

Verification of the UpDown scheme

Johannes Hözl

17. März 2025

Zusammenfassung

The UpDown scheme is a recursive scheme used to compute the stiffness matrix on a special form of sparse grids. Usually, when discretizing a Euclidean space of dimension d we need $O(n^d)$ points, for n points along each dimension. Sparse grids are a hierarchical representation where the number of points is reduced to $O(n \cdot \log(n)^d)$. One disadvantage of such sparse grids is that the algorithm now operate recursively in the dimensions and levels of the sparse grid.

The UpDown scheme allows us to compute the stiffness matrix on such a sparse grid. The stiffness matrix represents the influence of each representation function on the L^2 scalar product. For a detailed description see Pflüger's PhD thesis [2]. This formalization was developed as an interdisciplinary project (IDP) at the TU München [1].

Note: This development has two main theories. The correctness of the UpDown scheme, and a verification of an imperative version of it. Both theories can not be merged, as they use different orders on the product type.

Inhaltsverzeichnis

1 Grid Points	2
2 Sparse Grids	4
2.1 Vectors	4
2.2 Inductive enumeration of all grid points	5
2.3 Grid Restricted to a Level	7
2.4 Unbounded Sparse Grid	8
2.5 Sparse Grid	8
2.6 Compute Sparse Grid Points	9
2.7 Base Points	9
2.8 Lift Operation over all Grid Points	11
2.9 Parent Points	11
3 Hat Functions	12

4	UpDown Scheme	16
5	Up Part	16
6	Down part	17
7	UpDown	18
8	Imperative Version	18

1 Grid Points

```

theory Grid-Point
imports HOL-Analysis.Multivariate-Analysis
begin

type-synonym grid-point = (nat × int) list

definition lv :: grid-point ⇒ nat ⇒ nat
  where lv p d = fst (p ! d)

definition ix :: grid-point ⇒ nat ⇒ int
  where ix p d = snd (p ! d)

definition level :: grid-point ⇒ nat
  where level p = (∑ i < length p. lv p i)

lemma level-all-eq:
  assumes ⋀d. d < length p ⟹ lv p d = lv p' d
  and length p = length p'
  shows level p' = level p
  ⟨proof⟩

datatype dir = left | right

fun sgn :: dir ⇒ int
where
  sgn left = -1
  | sgn right = 1

fun inv :: dir ⇒ dir
where
  inv left = right
  | inv right = left

lemma inv-inv[simp]: inv (inv dir) = dir
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sgn-inv[simp]: sgn (inv dir) = - sgn dir

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition $child :: grid-point \Rightarrow dir \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow grid-point$
where $child p dir d = p[d := (lv p d + 1, 2 * (ix p d) + sgn dir)]$

lemma $child-length[simp]: length (child p dir d) = length p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $child-odd[simp]: d < length p \implies odd (ix (child p dir d) d)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $child-eq: p ! d = (l, i) \implies \exists j. child p dir d = p[d := (l + 1, j)]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $child-other: d \neq d' \implies child p dir d ! d' = p ! d'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $child-invariant: \text{assumes } d' < length p \text{ shows } (child p dir d ! d' = p ! d')$
 $= (d \neq d')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $child-single-level: d < length p \implies lv (child p dir d) d > lv p d$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $child-lv: d < length p \implies lv (child p dir d) d = lv p d + 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $child-lv-other: \text{assumes } d' \neq d \text{ shows } lv (child p dir d') d = lv p d$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $child-ix-left: d < length p \implies ix (child p left d) d = 2 * ix p d - 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $child-ix-right: d < length p \implies ix (child p right d) d = 2 * ix p d + 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $child-ix: d < length p \implies ix (child p dir d) d = 2 * ix p d + sgn dir$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $child-level[simp]: \text{assumes } d < length p$
shows $level (child p dir d) = level p + 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $child-ex-neighbour: \exists b'. child b dir d = child b' (inv dir) d$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $child-level-gt[simp]: level (child p dir d) >= level p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma child-estimate-child:
  assumes d < length p
  and l ≤ lv p d
  and i'-range: ix p d < (i + 1) * 2^(lv p d - l) ∧
    ix p d > (i - 1) * 2^(lv p d - l)
    (is ?top p ∧ ?bottom p)
  and is-child: p' = child p dir d
  shows ?top p' ∧ ?bottom p'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma child-neighbour: assumes child p (inv dir) d = child ps dir d (is ?c-p =
  ?c-ps)
  shows ps = p[d := (lv p d, ix p d - sgn dir)] (is - = ?ps)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition start :: nat ⇒ grid-point
where
  start dm = replicate dm (0, 1)

lemma start-lv[simp]: d < dm ⇒ lv (start dm) d = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma start-ix[simp]: d < dm ⇒ ix (start dm) d = 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma start-length[simp]: length (start dm) = dm
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma level-start-0[simp]: level (start dm) = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

2 Sparse Grids

```

theory Grid
imports Grid-Point
begin

```

2.1 Vectors

```
type-synonym vector = grid-point ⇒ real
```

```
definition null-vector :: vector
where null-vector ≡ λ p. 0
```

```
definition sum-vector :: vector ⇒ vector ⇒ vector
where sum-vector α β ≡ λ p. α p + β p
```

2.2 Inductive enumeration of all grid points

inductive-set

grid :: *grid-point* \Rightarrow *nat set* \Rightarrow *grid-point set*

for *b* :: *grid-point* **and** *ds* :: *nat set*

where

Start[intro!]: *b* \in *grid b ds*

| *Child[intro!]*: $\llbracket p \in \text{grid } b \text{ } ds ; d \in ds \rrbracket \implies \text{child } p \text{ } \text{dir } d \in \text{grid } b \text{ } ds$

lemma *grid-length[simp]*: *p'* \in *grid p ds* \implies *length p' = length p*

{proof}

lemma *grid-union-dims*: $\llbracket ds \subseteq ds' ; p \in \text{grid } b \text{ } ds \rrbracket \implies p \in \text{grid } b \text{ } ds'$

{proof}

lemma *grid-transitive*: $\llbracket a \in \text{grid } b \text{ } ds ; b \in \text{grid } c \text{ } ds' ; ds' \subseteq ds'' ; ds \subseteq ds'' \rrbracket$

$\implies a \in \text{grid } c \text{ } ds''$

{proof}

lemma *grid-child[intro?]*: **assumes** *d* \in *ds* **and** *p-grid*: *p* \in *grid (child b dir d) ds*

shows *p* \in *grid b ds*

{proof}

lemma *grid-single-level[simp]*: **assumes** *p* \in *grid b ds* **and** *d < length b*

shows *lv b d* \leq *lv p d*

{proof}

lemma *grid-child-level*:

assumes *d < length b*

and *p-grid*: *p* \in *grid (child b dir d) ds*

shows *lv b d < lv p d*

{proof}

lemma *child-out*: *length p* \leq *d* \implies *child p dir d = p*

{proof}

lemma *grid-dim-remove*:

assumes *inset*: *p* \in *grid b* ($\{d\} \cup ds$)

and *eq*: *d < length b* \implies *p ! d = b ! d*

shows *p* \in *grid b ds*

{proof}

lemma *gridgen-dim-restrict*:

assumes *inset*: *p* \in *grid b* ($ds' \cup ds$)

and *eq*: $\forall d \in ds'. d \geq \text{length } b$

shows *p* \in *grid b ds*

{proof}

lemma *grid-dim-remove-outer*: *grid b ds = grid b {d ∈ ds. d < length b}*

{proof}

