' \ s'$

proof -

from *assms(3)* obtain X1 X2 Y1 Y2 where $X = X1 + X2$ $Y = Y1 + Y2$
 $(X1, Y1) \in \text{multpw } ns$

$b \vee Y2 \neq \{\#\} \forall x. x \in\# X2 \longrightarrow (\exists y. y \in\# Y2 \wedge (x, y) \in s)$ by (auto elim:
mult2-altE)

moreover from *this(1,2,5)* have $\forall x. x \in\# \text{image-mset } f X2 \longrightarrow (\exists y. y \in\#$
 $\text{image-mset } g Y2 \wedge (x, y) \in s')$

using *assms(2)* by (simp add: in-image-mset image-iff) blast

ultimately show ?thesis using *assms multpw-map*[of X1 Y1 ns f g]

by (intro mult2-altI[of - image-mset f X1 image-mset f X2 - image-mset g Y1
image-mset g Y2]) auto

qed

Local transitivity of *mult2-alt*

lemma trans-mult2-alt-local:

assumes *ss*: $\bigwedge x y z. x \in\# X \implies y \in\# Y \implies z \in\# Z \implies (x, y) \in s \implies (y,$
 $z) \in s \implies (x, z) \in s$

and *ns*: $\bigwedge x y z. x \in\# X \implies y \in\# Y \implies z \in\# Z \implies (x, y) \in ns \implies (y, z)$
 $\in ns \implies (x, z) \in ns$

and *sn*: $\bigwedge x y z. x \in\# X \implies y \in\# Y \implies z \in\# Z \implies (x, y) \in s \implies (y, z)$
 $\in ns \implies (x, z) \in ns$

and *nn*: $\bigwedge x y z. x \in\# X \implies y \in\# Y \implies z \in\# Z \implies (x, y) \in ns \implies (y, z)$
 $\in ns \implies (x, z) \in ns$

and *xyz*: $(X, Y) \in \text{mult2-alt } b1 \ ns \ s$ $(Y, Z) \in \text{mult2-alt } b2 \ ns \ s$

```

shows  $(X, Z) \in \text{mult2-alt } (b1 \wedge b2) \text{ ns } s$ 
proof -
  let  $?a1 = \text{Enum.finite-3.a}_1$  and  $?a2 = \text{Enum.finite-3.a}_2$  and  $?a3 = \text{Enum.finite-3.a}_3$ 
  let  $?t = \{(?a1, ?a2), (?a1, ?a3), (?a2, ?a3)\}$ 
  let  $?A = \{(?a1, x) \mid x. x \in \# X\} \cup \{(?a2, y) \mid y. y \in \# Y\} \cup \{(?a3, z) \mid z. z \in \# Z\}$ 
  define  $s'$  where  $s' = \text{Restr } \{((a, x), (b, y)) \mid a x b y. (a, b) \in ?t \wedge (x, y) \in s\}$ 
   $?A$ 
  define  $ns'$  where  $ns' = (\text{Restr } \{((a, x), (b, y)) \mid a x b y. (a, b) \in ?t \wedge (x, y) \in ns\} ?A) =$ 
  have  $*$ :  $\text{refl } ns' \text{ trans } ns' \text{ trans } s' \text{ } s' \text{ } O \text{ } ns' \subseteq s' \text{ } ns' \text{ } O \text{ } s' \subseteq s'$ 
  by  $(\text{force simp: trans-def ss ns sn nn s'-def ns'-def})+$ 
  have  $(\{\#(?a1, x). x \in \# X\}, \{\#(?a2, y). y \in \# Y\}) \in \text{mult2-alt } b1 \text{ ns' } s'$ 
  by  $(\text{auto intro: mult2-alt-map[OF - - xyz(1)] simp: s'-def ns'-def})$ 
  moreover have  $(\{\#(?a2, y). y \in \# Y\}, \{\#(?a3, z). z \in \# Z\}) \in \text{mult2-alt } b2 \text{ ns' } s'$ 
  by  $(\text{auto intro: mult2-alt-map[OF - - xyz(2)] simp: s'-def ns'-def})$ 
  ultimately have  $(\{\#(?a1, x). x \in \# X\}, \{\#(?a3, z). z \in \# Z\}) \in \text{mult2-alt } (b1 \wedge b2) \text{ ns' } s'$ 
  using  $\text{mult2-s-eq-mult2-s-alt[OF } *(5,1,3)] \text{ mult2-ns-eq-mult2-ns-alt[OF } *(5,1,3)]$ 
 $\text{trans-mult2-s[OF } *(4,1,2)] \text{ trans-mult2-ns[OF } *(4,1,2)] \text{ compat-mult2[OF } *$ 
 $*(4,1,2)]$ 
  by  $(\text{cases } b1; \text{cases } b2) (\text{auto simp: trans-O-iff})$ 
  from  $\text{mult2-alt-map[OF - - this, of snd snd ns s]}$ 
  show  $?thesis$  by  $(\text{auto simp: s'-def ns'-def image-mset.compositionality comp-def in-image-mset image-iff})$ 
qed

```

```

lemmas  $\text{trans-mult2-alt-s-s-local} = \text{trans-mult2-alt-local}[of - - - - \text{False } \text{False}, \text{unfolded simp-thms}]$ 

```

```

lemmas  $\text{trans-mult2-alt-ns-s-local} = \text{trans-mult2-alt-local}[of - - - - \text{True } \text{False}, \text{unfolded simp-thms}]$ 

```

```

lemmas  $\text{trans-mult2-alt-s-ns-local} = \text{trans-mult2-alt-local}[of - - - - \text{False } \text{True}, \text{unfolded simp-thms}]$ 

```

```

lemmas  $\text{trans-mult2-alt-ns-ns-local} = \text{trans-mult2-alt-local}[of - - - - \text{True } \text{True}, \text{unfolded simp-thms}]$ 

```

end

3.8 Executable version

```

theory Multiset-Extension-Pair-Impl

```

```

  imports

```

```

    Multiset-Extension-Pair

```

```

begin

```

```

lemma subset-mult2-alt:

```

```

  assumes  $X \subseteq \# Y$   $(Y, Z) \in \text{mult2-alt } b \text{ ns } s \text{ } b \implies b'$ 

```

```

  shows  $(X, Z) \in \text{mult2-alt } b' \text{ ns } s$ 

```

proof –
from *assms(2)* **obtain** $Y1\ Y2\ Z1\ Z2$ **where** $*$: $Y = Y1 + Y2\ Z = Z1 + Z2$
 $(Y1, Z1) \in \text{multpw}\ ns\ b \vee Z2 \neq \{\#\} \forall y. y \in\# Y2 \longrightarrow (\exists z. z \in\# Z2 \wedge (y, z) \in s)$
unfolding *mult2-alt-def* **by** *blast*
define $Y11\ Y12\ X2$ **where** $Y11 = Y1 \cap\# X$ **and** $Y12 = Y1 - X$ **and** $X2 = X - Y11$
have $**$: $X = Y11 + X2\ X2 \subseteq\# Y2\ Y1 = Y11 + Y12$ **using** $*(1)$
by (*auto simp: Y11-def Y12-def X2-def multiset-eq-iff subseteq-mset-def*)
(*metis add.commute assms(1) le-diff-conv subseteq-mset-def*)
obtain $Z11\ Z12$ **where** $***$: $Z = Z11 + (Z12 + Z2)\ Z1 = Z11 + Z12$ ($Y11, Z11) \in \text{multpw}\ ns$
using $*(2,3)\ ***(3)$ **by** (*auto elim: multpw-splitR simp: ac-simps*)
moreover have $\forall y. y \in\# X2 \longrightarrow (\exists z. z \in\# Z12 + Z2 \wedge (y, z) \in s) \ b \vee Z12 + Z2 \neq \{\#\}$
using $*(4,5)\ ***(2)$ **by** (*auto dest!: mset-subset-eqD*)
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** $*(2)\ ***(1)\ assms(3)$ **unfolding** *mult2-alt-def* **by** *blast*
qed

Case distinction for recursion on left argument

lemma *mem-multiset-diff*: $x \in\# A \implies x \neq y \implies x \in\# (A - \{\#y\#})$
by (*metis add-mset-remove-trivial-If diff-single-trivial insert-noteq-member*)

lemma *mult2-alt-addL*: $(\text{add-mset}\ x\ X, Y) \in \text{mult2-alt}\ b\ ns\ s \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists y. y \in\# Y \wedge (x, y) \in s \wedge (\{\#x \in\# X. (x, y) \notin s\ \#\}, Y - \{\#y\#}) \in \text{mult2-alt-ns}\ ns\ s) \vee$
 $(\exists y. y \in\# Y \wedge (x, y) \in ns \wedge (x, y) \notin s \wedge (X, Y - \{\#y\#}) \in \text{mult2-alt}\ b\ ns\ s)$
(is *?L* \longleftrightarrow *?R1* \vee *?R2*)

proof (*intro iffI; (elim disjE)?*)

assume *?L* **then obtain** $X1\ X2\ Y1\ Y2$ **where** $*$: $\text{add-mset}\ x\ X = X1 + X2\ Y = Y1 + Y2$

$(X1, Y1) \in \text{multpw}\ ns\ b \vee Y2 \neq \{\#\} \forall x. x \in\# X2 \longrightarrow (\exists y. y \in\# Y2 \wedge (x, y) \in s)$

unfolding *mult2-alt-def* **by** *blast*

from *union-single-eq-member[OF this(1)] multi-member-split*

consider $X1'$ **where** $X1 = \text{add-mset}\ x\ X1'\ x \in\# X1 \mid X2'$ **where** $X2 = \text{add-mset}\ x\ X2'\ x \in\# X2$

unfolding *set-mset-union Un-iff* **by** *metis*

then show *?R1* \vee *?R2*

proof *cases*

case 1 **then obtain** $y\ Y1'$ **where** $**$: $y \in\# Y1\ Y1 = \text{add-mset}\ y\ Y1'\ (X1', Y1') \in \text{multpw}\ ns\ (x, y) \in ns$

using $*$ **by** (*auto elim: multpw-split1R*)

show *?thesis*

proof (*cases* $(x, y) \in s$)

case False **then show** *?thesis* **using** *mult2-altI[OF refl refl ***(3) *(4,5)] **

by (*auto simp: 1 ** intro: exI[of - y]*)

next

```

    case True
    define X2' where X2' = {# x ∈# X2. (x, y) ∉ s #}
    have x3: ∀ x. x ∈# X2' → (∃ z. z ∈# Y2 ∧ (x, z) ∈ s) using *(5) *(1,2)
  by (auto simp: X2'-def)
    have x4: {# x ∈# X. (x, y) ∉ s #} ⊆# X1' + X2' using *(1) 1
    by (auto simp: X2'-def multiset-eq-iff intro!: mset-subset-eqI split: if-splits
elim!: in-countE) (metis le-refl)
    show ?thesis using mult2-alt-nsI[OF refl refl *(3) x3, THEN subset-mult2-alt[OF
x4]]
      *(2) *(2) True by (auto intro: exI[of - y])
  qed
next
  case 2 then obtain y where **: y ∈# Y2 (x, y) ∈ s using * by blast
  define X2' where X2' = {# x ∈# X2. (x, y) ∉ s #}
  have x3: ∀ x. x ∈# X2' → (∃ z. z ∈# Y2 - {#y#} ∧ (x, z) ∈ s)
    using *(5) *(1,2) by (auto simp: X2'-def) (metis mem-multiset-diff)
  have x4: {# x ∈# X. (x, y) ∉ s #} ⊆# X1 + X2'
    using *(1) *(2) by (auto simp: X2'-def multiset-eq-iff intro!: mset-subset-eqI
split: if-splits)
  show ?thesis
    using mult2-alt-nsI[OF refl refl *(3) x3, THEN subset-mult2-alt[OF x4], of
True] *(1,2) *(2)
    by (auto simp: diff-union-single-conv[symmetric])
  qed
next
  assume ?R1
  then obtain y where *: y ∈# Y (x, y) ∈ s ({# x ∈# X. (x, y) ∉ s #}, Y -
{#y#}) ∈ mult2-alt-ns ns s
  by blast
  then have **: ({# x ∈# X. (x, y) ∈ s #} + {#x#}, {#y#}) ∈ mult2-alt b ns
s
  {# x ∈# X. (x, y) ∉ s #} + {# x ∈# X. (x, y) ∈ s #} = X
  by (auto intro: mult2-altI[of - {#} - - {#}] multiset-eqI split: if-splits)
  show ?L using mult2-alt-add[OF *(3) *(1)] * ** by (auto simp: union-assoc[symmetric])
next
  assume ?R2
  then obtain y where *: y ∈# Y (x, y) ∈ ns (X, Y - {#y#}) ∈ mult2-alt b
ns s by blast
  then show ?L using mult2-alt-add[OF *(3) multipw-implies-mult2-alt-ns, of
{#x#} {#y#}]
  by (auto intro: multipw-single)
  qed

```

Auxiliary version with an extra *bool* argument for distinguishing between the non-strict and the strict orders

```

context fixes nss :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool ⇒ bool
begin

```

```

fun mult2-impl0 :: 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool ⇒ bool

```



```

and mult2-ex-dom0 :: 'a ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool ⇒ bool
where
  mult2-impl0 [] [] b ↔ b
| mult2-impl0 xs [] b ↔ False
| mult2-impl0 [] ys b ↔ True
| mult2-impl0 (x # xs) ys b ↔ mult2-ex-dom0 x xs ys [] b

| mult2-ex-dom0 x xs [] ys' b ↔ False
| mult2-ex-dom0 x xs (y # ys) ys' b ↔
  nss x y False ∧ mult2-impl0 (filter (λx. ¬ nss x y False) xs) (ys @ ys') True ∨
  nss x y True ∧ ¬ nss x y False ∧ mult2-impl0 xs (ys @ ys') b ∨
  mult2-ex-dom0 x xs ys (y # ys') b

end

lemma mult2-impl0-sound:
  fixes nss
  defines ns ≡ {(x, y). nss x y True} and s ≡ {(x, y). nss x y False}
  shows mult2-impl0 nss xs ys b ↔ (mset xs, mset ys) ∈ mult2-alt b ns s
  mult2-ex-dom0 nss x xs ys ys' b ↔
    (∃ y. y ∈ # mset ys ∧ (x, y) ∈ s ∧ (mset (filter (λx. (x, y) ∉ s) xs), mset (ys
    @ ys') - {#y#}) ∈ mult2-alt True ns s) ∨
    (∃ y. y ∈ # mset ys ∧ (x, y) ∈ ns ∧ (x, y) ∉ s ∧ (mset xs, mset (ys @ ys') -
    {#y#}) ∈ mult2-alt b ns s)
  proof (induct xs ys b and x xs ys ys' b taking: nss rule: mult2-impl0-mult2-ex-dom0.induct)
  case (4 x xs y ys b) show ?case unfolding mult2-impl0.simps 4
  using mult2-alt-addL[of x mset xs mset (y # ys) b ns s] by (simp add:
  mset-filter)
  next
  case (6 x xs y ys ys' b) show ?case unfolding mult2-ex-dom0.simps 6
  using subset-mult2-alt[of mset [x←xs . (x, y) ∉ s] mset xs mset (ys @ ys') b ns
  s True]
  apply (intro iffI; elim disjE conjE exE; simp add: mset-filter ns-def s-def; (elim
  disjE)?)
  subgoal by (intro disjI1 exI[of - y]) auto
  subgoal by (intro disjI2 exI[of - y]) auto
  subgoal for y' by (intro disjI1 exI[of - y']) auto
  subgoal for y' by (intro disjI2 exI[of - y']) auto
  subgoal for y' by simp
  subgoal for y' by (rule disjI2, rule disjI2, rule disjI1, rule exI[of - y']) simp
  subgoal for y' by simp
  subgoal for y' by (rule disjI2, rule disjI2, rule disjI2, rule exI[of - y']) simp
  done
qed (auto simp: mult2-alt-emptyL mult2-alt-emptyR)

```

Now, instead of functions of type $bool \Rightarrow bool$, use pairs of type $bool \times bool$

definition [*simp*]: $or2\ a\ b = (fst\ a \vee\ fst\ b, snd\ a \vee\ snd\ b)$

```

context fixes sns :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool × bool
begin

fun mult2-impl :: 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool × bool
  and mult2-ex-dom :: 'a ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool × bool
  where
    mult2-impl [] [] = (False, True)
  | mult2-impl xs [] = (False, False)
  | mult2-impl [] ys = (True, True)
  | mult2-impl (x # xs) ys = mult2-ex-dom x xs ys []

  | mult2-ex-dom x xs [] ys' = (False, False)
  | mult2-ex-dom x xs (y # ys) ys' =
    (case sns x y of
     (True, -) ⇒ if snd (mult2-impl (filter (λx. ¬ fst (sns x y)) xs) (ys @ ys'))
    then (True, True)
     else mult2-ex-dom x xs ys (y # ys'))
  | (False, True) ⇒ or2 (mult2-impl xs (ys @ ys')) (mult2-ex-dom x xs ys (y #
ys'))
  | - ⇒ mult2-ex-dom x xs ys (y # ys'))
end

lemma mult2-impl-sound0:
  defines pair ≡ λf. (f False, f True) and fun ≡ λp b. if b then snd p else fst p
  shows mult2-impl sns xs ys = pair (mult2-impl0 (λx y. fun (sns x y)) xs ys) (is
?P)
  mult2-ex-dom sns x xs ys ys' = pair (mult2-ex-dom0 (λx y. fun (sns x y)) x xs
ys ys') (is ?Q)
proof -
  show ?P ?Q
proof (induct xs ys and x xs ys ys' taking: sns rule: mult2-impl-mult2-ex-dom.induct)
  case (6 x xs y ys')
  show ?case unfolding mult2-ex-dom.simps mult2-ex-dom0.simps
  by (fastforce simp: pair-def fun-def 6 if-bool-eq-conj split: prod.splits bool.splits)
  qed (auto simp: pair-def fun-def if-bool-eq-conj)
qed

lemmas mult2-impl-sound = mult2-impl-sound0(1)[unfolded mult2-impl0-sound
if-True if-False]
end

```

4 Multiset extension of order pairs in the other direction

Many term orders are formulated in the other direction, i.e., they use strong normalization of $>$ instead of well-foundedness of $<$. Here, we flip the direction of the multiset extension of two orders, connect it to existing interfaces, and prove some further properties of the multiset extension.

```

theory Multiset-Extension2
  imports
    List-Order
    Multiset-Extension-Pair
begin

```

4.1 List based characterization of *multpw*

```

lemma multpw-listI:
  assumes  $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys \ X = \text{mset } xs \ Y = \text{mset } ys$ 
     $\forall i. i < \text{length } ys \longrightarrow (xs ! i, ys ! i) \in ns$ 
  shows  $(X, Y) \in \text{multpw } ns$ 
  using assms
proof (induct xs arbitrary: ys X Y)
  case (Nil ys) then show ?case by (cases ys) (auto intro: multpw.intros)
next
  case Cons1: (Cons x xs ys' X Y) then show ?case
  proof (cases ys')
    case (Cons y ys)
      then have  $\forall i. i < \text{length } ys \longrightarrow (xs ! i, ys ! i) \in ns$  using Cons1(5) by
fastforce
      then show ?thesis using Cons1(2,5) by (auto intro!: multpw.intros simp: Cons(1) Cons1)
    qed auto
  qed

```

```

lemma multpw-listE:
  assumes  $(X, Y) \in \text{multpw } ns$ 
  obtains  $xs \ ys$  where  $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys \ X = \text{mset } xs \ Y = \text{mset } ys$ 
     $\forall i. i < \text{length } ys \longrightarrow (xs ! i, ys ! i) \in ns$ 
  using assms
proof (induct X Y arbitrary: thesis rule: multpw.induct)
  case (add x y X Y)
  then obtain  $xs \ ys$  where  $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys \ X = \text{mset } xs$ 
     $Y = \text{mset } ys \ (\forall i. i < \text{length } ys \longrightarrow (xs ! i, ys ! i) \in ns)$  by blast
  then show ?case using add(1) by (intro add(4)[of x # xs y # ys]) (auto, case-tac i, auto)
  qed auto

```

4.2 Definition of the multiset extension of \succ -orders

We define here the non-strict extension of the order pair (\succcurlyeq, \succ) – usually written as (ns, s) in the sources – by just flipping the directions twice.

definition *ns-mul-ext* :: '*a rel* \Rightarrow '*a rel* \Rightarrow '*a multiset rel*
where *ns-mul-ext ns s* $\equiv (\text{mult2-alt-ns } (ns^{-1}) (s^{-1}))^{-1}$

```

lemma ns-mul-extI:
  assumes  $A = A1 + A2$  and  $B = B1 + B2$ 
  and  $(A1, B1) \in \text{multpw } ns$ 

```

and $\bigwedge b. b \in \# B2 \implies \exists a. a \in \# A2 \wedge (a, b) \in s$
shows $(A, B) \in ns\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp: ns-mul-ext-def multpw-converse intro!: mult2-alt-nsI*)

lemma *ns-mul-extE*:

assumes $(A, B) \in ns\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$
obtains $A1 \ A2 \ B1 \ B2$ **where** $A = A1 + A2$ **and** $B = B1 + B2$
and $(A1, B1) \in multpw \ ns$
and $\bigwedge b. b \in \# B2 \implies \exists a. a \in \# A2 \wedge (a, b) \in s$
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp: ns-mul-ext-def multpw-converse elim!: mult2-alt-nsE*)

lemmas *ns-mul-extI-old* = *ns-mul-extI*[*OF - - multpw-listI*[*OF - refl refl*], *rule-format*]

Same for the "greater than" order on multisets.

definition *s-mul-ext* :: '*a rel* \Rightarrow '*a rel* \Rightarrow '*a multiset rel*
where *s-mul-ext* *ns s* $\equiv (mult2\text{-alt-}s \ (ns^{-1}) \ (s^{-1}))^{-1}$

lemma *s-mul-extI*:

assumes $A = A1 + A2$ **and** $B = B1 + B2$
and $(A1, B1) \in multpw \ ns$
and $A2 \neq \{\#\}$ **and** $\bigwedge b. b \in \# B2 \implies \exists a. a \in \# A2 \wedge (a, b) \in s$
shows $(A, B) \in s\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp: s-mul-ext-def multpw-converse intro!: mult2-alt-sI*)

lemma *s-mul-extE*:

assumes $(A, B) \in s\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$
obtains $A1 \ A2 \ B1 \ B2$ **where** $A = A1 + A2$ **and** $B = B1 + B2$
and $(A1, B1) \in multpw \ ns$
and $A2 \neq \{\#\}$ **and** $\bigwedge b. b \in \# B2 \implies \exists a. a \in \# A2 \wedge (a, b) \in s$
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp: s-mul-ext-def multpw-converse elim!: mult2-alt-sE*)

lemmas *s-mul-extI-old* = *s-mul-extI*[*OF - - multpw-listI*[*OF - refl refl*], *rule-format*]

4.3 Basic properties

lemma *s-mul-ext-mono*:

assumes $ns \subseteq ns' \ s \subseteq s'$ **shows** $s\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s \subseteq s\text{-mul-ext } ns' \ s'$
unfolding *s-mul-ext-def* **using** *assms* *mono-mult2-alt*[*of* $ns^{-1} \ ns'^{-1} \ s^{-1} \ s'^{-1}$]
by *simp*

lemma *ns-mul-ext-mono*:

assumes $ns \subseteq ns' \ s \subseteq s'$ **shows** $ns\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s \subseteq ns\text{-mul-ext } ns' \ s'$
unfolding *ns-mul-ext-def* **using** *assms* *mono-mult2-alt*[*of* $ns^{-1} \ ns'^{-1} \ s^{-1} \ s'^{-1}$]
by *simp*

lemma *s-mul-ext-local-mono*:

assumes *sub*: $(set\text{-mset } xs \times set\text{-mset } ys) \cap ns \subseteq ns' \ (set\text{-mset } xs \times set\text{-mset } ys)$
 $\cap s \subseteq s'$
and *rel*: $(xs, ys) \in s\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$
shows $(xs, ys) \in s\text{-mul-ext } ns' \ s'$

using *rel s-mul-ext-mono*[*OF sub*] *mult2-alt-local*[*of ys xs False ns⁻¹ s⁻¹*]
by (*auto simp: s-mul-ext-def converse-Int ac-simps converse-Times*)

lemma *ns-mul-ext-local-mono*:

assumes *sub*: (*set-mset xs* × *set-mset ys*) ∩ *ns* ⊆ *ns'* (*set-mset xs* × *set-mset ys*)
∩ *s* ⊆ *s'*
and *rel*: (*xs,ys*) ∈ *ns-mul-ext ns s*
shows (*xs,ys*) ∈ *ns-mul-ext ns' s'*
using *rel ns-mul-ext-mono*[*OF sub*] *mult2-alt-local*[*of ys xs True ns⁻¹ s⁻¹*]
by (*auto simp: ns-mul-ext-def converse-Int ac-simps converse-Times*)

lemma *s-mul-ext-ord-s* [*mono*]:

assumes $\bigwedge s t. \text{ord } s t \longrightarrow \text{ord}' s t$
shows (*s, t*) ∈ *s-mul-ext ns* {(*s,t*). *ord s t*} \longrightarrow (*s, t*) ∈ *s-mul-ext ns* {(*s,t*). *ord'*
s t}
using *assms s-mul-ext-mono* **by** (*metis (mono-tags) case-prod-conv mem-Collect-eq*
old.prod.exhaust subset-eq)

lemma *ns-mul-ext-ord-s* [*mono*]:

assumes $\bigwedge s t. \text{ord } s t \longrightarrow \text{ord}' s t$
shows (*s, t*) ∈ *ns-mul-ext ns* {(*s,t*). *ord s t*} \longrightarrow (*s, t*) ∈ *ns-mul-ext ns* {(*s,t*).
ord' s t}
using *assms ns-mul-ext-mono* **by** (*metis (mono-tags) case-prod-conv mem-Collect-eq*
old.prod.exhaust subset-eq)

The empty multiset is the minimal element for these orders

lemma *ns-mul-ext-bottom*: (*A*,{#}) ∈ *ns-mul-ext ns s*
by (*auto intro!: ns-mul-extI*)

lemma *ns-mul-ext-bottom-uniqueness*:

assumes ({#},*A*) ∈ *ns-mul-ext ns s*
shows *A* = {#}
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp: ns-mul-ext-def mult2-alt-ns-def*)

lemma *ns-mul-ext-bottom2*:

assumes (*A,B*) ∈ *ns-mul-ext ns s*
and *B* ≠ {#}
shows *A* ≠ {#}
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp: ns-mul-ext-def mult2-alt-ns-def*)

lemma *s-mul-ext-bottom*:

assumes *A* ≠ {#}
shows (*A*,{#}) ∈ *s-mul-ext ns s*
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp: s-mul-ext-def mult2-alt-s-def*)

lemma *s-mul-ext-bottom-strict*:

{#},*A* ∉ *s-mul-ext ns s*
by (*auto simp: s-mul-ext-def mult2-alt-s-def*)

Obvious introduction rules.

lemma *all-ns-ns-mul-ext*:
assumes $\text{length } as = \text{length } bs$
and $\forall i. i < \text{length } bs \longrightarrow (as ! i, bs ! i) \in ns$
shows $(\text{mset } as, \text{mset } bs) \in ns\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$
using *assms* **by** $(\text{auto intro!}: ns\text{-mul-extI}[\text{of } - - \{\#\} - - \{\#\}] \text{ multipw-listI})$

lemma *all-s-s-mul-ext*:
assumes $A \neq \{\#\}$
and $\forall b. b \in\# B \longrightarrow (\exists a. a \in\# A \wedge (a,b) \in s)$
shows $(A, B) \in s\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$
using *assms* **by** $(\text{auto intro!}: s\text{-mul-extI}[\text{of } - \{\#\} - - \{\#\}] \text{ multipw-listI})$

Being strictly lesser than implies being lesser than

lemma *s-ns-mul-ext*:
assumes $(A, B) \in s\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$
shows $(A, B) \in ns\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$
using *assms* **by** $(\text{simp add}: s\text{-mul-ext-def } ns\text{-mul-ext-def } \text{mult2-alt-s-implies-mult2-alt-ns})$

The non-strict order is reflexive.

lemma *multipw-refl'*:
assumes *locally-refl* $ns \ A$
shows $(A, A) \in \text{multipw } ns$
proof –
have $\text{Restr Id } (\text{set-mset } A) \subseteq ns$ **using** *assms* **by** $(\text{auto simp}: \text{locally-refl-def})$
from $\text{refl-multipw}[\text{of } \text{Id}] \text{ multipw-local}[\text{of } A \ A \ \text{Id}] \text{ mono-multipw}[\text{OF } \text{this}]$
show *thesis* **by** $(\text{auto simp}: \text{refl-on-def})$
qed

lemma *ns-mul-ext-refl-local*:
assumes *locally-refl* $ns \ A$
shows $(A, A) \in ns\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$
using *assms* **by** $(\text{auto intro!}: ns\text{-mul-extI}[\text{of } A \ A \ \{\#\} \ A \ A \ \{\#\} \ ns \ s] \text{ multipw-refl'})$

lemma *ns-mul-ext-refl*:
assumes *refl* ns
shows $(A, A) \in ns\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$
using *assms* $ns\text{-mul-ext-refl-local}[\text{of } ns \ A \ s]$ **unfolding** *refl-on-def* *locally-refl-def*
by *auto*

