

The Transcendence of Certain Infinite Series

Angeliki Koutsoukou-Argyraki and Wenda Li

March 17, 2025

Abstract

We formalize the proofs of two transcendence criteria by J. Hančl and P. Rucki that assert the transcendence of the sums of certain infinite series built up by sequences that fulfil certain properties. Both proofs make use of Roth's celebrated theorem on diophantine approximations to algebraic numbers from 1955 which we implement as an assumption without having formalised its proof.

Contents

1	The transcendence of certain infinite series	1
1.1	Misc	2
1.2	Main proofs	3
1.3	Acknowledgements	23

1 The transcendence of certain infinite series

```
theory Transcendence-Series imports  
  HOL-Analysis.Multivariate-Analysis  
  HOL-Computational-Algebra.Polynomial  
  Prime-Number-Theorem.Prime-Number-Theorem-Library  
begin
```

We formalise the proofs of two transcendence criteria by J. Hančl and P. Rucki that assert the transcendence of the sums of certain infinite series built up by sequences that fulfil certain properties (Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 in [1], `HanclRucki1` and `HanclRucki2` here respectively). Both proofs make use of Roth's celebrated theorem on diophantine approximations to algebraic numbers from 1955 [2] which we assume and implement within the locale `RothsTheorem`.

A small mistake was detected in the original proof of Theorem 2.1, and the authors gave us a fix for the problem (by email). Our formalised proof incorporates this correction (see the Remark in the proof of `HanclRucki1`).

1.1 Misc

lemma *powr-less-inverse-iff*:

fixes $x\ y\ z::\text{real}$

assumes $x>0\ y>0\ z>0$

shows $x\ \text{powr}\ y < z \iff x < z\ \text{powr}\ (\text{inverse}\ y)$

proof

assume $x\ \text{powr}\ y < z$

from *powr-less-mono2*[*OF* - - *this,of inverse y*]

show $x < z\ \text{powr}\ \text{inverse}\ y$

using *assms* **by** (*auto simp:powr-powr*)

next

assume $*:x < z\ \text{powr}\ \text{inverse}\ y$

from *powr-less-mono2*[*OF* - - $*$,*of y*] **show** $x\ \text{powr}\ y < z$

using *assms* **by** (*auto simp:powr-powr*)

qed

lemma *powr-less-inverse-iff'*:

fixes $x\ y\ z::\text{real}$

assumes $x>0\ y>0\ z>0$

shows $z < x\ \text{powr}\ y \iff z\ \text{powr}\ (\text{inverse}\ y) < x$

using *powr-less-inverse-iff*[*symmetric,of - inverse y*] *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *powr-less-eq-inverse-iff*:

fixes $x\ y\ z::\text{real}$

assumes $x>0\ y>0\ z>0$

shows $x\ \text{powr}\ y \leq z \iff x \leq z\ \text{powr}\ (\text{inverse}\ y)$

by (*meson assms not-less powr-less-inverse-iff'*)

lemma *powr-less-eq-inverse-iff'*:

fixes $x\ y\ z::\text{real}$

assumes $x>0\ y>0\ z>0$

shows $z \leq x\ \text{powr}\ y \iff z\ \text{powr}\ (\text{inverse}\ y) \leq x$

by (*simp add: assms powr-less-eq-inverse-iff*)

lemma *tendsto-PInfty-mono*:

assumes $(\text{ereal}\ o\ f) \longrightarrow \infty\ \forall_F\ x\ \text{in}\ \text{sequentially.}\ f\ x \leq g\ x$

shows $(\text{ereal}\ o\ g) \longrightarrow \infty$

using *assms* **unfolding** *comp-def tendsto-PInfty-eq-at-top*

by (*elim filterlim-at-top-mono, simp*)

lemma *limsup-infinity-imp-Inf-many*:

assumes $\text{limsup}\ f = \infty$

shows $(\forall\ m. (\exists\ \infty\ i. f\ i > \text{ereal}\ m))$ **unfolding** *INFM-nat*

proof (*clarify,rule ccontr*)

fix $m\ k$ **assume** $\neg (\exists\ n>k. \text{ereal}\ m < f\ n)$

then have $\forall\ n>k. f\ n \leq \text{ereal}\ m$ **by** *auto*

then have $\forall_F\ n\ \text{in}\ \text{sequentially.}\ f\ n \leq \text{ereal}\ m$

using *eventually-at-top-dense* **by** *blast*

then have $\text{limsup}\ f \leq \text{ereal}\ m$ **using** *Limsup-bounded* **by** *auto*

then show *False* using *assms* by *simp*
qed

lemma *snd-quotient-plus-leq*:

defines $de \equiv (snd \ o \ quotient-of)$

shows $de \ (x+y) \leq de \ x * de \ y$

proof –

obtain $x1 \ x2 \ y1 \ y2$ where $xy: \ quotient-of \ x = (x1, x2) \ \ quotient-of \ y = (y1, y2)$
by (*meson surj-pair*)

have $x2 > 0 \ y2 > 0$ using *xy quotient-of-denom-pos* by *blast+*

then show *?thesis*

unfolding *de-def comp-def rat-plus-code xy*

apply (*auto split:prod.split simp:Rat.normalize-def Let-def*)

by (*smt div-by-1 gcd-pos-int int-div-less-self mult-eq-0-iff mult-sign-intros(1)*)

qed

lemma *quotient-of-inj*: *inj quotient-of*

unfolding *inj-def* by (*simp add: quotient-of-inject*)

lemma *infinite-inj-imageE*:

assumes *infinite A inj-on f A f ' A \subseteq B*

shows *infinite B*

using *assms inj-on-finite* by *blast*

lemma *incseq-tendsto-limsup*:

fixes $f :: nat \Rightarrow 'a :: \{complete-linorder, linorder-topology\}$

assumes *incseq f*

shows $f \longrightarrow \limsup \ f$

using *LIMSEQ-SUP assms convergent-def convergent-ereal tendsto-Limsup trivial-limit-sequentially* by *blast*

1.2 Main proofs

Since the proof of Roth's theorem has not been formalized yet, we formalize the statement in a locale and use it as an assumption.

locale *RothsTheorem* =

assumes *RothsTheorem*: $\forall \xi \ \kappa. \ algebraic \ \xi \wedge \xi \notin \mathbf{Q} \wedge \ infinite \ \{(p, q). \ q > 0 \wedge \ coprime \ p \ q \wedge |\xi - of-int \ p / of-int \ q| < 1 / q \ powr \ \kappa\} \longrightarrow \kappa \leq 2$

theorem (in *RothsTheorem*) *HanclRucki1*:

fixes $a \ b :: nat \Rightarrow int$ and $\delta :: real$

defines $aa \equiv (\lambda n. \ real-of-int \ (a \ n))$ and $bb \equiv (\lambda n. \ real-of-int \ (b \ n))$

assumes *a-pos*: $\forall k. \ a \ k > 0$ and *b-pos*: $\forall k. \ b \ k > 0$ and $\delta > 0$

and $\limsup\text{-infy}:\limsup \ (\lambda k. \ aa \ (k+1) / (\prod_{i=0..k} \ aa \ i) \ powr \ (2+\delta) * (1 / bb \ (k+1))) = \infty$

and $\liminf\text{-1}:\liminf \ (\lambda k. \ aa \ (k+1) / aa \ k * bb \ k / bb \ (k+1)) > 1$

shows $\neg \ algebraic(\suminf \ (\lambda k. \ bb \ k / aa \ k))$

proof –

have *summable:summable* $(\lambda k. \ bb \ k / aa \ k)$

proof (*rule ratio-test-convergence*)
have [*simp*]: $aa\ k > 0\ bb\ k > 0$ **for** k
unfolding *aa-def bb-def* **using** *a-pos b-pos* **by** *auto*
show $\forall_F n$ *in sequentially. $0 < bb\ n / aa\ n$*
by *auto*
show $1 < \liminf (\lambda n. \text{ereal } (bb\ n / aa\ n / (bb\ (Suc\ n) / aa\ (Suc\ n))))$
using *liminf-1* **by** (*auto simp: algebra-simps*)
qed
have [*simp*]: $aa\ k > 0\ bb\ k > 0$ **for** k **unfolding** *aa-def bb-def*
by (*auto simp add: a-pos b-pos*)
have *ab-1*: $aa\ k \geq 1\ bb\ k \geq 1$ **for** k
unfolding *aa-def bb-def* **using** *a-pos b-pos*
by (*auto simp add: int-one-le-iff-zero-less*)

define B **where** $B \equiv \liminf (\lambda x. \text{ereal } (aa\ (x + 1) / aa\ x * bb\ x / bb\ (x + 1)))$
define M **where** $M \equiv (\text{case } B \text{ of } \text{ereal } m \Rightarrow (m+1)/2 \mid - \Rightarrow 2)$
have $M > 1\ M < B$
using *liminf-1* **unfolding** *M-def*
by (*auto simp add: M-def B-def split: ereal.split*)

