

Multi-Head Monitoring of Metric Dynamic Logic

Martin Raszyk

March 17, 2025

Abstract

Runtime monitoring (or runtime verification) is an approach to checking compliance of a system’s execution with a specification (e.g., a temporal formula). The system’s execution is logged into a *trace*—a sequence of time-points, each consisting of a time-stamp and observed events. A *monitor* is an algorithm that produces *verdicts* on the satisfaction of a temporal formula on a trace.

We formalize the time-stamps as an abstract algebraic structure satisfying certain assumptions. Instances of this structure include natural numbers, real numbers, and lexicographic combinations of them. We also include the formalization of a conversion from the abstract time domain introduced by Kojmans [1] to our time-stamps.

We formalize a monitoring algorithm for metric dynamic logic, an extension of metric temporal logic with regular expressions. The monitor computes whether a given formula is satisfied at every position in an input trace of time-stamped events. Our monitor follows the multi-head paradigm: it reads the input simultaneously at multiple positions and moves its reading heads asynchronously. This mode of operation results in unprecedented time and space complexity guarantees for metric dynamic logic: The monitor’s amortized time complexity to process a time-point and the monitor’s space complexity neither depends on the event-rate, i.e., the number of events within a fixed time-unit, nor on the numeric constants occurring in the quantitative temporal constraints in the given formula.

The multi-head monitoring algorithm for metric dynamic logic is reported in our paper “Multi-Head Monitoring of Metric Dynamic Logic” [2] published at ATVA 2020. We have also formalized unpublished specialized algorithms for the temporal operators of metric temporal logic.

Contents

1	Intervals	4
2	Infinite Traces	6
3	Formulas and Satisfiability	7
4	Formulas and Satisfiability	52

theory Timestamp
imports HOL-Library.Extended_Nat HOL-Library.Extended_Real
begin

```
class embed_nat =  
fixes υ :: nat ⇒ 'a  
  
class tfin =  
fixes tfin :: 'a set  
  
class timestamp = comm_monoid_add + semilattice_sup + embed_nat + tfin +  
assumes υ_mono: ∀i j. i ≤ j ⇒ υ i ≤ υ j
```

```

and  $\iota\_tfin: \bigwedge i. \iota i \in tfin$ 
and  $\iota\_progressing: x \in tfin \implies \exists j. \neg\iota j \leq \iota i + x$ 
and  $\text{zero\_}tfin: 0 \in tfin$ 
and  $tfin\_closed: c \in tfin \implies d \in tfin \implies c + d \in tfin$ 
and  $\text{add\_mono}: c \leq d \implies a + c \leq a + d$ 
and  $\text{add\_pos}: a \in tfin \implies 0 < c \implies a < a + c$ 
begin

lemma add_mono_comm:
  fixes a :: 'a
  shows c ≤ d ⟹ c + a ≤ d + a
  ⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation option :: (timestamp) timestamp
begin

definition tfin_option :: 'a option set where
  tfin_option = Some `tfin

definition  $\iota$ _option :: nat ⇒ 'a option where
   $\iota$ _option = Some ∘  $\iota$ 

definition zero_option :: 'a option where
  zero_option = Some 0

definition plus_option :: 'a option ⇒ 'a option ⇒ 'a option where
  plus_option x y = (case x of None ⇒ None | Some x' ⇒ (case y of None ⇒ None | Some y' ⇒ Some (x' + y')))

definition sup_option :: 'a option ⇒ 'a option ⇒ 'a option where
  sup_option x y = (case x of None ⇒ None | Some x' ⇒ (case y of None ⇒ None | Some y' ⇒ Some (sup x' y')))

definition less_option :: 'a option ⇒ 'a option ⇒ bool where
  less_option x y = (case x of None ⇒ False | Some x' ⇒ (case y of None ⇒ True | Some y' ⇒ x' < y'))

definition less_eq_option :: 'a option ⇒ 'a option ⇒ bool where
  less_eq_option x y = (case x of None ⇒ x = y | Some x' ⇒ (case y of None ⇒ True | Some y' ⇒ x' ≤ y'))

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation enat :: timestamp
begin

definition tfin_enat :: enat set where
  tfin_enat = UNIV - {∞}

definition  $\iota$ _enat :: nat ⇒ enat where
   $\iota$ _enat n = n

```

```

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation ereal :: timestamp
begin

definition i_ereal :: nat ⇒ ereal where
  i_ereal n = ereal n

definition tfin_ereal :: ereal set where
  tfin_ereal = UNIV - {−∞, ∞}

lemma ereal_add_pos:
  fixes a :: ereal
  shows a ∈ tfin ⟹ 0 < c ⟹ a < a + c
  ⟨proof⟩

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

class timestamp_total = timestamp +
  assumes timestamp_total: a ≤ b ∨ b ≤ a
  assumes timestamp_tfin_le_not_tfin: 0 ≤ a ⟹ a ∈ tfin ⟹ 0 ≤ b ⟹ b ∉ tfin ⟹ a ≤ b
begin

lemma add_not_tfin: 0 ≤ a ⟹ a ∈ tfin ⟹ a ≤ c ⟹ c ∈ tfin ⟹ 0 ≤ b ⟹ b ∉ tfin ⟹ c < a + b
  ⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation enat :: timestamp_total
begin

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation ereal :: timestamp_total
begin

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

class timestamp_strict = timestamp +
  assumes add_mono_strict: c < d ⟹ a + c < a + d

class timestamp_total_strict = timestamp_total + timestamp_strict

instantiation nat :: timestamp_total_strict
begin

```

```

definition tfin_nat :: nat set where
  tfin_nat = UNIV

definition i_nat :: nat ⇒ nat where
  i_nat n = n

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation real :: timestamp_total_strict
begin

definition tfin_real :: real set where tfin_real = UNIV

definition i_real :: nat ⇒ real where i_real n = real n

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation prod :: (comm_monoid_add, comm_monoid_add) comm_monoid_add
begin

definition zero_prod :: 'a × 'b where
  zero_prod = (0, 0)

fun plus_prod :: 'a × 'b ⇒ 'a × 'b ⇒ 'a × 'b where
  (a, b) + (c, d) = (a + c, b + d)

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

1 Intervals

```

typedef (overloaded) ('a :: timestamp) I = {(i :: 'a, j :: 'a, lei :: bool, lej :: bool). 0 ≤ i ∧ i ≤ j ∧ i
  ∈ tfin ∧ ¬(j = 0 ∧ ¬lej)}
  ⟨proof⟩

setup_lifting type_definition_I

instantiation I :: (timestamp) equal begin

lift_definition equal_I :: 'a I ⇒ 'a I ⇒ bool is (=) ⟨proof⟩

instance ⟨proof⟩

end

lift_definition right :: 'a :: timestamp I ⇒ 'a is fst ∘ snd ⟨proof⟩

lift_definition memL :: 'a :: timestamp ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a I ⇒ bool is

```

```

 $\lambda t t' (a, b, lei, lej). \text{if } lei \text{ then } t + a \leq t' \text{ else } t + a < t' \langle proof \rangle$ 

lift_definition memR :: ' $a :: timestamp \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ ' is
 $\lambda t t' (a, b, lei, lej). \text{if } lej \text{ then } t' \leq t + b \text{ else } t' < t + b \langle proof \rangle$ 

definition mem :: ' $a :: timestamp \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ ' where
mem t t' I  $\longleftrightarrow$  memL t t' I  $\wedge$  memR t t' I

lemma memL_mono: memL t t' I  $\implies$   $t'' \leq t \implies$  memL t'' t' I
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma memL_mono': memL t t' I  $\implies$   $t' \leq t'' \implies$  memL t t'' I
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma memR_mono: memR t t' I  $\implies$   $t \leq t'' \implies$  memR t'' t' I
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma memR_mono': memR t t' I  $\implies$   $t'' \leq t' \implies$  memR t t'' I
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma memR_dest: memR t t' I  $\implies$   $t' \leq t + \text{right } I$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma memR_tfin_refl:
assumes fin:  $t \in \text{tfin}$ 
shows memR t t I
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma right_I_add_mono:
fixes x :: ' $a :: timestamp$ '
shows  $x \leq x + \text{right } I$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lift_definition interval :: ' $a :: timestamp \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \Rightarrow 'a \mathcal{I}$ ' is
 $\lambda i j lei lej. (\text{if } 0 \leq i \wedge i \leq j \wedge i \in \text{tfin} \wedge \neg(j = 0 \wedge \neg lej) \text{ then } (i, j, lei, lej) \text{ else } \text{Code.abort (STR "malformed interval")}) (\lambda_. (0, 0, \text{True}, \text{True}))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Rep_I I = (l, r, b1, b2)  $\implies$  memL 0 0 I  $\longleftrightarrow$  l = 0  $\wedge$  b1
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lift_definition dropL :: ' $a :: timestamp \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow 'a \mathcal{I}$ ' is
 $\lambda(l, r, b1, b2). (0, r, \text{True}, b2)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma memL_dropL:  $t \leq t' \implies$  memL t t' (dropL I)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma memR_dropL: memR t t' (dropL I) = memR t t' I
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lift_definition flipL :: ' $a :: timestamp \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow 'a \mathcal{I}$ ' is
 $\lambda(l, r, b1, b2). \text{if } \neg(l = 0 \wedge b1) \text{ then } (0, l, \text{True}, \neg b1) \text{ else } \text{Code.abort (STR "invalid flipL") } (\lambda_. (0, 0, \text{True}, \text{True}))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma memL_flipL:  $t \leq t' \implies$  memL t t' (flipL I)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma memR_flipLD:  $\neg \text{memL } 0 \ 0 \ I \implies \text{memR } t \ t' (\text{flipL } I) \implies \neg \text{memL } t \ t' I$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma memR_flipLI:
  fixes  $t :: 'a :: \text{timestamp}$ 
  shows  $(\bigwedge u v. (u :: 'a :: \text{timestamp}) \leq v \vee v \leq u) \implies \neg \text{memL } t \ t' I \implies \text{memR } t \ t' (\text{flipL } I)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $t \in \text{tfin} \implies \text{memL } 0 \ 0 \ I \longleftrightarrow \text{memL } t \ t \ I$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition full  $(I :: ('a :: \text{timestamp}) \mathcal{I}) \longleftrightarrow (\forall t \ t'. 0 \leq t \wedge t \leq t' \wedge t \in \text{tfin} \wedge t' \in \text{tfin} \longrightarrow \text{mem } t \ t' I)$ 

lemma memL_0_0  $(I :: ('a :: \text{timestamp\_total}) \mathcal{I}) \implies \text{right } I \notin \text{tfin} \implies \text{full } I$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

2 Infinite Traces

```

inductive sorted_list ::  $'a :: \text{order list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where
  | [intro]: sorted_list []
  | [intro]: sorted_list [x]
  | [intro]:  $x \leq y \implies \text{sorted\_list } (y \# ys) \implies \text{sorted\_list } (x \# y \# ys)$ 

lemma sorted_list_app:  $\text{sorted\_list } xs \implies (\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \implies x \leq y) \implies \text{sorted\_list } (xs @ [y])$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sorted_list_drop:  $\text{sorted\_list } xs \implies \text{sorted\_list } (\text{drop } n \ xs)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sorted_list_ConsD:  $\text{sorted\_list } (x \# xs) \implies \text{sorted\_list } xs$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sorted_list_Cons_nth:  $\text{sorted\_list } (x \# xs) \implies j < \text{length } xs \implies x \leq xs ! j$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sorted_list_atD:  $\text{sorted\_list } xs \implies i \leq j \implies j < \text{length } xs \implies xs ! i \leq xs ! j$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

coinductive ssorted ::  $'a :: \text{order stream} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where
   $\text{shd } s \leq \text{shd } (\text{stl } s) \implies \text{ssorted } (\text{stl } s) \implies \text{ssorted } s$ 

lemma ssorted_siterate[simp]:  $(\bigwedge n. n \leq f n) \implies \text{ssorted } (\text{siterate } f n)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma ssortedD:  $\text{ssorted } s \implies s !! i \leq \text{stl } s !! i$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma ssorted_sdrop:  $\text{ssorted } s \implies \text{ssorted } (\text{sdrop } i \ s)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma ssorted_monoD:  $\text{ssorted } s \implies i \leq j \implies s !! i \leq s !! j$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sorted_stake:  $\text{ssorted } s \implies \text{sorted\_list } (\text{stake } i \ s)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma ssored_monoI:  $\forall i j. i \leq j \rightarrow s !! i \leq s !! j \Rightarrow ssored s$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ssored_iff_mono:  $ssored s \leftrightarrow (\forall i j. i \leq j \rightarrow s !! i \leq s !! j)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

typedef (overloaded) ('a, 'b :: timestamp) trace = {s :: ('a set × 'b) stream.
  ssored (smap snd s) ∧ (∀x. x ∈ snd 'sset s → x ∈ tfin) ∧ (∀i x. x ∈ tfin → (∃j. ¬snd (s !! j) ≤
  snd (s !! i) + x))}

setup_lifting type_definition_trace

lift_definition Γ :: ('a, 'b :: timestamp) trace ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a set is
  λs i. fst (s !! i) ⟨proof⟩
lift_definition τ :: ('a, 'b :: timestamp) trace ⇒ nat ⇒ 'b is
  λs i. snd (s !! i) ⟨proof⟩

lemma τ_mono[simp]:  $i \leq j \Rightarrow \tau s i \leq \tau s j$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma τ_fin:  $\tau \sigma i \in tfin$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ex_lt_τ:  $x \in tfin \Rightarrow \exists j. \neg\tau s j \leq \tau s i + x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma le_τ_less:  $\tau \sigma i \leq \tau \sigma j \Rightarrow j < i \Rightarrow \tau \sigma i = \tau \sigma j$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma less_τD:  $\tau \sigma i < \tau \sigma j \Rightarrow i < j$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

theory MDL
  imports Interval Trace
begin

```

3 Formulas and Satisfiability

```

declare [[typedef_overloaded]]

datatype ('a, 't :: timestamp) formula = Bool bool | Atom 'a | Neg ('a, 't) formula |
  Bin bool ⇒ bool ⇒ bool ('a, 't) formula ('a, 't) formula |
  Prev 't I ('a, 't) formula | Next 't I ('a, 't) formula |
  Since ('a, 't) formula 't I ('a, 't) formula |
  Until ('a, 't) formula 't I ('a, 't) formula |
  MatchP 't I ('a, 't) regex | MatchF 't I ('a, 't) regex
  and ('a, 't) regex = Lookahead ('a, 't) formula | Symbol ('a, 't) formula |
  Plus ('a, 't) regex ('a, 't) regex | Times ('a, 't) regex ('a, 't) regex |
  Star ('a, 't) regex

fun eps :: ('a, 't :: timestamp) regex ⇒ bool where
  eps (Lookahead phi) = True
  | eps (Symbol phi) = False
  | eps (Plus r s) = (eps r ∨ eps s)
  | eps (Times r s) = (eps r ∧ eps s)
  | eps (Star r) = True

fun atms :: ('a, 't :: timestamp) regex ⇒ ('a, 't) formula set where

```

```

atms (Lookahead phi) = {phi}
| atms (Symbol phi) = {phi}
| atms (Plus r s) = atms r ∪ atms s
| atms (Times r s) = atms r ∪ atms s
| atms (Star r) = atms r

lemma size_atms[termination_simp]: phi ∈ atms r ⇒ size phi < size r
⟨proof⟩

fun wf_fmla :: ('a, 't :: timestamp) formula ⇒ bool
and wf_regex :: ('a, 't) regex ⇒ bool where
  wf_fmla (Bool b) = True
| wf_fmla (Atom a) = True
| wf_fmla (Neg phi) = wf_fmla phi
| wf_fmla (Bin f phi psi) = (wf_fmla phi ∧ wf_fmla psi)
| wf_fmla (Prev I phi) = wf_fmla phi
| wf_fmla (Next I phi) = wf_fmla phi
| wf_fmla (Since phi I psi) = (wf_fmla phi ∧ wf_fmla psi)
| wf_fmla (Until phi I psi) = (wf_fmla phi ∧ wf_fmla psi)
| wf_fmla (MatchP I r) = (wf_regex r ∧ (∀ phi ∈ atms r. wf_fmla phi))
| wf_fmla (MatchF I r) = (wf_regex r ∧ (∀ phi ∈ atms r. wf_fmla phi))
| wf_regex (Lookahead phi) = False
| wf_regex (Symbol phi) = wf_fmla phi
| wf_regex (Plus r s) = (wf_regex r ∧ wf_regex s)
| wf_regex (Times r s) = (wf_regex s ∧ (¬eps s ∨ wf_regex r))
| wf_regex (Star r) = wf_regex r

fun progress :: ('a, 't :: timestamp) formula ⇒ 't list ⇒ nat where
  progress (Bool b) ts = length ts
| progress (Atom a) ts = length ts
| progress (Neg phi) ts = progress phi ts
| progress (Bin f phi psi) ts = min (progress phi ts) (progress psi ts)
| progress (Prev I phi) ts = min (length ts) (Suc (progress phi ts))
| progress (Next I phi) ts = (case progress phi ts of 0 ⇒ 0 | Suc k ⇒ k)
| progress (Since phi I psi) ts = min (progress phi ts) (progress psi ts)
| progress (Until phi I psi) ts = (if length ts = 0 then 0 else
  (let k = min (length ts - 1) (min (progress phi ts) (progress psi ts)) in
    Min {j. 0 ≤ j ∧ j ≤ k ∧ memR (ts ! j) (ts ! k) I}))
| progress (MatchP I r) ts = Min ((λf. progress f ts) ` atms r)
| progress (MatchF I r) ts = (if length ts = 0 then 0 else
  (let k = min (length ts - 1) (Min ((λf. progress f ts) ` atms r)) in
    Min {j. 0 ≤ j ∧ j ≤ k ∧ memR (ts ! j) (ts ! k) I}))

fun bounded_future_fmla :: ('a, 't :: timestamp) formula ⇒ bool
and bounded_future_regex :: ('a, 't) regex ⇒ bool where
  bounded_future_fmla (Bool b) ↔ True
| bounded_future_fmla (Atom a) ↔ True
| bounded_future_fmla (Neg phi) ↔ bounded_future_fmla phi
| bounded_future_fmla (Bin f phi psi) ↔ bounded_future_fmla phi ∧ bounded_future_fmla psi
| bounded_future_fmla (Prev I phi) ↔ bounded_future_fmla phi
| bounded_future_fmla (Next I phi) ↔ bounded_future_fmla phi
| bounded_future_fmla (Since phi I psi) ↔ bounded_future_fmla phi ∧ bounded_future_fmla psi
| bounded_future_fmla (Until phi I psi) ↔ bounded_future_fmla phi ∧ bounded_future_fmla psi ∧
  right I ∈ tfin
| bounded_future_fmla (MatchP I r) ↔ bounded_future_regex r
| bounded_future_fmla (MatchF I r) ↔ bounded_future_regex r ∧ right I ∈ tfin
| bounded_future_regex (Lookahead phi) ↔ bounded_future_fmla phi
| bounded_future_regex (Symbol phi) ↔ bounded_future_fmla phi