The orders are union-compatible

lemma *ns-s-mul-ext-union-multiset-l*:
assumes $(A, B) \in ns\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$
and $C \neq \{\#\}$
and $\forall d. d \in\# D \longrightarrow (\exists c. c \in\# C \wedge (c,d) \in s)$
shows $(A + C, B + D) \in s\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$
using *assms* **unfolding** $ns\text{-mul-ext-def } s\text{-mul-ext-def}$
by $(\text{auto intro!}: \text{converseI } \text{mult2-alt-ns-s-add } \text{mult2-alt-sI}[\text{of } - \{\#\} - - \{\#\}])$

lemma *s-mul-ext-union-compat*:

assumes $(A, B) \in s\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$
and *locally-refl* $ns \ C$
shows $(A + C, B + C) \in s\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$
using *assms ns-mul-ext-refl-local*[*OF assms(2)*] **unfolding** *ns-mul-ext-def s-mul-ext-def*
by (*auto intro! : converseI mult2-alt-s-ns-add*)

lemma *ns-mul-ext-union-compat*:

assumes $(A, B) \in ns\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$
and *locally-refl* $ns \ C$
shows $(A + C, B + C) \in ns\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$
using *assms ns-mul-ext-refl-local*[*OF assms(2)*] **unfolding** *ns-mul-ext-def s-mul-ext-def*
by (*auto intro! : converseI mult2-alt-ns-ns-add*)

context

fixes $NS :: 'a \ rel$
assumes $NS : refl \ NS$

begin

lemma *refl-imp-locally-refl*: *locally-refl* $NS \ A$ **using** NS **unfolding** *refl-on-def locally-refl-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *supseteq-imp-ns-mul-ext*:

assumes $A \supseteq\# \ B$
shows $(A, B) \in ns\text{-mul-ext } NS \ S$
using *assms*
by (*auto intro! : ns-mul-extI*[*of A B A - B B B {#}*] *multpw-refl' refl-imp-locally-refl*
simp : subset-mset.add-diff-inverse)

lemma *supset-imp-s-mul-ext*:

assumes $A \supset\# \ B$
shows $(A, B) \in s\text{-mul-ext } NS \ S$
using *assms subset-mset.add-diff-inverse*[*of B A*]
by (*auto intro! : s-mul-extI*[*of A B A - B B B {#}*] *multpw-refl' refl-imp-locally-refl*
simp : Diff-eq-empty-iff-mset)

end

definition *mul-ext* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool \times bool) \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow bool \times bool$
where *mul-ext* $f \ xs \ ys \equiv let \ s = \{(x,y). fst \ (f \ x \ y)\}; \ ns = \{(x,y). snd \ (f \ x \ y)\}$
in $((mset \ xs, mset \ ys) \in s\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s, (mset \ xs, mset \ ys) \in ns\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s)$

definition *smulextp* $f \ m \ n \longleftrightarrow (m, n) \in s\text{-mul-ext } \{(x, y). snd \ (f \ x \ y)\} \{(x, y). fst \ (f \ x \ y)\}$

definition *nsmulextp* $f \ m \ n \longleftrightarrow (m, n) \in ns\text{-mul-ext } \{(x, y). snd \ (f \ x \ y)\} \{(x, y). fst \ (f \ x \ y)\}$

lemma *smulextp-cong*[*fundef-cong*]:

assumes $xs1 = ys1$
and $xs2 = ys2$

and $\bigwedge x x'. x \in \# ys1 \implies x' \in \# ys2 \implies f x x' = g x x'$
shows $smulextp f xs1 xs2 = smulextp g ys1 ys2$
unfolding $smulextp-def$
proof
assume $(xs1, xs2) \in s-mul-ext \{(x, y). snd (f x y)\} \{(x, y). fst (f x y)\}$
from $s-mul-ext-local-mono[OF - - this, of \{(x, y). snd (g x y)\} \{(x, y). fst (g x y)\}]$
show $(ys1, ys2) \in s-mul-ext \{(x, y). snd (g x y)\} \{(x, y). fst (g x y)\}$
using $assms$ **by force**
next
assume $(ys1, ys2) \in s-mul-ext \{(x, y). snd (g x y)\} \{(x, y). fst (g x y)\}$
from $s-mul-ext-local-mono[OF - - this, of \{(x, y). snd (f x y)\} \{(x, y). fst (f x y)\}]$
show $(xs1, xs2) \in s-mul-ext \{(x, y). snd (f x y)\} \{(x, y). fst (f x y)\}$
using $assms$ **by force**
qed

lemma $nsmulextp-cong[fundef-cong]$:

assumes $xs1 = ys1$
and $xs2 = ys2$
and $\bigwedge x x'. x \in \# ys1 \implies x' \in \# ys2 \implies f x x' = g x x'$
shows $nsmulextp f xs1 xs2 = nsmulextp g ys1 ys2$
unfolding $nsmulextp-def$

proof

assume $(xs1, xs2) \in ns-mul-ext \{(x, y). snd (f x y)\} \{(x, y). fst (f x y)\}$
from $ns-mul-ext-local-mono[OF - - this, of \{(x, y). snd (g x y)\} \{(x, y). fst (g x y)\}]$
show $(ys1, ys2) \in ns-mul-ext \{(x, y). snd (g x y)\} \{(x, y). fst (g x y)\}$
using $assms$ **by force**
next
assume $(ys1, ys2) \in ns-mul-ext \{(x, y). snd (g x y)\} \{(x, y). fst (g x y)\}$
from $ns-mul-ext-local-mono[OF - - this, of \{(x, y). snd (f x y)\} \{(x, y). fst (f x y)\}]$
show $(xs1, xs2) \in ns-mul-ext \{(x, y). snd (f x y)\} \{(x, y). fst (f x y)\}$
using $assms$ **by force**
qed

definition $mulextp f m n = (smulextp f m n, nsmulextp f m n)$

lemma $mulextp-cong[fundef-cong]$:

assumes $xs1 = ys1$
and $xs2 = ys2$
and $\bigwedge x x'. x \in \# ys1 \implies x' \in \# ys2 \implies f x x' = g x x'$
shows $mulextp f xs1 xs2 = mulextp g ys1 ys2$
unfolding $mulextp-def$ **using** $assms$ **by** $(auto cong: nsmulextp-cong smulextp-cong)$

lemma $mset-s-mul-ext$:

$(mset xs, mset ys) \in s-mul-ext \{(x, y). snd (f x y)\} \{(x, y).fst (f x y)\} \longleftrightarrow$

fst (mul-ext f xs ys)
by (*auto simp: mul-ext-def Let-def*)

lemma *mset-ns-mul-ext*:
 $(mset\ xs, mset\ ys) \in ns\text{-mul-ext}\ \{(x, y). snd\ (f\ x\ y)\}\ \{(x, y).fst\ (f\ x\ y)\} \longleftrightarrow$
 $snd\ (mul\text{-ext}\ f\ xs\ ys)$
by (*auto simp: mul-ext-def Let-def*)

lemma *smulextp-mset-code*:
 $smulextp\ f\ (mset\ xs)\ (mset\ ys) \longleftrightarrow fst\ (mul\text{-ext}\ f\ xs\ ys)$
unfolding *smulextp-def mset-s-mul-ext ..*

lemma *nsmulextp-mset-code*:
 $nsmulextp\ f\ (mset\ xs)\ (mset\ ys) \longleftrightarrow snd\ (mul\text{-ext}\ f\ xs\ ys)$
unfolding *nsmulextp-def mset-ns-mul-ext ..*

lemma *nstri-mul-ext-map*:
assumes $\bigwedge s\ t. s \in set\ ss \implies t \in set\ ts \implies fst\ (order\ s\ t) \implies fst\ (order'\ (f\ s)$
 $(f\ t))$
and $\bigwedge s\ t. s \in set\ ss \implies t \in set\ ts \implies snd\ (order\ s\ t) \implies snd\ (order'\ (f\ s)\ (f$
 $t))$
and $snd\ (mul\text{-ext}\ order\ ss\ ts)$
shows $snd\ (mul\text{-ext}\ order'\ (map\ f\ ss)\ (map\ f\ ts))$
using *assms mult2-alt-map[of mset ts mset ss {(t, s). snd (order s t)} f f*
 $\{(t, s). snd\ (order'\ s\ t)\}\ \{(t, s). fst\ (order\ s\ t)\}\ \{(t, s). fst\ (order'\ s\ t)\}\ True]$
by (*auto simp: mul-ext-def ns-mul-ext-def converse-unfold*)

lemma *stri-mul-ext-map*:
assumes $\bigwedge s\ t. s \in set\ ss \implies t \in set\ ts \implies fst\ (order\ s\ t) \implies fst\ (order'\ (f\ s)$
 $(f\ t))$
and $\bigwedge s\ t. s \in set\ ss \implies t \in set\ ts \implies snd\ (order\ s\ t) \implies snd\ (order'\ (f\ s)\ (f$
 $t))$
and $fst\ (mul\text{-ext}\ order\ ss\ ts)$
shows $fst\ (mul\text{-ext}\ order'\ (map\ f\ ss)\ (map\ f\ ts))$
using *assms mult2-alt-map[of mset ts mset ss {(t,s). snd (order s t)} f f*
 $\{(t, s). snd\ (order'\ s\ t)\}\ \{(t, s). fst\ (order\ s\ t)\}\ \{(t, s). fst\ (order'\ s\ t)\}\ False]$
by (*auto simp: mul-ext-def s-mul-ext-def converse-unfold*)

lemma *mul-ext-arg-empty*: $snd\ (mul\text{-ext}\ f\ []\ xs) \implies xs = []$
unfolding *mul-ext-def Let-def* **by** (*auto simp: ns-mul-ext-def mult2-alt-def*)

The non-strict order is irreflexive

lemma *s-mul-ext-irrefl*: **assumes** *irr: irrefl-on (set-mset A) S*
and *S-NS: S ⊆ NS*
and *compat: S O NS ⊆ S*
shows $(A, A) \notin s\text{-mul-ext}\ NS\ S$ **using** *irr*
proof (*induct A rule: wf-induct[OF wf-measure[of size]]*)
case $(1\ A)$

```

show ?case
proof
  assume (A,A) ∈ s-mul-ext NS S
  from s-mul-extE[OF this]
  obtain A1 A2 B1 B2 where
    A: A = A1 + A2
    and B: A = B1 + B2
    and AB1: (A1, B1) ∈ multipw NS
    and ne: A2 ≠ {#}
    and S:  $\bigwedge b. b \in \# B2 \implies \exists a. a \in \# A2 \wedge (a, b) \in S$ 
  by blast
  from multipw-listE[OF AB1] obtain as1 bs1 where
    l1: length as1 = length bs1
    and A1: A1 = mset as1
    and B1: B1 = mset bs1
    and NS:  $\bigwedge i. i < \text{length } bs1 \implies (as1 ! i, bs1 ! i) \in NS$  by blast

  note NSS = NS
  note SS = S

  obtain as2 where A2: A2 = mset as2 by (metis ex-mset)
  obtain bs2 where B2: B2 = mset bs2 by (metis ex-mset)
  define as where as = as1 @ as2
  define bs where bs = bs1 @ bs2
  have as: A = mset as unfolding A A1 A2 as-def by simp
  have bs: A = mset bs unfolding B B1 B2 bs-def by simp
  from as bs have abs: mset as = mset bs by simp
  hence set-ab: set as = set bs by (rule mset-eq-setD)
  let ?n = length bs
  have las: length as = ?n
    using mset-eq-length abs by fastforce
  let ?m = length bs1
  define decr where decr j i  $\equiv$ 
    (as ! j, bs ! i) ∈ NS  $\wedge$  (i < ?m  $\longrightarrow$  j = i)  $\wedge$  (?m ≤ i  $\longrightarrow$  ?m ≤ j  $\wedge$  (as ! j,
bs ! i) ∈ S) for i j
  define step where step i j k =
    (i < ?n  $\wedge$  j < ?n  $\wedge$  k < ?n  $\wedge$  bs ! k = as ! j  $\wedge$  decr j i)
  for i j k
  {
  fix i
  assume i: i < ?n
  let ?b = bs ! i
  have  $\exists j. j < ?n \wedge \text{decr } j i$ 
  proof (cases i < ?m)
    case False
    with i have ?b ∈ set bs2 unfolding bs-def
    by (auto simp: nth-append)
    hence ?b ∈ # B2 unfolding B2 by auto
    from S[OF this, unfolded A2] obtain a where a: a ∈ set as2 and S: (a,

```

```

?b) ∈ S
  by auto
  from a obtain k where a: a = as2 ! k and k: k < length as2 unfolding
set-conv-nth by auto
  have a = as ! (?m + k) unfolding a as-def l1[symmetric] by simp
  from S[unfolded this] S-NS False k
  show ?thesis unfolding decr-def
  by (intro exI[of - ?m + k], auto simp: las[symmetric] l1[symmetric] as-def)
next
case True
from NS[OF this] i True show ?thesis unfolding decr-def
  by (auto simp: as-def bs-def l1 nth-append)
qed (insert i NS)
from this[unfolded set-conv-nth] las
obtain j where j: j < ?n and decr: decr j i by auto
let ?a = as ! j
from j las have ?a ∈ set as by auto
from this[unfolded set-ab, unfolded set-conv-nth] obtain k where
  k: k < ?n and id: ?a = bs ! k by auto
have ∃ j k. step i j k
  using j k decr id i unfolding step-def by metis
}
hence ∀ i. ∃ j k. i < ?n → step i j k by blast
from choice[OF this] obtain J' where ∀ i. ∃ k. i < ?n → step i (J' i) k
by blast
from choice[OF this] obtain K' where
  step: ∧ i. i < ?n ⇒ step i (J' i) (K' i) by blast
define I where I i = (K' i) 0 for i
define J where J i = J' (I i) for i
define K where K i = K' (I i) for i
from ne have A ≠ {#} unfolding A by auto
hence set as ≠ {} unfolding as by auto
hence length as ≠ 0 by simp
hence n0: 0 < ?n using las by auto
{
  fix n
  have step (I n) (J n) (K n)
  proof (induct n)
    case 0
    from step[OF n0] show ?case unfolding I-def J-def K-def by auto
  next
  case (Suc n)
  from Suc have K n < ?n unfolding step-def by auto
  from step[OF this] show ?case unfolding J-def K-def I-def by auto
  qed
}
note step = this
have I n ∈ {..<?n} for n using step[of n] unfolding step-def by auto
hence I ' UNIV ⊆ {..<?n} by auto

```

```

from finite-subset[OF this] have finite (I ' UNIV) by simp
from pigeonhole-infinite[OF - this] obtain m where
  infinite {i. I i = I m} by auto
hence  $\exists m'. m' > m \wedge I m' = I m$ 
  by (simp add: infinite-nat-iff-unbounded)
then obtain m' where  $*$ :  $m < m' \wedge I m' = I m$  by auto
let  $?P = \lambda n. \exists m. n \neq 0 \wedge I (n + m) = I m$ 
define n where n = (LEAST n.  $?P n$ )
have  $\exists n. ?P n$ 
  by (rule exI[of - m' - m], rule exI[of - m], insert *, auto)
from LeastI-ex[of ?P, OF this, folded n-def]
obtain m where  $n: n \neq 0$  and Im:  $I (n + m) = I m$  by auto
let  $?M = \{m..<m+n\}$ 
{
  fix i j
  assume  $*$ :  $m \leq i < j < n + m$ 
  define k where  $k = j - i$ 
  have  $k0: k \neq 0$  and  $j: j = k + i$  and  $kn: k < n$  using  $*$  unfolding k-def
by auto
  from not-less-Least[of - ?P, folded n-def, OF kn] k0
  have  $I i \neq I j$  unfolding j by metis
}
hence inj: inj-on I  $?M$  unfolding inj-on-def
  by (metis add.commute atLeastLessThan-iff linorder-neqE-nat)
define b where  $b i = bs ! I i$  for i
have bnm:  $b (n + m) = b m$  unfolding b-def Im ..
{
  fix i
  from step[of i, unfolded step-def]
  have id:  $bs ! K i = as ! J i$  and decr: decr (J i) (I i) by auto
  from id decr[unfolded decr-def] have  $(bs ! K i, bs ! I i) \in NS$  by auto
  also have  $K i = I (Suc i)$  unfolding I-def K-def by auto
  finally have  $(b (Suc i), b i) \in NS$  unfolding b-def by auto
} note NS = this
{
  fix i j :: nat
  assume  $i \leq j$ 
  then obtain k where  $j: j = i + k$  by (rule less-eqE)
  have  $(b j, b i) \in NS^*$  unfolding j
  proof (induct k)
    case (Suc k)
    thus  $?case$  using NS[of i + k] by auto
  qed auto
} note NSstar = this
{
  assume  $\exists i \in ?M. I i \geq ?m$ 
  then obtain k where  $k: k \in ?M$  and  $I k \geq ?m$  by auto
  from step[of k, unfolded step-def]
  have id:  $bs ! K k = as ! J k$  and decr: decr (J k) (I k) by auto

```

```

from id decr[unfolded decr-def] I have (bs ! K k, bs ! I k) ∈ S by auto
also have K k = I (Suc k) unfolding I-def K-def by auto
finally have S: (b (Suc k), b k) ∈ S unfolding b-def by auto
from k have m ≤ k by auto
from NSstar[OF this] have NS1: (b k, b m) ∈ NS∗.
from k have Suc k ≤ n + m by auto
from NSstar[OF this, unfolded bnm] have NS2: (b m, b (Suc k)) ∈ NS∗.
from NS1 NS2 have (b k, b (Suc k)) ∈ NS∗ by simp
with S have (b (Suc k), b (Suc k)) ∈ S O NS∗ by auto
also have ... ⊆ S using compat
by (metis compat-tr-compat converse-inward(1) converse-mono converse-relcomp)
  finally have contradiction: b (Suc k) ∉ set-mset A using 1 unfolding
irrefl-on-def by auto
  have b (Suc k) ∈ set bs unfolding b-def using step[of Suc k] unfolding
step-def
  by auto
  also have set bs = set-mset A unfolding bs by auto
  finally have False using contradiction by auto
}
hence only-NS: i ∈ ?M ⇒ I i < ?m for i by force
{
  fix i
  assume i: i ∈ ?M
  from step[of i, unfolded step-def] have *: I i < ?n K i < ?n
    and id: bs ! K i = as ! J i and decr: decr (J i) (I i) by auto
  from decr[unfolded decr-def] only-NS[OF i] have J i = I i by auto
  with id have id: bs ! K i = as ! I i by auto
  note only-NS[OF i] id
} note pre-result = this
{
  fix i
  assume i: i ∈ ?M
  have *: I i < ?m K i < ?m
  proof (rule pre-result[OF i])
    have ∃ j ∈ ?M. K i = I j
    proof (cases Suc i ∈ ?M)
      case True
        show ?thesis by (rule bexI[OF - True], auto simp: K-def I-def)
      next
        case False
          with i have id: n + m = Suc i by auto
          hence id: K i = I m by (subst Im[symmetric], unfold id, auto simp: K-def
I-def)
            with i show ?thesis by (intro bexI[of - m], auto simp: K-def I-def)
          qed
          with pre-result show K i < ?m by auto
        qed
      from pre-result(2)[OF i] * l1 have bs1 ! K i = as1 ! I i K i = I (Suc i)
        unfolding as-def bs-def by (auto simp: nth-append K-def I-def)

```

```

with * have bs1 ! I (Suc i) = as1 ! I i I i < ?m I (Suc i) < ?m
  by auto
} note pre-identities = this
define M where M = ?M
note inj = inj[folded M-def]
define nxt where nxt i = (if Suc i = n + m then m else Suc i) for i
define prv where prv i = (if i = m then n + m - 1 else i - 1) for i
{
  fix i
  assume i ∈ M
  hence i: i ∈ ?M unfolding M-def by auto
  from i n have inM: nxt i ∈ M prv i ∈ M nxt (prv i) = i prv (nxt i) = i
    unfolding nxt-def prv-def by (auto simp: M-def)
  from i pre-identities[OF i] pre-identities[of m] Im n
  have nxt: bs1 ! I (nxt i) = as1 ! I i
    unfolding nxt-def prv-def by (auto simp: M-def)
  note nxt inM
} note identities = this

note identities = identities[folded M-def]
define Drop where Drop = I ' M

define rem-idx where rem-idx = filter (λ i. i ∉ Drop) [0..<?m]
define drop-idx where drop-idx = filter (λ i. i ∈ Drop) [0..<?m]
define as1' where as1' = map (!) as1 rem-idx
define bs1' where bs1' = map (!) bs1 rem-idx
define as1'' where as1'' = map (!) as1 drop-idx
define bs1'' where bs1'' = map (!) bs1 drop-idx
{
  fix as1 :: 'a list and D :: nat set
  define I where I = [0..< length as1]
  have mset as1 = mset (map (!) as1) I unfolding I-def
    by (rule arg-cong[of - - mset], intro nth-equalityI, auto)
  also have ... = mset (map (!) as1) (filter (λ i. i ∈ D) I)
    + mset (map (!) as1) (filter (λ i. i ∉ D) I)
    by (induct I, auto)
  also have I = [0..< length as1] by fact
  finally have mset as1 = mset (map (!) as1) (filter (λ i. i ∈ D) [0..<length
as1])) + mset (map (!) as1) (filter (λ i. i ∉ D) [0..<length as1])) .
} note split = this
from split[of bs1 Drop, folded rem-idx-def drop-idx-def, folded bs1'-def bs1''-def]
  have bs1: mset bs1 = mset bs1'' + mset bs1' .
  from split[of as1 Drop, unfolded l1, folded rem-idx-def drop-idx-def, folded
as1'-def as1''-def]
  have as1: mset as1 = mset as1'' + mset as1' .

```

```

define I' where I' = the-inv-into M I
have bij: bij-betw I M Drop using inj unfolding Drop-def by (rule inj-on-imp-bij-betw)
from the-inv-into-f-f[OF inj, folded I'-def] have I'I: i ∈ M ⇒ I' (I i) = i
for i by auto
from bij I'I have II': i ∈ Drop ⇒ I (I' i) = i for i
  by (simp add: I'-def f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw)
from II' I'I identities bij have Drop-M: i ∈ Drop ⇒ I' i ∈ M for i
  using Drop-def by force
have M-Drop: i ∈ M ⇒ I i ∈ Drop for i unfolding Drop-def by auto
{
  fix x
  assume x ∈ Drop
  then obtain i where i: i ∈ M and x: x = I i unfolding Drop-def by auto
  have x < ?m unfolding x using i pre-identities[of i] unfolding M-def by
auto
} note Drop-m = this
hence drop-idx: set drop-idx = Drop unfolding M-def drop-idx-def set-filter
set-upt by auto
have mset as1'' = mset (map (!) as1) drop-idx unfolding as1''-def mset-map
by auto
also have drop-idx = map (I o I') drop-idx using drop-idx by (intro nth-equalityI,
auto intro!: II'[symmetric])
also have map (!) as1 ... = map (λ i. as1 ! I i) (map I' drop-idx) by auto
also have ... = map (λ i. bs1 ! I (nxt i)) (map I' drop-idx)
  by (rule map-cong[OF refl], rule identities(1)[symmetric], insert drop-idx
Drop-M, auto)
also have ... = map (!) bs1 (map (I o nxt o I') drop-idx)
  by auto
also have mset ... = image-mset (!) bs1 (image-mset (I o nxt o I') (mset
drop-idx)) unfolding mset-map ..
also have image-mset (I o nxt o I') (mset drop-idx) = image-mset I (image-mset
nxt (image-mset I' (mset drop-idx)))
  by (metis multiset.map-comp)
also have image-mset nxt (image-mset I' (mset drop-idx)) = image-mset I'
(mset drop-idx)
proof -
  have dist: distinct drop-idx unfolding drop-idx-def by auto
  have injI': inj-on I' Drop using II' by (rule inj-on-inverseI)
  have mset drop-idx = mset-set Drop unfolding drop-idx[symmetric]
  by (rule mset-set-set[symmetric, OF dist])
  from image-mset-mset-set[OF injI', folded this]
  have image-mset I' (mset drop-idx) = mset-set (I' ' Drop) by auto
  also have I' ' Drop = M using II' I'I M-Drop Drop-M by force
  finally have id: image-mset I' (mset drop-idx) = mset-set M .
  have inj-nxt: inj-on nxt M using identities by (intro inj-on-inverseI)
  have nxt: nxt ' M = M using identities by force
  show ?thesis unfolding id image-mset-mset-set[OF inj-nxt] nxt ..
qed
also have image-mset I ... = mset drop-idx unfolding multiset.map-comp

```

using II'
 by (intro multiset.map-ident-strong, auto simp: drop-idx)
 also have image-mset ((!) bs1) ... = mset bs1'' unfolding bs1''-def mset-map
 ..
 finally have bs1'': mset bs1'' = mset as1'' ..

let ?A = mset as1' + mset as2
 let ?B = mset bs1' + mset bs2
 from as1 bs1'' have as1: mset as1 = mset bs1'' + mset as1' by auto
 have A: A = mset bs1'' + ?A unfolding A A1 A2 as1 by auto
 have B: A = mset bs1'' + ?B unfolding B B1 B2 bs1 by auto
 from A[unfolded B] have AB: ?A = ?B by simp

have l1': length as1' = length bs1' unfolding as1'-def bs1'-def by auto
 have NS: (mset as1', mset bs1') ∈ multpw NS
 proof (rule multpw-listI[OF l1' refl refl], intro allI impI)

fix i
 assume i: i < length bs1'
 hence rem-idx ! i ∈ set rem-idx unfolding bs1'-def by (auto simp: nth-append)
 hence ri: rem-idx ! i < ?m unfolding rem-idx-def by auto
 from NSS[OF this] i
 show (as1' ! i, bs1' ! i) ∈ NS unfolding as1'-def bs1'-def by (auto simp:

nth-append)

qed

have S: (mset as1' + mset as2, ?B) ∈ s-mul-ext NS S

by (intro s-mul-extI[OF refl refl NS], unfold A2[symmetric] B2[symmetric],
 rule ne, rule S)

have irr: irrefl-on (set-mset ?B) S using 1(2) B unfolding irrefl-on-def by
 simp

have M ≠ {} unfolding M-def using n by auto

hence Drop ≠ {} unfolding Drop-def by auto

with drop-idx have drop-idx ≠ [] by auto

hence bs1'' ≠ [] unfolding bs1''-def by auto

hence ?B ⊂# A unfolding B by (simp add: subset-mset.less-le)

hence size ?B < size A by (rule mset-subset-size)

thus False using 1(1) AB S irr by auto

qed

qed

lemma mul-ext-irrefl: assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \implies \neg \text{fst } (\text{rel } x \ x)$

and $\bigwedge x \ y \ z. \text{fst } (\text{rel } x \ y) \implies \text{snd } (\text{rel } y \ z) \implies \text{fst } (\text{rel } x \ z)$

and $\bigwedge x \ y. \text{fst } (\text{rel } x \ y) \implies \text{snd } (\text{rel } x \ y)$

shows $\neg \text{fst } (\text{mul-ext } \text{rel } xs \ xs)$

unfolding mul-ext-def Let-def fst-conv

by (rule s-mul-ext-irrefl, insert assms, auto simp: irrefl-on-def)

The non-strict order is transitive.

lemma ns-mul-ext-trans:

assumes *trans s trans ns compatible-l ns s compatible-r ns s refl ns*
and $(A, B) \in ns\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$
and $(B, C) \in ns\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$
shows $(A, C) \in ns\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$
using *assms unfolding compatible-l-def compatible-r-def ns-mul-ext-def*
using *trans-mult2-ns[of s⁻¹ ns⁻¹]*
by (*auto simp: mult2-ns-eq-mult2-ns-alt converse-relcomp[symmetric]*) (*metis trans-def*)

The strict order is trans.

lemma *s-mul-ext-trans:*

assumes *trans s trans ns compatible-l ns s compatible-r ns s refl ns*
and $(A, B) \in s\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$
and $(B, C) \in s\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$
shows $(A, C) \in s\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$
using *assms unfolding compatible-l-def compatible-r-def s-mul-ext-def*
using *trans-mult2-s[of s⁻¹ ns⁻¹]*
by (*auto simp: mult2-s-eq-mult2-s-alt converse-relcomp[symmetric]*) (*metis trans-def*)

The strict order is compatible on the left with the non strict one

lemma *s-ns-mul-ext-trans:*

assumes *trans s trans ns compatible-l ns s compatible-r ns s refl ns*
and $(A, B) \in s\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$
and $(B, C) \in ns\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$
shows $(A, C) \in s\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$
using *assms unfolding compatible-l-def compatible-r-def s-mul-ext-def ns-mul-ext-def*
using *compat-mult2(1)[of s⁻¹ ns⁻¹]*
by (*auto simp: mult2-s-eq-mult2-s-alt mult2-ns-eq-mult2-ns-alt converse-relcomp[symmetric]*)

The strict order is compatible on the right with the non-strict one.

