

A Mechanically Verified, Efficient, Sound and Complete Theorem Prover For First Order Logic

Tom Ridge

March 17, 2025

Abstract

Building on work by Wainer and Wallen, formalised by James Margeson, we present soundness and completeness proofs for a system of first order logic. The completeness proofs naturally suggest an algorithm to derive proofs. This algorithm can be implemented in a tail recursive manner. We provide the formalisation in Isabelle/HOL. The algorithm can be executed via the rewriting tactics of Isabelle. Alternatively, we transport the definitions to OCaml, to give a directly executable program.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Formalisation	2
2.1	Formulas	2
2.2	Derivations	4
2.3	Failing path	6
2.4	Models	7
2.5	Soundness	8
2.6	Contains, Considers	9
2.7	Models 2	11
2.8	Falsifying Model From Failing Path	11
2.9	Completeness	11
2.10	Sound and Complete	12
2.11	Algorithm	12
2.12	Computation	12
3	Optimisation and Extension	13
4	OCaml Implementation	14

1 Introduction

Wainer and Wallen gave soundness and completeness proofs for first order logic in [3]. This material was later formalised by James Margetson [1]. We ported this to the current version of Isabelle in [2]. Drawing on some of the proofs in previous versions, especially the proof of soundness for the $\forall I$ rule, we formalise modified proofs, for a related system. Implicit in [3], and noted by Margetson in [1], is that the proofs of completeness suggest a constructive algorithm. We derive this algorithm, which turns out to be tail recursive, and this is the origin of our claim for efficiency. The algorithm can be executed in Isabelle using the rewriting engine. Alternatively, we provide an implementation in Ocaml.

2 Formalisation

```
theory Prover
imports Main
begin
```

2.1 Formulas

```
type-synonym pred = nat
```

```
type-synonym var = nat
```

```
datatype form =
  PAtom pred var list
| NAtom pred var list
| FConj form form
| FDisj form form
| FAll form
| FEx form
```

```
primrec preSuc :: nat list ⇒ nat list
```

```
where
```

```
  preSuc [] = []
| preSuc (a#list) = (case a of 0 ⇒ preSuc list | Suc n ⇒ n#(preSuc list))
```

```
primrec fv :: form ⇒ var list — shouldn't need to be more constructive than this
where
```

```
  fv (PAtom p vs) = vs
| fv (NAtom p vs) = vs
| fv (FConj f g) = (fv f) @ (fv g)
| fv (FDisj f g) = (fv f) @ (fv g)
| fv (FAll f) = preSuc (fv f)
| fv (FEx f) = preSuc (fv f)
```

definition

bump :: (*var* \Rightarrow *var*) \Rightarrow (*var* \Rightarrow *var*) — substitute a different var for 0
where
bump φ y = (*case* y *of* 0 \Rightarrow 0 | *Suc* n \Rightarrow *Suc* (φ n))

primrec *subst* :: (*nat* \Rightarrow *nat*) \Rightarrow *form* \Rightarrow *form*

where

subst r (*PAtom* p *vs*) = (*PAtom* p (*map* r *vs*))
| *subst r* (*NAtom* p *vs*) = (*NAtom* p (*map* r *vs*))
| *subst r* (*FConj* f g) = *FConj* (*subst r* f) (*subst r* g)
| *subst r* (*FDisj* f g) = *FDisj* (*subst r* f) (*subst r* g)
| *subst r* (*FAll* f) = *FAll* (*subst* (*bump* r) f)
| *subst r* (*FEx* f) = *FEx* (*subst* (*bump* r) f)

lemma *size-subst*[simp]: $\forall m.$ *size* (*subst* m f) = *size f*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

finst :: *form* \Rightarrow *var* \Rightarrow *form* **where**
finst body w = (*subst* ($\lambda v.$ *case* v *of* 0 \Rightarrow w | *Suc* n \Rightarrow n) *body*)

lemma *size-finst*[simp]: *size* (*finst* f m) = *size f*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

