

WebAssembly

Conrad Watt

September 13, 2023

Abstract

This is a mechanised specification of the WebAssembly language, drawn mainly from the previously published paper formalisation [1]. Also included is a full proof of soundness of the type system, together with a verified type checker and interpreter. We include only a partial procedure for the extraction of the type checker and interpreter here. For more details, please see our paper [2].

Contents

1	WebAssembly Core AST	2
2	Syntactic Typeclasses	5
3	WebAssembly Base Definitions	7
4	Host Properties	24
5	Auxiliary Type System Properties	25
6	Lemmas for Soundness Proof	54
	6.1 Preservation	54
	6.2 Progress	92
7	Soundness Theorems	126
8	Augmented Type Syntax for Concrete Checker	127
9	Executable Type Checker	150
10	Correctness of Type Checker	154
	10.1 Soundness	154
	10.2 Completeness	172
11	WebAssembly Interpreter	189

1 WebAssembly Core AST

```

theory Wasm-Ast
  imports
    Main
    Native-Word.Uint8
    Word-Lib.Reversed-Bit-Lists
  begin

  type-synonym — immediate
    i = nat
  type-synonym — static offset
    off = nat
  type-synonym — alignment exponent
    a = nat

  — primitive types
  typedecl i32
  typedecl i64
  typedecl f32
  typedecl f64

  — memory
  type-synonym byte = uint8

  typedef bytes = UNIV :: (byte list) set ..
  setup-lifting type-definition-bytes
  declare Quotient-bytes[transfer-rule]

  lift-definition bytes-takefill :: byte  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bytes  $\Rightarrow$  bytes is ( $\lambda a\ n\ as.$  takefill
(Abs-uint8 a) n as) .
  lift-definition bytes-replicate :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  byte  $\Rightarrow$  bytes is ( $\lambda n\ b.$  replicate n (Abs-uint8
b)) .
  definition msbyte :: bytes  $\Rightarrow$  byte where
    msbyte bs = last (Rep-bytes bs)

  typedef mem = UNIV :: (byte list) set ..
  setup-lifting type-definition-mem
  declare Quotient-mem[transfer-rule]

  lift-definition read-bytes :: mem  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bytes is ( $\lambda m\ n\ l.$  take l (drop
n m)) .
  lift-definition write-bytes :: mem  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bytes  $\Rightarrow$  mem is ( $\lambda m\ n\ bs.$  (take n
m) @ bs @ (drop (n + length bs) m)) .
  lift-definition mem-append :: mem  $\Rightarrow$  bytes  $\Rightarrow$  mem is append .

  — host

```

typedecl *host*
typedecl *host-state*

datatype — value types
t = *T-i32* | *T-i64* | *T-f32* | *T-f64*

datatype — packed types
tp = *Tp-i8* | *Tp-i16* | *Tp-i32*

datatype — mutability
mut = *T-immut* | *T-mut*

record *tg* = — global types
tg-mut :: *mut*
tg-t :: *t*

datatype — function types
tf = *Tf t list t list (-'-> - 60)*

record *t-context* =
types-t :: *tf list*
func-t :: *tf list*
global :: *tg list*
table :: *nat option*
memory :: *nat option*
local :: *t list*
label :: (*t list*) *list*
return :: (*t list*) *option*

record *s-context* =
s-inst :: *t-context list*
s-funcs :: *tf list*
s-tab :: *nat list*
s-mem :: *nat list*
s-globs :: *tg list*

datatype
sx = *S* | *U*

datatype
unop-i = *Clz* | *Ctz* | *Popcnt*

datatype
unop-f = *Neg* | *Abs* | *Ceil* | *Floor* | *Trunc* | *Nearest* | *Sqrt*

datatype
binop-i = *Add* | *Sub* | *Mul* | *Div sx* | *Rem sx* | *And* | *Or* | *Xor* | *Shl* | *Shr sx* |
Rotl | *Rotr*

datatype*binop-f = Addf | Subf | Mulf | Divf | Min | Max | Copysign***datatype***testop = Eqz***datatype***relop-i = Eq | Ne | Lt sx | Gt sx | Le sx | Ge sx***datatype***relop-f = Eqf | Nef | Ltf | Gtf | Lef | Gef***datatype***cvtop = Convert | Reinterpret***datatype** — values

v =
ConstInt32 i32
| ConstInt64 i64
| ConstFloat32 f32
| ConstFloat64 f64

datatype — basic instructions

b-e =
Unreachable
| Nop
| Drop
| Select
| Block tf b-e list
| Loop tf b-e list
| If tf b-e list b-e list
| Br i
| Br-if i
| Br-table i list i
| Return
| Call i
| Call-indirect i
| Get-local i
| Set-local i
| Tee-local i
| Get-global i
| Set-global i
| Load t (tp × sx) option a off
| Store t tp option a off
| Current-memory
| Grow-memory
| EConst v (C - 60)
| Unop-i t unop-i

```

| Unop-f t unop-f
| Binop-i t binop-i
| Binop-f t binop-f
| Testop t testop
| Relop-i t relop-i
| Relop-f t relop-f
| Cvtop t cvtop t sz option

```

datatype *cl* = — function closures

```

  Func-native i tf t list b-e list
| Func-host tf host

```

record *inst* = — instances

```

types :: tf list
funcs :: i list
tab :: i option
mem :: i option
globs :: i list

```

type-synonym *tabinst* = (*cl option*) *list*

record *global* =

```

g-mut :: mut
g-val :: v

```

record *s* = — store

```

inst :: inst list
funcs :: cl list
tab :: tabinst list
mem :: mem list
globs :: global list

```

datatype *e* = — administrative instruction

```

Basic b-e (§- 60)
| Trap
| Callcl cl
| Label nat e list e list
| Local nat i v list e list

```

datatype *Lholed* =

```

— L0 = v* [<hole>] e*
  LBase e list e list
— L(i+1) = v* (label n e* Li) e*
| LRec e list nat e list Lholed e list

```

end

2 Syntactic Typeclasses

theory *Wasm-Type-Abs* **imports** *Main* **begin**

```

class wasm-base = zero

class wasm-int = wasm-base +

  fixes int-clz :: 'a ⇒ 'a
  fixes int-ctz :: 'a ⇒ 'a
  fixes int-popcnt :: 'a ⇒ 'a

  fixes int-add :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a
  fixes int-sub :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a
  fixes int-mul :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a
  fixes int-div-u :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a option
  fixes int-div-s :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a option
  fixes int-rem-u :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a option
  fixes int-rem-s :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a option
  fixes int-and :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a
  fixes int-or :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a
  fixes int-xor :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a
  fixes int-shl :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a
  fixes int-shr-u :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a
  fixes int-shr-s :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a
  fixes int-rotl :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a
  fixes int-rotr :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a

  fixes int-eqz :: 'a ⇒ bool

  fixes int-eq :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
  fixes int-lt-u :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
  fixes int-lt-s :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
  fixes int-gt-u :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
  fixes int-gt-s :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
  fixes int-le-u :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
  fixes int-le-s :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
  fixes int-ge-u :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
  fixes int-ge-s :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool

  fixes int-of-nat :: nat ⇒ 'a
  fixes nat-of-int :: 'a ⇒ nat
begin
  abbreviation (input)
    int-ne where
      int-ne x y ≡ ¬ (int-eq x y)
end

class wasm-float = wasm-base +

  fixes float-neg :: 'a ⇒ 'a
  fixes float-abs :: 'a ⇒ 'a

```

```

fixes float-ceil :: 'a ⇒ 'a
fixes float-floor :: 'a ⇒ 'a
fixes float-trunc :: 'a ⇒ 'a
fixes float-nearest :: 'a ⇒ 'a
fixes float-sqrt :: 'a ⇒ 'a

fixes float-add :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a
fixes float-sub :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a
fixes float-mul :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a
fixes float-div :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a
fixes float-min :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a
fixes float-max :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a
fixes float-copysign :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a

fixes float-eq :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
fixes float-lt :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
fixes float-gt :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
fixes float-le :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
fixes float-ge :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
begin
  abbreviation (input)
    float-ne where
      float-ne x y ≡ ¬ (float-eq x y)
end
end

```

3 WebAssembly Base Definitions

```

theory Wasm-Base-Defs imports Wasm-Ast Wasm-Type-Abs begin

```

```

instantiation i32 :: wasm-int begin instance .. end
instantiation i64 :: wasm-int begin instance .. end
instantiation f32 :: wasm-float begin instance .. end
instantiation f64 :: wasm-float begin instance .. end

```

```

consts

```

```

ui32-trunc-f32 :: f32 ⇒ i32 option
si32-trunc-f32 :: f32 ⇒ i32 option
ui32-trunc-f64 :: f64 ⇒ i32 option
si32-trunc-f64 :: f64 ⇒ i32 option

ui64-trunc-f32 :: f32 ⇒ i64 option
si64-trunc-f32 :: f32 ⇒ i64 option
ui64-trunc-f64 :: f64 ⇒ i64 option
si64-trunc-f64 :: f64 ⇒ i64 option

f32-convert-ui32 :: i32 ⇒ f32

```

f32-convert-si32 :: *i32* ⇒ *f32*
f32-convert-ui64 :: *i64* ⇒ *f32*
f32-convert-si64 :: *i64* ⇒ *f32*

f64-convert-ui32 :: *i32* ⇒ *f64*
f64-convert-si32 :: *i32* ⇒ *f64*
f64-convert-ui64 :: *i64* ⇒ *f64*
f64-convert-si64 :: *i64* ⇒ *f64*

wasm-wrap :: *i64* ⇒ *i32*
wasm-extend-u :: *i32* ⇒ *i64*
wasm-extend-s :: *i32* ⇒ *i64*
wasm-demote :: *f64* ⇒ *f32*
wasm-promote :: *f32* ⇒ *f64*

serialise-i32 :: *i32* ⇒ *bytes*
serialise-i64 :: *i64* ⇒ *bytes*
serialise-f32 :: *f32* ⇒ *bytes*
serialise-f64 :: *f64* ⇒ *bytes*
wasm-bool :: *bool* ⇒ *i32*
int32-minus-one :: *i32*

definition *mem-size* :: *mem* ⇒ *nat* **where**
mem-size *m* = *length* (*Rep-mem* *m*)

definition *mem-grow* :: *mem* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *mem* **where**
mem-grow *m* *n* = *mem-append* *m* (*bytes-replicate* (*n* * 64000) 0)

definition *load* :: *mem* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *off* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *bytes option* **where**
load *m* *n* *off* *l* = (*if* (*mem-size* *m* ≥ (*n*+*off*+*l*))
then *Some* (*read-bytes* *m* (*n*+*off*) *l*)
else *None*)

definition *sign-extend* :: *sx* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *bytes* ⇒ *bytes* **where**
sign-extend *sx* *l* *bytes* = (*let* *msb* = *msb* (*msbyte* *bytes*) *in*
let *byte* = (*case* *sx* of *U* ⇒ 0 | *S* ⇒ *if* *msb* then -1 else 0) *in*
bytes-takefill *byte* *l* *bytes*)

definition *load-packed* :: *sx* ⇒ *mem* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *off* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *bytes option*
where
load-packed *sx* *m* *n* *off* *lp* *l* = *map-option* (*sign-extend* *sx* *l*) (*load* *m* *n* *off* *lp*)

definition *store* :: *mem* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *off* ⇒ *bytes* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *mem option* **where**
store *m* *n* *off* *bs* *l* = (*if* (*mem-size* *m* ≥ (*n*+*off*+*l*))
then *Some* (*write-bytes* *m* (*n*+*off*) (*bytes-takefill* 0 *l* *bs*))
else *None*)

definition *store-packed* :: *mem* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *off* ⇒ *bytes* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *mem option*

where

store-packed = *store*

consts

wasm-deserialise :: *bytes* ⇒ *t* ⇒ *v*

host-apply :: *s* ⇒ *tf* ⇒ *host* ⇒ *v list* ⇒ *host-state* ⇒ (*s* × *v list*) *option*

definition *typeof* :: *v* ⇒ *t* **where**

typeof v = (case *v* of
 ConstInt32 - ⇒ *T-i32*
 | *ConstInt64* - ⇒ *T-i64*
 | *ConstFloat32* - ⇒ *T-f32*
 | *ConstFloat64* - ⇒ *T-f64*)

definition *option-projl* :: (*'a* × *'b*) *option* ⇒ *'a option* **where**

option-projl x = *map-option fst x*

definition *option-projr* :: (*'a* × *'b*) *option* ⇒ *'b option* **where**

option-projr x = *map-option snd x*

definition *t-length* :: *t* ⇒ *nat* **where**

t-length t = (case *t* of
 T-i32 ⇒ 4
 | *T-i64* ⇒ 8
 | *T-f32* ⇒ 4
 | *T-f64* ⇒ 8)

definition *tp-length* :: *tp* ⇒ *nat* **where**

tp-length tp = (case *tp* of
 Tp-i8 ⇒ 1
 | *Tp-i16* ⇒ 2
 | *Tp-i32* ⇒ 4)

definition *is-int-t* :: *t* ⇒ *bool* **where**

is-int-t t = (case *t* of
 T-i32 ⇒ *True*
 | *T-i64* ⇒ *True*
 | *T-f32* ⇒ *False*
 | *T-f64* ⇒ *False*)

definition *is-float-t* :: *t* ⇒ *bool* **where**

is-float-t t = (case *t* of
 T-i32 ⇒ *False*
 | *T-i64* ⇒ *False*
 | *T-f32* ⇒ *True*
 | *T-f64* ⇒ *True*)

definition *is-mut* :: *tg* ⇒ *bool* **where**

$is\text{-}mut\ tg = (tg\text{-}mut\ tg = T\text{-}mut)$

definition $app\text{-}unop\text{-}i :: unop\text{-}i \Rightarrow 'i::wasm\text{-}int \Rightarrow 'i::wasm\text{-}int$ **where**

$app\text{-}unop\text{-}i\ iop\ c =$
 (case iop of
 $Ctz \Rightarrow int\text{-}ctz\ c$
 | $Clz \Rightarrow int\text{-}clz\ c$
 | $Popcnt \Rightarrow int\text{-}popcnt\ c$)

definition $app\text{-}unop\text{-}f :: unop\text{-}f \Rightarrow 'f::wasm\text{-}float \Rightarrow 'f::wasm\text{-}float$ **where**

$app\text{-}unop\text{-}f\ fop\ c =$
 (case fop of
 $Neg \Rightarrow float\text{-}neg\ c$
 | $Abs \Rightarrow float\text{-}abs\ c$
 | $Ceil \Rightarrow float\text{-}ceil\ c$
 | $Floor \Rightarrow float\text{-}floor\ c$
 | $Trunc \Rightarrow float\text{-}trunc\ c$
 | $Nearest \Rightarrow float\text{-}nearest\ c$
 | $Sqrt \Rightarrow float\text{-}sqrt\ c$)

definition $app\text{-}binop\text{-}i :: binop\text{-}i \Rightarrow 'i::wasm\text{-}int \Rightarrow 'i::wasm\text{-}int \Rightarrow ('i::wasm\text{-}int)$

option where

$app\text{-}binop\text{-}i\ iop\ c1\ c2 = (case\ iop\ of$
 $Add \Rightarrow Some\ (int\text{-}add\ c1\ c2)$
 | $Sub \Rightarrow Some\ (int\text{-}sub\ c1\ c2)$
 | $Mul \Rightarrow Some\ (int\text{-}mul\ c1\ c2)$
 | $Div\ U \Rightarrow int\text{-}div\text{-}u\ c1\ c2$
 | $Div\ S \Rightarrow int\text{-}div\text{-}s\ c1\ c2$
 | $Rem\ U \Rightarrow int\text{-}rem\text{-}u\ c1\ c2$
 | $Rem\ S \Rightarrow int\text{-}rem\text{-}s\ c1\ c2$
 | $And \Rightarrow Some\ (int\text{-}and\ c1\ c2)$
 | $Or \Rightarrow Some\ (int\text{-}or\ c1\ c2)$
 | $Xor \Rightarrow Some\ (int\text{-}xor\ c1\ c2)$
 | $Shl \Rightarrow Some\ (int\text{-}shl\ c1\ c2)$
 | $Shr\ U \Rightarrow Some\ (int\text{-}shr\text{-}u\ c1\ c2)$
 | $Shr\ S \Rightarrow Some\ (int\text{-}shr\text{-}s\ c1\ c2)$
 | $Rotl \Rightarrow Some\ (int\text{-}rotl\ c1\ c2)$
 | $Rotr \Rightarrow Some\ (int\text{-}rotr\ c1\ c2)$)

definition $app\text{-}binop\text{-}f :: binop\text{-}f \Rightarrow 'f::wasm\text{-}float \Rightarrow 'f::wasm\text{-}float \Rightarrow ('f::wasm\text{-}float)$

option where

$app\text{-}binop\text{-}f\ fop\ c1\ c2 = (case\ fop\ of$
 $Addf \Rightarrow Some\ (float\text{-}add\ c1\ c2)$
 | $Subf \Rightarrow Some\ (float\text{-}sub\ c1\ c2)$
 | $Mulf \Rightarrow Some\ (float\text{-}mul\ c1\ c2)$
 | $Divf \Rightarrow Some\ (float\text{-}div\ c1\ c2)$
 | $Min \Rightarrow Some\ (float\text{-}min\ c1\ c2)$
 | $Max \Rightarrow Some\ (float\text{-}max\ c1\ c2)$
 | $Copysign \Rightarrow Some\ (float\text{-}copysign\ c1\ c2)$)

definition *app-testop-i* :: *testop* ⇒ 'i::wasm-int ⇒ bool **where**

app-testop-i testop c = (case *testop* of *Eqz* ⇒ *int-eqz c*)

definition *app-relop-i* :: *relop-i* ⇒ 'i::wasm-int ⇒ 'i::wasm-int ⇒ bool **where**

app-relop-i rop c1 c2 = (case *rop* of
| *Eq* ⇒ *int-eq c1 c2*
| *Ne* ⇒ *int-ne c1 c2*
| *Lt U* ⇒ *int-lt-u c1 c2*
| *Lt S* ⇒ *int-lt-s c1 c2*
| *Gt U* ⇒ *int-gt-u c1 c2*
| *Gt S* ⇒ *int-gt-s c1 c2*
| *Le U* ⇒ *int-le-u c1 c2*
| *Le S* ⇒ *int-le-s c1 c2*
| *Ge U* ⇒ *int-ge-u c1 c2*
| *Ge S* ⇒ *int-ge-s c1 c2*)

definition *app-relop-f* :: *relop-f* ⇒ 'f::wasm-float ⇒ 'f::wasm-float ⇒ bool **where**

app-relop-f rop c1 c2 = (case *rop* of
| *Eqf* ⇒ *float-eq c1 c2*
| *Nef* ⇒ *float-ne c1 c2*
| *Ltf* ⇒ *float-lt c1 c2*
| *Gtf* ⇒ *float-gt c1 c2*
| *Lef* ⇒ *float-le c1 c2*
| *Gef* ⇒ *float-ge c1 c2*)

definition *types-agree* :: *t* ⇒ *v* ⇒ bool **where**

types-agree t v = (*typeof v* = *t*)

definition *cl-type* :: *cl* ⇒ *tf* **where**

cl-type cl = (case *cl* of *Func-native* - *tf* - - ⇒ *tf* | *Func-host* *tf* - ⇒ *tf*)

definition *rglob-is-mut* :: *global* ⇒ bool **where**

rglob-is-mut g = (*g-mut g* = *T-mut*)

definition *stypes* :: *s* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *tf* **where**

stypes s i j = ((*types* ((*inst s*)!i))!j)

definition *sfunc-ind* :: *s* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *nat* **where**

sfunc-ind s i j = ((*inst.funcs* ((*inst s*)!i))!j)

definition *sfunc* :: *s* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *cl* **where**

sfunc s i j = (*funcs s*)!(*sfunc-ind s i j*)

definition *sglob-ind* :: *s* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *nat* **where**

sglob-ind s i j = ((*inst.globs* ((*inst s*)!i))!j)

definition *sglob* :: *s* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *global* **where**

sglob s i j = (*globs s*)!(*sglob-ind s i j*)

definition *sglob-val* :: $s \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow v$ **where**

sglob-val $s\ i\ j = g\text{-val}\ (s\ \text{glob}\ s\ i\ j)$

definition *smem-ind* :: $s \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat option}$ **where**

smem-ind $s\ i = (\text{inst.mem}\ ((\text{inst}\ s)!i))$

definition *stab-s* :: $s \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{cl option}$ **where**

stab-s $s\ i\ j = (\text{let}\ \text{stabinst} = ((\text{tab}\ s)!i)\ \text{in}\ (\text{if}\ (\text{length}\ (\text{stabinst}) > j)\ \text{then}\ (\text{stabinst}!j)\ \text{else}\ \text{None}))$

definition *stab* :: $s \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{cl option}$ **where**

stab $s\ i\ j = (\text{case}\ (\text{inst.tab}\ ((\text{inst}\ s)!i))\ \text{of}\ \text{Some}\ k \Rightarrow \text{stab-s}\ s\ k\ j\ |\ \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{None})$

definition *supdate-glob-s* :: $s \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow s$ **where**

supdate-glob-s $s\ k\ v = s(\text{globs} := (\text{globs}\ s)[k := ((\text{globs}\ s)!k)(\text{g-val} := v)])$

definition *supdate-glob* :: $s \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow s$ **where**

supdate-glob $s\ i\ j\ v = (\text{let}\ k = \text{sglob-ind}\ s\ i\ j\ \text{in}\ \text{supdate-glob-s}\ s\ k\ v)$

definition *is-const* :: $e \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

is-const $e = (\text{case}\ e\ \text{of}\ \text{Basic}\ (C\ -) \Rightarrow \text{True}\ |\ - \Rightarrow \text{False})$

definition *const-list* :: $e\ \text{list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

const-list $xs = \text{list-all}\ \text{is-const}\ xs$

inductive *store-extension* :: $s \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\llbracket \text{insts} = \text{insts}' ; \text{fs} = \text{fs}' ; \text{tclss} = \text{tclss}' ; \text{list-all2}\ (\lambda bs\ bs'. \text{mem-size}\ bs \leq \text{mem-size}\ bs')\ \text{bss}\ \text{bss}' ; \text{gs} = \text{gs}' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$\text{store-extension}\ (\llbracket s.\text{inst} = \text{insts}, s.\text{funcs} = \text{fs}, s.\text{tab} = \text{tclss}, s.\text{mem} = \text{bss}, s.\text{globs} = \text{gs} \rrbracket)$

$= \text{gs}' \rrbracket (\llbracket s.\text{inst} = \text{insts}', s.\text{funcs} = \text{fs}', s.\text{tab} = \text{tclss}', s.\text{mem} = \text{bss}', s.\text{globs} = \text{gs}' \rrbracket)$

abbreviation *to-e-list* :: $b\text{-e list} \Rightarrow e\ \text{list}$ ($\S*$ - 60) **where**

to-e-list $b\text{-es} \equiv \text{map}\ \text{Basic}\ b\text{-es}$

abbreviation *v-to-e-list* :: $v\ \text{list} \Rightarrow e\ \text{list}$ ($\S\S*$ - 60) **where**

v-to-e-list $ves \equiv \text{map}\ (\lambda v. \text{\$}C\ v)\ ves$

inductive *Lfilled* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{Lholed} \Rightarrow e\ \text{list} \Rightarrow e\ \text{list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$L0 : \llbracket \text{const-list}\ vs ; \text{holed} = (\text{LBase}\ vs\ es') \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{Lfilled}\ 0\ \text{holed}\ es\ (vs\ @\ es\ @\ es')$

$| LN : \llbracket \text{const-list}\ vs ; \text{holed} = (\text{LRec}\ vs\ n\ es'\ l\ es'') ; \text{Lfilled}\ k\ l\ es\ \text{lfilledk} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{Lfilled}\ (k+1)\ \text{holed}\ es\ (vs\ @\ [\text{Label}\ n\ es'\ \text{lfilledk}]\ @\ es'')$

inductive *Lfilled-exact* :: nat ⇒ Lholed ⇒ e list ⇒ e list ⇒ bool **where**

L0: $[[\text{lholed} = (\text{LBase } [] [])] \implies \text{Lfilled-exact } 0 \text{ lholed } es \ es]$

| *LN*: $[[\text{const-list } vs; \text{lholed} = (\text{LRec } vs \ n \ es' \ l \ es'); \ \text{Lfilled-exact } k \ l \ es \ \text{lfilledk}] \implies \text{Lfilled-exact } (k+1) \ \text{lholed } es \ (vs \ @ \ [\text{Label } n \ es' \ \text{lfilledk}] \ @ \ es')]$

definition *load-store-t-bounds* :: a ⇒ tp option ⇒ t ⇒ bool **where**

load-store-t-bounds a tp t = (case tp of
 None ⇒ $2^a \leq \text{t-length } t$
 | Some tp ⇒ $2^a \leq \text{tp-length } tp \wedge \text{tp-length } tp < \text{t-length } t \wedge \text{is-int-t } t$)

definition *cvt-i32* :: sx option ⇒ v ⇒ i32 option **where**

cvt-i32 sx v = (case v of
 ConstInt32 c ⇒ None
 | ConstInt64 c ⇒ Some (wasm-wrap c)
 | ConstFloat32 c ⇒ (case sx of
 Some U ⇒ ui32-trunc-f32 c
 | Some S ⇒ si32-trunc-f32 c
 | None ⇒ None)
 | ConstFloat64 c ⇒ (case sx of
 Some U ⇒ ui32-trunc-f64 c
 | Some S ⇒ si32-trunc-f64 c
 | None ⇒ None))

definition *cvt-i64* :: sx option ⇒ v ⇒ i64 option **where**

cvt-i64 sx v = (case v of
 ConstInt32 c ⇒ (case sx of
 Some U ⇒ Some (wasm-extend-u c)
 | Some S ⇒ Some (wasm-extend-s c)
 | None ⇒ None)
 | ConstInt64 c ⇒ None
 | ConstFloat32 c ⇒ (case sx of
 Some U ⇒ ui64-trunc-f32 c
 | Some S ⇒ si64-trunc-f32 c
 | None ⇒ None)
 | ConstFloat64 c ⇒ (case sx of
 Some U ⇒ ui64-trunc-f64 c
 | Some S ⇒ si64-trunc-f64 c
 | None ⇒ None))

definition *cvt-f32* :: sx option ⇒ v ⇒ f32 option **where**

cvt-f32 sx v = (case v of
 ConstInt32 c ⇒ (case sx of
 Some U ⇒ Some (f32-convert-ui32 c)
 | Some S ⇒ Some (f32-convert-si32 c)
 | - ⇒ None)

$| \text{ConstInt64 } c \Rightarrow (\text{case } sx \text{ of}$
 $\quad \text{Some } U \Rightarrow \text{Some } (f32\text{-convert-ui64 } c)$
 $\quad | \text{Some } S \Rightarrow \text{Some } (f32\text{-convert-si64 } c)$
 $\quad | - \Rightarrow \text{None})$
 $| \text{ConstFloat32 } c \Rightarrow \text{None}$
 $| \text{ConstFloat64 } c \Rightarrow \text{Some } (\text{wasm-demote } c)$

definition $\text{cvt-f64} :: sx \text{ option} \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow f64 \text{ option}$ **where**

$\text{cvt-f64 } sx \ v = (\text{case } v \text{ of}$
 $\quad \text{ConstInt32 } c \Rightarrow (\text{case } sx \text{ of}$
 $\quad \quad \text{Some } U \Rightarrow \text{Some } (f64\text{-convert-ui32 } c)$
 $\quad \quad | \text{Some } S \Rightarrow \text{Some } (f64\text{-convert-si32 } c)$
 $\quad \quad | - \Rightarrow \text{None})$
 $\quad | \text{ConstInt64 } c \Rightarrow (\text{case } sx \text{ of}$
 $\quad \quad \text{Some } U \Rightarrow \text{Some } (f64\text{-convert-ui64 } c)$
 $\quad \quad | \text{Some } S \Rightarrow \text{Some } (f64\text{-convert-si64 } c)$
 $\quad \quad | - \Rightarrow \text{None})$
 $\quad | \text{ConstFloat32 } c \Rightarrow \text{Some } (\text{wasm-promote } c)$
 $\quad | \text{ConstFloat64 } c \Rightarrow \text{None})$

definition $\text{cvt} :: t \Rightarrow sx \text{ option} \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow v \text{ option}$ **where**

$\text{cvt } t \ sx \ v = (\text{case } t \text{ of}$
 $\quad T\text{-i32} \Rightarrow (\text{case } (\text{cvt-i32 } sx \ v) \text{ of } \text{Some } c \Rightarrow \text{Some } (\text{ConstInt32 } c) |$
 $\text{None} \Rightarrow \text{None})$
 $\quad | T\text{-i64} \Rightarrow (\text{case } (\text{cvt-i64 } sx \ v) \text{ of } \text{Some } c \Rightarrow \text{Some } (\text{ConstInt64 } c) |$
 $\text{None} \Rightarrow \text{None})$
 $\quad | T\text{-f32} \Rightarrow (\text{case } (\text{cvt-f32 } sx \ v) \text{ of } \text{Some } c \Rightarrow \text{Some } (\text{ConstFloat32 } c) |$
 $\text{None} \Rightarrow \text{None})$
 $\quad | T\text{-f64} \Rightarrow (\text{case } (\text{cvt-f64 } sx \ v) \text{ of } \text{Some } c \Rightarrow \text{Some } (\text{ConstFloat64 } c) |$
 $\text{None} \Rightarrow \text{None}))$

definition $\text{bits} :: v \Rightarrow \text{bytes}$ **where**

$\text{bits } v = (\text{case } v \text{ of}$
 $\quad \text{ConstInt32 } c \Rightarrow (\text{serialise-i32 } c)$
 $\quad | \text{ConstInt64 } c \Rightarrow (\text{serialise-i64 } c)$
 $\quad | \text{ConstFloat32 } c \Rightarrow (\text{serialise-f32 } c)$
 $\quad | \text{ConstFloat64 } c \Rightarrow (\text{serialise-f64 } c))$

definition $\text{bitzero} :: t \Rightarrow v$ **where**

$\text{bitzero } t = (\text{case } t \text{ of}$
 $\quad T\text{-i32} \Rightarrow \text{ConstInt32 } 0$
 $\quad | T\text{-i64} \Rightarrow \text{ConstInt64 } 0$
 $\quad | T\text{-f32} \Rightarrow \text{ConstFloat32 } 0$
 $\quad | T\text{-f64} \Rightarrow \text{ConstFloat64 } 0)$

definition $n\text{-zeros} :: t \text{ list} \Rightarrow v \text{ list}$ **where**

$n\text{-zeros } ts = (\text{map } (\lambda t. \text{bitzero } t) \ ts)$

lemma is-int-t-exists :

assumes *is-int-t* *t*
shows $t = T-i32 \vee t = T-i64$
using *assms*
by (*cases t*) (*auto simp add: is-int-t-def*)

lemma *is-float-t-exists*:
assumes *is-float-t* *t*
shows $t = T-f32 \vee t = T-f64$
using *assms*
by (*cases t*) (*auto simp add: is-float-t-def*)

lemma *int-float-disjoint*: $is-int-t\ t = \neg(is-float-t\ t)$
by *simp (metis is-float-t-def is-int-t-def t.exhaust t.simps(13-16))*

lemma *stab-unfold*:
assumes $stab\ s\ i\ j = Some\ cl$
shows $\exists k. inst.tab\ ((inst\ s)!i) = Some\ k \wedge length\ ((tab\ s)!k) > j \wedge ((tab\ s)!k)!j = Some\ cl$
proof –
obtain *k* **where** $have-k:(inst.tab\ ((inst\ s)!i)) = Some\ k$
using *assms*
unfolding *stab-def*
by *fastforce*
hence $s-o:stab\ s\ i\ j = stab-s\ s\ k\ j$
using *assms*
unfolding *stab-def*
by *simp*
then obtain *stabinst* **where** $stabinst-def:stabinst = ((tab\ s)!k)$
by *blast*
hence $stab-s\ s\ k\ j = (stabinst)!j \wedge (length\ stabinst > j)$
using *assms s-o*
unfolding *stab-s-def*
by (*cases (length stabinst > j), auto*)
thus *?thesis*
using *have-k stabinst-def assms s-o*
by *auto*
qed

lemma *inj-basic*: *inj Basic*
by (*meson e.inject(1) injI*)

lemma *inj-basic-econst*: *inj* ($\lambda v. \$C\ v$)
by (*meson b-e.inject(16) e.inject(1) injI*)

lemma *to-e-list-1*: $[\$ a] = \$* [a]$
by *simp*

lemma *to-e-list-2*: $[\$ a, \$ b] = \$* [a, b]$

```

by simp

lemma to-e-list-3:[$ a, $ b, $ c] = $* [a, b, c]
  by simp

lemma v-exists-b-e:∃ ves. ($$*vs) = ($*ves)
proof (induction vs)
  case (Cons a vs)
  thus ?case
  by (metis list.simps(9))
qed auto

lemma Lfilled-exact-imp-Lfilled:
  assumes Lfilled-exact n lholed es LI
  shows Lfilled n lholed es LI
  using assms
proof (induction rule: Lfilled-exact.induct)
  case (L0 lholed es)
  thus ?case
  using const-list-def Lfilled.intros(1)
  by fastforce
next
  case (LN vs lholed n es' l es'' k es lfilledk)
  thus ?case
  using Lfilled.intros(2)
  by fastforce
qed

lemma Lfilled-exact-app-imp-exists-Lfilled:
  assumes const-list ves
  Lfilled-exact n lholed (ves@es) LI
  shows ∃ lholed'. Lfilled n lholed' es LI
  using assms(2,1)
proof (induction (ves@es) LI rule: Lfilled-exact.induct)
  case (L0 lholed)
  show ?case
  using Lfilled.intros(1)[OF L0(2), of - []]
  by fastforce
next
  case (LN vs lholed n es' l es'' k lfilledk)
  thus ?case
  using Lfilled.intros(2)
  by fastforce
qed

lemma Lfilled-imp-exists-Lfilled-exact:
  assumes Lfilled n lholed es LI
  shows ∃ lholed' ves es-c. const-list ves ∧ Lfilled-exact n lholed' (ves@es@es-c) LI
  using assms Lfilled-exact.intros

```



```

by (induction rule: Lfilled.induct) fastforce+

lemma n-zeros-typeof:
  n-zeros ts = vs  $\implies$  (ts = map typeof vs)
proof (induction ts arbitrary: vs)
  case Nil
  thus ?case
  unfolding n-zeros-def
  by simp
next
  case (Cons t ts)
  obtain vs' where n-zeros ts = vs'
  using n-zeros-def
  by blast
  moreover
  have typeof (bitzero t) = t
  unfolding typeof-def bitzero-def
  by (cases t, simp-all)
  ultimately
  show ?case
  using Cons
  unfolding n-zeros-def
  by auto
qed

end
theory Wasm imports Wasm-Base-Defs begin

inductive b-e-typing :: [t-context, b-e list, tf]  $\Rightarrow$  bool (-  $\vdash$  - : - 60) where
  — num ops
  const:  $\mathcal{C} \vdash [C\ v] : ([\ ] \rightarrow [(typeof\ v)])$ 
| unop-i:is-int-t t  $\implies \mathcal{C} \vdash [Unop-i\ t\ -] : ([t] \rightarrow [t])$ 
| unop-f:is-float-t t  $\implies \mathcal{C} \vdash [Unop-f\ t\ -] : ([t] \rightarrow [t])$ 
| binop-i:is-int-t t  $\implies \mathcal{C} \vdash [Binop-i\ t\ iop] : ([t, t] \rightarrow [t])$ 
| binop-f:is-float-t t  $\implies \mathcal{C} \vdash [Binop-f\ t\ -] : ([t, t] \rightarrow [t])$ 
| testop:is-int-t t  $\implies \mathcal{C} \vdash [Testop\ t\ -] : ([t] \rightarrow [T-i32])$ 
| relop-i:is-int-t t  $\implies \mathcal{C} \vdash [Relop-i\ t\ -] : ([t, t] \rightarrow [T-i32])$ 
| relop-f:is-float-t t  $\implies \mathcal{C} \vdash [Relop-f\ t\ -] : ([t, t] \rightarrow [T-i32])$ 
  — convert
| convert:  $[(t1 \neq t2); (sx = None) = ((is-float-t\ t1 \wedge is-float-t\ t2) \vee (is-int-t\ t1 \wedge is-int-t\ t2 \wedge (t-length\ t1 < t-length\ t2)))] \implies \mathcal{C} \vdash [Cvtop\ t1\ Convert\ t2\ sx] : ([t2] \rightarrow [t1])$ 
  — reinterpret
| reinterpret:  $[(t1 \neq t2); t-length\ t1 = t-length\ t2] \implies \mathcal{C} \vdash [Cvtop\ t1\ Reinterpret\ t2\ None] : ([t2] \rightarrow [t1])$ 
  — unreachable, nop, drop, select
| unreachable:  $\mathcal{C} \vdash [Unreachable] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$ 
| nop:  $\mathcal{C} \vdash [Nop] : ([\ ] \rightarrow [\ ])$ 

```

$| \text{drop}:\mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Drop}] : ([t] \rightarrow [])$
 $| \text{select}:\mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Select}] : ([t, t, T\text{-i32}] \rightarrow [t])$
 — *block*
 $| \text{block}:\llbracket \text{tf} = (tn \rightarrow tm); \mathcal{C}(\text{label} := ([tm] @ (\text{label } \mathcal{C}))) \vdash \text{es} : (tn \rightarrow tm) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \vdash$
 $[\text{Block } \text{tf } \text{es}] : (tn \rightarrow tm)$
 — *loop*
 $| \text{loop}:\llbracket \text{tf} = (tn \rightarrow tm); \mathcal{C}(\text{label} := ([tn] @ (\text{label } \mathcal{C}))) \vdash \text{es} : (tn \rightarrow tm) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \vdash$
 $[\text{Loop } \text{tf } \text{es}] : (tn \rightarrow tm)$
 — *if then else*
 $| \text{if-wasm}:\llbracket \text{tf} = (tn \rightarrow tm); \mathcal{C}(\text{label} := ([tm] @ (\text{label } \mathcal{C}))) \vdash \text{es1} : (tn \rightarrow tm);$
 $\mathcal{C}(\text{label} := ([tm] @ (\text{label } \mathcal{C}))) \vdash \text{es2} : (tn \rightarrow tm) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{If } \text{tf } \text{es1 } \text{es2}] : (tn @$
 $[T\text{-i32}] \rightarrow tm)$
 — *br*
 $| \text{br}:\llbracket i < \text{length}(\text{label } \mathcal{C}); (\text{label } \mathcal{C})!i = \text{ts} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Br } i] : (t1s @ ts \rightarrow t2s)$
 — *br-if*
 $| \text{br-if}:\llbracket i < \text{length}(\text{label } \mathcal{C}); (\text{label } \mathcal{C})!i = \text{ts} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Br-if } i] : (ts @ [T\text{-i32}] \rightarrow ts)$
 — *br-table*
 $| \text{br-table}:\llbracket \text{list-all } (\lambda i. i < \text{length}(\text{label } \mathcal{C}) \wedge (\text{label } \mathcal{C})!i = \text{ts}) (\text{is}@[i]) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \vdash$
 $[\text{Br-table } \text{is } i] : (t1s @ ts @ [T\text{-i32}] \rightarrow t2s)$
 — *return*
 $| \text{return}:\llbracket (\text{return } \mathcal{C}) = \text{Some } \text{ts} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Return}] : (t1s @ ts \rightarrow t2s)$
 — *call*
 $| \text{call}:\llbracket i < \text{length}(\text{func-t } \mathcal{C}); (\text{func-t } \mathcal{C})!i = \text{tf} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Call } i] : \text{tf}$
 — *call-indirect*
 $| \text{call-indirect}:\llbracket i < \text{length}(\text{types-t } \mathcal{C}); (\text{types-t } \mathcal{C})!i = (t1s \rightarrow t2s); (\text{table } \mathcal{C}) \neq \text{None} \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Call-indirect } i] : (t1s @ [T\text{-i32}] \rightarrow t2s)$
 — *get-local*
 $| \text{get-local}:\llbracket i < \text{length}(\text{local } \mathcal{C}); (\text{local } \mathcal{C})!i = t \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Get-local } i] : ([] \rightarrow [t])$
 — *set-local*
 $| \text{set-local}:\llbracket i < \text{length}(\text{local } \mathcal{C}); (\text{local } \mathcal{C})!i = t \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Set-local } i] : ([t] \rightarrow [])$
 — *tee-local*
 $| \text{tee-local}:\llbracket i < \text{length}(\text{local } \mathcal{C}); (\text{local } \mathcal{C})!i = t \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Tee-local } i] : ([t] \rightarrow [t])$
 — *get-global*
 $| \text{get-global}:\llbracket i < \text{length}(\text{global } \mathcal{C}); \text{tg-t } ((\text{global } \mathcal{C})!i) = t \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Get-global } i] : ([]$
 $\rightarrow [t])$
 — *set-global*
 $| \text{set-global}:\llbracket i < \text{length}(\text{global } \mathcal{C}); \text{tg-t } ((\text{global } \mathcal{C})!i) = t; \text{is-mut } ((\text{global } \mathcal{C})!i) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Set-global } i] : ([t] \rightarrow [])$
 — *load*
 $| \text{load}:\llbracket (\text{memory } \mathcal{C}) = \text{Some } n; \text{load-store-t-bounds } a (\text{option-projl } \text{tp-sx } t) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$
 $\vdash [\text{Load } t \text{ tp-sx } a \text{ off}] : ([T\text{-i32}] \rightarrow [t])$
 — *store*
 $| \text{store}:\llbracket (\text{memory } \mathcal{C}) = \text{Some } n; \text{load-store-t-bounds } a \text{ tp } t \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Store } t \text{ tp } a$
 $\text{off}] : ([T\text{-i32}, t] \rightarrow [])$
 — *current-memory*
 $| \text{current-memory}:(\text{memory } \mathcal{C}) = \text{Some } n \Longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Current-memory}] : ([] \rightarrow [T\text{-i32}])$
 — *Grow-memory*
 $| \text{grow-memory}:(\text{memory } \mathcal{C}) = \text{Some } n \Longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Grow-memory}] : ([T\text{-i32}] \rightarrow$
 $[T\text{-i32}])$

— *empty program*
 $| \text{empty}:\mathcal{C} \vdash [] : ([] \rightarrow [])$
 — *composition*
 $| \text{composition}:\llbracket \mathcal{C} \vdash es : (t1s \rightarrow t2s); \mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (t2s \rightarrow t3s) \rrbracket \implies \mathcal{C} \vdash es @ [e] : (t1s \rightarrow t3s)$
 — *weakening*
 $| \text{weakening}:\mathcal{C} \vdash es : (t1s \rightarrow t2s) \implies \mathcal{C} \vdash es : (ts @ t1s \rightarrow ts @ t2s)$

inductive *cl-typing* :: [s-context, cl, tf] \Rightarrow bool **where**
 $| i < \text{length } (s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S}); ((s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})!i) = \mathcal{C}; \text{tf} = (t1s \rightarrow t2s); \mathcal{C}(\text{local} := (\text{local } \mathcal{C}) @ t1s @ ts, \text{label} := ([t2s] @ (\text{label } \mathcal{C})), \text{return} := \text{Some } t2s) \vdash es : ([] \rightarrow t2s) \implies \text{cl-typing } \mathcal{S} (\text{Func-native } i \text{ tf } ts \text{ es}) (t1s \rightarrow t2s)$
 $| \text{cl-typing } \mathcal{S} (\text{Func-host } \text{tf } h) \text{ tf}$

inductive *e-typing* :: [s-context, t-context, e list, tf] \Rightarrow bool (--- \vdash - : - 60)
and *s-typing* :: [s-context, (t list) option, nat, v list, e list, t list] \Rightarrow bool (--- \vdash' - -; - : - 60) **where**

$\mathcal{C} \vdash b\text{-es} : \text{tf} \implies \mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash \$*b\text{-es} : \text{tf}$

$| \llbracket \mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash es : (t1s \rightarrow t2s); \mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (t2s \rightarrow t3s) \rrbracket \implies \mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash es @ [e] : (t1s \rightarrow t3s)$

$| \mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash es : (t1s \rightarrow t2s) \implies \mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash es : (ts @ t1s \rightarrow ts @ t2s)$

$| \mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Trap}] : \text{tf}$

$| \llbracket \mathcal{S} \cdot \text{Some } ts \vdash i \text{ vs}; es : ts; \text{length } ts = n \rrbracket \implies \mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Local } n \text{ i vs } es] : ([] \rightarrow ts)$

$| \llbracket \text{cl-typing } \mathcal{S} \text{ cl } \text{tf} \rrbracket \implies \mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Callcl } \text{cl}] : \text{tf}$

$| \llbracket \mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash e0s : (ts \rightarrow t2s); \mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C}(\text{label} := ([ts] @ (\text{label } \mathcal{C}))) \vdash es : ([] \rightarrow t2s); \text{length } ts = n \rrbracket \implies \mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Label } n \text{ e0s } es] : ([] \rightarrow t2s)$

$| \llbracket i < (\text{length } (s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})); \text{tvs} = \text{map } \text{typeof } \text{vs}; \mathcal{C} = ((s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})!i)(\text{local} := (\text{local } ((s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})!i) @ \text{tvs}), \text{return} := \text{rs}); \mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash es : ([] \rightarrow ts); (\text{rs} = \text{Some } ts) \vee \text{rs} = \text{None} \rrbracket \implies \mathcal{S} \cdot \text{rs} \vdash i \text{ vs}; es : ts$

definition *globi-agree* $gs \ n \ g = (n < \text{length } gs \wedge gs!n = g)$

definition *memi-agree* $sm \ j \ m = ((\exists j' \ m'. j = \text{Some } j' \wedge j' < \text{length } sm \wedge m = \text{Some } m' \wedge sm!j' = m') \vee j = \text{None} \wedge m = \text{None})$

definition *funci-agree* $fs \ n \ f = (n < \text{length } fs \wedge fs!n = f)$

inductive *inst-typing* :: [s-context, inst, t-context] \Rightarrow bool **where**
 $| \llbracket \text{list-all2 } (\text{funci-agree } (s\text{-funcs } \mathcal{S})) \text{ fs } \text{tfs}; \text{list-all2 } (\text{globi-agree } (s\text{-globs } \mathcal{S})) \text{ gs } \text{tgs};$

$(i = \text{Some } i' \wedge i' < \text{length } (s\text{-tab } \mathcal{S}) \wedge (s\text{-tab } \mathcal{S})!i' = (\text{the } n)) \vee (i = \text{None} \wedge n = \text{None}); \text{memi-agree } (s\text{-mem } \mathcal{S}) j m \Longrightarrow \text{inst-typing } \mathcal{S} (\text{types} = ts, \text{funcs} = fs, \text{tab} = i, \text{mem} = j, \text{globs} = gs) (\text{types-t} = ts, \text{func-t} = tfs, \text{global} = tgs, \text{table} = n, \text{memory} = m, \text{local} = [], \text{label} = [], \text{return} = \text{None})$

definition $\text{glob-agree } g \text{ tg} = (\text{tg-mut } \text{tg} = g\text{-mut } g \wedge \text{tg-t } \text{tg} = \text{typeof } (g\text{-val } g))$

definition $\text{tab-agree } \mathcal{S} \text{ tcl} = (\text{case } \text{tcl} \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid \text{Some } \text{cl} \Rightarrow \exists \text{tf. } \text{cl-typing } \mathcal{S} \text{ cl } \text{tf})$

definition $\text{mem-agree } bs \text{ m} = (\lambda bs \text{ m. } m \leq \text{mem-size } bs) bs \text{ m}$

inductive $\text{store-typing} :: [s, s\text{-context}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\llbracket \mathcal{S} = (\text{inst} = Cs, s\text{-funcs} = tfs, s\text{-tab} = ns, s\text{-mem} = ms, s\text{-globs} = tgs); \text{list-all2 } (\text{inst-typing } \mathcal{S}) \text{ insts } Cs; \text{list-all2 } (\text{cl-typing } \mathcal{S}) fs \text{ tfs}; \text{list-all } (\text{tab-agree } \mathcal{S}) (\text{concat } \text{tclss}); \text{list-all2 } (\lambda \text{ tcls } n. n \leq \text{length } \text{tcls}) \text{ tclss } ns; \text{list-all2 } \text{mem-agree } bss \text{ ms}; \text{list-all2 } \text{glob-agree } gs \text{ tgs} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{store-typing } (\text{s.inst} = \text{insts}, \text{s.funcs} = \text{fs}, \text{s.tab} = \text{tclss}, \text{s.mem} = \text{bss}, \text{s.globs} = \text{gs}) \mathcal{S}$

inductive $\text{config-typing} :: [\text{nat}, s, v \text{ list}, e \text{ list}, t \text{ list}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (\vdash' - -; - : - 60) **where**

$\llbracket \text{store-typing } s \mathcal{S}; \mathcal{S} \cdot \text{None} \Vdash\text{-i } vs; es : ts \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \vdash\text{-i } s; vs; es : ts$

inductive $\text{reduce-simple} :: [e \text{ list}, e \text{ list}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ ($(\text{-}) \rightsquigarrow (\text{-})$ 60) **where**

— *integer unary ops*

$\text{unop-i32} : (\llbracket \$C (\text{ConstInt32 } c), \$(\text{Unop-i } T\text{-i32 } \text{iop}) \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow (\llbracket \$C (\text{ConstInt32 } (\text{app-unop-i } \text{iop } c)) \rrbracket))$

$\mid \text{unop-i64} : (\llbracket \$C (\text{ConstInt64 } c), \$(\text{Unop-i } T\text{-i64 } \text{iop}) \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow (\llbracket \$C (\text{ConstInt64 } (\text{app-unop-i } \text{iop } c)) \rrbracket))$

— *float unary ops*

$\mid \text{unop-f32} : (\llbracket \$C (\text{ConstFloat32 } c), \$(\text{Unop-f } T\text{-f32 } \text{fop}) \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow (\llbracket \$C (\text{ConstFloat32 } (\text{app-unop-f } \text{fop } c)) \rrbracket))$

$\mid \text{unop-f64} : (\llbracket \$C (\text{ConstFloat64 } c), \$(\text{Unop-f } T\text{-f64 } \text{fop}) \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow (\llbracket \$C (\text{ConstFloat64 } (\text{app-unop-f } \text{fop } c)) \rrbracket))$

— *int32 binary ops*

$\mid \text{binop-i32-Some} : [\text{app-binop-i } \text{iop } c1 \text{ } c2 = (\text{Some } c)] \Longrightarrow (\llbracket \$C (\text{ConstInt32 } c1), \$C (\text{ConstInt32 } c2), \$(\text{Binop-i } T\text{-i32 } \text{iop}) \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow (\llbracket \$C (\text{ConstInt32 } c) \rrbracket))$

$\mid \text{binop-i32-None} : [\text{app-binop-i } \text{iop } c1 \text{ } c2 = \text{None}] \Longrightarrow (\llbracket \$C (\text{ConstInt32 } c1), \$C (\text{ConstInt32 } c2), \$(\text{Binop-i } T\text{-i32 } \text{iop}) \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow (\llbracket \text{Trap} \rrbracket))$

— *int64 binary ops*

$\mid \text{binop-i64-Some} : [\text{app-binop-i } \text{iop } c1 \text{ } c2 = (\text{Some } c)] \Longrightarrow (\llbracket \$C (\text{ConstInt64 } c1), \$C (\text{ConstInt64 } c2), \$(\text{Binop-i } T\text{-i64 } \text{iop}) \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow (\llbracket \$C (\text{ConstInt64 } c) \rrbracket))$

$\mid \text{binop-i64-None} : [\text{app-binop-i } \text{iop } c1 \text{ } c2 = \text{None}] \Longrightarrow (\llbracket \$C (\text{ConstInt64 } c1), \$C (\text{ConstInt64 } c2), \$(\text{Binop-i } T\text{-i64 } \text{iop}) \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow (\llbracket \text{Trap} \rrbracket))$

— *float32 binary ops*

$\mid \text{binop-f32-Some} : [\text{app-binop-f } \text{fop } c1 \text{ } c2 = (\text{Some } c)] \Longrightarrow (\llbracket \$C (\text{ConstFloat32 } c1), \$C (\text{ConstFloat32 } c2), \$(\text{Binop-f } T\text{-f32 } \text{fop}) \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow (\llbracket \$C (\text{ConstFloat32 } c) \rrbracket))$

| *binop-f32-None*: $[[app\text{-}binop\text{-}f\ fop\ c1\ c2 = None]] \implies ([\$C\ (ConstFloat32\ c1),\ \$C\ (ConstFloat32\ c2),\ \$(Binop\text{-}f\ T\text{-}f32\ fop)]) \rightsquigarrow ([Trap])$
— *float64 binary ops*
| *binop-f64-Some*: $[[app\text{-}binop\text{-}f\ fop\ c1\ c2 = (Some\ c)]] \implies ([\$C\ (ConstFloat64\ c1),\ \$C\ (ConstFloat64\ c2),\ \$(Binop\text{-}f\ T\text{-}f64\ fop)]) \rightsquigarrow ([\$C\ (ConstFloat64\ c)])$
| *binop-f64-None*: $[[app\text{-}binop\text{-}f\ fop\ c1\ c2 = None]] \implies ([\$C\ (ConstFloat64\ c1),\ \$C\ (ConstFloat64\ c2),\ \$(Binop\text{-}f\ T\text{-}f64\ fop)]) \rightsquigarrow ([Trap])$
— *testops*
| *testop-i32*: $([\$C\ (ConstInt32\ c),\ \$(Testop\ T\text{-}i32\ testop)]) \rightsquigarrow ([\$C\ ConstInt32\ (wasm\text{-}bool\ (app\text{-}testop\text{-}i\ testop\ c))])$
| *testop-i64*: $([\$C\ (ConstInt64\ c),\ \$(Testop\ T\text{-}i64\ testop)]) \rightsquigarrow ([\$C\ ConstInt32\ (wasm\text{-}bool\ (app\text{-}testop\text{-}i\ testop\ c))])$
— *int relops*
| *relop-i32*: $([\$C\ (ConstInt32\ c1),\ \$C\ (ConstInt32\ c2),\ \$(Relop\text{-}i\ T\text{-}i32\ iop)]) \rightsquigarrow ([\$C\ (ConstInt32\ (wasm\text{-}bool\ (app\text{-}relop\text{-}i\ iop\ c1\ c2))])$
| *relop-i64*: $([\$C\ (ConstInt64\ c1),\ \$C\ (ConstInt64\ c2),\ \$(Relop\text{-}i\ T\text{-}i64\ iop)]) \rightsquigarrow ([\$C\ (ConstInt32\ (wasm\text{-}bool\ (app\text{-}relop\text{-}i\ iop\ c1\ c2))])$
— *float relops*
| *relop-f32*: $([\$C\ (ConstFloat32\ c1),\ \$C\ (ConstFloat32\ c2),\ \$(Relop\text{-}f\ T\text{-}f32\ fop)]) \rightsquigarrow ([\$C\ (ConstInt32\ (wasm\text{-}bool\ (app\text{-}relop\text{-}f\ fop\ c1\ c2))])$
| *relop-f64*: $([\$C\ (ConstFloat64\ c1),\ \$C\ (ConstFloat64\ c2),\ \$(Relop\text{-}f\ T\text{-}f64\ fop)]) \rightsquigarrow ([\$C\ (ConstInt32\ (wasm\text{-}bool\ (app\text{-}relop\text{-}f\ fop\ c1\ c2))])$
— *convert*
| *convert-Some*: $[[types\text{-}agree\ t1\ v;\ cut\ t2\ sx\ v = (Some\ v')]] \implies ([\$C\ v),\ \$(Cvtop\ t2\ Convert\ t1\ sx)]) \rightsquigarrow ([\$C\ v'])$
| *convert-None*: $[[types\text{-}agree\ t1\ v;\ cut\ t2\ sx\ v = None]] \implies ([\$C\ v),\ \$(Cvtop\ t2\ Convert\ t1\ sx)]) \rightsquigarrow ([Trap])$
— *reinterpret*
| *reinterpret:types-agree*: $t1\ v \implies ([\$C\ v),\ \$(Cvtop\ t2\ Reinterpret\ t1\ None)]) \rightsquigarrow ([\$C\ (wasm\text{-}deserialise\ (bits\ v)\ t2)])$
— *unreachable*
| *unreachable*: $([\$ Unreachable]) \rightsquigarrow ([Trap])$
— *nop*
| *nop*: $([\$ Nop]) \rightsquigarrow ([\])$
— *drop*
| *drop*: $([\$ C\ v),\ (\$ Drop)]) \rightsquigarrow ([\])$
— *select*
| *select-false:int-eq*: $n\ 0 \implies ([\$ C\ v1),\ \$C\ v2),\ \$C\ (ConstInt32\ n),\ (\$ Select)]) \rightsquigarrow ([\$ C\ v2)])$
| *select-true:int-ne*: $n\ 0 \implies ([\$ C\ v1),\ \$C\ v2),\ \$C\ (ConstInt32\ n),\ (\$ Select)]) \rightsquigarrow ([\$ C\ v1)])$
— *block*
| *block*: $[[const\text{-}list\ vs;\ length\ vs = n;\ length\ t1s = n;\ length\ t2s = m]] \implies ([vs\ @\ [\$ (Block\ (t1s\ \text{-}>\ t2s)\ es)]) \rightsquigarrow ([Label\ m\ []\ (vs\ @\ (\$* es))])$
— *loop*
| *loop*: $[[const\text{-}list\ vs;\ length\ vs = n;\ length\ t1s = n;\ length\ t2s = m]] \implies ([vs\ @\ [\$ (Loop\ (t1s\ \text{-}>\ t2s)\ es)]) \rightsquigarrow ([Label\ n\ [\$ (Loop\ (t1s\ \text{-}>\ t2s)\ es)]\ (vs\ @\ (\$* es))])$
— *if*
| *if-false:int-eq*: $n\ 0 \implies ([\$ C\ (ConstInt32\ n),\ \$ (If\ tf\ e1s\ e2s)]) \rightsquigarrow ([\$ (Block\ tf\ e2s)])$

| *if-true:int-ne* $n \ 0 \implies \llbracket \$C \ (ConstInt32 \ n), \ $(If \ tf \ e1s \ e2s) \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow \llbracket $(Block \ tf \ e1s) \rrbracket$
— *label*
| *label-const:const-list* $vs \implies \llbracket [Label \ n \ es \ vs] \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow \llbracket vs \rrbracket$
| *label-trap*: $\llbracket [Label \ n \ es \ [Trap]] \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow \llbracket [Trap] \rrbracket$
— *br*
| *br*: $\llbracket const-list \ vs; \ length \ vs = n; \ Lfilled \ i \ lholed \ (vs \ @ \ $(Br \ i)) \ LI \rrbracket \implies \llbracket [Label \ n \ es \ LI] \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow \llbracket vs \ @ \ es \rrbracket$
— *br-if*
| *br-if-false:int-eq* $n \ 0 \implies \llbracket \$C \ (ConstInt32 \ n), \ $(Br-if \ i) \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow \llbracket [] \rrbracket$
| *br-if-true:int-ne* $n \ 0 \implies \llbracket \$C \ (ConstInt32 \ n), \ $(Br-if \ i) \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow \llbracket $(Br \ i) \rrbracket$
— *br-table*
| *br-table*: $\llbracket length \ is > (nat-of-int \ c) \rrbracket \implies \llbracket \$C \ (ConstInt32 \ c), \ $(Br-table \ is \ i) \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow$
 $\llbracket $(Br \ (is!(nat-of-int \ c))) \rrbracket$
| *br-table-length*: $\llbracket length \ is \leq (nat-of-int \ c) \rrbracket \implies \llbracket \$C \ (ConstInt32 \ c), \ $(Br-table \ is \ i) \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow$
 $\llbracket $(Br \ i) \rrbracket$
— *local*
| *local-const*: $\llbracket const-list \ es; \ length \ es = n \rrbracket \implies \llbracket [Local \ n \ i \ vs \ es] \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow \llbracket es \rrbracket$
| *local-trap*: $\llbracket [Local \ n \ i \ vs \ [Trap]] \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow \llbracket [Trap] \rrbracket$
— *return*
| *return*: $\llbracket const-list \ vs; \ length \ vs = n; \ Lfilled \ j \ lholed \ (vs \ @ \ [Return]) \ es \rrbracket \implies$
 $\llbracket [Local \ n \ i \ vs \ es] \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow \llbracket vs \rrbracket$
— *tee-local*
| *tee-local:is-const* $v \implies \llbracket [v, \ $(Tee-local \ i)] \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow \llbracket [v, \ v, \ $(Set-local \ i)] \rrbracket$
| *trap*: $\llbracket es \neq [Trap]; \ Lfilled \ 0 \ lholed \ [Trap] \ es \rrbracket \implies \llbracket es \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow \llbracket [Trap] \rrbracket$

inductive *reduce* :: $[s, v \ list, e \ list, nat, s, v \ list, e \ list] \Rightarrow bool \ (\llbracket -; - \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow' - \llbracket -; - \rrbracket)$
60) **where**

— *lifting basic reduction*
basic: $\llbracket e \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow \llbracket e' \rrbracket \implies \llbracket s; vs; e \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow-i \llbracket s; vs; e' \rrbracket$
— *call*
| *call*: $\llbracket s; vs; [Call \ j] \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow-i \llbracket s; vs; [Callcl \ (sfunc \ s \ i \ j)] \rrbracket$
— *call-indirect*
| *call-indirect-Some*: $\llbracket stab \ s \ i \ (nat-of-int \ c) = Some \ cl; \ stypes \ s \ i \ j = tf; \ cl-type \ cl = tf \rrbracket \implies \llbracket s; vs; [Callcl \ (ConstInt32 \ c), \ $(Call-indirect \ j)] \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow-i \llbracket s; vs; [Callcl \ cl] \rrbracket$
| *call-indirect-None*: $\llbracket (stab \ s \ i \ (nat-of-int \ c) = Some \ cl \wedge \ stypes \ s \ i \ j \neq \ cl-type \ cl) \vee \ stab \ s \ i \ (nat-of-int \ c) = None \rrbracket \implies \llbracket s; vs; [Callcl \ (ConstInt32 \ c), \ $(Call-indirect \ j)] \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow-i \llbracket s; vs; [Trap] \rrbracket$
— *call*
| *callcl-native*: $\llbracket cl = Func-native \ j \ (t1s \ -> \ t2s) \ ts \ es; \ ves = (\$ \$* \ vcs); \ length \ vcs = n; \ length \ ts = k; \ length \ t1s = n; \ length \ t2s = m; \ (n-zeros \ ts = zs) \rrbracket \implies \llbracket s; vs; ves \ @ \ [Callcl \ cl] \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow-i \llbracket s; vs; [Local \ m \ j \ (vcs@zs) \ $(Block \ ([] \ -> \ t2s) \ es)] \rrbracket$
| *callcl-host-Some*: $\llbracket cl = Func-host \ (t1s \ -> \ t2s) \ f; \ ves = (\$ \$* \ vcs); \ length \ vcs = n; \ length \ t1s = n; \ length \ t2s = m; \ host-apply \ s \ (t1s \ -> \ t2s) \ f \ vcs \ hs = Some \ (s', \ vcs') \rrbracket \implies \llbracket s; vs; ves \ @ \ [Callcl \ cl] \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow-i \llbracket s'; vs; (\$ \$* \ vcs') \rrbracket$
| *callcl-host-None*: $\llbracket cl = Func-host \ (t1s \ -> \ t2s) \ f; \ ves = (\$ \$* \ vcs); \ length \ vcs = n; \ length \ t1s = n; \ length \ t2s = m \rrbracket \implies \llbracket s; vs; ves \ @ \ [Callcl \ cl] \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow-i \llbracket s; vs; [Trap] \rrbracket$
— *get-local*
| *get-local*: $\llbracket length \ vi = j \rrbracket \implies \llbracket s; (vi \ @ \ [v] \ @ \ vs); \ $(Get-local \ j) \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow-i \llbracket s; (vi \ @ \ [v] \ @ \ vs) \rrbracket$

$\text{@ } vs;[\$(C v)]$
— *set-local*
| *set-local*: $[\text{length } vi = j] \implies (\!s;vi \text{ @ } [v] \text{ @ } vs;[\$(C v'), \$(Set-local j)]) \rightsquigarrow\text{-}i (\!s;(vi \text{ @ } [v'] \text{ @ } vs);[])$
— *get-global*
| *get-global*: $(\!s;vs;[\$(Get-global j)]) \rightsquigarrow\text{-}i (\!s;vs;[\$ C (sglob-val s i j)])$
— *set-global*
| *set-global*:*supdate-glob* $s i j v = s' \implies (\!s;vs;[\$(C v), \$(Set-global j)]) \rightsquigarrow\text{-}i (\!s';vs;[])$
— *load*
| *load-Some*: $[\text{smem-ind } s i = \text{Some } j; ((mem s)!j) = m; \text{load } m \text{ (nat-of-int } k) \text{ off (t-length } t) = \text{Some } bs] \implies (\!s;vs;[\$C (ConstInt32 k), \$(Load t None a off)]) \rightsquigarrow\text{-}i (\!s;vs;[\$C (wasm-deserialise bs t)])$
| *load-None*: $[\text{smem-ind } s i = \text{Some } j; ((mem s)!j) = m; \text{load } m \text{ (nat-of-int } k) \text{ off (t-length } t) = \text{None}] \implies (\!s;vs;[\$C (ConstInt32 k), \$(Load t None a off)]) \rightsquigarrow\text{-}i (\!s;vs;[Trap])$
— *load packed*
| *load-packed-Some*: $[\text{smem-ind } s i = \text{Some } j; ((mem s)!j) = m; \text{load-packed } sx m \text{ (nat-of-int } k) \text{ off (tp-length } tp) \text{ (t-length } t) = \text{Some } bs] \implies (\!s;vs;[\$C (ConstInt32 k), \$(Load t (Some (tp, sx)) a off)]) \rightsquigarrow\text{-}i (\!s;vs;[\$C (wasm-deserialise bs t)])$
| *load-packed-None*: $[\text{smem-ind } s i = \text{Some } j; ((mem s)!j) = m; \text{load-packed } sx m \text{ (nat-of-int } k) \text{ off (tp-length } tp) \text{ (t-length } t) = \text{None}] \implies (\!s;vs;[\$C (ConstInt32 k), \$(Load t (Some (tp, sx)) a off)]) \rightsquigarrow\text{-}i (\!s;vs;[Trap])$
— *store*
| *store-Some*: $[\text{types-agree } t v; \text{smem-ind } s i = \text{Some } j; ((mem s)!j) = m; \text{store } m \text{ (nat-of-int } k) \text{ off (bits } v) \text{ (t-length } t) = \text{Some } mem] \implies (\!s;vs;[\$C (ConstInt32 k), \$C v, \$(Store t None a off)]) \rightsquigarrow\text{-}i (\!s(\!mem:= ((mem s)[j := mem']));vs;[])$
| *store-None*: $[\text{types-agree } t v; \text{smem-ind } s i = \text{Some } j; ((mem s)!j) = m; \text{store } m \text{ (nat-of-int } k) \text{ off (bits } v) \text{ (t-length } t) = \text{None}] \implies (\!s;vs;[\$C (ConstInt32 k), \$C v, \$(Store t None a off)]) \rightsquigarrow\text{-}i (\!s;vs;[Trap])$
— *store packed*
| *store-packed-Some*: $[\text{types-agree } t v; \text{smem-ind } s i = \text{Some } j; ((mem s)!j) = m; \text{store-packed } m \text{ (nat-of-int } k) \text{ off (bits } v) \text{ (tp-length } tp) = \text{Some } mem] \implies (\!s;vs;[\$C (ConstInt32 k), \$C v, \$(Store t (Some tp) a off)]) \rightsquigarrow\text{-}i (\!s(\!mem:= ((mem s)[j := mem]));vs;[])$
| *store-packed-None*: $[\text{types-agree } t v; \text{smem-ind } s i = \text{Some } j; ((mem s)!j) = m; \text{store-packed } m \text{ (nat-of-int } k) \text{ off (bits } v) \text{ (tp-length } tp) = \text{None}] \implies (\!s;vs;[\$C (ConstInt32 k), \$C v, \$(Store t (Some tp) a off)]) \rightsquigarrow\text{-}i (\!s;vs;[Trap])$
— *current-memory*
| *current-memory*: $[\text{smem-ind } s i = \text{Some } j; ((mem s)!j) = m; \text{mem-size } m = n] \implies (\!s;vs;[\$(Current-memory)]) \rightsquigarrow\text{-}i (\!s;vs;[\$C (ConstInt32 (int-of-nat n))])$
— *grow-memory*
| *grow-memory*: $[\text{smem-ind } s i = \text{Some } j; ((mem s)!j) = m; \text{mem-size } m = n; \text{mem-grow } m \text{ (nat-of-int } c) = \text{mem}] \implies (\!s;vs;[\$C (ConstInt32 c), \$(Grow-memory)]) \rightsquigarrow\text{-}i (\!s(\!mem:= ((mem s)[j := mem]));vs;[\$C (ConstInt32 (int-of-nat n))])$
— *grow-memory fail*
| *grow-memory-fail*: $[\text{smem-ind } s i = \text{Some } j; ((mem s)!j) = m; \text{mem-size } m = n] \implies (\!s;vs;[\$C (ConstInt32 c), \$(Grow-memory)]) \rightsquigarrow\text{-}i (\!s;vs;[\$C (ConstInt32 (int32-minus-one))])$