Remark: In the original proof of Theorem 2.1 in [1] it was claimed in p.534 that from assumption (3) (i.e. $1 < \liminf (\lambda x. \text{ereal } (aa\ (x + 1) / aa\ x * bb\ x / bb\ (x + 1)))$), we obtain that: $\forall A > 1 \exists k_0 \forall k > k_0 \frac{1}{A} \frac{b_k}{a_k} > \frac{b_{k+1}}{a_{k+1}}$, however note the counterexample where $a_{k+1} = k(a_1 a_2 \dots a_k)^{\lceil 2+\delta \rceil}$ if k is odd, and $a_{k+1} = 2a_k$ otherwise, with $b_k = 1$ for all k . In communication by email the authors suggested to replace the claim $\forall A > 1 \exists k_0 \forall k > k_0 \frac{1}{A} \frac{b_k}{a_k} > \frac{b_{k+1}}{a_{k+1}}$ with $\exists A > 1 \exists k_0 \forall k > k_0 \frac{1}{A} \frac{b_k}{a_k} > \frac{b_{k+1}}{a_{k+1}}$ which solves the problem and the proof proceeds as in the paper. The witness for $\exists A > 1$ is denoted by M here.

have *bb-aa-event*: $\forall_F k$ *in sequentially. $(1/M) * (bb\ k / aa\ k) > bb\ (k+1) / aa\ (k+1)$*
using *less-LiminfD[OF <M < B>[unfolding B-def],simplified]*
by *eventually-elim (use <M > 1> in <auto simp: field-simps>)*

have *bb-aa-p*: $\forall_F k$ *in sequentially. $\forall p. bb\ (k+p) / aa\ (k+p) \leq (1/M \hat{p}) * (bb\ k / aa\ k)$*

proof –

obtain k_0 **where** *k0-ineq*:

$\forall n \geq k_0. bb\ (n + 1) / aa\ (n + 1) < 1 / M * (bb\ n / aa\ n)$

using *bb-aa-event* **unfolding** *eventually-sequentially*

by *auto*

have $bb\ (k+p) / aa\ (k+p) \leq (1/M \hat{p}) * (bb\ k / aa\ k)$ **when** $k \geq k_0$ **for** $p\ k$

proof (*induct p*)

case 0

then show *?case* **by** *auto*

next

case (*Suc p*)

have $bb\ (k + Suc\ p) / aa\ (k + Suc\ p) < 1 / M * (bb\ (k+p) / aa\ (k+p))$

```

    using k0-ineq[rule-format, of k+p] that by auto
  also have ... ≤ 1 / M ^ (Suc p) * (bb k / aa k)
    using Suc ⟨M>1⟩ by (auto simp add:field-simps)
  finally show ?case by auto
qed
then show ?thesis unfolding eventually-sequentially by auto
qed

define ξ where ξ = suminf (λ k. bb k / aa k)
have ξ-Inf-many: ∃ ∞ k. |ξ - (∑ k = 0..k. bb k / aa k)| < 1 / prod aa {0..k}
powr (2 + δ)
proof -
  have |ξ - (∑ i = 0..k. bb i / aa i)| = |∑ i. bb (i+(k+1)) / aa (i+(k+1))|
for k
  unfolding ξ-def
  apply (subst suminf-minus-initial-segment[of - k+1, OF summable])
  using atLeast0AtMost lessThan-Suc-atMost by auto

moreover have ∃ ∞ k. |∑ i. bb(i+(k+1)) / aa (i+(k+1))|
< 1 / prod aa {0..k} powr (2 + δ)
proof -
  define P where P ≡ (λ i. ∃ p. bb (i + 1 + p) / aa (i + 1 + p)
≤ 1 / M ^ p * (bb (i + 1) / aa (i + 1)))
  define Q where Q ≡ (λ i. ereal (M / (M - 1))
< ereal (aa (i + 1) / prod aa {0..i} powr (2 + δ)) * (1 / bb (i +
1))))
  have ∃ ∞ i. P i
    using bb-aa-p[THEN sequentially-offset, of 1] cofinite-eq-sequentially
    unfolding P-def by auto
  moreover have ∃ ∞ i. Q i
    using limsup-infy[THEN limsup-infinity-imp-Inf-many, rule-format, of (M /
(M - 1))]
    unfolding Q-def .
  moreover have |∑ i. bb(i+(k+1)) / aa (i+(k+1))|
< 1 / prod aa {0..k} powr (2 + δ)
  when P k Q k for k
proof -
  have summable-M: summable (λ i. 1 / M ^ i)
    apply (rule summable-ratio-test[of 1/M])
    using ⟨M>1⟩ by auto

  have (∑ i. bb (i + (k + 1)) / aa (i + (k + 1))) ≥ 0
    apply (rule suminf-nonneg)
  subgoal using summable-ignore-initial-segment[OF summable, of k+1] by
auto
  subgoal by (simp add: less-imp-le)
  done
  then have |∑ i. bb (i + (k + 1)) / aa (i + (k + 1))|
= (∑ i. bb (i + (k + 1)) / aa (i + (k + 1)))

```

```

    by auto
  also have ... ≤ (∑ i. 1 / M ^ i * (bb (k + 1) / aa (k + 1)))
    apply (rule suminf-le)
  subgoal using that(1) unfolding P-def by (auto simp add: algebra-simps)
  subgoal using summable-ignore-initial-segment[OF summable, of k+1] by
auto
    subgoal using summable-mult2[OF summable-M, of bb (k + 1) / aa (k
+ 1)]
      by auto
    done
  also have ... = (bb (k + 1) / aa (k + 1)) * (∑ i. 1 / M ^ i)
    using suminf-mult2[OF summable-M, of bb (k + 1) / aa (k + 1)]
    by (auto simp: algebra-simps)
  also have ... = (bb (k + 1) / aa (k + 1)) * (∑ i. (1 / M) ^ i)
    using ⟨M>1⟩ by (auto simp: field-simps)
  also have ... = (bb (k + 1) / aa (k + 1)) * (M / (M - 1))
    apply (subst suminf-geometric)
    using ⟨M>1⟩ by (auto simp: field-simps)
  also have ... < (bb (k + 1) / aa (k + 1)) * (aa (k + 1) /
    prod aa {0..k} powr (2 + δ) * (1 / bb (k + 1)))
    apply (subst mult-less-cancel-left-pos)
    using that(2) unfolding Q-def by auto
  also have ... = 1 / prod aa {0..k} powr (2 + δ)
    using ab-1[of Suc k] by auto
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by (smt INFM-conjI INFM-mono)
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed

define pq where pq ≡ (λk. quotient-of (∑ i=0..k. of-int (b i) / of-int (a i)))
define p q where p ≡ fst o pq and q = snd o pq
have coprime-pq: coprime (p k) (q k)
  and q-pos: q k > 0 and pq-sum: p k / q k = (∑ i=0..k. b i / a i) for k
proof -
  have eq: quotient-of (∑ i=0..k. of-int (b i) / of-int (a i)) = (p k, q k)
    by (simp add: p-def q-def pq-def)
  from quotient-of-coprime[OF eq] show coprime (p k) (q k) .
  from quotient-of-denom-pos[OF eq] show q k > 0 .
  have (∑ i=0..k. b i / a i) = of-rat (∑ i=0..k. of-int (b i) / of-int (a i))
    by (simp add: of-rat-sum of-rat-divide)
  also have (∑ i=0..k. rat-of-int (b i) / rat-of-int (a i)) =
    rat-of-int (p k) / rat-of-int (q k)
    using quotient-of-div[OF eq] by simp
  finally show p k / q k = (∑ i=0..k. b i / a i) by (simp add: of-rat-divide)
qed

have ξ-Inf-many2: ∃∞ k. |ξ - p k / q k| < 1 / q k powr (2 + δ)