```

```

| bounded_future_regex (Plus r s)  $\longleftrightarrow$  bounded_future_regex r  $\wedge$  bounded_future_regex s
| bounded_future_regex (Times r s)  $\longleftrightarrow$  bounded_future_regex r  $\wedge$  bounded_future_regex s
| bounded_future_regex (Star r)  $\longleftrightarrow$  bounded_future_regex r

lemmas regex_induct[case_names Lookahead Symbol Plus Times Star, induct type: regex] =
  regex.induct[of  $\lambda_.$  True, simplified]

definition Once I  $\varphi \equiv$  Since (Bool True) I  $\varphi$ 
definition Historically I  $\varphi \equiv$  Neg (Once I (Neg  $\varphi$ ))
definition Eventually I  $\varphi \equiv$  Until (Bool True) I  $\varphi$ 
definition Always I  $\varphi \equiv$  Neg (Eventually I (Neg  $\varphi$ ))

fun rderive :: ('a, 't :: timestamp) regex  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 't) regex where
  rderive (Lookahead phi) = Lookahead (Bool False)
  | rderive (Symbol phi) = Lookahead phi
  | rderive (Plus r s) = Plus (rderive r) (rderive s)
  | rderive (Times r s) = (if eps s then Plus (rderive r) (Times r (rderive s)) else Times r (rderive s))
  | rderive (Star r) = Times (Star r) (rderive r)

lemma atms_rderive: phi  $\in$  atms (rderive r)  $\implies$  phi  $\in$  atms r  $\vee$  phi = Bool False
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma size_formula_positive: size (phi :: ('a, 't :: timestamp) formula)  $>$  0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma size_regex_positive: size (r :: ('a, 't :: timestamp) regex)  $>$  Suc 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma size_rderive[termination_simp]: phi  $\in$  atms (rderive r)  $\implies$  size phi  $<$  size r
  ⟨proof⟩

locale MDL =
  fixes  $\sigma :: ('a, 't :: timestamp) trace$ 
begin

  fun sat :: ('a, 't) formula  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and match :: ('a, 't) regex  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\times$  nat) set where
    sat (Bool b) i = b
    | sat (Atom a) i = ( $a \in \Gamma \sigma$  i)
    | sat (Neg  $\varphi$ ) i = ( $\neg sat \varphi$  i)
    | sat (Bin f  $\varphi$   $\psi$ ) i = (f (sat  $\varphi$  i) (sat  $\psi$  i))
    | sat (Prev I  $\varphi$ ) i = (case i of 0  $\Rightarrow$  False  $|$  Suc j  $\Rightarrow$  mem ( $\tau \sigma$  j) ( $\tau \sigma$  i) I  $\wedge$  sat  $\varphi$  j)
    | sat (Next I  $\varphi$ ) i = (mem ( $\tau \sigma$  i) ( $\tau \sigma$  (Suc i)) I  $\wedge$  sat  $\varphi$  (Suc i))
    | sat (Since  $\varphi$  I  $\psi$ ) i = ( $\exists j \leq i. mem(\tau \sigma j) (\tau \sigma i) I \wedge sat \psi j \wedge (\forall k \in \{j <.. i\}. sat \varphi k)$ )
    | sat (Until  $\varphi$  I  $\psi$ ) i = ( $\exists j \geq i. mem(\tau \sigma i) (\tau \sigma j) I \wedge sat \psi j \wedge (\forall k \in \{i .. < j\}. sat \varphi k)$ )
    | sat (MatchP I r) i = ( $\exists j \leq i. mem(\tau \sigma j) (\tau \sigma i) I \wedge (j, Suc i) \in match r$ )
    | sat (MatchF I r) i = ( $\exists j \geq i. mem(\tau \sigma i) (\tau \sigma j) I \wedge (i, Suc j) \in match r$ )
    | match (Lookahead  $\varphi$ ) = {(i, i) | i. sat  $\varphi$  i}
    | match (Symbol  $\varphi$ ) = {(i, Suc i) | i. sat  $\varphi$  i}
    | match (Plus r s) = match r  $\cup$  match s
    | match (Times r s) = match r O match s
    | match (Star r) = rtrancl (match r)

  lemma sat (Prev I (Bool False)) i  $\longleftrightarrow$  sat (Bool False) i
  sat (Next I (Bool False)) i  $\longleftrightarrow$  sat (Bool False) i
  sat (Since  $\varphi$  I (Bool False)) i  $\longleftrightarrow$  sat (Bool False) i
  sat (Until  $\varphi$  I (Bool False)) i  $\longleftrightarrow$  sat (Bool False) i
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma prev_rewrite: sat (Prev I  $\varphi$ ) i  $\longleftrightarrow$  sat (MatchP I (Times (Symbol  $\varphi$ ) (Symbol (Bool True)))) i
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma next_rewrite: sat (Next I  $\varphi$ ) i  $\longleftrightarrow$  sat (MatchF I (Times (Symbol (Bool True)) (Symbol  $\varphi$ ))) i
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma trancl_Base: {(i, Suc i) | i. P i}* = {(i, j). i  $\leq$  j  $\wedge$  ( $\forall k \in \{i..<j\}$ . P k)}
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Ball_atLeastLessThan_reindex:
  ( $\forall k \in \{j..<i\}$ . P (Suc k)) = ( $\forall k \in \{j..i\}$ . P k)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma since_rewrite: sat (Since  $\varphi$  I  $\psi$ ) i  $\longleftrightarrow$  sat (MatchP I (Times (Symbol  $\psi$ ) (Star (Symbol  $\varphi$ )))) i
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma until_rewrite: sat (Until  $\varphi$  I  $\psi$ ) i  $\longleftrightarrow$  sat (MatchF I (Times (Star (Symbol  $\varphi$ )) (Symbol  $\psi$ ))) i
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma match_le: (i, j)  $\in$  match r  $\implies$  i  $\leq$  j
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma match_Times: (i, i + n)  $\in$  match (Times r s)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  ( $\exists k \leq n$ . (i, i + k)  $\in$  match r  $\wedge$  (i + k, i + n)  $\in$  match s)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma rtrancl_unfold: (x, z)  $\in$  rtrancl R  $\implies$ 
  x = z  $\vee$  ( $\exists y$ . (x, y)  $\in$  R  $\wedge$  x  $\neq$  y  $\wedge$  (y, z)  $\in$  rtrancl R)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma rtrancl_unfold': (x, z)  $\in$  rtrancl R  $\implies$ 
  x = z  $\vee$  ( $\exists y$ . (x, y)  $\in$  rtrancl R  $\wedge$  y  $\neq$  z  $\wedge$  (y, z)  $\in$  R)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma match_Star: (i, i + Suc n)  $\in$  match (Star r)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  ( $\exists k \leq n$ . (i, i + 1 + k)  $\in$  match r  $\wedge$  (i + 1 + k, i + Suc n)  $\in$  match (Star r))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma match_refl_eps: (i, i)  $\in$  match r  $\implies$  eps r
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma wf_regex_eps_match: wf_regex r  $\implies$  eps r  $\implies$  (i, i)  $\in$  match r
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma match_Star_unfold: i < j  $\implies$  (i, j)  $\in$  match (Star r)  $\implies$   $\exists k \in \{i..<j\}$ . (i, k)  $\in$  match (Star r)  $\wedge$  (k, j)  $\in$  match r
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma match_rderive: wf_regex r  $\implies$  i  $\leq$  j  $\implies$  (i, Suc j)  $\in$  match r  $\longleftrightarrow$  (i, j)  $\in$  match (rderive r)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

lemma atms_nonempty: atms r  $\neq$  {}
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma atms_finite: finite (atms r)

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma progress_le_ts:
  assumes  $\bigwedge t. t \in \text{set } ts \implies t \in \text{tfin}$ 
  shows  $\text{progress } \phi ts \leq \text{length } ts$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end
theory Metric_Point_Structure
  imports Interval
begin

class metric_domain = plus + zero + ord +
assumes Δ1:  $x + x' = x' + x$ 
  and Δ2:  $(x + x') + x'' = x + (x' + x'')$ 
  and Δ3:  $x + 0 = x$ 
  and Δ3':  $x = 0 + x$ 
  and Δ4:  $x + x' = x + x'' \implies x' = x''$ 
  and Δ4':  $x + x'' = x' + x'' \implies x = x'$ 
  and Δ5:  $x + x' = 0 \implies x = 0$ 
  and Δ5':  $x + x' = 0 \implies x' = 0$ 
  and Δ6:  $\exists x''. x = x' + x'' \vee x' = x + x''$ 
  and metric_domain_le_def:  $x \leq x' \longleftrightarrow (\exists x''. x' = x + x'')$ 
  and metric_domain_lt_def:  $x < x' \longleftrightarrow (\exists x''. x'' \neq 0 \wedge x' = x + x'')$ 
begin

lemma metric_domain_pos:  $x \geq 0$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma less_eq_le_neq:  $x < x' \longleftrightarrow (x \leq x' \wedge x \neq x')$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

class metric_domain_timestamp = metric_domain + sup + embed_nat + tfin +
assumes metric_domain_sup_def:  $\text{sup } x x' = (\text{if } x \leq x' \text{ then } x' \text{ else } x)$ 
  and metric_domain_ι_mono:  $\bigwedge i j. i \leq j \implies \iota i \leq \iota j$ 
  and metric_domain_ι_progressing:  $\exists j. \neg \iota j \leq \iota i + x$ 
  and metric_domain_tfin_def:  $\text{tfin} = \text{UNIV}$ 

subclass (in metric_domain_timestamp) timestamp
  ⟨proof⟩

locale metric_point_structure =
  fixes d :: 't :: {order} ⇒ 't ⇒ 'd :: metric_domain_timestamp
  assumes d1:  $d t t' = 0 \longleftrightarrow t = t'$ 
    and d2:  $d t t' = d t'$ 
    and d3:  $t < t' \implies t' < t'' \implies d t t'' = d t t' + d t' t''$ 
    and d3':  $t < t' \implies t' < t'' \implies d t'' t = d t'' t' + d t' t$ 
begin

```

```

lemma metric_point_structure_memL_aux:  $t_0 \leq t \implies t \leq t' \implies x \leq d t t' \longleftrightarrow (d t_0 t + x \leq d t_0 t')$ 
  (proof)

lemma metric_point_structure_memL_strict_aux:  $t_0 \leq t \implies t \leq t' \implies x < d t t' \longleftrightarrow (d t_0 t + x < d t_0 t')$ 
  (proof)

lemma metric_point_structure_memR_aux:  $t_0 \leq t \implies t \leq t' \implies d t t' \leq x \longleftrightarrow (d t_0 t' \leq d t_0 t + x)$ 
  (proof)

lemma metric_point_structure_memR_strict_aux:  $t_0 \leq t \implies t \leq t' \implies d t t' < x \longleftrightarrow (d t_0 t' < d t_0 t + x)$ 
  (proof)

lemma metric_point_structure_le_mem:  $t_0 \leq t \implies t \leq t' \implies d t t' \leq x \longleftrightarrow \text{mem } (d t_0 t) (d t_0 t')$ 
  (interval 0 x True True)
  (proof)

lemma metric_point_structure_lt_mem:  $t_0 \leq t \implies t \leq t' \implies 0 < x \implies d t t' < x \longleftrightarrow \text{mem } (d t_0 t) (d t_0 t')$ 
  (interval 0 x True False)
  (proof)

lemma metric_point_structure_eq_mem:  $t_0 \leq t \implies t \leq t' \implies d t t' = x \longleftrightarrow \text{mem } (d t_0 t) (d t_0 t')$ 
  (interval x x True True)
  (proof)

lemma metric_point_structure_ge_mem:  $t_0 \leq t \implies t \leq t' \implies x \leq d t t' \longleftrightarrow \text{mem } (\text{Some } (d t_0 t))$ 
  (Some (d t0 t')) (interval (Some x) None True True)
  (proof)

lemma metric_point_structure_gt_mem:  $t_0 \leq t \implies t \leq t' \implies x < d t t' \longleftrightarrow \text{mem } (\text{Some } (d t_0 t))$ 
  (Some (d t0 t')) (interval (Some x) None False True)
  (proof)

end

instantiation nat :: metric_domain_timestamp
begin

instance
  (proof)

end

interpretation nat_metric_point_structure: metric_point_structure  $\lambda t :: \text{nat}. \lambda t'. \text{if } t \leq t' \text{ then } t' - t \text{ else } t - t'$ 
  (proof)

end
theory NFA
  imports HOL-Library.IArray
begin

type_synonym state = nat

```

```

datatype transition = eps_trans state nat | symb_trans state | split_trans state state

fun state_set :: transition => state set where
  state_set (eps_trans s) = {s}
  | state_set (symb_trans s) = {s}
  | state_set (split_trans s s') = {s, s'}
```

```

fun fmla_set :: transition => nat set where
  fmla_set (eps_trans n) = {n}
  | fmla_set _ = {}
```

```

lemma rtranclp_closed: rtranclp R q q' ==> X = X ∪ {q'. ∃ q ∈ X. R q q'} ==>
q ∈ X ==> q' ∈ X
⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma rtranclp_closed_sub: rtranclp R q q' ==> {q'. ∃ q ∈ X. R q q'} ⊆ X ==>
q ∈ X ==> q' ∈ X
⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma rtranclp_closed_sub': rtranclp R q q' ==> q' = q ∨ (∃ q''. R q q'' ∧ rtranclp R q'' q')
⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma rtranclp_step: rtranclp R q q'' ==> (∀ q'. R q q' ↔ q' ∈ X) ==>
q = q'' ∨ (∃ q' ∈ X. R q q' ∧ rtranclp R q' q'')
⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma rtranclp_unfold: rtranclp R x z ==> x = z ∨ (∃ y. R x y ∧ rtranclp R y z)
⟨proof⟩
```

```

context fixes
  q0 :: state and
  qf :: state and
  transs :: transition list
begin
```

```

qualified definition SQ :: state set where
  SQ = {q0.. $<q0 + \text{length transs}\}}$ 
```

```

lemma q_in_SQ[code_unfold]: q ∈ SQ ↔ q0 ≤ q ∧ q < q0 + length transs
⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma finite_SQ: finite SQ
⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma transs_q_in_set: q ∈ SQ ==> transs ! (q - q0) ∈ set transs
⟨proof⟩ definition Q :: state set where
  Q = SQ ∪ {qf}
```

```

lemma finite_Q: finite Q
⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma SQ_sub_Q: SQ ⊆ Q
⟨proof⟩ definition nfa_fmla_set :: nat set where
  nfa_fmla_set = ∪(fmla_set · set transs)
```

```

qualified definition step_eps :: bool list ⇒ state ⇒ state ⇒ bool where
  step_eps bs q q' ↔ q ∈ SQ ∧
    (case transs ! (q - q0) of eps_trans p n ⇒ n < length bs ∧ bs ! n ∧ p = q'
     | split_trans p p' ⇒ p = q' ∨ p' = q' | _ ⇒ False)

lemma step_eps_dest: step_eps bs q q' ⇒ q ∈ SQ
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma step_eps_mono: step_eps [] q q' ⇒ step_eps bs q q'
  ⟨proof⟩ definition step_eps_sucs :: bool list ⇒ state ⇒ state set where
  step_eps_sucs bs q = (if q ∈ SQ then
    (case transs ! (q - q0) of eps_trans p n ⇒ if n < length bs ∧ bs ! n then {p} else {}
     | split_trans p p' ⇒ {p, p'} | _ ⇒ {})) else {}

lemma step_eps_sucs_sound: q' ∈ step_eps_sucs bs q ↔ step_eps bs q q'
  ⟨proof⟩ definition step_eps_set :: bool list ⇒ state set ⇒ state set where
  step_eps_set bs R = ⋃(step_eps_sucs bs ` R)

lemma step_eps_set_sound: step_eps_set bs R = {q'. ∃ q ∈ R. step_eps bs q q'}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma step_eps_set_mono: R ⊆ S ⇒ step_eps_set bs R ⊆ step_eps_set bs S
  ⟨proof⟩ definition step_eps_closure :: bool list ⇒ state ⇒ state ⇒ bool where
  step_eps_closure bs = (step_eps bs)**

lemma step_eps_closure_dest: step_eps_closure bs q q' ⇒ q ≠ q' ⇒ q ∈ SQ
  ⟨proof⟩ definition step_eps_closure_set :: state set ⇒ bool list ⇒ state set where
  step_eps_closure_set R bs = ⋃((λq. {q'. step_eps_closure bs q q'}) ` R)

lemma step_eps_closure_set_refl: R ⊆ step_eps_closure_set R bs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma step_eps_closure_set_mono: R ⊆ S ⇒ step_eps_closure_set R bs ⊆ step_eps_closure_set S
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma step_eps_closure_set_empty: step_eps_closure_set {} bs = {}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma step_eps_closure_set_mono': step_eps_closure_set R [] ⊆ step_eps_closure_set R bs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma step_eps_closure_set_split: step_eps_closure_set (R ∪ S) bs =
  step_eps_closure_set R bs ∪ step_eps_closure_set S bs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma step_eps_closure_set_Un: step_eps_closure_set (⋃x ∈ X. R x) bs =
  (⋃x ∈ X. step_eps_closure_set (R x) bs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma step_eps_closure_set_idem: step_eps_closure_set (step_eps_closure_set R bs) bs =
  step_eps_closure_set R bs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma step_eps_closure_set_flip:
  assumes step_eps_closure_set R bs = R ∪ S
  shows step_eps_closure_set S bs ⊆ R ∪ S

```

(proof)

```

lemma step_eps_closure_set_unfold: ( $\bigwedge q'. \text{step\_eps\_set } q q' \leftrightarrow q' \in X$ )  $\implies$ 
  step_eps_closure_set {q} bs = {q}  $\cup$  step_eps_closure_set X bs
(proof)

lemma step_step_eps_closure: step_eps_set q q'  $\implies$  q  $\in R \implies q' \in \text{step\_eps\_closure\_set } R$  bs
(proof)

lemma step_eps_closure_set_code[code]:
  step_eps_closure_set R bs =
    (let R' = R  $\cup$  step_eps_set bs R in if R = R' then R else step_eps_closure_set R' bs)
(proof)

lemma step_eps_closure_empty: step_eps_closure bs q q'  $\implies$  ( $\bigwedge q'. \neg \text{step\_eps\_set } q q'$ )  $\implies q = q'$ 
(proof)

lemma step_eps_closure_set_step_id: ( $\bigwedge q q'. q \in R \implies \neg \text{step\_eps\_set } q q'$ )  $\implies$ 
  step_eps_closure_set R bs = R
(proof) definition step_symb :: state  $\Rightarrow$  state  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  step_symb q q'  $\leftrightarrow$  q  $\in SQ \wedge$ 
    (case transs ! (q - q0) of symb_trans p  $\Rightarrow$  p = q' | _  $\Rightarrow$  False)

lemma step_symb_dest: step_symb q q'  $\implies$  q  $\in SQ$ 
(proof) definition step_symb_sucs :: state  $\Rightarrow$  state set where
  step_symb_sucs q = (if q  $\in SQ$  then
    (case transs ! (q - q0) of symb_trans p  $\Rightarrow$  {p} | _  $\Rightarrow$  {}) else {})

lemma step_symb_sucs_sound: q'  $\in$  step_symb_sucs q  $\leftrightarrow$  step_symb q q'
(proof) definition step_symb_set :: state set  $\Rightarrow$  state set where
  step_symb_set R = {q'.  $\exists q \in R. \text{step\_symb } q q'$ }

lemma step_symb_set_mono: R  $\subseteq S \implies \text{step\_symb\_set } R \subseteq \text{step\_symb\_set } S$ 
(proof)

lemma step_symb_set_empty: step_symb_set {} = {}
(proof)

lemma step_symb_set_proj: step_symb_set R = step_symb_set (R  $\cap SQ$ )
(proof)

lemma step_symb_set_split: step_symb_set (R  $\cup S$ ) = step_symb_set R  $\cup$  step_symb_set S
(proof)

lemma step_symb_set_Un: step_symb_set ( $\bigcup x \in X. R x$ ) = ( $\bigcup x \in X. \text{step\_symb\_set } (R x)$ )
(proof)

lemma step_symb_set_code[code]: step_symb_set R =  $\bigcup (\text{step\_symb\_sucs} \cdot R)$ 
(proof) definition delta :: state set  $\Rightarrow$  bool list  $\Rightarrow$  state set where
  delta R bs = step_symb_set (step_eps_closure_set R bs)

lemma delta_eps: delta (step_eps_closure_set R bs) bs = delta R bs
(proof)

lemma delta_eps_split:
  assumes step_eps_closure_set R bs = R  $\cup S$ 

```

```

shows delta R bs = step_symb_set R ∪ delta S bs
⟨proof⟩

lemma delta_split: delta (R ∪ S) bs = delta R bs ∪ delta S bs
⟨proof⟩

lemma delta_Un: delta (⋃ x ∈ X. R x) bs = (⋃ x ∈ X. delta (R x) bs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma delta_step_symb_set_absorb: delta R bs = delta R bs ∪ step_symb_set R
⟨proof⟩

lemma delta_sub_eps_mono:
assumes S ⊆ step_eps_closure_set R bs
shows delta S bs ⊆ delta R bs
⟨proof⟩ definition run :: state set ⇒ bool list list ⇒ state set where
run R bss = foldl delta R bss

lemma run_eps_split:
assumes step_eps_closure_set R bs = R ∪ S step_symb_set R = {}
shows run R (bs # bss) = run S (bs # bss)
⟨proof⟩

lemma run_empty: run {} bss = {}
⟨proof⟩

lemma run_Nil: run R [] = R
⟨proof⟩

lemma run_Cons: run R (bs # bss) = run (delta R bs) bss
⟨proof⟩

lemma run_split: run (R ∪ S) bss = run R bss ∪ run S bss
⟨proof⟩

lemma run_Un: run (⋃ x ∈ X. R x) bss = (⋃ x ∈ X. run (R x) bss)
⟨proof⟩

lemma run_comp: run R (bss @ css) = run (run R bss) css
⟨proof⟩ definition accept_eps :: state set ⇒ bool list ⇒ bool where
accept_eps R bs ↔ (qf ∈ step_eps_closure_set R bs)

lemma step_eps_accept_eps: step_eps bs q qf ⇒ q ∈ R ⇒ accept_eps R bs
⟨proof⟩

lemma accept_eps_empty: accept_eps {} bs ↔ False
⟨proof⟩

lemma accept_eps_split: accept_eps (R ∪ S) bs ↔ accept_eps R bs ∨ accept_eps S bs
⟨proof⟩

lemma accept_eps_Un: accept_eps (⋃ x ∈ X. R x) bs ↔ (∃ x ∈ X. accept_eps (R x) bs)
⟨proof⟩ definition accept :: state set ⇒ bool where
accept R ↔ accept_eps R []

```

qualified definition run_accept_eps :: state set ⇒ bool list list ⇒ bool list ⇒ bool **where**

```

run_accept_eps R bss bs = accept_eps (run R bss) bs

lemma run_accept_eps_empty: ¬run_accept_eps {} bss bs
⟨proof⟩

lemma run_accept_eps_Nil: run_accept_eps R [] cs ↔ accept_eps R cs
⟨proof⟩

lemma run_accept_eps_Cons: run_accept_eps R (bs # bss) cs ↔ run_accept_eps (delta R bs) bss
cs
⟨proof⟩

lemma run_accept_eps_Cons_delta_cong: delta R bs = delta S bs ⇒
run_accept_eps R (bs # bss) cs ↔ run_accept_eps S (bs # bss) cs
⟨proof⟩

lemma run_accept_eps_Nil_eps: run_accept_eps (step_eps_closure_set R bs) [] bs ↔ run_accept_eps
R [] bs
⟨proof⟩

lemma run_accept_eps_Cons_eps: run_accept_eps (step_eps_closure_set R cs) (cs # css) bs ↔
run_accept_eps R (cs # css) bs
⟨proof⟩

lemma run_accept_eps_Nil_eps_split:
assumes step_eps_closure_set R bs = R ∪ S step_symb_set R = {} qf ∉ R
shows run_accept_eps R [] bs = run_accept_eps S [] bs
⟨proof⟩

lemma run_accept_eps_Cons_eps_split:
assumes step_eps_closure_set R cs = R ∪ S step_symb_set R = {} qf ∉ R
shows run_accept_eps R (cs # css) bs = run_accept_eps S (cs # css) bs
⟨proof⟩

lemma run_accept_eps_split: run_accept_eps (R ∪ S) bss bs ↔
run_accept_eps R bss bs ∨ run_accept_eps S bss bs
⟨proof⟩

lemma run_accept_eps_Un: run_accept_eps (⋃ x ∈ X. R x) bss bs ↔
(∃ x ∈ X. run_accept_eps (R x) bss bs)
⟨proof⟩ definition run_accept :: state set ⇒ bool list list ⇒ bool where
run_accept R bss = accept (run R bss)

end

definition iarray_of_list xs = IArray xs

context fixes
transs :: transition iarray
and len :: nat
begin

qualified definition step_eps' :: bool iarray ⇒ state ⇒ state ⇒ bool where
step_eps' bs q q' ↔ q < len ∧
(case transs !! q of eps_trans p n ⇒ n < IArray.length bs ∧ bs !! n ∧ p = q' |
split_trans p p' ⇒ p = q' ∨ p' = q' | _ ⇒ False)

qualified definition step_eps_closure' :: bool iarray ⇒ state ⇒ state ⇒ bool where