type-synonym *seq* = *form list*

type-synonym *nform* = *nat * form*

type-synonym *nseq* = *nform list*

definition

s-of-ns :: *nseq* \Rightarrow *seq* **where**
s-of-ns ns = *map* *snd* *ns*

definition

ns-of-s :: *seq* \Rightarrow *nseq* **where**
ns-of-s s = *map* ($\lambda x.$ (0, x)) *s*

definition

sfv :: *seq* \Rightarrow *var list* **where**
sfv s = *concat* (*map* *fv* *s*)

lemma *sfv-nil*: *sfv* [] = []
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sfv-cons*: *sfv* (*a#list*) = (*fv* *a*) @ (*sfv* *list*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

primrec *maxvar* :: *var list* \Rightarrow *var*
where

```

maxvar [] = 0
| maxvar (a#list) = max a (maxvar list)

```

lemma *maxvar*: $\forall v \in \text{set } vs. v \leq \text{maxvar } vs$
{proof}

definition

```

newvar :: var list  $\Rightarrow$  var where
newvar vs = (if vs = [] then 0 else Suc (maxvar vs))

```

— note that for newvar to be constructive, need an operation to get a different var from a given set

lemma *newvar*: *newvar* *vs* \notin (*set* *vs*)
{proof}

```

primrec subs :: nseq  $\Rightarrow$  nseq list
where
  subs [] = []
  | subs (x#xs) =
    (let (m,f) = x in
      case f of
        PAtom p vs  $\Rightarrow$  if NAtom p vs  $\in$  set (map snd xs) then [] else
        [xs@[((0,PAtom p vs))]]
        | NAtom p vs  $\Rightarrow$  if PAtom p vs  $\in$  set (map snd xs) then [] else
        [xs@[((0,NAtom p vs))]]
        | FConj f g  $\Rightarrow$  [xs@[((0,f)],xs@[((0,g))]]
        | FDisj f g  $\Rightarrow$  [xs@[((0,f),(0,g))]]
        | FAll f  $\Rightarrow$  [xs@[((0,finst f (newvar (sfv (s-of-ns (x#xs))))))]]
        | FEx f  $\Rightarrow$  [xs@[((0,finst f m),(Suc m,FEx f))]]
      )
    )
  )
)

```

2.2 Derivations

```

primrec is-axiom :: seq  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  is-axiom [] = False
  | is-axiom (a#list) = (( $\exists p \in \text{set } a. p \in \text{PAtom } vs \wedge p \in \text{NAtom } vs$ )  $\vee$  ( $\exists p \in \text{set } a. p \in \text{NAtom } vs \wedge p \in \text{PAtom } vs$ ))

```

inductive-set

```

  deriv :: nseq  $\Rightarrow$  (nat * nseq) set
  for s :: nseq

```

where

```

  init: (0,s)  $\in$  deriv s
  | step: (n,x)  $\in$  deriv s  $\Rightarrow$  y  $\in$  set (subs x)  $\Rightarrow$  (Suc n,y)  $\in$  deriv s
  — the closure of the branch at isaxiom