```

```

using  $\xi$ -Inf-many
proof (elim INFM-mono)
  fix  $k$  assume  $asm: |\xi - (\sum k = 0..k. bb\ k / aa\ k)| < 1 / \text{prod } aa\ \{0..k\} \text{ powr } (2 + \delta)$ 
  have  $|\xi - \text{real-of-int } (p\ k) / \text{real-of-int } (q\ k)|$ 
     $= |\xi - (\sum k = 0..k. bb\ k / aa\ k)|$ 
    using  $pq$ -sum unfolding  $aa$ -def  $bb$ -def by auto
  also have  $\dots < 1 / \text{prod } aa\ \{0..k\} \text{ powr } (2 + \delta)$ 
    using  $asm$  by auto
  also have  $\dots \leq 1 / q\ k \text{ powr } (2 + \delta)$ 
  proof -
    have  $q\ k \leq \text{prod } aa\ \{0..k\}$ 
    proof (induct  $k$ )
      case 0
      then show ?case unfolding  $q$ -def  $pq$ -def  $aa$ -def
        apply (simp  $add:rat-divide-code\ of-int-rat\ quotient-of-Fract$ )
        using  $ab-1[of\ 0,unfolded\ aa-def\ bb-def]$  unfolding  $Let-def\ normalize-def$ 
        apply auto
        by (metis  $div-by-1\ gcd-pos-int\ less-imp-le\ less-trans\ nonneg1-imp-zdiv-pos-iff$ 
           $not-less\ zdiv-mono2$ )
      next
      case (Suc  $k$ )
      define  $de$  where  $de \equiv snd \circ \text{quotient-of}$ 
      have  $\text{real-of-int } (q\ (\text{Suc } k))$ 
         $= de\ (\sum i=0..\text{Suc } k. \text{of-int } (b\ i) / \text{of-int } (a\ i))$ 
        unfolding  $q$ -def  $pq$ -def  $de$ -def by simp
      also have  $\dots = de\ ((\sum i=0..k. \text{of-int } (b\ i) / \text{of-int } (a\ i))$ 
         $+ \text{of-int } (b\ (\text{Suc } k)) / \text{of-int } (a\ (\text{Suc } k)))$ 
        by simp
      also have  $\dots \leq de\ (\sum i=0..k. \text{of-int } (b\ i) / \text{of-int } (a\ i))$ 
         $* de\ (\text{of-int } (b\ (\text{Suc } k)) / \text{of-int } (a\ (\text{Suc } k)))$ 
        using  $snd-quotient-plus-leq[folded\ de-def]$  by presburger
      also have  $\dots = q\ k * de\ (\text{of-int } (b\ (\text{Suc } k)) / \text{of-int } (a\ (\text{Suc } k)))$ 
        unfolding  $q$ -def  $pq$ -def  $de$ -def by auto
      also have  $\dots = q\ k * snd\ (\text{Rat.normalize } (b\ (\text{Suc } k), a\ (\text{Suc } k)))$ 
        by (simp  $add:rat-divide-code\ of-int-rat\ quotient-of-Fract\ de-def$ )
      also have  $\dots \leq q\ k * aa\ (\text{Suc } k)$ 
        using  $ab-1[of\ \text{Suc } k]\ q-pos[of\ k]$ 
        unfolding  $normalize-def\ aa-def\ bb-def\ Let-def$ 
        apply auto
        by (metis  $div-by-1\ int-one-le-iff-zero-less\ less-trans$ 
           $nonneg1-imp-zdiv-pos-iff\ not-less\ zdiv-mono2\ zero-less-one$ )
      also have  $\dots \leq \text{prod } aa\ \{0..k\} * aa\ (\text{Suc } k)$ 
        using  $\text{Suc } ab-1[of\ \text{Suc } k]$  by auto
      also have  $\dots = \text{prod } aa\ \{0..\text{Suc } k\}$ 
        by (simp  $add:prod.atLeast0-atMost-Suc$ )
      finally show ?case .
    qed

```

```

then show ?thesis
  by (smt ⟨0 < δ⟩ frac-le of-int-0 of-int-le-iff powr-gt-zero
        powr-mono2 q-pos)
qed
finally show |ξ - real-of-int (p k) / real-of-int (q k)| < 1 / real-of-int (q k)
powr (2 + δ) .
qed

define pqs where pqs ≡ {(p, q). q > 0 ∧ coprime p q
  ∧ |ξ - real-of-int p / real-of-int q| < 1 / q powr (2 + δ)}
have ξ-infinite: infinite pqs
proof -
  define A where A ≡ {k. |ξ - (p k) / (q k)| < 1 / (q k) powr (2 + δ)}
  have ∃∞ k. |ξ - p k / q k| < 1 / q k powr (2 + δ)
    using ξ-Inf-many2 .
  then have infinite A
    unfolding Inf-many-def A-def by auto
  moreover have inj-on (λk. (p k, q k)) A
proof -
  define g where g ≡ (λi. rat-of-int (b i) / rat-of-int (a i))
  define f where f ≡ (λk. ∑ i = 0..k. g i)
  have g-pos: g i > 0 for i
    unfolding g-def by (simp add: a-pos b-pos)
  have strict-mono f unfolding strict-mono-def f-def
proof safe
  fix x y::nat assume x < y
  then have sum g {0..y} - sum g {0..x} = sum g {x<..y}
    apply (subst Groups-Big.sum-diff[symmetric])
    by (auto intro: arg-cong2[where f=sum])
  also have ... > 0
    apply (rule ordered-comm-monoid-add-class.sum-pos)
    using ⟨x < y⟩ g-pos by auto
  finally have sum g {0..y} - sum g {0..x} > 0 .
  then show sum g {0..x} < sum g {0..y} by auto
qed
then have inj f using strict-mono-imp-inj-on by auto
then have inj (quotient-of o f) by (simp add: inj-compose quotient-of-inj)
then have inj (λk. (p k, q k))
  unfolding f-def p-def q-def pq-def comp-def
  apply (fold g-def)
  by auto
then show ?thesis by (auto elim: subset-inj-on)
qed
moreover have (λk. (p k, q k)) ‘ A ⊆ pqs
  unfolding A-def pqs-def using coprime-pq q-pos by auto
ultimately show ?thesis
  apply (elim infinite-inj-imageE)
  by auto
qed

