Executable Transitive Closures of Finite Relations*

Christian Sternagel and René Thiemann

March 17, 2025

Abstract

We provide a generic work-list algorithm to compute the transitive closure of finite relations where only successors of newly detected states are generated. This algorithm is then instantiated for lists over arbitrary carriers and red black trees [1] (which are faster but require a linear order on the carrier), respectively.

Our formalization was performed as part of the IsaFoR/CeTA project¹ [2], where reflexive transitive closures of large tree automata have to be computed.

Contents

1	A Generic Work-List Algorithm	2
	1.1 Bounded Reachability	. 2
	1.2 Reflexive Transitive Closure and Transitive closure	. 3
2	Closure Computation using Lists	4
	2.1 Computing Closures from Sets On-The-Fly	. 5
	2.2 Precomputing Closures for Single States	. 5
3	Accessing Values via Keys	6
	3.1 Subset and Union	. 6
	3.2 Grouping Values via Keys	. 7
4	Closure Computation via Red Black Trees	8
	4.1 Computing Closures from Sets On-The-Fly	. 9
	4.2 Precomputing Closures for Single States	. 9
5	Computing Images of Finite Transitive Closures	10
	5.1 A Simproc for Computing the Images of Finite Transitive	
	Closures	. 10
	5.2 Example	. 11

*Supported by FWF (Austrian Science Fund) project P22767-N13.

¹http://cl-informatik.uibk.ac.at/software/ceta

1 A Generic Work-List Algorithm

theory Transitive-Closure-Impl imports Main begin

Let R be some finite relation. We start to present a standard worklist algorithm to compute all elements that are reachable from some initial set by at most n R-steps. Then, we obtain algorithms for the (reflexive) transitive closure from a given starting set by exploiting the fact that for finite relations we have to iterate at most *card* R times. The presented algorithms are generic in the sense that the underlying data structure can freely be chosen, you just have to provide certain operations like union, membership, etc.

1.1 Bounded Reachability

We provide an algorithm relpow-impl that computes all states that are reachable from an initial set of states new by at most n steps. The algorithm also stores a set of states that have already been visited have, and then show, do not have to be expanded a second time. The algorithm is parametric in the underlying data structure, it just requires operations for union and membership as well as a function to compute the successors of a list.

fun

 $\begin{array}{l} relpow-impl :: \\ ('a\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ list) \Rightarrow \\ ('a\ list \Rightarrow 'b\ \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'b \\ \hline \textbf{where} \\ relpow-impl\ succ\ un\ memb\ new\ have\ 0 = un\ new\ have\ | \\ relpow-impl\ succ\ un\ memb\ new\ have\ (Suc\ m) = \\ (if\ new\ = \ []\ then\ have \\ else \\ let \\ maybe\ = \ succ\ new; \\ have'\ = \ un\ new\ have; \\ new'\ = \ filter\ (\lambda\ n.\ \neg\ memb\ n\ have')\ maybe \\ in\ relpow-impl\ succ\ un\ memb\ new'\ have'\ m) \end{array}$

We need to know that the provided operations behave correctly.

locale set-access =
fixes un :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b
and set-of :: 'b \Rightarrow 'a set
and memb :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool
and empty :: 'b
assumes un: set-of (un as bs) = set as \cup set-of bs
and memb: memb a bs \longleftrightarrow (a \in set-of bs)
and empty: set-of empty = {}

locale set-access-succ = set-access un for $un :: 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b +$ fixes $succ :: 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ list$ $and <math>rel :: ('a \times 'a) \ set$ assumes $succ: set \ (succ \ as) = \{b. \exists a \in set \ as. \ (a, b) \in rel\}$ begin

abbreviation $relpow-i \equiv relpow-impl succ un memb$

What follows is the main technical result of the *relpow-impl* algorithm: what it computes for arbitrary values of *new* and *have*.

lemma relpow-impl-main: set-of (relpow-i new have n) = $\{b \mid a \ b \ m. \ a \in set \ new \land m \leq n \land (a, b) \in (rel \cap \{(a, b). \ b \notin set-of \ have\})$ $\frown m\} \cup$ set-of have (is ?! new have n = ?r new have n) $\langle proof \rangle$