```

inductive-cases *Suc-derivE*: (Suc *n*, *x*) \in *deriv* *s*

```

declare init [simp,intro]
declare step [intro]

lemma patom:  $(n, (m, PAtom p \ vs) \# xs) \in deriv(nfs) \implies \neg is-axiom(s-of-ns ((m, PAtom p \ vs) \# xs)) \implies (Suc n, xs @ [(0, PAtom p \ vs)]) \in deriv(nfs)$ 
and natom:  $(n, (m, NAtom p \ vs) \# xs) \in deriv(nfs) \implies \neg is-axiom(s-of-ns ((m, NAtom p \ vs) \# xs)) \implies (Suc n, xs @ [(0, NAtom p \ vs)]) \in deriv(nfs)$ 
and fconj1:  $(n, (m, FConj f g) \# xs) \in deriv(nfs) \implies \neg is-axiom(s-of-ns ((m, FConj f g) \# xs)) \implies (Suc n, xs @ [(0, f)]) \in deriv(nfs)$ 
and fconj2:  $(n, (m, FConj f g) \# xs) \in deriv(nfs) \implies \neg is-axiom(s-of-ns ((m, FConj f g) \# xs)) \implies (Suc n, xs @ [(0, g)]) \in deriv(nfs)$ 
and fdisj:  $(n, (m, FDisj f g) \# xs) \in deriv(nfs) \implies \neg is-axiom(s-of-ns ((m, FDisj f g) \# xs)) \implies (Suc n, xs @ [(0, f), (0, g)]) \in deriv(nfs)$ 
and fall:  $(n, (m, FAll f) \# xs) \in deriv(nfs) \implies \neg is-axiom(s-of-ns ((m, FAll f) \# xs)) \implies (Suc n, xs @ [(0, finst f (newvar (sfv (s-of-ns ((m, FAll f) \# xs)))))] \in deriv(nfs)$ 
and fex:  $(n, (m, FEx f) \# xs) \in deriv(nfs) \implies \neg is-axiom(s-of-ns ((m, FEx f) \# xs)) \implies (Suc n, xs @ [(0, finst f m), (Suc m, FEx f)]) \in deriv(nfs)$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma deriv0[simp]:  $(0, x) \in deriv y \longleftrightarrow (x = y)$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma deriv-exists:
assumes  $(n, x) \in deriv s \ x \neq [] \ \neg is-axiom(s-of-ns x)$ 
shows  $\exists y. (Suc n, y) \in deriv s \wedge y \in set(subs x)$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma deriv-upwards:  $(n, list) \in deriv s \implies \neg is-axiom(s-of-ns(list)) \implies (\exists zs. (Suc n, zs) \in deriv s \wedge zs \in set(subs list))$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma deriv-downwards:
assumes  $(Suc n, x) \in deriv s$ 
shows  $\exists y. (n, y) \in deriv s \wedge x \in set(subs y) \wedge \neg is-axiom(s-of-ns y)$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma deriv-deriv-child:  $(Suc n, x) \in deriv y = (\exists z. z \in set(subs y) \wedge \neg is-axiom(s-of-ns y) \wedge (n, x) \in deriv z)$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

lemmas not-is-axiom-sub = patom natom fconj1 fconj2 fdisj fall fex

lemma deriv-progress:
assumes  $(n, a \# list) \in deriv s$ 
and  $\neg is-axiom(s-of-ns(a \# list))$ 
shows  $\exists zs. (Suc n, list @ zs) \in deriv s$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

```

definition

```
inc :: nat * nseq ⇒ nat * nseq where
inc = (λ(n,fs). (Suc n, fs))
```

lemma deriv: deriv y = insert (0,y) (inc ‘(Union (deriv ‘{w. ¬ is-axiom (s-of-ns y) ∧ w ∈ set (subs y)})))
⟨proof⟩

lemma deriv-is-axiom: is-axiom (s-of-ns s) ⇒ deriv s = {(0,s)}
⟨proof⟩

lemma is-axiom-finite-deriv: is-axiom (s-of-ns s) ⇒ finite (deriv s)
⟨proof⟩

2.3 Failing path

```
primrec failing-path :: (nat * nseq) set ⇒ nat ⇒ (nat * nseq)
where
failing-path ns 0 = (SOME x. x ∈ ns ∧ fst x = 0 ∧ infinite (deriv (snd x)) ∧ ¬
is-axiom (s-of-ns (snd x)))
| failing-path ns (Suc n) = (let fn = failing-path ns n in
(SOME fsucn. fsucn ∈ ns ∧ fst fsucn = Suc n ∧ (snd fsucn) ∈ set (subs (snd
fn)) ∧ infinite (deriv (snd fsucn)) ∧ ¬ is-axiom (s-of-ns (snd fsucn))))
```

```
locale FailingPath =
fixes s and f
assumes inf: infinite (deriv s)
assumes f: f = failing-path (deriv s)
```

