Transitive Union-Closed Families

Angeliki Koutsoukou-Argyraki^{1,2} and Lawrence C. Paulson²

¹Royal Holloway, University of London ²University of Cambridge

March 17, 2025

Abstract

We formalise a proof by Aaronson, Ellis and Leader showing that the Union-Closed Conjecture holds for the union-closed family generated by the cyclic translates of any fixed set.

Contents

1	Transitive Union-Closed Families		
	1.1	Proof of the main theorem	2

1 Transitive Union-Closed Families

A family of sets is union-closed if the union of any two sets from the family is in the family. The Union-Closed Conjecture is an open problem in combinatorics posed by Frankl in 1979. It states that for every finite, union-closed family of sets (other than the family containing only the empty set) there exists an element that belongs to at least half of the sets in the family. We formalise a proof by Aaronson, Ellis and Leader showing that the Union-Closed Conjecture holds for the union-closed family generated by the cyclic translates of any fixed set [1].

theory Transitive-Union-Closed-Families imports Pluennecke-Ruzsa-Inequality.Pluennecke-Ruzsa-Inequality

begin

no-notation equivalence.Partition (infixl '/ 75)

definition union-closed:: 'a set set \Rightarrow bool where union-closed $\mathcal{F} \equiv (\forall A \in \mathcal{F}, \forall B \in \mathcal{F}, A \cup B \in \mathcal{F})$ **abbreviation** set-difference :: $['a \ set, 'a \ set] \Rightarrow 'a \ set \ (infixl \setminus 65)$ where $A \setminus B \equiv A-B$

locale Family = additive-abelian-group + fixes Rassumes finG: finite Gassumes RG: $R \subseteq G$ assumes R-nonempty: $R \neq \{\}$

begin

definition union-closed-conjecture-property:: 'a set set \Rightarrow bool where union-closed-conjecture-property \mathcal{F} $\equiv \exists \mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{F}. \exists x \in G. x \in \bigcap \mathcal{X} \land card \mathcal{X} \ge card \mathcal{F} / 2$

definition Neighbd $\equiv \lambda A$. sumset A R

definition Interior $\equiv \lambda A$. { $x \in G$. sumset {x} $R \subseteq A$ }

definition $\mathcal{F} \equiv Neighbd$ ' Pow G

We show that the family \mathcal{F} as defined above and appears in the statement of the theorem [1] is actually a finite, nonempty union-closed family indeed.

lemma card \mathcal{F} -gt0 [simp]: card $\mathcal{F} > 0$ and finite \mathcal{F} : finite \mathcal{F} (proof)

lemma union-closed \mathcal{F} $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma cardG-gt0: card G > 0 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma \mathcal{F} -subset: $\mathcal{F} \subseteq Pow \ G$ $\langle proof \rangle$

1.1 Proof of the main theorem

lemma average-ge:

shows $(\sum S \in \mathcal{F}.(card S)) / card \mathcal{F} \ge card G / 2$ $\langle proof \rangle$

We have thus shown that the average size of a set in the family \mathcal{F} is at least |G|/2, proving the first part of Theorem 2 in the paper [1]. Using this, we will now show the main statement, i.e. that the Union-Closed Conjecture holds for the family \mathcal{F} .

theorem Aaronson-Ellis-Leader-union-closed-conjecture: **shows** union-closed-conjecture-property \mathcal{F} $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

References

 J. Aaronson, D. Ellis, and I. Leader. A note on transitive union-closed families. 28(2), 2021. doihttps://doi.org/10.37236/9956.