From the previous lemma we can directly derive that *relpow-impl* works correctly if *have* is initially set to *empty*

```
lemma relpow-impl:
```

set-of (relpow-i new empty n) = { $b \mid a \ b \ m$. $a \in set \ new \land m \le n \land (a, b) \in rel \ \ m$ } (proof)

 \mathbf{end}

1.2 Reflexive Transitive Closure and Transitive closure

Using *relpow-impl* it is now easy to obtain algorithms for the reflexive transitive closure and the transitive closure by restricting the number of steps to the size of the finite relation. Note that *relpow-impl* will abort the computation as soon as no new states are detected. Hence, there is no penalty in using this large bound.

definition

 $\begin{array}{l} rtrancl-impl :: \\ (('a \times 'a) \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ list) \Rightarrow \\ ('a \ list \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a) \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'b \\ \hline \textbf{where} \\ rtrancl-impl \ gen-succ \ un \ memb \ emp \ rel = \\ (let \\ succ = \ gen-succ \ rel; \\ n = \ length \ rel \\ in \ (\lambda \ as. \ relpow-impl \ succ \ un \ memb \ as \ emp \ n)) \end{array}$

definition

trancl-impl::

 $(('a \times 'a) \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list}) \Rightarrow$

 $('a \ list \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a) \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'b$ where

 $trancl-impl \ gen-succ \ un \ memb \ emp \ rel = (let$

 $succ = gen-succ \ rel;$ $n = length \ rel$

in (λ as. relpow-impl succ un memb (succ as) emp n))

The soundness of both *rtrancl-impl* and *trancl-impl* follows from the soundness of *relpow-impl* and the fact that for finite relations, we can limit the number of steps to explore all elements in the reflexive transitive closure.

lemma *rtrancl-finite-relpow*:

 $(a, b) \in (set \ rel)^* \longleftrightarrow (\exists n \leq length \ rel. (a, b) \in set \ rel \frown n) (is ?l = ?r) \langle proof \rangle$

locale set-access-gen = set-access un **for** un :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b + **fixes** gen-succ :: ('a \times 'a) list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list **assumes** gen-succ: set (gen-succ rel as) = {b. $\exists a \in set as. (a, b) \in set rel}$ **begin**

abbreviation $rtrancl-i \equiv rtrancl-impl gen-succ$ un memb empty **abbreviation** $trancl-i \equiv trancl-impl gen-succ$ un memb empty

lemma rtrancl-impl: set-of (rtrancl-i rel as) = {b. $(\exists a \in set as. (a, b) \in (set rel)^*)$ } $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma trancl-impl: set-of (trancl-i rel as) = {b. $(\exists a \in set as. (a, b) \in (set rel)^+)$ } $\langle proof \rangle$

 \mathbf{end}

end

2 Closure Computation using Lists

theory Transitive-Closure-List-Impl imports Transitive-Closure-Impl begin

We provide two algorithms for the computation of the reflexive transitive closure which internally work on lists. The first one (*rtrancl-list-impl*) computes the closure on demand for a given set of initial states. The second one (*memo-list-rtrancl*) precomputes the closure for each individual state, stores the result, and then only does a look-up. For the transitive closure there are the corresponding algorithms *trancl-list-impl* and *memo-list-trancl*.

2.1 Computing Closures from Sets On-The-Fly

The algorithms are based on the generic algorithms *rtrancl-impl* and *trancl-impl* instantiated by list operations. Here, after computing the successors in a straightforward way, we use *remdups* to not have duplicates in the results. Moreover, also in the union operation we filter to those elements that have not yet been seen. The use of *filter* in the union operation is preferred over *remdups* since by construction the latter set will not contain duplicates.

definition *rtrancl-list-impl* :: $('a \times 'a)$ *list* \Rightarrow '*a list* \Rightarrow '*a list* \Rightarrow '*a list*