lemma *ns-s-mul-ext-trans:*

assumes *trans s trans ns compatible-l ns s compatible-r ns s refl ns*
and $(A, B) \in ns\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$
and $(B, C) \in s\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$
shows $(A, C) \in s\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$
using *assms unfolding compatible-l-def compatible-r-def s-mul-ext-def ns-mul-ext-def*
using *compat-mult2(2)[of s⁻¹ ns⁻¹]*
by (*auto simp: mult2-s-eq-mult2-s-alt mult2-ns-eq-mult2-ns-alt converse-relcomp[symmetric]*)

s-mul-ext is strongly normalizing

lemma *SN-s-mul-ext-strong:*

assumes *order-pair s ns*
and $\forall y. y \in \# M \longrightarrow SN\text{-on } s \ \{y\}$
shows $SN\text{-on } (s\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s) \ \{M\}$
using *mult2-s-eq-mult2-s-alt[of ns⁻¹ s⁻¹] assms wf-below-pointwise[of s⁻¹ set-mset M]*
unfolding *SN-on-iff-wf-below s-mul-ext-def order-pair-def compat-pair-def pre-order-pair-def*
by (*auto intro!: wf-below-mult2-s-local simp: converse-relcomp[symmetric]*)

lemma *SN-s-mul-ext:*

assumes *order-pair s ns SN s*
shows *SN (s-mul-ext ns s)*
using *SN-s-mul-ext-strong[OF assms(1)] assms(2)*
by (*auto simp: SN-on-def*)

lemma (*in order-pair*) *mul-ext-order-pair*:

order-pair (s-mul-ext NS S) (ns-mul-ext NS S) (is order-pair ?S ?NS)

proof

from *s-mul-ext-trans trans-S trans-NS compat-NS-S compat-S-NS refl-NS*

show *trans ?S unfolding trans-def compatible-l-def compatible-r-def by blast*

next

from *ns-mul-ext-trans trans-S trans-NS compat-NS-S compat-S-NS refl-NS*

show *trans ?NS unfolding trans-def compatible-l-def compatible-r-def by blast*

next

from *ns-s-mul-ext-trans trans-S trans-NS compat-NS-S compat-S-NS refl-NS*

show *?NS O ?S \subseteq ?S unfolding trans-def compatible-l-def compatible-r-def by*

blast

next

from *s-ns-mul-ext-trans trans-S trans-NS compat-NS-S compat-S-NS refl-NS*

show *?S O ?NS \subseteq ?S unfolding trans-def compatible-l-def compatible-r-def by*

blast

next

from *ns-mul-ext-refl[OF refl-NS, of - S]*

show *refl ?NS unfolding refl-on-def by fast*

qed

lemma (*in SN-order-pair*) *mul-ext-SN-order-pair*: *SN-order-pair (s-mul-ext NS S) (ns-mul-ext NS S)*

(is SN-order-pair ?S ?NS)

proof –

from *mul-ext-order-pair*

interpret *order-pair ?S ?NS .*

have *order-pair S NS by unfold-locales*

then interpret *SN-ars ?S using SN-s-mul-ext[of S NS] SN by unfold-locales*

show *?thesis by unfold-locales*

qed

lemma *mul-ext-compat*:

assumes *compat: $\bigwedge s t u. \llbracket s \in \text{set } ss; t \in \text{set } ts; u \in \text{set } us \rrbracket \implies$*

(snd (f s t) \wedge fst (f t u) \longrightarrow fst (f s u)) \wedge

(fst (f s t) \wedge snd (f t u) \longrightarrow fst (f s u)) \wedge

(snd (f s t) \wedge snd (f t u) \longrightarrow snd (f s u)) \wedge

(fst (f s t) \wedge fst (f t u) \longrightarrow fst (f s u))

shows

(snd (mul-ext f ss ts) \wedge fst (mul-ext f ts us) \longrightarrow fst (mul-ext f ss us)) \wedge

(fst (mul-ext f ss ts) \wedge snd (mul-ext f ts us) \longrightarrow fst (mul-ext f ss us)) \wedge

(snd (mul-ext f ss ts) \wedge snd (mul-ext f ts us) \longrightarrow snd (mul-ext f ss us)) \wedge

(fst (mul-ext f ss ts) \wedge fst (mul-ext f ts us) \longrightarrow fst (mul-ext f ss us))

proof –

let $?s = \{(x, y). \text{fst } (f x y)\}^{-1}$ **and** $?ns = \{(x, y). \text{snd } (f x y)\}^{-1}$
have $[\text{dest}]: (mset \ ts, mset \ ss) \in \text{mult2-alt } b2 \ ?ns \ ?s \implies (mset \ us, mset \ ts) \in$
 $\text{mult2-alt } b1 \ ?ns \ ?s \implies$
 $(mset \ us, mset \ ss) \in \text{mult2-alt } (b1 \wedge b2) \ ?ns \ ?s$ **for** $b1 \ b2$
using *assms* **by** (*auto intro!*: *trans-mult2-alt-local*[*of - mset ts*] *simp*: *in-multiset-in-set*)
show *?thesis* **by** (*auto simp*: *mul-ext-def s-mul-ext-def ns-mul-ext-def Let-def*)
qed

lemma *mul-ext-cong*[*fundef-cong*]:
assumes $mset \ xs1 = mset \ ys1$
and $mset \ xs2 = mset \ ys2$
and $\bigwedge x \ x'. x \in \text{set } ys1 \implies x' \in \text{set } ys2 \implies f x x' = g x x'$
shows $\text{mul-ext } f \ xs1 \ xs2 = \text{mul-ext } g \ ys1 \ ys2$
using *assms*
 mult2-alt-map [*of mset xs2 mset xs1* $\{(x, y). \text{snd } (f x y)\}^{-1} \text{id id } \{(x, y). \text{snd } (g x y)\}^{-1}$
 $\{(x, y). \text{fst } (f x y)\}^{-1} \{(x, y). \text{fst } (g x y)\}^{-1}$
 mult2-alt-map [*of mset ys2 mset ys1* $\{(x, y). \text{snd } (g x y)\}^{-1} \text{id id } \{(x, y). \text{snd } (f x y)\}^{-1}$
 $\{(x, y). \text{fst } (g x y)\}^{-1} \{(x, y). \text{fst } (f x y)\}^{-1}$]
by (*auto simp*: *mul-ext-def s-mul-ext-def ns-mul-ext-def Let-def in-multiset-in-set*)

lemma *all-nstri-imp-mul-nstri*:
assumes $\forall i < \text{length } ys. \text{snd } (f (xs ! i) (ys ! i))$
and $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys$
shows $\text{snd } (\text{mul-ext } f \ xs \ ys)$
proof –
from *assms*(1) **have** $\forall i. i < \text{length } ys \longrightarrow (xs ! i, ys ! i) \in \{(x, y). \text{snd } (f x y)\}$
by *simp*
from *all-ns-ns-mul-ext*[*OF assms*(2) *this*] **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *mul-ext-def*)
qed

lemma *relation-inter*:
shows $\{(x, y). P \ x \ y\} \cap \{(x, y). Q \ x \ y\} = \{(x, y). P \ x \ y \wedge Q \ x \ y\}$
by *blast*

lemma *mul-ext-unfold*:
 $(x, y) \in \{(a, b). \text{fst } (\text{mul-ext } g \ a \ b)\} \longleftrightarrow (mset \ x, mset \ y) \in (s\text{-mul-ext } \{(a, b). \text{snd } (g \ a \ b)\} \{(a, b). \text{fst } (g \ a \ b)\})$
unfolding *mul-ext-def* **by** (*simp add*: *Let-def*)

The next lemma is a local version of strong-normalization of the multi-set extension, where the base-order only has to be strongly normalizing on elements of the multisets. This will be crucial for orders that are defined recursively on terms, such as RPO or WPO.

lemma *mul-ext-SN*:
assumes $\forall x. \text{snd } (g \ x \ x)$
and $\forall x \ y \ z. \text{fst } (g \ x \ y) \longrightarrow \text{snd } (g \ y \ z) \longrightarrow \text{fst } (g \ x \ z)$
and $\forall x \ y \ z. \text{snd } (g \ x \ y) \longrightarrow \text{fst } (g \ y \ z) \longrightarrow \text{fst } (g \ x \ z)$

```

and  $\forall x y z. \text{snd } (g x y) \longrightarrow \text{snd } (g y z) \longrightarrow \text{snd } (g x z)$ 
and  $\forall x y z. \text{fst } (g x y) \longrightarrow \text{fst } (g y z) \longrightarrow \text{fst } (g x z)$ 
shows  $\text{SN } \{(ys, xs)\}$ .
 $(\forall y \in \text{set } ys. \text{SN-on } \{(s, t). \text{fst } (g s t)\} \{y\}) \wedge$ 
 $\text{fst } (\text{mul-ext } g \text{ ys } xs)$ 
proof –
let  $?R1 = \lambda xs \text{ ys}. \forall y \in \text{set } ys. \text{SN-on } \{(s, t). \text{fst } (g s t)\} \{y\}$ 
let  $?R2 = \lambda xs \text{ ys}. \text{fst } (\text{mul-ext } g \text{ ys } xs)$ 
let  $?s = \{(x, y). \text{fst } (g x y)\}$  and  $?ns = \{(x, y). \text{snd } (g x y)\}$ 
have  $OP$ : order-pair  $?s$   $?ns$  using  $\text{assms}(1-5)$ 
by unfold-locales  $((\text{unfold refl-on-def trans-def})?, \text{blast})+$ 
let  $?R = \{(ys, xs). ?R1 \text{ xs } ys \wedge ?R2 \text{ xs } ys\}$ 
let  $?Sn = \text{SN-on } ?R$ 
{
  fix  $ys \text{ xs}$ 
  assume  $R\text{-ys-x}s: (ys, xs) \in ?R$ 
  let  $?mys = \text{mset } ys$ 
  let  $?mxs = \text{mset } xs$ 
  from  $R\text{-ys-x}s$  have  $H\text{SN-ys}: \forall y. y \in \text{set } ys \longrightarrow \text{SN-on } ?s \{y\}$  by simp
  with in-multiset-in-set[of  $ys$ ] have  $\forall y. y \in \# ?mys \longrightarrow \text{SN-on } ?s \{y\}$  by simp
  from SN-s-mul-ext-strong[OF  $OP$  this] and mul-ext-unfold
  have  $\text{SN-on } \{(ys, xs). \text{fst } (\text{mul-ext } g \text{ ys } xs)\} \{ys\}$  by fast
  from relation-inter[of  $?R2$   $?R1$ ] and SN-on-weakening[OF this]
  have  $\text{SN-on } ?R \{ys\}$  by blast
}
then have  $Hyp: \forall ys \text{ xs}. (ys, xs) \in ?R \longrightarrow \text{SN-on } ?R \{ys\}$  by auto
{
  fix  $ys$ 
  have  $\text{SN-on } ?R \{ys\}$ 
  proof  $(\text{cases } \exists xs. (ys, xs) \in ?R)$ 
  case True with  $Hyp$  show  $?thesis$  by simp
  next
  case False then show  $?thesis$  by auto
  qed
}
then show  $?thesis$  unfolding SN-on-def by simp
qed

```

```

lemma mul-ext-stri-imp-nstri:
assumes  $\text{fst } (\text{mul-ext } f \text{ as } bs)$ 
shows  $\text{snd } (\text{mul-ext } f \text{ as } bs)$ 
using  $\text{assms}$  and s-ns-mul-ext unfolding mul-ext-def by  $(\text{auto } \text{simp}: \text{Let-def})$ 

```

```

lemma ns-ns-mul-ext-union-compat:
assumes  $(A, B) \in \text{ns-mul-ext } ns \ s$ 
and  $(C, D) \in \text{ns-mul-ext } ns \ s$ 
shows  $(A + C, B + D) \in \text{ns-mul-ext } ns \ s$ 
using  $\text{assms}$  by  $(\text{auto } \text{simp}: \text{ns-mul-ext-def intro: mult2-alt-ns-ns-add})$ 

```

```

lemma s-ns-mul-ext-union-compat:
  assumes  $(A, B) \in s\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$ 
    and  $(C, D) \in ns\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$ 
  shows  $(A + C, B + D) \in s\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$ 
  using assms by (auto simp: s-mul-ext-def ns-mul-ext-def intro: mult2-alt-s-ns-add)

lemma ns-ns-mul-ext-union-compat-rtrancl: assumes refl: refl ns
  and AB:  $(A, B) \in (ns\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s)^*$ 
  and CD:  $(C, D) \in (ns\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s)^*$ 
shows  $(A + C, B + D) \in (ns\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s)^*$ 
proof –
  {
    fix A B C
    assume  $(A, B) \in (ns\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s)^*$ 
    then have  $(A + C, B + C) \in (ns\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s)^*$ 
    proof (induct rule: rtrancl-induct)
      case (step B D)
      have  $(C, C) \in ns\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$ 
        by (rule ns-mul-ext-refl, insert refl, auto simp: locally-refl-def refl-on-def)
      from ns-ns-mul-ext-union-compat[OF step(2) this] step(3)
      show ?case by auto
    qed auto
  }
  from this[OF AB, of C] this[OF CD, of B]
  show ?thesis by (auto simp: ac-simps)
qed

```

4.4 Multisets as order on lists

```

interpretation mul-ext-list: list-order-extension
   $\lambda s \ ns. \{(as, bs). (mset \ as, mset \ bs) \in s\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s\}$ 
   $\lambda s \ ns. \{(as, bs). (mset \ as, mset \ bs) \in ns\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s\}$ 
proof –
  let ?m = mset :: ('a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a multiset)
  let ?S =  $\lambda s \ ns. \{(as, bs). (?m \ as, ?m \ bs) \in s\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s\}$ 
  let ?NS =  $\lambda s \ ns. \{(as, bs). (?m \ as, ?m \ bs) \in ns\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s\}$ 
  show list-order-extension ?S ?NS
  proof (rule list-order-extension.intro)
    fix s ns
    let ?s = ?S s ns
    let ?ns = ?NS s ns
    assume SN-order-pair s ns
    then interpret SN-order-pair s ns .
    interpret SN-order-pair (s-mul-ext ns s) (ns-mul-ext ns s)
      by (rule mul-ext-SN-order-pair)
    show SN-order-pair ?s ?ns
  proof
    show refl ?ns using refl-NS unfolding refl-on-def by blast
    show ?ns O ?s  $\subseteq$  ?s using compat-NS-S by blast

```

```

  show ?s O ?ns  $\subseteq$  ?s using compat-S-NS by blast
  show trans ?ns using trans-NS unfolding trans-def by blast
  show trans ?s using trans-S unfolding trans-def by blast
  show SN ?s using SN-inv-image[OF SN, of ?m, unfolded inv-image-def] .
qed
next
fix S f NS as bs
assume  $\bigwedge a b. (a, b) \in S \implies (f a, f b) \in S$ 
   $\bigwedge a b. (a, b) \in NS \implies (f a, f b) \in NS$ 
   $(as, bs) \in ?S S NS$ 
then show  $(map f as, map f bs) \in ?S S NS$ 
  using mult2-alt-map[of - - NS-1 f f NS-1 S-1 S-1] by (auto simp: mset-map
s-mul-ext-def)
next
fix S f NS as bs
assume  $\bigwedge a b. (a, b) \in S \implies (f a, f b) \in S$ 
   $\bigwedge a b. (a, b) \in NS \implies (f a, f b) \in NS$ 
   $(as, bs) \in ?NS S NS$ 
then show  $(map f as, map f bs) \in ?NS S NS$ 
  using mult2-alt-map[of - - NS-1 f f NS-1 S-1 S-1] by (auto simp: mset-map
ns-mul-ext-def)
next
fix as bs :: 'a list and NS S :: 'a rel
assume ass: length as = length bs
   $\bigwedge i. i < length bs \implies (as ! i, bs ! i) \in NS$ 
show  $(as, bs) \in ?NS S NS$ 
  by (rule, unfold split, rule all-ns-ns-mul-ext, insert ass, auto)
qed
qed

lemma s-mul-ext-singleton [simp, intro]:
  assumes  $(a, b) \in s$ 
  shows  $(\{\#a\}, \{\#b\}) \in s\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$ 
  using assms by (auto simp: s-mul-ext-def mult2-alt-s-single)

lemma ns-mul-ext-singleton [simp, intro]:
   $(a, b) \in ns \implies (\{\#a\}, \{\#b\}) \in ns\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$ 
  by (auto simp: ns-mul-ext-def multpw-converse intro: multpw-implies-mult2-alt-ns
multpw-single)

lemma ns-mul-ext-singleton2:
   $(a, b) \in s \implies (\{\#a\}, \{\#b\}) \in ns\text{-mul-ext } ns \ s$ 
  by (intro s-ns-mul-ext s-mul-ext-singleton)

lemma s-mul-ext-self-extend-left:
  assumes  $A \neq \{\#\}$  and locally-refl W B
  shows  $(A + B, B) \in s\text{-mul-ext } W \ S$ 
proof -
  have  $(A + B, \{\#\} + B) \in s\text{-mul-ext } W \ S$ 

```

using *assms* **by** (*intro s-mul-ext-union-compat*) (*auto dest: s-mul-ext-bottom*)
then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *s-mul-ext-ne-extend-left*:
assumes $A \neq \{\#\}$ **and** $(B, C) \in ns\text{-mul-ext } W S$
shows $(A + B, C) \in s\text{-mul-ext } W S$
using *assms*
proof –
have $(A + B, \{\#\} + C) \in s\text{-mul-ext } W S$
using *assms* **by** (*intro s-ns-mul-ext-union-compat*)
(auto simp: s-mul-ext-bottom dest: s-ns-mul-ext)
then show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: ac-simps*)
qed

lemma *s-mul-ext-extend-left*:
assumes $(B, C) \in s\text{-mul-ext } W S$
shows $(A + B, C) \in s\text{-mul-ext } W S$
using *assms*
proof –
have $(B + A, C + \{\#\}) \in s\text{-mul-ext } W S$
using *assms* **by** (*intro s-ns-mul-ext-union-compat*)
(auto simp: ns-mul-ext-bottom dest: s-ns-mul-ext)
then show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: ac-simps*)
qed

lemma *mul-ext-mono*:
assumes $\bigwedge x y. \llbracket x \in \text{set } xs; y \in \text{set } ys; \text{fst } (P \ x \ y) \rrbracket \implies \text{fst } (P' \ x \ y)$
and $\bigwedge x y. \llbracket x \in \text{set } xs; y \in \text{set } ys; \text{snd } (P \ x \ y) \rrbracket \implies \text{snd } (P' \ x \ y)$
shows
 $\text{fst } (mul\text{-ext } P \ xs \ ys) \implies \text{fst } (mul\text{-ext } P' \ xs \ ys)$
 $\text{snd } (mul\text{-ext } P \ xs \ ys) \implies \text{snd } (mul\text{-ext } P' \ xs \ ys)$
unfolding *mul-ext-def Let-def fst-conv snd-conv*
proof –
assume *mem*: $(mset \ xs, mset \ ys) \in s\text{-mul-ext } \{(x, y). \text{snd } (P \ x \ y)\} \{(x, y). \text{fst } (P \ x \ y)\}$
show $(mset \ xs, mset \ ys) \in s\text{-mul-ext } \{(x, y). \text{snd } (P' \ x \ y)\} \{(x, y). \text{fst } (P' \ x \ y)\}$
by (*rule s-mul-ext-local-mono[OF - - mem]*, *insert assms, auto*)
next
assume *mem*: $(mset \ xs, mset \ ys) \in ns\text{-mul-ext } \{(x, y). \text{snd } (P \ x \ y)\} \{(x, y). \text{fst } (P \ x \ y)\}$
show $(mset \ xs, mset \ ys) \in ns\text{-mul-ext } \{(x, y). \text{snd } (P' \ x \ y)\} \{(x, y). \text{fst } (P' \ x \ y)\}$
by (*rule ns-mul-ext-local-mono[OF - - mem]*, *insert assms, auto*)
qed

4.5 Special case: non-strict order is equality

lemma *ns-mul-ext-IdE*:

assumes $(M, N) \in ns\text{-mul-ext Id } R$
obtains X **and** Y **and** Z **where** $M = X + Z$ **and** $N = Y + Z$
and $\forall y \in \text{set-mset } Y. \exists x \in \text{set-mset } X. (x, y) \in R$
using *assms*
by (*auto simp: ns-mul-ext-def elim!: mult2-alt-nsE*) (*insert union-commute, blast*)

lemma *ns-mul-ext-IdI*:

assumes $M = X + Z$ **and** $N = Y + Z$ **and** $\forall y \in \text{set-mset } Y. \exists x \in \text{set-mset } X. (x, y) \in R$
shows $(M, N) \in ns\text{-mul-ext Id } R$
using *assms mult2-alt-nsI [of N Z Y M Z X Id R⁻¹]*
by (*auto simp: ns-mul-ext-def*)

lemma *s-mul-ext-IdE*:

assumes $(M, N) \in s\text{-mul-ext Id } R$
obtains X **and** Y **and** Z **where** $X \neq \{\#\}$ **and** $M = X + Z$ **and** $N = Y + Z$
and $\forall y \in \text{set-mset } Y. \exists x \in \text{set-mset } X. (x, y) \in R$
using *assms*
by (*auto simp: s-mul-ext-def elim!: mult2-alt-sE*) (*metis union-commute*)

lemma *s-mul-ext-IdI*:

assumes $X \neq \{\#\}$ **and** $M = X + Z$ **and** $N = Y + Z$
and $\forall y \in \text{set-mset } Y. \exists x \in \text{set-mset } X. (x, y) \in R$
shows $(M, N) \in s\text{-mul-ext Id } R$
using *assms mult2-alt-sI [of N Z Y M Z X Id R⁻¹]*
by (*auto simp: s-mul-ext-def ac-simps*)

lemma *mult-s-mul-ext-conv*:

assumes *trans* R
shows $(\text{mult } (R^{-1}))^{-1} = s\text{-mul-ext Id } R$
using *mult2-s-eq-mult2-s-alt [of Id R⁻¹]* *assms*
by (*auto simp: s-mul-ext-def refl-Id mult2-s-def*)

lemma *ns-mul-ext-Id-eq*:

$ns\text{-mul-ext Id } R = (s\text{-mul-ext Id } R)^{=}$
by (*auto simp add: ns-mul-ext-def s-mul-ext-def mult2-alt-ns-conv*)

lemma *subsetq-mset-imp-ns-mul-ext-Id*:

assumes $A \subseteq_{\#} B$
shows $(B, A) \in ns\text{-mul-ext Id } R$

proof –

obtain C **where** [*simp*]: $B = C + A$ **using** *assms* **by** (*auto simp: mset-subset-eq-exists-conv ac-simps*)

have $(C + A, \{\#\} + A) \in ns\text{-mul-ext Id } R$

by (*intro ns-mul-ext-IdI [of - C A - \{\#\}]*) *auto*

then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *subset-mset-imp-s-mul-ext-Id*:


```

assumes  $A \subset\# B$ 
shows  $(B, A) \in s\text{-mul-ext Id R}$ 
using assms by (intro supset-imp-s-mul-ext) (auto simp: refl-Id)

```

end

4.6 Executable version

```

theory Multiset-Extension2-Impl
imports
  HOL-Library.DAList-Multiset
  List-Order
  Multiset-Extension2
  Multiset-Extension-Pair-Impl
begin

```

lemma *mul-ext-list-ext*: $\exists s ns. \text{list-order-extension-impl } s ns \text{ mul-ext}$

proof (*intro exI*)

let $?s = \lambda s ns. \{(as,bs). (mset as, mset bs) \in s\text{-mul-ext } ns s\}$

let $?ns = \lambda s ns. \{(as,bs). (mset as, mset bs) \in ns\text{-mul-ext } ns s\}$

let $?m = mset$

show *list-order-extension-impl* $?s ?ns \text{ mul-ext}$

proof

fix $s ns$

show $?s \{(a,b). s a b\} \{(a,b). ns a b\} = \{(as,bs). fst (mul-ext (\lambda a b. (s a b, ns a b))) as bs\}$

unfolding *mul-ext-def Let-def* **by** *auto*

next

fix $s ns$

show $?ns \{(a,b). s a b\} \{(a,b). ns a b\} = \{(as,bs). snd (mul-ext (\lambda a b. (s a b, ns a b))) as bs\}$

unfolding *mul-ext-def Let-def* **by** *auto*

next

fix $s ns s' ns' as bs$

assume $set as \times set bs \cap ns \subseteq ns'$

$set as \times set bs \cap s \subseteq s'$

$(as,bs) \in ?s s ns$

then show $(as,bs) \in ?s s' ns'$

using *s-mul-ext-local-mono*[*of ?m as ?m bs ns ns' s s'*]

unfolding *set-mset-mset* **by** *auto*

next

fix $s ns s' ns' as bs$

assume $set as \times set bs \cap ns \subseteq ns'$

$set as \times set bs \cap s \subseteq s'$

$(as,bs) \in ?ns s ns$

then show $(as,bs) \in ?ns s' ns'$

```

    using ns-mul-ext-local-mono[of ?m as ?m bs ns ns' s s']
    unfolding set-mset-mset by auto
  qed
qed

context fixes sns :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool × bool
begin

fun mul-ext-impl :: 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool × bool
and mul-ex-dom :: 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool × bool
where
  mul-ext-impl [] [] = (False, True)
| mul-ext-impl [] ys = (False, False)
| mul-ext-impl xs [] = (True, True)
| mul-ext-impl xs (y # ys) = mul-ex-dom xs [] y ys

| mul-ex-dom [] xs' y ys = (False, False)
| mul-ex-dom (x # xs) xs' y ys =
  (case sns x y of
   (True, -) ⇒ if snd (mul-ext-impl (xs @ xs') (filter (λy. ¬ fst (sns x y)) ys))
  then (True, True)
   else mul-ex-dom xs (x # xs') y ys
  | (False, True) ⇒ or2 (mul-ext-impl (xs @ xs') ys) (mul-ex-dom xs (x # xs') y
  ys)
  | - ⇒ mul-ex-dom xs (x # xs') y ys)

end

context
begin
lemma mul-ext-impl-sound0:
  mul-ext-impl sns xs ys = mult2-impl (λx y. sns y x) ys xs
  mul-ex-dom sns xs xs' y ys = mult2-ex-dom (λx y. sns y x) y ys xs xs'
by (induct xs ys and xs xs' y ys taking: sns rule: mul-ext-impl-mul-ex-dom.induct)
(auto split: prod.splits bool.splits)

private definition cond1 where
  cond1 f bs y xs ys ≡
  (((∃ b. b ∈ set bs ∧ fst (f b y) ∧ snd (mul-ext f (remove1 b xs) [y←ys . ¬ fst (f b
  y)])))
  ∨ (∃ b. b ∈ set bs ∧ snd (f b y) ∧ fst (mul-ext f (remove1 b xs) ys)))

private lemma cond1-propagate:
  assumes cond1 f bs y xs ys
  shows cond1 f (b # bs) y xs ys
using assms unfolding cond1-def by auto

private definition cond2 where
  cond2 f bs y xs ys ≡ (cond1 f bs y xs ys)

```

$\vee (\exists b. b \in \text{set } bs \wedge \text{snd } (f b y) \wedge \text{snd } (\text{mul-ext } f (\text{remove1 } b xs) ys))$

private lemma *cond2-propagate*:

assumes *cond2* $f bs y xs ys$

shows *cond2* $f (b \# bs) y xs ys$

using *assms* **and** *cond1-propagate*[*of f bs y xs ys*]

unfolding *cond2-def* **by** *auto*

private lemma *cond1-cond2*:

assumes *cond1* $f bs y xs ys$

shows *cond2* $f bs y xs ys$

using *assms* **unfolding** *cond2-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *mul-ext-impl-sound*:

shows *mul-ext-impl* $f xs ys = \text{mul-ext } f xs ys$

unfolding *mul-ext-def* *s-mul-ext-def* *ns-mul-ext-def*

by (*auto simp: Let-def converse-def mul-ext-impl-sound0 mult2-impl-sound*)

lemma *mul-ext-code* [*code*]: *mul-ext* = *mul-ext-impl*

by (*intro ext, unfold mul-ext-impl-sound, auto*)

lemma *mul-ext-impl-cong*[*fundef-cong*]:

assumes $\bigwedge x x'. x \in \text{set } xs \implies x' \in \text{set } ys \implies f x x' = g x x'$

shows *mul-ext-impl* $f xs ys = \text{mul-ext-impl } g xs ys$

using *assms*

stri-mul-ext-map[*of xs ys g f id*] *nstri-mul-ext-map*[*of xs ys g f id*]

stri-mul-ext-map[*of xs ys f g id*] *nstri-mul-ext-map*[*of xs ys f g id*]

by (*auto simp: mul-ext-impl-sound mul-ext-def Let-def*)

end

fun *ass-list-to-single-list* :: $('a \times \text{nat}) \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list}$

where

ass-list-to-single-list [] = []

| *ass-list-to-single-list* $((x, n) \# xs) = \text{replicate } n x @ \text{ass-list-to-single-list } xs$

lemma *set-ass-list-to-single-list* [*simp*]:

set (*ass-list-to-single-list* xs) = $\{x. \exists n. (x, n) \in \text{set } xs \wedge n > 0\}$

by (*induct xs rule: ass-list-to-single-list.induct*) *auto*

lemma *count-mset-replicate* [*simp*]:

count (*mset* (*replicate* $n x$)) $x = n$

by (*induct n*) (*auto*)

lemma *count-mset-lal-ge*:

$(x, n) \in \text{set } xs \implies \text{count } (\text{mset } (\text{ass-list-to-single-list } xs)) x \geq n$

by (*induct xs*) *auto*

lemma *count-of-count-mset-lal* [*simp*]:

distinct (*map* *fst* y) $\implies \text{count-of } y x = \text{count } (\text{mset } (\text{ass-list-to-single-list } y)) x$

by (induct y) (auto simp: count-mset-lal-ge count-of-empty)

lemma Bag-mset: $Bag\ xs = mset\ (ass-list-to-single-list\ (DAList.impl-of\ xs))$
by (intro multiset-eqI, induct xs) (auto simp: Alist-inverse)

lemma Bag-Alist-Cons:
 $x \notin fst\ 'set\ xs \implies distinct\ (map\ fst\ xs) \implies$
 $Bag\ (Alist\ ((x, n)\ \# \ xs)) = mset\ (replicate\ n\ x) + Bag\ (Alist\ xs)$
by (induct xs) (auto simp: Bag-mset Alist-inverse)

lemma mset-lal [simp]:
 $distinct\ (map\ fst\ xs) \implies mset\ (ass-list-to-single-list\ xs) = Bag\ (Alist\ xs)$
apply (induct xs) **apply** (auto simp: Bag-Alist-Cons)
apply (simp add: Mempty-Bag empty.abs-eq)
done

lemma Bag-s-mul-ext:
 $(Bag\ xs, Bag\ ys) \in s-mul-ext\ \{(x, y). snd\ (f\ x\ y)\}\ \{(x, y). fst\ (f\ x\ y)\} \longleftrightarrow$
 $fst\ (mul-ext\ f\ (ass-list-to-single-list\ (DAList.impl-of\ xs))\ (ass-list-to-single-list\ (DAList.impl-of\ ys)))$
by (auto simp: mul-ext-def Let-def Alist-impl-of)

lemma Bag-ns-mul-ext:
 $(Bag\ xs, Bag\ ys) \in ns-mul-ext\ \{(x, y). snd\ (f\ x\ y)\}\ \{(x, y). fst\ (f\ x\ y)\} \longleftrightarrow$
 $snd\ (mul-ext\ f\ (ass-list-to-single-list\ (DAList.impl-of\ xs))\ (ass-list-to-single-list\ (DAList.impl-of\ ys)))$
by (auto simp: mul-ext-def Let-def Alist-impl-of)

lemma smulextp-code[code]:
 $smulextp\ f\ (Bag\ xs)\ (Bag\ ys) \longleftrightarrow fst\ (mul-ext\ f\ (ass-list-to-single-list\ (DAList.impl-of\ xs))\ (ass-list-to-single-list\ (DAList.impl-of\ ys)))$
unfolding smulextp-def Bag-s-mul-ext ..

lemma nsmulextp-code[code]:
 $nsmulextp\ f\ (Bag\ xs)\ (Bag\ ys) \longleftrightarrow snd\ (mul-ext\ f\ (ass-list-to-single-list\ (DAList.impl-of\ xs))\ (ass-list-to-single-list\ (DAList.impl-of\ ys)))$
unfolding nsmulextp-def Bag-ns-mul-ext ..

lemma mulextp-code[code]:
 $mulextp\ f\ (Bag\ xs)\ (Bag\ ys) = mul-ext\ f\ (ass-list-to-single-list\ (DAList.impl-of\ xs))\ (ass-list-to-single-list\ (DAList.impl-of\ ys))$
unfolding mulextp-def **by** (simp add: nsmulextp-code smulextp-code)

end

5 The Weighted Path Order

This is a version of WPO that also permits multiset comparisons of lists of terms. It therefore generalizes RPO.

theory *WPO*

imports

Knuth-Bendix-Order.Lexicographic-Extension

First-Order-Terms.Subterm-and-Context

Knuth-Bendix-Order.Order-Pair

Polynomial-Factorization.Missing-List

Status

Precedence

Multiset-Extension2

HOL.Zorn

begin

datatype *order-tag* = *Lex* | *Mul*

locale *wpo* =

fixes *n* :: *nat*

and *S NS* :: (*'f*, *'v*) *term rel*

and *prc* :: (*'f* × *nat* ⇒ *'f* × *nat* ⇒ *bool* × *bool*)

and *prl* :: *'f* × *nat* ⇒ *bool*

and *σσ* :: *'f* *status*

and *c* :: *'f* × *nat* ⇒ *order-tag*

and *ssimple* :: *bool*

and *large* :: *'f* × *nat* ⇒ *bool*

begin

fun *wpo* :: (*'f*, *'v*) *term* ⇒ (*'f*, *'v*) *term* ⇒ *bool* × *bool*

where

wpo s t = (*if* (*s,t*) ∈ *S* *then* (*True*, *True*) *else*

if (*s,t*) ∈ *NS* *then* (*case s of*

Var x ⇒ (*False*,

(*case t of*

Var y ⇒ *x = y*

| *Fun g ts* ⇒ *status σσ (g, length ts) = []* ∧ *prl (g, length ts)*))

| *Fun f ss* ⇒

if ∃ *i* ∈ *set (status σσ (f, length ss)). snd (wpo (ss ! i) t)* *then* (*True*, *True*)

else

(*case t of*

Var - ⇒ (*False*, *ssimple* ∧ *large (f, length ss)*)

| *Fun g ts* ⇒

(*case prc (f, length ss) (g, length ts) of (prs, prns) ⇒*

if prns ∧ (∀ *j* ∈ *set (status σσ (g, length ts)). fst (wpo s (ts ! j))*) *then*

if prs *then* (*True*, *True*)

*else let ss' = map (λ *i*. *ss ! i*) (status σσ (f, length ss));*

*ts' = map (λ *i*. *ts ! i*) (status σσ (g, length ts));*

cf = c (f, length ss);

```

      cg = c (g,length ts)
    in if cf = Lex ∧ cg = Lex
      then lex-ext wpo n ss' ts'
      else if cf = Mul ∧ cg = Mul
      then mul-ext wpo ss' ts'
      else (length ss' ≠ 0 ∧ length ts' = 0, length ts' = 0)
    else (False, False)))
  else (False, False))

```

declare *wpo.simps* [*simp del*]

abbreviation *wpo-s* (**infix** $\langle \succ \rangle$ 50) **where** $s \succ t \equiv \text{fst } (wpo \ s \ t)$

abbreviation *wpo-ns* (**infix** $\langle \succeq \rangle$ 50) **where** $s \succeq t \equiv \text{snd } (wpo \ s \ t)$

abbreviation *WPO-S* $\equiv \{(s,t). s \succ t\}$

abbreviation *WPO-NS* $\equiv \{(s,t). s \succeq t\}$

lemma *wpo-s-imp-ns*: $s \succ t \implies s \succeq t$

using *lex-ext-stri-imp-nstri*

unfolding *wpo.simps*[*of s t*]

by (*auto simp: Let-def mul-ext-stri-imp-nstri split: term.splits if-splits prod.splits*)

lemma *S-imp-wpo-s*: $(s,t) \in S \implies s \succ t$ **by** (*simp add: wpo.simps*)

end

declare *wpo.wpo.simps*[*code*]

definition *strictly-simple-status* :: $'f \ \text{status} \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) \ \text{term \ rel} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

strictly-simple-status $\sigma \ \text{rel} =$

$(\forall f \ ts \ i. i \in \text{set } (\text{status } \sigma \ (f, \text{length } ts)) \longrightarrow (\text{Fun } f \ ts, \ ts \ ! \ i) \in \text{rel})$

definition *trans-precedence* **where** *trans-precedence* $\text{prc} = (\forall f \ g \ h.$

$(\text{fst } (\text{prc } f \ g) \longrightarrow \text{snd } (\text{prc } g \ h) \longrightarrow \text{fst } (\text{prc } f \ h)) \wedge$

$(\text{snd } (\text{prc } f \ g) \longrightarrow \text{fst } (\text{prc } g \ h) \longrightarrow \text{fst } (\text{prc } f \ h)) \wedge$

$(\text{snd } (\text{prc } f \ g) \longrightarrow \text{snd } (\text{prc } g \ h) \longrightarrow \text{snd } (\text{prc } f \ h)))$

locale *wpo-with-basic-assms* = *wpo* +

order-pair + *irrefl-precedence* +

constrains *S* :: $('f, 'v) \ \text{term \ rel}$ **and** *NS* :: -

and *prc* :: $'f \times \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'f \times \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \times \text{bool}$

and *prl* :: $'f \times \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

and *ssimple* :: bool

and *large* :: $'f \times \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

and *c* :: $'f \times \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{order-tag}$

and *n* :: nat

and $\sigma\sigma :: 'f \text{ status}$
assumes $\text{subst-}S: (s,t) \in S \implies (s \cdot \sigma, t \cdot \sigma) \in S$
and $\text{subst-}NS: (s,t) \in NS \implies (s \cdot \sigma, t \cdot \sigma) \in NS$
and $\text{irrefl-}S: \text{irrefl } S$
and $\text{S-imp-}NS: S \subseteq NS$
and $\text{ss-status}: \text{ssimple} \implies i \in \text{set } (\text{status } \sigma\sigma \text{ fn}) \implies \text{simple-arg-pos } S \text{ fn } i$
and $\text{large}: \text{ssimple} \implies \text{large fn} \implies \text{fst } (\text{prc fn gm}) \vee \text{snd } (\text{prc fn gm}) \wedge \text{status}$
 $\sigma\sigma \text{ gm} = []$
and $\text{large-trans}: \text{ssimple} \implies \text{large fn} \implies \text{snd } (\text{prc gm fn}) \implies \text{large gm}$
and $\text{ss-S-non-empty}: \text{ssimple} \implies S \neq \{\}$
begin
abbreviation $\sigma \equiv \text{status } \sigma\sigma$

lemma $\text{ss-NS-not-UNIV}: \text{ssimple} \implies NS \neq UNIV$
proof
assume $\text{ssimple } NS = UNIV$
with ss-S-non-empty **obtain** $a \ b$ **where** $(a,b) \in S \ (b,a) \in NS$ **by** auto
from compat-S-NS-point [*OF this*] **have** $(a,a) \in S$.
with irrefl-S **show** False **unfolding** irrefl-def **by** auto
qed

lemmas $\sigma = \text{status}$ [*of* $\sigma\sigma$]
lemma $\sigma E: i \in \text{set } (\sigma \ (f, \text{length } ss)) \implies \text{ss} \ ! \ i \in \text{set } \text{ss}$ **by** (*rule status-aux*)

lemma $\text{wpo-ns-imp-NS}: s \succeq t \implies (s,t) \in NS$
using S-imp-NS
by (*cases s, auto simp: wpo.simps[*of* - t], cases t, auto simp: refl-NS-point split: if-splits*)

lemma $\text{wpo-s-imp-NS}: s \succ t \implies (s,t) \in NS$
by (*rule wpo-ns-imp-NS[*OF* wpo-s-imp-ns]*)

lemma wpo-least-1 : **assumes** $\text{prl } (f, \text{length } ss)$
and $(t, \text{Fun } f \text{ ss}) \in NS$
and $\sigma \ (f, \text{length } ss) = []$
shows $t \succeq \text{Fun } f \text{ ss}$
proof (*cases t*)
case ($\text{Var } x$)
with assms **show** $?thesis$ **by** (*simp add: wpo.simps*)
next
case ($\text{Fun } g \text{ ts}$)
let $?f = (f, \text{length } ss)$
let $?g = (g, \text{length } ts)$
obtain $s \ ns$ **where** $\text{prc } ?g \ ?f = (s, ns)$ **by** force
with prl [*OF assms(1), of ?g*] **have** $\text{prc: prc } ?g \ ?f = (s, \text{True})$ **by** auto
show $?thesis$ **using** $\text{assms}(2)$
unfolding Fun
unfolding wpo.simps [*of* $\text{Fun } g \text{ ts } \text{Fun } f \text{ ss}$] term.simps $\text{assms}(3)$
by (*auto simp: prc lex-ext-least-1 mul-ext-def ns-mul-ext-bottom Let-def*)

qed

lemma *wpo-least-2*: **assumes** $prl (f, length\ ss)$ (**is** $prl\ ?f$)
 and $(Fun\ f\ ss, t) \notin S$
 and $\sigma (f, length\ ss) = []$
shows $\neg Fun\ f\ ss \succ t$
proof (*cases t*)
 case (*Var x*)
 with *Var* **show** *?thesis* **using** *assms(2-3)* **by** (*auto simp: wpo.simps split: if-splits*)
 next
 case (*Fun g ts*)
 let $?g = (g, length\ ts)$
 obtain $s\ ns$ **where** $prc\ ?f\ ?g = (s, ns)$ **by** *force*
 with $prl2[OF\ assms(1),\ of\ ?g]$ **have** $prc: prc\ ?f\ ?g = (False, ns)$ **by** *auto*
 show *?thesis* **using** *assms(2) assms(3) unfolding Fun*
 by (*simp add: wpo.simps[of - Fun g ts] lex-ext-least-2 prc mul-ext-def s-mul-ext-bottom-strict Let-def*)
qed

lemma *wpo-least-3*: **assumes** $prl (f, length\ ss)$ (**is** $prl\ ?f$)
 and $ns: Fun\ f\ ss \succeq t$
 and $NS: (u, Fun\ f\ ss) \in NS$
 and $ss: \sigma (f, length\ ss) = []$
 and $S: \bigwedge x. (Fun\ f\ ss, x) \notin S$
 and $u: u = Var\ x$
shows $u \succeq t$
proof (*cases (Fun f ss, t) \in S \vee (u, Fun f ss) \in S \vee (u, t) \in S*)
 case *True*
 with $wpo\ ns\ imp\ NS[OF\ ns]$ *NS compat-NS-S-point compat-S-NS-point* **have** $(u, t) \in S$ **by** *blast*
 from $wpo\ s\ imp\ ns[OF\ S\ imp\ wpo\ s[OF\ this]]$ **show** *?thesis* .
 next
 case *False*
 from $trans\ NS\ point[OF\ NS\ wpo\ ns\ imp\ NS[OF\ ns]]$ **have** $utA: (u, t) \in NS$.
 show *?thesis*
 proof (*cases t*)
 case $t: (Var\ y)$
 with $ns\ False\ ss$ **have** $*$: *ssimple large (f, length ss)*
 by (*auto simp: wpo.simps split: if-splits*)
 show *?thesis*
 proof (*cases x = y*)
 case *True*
 thus *?thesis* **using** $ns * False\ utA\ ss$
 unfolding $wpo.simps[of\ u\ t]$ $wpo.simps[of\ Fun\ f\ ss\ t]$
 unfolding $t\ u\ term.simps$
 by (*auto split: if-splits*)
 next
 case *False*


```

    from uA[unfolded t u]
    have (Var x, Var y) ∈ NS .
    from False subst-NS[OF this, of  $\lambda z. \text{if } z = x \text{ then } v \text{ else } w$  for v w]
    have (v,w) ∈ NS for v w by auto
    hence NS = UNIV by auto
    with ss-NS-not-UNIV[OF  $\langle \text{ssimple} \rangle$ ]
    have False by auto
    thus ?thesis ..
  qed
next
  case (Fun g ts)
  let ?g = (g,length ts)
  obtain s ns where prc ?f ?g = (s,ns) by force
  with prl2[OF  $\langle \text{prl } ?f \rangle$ , of ?g] have prc: prc ?f ?g = (False,ns) by auto
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases  $\sigma$  ?g)
    case Nil
    with False Fun assms prc have prc ?f ?g = (False,True)
    by (auto simp: wpo.simps split: if-splits)
    with prl3[OF  $\langle \text{prl } ?f \rangle$ , of ?g] have prl ?g by auto
    show ?thesis using uA unfolding Fun by (rule wpo-least-1[OF  $\langle \text{prl } ?g \rangle$ ],
simp add: Nil)
  next
    case (Cons t1 tts)
    have  $\neg \text{wpo-}s$  (Fun f ss) (ts ! t1) by (rule wpo-least-2[OF  $\langle \text{prl } ?f \rangle S \text{ ss}$ ])
    with  $\langle \text{wpo-}ns$  (Fun f ss) t False Fun Cons
    have False by (simp add: ss wpo.simps split: if-splits)
    then show ?thesis ..
  qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma wpo-compat: ( $s \succeq t \wedge t \succ u \longrightarrow s \succ u$ )  $\wedge$ 
  ( $s \succ t \wedge t \succeq u \longrightarrow s \succ u$ )  $\wedge$ 
  ( $s \succeq t \wedge t \succeq u \longrightarrow s \succeq u$ ) (is ?tran s t u)
proof (induct (s,t,u) arbitrary: s t u rule: wf-induct[OF wf-measures[of  $[\lambda (s,t,u).$ 
size s,  $\lambda (s,t,u).$  size t,  $\lambda (s,t,u).$  size u]]])
  case 1
  note ind = 1[simplified]
  show ?tran s t u
  proof (cases (s,t) ∈ S  $\vee$  (t,u) ∈ S  $\vee$  (s,u) ∈ S)
    case True
    {
      assume st: wpo-ns s t and tu: wpo-ns t u
      from wpo-ns-imp-NS[OF st] wpo-ns-imp-NS[OF tu]
      True compat-NS-S-point compat-S-NS-point have (s,u) ∈ S by blast
      from S-imp-wpo-s[OF this] have wpo-s s u .
    }
  }

```

```

with wpo-s-imp-ns show ?thesis by blast
next
case False
then have stS:  $(s,t) \notin S$  and tuS:  $(t,u) \notin S$  and suS:  $(s,u) \notin S$  by auto
show ?thesis
proof (cases t)
  note [simp] = wpo.simps[of s u] wpo.simps[of s t] wpo.simps[of t u]
  case (Var x)
  note wpo.simps[simp]
  show ?thesis
  proof safe
    assume wpo-s t u
    with Var tuS show wpo-s s u by (auto split: if-splits)
  next
  assume gr: wpo-s s t and ge: wpo-ns t u
  from wpo-s-imp-NS[OF gr] have stA:  $(s,t) \in NS$  .
  from wpo-ns-imp-NS[OF ge] have tuA:  $(t,u) \in NS$  .
  from trans-NS-point[OF stA tuA] have suA:  $(s,u) \in NS$  .
  show wpo-s s u
  proof (cases u)
    case (Var y)
    with ge  $\langle t = \text{Var } x \rangle$  tuS have t = u by (auto split: if-splits)
    with gr show ?thesis by auto
  next
  case (Fun h us)
  let ?h = (h,length us)
  from Fun ge Var tuS have us:  $\sigma \ ?h = []$  and pri: prl ?h by (auto split:
if-splits)
  from gr Var tuS ge stS obtain f ss where s:  $s = \text{Fun } f \text{ ss}$  by (cases s,
auto split: if-splits)
  let ?f = (f,length ss)
  from s gr Var False obtain i where i:  $i \in \text{set } (\sigma \ ?f)$  and sit:  $ss ! i \succeq t$ 
by (auto split: if-splits)
  from trans-NS-point[OF wpo-ns-imp-NS[OF sit] tuA] have siu:  $(ss ! i, u)$ 
 $\in NS$  .
  from wpo-least-1[OF pri siu[unfolded Fun us] us]
  have  $ss ! i \succeq u$  unfolding Fun us .
  with i have  $\exists i \in \text{set } (\sigma \ ?f). ss ! i \succeq u$  by blast
  with s suA show ?thesis by simp
  qed
next
assume ge1: wpo-ns s t and ge2: wpo-ns t u
show wpo-ns s u
proof (cases u)
  case (Var y)
  with ge2  $\langle t = \text{Var } x \rangle$  tuS have t = u by (auto split: if-splits)
  with ge1 show ?thesis by auto
next
  case (Fun h us)

```

```

    let ?h = (h,length us)
    from Fun ge2 Var tuS have us:  $\sigma$  ?h = [] and pri: prl ?h by (auto split:
if-splits)
    show ?thesis unfolding Fun us
    by (rule wpo-least-1[OF pri trans-NS-point[OF wpo-ns-imp-NS[OF ge1]
wpo-ns-imp-NS[OF ge2[unfolded Fun us]]] us])
    qed
  qed
next
case (Fun g ts)
let ?g = (g,length ts)
let ?ts = set ( $\sigma$  ?g)
let ?t = Fun g ts
from Fun have t: t = ?t .
show ?thesis
proof (cases s)
case (Var x)
show ?thesis
proof safe
assume gr: wpo-s s t
with Var Fun stS show wpo-s s u by (auto simp: wpo.simps split: if-splits)
next
assume ge: wpo-ns s t and gr: wpo-s t u
with Var Fun stS have pri: prl ?g and  $\sigma$  ?g = [] by (auto simp: wpo.simps
split: if-splits)
with gr Fun show wpo-s s u using wpo-least-2[OF pri, of u] False by
auto
next
assume ge1: wpo-ns s t and ge2: wpo-ns t u
with Var Fun stS have pri: prl ?g and empty:  $\sigma$  ?g = [] by (auto simp:
wpo.simps split: if-splits)
from wpo-ns-imp-NS[OF ge1] Var Fun empty have ns: (Var x, Fun g ts)
 $\in$  NS by simp
from wpo-ns-imp-NS[OF ge1] wpo-ns-imp-NS[OF ge2]
have suA: (s,u)  $\in$  NS by (rule trans-NS-point)
note wpo-simp = wpo.simps[of t u]
show wpo-ns s u
proof (cases u)
case (Fun h us)
let ?h = (h,length us)
obtain pns where prc: prc ?g ?h = (False,pns) using prl2[OF pri, of
?h] by (cases prc ?g ?h, auto)
from prc wpo-ns-imp-NS[OF ge2] tuS ge2 Fun u empty have pns
unfolding wpo-simp
by (auto split: if-splits simp: Let-def)
with prc have prc: prc ?g ?h = (False, True) by auto
from prl3[OF pri, of ?h] prc have pri': prl ?h by auto
from prc wpo-ns-imp-NS[OF ge2] tuS ge2 Fun u empty have empty':  $\sigma$ 
?h = [] unfolding wpo-simp

```

```

    by (auto split: if-splits simp: Let-def dest: lex-ext-arg-empty mul-ext-arg-empty)
      from pri' empty' suA show ?thesis unfolding Var u by (auto simp:
wpo.simps)
  next
    case u: (Var z)
    from wpo-ns-imp-NS[OF ge2] tuS ge2 Fun u empty wpo-simp
    have ssimple large ?g by auto
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases x = z)
      case True
      thus ?thesis using suA Var u by (simp add: wpo.simps)
    next
      case False
      from suA[unfolded Var u] have ns: (Var x, Var z) ∈ NS by auto
      have (a,b) ∈ NS for a b using subst-NS[OF ns, of λ z. if z = x then
a else b] False by auto
      hence NS = UNIV by auto
      from ss-S-non-empty[OF ‹ssimple›] this compat-S-NS obtain a where
(a,a) ∈ S by auto
      with irrefl-S show ?thesis unfolding irrefl-def by auto
    qed
  qed
next
case (Fun f ss)
let ?s = Fun f ss
let ?f = (f,length ss)
let ?ss = set (σ ?f)
from Fun have s: s = ?s .
let ?s1 = ∃ i ∈ ?ss. ss ! i ≥ t
let ?t1 = ∃ j ∈ ?ts. ts ! j ≥ u
let ?ls = length ss
let ?lt = length ts
obtain ps pns where prc: prc ?f ?g = (ps,pns) by force
let ?tran2 = λ a b c.
  ((wpo-ns a b) ∧ (wpo-s b c) → (wpo-s a c)) ∧
  ((wpo-s a b) ∧ (wpo-ns b c) → (wpo-s a c)) ∧
  ((wpo-ns a b) ∧ (wpo-ns b c) → (wpo-ns a c)) ∧
  ((wpo-s a b) ∧ (wpo-s b c) → (wpo-s a c))
from s have ∀ s' ∈ set ss. size s' < size s by (auto simp: size-simps)
with ind have ind2: ∧ s' t' u'. [s' ∈ set ss] ⇒ ?tran s' t' u' by blast
with wpo-s-imp-ns have ind3: ∧ us s' t' u'. [s' ∈ set ss; t' ∈ set ts] ⇒
?tran2 s' t' u' by blast
let ?mss = map (λ i. ss ! i) (σ ?f)
let ?mts = map (λ j. ts ! j) (σ ?g)
have ind3': ∧ us s' t' u'. [s' ∈ set ?mss; t' ∈ set ?mts] ⇒ ?tran2 s' t' u'
by (rule ind3, auto simp: status-aux)
{
  assume ge1: s ≥ t and ge2: t > u

```

```

from wpo-ns-imp-NS[OF ge1] have stA: (s,t) ∈ NS .
from wpo-s-imp-NS[OF ge2] have tuA: (t,u) ∈ NS .
from trans-NS-point[OF stA tuA] have suA: (s,u) ∈ NS .
have s > u
proof (cases ?s1)
  case True
    from this obtain i where i: i ∈ ?ss and ges: ss ! i ≥ t by auto
    from σE[OF i] have s': ss ! i ∈ set ss .
    with i s s' ind2[of ss ! i t u, simplified] ges ge2 have ss ! i > u by auto
    then have ss ! i ≥ u by (rule wpo-s-imp-ns)
    with i s suA show ?thesis by (cases u, auto simp: wpo.simps split:
if-splits)
  next
    case False
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases ?t1)
      case True
        from this obtain j where j: j ∈ ?ts and ges: ts ! j ≥ u by auto
        from σE[OF j] have t': ts ! j ∈ set ts by auto
        from j t' t stS False ge1 s have ge1': s > ts ! j unfolding wpo.simps[of
s t]
          by (auto split: if-splits prod.splits)
        from t' s t ge1' ges ind[rule-format, of s ts ! j u, simplified]
        show s > u
        using suA size-simps supt.intros unfolding wpo.simps[of s u]
        by (auto split: if-splits)
      next
        case False
        from t this ge2 tuS obtain h us where u: u = Fun h us
        by (cases u, auto simp: wpo.simps split: if-splits)
        let ?u = Fun h us
        let ?h = (h,length us)
        let ?us = set (σ ?h)
        let ?mus = map (λ k. us ! k) (σ ?h)
        from s t u ge1 ge2 have ge1: ?s ≥ ?t and ge2: ?t > ?u by auto
        from stA stS s t have stAS: ((?s,?t) ∈ S) = False ((?s,?t) ∈ NS) =
True by auto
        from tuA tuS t u have tuAS: ((?t,?u) ∈ S) = False ((?t,?u) ∈ NS) =
True by auto
        note ge1 = ge1[unfolded wpo.simps[of ?s ?t] stAS, simplified]
        note ge2 = ge2[unfolded wpo.simps[of ?t ?u] tuAS, simplified]
        obtain ps2 pns2 where prc2: prc ?g ?h = (ps2,pns2) by force
        obtain ps3 pns3 where prc3: prc ?f ?h = (ps3,pns3) by force
        from <¬ ?s1> t ge1 have st': ∀ j ∈ ?ts. ?s > ts ! j by (auto split:
if-splits prod.splits)
        from <¬ ?t1> t u ge2 tuS have tu': ∀ k ∈ ?us. ?t > us ! k by (auto
split: if-splits prod.splits)
        from <¬ ?s1> s t ge1 stS st' have fg: pns by (cases ?thesis, auto simp:
prc)

```

```

    from ⟨¬ ?t1⟩ u ge2 tu' have gh: pns2 by (cases ?thesis, auto simp:
prc2)
  from ⟨¬ ?s1⟩ have ?s1 = False by simp
  note ge1 = ge1[unfolded this[unfolded t] if-False term.simps prc split]
  from ⟨¬ ?t1⟩ have ?t1 = False by simp
  note ge2 = ge2[unfolded this[unfolded u] if-False term.simps prc2 split]
  note compat = prc-compat[OF prc prc2 prc3]
  from fg gh compat have fh: pns3 by simp
  {
    fix k
    assume k: k ∈ ?us
    from σE[OF this] have size (us ! k) < size u unfolding u using
size-simps by auto
    with tu'[folded t] ⟨s ≥ t⟩
      ind[rule-format, of s t us ! k] k have s > us ! k by blast
  } note su' = this
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases ps3)
    case True
      with su' s u fh prc3 suA show ?thesis by (auto simp: wpo.simps)
    next
      case False
        from False fg gh compat have nfg: ¬ ps and ngh: ¬ ps2 and *: ps
= False ps2 = False by blast+
        note ge1 = ge1[unfolded * if-False]
        note ge2 = ge2[unfolded * if-False]
        show ?thesis
        proof (cases c ?f)
          case Mul note cf = this
            show ?thesis
            proof (cases c ?g)
              case Mul note cg = this
                show ?thesis
                proof (cases c ?h)
                  case Mul note ch = this
                    from ge1[unfolded cf cg]
                    have mul1: snd (mul-ext wpo ?mss ?mts) by (auto split: if-splits)
                    from ge2[unfolded cg ch]
                    have mul2: fst (mul-ext wpo ?mts ?mus) by (auto split: if-splits)
                    from mul1 mul2 mul-ext-compat[OF ind3', of ?mss ?mts ?mus]
                    have fst (mul-ext wpo ?mss ?mus) by auto
                    with s u fh su' prc3 cf ch suA show ?thesis unfolding wpo.simps[of
s u] by simp
                  next
                    case Lex note ch = this
                      from gh u ge2 tu' prc2 ngh cg ch have us-e: ?mus = [] by simp
                      from gh u ge2 tu' prc2 ngh cg ch have ts-ne: ?mts ≠ [] by (auto
split: if-splits)
                      from ns-mul-ext-bottom-uniqueness[of mset ?mts]