```

```

step_eps_closure' bs = (step_eps' bs)**

qualified definition step_eps_sucs' :: bool iarray ⇒ state ⇒ state set where
  step_eps_sucs' bs q = (if q < len then
    (case transs !! q of eps_trans p n ⇒ if n < IArray.length bs ∧ bs !! n then {p} else {}
     | split_trans p p' ⇒ {p, p'} | _ ⇒ {})) else {}

lemma step_eps_sucs'_sound: q' ∈ step_eps_sucs' bs q ↔ step_eps' bs q q'
  ⟨proof⟩ definition step_eps_set' :: bool iarray ⇒ state set ⇒ state set where
  step_eps_set' bs R = ∪(step_eps_sucs' bs ` R)

lemma step_eps_set'_sound: step_eps_set' bs R = {q'. ∃ q ∈ R. step_eps' bs q q'}
  ⟨proof⟩ definition step_eps_closure_set' :: state set ⇒ bool iarray ⇒ state set where
  step_eps_closure_set' R bs = ∪((λq. {q'. step_eps_closure' bs q q'}) ` R)

lemma step_eps_closure_set'_code[code]:
  step_eps_closure_set' R bs =
  (let R' = R ∪ step_eps_set' bs R in if R = R' then R else step_eps_closure_set' R' bs)
  ⟨proof⟩ definition step_symb_sucs' :: state ⇒ state set where
  step_symb_sucs' q = (if q < len then
    (case transs !! q of symb_trans p ⇒ {p} | _ ⇒ {})) else {}

qualified definition step_symb_set' :: state set ⇒ state set where
  step_symb_set' R = ∪(step_symb_sucs' ` R)

qualified definition delta' :: state set ⇒ bool iarray ⇒ state set where
  delta' R bs = step_symb_set'(step_eps_closure_set' R bs)

qualified definition accept_eps' :: state set ⇒ bool iarray ⇒ bool where
  accept_eps' R bs ↔ (len ∈ step_eps_closure_set' R bs)

qualified definition accept' :: state set ⇒ bool where
  accept' R ↔ accept_eps' R (iarray_of_list [])

qualified definition run' :: state set ⇒ bool iarray list ⇒ state set where
  run' R bss = foldl delta' R bss

qualified definition run_accept_eps' :: state set ⇒ bool iarray list ⇒ bool iarray ⇒ bool where
  run_accept_eps' R bss bs = accept_eps'(run' R bss) bs

qualified definition run_accept' :: state set ⇒ bool iarray list ⇒ bool where
  run_accept' R bss = accept'(run' R bss)

end

locale nfa_array =
  fixes transs :: transition list
  and transs' :: transition iarray
  and len :: nat
  assumes transs_eq: transs' = IArray transs
  and len_def: len = length transs
begin

abbreviation step_eps ≡ NFA.step_eps 0 transs
abbreviation step_eps' ≡ NFA.step_eps' transs' len
abbreviation step_eps_closure ≡ NFA.step_eps_closure 0 transs
abbreviation step_eps_closure' ≡ NFA.step_eps_closure' transs' len
abbreviation step_eps_sucs ≡ NFA.step_eps_sucs 0 transs

```

```

abbreviation step_eps_sucs' ≡ NFA.step_eps_sucs' transs' len
abbreviation step_eps_set ≡ NFA.step_eps_set 0 transs
abbreviation step_eps_set' ≡ NFA.step_eps_set' transs' len
abbreviation step_eps_closure_set ≡ NFA.step_eps_closure_set 0 transs
abbreviation step_eps_closure_set' ≡ NFA.step_eps_closure_set' transs' len
abbreviation step_symb_sucs ≡ NFA.step_symb_sucs 0 transs
abbreviation step_symb_sucs' ≡ NFA.step_symb_sucs' transs' len
abbreviation step_symb_set ≡ NFA.step_symb_set 0 transs
abbreviation step_symb_set' ≡ NFA.step_symb_set' transs' len
abbreviation delta ≡ NFA.delta 0 transs
abbreviation delta' ≡ NFA.delta' transs' len
abbreviation accept_eps ≡ NFA.accept_eps 0 len transs
abbreviation accept_eps' ≡ NFA.accept_eps' transs' len
abbreviation accept ≡ NFA.accept 0 len transs
abbreviation accept' ≡ NFA.accept' transs' len
abbreviation run ≡ NFA.run 0 transs
abbreviation run' ≡ NFA.run' transs' len
abbreviation run_accept_eps ≡ NFA.run_accept_eps 0 len transs
abbreviation run_accept_eps' ≡ NFA.run_accept_eps' transs' len
abbreviation run_accept ≡ NFA.run_accept 0 len transs
abbreviation run_accept' ≡ NFA.run_accept' transs' len

lemma q_in_SQ: q ∈ NFA.SQ 0 transs ↔ q < len
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma step_eps'_eq: bs' = IArray bs ⇒ step_eps bs q q' ↔ step_eps' bs' q q'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma step_eps_closure'_eq: bs' = IArray bs ⇒ step_eps_closure bs q q' ↔ step_eps_closure' bs'
  q q'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma step_eps_sucs'_eq: bs' = IArray bs ⇒ step_eps_sucs bs q = step_eps_sucs' bs' q
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma step_eps_set'_eq: bs' = IArray bs ⇒ step_eps_set bs R = step_eps_set' bs' R
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma step_eps_closure_set'_eq: bs' = IArray bs ⇒ step_eps_closure_set R bs = step_eps_closure_set' R bs'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma step_symb_sucs'_eq: bs' = IArray bs ⇒ step_symb_sucs R = step_symb_sucs' R
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma step_symb_set'_eq: bs' = IArray bs ⇒ step_symb_set R = step_symb_set' R
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma delta'_eq: bs' = IArray bs ⇒ delta R bs = delta' R bs'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma accept_eps'_eq: bs' = IArray bs ⇒ accept_eps R bs = accept_eps' R bs'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma accept'_eq: accept R = accept' R
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma run'_eq: bss' = map IArray bss ⇒ run R bss = run' R bss'

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma run_accept'_eq: bss' = map IArray bss ==> bs' = IArray bs ==>
run_accept_<math>R</math> bss bs <math>\longleftrightarrow</math> run_accept'_<math>R</math> bss' bs'
⟨proof⟩

lemma run_accept'_eq: bss' = map IArray bss ==>
run_accept_<math>R</math> bss <math>\longleftrightarrow</math> run_accept'_<math>R</math> bss'
⟨proof⟩

end

locale nfa =
fixes q0 :: nat
and qf :: nat
and transs :: transition list
assumes state_closed:  $\bigwedge t. t \in \text{set transs} \implies \text{state\_set } t \subseteq \text{NFA.Q}$ 
q0 qf transs
and transs_not_Nil: transs ≠ []
and qf_not_in_SQ: qf ∉ NFA.SQ q0 transs
begin

abbreviation SQ ≡ NFA.SQ q0 transs
abbreviation Q ≡ NFA.Q q0 qf transs
abbreviation nfa_fmla_set ≡ NFA.nfa_fmla_set transs
abbreviation step_eps ≡ NFA.step_eps q0 transs
abbreviation step_eps_sucs ≡ NFA.step_eps_sucs q0 transs
abbreviation step_eps_set ≡ NFA.step_eps_set q0 transs
abbreviation step_eps_closure ≡ NFA.step_eps_closure q0 transs
abbreviation step_eps_closure_set ≡ NFA.step_eps_closure_set q0 transs
abbreviation step_symb ≡ NFA.step_symb q0 transs
abbreviation step_symb_sucs ≡ NFA.step_symb_sucs q0 transs
abbreviation step_symb_set ≡ NFA.step_symb_set q0 transs
abbreviation delta ≡ NFA.delta q0 transs
abbreviation run ≡ NFA.run q0 transs
abbreviation accept_eps ≡ NFA.accept_eps q0 qf transs
abbreviation run_accept_eps ≡ NFA.run_accept_eps q0 qf transs

lemma Q_diff_qf_SQ: Q - {qf} = SQ
⟨proof⟩

lemma q0_sub_SQ: {q0} ⊆ SQ
⟨proof⟩

lemma q0_sub_Q: {q0} ⊆ Q
⟨proof⟩

lemma step_eps_closed: step_eps bs q q' ==> q' ∈ Q
⟨proof⟩

lemma step_eps_set_closed: step_eps_set bs R ⊆ Q
⟨proof⟩

lemma step_eps_closure_closed: step_eps_closure bs q q' ==> q ≠ q' ==> q' ∈ Q
⟨proof⟩

lemma step_eps_closure_set_closed_union: step_eps_closure_set R bs ⊆ R ∪ Q
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma step_eps_closure_set_closed:  $R \subseteq Q \implies \text{step\_eps\_closure\_set } R \text{ } bs \subseteq Q$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma step_symb_closed:  $\text{step\_symb } q \text{ } q' \implies q' \in Q$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma step_symb_set_closed:  $\text{step\_symb\_set } R \subseteq Q$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma step_symb_set_qf:  $\text{step\_symb\_set } \{qf\} = \{\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma delta_closed:  $\text{delta } R \text{ } bs \subseteq Q$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma run_closed_Cons:  $\text{run } R \text{ } (bs \# bss) \subseteq Q$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma run_closed:  $R \subseteq Q \implies \text{run } R \text{ } bss \subseteq Q$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma step_eps_qf:  $\text{step\_eps } bs \text{ } qf \text{ } q \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma step_symb_qf:  $\text{step\_symb } qf \text{ } q \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma step_eps_closure_qf:  $\text{step\_eps\_closure } bs \text{ } q \text{ } q' \implies q = qf \implies q = q'$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma step_eps_closure_set_qf:  $\text{step\_eps\_closure\_set } \{qf\} \text{ } bs = \{qf\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma delta_qf:  $\text{delta } \{qf\} \text{ } bs = \{\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma run_qf_many:  $\text{run } \{qf\} \text{ } (bs \# bss) = \{\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma run_accept_eps_qf_many:  $\text{run\_accept\_eps } \{qf\} \text{ } (bs \# bss) \text{ } cs \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma run_accept_eps_qf_one:  $\text{run\_accept\_eps } \{qf\} \text{ } [] \text{ } bs \longleftrightarrow \text{True}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale nfa_cong = nfa q0 qf transs + nfa': nfa q0' qf' transs'
  for q0 q0' qf qf' transs transs' +
  assumes SQ_sub: nfa'.SQ ⊆ SQ and
  qf_eq: qf = qf' and
  transs_eq:  $\bigwedge q. q \in nfa'.SQ \implies \text{transs } ! (q - q0) = \text{transs}' ! (q - q0')$ 
begin

lemma q_Q_SQ_nfa'_SQ:  $q \in nfa'.Q \implies q \in SQ \longleftrightarrow q \in nfa'.SQ$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma step_eps_cong:  $q \in nfa'.Q \implies step\_eps\ bs\ q\ q' \longleftrightarrow nfa'.step\_eps\ bs\ q\ q'$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma eps_nfa'_step_eps_closure:  $step\_eps\_closure\ bs\ q\ q' \implies q \in nfa'.Q \implies$ 
 $q' \in nfa'.Q \wedge nfa'.step\_eps\_closure\ bs\ q\ q'$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma nfa'_eps_step_eps_closure:  $nfa'.step\_eps\_closure\ bs\ q\ q' \implies q \in nfa'.Q \implies$ 
 $q' \in nfa'.Q \wedge step\_eps\_closure\ bs\ q\ q'$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma step_eps_closure_set_cong:  $R \subseteq nfa'.Q \implies step\_eps\_closure\_set\ R\ bs =$ 
 $nfa'.step\_eps\_closure\_set\ R\ bs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma step_symb_cong:  $q \in nfa'.Q \implies step\_symb\ q\ q' \longleftrightarrow nfa'.step\_symb\ q\ q'$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma step_symb_set_cong:  $R \subseteq nfa'.Q \implies step\_symb\_set\ R = nfa'.step\_symb\_set\ R$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma delta_cong:  $R \subseteq nfa'.Q \implies \delta\ R\ bs = nfa'.\delta\ R\ bs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma run_cong:  $R \subseteq nfa'.Q \implies run\ R\ bss = nfa'.run\ R\ bss$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma accept_eps_cong:  $R \subseteq nfa'.Q \implies accept\_eps\ R\ bs \longleftrightarrow nfa'.accept\_eps\ R\ bs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma run_accept_eps_cong:
assumes  $R \subseteq nfa'.Q$ 
shows  $run\_accept\_eps\ R\ bss\ bs \longleftrightarrow nfa'.run\_accept\_eps\ R\ bss\ bs$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

fun list_split :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  ('a list  $\times$  'a list) set where
list_split [] = {}
| list_split (x # xs) = {([], x # xs)}  $\cup$  ( $\bigcup$  (ys, zs)  $\in$  list_split xs. {(x # ys, zs)})

lemma list_split_unfold:  $(\bigcup (ys, zs) \in list\_split (x \# xs). f\ ys\ zs) =$ 
 $f\ []\ (x \# xs) \cup (\bigcup (ys, zs) \in list\_split xs. f\ (x \# ys)\ zs)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma list_split_def:  $list\_split\ xs = (\bigcup n < length\ xs. \{(take\ n\ xs, drop\ n\ xs)\})$ 
⟨proof⟩

locale nfa_cong' = nfa q0 qf transs + nfa': nfa q0' qf' transs'
for q0 q0' qf qf' transs transs' +
assumes SQ_sub:  $nfa'.SQ \subseteq SQ$  and
qf'_in_SQ:  $qf' \in SQ$  and
transs_eq:  $\bigwedge q. q \in nfa'.SQ \implies transs ! (q - q0) = transs' ! (q - q0')$ 
begin

lemma nfa'_Q_sub_Q:  $nfa'.Q \subseteq Q$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma q_SQ_SQ_nfa'_SQ:  $q \in nfa'.SQ \implies q \in SQ \longleftrightarrow q \in nfa'.SQ$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma step_eps_cong_SQ:  $q \in nfa'.SQ \implies step\_eps\ bs\ q\ q' \longleftrightarrow nfa'.step\_eps\ bs\ q\ q'$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma step_eps_cong_Q:  $q \in nfa'.Q \implies nfa'.step\_eps\ bs\ q\ q' \implies step\_eps\ bs\ q\ q'$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma nfa'_step_eps_closure_cong:  $nfa'.step\_eps\_closure\ bs\ q\ q' \implies q \in nfa'.Q \implies$ 
   $step\_eps\_closure\ bs\ q\ q'$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma nfa'_step_eps_closure_set_sub:  $R \subseteq nfa'.Q \implies nfa'.step\_eps\_closure\_set\ R\ bs \subseteq$ 
   $step\_eps\_closure\_set\ R\ bs$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma eps_nfa'_step_eps_closure_cong:  $step\_eps\_closure\ bs\ q\ q' \implies q \in nfa'.Q \implies$ 
   $(q' \in nfa'.Q \wedge nfa'.step\_eps\_closure\ bs\ q\ q') \vee$ 
   $(nfa'.step\_eps\_closure\ bs\ q\ qf' \wedge step\_eps\_closure\ bs\ qf'\ q')$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma nfa'_eps_step_eps_closure_cong:  $nfa'.step\_eps\_closure\ bs\ q\ q' \implies q \in nfa'.Q \implies$ 
   $q' \in nfa'.Q \wedge step\_eps\_closure\ bs\ q\ q'$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma step_eps_closure_set_cong_reach:  $R \subseteq nfa'.Q \implies qf' \in nfa'.step\_eps\_closure\_set\ R\ bs \implies$ 
   $step\_eps\_closure\_set\ R\ bs = nfa'.step\_eps\_closure\_set\ R\ bs \cup step\_eps\_closure\_set\ \{qf'\}\ bs$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma step_eps_closure_set_cong_unreach:  $R \subseteq nfa'.Q \implies qf' \notin nfa'.step\_eps\_closure\_set\ R\ bs \implies$ 
   $step\_eps\_closure\_set\ R\ bs = nfa'.step\_eps\_closure\_set\ R\ bs$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma step_symb_cong_SQ:  $q \in nfa'.SQ \implies step\_symb\ q\ q' \longleftrightarrow nfa'.step\_symb\ q\ q'$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma step_symb_cong_Q:  $nfa'.step\_symb\ q\ q' \implies step\_symb\ q\ q'$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma step_symb_set_cong_SQ:  $R \subseteq nfa'.SQ \implies step\_symb\_set\ R = nfa'.step\_symb\_set\ R$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma step_symb_set_cong_Q:  $nfa'.step\_symb\_set\ R \subseteq step\_symb\_set\ R$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma delta_cong_unreach:
  assumes  $R \subseteq nfa'.Q \neg nfa'.accept\_eps\ R\ bs$ 
  shows  $\delta R\ bs = nfa'.\delta R\ bs$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma nfa'_delta_sub_delta:
  assumes  $R \subseteq nfa'.Q$ 
  shows  $nfa'.\delta R\ bs \subseteq \delta R\ bs$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma delta_cong_reach:

```

```

assumes  $R \subseteq nfa'.Q$   $nfa'.accept\_eps R bs$ 
shows  $\delta R bs = nfa'.\delta R bs \cup \delta \{qf'\} bs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma run_cong:
assumes  $R \subseteq nfa'.Q$ 
shows  $\text{run } R bss = nfa'.\text{run } R bss \cup (\bigcup (css, css') \in \text{list\_split } bss.$ 
 $\quad \text{if } nfa'.\text{run\_accept\_eps } R css (hd css') \text{ then } \text{run } \{qf'\} css' \text{ else } \{\})$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma run_cong_Cons_sub:
assumes  $R \subseteq nfa'.Q$   $\delta \{qf'\} bs \subseteq nfa'.\delta R bs$ 
shows  $\text{run } R (bs \# bss) = nfa'.\text{run } R (bs \# bss) \cup$ 
 $\quad (\bigcup (css, css') \in \text{list\_split } bss.$ 
 $\quad \text{if } nfa'.\text{run\_accept\_eps } (nfa'.\delta R bs) css (hd css') \text{ then } \text{run } \{qf'\} css' \text{ else } \{\})$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma accept_eps_nfa'_run:
assumes  $R \subseteq nfa'.Q$ 
shows  $\text{accept\_eps } (nfa'.\text{run } R bss) bs \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $\quad nfa'.\text{accept\_eps } (nfa'.\text{run } R bss) bs \wedge \text{accept\_eps } (\text{run } \{qf'\} [] ) bs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma run_accept_eps_cong:
assumes  $R \subseteq nfa'.Q$ 
shows  $\text{run\_accept\_eps } R bss bs \longleftrightarrow (nfa'.\text{run\_accept\_eps } R bss bs \wedge \text{run\_accept\_eps } \{qf'\} [] bs) \vee$ 
 $\quad (\exists (css, css') \in \text{list\_split } bss. nfa'.\text{run\_accept\_eps } R css (hd css') \wedge$ 
 $\quad \text{run\_accept\_eps } \{qf'\} css' bs)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma run_accept_eps_cong_Cons_sub:
assumes  $R \subseteq nfa'.Q$   $\delta \{qf'\} bs \subseteq nfa'.\delta R bs$ 
shows  $\text{run\_accept\_eps } R (bs \# bss) cs \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $\quad (nfa'.\text{run\_accept\_eps } R (bs \# bss) cs \wedge \text{run\_accept\_eps } \{qf'\} [] cs) \vee$ 
 $\quad (\exists (css, css') \in \text{list\_split } bss. nfa'.\text{run\_accept\_eps } (nfa'.\delta R bs) css (hd css') \wedge$ 
 $\quad \text{run\_accept\_eps } \{qf'\} css' cs)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemmas run_accept_eps_cong_Cons_sub_simp =
run_accept_eps_cong_Cons_sub[unfolded list_split_def, simplified,
unfolded run_accept_eps_Cons[symmetric] take_Suc_Cons[symmetric]]

end

locale nfa_cong_Plus = nfa_cong q0 q0' qf qf' transs transs' +
right: nfa_cong q0 q0'' qf qf'' transs transs''
for q0 q0' q0'' qf qf' qf'' transs transs' transs'' +
assumes step_eps_q0: step_eps bs q0 q ↔ q ∈ {q0', q0''} and
step_symb_q0: ¬step_symb q0 q
begin

lemma step_symb_set_q0: step_symb_set {q0} = {}
⟨proof⟩

lemma qf_not_q0: qf ∉ {q0}
⟨proof⟩

lemma step_eps_closure_set_q0: step_eps_closure_set {q0} bs = {q0} ∪

```

```

(nfa'.step_eps_closure_set {q0'} bs ∪ right.nfa'.step_eps_closure_set {q0''} bs)
⟨proof⟩

lemmas run_accept_eps_Nil_cong =
run_accept_eps_Nil_eps_split[OF step_eps_closure_set_q0 step_symb_set_q0 qf_not_q0,
unfolded run_accept_eps_split
run_accept_eps_cong[OF nfa'.step_eps_closure_set_closed[OF nfa'.q0_sub_Q]]
right.run_accept_eps_cong[OF right.nfa'.step_eps_closure_set_closed[OF right.nfa'.q0_sub_Q]]
run_accept_eps_Nil_eps]

lemmas run_accept_eps_Cons_cong =
run_accept_eps_Cons_eps_split[OF step_eps_closure_set_q0 step_symb_set_q0 qf_not_q0,
unfolded run_accept_eps_split
run_accept_eps_cong[OF nfa'.step_eps_closure_set_closed[OF nfa'.q0_sub_Q]]
right.run_accept_eps_cong[OF right.nfa'.step_eps_closure_set_closed[OF right.nfa'.q0_sub_Q]]
run_accept_eps_Cons_eps]

lemma run_accept_eps_cong: run_accept_eps {q0} bss bs ↔
(nfa'.run_accept_eps {q0'} bss bs ∨ right.nfa'.run_accept_eps {q0''} bss bs)
⟨proof⟩

end

locale nfa_cong_Times = nfa_cong' q0 q0 qf q0' transs transs' +
right: nfa_cong q0 q0' qf qf transs transs''
for q0 q0' qf transs transs' transs"
begin

lemmas run_accept_eps_cong =
run_accept_eps_cong[OF nfa'.q0_sub_Q, unfolded
right.run_accept_eps_cong[OF right.nfa'.q0_sub_Q], unfolded list_split_def, simplified]

end

locale nfa_cong_Star = nfa_cong' q0 q0' qf q0 transs transs'
for q0 q0' qf transs transs' +
assumes step_eps_q0: step_eps bs q0 q ↔ q ∈ {q0', qf} and
step_symb_q0: ¬step_symb q0 q
begin

lemma step_symb_set_q0: step_symb_set {q0} = {}
⟨proof⟩

lemma run_accept_eps_Nil: run_accept_eps {q0} [] bs
⟨proof⟩

lemma rtranclp_step_eps_q0_q0': (step_eps bs)** q q' ⇒ q = q0 ⇒
q' ∈ {q0, qf} ∨ (q' ∈ nfa'.SQ ∧ (nfa'.step_eps bs)** q0' q')
⟨proof⟩

lemma step_eps_closure_set_q0: step_eps_closure_set {q0} bs ⊆ {q0, qf} ∪
(nfa'.step_eps_closure_set {q0'} bs ∩ nfa'.SQ)
⟨proof⟩

lemma delta_sub_nfa'_delta: delta {q0} bs ⊆ nfa'.delta {q0'} bs
⟨proof⟩

lemma step_eps_closure_set_q0_split: step_eps_closure_set {q0} bs = {q0, qf} ∪