— *inductive label reduction*
 $| \text{label}::[(\langle s;vs;es \rangle \rightsquigarrow\text{-}i \langle s';vs';es' \rangle); L\text{filled } k \text{ lholed } es \text{ les}; L\text{filled } k \text{ lholed } es' \text{ les}'] \implies$
 $(\langle s;vs;les \rangle \rightsquigarrow\text{-}i \langle s';vs';les' \rangle)$
 — *inductive local reduction*
 $| \text{local}::[(\langle s;vs;es \rangle \rightsquigarrow\text{-}i \langle s';vs';es' \rangle)] \implies (\langle s;v0s;[Local \ n \ i \ vs \ es] \rangle \rightsquigarrow\text{-}j \langle s';v0s;[Local \ n \ i \ vs' \ es'] \rangle)$

end

4 Host Properties

theory *Wasm-Axioms* **imports** *Wasm* **begin**

lemma *mem-grow-size*:

assumes *mem-grow* $m \ n = m'$

shows $(\text{mem-size } m + (64000 * n)) = \text{mem-size } m'$

using *assms Abs-mem-inverse Abs-bytes-inverse*

unfolding *mem-grow-def mem-size-def mem-append-def bytes-replicate-def*

by *auto*

lemma *load-size*:

$(\text{load } m \ n \ \text{off } l = \text{None}) = (\text{mem-size } m < (\text{off} + n + l))$

unfolding *load-def*

by $(\text{cases } n + \text{off} + l \leq \text{mem-size } m)$ *auto*

lemma *load-packed-size*:

$(\text{load-packed } sx \ m \ n \ \text{off } lp \ l = \text{None}) = (\text{mem-size } m < (\text{off} + n + lp))$

using *load-size*

unfolding *load-packed-def*

by $(\text{cases } n + \text{off} + l \leq \text{mem-size } m)$ *auto*

lemma *store-size1*:

$(\text{store } m \ n \ \text{off } v \ l = \text{None}) = (\text{mem-size } m < (\text{off} + n + l))$

unfolding *store-def*

by $(\text{cases } n + \text{off} + l \leq \text{mem-size } m)$ *auto*

lemma *store-size*:

assumes $(\text{store } m \ n \ \text{off } v \ l = \text{Some } m')$

shows $\text{mem-size } m = \text{mem-size } m'$

using *assms Abs-mem-inverse Abs-bytes-inverse*

unfolding *store-def write-bytes-def bytes-takefill-def*

by $(\text{cases } n + \text{off} + l \leq \text{mem-size } m)$ *(auto simp add: mem-size-def)*

lemma *store-packed-size1*:

$(\text{store-packed } m \ n \ \text{off } v \ l = \text{None}) = (\text{mem-size } m < (\text{off} + n + l))$

using *store-size1*

unfolding *store-packed-def*

by *simp*

lemma *store-packed-size*:
assumes (*store-packed* *m n off v l = Some m'*)
shows *mem-size m = mem-size m'*
using *assms store-size*
unfolding *store-packed-def*
by *simp*

axiomatization where

wasm-deserialise-type:typeof (wasm-deserialise bs t) = t

axiomatization where

host-apply-preserve-store: list-all2 types-agree t1s vs \implies host-apply s (t1s -> t2s) f vs hs = Some (s', vs') \implies store-extension s s'
and *host-apply-respect-type: list-all2 types-agree t1s vs \implies host-apply s (t1s -> t2s) f vs hs = Some (s', vs') \implies list-all2 types-agree t2s vs'*
end

5 Auxiliary Type System Properties

theory *Wasm-Properties-Aux* **imports** *Wasm-Axioms* **begin**

lemma *typeof-i32*:
assumes *typeof v = T-i32*
shows $\exists c. v = \text{ConstInt32 } c$
using *assms*
unfolding *typeof-def*
by (*cases v*) *auto*

lemma *typeof-i64*:
assumes *typeof v = T-i64*
shows $\exists c. v = \text{ConstInt64 } c$
using *assms*
unfolding *typeof-def*
by (*cases v*) *auto*

lemma *typeof-f32*:
assumes *typeof v = T-f32*
shows $\exists c. v = \text{ConstFloat32 } c$
using *assms*
unfolding *typeof-def*
by (*cases v*) *auto*

lemma *typeof-f64*:
assumes *typeof v = T-f64*
shows $\exists c. v = \text{ConstFloat64 } c$
using *assms*
unfolding *typeof-def*
by (*cases v*) *auto*

```

lemma exists-v-typeof:  $\exists v v. \text{typeof } v = t$ 
proof (cases t)
  case T-i32
    fix v
    have typeof (ConstInt32 v) = t
      using T-i32
      unfolding typeof-def
      by simp
    thus ?thesis
      using T-i32
      by fastforce
  next
    case T-i64
      fix v
      have typeof (ConstInt64 v) = t
        using T-i64
        unfolding typeof-def
        by simp
      thus ?thesis
        using T-i64
        by fastforce
  next
    case T-f32
      fix v
      have typeof (ConstFloat32 v) = t
        using T-f32
        unfolding typeof-def
        by simp
      thus ?thesis
        using T-f32
        by fastforce
  next
    case T-f64
      fix v
      have typeof (ConstFloat64 v) = t
        using T-f64
        unfolding typeof-def
        by simp
      thus ?thesis
        using T-f64
        by fastforce
qed

lemma lfilled-collapse1:
  assumes Lfilled n lholed (vs@es) LI
    const-list vs
    length vs  $\geq$  l
  shows  $\exists \text{lholed}'. \text{Lfilled } n \text{lholed}' ((\text{drop } (\text{length } vs - l) \text{vs})@es) \text{LI}$ 

```

```

using assms(1)
proof (induction vs@es LI rule: Lfilled.induct)
  case (L0 vs' lholed es')
  obtain vs1 vs2 where vs = vs1@vs2 length vs2 = l
    using assms(3)
    by (metis append-take-drop-id diff-diff-cancel length-drop)
  moreover
  hence const-list (vs'@vs1)
    using L0(1) assms(2)
    unfolding const-list-def
    by simp
  ultimately
  show ?case
    using Lfilled.intros(1)[of vs'@vs1 - es' vs2@es]
    by fastforce
next
  case (LN vs lholed n es' l es'' k lfilledk)
  thus ?case
    using Lfilled.intros(2)
    by fastforce
qed

lemma lfilled-collapse2:
  assumes Lfilled n lholed (es@es') LI
  shows  $\exists$  lholed' vs'. Lfilled n lholed' es LI
  using assms
proof (induction es@es' LI rule: Lfilled.induct)
  case (L0 vs lholed es')
  thus ?case
    using Lfilled.intros(1)
    by fastforce
next
  case (LN vs lholed n es' l es'' k lfilledk)
  thus ?case
    using Lfilled.intros(2)
    by fastforce
qed

lemma lfilled-collapse3:
  assumes Lfilled k lholed [Label n les es] LI
  shows  $\exists$  lholed'. Lfilled (Suc k) lholed' es LI
  using assms
proof (induction [Label n les es] LI rule: Lfilled.induct)
  case (L0 vs lholed es')
  have Lfilled 0 (LBase [] []) es es
    using Lfilled.intros(1)
  unfolding const-list-def
  by (metis append.left-neutral append-Nil2 list-all-simps(2))
  thus ?case

```

```

    using Lfilled.intros(2) L0
    by fastforce
next
case (LN vs lholed n es' l es'' k lfilledk)
thus ?case
    using Lfilled.intros(2)
    by fastforce
qed

lemma unlift-b-e: assumes  $\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash \$*b\text{-es} : \text{tf}$  shows  $\mathcal{C} \vdash b\text{-es} : \text{tf}$ 
using assms proof (induction  $\mathcal{S} \ \mathcal{C} \ (\$*b\text{-es}) \ \text{tf}$  arbitrary: b-es)
  case (1 C b-es tf S)
  then show ?case
    using inj-basic map-injective
    by auto
next
case (2 S C es t1s t2s e t3s)
obtain es' e' where  $es' @ [e'] = b\text{-es}$ 
  using 2(5)
  by (simp add: snoc-eq-iff-butlast)
then show ?case using 2
  using b-e-typing.composition
  by fastforce
next
case (3 S C t1s t2s ts)
then show ?case
  using b-e-typing.weakening
  by blast
qed auto

lemma store-typing-imp-inst-length-eq:
  assumes store-typing s S
  shows  $\text{length} (\text{inst } s) = \text{length} (s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})$ 
  using assms list-all2-lengthD
  unfolding store-typing.simps
  by fastforce

lemma store-typing-imp-func-length-eq:
  assumes store-typing s S
  shows  $\text{length} (\text{funcs } s) = \text{length} (s\text{-funcs } \mathcal{S})$ 
  using assms list-all2-lengthD
  unfolding store-typing.simps
  by fastforce

lemma store-typing-imp-mem-length-eq:
  assumes store-typing s S
  shows  $\text{length} (s.\text{mem } s) = \text{length} (s\text{-mem } \mathcal{S})$ 
  using assms list-all2-lengthD

```

```

unfolding store-typing.simps
by fastforce

lemma store-typing-imp-glob-length-eq:
assumes store-typing s  $\mathcal{S}$ 
shows length (globs s) = length (s-globs  $\mathcal{S}$ )
using assms list-all2-lengthD
unfolding store-typing.simps
by fastforce

lemma store-typing-imp-inst-typing:
assumes store-typing s  $\mathcal{S}$ 
          i < length (inst s)
shows inst-typing  $\mathcal{S}$  ((inst s)!i) ((s-inst  $\mathcal{S}$ )!i)
using assms
unfolding list-all2-conv-all-nth store-typing.simps
by fastforce

lemma stab-typed-some-imp-member:
assumes stab s i c = Some cl
          store-typing s  $\mathcal{S}$ 
          i < length (inst s)
shows Some cl  $\in$  set (concat (s.tab s))
proof –
obtain k' where k-def:inst.tab ((inst s)!i) = Some k'
          length ((s.tab s)!k') > c
          ((s.tab s)!k')!c = Some cl
using stab-unfold assms(1,3)
by fastforce
hence Some cl  $\in$  set ((s.tab s)!k')
using nth-mem
by fastforce
moreover
have inst-typing  $\mathcal{S}$  ((inst s)!i) ((s-inst  $\mathcal{S}$ )!i)
using assms(2,3) store-typing-imp-inst-typing
by blast
hence k' < length (s.tab  $\mathcal{S}$ )
using k-def(1)
unfolding inst-typing.simps stypes-def
by auto
hence k' < length (s.tab s)
using assms(2) list-all2-lengthD
unfolding store-typing.simps
by fastforce
ultimately
show ?thesis
using k-def
by auto
qed

```

lemma *stab-typed-some-imp-cl-typed*:
assumes *stab s i c = Some cl*
store-typing s S
i < length (inst s)
shows $\exists tf. cl\text{-typing } S \text{ cl } tf$
proof –
have *Some cl ∈ set (concat (s.tab s))*
using *assms stab-typed-some-imp-member*
by *auto*
moreover
have *list-all (tab-agree S) (concat (s.tab s))*
using *assms(2)*
unfolding *store-typing.simps*
by *auto*
ultimately
show *?thesis*
unfolding *in-set-conv-nth list-all-length tab-agree-def*
by *fastforce*
qed

lemma *b-e-type-empty1[dest]*: **assumes** $\mathcal{C} \vdash [] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$ **shows** $ts = ts'$
using *assms*
by (*induction []:(b-e list) (ts → ts') arbitrary: ts ts' rule: b-e-typing.induct, simp-all*)

lemma *b-e-type-empty*: $(\mathcal{C} \vdash [] : (ts \rightarrow ts')) = (ts = ts')$
proof (*safe*)
assume $\mathcal{C} \vdash [] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
thus $ts = ts'$
by *blast*
next
assume $ts = ts'$
thus $\mathcal{C} \vdash [] : (ts' \rightarrow ts')$
using *b-e-typing.empty b-e-typing.weakening*
by *fastforce*
qed

lemma *b-e-type-value*:
assumes $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
e = C v
shows $ts' = ts @ [typeof v]$
using *assms*
by (*induction [e] (ts → ts') arbitrary: ts ts' rule: b-e-typing.induct, auto*)

lemma *b-e-type-load*:
assumes $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
e = Load t tp-sx a off
shows $\exists ts'' \text{ sec } n. ts = ts''@[T-i32] \wedge ts' = ts''@[t] \wedge (\text{memory } \mathcal{C}) = \text{Some } n$

$load-store-t-bounds\ a\ (option-projl\ tp-sx)\ t$
using *assms*
by (*induction* [e] ($ts \rightarrow ts'$) *arbitrary: ts ts' rule: b-e-typing.induct, auto*)

lemma *b-e-type-store:*

assumes $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $e = Store\ t\ tp\ a\ off$
shows $ts = ts'@[T-i32, t]$
 $\exists\ sec\ n.\ (memory\ \mathcal{C}) = Some\ n$
 $load-store-t-bounds\ a\ tp\ t$
using *assms*
by (*induction* [e] ($ts \rightarrow ts'$) *arbitrary: ts ts' rule: b-e-typing.induct, auto*)

lemma *b-e-type-current-memory:*

assumes $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $e = Current-memory$
shows $\exists\ sec\ n.\ ts' = ts\ @\ [T-i32] \wedge (memory\ \mathcal{C}) = Some\ n$
using *assms*
by (*induction* [e] ($ts \rightarrow ts'$) *arbitrary: ts ts' rule: b-e-typing.induct, auto*)

lemma *b-e-type-grow-memory:*

assumes $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $e = Grow-memory$
shows $\exists\ ts''.\ ts = ts''@[T-i32] \wedge ts = ts' \wedge (\exists\ n.\ (memory\ \mathcal{C}) = Some\ n)$
using *assms*
by (*induction* [e] ($ts \rightarrow ts'$) *arbitrary: ts ts' rule: b-e-typing.induct*) *auto*

lemma *b-e-type-nop:*

assumes $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $e = Nop$
shows $ts = ts'$
using *assms*
by (*induction* [e] ($ts \rightarrow ts'$) *arbitrary: ts ts' rule: b-e-typing.induct, auto*)

definition *arity-2-result* :: $b-e \Rightarrow t$ **where**

$arity-2-result\ op2 = (case\ op2\ of$
 $\quad Binop-i\ t\ - \Rightarrow t$
 $\quad | Binop-f\ t\ - \Rightarrow t$
 $\quad | Relop-i\ t\ - \Rightarrow T-i32$
 $\quad | Relop-f\ t\ - \Rightarrow T-i32)$

lemma *b-e-type-binop-relop:*

assumes $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $e = Binop-i\ t\ iop \vee e = Binop-f\ t\ fop \vee e = Relop-i\ t\ irop \vee e = Relop-f$
 $t\ frop$
shows $\exists\ ts''.\ ts = ts''@[t, t] \wedge ts' = ts''@[arity-2-result(e)]$
 $e = Binop-f\ t\ fop \Longrightarrow is-float-t\ t$
 $e = Relop-f\ t\ frop \Longrightarrow is-float-t\ t$
using *assms*

unfolding *arity-2-result-def*
by (*induction* [e] (ts -> ts[^]) *arbitrary: ts ts' rule: b-e-typing.induct, auto*)

lemma *b-e-type-testop-drop-cvt0*:
assumes $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts \rightarrow ts^\wedge)$
 $e = \text{Testop } t \text{ testop} \vee e = \text{Drop} \vee e = \text{Cvtop } t1 \text{ cvtop } t2 \text{ sx}$
shows $ts \neq []$
using *assms*
by (*induction* [e] ts -> ts[^] *arbitrary: ts' rule: b-e-typing.induct, auto*)

definition *arity-1-result* :: *b-e* \Rightarrow *t* **where**
arity-1-result op1 = (*case op1 of*
 $\text{Unop-i } t \rightarrow t$
 $| \text{Unop-f } t \rightarrow t$
 $| \text{Testop } t \rightarrow T\text{-i32}$
 $| \text{Cvtop } t1 \text{ Convert } - \rightarrow t1$
 $| \text{Cvtop } t1 \text{ Reinterpret } - \rightarrow t1$)

lemma *b-e-type-unop-testop*:
assumes $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts \rightarrow ts^\wedge)$
 $e = \text{Unop-i } t \text{ iop} \vee e = \text{Unop-f } t \text{ fop} \vee e = \text{Testop } t \text{ testop}$
shows $\exists ts''. ts = ts''@[t] \wedge ts' = ts''@[arity\text{-1-result } e]$
 $e = \text{Unop-f } t \text{ fop} \implies \text{is-float-t } t$
using *assms int-float-disjoint*
unfolding *arity-1-result-def*
by (*induction* [e] (ts -> ts[^]) *arbitrary: ts ts' rule: b-e-typing.induct*) *fastforce+*

lemma *b-e-type-cvtop*:
assumes $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts \rightarrow ts^\wedge)$
 $e = \text{Cvtop } t1 \text{ cvtop } t \text{ sx}$
shows $\exists ts''. ts = ts''@[t] \wedge ts' = ts''@[arity\text{-1-result } e]$
 $\text{cvtop} = \text{Convert} \implies (t1 \neq t) \wedge (sx = \text{None}) = ((\text{is-float-t } t1 \wedge \text{is-float-t } t)$
 $\vee (\text{is-int-t } t1 \wedge \text{is-int-t } t \wedge (t\text{-length } t1 < t\text{-length } t)))$
 $\text{cvtop} = \text{Reinterpret} \implies (t1 \neq t) \wedge t\text{-length } t1 = t\text{-length } t$
using *assms*
unfolding *arity-1-result-def*
by (*induction* [e] (ts -> ts[^]) *arbitrary: ts ts' rule: b-e-typing.induct, auto*)

lemma *b-e-type-drop*:
assumes $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts \rightarrow ts^\wedge)$
 $e = \text{Drop}$
shows $\exists t. ts = ts'@[t]$
using *assms b-e-type-testop-drop-cvt0*
by (*induction* [e] (ts -> ts[^]) *arbitrary: ts ts' rule: b-e-typing.induct, auto*)

lemma *b-e-type-select*:
assumes $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts \rightarrow ts^\wedge)$
 $e = \text{Select}$
shows $\exists ts'' t. ts = ts''@[t, t, T\text{-i32}] \wedge ts' = ts''@[t]$

using *assms*
by (*induction* [e] (ts -> ts') *arbitrary: ts ts' rule: b-e-typing.induct, auto*)

lemma *b-e-type-call*:
assumes $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $e = \text{Call } i$
shows $i < \text{length}(\text{func-t } \mathcal{C})$
 $\exists ts'' \text{ tf1 tf2. } ts = ts''@tf1 \wedge ts' = ts''@tf2 \wedge (\text{func-t } \mathcal{C})!i = (\text{tf1} \rightarrow \text{tf2})$
using *assms*
by (*induction* [e] (ts -> ts') *arbitrary: ts ts' rule: b-e-typing.induct, auto*)

lemma *b-e-type-call-indirect*:
assumes $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $e = \text{Call-indirect } i$
shows $i < \text{length}(\text{types-t } \mathcal{C})$
 $\exists ts'' \text{ tf1 tf2. } ts = ts''@tf1@[T-i32] \wedge ts' = ts''@tf2 \wedge (\text{types-t } \mathcal{C})!i = (\text{tf1} \rightarrow \text{tf2})$
using *assms*
by (*induction* [e] (ts -> ts') *arbitrary: ts ts' rule: b-e-typing.induct, auto*)

lemma *b-e-type-get-local*:
assumes $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $e = \text{Get-local } i$
shows $\exists t. ts' = ts@[t] \wedge (\text{local } \mathcal{C})!i = t \wedge i < \text{length}(\text{local } \mathcal{C})$
using *assms*
by (*induction* [e] (ts -> ts') *arbitrary: ts ts' rule: b-e-typing.induct, auto*)

lemma *b-e-type-set-local*:
assumes $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $e = \text{Set-local } i$
shows $\exists t. ts = ts'@[t] \wedge (\text{local } \mathcal{C})!i = t \wedge i < \text{length}(\text{local } \mathcal{C})$
using *assms*
by (*induction* [e] (ts -> ts') *arbitrary: ts ts' rule: b-e-typing.induct, auto*)

lemma *b-e-type-tee-local*:
assumes $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $e = \text{Tee-local } i$
shows $\exists ts'' t. ts = ts''@[t] \wedge ts' = ts''@[t] \wedge (\text{local } \mathcal{C})!i = t \wedge i < \text{length}(\text{local } \mathcal{C})$
using *assms*
by (*induction* [e] (ts -> ts') *arbitrary: ts ts' rule: b-e-typing.induct, auto*)

lemma *b-e-type-get-global*:
assumes $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $e = \text{Get-global } i$
shows $\exists t. ts' = ts@[t] \wedge \text{tg-t}((\text{global } \mathcal{C})!i) = t \wedge i < \text{length}(\text{global } \mathcal{C})$
using *assms*
by (*induction* [e] (ts -> ts') *arbitrary: ts ts' rule: b-e-typing.induct, auto*)

lemma *b-e-type-set-global*:

assumes $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $e = \text{Set-global } i$
shows $\exists t. ts = ts'@[t] \wedge (\text{global } \mathcal{C})!i = (\text{tg-mut} = T\text{-mut}, \text{tg-t} = t) \wedge i < \text{length}(\text{global } \mathcal{C})$
using *assms is-mut-def*
by (*induction [e] (ts -> ts')* arbitrary: *ts ts' rule: b-e-typing.induct*) *auto*

lemma *b-e-type-block*:
assumes $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $e = \text{Block } tf \ es$
shows $\exists ts'' \ tfn \ tfm. tf = (tfn \rightarrow tfm) \wedge (ts = ts''@tfn) \wedge (ts' = ts''@tfm) \wedge (\mathcal{C}(\text{label} := [tfm] @ \text{label } \mathcal{C}) \vdash es : tf)$
using *assms*
by (*induction [e] (ts -> ts')* arbitrary: *ts ts' rule: b-e-typing.induct, auto*)

lemma *b-e-type-loop*:
assumes $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $e = \text{Loop } tf \ es$
shows $\exists ts'' \ tfn \ tfm. tf = (tfn \rightarrow tfm) \wedge (ts = ts''@tfn) \wedge (ts' = ts''@tfm) \wedge (\mathcal{C}(\text{label} := [tfn] @ \text{label } \mathcal{C}) \vdash es : tf)$
using *assms*
by (*induction [e] (ts -> ts')* arbitrary: *ts ts' rule: b-e-typing.induct, auto*)

lemma *b-e-type-if*:
assumes $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $e = \text{If } tf \ es1 \ es2$
shows $\exists ts'' \ tfn \ tfm. tf = (tfn \rightarrow tfm) \wedge (ts = ts''@tfn @ [T-i32]) \wedge (ts' = ts''@tfm) \wedge (\mathcal{C}(\text{label} := [tfm] @ \text{label } \mathcal{C}) \vdash es1 : tf) \wedge (\mathcal{C}(\text{label} := [tfm] @ \text{label } \mathcal{C}) \vdash es2 : tf)$
using *assms*
by (*induction [e] (ts -> ts')* arbitrary: *ts ts' rule: b-e-typing.induct, auto*)

lemma *b-e-type-br*:
assumes $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $e = \text{Br } i$
shows $i < \text{length}(\text{label } \mathcal{C})$
 $\exists ts\text{-}c \ ts''. ts = ts\text{-}c @ ts'' \wedge (\text{label } \mathcal{C})!i = ts''$
using *assms*
by (*induction [e] (ts -> ts')* arbitrary: *ts ts' rule: b-e-typing.induct, auto*)

lemma *b-e-type-br-if*:
assumes $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $e = \text{Br-if } i$
shows $i < \text{length}(\text{label } \mathcal{C})$
 $\exists ts\text{-}c \ ts''. ts = ts\text{-}c @ ts'' @ [T-i32] \wedge ts' = ts\text{-}c @ ts'' \wedge (\text{label } \mathcal{C})!i = ts''$
using *assms*
by (*induction [e] (ts -> ts')* arbitrary: *ts ts' rule: b-e-typing.induct, auto*)

lemma *b-e-type-br-table*:
assumes $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $e = \text{Br-table } is \ i$
shows $\exists ts\text{-}c \ ts''. \text{list-all } (\lambda i. i < \text{length}(\text{label } \mathcal{C}) \wedge (\text{label } \mathcal{C})!i = ts'') (is@[i]) \wedge$
 $ts = ts\text{-}c \ @ \ ts''@[T\text{-}i32]$
using *assms*
by (*induction* $[e] (ts \rightarrow ts')$ *arbitrary: ts ts' rule: b-e-typing.induct, fastforce+*)

lemma *b-e-type-return*:
assumes $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $e = \text{Return}$
shows $\exists ts\text{-}c \ ts''. ts = ts\text{-}c \ @ \ ts'' \wedge (\text{return } \mathcal{C}) = \text{Some } ts''$
using *assms*
by (*induction* $[e] (ts \rightarrow ts')$ *arbitrary: ts ts' rule: b-e-typing.induct, auto*)

lemma *b-e-type-comp*:
assumes $\mathcal{C} \vdash es@[e] : (t1s \rightarrow t4s)$
shows $\exists ts'. (\mathcal{C} \vdash es : (t1s \rightarrow ts')) \wedge (\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts' \rightarrow t4s))$
proof (*cases es rule: List.rev-cases*)
case *Nil*
then show *?thesis*
using *assms b-e-typing.empty b-e-typing.weakening*
by *fastforce*
next
case (*snoc es' e'*)
show *?thesis using assms snoc b-e-typing.weakening*
by (*induction es@[e] (t1s \rightarrow t4s) arbitrary: t1s t4s, fastforce+*)
qed

lemma *b-e-type-comp2-unlift*:
assumes $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$e1, \$e2] : (t1s \rightarrow t2s)$
shows $\exists ts'. (\mathcal{C} \vdash [e1] : (t1s \rightarrow ts')) \wedge (\mathcal{C} \vdash [e2] : (ts' \rightarrow t2s))$
using *assms*
 $\text{unlift-b-e}[of \ \mathcal{S} \ \mathcal{C} \ ([e1, e2]) \ (t1s \rightarrow t2s)]$
 $\text{b-e-type-comp}[of \ \mathcal{C} \ [e1] \ e2 \ t1s \ t2s]$
by *simp*

lemma *b-e-type-comp2-relift*:
assumes $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e1] : (t1s \rightarrow ts') \ \mathcal{C} \vdash [e2] : (ts' \rightarrow t2s)$
shows $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$e1, \$e2] : (ts@t1s \rightarrow ts@t2s)$
using *assms*
 $\text{b-e-typing.composition}[OF \ \text{assms}]$
 $\text{e-typing-s-typing.intros}(1)[of \ \mathcal{C} \ [e1, e2] \ (t1s \rightarrow t2s)]$
 $\text{e-typing-s-typing.intros}(3)[of \ \mathcal{S} \ \mathcal{C} \ ([\$e1, \$e2]) \ t1s \ t2s \ ts]$
by *simp*

lemma *b-e-type-value2*:

assumes $\mathcal{C} \vdash [C\ v1, C\ v2] : (t1s \rightarrow t2s)$
shows $t2s = t1s @ [typeof\ v1, typeof\ v2]$
proof –
obtain ts' **where** $ts'\text{-def}:\mathcal{C} \vdash [C\ v1] : (t1s \rightarrow ts')$
 $\mathcal{C} \vdash [C\ v2] : (ts' \rightarrow t2s)$
using *b-e-type-comp assms*
by (*metis append-butlast-last-id butlast.simps(2) last-ConsL last-ConsR list.distinct(1)*)
have $ts' = t1s @ [typeof\ v1]$
using *b-e-type-value ts'-def(1)*
by *fastforce*
thus *?thesis*
using *b-e-type-value ts'-def(2)*
by *fastforce*
qed

lemma *e-type-comp*:
assumes $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash es@[e] : (t1s \rightarrow t3s)$
shows $\exists ts'. (\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash es : (t1s \rightarrow ts')) \wedge (\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts' \rightarrow t3s))$
proof (*cases es rule: List.rev-cases*)
case *Nil*
thus *?thesis*
using *assms e-typing-s-typing.intros(1)*
by (*metis append-Nil b-e-type-empty list.simps(8)*)
next
case (*snoc es' e'*)
show *?thesis using assms snoc*
proof (*induction es@[e] (t1s \rightarrow t3s) arbitrary: t1s t3s*)
case (*1 C b-es S*)
obtain $es''\ e''$ **where** $b\text{-e-defs}:(\$* (es'' @ [e''])) = (\$* b\text{-es})$
using *1(1,2)*
by (*metis Nil-is-map-conv append-is-Nil-conv not-Cons-self2 rev-exhaust*)
hence $(\$*es'') = es (\$e'') = e$
using *1(2) inj-basic map-injective*
by *auto*
moreover
have $\mathcal{C} \vdash (es'' @ [e'']) : (t1s \rightarrow t3s)$ **using** *1(1)*
using *inj-basic map-injective b-e-defs*
by *blast*
then obtain $t2s$ **where** $\mathcal{C} \vdash es'' : (t1s \rightarrow t2s)$ $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e''] : (t2s \rightarrow t3s)$
using *b-e-type-comp*
by *blast*
ultimately
show *?case*
using *e-typing-s-typing.intros(1)*
by *fastforce*
next
case (*3 S C t1s t2s ts*)
thus *?case*

```

    using e-typing-s-typing.intros(3)
    by fastforce
  qed auto
qed

lemma e-type-comp-conc:
  assumes  $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash es : (t1s \rightarrow t2s)$ 
     $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash es' : (t2s \rightarrow t3s)$ 
  shows  $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash es@es' : (t1s \rightarrow t3s)$ 
  using assms(2)
proof (induction es' arbitrary: t3s rule: List.rev-induct)
  case Nil
  hence  $t2s = t3s$ 
    using unlift-b-e[of - - []] b-e-type-empty[of - t2s t3s]
    by fastforce
  then show ?case
    using Nil assms(1) e-typing-s-typing.intros(2)
    by fastforce
next
  case (snoc x xs)
  then obtain  $ts'$  where  $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash xs : (t2s \rightarrow ts')$   $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [x] : (ts' \rightarrow t3s)$ 
    using e-type-comp[of - - xs x]
    by fastforce
  then show ?case
    using snoc(1)[of  $ts'$ ] e-typing-s-typing.intros(2)[of - - es @ xs t1s  $ts'$  x t3s]
    by simp
qed

```

```

lemma b-e-type-comp-conc:
  assumes  $\mathcal{C} \vdash es : (t1s \rightarrow t2s)$ 
     $\mathcal{C} \vdash es' : (t2s \rightarrow t3s)$ 
  shows  $\mathcal{C} \vdash es@es' : (t1s \rightarrow t3s)$ 
proof -
  fix  $\mathcal{S}$ 
  have  $1:\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash \$*es : (t1s \rightarrow t2s)$ 
    using e-typing-s-typing.intros(1)[OF assms(1)]
    by fastforce
  have  $2:\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash \$*es' : (t2s \rightarrow t3s)$ 
    using e-typing-s-typing.intros(1)[OF assms(2)]
    by fastforce
  show ?thesis
    using e-type-comp-conc[OF 1 2]
    by (simp add: unlift-b-e)
qed

```

```

lemma e-type-comp-conc1:
  assumes  $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash es@es' : (ts \rightarrow ts')$ 
  shows  $\exists ts'' . (\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash es : (ts \rightarrow ts'')) \wedge (\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash es' : (ts'' \rightarrow ts'))$ 

```

```

using assms
proof (induction es' arbitrary: ts ts' rule: List.rev-induct)
  case Nil
  thus ?case
    using b-e-type-empty[of - ts' ts^] e-typing-s-typing.intros(1)
    by fastforce
next
  case (snoc x xs)
  then show ?case
    using e-type-comp[of  $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{C} es @ xs x ts ts^$ ] e-typing-s-typing.intros(2)[of  $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{C} xs$ 
- -  $x ts^$ ]
    by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma e-type-comp-conc2:
  assumes  $\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash es @ es' @ es'' : (t1s \rightarrow t2s)$ 
  shows  $\exists ts' ts'' . (\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash es : (t1s \rightarrow ts^))$ 
     $\wedge (\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash es' : (ts' \rightarrow ts''))$ 
     $\wedge (\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash es'' : (ts'' \rightarrow t2s))$ 

```

```

proof -
  obtain ts' where  $\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash es : (t1s \rightarrow ts')$   $\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash es' @ es'' : (ts' \rightarrow t2s)$ 
    using assms(1) e-type-comp-conc1
    by fastforce
  moreover
  then obtain ts'' where  $\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash es' : (ts' \rightarrow ts'')$   $\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash es'' : (ts'' \rightarrow t2s)$ 
    using e-type-comp-conc1
    by fastforce
  ultimately
  show ?thesis
    by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma b-e-type-value-list:
  assumes  $(\mathcal{C} \vdash es @ [C v] : (ts \rightarrow ts' @ [t]))$ 
  shows  $(\mathcal{C} \vdash es : (ts \rightarrow ts'))$ 
     $(\text{typeof } v = t)$ 

```

```

proof -
  obtain ts'' where  $(\mathcal{C} \vdash es : (ts \rightarrow ts''))$   $(\mathcal{C} \vdash [C v] : (ts'' \rightarrow ts' @ [t]))$ 
    using b-e-type-comp assms
    by blast
  thus  $(\mathcal{C} \vdash es : (ts \rightarrow ts'))$   $(\text{typeof } v = t)$ 
    using b-e-type-value[of  $\mathcal{C} C v ts'' ts' @ [t]$ ]
    by auto
qed

```

```

lemma e-type-label:
  assumes  $\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash [Label n es0 es] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$ 
  shows  $\exists t1s t2s . (ts' = (ts @ t2s))$ 
     $\wedge \text{length } t1s = n$ 

```

```

       $\wedge (\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash es0 : (t1s \rightarrow t2s))$ 
       $\wedge (\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}(\text{label} := [t1s] @ (\text{label } \mathcal{C})) \vdash es : ([ ] \rightarrow t2s))$ 
using assms
proof (induction  $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{C} [\text{Label } n \text{ es0 } es] (ts \rightarrow ts')$  arbitrary: ts ts')
  case (1  $\mathcal{C} \text{ b-es } \mathcal{S}$ )
  then show ?case
    by (simp add: map-eq-Cons-conv)
next
  case (2  $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{C} es \ t1s \ t2s \ e \ t3s$ )
  then show ?case
    by (metis append-self-conv2 b-e-type-empty last-snoc list.simps(8) unlift-b-e)
next
  case (3  $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{C} \ t1s \ t2s \ ts$ )
  then show ?case
    by simp
next
  case (7  $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{C} \ t2s$ )
  then show ?case
    by fastforce
qed

lemma e-type-callcl-native:
  assumes  $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Callcl } cl] : (t1s' \rightarrow t2s')$ 
     $cl = \text{Func-native } i \ \text{tf} \ ts \ es$ 
  shows  $\exists t1s \ t2s \ ts\text{-c}. (t1s' = ts\text{-c} @ t1s)$ 
     $\wedge (t2s' = ts\text{-c} @ t2s)$ 
     $\wedge \text{tf} = (t1s \rightarrow t2s)$ 
     $\wedge i < \text{length } (s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})$ 
     $\wedge (((s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})!i)(\text{local} := (\text{local } ((s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})!i)) @ t1s @ ts, \text{label}$ 
  :=  $([t2s] @ (\text{label } ((s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})!i))), \text{return} := \text{Some } t2s) \vdash es : ([ ] \rightarrow t2s))$ 
  using assms
proof (induction  $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{C} [\text{Callcl } cl] (t1s' \rightarrow t2s')$  arbitrary: t1s' t2s')
  case (1  $\mathcal{C} \text{ b-es } \mathcal{S}$ )
  thus ?case
    by auto
next
  case (2  $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{C} es \ t1s \ t2s \ e \ t3s$ )
  have  $\mathcal{C} \vdash [ ] : (t1s \rightarrow t2s)$ 
  using 2(1,5) unlift-b-e
  by (metis Nil-is-map-conv append-Nil butlast-snoc)
  thus ?case
  using 2(4,5,6)
  by fastforce
next
  case (3  $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{C} \ t1s \ t2s \ ts$ )
  thus ?case
  by fastforce
next
  case (6  $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{C}$ )

```

```

thus ?case
  unfolding cl-typing.simps
  by fastforce
qed

lemma e-type-callcl-host:
  assumes  $\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Callcl } cl] : (t1s' \rightarrow t2s')$ 
     $cl = \text{Func-host } tf$ 
  shows  $\exists t1s \ t2s \ ts.c. (t1s' = ts.c @ t1s)$ 
     $\wedge (t2s' = ts.c @ t2s)$ 
     $\wedge tf = (t1s \rightarrow t2s)$ 

  using assms
proof (induction  $\mathcal{S} \ \mathcal{C} \ [\text{Callcl } cl] \ (t1s' \rightarrow t2s')$  arbitrary: t1s' t2s')
  case (1 C b-es S)
  thus ?case
    by auto
next
  case (2 S C es t1s t2s e t3s)
  have  $\mathcal{C} \vdash [] : (t1s \rightarrow t2s)$ 
    using 2(1,5) unlift-b-e
    by (metis Nil-is-map-conv append-Nil butlast-snoc)
  thus ?case
    using 2(4,5,6)
    by fastforce
next
  case (3 S C t1s t2s ts)
  thus ?case
    by fastforce
next
  case (6 S C)
  thus ?case
    unfolding cl-typing.simps
    by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma e-type-callcl:
  assumes  $\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Callcl } cl] : (t1s' \rightarrow t2s')$ 
  shows  $\exists t1s \ t2s \ ts.c. (t1s' = ts.c @ t1s)$ 
     $\wedge (t2s' = ts.c @ t2s)$ 
     $\wedge \text{cl-type } cl = (t1s \rightarrow t2s)$ 

proof (cases cl)
  case (Func-native x11 x12 x13 x14)
  thus ?thesis
    using e-type-callcl-native[OF assms]
    unfolding cl-type-def
    by (cases x12) fastforce
next
  case (Func-host x21 x22)
  thus ?thesis

```


using *e-type-callcl-host*[*OF assms*]
unfolding *cl-type-def*
by *fastforce*
qed

lemma *s-type-unfold*:

assumes $\mathcal{S} \cdot rs \Vdash i \text{ vs}; es : ts$
shows $i < \text{length } (s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})$
 $(rs = \text{Some } ts) \vee rs = \text{None}$
 $(\mathcal{S} \cdot ((s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})!i) \text{local} := (\text{local } ((s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})!i)) @ (\text{map typeof } vs), \text{return} :=$
 $rs) \vdash es : ([\] \rightarrow ts)$
using *assms*
by (*induction vs es ts, auto*)

lemma *e-type-local*:

assumes $\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Local } n \ i \ \text{vs } es] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
shows $\exists tls. i < \text{length } (s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})$
 $\wedge \text{length } tls = n$
 $\wedge (\mathcal{S} \cdot ((s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})!i) \text{local} := (\text{local } ((s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})!i)) @ (\text{map typeof } vs),$
 $\text{return} := \text{Some } tls) \vdash es : ([\] \rightarrow tls)$
 $\wedge ts' = ts @ tls$

using *assms*

proof (*induction* $\mathcal{S} \ \mathcal{C} \ [\text{Local } n \ i \ \text{vs } es] \ (ts \rightarrow ts') \ \text{arbitrary: } ts \ ts'$)

case ($2 \ \mathcal{S} \ \mathcal{C} \ es' \ t1s \ t2s \ e \ t3s$)

have $t1s = t2s$

using $2 \ \text{unlift-b-e}$

by *force*

thus *?case*

using 2

by *simp*

qed (*auto simp add: unlift-b-e s-typing.simps*)

lemma *e-type-local-shallow*:

assumes $\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Local } n \ i \ \text{vs } es] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
shows $\exists tls. \text{length } tls = n \wedge ts' = ts @ tls \wedge (\mathcal{S} \cdot (\text{Some } tls) \Vdash i \ \text{vs}; es : tls)$
using *assms*

proof (*induction* $\mathcal{S} \ \mathcal{C} \ [\text{Local } n \ i \ \text{vs } es] \ (ts \rightarrow ts') \ \text{arbitrary: } ts \ ts'$)

case ($1 \ \mathcal{C} \ b\text{-es } \mathcal{S}$)

thus *?case*

by (*metis e.distinct(7) map-eq-Cons-D*)

next

case ($2 \ \mathcal{S} \ \mathcal{C} \ es \ t1s \ t2s \ e \ t3s$)

thus *?case*

by *simp (metis append-Nil append-eq-append-conv e-type-comp-conc e-type-local)*

qed *simp-all*

lemma *e-type-const-unwrap*:

assumes *is-const e*

```

shows  $\exists v. e = \$C v$ 
using assms
proof (cases e)
  case (Basic x1)
  then show ?thesis
    using assms
  proof (cases x1)
    case (EConst x23)
    thus ?thesis
      using Basic e-typing-s-typing.intros(1,3)
      by fastforce
    qed (simp-all add: is-const-def)
qed (simp-all add: is-const-def)

lemma is-const-list1:
  assumes ves = map (Basic  $\circ$  EConst) vs
  shows const-list ves
  using assms
proof (induction vs arbitrary: ves)
  case Nil
  then show ?case
    unfolding const-list-def
    by simp
next
  case (Cons a vs)
  then obtain ves' where ves' = map (Basic  $\circ$  EConst) vs
    by blast
  moreover
  have is-const ((Basic  $\circ$  EConst) a)
    unfolding is-const-def
    by simp
  ultimately
  show ?case
    using Cons
    unfolding const-list-def

    by auto
qed

lemma is-const-list:
  assumes ves = $$* vs
  shows const-list ves
  using assms is-const-list1
  unfolding comp-def
  by auto

lemma const-list-cons-last:
  assumes const-list (es@[e])
  shows const-list es

```

```

      is-const e
    using assms list-all-append[of is-const es [e]]
    unfolding const-list-def
    by auto

lemma e-type-const1:
  assumes is-const e
  shows  $\exists t. (\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts \rightarrow ts@[t]))$ 
  using assms
  proof (cases e)
    case (Basic x1)
    then show ?thesis
      using assms
    proof (cases x1)
      case (EConst x23)
      hence  $\mathcal{C} \vdash [x1] : ([\ ] \rightarrow [typeof\ x23])$ 
      by (simp add: b-e-typing.intros(1))
      thus ?thesis
        using Basic e-typing-s-typing.intros(1,3)
        by (metis append-Nil2 to-e-list-1)
    qed (simp-all add: is-const-def)
  qed (simp-all add: is-const-def)

lemma e-type-const:
  assumes is-const e
       $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$ 
  shows  $\exists t. (ts' = ts@[t]) \wedge (\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}' \vdash [e] : ([\ ] \rightarrow [t]))$ 
  using assms
  proof (cases e)
    case (Basic x1)
    then show ?thesis
      using assms
    proof (cases x1)
      case (EConst x23)
      then have  $ts' = ts @ [typeof\ x23]$ 
      by (metis (no-types) Basic assms(2) b-e-type-value list.simps(8,9) unlift-b-e)
      moreover
      have  $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}' \vdash [e] : ([\ ] \rightarrow [typeof\ x23])$ 
      using Basic EConst b-e-typing.intros(1) e-typing-s-typing.intros(1)
      by fastforce
      ultimately
      show ?thesis
      by simp
    qed (simp-all add: is-const-def)
  qed (simp-all add: is-const-def)

lemma const-typeof:
  assumes  $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$C\ v] : ([\ ] \rightarrow [t])$ 
  shows  $typeof\ v = t$ 

```

```

using assms
proof -
  have  $\mathcal{C} \vdash [C v] : ([\ ] \rightarrow [t])$ 
    using unlift-b-e assms
    by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
    by (induction  $[C v] ([\ ] \rightarrow [t])$  rule: b-e-typing.induct, auto)
qed

lemma e-type-const-list:
  assumes const-list vs
     $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash vs : (ts \rightarrow ts')$ 
  shows  $\exists tvs. ts' = ts @ tvs \wedge \text{length } vs = \text{length } tvs \wedge (\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}' \vdash vs : ([\ ] \rightarrow tvs))$ 
  using assms
proof (induction vs arbitrary: ts ts' rule: List.rev-induct)
  case Nil
  have  $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}' \vdash [\ ] : ([\ ] \rightarrow [\ ])$ 
    using b-e-type-empty[of C' [] [] e-typing-s-typing.intros(1)]
    by fastforce
  thus ?case
    using Nil
    by (metis append-Nil2 b-e-type-empty list.map(1) list.size(3) unlift-b-e)
next
  case (snoc x xs)
  hence v-lists:list-all is-const xs is-const x
  unfolding const-list-def
  by simp-all
  obtain ts'' where ts''-def:  $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash xs : (ts \rightarrow ts'')$   $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [x] : (ts'' \rightarrow ts')$ 
    using snoc(3) e-type-comp
    by fastforce
  then obtain ts-b where ts-b-def:  $ts'' = ts @ ts-b$   $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ts-b$   $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}' \vdash$ 
 $xs : ([\ ] \rightarrow ts-b)$ 
    using snoc(1) v-lists(1)
    unfolding const-list-def
    by fastforce
  then obtain t where t-def:  $ts' = ts @ ts-b @ [t]$   $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}' \vdash [x] : ([\ ] \rightarrow [t])$ 
    using e-type-const v-lists(2) ts''-def
    by fastforce
  moreover
  then have  $\text{length } (ts-b@[t]) = \text{length } (xs@[x])$ 
    using ts-b-def(2)
    by simp
  moreover
  have  $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}' \vdash (xs@[x]) : ([\ ] \rightarrow ts-b@[t])$ 
    using ts-b-def(3) t-def e-typing-s-typing.intros(2,3)
    by fastforce
  ultimately
  show ?case
    by simp

```

qed

lemma *e-type-const-list-snoc*:

assumes *const-list vs*

$\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash vs : (\ [] \rightarrow ts@[t])$

shows $\exists vs1\ v2. (\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash vs1 : (\ [] \rightarrow ts))$
 $\wedge (\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [v2] : (ts \rightarrow ts@[t]))$
 $\wedge (vs = vs1@[v2])$
 $\wedge \text{const-list } vs1$
 $\wedge \text{is-const } v2$

using *assms*

proof –

obtain *vs' v* **where** *vs-def:vs = vs'@[v]*

using *e-type-const-list[OF assms(1,2)]*

by (*metis append-Nil append-eq-append-conv list.size(3) snoc-eq-iff-butlast*)

hence *consts-def:const-list vs' is-const v*

using *assms(1)*

unfolding *const-list-def*

by *auto*

obtain *ts'* **where** *ts'-def: $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash vs' : (\ [] \rightarrow ts')$* $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [v] : (ts' \rightarrow ts@[t])$

using *vs-def assms(2) e-type-comp[of $\mathcal{S}\ \mathcal{C}\ vs'\ v\ []\ ts@[t]$]*

by *fastforce*

obtain *c* **where** $v = \$C\ c$

using *e-type-const-unwrap consts-def(2)*

by *fastforce*

hence $ts' = ts$

using *ts'-def(2) unlift-b-e[of $\mathcal{S}\ \mathcal{C}\ [C\ c]$ b-e-type-value*

by *fastforce*

thus *?thesis* **using** *ts'-def vs-def consts-def*

by *simp*

qed

lemma *e-type-const-list-cons*:

assumes *const-list vs*

$\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash vs : (\ [] \rightarrow (ts1@ts2))$

shows $\exists vs1\ vs2. (\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash vs1 : (\ [] \rightarrow ts1))$
 $\wedge (\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash vs2 : (ts1 \rightarrow (ts1@ts2)))$
 $\wedge vs = vs1@vs2$
 $\wedge \text{const-list } vs1$
 $\wedge \text{const-list } vs2$

using *assms*

proof (*induction ts1@ts2 arbitrary: vs ts1 ts2 rule: List.rev-induct*)

case *Nil*

thus *?case*

using *e-type-const-list*

by *fastforce*

next

case (*snoc t ts*)

note *snoc-outer = snoc*

```

show ?case
proof (cases ts2 rule: List.rev-cases)
  case Nil
  have  $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [] : (ts1 \rightarrow ts1 @ [])$ 
    using b-e-typing.empty b-e-typing.weakening e-typing-s-typing.intros(1)
    by fastforce
  then show ?thesis
    using snoc(3,4) Nil
    unfolding const-list-def
    by auto
next
  case (snoc ts2' a)
  obtain vs1 v2 where vs1-def:( $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash vs1 : ([] \rightarrow ts1 @ ts2')$ )
    ( $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [v2] : (ts1 @ ts2' \rightarrow ts1 @ ts2' @[t])$ )
    ( $vs = vs1@[v2]$ )
    const-list vs1
    is-const v2
     $ts = ts1 @ ts2'$ 
  using e-type-const-list-snoc[OF snoc-outer(3), of  $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{C} ts1@ts2' t$ ]
    snoc-outer(2,4) snoc
  by fastforce
  show ?thesis
  using snoc-outer(1)[OF vs1-def(6,4,1)] snoc-outer(2) vs1-def(3,5)
    e-typing-s-typing.intros(2)[OF - vs1-def(2), of - ts1]
    snoc
  unfolding const-list-def
  by fastforce
  qed
qed

lemma e-type-const-conv-vs:
  assumes const-list ves
  shows  $\exists vs. ves = \$\$* vs$ 
  using assms
proof (induction ves)
  case Nil
  thus ?case
    by simp
next
  case (Cons a ves)
  thus ?case
  using e-type-const-unwrap
  unfolding const-list-def
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) list.pred-inject(2) list.simps(9))
qed

lemma types-exist-lfilled:
  assumes Lfilled k lholed es lfilled
     $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash \text{lfilled} : (ts \rightarrow ts')$ 

```

shows $\exists t1s\ t2s\ C'\ \text{arb-label. } (\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}(\text{label} := \text{arb-label}@(\text{label } C))) \vdash es : (t1s \rightarrow t2s)$
using *assms*
proof (*induction arbitrary: C ts ts' rule: Lfilled.induct*)
case (*L0 vs lholed es' es*)
hence $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}(\text{label} := \text{label } C) \vdash vs @ es @ es' : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
by *simp*
thus *?case*
using *e-type-comp-conc2*
by (*metis append-Nil*)
next
case (*LN vs lholed n es' l es'' k es lfilledk*)
obtain $ts''\ ts''' \text{ where } \mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Label } n\ es'\ lfilledk] : (ts'' \rightarrow ts''')$
using *e-type-comp-conc2[OF LN(5)]*
by *fastforce*
then obtain $t1s\ t2s\ ts \text{ where } test:\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}(\text{label} := [ts] @ (\text{label } C)) \vdash lfilledk : (t1s \rightarrow t2s)$
using *e-type-label*
by *metis*
show *?case*
using *LN(4)[OF test(1)]*
by *simp (metis append.assoc append-Cons append-Nil)*
qed

lemma *types-exist-lfilled-weak:*
assumes *Lfilled k lholed es lfilled*
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash lfilled : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
shows $\exists t1s\ t2s\ C'\ \text{arb-label arb-return. } (\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}(\text{label} := \text{arb-label}, \text{return} := \text{arb-return})) \vdash es : (t1s \rightarrow t2s)$
proof –
have $\exists t1s\ t2s\ C'\ \text{arb-label. } (\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}(\text{label} := \text{arb-label}, \text{return} := (\text{return } C))) \vdash es : (t1s \rightarrow t2s)$
using *types-exist-lfilled[OF assms]*
by *fastforce*
thus *?thesis*
by *fastforce*
qed

lemma *store-typing-imp-func-agree:*
assumes *store-typing s S*
 $i < \text{length } (s\text{-inst } S)$
 $j < \text{length } (\text{func-t } ((s\text{-inst } S)!i))$
shows $(\text{sfunc-ind } s\ i\ j) < \text{length } (s\text{-funcs } S)$
 $\text{cl-typing } S\ (\text{sfunc } s\ i\ j)\ ((s\text{-funcs } S)!(\text{sfunc-ind } s\ i\ j))$
 $((s\text{-funcs } S)!(\text{sfunc-ind } s\ i\ j)) = (\text{func-t } ((s\text{-inst } S)!i))!j$
proof –
have *funcs-agree:list-all2 (cl-typing S) (funcs s) (s-funcs S)*
using *assms(1)*
unfolding *store-typing.simps*

by *auto*
have *list-all2* (*funci-agree* (*s-funcs* \mathcal{S})) (*inst.funcs* ((*inst s*)!*i*)) (*func-t* ((*s-inst* \mathcal{S})!*i*))
 using *assms*(1,2) *store-typing-imp-inst-length-eq* *store-typing-imp-inst-typing*
 by (*fastforce simp add: inst-typing.simps*)
hence *funci-agree* (*s-funcs* \mathcal{S}) ((*inst.funcs* ((*inst s*)!*i*))!*j*) ((*func-t* ((*s-inst* \mathcal{S})!*i*))!*j*)
 using *assms*(3) *list-all2-nthD2*
 by *blast*
thus (*sfunc-ind s i j*) < *length* (*s-funcs* \mathcal{S})
 ((*s-funcs* \mathcal{S})!*sfunc-ind s i j*) = (*func-t* ((*s-inst* \mathcal{S})!*i*))!*j*
unfolding *funci-agree-def sfunc-ind-def*
 by *auto*
thus *cl-typing* \mathcal{S} (*sfunc s i j*) ((*s-funcs* \mathcal{S})!*sfunc-ind s i j*)
 using *funcs-agree list-all2-nthD2*
unfolding *sfunc-def*
 by *fastforce*
qed

lemma *store-typing-imp-glob-agree:*

assumes *store-typing s* \mathcal{S}
i < *length* (*s-inst* \mathcal{S})
j < *length* (*global* ((*s-inst* \mathcal{S})!*i*))
shows (*sglob-ind s i j*) < *length* (*s-globs* \mathcal{S})
glob-agree (*sglob s i j*) ((*s-globs* \mathcal{S})!*sglob-ind s i j*)
 ((*s-globs* \mathcal{S})!*sglob-ind s i j*) = (*global* ((*s-inst* \mathcal{S})!*i*))!*j*

proof –

have *globs-agree: list-all2 glob-agree* (*globs s*) (*s-globs* \mathcal{S})
 using *assms*(1)
unfolding *store-typing.simps*
 by *auto*
have *list-all2* (*globi-agree* (*s-globs* \mathcal{S})) (*inst.globs* ((*inst s*)!*i*)) (*global* ((*s-inst* \mathcal{S})!*i*))
 using *assms*(1,2) *store-typing-imp-inst-length-eq* *store-typing-imp-inst-typing*
 by (*fastforce simp add: inst-typing.simps*)
hence *globi-agree* (*s-globs* \mathcal{S}) ((*inst.globs* ((*inst s*)!*i*))!*j*) ((*global* ((*s-inst* \mathcal{S})!*i*))!*j*)
 using *assms*(3) *list-all2-nthD2*
 by *blast*
thus (*sglob-ind s i j*) < *length* (*s-globs* \mathcal{S})
 ((*s-globs* \mathcal{S})!*sglob-ind s i j*) = (*global* ((*s-inst* \mathcal{S})!*i*))!*j*
unfolding *globi-agree-def sglob-ind-def*
 by *auto*
thus *glob-agree* (*sglob s i j*) ((*s-globs* \mathcal{S})!*sglob-ind s i j*)
 using *globs-agree list-all2-nthD2*
unfolding *sglob-def*
 by *fastforce*
qed

lemma *store-typing-imp-mem-agree-Some:*

assumes *store-typing s* \mathcal{S}

$i < \text{length } (s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})$
 $\text{smem-ind } s \ i = \text{Some } j$
shows $j < \text{length } (s\text{-mem } \mathcal{S})$
 $\text{mem-agree } ((\text{mem } s)!j) ((s\text{-mem } \mathcal{S})!j)$
 $\exists x. ((s\text{-mem } \mathcal{S})!j) = x \wedge (\text{memory } ((s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})!i)) = \text{Some } x$
proof –
have $\text{mems-agree:list-all2 } \text{mem-agree } (\text{mem } s) (s\text{-mem } \mathcal{S})$
using $\text{assms}(1)$
unfolding $\text{store-typing.simps}$
by auto
hence $\text{memi-agree } (s\text{-mem } \mathcal{S}) ((\text{inst.mem } ((\text{inst } s)!i))) ((\text{memory } ((s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})!i)))$
using $\text{assms}(1,2) \ \text{store-typing-imp-inst-length-eq} \ \text{store-typing-imp-inst-typing}$
by $(\text{fastforce } \text{simp } \text{add: inst-typing.simps})$
thus $j < \text{length } (s\text{-mem } \mathcal{S})$
 $\exists x. ((s\text{-mem } \mathcal{S})!j) = x \wedge (\text{memory } ((s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})!i)) = \text{Some } x$
using $\text{assms}(3)$
unfolding $\text{memi-agree-def } \text{smem-ind-def}$
by auto
thus $\text{mem-agree } ((\text{mem } s)!j) ((s\text{-mem } \mathcal{S})!j)$
using $\text{mems-agree list-all2-nthD2}$
unfolding sglob-def
by fastforce
qed

lemma $\text{store-typing-imp-mem-agree-None}$:
assumes $\text{store-typing } s \ \mathcal{S}$
 $i < \text{length } (s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})$
 $\text{smem-ind } s \ i = \text{None}$
shows $(\text{memory } ((s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})!i)) = \text{None}$
proof –
have $\text{mems-agree:list-all2 } \text{mem-agree } (\text{mem } s) (s\text{-mem } \mathcal{S})$
using $\text{assms}(1)$
unfolding $\text{store-typing.simps}$
by auto
hence $\text{memi-agree } (s\text{-mem } \mathcal{S}) ((\text{inst.mem } ((\text{inst } s)!i))) ((\text{memory } ((s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})!i)))$
using $\text{assms}(1,2) \ \text{store-typing-imp-inst-length-eq} \ \text{store-typing-imp-inst-typing}$
by $(\text{fastforce } \text{simp } \text{add: inst-typing.simps})$
thus $?thesis$
using $\text{assms}(3)$
unfolding $\text{memi-agree-def } \text{smem-ind-def}$
by auto
qed

lemma store-mem-exists :
assumes $i < \text{length } (s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})$
 $\text{store-typing } s \ \mathcal{S}$
shows $\text{Option.is-none } (\text{memory } ((s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})!i)) = \text{Option.is-none } (\text{inst.mem } ((\text{inst } s)!i))$
proof –

```

obtain  $j$  where  $j\text{-def}:j = (\text{inst.mem } ((\text{inst } s)!i))$ 
  by blast
obtain  $m$  where  $m\text{-def}:m = (\text{memory } ((s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})!i))$ 
  by blast
have  $\text{inst-typ}:\text{inst-typing } \mathcal{S} ((\text{inst } s)!i) ((s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})!i)$ 
  using assms
  unfolding store-typing.simps list-all2-conv-all-nth
  by auto
thus ?thesis
  unfolding inst-typing.simps memi-agree-def
  by auto
qed

```

lemma *store-preserved-mem*:

```

assumes store-typing s S
   $s' = s(\text{s.mem } := (\text{s.mem } s)[i := \text{mem}'])$ 
   $\text{mem-size mem}' \geq \text{mem-size orig-mem}$ 
   $((\text{s.mem } s)!i) = \text{orig-mem}$ 
shows store-typing s' S
proof –
  obtain  $\text{insts } fs \text{ clss } bss \text{ gs}$  where  $s = (\text{inst} = \text{insts}, \text{funcs} = fs, \text{tab} = \text{clss}, \text{mem}$ 
   $= bss, \text{globs} = gs)$ 
  using s.cases
  by blast
moreover
  obtain  $\text{insts}' fs' \text{ clss}' bss' \text{ gs}'$  where  $s' = (\text{inst} = \text{insts}', \text{funcs} = fs', \text{tab} = \text{clss}'$ 
   $\text{mem} = bss', \text{globs} = gs')$ 
  using s.cases
  by blast
moreover
  obtain  $Cs \text{ tfs } ns \text{ ms } tgs$  where  $\mathcal{S} = (\text{s-inst} = Cs, \text{s-funcs} = tfs, \text{s-tab} = ns,$ 
   $\text{s-mem} = ms, \text{s-globs} = tgs)$ 
  using s-context.cases
  by blast
moreover
note  $s\text{-S-defs} = \text{calculation}$ 
hence
   $\text{insts} = \text{insts}'$ 
   $fs = fs'$ 
   $\text{clss} = \text{clss}'$ 
   $gs = gs'$ 
  using assms(2)
  by simp-all
hence
   $\text{list-all2 } (\text{inst-typing } \mathcal{S}) \text{ insts}' Cs$ 
   $\text{list-all2 } (\text{cl-typing } \mathcal{S}) fs' tfs$ 
   $\text{list-all } (\text{tab-agree } \mathcal{S}) (\text{concat } \text{clss}')$ 
   $\text{list-all2 } (\lambda \text{cls } n. n \leq \text{length } \text{cls}) \text{ clss}' ns$ 
   $\text{list-all2 } \text{glob-agree } gs' tgs$ 

```

```

using s-S-defs assms(1)
unfolding store-typing.simps
by auto
moreover
have list-all2 ( $\lambda$  bs m. m  $\leq$  mem-size bs) bss' ms
proof –
  have length bss = length bss'
    using assms(2) s-S-defs
    by (simp)
  moreover

  have initial-mem: list-all2 ( $\lambda$  bs m. m  $\leq$  mem-size bs) bss ms
    using assms(1) s-S-defs
    unfolding store-typing.simps mem-agree-def
    by blast
  have  $\bigwedge n. n < \text{length } bss \implies (\lambda bs m. m \leq \text{mem-size } bs) (bss!n) (ms!n)$ 
  proof –
    fix n
    assume local-assms: n  $<$  length bss
    obtain C-m where cmdef: C-m = Cs ! n
      by blast
    hence ( $\lambda$  bs m. m  $\leq$  mem-size bs) (bss!n) (ms!n)
      using initial-mem local-assms
      unfolding list-all2-conv-all-nth
      by simp
    thus ( $\lambda$  bs m. m  $\leq$  mem-size bs) (bss!n) (ms!n)
      using assms(2,3,4) s-S-defs local-assms
      by (cases n=i, auto)
    qed
  ultimately
  show ?thesis
    by (metis initial-mem list-all2-all-nthI list-all2-lengthD)
  qed
ultimately
show ?thesis
  unfolding store-typing.simps mem-agree-def
  by simp
qed

lemma types-agree-imp-e-typing:
assumes types-agree t v
shows  $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$C v] : ([\ ] \rightarrow [t])$ 
using assms e-typing-s-typing.intros(1) [OF b-e-typing.intros(1)]
unfolding types-agree-def
by fastforce

lemma list-types-agree-imp-e-typing:
assumes list-all2 types-agree ts vs
shows  $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash \$\$* vs : ([\ ] \rightarrow ts)$ 

```

```

using assms
proof (induction rule: list-all2-induct)
  case Nil
  thus ?case
    using b-e-typing.empty e-typing-s-typing.intros(1)
    by fastforce
next
  case (Cons t ts v vs)
  hence  $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\mathcal{S} C v] : ([\ ] \rightarrow [t])$ 
    using types-agree-imp-e-typing
    by fastforce
  thus ?case
    using e-typing-s-typing.intros(3)[OF Cons(3), of [t]] e-type-comp-conc
    by fastforce
qed

lemma b-e-typing-imp-list-types-agree:
  assumes  $\mathcal{C} \vdash (\text{map } (\lambda v. C v) vs) : (ts' \rightarrow ts'@ts)$ 
  shows list-all2 types-agree ts vs
  using assms
proof (induction (map (\lambda v. C v) vs) (ts' -> ts'@ts) arbitrary: ts ts' vs rule: b-e-typing.induct)
  case (composition C es t1s t2s e)
  obtain vs1 vs2 where es-e-def:es = map EConst vs1 [e] = map EConst vs2 vs1@vs2=vs
    using composition(5)
    by (metis (no-types) last-map list.simps(8,9) map-butlast snoc-eq-iff-butlast)
  have const-list ($*es)
    using es-e-def(1) is-const-list1
    by auto
  then obtain ts1 where t2s = t1s@ts1
    using e-type-const-list e-typing-s-typing.intros(1)[OF composition(1)]
    by fastforce
  moreover
  have const-list ($*[e])
    using es-e-def(2) is-const-list1
    by auto
  then obtain ts2 where t1s @ ts = t2s @ ts2
    using e-type-const-list e-typing-s-typing.intros(1)[OF composition(3)]
    by fastforce
  ultimately
  show ?case
    using composition(2,4,5) es-e-def
    by (auto simp add: list-all2-appendI)
qed (auto simp add: types-agree-def)

lemma e-typing-imp-list-types-agree:
  assumes  $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash (\mathcal{S}\$* vs) : (ts' \rightarrow ts'@ts)$ 
  shows list-all2 types-agree ts vs

```

```

proof –
  have ( $\$ \$ * vs$ ) =  $\$ * (map (\lambda v. C v) vs)$ 
    by simp
  thus ?thesis
    using assms unlift-b-e b-e-typing-imp-list-types-agree
    by (fastforce simp del: map-map)
qed

lemma store-extension-imp-store-typing:
  assumes store-extension s s'
    store-typing s S
  shows store-typing s' S
proof –
  obtain insts fs cls bss gs where  $s = (\text{inst} = \text{insts}, \text{funcs} = \text{fs}, \text{tab} = \text{cls}, \text{mem}$ 
     $= \text{bss}, \text{globs} = \text{gs})$ 
    using s.cases
    by blast
  moreover
  obtain insts' fs' cls' bss' gs' where  $s' = (\text{inst} = \text{insts}', \text{funcs} = \text{fs}', \text{tab} = \text{cls}'$ 
     $\text{mem} = \text{bss}', \text{globs} = \text{gs}')$ 
    using s.cases
    by blast
  moreover
  obtain Cs tfs ns ms tgs where  $S = (\text{s-inst} = \text{Cs}, \text{s-funcs} = \text{tfs}, \text{s-tab} = \text{ns},$ 
     $\text{s-mem} = \text{ms}, \text{s-globs} = \text{tgs})$ 
    using s-context.cases
    by blast
  moreover
  note  $s\text{-}S\text{-defs} = \text{calculation}$ 
  hence
     $\text{insts} = \text{insts}'$ 
     $\text{fs} = \text{fs}'$ 
     $\text{cls} = \text{cls}'$ 
     $\text{gs} = \text{gs}'$ 
    using assms(1)
    unfolding store-extension.simps
    by simp-all
  hence
    list-all2 (inst-typing S) insts' Cs
    list-all2 (cl-typing S) fs' tfs
    list-all (tab-agree S) (concat cls')
    list-all2 ( $\lambda \text{cls } n. n \leq \text{length } \text{cls}$ ) cls' ns
    list-all2 glob-agree gs' tgs
    using s-S-defs assms(2)
    unfolding store-typing.simps
    by auto
  moreover
  have list-all2 ( $\lambda \text{bs } m. m \leq \text{mem-size } \text{bs}$ ) bss ms
    using s-S-defs(1,3) assms(2)