begin

lemma f0: f 0 ∈ (deriv s) ∧ fst (f 0) = 0 ∧
infinite (deriv (snd (f 0))) ∧ ¬ is-axiom (s-of-ns (snd (f 0)))
⟨proof⟩

lemma fSuc:
assumes fn: f n ∈ deriv s fst (f n) = n
and inf: infinite (deriv (snd (f n)))
and ¬ is-axiom (s-of-ns (snd (f n)))
shows f (Suc n) ∈ deriv s ∧ fst (f (Suc n)) = Suc n ∧ snd (f (Suc n)) ∈ set
(subs (snd (f n))) ∧ infinite (deriv (snd (f (Suc n)))) ∧ ¬ is-axiom (s-of-ns (snd
(f (Suc n))))
⟨proof⟩

lemma is-path-f-0: f 0 = (0,s)
⟨proof⟩

lemma is-path-f': f n ∈ deriv s ∧ fst (f n) = n ∧ infinite (deriv (snd (f n))) ∧ ¬
is-axiom (s-of-ns (snd (f n)))

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma is-path-f:  $f n \in \text{deriv } s \wedge \text{fst } (f n) = n \wedge (\text{snd } (f (\text{Suc } n))) \in \text{set } (\text{subs } (\text{snd } (f n))) \wedge \text{infinite } (\text{deriv } (\text{snd } (f n)))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

end

2.4 Models

typeddecl U

type-synonym $\text{model} = U \text{ set } * (\text{pred} \Rightarrow U \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{bool})$

type-synonym $\text{env} = \text{var} \Rightarrow U$

primrec $\text{FEval} :: \text{model} \Rightarrow \text{env} \Rightarrow \text{form} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

```

|  $\text{FEval MI e (PAtom P vs)} = (\text{let } IP = (\text{snd } MI) P \text{ in } IP (\text{map } e vs))$ 
|  $\text{FEval MI e (NAtom P vs)} = (\text{let } IP = (\text{snd } MI) P \text{ in } \neg (IP (\text{map } e vs)))$ 
|  $\text{FEval MI e (FConj f g)} = ((\text{FEval MI e f}) \wedge (\text{FEval MI e g}))$ 
|  $\text{FEval MI e (FDisj f g)} = ((\text{FEval MI e f}) \vee (\text{FEval MI e g}))$ 
|  $\text{FEval MI e (FAll f)} = (\forall m \in (\text{fst } MI). \text{FEval MI } (\lambda y. \text{case } y \text{ of } 0 \Rightarrow m \mid \text{Suc } n \Rightarrow e n) f)$ 
|  $\text{FEval MI e (FEx f)} = (\exists m \in (\text{fst } MI). \text{FEval MI } (\lambda y. \text{case } y \text{ of } 0 \Rightarrow m \mid \text{Suc } n \Rightarrow e n) f)$ 

```

lemma preSuc[simp] : $\text{Suc } n \in \text{set } A = (n \in \text{set } (\text{preSuc } A))$
⟨proof⟩

lemma FEval-cong : $(\forall x \in \text{set } (\text{fv } A). \text{e1 } x = \text{e2 } x) \implies \text{FEval MI e1 A} = \text{FEval MI e2 A}$

⟨proof⟩

primrec $\text{SEval} :: \text{model} \Rightarrow \text{env} \Rightarrow \text{form list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where

```

|  $\text{SEval m e []} = \text{False}$ 
|  $\text{SEval m e (x#xs)} = (\text{FEval m e x} \vee \text{SEval m e xs})$ 

