

Two-Way Deterministic Finite Automata

Felipe Escallón and Tobias Nipkow

August 8, 2025

Abstract

This theory presents a proof that two-way DFAs are as powerful as DFAs, i.e. they accept exactly the regular languages. The formalization follows Kozen [1].

```
theory Two_Way_DFA_HF
  imports Finite_Automata_HF.Finite_Automata_HF
begin
```

A formalization of two-way deterministic finite automata (2DFA), based on Paulson's theory of DFAs using hereditarily finite sets [2]. Both the definition of 2DFAs and the proof follow Kozen [1].

1 Definition of Two-Way Deterministic Finite Automata

1.1 Basic Definitions

```
type_synonym state = hf
```

```
datatype dir = Left | Right
```

Left and right markers to prevent the 2DFA from reading out of bounds. The input for a 2DFA with alphabet Σ is $\vdash w \dashv$ for some $w \in \Sigma^*$. Note that $\vdash, \dashv \notin \Sigma$:

```
datatype 'a symbol = Letter 'a | Marker dir
```

```
abbreviation left_marker :: 'a symbol (vdash) where
  vdash ≡ Marker Left
```

```
abbreviation right_marker :: 'a symbol (dashv) where
  dashv ≡ Marker Right
```

```
record 'a dfa2 = states :: state set
```

```

init  :: state
acc   :: state
rej   :: state
nxt   :: state ⇒ 'a symbol ⇒ state × dir

```

2DFA configurations. A 2DFA M is in a configuration (u, q, v) if M is in state q , reading $hd\ v$, the substring $rev\ u$ is to the left and $tl\ v$ is to the right:

```
type_synonym 'a config = 'a symbol list × state × 'a symbol list
```

Some abbreviations to guarantee the validity of the input:

```
abbreviation Σ :: 'a list ⇒ 'a symbol list where
Σ w ≡ map Letter w
```

```
abbreviation marker_map :: 'a list ⇒ 'a symbol list (⟨_⟩ 70) where
⟨w⟩ ≡ ⊢ # (Σ w) @ [⊣]
```

```
abbreviation marker_mapl :: 'a list ⇒ 'a symbol list (⟨_⟨ 70) where
⟨w⟨ ≡ ⊢ # (Σ w)
```

```
abbreviation marker_mapr :: 'a list ⇒ 'a symbol list (⟩_⟩ 70) where
⟩w⟩ ≡ (Σ w) @ [⊣]
```

```
lemma mapl_app_mapr_eq_map:
⟨u⟨ @ ⟩v⟩ = ⟨u @ v⟩ ⟨proof⟩
```

1.2 Steps and Reachability

```
locale dfa2 =
fixes M :: 'a dfa2
assumes init:      init M ∈ states M
and accept:        acc M ∈ states M
and reject:        rej M ∈ states M
and neq_final:    acc M ≠ rej M
and finite:        finite (states M)
and nxt:           ⟦q ∈ states M; nxt M q x = (p, d)⟧ ⇒ p ∈ states M
and left_nxt:     ⟦q ∈ states M; nxt M q ⊢ = (p, d)⟧ ⇒ d = Right
and right_nxt:   ⟦q ∈ states M; nxt M q ⊢ = (p, d)⟧ ⇒ d = Left
and final_nxt_r:  ⟦x ≠ ⊢; q = acc M ∨ q = rej M⟧ ⇒ nxt M q x = (q, Right)
and final_nxt_l:  q = acc M ∨ q = rej M ⇒ nxt M q ⊢ = (q, Left)
begin
```

A single

```
inductive step :: 'a config ⇒ 'a config ⇒ bool (infix ‘→’ 55) where
step_left[intro]: nxt M p a = (q, Left) ⇒ (x # xs, p, a # ys) → (xs, q, x # a
# ys) |
step_right[intro]: nxt M p a = (q, Right) ⇒ (xs, p, a # ys) → (a # xs, q, ys)
```

```

inductive_cases step_foldedE[elim]:  $a \rightarrow b$ 
inductive_cases step_leftE [elim]:  $(a \# u, q, v) \rightarrow (u, p, a \# v)$ 
inductive_cases step_rightE [elim]:  $(u, q, a \# v) \rightarrow (a \# u, p, v)$ 

```

The reflexive transitive closure of \rightarrow :

```

abbreviation steps :: 'a config  $\Rightarrow$  'a config  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\leftrightarrow\ast\ast$  55) where
  steps  $\equiv$  step $^{\ast\ast}$ 

