# Transformer Semantics

# Georg Struth

## March 17, 2025

#### Abstract

These mathematical components formalise predicate transformer semantics for programs, yet currently only for partial correctness and in the absence of faults. A first part for isotone (or monotone), Suppreserving and Inf-preserving transformers follows Back and von Wright's approach, with additional emphasis on the quantalic structure of algebras of transformers. The second part develops Sup-preserving and Inf-preserving predicate transformers from the powerset monad, via its Kleisli category and Eilenberg-Moore algebras, with emphasis on adjunctions and dualities, as well as isomorphisms between relations, state transformers and predicate transformers.

# Contents

1	Intr	roductory Remarks	2		
2	Isot	one Transformers Between Complete Lattices	3		
	2.1	Basic Properties	3		
	2.2	Pre-Quantale of Isotone Transformers	4		
	2.3	Propositional Hoare Logic for Transformers without Star	5		
	2.4	Kleene Star of Isotone Transformers	5		
	2.5	Propositional Hoare Logic Completed	8		
	2.6	A Propositional Refinement Calculus	9		
3	Sup- and Inf-Preserving Transformers between Complete				
	Lat	tices	10		
	3.1	Basic Properties	10		
	3.2	Properties of the Kleene Star	14		
	3.3	Quantales of Inf- and Top-Preserving Transformers	15		
4	The	Powerset Monad, State Transformers and Predicate			
	Tra	nsformers	16		
	4.1	The Powerset Monad	17		
	4.2	Kleisli Category of the Powerset Monad	17		

	4.3	Eilenberg-Moore Algebra	18
	4.4	Isomorphism between Kleisli Category and Rel	
	4.5	The opposite Kleisli Category	24
5	Stat	te Transformers and Predicate Transformers Based on	
	$\mathbf{the}$	Powerset Monad	<b>2</b> 6
	5.1	Backward Diamonds from Kleisli Arrows	26
	5.2	Backward Diamonds from Relations	29
	5.3	Forward Boxes on Kleisli Arrows	31
	5.4	Forward Box Operators from Relations	36
	5.5	The Remaining Modalities	39
6	The	Quantaloid of Kleisli Arrows	44
	6.1	Kleene Star	45
	6.2	Antidomain	47
7	The	Quantale of Kleisli Arrows	48

## 1 Introductory Remarks

Predicate transformers yield standard denotational semantics for imperative programs; they have been investigated for around fifty years and are widely used in program verification. These components provide yet another take on this topic with Isabelle (previous formalisations in the AFP include [9, 5, 6]).

The first part, like Preoteasa's work [9], follows by and large Back and von Wright's seminal monograph [2]. Isotone (or monotone), sup-preserving and inf-preserving transformers are developed in a categorical setting as morphisms of orderings and complete lattices. The approach is type-driven; concepts are usually formalised with the most general suitable types. Due to this, the algebras of transformers cannot be captured within Isabelle's type classes or locales. They describe algebraic properties of typed function spaces (enriched homsets of categories of complete lattices) in terms of typed quantales or quantaloids [10]. Special focus is on notions of recursion and iteration in this typed setting. In particular, propositional Hoare logics and basic refinement calculi—for partial correctness and without assignment laws—are derived. For transformers that are endofunctions, instance proofs for quantales are given. This brings theorems about quantales and from the Kleene algebra hierarchy into scope.

Based on this, the second part presents an alternative, more detailed development with sets. It starts from the monad of the powerset functor, its Kleisli category and its Eilenberg-Moore algebras; a view that has been promoted, for instance, by Jacobs [7]. General monads cannot be handled by Isabelle's type system, only particular instances can be formalised—at the level of exercises in category theory textbooks. With this approach, binary

relations, state transformers modelled as arrows of the Kleisli category of the powerset monad, and predicate transformer algebras, Sup-lattices which arise as Eilenberg-Moore algebras of the powerset monad, are related like in Jacob's state-effect triangles. In particular, the isomorphisms between the quantalic structure of relations, that of state transformers and that of various predicate transformers is spelled out in detail. In addition, the symmetries and dualities between four kinds of predicate transformers (forward and backward modal box and diamond operators in the parlance of dynamic logic) are formalised. Beyond that, the quantalic structure of state transformers is detailed first in a typed setting, and secondly in a single-typed one, where state transformers are shown to form quantales and hence Kleene algebras.

It should be straightforward to integrate these mathematical components into verification components along the lines of [1, 6]. Beyond that, an integration with the predicate transformers obtained from modal Kleene algebras [5] seems interesting for verification applications. Possible extensions and refinements include the development of verification conditions for recursion beyond those for while-loops, approaches to total correctness and fault semantics, more complete (re)encodings of Back and von Wright's approach, formalisations of domain theory, links between isotone transformers and Isabelle components for multirelational semantics [4] and extensions to probabilistic transformers [8].

# 2 Isotone Transformers Between Complete Lattices

theory Isotone-Transformers imports Order-Lattice-Props.Fixpoint-Fusion Quantales.Quantale-Star

## begin

A transformer is a function between lattices; an isotone transformer preserves the order (or is monotone). In this component, statements are developed in a type-driven way. Statements are developed in more general contexts or even the most general one.

## 2.1 Basic Properties

First I show that some basic transformers are isotone...

lemma iso-id: mono id by (simp add: monoI)

```
lemma iso-botf: mono \perp
 by (simp add: monoI)
lemma iso-topf: mono \top
 by (simp add: monoI)
... and that compositions, Infs and Sups preserve isotonicity.
lemma iso-fcomp: mono f \Longrightarrow mono g \Longrightarrow mono (f \circ g)
 by (simp add: mono-def)
lemma iso-fSup:
  fixes F :: ('a::order \Rightarrow 'b::complete-lattice) set
 shows (\forall f \in F. \ mono \ f) \Longrightarrow mono \ (| \ | F)
 by (simp add: mono-def SUP-subset-mono)
lemma iso-fsup: mono f \Longrightarrow mono g \Longrightarrow mono (f \sqcup g)
  unfolding mono-def using sup-mono by fastforce
lemma iso-fInf:
  fixes F :: ('a::order \Rightarrow 'b::complete-lattice) set
  shows \forall f \in F. mono f \Longrightarrow mono ( \square F )
 by (simp add: mono-def, safe, rule Inf-greatest, auto simp: INF-lower2)
lemma iso-finf: mono f \Longrightarrow mono g \Longrightarrow mono (f \sqcap g)
  unfolding mono-def using inf-mono by fastforce
lemma fun-isol: mono f \Longrightarrow g \leq h \Longrightarrow (f \circ g) \leq (f \circ h)
 by (simp add: le-fun-def monoD)
lemma fun-isor: mono f \Longrightarrow g \leq h \Longrightarrow (g \circ f) \leq (h \circ f)
 by (simp add: le-fun-def monoD)
```

## 2.2 Pre-Quantale of Isotone Transformers

It is well known, and has been formalised within Isabelle, that functions into complete lattices form complete lattices. In the following proof, this needs to be replayed because isotone functions are considered and closure conditions need to be respected.

Functions must now be restricted to a single type.

```
{\bf instantiation}\ iso:: (complete-lattice)\ unital-pre-quantale\ {\bf begin}
```

```
lift-definition one-iso :: 'a::complete-lattice iso is id
by (simp add: iso-id)
lift-definition times-iso :: 'a::complete-lattice iso ⇒ 'a iso ⇒ 'a iso is (⋄)
by (simp add: iso-fcomp)
```

#### instance

by (intro-classes; transfer, simp-all add: comp-assoc fInf-distr-var fInf-subdistl-var)

#### end

I have previously worked in (pre)quantales with many types or quantaloids. Formally, these are categories enriched over the category of Sup-lattices (complete lattices with Sup-preserving functions). An advantage of the single-typed approach is that the definition of the Kleene star for (pre)quantales is available in this setting.

## 2.3 Propositional Hoare Logic for Transformers without Star

The rules of an abstract Propositional Hoare logic are derivable.

```
lemma H-iso-cond1: (x::'a::preorder) \le y \Longrightarrow y \le f z \Longrightarrow x \le f z
  using order-trans by auto
lemma H-iso-cond2: mono\ f \Longrightarrow y \le z \Longrightarrow x \le f\ y \Longrightarrow x \le f\ z
  by (meson mono-def order-subst1)
lemma H-iso-seq: mono f \Longrightarrow x \le f y \Longrightarrow y \le g z \Longrightarrow x \le f (g z)
  using H-iso-cond2 by force
lemma H-iso-seq-var: mono f \Longrightarrow x \le f y \Longrightarrow y \le g z \Longrightarrow x \le (f \circ g) z
  by (simp add: H-iso-cond2)
lemma H-iso-fInf:
  fixes F :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b::complete-lattice) set
  shows (\forall f \in F. \ x \leq f \ y) \Longrightarrow x \leq (\prod F) \ y
  by (simp add: le-INF-iff)
lemma H-iso-fSup:
  fixes F :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b::complete-lattice) set
  shows F \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow (\forall f \in F. \ x \leq f \ y) \Longrightarrow x \leq (\bigsqcup F) \ y
  using SUP-upper2 by fastforce
```

These rules are suitable for weakest liberal preconditions. Order-dual ones, in which the order relation is swapped, are consistent with other kinds of transformers. In the context of dynamic logic, the first set corresponds to box modalities whereas the second one would correspond to diamonds.

## 2.4 Kleene Star of Isotone Transformers

The Hoare rule for loops requires some preparation. On the way I verify some Kleene-algebra-style axioms for iteration.

First I show that functions form monoids.

```
interpretation fun-mon: monoid-mult id::'a \Rightarrow 'a \ (\circ)
 by unfold-locales auto
definition fiter-fun :: ('a \Rightarrow 'c::semilattice-inf) \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow
'c where
 fiter-fun f q = (\sqcap) f \circ (\circ) q
definition fiter :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b::complete-lattice) \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b where
 fiter f g = gfp (fiter-fun f g)
definition fiter-id :: ('a::complete-lattice \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a where
 fiter-id = fiter\ id
abbreviation fpower \equiv fun\text{-}mon.power
definition fstar :: ('a::complete-lattice \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a where
 fstar f = (\prod i. fpower f i)
The types in the following statements are often more general than those
in the prequantale setting. I develop them generally, instead of inheriting
(most of them) with more restrictive types from the quantale components.
lemma fiter-fun-exp: fiter-fun f g h = f \sqcap (g \circ h)
 unfolding fiter-fun-def by simp
The two lemmas that follow set up the relationship between the star for
transformers and those in quantales.
lemma fiter-qiter1: Abs-iso (fiter-fun (Rep-iso f) (Rep-iso g) (Rep-iso h)) = qiter-fun
f g h
 unfolding fiter-fun-def giter-fun-def by (metis Rep-iso-inverse comp-def sup-iso.rep-eq
times-iso.rep-eq)
lemma fiter-qiter4: mono f \Longrightarrow mono g \Longrightarrow mono h \Longrightarrow Rep-iso (qiter-fun (Abs-iso
f) (Abs-iso g) (Abs-iso h)) = fiter-fun f g h
 by (simp add: Abs-iso-inverse fiter-fun-exp qiter-fun-exp sup-iso.rep-eq times-iso.rep-eq)
The type coercions are needed to deal with isotone (monotone) functions,
which had to be redefined to one single type above, in order to cooperate with
the type classes for quantales. Having to deal with these coercions would be
another drawback of using the quantale-based setting for the development.
lemma iso-fiter-fun: mono f \Longrightarrow mono (fiter-fun f)
 by (simp add: fiter-fun-exp le-fun-def mono-def inf.coboundedI2)
\mathbf{lemma} \ \textit{iso-fiter-fun2} \colon \textit{mono} \ f \Longrightarrow \textit{mono} \ g \Longrightarrow \textit{mono} \ (\textit{fiter-fun} \ f \ g)
 by (simp add: fiter-fun-exp le-fun-def mono-def inf.coboundedI2)
lemma fiter-unfoldl:
 fixes f :: 'a :: complete - lattice \Rightarrow 'a
```

**shows** mono  $f \Longrightarrow mono g \Longrightarrow f \sqcap (g \circ fiter f g) = fiter f g$ 

```
by (metis fiter-def fiter-fun-exp gfp-unfold iso-fiter-fun2)
lemma fiter-inductl:
  fixes f :: 'a :: complete - lattice \Rightarrow 'a
  shows mono f \Longrightarrow mono g \Longrightarrow h \le f \sqcap (g \circ h) \Longrightarrow h \le fiter f g
 by (simp add: fiter-def fiter-fun-def gfp-upperbound)
lemma fiter-fusion:
  fixes f :: 'a :: complete - lattice \Rightarrow 'a
  assumes mono f
  and mono g
shows fiter f g = fiter-id g \circ f
proof-
  have h1: mono (fiter-fun id g)
   by (simp\ add:\ assms(2)\ iso-fiter-fun2\ iso-id)
  have h2: mono (fiter-fun f q)
   by (simp\ add:\ assms(1)\ assms(2)\ iso-fiter-fun2)
  have h3: Inf \circ image (\lambda x. \ x \circ f) = (\lambda x. \ x \circ f) \circ Inf
   by (simp add: fun-eq-iff image-comp)
  have (\lambda x. \ x \circ f) \circ (fiter-fun \ id \ g) = (fiter-fun \ f \ g) \circ (\lambda x. \ x \circ f)
   by (simp add: fun-eq-iff fiter-fun-def)
  thus ?thesis
   using gfp-fusion-inf-pres
   by (metis fiter-def fiter-id-def h1 h2 h3)
qed
lemma fpower-supdistl:
  fixes f:: 'a::complete-lattice \Rightarrow 'b::complete-lattice
  shows mono f \Longrightarrow f \circ fstar \ g \leq (\prod i. \ f \circ fpower \ g \ i)
  by (simp add: Isotone-Transformers.fun-isol fstar-def mono-INF mono-def)
lemma fpower-distr: fstar f \circ g = (\prod i. fpower f i \circ g)
 by (auto simp: fstar-def image-comp)
lemma fpower-Sup-subcomm: mono f \Longrightarrow f \circ fstar f \leq fstar f \circ f
  unfolding fpower-distr fun-mon.power-commutes by (rule fpower-supdistl)
lemma fpower-inductl:
  fixes f :: 'a :: complete - lattice \Rightarrow 'a
  shows mono f \Longrightarrow mono g \Longrightarrow h \leq g \sqcap (f \circ h) \Longrightarrow h \leq fpower f i \circ g
  apply (induct i, simp-all) by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) fun.map-comp
fun-isol order-trans)
lemma fpower-inductr:
  fixes f :: 'a :: complete - lattice \Rightarrow 'a
 shows mono f \Longrightarrow mono g \Longrightarrow h \leq g \sqcap (h \circ f) \Longrightarrow h \leq g \circ fpower f i
 by (induct i, simp-all add: le-fun-def, metis comp-eq-elim fun-mon.power-commutes
order-trans)
```

```
lemma fiter-fstar: mono f \Longrightarrow fiter-id f < fstar f
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) fiter-id-def fiter-unfoldl fpower-inductl fstar-def iso-id
le-INF-iff o-id order-refl)
lemma iso-fiter-ext:
  fixes f :: 'a :: order \Rightarrow 'b :: complete - lattice
  shows mono f \Longrightarrow mono (\lambda x. \ y \sqcap f x)
 by (simp add: le-infI2 mono-def)
lemma fstar-pred-char:
  fixes f :: 'a :: complete - lattice \Rightarrow 'a
  shows mono f \Longrightarrow fiter-id\ f\ x = gfp\ (\lambda y.\ x \sqcap f\ y)
proof -
  assume hyp: mono f
 have \forall g. (id \sqcap (f \circ g)) \ x = x \sqcap f (g \ x)
   by simp
  hence \forall g. fiter-fun id f g x = (\lambda y. x \sqcap f y) (g x)
   unfolding fiter-fun-def by simp
  thus ?thesis
  by (simp add: fiter-id-def fiter-def qfp-fusion-var hyp iso-fiter-fun2 iso-id iso-fiter-ext)
qed
```

## 2.5 Propositional Hoare Logic Completed

```
lemma H-weak-loop: mono f \Longrightarrow x \le f x \Longrightarrow x \le fiter-id f x
by (force simp: fstar-pred-char gfp-def intro: Sup-upper)
lemma iso-fiter: mono f \Longrightarrow mono (fiter-id f)
unfolding mono-def by (subst fstar-pred-char, simp add: mono-def)+ (auto
```

As already mentioned, a dual Hoare logic can be built for the dual lattice. In this case, weak iteration is defined with respect to Sup.

