

# Timed Automata

Simon Wimmer

September 13, 2023

## Abstract

Timed automata are a widely used formalism for modeling real-time systems, which is employed in a class of successful model checkers such as UPPAAL [LPY97], HyTech [HHWt97] or Kronos [Yov97]. This work formalizes the theory for the subclass of diagonal-free timed automata, which is sufficient to model many interesting problems. We first define the basic concepts and semantics of diagonal-free timed automata. Based on this, we prove two types of decidability results for the language emptiness problem.

The first is the classic result of Alur and Dill [AD90, AD94], which uses a finite partitioning of the state space into so-called *regions*.

Our second result focuses on an approach based on *Difference Bound Matrices (DBMs)*, which is practically used by model checkers. We prove the correctness of the basic forward analysis operations on DBMs. One of these operations is the Floyd-Warshall algorithm for the all-pairs shortest paths problem. To obtain a finite search space, a widening operation has to be used for this kind of analysis. We use Patricia Bouyer's [Bou04] approach to prove that this widening operation is correct in the sense that DBM-based forward analysis in combination with the widening operation also decides language emptiness. The interesting property of this proof is that the first decidability result is reused to obtain the second one.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Floyd-Warshall Algorithm for the All-Pairs Shortest Paths Problem</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1	Cycles in Lists . . . . .	4
1.2	Definition of the Algorithm . . . . .	7
1.3	Definition of Shortest Paths . . . . .	12
1.4	Result Under The Absence of Negative Cycles . . . . .	14
1.5	Result Under the Presence of Negative Cycles . . . . .	14
<b>2</b>	<b>Basic Definitions and Semantics</b>	<b>15</b>
2.1	Time . . . . .	15

2.2	Syntactic Definition . . . . .	16
2.3	Operational Semantics . . . . .	17
2.4	Zone Semantics . . . . .	20
<b>3</b>	<b>Difference Bound Matrices</b>	<b>21</b>
3.1	Definitions . . . . .	21
<b>4</b>	<b>Library for Paths, Arcs and Lengths</b>	<b>24</b>
4.1	Arcs . . . . .	24
4.2	Length of Paths . . . . .	25
4.3	Canonical Matrices . . . . .	26
4.4	Cycle Rotation . . . . .	26
4.5	Equivalent Characterizations of Cycle-Freeness . . . . .	27
4.6	More Theorems Related to Floyd-Warshall . . . . .	27
4.7	Helper Lemmas for Bouyer’s Theorem on Approximation . . . . .	28
4.8	The Characteristic Property of Canonical DBMs . . . . .	39
<b>5</b>	<b>Forward Analysis on DBMs</b>	<b>41</b>
5.1	Auxiliary . . . . .	41
5.2	Time Lapse . . . . .	42
5.3	From Clock Constraints to DBMs . . . . .	43
5.4	Zone Intersection . . . . .	44
5.5	Clock Reset . . . . .	45
5.6	Misc Preservation Lemmas . . . . .	50
5.7	Normalization of DBMs . . . . .	56
5.8	Normalization is a Widening Operator . . . . .	56
<b>6</b>	<b>Refinement to <math>\beta</math>-regions</b>	<b>57</b>
6.1	Definition . . . . .	57
6.2	Basic Properties . . . . .	59
6.3	Approximation with $\beta$ -regions . . . . .	62
6.4	Computing $\beta$ -Approximation . . . . .	64
6.5	Auxiliary $\beta$ -boundedness Theorems . . . . .	67
<b>7</b>	<b>The Classic Construction for Decidability</b>	<b>69</b>
7.1	Definition of Regions . . . . .	69
7.2	Basic Properties . . . . .	71
7.3	Set of Regions . . . . .	74
7.4	Compatibility With Clock Constraints . . . . .	77
7.5	Compatibility with Resets . . . . .	78
7.6	A Semantics Based on Regions . . . . .	79
7.7	Correct Approximation of Zones with $\alpha$ -regions . . . . .	82
7.8	A New Zone Semantics Abstracting with $Closure_\alpha$ . . . . .	84

<b>8</b>	<b>Correctness of <math>\beta</math>-approximation from <math>\alpha</math>-regions</b>	<b>88</b>
8.1	Preparing Bouyer's Theorem . . . . .	88
8.2	Bouyer's Main Theorem . . . . .	92
8.3	Nice Corollaries of Bouyer's Theorem . . . . .	92
8.4	A New Zone Semantics Abstracting with $Approx_\beta$ . . . . .	93
<b>9</b>	<b>Forward Analysis with DBMs and Widening</b>	<b>95</b>
9.1	DBM-based Semantics with Normalization . . . . .	95
9.2	The Final Result About Language Emptiness . . . . .	100
9.3	Finiteness of the Search Space . . . . .	101
9.4	Appendix: Standard Clock Numberings for Concrete Models	101

```

theory Floyd-Warshall
imports Main
begin

```

## 1 Floyd-Warshall Algorithm for the All-Pairs Shortest Paths Problem

**Auxiliary lemma** *distinct-list-single-elem-decomp*: { $xs$ . set  $xs \subseteq \{0\} \wedge$   
 $distinct xs\} = \{[], [0]\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 1.1 Cycles in Lists

**abbreviation**  $cnt x xs \equiv length (\text{filter } (\lambda y. x = y) xs)$

**fun**  $remove-cycles :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list$   
**where**

```

remove-cycles [] - acc = rev acc |
remove-cycles (x#xs) y acc =
(if x = y then remove-cycles xs y [x] else remove-cycles xs y (x#acc))

```

**lemma**  $cnt-rev$ :  $cnt x (rev xs) = cnt x xs \langle proof \rangle$

**value**  $as @ [x] @ bs @ [x] @ cs @ [x] @ ds$

**lemma**  $remove-cycles-removes$ :  $cnt x (remove-cycles xs x ys) \leq max 1 (cnt x ys)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $remove-cycles-id$ :  $x \notin set xs \implies remove-cycles xs x ys = rev ys @ xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $remove-cycles-cnt-id$ :  
 $x \neq y \implies cnt y (remove-cycles xs x ys) \leq cnt y ys + cnt y xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $remove-cycles-ends-cycle$ :  $remove-cycles xs x ys \neq rev ys @ xs \implies$   
 $x \in set xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $remove-cycles-begins-with$ :  $x \in set xs \implies \exists zs. remove-cycles xs x ys = x \# zs \wedge x \notin set zs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *remove-cycles-self*:

$x \in set xs \implies remove-cycles (remove-cycles xs x ys) x zs = remove-cycles$

$xs x ys$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *remove-cycles-one*:  $remove-cycles (as @ x \# xs) x ys = remove-cycles$

$(x \# xs) x ys$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *remove-cycles-cycles*:

$x \in set xs \implies \exists xxs as. as @ concat (map (\lambda xs. x \# xs) xxs) @ remove-cycles xs x ys = xs \wedge x \notin set as$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**fun** *start-remove* ::  $'a list \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list$

**where**

$start-remove [] - acc = rev acc |$

$start-remove (x \# xs) y acc =$

$(if x = y then rev acc @ remove-cycles xs y [y] else start-remove xs y (x \# acc))$

**lemma** *start-remove-decomp*:

$x \in set xs \implies \exists as bs. xs = as @ x \# bs \wedge start-remove xs x ys = rev ys @ as @ remove-cycles bs x [x]$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *start-remove-removes*:  $cnt x (start-remove xs x ys) \leq Suc (cnt x ys)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *start-remove-id[simp]*:  $x \notin set xs \implies start-remove xs x ys = rev ys$

$@ xs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *start-remove-cnt-id*:

$x \neq y \implies cnt y (start-remove xs x ys) \leq cnt y ys + cnt y xs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**fun** *remove-all-cycles* ::  $'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list$

**where**

$remove-all-cycles [] xs = xs |$

$remove-all-cycles (x \# xs) ys = remove-all-cycles xs (start-remove ys x [])$

**lemma** *cnt-remove-all-mono*:  
 $\text{cnt } y (\text{remove-all-cycles } xs \ ys) \leq \max 1 (\text{cnt } y \ ys)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cnt-remove-all-cycles*:  
 $x \in \text{set } xs \implies \text{cnt } x (\text{remove-all-cycles } xs \ ys) \leq 1$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cnt-mono*:  
 $\text{cnt } a (b \# xs) \leq \text{cnt } a (b \# c \# xs)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cnt-distinct-intro*:  
 $\forall x \in \text{set } xs. \text{cnt } x \ xs \leq 1 \implies \text{distinct } xs$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *remove-cycles-subs*:  
 $\text{set } (\text{remove-cycles } xs \ x \ ys) \subseteq \text{set } xs \cup \text{set } ys$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *start-remove-subs*:  
 $\text{set } (\text{start-remove } xs \ x \ ys) \subseteq \text{set } xs \cup \text{set } ys$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *remove-all-cycles-subs*:  
 $\text{set } (\text{remove-all-cycles } xs \ ys) \subseteq \text{set } ys$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *remove-all-cycles-distinct*:  
 $\text{set } ys \subseteq \text{set } xs \implies \text{distinct } (\text{remove-all-cycles } xs \ ys)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *distinct-remove-cycles-inv*:  
 $\text{distinct } (xs @ ys) \implies \text{distinct } (\text{remove-cycles } xs \ x \ ys)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition** *remove-all*  $x \ xs = (\text{if } x \in \text{set } xs \text{ then } \text{tl } (\text{remove-cycles } xs \ x \ []))$   
 $\text{else } xs)$

**definition** *remove-all-rev*  $x \ xs = (\text{if } x \in \text{set } xs \text{ then } \text{rev } (\text{tl } (\text{remove-cycles } (\text{rev } xs) \ x \ [])))$   
 $\text{else } xs)$

**lemma** *remove-all-distinct*:  
 $\text{distinct } xs \implies \text{distinct } (x \# \text{remove-all } x \ xs)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *remove-all-removes*:

$x \notin set (remove-all x xs)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *remove-all-subs*:

$set (remove-all x xs) \subseteq set xs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *remove-all-rev-distinct*:  $distinct xs \implies distinct (x \# remove-all-rev x xs)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *remove-all-rev-removes*:  $x \notin set (remove-all-rev x xs)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *remove-all-rev-subs*:  $set (remove-all-rev x xs) \subseteq set xs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**abbreviation** *rem-cycles i j xs*  $\equiv$  *remove-all i (remove-all-rev j (remove-all-cycles xs xs))*

**lemma** *rem-cycles-distinct'*:  $i \neq j \implies distinct (i \# j \# rem-cycles i j xs)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rem-cycles-removes-last*:  $j \notin set (rem-cycles i j xs)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rem-cycles-distinct*:  $distinct (rem-cycles i j xs)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rem-cycles-subs*:  $set (rem-cycles i j xs) \subseteq set xs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

## 1.2 Definition of the Algorithm

We formalize the Floyd-Warshall algorithm on a linearly ordered abelian semigroup. However, we would not need an *abelian* monoid if we had the right type class.

```
class linordered-ab-monoid-add = linordered-ab-semigroup-add +
  fixes neutral :: 'a (1)
  assumes neutl[simp]: 1 + x = x
```

```

assumes neutr[simp]:  $x + \mathbf{1} = x$ 
begin

lemmas assoc = add.assoc

type-synonym 'c mat = nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'c

definition (in -) upd :: 'c mat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'c  $\Rightarrow$  'c mat
where
  upd m x y v = m (x := (m x)) (y := v)

definition fw-upd :: 'a mat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a mat where
  fw-upd m k i j  $\equiv$  upd m i j (min (m i j) (m i k + m k j))

lemma fw-upd-mono:
  fw-upd m k i j i' j'  $\leq$  m i' j'
  ⟨proof⟩

fun fw :: 'a mat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a mat where
  fw m n 0 0 0 = fw-upd m 0 0 0 |
  fw m n (Suc k) 0 0 = fw-upd (fw m n k n n) (Suc k) 0 0 |
  fw m n k (Suc i) 0 = fw-upd (fw m n k i n) k (Suc i) 0 |
  fw m n k i (Suc j) = fw-upd (fw m n k i j) k i (Suc j)

lemma fw-invariant-aux-1:
  j''  $\leq$  j  $\Rightarrow$  i  $\leq$  n  $\Rightarrow$  j  $\leq$  n  $\Rightarrow$  k  $\leq$  n  $\Rightarrow$  fw m n k i j i' j'  $\leq$  fw m n k i j'' i' j'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fw-invariant-aux-2:
  i  $\leq$  n  $\Rightarrow$  j  $\leq$  n  $\Rightarrow$  k  $\leq$  n  $\Rightarrow$  i''  $\leq$  i  $\Rightarrow$  j''  $\leq$  j
   $\Rightarrow$  fw m n k i j i' j'  $\leq$  fw m n k i'' j'' i' j'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fw-invariant:
  k'  $\leq$  k  $\Rightarrow$  i  $\leq$  n  $\Rightarrow$  j  $\leq$  n  $\Rightarrow$  k  $\leq$  n  $\Rightarrow$  j''  $\leq$  j  $\Rightarrow$  i''  $\leq$  i
   $\Rightarrow$  fw m n k i j i' j'  $\leq$  fw m n k' i'' j'' i' j'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma single-row-inv:
  j' < j  $\Rightarrow$  j  $\leq$  n  $\Rightarrow$  i'  $\leq$  n  $\Rightarrow$  fw m n k i' j i' j' = fw m n k i' j' i' j'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma single-iteration-inv':