```

```

      have  $\bigwedge f. \text{snd} (\text{mul-ext } f \ \square \ ?mts) \implies ?mts = \square$  unfolding
mul-ext-def by (simp add: Let-def)
      with ts-ne fg  $\langle \neg \ ?s1 \rangle t \ ge1 \ st' \ \text{prc} \ \text{nfg} \ cf \ cg$  have ss-ne:  $?mss \neq \square$ 
      by (cases ss) auto
      from us-e ss-ne s u fh su' prc3 cf cg ch suA show ?thesis
unfolding wpo.simps[of s u] by simp
      qed
    next
      case Lex note cg = this
      from fg  $\langle \neg \ ?s1 \rangle t \ ge1 \ st' \ \text{prc} \ \text{nfg} \ cf \ cg$  have ts-e:  $?mts = \square$  by
simp
      with gh  $\langle \neg \ ?t1 \rangle u \ ge2 \ tu' \ \text{prc2} \ \text{ngh} \ cg$  show ?thesis
      by (cases c ?h) (simp-all add: lex-ext-least-2)
      qed
    next
      case Lex note cf = this
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases c ?g)
        case Mul note cg = this
        from fg  $\langle \neg \ ?s1 \rangle t \ ge1 \ st' \ \text{prc} \ \text{nfg} \ cf \ cg$  have ts-e:  $?mts = \square$  by
simp
        with gh  $\langle \neg \ ?t1 \rangle u \ ge2 \ tu' \ \text{prc2} \ \text{ngh} \ cg$  show ?thesis
        by (cases c ?h) (auto simp: Let-def s-mul-ext-def s-mul-ext-bottom
mul-ext-def elim: mult2-alt-sE)
      next
        case Lex note cg = this
        show ?thesis
        proof (cases c ?h)
          case Mul note ch = this
          from gh u ge2 tu' ngh cg ch have us-e:  $?mus = \square$  by simp
          from gh u ge2 tu' ngh cg ch have ts-ne:  $?mts \neq \square$  by simp
          from lex-ext-iff[of - -  $\square \ ?mts$ ]
          have  $\bigwedge f. \text{snd} (\text{lex-ext } f \ n \ \square \ ?mts) \implies ?mts = \square$  by simp
          with ts-ne fg t ge1 st' nfg cf cg have ss-ne:  $?mss \neq \square$  by auto
          from us-e ss-ne s u fh su' prc3 cf cg ch suA show ?thesis
unfolding wpo.simps[of s u] by simp
        next
          case Lex note ch = this
          from fg t ge1 st' nfg cf cg
          have lex1:  $\text{snd} (\text{lex-ext } \text{wpo } n \ ?mss \ ?mts)$  by auto
          from gh u ge2 tu' ngh cg ch
          have lex2:  $\text{fst} (\text{lex-ext } \text{wpo } n \ ?mts \ ?mus)$  by auto
          from lex1 lex2 lex-ext-compat[OF ind3', of ?mss ?mts ?mus]
          have fst (lex-ext wpo n ?mss ?mus) by auto
          with s u fh su' prc3 cf cg ch suA show ?thesis unfolding
wpo.simps[of s u] by simp
        qed
      qed
    qed
  qed

```

```

      qed
    qed
  qed
}
moreover
{
  assume ge1: s > t and ge2: t ≥ u
  from wpo-s-imp-NS[OF ge1] have stA: (s,t) ∈ NS .
  from wpo-ns-imp-NS[OF ge2] have tuA: (t,u) ∈ NS .
  from trans-NS-point[OF stA tuA] have suA: (s,u) ∈ NS .
  have s > u
  proof (cases ?s1)
    case True
      from True obtain i where i: i ∈ ?ss and ges: ss ! i ≥ t by auto
      from σE[OF i] have s': ss ! i ∈ set ss by auto
      with s s' ind2[of ss ! i t u, simplified] ges ge2 have ss ! i ≥ u by auto
      with i s' s suA show ?thesis by (cases u, auto simp: wpo.simps split:
if-splits)
    next
      case False
        show ?thesis
        proof (cases ?t1)
          case True
            from this obtain j where j: j ∈ ?ts and ges: ts ! j ≥ u by auto
            from σE[OF j] have t': ts ! j ∈ set ts .
            from j t' t stS False ge1 s have ge1': s > ts ! j unfolding wpo.simps[of
s t]
              by (auto split: if-splits prod.splits)
            from t' s t ge1' ges ind[rule-format, of s ts ! j u, simplified]
            show s > u
              using suA size-simps supt.intros unfolding wpo.simps[of s u]
              by (auto split: if-splits)
          next
            case False
              show ?thesis
              proof (cases u)
                case u: (Fun h us)
                  let ?u = Fun h us
                  let ?h = (h,length us)
                  let ?us = set (σ ?h)
                  let ?mss = map (λ i. ss ! i) (σ ?f)
                  let ?mts = map (λ j. ts ! j) (σ ?g)
                  let ?mus = map (λ k. us ! k) (σ ?h)
                  note σE = σE[of - f ss] σE[of - g ts] σE[of - h us]
                  from s t u ge1 ge2 have ge1: ?s > ?t and ge2: ?t ≥ ?u by auto
                  from stA stS s t have stAS: ((?s,?t) ∈ S) = False ((?s,?t) ∈ NS) =
True by auto
                  from tuA tuS t u have tuAS: ((?t,?u) ∈ S) = False ((?t,?u) ∈ NS)
= True by auto
              end
            end
          end
        end
      end
    end
  end
}

```



```

note ge1 = ge1[unfolded wpo.simps[of ?s ?t] stAS, simplified]
note ge2 = ge2[unfolded wpo.simps[of ?t ?u] tuAS, simplified]
let ?lu = length us
obtain ps2 pns2 where prc2: prc ?g ?h = (ps2,pns2) by force
obtain ps3 pns3 where prc3: prc ?f ?h = (ps3,pns3) by force
from ⟨¬ ?s1⟩ t ge1 have st': ∀ j ∈ ?ts. ?s > ts ! j by (auto split:
if-splits prod.splits)
from ⟨¬ ?t1⟩ t u ge2 tuS have tu': ∀ k ∈ ?us. ?t > us ! k by (auto
split: if-splits prod.splits)
from ⟨¬ ?s1⟩ s t ge1 stS st' have fg: pns by (cases ?thesis, auto
simp: prc)
from ⟨¬ ?t1⟩ u ge2 tu' have gh: pns2 by (cases ?thesis, auto simp:
prc2)
from ⟨¬ ?s1⟩ have ?s1 = False by simp
note ge1 = ge1[unfolded this[unfolded t] if-False term.simps prc split]
from ⟨¬ ?t1⟩ have ?t1 = False by simp
note ge2 = ge2[unfolded this[unfolded u] if-False term.simps prc2
split]
note compat = prc-compat[OF prc prc2 prc3]
from fg gh compat have fh: pns3 by simp
{
  fix k
  assume k: k ∈ ?us
  from σE(3)[OF this] have size (us ! k) < size u unfolding u using
size-simps by auto
  with tu'[folded t] wpo-s-imp-ns[OF ⟨s > t⟩]
  ind[rule-format, of s t us ! k] k have s > us ! k by blast
} note su' = this
show ?thesis
proof (cases ps3)
  case True
  with su' s u fh prc3 suA show ?thesis by (auto simp: wpo.simps)
next
  case False
  from False fg gh compat have nfg: ¬ ps and ngh: ¬ ps2 and *: ps
= False ps2 = False by blast+
  note ge1 = ge1[unfolded * if-False]
  note ge2 = ge2[unfolded * if-False]
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases c ?f)
    case Mul note cf = this
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases c ?g)
      case Mul note cg = this
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases c ?h)
        case Mul note ch = this
        from fg t ge1 st' nfg cf cg
        have mul1: fst (mul-ext wpo ?mss ?mts) by auto

```

```

    from gh u ge2 tu' ngh cg ch
    have mul2: snd (mul-ext wpo ?mts ?mus) by auto
    from mul1 mul2 mul-ext-compat[OF ind3', of ?mss ?mts ?mus]
    have fst (mul-ext wpo ?mss ?mus) by auto
    with s u fh su' prc3 cf ch suA show ?thesis unfolding
wpo.simps[of s u] by simp
  next
    case Lex note ch = this
    from gh u ge2 tu' ngh cg ch have us-e: ?mus = [] by simp
    from fg t ge1 st' nfg cf cg s-mul-ext-bottom-strict
    have ss-ne: ?mss ≠ [] by (cases ?mss) (auto simp: Let-def
mul-ext-def)
    from us-e ss-ne s u fh su' prc3 cf cg ch suA show ?thesis
unfolding wpo.simps[of s u] by simp
  qed
  next
    case Lex note cg = this
    from fg t ge1 st' prc nfg cf cg s-mul-ext-bottom-strict
    have ss-ne: ?mss ≠ [] by (auto simp: mul-ext-def)
    from fg t ge1 st' nfg cf cg have ts-e: ?mts = [] by simp
    show ?thesis
  proof (cases c ?h)
    case Mul note ch = this
    with gh u ge2 tu' ngh cg ch ns-mul-ext-bottom-uniqueness
    have ?mus = [] by simp
    with ss-ne s u fh su' prc3 cf cg ch s-mul-ext-bottom suA
    show ?thesis unfolding wpo.simps[of s u] by (simp add: Let-def
mul-ext-def s-mul-ext-def mult2-alt-s-def)
  next
    case Lex note ch = this
    from lex-ext-iff[of - - [] ?mus]
    have  $\bigwedge f. \text{snd } (lex\text{-ext } f \ n \ []) \ ?mus \implies ?mus = []$  by simp
    with ts-e gh u ge2 tu' ngh cg ch
    have ?mus = [] by simp
    with ss-ne s u fh su' prc3 cf cg ch s-mul-ext-bottom suA
    show ?thesis unfolding wpo.simps[of s u] by (simp add:
mul-ext-def)
  qed
  qed
  next
    case Lex note cf = this
    show ?thesis
  proof (cases c ?g)
    case Mul note cg = this
    from fg t ge1 st' nfg cf cg have ss-ne: ?mss ≠ [] by simp
    from fg t ge1 st' nfg cf cg have ts-e: ?mts = [] by simp
    show ?thesis
  proof (cases c ?h)
    case Mul note ch = this

```

```

    from ts-e gh u ge2 tu' ngh cg ch
      ns-mul-ext-bottom-uniqueness[of mset ?mus]
    have ?mus = [] by (simp add: mul-ext-def Let-def)
    with ss-ne s u fh su' prc3 cf cg ch s-mul-ext-bottom suA
      show ?thesis unfolding wpo.simps[of s u] by (simp add:
mul-ext-def)
  next
    case Lex note ch = this
    from gh u ge2 tu' prc2 ngh cg ch have ?mus = [] by simp
    with ss-ne s u fh su' prc3 cf cg ch suA
      show ?thesis unfolding wpo.simps[of s u] by (simp add:
lex-ext-iff)
  qed
next
  case Lex note cg = this
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases c ?h)
    case Mul note ch = this
    from gh u ge2 tu' ngh cg ch have us-e: ?mus = [] by simp
    have  $\bigwedge f. fst (lex-ext f n ?mss ?mts) \implies ?mss \neq []$ 
      by (cases ?mss) (simp-all add: lex-ext-iff)
    with fg t ge1 st' prc nfg cf cg have ss-ne: ?mss  $\neq []$  by simp
    with us-e s u fh su' prc3 cf cg ch suA show ?thesis unfolding
wpo.simps[of s u] by simp
  next
    case Lex note ch = this
    from fg t ge1 st' nfg cf cg
      have lex1: fst (lex-ext wpo n ?mss ?mts) by auto
    from gh u ge2 tu' ngh cg ch
      have lex2: snd (lex-ext wpo n ?mts ?mus) by auto
    from lex1 lex2 lex-ext-compat[OF ind3', of ?mss ?mts ?mus]
      have fst (lex-ext wpo n ?mss ?mus) by auto
    with s u fh su' prc3 cf cg ch suA show ?thesis unfolding
wpo.simps[of s u] by simp
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
next
  case (Var z)
  from ge2  $\langle \neg ?t1 \rangle$  tuS have ssimple large ?g unfolding Var t
    by (auto simp: wpo.simps split: if-splits)
  from large[OF this, of ?f]
    have large: fst (prc ?g ?f)  $\vee$  snd (prc ?g ?f)  $\wedge$   $\sigma ?f = []$  by auto
  obtain fgs fgns where prc-fg: prc ?f ?g = (fgs,fgns) by (cases prc ?f
?g, auto)
  from ge1  $\langle \neg ?s1 \rangle$  stS have weak-fg: snd (prc ?f ?g) unfolding s t
using prc-fg
  by (auto simp: wpo.simps split: if-splits)

```

```

have prc-irrefl:  $\neg$  fst (prc ?f ?f) using prc-refl by simp
from large have False
proof
  assume fst (prc ?g ?f)
with weak-fg have fst (prc ?f ?f) by (metis prc-compat prod.collapse)
  with prc-irrefl show False by auto
next
  assume weak: snd (prc ?g ?f)  $\wedge$   $\sigma$  ?f = []
  let ?mss = map ( $\lambda$  i. ss ! i) ( $\sigma$  ?f)
  let ?mts = map ( $\lambda$  j. ts ! j) ( $\sigma$  ?g)
  {
    assume fst (prc ?f ?g)
  with weak have fst (prc ?f ?f) by (metis prc-compat prod.collapse)
    with prc-irrefl have False by auto
  }
  hence  $\neg$  fst (prc ?f ?g) by auto
  with ge1  $\langle \neg$  ?s1  $\rangle$  stS prc-fg
  have fst (lex-ext wpo n ?mss ?mts)  $\vee$  fst (mul-ext wpo ?mss ?mts)
 $\vee$  ?mss  $\neq$  []
    unfolding wpo.simps[of s t] unfolding s t
    by (auto simp: Let-def split: if-splits)
  with weak have fst (lex-ext wpo n [] ?mts)  $\vee$  fst (mul-ext wpo []
?mts) by auto
    thus False using lex-ext-least-2 by (auto simp: mul-ext-def Let-def
s-mul-ext-bottom-strict)
  qed
  thus ?thesis ..
  qed
  qed
  qed
}
moreover
{
  assume ge1:  $s \succeq t$  and ge2:  $t \succeq u$  and ngt1:  $\neg s \succ t$  and ngt2:  $\neg t \succ u$ 
  from wpo-ns-imp-NS[OF ge1] have stA:  $(s, t) \in NS$  .
  from wpo-ns-imp-NS[OF ge2] have tuA:  $(t, u) \in NS$  .
  from trans-NS-point[OF stA tuA] have suA:  $(s, u) \in NS$  .
  from ngt1 stA have  $\neg$  ?s1 unfolding s t by (auto simp: wpo.simps split:
if-splits)
  from ngt2 tuA have  $\neg$  ?t1 unfolding t by (cases u, auto simp: wpo.simps
split: if-splits)
  have  $s \succeq u$ 
  proof (cases u)
    case u: (Var x)
  from t  $\langle \neg$  ?t1  $\rangle$  ge2 tuA ngt2 have large: ssimple large ?g unfolding u
  by (auto simp: wpo.simps split: if-splits)
  from s t ngt1 ge1 have snd (prc ?f ?g)
  by (auto simp: wpo.simps split: if-splits prod.splits)
  from large-trans[OF large this] suA large

```

```

show ?thesis unfolding wpo.simps[of s u] using s u by auto
next
case u: (Fun h us)
let ?u = Fun h us
let ?h = (h,length us)
let ?us = set (σ ?h)
let ?mss = map (λ i. ss ! i) (σ ?f)
let ?mts = map (λ j. ts ! j) (σ ?g)
let ?mus = map (λ k. us ! k) (σ ?h)
from s t u ge1 ge2 have ge1: ?s ≥ ?t and ge2: ?t ≥ ?u by auto
from stA stS s t have stAS: ((?s,?t) ∈ S) = False ((?s,?t) ∈ NS) =
True by auto
from tuA tuS t u have tuAS: ((?t,?u) ∈ S) = False ((?t,?u) ∈ NS) =
True by auto
note ge1 = ge1[unfolded wpo.simps[of ?s ?t] stAS, simplified]
note ge2 = ge2[unfolded wpo.simps[of ?t ?u] tuAS, simplified]
from s t u ngt1 ngt2 have ngt1: ¬ ?s > ?t and ngt2: ¬ ?t > ?u by auto
note ngt1 = ngt1[unfolded wpo.simps[of ?s ?t] stAS, simplified]
note ngt2 = ngt2[unfolded wpo.simps[of ?t ?u] tuAS, simplified]
from ⟨¬ ?s1⟩ t ge1 have st': ∀ j ∈ ?ts. ?s > ts ! j by (cases ?thesis,
auto)
from ⟨¬ ?t1⟩ u ge2 have tu': ∀ k ∈ ?us. ?t > us ! k by (cases ?thesis,
auto)
let ?lu = length us
obtain ps2 pns2 where prc2: prc ?g ?h = (ps2,pns2) by force
obtain ps3 pns3 where prc3: prc ?f ?h = (ps3,pns3) by force
from ⟨¬ ?s1⟩ t ge1 st' have fg: pns by (cases ?thesis, auto simp: prc)
from ⟨¬ ?t1⟩ u ge2 tu' have gh: pns2 by (cases ?thesis, auto simp: prc2)
note compat = prc-compat[OF prc prc2 prc3]
from ⟨¬ ?s1⟩ have ?s1 = False by simp
note ge1 = ge1[unfolded this[unfolded t] if-False term.simps prc split]
from ⟨¬ ?t1⟩ have ?t1 = False by simp
note ge2 = ge2[unfolded this[unfolded u] if-False term.simps prc2 split]
from compat fg gh have fh: pns3 by blast
{
  fix k
  assume k: k ∈ ?us
  from σE[OF this] have size (us ! k) < size u unfolding u using
size-simps by auto
  with tu'[folded t] ⟨s ≥ t⟩
  ind[rule-format, of s t us ! k] k have s > us ! k by blast
} note su' = this
from ⟨¬ ?s1⟩ st' ge1 ngt1 s t have nfg: ¬ ps
by (simp, cases ?thesis, simp, cases ps, auto simp: prc fg)
from ⟨¬ ?t1⟩ tu' ge2 ngt2 t u have ngh: ¬ ps2
by (simp, cases ?thesis, simp, cases ps2, auto simp: prc2 gh)
show s ≥ u
proof (cases c ?f)
case Mul note cf = this

```

```

show ?thesis
proof (cases c ?g)
  case Mul note cg = this
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases c ?h)
    case Mul note ch = this
    from fg t ge1 st' nfg cf cg
    have mul1: snd (mul-ext wpo ?mss ?mts) by auto
    from gh u ge2 tu' ngh cg ch
    have mul2: snd (mul-ext wpo ?mts ?mus) by auto
    from mul1 mul2 mul-ext-compat[OF ind3', of ?mss ?mts ?mus]
    have snd (mul-ext wpo ?mss ?mus) by auto
    with s u fh su' prc3 cf ch suA show ?thesis unfolding wpo.simps[of
s u] by simp
  next
    case Lex note ch = this
    from gh u ge2 tu' ngh cg ch have us-e: ?mus = [] by simp
    with s u fh su' prc3 cf cg ch suA show ?thesis unfolding wpo.simps[of
s u] by simp
  qed
next
  case Lex note cg = this
  from fg t ge1 st' nfg cf cg have ts-e: ?mts = [] by simp
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases c ?h)
    case Mul note ch = this
    with gh u ge2 tu' ngh cg ch have ?mus = [] by simp
    with s u fh su' prc3 cf cg ch ns-mul-ext-bottom suA
    show ?thesis unfolding wpo.simps[of s u] by (simp add: ns-mul-ext-def
mul-ext-def Let-def mult2-alt-ns-def)
  next
    case Lex note ch = this
    have  $\bigwedge f. \text{snd} (\text{lex-ext } f \ n \ \square \ ?mus) \implies ?mus = \square$  by (simp-all add:
lex-ext-iff)
    with ts-e gh u ge2 tu' ngh cg ch have ?mus = [] by simp
    with s u fh su' prc3 cf cg ch suA show ?thesis unfolding wpo.simps[of
s u] by simp
  qed
  qed
next
  case Lex note cf = this
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases c ?g)
    case Mul note cg = this
    from fg t ge1 st' prc nfg cf cg have ts-e: ?mts = [] by simp
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases c ?h)
      case Mul note ch = this
      with ts-e gh u ge2 tu' ngh cg ch

```

```

      ns-mul-ext-bottom-uniqueness[of mset ?mus]
      have ?mus = [] by (simp add: Let-def mul-ext-def)
      with s u fh su' prc3 cf cg ch suA show ?thesis unfolding wpo.simps[of
s u] by simp
      next
      case Lex note ch = this
      with gh u ge2 tu' prc2 ngh cg ch have ?mus = [] by simp
      with s u fh su' prc3 cf cg ch suA show ?thesis unfolding wpo.simps[of
s u] by (simp add: lex-ext-least-1)
      qed
      next
      case Lex note cg = this
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases c ?h)
      case Mul note ch = this
      with gh u ge2 tu' ngh cg ch have ?mus = [] by simp
      with s u fh su' prc3 cf cg ch suA show ?thesis unfolding wpo.simps[of
s u] by (simp add: lex-ext-least-1)
      next
      case Lex note ch = this
      from st' ge1 s t fg nfg cf cg
      have lex1: snd (lex-ext wpo n ?mss ?mts) by (auto simp: prc)
      from tu' ge2 t u gh ngh cg ch
      have lex2: snd (lex-ext wpo n ?mts ?mus) by (auto simp: prc2)
      from lex1 lex2 lex-ext-compat[OF ind3', of ?mss ?mts ?mus]
      have snd (lex-ext wpo n ?mss ?mus) by auto
      with fg gh su' s u fh cf cg ch suA show ?thesis unfolding
wpo.simps[of s u] by (auto simp: prc3)
      qed
      qed
      qed
      qed
    }
    ultimately
    show ?thesis using wpo-s-imp-ns by auto
  qed
qed
qed
qed

```

context

assumes *ssimple: strictly-simple-status* $\sigma \sigma NS$

begin

lemma *NS-arg'*:

assumes *i: i ∈ set (σ (f, length ts))*

shows $(Fun f ts, ts ! i) ∈ NS$

using *assms ssimple* unfolding *simple-arg-pos-def strictly-simple-status-def* by *simp*

```

lemma wpo-ns-refl':
  shows  $s \succeq s$ 
proof (induct s)
  case (Fun f ss)
  {
    fix i
    assume si:  $i \in \text{set } (\sigma (f, \text{length } ss))$ 
    from NS-arg'[OF this] have (Fun f ss, ss ! i)  $\in NS$  .
    with si Fun[OF status-aux[OF si]] have wpo-s (Fun f ss) (ss ! i) unfolding
    wpo.simps[of Fun f ss ss ! i]
    by auto
  } note wpo-s = this
let ?ss = map ( $\lambda i. ss ! i$ ) ( $\sigma (f, \text{length } ss)$ )
have rec11: snd (lex-ext wpo n ?ss ?ss)
  by (rule all-nstri-imp-lex-nstri, insert  $\sigma E$ [of - f ss], auto simp: Fun)
have rec12: snd (mul-ext wpo ?ss ?ss)
  unfolding mul-ext-def Let-def snd-conv
  by (intro ns-mul-ext-refl-local,
    unfold locally-refl-def, auto simp: in-multiset-in-set[of ?ss] intro!: Fun sta-
    tus-aux)
from rec11 rec12 show ?case using refl-NS-point wpo-s
  by (cases c (f, length ss), auto simp: wpo.simps[of Fun f ss Fun f ss] prc-refl)
qed (simp add: wpo.simps refl-NS-point)

lemma wpo-stable': fixes  $\delta :: ('f, 'v)\text{subst}$ 
  shows ( $s \succ t \longrightarrow s \cdot \delta \succ t \cdot \delta$ )  $\wedge$  ( $s \succeq t \longrightarrow s \cdot \delta \succeq t \cdot \delta$ )
  (is ?p s t)
proof (induct (s,t) arbitrary:s t rule: wf-induct[OF wf-measure[of  $\lambda (s,t). \text{size } s$ 
  + size t]])
  case (1 s t)
  from 1
  have  $\forall s' t'. \text{size } s' + \text{size } t' < \text{size } s + \text{size } t \longrightarrow ?p s' t'$  by auto
  note IH = this[rule-format]
  let ?s =  $s \cdot \delta$ 
  let ?t =  $t \cdot \delta$ 
  note simps = wpo.simps[of s t] wpo.simps[of ?s ?t]
  show ?case
proof (cases ((s,t)  $\in S \vee$  (?s,?t)  $\in S$ )  $\vee$  ((s,t)  $\notin NS \vee \neg$  wpo-ns s t))
  case True
  then show ?thesis
  proof
    assume (s,t)  $\in S \vee$  (?s,?t)  $\in S$ 
    with subst-S[of s t  $\delta$ ] have (?s,?t)  $\in S$  by blast
    from S-imp-wpo-s[OF this] have wpo-s ?s ?t .
    with wpo-s-imp-ns[OF this] show ?thesis by blast
  next
    assume (s,t)  $\notin NS \vee \neg$  wpo-ns s t
    with wpo-ns-imp-NS have st:  $\neg$  wpo-ns s t by auto

```



```

    with wpo-s-imp-ns have  $\neg$  wpo-s s t by auto
    with st show ?thesis by blast
qed
next
case False
then have not:  $((s,t) \in S) = \text{False} ((?s,?t) \in S) = \text{False}$ 
  and stA:  $(s,t) \in NS$  and ns: wpo-ns s t by auto
from subst-NS[OF stA] have sstsA:  $(?s,?t) \in NS$  by auto
from stA sstsA have id:  $((s,t) \in NS) = \text{True} ((?s,?t) \in NS) = \text{True}$  by auto
note_simps =_simps[unfolded id not if-False if-True]
show ?thesis
proof (cases s)
  case (Var x) note s = this
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases t)
    case (Var y) note t = this
    show ?thesis unfolding_simps(1) unfolding s t using wpo-ns-refl'[of  $\delta$  y]
  by auto
  next
  case (Fun g ts) note t = this
  let ?g = (g,length ts)
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases  $\delta$  x)
    case (Var y)
    then show ?thesis unfolding_simps unfolding s t by simp
  next
  case (Fun f ss)
  let ?f = (f,length ss)
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases prl ?g)
    case False then show ?thesis unfolding_simps unfolding s t Fun by
auto
  next
  case True
  obtain s ns where prc ?f ?g = (s,ns) by force
  with prl[OF True, of ?f] have prc: prc ?f ?g = (s, True) by auto
  show ?thesis unfolding_simps unfolding s t Fun
  by (auto simp: Fun prc mul-ext-def ns-mul-ext-bottom Let-def intro!:
all-nstri-imp-lex-nstri[of [], simplified])
  qed
  qed
  qed
next
case (Fun f ss) note s = this
let ?f = (f,length ss)
let ?ss = set ( $\sigma$  ?f)
{
  fix i
  assume i:  $i \in ?ss$  and ns: wpo-ns (ss ! i) t

```

```

    from IH[of ss ! i t]  $\sigma E$ [OF i] ns have wpo-ns (ss ! i · δ) ?t using s
      by (auto simp: size-simps)
      then have wpo-s ?s ?t using i sstsA  $\sigma$ [of f length ss] unfolding simps
unfolding s by force
    with wpo-s-imp-ns[OF this] have ?thesis by blast
  } note si-arg = this
show ?thesis
proof (cases t)
  case t: (Var y)
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases  $\exists i \in ?ss. wpo-ns (ss ! i) t$ )
  case True
  then obtain i
    where si: i ∈ ?ss and ns: wpo-ns (ss ! i) t
    unfolding s t by auto
    from si-arg[OF this] show ?thesis .
  next
  case False
  with ns[unfolded simps] s t
  have ssimple and largef: large ?f by (auto split: if-splits)
  from False s t not
  have  $\neg wpo-s s t$  unfolding wpo.simps[of s t] by auto
  moreover
  have wpo-ns ?s ?t
  proof (cases δ y)
  case (Var z)
  show ?thesis unfolding wpo.simps[of ?s ?t] not id
  unfolding s t using Var  $\langle ssimple \rangle largef$  by auto
  next
  case (Fun g ts)
  let ?g = (g, length ts)
  obtain ps pns where prc: prc ?f ?g = (ps, pns) by (cases prc ?f ?g, auto)
  from prc-stri-imp-nstri[of ?f ?g] prc have ps: ps  $\implies$  pns by auto
  {
    fix j
    assume j ∈ set (σ ?g)
    with set-status-nth[OF refl this] ss-status[OF  $\langle ssimple \rangle this$ ] t Fun
    have  $(t \cdot \delta, ts ! j) \in S$  by (auto simp: simple-arg-pos-def)
    with sstsA have S: (s · δ, ts ! j) ∈ S by (metis compat-NS-S-point)
    hence wpo-s (s · δ) (ts ! j) by (rule S-imp-wpo-s)
  } note ssimple = this
  from large[OF  $\langle ssimple \rangle largef, of ?g, unfolded prc$ ]
  have ps  $\vee$  pns  $\wedge$  σ ?g = [] by auto
  thus ?thesis using ssimple unfolding wpo.simps[of ?s ?t] not id
  unfolding s t using Fun prc ps by (auto simp: lex-ext-least-1 mul-ext-def
Let-def ns-mul-ext-bottom)
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  qed

```

```

next
  case (Fun g ts) note t = this
  let ?g = (g,length ts)
  let ?ts = set (σ ?g)
  obtain prs prns where p: prc ?f ?g = (prs, prns) by force
  note ns = ns[unfolded_simps, unfolded s t p term_simps split]
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases ∃ i ∈ ?ss. wpo-ns (ss ! i) t)
    case True
      with si-arg show ?thesis by blast
    next
      case False
        then have id: (∃ i ∈ ?ss. wpo-ns (ss ! i) (Fun g ts)) = False unfolding
t by auto
        note ns = ns[unfolded this if-False]
        let ?mss = map (λ s . s · δ) ss
        let ?mts = map (λ t . t · δ) ts
        from ns have prns and s-tj:  $\bigwedge j. j \in ?ts \implies wpo-s (Fun f ss) (ts ! j)$ 
          by (auto split: if-splits)
        {
          fix j
          assume j:  $j \in ?ts$ 
          from σE[OF this]
          have size s + size (ts ! j) < size s + size t unfolding t by (auto simp:
size-simps)
          from IH[OF this] s-tj[OF j, folded s] have wpo: wpo-s ?s (ts ! j · δ) by
auto
          from j σ[of g length ts] have j < length ts by auto
          with wpo have wpo-s ?s (?mts ! j) by auto
        } note ss-ts = this
        note σE = σE[of - f ss] σE[of - g ts]
        show ?thesis
        proof (cases prs)
          case True
            with ss-ts sstsA p ⟨prns⟩ have wpo-s ?s ?t unfolding_simps unfolding
s t
              by (auto split: if-splits)
            with wpo-s-imp-ns[OF this] show ?thesis by blast
          next
            case False
              let ?mmss = map (!) ss (σ ?f)
              let ?mmts = map (!) ts (σ ?g)
              let ?Mmss = map (!) ?mss (σ ?f)
              let ?Mmts = map (!) ?mts (σ ?g)
              have id-map: ?Mmss = map (λ t. t · δ) ?mmss ?Mmts = map (λ t. t ·
δ) ?mmts
                unfolding map-map o-def by (auto simp: set-status-nth)
              let ?ls = length (σ ?f)
              let ?lt = length (σ ?g)