```


moreover have *finite pqs* **if** $\xi \in \mathbb{Q}$
proof –
obtain $m\ n$ **where** $\xi\text{-mn}:\xi = (\text{of-int } m / \text{of-int } n)$ **and** *coprime m n n > 0*
proof –
obtain $m\ n$ **where** $\text{mn}:\xi = (\text{of-nat } m / \text{of-nat } n)$ *coprime m n n ≠ 0*
using *Rats-abs-nat-div-natE*[*OF* $\langle \xi \in \mathbb{Q} \rangle$ *Rats-abs-nat-div-natE*]
by *metis*
define m' **and** $n':\text{int}$
where $m' = (\text{if } \xi > 0 \text{ then nat } m \text{ else } -\text{nat } m)$ **and** $n' = \text{nat } n$
then have $\xi = (\text{of-int } m' / \text{of-int } n')$ *coprime m' n' n' > 0*
using mn **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **using** *that by auto*
qed
have $pqs \subseteq \{(m,n)\} \cup \{x. x \in pqs \wedge -|m| - 1 \leq \text{fst } x \wedge \text{fst } x \leq |m| + 1 \wedge 0 < \text{snd } x \wedge \text{snd } x < n\}$
proof (*rule subsetI*)
fix x **assume** $x \in pqs$
define $p\ q$ **where** $p \equiv \text{fst } x$ **and** $q = \text{snd } x$
have $q > 0$ *coprime p q* **and** $pq\text{-less}:\xi - p / q < 1 / q \text{ powr } (2 + \delta)$
using $\langle x \in pqs \rangle$ **unfolding** $p\text{-def } q\text{-def } pq\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*
have $q\text{-lt-}n:q < n$ **when** $m \neq p \vee n \neq q$
proof –
have $m * q \neq n * p$ **using** *that* $\langle \text{coprime } m\ n \rangle \langle \text{coprime } p\ q \rangle \langle q > 0 \rangle \langle n > 0 \rangle$
by (*metis eq-rat(1) fst-conv int-one-le-iff-zero-less mult.commute normalize-stable*
not-one-le-zero quotient-of-Fract snd-conv)
then have $1 / (n * q) \leq |m/n - p/q|$
using $\langle q > 0 \rangle \langle n > 0 \rangle$
apply (*auto simp:field-simps*)
by (*metis add-diff-cancel-left' diff-diff-eq2 diff-zero less-irrefl not-le of-int-diff*
of-int-lessD of-int-mult)
also have $\dots < 1 / q \text{ powr } (2 + \delta)$
using $pq\text{-less}$ **unfolding** $\xi\text{-mn}$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots \leq 1 / q^2$
proof –
have $\text{real-of-int } (q^2) = q \text{ powr } 2$
apply (*subst powr-numeral*)
unfolding *power2-eq-square* **using** $\langle q > 0 \rangle$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots \leq q \text{ powr } (2 + \delta)$
apply (*rule powr-mono*)
using $\langle q > 0 \rangle \langle \delta > 0 \rangle$ **by** *auto*
finally have $\text{real-of-int } (q^2) \leq \text{real-of-int } q \text{ powr } (2 + \delta)$.
moreover have $\text{real-of-int } q \text{ powr } (2 + \delta) > 0$ **using** $\langle 0 < q \rangle$ **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** (*auto simp:field-simps*)
qed
finally have $1 / (n * q) < 1 / q^2$.
then show *?thesis* **using** $\langle q > 0 \rangle \langle n > 0 \rangle$
unfolding *power2-eq-square* **by** (*auto simp:field-simps*)

```

qed
moreover have  $- |m| - 1 \leq p \wedge p \leq |m| + 1$  when  $m \neq p \vee n \neq q$ 
proof -
  define  $qn$  where  $qn \equiv q/n$ 
  have  $0 < qn$   $qn < 1$  unfolding  $qn-def$  using  $q-lt-n[OF \langle m \neq p \vee n \neq q \rangle] \langle q > 0 \rangle$ 
by auto

  have  $|m/n - p/q| < 1/q$  powr  $(2 + \delta)$  using  $pq-less$  unfolding  $\xi-mn$ 
by simp
  then have  $|p/q - m/n| < 1/q$  powr  $(2 + \delta)$  by simp
  then have  $m/n - 1/q$  powr  $(2 + \delta) < p/q \wedge p/q < m/n + 1/q$  powr
 $(2 + \delta)$ 
  unfolding  $abs-diff-less-iff$  by auto
  then have  $qn*m - q/q$  powr  $(2 + \delta) < p \wedge p < qn*m + q/q$  powr  $(2 + \delta)$ 
  unfolding  $qn-def$  using  $\langle q > 0 \rangle$  by  $(auto simp:field-simps)$ 
moreover have  $- |m| - 1 \leq qn*m - q/q$  powr  $(2 + \delta)$ 
proof -
  have  $- |m| \leq qn*m$  using  $\langle 0 < qn \rangle \langle qn < 1 \rangle$ 
  apply  $(cases\ m \geq 0)$ 
  subgoal
  apply simp
  by  $(meson less-eq-real-def mult-nonneg-nonneg neg-le-0-iff-le of-int-0-le-iff$ 
order-trans)
  subgoal by simp
  done
moreover have  $- 1 \leq - q/q$  powr  $(2 + \delta)$ 
proof -
  have  $q = q$  powr  $1$  using  $\langle 0 < q \rangle$  by auto
  also have  $\dots \leq q$  powr  $(2 + \delta)$ 
  apply  $(rule\ powr-mono)$ 
  using  $\langle q > 0 \rangle \langle \delta > 0 \rangle$  by auto
  finally have  $q \leq q$  powr  $(2 + \delta)$  .
  then show ?thesis using  $\langle 0 < q \rangle$  by auto
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
moreover have  $qn*m + q/q$  powr  $(2 + \delta) \leq |m| + 1$ 
proof -
  have  $qn*m \leq |m|$  using  $\langle 0 < qn \rangle \langle qn < 1 \rangle$ 
  apply  $(cases\ m \geq 0)$ 
  subgoal by  $(simp\ add: mult-left-le-one-le)$ 
  subgoal by  $(smt\ of-int-0-le-iff zero-le-mult-iff)$ 
  done
moreover have  $q/q$  powr  $(2 + \delta) \leq 1$ 
proof -
  have  $q = q$  powr  $1$  using  $\langle 0 < q \rangle$  by auto
  also have  $\dots \leq q$  powr  $(2 + \delta)$ 
  apply  $(rule\ powr-mono)$ 

```

using $\langle q > 0 \rangle \langle \delta > 0 \rangle$ by auto
 finally have $q \leq q \text{ powr } (2 + \delta)$.
 then show ?thesis using $\langle 0 < q \rangle$ by auto
 qed
 ultimately show ?thesis by auto
 qed
 ultimately show ?thesis by auto
 qed
 ultimately show $x \in \{(m, n)\} \cup \{x \in pqs. - |m| - 1 \leq fst\ x \wedge fst\ x \leq |m|$
 $+ 1$
 $\wedge 0 < snd\ x \wedge snd\ x < n\}$
 using $\langle x \in pqs \rangle \langle q > 0 \rangle$ unfolding p-def q-def by force
 qed
 moreover have finite $\{x. x \in pqs \wedge - |m| - 1 \leq fst\ x \wedge fst\ x \leq |m| + 1 \wedge$
 $0 < snd\ x \wedge snd\ x < n\}$
 proof -
 have finite $(\{- |m| - 1..|m| + 1\} \times \{0 <..<n\})$ by blast
 moreover have $\{x. x \in pqs \wedge - |m| - 1 \leq fst\ x \wedge fst\ x \leq |m| + 1 \wedge 0 < snd$
 $x \wedge snd\ x < n\} \subseteq$
 $(\{- |m| - 1..|m| + 1\} \times \{0 <..<n\})$
 by auto
 ultimately show ?thesis
 apply (elim rev-finite-subset)
 by blast
 qed
 ultimately show ?thesis using finite-subset by auto
 qed
 ultimately show ?thesis
 apply (fold ξ -def)
 using RothsTheorem[rule-format, of $\xi\ 2 + \delta$, folded pqs-def] $\langle \delta > 0 \rangle$ by auto
 qed

theorem (in RothsTheorem) HanclRucki2:

fixes $a\ b :: nat \Rightarrow int$ and $\delta\ \varepsilon :: real$
 defines $aa \equiv (\lambda n. real-of-int\ (a\ n))$ and $bb \equiv (\lambda n. real-of-int\ (b\ n))$
 assumes a-pos: $\forall k. a\ k > 0$ and b-pos: $\forall k. b\ k > 0$ and $\delta > 0$
 and $\varepsilon > 0$
 and $limsup\text{-infi} : limsup\ (\lambda k. (aa\ (k+1)) / (\prod i = 0..k. aa\ i) \text{ powr } (2 + (2/\varepsilon) + \delta))$
 $\quad * (1 / (bb\ (k+1)))) = \infty$
 and ratio-large: $\forall k. (k \geq t \longrightarrow ((aa\ (k+1)) / bb\ (k+1)) \text{ powr } (1 / (1 + \varepsilon)))$
 $\quad \geq ((aa\ k / bb\ k) \text{ powr } (1 / (1 + \varepsilon))) + 1$
 shows $\neg algebraic (suminf\ (\lambda k. bb\ k / aa\ k))$
 proof -
 have aa-bb-pos[simp]: $aa\ k > 0\ bb\ k > 0$ for k
 unfolding aa-def bb-def using a-pos b-pos by auto
 have summable: summable $(\lambda k. bb\ k / aa\ k)$
 proof -
 define $c0$ where $c0 \equiv (aa\ t / bb\ t) \text{ powr } (1 / (1 + \varepsilon)) - t$

```

have ab-k:(aa k / bb k) powr(1/(1+ε)) ≥ k + c0 when k ≥ t for k
  using that
proof (induct k)
  case 0
  then show ?case unfolding c0-def by simp
next
  case (Suc k)
  have ?case when ¬ t ≤ k
  proof -
    have t = Suc k using that Suc.prem by linarith
    then show ?thesis unfolding c0-def by auto
  qed
  moreover have ?case when t ≤ k
  proof -
    have (aa(k+1)/bb(k+1)) powr(1/(1+ε))
      ≥ (aa k / bb k) powr(1/(1+ε)) + 1
      using ratio-large[rule-format, OF that] by blast
    then show ?thesis using Suc(1)[OF that] by simp
  qed
  ultimately show ?case by auto
qed
have summable (λk. 1 / (k + c0) powr (1+ε))
proof -
  have c0 + t > 0 unfolding c0-def
    using aa-bb-pos[of t] by (simp, linarith)
  then have summable (λk. 1 / (k + (c0+t)) powr (1+ε))
    using summable-hurwitz-zeta-real[of 1+ε c0+t]
  apply (subst (asm) powr-minus-divide)
  using ⟨ε > 0⟩ by auto
  then show ?thesis
    apply (rule-tac summable-offset[of - t])
    by (auto simp: algebra-simps)
qed
moreover have bb k / aa k ≤ 1 / (k + c0) powr (1+ε) when k ≥ t for k
proof -
  have (aa t / bb t) powr (1 / (1 + ε)) > 0
    apply simp
    by (metis ⟨∧k. 0 < aa k⟩ ⟨∧k. 0 < bb k⟩ less-numeral-extra(3))
  then have k + c0 > 0 unfolding c0-def using that by linarith
  then have aa k / bb k ≥ (k + c0) powr (1+ε)
    using ab-k[OF that]
    apply (subst (asm) powr-less-eq-inverse-iff')
    using ⟨ε > 0⟩ by auto
  then have inverse (aa k / bb k) ≤ inverse ((k + c0) powr (1+ε))
    apply (elim le-imp-inverse-le)
    using ⟨k + c0 > 0⟩ by auto
  then show ?thesis by (simp add: inverse-eq-divide)
qed
ultimately show ?thesis