```

$i' < i \implies j' \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies i \leq n \implies fw m n k i j i' j' = fw m n k$   
 $i' j' i' j'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *single-iteration-inv*:

$i' \leq i \implies j' \leq j \implies i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies fw m n k i j i' j' = fw m n k i'$   
 $j' i' j'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fw-innermost-id*:

$i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies j' \leq n \implies i' < i \implies fw m n 0 i' j' i j = m i j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fw-middle-id*:

$i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies j' < j \implies i' \leq i \implies fw m n 0 i' j' i j = m i j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fw-outermost-mono*:

$i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies fw m n 0 i j i j \leq m i j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Suc-innermost-id1*:

$i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies j' \leq n \implies i' < i \implies fw m n (Suc k) i' j' i j = fw$   
 $m n k i j i j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Suc-innermost-id2*:

$i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies j' < j \implies i' \leq i \implies fw m n (Suc k) i' j' i j = fw$   
 $m n k i j i j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Suc-innermost-id1'*:

$i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies j' \leq n \implies i' < i \implies fw m n (Suc k) i' j' i j = fw$   
 $m n k n n i j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Suc-innermost-id2'*:

$i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies j' < j \implies i' \leq i \implies fw m n (Suc k) i' j' i j = fw$   
 $m n k n n i j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Suc-innermost-mono*:

$i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies fw m n (Suc k) i j i j \leq fw m n k i j i j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fw-mono'*:

$i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies fw m n k i j i j \leq m i j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fw-mono*:

$i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies i' \leq n \implies j' \leq n \implies fw m n k i j i' j' \leq m i' j'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *add-mono-neutr*:

**assumes**  $1 \leq b$   
**shows**  $a \leq a + b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *add-mono-neutl*:

**assumes**  $1 \leq b$   
**shows**  $a \leq b + a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fw-step-0*:

$m 0 0 \geq 1 \implies i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies fw m n 0 i j i j = \min(m i j) (m i 0 + m 0 j)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fw-step-Suc*:

$\forall k' \leq n. fw m n k n n k' \geq 1 \implies i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies Suc k \leq n$   
 $\implies fw m n (Suc k) i j i j = \min(fw m n k n n i j) (fw m n k n n i (Suc k) + fw m n k n n (Suc k) j)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 1.2.1 Length of Paths

**fun** *len* :: '*a* mat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat list  $\Rightarrow$  '*a* **where**

*len* *m u v []* = *m u v* |  
*len* *m u v (w#ws)* = *m u w + len m w v ws*

**lemma** *len-decomp*:  $xs = ys @ y \# zs \implies \text{len } m x z xs = \text{len } m x y ys + \text{len } m y z zs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *len-comp*:  $\text{len } m a c (xs @ b \# ys) = \text{len } m a b xs + \text{len } m b c ys$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 1.2.2 Shortening Negative Cycles

**lemma** *remove-cycles-neg-cycles-aux*:  
**fixes**  $i$   $xs$   $ys$   
**defines**  $xs' \equiv i \# ys$   
**assumes**  $i \notin \text{set } ys$   
**assumes**  $i \in \text{set } xs$   
**assumes**  $xs = as @ concat (map ((\#) i) xss) @ xs'$   
**assumes**  $\text{len } m i j ys > \text{len } m i j xs$   
**shows**  $\exists ys. \text{set } ys \subseteq \text{set } xs \wedge \text{len } m i j ys < 1$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *add-lt-neutral*:  $a + b < b \implies a < 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *remove-cycles-neg-cycles-aux'*:  
**fixes**  $j$   $xs$   $ys$   
**assumes**  $j \notin \text{set } ys$   
**assumes**  $j \in \text{set } xs$   
**assumes**  $xs = ys @ j \# concat (map (\lambda xs. xs @ [j]) xss) @ as$   
**assumes**  $\text{len } m i j ys > \text{len } m i j xs$   
**shows**  $\exists ys. \text{set } ys \subseteq \text{set } xs \wedge \text{len } m j j ys < 1$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *add-le-impl*:  $a + b < a + c \implies b < c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *start-remove-neg-cycles*:  
 $\text{len } m i j (\text{start-remove } xs k []) > \text{len } m i j xs \implies \exists ys. \text{set } ys \subseteq \text{set } xs \wedge$   
 $\text{len } m k k ys < 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *remove-all-cycles-neg-cycles*:  
 $\text{len } m i j (\text{remove-all-cycles } ys xs) > \text{len } m i j xs$   
 $\implies \exists ys k. \text{set } ys \subseteq \text{set } xs \wedge k \in \text{set } xs \wedge \text{len } m k k ys < 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (*in*  $-$ ) *concat-map-cons-rev*:  
 $\text{rev} (\text{concat} (\text{map} ((\#) j) xss)) = \text{concat} (\text{map} (\lambda xs. xs @ [j]) (\text{rev} (\text{map} \text{rev} xss)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *negative-cycle-dest*:  $\text{len } m i j (\text{rem-cycles } i j xs) > \text{len } m i j xs$   
 $\implies \exists i' ys. \text{len } m i' i' ys < 1 \wedge \text{set } ys \subseteq \text{set } xs \wedge i' \in \text{set} (i \# j \# xs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 1.3 Definition of Shortest Paths

**definition**  $D :: 'a mat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a$  **where**

$D m i j k \equiv Min \{len m i j xs \mid xs. set xs \subseteq \{0..k\} \wedge i \notin set xs \wedge j \notin set xs \wedge distinct xs\}$

**lemma (in -) distinct-length-le:finite**  $s \implies set xs \subseteq s \implies distinct xs \implies length xs \leq card s$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in -) finite-distinct:**  $finite s \implies finite \{xs \mid set xs \subseteq s \wedge distinct xs\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma D-base-finite:**

$finite \{len m i j xs \mid xs. set xs \subseteq \{0..k\} \wedge distinct xs\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma D-base-finite':**

$finite \{len m i j xs \mid xs. set xs \subseteq \{0..k\} \wedge distinct (i \# j \# xs)\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma D-base-finite'':**

$finite \{len m i j xs \mid xs. set xs \subseteq \{0..k\} \wedge i \notin set xs \wedge j \notin set xs \wedge distinct xs\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition cycle-free :: 'a mat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool** **where**

$cycle-free m n \equiv \forall i xs. i \leq n \wedge set xs \subseteq \{0..n\} \longrightarrow (\forall j. j \leq n \longrightarrow len m i j (rem-cycles i j xs) \leq len m i j xs) \wedge len m i i xs \geq 1$

**lemma D-eqI:**

**fixes**  $m n i j k$

**defines**  $A \equiv \{len m i j xs \mid xs. set xs \subseteq \{0..k\}\}$

**defines**  $A\text{-distinct} \equiv \{len m i j xs \mid xs. set xs \subseteq \{0..k\} \wedge i \notin set xs \wedge j \notin set xs \wedge distinct xs\}$

**assumes**  $cycle-free m n i \leq n j \leq n k \leq n (\wedge y. y \in A\text{-distinct} \implies x \leq y) x \in A$

**shows**  $D m i j k = x \langle proof \rangle$

**lemma D-base-not-empty:**

$\{len m i j xs \mid xs. set xs \subseteq \{0..k\} \wedge i \notin set xs \wedge j \notin set xs \wedge distinct xs\} \neq \{\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Min-elem-dest*:  $\text{finite } A \implies A \neq \{\} \implies x = \text{Min } A \implies x \in A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *D-dest*:  $x = D m i j k \implies$   
 $x \in \{\text{len } m i j xs \mid xs. \text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..Suc k\} \wedge i \notin \text{set } xs \wedge j \notin \text{set } xs \wedge$   
 $\text{distinct } xs\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *D-dest'*:  $x = D m i j k \implies x \in \{\text{len } m i j xs \mid xs. \text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..Suc k\}\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *D-dest''*:  $x = D m i j k \implies x \in \{\text{len } m i j xs \mid xs. \text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..k\}\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cycle-free-loop-dest*:  $i \leq n \implies \text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..n\} \implies \text{cycle-free } m n$   
 $\implies \text{len } m i i xs \geq 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cycle-free-dest*:  
 $\text{cycle-free } m n \implies i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies \text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..n\}$   
 $\implies \text{len } m i j (\text{rem-cycles } i j xs) \leq \text{len } m i j xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *cycle-free-up-to* ::  $'a \text{ mat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{cycle-free-up-to } m k n \equiv \forall i xs. i \leq n \wedge \text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..k\} \longrightarrow$   
 $(\forall j. j \leq n \longrightarrow \text{len } m i j (\text{rem-cycles } i j xs) \leq \text{len } m i j xs) \wedge \text{len } m i i$   
 $xs \geq 1$

**lemma** *cycle-free-up-to-loop-dest*:  
 $i \leq n \implies \text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..k\} \implies \text{cycle-free-up-to } m k n \implies \text{len } m i i xs \geq 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cycle-free-up-to-diag*:  
**assumes**  $\text{cycle-free-up-to } m k n i \leq n$   
**shows**  $m i i \geq 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *D-eqI2*:  
**fixes**  $m n i j k$   
**defines**  $A \equiv \{\text{len } m i j xs \mid xs. \text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..k\}\}$   
**defines**  $A\text{-distinct} \equiv \{\text{len } m i j xs \mid xs. \text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..k\} \wedge i \notin \text{set } xs \wedge j$

```

 $\notin \text{set } xs \wedge \text{distinct } xs\}$ 
assumes  $\text{cycle-free-up-to } m k n i \leq n j \leq n k \leq n$   

 $(\bigwedge y. y \in A \text{-distinct} \implies x \leq y) x \in A$ 
shows  $D m i j k = x \langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

## 1.4 Result Under The Absence of Negative Cycles

This proves that the algorithm correctly computes shortest paths under the absence of negative cycles by a standard argument.

**theorem** *fw-shortest-path-up-to*:

```

 $\text{cycle-free-up-to } m k n \implies i' \leq i \implies j' \leq j \implies i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies k$   

 $\leq n$   

 $\implies D m i' j' k = fw m n k i j i' j'$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

**lemma** *cycle-free-cycle-free-up-to*:

```

 $\text{cycle-free } m n \implies k \leq n \implies \text{cycle-free-up-to } m k n$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

**lemma** *cycle-free-diag*:

```

 $\text{cycle-free } m n \implies i \leq n \implies 1 \leq m i i$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

**corollary** *fw-shortest-path*:

```

 $\text{cycle-free } m n \implies i' \leq i \implies j' \leq j \implies i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies k \leq n$   

 $\implies D m i' j' k = fw m n k i j i' j'$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

**corollary** *fw-shortest*:

```

assumes  $\text{cycle-free } m n i \leq n j \leq n k \leq n$   

shows  $fw m n n n i j \leq fw m n n n i k + fw m n n n n k j$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

## 1.5 Result Under the Presence of Negative Cycles

**lemma** *not-cylce-free-dest*:  $\neg \text{cycle-free } m n \implies \exists k \leq n. \neg \text{cycle-free-up-to } m k n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *D-not-diag-le*:

```

 $(x :: 'a) \in \{\text{len } m i j xs \mid xs. \text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..k\} \wedge i \notin \text{set } xs \wedge j \notin \text{set } xs \wedge$   

 $\text{distinct } xs\}$   

 $\implies D m i j k \leq x \langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

**lemma** *D-not-diag-le'*:  $\text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..k\} \implies i \notin \text{set } xs \implies j \notin \text{set } xs \implies$   
 $\text{distinct } xs$

$\implies D m i j k \leq \text{len } m i j xs \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in -)** *nat-up-to-subs-top-removal'*:  
 $S \subseteq \{0..Suc n\} \implies Suc n \notin S \implies S \subseteq \{0..n\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in -)** *nat-up-to-subs-top-removal*:  
 $S \subseteq \{0..n::nat\} \implies n \notin S \implies S \subseteq \{0..n - 1\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *fw-Suc*:

$i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies i' \leq n \implies j' \leq n \implies fw m n (\text{Suc } k) i' j' i j \leq fw$   
 $m n k n n i j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *negative-len-shortest*:

$\text{length } xs = n \implies \text{len } m i i xs < \mathbf{1}$   
 $\implies \exists j ys. \text{distinct } (j \# ys) \wedge \text{len } m j j ys < \mathbf{1} \wedge j \in \text{set } (i \# xs) \wedge \text{set}$   
 $ys \subseteq \text{set } xs$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**theorem** *FW-neg-cycle-detect*:

$\neg \text{cycle-free } m n \implies \exists i \leq n. fw m n n n n i i < \mathbf{1}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

**end**

**theory** *Timed-Automata*

**imports** *Main*

**begin**

## 2 Basic Definitions and Semantics

### 2.1 Time

**class** *time* = *linordered-ab-group-add* +  
**assumes** *dense*:  $x < y \implies \exists z. x < z \wedge z < y$   
**assumes** *non-trivial*:  $\exists x. x \neq 0$

**begin**

**lemma** *non-trivial-neg*:  $\exists x. x < 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