```

```

step_eps_closure_set {q0'} bs
⟨proof⟩

lemma delta_q0_q0': delta {q0} bs = delta {q0'} bs
⟨proof⟩

lemmas run_accept_eps_cong_Cons =
run_accept_eps_cong_Cons_sub_simp[OF nfa'.q0_sub_Q delta_sub_nfa'_delta,
unfolded run_accept_eps_Cons_delta_cong[OF delta_q0_q0', symmetric]]
end

end
theory Window
imports HOL-Library.AList HOL-Library.Mapping HOL-Library.While_Combinator Timestamp
begin

type_synonym ('a, 'b) mmap = ('a × 'b) list

inductive chain_le :: 'd :: timestamp list ⇒ bool where
| chain_le_Nil: chain_le []
| chain_le_singleton: chain_le [x]
| chain_le_cons: chain_le (y # xs) ⇒ x ≤ y ⇒ chain_le (x # y # xs)

lemma chain_le_app: chain_le (zs @ [z]) ⇒ z ≤ w ⇒ chain_le ((zs @ [z]) @ [w])
⟨proof⟩

inductive reaches_on :: ('e ⇒ ('e × 'f) option) ⇒ 'e ⇒ 'f list ⇒ 'e ⇒ bool
for run :: 'e ⇒ ('e × 'f) option where
| reaches_on_run_s [] s
| run s = Some (s', v) ⇒ reaches_on run s' vs s'' ⇒ reaches_on run s (v # vs) s''

lemma reaches_on_init_Some: reaches_on r s xs s' ⇒ r s' ≠ None ⇒ r s ≠ None
⟨proof⟩

lemma reaches_on_split: reaches_on run s vs s' ⇒ i < length vs ⇒
∃ s'' s'''. reaches_on run s (take i vs) s'' ∧ run s''' = Some (s''', vs ! i) ∧ reaches_on run s''' (drop (Suc i) vs) s'''
⟨proof⟩

lemma reaches_on_split': reaches_on run s vs s' ⇒ i ≤ length vs ⇒
∃ s''. reaches_on run s (take i vs) s'' ∧ reaches_on run s'' (drop i vs) s'
⟨proof⟩

lemma reaches_on_split_app: reaches_on run s (vs @ vs') s' ⇒
∃ s''. reaches_on run s vs s'' ∧ reaches_on run s'' vs' s'
⟨proof⟩

lemma reaches_on_inj: reaches_on run s vs t ⇒ reaches_on run s vs' t' ⇒
length vs = length vs' ⇒ vs = vs' ∧ t = t'
⟨proof⟩

lemma reaches_on_split_last: reaches_on run s (xs @ [x]) s'' ⇒
∃ s'. reaches_on run s xs s' ∧ run s' = Some (s'', x)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma reaches_on_rev_induct[consumes 1]: reaches_on run s vs s'  $\Rightarrow$ 
 $(\bigwedge s. P s \sqsubseteq s) \Rightarrow$ 
 $(\bigwedge s' v vs s''. reaches\_on run s vs s' \Rightarrow P s vs s' \Rightarrow run s' = Some (s'', v) \Rightarrow$ 
 $P s (vs @ [v]) s'' \Rightarrow$ 
 $P s vs s'$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma reaches_on_app: reaches_on run s vs s'  $\Rightarrow$  run s' = Some (s'', v)  $\Rightarrow$ 
reaches_on run s (vs @ [v]) s'' 
⟨proof⟩

lemma reaches_on_trans: reaches_on run s vs s'  $\Rightarrow$  reaches_on run s' vs s''  $\Rightarrow$ 
reaches_on run s (vs @ vs') s'' 
⟨proof⟩

lemma reaches_onD: reaches_on run s ((t, b) # vs) s'  $\Rightarrow$ 
 $\exists s''. run s = Some (s'', (t, b)) \wedge reaches\_on run s'' vs s'$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma reaches_on_setD: reaches_on run s vs s'  $\Rightarrow$  x ∈ set vs  $\Rightarrow$ 
 $\exists vs' vs'' s''. reaches\_on run s (vs' @ [x]) s'' \wedge reaches\_on run s'' vs'' s' \wedge vs = vs' @ x \# vs''$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma reaches_on_len:  $\exists vs s'. reaches\_on run s vs s' \wedge (length vs = n \vee run s' = None)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma reaches_on_NilD: reaches_on run q [] q'  $\Rightarrow$  q = q'
⟨proof⟩

lemma reaches_on_ConsD: reaches_on run q (x # xs) q'  $\Rightarrow$   $\exists q''. run q = Some (q'', x) \wedge reaches\_on$ 
run q'' xs q' 
⟨proof⟩

inductive reaches :: ('e  $\Rightarrow$  ('e  $\times$  'f) option)  $\Rightarrow$  'e  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'e  $\Rightarrow$  bool
for run :: 'e  $\Rightarrow$  ('e  $\times$  'f) option where
  reaches run s 0 s
  | run s = Some (s', v)  $\Rightarrow$  reaches run s' n s''  $\Rightarrow$  reaches run s (Suc n) s'' 

lemma reaches_Suc_split_last: reaches run s (Suc n) s'  $\Rightarrow$   $\exists s'' x. reaches run s n s'' \wedge run s'' = Some$ 
(s', x)
⟨proof⟩

lemma reaches_invar: reaches f x n y  $\Rightarrow$  P x  $\Rightarrow$   $(\bigwedge z z' v. P z \Rightarrow f z = Some (z', v) \Rightarrow P z')$   $\Rightarrow$ 
P y
⟨proof⟩

lemma reaches_cong: reaches f x n y  $\Rightarrow$  P x  $\Rightarrow$   $(\bigwedge z z' v. P z \Rightarrow f z = Some (z', v) \Rightarrow P z')$   $\Rightarrow$ 
 $(\bigwedge z. P z \Rightarrow f' (g z) = map\_option (apfst g) (f z)) \Rightarrow reaches f' (g x) n (g y)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma reaches_on_n: reaches_on run s vs s'  $\Rightarrow$  reaches run s (length vs) s'
⟨proof⟩

lemma reaches_on: reaches run s n s'  $\Rightarrow$   $\exists vs. reaches\_on run s vs s' \wedge length vs = n$ 
⟨proof⟩

definition ts_at :: ('d  $\times$  'b) list  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'd where
  ts_at rho i = fst (rho ! i)

```

```

definition bs_at :: ('d × 'b) list ⇒ nat ⇒ 'b where
  bs_at rho i = snd (rho ! i)

fun sub_bs :: ('d × 'b) list ⇒ nat × nat ⇒ 'b list where
  sub_bs rho (i, j) = map (bs_at rho) [i..<j]

definition steps :: ('c ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'c) ⇒ ('d × 'b) list ⇒ 'c ⇒ nat × nat ⇒ 'c where
  steps step rho q ij = foldl step q (sub_bs rho ij)

definition acc :: ('c ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'c) ⇒ ('c ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('d × 'b) list ⇒
  'c ⇒ nat × nat ⇒ bool where
  acc step accept rho q ij = accept (steps step rho q ij)

definition sup_acc :: ('c ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'c) ⇒ ('c ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('d × 'b) list ⇒
  'c ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ ('d × nat) option where
  sup_acc step accept rho q i j =
    (let L' = {l ∈ {i..<j}. acc step accept rho q (i, Suc l)}; m = Max L' in
     if L' = {} then None else Some (ts_at rho m, m))

definition sup_leadsto :: 'c ⇒ ('c ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'c) ⇒ ('d × 'b) list ⇒
  nat ⇒ nat ⇒ 'c ⇒ 'd option where
  sup_leadsto init step rho i j q =
    (let L' = {l. l < i ∧ steps step rho init (l, j) = q}; m = Max L' in
     if L' = {} then None else Some (ts_at rho m))

definition mmap_keys :: ('a, 'b) mmap ⇒ 'a set where
  mmap_keys kvs = set (map fst kvs)

definition mmap_lookup :: ('a, 'b) mmap ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'b option where
  mmap_lookup = map_of

definition valid_s :: 'c ⇒ ('c ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'c) ⇒ ('c × 'b, 'c) mapping ⇒ ('c ⇒ bool) ⇒
  ('d × 'b) list ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ ('c, 'c × ('d × nat) option) mmap ⇒ bool where
  valid_s init step st accept rho u i j s ≡
    (forall q bs. case Mapping.lookup st (q, bs) of None ⇒ True | Some v ⇒ step q bs = v) ∧
    (mmap_keys s = {q. (exists l ≤ u. steps step rho init (l, i) = q)} ∧ distinct (map fst s)) ∧
    (forall q. case mmap_lookup s q of None ⇒ True
      | Some (q', tstamp) ⇒ steps step rho q (i, j) = q' ∧ tstamp = sup_acc step accept rho q i j))

record ('b, 'c, 'd, 't, 'e) args =
  w_init :: 'c
  w_step :: 'c ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'c
  w_accept :: 'c ⇒ bool
  w_run_t :: 't ⇒ ('t × 'd) option
  w_read_t :: 't ⇒ 'd option
  w_run_sub :: 'e ⇒ ('e × 'b) option

record ('b, 'c, 'd, 't, 'e) window =
  w_st :: ('c × 'b, 'c) mapping
  w_ac :: ('c, bool) mapping
  w_i :: nat
  w_ti :: 't
  w_si :: 'e
  w_j :: nat
  w_tj :: 't
  w_sj :: 'e
  w_s :: ('c, 'c × ('d × nat) option) mmap

```

```

w_e :: ('c, 'd) mmap

copy_bnf (dead 'b, dead 'c, dead 'd, dead 't, 'e, dead 'ext) window_ext

fun reach_window :: ('b, 'c, 'd, 't, 'e) args  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  'e  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('d  $\times$  'b) list  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\times$  't  $\times$  'e  $\times$  nat  $\times$  't  $\times$  'e  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
    reach_window args t0 sub rho (i, ti, si, j, tj, sj)  $\longleftrightarrow$  i  $\leq$  j  $\wedge$  length rho = j  $\wedge$ 
      reaches_on (w_run_t args) t0 (take i (map fst rho)) ti  $\wedge$ 
      reaches_on (w_run_t args) ti (drop i (map fst rho)) tj  $\wedge$ 
      reaches_on (w_run_sub args) sub (take i (map snd rho)) si  $\wedge$ 
      reaches_on (w_run_sub args) si (drop i (map snd rho)) sj

lemma reach_windowI: reaches_on (w_run_t args) t0 (take i (map fst rho)) ti  $\Rightarrow$ 
  reaches_on (w_run_sub args) sub (take i (map snd rho)) si  $\Rightarrow$ 
  reaches_on (w_run_t args) t0 (map fst rho) tj  $\Rightarrow$ 
  reaches_on (w_run_sub args) sub (map snd rho) sj  $\Rightarrow$ 
  i  $\leq$  length rho  $\Rightarrow$  length rho = j  $\Rightarrow$ 
  reach_window args t0 sub rho (i, ti, si, j, tj, sj)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma reach_window_shift:
  assumes reach_window args t0 sub rho (i, ti, si, j, tj, sj) i < j
  w_run_t args ti = Some (ti', t) w_run_sub args si = Some (si', s)
  shows reach_window args t0 sub rho (Suc i, ti', si', j, tj, sj)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma reach_window_run_ti: reach_window args t0 sub rho (i, ti, si, j, tj, sj)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  i < j  $\Rightarrow$   $\exists$  ti'. reaches_on (w_run_t args) t0 (take i (map fst rho)) ti  $\wedge$ 
  w_run_t args ti = Some (ti', ts_at rho i)  $\wedge$ 
  reaches_on (w_run_t args) ti' (drop (Suc i) (map fst rho)) tj
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma reach_window_run_si: reach_window args t0 sub rho (i, ti, si, j, tj, sj)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  i < j  $\Rightarrow$   $\exists$  si'. reaches_on (w_run_sub args) sub (take i (map snd rho)) si  $\wedge$ 
  w_run_sub args si = Some (si', bs_at rho i)  $\wedge$ 
  reaches_on (w_run_sub args) si' (drop (Suc i) (map snd rho)) sj
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma reach_window_run_tj: reach_window args t0 sub rho (i, ti, si, j, tj, sj)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  reaches_on (w_run_t args) t0 (map fst rho) tj
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma reach_window_run_sj: reach_window args t0 sub rho (i, ti, si, j, tj, sj)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  reaches_on (w_run_sub args) sub (map snd rho) sj
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma reach_window_shift_all: reach_window args t0 sub rho (i, si, ti, j, sj, tj)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  reach_window args t0 sub rho (j, sj, tj, j, sj, tj)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma reach_window_app: reach_window args t0 sub rho (i, si, ti, j, tj, sj)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  w_run_t args tj = Some (tj', x)  $\Rightarrow$  w_run_sub args sj = Some (sj', y)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  reach_window args t0 sub (rho @ [(x, y)]) (i, si, ti, Suc j, tj', sj')
  ⟨proof⟩

fun init_args :: ('c  $\times$  ('c  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'c)  $\times$  ('c  $\Rightarrow$  bool))  $\Rightarrow$ 
  (('t  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\times$  'd) option)  $\times$  ('t  $\Rightarrow$  'd option))  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('e  $\Rightarrow$  ('e  $\times$  'b) option)  $\Rightarrow$  ('b, 'c, 'd, 't, 'e) args where

```

```

init_args (init, step, accept) (run_t, read_t) run_sub =
(⟨w_init = init, w_step = step, w_accept = accept, w_run_t = run_t, w_read_t = read_t, w_run_sub
= run_sub⟩)

fun init_window :: ('b, 'c, 'd, 't, 'e) args  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  'e  $\Rightarrow$  ('b, 'c, 'd, 't, 'e) window where
init_window args t0 sub = (⟨w_st = Mapping.empty, w_ac = Mapping.empty,
w_i = 0, w_ti = t0, w_si = sub, w_j = 0, w_tj = t0, w_sj = sub,
w_s = [(w_init args, (w_init args, None))], w_e = []⟩)

definition valid_window :: ('b, 'c, 'd :: timestamp, 't, 'e) args  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  'e  $\Rightarrow$  ('d  $\times$  'b) list  $\Rightarrow$ 
('b, 'c, 'd, 't, 'e) window  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
valid_window args t0 sub rho w  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
(let init = w_init args; step = w_step args; accept = w_accept args;
run_t = w_run_t args; run_sub = w_run_sub args;
st = w_st w; ac = w_ac w;
i = w_i w; ti = w_ti w; si = w_si w; j = w_j w; tj = w_tj w; sj = w_sj w;
s = w_s w; e = w_e w in
(reach_window args t0 sub rho (i, ti, si, j, tj, sj)  $\wedge$ 
 $\forall i j. i \leq j \wedge j < \text{length } \rho \rightarrow ts_{\text{at}} \rho i \leq ts_{\text{at}} \rho j) \wedge$ 
 $\forall q. \text{case } \text{Mapping.lookup } ac q \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid \text{Some } v \Rightarrow accept q = v) \wedge$ 
 $\forall q. mmap_{\text{lookup}} e q = sup_{\text{leadsto}} \text{init step } \rho i j q) \wedge distinct (\text{map fst } e) \wedge$ 
valid_s init step st accept rho i j s))

lemma valid_init_window: valid_window args t0 sub [] (init_window args t0 sub)
⟨proof⟩

lemma steps_app_cong:  $j \leq \text{length } \rho \implies \text{steps step } (\rho @ [x]) q (i, j) =$ 
steps step rho q (i, j)
⟨proof⟩

lemma acc_app_cong:  $j < \text{length } \rho \implies \text{acc step accept } (\rho @ [x]) q (i, j) =$ 
acc step accept rho q (i, j)
⟨proof⟩

lemma sup_acc_app_cong:  $j \leq \text{length } \rho \implies sup_{\text{acc}} \text{step accept } (\rho @ [x]) q i j =$ 
sup_acc step accept rho q i j
⟨proof⟩

lemma sup_acc_concat_cong:  $j \leq \text{length } \rho \implies sup_{\text{acc}} \text{step accept } (\rho @ \rho') q i j =$ 
sup_acc step accept rho q i j
⟨proof⟩

lemma sup_leadsto_app_cong:  $i \leq j \implies j \leq \text{length } \rho \implies$ 
sup_leadsto init step ( $\rho @ [x]$ ) i j q = sup_leadsto init step rho i j q
⟨proof⟩

lemma chain_le:
fixes xs :: 'd :: timestamp list
shows chain_le xs  $\implies i \leq j \implies j < \text{length } xs \implies xs ! i \leq xs ! j$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma steps_refl[simp]: steps step rho q (i, i) = q
⟨proof⟩

lemma steps_split:  $i < j \implies \text{steps step } \rho q (i, j) =$ 
steps step rho (step q (bs_at rho i)) (Suc i, j)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *steps_app*: $i \leq j \implies \text{steps step rho } q (i, j + 1) = \text{step} (\text{steps step rho } q (i, j)) (\text{bs_at rho } j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *steps_appE*: $i \leq j \implies \text{steps step rho } q (i, \text{Suc } j) = q' \implies \exists q''. \text{steps step rho } q (i, j) = q'' \wedge q' = \text{step } q'' (\text{bs_at rho } j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *steps_comp*: $i \leq l \implies l \leq j \implies \text{steps step rho } q (i, l) = q' \implies \text{steps step rho } q' (l, j) = q'' \implies \text{steps step rho } q (i, j) = q''$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sup_acc_SomeI*: $\text{acc step accept rho } q (i, \text{Suc } l) \implies l \in \{i..<j\} \implies \exists tp. \text{sup_acc step accept rho } q i j = \text{Some} (\text{ts_at rho } tp, tp) \wedge l \leq tp \wedge tp < j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sup_acc_Some_ts*: $\text{sup_acc step accept rho } q i j = \text{Some} (\text{ts}, tp) \implies \text{ts} = \text{ts_at rho } tp$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sup_acc_SomeE*: $\text{sup_acc step accept rho } q i j = \text{Some} (\text{ts}, tp) \implies tp \in \{i..<j\} \wedge \text{acc step accept rho } q (i, \text{Suc } tp)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sup_acc_NoneE*: $l \in \{i..<j\} \implies \text{sup_acc step accept rho } q i j = \text{None} \implies \neg \text{acc step accept rho } q (i, \text{Suc } l)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sup_acc_same*: $\text{sup_acc step accept rho } q i i = \text{None}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sup_acc_None_restrict*: $i \leq j \implies \text{sup_acc step accept rho } q i j = \text{None} \implies \text{sup_acc step accept rho } (\text{step } q (\text{bs_at rho } i)) (\text{Suc } i) j = \text{None}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sup_acc_ext_idle*: $i \leq j \implies \neg \text{acc step accept rho } q (i, \text{Suc } j) \implies \text{sup_acc step accept rho } q i (\text{Suc } j) = \text{sup_acc step accept rho } q i j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sup_acc_comp_Some_ge*: $i \leq l \implies l \leq j \implies tp \geq l \implies \text{sup_acc step accept rho } (\text{steps step rho } q (i, l)) l j = \text{Some} (\text{ts}, tp) \implies \text{sup_acc step accept rho } q i j = \text{sup_acc step accept rho } (\text{steps step rho } q (i, l)) l j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sup_acc_comp_None*: $i \leq l \implies l \leq j \implies \text{sup_acc step accept rho } (\text{steps step rho } q (i, l)) l j = \text{None} \implies \text{sup_acc step accept rho } q i j = \text{sup_acc step accept rho } q i l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sup_acc_ext*: $i \leq j \implies \text{acc step accept rho } q (i, \text{Suc } j) \implies \text{sup_acc step accept rho } q i (\text{Suc } j) = \text{Some} (\text{ts_at rho } j, j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sup_acc_None*: $i < j \implies \text{sup_acc step accept rho } q i j = \text{None} \implies \text{sup_acc step accept rho } (\text{step } q (\text{bs_at rho } i)) (i + 1) j = \text{None}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sup_acc_i*: $i < j \implies \text{sup_acc step accept rho } q i j = \text{Some} (\text{ts}, i) \implies \text{sup_acc step accept rho } (\text{step } q (\text{bs_at rho } i)) (\text{Suc } i) j = \text{None}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sup_acc_l*: $i < j \Rightarrow i \neq l \Rightarrow sup_acc\ step\ accept\ rho\ q\ i\ j = Some\ (ts,\ l) \Rightarrow sup_acc\ step\ accept\ rho\ q\ i\ j = sup_acc\ step\ accept\ rho\ (step\ q\ (bs_at\ rho\ i))\ (Suc\ i)\ j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sup_leadsto_idle*: $i < j \Rightarrow steps\ step\ rho\ init\ (i,\ j) \neq q \Rightarrow sup_leadsto\ init\ step\ rho\ i\ j\ q = sup_leadsto\ init\ step\ rho\ (i + 1)\ j\ q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sup_leadsto_SomeI*: $l < i \Rightarrow steps\ step\ rho\ init\ (l,\ j) = q \Rightarrow \exists l'. sup_leadsto\ init\ step\ rho\ i\ j\ q = Some\ (ts_at\ rho\ l') \wedge l \leq l' \wedge l' < i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sup_leadsto_SomeE*: $i \leq j \Rightarrow sup_leadsto\ init\ step\ rho\ i\ j\ q = Some\ ts \Rightarrow \exists l < i. steps\ step\ rho\ init\ (l,\ j) = q \wedge ts_at\ rho\ l = ts$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Mapping_keys_dest*: $x \in mmap_keys\ f \Rightarrow \exists y. mmap_lookup\ f\ x = Some\ y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Mapping_keys_intro*: $mmap_lookup\ f\ x \neq None \Rightarrow x \in mmap_keys\ f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Mapping_not_keys_intro*: $mmap_lookup\ f\ x = None \Rightarrow x \notin mmap_keys\ f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Mapping_lookup_None_intro*: $x \notin mmap_keys\ f \Rightarrow mmap_lookup\ f\ x = None$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

primrec *mmap_combine* :: $'key \Rightarrow 'val \Rightarrow ('val \Rightarrow 'val \Rightarrow 'val) \Rightarrow ('key \times 'val) list \Rightarrow ('key \times 'val) list$
where
 $mmap_combine\ k\ v\ c\ [] = [(k,\ v)]$
 $| mmap_combine\ k\ v\ c\ (p \# ps) = (case p of (k',\ v') \Rightarrow$
 $if k = k' then (k,\ c\ v'\ v) \# ps else p \# mmap_combine\ k\ v\ c\ ps)$

lemma *mmap_combine_distinct_set*: $distinct\ (map\ fst\ r) \Rightarrow distinct\ (map\ fst\ (mmap_combine\ k\ v\ c\ r)) \wedge set\ (map\ fst\ (mmap_combine\ k\ v\ c\ r)) = set\ (map\ fst\ r) \cup \{k\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mmap_combine_lookup*: $distinct\ (map\ fst\ r) \Rightarrow mmap_lookup\ (mmap_combine\ k\ v\ c\ r)\ z = (if k = z then (case mmap_lookup\ r\ k of None \Rightarrow Some\ v \mid Some\ v' \Rightarrow Some\ (c\ v'\ v))$
 $else mmap_lookup\ r\ z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *mmap_fold* :: $('c,\ 'd) mmap \Rightarrow (('c \times 'd) \Rightarrow ('c \times 'd)) \Rightarrow ('d \Rightarrow 'd \Rightarrow 'd) \Rightarrow ('c,\ 'd) mmap \Rightarrow ('c,\ 'd) mmap$ **where**
 $mmap_fold\ m\ f\ c\ r = foldl\ (\lambda r\ p. case\ f\ p\ of\ (k,\ v) \Rightarrow mmap_combine\ k\ v\ c\ r)\ r\ m$

definition *mmap_fold'* :: $('c,\ 'd) mmap \Rightarrow 'e \Rightarrow (('c \times 'd) \times 'e \Rightarrow ('c \times 'd) \times 'e) \Rightarrow ('d \Rightarrow 'd \Rightarrow 'd) \Rightarrow ('c,\ 'd) mmap \Rightarrow ('c,\ 'd) mmap \times 'e$ **where**
 $mmap_fold'\ m\ e\ f\ c\ r = foldl\ (\lambda(r,\ e)\ p. case\ f\ p\ of\ ((k,\ v),\ e') \Rightarrow$
 $(mmap_combine\ k\ v\ c\ r,\ e'))\ (r,\ e)\ m$

lemma *mmap_fold'_eq*: $mmap_fold'\ m\ e\ f'\ c\ r = (m',\ e') \Rightarrow P\ e \Rightarrow (\bigwedge p\ e\ p'\ e'. P\ e \Rightarrow f'(p,\ e) = (p',\ e') \Rightarrow p' = f\ p \wedge P\ e') \Rightarrow$