```

```

apply (elim summable-comparison-test'[where N=t])
using aa-bb-pos by (simp add: less-eq-real-def)
qed

have  $\exists \infty k. 1 / (M \text{ powr } (\varepsilon / (1 + \varepsilon)) * (\prod_{i=0..k} aa\ i) \text{ powr } (2 + \delta * \varepsilon / (1 + \varepsilon))) > (bb\ (k+1) / aa\ (k+1)) \text{ powr } (\varepsilon / (1 + \varepsilon))$ 
  when  $M > 0$  for  $M$ 
proof -
  define tt where  $tt \equiv (\lambda i. \text{prod } aa\ \{0..i\} \text{ powr } (2 + 2 / \varepsilon + \delta))$ 
  have tt-pos:  $tt\ i > 0$  for  $i$ 
    unfolding tt-def
    apply (subst powr-gt-zero, induct i)
    subgoal by (metis aa-bb-pos(1) order-less-irrefl prod-pos)
    subgoal by (metis  $\langle \wedge k. 0 < aa\ k \rangle$  order-less-irrefl prod-pos)
    done
  have  $\exists \infty i. M < (aa\ (i + 1) / tt\ i * (1 / bb\ (i + 1)))$ 
    using limsup-infinity-imp-Inf-many[OF limsup-infi, rule-format, of M]
    unfolding tt-def by auto
  then have  $\exists \infty i. 1 / (M * tt\ i) > (bb\ (i+1) / aa\ (i+1))$ 
    apply (elim INFM-mono)
    using  $\langle M > 0 \rangle$  tt-pos by (auto simp: divide-simps algebra-simps)
  then have  $\exists \infty i. (1 / (M * tt\ i)) \text{ powr } (\varepsilon / (1 + \varepsilon)) > (bb\ (i+1) / aa\ (i+1)) \text{ powr } (\varepsilon / (1 + \varepsilon))$ 
    apply (elim INFM-mono powr-less-mono2[rotated 2])
    by (simp-all add: assms(6) pos-add-strict less-eq-real-def)
  moreover have  $tt\ i \text{ powr } (\varepsilon / (1 + \varepsilon)) = \text{prod } aa\ \{0..i\} \text{ powr } (2 + \delta * \varepsilon / (1 + \varepsilon))$ 
    for  $i$ 
    unfolding tt-def
    apply (auto simp: powr-powr)
    using  $\langle \varepsilon > 0 \rangle$  by (simp add: divide-simps, simp add: algebra-simps)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    apply (elim INFM-mono)
    by (smt nonzero-mult-div-cancel-left powr-divide powr-mult powr-one-eq-one
      that tt-pos zero-less-divide-iff)
qed

have  $\delta : \forall_F k \text{ in sequentially. } \forall s. ((aa\ (k+s) / bb\ (k+s))) \geq (((aa\ k / bb\ k) \text{ powr } (1 / (1 + \varepsilon))) + s) \text{ powr } (1 + \varepsilon)$ 
  using eventually-ge-at-top[of t]
proof eventually-elim
  case (elim k)
  define ff where  $ff \equiv (\lambda k. (aa\ k / bb\ k) \text{ powr } (1 / (1 + \varepsilon)))$ 
  have  $11 : ff\ k+s \leq ff\ (k+s)$  for  $s$ 
  proof (induct s)
  case 0
  then show ?case unfolding ff-def by auto
  next
  case (Suc s)

```

```

then have ff k + Suc s ≤ ff (k+Suc s)
  using ratio-large[rule-format,of k+s] ‹ t ≤ k ‹ unfolding ff-def by auto
then show ?case by simp
qed
then have (ff k+s) powr (1+ε) ≤ ff (k+s) powr (1+ε) for s
  apply (rule powr-mono2[of 1+ε,rotated 2])
  unfolding ff-def using ‹ε>0 ‹ by auto
then show ?case unfolding ff-def using ‹ε>0 ‹
  apply (auto simp add:powr-powr)
  by (simp add: a-pos aa-def b-pos bb-def le-less)
qed

have 9: (∑ r. 1/((z+real r)powr(1+ε))) ≤ 1/(ε *(z-1) powr ε)
  summable (λi. 1/((z+ real i)powr(1+ε)))
  when z>1 for z
proof -
  define f where f ≡ (λr. 1/((z+ r)powr(1+ε)))
  have ((λx. f (x - 1)) has-integral ((z-1) powr - ε) / ε) {0..}
  proof -
  have ((λx. (z-1 + x) powr (- 1 - ε)) has-integral ((z-1) powr - ε) / ε)
{0<..}
  using powr-has-integral-at-top[of 0 z-1 - 1 - ε,simplified] ‹z>1 ‹ ‹ε>0 ‹
  by auto
  then have ((λx. (z-1 + x) powr (- 1 - ε)) has-integral ((z-1) powr - ε)
/ ε) {0..}
  apply (subst (asm) has-integral-closure[symmetric])
  by (auto simp add: negligible-convex-frontier)
  then show ?thesis
  apply (rule has-integral-cong[THEN iffD1, rotated 1])
  unfolding f-def by (smt powr-minus-divide)
qed
moreover have ∧x. 0 ≤ x ⇒ 0 ≤ f (x - 1) unfolding f-def by simp
moreover have ∧x y. 0 ≤ x ⇒ x ≤ y ⇒ f (y - 1) ≤ f (x - 1) unfolding
f-def
  by (smt assms(6) frac-le powr-mono2 powr-nonneg-iff that)
  ultimately have summable (λi. f (real i)) (∑ i. f (real i)) ≤ (z - 1) powr -
ε / ε
  using decreasing-sum-le-integral[of λx. f (x-1) ((z-1) powr - ε) / ε,simplified]
  by auto
  moreover have (z - 1) powr - ε / ε = 1/(ε *(z-1) powr ε)
  by (simp add: powr-minus-divide)
  ultimately show (∑ i. f (real i)) ≤ 1/(ε *(z-1) powr ε) by auto
  show summable (λi. f (real i)) using ‹summable (λi. f (real i)) ‹ .
qed

have u:(λk.( aa k / bb k)) ———→ ∞
proof -
  define ff where ff≡(λx. ereal (aa x / bb x))
  have limsup ff = ∞