```

```

    unfolding store-typing.simps mem-agree-def
  by simp
  hence list-all2 mem-agree bss' ms
    using assms(1) s-S-defs(1,2)
    unfolding store-extension.simps list-all2-conv-all-nth mem-agree-def
    by fastforce
  ultimately
  show ?thesis
    using store-typing.intros
    by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma lfilled-deterministic:
  assumes Lfilled k lfilled es les
        Lfilled k lfilled es les'
  shows les = les'
  using assms
proof (induction arbitrary: les' rule: Lfilled.induct)
  case (L0 vs lholed es' es)
  thus ?case
    by (fastforce simp add: Lfilled.simps[of 0])
next
  case (LN vs lholed n es' l es'' k es lfilledk)
  thus ?case
    unfolding Lfilled.simps[of (k + 1)]
    by fastforce
qed
end

```

6 Lemmas for Soundness Proof

```

theory Wasm-Properties imports Wasm-Properties-Aux begin

```

6.1 Preservation

```

lemma t-cvt: assumes cvt t sx v = Some v' shows t = typeof v'
  using assms
  unfolding cvt-def typeof-def
  apply (cases t)
  apply (simp add: option.case-eq-if, metis option.discI option.inject v.simps(17))
  apply (simp add: option.case-eq-if, metis option.discI option.inject v.simps(18))
  apply (simp add: option.case-eq-if, metis option.discI option.inject v.simps(19))
  apply (simp add: option.case-eq-if, metis option.discI option.inject v.simps(20))
  done

```

```

lemma store-preserved1:
  assumes (|s;vs;es)  $\rightsquigarrow$ -i (|s';vs';es')
        store-typing s  $\mathcal{S}$ 
         $\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash es : (ts \rightarrow ts')$ 

```

$C = ((s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})!i)(\text{local} := \text{local } ((s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})!i) @ (\text{map } \text{typeof } vs), \text{label} := \text{arb-label}, \text{return} := \text{arb-return})$
 $i < \text{length } (s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})$
shows *store-typing* $s' \mathcal{S}$
using *assms*
proof (*induction arbitrary: C arb-label arb-return ts ts' rule: reduce.induct*)
case (*callcl-host-Some cl t1s t2s f ves vcs n m s hs s' vcs' vs i*)
obtain ts'' **where** $ts''\text{-def}:\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash \text{ves} : (ts \rightarrow ts'')$ $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Callcl } cl] : (ts'' \rightarrow ts')$
using *callcl-host-Some(8) e-type-comp*
by *fastforce*
have $\text{ves-c:const-list } \text{ves}$
using *is-const-list[OF callcl-host-Some(2)]*
by *simp*
then obtain tvs **where** $tvs\text{-def}:ts'' = ts @ tvs$
 $\text{length } t1s = \text{length } tvs$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash \text{ves} : ([] \rightarrow tvs)$
using $ts''\text{-def}(1)$ *e-type-const-list[of ves S C ts ts']* *callcl-host-Some*
by *fastforce*
hence $ts'' = ts @ t1s$
 $ts' = ts @ t2s$
using *e-type-callcl-host[OF ts''-def(2) callcl-host-Some(1)]*
by *auto*
moreover
hence *list-all2 types-agree t1s vcs*
using *e-typing-imp-list-types-agree[where ?ts' = []] callcl-host-Some(2) tvs-def(1,3)*
by *fastforce*
thus *?case*
using *store-extension-imp-store-typing*
 $\text{host-apply-preserve-store[OF - callcl-host-Some(6)] callcl-host-Some(7)}$
by *fastforce*
next
case (*set-global s i j v s' vs*)
obtain $\text{insts } fs \text{ clss } bss \text{ gs}$ **where** $s = (\text{inst} = \text{insts}, \text{funcs} = fs, \text{tab} = \text{clss}, \text{mem} = bss, \text{globs} = gs)$
using *s.cases*
by *blast*
moreover
obtain $\text{insts}' fs' \text{ clss}' bss' gs'$ **where** $s' = (\text{inst} = \text{insts}', \text{funcs} = fs', \text{tab} = \text{clss}', \text{mem} = bss', \text{globs} = gs')$
using *s.cases*
by *blast*
moreover
obtain $Cs \text{ tfs } ns \text{ ms } tgs$ **where** $\mathcal{S} = (\text{s-inst} = Cs, \text{s-funcs} = tfs, \text{s-tab} = ns, \text{s-mem} = ms, \text{s-globs} = tgs)$
using *s-context.cases*
by *blast*
moreover
note $s\text{-S-defs} = \text{calculation}$

```

have
  insts = insts'
  fs = fs'
  cls = cls'
  bss = bss'
  using set-global(1) s-S-defs(1,2)
  unfolding supdate-glob-def supdate-glob-s-def
  by (metis s.ext-inject s.update-convs(5))+
hence
  list-all2 (inst-typing S) insts' Cs
  list-all2 (cl-typing S) fs' tfs
  list-all (tab-agree S) (concat cls')
  list-all2 (λcls n. n ≤ length cls) cls' ns
  list-all2 mem-agree bss' ms
  using set-global(2) s-S-defs
  unfolding store-typing.simps
  by auto
moreover
have list-all2 glob-agree gs' tgs
proof –
  have gs-agree:list-all2 glob-agree gs tgs
  using set-global(2) s-S-defs
  unfolding store-typing.simps
  by auto
have length gs = length gs'
  using s-S-defs(1,2) set-global(1)
  unfolding supdate-glob-def supdate-glob-s-def
  by (metis length-list-update s.select-convs(5) s.update-convs(5))
moreover
obtain k where k-def:(sglob-ind s i j) = k
  by blast
hence  $\bigwedge j'. \llbracket j' \neq k; j' < \text{length } gs \rrbracket \implies gs!j' = gs'!j'$ 
  using s-S-defs(1,2) set-global(1)
  unfolding supdate-glob-def supdate-glob-s-def
  by auto
hence  $\bigwedge j'. \llbracket j' \neq k; j' < \text{length } gs \rrbracket \implies \text{glob-agree } (gs!j') (tgs!j')$ 
  using gs-agree
  by (metis list-all2-conv-all-nth)
moreover
have glob-agree (gs!k) (tgs!k)
proof –
  obtain ts'' where ts''-def:C ⊢ [C v] : (ts -> ts'') C ⊢ [Set-global j] : (ts'' ->
ts')
  by (metis b-e-type-comp2-unlift set-global.prem(2))
have b-es:ts'' = ts@[typeof v]
  ts = ts'
  global C ! j = (tg-mut = T-mut, tg-t = typeof v)

```



```

      j < length (global C)
    using b-e-type-value[OF ts''-def(1)] b-e-type-set-global[OF ts''-def(2)]
    by auto
  hence j < length (global ((s-inst S)!i))
    using set-global(4)
    by fastforce
  hence globs-agree:k < length (s-globs S)
    glob-agree (gs!k) (tgs!k)
    (tgs!k) = (global C)!j
    using store-typing-imp-glob-agree[OF set-global(2,5)] b-es(4) s-S-defs(1,3)
k-def set-global(4)
    unfolding sglob-def
    by auto
  hence g-mut (gs!k) = T-mut
    typeof (g-val (gs!k)) = typeof v
    using b-es(3)
    unfolding glob-agree-def
    by auto
  hence g-mut (gs!k) = T-mut
    typeof (g-val (gs!k)) = typeof v
    using set-global(1) k-def globs-agree(1) store-typing-imp-glob-length-eq[OF
set-global(2)] s-S-defs(1,2)
    unfolding supdate-glob-def supdate-glob-s-def
    by auto
  thus ?thesis
    using globs-agree(3) b-es(3)
    unfolding glob-agree-def
    by fastforce
qed
ultimately
show ?thesis
  using gs-agree
  unfolding list-all2-conv-all-nth
  by fastforce
qed
ultimately
show ?case
  using store-typing.intros
  by simp
next
case (store-Some t v s i j m k off mem' vs a)
show ?case
  using store-preserved-mem[OF store-Some(5) - - store-Some(3)] store-size[OF
store-Some(4)]
  by fastforce
next
case (store-packed-Some t v s i j m k off tp mem' vs a)
thus ?case

```

```

    using store-preserved-mem[OF store-packed-Some(5) - - store-packed-Some(3)]
store-packed-size[OF store-packed-Some(4)]
  by simp
next
  case (grow-memory s i j n mem c mem' vs)
  show ?case
    using store-preserved-mem[OF grow-memory(5) - - grow-memory(2)] mem-grow-size[OF
grow-memory(4)]
    by simp
next
  case (label s vs es i s' vs' es' k lholed les les')
  obtain C' t1s t2s arb-label' arb-return' where es-def:C' = C(label := arb-label',
return := arb-return') S.C' ⊢ es : (t1s -> t2s)
    using types-exist-lfilled-weak[OF label(2,6)]
    by fastforce
  thus ?case
    using label(4)[OF label(5) es-def(2) - label(8)] label(7)
    by fastforce
next
  case (local s vs es i s' vs' es' v0s n j)
  obtain t1s where t-local:(S((s-inst S)!i)(local := (local ((s-inst S)!i)) @ (map
typeof vs), return := Some t1s) ⊢ es : ([] -> t1s))
    ts' = ts @ t1s i < length (s-inst S)
    using e-type-local[OF local(4)]
    by blast+
  show ?case
    using local(2)[OF local(3) t-local(1) - t-local(3), of (Some t1s) label ((s-inst
S)!i) ]
    by fastforce
qed (simp-all)

```

lemma *store-preserved*:

```

  assumes (|s;vs;es) ~>-i (|s';vs';es')
    store-typing s S
    S.None ⊢-i vs;es : ts

```

shows *store-typing* s' S

proof -

show ?thesis

```

  using store-preserved1[OF assms(1,2), of - [] ts None label (s-inst S!i)]
s-type-unfold[OF assms(3)]

```

by fastforce

qed

lemma *typeof-unop-testop*:

```

  assumes S.C ⊢ [S C v, $e] : (ts -> ts')

```

```

    (e = (Unop-i t iop)) ∨ (e = (Unop-f t fop)) ∨ (e = (Testop t testop))

```

shows (typeof v) = t

```

    e = (Unop-f t fop) ⇒ is-float-t t

```

proof -

have $\mathcal{C} \vdash [C v, e] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
using *unlift-b-e assms(1)*
by *simp*
then obtain ts'' **where** $ts''\text{-def}:\mathcal{C} \vdash [C v] : (ts \rightarrow ts'')$ $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts'' \rightarrow ts')$
using *b-e-type-comp[where ?e = e and ?es = [C v]]*
by *fastforce*
show $(\text{typeof } v) = t \ e = (\text{Unop-f } t \ \text{fop}) \implies \text{is-float-t } t$
using *b-e-type-value[OF ts''-def(1)] assms(2) b-e-type-unop-testop[OF ts''-def(2)]*
by *simp-all*
qed

lemma *typeof-cvtop:*

assumes $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$C v, \$e] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $e = \text{Cvtop } t1 \ \text{cvtop } t \ \text{sx}$
shows $(\text{typeof } v) = t$
 $\text{cvtop} = \text{Convert} \implies (t1 \neq t) \wedge ((\text{sx} = \text{None}) = ((\text{is-float-t } t1 \wedge \text{is-float-t } t) \vee (\text{is-int-t } t1 \wedge \text{is-int-t } t \wedge (t\text{-length } t1 < t\text{-length } t))))$
 $\text{cvtop} = \text{Reinterpret} \implies (t1 \neq t) \wedge t\text{-length } t1 = t\text{-length } t$

proof –

have $\mathcal{C} \vdash [C v, e] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
using *unlift-b-e assms(1)*
by *simp*
then obtain ts'' **where** $ts''\text{-def}:\mathcal{C} \vdash [C v] : (ts \rightarrow ts'')$ $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts'' \rightarrow ts')$
using *b-e-type-comp[where ?e = e and ?es = [C v]]*
by *fastforce*
show $(\text{typeof } v) = t$
 $\text{cvtop} = \text{Convert} \implies (t1 \neq t) \wedge (\text{sx} = \text{None}) = ((\text{is-float-t } t1 \wedge \text{is-float-t } t) \vee (\text{is-int-t } t1 \wedge \text{is-int-t } t \wedge (t\text{-length } t1 < t\text{-length } t)))$
 $\text{cvtop} = \text{Reinterpret} \implies (t1 \neq t) \wedge t\text{-length } t1 = t\text{-length } t$
using *b-e-type-value[OF ts''-def(1)] b-e-type-cvtop[OF ts''-def(2)] assms(2)*
by *simp-all*
qed

lemma *types-preserved-unop-testop-cvtop:*

assumes $(\llbracket \$C v, \$e \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow \llbracket \$C v' \rrbracket)$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$C v, \$e] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $(e = (\text{Unop-i } t \ \text{iop})) \vee (e = (\text{Unop-f } t \ \text{fop})) \vee (e = (\text{Testop } t \ \text{testop})) \vee$
 $(e = (\text{Cvtop } t2 \ \text{cvtop } t \ \text{sx}))$
shows $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$C v'] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$

proof –

have $\mathcal{C} \vdash [C v, e] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
using *unlift-b-e assms(2)*
by *simp*
then obtain ts'' **where** $ts''\text{-def}:\mathcal{C} \vdash [C v] : (ts \rightarrow ts'')$ $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts'' \rightarrow ts')$
using *b-e-type-comp[where ?e = e and ?es = [C v]]*
by *fastforce*
have $ts@[arity-1\text{-result } e] = ts' \ (\text{typeof } v) = t$
using *b-e-type-value[OF ts''-def(1)] assms(3) b-e-type-unop-testop(1)[OF ts''-def(2)] b-e-type-cvtop(1)[OF ts''-def(2)]*

```

    by (metis butlast-snoc, metis last-snoc)
  moreover
  have arity-1-result e = typeof (v')
    using assms(1,3)
    apply (cases rule: reduce-simple.cases)
      apply (simp-all add: arity-1-result-def wasm-deserialise-type t-cvt)
      apply (auto simp add: typeof-def)
    done
  hence C ⊢ [C v'] : ([] -> [arity-1-result e])
    using b-e-typing.const
    by metis
  ultimately
  show S·C ⊢ [C v'] : (ts -> ts')
    using e-typing-s-typing.intros(1)
      b-e-typing.weakening[of C [C v'] [] [arity-1-result e] ts]
    by fastforce
qed

lemma typeof-binop-relop:
  assumes S·C ⊢ [C v1, C v2, $e] : (ts -> ts')
    e = Binop-i t iop ∨ e = Binop-f t fop ∨ e = Relop-i t irop ∨ e = Relop-f
  t frop
  shows typeof v1 = t
    typeof v2 = t
    e = Binop-f t fop ⇒ is-float-t t
    e = Relop-f t frop ⇒ is-float-t t
  proof -
  have C ⊢ [C v1, C v2, e] : (ts -> ts')
    using unlift-b-e assms(1)
    by simp
  then obtain ts'' where ts''-def: C ⊢ [C v1, C v2] : (ts -> ts'') C ⊢ [e] : (ts'' ->
  ts')
    using b-e-type-comp[where ?e = e and ?es = [C v1, C v2]]
    by fastforce
  then obtain ts-id where ts-id-def: ts-id@[t,t] = ts'' ts' = ts-id @ [arity-2-result
  e]
    e = Binop-f t fop ⇒ is-float-t t
    e = Relop-f t frop ⇒ is-float-t t
    using assms(2) b-e-type-binop-relop[of C e ts'' ts' t]
    by blast
  thus typeof v1 = t
    typeof v2 = t
    e = Binop-f t fop ⇒ is-float-t t
    e = Relop-f t frop ⇒ is-float-t t
    using ts''-def b-e-type-comp[of C [C v1] C v2 ts ts''] b-e-type-value2
    by fastforce+
  qed

lemma types-preserved-binop-relop:

```

assumes $(\llbracket \$C v1, \$C v2, \$e \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow \llbracket \$C v' \rrbracket)$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash \llbracket \$C v1, \$C v2, \$e \rrbracket : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $e = \text{Binop-}i\ t\ iop \vee e = \text{Binop-}f\ t\ fop \vee e = \text{Relop-}i\ t\ irop \vee e = \text{Relop-}f\ t\ frop$
shows $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash \llbracket \$C v' \rrbracket : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
proof –
have $\mathcal{C} \vdash [C v1, C v2, e] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
using *unlift-b-e assms(2)*
by *simp*
then obtain ts'' **where** $ts''\text{-def}:\mathcal{C} \vdash [C v1, C v2] : (ts \rightarrow ts'')$ $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts'' \rightarrow ts')$
using *b-e-type-comp[where ?e = e and ?es = [C v1, C v2]]*
by *fastforce*
then obtain $ts\text{-id}$ **where** $ts\text{-id-def}:ts\text{-id}@[t,t] = ts''\ ts' = ts\text{-id} @ [arity\text{-}2\text{-result}\ e]$
using *assms(3) b-e-type-binop-relop[of C e ts'' ts' t]*
by *blast*
hence $\mathcal{C} \vdash [C v1] : (ts \rightarrow ts\text{-id}@[t])$
using $ts''\text{-def}$ *b-e-type-comp[of C [C v1] C v2 ts ts'']* *b-e-type-value*
by *fastforce*
hence $ts@[arity\text{-}2\text{-result}\ e] = ts'$
using *b-e-type-value ts-id-def(2)*
by *fastforce*
moreover
have $arity\text{-}2\text{-result}\ e = \text{typeof}\ (v')$
using *assms(1,3)*
by *(cases rule: reduce-simple.cases) (auto simp add: arity-2-result-def typeof-def)*
hence $\mathcal{C} \vdash [C v'] : (\square \rightarrow [arity\text{-}2\text{-result}\ e])$
using *b-e-typing.const*
by *metis*
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *e-typing-s-typing.intros(1)*
 $b\text{-e-typing.weakening}[of\ \mathcal{C}\ [C\ v']\ \square\ [arity\text{-}2\text{-result}\ e]\ ts]$
by *fastforce*
qed

lemma *types-preserved-drop:*

assumes $(\llbracket \$C v, \$e \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow \llbracket \square \rrbracket)$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash \llbracket \$C v, \$e \rrbracket : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $(e = \text{Drop})$
shows $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash \square : (ts \rightarrow ts')$

proof –

have $\mathcal{C} \vdash [C v, e] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
using *unlift-b-e assms(2)*
by *simp*
then obtain ts'' **where** $ts''\text{-def}:\mathcal{C} \vdash [C v] : (ts \rightarrow ts'')$ $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts'' \rightarrow ts')$
using *b-e-type-comp[where ?e = e and ?es = [C v]]*
by *fastforce*
hence $ts'' = ts@[typeof\ v]$

```

    using b-e-type-value
  by blast
hence  $ts = ts'$ 
  using  $ts''\text{-def}$   $assms(3)$  b-e-type-drop
  by blast
hence  $\mathcal{C} \vdash [] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$ 
  using b-e-type-empty
  by simp
thus ?thesis
  using e-typing-s-typing.intros(1)
  by fastforce
qed

lemma types-preserved-select:
  assumes ( $[\$C\ v1, \$C\ v2, \$C\ vn, \$e] \rightsquigarrow [\$C\ v3]$ )
     $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$C\ v1, \$C\ v2, \$C\ vn, \$e] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$ 
    ( $e = \text{Select}$ )
  shows  $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$C\ v3] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$ 
proof -
  have  $\mathcal{C} \vdash [C\ v1, C\ v2, C\ vn, e] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$ 
    using unlift-b-e  $assms(2)$ 
    by simp
  then obtain  $t1s$  where  $t1s\text{-def}:\mathcal{C} \vdash [C\ v1, C\ v2, C\ vn] : (ts \rightarrow t1s)$   $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] :$ 
    ( $t1s \rightarrow ts'$ )
    using b-e-type-comp[where ?e = e and ?es = [C v1, C v2, C vn]]
    by fastforce
  then obtain  $t2s\ t$  where  $t2s\text{-def}:t1s = t2s @ [t, t, (T-i32)]$   $ts' = t2s@[t]$ 
    using b-e-type-select[of C e t1s]  $assms$ 
    by fastforce
  hence  $\mathcal{C} \vdash [C\ v1, C\ v2] : (ts \rightarrow t2s@[t,t])$ 
    using  $t1s\text{-def}$   $t2s\text{-def}$  b-e-type-value-list[of C [C v1, C v2] vn ts t2s@[t,t]]
    by fastforce
  hence  $v2\text{-t-def}:\mathcal{C} \vdash [C\ v1] : (ts \rightarrow t2s@[t])$   $typeof\ v2 = t$ 
    using  $t1s\text{-def}$   $t2s\text{-def}$  b-e-type-value-list[of C [C v1] v2 ts t2s@[t]]
    by fastforce+
  hence  $v1\text{-t-def}:ts = t2s$   $typeof\ v1 = t$ 
    using b-e-type-value
    by fastforce+
  have  $typeof\ v3 = t$ 
    using  $assms(1)$   $v2\text{-t-def}(2)$   $v1\text{-t-def}(2)$ 
    by (cases rule: reduce-simple.cases, simp-all)
  hence  $\mathcal{C} \vdash [C\ v3] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$ 
    using b-e-typing.const b-e-typing.weakening  $t2s\text{-def}(2)$   $v1\text{-t-def}(1)$ 
    by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
    using e-typing-s-typing.intros(1)
    by fastforce
qed

```

lemma *types-preserved-block*:

assumes $(vs @ [\$Block (tn \rightarrow tm) es]) \rightsquigarrow ([Label\ m\ \square] (vs @ (\$* es)))$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash vs @ [\$Block (tn \rightarrow tm) es] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
const-list vs
length vs = n
length tn = n
length tm = m
shows $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [Label\ m\ \square] (vs @ (\$* es)) : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
proof –
obtain \mathcal{C}' **where** $c\text{-def}:\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C}(\text{label} := [tm] @ \text{label } \mathcal{C})$ **by** *blast*
obtain ts'' **where** $ts''\text{-def}:\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash vs : (ts \rightarrow ts'')$ $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$Block (tn \rightarrow tm) es] :$
 $(ts'' \rightarrow ts')$
using *assms(2) e-type-comp[of $\mathcal{S}\ \mathcal{C}\ vs\ \$Block (tn \rightarrow tm) es\ ts\ ts'$]*
by *fastforce*
hence $\mathcal{C} \vdash [Block (tn \rightarrow tm) es] : (ts'' \rightarrow ts')$
using *unlift-b-e*
by *auto*
then obtain $ts\text{-c}\ tfn\ tfm$ **where** $ts\text{-c}\text{-def}:(tn \rightarrow tm) = (tfn \rightarrow tfm)$ $ts'' = ts\text{-c}@tfn$
 $ts' = ts\text{-c}@tfn$ $(\mathcal{C}(\text{label} := [tfm] @ \text{label } \mathcal{C}) \vdash es : (tn \rightarrow tm))$
using *b-e-type-block[of $\mathcal{C}\ Block (tn \rightarrow tm) es\ ts''\ ts' (tn \rightarrow tm) es$]*
by *fastforce*
hence $tfn\text{-l}:\text{length } tfn = n$
using *assms(5)*
by *simp*
obtain tvs' **where** $tvs'\text{-def}:ts'' = ts@tvs'$ $\text{length } tvs' = n$ $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}' \vdash vs : (\square \rightarrow tvs')$
using *e-type-const-list assms(3,4) ts''-def(1)*
by *fastforce*
hence $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}' \vdash vs : (\square \rightarrow tn)$ $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}' \vdash \$*es : (tn \rightarrow tm)$
using *ts-c-def tvs'-def tfn-l ts''-def c-def e-typing-s-typing.intros(1)*
by *simp-all*
hence $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}' \vdash (vs @ (\$* es)) : (\square \rightarrow tm)$ **using** *e-type-comp-conc*
by *simp*
moreover
have $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash \square : (tm \rightarrow tm)$
using *b-e-type-empty[of $\mathcal{C}\ \square\ \square$]*
e-typing-s-typing.intros(1)[where ?b-es = \square]
e-typing-s-typing.intros(3)[of $\mathcal{S}\ \mathcal{C}\ \square\ \square\ \square\ tm$]
by *fastforce*
ultimately
show *?thesis*
using *e-typing-s-typing.intros(7)[of $\mathcal{S}\ \mathcal{C}\ \square\ tm - vs @ (\$* es) m$]*
ts-c-def tvs'-def assms(5,6) e-typing-s-typing.intros(3) c-def
by *fastforce*
qed

lemma *types-preserved-if*:

assumes $([\$C\ ConstInt32\ n,\ \$If\ tf\ e1s\ e2s]) \rightsquigarrow ([\$Block\ tf\ es'])$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$C\ ConstInt32\ n,\ \$If\ tf\ e1s\ e2s] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
shows $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$Block\ tf\ es'] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$

proof –
have $\mathcal{C} \vdash [C \text{ ConstInt32 } n, \text{ If } tf \ e1s \ e2s] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
using *unlift-b-e assms(2)*
by *fastforce*
then obtain $ts\text{-}i$ **where** $ts\text{-}i\text{-}def:\mathcal{C} \vdash [C \text{ ConstInt32 } n] : (ts \rightarrow ts\text{-}i)$ $\mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{ If } tf \ e1s \ e2s] : (ts\text{-}i \rightarrow ts')$
using *b-e-type-comp*
by (*metis append-Cons append-Nil*)
then obtain ts'' tfn tfm **where** $ts\text{-}def:tf = (tfn \rightarrow tfm)$
 $ts\text{-}i = ts''@tfn \ @ \ [T\text{-}i32]$
 $ts' = ts''@tfm$
 $(\mathcal{C}(\text{label} := [tfm] \ @ \ \text{label } \mathcal{C})) \vdash e1s : tf)$
 $(\mathcal{C}(\text{label} := [tfm] \ @ \ \text{label } \mathcal{C})) \vdash e2s : tf)$
using *b-e-type-if[of C If tf e1s e2s]*
by *fastforce*
have $ts\text{-}i = ts \ @ \ [(T\text{-}i32)]$
using *ts-i-def(1) b-e-type-value*
unfolding *typeof-def*
by *fastforce*
moreover
have $(\mathcal{C}(\text{label} := [tfm] \ @ \ \text{label } \mathcal{C})) \vdash es' : (tfn \rightarrow tfm)$
using *assms(1) ts-def(4,5) ts-def(1)*
by (*cases rule: reduce-simple.cases, simp-all*)
hence $\mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Block } tf \ es'] : (tfn \rightarrow tfm)$
using *ts-def(1) b-e-typing.block[of tf tfn tfm C es']*
by *simp*
ultimately
show *?thesis*
using *ts-def(2,3) e-typing-s-typing.intros(1,3)*
by *fastforce*
qed

lemma *types-preserved-tee-local:*

assumes $(\llbracket v, \$Tee\text{-}local \ i \rrbracket) \rightsquigarrow (\llbracket v, v, \$Set\text{-}local \ i \rrbracket)$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [v, \$Tee\text{-}local \ i] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $is\text{-}const \ v$

shows $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [v, v, \$Set\text{-}local \ i] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$

proof –

obtain bv **where** $bv\text{-}def:v = \$C \ bv$

using *e-type-const-unwrap assms(3)*

by *fastforce*

hence $\mathcal{C} \vdash [C \ bv, Tee\text{-}local \ i] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$

using *unlift-b-e assms(2)*

by *fastforce*

then obtain ts'' **where** $ts''\text{-}def:\mathcal{C} \vdash [C \ bv] : (ts \rightarrow ts'')$ $\mathcal{C} \vdash [Tee\text{-}local \ i] : (ts'' \rightarrow ts')$

using *b-e-type-comp[of - [C bv] Tee-local i]*

by *fastforce*

then obtain $ts\text{-}c \ t$ **where** $ts\text{-}c\text{-}def:ts'' = ts\text{-}c@[t] \ ts' = ts\text{-}c@[t] \ (\text{local } \mathcal{C})!i = t \ i$


```

< length(local C)
  using b-e-type-tee-local[of C Tee-local i ts'' ts' i]
  by fastforce
hence t-bv:t = typeof bv ts = ts-c
  using b-e-type-value ts''-def
  by fastforce+
have C ⊢ [Set-local i] : ([t,t] -> [t])
  using ts-c-def(3,4) b-e-typing.set-local[of i C t]
  b-e-typing.weakening[of C [Set-local i] [t] [] [t]]
  by fastforce
moreover
have C ⊢ [C bv] : ([t] -> [t,t])
  using t-bv b-e-typing.const[of C bv] b-e-typing.weakening[of C [C bv] [] [t] [t]]
  by fastforce
hence C ⊢ [C bv, C bv] : ([] -> [t,t])
  using t-bv b-e-typing.const[of C bv] b-e-typing.composition[of C [C bv] [] [t]]
  by fastforce
ultimately
have C ⊢ [C bv, C bv, Set-local i] : (ts -> ts@[t])
  using b-e-typing.composition b-e-typing.weakening[of C [C bv, C bv, Set-local
i]]
  by fastforce
thus ?thesis
  using t-bv(2) ts-c-def(2) bv-def e-typing-s-typing.intros(1)
  by fastforce
qed

```

lemma types-preserved-loop:

```

assumes (vs @ [$Loop (t1s -> t2s) es]) ~ ([Label n [$Loop (t1s -> t2s) es] (vs
@ ($* es))])
  S·C ⊢ vs @ [$Loop (t1s -> t2s) es] : (ts -> ts')
  const-list vs
  length vs = n
  length t1s = n
  length t2s = m
shows S·C ⊢ [Label n [$Loop (t1s -> t2s) es] (vs @ ($* es))] : (ts -> ts')
proof -
  obtain ts'' where ts''-def:S·C ⊢ vs : (ts -> ts'') S·C ⊢ [$Loop (t1s -> t2s) es] :
(ts'' -> ts')
  using assms(2) e-type-comp
  by fastforce
  then have C ⊢ [Loop (t1s -> t2s) es] : (ts'' -> ts')
  using unlift-b-e assms(2)
  by fastforce
  then obtain ts-c tfn tfm C' where t-loop:(t1s -> t2s) = (tfn -> tfm)
    (ts'' = ts-c@tfn)
    (ts' = ts-c@tfm)
    C' = C(label := [t1s] @ label C)
    (C' ⊢ es : (tfn -> tfm))

```

using $b\text{-}e\text{-type-loop}$ [of \mathcal{C} $\text{Loop} (t1s \rightarrow t2s) es ts'' ts'$]
by fastforce
obtain tvs **where** $tvs\text{-def}:ts'' = ts @ tvs \text{ length } vs = \text{length } tvs \mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}' \vdash vs : ([\] \rightarrow tvs)$
using $e\text{-type-const-list } \text{assms}(3) ts''\text{-def}(1)$
by fastforce
then have $tvs\text{-eq}:tvs = t1s \text{ tfn} = t1s$
using $\text{assms}(4,5) t\text{-loop}(1,2)$
by simp-all
have $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Loop} (t1s \rightarrow t2s) es] : (t1s \rightarrow t2s)$
using $t\text{-loop } b\text{-}e\text{-typing.loop } e\text{-typing-s-typing.intros}(1)$
by fastforce
moreover
have $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}' \vdash \$*es : (t1s \rightarrow t2s)$
using $t\text{-loop } e\text{-typing-s-typing.intros}(1)$
by fastforce
then have $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}' \vdash vs @ (*es) : ([\] \rightarrow t2s)$
using $tvs\text{-eq } tvs\text{-def}(3) e\text{-type-comp-conc}$
by blast
ultimately
have $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Label } n [\text{Loop} (t1s \rightarrow t2s) es] (vs @ (*es))] : ([\] \rightarrow t2s)$
using $e\text{-typing-s-typing.intros}(7)$ [of $\mathcal{S}\ \mathcal{C} [\text{Loop} (t1s \rightarrow t2s) es] t1s t2s vs @ (*es)$]
 $t\text{-loop}(4) \text{ assms}(5)$
by fastforce
then show $?thesis$
using $t\text{-loop } e\text{-typing-s-typing.intros}(3) tvs\text{-def}(1) tvs\text{-eq}(1)$
by fastforce
qed

lemma $\text{types-preserved-label-value}$:

assumes $([\text{Label } n es0 vs]) \rightsquigarrow (vs)$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Label } n es0 vs] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $\text{const-list } vs$

shows $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash vs : (ts \rightarrow ts')$

proof –

obtain $tls\ t2s$ **where** $t2s\text{-def}:(ts' = (ts @ t2s))$
 $(\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash es0 : (tls \rightarrow t2s))$
 $(\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}(\text{label} := [tls] @ (\text{label } \mathcal{C})) \vdash vs : ([\] \rightarrow t2s))$
using $\text{assms } e\text{-type-label}$
by fastforce
thus $?thesis$
using $e\text{-type-const-list}$ [of $vs\ \mathcal{S}\ \mathcal{C}(\text{label} := [tls] @ (\text{label } \mathcal{C})) [\] t2s$]
 $\text{assms}(3) e\text{-typing-s-typing.intros}(3)$
by fastforce

qed

lemma $\text{types-preserved-br-if}$:

assumes $([\$C\ \text{ConstInt32 } n, \$Br\text{-if } i]) \rightsquigarrow (e)$

$\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$C \text{ ConstInt32 } n, \$Br\text{-if } i] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $e = [\$Br \ i] \vee e = []$
shows $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash e : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
proof –
have $\mathcal{C} \vdash [C \text{ ConstInt32 } n, Br\text{-if } i] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
using *unlift-b-e assms(2)*
by *fastforce*
then obtain ts'' **where** $ts''\text{-def}:\mathcal{C} \vdash [C \text{ ConstInt32 } n] : (ts \rightarrow ts'')$ $\mathcal{C} \vdash [Br\text{-if } i]$
 $: (ts'' \rightarrow ts')$
using *b-e-type-comp[of - [C ConstInt32 n] Br-if i]*
by *fastforce*
then obtain $ts\text{-c}$ $ts\text{-b}$ **where** $ts\text{-bc}\text{-def}:i < \text{length}(\text{label } \mathcal{C})$
 $ts'' = ts\text{-c} @ ts\text{-b} @ [T\text{-i32}]$
 $ts' = ts\text{-c} @ ts\text{-b}$
 $(\text{label } \mathcal{C})!i = ts\text{-b}$
using *b-e-type-br-if[of C Br-if i ts'' ts' i]*
by *fastforce*
hence $ts\text{-def}:ts = ts\text{-c} @ ts\text{-b}$
using $ts''\text{-def}(1)$ *b-e-type-value*
by *fastforce*
show *?thesis*
using *assms(3)*
proof (*rule disjE*)
assume $e = [\$Br \ i]$
thus *?thesis*
using $ts\text{-def}$ *e-typing-s-typing.intros(1)* *b-e-typing.br ts-bc-def*
by *fastforce*
next
assume $e = []$
thus *?thesis*
using $ts\text{-def}$ *b-e-type-empty ts-bc-def(3)*
 $e\text{-typing-s-typing.intros(1)}$ *[of - [] (ts -> ts')]*
by *fastforce*
qed
qed

lemma *types-preserved-br-table:*

assumes $(\llbracket \$C \text{ ConstInt32 } c, \$Br\text{-table } is \ i \rrbracket) \rightsquigarrow (\llbracket \$Br \ i' \rrbracket)$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$C \text{ ConstInt32 } c, \$Br\text{-table } is \ i] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $(i' = (is \ ! \ \text{nat-of-int } c) \wedge \text{length } is > \text{nat-of-int } c) \vee i' = i$
shows $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$Br \ i'] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$

proof –
have $\mathcal{C} \vdash [C \text{ ConstInt32 } c, Br\text{-table } is \ i] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
using *unlift-b-e assms(2)*
by *fastforce*
then obtain ts'' **where** $ts''\text{-def}:\mathcal{C} \vdash [C \text{ ConstInt32 } c] : (ts \rightarrow ts'')$ $\mathcal{C} \vdash [Br\text{-table}$
 $is \ i] : (ts'' \rightarrow ts')$
using *b-e-type-comp[of - [C ConstInt32 c] Br-table is i]*
by *fastforce*

then obtain $ts-l\ ts-c$ **where** $ts-c-def: list-all\ (\lambda i. i < length(label\ C) \wedge (label\ C)!i = ts-l)\ (is@[i])$
 $ts'' = ts-c\ @\ ts-l@[T-i32]$
using $b-e-type-br-table[of\ C\ Br-table\ is\ i\ ts''\ ts']$
by *fastforce*
hence $ts-def: ts = ts-c\ @\ ts-l$
using $ts''-def(1)\ b-e-type-value$
by *fastforce*
have $C \vdash [Br\ i'] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
using $assms(3)\ ts-c-def(1,2)\ b-e-typing.br[of\ i'\ C\ ts-l\ ts-c\ ts']\ ts-def$
unfolding $list-all-length$
by $(fastforce\ simp\ add: less-Suc-eq\ nth-append)$
thus *?thesis*
using $e-typing-s-typing.intros(1)$
by *fastforce*
qed

lemma *types-preserved-local-const:*

assumes $([Local\ n\ i\ vs\ es]) \rightsquigarrow (es)$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [Local\ n\ i\ vs\ es] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $const-list\ es$

shows $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash es : (ts \rightarrow ts')$

proof –

obtain tls **where** $(\mathcal{S}\cdot((s-inst\ \mathcal{S})!i)(local := (local\ ((s-inst\ \mathcal{S})!i))\ @\ (map\ typeof\ vs)), return := Some\ tls) \vdash es : ([\] \rightarrow tls)$
 $ts' = ts\ @\ tls$

using $e-type-local[OF\ assms(2)]$
by *blast+*

moreover

then have $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash es : ([\] \rightarrow tls)$
using $assms(3)\ e-type-const-list$
by *fastforce*

ultimately

show *?thesis*
using $e-typing-s-typing.intros(3)$
by *fastforce*

qed

lemma *typing-map-typeof:*

assumes $ves = \mathcal{S}\mathcal{S}^* vs$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash ves : ([\] \rightarrow tvs)$

shows $tvs = map\ typeof\ vs$

using *assms*

proof (*induction ves arbitrary: vs tvs rule: List.rev-induct*)

case *Nil*

hence $C \vdash [\] : ([\] \rightarrow tvs)$

using *unlift-b-e*

by *auto*

thus *?case*

```

    using Nil
    by auto
next
case (snoc a ves)
obtain vs' v' where vs'-def:ves @ [a] = $$* (vs'@[v']) vs = vs'@[v']
    using snoc(2)
    by (metis Nil-is-map-conv append-is-Nil-conv list.distinct(1) rev-exhaust)
obtain tvs' where tvs'-def: $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash ves: ([ ] \rightarrow tvs')$   $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [a]: (tvs' \rightarrow tvs)$ 
    using snoc(3) e-type-comp
    by fastforce
hence tvs' = map typeof vs'
    using snoc(1) vs'-def
    by fastforce
moreover
have is-const a
    using vs'-def
    unfolding is-const-def
    by auto
then obtain t where t-def:tv $s = tvs' @ [t]$   $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [a]: ([ ] \rightarrow [t])$ 
    using tvs'-def(2) e-type-const[of a  $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{C} tvs' tvs$ ]
    by fastforce
have a =  $\$ C v'$ 
    using vs'-def(1)
    by auto
hence t = typeof v'
    using t-def unlift-b-e[of  $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{C} [C v'] ([ ] \rightarrow [t])$ ] b-e-type-value[of  $\mathcal{C} C v' [ ] [t] v'$ ]
    by fastforce
ultimately
show ?case
    using vs'-def t-def
    by simp
qed

```

lemma *types-preserved-call-indirect-Some:*

```

assumes  $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$C \text{ ConstInt32 } c, \$Call\text{-indirect } j]: (ts \rightarrow ts')$ 
    stab s i' (nat-of-int c) = Some cl
    stypes s i' j = tf
    cl-type cl = tf
    store-typing s  $\mathcal{S}$ 
    i' < length (inst s)
     $\mathcal{C} = (s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S} ! i')$  (local := local (s-inst  $\mathcal{S} ! i')$  @ tvs, label := arb-labs,
return := arb-return)
shows  $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [Callcl \text{ cl}]: (ts \rightarrow ts')$ 
proof –
obtain t1s t2s where tf-def:tf = (t1s  $\rightarrow$  t2s)
    using tf.exhaust by blast
obtain ts'' where ts''-def: $\mathcal{C} \vdash [C \text{ ConstInt32 } c]: (ts \rightarrow ts'')$ 
     $\mathcal{C} \vdash [Call\text{-indirect } j]: (ts'' \rightarrow ts')$ 
    using e-type-comp[of  $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{C} [\$C \text{ ConstInt32 } c] [\$Call\text{-indirect } j \text{ ts } ts']$ ]

```

```

      assms(1)
      unlift-b-e[of  $\mathcal{S} \ C \ [C \ \text{ConstInt32} \ c]$ ]
      unlift-b-e[of  $\mathcal{S} \ C \ [\text{Call-indirect} \ j]$ ]
    by fastforce
  hence  $ts'' = ts @ [(T-i32)]$ 
    using b-e-type-value
    unfolding typeof-def
    by fastforce
  moreover
  have  $i' < \text{length} (s\text{-inst} \ \mathcal{S})$ 
    using assms(5,6) store-typing-imp-inst-length-eq
    by fastforce
  hence  $\text{stypes-eq:types-t} (s\text{-inst} \ \mathcal{S} \ ! \ i') = \text{types} (inst \ s \ ! \ i')$ 
    using store-typing-imp-inst-typing[OF assms(5)] store-typing-imp-inst-length-eq[OF
  assms(5)]
    unfolding inst-typing.simps
    by fastforce
  obtain  $ts''a$  where  $ts''a\text{-def:}j < \text{length} (\text{types-t} \ C)$ 
     $ts'' = ts''a @ t1s @ [T-i32]$ 
     $ts' = ts''a @ t2s$ 
     $\text{types-t} \ C \ ! \ j = (t1s \ \rightarrow \ t2s)$ 
    using b-e-type-call-indirect[OF  $ts''\text{-def}(2)$ , of  $j$ ] tf-def assms(3,7) stypes-eq
    unfolding stypes-def
    by fastforce
  moreover
  obtain  $tf'$  where  $tf'\text{-def:cl-typing} \ \mathcal{S} \ cl \ tf'$ 
    using assms(2,5,6) stab-typed-some-imp-cl-typed
    by blast
  hence  $cl\text{-typing} \ \mathcal{S} \ cl \ tf$ 
    using assms(4)
    unfolding cl-typing.simps cl-type-def
    by auto
  hence  $\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Callcl} \ cl] : tf$ 
    using e-typing-s-typing.intros(6) assms(6,7)  $ts''a\text{-def}(1)$ 
    by fastforce
  ultimately
  show  $\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Callcl} \ cl] : (ts \ \rightarrow \ ts')$ 
    using tf-def e-typing-s-typing.intros(3)
    by auto
qed

```

lemma *types-preserved-call-indirect-None:*
 assumes $\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash [\$C \ \text{ConstInt32} \ c, \$\text{Call-indirect} \ j] : (ts \ \rightarrow \ ts')$
 shows $\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Trap}] : (ts \ \rightarrow \ ts')$
 using e-typing-s-typing.intros(4)
 by blast

lemma *types-preserved-callcl-native:*
 assumes $\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash ves @ [\text{Callcl} \ cl] : (ts \ \rightarrow \ ts')$

```

    cl = Func-native i (t1s -> t2s) tfs es
    ves = $$* vs
    length vs = n
    length tfs = k
    length t1s = n
    length t2s = m
    n-zeros tfs = zs
    store-typing s S
  shows S.C ⊢ [Local m i (vs @ zs) [Block ([] -> t2s) es]] : (ts -> ts')
proof -
  obtain ts'' where ts''-def: S.C ⊢ ves : (ts -> ts'') S.C ⊢ [Callcl cl] : (ts'' -> ts')
  using assms(1) e-type-comp
  by fastforce
  have ves-c:const-list ves
    using is-const-list[OF assms(3)]
    by simp
  then obtain tvs where tvs-def: ts'' = ts @ tvs
    length t1s = length tvs
    S.C ⊢ ves : ([] -> tvs)
    using ts''-def(1) e-type-const-list[of ves S C ts ts''] assms
    by fastforce
  obtain ts-c C' where ts-c-def: (ts'' = ts-c @ t1s)
    (ts' = ts-c @ t2s)
    i < length (s-inst S)
    C' = ((s-inst S)!i)
    (C'(\local := (local C') @ t1s @ tfs, label := ([t2s] @ (label
C')), return := Some t2s) ⊢ es : ([] -> t2s))
    using e-type-callcl-native[OF ts''-def(2) assms(2)]
    by fastforce
  have inst-typing S (inst s ! i) (s-inst S ! i)
    using store-typing-imp-inst-length-eq[OF assms(9)] store-typing-imp-inst-typing[OF
assms(9)]
    ts-c-def(3)
    by simp
  obtain C'' where c''-def: C'' = C'(\local := (local C') @ t1s @ tfs, return := Some
t2s)
    by blast
  hence C''(\label := ([t2s] @ (label C''))) = C'(\local := (local C') @ t1s @ tfs,
label := ([t2s] @ (label C')), return := Some t2s)
    by fastforce
  hence S.C'' ⊢ [Block ([] -> t2s) es] : ([] -> t2s)
    using ts-c-def b-e-typing.block[of ([] -> t2s) [] t2s - es] e-typing-s-typing.intros(1)[of
- [Block ([] -> t2s) es]]
    by fastforce
  moreover
  have t-eqs: ts = ts-c t1s = tvs
    using tvs-def(1,2) ts-c-def(1)
    by simp-all
  have 1:tfs = map typeof zs

```

```

    using n-zeros-typeof assms(8)
  by auto
  have t1s = map typeof vs
    using typing-map-typeof assms(3) tvs-def t-eqs
    by fastforce
  hence (t1s @ tfs) = map typeof (vs @ zs)
    using 1
    by simp
  ultimately
  have  $\mathcal{S} \cdot \text{Some } t2s \vdash\text{-i } (vs @ zs); ([\$Block \ [] \ -> t2s) es] : t2s$ 
    using e-typing-s-typing.intros(8) ts-c-def c''-def
    by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
    using e-typing-s-typing.intros(3,5) ts-c-def t-eqs(1) assms(2,7)
    by fastforce
qed

```

lemma *types-preserved-callcl-host-some:*

```

  assumes  $\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash ves @ [Callcl\ cl] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$ 
    cl = Func-host (t1s -> t2s) f
    ves =  $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{S}^* vcs$ 
    length vcs = n
    length t1s = n
    length t2s = m
    host-apply s (t1s -> t2s) f vcs hs = Some (s', vcs')
    store-typing s  $\mathcal{S}$ 
  shows  $\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash \mathbb{S}\mathbb{S}^* vcs' : (ts \rightarrow ts')$ 
proof -
  obtain ts'' where ts''-def:  $\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash ves : (ts \rightarrow ts'')$   $\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash [Callcl\ cl] : (ts'' \rightarrow ts')$ 
  using assms(1) e-type-comp
  by fastforce
  have ves-c: const-list ves
    using is-const-list[OF assms(3)]
    by simp
  then obtain tvs where tvs-def:  $ts'' = ts @ tvs$ 
    length t1s = length tvs
     $\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash ves : ([\ ] \rightarrow tvs)$ 
    using ts''-def(1) e-type-const-list[of ves  $\mathcal{S} \ \mathcal{C} \ ts \ ts''$ ] assms
    by fastforce
  hence ts'' = ts @ t1s
    ts' = ts @ t2s
    using e-type-callcl-host[OF ts''-def(2) assms(2)]
    by auto
  moreover
  hence list-all2 types-agree t1s vcs
    using e-typing-imp-list-types-agree[where ?ts' =  $[\ ]$ ] assms(3) tvs-def(1,3)
    by fastforce
  hence  $\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash \mathbb{S}\mathbb{S}^* vcs' : ([\ ] \rightarrow t2s)$ 
    using list-types-agree-imp-e-typing host-apply-respect-type[OF - assms(7)]

```


by *fastforce*
 ultimately
 show *?thesis*
 using *e-typing-s-typing.intros(3)*
 by *fastforce*
 qed

lemma *types-imp-concat*:
 assumes $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash es \ @ \ [e] \ @ \ es' : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $\bigwedge tes \ tes'. ((\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (tes \rightarrow tes'))) \implies (\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (tes \rightarrow tes'))$
 shows $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash es \ @ \ [e] \ @ \ es' : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
proof –
 obtain ts'' where $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash es : (ts \rightarrow ts'')$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] \ @ \ es' : (ts'' \rightarrow ts')$
 using *e-type-comp-conc1[of - - es [e] @ es'] assms(1)*
 by *fastforce*
moreover
 then obtain ts''' where $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (ts'' \rightarrow ts''')$ $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash es' : (ts''' \rightarrow ts')$
 using *e-type-comp-conc1[of - - [e] es' ts'' ts'] assms*
 by *fastforce*
 ultimately
 show *?thesis*
 using *assms(2) e-type-comp-conc[of - - es ts ts'' [e] ts''']*
 $e\text{-type-comp-conc}[of \ - \ - \ es \ @ \ [e] \ ts \ ts''']$
 by *fastforce*
 qed

lemma *type-const-return*:
 assumes $Lfilled \ i \ lholed \ (vs \ @ \ [Return]) \ LI$
 $(return \ C) = Some \ tcs$
 $length \ tcs = length \ vs$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash LI : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $const\text{-list} \ vs$
 shows $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}' \vdash vs : ([] \rightarrow tcs)$
 using *assms*
proof (*induction i arbitrary: ts ts' lholed C LI C'*)
case 0
 obtain $vs' \ es'$ where $LI = (vs' \ @ \ (vs \ @ \ [Return])) \ @ \ es'$
 using *Lfilled.simps[of 0 lholed (vs @ [Return]) LI] 0(1)*
 by *fastforce*
 then obtain $ts'' \ ts'''$ where $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash vs' : (ts \rightarrow ts'')$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash (vs \ @ \ [Return]) : (ts'' \rightarrow ts''')$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash es' : (ts''' \rightarrow ts')$
 using *e-type-comp-conc2[of S C vs' (vs @ [Return]) es'] 0(4)*
 by *fastforce*
then obtain $ts\text{-}b$ where $ts\text{-}b\text{-}def:\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash vs : (ts'' \rightarrow ts\text{-}b)$ $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [Return] : (ts\text{-}b \rightarrow ts''')$
 using *e-type-comp-conc1*
 by *fastforce*

```

then obtain  $ts\text{-}c$  where  $ts\text{-}c\text{-}def:ts\text{-}b = ts\text{-}c @ tcs$  ( $return\ C = Some\ tcs$ )
  using  $0(2)$   $b\text{-}e\text{-}type\text{-}return[of\ C]$   $unlift\text{-}b\text{-}e[of\ \mathcal{S}\ C\ [Return]\ ts\text{-}b \text{-}> ts'']$ 
  by  $fastforce$ 
obtain  $tcs'$  where  $ts\text{-}b = ts'' @ tcs'$   $length\ vs = length\ tcs'$   $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}' \vdash vs : ([\ ] \text{-}>$ 
 $tcs')$ 
  using  $ts\text{-}b\text{-}def(1)$   $e\text{-}type\text{-}const\text{-}list\ 0(5)$ 
  by  $fastforce$ 
thus  $?case$ 
  using  $0(3)$   $ts\text{-}c\text{-}def$ 
  by  $simp$ 
next
case ( $Suc\ i$ )
obtain  $vs' n l les les' LK$  where  $es\text{-}def:lholed = (LRec\ vs' n les l les')$ 
   $Lfilled\ i\ l\ (vs @ [\$Return])\ LK$ 
   $LI = (vs' @ [Label\ n\ les\ LK] @ les')$ 
  using  $Lfilled.simps[of\ (Suc\ i)\ lholed\ (vs @ [\$Return])\ LI]\ Suc(2)$ 
  by  $fastforce$ 
then obtain  $ts'' ts'''$  where  $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [Label\ n\ les\ LK] : (ts'' \text{-}> ts''')$ 
  using  $e\text{-}type\text{-}comp\text{-}conc2[of\ \mathcal{S}\ C\ vs' [Label\ n\ les\ LK]\ les']\ Suc(5)$ 
  by  $fastforce$ 
then obtain  $tls\ t2s$  where
   $ts''' = ts'' @ t2s$ 
   $length\ tls = n$ 
   $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash les : (tls \text{-}> t2s)$ 
   $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}(\text{label} := [tls] @ \text{label}\ C) \vdash LK : ([\ ] \text{-}> t2s)$ 
   $return\ (C(\text{label} := [tls] @ \text{label}\ C)) = Some\ tcs$ 
  using  $e\text{-}type\text{-}label[of\ \mathcal{S}\ C\ n\ les\ LK\ ts''\ ts''']\ Suc(3)$ 
  by  $fastforce$ 
then show  $?case$ 
  using  $Suc(1)[OF\ es\text{-}def(2) - assms(3) - assms(5)]$ 
  by  $fastforce$ 
qed

lemma  $types\text{-}preserved\text{-}return$ :
assumes  $(\text{Local}\ n\ i\ vls\ LI) \rightsquigarrow (ves)$ 
   $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [Local\ n\ i\ vls\ LI] : (ts \text{-}> ts')$ 
   $const\text{-}list\ ves$ 
   $length\ ves = n$ 
   $Lfilled\ j\ lholed\ (ves @ [\$Return])\ LI$ 
shows  $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash ves : (ts \text{-}> ts')$ 
proof –
obtain  $tls\ C'$  where  $l\text{-}def:i < length\ (s\text{-}inst\ \mathcal{S})$ 
   $C' = ((s\text{-}inst\ \mathcal{S})!i)(\text{local} := (\text{local}\ ((s\text{-}inst\ \mathcal{S})!i)) @ (\text{map}\ typeof$ 
 $vls),\ return := Some\ tls)$ 
   $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}' \vdash LI : ([\ ] \text{-}> tls)$ 
   $ts' = ts @ tls$ 
   $length\ tls = n$ 
  using  $e\text{-}type\text{-}local[OF\ assms(2)]$ 
  by  $blast$ 

```

hence $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash ves : ([\] \rightarrow tls)$
using $type\text{-}const\text{-}return[OF\ assms(5) \text{-} \text{-} l\text{-}def(3)]\ assms(3-5)$
by $fastforce$
thus $?thesis$
using $e\text{-}typing\text{-}s\text{-}typing.intros(3)\ l\text{-}def(4)$
by $fastforce$
qed

lemma $type\text{-}const\text{-}br$:
assumes $Lfilled\ i\ lholed\ (vs\ @\ [\$Br\ (i+k)])\ LI$
 $length\ (label\ C) > k$
 $(label\ C)!k = tcs$
 $length\ tcs = length\ vs$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash LI : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $const\text{-}list\ vs$
shows $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}' \vdash vs : ([\] \rightarrow tcs)$
using $assms$
proof ($induction\ i\ arbitrary: k\ ts\ ts'\ lholed\ C\ LI\ C'$)
case 0
obtain $vs'\ es'$ **where** $LI = (vs' @ (vs @ [\$Br (0+k)]) @ es')$
using $Lfilled.simps[of\ 0\ lholed\ (vs\ @\ [\$Br\ (0+k)])\ LI]\ 0(1)$
by $fastforce$
then obtain $ts''\ ts'''$ **where** $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash vs' : (ts \rightarrow ts'')$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash (vs @ [\$Br (0+k)]) : (ts'' \rightarrow ts''')$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash es' : (ts''' \rightarrow ts')$
using $e\text{-}type\text{-}comp\text{-}conc2[of\ \mathcal{S}\ C\ vs'\ (vs\ @\ [\$Br\ (0+k)])\ es']\ 0(5)$
by $fastforce$
then obtain $ts\text{-}b$ **where** $ts\text{-}b\text{-}def:\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash vs : (ts'' \rightarrow ts\text{-}b)\ \mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$Br\ (0+k)] :$
 $(ts\text{-}b \rightarrow ts''')$
using $e\text{-}type\text{-}comp\text{-}conc1$
by $fastforce$
then obtain $ts\text{-}c$ **where** $ts\text{-}c\text{-}def:ts\text{-}b = ts\text{-}c @ tcs\ (label\ C)!k = tcs$
using $0(3)\ b\text{-}e\text{-}type\text{-}br[of\ C\ Br\ (0+k)]\ unlift\text{-}b\text{-}e[of\ \mathcal{S}\ C\ [Br\ (0+k)]\ ts\text{-}b \rightarrow$
 $ts''']$
by $fastforce$
obtain ts' **where** $ts\text{-}b = ts'' @ tcs'\ length\ vs = length\ tcs'\ \mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}' \vdash vs : ([\] \rightarrow$
 $ts')$
using $ts\text{-}b\text{-}def(1)\ e\text{-}type\text{-}const\text{-}list\ 0(6)$
by $fastforce$
thus $?case$
using $0(4)\ ts\text{-}c\text{-}def$
by $simp$
next
case ($Suc\ i\ k\ ts\ ts'\ lholed\ C\ LI$)
obtain $vs'\ n\ l\ les\ les'\ LK$ **where** $es\text{-}def:lholed = (LRec\ vs'\ n\ les\ l\ les')$
 $Lfilled\ i\ l\ (vs\ @\ [\$Br\ (i + (Suc\ k))])\ LK$
 $LI = (vs' @ [Label\ n\ les\ LK]) @ les'$
using $Lfilled.simps[of\ (Suc\ i)\ lholed\ (vs\ @\ [\$Br\ ((Suc\ i) + k)])\ LI]\ Suc(2)$
by $fastforce$

then obtain $ts'' ts'''$ **where** $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Label } n \text{ les } LK] : (ts'' \rightarrow ts''')$
using $e\text{-type-comp-conc2}$ [of $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{C} vs' [\text{Label } n \text{ les } LK] \text{ les}^\wedge$] $\text{Suc}(6)$
by fastforce
moreover
then obtain $lts \mathcal{C}'' ts''''$ **where** $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}'' \vdash LK : ([\] \rightarrow ts''')$ $\mathcal{C}'' = \mathcal{C}(\text{label} := [lts]$
 $\text{@} (\text{label } \mathcal{C}))$
 $\text{length} (\text{label } \mathcal{C}'') > (\text{Suc } k)$
 $(\text{label } \mathcal{C}'')!(\text{Suc } k) = tcs$
using $e\text{-type-label}$ [of $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{C} n \text{ les } LK ts'' ts'''$] $\text{Suc}(3,4)$
by fastforce
then show $?case$
using $\text{Suc}(1) \text{ es-def}(2) \text{ assms}(4,6)$
by fastforce
qed

lemma $\text{types-preserved-br}$:

assumes $([\text{Label } n \text{ es0 } LI]) \rightsquigarrow (vs \text{ @ } es0)$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Label } n \text{ es0 } LI] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $\text{const-list } vs$
 $\text{length } vs = n$
 $L\text{filled } i \text{ lholed } (vs \text{ @ } [\text{\$Br } i]) LI$

shows $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash (vs \text{ @ } es0) : (ts \rightarrow ts')$

proof –

obtain $tls \ t2s \ \mathcal{C}'$ **where** $l\text{-def}:(ts' = (ts \text{@} t2s))$
 $(\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash es0 : (tls \rightarrow t2s))$
 $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C}(\text{label} := [tls] \text{ @ } (\text{label } \mathcal{C}))$
 $\text{length} (\text{label } \mathcal{C}') > 0$
 $(\text{label } \mathcal{C}')!0 = tls$
 $\text{length } tls = n$
 $(\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}(\text{label} := [tls] \text{ @ } (\text{label } \mathcal{C})) \vdash LI : ([\] \rightarrow t2s))$
using $e\text{-type-label}$ [of $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{C} n \text{ es0 } LI \text{ ts } \text{ts}'$] $\text{assms}(2)$
by fastforce
hence $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash vs : ([\] \rightarrow tls)$
using $\text{assms}(3-5) \text{ type-const-br}$ [of $i \text{ lholed } vs \ 0 \ LI \ \mathcal{C}' \ tls$]
by fastforce
thus $?thesis$
using $l\text{-def}(1,2) \text{ e-type-comp-conc } \text{e-typing-s-typing.intros}(3)$
by fastforce

qed

lemma $\text{store-local-label-empty}$:

assumes $i < \text{length} (s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})$
 $\text{store-typing } s \ \mathcal{S}$

shows $\text{label} ((s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})!i) = [\] \text{ local } ((s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})!i) = [\]$

proof –

obtain insts **where** $\text{inst-typ}:\text{list-all2} (\text{inst-typing } \mathcal{S}) \text{ insts } (s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})$
using $\text{assms}(2)$
unfolding $\text{store-typing.simps}$
by auto

```

thus label ((s-inst  $\mathcal{S}$ )!i) = []
  using assms(1)
  unfolding inst-typing.simps List.list-all2-conv-all-nth
  by fastforce
show local ((s-inst  $\mathcal{S}$ )!i) = []
  using assms(1) inst-typ
  unfolding inst-typing.simps List.list-all2-conv-all-nth
  by fastforce
qed

lemma types-preserved-b-e1:
  assumes ( $\langle es \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle es' \rangle$ )
    store-typing s  $\mathcal{S}$ 
     $\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash es : (ts \rightarrow ts')$ 
  shows  $\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash es' : (ts \rightarrow ts')$ 
  using assms(1)
proof (cases rule: reduce-simple.cases)
  case (unop-i32 c iop)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms(1,3) types-preserved-unop-testop-cutop
    by simp
next
  case (unop-i64 c iop)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms(1, 3) types-preserved-unop-testop-cutop
    by simp
next
  case (unop-f32 c fop)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms(1, 3) types-preserved-unop-testop-cutop
    by simp
next
  case (unop-f64 c fop)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms(1, 3) types-preserved-unop-testop-cutop
    by simp
next
  case (binop-i32-Some iop c1 c2 c)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms(1, 3) types-preserved-binop-relop
    by simp
next
  case (binop-i32-None iop c1 c2)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: e-typing-s-typing.intros(4))
next
  case (binop-i64-Some iop c1 c2 c)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms(1, 3) types-preserved-binop-relop

```

```

    by simp
next
case (binop-i64-None iop c1 c2)
thus ?thesis
  by (simp add: e-typing-s-typing.intros(4))
next
case (binop-f32-Some fop c1 c2 c)
thus ?thesis
  using assms(1, 3) types-preserved-binop-relop
  by simp
next
case (binop-f32-None fop c1 c2)
thus ?thesis
  by (simp add: e-typing-s-typing.intros(4))
next
case (binop-f64-Some fop c1 c2 c)
then show ?thesis
  using assms(1, 3) types-preserved-binop-relop
  by simp
next
case (binop-f64-None fop c1 c2)
then show ?thesis
  by (simp add: e-typing-s-typing.intros(4))
next
case (testop-i32 c testop)
then show ?thesis
  using assms(1, 3) types-preserved-unop-testop-cvtop
  by simp
next
case (testop-i64 c testop)
then show ?thesis
  using assms(1, 3) types-preserved-unop-testop-cvtop
  by simp
next
case (relop-i32 c1 c2 iop)
then show ?thesis
  using assms(1, 3) types-preserved-binop-relop
  by simp
next
case (relop-i64 c1 c2 iop)
then show ?thesis
  using assms(1, 3) types-preserved-binop-relop
  by simp
next
case (relop-f32 c1 c2 fop)
then show ?thesis
  using assms(1, 3) types-preserved-binop-relop
  by simp
next

```

```

case (relop-f64 c1 c2 fop)
then show ?thesis
  using assms(1, 3) types-preserved-binop-relop
  by simp
next
case (convert-Some t1 v t2 sx v')
then show ?thesis
  using assms(1, 3) types-preserved-unop-testop-cvtop
  by simp
next
case (convert-None t1 v t2 sx)
then show ?thesis
  using e-typing-s-typing.intros(4)
  by simp
next
case (reinterpret t1 v t2)
then show ?thesis
  using assms(1, 3) types-preserved-unop-testop-cvtop
  by simp
next
case unreachable
then show ?thesis
  using e-typing-s-typing.intros(4)
  by simp
next
case nop
then have  $\mathcal{C} \vdash [Nop] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$ 
  using assms(3) unlift-b-e
  by simp
then show ?thesis
  using nop b-e-typing.empty e-typing-s-typing.intros(1,3)
  apply (induction [Nop]  $ts \rightarrow ts'$  arbitrary: ts ts')
  apply simp-all
  apply (metis list.simps(8))
  apply blast
  done
next
case (drop v)
then show ?thesis
  using assms(1, 3) types-preserved-drop
  by simp
next
case (select-false v1 v2)
then show ?thesis
  using assms(1, 3) types-preserved-select
  by simp
next
case (select-true n v1 v2)
then show ?thesis

```

```

    using assms(1, 3) types-preserved-select
    by simp
next
case (block vs n t1s t2s m es)
then show ?thesis
    using assms(1, 3) types-preserved-block
    by simp
next
case (loop vs n t1s t2s m es)
then show ?thesis
    using assms(1, 3) types-preserved-loop
    by simp
next
case (if-false tf e1s e2s)
then show ?thesis
    using assms(1, 3) types-preserved-if
    by simp
next
case (if-true n tf e1s e2s)
then show ?thesis
    using assms(1, 3) types-preserved-if
    by simp
next
case (label-const ts es)
then show ?thesis
    using assms(1, 3) types-preserved-label-value
    by simp
next
case (label-trap ts es)
then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: e-typing-s-typing.intros(4))
next
case (br vs n i lholed LI es)
then show ?thesis
    using assms(1, 3) types-preserved-br
    by fastforce
next
case (br-if-false n i)
then show ?thesis
    using assms(1, 3) types-preserved-br-if
    by fastforce
next
case (br-if-true n i)
then show ?thesis
    using assms(1, 3) types-preserved-br-if
    by fastforce
next
case (br-table is' c i')
then show ?thesis

```



```

    using assms(1, 3) types-preserved-br-table
    by fastforce
next
case (br-table-length is' c i')
then show ?thesis
    using assms(1, 3) types-preserved-br-table
    by fastforce
next
case (local-const i vs)
then show ?thesis
    using assms(1, 3) types-preserved-local-const
    by fastforce
next
case (local-trap i vs)
then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: e-typing-s-typing.intros(4))
next
case (return n j lholed es i vls)
then show ?thesis
    using assms(1, 3) types-preserved-return
    by fastforce
next
case (tee-local v i)
then show ?thesis
    using assms(1, 3) types-preserved-tee-local
    by simp
next
case (trap lholed)
then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: e-typing-s-typing.intros(4))
qed

lemma types-preserved-b-e:
  assumes (|es| ~> |es'|)
          store-typing s S
          S·None ⊢-i vs;es : ts
  shows S·None ⊢-i vs;es' : ts
proof -
  have i < (length (s-inst S))
    using assms(3) s-typing.cases
    by blast
  moreover
  obtain tus C where defs: tus = map typeof vs C = ((s-inst S)!i)(|local := (local
((s-inst S)!i) @ tus), return := None)| S·C ⊢ es : ([] -> ts)
    using assms(3)
    unfolding s-typing.simps
    by blast
  have S·C ⊢ es' : ([] -> ts)
    using assms(1,2) defs(3) types-preserved-b-e1

```

by *simp*
 ultimately show *?thesis*
 using *defs*
 unfolding *s-typing.simps*
 by *auto*
 qed

lemma *types-preserved-store*:

assumes $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$C \text{ ConstInt32 } k, \$C v, \$Store t tp a \text{ off}] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$

shows $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$

types-agree t v

proof –

obtain $ts'' ts'''$ where $ts\text{-def}:\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$C \text{ ConstInt32 } k] : (ts \rightarrow ts'')$

$\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$C v] : (ts'' \rightarrow ts''')$