```

 $m' = mmap\_fold m f c r \wedge P e'$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma foldl_mmap_combine_distinct_set: distinct (map fst r)  $\implies$ 
distinct (map fst (mmap_fold m f c r))  $\wedge$ 
set (map fst (mmap_fold m f c r)) = set (map fst r)  $\cup$  set (map (fst o f) m)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mmap_fold_lookup_rec: distinct (map fst r)  $\implies$  mmap_lookup (mmap_fold m f c r) z =
(case map (snd o f) (filter (λ(k, v). fst (f (k, v)) = z) m) of []  $\Rightarrow$  mmap_lookup r z
| v # vs  $\Rightarrow$  (case mmap_lookup r z of None  $\Rightarrow$  Some (foldl c v vs)
| Some w  $\Rightarrow$  Some (foldl c w (v # vs)))
⟨proof⟩

lemma mmap_fold_distinct: distinct (map fst m)  $\implies$  distinct (map fst (mmap_fold m f c []))
⟨proof⟩

lemma mmap_fold_set: distinct (map fst m)  $\implies$ 
set (map fst (mmap_fold m f c [])) = (fst o f) ` set m
⟨proof⟩

lemma mmap_lookup_empty: mmap_lookup [] z = None
⟨proof⟩

lemma mmap_fold_lookup: distinct (map fst m)  $\implies$  mmap_lookup (mmap_fold m f c []) z =
(case map (snd o f) (filter (λ(k, v). fst (f (k, v)) = z) m) of []  $\Rightarrow$  None
| v # vs  $\Rightarrow$  Some (foldl c v vs))
⟨proof⟩

definition fold_sup :: ('c, 'd :: timestamp) mmap  $\Rightarrow$  ('c  $\Rightarrow$  'c)  $\Rightarrow$  ('c, 'd) mmap where
fold_sup m f = mmap_fold m (λ(x, y). (f x, y)) sup []

lemma mmap_lookup_distinct: distinct (map fst m)  $\implies$  (k, v)  $\in$  set m  $\implies$ 
mmap_lookup m k = Some v
⟨proof⟩

lemma fold_sup_distinct: distinct (map fst m)  $\implies$  distinct (map fst (fold_sup m f))
⟨proof⟩

lemma fold_sup:
fixes v :: 'd :: timestamp
shows foldl sup v vs = fold sup vs v
⟨proof⟩

lemma lookup_fold_sup:
assumes distinct: distinct (map fst m)
shows mmap_lookup (fold_sup m f) z =
(let Z = {x  $\in$  mmap_keys m. f x = z} in
 if Z = {} then None else Some (Sup_fin ((the o mmap_lookup m) ` Z)))
⟨proof⟩

definition mmap_map :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'c)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) mmap  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'c) mmap where
mmap_map f m = map (λ(k, v). (k, f k v)) m

lemma mmap_map_keys: mmap_keys (mmap_map f m) = mmap_keys m
⟨proof⟩

lemma mmap_map_fst: map fst (mmap_map f m) = map fst m

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

definition cstep :: ('c  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'c)  $\Rightarrow$  ('c  $\times$  'b, 'c) mapping  $\Rightarrow$ 
  'c  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  ('c  $\times$  ('c  $\times$  'b, 'c) mapping) where
  cstep step st q bs = (case Mapping.lookup st (q, bs) of None  $\Rightarrow$  (let res = step q bs in
    (res, Mapping.update (q, bs) res st)) | Some v  $\Rightarrow$  (v, st))

definition cac :: ('c  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('c, bool) mapping  $\Rightarrow$  'c  $\Rightarrow$  (bool  $\times$  ('c, bool) mapping) where
  cac accept ac q = (case Mapping.lookup ac q of None  $\Rightarrow$  (let res = accept q in
    (res, Mapping.update q res ac)) | Some v  $\Rightarrow$  (v, ac))

fun mmap_fold_s :: ('c  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'c)  $\Rightarrow$  ('c  $\times$  'b, 'c) mapping  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('c  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('c, bool) mapping  $\Rightarrow$ 
  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'd  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('c, 'c  $\times$  ('d  $\times$  nat) option) mmap  $\Rightarrow$ 
  (('c, 'c  $\times$  ('d  $\times$  nat) option) mmap  $\times$  ('c  $\times$  'b, 'c) mapping  $\times$  ('c, bool) mapping) where
  mmap_fold_s step st accept ac bs t j [] = ([], st, ac)
  | mmap_fold_s step st accept ac bs t j ((q, (q', tstop)) # qbss) =
    (let (q'', st') = cstep step st q' bs;
     (beta, ac') = cac accept ac q'';
     (qbss', st'', ac'') = mmap_fold_s step st' accept ac' bs t j qbss in
      ((q, (q'', if beta then Some (t, j) else tstop)) # qbss', st'', ac''))

lemma mmap_fold_s_sound: mmap_fold_s step st accept ac bs t j qbss = (qbss', st', ac')  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ( $\bigwedge$  q bs. case Mapping.lookup st (q, bs) of None  $\Rightarrow$  True | Some v  $\Rightarrow$  step q bs = v)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ( $\bigwedge$  q bs. case Mapping.lookup ac q of None  $\Rightarrow$  True | Some v  $\Rightarrow$  accept q = v)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  qbss' = mmap_map ( $\lambda$  q (q', tstop). (step q' bs, if accept (step q' bs) then Some (t, j) else tstop)) qbss  $\wedge$ 
  ( $\forall$  q bs. case Mapping.lookup st' (q, bs) of None  $\Rightarrow$  True | Some v  $\Rightarrow$  step q bs = v)  $\wedge$ 
  ( $\forall$  q bs. case Mapping.lookup ac' q of None  $\Rightarrow$  True | Some v  $\Rightarrow$  accept q = v)



$\langle proof \rangle$



definition adv_end :: ('b, 'c, 'd :: timestamp, 't, 'e) args  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('b, 'c, 'd, 't, 'e) window  $\Rightarrow$  ('b, 'c, 'd, 't, 'e) window option where
  adv_end args w = (let step = w_step args; accept = w_accept args;
    run_t = w_run_t args; run_sub = w_run_sub args; st = w_st w; ac = w_ac w;
    j = w_j w; tj = w_tj w; sj = w_sj w; s = w_s w; e = w_e w in
    (case run_t tj of None  $\Rightarrow$  None | Some (tj', t)  $\Rightarrow$  (case run_sub sj of None  $\Rightarrow$  None | Some (sj', bs)
     $\Rightarrow$ 
      let (s', st', ac') = mmap_fold_s step st accept ac bs t j s;
      (e', st'') = mmap_fold' e st' ( $\lambda$ ((x, y), st). let (q', st') = cstep step st x bs in ((q', y), st')) sup [] in
      Some (w(w_st := st'', w_ac := ac', w_j := Suc j, w_tj := tj', w_sj := sj', w_s := s', w_e := e')))))

lemma map_values_lookup: mmap_lookup (mmap_map f m) z = Some v'  $\Rightarrow$ 
   $\exists$  v. mmap_lookup m z = Some v  $\wedge$  v' = f z v



$\langle proof \rangle$



lemma acc_app:



assumes i  $\leq$  j steps step rho q (i, Suc j) = q' accept q'



shows sup_acc step accept rho q i (Suc j) = Some (ts_at rho j, j)



$\langle proof \rangle$



lemma acc_app_idle:



assumes i  $\leq$  j steps step rho q (i, Suc j) = q'  $\neg$ accept q'



shows sup_acc step accept rho q i (Suc j) = sup_acc step accept rho q i j



$\langle proof \rangle$



lemma sup_fin_closed: finite A  $\Rightarrow$  A  $\neq$  {}  $\Rightarrow$ 
 $(\bigwedge x y. x \in A \Rightarrow y \in A \Rightarrow sup x y \in \{x, y\}) \Rightarrow \bigsqcup_{fin} A \in A$


```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma valid_adv_end:
  assumes valid_window args t0 sub rho w w_run_t args (w_tj w) = Some (tj', t)
    w_run_sub args (w_sj w) = Some (sj', bs)
     $\bigwedge t'. t' \in \text{set}(\text{map} \text{fst} \rho) \implies t' \leq t$ 
  shows case adv_end args w of None  $\Rightarrow$  False | Some w'  $\Rightarrow$  valid_window args t0 sub (rho @ [(t, bs)]) w'
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma adv_end_bounds:
  assumes w_run_t args (w_tj w) = Some (tj', t)
    w_run_sub args (w_sj w) = Some (sj', bs)
    adv_end args w = Some w'
  shows w_i w' = w_i w w_tj w' = w_tj w w_si w' = w_si w
    w_j w' = Suc (w_j w) w_tj w' = tj' w_sj w' = sj'
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition drop_cur :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('c  $\times$  ('d  $\times$  nat) option)  $\Rightarrow$  ('c  $\times$  ('d  $\times$  nat) option) where
  drop_cur i = ( $\lambda(q', \text{tstp})$ . (q', case tstp of Some (ts, tp)  $\Rightarrow$ 
    if tp = i then None else tstp | None  $\Rightarrow$  tstp))

definition adv_d :: ('c  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'c)  $\Rightarrow$  ('c  $\times$  'b, 'c) mapping  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('c, 'c  $\times$  ('d  $\times$  nat) option) mmap  $\Rightarrow$ 
  (('c, 'c  $\times$  ('d  $\times$  nat) option) mmap  $\times$  ('c  $\times$  'b, 'c) mapping) where
  adv_d step st i b s = (mmap_fold' s st ( $\lambda((x, v), st)$ . case cstep step st x b of (x', st')  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ((x', drop_cur i v), st')) ( $\lambda x y. x$ ) []))

lemma adv_d_mmap_fold:
  assumes inv:  $\bigwedge q \text{bs}$ . case Mapping.lookup st (q, bs) of None  $\Rightarrow$  True | Some v  $\Rightarrow$  step q bs = v
  and fold': mmap_fold' s st ( $\lambda((x, v), st)$ . case cstep step st x bs of (x', st')  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ((x', drop_cur i v), st')) ( $\lambda x y. x$ ) r = (s', st')
  shows s' = mmap_fold s ( $\lambda(x, v)$ . (step x bs, drop_cur i v)) ( $\lambda x y. x$ ) r  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\forall q \text{bs}$ . case Mapping.lookup st' (q, bs) of None  $\Rightarrow$  True | Some v  $\Rightarrow$  step q bs = v)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition keys_idem :: ('c  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'c)  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('c, 'c  $\times$  ('d  $\times$  nat) option) mmap  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  keys_idem step i b s = ( $\forall x \in \text{mmap\_keys } s$ .  $\forall x' \in \text{mmap\_keys } s$ .
    step x b = step x' b  $\longrightarrow$  drop_cur i (the (mmap_lookup s x)) =
    drop_cur i (the (mmap_lookup s x')))

lemma adv_d_keys:
  assumes inv:  $\bigwedge q \text{bs}$ . case Mapping.lookup st (q, bs) of None  $\Rightarrow$  True | Some v  $\Rightarrow$  step q bs = v
  and distinct: distinct (map fst s)
  and adv_d: adv_d step st i bs s = (s', st')
  shows mmap_keys s' = ( $\lambda q. \text{step } q \text{bs}$ ) ` (mmap_keys s)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lookup_adv_d_None:
  assumes inv:  $\bigwedge q \text{bs}$ . case Mapping.lookup st (q, bs) of None  $\Rightarrow$  True | Some v  $\Rightarrow$  step q bs = v
  and distinct: distinct (map fst s)
  and adv_d: adv_d step st i bs s = (s', st')
  and Z_empty: {x  $\in$  mmap_keys s. step x bs = z} = {}
  shows mmap_lookup s' z = None
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lookup_adv_d_Some:
```

```

assumes inv:  $\bigwedge q \text{ bs}. \text{case } \text{Mapping.lookup st } (q, \text{bs}) \text{ of None} \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid \text{Some } v \Rightarrow \text{step } q \text{ bs} = v$ 
and distinct:  $\text{distinct} (\text{map fst s})$  and idem:  $\text{keys\_idem step i bs s}$ 
and wit:  $x \in \text{mmap\_keys s step x bs} = z$ 
and adv_d:  $\text{adv\_d step st i bs s} = (s', st')$ 
shows  $\text{mmap\_lookup s' z} = \text{Some} (\text{drop\_cur i} (\text{the} (\text{mmap\_lookup s x})))$ 
⟨proof⟩

definition loop_cond j =  $(\lambda(st, ac, i, ti, si, q, s, tstop). i < j \wedge q \notin \text{mmap\_keys s})$ 
definition loop_body step accept run_t run_sub =
 $(\lambda(st, ac, i, ti, si, q, s, tstop). \text{case run\_t ti of Some} (ti', t) \Rightarrow$ 
 $\text{case run\_sub si of Some} (si', b) \Rightarrow \text{case adv\_d step st i b s of} (s', st') \Rightarrow$ 
 $\text{case cstep step st' q b of} (q', st'') \Rightarrow \text{case cac accept ac q' of} (\beta, ac') \Rightarrow$ 
 $(st'', ac', \text{Suc } i, ti', si', q', s', \text{if } \beta \text{ then Some} (t, i) \text{ else tstop}))$ 
definition loop_inv init step accept args t0 sub rho u j tj sj =
 $(\lambda(st, ac, i, ti, si, q, s, tstop). u + 1 \leq i \wedge$ 
 $\text{reach\_window args t0 sub rho} (i, ti, si, j, tj, sj) \wedge$ 
 $\text{steps step rho init} (u + 1, i) = q \wedge$ 
 $(\forall q. \text{case } \text{Mapping.lookup ac q of None} \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid \text{Some } v \Rightarrow \text{accept } q = v) \wedge$ 
 $\text{valid\_s init step st accept rho u i j s} \wedge tstop = \text{sup\_acc step accept rho init} (u + 1) i)$ 

definition mmap_update :: 'a ⇒ 'b ⇒ ('a, 'b) mmap ⇒ ('a, 'b) mmap where
mmap_update = AList.update

lemma mmap_update_distinct:  $\text{distinct} (\text{map fst m}) \implies \text{distinct} (\text{map fst} (\text{mmap\_update k v m}))$ 
⟨proof⟩

definition adv_start :: ('b, 'c, 'd :: timestamp, 't, 'e) args ⇒
('b, 'c, 'd, 't, 'e) window ⇒ ('b, 'c, 'd, 't, 'e) window where
adv_start args w = (let init = w_init args; step = w_step args; accept = w_accept args;
run_t = w_run_t args; run_sub = w_run_sub args; st = w_st w; ac = w_ac w;
i = w_i w; ti = w_ti w; si = w_si w; j = w_j w;
s = w_s w; e = w_e w in
(case run_t ti of Some (ti', t) ⇒ (case run_sub si of Some (si', bs) ⇒
let (s', st') = adv_d step st i bs s;
e' = mmap_update (fst (the (mmap_lookup s init))) t e;
(st_cur, ac_cur, i_cur, ti_cur, si_cur, q_cur, s_cur, tstop_cur) =
while (loop_cond j) (loop_body step accept run_t run_sub)
(st', ac, Suc i, ti', si', init, s', None);
s'' = mmap_update init (case mmap_lookup s_cur q_cur of Some (q', tstop') ⇒
(case tstop' of Some (ts, tp) ⇒ (q', tstop') | None ⇒ (q', tstop_cur))
| None ⇒ (q_cur, tstop_cur)) s' in
w(w_st := st_cur, w_ac := ac_cur, w_i := Suc i, w_ti := ti', w_si := si',
w_s := s'', w_e := e'))))

lemma valid_adv_d:
assumes valid_before:  $\text{valid\_s init step st accept rho u i j s}$ 
and u_le_i:  $u \leq i$  and i_lt_j:  $i < j$  and b_def:  $b = \text{bs\_at rho i}$ 
and adv_d:  $\text{adv\_d step st i b s} = (s', st')$ 
shows  $\text{valid\_s init step st' accept rho u} (i + 1) j s'$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma mmap_lookup_update':
mmap_lookup (mmap_update k v kvs) z = (if k = z then Some v else mmap_lookup kvs z)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mmap_keys_update:  $\text{mmap\_keys} (\text{mmap\_update k v kvs}) = \text{mmap\_keys kvs} \cup \{k\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma valid_adv_start:
  assumes valid_window args t0 sub rho w w_i w < w_j w
  shows valid_window args t0 sub rho (adv_start args w)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma valid_adv_start_bounds:
  assumes valid_window args t0 sub rho w w_i w < w_j w
  shows w_i (adv_start args w) = Suc (w_i w) w_j (adv_start args w) = w_j w
  w_tj (adv_start args w) = w_tj w w_sj (adv_start args w) = w_sj w
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma valid_adv_start_bounds':
  assumes valid_window args t0 sub rho w w_run_t args (w_ti w) = Some (ti', t)
  w_run_sub args (w_si w) = Some (si', bs)
  shows w_ti (adv_start args w) = ti' w_si (adv_start args w) = si'
  ⟨proof⟩

end
theory Temporal
  imports MDL NFA Window
begin

fun state_cnt :: ('a, 'b :: timestamp) regex ⇒ nat where
  state_cnt (Lookahead phi) = 1
| state_cnt (Symbol phi) = 2
| state_cnt (Plus r s) = 1 + state_cnt r + state_cnt s
| state_cnt (Times r s) = state_cnt r + state_cnt s
| state_cnt (Star r) = 1 + state_cnt r

lemma state_cnt_pos: state_cnt r > 0
  ⟨proof⟩

fun collect_subfmlas :: ('a, 'b :: timestamp) regex ⇒ ('a, 'b) formula list ⇒
  ('a, 'b) formula list where
  collect_subfmlas (Lookahead φ) phis = (if φ ∈ set phis then phis else phis @ [φ])
| collect_subfmlas (Symbol φ) phis = (if φ ∈ set phis then phis else phis @ [φ])
| collect_subfmlas (Plus r s) phis = collect_subfmlas s (collect_subfmlas r phis)
| collect_subfmlas (Times r s) phis = collect_subfmlas s (collect_subfmlas r phis)
| collect_subfmlas (Star r) phis = collect_subfmlas r phis

lemma bf_collect_subfmlas: bounded_future_regex r ⇒ phi ∈ set (collect_subfmlas r phis) ⇒
  phi ∈ set phis ∨ bounded_future_fmla phi
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma collect_subfmlas_atms: set (collect_subfmlas r phis) = set phis ∪ atms r
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma collect_subfmlas_set: set (collect_subfmlas r phis) = set (collect_subfmlas r []) ∪ set phis
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma collect_subfmlas_size: x ∈ set (collect_subfmlas r []) ⇒ size x < size r
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma collect_subfmlas_app: ∃ phis'. collect_subfmlas r phis = phis @ phis'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma length_collect_subfmlas: length (collect_subfmlas r phis) ≥ length phis
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

fun pos :: 'a ⇒ 'a list ⇒ nat option where
  pos a [] = None
  | pos a (x # xs) =
    (if a = x then Some 0 else (case pos a xs of Some n ⇒ Some (Suc n) | _ ⇒ None))

lemma pos_sound: pos a xs = Some i ⇒ i < length xs ∧ xs ! i = a
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pos_complete: pos a xs = None ⇒ a ∉ set xs
  ⟨proof⟩

fun build_nfa_impl :: ('a, 'b :: timestamp) regex ⇒ (state × state × ('a, 'b) formula list) ⇒
  transition list where
  build_nfa_impl (Lookahead φ) (q0, qf, phis) = (case pos φ phis of
    Some n ⇒ [eps_trans qf n]
    | None ⇒ [eps_trans qf (length phis)])
  | build_nfa_impl (Symbol φ) (q0, qf, phis) = (case pos φ phis of
    Some n ⇒ [eps_trans (Suc q0) n, symb_trans qf]
    | None ⇒ [eps_trans (Suc q0) (length phis), symb_trans qf])
  | build_nfa_impl (Plus r s) (q0, qf, phis) = (
    let ts_r = build_nfa_impl r (q0 + 1, qf, phis);
      ts_s = build_nfa_impl s (q0 + 1 + state_cnt r, qf, collect_subfmlas r phis) in
      split_trans (q0 + 1) (q0 + 1 + state_cnt r) # ts_r @ ts_s)
  | build_nfa_impl (Times r s) (q0, qf, phis) = (
    let ts_r = build_nfa_impl r (q0, q0 + state_cnt r, phis);
      ts_s = build_nfa_impl s (q0 + state_cnt r, qf, collect_subfmlas r phis) in
      ts_r @ ts_s)
  | build_nfa_impl (Star r) (q0, qf, phis) = (
    let ts_r = build_nfa_impl r (q0 + 1, q0, phis) in
      split_trans (q0 + 1) qf # ts_r)

lemma build_nfa_impl_state_cnt: length (build_nfa_impl r (q0, qf, phis)) = state_cnt r
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma build_nfa_impl_not_Nil: build_nfa_impl r (q0, qf, phis) ≠ []
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma build_nfa_impl_state_set: t ∈ set (build_nfa_impl r (q0, qf, phis)) ⇒
  state_set t ⊆ {q0.. $<$ q0 + length (build_nfa_impl r (q0, qf, phis))} ∪ {qf}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma build_nfa_impl_fmla_set: t ∈ set (build_nfa_impl r (q0, qf, phis)) ⇒
  n ∈ fmla_set t ⇒ n < length (collect_subfmlas r phis)
  ⟨proof⟩

context MDL
begin

definition IH r q0 qf phis transss bss bs i ≡
  let n = length (collect_subfmlas r phis) in
  transss = build_nfa_impl r (q0, qf, phis) ∧ (∀ cs ∈ set bss. length cs ≥ n) ∧ length bs ≥ n ∧
  qf ∉ NFA.SQ q0 (build_nfa_impl r (q0, qf, phis)) ∧
  (∀ k < n. (bs ! k ↔ sat (collect_subfmlas r phis ! k) (i + length bss))) ∧
  (∀ j < length bss. ∀ k < n. ((bss ! j) ! k ↔ sat (collect_subfmlas r phis ! k) (i + j)))

lemma nfa_correct: IH r q0 qf phis transss bss bs i ⇒
  NFA.run_accept_eps q0 qf transss {q0} bss bs ↔ (i, i + length bss) ∈ match r

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma step_eps_closure_set_empty_list:
  assumes wf_regex r IH r q0 qf phis transs bss bs i NFA.step_eps_closure q0 transs bs q qf
  shows NFA.step_eps_closure q0 transs [] q qf
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma accept_eps_iff_accept:
  assumes wf_regex r IH r q0 qf phis transs bss bs i
  shows NFA.accept_eps q0 qf transs R bs = NFA.accept q0 qf transs R
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma run_accept_eps_iff_run_accept:
  assumes wf_regex r IH r q0 qf phis transs bss bs i
  shows NFA.run_accept_eps q0 qf transs {q0} bss bs ↔ NFA.run_accept q0 qf transs {q0} bss
  ⟨proof⟩

end

definition pred_option' :: ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a option ⇒ bool where
  pred_option' P z = (case z of Some z' ⇒ P z' | None ⇒ False)

definition map_option' :: ('b ⇒ 'c option) ⇒ 'b option ⇒ 'c option where
  map_option' f z = (case z of Some z' ⇒ f z' | None ⇒ None)

definition while_break :: ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a option) ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a option where
  while_break P f x = while (pred_option' P) (map_option' f) (Some x)

lemma wf_while_break:
  assumes wf {(t, s). P s ∧ b s ∧ Some t = c s}
  shows wf {(t, s). pred_option P s ∧ pred_option' b s ∧ t = map_option' c s}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma wf_while_break':
  assumes wf {(t, s). P s ∧ b s ∧ Some t = c s}
  shows wf {(t, s). pred_option' P s ∧ pred_option' b s ∧ t = map_option' c s}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma while_break_sound:
  assumes ∀s s'. P s ⇒ b s ⇒ c s = Some s' ⇒ P s' ∧ s. P s ⇒ ¬ b s ⇒ Q s wf {(t, s). P s ∧ b s ∧ Some t = c s} P s
  shows pred_option Q (while_break b c s)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma while_break_complete: (∀s. P s ⇒ b s ⇒ pred_option' P (c s)) ⇒ (∀s. P s ⇒ ¬ b s ⇒ Q s) ⇒ wf {(t, s). P s ∧ b s ∧ Some t = c s} ⇒ P s ⇒ pred_option' Q (while_break b c s)
  ⟨proof⟩

context
  fixes args :: (bool iarray, nat set, 'd :: timestamp, 't, 'e) args
begin

abbreviation reach_w ≡ reach_window args

qualified definition in_win = init_window args

definition valid_window_matchP :: 'd I ⇒ 't ⇒ 'e ⇒