```
datatype ('c, 't :: time) cconstraint =
  AND ('c, 't) cconstraint ('c, 't) cconstraint |
  LT 'c 't |
  LE 'c 't |
  EQ 'c 't |
  GT 'c 't |
  GE 'c 't
```

## 2.2 Syntactic Definition

For an informal description of timed automata we refer to Bengtsson and Yi [BY03]. We define a timed automaton  $A$

**type-synonym**

$('c, 'time, 's) invassn = 's \Rightarrow ('c, 'time) cconstraint$

**type-synonym**

$('a, 'c, 'time, 's) transition = 's * ('c, 'time) cconstraint * 'a * 'c list * 's$

**type-synonym**

$('a, 'c, 'time, 's) ta = ('a, 'c, 'time, 's) transition set * ('c, 'time, 's) invassn$

**definition**  $trans\text{-}of :: ('a, 'c, 'time, 's) ta \Rightarrow ('a, 'c, 'time, 's) transition set$   
**where**

$trans\text{-}of \equiv fst$

**definition**  $inv\text{-}of :: ('a, 'c, 'time, 's) ta \Rightarrow ('c, 'time, 's) invassn$  **where**  
 $inv\text{-}of \equiv snd$

**abbreviation**  $transition ::$

$('a, 'c, 'time, 's) ta \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow ('c, 'time) cconstraint \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'c list \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow bool$   
 $(- \vdash - \longrightarrow^{-, -, -} [61, 61, 61, 61, 61, 61] 61)$  **where**  
 $(A \vdash l \longrightarrow^{g, a, r} l') \equiv (l, g, a, r, l') \in trans\text{-}of A$

### 2.2.1 Collecting Information About Clocks

**fun**  $collect\text{-}clks :: ('c, 't :: time) cconstraint \Rightarrow 'c set$   
**where**

$collect\text{-}clks (AND cc1 cc2) = collect\text{-}clks cc1 \cup collect\text{-}clks cc2 |$   
 $collect\text{-}clks (LT c -) = \{c\} |$

```

collect-clks (LE c -) = {c} |
collect-clks (EQ c -) = {c} |
collect-clks (GE c -) = {c} |
collect-clks (GT c -) = {c}

fun collect-clock-pairs :: ('c, 't :: time) cconstraint  $\Rightarrow$  ('c * 't) set
where
collect-clock-pairs (LT x m) = {(x, m)} |
collect-clock-pairs (LE x m) = {(x, m)} |
collect-clock-pairs (EQ x m) = {(x, m)} |
collect-clock-pairs (GE x m) = {(x, m)} |
collect-clock-pairs (GT x m) = {(x, m)} |
collect-clock-pairs (AND cc1 cc2) = (collect-clock-pairs cc1  $\cup$  collect-clock-pairs cc2)

definition collect-clkt :: ('a, 'c, 't::time, 's) transition set  $\Rightarrow$  ('c * 't) set
where
collect-clkt S =  $\bigcup \{ \text{collect-clock-pairs} (\text{fst} (\text{snd } t)) \mid t . t \in S \}$ 

definition collect-clki :: ('c, 't :: time, 's) invassn  $\Rightarrow$  ('c * 't) set
where
collect-clki I =  $\bigcup \{ \text{collect-clock-pairs} (I x) \mid x. \text{True} \}$ 

definition clkp-set :: ('a, 'c, 't :: time, 's) ta  $\Rightarrow$  ('c * 't) set
where
clkp-set A = collect-clki (inv-of A)  $\cup$  collect-clkt (trans-of A)

definition collect-clkvt :: ('a, 'c, 't::time, 's) transition set  $\Rightarrow$  'c set
where
collect-clkvt S =  $\bigcup \{ \text{set} ((\text{fst } o \text{ snd } o \text{ snd } o \text{ snd}) t) \mid t . t \in S \}$ 

abbreviation clk-set where clk-set A  $\equiv$  fst 'clkp-set A  $\cup$  collect-clkvt (trans-of A)

```

**inductive** *valid-abstraction*  
**where**  
 $\llbracket \forall (x, m) \in \text{clkp-set } A. m \leq k x \wedge x \in X \wedge m \in \mathbb{N}; \text{collect-clkvt} (\text{trans-of } A) \subseteq X; \text{finite } X \rrbracket$   
 $\implies \text{valid-abstraction } A \ X \ k$

## 2.3 Operational Semantics

**type-synonym** ('*c*, '*t*) *cval* = '*c*  $\Rightarrow$  '*t*

```

definition cval-add :: ('c,'t) cval  $\Rightarrow$  't::time  $\Rightarrow$  ('c,'t) cval (infixr  $\oplus$  64)
where

$$u \oplus d = (\lambda x. u x + d)$$


inductive clock-val :: ('c, 't) cval  $\Rightarrow$  ('c, 't::time) cconstraint  $\Rightarrow$  bool (-  $\vdash$ 
- [62, 62] 62)
where

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket u \vdash cc1; u \vdash cc2 \rrbracket &\implies u \vdash AND\ cc1\ cc2 \mid \\ \llbracket u c < d \rrbracket &\implies u \vdash LT\ c\ d \mid \\ \llbracket u c \leq d \rrbracket &\implies u \vdash LE\ c\ d \mid \\ \llbracket u c = d \rrbracket &\implies u \vdash EQ\ c\ d \mid \\ \llbracket u c \geq d \rrbracket &\implies u \vdash GE\ c\ d \mid \\ \llbracket u c > d \rrbracket &\implies u \vdash GT\ c\ d \end{aligned}$$


declare clock-val.intros[intro]

inductive-cases[elim!]:  $u \vdash AND\ cc1\ cc2$ 
inductive-cases[elim!]:  $u \vdash LT\ c\ d$ 
inductive-cases[elim!]:  $u \vdash LE\ c\ d$ 
inductive-cases[elim!]:  $u \vdash EQ\ c\ d$ 
inductive-cases[elim!]:  $u \vdash GE\ c\ d$ 
inductive-cases[elim!]:  $u \vdash GT\ c\ d$ 

fun clock-set :: 'c list  $\Rightarrow$  't::time  $\Rightarrow$  ('c,'t) cval  $\Rightarrow$  ('c,'t) cval
where

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{clock-set} [] - u &= u \mid \\ \textit{clock-set} (c\#cs) t u &= (\textit{clock-set} cs t u)(c:=t) \end{aligned}$$


abbreviation clock-set-abbrv :: 'c list  $\Rightarrow$  't::time  $\Rightarrow$  ('c,'t) cval  $\Rightarrow$  ('c,'t)
cval
([--]- [65,65,65] 65)
where

$$[r \rightarrow t]u \equiv \textit{clock-set} r t u$$


inductive step-t :: 
  ('a, 'c, 't, 's) ta  $\Rightarrow$  's  $\Rightarrow$  ('c, 't) cval  $\Rightarrow$  ('t::time)  $\Rightarrow$  's  $\Rightarrow$  ('c, 't) cval  $\Rightarrow$ 
  bool
  (-  $\vdash \langle -, - \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle -, - \rangle$  [61,61,61] 61)
where

$$\llbracket u \vdash \textit{inv-of } A\ l; u \oplus d \vdash \textit{inv-of } A\ l; d \geq 0 \rrbracket \implies A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow^d \langle l, u \oplus d \rangle$$


declare step-t.intros[intro!]

```

**inductive-cases[elim!]:**  $A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow^d \langle l', u' \rangle$

**lemma** *step-t-determinacy1*:

$A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow^d \langle l', u' \rangle \implies A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow^d \langle l'', u'' \rangle \implies l' = l''$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *step-t-determinacy2*:

$A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow^d \langle l', u' \rangle \implies A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow^d \langle l'', u'' \rangle \implies u' = u''$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *step-t-cont1*:

$d \geq 0 \implies e \geq 0 \implies A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow^d \langle l', u' \rangle \implies A \vdash \langle l', u' \rangle \rightarrow^e \langle l'', u'' \rangle$   
 $\implies A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow^{d+e} \langle l'', u'' \rangle$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**inductive** *step-a* ::

$('a, 'c, 't, 's) ta \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow ('c, ('t::time)) eval \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow ('c, 't) eval \Rightarrow bool$   
 $(\cdot \vdash \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \rightarrow_\cdot \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle [61, 61, 61] 61)$

**where**

$\llbracket A \vdash l \longrightarrow^{g, a, r} l'; u \vdash g; u' \vdash \text{inv-of } A \ l'; u' = [r \rightarrow 0]u \rrbracket \implies (A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow_a \langle l', u' \rangle)$

**inductive** *step* ::

$('a, 'c, 't, 's) ta \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow ('c, ('t::time)) eval \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow ('c, 't) eval \Rightarrow bool$   
 $(\cdot \vdash \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \rightarrow \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle [61, 61, 61] 61)$

**where**

*step-a*:  $A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow_a \langle l', u' \rangle \implies (A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow \langle l', u' \rangle) \mid$   
*step-t*:  $A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow^d \langle l', u' \rangle \implies (A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow \langle l', u' \rangle)$

**inductive-cases[elim!]:**  $A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow \langle l', u' \rangle$

**declare** *step.intros[intro]*

**inductive**

*steps* ::  $('a, 'c, 't, 's) ta \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow ('c, ('t::time)) eval \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow ('c, 't) eval \Rightarrow bool$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{bool}$

$(\cdot \vdash \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle [61, 61, 61] 61)$

**where**

*refl*:  $A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle l, u \rangle \mid$   
*step*:  $A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow \langle l', u' \rangle \implies A \vdash \langle l', u' \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle l'', u'' \rangle \implies A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle l'', u'' \rangle$

**declare** *steps.intros[intro]*

## 2.4 Zone Semantics

**type-synonym**  $('c, 't) \text{ zone} = ('c, 't) \text{ eval set}$

**definition**  $\text{zone-delay} :: ('c, ('t::time)) \text{ zone} \Rightarrow ('c, 't) \text{ zone}$   
 $(\uparrow [71] 71)$

**where**

$$Z^\uparrow = \{u \oplus d \mid u \in Z \wedge d \geq (0::'t)\}$$

**definition**  $\text{zone-set} :: ('c, ('t::time)) \text{ zone} \Rightarrow 'c \text{ list} \Rightarrow ('c, 't) \text{ zone}$   
 $(\dashv \rightarrow_0 [71] 71)$

**where**

$$\text{zone-set } Z \ r = \{[r \rightarrow (0::'t)]u \mid u \in Z\}$$

**inductive**  $\text{step-z} ::$

$('a, 'c, 't, 's) \text{ ta} \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow ('c, ('t::time)) \text{ zone} \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow ('c, 't) \text{ zone} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
 $(\dashv \langle \_, \_ \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle \_, \_ \rangle [61, 61, 61] 61)$

**where**

$\text{step-t-z}: A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l, (Z \cap \{u. u \vdash \text{inv-of } A \ l\})^\uparrow \cap \{u. u \vdash \text{inv-of } A \ l\} \rangle \mid$

$\text{step-a-z}: \llbracket A \vdash l \xrightarrow{g, a, r} l' \rrbracket \Rightarrow (A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', \text{zone-set } (Z \cap \{u. u \vdash g\}) \ r \cap \{u. u \vdash \text{inv-of } A \ l'\} \rangle)$

**inductive-cases[elim!]:**  $A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', u' \rangle$

**declare**  $\text{step-z.intros[intro]}$

**lemma**  $\text{step-z-sound}:$

$A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', Z' \rangle \Rightarrow (\forall u' \in Z'. \exists u \in Z. A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow \langle l', u' \rangle)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{step-z-complete}:$

$A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow \langle l', u' \rangle \Rightarrow u \in Z \Rightarrow \exists Z'. A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', Z' \rangle \wedge u' \in Z'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Corresponds to version in old papers – not strong enough for inductive proof over transitive closure relation.

**lemma**  $\text{step-z-complete1}:$

$A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow \langle l', u' \rangle \Rightarrow \exists Z. A \vdash \langle l, \{u\} \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', Z \rangle \wedge u' \in Z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Easier proof.

**lemma**  $\text{step-z-complete2}:$

$A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow \langle l', u' \rangle \implies \exists Z. A \vdash \langle l, \{u\} \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', Z \rangle \wedge u' \in Z$

**inductive**

$steps-z :: ('a, 'c, 't, 's) ta \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow ('c, ('t::time)) zone \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow ('c, 't) zone \Rightarrow bool$   
 $(\vdash \langle -, - \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \langle -, - \rangle [61, 61, 61] 61)$

**where**

$refl: A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \langle l, Z \rangle |$   
 $step: A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies A \vdash \langle l', Z' \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \langle l'', Z'' \rangle \implies A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \langle l'', Z'' \rangle$

**declare**  $steps-z.intros[intro]$

**lemma**  $steps-z-sound:$

$A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies u' \in Z' \implies \exists u \in Z. A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle l', u' \rangle$

**lemma**  $steps-z-complete:$

$A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle l', u' \rangle \implies u \in Z \implies \exists Z'. A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \langle l', Z' \rangle \wedge u' \in Z'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**theory**  $DBM$

**imports**  $Floyd-Warshall\ Timed-Automata$

**begin**

### 3 Difference Bound Matrices

#### 3.1 Definitions

Difference Bound Matrices (DBMs) constrain differences of clocks (or more precisely, the difference of values assigned to individual clocks by a valuation). The possible constraints are given by the following datatype:

**datatype**  $('t::time) DBMEntry = Le 't | Lt 't | INF (\infty)$

This yields a simple definition of DBMs:

**type-synonym**  $'t DBM = nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 't DBMEntry$

To relate clocks with rows and columns of a DBM, we use a clock numbering  $v$  of type  $'c \Rightarrow nat$  to map clocks to indices. DBMs will regularly be accompanied by a natural number  $n$ , which designates the number of clocks

constrained by the matrix. To be able to represent the full set of clock constraints with DBMs, we add an imaginary clock  $\mathbf{0}$ , which shall be assigned to 0 in every valuation. In the following predicate we explicitly keep track of  $\mathbf{0}$ .