```

And the nth power of \rightarrow :

```

abbreviation stepn :: 'a config  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a config  $\Rightarrow$  bool ( $\_\rightarrow'\_\_$ )  $\_\_$  55) where
  stepn c n  $\equiv$  (step  $\wedge\wedge$  n) c

```

```

lemma rtranclp_induct3[consumes 1, case_names refl step]:
   $\llbracket r^{\ast\ast} (ax, ay, az) (bx, by, bz); P ax ay az;$ 
   $\wedge u p v x q z.$ 
   $\llbracket r^{\ast\ast} (ax, ay, az) (u, p, v); r (u, p, v) (x, q, z); P u p v \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies P x q z \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies P bx by bz$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

The initial configuration of M on input word $w \in \Sigma^*$ is $([], init M, \vdash w \dashv)$. A configuration c is reachable by w if the initial configuration of M on input w reaches c :

```

abbreviation reachable :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a config  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\leftrightarrow\ast\ast\ast$  55) where
   $w \rightarrow\ast\ast c \equiv ([], init M, \langle w \rangle) \rightarrow\ast c$ 

```

```

abbreviation nreachable :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a config  $\Rightarrow$  bool ( $\_\rightarrow\ast'(\_\_$ )  $\_\_$  55)
where
  nreachable w n c  $\equiv$  ( [], init M, ⟨ w ⟩ )  $\rightarrow(n)$  c

```

```

lemma step_unique:
   $\llbracket c0 \rightarrow c1; c0 \rightarrow c2 \rrbracket \implies c1 = c2$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma steps_impl_in_states:
  assumes p  $\in$  states M
  shows (u, p, v)  $\rightarrow\ast$  (u', q, v')  $\implies$  q  $\in$  states M
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

corollary reachable_impl_in_states:
   $w \rightarrow\ast\ast (u, q, v) \implies q \in \text{states } M$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

1.3 Basic Properties of 2DFAs

The language accepted by M :

```

definition Lang :: 'a list set where
  Lang  $\equiv$  {w.  $\exists u v. w \rightarrow\ast\ast (u, acc M, v)$ }

```

```

lemma unchanged_substrings:
   $(u, p, v) \rightarrow^* (u', q, v') \implies \text{rev } u @ v = \text{rev } u' @ v'$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma unchanged_final:
  assumes  $p = \text{acc } M \vee p = \text{rej } M$ 
  shows  $(u, p, v) \rightarrow^* (u', q, v') \implies p = q$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

corollary unchanged_word:
   $([], p, w) \rightarrow^* (u, q, v) \implies w = \text{rev } u @ v$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma step_tl_indep:
  assumes  $(u, p, w @ v) \rightarrow (y, q, z @ v)$ 
   $w \neq []$ 
  shows  $(u, p, w @ v') \rightarrow (y, q, z @ v')$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma steps_app [simp, intro]:
   $(u, p, v) \rightarrow^* (u', q, v') \implies (u, p, v @ xs) \rightarrow^* (u', q, v' @ xs)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma left_to_right_impl_substring:
  assumes  $(u, p, v) \rightarrow^* (w, q, y)$ 
   $\text{length } u \leq \text{length } w$ 
  obtains us where  $us @ u = w$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma acc_impl_reachable_substring:
  assumes  $w \rightarrow^{**} (u, \text{acc } M, v)$ 
   $xs \neq []$ 
   $ys \neq []$ 
  shows  $v = xs @ ys \implies (u, \text{acc } M, v) \rightarrow^* (\text{rev } xs @ u, \text{acc } M, ys)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma all_geq_left_impl_left_indep:
  assumes upv_reachable:  $w \rightarrow^{**} (u, p, v)$ 
  and  $(u, p, v) \rightarrow (n) (vs @ u, q, x)$ 
   $\forall i \leq n. \forall u' p' v'. ((u, p, v) \rightarrow (i) (u', p', v')) \longrightarrow \text{length } u' \geq \text{length } u$ 
  shows  $((u', p, v) \rightarrow (n) (vs @ u', q, x))$ 
   $\wedge (\forall i \leq n. \forall y p' v'. ((u', p, v) \rightarrow (i) (y, p', v')) \longrightarrow \text{length } y \geq \text{length } u')$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma reachable_configs_impl_reachable:
  assumes c0  $\rightarrow^* c1$ 
   $c0 \rightarrow^* c2$ 
  shows  $c1 \rightarrow^* c2 \vee c2 \rightarrow^* c1$ 