```

```

{
  fix si tj
  assume *: si ∈ set ?mms tj ∈ set ?mmts
  have (wpo-s si tj → wpo-s (si · δ) (tj · δ)) ∧ (wpo-ns si tj → wpo-ns
(si · δ) (tj · δ))
  proof (intro IH add-strict-mono)
    from *(1) have si ∈ set ss using set-status-nth[of - - - σσ] by auto
  then show size si < size s unfolding s by (auto simp: termination-simp)
    from *(2) have tj ∈ set ts using set-status-nth[of - - - σσ] by auto
  then show size tj < size t unfolding t by (auto simp: termination-simp)
  qed
  hence wpo-s si tj ⇒ wpo-s (si · δ) (tj · δ)
    wpo-ns si tj ⇒ wpo-ns (si · δ) (tj · δ) by blast+
} note IH' = this
{
  fix i
  assume i < ?ls i < ?lt
  then have i-f: i < length (σ ?f) and i-g: i < length (σ ?g) by auto
  with σ[of f length ss] σ[of g length ts] have i: σ ?f ! i < length ss σ ?g
! i < length ts
  unfolding set-conv-nth by auto
  then have size (ss ! (σ ?f ! i)) < size s size (ts ! (σ ?g ! i)) < size t
unfolding s t by (auto simp: size-simps)
  then have size (ss ! (σ ?f ! i)) + size (ts ! (σ ?g ! i)) < size s + size
t by simp
  from IH[OF this] i i-f i-g
  have (wpo-s (?mms ! i) (?mmts ! i)) ⇒
    wpo-s (?mss ! (σ ?f ! i)) (?mts ! (σ ?g ! i))
    (wpo-ns (?mms ! i) (?mmts ! i)) ⇒
    wpo-ns (?mss ! (σ ?f ! i)) (?mts ! (σ ?g ! i)) by auto
} note IH = this
consider (Lex) c ?f = Lex c ?g = Lex | (Mul) c ?f = Mul c ?g = Mul
| (Diff) c ?f ≠ c ?g
  by (cases c ?f; cases c ?g, auto)
thus ?thesis
proof cases
  case Lex
    from Lex False ns have snd (lex-ext wpo n ?mms ?mmts) by (auto
split: if-splits)
    from this[unfolded lex-ext-iff snd-conv]
    have len: (?ls = ?lt ∨ ?lt ≤ n)
      and choice: (∃ i < ?ls.
i < ?lt ∧ (∀ j < i. wpo-ns (?mms ! j) (?mmts ! j)) ∧ wpo-s (?mms !
i) (?mmts ! i)) ∨
(∀ i < ?lt. wpo-ns (?mms ! i) (?mmts ! i)) ∧ ?lt ≤ ?ls (is ?stri ∨
?nstri) by auto
    from choice have ?stri ∨ (¬ ?stri ∧ ?nstri) by blast
  then show ?thesis
proof

```

```

      assume ?stri
      then obtain  $i$  where  $i: i < ?ls \ i < ?lt$ 
        and  $NS: (\forall j < i. wpo\text{-}ns \ (?mmss \ ! \ j) \ (?mmts \ ! \ j))$  and  $S: wpo\text{-}s$ 
         $(?mmss \ ! \ i) \ (?mmts \ ! \ i)$  by auto
        with  $IH$  have  $(\forall j < i. wpo\text{-}ns \ (?Mmss \ ! \ j) \ (?Mmts \ ! \ j)) \ wpo\text{-}s \ (?Mmss$ 
         $\ ! \ i) \ (?Mmts \ ! \ i)$  by auto
        with  $i \ len$  have  $fst \ (lex\text{-}ext \ wpo \ n \ ?Mmss \ ?Mmts)$  unfolding  $lex\text{-}ext\text{-}iff$ 
        by auto
        with  $Lex \ ss\text{-}ts \ sstsA \ p \ \langle prns \rangle$  have  $wpo\text{-}s \ ?s \ ?t$  unfolding  $simps$ 
unfolding  $s \ t$ 
        by  $(auto \ split: \ if\text{-}splits)$ 
        with  $wpo\text{-}s\text{-}imp\text{-}ns[OF \ this]$  show  $?thesis$  by  $blast$ 
    next
      assume  $\neg \ ?stri \ \wedge \ ?nstri$ 
      then have  $?nstri$  and  $nstri: \neg \ ?stri$  by  $blast+$ 
      with  $IH$  have  $(\forall i < ?lt. wpo\text{-}ns \ (?Mmss \ ! \ i) \ (?Mmts \ ! \ i)) \ \wedge \ ?lt \leq \ ?ls$ 
    by auto
      with  $len$  have  $snd \ (lex\text{-}ext \ wpo \ n \ ?Mmss \ ?Mmts)$  unfolding  $lex\text{-}ext\text{-}iff$ 
      by auto
      with  $Lex \ ss\text{-}ts \ sstsA \ p \ \langle prns \rangle$  have  $ns: wpo\text{-}ns \ ?s \ ?t$  unfolding  $simps$ 
unfolding  $s \ t$ 
      by  $(auto \ split: \ if\text{-}splits)$ 
      {
        assume  $wpo\text{-}s \ s \ t$ 
        from  $Lex \ this[unfolded \ simps, \ unfolded \ s \ t \ term.simps \ p \ split \ id]$ 
        False
        have  $fst \ (lex\text{-}ext \ wpo \ n \ ?mmss \ ?mmts)$  by  $(auto \ split: \ if\text{-}splits)$ 
        from  $this[unfolded \ lex\text{-}ext\text{-}iff \ fst\text{-}conv]$   $nstri$ 
        have  $(\forall i < ?lt. wpo\text{-}ns \ (?mmss \ ! \ i) \ (?mmts \ ! \ i)) \ \wedge \ ?lt < ?ls$  by auto
        with  $IH$  have  $(\forall i < ?lt. wpo\text{-}ns \ (?Mmss \ ! \ i) \ (?Mmts \ ! \ i)) \ \wedge \ ?lt <$ 
         $?ls$  by auto
        then have  $fst \ (lex\text{-}ext \ wpo \ n \ ?Mmss \ ?Mmts)$  using  $len$  unfolding
         $lex\text{-}ext\text{-}iff$  by auto
        with  $Lex \ ss\text{-}ts \ sstsA \ p \ \langle prns \rangle$  have  $ns: wpo\text{-}s \ ?s \ ?t$  unfolding  $simps$ 
unfolding  $s \ t$ 
        by  $(auto \ split: \ if\text{-}splits)$ 
      }
      with  $ns$  show  $?thesis$  by  $blast$ 
    qed
  next
    case  $Diff$ 
    thus  $?thesis$  using  $ns \ ss\text{-}ts \ sstsA \ p \ \langle prns \rangle$  unfolding  $simps$  unfolding
     $s \ t$ 
    by  $(auto \ simp: \ Let\text{-}def \ split: \ if\text{-}splits)$ 
  next
    case  $Mul$ 
    from  $Mul \ False \ ns$  have  $ge: snd \ (mul\text{-}ext \ wpo \ ?mmss \ ?mmts)$  by  $(auto$ 
     $split: \ if\text{-}splits)$ 
    have  $ge: snd \ (mul\text{-}ext \ wpo \ ?Mmss \ ?Mmts)$  unfolding  $id\text{-}map$ 

```

```

    by (rule nstri-mul-ext-map[OF - - ge], (intro IH', auto)+)
  {
    assume gr: fst (mul-ext wpo ?mms ?mmts)
    have grσ: fst (mul-ext wpo ?Mms ?Mmts) unfolding id-map
      by (rule stri-mul-ext-map[OF - - gr], (intro IH', auto)+)
  } note gr = this
from ge gr
show ?thesis
  using ss-ts ⟨prns⟩ unfolding_simps
  unfolding s t term.simps p split eval-term.simps length-map Mul
  by (simp add: id-map id)
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *subterm-wpo-s-arg'*: **assumes** $i: i \in \text{set}(\sigma(f, \text{length } ss))$

shows $\text{Fun } f \text{ } ss \succ ss ! i$

proof –

have *refl*: $ss ! i \succeq ss ! i$ **by** (rule wpo-ns-refl')

with i **have** $\exists t \in \text{set}(\sigma(f, \text{length } ss)). ss ! i \succeq ss ! i$ **by** *auto*

with *NS-arg'*[OF i] i

show ?thesis **by** (auto simp: wpo.simps split: if-splits)

qed

context

fixes $f \ s \ t \ \text{bef} \ \text{aft}$

assumes *ctxt-NS*: $(s, t) \in \text{NS} \implies (\text{Fun } f \ (\text{bef} @ s \# \text{aft}), \text{Fun } f \ (\text{bef} @ t \# \text{aft})) \in \text{NS}$

begin

lemma *wpo-ns-pre-mono'*:

defines $\sigma f \equiv \sigma(f, \text{Suc}(\text{length } \text{bef} + \text{length } \text{aft}))$

assumes *rel*: (wpo-ns $s \ t$)

shows $(\forall j \in \text{set } \sigma f. \text{Fun } f \ (\text{bef} @ s \# \text{aft}) \succ (\text{bef} @ t \# \text{aft}) ! j)$

$\wedge (\text{Fun } f \ (\text{bef} @ s \# \text{aft}), (\text{Fun } f \ (\text{bef} @ t \# \text{aft}))) \in \text{NS}$

$\wedge (\forall i < \text{length } \sigma f. ((\text{map } (!) (\text{bef} @ s \# \text{aft})) \sigma f) ! i) \succeq ((\text{map } (!) (\text{bef} @ t \# \text{aft})) \sigma f) ! i)$

(is - \wedge - \wedge ?three)

proof –

let ?ss = $\text{bef} @ s \# \text{aft}$

let ?ts = $\text{bef} @ t \# \text{aft}$

let ?s = $\text{Fun } f \ ?ss$

let ?t = $\text{Fun } f \ ?ts$

let ?len = $\text{Suc}(\text{length } \text{bef} + \text{length } \text{aft})$

let ?f = $(f, ?len)$

```

let ?σ = σ ?f
from wpo-ns-imp-NS[OF rel] have stA: (s,t) ∈ NS .
have ?three unfolding σf-def
proof (intro allI impI)
  fix i
  assume i < length ?σ
  then have id:  $\bigwedge ss. (map (!) ss) ?σ ! i = ss ! (?σ ! i)$  by auto
  show wpo-ns ((map (!) ?ss) ?σ ! i) ((map (!) ?ts) ?σ ! i)
  proof (cases ?σ ! i = length bef)
    case True
    then show ?thesis unfolding id using rel by auto
  next
    case False
    from append-Cons-nth-not-middle[OF this, of s aft t] wpo-ns-refl'
    show ?thesis unfolding id by auto
  qed
qed
have  $\forall j \in \text{set } ?\sigma. \text{wpo-s } ?s ((\text{bef } @ t \# \text{aft}) ! j) (\text{is } ?one)$ 
proof
  fix j
  assume j: j ∈ set ?σ
  then have j ∈ set (σ (f,length ?ss)) by simp
  from subterm-wpo-s-arg'[OF this]
  have s: wpo-s ?s (?ss ! j) .
  show wpo-s ?s (?ts ! j)
  proof (cases j = length bef)
    case False
    then have ?ss ! j = ?ts ! j by (rule append-Cons-nth-not-middle)
    with s show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case True
    with s have wpo-s ?s s by simp
    with rel wpo-compat have wpo-s ?s t by fast
    with True show ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed
with ⟨?three⟩ ctxt-NS[OF stA] show ?thesis unfolding σf-def by auto
qed

lemma wpo-ns-mono':
  assumes rel: s  $\succeq$  t
  shows Fun f (bef @ s # aft)  $\succeq$  Fun f (bef @ t # aft)
proof –
  let ?ss = bef @ s # aft
  let ?ts = bef @ t # aft
  let ?s = Fun f ?ss
  let ?t = Fun f ?ts
  let ?len = Suc (length bef + length aft)
  let ?f = (f, ?len)

```

```

let ?σ = σ ?f
from wpo-ns-pre-mono'[OF rel]
have id: (∀ j ∈ set ?σ. wpo-s ?s ((bef @ t # aft) ! j)) = True
      ((?s, ?t) ∈ NS) = True
      length ?ss = ?len length ?ts = ?len
by auto
have snd (lex-ext wpo n (map (!) ?ss) ?σ) (map (!) ?ts) ?σ)
      by (rule all-nstri-imp-lex-nstri, intro allI impI, insert wpo-ns-pre-mono'[OF
rel], auto)
moreover have snd (mul-ext wpo (map (!) ?ss) ?σ) (map (!) ?ts) ?σ)
      by (rule all-nstri-imp-mul-nstri, intro allI impI, insert wpo-ns-pre-mono'[OF
rel], auto)
ultimately show ?thesis unfolding wpo.simps[of ?s ?t] term.simps id pre-refl
      using order-tag.exhaust by (auto simp: Let-def)
qed

end
end
end

```

```

locale wpo-with-assms = wpo-with-basic-assms + order-pair +
  constrains S :: ('f, 'v) term rel and NS :: -
    and pre :: 'f × nat ⇒ 'f × nat ⇒ bool × bool
    and prl :: 'f × nat ⇒ bool
    and ssimple :: bool
    and large :: 'f × nat ⇒ bool
    and c :: 'f × nat ⇒ order-tag
    and n :: nat
    and σσ :: 'f status
  assumes ctxt-NS: (s, t) ∈ NS ⇒ (Fun f (bef @ s # aft), Fun f (bef @ t # aft))
  ∈ NS
    and ws-status: i ∈ set (status σσ fn) ⇒ simple-arg-pos NS fn i
begin

```

```

lemma ssimple: strictly-simple-status σσ NS
  using ws-status set-status-nth unfolding strictly-simple-status-def simple-arg-pos-def
by fastforce

```

```

lemma trans-prc: trans-precedence prc
  unfolding trans-precedence-def
proof (intro allI, goal-cases)
  case (1 f g h)
  show ?case using prc-compat[of f g - - h] by (cases prc f g; cases prc g h; cases
prc f h, auto)
qed

```

```

lemma NS-arg: assumes i: i ∈ set (σ (f, length ts))
  shows (Fun f ts, ts ! i) ∈ NS
  using NS-arg'[OF ssimple i] .

```



```

lemma NS-subterm: assumes all:  $\bigwedge f k. \text{set } (\sigma (f,k)) = \{0 ..< k\}$ 
  shows  $s \supseteq t \implies (s,t) \in \text{NS}$ 
proof (induct s t rule: supseq.induct)
  case (refl)
    from refl-NS show ?case unfolding refl-on-def by blast
next
  case (subt s ss t f)
    from subt(1) obtain i where  $i < \text{length } ss$  and  $s = ss ! i$  unfolding
set-conv-nth by auto
    from NS-arg[of i f ss, unfolded all] s i have  $(\text{Fun } f \text{ } ss, s) \in \text{NS}$  by auto
    from trans-NS-point[OF this subt(3)] show ?case .
qed

```

```

lemma wpo-ns-refl:  $s \succeq s$ 
  using wpo-ns-refl'[OF ssimple] .

```

```

lemma subterm-wpo-s-arg: assumes  $i \in \text{set } (\sigma (f, \text{length } ss))$ 
  shows  $\text{Fun } f \text{ } ss \succ ss ! i$ 
  by (rule subterm-wpo-s-arg'[OF ssimple i])

```

```

lemma subterm-wpo-ns-arg: assumes  $i \in \text{set } (\sigma (f, \text{length } ss))$ 
  shows  $\text{Fun } f \text{ } ss \succeq ss ! i$ 
  by (rule wpo-s-imp-ns[OF subterm-wpo-s-arg[OF i]])

```

```

lemma wpo-irrefl:  $\neg (s \succ s)$ 

```

```

proof
  assume  $s \succ s$ 
  thus False
  proof (induct s)
    case Var
      thus False using irrefl-S by (auto simp: wpo.simps irrefl-def split: if-splits)
  next
    case (Fun f ss)
      let  $?s = \text{Fun } f \text{ } ss$ 
      let  $?n = \text{length } ss$ 
      let  $?f = (f, \text{length } ss)$ 
      let  $?sub = \exists i \in \text{set } (\sigma ?f). ss ! i \succeq ?s$ 
      {
        fix i
        assume  $i \in \text{set } (\sigma ?f)$  and  $ge: ss ! i \succeq ?s$ 
        with status[of  $\sigma \sigma f ?n$ ] have  $i < ?n$  by auto
        hence  $ss ! i \in \text{set } ss$  by auto
        from Fun(1)[OF this] have not:  $\neg (ss ! i \succ ss ! i)$  by auto
        from ge subterm-wpo-s-arg[OF i] have  $ss ! i \succ ss ! i$ 
          using wpo-compat by blast
        with not have False ..
      }
  }

```

hence $id0: ?sub = False$ **by** *auto*
from *irrefl-S refl-NS* **have** $id1: ((?s, ?s) \in S) = False ((?s, ?s) \in NS) = True$
unfolding *irrefl-def refl-on-def* **by** *auto*
let $?ss = map (!) ss (\sigma ?f)$
define ss' **where** $ss' = ?ss$
have $set\ ss' \subseteq set\ ss$ **using** *status*[*of* $\sigma\sigma\ f\ ?n$] **by** (*auto simp: ss'-def*)
note $IH = Fun(1)[OF\ set-mp[OF\ this]]$
from $Fun(2)[unfolding\ wpo.simps[of\ ?s\ ?s]\ id1\ id0\ if-False\ if-True\ term.simps\ prc-refl\ split\ Let-def]$
have $fst\ (lex-ext\ wpo\ n\ ss'\ ss') \vee fst\ (mul-ext\ wpo\ ss'\ ss')$
by (*auto split: if-splits simp: ss'-def*)
thus *False*
proof
assume $fst\ (lex-ext\ wpo\ n\ ss'\ ss')$
with *lex-ext-irrefl*[*of* $ss'\ wpo\ n$] IH **show** *False* **by** *auto*
next
assume $fst\ (mul-ext\ wpo\ ss'\ ss')$
with *mul-ext-irrefl*[*of* $ss'\ wpo, OF\ -\ -\ wpo-s-imp-ns$] IH *wpo-compat*
show *False* **by** *blast*
qed
qed
qed

lemma *wpo-ns-mono*:
assumes $rel: s \succeq t$
shows $Fun\ f\ (bef\ @\ s\ \#\ aft) \succeq Fun\ f\ (bef\ @\ t\ \#\ aft)$
by (*rule wpo-ns-mono'[OF\ ssimple\ ctxt-NS\ rel]*)

lemma *wpo-ns-pre-mono*: **fixes** f **and** $bef\ aft :: (f, v)term\ list$
defines $\sigma f \equiv \sigma\ (f, Suc\ (length\ bef + length\ aft))$
assumes $rel: (wpo-ns\ s\ t)$
shows $(\forall j \in set\ \sigma f. Fun\ f\ (bef\ @\ s\ \#\ aft) \succ (bef\ @\ t\ \#\ aft) ! j)$
 $\wedge (Fun\ f\ (bef\ @\ s\ \#\ aft), (Fun\ f\ (bef\ @\ t\ \#\ aft))) \in NS$
 $\wedge (\forall i < length\ \sigma f. ((map\ (!)\ (bef\ @\ s\ \#\ aft))\ \sigma f) ! i) \succeq ((map\ (!)\ (bef\ @\ t\ \#\ aft))\ \sigma f) ! i)$
unfolding *σf-def*
by (*rule wpo-ns-pre-mono'[OF\ ssimple\ ctxt-NS\ rel]*)

lemma *wpo-stable*: **fixes** $\delta :: (f, v)subst$
shows $(s \succ t \longrightarrow s \cdot \delta \succ t \cdot \delta) \wedge (s \succeq t \longrightarrow s \cdot \delta \succeq t \cdot \delta)$
by (*rule wpo-stable'[OF\ ssimple]*)

theorem *wpo-order-pair*: *order-pair WPO-S WPO-NS*

proof
show *refl WPO-NS* **using** *wpo-ns-refl* **unfolding** *refl-on-def* **by** *auto*
show *trans WPO-NS* **using** *wpo-compat* **unfolding** *trans-def* **by** *blast*
show *trans WPO-S* **using** *wpo-compat wpo-s-imp-ns* **unfolding** *trans-def* **by** *blast*
show $WPO-NS\ O\ WPO-S \subseteq WPO-S$ **using** *wpo-compat* **by** *blast*

show $WPO-S \text{ O } WPO-NS \subseteq WPO-S$ **using** *wpo-compat* **by** *blast*
qed

theorem *WPO-S-subst*: $(s,t) \in WPO-S \implies (s \cdot \sigma, t \cdot \sigma) \in WPO-S$ **for** σ
using *wpo-stable* **by** *auto*

theorem *WPO-NS-subst*: $(s,t) \in WPO-NS \implies (s \cdot \sigma, t \cdot \sigma) \in WPO-NS$ **for** σ
using *wpo-stable* **by** *auto*

theorem *WPO-NS-ctxt*: $(s,t) \in WPO-NS \implies (Fun\ f\ (bef\ @\ s\ \#\ aft), Fun\ f\ (bef\ @\ t\ \#\ aft)) \in WPO-NS$
using *wpo-ns-mono* **by** *blast*

theorem *WPO-S-subset-WPO-NS*: $WPO-S \subseteq WPO-NS$
using *wpo-s-imp-ns* **by** *blast*

context

assumes $\sigma\text{-full}$: $\bigwedge f\ k. set\ (\sigma\ (f,k)) = \{0 \dots k\}$
begin

lemma *subterm-wpo-s*: $s \triangleright t \implies s \succ t$

proof (*induct s t rule: supt.induct*)

case (*arg s ss f*)

from *arg[unfolded set-conv-nth]* **obtain** i **where** $i < length\ ss$ **and** $s = ss$
! i **by** *auto*

from $\sigma\text{-full}[of\ f\ length\ ss]$ i **have** $ii: i \in set\ (\sigma\ (f, length\ ss))$ **by** *auto*

from *subterm-wpo-s-arg[OF ii]* s **show** *?case* **by** *auto*

next

case (*subt s ss t f*)

from *subt wpo-s-imp-ns* **have** $\exists s \in set\ ss. wpo\text{-}ns\ s\ t$ **by** *blast*

from *this[unfolded set-conv-nth]* **obtain** i **where** $ns: ss\ !\ i \succeq t$ **and** $i < length\ ss$ **by** *auto*

from $\sigma\text{-full}[of\ f\ length\ ss]$ i **have** $ii: i \in set\ (\sigma\ (f, length\ ss))$ **by** *auto*

from *subt* **have** $Fun\ f\ ss \succeq t$ **by** *auto*

from *NS-subterm[OF $\sigma\text{-full}\ this$ ns ii]*

show *?case* **by** (*auto simp: wpo.simps split: if-splits*)

qed

lemma *subterm-wpo-ns*: **assumes** *supteq*: $s \triangleright t$ **shows** $s \succeq t$

proof –

from *supteq* **have** $s = t \vee s \triangleright t$ **by** *auto*

then **show** *?thesis*

proof

assume $s = t$ **then** **show** *?thesis* **using** *wpo-ns-refl* **by** *blast*

next

assume $s \triangleright t$

from *wpo-s-imp-ns[OF subterm-wpo-s[OF this]]*

```

    show ?thesis .
  qed
qed

lemma wpo-s-mono: assumes rels:  $s \succ t$ 
  shows  $\text{Fun } f \text{ (bef @ } s \# \text{aft)} \succ \text{Fun } f \text{ (bef @ } t \# \text{aft)}$ 
proof -
  let ?ss = bef @ s # aft
  let ?ts = bef @ t # aft
  let ?s = Fun f ?ss
  let ?t = Fun f ?ts
  let ?len = Suc (length bef + length aft)
  let ?f = (f, ?len)
  let ? $\sigma$  =  $\sigma$  ?f
  from wpo-s-imp-ns[OF rels] have rel: wpo-ns s t .
  from wpo-ns-pre-mono[OF rel]
  have id: ( $\forall j \in \text{set } ?\sigma. \text{wpo-s } ?s \text{ ((bef @ } t \# \text{aft)} ! j)$ ) = True
    ((? $s$ , ? $t$ )  $\in$  NS) = True
    length ?ss = ?len length ?ts = ?len
  by auto
  let ?lb = length bef
  from  $\sigma$ -full[of f ?len] have lb-mem: ?lb  $\in$  set ? $\sigma$  by auto
  then obtain i where  $\sigma$  i: ? $\sigma$  ! i = ?lb and i: i < length ? $\sigma$ 
    unfolding set-conv-nth by force
  let ?mss = map (!) ?ss ? $\sigma$ 
  let ?mts = map (!) ?ts ? $\sigma$ 
  have fst (lex-ext wpo n ?mss ?mts)
    unfolding lex-ext-iff fst-conv
  proof (intro conjI, force, rule disjI1, unfold length-map id, intro exI conjI, rule
i, rule i,
    intro allI impI)
    show wpo-s (?mss ! i) (?mts ! i) using  $\sigma$  i rels by simp
  next
    fix j
    assume j < i
    with i have j: j < length ? $\sigma$  by auto
    with wpo-ns-pre-mono[OF rel]
    show ?mss ! j  $\succeq$  ?mts ! j by blast
  qed
moreover
  obtain lb nlb where part: partition ((=) ?lb) ? $\sigma$  = (lb, nlb) by force
  hence mset- $\sigma$ : mset ? $\sigma$  = mset lb + mset nlb
  by (induct ? $\sigma$ , auto)
  let ?mlbs = map (!) ?ss lb
  let ?mnlbs = map (!) ?ss nlb
  let ?mlbt = map (!) ?ts lb
  let ?mnlbt = map (!) ?ts nlb
  have id1: mset ?mss = mset ?mnlbs + mset ?mlbs using mset- $\sigma$  by auto
  have id2: mset ?mts = mset ?mnlbt + mset ?mlbt using mset- $\sigma$  by auto

```

```

from part lb-mem have lb: ?lb ∈ set lb by auto
have fst (mul-ext wpo ?mss ?mts)
  unfolding mul-ext-def Let-def fst-conv
proof (intro s-mul-extI-old, rule id1, rule id2)
  from lb show mset ?mlbs ≠ {#} by auto
  {
    fix i
    assume i < length ?mnlbt
    then obtain j where id: ?mnlbs ! i = ?ss ! j ?mnlbt ! i = ?ts ! j j ∈ set nlb
by auto
    with part have j ≠ ?lb by auto
    hence ?ss ! j = ?ts ! j by (auto simp: nth-append)
    thus (?mnlbs ! i, ?mnlbt ! i) ∈ WPO-NS unfolding id using wpo-ns-refl by
    auto
  }
  fix u
  assume u ∈# mset ?mlbt
  hence u = t using part by auto
  moreover have s ∈# mset ?mlbs using lb by force
  ultimately show ∃ v. v ∈# mset ?mlbs ∧ (v,u) ∈ WPO-S using rels by
  force
  qed auto
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding wpo.simps[of ?s ?t] term.simps id pre-refl
  using order-tag.exhaust by (auto simp: Let-def)
qed

theorem WPO-S-ctxt: (s,t) ∈ WPO-S ⇒ (Fun f (bef @ s # aft), Fun f (bef @
t # aft)) ∈ WPO-S
  using wpo-s-mono by blast

theorem supt-subset-WPO-S: {▷} ⊆ WPO-S
  using subterm-wpo-s by blast

theorem supreq-subset-WPO-NS: {⊇} ⊆ WPO-NS
  using subterm-wpo-ns by blast

end
end

```

If we demand strong normalization of the underlying order and the precedence, then also WPO is strongly normalizing.

