

Vickrey-Clarke-Groves (VCG) Auctions

M. B. Caminati* M. Kerber* C. Lange† C. Rowat‡

March 17, 2025

Abstract

A VCG auction (named after their inventors Vickrey, Clarke, and Groves) is a generalization of the single-good, second price Vickrey auction to the case of a combinatorial auction (multiple goods, from which any participant can bid on each possible combination). We formalize in this entry VCG auctions, including tie-breaking and prove that the functions for the allocation and the price determination are well-defined. Furthermore we show that the allocation function allocates goods only to participants, only goods in the auction are allocated, and no good is allocated twice. We also show that the price function is non-negative. These properties also hold for the automatically extracted Scala code.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
1.1	Rationale for developing set theory as replacing one bidder in a second price auction	4
1.2	Bridging	4
1.3	Main theorems	4
1.4	Scala code extraction	5
2	Additional material that we would have expected in Set.thy	5
2.1	Equality	5
2.2	Trivial sets	6
2.3	The image of a set under a function	6
2.4	Big Union	6
2.5	Miscellaneous	7

*School of Computer Science, University of Birmingham, UK

†Fraunhofer IAIS and University of Bonn, Germany, and School of Computer Science, University of Birmingham, UK

‡Department of Economics, University of Birmingham, UK

3	Partitions of sets	8
4	Locus where a function or a list (of linord type) attains its maximum value	16
5	Additional operators on relations, going beyond Relations.thy, and properties of these operators	18
5.1	Evaluating a relation as a function	19
5.2	Restriction	19
5.3	Relation outside some set	20
5.4	Flipping pairs of relations	20
5.5	Evaluation as a function	21
5.6	Paste	21
6	Additional properties of relations, and operators on relations, as they have been defined by Relations.thy	22
6.1	Right-Uniqueness	22
6.2	Converse	25
6.3	Injectivity	26
7	Toolbox of various definitions and theorems about sets, relations and lists	26
7.1	Facts and notations about relations, sets and functions.	26
7.2	Ordered relations	30
7.3	Indicator function in set-theoretical form.	41
7.4	Lists	43
7.5	Computing all the permutations of a list	44
7.6	A more computable version of <i>toFunction</i>	46
7.7	Cardinalities of sets.	47
7.8	Some easy properties on real numbers	50
8	Definitions about those Combinatorial Auctions which are strict (i.e., which assign all the available goods)	50
8.1	Types	51
8.2	VCG mechanism	51
9	Sets of injections, partitions, allocations expressed as suitable subsets of the corresponding universes	52
9.1	Preliminary lemmas	53
9.2	Definitions of various subsets of <i>UNIV</i>	53
9.3	Results about the sets defined in the previous section	53
9.4	Bridging theorem for injections	63
9.5	Computable injections	67

10 Termination theorem for uniform tie-breaking	69
10.1 Uniform tie breaking: definitions	69
10.2 Termination theorem for the uniform tie-breaking scheme	71
10.3 Results on summed bid vectors	72
10.4 From Pseudo-allocation to allocations	79
11 VCG auction: definitions and theorems	83
11.1 Definition of a VCG auction scheme, through the pair $(vcga, vcgp)$	83
11.2 Computable versions of the VCG formalization	90
12 VCG auction: Scala code extraction	94

1 Introduction

An auction mechanism is mathematically represented through a pair of functions (a, p) : the first describes how some given goods at stake are allocated among the bidders (also called participants or agents), while the second specifies how much each bidder pays following this allocation. Each possible output of this pair of functions is referred to as an outcome of the auction. Both functions take the same argument, which is another function, commonly called a bid vector b ; it describes how much each bidder values the possible outcomes of the auction. This valuation is usually expressed through money. In this setting, some common questions are the study of the quantitative and qualitative properties of a given auction mechanism (e.g., whether it maximizes some relevant quantity, such as revenue, or whether it is efficient, that is, whether it allocates the item to the bidder who values it most), and the study of the algorithms running it (in particular, their correctness).

A VCG auction (named after their inventors Vickrey, Clarke, and Groves) is a generalization of the single-good, second price Vickrey auction to the case of a combinatorial auction (multiple goods, from which any participant can bid on each possible combination). We formalize in this entry VCG auctions, including tie-breaking and prove that the functions a and p are well-defined. Furthermore we show that the allocation function a allocates goods only to participants, only goods in the auction are allocated, and no good is allocated twice. Furthermore we show that the price function p is non-negative. These properties also hold for the automatically extracted Scala code. For further details on the formalization, see [4]. For background information on VCG auctions, see [5].

The following files are part of the Auction Theory Toolbox (ATT) [1] developed in the ForMaRE project [2]. The theories `CombinatorialAuction.thy`, `StrictCombinatorialAuction.thy` and `UniformTieBreaking.thy` contain the relevant definitions and theorems; `CombinatorialAuctionExamples.thy`

and `CombinatorialAuctionCodeExtraction.thy` present simple helper definitions to run them on given examples and to export them to the Scala language, respectively; `FirstPrice.thy` shows how easy it is to adapt the definitions to the first price combinatorial auction. The remaining theories contain more general mathematical definitions and theorems.

1.1 Rationale for developing set theory as replacing one bidder in a second price auction

Throughout the whole ATT, there is a duality in the way mathematical notions are modeled: either through objects typical of lambda calculus and HOL (lambda-abstracted functions and lists, for example) or through objects typical of set theory (for example, relations, intersection, union, set difference, Cartesian product).

This is possible because inside HOL, it is possible to model a simply-typed set theory which, although quite restrained if compared to, e.g., ZFC, is powerful enough for many standard mathematical purposes.

ATT freely adopts one approach, the other, or a mixture thereof, depending on technical and expressive convenience. A technical discussion of this topic can be found in [3].

1.2 Bridging

One of the differences between the approaches of functional definitions on the one hand and classical (often set-theoretical) definitions on the other hand is that, commonly (although not always), the first approach is better suited to produce Isabelle/HOL definitions which are computable (typically, inductive definitions); while the definitions from the second approach are often more general (e.g., encompassing infinite sets), closer to pen-and-paper mathematics, but also not computable. This means that many theorems are proved with respect to definitions of the second type, while in the end we want them to apply to definitions of the first type, because we want our theorems to hold for the code we will be actually running. Hence, bridging theorems are needed, showing that, for the limited portions of objects for which we state both kinds of definitions, they are the same.

1.3 Main theorems

The main theorems about VCG auctions are:

the definiteness theorem: our definitions grant that there is exactly one solution; this is ensured by `vcgaDefiniteness`.

PairwiseDisjointAllocations: no good is allocated to more than one participant.

onlyGoodsAreAllocated: only the actually available goods are allocated.

the adequacy theorem: the solution provided by our algorithm is indeed the one prescribed by standard pen-and-paper definition.

NonnegPrices: no participant ends up paying a negative price (e.g., no participant receives money at the end of the auction).

Bridging theorems: as discussed above, such theorems permit to apply the theorems in this list to the executable code Isabelle generates.

1.4 Scala code extraction

Isabelle permits to generate, from our definition of VCG, Scala code to run any VCG auction. Use `CombinatorialAuctionCodeExtraction.thy` for this. This code is in the form of Scala functions which can be evaluated on any input (e.g., a bidvector) to return the resulting allocation and prices.

To deploy such functions use the provided Scala wrapper (taking care of the output and including sample inputs). In order to do so, you can evaluate inside Isabelle/JEdit the file `CombinatorialAuctionCodeExtraction.thy` (position the cursor on its last line and wait for Isabelle/JEdit to end all its processing). This will result in the file `/dev/shm/VCG-withoutWrapper.scala`, which can be automatically appended to the wrapper by running the shell script at the end of `CombinatorialAuctionCodeExtraction.thy`. For details of how to run the Scala code see <http://www.cs.bham.ac.uk/research/projects/formare/vcg.php>.

2 Additional material that we would have expected in `Set.thy`

```
theory SetUtils
imports
  Main
```

```
begin
```

2.1 Equality

An inference (introduction) rule that combines $\llbracket ?A \subseteq ?B; ?B \subseteq ?A \rrbracket \implies ?A = ?B$ and $(\wedge x. x \in ?A \implies x \in ?B) \implies ?A \subseteq ?B$ to a single step

```
lemma equalitySubsetI:  $(\wedge x . x \in A \implies x \in B) \implies (\wedge x . x \in B \implies x \in A)$ 
   $\implies A = B$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

2.2 Trivial sets

A trivial set (i.e. singleton or empty), as in Mizar

definition *trivial* where *trivial* $= (x \subseteq \{\text{the-elem } x\})$

The empty set is trivial.

lemma *trivial-empty*: *trivial* $\{\}$
(proof)

A singleton set is trivial.

lemma *trivial-singleton*: *trivial* $\{x\}$
(proof)

If a trivial set has a singleton subset, the latter is unique.

lemma *singleton-sub-trivial-uniq*:
 fixes $x X$
 assumes $\{x\} \subseteq X$ and *trivial* X
 shows $x = \text{the-elem } X$
(proof)

Any subset of a trivial set is trivial.

lemma *trivial-subset*: **fixes** $X Y$ **assumes** *trivial* Y **assumes** $X \subseteq Y$
 shows *trivial* X
(proof)

There are no two different elements in a trivial set.

lemma *trivial-imp-no-distinct*:
 assumes *triv*: *trivial* X and $x: x \in X$ and $y: y \in X$
 shows $x = y$
(proof)

2.3 The image of a set under a function

an equivalent notation for the image of a set, using set comprehension

lemma *image-Collect-mem*: $\{ f x \mid x . x \in S \} = f ' S$
(proof)

2.4 Big Union

An element is in the union of a family of sets if it is in one of the family's member sets.

lemma *Union-member*: $(\exists S \in F . x \in S) \longleftrightarrow x \in \bigcup F$
(proof)

2.5 Miscellaneous

```

lemma trivial-subset-non-empty: assumes trivial t t ∩ X ≠ {}
    shows t ⊆ X
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma trivial-implies-finite: assumes trivial X
    shows finite X
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma lm01: assumes trivial (A × B)
    shows (finite (A × B) & card A * (card B) ≤ 1)
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma lm02: assumes finite X
    shows trivial X = (card X ≤ 1)
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma lm03: shows trivial {x}
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma lm04: assumes trivial X {x} ⊆ X
    shows {x} = X
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma lm05: assumes ¬ trivial X trivial T
    shows X - T ≠ {}
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma lm06: assumes (finite (A × B) & card A * (card B) ≤ 1)
    shows trivial (A × B)
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma lm07: trivial (A × B) = (finite (A × B) & card A * (card B) ≤ 1)
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma trivial-empty-or-singleton: trivial X = (X = {} ∨ X = {the-elem X})
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma trivial-cartesian: assumes trivial X trivial Y
    shows trivial (X × Y)
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma trivial-same: trivial X = (forall x1 ∈ X. forall x2 ∈ X. x1 = x2)
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma lm08: assumes (Pow X ⊆ {{}, X})
    shows trivial X
    ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lm09: assumes trivial X
    shows (Pow X ⊆ { {}, X })
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma lm10: trivial X = (Pow X ⊆ { {}, X })
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma lm11: ({x} × UNIV) ∩ P = {x} × (P “ {x})
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma lm12: (x,y) ∈ P = (y ∈ P“{x})
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma lm13: assumes inj-on f A inj-on f B
    shows inj-on f (A ∪ B) = (f‘(A-B) ∩ (f‘(B-A)) = {})
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma injection-union: assumes inj-on f A inj-on f B (f‘A) ∩ (f‘B) = {}
    shows inj-on f (A ∪ B)
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma lm14: (Pow X = {X}) = (X={})
    ⟨proof⟩

end

```

3 Partitions of sets

```

theory Partitions
imports
    SetUtils

```

```
begin
```

We define the set of all partitions of a set (*all-partitions*) in textbook style, as well as a computable function *all-partitions-list* to algorithmically compute this set (then represented as a list). This function is suitable for code generation. We prove the equivalence of the two definition in order to ensure that the generated code correctly implements the original textbook-style definition. For further background on the overall approach, see Caminati, Kerber, Lange, Rowat: [Proving soundness of combinatorial Vickrey auctions and generating verified executable code](#), 2013.

P is a family of non-overlapping sets.

```

definition is-non-overlapping
    where is-non-overlapping P = (forall X in P . forall Y in P . (X ∩ Y ≠ {})  $\longleftrightarrow$  X = Y)

```

A subfamily of a non-overlapping family is also a non-overlapping family

```
lemma subset-is-non-overlapping:  
  assumes subset:  $P \subseteq Q$  and  
         non-overlapping: is-non-overlapping  $Q$   
  shows is-non-overlapping  $P$ 
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

The family that results from removing one element from an equivalence class of a non-overlapping family is not otherwise a member of the family.

```
lemma remove-from-eq-class-preserves-disjoint:  
  fixes elem:'a  
  and X:'a set  
  and P:'a set set  
  assumes non-overlapping: is-non-overlapping  $P$   
  and eq-class:  $X \in P$   
  and elem:  $elem \in X$   
  shows  $X - \{elem\} \notin P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

Inserting into a non-overlapping family P a set X , which is disjoint with the set partitioned by P , yields another non-overlapping family.

```
lemma non-overlapping-extension1:  
  fixes P:'a set set  
  and X:'a set  
  assumes partition: is-non-overlapping  $P$   
  and disjoint:  $X \cap \bigcup P = \{\}$   
  and non-empty:  $X \neq \{\}$   
  shows is-non-overlapping (insert  $X$   $P$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

An element of a non-overlapping family has no intersection with any other of its elements.

```
lemma disj-eq-classes:  
  fixes P:'a set set  
  and X:'a set  
  assumes is-non-overlapping  $P$   
  and  $X \in P$   
  shows  $X \cap \bigcup (P - \{X\}) = \{\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

The empty set is not element of a non-overlapping family.

```
lemma no-empty-in-non-overlapping:  
  assumes is-non-overlapping  $p$   
  shows  $\{\} \notin p$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

P is a partition of the set A . The infix notation takes the form “noun-verb-object”

definition *is-partition-of* (**infix** *<partitions>* 75)
where *is-partition-of P A* = $(\bigcup P = A \wedge \text{is-non-overlapping } P)$

No partition of a non-empty set is empty.

lemma *non-empty-imp-non-empty-partition*:
assumes $A \neq \{\}$
and P partitions A
shows $P \neq \{\}$
<proof>

Every element of a partitioned set ends up in one element in the partition.

lemma *elem-in-partition*:
assumes *in-set*: $x \in A$
and *part*: P partitions A
obtains X **where** $x \in X$ **and** $X \in P$
<proof>

Every element of the difference of a set A and another set B ends up in an element of a partition of A , but not in an element of the partition of $\{B\}$.

lemma *diff-elem-in-partition*:
assumes $x: x \in A - B$
and *part*: P partitions A
shows $\exists S \in P - \{B\} . x \in S$
<proof>

Every element of a partitioned set ends up in exactly one set.

lemma *elem-in-uniq-set*:
assumes *in-set*: $x \in A$
and *part*: P partitions A
shows $\exists! X \in P . x \in X$
<proof>

A non-empty set “is” a partition of itself.

lemma *set-partitions-itself*:
assumes $A \neq \{\}$
shows $\{A\}$ partitions A *<proof>*

The empty set is a partition of the empty set.

lemma *emptyset-part-emptyset1*:
shows $\{\}$ partitions $\{\}$
<proof>

Any partition of the empty set is empty.

lemma *emptyset-part-emptyset2*:

```

assumes  $P$  partitions {}
shows  $P = \{\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

Classical set-theoretical definition of “all partitions of a set A ”

```

definition all-partitions where
  all-partitions  $A = \{P . P$  partitions  $A\}$ 

```

The set of all partitions of the empty set only contains the empty set. We need this to prove the base case of *all-partitions-paper-equiv-alg*.

```

lemma emptyset-part-emptyset3:
  shows all-partitions {} = {{}}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

inserts an element new_el into a specified set S inside a given family of sets

```

definition insert-into-member :: 'a ⇒ 'a set set ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a set set
  where insert-into-member new-el Sets S = insert (S ∪ {new-el}) (Sets − {S})

```

Using *insert-into-member* to insert a fresh element, which is not a member of the set S being partitioned, into a non-overlapping family of sets yields another non-overlapping family.

```

lemma non-overlapping-extension2:
  fixes new-el::'a
  and P::'a set set
  and X::'a set
  assumes non-overlapping: is-non-overlapping P
    and class-element:  $X \in P$ 
    and new: new-el ∉ ∪ P
  shows is-non-overlapping (insert-into-member new-el P X)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

inserts an element into a specified set inside the given list of sets – the list variant of *insert-into-member*

The rationale for this variant and for everything that depends on it is: While it is possible to computationally enumerate “all partitions of a set” as an ‘ a set set set’, we need a list representation to apply further computational functions to partitions. Because of the way we construct partitions (using functions such as *all-coarser-partitions-with* below) it is not sufficient to simply use ‘ a set set list’, but we need ‘ a set list list’. This is because it is hard to impossible to convert a set to a list, whereas it is easy to convert a list to a set.

```

definition insert-into-member-list :: 'a ⇒ 'a set list ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a set list
  where insert-into-member-list new-el Sets S = (S ∪ {new-el}) # (remove1 S Sets)

```

insert-into-member-list and *insert-into-member* are equivalent (as in returning the same set).

```

lemma insert-into-member-list-equivalence:
  fixes new-el::'a
  and Sets::'a set list
  and S::'a set
  assumes distinct Sets
  shows set (insert-into-member-list new-el Sets S) = insert-into-member new-el
  (set Sets) S
  ⟨proof⟩

```

an alternative characterization of the set partitioned by a partition obtained by inserting an element into an equivalence class of a given partition (if P is a partition)

```

lemma insert-into-member-partition1:
  fixes elem::'a
  and P::'a set set
  and set::'a set
  shows  $\bigcup$  (insert-into-member elem P set) =  $\bigcup$  (insert (set  $\cup$  {elem}) (P - {set}))
```

⟨proof⟩

Assuming that P is a partition of a set S , and $new-el \notin S$, the function defined below yields all possible partitions of $S \cup \{new-el\}$ that are coarser than P (i.e. not splitting classes that already exist in P). These comprise one partition with a class $\{new-el\}$ and all other classes unchanged, as well as all partitions obtained by inserting $new-el$ into one class of P at a time. While we use the definition to build coarser partitions of an existing partition P , the definition itself does not require P to be a partition.