The following standard construction lifts elements of (meet semi)lattices to transformers. I allow a more general type.

```
definition fqtran :: 'a::inf \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a where fqtran \ x \equiv \lambda y. \ x \sqcap y
```

intro: qfp-mono inf-mono)

The following standard construction lifts elements of boolean algebras to transformers.

```
definition bqtran :: 'a::boolean-algebra \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a (\langle [-] \rangle) where [x] y = -x \sqcup y
```

The conditional and while rule of Hoare logic are now derivable.

```
lemma bqtran-iso: mono \lfloor x \rfloor

by (metis bqtran-def monoI order-refl sup.mono)

lemma cond-iso: mono f \Longrightarrow mono g \Longrightarrow mono (|x| \circ f \sqcap |y| \circ g)
```

```
by (simp add: bqtran-iso iso-fcomp iso-finf)
lemma loop-iso: mono f \Longrightarrow mono (fiter-id (|x| \circ f) \circ |y|)
 by (simp add: bqtran-iso iso-fcomp iso-fiter)
lemma H-iso-cond: mono f \Longrightarrow mono g \Longrightarrow p \sqcap x \le f y \Longrightarrow q \sqcap x \le g y \Longrightarrow x
\leq (inf (|p| \circ f) (|q| \circ g)) y
 by (metis (full-types) batran-def comp-apply inf-apply inf-commute le-inf-iff shunt1)
lemma H-iso-loop: mono f \Longrightarrow p \sqcap x \leq f x \Longrightarrow x \leq ((fiter-id (|p| \circ f)) \circ |q|)
(x \sqcap q)
proof-
 assume a: mono f
and p \sqcap x \leq f x
 hence x \leq (\lfloor p \rfloor \circ f) x
   using H-iso-cond by fastforce
  hence x \leq (fiter-id (|p| \circ f)) x
   by (simp add: H-weak-loop a bqtran-iso iso-fcomp)
  also have ... \leq (fiter-id (|p| \circ f)) (-q \sqcup (x \sqcap q))
   by (meson a bqtran-iso dual-order.refl iso-fcomp iso-fiter monoD shunt1)
  finally show x \leq ((fiter-id (|p| \circ f)) \circ |q|) (x \sqcap q)
   by (simp add: bqtran-def)
qed
lemma btran-spec: x \leq |y| (x \sqcap y)
  by (simp add: bqtran-def sup-inf-distrib1)
lemma btran-neg-spec: x \leq |-y| (x - y)
 by (simp add: btran-spec diff-eq)
```

#### 2.6 A Propositional Refinement Calculus

Next I derive the laws of an abstract Propositional Refinement Calculus, Morgan-style. These are given without the co-called frames, which capture information about local and global variables in variants of this calculus.

```
definition Ri \ x \ y \ z = \prod \{f \ z \ | f. \ x \le f \ y \land mono \ (f::'a::order \Rightarrow 'b::complete-lattice)\}
```

```
lemma Ri-least: mono\ f \Longrightarrow x \le f\ y \Longrightarrow Ri\ x\ y\ z \le f\ z

unfolding Ri-def by (metis\ (mono\text{-}tags,\ lifting)\ Inf-lower mem-Collect-eq)

lemma Ri-spec: x \le Ri\ x\ y\ y
```

```
lemma Ri-spec-var: (\forall z. \ Ri \ x \ y \ z \le f \ z) \Longrightarrow x \le f \ y using Ri-spec dual-order trans by blast
```

unfolding Ri-def by (rule Inf-greatest, safe)

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma} \ \textit{Ri-prop: mono} \ f \Longrightarrow x \leq f \ y \longleftrightarrow (\forall \ z. \ \textit{Ri} \ x \ y \ z \leq f \ z) \\ \textbf{using} \ \textit{Ri-least} \ \textit{Ri-spec-var} \ \textbf{by} \ \textit{blast} \end{array}
```

```
lemma iso-Ri: mono (Ri \ x \ y)
 unfolding mono-def Ri-def by (auto intro!: Inf-mono)
lemma Ri-weaken: x \leq x' \Longrightarrow y' \leq y \Longrightarrow Ri \ x \ y \ z \leq Ri \ x' \ y' \ z
 by (meson H-iso-cond2 Ri-least Ri-spec iso-Ri order.trans)
lemma Ri-seq: Ri \ x \ y \ z \le Ri \ x \ w \ (Ri \ w \ y \ z)
 by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) H-iso-cond2 Ri-prop Ri-spec iso-Ri iso-fcomp
o-apply)
lemma Ri-seq-var: Ri \ x \ y \ z \le ((Ri \ x \ w) \circ (Ri \ w \ y)) \ z
 by (simp add: Ri-seq)
by (safe intro!: Inf-greatest, simp add: Ri-weaken Inf-lower)
lemma Ri-weak-iter: Ri x x y \leq fiter-id (Ri x x) y
 by (simp add: H-weak-loop Ri-least Ri-spec iso-Ri iso-fiter)
lemma Ri-cond: Ri x y z \le (inf(|p| \circ (Ri(p \sqcap x) y)))((|q| \circ (Ri(q \sqcap x) y)))) z
 by (meson H-iso-cond Ri-least Ri-spec bqtran-iso iso-Ri iso-fcomp iso-finf)
lemma Ri-loop: Ri x (q \sqcap x) y \leq ((fiter-id (|p| \circ (Ri (x \sqcap p) x))) \circ |q|) (q \sqcap y)
proof-
 have (p \sqcap x) \leq Ri (p \sqcap x) x x
   by (simp add: Ri-spec)
 hence x \leq ((fiter-id (|p| \circ (Ri (x \sqcap p) x))) \circ |q|) (q \sqcap x)
   by (metis H-iso-loop inf-commute iso-Ri)
 thus ?thesis
   apply (subst Ri-least, safe, simp-all add: mono-def)
   by (metis bqtran-iso inf-mono iso-Ri iso-fcomp iso-fiter mono-def order-refl)
qed
end
```

# 3 Sup- and Inf-Preserving Transformers between Complete Lattices

 ${\bf theory} \ \textit{Sup-Inf-Preserving-Transformers} \\ {\bf imports} \ \textit{Isotone-Transformers} \\$ 

begin

#### 3.1 Basic Properties

Definitions and basic properties of Sup-preserving and Inf-preserving functions can be found in the Lattice components. The main purose of the lemmas that follow is to bring properties of isotone transformers into scope.

```
lemma Sup-pres-iso:
 fixes f :: 'a::complete-lattice \Rightarrow 'b::complete-lattice
 shows Sup-pres f \implies mono f
 by (simp add: Sup-supdistl-iso)
lemma Inf-pres-iso:
  fixes f :: 'a::complete-lattice \Rightarrow 'b::complete-lattice
 shows Inf-pres f \implies mono f
 by (simp add: Inf-subdistl-iso)
lemma sup-pres-iso:
 fixes f :: 'a::lattice \Rightarrow 'b::lattice
 shows sup-pres f \implies mono f
 by (metis le-iff-sup mono-def)
lemma inf-pres-iso:
 \mathbf{fixes}\ f :: \ 'a :: lattice \Rightarrow \ 'b :: lattice
 shows inf-pres f \Longrightarrow mono f
 by (metis inf.absorb-iff2 monoI)
lemma Sup-sup-dual:
 fixes f :: 'a::complete-lattice \Rightarrow 'b::complete-lattice
 shows Sup-dual f \Longrightarrow sup-dual f
 by (smt comp-eq-elim image-empty image-insert inf-Inf sup-Sup)
lemma Inf-inf-dual:
 fixes f:: 'a::complete-lattice \Rightarrow 'b::complete-lattice
 shows Inf-dual f \implies inf-dual f
 by (smt comp-eq-elim image-empty image-insert inf-Inf sup-Sup)
lemma Sup-bot-dual:
 fixes f:: 'a::complete-lattice \Rightarrow 'b::complete-lattice
 \mathbf{shows} Sup\text{-}dual \ f \Longrightarrow bot\text{-}dual \ f
 by (metis INF-empty Sup-empty comp-eq-elim)
lemma Inf-top-dual:
 fixes f:: 'a::complete-lattice \Rightarrow 'b::complete-lattice
 shows Inf-dual f \implies top-dual f
 by (metis Inf-empty SUP-empty comp-eq-elim)
Next I show some basic preservation properties.
lemma Sup-dual2: Sup-dual f \Longrightarrow Inf-dual g \Longrightarrow Sup-pres (g \circ f)
 by (simp add: fun-eq-iff image-comp)
lemma Inf-dual2: Sup-dual f \Longrightarrow Inf-dual g \Longrightarrow Inf-pres (f \circ g)
 by (simp add: fun-eq-iff image-comp)
lemma Sup-pres-id: Sup-pres id
 by simp
```

```
lemma Inf-pres-id: Inf-pres id
 \mathbf{by} \ simp
lemma Sup-pres-comp: Sup-pres f \Longrightarrow Sup-pres g \Longrightarrow Sup-pres (f \circ g)
 by (simp add: fun-eq-iff image-comp)
lemma Inf-pres-comp: Inf-pres f \Longrightarrow Inf-pres g \Longrightarrow Inf-pres(f \circ g)
  \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{simp}\ \mathit{add} \colon \mathit{fun-eq\text{-}\mathit{iff}}\ \mathit{image\text{-}\mathit{comp}})
lemma Sup-pres-Sup:
  fixes F :: ('a::complete-lattice) \Rightarrow 'b::complete-lattice) set
  shows \forall f \in F. Sup-pres f \Longrightarrow Sup-pres (| | F)
proof-
  assume h: \forall f \in F. \ f \circ Sup = Sup \circ image f
  hence \forall f \in F. f \circ Sup \leq Sup \circ image (| | F)
    by (simp add: SUP-subset-mono Sup-upper le-fun-def)
  hence (| | F) \circ Sup \leq Sup \circ image (| | F)
    by (simp add: SUP-le-iff le-fun-def)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: Sup-pres-iso h antisym iso-Sup-supdistl iso-fSup)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma Inf-pres-Inf:
  fixes F :: ('a::complete-lattice) \Rightarrow 'b::complete-lattice) set
  shows \forall f \in F. Inf-pres f \Longrightarrow Inf-pres ( \Box F)
  assume h: \forall f \in F. f \circ Inf = Inf \circ image f
  hence \forall f \in F. Inf \circ image ( \bigcap F) \leq f \circ Inf
    by (simp add: le-fun-def, safe, meson INF-lower INF-mono)
  hence Inf \circ image ( \Box F) \leq (\Box F) \circ Inf
    by (simp add: le-INF-iff le-fun-def)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: Inf-pres-iso h antisym iso-Inf-subdistl iso-fInf)
qed
lemma Sup-pres-sup:
  fixes f:: 'a::complete-lattice \Rightarrow 'b::complete-lattice
  shows Sup\text{-}pres \ f \Longrightarrow Sup\text{-}pres \ g \Longrightarrow Sup\text{-}pres \ (f \sqcup g)
  by (metis Sup-pres-Sup insert-iff singletonD sup-Sup)
lemma Inf-pres-inf:
  fixes f:: 'a::complete-lattice \Rightarrow 'b::complete-lattice
  shows Inf-pres f \Longrightarrow Inf-pres g \Longrightarrow Inf-pres (f \sqcap g)
  by (metis Inf-pres-Inf inf-Inf insert-iff singletonD)
lemma Sup-pres-botf: Sup-pres (\lambda x. \perp :: 'a :: complete-lattice)
  by (simp add: fun-eq-iff)
```

```
Sup-preserving.
lemma Inf-pres (\lambda x. \perp::'a::complete-lattice)
           oops
lemma Sup-pres (\lambda x. \top :: 'a :: complete-lattice)
           oops
lemma Inf-pres-topf: Inf-pres (\lambda x. \top :: 'a :: complete-lattice)
          by (simp add: fun-eq-iff)
In complete boolean algebras, complementation yields an explicit variant of
duality, which can be expressed within the language.
lemma uminus-galois:
           fixes f:: 'a::complete-boolean-algebra \Rightarrow 'b::complete-boolean-algebra-alt
           shows (uminus f = g) = (uminus g = f)
           using double-compl by force
lemma uminus-galois-var:
        \textbf{fixes} \ f :: \ 'a :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolea
          shows (\partial \circ f = g) = (\partial \circ g = f)
          by force
lemma uminus-galois-var2:
         \textbf{fixes} \ f :: \ 'a :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual
          shows (f \circ \partial = g) = (g \circ \partial = f)
          by force
lemma uminus-mono-iff:
        \textbf{fixes} \ f :: \ 'a :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - alt - with - alt - with 
          shows (\partial \circ f = \partial \circ g) = (f = g)
           using uminus-galois-var by force
lemma uminus-epi-iff:
        \textbf{fixes} \ f :: \ 'a :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - alt - with
          shows (f \circ \partial = g \circ \partial) = (f = g)
          using uminus-galois-var2 by force
lemma Inf-pres-Sup-pres:
        \mathbf{fixes} \ f :: \ 'a :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete - boolean - algebra - alt - with - dual
          shows (Inf\text{-}pres\ f) = (Sup\text{-}pres\ (\partial_F\ f))
          by (simp add: Inf-pres-map-dual-var)
lemma Sup-pres-Inf-pres:
        \textbf{fixes} \ f :: \ 'a :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -algebra \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complete \ -boolean \ -alt \ -with \ -dual \\ \Rightarrow \ 'b :: complet
          shows (Sup\text{-}pres\ f) = (Inf\text{-}pres\ (\partial_F\ f))
```

by (simp add: Sup-pres-map-dual-var)

## 3.2 Properties of the Kleene Star

I develop the star for Inf-preserving functions only. This is suitable for weakest liberal preconditions. The case of sup-preserving functions is dual, and straightforward. The main difference to isotone transformers is that Kleene's fixpoint theorem now applies, that is, the star can be represented by iteration.

```
lemma H-Inf-pres-fpower:
  fixes f :: 'a :: complete - lattice \Rightarrow 'a
 \textbf{shows} \ \textit{Inf-pres} \ f \Longrightarrow x \leq f \ x \Longrightarrow x \leq \textit{fpower} \ f \ i \ x
 apply (induct i, simp-all) using H-iso-cond2 Inf-pres-iso by blast
lemma H-Inf-pres-fstar:
  fixes f :: 'a :: complete - lattice \Rightarrow 'a
  shows Inf-pres f \Longrightarrow x \le f x \Longrightarrow x \le f star f x
  by (simp add: H-Inf-pres-fpower fstar-def le-INF-iff)
lemma fpower-Inf-pres: Inf-pres f \Longrightarrow Inf-pres (fpower f i)
  by (induct i, simp-all add: Inf-pres-comp)
lemma fstar-Inf-pres:
  fixes f :: 'a :: complete - lattice \Rightarrow 'a
  shows Inf-pres f \Longrightarrow Inf-pres (fstar f)
  by (simp add: fstar-def Inf-pres-Inf fpower-Inf-pres)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{fstar-unfoldl-var}\ [\mathit{simp}] \colon
  fixes f :: 'a :: complete - lattice \Rightarrow 'a
  shows Inf-pres f \Longrightarrow x \sqcap f (fstar f x) = fstar f x
proof-
  assume hyp: Inf-pres f
  have x \sqcap f (fstar f x) = fpower f \ 0 \ x \sqcap (\prod n. \ fpower \ f \ (Suc \ n) \ x)
     by (simp add: fstar-def image-comp) (metis (no-types) comp-apply hyp im-
age\text{-}image)
  also have ... = (\prod n. fpower f \ n \ x)
    by (subst fInf-unfold, auto)
  finally show ?thesis
    by (simp add: fstar-def image-comp)
qed
lemma fstar-fiter-id: Inf-pres\ f \Longrightarrow fstar\ f = fiter-id f
proof-
  assume hyp: Inf-pres f
  \{ \mathbf{fix} \ x :: 'a :: complete - lattice \}
  have fstar f x = x \sqcap f (fstar f x)
    by (simp add: hyp)
  hence a: fstar f x \leq gfp (\lambda y. x \sqcap f y)
    by (metis gfp-upperbound order-refl)
  have \forall y. \ y \leq x \sqcap f y \longrightarrow y \leq fstar f x
```

```
by (meson H-Inf-pres-fstar H-iso-cond2 Inf-pres-iso fstar-Inf-pres hyp le-infE)
 hence fstar f x = gfp (\lambda y. x \sqcap f y)
   by (metis a antisym gfp-least)}
  thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: fun-eq-iff Inf-pres-iso fstar-pred-char hyp)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma fstar-unfoldl [simp]:
  fixes f :: 'a :: complete - lattice \Rightarrow 'a
 shows Inf-pres f \Longrightarrow id \sqcap (f \circ fstar f) = fstar f
 by (simp add: fun-eq-iff)
lemma fpower-Inf-comm:
 fixes f :: 'a :: complete - lattice \Rightarrow 'a
 shows Inf-pres f \Longrightarrow f ( \bigcap i. \text{ fpower } f \text{ i } x) = ( \bigcap i. \text{ fpower } f \text{ i } (f \text{ x}))
proof-
 assume Inf-pres f
 by (simp add: fun-eq-iff image-comp)
 also have ... = (   i. fpower f i (f x) )
   by (metis comp-eq-dest-lhs fun-mon.power-Suc2)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma fstar-comm:
  fixes f :: 'a :: complete - lattice \Rightarrow 'a
 shows Inf-pres f \Longrightarrow f \circ fstar f = fstar f \circ f
 apply (simp add: fun-eq-iff fstar-def image-comp)
 by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) INF-cong comp-eq-dest fun-mon.power-commutes)
lemma fstar-unfoldr [simp]:
 fixes f :: 'a :: complete - lattice \Rightarrow 'a
 shows Inf-pres f \Longrightarrow id \sqcap (fstar \ f \circ f) = fstar \ f
 using fstar-comm fstar-unfoldl by fastforce
```

## 3.3 Quantales of Inf- and Top-Preserving Transformers

As for itotone transformers, types must now be restricted to a single one. It is well known that Inf-preserving transformers need not be top-preserving, and that Sup-preserving transformers need not be bot-preserving. This has been shown elsewhere. This does not affect the following proof, but it has an impact on how elements are represented. I show only the result for Inf-preserving transformers; that for Sup-preserving ones is dual.