```

locale wpo-with-SN-assms = wpo-with-assms + SN-order-pair + precedence +
constrains S :: ('f, 'v) term rel and NS :: -
  and pre :: 'f × nat ⇒ 'f × nat ⇒ bool × bool
  and prl :: 'f × nat ⇒ bool
  and ssimple :: bool
  and large :: 'f × nat ⇒ bool
  and c :: 'f × nat ⇒ order-tag
  and n :: nat

```

and $\sigma\sigma :: 'f \text{ status}$
begin

lemma *Var-not-S[simp]*: $(\text{Var } x, t) \notin S$

proof

assume *st*: $(\text{Var } x, t) \in S$

from *SN-imp-minimal*[*OF SN, rule-format, of undefined UNIV*]

obtain *s* where $\bigwedge u. (s,u) \notin S$ by *blast*

with *subst-S*[*OF st, of $\lambda \cdot. s$*]

show *False* by *auto*

qed

lemma *WPO-S-SN*: *SN WPO-S*

proof –

{

fix *t* :: $(f, v) \text{ term}$

let $?S = \lambda x. \text{SN-on } WPO-S \{x\}$

note *iff* = *SN-on-all-reducts-SN-on-conv*[*of WPO-S*]

{

fix *x*

have $?S (\text{Var } x)$ **unfolding** *iff*[*of Var x*]

proof (*intro allI impI*)

fix *s*

assume $(\text{Var } x, s) \in WPO-S$

then have *False* by (*cases s, auto simp: wpo.simps split: if-splits*)

then show $?S s \dots$

qed

} note *var-SN* = *this*

have $?S t$

proof (*induct t*)

case $(\text{Var } x)$ **show** *?case* by (*rule var-SN*)

next

case $(\text{Fun } f \text{ ts})$

let $?Slist = \lambda f \text{ ys}. \forall i \in \text{set } (\sigma f). ?S (\text{ys } ! i)$

let $?r3 = \{((f, ab), (g, ab')). ((c f = c g) \longrightarrow (?Slist f ab \wedge$

$(c f = \text{Mul} \longrightarrow \text{fst } (\text{mul-ext wpo } (\text{map } (!) ab) (\sigma f)) (\text{map } (!) ab') (\sigma g)))) \wedge$

$(c f = \text{Lex} \longrightarrow \text{fst } (\text{lex-ext wpo } n (\text{map } (!) ab) (\sigma f)) (\text{map } (!) ab') (\sigma g)))) \wedge$

$((c f \neq c g) \longrightarrow (\text{map } (!) ab) (\sigma f) \neq [] \wedge (\text{map } (!) ab') (\sigma g) = []))\}$

let $?r0 = \text{lex-two } \{(f, g). \text{fst } (\text{prc } f g)\} \{(f, g). \text{snd } (\text{prc } f g)\} ?r3$

{

fix *ab*

{

assume $\exists S. S \ 0 = ab \wedge (\forall i. (S \ i, S \ (\text{Suc } i)) \in ?r3)$

then obtain *S* where

S0: $S \ 0 = ab$ and

SS: $\forall i. (S \ i, S \ (\text{Suc } i)) \in ?r3$

by *auto*

```

let ?Sf = λi. fst (fst (S i))
let ?Sn = λi. snd (fst (S i))
let ?Sfn = λ i. fst (S i)
let ?Sts = λi. snd (S i)
let ?Stsσ = λi. map (!) (?Sts i) (σ (?Sfn i))
have False
proof (cases ∀ i. c (?Sfn i) = Mul)
  case True
    let ?r' = {((f,ys), (g,xs)).
      (∀ yi ∈ set ((map (!) ys) (σ f))). SN-on WPO-S {yi}
      ∧ fst (mul-ext wpo (map (!) ys) (σ f)) (map (!) xs) (σ g))}
    {
      fix i
      from True[rule-format, of i] and True[rule-format, of Suc i]
      and SS[rule-format, of i]
      have (S i, S (Suc i)) ∈ ?r' by auto
    }
    then have Hf: ¬ SN-on ?r' {S 0}
      unfolding SN-on-def by auto
    from mul-ext-SN[of wpo, rule-format, OF wpo-ns-refl]
    and wpo-compat wpo-s-imp-ns
    have tmp: SN {(ys, xs). (∀ y ∈ set ys. SN-on {(s, t). wpo-s s t} {y}) ∧ fst
      (mul-ext wpo ys xs)}
      (is SN ?R) by blast
    have id: ?r' = inv-image ?R (λ (f,ys). map (!) ys) (σ f) by auto
    from SN-inv-image[OF tmp]
    have SN ?r' unfolding id .
    from SN-on-subset2[OF subset-UNIV[of {S 0}], OF this]
    have SN-on ?r' {(S 0)} .
    with Hf show ?thesis ..
  next
    case False note HMul = this
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases ∀ i. c (?Sfn i) = Lex)
      case True
        let ?r' = {((f,ys), (g,xs)).
          (∀ yi ∈ set ((map (!) ys) (σ f))). SN-on WPO-S {yi}
          ∧ fst (lex-ext wpo n (map (!) ys) (σ f)) (map (!) xs) (σ g))}
        {
          fix i
          from SS[rule-format, of i] True[rule-format, of i] True[rule-format,
of Suc i]
          have (S i, S (Suc i)) ∈ ?r' by auto
        }
        then have Hf: ¬ SN-on ?r' {S 0}
          unfolding SN-on-def by auto
        from wpo-compat have ∧ x y z. wpo-ns x y ⇒ wpo-s y z ⇒ wpo-s x
z by blast
        from lex-ext-SN[of wpo n, OF this]

```

```

have tmp: SN {(ys, xs). (∀ y ∈ set ys. SN-on WPO-S {y}) ∧ fst (lex-ext
wpo n ys xs)}
  (is SN ?R) .
have id: ?r' = inv-image ?R (λ (f,ys). map (!) ys (σ f)) by auto
from SN-inv-image[OF tmp]
have SN ?r' unfolding id .
then have SN-on ?r' {(S 0)} unfolding SN-defs by blast
with Hf show False ..
next
case False note HLex = this
from HMul and HLex
have ∃ i. c (?Sfn i) ≠ c (?Sfn (Suc i))
proof (cases ?thesis, simp)
  case False
  then have T: ∀ i. c (?Sfn i) = c (?Sfn (Suc i)) by simp
  {
    fix i
    have c (?Sfn i) = c (?Sfn 0)
    proof (induct i)
      case (Suc j) then show ?case by (simp add: T[rule-format, of j])
    qed simp
  }
  then show ?thesis using HMul HLex
  by (cases c (?Sfn 0)) auto
qed
then obtain i where
  Hdifff: c (?Sfn i) ≠ c (?Sfn (Suc i))
  by auto
from Hdifff have Hf: ?Stsσ (Suc i) = []
  using SS[rule-format, of i] by auto
show ?thesis
proof (cases c (?Sfn (Suc i)) = c (?Sfn (Suc (Suc i))))
  case False then show ?thesis using Hf and SS[rule-format, of Suc
i] by auto
next
case True
show ?thesis
proof (cases c (?Sfn (Suc i)))
  case Mul
  with True and SS[rule-format, of Suc i]
  have fst (mul-ext wpo (?Stsσ (Suc i)) (?Stsσ (Suc (Suc i))))
  by auto
  with Hf and s-mul-ext-bottom-strict show ?thesis
  by (simp add: Let-def mul-ext-def s-mul-ext-bottom-strict)
next
case Lex
with True and SS[rule-format, of Suc i]
have fst (lex-ext wpo n (?Stsσ (Suc i)) (?Stsσ (Suc (Suc i))))
  by auto

```



```

        with Hf show ?thesis by (simp add: lex-ext-iff)
      qed
    qed
  qed
}
}
then have SN ?r3 unfolding SN-on-def by blast
have SN1:SN ?r0
proof (rule lex-two[OF - prc-SN ‹SN ?r3›])
  let ?r' = {(f,g). fst (prc f g)}
  let ?r = {(f,g). snd (prc f g)}
  {
    fix a1 a2 a3
    assume (a1,a2) ∈ ?r (a2,a3) ∈ ?r'
    then have (a1,a3) ∈ ?r'
      by (cases prc a1 a2, cases prc a2 a3, cases prc a1 a3,
        insert prc-compat[of a1 a2 - - a3], force)
  }
  then show ?r O ?r' ⊆ ?r' by auto
qed
let ?m = λ (f,ts). ((f,length ts), ((f, length ts), ts))
let ?r = {(a,b). (?m a, ?m b) ∈ ?r0}
have SN-r: SN ?r using SN-inv-image[OF SN1, of ?m] unfolding inv-image-def
by fast
let ?SA = (λ x y. (x,y) ∈ S)
let ?NSA = (λ x y. (x,y) ∈ NS)
let ?rr = lex-two S NS ?r
define rr where rr = ?rr
from lex-two[OF compat-NS-S SN SN-r]
have SN-rr: SN rr unfolding rr-def by auto
let ?rrr = inv-image rr (λ (f,ts). (Fun f ts, (f,ts)))
have SN-rrr: SN ?rrr
  by (rule SN-inv-image[OF SN-rr])
let ?ind = λ (f,ts). ?Slist (f,length ts) ts → ?S (Fun f ts)
have ?ind (f,ts)
proof (rule SN-induct[OF SN-rrr, of ?ind])
  fix fts
  assume ind: ∧ gss. (fts,gss) ∈ ?rrr ⇒ ?ind gss
  obtain f ts where Pair: fts = (f,ts) by force
  let ?f = (f,length ts)
  note ind = ind[unfolded Pair]
  show ?ind fts unfolding Pair split
proof (intro impI)
  assume ts: ?Slist ?f ts
  let ?t = Fun f ts
  show ?S ?t
proof (simp only: iff[of ?t], intro allI impI)
  fix s

```

```

assume (?t,s) ∈ WPO-S
then have ?t > s by simp
then show ?S s
proof (induct s, simp add: var-SN)
  case (Fun g ss) note IH = this
  let ?s = Fun g ss
  let ?g = (g,length ss)
  from Fun have t-gr-s: ?t > ?s by auto
  show ?S ?s
  proof (cases ∃ i ∈ set (σ ?f). ts ! i ≥ ?s)
    case True
    then obtain i where i ∈ set (σ ?f) and ge: ts ! i ≥ ?s by auto
    with ts have ?S (ts ! i) by auto
    show ?S ?s
    proof (unfold iff[of ?s], intro allI impI)
      fix u
      assume (?s,u) ∈ WPO-S
      with wpo-compat ge have u: ts ! i > u by blast
      with ‹?S (ts ! i)›[unfolded iff[of ts ! i]]
      show ?S u by simp
    qed
  next
  case False note oFalse = this
  from wpo-s-imp-NS[OF t-gr-s]
  have t-NS-s: (?t,?s) ∈ NS .
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases (?t,?s) ∈ S)
    case True
    then have ((f,ts),(g,ss)) ∈ ?rrr unfolding rr-def by auto
    with ind have ind: ?ind (g,ss) by auto
    {
      fix i
      assume i: i ∈ set (σ ?g)
      have ?s ≥ ss ! i by (rule subterm-wpo-ns-arg[OF i])
      with t-gr-s have ts: ?t > ss ! i using wpo-compat by blast
      have ?S (ss ! i) using IH(1)[OF σE[OF i] ts] by auto
    } note SN-ss = this
    from ind SN-ss show ?thesis by auto
  next
  case False
  with t-NS-s oFalse
  have id: (?t,?s) ∈ S = False (?t,?s) ∈ NS = True by simp-all
  let ?ls = length ss
  let ?lt = length ts
  let ?f = (f,?lt)
  let ?g = (g,?ls)
  obtain s1 ns1 where prc1: prc ?f ?g = (s1,ns1) by force
  note t-gr-s = t-gr-s[unfolded wpo.simps[of ?t ?s],
    unfolded term.simps id if-True if-False prc1 split]

```

```

from oFalse t-gr-s have f-ge-g: ns1
  by (cases ?thesis, auto)
from oFalse t-gr-s f-ge-g have small-ss:  $\forall i \in \text{set } (\sigma ?g). ?t \succ ss ! i$ 
  by (cases ?thesis, auto)
with Fun  $\sigma E$ [of - g ss] have ss-S: ?Slist ?g ss by auto
show ?thesis
proof (cases s1)
  case True
    then have  $((f,ts),(g,ss)) \in ?r$  by (simp add: prc1)
    with t-NS-s have  $((f,ts),(g,ss)) \in ?rrr$  unfolding rr-def by auto
    with ind have ?ind (g,ss) by auto
    with ss-S show ?thesis by auto
  next
    case False
      consider (Diff) c ?f  $\neq$  c ?g | (Lex) c ?f = Lex c ?g = Lex | (Mul)
c ?f = Mul c ?g = Mul
        by (cases c ?f; cases c ?g, auto)
      thus ?thesis
      proof cases
        case Diff
          with False oFalse f-ge-g t-gr-s small-ss prc1 t-NS-s
            have  $((f,ts),(g,ss)) \in ?rrr$  unfolding rr-def by (cases c ?f;
cases c ?g, auto)
          with ind have ?ind (g,ss) using Pair by auto
          with ss-S show ?thesis by simp
        next
          case Lex
            from False oFalse t-gr-s small-ss f-ge-g Lex
              have lex: fst (lex-ext wpo n (map (!) ts) ( $\sigma ?f$ )) (map (!) ss)
                ( $\sigma ?g$ ))
                by auto
            from False lex ts f-ge-g Lex have  $((f,ts),(g,ss)) \in ?r$ 
              by (simp add: prc1)
            with t-NS-s have  $((f,ts),(g,ss)) \in ?rrr$  unfolding rr-def by
              auto
            with ind have ?ind (g,ss) by auto
            with ss-S show ?thesis by auto
          next
            case Mul
              from False oFalse t-gr-s small-ss f-ge-g Mul
                ( $\sigma ?g$ ))
                have mul: fst (mul-ext wpo (map (!) ts) ( $\sigma ?f$ )) (map (!) ss)
                by auto
              from False mul ts f-ge-g Mul have  $((f,ts),(g,ss)) \in ?r$ 
                by (simp add: prc1)
              with t-NS-s have  $((f,ts),(g,ss)) \in ?rrr$  unfolding rr-def by
                auto
              with ind have ?ind (g,ss) by auto
              with ss-S show ?thesis by auto

```

```

      qed
    qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  with Fun show ?case using  $\sigma E[of - f ts]$  by simp
  qed
}
from SN-I[OF this]
show SN {(s::('f, 'v)term, t). fst (wpo s t)} .
qed

theorem wpo-SN-order-pair: SN-order-pair WPO-S WPO-NS
proof -
  interpret order-pair WPO-S WPO-NS by (rule wpo-order-pair)
  show ?thesis
  proof
    show SN WPO-S using WPO-S-SN .
  qed
qed

end
end

```

6 The Recursive Path Order as an instance of WPO

This theory defines the recursive path order (RPO) that given two terms provides two Booleans, whether the terms can be strictly or non-strictly oriented. It is proven that RPO is an instance of WPO, and hence, carries over all the nice properties of WPO immediately.

```

theory RPO
  imports
    WPO
begin

context
  fixes pr :: 'f × nat ⇒ 'f × nat ⇒ bool × bool
    and prl :: 'f × nat ⇒ bool
    and c :: 'f × nat ⇒ order-tag
    and n :: nat
begin

fun rpo :: ('f, 'v) term ⇒ ('f, 'v) term ⇒ bool × bool
  where
    rpo (Var x) (Var y) = (False, x = y) |

```

```

    rpo (Var x) (Fun g ts) = (False, ts = [] ∧ prl (g,0)) |
    rpo (Fun f ss) (Var y) = (let con = (∃ s ∈ set ss. snd (rpo s (Var y))) in
    (con,con)) |
    rpo (Fun f ss) (Fun g ts) = (
    if (∃ s ∈ set ss. snd (rpo s (Fun g ts)))
    then (True,True)
    else (let (prs,prns) = pr (f,length ss) (g,length ts) in
    if prns ∧ (∀ t ∈ set ts. fst (rpo (Fun f ss) t))
    then if prs
    then (True,True)
    else if c (f,length ss) = Lex ∧ c (g,length ts) = Lex
    then lex-ext rpo n ss ts
    else if c (f,length ss) = Mul ∧ c (g,length ts) = Mul
    then mul-ext rpo ss ts
    else (length ss ≠ 0 ∧ length ts = 0, length ts = 0)
    else (False,False)))
end

```

```

locale rpo-with-assms = precedence prc prl
  for prc :: 'f × nat ⇒ 'f × nat ⇒ bool × bool
  and prl :: 'f × nat ⇒ bool
  and c :: 'f × nat ⇒ order-tag
  and n :: nat
begin

```

```

sublocale wpo-with-SN-assms n {} UNIV prc prl full-status c False λ -. False
  by (unfold-locale, auto simp: refl-on-def trans-def simple-arg-pos-def irrefl-def)

```

```

abbreviation rpo-pr ≡ rpo prc prl c n
abbreviation rpo-s ≡ λ s t. fst (rpo-pr s t)
abbreviation rpo-ns ≡ λ s t. snd (rpo-pr s t)

```

```

lemma rpo-eq-wpo: rpo-pr s t = wpo s t
proof –
  note_simps = wpo_simps
  show ?thesis
  proof (induct s t rule: rpo.induct[of - prc prl c n])
    case (1 x y)
    then show ?case by (simp add:_simps)
  next
    case (2 x g ts)
    then show ?case by (auto simp:_simps)
  next
    case (3 f ss y)
    then show ?case by (auto simp:_simps[of Fun f ss Var y] Let-def set-conv-nth)
  next
    case IH: (4 f ss g ts)
    have id: ∧ s. (s ∈ {}) = False ∧ s. (s ∈ UNIV) = True
    and (∃ i ∈ {0..<length ss}. wpo-ns (ss ! i) t) = (∃ si ∈ set ss. wpo-ns si t)

```

```

    by (auto, force simp: set-conv-nth)
    have id': map (!! ss) (σ (f, length ss)) = ss for f ss by (intro nth-equalityI,
    auto)
    have ex: (∃ i∈set (σ (f, length ss)). wpo-ns (ss ! i) (Fun g ts)) = (∃ si ∈ set
    ss. rpo-ns si (Fun g ts))
    using IH(1) unfolding set-conv-nth by auto
    obtain prs prns where prc: prc (f, length ss) (g, length ts) = (prs, prns) by
    force
    show ?case
    unfolding rpo.simps simp[simp[of Fun f ss Fun g ts] term.simps id id' if-False
    if-True]
    Let-def ex prc split
    proof (rule sym, rule if-cong[OF refl refl], rule if-cong[OF conj-cong[OF refl]
    if-cong[OF refl refl if-cong[OF refl - if-cong]] refl])
    assume ¬ (∃ si∈set ss. rpo-ns si (Fun g ts))
    note IH = IH(2-)[OF this prc[symmetric] refl]
    from IH(1) show (∀ j∈set (σ (g, length ts)). wpo-s (Fun f ss) (ts ! j)) =
    (∀ t∈set ts. rpo-s (Fun f ss) t)
    unfolding set-conv-nth by auto
    assume prns ∧ (∀ t∈set ts. rpo-s (Fun f ss) t) ¬ prs
    note IH = IH(2-)[OF this]
    {
    assume c (f, length ss) = Lex ∧ c (g, length ts) = Lex
    from IH(1)[OF this]
    show lex-ext wpo n ss ts = lex-ext rpo-pr n ss ts
    by (intro lex-ext-cong, auto)
    }
    {
    assume ¬ (c (f, length ss) = Lex ∧ c (g, length ts) = Lex) c (f, length ss)
    = Mul ∧ c (g, length ts) = Mul
    from IH(2)[OF this]
    show mul-ext wpo ss ts = mul-ext rpo-pr ss ts
    by (intro mul-ext-cong, auto)
    }
    qed auto
  qed
qed

```

abbreviation $RPO-S \equiv \{(s,t). rpo-s s t\}$

abbreviation $RPO-NS \equiv \{(s,t). rpo-ns s t\}$

theorem $RPO-SN-order-pair$: $SN-order-pair RPO-S RPO-NS$

unfolding $rpo-eq-wpo$ **by** (rule $wpo-SN-order-pair$)

theorem $RPO-S-subst$: $(s,t) \in RPO-S \implies (s \cdot \sigma, t \cdot \sigma) \in RPO-S$ **for** $\sigma ::$
 $(f, 'a)subst$

using $WPO-S-subst$ **unfolding** $rpo-eq-wpo$.

theorem *RPO-NS-subst*: $(s,t) \in RPO-NS \implies (s \cdot \sigma, t \cdot \sigma) \in RPO-NS$ for $\sigma :: ('f, 'a)subst$
using *WPO-NS-subst unfolding rpo-eq-wpo* .

theorem *RPO-NS-ctxt*: $(s,t) \in RPO-NS \implies (Fun f (bef @ s \# aft), Fun f (bef @ t \# aft)) \in RPO-NS$
using *WPO-NS-ctxt unfolding rpo-eq-wpo* .

theorem *RPO-S-ctxt*: $(s,t) \in RPO-S \implies (Fun f (bef @ s \# aft), Fun f (bef @ t \# aft)) \in RPO-S$
using *WPO-S-ctxt unfolding rpo-eq-wpo by auto*

theorem *RPO-S-subset-RPO-NS*: $RPO-S \subseteq RPO-NS$
using *WPO-S-subset-WPO-NS unfolding rpo-eq-wpo* .

theorem *supt-subset-RPO-S*: $\{\triangleright\} \subseteq RPO-S$
using *supt-subset-WPO-S unfolding rpo-eq-wpo by auto*

theorem *supteq-subset-RPO-NS*: $\{\triangleright\} \subseteq RPO-NS$
using *supteq-subset-WPO-NS unfolding rpo-eq-wpo by auto*

end
end

7 The Lexicographic Path Order as an instance of WPO

We first directly define the strict- and non-strict lexicographic path orders (LPO) w.r.t. some precedence, and then show that it is an instance of WPO. For this instance we use the trivial reduction pair in WPO (\emptyset , UNIV) and the status is the full one, i.e., taking parameters $[0,..,n-1]$ for each n-ary symbol.

```

theory LPO
  imports
    WPO
  begin

  context
    fixes pr :: ('f × nat ⇒ 'f × nat ⇒ bool × bool)
      and prl :: ('f × nat ⇒ bool)
      and n :: nat
  begin
  fun lpo :: ('f, 'v) term ⇒ ('f, 'v) term ⇒ bool × bool
    where
      lpo (Var x) (Var y) = (False, x = y) |
      lpo (Var x) (Fun g ts) = (False, ts = [] ∧ prl (g,0)) |
      lpo (Fun f ss) (Var y) = (let con = (∃ s ∈ set ss. snd (lpo s (Var y))) in

```

```

(con,con)) |
  lpo (Fun f ss) (Fun g ts) = (
    if (∃ s ∈ set ss. snd (lpo s (Fun g ts)))
      then (True,True)
      else (let (prs,prns) = pr (f,length ss) (g,length ts) in
            if prns ∧ (∀ t ∈ set ts. fst (lpo (Fun f ss) t))
              then if prs
                then (True,True)
                else lex-ext lpo n ss ts
              else (False,False)))

```

end

```

locale lpo-with-assms = precedence prc prl
  for prc :: 'f × nat ⇒ 'f × nat ⇒ bool × bool
  and prl :: 'f × nat ⇒ bool
  and n :: nat
begin

```

```

sublocale wpo-with-SN-assms n {} UNIV prc prl full-status λ -. Lex False λ -.
False
  by (unfold-locales, auto simp: refl-on-def trans-def simple-arg-pos-def irrefl-def)

```

```

abbreviation lpo-pr ≡ lpo prc prl n
abbreviation lpo-s ≡ λ s t. fst (lpo-pr s t)
abbreviation lpo-ns ≡ λ s t. snd (lpo-pr s t)

```

lemma lpo-eq-wpo: lpo-pr s t = wpo s t

proof –

```

note_simps = wpo_simps
show ?thesis
proof (induct s t rule: lpo.induct[of - prc prl n])
  case (1 x y)
  then show ?case by (simp add:_simps)
next
  case (2 x g ts)
  then show ?case by (auto simp:_simps)
next
  case (3 f ss y)
  then show ?case by (auto simp:_simps[of Fun f ss Var y] Let-def set-conv-nth)
next
  case IH: (4 f ss g ts)
  have id: ∧ s. (s ∈ {}) = False ∧ s. (s ∈ UNIV) = True
  and (∃ i ∈ {0..<length ss}. wpo-ns (ss ! i) t) = (∃ si ∈ set ss. wpo-ns si t)
  by (auto, force simp: set-conv-nth)
  have id': map (!! ss) (σ (f, length ss)) = ss for f ss by (intro nth-equalityI,
auto)
  have ex: (∃ i ∈ set (σ (f, length ss)). wpo-ns (ss ! i) (Fun g ts)) = (∃ si ∈ set

```


ss. lpo-ns si (Fun g ts)
using *IH(1) unfolding set-conv-nth by auto*
obtain *prs prns where prc: prc (f, length ss) (g, length ts) = (prs, prns) by force*
have *lex: (Lex = Lex \wedge Lex = Lex) = True by simp*
show *?case*
unfolding *lpo.simps_simps[of Fun f ss Fun g ts] term.simps id id' if-False if-True lex*
Let-def ex prc split
proof *(rule sym, rule if-cong[OF refl refl], rule if-cong[OF conj-cong[OF refl] if-cong[OF refl refl] refl])*
assume $\neg (\exists si \in set\ ss. lpo-ns\ si\ (Fun\ g\ ts))$
note *IH = IH(2-)[OF this prc[symmetric] refl]*
from *IH(1) show* $(\forall j \in set\ (\sigma\ (g, length\ ts)). wpo-s\ (Fun\ f\ ss)\ (ts\ !\ j)) = (\forall t \in set\ ts. lpo-s\ (Fun\ f\ ss)\ t)$
unfolding *set-conv-nth by auto*
assume $prns \wedge (\forall t \in set\ ts. lpo-s\ (Fun\ f\ ss)\ t) \neg prs$
note *IH = IH(2-)[OF this]*
show *lex-ext wpo n ss ts = lex-ext lpo-pr n ss ts*
using *IH by (intro lex-ext-cong, auto)*
qed
qed
qed

abbreviation *LPO-S $\equiv \{(s,t). lpo-s\ s\ t\}$*
abbreviation *LPO-NS $\equiv \{(s,t). lpo-ns\ s\ t\}$*

theorem *LPO-SN-order-pair: SN-order-pair LPO-S LPO-NS*
unfolding *lpo-eq-wpo by (rule wpo-SN-order-pair)*

theorem *LPO-S-subst: (s,t) \in LPO-S \implies (s \cdot σ , t \cdot σ) \in LPO-S for $\sigma :: ('f, 'a)subst$*
using *WPO-S-subst unfolding lpo-eq-wpo .*

theorem *LPO-NS-subst: (s,t) \in LPO-NS \implies (s \cdot σ , t \cdot σ) \in LPO-NS for $\sigma :: ('f, 'a)subst$*
using *WPO-NS-subst unfolding lpo-eq-wpo .*

theorem *LPO-NS-ctxt: (s,t) \in LPO-NS \implies (Fun f (bef @ s # aft), Fun f (bef @ t # aft)) \in LPO-NS*
using *WPO-NS-ctxt unfolding lpo-eq-wpo .*

theorem *LPO-S-ctxt: (s,t) \in LPO-S \implies (Fun f (bef @ s # aft), Fun f (bef @ t # aft)) \in LPO-S*
using *WPO-S-ctxt unfolding lpo-eq-wpo by auto*

theorem *LPO-S-subset-LPO-NS: LPO-S \subseteq LPO-NS*
using *WPO-S-subset-WPO-NS unfolding lpo-eq-wpo .*

theorem *supt-subset-LPO-S*: $\{\triangleright\} \subseteq LPO-S$
using *supt-subset-WPO-S* **unfolding** *lpo-eq-wpo* **by** *auto*

theorem *supteq-subset-LPO-NS*: $\{\triangleright\} \subseteq LPO-NS$
using *supteq-subset-WPO-NS* **unfolding** *lpo-eq-wpo* **by** *auto*

end

end

8 The Knuth–Bendix Order as an instance of WPO

Making the Knuth–Bendix an instance of WPO is more complicated than in the case of RPO and LPO, because of syntactic and semantic differences. We face the two main challenges in two different theories and sub-sections.

8.1 Aligning least elements

In all of RPO, LPO and WPO there is the concept of a minimal term, e.g., a constant term c where c is least in precedence among *all function symbols*. By contrast, in KBO a constant c is minimal if it has minimal weight and has least precedence *among all constants of minimal weight*.

In this theory we prove that for any KBO one can modify the precedence in a way that least constants c also have least precedence among *all function symbols*, without changing the defined order. Hence, afterwards it will be simpler to relate such a KBO to WPO.

theory *KBO-Transformation*
imports *WPO Knuth-Bendix-Order.KBO*
begin

context *admissible-kbo*
begin

lemma *weight-w0-unary*:

assumes $*$: $\text{weight } t = w0 \ t = \text{Fun } f \ ts \ ts = t1 \ \# \ ts'$
shows $ts' = [] \ w(f,1) = 0$

proof –

have $w0 + \text{sum-list } (\text{map } \text{weight } ts') \leq \text{weight } t1 + \text{sum-list } (\text{map } \text{weight } ts')$
by (*rule add-right-mono, rule weight-w0*)

also have $\dots = \text{sum-list } (\text{map } \text{weight } ts)$ **unfolding** $*$ **by** *simp*

also have $\dots \leq \text{sum-list } (\text{map } \text{weight } (\text{scf-list } (\text{scf } (f, \text{length } ts)) \ ts))$

by (*rule sum-list-scf-list, insert scf, auto*)

finally have $w(f, \text{length } ts) + w0 + \text{sum-list } (\text{map } \text{weight } ts') \leq \text{weight } t$ **unfolding** $*$ **by** *simp*

with $*(1)$ **have** $\text{sum: sum-list } (\text{map } \text{weight } ts') = 0$ **and** $\text{wf: } w(f, \text{length } ts) = 0$ **by** *auto*

with *weight-gt-0* **show** $ts': ts' = []$ **by** (*cases ts'*, *auto*)
with *wf* **show** $w(f,1) = 0$ **using** * **by** *auto*
qed

definition *lConsts* :: $(f \times nat)$ set **where** $lConsts = \{ (f,0) \mid f. \text{least } f \}$
definition *pr-strict'* **where** $pr\text{-strict}' f g = (f \notin lConsts \wedge (pr\text{-strict } f g \vee g \in lConsts))$
definition *pr-weak'* **where** $pr\text{-weak}' f g = ((f \notin lConsts \wedge pr\text{-weak } f g) \vee g \in lConsts)$

lemma *admissible-kbo'*: *admissible-kbo w w0 pr-strict' pr-weak' least scf*
apply (*unfold-locales*)
subgoal by (*rule w0*)
subgoal by (*rule w0*)
subgoal for $f g n$ **using** $adm[of f g n]$ **unfolding** *pr-weak'-def* **by** (*auto simp: lConsts-def*)
subgoal for f **using** $least[of f]$ **unfolding** *pr-weak'-def lConsts-def* **by** *auto*
subgoal by (*rule scf*)
subgoal for f **using** $pr\text{-weak-refl}[of f]$ **unfolding** *pr-weak'-def* **by** *auto*
subgoal for $f g h$ **using** $pr\text{-weak-trans}[of f g h]$ **unfolding** *pr-weak'-def* **by** *auto*
subgoal for $f g$ **using** $pr\text{-strict}[of f g]$ **unfolding** *pr-strict'-def pr-weak'-def* **by** *auto*
proof –
show $SN \{(x, y). pr\text{-strict}' x y\}$ **(is** $SN ?R$ **)**
proof
fix f
assume $\forall i. (f i, f (Suc i)) \in ?R$
hence $steps: \bigwedge i. (f i, f (Suc i)) \in ?R$ **by** *blast*
have $f i \notin lConsts$ **for** i **using** $steps[of i]$ **unfolding** *pr-strict'-def* **by** *auto*
hence $pr\text{-strict } (f i) (f (Suc i))$ **for** i **using** $steps[of i]$ **unfolding** *pr-strict'-def*
by *auto*
with *pr-SN* **show** *False* **by** *auto*
qed
qed

lemma *least-pr-weak'*: $least f \implies pr\text{-weak}' g (f,0)$ **unfolding** *lConsts-def pr-weak'-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *least-pr-weak'-trans*: $least f \implies pr\text{-weak}' (f,0) g \implies least (fst g) \wedge snd g = 0$
unfolding *lConsts-def pr-weak'-def* **by** *auto*

context

begin

interpretation *kbo'*: *admissible-kbo w w0 pr-strict' pr-weak' least scf*
by (*rule admissible-kbo'*)

lemma *kbo'-eq-kbo*: $kbo'.kbo s t = kbo s t$

proof (*induct s t rule: kbo.induct*)