```

definition coarser-partitions-with ::'a ⇒ 'a set set set ⇒ 'a set set set
  where coarser-partitions-with new-el P =
    insert
    — Let  $P$  be a partition of a set  $Set$ ,
    — and suppose  $new-el \notin Set$ , i.e.  $\{new-el\} \notin P$ ,
    — then the following constructs a partition of  $Set \cup \{new-el\}$  obtained by
    — inserting a new class  $\{new-el\}$  and leaving all previous classes unchanged.
    ( $insert \{new-el\} P$ )
    — Let  $P$  be a partition of a set  $Set$ ,
    — and suppose  $new-el \notin Set$ ,
    — then the following constructs
    — the set of those partitions of  $Set \cup \{new-el\}$  obtained by
    — inserting  $new-el$  into one class of  $P$  at a time.
    (( $insert$ -into-member new-el P) ‘ P)

```

the list variant of *coarser-partitions-with*

```

definition coarser-partitions-with-list ::'a ⇒ 'a set list ⇒ 'a set list list
  where coarser-partitions-with-list new-el P =
    — Let  $P$  be a partition of a set  $Set$ ,
    — and suppose  $new-el \notin Set$ , i.e.  $\{new-el\} \notin set P$ ,

```

— then the following constructs a partition of $Set \cup \{new-el\}$ obtained by
 — inserting a new class $\{new-el\}$ and leaving all previous classes unchanged.
 $(\{new-el\} \# P)$
 $\#$
 — Let P be a partition of a set Set ,
 — and suppose $new-el \notin Set$,
 — then the following constructs
 — the set of those partitions of $Set \cup \{new-el\}$ obtained by
 — inserting $new-el$ into one class of P at a time.
 $(map ((insert-into-member-list new-el) P)) P$

coarser-partitions-with-list and *coarser-partitions-with* are equivalent.

lemma *coarser-partitions-with-list-equivalence*:
assumes *distinct P*
shows *set (map set (coarser-partitions-with-list new-el P)) = coarser-partitions-with new-el (set P)*
(proof)

Any member of the set of coarser partitions of a given partition, obtained by inserting a given fresh element into each of its classes, is non_overlapping.

lemma *non-overlapping-extension3*:
fixes *elem::'a*
and *P::'a set set*
and *Q::'a set set*
assumes *P-non-overlapping: is-non-overlapping P*
and *new-elem: elem ∉ ∪ P*
and *Q-coarser: Q ∈ coarser-partitions-with elem P*
shows *is-non-overlapping Q*
(proof)

Let P be a partition of a set S , and $elem$ an element (which may or may not be in S already). Then, any member of *coarser-partitions-with elem P* is a set of sets whose union is $S \cup \{elem\}$, i.e. it satisfies one of the necessary criteria for being a partition of $S \cup \{elem\}$.

lemma *coarser-partitions-covers*:
fixes *elem::'a*
and *P::'a set set*
and *Q::'a set set*
assumes *Q ∈ coarser-partitions-with elem P*
shows $\bigcup Q = insert elem (\bigcup P)$
(proof)

Removes the element $elem$ from every set in P , and removes from P any remaining empty sets. This function is intended to be applied to partitions, i.e. $elem$ occurs in at most one set. *partition-without e* reverses *coarser-partitions-with e*. *coarser-partitions-with* is one-to-many, while this is one-to-one, so we can think of a tree relation, where coarser partitions of a set $S \cup \{elem\}$ are child nodes of one partition of S .

definition *partition-without* :: '*a* \Rightarrow '*a set set* \Rightarrow '*a set set*
where *partition-without elem P* = $(\lambda X . X - \{ \text{elem} \})`P - \{ \{ \} \}$

alternative characterization of the set partitioned by the partition obtained by removing an element from a given partition using *partition-without*

lemma *partition-without-covers*:

fixes *elem*::'*a
 and P*::'*a set set*
shows $\bigcup (\text{partition-without elem } P) = (\bigcup P) - \{ \text{elem} \}$
(proof)

Any class of the partition obtained by removing an element *elem* from an original partition *P* using *partition-without* equals some class of *P*, reduced by *elem*.

lemma *super-class*:

assumes *X* \in *partition-without elem P*
obtains *Z* **where** *Z* \in *P* **and** *X* = *Z* $- \{ \text{elem} \}$
(proof)

The class of sets obtained by removing an element from a non-overlapping class is another non-overlapping clas.

lemma *non-overlapping-without-is-non-overlapping*:

fixes *elem*::'*a
 and P*::'*a set set*
assumes *is-non-overlapping P*
shows *is-non-overlapping* (*partition-without elem P*) (**is** *is-non-overlapping ?Q*)
(proof)

coarser-partitions-with elem is the “inverse” of *partition-without elem*.

lemma *coarser-partitions-inv-without*:

fixes *elem*::'*a
 and P*::'*a set set*
assumes *non-overlapping: is-non-overlapping P*
and *elem: elem* \in $\bigcup P$
shows *P* \in *coarser-partitions-with elem* (*partition-without elem P*)
(is *P* \in *coarser-partitions-with elem ?Q*)
(proof)

Given a set *Ps* of partitions, this is intended to compute the set of all coarser partitions (given an extension element) of all partitions in *Ps*.

definition *all-coarser-partitions-with* :: '*a* \Rightarrow '*a set set set* \Rightarrow '*a set set set*
where *all-coarser-partitions-with elem Ps* = $\bigcup (\text{coarser-partitions-with elem } `Ps)$

the list variant of *all-coarser-partitions-with*

definition *all-coarser-partitions-with-list* :: '*a* \Rightarrow '*a set list list* \Rightarrow '*a set list list*
where *all-coarser-partitions-with-list elem Ps* =

concat (map (coarser-partitions-with-list elem) Ps)

all-coarser-partitions-with-list and *all-coarser-partitions-with* are equivalent.

lemma *all-coarser-partitions-with-list-equivalence*:
fixes *elem*::'a
and *Ps*::'a set list list
assumes *distinct*: $\forall P \in \text{set } Ps . \text{distinct } P$
shows *set (map set (all-coarser-partitions-with-list elem Ps)) = all-coarser-partitions-with elem (set (map set Ps))*
(is ?list-expr = ?set-expr)
{proof}

all partitions of a set (given as list) in form of a set

fun *all-partitions-set* :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a set set set
where
all-partitions-set [] = {{}} |
all-partitions-set (e # X) = all-coarser-partitions-with e (all-partitions-set X)

all partitions of a set (given as list) in form of a list

fun *all-partitions-list* :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a set list list
where
all-partitions-list [] = [] |
all-partitions-list (e # X) = all-coarser-partitions-with-list e (all-partitions-list X)

A list of partitions coarser than a given partition in list representation (constructed with *coarser-partitions-with* is distinct under certain conditions.

lemma *coarser-partitions-with-list-distinct*:
fixes *ps*
assumes *ps-coarser*: *ps* \in *set (coarser-partitions-with-list x Q)*
and *distinct*: *distinct Q*
and *partition*: *is-non-overlapping (set Q)*
and *new*: $\{x\} \notin \text{set } Q$
shows *distinct ps*
{proof}

The classical definition *all-partitions* and the algorithmic (constructive) definition *all-partitions-list* are equivalent.

lemma *all-partitions-equivalence'*:
fixes *xs*::'a list
shows *distinct xs* \Rightarrow
((set (map set (all-partitions-list xs))) =
all-partitions (set xs)) \wedge ($\forall ps \in \text{set (all-partitions-list xs)} . \text{distinct } ps$)
{proof}

The classical definition *all-partitions* and the algorithmic (constructive) definition *all-partitions-list* are equivalent. This is a front-end theorem derived from *distinct ?xs \Rightarrow set (map set (all-partitions-list ?xs)) = all-partitions*

$(set ?xs) \wedge (\forall ps \in set (all-partitions-list ?xs). distinct ps)$; it does not make the auxiliary statement about partitions being distinct lists.

```

theorem all-partitions-paper-equiv-alg:
  fixes xs::'a list
  shows distinct xs ==> set (map set (all-partitions-list xs)) = all-partitions (set xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

The function that we will be using in practice to compute all partitions of
a set, a set-oriented front-end to all-partitions-list

definition all-partitions-alg :: 'a::linorder set => 'a set list list
  where all-partitions-alg X = all-partitions-list (sorted-list-of-set X)

end

```

4 Locus where a function or a list (of linord type) attains its maximum value

```

theory Argmax
imports Main

begin

Structural induction is used in proofs on lists.

lemma structInduct: assumes P [] and  $\forall x \in xs. P (xs) \longrightarrow P (x \# xs)$ 
  shows P l
  ⟨proof⟩

```

the subset of elements of a set where a function reaches its maximum

```

fun argmax :: ('a => 'b::linorder) => 'a set => 'a set
  where argmax f A = { x ∈ A . f x = Max (f ` A) }

```

```

lemma argmaxLemma: argmax f A = { x ∈ A . f x = Max (f ` A) }
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma maxLemma:
  assumes x ∈ X finite X
  shows Max (f ` X) >= f x
  (is ?L >= ?R) ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lm01:
  argmax f A = A ∩ f -` {Max (f ` A)}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lm02:
  assumes y ∈ f ` A

```

shows $A \cap f^{-1}\{y\} \neq \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *argmaxEquivalence*:
assumes $\forall x \in X. f x = g x$
shows $\text{argmax } f X = \text{argmax } g X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The arg max of a function over a non-empty set is non-empty.

corollary *argmax-non-empty-iff*: **assumes** $\text{finite } X X \neq \{\}$
shows $\text{argmax } f X \neq \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The previous definition of argmax operates on sets. In the following we define a corresponding notion on lists. To this end, we start with defining a filter predicate and are looking for the elements of a list satisfying a given predicate; but, rather than returning them directly, we return the (sorted) list of their indices. This is done, in different ways, by *filterpositions* and *filterpositions2*.

definition *filterpositions* :: $('a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{nat list}$
where $\text{filterpositions } P l = \text{map snd } (\text{filter } (P \circ \text{fst}) (\text{zip } l (\text{upt } 0 (\text{size } l))))$

definition *filterpositions2*
where $\text{filterpositions2 } P l = [n. n \leftarrow [0..<\text{size } l], P (l!n)]$

definition *maxpositions*
where $\text{maxpositions } l = \text{filterpositions2 } (\%x . x \geq \text{Max } (\text{set } l)) l$

lemma *lm03*: $\text{maxpositions } l = [n. n \leftarrow [0..<\text{size } l], l!n \geq \text{Max}(\text{set } l)]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *argmaxList*
where $\text{argmaxList } f l = \text{map } (\text{nth } l) (\text{maxpositions } (\text{map } f l))$

lemma *lm04*: $[n. n <- l, P n] = [n. n <- l, n \in \text{set } l, P n]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm05*: $[n. n <- [0..<m], P n] = [n. n <- [0..<m], n \in \text{set } [0..<m], P n]$
 $n]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm06: fixes f m P*
shows $(\text{map } f [n . n <- [0..<m], P n]) = [f n . n <- [0..<m], P n]$
(proof)

lemma *map-commutes-a: [f n . n <- [], Q (f n)] = [x <- (\text{map } f []). Q x]*
(proof)

lemma *map-commutes-b: $\forall x \in \text{xs}. ([f n . n <- xs, Q (f n)] = [x <- (\text{map } f xs). Q x] \longrightarrow [f n . n <- (x \# xs), Q (f n)] = [x <- (\text{map } f (x \# xs)). Q x])$*
(proof)

lemma *map-commutes: fixes f::'a => 'b fixes Q::'b => bool fixes xs::'a list*
shows $[f n . n <- xs, Q (f n)] = [x <- (\text{map } f xs). Q x]$
(proof)

lemma *lm07: fixes f l*
shows *maxpositions (map f l) =*
 $[n . n <- [0..<\text{size } l], f (l!n) \geq \text{Max } (f(\text{set } l))]$
(is maxpositions (?fl) = -)
(proof)

lemma *lm08: fixes f l*
shows *argmaxList f l =*
 $[l!n . n <- [0..<\text{size } l], f (l!n) \geq \text{Max } (f(\text{set } l))]$
(proof)

The theorem expresses that argmaxList is the list of arguments greater equal the Max of the list.

theorem *argmaxadequacy: fixes f::'a => ('b::linorder) fixes l::'a list*
shows *argmaxList f l = [x <- l. f x \geq \text{Max } (f(\text{set } l))]*
(is ?lh=-)
(proof)

end

5 Additional operators on relations, going beyond Relations.thy, and properties of these operators

theory *RelationOperators*
imports
SetUtils
HOL-Library.Code-Target-Nat

begin

5.1 Evaluating a relation as a function

If an input has a unique image element under a given relation, return that element; otherwise return a fallback value.

```
fun eval-rel-or :: ('a × 'b) set ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'b
  where eval-rel-or R a z = (let im = R `` {a} in if card im = 1 then the-elem im
    else z)
```

right-uniqueness of a relation: the image of a *trivial* set (i.e. an empty or singleton set) under the relation is trivial again. This is the set-theoretical way of characterizing functions, as opposed to λ functions.

```
definition runiq :: ('a × 'b) set ⇒ bool
  where runiq R = (∀ X . trivial X —> trivial (R `` X))
```

5.2 Restriction

restriction of a relation to a set (usually resulting in a relation with a smaller domain)

```
definition restrict :: ('a × 'b) set ⇒ 'a set ⇒ ('a × 'b) set (infix `||` 75)
  where R || X = (X × Range R) ∩ R
```

extensional characterization of the pairs within a restricted relation

```
lemma restrict-ext: R || X = {(x, y) | x y . x ∈ X ∧ (x, y) ∈ R}
  ⟨proof⟩
```

alternative statement of $?R \parallel ?X = \{(x, y) \mid x y. x \in ?X \wedge (x, y) \in ?R\}$
without explicitly naming the pair's components

```
lemma restrict-ext': R || X = {p . fst p ∈ X ∧ p ∈ R}
  ⟨proof⟩
```

Restricting a relation to the empty set yields the empty set.

```
lemma restrict-empty: P || {} = {}
  ⟨proof⟩
```

A restriction is a subrelation of the original relation.

```
lemma restriction-is-subrel: P || X ⊆ P
  ⟨proof⟩
```

Restricting a relation only has an effect within its domain.

```
lemma restriction-within-domain: P || X = P || (X ∩ (Domain P))
  ⟨proof⟩
```

alternative characterization of the restriction of a relation to a singleton set

```
lemma restrict-to-singleton: P || {x} = {x} × (P `` {x})
  ⟨proof⟩
```

5.3 Relation outside some set

For a set-theoretical relation R and an “exclusion” set X , return those tuples of R whose first component is not in X . In other words, exclude X from the domain of R .

definition *Outside* :: $('a \times 'b) \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b) \text{ set}$ (**infix** `<outside>` 75)
where $R \text{ outside } X = R - (X \times \text{Range } R)$

Considering a relation outside some set X reduces its domain by X .

lemma *outside-reduces-domain*: $\text{Domain } (P \text{ outside } X) = (\text{Domain } P) - X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Considering a relation outside a singleton set $\{x\}$ reduces its domain by x .

corollary *Domain-outside-singleton*:

assumes $\text{Domain } R = \text{insert } x A$
and $x \notin A$
shows $\text{Domain } (R \text{ outside } \{x\}) = A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

For any set, a relation equals the union of its restriction to that set and its pairs outside that set.

lemma *outside-union-restrict*: $P = (P \text{ outside } X) \cup (P || X)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The range of a relation R outside some exclusion set X is a subset of the image of the domain of R , minus X , under R .

lemma *Range-outside-sub-Image-Domain*: $\text{Range } (R \text{ outside } X) \subseteq R `` (\text{Domain } R - X)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Considering a relation outside some set does not enlarge its range.

lemma *Range-outside-sub*:
assumes $\text{Range } R \subseteq Y$
shows $\text{Range } (R \text{ outside } X) \subseteq Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.4 Flipping pairs of relations

flipping a pair: exchanging first and second component

definition *flip* **where** $\text{flip } \text{tup} = (\text{snd } \text{tup}, \text{fst } \text{tup})$

Flipped pairs can be found in the converse relation.

lemma *flip-in-conv*:
assumes $\text{tup} \in R$
shows $\text{flip } \text{tup} \in R^{-1}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Flipping a pair twice doesn't change it.

```
lemma flip-flip: flip (flip tup) = tup
  ⟨proof⟩
```

Flipping all pairs in a relation yields the converse relation.

```
lemma flip-conv: flip ` R = R-1
  ⟨proof⟩
```

5.5 Evaluation as a function

Evaluates a relation R for a single argument, as if it were a function. This will only work if R is right-unique, i.e. if the image is always a singleton set.

```
fun eval-rel :: ('a × 'b) set ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'b (infix `,,` 75)
  where R , , a = the-elem (R `` {a})
```

5.6 Paste

the union of two binary relations P and Q , where pairs from Q override pairs from P when their first components coincide. This is particularly useful when P, Q are *runiq*, and one wants to preserve that property.

```
definition paste (infix `+*` 75)
  where P +* Q = (P outside Domain Q) ∪ Q
```

If a relation P is a subrelation of another relation Q on Q 's domain, pasting Q on P is the same as forming their union.

```
lemma paste-subrel:
  assumes P || Domain Q ⊆ Q
  shows P +* Q = P ∪ Q
  ⟨proof⟩
```

Pasting two relations with disjoint domains is the same as forming their union.

```
lemma paste-disj-domains:
  assumes Domain P ∩ Domain Q = {}
  shows P +* Q = P ∪ Q
  ⟨proof⟩
```

A relation P is equivalent to pasting its restriction to some set X on P outside X .

```
lemma paste-outside-restrict: P = (P outside X) +* (P || X)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

The domain of two pasted relations equals the union of their domains.

```
lemma paste-Domain: Domain(P +* Q) = Domain P ∪ Domain Q ⟨proof⟩
```

Pasting two relations yields a subrelation of their union.

lemma *paste-sub-Un*: $P +* Q \subseteq P \cup Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The range of two pasted relations is a subset of the union of their ranges.

lemma *paste-Range*: $\text{Range}(P +* Q) \subseteq \text{Range } P \cup \text{Range } Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
end

6 Additional properties of relations, and operators on relations, as they have been defined by Relations.thy

```
theory RelationProperties
imports
  RelationOperators
```

```
begin
```

6.1 Right-Uniqueness

lemma *injflip*: *inj-on flip A*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm01*: $\text{card } P = \text{card } (P^{\wedge-1})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cardinalityOneTheElemIdentity*: $(\text{card } X = 1) = (X = \{\text{the-elem } X\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm02*: $\text{trivial } X = (X = \{\}) \vee \text{card } X = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm03*: $\text{trivial } P = \text{trivial } (P^{\wedge-1})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrictedRange*: $\text{Range}(P||X) = P``X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *doubleRestriction*: $((P || X) || Y) = (P || (X \cap Y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrictedDomain*: $\text{Domain}(R||X) = \text{Domain } R \cap X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

A subrelation of a right-unique relation is right-unique.

lemma *subrel-runiq*:

```

assumes runiq Q P ⊆ Q
shows runiq P
⟨proof⟩

lemma rightUniqueInjectiveOnFirstImplication:
assumes runiq P
shows inj-on fst P
⟨proof⟩

alternative characterization of right-uniqueness: the image of a singleton set
is trivial, i.e. an empty or a singleton set.

lemma runiq-alt: runiq R ↔ (forall x . trivial (R `` {x}))
⟨proof⟩

an alternative definition of right-uniqueness in terms of (,,)

lemma runiq-wrt-eval-rel: runiq R = (forall x . R `` {x} ⊆ {R , , x})
⟨proof⟩

lemma rightUniquePair:
assumes runiq f
assumes (x,y) ∈ f
shows y=f,,x
⟨proof⟩

lemma runiq-basic: runiq R ↔ (forall x y y' . (x, y) ∈ R ∧ (x, y') ∈ R → y = y')
⟨proof⟩

lemma rightUniqueFunctionAfterInverse:
assumes runiq f
shows f``(f^-1``Y) ⊆ Y
⟨proof⟩

lemma lm04:
assumes runiq f y1 ∈ Range f
shows (f^-1 `` {y1} ∩ f^-1 `` {y2} ≠ {}) = (f^-1 `` {y1} = f^-1 `` {y2})
⟨proof⟩

lemma converse-Image:
assumes runiq: runiq R
and runiq-conv: runiq (R^-1)
shows (R^-1) `` R `` X ⊆ X
⟨proof⟩

lemma lm05:
assumes inj-on fst P
shows runiq P
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *rightUniqueInjectiveOnFirst*: $(\text{runiq } P) = (\text{inj-on } \text{fst } P)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *disj-Un-runiq*:
assumes $\text{runiq } P \text{ runiq } Q$ $(\text{Domain } P) \cap (\text{Domain } Q) = \{\}$
shows $\text{runiq } (P \cup Q)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *runiq-paste1*:
assumes $\text{runiq } Q$ $\text{runiq } (P \text{ outside Domain } Q)$
shows $\text{runiq } (P +* Q)$
⟨proof⟩

corollary *runiq-paste2*:
assumes $\text{runiq } Q$ $\text{runiq } P$
shows $\text{runiq } (P +* Q)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *rightUniqueRestrictedGraph*: $\text{runiq } \{(x, f x) \mid x. P x\}$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *rightUniqueSetCardinality*:
assumes $x \in \text{Domain } R$ $\text{runiq } R$
shows $\text{card } (R `` \{x\}) = 1$
⟨proof⟩

The image of a singleton set under a right-unique relation is a singleton set.

lemma *Image-runiq-eq-eval*:
assumes $x \in \text{Domain } R$ $\text{runiq } R$
shows $R `` \{x\} = \{R ,,, x\}$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *lm06*:
assumes *trivial f*
shows $\text{runiq } f$
⟨proof⟩

A singleton relation is right-unique.

corollary *runiq-singleton-rel*: $\text{runiq } \{(x, y)\}$
⟨proof⟩

The empty relation is right-unique

lemma *runiq-emptyrel*: $\text{runiq } \{\}$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *runiq-wrt-ex1*:

runiq R $\longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in \text{Domain } R . \exists! b . (a, b) \in R)$
(proof)

alternative characterization of the fact that, if a relation R is right-unique, its evaluation $R , , x$ on some argument x in its domain, occurs in R 's range. Note that we need *runiq R* in order to get a definite value for $R , , x$

lemma eval-runiq-rel:
assumes *domain*: $x \in \text{Domain } R$
and *runiq*: *runiq R*
shows $(x, R , , x) \in R$
(proof)

Evaluating a right-unique relation as a function on the relation's domain yields an element from its range.

lemma eval-runiq-in-Range:
assumes *runiq R*
and $a \in \text{Domain } R$
shows $R , , a \in \text{Range } R$
(proof)

6.2 Converse

The inverse image of the image of a singleton set under some relation is the same singleton set, if both the relation and its converse are right-unique and the singleton set is in the relation's domain.

lemma converse-Image-singleton-Domain:
assumes *runiq*: *runiq R*
and *runiq-conv*: *runiq* (R^{-1})
and *domain*: $x \in \text{Domain } R$
shows $R^{-1} `` R `` \{x\} = \{x\}$
(proof)

The images of two disjoint sets under an injective function are disjoint.

lemma disj-Domain-imp-disj-Image:
assumes $\text{Domain } R \cap X \cap Y = \{\}$
assumes *runiq R*
and *runiq* (R^{-1})
shows $(R `` X) \cap (R `` Y) = \{\}$
(proof)

lemma runiq-converse-paste-singleton:
assumes *runiq* ($P^{\wedge}-1$) $y \notin (\text{Range } P)$
shows *runiq* ($((P +* \{(x,y)\})^{-1})$
(is $?u (?P^{\wedge}-1)$
(proof)

6.3 Injectivity

The following is a classical definition of the set of all injective functions from X to Y .