```

lemma grid-level[intro]: assumes  $p \in \text{grid } b \text{ } ds$  shows  $\text{level } b \leq \text{level } p$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma grid-empty-ds[simp]:  $\text{grid } b \{ \} = \{ \text{ } b \text{ } \}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma grid-Start: assumes inset:  $p \in \text{grid } b \text{ } ds$  and eq:  $\text{level } p = \text{level } b$  shows
 $p = b$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma grid-estimate:
  assumes  $d < \text{length } b$  and p-grid:  $p \in \text{grid } b \text{ } ds$ 
  shows  $\text{ix } p \text{ } d < (\text{ix } b \text{ } d + 1) * 2^{\lceil \text{lv } p \text{ } d - \text{lv } b \text{ } d \rceil} \wedge \text{ix } p \text{ } d > (\text{ix } b \text{ } d - 1) * 2^{\lceil \text{lv } p \text{ } d - \text{lv } b \text{ } d \rceil}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma grid-odd: assumes  $d < \text{length } b$  and p-diff:  $p \neq b$  and p-grid:  $p \in \text{grid } b \text{ } ds$ 
  shows odd (ix p d)
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma grid-invariant: assumes  $d < \text{length } b$  and  $d \notin ds$  and p-grid:  $p \in \text{grid } b \text{ } ds$ 
  shows  $p \neq b$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma grid-part: assumes  $d < \text{length } b$  and p-valid:  $p \in \text{grid } b \{ d \}$  and p'-valid:
 $p' \in \text{grid } b \{ d \}$ 
  and level:  $\text{lv } p' \text{ } d \geq \text{lv } p \text{ } d$ 
  and right:  $\text{ix } p' \text{ } d \leq (\text{ix } p \text{ } d + 1) * 2^{\lceil \text{lv } p' \text{ } d - \text{lv } p \text{ } d \rceil}$  (is ?right p p' d)
  and left:  $\text{ix } p' \text{ } d \geq (\text{ix } p \text{ } d - 1) * 2^{\lceil \text{lv } p' \text{ } d - \text{lv } p \text{ } d \rceil}$  (is ?left p p' d)
  shows  $p' \in \text{grid } p \{ d \}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma grid-disjunct: assumes  $d < \text{length } p$ 
  shows grid (child p left d) ds ∩ grid (child p right d) ds = {}
  (is grid ?l ds ∩ grid ?r ds = {})
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma grid-level-eq: assumes eq:  $\forall d \in ds. \text{lv } p \text{ } d = \text{lv } b \text{ } d$  and grid:  $p \in \text{grid } b \text{ } ds$ 
  shows  $\text{level } p = \text{level } b$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma grid-partition:
   $\text{grid } p \{ d \} = \{ p \} \cup \text{grid } (\text{child } p \text{ left } d) \{ d \} \cup \text{grid } (\text{child } p \text{ right } d) \{ d \}$ 
  (is - = - ∪ grid ?l {d} ∪ grid ?r {d})
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma grid-change-dim: assumes grid:  $p \in \text{grid } b \text{ } ds$ 
  shows  $p[d := X] \in \text{grid } (b[d := X]) \text{ } ds$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma grid-change-dim-child: assumes grid:  $p \in \text{grid } b \text{ } ds$  and  $d \notin ds$ 
  shows child p dir d ∈ grid (child b dir d) ds
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma grid-split: assumes grid:  $p \in \text{grid } b \text{ } (ds' \cup ds)$  shows  $\exists x \in \text{grid } b \text{ } ds. p$ 

```

```

 $\in \text{grid } x \text{ } ds'$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
lemma grid-union-eq:  $(\bigcup p \in \text{grid } b \text{ } ds. \text{ grid } p \text{ } ds') = \text{grid } b \text{ } (ds' \cup ds)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
lemma grid-onedim-split:
 $\text{grid } b \text{ } (ds \cup \{d\}) = \text{grid } b \text{ } ds \cup \text{grid } (\text{child } b \text{ } \text{left } d) \text{ } (ds \cup \{d\}) \cup \text{grid } (\text{child } b \text{ } \text{right } d) \text{ } (ds \cup \{d\})$ 
 $\text{(is } - = ?g \cup ?l \text{ } (ds \cup \{d\}) \cup ?r \text{ } (ds \cup \{d\}))$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
lemma grid-child-without-parent: assumes grid:  $p \in \text{grid } (\text{child } b \text{ } \text{dir } d) \text{ } ds$  (is  $p \in \text{grid } ?c \text{ } ds$ ) and  $d < \text{length } b$ 
shows  $p \neq b$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
lemma grid-disjunct':
assumes  $p \in \text{grid } b \text{ } ds$  and  $p' \in \text{grid } b \text{ } ds$  and  $x \in \text{grid } p \text{ } ds' \text{ and } p \neq p' \text{ and } ds \cap ds' = \{\}$ 
shows  $x \notin \text{grid } p' \text{ } ds'$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
lemma grid-split1: assumes grid:  $p \in \text{grid } b \text{ } (ds' \cup ds)$  and  $ds \cap ds' = \{\}$ 
shows  $\exists! x \in \text{grid } b \text{ } ds. p \in \text{grid } x \text{ } ds'$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