 $\begin{aligned} rtrancl-list-impl &= rtrancl-impl\\ (\lambda \ r \ as. \ remdups \ (map \ snd \ (filter \ (\lambda \ (a, \ b). \ a \in set \ as) \ r)))\\ (\lambda \ xs \ ys. \ (filter \ (\lambda \ x. \ x \notin set \ ys) \ xs) \ @ \ ys)\\ (\lambda \ x \ xs. \ x \in set \ xs)\\ \end{matrix}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } trancl-list-impl :: ('a \times 'a) \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ list \\ \textbf{where} \\ trancl-list-impl = trancl-impl \\ (\lambda \ r \ as. \ remdups \ (map \ snd \ (filter \ (\lambda \ (a, \ b). \ a \in set \ as) \ r))) \\ (\lambda \ xs \ ys. \ (filter \ (\lambda \ x. \ x \notin set \ ys) \ xs) \ @ \ ys) \\ (\lambda \ x \ xs. \ x \in set \ xs) \\ \end{array}$

lemma rtrancl-list-impl: set (rtrancl-list-impl r as) = {b. $\exists a \in set as. (a, b) \in (set r)^*$ } $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma trancl-list-impl:
```

set $(trancl-list-impl \ r \ as) = \{b. \exists a \in set as. (a, b) \in (set \ r)^+\}$ $\langle proof \rangle$

2.2 Precomputing Closures for Single States

Storing all relevant entries is done by mapping all left-hand sides of the relation to their closure. To avoid redundant entries, *remdups* is used.

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition} \ memo-list-rtrancl :: ('a \times 'a) \ list \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a \ list) \\ \textbf{where} \\ memo-list-rtrancl \ r = \\ (let \\ tr = rtrancl-list-impl \ r; \\ rm = map \ (\lambda a. \ (a, \ tr \ [a])) \ ((remdups \circ map \ fst) \ r) \\ in \\ (\lambda a. \ case \ map-of \ rm \ a \ of \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} None \Rightarrow [a] \\ | \ Some \ as \Rightarrow \ as)) \end{array}$

```
lemma memo-list-rtrancl:
```

set (memo-list-rtrancl r a) = {b. $(a, b) \in (set r)^*$ } (is ?l = ?r) (proof)

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition} \ memo-list-trancl :: ('a \times 'a) \ list \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a \ list) \\ \textbf{where} \\ memo-list-trancl \ r = \\ (let \\ tr = trancl-list-impl \ r; \\ rm = map \ (\lambda a. \ (a, \ tr \ [a])) \ ((remdups \circ map \ fst) \ r) \\ in \\ (\lambda a. \ case \ map-of \ rm \ a \ of \\ None \Rightarrow \ [] \end{array}$

```
| Some \ as \Rightarrow as))
```

lemma memo-list-trancl:

set (memo-list-trancl r a) = {b. (a, b) \in (set r)⁺} (is ?l = ?r) $\langle proof \rangle$

end

3 Accessing Values via Keys

theory RBT-Map-Set-Extension imports Collections.RBTMapImpl Collections.RBTSetImpl Matrix.Utility begin

We provide two extensions of the red black tree implementation.

The first extension provides two convenience methods on sets which are represented by red black trees: a check on subsets and the big union operator.

The second extension is to provide two operations *elem-list-to-rm* and *rm-set-lookup* which can be used to index a set of values via keys. More precisely, given a list of values of type 'v and a key function of type 'v \Rightarrow 'k, *elem-list-to-rm* will generate a map of type 'k \Rightarrow 'v set. Then with *rs-set-lookup* we can efficiently access all values which match a given key.

3.1 Subset and Union

For the subset operation $r \subseteq s$ we provide two implementations. The first one (*rs-subset*) traverses over r and then performs membership tests $\in s$. Its complexity is $\mathcal{O}(|r| \cdot log(|s|))$. The second one (*rs-subset-list*) generates sorted lists for both r and s and then linearly checks the subset condition. Its complexity is $\mathcal{O}(|r| + |s|)$.

As union operator we use the standard fold function. Note that the order of the union is important so that new sets are added to the big union.