```

lemma SEval-def2 : $\text{SEval m e s} = (\exists f. f \in \text{set } s \wedge \text{FEval m e f})$
⟨proof⟩

lemma SEval-append : $\text{SEval m e (xs@ys)} \longleftrightarrow \text{SEval m e xs} \vee \text{SEval m e ys}$
⟨proof⟩

lemma SEval-cong : $(\forall x \in \text{set } (\text{sfv } s). \text{e1 } x = \text{e2 } x) \implies \text{SEval m e1 s} = \text{SEval m e2 s}$
⟨proof⟩

definition

is-env :: *model* \Rightarrow *env* \Rightarrow *bool*
where *is-env* *MI e* \equiv $(\forall x. e x \in (\text{fst } MI))$

definition

Svalid :: *form list* \Rightarrow *bool*
where *Svalid s* \equiv $(\forall MI e. \text{is-env } MI e \longrightarrow \text{SEval } MI e s)$

2.5 Soundness

lemma *FEval-subst*: $(\text{FEval } MI e (\text{subst } f A)) = (\text{FEval } MI (e \circ f) A)$
<proof>

lemma *FEval-finst*: $\text{FEval } mo e (\text{finst } A u) = \text{FEval } mo (\text{case-nat } (e u) e) A$
<proof>

lemma *sound-FAll*:

assumes $u \notin \text{set} (\text{sfv } (\text{FAll } f \# s))$
and *Svalid* (*s* @ [*finst f u*])
shows *Svalid* (*FAll f # s*)
<proof>

lemma *sound-FEx*: $\text{Svalid } (s @ [\text{finst } f u, \text{FEx } f]) \implies \text{Svalid } (\text{FEx } f \# s)$
<proof>

lemma *inj-inc*: *inj inc*
<proof>

lemma *finite-inc*: $\text{finite } (\text{inc} ` X) = \text{finite } X$
<proof>

lemma *finite-deriv-deriv*: $\text{finite } (\text{deriv } s) \implies \text{finite } (\text{deriv} ` \{w. \neg \text{is-axiom } (s \text{-of-ns } s) \wedge w \in \text{set} (\text{subs } s)\})$
<proof>

definition

init :: *nseq* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
init s = $(\forall x \in (\text{set } s). \text{fst } x = 0)$

definition

is-FEx :: *form* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
is-FEx f = *(case f of*
PAtom p vs \Rightarrow *False*
| NAtom p vs \Rightarrow *False*
| FConj f g \Rightarrow *False*
| FDisj f g \Rightarrow *False**)*

```

|  $FAll f \Rightarrow False$ 
|  $FEx f \Rightarrow True$ )

```

```

lemma is-FEx[simp]:  $\neg is\text{-}FEx (PAtom p vs)$ 
   $\wedge \neg is\text{-}FEx (NAtom p vs)$ 
   $\wedge \neg is\text{-}FEx (FConj f g)$ 
   $\wedge \neg is\text{-}FEx (FDisj f g)$ 
   $\wedge \neg is\text{-}FEx (FAll f)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma index0:  $\llbracket init s; (n, u) \in deriv s; (m, A) \in set u; \neg is\text{-}FEx A \rrbracket \implies m = 0$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma soundness':
  assumes  $init s \wedge \forall y. (y, u) \in deriv s \implies y \leq m$ 
  shows  $\llbracket h = m - n; (n, t) \in deriv s \rrbracket \implies Svalid (s\text{-}of\text{-}ns t)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma s-of-ns-inverse[simp]:  $s\text{-}of\text{-}ns (ns\text{-}of\text{-}s s) = s$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma soundness:
  assumes  $finite (deriv (ns\text{-}of\text{-}s s))$  shows  $Svalid s$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

2.6 Contains, Considerers

```

definition
  contains ::  $(nat \Rightarrow (nat\text{*}nseq)) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nform \Rightarrow bool$  where
     $contains f n nf \equiv (nf \in set (snd (f n)))$ 

```

```

definition
  considerers ::  $(nat \Rightarrow (nat\text{*}nseq)) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nform \Rightarrow bool$  where
     $considerers f n nf \equiv (\text{case } snd (f n) \text{ of } [] \Rightarrow False \mid (x\#xs) \Rightarrow x = nf)$ 

```