```
typedef (overloaded) 'a Inf-pres = \{f::'a::complete-lattice \Rightarrow 'a.\ Inf-pres\ f\}
using Inf-pres-topf by blast
setup-lifting type-definition-Inf-pres
```

```
instantiation Inf-pres :: (complete-lattice) unital-Sup-quantale
begin
lift-definition one-Inf-pres :: 'a::complete-lattice Inf-pres is id
 by (simp add: iso-id)
lift-definition times-Inf-pres :: 'a::complete-lattice Inf-pres \Rightarrow 'a Inf-pres \Rightarrow 'a
Inf-pres is (\circ)
 by (simp add: Inf-pres-comp)
lift-definition Sup-Inf-pres :: 'a::complete-lattice Inf-pres set \Rightarrow 'a Inf-pres is Inf
 by (simp add: Inf-pres-Inf)
lift-definition less-eq-Inf-pres :: 'a Inf-pres \Rightarrow 'a Inf-pres \Rightarrow bool is (\geq).
lift-definition less-Inf-pres :: 'a Inf-pres \Rightarrow 'a Inf-pres \Rightarrow bool is (>).
instance
```

by (intro-classes; transfer, simp-all add: o-assoc Inf-lower Inf-greatest fInf-distr-var fInf-distl-var)

## $\mathbf{end}$

Three comments seem worth making. Firstly, the result bakes in duality by considering Infs in the function space as Sups in the quantale, hence as Infs in the dual quantale. Secondly, the use of Sup-quantales not only reduces the number of proof obligations. It also copes with the fact that Sups and top are not represented faithfully by this construction. They are generally different from those in the super-quantale of isotone transformers. But of course they can be defined from Infs as usual. Alternatively, I could have proved the results for Inf-quantales, which may have been more straightforward. But Sup-lattices are more conventional. Thirdly, as in the case of isotone transformers, the proof depends on a restriction to one single type, whereas previous results have been obtained for poly-typed quantales or quantaloids.

end

#### 4 The Powerset Monad, State Transformers and Predicate Transformers

```
theory Powerset-Monad
imports Order-Lattice-Props. Order-Lattice-Props
begin
notation relcomp (infixl <;> 75)
 and image (\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle)
```

#### 4.1 The Powerset Monad

First I recall functoriality of the powerset functor.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma} \ P\text{-}func1 \colon \mathcal{P} \ (f \circ g) = \mathcal{P} \ f \circ \mathcal{P} \ g \\ \textbf{unfolding} \ fun\text{-}eq\text{-}iff \ \textbf{by} \ force \end{array}
```

```
lemma P-func2: P id = id by simp
```

Isabelle' type systems doesn't allow formalising arbitrary monads, but instances such as the powerset monad can still be developed.

```
abbreviation eta :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ set \ (\langle \eta \rangle) where \eta \equiv (\lambda x. \{x\})
```

```
abbreviation mu :: 'a \ set \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ set \ (\langle \mu \rangle) where \mu \equiv \textit{Union}
```

 $\eta$  and  $\mu$  are natural transformations.

```
lemma eta-nt: \mathcal{P} f \circ \eta = \eta \circ id f by fastforce
```

```
lemma mu-nt: \mu \circ (\mathcal{P} \circ \mathcal{P}) f = (\mathcal{P} f) \circ \mu
by fastforce
```

They satisfy the following coherence conditions. Explicit typing clarifies that  $\eta$  and  $\mu$  have different type in these expressions.

```
lemma pow-assoc: (\mu::'a \text{ set set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}) \circ \mathcal{P} (\mu::'a \text{ set set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}) = (\mu::'a \text{ set set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}) \circ (\mu::'a \text{ set set set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set set})
using fun-eq-iff by fastforce
```

```
lemma pow-un1: (\mu::'a \ set \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ set) \circ (\mathcal{P} \ (\eta:: 'a \ \Rightarrow 'a \ set)) = (id::'a \ set \ \Rightarrow 'a \ set)
```

```
using fun-eq-iff by fastforce
```

```
lemma pow-un2: (\mu::'a set set \Rightarrow 'a set) \circ (\eta::'a set \Rightarrow 'a set set) = (id::'a set \Rightarrow 'a set)
```

```
using fun-eq-iff by fastforce
```

Thus the powerset monad is indeed a monad.

## 4.2 Kleisli Category of the Powerset Monad

Next I define the Kleisli composition and Kleisli lifting (Kleisli extension) of Kleisli arrows. The Kleisli lifting turns Kleisli arrows into forward predicate transformers.

```
definition kcomp :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \ set) \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'c \ set) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'c \ set) \ (infixl \langle \circ_K \rangle \ 75) where
```

```
f \circ_K g = \mu \circ \mathcal{P} g \circ f
lemma kcomp-prop: (f \circ_K g) x = (\bigsqcup y \in f x. g y)
 by (simp add: kcomp-def)
definition klift :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \ set) \Rightarrow 'a \ set \Rightarrow 'b \ set \ (\langle -^{\dagger} \rangle \ [101] \ 100) where
 f^{\dagger} = \mu \circ \mathcal{P} f
lemma klift-prop: (f^{\dagger}) X = (\bigsqcup x \in X. f x)
 by (simp add: klift-def)
lemma kcomp-klift: f \circ_K g = g^{\dagger} \circ f
  unfolding kcomp-def klift-def by simp
lemma klift-prop1: (f^{\dagger} \circ q)^{\dagger} = f^{\dagger} \circ q^{\dagger}
  unfolding fun-eq-iff klift-def by simp
lemma klift-eta-inv1 [simp]: f^{\dagger} \circ \eta = f
  unfolding fun-eq-iff klift-def by simp
lemma klift-eta-pres [simp]: \eta^{\dagger} = (id::'a \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ set)
  unfolding fun-eq-iff klift-def by simp
lemma klift-id-pres [simp]: id^{\dagger} = \mu
  unfolding klift-def by simp
lemma kcomp-assoc: (f \circ_K g) \circ_K h = f \circ_K (g \circ_K h)
  unfolding kcomp-klift klift-prop1 by force
lemma kcomp\text{-}idl \ [simp]: \eta \circ_K f = f
  unfolding kcomp-klift by simp
lemma kcomp\text{-}idr\ [simp]: f \circ_K \eta = f
 unfolding kcomp-klift by simp
In the following interpretation statement, types are restricted.
                                                                                          This is
needed for defining iteration.
interpretation kmon: monoid-mult \eta (\circ_K)
 by unfold-locales (simp-all add: kcomp-assoc)
Next I show that \eta is a (contravariant) functor from Set into the Kleisli cat-
egory of the powerset monad. It simply turns functions into Kleisli arrows.
lemma eta-func1: \eta \circ (f \circ g) = (\eta \circ g) \circ_K (\eta \circ f)
  unfolding fun-eq-iff kcomp-def by simp
```

## 4.3 Eilenberg-Moore Algebra

It is well known that the Eilenberg-Moore algebras of the powerset monad form complete join semilattices (hence Sup-lattices).

First I verify that every complete lattice with structure map Sup satisfies the laws of Eilenberg-Moore algebras.

```
notation Sup\ (\langle \sigma \rangle)

lemma em-assoc [simp]: \sigma \circ \mathcal{P}\ (\sigma::'a::complete-lattice set \Rightarrow 'a) = \sigma \circ \mu

apply (standard, rule\ antisym)

apply (simp\ add:\ SUP-least Sup-subset-mono Sup-upper)

by (metis\ (no\text{-}types,\ lifting)\ SUP-upper2 Sup-least Sup-upper UnionE\ comp-def)

lemma em-id [simp]: \sigma \circ \eta = (id::'a::complete-lattice \Rightarrow 'a)

by (simp\ add:\ fun-eq-iff)
```

Hence every Sup-lattice is an Eilenberg-Moore algebra for the powerset monad. The morphisms between Eilenberg-Moore algebras of the powerset monad are Sup-preserving maps. In particular, powersets with structure map  $\mu$  form an Eilenberg-Moore algebra (in fact the free one):

```
lemma em-mu-assoc [simp]: \mu \circ \mathcal{P} \ \mu = \mu \circ \mu
by simp
lemma em-mu-id [simp]: \mu \circ \eta = id
by simp
```

 ${f class}\ eilenberg{\it -moore-pow}=$ 

Next I show that every Eilenberg-Moore algebras for the powerset functor is a Sup-lattice.

```
fixes smap :: 'a \ set \Rightarrow 'a
  assumes smap-assoc: smap \circ \mathcal{P} smap = smap \circ \mu
 and smap-id: smap \circ \eta = id
begin
definition sleq = (\lambda x \ y. \ smap \ \{x,y\} = y)
definition sle = (\lambda x \ y. \ sleq \ x \ y \land y \neq x)
lemma smap-un1: smap \{x, smap Y\} = smap (\{x\} \cup Y)
proof-
  have smap \{x, smap Y\} = smap \{smap \{x\}, smap Y\}
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{comp-apply}\ \mathit{id-apply}\ \mathit{smap-id})
  also have ... = (smap \circ P \ smap) \ \{\{x\}, \ Y\}
   by simp
  finally show ?thesis
    using local.smap-assoc by auto
qed
lemma smap\text{-}comm: smap \{x, smap Y\} = smap \{smap Y, x\}
  by (simp add: insert-commute)
```

```
lemma smap-un2: smap \{smap\ X,\ y\} = smap\ (X \cup \{y\})
 using smap-comm smap-un1 by auto
lemma sleq-refl: sleq x x
 by (metis id-apply insert-absorb2 local.smap-id o-apply sleq-def)
lemma sleq-trans: sleq x y \Longrightarrow sleq y z \Longrightarrow sleq x z
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) sleq-def smap-un1 smap-un2 sup-assoc)
lemma sleq-antisym: sleq x y \Longrightarrow sleq y x \Longrightarrow x = y
 by (simp add: insert-commute sleq-def)
lemma smap-ub: x \in A \Longrightarrow sleq \ x \ (smap \ A)
 using insert-absorb sleq-def smap-un1 by fastforce
lemma smap-lub: (\bigwedge x. \ x \in A \Longrightarrow sleq \ x \ z) \Longrightarrow sleq \ (smap \ A) \ z
proof-
 assume h: \bigwedge x. \ x \in A \Longrightarrow sleq \ x \ z
 have smap \{smap \ A, z\} = smap \ (A \cup \{z\})
   by (simp add: smap-un2)
 also have ... = smap ((\bigcup x \in A. \{x,z\}) \cup \{z\})
   by (rule-tac f=smap in arg-cong, auto)
  also have ... = smap \{ (smap \circ \mu) \{ \{x,z\} | x. \ x \in A \}, z \}
   by (simp add: Setcompr-eq-image smap-un2)
 also have ... = smap \{ (smap \circ P \ smap) \{ \{x,z\} \ | x. \ x \in A \}, z \}
   by (simp add: local.smap-assoc)
 also have ... = smap \{ smap \{ smap \{ x,z \} | x. x \in A \}, z \}
   by (simp add: Setcompr-eq-image image-image)
 also have ... = smap \{ smap \{ z \mid x. \ x \in A \}, z \}
   by (metis\ h\ sleq-def)
 also have ... = smap \ (\{z \mid x. \ x \in A\} \cup \{z\})
   by (simp add: smap-un2)
 also have \dots = smap \{z\}
    by (rule-tac f=smap in arg-cong, auto)
  finally show ?thesis
    using sleq-def sleq-refl by auto
qed
sublocale smap-Sup-lat: Sup-lattice smap sleq sle
 by unfold-locales (simp-all add: sleq-reft sleq-antisym sleq-trans smap-ub smap-lub)
Hence every complete lattice is an Eilenberg-Moore algebra of \mathcal{P}.
no-notation Sup(\langle \sigma \rangle)
end
```

## 4.4 Isomorphism between Kleisli Category and Rel

This is again well known—the isomorphism is essentially curry vs uncurry. Kleisli arrows are nondeterministic functions; they are also known as state transformers. Binary relations are very well developed in Isabelle; Kleisli composition of Kleisli arrows isn't. Ideally one should therefore use the isomorphism to transport theorems from relations to Kleisli arrows automatically. I spell out the isomorphisms and prove that the full quantalic structure, that is, complete lattices plus compositions, is preserved by the isomorphisms.

```
abbreviation kzero :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \ set \ (\langle \zeta \rangle) where \zeta \equiv (\lambda x :: 'a. \ \{\})
```