```

inductive dbm-entry-val :: ('c, 't) cval  $\Rightarrow$  'c option  $\Rightarrow$  'c option  $\Rightarrow$  ('t::time)
DBMEntry  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  u r  $\leq$  d  $\Rightarrow$  dbm-entry-val u (Some r) None (Le d) |
  -u c  $\leq$  d  $\Rightarrow$  dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) (Le d) |
  u r < d  $\Rightarrow$  dbm-entry-val u (Some r) None (Lt d) |
  -u c < d  $\Rightarrow$  dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) (Lt d) |
  u r - u c  $\leq$  d  $\Rightarrow$  dbm-entry-val u (Some r) (Some c) (Le d) |
  u r - u c < d  $\Rightarrow$  dbm-entry-val u (Some r) (Some c) (Lt d) |
  dbm-entry-val - - -  $\infty$ 

declare dbm-entry-val.intros[intro]
inductive-cases[elim!]: dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) (Le d)
inductive-cases[elim!]: dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None (Le d)
inductive-cases[elim!]: dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) (Lt d)
inductive-cases[elim!]: dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None (Lt d)
inductive-cases[elim!]: dbm-entry-val u (Some r) (Some c) (Le d)
inductive-cases[elim!]: dbm-entry-val u (Some r) (Some c) (Lt d)

fun dbm-entry-bound :: ('t::time) DBMEntry  $\Rightarrow$  't
where
  dbm-entry-bound (Le t) = t |
  dbm-entry-bound (Lt t) = t |
  dbm-entry-bound  $\infty$  = 0

inductive dbm-lt :: ('t::time) DBMEntry  $\Rightarrow$  't DBMEntry  $\Rightarrow$  bool
(-  $\prec$  - [51, 51] 50)
where
  dbm-lt (Lt -)  $\infty$  |
  dbm-lt (Le -)  $\infty$  |
  a < b  $\Rightarrow$  dbm-lt (Le a) (Le b) |
  a < b  $\Rightarrow$  dbm-lt (Le a) (Lt b) |
  a  $\leq$  b  $\Rightarrow$  dbm-lt (Lt a) (Le b) |
  a < b  $\Rightarrow$  dbm-lt (Lt a) (Lt b)

declare dbm-lt.intros[intro]

definition dbm-le :: ('t::time) DBMEntry  $\Rightarrow$  't DBMEntry  $\Rightarrow$  bool
(-  $\preceq$  - [51, 51] 50)

```

**where**

$$dbm\text{-}le\ a\ b \equiv (a \prec b) \vee a = b$$

Now a valuation is contained in the zone represented by a DBM if it fulfills all individual constraints:

**definition**  $DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded :: ('c \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow ('c, 't) cval \Rightarrow ('t:\text{time}) DBM \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool$

**where**

$$\begin{aligned} DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded\ v\ u\ m\ n &\equiv Le\ 0\ \preceq\ m\ 0\ 0 \wedge \\ (\forall\ c.\ v\ c \leq n \longrightarrow dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val\ u\ None\ (Some\ c)\ (m\ 0\ (v\ c))) \\ \wedge dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val\ u\ (Some\ c)\ None\ (m\ (v\ c)\ 0))) \\ \wedge (\forall\ c1\ c2.\ v\ c1 \leq n \wedge v\ c2 \leq n \longrightarrow dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}val\ u\ (Some\ c1)\ (Some\ c2)\ (m\ (v\ c1)\ (v\ c2))) \end{aligned}$$

**abbreviation**  $DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded\text{-}abbrev ::$

$$('c, 't) cval \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('t:\text{time}) DBM \Rightarrow bool$$

$$(\dashv_{\cdot,\cdot} \dashv)$$

**where**

$$u \dashv_{v,n} M \equiv DBM\text{-}val\text{-}bounded\ v\ u\ M\ n$$

**abbreviation**

$$dmin\ a\ b \equiv \text{if } a \prec b \text{ then } a \text{ else } b$$

**lemma**  $dbm\text{-}le\text{-}dbm\text{-}min:$

$$a \preceq b \implies a = dmin\ a\ b \langle proof \rangle$$

**lemma**  $dbm\text{-}lt\text{-}asym:$

**assumes**  $e \prec f$

**shows**  $\sim f \prec e$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $dbm\text{-}le\text{-}dbm\text{-}min2:$

$$a \preceq b \implies a = dmin\ b\ a$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $dmb\text{-}le\text{-}dbm\text{-}entry\text{-}bound\text{-}inf:$

$$a \preceq b \implies a = \infty \implies b = \infty$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $dbm\text{-}not\text{-}lt\text{-}eq: \neg a \prec b \implies \neg b \prec a \implies a = b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $dbm\text{-}not\text{-}lt\text{-}impl: \neg a \prec b \implies b \prec a \vee a = b \langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $dmin\ a\ b = dmin\ b\ a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dbm-lt-trans*:  $a \prec b \implies b \prec c \implies a \prec c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** aux-3:  $\neg a \prec b \Rightarrow \neg b \prec c \Rightarrow a \prec c \Rightarrow c = a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**inductive-cases[elim!]:**  $\infty \prec x$

**lemma** *dbm-lt-asymmetric[simp]*:  $x \prec y \implies y \prec x \implies \text{False}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *le-dbm-le*: *Le a*  $\preceq$  *Le b*  $\implies$  *a*  $\leq$  *b* *{proof}*

**lemma** *le-dbm-lt*: *Le a  $\preceq$  Lt b  $\implies a < b \langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma** *lt-dbm-le*: *Lt a ⊑ Le b*  $\implies$  *a ≤ b* ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *lt-dbm-lt*:  $Lt\ a \preceq Lt\ b \implies a \leq b \langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *not-dbm-le-le-impl*:  $\neg Le\ a \prec Le\ b \Rightarrow a \geq b$  *{proof}*

**lemma** *not-dbm-lt-le-impl*:  $\neg Lt\ a \prec Le\ b \Rightarrow a > b$  *⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *not-dbm-lt-lt-impl*:  $\neg Lt\ a \prec Lt\ b \Rightarrow a \geq b$  *{proof}*

**lemma** *not-dbm-le-lt-impl*:  $\neg Le a \prec Lt b \implies a \geq b$  *⟨proof⟩⟨p*

```
imports Floyd-Warshall Timed-Automata  
begin
```

## 4 Library for Paths, Arcs and Lengths

**lemma** length-eq-distinct:

- assumes** set  $xs = \text{set } ys$  distinct  $xs$  length  $xs = \text{length } ys$
- shows** distinct  $ys$
- $\langle proof \rangle$

## 4.1 Arcs