```

context FailingPath
begin

```

```

lemma progress:
  assumes  $snd (f n) = a \# list$ 
  shows  $\exists zs'. snd (f (Suc n)) = list @ zs'$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma contains-considerers':
  shows  $snd (f n) = xs @ y \# ys \implies \exists m zs'. snd (f (n+m)) = y \# zs'$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma contains-considerers:
   $contains f n y \implies (\exists m. considerers f (n+m) y)$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *contains-propagates-patoms*:

contains f n (0, PAtom p vs) \implies contains f (n+q) (0, PAtom p vs)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The same proof as above

lemma *contains-propagates-natoms*:

contains f n (0, NAtom p vs) \implies contains f (n+q) (0, NAtom p vs)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *contains-propagates-fconj*:

assumes *contains f n (0, FConj g h)*
shows $\exists y. \text{contains } f(n+y)(0, g) \vee \text{contains } f(n+y)(0, h)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *contains-propagates-fdisj*:

assumes *contains f n (0, FDisj g h)*
shows $\exists y. \text{contains } f(n+y)(0, g) \wedge \text{contains } f(n+y)(0, h)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *contains-propagates-fall*:

assumes *contains f n (0, FAll g)*
shows $\exists y. \text{contains } f(\text{Suc}(n+y))(0, \text{finst } g (\text{newvar } (\text{sfv } (\text{s-of-ns } (\text{snd } (f(n+y)))))))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *contains-propagates-fex*:

assumes *contains f n (m, FEx g)*
shows $\exists y. \text{contains } f(n+y)(0, \text{finst } g m) \wedge \text{contains } f(n+y)(\text{Suc } m, FEx g)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *FEx-downward*:

assumes *init s*
shows $(\text{Suc } m, FEx g) \in \text{set } (\text{snd } (f n)) \implies (\exists n'. (m, FEx g) \in \text{set } (\text{snd } (f n')))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *FEx0*:

assumes *init s*
shows *contains f n (m, FEx g) \implies ($\exists n'. \text{contains } f n'(0, FEx g)$)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *FEx-upward'*:

assumes *contains f n (0, FEx g)*
shows $\exists n'. \text{contains } f n'(m, FEx g)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *FEx-upward*:

```

assumes init s
  and contains f n (m, FEx g)
  shows ∃ n'. contains f n' (0, finst g m')
⟨proof⟩

end

```

2.7 Models 2

axiomatization $ntou :: nat \Rightarrow U$
where $ntou: inj\ ntou$ — assume universe set is infinite

definition $uton :: U \Rightarrow nat$ **where** $uton = inv\ ntou$

lemma $uton\text{-}ntou: uton\ (ntou\ x) = x$
⟨proof⟩

lemma $map\text{-}uton\text{-}ntou[simp]: map\ uton\ (map\ ntou\ xs) = xs$
⟨proof⟩

lemma $ntou\text{-}uton: x \in range\ ntou \implies ntou\ (uton\ x) = x$
⟨proof⟩

2.8 Falsifying Model From Failing Path

definition $model :: nseq \Rightarrow model$ **where**
 $model\ s \equiv$
 $(range\ ntou,$
 $\lambda p\ ms.\ let\ f = failing-path\ (deriv\ s)\ in$
 $\forall n\ m.\ \neg\ contains\ f\ n\ (m, PAtom\ p\ (map\ uton\ ms)))$

lemma $is\text{-}env\text{-}model\text{-}ntou: is\text{-}env\ (model\ s)\ ntou$
⟨proof⟩

lemma $(in\ FailingPath)\ [simp]:$
 $[\![init\ s; contains\ f\ n\ (m, A); \neg\ is\text{-}FEx\ A]\!] \implies m = 0$
⟨proof⟩

lemma $(in\ FailingPath)\ model':$
assumes $init\ s$
and $A: h = size\ A$ $contains\ f\ n\ (m, A)$ $FEval\ (model\ s)\ ntou\ A$
shows $\neg\ FEval\ (model\ s)\ ntou\ A$
⟨proof⟩