2.3 Grid Restricted to a Level

definition *lgrid* :: *grid-point* \Rightarrow *nat set* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *grid-point set*
where *lgrid* $b \text{ } ds \text{ } lm = \{ p \in \text{grid } b \text{ } ds. \text{ level } p < lm \}$

lemma *lgridI[intro]*:
 $\llbracket p \in \text{grid } b \text{ } ds ; \text{level } p < lm \rrbracket \implies p \in \text{lgrid } b \text{ } ds \text{ } lm$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lgridE[elim]*:
assumes $p \in \text{lgrid } b \text{ } ds \text{ } lm$
assumes $\llbracket p \in \text{grid } b \text{ } ds ; \text{level } p < lm \rrbracket \implies P$
shows P
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lgridI-child[intro]*:
 $d \in ds \implies p \in \text{lgrid } (\text{child } b \text{ } \text{dir } d) \text{ } ds \text{ } lm \implies p \in \text{lgrid } b \text{ } ds \text{ } lm$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lgrid-empty[simp]*: $\text{lgrid } p \text{ } ds \text{ (level } p) = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lgrid-empty'*: **assumes** $lm \leq \text{level } p$ **shows** $\text{lgrid } p \text{ } ds \text{ } lm = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *grid-not-child*:
assumes [simp]: $d < \text{length } p$

shows $p \notin \text{grid} (\text{child } p \text{ dir } d) \ ds$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.4 Unbounded Sparse Grid

definition $\text{sparsegrid}' :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{grid-point set}$
where
 $\text{sparsegrid}' dm = \text{grid} (\text{start } dm) \{ 0 .. < dm \}$

lemma $\text{grid-subset-alldim}:$
assumes $p: p \in \text{grid } b \ ds$
defines $dm \equiv \text{length } b$
shows $p \in \text{grid } b \{ 0 .. < dm \}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{sparsegrid}'\text{-length}[\text{simp}]:$
 $b \in \text{sparsegrid}' dm \implies \text{length } b = dm$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{sparsegrid}'I[\text{intro}]:$
assumes $b: b \in \text{sparsegrid}' dm \text{ and } p: p \in \text{grid } b \ ds$
shows $p \in \text{sparsegrid}' dm$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{sparsegrid}'\text{-start}:$
assumes $b \in \text{grid} (\text{start } dm) \ ds$
shows $b \in \text{sparsegrid}' dm$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.5 Sparse Grid

definition $\text{sparsegrid} :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{grid-point set}$
where
 $\text{sparsegrid} dm lm = \text{lgrid} (\text{start } dm) \{ 0 .. < dm \} lm$

lemma $\text{sparsegrid-length}: p \in \text{sparsegrid} dm lm \implies \text{length } p = dm$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{sparsegrid-subset}[\text{intro}]: p \in \text{sparsegrid} dm lm \implies p \in \text{sparsegrid}' dm$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{sparsegrid}I[\text{intro}]:$
assumes $p \in \text{sparsegrid}' dm \text{ and level } p < lm$
shows $p \in \text{sparsegrid} dm lm$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{sparsegrid-start}:$
assumes $b \in \text{lgrid} (\text{start } dm) \ ds lm$
shows $b \in \text{sparsegrid} dm lm$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma sparsegridE[elim]:
  assumes  $p \in \text{sparsegrid } dm \text{ lm}$ 
  shows  $p \in \text{sparsegrid}' dm \text{ and level } p < lm$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

2.6 Compute Sparse Grid Points

```

fun gridgen :: grid-point  $\Rightarrow$  nat set  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  grid-point list
where
  gridgen  $p \ ds \ 0 = []$ 
  | gridgen  $p \ ds \ (Suc \ l) = (let$ 
    sub =  $\lambda \ d. \ gridgen \ (child \ p \ left \ d) \{ \ d' \in ds . \ d' \leq d \} \ l @$ 
          gridgen  $(child \ p \ right \ d) \{ \ d' \in ds . \ d' \leq d \} \ l$ 
    in  $p \ # \ concat \ (map \ sub \ [ \ d \leftarrow [0 .. < \ length \ p]. \ d \in ds])$ )

```

```

lemma gridgen-lgrid-eq: set (gridgen  $p \ ds \ l) = lgrid \ p \ ds \ (\text{level } p + l)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma gridgen-distinct: distinct (gridgen  $p \ ds \ l)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma lgrid-finite: finite (lgrid  $b \ ds \ lm$ )
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma lgrid-sum:
  fixes  $F :: \text{grid-point} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ 
  assumes  $d < \text{length } b \text{ and level } b < lm$ 
  shows  $(\sum \ p \in lgrid \ b \ \{d\} \ lm. \ F \ p) =$ 
     $(\sum \ p \in lgrid \ (child \ b \ left \ d) \ \{d\} \ lm. \ F \ p) + (\sum \ p \in lgrid \ (child \ b \ right \ d) \ \{d\} \ lm. \ F \ p) + F \ b$ 
    (is  $(\sum \ p \in ?grid \ b. \ F \ p) = (\sum \ p \in ?grid \ ?l . \ F \ p) + (?sum \ (?grid \ ?r)) + F \ b$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

2.7 Base Points

```

definition base :: nat set  $\Rightarrow$  grid-point  $\Rightarrow$  grid-point
where base  $ds \ p = (\text{THE } b. \ b \in \text{grid} \ (\text{start} \ (\text{length } p)) \ (\{0 .. < \ length \ p\} - ds) \wedge$ 
 $p \in \text{grid} \ b \ ds)$ 

```