First I define the morphisms. The second one is nothing but the graph of a function.

```
definition r2f :: ('a \times 'b) \ set \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b \ set (\langle \mathcal{F} \rangle) where
  \mathcal{F} R = Image R \circ \eta
definition f2r :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \ set) \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b) \ set \ (\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle) where
  \mathcal{R} f = \{(x,y), y \in f x\}
The functors form a bijective pair.
lemma r2f2r-inv1 [simp]: \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{F} = id
  unfolding f2r-def r2f-def by force
lemma f2r2f-inv2 [simp]: \mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{R} = id
  unfolding f2r-def r2f-def by force
lemma r2f-f2r-galois: (R f = R) = (F R = f)
  by (force simp: f2r-def r2f-def)
lemma r2f-f2r-galois-var: (\mathcal{R} \circ f = R) = (\mathcal{F} \circ R = f)
  by (force simp: f2r-def r2f-def)
lemma r2f-f2r-galois-var2: (f \circ \mathcal{R} = R) = (R \circ \mathcal{F} = f)
 by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) comp-id f2r2f-inv2 map-fun-def o-assoc r2f2r-inv1)
lemma r2f-inj: inj \mathcal{F}
  by (meson inj-on-inverseI r2f-f2r-galois)
lemma f2r-inj: inj \mathcal{R}
  unfolding inj-def using r2f-f2r-galois by metis
lemma r2f-mono: \forall f \ g. \ \mathcal{F} \circ f = \mathcal{F} \circ g \longrightarrow f = g
  by (force simp: fun-eq-iff r2f-def)
lemma f2r-mono: \forall f \ g. \ \mathcal{R} \circ f = \mathcal{R} \circ g \longrightarrow f = g
  \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{force}\ \mathit{simp} \colon \mathit{fun-eq-iff}\ \mathit{f2r-def})
```

```
lemma r2f-mono-iff: (\mathcal{F} \circ f = \mathcal{F} \circ g) = (f = g)
  using r2f-mono by blast
lemma f2r-mono-iff: (\mathcal{R} \circ f = \mathcal{R} \circ g) = (f = g)
  using f2r-mono by blast
lemma r2f-inj-iff: (\mathcal{R} f = \mathcal{R} g) = (f = g)
  by (simp add: f2r-inj inj-eq)
lemma f2r-inj-iff: (\mathcal{F} R = \mathcal{F} S) = (R = S)
  by (simp add: r2f-inj inj-eq)
lemma r2f-surj: surj \mathcal{F}
  by (metis r2f-f2r-galois surj-def)
lemma f2r-surj: surj \mathcal{R}
  using r2f-f2r-galois by auto
lemma r2f-epi: \forall f g. f \circ \mathcal{F} = g \circ \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow f = g
 by (metis r2f-f2r-galois-var2)
lemma f2r-epi: \forall f g. f \circ \mathcal{R} = g \circ \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow f = g
  by (metis r2f-f2r-galois-var2)
lemma r2f-epi-iff: (f \circ \mathcal{F} = g \circ \mathcal{F}) = (f = g)
  using r2f-epi by blast
lemma f2r-epi-iff: (f \circ \mathcal{R} = g \circ \mathcal{R}) = (f = g)
  using f2r-epi by blast
lemma r2f-bij: bij \mathcal{F}
 \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{simp}\ \mathit{add}\colon \mathit{bijI}\ \mathit{r2f\text{-}inj}\ \mathit{r2f\text{-}surj})
lemma f2r-bij: bij \mathcal{R}
 by (simp add: bij-def f2r-inj f2r-surj)
r2f is essentially curry and f2r is uncurry, yet in Isabelle the type of sets
and predicates (boolean-valued functions) are different. Collect transforms
predicates into sets and the following function sets into predicates:
abbreviation s2p X \equiv (\lambda x. \ x \in X)
lemma r2f-curry: r2f R = Collect \circ (curry \circ s2p) R
 by (force simp: r2f-def fun-eq-iff curry-def)
lemma f2r-uncurry: f2r f = (Collect \circ case-prod) (<math>s2p \circ f)
  by (force simp: fun-eq-iff f2r-def)
Uncurry is case-prod in Isabelle.
```

```
In particular they are functors.
lemma r2f-comp-pres: \mathcal{F}(R;S) = \mathcal{F}R \circ_K \mathcal{F}S
 unfolding fun-eq-iff r2f-def kcomp-def by force
lemma r2f-Id-pres [simp]: \mathcal{F} Id = \eta
  unfolding fun-eq-iff r2f-def by simp
lemma r2f-Sup-pres: Sup-pres <math>\mathcal{F}
 unfolding fun-eq-iff r2f-def by force
lemma r2f-Sup-pres-var: \mathcal{F}(\bigcup R) = (\bigcup r \in R. \mathcal{F} r)
 unfolding r2f-def by force
lemma r2f-sup-pres: sup-pres \mathcal{F}
 unfolding r2f-def by force
lemma r2f-Inf-pres: Inf-pres \mathcal{F}
  unfolding fun-eq-iff r2f-def by force
unfolding r2f-def by force
lemma r2f-inf-pres: inf-pres \mathcal{F}
 unfolding r2f-def by force
lemma r2f-bot-pres: bot-pres \mathcal{F}
 by (metis SUP-empty Sup-empty r2f-Sup-pres-var)
lemma r2f-top-pres: top-pres \mathcal{F}
 by (metis Sup-UNIV r2f-Sup-pres-var r2f-surj)
lemma r2f-leq: (R \subseteq S) = (\mathcal{F} \ R \leq \mathcal{F} \ S)
 by (metis le-iff-sup r2f-f2r-galois r2f-sup-pres)
Dual statements for f2r hold. Can one automate this?
lemma f2r-kcomp-pres: \mathcal{R} (f \circ_K g) = \mathcal{R} f; \mathcal{R} g
 by (simp add: r2f-f2r-galois r2f-comp-pres pointfree-idE)
lemma f2r-eta-pres [simp]: \mathcal{R} \eta = Id
 by (simp add: r2f-f2r-galois)
lemma f2r-Sup-pres:Sup-pres R
 \textbf{by} \ (\textit{auto simp: r2f-f2r-galois-var comp-assoc}[\textit{symmetric}] \ \textit{r2f-Sup-pres image-comp})
lemma f2r-Sup-pres-var: \mathcal{R}(||F) = (||f \in F, \mathcal{R} f)
 by (simp add: r2f-f2r-galois r2f-Sup-pres-var image-comp)
```

f2r and r2f preserve the quantalic structures of relations and Kleisli arrows.

lemma f2r-sup-pres: sup-pres  $\mathcal{R}$ 

```
by (simp add: r2f-f2r-galois r2f-sup-pres pointfree-idE)
lemma f2r-Inf-pres: Inf-pres R
 by (auto simp: r2f-f2r-galois-var comp-assoc[symmetric] r2f-Inf-pres image-comp)
lemma f2r-Inf-pres-var: \mathcal{R}(\bigcap F) = (\bigcap f \in F. \mathcal{R} f)
 by (simp add: r2f-f2r-galois r2f-Inf-pres-var image-comp)
lemma f2r-inf-pres: inf-pres R
 by (simp add: r2f-f2r-galois r2f-inf-pres pointfree-idE)
lemma f2r-bot-pres: bot-pres R
 by (simp add: r2f-bot-pres r2f-f2r-galois)
lemma f2r-top-pres: top-pres \mathcal{R}
 by (simp add: r2f-f2r-galois r2f-top-pres)
lemma f2r-leq: (f \leq g) = (\mathcal{R} \ f \subseteq \mathcal{R} \ g)
 by (metis\ r2f-f2r-galois\ r2f-leq)
Relational subidentities are isomorphic to particular Kleisli arrows.
lemma r2f-Id-on1: \mathcal{F}(Id-onX) = (\lambda x. if x \in X then \{x\} else \{\})
 by (force simp add: fun-eq-iff r2f-def Id-on-def)
lemma r2f-Id-on2: \mathcal{F}(Id-onX) \circ_K f = (\lambda x. if x \in X then f x else <math>\{\})
  unfolding fun-eq-iff Id-on-def r2f-def kcomp-def by auto
lemma r2f-Id-on3: <math>f \circ_K \mathcal{F} (Id-on X) = (\lambda x. X \cap f x)
  unfolding kcomp-def r2f-def Id-on-def fun-eq-iff by auto
```

#### 4.5 The opposite Kleisli Category

**notation** converse  $(\langle \smile \rangle)$ 

Kop is a contravariant functor.

Opposition is funtamental for categories; yet hard to realise in Isabelle in general. Due to the access to relations, the Kleisli category of the powerset functor is an exception.

```
definition kop :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \ set) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a \ set \ (\langle op_K \rangle) where
   op_K = \mathcal{F} \circ (\smile) \circ \mathcal{R}
```

**lemma** kop-contrav:  $op_K (f \circ_K g) = op_K g \circ_K op_K f$ unfolding kop-def r2f-def f2r-def converse-def kcomp-def fun-eq-iff comp-def by fast force

lemma kop-func2 [simp]:  $op_K \eta = \eta$ unfolding kop-def r2f-def f2r-def converse-def comp-def fun-eq-iff by fastforce

```
lemma converse-idem [simp]: (\smile) \circ (\smile) = id
 using comp-def by auto
lemma converse-galois: ((\smile) \circ f = g) = ((\smile) \circ g = f)
 by auto
lemma converse-galois2: (f \circ (\smile) = g) = (g \circ (\smile) = f)
 apply (simp add: fun-eq-iff)
 by (metis converse-converse)
lemma converse-mono-iff: ((\smile) \circ f = (\smile) \circ g) = (f = g)
 using converse-galois by force
lemma converse-epi-iff: (f \circ (\smile) = g \circ (\smile)) = (f = g)
 using converse-galois2 by force
lemma kop\text{-}idem [simp]: op_K \circ op_K = id
 unfolding kop-def comp-def fun-eq-iff by (metis converse-converse id-apply r2f-f2r-galois)
lemma kop-galois: (op_K f = g) = (op_K g = f)
 by (metis kop-idem pointfree-idE)
lemma kop-galois-var: (op_K \circ f = g) = (op_K \circ g = f)
 by (auto simp: kop-def f2r-def r2f-def converse-def fun-eq-iff)
lemma kop-galois-var2: (f \circ op_K = g) = (g \circ op_K = f)
 by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) comp-assoc comp-id kop-idem)
lemma kop-inj: inj op_K
 unfolding inj-def by (simp add: f2r-inj-iff kop-def r2f-inj-iff)
lemma kop-inj-iff: (op_K f = op_K g) = (f = g)
 by (simp add: inj-eq kop-inj)
lemma kop-surj: surj op_K
 unfolding surj-def by (metis kop-qalois)
lemma kop-bij: bij op_K
 by (simp add: bij-def kop-inj kop-surj)
lemma kop-mono: (op_K \circ f = op_K \circ g) \Longrightarrow (f = g)
 by (simp add: fun.inj-map inj-eq kop-inj)
lemma kop-mono-iff: (op_K \circ f = op_K \circ g) = (f = g)
 using kop-mono by blast
lemma kop\text{-}epi: (f \circ op_K = g \circ op_K) \Longrightarrow (f = g)
 by (metis kop-galois-var2)
```

```
lemma kop\text{-}epi\text{-}iff: (f \circ op_K = g \circ op_K) = (f = g) using kop\text{-}epi by blast lemma Sup\text{-}pres\text{-}kop: Sup\text{-}pres op_K unfolding kop\text{-}def fun-eq-iff comp\text{-}def r2f\text{-}def f2r-def converse\text{-}def by auto lemma Inf\text{-}pres\text{-}kop: Inf\text{-}pres op_K unfolding kop\text{-}def fun-eq-iff comp\text{-}def r2f-def f2r-def converse\text{-}def by auto end
```

# 5 State Transformers and Predicate Transformers Based on the Powerset Monad

theory Kleisli-Transformers

```
{\it imports\ Powerset-Monad} \\ {\it Sup-Inf-Preserving-Transformers} \\ {\bf begin}
```

#### 5.1 Backward Diamonds from Kleisli Arrows

First I verify the embedding of the Kleisli category of the powerset functor into its Eilenberg-Moore category. This functor maps sets to their mus and functions to their Kleisli liftings. But this is just functoriality of dagger!. I model it as a backward diamond operator in the sense of dynamic logic. It corresponds to a strongest postcondition operator. In the parlance of program semantics, this is an embedding of state into prediate transformers.

```
notation klift (\langle bd_{\mathcal{F}} \rangle)
```

bd stands for backward diamond, the index indicates the setting of Kleisli arrows or nondeterministic functions. ifbd is its inverse.

```
abbreviation ifbd :: ('a \ set \Rightarrow 'b \ set) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b \ set \ (\langle bd^-_{\mathcal{F}} \rangle) where bd^-_{\mathcal{F}} \equiv (\lambda \varphi. \ \varphi \circ \eta)

lemma fbd-set: bd_{\mathcal{F}} f X = \{y. \ \exists \ x. \ y \in f \ x \land x \in X\}
by (force \ simp: \ klift-prop)

lemma ifbd-set: bd^-_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi x = \{y. \ y \in \varphi \ \{x\}\}
by simp

The two functors form a bijective pair.

lemma ifbd-ifbd-inv2: ifbd-inv2: ifbd-pres ifbd-pres ifbd-proof ifbd-assume ifbd-pres ifbd
```

```
unfolding klift-def by simp
  also have ... = Sup \circ \mathcal{P} \varphi \circ \mathcal{P} \eta
    by (simp add: comp-assoc P-func1)
  also have ... = \varphi \circ Sup \circ \mathcal{P} \eta
    by (simp \ add: \ h)
  also have \dots = \varphi \circ id
    by force
  finally show ?thesis
    \mathbf{by} \ simp
qed
lemma fbd-ifbd-inv2-inv: (bd_{\mathcal{F}} \circ bd_{\mathcal{F}}) \varphi = \varphi \Longrightarrow Sup\text{-pres } \varphi
 unfolding fun-eq-iff comp-def by (metis (no-types, lifting) Inf.INF-cong UN-extend-simps(8)
klift-prop)
lemma fbd-ifbd-inv2-iff: ((bd_{\mathcal{F}} \circ bd_{\mathcal{F}}) \varphi = \varphi) = (Sup\text{-pres }\varphi)
  using fbd-ifbd-inv2 fbd-ifbd-inv2-inv by force
lemma fbd-inj: inj \ bd_{\mathcal{F}}
  by (meson inj-on-inverseI klift-eta-inv1)
lemma fbd-inj-iff: (bd_{\mathcal{F}} f = bd_{\mathcal{F}} g) = (f = g)
  by (meson injD fbd-inj)
lemma ifbd-inj: Sup-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow Sup-pres \psi \Longrightarrow bd^-<sub>F</sub> \varphi = bd^-<sub>F</sub> \psi \Longrightarrow \varphi = \psi
proof-
  assume h1: Sup\text{-}pres \varphi
  and h2: Sup-pres \psi
  and bd^-_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi = bd^-_{\mathcal{F}} \psi
  hence (bd_{\mathcal{F}} \circ bd_{\mathcal{F}}) \varphi = (bd_{\mathcal{F}} \circ bd_{\mathcal{F}}) \psi
    by simp
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis h1 h2 fbd-ifbd-inv2)
lemma ifbd-inj-iff: Sup-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow Sup-pres \psi \Longrightarrow (bd^-_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi = bd^-_{\mathcal{F}} \psi) = (\varphi =
\psi)
  using ifbd-inj by force
lemma fbd-ifbd-galois: Sup-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow (bd^-_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi = f) = (bd_{\mathcal{F}} f = \varphi)
  using fbd-ifbd-inv2 by force
lemma fbd-surj: Sup-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow (\exists f.\ bd_{\mathcal{F}}\ f = \varphi)
  using fbd-ifbd-inv2 by auto
lemma ifbd-surj: surj bd⁻<sub>F</sub>
  unfolding surj-def by (metis klift-eta-inv1)
```

In addition they preserve the Sup-quantale structure of the powerset algebra.

```
This means that morphisms preserve compositions, units and Sups, but not Infs, hence also bottom but not top.
```

```
lemma fbd-comp-pres: bd_{\mathcal{F}} (f \circ_K g) = bd_{\mathcal{F}} g \circ bd_{\mathcal{F}} f
  unfolding kcomp-klift klift-prop1 by simp
lemma fbd-Sup-pres: Sup-pres: bd_{\mathcal{F}}
 by (force simp: fun-eq-iff klift-def)
lemma fbd-sup-pres: sup-pres: bd_{\mathcal{F}}
  using Sup-sup-pres fbd-Sup-pres by blast
lemma fbd-Disj: Sup-pres (bd_{\mathcal{F}} f)
 by (simp add: fbd-ifbd-inv2-inv)
lemma fbd-disj: sup-pres (bd_{\mathcal{F}} f)
  by (simp add: klift-prop)
lemma fbd-bot-pres: bot-pres bd F
  unfolding klift-def by fastforce
lemma fbd-zero-pres2 [simp]: bd_{\mathcal{F}} f \{\} = \{\}
 by (simp add: klift-prop)
lemma fbd-iso: X \subseteq Y \longrightarrow bd_{\mathcal{F}} f X \subseteq bd_{\mathcal{F}} f Y
 by (metis fbd-disj le-iff-sup)
The following counterexamples show that Infs are not preserved.
lemma top-pres bd_{\mathcal{F}}
 oops
lemma inf-pres bd_{\mathcal{F}}
 oops
Dual preservation statements hold for ifbd ... and even Inf-preservation.
lemma ifbd-comp-pres: Sup-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow bd^-_{\mathcal{F}} (\varphi \circ \psi) = bd^-_{\mathcal{F}} \psi \circ_K bd^-_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi
  by (smt fbd-ifbd-galois fun.map-comp kcomp-def klift-def)
lemma ifbd-Sup-pres: Sup-pres bd^-\mathcal{F}
 by (simp add: fun-eq-iff)
lemma ifbd-sup-pres: sup-pres bd<sup>-</sup><sub>F</sub>
 by force
lemma ifbd-Inf-pres: Inf-pres bd⁻<sub>F</sub>
 by (simp add: fun-eq-iff)
lemma ifbd-inf-pres: inf-pres bd⁻<sub>F</sub>
  by force
```

```
lemma ifbd-bot-pres: bot-pres bd<sup>-</sup><sub>F</sub>
by auto
lemma ifbd-top-pres: top-pres bd<sup>-</sup><sub>F</sub>
by auto
```

Preservation of units by the Kleisli lifting has been proved in klift-prop3.