```
definition injections :: ' $a$  set  $\Rightarrow$  ' $b$  set  $\Rightarrow$  (' $a$   $\times$  ' $b$ ) set set
  where injections  $X$   $Y$  = { $R$  . Domain  $R$  =  $X$   $\wedge$  Range  $R \subseteq Y$   $\wedge$  runiq  $R$   $\wedge$ 
    runiq ( $R^{-1}$ )}
```

The following definition is a constructive (computational) characterization of the set of all injections X Y , represented by a list. That is, we define the list of all injective functions (represented as relations) from one set (represented as a list) to another set. We formally prove the equivalence of the constructive and the classical definition in Universes.thy.

```
fun injections-alg
  where injections-alg []  $Y$  = []
    injections-alg ( $x \# xs$ )  $Y$  = concat [ [  $R +*$  {( $x,y$ )} .  $y \leftarrow$  sorted-list-of-set
      ( $Y - Range R$ ) ]
    .  $R \leftarrow$  injections-alg  $xs$   $Y$  ]

lemma Image-within-domain':
  fixes  $x R$ 
  shows ( $x \in$  Domain  $R$ ) = ( $R$  `` { $x$ }  $\neq$  {})
  {proof}

end
```

7 Toolbox of various definitions and theorems about sets, relations and lists

theory MiscTools

```
imports
HOL-Library.Discrete-Functions
HOL-Library.Code-Target-Nat
HOL-Library.Indicator-Function
Argmax
RelationProperties
```

begin

lemmas restrict-def = RelationOperators.restrict-def

7.1 Facts and notations about relations, sets and functions.

notation paste (infix $\langle+\rangle$ 75)

+< abbreviation permits to shorten the notation for altering a function f in a single point by giving a pair (a, b) so that the new function has value b with argument a.

abbreviation *singlepaste*
where *singlepaste f pair* == *f +** {(*fst pair*, *snd pair*)}
notation *singlepaste* (**infix** <+<> 75)

-- abbreviation permits to shorten the notation for considering a function outside a single point.

abbreviation *singleoutside* (**infix** <--> 75)
where *f -- x* ≡ *f outside {x}*

Turns a HOL function into a set-theoretical function

definition
Graph f = {(*x, f x*) | *x . True*}

Inverts *Graph* (which is equivalently done by (,,)).

definition
toFunction R = ($\lambda x . (R , , x)$)

lemma
toFunction = eval-rel
<proof>

lemma *lm001*:
 $((P \cup Q) \parallel X) = ((P \parallel X) \cup (Q \parallel X))$
<proof>

update behaves like *P +* Q* (paste), but without enlarging P's Domain.
update is the set theoretic equivalent of the lambda function update *fun-upd*

definition *update*
where *update P Q* = *P +* (Q || (Domain P))*
notation *update* (**infix** <+> 75)

definition *runique* :: ('a × 'b) set => ('a × 'b) set
where *runique R* = { (x, THE y. y ∈ R “ {x}) | x. x ∈ Domain R }

graph is like *Graph*, but with a built-in restriction to a given set X. This makes it computable for finite X, whereas *Graph f || X* is not computable. Duplicates the eponymous definition found in *Function-Order*, which is otherwise not needed.

definition *graph*
where *graph X f* = {(x, f x) | x. x ∈ X}

lemma *lm002*:

```

assumes runiq R
shows R ⊇ graph (Domain R) (toFunction R)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lm003:
assumes runiq R
shows R ⊆ graph (Domain R) (toFunction R)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lm004:
assumes runiq R
shows R = graph (Domain R) (toFunction R)
⟨proof⟩

lemma domainOfGraph:
runiq(graph X f) & Domain(graph X f)=X
⟨proof⟩

abbreviation eval-rel2 (R::('a × ('b set)) set) (x::'a) == ∪ (R“{x})
notation eval-rel2 (infix ‘,,,’ 75)

lemma imageEquivalence:
assumes runiq (f::((‘a × ('b set)) set)) x ∈ Domain f
shows f,,x = f,,x
⟨proof⟩

lemma lm005:
Graph f=graph UNIV f
⟨proof⟩

lemma graphIntersection:
graph (X ∩ Y) f ⊆ ((graph X f) || Y)
⟨proof⟩

definition runiqs
where runiqs={f. runiq f}

lemma outsideOutside:
((P outside X) outside Y) = P outside (X ∪ Y)
⟨proof⟩

corollary lm006:
((P outside X) outside X) = P outside X
⟨proof⟩

lemma lm007:
assumes (X ∩ Domain P) ⊆ Domain Q

```

shows $P +* Q = (P \text{ outside } X) +* Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary $lm008$:

$P +* Q = (P \text{ outside } (\text{Domain } Q)) +* Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary $outsideUnion$:

$R = (R \text{ outside } \{x\}) \cup (\{x\} \times (R `` \{x\}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lm009$:

$P = P \cup \{x\} \times P `` \{x\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary $lm010$:

$R = (R \text{ outside } \{x\}) +* (\{x\} \times (R `` \{x\}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lm011$:

$R \subseteq R +* (\{x\} \times (R `` \{x\}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lm012$:

$R \supseteq R +* (\{x\} \times (R `` \{x\}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lm013$:

$R = R +* (\{x\} \times (R `` \{x\}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rightUniqueTrivialCartes$:

assumes trivial Y
shows $runiq (X \times Y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lm014$:

$runiq ((X \times \{x\}) +* (Y \times \{y\}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lm015$:

$(P || (X \cap Y)) \subseteq (P||X) \quad \& \quad P \text{ outside } (X \cup Y) \subseteq P \text{ outside } X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lm016$:

$P || X \subseteq (P||(X \cup Y)) \quad \& \quad P \text{ outside } X \subseteq P \text{ outside } (X \cap Y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma lm017:
   $P``(X \cap \text{Domain } P) = P``X$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma cardinalityOneSubset:
  assumes card  $X=1$  and  $X \subseteq Y$ 
  shows Union  $X \in Y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma cardinalityOneTheElem:
  assumes card  $X=1$   $X \subseteq Y$ 
  shows the-elem  $X \in Y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lm018:
   $(R \text{ outside } X1) \text{ outside } X2 = (R \text{ outside } X2) \text{ outside } X1$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

7.2 Ordered relations

```

lemma lm019:
  assumes card  $X \geq 1$   $\forall x \in X. y > x$ 
  shows  $y > \text{Max } X$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma lm020:
  assumes finite  $X$   $mx \in X$   $f x < f mx$ 
  shows  $x \notin \text{argmax } f X$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma lm021:
  assumes finite  $X$   $mx \in X \forall x \in X - \{mx\}. f x < f mx$ 
  shows  $\text{argmax } f X \subseteq \{mx\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma lm022:
  assumes finite  $X$   $mx \in X \forall x \in X - \{mx\}. f x < f mx$ 
  shows  $\text{argmax } f X = \{mx\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

corollary argmaxProperty:
   $(\text{finite } X \& mx \in X \& (\forall aa \in X - \{mx\}. f aa < f mx)) \longrightarrow \text{argmax } f X = \{mx\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

corollary lm023:
  assumes finite  $X$   $mx \in X \forall x \in X. x \neq mx \longrightarrow f x < f mx$ 
  shows  $\text{argmax } f X = \{mx\}$ 

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma lm024:
  assumes  $f \circ g = id$ 
  shows inj-on  $g$  UNIV ⟨proof⟩

lemma lm025:
  assumes inj-on  $f X$ 
  shows inj-on (image  $f$ ) (Pow  $X$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma injectionPowerset:
  assumes inj-on  $f Y X \subseteq Y$ 
  shows inj-on (image  $f$ ) (Pow  $X$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

definition finestpart
  where finestpart  $X = (\%x. \{x\})`X$ 

lemma finestPart:
  finestpart  $X = \{\{x\} | x . x \in X\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finestPartUnion:
   $X = \bigcup (\text{finestpart } X)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lm026:
  Union  $\circ$  finestpart = id
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lm027:
  inj-on Union (finestpart ` UNIV)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nonEqualitySetOfSets:
  assumes  $X \neq Y$ 
  shows  $\{\{x\} | x . x \in X\} \neq \{\{x\} | x . x \in Y\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary lm028:
  inj-on finestpart UNIV
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma unionFinestPart:
   $\{Y | Y . \exists x. ((Y \in \text{finestpart } x) \wedge (x \in X))\} = \bigcup (\text{finestpart}`X)$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rangeSetOfPairs*:

$\text{Range } \{(fst \ pair, Y) | Y \text{ pair. } Y \in \text{finestpart } (snd \ pair) \ \& \ pair \in X\} =$
 $\{Y. \exists x. ((Y \in \text{finestpart } x) \wedge (x \in \text{Range } X))\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *setOfPairsEquality*:

$\{(fst \ pair, \{y\}) | y \text{ pair. } y \in \text{snd pair} \ \& \ pair \in X\} =$
 $\{(fst \ pair, Y) | Y \text{ pair. } Y \in \text{finestpart } (snd \ pair) \ \& \ pair \in X\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *setOfPairs*:

$\{(fst \ pair, \{y\}) | y. y \in \text{snd pair}\} =$
 $\{fst \ pair\} \times \{\{y\} | y. y \in \text{snd pair}\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm029*:

$x \in X = (\{x\} \in \text{finestpart } X)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pairDifference*:

$\{(x, X)\} - \{(x, Y)\} = \{x\} \times (\{X\} - \{Y\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm030*:

assumes $\bigcup P = X$
shows $P \subseteq \text{Pow } X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm031*:

$\text{argmax } f \{x\} = \{x\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sortingSameSet*:

assumes *finite X*
shows *set (sorted-list-of-set X) = X*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm032*:

assumes *finite A*
shows $\sum f A = \sum f (A \cap B) + \sum f (A - B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *sumOutside*:

assumes *finite g*

shows $\text{sum } f \ g = \text{sum } f \ (g \text{ outside } X) + (\text{sum } f \ (g||X))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm033$:

assumes $(\text{Domain } P \subseteq \text{Domain } Q)$
shows $(P +* Q) = Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm034$:

assumes $(P +* Q = Q)$
shows $(\text{Domain } P \subseteq \text{Domain } Q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm035$:

$(\text{Domain } P \subseteq \text{Domain } Q) = (P +* Q = Q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma

$(P||(\text{Domain } Q)) +* Q = Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm036$:

$P||X = P \text{ outside } (\text{Domain } P - X)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm037$:

$(P \text{ outside } X) \subseteq P || ((\text{Domain } P) - X)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm038$:

$\text{Domain } (P \text{ outside } X) \cap \text{Domain } (Q || X) = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm039$:

$(P \text{ outside } X) \cap (Q || X) = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm040$:

$(P \text{ outside } (X \cup Y)) \cap (Q || X) = \{\} \quad \& \quad (P \text{ outside } X) \cap (Q || (X \cap Z)) = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm041$:

$P \text{ outside } X = P || ((\text{Domain } P) - X)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm042$:

$R `` (X - Y) = (R || X) `` (X - Y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lm043*:
assumes $\bigcup_{X \in XX} X \subseteq X$ $x \in XX \rightarrow x \neq \{\}$
shows $x \cap X = \{\}$
(proof)

lemma *lm044*:
assumes $\forall l \in set L1. set L2 = f2 (set l) N$
shows $set [set L2. l <- L1] = \{f2 P N \mid P. P \in set (map set L1)\}$
(proof)

lemma *setVsList*:
assumes $\forall l \in set (g1 G). set (g2 l N) = f2 (set l) N$
shows $set [set (g2 l N). l <- (g1 G)] = \{f2 P N \mid P. P \in set (map set (g1 G))\}$
(proof)

lemma *lm045*:
 $(\forall l \in set (g1 G). set (g2 l N) = f2 (set l) N) \rightarrow$
 $\{f2 P N \mid P. P \in set (map set (g1 G))\} = set [set (g2 l N). l <- g1 G]$
(proof)

lemma *lm046*:
assumes $X \cap Y = \{\}$
shows $R `` X = (R \text{ outside } Y) `` X$
(proof)

lemma *lm047*:
assumes $(Range P) \cap (Range Q) = \{\}$ $runiq (P^{\wedge-1}) runiq (Q^{\wedge-1})$
shows $runiq ((P \cup Q)^{\wedge-1})$
(proof)

lemma *lm048*:
assumes $(Range P) \cap (Range Q) = \{\}$ $runiq (P^{\wedge-1}) runiq (Q^{\wedge-1})$
shows $runiq ((P +* Q)^{\wedge-1})$
(proof)

lemma *lm049*:
assumes $runiq R$
shows $card (R `` \{a\}) = 1 \longleftrightarrow a \in Domain R$
(proof)

lemma *lm050*:
 $inj (\lambda a. ((fst a, fst (snd a)), snd (snd a)))$
(proof)

lemma *lm051*:

assumes *finite X* $x > \text{Max } X$

shows $x \notin X$

{proof}

lemma *lm052*:

assumes *finite A* $A \neq \{\}$

shows $\text{Max } (f^A) \in f^A$

{proof}

lemma *lm053*:

argmax f A $\subseteq f^{-1}\{\text{Max } (f^A)\}$

{proof}

lemma *lm054*:

argmax f A $= A \cap \{x . f x = \text{Max } (f^A)\}$

{proof}

lemma *lm055*:

$(x \in \text{argmax } f X) = (x \in X \& f x = \text{Max } (f^X))$

{proof}

lemma *rangeEmpty*:

Range $-^{\{\{\}}\} = \{\{\}\}$

{proof}

lemma *finitePairSecondRange*:

$(\forall \text{ pair} \in R. \text{finite } (\text{snd pair})) = (\forall y \in \text{Range } R. \text{finite } y)$

{proof}

lemma *lm056*:

$\text{fst } P = \text{snd } (P^{\wedge -1})$

{proof}

lemma *lm057*:

$\text{fst pair} = \text{snd } (\text{flip pair}) \& \text{snd pair} = \text{fst } (\text{flip pair})$

{proof}

lemma *flip-flip2*:

$\text{flip } \circ \text{flip} = \text{id}$

{proof}

lemma *lm058*:

$\text{fst} = (\text{snd} \circ \text{flip})$

{proof}

lemma *lm059*:

snd = (fst o flip)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm060*:
inj-on fst P = inj-on (snd o flip) P
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm062*:
inj-on fst P = inj-on snd (P^{^-1})
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sumPairsInverse*:
assumes *runiq (P^{^-1})*
shows *sum (f o snd) P = sum f (Range P)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *notEmptyFinestpart*:
assumes *X ≠ {}*
shows *finestpart X ≠ {}*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm063*:
assumes *inj-on g X*
shows *sum f (g^{*}X) = sum (f o g) X*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *functionOnFirstEqualsSecond*:
assumes *runiq R z ∈ R*
shows *R_{,,(fst z)} = snd z*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm064*:
assumes *runiq R*
shows *sum (toFunction R) (Domain R) = sum snd R*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lm065*:
assumes *runiq (f||X)*
shows *sum (toFunction (f||X)) (X ∩ Domain f) = sum snd (f||X)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm066*:
Range (R outside X) = R[“]((Domain R) – X)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm067*:
(R||X) “ X = R“X
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma lm068:
  assumes  $x \in \text{Domain } (f||X)$ 
  shows  $(f||X) ``\{x\} = f ``\{x\}$ 
  {proof}

lemma lm069:
  assumes  $x \in X \cap \text{Domain } f$   $\text{runiq } (f||X)$ 
  shows  $(f||X),,x = f,,x$ 
  {proof}

lemma lm070:
  assumes  $\text{runiq } (f||X)$ 
  shows  $\text{sum } (\text{toFunction } (f||X)) (X \cap \text{Domain } f) = \text{sum } (\text{toFunction } f) (X \cap \text{Domain } f)$ 
  {proof}

corollary sumRestrictedToDomainInvariant:
  assumes  $\text{runiq } (f||X)$ 
  shows  $\text{sum } (\text{toFunction } f) (X \cap \text{Domain } f) = \text{sum } \text{snd } (f||X)$ 
  {proof}

corollary sumRestrictedOnFunction:
  assumes  $\text{runiq } (f||X)$ 
  shows  $\text{sum } (\text{toFunction } (f||X)) (X \cap \text{Domain } f) = \text{sum } \text{snd } (f||X)$ 
  {proof}

lemma cardFinestpart:
   $\text{card } (\text{finestpart } X) = \text{card } X$ 
  {proof}

corollary lm071:
   $\text{finestpart } \{\} = \{\} \quad \& \quad \text{card } \circ \text{finestpart} = \text{card}$ 
  {proof}

lemma finiteFinestpart:
   $\text{finite } (\text{finestpart } X) = \text{finite } X$ 
  {proof}

lemma lm072:
   $\text{finite } \circ \text{finestpart} = \text{finite}$ 
  {proof}

lemma finestpartSubset:
  assumes  $X \subseteq Y$ 
  shows  $\text{finestpart } X \subseteq \text{finestpart } Y$ 
  {proof}