```

lemma baseE: assumes  $p \text{-grid}: p \in \text{sparsegrid}' dm$ 
  shows base  $ds \ p \in \text{grid} \ (\text{start} \ dm) \ (\{0.. < dm\} - ds)$ 
  and  $p \in \text{grid} \ (\text{base} \ ds \ p) \ ds$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma baseI: assumes  $x \text{-grid}: x \in \text{grid} \ (\text{start} \ dm) \ (\{0.. < dm\} - ds) \text{ and } p \text{-xgrid}:$ 
 $p \in \text{grid} \ x \ ds$ 
  shows base  $ds \ p = x$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma base-empty: assumes  $p \text{-grid}: p \in \text{sparsegrid}' dm$  shows base  $\{\} \ p = p$ 

```

(proof)

lemma *base-start-eq*: **assumes** *p-spg*: $p \in \text{sparsegrid} dm lm$
shows *start dm* = *base* { $0..<dm$ } *p*
(proof)

lemma *base-in-grid*: **assumes** *p-grid*: $p \in \text{sparsegrid}' dm$ **shows** *base ds p* $\in \text{grid}$
(*start dm*) { $0..<dm$ }
(proof)

lemma *base-grid*: **assumes** *p-grid*: $p \in \text{sparsegrid}' dm$ **shows** *grid* (*base ds p*) *ds*
 $\subseteq \text{sparsegrid}' dm$

(proof)

lemma *base-length[simp]*: **assumes** *p-grid*: $p \in \text{sparsegrid}' dm$ **shows** *length* (*base ds p*) = *dm*
(proof)

lemma *base-in[simp]*: **assumes** *d < dm* **and** *d* $\in ds$ **and** *p-grid*: $p \in \text{sparsegrid}' dm$
shows *base ds p ! d* = *start dm ! d*
(proof)

lemma *base-out[simp]*: **assumes** *d < dm* **and** *d* $\notin ds$ **and** *p-grid*: $p \in \text{sparsegrid}' dm$
shows *base ds p ! d* = *p ! d*
(proof)

lemma *base-base*: **assumes** *p-grid*: $p \in \text{sparsegrid}' dm$ **shows** *base ds* (*base ds' p*)
= *base* (*ds* \cup *ds'*) *p*
(proof)

lemma *grid-base-out*: **assumes** *d < dm* **and** *d* $\notin ds$ **and** *p-grid*: $b \in \text{sparsegrid}' dm$
and *p* $\in \text{grid}$ (*base ds b*) *ds*
shows *p ! d* = *b ! d*
(proof)

lemma *grid-grid-inj-on*: **assumes** *ds* \cap *ds'* = {} **shows** *inj-on* *snd* ($\bigcup_{p' \in \text{grid}} b$
ds. $\bigcup_{p'' \in \text{grid}} p' ds'. \{(p', p'')\}$)
(proof)

lemma *grid-level-d*: **assumes** *d < length b* **and** *p-grid*: $p \in \text{grid} b \{d\}$ **and** *p* $\neq b$
shows *lv p d > lv b d*
(proof)

lemma *grid-base-base*: **assumes** *b* $\in \text{sparsegrid}' dm$
shows *base ds' b* $\in \text{grid}$ (*base ds* (*base ds' b*)) (*ds* \cup *ds'*)
(proof)

lemma *grid-base-union*: **assumes** *b-spg*: $b \in \text{sparsegrid}' dm$ **and** *p-grid*: $p \in \text{grid}$
(*base ds b*) *ds* **and** *x-grid*: $x \in \text{grid}$ (*base ds' p*) *ds'*
shows *x* $\in \text{grid}$ (*base* (*ds* \cup *ds'*) *b*) (*ds* \cup *ds'*)
(proof)

lemma *grid-base-dim-add*: **assumes** *ds' ⊆ ds* **and** *b-spg*: $b \in \text{sparsegrid}' dm$ **and**
p-grid: $p \in \text{grid}$ (*base ds' b*) *ds'*
shows *p* $\in \text{grid}$ (*base ds b*) *ds*

```

⟨proof⟩
lemma grid-replace-dim: assumes  $d < \text{length } b'$  and  $d < \text{length } b$  and p-grid:  $p \in \text{grid } b$   $ds$  and p'-grid:  $p' \in \text{grid } b'$   $ds$ 
shows  $p[d := p' ! d] \in \text{grid } (b[d := b' ! d])$   $ds$  (is  $- \in \text{grid } ?b$   $ds$ )
⟨proof⟩
lemma grid-shift-base:
assumes ds-dj:  $ds \cap ds' = \{\}$  and b-spg:  $b \in \text{sparsegrid}' dm$  and p-grid:  $p \in \text{grid } (\text{base } (ds' \cup ds) b)$   $(ds' \cup ds)$ 
shows base  $ds' p \in \text{grid } (\text{base } (ds \cup ds') b)$   $ds$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

2.8 Lift Operation over all Grid Points

```

definition lift ::  $(\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{grid-point} \Rightarrow \text{vector} \Rightarrow \text{vector}) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{vector} \Rightarrow \text{vector}$ 
where lift f dm lm d = foldr  $(\lambda p. f d (lm - \text{level } p) p)$  (gridgen (start dm)  $(\{0..< dm\} - \{d\}) lm$ )
lemma lift:
assumes  $d < dm$  and  $p \in \text{sparsegrid } dm lm$ 
and Fintro:  $\bigwedge l b p \alpha. [\![ b \in \text{lgrid } (\text{start } dm) (\{0..< dm\} - \{d\}) lm ;$ 
 $l + \text{level } b = lm ; p \in \text{sparsegrid } dm lm ]\!]$ 
 $\implies F d l b \alpha p = (\text{if } b = \text{base } \{d\} p$ 
 $\text{then } (\sum p' \in \text{lgrid } b \{d\} lm. S(\alpha p') p p')$ 
 $\text{else } \alpha p)$ 
shows lift F dm lm d α p =  $(\sum p' \in \text{lgrid } (\text{base } \{d\} p) \{d\} lm. S(\alpha p') p p')$ 
(is ?lift = ?S p α)
⟨proof⟩

```

2.9 Parent Points

```

definition parents ::  $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{grid-point} \Rightarrow \text{grid-point} \Rightarrow \text{grid-point set}$ 
where parents d b p =  $\{x \in \text{grid } b \{d\}. p \in \text{grid } x \{d\}\}$ 

```

```

lemma parents-split: assumes p-grid:  $p \in \text{grid } (\text{child } b \text{ dir } d) \{d\}$ 
shows parents d b p =  $\{b\} \cup \text{parents } d (\text{child } b \text{ dir } d) p$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma parents-no-parent: assumes  $d < \text{length } b$  shows  $b \notin \text{parents } d (\text{child } b \text{ dir } d) p$  (is  $- \notin \text{parents } - ?ch -$ )
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma parents-subset-lgrid: parents d b p  $\subseteq \text{lgrid } b \{d\} (\text{level } p + 1)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma parents-finite: finite (parents d b p)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma parent-sum: assumes p-grid:  $p \in \text{grid } (\text{child } b \text{ dir } d) \{d\}$  and  $d < \text{length } b$ 

```

```

shows ( $\sum_{x \in \text{parents } d} b \cdot p. F x$ ) =  $F b + (\sum_{x \in \text{parents } d} (\text{child } b \text{ dir } d) \cdot p. F x)$ 
(proof)

lemma parents-single:  $\text{parents } d \cdot b = \{ b \}$ 
(proof)

lemma grid-single-dimensional-specification:
assumes  $d < \text{length } b$ 
and  $\text{odd } i$ 
and  $\text{lv } b \cdot d + l' = l$ 
and  $i < (\text{ix } b \cdot d + 1) * 2^{\lceil l' \rceil}$ 
and  $i > (\text{ix } b \cdot d - 1) * 2^{\lceil l' \rceil}$ 
shows  $b[d := (l, i)] \in \text{grid } b \{d\}$ 
(proof)

lemma grid-multi-dimensional-specification:
assumes  $dm \leq \text{length } b$  and  $\text{length } p = \text{length } b$ 
and  $\bigwedge d. d < dm \implies$ 
     $\text{odd } (\text{ix } p \cdot d) \wedge$ 
     $\text{lv } b \cdot d \leq \text{lv } p \cdot d \wedge$ 
     $\text{ix } p \cdot d < (\text{ix } b \cdot d + 1) * 2^{\lceil (\text{lv } p \cdot d - \text{lv } b \cdot d) \rceil} \wedge$ 
     $\text{ix } p \cdot d > (\text{ix } b \cdot d - 1) * 2^{\lceil (\text{lv } p \cdot d - \text{lv } b \cdot d) \rceil}$ 
    (is  $\bigwedge d. d < dm \implies ?\text{bounded } p \cdot d$ )
and  $\bigwedge d. [dm \leq d ; d < \text{length } b] \implies p ! d = b ! d$ 
shows  $p \in \text{grid } b \{0..<dm\}$ 
(proof)

lemma sparsegrid:
sparsegrid  $dm \cdot lm = \{p.$ 
length  $p = dm \wedge \text{level } p < lm \wedge$ 
 $(\forall d < dm. \text{odd } (\text{ix } p \cdot d) \wedge 0 < \text{ix } p \cdot d \wedge \text{ix } p \cdot d < 2^{\lceil (\text{lv } p \cdot d + 1) \rceil})\}$ 
(is  $- = ?\text{set}$ )
(proof)

end