```

```

proof –
  define tt where tt  $\equiv (\lambda i. \text{prod } aa \{0..i\} \text{ powr } (2 + 2 / \varepsilon + \delta))$ 
  have tt  $i \geq 1$  for i
    unfolding tt-def
    apply (rule ge-one-powr-ge-zero)
    subgoal
      apply (rule linordered-nonzero-semiring-class.prod-ge-1)
      by (simp add: a-pos aa-def int-one-le-iff-zero-less)
    subgoal by (simp add: <\varepsilon>0 <\delta>0 less-imp-le)
    done
  then have limsup ( $\lambda x. (aa (x + 1) / tt x * (1 / bb (x + 1)))$ )
     $\leq$  limsup ( $\lambda x. aa (x + 1) / bb (x + 1)$ )
    apply (intro Limsup-mono eventuallyI)
    apply (auto simp add:field-simps order.order-iff-strict)
    by (metis aa-bb-pos(1) div-by-1 frac-less2 less-irrefl less-numeral-extra(1) not-le)
  also have ... = limsup ( $\lambda x. aa x / bb x$ )
    by (subst limsup-shift,simp)
  finally have limsup ( $\lambda x. \text{ereal } (aa (x + 1) / tt x * (1 / bb (x + 1)))$ )
     $\leq$  limsup ( $\lambda x. \text{ereal } (aa x / bb x)$ ) .
  moreover have limsup ( $\lambda x. \text{ereal } (aa (x + 1) / tt x * (1 / bb (x + 1)))$ )
    =  $\infty$  using limsup-infi unfolding tt-def by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis
    unfolding ff-def using ereal-infty-less-eq2(1) by blast
qed
  then have limsup ( $\lambda k. ff (k+t)$ ) =  $\infty$ 
    by (simp add: limsup-shift-k)
  moreover have incseq ( $\lambda k. ff (k+t)$ )
proof (rule incseq-SucI)
  fix k::nat
  define gg where gg  $\equiv (\lambda x. (aa x / bb x))$ 
  have (gg (k+t)) powr ( $1 / (1 + \varepsilon)$ ) + 1
     $\leq$  (gg (Suc (k+t))) powr ( $1 / (1 + \varepsilon)$ )
    using ratio-large[rule-format, of k+t,simplified] unfolding gg-def
    by auto
  then have (gg (k+t)) powr ( $1 / (1 + \varepsilon)$ )
     $\leq$  (gg (Suc (k+t))) powr ( $1 / (1 + \varepsilon)$ )
    by auto
  then have gg (k+t)  $\leq$  gg (Suc (k+t))
    by (smt aa-bb-pos(1) aa-bb-pos(2) assms(6) divide-pos-pos gg-def powr-less-mono2)
  then show ff (k + t)  $\leq$  ff (Suc k + t)
    unfolding gg-def ff-def by auto
qed
  ultimately have ( $\lambda k. ff (k+t)$ )  $\longrightarrow$   $\infty$  using incseq-tendsto-limsup
    by fastforce
  then show ?thesis unfolding ff-def
    unfolding tendsto-def
    apply (subst eventually-sequentially-seg[symmetric,of - t])

```

```

    by simp
  qed

  define  $\xi$  where  $\xi = \text{suminf } (\lambda k. \text{bb } k / \text{aa } k)$ 
  have  $10: \forall F k \text{ in sequentially. } |\xi - (\sum k = 0..k. \text{bb } k / \text{aa } k)|$ 
    <  $2 / \varepsilon * (\text{bb } (k+1) / \text{aa } (k+1)) \text{ powr } (\varepsilon / (1+\varepsilon))$ 
    using  $\delta[\text{THEN sequentially-offset, of } 1] \text{ eventually-ge-at-top[of } t]$ 
      u[unfolded tendsto-PIfty, rule-format, THEN sequentially-offset
        , of  $(2 \text{ powr } (1/\varepsilon) / (2 \text{ powr } (1/\varepsilon) - 1)) \text{ powr } (1+\varepsilon) 1]$ 
  proof eventually-elim
    case (elim k)
    define tt where  $tt \equiv (\text{aa } (k + 1) / \text{bb } (k + 1)) \text{ powr } (1 / (1 + \varepsilon))$ 
    have  $tt > 1$ 
    proof -
      have  $(\text{aa } k / \text{bb } k) \text{ powr } (1 / (1 + \varepsilon)) > 0$ 
      by (metis a-pos aa-def b-pos bb-def divide-eq-0-iff less-irrefl
        of-int-eq-0-iff powr-gt-zero)
      then show ?thesis using ratio-large[rule-format, OF  $\langle k \geq t \rangle$ ] unfolding tt-def
    by argo
  qed
  have  $|\xi - (\sum i = 0..k. \text{bb } i / \text{aa } i)| = |\sum i. \text{bb } (i+(k+1)) / \text{aa } (i+(k+1))|$ 
    unfolding  $\xi$ -def
    apply (subst suminf-minus-initial-segment[of - k+1, OF summable])
    using atLeast0AtMost lessThan-Suc-atMost by auto
  also have  $\dots = (\sum i. \text{bb } (i+(k+1)) / \text{aa } (i+(k+1)))$ 
  proof -
    have  $(\sum i. \text{bb } (i+(k+1)) / \text{aa } (i+(k+1))) > 0$ 
    apply (rule suminf-pos)
    subgoal using summable[THEN summable-ignore-initial-segment, of k+1] .
    subgoal by (simp add: a-pos aa-def b-pos bb-def)
    done
    then show ?thesis by auto
  qed
  also have  $\dots \leq (\sum i. 1 / (tt + i) \text{ powr } (1 + \varepsilon))$ 
  proof (rule suminf-le)
    define ff where  $ff \equiv (\lambda k n. (\text{aa } (k+1) / \text{bb } (k+1)) \text{ powr } (1 / (1 + \varepsilon)) +$ 
    real n)
    have  $\text{bb } (n + (k + 1)) / \text{aa } (n + (k + 1)) \leq 1 / (\text{ff } k n) \text{ powr } (1 + \varepsilon)$  for n
    proof -
      have  $\text{ff } k n \text{ powr } (1 + \varepsilon) \leq \text{aa } (k + n + 1) / \text{bb } (k + n + 1)$ 
      using elim(1)[rule-format, of n] unfolding ff-def by auto
      moreover have  $\text{ff } k n \text{ powr } (1 + \varepsilon) > 0$ 
      unfolding ff-def by (smt elim(2) of-nat-0-le-iff powr-gt-zero ratio-large)
      ultimately have  $1 / \text{ff } k n \text{ powr } (1 + \varepsilon) \geq \text{bb } (k + (n + 1)) / \text{aa } (k +$ 
      (n + 1))
      apply (drule-tac le-imp-inverse-le)
      by (auto simp add: inverse-eq-divide)
      then show ?thesis by (auto simp: algebra-simps)
    qed
  qed

```


then show $\bigwedge n. bb (n + (k + 1)) / aa (n + (k + 1)) \leq 1 / (tt + real\ n)$
powr $(1 + \varepsilon)$
unfolding *ff-def tt-def* **by** *auto*
show *summable* $(\lambda i. bb (i + (k + 1)) / aa (i + (k + 1)))$
using *summable[THEN summable-ignore-initial-segment, of k+1]* .
show *summable* $(\lambda x. 1 / (tt + real\ x) \text{ powr } (1 + \varepsilon))$
using $g(2)[OF \langle tt > 1 \rangle]$.
qed
also have $\dots \leq 1 / (\varepsilon * (tt - 1) \text{ powr } \varepsilon)$
using $g[OF \langle tt > 1 \rangle]$ **by** *simp*
also have $\dots < 2 / (\varepsilon * tt \text{ powr } \varepsilon)$
proof -
have $((2 \text{ powr } (1/\varepsilon) / (2 \text{ powr } (1/\varepsilon) - 1)) \text{ powr } (1 + \varepsilon)) < (aa (k+1) / bb$
 $(k+1))$
using *elim(3)* **by** *auto*
then have $2 \text{ powr } (1/\varepsilon) / (2 \text{ powr } (1/\varepsilon) - 1) < tt$
unfolding *tt-def*
using *powr-less-mono2[where a=1 / (1 + ε)]* $\langle \varepsilon > 0 \rangle$
by *(simp add: divide-inverse powr-less-inverse-iff)*
then have $\S: tt < (tt - 1) * (2 \text{ powr } (1/\varepsilon))$
using $\langle \varepsilon > 0 \rangle$ **by** *(auto simp: divide-simps algebra-simps)*
have $tt \text{ powr } \varepsilon < 2 * (tt - 1) \text{ powr } \varepsilon$
using *powr-less-mono2[OF - - §, where a=ε]*
using $\langle \varepsilon > 0 \rangle \langle tt > 1 \rangle$ **by** *(auto simp: powr-powr powr-mult)*
then show *?thesis*
using $\langle \varepsilon > 0 \rangle \langle tt > 1 \rangle$ **by** *(auto simp: divide-simps)*
qed
also have $\dots = 2 / \varepsilon * (bb (k + 1) / aa (k + 1)) \text{ powr } (\varepsilon / (1 + \varepsilon))$
unfolding *tt-def*
using $\langle \varepsilon > 0 \rangle$
by *(auto simp: powr-powr divide-simps algebra-simps powr-divide less-imp-le)*
finally show *?case* .
qed