corollary lm073:
  assumes  $x \in X$ 

```

shows $\text{finestpart } x \subseteq \text{finestpart} (\bigcup X)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm074$:

$\bigcup (\text{finestpart} ` XX) \subseteq \text{finestpart} (\bigcup XX)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm075$:

$\bigcup (\text{finestpart} ` XX) \supseteq \text{finestpart} (\bigcup XX)$
(is $?L \supseteq ?R$ **)**
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary $\text{commuteUnionFinestpart}$:

$\bigcup (\text{finestpart} ` XX) = \text{finestpart} (\bigcup XX)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma unionImage :

assumes $\text{runiq } a$
shows $\{(x, \{y\}) | x y. y \in \bigcup (a `` \{x\}) \& x \in \text{Domain } a\} =$
 $\{(x, \{y\}) | x y. y \in a, , x \& x \in \text{Domain } a\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm076$:

assumes $\text{runiq } P$
shows $\text{card} (\text{Domain } P) = \text{card } P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{finiteDomainImpliesFinite}$:

assumes $\text{runiq } f$
shows $\text{finite} (\text{Domain } f) = \text{finite } f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma sumCurry :

$\text{sum} ((\text{curry } f) x) Y = \text{sum } f (\{x\} \times Y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm077$:

$\text{sum} (\%y. f (x, y)) Y = \text{sum } f (\{x\} \times Y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary $lm078$:

assumes $\text{finite } X$
shows $\text{sum } f X = \text{sum } f (X - Y) + (\text{sum } f (X \cap Y))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm079$:

$(P +* Q) `` (\text{Domain } Q \cap X) = Q `` (\text{Domain } Q \cap X)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *lm080*:

($P +* Q$) “($X \cap (\text{Domain } Q)$) = Q “ X
⟨proof⟩

corollary *lm081*:

assumes $X \cap (\text{Domain } Q) = \{\}$
shows ($P +* Q$) “ $X = (P \text{ outside } (\text{Domain } Q))$ “ X
⟨proof⟩

lemma *lm082*:

assumes $X \cap Y = \{\}$
shows ($P \text{ outside } Y$) “ $X = P$ “ X
⟨proof⟩

corollary *lm083*:

assumes $X \cap (\text{Domain } Q) = \{\}$
shows ($P +* Q$) “ $X = P$ “ X
⟨proof⟩

lemma *lm084*:

assumes finite X finite Y $\text{card}(X \cap Y) = \text{card } X$
shows $X \subseteq Y$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *cardinalityIntersectionEquality*:

assumes finite X finite Y $\text{card } X = \text{card } Y$
shows ($\text{card } (X \cap Y) = \text{card } X$) = ($X = Y$)
⟨proof⟩

lemma *lm085*:

assumes $P \text{ xx}$
shows $\{(x, f x) \mid x. P x\}, \text{xx} = f \text{ xx}$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *graphEqImage*:

assumes $x \in X$
shows $\text{graph } X f,,x = f x$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *lm086*:

$\text{Graph } f,,x = f x$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *lm087*:

$\text{toFunction } (\text{Graph } f) = f$ (is ?L=)
⟨proof⟩

lemma *lm088*:

R outside X \subseteq *R*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm089*:

assumes *runiq P*
shows $(P^{-1} \cap ((Range P) - Y)) \cap ((P^{-1}) \cap Y) = \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm090*:

assumes *runiq P*
shows $(P \cap ((Domain P) - X)) \cap (P \cap X) = \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm091*:

assumes *runiq (P⁻¹)*
shows $(P \cap ((Domain P) - X)) \cap (P \cap X) = \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm092*:

assumes *runiq f runiq (f⁻¹)*
shows *Range(f outside X)* \subseteq *(Range f) - (f⁻¹X)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rangeOutside*:

assumes *runiq f runiq (f⁻¹)*
shows *Range(f outside X)* = *(Range f) - (f⁻¹X)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unionIntersectionEmpty*:

$(\forall x \in X. \forall y \in Y. x \cap y = \{\}) = ((\bigcup X) \cap (\bigcup Y) = \{\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *setEqualityAsDifference*:

$\{x\} - \{y\} = \{\} \Rightarrow (x = y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm093*:

assumes $R \neq \{\}$ *Domain R* $\cap X \neq \{\}$
shows $R \cap X \neq \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm095*:

$R \subseteq (\text{Domain } R) \times (\text{Range } R)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *finiteRelationCharacterization*:

$(\text{finite } (\text{Domain } Q) \& \text{finite } (\text{Range } Q)) = \text{finite } Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *familyUnionFiniteEverySetFinite*:

assumes *finite* ($\bigcup XX$)
 shows $\forall X \in XX. \text{finite } X$
 {proof}

lemma *lm096*:

assumes *runiq f X* $\subseteq (f^\wedge - 1) `` Y$
 shows $f `` X \subseteq Y$
 {proof}

lemma *lm097*:

assumes $y \in f `` \{x\}$ *runiq f*
 shows $f,,x = y$
 {proof}

7.3 Indicator function in set-theoretical form.

abbreviation

Outside' X f == f outside X

abbreviation

Chi X Y == (Y × {0::nat}) + (X × {1})*
 notation *Chi* (infix $\langle <|| \rangle$ 80)

abbreviation

chii X Y == toFunction (X <|| Y)
 notation *chii* (infix $\langle <| \rangle$ 80)

abbreviation

chi X == indicator X

lemma *lm098*:

runiq (X <|| Y)
 {proof}

lemma *lm099*:

assumes $x \in X$
 shows $1 \in (X <|| Y) `` \{x\}$
 {proof}

lemma *lm100*:

assumes $x \in Y - X$
 shows $0 \in (X <|| Y) `` \{x\}$
 {proof}

lemma *lm101*:

assumes $x \in X \cup Y$
 shows $(X <|| Y),,,x = chi X x (\mathbf{is} ?L=?R)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm102*:

assumes $x \in X \cup Y$

shows $(X <| Y) x = chi X x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lm103*:

$sum (X <| Y) (X \cup Y) = sum (chi X) (X \cup Y)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lm104*:

assumes $\forall x \in X. f x = g x$

shows $sum f X = sum g X$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lm105*:

assumes $\forall x \in X. f x = g x \quad Y \subseteq X$

shows $sum f Y = sum g Y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lm106*:

assumes $Z \subseteq X \cup Y$

shows $sum (X <| Y) Z = sum (chi X) Z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lm107*:

$sum (chi X) (Z - X) = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lm108*:

assumes $Z \subseteq X \cup Y$

shows $sum (X <| Y) (Z - X) = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lm109*:

assumes *finite* Z

shows $sum (X <| Y) Z = sum (X <| Y) (Z - X) + (sum (X <| Y) (Z \cap X))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lm110*:

assumes $Z \subseteq X \cup Y$ *finite* Z

shows $sum (X <| Y) Z = sum (X <| Y) (Z \cap X)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lm111*:

assumes *finite* Z

shows $sum (chi X) Z = card (X \cap Z)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lm112*:

assumes $Z \subseteq X \cup Y$ finite Z

shows $\text{sum} (X <| Y) Z = \text{card} (Z \cap X)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *subsetCardinality*:

assumes $Z \subseteq X \cup Y$ finite Z

shows $(\text{sum} (X <| Y) X) - (\text{sum} (X <| Y) Z) = \text{card} X - \text{card} (Z \cap X)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *differenceSumVsCardinality*:

assumes $Z \subseteq X \cup Y$ finite Z

shows $\text{int} (\text{sum} (X <| Y) X) - \text{int} (\text{sum} (X <| Y) Z) = \text{int} (\text{card} X) - \text{int} (\text{card} (Z \cap X))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm113*:

$\text{int} (n::nat) = \text{real} n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *differenceSumVsCardinalityReal*:

assumes $Z \subseteq X \cup Y$ finite Z

shows $\text{real} (\text{sum} (X <| Y) X) - \text{real} (\text{sum} (X <| Y) Z) = \text{real} (\text{card} X) - \text{real} (\text{card} (Z \cap X))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

7.4 Lists

lemma *lm114*:

assumes $\exists n \in \{0..<\text{size } l\}. P (l!n)$

shows $[n. n \leftarrow [0..<\text{size } l], P (l!n)] \neq []$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm115*:

assumes $ll \in \text{set} (l::'a \text{ list})$

shows $\exists n \in (\text{nth } l) - `(\text{set } l). ll = l!n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm116*:

assumes $ll \in \text{set} (l::'a \text{ list})$

shows $\exists n. ll = l!n \& n < \text{size } l \& n \geq 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm117*:

assumes $P - \{ \text{True} \} \cap \text{set } l \neq \{ \}$

shows $\exists n \in \{0..<\text{size } l\}. P(l!n)$

(proof)

lemma *nonEmptyListFiltered*:

assumes $P - \{ \text{True} \} \cap \text{set } l \neq \{ \}$

shows $[n. n \leftarrow [0..<\text{size } l], P(l!n)] \neq []$

(proof)

lemma *lm118*:

$(\text{nth } l) \cdot \text{set} ([n. n \leftarrow [0..<\text{size } l], (\%x. x \in X) (l!n)]) \subseteq X \cap \text{set } l$

(proof)

corollary *lm119*:

$(\text{nth } l) \cdot \text{set} (\text{filterpositions2} (\%x. (x \in X)) l) \subseteq X \cap \text{set } l$

(proof)

lemma *lm120*:

$(n \in \{0..< N\}) = ((n :: \text{nat}) < N)$

(proof)

lemma *lm121*:

assumes $X \subseteq \{0..<\text{size list}\}$

shows $(\text{nth list})^{\cdot} X \subseteq \text{set list}$

(proof)

lemma *lm122*:

$\text{set} ([n. n \leftarrow [0..<\text{size } l], P(l!n)]) \subseteq \{0..<\text{size } l\}$

(proof)

lemma *lm123*:

$\text{set} (\text{filterpositions2 pre list}) \subseteq \{0..<\text{size list}\}$

(proof)

7.5 Computing all the permutations of a list

abbreviation
 $\text{rotateLeft} == \text{rotate}$

abbreviation
 $\text{rotateRight } n \text{ } l == \text{rotateLeft} (\text{size } l - (n \bmod (\text{size } l))) \text{ } l$

abbreviation

$$insertAt\ x\ l\ n == rotateRight\ n\ (x\#(rotateLeft\ n\ l))$$

fun *perm2* **where**

$$\begin{aligned}perm2 [] &= (\%n. []) \mid \\perm2 (x\#l) &= (\%n. insertAt x ((perm2 l) (n \text{ div } (1+\text{size } l))) \\&\quad (n \text{ mod } (1+\text{size } l)))\end{aligned}$$

abbreviation

takeAll P list == map (nth list) (filterpositions2 P list)

lemma *permutationNotEmpty*:

assumes $l \neq$

shows $\text{perm2 } l \ n \neq []$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm124*:

set (takeAll P list) = ((nth list) ` set (filterpositions2 P list))
⟨proof⟩

corollary *listIntersectionWithSet*:

$$set\ (takeAll\ (\%x.(x \in X))\ l) \subseteq (X \cap set\ l)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lm125:*

set (*takeAll P list*) \subseteq *set list*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *takeAllSubset*:

set (*takeAll* (% x . $x \in P$) *list*) $\subseteq P$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm126*:

$$\text{set } (\text{insertAt } x \ l \ n) = \{x\} \cup \text{set } l$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm127:*

$$\forall n. \text{ set } (\text{perm2} \sqcap n) = \text{set} \sqcap$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *lm128*:

assumes $\forall n. (set (\text{perm2 } l \ n) = set \ l)$

shows $\text{set}(\text{perm2}(x \# l) n) = \{x\} \cup \text{set } l$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *permutationInvariance*:
 $\forall n. \text{set}(\text{perm2}(l::'a\ list)\ n) = \text{set}\ l$
(proof)

corollary *takeAllPermutation*:
 $\text{set}(\text{perm2}(\text{takeAll}(\%x.(x\in X))\ l)\ n) \subseteq X \cap \text{set}\ l$
(proof)

abbreviation *subList l xl == map (nth l) (takeAll (%x. x ≤ size l) xl)*

7.6 A more computable version of *toFunction*.

abbreviation *toFunctionWithFallback R fallback ==*
 $(\% x. \text{if } (R``\{x\}) = \{R,,x\} \text{ then } (R,,x) \text{ else } \text{fallback})$

notation
toFunctionWithFallback (infix ⟨Else⟩ 75)

abbreviation *sum' where*
 $\text{sum}' R X == \text{sum}(\text{R Else 0}) X$

lemma *lm129*:
assumes *runiq f x ∈ Domain f*
shows *(f Else 0) x = (toFunction f) x*
(proof)

lemma *lm130*:
assumes *runiq f*
shows *sum (f Else 0) (X ∩ (Domain f)) = sum (toFunction f) (X ∩ (Domain f))*

(proof)

lemma *lm131*:
assumes *Y ⊆ f-`{0}*
shows *sum f Y = 0*
(proof)

lemma *lm132*:
assumes *Y ⊆ f-`{0} finite X*
shows *sum f X = sum f (X - Y)*
(proof)

lemma *lm133*:
 $-(\text{Domain } f) \subseteq (\text{f Else 0}) - `0$
(proof)

corollary *lm134*:

assumes *finite X*
shows $\text{sum}(\text{f Else } 0) \ X = \text{sum}(\text{f Else } 0)(X \cap \text{Domain } f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *lm135*:

assumes *finite X*
shows $\text{sum}(\text{f Else } 0)(X \cap \text{Domain } f) = \text{sum}(\text{f Else } 0) \ X$
 $(\text{is } ?L=?R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *lm136*:

assumes *finite X runiq f*
shows $\text{sum}(\text{f Else } 0) \ X = \text{sum}(\text{toFunction } f)(X \cap \text{Domain } f)$
 $(\text{is } ?L=?R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lm137*:

$\text{sum}(\text{f Else } 0) \ X = \text{sum}' \ f \ X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *lm138*:

assumes *finite X runiq f*
shows $\text{sum}(\text{toFunction } f)(X \cap \text{Domain } f) = \text{sum}' \ f \ X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lm139*:

$\text{argmax}(\text{sum}' \ b) = (\text{argmax} \circ \text{sum}') \ b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domainConstant*:

$\text{Domain}(Y \times \{0::\text{nat}\}) = Y \ \& \ \text{Domain}(X \times \{1\}) = X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domainCharacteristicFunction*:

$\text{Domain}(X <|| Y) = X \cup Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *functionEquivalenceOnSets*:

assumes $\forall x \in X. \ f x = g x$
shows $f'X = g'X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

7.7 Cardinalities of sets.

lemma *lm140*:

assumes *runiq R runiq (R ^-1)*
shows $(R `` A) \cap (R `` B) = R `` (A \cap B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma intersectionEmptyRelationIntersectionEmpty:
  assumes runiq ( $R^{\wedge-1}$ ) runiq  $R$   $X1 \cap X2 = \{\}$ 
  shows  $(R``X1) \cap (R``X2) = \{\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lm141:
  assumes runiq  $f$  trivial  $Y$ 
  shows trivial  $(f `` (f^{\wedge-1} `` Y))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lm142:
  assumes trivial  $X$ 
  shows card  $(\text{Pow } X) \in \{1, 2\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lm143:
  assumes card  $(\text{Pow } A) = 1$ 
  shows  $A = \{\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lm144:
   $(\neg (\text{finite } A)) = (\text{card } (\text{Pow } A) = 0)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

corollary lm145:
   $(\text{finite } A) = (\text{card } (\text{Pow } A) \neq 0)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lm146:
  assumes card  $(\text{Pow } A) \neq 0$ 
  shows card  $A = \text{floor-log } (\text{card } (\text{Pow } A))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma log-2 [simp]:
  floor-log  $2 = 1$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lm147:
  assumes card  $(\text{Pow } A) = 2$ 
  shows card  $A = 1$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lm148:
  assumes card  $(\text{Pow } X) = 1 \vee \text{card } (\text{Pow } X) = 2$ 
  shows trivial  $X$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lm149:

```

trivial $A = (\text{card } (\text{Pow } A) \in \{1, 2\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm150$:

assumes $R \subseteq f \text{ runiq } f \text{ Domain } f = \text{Domain } R$
shows $\text{runiq } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm151$:

assumes $f \subseteq g \text{ runiq } g \text{ Domain } f = \text{Domain } g$
shows $g \subseteq f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm152$:

assumes $R \subseteq f \text{ runiq } f \text{ Domain } f \subseteq \text{Domain } R$
shows $f = R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm153$:

$\text{graph } X f = (\text{Graph } f) \parallel X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm154$:

$\text{graph } (X \cap Y) f = (\text{graph } X f) \parallel Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{restrictionVsIntersection}$:

$\{(x, f x) \mid x. x \in X2\} \parallel X1 = \{(x, f x) \mid x. x \in X2 \cap X1\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm155$:

assumes $\text{runiq } f X \subseteq \text{Domain } f$
shows $\text{graph } X (\text{toFunction } f) = (f \mid X)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm156$:

$(\text{Graph } f) `` X = f ` X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm157$:

assumes $X \subseteq \text{Domain } f \text{ runiq } f$
shows $f `` X = (\text{eval-rel } f) ` X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cardOneImageCardOne}$:

assumes $\text{card } A = 1$
shows $\text{card } (f ` A) = 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma cardOneTheElem:
  assumes card A = 1
  shows the-elem (f`A) = f (the-elem A)
  {proof}

```

```

abbreviation
  swap f == curry ((case-prod f) o flip)

```

```

lemma lm158:
  finite X = (X ∈ range set)
  {proof}

```

```

lemma lm159:
  finite = (%X. X ∈ range set)
  {proof}

```

```

lemma lm160:
  swap f = (%x. %y. f y x)
  {proof}

```

7.8 Some easy properties on real numbers

```

lemma lm161:
  fixes a::real
  fixes b c
  shows a*b - a*c=a*(b-c)
  {proof}

```

```

lemma lm162:
  fixes a::real
  fixes b c
  shows a*b - c*b=(a-c)*b
  {proof}

```

end

8 Definitions about those Combinatorial Auctions which are strict (i.e., which assign all the available goods)

```

theory StrictCombinatorialAuction
imports Complex-Main
  Partitions
  MiscTools

```

begin

8.1 Types

```

type-synonym index = integer
type-synonym participant = index
type-synonym good = integer
type-synonym goods = good set
type-synonym price = real
type-synonym bids3 = ((participant × goods) × price) set
type-synonym bids = participant ⇒ goods ⇒ price
type-synonym allocation-rel = (goods × participant) set
type-synonym allocation = (participant × goods) set
type-synonym payments = participant ⇒ price
type-synonym bidvector = (participant × goods) ⇒ price
abbreviation bidvector (b::bids) == case-prod b
abbreviation proceeds (b::bidvector) (allo::allocation) == sum b allo
abbreviation winnersOfAllo (a::allocation) == Domain a
abbreviation allocatedGoods (allo::allocation) == ∪ (Range allo)

```

```

fun possible-allocations-rel
  where possible-allocations-rel G N = Union { injections Y N | Y . Y ∈ all-partitions
G }

```

```

abbreviation is-partition-of' P A == ( ∪ P = A ∧ is-non-overlapping P)
abbreviation all-partitions' A == {P . is-partition-of' P A}

```

```

abbreviation possible-allocations-rel' G N == Union{injections Y N | Y . Y ∈
all-partitions' G}
abbreviation allAllocations where
  allAllocations N G == converse ` (possible-allocations-rel G N)

```

algorithmic version of possible-allocations-rel

```

fun possible-allocations-alg :: goods ⇒ participant set ⇒ allocation-rel list
  where possible-allocations-alg G N =
    concat [ injections-alg Y N . Y ← all-partitions-alg G ]

```

```

abbreviation allAllocationsAlg N G ==
  map converse (concat [(injections-alg l N) . l ← all-partitions-list G])

```

8.2 VCG mechanism