```

3 Hat Functions

```

theory Triangular-Function
imports
HOL-Analysis.Equivalence-Lebesgue-Henstock-Integration
Grid
begin

lemma continuous-on-max[continuous-intros]:
fixes  $f :: - \Rightarrow 'a::\text{linorder-topology}$ 
shows continuous-on  $S f \implies \text{continuous-on } S g \implies \text{continuous-on } S (\lambda x. \max(f x) (g x))$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition $\varphi :: (nat \times int) \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow real$ **where**
 $\varphi \equiv (\lambda(l,i). x. max\ 0\ (1 - |x * 2^{\lceil l+1 \rceil} - real-of-int\ i|))$

definition $\Phi :: (nat \times int) list \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow real) \Rightarrow real$ **where**
 $\Phi\ p\ x = (\prod d < length\ p. \varphi\ (p ! d)\ (x\ d))$

definition $l2\text{-}\varphi$ **where**
 $l2\text{-}\varphi\ p1\ p2 = (\int x. \varphi\ p1\ x * \varphi\ p2\ x\ \partial borel)$

definition $l2$ **where**
 $l2\ a\ b = (\int x. \Phi\ a\ x * \Phi\ b\ x\ \partial(\Pi_M\ d \in \{.. < length\ a\}. lborel))$

lemma measurable- φ [measurable]: $\varphi\ p \in borel\text{-measurable}$ borel
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\varphi\text{-nonneg}$: $0 \leq \varphi\ p\ x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\varphi\text{-zero-iff}$:
 $\varphi\ (l,i)\ x = 0 \longleftrightarrow x \notin \{real-of-int\ (i-1) / 2^{\lceil l+1 \rceil} < .. < real-of-int\ (i+1) / 2^{\lceil l+1 \rceil}\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\varphi\text{-zero}$: $x \notin \{real-of-int\ (i-1) / 2^{\lceil l+1 \rceil} < .. < real-of-int\ (i+1) / 2^{\lceil l+1 \rceil}\} \implies \varphi\ (l,i)\ x = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\varphi\text{-eq-0}$: **assumes** $x: x < 0 \vee 1 < x$ **and** $i: 0 < i\ i < 2^{\lceil Suc\ l \rceil}$ **shows** $\varphi\ (l,i)\ x = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ix\text{-lt}$: $p \in sparsegrid\ dm\ lm \implies d < dm \implies ix\ p\ d < 2^{\lceil lv\ p\ d + 1 \rceil}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ix\text{-gt}$: $p \in sparsegrid\ dm\ lm \implies d < dm \implies 0 < ix\ p\ d$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\Phi\text{-eq-0}$: **assumes** $x: \exists d < length\ p. x\ d < 0 \vee 1 < x\ d$ **and** $p: p \in sparsegrid\ dm\ lm$ **shows** $\Phi\ p\ x = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\varphi\text{-left-support}'$:
 $x \in \{real-of-int\ (i-1) / 2^{\lceil l+1 \rceil} .. real-of-int\ i / 2^{\lceil l+1 \rceil}\} \implies \varphi\ (l,i)\ x = 1 + x * 2^{\lceil l+1 \rceil} - real-of-int\ i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\varphi\text{-left-support}$: $x \in \{-1 .. 0::real\} \implies \varphi\ (l,i)\ ((x + real-of-int\ i) / 2^{\lceil l+1 \rceil}) = 1 + x * 2^{\lceil l+1 \rceil} - real-of-int\ i$

$+ 1)) = 1 + x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\varphi\text{-right-support}'$:

$x \in \{real\text{-of}\text{-int } i / 2^{\lceil l+1 \rceil} .. real\text{-of}\text{-int } (i+1) / 2^{\lceil l+1 \rceil}\} \implies \varphi(l, i) \cdot x = 1 - x * 2^{\lceil l+1 \rceil} + real\text{-of}\text{-int } i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\varphi\text{-right-support}$:

$x \in \{0 .. 1::real\} \implies \varphi(l, i) ((x + real\text{-}i) / 2^{\lceil l+1 \rceil}) = 1 - x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $integrable\text{-}\varphi : integrable\ lborel (\varphi\ p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $integrable\text{-}\varphi 2 : integrable\ lborel (\lambda x. \varphi\ p\ x * \varphi\ q\ x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $l2\text{-}\varphi I\text{-DERIV}$:

assumes $n : \bigwedge x. x \in \{ (real\text{-of}\text{-int } i' - 1) / 2^{\lceil l'+1 \rceil} .. real\text{-of}\text{-int } i' / 2^{\lceil l'+1 \rceil} \} \implies$
 $DERIV\Phi\text{-}n\ x :> (\varphi(l', i') \cdot x * \varphi(l, i) \cdot x)$ (**is** $\bigwedge x. x \in \{?a..?b\} \implies DERIV\text{-}x :> ?P\ x$)
and $p : \bigwedge x. x \in \{ real\text{-of}\text{-int } i' / 2^{\lceil l'+1 \rceil} .. (real\text{-of}\text{-int } i' + 1) / 2^{\lceil l'+1 \rceil} \} \implies$
 $DERIV\Phi\text{-}p\ x :> (\varphi(l', i') \cdot x * \varphi(l, i) \cdot x)$ (**is** $\bigwedge x. x \in \{?b..?c\} \implies \neg$)
shows $l2\text{-}\varphi(l', i')(l, i) = (\Phi\text{-}n\ ?b - \Phi\text{-}n\ ?a) + (\Phi\text{-}p\ ?c - \Phi\text{-}p\ ?b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $l2\text{-eq} : length\ a = length\ b \implies l2\ a\ b = (\prod d < length\ a. l2\text{-}\varphi(a!d)(b!d))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $l2\text{-when-disjoint}$:

assumes $l \leq l'$
defines $d == l' - l$
assumes $(i+1) * 2^{\lceil l \rceil} < i' \vee i' < (i-1) * 2^{\lceil l \rceil}$ (**is** $?right \vee ?left$)
shows $l2\text{-}\varphi(l', i')(l, i) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $l2\text{-commutative} : l2\text{-}\varphi\ p\ q = l2\text{-}\varphi\ q\ p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $l2\text{-when-same} : l2\text{-}\varphi(l, i)(l, i) = 1/3 / 2^{\lceil l \rceil}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $l2\text{-when-left-child}$:

assumes $l < l'$
and $i'\text{-bot} : i' > (i-1) * 2^{\lceil l'-l \rceil}$
and $i'\text{-top} : i' < i * 2^{\lceil l'-l \rceil}$

shows $l2\varphi(l', i')(l, i) = (1 + \text{real-of-int } i' / 2^\gamma(l' - l) - \text{real-of-int } i) / 2^\gamma(l' + 1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $l2\text{-when-right-child}:$

assumes $l < l'$
and $i'\text{-bot}: i' > i * 2^\gamma(l' - l)$
and $i'\text{-top}: i' < (i + 1) * 2^\gamma(l' - l)$
shows $l2\varphi(l', i')(l, i) = (1 - \text{real-of-int } i' / 2^\gamma(l' - l) + \text{real-of-int } i) / 2^\gamma(l' + 1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $level\text{-shift}: lc > l \implies (x :: \text{real}) / 2^\gamma(lc - Suc l) = x * 2 / 2^\gamma(lc - l)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $l2\text{-child}$: **assumes** $d < \text{length } b$

and $p\text{-grid}: p \in \text{grid}(\text{child } b \text{ dir } d) \text{ ds}$ (**is** $p \in \text{grid} ?\text{child } ds$)
shows $l2\varphi(p ! d)(b ! d) = (1 - \text{real-of-int } (\text{sgn } \text{dir}) * (\text{real-of-int } (\text{ix } p \text{ d}) / 2^\gamma(lv p d - lv b d) - \text{real-of-int } (\text{ix } b \text{ d}))) / 2^\gamma(lv p d + 1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $l2\text{-same}: l2\varphi(p!d)(p!d) = 1/3 / 2^\gamma(lv p d)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $l2\text{-disjoint}$: **assumes** $d < \text{length } b$ **and** $p \in \text{grid } b \{d\}$ **and** $p' \in \text{grid } b \{d\}$
and $p' \notin \text{grid } p \{d\}$ **and** $lv p' d \geq lv p d$
shows $l2\varphi(p' ! d)(p ! d) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $l2\text{-down2}:$

fixes $pc \text{ pd } p$
assumes $d < \text{length } pd$
assumes $pc\text{-in-grid}: pc \in \text{grid}(\text{child } pd \text{ dir } d) \{d\}$
assumes $pd\text{-is-child}: pd = \text{child } p \text{ dir } d$ (**is** $pd = ?pd$)
shows $l2\varphi(pc ! d)(pd ! d) / 2 = l2\varphi(pc ! d)(p ! d)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $l2\text{-zigzag}:$

assumes $d < \text{length } p$ **and** $p\text{-child}: p = \text{child } p\text{-}p \text{ dir } d$
and $p'\text{-grid}: p' \in \text{grid}(\text{child } p \text{ (inv dir) } d) \{d\}$
and $ps\text{-intro}: \text{child } p \text{ (inv dir) } d = \text{child } ps \text{ dir } d$ (**is** $?c\text{-}p = ?c\text{-}ps$)
shows $l2\varphi(p' ! d)(p\text{-}p ! d) = l2\varphi(p' ! d)(ps ! d) + l2\varphi(p' ! d)(p ! d) / 2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

4 UpDown Scheme