These results estabilish the isomorphism between state and predicate transformers given by backward diamonds. The isomorphism preserves the Supquantale structure, but not Infs.

## 5.2 Backward Diamonds from Relations

Using the isomorphism between binary relations and Kleisli arrows (or state transformers), it is straightforward to define backward diamonds from relations, by composing isomorphisms. It follows that Sup-quantales of binary relations (under relational composition, the identity relation and Sups) are isomorphic to the Sup-quantales of predicate transformers. Once again, Infs are not preserved.

```
definition rbd :: ('a \times 'b) \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ set \Rightarrow 'b \ set \ (\langle bd_{\mathcal{R}} \rangle) \ where
  bd_{\mathcal{R}} = bd_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \mathcal{F}
definition irbd :: ('a \ set \Rightarrow 'b \ set) \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b) \ set \ (\langle bd^-_{\mathcal{R}} \rangle) where
  bd^-_{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{R} \circ bd^-_{\mathcal{F}}
lemma rbd-Im: bd_{\mathcal{R}} = (``)
  unfolding rbd-def klift-def r2f-def fun-eq-iff by force
lemma rbd\text{-}set: bd_{\mathcal{R}} R X = \{y. \exists x \in X. (x,y) \in R\}
  by (force simp: rbd-Im Image-def)
lemma irbd-set: bd^-\mathcal{R} \varphi = \{(x,y), y \in (\varphi \circ \eta) x\}
  by (simp add: irbd-def f2r-def o-def)
lemma irbd-set-var: bd^-_{\mathcal{R}} \varphi = \{(x,y), y \in \varphi \}
  by (simp add: irbd-def f2r-def o-def)
lemma rbd-irbd-inv1 [simp]: bd^-_{\mathcal{R}} \circ bd_{\mathcal{R}} = id
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) comp-eq-dest-lhs eq-id-iff fbd-Disj fbd-ifbd-galois irbd-def
r2f-f2r-galois rbd-def)
lemma irbd-rbd-inv2: Sup-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow (bd_{\mathcal{R}} \circ bd_{\mathcal{R}}) \varphi = \varphi
  by (metis comp-apply fbd-ifbd-galois irbd-def r2f-f2r-galois rbd-def)
lemma irbd-rbd-inv2-inv: (bd_{\mathcal{R}} \circ bd^{-}_{\mathcal{R}}) \varphi = \varphi \Longrightarrow Sup\text{-pres } \varphi
  by (simp add: rbd-def irbd-def, metis fbd-Disj)
```

```
lemma irbd-rbd-inv2-iff: ((bd_{\mathcal{R}} \circ bd_{\mathcal{R}}) \varphi = \varphi) = (Sup\text{-}pres \varphi)
  using irbd-rbd-inv2 irbd-rbd-inv2-inv by blast
lemma rbd-inj: inj \ bd_{\mathcal{R}}
  by (simp add: fbd-inj inj-compose r2f-inj rbd-def)
lemma rbd-translate: (bd_{\mathcal{R}} \ R = bd_{\mathcal{R}} \ S) = (R = S)
  by (simp add: rbd-inj inj-eq)
lemma irbd-inj: Sup-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow Sup-pres \psi \Longrightarrow bd^-_{\mathcal{R}} \varphi = bd^-_{\mathcal{R}} \psi \Longrightarrow \varphi = \psi
  by (metis rbd-Im comp-eq-dest-lhs irbd-rbd-inv2)
lemma irbd-inj-iff: Sup-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow Sup-pres \psi \Longrightarrow (bd^-_{\mathcal{R}} \varphi = bd^-_{\mathcal{R}} \psi) = (\varphi =
  using irbd-inj by force
lemma rbd-surj: Sup-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow (\exists R. \ bd_{\mathcal{R}} \ R = \varphi)
  using irbd-rbd-inv2 by force
lemma irbd-surj: surj bd<sup>-</sup><sub>R</sub>
  by (metis UNIV-I fun.set-map imageE rbd-irbd-inv1 surj-def surj-id)
lemma rbd-irbd-galois: Sup-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow (\varphi = bd_{\mathcal{R}} R) = (R = bd_{\mathcal{R}} \varphi)
  by (smt comp-apply fbd-ifbd-galois irbd-def r2f-f2r-galois rbd-def)
lemma rbd-comp-pres: bd_{\mathcal{R}} (R; S) = bd_{\mathcal{R}} S \circ bd_{\mathcal{R}} R
  by (simp add: rbd-def r2f-comp-pres fbd-comp-pres)
lemma rbd-Id-pres: bd_{\mathcal{R}} Id = id
  unfolding rbd-def by simp
lemma rbd-Un-pres: Sup-pres bd_{\mathcal{R}}
  by (simp add: rbd-def Sup-pres-comp fbd-Sup-pres r2f-Sup-pres)
lemma rbd-un-pres: sup-pres bd_{\mathcal{R}}
  by (simp add: rbd-def fbd-sup-pres r2f-sup-pres)
lemma inf-pres bd_{\mathcal{R}}
  oops
lemma rbd-disj: Sup-pres (bd_{\mathcal{R}} R)
  by (simp add: rbd-def fbd-Disj)
lemma rbd-disj2: sup-pres (bd_{\mathcal{R}} R)
  by (simp add: Image-Un rbd-Im)
lemma rbd-bot-pres: bot-pres: bd_{\mathcal{R}}
  by (simp add: fbd-bot-pres r2f-bot-pres rbd-def)
```

```
lemma rbd-zero-pres2 [simp]: bd_{\mathcal{R}} R {} = {}
 by (simp add: rbd-Im)
lemma rbd-univ: bd_{\mathcal{R}} R UNIV = Range R
  unfolding rbd-def fun-eq-iff klift-def r2f-def by force
lemma rbd-iso: X \subseteq Y \Longrightarrow bd_{\mathcal{R}} R X \subseteq bd_{\mathcal{R}} R Y
  by (metis le-iff-sup rbd-disj2)
lemma irbd-comp-pres: Sup-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow bd^-_{\mathcal{R}} (\varphi \circ \psi) = bd^-_{\mathcal{R}} \psi ; bd^-_{\mathcal{R}} \varphi
  by (simp add: ifbd-comp-pres f2r-kcomp-pres irbd-def)
lemma irbd-id-pres [simp]: bd^-_{\mathcal{R}} id = Id
  unfolding irbd-def by simp
lemma irbd-Sup-pres: Sup-pres bd⁻<sub>R</sub>
  by (simp add: irbd-def Sup-pres-comp ifbd-Sup-pres f2r-Sup-pres)
lemma irbd-sup-pres: sup-pres bd<sup>-</sup><sub>R</sub>
 by (simp add: irbd-def ifbd-sup-pres f2r-sup-pres)
lemma irbd-Inf-pres: Inf-pres bd<sup>−</sup><sub>R</sub>
  by (auto simp: fun-eq-iff irbd-def f2r-def)
lemma irbd-inf-pres: inf-pres bd<sup>-</sup>

R
  by (auto simp: fun-eq-iff irbd-def f2r-def)
lemma irbd-bot-pres: bot-pres bd⁻<sub>R</sub>
  by (metis comp-def ifbd-bot-pres f2r-bot-pres irbd-def)
```

This shows that relations are isomorphic to disjunctive forward predicate transformers. In many cases Isabelle picks up the composition of morphisms in proofs.

## 5.3 Forward Boxes on Kleisli Arrows

Forward box operators correspond to weakest liberal preconditions in program semantics. Here, Kleisli arrows are mapped to the opposite of the Eilenberg-Moore category, that is, Inf-lattices. It follows that the Inf-quantale structure is preserved. Modelling opposition is based on the fact that Kleisli arrows can be swapped by going through relations.

```
definition ffb :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \ set) \Rightarrow 'b \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ set \ (\langle fb_{\mathcal{F}} \rangle) where fb_{\mathcal{F}} = \partial_F \circ bd_{\mathcal{F}} \circ op_K
```

Here,  $\partial_F$  is map-dual, which amounts to De Morgan duality. Hence the forward box operator is obtained from the backward diamond by taking

```
the opposite Kleisli arrow, applying the backward diamond, and then De Morgan duality.
```

```
lemma ffb-prop: fb_{\mathcal{F}} f = \partial \circ bd_{\mathcal{F}} (op_K f) \circ \partial
  by (simp add: ffb-def map-dual-def)
lemma ffb-prop-var: fb_{\mathcal{F}} f = uminus \circ bd_{\mathcal{F}} (op_K f) \circ uminus
  by (simp add: dual-set-def ffb-prop)
lemma ffb-fbd-dual: \partial \circ fb_{\mathcal{F}} f = bd_{\mathcal{F}} (op_K f) \circ \partial
  by (simp add: ffb-prop o-assoc)
I give a set-theoretic definition of iffb, because the algebraic one below de-
pends on Inf-preservation.
definition iff b :: ('b \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ set) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b \ set (\langle fb^-_F \rangle) where
  fb^-_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi = (\lambda x. \bigcap \{X. \ x \in \varphi \ X\})
lemma ffb-set: fb_{\mathcal{F}} f = (\lambda Y. \{x. f x \subseteq Y\})
  by (force simp: fun-eq-iff ffb-prop-var kop-def klift-def f2r-def r2f-def)
Forward boxes and backward diamonds are adjoints.
lemma ffb-fbd-galois: (bd_{\mathcal{F}} f) \dashv (fb_{\mathcal{F}} f)
  unfolding adj-def ffb-set klift-prop by blast
lemma iffb-inv1: fb^-_{\mathcal{F}} \circ fb_{\mathcal{F}} = id
  unfolding fun-eq-iff ffb-set iffb-def by force
lemma iffb-inv2-aux: Inf-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow \prod \{X. \ x \in \varphi \ X\} \subseteq Y \Longrightarrow x \in \varphi \ Y
proof-
  assume Inf-pres \varphi
    and h1: \prod \{X. \ x \in \varphi \ X\} \subseteq Y
  hence h2: \forall X. \varphi ( \bigcap X) = (\bigcap x \in X. \varphi x)
    by (metis comp-eq-dest)
  hence \varphi ( \bigcap \{X. \ x \in \varphi \ X\}) \subseteq \varphi \ Y
    by (metis h1 INF-lower2 cInf-eq-minimum mem-Collect-eq order-reft)
  hence (\bigcap \{ \varphi \mid X \mid X. \ x \in \varphi \mid X \}) \subseteq \varphi \mid Y
    by (metis\ h2\ setcompr-eq-image)
  thus ?thesis
    by (force simp add: subset-iff)
qed
lemma iffb-inv2: Inf-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow (fb_{\mathcal{F}} \circ fb^{-}_{\mathcal{F}}) \varphi = \varphi
proof-
  assume h: Inf-pres \varphi
  \{ \mathbf{fix} \ Y \}
  have (fb_{\mathcal{F}} \circ fb_{\mathcal{F}}) \varphi Y = \{x. \mid X. x \in \varphi X\} \subseteq Y\}
    by (simp add: ffb-set iffb-def)
  hence \bigwedge x. \ x \in (fb_{\mathcal{F}} \circ fb_{\mathcal{F}}) \ \varphi \ Y \longleftrightarrow \prod \{X. \ x \in \varphi \ X\} \subseteq Y
    by auto
```

```
hence \bigwedge x. \ x \in (fb_{\mathcal{F}} \circ fb^{-}_{\mathcal{F}}) \ \varphi \ Y \longleftrightarrow x \in \varphi \ Y
    by (auto\ simp:\ h\ iffb-inv2-aux)
  hence (fb_{\mathcal{F}} \circ fb^{-}_{\mathcal{F}}) \varphi Y = \varphi Y
    by (simp add: fun-eq-iff set-eq-iff)}
  thus ?thesis
     unfolding fun-eq-iff by simp
\mathbf{qed}
lemma iffb-inv2-inv: (fb_{\mathcal{F}} \circ fb^{-}_{\mathcal{F}}) \varphi = \varphi \Longrightarrow Inf\text{-pres } \varphi
  by (auto simp: fun-eq-iff ffb-set iffb-def)
lemma iffb-inv2-iff: ((fb_{\mathcal{F}} \circ fb^{-}_{\mathcal{F}}) \varphi = \varphi) = (Inf-pres \varphi)
  using iffb-inv2 iffb-inv2-inv by blast
lemma ffb-inj: inj fb<sub>F</sub>
  unfolding inj-def by (metis iffb-inv1 pointfree-idE)
lemma ffb-inj-iff: (fb_{\mathcal{F}} f = fb_{\mathcal{F}} g) = (f = g)
  by (simp add: ffb-inj inj-eq)
lemma ffb-iffb-galois: Inf-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow (fb^-_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi = f) = (fb_{\mathcal{F}} f = \varphi)
  using ffb-inj-iff iffb-inv2 by force
lemma iffb-inj: Inf-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow Inf-pres \psi \Longrightarrow fb^-_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi = fb^-_{\mathcal{F}} \psi \Longrightarrow \varphi = \psi
  by (metis ffb-iffb-galois)
lemma iffb-inj-iff: Inf-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow Inf-pres \psi \Longrightarrow (fb<sup>-</sup>_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi = fb^{-}_{\mathcal{F}} \psi) = (\varphi = \psi)
  using iffb-inj by blast
lemma ffb-surj: Inf-pres \varphi \implies (\exists f. fb_{\mathcal{F}} f = \varphi)
  using iffb-inv2 by auto
lemma iffb-surj: surj fb^-<sub>F</sub>
  using surj-def by (metis comp-apply iffb-inv1 surj-id)
This is now the explicit "definition" of iffb, for Inf-preserving transformers.
lemma iffb-ifbd-dual: Inf-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow fb^-_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi = (op_K \circ bd^-_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \partial_F) \varphi
proof-
  assume h: Inf-pres \varphi
  \{ \mathbf{fix} \ f \}
    have (fb^-_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi = f) = ((\partial_F \circ bd_{\mathcal{F}} \circ op_K) f = \varphi)
       by (simp\ add: ffb-def\ ffb-iffb-galois\ h)
    also have ... = (op_K f = (bd^-_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \partial_F) \varphi)
         by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) comp-apply map-dual-dual ffb-def ffb-surj h
klift-eta-inv1 map-dual-dual)
    finally have (fb^-_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi = f) = (f = (op_K \circ bd^-_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \partial_F) \varphi)
       using kop-galois by auto}
  thus ?thesis
    by blast
```

```
qed
lemma fbd-ffb-dual: \partial_F \circ fb_{\mathcal{F}} \circ op_K = bd_{\mathcal{F}}
proof-
  have \partial_F \circ fb_{\mathcal{F}} \circ op_K = \partial_F \circ \partial_F \circ bd_{\mathcal{F}} \circ (op_K \circ op_K)
    by (simp add: comp-def ffb-def)
  thus ?thesis
    by simp
\mathbf{qed}
lemma ffbd-ffb-dual-var: \partial \circ bd_{\mathcal{F}} f = fb_{\mathcal{F}} (op_K f) \circ \partial
  by (metis ffb-prop fun-dual1 kop-galois)
lemma ifbd-iffb-dual: Sup-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow bd^-_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi = (op_K \circ fb^-_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \partial_F) \varphi
proof-
  assume h: Sup-pres \varphi
  hence Inf-pres (\partial_F \varphi)
    using Sup-pres-Inf-pres by blast
  hence (op_K \circ fb^-_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \partial_F) \varphi = (op_K \circ (op_K \circ bd^-_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \partial_F) \circ \partial_F) \varphi
    by (simp add: iffb-ifbd-dual)
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis comp-def kop-galois map-dual-dual)
qed
lemma ffb-kcomp-pres: fb_{\mathcal{F}} (f \circ_K g) = fb_{\mathcal{F}} f \circ fb_{\mathcal{F}} g
proof-
  have fb_{\mathcal{F}} (f \circ_K g) = \partial_F (bd_{\mathcal{F}} (op_K (f \circ_K g)))
    by (simp add: ffb-def)
  also have ... = \partial_F (bd_F (op_K g \circ_K op_K f))
    by (simp add: kop-contrav)
  also have ... = \partial_F (bd_F (op_K f) \circ bd_F (op_K g))
    by (simp add: fbd-comp-pres)
  also have ... = \partial_F (bd_F (op_K f)) \circ \partial_F (bd_F (op_K g))
    by (simp add: map-dual-func1)
  finally show ?thesis
    by (simp add: ffb-def)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma ffb-eta-pres: fb_{\mathcal{F}} \eta = id
  unfolding ffb-def by simp
lemma ffb-Sup-dual: Sup-dual fb_{\mathcal{F}}
  unfolding ffb-prop-var comp-def fun-eq-iff klift-prop kop-def f2r-def r2f-def con-
verse-def by fastforce
lemma ffb-Sup-dual-var: fb_{\mathcal{F}} (\bigcup F) = (\bigcap f \in F. fb_{\mathcal{F}} f)
  unfolding ffb-prop-var comp-def fun-eq-iff klift-prop kop-def f2r-def r2f-def con-
verse-def by fastforce
```

```
lemma ffb-sup-dual: sup-dual fb<sub>F</sub>
  using ffb-Sup-dual Sup-sup-dual by force
lemma ffb-zero-dual: fb_{\mathcal{F}} \zeta = (\lambda X. \ UNIV)
  unfolding ffb-prop-var kop-def klift-prop fun-eq-iff f2r-def r2f-def by simp
lemma inf-dual ffb
  oops
Once again, only the Sup-quantale structure is preserved.
lemma iffb-comp-pres:
  assumes Inf-pres \varphi
  assumes Inf-pres \psi
  shows fb^-_{\mathcal{F}} (\varphi \circ \psi) = fb^-_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi \circ_K fb^-_{\mathcal{F}} \psi
    by (metis assms Inf-pres-comp ffb-iffb-galois ffb-kcomp-pres)
lemma iffb-id-pres: fb^-_{\mathcal{F}} id = \eta
  unfolding iffb-def by force
\mathbf{lemma} \ \textit{iffb-Inf-dual}:
  assumes \forall \varphi \in \Phi. Inf-pres \varphi
  shows (fb^-_{\mathcal{F}} \circ Inf) \Phi = (Sup \circ \mathcal{P} fb^-_{\mathcal{F}}) \Phi
proof-
  have Inf-pres ( \Box \Phi)
    using Inf-pres-Inf assms by blast
  hence (fb_{\mathcal{F}} \circ fb^{-}_{\mathcal{F}}) \ (\prod \Phi) = \prod (\mathcal{P} \ (fb_{\mathcal{F}} \circ fb^{-}_{\mathcal{F}}) \ \Phi)
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) INF-cong INF-identity-eq assms iffb-inv2)
  by (simp add: Setcompr-eq-image ffb-Sup-dual-var image-comp)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: ffb-inj-iff)
qed
lemma iffb-Sup-dual: Sup-dual fb F
  by (auto simp: iffb-def fun-eq-iff)
lemma iffb-inf-dual:
  assumes Inf-pres \varphi
  and Inf-pres \psi
\mathbf{shows}\;\mathit{fb^-_{\mathcal{F}}}\;(\varphi\sqcap\psi)=\mathit{fb^-_{\mathcal{F}}}\;\varphi\sqcup\mathit{fb^-_{\mathcal{F}}}\;\psi
proof -
  have f1: \varphi \sqcap \psi = fb_{\mathcal{F}} (fb_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi) \sqcap fb_{\mathcal{F}} (fb_{\mathcal{F}} \psi)
    using assms iffb-inv2 by fastforce
  have \varphi \sqcap \psi \circ Inter = Inter \circ \mathcal{P} (\varphi \sqcap \psi)
    using assms Inf-pres-inf by blast
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: f1 ffb-iffb-galois ffb-sup-dual)
qed
```

```
lemma iffb-sup-dual: fb^-\mathcal{F} (\varphi \sqcup \psi) = fb^-\mathcal{F} \varphi \sqcap fb^-\mathcal{F} \psi unfolding iffb-def by fastforce
```

```
lemma iffb-top-pres [simp]: fb^-\mathcal{F} \top = \zeta unfolding iffb-def by simp
```