$\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$Store t tp a \text{ off}] : (ts''' \rightarrow ts')$

using *assms e-type-comp-conc2*[of $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{C} [\$C \text{ ConstInt32 } k] [\$C v] [\$Store t tp a \text{ off}]$]

by *fastforce*

then have $ts'' = ts@[T-i32]$

using *b-e-type-value*[of $\mathcal{C} \mathcal{C} \text{ ConstInt32 } k ts ts''$]

unlift-b-e[of $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{C} [\mathcal{C} (\text{ConstInt32 } k)] (ts \rightarrow ts'')$]

unfolding *typeof-def*

by *fastforce*

hence $ts''' = ts@[T-i32], (\text{typeof } v)$

using *ts-def*(2) *b-e-type-value*[of $\mathcal{C} \mathcal{C} v ts'' ts'''$]

unlift-b-e[of $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{C} [\mathcal{C} v] (ts'' \rightarrow ts''')$]

by *fastforce*

hence $ts = ts'$ *types-agree t v*

using *ts-def*(3) *b-e-type-store*[of $\mathcal{C} \text{ Store } t tp a \text{ off } ts''' ts'$]

unlift-b-e[of $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{C} [\text{Store } t tp a \text{ off}] (ts''' \rightarrow ts')$]

unfolding *types-agree-def*

by *fastforce+*

thus $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$ *types-agree t v*

using *b-e-type-empty*[of $\mathcal{C} ts ts'$] *e-typing-s-typing.intros*(1)

by *fastforce+*

qed

lemma *types-preserved-current-memory*:

assumes $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$Current\text{-memory}] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$

shows $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$C \text{ ConstInt32 } c] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$

proof –

have $ts' = ts@[T-i32]$

using *assms b-e-type-current-memory unlift-b-e*[of $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{C} [\text{Current-memory}]$]

by *fastforce*

thus *?thesis*

using *b-e-typing.const*[of $\mathcal{C} \text{ ConstInt32 } c$] *e-typing-s-typing.intros*(1,3)

unfolding *typeof-def*

by *fastforce*

qed

lemma *types-preserved-grow-memory*:
assumes $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$C \text{ ConstInt32 } c, \$Grow\text{-memory}] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
shows $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$C \text{ ConstInt32 } c^\wedge] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
proof –
obtain ts'' **where** $ts''\text{-def}:\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$C \text{ ConstInt32 } c] : (ts \rightarrow ts'')$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$Grow\text{-memory}] : (ts'' \rightarrow ts^\wedge)$
using *e-type-comp assms*
by (*metis append-butlast-last-id butlast.simps(2) last.simps list.distinct(1)*)
have $ts'' = ts@[T-i32]$
using *b-e-type-value*[*of* $\mathcal{C} \ C \ \text{ConstInt32 } c \ ts \ ts''$]
 unlift-b-e [*of* $\mathcal{S} \ \mathcal{C} \ [C \ \text{ConstInt32 } c]$ $ts''\text{-def}(1)$]
unfolding *typeof-def*
by *fastforce*
moreover
hence $ts'' = ts'$
using $ts''\text{-def}$ *b-e-type-grow-memory*[*of* $-- \ ts'' \ ts^\wedge$] unlift-b-e [*of* $\mathcal{S} \ \mathcal{C} \ [Grow\text{-memory}]$]
by *fastforce*
ultimately
show $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$C \text{ ConstInt32 } c^\wedge] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
using *e-typing-s-typing.intros(1,3)*
 $b\text{-e-typing.const}$ [*of* $\mathcal{C} \ \text{ConstInt32 } c^\wedge$]
unfolding *typeof-def*
by *fastforce*
qed

lemma *types-preserved-set-global*:
assumes $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$C \ v, \$Set\text{-global } j] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
shows $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [] : (ts \rightarrow ts^\wedge)$
 $tg\text{-t } (global \ \mathcal{C} \ ! \ j) = \text{typeof } v$
proof –
obtain ts'' **where** $ts''\text{-def}:\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$C \ v] : (ts \rightarrow ts'')$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$Set\text{-global } j] : (ts'' \rightarrow ts^\wedge)$
using *e-type-comp assms*
by (*metis append-butlast-last-id butlast.simps(2) last.simps list.distinct(1)*)
hence $ts'' = ts@[typeof \ v]$
using *b-e-type-value* unlift-b-e [*of* $\mathcal{S} \ \mathcal{C} \ [C \ v]$]
by *fastforce*
hence $ts = ts' \ tg\text{-t } (global \ \mathcal{C} \ ! \ j) = \text{typeof } v$
using *b-e-type-set-global* $ts''\text{-def}(2)$ unlift-b-e [*of* $\mathcal{S} \ \mathcal{C} \ [Set\text{-global } j]$]
by *fastforce+*
thus $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [] : (ts \rightarrow ts^\wedge)$ $tg\text{-t } (global \ \mathcal{C} \ ! \ j) = \text{typeof } v$
using *b-e-type-empty*[*of* $\mathcal{C} \ ts \ ts^\wedge$] *e-typing-s-typing.intros(1)*
by *fastforce+*
qed

lemma *types-preserved-load*:
assumes $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$C \ \text{ConstInt32 } k, \$Load \ t \ tp \ a \ off] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $\text{typeof } v = t$

shows $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\mathcal{C} v] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
proof –
obtain ts'' **where** $ts''\text{-def}:\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\mathcal{C} \text{ConstInt32 } k] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\mathcal{L}oad\ t\ tp\ a\ off] : (ts'' \rightarrow ts')$
using *e-type-comp assms*
by (*metis append-butlast-last-id butlast.simps(2) last.simps list.distinct(1)*)
hence $ts'' = ts@[T-i32]$
using *b-e-type-value unlift-b-e[of $\mathcal{S}\ \mathcal{C}\ [\mathcal{C}\ \text{ConstInt32 } k]$]*
unfolding *typeof-def*
by *fastforce*
hence $ts\text{-def}:ts' = ts@[t]\ \text{load-store-t-bounds}\ a\ (\text{option-proj1}\ tp)\ t$
using $ts''\text{-def}(2)\ \text{b-e-type-load}\ \text{unlift-b-e}[of\ \mathcal{S}\ \mathcal{C}\ [\mathcal{L}oad\ t\ tp\ a\ off]]$
by *fastforce+*
moreover
hence $\mathcal{C} \vdash [\mathcal{C} v] : (ts \rightarrow ts@[t])$
using $assms(2)\ \text{b-e-typing.const}\ \text{b-e-typing.weakening}$
by *fastforce*
ultimately
show $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\mathcal{C} v] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
using *e-typing-s-typing.intros(1)*
by *fastforce*
qed

lemma *types-preserved-get-local*:
assumes $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\mathcal{G}et\text{-local}\ i] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $\text{length}\ vi = i$
 $(\text{local}\ \mathcal{C}) = \text{map}\ \text{typeof}\ (vi\ @\ [v]\ @\ vs)$
shows $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\mathcal{C} v] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
proof –
have $(\text{local}\ \mathcal{C})!i = \text{typeof}\ v$
using $assms(2,3)$
by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) append-Cons length-map list.simps(9) map-append nth-append-length*)
hence $ts' = ts@[typeof\ v]$
using $assms(1)\ \text{unlift-b-e}[of\ \mathcal{S}\ \mathcal{C}\ [\mathcal{G}et\text{-local}\ i]]\ \text{b-e-type-get-local}$
by *fastforce*
thus *?thesis*
using $\text{b-e-typing.const}\ \text{e-typing-s-typing.intros}(1,3)$
by *fastforce*
qed

lemma *types-preserved-set-local*:
assumes $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\mathcal{C} v', \mathcal{S}et\text{-local}\ i] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $\text{length}\ vi = i$
 $(\text{local}\ \mathcal{C}) = \text{map}\ \text{typeof}\ (vi\ @\ [v]\ @\ vs)$
shows $(\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [] : (ts \rightarrow ts')) \wedge \text{map}\ \text{typeof}\ (vi\ @\ [v]\ @\ vs) = \text{map}\ \text{typeof}\ (vi\ @\ [v']\ @\ vs)$
proof –
have $v\text{-type}:(\text{local}\ \mathcal{C})!i = \text{typeof}\ v$

using *assms*(2,3)
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *opaque-lifting*) *append-Cons length-map list.simps*(9) *map-append nth-append-length*)
obtain ts'' **where** $ts''\text{-def}:\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$C v^\wedge] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$Set\text{-local } i] : (ts'' \rightarrow ts')$
using *e-type-comp assms*
by (*metis* *append-butlast-last-id butlast.simps*(2) *last.simps list.distinct*(1))
hence $ts'' = ts@[typeof v^\wedge]$
using *b-e-type-value unlift-b-e*[of $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{C} [C v^\wedge]$]
by *fastforce*
hence $typeof v = typeof v' \quad ts' = ts$
using *v-type b-e-type-set-local*[of $\mathcal{C} \text{ Set-local } i \quad ts'' \quad ts^\wedge$] *ts''-def*(2) *unlift-b-e*[of $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{C} [\text{Set-local } i]$]
by *fastforce*+
thus *?thesis*
using *b-e-type-empty*[of $\mathcal{C} \quad ts \quad ts^\wedge$] *e-typing-s-typing.intros*(1)
by *fastforce*
qed

lemma *types-preserved-get-global*:

assumes $typeof (sglob\text{-val } s \ i \ j) = tg\text{-t } (global \ \mathcal{C} \ ! \ j)$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$Get\text{-global } j] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
shows $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\$C \ sglob\text{-val } s \ i \ j] : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
proof –
have $ts' = ts@[tg\text{-t } (global \ \mathcal{C} \ ! \ j)]$
using *b-e-type-get-global assms*(2) *unlift-b-e*[of - - [Get-global j]]
by *fastforce*
thus *?thesis*
using *b-e-typing.const*[of $\mathcal{C} \ sglob\text{-val } s \ i \ j$] *assms*(1) *e-typing-s-typing.intros*(1,3)
by *fastforce*
qed

lemma *lholed-same-type*:

assumes $Lfilled \ k \ lholed \ es \ les$
 $Lfilled \ k \ lholed \ es' \ les'$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash les : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $\bigwedge arb\text{-labs } ts \ ts'.$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot(\mathcal{C}(\backslash label := arb\text{-labs}@(\text{label } \mathcal{C}))) \vdash es : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $\implies \mathcal{S}\cdot(\mathcal{C}(\backslash label := arb\text{-labs}@(\text{label } \mathcal{C}))) \vdash es' : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
shows $(\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash les' : (ts \rightarrow ts'))$
using *assms*
proof (*induction arbitrary: ts ts' es' C les' rule: Lfilled.induct*)
case (*L0 vs lholed es' es ts ts' es''*)
obtain $ts'' \quad ts'''$ **where** $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash vs : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash es : (ts'' \rightarrow ts''')$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash es' : (ts''' \rightarrow ts')$
using *e-type-comp-conc2 L0*(4)
by *blast*
moreover

```

hence ( $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash es'' : (ts'' \rightarrow ts''')$ )
  using  $L0(5)$ [of []  $ts'' ts'''$ ]
  by fastforce
ultimately
have ( $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash vs @ es'' @ es' : (ts \rightarrow ts')$ )
  using  $e\text{-type-comp-conc}$ 
  by fastforce
thus ?case
  using  $L0(2,3)$   $Lfilled.simps$ [of 0  $lholed es'' les'$ ]
  by fastforce
next
case ( $LN\ vs\ lholed\ n\ es'\ l\ es''\ k\ es\ lfilledk\ t1s\ t2s\ es''' \mathcal{C}\ les'$ )
  obtain  $lfilledk'$  where  $l'\text{-def}:Lfilled\ k\ l\ es''' \ lfilledk'\ les' = vs @ [Label\ n\ es'\ lfilledk'] @ es''$ 
    using  $LN\ Lfilled.simps$ [of  $k+1\ lholed\ es''' les'$ ]
    by fastforce
  obtain  $ts'\ ts''$  where  $lab\text{-def}:\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash vs : (t1s \rightarrow ts')$ 
     $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [Label\ n\ es'\ lfilledk'] : (ts' \rightarrow ts'')$ 
     $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash es'' : (ts'' \rightarrow t2s)$ 
  using  $e\text{-type-comp-conc2}$ [OF  $LN(6)$ ]
  by blast
  obtain  $tls\ ts\text{-}c\ \mathcal{C}\text{-}int$  where  $int\text{-def}: ts'' = ts' @ ts\text{-}c$ 
     $length\ t1s = n$ 
     $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash es' : (t1s \rightarrow ts\text{-}c)$ 
     $\mathcal{C}\text{-}int = \mathcal{C}(label := [t1s] @ label\ \mathcal{C})$ 
     $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}\text{-}int \vdash lfilledk' : ([] \rightarrow ts\text{-}c)$ 
  using  $e\text{-type-label}$ [OF  $lab\text{-def}(2)$ ]
  by blast
  have ( $\bigwedge C' arb\text{-}labs'\ ts\ ts'$ .
     $C' = \mathcal{C}\text{-}int(label := arb\text{-}labs' @ label\ \mathcal{C}\text{-}int) \implies$ 
     $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}' \vdash es : (ts \rightarrow ts') \implies$ 
    ( $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}' \vdash es''' : (ts \rightarrow ts')$ ))
  proof -
    fix  $C'' arb\text{-}labs'' t1s\ t1s'$ 
    assume  $C'' = \mathcal{C}\text{-}int(label := arb\text{-}labs'' @ label\ \mathcal{C}\text{-}int)$ 
     $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}'' \vdash es : (t1s \rightarrow t1s')$ 
    thus ( $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}'' \vdash es''' : (t1s \rightarrow t1s')$ )
      using  $LN(7)$ [of  $arb\text{-}labs'' @ [t1s]\ t1s\ t1s'$ ]  $int\text{-def}(4)$ 
      by fastforce
  qed
hence ( $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}\text{-}int \vdash lfilledk' : ([] \rightarrow ts\text{-}c)$ )
  using  $LN(4)$ [OF  $l'\text{-def}(1)\ int\text{-def}(5)$ ]
  by fastforce
hence ( $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [Label\ n\ es'\ lfilledk'] : (ts' \rightarrow ts'')$ )
  using  $int\text{-def}\ e\text{-typing-s-typing.intros}(3,7)$ 
  by ( $metis\ append.right\text{-}neutral$ )
thus ?case
  using  $lab\text{-def}\ e\text{-type-comp-conc}\ l'\text{-def}(2)$ 
  by blast

```

qed

```
lemma types-preserved-e1:
  assumes  $(\!|s;vs;es\!) \rightsquigarrow\!-\!i (\!|s';vs';es'\!)$ 
    store-typing  $s \mathcal{S}$ 
     $tvs = \text{map typeof } vs$ 
     $i < \text{length } (\text{inst } s)$ 
     $C = ((s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})!i)(\text{local} := (\text{local } ((s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})!i) @ tvs), \text{label} := \text{arb-labs},$ 
return := arb-return)
     $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash es : (ts \rightarrow ts')$ 
  shows  $(\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash es' : (ts \rightarrow ts')) \wedge (\text{map typeof } vs = \text{map typeof } vs')$ 
  using assms
proof (induction arbitrary:  $tvs \ C \ ts \ ts' \ \text{arb-labs} \ \text{arb-return}$  rule: reduce.induct)
  case (basic  $e \ e' \ s \ vs \ i$ )
  then show ?case
    using types-preserved-b-e1[OF basic(1,2)]
    by fastforce
next
  case (call  $s \ vs \ j \ i$ )
  obtain  $ts'' \ tf1 \ tf2$  where l-func-t:  $\text{length } (\text{func-t } C) > j$ 
     $ts = ts''@tf1$ 
     $ts' = ts''@tf2$ 
     $((\text{func-t } C)!j) = (tf1 \rightarrow tf2)$ 
  using b-e-type-call[of  $C \ \text{Call } j \ ts \ ts' \ j$ ] call(5)
    unlift-b-e[of - - [Call  $j$ ]  $(ts \rightarrow ts')$ ]
  by fastforce
  have  $i < \text{length } (s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})$ 
  using call(3) store-typing-imp-inst-length-eq[OF call(1)]
  by simp
  moreover
  have  $j < \text{length } (\text{func-t } (s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S} ! i))$ 
  using l-func-t(1) call(4)
  by simp
  ultimately
  have cl-typing  $\mathcal{S} \ (s\text{func } s \ i \ j) \ (tf1 \rightarrow tf2)$ 
  using store-typing-imp-func-agree[OF call(1)] l-func-t(4) call(4)
  by fastforce
  thus ?case
  using e-typing-s-typing.intros(3,6) l-func-t
  by fastforce
next
  case (call-indirect-Some  $s \ i' \ c \ cl \ j \ tf \ vs$ )
  show ?case
  using types-preserved-call-indirect-Some[OF call-indirect-Some(8,1)]
    call-indirect-Some(2,3,4,6,7)
  by fastforce
next
  case (call-indirect-None  $s \ i \ c \ cl \ j \ vs$ )
  thus ?case
```

```

    using e-typing-s-typing.intros(4)
    by blast
next
case (callcl-native cl j t1s t2s ts es ves vcs n k m zs s vs i)
thus ?case
    using types-preserved-callcl-native
    by fastforce
next
case (callcl-host-Some cl t1s t2s f ves vcs n m s hs s' vcs' vs i)
thus ?case
    using types-preserved-callcl-host-some
    by fastforce
next
case (callcl-host-None cl t1s t2s f ves vcs n m s hs vs i)
thus ?case
    using e-typing-s-typing.intros(4)
    by blast
next
case (get-local vi j s v vs i)
hence i < length (s-inst S)
    unfolding list-all2-conv-all-nth store-typing.simps
    by fastforce
then have local C = tvs
    using store-local-label-empty assms(2) get-local
    by fastforce
then show ?case
    using types-preserved-get-local get-local
    by fastforce
next
case (set-local vi j s v vs v' i)
hence i < length (s-inst S)
    unfolding list-all2-conv-all-nth store-typing.simps
    by fastforce
hence local C = tvs
    using store-local-label-empty assms(2) set-local
    by fastforce
thus ?case
    using set-local types-preserved-set-local
    by simp
next
case (get-global s vs j i)
have length (global C) > j
    using b-e-type-get-global get-global(5) unlift-b-e[of - - [Get-global j] (ts -> ts')]
    by fastforce
hence glob-agree (sglob s i j) ((global C)!j)
    using get-global(3,4) store-typing-imp-glob-agree[OF get-global(1)] store-typing-imp-inst-length-eq[OF
get-global(1)]
    by fastforce
hence typeof (g-val (sglob s i j)) = tg-t (global C ! j)

```



```

    unfolding glob-agree-def
  by simp
thus ?case
  using get-global(5) types-preserved-get-global
  unfolding glob-agree-def sglob-val-def
  by fastforce
next
case (set-global s i j v s' vs)
then show ?case
  using types-preserved-set-global
  by fastforce
next
case (load-Some s i j m k off t bs vs a)
then show ?case
  using types-preserved-load(1) wasm-deserialise-type
  by blast
next
case (load-None s i j m k off t vs a)
then show ?case
  using e-typing-s-typing.intros(4)
  by blast
next
case (load-packed-Some s i j m sx k off tp bs vs t a)
then show ?case
  using types-preserved-load(1) wasm-deserialise-type
  by blast
next
case (load-packed-None s i j m sx k off tp vs t a)
then show ?case
  using e-typing-s-typing.intros(4)
  by blast
next
case (store-Some t v s i j m k off mem' vs a)
then show ?case
  using types-preserved-store
  by blast
next
case (store-None t v s i j m k off vs a)
then show ?case
  using e-typing-s-typing.intros(4)
  by blast
next
case (store-packed-Some t v s i j m k off tp mem' vs a)
then show ?case
  using types-preserved-store
  by blast
next
case (store-packed-None t v s i j m k off tp vs a)
then show ?case

```

```

    using e-typing-s-typing.intros(4)
    by blast
next
case (current-memory s i j m n vs)
then show ?case
    using types-preserved-current-memory
    by fastforce
next
case (grow-memory s i j m n c mem' vs)
then show ?case
    using types-preserved-grow-memory
    by fastforce
next
case (grow-memory-fail s i j m n vs c)
thus ?case
    using types-preserved-grow-memory
    by blast
next
case (label s vs es i s' vs' es' k lholed les les')
{
  fix C' arb-labs' ts ts'
  assume local-assms:C' = C(|label := arb-labs'@(label C), return := (return C)|)
  hence (S.C' ⊢ es : (ts -> ts')) ⇒ (S.C' ⊢ es' : (ts -> ts')) ∧ map typeof vs =
map typeof vs'
    using label(4)[OF label(5,6,7)] label(8)
    by fastforce
  hence (S.C(|label := arb-labs'@(label C)|) ⊢ es : (ts -> ts'))
    ⇒ (S.C(|label := arb-labs'@(label C)|) ⊢ es' : (ts -> ts')) ∧
    map typeof vs = map typeof vs'
    using local-assms
    by simp
}
hence ∧arb-labs' ts ts'. S.C(|label := arb-labs'@(label C)|) ⊢ es : (ts -> ts')
    ⇒ (S.C(|label := arb-labs'@(label C)|) ⊢ es' : (ts -> ts'))
    map typeof vs = map typeof vs'
  using types-exist-lfilled[OF label(2,9)]
  by auto
thus ?case
  using lholed-same-type[OF label(2,3,9)]
  by fastforce
next
case (local s vls es i s' vs' es' vs n j)
obtain C' tls where es-def:i < length (s-inst S)
    length tls = n
    C' = (s-inst S ! i) (|local := local(s-inst S ! i) @ map typeof
vls, label := label (s-inst S ! i), return := Some tls)
    S.C' ⊢ es : ([] -> tls)
    ts' = ts @ tls
  using e-type-local[OF local(7)]

```

by *fastforce*
moreover
obtain ts'' **where** $ts' = ts@ts''$ ($\mathcal{S} \cdot (\text{Some } ts'') \Vdash\text{-}i \text{ vls}; es : ts''$)
 using *e-type-local-shallow local(7)*
 by *fastforce*
moreover
have *inst-typing* $\mathcal{S} ((\text{inst } s)!i) ((s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})!i) i < \text{length } (\text{inst } s)$
 using *local es-def(1)*
unfolding *store-typing.simps list-all2-conv-all-nth*
 by *fastforce+*
ultimately
have $\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C}' \vdash es' : ([\] \rightarrow \text{tls}) \text{ map } \text{typeof } \text{vls} = \text{map } \text{typeof } \text{vs}'$
 using *local(2)[OF local(3) - - - es-def(4), of map typeof vls Some tls label*
 ($s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S} ! i$)
 by *fastforce+*
hence $\mathcal{S} \cdot (\text{Some } \text{tls}) \Vdash\text{-} i \text{ vs}'; es' : \text{tls}$
 using *e-typing-s-typing.intros(8) es-def(1,3)*
 by *fastforce*
thus *?case*
 using *e-typing-s-typing.intros(3,5) es-def(2,5)*
 by *fastforce*
qed

lemma *types-preserved-e*:
assumes $(\text{!}s; \text{vs}; es) \rightsquigarrow\text{-}i (\text{!}s'; \text{vs}'; es')$
store-typing s \mathcal{S}
 $\mathcal{S} \cdot \text{None} \Vdash\text{-}i \text{ vs}; es : ts$
shows $\mathcal{S} \cdot \text{None} \Vdash\text{-}i \text{ vs}'; es' : ts$
 using *assms*
proof –
have $i < (\text{length } (s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S}))$
 using *assms(3) s-typing.cases*
 by *blast*
moreover
hence $i\text{-bound}: i < \text{length } (\text{inst } s)$
 using *assms(2)*
unfolding *list-all2-conv-all-nth store-typing.simps*
 by *fastforce*
obtain $\text{tvs } \mathcal{C}$ **where** *defs: tvs = map typeof vs*
 $\mathcal{C} = ((s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})!i)(\text{!}local := (\text{local } ((s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})!i) @ \text{tvs}), \text{label}$
 $:= (\text{label } ((s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})!i), \text{return } := \text{None}))$
 $\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash es : ([\] \rightarrow ts)$
 using *assms(3)*
unfolding *s-typing.simps*
 by *fastforce*
have $(\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash es' : ([\] \rightarrow ts)) \wedge (\text{map } \text{typeof } \text{vs} = \text{map } \text{typeof } \text{vs}')$
 using *types-preserved-e1[OF assms(1,2) defs(1) i-bound defs(2,3)]*
 by *simp*
ultimately show *?thesis*

```

    using defs
    unfolding s-typing.simps
    by auto
qed

```

6.2 Progress

```

lemma const-list-no-progress:
  assumes const-list es
  shows  $\neg(|s;vs;es| \rightsquigarrow - i (|s';vs';es'|))$ 
proof -
  {
    assume  $(|s;vs;es| \rightsquigarrow - i (|s';vs';es'|))$ 
    hence False
    using assms
  proof (induction rule: reduce.induct)
    case (basic e e' s vs i)
    thus ?thesis
  proof (induction rule: reduce-simple.induct)
    case (trap es lholed)
    show ?case
    using trap(2)
  proof (cases rule: Lfilled.cases)
    case (L0 vs es')
    thus ?thesis
    using trap(3) list-all-append const-list-cons-last(2)[of vs Trap]
    unfolding const-list-def
    by (simp add: is-const-def)
  next
    case (LN vs n es' l es'' k lfilledk)
    thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: is-const-def)
  qed
  qed (fastforce simp add: const-list-cons-last(2) is-const-def const-list-def)+
next
  case (label s vs es i s' vs' es' k lholed les les')
  show ?case
  using label(2)
  proof (cases rule: Lfilled.cases)
    case (L0 vs es')
    thus ?thesis
    using label(4,5) list-all-append
    unfolding const-list-def
    by fastforce
  next
    case (LN vs n es' l es'' k lfilledk)
    thus ?thesis
    using label(4,5)
    unfolding const-list-def

```

```

      by (simp add: is-const-def)
    qed
  qed (fastforce simp add: const-list-cons-last(2) is-const-def const-list-def)+
}
thus ?thesis
  by blast
qed

```

```

lemma empty-no-progress:
  assumes es = []
  shows  $\neg(|s;vs;es| \rightsquigarrow i(|s';vs';es'|))$ 
proof -
  {
    assume  $(|s;vs;es| \rightsquigarrow i(|s';vs';es'|))$ 
    hence False
      using assms
    proof (induction rule: reduce.induct)
      case (basic e e' s vs i)
      thus ?thesis
    proof (induction rule: reduce-simple.induct)
      case (trap es lholed)
      thus ?case
        using Lfilled.simps[of 0 lholed [Trap] es]
        by auto
      qed auto
    next
      case (label s vs es i s' vs' es' k lholed les les')
      thus ?case
        using Lfilled.simps[of k lholed es []]
        by auto
      qed auto
    }
  thus ?thesis
    by blast
qed

```

```

lemma trap-no-progress:
  assumes es = [Trap]
  shows  $\neg(|s;vs;es| \rightsquigarrow i(|s';vs';es'|))$ 
proof -
  {
    assume  $(|s;vs;es| \rightsquigarrow i(|s';vs';es'|))$ 
    hence False
      using assms
    proof (induction rule: reduce.induct)
      case (basic e e' s vs i)
      thus ?case
    proof (induction rule: reduce-simple.induct) auto
      next
    next
  }

```

```

    case (label s vs es i s' vs' es' k lholed les les')
  show ?case
    using label(2)
  proof (cases rule: Lfilled.cases)
    case (L0 vs es')
    show ?thesis
      using L0(2) label(1,4,5) empty-no-progress
      by (auto simp add: Cons-eq-append-conv)
    next
    case (LN vs n es' l es'' k' lfilledk)
    show ?thesis
      using LN(2) label(5)
      by (simp add: Cons-eq-append-conv)
  qed
qed auto
}
thus ?thesis
  by blast
qed

```

lemma *terminal-no-progress*:

```

  assumes const-list es  $\vee$  es = [Trap]
  shows  $\neg$ ( $s;vs;es$ )  $\rightsquigarrow$ - i ( $s';vs';es'$ )
  using const-list-no-progress trap-no-progress assms
  by blast

```

lemma *progress-L0*:

```

  assumes ( $s;vs;es$ )  $\rightsquigarrow$ - i ( $s';vs';es'$ )
          const-list cs
  shows ( $s;vs;cs@es@es-c$ )  $\rightsquigarrow$ - i ( $s';vs';cs@es'@es-c$ )
  proof -
    have  $\bigwedge$ es. Lfilled 0 (LBase cs es-c) es (cs@es@es-c)
      using Lfilled.intros(1)[of cs (LBase cs es-c) es-c] assms(2)
      unfolding const-list-def
      by fastforce
    thus ?thesis
      using reduce.intros(23) assms(1)
      by blast
  qed

```

lemma *progress-L0-left*:

```

  assumes ( $s;vs;es$ )  $\rightsquigarrow$ - i ( $s';vs';es'$ )
          const-list cs
  shows ( $s;vs;cs@es$ )  $\rightsquigarrow$ - i ( $s';vs';cs@es'$ )
  using assms progress-L0[where ?es-c = []]
  by fastforce

```

lemma *progress-L0-trap*:

```

  assumes const-list cs

```

```

      cs ≠ [] ∨ es ≠ []
shows ∃ a. (s;vs;cs@[Trap]@es) ~~- i (s;vs;[Trap])
proof -
  have cs @ [Trap] @ es ≠ [Trap]
    using assms(2)
    by (cases cs = []) (auto simp add: append-eq-Cons-conv)
  thus ?thesis
    using reduce.intros(1) assms(2) reduce-simple.trap
      Lfilled.intros(1)[OF assms(1), of - es [Trap]]
    by blast
qed

lemma progress-LN:
  assumes (Lfilled j lholed [$Br (j+k)] es)
    S.C ⊢ es : ([] -> ts)
    (label C)!k = tvs
  shows ∃ lholed' vs C'. (Lfilled j lholed' (vs@[ $Br (j+k)]) es)
    ∧ (S.C' ⊢ vs : ([] -> tvs))
    ∧ const-list vs

  using assms
proof (induction [$Br (j+k)] es arbitrary: k C ts rule: Lfilled.induct)
  case (L0 vs lholed es')
  obtain ts' ts'' where ts-def: S.C ⊢ vs : ([] -> ts')
    S.C ⊢ [$Br k] : (ts' -> ts'')
    S.C ⊢ es' : (ts'' -> ts)

  using e-type-comp-conc2[OF L0(3)]
  by fastforce

  obtain ts-c where ts' = ts-c @ tvs
    using b-e-type-br[of C Br k ts' ts''] L0(3,4) ts-def(2) unlift-b-e
  by fastforce

  then obtain vs1 vs2 where vs-def: S.C ⊢ vs1 : ([] -> ts-c)
    S.C ⊢ vs2 : (ts-c -> (ts-c@tvs))
    vs = vs1@vs2
    const-list vs1
    const-list vs2

  using e-type-const-list-cons[OF L0(1)] ts-def(1)
  by fastforce

  hence S.C ⊢ vs2 : ([] -> tvs)
    using e-type-const-list by blast

  thus ?case
    using Lfilled.intros(1)[OF vs-def(4), of - es' vs2@[ $Br k]] vs-def(3,5)
  by fastforce

next
  case (LN vs lholed n es' l es'' j lfilledk)
  obtain t1s t2s where ts-def: S.C ⊢ vs : ([] -> t1s)
    S.C ⊢ [Label n es' lfilledk] : (t1s -> t2s)
    S.C ⊢ es'' : (t2s -> ts)

  using e-type-comp-conc2[OF LN(5)]
  by fastforce

```

obtain $ts' \text{ } ts\text{-}l$ **where** $ts\text{-}l\text{-}def:\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}(\text{label} := [ts'] @ \text{label } \mathcal{C}) \vdash \text{lfilled}k : (\square \rightarrow ts\text{-}l)$
using $e\text{-type}\text{-}label[OF \text{ } ts\text{-}l\text{-}def(2)]$
by $fastforce$
obtain $\text{lholed}' \text{ } vs' \text{ } \mathcal{C}'$ **where** $\text{lfilled}k\text{-}def:L\text{filled } j \text{ } \text{lholed}' (vs' @ [\text{Br } (j + (1 + k))]) \text{ } \text{lfilled}k$

$$\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}' \vdash vs' : (\square \rightarrow \text{tvs})$$

$$const\text{-}list \text{ } vs'$$
using $LN(4)[OF \text{ } ts\text{-}l\text{-}def, \text{ of } 1 + k] LN(5,6)$
by $fastforce$
thus $?case$
using $L\text{filled.intros}(2)[OF LN(1) \text{ } \text{lfilled}k\text{-}def(1)]$
by $fastforce$
qed

lemma $progress\text{-}LN\text{-}return$:

assumes $(L\text{filled } j \text{ } \text{lholed } [\text{\$Return}] \text{ } es)$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash es : (\square \rightarrow ts)$
 $(return \mathcal{C}) = \text{Some } \text{tvs}$
shows $\exists \text{lholed}' \text{ } vs \text{ } \mathcal{C}'. (L\text{filled } j \text{ } \text{lholed}' (vs @ [\text{\$Return}]) \text{ } es)$
 $\wedge (\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}' \vdash vs : (\square \rightarrow \text{tvs}))$
 $\wedge const\text{-}list \text{ } vs$
using $assms$
proof $(induction [\text{\$Return}] \text{ } es \text{ } arbitrary: k \mathcal{C} \text{ } ts \text{ } rule: L\text{filled.induct})$
case $(L0 \text{ } vs \text{ } \text{lholed } es')$
obtain $ts' \text{ } ts''$ **where** $ts\text{-}def:\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash vs : (\square \rightarrow ts')$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{\$Return}] : (ts' \rightarrow ts'')$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash es' : (ts'' \rightarrow ts)$
using $e\text{-type}\text{-}comp\text{-}conc2[OF L0(3)]$
by $fastforce$
obtain $ts\text{-}c$ **where** $ts' = ts\text{-}c @ \text{tvs}$
using $b\text{-e}\text{-type}\text{-}return[\text{of } \mathcal{C} \text{ } Return \text{ } ts' \text{ } ts''] L0(3,4) \text{ } ts\text{-}def(2) \text{ } unlift\text{-}b\text{-}e$
by $fastforce$
then obtain $vs1 \text{ } vs2$ **where** $vs\text{-}def:\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash vs1 : (\square \rightarrow ts\text{-}c)$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash vs2 : (ts\text{-}c \rightarrow (ts\text{-}c @ \text{tvs}))$
 $vs = vs1 @ vs2$
 $const\text{-}list \text{ } vs1$
 $const\text{-}list \text{ } vs2$
using $e\text{-type}\text{-}const\text{-}list\text{-}cons[OF L0(1)] \text{ } ts\text{-}def(1)$
by $fastforce$
hence $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash vs2 : (\square \rightarrow \text{tvs})$
using $e\text{-type}\text{-}const\text{-}list \text{ } by \text{ } blast$
thus $?case$
using $L\text{filled.intros}(1)[OF vs\text{-}def(4), \text{ of } \text{ } es' \text{ } vs2 @ [\text{\$Return}]] \text{ } vs\text{-}def(3,5)$
by $fastforce$

next

case $(LN \text{ } vs \text{ } \text{lholed } n \text{ } es' \text{ } l \text{ } es'' \text{ } j \text{ } \text{lfilled}k)$
obtain $t1s \text{ } t2s$ **where** $ts\text{-}def:\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash vs : (\square \rightarrow t1s)$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [Label \text{ } n \text{ } es' \text{ } \text{lfilled}k] : (t1s \rightarrow t2s)$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash es'' : (t2s \rightarrow ts)$

using *e-type-comp-conc2*[*OF LN(5)*]
by *fastforce*
obtain $ts' \text{ } ts\text{-}l$ **where** $ts\text{-}l\text{-}def:\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}(\text{label} := [ts'] @ \text{label } \mathcal{C}) \vdash \text{lfilled}k : ([\] \rightarrow ts\text{-}l)$
using *e-type-label*[*OF ts-def(2)*]
by *fastforce*
obtain $\text{lholed}' \text{ } vs' \text{ } \mathcal{C}'$ **where** $\text{lfilled}k\text{-}def:L\text{filled } j \text{ } \text{lholed}' (vs' @ [\text{Return}]) \text{ } \text{lfilled}k$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}' \vdash vs' : ([\] \rightarrow \text{tvs})$
 $\text{const-list } vs'$
using *LN(4)*[*OF ts-l-def*] *LN(6)*
by *fastforce*
thus *?case*
using *Lfilled.intros(2)*[*OF LN(1) - lfilledk-def(1)*]
by *fastforce*
qed

lemma *progress-LN1*:
assumes (*Lfilled* j *lholed* [*Br* ($j+k$)] *es*)
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash es : (ts \rightarrow ts')$
shows $\text{length } (\text{label } \mathcal{C}) > k$
using *assms*
proof (*induction* [*Br* ($j+k$)] *es* *arbitrary*: $k \mathcal{C} ts \text{ } ts'$ *rule*: *Lfilled.induct*)
case (*L0* *vs* *lholed* *es'*)
obtain $ts'' \text{ } ts'''$ **where** $ts\text{-}def:\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash vs : (ts \rightarrow ts'')$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Br } k] : (ts'' \rightarrow ts''')$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash es' : (ts''' \rightarrow ts')$
using *e-type-comp-conc2*[*OF L0(3)*]
by *fastforce*
thus *?case*
using *b-e-type-br(1)*[*of - Br k ts'' ts'''*] *unlift-b-e*
by *fastforce*

next
case (*LN* *vs* *lholed* n *es' l* *es'' k'* *lfilledk*)
obtain $t1s \text{ } t2s$ **where** $ts\text{-}def:\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash vs : (ts \rightarrow t1s)$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [\text{Label } n \text{ } es' \text{ } \text{lfilled}k] : (t1s \rightarrow t2s)$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash es'' : (t2s \rightarrow ts')$
using *e-type-comp-conc2*[*OF LN(5)*]
by *fastforce*
obtain $ts'' \text{ } ts\text{-}l$ **where** $ts\text{-}l\text{-}def:\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}(\text{label} := [ts''] @ \text{label } \mathcal{C}) \vdash \text{lfilled}k : ([\] \rightarrow ts\text{-}l)$
using *e-type-label*[*OF ts-def(2)*]
by *fastforce*
thus *?case*
using *LN(4)*[*of 1+k*]
by *fastforce*
qed

lemma *progress-LN2*:
assumes (*Lfilled* j *lholed* *e1s* *lfilled*)
shows $\exists \text{lfilled}' . (\text{Lfilled } j \text{ } \text{lholed } e2s \text{ } \text{lfilled}')$
using *assms*

```

proof (induction rule: Lfilled.induct)
  case (L0 vs lholed es' es)
  thus ?case
    using Lfilled.intros(1)
    by fastforce
next
  case (LN vs lholed n es' l es'' k es lfilledk)
  thus ?case
    using Lfilled.intros(2)
    by fastforce
qed

lemma const-of-const-list:
  assumes length cs = 1
    const-list cs
  shows  $\exists v. cs = [\$C v]$ 
  using e-type-const-unwrap assms
  unfolding const-list-def list-all-length
  by (metis append-butlast-last-id append-self-conv2 gr-zeroI last-conv-nth length-butlast
    length-greater-0-conv less-numeral-extra(1,4) zero-less-diff)

lemma const-of-i32:
  assumes const-list cs
     $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash cs : ([\ ] \rightarrow [(T-i32)])$ 
  shows  $\exists c. cs = [\$C \text{ConstInt32 } c]$ 
proof –
  obtain v where cs = [\$C v]
    using const-of-const-list assms(1) e-type-const-list[OF assms]
    by fastforce
  moreover
  hence  $\mathcal{C} \vdash [C v] : ([\ ] \rightarrow [(T-i32)])$ 
    using assms(2) unlift-b-e
    by fastforce
  hence  $\exists c. v = \text{ConstInt32 } c$ 
  proof (induction  $[C v] ([\ ] \rightarrow [(T-i32)])$  rule: b-e-typing.induct)
    case (const C)
    then show ?case
      unfolding typeof-def
      by (cases v, auto)
  qed auto
  ultimately
  show ?thesis
    by fastforce
qed

lemma const-of-i64:
  assumes const-list cs
     $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash cs : ([\ ] \rightarrow [(T-i64)])$ 
  shows  $\exists c. cs = [\$C \text{ConstInt64 } c]$ 

```

proof –
obtain v **where** $cs = [\$C v]$
using $const\text{-of-const-list}\ assms(1)$ $e\text{-type-const-list}[OF\ assms]$
by $fastforce$
moreover
hence $\mathcal{C} \vdash [C v] : ([\] \rightarrow [(T\text{-}i64)])$
using $assms(2)$ $unlift\text{-}b\text{-}e$
by $fastforce$
hence $\exists c. v = ConstInt64\ c$
proof ($induction\ [C v]\ ([\] \rightarrow [(T\text{-}i64)])$) $rule: b\text{-}e\text{-typing.}induct$
case ($const\ \mathcal{C}$)
then show $?case$
unfolding $typeof\text{-}def$
by ($cases\ v, auto$)
qed $auto$
ultimately
show $?thesis$
by $fastforce$
qed

lemma $const\text{-of-}f32$:
assumes $const\text{-list}\ cs$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash cs : ([\] \rightarrow [T\text{-}f32])$
shows $\exists c. cs = [\$C\ ConstFloat32\ c]$
proof –
obtain v **where** $cs = [\$C v]$
using $const\text{-of-const-list}\ assms(1)$ $e\text{-type-const-list}[OF\ assms]$
by $fastforce$
moreover
hence $\mathcal{C} \vdash [C v] : ([\] \rightarrow [T\text{-}f32])$
using $assms(2)$ $unlift\text{-}b\text{-}e$
by $fastforce$
hence $\exists c. v = ConstFloat32\ c$
proof ($induction\ [C v]\ ([\] \rightarrow [T\text{-}f32])$) $rule: b\text{-}e\text{-typing.}induct$
case ($const\ \mathcal{C}$)
then show $?case$
unfolding $typeof\text{-}def$
by ($cases\ v, auto$)
qed $auto$
ultimately
show $?thesis$
by $fastforce$
qed

lemma $const\text{-of-}f64$:
assumes $const\text{-list}\ cs$
 $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash cs : ([\] \rightarrow [T\text{-}f64])$
shows $\exists c. cs = [\$C\ ConstFloat64\ c]$
proof –

obtain v **where** $cs = [\$C v]$
using $const\text{-of-const-list } assms(1) \text{ e-type-const-list}[OF \text{ } assms]$
by $fastforce$
moreover
hence $\mathcal{C} \vdash [C v] : ([\] \rightarrow [T\text{-}f64])$
using $assms(2) \text{ unlift-b-e}$
by $fastforce$
hence $\exists c. v = ConstFloat64\ c$
proof ($induction [C v] ([\] \rightarrow [T\text{-}f64]) \text{ rule: b-e-typing.induct}$)
case ($const\ \mathcal{C}$)
then show $?case$
unfolding $typeof\text{-def}$
by ($cases\ v, \text{ auto}$)
qed $auto$
ultimately
show $?thesis$
by $fastforce$
qed

lemma $progress\text{-unop-testop-i}$:
assumes $\mathcal{S}\text{-}\mathcal{C} \vdash cs : ([\] \rightarrow [t])$
 $is\text{-int-t } t$
 $const\text{-list } cs$
 $e = Unop\text{-}i\ t\ iop \vee e = Testop\ t\ testop$
shows $\exists a\ s'\ vs'\ es'. (|s;vs;cs@[\$e]|) \rightsquigarrow\text{-}i (|s';vs';es'|)$
using $assms(2)$
proof ($cases\ t$)
case $T\text{-}i32$
thus $?thesis$
using $const\text{-of-i32}[OF \text{ } assms(3)] \text{ } assms(1,4)$
 $reduce.intros(1)[OF \text{ } reduce\text{-simple.intros}(1)] \text{ } reduce.intros(1)[OF \text{ } re-$
 $duce\text{-simple.intros}(13)]$
by $fastforce$
next
case $T\text{-}i64$
thus $?thesis$
using $const\text{-of-i64}[OF \text{ } assms(3)] \text{ } assms(1,4)$
 $reduce.intros(1)[OF \text{ } reduce\text{-simple.intros}(2)] \text{ } reduce.intros(1)[OF \text{ } re-$
 $duce\text{-simple.intros}(14)]$
by $fastforce$
qed ($simp\text{-all add: is-int-t-def}$)

lemma $progress\text{-unop-f}$:
assumes $\mathcal{S}\text{-}\mathcal{C} \vdash cs : ([\] \rightarrow [t])$
 $is\text{-float-t } t$
 $const\text{-list } cs$
 $e = Unop\text{-}f\ t\ iop$
shows $\exists a\ s'\ vs'\ es'. (|s;vs;cs@[\$e]|) \rightsquigarrow\text{-}i (|s';vs';es'|)$
using $assms(2)$

```

proof (cases t)
  case T-f32
  thus ?thesis
    using const-of-f32[OF assms(3)] assms(1,4)
      reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(3)] reduce.intros(1)[OF re-
duce-simple.intros(13)]
    by fastforce
  next
  case T-f64
  thus ?thesis
    using const-of-f64[OF assms(3)] assms(1,4)
      reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(4)] reduce.intros(1)[OF re-
duce-simple.intros(14)]
    by fastforce
qed (simp-all add: is-float-t-def)

```

lemma *const-list-split-2*:

```

assumes const-list cs
   $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash cs : ([\ ] \rightarrow [t1, t2])$ 
shows  $\exists c1\ c2. (\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [c1] : ([\ ] \rightarrow [t1]))$ 
   $\wedge (\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [c2] : ([\ ] \rightarrow [t2]))$ 
   $\wedge cs = [c1, c2]$ 
   $\wedge \text{const-list } [c1]$ 
   $\wedge \text{const-list } [c2]$ 

```

proof –

```

have l-cs:length cs = 2
  using assms e-type-const-list[OF assms]
  by simp
then obtain c1 c2 where cs!0 = c1 cs!1 = c2
  by fastforce
hence cs = [c1] @ [c2]
  using assms e-type-const-conv-vs typing-map-typeof
  by fastforce
thus ?thesis
  using assms e-type-comp[of  $\mathcal{S}\ \mathcal{C}$  [c1] c2] e-type-const[of c2  $\mathcal{S}\ \mathcal{C}$  - [t1,t2]]
  unfolding const-list-def
  by fastforce
qed

```

lemma *const-list-split-3*:

```

assumes const-list cs
   $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash cs : ([\ ] \rightarrow [t1, t2, t3])$ 
shows  $\exists c1\ c2\ c3. (\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [c1] : ([\ ] \rightarrow [t1]))$ 
   $\wedge (\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [c2] : ([\ ] \rightarrow [t2]))$ 
   $\wedge (\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash [c3] : ([\ ] \rightarrow [t3]))$ 
   $\wedge cs = [c1, c2, c3]$ 

```

proof –

```

have l-cs:length cs = 3
  using assms e-type-const-list[OF assms]

```

by *simp*
then obtain $c1\ c2\ c3$ **where** $cs!0 = c1\ cs!1 = c2\ cs!2 = c3$
 by *fastforce*
hence $cs = [c1] @ [c2] @ [c3]$
 using *assms e-type-const-conv-vs typing-map-typeof*
 by *fastforce*
thus *?thesis*
 using *assms e-type-comp-conc2[of S C [c1] [c2] [c3] [] [t1,t2,t3]]*
 e-type-const[of c1] e-type-const[of c2] e-type-const[of c3]
 unfolding *const-list-def*
 by *fastforce*
qed

lemma *progress-binop-relop-i*:
assumes $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash cs : ([\] \rightarrow [t, t])$
 is-int-t
 const-list cs
 $e = \text{Binop-i } t\ iop \vee e = \text{Relop-i } t\ irop$
shows $\exists a\ s'\ vs'\ es'. (s;vs;cs@[e]) \rightsquigarrow\text{-i } (s';vs';es')$
 using *assms(2)*
proof (*cases t*)
case (*T-i32*)
hence *cs-def*: $\exists c1\ c2. cs = [\$C\ ConstInt32\ c1, \$C\ ConstInt32\ c2]$
 using *const-list-split-2[OF assms(3,1)] assms(3) const-of-i32*
 unfolding *const-list-def*
 by *blast*
show *?thesis*
proof (*cases e = Binop-i t iop*)
case *True*
obtain $c1\ c2$ **where** $cs = [\$C\ ConstInt32\ c1, \$C\ ConstInt32\ c2]$
 using *cs-def*
 by *blast*
thus *?thesis*
 apply (*cases app-binop-i iop c1 c2*)
 apply (*metis reduce-simple.intros(6) reduce.intros(1) T-i32 True append-Cons append-Nil*)
 apply (*metis reduce-simple.intros(5) reduce.intros(1) T-i32 True append-Cons append-Nil*)
 done
next
case *False*
thus *?thesis*
 using *reduce-simple.intros(15) assms(4) reduce.intros(1) cs-def T-i32*
 by *fastforce*
qed
next
case (*T-i64*)
hence *cs-def*: $\exists c1\ c2. cs = [\$C\ ConstInt64\ c1, \$C\ ConstInt64\ c2]$
 using *const-list-split-2[OF assms(3,1)] assms(3) const-of-i64*

```

    unfolding const-list-def
  by blast
show ?thesis
proof (cases e = Binop-i t iop)
  case True
  obtain c1 c2 where cs = [ $\$C$  ConstInt64 c1, $\$C$  ConstInt64 c2]
  using cs-def
  by blast
  thus ?thesis
  apply (cases app-binop-i iop c1 c2)
  apply (metis reduce-simple.intros(8) reduce.intros(1) T-i64 True append-Cons
append-Nil)
  apply (metis reduce-simple.intros(7) reduce.intros(1) T-i64 True append-Cons
append-Nil)
  done
  next
  case False
  thus ?thesis
  using reduce-simple.intros(16) assms(4) reduce.intros(1) cs-def T-i64
  by fastforce
qed
qed (simp-all add: is-int-t-def)

lemma progress-binop-relop-f:
  assumes  $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash cs : ([\ ] \rightarrow [t, t])$ 
    is-float-t t
    const-list cs
     $e = \text{Binop-f } t \text{ fop} \vee e = \text{Relop-f } t \text{ frop}$ 
  shows  $\exists a s' vs' es'. (|s;vs;cs@[e]|) \rightsquigarrow\text{-i } (|s';vs';es'|)$ 
  using assms(2)
proof (cases t)
  case T-f32
  hence cs-def: $\exists c1 c2. cs = [\$C$  ConstFloat32 c1, $\$C$  ConstFloat32 c2]
  using const-list-split-2[OF assms(3,1)] assms(3) const-of-f32
  unfolding const-list-def
  by blast
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases e = Binop-f t fop)
    case True
    obtain c1 c2 where cs-def:cs = [ $\$C$  ConstFloat32 c1, $\$C$  ConstFloat32 c2]
    using cs-def
    by blast
    thus ?thesis
    apply (cases app-binop-f fop c1 c2)
    apply (metis reduce-simple.intros(10) reduce.intros(1) T-f32 True ap-
pend-Cons append-Nil)
    apply (metis reduce-simple.intros(9) reduce.intros(1) T-f32 True append-Cons
append-Nil)
    done
  
```

```

next
  case False
  thus ?thesis
  using reduce-simple.intros(17) assms(4) reduce.intros(1) cs-def T-f32
  by fastforce
qed
next
case T-f64
hence cs-def:∃ c1 c2. cs = [C ConstFloat64 c1,C ConstFloat64 c2]
  using const-list-split-2[OF assms(3,1)] assms(3) const-of-f64
  unfolding const-list-def
  by blast
show ?thesis
proof (cases e = Binop-f t fop)
  case True
  obtain c1 c2 where cs = [C ConstFloat64 c1,C ConstFloat64 c2]
  using cs-def
  by blast
  thus ?thesis
  apply (cases app-binop-f fop c1 c2)
  apply (metis reduce-simple.intros(12) reduce.intros(1) T-f64 True append-Cons append-Nil)
  apply (metis reduce-simple.intros(11) reduce.intros(1) T-f64 True append-Cons append-Nil)
  done
next
  case False
  thus ?thesis
  using reduce-simple.intros(18) assms(4) reduce.intros(1) cs-def T-f64
  by fastforce
qed
qed (simp-all add: is-float-t-def)

lemma progress-b-e:
  assumes  $\mathcal{C} \vdash b\text{-es} : (ts \rightarrow ts')$ 
   $\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash cs : ([\ ] \rightarrow ts)$ 
  ( $\bigwedge$  lholed.  $\neg$ (Lfilled 0 lholed [Return] (cs@(*b-es))))
  ( $\bigwedge$  i lholed.  $\neg$ (Lfilled 0 lholed [Br (i)] (cs@(*b-es))))
  const-list cs
   $\neg$  const-list (* b-es)
  i < length (s-inst S)
  length (local C) = length (vs)
  Option.is-none (memory C) = Option.is-none (inst.mem ((inst s)!i))
  shows  $\exists a s' vs' es'. (s;vs;cs@(*b-es)) \rightsquigarrow\text{-}i (s';vs';es')$ 
  using assms
proof (induction b-es (ts → ts') arbitrary: ts ts' cs rule: b-e-typing.induct)
  case (const C v)
  then show ?case
  unfolding const-list-def is-const-def

```



```

    by simp
next
case (unop-i t C uu)
thus ?case
  using progress-unop-testop-i[OF unop-i(2,1)]
  by fastforce
next
case (unop-f t C uv)
thus ?case
  using progress-unop-f[OF unop-f(2,1,5)]
  by fastforce
next
case (binop-i t C uw)
thus ?case
  using progress-binop-relop-i[OF binop-i(2,1)]
  by fastforce
next
case (binop-f t C ux)
thus ?case
  using progress-binop-relop-f[OF binop-f(2,1,5)]
  by fastforce
next
case (testop t C uy)
thus ?case
  using progress-unop-testop-i[OF testop(2,1)]
  by fastforce
next
case (relop-i t C uz)
thus ?case
  using progress-binop-relop-i[OF relop-i(2,1)]
  by fastforce
next
case (relop-f t C va)
thus ?case
  using progress-binop-relop-f[OF relop-f(2,1,5)]
  by fastforce
next
case (convert t1 t2 sx C)
obtain v where cs-def:cs = [$ C v] typeof v = t2
  using const-typeof const-of-const-list[OF - convert(6)] e-type-const-list[OF con-
vert(6,3)]
  by fastforce
thus ?case
proof (cases cvt t1 sx v)
case None
thus ?thesis
  using reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.convert-None[OF - None]] cs-def
  unfolding types-agree-def
  by fastforce

```

```

next
  case (Some a)
  thus ?thesis
    using reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.convert-Some[OF - Some]] cs-def
    unfolding types-agree-def
    by fastforce
qed
next
case (reinterpret t1 t2 C)
obtain v where cs-def:cs = [$ C v] typeof v = t2
  using const-typeof const-of-const-list[OF - reinterpret(6)] e-type-const-list[OF
reinterpret(6,3)]
  by fastforce
thus ?case
  using reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.reinterpret]
  unfolding types-agree-def
  by fastforce
next
case (unreachable C ts ts')
thus ?case
  using reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.unreachable] progress-L0[OF - unreach-
able(4)]
  by fastforce
next
case (nop C)
thus ?case
  using reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.nop] progress-L0[OF - nop(4)]
  by fastforce
next
case (drop C t)
obtain v where cs = [$ C v]
  using const-of-const-list drop(4) e-type-const-list[OF drop(4,1)]
  by fastforce
thus ?case
  using reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.drop] progress-L0[OF - drop(4)]
  by fastforce
next
case (select C t)
obtain v1 v2 v3 where cs-def:S·C ⊢ [$ C v3] : ([ ] -> [T-i32])
  cs = [$ C v1, $ C v2, $ C v3]
  using const-list-split-3[OF select(4,1)] select(4)
  unfolding const-list-def
  by (metis list-all-simps(1) e-type-const-unwrap)
obtain c3 where c-def:v3 = ConstInt32 c3
  using cs-def select(4) const-of-i32[OF - cs-def(1)]
  unfolding const-list-def
  by fastforce
have ∃ a s' vs' es'. (|s;vs;[$ C v1, $ C v2, $ C ConstInt32 c3, $Select]) ~→-i
(|s';vs';es'|)

```

```

proof (cases int-eq c3 0)
  case True
  thus ?thesis
    using reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.select-false]
    by fastforce
next
  case False
  thus ?thesis
    using reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.select-true]
    by fastforce
qed
thus ?case
  using c-def cs-def
  by fastforce
next
  case (block tf tn tm C es)
  show ?case
    using reduce-simple.block[OF block(7), of - tn tm - es]
      e-type-const-list[OF block(7,4)] reduce.intros(1) block(1)
    by fastforce
next
  case (loop tf tn tm C es)
  show ?case
    using reduce-simple.loop[OF loop(7), of - tn tm - es]
      e-type-const-list[OF loop(7,4)] reduce.intros(1) loop(1)
    by fastforce
next
  case (if-wasm tf tn tm C es1 es2)
  obtain c1s c2s where cs-def:  $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash c1s : ([\ ] \rightarrow tn)$ 
     $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash c2s : ([\ ] \rightarrow [T-i32])$ 
    const-list c1s
    const-list c2s
    cs = c1s @ c2s
    using e-type-const-list-cons[OF if-wasm(9,6)] e-type-const-list
    by fastforce
  obtain c where c-def: c2s = [ $\$ C (ConstInt32 c)$ ]
    using const-of-i32 cs-def
    by fastforce
  have  $\exists a s' vs' es'. (\!s;vs;[\$ C (ConstInt32 c), \$ If tf es1 es2]) \rightsquigarrow -i (\!s';vs';es')$ 
  proof (cases int-eq c 0)
    case True
    thus ?thesis
      using reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.if-false]
      by fastforce
    next
    case False
    thus ?thesis
      using reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.if-true]
      by fastforce

```

```

qed
thus ?case
  using c-def cs-def progress-L0
  by fastforce
next
case (br i C ts t1s t2s)
thus ?case
  using Lfilled.intros(1)[OF br(6), of - [] [Br i]]
  by fastforce
next
case (br-if j C ts)
obtain cs1 cs2 where cs-def:  $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash cs1 : ([\ ] \rightarrow ts)$ 
                     $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash cs2 : ([\ ] \rightarrow [T-i32])$ 
                    const-list cs1
                    const-list cs2
                    cs = cs1 @ cs2
  using e-type-const-list-cons[OF br-if(6,3)] e-type-const-list
  by fastforce
obtain c where c-def: cs2 = [ $\$C$  ConstInt32 c]
  using const-of-i32[OF cs-def(4,2)]
  by blast
have  $\exists a s' vs' es'. (s; vs; cs2 @ (\$* [Br-if j])) \rightsquigarrow -i (s'; vs'; es')$ 
proof (cases int-eq c 0)
  case True
  thus ?thesis
    using c-def reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.br-if-false]
    by fastforce
next
case False
  thus ?thesis
    using c-def reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.br-if-true]
    by fastforce
qed
thus ?case
  using cs-def(5) progress-L0[OF - cs-def(3), of s vs cs2 @ (\$* [Br-if j]) - - - -]
  by fastforce
next
case (br-table C ts is i' t1s t2s)
obtain cs1 cs2 where cs-def:  $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash cs1 : ([\ ] \rightarrow (t1s @ ts))$ 
                     $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash cs2 : ([\ ] \rightarrow [T-i32])$ 
                    const-list cs1
                    const-list cs2
                    cs = cs1 @ cs2
  using e-type-const-list-cons[OF br-table(5), of  $\mathcal{S} \ \mathcal{C} \ (t1s @ ts) \ [T-i32]$ ]
  e-type-const-list[of -  $\mathcal{S} \ \mathcal{C} \ t1s @ ts \ (t1s @ ts) @ [T-i32]$ ]
  br-table(2,5)
  unfolding const-list-def
  by fastforce

```

```

obtain  $c$  where  $c\text{-def:}cs2 = [\$C \text{ ConstInt32 } c]$ 
  using  $const\text{-of-}i32[OF \text{ cs-def}(4,2)]$ 
  by  $blast$ 
have  $\exists a \ s' \ vs' \ es'. (s;vs;[\$C \text{ ConstInt32 } c, \$Br\text{-table } is \ i']) \rightsquigarrow\text{-}i (s';vs';es')$ 
proof ( $cases \ (nat\text{-of-int } c) < length \ is$ )
  case  $True$ 
    show  $?thesis$ 
    using  $reduce.intros(1)[OF \text{ reduce-simple.br-table}[OF \text{ True}]$ 
    by  $fastforce$ 
  next
    case  $False$ 
    hence  $length \ is \leq \ nat\text{-of-int } c$ 
    by  $fastforce$ 
    thus  $?thesis$ 
    using  $reduce.intros(1)[OF \text{ reduce-simple.br-table-length}]$ 
    by  $fastforce$ 
  qed
thus  $?case$ 
  using  $c\text{-def } cs\text{-def } progress\text{-}L0$ 
  by  $fastforce$ 
next
  case ( $return \ C \ ts \ t1s \ t2s$ )
  thus  $?case$ 
  using  $Lfilled.intros(1)[OF \text{ return}(5), \text{ of - } [] \ [\$Return]]$ 
  by  $fastforce$ 
next
  case ( $call \ j \ C$ )
  show  $?case$ 
  using  $progress\text{-}L0[OF \text{ reduce.intros}(2) \text{ call}(6)]$ 
  by  $fastforce$ 
next
  case ( $call\text{-indirect } j \ C \ t1s \ t2s$ )
  obtain  $cs1 \ cs2$  where  $cs\text{-def:}\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash cs1 : ([\ ] \rightarrow t1s)$ 
     $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash cs2 : ([\ ] \rightarrow [T\text{-}i32])$ 
     $const\text{-list } cs1$ 
     $const\text{-list } cs2$ 
     $cs = cs1 \ @ \ cs2$ 
  using  $e\text{-type-const-list-cons}[OF \text{ call-indirect}(7), \text{ of } \mathcal{S} \ C \ t1s \ [T\text{-}i32]]$ 
     $e\text{-type-const-list}[\text{of - } \mathcal{S} \ C \ t1s \ t1s \ @ \ [T\text{-}i32]]$ 
     $call\text{-indirect}(4)$ 
  by  $fastforce$ 
obtain  $c$  where  $c\text{-def:}cs2 = [\$C \text{ ConstInt32 } c]$ 
  using  $cs\text{-def}(2,4) \text{ const-of-}i32$ 
  by  $fastforce$ 
consider
  (1)  $\exists cl \ tf. \text{stab } s \ i \ (nat\text{-of-int } c) = \text{Some } cl \wedge \text{stypes } s \ i \ j = tf \wedge cl\text{-type } cl = tf$ 
| (2)  $\exists cl. \text{stab } s \ i \ (nat\text{-of-int } c) = \text{Some } cl \wedge \text{stypes } s \ i \ j \neq cl\text{-type } cl$ 
| (3)  $\text{stab } s \ i \ (nat\text{-of-int } c) = \text{None}$ 
  by ( $metis \ option.collapse$ )

```

```

hence  $\exists a s' vs' es'. (|s;vs;[\$C \text{ ConstInt32 } c, \$\text{Call-indirect } j]|) \rightsquigarrow\text{-i } (|s';vs';es'|)$ 
proof (cases)
  case 1
  thus ?thesis
    using reduce.intros(3)
    by blast
next
  case 2
  thus ?thesis
    using reduce.intros(4)
    by blast
next
  case 3
  thus ?thesis
    using reduce.intros(4)
    by blast
qed
then show ?case
  using c-def cs-def progress-L0
  by fastforce
next
case (get-local j C t)
obtain v vj vj' where v-def:v = vs ! j vj = (take j vs) vj' = (drop (j+1) vs)
  by blast
have j-def:j < length vs
  using get-local(1,9)
  by simp
hence vj-len:length vj = j
  using v-def(2)
  by fastforce
hence vs = vj @ [v] @ vj'
  using v-def id-take-nth-drop j-def
  by fastforce
thus ?case
  using progress-L0[OF reduce.intros(8)[OF vj-len, of s v vj] get-local(6)]
  by fastforce
next
case (set-local j C t)
obtain v vj vj' where v-def:v = vs ! j vj = (take j vs) vj' = (drop (j+1) vs)
  by blast
obtain v' where cs-def: cs = [\$C v']
  using const-of-const-list set-local(3,6) e-type-const-list
  by fastforce
have j-def:j < length vs
  using set-local(1,9)
  by simp
hence vj-len:length vj = j
  using v-def(2)
  by fastforce

```

```

hence  $vs = vj @ [v] @ vj'$ 
  using v-def id-take-nth-drop j-def
  by fastforce
thus ?case
  using reduce.intros(9)[OF vj-len, of s v vj' v' i] cs-def
  by fastforce
next
  case (tee-local i C t)
  obtain  $v$  where  $cs = [\$C v]$ 
  using const-of-const-list tee-local(3,6) e-type-const-list
  by fastforce
  thus ?case
  using reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.tee-local] tee-local(6)
  unfolding const-list-def
  by fastforce
next
  case (get-global j C t)
  thus ?case
  using reduce.intros(10)[of s vs j i] progress-L0
  by fastforce
next
  case (set-global j C t)
  obtain  $v$  where  $cs = [\$C v]$ 
  using const-of-const-list set-global(4,7) e-type-const-list
  by fastforce
  thus ?case
  using reduce.intros(11)[of s i j v - vs]
  by fastforce
next
  case (load C n a tp-sx t off)
  obtain  $c$  where c-def: cs = [\$C ConstInt32 c]
  using const-of-i32 load(3,6) e-type-const-unwrap
  unfolding const-list-def
  by fastforce
  obtain  $j$  where mem-some:smem-ind s i = Some j
  using load(1,10)
  unfolding smem-ind-def
  by fastforce
  have  $\exists a' s' vs' es'. (|s;vs;[\$C ConstInt32 c, \$Load t tp-sx a off]) \rightsquigarrow -i (|s';vs';es'|)$ 
  proof (cases tp-sx)
  case None
  note tp-none = None
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases load ((mem s)!j) (nat-of-int c) off (t-length t))
  case None
  show ?thesis
  using reduce.intros(13)[OF mem-some - None, of vs] tp-none load(2)
  by fastforce
next

```

```

    case (Some a)
    show ?thesis
    using reduce.intros(12)[OF mem-some - Some, of vs] tp-none load(2)
    by fastforce
  qed
next
  case (Some a)
  obtain tp sx where tp-some:tp-sx = Some (tp, sx)
  using Some
  by fastforce
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases load-packed sx ((mem s)!j) (nat-of-int c) off (tp-length tp) (t-length
t))
    case None
    show ?thesis
    using reduce.intros(15)[OF mem-some - None, of vs] tp-some load(2)
    by fastforce
  next
    case (Some a)
    show ?thesis
    using reduce.intros(14)[OF mem-some - Some, of vs] tp-some load(2)
    by fastforce
  qed
  qed
  then show ?case
  using c-def progress-L0
  by fastforce
next
  case (store C n a tp t off)
  obtain cs' v where cs-def:S·C ⊢ [cs'] : ([ ] -> [T-i32])
    S·C ⊢ [$ C v] : ([ ] -> [t])
    cs = [cs', $ C v]
  using const-list-split-2[OF store(6,3)] e-type-const-unwrap
  unfolding const-list-def
  by fastforce
  have t-def:typeof v = t
  using cs-def(2) b-e-type-value[OF unlift-b-e[of S C [C v] ([ ] -> [t])]]
  by fastforce
  obtain j where mem-some:smem-ind s i = Some j
  using store(1,10)
  unfolding smem-ind-def
  by fastforce
  obtain c where c-def:cs' = $C ConstInt32 c
  using const-of-i32[OF - cs-def(1)] cs-def(3) store(6)
  unfolding const-list-def
  by fastforce
  have ∃ a' s' vs' es'. (|s;vs;[$C ConstInt32 c, $C v, $Store t tp a off]) ~→-i
(|s';vs';es'|)
  proof (cases tp)