```

```

('d × bool iarray) list ⇒ nat ⇒ (bool iarray, nat set, 'd, 't, 'e) window ⇒ bool where
valid_window_matchP I t0 sub rho j w ↔ j = w_j w ∧
valid_window args t0 sub rho w ∧
reach_w t0 sub rho (w_i w, w_ti w, w_si w, w_j w, w_tj w, w_sj w) ∧
(case w_read_t args (w_tj w) of None ⇒ True
| Some t ⇒ (∀l < w_i w. memL (ts_at rho l) t I))

lemma valid_window_matchP_reach_tj: valid_window_matchP I t0 sub rho i w ⇒
reaches_on (w_run_t args) t0 (map fst rho) (w_tj w)
⟨proof⟩

lemma valid_window_matchP_reach_sj: valid_window_matchP I t0 sub rho i w ⇒
reaches_on (w_run_sub args) sub (map snd rho) (w_sj w)
⟨proof⟩

lemma valid_window_matchP_len_rho: valid_window_matchP I t0 sub rho i w ⇒ length rho = i
⟨proof⟩

definition matchP_loop_cond I t = (λw. w_i w < w_j w ∧ memL (the (w_read_t args (w_ti w))) t I)

definition matchP_loop_inv I t0 sub rho j0 tj0 sj0 t =
(λw. valid_window args t0 sub rho w ∧
w_j w = j0 ∧ w_tj w = tj0 ∧ w_sj w = sj0 ∧ (∀l < w_i w. memL (ts_at rho l) t I))

fun ex_key :: ('c, 'd) mmap ⇒ ('d ⇒ bool) ⇒
('c ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('c, bool) mapping ⇒ (bool × ('c, bool) mapping) where
ex_key [] time accept ac = (False, ac)
| ex_key ((q, t) # qts) time accept ac = (if time t then
  (case cac accept ac q of (β, ac') ⇒
    if β then (True, ac') else ex_key qts time accept ac')
  else ex_key qts time accept ac)

lemma ex_key_sound:
assumes inv: ∀q. case Mapping.lookup ac q of None ⇒ True | Some v ⇒ accept q = v
and distinct: distinct (map fst qts)
and eval: ex_key qts time accept ac = (b, ac')
shows b = (∃q ∈ mmap_keys qts. time (the (mmap_lookup qts q)) ∧ accept q) ∧
(∀q. case Mapping.lookup ac' q of None ⇒ True | Some v ⇒ accept q = v)
⟨proof⟩

fun eval_matchP :: 'd I ⇒ (bool iarray, nat set, 'd, 't, 'e) window ⇒
((d × bool) × (bool iarray, nat set, 'd, 't, 'e) window) option where
eval_matchP I w =
(case w_read_t args (w_tj w) of None ⇒ None | Some t ⇒
(case adv_end args w of None ⇒ None | Some w' ⇒
let w'' = while (matchP_loop_cond I t) (adv_start args) w';
(β, ac') = ex_key (w_e w'') (λt'. memR t' t I) (w_accept args) (w_ac w'') in
Some ((t, β), w''(w_ac := ac'))))

definition valid_window_matchF :: 'd I ⇒ 't ⇒ 'e ⇒ ('d × bool iarray) list ⇒ nat ⇒
(bool iarray, nat set, 'd, 't, 'e) window ⇒ bool where
valid_window_matchF I t0 sub rho i w ↔ i = w_i w ∧
valid_window args t0 sub rho w ∧
reach_w t0 sub rho (w_i w, w_ti w, w_si w, w_j w, w_tj w, w_sj w) ∧
(∀l ∈ {w_i w..< w_j w}. memR (ts_at rho i) (ts_at rho l) I)

lemma valid_window_matchF_reach_tj: valid_window_matchF I t0 sub rho i w ⇒
reaches_on (w_run_t args) t0 (map fst rho) (w_tj w)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma valid_window_matchF_reach_sj: valid_window_matchF I t0 sub rho i w ==>
  reaches_on (w_run_sub args) sub (map snd rho) (w_sj w)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition matchF_loop_cond I t =
  ( $\lambda w.$  case w_read_t args (w_tj w) of None  $\Rightarrow$  False | Some t'  $\Rightarrow$  memR t t' I)

definition matchF_loop_inv I t0 sub rho i ti si tjm sjm =
  ( $\lambda w.$  valid_window args t0 sub (take (w_j w) rho) w  $\wedge$ 
   w_i w = i  $\wedge$  w_ti w = ti  $\wedge$  w_si w = si  $\wedge$ 
   reach_window args t0 sub rho (w_j w, w_tj w, w_sj w, length rho, tjm, sjm)  $\wedge$ 
   ( $\forall l \in \{w_i w.. < w_j w\}.$  memR (ts_at rho i) (ts_at rho l) I))

definition matchF_loop_inv' t0 sub rho i ti si j tj sj =
  ( $\lambda w.$  w_i w = i  $\wedge$  w_ti w = ti  $\wedge$  w_si w = si  $\wedge$ 
   ( $\exists \rho'.$  valid_window args t0 sub ( $\rho @ \rho'$ ) w  $\wedge$ 
    reach_window args t0 sub ( $\rho @ \rho'$ ) (j, tj, sj, w_j w, w_tj w, w_sj w)))

fun eval_matchF :: 'd I  $\Rightarrow$  (bool iarray, nat set, 'd, 't, 'e) window ==
  (('d  $\times$  bool)  $\times$  (bool iarray, nat set, 'd, 't, 'e) window) option where
  eval_matchF I w =
    (case w_read_t args (w_tj w) of None  $\Rightarrow$  None | Some t  $\Rightarrow$ 
     (case while_break (matchF_loop_cond I t) (adv_end args) w of None  $\Rightarrow$  None | Some w'  $\Rightarrow$ 
      (case w_read_t args (w_tj w') of None  $\Rightarrow$  None | Some t'  $\Rightarrow$ 
       let  $\beta$  = (case snd (the (mmap_lookup (w_s w') {0})) of None  $\Rightarrow$  False
                  | Some tstamp  $\Rightarrow$  memL t (fst tstamp) I) in
          Some ((t,  $\beta$ ), adv_start args w'))))

end

locale MDL_window = MDL  $\sigma$ 
  for  $\sigma :: ('a, 'd :: timestamp)$  trace +
  fixes r :: ('a, 'd :: timestamp) regex
    and t0 :: 't
    and sub :: 'e
    and args :: (bool iarray, nat set, 'd, 't, 'e) args
  assumes init_def: w_init args = {0 :: nat}
    and step_def: w_step args =
      NFA.delta' (IArray (build_nfaImpl r (0, state_cnt r, []))) (state_cnt r)
      and accept_def: w_accept args = NFA.accept' (IArray (build_nfaImpl r (0, state_cnt r, [])))
      (state_cnt r)
      and run_t_sound: reaches_on (w_run_t args) t0 ts t ==>
        w_run_t args t = Some (t', x)  $\Rightarrow$  x =  $\tau \sigma$  (length ts)
      and run_sub_sound: reaches_on (w_run_sub args) sub bs s ==>
        w_run_sub args s = Some (s', b)  $\Rightarrow$ 
        b = IArray (map ( $\lambda \phi.$  sat phi (length bs)) (collect_subfmlas r []))
      and run_t_read: w_run_t args t = Some (t', x)  $\Rightarrow$  w_read_t args t = Some x
      and read_t_run: w_read_t args t = Some x  $\Rightarrow$   $\exists t'.$  w_run_t args t = Some (t', x)
  begin

    definition qf = state_cnt r
    definition transs = build_nfaImpl r (0, qf, [])

    abbreviation init  $\equiv$  w_init args
    abbreviation step  $\equiv$  w_step args
    abbreviation accept  $\equiv$  w_accept args

```

```

abbreviation run ≡ NFA.run' (IArray transs) qf
abbreviation wacc ≡ Window.acc (w_step args) (w_accept args)
abbreviation rw ≡ reach_window args

abbreviation valid_matchP ≡ valid_window_matchP args
abbreviation eval_mP ≡ eval_matchP args
abbreviation matchP_inv ≡ matchP_loop_inv args
abbreviation matchP_cond ≡ matchP_loop_cond args

abbreviation valid_matchF ≡ valid_window_matchF args
abbreviation eval_mF ≡ eval_matchF args
abbreviation matchF_inv ≡ matchF_loop_inv args
abbreviation matchF_inv' ≡ matchF_loop_inv' args
abbreviation matchF_cond ≡ matchF_loop_cond args

lemma run_t_sound':
  assumes reaches_on (w_run_t args) t0 ts t i < length ts
  shows ts ! i = τ σ i
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma run_sub_sound':
  assumes reaches_on (w_run_sub args) sub bs s i < length bs
  shows bs ! i = IArray (map (λphi. sat phi i) (collect_subfmlas r []))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma run_ts: reaches_on (w_run_t args) t ts t' ⟹ t = t0 ⟹ chain_le ts
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ts_at_tau: reaches_on (w_run_t args) t0 (map fst rho) t ⟹ i < length rho ⟹
  ts_at rho i = τ σ i
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma length_bs_at: reaches_on (w_run_sub args) sub (map snd rho) s ⟹ i < length rho ⟹
  IArray.length (bs_at rho i) = length (collect_subfmlas r [])
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bs_at_nth: reaches_on (w_run_sub args) sub (map snd rho) s ⟹ i < length rho ⟹
  n < IArray.length (bs_at rho i) ⟹
  IArray.sub (bs_at rho i) n ↔ sat (collect_subfmlas r [] ! n) i
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ts_at_mono: ∀i j. reaches_on (w_run_t args) t0 (map fst rho) t ⟹
  i ≤ j ⟹ j < length rho ⟹ ts_at rho i ≤ ts_at rho j
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma steps_is_run: steps (w_step args) rho q ij = run q (sub_bs rho ij)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma acc_is_accept: wacc rho q (i, j) = w_accept args (run q (sub_bs rho (i, j)))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma iarray_list_of: IArray (IArray.list_of xs) = xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map_iarray_list_of: map IArray (map IArray.list_of bss) = bss
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma acc_match:

```

```

fixes ts :: 'd list
assumes reaches_on (w_run_sub args) sub (map snd rho) s i ≤ j j ≤ length rho wf_regex r
shows wacc rho {0} (i, j) ←→ (i, j) ∈ match r
⟨proof⟩

lemma accept_match:
fixes ts :: 'd list
shows reaches_on (w_run_sub args) sub (map snd rho) s ⇒ i ≤ j ⇒ j ≤ length rho ⇒ wf_regex r
r ⇒
w_accept args (steps (w_step args) rho {0} (i, j)) ←→ (i, j) ∈ match r
⟨proof⟩

lemma drop_take_drop: i ≤ j ⇒ j ≤ length rho ⇒ drop i (take j rho) @ drop j rho = drop i rho
⟨proof⟩

lemma take_Suc: drop n xs = y # ys ⇒ take n xs @ [y] = take (Suc n) xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma valid_init_matchP: valid_matchP I t0 sub [] 0 (init_window args t0 sub)
⟨proof⟩

lemma valid_init_matchF: valid_matchF I t0 sub [] 0 (init_window args t0 sub)
⟨proof⟩

lemma valid_eval_matchP:
assumes valid_before': valid_matchP I t0 sub rho j w
and before_end: w_run_t args (w_tj w) = Some (tj'', t) w_run_sub args (w_sj w) = Some (sj'', b)
and wf: wf_regex r
shows ∃ w'. eval_mP I w = Some ((τ σ j, sat (MatchP I r) j), w') ∧
t = τ σ j ∧ valid_matchP I t0 sub (rho @ [(t, b)]) (Suc j) w'
⟨proof⟩

lemma valid_eval_matchF_Some:
assumes valid_before': valid_matchF I t0 sub rho i w
and eval: eval_mF I w = Some ((t, b), w'')
and bounded: right I ∈ tfin
shows ∃ rho' tm. reaches_on (w_run_t args) (w_tj w) (map fst rho') (w_tj w'') ∧
reaches_on (w_run_sub args) (w_sj w) (map snd rho') (w_sj w'') ∧
(w_read_t args) (w_ti w) = Some t ∧
(w_read_t args) (w_tj w'') = Some tm ∧
¬memR t tm I
⟨proof⟩

lemma valid_eval_matchF_complete:
assumes valid_before': valid_matchF I t0 sub rho i w
and before_end: reaches_on (w_run_t args) (w_tj w) (map fst rho') tj'''
reaches_on (w_run_sub args) (w_sj w) (map snd rho') sj'''
w_read_t args (w_ti w) = Some t w_read_t args tj''' = Some tm ¬memR t tm I
and wf: wf_regex r
shows ∃ w'. eval_mF I w = Some ((τ σ i, sat (MatchF I r) i), w') ∧
valid_matchF I t0 sub (take (w_j w') (rho @ rho')) (Suc i) w'
⟨proof⟩

lemma valid_eval_matchF_sound:
assumes valid_before: valid_matchF I t0 sub rho i w
and eval: eval_mF I w = Some ((t, b), w'')
and bounded: right I ∈ tfin

```

```

and wf: wf_regex r
shows t =  $\tau \sigma i \wedge b = \text{sat}(\text{MatchF } I r) i \wedge (\exists \rho'. \text{valid\_matchF } I t0 \text{ sub } \rho' (\text{Suc } i) w'')$ 
⟨proof⟩

thm valid_eval_matchP
thm valid_eval_matchF_sound
thm valid_eval_matchF_complete

end

end
theory Monitor
imports MDL Temporal
begin

type_synonym ('h, 't) time = ('h × 't) option

datatype (dead 'a, dead 't :: timestamp, dead 'h) vydra_aux =
  VYDRA_None
  | VYDRA_Bool bool 'h
  | VYDRA_Atom 'a 'h
  | VYDRA_Neg ('a, 't, 'h) vydra_aux
  | VYDRA_Bin bool ⇒ bool ⇒ bool ('a, 't, 'h) vydra_aux ('a, 't, 'h) vydra_aux
  | VYDRA_Prev 't I ('a, 't, 'h) vydra_aux 'h ('t × bool) option
  | VYDRA_Next 't I ('a, 't, 'h) vydra_aux 'h 't option
  | VYDRA_Since 't I ('a, 't, 'h) vydra_aux ('a, 't, 'h) vydra_aux ('h, 't) time nat nat nat option 't
    option
  | VYDRA_Until 't I ('h, 't) time ('a, 't, 'h) vydra_aux ('a, 't, 'h) vydra_aux ('h, 't) time nat ('t ×
    bool × bool) option
  | VYDRA_MatchP 't I transition iarray nat
    (bool iarray, nat set, 't, ('h, 't) time, ('a, 't, 'h) vydra_aux list) window
  | VYDRA_MatchF 't I transition iarray nat
    (bool iarray, nat set, 't, ('h, 't) time, ('a, 't, 'h) vydra_aux list) window

type_synonym ('a, 't, 'h) vydra = nat × ('a, 't, 'h) vydra_aux

fun msize_vydra :: nat ⇒ ('a, 't :: timestamp, 'h) vydra_aux ⇒ nat where
  msize_vydra n VYDRA_None = 0
  | msize_vydra n (VYDRA_Bool b e) = 0
  | msize_vydra n (VYDRA_Atom a e) = 0
  | msize_vydra (Suc n) (VYDRA_Bin f v1 v2) = msize_vydra n v1 + msize_vydra n v2 + 1
  | msize_vydra (Suc n) (VYDRA_Neg v) = msize_vydra n v + 1
  | msize_vydra (Suc n) (VYDRA_Prev I v e tb) = msize_vydra n v + 1
  | msize_vydra (Suc n) (VYDRA_Next I v e to) = msize_vydra n v + 1
  | msize_vydra (Suc n) (VYDRA_Since I vphi vpsi e cphi cpsi cppsi tppsi) = msize_vydra n vphi +
    msize_vydra n vpsi + 1
  | msize_vydra (Suc n) (VYDRA_Until I e vphi vpsi epsi c zo) = msize_vydra n vphi + msize_vydra n
    vpsi + 1
  | msize_vydra (Suc n) (VYDRA_MatchP I transs qf w) = size_list (msize_vydra n) (w_si w) + size_list
    (msize_vydra n) (w_sj w) + 1
  | msize_vydra (Suc n) (VYDRA_MatchF I transs qf w) = size_list (msize_vydra n) (w_si w) + size_list
    (msize_vydra n) (w_sj w) + 1
  | msize_vydra _ _ = 0

fun next_vydra :: ('a, 't :: timestamp, 'h) vydra_aux ⇒ nat where
  next_vydra (VYDRA_Next I v e None) = 1
  | next_vydra _ = 0

```

```

context
fixes init_hd :: 'h
  and run_hd :: 'h ⇒ ('h × ('t :: timestamp × 'a set)) option
begin

definition t0 :: ('h, 't) time where
  t0 = (case run_hd init_hd of None ⇒ None | Some (e', (t, X)) ⇒ Some (e', t))

fun run_t :: ('h, 't) time ⇒ (('h, 't) time × 't) option where
  run_t None = None
| run_t (Some (e, t)) = (case run_hd e of None ⇒ Some (None, t)
| Some (e', (t', X)) ⇒ Some (Some (e', t'), t))

fun read_t :: ('h, 't) time ⇒ 't option where
  read_t None = None
| read_t (Some (e, t)) = Some t

lemma run_t_read: run_t x = Some (x', t) ⇒ read_t x = Some t
  {proof}

lemma read_t_run: read_t x = Some t ⇒ ∃ x'. run_t x = Some (x', t)
  {proof}

lemma reach_event_t: reaches_on run_hd e vs e'' ⇒ run_hd e = Some (e', (t, X)) ⇒
  run_hd e'' = Some (e''', (t', X')) ⇒
  reaches_on run_t (Some (e', t)) (map fst vs) (Some (e''', t'))
  {proof}

lemma reach_event_t0_t:
  assumes reaches_on run_hd init_hd vs e'' run_hd e'' = Some (e''', (t', X'))
  shows reaches_on run_t t0 (map fst vs) (Some (e''', t'))
  {proof}

lemma reaches_on_run_hd_t:
  assumes reaches_on run_hd init_hd vs e
  shows ∃ x. reaches_on run_t t0 (map fst vs) x
  {proof}

definition run_subs run = (λvs. let vs' = map run vs in
  (if (∃x ∈ set vs'. Option.is_none x) then None
  else Some (map (fst ∘ the) vs', iarray_of_list (map (snd ∘ snd ∘ the) vs'))))

lemma run_subs_lD: run_subs run vs = Some (vs', bs) ⇒
  length vs' = length vs ∧ IArray.length bs = length vs
  {proof}

lemma run_subs_vD: run_subs run vs = Some (vs', bs) ⇒ j < length vs ⇒
  ∃ vj' tj bj. run (vs ! j) = Some (vj', (tj, bj)) ∧ vs' ! j = vj' ∧ IArray.sub bs j = bj
  {proof}

fun mszie_fmla :: ('a, 'b :: timestamp) formula ⇒ nat
  and mszie_regex :: ('a, 'b) regex ⇒ nat where
    mszie_fmla (Bool b) = 0
| mszie_fmla (Atom a) = 0
| mszie_fmla (Neg phi) = Suc (mszie_fmla phi)
| mszie_fmla (Bin f phi psi) = Suc (mszie_fmla phi + mszie_fmla psi)
| mszie_fmla (Prev I phi) = Suc (mszie_fmla phi)
| mszie_fmla (Next I phi) = Suc (mszie_fmla phi)