This establishes the duality between state transformers and weakest liberal preconditions.

## 5.4 Forward Box Operators from Relations

Once again one can compose isomorphisms, linking weakest liberal preconditions with relational semantics. The isomorphism obtained should by now be obvious.

```
definition rfb :: ('a \times 'b) \ set \Rightarrow 'b \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ set \ (\langle fb_{\mathcal{R}} \rangle) where fb_{\mathcal{R}} = fb_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \mathcal{F}
```

**definition** irfb :: ('b set 
$$\Rightarrow$$
 'a set)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  'b) set ( $\langle fb^-_{\mathcal{R}} \rangle$ ) where  $fb^-_{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{R} \circ fb^-_{\mathcal{F}}$ 

```
lemma rfb-rbd-dual: fb<sub>R</sub> R = \partial_F (bd_R (R^{-1}))
by (simp add: rfb-def rbd-def kop-def ffb-def, metis r2f-f2r-galois)
```

```
lemma rbd-rfb-dual: bd_{\mathcal{R}} R = \partial_F (fb_{\mathcal{R}} (R^{-1}))
by (simp\ add:\ rfb-def\ rbd-def\ kop-def\ ffb-def, metis\ converse-converse\ map-dual-dual\ r2f-f2r-galois)
```

```
lemma irfb-irbd-dual: Inf-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow fb^-_{\mathcal{R}} \varphi = ((\smile) \circ bd^-_{\mathcal{R}} \circ \partial_F) \varphi
by (simp add: irfb-def irbd-def iffb-ifbd-dual kop-def r2f-f2r-galois)
```

```
lemma irbd-irfb-dual: Sup-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow bd^-_{\mathcal{R}} \varphi = ((\smile) \circ fb^-_{\mathcal{R}} \circ \partial_F) \varphi
by (simp add: irfb-def irbd-def ifbd-iffb-dual kop-def r2f-f2r-galois)
```

lemma rfb-set: fb<sub>R</sub> R Y = {x.  $\forall y. (x,y) \in R \longrightarrow y \in Y$ } unfolding rfb-def ffb-prop-var comp-def klift-def f2r-def r2f-def kop-def by force

```
lemma rfb-rbd-galois: (bd_{\mathcal{R}} R) \dashv (fb_{\mathcal{R}} R)
by (simp \ add: ffb-fbd-galois \ rbd-def \ rfb-def)
```

**lemma** irfb-set: 
$$fb^-\mathcal{R}$$
  $\varphi = \{(x, y). \forall Y. x \in \varphi \ Y \longrightarrow y \in Y\}$  **by** (simp add: irfb-def iffb-def f2r-def)

```
lemma irfb-inv1 [simp]: fb^-_{\mathcal{R}} \circ fb_{\mathcal{R}} = id
by (simp add: fun-eq-iff rfb-def irfb-def iffb-inv1 pointfree-idE)
```

```
lemma irfb-inv2: Inf-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow (fb_{\mathcal{R}} \circ fb^{-}_{\mathcal{R}}) \varphi = \varphi
by (simp add: rfb-def irfb-def, metis ffb-iffb-galois r2f-f2r-galois)
```

```
lemma rfb-inj: inj fb_{\mathcal{R}}
  by (simp add: rfb-def ffb-inj inj-compose r2f-inj)
lemma rfb-inj-iff: (fb_R R = fb_R S) = (R = S)
  by (simp add: rfb-inj inj-eq)
lemma irfb-inj: Inf-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow Inf-pres \psi \Longrightarrow fb<sup>-</sup>_{\mathcal{R}} \varphi = fb^{-}_{\mathcal{R}} \psi \Longrightarrow \varphi = \psi
  unfolding irfb-def using iffb-inj r2f-inj-iff by fastforce
lemma irfb-inf-iff: Inf-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow Inf-pres \psi \Longrightarrow (fb<sup>-</sup>_{\mathcal{R}} \varphi = fb^{-}_{\mathcal{R}} \psi) = (\varphi = \psi)
  using irfb-inj by auto
lemma rfb-surj: Inf-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow (\exists R. fb_{\mathcal{R}} R = \varphi)
  using irfb-inv2 by fastforce
lemma irfb-surj: surj fb<sup>-</sup><sub>R</sub>
  by (simp add: irfb-def comp-surj f2r-surj iffb-surj cong del: image-cong-simp)
lemma rfb-irfb-galois: Inf-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow (fb^-_{\mathcal{R}} \varphi = R) = (fb_{\mathcal{R}} R = \varphi)
  by (simp add: irfb-def rfb-def, metis ffb-iffb-galois r2f-f2r-galois)
lemma rfb-comp-pres: fb_{\mathcal{R}} (R; S) = fb_{\mathcal{R}} R \circ fb_{\mathcal{R}} S
  by (simp add: ffb-kcomp-pres r2f-comp-pres rfb-def)
lemma rfb-Id-pres [simp]: fb_{\mathcal{R}} Id = id
  unfolding rfb-def ffb-prop by force
lemma rfb-Sup-dual: Sup-dual fb_{\mathcal{R}}
proof-
  have fb_{\mathcal{R}} \circ \mu = fb_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \mathcal{F} \circ Sup
    by (simp add: rfb-def)
  also have ... = fb_{\mathcal{F}} \circ Sup \circ \mathcal{P} \mathcal{F}
    by (metis fun.map-comp r2f-Sup-pres)
  also have ... = Inf \circ \mathcal{P} fb_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \mathcal{P} \mathcal{F}
    by (simp add: ffb-Sup-dual)
  also have ... = Inf \circ \mathcal{P} (fb_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \mathcal{F})
    by (simp add: P-func1 comp-assoc)
  finally show ?thesis
    by (simp\ add:\ rfb\text{-}def)
qed
lemma rfb-Sup-dual-var: fb_{\mathcal{R}} (\bigcup \varphi) = \bigcap (\mathcal{P} fb_{\mathcal{R}}) \varphi
  by (meson comp-eq-dest rfb-Sup-dual)
lemma rfb-sup-dual: sup-dual fb_{\mathcal{R}}
  by (simp add: rfb-def ffb-sup-dual r2f-sup-pres)
lemma inf-dual fb_{\mathcal{R}}
  oops
```

```
lemma rfb-Inf-pres: Inf-pres (fb<sub>R</sub> R)
 unfolding rfb-def ffb-prop-var comp-def fun-eq-iff klift-def kop-def f2r-def r2f-def
converse-def by auto
lemma rfb-inf-pres: inf-pres (fb<sub>R</sub> R)
  unfolding rfb-def ffb-prop-var comp-def fun-eq-iff klift-def kop-def f2r-def r2f-def
converse-def by auto
lemma rfb-zero-pres [simp]: fb_{\mathcal{R}} {} X = UNIV
 unfolding rfb-def ffb-prop-var comp-def fun-eq-iff klift-def kop-def f2r-def r2f-def
converse-def by auto
lemma rfb-zero-pres2 [simp]: fb_R R \{\} = - Domain R
 unfolding rfb-def ffb-prop-var comp-def fun-eq-iff klift-def kop-def f2r-def r2f-def
converse-def by auto
lemma rfb-univ [simp]: fb_{\mathcal{R}} R UNIV = UNIV
 unfolding rfb-def ffb-prop-var comp-def fun-eq-iff klift-def kop-def f2r-def r2f-def
converse-def by auto
lemma rfb-iso: X \subseteq Y \Longrightarrow fb_{\mathcal{R}} R X \subseteq fb_{\mathcal{R}} R Y
  unfolding rfb-def ffb-prop-var comp-def fun-eq-iff klift-def kop-def f2r-def r2f-def
converse-def by auto
lemma irfb-comp-pres:
  assumes Inf-pres \varphi
  assumes Inf-pres \psi
  shows fb^-_{\mathcal{R}} (\varphi \circ \psi) = fb^-_{\mathcal{R}} \varphi ; fb^-_{\mathcal{R}} \psi
  by (metis assms rfb-Inf-pres rfb-comp-pres rfb-irfb-galois)
lemma irfb-id-pres [simp]: fb^-_{\mathcal{R}} id = Id
 by (simp add: rfb-irfb-galois)
lemma irfb-Sup-dual: Sup-dual fb<sup>-</sup><sub>R</sub>
 by (auto simp: fun-eq-iff irfb-def iffb-def f2r-def)
lemma irfb-Inf-dual:
  assumes \forall \varphi \in \Phi. Inf-pres \varphi
  shows (fb^-_{\mathcal{R}} \circ Inf) \Phi = (Sup \circ \mathcal{P} fb^-_{\mathcal{R}}) \Phi
proof-
  have Inf-pres ( \Box \Phi)
   using Inf-pres-Inf assms by blast
  by (smt INF-identity-eq Sup.SUP-cong assms irfb-inv2)
  also have ... = \prod (\mathcal{P} fb_{\mathcal{R}} (\mathcal{P} fb_{\mathcal{R}} \Phi))
   by (simp add: image-comp)
  also have ... = fb_{\mathcal{R}} ( \coprod (\mathcal{P} fb^{-}_{\mathcal{R}} \Phi) )
   by (simp add: rfb-Sup-dual-var)
```

```
thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: rfb-inj-iff)
qed
lemma irfb-sup-dual: sup-dual fb<sup>-</sup><sub>R</sub>
  by (force simp: fun-eq-iff irfb-def iffb-def f2r-def)
lemma irfb-inf-dual:
  assumes Inf-pres \varphi
  and Inf-pres \psi
 shows fb^-_{\mathcal{R}} (\varphi \sqcap \psi) = fb^-_{\mathcal{R}} \varphi \sqcup fb^-_{\mathcal{R}} \psi
  by (metis assms rfb-Inf-pres rfb-irfb-galois rfb-sup-dual)
lemma irfb-top-pres [simp]: bd^-_{\mathcal{R}} \top = UNIV
  unfolding irbd-def f2r-def by auto
Finally, the adjunctions between the predicate transformers considered so
far are revisited.
lemma ffb-fbd-galois-var: (bd_{\mathcal{F}} f X \subset Y) = (X \subset fb_{\mathcal{F}} f Y)
 by (meson adj-def ffb-fbd-galois)
lemma rfb-rbd-galois-var: (bd_{\mathcal{R}} \ R \ X \subseteq Y) = (X \subseteq fb_{\mathcal{R}} \ R \ Y)
  by (meson adj-def rfb-rbd-galois)
lemma ffb-fbd: fb_{\mathcal{F}} f Y = \bigcup \{X. \ bd_{\mathcal{F}} \ f \ X \subseteq Y\}
  using ffb-fbd-galois-var by fastforce
lemma rfb-rbd: fb_{\mathcal{R}} R Y = \bigcup \{X. \ bd_{\mathcal{R}} \ R \ X \subseteq Y\}
  using rfb-rbd-galois-var by fastforce
lemma fbd-ffb: bd_{\mathcal{F}} f X = \bigcap \{ Y. X \subseteq fb_{\mathcal{F}} f Y \}
  using ffb-fbd-galois-var by fastforce
lemma rbd-rfb: bd_{\mathcal{R}} R X = \bigcap \{Y. X \subseteq fb_{\mathcal{R}} R Y\}
  using rfb-rbd-galois-var by fastforce
```

#### 5.5 The Remaining Modalities

Finally I set up the remaining dual transformers: forward diamonds and backward boxes. Most properties are not repeated, only some symmetries and dualities are spelled out.