```

abbreviation winningAllocationsRel N G b ==
  argmax (sum b) (allAllocations N G)

```

abbreviation $\text{winningAllocationRel } N \ G \ t \ b == t \ (\text{winningAllocationsRel } N \ G \ b)$

abbreviation $\text{winningAllocationsAlg } N \ G \ b == \text{argmaxList} \ (\text{proceeds } b) \ (\text{allAllocationsAlg } N \ G)$

definition $\text{winningAllocationAlg } N \ G \ t \ b == t \ (\text{winningAllocationsAlg } N \ G \ b)$

payments

alpha is the maximum sum of bids of all bidders except bidder n 's bid, computed over all possible allocations of all goods, i.e. the value reportedly generated by value maximization when solved without n 's bids

abbreviation $\text{alpha } N \ G \ b \ n == \text{Max} \ ((\text{sum } b) \ (\text{allAllocations } (N - \{n\}) \ G))$

abbreviation $\text{alphaAlg } N \ G \ b \ n == \text{Max} \ ((\text{proceeds } b) \ (\text{set} \ (\text{allAllocationsAlg } (N - \{n\}) \ (G ::- \text{list}))))$

abbreviation $\text{remainingValueRel } N \ G \ t \ b \ n == \text{sum } b \ ((\text{winningAllocationRel } N \ G \ t \ b) -- n)$

abbreviation $\text{remainingValueAlg } N \ G \ t \ b \ n == \text{proceeds } b \ ((\text{winningAllocationAlg } N \ G \ t \ b) -- n)$

abbreviation $\text{paymentsRel } N \ G \ t == (\text{alpha } N \ G) - (\text{remainingValueRel } N \ G \ t)$

definition $\text{paymentsAlg } N \ G \ t == (\text{alphaAlg } N \ G) - (\text{remainingValueAlg } N \ G \ t)$

end

9 Sets of injections, partitions, allocations expressed as suitable subsets of the corresponding universes

theory *Universes*

imports

HOL-Library.Code-Target-Nat

StrictCombinatorialAuction

HOL-Library.Indicator-Function

begin

9.1 Preliminary lemmas

lemma *lm001*:
assumes $Y \in \text{set} (\text{all-partitions-alg } X)$
shows *distinct* Y
(proof)

lemma *lm002*:
assumes *finite* G
shows *all-partitions* $G = \text{set}^{\text{'}}(\text{set} (\text{all-partitions-alg } G))$
(proof)

9.2 Definitions of various subsets of UNIV .

abbreviation *isChoice* $R == \forall x. R``\{x\} \subseteq x$
abbreviation *partitionsUniverse* $== \{X. \text{is-non-overlapping } X\}$
lemma *partitionsUniverse* $\subseteq \text{Pow } \text{UNIV}$
(proof)

abbreviation *partitionValuedUniverse* $== \bigcup P \in \text{partitionsUniverse}. \text{Pow} (\text{UNIV} \times P)$
lemma *partitionValuedUniverse* $\subseteq \text{Pow} (\text{UNIV} \times (\text{Pow } \text{UNIV}))$
(proof)

abbreviation *injectionsUniverse* $== \{R. (\text{runiq } R) \& (\text{runiq } (R^{\wedge}-1))\}$

abbreviation *allocationsUniverse* $== \text{injectionsUniverse} \cap \text{partitionValuedUniverse}$
abbreviation *totalRels* $X Y == \{R. \text{Domain } R = X \& \text{Range } R \subseteq Y\}$

9.3 Results about the sets defined in the previous section

lemma *lm003*:
assumes $\forall x_1 \in X. (x_1 \neq \{\}) \& (\forall x_2 \in X - \{x_1\}. x_1 \cap x_2 = \{\})$
shows *is-non-overlapping* X
(proof)

lemma *lm004*:
assumes $\forall x \in X. f x \in x$
shows *isChoice* (*graph* $X f$)
(proof)

lemma *lm006*: *injections* $X Y \subseteq \text{injectionsUniverse}$
(proof)

lemma *lm007*: *injections* $X Y \subseteq \text{injectionsUniverse}$
(proof)

lemma *lm008*: $\text{injections } X \ Y = \text{totalRels } X \ Y \cap \text{injectionsUniverse}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *allocationInverseRangeDomainProperty*:
assumes $a \in \text{allAllocations } N \ G$
shows $a^{-1} \in \text{injections } (\text{Range } a) \ N \ \&$
 $(\text{Range } a) \ \text{partitions } G \ \&$
 $\text{Domain } a \subseteq N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lm009*:
assumes $\text{is-non-overlapping } XX \ YY \subseteq XX$
shows $(XX - YY) \ \text{partitions } (\bigcup XX - \bigcup YY)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *allocationRightUniqueRangeDomain*:
assumes $a \in \text{possible-allocations-rel } G \ N$
shows $\text{runiq } a \ \&$
 $\text{runiq } (a^{-1}) \ \&$
 $(\text{Domain } a) \ \text{partitions } G \ \&$
 $\text{Range } a \subseteq N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lm010*:
assumes $\text{runiq } a \ \text{runiq } (a^{-1}) \ (\text{Domain } a) \ \text{partitions } G \ \text{Range } a \subseteq N$
shows $a \in \text{possible-allocations-rel } G \ N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *allocationProperty*:
 $a \in \text{possible-allocations-rel } G \ N \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{runiq } a \ \& \ \text{runiq } (a^{-1}) \ \& \ (\text{Domain } a) \ \text{partitions } G \ \& \ \text{Range } a \subseteq N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lm011*:
 $\text{possible-allocations-rel}' \ G \ N \subseteq \text{injectionsUniverse}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lm012*:
 $\text{possible-allocations-rel } G \ N \subseteq \{a. (\text{Range } a) \subseteq N \ \& \ (\text{Domain } a) \in \text{all-partitions } G\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lm013*:
 $\text{injections } X \ Y = \text{injections } X \ Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lm014*:

all-partitions $X = \text{all-partitions}' X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm015$:

possible-allocations-rel' $A B = \text{possible-allocations-rel } A B$
(is $?A=?B$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm016$:

possible-allocations-rel $G N \subseteq$
 $\text{injectionsUniverse} \cap \{a. \text{Range } a \subseteq N \& \text{Domain } a \in \text{all-partitions } G\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm017$:

possible-allocations-rel $G N \supseteq$
 $\text{injectionsUniverse} \cap \{a. \text{Domain } a \in \text{all-partitions } G \& \text{Range } a \subseteq N\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm018$:

possible-allocations-rel $G N =$
 $\text{injectionsUniverse} \cap \{a. \text{Domain } a \in \text{all-partitions } G \& \text{Range } a \subseteq N\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm019$:

converse '*injectionsUniverse* = *injectionsUniverse*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm020$:

converse'($A \cap B$) = (*converse*' A) \cap (*converse*' B)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *allocationInjectionsUnivervuseProperty*:

allAllocations $N G =$
 $\text{injectionsUniverse} \cap \{a. \text{Domain } a \subseteq N \& \text{Range } a \in \text{all-partitions } G\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm021$:

allAllocations $N G \subseteq \text{injectionsUniverse}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm022$:

allAllocations $N G \subseteq \text{partitionValuedUniverse}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *allAllocationsUniverse*:

allAllocations $N G \subseteq \text{allocationsUniverse}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *possibleAllocationsRelCharacterization*:

a ∈ *allAllocations N G* =

 (*a* ∈ *injectionsUniverse* & *Domain a* ⊆ *N* & *Range a* ∈ *all-partitions G*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *lm023*:

assumes *a* ∈ *allAllocations N1 G*

shows *a* ∈ *allAllocations (N1 ∪ N2) G*

 ⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *lm024*:

allAllocations N1 G ⊆ *allAllocations (N1 ∪ N2) G*

 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *lm025*:

assumes ($\bigcup P_1$) ∩ ($\bigcup P_2$) = {}

is-non-overlapping P1 is-non-overlapping P2

$X \in P_1 \cup P_2$ $Y \in P_1 \cup P_2$ $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$

shows ($X = Y$)

 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *lm026*:

assumes ($\bigcup P_1$) ∩ ($\bigcup P_2$) = {}

is-non-overlapping P1

is-non-overlapping P2

$X \in P_1 \cup P_2$

$Y \in P_1 \cup P_2$

$(X = Y)$

shows $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$

 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *lm027*:

assumes ($\bigcup P_1$) ∩ ($\bigcup P_2$) = {}

is-non-overlapping P1

is-non-overlapping P2

shows *is-non-overlapping (P1 ∪ P2)*

 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *lm028*:

Range Q ∪ (*Range (P outside (Domain Q))*) = *Range (P +* Q)*

 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *lm029*:

assumes *a1* ∈ *injectionsUniverse*

a2 ∈ *injectionsUniverse*

 (*Range a1*) ∩ (*Range a2*) = {}

 (*Domain a1*) ∩ (*Domain a2*) = {}

shows *a1 ∪ a2* ∈ *injectionsUniverse*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nonOverlapping*:
 assumes $R \in \text{partitionValuedUniverse}$
 shows *is-non-overlapping* (*Range R*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *allocationUnion*:
 assumes $a1 \in \text{allocationsUniverse}$
 $a2 \in \text{allocationsUniverse}$
 $(\bigcup (\text{Range } a1)) \cap (\bigcup (\text{Range } a2)) = \{\}$
 $(\text{Domain } a1) \cap (\text{Domain } a2) = \{\}$
 shows $a1 \cup a2 \in \text{allocationsUniverse}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm030*:
 assumes $a \in \text{injectionsUniverse}$
 shows $a - b \in \text{injectionsUniverse}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm031*:
 $\{a. \text{Domain } a \subseteq N \quad \& \quad \text{Range } a \in \text{all-partitions } G\} =$
 $(\text{Domain} - `(\text{Pow } N)) \cap (\text{Range} - `(\text{all-partitions } G))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm032*:
 $\text{allAllocations } N \ G =$
 $\text{injectionsUniverse} \cap ((\text{Range} - `(\text{all-partitions } G)) \cap (\text{Domain} - `(\text{Pow } N)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lm033*:
 $\text{allAllocations } N \ G =$
 $\text{injectionsUniverse} \cap (\text{Range} - `(\text{all-partitions } G)) \cap (\text{Domain} - `(\text{Pow } N))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm034*:
 assumes $a \in \text{allAllocations } N \ G$
 shows $(a \hat{-} 1 \in \text{injections } (\text{Range } a) \ N \ \&$
 $\text{Range } a \in \text{all-partitions } G)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm035*:
 assumes $a \hat{-} 1 \in \text{injections } (\text{Range } a) \ N \ \text{Range } a \in \text{all-partitions } G$
 shows $a \in \text{allAllocations } N \ G$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma allocationReverseInjective:
  assumes  $a \in \text{allAllocations } N G =$ 
     $(a \hat{-} 1 \in \text{injections } (\text{Range } a) N \ \& \ \text{Range } a \in \text{all-partitions } G)$ 
  shows  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma lm036:
  assumes  $a \in \text{allAllocations } N G$ 
  shows  $a \in \text{injections } (\text{Domain } a) (\text{Range } a) \ \&$ 
     $\text{Range } a \in \text{all-partitions } G \ \&$ 
     $\text{Domain } a \subseteq N$ 
  shows  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma lm037:
  assumes  $a \in \text{injections } (\text{Domain } a) (\text{Range } a)$ 
     $\text{Range } a \in \text{all-partitions } G$ 
     $\text{Domain } a \subseteq N$ 
  shows  $a \in \text{allAllocations } N G$ 
  shows  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma characterizationallAllocations:
   $a \in \text{allAllocations } N G = (a \in \text{injections } (\text{Domain } a) (\text{Range } a) \ \&$ 
     $\text{Range } a \in \text{all-partitions } G \ \&$ 
     $\text{Domain } a \subseteq N)$ 
  shows  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma lm038:
  assumes  $a \in \text{partitionValuedUniverse}$ 
  shows  $a - b \in \text{partitionValuedUniverse}$ 
  shows  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma reducedAllocation:
  assumes  $a \in \text{allocationsUniverse}$ 
  shows  $a - b \in \text{allocationsUniverse}$ 
  shows  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma lm039:
  assumes  $a \in \text{injectionsUniverse}$ 
  shows  $a \in \text{injections } (\text{Domain } a) (\text{Range } a)$ 
  shows  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma lm040:
  assumes  $a \in \text{allocationsUniverse}$ 
  shows  $a \in \text{allAllocations } (\text{Domain } a) (\bigcup (\text{Range } a))$ 
  shows  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma lm041:
   $(\{X\} \in \text{partitionsUniverse}) = (X \neq \{\})$ 
  shows  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

lemma *lm042*:

$\{(x, X)\} - \{(x, \{\})\} \in \text{partitionValuedUniverse}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *singlePairInInjectionsUniverse*:

$\{(x, X)\} \in \text{injectionsUniverse}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *allocationUniverseProperty*:

$\{(x, X)\} - \{(x, \{\})\} \in \text{allocationsUniverse}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lm043*:

assumes *is-non-overlapping PP is-non-overlapping (Union PP)*

shows *is-non-overlapping (Union ' PP)*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lm044*:

assumes $a \in \text{allocationsUniverse}$

shows $(a - ((X \cup \{i\}) \times (\text{Range } a))) \cup$

$(\{(i, \bigcup (a^{(X \cup \{i\})})\} - \{(i, \{\})\}) \in \text{allocationsUniverse} \&$

$\bigcup (\text{Range}((a - ((X \cup \{i\}) \times (\text{Range } a))) \cup (\{(i, \bigcup (a^{(X \cup \{i\})})\} -$

$\{(i, \{\})\}))) =$

$\bigcup (\text{Range } a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *allocationsUniverseOutsideUnion*:

assumes $a \in \text{allocationsUniverse}$

shows $(a \text{ outside } (X \cup \{i\})) \cup (\{i\} \times (\{\bigcup (a^{(X \cup \{i\})})\} - \{\{\}\})) \in \text{allocationsUniverse} \&$

$\bigcup (\text{Range}((a \text{ outside } (X \cup \{i\})) \cup (\{i\} \times (\{\bigcup (a^{(X \cup \{i\})})\} - \{\{\}\})))) =$

$\bigcup (\text{Range } a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lm045*:

assumes *Domain a ∩ X ≠ {} a ∈ allocationsUniverse*

shows $\bigcup (a^{(X)}) \neq \{\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *lm046*:

assumes *Domain a ∩ X ≠ {} a ∈ allocationsUniverse*

shows $\{\bigcup (a^{(X \cup \{i\})})\} - \{\{\}\} = \{\bigcup (a^{(X \cup \{i\})})\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *lm047*:
assumes $a \in allocationsUniverse$
 $(Domain\ a) \cap X \neq \{\}$
shows $(a\ outside\ (X \cup \{i\})) \cup (\{i\} \times \{\bigcup (a^{''}(X \cup \{i\}))\}) \in allocationsUniverse$ &
 $\bigcup (Range((a\ outside\ (X \cup \{i\})) \cup (\{i\} \times \{\bigcup (a^{''}(X \cup \{i\}))\}))) =$
 $\bigcup (Range\ a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation
bidMonotonicity b i ==
 $(\forall t t'. (trivial\ t \& trivial\ t' \& Union\ t \subseteq Union\ t') \longrightarrow$
 $sum\ b\ (\{i\} \times t) \leq sum\ b\ (\{i\} \times t'))$

lemma *lm048*:
assumes *bidMonotonicity b i runiq a*
shows $sum\ b\ (\{i\} \times ((a\ outside\ X)^{''}\{i\})) \leq sum\ b\ (\{i\} \times \{\bigcup (a^{''}(X \cup \{i\}))\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm049*:
assumes $XX \in partitionValuedUniverse$
shows $\{\} \notin Range\ XX$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *emptyNotInRange*:
assumes $a \in allAllocations\ N\ G$
shows $\{\} \notin Range\ a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm050*:
assumes $a \in allAllocations\ N\ G$
shows $Range\ a \subseteq Pow\ G$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lm051*:
assumes $a \in allAllocations\ N\ G$
shows $Domain\ a \subseteq N \& Range\ a \subseteq Pow\ G - \{\{\}\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *allocationPowerset*:
assumes $a \in allAllocations\ N\ G$
shows $a \subseteq N \times (Pow\ G - \{\{\}\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lm052*:
 $allAllocations\ N\ G \subseteq Pow\ (N \times (Pow\ G - \{\{\}\}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm053*:

assumes $a \in \text{allAllocations } N G$
 $i \in N-X$
 $\text{Domain } a \cap X \neq \{\}$

shows $a \text{ outside } (X \cup \{i\}) \cup (\{i\} \times \{\bigcup(a``(X \cup \{i\}))\}) \in$
 $\text{allAllocations } (N-X) (\bigcup (\text{Range } a))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lm054*:

assumes *bidMonotonicity* ($b::= \Rightarrow \text{real}$) i
 $a \in \text{allocationsUniverse}$
 $\text{Domain } a \cap X \neq \{\}$
 $\text{finite } a$

shows $\text{sum } b (a \text{ outside } X) \leq$
 $\text{sum } b (a \text{ outside } (X \cup \{i\}) \cup (\{i\} \times \{\bigcup(a``(X \cup \{i\}))\}))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *elementOfPartitionOfFiniteSetIsFinite*:

assumes $\text{finite } X \ XX \in \text{all-partitions } X$

shows $\text{finite } XX$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lm055*:

assumes $\text{finite } N \ \text{finite } G \ a \in \text{allAllocations } N G$

shows $\text{finite } a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *allAllocationsFinite*:

assumes $\text{finite } N \ \text{finite } G$

shows $\text{finite } (\text{allAllocations } N G)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *lm056*:

assumes *bidMonotonicity* ($b::= \Rightarrow \text{real}$) i
 $a \in \text{allAllocations } N G$
 $i \in N-X$
 $\text{Domain } a \cap X \neq \{\}$
 $\text{finite } N$
 $\text{finite } G$

shows $\text{Max} ((\text{sum } b)`(\text{allAllocations } (N-X) G)) \geq$
 $\text{sum } b (a \text{ outside } X)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cardinalityPreservation*:

assumes $\forall X \in XX. \text{finite } X \text{ is-non-overlapping } XX$

shows $\text{card } (\bigcup XX) = \text{sum } \text{card } XX$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *cardSumCommute*:

assumes XX partitions X finite X finite XX

shows $\text{card}(\bigcup XX) = \text{sum card } XX$

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *sumUnionDisjoint1*:

assumes $\forall A \in C.$ finite $A \forall A \in C.$ $\forall B \in C.$ $A \neq B \longrightarrow A \text{ Int } B = \{\}$

shows $\text{sum } f(\text{Union } C) = \text{sum}(\text{sum } f) C$

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

corollary *sumUnionDisjoint2*:

assumes $\forall x \in X.$ finite x is-non-overlapping X

shows $\text{sum } f(\bigcup X) = \text{sum}(\text{sum } f) X$

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

corollary *sumUnionDisjoint3*:

assumes $\forall x \in X.$ finite x X partitions XX

shows $\text{sum } f XX = \text{sum}(\text{sum } f) X$

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

corollary *sum-associativity*:

assumes finite x X partitions x

shows $\text{sum } f x = \text{sum}(\text{sum } f) X$

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *lm057*:

assumes $a \in \text{allocationsUniverse}$ Domain $a \subseteq N \cup (\text{Range } a) = G$

shows $a \in \text{allAllocations } N G$

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

corollary *lm058*:

$(\text{allocationsUniverse} \cap \{a. (\text{Domain } a) \subseteq N \& \bigcup(\text{Range } a) = G\}) \subseteq \text{allAllocations } N G$

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

corollary *lm059*:

$\text{allAllocations } N G \subseteq \{a. (\text{Domain } a) \subseteq N\}$

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

corollary *lm060*:

$\text{allAllocations } N G \subseteq \{a. \bigcup(\text{Range } a) = G\}$

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

corollary *lm061*:

$\text{allAllocations } N G \subseteq \text{allocationsUniverse} \&$

$\text{allAllocations } N G \subseteq \{a. (\text{Domain } a) \subseteq N \& \bigcup(\text{Range } a) = G\}$

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

corollary *allAllocationsIntersectionSubset*:
allAllocations N G \subseteq
allocationsUniverse $\cap \{a. (\text{Domain } a) \subseteq N \& \bigcup (\text{Range } a) = G\}
(is $?L \subseteq ?R1 \cap ?R2$ **)**
{proof}$

corollary *allAllocationsIntersection*:
allAllocations N G =
(allocationsUniverse $\cap \{a. (\text{Domain } a) \subseteq N \& \bigcup (\text{Range } a) = G\})
(is $?L = ?R$ **)**
{proof}$

corollary *allAllocationsIntersectionSetEquals*:
a \in *allAllocations N G* =
(a \in *allocationsUniverse* $\&$ *(Domain a)* $\subseteq N \& \bigcup (\text{Range } a) = G*)*
{proof}$

corollary *allocationsUniverseOutside*:
assumes *a* \in *allocationsUniverse*
shows *a outside X* \in *allocationsUniverse*
{proof}

9.4 Bridging theorem for injections

lemma *lm062*:
totalRels {} Y = $\{\{\}\}$
{proof}

lemma *lm063*:
 $\{\}$ \in *injectionsUniverse*
{proof}

lemma *lm064*:
injectionsUniverse \cap (*totalRels {} Y*) = $\{\{\}\}$
{proof}

lemma *lm065*:
assumes *runiq f* $x \notin \text{Domain } f$
shows $\{f \cup \{(x, y)\} \mid y . y \in A\} \subseteq \text{runiqs}$
{proof}

lemma *lm066*:
converse` (converse` X) = *X*
{proof}

lemma *lm067*:
runiq (f $\hat{-}1$) = $(f \in \text{converse}`\text{runiqs})$
{proof}

lemma *lm068*:

assumes *runiq* ($f \setminus 1$) $A \cap \text{Range } f = \{\}$
shows $\text{converse} \cdot \{ f \cup \{(x, y)\} \mid y . y \in A \} \subseteq \text{runiqs}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lm069*:

assumes $f \in \text{converse}'\text{runiqs}$ $A \cap \text{Range } f = \{\}$
shows $\{f \cup \{(x, y)\} \mid y . y \in A\} \subseteq \text{converse}'\text{runiqs}$
(is $?l \subseteq ?r$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lm070*:

$\{ R \cup \{(x, y)\} \mid y . y \in A \} \subseteq \text{totalRels} (\{x\} \cup \text{Domain } R) (A \cup \text{Range } R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lm071*:

$\text{injectionsUniverse} = \text{runiqs} \cap \text{converse}'\text{runiqs}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lm072*:

assumes $f \in \text{injectionsUniverse}$ $x \notin \text{Domain } f$ $A \cap (\text{Range } f) = \{\}$
shows $\{f \cup \{(x, y)\} \mid y . y \in A\} \subseteq \text{injectionsUniverse}$
(is $?l \subseteq ?r$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lm073*:

$\text{injections } X Y = \text{totalRels } X Y \cap \text{injectionsUniverse}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lm074*:

assumes $f \in \text{injectionsUniverse}$
shows $f \text{ outside } A \in \text{injectionsUniverse}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lm075*:

assumes $R \in \text{totalRels } A B$
shows $R \text{ outside } C \in \text{totalRels} (A - C) B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lm076*:

assumes $g \in \text{injections } A B$
shows $g \text{ outside } C \in \text{injections} (A - C) B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lm077*:

assumes $g \in \text{injections } A B$
shows $g \text{ outside } C \in \text{injections} (A - C) B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lm078*:

$\{x\} \times \{y\} = \{(x, y)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm079*:

assumes $x \in Domain f$ *runiq f*
shows $\{x\} \times f``\{x\} = \{(x, f., x)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lm080*:

assumes $x \in Domain f$ *runiq f*
shows $f = (f -- x) \cup \{(x, f., x)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm081*:

assumes $f \in injections Universe$
shows $Range(f \text{ outside } A) = Range f - f``A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm082*:

assumes $g \in injections X$ $y \in Domain g$
shows $g \in \{g--x \cup \{(x, y)\} \mid y. y \in Y - (Range(g--x))\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lm083*:

assumes $x \notin X$ $g \in injections (\{x\} \cup X)$ Y
shows $g--x \in injections X$ Y
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lm084*:

assumes $x \notin X$ $g \in injections (\{x\} \cup X)$ Y
(is $g \in injections (?X)$ Y)
shows $\exists f \in injections X$ $Y.$ $g \in \{f \cup \{(x, y)\} \mid y. y \in Y - (Range f)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lm085*:

assumes $x \notin X$
shows $injections (\{x\} \cup X)$ $Y \subseteq$
 $(\bigcup f \in injections X$ $Y. \{f \cup \{(x, y)\} \mid y. y \in Y - (Range f)\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm086*:

assumes $x \notin X$
shows $(\bigcup f \in injections X$ $Y. \{f \cup \{(x, y)\} \mid y. y \in Y - Range f\}) \subseteq$
 $injections (\{x\} \cup X)$ Y
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *injectionsUnionCommute*:

assumes $x \notin X$
shows $(\bigcup_{f \in \text{injections } X} Y. \{f \cup \{(x, y)\} \mid y . y \in Y - (\text{Range } f)\}) =$
 $\text{injections } (\{x\} \cup X) Y$
(is $?r=\text{injections } ?X$ **)**
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm087$:

assumes $\forall x. (P x \longrightarrow (f x = g x))$
shows $\text{Union } \{f x | x. P x\} = \text{Union } \{g x | x. P x\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm088$:

assumes $x \notin \text{Domain } R$
shows $R +* \{(x, y)\} = R \cup \{(x, y)\}$
(is $?l=?r$ **)**
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm089$:

assumes $x \notin X$
shows $(\bigcup_{f \in \text{injections } X} Y. \{f +* \{(x, y)\} \mid y . y \in Y - \text{Range } f\}) =$
 $(\bigcup_{f \in \text{injections } X} Y. \{f \cup \{(x, y)\} \mid y . y \in Y - \text{Range } f\})$
(is $?l=?r$ **)**
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary $lm090$:

assumes $x \notin X$
shows $(\bigcup_{f \in \text{injections } X} Y. \{f +* \{(x, y)\} \mid y . y \in Y - \text{Range } f\}) =$
 $\text{injections } (\{x\} \cup X) Y$
(is $?l=?r$ **)**
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm091$:

$\text{set } [f \cup \{(x, y)\} . y \leftarrow (\text{filter } (\%y. y \notin (\text{Range } f)) Y)] =$
 $\{f \cup \{(x, y)\} \mid y . y \in (\text{set } Y) - (\text{Range } f)\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm092$:

assumes $\forall x \in \text{set } L. \text{set } (F x) = G x$
shows $\text{set } (\text{concat } [F x . x <- L]) = (\bigcup_{x \in \text{set } L} G x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm093$:

$\text{set } (\text{concat } [f \cup \{(x, y)\} . y \leftarrow (\text{filter } (\%y. y \notin \text{Range } f) Y) . f \leftarrow F]) =$
 $(\bigcup_{f \in \text{set } F} \{f \cup \{(x, y)\} \mid y . y \in (\text{set } Y) - (\text{Range } f)\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma lm094:
  assumes finite Y
  shows set [ f +* {(x,y)} . y ← sorted-list-of-set (Y – (Range f)) ] =
    { f +* {(x,y)} | y . y ∈ Y – (Range f) }
  <proof>

lemma lm095:
  assumes finite Y
  shows set (concat [[f +* {(x,y)}. y ← sorted-list-of-set(Y – (Range f))]. f ← F]) =
    ( ∪ f ∈ set F. {f +* {(x,y)} | y . y ∈ Y – (Range f) })
  <proof>

