

A Formalization of Declassification with WHAT&WHERE-Security

Sylvia Grawe, Alexander Lux, Heiko Mantel, Jens Sauer

December 14, 2021

Abstract

Research in information-flow security aims at developing methods to identify undesired information leaks within programs from private sources to public sinks. Noninterference captures this intuition by requiring that no information whatsoever flows from private sources to public sinks. However, in practice this definition is often too strict: Depending on the intuitive desired security policy, the controlled declassification of certain private information (WHAT) at certain points in the program (WHERE) might not result in an undesired information leak.

We present an Isabelle/HOL formalization of such a security property for controlled declassification, namely WHAT&WHERE-security from [2]. The formalization includes compositionality proofs for and a soundness proof for a security type system that checks for programs in a simple while language with dynamic thread creation.

Our formalization of the security type system is abstract in the language for expressions and in the semantic side conditions for expressions. It can easily be instantiated with different syntactic approximations for these side conditions. The soundness proof of such an instantiation boils down to showing that these syntactic approximations imply the semantic side conditions.

This Isabelle/HOL formalization uses theories from the entry Strong-Security (see proof document for details).

Contents

1	Preliminary definitions	2
1.1	Type synonyms	2
2	WHAT&WHERE-security	4
2.1	Definition of WHAT&WHERE-security	4
2.2	Proof technique for compositionality results	7
2.3	Proof of parallel compositionality	11

3	Example language and compositionality proofs	17
3.1	Example language with dynamic thread creation	17
3.2	Proofs of atomic compositionality results	21
3.3	Proofs of non-atomic compositionality results	26
4	Security type system	49
4.1	Abstract security type system with soundness proof	49
4.2	Example language for Boolean and arithmetic expressions . . .	52
4.3	Example interpretation of abstract security type system . . .	53

1 Preliminary definitions

1.1 Type synonyms

The formalization is parametric in different aspects. Notably, it is parametric in the security lattice it supports.

For better readability, we use the following type synonyms in our formalization (from the entry Strong-Security):

```
theory Types
imports Main
begin

— type parameters:
— 'exp: expressions (arithmetic, boolean...)
— 'val: values
— 'id: identifier names
— 'com: commands
— 'd: domains
```

This is a collection of type synonyms. Note that not all of these type synonyms are used within Strong-Security - some are used in WHATandWHERE-Security.

type-synonym ('id, 'val) State = 'id \Rightarrow 'val

— type for evaluation functions mapping expressions to a values depending on a state

type-synonym ('exp, 'id, 'val) Evalfunction =
 $'exp \Rightarrow ('id, 'val)$ State \Rightarrow 'val

— define configurations with threads as pair of commands and states
type-synonym ('id, 'val, 'com) TConfig = 'com \times ('id, 'val) State

— define configurations with thread pools as pair of command lists (thread pool) and states

type-synonym ('id, 'val, 'com) TPConfig =

$('com\ list) \times ('id,\ 'val)\ State$

— type for program states (including the set of commands and a symbol for terminating - None)

type-synonym $'com\ ProgramState = 'com\ option$

— type for configurations with program states

type-synonym $('id,\ 'val,\ 'com)\ PSConfig =$
 $'com\ ProgramState \times ('id,\ 'val)\ State$

— type for labels with a list of spawned threads

type-synonym $'com\ Label = 'com\ list$

— type for step relations from single commands to a program state, with a label

type-synonym $('exp,\ 'id,\ 'val,\ 'com)\ TLSteps =$
 $(('id,\ 'val,\ 'com)\ TConfig \times 'com\ Label$
 $\times ('id,\ 'val,\ 'com)\ PSConfig)\ set$

— curried version of previously defined type

type-synonym $('exp,\ 'id,\ 'val,\ 'com)\ TLSteps-curry =$
 $'com \Rightarrow ('id,\ 'val)\ State \Rightarrow 'com\ Label \Rightarrow 'com\ ProgramState$
 $\Rightarrow ('id,\ 'val)\ State \Rightarrow bool$

— type for step relations from thread pools to thread pools

type-synonym $('exp,\ 'id,\ 'val,\ 'com)\ TPSteps =$
 $(('id,\ 'val,\ 'com)\ TPConfig \times ('id,\ 'val,\ 'com)\ TPConfig)\ set$

— curried version of previously defined type

type-synonym $('exp,\ 'id,\ 'val,\ 'com)\ TPSteps-curry =$
 $'com\ list \Rightarrow ('id,\ 'val)\ State \Rightarrow 'com\ list \Rightarrow ('id,\ 'val)\ State \Rightarrow bool$

— define type of step relations for single threads to thread pools

type-synonym $('exp,\ 'id,\ 'val,\ 'com)\ TSteps =$
 $(('id,\ 'val,\ 'com)\ TConfig \times ('id,\ 'val,\ 'com)\ TPConfig)\ set$

— define the same type as TSteps, but in a curried version (allowing syntax abbreviations)

type-synonym $('exp,\ 'id,\ 'val,\ 'com)\ TSteps-curry =$
 $'com \Rightarrow ('id,\ 'val)\ State \Rightarrow 'com\ list \Rightarrow ('id,\ 'val)\ State \Rightarrow bool$

— type for simple domain assignments; 'd has to be an instance of order (partial order)

type-synonym $('id,\ 'd)\ DomainAssignment = 'id \Rightarrow 'd::order$

type-synonym $'com\ Bisimulation-type = (('com\ list) \times ('com\ list))\ set$

— type for escape hatches

type-synonym $('d,\ 'exp)\ Hatch = 'd \times 'exp$

```

— type for sets of escape hatches
type-synonym ('d, 'exp) Hatches = (('d, 'exp) Hatch) set

— type for local escape hatches
type-synonym ('d, 'exp) lHatch = 'd × 'exp × nat

— type for sets of local escape hatches
type-synonym ('d, 'exp) lHatches = (('d, 'exp) lHatch) set

end

```

2 WHAT&WHERE-security

2.1 Definition of WHAT&WHERE-security

The definition of WHAT&WHERE-security is parametric in a security lattice ($'d$) and in a programming language ($'com$).

```

theory WHATWHERE-Security
imports Strong-Security.Types
begin

locale WHATWHERE =
  fixes SR :: ('exp, 'id, 'val, 'com) TLSteps
  and E :: ('exp, 'id, 'val) Evalfunction
  and pp :: 'com ⇒ nat
  and DA :: ('id, 'd::order) DomainAssignment
  and LH :: ('d::order, 'exp) lHatches

begin

— define when two states are indistinguishable for an observer on domain d
definition d-equal :: 'd::order ⇒ ('id, 'val) State
  ⇒ ('id, 'val) State ⇒ bool
where
d-equal d m m' ≡ ∀ x. ((DA x) ≤ d → (m x) = (m' x))

abbreviation d-equal' :: ('id, 'val) State
  ⇒ 'd::order ⇒ ('id, 'val) State ⇒ bool
  ( ( - = - ) )
where
m =d m' ≡ d-equal d m m'

— transitivity of d-equality
lemma d-equal-trans:
  [ m =d m'; m' =d m'' ] ⇒ m =d m''
by (simp add: d-equal-def)

```

abbreviation $SRabber :: ('exp, 'id, 'val, 'com) \text{ TLSteps-curry}$
 $((1\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle) \rightarrow \triangleleft\triangleright / (1\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle) [0,0,0,0] 81)$

where

$$\langle c, m \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft\alpha\triangleright \langle p, m' \rangle \equiv ((c, m), \alpha, (p, m')) \in SR$$

— function for obtaining the unique memory (state) after one step for a command and a memory (state)

definition $NextMem :: 'com \Rightarrow ('id, 'val) State \Rightarrow ('id, 'val) State$

($\llbracket c \rrbracket '(-)$)

where

$$\llbracket c \rrbracket (m) \equiv (\text{THE } m'. (\exists p \alpha. \langle c, m \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft\alpha\triangleright \langle p, m' \rangle))$$

— function getting all escape hatches for some location

definition $htchLoc :: nat \Rightarrow ('d, 'exp) Hatches$

where

$$htchLoc \iota \equiv \{(d, e). (d, e, \iota) \in LH\}$$

— function for getting all escape hatches for some set of locations

definition $htchLocSet :: nat set \Rightarrow ('d, 'exp) Hatches$

where

$$htchLocSet PP \equiv \bigcup \{h. (\exists \iota \in PP. h = htchLoc \iota)\}$$

— predicate for (d,H)-equality

definition $dH-equal :: 'd \Rightarrow ('d, 'exp) Hatches$

$\Rightarrow ('id, 'val) State \Rightarrow ('id, 'val) State \Rightarrow bool$

where

$$dH-equal d H m m' \equiv (m =_d m' \wedge \\ (\forall (d', e) \in H. (d' \leq d \rightarrow (E e m = E e m'))))$$

abbreviation $dH-equal' :: ('id, 'val) State \Rightarrow 'd \Rightarrow ('d, 'exp) Hatches$

$\Rightarrow ('id, 'val) State \Rightarrow bool$

($(\cdot \sim_{-, -} \cdot)$)

where

$$m \sim_{d, H} m' \equiv dH-equal d H m m'$$

— predicate indicating that a command is not a d-declassification command

definition $NDC :: 'd \Rightarrow 'com \Rightarrow bool$

where

$$NDC d c \equiv (\forall m m'. m =_d m' \longrightarrow \llbracket c \rrbracket (m) =_d \llbracket c \rrbracket (m'))$$

— predicate indicating an 'immediate d-declassification command' for a set of escape hatches

definition $IDC :: 'd \Rightarrow 'com \Rightarrow ('d, 'exp) Hatches \Rightarrow bool$

where

$$IDC d c H \equiv (\exists m m'. m =_d m' \wedge \\ (\neg \llbracket c \rrbracket (m) =_d \llbracket c \rrbracket (m')))$$

$\wedge (\forall m m'. m \sim_{d,H} m' \longrightarrow \llbracket c \rrbracket(m) =_d \llbracket c \rrbracket(m'))$

definition *stepResultsinR* :: 'com ProgramState \Rightarrow 'com ProgramState
 \Rightarrow 'com Bisimulation-type \Rightarrow bool
where
stepResultsinR p p' R \equiv $(p = \text{None} \wedge p' = \text{None}) \vee$
 $(\exists c c'. (p = \text{Some } c \wedge p' = \text{Some } c' \wedge ([c],[c']) \in R))$

definition *dhequality-alternative* :: 'd \Rightarrow nat set \Rightarrow nat
 \Rightarrow ('id, 'val) State \Rightarrow ('id, 'val) State \Rightarrow bool
where
dhequality-alternative d PP i m m' \equiv $m \sim_{d,(htchLocSet PP)} m' \vee$
 $(\neg (htchLoc i) \subseteq (htchLocSet PP))$

definition *Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation* :: 'd \Rightarrow nat set
 \Rightarrow 'com Bisimulation-type \Rightarrow bool
where
Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation d PP R \equiv
 $(\text{sym } R) \wedge (\text{trans } R) \wedge$
 $(\forall (V, V') \in R. \text{length } V = \text{length } V') \wedge$
 $(\forall (V, V') \in R. \forall i < \text{length } V.$
 $((NDC d (V!i)) \vee$
 $(IDC d (V!i) (htchLoc (pp (V!i)))))) \wedge$
 $(\forall (V, V') \in R. \forall i < \text{length } V. \forall m1 m1' m2 \alpha p.$
 $(\langle V!i, m1 \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m2 \rangle \wedge m1 \sim_{d,(htchLocSet PP)} m1')$
 $\longrightarrow (\exists p' \alpha' m2'. (\langle V!i, m1 \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha' \triangleright \langle p', m2 \rangle \wedge$
 $(\text{stepResultsinR } p p' R) \wedge (\alpha, \alpha') \in R \wedge$
 $(\text{dhequality-alternative } d PP (pp (V!i)) m2 m2'))))$

— predicate to define when a program is strongly secure

definition *WHATWHERE-Secure* :: 'com list \Rightarrow bool
where
WHATWHERE-Secure V \equiv $(\forall d PP.$
 $(\exists R. \text{Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation } d PP R \wedge (V, V) \in R))$

— auxiliary lemma to obtain central strong (d,IH,PP)-Bisimulation property as Lemma in meta logic (allows instantiating all the variables manually if necessary)

lemma *strongdlHPPB-aux*:
 $\begin{aligned} &\wedge V V' m1 m1' m2 p i \alpha. \llbracket \text{Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation } d PP R; \\ &i < \text{length } V; (V, V') \in R; \\ &\langle V!i, m1 \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m2 \rangle; m1 \sim_{d,(htchLocSet PP)} m1' \rrbracket \\ &\implies (\exists p' \alpha' m2'. \langle V!i, m1 \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha' \triangleright \langle p', m2 \rangle \\ &\wedge \text{stepResultsinR } p p' R \wedge (\alpha, \alpha') \in R \wedge \\ &(\text{dhequality-alternative } d PP (pp (V!i)) m2 m2')) \\ &\text{by (simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def, fastforce)} \end{aligned}$

— auxiliary lemma to obtain ‘NDC or IDC’ from strong (d,lH,PP)-Bisimulation as lemma in meta logic allowing instantiation of the variables

lemma *strongdlHPPB-NDCIDCaux*:

$\wedge V V' i. \llbracket \text{Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation } d \text{ PP } R; (V, V') \in R; i < \text{length } V \rrbracket \implies (\text{NDC } d (V!i) \vee \text{IDC } d (V!i) (\text{htchLoc} (\text{pp} (V!i))))$
by (*simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def, auto*)

lemma *WHATWHERE-empty*:

WHATWHERE-Secure []
by (*simp add: WHATWHERE-Secure-def, auto,*
rule-tac $x = \{\langle \rangle, \langle \rangle\}$ in exI,
simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def sym-def trans-def)

end

end

2.2 Proof technique for compositionality results

For proving compositionality results for WHAT&WHERE-security, we formalize the following “up-to technique” and prove it sound:

theory *Up-To-Technique*
imports *WHATWHERE-Security*
begin

context *WHATWHERE*
begin

abbreviation *SdlHPPB* **where** $\text{SdlHPPB} \equiv \text{Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation}$

— define the ‘reflexive part’ of a relation (sets of elements which are related with themselves by the given relation)

definition *Arefl* :: $('a \times 'a) \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$

where

$\text{Arefl } R = \{e. (e, e) \in R\}$

lemma *commonArefl-subset-commonDomain*:

$(\text{Arefl } R1 \cap \text{Arefl } R2) \subseteq (\text{Domain } R1 \cap \text{Domain } R2)$
by (*simp add: Arefl-def, auto*)

— define disjoint strong (d,lH,PP)-bisimulation up-to-R’ for a relation R

definition *disj-dlHPP-Bisimulation-Up-To-R’* ::

$'d \Rightarrow \text{nat set} \Rightarrow 'com \text{ Bisimulation-type}$
 $\Rightarrow 'com \text{ Bisimulation-type} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

$\text{disj-dlHPP-Bisimulation-Up-To-R'} d \text{ PP } R' R \equiv$

$$\begin{aligned}
& SdlHPPB \ d \ PP \ R' \wedge (\text{sym } R) \wedge (\text{trans } R) \\
& \wedge (\forall (V, V') \in R. \text{length } V = \text{length } V') \wedge \\
& (\forall (V, V') \in R. \forall i < \text{length } V. \\
& ((NDC \ d \ (V!i)) \vee \\
& (IDC \ d \ (V!i) \ (htchLoc \ (pp \ (V!i)))))) \wedge \\
& (\forall (V, V') \in R. \forall i < \text{length } V. \forall m1 \ m1' \ m2 \ \alpha \ p. \\
& (\langle V!i, m1 \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m2 \rangle \wedge m1 \sim_{d, (htchLocSet \ PP)} m1') \\
& \longrightarrow (\exists p' \alpha' m2'. \langle V'!i, m1' \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha' \triangleright \langle p', m2' \rangle \wedge \\
& (\text{stepResultsInR } p \ p' (R \cup R') \wedge (\alpha, \alpha') \in (R \cup R') \wedge \\
& (\text{dhequality-alternative } d \ PP \ (pp \ (V!i)) \ m2 \ m2'))))
\end{aligned}$$

— lemma about the transitivity of the union of symmetric and transitive relations under certain circumstances

lemma *trans-RuR'*:

```

assumes transR: trans R
assumes symR: sym R
assumes transR': trans R'
assumes symR': sym R'
assumes eqlenR:  $\forall (V, V') \in R. \text{length } V = \text{length } V'$ 
assumes eqlenR':  $\forall (V, V') \in R'. \text{length } V = \text{length } V'$ 
assumes AreflAssump:  $(\text{Arefl } R \cap \text{Arefl } R') \subseteq \{\}\}$ 
shows trans (R  $\cup$  R')

```

proof —

{

```

fix V V' V''
assume p1:  $(V, V') \in (R \cup R')$ 
assume p2:  $(V', V'') \in (R \cup R')$ 