```



```

case None
note tp-none = None
show ?thesis
proof (cases store (s.mem s ! j) (nat-of-int c) off (bits v) (t-length t))
  case None
  show ?thesis
  using reduce.intros(17)[OF - mem-some - None, of vs] t-def tp-none store(2)
  unfolding types-agree-def
  by fastforce
next
  case (Some a)
  show ?thesis
  using reduce.intros(16)[OF - mem-some - Some, of vs] t-def tp-none store(2)
  unfolding types-agree-def
  by fastforce
qed
next
  case (Some a)
  note tp-some = Some
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases store-packed (s.mem s ! j) (nat-of-int c) off (bits v) (tp-length a))
  case None
  show ?thesis
  using reduce.intros(19)[OF - mem-some - None, of t vs] t-def tp-some
store(2)
  unfolding types-agree-def
  by fastforce
  next
  case (Some a)
  show ?thesis
  using reduce.intros(18)[OF - mem-some - Some, of t vs] t-def tp-some
store(2)
  unfolding types-agree-def
  by fastforce
  qed
qed
then show ?case
  using c-def cs-def progress-L0
  by fastforce
next
  case (current-memory C n)
  obtain j where mem-some:smem-ind s i = Some j
  using current-memory(1,9)
  unfolding smem-ind-def
  by fastforce
  thus ?case
  using progress-L0[OF reduce.intros(20)[OF mem-some] current-memory(5), of
  - - vs []]
  by fastforce

```

```

next
  case (grow-memory C n)
  obtain c where c-def:cs = [C ConstInt32 c]
  using const-of-i32 grow-memory(2,5)
  by fastforce
  obtain j where mem-some:smem-ind s i = Some j
  using grow-memory(1,9)
  unfolding smem-ind-def
  by fastforce
  show ?case
  using reduce.intros(22)[OF mem-some, of -] c-def
  by fastforce
next
  case (empty C)
  thus ?case
  unfolding const-list-def
  by simp
next
  case (composition C es t1s t2s e t3s)
  consider (1)  $\neg$  const-list ($* es) | (2) const-list ($* es)  $\neg$  const-list ($*[e])
  using composition(9)
  unfolding const-list-def
  by fastforce
  thus ?case
  proof (cases)
  case 1
  have ( $\wedge$ lholed.  $\neg$  Lfilled 0 lholed [Return] (cs @ ($* es)))
    ( $\wedge$ i lholed.  $\neg$  Lfilled 0 lholed [Br i] (cs @ ($* es)))
  proof safe
  fix lholed
  assume Lfilled 0 lholed [Return] (cs @ ($* es))
  hence  $\exists$  lholed'. Lfilled 0 lholed' [Return] (cs @ ($* es @ [e]))
  proof (cases rule: Lfilled.cases)
  case (L0 vs es')
  thus ?thesis
  using Lfilled.intros(1)[of vs - es'@ ($*[e]) [Return]]
  by (metis append.assoc map-append)
  qed simp
  thus False
  using composition(6)
  by simp
  next
  fix i lholed
  assume Lfilled 0 lholed [Br i] (cs @ ($* es))
  hence  $\exists$  lholed'. Lfilled 0 lholed' [Br i] (cs @ ($* es @ [e]))
  proof (cases rule: Lfilled.cases)
  case (L0 vs es')
  thus ?thesis
  using Lfilled.intros(1)[of vs - es'@ ($*[e]) [Br i]]

```

```

    by (metis append.assoc map-append)
  qed simp
  thus False
    using composition(7)
    by simp
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    using composition(2)[OF composition(5) - - composition(8) 1 composition(10,11,12)] progress-L0[of s vs (cs @ (* es)) i - - [] *[e]]
    unfolding const-list-def
    by fastforce
  next
  case 2
  hence const-list (cs@(* es))
    using composition(8)
    unfolding const-list-def
    by simp
  moreover
  have  $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash (cs@(* es)) : ([\ ] \rightarrow t2s)$ 
    using composition(5) e-typing-s-typing.intros(1)[OF composition(1)] e-type-comp-conc
    by fastforce
  ultimately
  show ?thesis
    using composition(4)[of (cs@(* es))] 2(2) composition(6,7) composition(10-)
    by fastforce
  qed
  next
  case (weakening C es t1s t2s ts)
  obtain cs1 cs2 where cs-def: $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash cs1 : ([\ ] \rightarrow ts)$ 
     $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C} \vdash cs2 : ([\ ] \rightarrow t1s)$ 
     $cs = cs1 @ cs2$ 
    const-list cs1
    const-list cs2
    using e-type-const-list-cons[OF weakening(6,3)] e-type-const-list[of -  $\mathcal{S}\ \mathcal{C}\ ts\ ts$ 
@ t1s]
    by fastforce
  have ( $\bigwedge$ lholed.  $\neg$  Lfilled 0 lholed [ $\$Return$ ] (cs2 @ (* es)))
    ( $\bigwedge$ i lholed.  $\neg$  Lfilled 0 lholed [ $\$Br\ i$ ] (cs2 @ (* es)))
  proof safe
  fix lholed
  assume Lfilled 0 lholed [ $\$Return$ ] (cs2 @ (* es))
  hence  $\exists$  lholed'. Lfilled 0 lholed' [ $\$Return$ ] (cs1 @ cs2 @ (* es))
  proof (cases rule: Lfilled.cases)
  case (L0 vs es')
  thus ?thesis
    using Lfilled.intros(1)[of cs1 @ vs - es' [ $\$Return$ ]] cs-def(4)
    unfolding const-list-def
    by fastforce
  qed simp

```

```

thus False
  using weakening(4) cs-def(3)
  by simp
next
  fix i lholed
  assume Lfilled 0 lholed [\$Br i] (cs2 @ (* es))
  hence  $\exists$  lholed'. Lfilled 0 lholed' [\$Br i] (cs1 @ cs2 @ (* es))
  proof (cases rule: Lfilled.cases)
    case (L0 vs es')
      thus ?thesis
        using Lfilled.intros(1)[of cs1 @ vs - es' [\$Br i]] cs-def(4)
        unfolding const-list-def
        by fastforce
      qed simp
      thus False
        using weakening(5) cs-def(3)
        by simp
    qed
  hence  $\exists a s' vs' es'$ .  $(\downarrow s; vs; cs2 @ (* es)) \rightsquigarrow -i (\downarrow s'; vs'; es')$ 
    using weakening(2)[OF cs-def(2) - - cs-def(5) weakening(7)] weakening(8-)
    by fastforce
  thus ?case
    using progress-L0[OF - cs-def(4), of s vs cs2 @ (* es) i - - []] cs-def(3)
    by fastforce
qed

lemma progress-e:
  assumes  $\mathcal{S} \cdot \text{None} \Vdash -i vs; cs-es : ts'$ 
     $\bigwedge k \text{ lholed. } \neg(\text{Lfilled } k \text{ lholed } [\text{\$Return}] cs-es)$ 
     $\bigwedge i k \text{ lholed. } (\text{Lfilled } k \text{ lholed } [\text{\$Br } (i)] cs-es) \implies i < k$ 
     $cs-es \neq [\text{Trap}]$ 
     $\neg \text{const-list } (cs-es)$ 
    store-typing s S
  shows  $\exists a s' vs' es'$ .  $(\downarrow s; vs; cs-es) \rightsquigarrow -i (\downarrow s'; vs'; es')$ 
proof -
  fix C cs es ts-c
  have prems1:
     $\mathcal{S} \cdot C \vdash es : (ts-c \rightarrow ts') \implies$ 
     $\mathcal{S} \cdot C \vdash cs-es : ([\ ] \rightarrow ts') \implies$ 
     $cs-es = cs @ es \implies$ 
    const-list cs  $\implies$ 
     $\mathcal{S} \cdot C \vdash cs : ([\ ] \rightarrow ts-c) \implies$ 
     $(\bigwedge k \text{ lholed. } \neg(\text{Lfilled } k \text{ lholed } [\text{\$Return}] cs-es)) \implies$ 
     $(\bigwedge i k \text{ lholed. } (\text{Lfilled } k \text{ lholed } [\text{\$Br } (i)] cs-es) \implies i < k) \implies$ 
     $cs-es \neq [\text{Trap}] \implies$ 
     $\neg \text{const-list } (cs-es) \implies$ 
    store-typing s S  $\implies$ 
     $i < \text{length } (s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S}) \implies$ 
     $\text{length } (\text{local } C) = \text{length } (vs) \implies$ 

```

$Option.is_none (memory\ C) = Option.is_none (inst.mem ((inst\ s)!i)) \implies$
 $\exists a\ s'\ vs'\ cs-es'. (\{s;vs;cs-es\} \rightsquigarrow-i \{s';vs';cs-es'\})$

and *prems2*:
 $\mathcal{S}.None \Vdash-i\ vs;cs-es : ts' \implies$
 $(\bigwedge k\ lholed. \neg(Lfilled\ k\ lholed\ [\$Return]\ cs-es)) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge i\ k\ lholed. (Lfilled\ k\ lholed\ [\$Br\ (i)]\ cs-es) \implies i < k) \implies$
 $cs-es \neq [Trap] \implies$
 $\neg\ const-list\ (cs-es) \implies$
 $store-typing\ s\ \mathcal{S} \implies$
 $\exists a\ s'\ vs'\ cs-es'. (\{s;vs;cs-es\} \rightsquigarrow-i \{s';vs';cs-es'\})$

proof (*induction arbitrary: vs ts-c ts' i cs-es cs rule: e-typing-s-typing.inducts*)
case (1 C b-es tf S)
hence $C \vdash b-es : (ts-c \rightarrow ts')$
using *e-type-comp-conc1* [of S C cs (\$* b-es) [] ts'] *unlift-b-e*
by (*metis e-type-const-conv-vs typing-map-typeof*)
then show ?case
using *progress-b-e*[OF - 1(5) - - 1(4)] 1(3,4,9) *list-all-append 1*
unfolding *const-list-def*
by *fastforce*

next
case (2 S C es t1s t2s e t3s)
show ?case
proof (*cases const-list es*)
case True
hence *const-list* (cs@es)
using 2(7)
unfolding *const-list-def*
by *simp*
moreover
have $\exists ts''. (\mathcal{S}.C \vdash (cs @ es) : ([] \rightarrow ts''))$
using 2(5,6)
by (*metis append.assoc e-type-comp-conc1*)
ultimately
show ?thesis
using 2(4)[OF 2(5) - - - 2(9,10,11,12,13,14,15), of (cs@es)] 2(6,16)
by *fastforce*

next
case False
hence $\neg\ const-list\ (cs@es)$
unfolding *const-list-def*
by *simp*
moreover
have $\exists ts''. (\mathcal{S}.C \vdash (cs @ es) : ([] \rightarrow ts''))$
using 2(5,6)
by (*metis append.assoc e-type-comp-conc1*)
moreover
have $\bigwedge k\ lholed. \neg\ Lfilled\ k\ lholed\ [\$Return]\ (cs @ es)$
proof -
{

```

assume  $\exists k \text{ lholed. } L\text{filled } k \text{ lholed } [\text{\$Return}] (cs @ es)$ 
then obtain  $k \text{ lholed where local-assms:Lfilled } k \text{ lholed } [\text{\$Return}] (cs @$ 
es)
  by blast
hence  $\exists \text{ lholed'. } L\text{filled } k \text{ lholed' } [\text{\$Return}] (cs @ es @ [e])$ 
proof (cases rule: Lfilled.cases)
  case (L0 vs es')
    obtain  $\text{ lholed' where lholed' = LBase vs (es'@[e])}$ 
      by blast
    thus ?thesis
    using L0
    by (metis Lfilled.intros(1) append.assoc)
  next
    case (LN vs ts es' l es'' k lfilledk)
    obtain  $\text{ lholed' where lholed' = LRec vs ts es' l (es''@[e])}$ 
      by blast
    thus ?thesis
    using LN
    by (metis Lfilled.intros(2) append.assoc)
  qed
hence False
using 2(6,9)
by blast
}
thus  $\bigwedge k \text{ lholed. } \neg L\text{filled } k \text{ lholed } [\text{\$Return}] (cs @ es)$ 
by blast
qed
moreover
have  $\bigwedge i k \text{ lholed. } L\text{filled } k \text{ lholed } [\text{\$Br } i] (cs @ es) \implies i < k$ 
proof –
  {
    assume  $\exists i k \text{ lholed. } L\text{filled } k \text{ lholed } [\text{\$Br } i] (cs @ es) \wedge \neg(i < k)$ 
    then obtain  $i k \text{ lholed where local-assms:Lfilled } k \text{ lholed } [\text{\$Br } i] (cs @$ 
es)  $\neg(i < k)$ 
      by blast
    hence  $\exists \text{ lholed'. } L\text{filled } k \text{ lholed' } [\text{\$Br } i] (cs @ es @ [e]) \wedge \neg(i < k)$ 
    proof (cases rule: Lfilled.cases)
    case (L0 vs es')
      obtain  $\text{ lholed' where lholed' = LBase vs (es'@[e])}$ 
        by blast
      thus ?thesis
      using L0 local-assms(2)
      by (metis Lfilled.intros(1) append.assoc)
    next
      case (LN vs ts es' l es'' k lfilledk)
      obtain  $\text{ lholed' where lholed' = LRec vs ts es' l (es''@[e])}$ 
        by blast
      thus ?thesis
      using LN local-assms(2)
  }

```

```

      by (metis Lfilled.intros(2) append.assoc)
    qed
  hence False
    using 2(6,10)
    by blast
}
thus  $\bigwedge i k$  lholed. Lfilled k lholed [ $\$Br i$ ] (cs @ es)  $\implies i < k$ 
  by blast
qed
moreover
note preds = calculation
show ?thesis
proof (cases cs @ es = [Trap])
  case True
  thus ?thesis
    using reduce-simple.trap[of - (LBase [] [e])]
      Lfilled.intros(1)[of [] LBase [] [e] [e] cs @ es]
      reduce.intros(1) 2(6,11)
    unfolding const-list-def
    by (metis append.assoc append-Nil list.pred-inject(1))
next
case False
thus ?thesis
  using 2(3)[OF - - 2(7,8) - - - - 2(13,14,15)] preds 2(6,16)
    progress-L0[of s vs (cs @ es) - - - - [] [e]]
    unfolding const-list-def
    by (metis append.assoc append-Nil list.pred-inject(1))
qed
qed
next
case (3 S C es t1s t2s ts)
thus ?case
  by fastforce
next
case (4 S C)
have cs-es-def:Lfilled 0 (LBase cs []) [Trap] cs-es
  using Lfilled.intros(1)[OF 4(3), of - [] [Trap]] 4(2)
  by fastforce
thus ?case
  using reduce-simple.trap[OF 4(7) cs-es-def] reduce.intros(1)
  by blast
next
case (5 S ts j vls es n C)
consider (1) ( $\bigwedge k$  lholed.  $\neg$  Lfilled k lholed [ $\$Return$ ] es)
  ( $\bigwedge k$  lholed i. (Lfilled k lholed [ $\$Br i$ ] es)  $\implies i < k$ )
  es  $\neq$  [Trap]
   $\neg$  const-list es
  | (2)  $\exists k$  lholed. Lfilled k lholed [ $\$Return$ ] es
  | (3) const-list es  $\vee$  (es = [Trap])

```

```

      | (4)  $\exists k \text{ lholed } i. (L\text{filled } k \text{ lholed } [\$Br \ i] \ es) \wedge i \geq k$ 
    using not-le-imp-less
    by blast
  thus ?case
  proof (cases)
    case 1
    obtain  $s' \ vs'' \ a$  where  $temp1: (s; vls; es) \rightsquigarrow - j (s'; vs''; a)$ 
      using 5(3)[OF 1(1) - 1(3,4) 5(12)] 1(2)
      by fastforce
    show ?thesis
      using reduce.intros(24)[OF temp1, of vs] progress-L0[where ?cs = cs, OF
- 5(6)] 5(5)
      by fastforce
    next
    case 2
    then obtain  $k \text{ lholed}$  where  $local\text{-assms}: (L\text{filled } k \text{ lholed } [\$Return] \ es)$ 
      by blast
    then obtain  $lholed' \ vs' \ C'$  where  $lholed'\text{-def}: (L\text{filled } k \text{ lholed}' (vs'@[\$Return])$ 
es)

$$\mathcal{S} \cdot C' \vdash vs' : ([\ ] \rightarrow ts)$$


$$const\text{-list } vs'$$

      using progress-LN-return[OF local-assms, of  $\mathcal{S} - ts \ ts$ ] s-type-unfold[OF
5(1)]
      by fastforce
    hence  $temp1: \exists a. ([Local \ n \ j \ vls \ es]) \rightsquigarrow (vs')$ 
      using reduce-simple.return[OF lholed'\text{-def}(3)]
      e-type-const-list[OF lholed'\text{-def}(3,2)] 5(2)
      by fastforce
    show ?thesis
      using temp1 progress-L0[OF reduce.intros(1) 5(6)] 5(5)
      by fastforce
    next
    case 3
    then consider (1)  $const\text{-list } es \mid (2) \ es = [Trap]$ 
      by blast
    hence  $temp1: \exists a. (s; vs; [Local \ n \ j \ vls \ es]) \rightsquigarrow - i (s; vs; es)$ 
    proof (cases)
      case 1
      have  $length \ es = length \ ts$ 
        using s-type-unfold[OF 5(1)] e-type-const-list[OF 1]
        by fastforce
      thus ?thesis
        using reduce-simple.local-const[OF 1] reduce.intros(1) 5(2)
        by fastforce
    next
    case 2
    thus ?thesis
      using reduce-simple.local-trap reduce.intros(1)
      by fastforce
  
```



```

qed
thus ?thesis
  using progress-L0[where ?cs = cs, OF - 5(6)] 5(5)
  by fastforce
next
case 4
then obtain k' l'holed' i' where temp1:Lfilled k' l'holed' [Br (k'+i')] es
  using le-Suc-ex
  by blast
  obtain C' where c-def:C' = ((s-inst S)!j)(local := (local ((s-inst S)!j)) @
(map typeof vls), return := Some ts)
  by blast
  hence es-def:S.C' ⊢ es : ([] -> ts) j < length (s-inst S)
  using 5(1) s-type-unfold
  by fastforce+
  hence length (label C') = 0
  using c-def store-local-label-empty 5(12)
  by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
  using progress-LN1[OF temp1 es-def(1)]
  by linarith
qed
next
case (6 S cl tf C)
obtain ts'' where ts''-def:S.C ⊢ cs : ([] -> ts'') S.C ⊢ [Callcl cl] : (ts'' -> ts')
  using 6(2,3) e-type-comp-conc1
  by fastforce
obtain ts-c t1s t2s where cl-def:(ts'' = ts-c @ t1s)
  (ts' = ts-c @ t2s)
  cl-type cl = (t1s -> t2s)
  using e-type-callcl[OF ts''-def(2)]
  by fastforce
obtain vs1 vs2 where vs-def:S.C ⊢ vs1 : ([] -> ts-c)
  S.C ⊢ vs2 : (ts-c -> ts-c @ t1s)
  cs = vs1 @ vs2
  const-list vs1
  const-list vs2
  using e-type-const-list-cons[OF 6(4)] ts''-def(1) cl-def(1)
  by fastforce
have l:(length vs2) = (length t1s)
  using e-type-const-list vs-def(2,5)
  by fastforce
show ?case
proof (cases cl)
case (Func-native x11 x12 x13 x14)
  hence func-native-def:cl = Func-native x11 (t1s -> t2s) x13 x14
  using cl-def(3)
  unfolding cl-type-def
  by simp

```

```

have  $\exists a a'. (\{s;vs;vs2 @ [Callcl cl]\} \rightsquigarrow - i (\{s;vs;a\}))$ 
using reduce.intros(5)[OF func-native-def] e-type-const-conv-vs[OF vs-def(5)]
l
  unfolding n-zeros-def
  by fastforce
thus ?thesis
  using progress-L0 vs-def(3,4) 6(3)
  by fastforce
next
case (Func-host x21 x22)
hence func-host-def:cl = Func-host (t1s -> t2s) x22
  using cl-def(3)
  unfolding cl-type-def
  by simp
obtain vcs where vcs-def:vs2 = $$$* vcs
  using e-type-const-conv-vs[OF vs-def(5)]
  by blast
fix hs
have  $\exists s' a a'. (\{s;vs;vs2 @ [Callcl cl]\} \rightsquigarrow - i (\{s';vs;a\}))$ 
proof (cases host-apply s (t1s -> t2s) x22 vcs hs)
  case None
  thus ?thesis
  using reduce.intros(7)[OF func-host-def] l vcs-def
  by fastforce
next
case (Some a)
  then obtain s' vcs' where ha-def:host-apply s (t1s -> t2s) x22 vcs hs =
Some (s', vcs')
  by (metis surj-pair)
  have list-all2 types-agree t1s vcs
  using e-typing-imp-list-types-agree vs-def(2,4) vcs-def
  by simp
  thus ?thesis
  using reduce.intros(6)[OF func-host-def - - - ha-def] l vcs-def
  host-apply-respect-type[OF - ha-def]
  by fastforce
qed
thus ?thesis
  using vs-def(3,4) 6(3) progress-L0
  by fastforce
qed
next
case (7 S C e0s ts t2s es n)
consider (1) ( $\bigwedge k \text{ lholed. } \neg \text{Lfilled } k \text{ lholed } [\text{\$Return}] \text{ es}$ )
  ( $\bigwedge k \text{ lholed } i. (\text{Lfilled } k \text{ lholed } [\text{\$Br } i] \text{ es}) \implies i < k$ )
  es  $\neq [\text{Trap}]$ 
   $\neg \text{const-list } \text{es}$ 
  | (2)  $\exists k \text{ lholed. Lfilled } k \text{ lholed } [\text{\$Return}] \text{ es}$ 
  | (3) const-list es  $\vee (\text{es} = [\text{Trap}])$ 

```

```

      | (4)  $\exists k \text{ lholed } i. (Lfilled\ k\ \text{lholed}\ [\$Br\ i]\ es) \wedge i = k$ 
      | (5)  $\exists k \text{ lholed } i. (Lfilled\ k\ \text{lholed}\ [\$Br\ i]\ es) \wedge i > k$ 
using linorder-neqE-nat
by blast
thus ?case
proof (cases)
  case 1
  have temp1:  $es = [] @ es\ \text{const-list}\ []$ 
    unfolding const-list-def
    by auto
  have temp2:  $\mathcal{S}\cdot\mathcal{C}(\text{label} := [ts] @ \text{label}\ \mathcal{C}) \vdash [] : ([] \rightarrow [])$ 
    using b-e-typing.empty e-typing-s-typing.intros(1)
    by fastforce
  have  $\exists s' vs' a. (s;vs;es) \rightsquigarrow\ i\ (s';vs';a)$ 
    using  $\gamma(5)[OF\ \gamma(2),\ of\ []\ [],\ OF\ temp1\ temp2\ 1(1) - 1(3,4)\ \gamma(14,15)]$ 
       $1(2)\ \gamma(16,17)$ 
    unfolding const-list-def
    by fastforce
  then obtain  $s' vs' a$  where red-def:  $(s;vs;es) \rightsquigarrow\ i\ (s';vs';a)$ 
    by blast
  have temp4:  $\bigwedge es. Lfilled\ 0\ (LBase\ []\ [])\ es\ es$ 
    using Lfilled.intros(1)[of [] (LBase [] []) []]
    unfolding const-list-def
    by fastforce
  hence temp5:  $Lfilled\ 1\ (LRec\ cs\ n\ e0s\ (LBase\ []\ [])\ [])\ es\ (cs@[Label\ n\ e0s\ es])$ 
    using Lfilled.intros(2)[of cs (LRec cs n e0s (LBase [] []) []) n e0s (LBase [] [])
       $0\ es\ es]\ \gamma(8)$ 
    unfolding const-list-def
    by fastforce
  have temp6:  $Lfilled\ 1\ (LRec\ cs\ n\ e0s\ (LBase\ []\ [])\ [])\ a\ (cs@[Label\ n\ e0s\ a])$ 
    using temp4 Lfilled.intros(2)[of cs (LRec cs n e0s (LBase [] []) []) n e0s
       $(LBase\ []\ [])\ []\ 0\ a\ a]\ \gamma(8)$ 
    unfolding const-list-def
    by fastforce
  show ?thesis
    using reduce.intros(23)[OF - temp5 temp6] \gamma(7) red-def
    by fastforce
next
  case 2
  then obtain  $k \text{ lholed}$  where  $(Lfilled\ k\ \text{lholed}\ [\$Return]\ es)$ 
    by blast
  hence  $(Lfilled\ (k+1)\ (LRec\ cs\ n\ e0s\ \text{lholed}\ [])\ [\$Return]\ (cs@[Label\ n\ e0s\ es]))$ 
    using Lfilled.intros(2) \gamma(8)
    by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
    using  $\gamma(10)[of\ k+1]\ \gamma(7)$ 
    by fastforce
next
  case 3

```

```

hence temp1:∃ a. (|s;vs;[Label n e0s es]) ~~- i (|s;vs;es)
  using reduce-simple.label-const reduce-simple.label-trap reduce.intros(1)
  by fastforce
show ?thesis
  using progress-L0[OF - 7(8)] 7(7) temp1
  by fastforce
next
case 4
then obtain k lholed where lholed-def:(Lfilled k lholed [\$Br (k+0)] es)
  by fastforce
then obtain lholed' vs' C' where lholed'-def:(Lfilled k lholed' (vs'@[\$Br (k)])
es)
  
$$\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C}' \vdash vs' : ([\ ] \rightarrow ts)$$

  
$$\text{const-list } vs'$$

  using progress-LN[OF lholed-def 7(2), of ts]
  by fastforce
have ∃ es' a. ([Label n e0s es]) ~- (vs'@e0s)
  using reduce-simple.br[OF lholed'-def(3) - lholed'-def(1)] 7(3)
  e-type-const-list[OF lholed'-def(3,2)]
  by fastforce
hence ∃ es' a. (|s;vs;[Label n e0s es]) ~~- i (|s;vs;es')
  using reduce.intros(1)
  by fastforce
thus ?thesis
  using progress-L0 7(7,8)
  by fastforce
next
case 5
then obtain i k lholed where lholed-def:(Lfilled k lholed [\$Br i] es) i > k
  using less-imp-add-positive
  by blast
have k1-def:Lfilled (k+1) (LRec cs n e0s lholed []) [\$Br i] cs-es
  using 7(7) Lfilled.intros(2)[OF 7(8) - lholed-def(1), of - n e0s []]
  by fastforce
thus ?thesis
  using 7(11)[OF k1-def] lholed-def(2)
  by simp
qed
next
case (8 i S tvs vs C rs es ts)
have length (local C) = length vs
  using 8(2,3) store-local-label-empty[OF 8(1,11)]
  by fastforce
moreover
have Option.is-none (memory C) = Option.is-none (inst.mem ((inst s)!i))
  using store-mem-exists[OF 8(1,11)] 8(3)
  by simp
ultimately show ?case
  using 8(6)[OF 8(4) - - 8(7,8,9,10,11,1)]

```

```

      e-typing-s-typing.intros(1)[OF b-e-typing.empty[of C]]
    unfolding const-list-def
    by fastforce
  qed
  show ?thesis
    using prems2[OF assms]
    by fastforce
  qed

lemma progress-e1:
  assumes  $\mathcal{S} \cdot \text{None} \Vdash -i \text{ vs}; \text{ es} : \text{ ts}$ 
  shows  $\neg(\text{Lfilled } k \text{ lholed } [\text{\$Return}] \text{ es})$ 
  proof -
    {
      assume  $\exists k \text{ lholed. } (\text{Lfilled } k \text{ lholed } [\text{\$Return}] \text{ es})$ 
      then obtain  $k \text{ lholed}$  where local-assms:  $(\text{Lfilled } k \text{ lholed } [\text{\$Return}] \text{ es})$ 
        by blast
      obtain  $C$  where c-def:  $i < \text{length } (s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})$ 
         $C = ((s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})!i)(\text{local} := (\text{local } ((s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})!i)) \text{ @ } (\text{map } \text{typeof } \text{vs}),$ 
        return := None)
         $(\mathcal{S} \cdot C \vdash \text{ es} : ([\ ] \text{ -> } \text{ ts}))$ 
      using assms s-type-unfold
      by fastforce
      have  $\exists \text{ rs. return } C = \text{Some } \text{rs}$ 
      using local-assms c-def(3)
      proof (induction  $[\text{\$Return}] \text{ es}$  arbitrary:  $C \text{ ts}$  rule:  $\text{Lfilled.induct}$ )
        case (L0 vs lholed es')
          thus ?case
          using e-type-comp-conc2[OF L0(3)] unlift-b-e[of  $\mathcal{S} C [\text{\$Return}]$ ] b-e-type-return
            by fastforce
        next
          case (LN vs lholed tls es' l es'' k lfilledk)
            thus ?case
            using e-type-comp-conc2[OF LN(5)] e-type-label[of  $\mathcal{S} C \text{ tls es' lfilledk}$ ]
              by fastforce
      qed
      hence False
      using c-def(2)
      by fastforce
    }
    thus  $\bigwedge k \text{ lholed. } \neg(\text{Lfilled } k \text{ lholed } [\text{\$Return}] \text{ es})$ 
      by blast
  qed

lemma progress-e2:
  assumes  $\mathcal{S} \cdot \text{None} \Vdash -i \text{ vs}; \text{ es} : \text{ ts}$ 
    store-typing  $s \mathcal{S}$ 
  shows  $(\text{Lfilled } k \text{ lholed } [\text{\$Br } (j)] \text{ es}) \implies j < k$ 
  proof -

```

```

{
  assume  $(\exists i k \text{ lholed. } (L\text{filled } k \text{ lholed } [\$Br (i)] \text{ es}) \wedge i \geq k)$ 
  then obtain  $j k \text{ lholed}$  where  $local\text{-assms}:(L\text{filled } k \text{ lholed } [\$Br (k+j)] \text{ es})$ 
    by  $(metis \text{le-iff-add})$ 
  obtain  $\mathcal{C}$  where  $c\text{-def}:i < length (s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})$ 
     $\mathcal{C} = ((s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})!i)(local := (local ((s\text{-inst } \mathcal{S})!i)) @ (map \text{typeof } vs),$ 
 $return := None)$ 
     $(\mathcal{S} \cdot \mathcal{C} \vdash \text{es} : ([ ] \rightarrow ts))$ 
  using  $assms \text{ s-type-unfold}$ 
  by  $fastforce$ 
  have  $j < length (label \mathcal{C})$ 
  using  $progress\text{-LN1}[OF \text{ local-assms } c\text{-def}(3)]$ 
  by  $-$ 
  hence  $False$ 
  using  $store\text{-local-label-empty}(1)[OF \text{ c-def}(1) \text{ assms}(2)] \text{ c-def}(2)$ 
  by  $fastforce$ 
}
thus  $(\wedge j k \text{ lholed. } (L\text{filled } k \text{ lholed } [\$Br (j)] \text{ es}) \implies j < k)$ 
  by  $fastforce$ 
qed

```

```

lemma  $progress\text{-e3}$ :
  assumes  $\mathcal{S} \cdot None \Vdash\text{-i } vs;cs\text{-es} : ts'$ 
     $cs\text{-es} \neq [Trap]$ 
     $\neg \text{const-list } (cs\text{-es})$ 
     $store\text{-typing } s \mathcal{S}$ 
  shows  $\exists a s' vs' es'. (s;vs;cs\text{-es}) \rightsquigarrow\text{-i } (s';vs';es')$ 
  using  $assms \text{ progress-e } progress\text{-e1 } progress\text{-e2}$ 
  by  $fastforce$ 

```

end

7 Soundness Theorems

theory *Wasm-Soundness* imports *Main Wasm-Properties* begin

```

theorem  $preservation$ :
  assumes  $\vdash\text{-i } s;vs;es : ts$ 
     $(s;vs;es) \rightsquigarrow\text{-i } (s';vs';es')$ 
  shows  $\vdash\text{-i } s';vs';es' : ts$ 
proof  $-$ 
  obtain  $\mathcal{S}$  where  $store\text{-typing } s \mathcal{S} \cdot None \Vdash\text{-i } vs;es : ts$ 
  using  $assms(1) \text{ config-typing.simps}$ 
  by  $blast$ 
  hence  $store\text{-typing } s' \mathcal{S} \cdot None \Vdash\text{-i } vs';es' : ts$ 
  using  $assms(2) \text{ store-preserved types-preserved-e}$ 
  by  $simp\text{-all}$ 
  thus  $?thesis$ 
  using  $config\text{-typing.intros}$ 

```

```

    by blast
qed

theorem progress:
  assumes  $\vdash\text{-}i\ s;vs;es : ts$ 
  shows  $\text{const-list } es \vee es = [\text{Trap}] \vee (\exists a\ s'\ vs'\ es'. (\!s;vs;es\!) \rightsquigarrow\text{-}i\ (\!s';vs';es'\!))$ 
proof -
  obtain  $\mathcal{S}$  where  $\text{store-typing } s\ \mathcal{S}\ \mathcal{S}\cdot\text{None} \Vdash\text{-}i\ vs;es : ts$ 
  using  $\text{assms config-typing.simps}$ 
  by blast
  thus ?thesis
  using  $\text{progress-e3}$ 
  by blast
qed

end

```

8 Augmented Type Syntax for Concrete Checker

```

theory Wasm-Checker-Types imports Wasm HOL-Library.Sublist begin

```

```

datatype ct =
  TAny
  | TSome t

```

```

datatype checker-type =
  TopType ct list
  | Type t list
  | Bot

```

```

definition to-ct-list :: t list  $\Rightarrow$  ct list where
  to-ct-list ts = map TSome ts

```

```

fun ct-eq :: ct  $\Rightarrow$  ct  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  ct-eq (TSome t) (TSome t') = (t = t')
| ct-eq TAny - = True
| ct-eq - TAny = True

```

```

definition ct-list-eq :: ct list  $\Rightarrow$  ct list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  ct-list-eq ct1s ct2s = list-all2 ct-eq ct1s ct2s

```

```

definition ct-prefix :: ct list  $\Rightarrow$  ct list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  ct-prefix xs ys = ( $\exists as\ bs. ys = as@bs \wedge \text{ct-list-eq } as\ xs$ )

```

```

definition ct-suffix :: ct list  $\Rightarrow$  ct list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  ct-suffix xs ys = ( $\exists as\ bs. ys = as@bs \wedge \text{ct-list-eq } bs\ xs$ )

```

```

lemma ct-eq-commute:
  assumes ct-eq x y

```

shows $ct\text{-eq } y \ x$
using *assms*
by (*metis ct-eq.elims*(\exists) *ct-eq.simps*(1))

lemma *ct-eq-flip*: $ct\text{-eq}^{-1-1} = ct\text{-eq}$
using *ct-eq-commute*
by *fastforce*

lemma *ct-eq-common-tsome*: $ct\text{-eq } x \ y = (\exists t. ct\text{-eq } x \ (TSome \ t) \wedge ct\text{-eq } (TSome \ t) \ y)$
by (*metis ct-eq.elims*(\exists) *ct-eq.simps*(1))

lemma *ct-list-eq-commute*:
assumes *ct-list-eq xs ys*
shows *ct-list-eq ys xs*
using *assms ct-eq-commute List.List.list.rel-flip ct-eq-flip*
unfolding *ct-list-eq-def*
by *fastforce*

lemma *ct-list-eq-refl*: *ct-list-eq xs xs*
unfolding *ct-list-eq-def*
by (*metis ct-eq.elims*(\exists) *ct-eq.simps*(1) *list-all2-refl*)

lemma *ct-list-eq-length*:
assumes *ct-list-eq xs ys*
shows $length \ xs = length \ ys$
using *assms list-all2-lengthD*
unfolding *ct-list-eq-def*
by *blast*

lemma *ct-list-eq-concat*:
assumes *ct-list-eq xs ys*
 ct-list-eq xs' ys'
shows *ct-list-eq (xs@xs') (ys@ys')*
using *assms*
unfolding *ct-list-eq-def*
by (*simp add: list-all2-appendI*)

lemma *ct-list-eq-ts-conv-eq*:
 $ct\text{-list-eq } (to\text{-ct-list } ts) \ (to\text{-ct-list } ts') = (ts = ts')$
unfolding *ct-list-eq-def to-ct-list-def*
 list-all2-map1 list-all2-map2
 ct-eq.simps(1)
by (*simp add: list-all2-eq*)

lemma *ct-list-eq-exists*: $\exists ys. ct\text{-list-eq } xs \ (to\text{-ct-list } ys)$
proof (*induction xs*)
case *Nil*
thus *?case*


```

    unfolding ct-list-eq-def to-ct-list-def
    by (simp)
next
case (Cons a xs)
thus ?case
    unfolding ct-list-eq-def to-ct-list-def
    apply (cases a)
    apply (metis ct-eq.simps(3) ct-eq-commute list.rel-intros(2) list.simps(9))
    apply (metis ct-eq.simps(1) list.rel-intros(2) list.simps(9))
    done
qed

lemma ct-list-eq-common-tsome-list:
  ct-list-eq xs ys = ( $\exists$  zs. ct-list-eq xs (to-ct-list zs)  $\wedge$  ct-list-eq (to-ct-list zs) ys)
proof (induction ys arbitrary: xs)
case Nil
thus ?case
    unfolding ct-list-eq-def to-ct-list-def
    by simp
next
case (Cons a ys)
show ?case
proof (safe)
    assume assms:ct-list-eq xs (a # ys)
    then obtain x' xs' where xs-def:xs = x'#xs'
        by (meson ct-list-eq-def list-all2-Cons2)
    then obtain zs where zs-def:ct-eq x' a
        ct-list-eq xs' (to-ct-list zs)  $\wedge$  ct-list-eq (to-ct-list zs) ys
        using Cons[of xs] assms list-all2-Cons
    unfolding ct-list-eq-def
    by fastforce
    obtain z where ct-eq x' (TSome z) ct-eq (TSome z) a
        using ct-eq-common-tsome[of x' a] zs-def(1)
        by fastforce
    hence ct-list-eq (x'#xs') (to-ct-list (z#zs))  $\wedge$  ct-list-eq (to-ct-list (z#zs)) (a #
ys)
        using zs-def(2) list-all2-Cons
    unfolding ct-list-eq-def to-ct-list-def
    by simp
    thus  $\exists$  zs. ct-list-eq xs (to-ct-list zs)  $\wedge$  ct-list-eq (to-ct-list zs) (a # ys)
        using xs-def
        by fastforce
next
fix zs
assume assms:ct-list-eq xs (to-ct-list zs) ct-list-eq (to-ct-list zs) (a # ys)
then obtain x' xs' z' zs' where xs = x'#xs'
    zs = z'#zs'
    ct-list-eq xs' (to-ct-list zs')
    ct-list-eq (to-ct-list zs') (ys)

```

```

    using list-all2-Cons2
    unfolding ct-list-eq-def to-ct-list-def list-all2-map1 list-all2-map2
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  thus ct-list-eq xs (a # ys)
    using assms Cons ct-list-eq-def to-ct-list-def ct-eq-common-tsome
    by (metis list.simps(9) list-all2-Cons)
qed
qed

lemma ct-list-eq-cons-ct-list:
  assumes ct-list-eq (to-ct-list as) (xs @ ys)
  shows  $\exists bs\ bs'. as = bs @ bs' \wedge ct-list-eq (to-ct-list bs) xs \wedge ct-list-eq (to-ct-list bs') ys$ 
  using assms
proof (induction xs arbitrary: as)
  case Nil
  thus ?case
    by (metis append-Nil ct-list-eq-ts-conv-eq list.simps(8) to-ct-list-def)
next
  case (Cons a xs)
  thus ?case
    unfolding ct-list-eq-def to-ct-list-def list-all2-map1
    by (meson list-all2-append2)
qed

lemma ct-list-eq-cons-ct-list1:
  assumes ct-list-eq (to-ct-list as) (xs @ (to-ct-list ys))
  shows  $\exists bs. as = bs @ ys \wedge ct-list-eq (to-ct-list bs) xs$ 
  using ct-list-eq-cons-ct-list[OF assms] ct-list-eq-ts-conv-eq
  by fastforce

lemma ct-list-eq-shared:
  assumes ct-list-eq xs (to-ct-list as)
         ct-list-eq ys (to-ct-list as)
  shows ct-list-eq xs ys
  using assms ct-list-eq-def
  by (meson ct-list-eq-common-tsome-list ct-list-eq-commute)

lemma ct-list-eq-take:
  assumes ct-list-eq xs ys
  shows ct-list-eq (take n xs) (take n ys)
  using assms list-all2-takeI
  unfolding ct-list-eq-def
  by blast

lemma ct-prefixI [intro?]:
  assumes ys = as @ zs
         ct-list-eq as xs
  shows ct-prefix xs ys

```

```

using assms
unfolding ct-prefix-def
by blast

lemma ct-prefixE [elim?]:
assumes ct-prefix xs ys
obtains as zs where  $ys = as @ zs$  ct-list-eq as xs
using assms
unfolding ct-prefix-def
by blast

lemma ct-prefix-snoc [simp]:  $ct\text{-prefix } xs (ys @ [y]) = (ct\text{-list-eq } xs (ys@[y]) \vee ct\text{-prefix } xs ys)$ 
proof (safe)
assume  $ct\text{-prefix } xs (ys @ [y]) \neg ct\text{-prefix } xs ys$ 
thus  $ct\text{-list-eq } xs (ys @ [y])$ 
unfolding ct-prefix-def ct-list-eq-def
by (metis butlast-append butlast-snoc ct-eq-flip list.rel-flip)
next
assume  $ct\text{-list-eq } xs (ys @ [y])$ 
thus  $ct\text{-prefix } xs (ys @ [y])$ 
using ct-list-eq-commute ct-prefixI
by fastforce
next
assume  $ct\text{-prefix } xs ys$ 
thus  $ct\text{-prefix } xs (ys @ [y])$ 
using append-assoc
unfolding ct-prefix-def
by blast
qed

lemma ct-prefix-nil:ct-prefix [] xs
 $\neg ct\text{-prefix } (x \# xs)$  []
by (simp-all add: ct-prefix-def ct-list-eq-def)

lemma Cons-ct-prefix-Cons[simp]:  $ct\text{-prefix } (x \# xs) (y \# ys) = ((ct\text{-eq } x y) \wedge ct\text{-prefix } xs ys)$ 
proof (safe)
assume  $ct\text{-prefix } (x \# xs) (y \# ys)$ 
thus  $ct\text{-eq } x y$ 
unfolding ct-prefix-def ct-list-eq-def
by (metis ct-eq-commute hd-append2 list.sel(1) list.simps(3) list-all2-Cons2)
next
assume  $ct\text{-prefix } (x \# xs) (y \# ys)$ 
thus  $ct\text{-prefix } xs ys$ 
unfolding ct-prefix-def ct-list-eq-def
by (metis list.rel-distinct(1) list.sel(3) list-all2-Cons2 tl-append2)
next
assume  $ct\text{-eq } x y$   $ct\text{-prefix } xs ys$ 

```

```

thus ct-prefix (x # xs) (y # ys)
  unfolding ct-prefix-def ct-list-eq-def
  by (metis (full-types) append-Cons ct-list-eq-commute ct-list-eq-def list.rel-inject(2))
qed

```

```

lemma ct-prefix-code [code]:
  ct-prefix [] xs = True
  ct-prefix (x # xs) [] = False
  ct-prefix (x # xs) (y # ys) = ((ct-eq x y) ∧ ct-prefix xs ys)
  by (simp-all add: ct-prefix-nil)

```

```

lemma ct-suffix-to-ct-prefix [code]: ct-suffix xs ys = ct-prefix (rev xs) (rev ys)
  unfolding ct-suffix-def ct-prefix-def ct-list-eq-def
  by (metis list-all2-rev1 rev-append rev-rev-ident)

```

```

lemma inj-TSome: inj TSome
  by (meson ct.inject injI)

```

```

lemma to-ct-list-append:
  assumes to-ct-list ts = as@bs
  shows ∃ as'. to-ct-list as' = as
    ∃ bs'. to-ct-list bs' = bs
  using assms

```

```

proof (induct as arbitrary: ts)
  fix ts
  assume to-ct-list ts = [] @ bs
  thus ∃ as'. to-ct-list as' = []
    ∃ bs'. to-ct-list bs' = bs
  unfolding to-ct-list-def
  by auto

```

```

next
  case (Cons a as)
  fix ts
  assume local-assms:to-ct-list ts = (a # as) @ bs
  then obtain t' ts' where ts = t'#ts'
    unfolding to-ct-list-def
    by auto
  thus ∃ as'. to-ct-list as' = a # as
    ∃ as'. to-ct-list as' = bs
  using Cons local-assms
  unfolding to-ct-list-def
  apply simp-all
  apply (metis list.simps(9))
  apply blast
  done

```

qed

```

lemma ct-suffixI [intro?]:
  assumes ys = as @ zs

```

```

      ct-list-eq zs xs
shows ct-suffix xs ys
using assms
unfolding ct-suffix-def
by blast

lemma ct-suffixE [elim?]:
assumes ct-suffix xs ys
obtains as zs where ys = as @ zs ct-list-eq zs xs
using assms
unfolding ct-suffix-def
by blast

lemma ct-suffix-nil: ct-suffix [] ts
unfolding ct-suffix-def
using ct-list-eq-refl
by auto

lemma ct-suffix-refl: ct-suffix ts ts
unfolding ct-suffix-def
using ct-list-eq-refl
by auto

lemma ct-suffix-length:
assumes ct-suffix ts ts'
shows length ts ≤ length ts'
using assms list-all2-lengthD
unfolding ct-suffix-def ct-list-eq-def
by fastforce

lemma ct-suffix-take:
assumes ct-suffix ts ts'
shows ct-suffix ((take (length ts - n) ts)) ((take (length ts' - n) ts'))
using assms ct-list-eq-take append-eq-conv-conj
unfolding ct-suffix-def
proof -
assume  $\exists as\ bs. ts' = as @ bs \wedge ct\text{-list-eq}\ bs\ ts$ 
then obtain ccs :: ct list and ccsa :: ct list where
  f1: ts' = ccs @ ccsa \wedge ct-list-eq ccsa ts
by moura
then have f2: length ccsa = length ts
by (meson ct-list-eq-length)
have  $\bigwedge n. ct\text{-list-eq}\ (take\ n\ ccsa)\ (take\ n\ ts)$ 
using f1 by (meson ct-list-eq-take)
then show  $\exists cs\ csa. take\ (length\ ts' - n)\ ts' = cs @ csa \wedge ct\text{-list-eq}\ csa\ (take\ (length\ ts - n)\ ts)$ 
using f2 f1 by auto
qed

```

lemma *ct-suffix-ts-conv-suffix*:
 $ct_suffix (to_ct_list\ ts) (to_ct_list\ ts') = suffix\ ts\ ts'$

proof *safe*
assume $ct_suffix (to_ct_list\ ts) (to_ct_list\ ts')$
then obtain $as\ bs$ **where** $to_ct_list\ ts' = (to_ct_list\ as) @ (to_ct_list\ bs)$
 $ct_list_eq (to_ct_list\ bs) (to_ct_list\ ts)$
using *to-ct-list-append*
unfolding *ct-suffix-def*
by *metis*
thus $suffix\ ts\ ts'$
using *ct-list-eq-ts-conv-eq*
unfolding *ct-suffix-def to-ct-list-def suffix-def*
by (*metis map-append*)

next
assume $suffix\ ts\ ts'$
thus $ct_suffix (to_ct_list\ ts) (to_ct_list\ ts')$
using *ct-list-eq-ts-conv-eq*
unfolding *ct-suffix-def to-ct-list-def suffix-def*
by (*metis map-append*)

qed

lemma *ct-suffix-exists*: $\exists ts-c. ct_suffix\ x1 (to_ct_list\ ts-c)$
using *ct-list-eq-commute ct-list-eq-exists ct-suffix-def*
by *fastforce*

lemma *ct-suffix-ct-list-eq-exists*:
assumes $ct_suffix\ x1\ x2$
shows $\exists ts-c. ct_suffix\ x1 (to_ct_list\ ts-c) \wedge ct_list_eq (to_ct_list\ ts-c)\ x2$

proof –
obtain $as\ bs$ **where** $x2_def:x2 = as @ bs$ $ct_list_eq\ x1\ bs$
using *assms ct-list-eq-commute*
unfolding *ct-suffix-def*
by *blast*
then obtain $ts-as\ ts-bs$ **where** $ct_list_eq\ as (to_ct_list\ ts-as)$
 $ct_list_eq\ x1 (to_ct_list\ ts-bs)$
 $ct_list_eq (to_ct_list\ ts-bs)\ bs$
using *ct-list-eq-common-tsome-list[of x1 bs] ct-list-eq-exists*
by *fastforce*
thus *?thesis*
using $x2_def\ ct_list_eq_commute$
unfolding *ct-suffix-def to-ct-list-def*
by (*metis ct-list-eq-def list-all2-appendI map-append*)

qed

lemma *ct-suffix-cons-ct-list*:
assumes $ct_suffix (xs@ys) (to_ct_list\ zs)$
shows $\exists as\ bs. zs = as@bs \wedge ct_list_eq\ ys (to_ct_list\ bs) \wedge ct_suffix\ xs (to_ct_list\ as)$

proof –

obtain $as\ bs$ **where** $to\text{-}ct\text{-}list\ zs = (to\text{-}ct\text{-}list\ as) @ (to\text{-}ct\text{-}list\ bs)$
 $ct\text{-}list\text{-}eq\ (to\text{-}ct\text{-}list\ bs)\ (xs\ @\ ys)$
using $assms\ to\text{-}ct\text{-}list\text{-}append[of\ zs]$
unfolding $ct\text{-}suffix\text{-}def$
by $blast$
thus $?thesis$
using $assms\ ct\text{-}list\text{-}eq\text{-}cons\text{-}ct\text{-}list[of\ bs\ xs\ ys]$
unfolding $ct\text{-}suffix\text{-}def$
by $(metis\ append.\assoc\ ct\text{-}list\text{-}eq\text{-}commute\ ct\text{-}list\text{-}eq\text{-}ts\text{-}conv\text{-}eq\ map\text{-}append\ to\text{-}ct\text{-}list\text{-}def)$
qed

lemma $ct\text{-}suffix\text{-}cons\text{-}ct\text{-}list1$:
assumes $ct\text{-}suffix\ (xs@(to\text{-}ct\text{-}list\ ys))\ (to\text{-}ct\text{-}list\ zs)$
shows $\exists as.\ zs = as@ys \wedge ct\text{-}suffix\ xs\ (to\text{-}ct\text{-}list\ as)$
using $ct\text{-}suffix\text{-}cons\text{-}ct\text{-}list[OF\ assms]\ ct\text{-}list\text{-}eq\text{-}ts\text{-}conv\text{-}eq$
by $fastforce$

lemma $ct\text{-}suffix\text{-}cons2$:
assumes $ct\text{-}suffix\ (xs)\ (ys@zs)$
 $length\ xs = length\ zs$
shows $ct\text{-}list\text{-}eq\ xs\ zs$
using $assms$
by $(metis\ append\text{-}eq\text{-}append\text{-}conv\ ct\text{-}list\text{-}eq\text{-}commute\ ct\text{-}list\text{-}eq\text{-}def\ ct\text{-}suffix\text{-}def\ list\text{-}all2\text{-}lengthD)$

lemma $ct\text{-}suffix\text{-}imp\text{-}ct\text{-}list\text{-}eq$:
assumes $ct\text{-}suffix\ xs\ ys$
shows $ct\text{-}list\text{-}eq\ (drop\ (length\ ys - length\ xs)\ ys)\ xs$
using $assms\ ct\text{-}list\text{-}eq\text{-}def\ list\text{-}all2\text{-}lengthD$
unfolding $ct\text{-}suffix\text{-}def$
by $fastforce$

lemma $ct\text{-}suffix\text{-}extend\text{-}ct\text{-}list\text{-}eq$:
assumes $ct\text{-}suffix\ xs\ ys$
 $ct\text{-}list\text{-}eq\ xs'\ ys'$
shows $ct\text{-}suffix\ (xs@xs')\ (ys@ys')$
using $assms$
unfolding $ct\text{-}suffix\text{-}def\ ct\text{-}list\text{-}eq\text{-}def$
by $(meson\ append.\assoc\ ct\text{-}list\text{-}eq\text{-}commute\ ct\text{-}list\text{-}eq\text{-}def\ list\text{-}all2\text{-}appendI)$

lemma $ct\text{-}suffix\text{-}extend\text{-}any1$:
assumes $ct\text{-}suffix\ xs\ ys$
 $length\ xs < length\ ys$
shows $ct\text{-}suffix\ (TAny\#xs)\ ys$

proof –
obtain $as\ bs$ **where** $ys\text{-}def:ys = as@bs$
 $ct\text{-}list\text{-}eq\ bs\ xs$
using $assms(1)\ ct\text{-}suffix\text{-}def$
by $fastforce$

hence $\text{length } as > 0$
using $\text{list-all2-lengthD } \text{assms}(2)$
unfolding ct-list-eq-def
by fastforce
then obtain $as' a$ **where** $\text{as-def}: as = as'@[a]$
by $(\text{metis } \text{append-butlast-last-id } \text{length-greater-0-conv})$
hence $\text{ct-list-eq } (a\#bs) (TAny\#xs)$
using ys-def
by $(\text{meson } \text{ct-eq.simps}(2) \text{ ct-list-eq-commute } \text{ct-list-eq-def } \text{list.rel-intros}(2))$
thus $?thesis$
using $\text{as-def } \text{ys-def } \text{ct-suffix-def}$
by fastforce
qed

lemma $\text{ct-suffix-singleton-any}: \text{ct-suffix } [TAny] [t]$
using $\text{ct-suffix-extend-ct-list-eq}[of [] [] [TAny] [t]] \text{ct-suffix-nil}$
by $(\text{simp } \text{add}: \text{ct-list-eq-def})$

lemma $\text{ct-suffix-cons-it}: \text{ct-suffix } xs (xs'@xs)$
using $\text{ct-list-eq-refl } \text{ct-suffix-def}$
by blast

lemma $\text{ct-suffix-singleton}$:
assumes $\text{length } cts > 0$
shows $\text{ct-suffix } [TAny] cts$
proof –
have $\bigwedge c. \text{ct-prefix } [TAny] [c]$
using $\text{ct-suffix-singleton-any } \text{ct-suffix-to-ct-prefix}$ **by** force
then show $?thesis$
by $(\text{metis } (\text{no-types}) \text{Suc-leI } \text{append-butlast-last-id } \text{assms } \text{butlast.simps}(2) \text{ct-list-eq-commute}$
 $\text{ct-prefix-nil}(2) \text{ct-prefix-snoc } \text{ct-suffix-def } \text{impossible-Cons}$
 length-Cons
 $\text{list.size}(3))$
qed

lemma ct-suffix-less :
assumes $\text{ct-suffix } (xs@xs') ys$
shows $\text{ct-suffix } xs' ys$
using assms
unfolding ct-suffix-def
by $(\text{metis } \text{append-eq-appendI } \text{ct-list-eq-def } \text{list-all2-append2})$

lemma $\text{ct-suffix-unfold-one}: \text{ct-suffix } (xs@[x]) (ys@[y]) = ((\text{ct-eq } x y) \wedge \text{ct-suffix } xs ys)$
using $\text{ct-prefix-code}(3)$
by $(\text{simp } \text{add}: \text{ct-suffix-to-ct-prefix})$

lemma ct-suffix-shared :
assumes $\text{ct-suffix } cts (\text{to-ct-list } ts)$

$ct\text{-suffix } cts' (to\text{-}ct\text{-list } ts)$
shows $ct\text{-suffix } cts \ cts' \vee ct\text{-suffix } cts' \ cts$
proof (cases length cts > length cts')
case True
obtain $as \ bs$ **where** $cts\text{-}def:ts = as@bs$
 $ct\text{-list-eq } cts (to\text{-}ct\text{-list } bs)$
using $assms(1) \ ct\text{-suffix-def} \ to\text{-}ct\text{-list-def}$
by (metis append-Nil ct-suffix-cons-ct-list)
obtain $as' \ bs'$ **where** $cts'\text{-}def:ts = as'@bs'$
 $ct\text{-list-eq } cts' (to\text{-}ct\text{-list } bs')$
using $assms(2) \ ct\text{-suffix-def} \ to\text{-}ct\text{-list-def}$
by (metis append-Nil ct-suffix-cons-ct-list)
obtain $ct1s \ ct2s$ **where** $cts = ct1s@ct2s$
 $length \ ct2s = length \ cts'$
using True
by (metis add-diff-cancel-right' append-take-drop-id length-drop less-imp-le-nat
nat-le-iff-add)
show ?thesis
proof –
obtain $tts :: t \ list \Rightarrow ct \ list \Rightarrow ct \ list \Rightarrow t \ list$ **and** $ttsa :: t \ list \Rightarrow ct \ list \Rightarrow ct$
 $list \Rightarrow t \ list$ **where**
 $\forall x0 \ x1 \ x2. (\exists v3 \ v4. x0 = v3 @ v4 \wedge ct\text{-list-eq } x1 (to\text{-}ct\text{-list } v4) \wedge ct\text{-suffix}$
 $x2 (to\text{-}ct\text{-list } v3)) = (x0 = tts \ x0 \ x1 \ x2 @ ttsa \ x0 \ x1 \ x2 \wedge ct\text{-list-eq } x1 (to\text{-}ct\text{-list}$
 $(ttsa \ x0 \ x1 \ x2)) \wedge ct\text{-suffix } x2 (to\text{-}ct\text{-list } (tts \ x0 \ x1 \ x2)))$
by moura
then have $f1: as' @ bs' = tts (as' @ bs') \ ct2s \ ct1s @ ttsa (as' @ bs') \ ct2s \ ct1s$
 $\wedge ct\text{-list-eq } ct2s (to\text{-}ct\text{-list } (ttsa (as' @ bs') \ ct2s \ ct1s)) \wedge ct\text{-suffix } ct1s (to\text{-}ct\text{-list}$
 $(tts (as' @ bs') \ ct2s \ ct1s))$
using $assms(1) \ \langle cts = ct1s @ ct2s \rangle \ cts'\text{-}def(1) \ ct\text{-suffix-cons-ct-list}$ **by** force
then have $ct\text{-list-eq } cts' (to\text{-}ct\text{-list } (ttsa (as' @ bs') \ ct2s \ ct1s))$
by (metis $\langle ct\text{-suffix } cts' (to\text{-}ct\text{-list } ts) \rangle \ \langle length \ ct2s = length \ cts' \rangle \ cts'\text{-}def(1)$
 $ct\text{-list-eq-length} \ ct\text{-suffix-cons2} \ map\text{-}append \ to\text{-}ct\text{-list-def}$)
then show ?thesis
using $f1$ **by** (metis $\langle cts = ct1s @ ct2s \rangle \ ct\text{-list-eq-shared} \ ct\text{-suffix-def}$)
qed
next
case False
hence $len:length \ cts' \geq length \ cts$
by linarith
obtain $as \ bs$ **where** $cts\text{-}def:ts = as@bs$
 $ct\text{-list-eq } cts (to\text{-}ct\text{-list } bs)$
using $assms(1) \ ct\text{-suffix-def} \ to\text{-}ct\text{-list-def}$
by (metis append-Nil ct-suffix-cons-ct-list)
obtain $as' \ bs'$ **where** $cts'\text{-}def:ts = as'@bs'$
 $ct\text{-list-eq } cts' (to\text{-}ct\text{-list } bs')$
using $assms(2) \ ct\text{-suffix-def} \ to\text{-}ct\text{-list-def}$
by (metis append-Nil ct-suffix-cons-ct-list)
obtain $ct1s \ ct2s$ **where** $cts' = ct1s@ct2s$
 $length \ ct2s = length \ cts$

```

using len
by (metis add-diff-cancel-right' append-take-drop-id length-drop nat-le-iff-add)
show ?thesis
proof -
  obtain tts :: t list  $\Rightarrow$  ct list  $\Rightarrow$  ct list  $\Rightarrow$  t list and tsa :: t list  $\Rightarrow$  ct list  $\Rightarrow$  ct
list  $\Rightarrow$  t list where
   $\forall x0\ x1\ x2. (\exists v3\ v4. x0 = v3 @ v4 \wedge ct\text{-list}\text{-eq}\ x1\ (to\text{-ct}\text{-list}\ v4) \wedge ct\text{-suffix}$ 
 $x2\ (to\text{-ct}\text{-list}\ v3)) = (x0 = tts\ x0\ x1\ x2 @ tsa\ x0\ x1\ x2 \wedge ct\text{-list}\text{-eq}\ x1\ (to\text{-ct}\text{-list}$ 
 $(tsa\ x0\ x1\ x2)) \wedge ct\text{-suffix}\ x2\ (to\text{-ct}\text{-list}\ (tts\ x0\ x1\ x2)))$ 
  by moura
  then have f1: as @ bs = tts (as @ bs) ct2s ct1s @ tsa (as @ bs) ct2s ct1s  $\wedge$ 
ct-list-eq ct2s (to-ct-list (tsa (as @ bs) ct2s ct1s))  $\wedge$  ct-suffix ct1s (to-ct-list (tts
(as @ bs) ct2s ct1s))
  using assms(2)  $\langle$ cts' = ct1s @ ct2s $\rangle$  cts-def(1) ct-suffix-cons-ct-list by force
  then have ct-list-eq cts (to-ct-list (tsa (as @ bs) ct2s ct1s))
  by (metis  $\langle$ ct-suffix cts (to-ct-list ts) $\rangle$   $\langle$ length ct2s = length cts $\rangle$  cts-def(1)
ct-list-eq-length ct-suffix-cons2 map-append to-ct-list-def)
  then show ?thesis
  using f1 by (metis  $\langle$ cts' = ct1s @ ct2s $\rangle$  ct-list-eq-shared ct-suffix-def)
qed
qed

```

```

fun checker-type-suffix::checker-type  $\Rightarrow$  checker-type  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  checker-type-suffix (Type ts) (Type ts') = suffix ts ts'
| checker-type-suffix (Type ts) (TopType cts) = ct-suffix (to-ct-list ts) cts
| checker-type-suffix (TopType cts) (Type ts) = ct-suffix cts (to-ct-list ts)
| checker-type-suffix - - = False

```

```

fun consume :: checker-type  $\Rightarrow$  ct list  $\Rightarrow$  checker-type where
  consume (Type ts) cons = (if ct-suffix cons (to-ct-list ts)
    then Type (take (length ts - length cons) ts)
    else Bot)
| consume (TopType cts) cons = (if ct-suffix cons cts
  then TopType (take (length cts - length cons) cts)
  else (if ct-suffix cts cons
    then TopType []
    else Bot))
| consume - - = Bot

```

```

fun produce :: checker-type  $\Rightarrow$  checker-type  $\Rightarrow$  checker-type where
  produce (TopType ts) (Type ts') = TopType (ts@(to-ct-list ts'))
| produce (Type ts) (Type ts') = Type (ts@ts')
| produce (Type ts') (TopType ts) = TopType ts
| produce (TopType ts') (TopType ts) = TopType ts
| produce - - = Bot

```

```

fun type-update :: checker-type  $\Rightarrow$  ct list  $\Rightarrow$  checker-type  $\Rightarrow$  checker-type where
  type-update curr-type cons prods = produce (consume curr-type cons) prods

```

```

fun select-return-top :: [ct list] ⇒ ct ⇒ ct ⇒ checker-type where
  select-return-top ts ct1 TAny = TopType ((take (length ts - 3) ts) @ [ct1])
| select-return-top ts TAny ct2 = TopType ((take (length ts - 3) ts) @ [ct2])
| select-return-top ts (TSome t1) (TSome t2) = (if (t1 = t2)
  then (TopType ((take (length ts - 3) ts)
    @ [TSome t1]))
  else Bot)

```

```

fun type-update-select :: checker-type ⇒ checker-type where
  type-update-select (Type ts) = (if (length ts ≥ 3 ∧ (ts!(length ts-2)) = (ts!(length
    ts-3)))
    then consume (Type ts) [TAny, TSome T-i32]
    else Bot)
| type-update-select (TopType ts) = (case length ts of
  0 ⇒ TopType [TAny]
| Suc 0 ⇒ type-update (TopType ts) [TSome T-i32]
(TopType [TAny])
  | Suc (Suc 0) ⇒ consume (TopType ts) [TSome
    T-i32]
  | - ⇒ type-update (TopType ts) [TAny, TAny,
    TSome T-i32]
(select-return-top ts (ts!(length ts-2))
(ts!(length ts-3)))
| type-update-select - = Bot

```

```

fun c-types-agree :: checker-type ⇒ t list ⇒ bool where
  c-types-agree (Type ts) ts' = (ts = ts')
| c-types-agree (TopType ts) ts' = ct-suffix ts (to-ct-list ts')
| c-types-agree Bot - = False

```

lemma consume-type:

```

assumes consume (Type ts) ts' = c-t
  c-t ≠ Bot
shows ∃ ts''. ct-list-eq (to-ct-list ts) ((to-ct-list ts'')@ts') ∧ c-t = Type ts''
proof -
  {
    assume a1: (if ct-suffix ts' (map TSome ts) then Type (take (length ts - length
      ts') ts) else Bot) = c-t
    assume a2: c-t ≠ Bot
    obtain ccs :: ct list ⇒ ct list ⇒ ct list and ccsa :: ct list ⇒ ct list ⇒ ct list
  where
    f3: ∀ cs csa. ¬ ct-suffix cs csa ∨ csa = ccs cs csa @ ccsa cs csa ∧ ct-list-eq
      (ccsa cs csa) cs
    using ct-suffixE by moura
    have f4: ct-suffix ts' (map TSome ts)
    using a2 a1 by metis
    then have f5: ct-list-eq (ccsa ts' (map TSome ts)) ts'
    using f3 by blast
    have f6: take (length (map TSome ts) - length (ccsa ts' (map TSome ts)))

```

```

(map TSome ts) @ ccsa ts' (map TSome ts) = map TSome ts
  using f4 f3 by (metis (full-types) suffixI suffix-take)
  have  $\wedge cs. ct\text{-list-eq} (cs @ ccsa ts' (map TSome ts)) (cs @ ts')$ 
    using f5 ct-list-eq-concat ct-list-eq-refl by blast
  then have  $\exists tsa. ct\text{-list-eq} (map TSome ts) (map TSome tsa @ ts') \wedge c-t =$ 
Type tsa
    using f6 f5 f4 a1 by (metis (no-types) ct-list-eq-length length-map take-map)
  }
  thus ?thesis
    using assms to-ct-list-def
    by simp
qed

```

```

lemma consume-top-geq:
  assumes consume (TopType ts) ts' = c-t
    length ts  $\geq$  length ts'
    c-t  $\neq$  Bot
  shows ( $\exists as bs. ts = as@bs \wedge ct\text{-list-eq} bs ts' \wedge c-t = TopType as$ )
proof -
  consider (1) ct-suffix ts' ts
    | (2)  $\neg ct\text{-suffix} ts' ts \wedge ct\text{-suffix} ts ts'$ 
    | (3)  $\neg ct\text{-suffix} ts' ts \wedge \neg ct\text{-suffix} ts ts'$ 
  by blast
  thus ?thesis
proof (cases)
  case 1
  hence TopType (take (length ts - length ts') ts) = c-t
    using assms
    by simp
  thus ?thesis
    using assms(2) 1 ct-list-eq-def
    unfolding ct-suffix-def
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) append-eq-append-conv append-take-drop-id diff-diff-cancel
length-drop list-all2-lengthD)
  next
  case 2
  thus ?thesis
    using assms append-eq-append-conv ct-list-eq-commute
    unfolding ct-suffix-def
    by (metis append.left-neutral ct-suffix-def ct-suffix-length le-antisym)
  next
  case 3
  thus ?thesis
    using assms
    by auto
qed
qed

```

```

lemma consume-top-leq:

```

```

assumes consume (TopType ts) ts' = c-t
           length ts ≤ length ts'
           c-t ≠ Bot
shows c-t = TopType []
using assms append-eq-conv-conj
by fastforce

lemma consume-type-type:
assumes consume xs cons = (Type t-int)
shows ∃ tn. xs = Type tn
using assms
apply (cases xs)
       apply simp-all
apply (metis checker-type.distinct(1) checker-type.distinct(5))
done

lemma produce-type-type:
assumes produce xs cons = (Type tm)
shows ∃ tn. xs = Type tn
apply (cases xs; cases cons)
using assms
       apply simp-all
done

lemma consume-weaken-type:
assumes consume (Type tn) cons = (Type t-int)
shows consume (Type (ts@tn)) cons = (Type (ts@t-int))
proof –
  obtain ts' where ct-list-eq (to-ct-list tn) (to-ct-list ts' @ cons) ∧ Type t-int =
  Type ts'
    using consume-type[OF assms]
    by blast
  have cond:ct-suffix cons (to-ct-list tn)
    using assms
    by (simp, metis checker-type.distinct(5))
  hence res:t-int = take (length tn – length cons) tn
    using assms
    by simp
  have ct-suffix cons (to-ct-list (ts@tn))
    using cond
    unfolding to-ct-list-def
    by (metis append-assoc ct-suffix-def map-append)
  moreover
  have ts@t-int = take (length (ts@tn) – length cons) (ts@tn)
    using res take-append cond ct-suffix-length to-ct-list-def
    by fastforce
  ultimately
  show ?thesis
    by simp