```

9.5 Computable injections

```

fun injectionsAlg
  where
    injectionsAlg [] (Y::'a list) = []
    injectionsAlg (x#xs) Y =
      concat [ [R ∪ {(x,y)}. y ← (filter (%y. y ∉ Range R) Y)]
        .R ← injectionsAlg xs Y ]

```

```

corollary lm096:
  set (injectionsAlg (x # xs) Y) =
    ( ∪ f ∈ set (injectionsAlg xs Y). {f ∪ {(x,y)} | y . y ∈ (set Y) – (Range f) })
  <proof>

```

```

corollary lm097:
  assumes set (injectionsAlg xs Y) = injections (set xs) (set Y)
  shows set (injectionsAlg (x # xs) Y) =
    ( ∪ f ∈ injections (set xs) (set Y). {f ∪ {(x,y)} | y . y ∈ (set Y) – (Range f) })
  <proof>

```

We sometimes use parallel *abbreviation* and *definition* for the same object to save typing ‘unfolding xxx’ each time. There is also different behaviour in the code extraction.

```

lemma lm098:
  injections {} Y = {}
  <proof>

```

```

lemma lm099:
  injections {} Y = {}
  <proof>

```

```

lemma injectionsFromEmptyIsEmpty:
  injectionsAlg [] Y = []
  <proof>

```

```

lemma lm100:
  assumes  $x \notin \text{set } xs$   $\text{set}(\text{injectionsAlg } xs \ Y) = \text{injections}(\text{set } xs) \ (\text{set } Y)$ 
  shows  $\text{set}(\text{injectionsAlg } (x \# xs) \ Y) = \text{injections}(\{x\} \cup \text{set } xs) \ (\text{set } Y)$ 
  (is  $?l=?r$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lm101:
  assumes  $x \notin \text{set } xs$ 
     $\text{set}(\text{injections-alg } xs \ Y) = \text{injections}(\text{set } xs) \ Y$ 
     $\text{finite } Y$ 
  shows  $\text{set}(\text{injections-alg } (x\#xs) \ Y) = \text{injections}(\{x\} \cup \text{set } xs) \ Y$ 
  (is  $?l=?r$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma listInduct:
  assumes  $P [] \forall xs. P xs \longrightarrow P (x\#xs)$ 
  shows  $\forall x. P x$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma injectionsFromEmptyAreEmpty:
   $\text{set}(\text{injections-alg } [] \ Z) = \{\{\}\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem injections-equiv:
  assumes  $\text{finite } Y \text{ and } \text{distinct } X$ 
  shows  $\text{set}(\text{injections-alg } X \ Y) = \text{injections}(\text{set } X) \ Y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lm102:
  assumes  $l \in \text{set}(\text{all-partitions-list } G)$   $\text{distinct } G$ 
  shows  $\text{distinct } l$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma bridgingInjection:
  assumes  $\text{card } N > 0$   $\text{distinct } G$ 
  shows  $\forall l \in \text{set}(\text{all-partitions-list } G). \text{set}(\text{injections-alg } l \ N) =$ 
     $\text{injections}(\text{set } l) \ N$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lm103:
  assumes  $\text{card } N > 0$   $\text{distinct } G$ 
  shows  $\{\text{injections } P \ N | P. P \in \text{all-partitions}(\text{set } G)\} =$ 
     $\text{set}[\text{set}(\text{injections-alg } l \ N) . l \leftarrow \text{all-partitions-list } G]$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma lm104:
  assumes card N > 0 distinct G
  shows Union {injections P N | P. P ∈ all-partitions (set G)} =
    Union (set [set (injections-alg l N) . l ← all-partitions-list G])
  (is Union ?L = Union ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
corollary allAllocationsBridgingLemma:
```

```

  assumes card N > 0 distinct G
  shows allAllocations N (set G) =
    set(allAllocationsAlg N G)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
end
```

10 Termination theorem for uniform tie-breaking

```
theory UniformTieBreaking
```

```
imports
```

```
StrictCombinatorialAuction
```

```
Universes
```

```
HOL-Library.Code-Target-Nat
```

```
begin
```

10.1 Uniform tie breaking: definitions

Let us repeat the general context. Each bidder has made their bids and the VCG algorithm up to now allocates goods to the higher bidders. If there are several high bidders tie breaking has to take place. To do tie breaking we generate out of a random number a second bid vector so that the same algorithm can be run again to determine a unique allocation.

To this end, we associate to each allocation the bid in which each participant bids for a set of goods an amount equal to the cardinality of the intersection of the bid with the set she gets in this allocation. By construction, the revenue of an auction run using this bid is maximal on the given allocation, and this maximal is unique. We can then use the bid constructed this way *tiebids* to break ties by running an auction having the same form as a normal auction (that is why we use the adjective “uniform”), only with this special bid vector.

```
abbreviation omega pair == {fst pair} × (finestpart (snd pair))
```

```
definition pseudoAllocation allocation == ∪ (omega ` allocation)
```

abbreviation *bidMaximizedBy allocation N G* ==
pseudoAllocation allocation <|| ((N × (finestpart G)))

abbreviation *maxbid a N G* ==
toFunction (bidMaximizedBy a N G)

abbreviation *summedBid bids pair* ==
(pair, sum (%g. bids (fst pair, g)) (finestpart (snd pair)))

abbreviation *summedBidSecond bids pair* ==
sum (%g. bids (fst pair, g)) (finestpart (snd pair))

abbreviation *summedBidVectorRel bids N G* == *(summedBid bids) ` (N × (Pow G – {{}}))*

abbreviation *summedBidVector bids N G* == *toFunction (summedBidVectorRel bids N G)*

abbreviation *tiebids allocation N G* == *summedBidVector (maxbid allocation N G) N G*

abbreviation *Tiebids allocation N G* == *summedBidVectorRel (real○maxbid allocation N G) N G*

definition *randomEl list (random::integer)* = *list ! ((nat-of-integer random) mod (size list))*

value *nat-of-integer (–3::integer) mod 2*

lemma *randomElLemma:*
assumes *set list ≠ {}*
shows *randomEl list random ∈ set list*
⟨proof⟩

abbreviation *chosenAllocation N G bids random* ==
randomEl (takeAll (%x. x ∈ (winningAllocationsRel N (set G) bids))
(allAllocationsAlg N G))
random

abbreviation *resolvingBid N G bids random* ==
tiebids (chosenAllocation N G bids random) N (set G)

10.2 Termination theorem for the uniform tie-breaking scheme

corollary *winningAllocationPossible:*
winningAllocationsRel N G b ⊆ allAllocations N G
⟨proof⟩

lemma *subsetAllocation:*
assumes *a ∈ allocationsUniverse c ⊆ a*
shows *c ∈ allocationsUniverse*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *lm001:*
assumes *a ∈ allocationsUniverse*
shows *a outside X ∈ allocationsUniverse*
⟨proof⟩

corollary *lm002:*
 $\{x\} \times (\{X\} - \{\}) \in \text{allocationsUniverse}$
⟨proof⟩

corollary *lm003:*
 $\{(x, \{y\})\} \in \text{allocationsUniverse}$
⟨proof⟩

corollary *lm004:*
 $\text{allocationsUniverse} \neq \{\}$
⟨proof⟩

corollary *lm005:*
 $\{\} \in \text{allocationsUniverse}$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *lm006:*
assumes *G ≠ {}*
shows $\{G\} \in \text{all-partitions } G$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *lm007:*
assumes *n ∈ N*
shows $\{(G, n)\} \in \text{totalRels } \{G\} N$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *lm008:*

assumes $n \in N$
shows $\{(G, n)\} \in \text{injections } \{G\} N$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary $lm009$:

assumes $G \neq \{\} n \in N$
shows $\{(G, n)\} \in \text{possible-allocations-rel } G N$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary $lm010$:

assumes $N \neq \{} G \neq \{ \}$
shows $\text{allAllocations } N G \neq \{ \}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary $lm011$:

assumes $N \neq \{ \} \text{ finite } N G \neq \{ \} \text{ finite } G$
shows $\text{winningAllocationsRel } N G \text{ bids } \neq \{ \} \& \text{ finite } (\text{winningAllocationsRel } N G \text{ bids})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lm012$:

$\text{allAllocations } N \{ \} \subseteq \{ \{ \} \}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lm013$:

assumes $a \in \text{allAllocations } N G \text{ finite } G$
shows $\text{finite } (\text{Range } a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary allocationFinite :

assumes $a \in \text{allAllocations } N G \text{ finite } G$
shows $\text{finite } a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lm014$:

assumes $a \in \text{allAllocations } N G \text{ finite } G$
shows $\forall y \in \text{Range } a. \text{finite } y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary $lm015$:

assumes $a \in \text{allAllocations } N G \text{ finite } G$
shows $\text{card } G = \text{sum card } (\text{Range } a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

10.3 Results on summed bid vectors

lemma $lm016$:

$\text{summedBidVectorRel bids } N G =$

$\{(pair, \text{sum } (\%g. \text{bids} (\text{fst pair}, g)) (\text{finestpart} (\text{snd pair}))) |$
 $\text{pair. pair} \in N \times (\text{Pow } G - \{\{\}\})\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *lm017*:

$\{(pair, \text{sum } (\%g. \text{bids} (\text{fst pair}, g)) (\text{finestpart} (\text{snd pair}))) |$
 $\text{pair. pair} \in (N \times (\text{Pow } G - \{\{\}\})) \} \parallel a =$
 $\{(pair, \text{sum } (\%g. \text{bids} (\text{fst pair}, g)) (\text{finestpart} (\text{snd pair}))) |$
 $\text{pair. pair} \in (N \times (\text{Pow } G - \{\{\}\})) \cap a\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *lm018*:

$(\text{summedBidVectorRel bids } N G) \parallel a =$
 $\{(pair, \text{sum } (\%g. \text{bids} (\text{fst pair}, g)) (\text{finestpart} (\text{snd pair}))) |$
 $\text{pair. pair} \in (N \times (\text{Pow } G - \{\{\}\})) \cap a\}$
 $(\text{is } ?L = ?R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lm019*:

$(\text{summedBid bids})' ((N \times (\text{Pow } G - \{\{\}\})) \cap a) =$
 $\{(pair, \text{sum } (\%g. \text{bids} (\text{fst pair}, g)) (\text{finestpart} (\text{snd pair}))) |$
 $\text{pair. pair} \in (N \times (\text{Pow } G - \{\{\}\})) \cap a\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *lm020*:

$(\text{summedBidVectorRel bids } N G) \parallel a = (\text{summedBid bids})' ((N \times (\text{Pow } G - \{\{\}\})) \cap a)$
 $(\text{is } ?L = ?R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *summedBidInjective*:

inj-on (*summedBid bids*) *UNIV*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *lm021*:

inj-on (*summedBid bids*) *X*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lm022*:

$\text{sum snd } (\text{summedBidVectorRel bids } N G) =$
 $\text{sum } (\text{snd } \circ (\text{summedBid bids})) (N \times (\text{Pow } G - \{\{\}\}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *lm023*:

snd (summedBid bids pair) = sum bids (omega pair)
(proof)

corollary *lm024*:

snd o summedBid bids = (sum bids) o omega
(proof)

lemma *lm025*:

assumes *finite (finestpart (snd pair))*
shows *card (omega pair) = card (finestpart (snd pair))*
(proof)

corollary *lm026*:

assumes *finite (snd pair)*
shows *card (omega pair) = card (snd pair)*
(proof)

lemma *lm027*:

assumes *{}notin Range f runiq f*
shows *is-non-overlapping (omega ` f)*
(proof)

lemma *lm028*:

assumes *{}notin Range X*
shows *inj-on omega X*
(proof)

lemma *lm029*:

assumes *{}notin Range a* $\forall X \in \text{omega} ` a$. *finite X*
is-non-overlapping (omega ` a)
shows *card (pseudoAllocation a) = sum (card o omega) a*
(is ?L = ?R)
(proof)

lemma *lm030*:

card (omega pair) = card (snd pair)
(proof)

corollary *lm031*:

card o omega = card o snd
(proof)

corollary *lm032*:

assumes *{}notin Range a* $\forall pair \in a$. *finite (snd pair)* *finite a runiq a*
shows *card (pseudoAllocation a) = sum (card o snd) a*
(proof)

corollary *lm033*:

assumes *runiq* ($a \hat{-} 1$) *runiq* a *finite* a $\{\} \notin \text{Range } a \forall \text{ pair } \in a. \text{finite} (\text{snd pair})$
shows *card* (*pseudoAllocation* a) = *sum* *card* (*Range* a)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *lm034*:

assumes $a \in \text{allAllocations } N G$ *finite* G
shows *card* (*pseudoAllocation* a) = *card* G
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *lm035*:

assumes *pseudoAllocation* $aa \subseteq \text{pseudoAllocation } a \cup (N \times (\text{finestpart } G))$
finite (*pseudoAllocation* aa)
shows *sum* (*toFunction* (*bidMaximizedBy* $a N G$)) (*pseudoAllocation* a) –
(sum (*toFunction* (*bidMaximizedBy* $a N G$)) (*pseudoAllocation* aa)) =
card (*pseudoAllocation* a) –
card (*pseudoAllocation* $aa \cap (\text{pseudoAllocation } a)$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *lm036*:

assumes *pseudoAllocation* $aa \subseteq \text{pseudoAllocation } a \cup (N \times (\text{finestpart } G))$
finite (*pseudoAllocation* aa)
shows *int* (*sum* (*maxbid* $a N G$)) (*pseudoAllocation* a) –
int (*sum* (*maxbid* $a N G$)) (*pseudoAllocation* aa) =
int (*card* (*pseudoAllocation* a)) –
int (*card* (*pseudoAllocation* $aa \cap (\text{pseudoAllocation } a)$))
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lm037*:

pseudoAllocation $\{\} = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *lm038*:

assumes $a \in \text{allAllocations } N \{\}$
shows (*pseudoAllocation* a) = $\{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *lm039*:

assumes $a \in \text{allAllocations } N G$ *finite* G $G \neq \{\}$
shows *finite* (*pseudoAllocation* a)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *lm040*:

assumes $a \in \text{allAllocations } N G$ *finite* G
shows *finite* (*pseudoAllocation* a)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lm041*:

assumes $a \in allAllocations N G$ $aa \in allAllocations N G$ $\text{finite } G$
shows $(\text{card}(\text{pseudoAllocation } aa \cap (\text{pseudoAllocation } a)) = \text{card}(\text{pseudoAllocation } a)) =$
 $(\text{pseudoAllocation } a = \text{pseudoAllocation } aa)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lm042$:
 $\text{omega pair} = \{\text{fst pair}\} \times \{\{y\} \mid y. y \in \text{snd pair}\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lm043$:
 $\text{omega pair} = \{(\text{fst pair}, \{y\}) \mid y. y \in \text{snd pair}\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lm044$:
 $\text{pseudoAllocation } a = \bigcup \{ \{(\text{fst pair}, \{y\}) \mid y. y \in \text{snd pair}\} \mid \text{pair. pair} \in a \}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lm045$:
 $\bigcup \{ \{(\text{fst pair}, \{y\}) \mid y. y \in \text{snd pair}\} \mid \text{pair. pair} \in a \} =$
 $\{(\text{fst pair}, \{y\}) \mid y \text{ pair. } y \in \text{snd pair} \& \text{pair} \in a\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary $lm046$:
 $\text{pseudoAllocation } a = \{(\text{fst pair}, Y) \mid Y \text{ pair. } Y \in \text{finestpart } (\text{snd pair}) \& \text{pair} \in a\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lm047$:
assumes $runiq a$
shows $\{(\text{fst pair}, Y) \mid Y \text{ pair. } Y \in \text{finestpart } (\text{snd pair}) \& \text{pair} \in a\} =$
 $\{(x, Y) \mid Y x. Y \in \text{finestpart } (a,,x) \& x \in \text{Domain } a\}$
 $\text{(is } ?L=?R)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary $lm048$:
assumes $runiq a$
shows $\text{pseudoAllocation } a = \{(x, Y) \mid Y x. Y \in \text{finestpart } (a,,x) \& x \in \text{Domain } a\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary $lm049$:
 $\text{Range } (\text{pseudoAllocation } a) = \bigcup (\text{finestpart } ` (\text{Range } a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary $lm050$:
 $\text{Range } (\text{pseudoAllocation } a) = \text{finestpart } (\bigcup (\text{Range } a))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm051*:

pseudoAllocation $a = \{(fst\ pair, \{y\}) | y\ pair. y \in snd\ pair \& pair \in a\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm052*:

$\{(fst\ pair, \{y\}) | y\ pair. y \in snd\ pair \& pair \in a\} =$
 $\{(x, \{y\}) | x\ y. y \in \bigcup (a^{‘\{x\}}) \& x \in Domain\ a\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm053*:

pseudoAllocation $a = \{(x, \{y\}) | x\ y. y \in \bigcup (a^{‘\{x\}}) \& x \in Domain\ a\}$
(is $?L=?R$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm054*:

runiq (*summedBidVectorRel bids N G*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lm055*:

runiq (*summedBidVectorRel bids N G || a*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *summedBidVectorCharacterization*:

$N \times (Pow\ G - \{\{\}\}) = Domain\ (\summedBidVectorRel\ bids\ N\ G)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lm056*:

assumes $a \in allAllocations\ N\ G$
shows $a \subseteq Domain\ (\summedBidVectorRel\ bids\ N\ G)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lm057*:

$sum\ (\summedBidVector\ bids\ N\ G)\ (a \cap (Domain\ (\summedBidVectorRel\ bids\ N\ G))) =$
 $sum\ snd\ ((\summedBidVectorRel\ bids\ N\ G)\ ||\ a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lm058*:

assumes $a \in allAllocations\ N\ G$
shows $sum\ (\summedBidVector\ bids\ N\ G)\ a = sum\ snd\ ((\summedBidVectorRel\ bids\ N\ G)\ ||\ a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lm059*:

assumes $a \in allAllocations\ N\ G$
shows $sum\ (\summedBidVector\ bids\ N\ G)\ a =$
 $sum\ snd\ ((\summedBid\ bids)\ ‘((N \times (Pow\ G - \{\{\}\})) \cap a))$

(is ?X=?R)
⟨proof⟩

corollary lm060:

assumes $a \in \text{allAllocations } N G$
shows $\text{sum}(\text{summedBidVector bids } N G) a = \text{sum} \text{ snd}((\text{summedBid bids}) ' a)$
(is ?L=?R)
⟨proof⟩

corollary lm061:

$\text{sum} \text{ snd}((\text{summedBid bids}) ' a) = \text{sum}(\text{snd} \circ (\text{summedBid bids})) a$
⟨proof⟩

corollary lm062:

assumes $a \in \text{allAllocations } N G$
shows $\text{sum}(\text{summedBidVector bids } N G) a = \text{sum}(\text{snd} \circ (\text{summedBid bids})) a$
(is ?L=?R)
⟨proof⟩

corollary lm063:

assumes $a \in \text{allAllocations } N G$
shows $\text{sum}(\text{summedBidVector bids } N G) a = \text{sum}((\text{sum bids}) \circ \text{omega}) a$
(is ?L=?R)
⟨proof⟩

corollary lm064:

assumes $a \in \text{allAllocations } N G$
shows $\text{sum}(\text{summedBidVector bids } N G) a = \text{sum}(\text{sum bids})(\text{omega} ' a)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma lm065:

assumes $\text{finite}(\text{snd pair})$
shows $\text{finite}(\text{omega pair})$
⟨proof⟩

corollary lm066:

assumes $\forall y \in (\text{Range } a). \text{finite } y$
shows $\forall y \in (\text{omega} ' a). \text{finite } y$
⟨proof⟩

corollary lm067:

assumes $a \in \text{allAllocations } N G \text{ finite } G$
shows $\forall x \in (\text{omega} ' a). \text{finite } x$
⟨proof⟩

corollary lm068:

assumes $a \in \text{allAllocations } N G$
shows $\text{is-non-overlapping}(\text{omega} ' a)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *lm069*:

assumes $a \in \text{allAllocations } N G \text{ finite } G$
 shows $\text{sum}(\text{sum bids}(\omega^a)) = \text{sum bids}(\bigcup(\omega^a))$
 (proof)

corollary *lm070*:

assumes $a \in \text{allAllocations } N G \text{ finite } G$
 shows $\text{sum}(\text{summedBidVector bids } N G) a = \text{sum bids}(\text{pseudoAllocation } a)$
 (is ?L = ?R)
 (proof)

lemma *lm071*:

$\text{Domain}(\text{pseudoAllocation } a) \subseteq \text{Domain } a$
 (proof)

corollary *lm072*:

assumes $a \in \text{allAllocations } N G$
 shows $\text{Domain}(\text{pseudoAllocation } a) \subseteq N \quad \& \quad \text{Range}(\text{pseudoAllocation } a) = \text{finestpart } G$
 (proof)

corollary *lm073*:

assumes $a \in \text{allAllocations } N G$
 shows $\text{pseudoAllocation } a \subseteq N \times \text{finestpart } G$
 (proof)

10.4 From Pseudo-allocation to allocations

abbreviation $\text{pseudoAllocationInv } \text{pseudo} == \{(x, \bigcup(\text{pseudo} `` \{x\})) \mid x. x \in \text{Domain } \text{pseudo}\}$

lemma *lm074*:

assumes $\text{runiq } a \{\} \notin \text{Range } a$
 shows $a = \text{pseudoAllocationInv } (\text{pseudoAllocation } a)$
 (proof)

corollary *lm075*:

assumes $a \in \text{runiqs} \cap \text{Pow}(\text{UNIV} \times (\text{UNIV} - \{\{\}\}))$
 shows $(\text{pseudoAllocationInv} \circ \text{pseudoAllocation}) a = \text{id } a$
 (proof)

lemma *lm076*:

inj-on $(\text{pseudoAllocationInv} \circ \text{pseudoAllocation})(\text{runiqs} \cap \text{Pow}(\text{UNIV} \times (\text{UNIV} - \{\{\}\})))$
 (proof)

corollary *lm077*:

inj-on $\text{pseudoAllocation } (\text{runiqs} \cap \text{Pow}(\text{UNIV} \times (\text{UNIV} - \{\{\}\})))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm078*:

injectionsUniverse \subseteq *runiqs*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm079*:

partitionValuedUniverse \subseteq *Pow* (*UNIV* \times (*UNIV* $-$ $\{\{\}\}$))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lm080*:

allocationsUniverse \subseteq *runiqs* \cap *Pow* (*UNIV* \times (*UNIV* $-$ $\{\{\}\}$))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lm081*:

inj-on pseudoAllocation allocationsUniverse
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lm082*:

inj-on pseudoAllocation (allAllocations N G)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm083*:

assumes *card N > 0 distinct G*
shows *winningAllocationsRel N (set G) bids* \subseteq *set (allAllocationsAlg N G)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lm084*:

assumes *N \neq {} finite N distinct G set G \neq {}*
shows *winningAllocationsRel N (set G) bids* \cap *set (allAllocationsAlg N G) \neq {}*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm085*:

X = (%x. x \in X) $-$ {True}
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lm086*:

assumes *N \neq {} finite N distinct G set G \neq {}*
shows *(%x. x \in winningAllocationsRel N (set G) bids) $-$ {True} \cap set (allAllocationsAlg N G) \neq {}*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm087*:

assumes *P $-$ {True} \cap set l \neq []*
shows *takeAll P l \neq []*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lm088*:

assumes $N \neq \{\}$ finite N distinct G set $G \neq \{\}$
shows $\text{takeAll}(\%x. x \in \text{winningAllocationsRel } N (\text{set } G) \text{ bids}) (\text{allAllocationsAlg } N G) \neq []$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary $lm089$:

assumes $N \neq \{\}$ finite N distinct G set $G \neq \{\}$
shows $\text{perm2}(\text{takeAll}(\%x. x \in \text{winningAllocationsRel } N (\text{set } G) \text{ bids}) (\text{allAllocationsAlg } N G))$
 $n \neq []$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary $lm090$:

assumes $N \neq \{\}$ finite N distinct G set $G \neq \{\}$
shows $\text{chosenAllocation } N G \text{ bids random} \in \text{winningAllocationsRel } N (\text{set } G)$
 bids
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm091$:

assumes finite G $a \in \text{allAllocations } N G$ $aa \in \text{allAllocations } N G$
shows $\text{real}(\text{sum}(\text{maxbid } a N G)(\text{pseudoAllocation } a)) -$
 $\text{sum}(\text{maxbid } a N G)(\text{pseudoAllocation } aa) =$
 $\text{real}(\text{card } G) -$
 $\text{card}(\text{pseudoAllocation } aa \cap (\text{pseudoAllocation } a))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm092$:

$\text{summedBidVectorRel } bids N G = \text{graph}(N \times (\text{Pow } G - \{\{\}\}))$ (summedBidSecond
 $bids$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm093$:

assumes $x \in X$
shows $\text{toFunction}(\text{graph } X f) x = f x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary $lm094$:

assumes $\text{pair} \in N \times (\text{Pow } G - \{\{\}\})$
shows $\text{summedBidVector } bids N G \text{ pair} = \text{summedBidSecond } bids \text{ pair}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $lm095$:

$\text{summedBidSecond}(\text{real} \circ ((\text{bids}: - \Rightarrow \text{nat}))) \text{ pair} = \text{real}(\text{summedBidSecond}$
 $\text{bids pair})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lm096*:

assumes $\text{pair} \in N \times (\text{Pow } G - \{\{\}\})$
shows $\text{summedBidVector} (\text{real} \circ (\text{bids} :: - \Rightarrow \text{nat})) N G \text{ pair} =$
 $\text{real} (\text{summedBidVector} \text{ bids } N G \text{ pair})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *lm097*:

assumes $X \subseteq N \times (\text{Pow } G - \{\{\}\})$
shows $\forall \text{pair} \in X. \text{summedBidVector} (\text{real} \circ (\text{bids} :: - \Rightarrow \text{nat})) N G \text{ pair} =$
 $(\text{real} \circ (\text{summedBidVector} \text{ bids } N G)) \text{ pair}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *lm098*:

assumes $aa \subseteq N \times (\text{Pow } G - \{\{\}\})$
shows $\text{sum} ((\text{summedBidVector} (\text{real} \circ (\text{bids} :: - \Rightarrow \text{nat})) N G)) aa =$
 $\text{real} (\text{sum} ((\text{summedBidVector} \text{ bids } N G)) aa)$
(is $?L=?R$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *lm099*:

assumes $aa \in \text{allAllocations } N G$
shows $\text{sum} ((\text{summedBidVector} (\text{real} \circ (\text{bids} :: - \Rightarrow \text{nat})) N G)) aa =$
 $\text{real} (\text{sum} ((\text{summedBidVector} \text{ bids } N G)) aa)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *lm100*:

assumes $\text{finite } G a \in \text{allAllocations } N G aa \in \text{allAllocations } N G$
shows $\text{real} (\text{sum} (\text{tiebids} a N G) a) - \text{sum} (\text{tiebids} a N G) aa =$
 $\text{real} (\text{card } G) - \text{card} (\text{pseudoAllocation} aa \cap (\text{pseudoAllocation} a))$
(is $?L=?R$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *lm101*:

assumes $\text{finite } G a \in \text{allAllocations } N G aa \in \text{allAllocations } N G$
 $x = \text{real} (\text{sum} (\text{tiebids} a N G) a) - \text{sum} (\text{tiebids} a N G) aa$
shows $x \leq \text{card } G \&$
 $x \geq 0 \&$
 $(x=0 \longleftrightarrow a = aa) \&$
 $(aa \neq a \longrightarrow \text{sum} (\text{tiebids} a N G) aa < \text{sum} (\text{tiebids} a N G) a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *lm102*:

assumes $\text{finite } G a \in \text{allAllocations } N G$
 $aa \in \text{allAllocations } N G aa \neq a$
shows $\text{sum} (\text{tiebids} a N G) aa < \text{sum} (\text{tiebids} a N G) a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma lm103:
  assumes  $N \neq \{\}$  finite  $N$  distinct  $G$  set  $G \neq \{\}$ 
     $aa \in (\text{allAllocations } N (\text{set } G)) - \{\text{chosenAllocation } N G \text{ bids random}\}$ 
  shows  $\text{sum}(\text{resolvingBid } N G \text{ bids random}) aa <$ 
     $\text{sum}(\text{resolvingBid } N G \text{ bids random}) (\text{chosenAllocation } N G \text{ bids random})$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

```

```

abbreviation terminatingAuctionRel  $N G \text{ bids random} ==$ 
   $\text{argmax}(\text{sum}(\text{resolvingBid } N G \text{ bids random}))$ 
   $(\text{argmax}(\text{sum bids}) (\text{allAllocations } N (\text{set } G)))$ 

```

Termination theorem: it assures that the number of winning allocations is exactly one

```

theorem winningAllocationUniqueness:
  assumes  $N \neq \{\}$  distinct  $G$  set  $G \neq \{\}$  finite  $N$ 
  shows  $\text{terminatingAuctionRel } N G (\text{bids random}) = \{\text{chosenAllocation } N G \text{ bids random}\}$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

```

The computable variant of Else is defined next as Elsee.

```

definition toFunctionWithFallbackAlg  $R \text{ fallback} ==$ 
   $(\% x. \text{if } (x \in \text{Domain } R) \text{ then } (R, x) \text{ else } \text{fallback})$ 
notation toFunctionWithFallbackAlg (infix  $\langle\text{Elsee}\rangle$  75)
end

```

11 VCG auction: definitions and theorems

```

theory CombinatorialAuction

```

```

imports
  UniformTieBreaking

```

```

begin

```

11.1 Definition of a VCG auction scheme, through the pair $(vcga, vcgp)$