```

from *p1 p2 have* $(V, V'') \in (R \cup R')$

proof (*auto*)

```

assume inR1:  $(V, V') \in R$ 
assume inR2:  $(V', V'') \in R$ 
from inR1 inR2 transR show  $(V, V'') \in R$ 

```

unfolding *trans-def*
by *blast*

next

```

assume inR'1:  $(V, V') \in R'$ 
assume inR'2:  $(V', V'') \in R'$ 
assume notinR':  $(V, V'') \notin R'$ 
from inR'1 inR'2 transR' have inR':  $(V, V'') \in R'$ 

```

unfolding *trans-def*
by *blast*

```

from notinR' inR' have False

```

by *auto*

thus $(V, V'') \in R$..

next

```

assume inR1:  $(V, V') \in R$ 
assume inR'2:  $(V', V'') \in R'$ 
from inR1 symR transR have  $(V, V) \in R \wedge (V', V') \in R$ 

```

```

unfolding sym-def trans-def
by blast
hence AreftR: {V, V'} ⊆ Areft R by (simp add: Areft-def)
from inR'2 symR' transR' have (V', V') ∈ R' ∧ (V'', V'') ∈ R'
  unfolding sym-def trans-def
  by blast
hence AreftR': {V', V''} ⊆ Areft R' by (simp add: Areft-def)

from AreftR AreftR' Areftassump have V'empt: V' = []
  by (simp add: Areft-def, blast)
with inR'2 eqlenR' have V' = V'' by auto
with inR1 show (V, V'') ∈ R by auto
next
assume inR'1: (V, V') ∈ R'
assume inR2: (V', V'') ∈ R
from inR'1 symR' transR' have (V, V) ∈ R' ∧ (V', V') ∈ R'
  unfolding sym-def trans-def
  by blast
hence AreftR': {V, V'} ⊆ Areft R' by (simp add: Areft-def)
from inR2 symR transR have (V', V') ∈ R ∧ (V'', V'') ∈ R
  unfolding sym-def trans-def
  by blast
hence AreftR: {V', V''} ⊆ Areft R by (simp add: Areft-def)

from AreftR AreftR' Areftassump have V'empt: V' = []
  by (simp add: Areft-def, blast)
with inR'1 eqlenR' have V' = V by auto
with inR2 show (V, V'') ∈ R by auto
qed
}
thus ?thesis unfolding trans-def by force
qed

lemma Up-To-Technique:
[| disj-dlHPP-Bisimulation-Up-To-R' d PP R' R;
  Areft R ∩ Areft R' ⊆ {[]} |]
  ==> SdlHPPB d PP (R ∪ R')
proof (simp add: disj-dlHPP-Bisimulation-Up-To-R'-def
  Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def, auto)
assume symR': sym R'
assume symR: sym R
with symR' show sym (R ∪ R')
  by (simp add: sym-def)
next
assume symR': sym R'
assume symR: sym R
assume transR': trans R'
assume transR: trans R

```

```

assume eqlenR':  $\forall (V, V') \in R'. \text{length } V = \text{length } V'$ 
assume eqlenR:  $\forall (V, V') \in R. \text{length } V = \text{length } V'$ 
assume areflAssump:  $\text{Arefl } R \cap \text{Arefl } R' \subseteq \{\emptyset\}$ 
from symR' symR transR' transR eqlenR' eqlenR areflAssump trans-RuR'
show trans  $(R \cup R')$ 
by blast
— condition about IDC and NDC and equal length already proven above by auto
tactic!
next
fix V V' i m1 m1' m2 α p
assume inR':  $(V, V') \in R'$ 
assume irange:  $i < \text{length } V$ 
assume step:  $\langle V!i, m1 \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m2 \rangle$ 
assume dhequal:  $m1 \sim_{d, (\text{htchLocSet PP})} m1'$ 
assume disjBisimUpTo:  $\forall (V, V') \in R'. \forall i < \text{length } V. \forall m1 m1' m2 \alpha p.$ 
 $\langle V!i, m1 \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m2 \rangle \wedge m1 \sim_{d, (\text{htchLocSet PP})} m1' \rightarrow$ 
 $(\exists p' \alpha' m2'. \langle V!i, m1' \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha' \triangleright \langle p', m2' \rangle \wedge$ 
 $\text{stepResultsInR } p \ p' \ R' \wedge (\alpha, \alpha') \in R' \wedge$ 
 $\text{dhequality-alternative } d \text{ PP } (pp(V!i)) \ m2 \ m2'$ 
from inR' irange step dhequal disjBisimUpTo show  $\exists p' \alpha' m2'.$ 
 $\langle V!i, m1' \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha' \triangleright \langle p', m2' \rangle \wedge \text{stepResultsInR } p \ p' (R \cup R') \wedge$ 
 $((\alpha, \alpha') \in R \vee (\alpha, \alpha') \in R') \wedge$ 
 $\text{dhequality-alternative } d \text{ PP } (pp(V!i)) \ m2 \ m2'$ 
by (simp add: stepResultsInR-def, fastforce)
qed

```

lemma Union-Strong-dlHPP-Bisim:

```

 $\llbracket \text{SdlHPPB } d \text{ PP } R; \text{SdlHPPB } d \text{ PP } R';$ 
 $\text{Arefl } R \cap \text{Arefl } R' \subseteq \{\emptyset\} \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{SdlHPPB } d \text{ PP } (R \cup R')$ 
by (rule Up-To-Technique, simp-all add:
  disj-dlHPP-Bisimulation-Up-To-R'-def
  Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def stepResultsInR-def, fastforce)

```

lemma adding-emptypair-keeps-SdlHPPB:

```

assumes SdlHPP: SdlHPPB d PP R
shows SdlHPPB d PP  $(R \cup \{(\emptyset, \emptyset)\})$ 
proof –
have SdlHPPemp: SdlHPPB d PP  $\{(\emptyset, \emptyset)\}$ 
by (simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def sym-def trans-def)

have commonDom: Domain R ∩ Domain  $\{(\emptyset, \emptyset)\} \subseteq \{\emptyset\}$ 
by auto

with commonArefl-subset-commonDomain have AreflAssump:
  Arefl R ∩ Arefl  $\{(\emptyset, \emptyset)\} \subseteq \{\emptyset\}$ 
by force

```

```

with SdlHPP SdlHPPemp Union-Strong-dlHPP-Bisim show
  SdlHPPB d PP (R ∪ {[],[]})
  by force
qed

end

end

```

2.3 Proof of parallel compositionality

We prove that WHAT&WHERE-security is preserved under composition of WHAT&WHERE-secure threads.

```

theory Parallel-Composition
imports Up-To-Technique MWLs
begin

locale WHATWHERE-Secure-Programs =
  L?: MWLs-semantics E BMap
  + WWS?: WHATWHERE MWLsSteps-det E pp DA lH
  for E :: ('exp, 'id, 'val) Evalfunction
  and BMap :: 'val ⇒ bool
  and DA :: ('id, 'd::order) DomainAssignment
  and lH :: ('d, 'exp) lHatches
  begin

lemma SdlHPPB-restricted-on-PP-is-SdlHPPB:
  assumes SdlHPPB: SdlHPPB d PP R'
  assumes inR': (V,V) ∈ R'
  assumes Rdef: R = {(V',V''). (V',V'') ∈ R'
    ∧ set (PPV V') ⊆ set (PPV V)
    ∧ set (PPV V'') ⊆ set (PPV V)}
  shows SdlHPPB d PP R
  proof (simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def, auto)
  from SdlHPPB have sym R'
  by (simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def)
  with Rdef show sym R
  by (simp add: sym-def)
next
  from SdlHPPB have trans R'
  by (simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def)
  with Rdef show trans R
  by (simp add: trans-def, auto)
next
  fix V' V''
  assume inR-part: (V',V'') ∈ R
  with SdlHPPB Rdef show length V' = length V''

```

```

    by (simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def, auto)
next
fix V' V'' i
assume inR-part: (V',V'') ∈ R
assume irange: i < length V'
assume notIDC:
  ¬ IDC d (V'!i) (htchLoc (pp (V'!i)))
with SdlHPPB inR-part irange Rdef
show NDC d (V'!i)
  by (simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def, auto)
next
fix V' V'' i α p m1 m1' m2
assume inR-part: (V',V'') ∈ R
assume irange: i < length V'
assume step: ⟨V'!i,m1⟩ → $\triangleleft\alpha\triangleright$  ⟨p,m2⟩
assume dhequal: m1 ~d,(htchLocSet PP) m1'
from inR-part SdlHPPB Rdef have eqlen: length V' = length V''
  by (simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def, auto)

from inR-part Rdef
have set (PPV V') ⊆ set (PPV V) ∧ set (PPV V'') ⊆ set (PPV V)
  by auto

with irange PPc-in-PPV-version eqlen
have PPc-Vs-at-i:
  set (PPc (V'!i)) ⊆ set (PPV V) ∧ set (PPc (V''!i)) ⊆ set (PPV V)
  by (metis subset-trans)

from SdlHPPB inR-part Rdef irange step dhequal
strongdlHPPB-aux[of d PP R' i
V' V'' m1 α p m2 m1']
obtain p' α' m2' where stepreq: ⟨V''!i,m1'⟩ → $\triangleleft\alpha'\triangleright$  ⟨p',m2'⟩ ∧
stepResultsinR p p' R' ∧ (α,α') ∈ R' ∧
dhequality-alternative d PP (pp (V'!i)) m2 m2'
  by auto
have Rpp': stepResultsinR p p' R
proof -
{
fix c c'
assume step1: ⟨V'!i,m1⟩ → $\triangleleft\alpha\triangleright$  ⟨Some c,m2⟩
assume step2: ⟨V''!i,m1'⟩ → $\triangleleft\alpha'\triangleright$  ⟨Some c',m2'⟩
assume inR'-res: ([c],[c']) ∈ R'
from PPc-Vs-at-i step1 step2 PPsc-of-step
have set (PPc c) ⊆ set (PPV V) ∧ set (PPc c') ⊆ set (PPV V)
  by (metis (no-types) option.sel xt1(6))

with inR'-res Rdef have ([c],[c']) ∈ R

```

```

    by auto
}
thus ?thesis
  by (metis step stepResultsinR-def stepreq)
qed

have Rαα': (α,α') ∈ R
proof -
  from PPc-Vs-at-i step stepreq PPsα-of-step have
    set (PPV α) ⊆ set (PPV V) ∧ set (PPV α') ⊆ set (PPV V)
    by (metis (no-types) xt1(6))
  with stepreq Rdef show ?thesis
    by auto
qed

from stepreq Rpp' Rαα' show
  ∃ p' α' m2'. ⟨V'!i,m1'⟩ → ◁α' ▷ ⟨p',m2'⟩ ∧
  stepResultsinR p p' R ∧ (α,α') ∈ R ∧
  dhequality-alternative d PP (pp (V'!i)) m2 m2'
  by auto
qed

```

theorem parallel-composition:

$$\llbracket \forall i < \text{length } V. \text{WHATWHERE-Secure } [V!i]; \text{unique-PPV } V \rrbracket \implies \text{WHATWHERE-Secure } V$$

proof (simp add: WHATWHERE-Secure-def, induct V, auto)

fix d PP

from WHATWHERE-empty

show ∃ R. SdlHPPB d PP R ∧ ([],[]) ∈ R

by (simp add: WHATWHERE-Secure-def)

next

fix c V d PP

assume IH: $\llbracket \forall i < \text{length } V. \forall d PP. \exists R. \text{SdlHPPB } d \text{ PP } R \wedge ([V!i],[V!i]) \in R; \text{unique-PPV } V \rrbracket$

$\implies \forall d PP. \exists R. \text{SdlHPPB } d \text{ PP } R \wedge (V,V) \in R$

assume ISassump: $\forall i < \text{Suc } (\text{length } V).$

$\forall d PP. \exists R. \text{SdlHPPB } d \text{ PP } R \wedge([(c\# V)!i],[(c\# V)!i]) \in R$

assume uniPPcV: unique-PPV (c# V)

hence IHassump1: unique-PPV V

by (simp add: unique-PPV-def)

from uniPPcV have nocommonPP: set (PPc c) ∩ set (PPV V) = {}

by (simp add: unique-PPV-def)

from ISassump have IHassump2: $\forall i < \text{length } V. \forall d PP. \exists R. \text{SdlHPPB } d \text{ PP } R \wedge ([V!i],[V!i]) \in R$

by auto

with *IHassump1 IH obtain RV' where RV'assump:*

SdlHPPB d PP RV' \wedge $(V, V) \in RV'$

by *blast*

define *RV where* $RV = \{(V', V''). (V', V'') \in RV' \wedge set(PPV V') \subseteq set(PPV V) \wedge set(PPV V'') \subseteq set(PPV V)\}$

with *RV'assump RV-def SdlHPPB-restricted-on-PP-is-SdlHPPB*

have *SdlHPPRV: SdlHPPB d PP RV*

by *force*

from *ISassump obtain Rc' where Rc'assump:*

SdlHPPB d PP Rc' \wedge $([c], [c]) \in Rc'$

by *(metis append-Nil drop-Nil neq0-conv not-Cons-self*

nth-append-length Cons-nth-drop-Suc zero-less-Suc)

define *Rc where* $Rc = \{(V', V''). (V', V'') \in Rc' \wedge set(PPV V') \subseteq set(PPc c) \wedge set(PPV V'') \subseteq set(PPc c)\}$

with *Rc'assump Rc-def SdlHPPB-restricted-on-PP-is-SdlHPPB*

have *SdlHPPRc: SdlHPPB d PP Rc*

by *force*

from *nocommonPP have Domain RV \cap Domain Rc $\subseteq \{\}\}$*

by *(simp add: RV-def Rc-def, auto,*

metis Int-mono inf-commute inf-idem le-bot nocommonPP unique-V-uneq)

with *commonArefl-subset-commonDomain*

have *Areflassump1: Arefl RV \cap Arefl Rc $\subseteq \{\}\}$*

by *force*

define *R where* $R = \{(V', V''). \exists c c' W W'. V' = c \# W \wedge V'' = c' \# W' \wedge W \neq [] \wedge W' \neq [] \wedge ([c], [c']) \in Rc \wedge (W, W') \in RV\}$

with *RV-def RV'assump Rc-def Rc'assump have inR:*

$V \neq [] \implies (c \# V, c \# V) \in R$

by *auto*

from *R-def Rc-def RV-def nocommonPP*

have *Domain R \cap Domain (Rc \cup RV) = {}*

by *(simp add: R-def Rc-def RV-def, auto,*

metis inf-bot-right le-inf-iff subset-empty unique-V-uneq,

metis (opaque-lifting, no-types) inf-absorb1 inf-bot-right le-inf-iff unique-c-uneq)

```

with commonArefl-subset-commonDomain
have AreflAssump2: Arefl R ∩ Arefl (Rc ∪ RV) ⊆ {} []
by force

have disjuptoR:
  disj-dlHPP-Bisimulation-Up-To-R' d PP (Rc ∪ RV) R
proof (simp add: disj-dlHPP-Bisimulation-Up-To-R'-def, auto)
  from AreflAssump1 SdlHPPRc SdlHPPRV Union-Strong-dlHPP-Bisim
  show SdlHPPB d PP (Rc ∪ RV)
  by force
next
from SdlHPPRV have symRV: sym RV
  by (simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def)
from SdlHPPRc have symRc: sym Rc
  by (simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def)
with symRV R-def show sym R
  by (simp add: sym-def, auto)
next
from SdlHPPRV have transRV: trans RV
  by (simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def)
from SdlHPPRc have transRc: trans Rc
  by (simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def)
show trans R
  proof -
    {
      fix V V' V"
      assume p1: (V, V') ∈ R
      assume p2: (V', V") ∈ R
      have (V, V") ∈ R
        proof -
          from p1 R-def obtain c c' W W' where p1assump:
            V = c # W ∧ V' = c' # W' ∧ W ≠ [] ∧ W' ≠ [] ∧
            ([c], [c']) ∈ Rc ∧ (W, W') ∈ RV
          by auto
          with p2 R-def obtain c'' W'' where p2assump:
            V" = c'' # W'' ∧ W'' ≠ [] ∧
            ([c'], [c'']) ∈ Rc ∧ (W', W'') ∈ RV
          by auto
          with p1assump transRc transRV have
            trans-assump: ([c], [c']) ∈ Rc ∧ (W, W") ∈ RV
          by (simp add: trans-def, blast)
          with p1assump p2assump R-def show ?thesis
            by auto
        qed
      qed
    }
    thus ?thesis unfolding trans-def by blast
  qed
next
fix V V'