```
fun arcs :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat list  $\Rightarrow$  (nat * nat) list where
  arcs a b [] = [(a,b)] |
```

$$\text{arcs } a \ b \ (x \ # \ xs) = (a, x) \ # \ \text{arcs } x \ b \ xs$$

**definition**  $\text{arcs}' :: \text{nat list} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} * \text{nat}) \text{ set where}$   
 $\text{arcs}' xs = \text{set}(\text{arcs}(\text{hd } xs) (\text{last } xs) (\text{butlast } (\text{tl } xs)))$

**lemma**  $\text{arcs}'\text{-decomp}:$

$\text{length } xs > 1 \implies (i, j) \in \text{arcs}' xs \implies \exists \ zs \ ys. \ xs = zs @ i \ # \ j \ # \ ys$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{arcs-decomp-tail}:$

$\text{arcs } j \ l \ (ys @ [i]) = \text{arcs } j \ i \ ys @ [(i, l)]$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{arcs-decomp}: xs = ys @ y \ # \ zs \implies \text{arcs } x \ z \ xs = \text{arcs } x \ y \ ys @ \text{arcs } y \ z \ zs$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{distinct-arcs-ex}:$

$\text{distinct } xs \implies i \notin \text{set } xs \implies xs \neq [] \implies \exists \ a \ b. \ a \neq x \wedge (a, b) \in \text{set}(\text{arcs } i \ j \ xs)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{cycle-rotate-2-aux}:$

$(i, j) \in \text{set}(\text{arcs } a \ b \ (xs @ [c])) \implies (i, j) \neq (c, b) \implies (i, j) \in \text{set}(\text{arcs } a \ c \ xs)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{arcs-set-elem1}:$

**assumes**  $j \neq k \ k \in \text{set}(i \ # \ xs)$   
**shows**  $\exists \ l. \ (k, l) \in \text{set}(\text{arcs } i \ j \ xs)$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{arcs-set-elem2}:$

**assumes**  $i \neq k \ k \in \text{set}(j \ # \ xs)$   
**shows**  $\exists \ l. \ (l, k) \in \text{set}(\text{arcs } i \ j \ xs)$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 4.2 Length of Paths

**lemmas** (in *linordered-ab-monoid-add*)  $\text{comm} = \text{add.commute}$

**lemma**  $\text{len-add}:$

**fixes**  $M :: ('a :: \text{linordered-ab-monoid-add}) \text{ mat}$   
**shows**  $\text{len } M \ i \ j \ xs + \text{len } M \ i \ j \ xs = \text{len } (\lambda i \ j. \ M \ i \ j + M \ i \ j) \ i \ j \ xs$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 4.3 Canonical Matrices

**abbreviation**

*canonical M n*  $\equiv \forall i j k. i \leq n \wedge j \leq n \wedge k \leq n \rightarrow M i k \leq M i j + M j k$

**lemma** *fw-canonical*:

*cycle-free m n*  $\implies$  *canonical (fw m n n n n) n*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *canonical-len*:

*canonical M n*  $\implies i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies \text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..n\} \implies M i j \leq \text{len } M i j xs$   
*(proof)*

### 4.4 Cycle Rotation

**lemma** *cycle-rotate*:

**fixes** *M :: ('a :: linordered-ab-monoid-add) mat*  
**assumes** *length xs > 1*  $(i, j) \in \text{arcs}' xs$   
**shows**  $\exists ys zs. \text{len } M a a xs = \text{len } M i i (j \# ys @ a \# zs) \wedge xs = zs @ i \# j \# ys$  *(proof)*

**lemma** *cycle-rotate-2*:

**fixes** *M :: ('a :: linordered-ab-monoid-add) mat*  
**assumes** *xs ≠ []*  $(i, j) \in \text{set } (\text{arcs } a a xs)$   
**shows**  $\exists ys. \text{len } M a a xs = \text{len } M i i (j \# ys) \wedge \text{set } ys \subseteq \text{set } (a \# xs)$   
 $\wedge \text{length } ys < \text{length } xs$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *cycle-rotate-len-arcs*:

**fixes** *M :: ('a :: linordered-ab-monoid-add) mat*  
**assumes** *length xs > 1*  $(i, j) \in \text{arcs}' xs$   
**shows**  $\exists ys zs. \text{len } M a a xs = \text{len } M i i (j \# ys @ a \# zs)$   
 $\wedge \text{set } (\text{arcs } a a xs) = \text{set } (\text{arcs } i i (j \# ys @ a \# zs)) \wedge xs =$   
 $zs @ i \# j \# ys$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *cycle-rotate-2'*:

**fixes** *M :: ('a :: linordered-ab-monoid-add) mat*  
**assumes** *xs ≠ []*  $(i, j) \in \text{set } (\text{arcs } a a xs)$   
**shows**  $\exists ys. \text{len } M a a xs = \text{len } M i i (j \# ys) \wedge \text{set } (i \# j \# ys) = \text{set } (a \# xs)$   
 $\wedge 1 + \text{length } ys = \text{length } xs \wedge \text{set } (\text{arcs } a a xs) = \text{set } (\text{arcs } i i (j$

$\# ys)$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 4.5 Equivalent Characterizations of Cycle-Freeness

**lemma** *negative-cycle-dest-diag*:

$\neg \text{cycle-free } M n \implies \exists i \in \text{set } xs. i \leq n \wedge \text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..n\} \wedge \text{len } M i i \in xs < 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**abbreviation** *cyc-free* :: ('a::linordered-ab-monoid-add) mat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool  
**where**

$\text{cyc-free } m n \equiv \forall i \in \text{set } xs. i \leq n \wedge \text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..n\} \rightarrow \text{len } m i i \in xs \geq 1$

**lemma** *cycle-free-diag-intro*:

$\text{cyc-free } M n \implies \text{cycle-free } M n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cycle-free-diag-equiv*:

$\text{cyc-free } M n \longleftrightarrow \text{cycle-free } M n$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cycle-free-diag-dest*:

$\text{cycle-free } M n \implies \text{cyc-free } M n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cyc-free-diag-dest*:

**assumes**  $\text{cyc-free } M n \quad i \leq n \quad \text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..n\}$   
**shows**  $\text{len } M i i \in xs \geq 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cycle-free-0-0*:

**fixes**  $M :: (\text{'a::linordered-ab-monoid-add}) \text{ mat}$   
**assumes**  $\text{cycle-free } M n$   
**shows**  $M 0 0 \geq 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 4.6 More Theorems Related to Floyd-Warshall

**lemma** *D-cycle-free-len-dest*:

$\text{cycle-free } m n$   
 $\implies \forall i \leq n. \forall j \leq n. D m i j n = m' i j \implies i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies \text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..n\}$   
 $\implies \exists ys. \text{set } ys \subseteq \{0..n\} \wedge \text{len } m' i j xs = \text{len } m i j ys$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *D-cyc-free-preservation*:

*cyc-free m n*  $\implies \forall i \leq n. \forall j \leq n. D m i j n = m' i j \implies cyc\text{-}free m' n$   
*(proof)*

**abbreviation** *FW m n*  $\equiv fw m n n n$

**lemma** *FW-cyc-free-preservation*:

*cyc-free m n*  $\implies cyc\text{-}free (FW m n) n$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *cyc-free-diag-dest'*:

*cyc-free m n*  $\implies i \leq n \implies m i i \geq 1$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *FW-diag-neutral-preservation*:

$\forall i \leq n. M i i = 1 \implies cyc\text{-}free M n \implies \forall i \leq n. (FW M n) i i = 1$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *FW-fixed-preservation*:

**fixes** *M :: ('a::linordered\_ab\_monoid\_add) mat*  
**assumes** *A: i ≤ n M 0 i + M i 0 = 1 canonical (FW M n) n cyc-free (FW M n) n*  
**shows** *FW M n 0 i + FW M n i 0 = 1* *(proof)*

**lemma** *diag-cyc-free-neutral*:

*cyc-free M n*  $\implies \forall k \leq n. M k k \leq 1 \implies \forall i \leq n. M i i = 1$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *fw-upd-canonical-id*:

*canonical M n*  $\implies i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies k \leq n \implies fw\text{-}upd M k i j = M$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *fw-canonical-id*:

*canonical M n*  $\implies i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies k \leq n \implies fw M n k i j = M$   
*(proof)*

**lemmas** *FW-canonical-id = fw-canonical-id[OF - order.refl order.refl order.refl]*

## 4.7 Helper Lemmas for Bouyer's Theorem on Approximation

**lemma** *aux1: i ≤ n*  $\implies j \leq n \implies set xs \subseteq \{0..n\} \implies (a,b) \in set (arcs i j xs) \implies a \leq n \wedge b \leq n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *arcs-distinct1*:

$i \notin \text{set } xs \implies j \notin \text{set } xs \implies \text{distinct } xs \implies xs \neq [] \implies (a, b) \in \text{set } (\text{arcs } i \ j \ xs) \implies a \neq b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *arcs-distinct2*:

$i \notin \text{set } xs \implies j \notin \text{set } xs \implies \text{distinct } xs \implies i \neq j \implies (a, b) \in \text{set } (\text{arcs } i \ j \ xs) \implies a \neq b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *arcs-distinct3*:  $\text{distinct } (a \ # \ b \ # \ c \ # \ xs) \implies (i, j) \in \text{set } (\text{arcs } a \ b \ xs) \implies i \neq c \wedge j \neq c$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *arcs-elem*:

**assumes**  $(a, b) \in \text{set } (\text{arcs } i \ j \ xs)$  **shows**  $a \in \text{set } (i \ # \ xs)$   $b \in \text{set } (j \ # \ xs)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *arcs-distinct-dest1*:

$\text{distinct } (i \ # \ a \ # \ zs) \implies (b, c) \in \text{set } (\text{arcs } a \ j \ zs) \implies b \neq i$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *arcs-distinct-fix*:

$\text{distinct } (a \ # \ x \ # \ xs @ [b]) \implies (a, c) \in \text{set } (\text{arcs } a \ b \ (x \ # \ xs)) \implies c = x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *disjE3*:  $A \vee B \vee C \implies (A \implies G) \implies (B \implies G) \implies (C \implies G)$

$\implies G$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *arcs-predecessor*:

**assumes**  $(a, b) \in \text{set } (\text{arcs } i \ j \ xs)$   $a \neq i$

**shows**  $\exists c. (c, a) \in \text{set } (\text{arcs } i \ j \ xs)$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *arcs-successor*:

**assumes**  $(a, b) \in \text{set } (\text{arcs } i \ j \ xs)$   $b \neq j$

**shows**  $\exists c. (b, c) \in \text{set } (\text{arcs } i \ j \ xs)$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *arcs-predecessor'*:

**assumes**  $(a, b) \in \text{set } (\text{arcs } i \ j \ (x \ # \ xs))$   $(a, b) \neq (i, x)$

**shows**  $\exists c. (c, a) \in \text{set } (\text{arcs } i \ j \ (x \ # \ xs))$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *arcs-cases*:

**assumes**  $(a, b) \in \text{set}(\text{arcs } i \ j \ xs)$   $xs \neq []$   
**shows**  $(\exists ys. xs = b \# ys \wedge a = i) \vee (\exists ys. xs = ys @ [a] \wedge b = j)$   
 $\vee (\exists c d ys. (a, b) \in \text{set}(\text{arcs } c \ d \ ys) \wedge xs = c \# ys @ [d])$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *arcs-cases'*:

**assumes**  $(a, b) \in \text{set}(\text{arcs } i \ j \ xs)$   $xs \neq []$   
**shows**  $(\exists ys. xs = b \# ys \wedge a = i) \vee (\exists ys. xs = ys @ [a] \wedge b = j) \vee$   
 $(\exists ys zs. xs = ys @ a \# b \# zs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *arcs-successor'*:

**assumes**  $(a, b) \in \text{set}(\text{arcs } i \ j \ xs)$   $b \neq j$   
**shows**  $\exists c. xs = [b] \wedge a = i \vee (\exists ys. xs = b \# c \# ys \wedge a = i) \vee (\exists ys.$   
 $xs = ys @ [a, b] \wedge c = j)$   
 $\vee (\exists ys zs. xs = ys @ a \# b \# c \# zs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *list-last*:

$xs = [] \vee (\exists y ys. xs = ys @ [y])$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *arcs-predecessor''*:

**assumes**  $(a, b) \in \text{set}(\text{arcs } i \ j \ xs)$   $a \neq i$   
**shows**  $\exists c. xs = [a] \vee (\exists ys. xs = a \# b \# ys) \vee (\exists ys. xs = ys @ [c, a]$   
 $\wedge b = j)$   
 $\vee (\exists ys zs. xs = ys @ c \# a \# b \# zs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *arcs-ex-middle*:

$\exists b. (a, b) \in \text{set}(\text{arcs } i \ j (ys @ a \# xs))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *arcs-ex-head*:

$\exists b. (i, b) \in \text{set}(\text{arcs } i \ j \ xs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

#### 4.7.1 Successive

**fun** *successive* **where**

*successive*  $- [] = \text{True}$  |  
*successive*  $P [-] = \text{True}$  |

*successive*  $P$  ( $x \# y \# xs$ )  $\longleftrightarrow \neg P y \wedge$  *successive*  $P$   $xs \vee \neg P x \wedge$  *successive*  $P$  ( $y \# xs$ )

**lemma**  $\neg$  *successive* ( $\lambda x. x > (0 :: nat)$ ) [ $Suc 0, Suc 0$ ]  $\langle proof \rangle$   
**lemma** *successive* ( $\lambda x. x > (0 :: nat)$ ) [ $Suc 0$ ]  $\langle proof \rangle$   
**lemma** *successive* ( $\lambda x. x > (0 :: nat)$ ) [ $Suc 0, 0, Suc 0$ ]  $\langle proof \rangle$   
**lemma**  $\neg$  *successive* ( $\lambda x. x > (0 :: nat)$ ) [ $Suc 0, 0, Suc 0, Suc 0$ ]  $\langle proof \rangle$   
**lemma**  $\neg$  *successive* ( $\lambda x. x > (0 :: nat)$ ) [ $Suc 0, 0, 0, Suc 0, Suc 0$ ]  $\langle proof \rangle$   
**lemma** *successive* ( $\lambda x. x > (0 :: nat)$ ) [ $Suc 0, 0, 0, Suc 0, 0$ ]  $\langle proof \rangle$   
**lemma** *successive* ( $\lambda x. x > (0 :: nat)$ ) [ $Suc 0, 0, Suc 0, 0, Suc 0$ ]  $\langle proof \rangle$   
**lemma** *successive* ( $\lambda x. x > (0 :: nat)$ ) [ $0, 0, Suc 0, 0$ ]  $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *successive-step*: *successive*  $P$  ( $x \# xs$ )  $\implies \neg P x \implies$  *successive*  $P$   $xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *successive-step-2*: *successive*  $P$  ( $x \# y \# xs$ )  $\implies \neg P y \implies$  *successive*  $P$   $xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *successive-stepI*:  
*successive*  $P$   $xs \implies \neg P x \implies$  *successive*  $P$  ( $x \# xs$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *list-two-induct*[*case-names Nil Single Cons*]:  
**fixes**  $P :: 'a list \Rightarrow bool$   
**and**  $list :: 'a list$   
**assumes** *Nil*:  $P []$   
**assumes** *Single*:  $\bigwedge x. P [x]$   
**and** *Cons*:  $\bigwedge x1 x2 xs. P xs \implies P (x2 \# xs) \implies P (x1 \# x2 \# xs)$   
**shows**  $P$   $xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *successive-end-1*:  
*successive*  $P$   $xs \implies \neg P x \implies$  *successive*  $P$  ( $xs @ [x]$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *successive-ends-1*:  
*successive*  $P$   $xs \implies \neg P x \implies$  *successive*  $P$   $ys \implies$  *successive*  $P$  ( $xs @ x \# ys$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *successive-ends-1'*:

$\text{successive } P \ xs \implies \neg P \ x \implies P \ y \implies \neg P \ z \implies \text{successive } P \ ys \implies$   
 $\text{successive } P \ (xs @ x \# y \# z \# ys)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *successive-end-2*:

$\text{successive } P \ xs \implies \neg P \ x \implies \text{successive } P \ (xs @ [x,y])$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *successive-end-2'*:

$\text{successive } P \ (xs @ [x]) \implies \neg P \ x \implies \text{successive } P \ (xs @ [x,y])$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *successive-end-3*:

$\text{successive } P \ (xs @ [x]) \implies \neg P \ x \implies P \ y \implies \neg P \ z \implies \text{successive } P \ (xs @ [x,y,z])$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *successive-step-rev*:

$\text{successive } P \ (xs @ [x]) \implies \neg P \ x \implies \text{successive } P \ xs$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *successive-glue*:

$\text{successive } P \ (zs @ [z]) \implies \text{successive } P \ (x \# xs) \implies \neg P \ z \vee \neg P \ x \implies$   
 $\text{successive } P \ (zs @ [z] @ x \# xs)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *successive-glue'*:

$\text{successive } P \ (zs @ [y]) \wedge \neg P \ z \vee \text{successive } P \ zs \wedge \neg P \ y$   
 $\implies \text{successive } P \ (x \# xs) \wedge \neg P \ w \vee \text{successive } P \ xs \wedge \neg P \ x$   
 $\implies \neg P \ z \vee \neg P \ w \implies \text{successive } P \ (zs @ y \# z \# w \# x \# xs)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *successive-dest-head*:

$xs = w \# x \# ys \implies \text{successive } P \ xs \implies \text{successive } P \ (x \# xs) \wedge \neg P \ w$   
 $\vee \text{successive } P \ xs \wedge \neg P \ x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *successive-dest-tail*:

$xs = zs @ [y,z] \implies \text{successive } P \ xs \implies \text{successive } P \ (zs @ [y]) \wedge \neg P \ z$   
 $\vee \text{successive } P \ zs \wedge \neg P \ y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *successive-split*:

$xs = ys @ zs \implies \text{successive } P \ xs \implies \text{successive } P \ ys \wedge \text{successive } P \ zs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** successive-decomp:

assumes  $xs = x \# ys @ zs @ [z] \implies$  successive  $P xs \implies \neg P x \vee \neg P z \implies$  successive  $P (zs @ [z] @ (x \# ys))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** successive-predecessor:

assumes  $(a, b) \in set (arcs i j xs)$   $a \neq i$  successive  $P (arcs i j xs)$   $P (a, b)$   
 $xs \neq []$   
shows  $\exists c. (xs = [a] \wedge c = i \vee (\exists ys. xs = a \# b \# ys \wedge c = i) \vee (\exists ys. xs = ys @ [c, a] \wedge b = j)$   
 $\vee (\exists ys zs. xs = ys @ c \# a \# b \# zs) \wedge \neg P (c, a)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**thm** arcs-successor'

**lemma** successive-successor:

assumes  $(a, b) \in set (arcs i j xs)$   $b \neq j$  successive  $P (arcs i j xs)$   $P (a, b)$   
 $xs \neq []$   
shows  $\exists c. (xs = [b] \wedge c = j \vee (\exists ys. xs = b \# c \# ys) \vee (\exists ys. xs = ys @ [a, b] \wedge c = j)$   
 $\vee (\exists ys zs. xs = ys @ a \# b \# c \# zs) \wedge \neg P (b, c)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** add-mono-right = add-mono[*OF order-refl*]

**lemmas** add-mono-left = add-mono[*OF - order-refl*]

**Obtaining successive and distinct paths** **lemma** canonical-successive:

fixes  $A B$

defines  $M \equiv \lambda i j. min (A i j) (B i j)$

assumes canonical  $A n$

assumes set  $xs \subseteq \{0..n\}$

assumes  $i \leq n j \leq n$

shows  $\exists ys. len M i j ys \leq len M i j xs \wedge set ys \subseteq \{0..n\}$

$\wedge$  successive  $(\lambda (a, b). M a b = A a b) (arcs i j ys)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** canonical-successive-distinct:

fixes  $A B$

defines  $M \equiv \lambda i j. min (A i j) (B i j)$

assumes canonical  $A n$

assumes set  $xs \subseteq \{0..n\}$

**assumes**  $i \leq n$   $j \leq n$   
**assumes**  $\text{distinct } xs$   $i \notin \text{set } xs$   $j \notin \text{set } xs$   
**shows**  $\exists ys. \text{len } M i j ys \leq \text{len } M i j xs \wedge \text{set } ys \subseteq \text{set } xs$   
 $\wedge \text{successive } (\lambda (a, b). M a b = A a b) (\text{arcs } i j ys)$   
 $\wedge \text{distinct } ys \wedge i \notin \text{set } ys \wedge j \notin \text{set } ys$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *successive-snd-last*:  $\text{successive } P (xs @ [x, y]) \implies P y \implies \neg P x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *canonical-shorten-rotate-neg-cycle*:  
**fixes**  $A B$   
**defines**  $M \equiv \lambda i j. \min(A i j) (B i j)$   
**assumes**  $\text{canonical } A n$   
**assumes**  $\text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..n\}$   
**assumes**  $i \leq n$   
**assumes**  $\text{len } M i i xs < 1$   
**shows**  $\exists j ys. \text{len } M j j ys < 1 \wedge \text{set } (j \# ys) \subseteq \text{set } (i \# xs)$   
 $\wedge \text{successive } (\lambda (a, b). M a b = A a b) (\text{arcs } j j ys)$   
 $\wedge \text{distinct } ys \wedge j \notin \text{set } ys \wedge$   
 $(ys \neq [] \longrightarrow M j (hd ys) \neq A j (hd ys) \vee M (\text{last } ys) j \neq A$   
 $(\text{last } ys) j)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *successive-arcs-extend-last*:  
 $\text{successive } P (\text{arcs } i j xs) \implies \neg P (i, \text{hd } xs) \vee \neg P (\text{last } xs, j) \implies xs \neq []$   
 $\implies \text{successive } P (\text{arcs } i j xs @ [(i, \text{hd } xs)])$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cycle-rotate-arcs*:  
**fixes**  $M :: ('a :: \text{linordered-ab-monoid-add}) \text{ mat}$   
**assumes**  $\text{length } xs > 1$   $(i, j) \in \text{arcs}' xs$   
**shows**  $\exists ys zs. \text{set } (\text{arcs } a a xs) = \text{set } (\text{arcs } i i (j \# ys @ a \# zs)) \wedge xs = zs @ i \# j \# ys$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cycle-rotate-len-arcs-successive*:  
**fixes**  $M :: ('a :: \text{linordered-ab-monoid-add}) \text{ mat}$   
**assumes**  $\text{length } xs > 1$   $(i, j) \in \text{arcs}' xs$   $\text{successive } P (\text{arcs } a a xs) \neg P (a, \text{hd } xs) \vee \neg P (\text{last } xs, a)$   
**shows**  $\exists ys zs. \text{len } M a a xs = \text{len } M i i (j \# ys @ a \# zs)$   
 $\wedge \text{set } (\text{arcs } a a xs) = \text{set } (\text{arcs } i i (j \# ys @ a \# zs)) \wedge xs = zs @ i \# j \# ys$   
 $\wedge \text{successive } P (\text{arcs } i i (j \# ys @ a \# zs))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** successive-successors:

$xs = ys @ a \# b \# c \# zs \implies \text{successive } P (\text{arcs } i j xs) \implies \neg P (a, b)$   
 $\vee \neg P (b, c)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** successive-successors':

$xs = ys @ a \# b \# zs \implies \text{successive } P xs \implies \neg P a \vee \neg P b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** cycle-rotate-len-arcs-successive':

**fixes**  $M :: ('a :: \text{linordered-ab-monoid-add}) \text{ mat}$   
**assumes**  $\text{length } xs > 1$   $(i, j) \in \text{arcs}' xs$   $\text{successive } P (\text{arcs } a a xs)$   
 $\neg P (a, \text{hd } xs) \vee \neg P (\text{last } xs, a)$   
**shows**  $\exists ys zs. \text{len } M a a xs = \text{len } M i i (j \# ys @ a \# zs)$   
 $\wedge \text{set} (\text{arcs } a a xs) = \text{set} (\text{arcs } i i (j \# ys @ a \# zs)) \wedge xs =$   
 $zs @ i \# j \# ys$   
 $\wedge \text{successive } P (\text{arcs } i i (j \# ys @ a \# zs) @ [(i, j)])$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** cycle-rotate-3:

**fixes**  $M :: ('a :: \text{linordered-ab-monoid-add}) \text{ mat}$   
**assumes**  $xs \neq []$   $(i, j) \in \text{set} (\text{arcs } a a xs)$   $\text{successive } P (\text{arcs } a a xs) \neg P$   
 $(a, \text{hd } xs) \vee \neg P (\text{last } xs, a)$   
**shows**  $\exists ys. \text{len } M a a xs = \text{len } M i i (j \# ys) \wedge \text{set} (i \# j \# ys) = \text{set}$   
 $(a \# xs) \wedge 1 + \text{length } ys = \text{length } xs$   
 $\wedge \text{set} (\text{arcs } a a xs) = \text{set} (\text{arcs } i i (j \# ys))$   
 $\wedge \text{successive } P (\text{arcs } i i (j \# ys))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** cycle-rotate-3':

**fixes**  $M :: ('a :: \text{linordered-ab-monoid-add}) \text{ mat}$   
**assumes**  $xs \neq []$   $(i, j) \in \text{set} (\text{arcs } a a xs)$   $\text{successive } P (\text{arcs } a a xs) \neg P$   
 $(a, \text{hd } xs) \vee \neg P (\text{last } xs, a)$   
**shows**  $\exists ys. \text{len } M a a xs = \text{len } M i i (j \# ys) \wedge \text{set} (i \# j \# ys) = \text{set}$   
 $(a \# xs) \wedge 1 + \text{length } ys = \text{length } xs$   
 $\wedge \text{set} (\text{arcs } a a xs) = \text{set} (\text{arcs } i i (j \# ys))$   
 $\wedge \text{successive } P (\text{arcs } i i (j \# ys) @ [(i, j)])$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**theory** DBM-Basics

**imports** DBM Paths-Cycles

**begin**