```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
end
```

2 Boundary Crossings

2.1 Basic Definitions

In order to describe boundary crossings in general, we describe the behavior of M for a fixed, non-empty string x and input word xz , where z is an arbitrary string:

```
locale dfa2_transition = dfa2 +
  fixes x :: 'a list
  assumes x ≠ []
begin

definition x_init :: 'a symbol list where
  x_init ≡ butlast ((x))

definition x_end :: 'a symbol where
  x_end ≡ last ((x))

lemmas x_defs = x_init_def x_end_def

lemma x_is_init_app_end:
  ⟨x⟩ = x_init @ [x_end] ⟨proof⟩
```

2.1.1 Left steps, right steps, and their reachabilities

A 2DFA is in a left configuration for input xz if it is currently reading x . Otherwise, it is in a right configuration:

```
definition left_config :: 'a config ⇒ bool where
  left_config c ≡ ∃ u q v. c = (u, q, v) ∧ length u < length ((x))

definition right_config :: 'a config ⇒ bool where
  right_config c ≡ ∃ u q v. c = (u, q, v) ∧ length u ≥ length ((x))

lemma left_config_is_not_right_config:
  left_config c ↔ ¬right_config c
⟨proof⟩

lemma left_config_lt_right_config:
  [left_config (u, p, v); right_config (w, q, y)] ⇒ length u < length w
⟨proof⟩
```

For configurations c_0 and c_1 , a step $c_0 \rightarrow c_1$ is a left step $c_0 \rightarrow^L c_1$ if both c_0 and c_1 are in x :

```

inductive left_step :: 'a config  $\Rightarrow$  'a config  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\leftrightarrow^L$  55) where
  lstep [intro]:  $\llbracket c0 \rightarrow c1; \text{left\_config } c0; \text{left\_config } c1 \rrbracket \implies c0 \rightarrow^L c1$ 

inductive_cases lstepE [elim]:  $c0 \rightarrow^L c1$ 

abbreviation left_steps :: 'a config  $\Rightarrow$  'a config  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\leftrightarrow^{L*}$  55) where
  left_steps  $\equiv$  left_step $^{**}$ 

abbreviation left_stepn :: 'a config  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a config  $\Rightarrow$  bool ( $\_ \rightarrow^L (\_) \_$  55) where
  left_stepn c n  $\equiv$  (left_step  $\wedge^n$  c)

c is left reachable by a word w if  $w \rightarrow^{**} c$  and M does not cross the boundary before reaching c:

abbreviation left_reachable :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a config  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\leftrightarrow^{L**}$  55) where
  w  $\rightarrow^{L**} c \equiv (\[], \text{init } M, \langle w \rangle) \rightarrow^L c$ 

abbreviation left_nreachable :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a config  $\Rightarrow$  bool ( $\_ \rightarrow^{L*} (\_) \_$  55) where
  w  $\rightarrow^{L*}(n) c \equiv (\[], \text{init } M, \langle w \rangle) \rightarrow^L(n) c$ 

```

Right steps are defined analogously:

```

inductive right_step :: 'a config  $\Rightarrow$  'a config  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\leftrightarrow^R$  55) where
  rstep [intro]:  $\llbracket c0 \rightarrow c1; \text{right\_config } c0; \text{right\_config } c1 \rrbracket \implies c0 \rightarrow^R c1$ 

inductive_cases rstepE [elim]:  $c0 \rightarrow^R c1$ 

abbreviation right_steps :: 'a config  $\Rightarrow$  'a config  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\leftrightarrow^{R*}$  55) where
  right_steps  $\equiv$  right_step $^{**}$ 

abbreviation right_stepn :: 'a config  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a config  $\Rightarrow$  bool ( $\_ \rightarrow^R (\_) \_$  55) where
  right_stepn c n  $\equiv$  (right_step  $\wedge^n$  c)

```

2.1.2 Properties of left and right steps