```

theory UpDown-Scheme
imports Grid
begin

fun down' :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ grid-point ⇒ real ⇒ real ⇒ vector ⇒ vector
where
| down' d 0 p fl fr α = α
| down' d (Suc l) p fl fr α = (let
  fm = (fl + fr) / 2 + (α p);
  α = α(p := ((fl + fr) / 4 + (1 / 3) * (α p)) / 2 ^ (lv p d));
  α = down' d l (child p left d) fl fm α;
  α = down' d l (child p right d) fm fr α
  in α)

definition down :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ vector ⇒ vector where
down = lift (λ d l p. down' d l p 0 0)

fun up' :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ grid-point ⇒ vector ⇒ (real * real) * vector where
| up' d 0 p α = ((0, 0), α)
| up' d (Suc l) p α = (let
  ((fl, fml), α) = up' d l (child p left d) α;
  ((fmr, fr), α) = up' d l (child p right d) α;
  result = (fml + fmr + (α p) / 2 ^ (lv p d) / 2) / 2
  in ((fl + result, fr + result), α(p := fml + fmr)))

definition up :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ vector ⇒ vector where
up = lift (λ d lm p α. snd (up' d lm p α))

fun updown' :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ vector ⇒ vector where
| updown' dm lm 0 α = α
| updown' dm lm (Suc d) α =
  (sum-vector (updown' dm lm d (up dm lm d α)) (down dm lm d (updown' dm lm d α)))

definition updown :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ vector ⇒ vector where
updown dm lm α = updown' dm lm dm α