```

qed

lemma *produce-weaken-type*:

assumes *produce* (Type *tn*) *cons* = (Type *tm*)
shows *produce* (Type (*ts*@*tn*)) *cons* = (Type (*ts*@*tm*))
using *assms*
by (*cases cons, simp-all*)

lemma *produce-nil*: *produce ts* (Type []) = *ts*

using *to-ct-list-def*
by (*cases ts, simp-all*)

lemma *c-types-agree-id*: *c-types-agree* (Type *ts*) *ts*

by *simp*

lemma *c-types-agree-top1*: *c-types-agree* (TopType []) *ts*

using *ct-suffix-ts-conv-suffix to-ct-list-def*
by (*simp add: ct-suffix-nil*)

lemma *c-types-agree-top2*:

assumes *ct-list-eq ts* (*to-ct-list ts'*)
shows *c-types-agree* (TopType *ts*) (*ts'*@*ts''*)
using *assms ct-list-eq-commute ct-suffix-def to-ct-list-def*
by *auto*

lemma *c-types-agree-imp-ct-list-eq*:

assumes *c-types-agree* (TopType *cts*) *ts*
shows $\exists ts' ts''. (ts = ts'@ts'') \wedge ct-list-eq\ cts\ (to-ct-list\ ts'')$
using *assms ct-suffix-def to-ct-list-def*
by (*simp, metis ct-list-eq-commute ct-list-eq-ts-conv-eq ct-suffix-ts-conv-suffix suffixE*
to-ct-list-append(2))

lemma *c-types-agree-not-bot-exists*:

assumes *ts* \neq *Bot*
shows $\exists ts-c. c-types-agree\ ts\ ts-c$
using *assms ct-suffix-exists*
by (*cases ts, simp-all*)

lemma *consume-c-types-agree*:

assumes *consume* (Type *ts*) *cts* = (Type *ts'*)
c-types-agree ctn ts
shows $\exists c-t'. consume\ ctn\ cts = c-t' \wedge c-types-agree\ c-t'\ ts'$
using *assms*
proof (*cases ctn*)
case (TopType *x1*)
have *1: ct-suffix cts* (*to-ct-list ts*)
using *assms*
by (*simp, metis checker-type.distinct(5)*)

```

hence ct-suffix cts x1  $\vee$  ct-suffix x1 cts
  using TopType 1 assms(2) ct-suffix-shared
  by simp
thus ?thesis
proof (rule disjE)
  assume local-assms:ct-suffix cts x1
  hence 2:consume (TopType x1) cts = TopType (take (length x1 - length cts)
x1)
  by simp
  have (take (length ts - length cts) ts = ts')
  using assms 1
  by simp
  hence c-types-agree (TopType (take (length x1 - length cts) x1)) ts'
  using 2 assms local-assms TopType ct-suffix-take
  by (simp, metis length-map take-map to-ct-list-def)
thus ?thesis
  using 2 TopType
  by simp
next
  assume local-assms:ct-suffix x1 cts
  hence 3:consume (TopType x1) cts = TopType []
  by (simp add: ct-suffix-length)
thus ?thesis
  using TopType c-types-agree-top1
  by blast
qed
qed simp-all

```

lemma *type-update-type:*

```

assumes type-update (Type ts) (to-ct-list cons) prods = ts'
  ts'  $\neq$  Bot
  shows (ts' = prods  $\wedge$  ( $\exists$  ts-c. prods = (TopType ts-c)))
   $\vee$  ( $\exists$  ts-a ts-b. prods = Type ts-a  $\wedge$  ts = ts-b@cons  $\wedge$  ts' = Type
(ts-b@ts-a))
  using assms
  apply (cases prods)
  apply simp-all
  apply (metis (full-types) produce.simps(3) produce.simps(7))
  using ct-suffix-ts-conv-suffix suffix-take to-ct-list-def
  apply fastforce
  done

```

lemma *type-update-empty: type-update ts cons (Type []) = consume ts cons*

```

using produce-nil
by simp

```

lemma *type-update-top-top:*

```

assumes type-update (TopType ts) (to-ct-list cons) (Type prods) = (TopType ts')

```

$c\text{-types-agree } (\text{TopType } ts') \ t\text{-ag}$
shows $ct\text{-suffix } (\text{to-ct-list } \text{prods}) \ ts'$
 $\exists t\text{-ag}'. \ t\text{-ag} = t\text{-ag}'@prods \wedge c\text{-types-agree } (\text{TopType } ts) \ (t\text{-ag}'@cons)$
proof –
consider (1) $ct\text{-suffix } (\text{to-ct-list } cons) \ ts$
| (2) $\neg ct\text{-suffix } (\text{to-ct-list } cons) \ ts \ ct\text{-suffix } ts \ (\text{to-ct-list } cons)$
| (3) $\neg ct\text{-suffix } (\text{to-ct-list } cons) \ ts \ \neg ct\text{-suffix } ts \ (\text{to-ct-list } cons)$
by *blast*
hence $ct\text{-suffix } (\text{to-ct-list } \text{prods}) \ ts' \wedge (\exists t\text{-ag}'. \ t\text{-ag} = t\text{-ag}'@prods \wedge c\text{-types-agree } (\text{TopType } ts) \ (t\text{-ag}'@cons))$
proof (*cases*)
case 1
hence $ts' = (\text{take } (\text{length } ts - \text{length } cons) \ ts) \ @ \ \text{to-ct-list } \text{prods}$
using *assms(1) to-ct-list-def*
by *simp*
moreover
then obtain $t\text{-ag}'$ **where** $t\text{-ag} = t\text{-ag}' \ @ \ \text{prods}$
 $ct\text{-suffix } (\text{take } (\text{length } ts - \text{length } cons) \ ts) \ (\text{to-ct-list } t\text{-ag}')$
using *assms(2) ct-suffix-cons-ct-list1*
unfolding *c-types-agree.simps*
by *blast*
moreover
hence $ct\text{-suffix } ts \ (\text{to-ct-list } (t\text{-ag}'@cons))$
using 1 *ct-suffix-imp-ct-list-eq ct-suffix-extend-ct-list-eq to-ct-list-def*
by *fastforce*
ultimately
show *?thesis*
using *c-types-agree.simps(2) ct-list-eq-ts-conv-eq ct-suffix-def*
by *auto*
next
case 2
thus *?thesis*
using *assms*
by (*metis append.assoc c-types-agree.simps(2) checker-type.inject(1) consume.simps(2)*
 $ct\text{-list-eq-ts-conv-eq } ct\text{-suffix-cons-ct-list } ct\text{-suffix-def } \text{map-append}$
 $\text{produce.simps(1) } \text{to-ct-list-def } \text{type-update.simps}$)
next
case 3
thus *?thesis*
using *assms*
by *simp*
qed
thus $ct\text{-suffix } (\text{to-ct-list } \text{prods}) \ ts'$
 $\exists t\text{-ag}'. \ t\text{-ag} = t\text{-ag}'@prods \wedge c\text{-types-agree } (\text{TopType } ts) \ (t\text{-ag}'@cons)$
by *simp-all*
qed

lemma *type-update-select-length0*:


```

assumes type-update-select (TopType cts) = tm
          length cts = 0
          tm ≠ Bot
shows tm = TopType [TAny]
using assms
by simp

lemma type-update-select-length1:
assumes type-update-select (TopType cts) = tm
          length cts = 1
          tm ≠ Bot
shows ct-list-eq cts [TSome T-i32]
          tm = TopType [TAny]
proof –
have 1:type-update (TopType cts) [TSome T-i32] (TopType [TAny]) = tm
using assms(1,2)
by simp
hence ct-suffix cts [TSome T-i32] ∨ ct-suffix [TSome T-i32] cts
using assms(3)
by (metis consume.simps(2) produce.simps(7) type-update.simps)
thus ct-list-eq cts [TSome T-i32]
using assms(2,3) ct-suffix-imp-ct-list-eq
by (metis One-nat-def Suc-length-conv ct-list-eq-commute diff-Suc-1 drop-0
list.size(3))
show tm = TopType [TAny]
using 1 assms(3) consume-top-leq
by (metis One-nat-def assms(2) diff-Suc-1 diff-is-0-eq length-Cons list.size(3)
produce.simps(4,7) type-update.simps)

qed

lemma type-update-select-length2:
assumes type-update-select (TopType cts) = tm
          length cts = 2
          tm ≠ Bot
shows ∃ t1 t2. cts = [t1, t2] ∧ ct-eq t2 (TSome T-i32) ∧ tm = TopType [t1]
proof –
obtain x y where cts-def: cts = [x, y]
using assms(2) List.length-Suc-conv[of cts Suc 0]
by (metis length-0-conv length-Suc-conv numeral-2-eq-2)
moreover
hence consume (TopType [x, y]) [TSome T-i32] = tm
using assms(1,2)
by simp
moreover
hence ct-suffix [x, y] [TSome T-i32] ∨ ct-suffix [TSome T-i32] [x, y]
using assms(3)
by (metis consume.simps(2))
hence ct-suffix [TSome T-i32] ([x]@[y])

```

using *assms*(2) *ct-suffix-length*
by *fastforce*
moreover
hence *ct-eq y (TSome T-i32)*
by (*metis ct-eq-commute ct-list-eq-def ct-suffix-cons2 list.rel-sel list.sel(1) list.simps(3)*
list.size(4))
ultimately
show *?thesis*
by *auto*
qed

lemma *type-update-select-length3*:
assumes *type-update-select (TopType cts) = (TopType ctm)*
length cts ≥ 3
shows $\exists cts' ct1 ct2 ct3. cts = cts'@[ct1, ct2, ct3] \wedge ct-eq ct3$ (*TSome T-i32*)
proof –
obtain *cts' cts'' where cts-def: cts = cts'@ cts'' length cts'' = 3*
using *assms*(2)
by (*metis append-take-drop-id diff-diff-cancel length-drop*)
then obtain *ct1 cts''2 where cts'' = ct1#cts''2 length cts''2 = Suc (Suc 0)*
using *List.length-Suc-conv[of cts' Suc (Suc 0)]*
by (*metis length-Suc-conv numeral-3-eq-3*)
then obtain *ct2 ct3 where cts'' = [ct1, ct2, ct3]*
using *List.length-Suc-conv[of cts''2 Suc 0]*
by (*metis length-0-conv length-Suc-conv*)
hence *cts-def2: cts = cts'@[ct1, ct2, ct3]*
using *cts-def*
by *simp*
obtain *nat where length cts = Suc (Suc (Suc nat))*
using *assms*(2)
by (*simp add: cts-def*)
hence (*type-update (TopType cts) [TAny, TAny, TSome T-i32] (select-return-top*
cts (cts ! (length cts - 2)) (cts ! (length cts - 3))) = TopType ctm
using *assms*
by *simp*
then obtain *c-mid where consume (TopType cts) [TAny, TAny, TSome T-i32]*
 $= TopType c-mid$
by (*metis consume.simps(2) produce.simps(6) type-update.simps*)
hence *ct-suffix [TAny, TAny, TSome T-i32] (cts'@[ct1, ct2, ct3])*
using *assms*(2) *consume-top-geq cts-def2*
by (*metis checker-type.distinct(3) ct-suffix-def length-Cons list.size(3) nu-*
meral-3-eq-3)
hence *ct-eq ct3 (TSome T-i32)*
using *ct-suffix-def ct-list-eq-def*
by (*simp, metis append-eq-append-conv length-Cons list-all2-Cons list-all2-lengthD*)
thus *?thesis*
using *cts-def2*
by *simp*
qed

lemma *type-update-select-type-length3*:
assumes *type-update-select* (Type *tn*) = (Type *tm*)
shows $\exists t \ ts'. \ tn = ts'@[t, t, T-i32]$
proof –
have *tn-cond*: $(length \ tn \geq 3 \wedge (tn!(length \ tn-2)) = (tn!(length \ tn-3)))$
using *assms*
by (*simp, metis checker-type.distinct(5)*)
hence *tn-def*:*consume* (Type *tn*) [*TAny, TSome T-i32*] = Type *tm*
using *assms*
by *simp*
obtain *tn' tn''* **where** *tn-split*: $tn = tn'@tn''$
 $length \ tn'' = 3$
using *assms tn-cond*
by (*metis append-take-drop-id diff-diff-cancel length-drop*)
then obtain *t1 tn''2* **where** $tn'' = t1\#tn''2$ $length \ tn''2 = Suc \ (Suc \ 0)$
by (*metis length-Suc-conv numeral-3-eq-3*)
then obtain *t2 t3* **where** *tn''-def*: $tn'' = [t1, t2, t3]$
using *List.length-Suc-conv[of tn''2 Suc 0]*
by (*metis length-0-conv length-Suc-conv*)
hence *tn-def*: $tn = tn'@ [t1, t2, t3]$
using *tn-split*
by *simp*
hence *t1-t2-eq*: $t1 = t2$
using *tn-cond*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) Suc-diff-Suc Suc-eq-plus1-left Suc-lessD tn''-def*
add-diff-cancel-right' diff-is-0-eq length-append neq0-conv
not-less-eq-eq nth-Cons-0 nth-Cons-numeral
nth-append numeral-2-eq-2 numeral-3-eq-3 numeral-One
tn-split(2)
 $zero-less-diff$)
have *ct-suffix* [*TAny, TSome T-i32*] (*to-ct-list* ($tn' @ [t1, t2, t3]$))
using *tn-def tm-def*
by (*simp, metis checker-type.distinct(5)*)
hence $t3 = T-i32$
using *ct-suffix-unfold-one[of [TAny] TSome T-i32 to-ct-list (tn' @ [t1, t2])*
TSome t3]
 $ct-eq.simps(1)$
unfolding *to-ct-list-def*
by *simp*
thus *?thesis*
using *t1-t2-eq tn-def*
by *simp*
qed

lemma *select-return-top-exists*:
assumes *select-return-top* *cts c1 c2 = ctm*
 $ctm \neq Bot$
shows $\exists xs. \ ctm = TopType \ xs$

```

using assms
by (meson select-return-top.elims)

lemma type-update-select-top-exists:
  assumes type-update-select xs = (TopType tm)
  shows  $\exists tn. xs = TopType\ tn$ 
  using assms
proof (cases xs)
  case (Type x2)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms
    by (simp, metis checker-type.distinct(1) checker-type.distinct(3) consume.simps(1))
qed simp-all

lemma type-update-select-conv-select-return-top:
  assumes ct-suffix [TSome T-i32] cts
    length cts  $\geq$  3
  shows type-update-select (TopType cts) = (select-return-top cts (cts!(length cts-2))
(cts!(length cts-3)))
proof -
  obtain nat where nat-def:length cts = Suc (Suc (Suc nat))
    using assms(2)
    by (metis add-eq-if diff-Suc-1 le-Suc-ex numeral-3-eq-3 nat.distinct(2))
  have ct-suffix [TAny, TAny, TSome T-i32] cts
    using assms ct-suffix-extend-any1
    by simp
  then obtain cts' where consume (TopType cts) [TAny, TAny, TSome T-i32] =
TopType cts'
    by simp
  thus ?thesis
    using nat-def select-return-top-exists
    apply (cases select-return-top cts (cts ! Suc nat) (cts ! nat))
    apply simp-all
    apply (metis checker-type.distinct(1) checker-type.distinct(5))
  done
qed

lemma select-return-top-ct-eq:
  assumes select-return-top cts c1 c2 = TopType ctm
    length cts  $\geq$  3
    c-types-agree (TopType ctm) cm
  shows  $\exists c' cm'. cm = cm'@[c']$ 
     $\wedge ct\text{-suffix (take (length cts - 3) cts) (to-ct-list cm')}$ 
     $\wedge ct\text{-eq } c1 (TSome\ c')$ 
     $\wedge ct\text{-eq } c2 (TSome\ c')$ 
proof (cases c1)
  case TAny
  note outer-TAny = TAny
  show ?thesis

```

```

proof (cases c2)
  case TAny
  hence take (length cts - 3) cts @ [TAny] = ctm
    using outer-TAny assms ct-suffix-cons-ct-list
    by simp
  then obtain cm' c where cm = cm' @ [c]
    ct-suffix (take (length cts - 3) cts) (to-ct-list cm')
  using ct-suffix-cons-ct-list[of take (length cts - 3) cts [TAny]]
    assms(3) c-types-agree.simps(2)[of ctm cm]
  unfolding ct-list-eq-def to-ct-list-def
by (metis Suc-leI impossible-Cons length-Cons length-map list.exhaust list.size(3)
    list-all2-conv-all-nth zero-less-Suc)
  thus ?thesis
    using TAny outer-TAny ct-eq.simps(2)
    by blast
next
  case (TSome x2)
  hence take (length cts - 3) cts @ [TSome x2] = ctm
    using outer-TAny assms ct-suffix-cons-ct-list
    by simp
  then obtain cm' where cm = cm' @ [x2]
    ct-suffix (take (length cts - 3) cts) (to-ct-list cm')
  using ct-suffix-cons-ct-list[of take (length cts - 3) cts [TSome x2]]
    assms(3) c-types-agree.simps(2)[of ctm cm] ct-list-eq-ts-conv-eq
  unfolding ct-list-eq-def to-ct-list-def
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) list.simps(8,9))
  thus ?thesis
    using TSome outer-TAny
    by simp
qed
next
  case (TSome x2)
  note outer-TSome = TSome
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases c2)
    case TAny
    hence take (length cts - 3) cts @ [TSome x2] = ctm
      using TSome assms ct-suffix-cons-ct-list
      by simp
    then obtain cm' where cm = cm' @ [x2]
      ct-suffix (take (length cts - 3) cts) (to-ct-list cm')
    using ct-suffix-cons-ct-list[of take (length cts - 3) cts [TSome x2]]
      assms(3) c-types-agree.simps(2)[of ctm cm] ct-list-eq-ts-conv-eq
    unfolding ct-list-eq-def to-ct-list-def
    by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) list.simps(8,9))
    thus ?thesis
      using TSome TAny
      by simp
  next

```

```

case (TSome x3)
hence x-eq:x2 = x3
  using outer-TSome assms ct-suffix-cons-ct-list
  by (metis checker-type.distinct(3) select-return-top.simps(3))
hence take (length cts - 3) cts @ [TSome x2] = ctm
  using TSome outer-TSome assms ct-suffix-cons-ct-list
  by (metis checker-type.inject(1) select-return-top.simps(3))
then obtain cm' where cm = cm' @ [x2]
      ct-suffix (take (length cts - 3) cts) (to-ct-list cm')
  using ct-suffix-cons-ct-list[of take (length cts - 3) cts [TSome x2]]
      assms(3) c-types-agree.simps(2)[of ctm cm] ct-list-eq-ts-conv-eq
  unfolding ct-list-eq-def to-ct-list-def
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) list.simps(8,9))
thus ?thesis
  using TSome outer-TSome x-eq
  by simp
qed
qed
end

```

9 Executable Type Checker

theory Wasm-Checker **imports** Wasm-Checker-Types **begin**

```

fun convert-cond :: t ⇒ t ⇒ sx option ⇒ bool where
  convert-cond t1 t2 sx = ((t1 ≠ t2) ∧ (sx = None) = ((is-float-t t1 ∧ is-float-t
t2)
                                                                    ∨ (is-int-t t1 ∧ is-int-t t2 ∧ (t-length
t1 < t-length t2))))

```

```

fun same-lab-h :: nat list ⇒ (t list) list ⇒ t list ⇒ (t list) option where
  same-lab-h [] - ts = Some ts
| same-lab-h (i#is) lab-c ts = (if i ≥ length lab-c
                               then None
                               else (if lab-c!i = ts
                                       then same-lab-h is lab-c (lab-c!i)
                                       else None))

```

```

fun same-lab :: nat list ⇒ (t list) list ⇒ (t list) option where
  same-lab [] lab-c = None
| same-lab (i#is) lab-c = (if i ≥ length lab-c
                           then None
                           else same-lab-h is lab-c (lab-c!i))

```

lemma same-lab-h-conv-list-all:

```

assumes same-lab-h ils ls ts' = Some ts
shows list-all (λi. i < length ls ∧ ls!i = ts) ils ∧ ts' = ts
using assms

```

```

proof (induction ils)
  case (Cons a ils)
  thus ?case
  apply (simp,safe)
  apply (metis not-less option.distinct(1))+
  done
qed simp

```

```

lemma same-lab-conv-list-all:
  assumes same-lab ils ls = Some ts
  shows list-all ( $\lambda i. i < \text{length } ls \wedge \text{ls}!i = ts$ ) ils
  using assms
proof (induction rule: same-lab.induct)
case (2 i is lab-c)
  thus ?case
  using same-lab-h-conv-list-all
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) list-all-simps(1) not-less option.distinct(1) same-lab.simps(2))
qed simp

```

```

lemma list-all-conv-same-lab-h:
  assumes list-all ( $\lambda i. i < \text{length } ls \wedge \text{ls}!i = ts$ ) ils
  shows same-lab-h ils ls ts = Some ts
  using assms
  by (induction ils, simp-all)

```

```

lemma list-all-conv-same-lab:
  assumes list-all ( $\lambda i. i < \text{length } ls \wedge \text{ls}!i = ts$ ) (is@[i])
  shows same-lab (is@[i]) ls = Some ts
  using assms
proof (induction (is@[i]))
  case (Cons a x)
  thus ?case
  using list-all-conv-same-lab-h[OF Cons(3)]
  by (metis option.distinct(1) same-lab.simps(2) same-lab-h.simps(2))
qed auto

```

```

fun b-e-type-checker :: t-context  $\Rightarrow$  b-e list  $\Rightarrow$  tf  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and check :: t-context  $\Rightarrow$  b-e list  $\Rightarrow$  checker-type  $\Rightarrow$  checker-type
and check-single :: t-context  $\Rightarrow$  b-e  $\Rightarrow$  checker-type  $\Rightarrow$  checker-type where
  b-e-type-checker C es (tn -> tm) = c-types-agree (check C es (Type tn)) tm
| check C es ts = (case es of
  []  $\Rightarrow$  ts
  | (e#es)  $\Rightarrow$  (case ts of
    Bot  $\Rightarrow$  Bot
    | -  $\Rightarrow$  check C es (check-single C e ts)))

```

```

| check-single C (C v) ts = type-update ts [] (Type [typeof v])
| check-single C (Unop-i t -) ts = (if is-int-t t

```

```

                                then type-update ts [TSome t] (Type [t])
                                else Bot)
| check-single C (Unop-f t -) ts = (if is-float-t t
                                then type-update ts [TSome t] (Type [t])
                                else Bot)
| check-single C (Binop-i t -) ts = (if is-int-t t
                                then type-update ts [TSome t, TSome t] (Type [t])
                                else Bot)
| check-single C (Binop-f t -) ts = (if is-float-t t
                                then type-update ts [TSome t, TSome t] (Type [t])
                                else Bot)
| check-single C (Testop t -) ts = (if is-int-t t
                                then type-update ts [TSome t] (Type [T-i32])
                                else Bot)
| check-single C (Relop-i t -) ts = (if is-int-t t
                                then type-update ts [TSome t, TSome t] (Type
[T-i32])
                                else Bot)
| check-single C (Relop-f t -) ts = (if is-float-t t
                                then type-update ts [TSome t, TSome t] (Type
[T-i32])
                                else Bot)

| check-single C (Cvtop t1 Convert t2 sx) ts = (if (convert-cond t1 t2 sx)
                                then type-update ts [TSome t2] (Type [t1])
                                else Bot)

| check-single C (Cvtop t1 Reinterpret t2 sx) ts = (if ((t1 ≠ t2) ∧ t-length t1 =
t-length t2 ∧ sx = None)
                                then type-update ts [TSome t2] (Type
[t1])
                                else Bot)

| check-single C (Unreachable) ts = type-update ts [] (TopType [])
| check-single C (Nop) ts = ts
| check-single C (Drop) ts = type-update ts [TAny] (Type [])
| check-single C (Select) ts = type-update-select ts

| check-single C (Block (tn -> tm) es) ts = (if (b-e-type-checker (C(label := ([tm]
@ (label C)))) es (tn -> tm))
                                then type-update ts (to-ct-list tn) (Type tm)
                                else Bot)

| check-single C (Loop (tn -> tm) es) ts = (if (b-e-type-checker (C(label := ([tn] @
(label C)))) es (tn -> tm))
                                then type-update ts (to-ct-list tn) (Type tm)
                                else Bot)

| check-single C (If (tn -> tm) es1 es2) ts = (if (b-e-type-checker (C(label := ([tm]

```



```

@ (label C))) es1 (tn -> tm)
                                ^ b-e-type-checker (C(label := ([tm] @
(label C))) es2 (tn -> tm))
                                then type-update ts (to-ct-list (tn@[T-i32]))
(Type tm)
                                else Bot)

| check-single C (Br i) ts = (if i < length (label C)
                             then type-update ts (to-ct-list ((label C)!i) (TopType []))
                             else Bot)

| check-single C (Br-if i) ts = (if i < length (label C)
                                then type-update ts (to-ct-list ((label C)!i @ [T-i32]))
                                else Bot)
(Type ((label C)!i))

| check-single C (Br-table is i) ts = (case (same-lab (is@[i]) (label C)) of
                                       None => Bot
                                       | Some tls => type-update ts (to-ct-list (tls @ [T-i32]))
(TopType []))

| check-single C (Return) ts = (case (return C) of
                                None => Bot
                                | Some tls => type-update ts (to-ct-list tls) (TopType []))

| check-single C (Call i) ts = (if i < length (func-t C)
                                then (case ((func-t C)!i) of
                                       (tn -> tm) => type-update ts (to-ct-list tn) (Type
tm))
                                else Bot)

| check-single C (Call-indirect i) ts = (if (table C) ≠ None ∧ i < length (types-t C)
                                           then (case ((types-t C)!i) of
                                                  (tn -> tm) => type-update ts (to-ct-list
(tn@[T-i32])) (Type tm))
                                           else Bot)

| check-single C (Get-local i) ts = (if i < length (local C)
                                     then type-update ts [] (Type [(local C)!i])
                                     else Bot)

| check-single C (Set-local i) ts = (if i < length (local C)
                                     then type-update ts [TSome ((local C)!i)] (Type [])
                                     else Bot)

| check-single C (Tee-local i) ts = (if i < length (local C)
                                     then type-update ts [TSome ((local C)!i)] (Type [(local
C)!i])
                                     else Bot)

```

```

| check-single C (Get-global i) ts = (if i < length (global C)
  then type-update ts [] (Type [tg-t ((global C)!i)])
  else Bot)

| check-single C (Set-global i) ts = (if i < length (global C) ∧ is-mut (global C ! i)
  then type-update ts [TSome (tg-t ((global C)!i))]
  (Type [])
  else Bot)

| check-single C (Load t tp-sx a off) ts = (if (memory C) ≠ None ∧ load-store-t-bounds
  a (option-projl tp-sx) t
  then type-update ts [TSome T-i32] (Type [t])
  else Bot)

| check-single C (Store t tp a off) ts = (if (memory C) ≠ None ∧ load-store-t-bounds
  a tp t
  then type-update ts [TSome T-i32, TSome t]
  (Type [])
  else Bot)

| check-single C Current-memory ts = (if (memory C) ≠ None
  then type-update ts [] (Type [T-i32])
  else Bot)

| check-single C Grow-memory ts = (if (memory C) ≠ None
  then type-update ts [TSome T-i32] (Type [T-i32])
  else Bot)

```

end

10 Correctness of Type Checker

theory *Wasm-Checker-Properties* **imports** *Wasm-Checker* *Wasm-Properties* **begin**

10.1 Soundness

lemma *b-e-check-single-type-sound*:

```

assumes type-update (Type x1) (to-ct-list t-in) (Type t-out) = Type x2
  c-types-agree (Type x2) tm
  C ⊢ [e] : (t-in -> t-out)
shows ∃ tn. c-types-agree (Type x1) tn ∧ C ⊢ [e] : (tn -> tm)
using assms(2) b-e-typing.intros(35)[OF assms(3)] type-update-type[OF assms(1)]
by auto

```

lemma *b-e-check-single-top-sound*:

```

assumes type-update (TopType x1) (to-ct-list t-in) (Type t-out) = TopType x2
  c-types-agree (TopType x2) tm

```

$\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (t\text{-in} \rightarrow t\text{-out})$
shows $\exists tn. c\text{-types-agree} (\text{TopType } x1) tn \wedge \mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (tn \rightarrow tm)$
proof –
obtain $t\text{-ag}$ **where** $t\text{-ag-def:ct-suffix} (to\text{-ct-list } t\text{-out}) x2$
 $tm = t\text{-ag} @ t\text{-out}$
 $c\text{-types-agree} (\text{TopType } x1) (t\text{-ag} @ t\text{-in})$
using $type\text{-update-top-top}[OF \text{ assms}(1,2)]$
by $fastforce$
hence $\mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (t\text{-ag}@t\text{-in} \rightarrow t\text{-ag}@t\text{-out})$
using $b\text{-e-typing.intros}(35)[OF \text{ assms}(3)]$
by $fastforce$
thus $?thesis$
using $t\text{-ag-def}$
by $fastforce$
qed

lemma $b\text{-e-check-single-top-not-bot-sound}$:
assumes $type\text{-update } ts (to\text{-ct-list } t\text{-in}) (\text{TopType } []) = ts'$
 $ts \neq Bot$
 $ts' \neq Bot$
shows $\exists tn. c\text{-types-agree } ts tn \wedge \text{suffix } t\text{-in } tn$
proof ($cases\ ts$)
case ($\text{TopType } x1$)
then obtain $t\text{-int}$ **where** $consume (\text{TopType } x1) (to\text{-ct-list } t\text{-in}) = t\text{-int } t\text{-int} \neq Bot$
using $assms(1,2,3)$
by $fastforce$
thus $?thesis$
using $\text{TopType } ct\text{-suffix-ct-list-eq-exists } ct\text{-suffix-ts-conv-suffix}$
unfolding $consume.simps$
by ($metis\ \text{append-Nil } c\text{-types-agree.simps}(2) \text{ ct-suffix-def}$)
next
case ($\text{Type } x2$)
then obtain $t\text{-int}$ **where** $consume (\text{Type } x2) (to\text{-ct-list } t\text{-in}) = t\text{-int } t\text{-int} \neq Bot$
using $assms(1,2,3)$
by $fastforce$
thus $?thesis$
using $c\text{-types-agree-id } \text{Type } consume\text{-type } \text{suffixI } ct\text{-suffix-ts-conv-suffix}$
by $fastforce$
next
case Bot
thus $?thesis$
using $assms(2)$
by $simp$
qed

lemma $b\text{-e-check-single-type-not-bot-sound}$:
assumes $type\text{-update } ts (to\text{-ct-list } t\text{-in}) (\text{Type } t\text{-out}) = ts'$
 $ts \neq Bot$

```

      ts' ≠ Bot
      c-types-agree ts' tm
      C ⊢ [e] : (t-in -> t-out)
shows ∃ tn. c-types-agree ts tn ∧ C ⊢ [e] : (tn -> tm)
using assms b-e-check-single-type-sound
proof (cases ts)
  case (TopType x1)
  then obtain x1' where x-def: TopType x1' = ts'
    using assms
    by (simp, metis (full-types) produce.simps(1) produce.simps(6))
  thus ?thesis
    using assms b-e-check-single-top-sound TopType
    by fastforce
next
  case (Type x2)
  then obtain x2' where x-def: Type x2' = ts'
    using assms
    by (simp, metis (full-types) produce.simps(2) produce.simps(6))
  thus ?thesis
    using assms b-e-check-single-type-sound Type
    by fastforce
next
  case Bot
  thus ?thesis
    using assms(2)
    by simp
qed

lemma b-e-check-single-sound-unop-testop-cvtop:
  assumes check-single C e tn' = tm'
    ((e = (Unop-i t uu) ∨ e = (Testop t uw)) ∧ is-int-t t)
    ∨ (e = (Unop-f t uw) ∧ is-float-t t)
    ∨ (e = (Cvtop t1 Convert t sx) ∧ convert-cond t1 t sx)
    ∨ (e = (Cvtop t1 Reinterpret t sx) ∧ ((t1 ≠ t) ∧ t-length t1 = t-length t
    ∧ sx = None))
    c-types-agree tm' tm
    tn' ≠ Bot
    tm' ≠ Bot
  shows ∃ tn. c-types-agree tn' tn ∧ C ⊢ [e] : (tn -> tm)
proof –
  have (e = (Cvtop t1 Convert t sx) ⇒ convert-cond t1 t sx)
    using assms(2)
    by simp
  hence temp0:(e = (Cvtop t1 Convert t sx) ⇒ (type-update tn' [TSome t] (Type
  [arity-1-result e] = tm'))
    using assms(1,5) arity-1-result-def
    by (simp del: convert-cond.simps)
  have temp1:(e = (Cvtop t1 Reinterpret t sx) ⇒ (type-update tn' [TSome t]
  (Type [arity-1-result e]) = tm'))

```

```

    using assms(1,2,5) arity-1-result-def
  by simp
  have 1:type-update tn' (to-ct-list [t]) (Type [arity-1-result e]) = tm'
    using assms arity-1-result-def
    unfolding to-ct-list-def
    apply (simp del: convert-cond.simps)
  apply (metis (no-types, lifting) temp0 temp1 b-e.simps(978,979,982) check-single.simps(2)
check-single.simps(3) check-single.simps(6) type-update.simps)
  done
  have C ⊢ [e] : ([t] -> [arity-1-result e])
    using assms(2) b-e-typing.intros(2,3,6,9,10)
    unfolding arity-1-result-def
  by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
    using b-e-check-single-type-not-bot-sound[OF 1 assms(4,5,3)]
  by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma b-e-check-single-sound-binop-relop:
  assumes check-single C e tn' = tm'
    ((e = Binop-i t iop ∧ is-int-t t)
     ∨ (e = Binop-f t fop ∧ is-float-t t)
     ∨ (e = Relop-i t irop ∧ is-int-t t)
     ∨ (e = Relop-f t frop ∧ is-float-t t))
    c-types-agree tm' tm
    tn' ≠ Bot
    tm' ≠ Bot
  shows ∃ tn. c-types-agree tn' tn ∧ C ⊢ [e] : (tn -> tm)
proof -
  have type-update tn' (to-ct-list [t,t]) (Type [arity-2-result e]) = tm'
    using assms arity-2-result-def
    unfolding to-ct-list-def
  by auto
  moreover
  have C ⊢ [e] : ([t,t] -> [arity-2-result e])
    using assms(2) b-e-typing.intros(4,5,7,8)
    unfolding arity-2-result-def
  by fastforce
  ultimately
  show ?thesis
    using b-e-check-single-type-not-bot-sound[OF - assms(4,5,3)]
  by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma b-e-type-checker-sound:
  assumes b-e-type-checker C es (tn -> tm)
  shows C ⊢ es : (tn -> tm)
proof -
  fix e tn'

```

```

have b-e-type-checker  $\mathcal{C}$  es ( $tn \rightarrow tm$ )  $\implies$ 
   $\mathcal{C} \vdash es : (tn \rightarrow tm)$ 
and  $\bigwedge tm' tm.$ 
  check  $\mathcal{C}$  es  $tn' = tm' \implies$ 
  c-types-agree  $tm' tm \implies$ 
   $\exists tn. c\text{-types-agree } tn' tn \wedge \mathcal{C} \vdash es : (tn \rightarrow tm)$ 
and  $\bigwedge tm' tm.$ 
  check-single  $\mathcal{C}$  e  $tn' = tm' \implies$ 
  c-types-agree  $tm' tm \implies$ 
   $tn' \neq Bot \implies$ 
   $tm' \neq Bot \implies$ 
   $\exists tn. c\text{-types-agree } tn' tn \wedge \mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (tn \rightarrow tm)$ 
proof (induction rule: b-e-type-checker-check-check-single.induct)
  case ( $1 \mathcal{C} es tn' tm$ )
  thus ?case
  by simp
next
case ( $2 \mathcal{C} es' ts$ )
show ?case
proof (cases es')
  case Nil
  thus ?thesis
  using  $2(5,6)$ 
  by (simp add: b-e-type-empty)
next
case ( $Cons e es$ )
  thus ?thesis
  proof (cases ts)
  case ( $TopType x1$ )
  have check-expand:check  $\mathcal{C}$  es (check-single  $\mathcal{C}$  e ts) =  $tm'$ 
  using  $2(5,6)$  TopType Cons
  by simp
  obtain  $ts'$  where ts'-def:check-single  $\mathcal{C}$  e ts =  $ts'$ 
  by blast
  obtain  $t\text{-int}$  where t-int-def: $\mathcal{C} \vdash es : (t\text{-int} \rightarrow tm)$ 
   $c\text{-types-agree } ts' t\text{-int}$ 
  using  $2(2)[OF Cons TopType check-expand 2(6)] ts'\text{-def}$ 
  by blast
  obtain  $t\text{-int}'$  where c-types-agree  $ts t\text{-int}' \mathcal{C} \vdash [e] : (t\text{-int}' \rightarrow t\text{-int})$ 
  using  $2(1)[OF Cons - ts'\text{-def}] TopType c\text{-types-agree.simps}(3) t\text{-int}\text{-def}(2)$ 
  by blast
  thus ?thesis
  using t-int-def(1) b-e-type-comp-conc Cons
  by fastforce
next
case ( $Type x2$ )
  have check-expand:check  $\mathcal{C}$  es (check-single  $\mathcal{C}$  e ts) =  $tm'$ 
  using  $2(5,6)$  Type Cons
  by simp

```

```

obtain  $ts'$  where  $ts'$ -def:check-single  $C$   $e$   $ts = ts'$ 
  by blast
obtain  $t$ -int where  $t$ -int-def: $C \vdash es : (t$ -int  $\rightarrow tm)$ 
   $c$ -types-agree  $ts'$   $t$ -int
  using 2(4)[OF Cons Type check-expand 2(6)]  $ts'$ -def
  by blast
obtain  $t$ -int' where  $c$ -types-agree  $ts$   $t$ -int'  $C \vdash [e] : (t$ -int'  $\rightarrow t$ -int)
  using 2(3)[OF Cons - ts'-def] Type c-types-agree.simps(3)  $t$ -int-def(2)
  by blast
thus ?thesis
  using  $t$ -int-def(1) b-e-type-comp-conc Cons
  by fastforce
next
  case Bot
  then show ?thesis
  using 2(5,6) Cons
  by auto
  qed
qed
next
  case ( $\exists C v ts$ )
  hence  $type$ -update  $ts$  [] (Type [ $typeof v$ ]) =  $tm'$ 
  by simp
  moreover
  have  $C \vdash [C v] : ([] \rightarrow [typeof v])$ 
  using b-e-typing.intros(1)
  by blast
  ultimately
  show ?case
  using b-e-check-single-type-not-bot-sound[OF - 3(3,4,2)]
  by (metis list.simps(8) to-ct-list-def)
next
  case ( $4 C t uu ts$ )
  hence  $is$ -int- $t$   $t$ 
  by (simp, meson)
  thus ?case
  using b-e-check-single-sound-unop-testop-cvtop 4
  by fastforce
next
  case ( $5 C t uv ts$ )
  hence  $is$ -float- $t$   $t$ 
  by (simp, meson)
  thus ?case
  using b-e-check-single-sound-unop-testop-cvtop 5
  by fastforce
next
  case ( $6 C t uw ts$ )
  hence  $is$ -int- $t$   $t$ 
  by (simp, meson)

```

```

thus ?case
  using b-e-check-single-sound-binop-relop 6
  by fastforce
next
  case (7 C t ux ts)
  hence is-float-t t
  by (simp, meson)
  thus ?case
  using b-e-check-single-sound-binop-relop 7
  by fastforce
next
  case (8 C t uy ts)
  hence is-int-t t
  by (simp, meson)
  thus ?case
  using b-e-check-single-sound-unop-testop-cvtop 8
  by fastforce
next
  case (9 C t uz ts)
  hence is-int-t t
  by (simp, meson)
  thus ?case
  using b-e-check-single-sound-binop-relop 9
  by fastforce
next
  case (10 C t va ts)
  hence is-float-t t
  by (simp, meson)
  thus ?case
  using b-e-check-single-sound-binop-relop 10
  by fastforce
next
  case (11 C t1 t2 sx ts)
  hence convert-cond t1 t2 sx
  by (simp del: convert-cond.simps, meson)
  thus ?case
  using b-e-check-single-sound-unop-testop-cvtop 11
  by fastforce
next
  case (12 C t1 t2 sx ts)
  hence t1 ≠ t2 ∧ t-length t1 = t-length t2 ∧ sx = None
  by (simp, presburger)
  thus ?case
  using b-e-check-single-sound-unop-testop-cvtop 12
  by fastforce
next
  case (13 C ts)
  thus ?case
  using b-e-typing.intros(11) c-types-agree-not-bot-exists

```



```

    by blast
next
case (14 C ts)
thus ?case
  using b-e-typing.intros(12,35)
  by fastforce
next
case (15 C ts)
thus ?case
proof (cases ts)
case (TopType x1)
thus ?thesis
  proof (cases x1 rule: List.rev-cases)
  case Nil
  have C ⊢ [Drop] : (tm@[T-i32] -> tm)
    using b-e-typing.intros(13,35)
    by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
    using c-types-agree-top1 Nil TopType
    by fastforce
next
case (snoc ys y)
  hence temp1:(consume (TopType (ys@[y])) [TAny]) = tm'
    using 15 TopType type-update-empty
    by (metis check-single.simps(13))
  hence temp2:c-types-agree (TopType ys) tm
    using consume-top-geq[OF temp1] 15(2,3,4)
    by (metis Suc-leI add-diff-cancel-right' append-eq-conv-conj consume.simps(2)
      ct-suffix-def length-Cons length-append list.size(3) trans-le-add2
      zero-less-Suc)
  obtain t where ct-list-eq [y] (to-ct-list [t])
    using ct-list-eq-exists
  unfolding ct-list-eq-def to-ct-list-def list-all2-map2
  by (metis list-all2-Cons1 list-all2-Nil)
  hence c-types-agree ts (tm@[t])
    using temp2 ct-suffix-extend-ct-list-eq snoc TopType
    by (simp add: to-ct-list-def)
  thus ?thesis
    using b-e-typing.intros(13,35)
    by fastforce
qed
next
case (Type x2)
thus ?thesis
proof (cases x2 rule: List.rev-cases)
case Nil
  hence (consume (Type []) [TAny]) = tm'
    using 15 Type type-update-empty

```

```

    by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
    using 15(4) ct-list-eq-def ct-suffix-def to-ct-list-def
    by simp
next
case (snoc ys y)
  hence temp1:(consume (Type (ys@[y])) [TAny]) = tm'
    using 15 Type type-update-empty
    by (metis check-single.simps(13))
  hence temp2:c-types-agree (Type ys) tm
    using 15(2,3,4) ct-suffix-def
  by (simp, metis One-nat-def butlast-conv-take butlast-snoc c-types-agree.simps(1)
      length-Cons list.size(3))
  obtain t where ct-list-eq [TSome y] (to-ct-list [t])
    using ct-list-eq-exists
  unfolding ct-list-eq-def to-ct-list-def list-all2-map2
  by (metis list-all2-Cons1 list-all2-Nil)
  hence c-types-agree ts (tm@[t])
    using temp2 ct-suffix-extend-ct-list-eq snoc Type
  by (simp add: ct-list-eq-def to-ct-list-def)
  thus ?thesis
    using b-e-typing.intros(13,35)
    by fastforce
qed
qed simp
next
case (16 C ts)
  thus ?case
  proof (cases ts)
    case (TopType x1)
      consider
        (1) length x1 = 0
      | (2) length x1 = 1
      | (3) length x1 = 2
      | (4) length x1 ≥ 3
      by linarith
    thus ?thesis
    proof (cases)
      case 1
        hence tm' = TopType [TAny]
          using TopType 16
          by simp
        then obtain t'' tm'' where tm-def:tm = tm''@[t'']
          using 16(2) ct-suffix-def
        by (simp, metis Nil-is-append-conv append-butlast-last-id checker-type.inject(1)
            ct-prefixI ct-prefix-nil(2) produce.simps(1) produce-nil)
        have C ⊢ [Select] : ([t'',t'',T-i32] -> [t''])
          using b-e-typing.intros(14)
          by blast

```

```

thus ?thesis
  using TopType 16 1 tm-def b-e-typing.intros(35) c-types-agree.simps(2)
c-types-agree-top1
  by fastforce
next
case 2
have type-update-select (TopType x1) = tm'
  using 16 TopType
  unfolding check-single.simps
  by simp
hence x1-def:ct-list-eq x1 [TSome T-i32] tm' = TopType [TAny]
  using type-update-select-length1[OF - 2 16(4)]
  by simp-all
then obtain t'' tm'' where tm-def:tm = tm''@[t'']
  using 16(2) ct-suffix-def
  by (metis Nil-is-append-conv append-butlast-last-id c-types-agree.simps(2))
ct-prefixI
  ct-prefix-nil(2) list.simps(8) to-ct-list-def)
have c-types-agree (TopType x1) ((tm''@[t'',t''])@[T-i32])
  using x1-def(1)
  by (metis c-types-agree-top2 list.simps(8,9) to-ct-list-def)
thus ?thesis
  using TopType b-e-typing.intros(14,35) tm-def
  by auto
next
case 3
have type-update-select (TopType x1) = tm'
  using 16 TopType
  unfolding check-single.simps
  by simp
then obtain ct1 ct2 where x1-def:x1 = [ct1, ct2]
  ct-eq ct2 (TSome T-i32)
  tm' = TopType [ct1]
  using type-update-select-length2[OF - 3 16(4)]
  by blast
then obtain t'' tm'' where tm-def:tm = tm''@[t'']
  ct-list-eq [ct1] [(TSome t'')]
  using 16(2) c-types-agree-imp-ct-list-eq[of [ct1] tm]
  by (metis append-Nil2 append-butlast-last-id append-eq-append-conv-if
append-eq-conv-conj
  ct-list-eq-length diff-Suc-1 length-Cons length-butlast length-map
  list.simps(8,9) list.size(3) nat.distinct(2) to-ct-list-def)
hence ct-list-eq x1 (to-ct-list [ t'', T-i32])
  using x1-def(1,2)
  unfolding ct-list-eq-def to-ct-list-def
  by fastforce
hence c-types-agree (TopType x1) ((tm''@[t''])@[t'',T-i32])
  using c-types-agree-top2
  by blast

```

```

thus ?thesis
  using TopType b-e-typing.intros(14,35) tm-def
  by auto
next
case 4
then obtain nat where nat-def:length x1 = Suc (Suc (Suc nat))
  by (metis add-eq-if diff-Suc-1 le-Suc-ex numeral-3-eq-3 nat.distinct(2))
hence tm'-def:type-update-select (TopType x1) = tm'
  using 16 TopType
  by simp
then obtain tm-int where (select-return-top x1
    (x1 ! (length x1 - 2))
    (x1 ! (length x1 - 3))) = tm-int
  tm-int ≠ Bot
  using nat-def 16(4)
  unfolding type-update-select.simps
  by fastforce
then obtain x2 where x2-def:(select-return-top x1
    (x1 ! (length x1 - 2))
    (x1 ! (length x1 - 3))) = TopType x2
  using select-return-top-exists
  by fastforce
  have ct-suffix x1 [TAny, TAny, TSome T-i32] ∨ ct-suffix [TAny, TAny,
TSome T-i32] x1
  using tm'-def nat-def 16(4)
  by (simp, metis (full-types) produce.simps(6))
hence tm'-eq:tm' = TopType x2
  using tm'-def nat-def 16(4) x2-def
  by force
then obtain cts' ct1 ct2 ct3 where cts'-def:x1 = cts'@[ct1, ct2, ct3]
  ct-eq ct3 (TSome T-i32)
  using type-update-select-length3 tm'-def 4
  by blast
then obtain c' cm' where tm-def:tm = cm'@[c']
  ct-suffix cts' (to-ct-list cm')
  ct-eq (x1 ! (length x1 - 2)) (TSome c')
  ct-eq (x1 ! (length x1 - 3)) (TSome c')
  using select-return-top-ct-eq[OF x2-def 4] tm'-eq 4 16(2)
  by fastforce
then obtain as bs where cm'-def:cm' = as@bs
  ct-list-eq (to-ct-list bs) cts'
  using ct-list-eq-cons-ct-list1 ct-list-eq-ts-conv-eq
  by (metis ct-suffix-def to-ct-list-append(2))
hence ct-eq ct1 (TSome c')
  ct-eq ct2 (TSome c')
  using cts'-def tm-def
  apply simp-all
  apply (metis append.assoc append-Cons append-Nil length-append-singleton
nth-append-length)

```

```

done
hence c-types-agree ts (cm'@[c',c',T-i32])
  using c-types-agree-top2[of - - as] cm'-def(1) TopType
      ct-list-eq-concat[OF ct-list-eq-commute[OF cm'-def(2)]] cts'-def
  unfolding to-ct-list-def ct-list-eq-def
  by fastforce
thus ?thesis
  using b-e-typing.intros(14,35) tm-def
  by auto
qed
next

case (Type x2)
hence x2-cond:(length x2 ≥ 3 ∧ (x2!(length x2-2)) = (x2!(length x2-3)))
  using 16
  by (simp, meson)
hence tm'-def:consume (Type x2) [TAny, TSome T-i32] = tm'
  using 16 Type
  by simp
obtain ts' ts'' where cts-def:x2 = ts'@ ts'' length ts'' = 3
  using x2-cond
  by (metis append-take-drop-id diff-diff-cancel length-drop)
then obtain t1 ts''2 where ts'' = t1#ts''2 length ts''2 = Suc (Suc 0)
  using List.length-Suc-conv[of ts' Suc (Suc 0)]
  by (metis length-Suc-conv numeral-3-eq-3)
then obtain t2 t3 where ts'' = [t1,t2,t3]
  using List.length-Suc-conv[of ts''2 Suc 0]
  by (metis length-0-conv length-Suc-conv)
hence cts-def2:x2 = ts'@[t1,t2,t3]
  using cts-def
  by simp
have ts'-suffix:ct-suffix [TAny, TSome T-i32] (to-ct-list (ts' @ [t1, t2, t3]))
  using tm'-def 16(4)
  by (simp, metis cts-def2)
hence tm'-def:tm' = Type (ts'@[t1])
  using tm'-def 16(4) cts-def2
  by simp
obtain as bs where (to-ct-list (ts' @ [t1])) @ (to-ct-list ([t2, t3])) = as@bs
  ct-list-eq bs [TAny, TSome T-i32]
  using ts'-suffix
  unfolding ct-suffix-def to-ct-list-def
  by fastforce
hence t3 = T-i32
  unfolding to-ct-list-def ct-list-eq-def
by (metis (no-types, lifting) Nil-is-map-conv append-eq-append-conv ct-eq.simps(1)
    length-Cons list.sel(1,3) list.simps(9) list-all2-Cons2 list-all2-lengthD)
moreover
have t1 = t2
  using x2-cond cts-def2

```

```

by (simp, metis append.left-neutral append-Cons append-assoc length-append-singleton
    nth-append-length)
ultimately
have c-types-agree (Type x2) ((ts'@[t1,t1])@[T-i32])
  using cts-def2
  by simp
thus ?thesis
  using b-e-typing.intros(14,35) Type tm'-def 16(2)
  by fastforce
qed simp
next
case (17 C tn'' tm'' es ts)
hence type-update ts (to-ct-list tn'') (Type tm'') = tm'
  by auto
moreover
have (b-e-type-checker (C(label := ([tm''] @ (label C)))) es (tn'' -> tm''))
  using 17
  by (simp, meson)
hence C ⊢ [Block (tn'' -> tm'') es] : (tn'' -> tm'')
  using b-e-typing.intros(15)[OF - 17(1)]
  by blast
ultimately
show ?case
  using b-e-check-single-type-not-bot-sound[OF - 17(4,5,3)]
  by blast
next
case (18 C tn'' tm'' es ts)
hence type-update ts (to-ct-list tn'') (Type tm'') = tm'
  by auto
moreover
have (b-e-type-checker (C(label := ([tn''] @ (label C)))) es (tn'' -> tm''))
  using 18
  by (simp, meson)
hence C ⊢ [Loop (tn'' -> tm'') es] : (tn'' -> tm'')
  using b-e-typing.intros(16)[OF - 18(1)]
  by blast
ultimately
show ?case
  using b-e-check-single-type-not-bot-sound[OF - 18(4,5,3)]
  by blast
next
case (19 C tn'' tm'' es1 es2 ts)
hence type-update ts (to-ct-list (tn''@[T-i32])) (Type tm'') = tm'
  by auto
moreover
have (b-e-type-checker (C(label := ([tm''] @ (label C)))) es1 (tn'' -> tm''))
  (b-e-type-checker (C(label := ([tm''] @ (label C)))) es2 (tn'' -> tm''))
  using 19
  by (simp, meson)+

```

```

hence  $C \vdash [If (tn'' \rightarrow tm'') es1 es2] : (tn''@[T-i32] \rightarrow tm'')$ 
  using b-e-typing.intros(17)[OF - 19(1,2)]
  by blast
ultimately
show ?case
  using b-e-check-single-type-not-bot-sound[OF - 19(5,6,4)]
  by blast
next
case (20  $C$   $i$   $ts$ )
hence type-update  $ts$  (to-ct-list ((label  $C$ )! $i$ )) (TopType []) =  $tm'$ 
  by auto
moreover
have  $i < \text{length} (\text{label } C)$ 
  using 20
  by (simp, meson)
ultimately
show ?case
  using b-e-check-single-top-not-bot-sound[OF - 20(3,4)]
    b-e-typing.intros(18)
    b-e-typing.intros(35)
  by (metis suffix-def)
next
case (21  $C$   $i$   $ts$ )
hence type-update  $ts$  (to-ct-list ((label  $C$ )! $i$  @ [ $T-i32$ ])) (Type ((label  $C$ )! $i$ )) =
 $tm'$ 
  by auto
moreover
have  $i < \text{length} (\text{label } C)$ 
  using 21
  by (simp, meson)
hence  $C \vdash [Br\text{-if } i] : ((\text{label } C)!i @ [T-i32] \rightarrow (\text{label } C)!i)$ 
  using b-e-typing.intros(19)
  by fastforce
ultimately
show ?case
  using b-e-check-single-type-not-bot-sound[OF - 21(3,4,2)]
  by fastforce
next
case (22  $C$   $is$   $i$   $ts$ )
then obtain  $tls$  where  $tls\text{-def}:(\text{same-lab } (is@[i]) (\text{label } C)) = \text{Some } tls$ 
  by fastforce
hence type-update  $ts$  (to-ct-list ( $tls$  @ [ $T-i32$ ])) (TopType []) =  $tm'$ 
  using 22
  by simp
thus ?case
  using b-e-check-single-top-not-bot-sound[OF - 22(3,4)]
    b-e-typing.intros(20)[OF same-lab-conv-list-all[OF  $tls\text{-def}$ ]]
    b-e-typing.intros(35)
  by (metis suffix-def)

```

```

next
  case (23 C ts)
  then obtain ts-r where (return C) = Some ts-r
    by fastforce
  moreover
  hence type-update ts (to-ct-list ts-r) (TopType []) = tm'
    using 23
    by simp
  ultimately
  show ?case
    using b-e-check-single-top-not-bot-sound[OF - 23(3,4)]
      b-e-typing.intros(21,35)
    by (metis suffix-def)
next
  case (24 C i ts)
  obtain tn'' tm'' where func-def:(func-t C)!i = (tn'' -> tm'')
    using tf.exhaust
    by blast
  hence type-update ts (to-ct-list tn'') (Type tm'') = tm'
    using 24
    by auto
  moreover
  have i < length (func-t C)
    using 24
    by (simp, meson)
  hence C ⊢ [Call i] : (tn'' -> tm'')
    using b-e-typing.intros(22) func-def
    by fastforce
  ultimately
  show ?case
    using b-e-check-single-type-not-bot-sound[OF - 24(3,4,2)]
    by fastforce
next
  case (25 C i ts)
  obtain tn'' tm'' where type-def:(types-t C)!i = (tn'' -> tm'')
    using tf.exhaust
    by blast
  hence type-update ts (to-ct-list (tn''@[T-i32])) (Type tm'') = tm'
    using 25
    by auto
  moreover
  have (table C) ≠ None ∧ i < length (types-t C)
    using 25
    by (simp, meson)
  hence C ⊢ [Call-indirect i] : (tn''@[T-i32] -> tm'')
    using b-e-typing.intros(23) type-def
    by fastforce
  ultimately
  show ?case

```



```

    using b-e-check-single-type-not-bot-sound[OF - 25(3,4,2)]
    by fastforce
next
case (26 C i ts)
hence type-update ts [] (Type [(local C)!i]) = tm'
  by auto
moreover
have i < length (local C)
  using 26
  by (simp, meson)
hence C ⊢ [Get-local i] : ([] -> [(local C)!i])
  using b-e-typing.intros(24)
  by fastforce
ultimately
show ?case
  using b-e-check-single-type-not-bot-sound[OF - 26(3,4,2)]
  unfolding to-ct-list-def
  by (metis list.map-disc-iff)
next
case (27 C i ts)
hence type-update ts (to-ct-list [(local C)!i]) (Type []) = tm'
  unfolding to-ct-list-def
  by auto
moreover
have i < length (local C)
  using 27
  by (simp, meson)
hence C ⊢ [Set-local i] : ([[(local C)!i] -> []])
  using b-e-typing.intros(25)
  by fastforce
ultimately
show ?case
  using b-e-check-single-type-not-bot-sound[OF - 27(3,4,2)]
  by fastforce
next
case (28 C i ts)
hence type-update ts (to-ct-list [(local C)!i]) (Type [(local C)!i]) = tm'
  unfolding to-ct-list-def
  by auto
moreover
have i < length (local C)
  using 28
  by (simp, meson)
hence C ⊢ [Tee-local i] : ([[(local C)!i] -> [(local C)!i]])
  using b-e-typing.intros(26)
  by fastforce
ultimately
show ?case
  using b-e-check-single-type-not-bot-sound[OF - 28(3,4,2)]

```

```

    by fastforce
next
case (29 C i ts)
hence type-update ts [] (Type [tg-t ((global C)!i)]) = tm'
  by auto
moreover
have i < length (global C)
  using 29
  by (simp, meson)
hence C ⊢ [Get-global i] : ([[] -> [tg-t ((global C)!i)])
  using b-e-typing.intros(27)
  by fastforce
ultimately
show ?case
  using b-e-check-single-type-not-bot-sound[OF - 29(3,4,2)]
  unfolding to-ct-list-def
  by (metis list.map-disc-iff)
next
case (30 C i ts)
hence type-update ts (to-ct-list [tg-t ((global C)!i)]) (Type []) = tm'
  unfolding to-ct-list-def
  by auto
moreover
have i < length (global C) ∧ is-mut (global C ! i)
  using 30
  by (simp, meson)
then obtain t where (global C ! i) = (|tg-mut = T-mut, tg-t = t|) i < length
(global C)
  unfolding is-mut-def
  by (cases global C ! i, auto)
hence C ⊢ [Set-global i] : ([tg-t (global C ! i)] -> [])
  using b-e-typing.intros(28)[of i C tg-t (global C ! i)]
  unfolding is-mut-def tg-t-def
  by fastforce
ultimately
show ?case
  using b-e-check-single-type-not-bot-sound[OF - 30(3,4,2)]
  by fastforce
next
case (31 C t tp-sx a off ts)
hence type-update ts (to-ct-list [T-i32]) (Type [t]) = tm'
  unfolding to-ct-list-def
  by auto
moreover
have (memory C) ≠ None ∧ load-store-t-bounds a (option-projl tp-sx) t
  using 31
  by (simp, meson)
hence C ⊢ [Load t tp-sx a off] : ([T-i32] -> [t])
  using b-e-typing.intros(29)

```

```

    by fastforce
  ultimately
  show ?case
    using b-e-check-single-type-not-bot-sound[OF - 31(3,4,2)]
    by fastforce
next
case (32 C t tp a off ts)
hence type-update ts (to-ct-list [T-i32,t]) (Type []) = tm'
  unfolding to-ct-list-def
  by auto
moreover
have (memory C) ≠ None ∧ load-store-t-bounds a tp t
  using 32
  by (simp, meson)
hence C ⊢ [Store t tp a off] : ([T-i32,t] -> [])
  using b-e-typing.intros(30)
  by fastforce
ultimately
show ?case
  using b-e-check-single-type-not-bot-sound[OF - 32(3,4,2)]
  by fastforce
next
case (33 C ts)
hence type-update ts [] (Type [T-i32]) = tm'
  by auto
moreover
have memory C ≠ None
  using 33
  by (simp, meson)
hence C ⊢ [Current-memory] : ([] -> [T-i32])
  using b-e-typing.intros(31)
  by fastforce
ultimately
show ?case
  using b-e-check-single-type-not-bot-sound[OF - 33(3,4,2)]
  unfolding to-ct-list-def
  by (metis list.map-disc-iff)
next
case (34 C ts)
hence type-update ts (to-ct-list [T-i32]) (Type [T-i32]) = tm'
  unfolding to-ct-list-def
  by auto
moreover
have memory C ≠ None
  using 34
  by (simp, meson)
hence C ⊢ [Grow-memory] : ([T-i32] -> [T-i32])
  using b-e-typing.intros(32)
  by fastforce

```

```

ultimately
show ?case
  using b-e-check-single-type-not-bot-sound[OF - 34(3,4,2)]
  by fastforce
qed
thus ?thesis
  using assms
  by simp
qed

```

10.2 Completeness

```

lemma check-single-imp:
  assumes check-single C e ctn = ctm
         ctm ≠ Bot
  shows check-single C e = id
        ∨ check-single C e = (λctn. type-update-select ctn)
        ∨ (∃ cons prods. (check-single C e = (λctn. type-update ctn cons prods)))
proof -
  have True
  and True
  and check-single C e ctn = ctm ⇒
        ctm ≠ Bot ⇒
        ?thesis
  proof (induction rule: b-e-type-checker-check-check-single.induct)
    case (1 C es tn tm)
    thus ?case
      by simp
  next
    case (2 C es ts)
    thus ?case
      by simp
  next
    case (3 C v ts)
    thus ?case
      by fastforce
  next
    case (4 C t uu ts)
    thus ?case
      by (simp, meson assms(2) type-update.simps)
  next
    case (5 C t uw ts)
    thus ?case
      by (simp, meson assms(2) type-update.simps)
  next
    case (6 C t ww ts)
    thus ?case
      by (simp, meson assms(2) type-update.simps)
  next

```

```

    case (7 C t ux ts)
    thus ?case
      by (simp, meson assms(2) type-update.simps)
next
    case (8 C t uy ts)
    thus ?case
      by (simp, meson assms(2) type-update.simps)
next
    case (9 C t uz ts)
    thus ?case
      by (simp, meson assms(2) type-update.simps)
next
    case (10 C t va ts)
    thus ?case
      by (simp, meson assms(2) type-update.simps)
next
    case (11 C t1 t2 sx ts)
    thus ?case
      by (simp del: convert-cond.simps, meson assms(2) type-update.simps)
next
    case (12 C t1 t2 sx ts)
    thus ?case
      by (simp, meson assms(2) type-update.simps)
next
    case (13 C ts)
    thus ?case
      by fastforce
next
    case (14 C ts)
    thus ?case
      by fastforce
next
    case (15 C ts)
    thus ?case
      by fastforce
next
    case (16 C ts)
    thus ?case
      by fastforce
next
    case (17 C tn tm es ts)
    thus ?case
      by (simp, meson assms(2) type-update.simps)
next
    case (18 C tn tm es ts)
    thus ?case
      by (simp, meson assms(2) type-update.simps)
next
    case (19 C tn tm es1 es2 ts)

```

```

    thus ?case
      by (simp, meson assms(2) type-update.simps)
next
  case (20 C i ts)
  thus ?case
    by (simp, meson assms(2) type-update.simps)
next
  case (21 C i ts)
  thus ?case
    by (simp, meson assms(2) type-update.simps)
next
  case (22 C is ts)
  thus ?case
    by (simp, metis assms(2) option.case-eq-if type-update.simps)
next
  case (23 C ts)
  thus ?case
    by (simp, metis assms(2) option.case-eq-if type-update.simps)
next
  case (24 C i ts)
  then show ?case
  by (simp, metis (no-types, lifting) assms(2) tf.case tf.exhaust type-update.simps)
next
  case (25 C i ts)
  thus ?case
  by (simp, metis (no-types, lifting) assms(2) tf.case tf.exhaust type-update.simps)
next
  case (26 C i ts)
  thus ?case
    by (simp, meson assms(2) type-update.simps)
next
  case (27 C i ts)
  thus ?case
    by (simp, meson assms(2) type-update.simps)
next
  case (28 C i ts)
  thus ?case
    by (simp, meson assms(2) type-update.simps)
next
  case (29 C i ts)
  thus ?case
    by (simp, meson assms(2) type-update.simps)
next
  case (30 C i ts)
  thus ?case
    by (simp, meson assms(2) type-update.simps)
next
  case (31 C t tp-sx a off ts)
  thus ?case

```

```

    by (simp, meson assms(2) type-update.simps)
next
  case (32 C t tp a off ts)
  thus ?case
    by (simp, meson assms(2) type-update.simps)
next
  case (33 C ts)
  thus ?case
    by (simp, meson assms(2) type-update.simps)
next
  case (34 C ts)
  thus ?case
    by (simp, meson assms(2) type-update.simps)
qed
thus ?thesis
  using assms
  by simp
qed

```

lemma *check-equiv-fold:*

check C es ts = foldl (λ ts e. (case ts of Bot ⇒ Bot | - ⇒ check-single C e ts))
ts es

proof (*induction es arbitrary: ts*)

```

  case Nil
  thus ?case
    by simp
next
  case (Cons e es)
  obtain ts' where ts'-def: check C (e # es) ts = ts'
    by blast
  show ?case
  proof (cases ts = Bot)
    case True
    thus ?thesis
      using ts'-def
      by (induction es, simp-all)
  next
    case False
    thus ?thesis
      using ts'-def Cons
      by (cases ts, simp-all)
  qed
qed

```

lemma *check-neq-bot-snoc:*

assumes *check C (es@[e]) ts ≠ Bot*
shows *check C es ts ≠ Bot*
using *assms*
proof (*induction es arbitrary: ts*)

```

    case Nil
  thus ?case
    by (cases ts, simp-all)
next
  case (Cons a es)
  thus ?case
    by (cases ts, simp-all)
qed

lemma check-unfold-snoc:
  assumes check C es ts ≠ Bot
  shows check C (es@[e]) ts = check-single C e (check C es ts)
proof -
  obtain f where f-def: f = (λ e ts. (case ts of Bot ⇒ Bot | - ⇒ check-single C e
ts))
  by blast
  have f-simp: ∧ts. ts ≠ Bot ⇒ (f e ts = check-single C e ts)
  proof -
    fix ts
    show ts ≠ Bot ⇒ (f e ts = check-single C e ts)
      using f-def
      by (cases ts, simp-all)
  qed
  have check C (es@[e]) ts = foldl (λ ts e. (case ts of Bot ⇒ Bot | - ⇒ check-single
C e ts)) ts (es@[e])
    using check-equiv-fold
    by simp
  also
  have ... = foldr (λ e ts. (case ts of Bot ⇒ Bot | - ⇒ check-single C e ts)) (rev
(es@[e])) ts
    using foldl-conv-foldr
    by fastforce
  also
  have ... = f e (foldr (λ e ts. (case ts of Bot ⇒ Bot | - ⇒ check-single C e ts))
(rev es) ts)
    using f-def
    by simp
  also
  have ... = f e (check C es ts)
    using foldr-conv-foldl[of - (rev es) ts] rev-rev-ident[of es] check-equiv-fold
    by simp
  also
  have ... = check-single C e (check C es ts)
    using assms f-simp
    by simp
  finally
  show ?thesis .
qed