```

lemma left_steps_impl_steps [dest]:
   $c0 \rightarrow^{L*} c1 \implies c0 \rightarrow* c1$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma right_steps_impl_steps [dest]:
   $c0 \rightarrow^{R*} c1 \implies c0 \rightarrow* c1$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma left_steps_impl_left_config[dest]:
   $\llbracket c0 \rightarrow^{L*} c1; \text{left\_config } c0 \rrbracket \implies \text{left\_config } c1$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma left_steps_impl_left_config_conv[dest]:
   $\llbracket c0 \rightarrow^{L*} c1; \text{left\_config } c1 \rrbracket \implies \text{left\_config } c0$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma left_reachable_impl_left_config:
   $w \rightarrow^{L**} c \implies \text{left\_config } c$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma right_steps_impl_right_config[dest]:
   $\llbracket c0 \rightarrow^{R*} c1; \text{right\_config } c0 \rrbracket \implies \text{right\_config } c1$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma left_step_tl_indep:
   $\llbracket (u, p, w @ v) \rightarrow^L (y, q, z @ v); w \neq [] \rrbracket \implies (u, p, w @ v') \rightarrow^L (y, q, z @ v')$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma right_stepn_impl_interm_right_stepn:
  assumes  $c0 \rightarrow^R(n) c2$ 
     $c0 \rightarrow(m) c1$ 
     $m \leq n$ 
  shows  $c0 \rightarrow^R(m) c1$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

These *list* lemmas are necessary for the two following *substring* lemmas:

```

lemma list_deconstruct1:
  assumes  $m \leq \text{length } xs$ 
  obtains  $ys \ zs$  where  $\text{length } ys = m \ ys @ zs = xs$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma list_deconstruct2:
  assumes  $m \leq \text{length } xs$ 
  obtains  $ys \ zs$  where  $\text{length } zs = m \ ys @ zs = xs$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma lstar_impl_substring_x:
  assumes app_eq:  $\text{rev } u @ v = \langle x @ z \rangle$ 
    and in_x:  $\text{length } u < \text{length } (\langle x \rangle)$ 
    and lsteps:  $(u, p, v) \rightarrow^{L*} (u', q, v')$ 
  obtains  $y$  where  $\text{rev } u' @ y = \langle x @ y @ z \rangle = v'$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

corollary left_reachable_impl_substring_x:
  assumes  $x @ z \rightarrow^{L**} (u, q, v)$ 
  obtains  $y$  where  $\text{rev } u @ y = \langle x @ y @ z \rangle = v$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

corollary reachable_lconfig_impl_substring_x:
  assumes  $x @ z \rightarrow^{L**} (u, p, v)$ 
    and  $\text{length } u < \text{length } (\langle x \rangle)$ 
    and  $(u, p, v) \rightarrow^{L*} (u', q, v')$ 

```

```

obtains y where rev u' @ y = ⟨x⟨ y @ ⟩z⟩ = v'
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma star_rconfigImpl_substring_z:
  assumes app_eq: x @ z →** (u, p, v)
    and reach: (u, p, v) →* (u', q, v')
    and rconf: right_config (u', q, v')
  obtains y where rev (⟨x⟨ @ y⟩ = u' y @ v' = ⟩z⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary reachable_right_confImpl_substring_z:
  assumes x @ z →** (u, q, v)
    right_config (u, q, v)
  obtains y where rev (⟨x⟨ @ y⟩ = u y @ v = ⟩z⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lsteps_indep:
  assumes (u, p, v @ ⟩z⟩) →L* (w, q, y @ ⟩z⟩)
    rev u @ v @ ⟩z⟩ = ⟨x @ z
  shows (u, p, v @ ⟩z') →L* (w, q, y @ ⟩z')
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma left_reachable_indep:
  assumes x @ y →L** (u, q, v @ ⟩y)
  shows x @ z →L** (u, q, v @ ⟩z)
⟨proof⟩

```

2.2 A Formal Definition of Boundary Crossings

$c_0 \rightarrow^X (n) c_1$ if c_0 reaches c_1 crossing the boundary n times:

```

inductive crossn :: 'a config ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a config ⇒ bool ( _ →X'( _ ) _ 55) where
  no_crossl: [[left_config c0; c0 →L* c1]] ⇒ c0 →X(0) c1 |
  no_crossr: [[right_config c0; c0 →R* c1]] ⇒ c0 →X(0) c1 |
  crossn_rtol: [[c0 →X(n) (rev (⟨x⟨, p, ⟩z));|
    (rev (⟨x⟨, p, ⟩z)) → (rev x_init, q, x_end # ⟩z));
    (rev x_init, q, x_end # ⟩z)]] ⇒ c0 →X(Suc n) c1 |
  crossn_ltol: [[c0 →X(n) (rev x_init, p, x_end # ⟩z);|
    (rev x_init, p, x_end # ⟩z)) → (rev (⟨x⟨, q, ⟩z));
    (rev (⟨x⟨, q, ⟩z)) →R* c1]] ⇒ c0 →X(Suc n) c1