define *pq* **where** $pq \equiv (\lambda k. \text{quotient-of } (\sum i=0..k. \text{of-int } (b\ i) / \text{of-int } (a\ i)))$
define *p q* **where** $p \equiv \text{fst} \circ pq$ **and** $q \equiv \text{snd} \circ pq$
have *coprime-pq: coprime* $(p\ k) (q\ k)$
and *q-pos: q k > 0* **and** *pq-sum: p k / q k =* $(\sum i=0..k. b\ i / a\ i)$ **for** *k*
proof -
have *eq: quotient-of* $(\sum i=0..k. \text{of-int } (b\ i) / \text{of-int } (a\ i)) = (p\ k, q\ k)$
by *(simp add: p-def q-def pq-def)*
from *quotient-of-coprime[OF eq]* **show** *coprime* $(p\ k) (q\ k)$.
from *quotient-of-denom-pos[OF eq]* **show** $q\ k > 0$.
have $(\sum i=0..k. b\ i / a\ i) = \text{of-rat } (\sum i=0..k. \text{of-int } (b\ i) / \text{of-int } (a\ i))$
by *(simp add: of-rat-sum of-rat-divide)*
also have $(\sum i=0..k. \text{rat-of-int } (b\ i) / \text{rat-of-int } (a\ i)) =$
 $\text{rat-of-int } (p\ k) / \text{rat-of-int } (q\ k)$
using *quotient-of-div[OF eq]* **by** *simp*
finally show $p\ k / q\ k = (\sum i=0..k. b\ i / a\ i)$ **by** *(simp add: of-rat-divide)*

qed

```

have  $\xi$ -Inf-many: $\exists_{\infty} k. |\xi - p\ k / q\ k| < 1 / q\ k\ \text{powr}\ (2 + \delta * \varepsilon / (1 + \varepsilon))$ 
proof -
  have *: $\exists_{\infty} k. (bb\ (Suc\ k) / aa\ (Suc\ k))\ \text{powr}\ (\varepsilon / (1 + \varepsilon))$ 
    <  $\varepsilon / (2 * \text{prod}\ aa\ \{0..k\}\ \text{powr}\ (2 + \delta * \varepsilon / (1 + \varepsilon)))$ 
  using  $\gamma$ [of  $(2 / \varepsilon)\ \text{powr}\ ((1+\varepsilon)/\varepsilon)$ ]  $\langle\varepsilon>0\rangle$ 
  by (auto simp:powr-powr)
  have **: $\forall_{\infty} k. |\xi - (\sum k = 0..k. bb\ k / aa\ k)|$ 
    <  $2 / \varepsilon * (bb\ (k + 1) / aa\ (k + 1))\ \text{powr}\ (\varepsilon / (1 + \varepsilon))$ 
  using  $10$ [unfolded cofinite-eq-sequentially[symmetric]] .
  from INFM-conjI[OF * **] show ?thesis
  proof (elim INFM-mono)
    fix k assume asm:( $bb\ (Suc\ k) / aa\ (Suc\ k))\ \text{powr}\ (\varepsilon / (1 + \varepsilon))$ 
      <  $\varepsilon / (2 * \text{prod}\ aa\ \{0..k\}\ \text{powr}\ (2 + \delta * \varepsilon / (1 + \varepsilon))) \wedge$ 
       $|\xi - (\sum k = 0..k. bb\ k / aa\ k)|$ 
      <  $2 / \varepsilon * (bb\ (k + 1) / aa\ (k + 1))\ \text{powr}\ (\varepsilon / (1 + \varepsilon))$ )
    have  $|\xi - \text{real-of-int}\ (p\ k) / \text{real-of-int}\ (q\ k)|$ 
      =  $|\xi - (\sum k = 0..k. bb\ k / aa\ k)|$ 
    using pq-sum unfolding aa-def bb-def by auto
    also have ... <  $(2 / \varepsilon) * (bb\ (k+1) / aa\ (k+1))\ \text{powr}\ (\varepsilon / (1+\varepsilon))$ 
    using asm by auto
    also have ... <  $(2 / \varepsilon) * (\varepsilon / (2 * \text{prod}\ aa\ \{0..k\}\ \text{powr}\ (2 + \delta * \varepsilon / (1 + \varepsilon))))$ 
    apply (rule mult-strict-left-mono)
    using asm  $\langle\varepsilon>0\rangle$  by auto
    also have ... =  $1 / \text{prod}\ aa\ \{0..k\}\ \text{powr}\ (2 + \delta * \varepsilon / (1 + \varepsilon))$ 
    using  $\langle\varepsilon>0\rangle$  by auto
    also have ...  $\leq 1 / q\ k\ \text{powr}\ (2 + \delta * \varepsilon / (1 + \varepsilon))$ 
  proof -
    have  $q\ k \leq \text{prod}\ aa\ \{0..k\}$ 
  proof (induct k)
    case 0
  then show ?case unfolding q-def pq-def aa-def
    apply (simp add:rat-divide-code of-int-rat quotient-of-Fract)
    using aa-bb-pos[of 0,unfolded aa-def bb-def] unfolding Let-def normalize-def
    apply auto
    by (metis div-by-1 less-imp-le less-trans nonneg1-imp-zdiv-pos-iff not-less zdiv-mono2)
  next
  case (Suc k)
  define de where  $de \equiv \text{snd} \circ \text{quotient-of}$ 
  have  $\text{real-of-int}\ (q\ (Suc\ k))$ 
    =  $de\ (\sum i=0..Suc\ k. \text{of-int}\ (b\ i) / \text{of-int}\ (a\ i))$ 
  unfolding q-def pq-def de-def by simp
  also have ... =  $de\ ((\sum i=0..k. \text{of-int}\ (b\ i) / \text{of-int}\ (a\ i))$ 
    +  $\text{of-int}\ (b\ (Suc\ k)) / \text{of-int}\ (a\ (Suc\ k)))$ 
  by simp

```

```

also have ... ≤ de (∑ i=0..k. of-int (b i) / of-int (a i))
                * de (of-int (b (Suc k)) / of-int (a (Suc k)))
using snd-quotient-plus-leq[folded de-def] by presburger
also have ... = q k * de (of-int (b (Suc k)) / of-int (a (Suc k)))
unfolding q-def pq-def de-def by auto
also have ... = q k * snd (Rat.normalize (b (Suc k), a (Suc k)))
by (simp add:rat-divide-code of-int-rat quotient-of-Fract de-def)
also have ... ≤ q k * aa (Suc k)
using aa-bb-pos[of Suc k] q-pos[of k]
unfolding normalize-def aa-def bb-def Let-def
apply auto
by (metis div-by-1 int-one-le-iff-zero-less less-trans
        nonneg1-imp-zdiv-pos-iff not-less zdiv-mono2 zero-less-one)
also have ... ≤ prod aa {0..k} * aa (Suc k)
using Suc aa-bb-pos[of Suc k] by auto
also have ... = prod aa {0..Suc k}
by (simp add: prod.atLeast0-atMost-Suc)
finally show ?case .
qed
with ⟨ε>0⟩ ⟨δ>0⟩ q-pos[of k] show ?thesis
by (smt (verit, best) powr-mono2 mult-pos-pos divide-pos-pos frac-le
of-int-pos powr-gt-zero)
qed
finally show |ξ - p k / q k| < 1 / q k powr (2 + δ * ε / (1 + ε)) .
qed
qed

define pqs where pqs ≡ {(p, q). q>0 ∧ coprime p q ∧ |ξ - real-of-int p /
real-of-int q|
                < 1 / q powr (2 + δ * ε / (1 + ε))}
have ξ-infinite:infinite pqs
proof -
define A where A ≡ {k. |ξ - (p k) / (q k)| < 1 / (q k) powr (2 + δ * ε /
(1 + ε))}
note ξ-Inf-many
then have infinite A
unfolding Inf-many-def A-def by auto
moreover have inj-on (λk. (p k, q k)) A
proof -
define g where g ≡ (λi. rat-of-int (b i) / rat-of-int (a i))
define f where f ≡ (λk. ∑ i = 0..k. g i)
have g-pos:g i>0 for i
unfolding g-def by (simp add: a-pos b-pos)
have strict-mono f unfolding strict-mono-def f-def
proof safe
fix x y::nat assume x < y
then have sum g {0..y} - sum g {0..x} = sum g {x<..y}
apply (subst Groups-Big.sum-diff[symmetric])
by (auto intro:arg-cong2[where f=sum])