```

```

assume ( $V, V' \in R$ 
with  $R$ -def  $SdlHPPRV$  show  $\text{length } V = \text{length } V'$ 
    by (simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def, auto)
next
  fix  $V V' i$ 
  assume  $inR: (V, V') \in R$ 
  assume  $irange: i < \text{length } V$ 
  assume  $notIDC: \neg IDC d (V!i)$ 
    ( $htchLoc (pp (V!i))$ )
  from  $inR R$ -def obtain  $c c' W W'$  where  $VV'assump:$ 
     $V = c \# W \wedge V' = c' \# W' \wedge W \neq [] \wedge W' \neq [] \wedge$ 
     $([c], [c']) \in Rc \wedge (W, W') \in RV$ 
    by auto
  — Case separation for  $i$ 
  from  $VV'assump SdlHPPRc$  have Case-i0:
     $i = 0 \implies (NDC d (V!i) \vee$ 
       $IDC d (V!i) (htchLoc (pp (V!i))))$ 
    by (simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def, auto)

  from  $VV'assump SdlHPPRV$  have  $\forall i < \text{length } W.$ 
     $(NDC d (W!i) \vee$ 
       $IDC d (W!i) (htchLoc (pp (W!i))))$ 
    by (simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def, auto)

  with  $irange VV'assump$  have Case-in0:
     $i > 0 \implies (NDC d (V!i) \vee$ 
       $IDC d (V!i) (htchLoc (pp (V!i))))$ 
    by simp
  from  $notIDC$  Case-i0 Case-in0
  show  $NDC d (V!i)$ 
    by auto
next
  fix  $V V' m1 m1' m2 \alpha p i$ 
  assume  $inR: (V, V') \in R$ 
  assume  $irange: i < \text{length } V$ 
  assume  $step: \langle V!i, m1 \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m2 \rangle$ 
  assume  $dhequal: m1 \sim_d (htchLocSet PP) m1'$ 

  from  $inR R$ -def obtain  $c c' W W'$  where  $VV'assump:$ 
     $V = c \# W \wedge V' = c' \# W' \wedge W \neq [] \wedge W' \neq [] \wedge$ 
     $([c], [c']) \in Rc \wedge (W, W') \in RV$ 
    by auto
  — Case separation for  $i$ 
  from  $VV'assump SdlHPPRc$  strongdlHPPB-aux[of d PP
     $Rc 0 [c] [c']] step dhequal$ 
  have Case-i0:
     $i = 0 \implies \exists p' \alpha' m2'.$ 
     $\langle V!i, m1 \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha' \triangleright \langle p', m2' \rangle \wedge$ 
     $stepResultsinR p p' (Rc \cup RV) \wedge$ 

```

```

 $((\alpha,\alpha') \in R \vee (\alpha,\alpha') \in Rc \vee (\alpha,\alpha') \in RV) \wedge$ 
 $dhequality-alternative d PP (pp (V!i)) m2 m2'$ 
by (simp add: stepResultsinR-def, blast)

from step VV'assump irange have rewV:
i > 0  $\implies$  (i-Suc 0) < length W  $\wedge$  V!i = W!(i-Suc 0)
by simp

with irange VV'assump step dhequal SdlHPPRV
strongdlHPPB-aux[of d PP RV - W W']
have Case-in0:
i > 0  $\implies$   $\exists p' \alpha' m2'.$ 
 $\langle V!i, m1' \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha' \triangleright \langle p', m2' \rangle \wedge$ 
stepResultsinR p p' (R  $\cup$  (Rc  $\cup$  RV))  $\wedge$ 
 $((\alpha,\alpha') \in R \vee (\alpha,\alpha') \in Rc \vee (\alpha,\alpha') \in RV) \wedge$ 
dhequality-alternative d PP (pp (V!i)) m2 m2'
by (simp add: stepResultsinR-def, blast)

from Case-i0 Case-in0
show  $\exists p' \alpha' m2'.$ 
 $\langle V!i, m1' \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha' \triangleright \langle p', m2' \rangle \wedge$ 
stepResultsinR p p' (R  $\cup$  (Rc  $\cup$  RV))  $\wedge$ 
 $((\alpha,\alpha') \in R \vee (\alpha,\alpha') \in Rc \vee (\alpha,\alpha') \in RV) \wedge$ 
dhequality-alternative d PP (pp (V!i)) m2 m2'
by auto
qed
with Areflassump2 Rc'assump Up-To-Technique
show  $\exists R. SdlHPPB d PP R \wedge (c\#V, c\#V) \in R$ 
by (metis UnCI inR)

qed
end
end

```

3 Example language and compositionality proofs

3.1 Example language with dynamic thread creation

As in [2], we instantiate the language with a simple while language that supports dynamic thread creation via a spawn command (Multi-threaded While Language with spawn, MWLs). Note that the language is still parametric in the language used for Boolean and arithmetic expressions ('exp).

```

theory MWLs
imports Strong-Security.Types
begin

```

— type parameters not instantiated:
 — 'exp: expressions (arithmetic, boolean...)
 — 'val: numbers, boolean constants....
 — 'id: identifier names

— SYNTAX

```
datatype ('exp, 'id) MWLsCom
  = Skip nat (skip_ [50] 70)
  | Assign 'id nat 'exp
    (-:=_ - [70,50,70] 70)

  | Seq ('exp, 'id) MWLsCom
    ('exp, 'id) MWLsCom
    (-;- [61,60] 60)

  | If-Else nat 'exp ('exp, 'id) MWLsCom
    ('exp, 'id) MWLsCom
    (if_ - then - else - fi [50,80,79,79] 70)

  | While-Do nat 'exp ('exp, 'id) MWLsCom
    (while_ - do - od [50,80,79] 70)

  | Spawn nat (('exp, 'id) MWLsCom) list
    (spawn_ - [50,70] 70)
```

— function for obtaining the program point of some MWLsloc command
primrec pp ::('exp, 'id) MWLsCom \Rightarrow nat

where

```
pp (skipt) =  $\iota$  |
pp (x :=t e) =  $\iota$  |
pp (c1;c2) = pp c1 |
pp (ift b then c1 else c2 fi) =  $\iota$  |
pp (whilet b do c od) =  $\iota$  |
pp (spawnt V) =  $\iota$ 
```

— mutually recursive functions to collect program points of commands and thread pools

primrec PPc :: ('exp,'id) MWLsCom \Rightarrow nat list

and PPV :: ('exp,'id) MWLsCom list \Rightarrow nat list

where

```
PPc (skipt) = [ $\iota$ ] |
PPc (x :=t e) = [ $\iota$ ] |
PPc (c1;c2) = (PPc c1) @ (PPc c2) |
PPc (ift b then c1 else c2 fi) = [ $\iota$ ] @ (PPc c1) @ (PPc c2) |
PPc (whilet b do c od) = [ $\iota$ ] @ (PPc c) |
PPc (spawnt V) = [ $\iota$ ] @ (PPV V) |
```

```

 $PPV [] = [] \mid$ 
 $PPV (c \# V) = (PPc c) @ (PPV V)$ 

— predicate indicating that a command only contains unique program points
definition unique-PPc :: ('exp, 'id) MWLsCom  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
unique-PPc c = distinct (PPc c)

— predicate indicating that a thread pool only contains unique program points
definition unique-PPV :: ('exp, 'id) MWLsCom list  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
unique-PPV V = distinct (PPV V)

lemma PPc-nonempt: PPc c  $\neq []$ 
  by (induct c) auto

lemma unique-c-uneq: set (PPc c)  $\cap$  set (PPc c') = {}  $\Rightarrow$  c  $\neq$  c'
  by (insert PPc-nonempt, force)

lemma V-nonempt-PPV-nonempt: V  $\neq [] \Rightarrow$  PPV V  $\neq []$ 
  by (auto, induct V, simp-all, insert PPc-nonempt, force)

lemma unique-V-uneq:
   $\llbracket V \neq []; V' \neq []; set(PPV V) \cap set(PPV V') = \{\} \rrbracket \Rightarrow V \neq V'$ 
  by (auto, induct V, simp-all, insert V-nonempt-PPV-nonempt, auto)

lemma PPc-in-PPV: c  $\in$  set V  $\Rightarrow$  set (PPc c)  $\subseteq$  set (PPV V)
  by (induct V, auto)

lemma listindices-aux: i < length V  $\Rightarrow$  (V!i)  $\in$  set V
  by (metis nth-mem)

lemma PPc-in-PPV-version:
  i < length V  $\Rightarrow$  set (PPc (V!i))  $\subseteq$  set (PPV V)
  by (rule PPc-in-PPV, erule listindices-aux)

lemma uniPPV-uniPPc: unique-PPV V  $\Rightarrow$  ( $\forall i < \text{length } V. \text{unique-PPc } (V!i)$ )
  by (auto, simp add: unique-PPV-def, induct V,
        auto simp add: unique-PPc-def,
        metis in-set-conv-nth length-Suc-conv set-ConsD)

```

— SEMANTICS

```

locale MWLs-semantics =
  fixes E :: ('exp, 'id, 'val) Evalfunction
  and BMap :: 'val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  begin

```

— steps semantics, set of deterministic steps from commands to program states

inductive-set

MWLsSteps-det ::

('exp, 'id, 'val, ('exp, 'id) *MWLsCom*) *TLSteps*

and *MWLslocSteps-det'* ::

('exp, 'id, 'val, ('exp, 'id) *MWLsCom*) *TLSteps-curry*

((1⟨-,/-⟩) →⟨-⟩ / (1⟨-,/-⟩) [0,0,0,0] 81)

where

$\langle c1, m1 \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle c2, m2 \rangle \equiv ((c1, m1), \alpha, (c2, m2)) \in MWLsSteps-det$ |

skip: $\langle skip_t, m \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \emptyset \triangleright \langle None, m \rangle$ |

assign: $(E e m) = v \Rightarrow$

$\langle x :=_t e, m \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \emptyset \triangleright \langle None, m(x := v) \rangle$ |

seq1: $\langle c1, m \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle None, m' \rangle \Rightarrow$

$\langle c1; c2, m \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle Some c2, m' \rangle$ |

seq2: $\langle c1, m \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle Some c1', m' \rangle \Rightarrow$

$\langle c1; c2, m \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle Some (c1'; c2), m' \rangle$ |

iftrue: $BMap (E b m) = True \Rightarrow$

$\langle if_t b \text{ then } c1 \text{ else } c2 fi, m \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \emptyset \triangleright \langle Some c1, m \rangle$ |

iffalse: $BMap (E b m) = False \Rightarrow$

$\langle if_t b \text{ then } c1 \text{ else } c2 fi, m \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \emptyset \triangleright \langle Some c2, m \rangle$ |

whiletrue: $BMap (E b m) = True \Rightarrow$

$\langle while_t b \text{ do } c od, m \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \emptyset \triangleright \langle Some (c; (while_t b \text{ do } c od)), m \rangle$ |

whilefalse: $BMap (E b m) = False \Rightarrow$

$\langle while_t b \text{ do } c od, m \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \emptyset \triangleright \langle None, m \rangle$ |

spawn: $\langle spawn_t V, m \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft V \triangleright \langle None, m \rangle$

inductive-cases *MWLsSteps-det-cases*:

$\langle skip_t, m \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m' \rangle$

$\langle x :=_t e, m \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m' \rangle$

$\langle c1; c2, m \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m' \rangle$

$\langle if_t b \text{ then } c1 \text{ else } c2 fi, m \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m' \rangle$

$\langle while_t b \text{ do } c od, m \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m' \rangle$

$\langle spawn_t V, m \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m' \rangle$

— non-deterministic, possibilistic system step (added for intuition, not used in the proofs)

inductive-set

MWLsSteps-ndet ::

('exp, 'id, 'val, ('exp, 'id) *MWLsCom*) *TPSteps*

and *MWLsSteps-ndet'* ::

('exp, 'id, 'val, ('exp, 'id) *MWLsCom*) *TPSteps-curry*

((1⟨-,/-⟩) ⇒ / (1⟨-,/-⟩) [0,0,0,0] 81)

where

$\langle V, m \rangle \Rightarrow \langle V', m' \rangle \equiv ((V, m), (V', m')) \in MWLsSteps-ndet$ |

stepthreadi1: $\langle ci, m \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle None, m' \rangle \Rightarrow$

$\langle cf @ [ci] @ ca, m \rangle \Rightarrow \langle cf @ \alpha @ ca, m' \rangle$ |

stepthreadi2: $\langle ci, m \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle Some c', m' \rangle \Rightarrow$

$\langle cf @ [ci] @ ca, m \rangle \Rightarrow \langle cf @ [c'] @ \alpha @ ca, m \rangle$

— lemma about existence and uniqueness of next memory of a step

lemma *nextmem-exists-and-unique*:

$$\exists m' p \alpha. \langle c, m \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m' \rangle$$

$$\wedge (\forall m''. (\exists p \alpha. \langle c, m \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m'' \rangle) \longrightarrow m'' = m')$$

by (*induct c, auto, metis MWLsSteps-det.skip MWLsSteps-det-cases(1), metis MWLsSteps-det-cases(2) MWLsSteps-det.assign, metis (no-types) MWLsSteps-det.seq1 MWLsSteps-det.seq2 MWLsSteps-det-cases(3) not-Some-eq, metis MWLsSteps-det.iffalse MWLsSteps-det.iftrue MWLsSteps-det-cases(4), metis MWLsSteps-det.whilefalse MWLsSteps-det.whiletrue MWLsSteps-det-cases(5), metis MWLsSteps-det.spawn MWLsSteps-det-cases(6)*)

lemma *PPsc-of-step*:

$$[\langle c, m \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m' \rangle; \exists c'. p = \text{Some } c']$$

$$\implies \text{set}(\text{PPc } (\text{the } p)) \subseteq \text{set}(\text{PPc } c)$$

by (*induct rule: MWLsSteps-det.induct, auto*)

lemma *PPsalpha-of-step*:

$$\langle c, m \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m' \rangle$$

$$\implies \text{set}(\text{PPV } \alpha) \subseteq \text{set}(\text{PPc } c)$$

by (*induct rule: MWLsSteps-det.induct, auto*)

end

end

3.2 Proofs of atomic compositionality results

We prove for each atomic command of our example programming language (i.e. a command that is not composed out of other commands) that it is strongly secure if the expressions involved are indistinguishable for an observer on security level d .

```
theory WHATWHERE-Secure-Skip-Assign
imports Parallel-Composition
begin

context WHATWHERE-Secure-Programs
begin

abbreviation NextMem'
(⟦-⟧'(-'))
where
⟦c⟧(m) ≡ NextMem c m
```

— define when two expressions are indistinguishable with respect to a domain d

definition *d-indistinguishable* :: 'd::order \Rightarrow 'exp \Rightarrow 'exp \Rightarrow bool

where

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{d-indistinguishable } d \ e1 \ e2 &\equiv \forall m \ m'. ((m =_d m') \\ &\longrightarrow ((E \ e1 \ m) = (E \ e2 \ m'))) \end{aligned}$$

abbreviation *d-indistinguishable'* :: 'exp \Rightarrow 'd::order \Rightarrow 'exp \Rightarrow bool

$$(\ (- \equiv_{-} \ -) \)$$

where

$$e1 \equiv_d e2 \equiv \textit{d-indistinguishable } d \ e1 \ e2$$

— symmetry of d-indistinguishable

lemma *d-indistinguishable-sym*:

$$e \equiv_d e' \implies e' \equiv_d e$$

by (simp add: *d-indistinguishable-def* *d-equal-def*, metis)

— transitivity of d-indistinguishable

lemma *d-indistinguishable-trans*:

$$[\![e \equiv_d e'; e' \equiv_d e'']\!] \implies e \equiv_d e''$$

by (simp add: *d-indistinguishable-def* *d-equal-def*, metis)

— predicate for dH-indistinguishable

definition *dH-indistinguishable* :: 'd \Rightarrow ('d, 'exp) Hatches

$$\Rightarrow 'exp \Rightarrow 'exp \Rightarrow \text{bool}$$

where

$$\textit{dH-indistinguishable } d \ H \ e1 \ e2 \equiv (\forall m \ m'. m \sim_{d,H} m')$$

$$\longrightarrow (E \ e1 \ m = E \ e2 \ m')$$

abbreviation *dH-indistinguishable'* :: 'exp \Rightarrow 'd

$$\Rightarrow ('d, 'exp) \text{ Hatches} \Rightarrow 'exp \Rightarrow \text{bool}$$

$$(\ (- \equiv_{-, -} \ -) \)$$

where

$$e1 \equiv_{d,H} e2 \equiv \textit{dH-indistinguishable } d \ H \ e1 \ e2$$

lemma *empH-implies-dHindistinguishable-eq-dindistinguishable*:

$$(e \equiv_{d,\{\}} e') = (e \equiv_d e')$$

by (simp add: *d-indistinguishable-def* *dH-indistinguishable-def* *dH-equal-def* *d-equal-def*)

theorem *WHATWHERE-Secure-Skip*:

WHATWHERE-Secure [skip_l]

proof (simp add: *WHATWHERE-Secure-def*, auto)

fix d PP

define R **where** R = { (V::('exp,'id) MWLsCom list, V'::('exp,'id) MWLsCom list).