```

```

declare crossn.intros[intro]

```

```

inductive_cases no_crossE[elim]: c0 →X(0) c1
inductive_cases crossE[elim]: c0 →X(Suc n) c1

```

```

abbreviation word_crossn :: 'a list ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a config ⇒ bool ( _ →X*'( _ ) _ 55) where
  word_crossn w n c ≡ ([] , init M, ⟨w⟩) →X(n) c

```

```

lemma self_nocross[simp]:
   $c \rightarrow^X(0) c$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma no_cross_impl_same_side:
   $c0 \rightarrow^X(0) c1 \implies left\_config\ c0 = left\_config\ c1$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma left_config_impl_rtol_cross:
  assumes  $c0 \rightarrow^X(Suc\ n)\ c1$ 
   $left\_config\ c1$ 
  obtains  $p\ q\ z$  where  $c0 \rightarrow^X(n)\ (rev\ (\langle x \rangle,\ p,\ \rangle z))$ 
     $(rev\ (\langle x \rangle,\ p,\ \rangle z)) \rightarrow (rev\ x\_init,\ q,\ x\_end \# \rangle z)$ 
     $(rev\ x\_init,\ q,\ x\_end \# \rangle z) \rightarrow^{L*} c1$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma right_config_impl_ltor_cross:
  assumes  $c0 \rightarrow^X(Suc\ n)\ c1$ 
   $right\_config\ c1$ 
  obtains  $p\ q\ z$  where  $c0 \rightarrow^X(n)\ (rev\ x\_init,\ p,\ x\_end \# \rangle z)$ 
     $(rev\ x\_init,\ p,\ x\_end \# \rangle z) \rightarrow (rev\ (\langle x \rangle,\ q,\ \rangle z))$ 
     $(rev\ (\langle x \rangle,\ q,\ \rangle z)) \rightarrow^{R*} c1$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma crossn_decompose:
  assumes  $c0 \rightarrow^X(Suc\ n)\ c2$ 
  obtains  $c1$  where  $c0 \rightarrow^X(n)\ c1\ c1 \rightarrow^X(Suc\ 0)\ c2$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma step_impl_crossn:
  assumes  $c0 \rightarrow c1$ 
   $c0 = (u,\ p,\ v)$ 
   $rev\ u @ v = \langle x @ z \rangle$ 
  shows  $(c0 \rightarrow^X(0)\ c1) \vee (c0 \rightarrow^X(Suc\ 0)\ c1)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma crossn_no_cross_eq_crossn:
  assumes  $c0 \rightarrow^X(n)\ c1$ 
   $c1 \rightarrow^X(0)\ c2$ 
  shows  $c0 \rightarrow^X(n)\ c2$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma crossn_trans:
  assumes  $c0 \rightarrow^X(n)\ c1$ 
  shows  $c1 \rightarrow^X(m)\ c2 \implies c0 \rightarrow^X(n+m)\ c2$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma crossn_impl_reachable:
  assumes  $c0 \rightarrow^X(n)\ c1$ 
  shows  $c0 \rightarrow^* c1$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma reachable_xz_impl_crossn:
  assumes c0 →* c1
    c0 = (u, p, v)
    rev u @ v = ⟨x @ z⟩
  obtains n where c0 →X(n) c1
⟨proof⟩
```

2.3 The Transition Relation T_x

$T_x p q$ for a non-empty string x describes the behavior of a 2DFA M when it crosses the boundary between x and any string z for the input string xz . Intuitively, $T_x (\text{Some } p)$ ($\text{Some } q$) if whenever M enters x from the right in state p , when it re-enters z in the future, it will do so in state q . $T_x \text{None}$ ($\text{Some } q$) denotes the state in which M first enters z , while $T_x (\text{Some } p)$ None denotes that if M ever enters x in state p , it will never enter z in the future, and therefore does not terminate.

```
inductive T :: state option ⇒ state option ⇒ bool where
  init_tr: [x @ z →L** (rev x_init, p, x_end # )z);;
    (rev x_init, p, x_end # )z) → (rev (⟨x⟩, q, )z)] ⇒ T None (Some q) |
  init_no_tr: ∄ q z. x @ z →** (rev (⟨x⟩, q, )z)) ⇒ T None None |
  some_tr: [p' ∈ states M; (rev (⟨x⟩, p', )z)) → (rev x_init, p, x_end # )z);;
    (rev x_init, p, x_end # )z) →L* (rev x_init, q', x_end # )z);
    (rev x_init, q', x_end # )z) → (rev (⟨x⟩, q, )z)] ⇒ T (Some p) (Some q) |
  no_tr: [p' ∈ states M; (rev (⟨x⟩, p', )z)) → (rev x_init, p, x_end # )z);
    ∄ q' q'' z. (rev x_init, p, x_end # )z) →L* (rev x_init, q', x_end # )z);
    (rev x_init, q', x_end # )z) → (rev (⟨x⟩, q'', )z)] ⇒ T (Some p) None
```