end

```

5 Up Part

```

theory Up
imports UpDown-Scheme Triangular-Function
begin

```

lemma *up'-inplace*:

assumes p' -in: $p' \notin \text{grid } p \text{ ds}$ **and** $d \in \text{ds}$
shows $\text{snd}(\text{up}' d l p \alpha) p' = \alpha p'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{up}'\text{-fl-fr}:$

$$\begin{aligned} & [\![d < \text{length } p ; p = (\text{child } p\text{-r right } d) ; p = (\text{child } p\text{-l left } d)]!] \\ & \implies \text{fst}(\text{up}' d lm p \alpha) = \\ & \quad (\sum_{p' \in \text{lgrid } p \{d\}} (lm + \text{level } p). (\alpha p') * \text{l2-}\varphi(p' ! d) (p\text{-r ! } d), \\ & \quad \sum_{p' \in \text{lgrid } p \{d\}} (lm + \text{level } p). (\alpha p') * \text{l2-}\varphi(p' ! d) (p\text{-l ! } d)) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{up}'\text{-}\beta:$

$$\begin{aligned} & [\![d < \text{length } b ; l + \text{level } b = lm ; b \in \text{sparsegrid}' dm ; p \in \text{sparsegrid}' dm]!] \\ & \implies \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{snd}(\text{up}' d l b \alpha)) p = \\ & \quad (\text{if } p \in \text{lgrid } b \{d\} lm \\ & \quad \text{then } \sum_{p' \in (\text{lgrid } p \{d\}) lm} - \{p\}. \alpha p' * \text{l2-}\varphi(p' ! d) (p ! d) \\ & \quad \text{else } \alpha p) \\ & (\text{is } [\!- ; - ; - ; -]\!] \implies (?goal l b p \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{up}:$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{assumes } d < dm \text{ and } p \in \text{sparsegrid } dm lm \\ & \text{shows } (\text{up } dm lm d \alpha) p = (\sum_{p' \in (\text{lgrid } p \{d\}) lm} - \{p\}. \alpha p' * \text{l2-}\varphi(p' ! d) \\ & (p ! d)) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

6 Down part

theory *Down*
imports *Triangular-Function UpDown-Scheme*
begin

lemma $\text{sparsegrid}'\text{-parents}:$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{assumes } b: b \in \text{sparsegrid}' dm \text{ and } p': p' \in \text{parents } d b p \\ & \text{shows } p' \in \text{sparsegrid}' dm \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma $\text{down}'\text{-}\beta: [\![d < \text{length } b ; l + \text{level } b = lm ; b \in \text{sparsegrid}' dm ; p \in \text{sparsegrid}' dm]!] \implies$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{down}' d l b \text{ fl fr } \alpha p = (\text{if } p \in \text{lgrid } b \{d\} lm \\ & \text{then } \\ & \quad (\text{fl} + (\text{fr} - \text{fl}) / 2 * (\text{real-of-int } (\text{ix } p d) / 2 \hat{\wedge} (\text{lv } p d - \text{lv } b d) - \text{real-of-int } (\text{ix } \\ & b d) + 1)) / 2 \hat{\wedge} (\text{lv } p d + 1) + \\ & \quad (\sum_{p' \in \text{parents } d b p.} (\alpha p') * \text{l2-}\varphi(p ! d) (p' ! d)) \\ & \text{else } \alpha p) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

```

lemma down: assumes  $d < dm$  and  $p: p \in \text{sparsegrid } dm \text{ } lm$ 
shows  $(\text{down } dm \text{ } lm \text{ } d \text{ } \alpha) \text{ } p = (\sum p' \in \text{parents } d \text{ } (\text{base } \{d\} \text{ } p) \text{ } p. \alpha \text{ } p') * l2\varphi(p ! d) \text{ } (p' ! d))$ 
<proof>

```

end

7 UpDown

```

theory Up-Down
imports Up Down
begin

```

```

lemma updown':  $\llbracket d \leq dm; p \in \text{sparsegrid } dm \text{ } lm \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies (\text{updown}' \text{ } dm \text{ } lm \text{ } d \text{ } \alpha) \text{ } p = (\sum p' \in \text{lgrid } (\text{base } \{0 .. < d\} \text{ } p) \text{ } \{0 .. < d\} \text{ } lm.$ 
 $\alpha \text{ } p' * (\prod d' \in \{0 .. < d\}. l2\varphi(p' ! d') \text{ } (p ! d')))$ 
 $(\text{is } \llbracket - ; - \rrbracket \implies - = (\sum p' \in ?\text{subgrid } d \text{ } p. \alpha \text{ } p' * ?\text{prod } d \text{ } p' \text{ } p))$ 
<proof>

```

theorem updown:

```

assumes  $p\text{-spg}: p \in \text{sparsegrid } dm \text{ } lm$ 
shows  $\text{updown } dm \text{ } lm \text{ } \alpha \text{ } p = (\sum p' \in \text{sparsegrid } dm \text{ } lm. \alpha \text{ } p' * l2 \text{ } p' \text{ } p)$ 
<proof>

```

corollary

fixes α

assumes $p: p \in \text{sparsegrid } dm \text{ } lm$

defines $f_\alpha \equiv \lambda x. (\sum p \in \text{sparsegrid } dm \text{ } lm. \alpha \text{ } p * \Phi \text{ } p \text{ } x)$

shows $\text{updown } dm \text{ } lm \text{ } \alpha \text{ } p = (\int x. f_\alpha \text{ } x * \Phi \text{ } p \text{ } x \partial(\Pi_M \text{ } d \in \{.. < dm\}. lborel))$

<proof>

end

8 Imperative Version

```

theory Imperative
imports UpDown-Scheme Separation-Logic-Imperative-HOL.Sep-Main
begin

```

```

type-synonym pointmap = grid-point  $\Rightarrow$  nat
type-synonym impgrid = rat array

```

instance rat :: heap **<proof>**

primrec rat-pair **where** $(a, b) = (\text{of-rat } a, \text{of-rat } b)$

declare rat-pair.simps [simp del]

```

definition zipWithA :: ('a::heap  $\Rightarrow$  'b::heap  $\Rightarrow$  'a::heap)  $\Rightarrow$  'a array  $\Rightarrow$  'b array  $\Rightarrow$  'a array
Heap
where
zipWithA f a b = do {
  n  $\leftarrow$  Array.len a;
  Heap.Monad.fold-map ( $\lambda n.$  do {
    x  $\leftarrow$  Array.nth a n ;
    y  $\leftarrow$  Array.nth b n ;
    Array.upd n (f x y) a
  }) [0.. $<n$ ];
  return a
}

theorem zipWithA [sep-heap-rules]:
  fixes xs ys :: 'a::heap list
  assumes length xs = length ys
  shows < a  $\mapsto_a$  xs * b  $\mapsto_a$  ys > zipWithA f a b <  $\lambda r.$  (a  $\mapsto_a$  map (case-prod f)
  (zip xs ys)) * b  $\mapsto_a$  ys *  $\uparrow(a = r)$  >
  ⟨proof⟩

definition copy-array :: 'a::heap array  $\Rightarrow$  ('a::heap array) Heap where
  copy-array a = Array.freeze a  $\ggg$  Array.of-list

theorem copy-array [sep-heap-rules]:
  < a  $\mapsto_a$  xs > copy-array a <  $\lambda r.$  a  $\mapsto_a$  xs * r  $\mapsto_a$  xs >
  ⟨proof⟩

definition sum-array :: rat array  $\Rightarrow$  rat array  $\Rightarrow$  unit Heap where
  sum-array a b = zipWithA (+) a b  $\gg$  return ()

theorem sum-array [sep-heap-rules]:
  fixes xs ys :: rat list
  shows length xs = length ys  $\implies$  < a  $\mapsto_a$  xs * b  $\mapsto_a$  ys > sum-array a b <  $\lambda r.$ 
  (a  $\mapsto_a$  map ( $\lambda(a, b).$  a + b) (zip xs ys)) * b  $\mapsto_a$  ys >
  ⟨proof⟩

locale linearization =
  fixes dm lm :: nat
  fixes pm :: pointmap
  assumes pm: bij-betw pm (sparsegrid dm lm) {.. $<$  card (sparsegrid dm lm)}
begin

lemma linearizationD:
  p  $\in$  sparsegrid dm lm  $\implies$  pm p < card (sparsegrid dm lm)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition gridI :: impgrid  $\Rightarrow$  (grid-point  $\Rightarrow$  real)  $\Rightarrow$  assn where

```