$$V = V' \wedge (V = [] \vee V = [\text{skip}_l]) \}$$

```

have inR: ( $[skip_\ell], [skip_\ell]$ )  $\in R$ 
  by (simp add: R-def)

have SdlHPPB d PP R
  proof (simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def R-def sym-def trans-def
    NDC-def NextMem-def, auto)
  fix m1 m1'
  assume dequal:  $m1 =_d m1'$ 
  have nextm1: (THE m2.  $(\exists p \alpha. \langle skip_\ell, m1 \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m2 \rangle)$ ) = m1
    by (insert MWLsSteps-det.simps[of skip_\ell m1],
      force)

  have nextm1': (THE m2'.  $(\exists p \alpha. \langle skip_\ell, m1' \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m2' \rangle)$ ) = m1'
    by (insert MWLsSteps-det.simps[of skip_\ell m1'],
      force)

  with dequal nextm1 show
    THE m2.  $\exists p \alpha. \langle skip_\ell, m1 \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m2 \rangle =_d$ 
    THE m2'.  $\exists p \alpha. \langle skip_\ell, m1' \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m2' \rangle$ 
    by auto
  next
  fix p m1 m1' m2  $\alpha$ 
  assume dequal:  $m1 \sim_{d, (\text{htchLocSet } PP)} m1'$ 
  assume skipstep:  $\langle skip_\ell, m1 \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m2 \rangle$ 
  with MWLsSteps-det.simps[of skip_\ell m1  $\alpha$  p m2]
  have aux:  $p = \text{None} \wedge m2 = m1 \wedge \alpha = []$ 
    by auto
  with dequal skipstep MWLsSteps-det.skip
  show  $\exists p' m2'.$ 
     $\langle skip_\ell, m1 \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p', m2' \rangle \wedge$ 
    stepResultsinR p p' { $(V, V')$ .  $V = V' \wedge$ 
       $(V = [] \vee V = [skip_\ell]) \wedge$ 
       $(\alpha = [] \vee \alpha = [skip_\ell]) \wedge$ 
      dhequality-alternative d PP  $\iota$  m2 m2'}
    by (simp add: stepResultsinR-def dhequality-alternative-def,
      fastforce)
  qed

with inR show  $\exists R. SdlHPPB d PP R \wedge ([skip_\ell], [skip_\ell]) \in R$ 
  by auto
qed

```

— auxiliary lemma for assign side condition (lemma 9 in original paper)

lemma semAssignSC-aux:

```

assumes dhind:  $e \equiv_{DA} x, (\text{htchLoc } \iota) e$ 
shows NDC d  $(x :=_\iota e) \vee IDC d (x :=_\iota e) (\text{htchLoc } (pp (x :=_\iota e)))$ 
proof (simp add: IDC-def, auto, simp add: NDC-def)

```

```

fix m1 m1'
assume dhequal: m1 ~d,htchLoc i m1'
hence dequal: m1 =d m1'
  by (simp add: dH-equal-def)

obtain v where veq: E e m1 = v by auto
hence m2eq: [x :=i e](m1) = m1(x := v)
  by (simp add: NextMem-def,
    insert MWLsSteps-det.simps[of x :=i e m1],
    force)

obtain v' where v'eq: E e m1' = v' by auto
hence m2'eq: [x :=i e](m1') = m1'(x := v')
  by (simp add: NextMem-def,
    insert MWLsSteps-det.simps[of x :=i e m1'],
    force)

from dhequal have shiftdomain:
  DA x ≤ d  $\implies$  m1 ~DA x,(htchLoc i) m1'
  by (simp add: dH-equal-def d-equal-def htchLoc-def)

with veq v'eq dhind
have (DA x) ≤ d  $\implies$  v = v'
  by (simp add: dH-indistinguishable-def)

with dequal m2eq m2'eq
show [x :=i e](m1) =d [x :=i e](m1')
  by (simp add: d-equal-def)
qed

```

theorem WHATWHERE-Secure-Assign:

```

assumes dhind: e ≡DA x,(htchLoc i) e
assumes dheq-imp:  $\forall m m' d i'. (m \sim_{d,(htchLoc i')} m' \wedge$ 
   $[x :=_i e](m) =_d [x :=_i e](m')) \rightarrow [x :=_i e](m) \sim_{d,(htchLoc i')} [x :=_i e](m')$ 
shows WHATWHERE-Secure [x :=i e]
proof (simp add: WHATWHERE-Secure-def, auto)
  fix d PP
  define R where R = {(V::('exp,'id) MWLsCom list,V'::('exp,'id) MWLsCom list),
    V = V'  $\wedge$  (V = []  $\vee$  V = [x :=i e])}

  have inR: ([x :=i e],[x :=i e]) ∈ R
    by (simp add: R-def)

  have SdlHPPB d PP R
    proof (simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def R-def

```

```

sym-def trans-def, auto)
assume notIDC:  $\neg IDC d (x :=_t e) (htchLoc \iota)$ 
with dhind semAssignSC-aux
show NDC d (x :=_t e)
by force
next
fix m1 m1' m2 p \alpha
assume assignstep:  $\langle x :=_t e, m1 \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m2 \rangle$ 
assume dhequal:  $m1 \sim_{d, htchLocSet PP} m1'$ 

from assignstep have nextm1:
 $p = None \wedge \alpha = [] \wedge \llbracket x :=_t e \rrbracket(m1) = m2$ 
by (simp add: NextMem-def,
insert MWLsSteps-det.simps[of  $x :=_t e$  m1], force)

obtain m2' where nextm1':
 $\langle x :=_t e, m1 \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft [] \triangleright \langle None, m2' \rangle \wedge \llbracket x :=_t e \rrbracket(m1') = m2'$ 
by (simp add: NextMem-def,
insert MWLsSteps-det.simps[of  $x :=_t e$  m1'], force)

from dhequal have dhequal-\iota:  $\bigwedge \iota. htchLoc \iota \subseteq htchLocSet PP$ 
 $\implies m1 \sim_{d, (htchLoc \iota)} m1'$ 
by (simp add: dH-equal-def, auto)

with dhind semAssignSC-aux
have htchLoc \iota  $\subseteq htchLocSet PP \implies$ 
 $\llbracket x :=_t e \rrbracket(m1) =_d \llbracket x :=_t e \rrbracket(m1')$ 
by (simp add: NDC-def IDC-def dH-equal-def, fastforce)

with dhind dheq-imp dhequal-\iota
have htchLoc \iota  $\subseteq htchLocSet PP \implies$ 
 $\llbracket x :=_t e \rrbracket(m1) \sim_{d, (htchLocSet PP)} \llbracket x :=_t e \rrbracket(m1')$ 
by (simp add: htchLocSet-def dH-equal-def, blast)

with nextm1 nextm1' assignstep dhequal
show  $\exists p' m2'.$ 
 $\langle x :=_t e, m1 \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p', m2' \rangle \wedge$ 
stepResultsinR p p' {(V, V)}.  $V = V' \wedge (V = [] \vee V = [x :=_t e]) \wedge$ 
 $(\alpha = [] \vee \alpha = [x :=_t e]) \wedge dhequality-alternative d PP \iota m2 m2'$ 
by (auto simp add: stepResultsinR-def dhequality-alternative-def)
qed

with inR show  $\exists R. SdlHPPB d PP R \wedge ([x :=_t e], [x :=_t e]) \in R$ 
by auto
qed

end

end

```

3.3 Proofs of non-atomic compositionality results

We prove compositionality results for each non-atomic command of our example programming language (i.e. a command that is composed out of other commands): If the components are strongly secure and the expressions involved indistinguishable for an observer on security level d , then the composed command is also strongly secure.

```

theory Language-Composition
imports WHATWHERE-Secure-Skip-Assign
begin

context WHATWHERE-Secure-Programs
begin

theorem Compositionality-Seq:
  assumes WWs-part1: WHATWHERE-Secure [c1]
  assumes WWs-part2: WHATWHERE-Secure [c2]
  assumes uniPPc1c2: unique-PPc (c1;c2)
  shows WHATWHERE-Secure [c1;c2]
  proof (simp add: WHATWHERE-Secure-def, auto)
    fix d PP

    from uniPPc1c2 have nocommonPP: set (PPc c1)  $\cap$  set (PPc c2) = {}
    by (simp add: unique-PPV-def unique-PPc-def)

    from WWs-part1 obtain R1' where R1'assump:
      SdlHPPB d PP R1'  $\wedge$  ([c1],[c1])  $\in$  R1'
      by (simp add: WHATWHERE-Secure-def, auto)

    define R1 where R1 = {(V,V'). (V,V')  $\in$  R1'  $\wedge$  set (PPV V)  $\subseteq$  set (PPc c1)
       $\wedge$  set (PPV V')  $\subseteq$  set (PPc c1)}

    from R1'assump R1-def SdlHPPB-restricted-on-PP-is-SdlHPPB
    have SdlHPPR1: SdlHPPB d PP R1
    by force

    from WWs-part2 obtain R2' where R2'assump:
      SdlHPPB d PP R2'  $\wedge$  ([c2],[c2])  $\in$  R2'
      by (simp add: WHATWHERE-Secure-def, auto)

    define R2 where R2 = {(V,V'). (V,V')  $\in$  R2'  $\wedge$  set (PPV V)  $\subseteq$  set (PPc c2)
       $\wedge$  set (PPV V')  $\subseteq$  set (PPc c2)}

    from R2'assump R2-def SdlHPPB-restricted-on-PP-is-SdlHPPB
    have SdlHPPR2: SdlHPPB d PP R2
    by force
  
```

```

from nocommonPP have nocommonDomain: Domain R1 ∩ Domain R2 ⊆ {[]}
  by (simp add: R1-def R2-def, auto,
        metis inf-greatest inf-idem le-bot unique-V-uneq)

with commonArefl-subset-commonDomain
have AreflAssump1: Arefl R1 ∩ Arefl R2 ⊆ {[]}
  by force

define R0 where R0 = {(s1,s2). ∃ c1 c1' c2 c2'. s1 = [c1;c2] ∧ s2 = [c1';c2']}
  ∧
  ([c1],[c1']) ∈ R1 ∧ ([c2],[c2']) ∈ R2}

with R1-def R1-assump R2-def R2-assump
have inR0: ([c1;c2],[c1;c2]) ∈ R0
  by auto

have Domain R0 ∩ Domain (R1 ∪ R2) = {}
  by (simp add: R0-def R1-def R2-def, auto, metis Int-absorb1 Int-assoc Int-empty-left

  nocommonPP unique-c-uneq, metis Int-absorb Int-absorb1
  Int-assoc Int-empty-left nocommonPP unique-c-uneq)

with commonArefl-subset-commonDomain
have AreflAssump2: Arefl R0 ∩ Arefl (R1 ∪ R2) ⊆ {[]}
  by force

have disjuptoR0:
  disj-dlHPP-Bisimulation-Up-To-R' d PP (R1 ∪ R2) R0
  proof (simp add: disj-dlHPP-Bisimulation-Up-To-R'-def, auto)
    from AreflAssump1 SdlHPPR1 SdlHPPR2 Union-Strong-dlHPP-Bisim
    show SdlHPPB d PP (R1 ∪ R2)
      by metis
  next
    from SdlHPPR1 have symR1: sym R1
      by (simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def)
    from SdlHPPR2 have symR2: sym R2
      by (simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def)
    with symR1 R0-def show sym R0
      by (simp add: sym-def, auto)
  next
    from SdlHPPR1 have transR1: trans R1
      by (simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def)
    from SdlHPPR2 have transR2: trans R2
      by (simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def)
    show trans R0
      proof -
        {
          fix V V' V''
```

```

assume p1:  $(V, V') \in R0$ 
assume p2:  $(V', V'') \in R0$ 
have  $(V, V'') \in R0$ 
proof -
  from p1 R0-def obtain c1 c2 c1' c2' where p1assump:
     $V = [c1; c2] \wedge V' = [c1'; c2'] \wedge$ 
     $([c1], [c1']) \in R1 \wedge ([c2], [c2']) \in R2$ 
    by auto
  with p2 R0-def obtain c1'' c2'' where p2assump:
     $V'' = [c1''; c2''] \wedge$ 
     $([c1''], [c1'']) \in R1 \wedge ([c2''], [c2'']) \in R2$ 
    by auto
  with p1assump transR1 transR2 have
    trans-assump:  $([c1], [c1'']) \in R1 \wedge ([c2], [c2'']) \in R2$ 
    by (simp add: trans-def, blast)
  with p1assump p2assump R0-def show ?thesis
    by auto
  qed
}
thus ?thesis unfolding trans-def by blast
qed
next
  fix V V'
  assume  $(V, V') \in R0$ 
  with R0-def show length V = length V'
    by auto
next
  fix V V' i
  assume inR0:  $(V, V') \in R0$ 
  assume irange:  $i < \text{length } V$ 
  assume notIDC:  $\neg \text{IDC } d (V!i) (\text{htchLoc} (\text{pp} (V!i)))$ 
  from inR0 R0-def obtain c1 c2 c1' c2' where VV'assump:
     $V = [c1; c2] \wedge V' = [c1'; c2'] \wedge$ 
     $([c1], [c1']) \in R1 \wedge ([c2], [c2']) \in R2$ 
    by auto
  have eqnextmem:  $\bigwedge m. \llbracket c1; c2 \rrbracket(m) = \llbracket c1 \rrbracket(m)$ 
  proof -
    fix m
    from nextmem-exists-and-unique obtain m' where c1nextmem:
       $\exists p \alpha. \langle c1, m \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m' \rangle$ 
       $\wedge (\forall m''. (\exists p \alpha. \langle c1, m \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m'' \rangle) \longrightarrow m'' = m')$ 
      by force
    hence eqdir1:  $\llbracket c1 \rrbracket(m) = m'$ 
      by (simp add: NextMem-def, auto)
    from c1nextmem obtain p α where  $\langle c1, m \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m' \rangle$ 
      by auto

```

```

with c1nextmem have  $\exists p \alpha. \langle c1; c2, m \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m' \rangle$ 
   $\wedge (\forall m''. (\exists p \alpha. \langle c1; c2, m \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m'' \rangle) \longrightarrow m'' = m')$ 
  by (auto, metis MWLsSteps-det.seq1 MWLsSteps-det.seq2
    option.exhaust, metis MWLsSteps-det-cases(3))

hence eqdir2:  $\llbracket c1; c2 \rrbracket(m) = m'$ 
  by (simp add: NextMem-def, auto)

with eqdir1 show  $\llbracket c1; c2 \rrbracket(m) = \llbracket c1 \rrbracket(m)$ 
  by auto
qed

have eqpp: pp (c1;c2) = pp c1
  by simp
from VV'assump SdlHPPR1 have IDC d c1 (htchLoc (pp c1))
   $\vee NDC d c1$ 
  by (simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def, auto)
with eqnextmem eqpp have IDC d (c1;c2)
  (htchLoc (pp (c1;c2)))  $\vee NDC d (c1; c2)$ 
  by (simp add: IDC-def NDC-def)
with inR0 irange notIDC VV'assump
show NDC d (V!i)
  by (simp add: IDC-def, auto)
next
  fix V V' m1 m1' m2 α p i
  assume inR0:  $(V, V') \in R0$ 
  assume irange:  $i < length V$ 
  assume step:  $\langle V!i, m1 \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m2 \rangle$ 
  assume dhequal:  $m1 \sim_{d, htchLocSet PP} m1'$ 

from inR0 R0-def obtain c1 c1' c2 c2' where R0pair:
   $V = [c1; c2] \wedge V' = [c1'; c2'] \wedge ([c1], [c1']) \in R1$ 
   $\wedge ([c2], [c2']) \in R2$ 
  by auto

from R0pair irange have i0:  $i = 0$  by simp

have eqpp: pp (c1;c2) = pp c1
  by simp

— get the two different cases:
from R0pair step i0 obtain c3 where case-distinction:
   $(p = Some c2 \wedge \langle c1, m1 \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle None, m2 \rangle)$ 
   $\vee (p = Some (c3; c2) \wedge \langle c1, m1 \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle Some c3, m2 \rangle)$ 
  by (simp, insert MWLsSteps-det.simps[of c1;c2 m1],
    auto)
moreover
— Case 1: first command terminates
{