First, forward diamond operators are introduced, from state transformers and relations; together with their inverses.

```
definition ffd :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \ set) \Rightarrow 'b \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ set \ (\langle fd_{\mathcal{F}} \rangle) where fd_{\mathcal{F}} = bd_{\mathcal{F}} \circ op_K
```

```
definition iff d:(b \ set \Rightarrow a \ set) \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow b \ set (\langle fd^- \mathcal{F} \rangle) where
  fd^-_{\mathcal{F}} = op_K \circ bd^-_{\mathcal{F}}
definition rfd :: ('a \times 'b) \ set \Rightarrow 'b \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ set \ (\langle fd_{\mathcal{R}} \rangle) \ where
  fd_{\mathcal{R}} = fd_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \mathcal{F}
definition irfd :: ('b \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ set) \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b) \ set \ (\langle fd^-_{\mathcal{R}} \rangle) where
  fd^-_{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{R} \circ fd^-_{\mathcal{F}}
Second, I introduce forward boxes and their inverses.
definition fbb :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \ set) \Rightarrow 'a \ set \Rightarrow 'b \ set \ (\langle bb_{\mathcal{F}} \rangle) where
  bb_{\mathcal{F}} = fb_{\mathcal{F}} \circ op_K
definition if bb :: ('a \ set \Rightarrow 'b \ set) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b \ set (\langle bb^-_{\mathcal{F}} \rangle) where
 bb^-_{\mathcal{F}} = op_K \circ fb^-_{\mathcal{F}}
definition rbb :: ('a \times 'b) \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ set \Rightarrow 'b \ set \ (\langle bb_{\mathcal{R}} \rangle) where
   bb_{\mathcal{R}} = bb_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \mathcal{F}
definition irbb :: ('a \ set \Rightarrow 'b \ set) \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b) \ set \ (\langle bb^-_{\mathcal{R}} \rangle) where
  bb^-{}_{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{R} \, \circ \, bb^-{}_{\mathcal{F}}
Forward and backward operators of the same type (box or diamond) are
related by opposition.
lemma rfd-rbd: fd_{\mathcal{R}} = bd_{\mathcal{R}} \circ (\smile)
  by (simp add: rfd-def rbd-def ffd-def kop-def comp-assoc)
lemma irfd-irbd: fd^-_{\mathcal{R}} = (\smile) \circ bd^-_{\mathcal{R}}
  \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ irfd\text{-}def\ iffd\text{-}def\ kop\text{-}def\ irbd\text{-}def\ comp\text{-}assoc[symmetric])
lemma fbd-ffd: bd_{\mathcal{F}} = fd_{\mathcal{F}} \circ op_{K}
  by (simp add: ffd-def kop-def converse-def f2r-def r2f-def klift-def fun-eq-iff)
lemma rbb-rfb: bb_{\mathcal{R}} = fb_{\mathcal{R}} \circ (\smile)
 by (simp add: rfb-def rbb-def, metis fbb-def kop-def r2f-f2r-galois-var2 rewriteR-comp-comp2)
lemma irbb-irfb: bb^{-}_{\mathcal{R}} = (\smile) \circ fb^{-}_{\mathcal{R}}
proof-
  have bb^{-}_{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{R} \circ op_{K} \circ fb^{-}_{\mathcal{F}}
     by (simp add: irbb-def ifbb-def o-assoc)
  also have ... = \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{F} \circ (\smile) \circ \mathcal{R} \circ fb^{-}_{\mathcal{F}}
     by (simp add: kop-def o-assoc)
  also have ... = (\smile) \circ fb^-_{\mathcal{R}}
     by (simp add: comp-assoc irfb-def)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
```

Complementation is a natural isomorphism between forwards and backward operators of different type.

```
lemma ffd-ffb-demorgan: \partial \circ fd_{\mathcal{F}} f = fb_{\mathcal{F}} f \circ \partial
  by (simp add: comp-assoc ffb-prop ffd-def)
lemma iffd-iffb-demorgan: Sup-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow fd^-_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi = (fb^-_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \partial_F) \varphi
  by (smt Sup-pres-Inf-pres comp-apply iffb-ifbd-dual iffd-def map-dual-dual)
lemma ffb-ffd-demorgan: \partial \circ fb_{\mathcal{F}} f = fd_{\mathcal{F}} f \circ \partial
  by (simp add: ffb-prop ffd-def rewriteL-comp-comp)
lemma iffb-iffd-demorgan: Inf-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow fb^-_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi = (fd^-_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \partial_F) \varphi
  by (simp add: iffb-ifbd-dual iffd-def)
lemma rfd-rfb-demorgan: \partial \circ fd_{\mathcal{R}} R = fb_{\mathcal{R}} R \circ \partial
  by (simp add: rfb-def rfd-def ffd-ffb-demorgan)
lemma irfd-irfb-demorgan: Sup-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow fd^-_{\mathcal{R}} \varphi = (fb^-_{\mathcal{R}} \circ \partial_F) \varphi
  by (simp add: irfb-def irfd-def iffd-iffb-demorgan)
lemma rfb-rfd-demorgan: \partial \circ fb_{\mathcal{R}} R = fd_{\mathcal{R}} R \circ \partial
  by (simp add: ffb-ffd-demorgan rfb-def rfd-def)
lemma irfb-irfd-demorgan: Inf-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow fb^-_{\mathcal{R}} \varphi = (fd^-_{\mathcal{R}} \circ \partial_F) \varphi
  by (simp add: irfb-irbd-dual irfd-irbd)
lemma fbd-fbb-demorgan: \partial \circ bd_{\mathcal{F}} f = bb_{\mathcal{F}} f \circ \partial
  by (simp add: fbb-def fbd-ffd ffd-ffb-demorgan)
lemma ifbd-ifbb-demorgan: Sup-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow bd^-_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi = (bb^-_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \partial_F) \varphi
  by (simp add: ifbd-iffb-dual ifbb-def)
lemma fbb-fbd-demorgan: \partial \circ bb_{\mathcal{F}} R = bd_{\mathcal{F}} R \circ \partial
  by (simp add: fbb-def fbd-ffd ffb-ffd-demorgan)
lemma ifbb-ifbd-demorgan: Inf-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow bb^-_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi = (bd^-_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \partial_F) \varphi
proof-
  assume h: Inf-pres \varphi
  have bb^-_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi = (op_K \circ fb^-_{\mathcal{F}}) \varphi
    by (simp add: ifbb-def)
  also have ... = (op_K \circ op_K \circ bd^-_{\mathcal{F}}) (\partial_F \varphi)
    by (metis comp-apply h iffb-ifbd-dual)
  also have ... = (bd^-_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \partial_F) \varphi
    by auto
  finally show ?thesis.
\mathbf{qed}
lemma rbd-rbb-demorgan: \partial \circ bd_{\mathcal{R}} R = bb_{\mathcal{R}} R \circ \partial
  by (simp add: rbb-def rbd-def fbd-fbb-demorgan)
lemma irbd-irbb-demorgan: Sup-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow bd^-_{\mathcal{R}} \varphi = (bb^-_{\mathcal{R}} \circ \partial_F) \varphi
```

```
by (simp add: irbb-irfb irbd-irfb-dual)
lemma rbb-rbd-demorgan: \partial \circ bb_{\mathcal{R}} R = bd_{\mathcal{R}} R \circ \partial
  by (simp add: rbb-def rbd-def fbb-fbd-demorgan)
lemma irbb-irbd-demorgan: Inf-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow bb^-_{\mathcal{R}} \varphi = (bd^-_{\mathcal{R}} \circ \partial_F) \varphi
  by (simp add: irbb-def irbd-def ifbb-ifbd-demorgan)
Further symmetries arise by combination.
lemma ffd-fbb-dual: \partial \circ fd_{\mathcal{F}} f = bb_{\mathcal{F}} (op_K f) \circ \partial
  by (simp add: fbd-fbb-demorgan ffd-def)
lemma iffd-ifbb-dual: Sup-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow fd^-_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi = (op_K \circ bb^-_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \partial_F) \varphi
  by (simp add: ifbd-ifbb-demorgan iffd-def)
lemma fbb-ffd-dual: \partial \circ bb_{\mathcal{F}} f = fd_{\mathcal{F}} (op_K f) \circ \partial
  by (simp add: fbd-ffd fbb-fbd-demorgan)
lemma ifbb-iffd-dual: Inf-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow bb^-_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi = (op_K \circ fd^-_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \partial_F) \varphi
  \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{simp}\ \mathit{add}\colon \mathit{ifbb-def}\ \mathit{iffb-iffd-demorgan})
lemma rfd-rbb-dual: \partial \circ fd_{\mathcal{R}} R = bb_{\mathcal{R}} (R^{-1}) \circ \partial
 by (metis fun-dual map-dual-def rbd-rbb-demorgan rfb-rbd-dual rfd-rfb-demorgan)
lemma ifd-ibb-dual: Sup-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow fd^-_{\mathcal{R}} \varphi = ((\smile) \circ bb^-_{\mathcal{R}} \circ \partial_F) \varphi
  by (simp add: irbb-irfb irbd-irfb-dual irfd-irbd)
lemma rbb-rfd-dual: \partial \circ bb_{\mathcal{R}} R = fd_{\mathcal{R}} (R^{-1}) \circ \partial
  by (simp add: rbb-rfb rfb-rfd-demorgan)
lemma irbb-irfd-dual: Inf-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow bb^-_{\mathcal{R}} \varphi = ((\smile) \circ fd^-_{\mathcal{R}} \circ \partial_F) \varphi
  by (simp add: irbb-irfb irfb-irbd-dual irfd-irbd)
lemma ffd-iffd-galois: Sup-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow (\varphi = fd_{\mathcal{F}} f) = (f = fd_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi)
  unfolding ffd-def iffd-def by (metis comp-apply fbd-surj klift-eta-inv1 kop-galois)
lemma rfd-irfd-galois: Sup-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow (\varphi = fd_{\mathcal{R}} R) = (R = fd_{\mathcal{R}} \varphi)
  unfolding irfd-def rfd-def by (metis comp-apply ffd-iffd-galois r2f-f2r-galois)
lemma fbb-ifbb-galois: Inf-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow (\varphi = bb_{\mathcal{F}} f) = (f = bb_{\mathcal{F}} \varphi)
  unfolding fbb-def iffb-def by (metis (no-types, lifting) comp-apply ffb-iffb-galois
ifbb-ifbd-demorgan iffb-ifbd-dual kop-galois)
lemma rbb-irbb-galois: Inf-pres \varphi \Longrightarrow (\varphi = bb_{\mathcal{R}} R) = (R = bb_{\mathcal{R}} \varphi)
  apply (simp add: rbb-def irbb-def) using fbb-ifbb-galois r2f-f2r-galois by blast
Next I spell out the missing adjunctions.
lemma ffd-ffb-adj: fd_{\mathcal{F}} f \dashv bb_{\mathcal{F}} f
  by (simp add: fbb-def ffb-fbd-galois ffd-def)
```

```
lemma ffd-fbb-galois: (fd_{\mathcal{F}} f X \subseteq Y) = (X \subseteq bb_{\mathcal{F}} f Y)
  by (simp add: fbb-def ffb-fbd-galois-var ffd-def)
lemma rfd-rfb-adj: fd_{\mathcal{R}} f \dashv bb_{\mathcal{R}} f
 by (simp add: ffd-ffb-adj rbb-def rfd-def)
lemma rfd-rbb-galois: (fd_{\mathcal{R}} \ R \ X \subseteq Y) = (X \subseteq bb_{\mathcal{R}} \ R \ Y)
  \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add: \mathit{ffd-fbb-galois}\ \mathit{rbb-def}\ \mathit{rfd-def})
Finally, forward and backward operators of the same type are linked by
conjugation.
lemma ffd-fbd-conjugation: (fd_{\mathcal{F}} f X \cap Y = \{\}) = (X \cap bd_{\mathcal{F}} f Y = \{\})
proof-
  have (fd_{\mathcal{F}} f X \cap Y = \{\}) = (fd_{\mathcal{F}} f X \subseteq -Y)
    by (simp add: disjoint-eq-subset-Compl)
  also have ... = (X \subseteq bb_{\mathcal{F}} f(-Y))
    by (simp add: ffd-fbb-galois)
  also have ... = (X \cap -bb_{\mathcal{F}} f(-Y) = \{\})
    by (simp add: disjoint-eq-subset-Compl)
  also have ... = (X \cap \partial (bb_{\mathcal{F}} f (\partial Y)) = \{\})
    by (simp add: dual-set-def)
  finally show ?thesis
   by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) comp-apply fbb-fbd-demorgan invol-dual-var)
qed
lemma rfd-rbd-conjugation: ((fd_{\mathcal{R}} R X) \cap Y = \{\}) = (X \cap (bd_{\mathcal{R}} R Y) = \{\})
 by (simp add: rbd-def rfd-def ffd-fbd-conjugation)
lemma ffb-fbb-conjugation: ((fb_{\mathcal{F}} f X) \cup Y = UNIV) = (X \cup (bb_{\mathcal{F}} f Y) = UNIV)
proof-
  have ((fb_{\mathcal{F}} f X) \cup Y = UNIV) = (-Y \subseteq fb_{\mathcal{F}} f X)
    by blast
  also have ... = (bd_{\mathcal{F}} f (\partial Y) \subseteq X)
    by (simp add: ffb-fbd-galois-var dual-set-def)
  also have ... = (\partial (bb_{\mathcal{F}} f Y) \subseteq X)
   by (metis comp-def fbb-fbd-demorgan)
  also have ... = (X \cup (bb_{\mathcal{F}} f Y) = UNIV)
    by (metis compl-le-swap2 dual-set-def sup-shunt)
    finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma rfb-rbb-conjugation: ((fb_R R X) \cup Y = UNIV) = (X \cup (bb_R R Y) =
  by (simp add: rfb-def rbb-def ffb-fbb-conjugation)
end
```

# 6 The Quantaloid of Kleisli Arrows

theory Kleisli-Quantaloid

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{imports} \ \textit{Kleisli-Transformers} \\ \textbf{begin} \end{array}$ 

This component formalises the quantalic structure of Kleisli arrows or state transformers, that is, the homset of the Kleisli category. Of course, by the previous isomorphisms, this is reflected at least partially in the Eilenberg-Moore algebras, via the comparison functor. The main result is that Kleisli arrows form a quantaloid, hence essentially a typed quantale. Some emphasis is on the star. This component thus complements that in which the quantaloid structure of Sup- and Inf-preserving transformers has been formalised.

The first set of lemmas shows that Kleisli arrows form a typed dioid, that is, a typed idempotent semiring.

```
lemma ksup-assoc: ((f::'a \Rightarrow 'b \ set) \sqcup g) \sqcup h = f \sqcup (g \sqcup h)
  unfolding sup.assoc by simp
lemma ksup\text{-}comm: (f::'a => 'b \ set) \sqcup g = g \sqcup f
  by (simp add: sup.commute)
lemma ksup\text{-}idem [simp]: (f::'a \Rightarrow 'b \ set) \sqcup f = f
  by simp
lemma kcomp-distl: f \circ_K (g \sqcup h) = (f \circ_K g) \sqcup (f \circ_K h)
 unfolding kcomp-klift fun-eq-iff comp-def sup-fun-def by (simp add: UN-Un-distrib
klift-prop)
lemma kcomp-distr: (f \sqcup g) \circ_K h = (f \circ_K h) \sqcup (g \circ_K h)
 by (simp add: kcomp-klift fun-eq-iff klift-def)
lemma ksup\text{-}zerol [simp]: \zeta \sqcup f = f
 by force
lemma ksup-annil [simp]: \zeta \circ_K f = \zeta
  by (force simp: kcomp-klift klift-def)
lemma ksup-annir [simp]: f \circ_K \zeta = \zeta
  by (force simp: kcomp-klift klift-def)
```

Associativity of Kleisli composition has already been proved.