```

```

| msiz_size_fmla (Since phi I psi) = Suc (max (msiz_size_fmla phi) (msiz_size_fmla psi))
| msiz_size_fmla (Until phi I psi) = Suc (max (msiz_size_fmla phi) (msiz_size_fmla psi))
| msiz_size_fmla (MatchP I r) = Suc (msiz_size_regex r)
| msiz_size_fmla (MatchF I r) = Suc (msiz_size_regex r)
| msiz_size_regex (Lookahead phi) = msiz_size_fmla phi
| msiz_size_regex (Symbol phi) = msiz_size_fmla phi
| msiz_size_regex (Plus r s) = max (msiz_size_regex r) (msiz_size_regex s)
| msiz_size_regex (Times r s) = max (msiz_size_regex r) (msiz_size_regex s)
| msiz_size_regex (Star r) = msiz_size_regex r

lemma collect_subfmlas_msiz:  $x \in \text{set}(\text{collect\_subfmlas } r \text{ []}) \Rightarrow$ 
  msiz_size_fmla x  $\leq$  msiz_size_regex r
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition until_ready I t c zo = (case (c, zo) of (Suc _, Some (t', b1, b2))  $\Rightarrow$  (b2  $\wedge$  memL t t' I)  $\vee$ 
   $\neg$ b1 | _  $\Rightarrow$  False)

definition while_since_cond I t = ( $\lambda(vpsi, e, cpsi :: nat, cppsi, tppsi)$ . cpsi > 0  $\wedge$  memL (the (read_t e)) t I)
definition while_since_body run =
  ( $\lambda(vpsi, e, cpsi :: nat, cppsi, tppsi)$ .
    case run vpsi of Some (vpsi', (t', b'))  $\Rightarrow$ 
      Some (vpsi', fst (the (run_t e)), cpsi - 1, if b' then Some cpsi else cppsi, if b' then Some t' else
      tppsi)
    | _  $\Rightarrow$  None
  )

definition while_until_cond I t = ( $\lambda(vphi, vpsi, epsi, c, zo)$ .  $\neg$ until_ready I t c zo  $\wedge$  (case read_t epsi
  of Some t'  $\Rightarrow$  memR t t' I | None  $\Rightarrow$  False))
definition while_until_body run =
  ( $\lambda(vphi, vpsi, epsi, c, zo)$ .
    case run_t epsi of Some (epsi', t')  $\Rightarrow$ 
      (case run vphi of Some (vphi', (_, b1))  $\Rightarrow$ 
        (case run vpsi of Some (vpsi', (_, b2))  $\Rightarrow$  Some (vphi', vpsi', epsi', Suc c, Some (t', b1, b2))
        | _  $\Rightarrow$  None)
      | _  $\Rightarrow$  None)
    | _  $\Rightarrow$  None))

function (sequential) run :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 't, 'h) vydra_aux  $\Rightarrow$  (('a, 't, 'h) vydra_aux  $\times$  ('t  $\times$  bool)) option
where
  run n (VYDRA_None) = None
  | run n (VYDRA_Bool b e) = (case run_hd e of None  $\Rightarrow$  None
    | Some (e', (t, _))  $\Rightarrow$  Some (VYDRA_Bool b e', (t, b)))
  | run n (VYDRA_Atom a e) = (case run_hd e of None  $\Rightarrow$  None
    | Some (e', (t, X))  $\Rightarrow$  Some (VYDRA_Atom a e', (t, a  $\in$  X)))
  | run (Suc n) (VYDRA_Neg v) = (case run n v of None  $\Rightarrow$  None
    | Some (v', (t, b))  $\Rightarrow$  Some (VYDRA_Neg v', (t,  $\neg$ b)))
  | run (Suc n) (VYDRA_Bin f vl vr) = (case run n vl of None  $\Rightarrow$  None
    | Some (vl', (t, bl))  $\Rightarrow$  (case run n vr of None  $\Rightarrow$  None
      | Some (vr', (_, br))  $\Rightarrow$  Some (VYDRA_Bin f vl' vr', (t, f bl br))))
  | run (Suc n) (VYDRA_Prev I v e tb) = (case run_hd e of Some (e', (t, _))  $\Rightarrow$ 
    (let  $\beta$  = (case tb of Some (t', b')  $\Rightarrow$  b'  $\wedge$  mem t' t I | None  $\Rightarrow$  False) in
      case run n v of Some (v', _, b')  $\Rightarrow$  Some (VYDRA_Prev I v' e' (Some (t, b')), (t,  $\beta$ ))
    | None  $\Rightarrow$  Some (VYDRA_None, (t,  $\beta$ )))
  | None  $\Rightarrow$  None)
  | run (Suc n) (VYDRA_Next I v e to) = (case run_hd e of Some (e', (t, _))  $\Rightarrow$ 
    (case to of None  $\Rightarrow$ 
      (case run n v of Some (v', _, _)  $\Rightarrow$  run (Suc n) (VYDRA_Next I v' e' (Some t)))
    | None  $\Rightarrow$  None)
  | Some t'  $\Rightarrow$ 

```

```

(case run n v of Some (v', _, b) ⇒ Some (VYDRA_Next I v' e' (Some t), (t', b ∧ mem t' t I))
| None ⇒ if mem t' t I then None else Some (VYDRA_None, (t', False)))
| None ⇒ None)
| run (Suc n) (VYDRA_Since I vphi vpsi e cphi cpsi cppsi tppsi) = (case run n vphi of
  Some (vphi', (t, b1)) ⇒
    let cphi = (if b1 then Suc cphi else 0) in
    let cpsi = Suc cpsi in
    let cppsi = map_option Suc cppsi in
    (case while_break (while_since_cond I t) (while_since_body (run n)) (vpsi, e, cpsi, cppsi, tppsi) of
      Some (vpsi', e', cpsi', cppsi', tppsi') ⇒
        (let β = (case cppsi' of Some k ⇒ k - 1 ≤ cphi ∧ memR (the tppsi') t I | _ ⇒ False) in
         Some (VYDRA_Since I vphi' vpsi' e' cphi cpsi' cppsi' tppsi', (t, β)))
      | _ ⇒ None)
      | _ ⇒ None)
    | run (Suc n) (VYDRA_Until I e vphi vpsi epsi c zo) = (case run_t e of Some (e', t) ⇒
      (case while_break (while_until_cond I t) (while_until_body (run n)) (vphi, vpsi, epsi, c, zo) of Some
        (vphi', vpsi', epsi', c', zo') ⇒
          if c' = 0 then None else
          (case zo' of Some (t', b1, b2) ⇒
            (if b2 ∧ memL t t' I then Some (VYDRA_Until I e' vphi' vpsi' epsi' (c' - 1) zo', (t, True))
             else if ¬b1 then Some (VYDRA_Until I e' vphi' vpsi' epsi' (c' - 1) zo', (t, False))
             else (case read_t epsi' of Some t' ⇒ Some (VYDRA_Until I e' vphi' vpsi' epsi' (c' - 1) zo', (t,
               False)) | _ ⇒ None))
            | _ ⇒ None)
            | _ ⇒ None)
          | _ ⇒ None)
        | run (Suc n) (VYDRA_MatchP I transs qf w) =
          (case eval_matchP (init_args ({0}, NFA.delta' transs qf, NFA.accept' transs qf)
            (run_t, read_t) (run_subs (run n))) I w of None ⇒ None
            | Some ((t, b), w') ⇒ Some (VYDRA_MatchP I transs qf w', (t, b)))
        | run (Suc n) (VYDRA_MatchF I transs qf w) =
          (case eval_matchF (init_args ({0}, NFA.delta' transs qf, NFA.accept' transs qf)
            (run_t, read_t) (run_subs (run n))) I w of None ⇒ None
            | Some ((t, b), w') ⇒ Some (VYDRA_MatchF I transs qf w', (t, b)))
      | run _ _ = undefined
      ⟨proof⟩
termination
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma wf_since: wf {(t, s). while_since_cond I tt s ∧ Some t = while_since_body (run n) s}
 ⟨proof⟩

definition run_vydra :: ('a, 't, 'h) vydra ⇒ (('a, 't, 'h) vydra × ('t × bool)) option **where**

run_vydra v = (case v of (n, w) ⇒ map_option (apfst (Pair n)) (run n w))

```

fun sub :: nat ⇒ ('a, 't) formula ⇒ ('a, 't, 'h) vydra_aux where
  sub n (Bool b) = VYDRA_Bool b init_hd
  | sub n (Atom a) = VYDRA_Atom a init_hd
  | sub (Suc n) (Neg phi) = VYDRA_Neg (sub n phi)
  | sub (Suc n) (Bin f phi psi) = VYDRA_Bin f (sub n phi) (sub n psi)
  | sub (Suc n) (Prev I phi) = VYDRA_Prev I (sub n phi) init_hd None
  | sub (Suc n) (Next I phi) = VYDRA_Next I (sub n phi) init_hd None
  | sub (Suc n) (Since phi I psi) = VYDRA_Since I (sub n phi) (sub n psi) t0 0 0 None None
  | sub (Suc n) (Until phi I psi) = VYDRA_Until I t0 (sub n phi) (sub n psi) t0 0 None
  | sub (Suc n) (MatchP I r) = (let qf = state_cnt r;
    transs = iarray_of_list (build_nfa_impl r (0, qf, [])) in
    VYDRA_MatchP I transs qf (init_window (init_args
      ({0}, NFA.delta' transs qf, NFA.accept' transs qf)

```

```

(run_t, read_t) (run_subs (run n)))
to (map (sub n) (collect_subfmlas r [])))
| sub (Suc n) (MatchF I r) = (let qf = state_cnt r;
  transs = iarray_of_list (build_nfa_impl r (0, qf, [])) in
  VYDRA_MatchF I transs qf (init_window (init_args
    ({}, NFA.delta' transs qf, NFA.accept' transs qf)
    (run_t, read_t) (run_subs (run n)))
  to (map (sub n) (collect_subfmlas r []))))
| sub _ _ = undefined

definition init_vydra :: ('a, 't) formula => ('a, 't, 'h) vydra where
  init_vydra φ = (let n = msizes_fmla φ in (n, sub n φ))

end

locale VYDRA_MDL = MDL σ
for σ :: ('a, 't :: timestamp) trace +
fixes init_hd :: 'h
  and run_hd :: 'h => ('h × ('t × 'a set)) option
assumes run_hd_sound: reaches run_hd init_hd n s ==> run_hd s = Some (s', (t, X)) ==> (t, X) =
(τ σ n, Γ σ n)
begin

lemma reaches_on_run_hd: reaches_on run_hd init_hd es s ==> run_hd s = Some (s', (t, X)) ==> t
= τ σ (length es) ∧ X = Γ σ (length es)
⟨proof⟩

abbreviation ru_t ≡ run_t run_hd
abbreviation l_t0 ≡ t0 init_hd run_hd
abbreviation ru ≡ run run_hd
abbreviation su ≡ sub init_hd run_hd

lemma ru_t_event: reaches_on ru_t t ts t' ==> t = l_t0 ==> ru_t t' = Some (t'', x) ==>
  ∃ rho e tt. t' = Some (e, tt) ∧ reaches_on run_hd init_hd rho e ∧ length rho = Suc (length ts) ∧
  x = τ σ (length ts)
⟨proof⟩

lemma ru_t_tau: reaches_on ru_t l_t0 ts t' ==> ru_t t' = Some (t'', x) ==> x = τ σ (length ts)
⟨proof⟩

lemma ru_t_Some_tau:
  assumes reaches_on ru_t l_t0 ts (Some (e, t))
  shows t = τ σ (length ts)
⟨proof⟩

lemma ru_t_tau_in:
  assumes reaches_on ru_t l_t0 ts t j < length ts
  shows ts ! j = τ σ j
⟨proof⟩

lemmas run_hd_tau_in = ru_t_tau_in[Of reach_event_t0_t, simplified]

fun last_before :: (nat => bool) => nat => nat option where
  last_before P 0 = None
| last_before P (Suc n) = (if P n then Some n else last_before P n)

lemma last_before_None: last_before P n = None ==> m < n ==> ¬P m
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *last_before_Some*: *last_before P n = Some m* \Rightarrow $m < n \wedge P m \wedge (\forall k \in \{m < .. < n\}. \neg P k)
(proof)$

inductive *wf_vydra* :: ('*a*, '*t* :: timestamp) formula \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('*a*, '*t*, '*h*) vydra_aux \Rightarrow bool **where**

- | *wf_vydra phi i n w* \Rightarrow *ru n w = None* \Rightarrow *wf_vydra (Prev I phi) (Suc i) (Suc n) VYDRA_None*
- | *wf_vydra phi i n w* \Rightarrow *ru n w = None* \Rightarrow *wf_vydra (Next I phi) i (Suc n) VYDRA_None*
- | *reaches_on run_hd init_hd es sub'* \Rightarrow *length es = i* \Rightarrow *wf_vydra (Bool b) i n (VYDRA_Bool b sub')*
- | *reaches_on run_hd init_hd es sub'* \Rightarrow *length es = i* \Rightarrow *wf_vydra (Atom a) i n (VYDRA_Atom a sub')*
- | *wf_vydra phi i n v* \Rightarrow *wf_vydra (Neg phi) i (Suc n) (VYDRA_Neg v)*
- | *wf_vydra phi i n v* \Rightarrow *wf_vydra psi i n v' \Rightarrow wf_vydra (Bin f phi psi) i (Suc n) (VYDRA_Bin f v v')*
- | *wf_vydra phi i n v* \Rightarrow *reaches_on run_hd init_hd es sub'* \Rightarrow *length es = i* \Rightarrow
wf_vydra (Prev I phi) i (Suc n) (VYDRA_Prev I v sub') (*case i of 0 \Rightarrow None | Suc j \Rightarrow Some ($\tau \sigma j$, sat phi j))*
- | *wf_vydra phi i n v* \Rightarrow *reaches_on run_hd init_hd es sub'* \Rightarrow *length es = i* \Rightarrow
wf_vydra (Next I phi) (i - 1) (Suc n) (VYDRA_Next I v sub') (*case i of 0 \Rightarrow None | Suc j \Rightarrow Some ($\tau \sigma j$))*
- | *wf_vydra phi i n vphi* \Rightarrow *wf_vydra psi j n vpsi* \Rightarrow *j \leq i* \Rightarrow
reaches_on ru_t l_t0 es sub' \Rightarrow *length es = j* \Rightarrow ($\bigwedge t. t \in set es \Rightarrow memL t (\tau \sigma i) I$) \Rightarrow
*cphi = i - (case last_before (\lambda k. \neg sat phi k) i of None \Rightarrow 0 | Some k \Rightarrow Suc k) \Rightarrow cpsi = i - j \Rightarrow
*cpsi = (case last_before (sat psi) j of None \Rightarrow None | Some k \Rightarrow Some (i - k)) \Rightarrow
*tppsi = (case last_before (sat psi) j of None \Rightarrow None | Some k \Rightarrow Some ($\tau \sigma k$)) \Rightarrow
*wf_vydra (Since phi I psi) i (Suc n) (VYDRA_Since I vphi vpsi sub' cphi cpsi cpsi tppsi)****
- | *wf_vydra phi j n vphi* \Rightarrow *wf_vydra psi j n vpsi* \Rightarrow *i \leq j* \Rightarrow
reaches_on ru_t l_t0 es back \Rightarrow *length es = i* \Rightarrow
reaches_on ru_t l_t0 es' front \Rightarrow *length es' = j* \Rightarrow ($\bigwedge t. t \in set es' \Rightarrow memR (\tau \sigma i) t I$) \Rightarrow
*c = j - i \Rightarrow z = (case j of 0 \Rightarrow None | Suc k \Rightarrow Some ($\tau \sigma k$, sat phi k, sat psi k)) \Rightarrow
 $(\bigwedge k. k \in \{i..<j-1\} \Rightarrow sat phi k \wedge (memL (\tau \sigma i) (\tau \sigma k) I \rightarrow \neg sat psi k)) \Rightarrow$
*wf_vydra (Until phi I psi) i (Suc n) (VYDRA_Until I back vphi vpsi front c z)**
- | *valid_window_matchP args I l_t0* (*map (su n) (collect_subfmlas r [])*) *xs i w* \Rightarrow
n \geq msizer_regex r \Rightarrow *qf = state_cnt r* \Rightarrow
transs = iarray_of_list (build_nfaImpl r (0, qf, [])) \Rightarrow
args = init_args ({0}, NFA.delta' transs qf, NFA.accept' transs qf)
(ru_t, read_t) (run_subs (ru n)) \Rightarrow
wf_vydra (MatchP I r) i (Suc n) (VYDRA_MatchP I transs qf w)
- | *valid_window_matchF args I l_t0* (*map (su n) (collect_subfmlas r [])*) *xs i w* \Rightarrow
n \geq msizer_regex r \Rightarrow *qf = state_cnt r* \Rightarrow
transs = iarray_of_list (build_nfaImpl r (0, qf, [])) \Rightarrow
args = init_args ({0}, NFA.delta' transs qf, NFA.accept' transs qf)
(ru_t, read_t) (run_subs (ru n)) \Rightarrow
wf_vydra (MatchF I r) i (Suc n) (VYDRA_MatchF I transs qf w)

lemma *reach_run_subs_len*:
assumes *reaches_ons: reaches_on (run_subs (ru n)) (map (su n) (collect_subfmlas r [])) rho vs*
shows *length vs = length (collect_subfmlas r [])*
(proof)

lemma *reach_run_subs_run*:
assumes *reaches_ons: reaches_on (run_subs (ru n)) (map (su n) (collect_subfmlas r [])) rho vs*
and *subfmla: j < length (collect_subfmlas r []) phi = collect_subfmlas r [] ! j*
shows *\exists rho'. reaches_on (ru n) (su n phi) rho' (vs ! j) \wedge length rho' = length rho*
(proof)