```

case (1 s t)
note simps = kbo.simps[of s t] kbo'.kbo.simps[of s t]
show ?case unfolding simps
  apply (intro if-cong refl, intro term.case-cong refl)
proof –
  fix f ss g ts
  assume *: vars-term-ms (SCF t)  $\subseteq\#$  vars-term-ms (SCF s)  $\wedge$  weight t  $\leq$  weight
s
     $\neg$  weight t < weight s
    and s: s = Fun f ss
    and t: t = Fun g ts
    let ?g = (g, length ts)
    let ?f = (f, length ss)
    have IH: (if pr-strict ?f ?g then (True, True)
      else if pr-weak ?f ?g then lex-ext-unbounded kbo ss ts else (False, False))
    = (if pr-strict ?f ?g then (True, True)
      else if pr-weak ?f ?g then lex-ext-unbounded kbo'.kbo ss ts else (False, False))

    by (intro if-cong refl lex-ext-unbounded-cong, insert 1[OF * s t], auto)
    let ?P = pr-strict' ?f ?g = pr-strict ?f ?g  $\wedge$  ( $\neg$  pr-strict ?f ?g  $\longrightarrow$  pr-weak' ?f
    ?g = pr-weak ?f ?g)
    show (if pr-strict' ?f ?g then (True, True)
      else if pr-weak' ?f ?g then lex-ext-unbounded kbo'.kbo ss ts else (False, False))
    =
      (if pr-strict ?f ?g then (True, True)
        else if pr-weak ?f ?g then lex-ext-unbounded kbo ss ts else (False, False))
    proof (cases ?P)
      case True
        thus ?thesis unfolding IH by auto
      next
        case notP: False
        hence fgC: ?f  $\in$  lConsts  $\vee$  ?g  $\in$  lConsts unfolding pr-strict'-def pr-weak'-def
by auto
        hence weight: weight s = w0 weight t = w0 using * unfolding lConsts-def
        least s t by auto
        show ?thesis
        proof (cases ss = []  $\wedge$  ts = [])
          case empty: True
            with weight have w ?f = w0 w ?g = w0 unfolding s t by auto
            with empty have ?P unfolding pr-strict'-def pr-weak'-def using pr-weak-trans[of
            - (g,0) (f,0)]
              pr-weak-trans[of - (f,0) (g,0)]
              by (auto simp: lConsts-def pr-strict least)
            with notP show ?thesis by blast
          next
            case False
            {
              fix f and t :: (f,a)term and t1 ts' ts and g
              assume *: weight t = w0 t = Fun f ts ts = t1 # ts'

```

```

from weight-w0-unary[OF this]
have ts': ts' = [] and w: w (f,1) = 0 .
from adm[OF w] ts'
have pr-weak (f, Suc (length ts')) g by (cases g, auto)
} note unary = this
from fgC have ss = []  $\vee$  ts = [] unfolding lConsts-def least by auto
thus ?thesis
proof
  assume ss: ss = []
  with False obtain t1 ts' where ts: ts = t1 # ts' by (cases ts, auto)
  show ?thesis unfolding ss ts using unary[OF weight(2) t ts]
  by (simp add: lex-ext-unbounded.simps pr-strict'-def lConsts-def pr-strict)
next
  assume ts: ts = []
  with False obtain s1 ss' where ss: ss = s1 # ss' by (cases ss, auto)
  show ?thesis unfolding ss ts using unary[OF weight(1) s ss]
  by (simp add: lex-ext-unbounded.simps pr-strict'-def pr-weak'-def lConsts-def pr-strict)
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
end
end
end

```

8.2 A restricted equality between KBO and WPO

The remaining difficulty to make KBO an instance of WPO is the different treatment of lexicographic comparisons, which is unrestricted in KBO, but there is a length-restriction in WPO. Therefore we will only show that KBO is an instance of WPO if we compare terms with bounded arity.

This restriction does however not prohibit us from lifting properties of WPO to KBO. For instance, for several properties one can choose a large-enough bound restriction of WPO, since there are only finitely many arities occurring in a property.

theory *KBO-as-WPO*

imports

WPO

KBO-Transformation

begin

definition *bounded-arity* :: *nat* \Rightarrow (*f* \times *nat*)*set* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

bounded-arity *b F* = (\forall (*f*,*n*) \in *F*. *n* \leq *b*)

lemma *finite-funas-term*[*simp,intro*]: *finite* (*funas-term t*)

by (*induct t*, *auto*)

context *weight-fun* **begin**

definition *weight-le* $s\ t \equiv$

$(\text{vars-term-ms } (SCF\ s) \subseteq\# \text{ vars-term-ms } (SCF\ t) \wedge \text{weight } s \leq \text{weight } t)$

definition *weight-less* $s\ t \equiv$

$(\text{vars-term-ms } (SCF\ s) \subseteq\# \text{ vars-term-ms } (SCF\ t) \wedge \text{weight } s < \text{weight } t)$

lemma *weight-le-less-iff*: $\text{weight-le } s\ t \implies \text{weight-less } s\ t \iff \text{weight } s < \text{weight } t$
by (*auto simp: weight-le-def weight-less-def*)

lemma *weight-less-iff*: $\text{weight-less } s\ t \implies \text{weight-le } s\ t \wedge \text{weight } s < \text{weight } t$
by (*auto simp: weight-le-def weight-less-def*)

abbreviation *weight-NS* $\equiv \{(t,s). \text{weight-le } s\ t\}$

abbreviation *weight-S* $\equiv \{(t,s). \text{weight-less } s\ t\}$

lemma *weight-le-mono-one*:

assumes S : *weight-le* $s\ t$

shows *weight-le* $(\text{Fun } f\ (ss1\ @\ s\ \# \ ss2))\ (\text{Fun } f\ (ss1\ @\ t\ \# \ ss2))$ (**is** *weight-le* $?s\ ?t$)

proof –

from S **have** w : *weight* $s \leq \text{weight } t$ **and** v : $\text{vars-term-ms } (SCF\ s) \subseteq\# \text{ vars-term-ms } (SCF\ t)$

by (*auto simp: weight-le-def*)

have v' : $\text{vars-term-ms } (SCF\ ?s) \subseteq\# \text{ vars-term-ms } (SCF\ ?t)$

using *mset-replicate-mono*[*OF* v'] **by** *simp*

have w' : *weight* $?s \leq \text{weight } ?t$ **using** *sum-list-replicate-mono*[*OF* w] **by** *simp*

from $v'\ w'$ **show** *?thesis* **by** (*auto simp: weight-le-def*)

qed

lemma *weight-le-ctxt*: $\text{weight-le } s\ t \implies \text{weight-le } (C\langle s \rangle)\ (C\langle t \rangle)$

by (*induct C, auto intro: weight-le-mono-one*)

lemma *SCF-stable*:

assumes $\text{vars-term-ms } (SCF\ s) \subseteq\# \text{ vars-term-ms } (SCF\ t)$

shows $\text{vars-term-ms } (SCF\ (s \cdot \sigma)) \subseteq\# \text{ vars-term-ms } (SCF\ (t \cdot \sigma))$

unfolding *scf-term-subst*

using *vars-term-ms-subst-mono*[*OF* *assms*].

lemma *SN-weight-S*: *SN* *weight-S*

proof –

from *wf-inv-image*[*OF* *wf-less*]

have wf : $wf\ \{(s,t). \text{weight } s < \text{weight } t\}$ **by** (*auto simp: inv-image-def*)

show *?thesis*

by (*unfold SN-iff-wf, rule wf-subset*[*OF* wf], *auto simp: weight-less-def*)

qed

lemma *weight-less-imp-le*: *weight-less s t* \implies *weight-le s t* **by** (*simp add: weight-less-def weight-le-def*)

lemma *weight-le-Var-Var*: *weight-le (Var x) (Var y)* \longleftrightarrow $x = y$
by (*auto simp: weight-le-def*)
end

context *kbo* **begin**

lemma *kbo-altdef*:

kbo s t = (*if weight-le t s*
 then if weight-less t s
 then (True, True)
 else (case s of
 Var y \Rightarrow (False, (case t of Var x \Rightarrow $x = y$ | Fun g ts \Rightarrow $ts = [] \wedge$ least g))
 | *Fun f ss \Rightarrow (case t of*
 Var x \Rightarrow (True, True)
 | *Fun g ts \Rightarrow if pr-strict (f, length ss) (g, length ts)*
 then (True, True)
 else if pr-weak (f, length ss) (g, length ts)
 then lex-ext-unbounded kbo ss ts
 else (False, False))
 else (False, False))
by (*simp add: weight-le-less-iff weight-le-def*)

end

context *admissible-kbo* **begin**

lemma *weight-le-stable*:

assumes *weight-le s t*
shows *weight-le (s · σ) (t · σ)*
using *assms weight-stable-le SCF-stable* **by** (*auto simp: weight-le-def*)

lemma *weight-less-stable*:

assumes *weight-less s t*
shows *weight-less (s · σ) (t · σ)*
using *assms weight-stable-lt SCF-stable* **by** (*auto simp: weight-less-def*)

lemma *simple-arg-pos-weight*: *simple-arg-pos weight-NS (f, n) i*

unfolding *simple-arg-pos-def*

proof (*intro allI impI, unfold snd-conv fst-conv*)

fix *ts* :: (*f, 'a*)*term list*

assume *i*: $i < n$ **and** *len*: $\text{length } ts = n$

from *id-take-nth-drop*[*OF i[folded len]*] *i[folded len]*

obtain *us vs* **where** *id*: $\text{Fun } f \text{ } ts = \text{Fun } f \text{ } (us @ ts ! i \# vs)$

and *us*: $us = \text{take } i \text{ } ts$

and $len: length\ us = i$ **by** *auto*
have $length\ us < Suc\ (length\ us + length\ vs)$ **by** *auto*
from $scf[OF\ this, of\ f]$ **obtain** j **where** $[simp]: scf\ (f, Suc\ (length\ us + length\ vs))\ (length\ us) = Suc\ j$
by *(rule lessE)*
show $(Fun\ f\ ts, ts\ !\ i) \in weight\ NS$
unfolding $weight\ le\ def\ id$ **by** *(auto simp: o-def)*
qed

lemma *weight-lemmas:*

shows $refl\ weight\ NS$ **and** $trans\ weight\ NS$ **and** $trans\ weight\ S$
and $weight\ NS\ O\ weight\ S \subseteq weight\ S$ **and** $weight\ S\ O\ weight\ NS \subseteq weight\ S$
by *(auto intro!: refl-onI transI simp: weight-le-def weight-less-def)*

interpretation kbo' : *admissible-kbo w w0 pr-strict' pr-weak' least scf*
by *(rule admissible-kbo')*

context

assumes $least\ global: \bigwedge f\ g. least\ f \implies pr\ weak\ g\ (f, 0)$
and $least\ trans: \bigwedge f\ g. least\ f \implies pr\ weak\ (f, 0)\ g \implies least\ (fst\ g) \wedge snd\ g = 0$

fixes $n :: nat$

begin

lemma *kbo-instance-of-wpo-with-SN-assms: wpo-with-SN-assms*

$weight\ S\ weight\ NS\ (\lambda f\ g. (pr\ strict\ f\ g, pr\ weak\ f\ g))$
 $(\lambda(f, n). n = 0 \wedge least\ f)\ full\ status\ False\ (\lambda f. False)$

apply *(unfold-locales)*

apply *(auto simp: weight-lemmas SN-weight-S pr-SN pr-strict-irrefl weight-less-stable weight-le-stable weight-le-mono-one weight-less-imp-le simple-arg-pos-weight)*

apply *(force dest: least-global least-trans simp: pr-strict)+*

using $SN\ on\ irrefl[OF\ SN\ weight\ S]$

apply *(auto simp: pr-strict least irrefl-def dest:pr-weak-trans)*

done

interpretation wpo : *wpo-with-SN-assms*

where $S = weight\ S$ **and** $NS = weight\ NS$

and $prc = \lambda f\ g. (pr\ strict\ f\ g, pr\ weak\ f\ g)$ **and** $prl = \lambda(f, n). n = 0 \wedge least\ f$

and $c = \lambda\cdot. Lex$

and $ssimple = False$ **and** $large = \lambda f. False$ **and** $\sigma\sigma = full\ status$

and $n = n$

by *(rule kbo-instance-of-wpo-with-SN-assms)*

lemma *kbo-as-wpo-with-assms: assumes bounded-arity n (funas-term t)*

shows $kbo\ s\ t = wpo.wpo\ s\ t$

proof –

define m **where** $m = size\ s + size\ t$

from $m\ def\ assms$ **show** *?thesis*


```

proof (induct m arbitrary: s t rule: less-induct)
  case (less m s t)
  hence IH: size si + size ti < size s + size t  $\implies$  bounded-arity n (funas-term
ti)  $\implies$  kbo si ti = wpo.wpo si ti for si ti :: ('f,'a)term by auto
  note wpo-sI = arg-cong[OF wpo.wpo.simps, of fst, THEN iffD2]
  note wpo-nsI = arg-cong[OF wpo.wpo.simps, of snd, THEN iffD2]
  note bounded = less(3)
  show ?case
proof (cases s)
  case s: (Var x)
  have  $\neg$  weight-less t (Var x)
  by (metis leD weight.simps(1) weight-le-less-iff weight-less-imp-le weight-w0)
  thus ?thesis
  by (cases t, auto simp add: s kbo-altdef wpo.wpo.simps)
next
case s: (Fun f ss)
show ?thesis
proof (cases t)
  case t: (Var y)
  { assume weight-le t s
    then have  $\exists s' \in$  set ss. weight-le t s'
      apply (auto simp: s t weight-le-def)
      by (metis scf set-scf-list weight-w0)
    then obtain s' where s': s'  $\in$  set ss and weight-le t s' by auto
    from this(2) have wpo.wpo-ns s' t
    proof (induct s')
      case (Var x)
      then show ?case by (auto intro!: wpo-nsI simp: t weight-le-Var-Var)
    next
    case (Fun f' ss')
    from this(2) have  $\exists s'' \in$  set ss'. weight-le t s''
      apply (auto simp: t weight-le-def)
      by (metis scf set-scf-list weight-w0)
    then obtain s'' where s''  $\in$  set ss' and weight-le t s'' by auto
    with Fun(1)[OF this] Fun(2)
    show ?case by (auto intro!: wpo-nsI simp: t in-set-conv-nth)
    qed
    with s' have  $\exists s' \in$  set ss. wpo.wpo-ns s' t by auto
  }
then
show ?thesis unfolding wpo.wpo.simps[of s t] kbo-altdef[of s t]
  by (auto simp add: s t weight-less-iff set-conv-nth, auto)
next
case t: (Fun g ts)
  {
  fix j
  assume j < length ts
  hence ts ! j  $\in$  set ts by auto
  hence funas-term (ts ! j)  $\subseteq$  funas-term t unfolding t by auto
  }

```

```

      with bounded have bounded-arity n (funas-term (ts ! j)) unfolding
bounded-arity-def by auto
    } note bounded-tj = this
    note IH-tj = IH[OF - this]
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases  $\neg$  weight-le t s  $\vee$  weight-less t s)
      case True
        thus ?thesis unfolding wpo.wpo.simps[of s t] kbo-altdef[of s t]
          unfolding s t by (auto simp: weight-less-iff)
      next
        case False
          let ?f = (f, length ss)
          let ?g = (g, length ts)
          from False have wle: weight-le t s = True weight-less t s = False
            (s, t)  $\in$  weight-NS  $\iff$  True (s, t)  $\in$  weight-S  $\iff$  False by auto
          have lex: (Lex = Lex  $\wedge$  Lex = Lex) = True by simp
          have sig: set (wpo. $\sigma$  ?f) = {.. $\text{length}$  ss}
            set (wpo. $\sigma$  ?g) = {.. $\text{length}$  ts} by auto
          have map: map (!) ss (wpo. $\sigma$  ?f) = ss
            map (!) ts (wpo. $\sigma$  ?g) = ts
            by (auto simp: map-nth)
          have sizes:  $i < \text{length}$  ss  $\implies$  size (ss ! i) < size s for i unfolding s
            by (simp add: size-simp1)
          have sizet:  $i < \text{length}$  ts  $\implies$  size (ts ! i) < size t for i unfolding t
            by (simp add: size-simp1)
          have wpo: wpo.wpo s t =
            (if  $\exists i \in \{..<\text{length}$  ss\}. wpo.wpo-ns (ss ! i) t then (True, True)
             else if pr-weak ?f ?g  $\wedge$  ( $\forall j \in \{..<\text{length}$  ts\}. wpo.wpo-s s (ts ! j))
              then if pr-strict ?f ?g then (True, True) else lex-ext wpo.wpo n ss ts
             else (False, False))
            unfolding wpo.wpo.simps[of s t]
            unfolding s t term.simps split Let-def lex if-True sig map
            unfolding s[symmetric] t[symmetric] wle if-True weight-less-iff if-False
False snd-conv by auto
          have kbo s t = (if pr-strict ?f ?g then (True, True)
            else if pr-weak ?f ?g then lex-ext-unbounded kbo ss ts
            else (False, False))
            unfolding kbo-altdef[of s t]
            unfolding s t term.simps split Let-def if-True
            unfolding s[symmetric] t[symmetric] wle if-True weight-less-iff if-False
by auto
          also have lex-ext-unbounded kbo ss ts = lex-ext kbo n ss ts
            using bounded[unfolded t] unfolding bounded-arity-def lex-ext-def by
auto
          also have ... = lex-ext wpo.wpo n ss ts
            by (rule lex-ext-cong[OF refl refl], rule IH-tj, auto dest!: sizes sizet)
          finally have kbo: kbo s t =
            (if pr-strict ?f ?g then (True, True)
             else if pr-weak ?f ?g then lex-ext wpo.wpo n ss ts

```

```

      else (False, False)) .
show ?thesis
proof (cases  $\exists i \in \{..<length\ ss\}$ . wpo.wpo-ns (ss ! i) t)
  case True
    then obtain i where i: i < length ss and wpo.wpo-ns (ss ! i) t by auto
    then obtain b where wpo.wpo (ss ! i) t = (b, True) by (cases wpo.wpo
(ss ! i) t, auto)
      also have wpo.wpo (ss ! i) t = kbo (ss ! i) t using i by (intro
IH[symmetric, OF - bounded], auto dest: sizes)
      finally have NS (ss ! i) t by simp
      from kbo-supt-one[OF this]
      have S (Fun f (take i ss @ ss ! i # drop (Suc i) ss)) t .
      also have (take i ss @ ss ! i # drop (Suc i) ss) = ss using i by (metis
id-take-nth-drop)
      also have Fun f ss = s unfolding s by simp
      finally have S s t .
      with S-imp-NS[OF this]
      have kbo s t = (True, True) by (cases kbo s t, auto)
      with True show ?thesis unfolding wpo by auto
  next
  case False
    hence False: ( $\exists i \in \{..<length\ ss\}$ . wpo.wpo-ns (ss ! i) t) = False by simp
    {
      fix j
      assume NS: NS s t
      assume j: j < length ts

      from kbo-supt-one[OF NS-refl, of g take j ts ! j drop (Suc j) ts]
      have S: S t (ts ! j) using id-take-nth-drop[OF j] unfolding t by auto
      from kbo-trans[of s t ts ! j] NS S have S s (ts ! j) by auto
      with S S-imp-NS[OF this]
      have kbo s (ts ! j) = (True, True) by (cases kbo s (ts ! j), auto)
      hence wpo.wpo-s s (ts ! j)
      by (subst IH-tj[symmetric], insert siset[OF j] j, auto)
    }
    thus ?thesis unfolding wpo kbo False if-False using lex-ext-stri-imp-nstri[of
wpo.wpo n ss ts]
      by (cases lex-ext wpo.wpo n ss ts, auto simp: pr-strict split: if-splits)
  qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
end

```

This is the main theorem. It tells us that KBO can be seen as an instance of WPO, under mild preconditions: the parameter n for the lexicographic extension has to be chosen high enough to cover the arities of all terms that

should be compared.

lemma defines $prec \equiv ((\lambda f g. (pr\text{-}strict' f g, pr\text{-}weak' f g)))$
and $prl \equiv (\lambda(f, n). n = 0 \wedge least f)$
shows
kbo-encoding-is-valid-wpo: wpo-with-SN-assms weight-S weight-NS prec prl full-status
False $(\lambda f. False)$
and
kbo-as-wpo: bounded-arity n (funas-term t) \implies kbo s t = wpo.wpo n weight-S
weight-NS prec prl full-status $(\lambda-. Lex) False (\lambda f. False) s t$
unfolding *prec-def prl-def*
subgoal by *(intro admissible-kbo.kbo-instance-of-wpo-with-SN-assms[OF admissible-kbo[^]]*
least-pr-weak' least-pr-weak'-trans)
apply *(subst kbo'-eq-kbo[symmetric])*
apply *(subst admissible-kbo.kbo-as-wpo-with-assms[OF admissible-kbo' least-pr-weak'*
least-pr-weak'-trans, symmetric], (auto)[\mathcal{G}])
by *auto*

As a proof-of-concept we show that now properties of WPO can be used to prove these properties for KBO. Here, as example we consider closure under substitutions and strong normalization, but the following idea can be applied for several more properties: if the property involves only terms where the arities are bounded, then just choose the parameter n large enough. This even works for strong normalization, since in an infinite chain of KBO-decreases $t_1 > t_2 > t_3 > \dots$ all terms have a weight of at most the weight of t_1 , and this weight is also a bound on the arities.

lemma *KBO-stable-via-WPO: $S s t \implies S (s \cdot (\sigma :: (f, 'a) subst)) (t \cdot \sigma)$*

proof –

let $?terms = \{t, t \cdot \sigma\}$
let $?prec = ((\lambda f g. (pr\text{-}strict' f g, pr\text{-}weak' f g)))$
let $?prl = (\lambda(f, n). n = 0 \wedge least f)$
have *finite* $(\bigcup (funas\text{-}term \text{ ' } ?terms))$
by *auto*
from *finite-list[OF this]* **obtain** F **where** $F: set F = \bigcup (funas\text{-}term \text{ ' } ?terms)$
by *auto*

define n **where** $n = max\text{-}list (map snd F)$

interpret *wpo: wpo-with-SN-assms*
where $S = weight\text{-}S$ **and** $NS = weight\text{-}NS$
and $prc = ?prec$ **and** $prl = ?prl$
and $c = \lambda-. Lex$
and $ssimple = False$ **and** $large = \lambda f. False$ **and** $\sigma\sigma = full\text{-}status$
and $n = n$
by *(rule kbo-encoding-is-valid-wpo)*

{

```

fix t
assume t ∈ ?terms
hence funas-term t ⊆ set F unfolding F by auto
hence bounded-arity n (funas-term t) unfolding bounded-arity-def
  using max-list[of - map snd F, folded n-def] by fastforce
}

note kbo-as-wpo = kbo-as-wpo[OF this]

from wpo.WPO-S-subst[of s t σ]
show S s t ⇒ S (s · σ) (t · σ)
  using kbo-as-wpo by auto
qed

lemma weight-is-arity-bound: weight t ≤ b ⇒ bounded-arity b (funas-term t)
proof (induct t)
  case (Fun f ts)
  have sum-list (map weight ts) ≤ weight (Fun f ts)
    using sum-list-scf-list[of ts scf (f,length ts), OF scf] by auto
  also have ... ≤ b using Fun by auto
  finally have sum-b: sum-list (map weight ts) ≤ b .
  {
    fix t
    assume t: t ∈ set ts
    from split-list[OF this] have weight t ≤ sum-list (map weight ts) by auto
    with sum-b have bounded-arity b (funas-term t) using t Fun by auto
  } note IH = this
  have length ts = sum-list (map (λ -. 1) ts) by (induct ts, auto)
  also have ... ≤ sum-list (map weight ts)
    apply (rule sum-list-mono)
    subgoal for t using weight-gt-0[of t] by auto
  done
  also have ... ≤ b by fact
  finally have len: length ts ≤ b by auto
  from IH len show ?case unfolding bounded-arity-def by auto
qed (auto simp: bounded-arity-def)

lemma KBO-SN-via-WPO: SN {(s,t). S s t}
proof
  fix f :: nat ⇒ ('f,'a)term
  assume ∀ i. (f i, f (Suc i)) ∈ {(s, t). S s t}
  hence steps: S (f i) (f (Suc i)) for i by auto
  define n where n = weight (f 0)

  have w-bound: weight (f i) ≤ n for i
  proof (induct i)
    case (Suc i)
    from steps[of i] have weight (f (Suc i)) ≤ weight (f i)

```

```

    unfolding kbo.simps[of f i] by (auto split: if-splits)
  with Suc show ?case by simp
qed (auto simp: n-def)

let ?prec = ((λf g. (pr-strict' f g, pr-weak' f g)))
let ?prl = (λ(f, n). n = 0 ∧ least f)

interpret wpo: wpo-with-SN-assms
where S = weight-S and NS = weight-NS
and prc = ?prec and prl = ?prl
and c = λ-. Lex
and ssimple = False and large = λf. False and σσ = full-status
and n = n
by (rule kbo-encoding-is-valid-wpo)

have kbo (f i) (f (Suc i)) = wpo.wpo (f i) (f (Suc i)) for i
by (rule kbo-as-wpo[OF weight-is-arity-bound[OF w-bound]])

from steps[unfolded this] wpo.WPO-S-SN show False by auto
qed

end

end

```

9 Executability of the orders

```

theory Executable-Orders
  imports
    WPO
    RPO
    LPO
    Multiset-Extension2-Impl
begin

```

If one loads the implementation of multiset orders (in particular for *mul-ext*), then all orders defined in this AFP-entry (WPO, RPO, LPO, multiset extension of order pairs) are executable.

```

export-code
  lpo
  rpo
  wpo.wpo
  mul-ext
  mult2-impl
in Haskell

```

end

References

- [1] F. Baader and T. Nipkow. *Term rewriting and all that*. Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [2] N. Dershowitz. Termination of rewriting. *J. Symb. Comput.*, 3(1/2):69–116, 1987.
- [3] S. Kamin and J. J. Lévy. Two generalizations of the recursive path ordering. Unpublished Manuscript, University of Illinois, IL, USA, 1980.
- [4] D. E. Knuth and P. Bendix. Simple word problems in universal algebras. In *Computational Problems in Abstract Algebra*, pages 263–297. 1970.
- [5] C. Sternagel and R. Thiemann. Formalizing Knuth–Bendix orders and Knuth–Bendix completion. In *Rewriting Techniques and Applications, RTA ’13*, volume 2 of *Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics*, pages 287–302, 2013.
- [6] C. Sternagel and R. Thiemann. A formalization of Knuth–Bendix orders. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, May 2020. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Knuth_Bendix_Order.html, Formal proof development.
- [7] R. Thiemann, G. Allais, and J. Nagele. On the formalization of termination techniques based on multiset orderings. In A. Tiwari, editor, *23rd International Conference on Rewriting Techniques and Applications (RTA ’12) , RTA 2012, May 28 - June 2, 2012, Nagoya, Japan*, volume 15 of *LIPICs*, pages 339–354. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2012.
- [8] R. Thiemann, J. Schöpfung, C. Sternagel, and A. Yamada. Certifying the weighted path order (invited talk). In Z. M. Ariola, editor, *5th International Conference on Formal Structures for Computation and Deduction, FSCD 2020, June 29-July 6, 2020, Paris, France (Virtual Conference)*, volume 167 of *LIPICs*, pages 4:1–4:20. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2020.
- [9] A. Yamada, K. Kusakari, and T. Sakabe. Unifying the Knuth-Bendix, recursive path and polynomial orders. In R. Peña and T. Schrijvers, editors, *15th International Symposium on Principles and Practice of Declarative Programming, PPDP ’13, Madrid, Spain, September 16-18, 2013*, pages 181–192. ACM, 2013.
- [10] A. Yamada, K. Kusakari, and T. Sakabe. A unified ordering for termination proving. *Sci. Comput. Program.*, 111:110–134, 2015.