```
fun get-const where
  get-const (Le c) = c |
  get-const (Lt c) = c |
  get-const  $\infty$  = undefined
```

#### 4.7.2 Discourse on updating DBMs

**abbreviation**  $DBM\text{-}update :: ('t::time) DBM \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('t DBMEntry) \Rightarrow ('t::time) DBM$

**where**

$DBM\text{-}update M m n v \equiv (\lambda x y. \text{if } m = x \wedge n = y \text{ then } v \text{ else } M x y)$

**fun**  $DBM\text{-}upd :: ('t::time) DBM \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 't DBMEntry) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 't DBM$

**where**

$DBM\text{-}upd M f 0 0 - = DBM\text{-}update M 0 0 (f 0 0) |$

$DBM\text{-}upd M f (Suc i) 0 n = DBM\text{-}update (DBM\text{-}upd M f i n n) (Suc i) 0 (f (Suc i) 0) |$

$DBM\text{-}upd M f i (Suc j) n = DBM\text{-}update (DBM\text{-}upd M f i j n) i (Suc j) (f i (Suc j))$

**lemma**  $upd\text{-}1$ :

**assumes**  $j \leq n$

**shows**  $DBM\text{-}upd M1 f (Suc m) n N (Suc m) j = DBM\text{-}upd M1 f (Suc m) j N (Suc m) j$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $upd\text{-}2$ :

**assumes**  $i \leq m$

**shows**  $DBM\text{-}upd M1 f (Suc m) n N i j = DBM\text{-}upd M1 f (Suc m) 0 N i j$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $upd\text{-}3$ :

**assumes**  $m \leq N n \leq N j \leq n i \leq m$

**shows**  $(DBM\text{-}upd M1 f m n N) i j = (DBM\text{-}upd M1 f i j N) i j$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $upd\text{-}id$ :

**assumes**  $m \leq N n \leq N i \leq m j \leq n$

**shows**  $(DBM\text{-}upd M1 f m n N) i j = f i j$

$\langle proof \rangle$

#### 4.7.3 Zones and DBMs

**definition** *DBM-zone-repr* :: ('t::time) DBM  $\Rightarrow$  ('c  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('c, 't :: time) zone

([-], [-] 72, 72, 72] 72)

**where**

$$[M]_{v,n} = \{u . \text{DBM-val-bounded } v u M n\}$$

**lemma** *dbm-entry-val-mono-1*:

dbm-entry-val u (Some c) (Some c') b  $\Rightarrow$  b  $\preceq$  b'  $\Rightarrow$  dbm-entry-val u (Some c) (Some c') b'

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dbm-entry-val-mono-2*:

dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) b  $\Rightarrow$  b  $\preceq$  b'  $\Rightarrow$  dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) b'

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dbm-entry-val-mono-3*:

dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None b  $\Rightarrow$  b  $\preceq$  b'  $\Rightarrow$  dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None b'

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *DBM-le-subset*:

$\forall i j. i \leq n \rightarrow j \leq n \rightarrow M i j \preceq M' i j \Rightarrow u \in [M]_{v,n} \Rightarrow u \in [M']_{v,n}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

#### 4.7.4 DBMs Without Negative Cycles are Non-Empty

We need all of these assumptions for the proof that matrices without negative cycles represent non-negative zones:

- \* Abelian (linearly ordered) monoid
- \* Time is non-trivial
- \* Time is dense

**lemmas** (in linordered-ab-monoid-add) *comm* = add.commute

**lemma** *sum-gt-neutral-dest'*:

$(a :: (('a :: time) DBMEntry)) \geq \mathbf{1} \Rightarrow a + b > \mathbf{1} \Rightarrow \exists d. Le d \leq a \wedge Le (-d) \leq b \wedge d \geq 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sum-gt-neutral-dest*:

$(a :: (('a :: time) DBMEntry)) + b > \mathbf{1} \Rightarrow \exists d. Le d \leq a \wedge Le (-d) \leq b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

#### 4.7.5 Negative Cycles in DBMs

**lemma** *DBM-val-bounded-neg-cycle1*:  
**fixes**  $i$   $xs$  **assumes**  
*bounded*:  $DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u M n \text{ and } A:i \leq n \text{ set } xs \subseteq \{0..n\} \text{ len } M$   
 $i \in xs < 1 \text{ and}$   
*surj-on*:  $\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \rightarrow (\exists c. v c = k) \text{ and at-most}$ :  $i \neq 0 \text{ cnt } 0 \in xs \leq 1$   
**shows** *False*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cnt-0-I*:  
 $x \notin \text{set } xs \implies \text{cnt } x \in xs = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *distinct-cnt*:  $\text{distinct } xs \implies \text{cnt } x \in xs \leq 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *DBM-val-bounded-neg-cycle*:  
**fixes**  $i$   $xs$  **assumes**  
*bounded*:  $DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u M n \text{ and } A:i \leq n \text{ set } xs \subseteq \{0..n\} \text{ len } M$   
 $i \in xs < 1 \text{ and}$   
*surj-on*:  $\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \rightarrow (\exists c. v c = k)$   
**shows** *False*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

#### 4.7.6 Floyd-Warshall Algorithm Preservers Zones

**lemma** *D-dest*:  $x = D m i j k \implies$   
 $x \in \{\text{len } m i j \in xs \mid xs. \text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..k\} \wedge i \notin \text{set } xs \wedge j \notin \text{set } xs \wedge \text{distinct } xs\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *FW-zone-equiv*:  
 $\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \rightarrow (\exists c. v c = k) \implies [M]_{v,n} = [FW M]_{v,n}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *new-negative-cycle-aux'*:  
**fixes**  $M :: ('a :: time) DBM$   
**fixes**  $i j d$   
**defines**  $M' \equiv \lambda i' j'. \text{if } (i' = i \wedge j' = j) \text{ then } Le d$   
 $\quad \text{else if } (i' = j \wedge j' = i) \text{ then } Le (-d)$   
 $\quad \text{else } M i' j'$   
**assumes**  $i \leq n j \leq n \text{ set } xs \subseteq \{0..n\} \text{ cycle-free } M n \text{ length } xs = m$

**assumes**  $\text{len } M' i i (j \# xs) < \mathbf{1} \vee \text{len } M' j j (i \# xs) < \mathbf{1}$   
**assumes**  $i \neq j$   
**shows**  $\exists xs. \text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..n\} \wedge j \notin \text{set } xs \wedge i \notin \text{set } xs$   
 $\wedge (\text{len } M' i i (j \# xs) < \mathbf{1} \vee \text{len } M' j j (i \# xs) < \mathbf{1}) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *new-negative-cycle-aux*:

**fixes**  $M :: ('a :: \text{time}) \text{DBM}$   
**fixes**  $i d$   
**defines**  $M' \equiv \lambda i' j'. \text{if } (i' = i \wedge j' = 0) \text{ then } Le d$   
 $\quad \text{else if } (i' = 0 \wedge j' = i) \text{ then } Le (-d)$   
 $\quad \text{else } M i' j'$   
**assumes**  $i \leq n$   $\text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..n\}$  *cycle-free*  $M n$  *length*  $xs = m$   
**assumes**  $\text{len } M' 0 0 (i \# xs) < \mathbf{1} \vee \text{len } M' i i (0 \# xs) < \mathbf{1}$   
**assumes**  $i \neq 0$   
**shows**  $\exists xs. \text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..n\} \wedge 0 \notin \text{set } xs \wedge i \notin \text{set } xs$   
 $\wedge (\text{len } M' 0 0 (i \# xs) < \mathbf{1} \vee \text{len } M' i i (0 \# xs) < \mathbf{1}) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 4.8 The Characteristic Property of Canonical DBMs

**theorem** *fix-index'*:

**fixes**  $M :: (('a :: \text{time}) \text{DBMEntry}) \text{mat}$   
**assumes**  $Le r \leq M i j Le (-r) \leq M j i$  *cycle-free*  $M n$  *canonical*  $M n i \leq n j \leq n i \neq j$   
**defines**  $M' \equiv \lambda i' j'. \text{if } (i' = i \wedge j' = j) \text{ then } Le r$   
 $\quad \text{else if } (i' = j \wedge j' = i) \text{ then } Le (-r)$   
 $\quad \text{else } M i' j'$   
**shows**  $(\forall u. \text{DBM-val-bounded } v u M' n \longrightarrow \text{DBM-val-bounded } v u M n)$   
 $\wedge \text{cycle-free } M' n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *fix-index*:

**fixes**  $M :: (('a :: \text{time}) \text{DBMEntry}) \text{mat}$   
**assumes**  $M 0 i + M i 0 > \mathbf{1}$  *cycle-free*  $M n$  *canonical*  $M n i \leq n i \neq 0$   
**shows**  
 $\exists (M' :: ('a \text{DBMEntry}) \text{mat}). (\exists u. \text{DBM-val-bounded } v u M' n) \longrightarrow$   
 $(\exists u. \text{DBM-val-bounded } v u M n)$   
 $\wedge M' 0 i + M' i 0 = \mathbf{1} \wedge \text{cycle-free } M' n$   
 $\wedge (\forall j. i \neq j \wedge M 0 j + M j 0 = \mathbf{1} \longrightarrow M' 0 j + M' j 0 = \mathbf{1})$   
 $\wedge (\forall j. i \neq j \wedge M 0 j + M j 0 > \mathbf{1} \longrightarrow M' 0 j + M' j 0 > \mathbf{1})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**Putting it together** **lemma** *FW-not-empty*:

*DBM-val-bounded*  $v u (FW M' n) n \Longrightarrow \text{DBM-val-bounded } v u M' n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *fix-indices*:

**fixes**  $M :: (('a :: time) DBMEntry) mat$

**assumes**  $\text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..n\}$  *distinct xs*

**assumes** *cyc-free*  $M n$  *canonical*  $M n$

**shows**

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists (M' :: ('a DBMEntry) mat). ((\exists u. DBM-val-bounded v u M' n) \longrightarrow \\ & (\exists u. DBM-val-bounded v u M n)) \\ & \quad \wedge (\forall i \in \text{set } xs. i \neq 0 \longrightarrow M' 0 i + M' i 0 = \mathbf{1}) \wedge \text{cyc-free } M' n \\ & \quad \wedge (\forall i \leq n. i \notin \text{set } xs \wedge M 0 i + M i 0 = \mathbf{1} \longrightarrow M' 0 i + M' i 0 = \mathbf{1}) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cyc-free-obtains-valuation*:

*cyc-free*  $M n \implies \forall c. v c \leq n \longrightarrow v c > 0 \implies \exists u. DBM-val-bounded v u M n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

#### 4.8.1 Floyd-Warshall and Empty DBMs

**theorem** *FW-detects-empty-zone*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall k \leq n. 0 < k \longrightarrow (\exists c. v c = k) \implies \forall c. v c \leq n \longrightarrow v c > 0 \\ & \implies [FW M n]_{v,n} = \{\} \longleftrightarrow (\exists i \leq n. (FW M n) i i < Le 0) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**hide-const**  $D$

#### 4.8.2 Mixed Corollaries

**lemma** *cyc-free-not-empty*:

**assumes** *cyc-free*  $M n \forall c. v c \leq n \longrightarrow 0 < v c$

**shows**  $[(M :: ('a :: time) DBM)]_{v,n} \neq \{\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *empty-not-cyc-free*:

**assumes**  $\forall c. v c \leq n \longrightarrow 0 < v c$   $[(M :: ('a :: time) DBM)]_{v,n} = \{\}$

**shows**  $\neg \text{cyc-free } M n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *not-empty-cyc-free*:

**assumes**  $\forall k \leq n. 0 < k \longrightarrow (\exists c. v c = k) [(M :: ('a :: time) DBM)]_{v,n} \neq \{\}$

**shows** *cyc-free*  $M n$   $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma neg-cycle-empty:
  assumes  $\forall k \leq n. 0 < k \rightarrow (\exists c. v c = k) \text{ set } xs \subseteq \{0..n\} i \leq n \text{ len } M i$ 
   $i \in xs < 1$ 
  shows  $[(M :: ('a :: time) DBM)]_{v,n} = \{\} \langle proof \rangle$ 

abbreviation clock-numbering' :: ('c ⇒ nat) ⇒ nat ⇒ bool
where
  clock-numbering' v n ≡  $\forall c. v c > 0 \wedge (\forall x. \forall y. v x \leq n \wedge v y \leq n \wedge v x = v y \rightarrow x = y)$ 

lemma non-empty-dbm-diag-set:
  clock-numbering' v n ⇒  $[M]_{v,n} \neq \{\} \Rightarrow [M]_{v,n} = [(\lambda i j. \text{if } i = j \text{ then}$ 
  1 else M i j)]v,n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma non-empty-cycle-free:
  assumes  $[M]_{v,n} \neq \{\}$ 
  and  $\forall k \leq n. 0 < k \rightarrow (\exists c. v c = k)$ 
  shows cycle-free M n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma neg-diag-empty:
  assumes  $\forall k \leq n. 0 < k \rightarrow (\exists c. v c = k) i \leq n M i i < 1$ 
  shows  $[M]_{v,n} = \{\} \langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma canonical-empty-zone:
  assumes  $\forall k \leq n. 0 < k \rightarrow (\exists c. v c = k) \forall c. v c \leq n \rightarrow 0 < v c$ 
  and canonical M n
  shows  $[M]_{v,n} = \{\} \longleftrightarrow (\exists i \leq n. M i i < 1)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 5 Forward Analysis on DBMs