```

abbreviation participants  $b == \text{Domain } (\text{Domain } b)$ 

```

```

abbreviation goods == sorted-list-of-set o Union o Range o Domain

```

```

abbreviation seller == (0::integer)

```

abbreviation $allAllocations' N \Omega ==$
 $injectionsUniverse \cap \{a. Domain a \subseteq N \& Range a \in all-partitions \Omega\}$

abbreviation $allAllocations'' N \Omega == allocationsUniverse \cap \{a. Domain a \subseteq N \& \bigcup(Range a) = \Omega\}$

lemma $allAllocationsEquivalence:$
 $allAllocations N \Omega = allAllocations' N \Omega \& allAllocations N \Omega = allAllocations'' N \Omega$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $allAllocationsVarCharacterization:$
 $(a \in allAllocations'' N \Omega) = (a \in allocationsUniverse \& Domain a \subseteq N \& \bigcup(Range a) = \Omega)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation $soldAllocations N \Omega == (Outside' \{seller\}) ' (allAllocations (N \cup \{seller\}) \Omega)$

abbreviation $soldAllocations' N \Omega == (Outside' \{seller\}) ' (allAllocations' (N \cup \{seller\}) \Omega)$

abbreviation $soldAllocations'' N \Omega == (Outside' \{seller\}) ' (allAllocations'' (N \cup \{seller\}) \Omega)$

abbreviation $soldAllocations''' N \Omega ==$
 $allocationsUniverse \cap \{aa. Domain aa \subseteq N - \{seller\} \& \bigcup(Range aa) \subseteq \Omega\}$

lemma $soldAllocationsEquivalence:$
 $soldAllocations N \Omega = soldAllocations' N \Omega \&$
 $soldAllocations' N \Omega = soldAllocations'' N \Omega$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary $soldAllocationsEquivalenceVariant:$
 $soldAllocations = soldAllocations' \&$
 $soldAllocations' = soldAllocations'' \&$
 $soldAllocations = soldAllocations''$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $allocationSellerMonotonicity:$
 $soldAllocations (N - \{seller\}) \Omega \subseteq soldAllocations N \Omega$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $allocationsUniverseCharacterization:$
 $(a \in allocationsUniverse) = (a \in allAllocations'' (Domain a) (\bigcup(Range a)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $allocationMonotonicity:$
assumes $N1 \subseteq N2$

shows $\text{allAllocations}'' N1 \Omega \subseteq \text{allAllocations}'' N2 \Omega$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{allocationWithOneParticipant}:$
assumes $a \in \text{allAllocations}'' N \Omega$
shows $\text{Domain}(a -- x) \subseteq N - \{x\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{soldAllocationIsAllocation}:$
assumes $a \in \text{soldAllocations} N \Omega$
shows $a \in \text{allocationsUniverse}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{soldAllocationIsAllocationVariant}:$
assumes $a \in \text{soldAllocations} N \Omega$
shows $a \in \text{allAllocations}'' (\text{Domain } a) (\bigcup (\text{Range } a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{onlyGoodsAreSold}:$
assumes $a \in \text{soldAllocations}'' N \Omega$
shows $\bigcup (\text{Range } a) \subseteq \Omega$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{soldAllocationIsRestricted}:$
 $a \in \text{soldAllocations}'' N \Omega =$
 $(\exists aa. aa -- (\text{seller}) = a \wedge aa \in \text{allAllocations}'' (N \cup \{\text{seller}\}) \Omega)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{restrictionConservation}:$
 $(R +* (\{x\} \times Y)) -- x = R -- x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{allocatedToBuyerMeansSold}:$
assumes $a \in \text{allocationsUniverse}$ $\text{Domain } a \subseteq N - \{\text{seller}\} \cup (\text{Range } a) \subseteq \Omega$
shows $a \in \text{soldAllocations}'' N \Omega$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{allocationCharacterization}:$
 $a \in \text{allAllocations} N \Omega =$
 $(a \in \text{injectionsUniverse} \& \text{Domain } a \subseteq N \& \text{Range } a \in \text{all-partitions } \Omega)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lm01:$
assumes $a \in \text{soldAllocations}'' N \Omega$
shows $\text{Domain } a \subseteq N - \{\text{seller}\} \& a \in \text{allocationsUniverse}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lm02*:

assumes $a \in soldAllocations'' N \Omega$

shows $a \in allocationsUniverse \& Domain a \subseteq N - \{seller\} \& \bigcup (Range a) \subseteq \Omega$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lm03*:

$(a \in soldAllocations'' N \Omega) =$

$(a \in allocationsUniverse \& a \in \{aa. Domain aa \subseteq N - \{seller\} \& \bigcup (Range aa) \subseteq \Omega\})$

(is $?L = ?R$)

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lm04*:

$a \in soldAllocations'' N \Omega =$

$(a \in (allocationsUniverse \cap \{aa. Domain aa \subseteq N - \{seller\} \& \bigcup (Range aa) \subseteq \Omega\}))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *soldAllocationVariantEquivalence*:

$soldAllocations'' N \Omega = soldAllocations''' N \Omega$

(is $?L = ?R$)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm05*:

assumes $a \in soldAllocations''' N \Omega$

shows $a -- n \in soldAllocations''' (N - \{n\}) \Omega$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *allAllocationsEquivalenceExtended*:

$soldAllocations = soldAllocations'$ &

$soldAllocations' = soldAllocations''$ &

$soldAllocations'' = soldAllocations'''$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *soldAllocationRestriction*:

assumes $a \in soldAllocations N \Omega$

shows $a -- n \in soldAllocations (N - \{n\}) \Omega$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *allocationGoodsMonotonicity*:

assumes $\Omega_1 \subseteq \Omega_2$

shows $soldAllocations''' N \Omega_1 \subseteq soldAllocations''' N \Omega_2$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *allocationGoodsMonotonicityVariant*:

assumes $\Omega_1 \subseteq \Omega_2$

shows $soldAllocations'' N \Omega_1 \subseteq soldAllocations'' N \Omega_2$

$\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation $maximalStrictAllocations N \Omega b == argmax (sum b) (allAllocations (\{seller\} \cup N) \Omega)$

abbreviation $randomBids N \Omega b random == resolvingBid (N \cup \{seller\}) \Omega b random$

abbreviation $vcgas N \Omega b r ==$
 $Outside' \{seller\} ((argmax \circ sum) (randomBids N \Omega b r))$
 $((argmax \circ sum) b (allAllocations (N \cup \{seller\}) (set \Omega)))$

abbreviation $vcga N \Omega b r == the-elem (vcgas N \Omega b r)$

abbreviation $vcga' N \Omega b r ==$
 $(the-elem (argmax (sum (randomBids N \Omega b r)))$
 $((maximalStrictAllocations N (set \Omega) b)))$
 $-- seller$

lemma $lm06:$

assumes $card ((argmax \circ sum) (randomBids N \Omega b r))$
 $((argmax \circ sum) b (allAllocations (N \cup \{seller\}) (set \Omega))) = 1$
shows $vcga N \Omega b r =$
 $(the-elem ((argmax \circ sum) (randomBids N \Omega b r))$
 $((argmax \circ sum) b (allAllocations (\{seller\} \cup N) (set \Omega))))$
 $-- seller$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary $lm07:$

assumes $card ((argmax \circ sum) (randomBids N \Omega b r))$
 $((argmax \circ sum) b (allAllocations (N \cup \{seller\}) (set \Omega))) = 1$
shows $vcga N \Omega b r = vcga' N \Omega b r$
 $(\text{is } ?l = ?r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lm08:$

assumes $distinct \Omega set \Omega \neq \{\} finite N$
shows $card ((argmax \circ sum) (randomBids N \Omega bids random))$
 $((argmax \circ sum) bids (allAllocations (N \cup \{seller\}) (set$

$\Omega))) = 1$
 (is card ?l=-)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vceaEquivalence*:

assumes distinct Ω set $\Omega \neq \{\}$ finite N
shows $vcea N \Omega b r = vcea' N \Omega b r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *vceaDefiniteness*:

assumes distinct Ω set $\Omega \neq \{\}$ finite N
shows $card(vceas N \Omega b r) = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vceaDefinitenessVariant*:

assumes distinct Ω set $\Omega \neq \{\}$ finite N
shows $card(\text{argmax}(\text{sum}(\text{randomBids } N \Omega b r))) =$
 $(\text{maximalStrictAllocations } N (\text{set } \Omega) b)) =$
 1
 (is card ?L=-)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *winningAllocationIsMaximal*:

assumes distinct Ω set $\Omega \neq \{\}$ finite N
shows $\text{the-elem}(\text{argmax}(\text{sum}(\text{randomBids } N \Omega b r))) \in$
 $(\text{maximalStrictAllocations } N (\text{set } \Omega) b)) \in$
 $(\text{maximalStrictAllocations } N (\text{set } \Omega) b)$
 (is the-elem ?X \in ?R)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *winningAllocationIsMaximalWithoutSeller*:

assumes distinct Ω set $\Omega \neq \{\}$ finite N
shows $vcea' N \Omega b r \in (\text{Outside}' \{\text{seller}\})'(\text{maximalStrictAllocations } N (\text{set } \Omega) b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *maximalAllocationWithoutSeller*:

$(\text{Outside}' \{\text{seller}\})'(\text{maximalStrictAllocations } N \Omega b) \subseteq \text{soldAllocations } N \Omega$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *onlyGoodsAreAllocatedAuxiliary*:

assumes distinct Ω set $\Omega \neq \{\}$ finite N
shows $vcea' N \Omega b r \in \text{soldAllocations } N (\text{set } \Omega)$
 (is ?a \in ?A)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *onlyGoodsAreAllocated*:

assumes $\text{distinct } \Omega \text{ set } \Omega \neq \{\} \text{ finite } N$
shows $\text{vcga } N \Omega b r \in \text{soldAllocations } N (\text{set } \Omega)$
(is $\text{-}\in ?r$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary $\text{neutralSeller}:$

assumes $\forall X. X \in \text{Range } a \longrightarrow b (\text{seller}, X) = 0 \text{ finite } a$
shows $\text{sum } b a = \text{sum } b (a -- \text{seller})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary $\text{neutralSellerVariant}:$

assumes $\forall a \in A. \text{finite } a \& (\forall X. X \in \text{Range } a \longrightarrow b (\text{seller}, X) = 0)$
shows $\{\text{sum } b a | a. a \in A\} = \{\text{sum } b (a -- \text{seller}) | a. a \in A\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{vcgaIsMaximalAux1}:$

assumes $\text{distinct } \Omega \text{ set } \Omega \neq \{\} \text{ finite } N$
shows $\exists a. ((a \in (\text{maximalStrictAllocations } N (\text{set } \Omega) b)) \wedge (\text{vcga}' N \Omega b r = a -- \text{seller}) \&$
 $(a \in \text{argmax} (\text{sum } b) (\text{allAllocations } (\{\text{seller}\} \cup N) (\text{set } \Omega))))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{vcgaIsMaximalAux2}:$

assumes $\text{distinct } \Omega \text{ set } \Omega \neq \{\} \text{ finite } N$
 $\forall a \in \text{allAllocations } (\{\text{seller}\} \cup N) (\text{set } \Omega). \forall X \in \text{Range } a. b (\text{seller}, X) = 0$
(is $\forall a \in ?X. -$)
shows $\text{sum } b (\text{vcga}' N \Omega b r) = \text{Max}\{\text{sum } b a | a. a \in \text{soldAllocations } N (\text{set } \Omega)\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Adequacy theorem: The allocation satisfies the standard pen-and-paper specification of a VCG auction. See, for example, [5, § 1.2].

theorem $\text{vcgaIsMaximal}:$

assumes $\text{distinct } \Omega \text{ set } \Omega \neq \{\} \text{ finite } N \forall X. b (\text{seller}, X) = 0$
shows $\text{sum } b (\text{vcga}' N \Omega b r) = \text{Max}\{\text{sum } b a | a. a \in \text{soldAllocations } N (\text{set } \Omega)\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary $\text{vcgaIsAllocationAllocatingGoodsOnly}:$

assumes $\text{distinct } \Omega \text{ set } \Omega \neq \{\} \text{ finite } N$
shows $\text{vcga}' N \Omega b r \in \text{allocationsUniverse} \& \bigcup (\text{Range } (\text{vcga}' N \Omega b r)) \subseteq \text{set } \Omega$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation $\text{vcgp } N \Omega b r n ==$
 $\text{Max} (\text{sum } b ' (\text{soldAllocations } (N - \{n\}) (\text{set } \Omega)))$
 $- (\text{sum } b (\text{vcga } N \Omega b r -- n))$

```

theorem vcgpDefiniteness:
  assumes distinct  $\Omega$  set  $\Omega \neq \{\}$  finite  $N$ 
  shows  $\exists! y. \text{vcgp } N \Omega b r n = y$ 
  (proof)

lemma soldAllocationsFinite:
  assumes finite  $N$  finite  $\Omega$ 
  shows finite (soldAllocations  $N \Omega$ )
  (proof)

The price paid by any participant is non-negative.

theorem NonnegPrices:
  assumes distinct  $\Omega$  set  $\Omega \neq \{\}$  finite  $N$ 
  shows  $\text{vcgp } N \Omega b r n \geq (0::\text{price})$ 
  (proof)

lemma allocationDisjointAuxiliary:
  assumes  $a \in \text{allocationsUniverse}$  and  $n1 \in \text{Domain } a$  and  $n2 \in \text{Domain } a$  and  $n1 \neq n2$ 
  shows  $a,,n1 \cap a,,n2 = \{\}$ 
  (proof)

lemma allocationDisjoint:
  assumes  $a \in \text{allocationsUniverse}$  and  $n1 \in \text{Domain } a$  and  $n2 \in \text{Domain } a$  and  $n1 \neq n2$ 
  shows  $a,,,n1 \cap a,,,n2 = \{\}$ 
  (proof)

```

No good is assigned twice.

```

theorem PairwiseDisjointAllocations:
  assumes distinct  $\Omega$  set  $\Omega \neq \{\}$  finite  $N$   $n1 \neq n2$ 
  shows  $(\text{vcga}' N \Omega b r),,,n1 \cap (\text{vcga}' N \Omega b r),,,n2 = \{\}$ 
  (proof)

```

Nothing outside the set of goods is allocated.

```

theorem OnlyGoodsAllocated:
  assumes distinct  $\Omega$  set  $\Omega \neq \{\}$  finite  $N g \in (\text{vega } N \Omega b r),,,n$ 
  shows  $g \in \text{set } \Omega$ 
  (proof)

```

11.2 Computable versions of the VCG formalization

```

abbreviation maximalStrictAllocationsAlg  $N \Omega b ==$ 
   $\text{argmax} (\text{sum } b) (\text{set} (\text{allAllocationsAlg} (\{\text{seller}\} \cup N) \Omega))$ 

```

```

definition chosenAllocationAlg  $N \Omega b (r::\text{integer}) ==$ 
   $(\text{randomEl} (\text{takeAll} (\%x. x \in (\text{argmax} \circ \text{sum}) b (\text{set} (\text{allAllocationsAlg} N \Omega))))$ 
   $(\text{allAllocationsAlg} N \Omega))$ 

```

$r)$

definition $\text{maxbidAlg } a \ N \ \Omega == (\text{bidMaximizedBy } a \ N \ \Omega) \ \text{Else} \ 0$

definition $\text{summedBidVectorAlg } bids \ N \ \Omega == (\text{summedBidVectorRel } bids \ N \ \Omega)$
 $\text{Else} \ 0$

definition $\text{tiebidsAlg } a \ N \ \Omega == \text{summedBidVectorAlg} (\text{maxbidAlg } a \ N \ \Omega) \ N \ \Omega$

definition $\text{resolvingBidAlg } N \ \Omega \ bids \ random ==$
 $\text{tiebidsAlg} (\text{chosenAllocationAlg } N \ \Omega \ bids \ random) \ N \ (\text{set } \Omega)$

definition $\text{randomBidsAlg } N \ \Omega \ b \ random == \text{resolvingBidAlg} (N \cup \{\text{seller}\}) \ \Omega \ b$
 $random$

definition $\text{vcgaAlgWithoutLosers } N \ \Omega \ b \ r ==$
 $(\text{the-elem} (\text{argmax} (\text{sum} (\text{randomBidsAlg } N \ \Omega \ b \ r)))$
 $(\text{maximalStrictAllocationsAlg } N \ \Omega \ b))$
 $-- \ seller$

abbreviation $\text{addLosers } \text{participantset allocation} == (\text{participantset} \times \{\{\}\}) +*$
 allocation

definition $\text{vegaAlg } N \ \Omega \ b \ r = \text{addLosers } N (\text{vcgaAlgWithoutLosers } N \ \Omega \ b \ r)$

abbreviation $\text{soldAllocationsAlg } N \ \Omega ==$
 $(\text{Outside}' \{\text{seller}\}) \ ' \text{set} (\text{allAllocationsAlg } (N \cup \{\text{seller}\}) \ \Omega)$

definition $\text{vcgpAlg } N \ \Omega \ b \ r \ n \ (\text{winningAllocation}: \text{allocation}) =$
 $\text{Max} (\text{sum } b \ ' (\text{soldAllocationsAlg } (N - \{n\}) \ \Omega)) -$
 $(\text{sum } b \ (\text{winningAllocation} -- n))$

lemma $\text{functionCompletion}:$
assumes $x \in \text{Domain } f$
shows $\text{toFunction } f \ x = (f \ \text{Else} \ 0) \ x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{lm09}:$

assumes $\text{fst pair} \in N \text{ snd pair} \in \text{Pow } \Omega - \{\{\}\}$
shows $\text{sum } (\%g. (\text{toFunction } (\text{bidMaximizedBy } a N \Omega)) \text{ (fst pair, } g))$
 $(\text{finestpart } (\text{snd pair})) =$
 $\text{sum } (\%g. ((\text{bidMaximizedBy } a N \Omega) \text{ Else } 0) \text{ (fst pair, } g))$
 $(\text{finestpart } (\text{snd pair}))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary $lm10$:

assumes $\text{pair} \in N \times (\text{Pow } \Omega - \{\{\}\})$
shows $\text{summedBid } (\text{toFunction } (\text{bidMaximizedBy } a N \Omega)) \text{ pair} =$
 $\text{summedBid } ((\text{bidMaximizedBy } a N \Omega) \text{ Else } 0) \text{ pair}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary $lm11$:

$\forall \text{ pair} \in N \times (\text{Pow } \Omega - \{\{\}\}).$
 $\text{summedBid } (\text{toFunction } (\text{bidMaximizedBy } a N \Omega)) \text{ pair} =$
 $\text{summedBid } ((\text{bidMaximizedBy } a N \Omega) \text{ Else } 0) \text{ pair}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary $lm12$:

$(\text{summedBid } (\text{toFunction } (\text{bidMaximizedBy } a N \Omega))) \cdot (N \times (\text{Pow } \Omega - \{\{\}\})) =$
 $(\text{summedBid } ((\text{bidMaximizedBy } a N \Omega) \text{ Else } 0)) \cdot (N \times (\text{Pow } \Omega - \{\{\}\}))$

(is $?f1 \cdot ?Z = ?f2 \cdot ?Z$)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary $lm13$:

$\text{summedBidVectorRel } (\text{toFunction } (\text{bidMaximizedBy } a N \Omega)) N \Omega =$
 $\text{summedBidVectorRel } ((\text{bidMaximizedBy } a N \Omega) \text{ Else } 0) N \Omega$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary $maxbidEquivalence$:

$\text{summedBidVectorRel } (\text{maxbid } a N \Omega) N \Omega =$
 $\text{summedBidVectorRel } (\text{maxbidAlg } a N \Omega) N \Omega$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{summedBidVectorEquivalence}$:

assumes $x \in (N \times (\text{Pow } \Omega - \{\{\}\}))$
shows $\text{summedBidVector } (\text{maxbid } a N \Omega) N \Omega x = \text{summedBidVectorAlg } (\text{maxbidAlg } a N \Omega) N \Omega x$
(is $?f1 ?g1 N \Omega x = ?f2 ?g2 N \Omega x$)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary $chosenAllocationEquivalence$:

assumes $\text{card } N > 0$ **and** $\text{distinct } \Omega$
shows $\text{chosenAllocation } N \Omega b r = \text{chosenAllocationAlg } N \Omega b r$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *tiebidsBridgingLemma*:
assumes $x \in (N \times (\text{Pow } \Omega - \{\{\}\}))$
shows $\text{tiebids } a N \Omega x = \text{tiebidsAlg } a N \Omega x$
(is $?L=-$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *tiebids' = tiebids*

corollary *tiebidsBridgingLemma'*:
assumes $x \in (N \times (\text{Pow } \Omega - \{\{\}\}))$
shows $\text{tiebids}' a N \Omega x = \text{tiebidsAlg } a N \Omega x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *resolvingBid' N G bids random == tiebids' (chosenAllocation N G bids random) N (set G)*

lemma *resolvingBidEquivalence*:
assumes $x \in (N \times (\text{Pow } (\text{set } \Omega) - \{\{\}\})) \text{ card } N > 0 \text{ distinct } \Omega$
shows $\text{resolvingBid}' N \Omega b r x = \text{resolvingBidAlg } N \Omega b r x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sumResolvingBidEquivalence*:
assumes $\text{card } N > 0 \text{ distinct } \Omega a \subseteq (N \times (\text{Pow } (\text{set } \Omega) - \{\{\}\}))$
shows $\text{sum } (\text{resolvingBid}' N \Omega b r) a = \text{sum } (\text{resolvingBidAlg } N \Omega b r) a$
(is $?L=?R$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *resolvingBidBridgingLemma*:
assumes $\text{card } N > 0 \text{ distinct } \Omega a \subseteq (N \times (\text{Pow } (\text{set } \Omega) - \{\{\}\}))$
shows $\text{sum } (\text{resolvingBid } N \Omega b r) a = \text{sum } (\text{resolvingBidAlg } N \Omega b r) a$
(is $?L=?R$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *allAllocationsInPowerset*:
 $\text{allAllocations } N \Omega \subseteq \text{Pow } (N \times (\text{Pow } \Omega - \{\{\}\}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *resolvingBidBridgingLemmaVariant1*:
assumes $\text{card } N > 0 \text{ distinct } \Omega a \in \text{allAllocations } N (\text{set } \Omega)$
shows $\text{sum } (\text{resolvingBid } N \Omega b r) a = \text{sum } (\text{resolvingBidAlg } N \Omega b r) a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *resolvingBidBridgingLemmaVariant2*:
assumes $\text{finite } N \text{ distinct } \Omega a \in \text{maximalStrictAllocations } N (\text{set } \Omega) b$
shows $\text{sum } (\text{randomBids } N \Omega b r) a = \text{sum } (\text{randomBidsAlg } N \Omega b r) a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *tiebreakingGivesSingleton*:
assumes $\text{distinct } \Omega \text{ set } \Omega \neq \{\} \text{ finite } N$
shows $\text{card } (\text{argmax } (\text{sum } (\text{randomBidsAlg } N \Omega b r)))$

```


$$(maximalStrictAllocations N (set \Omega) b)) =$$


$$1$$


$$\langle proof \rangle$$


theorem maximalAllocationBridgingTheorem:
assumes finite  $N$  distinct  $\Omega$ 
shows maximalStrictAllocations  $N$  (set  $\Omega$ )  $b$  = maximalStrictAllocationsAlg  $N$ 
 $\Omega$   $b$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem vcgaAlgDefinedness:
assumes distinct  $\Omega$  set  $\Omega \neq \{\}$  finite  $N$ 
shows card (argmax (sum (randomBidsAlg  $N$   $\Omega$   $b$   $r$ )) (maximalStrictAllocationsAlg
 $N$   $\Omega$   $b$ )) = 1
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

12 VCG auction: Scala code extraction

theory CombinatorialAuctionCodeExtraction

imports
CombinatorialAuction

HOL-Library.Code-Target-Nat
HOL-Library.Code-Target-Int

begin

definition allocationPrettyPrint a =
 $\{map (\lambda x. (x, sorted-list-of-set(a,,x))) ((sorted-list-of-set \circ Domain) a)\}$

abbreviation singleBidConverter x == $((fst x, set ((fst o snd) x)), (snd o snd)$
 $x)$
definition Bid2funcBid b = set (map singleBidConverter b) Else (0::integer)

definition participantsSet b = fst ‘(set b)

definition goodsList b = sorted-list-of-set (Union ((set o fst o snd) ‘(set b)))

```

definition payments b r n (a::allocation) =
  vcpAlg ((participantsSet b)) (goodsList b) (Bid2funcBid b) r n (a::allocation)

export-code vcpAlg payments allocationPrettyPrint in Scala module-name VCG

file <VCG-withoutWrapper.scala>

end

```

References

- [1] Formare github webpage. <https://github.com/formare/auctions/tree/master/isabelle/Auction/Vcg>, 2015. Accessed: 2015-05-08.
- [2] Formare project webpage. <http://www.cs.bham.ac.uk/research/projects/formare/>, 2015. Accessed: 2015-05-08.
- [3] M. B. Caminati, M. Kerber, C. Lange, and C. Rowat. Set theory or higher order logic to represent auction concepts in isabelle? In *Intelligent Computer Mathematics*, pages 236–251. Springer, 2014. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1406.0774>.
- [4] M. B. Caminati, M. Kerber, C. Lange, and C. Rowat. Sound auction specification and implementation. 16th ACM Conference on Economics and Computation, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2764468.2764511>, <http://www.cs.bham.ac.uk/~mmk/publications/ec2015.pdf>.
- [5] P. Cramton, Y. Shoham, and R. Steinberg, editors. *Combinatorial auctions*. MIT Press, 2006.