```

```

assume passump:  $p = \text{Some } c2$ 
assume StepAssump:  $\langle c1, m1 \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle \text{None}, m2 \rangle$ 
hence Vstep-case1:
 $\langle c1; c2, m1 \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle \text{Some } c2, m2 \rangle$ 
by (simp add: MWLsSteps-det.seq1)

from SdlHPPR1 StepAssump R0pair dhequal
  strongdlHPPB-aux[of d PP
    R1 0 [c1] [c1'] m1 α None m2 m1']
obtain p' α' m2' where c1c1'reason:
 $p' = \text{None} \wedge \langle c1', m1' \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha' \triangleright \langle p', m2' \rangle \wedge (\alpha, \alpha') \in R1 \wedge$ 
  dhequality-alternative d PP (pp c1) m2 m2'
by (simp add: stepResultsinR-def, fastforce)

with eqpp c1c1'reason have conclpart:
 $\langle c1'; c2', m1' \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha' \triangleright \langle \text{Some } c2', m2' \rangle \wedge$ 
  dhequality-alternative d PP (pp (c1; c2)) m2 m2'
by (auto, simp add: MWLsSteps-det.seq1)

with passump R0pair c1c1'reason i0
have case1-concl:
 $\exists p' \alpha' m2'.$ 
 $\langle V!i, m1' \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha' \triangleright \langle p', m2' \rangle \wedge$ 
  stepResultsinR p p' (R0 ∪ (R1 ∪ R2)) ∧
   $((\alpha, \alpha') \in R0 \vee (\alpha, \alpha') \in R1 \vee (\alpha, \alpha') \in R2) \wedge$ 
  dhequality-alternative d PP (pp (V!i)) m2 m2'
by (simp add: stepResultsinR-def, auto)
}

moreover
— Case 2: first command does not terminate
{
assume passump:  $p = \text{Some } (c3; c2)$ 
assume StepAssump:  $\langle c1, m1 \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle \text{Some } c3, m2 \rangle$ 

hence Vstep-case2:  $\langle c1; c2, m1 \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle \text{Some } (c3; c2), m2 \rangle$ 
by (simp add: MWLsSteps-det.seq2)

from SdlHPPR1 StepAssump R0pair dhequal
  strongdlHPPB-aux[of d PP
    R1 0 [c1] [c1'] m1 α Some c3 m2 m1']
obtain p' c3' α' m2' where c1c1'reason:
 $p' = \text{Some } c3' \wedge \langle c1', m1' \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha' \triangleright \langle p', m2' \rangle \wedge$ 
  ([c3], [c3']) ∈ R1 ∧ ( $\alpha, \alpha'$ ) ∈ R1 ∧
  dhequality-alternative d PP (pp c1) m2 m2'
by (simp add: stepResultsinR-def, fastforce)

with eqpp c1c1'reason have conclpart:
 $\langle c1'; c2', m1' \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha' \triangleright \langle \text{Some } (c3'; c2'), m2' \rangle \wedge$ 
  dhequality-alternative d PP (pp (c1; c2)) m2 m2'

```

```

by (auto, simp add: MWLsSteps-det.seq2)

from c1c1'reason R0pair R0-def have
  ([c3;c2],[c3';c2']) ∈ R0
  by auto

with R0pair conclpart passump c1c1'reason i0
have case1-concl:
  ∃ p' α' m2'. ⟨V!i,m1⟩ →▫α'▷ ⟨p',m2⟩ ∧
    stepResultsinR p p' (R0 ∪ (R1 ∪ R2)) ∧
    ((α,α') ∈ R0 ∨ (α,α') ∈ R1 ∨ (α,α') ∈ R2) ∧
    dhequality-alternative d PP (pp (V!i)) m2 m2'
  by (simp add: stepResultsinR-def, auto)
}

ultimately
show ∃ p' α' m2'. ⟨V!i,m1⟩ →▫α'▷ ⟨p',m2⟩ ∧
  stepResultsinR p p' (R0 ∪ (R1 ∪ R2)) ∧
  ((α,α') ∈ R0 ∨ (α,α') ∈ R1 ∨ (α,α') ∈ R2) ∧
  dhequality-alternative d PP (pp (V!i)) m2 m2'
  by blast
qed

with inR0 Areflassump2 Up-To-Technique
have SdlHPPB d PP (R0 ∪ (R1 ∪ R2))
  by auto

with inR0 show ∃ R. SdlHPPB d PP R ∧ ([c1;c2],[c1;c2]) ∈ R
  by auto

qed

theorem Compositionality-Spawn:
assumes WWs-threads: WHATWHERE-Secure V
assumes uniPPspawn: unique-PPc (spawnt V)
shows WHATWHERE-Secure [spawnt V]
proof (simp add: WHATWHERE-Secure-def, auto)
fix d PP

from uniPPspawn have pp-difference: t ∉ set (PPV V)
  by (simp add: unique-PPc-def)

— Step 1
from WWs-threads obtain R' where R'assump:
  SdlHPPB d PP R' ∧ (V,V) ∈ R'
  by (simp add: WHATWHERE-Secure-def, auto)

define R where R = {(V',V''). (V',V'') ∈ R' ∧ set (PPV V') ⊆ set (PPV V) ∧ set (PPV V'') ⊆ set (PPV V)}}

```

```

from R'assump R-def SdlHPPB-restricted-on-PP-is-SdlHPPB
have SdlHPPR: SdlHPPB d PP R
  by force

— Step 2
define R0 where R0 = {(sp1,sp2).  $\exists \iota' \iota'' V' V''$ .
  sp1 = [spawn $_{\iota'} V$ ]  $\wedge$  sp2 = [spawn $_{\iota''} V'$ ]
   $\wedge \iota' \notin \text{set}(PPV V) \wedge \iota'' \notin \text{set}(PPV V) \wedge (V', V'') \in R\}$ 

with R-def R'assump pp-difference have inR0:
  ([spawn $_{\iota'} V$ ], [spawn $_{\iota''} V'$ ])  $\in$  R0
  by auto

— Step 3
from R0-def R-def R'assump have Domain R0  $\cap$  Domain R = {}
  by auto

with commonArefl-subset-commonDomain
have AreflAssump: Arefl R0  $\cap$  Arefl R  $\subseteq \{\}$ 
  by force

— Step 4
have disjuptoR0:
  disj-dlHPP-Bisimulation-Up-To-R' d PP R R0
proof (simp add: disj-dlHPP-Bisimulation-Up-To-R'-def, auto)
  from SdlHPPR show SdlHPPB d PP R
  by auto
next
  from SdlHPPR have symR: sym R
    by (simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def)
  with R0-def show sym R0
    by (simp add: sym-def, auto)
next
  from SdlHPPR have transR: trans R
    by (simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def)
  with R0-def show trans R0
    proof -
      {
        fix V1 V2 V3
        assume inR1: (V1, V2)  $\in$  R0
        assume inR2: (V2, V3)  $\in$  R0
        from inR1 R0-def obtain W W'  $\iota' \iota''$  where p1: V1 = [spawn $_{\iota'} W$ ]
           $\wedge$  V2 = [spawn $_{\iota'} W'$ ]  $\wedge \iota' \notin \text{set}(PPV V) \wedge \iota' \notin \text{set}(PPV V)$ 
           $\wedge (W, W') \in R$ 
          by auto
        with inR2 R0-def obtain W''  $\iota''$  where p2: V3 = [spawn $_{\iota''} W'$ ]
           $\wedge \iota'' \notin \text{set}(PPV V) \wedge (W', W'') \in R$ 
          by auto
      }
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

from p1 p2 transR have (W,W'') ∈ R
  by (simp add: trans-def, auto)
with p1 p2 R0-def have (V1,V3) ∈ R0
  by auto
}
thus ?thesis unfolding trans-def by blast
qed
next
fix V' V''
from SdlHPPR have eqlenR: (V',V'') ∈ R ==> length V' = length V''
  by (simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def, auto)
with R0-def show (V',V'') ∈ R0 ==> length V' = length V''
  by auto
next
fix V' V'' i
assume inR0: (V',V'') ∈ R0
assume irange: i < length V'
from inR0 R0-def obtain l' l'' W' W''
  where R0pair: V' = [spawnl' W'] ∧ V'' = [spawnl'' W'']
    by auto
{
fix m
from nextmem-exists-and-unique obtain m' where spawnnextmem:
  ∃ p α. ⟨spawnl' W',m⟩ → ◊α ⟨p,m⟩
  ∧ (∀ m''. (∃ p α. ⟨spawnl' W',m⟩ → ◊α ⟨p,m''⟩) → m'' = m')
  by force
hence m = m'
  by (metis MWLsSteps-det.spawn)
with spawnnextmem have eqnextmem:
  ⟦spawnl' W'⟧(m) = m
  by (simp add: NextMem-def, auto)
}
with R0pair irange show NDC d (V'!i)
  by (simp add: NDC-def)
next
fix V' V'' i m1 m1' m2 α p
assume inR0: (V',V'') ∈ R0
assume irange: i < length V'
assume step: ⟨V'!i,m1⟩ → ◊α ⟨p,m2⟩
assume dhequal: m1 ~d,htchLocSet PP m1'
from inR0 R0-def obtain l' l'' W' W''
  where R0pair: V' = [spawnl' W']
  ∧ V'' = [spawnl'' W''] ∧ (W',W'') ∈ R
  by auto

```

```

with step irange
have conc-step1:  $\alpha = W' \wedge p = \text{None} \wedge m2 = m1$ 
  by (simp, metis MWLsSteps-det-cases(6))

from R0pair irange
obtain  $p' \alpha' m2'$  where conc-step2:  $\langle V''!i, m1' \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha' \triangleright \langle p', m2' \rangle$ 
   $\wedge p' = \text{None} \wedge \alpha' = W'' \wedge m2' = m1'$ 
  by (simp, metis MWLsSteps-det.spawn)

with R0pair conc-step1 dhequal irange
show  $\exists p' \alpha' m2'. \langle V''!i, m1' \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha' \triangleright \langle p', m2' \rangle \wedge$ 
  stepResultsinR p p' ( $R0 \cup R$ )  $\wedge$ 
   $((\alpha, \alpha') \in R0 \vee (\alpha, \alpha') \in R) \wedge$ 
  dhequality-alternative d PP (pp (V!i)) m2 m2'
  by (simp add: stepResultsinR-def
    dhequality-alternative-def, auto)
qed
— Step 5
with Areflassump Up-To-Technique
have SdlHPPB d PP ( $R0 \cup R$ )
  by auto

with inR0 show  $\exists R. SdlHPPB d PP R \wedge$ 
   $([\text{spawn}_t V], [\text{spawn}_t V]) \in R$ 
  by auto
qed

```

theorem Compositionality-If:

```

assumes dind:  $\forall d. b \equiv_d b$ 
assumes WWs-branch1: WHATWHERE-Secure [c1]
assumes WWs-branch2: WHATWHERE-Secure [c2]
assumes uniPPif: unique-PPc (ift b then c1 else c2 fi)
shows WHATWHERE-Secure [ift b then c1 else c2 fi]
proof (simp add: WHATWHERE-Secure-def, auto)
fix d PP
from uniPPif have nocommonPP: set (PPc c1)  $\cap$  set (PPc c2) = {}
  by (simp add: unique-PPc-def)

from uniPPif have pp-difference:  $\iota \notin \text{set}(\text{PPc } c1) \cup \text{set}(\text{PPc } c2)$ 
  by (simp add: unique-PPc-def)

from WWs-branch1 obtain R1' where R1'assump:
  SdlHPPB d PP R1'  $\wedge ([c1], [c1]) \in R1'$ 
  by (simp add: WHATWHERE-Secure-def, auto)

define R1 where R1 = { $(V, V'). (V, V') \in R1' \wedge \text{set}(\text{PPV } V) \subseteq \text{set}(\text{PPc } c1)$ 
   $\wedge \text{set}(\text{PPV } V') \subseteq \text{set}(\text{PPc } c1)\}$ 

```

```

from R1'assump R1-def SdlHPPB-restricted-on-PP-is-SdlHPPB
have SdlHPPR1: SdlHPPB d PP R1
  by force

from WWs-branch2 obtain R2' where R2'assump:
  SdlHPPB d PP R2'  $\wedge$  ([c2],[c2])  $\in$  R2'
  by (simp add: WHATWHERE-Secure-def, auto)

define R2 where R2 = {(V,V'). (V,V')  $\in$  R2'  $\wedge$  set (PPV V)  $\subseteq$  set (PPc c2)
   $\wedge$  set (PPV V')  $\subseteq$  set (PPc c2)}

from R2'assump R2-def SdlHPPB-restricted-on-PP-is-SdlHPPB
have SdlHPPR2: SdlHPPB d PP R2
  by force

from nocommonPP have Domain R1  $\cap$  Domain R2  $\subseteq$  {}
  by (simp add: R1-def R2-def, auto,
        metis empty-subsetI inf-idem inf-mono set-eq-subset unique-V-uneq)

with commonArefl-subset-commonDomain
have AreflAssump1: Arefl R1  $\cap$  Arefl R2  $\subseteq$  {}
  by force

with SdlHPPR1 SdlHPPR2 Union-Strong-dlHPP-Bisim have SdlHPPR1R2:
  SdlHPPB d PP (R1  $\cup$  R2)
  by force

define R where R = (R1  $\cup$  R2)  $\cup$  {([[],[]])}

define R0 where R0 = {(i1,i2).  $\exists \iota' \iota'' b' b'' c1' c1'' c2' c2''.$ 
  i1 = [ifl b' then c1' else c2' fi]
   $\wedge$  i2 = [ifl b'' then c1'' else c2'' fi]
   $\wedge$  i'  $\notin$  (set (PPc c1)  $\cup$  set (PPc c2))
   $\wedge$  i''  $\notin$  (set (PPc c1)  $\cup$  set (PPc c2))  $\wedge$  ([c1'],[c1''])  $\in$  R1
   $\wedge$  ([c2'],[c2''])  $\in$  R2  $\wedge$  b'  $\equiv_d$  b''}

with R-def R1-def R1'assump R2-def R2'assump pp-difference dind
have inR0: ([ifl b then c1 else c2 fi],[ifl b then c1 else c2 fi])  $\in$  R0
  by auto

from R0-def R-def R1-def R2-def
have Domain R0  $\cap$  Domain R = {}
  by auto

with commonArefl-subset-commonDomain
have AreflAssump2: Arefl R0  $\cap$  Arefl R  $\subseteq$  {}

```

by force

```

have disjuptoR0:
  disj-dlHPP-Bisimulation-Up-To-R' d PP R R0
  proof (simp add: disj-dlHPP-Bisimulation-Up-To-R'-def, auto)
    from SdlHPPR1R2 adding-emptypair-keeps-SdlHPPB
    show SdlHPPB d PP R
      by (simp add: R-def)
  next
    from SdlHPPR1 have symR1: sym R1
      by (simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def)
    from SdlHPPR2 have symR2: sym R2
      by (simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def)
    from symR1 symR2 d-indistinguishable-sym R0-def show sym R0
      by (simp add: sym-def, fastforce)
  next
    from SdlHPPR1 have transR1: trans R1
      by (simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def)
    from SdlHPPR2 have transR2: trans R2
      by (simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def)
    show trans R0
    proof -
      {
        fix V' V'' V'''
        assume p1: (V',V'') ∈ R0
        assume p2: (V'',V''') ∈ R0

        from p1 R0-def obtain l' l'' b' b'' c1' c1'' c2' c2'' where
          passump1: V' = [if l' b' then c1' else c2' fi]
          ∧ V'' = [if l'' b'' then c1'' else c2'' fi]
          ∧ l' ∉ (set (PPc c1) ∪ set (PPc c2))
          ∧ l'' ∉ (set (PPc c1) ∪ set (PPc c2))
          ∧ ([c1'],[c1'']) ∈ R1 ∧ ([c2'],[c2'']) ∈ R2
          ∧ b' ≡d b''
        by force

        with p2 R0-def obtain l''' b''' c1''' c2''' where
          passump2: V''' = [if l''' b''' then c1''' else c2''' fi]
          ∧ l''' ∉ (set (PPc c1) ∪ set (PPc c2))
          ∧ ([c1'''],[c1'''']) ∈ R1 ∧ ([c2'''],[c2'''']) ∈ R2
          ∧ b'' ≡d b'''
        by force

        with passump1 transR1 transR2 d-indistinguishable-trans
        have ([c1'],[c1'']) ∈ R1 ∧ ([c2'],[c2'']) ∈ R2
          ∧ b' ≡d b'''
        by (metis transD)

        with passump1 passump2 R0-def have (V',V''') ∈ R0
      }
    
```

```

        by auto
    }
    thus ?thesis unfolding trans-def by blast
qed
next
fix V V'
assume inR0: (V,V') ∈ R0
with R0-def show length V = length V' by auto
next
fix V' V'' i
assume inR0: (V',V'') ∈ R0
assume irange: i < length V'
assume notIDC: ¬ IDC d (V'!i) (htchLoc (pp (V'!i)))
from inR0 R0-def obtain i' i'' b' b'' c1' c1'' c2' c2''
where R0pair: V' = [ifi' b' then c1' else c2' fi]
      ∧ V'' = [ifi'' b'' then c1'' else c2'' fi]
      ∧ i' ∉ set (PPc c1) ∪ set (PPc c2)
      ∧ i'' ∉ set (PPc c1) ∪ set (PPc c2)
      ∧ ([c1'],[c1'']) ∈ R1 ∧ ([c2'],[c2'']) ∈ R2
      ∧ b' ≡d b''
by force

have NDC d (ifi' b' then c1' else c2' fi)
proof -
{
fix m
from nextmem-exists-and-unique obtain m' p α where ifnextmem:
⟨ifi' b' then c1' else c2' fi,m⟩ →α ⟨p,m'⟩
∧ (∀m''.(∃p α.⟨ifi' b' then c1' else c2' fi,m⟩ →α ⟨p,m''⟩)
→ m'' = m')
by blast

hence m = m'
by (metis MWLsSteps-det.iffalse MWLsSteps-det.iftrue)

with ifnextmem have eqnextmem:
[ifi' b' then c1' else c2' fi](m) = m
by (simp add: NextMem-def, auto)
}
thus ?thesis
by (simp add: NDC-def)
qed

with R0pair irange show NDC d (V'!i)
by simp
next
fix V' V'' i m1' m2' α p
assume inR0: (V',V'') ∈ R0