The next laws establish typed quantales — or quantaloids.

```
lemma kSup\text{-}distl: f \circ_K (\bigsqcup G) = (\bigsqcup g \in G. \ f \circ_K g) proof – have f \circ_K (| \ | \ G) = ((klift \circ Sup) \ G) \circ f
```

```
by (simp\ add:\ kcomp-klift)

also have ... = (\bigsqcup g \in G.\ (klift\ g)) \circ f

by (simp\ add:\ fbd\text{-}Sup\text{-}pres\ fun\text{-}eq\text{-}iff)

also have ... = (\bigsqcup g \in G.\ (klift\ g) \circ f)

by auto

finally show ?thesis

by (simp\ add:\ kcomp\text{-}klift)

qed

lemma kSup\text{-}distr:\ (\bigsqcup F) \circ_K g = (\bigsqcup f \in F.\ f \circ_K g)

unfolding kcomp\text{-}klift\ fun\text{-}eq\text{-}iff\ comp\text{-}def\ by\ (simp\ add:\ klift\text{-}prop)}

lemma kcomp\text{-}isol:\ f \leq g \Longrightarrow h \circ_K f \leq h \circ_K g

by (force\ simp:\ kcomp\text{-}klift\ le\text{-}fun\text{-}def\ klift\text{-}def})

lemma kcomp\text{-}isor:\ f \leq g \Longrightarrow f \circ_K h \leq g \circ_K h

by (force\ simp:\ kcomp\text{-}klift\ le\text{-}fun\text{-}def\ klift\text{-}def})
```

### 6.1 Kleene Star

The Kleene star can be defined in any quantale or quantaloid by iteration. For Kleisli arrows, laws for the star can be obtained via the isomorphism to binary relations, where the star is the reflexive-transitive closure operation.

```
abbreviation kpower \equiv kmon.power
```

```
lemma r2f-pow: \mathcal{F}(R \cap i) = kpower(\mathcal{F} R) i
  by (induct i, simp, metis power.power.power.Suc r2f-comp-pres relpow.simps(2)
relpow-commute)
lemma f2r-kpower: \mathcal{R} (kpower f i) = (\mathcal{R} f) ^{\frown} i
  by (induct i, simp, metis f2r2f-inv2 pointfree-idE r2f2r-inv1 r2f-pow)
definition kstar f = (\bigsqcup i. kpower f i)
lemma r2f-rtrancl-hom: \mathcal{F} (rtrancl\ R) = kstar\ (\mathcal{F}\ R)
proof-
  have \mathcal{F} (rtrancl R) = \mathcal{F} (\bigcup i. R ^{\frown} i)
   by (simp add: full-SetCompr-eq rtrancl-is-UN-relpow)
  also have ... = (| i. kpower (\mathcal{F} R) i)
   by (auto simp: r2f-Sup-pres-var r2f-pow)
  finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: kstar-def)
qed
lemma r2f-rtrancl-hom-var: \mathcal{F} \circ rtrancl = kstar \circ \mathcal{F}
  by standard (simp add: r2f-rtrancl-hom)
lemma f2r-kstar-hom: \mathcal{R} (kstar f) = rtrancl (\mathcal{R} f)
  by (metis r2f-f2r-galois r2f-rtrancl-hom)
```

```
lemma f2r-kstar-hom-var: \mathcal{R} \circ kstar = rtrancl \circ \mathcal{R}
 by standard (simp add: f2r-kstar-hom)
lemma kstar-unfoldl-eq: \eta \sqcup f \circ_K kstar f = kstar f
proof -
 have \mathcal{R} (kstar f) = (\mathcal{R} \eta) \cup (\mathcal{R} f)^*; \mathcal{R} f
   using f2r-kstar-hom rtrancl-unfold
   by (metis f2r-eta-pres)
 thus ?thesis
  by (metis f2r-kcomp-pres f2r-kstar-hom f2r-sup-pres r2f-inj-iff r-comp-rtrancl-eq)
lemma kstar-unfoldl: \eta \sqcup f \circ_K kstar f \leq kstar f
 by (simp add: kstar-unfoldl-eq)
lemma kstar-unfoldr-eq: \eta \sqcup (kstar f) \circ_K f = kstar f
  by (metis (no-types) f2r2f-inv2 f2r-kcomp-pres f2r-kstar-hom kstar-unfoldl-eq
pointfree-idE \ r-comp-rtrancl-eq)
lemma kstar-unfoldr: \eta \sqcup (kstar f) \circ_K f \leq kstar f
 by (simp add: kstar-unfoldr-eq)
Relational induction laws seem to be missing in Isabelle Main. So I derive
functional laws directly.
lemma kpower-inductl: f \circ_K g \leq g \Longrightarrow kpower f i \circ_K g \leq g
 by (induct i, simp-all add: kcomp-assoc kcomp-isol order-subst2)
lemma kpower-inductl-var: h \sqcup f \circ_K g \leq g \Longrightarrow kpower f i \circ_K h \leq g
proof -
 assume h1: h \sqcup f \circ_K g \leq g
  then have h2: f \circ_K g \leq g
   using le-sup-iff by blast
 have h \leq g
   using h1 by simp
  then show ?thesis
   using h2 kcomp-isol kpower-inductl order-trans by blast
qed
lemma kstar-inductl: h \sqcup f \circ_K g \leq g \Longrightarrow kstar f \circ_K h \leq g
 apply (simp add: kstar-def kSup-distr, rule Sup-least)
 using knower-inductl-var by fastforce
lemma kpower-inductr: g \circ_K f \leq g \Longrightarrow g \circ_K kpower f i \leq g
 apply (induct\ i,\ simp-all)
 by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) dual-order.trans kcomp-assoc kcomp-isor)
lemma kpower-inductr-var: h \sqcup g \circ_K f \leq g \Longrightarrow h \circ_K kpower f i \leq g
 by (metis (no-types) dual-order.trans kcomp-isor kpower-inductr le-sup-iff)
```

```
lemma kstar-inductr: h \sqcup g \circ_K f \leq g \Longrightarrow h \circ_K kstar f \leq g
  apply (simp add: kstar-def kSup-distl, rule Sup-least)
  using knower-inductr-var by fastforce
lemma kpower-prop: f \leq \eta \implies kpower f \ i \leq \eta
  by (metis kcomp-idl kpower-inductr)
lemma kstar-prop: f \leq \eta \Longrightarrow kstar f \leq \eta
  by (simp add: SUP-le-iff kpower-prop kstar-def)
```

#### 6.2 Antidomain

Next I define an antidomain operation and prove the axioms of antidomain semirings [5, 3].

```
definition kad f = (\lambda x. \ if \ (f \ x = \{\}) \ then \ \{x\} \ else \ \{\})
definition ad-rel R = \{(x,x) \mid x. \neg (\exists y. (x,y) \in R)\}
lemma f2r-ad-fun-hom: \mathcal{R} (kad\ f) = ad-rel\ (\mathcal{R}\ f)
      apply (simp add: kad-def ad-rel-def f2r-def, safe)
      by simp-all (meson empty-iff singletonD)
lemma f2r-ad-fun-hom-var: \mathcal{R} \circ kad = ad-rel \circ \mathcal{R}
      by standard (simp add: f2r-ad-fun-hom)
lemma r2f-ad-rel-hom: \mathcal{F} (ad-rel R) = kad (\mathcal{F} R)
      by (force simp add: kad-def ad-rel-def r2f-def fun-eq-iff)
lemma r2f-ad-rel-hom-var:\mathcal{F} \circ ad-rel = kad \circ \mathcal{F}
      by standard (simp add: r2f-ad-rel-hom)
lemma ad-fun-as1 [simp]: (kad f) \circ_K f = \zeta
      by (simp add: kad-def kcomp-def fun-eq-iff)
\textbf{lemma} \ \textit{ad-fun-as2} \ [\textit{simp}] : \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ \textit{kad} \ (\textit{kad} \ g)) \ = \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textit{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textrm{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textrm{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textrm{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textrm{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textrm{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textrm{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textrm{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textrm{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textrm{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textrm{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textrm{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textrm{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textrm{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textrm{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textrm{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textrm{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textrm{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textrm{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textrm{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textrm{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textrm{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textrm{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textrm{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textrm{kad} \ (f \ \circ_K \ g) \ \sqcup \ \textrm{kad} \ (f
kad (kad g)
      by (force simp: kad-def kcomp-def fun-eq-iff)
lemma ad-fun-as3 [simp]: kad (kad f) <math>\sqcup kad f = \eta
      by (simp add: kad-def fun-eq-iff)
definition set2fun\ X = (\lambda x.\ if\ (x \in X)\ then\ \{x\}\ else\ \{\})
definition p2fun = set2fun \circ Collect
lemma ffb-ad-fun: fb_{\mathcal{F}} f X = \{x. (kad (f \circ_K kad (set2fun X))) x \neq \{\}\}
        unfolding ffb-prop-var klift-def kop-def fun-eq-iff comp-def f2r-def r2f-def con-
```

verse-def kad-def kcomp-def set2fun-def

```
by auto
```

```
lemma ffb-ad-fun2: set2fun (fb<sub>F</sub> f X) = kad (f \circ_K kad (set2fun X)) by standard (subst ffb-ad-fun, subst set2fun-def, simp add: kad-def)
```

The final statements check that the relational forward diamond is consistent with the Kleene-algebraic definition.

```
lemma fb-ad-rel: fb_{\mathcal{R}} R X = Domain (ad-rel (R; ad-rel (Id-on X))) unfolding rfb-def ffb-prop-var klift-def comp-def r2f-def kop-def f2r-def converse-def Domain-def Id-on-def ad-rel-def by auto
```

```
lemma fb-ad-rel2: Id-on (fb<sub>R</sub> R X) = ad-rel (R; ad-rel (Id-on X)) unfolding rfb-def ffb-prop-var klift-def comp-def r2f-def kop-def f2r-def converse-def Domain-def Id-on-def ad-rel-def by auto
```

end

# 7 The Quantale of Kleisli Arrows

```
theory Kleisli-Quantale
imports Kleisli-Quantaloid
Quantales.Quantale-Star
```

#### begin

This component revisits the results of the quantaloid one in the single-typed setting, that is, in the context of quantales. An instance proof, showing that Kleisli arrows (or state transformers) form quantales, is its main result. Facts proved for quantales are thus made available for state transformers.

```
typedef 'a nd-fun = \{f::'a \Rightarrow 'a \ set. \ f \in UNIV\}
by simp
```

```
setup-lifting type-definition-nd-fun
```

Definitions are lifted to gain access to the Kleisli categories.

```
lift-definition r2fnd :: 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a nd-fun is Abs-nd-fun \circ \mathcal{F}.
```

lift-definition  $f2rnd :: 'a \ nd\text{-}fun \Rightarrow 'a \ rel \ is \ \mathcal{R} \circ Rep\text{-}nd\text{-}fun.$ 

declare Rep-nd-fun-inverse [simp]

```
lemma r2f2r-inv: r2fnd \circ f2rnd = id
by transfer (simp add: fun-eq-iff pointfree-idE)
```

```
lemma f2r2f-inv: f2rnd \circ r2fnd = id
by transfer (simp add: fun-eq-iff r2f-def Abs-nd-fun-inverse)
```

```
\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{instantiation} \ nd\text{-}fun :: (type) \ monoid\text{-}mult \\ \textbf{begin} \end{array}
```

lift-definition one-nd-fun :: 'a nd-fun is Abs-nd-fun  $\eta$ .

**lift-definition** times-nd-fun :: 'a::type nd-fun  $\Rightarrow$  'a::type nd-fun  $\Rightarrow$  'a::type nd-fun is  $\lambda f$  g. Abs-nd-fun (Rep-nd-fun  $f \circ_K$  Rep-nd-fun g).

#### instance

by intro-classes (transfer, simp add: Abs-nd-fun-inverse kcomp-assoc)+

#### end

instantiation nd-fun :: (type) order-lean begin

**lift-definition** less-eq-nd-fun :: 'a nd-fun  $\Rightarrow$  'a nd-fun  $\Rightarrow$  bool is  $\lambda f$  g. Rep-nd-fun  $f \leq Rep$ -nd-fun g.

**lift-definition** less-nd-fun :: 'a nd-fun  $\Rightarrow$  'a nd-fun  $\Rightarrow$  bool is  $\lambda f$  g. Rep-nd-fun  $f \leq Rep$ -nd-fun  $g \wedge f \neq g$ .

#### instance

```
apply intro-classes
apply (transfer, simp)
apply transfer using order.trans apply blast
by (simp add: Rep-nd-fun-inject less-eq-nd-fun.abs-eq)
```

#### end

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{instantiation} \ \textit{nd-fun} :: (\textit{type}) \ \textit{Sup-lattice} \\ \textbf{begin} \end{array}$ 

**lift-definition** Sup-nd-fun :: 'a nd-fun set  $\Rightarrow$  'a nd-fun **is** Abs-nd-fun  $\circ$  Sup  $\circ$   $\mathcal{P}$  Rep-nd-fun.

#### instance

**by** (intro-classes; transfer, simp-all add: Abs-nd-fun-inverse Sup-upper sup-absorb2 Sup-le-iff)

## $\mathbf{end}$

**lemma** Abs-comp-hom: Abs-nd-fun  $(f \circ_K g) = Abs$ -nd-fun  $f \cdot Abs$ -nd-fun g by transfer (simp add: Abs-nd-fun-inverse)

**lemma** Rep-comp-hom: Rep-nd-fun  $(f \cdot g) = Rep$ -nd-fun  $f \circ_K Rep$ -nd-fun g **by**  $(simp\ add:\ Abs$ -nd-fun-inverse times-nd-fun.abs-eq)

```
instance nd-fun :: (type) unital-Sup-quantale
by (intro-classes; transfer, simp-all) (smt Abs-comp-hom Rep-comp-hom Rep-nd-fun-inverse
SUP-cong image-image kSup-distr kSup-distl)+
```

Unfortunately, this is not it yet. To benefit from Isabelle's theorems for orderings, lattices, Kleene algebras and quantales, Isabelle's complete lattices need to be in scope. Somewhat annoyingly, this requires more work...

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{instantiation} \ nd\text{-}fun :: (type) \ complete\text{-}lattice \\ \textbf{begin} \end{array}
```

**lift-definition** Inf-nd-fun :: 'a nd-fun set  $\Rightarrow$  'a nd-fun **is** Abs-nd-fun  $\circ$  Inf  $\circ$   $\mathcal{P}$  Rep-nd-fun.

lift-definition bot-nd-fun :: 'a::type nd-fun is Abs-nd-fun (Sup {}).

**lift-definition** sup-nd-fun :: 'a::type nd-fun  $\Rightarrow$  'a::type nd-fun  $\Rightarrow$  'a::type nd-fun **is**  $\lambda f$  g. Abs-nd-fun (Rep-nd-fun  $f \sqcup Rep$ -nd-fun g).

lift-definition top-nd-fun :: 'a::type nd-fun is Abs-nd-fun (Inf {}).

**lift-definition** inf-nd-fun :: 'a::type nd-fun  $\Rightarrow$  'a::type nd-fun  $\Rightarrow$  'a::type nd-fun is  $\lambda f$  g. Abs-nd-fun (Rep-nd-fun  $f \sqcap Rep$ -nd-fun g).

```
instance
```

```
apply intro-classes
```

apply transfer using Rep-nd-fun-inject dual-order.antisym apply

blast

```
apply (transfer, simp)
apply (transfer, simp)
apply (simp add: Abs-nd-fun-inverse)
```

 $\textbf{by} \ (\textit{transfer}; \ \textit{simp-all} \ \textit{add}: \ \textit{Abs-nd-fun-inverse} \ \textit{Sup-le-iff} \ \textit{SUP-upper2} \ \textit{le-INF-iff} \ \textit{Inf-lower}) +$ 

#### end

```
instance nd-fun :: (type) unital-quantale
apply intro-classes
using supq.Sup-distr apply fastforce
by (simp add: supq.Sup-distl)
```

Now, theorems for the Kleene star, which come from quantales, are finally in scope.

```
lemma fun-star-unfoldl-eq: (1::'a \ nd-fun) \sqcup f \cdot qstar f = qstar f by (simp \ add: \ qstar-comm)
```

```
lemma fun-star-unfoldl: (1::'a \ nd-fun) \sqcup f \cdot qstar f \leq qstar f using qstar-unfoldl by blast
```

**lemma** fun-star-unfoldr-eq:  $(1::'a \ nd$ -fun)  $\sqcup (qstar \ f) \cdot f = qstar \ f$ 

by simp

```
lemma fun-star-unfoldr: (1::'a \ nd-fun) \sqcup \ qstar \ f \cdot f \leq qstar \ f by (simp \ add: fun-star-unfoldr-eq)
```

```
lemma fun-star-inductl: (h::'a nd-fun) \sqcup f \cdot g \leq g \Longrightarrow qstar f \cdot h \leq g using qstar-inductl by blast
```

```
lemma fun-star-inductr: (h::'a \ nd-fun) \sqcup g \cdot f \leq g \Longrightarrow h \cdot qstar f \leq g by (simp \ add: \ qstar-inductr)
```

end

### References

- [1] A. Armstrong, V. B. F. Gomes, and G. Struth. Building program construction and verification tools from algebraic principles. *Formal Aspects of Computing*, 28(2):265–293, 2016.
- [2] R. Back and J. von Wright. Refinement Calculus A Systematic Introduction. Springer, 1998.
- [3] J. Desharnais and G. Struth. Internal axioms for domain semirings. Science of Computer Programming, 76(3):181–203, 2011.
- [4] H. Furusawa and G. Struth. Binary multirelations. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, 2015.
- [5] V. B. F. Gomes, W. Guttmann, P. Höfner, G. Struth, and T. Weber. Kleene algebras with domain. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, 2016.
- [6] V. B. F. Gomes and G. Struth. Program construction and verification components based on kleene algebra. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, 2016.
- [7] B. Jacobs. A recipe for state-and-effect triangles. Logical Methods in Computer Science, 13(2), 2017.
- [8] A. McIver and C. Morgan. Abstraction, Refinement and Proof for Probabilistic Systems. Springer, 2005.
- [9] V. Preoteasa. Algebra of monotonic boolean transformers. Archive of Formal Proofs, 2011.
- [10] K. I. Rosenthal. Quantales and their Applications. Longman Scientific & Technical, 1990.