declare T.intros[intro]

```
inductive_cases init_trNoneE[elim]: T None None
inductive_cases init_trSomeE[elim]: T None (Some q)
inductive_cases no_trE[elim]: T (Some q) None
inductive_cases some_trE[elim]: T (Some q) (Some p)
```

Lemmas for the independence of T_x from z . This is a fundamental property to show the main theorem:

```
lemma init_tr_indep:
  assumes T None (Some q)
  obtains p where x @ z →L** (rev x_init, p, x_end # )z))
```

$(rev\ x_init,\ p,\ x_end\ \#\)z)) \rightarrow (rev\ (\langle x \rangle,\ q,\)z))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *init_no_tr_indep*:

$T\ None\ None \implies \nexists q.\ x @ z \rightarrow^{**} (rev\ (\langle x \rangle,\ q,\)z))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *some_tr_indep*:

assumes $T\ (Some\ p)\ (Some\ q)$

obtains q' **where** $(rev\ x_init,\ p,\ x_end\ \#\)z)) \rightarrow^{L*} (rev\ x_init,\ q',\ x_end\ \#\)z))$

$(rev\ x_init,\ q',\ x_end\ \#\)z)) \rightarrow (rev\ (\langle x \rangle,\ q,\)z))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *T_None_Some_impl_reachable*:

assumes $T\ None\ (Some\ q)$

shows $x @ z \rightarrow^{**} (rev\ (\langle x \rangle,\ q,\)z))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *T_impl_in_states*:

assumes $T\ p\ q$

shows $p = Some\ p' \implies p' \in states\ M$

$q = Some\ q' \implies q' \in states\ M$

$\langle proof \rangle$

With *crossn* we show there is always a first boundary cross if a 2DFA ever crosses the boundary:

lemma *T_none_none_iff_not_some*:

$(\exists q.\ T\ None\ (Some\ q)) \leftrightarrow \neg T\ None\ None$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

3 2DFAs and Regular Languages

3.1 Every Language Accepted by 2DFAs is Regular

context *dfa2*

begin

abbreviation $T \equiv dfa2_transition.T\ M$

abbreviation $left_reachable \equiv dfa2_transition.left_reachable\ M$

abbreviation $left_config \equiv dfa2_transition.left_config$

abbreviation $right_config \equiv dfa2_transition.right_config$

abbreviation $pf_init \equiv dfa2_transition.x_init$

abbreviation $pf_end \equiv dfa2_transition.x_end$

abbreviation $left_step' :: 'a\ config \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ config \Rightarrow bool\ (_ \rightarrow^L (_) _)$
 55) **where**

```

 $c0 \rightarrow^L(x) c1 \equiv dfa2\_transition.left\_step M x c0 c1$ 

abbreviation  $left\_steps' :: 'a config \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a config \Rightarrow bool (\_ \rightarrow^{L*}(\_) \_ 55)$  where  

 $c0 \rightarrow^{L*}(x) c1 \equiv dfa2\_transition.left\_steps M x c0 c1$ 

abbreviation  $right\_step' :: 'a config \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a config \Rightarrow bool (\_ \rightarrow^R(\_) \_ 55)$  where  

 $c0 \rightarrow^R(x) c1 \equiv dfa2\_transition.right\_step M x c0 c1$ 

abbreviation  $right\_steps' :: 'a config \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a config \Rightarrow bool (\_ \rightarrow^{R*}(\_) \_ 55)$  where  

 $c0 \rightarrow^{R*}(x) c1 \equiv dfa2\_transition.right\_steps M x c0 c1$ 

abbreviation  $right\_stepp' :: 'a config \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a config \Rightarrow bool (\_ \rightarrow^R'(\_,\_) \_ 55)$  where  

 $c0 \rightarrow^R(x,n) c1 \equiv dfa2\_transition.right\_stepp M x c0 n c1$ 

abbreviation  $left\_reachable' :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a config \Rightarrow bool (\_ \rightarrow^{L**}(\_) \_ 55)$  where  

 $w \rightarrow^{L**}(x) c \equiv dfa2\_transition.left\_reachable M x w c$ 

abbreviation  $crossn' :: 'a config \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a config \Rightarrow bool (\_ \rightarrow^X'(\_,\_) \_ 55)$  where  

 $w \rightarrow^X(x,n) y \equiv dfa2\_transition.crossn M x w n y$ 

abbreviation  $word\_crossn' :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a config \Rightarrow bool (\_ \rightarrow^X*(\_,\_) \_ 55)$  where  

 $w \rightarrow^X(x, n) c \equiv dfa2\_transition.word\_crossn M x w n c$ 

lemma  $T\_eq\_impl\_reconf\_reachable:$   

assumes  $x\_stepp: x @ z \rightarrow^X*(x,n) (zs @ rev (\langle x \rangle), q, v)$   

and  $not\_empty: x \neq [] y \neq []$   

and  $T\_eq: T x = T y$   

shows  $y @ z \rightarrow^X*(y,n) (zs @ rev (\langle y \rangle), q, v)$   

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

The initial implication:

```

theorem  $T\_eq\_impl\_eq\_app\_right:$   

assumes  $not\_empty: x \neq [] y \neq []$   

and  $T\_eq: T x = T y$   

and  $xz\_in\_lang: x @ z \in Lang$   

shows  $y @ z \in Lang$   

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

There are finitely many transitions:

```

definition  $\mathcal{T} :: 'a list \Rightarrow (state option \times state option) set$  where  

 $\mathcal{T} x \equiv \{(q, p). dfa2\_transition M x \wedge T x q p\}$ 

```

```

lemma  $\mathcal{T}\_subset\_states\_none$ :
  shows  $\mathcal{T} x \subseteq (\{\text{Some } q \mid q. q \in \text{states } M\} \cup \{\text{None}\}) \times (\{\text{Some } q \mid q. q \in \text{states } M\} \cup \{\text{None}\})$ 
    (is  $\_ \subseteq ?S \times \_)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\mathcal{T}\_Nil\_eq\_\mathcal{T}\_Nil$ :
  assumes  $\mathcal{T} x = \mathcal{T} []$ 
  shows  $x = []$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $T\_eq\_is\_\mathcal{T}\_eq$ :
  assumes  $\text{dfa2\_transition } M x$ 
     $\text{dfa2\_transition } M y$ 
  shows  $T x = T y \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{T} x = \mathcal{T} y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition  $\text{kern} :: ('b \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow ('b \times 'b) \text{ set where}$ 
   $\text{kern } f \equiv \{(x, y). f x = f y\}$ 

lemma  $\text{equiv\_kern}$ :
   $\text{equiv UNIV } (\text{kern } f)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{inj\_on\_vimage\_image}$ :  $\text{inj\_on } (\lambda b. f -` \{b\}) (f ` A)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{kern\_Image}$ :  $\text{kern } f `` A = f -` (f ` A)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{quotient\_kern\_eq\_image}$ :  $A // \text{kern } f = (\lambda b. f -` \{b\}) ` f ` A$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{bij\_betw\_image\_quotient\_kern}$ :
   $\text{bij\_betw } (\lambda b. f -` \{b\}) (f ` A) (A // \text{kern } f)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{finite\_quotient\_kern\_iff\_finite\_image}$ :
   $\text{finite } (A // \text{kern } \mathcal{T}) = \text{finite } (\mathcal{T} ` A)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem  $\mathcal{T}\_finite\_image$ :
   $\text{finite } (\mathcal{T} ` \text{UNIV})$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{kern\_}\mathcal{T}\_subset\_eq\_app\_right$ :

```

kern $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \text{eq_app_right Lang}$
(proof)

Lastly, *eq_app_right* is of finite index, from which the theorem follows by Myhill-Nerode:

theorem *dfa2_Lang_regular*:

regular Lang

(proof)

end

3.2 Every Regular Language is Accepted by Some 2DFA

abbreviation *step'* :: '*a config* \Rightarrow '*a dfa2* \Rightarrow '*a config* \Rightarrow *bool* ($_\rightarrow(_)$) $__55$)
where

$c0 \rightarrow(M) c1 \equiv \text{dfa2.step } M \ c0 \ c1$

abbreviation *steps'* :: '*a config* \Rightarrow '*a dfa2* \Rightarrow '*a config* \Rightarrow *bool* ($_\rightarrow^*(_)$) $__55$)
where