```

```

assume irange:  $i < \text{length } V'$ 
assume step:  $\langle V'!i, m1 \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m2 \rangle$ 
assume dhequal:  $m1 \sim_{d, \text{htchLocSet}} PP m1'$ 

from inR0 R0-def obtain  $\iota' \iota'' b' b'' c1' c1'' c2' c2''$ 
  where R0pair:  $V' = [\text{if } \iota' \text{ then } c1' \text{ else } c2' \text{ fi}]$ 
     $\wedge V'' = [\text{if } \iota'' \text{ then } c1'' \text{ else } c2'' \text{ fi}]$ 
     $\wedge \iota' \notin \text{set}(PPc c1) \cup \text{set}(PPc c2)$ 
     $\wedge \iota'' \notin \text{set}(PPc c1) \cup \text{set}(PPc c2)$ 
     $\wedge ([c1'], [c1'']) \in R1 \wedge ([c2'], [c2'']) \in R2$ 
     $\wedge b' \equiv_d b''$ 
  by force

with R0pair irange step have case-distinction:
   $(p = \text{Some } c1' \wedge \text{BMap}(E b' m1) = \text{True})$ 
   $\vee (p = \text{Some } c2' \wedge \text{BMap}(E b' m1) = \text{False})$ 
  by (simp, metis MWLsSteps-det-cases(4))

moreover
— Case 1: b evaluates to True
{
  assume passump:  $p = \text{Some } c1'$ 
  assume eval:  $\text{BMap}(E b' m1) = \text{True}$ 

  from R0pair step irange have stepconcl:  $\alpha = [] \wedge m2 = m1$ 
    by (simp, metis MWLs-semantics.MWLsSteps-det-cases(4))

  from eval R0pair dhequal have eval':  $\text{BMap}(E b'' m1') = \text{True}$ 
    by (simp add: d-indistinguishable-def dH-equal-def, auto)

  hence step':  $\langle \text{if } \iota'' \text{ then } c1'' \text{ else } c2'' \text{ fi}, m1' \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft [] \triangleright$ 
     $\langle \text{Some } c1'', m1' \rangle$ 
    by (simp add: MWLsSteps-det.iftrue)

with passump R0pair R-def dhequal stepconcl irange
have  $\exists p' \alpha' m2'. \langle V'!i, m1' \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha' \triangleright \langle p', m2' \rangle \wedge$ 
  stepResultsinR p p' (R0  $\cup$  R)  $\wedge$ 
   $((\alpha, \alpha') \in R0 \vee (\alpha, \alpha') \in R) \wedge$ 
  dhequality-alternative d PP (pp (V'!i)) m2 m2'
  by (simp add: stepResultsinR-def dhequality-alternative-def,
  auto)
}

moreover
— Case 2: b evaluates to False
{
  assume passump:  $p = \text{Some } c2'$ 
  assume eval:  $\text{BMap}(E b' m1) = \text{False}$ 

  from R0pair step irange have stepconcl:  $\alpha = [] \wedge m2 = m1$ 
    by (simp, metis MWLs-semantics.MWLsSteps-det-cases(4))

```

```

from eval R0pair dhequal have eval': BMap (E b'' m1') = False
  by (simp add: d-indistinguishable-def dH-equal-def, auto)

hence step': ⟨ift'' b'' then c1'' else c2'' fi, m1'⟩ → ◊[]▷
  ⟨Some c2'', m1'⟩
  by (simp add: MWLsSteps-det.iffalse)

with passump R0pair R-def dhequal stepconcl irange
have ∃ p' α' m2'. ⟨V''!i, m1'⟩ → ◊α'▷ ⟨p', m2'⟩ ∧
  stepResultsinR p p' (R0 ∪ R) ∧
  ((α, α') ∈ R0 ∨ (α, α') ∈ R) ∧
  dhequality-alternative d PP (pp (V''!i)) m2 m2'
  by (simp add: stepResultsinR-def dhequality-alternative-def,
        auto)
}

ultimately
show ∃ p' α' m2'. ⟨V''!i, m1'⟩ → ◊α'▷ ⟨p', m2'⟩ ∧
  stepResultsinR p p' (R0 ∪ R) ∧
  ((α, α') ∈ R0 ∨ (α, α') ∈ R) ∧
  dhequality-alternative d PP (pp (V''!i)) m2 m2'
  by auto
qed

with Areflassump2 Up-To-Technique
have SdlHPPB d PP (R0 ∪ R)
  by auto

with inR0 show ∃ R. SdlHPPB d PP R
  ∧ ([ift b then c1 else c2 fi], [ift b then c1 else c2 fi]) ∈ R
  by auto

qed

theorem Compositionality-While:
assumes dind: ∀ d. b ≡d b
assumes WWs-body: WHATWHERE-Secure [c]
assumes uniPPwhile: unique-PPc (whilet b do c od)
shows WHATWHERE-Secure [whilet b do c od]
proof (simp add: WHATWHERE-Secure-def, auto)
  fix d PP

from uniPPwhile have pp-difference: t ∉ set (PPc c)
  by (simp add: unique-PPc-def)

from WWs-body obtain R' where R'assump:
  SdlHPPB d PP R' ∧ ([c], [c]) ∈ R'
  by (simp add: WHATWHERE-Secure-def, auto)

```

— add the empty pair because it is needed later in the proof

```

define R where R = { (V,V'). (V,V') ∈ R' ∧ set (PPV V) ⊆ set (PPc c) ∧
set (PPV V') ⊆ set (PPc c) } ∪ { ([][]) }

```

with R'assump SdlHPPB-restricted-on-PP-is-SdlHPPB
adding-emptypair-keeps-SdlHPPB

```

have SdlHPPR: SdlHPPB d PP R

```

proof —

```

from R'assump SdlHPPB-restricted-on-PP-is-SdlHPPB have
SdlHPPB d PP
{ (V,V'). (V,V') ∈ R' ∧ set (PPV V) ⊆ set (PPc c) ∧
set (PPV V') ⊆ set (PPc c) }

```

by force

with adding-emptypair-keeps-SdlHPPB **have**
SdlHPPB d PP

```

{ { (V,V'). (V,V') ∈ R' ∧ set (PPV V) ⊆ set (PPc c) ∧
set (PPV V') ⊆ set (PPc c) } ∪ { ([][]) } }

```

by auto

with R-def **show** ?thesis
by auto

qed

```

define R1 where R1 = { (w1,w2). ∃ i i' b b' c1 c1' c2 c2'.
w1 = [c1;(whilei b do c2 od)]
∧ w2 = [c1';(whilei' b' do c2' od)]
∧ i ∉ set (PPc c) ∧ i' ∉ set (PPc c)
∧ ([c1],[c1']) ∈ R ∧ ([c2],[c2']) ∈ R
∧ b ≡d b' }

define R2 where R2 = { (w1,w2). ∃ i i' b b' c1 c1'.
w1 = [whilei b do c1 od]
∧ w2 = [whilei' b' do c1' od]
∧ i ∉ set (PPc c) ∧ i' ∉ set (PPc c) ∧
([c1],[c1']) ∈ R ∧ b ≡d b' }

define R0 where R0 = R1 ∪ R2

from R2-def R-def R'assump pp-difference dind
have inR2: ([whilei b do c od],[whilei' b do c od]) ∈ R2
by auto

from R0-def R1-def R2-def R-def R'assump have
Domain R0 ∩ Domain R = {}
by auto

with commonArefl-subset-commonDomain
have AreflAssump: Arefl R0 ∩ Arefl R ⊆ {[]}

```

by force

— show some facts about R1 and R2 needed later in the proof at several positions

from *SdlHPPR* **have** *symR*: *sym R*
by (*simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def*)

from *symR* *R1-def d-indistinguishable-sym* **have** *symR1*: *sym R1*
by (*simp add: sym-def, fastforce*)

from *symR* *R2-def d-indistinguishable-sym* **have** *symR2*: *sym R2*
by (*simp add: sym-def, fastforce*)

have *disjuptoR1R2*:
disj-dlHPP-Bisimulation-Up-To-R' d PP R R0
proof (*simp add: disj-dlHPP-Bisimulation-Up-To-R'-def, auto*)
from *SdlHPPR* **show** *SdlHPPB d PP R*
by *auto*

next
from *symR1* *symR2* *R0-def* **show** *sym R0*
by (*simp add: sym-def*)

next
from *SdlHPPR* **have** *transR*: *trans R*
by (*simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def*)

have *transR1*: *trans R1*
proof –
{
fix *V V' V''*
assume *p1*: $(V, V') \in R1$
assume *p2*: $(V', V'') \in R1$

from *p1 R1-def obtain* *l l' b b' c1 c1' c2 c2'* **where** *passump1*:
V = [c1; (while_l b do c2 od)]
 $\wedge V' = [c1'; (while_{l'} b' do c2' od)]$
 $\wedge l \notin \text{set}(PPc c) \wedge l' \notin \text{set}(PPc c)$
 $\wedge ([c1], [c1']) \in R \wedge ([c2], [c2']) \in R$
 $\wedge b \equiv_d b'$
by force

with *p2 R1-def obtain* *l'' b'' c1'' c2''* **where** *passump2*:
V'' = [c1''; (while_{l''} b'' do c2'' od)] $\wedge l'' \notin \text{set}(PPc c)$
 $\wedge ([c1'], [c1'']) \in R \wedge ([c2'], [c2'']) \in R$
 $\wedge b' \equiv_d b''$
by force

with *passump1 transR d-indistinguishable-trans*
have $([c1], [c1']) \in R \wedge ([c2], [c2']) \in R \wedge b \equiv_d b''$
by (*metis trans-def*)

with *passump1 passump2 R1-def have* $(V, V'') \in R1$
by *auto*

}

```

thus ?thesis unfolding trans-def by blast
qed

have transR2: trans R2
proof -
{
fix V V' V"
assume p1: (V,V') ∈ R2
assume p2: (V',V") ∈ R2

from p1 R2-def obtain i i' b b' c1 c1' where passump1:
V = [whilei b do c1 od]
∧ V' = [whilei' b' do c1' od]
∧ i ∉ set (PPc c) ∧ i' ∉ set (PPc c)
∧ ([c1],[c1']) ∈ R ∧ b ≡d b'
by force

with p2 R2-def obtain i'' b'' c1'' where passump2:
V'' = [whilei'' b'' do c1'' od] ∧ i'' ∉ set (PPc c)
∧ ([c1'],[c1'']) ∈ R ∧ b' ≡d b''
by force

with passump1 transR d-indistinguishable-trans
have ([c1],[c1'']) ∈ R ∧ b ≡d b''
by (metis trans-def)

with passump1 passump2 R2-def have (V,V'') ∈ R2
by auto
}
thus ?thesis unfolding trans-def by blast
qed

from SdlHPPR have eqlenR: ∀(V,V') ∈ R. length V = length V'
by (simp add: Strong-dlHPP-Bisimulation-def)
from R1-def eqlenR have eqlenR1: ∀(V,V') ∈ R1. length V = length V'
by auto
from R2-def eqlenR have eqlenR2: ∀(V,V') ∈ R2. length V = length V'
by auto

from R1-def R2-def have Domain R1 ∩ Domain R2 = {}
by auto

with commonArefl-subset-commonDomain have Arefl-a:
Arefl R1 ∩ Arefl R2 = {}
by force

with symR1 symR2 transR1 transR2 eqlenR1 eqlenR2 trans-RuR'
have trans (R1 ∪ R2)
by force

```

```

with R0-def show trans R0 by auto
next
fix V V'
assume inR0: (V,V') ∈ R0
with R0-def R1-def R2-def show length V = length V' by auto
next
fix V V' i
assume inR0: (V,V') ∈ R0
assume irange: i < length V
assume notIDC: ¬ IDC d (V!i)
(htchLoc (pp (V!i)))

from inR0 R0-def R1-def R2-def obtain i i' b b' c1 c1' c2 c2'
where R0pair: ((V = [c1;(whilet b do c2 od)]
∧ V' = [c1';(whilet' b' do c2' od)])
∨ (V = [whilet b do c1 od] ∧ V' = [whilet' b' do c1' od]))
∧ i ∉ set (PPc c) ∧ i' ∉ set (PPc c)
∧ ([c1],[c1']) ∈ R ∧ ([c2],[c2']) ∈ R
∧ b ≡d b'
by force

with irange SdHPPR strongdlHPPB-NDCIDCaux
[of d PP R [c1] [c1'] i]
have c1NDCIDC:
  NDC d c1 ∨ IDC d c1 (htchLoc (pp c1))
  by auto

— first alternative for V and V'
have case1: NDC d (c1;(whilet b do c2 od)) ∨
  IDC d (c1;(whilet b do c2 od))
  (htchLoc (pp (c1;(whilet b do c2 od))))
proof —
have eqnextmem: ∀ m. [[c1;(whilet b do c2 od)](m) = [[c1]](m)
proof —
fix m
from nextmem-exists-and-unique obtain m' where c1nextmem:
  ∃ p α. ⟨c1,m⟩ →α ⟨p,m'⟩
  ∧ (∀ m''. (∃ p α. ⟨c1,m⟩ →α ⟨p,m''⟩) → m'' = m')
  by force

hence eqdir1: [[c1]](m) = m'
  by (simp add: NextMem-def, auto)

from c1nextmem obtain p α where ⟨c1,m⟩ →α ⟨p,m'⟩
  by auto

with c1nextmem have
  ∃ p α. ⟨c1;(whilet b do c2 od),m⟩ →α ⟨p,m'⟩
  ∧ (∀ m''. (∃ p α. ⟨c1;(whilet b do c2 od),m⟩ →α ⟨p,m''⟩)
```

```

 $\longrightarrow m'' = m'$ 
by (auto, metis MWLsSteps-det.seq1 MWLsSteps-det.seq2
      option.exhaust, metis MWLsSteps-det-cases(3))

hence eqdir2:  $\llbracket c1; (while_t b \text{ do } c2 od) \rrbracket(m) = m'$ 
by (simp add: NextMem-def, auto)

with eqdir1 show  $\llbracket c1; (while_t b \text{ do } c2 od) \rrbracket(m) = \llbracket c1 \rrbracket(m)$ 
by auto
qed

have eqpp: pp (c1;(whilet b do c2 od)) = pp c1
by simp

with c1NDCIDC eqnextmem show
  NDC d (c1;(whilet b do c2 od)) ∨
  IDC d (c1;(whilet b do c2 od))
  (htchLoc (pp (c1;(whilet b do c2 od))))
by (simp add: IDC-def NDC-def)
qed

— second alternative for V V'
have case2: NDC d (whilet b do c1 od)
proof —
{
  fix m
  from nextmem-exists-and-unique obtain m' p α
    where whilenextmem:  $\langle while_t b \text{ do } c1 od, m \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m' \rangle$ 
     $\wedge (\forall m''. (\exists p \alpha. \langle while_t b \text{ do } c1 od, m \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m'' \rangle) \rightarrow m'' = m')$ 
    by blast

  hence m = m'
  by (metis MWLsSteps-det.whilefalse MWLsSteps-det.whiletrue)

  with whilenextmem have eqnextmem:
     $\llbracket while_t b \text{ do } c1 od \rrbracket(m) = m$ 
    by (simp add: NextMem-def, auto)
}
thus NDC d (whilet b do c1 od)
  by (simp add: NDC-def)
qed

from R0pair case1 case2 irange notIDC
show NDC d (V!i)
  by force
next
  fix V V' i m1 m1' m2 α p
  assume inR0:  $(V, V') \in R0$ 

```

```

assume irange:  $i < \text{length } V$ 
assume step:  $\langle V!i, m1 \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m2 \rangle$ 
assume dhequal:  $m1 \sim_{d, \text{htchLocSet}} PP m1'$ 

from inR0 R0-def R1-def R2-def obtain  $\iota \iota' b b' c1 c1' c2 c2'$ 
  where R0pair:  $((V = [c1; (\text{while}_\iota b \text{ do } c2 od)])$ 
   $\wedge V' = [c1'; (\text{while}_{\iota'} b' \text{ do } c2' od)])$ 
   $\vee (V = [\text{while}_\iota b \text{ do } c1 od] \wedge V' = [\text{while}_{\iota'} b' \text{ do } c1' od]))$ 
   $\wedge \iota \notin \text{set}(PPc c) \wedge \iota' \notin \text{set}(PPc c)$ 
   $\wedge ([c1], [c1']) \in R \wedge ([c2], [c2']) \in R$ 
   $\wedge b \equiv_d b'$ 
  by force

```

— Case 1: V and V' are sequential commands

```

have case1:  $\llbracket V = [c1; (\text{while}_\iota b \text{ do } c2 od)] ;$ 
   $V' = [c1'; (\text{while}_{\iota'} b' \text{ do } c2' od)] \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \exists p' \alpha' m2'. \langle V!i, m1' \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha' \triangleright \langle p', m2' \rangle \wedge$ 
  stepResultsinR p p' (R0  $\cup$  R)  $\wedge ((\alpha, \alpha') \in R0 \vee (\alpha, \alpha') \in R) \wedge$ 
  dhequality-alternative d PP (pp (V!i)) m2 m2'
proof —
  assume Vassump:  $V = [c1; (\text{while}_\iota b \text{ do } c2 od)]$ 
  assume V'assump:  $V' = [c1'; (\text{while}_{\iota'} b' \text{ do } c2' od)]$ 