2.9 Completeness

lemma $completeness':$
assumes $infinite\ (deriv\ s)$ $init\ s\ (m, A) \in set\ s$
shows $\neg\ FEval\ (model\ s)\ ntou\ A$
⟨proof⟩

lemma completeness:
assumes infinite (deriv (ns-of-s s))
shows $\neg S\text{valid } s$
(proof)

2.10 Sound and Complete

lemma $S\text{valid } s = \text{finite} (\text{deriv} (\text{ns-of-s } s))$
(proof)

2.11 Algorithm

lemma ex-iter': $(\exists n. R ((g^{\sim n})a)) = (R a \vee (\exists n. R ((g^{\sim n})(g a))))$
(proof)

lemma ex-iter: $(\exists n. R ((g^{\sim n})a)) = (\text{if } R a \text{ then True else } (\exists n. R ((g^{\sim n})(g a))))$
(proof)

definition

$f :: \text{nseq list} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nseq list}$ **where**
 $f s n \equiv ((\lambda x. \text{concat} (\text{map subs } x))^{\sim n}) s$

lemma f-upwards: $f s n = [] \implies f s (n+m) = []$
(proof)

lemma f: $((n, x) \in \text{deriv } s) = (x \in \text{set} (f [s] n))$
(proof)

lemma deriv-f: $\text{deriv } s = (\bigcup x. \text{set} (\text{map} (\text{Pair } x) (f [s] x)))$
(proof)

lemma finite-deriv: $\text{finite} (\text{deriv } s) \longleftrightarrow (\exists m. f [s] m = [])$
(proof)

definition prove': $\text{nseq list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{prove}' s = (\exists m. ((\lambda x. \text{concat} (\text{map subs } x))^{\sim m}) s = [])$

lemma prove': $\text{prove}' l = (\text{if } l = [] \text{ then True else } \text{prove}' ((\lambda x. \text{concat} (\text{map subs } x)) l))$
(proof)

definition prove :: $\text{nseq} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where** $\text{prove } s = \text{prove}' ([s])$

lemma finite-deriv-prove: $\text{finite} (\text{deriv } s) = \text{prove } s$
(proof)

2.12 Computation

lemma $(\exists x. A x \vee B x) \longrightarrow ((\exists x. B x) \vee (\exists x. A x))$
(proof)

```

lemma (( $\exists x. A x \vee B x$ )  $\longrightarrow$  ( $(\exists x. B x) \vee (\exists x. A x)$ ))
= ( $(\forall x. \neg A x \wedge \neg B x) \vee ((\exists x. B x) \vee (\exists x. A x))$ )
⟨proof⟩

definition my-f :: form where
my-f = FDisj
(FAll (FConj (NAtom 0 [0]) (NAtom 1 [0])))
(FDisj (FEx (PAtom 1 [0])) (FEx (PAtom 0 [0])))

— we compute by rewriting

lemma membership-simps:
x ∈ set []  $\longleftrightarrow$  False
x ∈ set (y # ys)  $\longleftrightarrow$  x = y ∨ x ∈ set ys
⟨proof⟩

lemmas ss = list.inject if-True if-False concat.simps list.map
sfv-def filter.simps snd-conv form.simps finst-def s-of-ns-def
Let-def newvar-def subs.simps split-beta append-Nil append-Cons
subst.simps nat.simps fv.simps maxvar.simps preSuc.simps simp-thms
membership-simps

lemmas prove'-Nil = prove' [of [], simplified]
lemmas prove'-Cons = prove' [of x#l, simplified] for x l

lemma search: finite (deriv [(0,my-f)])
⟨proof⟩

abbreviation Sprove :: form list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where Sprove ≡ prove  $\circ$  ns-of-s

abbreviation check :: form  $\Rightarrow$  bool where check formula ≡ Sprove [formula]

abbreviation valid :: form  $\Rightarrow$  bool where valid formula ≡ Svalid [formula]

theorem check = valid ⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩

end

```

3 Optimisation and Extension

There are plenty of obvious optimisations. The first medium level optimisation is to avoid the recomputation of newvars by incorporating the maxvar into a sequent. At a low level, most of the list operations are just moving a pointer along a list: only FConj requires duplicating a list. Reporting “not provable” on obviously non-provable goals would be useful, as would a more

efficient choice of witnessing terms for existentials.