```


lemma *check-single-imp-weakening*:

assumes *check-single* $C\ e\ (\text{Type } t1s) = ctm$
 $ctm \neq \text{Bot}$
c-types-agree $ctn\ t1s$
c-types-agree $ctm\ t2s$

shows $\exists ctm'. \text{check-single } C\ e\ ctn = ctm' \wedge \text{c-types-agree } ctm'\ t2s$

proof –

consider (1) *check-single* $C\ e = id$
| (2) *check-single* $C\ e = (\lambda ctn. \text{type-update-select } ctn)$
| (3) ($\exists \text{cons prods. } (\text{check-single } C\ e = (\lambda ctn. \text{type-update } ctn\ \text{cons prods}))$)

using *check-single-imp assms*
by *blast*

thus *?thesis*

proof (*cases*)

case 1

thus *?thesis*
using *assms(1,3,4)*
by *fastforce*

next

case 2

note *outer-2 = 2*

hence *t1s-cond*: $(\text{length } t1s \geq 3 \wedge (t1s!(\text{length } t1s - 2)) = (t1s!(\text{length } t1s - 3)))$
using *assms(1,2)*
by (*simp, meson*)

hence *ctm-def*: $ctm = \text{consume } (\text{Type } t1s)\ [TAny, TSome\ T-i32]$
using *assms(1,2)* 2
by *simp*

then obtain *c-t* **where** *c-t-def*: $ctm = \text{Type } c-t$
using *assms(2)*
by (*meson consume.simps(1)*)

hence *t2s-eq*: $t2s = c-t$
using *assms(4)*
by *simp*

hence *t2s-len*: $\text{length } t2s > 0$
using *t1s-cond ctm-def c-t-def assms(2)*
by (*metis Suc-leI Suc-n-not-le-n checker-type.inject(2) consume.simps(1) diff-is-0-eq dual-order.trans length-0-conv length-Cons length-greater-0-conv nat.simps(3) numeral-3-eq-3 take-eq-Nil*)

have *t1s-suffix-full*: *ct-suffix* $[TAny, TSome\ T-i32]\ (\text{to-ct-list } t1s)$
using *assms(2) ctm-def ct-suffix-less*
by (*metis consume.simps(1)*)

hence *t1s-suffix*: *ct-suffix* $[TSome\ T-i32]\ (\text{to-ct-list } t1s)$
using *assms(2) ctm-def ct-suffix-less*
by (*metis append-butlast-last-id last.simps list.distinct(1)*)

obtain $t\ t1s'$ **where** *t1s-suffix2*: $t1s = t1s'@[t, t, T-i32]$
using *type-update-select-type-length3 assms(1) c-t-def outer-2*
by *fastforce*

hence *t2s-def*: $t2s = t1s'@[t]$

```

    using ctm-def c-t-def t2s-eq t1s-suffix assms(2) t1s-suffix-full
  by simp
show ?thesis
  using assms(1,3,4)
proof (cases ctn)
  case (TopType x1)
  consider
    (1) length x1 = 0
  | (2) length x1 = 1
  | (3) length x1 = 2
  | (4) length x1 ≥ 3
  by linarith
thus ?thesis
proof (cases)
  case 1
  hence check-single C e ctn = TopType [TAny]
  using 2 TopType
  by simp
  thus ?thesis
  using ct-suffix-singleton to-ct-list-def t2s-len
  by auto
next
  case 2
  hence ct-suffix [TSome T-i32] x1
  using assms(3) TopType ct-suffix-imp-ct-list-eq ct-suffix-shared t1s-suffix
  by (metis One-nat-def append-Nil c-types-agree.simps(2) ct-list-eq-commute
ct-suffix-def
      diff-self-eq-0 drop-0 length-Cons list.size(3))
  hence check-single C e ctn = TopType [TAny]
  using outer-2 TopType 2
  by simp
  thus ?thesis
  using t2s-len ct-suffix-singleton
  by (simp add: to-ct-list-def)
next
  case 3
  have ct-list-eq (to-ct-list t1s) (to-ct-list (t1s' @ [t, t, T-i32]))
  using t1s-suffix2
  by (simp add: ct-list-eq-ts-conv-eq)
  hence temp1:to-ct-list t1s = (to-ct-list (t1s' @ [t])) @ (to-ct-list [t, T-i32])
  using t1s-suffix2 to-ct-list-def
  by simp
  hence ct-suffix (to-ct-list [t, T-i32]) (to-ct-list t1s)
  using ct-suffix-def[of (to-ct-list [t, T-i32]) (to-ct-list t1s)]
  by (simp add: ct-suffix-cons-it)
  hence ct-suffix (to-ct-list [t, T-i32]) x1
  using assms(3) TopType 3
  by (simp, metis temp1 append-Nil ct-suffix-cons2 ct-suffix-def length-Cons
length-map

```

```

      list.size(3) numeral-2-eq-2 to-ct-list-def)
hence temp3:ct-list-eq (to-ct-list [t, T-i32]) x1
  using 3 ct-suffix-imp-ct-list-eq
  unfolding to-ct-list-def
    by (metis Suc-leI ct-list-eq-commute diff-is-0-eq drop-0 length-Cons
length-map lessI
      list.size(3) numeral-2-eq-2)
hence temp4:ct-suffix [TSome T-i32] x1
  using ct-suffix-less[of [TSome t] [TSome T-i32] x1]
    ct-suffix-extend-ct-list-eq[of [] []] ct-suffix-nil
  unfolding to-ct-list-def
  by fastforce
hence ct-suffix (take 1 x1) (to-ct-list [t])
  using temp3 ct-suffix-nil ct-list-eq-commute ct-suffix-extend-ct-list-eq[of []
[] (take 1 x1) (to-ct-list [t])]
  unfolding to-ct-list-def
  by (simp, metis butlast.simps(2) butlast-conv-take ct-list-eq-take diff-Suc-1
length-Cons
    list.distinct(1) list.size(3))
thus ?thesis
  using TopType 2 3 ct-suffix-nil temp3 temp4 t2s-def to-ct-list-def
  apply (simp, safe)
  apply (metis append.assoc ct-suffix-def)
  done
next
case 4
then obtain nat where nat-def:length x1 = Suc (Suc (Suc nat))
  by (metis add-eq-if diff-Suc-1 le-Suc-ex numeral-3-eq-3 nat.distinct(2))
obtain x1' x x' x'' where x1-split:x1 = x1'@[x,x',x'']
proof -
  assume local-assms:( $\wedge x1' x x' x''. x1 = x1' @ [x, x', x''] \implies thesis$ )
  obtain x1' x1'' where tn-split:x1 = x1'@x1''
    length x1'' = 3
    using 4
    by (metis append-take-drop-id diff-diff-cancel length-drop)
  then obtain x x1''2 where x1'' = x#x1''2 length x1''2 = Suc (Suc 0)
    by (metis length-Suc-conv numeral-3-eq-3)
  then obtain x' x'' where tn''-def:x1'' = [x,x',x'']
    using List.length-Suc-conv[of x1''2 Suc 0]
    by (metis length-0-conv length-Suc-conv)
  thus ?thesis
    using tn-split local-assms
    by simp
qed
hence a:ct-suffix (x1'@[x,x',x'']) (to-ct-list (t1s' @ [t, t, T-i32]))
  using t1s-suffix2 assms(3) TopType
  by simp
hence b:ct-suffix (x1'@[x,x']) (to-ct-list (t1s' @ [t, t]))  $\wedge$  (ct-eq x'' (TSome
T-i32))

```

```

    using to-ct-list-def ct-suffix-unfold-one[of (x1'@[x,x']) x'' to-ct-list (t1s' @
[t, t])]
    by fastforce
  hence c:ct-suffix (x1'@[x]) (to-ct-list (t1s' @ [t])) ∧ (ct-eq x' (TSome t))
    using to-ct-list-def ct-suffix-unfold-one[of (x1'@[x]) x' to-ct-list (t1s' @
[t])]
    by fastforce
  hence d:ct-suffix x1' (to-ct-list t1s') ∧ (ct-eq x (TSome t))
    using to-ct-list-def ct-suffix-unfold-one[of (x1') x to-ct-list (t1s')]
    by fastforce
  have (take (length x1 - 3) x1) = x1'
    using x1-split
    by simp
  have x'-ind:(x1!(length x1-2)) = x'
    using x1-split List.nth-append-length[of x1' @ [x]]
    by simp
  have x-ind:(x1!(length x1-3)) = x
    using x1-split
    by simp
  have ct-suffix [TSome T-i32] x1
    using b x1-split ct-suffix-def ct-list-eq-def ct-suffixI[of x1 x1' @ [x, x']]
    by simp
  hence check-single C e (TopType x1) = (select-return-top x1 (x1!(length
x1-2)) (x1!(length x1-3)))
    using type-update-select-conv-select-return-top[OF - 4]
    unfolding 2
    by blast
  moreover
  have ... = (TopType (x1'@[x])) ∨ ... = (TopType (x1'@[x']))
    apply (cases x; cases x')
    using x1-split 4 nat-def 2 x-ind x'-ind c d
    by simp-all
  moreover
  have ct-suffix (x1'@[x]) (to-ct-list t2s)
    by (simp add: c t2s-def)
  moreover
  have ct-suffix (x1'@[x']) (to-ct-list t2s)
    using ct-suffix-unfold-one[symmetric, of x' (TSome t) x1' (to-ct-list t1s')]
c d
    t2s-def
    unfolding to-ct-list-def
    by fastforce
  ultimately
  show ?thesis
    using TopType
    by auto
  qed
qed simp-all
next

```

```

case 3
then obtain cons prods where c-s-def:check-single C e = ( $\lambda$ ctn. type-update
ctn cons prods)
  by blast
hence ctm-def:ctm = type-update (Type t1s) cons prods
  using assms(1)
  by fastforce
hence cons-suffix:ct-suffix cons (to-ct-list t1s)
  using assms
  by (simp, metis (full-types) produce.simps(6))
hence t-int-def:consume (Type t1s) cons = (Type (take (length t1s - length
cons) t1s))
  using ctm-def
  by simp
hence ctm-def2:ctm = produce (Type (take (length t1s - length cons) t1s))
prods
  using ctm-def
  by simp
show ?thesis
proof (cases ctn)
  case (TopType x1)
  hence ct-suffix x1 (to-ct-list t1s)
    using assms(3)
    by simp
  thus ?thesis
    using assms(2) ctm-def2
proof (cases prods)
  case (TopType x1)
  thus ?thesis
    using consume-c-types-agree[OF t-int-def assms(3)] ctm-def2 assms(4)
c-s-def
  by (metis c-types-agree.elims(2) produce.simps(3,4) type-update.simps)
next
  case (Type x2)
  hence ctm-def3:ctm = Type ((take (length t1s - length cons) t1s)@ x2)
    using ctm-def2
    by simp
  have ct-suffix x1 cons  $\vee$  ct-suffix cons x1
    using ct-suffix-shared assms(3) TopType cons-suffix
    by auto
  thus ?thesis
proof (rule disjE)
  assume ct-suffix x1 cons
  hence consume (TopType x1) cons = TopType []
    by (simp add: ct-suffix-length)
  hence check-single C e ctn = TopType (to-ct-list x2)
    using c-s-def TopType Type
    by simp
  thus ?thesis

```

```

    using TopType ctm-def3 assms(4) c-types-agree-top2 ct-list-eq-refl
    by auto
  next
    assume ct-suffix cons x1
    hence 4:consume (TopType x1) cons = TopType (take (length x1 - length
cons ) x1)
      by (simp add: ct-suffix-length)
    hence 3:check-single C e ctn = TopType ((take (length x1 - length cons
) x1) @ to-ct-list x2)
      using c-s-def TopType Type
      by simp
    have ((take (length t1s - length cons ) t1s) @ x2) = t2s
      using assms(4) ctm-def3
      by simp
    have c-types-agree (TopType (take (length x1 - length cons ) x1)) (take
(length t1s - length cons) t1s)
      using consume-c-types-agree[OF t-int-def assms(3)] 4 TopType
      by simp
    hence c-types-agree (TopType (take (length x1 - length cons ) x1 @
to-ct-list x2)) (take (length t1s - length cons) t1s @ x2)
      unfolding c-types-agree.simps to-ct-list-def
      by (simp add: ct-suffix-cons2 ct-suffix-cons-it ct-suffix-extend-ct-list-eq)
    thus ?thesis
      using ctm-def3 assms 3
      by simp
  qed
qed simp
next
  case (Type x2)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms
    by simp
next
  case Bot
  thus ?thesis
    using assms
    by simp
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *b-e-type-checker-compose:*

```

  assumes b-e-type-checker C es (t1s -> t2s)
         b-e-type-checker C [e] (t2s -> t3s)
  shows b-e-type-checker C (es @ [e]) (t1s -> t3s)
proof -
  have c-types-agree (check-single C e (Type t2s)) t3s
    using assms(2)
    by simp

```

```

then obtain ctm where ctm-def:check-single  $\mathcal{C}$  e (Type t2s) = ctm
                    c-types-agree ctm t3s
                    ctm  $\neq$  Bot

  by fastforce
have c-types-agree (check  $\mathcal{C}$  es (Type t1s)) t2s
  using assms(1)
  by simp
then obtain ctn where ctn-def:check  $\mathcal{C}$  es (Type t1s) = ctn
                    c-types-agree ctn t2s
                    ctn  $\neq$  Bot

  by fastforce
thus ?thesis
  using check-single-imp-weakening[OF ctm-def(1,3) ctn-def(2) ctm-def(2)]
        check-unfold-snoc[of  $\mathcal{C}$  es (Type t1s) e]
  by simp
qed

```

lemma *b-e-check-single-type-type*:

```

assumes check-single  $\mathcal{C}$  e xs = (Type tm)
shows  $\exists$  tn. xs = (Type tn)
proof –
  consider (1) check-single  $\mathcal{C}$  e = id
            | (2) check-single  $\mathcal{C}$  e = ( $\lambda$ ctn. type-update-select ctn)
            | (3) ( $\exists$  cons prods. (check-single  $\mathcal{C}$  e = ( $\lambda$ ctn. type-update ctn cons prods)))
  using check-single-imp assms
  by blast
thus ?thesis
proof (cases)
  case 1
  thus ?thesis
  using assms
  by simp
next
  case 2
  note outer-2 = 2
  thus ?thesis
  using assms
proof (cases xs)
  case (TopType x1)
  consider
    (1) length x1 = 0
    | (2) length x1 = Suc 0
    | (3) length x1 = Suc (Suc 0)
    | (4) length x1  $\geq$  3
  by linarith
thus ?thesis
proof cases
  case 1
  thus ?thesis

```

```

    using assms 2 TopType
    by simp
next
case 2
thus ?thesis
    using assms outer-2 TopType produce-type-type
    by fastforce
next
case 3
thus ?thesis
    using assms 2 TopType
    by (simp, metis checker-type.distinct(1) checker-type.distinct(5))
next
case 4
then obtain nat where nat-def:length x1 = Suc (Suc (Suc nat))
    by (metis add-eq-if diff-Suc-1 le-Suc-ex numeral-3-eq-3 nat.distinct(2))
thus ?thesis
    using assms 2 TopType
    proof -
      {
        assume a1: produce (if ct-suffix [TAny, TAny, TSome T-i32] x1 then
TopType (take (length x1 - length [TAny, TAny, TSome T-i32]) x1) else if ct-suffix
x1 [TAny, TAny, TSome T-i32] then TopType [] else Bot) (select-return-top x1 (x1
! Suc nat) (x1 ! nat)) = Type tm
        obtain tts :: checker-type ⇒ t list where
          f2: ∀ c. (∀ ca ts. produce c ca ≠ Type ts) ∨ c = Type (tts c)
          using produce-type-type by moura
        then have f3: ∧ts. ¬ ct-suffix [TAny, TAny, TSome T-i32] x1 ∨ Type
tm ≠ Type ts
          using a1 by fastforce
        then have ∧ts. ¬ ct-suffix x1 [TAny, TAny, TSome T-i32] ∨ Type tm
≠ Type ts
          using f2 a1 by fastforce
        then have False
          using f3 a1 by fastforce
      }
    thus ?thesis
      using assms 2 TopType nat-def
      by simp
    qed
  qed
qed simp-all
next
case 3
then obtain cons prods where check-def:check-single C e = (λctn. type-update
ctn cons prods)
    by blast
hence produce (consume xs cons) prods = (Type tm)
    using assms(1)

```



```

    by simp
  thus ?thesis
    using assms check-def consume-type-type produce-type-type
    by blast
qed
qed

lemma b-e-check-single-weaken-type:
  assumes check-single C e (Type tn) = (Type tm)
  shows check-single C e (Type (ts@tn)) = Type (ts@tm)
proof -
  consider (1) check-single C e = id
    | (2) check-single C e = (λctn. type-update-select ctn)
    | (3) (∃ cons prods. (check-single C e = (λctn. type-update ctn cons prods)))
  using check-single-imp assms
  by blast
  thus ?thesis
proof (cases)
  case 1
  thus ?thesis
    using assms(1)
    by simp
next
  case 2
  hence cond:(length tn ≥ 3 ∧ (tn!(length tn-2)) = (tn!(length tn-3)))
    using assms
    by (simp, metis checker-type.distinct(5))
  hence consume (Type tn) [TAny, TSome T-i32] = (Type tm)
    using assms 2
    by simp
  hence consume (Type (ts@tn)) [TAny, TSome T-i32] = (Type (ts@tm))
    using consume-weaken-type
    by blast
  moreover
  have (length (ts@tn) ≥ 3 ∧ ((ts@tn)!(length (ts@tn)-2)) = ((ts@tn)!(length
(ts@tn)-3)))
    using cond
    by (simp, metis add.commute add-leE nth-append-length-plus numeral-Bit1
numeral-One
one-add-one ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.diff-add-assoc2)
  ultimately
  show ?thesis
    using 2
    by simp
next
  case 3
  then obtain cons prods where check-def:check-single C e = (λctn. type-update
ctn cons prods)
    by blast

```

```

hence produce (consume (Type tn) cons) prods = (Type tm)
  using assms(1)
  by simp
then obtain t-int where t-int-def:consume (Type tn) cons = (Type t-int)
  by (metis consume.simps(1) produce.simps(6))
thus ?thesis
  using assms(1) check-def
    consume-weaken-type[OF t-int-def, of ts]
    produce-weaken-type[of t-int prods tm ts]
  by simp
qed
qed

lemma b-e-check-single-weaken-top:
  assumes check-single C e (Type tn) = TopType tm
  shows check-single C e (Type (ts@tn)) = TopType tm
proof –
  consider (1) check-single C e = id
    | (2) check-single C e = (λctn. type-update-select ctn)
    | (3) (∃ cons prods. (check-single C e = (λctn. type-update ctn cons prods)))
  using check-single-imp assms
  by blast
thus ?thesis
proof (cases)
  case 1
  thus ?thesis
    using assms
    by simp
next
  case 2
  thus ?thesis
    using assms
  by (simp, metis checker-type.distinct(1) checker-type.distinct(3) consume.simps(1))
next
  case 3
  then obtain cons prods where check-def:check-single C e = (λctn. type-update
ctn cons prods)
  by blast
  hence produce (consume (Type tn) cons) prods = (TopType tm)
    using assms(1)
    by simp
  moreover
  then obtain t-int where t-int-def:consume (Type tn) cons = (Type t-int)
    by (metis checker-type.distinct(3) consume.simps(1) produce.simps(6))
  ultimately
  show ?thesis
    using check-def consume-weaken-type
    by (cases prods, auto)
qed

```

qed

lemma *b-e-check-weaken-type*:

assumes *check C es (Type tn) = (Type tm)*

shows *check C es (Type (ts@tn)) = (Type (ts@tm))*

using *assms*

proof (*induction es arbitrary: tn tm rule: List.rev-induct*)

case *Nil*

thus *?case*

by *simp*

next

case (*snoc e es*)

hence *check-single C e (check C es (Type tn)) = Type tm*

using *check-unfold-snoc[OF check-neq-bot-snoc]*

by (*metis checker-type.distinct(5)*)

thus *?case*

using *b-e-check-single-weaken-type b-e-check-single-type-type snoc*

by (*metis check-unfold-snoc checker-type.distinct(5)*)

qed

lemma *check-bot: check C es Bot = Bot*

by (*simp add: list.case-eq-if*)

lemma *b-e-check-weaken-top*:

assumes *check C es (Type tn) = (TopType tm)*

shows *check C es (Type (ts@tn)) = (TopType tm)*

using *assms*

proof (*induction es arbitrary: tn tm*)

case *Nil*

thus *?case*

by *simp*

next

case (*Cons e es*)

show *?case*

proof (*cases (check-single C e (Type tn))*)

case (*TopType x1*)

hence *check-single C e (Type (ts@tn)) = TopType x1*

using *b-e-check-single-weaken-top*

by *blast*

thus *?thesis*

using *TopType Cons*

by *simp*

next

case (*Type x2*)

hence *check-single C e (Type (ts@tn)) = Type (ts@x2)*

using *b-e-check-single-weaken-type*

by *blast*

thus *?thesis*

using *Cons Type*

```

    by fastforce
  next
  case Bot
  thus ?thesis
    using check-bot Cons
    by simp
qed

qed

lemma b-e-type-checker-weaken:
  assumes b-e-type-checker C es (t1s -> t2s)
  shows b-e-type-checker C es (ts@t1s -> ts@t2s)
proof -
  have c-types-agree (check C es (Type t1s)) t2s
    using assms(1)
    by simp
  then obtain ctn where ctn-def:check C es (Type t1s) = ctn
    c-types-agree ctn t2s
    ctn ≠ Bot

    by fastforce
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases ctn)
    case (TopType x1)
    thus ?thesis
      using ctn-def(1,2) b-e-check-weaken-top[of C es t1s x1 ts]
      by (metis append-assoc b-e-type-checker.simps c-types-agree-imp-ct-list-eq
c-types-agree-top2)
  next
    case (Type x2)
    thus ?thesis
      using ctn-def(1,2) b-e-check-weaken-type[of C es t1s x2 ts]
      by simp
  next
    case Bot
    thus ?thesis
      using ctn-def(3)
      by simp
  qed
qed

lemma b-e-type-checker-complete:
  assumes C ⊢ es : (tn -> tm)
  shows b-e-type-checker C es (tn -> tm)
  using assms
proof (induction es (tn -> tm) arbitrary: tn tm rule: b-e-typing.induct)
  case (select C t)
  have ct-list-eq [TAny, TSome T-i32] [TSome t, TSome T-i32]
    by (simp add: to-ct-list-def ct-list-eq-def)

```

```

thus ?case
  using ct-suffix-extend-ct-list-eq[OF ct-suffix-nil[of [TSome t]]] to-ct-list-def
  by auto
next
  case (br-table C ts is i t1s t2s)
  show ?case
    using list-all-conv-same-lab[OF br-table]
    by (auto simp add: to-ct-list-def ct-suffix-nil ct-suffix-cons-it)
next
  case (set-global i C t)
  thus ?case
    using to-ct-list-def ct-suffix-refl is-mut-def tg-t-def
    by auto
next
  case (composition C es t1s t2s e t3s)
  thus ?case
    using b-e-type-checker-compose
    by simp
next
  case (weakening C es t1s t2s ts)
  thus ?case
    using b-e-type-checker-weaken
    by simp
qed (auto simp add: to-ct-list-def ct-suffix-refl ct-suffix-nil ct-suffix-cons-it
  ct-suffix-singleton-any)

theorem b-e-typing-equiv-b-e-type-checker:
  shows (C ⊢ es : (tn -> tm)) = (b-e-type-checker C es (tn -> tm))
  using b-e-type-checker-sound b-e-type-checker-complete
  by blast

```

end

11 WebAssembly Interpreter

theory Wasm-Interpreter **imports** Wasm **begin**

```

datatype res-crash =
  CError
| CExhaustion

```

```

datatype res =
  RCrash res-crash
| RTrap
| RValue v list

```

```

datatype res-step =
  RSCrash res-crash
| RSBreak nat v list

```

| *RSReturn v list*
| *RSNormal e list*

abbreviation *crash-error* **where** *crash-error* \equiv *RSCrash CError*

type-synonym *depth* = *nat*
type-synonym *fuel* = *nat*

type-synonym *config-tuple* = *s* \times *v list* \times *e list*

type-synonym *config-one-tuple* = *s* \times *v list* \times *v list* \times *e*

type-synonym *res-tuple* = *s* \times *v list* \times *res-step*

fun *split-vals* :: *b-e list* \Rightarrow *v list* \times *b-e list* **where**
split-vals ((*C v*)#*es*) = (let (*vs'*, *es'*) = *split-vals es* in (*v#vs'*, *es'*))
| *split-vals es* = ([], *es*)

fun *split-vals-e* :: *e list* \Rightarrow *v list* \times *e list* **where**
split-vals-e ((\$ *C v*)#*es*) = (let (*vs'*, *es'*) = *split-vals-e es* in (*v#vs'*, *es'*))
| *split-vals-e es* = ([], *es*)

fun *split-n* :: *v list* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *v list* \times *v list* **where**
split-n [] *n* = ([], [])
| *split-n es* 0 = ([], *es*)
| *split-n (e#es)* (*Suc n*) = (let (*es'*, *es''*) = *split-n es n* in (*e#es'*, *es''*))

lemma *split-n-conv-take-drop*: *split-n es n* = (*take n es*, *drop n es*)
by (*induction es n* rule: *split-n.induct*, *simp-all*)

lemma *split-n-length*:
assumes *split-n es n* = (*es1*, *es2*) *length es* \geq *n*
shows *length es1* = *n*
using *assms*
unfolding *split-n-conv-take-drop*
by *fastforce*

lemma *split-n-conv-app*:
assumes *split-n es n* = (*es1*, *es2*)
shows *es* = *es1@es2*
using *assms*
unfolding *split-n-conv-take-drop*
by *auto*

lemma *app-conv-split-n*:
assumes *es* = *es1@es2*
shows *split-n es (length es1)* = (*es1*, *es2*)
using *assms*
unfolding *split-n-conv-take-drop*

by *auto*

lemma *split-vals-const-list*: *split-vals* (*map EConst vs*) = (*vs*, [])
 by (*induction vs, simp-all*)

lemma *split-vals-e-const-list*: *split-vals-e* (\$\$* *vs*) = (*vs*, [])
 by (*induction vs, simp-all*)

lemma *split-vals-e-conv-app*:
 assumes *split-vals-e xs* = (*as, bs*)
 shows *xs* = (\$\$* *as*)@*bs*
 using *assms*
proof (*induction xs arbitrary: as rule: split-vals-e.induct*)
 case (*1 v es*)
 obtain *as' bs'* where *split-vals-e es* = (*as', bs'*)
 by (*meson surj-pair*)
 thus ?*case*
 using *1*
 by *fastforce*
qed *simp-all*

abbreviation *expect* :: '*a option* ⇒ (*a* ⇒ '*b*) ⇒ '*b* ⇒ '*b* where
expect a f b ≡ (*case a of*
 Some a' ⇒ f a'
 | *None ⇒ b*)

abbreviation *vs-to-es* :: *v list* ⇒ *e list*
 where *vs-to-es v* ≡ \$\$* (*rev v*)

definition *e-is-trap* :: *e* ⇒ *bool* where
e-is-trap e = (*case e of Trap ⇒ True* | - ⇒ *False*)

definition *es-is-trap* :: *e list* ⇒ *bool* where
es-is-trap es = (*case es of [e] ⇒ e-is-trap e* | - ⇒ *False*)

lemma[*simp*]: *e-is-trap e* = (*e = Trap*)
 using *e-is-trap-def*
 by (*cases e*) *auto*

lemma[*simp*]: *es-is-trap es* = (*es = [Trap]*)
proof (*cases es*)
 case *Nil*
 thus ?*thesis*
 using *es-is-trap-def*
 by *auto*
next
 case *outer-Cons:(Cons a list)*
 thus ?*thesis*
proof (*cases list*)

```

case Nil
thus ?thesis
  using outer-Cons es-is-trap-def
  by auto
next
case (Cons a' list')
thus ?thesis
  using es-is-trap-def outer-Cons
  by auto
qed
qed

```

axiomatization

```

mem-grow-impl:: mem ⇒ nat ⇒ mem option where
mem-grow-impl-correct:(mem-grow-impl m n = Some m') ⇒ (mem-grow m n =
m')

```

axiomatization

```

host-apply-impl:: s ⇒ tf ⇒ host ⇒ v list ⇒ (s × v list) option where
host-apply-impl-correct:(host-apply-impl s tf h vs = Some m') ⇒ (∃ hs. host-apply
s tf h vs hs = Some m')

```

function (sequential)

```

run-step :: depth ⇒ nat ⇒ config-tuple ⇒ res-tuple
and run-one-step :: depth ⇒ nat ⇒ config-one-tuple ⇒ res-tuple where
run-step d i (s,vs,es) = (let (ves, es') = split-vals-e es in
  case es' of
  [] ⇒ (s,vs, crash-error)
  | e#es'' ⇒
    if e-is-trap e
    then
      if (es'' ≠ [] ∨ ves ≠ [])
      then
        (s, vs, RSNormal [Trap])
      else
        (s, vs, crash-error)
    else
      (let (s',vs',r) = run-one-step d i (s,vs,(rev ves),e) in
        case r of
        RSNormal res ⇒ (s', vs', RSNormal (res@es''))
        | - ⇒ (s', vs', r)))
| run-one-step d i (s, vs, ves, e) =
  (case e of
  — B-E
  — UNOPS
  $(Unop-i T-i32 iop) ⇒
  (case ves of
  (ConstInt32 c)#ves' ⇒

```


$(s, vs, RSNormal (vs\text{-}to\text{-}es ((ConstInt32 (app\text{-}unop\text{-}i iop c))\#ves')))$
 $| - \Rightarrow (s, vs, crash\text{-}error)$
 $| \$(Unop\text{-}i T\text{-}i64 iop) \Rightarrow$
 $(case\ ves\ of$
 $(ConstInt64 c)\#ves' \Rightarrow$
 $(s, vs, RSNormal (vs\text{-}to\text{-}es ((ConstInt64 (app\text{-}unop\text{-}i iop c))\#ves')))$
 $| - \Rightarrow (s, vs, crash\text{-}error)$
 $| \$(Unop\text{-}i - iop) \Rightarrow (s, vs, crash\text{-}error)$
 $| \$(Unop\text{-}f T\text{-}f32 fop) \Rightarrow$
 $(case\ ves\ of$
 $(ConstFloat32 c)\#ves' \Rightarrow$
 $(s, vs, RSNormal (vs\text{-}to\text{-}es ((ConstFloat32 (app\text{-}unop\text{-}f fop c))\#ves')))$
 $| - \Rightarrow (s, vs, crash\text{-}error)$
 $| \$(Unop\text{-}f T\text{-}f64 fop) \Rightarrow$
 $(case\ ves\ of$
 $(ConstFloat64 c)\#ves' \Rightarrow$
 $(s, vs, RSNormal (vs\text{-}to\text{-}es ((ConstFloat64 (app\text{-}unop\text{-}f fop c))\#ves')))$
 $| - \Rightarrow (s, vs, crash\text{-}error)$
 $| \$(Unop\text{-}f - fop) \Rightarrow (s, vs, crash\text{-}error)$
 $- BINOPS$
 $| \$(Binop\text{-}i T\text{-}i32 iop) \Rightarrow$
 $(case\ ves\ of$
 $(ConstInt32 c2)\#(ConstInt32 c1)\#ves' \Rightarrow$
 $expect (app\text{-}binop\text{-}i iop c1 c2) (\lambda c. (s, vs, RSNormal (vs\text{-}to\text{-}es$
 $((ConstInt32 c)\#ves')))) (s, vs, RSNormal ((vs\text{-}to\text{-}es ves')@[Trap]))$
 $| - \Rightarrow (s, vs, crash\text{-}error)$
 $| \$(Binop\text{-}i T\text{-}i64 iop) \Rightarrow$
 $(case\ ves\ of$
 $(ConstInt64 c2)\#(ConstInt64 c1)\#ves' \Rightarrow$
 $expect (app\text{-}binop\text{-}i iop c1 c2) (\lambda c. (s, vs, RSNormal (vs\text{-}to\text{-}es$
 $((ConstInt64 c)\#ves')))) (s, vs, RSNormal ((vs\text{-}to\text{-}es ves')@[Trap]))$
 $| - \Rightarrow (s, vs, crash\text{-}error)$
 $| \$(Binop\text{-}i - iop) \Rightarrow (s, vs, crash\text{-}error)$
 $| \$(Binop\text{-}f T\text{-}f32 fop) \Rightarrow$
 $(case\ ves\ of$
 $(ConstFloat32 c2)\#(ConstFloat32 c1)\#ves' \Rightarrow$
 $expect (app\text{-}binop\text{-}f fop c1 c2) (\lambda c. (s, vs, RSNormal (vs\text{-}to\text{-}es$
 $((ConstFloat32 c)\#ves')))) (s, vs, RSNormal ((vs\text{-}to\text{-}es ves')@[Trap]))$
 $| - \Rightarrow (s, vs, crash\text{-}error)$
 $| \$(Binop\text{-}f T\text{-}f64 fop) \Rightarrow$
 $(case\ ves\ of$
 $(ConstFloat64 c2)\#(ConstFloat64 c1)\#ves' \Rightarrow$
 $expect (app\text{-}binop\text{-}f fop c1 c2) (\lambda c. (s, vs, RSNormal (vs\text{-}to\text{-}es$
 $((ConstFloat64 c)\#ves')))) (s, vs, RSNormal ((vs\text{-}to\text{-}es ves')@[Trap]))$
 $| - \Rightarrow (s, vs, crash\text{-}error)$
 $| \$(Binop\text{-}f - fop) \Rightarrow (s, vs, crash\text{-}error)$
 $- TESTOPS$
 $| \$(Testop T\text{-}i32 testop) \Rightarrow$
 $(case\ ves\ of$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (ConstInt32\ c)\#ves' \Rightarrow \\
& (s, vs, RSNormal\ (vs\text{-to-es}\ ((ConstInt32\ (wasm\text{-bool}\ (app\text{-testop}\text{-i}\ testop \\
& c))))\#ves')) \\
& \quad | - \Rightarrow (s, vs, crash\text{-error}) \\
& | \$(Testop\ T\text{-i64}\ testop) \Rightarrow \\
& \quad (case\ ves\ of \\
& \quad (ConstInt64\ c)\#ves' \Rightarrow \\
& \quad (s, vs, RSNormal\ (vs\text{-to-es}\ ((ConstInt32\ (wasm\text{-bool}\ (app\text{-testop}\text{-i}\ testop \\
& c))))\#ves')) \\
& \quad | - \Rightarrow (s, vs, crash\text{-error}) \\
& | \$(Testop\ \text{-}\ testop) \Rightarrow (s, vs, crash\text{-error}) \\
& \text{--- RELOPS} \\
& | \$(Relop\text{-i}\ T\text{-i32}\ iop) \Rightarrow \\
& \quad (case\ ves\ of \\
& \quad (ConstInt32\ c2)\#(ConstInt32\ c1)\#ves' \Rightarrow \\
& \quad (s, vs, RSNormal\ (vs\text{-to-es}\ ((ConstInt32\ (wasm\text{-bool}\ (app\text{-relop}\text{-i}\ iop \\
& c1\ c2))))\#ves')) \\
& \quad | - \Rightarrow (s, vs, crash\text{-error}) \\
& | \$(Relop\text{-i}\ T\text{-i64}\ iop) \Rightarrow \\
& \quad (case\ ves\ of \\
& \quad (ConstInt64\ c2)\#(ConstInt64\ c1)\#ves' \Rightarrow \\
& \quad (s, vs, RSNormal\ (vs\text{-to-es}\ ((ConstInt32\ (wasm\text{-bool}\ (app\text{-relop}\text{-i}\ iop \\
& c1\ c2))))\#ves')) \\
& \quad | - \Rightarrow (s, vs, crash\text{-error}) \\
& | \$(Relop\text{-i}\ \text{-}\ iop) \Rightarrow (s, vs, crash\text{-error}) \\
& | \$(Relop\text{-f}\ T\text{-f32}\ fop) \Rightarrow \\
& \quad (case\ ves\ of \\
& \quad (ConstFloat32\ c2)\#(ConstFloat32\ c1)\#ves' \Rightarrow \\
& \quad (s, vs, RSNormal\ (vs\text{-to-es}\ ((ConstInt32\ (wasm\text{-bool}\ (app\text{-relop}\text{-f}\ fop \\
& c1\ c2))))\#ves')) \\
& \quad | - \Rightarrow (s, vs, crash\text{-error}) \\
& | \$(Relop\text{-f}\ T\text{-f64}\ fop) \Rightarrow \\
& \quad (case\ ves\ of \\
& \quad (ConstFloat64\ c2)\#(ConstFloat64\ c1)\#ves' \Rightarrow \\
& \quad (s, vs, RSNormal\ (vs\text{-to-es}\ ((ConstInt32\ (wasm\text{-bool}\ (app\text{-relop}\text{-f}\ fop \\
& c1\ c2))))\#ves')) \\
& \quad | - \Rightarrow (s, vs, crash\text{-error}) \\
& | \$(Relop\text{-f}\ \text{-}\ fop) \Rightarrow (s, vs, crash\text{-error}) \\
& \text{--- CONVERT} \\
& | \$(Cvtop\ t2\ Convert\ t1\ sx) \Rightarrow \\
& \quad (case\ ves\ of \\
& \quad v\#ves' \Rightarrow \\
& \quad (if\ (types\text{-agree}\ t1\ v) \\
& \quad \quad then \\
& \quad \quad \quad expect\ (cut\ t2\ sx\ v)\ (\lambda v'. (s, vs, RSNormal\ (vs\text{-to-es}\ (v'\#ves')))) \\
& \quad \quad \quad (s, vs, RSNormal\ ((vs\text{-to-es}\ ves')@[Trap])) \\
& \quad \quad else \\
& \quad \quad \quad (s, vs, crash\text{-error})) \\
& \quad | - \Rightarrow (s, vs, crash\text{-error}))
\end{aligned}$$

```

| $(Cvtop t2 Reinterpret t1 sx) =>
  (case ves of
    v#ves' =>
      (if (types-agree t1 v & sx = None)
        then
          (s, vs, RSNormal (vs-to-es ((wasm-deserialise (bits v) t2)#ves')))
        else
          (s, vs, crash-error))
    | - => (s, vs, crash-error))
— UNREACHABLE
| $Unreachable =>
  (s, vs, RSNormal ((vs-to-es ves)@[Trap]))
— NOP
| $Nop =>
  (s, vs, RSNormal (vs-to-es ves))
— DROP
| $Drop =>
  (case ves of
    v#ves' =>
      (s, vs, RSNormal (vs-to-es ves^))
    | - => (s, vs, crash-error))
— SELECT
| $Select =>
  (case ves of
    (ConstInt32 c)#v2#v1#ves' =>
      (if int-eq c 0 then (s, vs, RSNormal (vs-to-es (v2#ves^))) else (s, vs,
RSNormal (vs-to-es (v1#ves^))))
    | - => (s, vs, crash-error))
— BLOCK
| $(Block (t1s -> t2s) es) =>
  (if length ves ≥ length t1s
    then
      let (ves', ves'') = split-n ves (length t1s) in
      (s, vs, RSNormal ((vs-to-es ves'') @ [Label (length t2s) [] ((vs-to-es
ves')@($* es))]))
    else
      (s, vs, crash-error))
— LOOP
| $(Loop (t1s -> t2s) es) =>
  (if length ves ≥ length t1s
    then
      let (ves', ves'') = split-n ves (length t1s) in
      (s, vs, RSNormal ((vs-to-es ves'') @ [Label (length t1s) [$(Loop (t1s ->
t2s) es)] ((vs-to-es ves')@($* es))]))
    else
      (s, vs, crash-error))
— IF
| $(If tf es1 es2) =>
  (case ves of

```

```

      (ConstInt32 c)#ves' ⇒
        if int-eq c 0
          then
            (s, vs, RSNormal ((vs-to-es ves')@[Block tf es2]))
          else
            (s, vs, RSNormal ((vs-to-es ves')@[Block tf es1]))
    | - ⇒ (s, vs, crash-error)
  — BR
| $Br j ⇒
  (s, vs, RSBreak j ves)
— BR-IF
| $Br-if j ⇒
  (case ves of
    (ConstInt32 c)#ves' ⇒
      if int-eq c 0
        then
          (s, vs, RSNormal (vs-to-es ves'))
        else
          (s, vs, RSNormal ((vs-to-es ves') @ [Br j]))
    | - ⇒ (s, vs, crash-error))
— BR-TABLE
| $Br-table js j ⇒
  (case ves of
    (ConstInt32 c)#ves' ⇒
      let k = nat-of-int c in
      if k < length js
        then
          (s, vs, RSNormal ((vs-to-es ves') @ [Br (js!k)]))
        else
          (s, vs, RSNormal ((vs-to-es ves') @ [Br j]))
    | - ⇒ (s, vs, crash-error))
— CALL
| $Call j ⇒
  (s, vs, RSNormal ((vs-to-es ves) @ [Callcl (sfunc s i j)]))
— CALL-INDIRECT
| $Call-indirect j ⇒
  (case ves of
    (ConstInt32 c)#ves' ⇒
      (case (stab s i (nat-of-int c)) of
        Some cl ⇒
          if (stypes s i j = cl-type cl)
            then
              (s, vs, RSNormal ((vs-to-es ves') @ [Callcl cl]))
            else
              (s, vs, RSNormal ((vs-to-es ves')@[Trap]))
        | - ⇒ (s, vs, RSNormal ((vs-to-es ves')@[Trap])))
    | - ⇒ (s, vs, crash-error))
— RETURN
| $Return ⇒

```

```

      (s, vs, RSReturn ves)
— GET-LOCAL
| $Get-local j ⇒
  (if j < length vs
   then (s, vs, RSNormal (vs-to-es ((vs!j)#ves)))
   else (s, vs, crash-error))
— SET-LOCAL
| $Set-local j ⇒
  (case ves of
   v#ves' ⇒
     if j < length vs
       then (s, vs[j := v], RSNormal (vs-to-es ves'))
       else (s, vs, crash-error)
   | - ⇒ (s, vs, crash-error))
— TEE-LOCAL
| $Tee-local j ⇒
  (case ves of
   v#ves' ⇒
     (s, vs, RSNormal ((vs-to-es (v#ves)) @ [$Set-local j]))
   | - ⇒ (s, vs, crash-error))
— GET-GLOBAL
| $Get-global j ⇒
  (s, vs, RSNormal (vs-to-es ((sglob-val s i j)#ves)))
— SET-GLOBAL
| $Set-global j ⇒
  (case ves of
   v#ves' ⇒ ((supdate-glob s i j v), vs, RSNormal (vs-to-es ves'))
   | - ⇒ (s, vs, crash-error))
— LOAD
| $(Load t None a off) ⇒
  (case ves of
   (ConstInt32 k)#ves' ⇒
     expect (smem-ind s i)
     (λj.
      expect (load ((mem s)!j) (nat-of-int k) off (t-length t))
      (λbs. (s, vs, RSNormal (vs-to-es ((wasm-deserialise bs t)#ves'))))
      (s, vs, RSNormal ((vs-to-es ves')@[Trap])))
   (s, vs, crash-error)
   | - ⇒ (s, vs, crash-error))
— LOAD PACKED
| $(Load t (Some (tp, sx)) a off) ⇒
  (case ves of
   (ConstInt32 k)#ves' ⇒
     expect (smem-ind s i)
     (λj.
      expect (load-packed sx ((mem s)!j) (nat-of-int k) off (tp-length tp)
      (t-length t))
      (λbs. (s, vs, RSNormal (vs-to-es ((wasm-deserialise bs t)#ves'))))
      (s, vs, RSNormal ((vs-to-es ves')@[Trap])))
   (s, vs, crash-error)
   | - ⇒ (s, vs, crash-error))

```

```

      (s, vs, crash-error)
    | - ⇒ (s, vs, crash-error))
— STORE
| $(Store t None a off) ⇒
  (case ves of
    v#(ConstInt32 k)#ves' ⇒
      (if (types-agree t v)
        then
          expect (smem-ind s i)
            (λj.
              expect (store ((mem s)!j) (nat-of-int k) off (bits v) (t-length t))
                (λmem'. (s{mem:= ((mem s)[j] := mem')})), vs, RSNormal
            (vs-to-es ves')))
          (s, vs, RSNormal ((vs-to-es ves')@[Trap])))
        (s, vs, crash-error))
    else
      (s, vs, crash-error))
  | - ⇒ (s, vs, crash-error))
— STORE-PACKED
| $(Store t (Some tp) a off) ⇒
  (case ves of
    v#(ConstInt32 k)#ves' ⇒
      (if (types-agree t v)
        then
          expect (smem-ind s i)
            (λj.
              expect (store-packed ((mem s)!j) (nat-of-int k) off (bits v)
            (tp-length tp))
                (λmem'. (s{mem:= ((mem s)[j] := mem')})), vs, RSNormal
            (vs-to-es ves')))
          (s, vs, RSNormal ((vs-to-es ves')@[Trap])))
        (s, vs, crash-error))
    else
      (s, vs, crash-error))
  | - ⇒ (s, vs, crash-error))
— CURRENT-MEMORY
| $Current-memory ⇒
  expect (smem-ind s i)
    (λj. (s, vs, RSNormal (vs-to-es ((ConstInt32 (int-of-nat (mem-size
((s.mem s)!j))))#ves))))
    (s, vs, crash-error))
— GROW-MEMORY
| $Grow-memory ⇒
  (case ves of
    (ConstInt32 c)#ves' ⇒
      expect (smem-ind s i)
        (λj.
          let l = (mem-size ((s.mem s)!j)) in
            (expect (mem-grow-impl ((mem s)!j) (nat-of-int c))

```

```

      (λmem'. (s{mem:= ((mem s)[j := mem']})), vs, RSNormal
(vs-to-es ((ConstInt32 (int-of-nat l))#ves')))
      (s, vs, RSNormal (vs-to-es ((ConstInt32 int32-minus-one)#ves')))
      (s, vs, crash-error)
    | - ⇒ (s, vs, crash-error)
  — VAL - should not be executed
  | $C v ⇒ (s, vs, crash-error)
— E
— CALLCL
  | Callcl cl ⇒
    (case cl of
      Func-native i' (t1s -> t2s) ts es ⇒
        let n = length t1s in
        let m = length t2s in
        if length ves ≥ n
        then
          let (ves', ves'') = split-n ves n in
          let zs = n-zeros ts in
          (s, vs, RSNormal ((vs-to-es ves'') @ ([Local m i' ((rev ves')@zs)
[$(Block ([ -> t2s) es])]))
        else
          (s, vs, crash-error)
      | Func-host (t1s -> t2s) f ⇒
        let n = length t1s in
        let m = length t2s in
        if length ves ≥ n
        then
          let (ves', ves'') = split-n ves n in
          case host-apply-impl s (t1s -> t2s) f (rev ves') of
            Some (s', rves) ⇒
              if list-all2 types-agree t2s rves
              then
                (s', vs, RSNormal ((vs-to-es ves'') @ ($$* rves)))
              else
                (s', vs, crash-error)
            | None ⇒ (s, vs, RSNormal ((vs-to-es ves'')@[Trap]))
        else
          (s, vs, crash-error)
— LABEL
  | Label ln les es ⇒
    if es-is-trap es
    then
      (s, vs, RSNormal ((vs-to-es ves)@[Trap]))
    else
      (if (const-list es)
        then
          (s, vs, RSNormal ((vs-to-es ves)@es))
        else
          let (s', vs', res) = run-step d i (s, vs, es) in

```

```

      (case res of
        RSBreak 0 bvs ⇒
          if (length bvs ≥ ln)
            then (s', vs', RSNormal ((vs-to-es ((take ln bvs)@ves))@les))
            else (s', vs', crash-error)
        | RSBreak (Suc n) bvs ⇒
          (s', vs', RSBreak n bvs)
        | RSReturn rvs ⇒
          (s', vs', RSReturn rvs)
        | RSNormal es' ⇒
          (s', vs', RSNormal ((vs-to-es ves)@[Label ln les es']))
        | - ⇒ (s', vs', crash-error)))
    — LOCAL
  | Local ln j vls es ⇒
    if es-is-trap es
    then
      (s, vs, RSNormal ((vs-to-es ves)@[Trap]))
    else
      (if (const-list es)
        then
          if (length es = ln)
            then (s, vs, RSNormal ((vs-to-es ves)@es))
            else (s, vs, crash-error)
        else
          case d of
            0 ⇒ (s, vs, crash-error)
          | Suc d' ⇒
            let (s', vls', res) = run-step d' j (s, vls, es) in
              (case res of
                RSReturn rvs ⇒
                  if (length rvs ≥ ln)
                    then (s', vs, RSNormal (vs-to-es ((take ln rvs)@ves)))
                    else (s', vs, crash-error)
                | RSNormal es' ⇒
                  (s', vs, RSNormal ((vs-to-es ves)@[Local ln j vls' es']))
                | - ⇒ (s', vs, RSCrash CExhaustion)))
      — TRAP - should not be executed
    | Trap ⇒ (s, vs, crash-error))
  by pat-completeness auto
termination
proof —
  {
    fix xs::e list and as b bs
    assume local-assms:(as, b#bs) = split-vals-e xs
    have 2*(size b) < 2*(size-list size xs) + 1
    using local-assms[symmetric] split-vals-e-conv-app
      size-list-estimation'[of b xs size b size]
    unfolding size-list-def
    by fastforce
  }

```



```

}
thus ?thesis
  by (relation measure (case-sum
    (λp. 2 * (size-list size (snd (snd (snd (snd p)))))) + 1)
    (λp. 2 * size (snd (snd (snd (snd (snd p))))))) auto
qed

fun run-v :: fuel ⇒ depth ⇒ nat ⇒ config-tuple ⇒ (s × res) where
  run-v (Suc n) d i (s,vs,es) = (if (es-is-trap es)
    then (s, RTrap)
    else if (const-list es)
      then (s, RValue (fst (split-vals-e es)))
      else (let (s',vs',res) = (run-step d i (s,vs,es)) in
        case res of
          RSNormal es' ⇒ run-v n d i (s',vs',es')
          | RSCrash error ⇒ (s, RCrash error)
          | - ⇒ (s, RCrash CError))
  | run-v 0 d i (s,vs,es) = (s, RCrash CExhaustion)

end

```

12 Soundness of Interpreter

theory Wasm-Interpreter-Properties **imports** Wasm-Interpreter Wasm-Properties
begin

lemma *is-const-list-vs-to-es-list*: const-list (\$\$* vs)
 using is-const-list
 by auto

lemma *not-const-vs-to-es-list*:
 assumes ~(*is-const* e)
 shows vs1 @ [e] @ vs2 ≠ \$\$* vs

proof –
 fix vs
 {
 assume vs1 @ [e] @ vs2 = \$\$* vs
 hence (∀ y ∈ set (vs1 @ [e] @ vs2). ∃ x. y = \$C x)
 by simp
 hence False
 using assms
 unfolding is-const-def
 by fastforce
 }
 thus vs1 @ [e] @ vs2 ≠ \$\$* vs
 by fastforce
qed

lemma *neq-label-nested*: [Label n les es] ≠ es

```

proof –
  have size-list size [Label n les es] > size-list size es
    by simp
  thus ?thesis
    by fastforce
qed

lemma neq-local-nested: [Local n i lvs es] ≠ es
proof –
  have size-list size [Local n i lvs es] > size-list size es
    by simp
  thus ?thesis
    by fastforce
qed

lemma trap-not-value: [Trap] ≠ $$*es
  by fastforce

thm Lfilled.simps[of - - - [e], simplified]

lemma lfilled-single:
  assumes Lfilled k lholed es [e]
     $\bigwedge a b c. e \neq \text{Label } a b c$ 
  shows  $(es = [e] \wedge \text{lholed} = \text{LBase } [] []) \vee es = []$ 
  using assms
proof (cases rule: Lfilled.cases)
  case (L0 vs es')
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis Nil-is-append-conv append-self-conv2 butlast-append butlast-snoc)
next
  case (LN vs n es' l es'' k lfilledk)
  assume  $(\bigwedge a b c. e \neq \text{Label } a b c)$ 
  thus ?thesis
    using LN(2)
    unfolding Cons-eq-append-conv
    by fastforce
qed

lemma lfilled-eq:
  assumes Lfilled j lholed es LI
    Lfilled j lholed es' LI
  shows  $es = es'$ 
  using assms
proof (induction arbitrary: es' rule: Lfilled.induct)
  case (L0 vs lholed es' es)
  thus ?case
    using Lfilled.simps[of 0, simplified]
    by auto
next

```

```

case (LN vs lholed n les' l les'' k les lfilledk)
thus ?case
  using Lfilled.simps[of (k+1) LRec vs n les' l les'' es' (vs @ [Label n les' lfilledk]
@ les''), simplified]
  by auto
qed

```

```

lemma lfilled-size:
assumes Lfilled j lholed es LI
shows size-list size LI ≥ size-list size es
using assms
by (induction rule: Lfilled.induct) auto

```

```

thm Lfilled.simps[of - - es es, simplified]

```

```

lemma reduce-simple-not-eq:
assumes (es) ~> (es')
shows es ≠ es'
using assms
proof (induction es' rule: reduce-simple.induct)
case (label-const vs n es)
thus ?case
  using neq-label-nested
  by auto
next
case (br vs n i lholed LI es)
have size-list size [Label n es LI] > size-list size (vs @ es)
  using lfilled-size[OF br(3)]
  by simp
thus ?case
  by fastforce
next
case (local-const es n i vs)
thus ?case
  using neq-local-nested
  by auto
next
case (return vs n j lholed es i vls)
hence size-list size [Local n i vls es] > size-list size vs
  using lfilled-size[OF return(3)]
  by simp
thus ?case
  by auto
qed auto

```

```

lemma reduce-not-eq:
assumes (s;vs;es) ~>-i (s';vs';es')
shows es ≠ es'
using assms

```

```

proof (induction es' rule: reduce.induct)
  case (basic e e' s vs i)
  thus ?case
    using reduce-simple-not-eq
    by simp
next
  case (callcl-host-Some cl t1s t2s f ves vcs n m s hs s' vcs' vs i)
  thus ?case
    by (cases vcs' rule:rev-cases) auto
next
  case (label s vs es i s' vs' es' k lholed les les')
  thus ?case
    using lfilled-eq
    by fastforce
qed auto

```

```

lemma reduce-simple-not-value:
  assumes (|es|  $\rightsquigarrow$  |es'|)
  shows es  $\neq$  $$* vs
  using assms
proof (induction rule: reduce-simple.induct)
  case (block vs n t1s t2s m es)
  have  $\neg$ (is-const ($Block (t1s -> t2s) es))
    unfolding is-const-def
    by simp
  thus ?case
    using not-const-vs-to-es-list
    by (metis append.right-neutral)
next
  case (loop vs n t1s t2s m es)
  have  $\neg$ (is-const ($Loop (t1s -> t2s) es))
    unfolding is-const-def
    by simp
  thus ?case
    using not-const-vs-to-es-list
    by (metis append.right-neutral)
next
  case (trap lholed es)
  show ?case
    using trap(2)
  proof (cases rule: Lfilled.cases)
  case L0
  have  $\neg$ (is-const Trap)
    unfolding is-const-def
    by simp
  thus ?thesis
    using L0 not-const-vs-to-es-list
    by fastforce
qed auto

```

```

qed auto

lemma reduce-not-value:
  assumes  $(\downarrow s; vs; es) \rightsquigarrow\text{-}i (\downarrow s'; vs'; es')$ 
  shows  $es \neq \text{\$}\$* ves$ 
  using assms
proof (induction es' arbitrary: ves rule: reduce.induct)
  case (basic e e' s vs i)
  thus ?case
    using reduce-simple-not-value
    by fastforce
next
  case (callcl-native cl i' j ts es s t1s t2s ves vcs n k m zs vs i)
  have  $\neg(\text{is-const } (Callcl\ cl))$ 
    unfolding is-const-def
    by simp
  thus ?case
    using not-const-vs-to-es-list
    by (metis append.right-neutral)
next
  case (callcl-host-Some cl t1s t2s f ves vcs n m s i s' vcs' vs)
  have  $\neg(\text{is-const } (Callcl\ cl))$ 
    unfolding is-const-def
    by simp
  thus ?case
    using not-const-vs-to-es-list
    by (metis append.right-neutral)
next
  case (callcl-host-None cl t1s t2s f ves vcs n m s vs i)
  have  $\neg(\text{is-const } (Callcl\ cl))$ 
    unfolding is-const-def
    by simp
  thus ?case
    using not-const-vs-to-es-list
    by (metis append.right-neutral)
next
  case (label s vs es i s' vs' es' k lholed les les')
  show ?case
    using label(2,4)
proof (induction rule: Lfilled.induct)
  case (L0 lvs lholed les' les)
  {
    assume  $lvs @ les @ les' = \text{\$}\$* ves$ 
    hence  $(\forall y \in \text{set } (lvs @ les @ les')). \exists x. y = \text{\$}C\ x$ 
      by simp
    hence  $(\forall y \in \text{set } les). \exists x. y = \text{\$}C\ x$ 
      by simp
    hence  $\exists vs1. les = \text{\$}\$* vs1$ 
      unfolding ex-map-conv.
  }

```

```

}
thus ?case
  using L0(3)
  by fastforce
next
  case (LN lvs lholed ln les' l les'' k les lfilledk)
  have ¬(is-const (Label ln les' lfilledk))
  unfolding is-const-def
  by simp
  thus ?case
  using not-const-vs-to-es-list
  by fastforce
qed
qed auto

```

```

lemma reduce-simple-not-nil:
  assumes (es) ~ (es')
  shows es ≠ []
  using assms
proof (induction rule: reduce-simple.induct)
  case (trap es lholed)
  thus ?case
  using Lfilled.simps[of 0 lholed [Trap]]
  by auto
qed auto

```

```

lemma reduce-not-nil:
  assumes (s;vs;es) ~-i (s';vs';es')
  shows es ≠ []
  using assms
proof (induction rule: reduce.induct)
  case (basic e e' s vs i)
  thus ?case
  using reduce-simple-not-nil
  by simp
next
  case (label s vs es i s' vs' es' k lholed les les')
  show ?case
  using lfilled-size[OF label(2)] label(4)
  by (metis One-nat-def add-is-0 le-0-eq list.exhaust list.size(2) list.size-gen(1)
zero-neq-one)
qed auto

```

```

lemma reduce-simple-not-trap:
  assumes (es) ~ (es')
  shows es ≠ [Trap]
  using assms
  by (induction rule: reduce-simple.induct) auto

```

```

lemma reduce-not-trap:
  assumes  $(s; vs; es) \rightsquigarrow\text{-}i (s'; vs'; es')$ 
  shows  $es \neq [Trap]$ 
  using assms
proof (induction rule: reduce.induct)
  case (basic e e' s vs i)
  thus ?case
    using reduce-simple-not-trap
    by simp
next
  case (label s vs es i s' vs' es' k lholed les les')
  {
    assume  $les = [Trap]$ 
    hence  $Lfilled\ k\ lholed\ es\ [Trap]$ 
    using label(2)
    by simp
    hence False
    using  $lfilled\text{-}single\ reduce\text{-}not\text{-}nil[OF\ label(1)]\ label(4)$ 
    by fastforce
  }
  thus ?case
    by auto
qed auto

lemma reduce-simple-call:  $\neg([Call\ j]) \rightsquigarrow (es')$ 
  using reduce-simple.simps[of [Call j], simplified] lfilled-single
  by fastforce

lemma reduce-call:
  assumes  $(s; vs; [Call\ j]) \rightsquigarrow\text{-}i (s'; vs'; es')$ 
  shows  $s = s'$ 
     $vs = vs'$ 
     $es' = [Callcl\ (sfunc\ s\ i\ j)]$ 
  using assms
proof (induction [Call j]:: e list i s' vs' es' rule: reduce.induct)
  case (label s vs es i s' vs' es' k lholed les')
  have  $es = [Call\ j]$ 
     $lholed = LBase\ []\ []$ 
    using  $reduce\text{-}not\text{-}nil[OF\ label(1)]\ lfilled\text{-}single[OF\ label(5)]$ 
    by auto
  thus  $s = s'$ 
     $vs = vs'$ 
     $les' = [Callcl\ (sfunc\ s\ i\ j)]$ 
    using  $label(2,3,4,6)\ Lfilled.simps[of\ k\ LBase\ []\ []\ [Callcl\ (sfunc\ s\ i\ j)]\ les']$ 
    by auto
qed (auto simp add: reduce-simple-call)

lemma run-one-step-basic-unreachable-result:
  assumes  $run\text{-}one\text{-}step\ d\ i\ (s, vs, ves, \$Unreachable) = (s', vs', res)$ 

```

```

shows  $\exists r. res = RSNormal\ r$ 
using assms
by auto

lemma run-one-step-basic-nop-result:
assumes run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,$Nop) = (s', vs', res)
shows  $\exists r. res = RSNormal\ r$ 
using assms
by auto

lemma run-one-step-basic-drop-result:
assumes run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,$Drop) = (s', vs', res)
shows  $(\exists r. res = RSNormal\ r) \vee (\exists e. res = RSCrash\ e)$ 
using assms
by (cases ves) auto

lemma run-one-step-basic-select-result:
assumes run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,$Select) = (s', vs', res)
shows  $(\exists r. res = RSNormal\ r) \vee (\exists e. res = RSCrash\ e)$ 
using assms
proof (cases ves)
case (Cons a list)
thus ?thesis
  using assms
proof (cases a; cases list)
fix x1a aa listaa
assume a = ConstInt32 x1a and list = aa#listaa
thus ?thesis
  using assms Cons
  by (cases listaa; cases int-eq x1a 0) auto
qed auto
qed auto

lemma run-one-step-basic-block-result:
assumes run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,$(Block x51 x52)) = (s', vs', res)
shows  $(\exists r. res = RSNormal\ r) \vee (\exists e. res = RSCrash\ e)$ 
using assms
proof –
obtain t1s t2s where x51 = (t1s -> t2s)
  using tf.exhaust
  by blast
moreover obtain ves' ves'' where split-n ves (length t1s) = (ves', ves'')
  by (metis surj-pair)
ultimately
show ?thesis
  using assms
  by (cases length t1s ≤ length ves) auto
qed

```



```

lemma run-one-step-basic-loop-result:
  assumes run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,(Loop x61 x62)) = (s', vs', res)
  shows  $(\exists r. \text{res} = \text{RSNormal } r) \vee (\exists e. \text{res} = \text{RSCrash } e)$ 
  using assms
proof –
  obtain t1s t2s where  $x61 = (t1s \rightarrow t2s)$ 
    using tf.exhaust
    by blast
  moreover obtain ves' ves'' where  $\text{split-n } \text{ves } (\text{length } t1s) = (\text{ves}', \text{ves}'')$ 
    by (metis surj-pair)
  ultimately
    show ?thesis
    using assms
    by (cases length t1s ≤ length ves) auto
qed

```

```

lemma run-one-step-basic-if-result:
  assumes run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,(If x71 x72 x73)) = (s', vs', res)
  shows  $(\exists r. \text{res} = \text{RSNormal } r) \vee (\exists e. \text{res} = \text{RSCrash } e)$ 
  using assms
proof (cases ves)
  case (Cons a list)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms
proof (cases a)
  fix x1a
  assume  $a = \text{ConstInt32 } x1a$ 
  thus ?thesis
    using assms Cons
    by (cases int-eq x1a 0) auto
qed auto
qed auto

```

```

lemma run-one-step-basic-br-result:
  assumes run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,(Br x8)) = (s', vs', res)
  shows  $\exists r \text{ vrs. } \text{res} = \text{RSBreak } r \text{ vrs}$ 
  using assms
  by (cases ves) auto

```

```

lemma run-one-step-basic-br-if-result:
  assumes run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,(Br-if x9)) = (s', vs', res)
  shows  $(\exists r. \text{res} = \text{RSNormal } r) \vee (\exists e. \text{res} = \text{RSCrash } e)$ 
  using assms
proof (cases ves)
  case (Cons a list)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms
proof (cases a)
  case (ConstInt32 x1)

```

```

thus ?thesis
using assms Cons
by (cases int-eq x1 0) auto
qed auto
qed auto

```

```

lemma run-one-step-basic-br-table-result:
assumes run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,$Br-table js j) = (s', vs', res)
shows  $(\exists r. \text{res} = \text{RSNormal } r) \vee (\exists e. \text{res} = \text{RSCrash } e)$ 
using assms
proof (cases ves)
case (Cons a list)
thus ?thesis
using assms
proof (cases a)
case (ConstInt32 x1)
thus ?thesis
using assms Cons
by (cases nat-of-int x1 < length js) auto
qed auto
qed auto

```

```

lemma run-one-step-basic-return-result:
assumes run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,$Return) = (s', vs', res)
shows  $\exists \text{vrs}. \text{res} = \text{RSReturn } \text{vrs}$ 
using assms
by (cases ves) auto

```

```

lemma run-one-step-basic-call-result:
assumes run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,$Call x12) = (s', vs', res)
shows  $\exists r. \text{res} = \text{RSNormal } r$ 
using assms
by (cases ves) auto

```

```

lemma run-one-step-basic-call-indirect-result:
assumes run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,$Call-indirect x13) = (s', vs', res)
shows  $(\exists r. \text{res} = \text{RSNormal } r) \vee (\exists e. \text{res} = \text{RSCrash } e)$ 
using assms
proof (cases ves)
case (Cons a list)
thus ?thesis
using assms
proof (cases a)
case (ConstInt32 x1)
thus ?thesis
using Cons assms
proof (cases stab s i (nat-of-int x1))
case (Some cl)
thus ?thesis

```

```

    using Cons assms ConstInt32
  by (cases cl; cases stypes s i x13 = cl-type cl) auto
qed auto
qed auto
qed auto

```

```

lemma run-one-step-basic-get-local-result:
  assumes run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,$Get-local x14) = (s', vs', res)
  shows (∃ r. res = RSNormal r) ∨ (∃ e. res = RSCrash e)
  using assms
  by (cases x14 < length vs) auto

```

```

lemma run-one-step-basic-set-local-result:
  assumes run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,$Set-local x15) = (s', vs', res)
  shows (∃ r. res = RSNormal r) ∨ (∃ e. res = RSCrash e)
  using assms
  by (cases ves; cases x15 < length vs) auto

```

```

lemma run-one-step-basic-tee-local-result:
  assumes run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,$Tee-local x16) = (s', vs', res)
  shows (∃ r. res = RSNormal r) ∨ (∃ e. res = RSCrash e)
  using assms
  by (cases ves) auto

```

```

lemma run-one-step-basic-get-global-result:
  assumes run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,$Get-global x17) = (s', vs', res)
  shows (∃ r. res = RSNormal r) ∨ (∃ e. res = RSCrash e)
  using assms
  by auto

```

```

lemma run-one-step-basic-set-global-result:
  assumes run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,$Set-global x18) = (s', vs', res)
  shows (∃ r. res = RSNormal r) ∨ (∃ e. res = RSCrash e)
  using assms
  by (cases ves) auto

```

```

lemma run-one-step-basic-load-result:
  assumes run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,$Load x191 x192 x193 x194) = (s', vs', res)
  shows (∃ r. res = RSNormal r) ∨ (∃ e. res = RSCrash e)
proof (cases x192)
  case None
  thus ?thesis
    using assms
  proof (cases ves)
    case (Cons a list)
    thus ?thesis
      using assms None
  proof (cases smem-ind s i; cases a)
    fix aa x1

```