```

```

have eqpp: pp (c1; (while $\iota$  b do c2 od)) = pp c1
  by simp

```

```

from Vassump irange step irange obtain c3
  where case-distinction:
   $(p = \text{Some } (\text{while}_\iota b \text{ do } c2 od) \wedge \langle c1, m1 \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle \text{None}, m2 \rangle)$ 
   $\vee (p = \text{Some } (c3; (\text{while}_\iota b \text{ do } c2 od)))$ 
   $\wedge \langle c1, m1 \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle \text{Some } c3, m2 \rangle)$ 
  by (simp, metis MWLsSteps-det-cases(3))

```

moreover

— Case 1a: first command terminates

```

{
  assume passump:  $p = \text{Some } (\text{while}_\iota b \text{ do } c2 od)$ 
  assume stepassump:  $\langle c1, m1 \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle \text{None}, m2 \rangle$ 

```

```

with R0pair SdlHPPR dhequal
strongdlHPPB-aux[of d PP R
- [c1] [c1'] m1 α None m2 m1']
obtain p' α' m2' where c1c1'reason:
 $p' = \text{None} \wedge \langle c1', m1' \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha' \triangleright \langle p', m2' \rangle \wedge (\alpha, \alpha') \in R \wedge$ 
  dhequality-alternative d PP (pp c1) m2 m2'
  by (simp add: stepResultsinR-def, fastforce)

```

hence conclpart:

```

 $\langle c1'; (\text{while}_{\iota'} b' \text{ do } c2' od), m1' \rangle$ 
 $\rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha' \triangleright \langle \text{Some } (\text{while}_{\iota'} b' \text{ do } c2' od), m2' \rangle$ 

```

```

by (auto, simp add: MWLsSteps-det.seq1)

from R0pair R0-def R2-def have
  ([whilet b do c2 od],[whilet' b' do c2' od]) ∈ R0
  by simp

with passump V'assump Vassump eqpp conclpart
  c1c1'reason irange
have ∃ p' α' m2'. ⟨V'!i,m1⟩ → ◁α'▷ ⟨p',m2'⟩ ∧
  stepResultsinR p p' (R0 ∪ R) ∧ ((α,α') ∈ R0 ∨
  (α,α') ∈ R) ∧
  dhequality-alternative d PP (pp (V!i)) m2 m2'
  by (simp add: stepResultsinR-def, auto)
}

moreover
— Case 1b: first command does not terminate
{
  assume passump: p = Some (c3;(whilet b do c2 od))
  assume stepassump: ⟨c1,m1⟩ → ◁α▷ ⟨Some c3,m2⟩

  with R0pair SdlHPPR dhequal
  strongdlHPPB-aux[of d PP R
  - [c1] [c1'] m1 α Some c3 m2 m1']
  obtain p' c3' α' m2' where c1c1'reason:
    p' = Some c3' ∧ ⟨c1',m1⟩ → ◁α'▷ ⟨p',m2'⟩ ∧
    ([c3],[c3']) ∈ R ∧ (α,α') ∈ R ∧
    dhequality-alternative d PP (pp c1) m2 m2'
    by (simp add: stepResultsinR-def, fastforce)

  hence conclpart:
    ⟨c1';(whilet' b' do c2' od),m1⟩ → ◁α'▷
    ⟨Some (c3';whilet' b' do c2' od),m2'⟩
    by (auto, simp add: MWLsSteps-det.seq2)

from c1c1'reason R0pair R0-def R1-def have
  ([c3;whilet b do c2 od],[c3';whilet' b' do c2' od]) ∈ R0
  by simp

with passump V'assump Vassump eqpp conclpart c1c1'reason irange
have ∃ p' α' m2'. ⟨V'!i,m1⟩ → ◁α'▷ ⟨p',m2'⟩ ∧
  stepResultsinR p p' (R0 ∪ R) ∧
  ((α,α') ∈ R0 ∨ (α,α') ∈ R) ∧
  dhequality-alternative d PP (pp (V!i)) m2 m2'
  by (simp add: stepResultsinR-def, auto)
}

ultimately show ∃ p' α' m2'. ⟨V'!i,m1⟩ → ◁α'▷ ⟨p',m2'⟩ ∧
  stepResultsinR p p' (R0 ∪ R) ∧
  ((α,α') ∈ R0 ∨ (α,α') ∈ R) ∧
  dhequality-alternative d PP (pp (V!i)) m2 m2'

```

by auto
qed

— Case 2: V and V' are while commands

have case2: $\llbracket V = [\text{while}_t b \text{ do } c1 \text{ od}]; V' = [\text{while}_{t'} b' \text{ do } c1' \text{ od}] \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists p' \alpha' m2'. \langle V'!i, m1' \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha' \triangleright \langle p', m2' \rangle \wedge$
 $\text{stepResultsinR } p \text{ } p' (R0 \cup R) \wedge ((\alpha, \alpha') \in R0 \vee (\alpha, \alpha') \in R) \wedge$
 $\text{dhequality-alternative } d \text{ } \text{PP } (pp(V!i)) \text{ } m2 \text{ } m2'$

proof —

assume Vassump: $V = [\text{while}_t b \text{ do } c1 \text{ od}]$
assume V'assump: $V' = [\text{while}_{t'} b' \text{ do } c1' \text{ od}]$

from Vassump irange step **have** case-distinction:
 $(p = \text{None} \wedge \text{BMap}(E b m1) = \text{False})$
 $\vee p = (\text{Some } (c1; \text{while}_t b \text{ do } c1 \text{ od})) \wedge \text{BMap}(E b m1) = \text{True}$
by (simp, metis MWLsSteps-det-cases(5) option.simps(2))

moreover
— Case 2a: b evaluates to False

{

assume passump: $p = \text{None}$
assume eval: $\text{BMap}(E b m1) = \text{False}$

with Vassump step irange **have** stepconcl: $\alpha = [] \wedge m2 = m1$
by (simp, metis (no-types) MWLsSteps-det-cases(5))

from eval R0pair dhequal **have** eval': $\text{BMap}(E b' m1') = \text{False}$
by (simp add: d-indistinguishable-def dH-equal-def, auto)

hence $\langle \text{while}_{t'} b' \text{ do } c1' \text{ od}, m1' \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft [] \triangleright \langle \text{None}, m1' \rangle$
by (simp add: MWLsSteps-det.whilefalse)

with passump R-def Vassump V'assump stepconcl dhequal irange
have $\exists p' \alpha' m2'. \langle V'!i, m1' \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha' \triangleright \langle p', m2' \rangle \wedge$
 $\text{stepResultsinR } p \text{ } p' (R0 \cup R) \wedge ((\alpha, \alpha') \in R0 \vee (\alpha, \alpha') \in R) \wedge$
 $\text{dhequality-alternative } d \text{ } \text{PP } (pp(V!i)) \text{ } m2 \text{ } m2'$
by (simp add: stepResultsinR-def dhequality-alternative-def, auto)

}

moreover
— Case 2b: b evaluates to True

{

assume passump: $p = (\text{Some } (c1; \text{while}_t b \text{ do } c1 \text{ od}))$
assume eval: $\text{BMap}(E b m1) = \text{True}$

with Vassump step irange **have** stepconcl: $\alpha = [] \wedge m2 = m1$
by (simp, metis (no-types) MWLsSteps-det-cases(5))

from eval R0pair dhequal **have** eval':
 $\text{BMap}(E b' m1') = \text{True}$

```

by (simp add: d-indistinguishable-def dH-equal-def, auto)

hence step': ⟨whilet' b' do c1' od,m1' ⟩ → ◁[]▷
  ⟨Some (c1';whilet' b' do c1' od),m1' ⟩
  by (simp add: MWLsSteps-det.whiletrue)

from R1-def R0pair have inR1:
  ([c1;whilet b do c1 od],[c1';whilet' b' do c1' od]) ∈ R1
  by auto

with step' R0-def passump R-def Vassump V'assump
stepconcl dhequal irange
have ∃ p' α' m2'. ⟨V!i,m1'⟩ → ◁α'▷ ⟨p',m2'⟩ ∧
stepResultsinR p p' (R0 ∪ R) ∧ ((α,α') ∈ R0 ∨ (α,α') ∈ R) ∧
dhequality-alternative d PP (pp (V!i)) m2 m2'
  by (simp add: stepResultsinR-def dhequality-alternative-def,
auto)
}

ultimately
show ∃ p' α' m2'. ⟨V!i,m1'⟩ → ◁α'▷ ⟨p',m2'⟩ ∧
stepResultsinR p p' (R0 ∪ R) ∧ ((α,α') ∈ R0 ∨ (α,α') ∈ R) ∧
dhequality-alternative d PP (pp (V!i)) m2 m2'
  by auto
qed

with case1 R0pair show ∃ p' α' m2'. ⟨V!i,m1'⟩ → ◁α'▷ ⟨p',m2'⟩ ∧
stepResultsinR p p' (R0 ∪ R) ∧ ((α,α') ∈ R0 ∨ (α,α') ∈ R) ∧
dhequality-alternative d PP (pp (V!i)) m2 m2'
  by auto
qed

with Areflassump Up-To-Technique
have SdlHPPB d PP (R0 ∪ R)
  by auto

with inR2 R0-def show ∃ R. SdlHPPB d PP R ∧
  ([whilet b do c od], [whilet b do c od]) ∈ R
  by auto
qed

end

end

```

4 Security type system

4.1 Abstract security type system with soundness proof

We formalize an abstract version of the type system in [2] using locales [1]. Our formalization of the type system is abstract in the sense that the rules specify abstract semantic side conditions on the expressions within a command that satisfy for proving the soundness of the rules. That is, it can be instantiated with different syntactic approximations for these semantic side conditions in order to achieve a type system for a concrete language for Boolean and arithmetic expressions. Obtaining a soundness proof for such a concrete type system then boils down to proving that the concrete type system interprets the abstract type system.

We prove the soundness of the abstract type system by simply applying the compositionality results proven before.

```

theory Type-System
imports Language-Composition
begin

locale Type-System =
  WWP?: WHATWHERE-Secure-Programs E BMap DA lH
  for E :: ('exp, 'id, 'val) Evalfunction
  and BMap :: 'val ⇒ bool
  and DA :: ('id, 'd::order) DomainAssignment
  and lH :: ('d, 'exp) lHatches
+
fixes
  AssignSideCondition :: 'id ⇒ 'exp ⇒ nat ⇒ bool
  and WhileSideCondition :: 'exp ⇒ bool
  and IfSideCondition :: 
    'exp ⇒ ('exp, 'id) MWLsCom ⇒ ('exp, 'id) MWLsCom ⇒ bool
assumes semAssignSC: AssignSideCondition x e i ==>
  e ≡DA x, (htchLoc i) e ∧ (∀ m m' d i'. (m ~d, (htchLoc i') m' ∧
  [x :=i e](m) =d [x :=i e](m')) → [x :=i e](m) ~d, (htchLoc i') [x :=i e](m'))
and semWhileSC: WhileSideCondition e ==> ∀ d. e ≡d e
and semIfSC: IfSideCondition e c1 c2 ==> ∀ d. e ≡d e
begin

```

— Security typing rules for the language commands

```

inductive
  ComSecTyping :: ('exp, 'id) MWLsCom ⇒ bool
  (⊢C -)
  and ComSecTypingL :: ('exp, 'id) MWLsCom list ⇒ bool
  (⊢V -)
where

```

$\text{Skip} : \vdash_{\mathcal{C}} \text{skip}_{\iota} |$
 $\text{Assign} : [\llbracket \text{AssignSideCondition } x e \iota \rrbracket] \implies \vdash_{\mathcal{C}} x :=_{\iota} e |$
 $\text{Spawn} : [\llbracket \vdash_{\mathcal{V}} V \rrbracket] \implies \vdash_{\mathcal{C}} \text{spawn}_{\iota} V |$
 $\text{Seq} : [\llbracket \vdash_{\mathcal{C}} c_1; \vdash_{\mathcal{C}} c_2 \rrbracket] \implies \vdash_{\mathcal{C}} c_1; c_2 |$
 $\text{While} : [\llbracket \vdash_{\mathcal{C}} c; \text{WhileSideCondition } b \rrbracket]$
 $\implies \vdash_{\mathcal{C}} \text{while}_{\iota} b \text{ do } c \text{ od} |$
 $\text{If} : [\llbracket \vdash_{\mathcal{C}} c_1; \vdash_{\mathcal{C}} c_2; \text{IfSideCondition } b c_1 c_2 \rrbracket]$
 $\implies \vdash_{\mathcal{C}} \text{if}_{\iota} b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \text{ fi} |$
 $\text{Parallel} : [\forall i < \text{length } V. \vdash_{\mathcal{C}} V!i] \implies \vdash_{\mathcal{V}} V$

inductive-cases parallel-cases:

$\vdash_{\mathcal{V}} V$

definition auxiliary-predicate

where

auxiliary-predicate $V \equiv \text{unique-PPV } V \longrightarrow \text{WHATWHERE-Secure } V$

— soundness proof of abstract type system

theorem *ComSecTyping-single-is-sound*:

```

 $[\llbracket \vdash_{\mathcal{C}} c; \text{unique-PPc } c \rrbracket]$ 
 $\implies \text{WHATWHERE-Secure } [c]$ 
proof (induct rule: ComSecTyping-ComSecTypingL.inducts(1)
  [of - - auxiliary-predicate], simp-all add: auxiliary-predicate-def)
  fix  $\iota$ 
  show WHATWHERE-Secure [skip $_{\iota}$ ]
    by (metis WHATWHERE-Secure-Skip)
next
  fix  $x e \iota$ 
  assume AssignSideCondition  $x e \iota$ 
  thus WHATWHERE-Secure [ $x :=_{\iota} e$ ]
    by (metis WHATWHERE-Secure-Assign semAssignSC)
next
  fix  $V \iota$ 
  assume IH: unique-PPV  $V \longrightarrow \text{WHATWHERE-Secure } V$ 
  assume uniPPspawn: unique-PPc ( $\text{spawn}_{\iota} V$ )
  hence unique-PPV  $V$ 
    by (simp add: unique-PPV-def unique-PPc-def)
  with IH have WHATWHERE-Secure  $V$ 
    ..
  with uniPPspawn show WHATWHERE-Secure [ $\text{spawn}_{\iota} V$ ]
    by (metis Compositionality-Spawn)
next
  fix  $c_1 c_2$ 
  assume IH1: unique-PPc  $c_1 \implies \text{WHATWHERE-Secure } [c_1]$ 
  assume IH2: unique-PPc  $c_2 \implies \text{WHATWHERE-Secure } [c_2]$ 
  assume uniPPc1c2: unique-PPc ( $c_1; c_2$ )
  from uniPPc1c2 have uniPPc1: unique-PPc  $c_1$ 
    by (simp add: unique-PPc-def)
  with IH1 have IS1: WHATWHERE-Secure [ $c_1$ ]

```

```

from uniPPc1c2 have uniPPc2: unique-PPc c2
  by (simp add: unique-PPc-def)
with IH2 have IS2: WHATWHERE-Secure [c2]
.

from IS1 IS2 uniPPc1c2 show WHATWHERE-Secure [c1;c2]
  by (metis Compositionality-Seq)
next
fix c b i
assume SC: WhileSideCondition b
assume IH: unique-PPc c ==> WHATWHERE-Secure [c]
assume uniPPwhile: unique-PPc (whilet b do c od)
hence unique-PPc c
  by (simp add: unique-PPc-def)
with IH have WHATWHERE-Secure [c]
.

with uniPPwhile SC show WHATWHERE-Secure [whilet b do c od]
  by (metis Compositionality-While semWhileSC)
next
fix c1 c2 b i
assume SC: IfSideCondition b c1 c2
assume IH1: unique-PPc c1 ==> WHATWHERE-Secure [c1]
assume IH2: unique-PPc c2 ==> WHATWHERE-Secure [c2]
assume uniPPif: unique-PPc (ift b then c1 else c2 fi)
from uniPPif have unique-PPc c1
  by (simp add: unique-PPc-def)
with IH1 have IS1: WHATWHERE-Secure [c1]
.

from uniPPif have unique-PPc c2
  by (simp add: unique-PPc-def)
with IH2 have IS2: WHATWHERE-Secure [c2]
.

from IS1 IS2 SC uniPPif show
  WHATWHERE-Secure [ift b then c1 else c2 fi]
  by (metis Compositionality-If semIfSC)
next
fix V
assume IH:  $\forall i < \text{length } V. \vdash_C V ! i \wedge$ 
  (unique-PPc (V!i) ==> WHATWHERE-Secure [V!i])
have unique-PPV V ==> ( $\forall i < \text{length } V. \text{unique-PPc} (V!i)$ )
  by (metis uniPPV-uniPPc)
with IH have unique-PPV V ==> ( $\forall i < \text{length } V. \text{WHATWHERE-Secure} [V!i]$ )
  by auto
thus uniPPV: unique-PPV V ==> WHATWHERE-Secure V
  by (metis parallel-composition)
qed