```

theory DBM-Operations
  imports DBM-Basics
begin

```

### 5.1 Auxiliary

```

lemma gt-swap:
  fixes a b c :: 't :: time

```

```

assumes  $c < a + b$ 
shows  $c < b + a$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma le-swap:
  fixes  $a b c :: 't :: time$ 
  assumes  $c \leq a + b$ 
  shows  $c \leq b + a$ 
⟨proof⟩

abbreviation clock-numbering :: ('c ⇒ nat) ⇒ bool
where
  clock-numbering  $v \equiv \forall c. v c > 0$ 

```

## 5.2 Time Lapse

```

definition up :: ('t::time) DBM ⇒ ('t::time) DBM
where
  up  $M \equiv$ 
     $\lambda i j. \text{if } i > 0 \text{ then if } j = 0 \text{ then } \infty \text{ else } \min(\text{dbm-add}(M i 0)(M 0 j))$ 
     $(M i j) \text{ else } M i j$ 

lemma dbm-entry-dbm-lt:
  assumes dbm-entry-val  $u (\text{Some } c1)(\text{Some } c2) a a \prec b$ 
  shows dbm-entry-val  $u (\text{Some } c1)(\text{Some } c2) b$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma dbm-entry-dbm-min2:
  assumes dbm-entry-val  $u \text{None } (\text{Some } c) (\min a b)$ 
  shows dbm-entry-val  $u \text{None } (\text{Some } c) b$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma dbm-entry-dbm-min3:
  assumes dbm-entry-val  $u (\text{Some } c) \text{None } (\min a b)$ 
  shows dbm-entry-val  $u (\text{Some } c) \text{None } b$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma dbm-entry-dbm-min:
  assumes dbm-entry-val  $u (\text{Some } c1)(\text{Some } c2) (\min a b)$ 
  shows dbm-entry-val  $u (\text{Some } c1)(\text{Some } c2) b$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma dbm-entry-dbm-min3':
  assumes dbm-entry-val  $u (\text{Some } c) \text{None } (\min a b)$ 

```

```

shows dbm-entry-val u (Some c) None a
⟨proof⟩

lemma dbm-entry-dbm-min2':
assumes dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) (min a b)
shows dbm-entry-val u None (Some c) a
⟨proof⟩

lemma dbm-entry-dbm-min':
assumes dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) (min a b)
shows dbm-entry-val u (Some c1) (Some c2) a
⟨proof⟩

lemma DBM-up-complete': clock-numbering v  $\implies$  u  $\in ([M]_{v,n})^\uparrow \implies u \in [up M]_{v,n}$ 
⟨proof⟩

fun theLe :: ('t::time) DBMEntry  $\Rightarrow$  't where
  theLe (Le d) = d |
  theLe (Lt d) = d |
  theLe  $\infty$  = 0

lemma DBM-up-sound':
assumes clock-numbering' v n u  $\in [up M]_{v,n}$ 
shows u  $\in ([M]_{v,n})^\uparrow$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

### 5.3 From Clock Constraints to DBMs

```

fun And :: ('t :: time) DBM  $\Rightarrow$  't DBM  $\Rightarrow$  't DBM where
  And M1 M2 = ( $\lambda i j.$  min (M1 i j) (M2 i j))

fun abstr :: ('c, 't::time) cconstraint  $\Rightarrow$  't DBM  $\Rightarrow$  ('c  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  't DBM
where
  abstr (AND cc1 cc2) M v = And (abstr cc1 M v) (abstr cc2 M v) |
  abstr (EQ c d) M v =
    ( $\lambda i j.$  if  $i = 0 \wedge j = v$  c then Le  $(-d)$  else if  $i = v$  c  $\wedge j = 0$  then Le
    d else M i j) |
  abstr (LT c d) M v =
    ( $\lambda i j.$  if  $i = 0 \wedge j = v$  c then  $\infty$  else if  $i = v$  c  $\wedge j = 0$  then Lt d else
    M i j) |
  abstr (LE c d) M v =
    ( $\lambda i j.$  if  $i = 0 \wedge j = v$  c then  $\infty$  else if  $i = v$  c  $\wedge j = 0$  then Le d else
    M i j) |

```

$$\begin{aligned}
abstr (GT c d) M v = & \\
(\lambda i j. \text{if } i = 0 \wedge j = v \text{ then } Lt (-d) \text{ else if } i = v \text{ and } j = 0 \text{ then } \infty & \\
\text{else } M i j) | \\
abstr (GE c d) M v = & \\
(\lambda i j. \text{if } i = 0 \wedge j = v \text{ then } Le (-d) \text{ else if } i = v \text{ and } j = 0 \text{ then } \infty & \\
\text{else } M i j)
\end{aligned}$$

**lemma** *abstr-id1*:

$$\begin{aligned}
c \notin \text{collect-clks } cc \implies \text{clock-numbering}' v n \implies \forall c \in \text{collect-clks } cc. v c & \\
\leq n \implies abstr cc M v 0 (v c) = M 0 (v c) & \\
\langle \text{proof} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

**lemma** *abstr-id2*:

$$\begin{aligned}
c \notin \text{collect-clks } cc \implies \text{clock-numbering}' v n \implies \forall c \in \text{collect-clks } cc. v c & \\
\leq n \implies abstr cc M v (v c) 0 = M (v c) 0 & \\
\langle \text{proof} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

This lemma is trivial because we constrained our theory to difference constraints.