```

gridI a v =
  ( $\exists_A xs. a \mapsto_a xs * \uparrow((\forall p \in \text{sparsegrid } dm lm. v p = \text{of-rat } (xs ! pm p)) \wedge \text{length } xs = \text{card } (\text{sparsegrid } dm lm)))$ 

lemma gridI-nth-rule [sep-heap-rules]:
   $g \in \text{sparsegrid } dm lm \implies < \text{gridI } a v > \text{Array.nth } a (\text{pm } g) <\lambda r. \text{gridI } a v * \uparrow (\text{of-rat } r = v g)>$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma gridI-upd-rule [sep-heap-rules]:
   $g \in \text{sparsegrid } dm lm \implies$ 
     $< \text{gridI } a v > \text{Array.upd } (\text{pm } g) x a <\lambda a'. \text{gridI } a (\text{fun-upd } v g (\text{of-rat } x)) * \uparrow(a' = a)>$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

primrec upI' :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  grid-point  $\Rightarrow$  impgrid  $\Rightarrow$  (rat * rat) Heap where
   $upI' d 0 p a = \text{return } (0, 0) |$ 
   $upI' d (\text{Suc } l) p a = \text{do } \{$ 
     $(fl, fml) \leftarrow upI' d l (\text{child } p \text{ left } d) a ;$ 
     $(fmr, fr) \leftarrow upI' d l (\text{child } p \text{ right } d) a ;$ 
     $val \leftarrow \text{Array.nth } a (\text{pm } p) ;$ 
     $\text{Array.upd } (\text{pm } p) (fml + fmr) a ;$ 
     $\text{let result} = ((fml + fmr + val / 2 \wedge (lv p d) / 2) / 2) ;$ 
     $\text{return } (fl + result, fr + result)$ 
   $\}$ 

lemma upI' [sep-heap-rules]:
  assumes lin[simp]: d < dm
  and l: level p + l = lm l = 0  $\vee$  p  $\in$  sparsegrid dm lm
  shows  $< \text{gridI } a v > upI' d l p a <\lambda r. \text{let } (r', v') = up' d l p v \text{ in } \text{gridI } a v' * \uparrow(\text{rat-pair } r = r')>$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

primrec downI' :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  grid-point  $\Rightarrow$  impgrid  $\Rightarrow$  rat  $\Rightarrow$  rat  $\Rightarrow$  unit Heap
where
   $downI' d 0 p a fl fr = \text{return } () |$ 
   $downI' d (\text{Suc } l) p a fl fr = \text{do } \{$ 
     $val \leftarrow \text{Array.nth } a (\text{pm } p) ;$ 
     $let fm = ((fl + fr) / 2 + val) ;$ 
     $\text{Array.upd } (\text{pm } p) (((fl + fr) / 4 + (1 / 3) * val) / 2 \wedge (lv p d)) a ;$ 
     $downI' d l (\text{child } p \text{ left } d) a fl fm ;$ 
     $downI' d l (\text{child } p \text{ right } d) a fm fr$ 
   $\}$ 

lemma downI' [sep-heap-rules]:
  assumes lin[simp]: d < dm
  and l: level p + l = lm l = 0  $\vee$  p  $\in$  sparsegrid dm lm
  shows  $< \text{gridI } a v > downI' d l p a fl fr <\lambda r. \text{gridI } a (\text{down}' d l p (\text{of-rat } fl) (\text{of-rat } fr) v)>$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition $liftI :: (nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow grid\text{-}point \Rightarrow impgrid \Rightarrow unit\ Heap) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow impgrid \Rightarrow unit\ Heap$ **where**
 $liftI f d a =$
 $foldr (\lambda p n. n \gg f d (lm - level p) p a) (gridgen (start dm) (\{0..<dm\} - \{d\}) lm) (return ())$

theorem $liftI$ [*sep-heap-rules*]:

assumes $d < dm$
and f [*sep-heap-rules*]: $\forall p. p \in lgrid (start dm) (\{0..<dm\} - \{d\}) lm \implies$
 $< gridI a v > f d (lm - level p) p a <\lambda r. gridI a (f' d (lm - level p) p v) >$
shows $< gridI a v > liftI f d a <\lambda r. gridI a (Grid.lift f' dm lm d v) >$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition upI **where** $upI = liftI (\lambda d l p a. upI' d l p a \gg return ())$

theorem upI [*sep-heap-rules*]:

assumes [*simp*]: $d < dm$
shows $< gridI a v > upI d a <\lambda r. gridI a (up dm lm d v) >$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $downI$ **where** $downI = liftI (\lambda d l p a. downI' d l p a 0 0)$

theorem $downI$ [*sep-heap-rules*]:

assumes [*simp*]: $d < dm$
shows $< gridI a v > downI d a <\lambda r. gridI a (down dm lm d v) >$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem $copy\text{-}array-gridI$ [*sep-heap-rules*]:

$< gridI a v > copy\text{-}array a <\lambda r. gridI a v * gridI r v >$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem $sum\text{-}array-gridI$ [*sep-heap-rules*]:

$< gridI a v * gridI b w > sum\text{-}array a b <\lambda r. gridI a (sum\text{-}vector v w) * gridI b w >$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

primrec $updownI' :: nat \Rightarrow impgrid \Rightarrow unit\ Heap$ **where**

$updownI' 0 a = return () |$
 $updownI' (Suc d) a = do \{$
 $b \leftarrow copy\text{-}array a ;$
 $upI d a ;$
 $updownI' d a ;$
 $updownI' d b ;$
 $downI d b ;$
 $sum\text{-}array a b$
 $\}$

```

theorem updownI' [sep-heap-rules]:
 $d \leq dm \implies \langle gridI a v \rangle \text{ updownI}' d a \langle \lambda r. gridI a (\text{updown}' dm lm d v) \rangle_t$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition updownI where updownI a = updownI' dm a

theorem updownI [sep-heap-rules]:
 $\langle gridI a v \rangle \text{ updownI a} \langle \lambda r. gridI a (\text{updown} dm lm v) \rangle_t$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

end

```

Literatur

- [1] J. Hözl. Automatischer Korrektheitsbeweis von Algorithmen zur hierarchischen Basistransformation. IDP, Institut für Informatik, Technische Universität München, 2009.
- [2] D. Pflüger. *Spatially Adaptive Sparse Grids for High-Dimensional Problems*. Verlag Dr. Hut, München, Aug. 2010.