```

    assume smem-ind s i = Some aa and a = ConstInt32 x1
  thus ?thesis
    using assms None Cons
  by (cases load (s.mem s ! aa) (nat-of-int x1) x194 (t-length x191)) auto
qed auto
qed auto
next
case (Some a)
thus ?thesis
  using assms
proof (cases ves)
  case (Cons a' list)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms Some
  proof (cases smem-ind s i; cases a; cases a')
    fix aa x y x1
    assume smem-ind s i = Some aa and a = (x, y) and a' = ConstInt32 x1
    thus ?thesis
      using assms Some Cons
    by (cases load-packed y (s.mem s ! aa) (nat-of-int x1) x194 (tp-length x
(t-length x191))) auto
    qed auto
  qed auto
qed
qed

lemma run-one-step-basic-store-result:
  assumes run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,$Store x201 x202 x203 x204) = (s', vs', res)
  shows (∃ r. res = RSNormal r) ∨ (∃ e. res = RSCrash e)
proof (cases x202)
  case None
  thus ?thesis
    using assms
  proof (cases ves)
    case (Cons a list)
    note outer-Cons = Cons
    thus ?thesis
      using assms None
    proof (cases list)
      case (Cons a' list')
      thus ?thesis
        using assms None outer-Cons
    proof (cases a'; cases types-agree x201 a; cases smem-ind s i)
      fix k aa
      assume a' = ConstInt32 k and types-agree x201 a and smem-ind s i =
Some aa
      thus ?thesis
        using assms None outer-Cons Cons
      by (cases store (s.mem s ! aa) (nat-of-int k) x204 (bits a) (t-length x201))
auto

```

```

      qed auto
    qed auto
  qed auto
next
case (Some a'')
thus ?thesis
  using assms
proof (cases ves)
  case (Cons a list)
  note outer-Cons = Cons
  thus ?thesis
    using assms Some
  proof (cases list)
    case (Cons a' list')
    thus ?thesis
      using assms Some outer-Cons
  proof (cases a'; cases types-agree x201 a; cases smem-ind s i)
    fix k aa
    assume a' = ConstInt32 k and types-agree x201 a and smem-ind s i =
Some aa
    thus ?thesis
      using assms Some outer-Cons Cons
    by (cases store-packed (s.mem s ! aa) (nat-of-int k) x204 (bits a) (tp-length
a'')) auto
  qed auto
  qed auto
  qed auto
qed

```

lemma *run-one-step-basic-current-memory-result:*
assumes *run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,\$Current-memory) = (s', vs', res)*
shows $(\exists r. res = RSNormal r) \vee (\exists e. res = RSCrash e)$
using *assms*
by *(cases smem-ind s i) auto*

lemma *run-one-step-basic-grow-memory-result:*
assumes *run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,\$Grow-memory) = (s', vs', res)*
shows $(\exists r. res = RSNormal r) \vee (\exists e. res = RSCrash e)$
using *assms*
proof *(cases ves)*
 case (Cons a list)
 thus ?thesis
 using assms
 proof (cases a; cases smem-ind s i)
 fix c a'
 assume a = ConstInt32 c and smem-ind s i = Some a'
 thus ?thesis
 using assms Cons
 by (cases mem-grow-impl (s.mem s ! a') (nat-of-int c)) auto

qed *auto*
qed *auto*

lemma *run-one-step-basic-const-result*:
 assumes *run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,\$EConst x23) = (s', vs', res)*
 shows $\exists e. res = RSCrash e$
 using *assms*
 by *auto*

lemma *run-one-step-basic-unop-i-result*:
 assumes *run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,\$Unop-i x241 x242) = (s', vs', res)*
 shows $(\exists r. res = RSNormal r) \vee (\exists e. res = RSCrash e)$
 using *assms*
proof (*cases ves*)
 case (*Cons a list*)
 thus *?thesis*
 using *assms*
 by (*cases x241; cases a*) *auto*
qed (*cases x241; auto*)

lemma *run-one-step-basic-unop-f-result*:
 assumes *run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,\$Unop-f x251 x252) = (s', vs', res)*
 shows $(\exists r. res = RSNormal r) \vee (\exists e. res = RSCrash e)$
 using *assms*
proof (*cases ves*)
 case (*Cons a list*)
 thus *?thesis*
 using *assms*
 by (*cases x251; cases a*) *auto*
qed (*cases x251; auto*)

lemma *run-one-step-basic-binop-i-result*:
 assumes *run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,\$Binop-i x261 x262) = (s', vs', res)*
 shows $(\exists r. res = RSNormal r) \vee (\exists e. res = RSCrash e)$
 using *assms*
proof (*cases ves*)
 case (*Cons a list*)
 note *outer-Cons = Cons*
 thus *?thesis*
 using *assms*
 proof (*cases list*)
 case (*Cons a' list'*)
 thus *?thesis*
 using *assms outer-Cons*
 proof (*cases x261; cases a; cases a'*)
 fix *x1 x2*
 assume *x261 = T-i32 a = ConstInt32 x1 and a' = ConstInt32 x2*
 thus *?thesis*
 using *assms outer-Cons Cons*

```

    by (cases app-binop-i x262 x2 x1) auto
next
fix x1 x2
assume x261 = T-i64 a = ConstInt64 x1 and a' = ConstInt64 x2
thus ?thesis
    using assms outer-Cons Cons
    by (cases app-binop-i x262 x2 x1) auto
qed auto
qed (cases x261; cases a; auto)
qed (cases x261; auto)

lemma run-one-step-basic-binop-f-result:
  assumes run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,$Binop-f x271 x272) = (s', vs', res)
  shows (∃ r. res = RSNormal r) ∨ (∃ e. res = RSCrash e)
  using assms
proof (cases ves)
case (Cons a list)
note outer-Cons = Cons
thus ?thesis
  using assms
proof (cases list)
case (Cons a' list')
thus ?thesis
  using assms outer-Cons
proof (cases x271; cases a; cases a')
fix x1 x2
assume x271 = T-f32 a = ConstFloat32 x1 and a' = ConstFloat32 x2
thus ?thesis
  using assms outer-Cons Cons
  by (cases app-binop-f x272 x2 x1) auto
next
fix x1 x2
assume x271 = T-f64 a = ConstFloat64 x1 and a' = ConstFloat64 x2
thus ?thesis
  using assms outer-Cons Cons
  by (cases app-binop-f x272 x2 x1) auto
qed auto
qed (cases x271; cases a; auto)
qed (cases x271; auto)

lemma run-one-step-basic-testop-result:
  assumes run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,$Testop x281 x282) = (s', vs', res)
  shows (∃ r. res = RSNormal r) ∨ (∃ e. res = RSCrash e)
  using assms
proof (cases ves)
case (Cons a list)
thus ?thesis
  using assms
  by (cases x281; cases a) auto

```

```

qed (cases x281; auto)

lemma run-one-step-basic-relop-i-result:
  assumes run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,$Relop-i x291 x292) = (s', vs', res)
  shows ( $\exists r. res = RSNormal r$ )  $\vee$  ( $\exists e. res = RSCrash e$ )
  using assms
proof (cases ves)
  case (Cons a list)
  note outer-Cons = Cons
  thus ?thesis
    using assms
  proof (cases list)
  case (Cons a' list')
  thus ?thesis
    using assms outer-Cons
  proof (cases x291; cases a; cases a')
  fix x1 x2
  assume x291 = T-i32 a = ConstInt32 x1 and a' = ConstInt32 x2
  thus ?thesis
    using assms outer-Cons Cons
    by (cases app-relop-i x292 x2 x1) auto
  next
  fix x1 x2
  assume x291 = T-i64 a = ConstInt64 x1 and a' = ConstInt64 x2
  thus ?thesis
    using assms outer-Cons Cons
    by (cases app-relop-i x292 x2 x1) auto
  qed auto
qed (cases x291; cases a; auto)
qed (cases x291; auto)

lemma run-one-step-basic-relop-f-result:
  assumes run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,$Relop-f x301 x302) = (s', vs', res)
  shows ( $\exists r. res = RSNormal r$ )  $\vee$  ( $\exists e. res = RSCrash e$ )
  using assms
proof (cases ves)
  case (Cons a list)
  note outer-Cons = Cons
  thus ?thesis
    using assms
  proof (cases list)
  case (Cons a' list')
  thus ?thesis
    using assms outer-Cons
  proof (cases x301; cases a; cases a')
  fix x1 x2
  assume x301 = T-f32 a = ConstFloat32 x1 and a' = ConstFloat32 x2
  thus ?thesis
    using assms outer-Cons Cons

```



```

    by (cases app-relop-f x302 x2 x1) auto
  next
  fix x1 x2
  assume x301 = T-f64 a = ConstFloat64 x1 and a' = ConstFloat64 x2
  thus ?thesis
    using assms outer-Cons Cons
  by (cases app-relop-f x302 x2 x1) auto
qed auto
qed (cases x301; cases a; auto)
qed (cases x301; auto)

```

```

lemma run-one-step-basic-cvtop-result:
  assumes run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,$Cvtop t2 x312 t1 sx) = (s', vs', res)
  shows (∃ r. res = RSNormal r) ∨ (∃ e. res = RSCrash e)
  using assms
proof (cases ves; cases x312)
  fix a ves'
  assume ves = a#ves' and x312 = Convert
  thus ?thesis
    using assms
  by (cases cvt t2 sx a; cases types-agree t1 a) auto
next
  fix a ves'
  assume ves = a#ves' and x312 = Reinterpret
  thus ?thesis
    using assms
  by (cases sx; cases types-agree t1 a) auto
qed auto

```

```

lemma run-one-step-trap-result:
  assumes run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,Trap) = (s', vs', res)
  shows ∃ e. res = RSCrash e
  using assms
  by auto

```

```

lemma run-one-step-callcl-result:
  assumes run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,Callcl cl) = (s', vs', res)
  shows (∃ r. res = RSNormal r) ∨ (∃ e. res = RSCrash e)
proof -
  obtain t1s t2s where cl-type-is:cl-type cl = (t1s -> t2s)
  using tf.exhaust
  by blast
  obtain ves' ves'' where split-n-is:split-n ves (length t1s) = (ves', ves'')
  by fastforce
  show ?thesis
proof (cases cl)
  case (Func-native x11 x12 x13 x14)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms cl-type-is split-n-is

```

```

    unfolding cl-type-def
    by (cases length t1s ≤ length ves) auto
next
case (Func-host x21 x22)
show ?thesis
proof (cases host-apply-impl s (t1s -> t2s) x22 (rev ves'))
case None
thus ?thesis
using assms cl-type-is split-n-is Func-host
unfolding cl-type-def
by (cases length t1s ≤ length ves) auto
next
case (Some a)
thus ?thesis
proof (cases a)
case (Pair s' vcs^ )
thus ?thesis
using assms cl-type-is split-n-is Func-host Some
unfolding cl-type-def
by (cases length t1s ≤ length ves; cases list-all2 types-agree t2s vcs') auto
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *run-one-step-label-result*:

```

assumes run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,Label x41 x42 x43) = (s', vs', res)
shows (∃ r. res = RSNormal r) ∨ (∃ r rvs. res = RSBreak r rvs) ∨ (∃ rvs. res =
RSReturn rvs) ∨ (∃ e. res = RSCrash e)
using assms
by (cases res) auto

```

lemma *run-one-step-local-result*:

```

assumes run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,Local x51 x52 x53 x54) = (s', vs', res)
shows (∃ r. res = RSNormal r) ∨ (∃ e. res = RSCrash e)
using assms
proof (cases x54 = [Trap])
case False
note outer-False = False
thus ?thesis
proof (cases const-list x54)
case True
thus ?thesis
using assms outer-False
by (cases length x54 = x51) auto
next
case False
thus ?thesis
using assms outer-False

```

```

proof (cases d)
  case (Suc d')
  obtain s' vs' res where rs-def:run-step d' x52 (s, x53, x54) = (s', vs', res)
  by (metis surj-pair)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms outer-False False Suc
  proof (cases res)
    case (RSReturn x3)
    thus ?thesis
      using assms outer-False False rs-def Suc
      by (cases x51 ≤ length x3) auto
  qed auto
qed auto
qed
qed auto

```

```

lemma run-one-step-break:
  assumes run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,e) = (s', vs', RSBreak n res)
  shows (e = $Br n) ∨ (∃ n les es. e = Label n les es)
proof (cases e)
  case (Basic x1)
  thus ?thesis
  proof (cases x1)
    case Unreachable
    thus ?thesis
      using run-one-step-basic-unreachable-result assms Basic
      by fastforce
  next
    case Nop
    thus ?thesis
      using assms Basic
      by fastforce
  next
    case Drop
    thus ?thesis
      using run-one-step-basic-drop-result assms Basic
      by fastforce
  next
    case Select
    thus ?thesis
      using run-one-step-basic-select-result assms Basic
      by fastforce
  next
    case (Block x51 x52)
    thus ?thesis
      using run-one-step-basic-block-result assms Basic
      by fastforce
  next
    case (Loop x61 x62)

```

```

thus ?thesis
  using run-one-step-basic-loop-result assms Basic
  by fastforce
next
case (If x71 x72 x73)
thus ?thesis
  using run-one-step-basic-if-result assms Basic
  by fastforce
next
case (Br x8)
thus ?thesis
  using run-one-step-basic-br-result assms Basic
  by fastforce
next
case (Br-if x9)
thus ?thesis
  using run-one-step-basic-br-if-result assms Basic
  by fastforce
next
case (Br-table x10)
thus ?thesis
  using run-one-step-basic-br-table-result assms Basic
  by fastforce
next
case Return
thus ?thesis
  using run-one-step-basic-return-result assms Basic
  by fastforce
next
case (Call x12)
thus ?thesis
  using run-one-step-basic-call-result assms Basic
  by fastforce
next
case (Call-indirect x13)
thus ?thesis
  using run-one-step-basic-call-indirect-result assms Basic
  by fastforce
next
case (Get-local x14)
thus ?thesis
  using run-one-step-basic-get-local-result assms Basic
  by fastforce
next
case (Set-local x15)
thus ?thesis
  using run-one-step-basic-set-local-result assms Basic
  by fastforce
next

```

```

case (Tee-local x16)
thus ?thesis
  using run-one-step-basic-tee-local-result assms Basic
  by fastforce
next
case (Get-global x17)
thus ?thesis
  using assms Basic
  by fastforce
next
case (Set-global x18)
thus ?thesis
  using run-one-step-basic-set-global-result assms Basic
  by fastforce
next
case (Load x191 x192 x193 x194)
thus ?thesis
  using run-one-step-basic-load-result assms Basic
  by fastforce
next
case (Store x201 x202 x203 x204)
thus ?thesis
  using run-one-step-basic-store-result assms Basic
  by fastforce
next
case Current-memory
thus ?thesis
  using run-one-step-basic-current-memory-result assms Basic
  by fastforce
next
case Grow-memory
thus ?thesis
  using run-one-step-basic-grow-memory-result assms Basic
  by fastforce
next
case (EConst x23)
thus ?thesis
  using assms Basic
  by fastforce
next
case (Unop-i x241 x242)
thus ?thesis
  using run-one-step-basic-unop-i-result assms Basic
  by fastforce
next
case (Unop-f x251 x252)
thus ?thesis
  using run-one-step-basic-unop-f-result assms Basic
  by fastforce

```

```

next
  case (Binop-i x261 x262)
  thus ?thesis
    using run-one-step-basic-binop-i-result assms Basic
    by fastforce
next
  case (Binop-f x271 x272)
  thus ?thesis
    using run-one-step-basic-binop-f-result assms Basic
    by fastforce
next
  case (Testop x281 x282)
  thus ?thesis
    using run-one-step-basic-testop-result assms Basic
    by fastforce
next
  case (Relop-i x291 x292)
  thus ?thesis
    using run-one-step-basic-relop-i-result assms Basic
    by fastforce
next
  case (Relop-f x301 x302)
  thus ?thesis
    using run-one-step-basic-relop-f-result assms Basic
    by fastforce
next
  case (Cvtop x311 x312 x313 x314)
  thus ?thesis
    using run-one-step-basic-cvtop-result assms Basic
    by fastforce
qed
next
  case Trap
  thus ?thesis
    using assms
    by auto
next
  case (Callcl x3)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms run-one-step-callcl-result
    by fastforce
next
  case (Label x41 x42 x43)
  thus ?thesis
    by auto
next
  case (Local x51 x52 x53 x54)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms run-one-step-local-result

```

```

    by fastforce
qed

lemma run-one-step-return:
  assumes run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,e) = (s', vs', RSReturn res)
  shows (e = $Return)  $\vee$  ( $\exists n$  les es. e = Label n les es)
proof (cases e)
  case (Basic x1)
  thus ?thesis
  proof (cases x1)
    case Unreachable
    thus ?thesis
      using run-one-step-basic-unreachable-result assms Basic
      by fastforce
  next
  case Nop
  thus ?thesis
    using assms Basic
    by fastforce
  next
  case Drop
  thus ?thesis
    using run-one-step-basic-drop-result assms Basic
    by fastforce
  next
  case Select
  thus ?thesis
    using run-one-step-basic-select-result assms Basic
    by fastforce
  next
  case (Block x51 x52)
  thus ?thesis
    using run-one-step-basic-block-result assms Basic
    by fastforce
  next
  case (Loop x61 x62)
  thus ?thesis
    using run-one-step-basic-loop-result assms Basic
    by fastforce
  next
  case (If x71 x72 x73)
  thus ?thesis
    using run-one-step-basic-if-result assms Basic
    by fastforce
  next
  case (Br x8)
  thus ?thesis
    using run-one-step-basic-br-result assms Basic
    by fastforce

```

```

next
  case (Br-if x9)
  thus ?thesis
    using run-one-step-basic-br-if-result assms Basic
    by fastforce
next
  case (Br-table x10)
  thus ?thesis
    using run-one-step-basic-br-table-result assms Basic
    by fastforce
next
  case Return
  thus ?thesis
    using run-one-step-basic-return-result assms Basic
    by fastforce
next
  case (Call x12)
  thus ?thesis
    using run-one-step-basic-call-result assms Basic
    by fastforce
next
  case (Call-indirect x13)
  thus ?thesis
    using run-one-step-basic-call-indirect-result assms Basic
    by fastforce
next
  case (Get-local x14)
  thus ?thesis
    using run-one-step-basic-get-local-result assms Basic
    by fastforce
next
  case (Set-local x15)
  thus ?thesis
    using run-one-step-basic-set-local-result assms Basic
    by fastforce
next
  case (Tee-local x16)
  thus ?thesis
    using run-one-step-basic-tee-local-result assms Basic
    by fastforce
next
  case (Get-global x17)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms Basic
    by fastforce
next
  case (Set-global x18)
  thus ?thesis
    using run-one-step-basic-set-global-result assms Basic

```



```

    by fastforce
next
case (Load x191 x192 x193 x194)
thus ?thesis
using run-one-step-basic-load-result assms Basic
by fastforce
next
case (Store x201 x202 x203 x204)
thus ?thesis
using run-one-step-basic-store-result assms Basic
by fastforce
next
case Current-memory
thus ?thesis
using run-one-step-basic-current-memory-result assms Basic
by fastforce
next
case Grow-memory
thus ?thesis
using run-one-step-basic-grow-memory-result assms Basic
by fastforce
next
case (EConst x23)
thus ?thesis
using assms Basic
by fastforce
next
case (Unop-i x241 x242)
thus ?thesis
using run-one-step-basic-unop-i-result assms Basic
by fastforce
next
case (Unop-f x251 x252)
thus ?thesis
using run-one-step-basic-unop-f-result assms Basic
by fastforce
next
case (Binop-i x261 x262)
thus ?thesis
using run-one-step-basic-binop-i-result assms Basic
by fastforce
next
case (Binop-f x271 x272)
thus ?thesis
using run-one-step-basic-binop-f-result assms Basic
by fastforce
next
case (Testop x281 x282)
thus ?thesis

```

```

    using run-one-step-basic-testop-result assms Basic
  by fastforce
next
case (Relop-i x291 x292)
thus ?thesis
  using run-one-step-basic-relop-i-result assms Basic
  by fastforce
next
case (Relop-f x301 x302)
thus ?thesis
  using run-one-step-basic-relop-f-result assms Basic
  by fastforce
next
case (Cvtop x311 x312 x313 x314)
thus ?thesis
  using run-one-step-basic-cvtop-result assms Basic
  by fastforce
qed
next
case Trap
thus ?thesis
  using assms
  by auto
next
case (Callcl x3)
thus ?thesis
  using assms run-one-step-callcl-result
  by fastforce
next
case (Label x41 x42 x43)
thus ?thesis
  by auto
next
case (Local x51 x52 x53 x54)
thus ?thesis
  using assms run-one-step-local-result
  by fastforce
qed

lemma run-step-break-imp-not-trap-const-list:
  assumes run-step d i (s, vs, es) = (s', vs', RSBreak n res)
  shows es ≠ [Trap] ¬const-list es
proof -
{
  assume es = [Trap]
  hence False
  using assms
  by simp
}

```

```

thus  $es \neq [Trap]$ 
  by blast
  {
    assume const-list es
    then obtain  $vs$  where  $split\text{-}vals\text{-}e\ es = (vs, [])$ 
      using split-vals-e-const-list e-type-const-conv-vs
      by fastforce
    hence False
      using assms
      by simp
  }
thus  $\neg const\text{-}list\ es$ 
  by blast
qed

```

```

lemma run-step-return-imp-not-trap-const-list:
  assumes  $run\text{-}step\ d\ i\ (s, vs, es) = (s', vs', RSReturn\ res)$ 
  shows  $es \neq [Trap] \neg const\text{-}list\ es$ 
proof -
  {
    assume  $es = [Trap]$ 
    hence False
      using assms
      by simp
  }
thus  $es \neq [Trap]$ 
  by blast
  {
    assume const-list es
    then obtain  $vs$  where  $split\text{-}vals\text{-}e\ es = (vs, [])$ 
      using split-vals-e-const-list e-type-const-conv-vs
      by fastforce
    hence False
      using assms
      by simp
  }
thus  $\neg const\text{-}list\ es$ 
  by blast
qed

```

```

lemma run-one-step-label-break-imp-break:
  assumes  $run\text{-}one\text{-}step\ d\ i\ (s, vs, ves, Label\ ln\ les\ es) = (s', vs', RSBreak\ n\ res)$ 
  shows  $run\text{-}step\ d\ i\ (s, vs, es) = (s', vs', RSBreak\ (Suc\ n)\ res)$ 
  using assms
proof (cases es = [Trap]; cases const-list es)
  assume local-assms: es  $\neq [Trap] \neg const\text{-}list\ es$ 
  obtain  $s''\ vs''\ res''$  where rs-def: run-step d i (s, vs, es) = (s'', vs'', res'')
  by (metis surj-pair)
  thus ?thesis

```

```

using assms local-assms
proof (cases res'')
  case (RSBreak x21 x22)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms local-assms rs-def
    by (cases x21; cases ln ≤ length x22) auto
qed auto
qed auto

```

lemma *run-one-step-label-return-imp-return:*
assumes *run-one-step d i (s, vs, ves, Label n les es) = (s', vs', RSReturn res)*
shows *run-step d i (s, vs, es) = (s', vs', RSReturn res)*
using *assms*
proof (*cases es = [Trap]; cases const-list es*)
assume *local-assms: es ≠ [Trap] ¬const-list es*
obtain *s'' vs'' res''* **where** *rs-def: run-step d i (s, vs, es) = (s'', vs'', res'')*
by (*metis surj-pair*)
thus *?thesis*
using *assms local-assms*
proof (*cases res''*)
case (*RSBreak x21 x22*)
thus *?thesis*
using *assms local-assms rs-def*
by (*cases x21; cases n ≤ length x22*) *auto*
qed *auto*
qed *auto*
thm *run-step-run-one-step.induct*

definition *run-step-break-imp-lfilled-prop* **where**
run-step-break-imp-lfilled-prop s' vs' n res =
 $(\lambda d i (s, vs, es). (\text{run-step } d \ i \ (s, vs, es) = (s', vs', \text{RSBreak } n \ res)) \longrightarrow$
 $s = s' \wedge vs = vs' \wedge$
 $(\exists n' \text{ lfilled } es\text{-c}. n' \geq n \wedge \text{Lfilled-exact } (n' - n) \text{ lfilled } ((vs\text{-to-es } res) @ [\text{\$Br } n'] @ es\text{-c } es))$

definition *run-one-step-break-imp-lfilled-prop* **where**
run-one-step-break-imp-lfilled-prop s' vs' n res =
 $(\lambda d i (s, vs, ves, e). \text{run-one-step } d \ i \ (s, vs, ves, e) = (s', vs', \text{RSBreak } n \ res) \longrightarrow$
 $s = s' \wedge vs = vs' \wedge ((res = ves \wedge e = \text{\$Br } n) \vee (\exists n' \text{ lfilled } es\text{-c } es \text{ les' } ln.$
 $n' > n \wedge \text{Lfilled-exact } (n' - (n + 1)) \text{ lfilled } ((vs\text{-to-es } res) @ [\text{\$Br } n'] @ es\text{-c } es \wedge e$
 $= \text{Label } ln \text{ les' } es)))$

lemma *run-step-break-imp-lfilled:*
assumes *run-step d i (s, vs, es) = (s', vs', RSBreak n res)*
shows $s = s' \wedge$
 $vs = vs' \wedge$
 $(\exists n' \text{ lfilled } es\text{-c}. n' \geq n \wedge$
 $\text{Lfilled-exact } (n' - n) \text{ lfilled } ((vs\text{-to-es } res) @ [\text{\$Br } n'] @ es\text{-c}))$

es)
proof –
fix $ves\ e$
have $(run\ step\ break\ imp\ lfilled\ prop\ s'\ vs'\ n\ res)\ d\ i\ (s,vs,es)$
and $(run\ one\ step\ break\ imp\ lfilled\ prop\ s'\ vs'\ n\ res)\ d\ i\ (s,vs,ves,e)$
proof $(induction\ d\ i\ (s,vs,es)\ \mathbf{and}\ d\ i\ (s,vs,ves,e)\ \mathit{arbitrary}: n\ es\ \mathbf{and}\ n\ ves\ e)$
rule: run-step-run-one-step.induct
case $(1\ d\ i\ es)$
{
assume $local\ assms:run\ step\ d\ i\ (s,vs,es) = (s', vs', RSBreak\ n\ res)$
obtain $ves\ es'$ **where** $split\ vals\ es:split\ vals\ e\ es = (ves, es')$
by $(metis\ surj\ pair)$
then obtain $a\ as$ **where** $es'\text{-def}:es' = a\#\ as$
using $local\ assms$
by $(cases\ es')\ auto$
hence $a\text{-def}:a \neq Trap$
using $local\ assms\ split\ vals\ es$
by $(cases\ a = Trap; cases\ (as \neq [] \vee ves \neq []))\ simp\ all$
obtain $s''\ vs''\ res''$ **where** $run\ one\ step\ d\ i\ (s,vs,(rev\ ves),a) = (s'', vs'', res'')$
by $(metis\ surj\ pair)$
hence $ros\ def:run\ one\ step\ d\ i\ (s,vs,(rev\ ves),a) = (s', vs', RSBreak\ n\ res)$
using $local\ assms\ split\ vals\ es\ es'\text{-def}\ a\text{-def}$
by $(cases\ res'')\ (auto\ simp\ del: run\ one\ step.simps)$
hence $run\ one\ step\ break\ imp\ lfilled\ prop\ s'\ vs'\ n\ res\ d\ i\ (s, vs, rev\ ves, a)$
using $1\ split\ vals\ es\ a\text{-def}\ es'\text{-def}$
by $fastforce$
then obtain $n'\ lfilled\ es\text{-c}\ les\ les'\ ln$ **where**
 $s = s'\ vs = vs'$
 $((res = (rev\ ves) \wedge a = \$Br\ n) \vee$
 $n' > n \wedge (Lfilled\ exact\ (n'-(n+1))\ lfilled\ ((vs\ to\ es\ res) @ [\$Br\ n'] @$
 $es\text{-c})\ les \wedge a = Label\ ln\ les'\ les))$
using $ros\ def$
unfolding $run\ one\ step\ break\ imp\ lfilled\ prop\ def$
by $fastforce$
then consider
 $(1)\ s = s'\ vs = vs'\ res = (rev\ ves)\ a = \$Br\ n$
 $| (2)\ s = s'\ vs = vs'\ n' > n\ Lfilled\ exact\ (n'-(n+1))\ lfilled\ ((vs\ to\ es\ res) @$
 $[\$Br\ n'] @ es\text{-c})\ les\ a = Label\ ln\ les'\ les$
by $blast$
hence $s = s' \wedge vs = vs' \wedge$
 $(\exists n'\ lfilled\ es\text{-c}.\ n' \geq n \wedge Lfilled\ exact\ (n'-n)\ lfilled\ ((vs\ to\ es\ res) @$
 $[\$Br\ n'] @ es\text{-c})\ es)$
proof $cases$
case 1
thus $?thesis$
using $es'\text{-def}\ split\ vals\ e\ conv\ app[OF\ split\ vals\ es]\ Lfilled\ exact.intros(1)$
is\ const\ list[of\ -\ ves]
by $fastforce$
next

```

    case 2
  have test:const-list ( $\$ \$ * ves$ )
    using is-const-list
    by auto
  have (Suc ( $n' - Suc n$ )) =  $n' - n$ 
    using 2(3)
    by simp
  thus ?thesis
    using 2(1,2,3,5) Lfilled-exact.intros(2)[OF test - 2(4), of - ln les' as] es'-def
    split-vals-e-conv-app[OF split-vals-es]
    by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 append-Cons append-Nil less-imp-le-nat)
  qed
}
thus ?case
  unfolding run-step-break-imp-lfilled-prop-def
  by fastforce
next
case (2 d i ves e)
{
  assume local-assms:run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,e) = (s', vs', RSBreak n res)
  consider (a) e =  $\$ Br n$  | (b) ( $\exists n les es. e = Label n les es$ )
    using run-one-step-break[OF local-assms]
    by blast
  hence  $s = s' \wedge vs = vs' \wedge ((res = ves \wedge e = \$ Br n) \vee (\exists n' lfilled es-c es les'$ 
  ln.  $n' > n \wedge Lfilled-exact (n'-(n+1)) lfilled ((vs-to-es res) @ [\$ Br n'] @ es-c) es$ 
   $\wedge e = Label ln les' es)$ )
  proof cases
    case a
    thus ?thesis
      using local-assms
      by simp
  next
  case b
  then obtain ln les es where e-def:e = Label ln les es
    by blast
  hence run-one-step d i (s, vs, ves, Label ln les es) = (s', vs', RSBreak n res)
    using local-assms by simp
  hence rs-def:run-step d i (s, vs, es) = (s', vs', RSBreak (Suc n) res)
    using run-one-step-label-break-imp-break
    by fastforce
  hence run-step-break-imp-lfilled-prop s' vs' (Suc n) res d i (s, vs, es)
    using 2(1)[OF e-def - run-step-break-imp-not-trap-const-list(2)]
    by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
    using e-def rs-def
    unfolding run-step-break-imp-lfilled-prop-def
    by fastforce
  qed
}

```

thus *?case*
unfolding *run-one-step-break-imp-lfilled-prop-def*
by *fastforce*
qed
thus *?thesis*
using *assms*
unfolding *run-step-break-imp-lfilled-prop-def*
by *fastforce*
qed

lemma *run-step-return-imp-lfilled:*
assumes *run-step d i (s,vs,es) = (s', vs', RSReturn res)*
shows *s = s' ∧ vs = vs' ∧ (∃ n lfilled es-c. Lfilled-exact n lfilled ((vs-to-es res) @ [\$Return] @ es-c) es)*
proof –
fix *ves e*
have *(run-step d i (s,vs,es) = (s', vs', RSReturn res)) ⇒*
s = s' ∧ vs = vs' ∧ (∃ n lfilled es-c. Lfilled-exact n lfilled ((vs-to-es res) @ [\$Return] @ es-c) es)
and *(run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,e) = (s', vs', RSReturn res)) ⇒*
s = s' ∧ vs = vs' ∧
((res = ves ∧ e = \$Return) ∨
(∃ n lfilled ves es-c es n' les'. Lfilled-exact n lfilled ((vs-to-es res) @ [\$Return] @ es-c) es ∧
e = Label n' les' es))
proof *(induction d i (s,vs,es) and d i (s,vs,ves,e) arbitrary: s vs es s' vs' res and s vs ves e s' vs' res rule: run-step-run-one-step.induct)*
case *(1 d i s vs es)*
obtain *ves es' where split-vals-es:split-vals-e es = (ves, es')*
by *(metis surj-pair)*
then obtain *a as where es'-def:es' = a#as*
using *1(2)*
by *(cases es') auto*
hence *a-def:¬ e-is-trap a*
using *1(2) split-vals-es*
by *(cases a = Trap; cases (as ≠ [] ∨ ves ≠ [])) simp-all*
obtain *s'' vs'' res'' where run-one-step d i (s,vs,(rev ves),a) = (s'', vs'', res'')*
by *(metis surj-pair)*
hence *ros-def:run-one-step d i (s,vs,(rev ves),a) = (s', vs', RSReturn res)*
using *1(2) split-vals-es es'-def a-def*
by *(cases res'') (auto simp del: run-one-step.simps)*
obtain *n lfilled les-c les n' les' where*
s = s' vs = vs'
(res = rev ves ∧ a = \$Return) ∨ (Lfilled-exact n lfilled ((vs-to-es res) @ [\$Return] @ les-c) les ∧ a = Label n' les' les)
using *1(1)[OF split-vals-es[symmetric] - es'-def a-def ros-def]*
by *fastforce*
then consider
(1) s = s' vs = vs' res = rev ves a = \$Return

```

| (2)  $s = s' \text{ vs} = \text{vs}'$  ( $L\text{filled-exact } n \text{ lfilled } ((\text{vs-to-es } \text{res}) @ [\text{\$Return}] @ \text{les-c})$ 
les) ( $a = \text{Label } n' \text{ les}' \text{ les}$ )
  by blast
thus ?case
proof cases
  case 1
  thus ?thesis
    using  $\text{es}'\text{-def split-vals-e-conv-app}[OF \text{split-vals-es}] L\text{filled-exact.intros}(1)$ 
is-const-list[of - ves]
    by fastforce
next
  case 2
  have const-list ( $\text{\$}\text{\$}\text{* ves}$ )
    using is-const-list
    by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
    using 2  $L\text{filled-exact.intros}(2)$   $\text{es}'\text{-def split-vals-e-conv-app}[OF \text{split-vals-es}]$ 
    by fastforce
qed
next
  case ( $2 \text{ d } i \text{ s } \text{vs } \text{ves } e \text{ s}' \text{ vs}'$ )
  consider (a)  $e = \text{\$Return}$  | (b) ( $\exists n \text{ les } \text{es}. e = \text{Label } n \text{ les } \text{es}$ )
    using run-one-step-return[OF 2(3)]
    by blast
  thus ?case
  proof cases
    case a
    thus ?thesis
      using 2(3)
      by simp
    next
    case b
    then obtain  $n \text{ les } \text{es}$  where  $e\text{-def}:e = \text{Label } n \text{ les } \text{es}$ 
      by blast
    hence run-one-step  $d \text{ i } (s, \text{vs}, \text{ves}, \text{Label } n \text{ les } \text{es}) = (s', \text{vs}', \text{RSReturn } \text{res})$ 
      using 2(3) by simp
    hence run-step  $d \text{ i } (s, \text{vs}, \text{es}) = (s', \text{vs}', \text{RSReturn } \text{res})$ 
      using run-one-step-label-return-imp-return
      by fastforce
    thus ?thesis
      using 2(3) 2(1)[OF  $e\text{-def} - \text{run-step-return-imp-not-trap-const-list}(2)$ ]  $e\text{-def}$ 
      by fastforce
  qed
qed
thus ?thesis
  using assms
  by blast
qed

```



```

lemma run-step-basic-unop-testop-sound:
  assumes (run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,$b-e) = (s', vs', RSNormal es'))
            b-e = Unop-i t iop  $\vee$  b-e = Unop-f t fop  $\vee$  b-e = Testop t testop
  shows (s;vs;(vs-to-es ves)@[ $b-e])  $\rightsquigarrow$  i (s';vs';es')
proof –
  consider (1) b-e = Unop-i t iop | (2) b-e = Unop-f t fop | (3) b-e = Testop t testop
  using assms(2)
  by blast
  note b-e-cases = this
  show ?thesis
  using assms(1)
proof (cases ves)
  case (Cons a list)
  thus ?thesis
  using assms(1)
proof (cases a; cases t)
  case (ConstInt32 x1)
  case T-i32
  thus ?thesis
  using assms(1) Cons ConstInt32
  is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev list]
  progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(1)]]
  progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(13)]]
  by (cases rule: b-e-cases) auto
next
  case (ConstInt64 x2)
  case T-i64
  thus ?thesis
  using assms(1) Cons ConstInt64
  is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev list]
  progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(2)]]
  progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(14)]]
  by (cases rule: b-e-cases) auto
next
  case (ConstFloat32 x3)
  case T-f32
  thus ?thesis
  using assms(1) Cons ConstFloat32
  is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev list]
  progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(3)]]
  by (cases rule: b-e-cases) auto
next
  case (ConstFloat64 x4)
  case T-f64
  thus ?thesis
  using assms(1) Cons ConstFloat64
  is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev list]
  progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(4)]]

```

```

    by (cases rule: b-e-cases) auto
  qed (cases rule: b-e-cases; auto)+
  qed (cases rule: b-e-cases; cases t; auto)
qed

```

lemma *run-step-basic-binop-relop-sound*:

```

  assumes (run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,$b-e) = (s', vs', RSNormal es'))
    b-e = Binop-i t iop  $\vee$  b-e = Binop-f t fop  $\vee$  b-e = Relop-i t irop  $\vee$  b-e =
  Relop-f t frop

```

```

  shows ( $\lambda s;vs;(vs\text{-to-es } ves)@[\$b-e]$ )  $\rightsquigarrow$ - i ( $\lambda s';vs';es'$ )

```

proof –

consider

```

  (1) b-e = Binop-i t iop
| (2) b-e = Binop-f t fop
| (3) b-e = Relop-i t irop
| (4) b-e = Relop-f t frop

```

using *assms(2)*

by *blast*

note *b-e-cases = this*

show *?thesis*

using *assms(1)*

proof (*cases ves*)

case *outer-Cons:(Cons v1 list)*

thus *?thesis*

using *assms(1)*

proof (*cases list*)

case (*Cons v2 list'*)

thus *?thesis*

using *assms(1) outer-Cons*

proof (*cases v1; cases v2; cases t*)

fix *c1 c2*

assume *v1 = ConstInt32 c1 and v2 = ConstInt32 c2 and t = T-i32*

thus *?thesis*

using *assms(1) Cons outer-Cons*

is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev list']

progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(5)]]

progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(6)]]

progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(15)]]

by (*cases rule: b-e-cases; cases app-binop-i iop c2 c1*) *auto*

next

fix *c1 c2*

assume *v1 = ConstInt64 c1 and v2 = ConstInt64 c2 and t = T-i64*

thus *?thesis*

using *assms(1) Cons outer-Cons*

is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev list']

progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(7)]]

progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(8)]]

progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(16)]]

by (*cases rule: b-e-cases; cases app-binop-i iop c2 c1*) *auto*

```

next
  fix c1 c2
  assume v1 = ConstFloat32 c1 and v2 = ConstFloat32 c2 and t = T-f32
  thus ?thesis
    using assms(1) Cons outer-Cons
      is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev list]
      progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(9)]]
      progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(10)]]
      progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(17)]]
    by (cases rule: b-e-cases; cases app-binop-f fop c2 c1) auto
next
  fix c1 c2
  assume v1 = ConstFloat64 c1 and v2 = ConstFloat64 c2 and t = T-f64
  thus ?thesis
    using assms(1) Cons outer-Cons
      is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev list]
      progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(11)]]
      progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(12)]]
      progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(18)]]
    by (cases rule: b-e-cases; cases app-binop-f fop c2 c1) auto
  qed (cases rule: b-e-cases; auto)+
  qed (cases rule: b-e-cases; cases t; cases v1; auto)
  qed (cases rule: b-e-cases; cases t; auto)
qed

lemma run-step-basic-sound:
  assumes (run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,$b-e) = (s', vs', RSNormal es'))
  shows (|s;vs;(vs-to-es ves)@[ $b-e ]|)  $\rightsquigarrow$ - i (|s';vs';es'|)
proof -
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases b-e)
    case Unreachable
  thus ?thesis
    using is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev ves]
      progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(22)]]
      assms
    by fastforce
  next
  case Nop
  thus ?thesis
    using is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev ves]
      progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(23)]]
      assms
    by fastforce
  next
  case Drop
  thus ?thesis
    using assms
  proof (cases ves)

```

```

case (Cons a list)
hence vs-to-es ves = vs-to-es list @ [C a]
  by fastforce
thus ?thesis
  using is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev list]
    progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(1)][OF reduce-simple.intros(24)]
    Drop assms Cons
  by auto
qed auto
next
case Select
thus ?thesis
  using assms
proof (cases ves)
  case outer-outer-cons:(Cons a list)
  thus ?thesis
    using Select assms
  proof (cases a; cases list)
    case (ConstInt32 x1a)
    case outer-cons:(Cons a' list')
    thus ?thesis
      using assms outer-outer-cons ConstInt32 Select
    proof (cases list')
      case (Cons a'' list'')
      hence vs-to-es ves = vs-to-es list'' @ [C a'', C a', C ConstInt32 x1a]
        using outer-outer-cons outer-cons ConstInt32
        by fastforce
      thus ?thesis
        using is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev list'']
          progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(1)]
          reduce-simple.intros(25,26)
          assms outer-outer-cons outer-cons Cons ConstInt32 Select
        by (cases int-eq x1a 0) auto
      qed auto
    qed auto
  qed auto
next
case (Block x51 x52)
thus ?thesis
proof (cases x51)
  case (Tf t1s t2s)
  thus ?thesis
    using Block assms
  proof (cases length t1s ≤ length ves; cases split-n ves (length t1s))
  case True
  case (Pair ves' ves'')
  hence vs-to-es ves = vs-to-es ves'' @ vs-to-es ves'
    using split-n-conv-app
    by fastforce

```

```

moreover
  have  $s = s' \text{ vs} = \text{vs}' \text{ es}' = \text{vs-to-es } \text{vs}'' @ [\text{Label } (\text{length } t2s) \ ] (\text{vs-to-es } \text{vs}' @ (\$* x52))$ 
  using Block assms Tf True Pair
  by auto
moreover
  have  $(\{s; \text{vs}; (\text{vs-to-es } \text{vs}'') @ (\text{vs-to-es } \text{vs}') @ [\text{Block } x51 \ x52]\}) \rightsquigarrow\text{-i } (\{s; \text{vs}; (\text{vs-to-es } \text{vs}') @ [\text{Label } (\text{length } t2s) \ ] (\text{vs-to-es } \text{vs}' @ (\$* x52))\})$ 
  using Tf reduce-simple.intros(27) split-n-length[OF Pair True] progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(1)]
    is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev vs'] is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev vs'']
  by fastforce
  ultimately
  show ?thesis
  using Block
  by auto
qed auto
qed
next
case (Loop x61 x62)
thus ?thesis
proof (cases x61)
  case (Tf t1s t2s)
  thus ?thesis
  using Loop assms
proof (cases length t1s ≤ length vs; cases split-n vs (length t1s))
  case True
  case (Pair vs' vs'')
  hence  $\text{vs-to-es } \text{vs} = \text{vs-to-es } \text{vs}'' @ \text{vs-to-es } \text{vs}'$ 
  using split-n-conv-app
  by fastforce
moreover
  have  $s = s' \text{ vs} = \text{vs}' \text{ es}' = \text{vs-to-es } \text{vs}'' @ [\text{Label } (\text{length } t1s) \ ] [\text{Loop } x61 \ x62] (\text{vs-to-es } \text{vs}' @ (\$* x62))$ 
  using Loop assms Tf True Pair
  by auto
moreover
  have  $(\{s; \text{vs}; (\text{vs-to-es } \text{vs}'') @ (\text{vs-to-es } \text{vs}') @ [\text{Loop } x61 \ x62]\}) \rightsquigarrow\text{-i } (\{s; \text{vs}; (\text{vs-to-es } \text{vs}') @ [\text{Label } (\text{length } t1s) \ ] [\text{Loop } x61 \ x62] (\text{vs-to-es } \text{vs}' @ (\$* x62))\})$ 
  using Tf reduce-simple.intros(28) split-n-length[OF Pair True] progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(1)]
    is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev vs'] is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev vs'']
  by fastforce
  ultimately
  show ?thesis
  using Loop
  by auto
qed auto
qed

```

```

next
  case (If x71 x72 x73)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms
  proof (cases ves)
    case (Cons a list)
    thus ?thesis
      using assms If
    proof (cases a)
      case (ConstInt32 x1)
    hence vs-to-es ves = vs-to-es list @ [$C ConstInt32 x1]
      unfolding Cons
      by simp
    thus ?thesis
      using progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(1)]
        is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev list]
        reduce-simple.intros(29,30)
        assms Cons If ConstInt32
      by (cases int-eq x1 0) auto
    qed auto
  qed auto
next
  case (Br x8)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms
    by auto
next
  case (Br-if x9)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms
  proof (cases ves)
    case (Cons a list)
    thus ?thesis
      using assms Br-if
    proof (cases a)
      case (ConstInt32 x1)
    hence vs-to-es ves = vs-to-es list @ [$C ConstInt32 x1]
      unfolding Cons
      by simp
    thus ?thesis
      using progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(34)]]
        progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(35)]]
        is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev list]
        assms Cons Br-if ConstInt32
      by (cases int-eq x1 0) auto
    qed auto
  qed auto
next
  case (Br-table x10)

```

```

thus ?thesis
  using assms
proof (cases ves)
  case (Cons a list)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms Br-table
  proof (cases a)
  case (ConstInt32 x1)
  thus ?thesis
    using progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(36)]]
      progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(37)]]
      is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev list]
      assms Br-table Cons
    by (cases (nat-of-int x1) < length x10) auto
  qed auto
qed auto
next
  case Return
  thus ?thesis
    using assms
    by simp
next
  case (Call x12)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(2)]
      is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev ves]
    by auto
next
  case (Call-indirect x13)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms
  proof (cases ves)
  case (Cons a list)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms Call-indirect
  proof (cases a)
  case (ConstInt32 c)
  thus ?thesis
  proof (cases stab s i (nat-of-int c))
  case None
  thus ?thesis
    using assms Call-indirect Cons ConstInt32
      progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(4)]
      is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev list]
    by auto
  next
  case (Some cl)
  thus ?thesis
  proof (cases stypes s i x13 = cl-type cl)

```

```

      case True
      hence (|s;vs;(vs-to-es list) @ [$C ConstInt32 c, $Call-indirect x13]) ~~-
i (|s;vs;(vs-to-es list) @ [Callcl cl])
      using progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(3)] True Some is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of
rev list]
      by fastforce
      thus ?thesis
      using assms Call-indirect Cons ConstInt32 Some True
      by auto
    next
    case False
    hence (|s;vs;(vs-to-es list)@[$C ConstInt32 c, $Call-indirect x13]) ~~- i
(|s;vs;(vs-to-es list)@[Trap])
    using progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(4)] False Some is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of
rev list]
    by fastforce
    thus ?thesis
    using assms Call-indirect Cons ConstInt32 Some False
    by auto
  qed
  qed
  qed auto
  qed auto
next
case (Get-local j)
thus ?thesis
  using assms
proof (cases j < length vs)
  case True
  then obtain vs1 v vs2 where vs = vs1@[v]@vs2 length vs1 = j
  using id-take-nth-drop
  by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
  using assms Get-local True
  progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(8)]
  is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev ves]
  by auto
  qed auto
next
case (Set-local j)
thus ?thesis
  using assms
proof (cases ves)
  case (Cons a list)
  thus ?thesis
  using assms Set-local
proof (cases j < length vs)
  case True
  obtain vs1 v vs2 where vs-def:vs = vs1@[v]@vs2 length vs1 = j

```



```

    using id-take-nth-drop True
  by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
    using assms Set-local True Cons
      progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(9)]
      is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev list]
    by auto
  qed auto
  qed auto
next
case (Tee-local x16)
thus ?thesis
  using assms
proof (cases ves)
  case (Cons a list)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms Tee-local
      progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(41)]]
      is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev list]
    by (auto simp add: is-const-def)
  qed auto
next
case (Get-global x17)
thus ?thesis
  using assms
      progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(10)]
      is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev ves]
  by (auto simp add: is-const-def)
next
case (Set-global x18)
thus ?thesis
  using assms
proof (cases ves)
  case (Cons a list)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms Set-global
      progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(11)]
      is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev list]
    by (auto simp add: is-const-def)
  qed auto
next
case (Load x191 x192 x193 x194)
thus ?thesis
  using assms
proof (cases x192; cases ves)
  case None
  case (Cons a list)
  thus ?thesis
    using Load assms None

```

```

proof (cases a; cases smem-ind s i)
  case (ConstInt32 x1)
  case (Some a)
  thus ?thesis
    using Load assms None Cons ConstInt32
      progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(12)]
      progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(13)]
      is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev list]
    by (cases load (s.mem s ! a) (nat-of-int x1) x194 (t-length x191) )
      (auto simp add: is-const-def)
qed auto
next
case outer-some:(Some tp-sx)
case (Cons a list)
thus ?thesis
  using Load assms outer-some
proof (cases a; cases smem-ind s i; cases tp-sx)
  case (ConstInt32 x1)
  case (Pair tp sx)
  case (Some a)
  thus ?thesis
    using Load assms outer-some Cons ConstInt32 Pair
      progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(14)]
      progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(15)]
      is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev list]
    by (cases load-packed sx (s.mem s ! a) (nat-of-int x1) x194 (tp-length tp)
(t-length x191))
      (auto simp add: is-const-def)
  qed auto
qed auto
next
case (Store t tp a off)
thus ?thesis
  using assms
proof (cases ves)
case outer-Cons:(Cons a list)
thus ?thesis
  using Store assms
proof (cases list)
case (Cons a' list')
thus ?thesis
  using Store outer-Cons assms
proof (cases a')
case (ConstInt32 x1)
thus ?thesis
  using Store outer-Cons Cons assms
proof (cases (types-agree t a); cases smem-ind s i)
  case True
  case outer-Some:(Some j)

```

```

show ?thesis
proof (cases tp)
  case None
  thus ?thesis
    using Store outer-Cons Cons assms True outer-Some ConstInt32
      progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(16)]
      progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(17)]
      is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev list]
    by (cases store (s.mem s ! j) (nat-of-int x1) off (bits a) (t-length t))
      auto
  next
  case (Some the-tp)
  thus ?thesis
    using Store outer-Cons Cons assms True outer-Some ConstInt32
      progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(18)]
      progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(19)]
      is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev list]
    by (cases store-packed (s.mem s ! j) (nat-of-int x1) off (bits a)
      (tp-length the-tp))
      auto
    qed
    qed (cases tp; auto)+
    qed (cases tp; auto)+
    qed (cases tp; auto)
    qed (cases tp; auto)
  next
  case Current-memory
  thus ?thesis
    using assms
  proof (cases smem-ind s i)
    case (Some a)
    thus ?thesis
      using assms Current-memory
        progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(20)]
        is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev ves]
      by (auto simp add: is-const-def)
    qed auto
  next
  case Grow-memory
  thus ?thesis
    using assms
  proof (cases ves)
    case (Cons a list)
    thus ?thesis
      using assms Grow-memory
    proof (cases a; cases smem-ind s i)
      case (ConstInt32 x1)
      case (Some j)
      thus ?thesis

```

```

    using assms Grow-memory Cons ConstInt32
           progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(21)]
           progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(22)]
           is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev list]
    by (cases mem-grow-impl (s.mem s ! j) (nat-of-int x1)) (auto simp add:
mem-grow-impl-correct is-const-def)
  qed auto
  qed auto
next
  case (EConst x23)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms
    by auto
next
  case (Unop-i x241 x242)
  thus ?thesis
    using run-step-basic-unop-testop-sound[OF assms]
    by fastforce
next
  case (Unop-f x251 x252)
  thus ?thesis
    using run-step-basic-unop-testop-sound[OF assms]
    by fastforce
next
  case (Binop-i x261 x262)
  thus ?thesis
    using run-step-basic-binop-relop-sound[OF assms]
    by fastforce
next
  case (Binop-f x271 x272)
  thus ?thesis
    using run-step-basic-binop-relop-sound[OF assms]
    by fastforce
next
  case (Testop x281 x282)
  thus ?thesis
    using run-step-basic-unop-testop-sound[OF assms]
    by fastforce
next
  case (Relop-i x291 x292)
  thus ?thesis
    using run-step-basic-binop-relop-sound[OF assms]
    by fastforce
next
  case (Relop-f x301 x302)
  thus ?thesis
    using run-step-basic-binop-relop-sound[OF assms]
    by fastforce
next

```

```

case (Cvtop t2 cvtop t1 sx)
thus ?thesis
  using assms
proof (cases ves)
  case (Cons a list)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms Cvtop
  proof (cases cvtop; cases types-agree t1 a)
  case Convert
  case True
  thus ?thesis
    using Convert assms Cvtop Cons
      progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(19)]]
      progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(20)]]
      is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev list]
    by (cases (cvt t2 sx a)) auto
  next
  case Reinterpret
  case True
  thus ?thesis
    using Reinterpret assms Cvtop Cons
      progress-L0-left[OF reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(21)]]
      is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev list]
    by (cases sx) auto
  qed auto
  qed (cases cvtop; auto)
qed
qed

theorem run-step-sound:
  assumes run-step d i (s,vs,es) = (s', vs', RSNormal es')
  shows (s;vs;es)  $\rightsquigarrow$ - i (s';vs';es')
  using assms
proof -
  fix ves e
  have (run-step d i (s,vs,es) = (s', vs', RSNormal es'))  $\implies$ 
    ( $\lambda$ i (s, vs, es). (s;vs;es)  $\rightsquigarrow$ - i (s';vs';es')) i (s, vs, es)
  and (run-one-step d i (s,vs,ves,e) = (s', vs', RSNormal es'))  $\implies$ 
    ( $\lambda$ i (s, vs, ves, e). (s;vs;(vs-to-es ves)@[e])  $\rightsquigarrow$ - i (s';vs';es')) i (s, vs, ves,
e)
  proof (induction d i - and d i - arbitrary: s' vs' es' and s' vs' es' rule:
run-step-run-one-step.induct)
  case (1 d i s vs es)
  obtain ves ses where ves-def:split-vals-e es = (ves, ses)
  by (metis surj-pair)
  thus ?case
proof (cases ses)
  case Nil
  thus ?thesis

```

```

    using 1(2) ves-def
    by simp
next
case (Cons a list)
thus ?thesis
proof (cases a = Trap)
  case True
  have c-ves:const-list ($$* ves)
    using is-const-list[of - ves]
    by simp
  have es' = [Trap] ∧ (list ≠ [] ∨ ves ≠ [])
    using Cons 1(2) ves-def True
    by (cases (list ≠ [] ∨ ves ≠ [])) auto
  thus ?thesis
using Cons 1(2) ves-def split-vals-e-conv-app[OF ves-def] True progress-L0-trap[OF
c-ves]
  by auto
next
case False
obtain os ovs oes where ros-def:run-one-step d i (s, vs, (rev ves), a) = (os,
ovs, oes)
  by (metis surj-pair)
moreover
then obtain roes where oes = RSNormal roes
  using 1(2) ves-def Cons False
  by (cases oes) auto
moreover
hence os = s' ovs = vs' and es'-def:es' = roes @ list
  using 1(2) ves-def Cons ros-def False
  by (cases roes = [Trap], auto simp del: run-one-step.simps)+
ultimately
have ros-red:(!s;vs;($$* ves) @ [a]) ~->- i (!s';vs';roes)
  using 1(1)[OF ves-def[symmetric] - Cons] ros-def False
  by (simp del: run-one-step.simps)
have (!s;vs;($$* ves)@[a]@list) ~->- i (!s';vs';roes@list)
  using progress-L0[OF ros-red, of [] list]
  unfolding const-list-def
  by simp
thus ?thesis
  using es'-def Cons split-vals-e-conv-app[OF ves-def]
  by simp
qed
qed
next
case (2 d i s vs ves e)
show ?case
proof (cases e)
  case (Basic x1)
  thus ?thesis

```

```

    using run-step-basic-sound 2(3)
    by simp
next
case Trap
thus ?thesis
    using 2(3)
    by simp
next
case (Callcl cl)
obtain t1s t2s where cl-type cl = (t1s -> t2s)
    using tf.exhaust[of - thesis]
    by fastforce
moreover
obtain n where length t1s = n
    by blast
moreover
obtain m where length t2s = m
    by blast
moreover
note local-defs = calculation
show ?thesis
proof (cases length ves ≥ n)
case outer-True:True
obtain ves' ves'' where true-defs:split-n ves n = (ves', ves'')
    by (metis surj-pair)
have ves'-length:length (rev ves') = n
    using split-n-length[OF true-defs outer-True] inj-basic-econst length-rev
map-injective
    by blast
show ?thesis
proof (cases cl)
case (Func-native i' tf fts fes)
hence s' = s vs' = vs es' = (vs-to-es ves'' @ [Local (length t2s) i' (rev ves'
@ (n-zeros fts) [$Block ([] -> t2s) fes]])
    using 2(3) Callcl local-defs outer-True true-defs
    unfolding cl-type-def
    by auto
moreover
have (|s;vs;(vs-to-es ves')@[Callcl cl]) ~>-i (|s;vs;([Local (length t2s) i' (rev
ves' @ (n-zeros fts) [$Block ([] -> t2s) fes]))|)
    using reduce.intros(5) local-defs(1,2) Func-native ves'-length
    unfolding cl-type-def
    by fastforce
ultimately
show ?thesis
    using Callcl progress-L0-left is-const-list[of - (rev ves'')]
    unfolding split-n-conv-app[OF true-defs(1)]
    by auto
next

```

```

case (Func-host x21 x22)
thus ?thesis
proof (cases host-apply-impl s (t1s -> t2s) x22 (rev ves'))
  case None
  hence s = s'
    vs = vs'
    es' = vs-to-es ves'' @ [Trap]
  using 2(3) Callcl local-defs outer-True true-defs Func-host
  unfolding cl-type-def
  by auto
thus ?thesis
  using is-const-list[of - (rev ves'')]
    reduce.intros(7)[OF - - ves'-length local-defs(2)]
    split-n-conv-app[OF true-defs]
    progress-L0-left Callcl Func-host local-defs(1)
  unfolding cl-type-def
  by fastforce
next
case (Some a)
show ?thesis
proof (cases a)
case (Pair rs rves)
  thus ?thesis
    using 2(3) Callcl local-defs outer-True true-defs Func-host Some
    unfolding cl-type-def
  proof (cases list-all2 types-agree t2s rves)
    case True
    hence rs = s'
      vs = vs'
      es' = vs-to-es ves'' @ ($$* rves)
    using 2(3) Callcl local-defs outer-True true-defs Func-host Pair
  Some
    unfolding cl-type-def
    by auto
  thus ?thesis
  using progress-L0-left reduce.intros(6)[OF - - ves'-length local-defs(2)]
  Pair
    Callcl Func-host local-defs(1) True is-const-list[of - (rev ves'')]
    split-n-conv-app[OF true-defs] host-apply-impl-correct[OF Some]
  unfolding cl-type-def
  by fastforce
  qed auto
  qed
  qed
  qed
next
case False
thus ?thesis
  using 2(3) Callcl local-defs

```



```

      unfolding cl-type-def
      by (cases cl) auto
qed
next
case (Label ln les es)
thus ?thesis
proof (cases es-is-trap es)
case True
thus ?thesis
using 2(3) is-const-list-vs-to-es-list
Label progress-L0[OF reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(32)]]
by fastforce
next
case False
note outer-outer-false = False
show ?thesis
proof (cases (const-list es))
case True
thus ?thesis
using 2(3) outer-outer-false Label reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(31)]
progress-L0[OF - is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev ves], where ?es-c=[]]
by fastforce
next
case False
obtain s'' vs'' es'' where run-step-is:run-step d i (s, vs, es) = (s'', vs'',
es'')
by (metis surj-pair)
show ?thesis
proof (cases es'')
case RSCrash
thus ?thesis
using outer-outer-false False run-step-is Label 2(3)
by auto
next
case (RSBreak bn bvs)
thus ?thesis
proof (cases bn)
case 0
have run-step-is-break0:run-step d i (s, vs, es) = (s'', vs'', RSBreak 0
bvs)
using run-step-is RSBreak 0
by simp
hence es'-def:es' = ((vs-to-es ((take ln bvs)@ves))@les) ∧ s' = s'' ∧
vs' = vs'' ∧ ln ≤ length bvs
using outer-outer-false False run-step-is Label 2(3) RSBreak
by (cases ln ≤ length bvs) auto
then obtain n lfilled es-c where local-egs:s=s' vs=vs' ln ≤ length bvs
Lfilled-exact n lfilled ((vs-to-es bvs) @ [\$Br n] @ es-c) es
using run-step-break-imp-lfilled[OF run-step-is-break0] RSBreak es'-def

```

```

      by fastforce
      then obtain lfilled' where lfilled-int:Lfilled n lfilled' ((vs-to-es bvs) @
[$Br n]) es
      using lfilled-collapse2[OF Lfilled-exact-imp-Lfilled]
      by fastforce
      obtain lfilled'' where Lfilled n lfilled'' ((drop (length bvs - ln) (vs-to-es
bvs)) @ [$Br n]) es
      using lfilled-collapse1[OF lfilled-int] is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev
bvs] local-eqs(3)
      by fastforce
      hence (|[Label ln les es]|) ~ (|(drop (length bvs - ln) (vs-to-es bvs))@les|)
      using reduce-simple.intros(33) local-eqs(3) is-const-list-vs-to-es-list
      unfolding drop-map
      by fastforce
      hence 1:(|s;vs;|[Label ln les es]|) ~-i (|s';vs';(drop (length bvs - ln)
(vs-to-es bvs))@les|)
      using reduce.intros(1) local-eqs(1,2)
      by fastforce
      have (|s;vs;(vs-to-es ves)@[e]|) ~-i (|s';vs';(vs-to-es ves)@(drop (length
bvs - ln) (vs-to-es bvs))@les|)
      using progress-L0[OF 1 is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev ves], of []
Label
      by fastforce
      thus ?thesis
      using es'-def
      unfolding drop-map rev-take[symmetric]
      by auto
    next
      case (Suc nat)
      thus ?thesis
      using outer-outer-false False run-step-is Label 2(3) RSBreak
      by auto
    qed
  next
      case (RSReturn x3)
      thus ?thesis
      using outer-outer-false False run-step-is Label 2(3)
      by auto
    next
      case (RSNormal x4)
      hence es' = (vs-to-es ves)@[Label ln les x4] s' = s'' vs' = vs''
      using outer-outer-false False run-step-is Label 2(3) run-step-is
      by auto
    moreover
      have Lfilled 1 (LRec (vs-to-es ves) ln les (LBase [] [] [])) es ((vs-to-es
ves)@[Label ln les es])
      using Lfilled.intros(1)[of [] - [] es]
      Lfilled.intros(2)
      is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev ves]

```

```

      unfolding const-list-def
      by fastforce
    moreover
      have Lfilled 1 (LRec (vs-to-es ves) ln les (LBase [] [])) x4 ((vs-to-es
ves)@[Label ln les x4])
      using Lfilled.intros(1)[of [] - [] x4]
        Lfilled.intros(2)
        is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev ves]
      unfolding const-list-def
      by fastforce
    moreover
      have inner-reduce:(!s;vs;es) ~-i (!s'';vs'';x4)
      using 2(1)[OF Label outer-outer-false False] run-step-is RSNormal
      by auto
    ultimately
      show ?thesis
      using Label 2(3) outer-outer-false False run-step-is
        reduce.intros(23)[OF inner-reduce]
      by fastforce
  qed
qed
qed
next
case (Local ln j vls es)
thus ?thesis
proof (cases es-is-trap es)
case True
thus ?thesis
using 2(3) is-const-list-vs-to-es-list
  Local progress-L0[OF reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(39)]]
  by fastforce
next
case False
note outer-outer-false = False
show ?thesis
proof (cases (const-list es))
case True
note outer-true = True
thus ?thesis
proof (cases length es = ln)
case True
thus ?thesis
using 2(3) Local outer-true outer-outer-false is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of
rev ves]
  reduce.intros(1)[OF reduce-simple.intros(38)[OF outer-true True]]
  progress-L0[where ?es-c=[]]
  by fastforce
next
case False

```

```

      thus ?thesis
      using 2(3) Local outer-outer-false outer-true is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of
rev ves]
      by auto
    qed
  next
  case False
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases d)
    case 0
    thus ?thesis
      using 2(3) Local outer-outer-false False is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev
ves]
      by auto
  next
  case (Suc d')
  obtain s'' vls' les' where run-step-is:run-step d' j (s, vls, es) = (s'', vls',
les')
  by (metis surj-pair)
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases les')
    case RSCrash
    thus ?thesis
      using outer-outer-false False run-step-is Local 2(3) Suc
      by auto
  next
  case (RSBreak x21 x22)
  thus ?thesis
      using outer-outer-false False run-step-is Local 2(3) Suc
      by auto
  next
  case (RSReturn x3)
  hence es'-def:es' = (vs-to-es ((take ln x3)@ves)) ∧ s' = s'' ∧ vs = vs'
  ∧ ln ≤ length x3
      using outer-outer-false False run-step-is Local 2(3) Suc
      by (cases ln ≤ length x3) auto
  then obtain n lfilled es-c where local-egs:s=s' vs=vs' ln ≤ length x3
  Lfilled-exact n lfilled ((vs-to-es x3) @ [$Return] @ es-c) es
      using run-step-is run-step-return-imp-lfilled RSReturn
      by fastforce
  then obtain lfilled' where lfilled-int:Lfilled n lfilled' ((vs-to-es x3) @
[$Return]) es
      using lfilled-collapse2[OF Lfilled-exact-imp-Lfilled]
      by fastforce
  obtain lfilled'' where Lfilled n lfilled'' ((drop (length x3 - ln) (vs-to-es
x3)) @ [$Return]) es
      using lfilled-collapse1[OF lfilled-int] is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev
x3] local-egs(3)
      by fastforce

```

```

hence ( $\llbracket \text{Local } ln \ j \ vls \ es \rrbracket$ )  $\rightsquigarrow$  ( $\llbracket \text{drop } (length \ x3 - ln) \ (vs\text{-to-es } x3) \rrbracket$ )
using reduce-simple.intros(40) local-eqs(3) is-const-list-vs-to-es-list
unfolding drop-map
by fastforce
hence  $1:(\llbracket s;vs;\llbracket \text{Local } ln \ j \ vls \ es \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow -i \ (\llbracket s';vs';\text{drop } (length \ x3 - ln) \ (vs\text{-to-es } x3) \rrbracket)$ 
using reduce.intros(1) local-eqs(1,2)
by fastforce
have  $\llbracket s;vs;(vs\text{-to-es } ves)@[e] \rrbracket \rightsquigarrow -i \ (\llbracket s';vs';(vs\text{-to-es } ves)@(\text{drop } (length \ x3 - ln) \ (vs\text{-to-es } x3)) \rrbracket)$ 
using progress-L0[OF 1 is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev ves], of []]
Local
by fastforce
thus ?thesis
using es'-def
unfolding drop-map rev-take[symmetric]
by auto
next
case (RSNormal  $x_4$ )
hence inner-reduce: $(\llbracket s;vls;es \rrbracket) \rightsquigarrow -j \ (\llbracket s'';vls';x_4 \rrbracket)$ 
using 2(2)[OF Local outer-outer-false False] run-step-is Suc
by auto
thus ?thesis
using Local 2(3) Local outer-outer-false False run-step-is Suc
reduce.intros(24)[OF inner-reduce] RSNormal
progress-L0-left is-const-list-vs-to-es-list[of rev ves]
by (auto simp del: run-step.simps)
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
thus ?thesis
using assms
by blast
qed
end

```

References

- [1] A. Haas, A. Rossberg, D. L. Schuff, B. L. Titzer, M. Holman, D. Gohman, L. Wagner, A. Zakai, and J. Bastien. Bringing the web up to speed with webassembly. In *Proceedings of the 38th ACM SIGPLAN Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation*, PLDI 2017, pages 185–200, New York, NY, USA, 2017. ACM.

- [2] C. Watt. Mechanising and verifying the webassembly specification. In *Proceedings of the 7th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs*, CPP 2018, pages 53–65, New York, NY, USA, 2018. ACM.