```

```

theorem ComSecTyping-list-is-sound:
   $\llbracket \vdash_{\mathcal{V}} V; \text{unique-PPV } V \rrbracket \implies \text{WHATWHERE-Secure } V$ 
  by (metis ComSecTyping-single-is-sound parallel-cases
    parallel-composition uniPPV-uniPPc)

end

end

```

4.2 Example language for Boolean and arithmetic expressions

As an example, we provide a simple example language for instantiating the parameter `'exp` for the language for Boolean and arithmetic expressions (from the entry Strong-Security).

```

theory Expr
imports Types
begin

— type parameters:
— 'val: numbers, boolean constants....
— 'id: identifier names

type-synonym ('val) operation = 'val list  $\Rightarrow$  'val

datatype (dead 'id, dead 'val) Expr =
  Const 'val |
  Var 'id |
  Op 'val operation (('id, 'val) Expr) list

— defining a simple recursive evaluation function on this datatype
primrec ExprEval :: (('id, 'val) Expr, 'id, 'val) Evalfunction
and ExprEvalL :: (('id, 'val) Expr) list  $\Rightarrow$  ('id, 'val) State  $\Rightarrow$  'val list
where
  ExprEval (Const v) m = v |
  ExprEval (Var x) m = (m x) |
  ExprEval (Op f arglist) m = (f (ExprEvalL arglist m)) |

  ExprEvalL [] m = [] |
  ExprEvalL (e#V) m = (ExprEval e m) # (ExprEvalL V m)

end

```

4.3 Example interpretation of abstract security type system

Using the example instantiation of the language for Boolean and arithmetic expressions, we give an example instantiation of our abstract security type system, instantiating the parameter for domains ' d ' with a two-level security lattice (from the entry Strong-Security).

```
theory Domain-example
```

```
imports Expr
```

```
begin
```

— When interpreting, we have to instantiate the type for domains. As an example, we take a type containing 'low' and 'high' as domains.

```
datatype Dom = low | high
```

```
instantiation Dom :: order
```

```
begin
```

```
definition
```

```
less-eq-Dom-def: d1 ≤ d2 = (if d1 = d2 then True  
else (if d1 = low then True else False))
```

```
definition
```

```
less-Dom-def: d1 < d2 = (if d1 = d2 then False  
else (if d1 = low then True else False))
```

```
instance proof
```

```
fix x y z :: Dom
```

```
show (x < y) = (x ≤ y ∧ ¬ y ≤ x)
```

```
  unfolding less-eq-Dom-def less-Dom-def by auto
```

```
show x ≤ x unfolding less-eq-Dom-def by auto
```

```
show [x ≤ y; y ≤ z] ⇒ x ≤ z
```

```
  unfolding less-eq-Dom-def by ((split if-split-asm)+, auto)
```

```
show [x ≤ y; y ≤ x] ⇒ x = y
```

```
  unfolding less-eq-Dom-def by ((split if-split-asm)+,  
auto, (split if-split-asm)+, auto)
```

```
qed
```

```
end
```

```
end
```

```
theory Type-System-example
```

```
imports Type-System Strong-Security.Expr Strong-Security.Domain-example
begin
```

— When interpreting, we have to instantiate the type for domains. As an example, we take a type containing 'low' and 'high' as domains.

```

consts DA :: ('id,Dom) DomainAssignment
consts BMap :: 'val ⇒ bool
consts lH :: (Dom,('id,'val) Expr) lHatches

```

— redefine all the abbreviations necessary for auxiliary lemmas with the correct parameter instantiation

```

abbreviation MWLsStepsdet' :: 
  (('id,'val) Expr, 'id, 'val, (('id,'val) Expr,'id) MWLsCom) TLSteps-curry
  (((1⟨-,/-⟩) → ◁-▷ / (1⟨-,/-⟩) [0,0,0,0,0] 81)
where
  ⟨c1,m1⟩ → ◁α▷ ⟨c2,m2⟩ ≡
  ((c1,m1),α,(c2,m2)) ∈ MWLs-semantics.MWLsSteps-det ExprEval BMap

```

```

abbreviation d-equal' :: ('id, 'val) State
  ⇒ Dom ⇒ ('id, 'val) State ⇒ bool
  ( (- ==_-_-) )
where
  m =d m' ≡ WHATWHERE.d-equal DA d m m'

```

```

abbreviation dH-equal' :: ('id, 'val) State ⇒ Dom
  ⇒ (Dom,('id,'val) Expr) Hatches
  ⇒ ('id, 'val) State ⇒ bool
  ( (- ∼_-_-) )
where
  m ∼d,H m' ≡ WHATWHERE.dH-equal ExprEval DA d H m m'

```

```

abbreviation NextMem' :: (('id,'val) Expr, 'id) MWLsCom
  ⇒ ('id,'val) State ⇒ ('id,'val) State
  ([[-]'(-')])
where
  [[c]](m)
  ≡ WHATWHERE.NextMem (MWLs-semantics.MWLsSteps-det ExprEval BMap)
  c m

```

```

abbreviation dH-indistinguishable' :: ('id,'val) Expr ⇒ Dom
  ⇒ (Dom,('id,'val) Expr) Hatches ⇒ ('id,'val) Expr ⇒ bool
  ( (- ≡_-_-) )
where
  e1 ≡d,H e2
  ≡ WHATWHERE-Secure-Programs.dH-indistinguishable ExprEval DA d H e1 e2

```

```

abbreviation htchLoc :: nat ⇒ (Dom, ('id,'val) Expr) Hatches
where
  htchLoc i ≡ WHATWHERE.htchLoc lH i

```

— Security typing rules for expressions

inductive

ExprSecTyping :: (*Dom*, ('*id*, '*val*) *Expr*) *Hatches*
 \Rightarrow ('*id*, '*val*) *Expr* \Rightarrow *Dom* \Rightarrow *bool*
 $(\cdot \vdash_{\mathcal{E}} \cdot : \cdot)$
for *H* :: (*Dom*, ('*id*, '*val*) *Expr*) *Hatches*
where
Consts: *H* $\vdash_{\mathcal{E}}$ (*Const v*) : *d* |
Vars: *DA x = d* \Rightarrow *H* $\vdash_{\mathcal{E}}$ (*Var x*) : *d* |
Hatch: (*d,e*) \in *H* \Rightarrow *H* $\vdash_{\mathcal{E}}$ *e* : *d* |
Ops: $\llbracket \forall i < \text{length arglist}. H \vdash_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{arglist}!i) : (d!i) \wedge (d!i) \leq d \rrbracket$
 $\Rightarrow H \vdash_{\mathcal{E}} (Op f \text{ arglist}) : d$

— function substituting a certain expression with another expression in expressions
primrec *Subst* :: ('*id*, '*val*) *Expr* \Rightarrow ('*id*, '*val*) *Expr*

\Rightarrow ('*id*, '*val*) *Expr* \Rightarrow ('*id*, '*val*) *Expr*
 $(\cdot \langle \cdot \setminus \cdot \rangle)$
and *SubstL* :: ('*id*, '*val*) *Expr list* \Rightarrow ('*id*, '*val*) *Expr*
 \Rightarrow ('*id*, '*val*) *Expr* \Rightarrow ('*id*, '*val*) *Expr list*

where

$(\text{Const } v) \langle e1 \setminus e2 \rangle = (\text{if } e1 = (\text{Const } v) \text{ then } e2 \text{ else } (\text{Const } v))$ |
 $(\text{Var } x) \langle e1 \setminus e2 \rangle = (\text{if } e1 = (\text{Var } x) \text{ then } e2 \text{ else } (\text{Var } x))$ |
 $(Op f \text{ arglist}) \langle e1 \setminus e2 \rangle = (\text{if } e1 = (Op f \text{ arglist}) \text{ then } e2 \text{ else } (Op f (SubstL \text{ arglist } e1 e2)))$ |

SubstL [] *e1 e2* = [] |

SubstL (*e*#*V*) *e1 e2* = (*e*⟨*e1 \setminus e2*⟩) #(SubstL *V e1 e2*)

definition *SubstClosure* :: '*id* \Rightarrow ('*id*, '*val*) *Expr* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

SubstClosure x e \equiv $\forall (d', e', \iota') \in lH. (d', e' \langle (\text{Var } x) \setminus e \rangle, \iota') \in lH$

definition *synAssignSC* :: '*id* \Rightarrow ('*id*, '*val*) *Expr* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

synAssignSC x e ι \equiv $\exists d. ((htchLoc \iota) \vdash_{\mathcal{E}} e : d \wedge d \leq DA x)$
 $\wedge (SubstClosure x e)$

definition *synWhileSC* :: ('*id*, '*val*) *Expr* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

synWhileSC e \equiv $(\exists d. (\{\} \vdash_{\mathcal{E}} e : d) \wedge (\forall d'. d \leq d'))$

definition *synIfSC* :: ('*id*, '*val*) *Expr*

$\Rightarrow ((\text{'id}, \text{'val}) \text{ Expr}, \text{'id}) \text{ MWLsCom}$
 $\Rightarrow ((\text{'id}, \text{'val}) \text{ Expr}, \text{'id}) \text{ MWLsCom} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

synIfSC e c1 c2 \equiv $\exists d. (\{\} \vdash_{\mathcal{E}} e : d \wedge (\forall d'. d \leq d'))$

— auxiliary lemma for locale interpretation (theorem 7 in original paper)

lemma *ExprTypable-with-smallerd-implies-dH-indistinguishable*:

$$[\![H \vdash_{\mathcal{E}} e : d' ; d' \leq d]!] \implies e \equiv_{d,H} e$$

proof (*induct rule: ExprSecTyping.induct*,

simp-all add: WHATWHERE-Secure-Programs.dH-indistinguishable-def
WHATWHERE.dH-equal-def WHATWHERE.d-equal-def, auto)

fix *dl arglist f m1 m2 d'*

assume *main: $\forall i < \text{length arglist}$.*

$$(H \vdash_{\mathcal{E}} (\text{arglist}!i) : (dl!i)) \wedge (dl!i \leq d \longrightarrow$$

$$(\forall m1 m2. (\forall x. DA x \leq d \longrightarrow m1 x = m2 x) \wedge$$

$$(\forall (d',e) \in H. d' \leq d \longrightarrow \text{ExprEval } e m1 = \text{ExprEval } e m2) \longrightarrow$$

$$\text{ExprEval} (\text{arglist}!i) m1 = \text{ExprEval} (\text{arglist}!i) m2) \wedge dl!i \leq d'$$

assume *smaller: $d' \leq d$*

assume *egeval: $\forall (d',e) \in H. d' \leq d \longrightarrow \text{ExprEval } e m1 = \text{ExprEval } e m2$*

assume *eqstate: $\forall x. DA x \leq d \longrightarrow m1 x = m2 x$*

from *main smaller have irangesubst:*

$$\forall i < \text{length arglist}. dl!i \leq d$$

by (*metis order-trans*)

with *eqstate egeval main have*

$$\forall i < \text{length arglist}. \text{ExprEval} (\text{arglist}!i) m1$$

$$= \text{ExprEval} (\text{arglist}!i) m2$$

by *force*

hence *substmap: $(\text{ExprEvalL arglist m1}) = (\text{ExprEvalL arglist m2})$*

by (*induct arglist, auto, force*)

show *f (ExprEvalL arglist m1) = f (ExprEvalL arglist m2)*

by (*subst substmap, auto*)

qed

— auxiliary lemma about substitutions in expressions and in memories

lemma *substexp-substmem:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ExprEval } e' < \text{Var } x \setminus e > m &= \text{ExprEval } e' (m(x := \text{ExprEval } e m)) \\ &\wedge \text{ExprEvalL} (\text{SubstL elist} (\text{Var } x) e) m \\ &= \text{ExprEvalL elist} (m(x := \text{ExprEval } e m)) \end{aligned}$$

by (*induct-tac e' and elist rule: ExprEval.induct ExprEvalL.induct, simp-all*)

— another auxiliary lemma for locale interpretation (lemma 8 in original paper)

lemma *SubstClosure-implications:*

$$\begin{aligned} [\![\text{SubstClosure } x e ; m \sim_{d,(htchLoc \iota')} m']!] \\ [\![x :=_{\iota} e]](m) &=_d [\![x :=_{\iota} e]](m') \\ \implies [\![x :=_{\iota} e]](m) &\sim_{d,(htchLoc \iota')} [\![x :=_{\iota} e]](m') \end{aligned}$$

proof —

fix *m1 m1'*

assume *substclosure: SubstClosure x e*

assume *dequalm2: $[\![x :=_{\iota} e]](m1) =_d [\![x :=_{\iota} e]](m1')$*

```

assume dhequalm1:  $m1 \sim_{d,(htchLoc \ i')} m1'$ 

from MWLs-semantics.nextmem-exists-and-unique obtain m2 where m1step:
   $(\exists p \alpha. \langle x :=_t e, m1 \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m2 \rangle)$ 
   $\wedge (\forall m''. (\exists p \alpha. \langle x :=_t e, m1 \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m'' \rangle) \longrightarrow m'' = m2)$ 
  by force
hence m2-is-next:  $\llbracket x :=_t e \rrbracket(m1) = m2$ 
  by (simp add: WHATWHERE.NextMem-def, auto)
from m1step MWLs-semantics.MWLsSteps-det.assign
  [of ExprEval e m1 - x i BMap]
have m2eq:  $m2 = m1(x := (ExprEval e m1))$ 
  by auto

from MWLs-semantics.nextmem-exists-and-unique obtain m2' where m1'step:
   $(\exists p \alpha. \langle x :=_t e, m1' \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m2' \rangle)$ 
   $\wedge (\forall m''. (\exists p \alpha. \langle x :=_t e, m1' \rangle \rightarrow \triangleleft \alpha \triangleright \langle p, m'' \rangle) \longrightarrow m'' = m2')$ 
  by force
hence m2'-is-next:  $\llbracket x :=_t e \rrbracket(m1') = m2'$ 
  by (simp add: WHATWHERE.NextMem-def, auto)
from m1'step MWLs-semantics.MWLsSteps-det.assign
  [of ExprEval e m1' - x i BMap]
have m2'eq:  $m2' = m1'(x := (ExprEval e m1'))$ 
  by auto

from m2eq substexp-substmem
have substeval1:  $\forall e'. ExprEval (e' < Var x \setminus e >) m1 = ExprEval e' m2$ 
  by force

from m2'eq substexp-substmem
have substeval2:  $\forall e'. ExprEval e' < Var x \setminus e > m1' = ExprEval e' m2'$ 
  by force

from substclosure have
   $\forall (d', e') \in htchLoc \ i'. (d', e' < Var x \setminus e >) \in (htchLoc \ i')$ 
  by (simp add: SubstClosure-def WHATWHERE.htchLoc-def, auto)

with dhequalm1 have
   $\forall (d', e') \in htchLoc \ i'.$ 
   $d' \leq d \longrightarrow ExprEval e' < Var x \setminus e > m1 = ExprEval e' < Var x \setminus e > m1'$ 
  by (simp add: WHATWHERE.dH-equal-def, auto)

with substeval1 substeval2 have
   $\forall (d', e') \in htchLoc \ i'.$ 
   $d' \leq d \longrightarrow ExprEval e' m2 = ExprEval e' m2'$ 
  by auto

with dequalm2 m2-is-next m2'-is-next
show  $\llbracket x :=_t e \rrbracket(m1) \sim_{d, htchLoc \ i'} \llbracket x :=_t e \rrbracket(m1')$ 
  by (simp add: WHATWHERE.dH-equal-def)

```

qed

— interpretation of the abstract type system using the above definitions for the side conditions

interpretation *Type-System-example*: *Type-System ExprEval BMap DA lH synAssignSC synWhileSC synIfSC*
by (*unfold-locales, auto,*
 metis ExprTypable-with-smallerd-implies-dH-indistinguishable
 synAssignSC-def,
 metis SubstClosure-implications synAssignSC-def,
 simp add: synWhileSC-def,
 metis ExprTypable-with-smallerd-implies-dH-indistinguishable
 WHATWHERE-Secure-Programs.empH-implies-dHindistinguishable-eq-dindistinguishable,
 simp add: synIfSC-def,
 metis ExprTypable-with-smallerd-implies-dH-indistinguishable
 WHATWHERE-Secure-Programs.empH-implies-dHindistinguishable-eq-dindistinguishable)

end

References

- [1] C. Ballarin. Locales and Locale Expressions in Isabelle/Isar. In S. Berardi, M. Coppo, and F. Damiani, editors, *TYPES*, volume 3085 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 34–50. Springer, 2003.
- [2] A. Lux, H. Mantel, and M. Perner. Scheduler-independent declassification. In *Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Mathematics of Program Construction (MPC)*, LNCS 7342, pages 25–47. Springer, 2012.