```

```

also have ... > 0
  apply (rule ordered-comm-monoid-add-class.sum-pos)
  using ⟨x<y⟩ g-pos by auto
finally have sum g {0..y} - sum g {0..x} > 0 .
then show sum g {0..x} < sum g {0..y} by auto
qed
then have inj f using strict-mono-imp-inj-on by auto
then have inj (quotient-of o f) by (simp add: inj-compose quotient-of-inj)
then have inj (λk. (p k, q k))
  unfolding f-def p-def q-def pq-def comp-def
  apply (fold g-def)
  by auto
then show ?thesis by (auto elim:subset-inj-on)
qed
moreover have (λk. (p k, q k)) ‘ A ⊆ pqs
  unfolding A-def pqs-def using coprime-pq q-pos by auto
ultimately show ?thesis
  apply (elim infinite-inj-imageE)
  by auto
qed
moreover have finite pqs if ξ ∈ ℚ
proof -
  obtain m n where ξ-mn:ξ = (of-int m / of-int n) and coprime m n n>0
  proof -
    obtain m n where mn:|ξ| = (of-nat m / of-nat n) coprime m n n≠0
      using Rats-abs-nat-div-natE[OF ⟨ξ ∈ ℚ⟩ Rats-abs-nat-div-natE]
      by metis
    define m' and n'::int
      where m'=(if ξ > 0 then nat m else -nat m) and n'=nat n
    then have ξ = (of-int m' / of-int n') coprime m' n' n'>0
      using mn by auto
    then show ?thesis using that by auto
  qed
  have pqs ⊆ {(m,n)} ∪ {x. x ∈ pqs ∧ - |m| - 1 ≤ fst x ∧ fst x ≤ |m| + 1 ∧
0 < snd x ∧ snd x < n }
  proof (rule subsetI)
    fix x assume x ∈ pqs
    define p q where p ≡ fst x and q=snd x
    have q>0 coprime p q and pq-less:|ξ - p / q|
      < 1 / q powr (2 + δ * ε / (1 + ε))
      using ⟨x∈pqs⟩ unfolding p-def q-def pqs-def by auto
    have q<n:q<n when m≠p ∨ n≠q
    proof -
      have m * q ≠ n * p using that ⟨coprime m n⟩ ⟨coprime p q⟩ ⟨q>0⟩ ⟨n>0⟩
      by (metis eq-rat(1) fst-conv int-one-le-iff-zero-less mult.commute normal-
ize-stable
not-one-le-zero quotient-of-Fract snd-conv)
    then have 1/(n*q) ≤ |m/n - p/q|
      using ⟨q>0⟩ ⟨n>0⟩

```

```

apply (auto simp:field-simps)
by (metis add-diff-cancel-left' diff-diff-eq2 diff-zero less-irrefl not-le of-int-diff

      of-int-lessD of-int-mult)
also have ... < 1 / q powr (2 + δ * ε / (1 + ε))
  using pq-less unfolding ξ-mn by auto
also have ... ≤ 1 / q ^2
proof -
  have real-of-int (q^2) = q powr 2
  apply (subst powr-numeral)
  unfolding power2-eq-square using ⟨q>0 by auto
  also have ... ≤ q powr (2 + δ * ε / (1 + ε))
  apply (rule powr-mono)
  using ⟨q>0 ⟨δ>0 ⟨ε>0 by auto
  finally have real-of-int (q^2)
    ≤ real-of-int q powr (2 + δ * ε / (1 + ε)) .
  moreover have real-of-int q powr (2 + δ * ε / (1 + ε)) > 0 using ⟨0 <
q⟩ by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis by (auto simp:field-simps)
qed
finally have 1 / (n * q) < 1 / q^2 .
then show ?thesis using ⟨q>0 ⟨n>0
  unfolding power2-eq-square by (auto simp:field-simps)
qed
moreover have - |m| - 1 ≤ p ∧ p ≤ |m| + 1 when m≠p ∨ n≠q
proof -
  define qn where qn ≡ q/n
  have 0 < qn qn < 1 unfolding qn-def using q-lt-n[OF ⟨m≠p ∨ n≠q⟩] ⟨q>0
by auto

  have |m/n - p / q| < 1 / q powr (2 + δ * ε / (1 + ε))
  using pq-less unfolding ξ-mn by simp
  then have |p / q - m/n| < 1 / q powr (2 + δ * ε / (1 + ε)) by simp
  then have m/n - 1 / q powr (2 + δ * ε / (1 + ε))
    < p/q ∧ p/q < m/n + 1 / q powr (2 + δ * ε / (1 + ε))
  unfolding abs-diff-less-iff by auto
  then have qn*m - q / q powr (2 + δ * ε / (1 + ε)) < p
    ∧ p < qn*m + q / q powr (2 + δ * ε / (1 + ε))
  unfolding qn-def using ⟨q>0 by (auto simp:field-simps)
  moreover have - |m| - 1 ≤ qn*m - q / q powr (2 + δ * ε / (1 + ε))
proof -
  have - |m| ≤ qn*m using ⟨0 < qn⟩ ⟨qn < 1⟩
  apply (simp add: abs-if)
  by (smt (verit, best) mult-nonneg-nonneg of-int-nonneg)
  moreover have - 1 ≤ - q / q powr (2 + δ * ε / (1 + ε))
proof -
  have q = q powr 1 using ⟨0 < q⟩ by auto
  also have ... ≤ q powr (2 + δ * ε / (1 + ε))
  apply (rule powr-mono)

```

using $\langle q > 0 \rangle \langle \delta > 0 \rangle \langle \varepsilon > 0 \rangle$ by auto
 finally have $q \leq q \text{ powr } (2 + \delta * \varepsilon / (1 + \varepsilon))$.
 then show ?thesis using $\langle 0 < q \rangle$ by auto
 qed
 ultimately show ?thesis by auto
 qed
 moreover have $qn * m + q / q \text{ powr } (2 + \delta * \varepsilon / (1 + \varepsilon)) \leq |m| + 1$
 proof -
 have $qn * m \leq |m|$ using $\langle 0 < qn \rangle \langle qn < 1 \rangle$
 apply (simp add: abs-if mult-left-le-one-le)
 by (meson less-eq-real-def mult-pos-neg neg-0-less-iff-less of-int-less-0-iff
 order-trans)
 moreover have $q / q \text{ powr } (2 + \delta * \varepsilon / (1 + \varepsilon)) \leq 1$
 proof -
 have $q = q \text{ powr } 1$ using $\langle 0 < q \rangle$ by auto
 also have $\dots \leq q \text{ powr } (2 + \delta * \varepsilon / (1 + \varepsilon))$
 apply (rule powr-mono)
 using $\langle q > 0 \rangle \langle \delta > 0 \rangle \langle \varepsilon > 0 \rangle$ by auto
 finally have $q \leq q \text{ powr } (2 + \delta * \varepsilon / (1 + \varepsilon))$.
 then show ?thesis using $\langle 0 < q \rangle$ by auto
 qed
 ultimately show ?thesis by auto
 qed
 ultimately show ?thesis by auto
 qed
 ultimately show $x \in \{(m, n)\} \cup \{x \in pqs. - |m| - 1 \leq \text{fst } x \wedge \text{fst } x \leq |m|$
 $+ 1$
 $\wedge 0 < \text{snd } x \wedge \text{snd } x < n\}$
 using $\langle x \in pqs \rangle \langle q > 0 \rangle$ unfolding p-def q-def by force
 qed
 moreover have finite $\{x. x \in pqs \wedge - |m| - 1 \leq \text{fst } x \wedge \text{fst } x \leq |m| + 1 \wedge$
 $0 < \text{snd } x \wedge \text{snd } x < n\}$
 proof -
 have finite $(\{- |m| - 1 .. |m| + 1\} \times \{0 < .. < n\})$ by blast
 moreover have $\{x. x \in pqs \wedge - |m| - 1 \leq \text{fst } x \wedge \text{fst } x \leq |m| + 1 \wedge 0 < \text{snd}$
 $x \wedge \text{snd } x < n\} \subseteq$
 $(\{- |m| - 1 .. |m| + 1\} \times \{0 < .. < n\})$
 by auto
 ultimately show ?thesis
 using finite-subset by blast
 qed
 ultimately show ?thesis using finite-subset by auto
 qed
 ultimately show ?thesis
 unfolding ξ -def [symmetric]
 using RothsTheorem[rule-format, of $\xi 2 + \delta * \varepsilon / (1 + \varepsilon)$, folded pqs-def]
 $\langle \delta > 0 \rangle \langle \varepsilon > 0 \rangle$ mult-le-0-iff by force
 qed

1.3 Acknowledgements

A.K.-A. and W.L. were supported by the ERC Advanced Grant ALEXANDRIA (Project 742178) funded by the European Research Council and led by Professor Lawrence Paulson at the University of Cambridge, UK. Thanks to Iosif Pinelis for his clarification on MathOverflow regarding the summability of the series in *RothsTheorem.HanclRucki2* <https://mathoverflow.net/questions/323069/why-is-this-series-summable> and to Manuel Eberl for his helpful comments.

end

References

- [1] J. Hančl and P. Rucki. The transcendence of certain infinite series. *Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics*, 35(2):531–537, 2005.
- [2] K. F. Roth. Rational approximations to algebraic numbers. *Mathematika*, 2(3):1–20, 1955.