lemma *IArray_nth_equalityI*: *IArray.length xs = length ys* \Rightarrow
 $(\bigwedge i. i < IArray.length xs \Rightarrow IArray.sub xs i = ys ! i) \Rightarrow xs = IArray ys$
(proof)

```

lemma bs_sat:
  assumes IH:  $\bigwedge \text{phi } i \ v \ v' \ b. \text{phi} \in \text{set}(\text{collect\_subfmlas } r \ \square) \implies \text{wf\_vydra } \text{phi } i \ n \ v \implies \text{ru } n \ v = \text{Some } (v', \ b)$ 
    and reaches_ons:  $\bigwedge j. \ j < \text{length}(\text{collect\_subfmlas } r \ \square) \implies \text{wf\_vydra } (\text{collect\_subfmlas } r \ \square ! \ j) \ i \ n \ (vs \ ! \ j)$ 
    and run_subs:  $\text{run\_subs } (\text{ru } n) \ vs = \text{Some } (vs', \ bs) \ \text{length } vs = \text{length } (\text{collect\_subfmlas } r \ \square)$ 
  shows  $bs = \text{iarray\_of\_list } (\text{map } (\lambda \text{phi}. \text{sat } \text{phi } i) (\text{collect\_subfmlas } r \ \square))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma run_induct[case_names Bool Atom Neg Bin Prev Next Since Until MatchP MatchF, consumes 1]:
  fixes phi :: ('a, 't) formula
  assumes msize_fmla phi ≤ n ( $\bigwedge b \ n. \ P \ n \ (\text{Bool } b)$ ) ( $\bigwedge a \ n. \ P \ n \ (\text{Atom } a)$ )
    ( $\bigwedge n \ \text{phi}. \ \text{msize\_fmla } \text{phi} \leq n \implies P \ n \ \text{phi} \implies P \ (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{Neg } \text{phi})$ )
    ( $\bigwedge n \ f \ \text{phi} \ \text{psi}. \ \text{msize\_fmla } (\text{Bin } f \ \text{phi} \ \text{psi}) \leq \text{Suc } n \implies P \ n \ \text{phi} \implies P \ n \ \text{psi} \implies P \ (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{Bin } f \ \text{phi} \ \text{psi})$ )
    ( $\bigwedge n \ I \ \text{phi}. \ \text{msize\_fmla } \text{phi} \leq n \implies P \ n \ \text{phi} \implies P \ (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{Prev } I \ \text{phi})$ )
    ( $\bigwedge n \ I \ \text{phi}. \ \text{msize\_fmla } \text{phi} \leq n \implies P \ n \ \text{phi} \implies P \ (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{Next } I \ \text{phi})$ )
    ( $\bigwedge n \ I \ \text{phi} \ \text{psi}. \ \text{msize\_fmla } \text{phi} \leq n \implies \text{msize\_fmla } \text{psi} \leq n \implies P \ n \ \text{phi} \implies P \ n \ \text{psi} \implies P \ (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{Since } \text{phi } I \ \text{psi})$ )
    ( $\bigwedge n \ I \ \text{phi} \ \text{psi}. \ \text{msize\_fmla } \text{phi} \leq n \implies \text{msize\_fmla } \text{psi} \leq n \implies P \ n \ \text{phi} \implies P \ n \ \text{psi} \implies P \ (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{Until } \text{phi } I \ \text{psi})$ )
    ( $\bigwedge n \ I \ r. \ \text{msize\_fmla } (\text{MatchP } I \ r) \leq \text{Suc } n \implies (\bigwedge x. \ \text{msize\_fmla } x \leq n \implies P \ n \ x) \implies P \ (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{MatchP } I \ r)$ )
    ( $\bigwedge n \ I \ r. \ \text{msize\_fmla } (\text{MatchF } I \ r) \leq \text{Suc } n \implies (\bigwedge x. \ \text{msize\_fmla } x \leq n \implies P \ n \ x) \implies P \ (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{MatchF } I \ r)$ )
  shows  $P \ n \ \text{phi}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma wf_vydra_sub:  $\text{msize\_fmla } \varphi \leq n \implies \text{wf\_vydra } \varphi \ 0 \ n \ (\text{su } n \ \varphi)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ru_t_Some:  $\exists e' \ et. \ \text{ru\_t } e = \text{Some } (e', \ et)$  if reaches_Suc_i: reaches_on run_hd init_hd fs  $\text{length } fs = \text{Suc } i$ 
  and aux: reaches_on ru_t l_t0 es e  $\text{length } es \leq i$  for es e
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma vydra_sound_aux:
  assumes msize_fmla φ ≤ n wf_vydra φ i n v ru n v = Some (v', t, b) bounded_future_fmla φ wf_fmla φ
  shows wf_vydra φ (Suc i) n v'  $\wedge$  ( $\exists es \ e. \ \text{reaches\_on } \text{run\_hd } \text{init\_hd } es \ e \ \wedge \ \text{length } es = \text{Suc } i$ )  $\wedge$  t =  $\tau \sigma \ i \wedge b = \text{sat } \varphi \ i$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma reaches_ons_run_LD: reaches_on (run_subs (ru n)) vs ws vs'  $\implies$ 
  length vs = length vs'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma reaches_ons_run_vD: reaches_on (run_subs (ru n)) vs ws vs'  $\implies$ 
  i < length vs  $\implies$  ( $\exists ys. \ \text{reaches\_on } (\text{ru } n) \ (vs \ ! \ i) \ ys \ (vs' ! \ i)$ )  $\wedge$  length ys = length ws
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma reaches_ons_runI:
  assumes  $\bigwedge \text{phi}. \ \text{phi} \in \text{set}(\text{collect\_subfmlas } r \ \square) \implies \exists ws \ v. \ \text{reaches\_on } (\text{ru } n) \ (\text{su } n \ \text{phi}) \ ws \ v \ \wedge \ \text{length } ws = i$ 
  shows  $\exists ws \ v. \ \text{reaches\_on } (\text{run\_subs } (\text{ru } n)) \ (\text{map } (\text{su } n) (\text{collect\_subfmlas } r \ \square)) \ ws \ v \ \wedge \ \text{length } ws = i$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma reaches_on_takeWhile: reaches_on r s vs s'  $\implies$  r s' = Some (s'', v)  $\implies$   $\neg f v \implies$ 
vs' = takeWhile f vs  $\implies$ 
 $\exists t' t'' v'. \text{reaches\_on } r s \text{ vs' } t' \wedge r t' = \text{Some } (t'', v') \wedge \neg f v' \wedge$ 
reaches_on r t' (drop (length vs') vs) s'
⟨proof⟩

lemma reaches_on_suffix:
assumes reaches_on r s vs s' reaches_on r s vs' s'' length vs' ≤ length vs
shows  $\exists vs''. \text{reaches\_on } r s'' \text{ vs'' } s' \wedge vs = vs' @ vs''$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma vydra_wf_reaches_on:
assumes  $\bigwedge j. v. j < i \implies wf_{\text{vydra}} \varphi j n v \implies ru n v = \text{None} \implies \text{False}$  bounded_future_fmla  $\varphi$ 
wf_fmla  $\varphi$  msize_fmla  $\varphi \leq n$ 
shows  $\exists vs v. \text{reaches\_on } (ru n) (su n \varphi) vs v \wedge wf_{\text{vydra}} \varphi i n v \wedge \text{length } vs = i$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma reaches_on_Some:
assumes reaches_on r s vs s' reaches_on r s vs' s'' length vs < length vs'
shows  $\exists s''' x. r s' = \text{Some } (s''', x)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma reaches_on_progress:
assumes reaches_on run_hd init_hd vs e
shows progress phi (map fst vs) ≤ length vs
⟨proof⟩

lemma vydra_complete_aux:
assumes prefix: reaches_on run_hd init_hd vs e
and run: wf_vydra  $\varphi i n v ru n v = \text{None}$   $i < \text{progress } \varphi (\text{map fst vs})$  bounded_future_fmla  $\varphi$  wf_fmla
 $\varphi$ 
and msize: msize_fmla  $\varphi \leq n$ 
shows False
⟨proof⟩

definition ru'  $\varphi = ru (\text{msize\_fmla } \varphi)$ 
definition su'  $\varphi = su (\text{msize\_fmla } \varphi) \varphi$ 

lemma vydra_wf:
assumes reaches (ru n) (su n  $\varphi$ ) i v bounded_future_fmla  $\varphi$  wf_fmla  $\varphi$  msize_fmla  $\varphi \leq n$ 
shows wf_vydra  $\varphi i n v$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma vydra_sound':
assumes reaches (ru'  $\varphi$ ) (su'  $\varphi$ ) n v ru'  $\varphi v = \text{Some } (v', (t, b))$  bounded_future_fmla  $\varphi$  wf_fmla  $\varphi$ 
shows (t, b) = ( $\tau \sigma n$ , sat  $\varphi n$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma vydra_complete':
assumes prefix: reaches_on run_hd init_hd vs e
and prog:  $n < \text{progress } \varphi (\text{map fst vs})$  bounded_future_fmla  $\varphi$  wf_fmla  $\varphi$ 
shows  $\exists v v'. \text{reaches } (ru' \varphi) (su' \varphi) n v \wedge ru' \varphi v = \text{Some } (v', (\tau \sigma n, \text{sat } \varphi n))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma map_option_apfst_idle: map_option (apfst snd) (map_option (apfst (Pair n)) x) = x
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma vydra_sound:
  assumes reaches (run_vydra run_hd) (init_vydra init_hd run_hd φ) n v run_vydra run_hd v = Some
  (v', (t, b)) bounded_future_fmla φ wf_fmla φ
  shows (t, b) = (τ σ n, sat φ n)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma vydra_complete:
  assumes prefix: reaches_on run_hd init_hd vs e
  and prog: n < progress φ (map fst vs) bounded_future_fmla φ wf_fmla φ
  shows ∃ v v'. reaches (run_vydra run_hd) (init_vydra init_hd run_hd φ) n v ∧ run_vydra run_hd v
  = Some (v', (τ σ n, sat φ n))
  ⟨proof⟩

end

context MDL
begin

lemma reach_elem:
  assumes reaches (λi. if P i then Some (Suc i, (τ σ i, Γ σ i)) else None) s n s' s = 0
  shows s' = n
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation default_vydra: VYDRA_MDL σ 0 λi. Some (Suc i, (τ σ i, Γ σ i))
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma reaches_inj: reaches r s i t ⇒ reaches r s i t' ⇒ t = t'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma progress_sound:
  assumes
    ⋀n. n < length ts ⇒ ts ! n = τ σ n
    ⋀n. n < length ts ⇒ τ σ n = τ σ' n
    ⋀n. n < length ts ⇒ Γ σ n = Γ σ' n
    n < progress phi ts
    bounded_future_fmla phi
    wf_fmla phi
  shows MDL.sat σ phi n ↔ MDL.sat σ' phi n
  ⟨proof⟩

end
theory Preliminaries
  imports MDL
begin

```

4 Formulas and Satisfiability

```
declare [[typedef_overloaded]]
```

```
context
begin
```

```
qualified datatype ('a, 't :: timestamp) formula = Bool bool | Atom 'a | Neg ('a, 't) formula |
  Bin bool ⇒ bool ⇒ bool ('a, 't) formula ('a, 't) formula |
  Prev 't I ('a, 't) formula | Next 't I ('a, 't) formula |
  Since ('a, 't) formula 't I ('a, 't) formula |
```

```

Until ('a, 't) formula 't I ('a, 't) formula |
MatchP 't I ('a, 't) regex | MatchF 't I ('a, 't) regex
and ('a, 't) regex = Test ('a, 't) formula | Wild |
Plus ('a, 't) regex ('a, 't) regex | Times ('a, 't) regex ('a, 't) regex |
Star ('a, 't) regex

end

fun mdl2mdl :: ('a, 't :: timestamp) Preliminaries.formula  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 't) formula
and embed :: ('a, 't) Preliminaries.regex  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 't) regex where
  mdl2mdl (Preliminaries.Bool b) = Bool b
  | mdl2mdl (Preliminaries.Atom a) = Atom a
  | mdl2mdl (Preliminaries.Neg phi) = Neg (mdl2mdl phi)
  | mdl2mdl (Preliminaries.Bin f phi psi) = Bin f (mdl2mdl phi) (mdl2mdl psi)
  | mdl2mdl (Preliminaries.Prev I phi) = Prev I (mdl2mdl phi)
  | mdl2mdl (Preliminaries.Next I phi) = Next I (mdl2mdl phi)
  | mdl2mdl (Preliminaries.Since phi I psi) = Since (mdl2mdl phi) I (mdl2mdl psi)
  | mdl2mdl (Preliminaries.Until phi I psi) = Until (mdl2mdl phi) I (mdl2mdl psi)
  | mdl2mdl (Preliminaries.MatchP I r) = MatchP I (Times (embed r) (Symbol (Bool True)))
  | mdl2mdl (Preliminaries.MatchF I r) = MatchF I (Times (embed r) (Symbol (Bool True)))
  | embed (Preliminaries.Test phi) = Lookahead (mdl2mdl phi)
  | embed Preliminaries.Wild = Symbol (Bool True)
  | embed (Preliminaries.Plus r s) = Plus (embed r) (embed s)
  | embed (Preliminaries.Times r s) = Times (embed r) (embed s)
  | embed (Preliminaries.Star r) = Star (embed r)

lemma mdl2mdl_wf:
  fixes phi :: ('a, 't :: timestamp) Preliminaries.formula
  shows wf_fmla (mdl2mdl phi)
  ⟨proof⟩

fun embed' :: (('a, 't :: timestamp) formula  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 't) Preliminaries.formula)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 't) regex  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 't)
Preliminaries.regex where
  embed' f (Lookahead phi) = Preliminaries.Test (f phi)
  | embed' f (Symbol phi) = Preliminaries.Times (Preliminaries.Test (f phi)) Preliminaries.Wild
  | embed' f (Plus r s) = Preliminaries.Plus (embed' f r) (embed' f s)
  | embed' f (Times r s) = Preliminaries.Times (embed' f r) (embed' f s)
  | embed' f (Star r) = Preliminaries.Star (embed' f r)

lemma embed'_cong[fundef_cong]: ( $\wedge$ phi. phi  $\in$  atms r  $\Rightarrow$  f phi = f' phi)  $\Rightarrow$  embed' f r = embed' f' r
  ⟨proof⟩

fun mdl2mdl' :: ('a, 't :: timestamp) formula  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 't) Preliminaries.formula where
  mdl2mdl' (Bool b) = Preliminaries.Bool b
  | mdl2mdl' (Atom a) = Preliminaries.Atom a
  | mdl2mdl' (Neg phi) = Preliminaries.Neg (mdl2mdl' phi)
  | mdl2mdl' (Bin f phi psi) = Preliminaries.Bin f (mdl2mdl' phi) (mdl2mdl' psi)
  | mdl2mdl' (Prev I phi) = Preliminaries.Prev I (mdl2mdl' phi)
  | mdl2mdl' (Next I phi) = Preliminaries.Next I (mdl2mdl' phi)
  | mdl2mdl' (Since phi I psi) = Preliminaries.Since (mdl2mdl' phi) I (mdl2mdl' psi)
  | mdl2mdl' (Until phi I psi) = Preliminaries.Until (mdl2mdl' phi) I (mdl2mdl' psi)
  | mdl2mdl' (MatchP I r) = Preliminaries.MatchP I (embed' mdl2mdl' (rderive r))
  | mdl2mdl' (MatchF I r) = Preliminaries.MatchF I (embed' mdl2mdl' (rderive r))

context MDL
begin

```

```

fun rvsat :: ('a, 't) Preliminaries.formula  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and rvmatch :: ('a, 't) Preliminaries.regex  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\times$  nat) set where
  rvsat (Preliminaries.Bool b) i = b
  | rvsat (Preliminaries.Atom a) i = ( $a \in \Gamma \sigma i$ )
  | rvsat (Preliminaries.Neg  $\varphi$ ) i = ( $\neg \text{rvsat } \varphi i$ )
  | rvsat (Preliminaries.Bin f  $\varphi \psi$ ) i = ( $f(\text{rvsat } \varphi i) (\text{rvsat } \psi i)$ )
  | rvsat (Preliminaries.Prev I  $\varphi$ ) i = ( $\text{case } i \text{ of } 0 \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid \text{Suc } j \Rightarrow \text{mem } (\tau \sigma j) (\tau \sigma i) I \wedge \text{rvsat } \varphi j$ )
  | rvsat (Preliminaries.Next I  $\varphi$ ) i = ( $\text{mem } (\tau \sigma i) (\tau \sigma (\text{Suc } i)) I \wedge \text{rvsat } \varphi (\text{Suc } i)$ )
  | rvsat (Preliminaries.Since  $\varphi I \psi$ ) i = ( $\exists j \leq i. \text{mem } (\tau \sigma j) (\tau \sigma i) I \wedge \text{rvsat } \psi j \wedge (\forall k \in \{j <.. i\}. \text{rvsat } \varphi k)$ )
  | rvsat (Preliminaries.Until  $\varphi I \psi$ ) i = ( $\exists j \geq i. \text{mem } (\tau \sigma i) (\tau \sigma j) I \wedge \text{rvsat } \psi j \wedge (\forall k \in \{i..<j\}. \text{rvsat } \varphi k)$ )
  | rvsat (Preliminaries.MatchP I r) i = ( $\exists j \leq i. \text{mem } (\tau \sigma j) (\tau \sigma i) I \wedge (j, i) \in \text{rvmatch } r$ )
  | rvsat (Preliminaries.MatchF I r) i = ( $\exists j \geq i. \text{mem } (\tau \sigma i) (\tau \sigma j) I \wedge (i, j) \in \text{rvmatch } r$ )
  | rvmatch (Preliminaries.Test  $\varphi$ ) = {(i, i) | i. rvsat  $\varphi i$ }
  | rvmatch (Preliminaries.Wild) = {(i, i + 1) | i. True}
  | rvmatch (Preliminaries.Plus r s) = rvmatch r  $\cup$  rvmatch s
  | rvmatch (Preliminaries.Times r s) = rvmatch r  $O$  rvmatch s
  | rvmatch (Preliminaries.Star r) = rtranc (rvmatch r)

lemma mdl2mdl_equiv:
  fixes phi :: ('a, 't :: timestamp) Preliminaries.formula
  shows  $\bigwedge i. \text{sat } (\text{mdl2mdl } \text{phi}) i \longleftrightarrow \text{rvsat } \text{phi } i$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma mdlstar2mdl:
  fixes phi :: ('a, 't :: timestamp) Preliminaries.formula
  shows wf_fmla (mdl2mdl phi)  $\wedge \bigwedge i. \text{sat } (\text{mdl2mdl } \text{phi}) i \longleftrightarrow \text{rvsat } \text{phi } i$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma rvmatch_embed':
  assumes  $\bigwedge \text{phi } i. \text{phi } i \in \text{atms } r \implies \text{rvsat } (\text{mdl2mdl}' \text{phi}) i \longleftrightarrow \text{sat } \text{phi } i$ 
  shows rvmatch (embed' mdl2mdl' r) = match r
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma mdl2mdlstar:
  fixes phi :: ('a, 't :: timestamp) formula
  assumes wf_fmla phi
  shows  $\bigwedge i. \text{rvsat } (\text{mdl2mdl}' \text{phi}) i \longleftrightarrow \text{sat } \text{phi } i$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

end
theory Monitor_Code
  imports HOL-Library.Code_Target_Nat Containers.Containers Monitor Preliminaries
begin

  derive (eq) ceq enat

  instantiation enat :: ccompare begin

    definition ccompare_enat :: enat comparator option where
      ccompare_enat = Some ( $\lambda x y. \text{if } x = y \text{ then order.Eq else if } x < y \text{ then order.Lt else order.Gt}$ )

    instance  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

  end

```

```

code_printing
  code_module IArray  $\rightarrow$  (OCaml)
⟨module IArray : sig
  val length' : 'a array  $\rightarrow$  Z.t
  val sub' : 'a array * Z.t  $\rightarrow$  'a
end = struct

let length' xs = Z.of_int (Array.length xs);;

let sub' (xs, i) = Array.get xs (Z.to_int i);;

end⟩ for type_constructor iarray constant IArray.length' IArray.sub'

code_reserved (OCaml) IArray

code_printing
  type_constructor iarray  $\rightarrow$  (OCaml) _ array
| constant iarray_of_list  $\rightarrow$  (OCaml) Array.of'_list
| constant IArray.list_of  $\rightarrow$  (OCaml) Array.to'_list
| constant IArray.length'  $\rightarrow$  (OCaml) IArray.length'
| constant IArray.sub'  $\rightarrow$  (OCaml) IArray.sub'

lemma iarray_list_of_inj: IArray.list_of xs = IArray.list_of ys  $\implies$  xs = ys
  ⟨proof⟩

instantiation iarray :: (ccompare) ccompare
begin

definition ccompare_iarray :: ('a iarray  $\Rightarrow$  'a iarray  $\Rightarrow$  order) option where
  ccompare_iarray = (case ID CCOMPARE('a list) of None  $\Rightarrow$  None
  | Some c  $\Rightarrow$  Some ( $\lambda$ xs ys. c (IArray.list_of xs) (IArray.list_of ys)))

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

derive (rbt) mapping_impl iarray

definition mk_db :: String.literal list  $\Rightarrow$  String.literal set where mk_db = set

definition init_vydra_string_enat :: _  $\Rightarrow$  _  $\Rightarrow$  _  $\Rightarrow$  (String.literal, enat, 'e) vydra where
  init_vydra_string_enat = init_vydra
definition run_vydra_string_enat :: _  $\Rightarrow$  (String.literal, enat, 'e) vydra  $\Rightarrow$  _ where
  run_vydra_string_enat = run_vydra
definition progress_enat :: (String.literal, enat) formula  $\Rightarrow$  enat list  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  progress_enat = progress
definition bounded_future_fmla_enat :: (String.literal, enat) formula  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  bounded_future_fmla_enat = bounded_future_fmla
definition wf_fmla_enat :: (String.literal, enat) formula  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  wf_fmla_enat = wf_fmla
definition mdl2mdl'_enat :: (String.literal, enat) formula  $\Rightarrow$  (String.literal, enat) Preliminaries.formula
where
  mdl2mdl'_enat = mdl2mdl'
definition interval_enat :: enat  $\Rightarrow$  enat  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\Rightarrow$  enat  $\mathcal{I}$  where
  interval_enat = interval
definition rep_interval_enat :: enat  $\mathcal{I}$   $\Rightarrow$  enat  $\times$  enat  $\times$  bool  $\times$  bool where

```

```

rep_interval_enat = Rep_I

definition init_vydra_string_ereal :: _ ⇒ _ ⇒ _ ⇒ (String.literal, ereal, 'e) vydra where
  init_vydra_string_ereal = init_vydra
definition run_vydra_string_ereal :: _ ⇒ (String.literal, ereal, 'e) vydra ⇒ _ where
  run_vydra_string_ereal = run_vydra
definition progress_ereal :: (String.literal, ereal) formula ⇒ ereal list ⇒ real where
  progress_ereal = progress
definition bounded_future_fmla_ereal :: (String.literal, ereal) formula ⇒ bool where
  bounded_future_fmla_ereal = bounded_future_fmla
definition wf_fmla_ereal :: (String.literal, ereal) formula ⇒ bool where
  wf_fmla_ereal = wf_fmla
definition mdl2mdl'_ereal :: (String.literal, ereal) formula ⇒ (String.literal, ereal) Preliminaries.formula
where
  mdl2mdl'_ereal = mdl2mdl'
definition interval_ereal :: ereal ⇒ ereal ⇒ bool ⇒ bool ⇒ ereal I where
  interval_ereal = interval
definition rep_interval_ereal :: ereal I ⇒ ereal × ereal × bool × bool where
  rep_interval_ereal = Rep_I

lemma tfin_enat_code[code]: (tfin :: enat set) = Collect_set (λx. x ≠ ∞)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma tfin_ereal_code[code]: (tfin :: ereal set) = Collect_set (λx. x ≠ −∞ ∧ x ≠ ∞)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Ball_atms[code_unfold]: Ball (atms r) P = list_all P (collect_subfmlas r [])
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma MIN_fold: (MIN x∈set (z # zs). f x) = fold min (map f zs) (f z)
  ⟨proof⟩

declare progress.simps(1–8)[code]

lemma progress_matchP_code[code]:
  progress (MatchP I r) ts = (case collect_subfmlas r [] of x # xs ⇒ fold min (map (λf. progress f ts) xs) (progress x ts))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma progress_matchF_code[code]:
  progress (MatchF I r) ts = (if length ts = 0 then 0 else
    (let k = min (length ts – 1) (case collect_subfmlas r [] of x # xs ⇒ fold min (map (λf. progress f ts) xs) (progress x ts)) in
      Min {j ∈ {..k}. memR (ts ! j) (ts ! k) I)))
  ⟨proof⟩

export_code init_vydra_string_enat run_vydra_string_enat progress_enat bounded_future_fmla_enat
wf_fmla_enat mdl2mdl'_enat
Bool Preliminaries.Bool enat interval_enat rep_interval_enat nat_of_integer integer_of_nat mk_db
in OCaml module_name VYDRA file_prefix verified

end
theory Timestamp_Lex
  imports Timestamp
begin

instantiation prod :: (timestamp_total_strict, timestamp_total_strict) timestamp_total_strict
begin

```

```

definition tfin_prod :: ('a × 'b) set where
  tfin_prod = tfin × UNIV

definition i_prod :: nat ⇒ 'a × 'b where
  i_prod n = (i n, i n)

fun sup_prod :: 'a × 'b ⇒ 'a × 'b ⇒ 'a × 'b where
  sup_prod (a, b) (c, d) = (if a < c then (c, d) else if c < a then (a, b) else (a, sup b d))

fun less_eq_prod :: 'a × 'b ⇒ 'a × 'b ⇒ bool where
  less_eq_prod (a, b) (c, d) ↔ a < c ∨ (a = c ∧ b ≤ d)

definition less_prod :: 'a × 'b ⇒ 'a × 'b ⇒ bool where
  less_prod x y ↔ x ≤ y ∧ x ≠ y

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end
theory Timestamp_Prod
  imports Timestamp
begin

instantiation prod :: (timestamp, timestamp) timestamp
begin

definition tfin_prod :: ('a × 'b) set where
  tfin_prod = tfin × tfin

definition i_prod :: nat ⇒ 'a × 'b where
  i_prod n = (i n, i n)

fun sup_prod :: 'a × 'b ⇒ 'a × 'b ⇒ 'a × 'b where
  sup_prod (a, b) (c, d) = (sup a c, sup b d)

fun less_eq_prod :: 'a × 'b ⇒ 'a × 'b ⇒ bool where
  less_eq_prod (a, b) (c, d) ↔ a ≤ c ∨ b ≤ d

definition less_prod :: 'a × 'b ⇒ 'a × 'b ⇒ bool where
  less_prod x y ↔ x ≤ y ∧ x ≠ y

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

References

- [1] R. Koymans. Specifying real-time properties with metric temporal logic. *Real Time Syst.*, 2(4):255–299, 1990.
- [2] M. Raszyk, D. A. Basin, and D. Traytel. Multi-head monitoring of metric dynamic logic. In

D. V. Hung and O. Sokolsky, editors, *Automated Technology for Verification and Analysis - 18th International Symposium, ATVA 2020, Hanoi, Vietnam, October 19-23, 2020, Proceedings*, volume 12302 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 233–250. Springer, 2020.