```
definition rs-subset :: ('a :: linorder) rs \Rightarrow 'a rs \Rightarrow 'a option
where
  rs-subset as bs = rs.iteratei
    as
    (\lambda \text{ maybe. case maybe of None} \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid \text{Some} \rightarrow \text{False})
    (\lambda \ a \ -. \ if \ rs.memb \ a \ bs \ then \ None \ else \ Some \ a)
    None
lemma rs-subset [simp]:
  rs-subset as bs = None \leftrightarrow rs.\alpha as \subseteq rs.\alpha bs
\langle proof \rangle
definition rs-subset-list :: ('a :: linorder) rs \Rightarrow 'a rs \Rightarrow 'a option
where
  rs-subset-list as bs = sorted-list-subset (rs.to-sorted-list as) (rs.to-sorted-list bs)
lemma rs-subset-list [simp]:
  rs-subset-list as bs = None \leftrightarrow rs.\alpha as \subseteq rs.\alpha bs
  \langle proof \rangle
definition rs-Union :: ('q :: linorder) rs list \Rightarrow 'q rs
where
  rs-Union = foldl rs.union (rs.empty ())
lemma rs-Union [simp]:
```

 $\langle proof \rangle$

 $rs.\alpha$ (rs-Union qs) = \bigcup (rs. α 'set qs)

3.2 Grouping Values via Keys

The functions to produce the index (elem-list-to-rm) and the lookup function (rm-set-lookup) are straight-forward, however it requires some tedious reasoning that they perform as they should.

fun elem-list-to-rm :: ('d \Rightarrow 'k :: linorder) \Rightarrow 'd list \Rightarrow ('k, 'd list) rm where elem-list-to-rm key [] = rm.empty () | elem-list-to-rm key (d # ds) = (let rm = elem-list-to-rm key ds; k = key d in (case rm. α rm k of None \Rightarrow rm.update-dj k [d] rm | Some data \Rightarrow rm.update k (d # data) rm))

definition rm-set-lookup $rm = (\lambda \ a. \ (case \ rm.\alpha \ rm \ a \ of \ None \Rightarrow [] | Some \ rules \Rightarrow rules))$

```
lemma rm-to-list-empty [simp]:

rm.to-list (rm.empty ()) = []

\langle proof \rangle

locale rm-set =

fixes rm :: ('k :: linorder, 'd list) rm

and key :: 'd \Rightarrow 'k

and data :: 'd set

assumes rm-set-lookup: \bigwedge k. set (rm-set-lookup rm k) = \{d \in data. key \ d = k\}
```

begin

```
lemma data-lookup:
```

```
data = \bigcup \{set (rm\text{-set-lookup } rm \; k) \mid k. \; True\} (is - ?R)\langle proof \rangle
```

lemma finite-data: finite data $\langle proof \rangle$

 \mathbf{end}

interpretation elem-list-to-rm: rm-set elem-list-to-rm key ds key set ds $\langle proof \rangle$

 \mathbf{end}

4 Closure Computation via Red Black Trees

theory Transitive-Closure-RBT-Impl imports Transitive-Closure-Impl

RBT-Map-Set-Extension **begin**

We provide two algorithms to compute the reflexive transitive closure which internally work on red black trees. Therefore, the carrier has to be linear ordered. The first one (*rtrancl-rbt-impl*) computes the closure on demand for a given set of initial states. The second one (*memo-rbt-rtrancl*) precomputes the closure for each individual state, stores the results, and then only does a look-up.

For the transitive closure there are the corresponding algorithms trancl-rbt-impl and memo-rbt-trancl

4.1 Computing Closures from Sets On-The-Fly

The algorithms are based on the generic algorithms *rtrancl-impl* and *trancl-impl* using red black trees. To compute the successors efficiently, all successors of a state are collected and stored in a red black tree map by using *elem-list-to-rm*. Then, to lift the successor relation for single states to lists of states, all results are united using *rs-Union*. The rest is standard.

interpretation set-access λ as bs. rs.union bs (rs.from-list as) rs. α rs.memb rs.empty ()

 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *rm*-succ :: ('a :: linorder \times 'a) list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list where

rm-succ $\equiv (\lambda \ r. \ let \ rm = \ elem$ -list-to- $rm \ fst \ r \ in$

 $(\lambda \text{ as. rs.to-list (rs-Union (map } (\lambda \text{ a. rs.from-list (map snd (rm-set-lookup rm } a)))) as))))$

definition rtrancl-rbt-impl :: ('a :: linorder \times 'a) list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a rs where rtrancl-rbt-impl = rtrancl-impl rm-succ

 $(\lambda \ as \ bs. \ rs.union \ bs \ (rs.from-list \ as)) \ rs.memb \ (rs.empty \ ())$

definition trancl-rbt-impl :: ('a :: linorder \times 'a) list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a rs where

trancl-rbt-impl = trancl-impl rm-succ (λ as bs. rs.union bs (rs.from-list as)) rs.memb (rs.empty ())

lemma *rtrancl-rbt-impl*:

 $rs.\alpha \ (rtrancl-rbt-impl \ r \ as) = \{b. \exists \ a \in set \ as. \ (a,b) \in (set \ r)^*\} \\ \langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma trancl-rbt-impl:

rs.\alpha (trancl-rbt-impl r as) = {b. \exists a \in set as. (a,b) \in (set r)^+}

\langle proof \rangle
```