In terms of extensions, the obvious targets are function terms and equality.

4 OCaml Implementation

```
open List;;  
  
type pred = int;;  
  
type var = int;;  
  
type form =  
  PAtom of (pred*(var list))  
 | NAtom of (pred*(var list))  
 | FConj of form * form  
 | FDisj of form * form  
 | FAll of form  
 | FEx of form  
;;  
  
let rec preSuc t = match t with  
  [] -> []  
 | (a::list) -> (match a with 0 -> preSuc list | sucn -> (sucn-  
  1::preSuc list));;  
  
let rec fv t = match t with  
  PAtom (p,vs) -> vs  
 | NAtom (p,vs) -> vs  
 | FConj (f,g) -> (fv f)@fv g  
 | FDisj (f,g) -> (fv f)@fv g  
 | FAll f -> preSuc (fv f)  
 | FEx f -> preSuc (fv f);;  
  
let suc x = x+1;;  
  
let bump phi y = match y with 0 -> 0 | sucn -> suc (phi (sucn-1));;  
  
let rec subst r f = match f with  
  PAtom (p,vs) -> PAtom (p,map r vs)  
 | NAtom (p,vs) -> NAtom (p,map r vs)  
 | FConj (f,g) -> FConj (subst r f, subst r g)  
 | FDisj (f,g) -> FDisj (subst r f, subst r g)  
 | FAll f -> FAll (subst (bump r) f)
```

```

| FEx f -> FEx (subst (bump r) f);;

let finst body w = subst (fun v -> match v with 0 -> w | sucn -> (sucn-1)) body;;

let s_of_ns ns = map snd ns;;

let sfv s = flatten (map fv s);;

let rec maxvar t = match t with
  [] -> 0
  | (a::list) -> max a (maxvar list);;

let newvar vs = suc (maxvar vs);;

let subs t = match t with
  [] -> []
  | (x::xs) -> let (m,f) = x in
    match f with
      PAtom (p,vs) -> if mem (NAtom (p,vs)) (map snd xs) then [] else [xs@[((0,P
      | NAtom (p,vs) -> if mem (PAtom (p,vs)) (map snd xs) then [] else [xs@[((0,N
      | FConj (f,g) -> [xs@[((0,f)];xs@[((0,g)]]
      | FDisj (f,g) -> [xs@[((0,f);(0,g)]]
      | FAAll f -> [xs@[((0,finst f (newvar (sfv (s_of_ns (x::xs))))))]
      | FEx f -> [xs@[((0,finst f m);(suc m,FEx f)]];;

let rec prove' l = (if l = [] then true else prove' ((fun x -> flatten (map subs x))

let prove s = prove' [s];;

let my_f = FDisj (
  (FAAll (FConj ((NAtom (0,[0])), (NAtom (1,[0])))),
  (FDisj ((FEx ((PAtom (1,[0])))),(FEx (PAtom (0,[0])))))));;

prove [(0,my_f)];;

```

References

- [1] J. Margetson. Completeness of the first order predicate calculus. 1999.
- [2] J. Margetson and T. Ridge. Completeness of the first order predicate calculus. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, 2004.

- [3] S. S. Wainer and L. A. Wallen. Basic proof theory. In S. S. Wainer, P. Aczel, and H. Simmons, editors, *Proof Theory: A Selection of Papers from the Leeds Proof Theory Programme 1990*, pages 3–26. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.