$c0 \rightarrow^*(M) c1 \equiv \text{dfa2.steps } M \ c0 \ c1$

lemma *finite_arbitrarily_large_disj*:

$\llbracket \text{infinite}(\text{UNIV}:'\text{a set}); \text{finite } (A:'\text{a set}) \rrbracket \implies \exists B. \text{finite } B \wedge \text{card } B = n \wedge A \cap B = \{\}$
(proof)

lemma *infinite_UNIV_state*: *infinite(UNIV :: state set)*

(proof)

Let $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ be regular. Then there exists a DFA $M = (Q, q_0, F, \delta)$ ¹ that accepts L . Furthermore, let $q_0, q_a, q_r \notin Q$ be pairwise distinct states. We construct the 2DFA $M' = (Q \cup \{q'_0, q_a, q_r\}, q'_0, q_a, q_r, \delta')$ where

$$\delta'(q, a) = \begin{cases} (\delta(q, a), \text{Right}) & \text{if } q \in Q \text{ and } a \in \Sigma \\ (q_a, \text{Right}) & \text{if } q = q_a \text{ and } a \in \Sigma \\ (q_r, \text{Right}) & \text{if } q \in \{q'_0, q_r\} \text{ and } a \in \Sigma \\ (q_0, \text{Right}) & \text{if } (q, a) = (q'_0, \vdash) \\ (q_a, \text{Right}) & \text{if } (q, a) = (q_a, \vdash) \\ (q_r, \text{Right}) & \text{if } q \in Q \cup \{q_r\} \text{ and } a = \dashv \\ (q_a, \text{Left}) & \text{if } q \in F \cup \{q_a\} \text{ and } a = \dashv \\ (q_r, \text{Left}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

¹We define automata in accordance with the records '*a dfa*' and '*a dfa2*', which do not define an alphabet explicitly. Hence, we implicitly set Σ as the input alphabet for M and M' .

Intuitively, M' executes M on a word $w \in \Sigma^*$, and accepts it if and only if M does so:

Recall that the input of M' for w is $\vdash w \dashv$, and therefore, M' always reads \vdash in its initial configuration. The start state of M' , q_0' , moves the head of M' to the first character of w , and M' goes into state q_0 , the start state of M . Then, M' reads each character of w moving exclusively to the right, mimicking the behavior of a traditional DFA. Since M' computes its next state with δ , it behaves exactly like M until the entire word is read.

When M' finishes reading w , the head is on \dashv , and its current state is the same state M is in after reading w . It is worth noting that, since the markers aren't in Σ , M' will not reach \dashv while simulating the execution of M . Hence, if the state of M' when reading \dashv is in F , M accepts w , and M' goes into its accepting state, q_a . Otherwise, it goes into its rejecting state q_r . At this point, the simulation of M is over, and M' behaves in accordance to the formal definition of 2DFAs. In particular, it always remains in its current state, and it moves to the right for all symbols except for \dashv .

We now formally prove that $L(M') = L(M)$:

```
theorem regular_language_impl_dfa2:
assumes regular L
obtains M M' q0 qa qr where
  dfa M dfa.language M = L
  {q0, qa, qr} ∩ dfa.states M = {}
  qa ≠ qr
  qa ≠ q0
  qr ≠ q0
  dfa2 M' dfa2.Lang M' = L
  M' = (let δ = (λq a. case a of
    Letter a' ⇒ (if q ∈ dfa.states M then ((dfa.nxt M) q a', Right)
      else if q = qa then (qa, Right) else (qr, Right)) |
    Marker Left ⇒ (if q = q0 then (dfa.init M, Right)
      else if q = qa then (qa, Right) else (qr, Right)) |
    Marker Right ⇒ (if q ∈ dfa.final M ∨ q = qa then (qa, Left) else (qr,
      Left)))|
  in (dfa2.states = dfa.states M ∪ {q0, qa, qr},
    dfa2.init = q0,
    dfa2.acc = qa,
    dfa2.rej = qr,
    dfa2.nxt = δ))
```

(proof)

The equality follows trivially:

```
corollary dfa2_accepts_regular_languages:
  regular L = (exists M. dfa2 M ∧ dfa2.Lang M = L)
(proof)
```

end

References

- [1] D. Kozen. *Automata and computability*. Undergraduate texts in computer science. Springer, 1997.
- [2] L. C. Paulson. Finite automata in hereditarily finite set theory. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, February 2015. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Finite_Automata_HF.html, Formal proof development.