4.2 Precomputing Closures for Single States

Storing all relevant entries is done by mapping all left-hand sides of the relation to their closure. Since we assume a linear order on the carrier, for the lookup we can use maps that are implemented as red black trees.

definition memo-rbt-rtrancl :: ('a :: linorder \times 'a) list \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a rs) where memo-rbt-rtrancl r = (let tr = rtrancl-rbt-impl r; rm = rm.to-map (map (λ a. (a, tr [a])) ((rs.to-list \circ rs.from-list \circ map fst) r)) in

```
(\lambda a. \ case \ rm.lookup \ a \ rm \ of
        None \Rightarrow rs.from-list [a]
      | Some as \Rightarrow as))
lemma memo-rbt-rtrancl:
  rs.\alpha (memo-rbt-rtrancl r a) = {b. (a, b) \in (set r)^*} (is ?l = ?r)
\langle proof \rangle
definition memo-rbt-trancl :: ('a :: linorder \times 'a) list \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a rs)
where
  memo-rbt-trancl r =
    (let
      tr = trancl-rbt-impl r;
      rm = rm.to-map \ (map \ (\lambda \ a. \ (a, \ tr \ [a])) \ ((rs.to-list \circ rs.from-list \circ map \ fst))
r))
    in (\lambda \ a.
      (case rm.lookup a rm of
        None \Rightarrow rs.empty ()
      | Some as \Rightarrow as)))
lemma memo-rbt-trancl:
```

```
rs.α (memo-rbt-trancl r a) = {b. (a, b) ∈ (set r)<sup>+</sup>} (is ?l = ?r) 
⟨proof⟩
```

 \mathbf{end}

5 Computing Images of Finite Transitive Closures

theory Finite-Transitive-Closure-Simprocs imports Transitive-Closure-List-Impl begin

lemma rtrancl-Image-eq: **assumes** r = set r' and x = set x' **shows** r^* '' x = set (rtrancl-list-impl r' x') $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma trancl-Image-eq: **assumes** r = set r' and x = set x' **shows** r^+ "x = set (trancl-list-impl r' x') $\langle proof \rangle$

5.1 A Simproc for Computing the Images of Finite Transitive Closures

 $\langle ML \rangle$

5.2 Example

The images of (reflexive) transitive closures are computed by evaluation.

lemma

 $\begin{array}{l} \{(1::nat,\ 2),\ (2,\ 3),\ (3,\ 4),\ (4,\ 5)\}^* \ `` \{1\} = \{1,\ 2,\ 3,\ 4,\ 5\} \\ \{(1::nat,\ 2),\ (2,\ 3),\ (3,\ 4),\ (4,\ 5)\}^+ \ `` \{1\} = \{2,\ 3,\ 4,\ 5\} \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$

Evaluation does not allow for free variables and thus fails in their presence.

lemma

 $\begin{array}{l} \{(x,\ y)\}^* \ `` \{x\} = \{x,\ y\} \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$

 \mathbf{end}

References

- P. Lammich and A. Lochbihler. The Isabelle collections framework. In Proc. ITP'10, volume 6172 of LNCS, pages 339–354, 2010.
- [2] R. Thiemann and C. Sternagel. Certification of termination proofs using CeTA. In Proc. TPHOLs'09, volume 5674 of LNCS, pages 452–468, 2009.