**lemma** *abstr-id3*:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{clock-numbering } v \implies abstr cc M v (v c1) (v c2) = M (v c1) (v c2) & \\
\langle \text{proof} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

**lemma** *dbm-abstr-soundness* :

$$\begin{aligned}
\llbracket u \vdash cc; \text{clock-numbering}' v n; \forall c \in \text{collect-clks } cc. v c \leq n \rrbracket & \\
\implies \text{DBM-val-bounded } v u (\text{abstr } cc (\lambda i j. \infty) v) n & \\
\langle \text{proof} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

**lemma** *dbm-abstr-completeness*:

$$\begin{aligned}
\llbracket \text{DBM-val-bounded } v u (\text{abstr } cc (\lambda i j. \infty) v) n; \forall c. v c > 0; \forall c \in \text{collect-clks } cc. v c \leq n \rrbracket & \\
\implies u \vdash cc & \\
\langle \text{proof} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

**lemma** *dbm-abstr-zone-eq*:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{assumes } \text{clock-numbering}' v n \quad \forall c \in \text{collect-clks } cc. v c \leq n & \\
\text{shows } [\text{abstr } cc (\lambda i j. \infty) v]_{v,n} = \{u. u \vdash cc\} & \\
\langle \text{proof} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

## 5.4 Zone Intersection

**lemma** *DBM-and-complete*:

**assumes** *DBM-val-bounded v u M1 n DBM-val-bounded v u M2 n*  
**shows** *DBM-val-bounded v u (And M1 M2) n*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *DBM-and-sound1*:  
**assumes** *DBM-val-bounded v u (And M1 M2) n*  
**shows** *DBM-val-bounded v u M1 n*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *DBM-and-sound2*:  
**assumes** *DBM-val-bounded v u (And M1 M2) n*  
**shows** *DBM-val-bounded v u M2 n*  
*(proof)*

## 5.5 Clock Reset

### definition

*DBM-reset :: ('t :: time) DBM ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ 't ⇒ 't DBM ⇒ bool*  
**where**

*DBM-reset M n k d M' ≡*  

$$(\forall j \leq n. 0 < j \wedge k \neq j \longrightarrow M' k j = \infty \wedge M' j k = \infty) \wedge M' k 0 = Le d \wedge M' 0 k = Le (-d)$$

$$\wedge M' k k = M k k$$

$$\wedge (\forall i \leq n. \forall j \leq n. i \neq k \wedge j \neq k \longrightarrow M' i j = \min(dbm-add (M i k) (M k j)) (M i j))$$

**lemma** *DBM-reset-mono*:  
**assumes** *DBM-reset M n k d M' i ≤ n j ≤ n i ≠ k j ≠ k*  
**shows** *M' i j ≤ M i j*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *DBM-reset-len-mono*:  
**assumes** *DBM-reset M n k d M' k ∉ set xs i ≠ k j ≠ k set (i # j # xs) ⊆ {0..n}*  
**shows** *len M' i j xs ≤ len M i j xs*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *DBM-reset-neg-cycle-preservation*:  
**assumes** *DBM-reset M n k d M' len M i i xs < Le 0 set (k # i # xs) ⊆ {0..n}*  
**shows**  $\exists j. \exists ys. set (j \# ys) \subseteq \{0..n\} \wedge len M' j j ys < Le 0$   
*(proof)*

Implementation of DBM reset

**definition**  $\text{reset} :: ('t::\text{time}) \text{DBM} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow 't \text{DBM}$

**where**

$\text{reset } M n k d =$

$(\lambda i j.$

$\text{if } i = k \wedge j = 0 \text{ then } Le d$

$\text{else if } i = 0 \wedge j = k \text{ then } Le (-d)$

$\text{else if } i = k \wedge j \neq k \text{ then } \infty$

$\text{else if } i \neq k \wedge j = k \text{ then } \infty$

$\text{else if } i = k \wedge j = k \text{ then } M k k$

$\text{else min (dbm-add (M i k) (M k j)) (M i j)}$

$)$

**fun**  $\text{reset}' :: ('t::\text{time}) \text{DBM} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'c \text{ list} \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow \text{nat}) \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow 't \text{DBM}$

**where**

$\text{reset}' M n [] v d = M |$

$\text{reset}' M n (c \# cs) v d = \text{reset} (\text{reset}' M n cs v d) n (v c) d$

**lemma**  $\text{DBM-reset-reset}:$

$0 < k \implies k \leq n \implies \text{DBM-reset } M n k d (\text{reset } M n k d)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{DBM-reset-complete}:$

**assumes**  $\text{clock-numbering}' v n v c \leq n \text{ DBM-reset } M n (v c) d M'$

$\text{DBM-val-bounded } v u M n$

**shows**  $\text{DBM-val-bounded } v (u(c := d)) M' n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{DBM-reset-sound-empty}:$

**assumes**  $\text{clock-numbering}' v n v c \leq n \text{ DBM-reset } M n (v c) d M'$

$\forall u . \neg \text{DBM-val-bounded } v u M' n$

**shows**  $\neg \text{DBM-val-bounded } v u M n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{DBM-reset-diag-preservation}:$

$\forall k \leq n. M k k \leq \mathbf{1} \implies \text{DBM-reset } M n i d M' \implies \forall k \leq n. M' k k \leq \mathbf{1}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{FW-diag-preservation}:$

$\forall k \leq n. M k k \leq \mathbf{1} \implies \forall k \leq n. (\text{FW } M n) k k \leq \mathbf{1}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{DBM-reset-not-cyc-free-preservation}:$

**assumes**  $\neg \text{cyc-free } M n \text{ DBM-reset } M n k d M' k \leq n$

**shows**  $\neg \text{cyc-free } M' n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *DBM-reset-complete-empty'*:

**assumes**  $\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \rightarrow (\exists c. v c = k) \text{ clock-numbering } v k \leq n$

$\text{DBM-reset } M n k d M' \forall u. \neg \text{DBM-val-bounded } v u M n$

**shows**  $\neg \text{DBM-val-bounded } v u M' n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *DBM-reset-complete-empty*:

**assumes**  $\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \rightarrow (\exists c. v c = k) \text{ clock-numbering } v$

$\text{DBM-reset } (FW M n) n (v c) d M' \forall u. \neg \text{DBM-val-bounded } v u (FW M n) n$

**shows**  $\neg \text{DBM-val-bounded } v u M' n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *DBM-reset-complete-empty1*:

**assumes**  $\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \rightarrow (\exists c. v c = k) \text{ clock-numbering } v$

$\text{DBM-reset } (FW M n) n (v c) d M' \forall u. \neg \text{DBM-val-bounded } v u M n$

**shows**  $\neg \text{DBM-val-bounded } v u M' n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Lemma *FW-canonical-id* allows us to prove correspondences between reset and canonical, like for the two below. Can be left out for the rest because of the triviality of the correspondence.

**lemma** *DBM-reset-empty''*:

**assumes**  $\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \rightarrow (\exists c. v c = k) \text{ clock-numbering}' v n v c \leq n$

$\text{DBM-reset } M n (v c) d M'$

**shows**  $[M]_{v,n} = \{\} \longleftrightarrow [M']_{v,n} = \{\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *DBM-reset-empty*:

**assumes**  $\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \rightarrow (\exists c. v c = k) \text{ clock-numbering}' v n v c \leq n$

$\text{DBM-reset } (FW M n) n (v c) d M'$

**shows**  $[FW M n]_{v,n} = \{\} \longleftrightarrow [M']_{v,n} = \{\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *DBM-reset-empty'*:

**assumes** *canonical*  $M n \forall k \leq n. k > 0 \rightarrow (\exists c. v c = k) \text{ clock-numbering}'$

$v n v c \leq n$

$\text{DBM-reset } (FW M n) n (v c) d M'$

**shows**  $[M]_{v,n} = \{\} \longleftrightarrow [M']_{v,n} = \{\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *DBM-reset-sound'*:

**assumes** *clock-numbering' v n v c ≤ n DBM-reset M n (v c) d M'*  
*DBM-val-bounded v u M' n*  
*DBM-val-bounded v u'' M n*  
**obtains** *d' where DBM-val-bounded v (u(c := d')) M n*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *DBM-reset-sound2:*

**assumes** *v c ≤ n DBM-reset M n (v c) d M' DBM-val-bounded v u M' n*  
**shows** *u c = d*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *DBM-reset-sound'':*

**fixes** *M v c n d*  
**defines** *M' ≡ reset M n (v c) d*  
**assumes** *clock-numbering' v n v c ≤ n DBM-val-bounded v u M' n*  
*DBM-val-bounded v u'' M n*  
**obtains** *d' where DBM-val-bounded v (u(c := d')) M n*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *DBM-reset-sound:*

**fixes** *M v c n d*  
**defines** *M' ≡ reset M n (v c) d*  
**assumes**  $\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \rightarrow (\exists c. v c = k)$  *clock-numbering' v n v c ≤ n*  
*u ∈ [M']<sub>v,n</sub>*  
**obtains** *d' where u(c := d') ∈ [M]<sub>v,n</sub>*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *DBM-reset'-complete':*

**assumes** *DBM-val-bounded v u M n clock-numbering' v n ∀ c ∈ set cs. v c ≤ n*  
**shows**  $\exists u'. DBM-val-bounded v u' (reset' M n cs v d) n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *DBM-reset'-complete:*

**assumes** *DBM-val-bounded v u M n clock-numbering' v n ∀ c ∈ set cs. v c ≤ n*  
**shows** *DBM-val-bounded v ([cs → d]u) (reset' M n cs v d) n*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *DBM-reset'-sound-empty:*

**assumes** *clock-numbering' v n ∀ c ∈ set cs. v c ≤ n*

$\forall u . \neg DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u (reset' M n cs v d) n$   
**shows**  $\neg DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u M n$   
*(proof)*

```

fun set-clocks :: 'c list  $\Rightarrow$  't::time list  $\Rightarrow$  ('c,'t) cval  $\Rightarrow$  ('c,'t) cval
where
  set-clocks [] - u = u |
  set-clocks - [] u = u |
  set-clocks (c#cs) (t#ts) u = (set-clocks cs ts (u(c:=t)))

```

**lemma** DBM-reset'-sound':
   
**fixes** M v c n d cs
   
**assumes** clock-numbering' v n  $\forall c \in set cs. v c \leq n$ 
 $DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u (reset' M n cs v d) n DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u''$ 
 $M n$ 
**shows**  $\exists ts. DBM\text{-val-bounded } v (set-clocks cs ts u) M n$ 
*(proof)*

**lemma** DBM-reset'-resets:
   
**fixes** M v c n d cs
   
**assumes**  $\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. v c = k)$  clock-numbering' v n  $\forall c \in set cs. v c \leq n$ 
 $DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u (reset' M n cs v d) n$ 
**shows**  $\forall c \in set cs. u c = d$ 
*(proof)*

**lemma** DBM-reset'-resets':
   
**fixes** M v c n d cs
   
**assumes** clock-numbering' v n  $\forall c \in set cs. v c \leq n$   $DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u (reset' M n cs v d) n$ 
 $DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u'' M n$ 
**shows**  $\forall c \in set cs. u c = d$ 
*(proof)*

**lemma** DBM-reset'-neg-diag-preservation':
   
**assumes**  $k \leq n$  M k k < 1 clock-numbering v n  $\forall c \in set cs. v c \leq n$ 
**shows** reset' M n cs v d k k < 1 *(proof)*

**lemma** DBM-reset'-complete-empty':
   
**assumes**  $\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \longrightarrow (\exists c. v c = k)$  clock-numbering' v n
  $\forall c \in set cs. v c \leq n \forall u . \neg DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u M n$ 
**shows**  $\forall u . \neg DBM\text{-val-bounded } v u (reset' M n cs v d) n$  *(proof)*

**lemma** DBM-reset'-complete-empty:

**assumes**  $\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \rightarrow (\exists c. v c = k) \text{ clock-numbering}' v n$   
 $\forall c \in \text{set } cs. v c \leq n \forall u. \neg \text{DBM-val-bounded } v u M n$   
**shows**  $\forall u. \neg \text{DBM-val-bounded } v u (\text{reset}' (FW M n) n cs v d) n \langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{DBM-reset}'\text{-empty}':$

**assumes**  $\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \rightarrow (\exists c. v c = k) \text{ clock-numbering}' v n \forall c \in \text{set } cs. v c \leq n$   
**shows**  $[M]_{v,n} = \{\} \longleftrightarrow [\text{reset}' (FW M n) n cs v d]_{v,n} = \{\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{DBM-reset}'\text{-empty}:$

**assumes**  $\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \rightarrow (\exists c. v c = k) \text{ clock-numbering}' v n \forall c \in \text{set } cs. v c \leq n$   
**shows**  $[M]_{v,n} = \{\} \longleftrightarrow [\text{reset}' M n cs v d]_{v,n} = \{\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{DBM-reset}'\text{-sound}:$

**assumes**  $\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \rightarrow (\exists c. v c = k) \text{ clock-numbering}' v n$   
**and**  $\forall c \in \text{set } cs. v c \leq n$   
**and**  $u \in [\text{reset}' M n cs v d]_{v,n}$   
**shows**  $\exists ts. \text{set-clocks } cs ts u \in [M]_{v,n}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 5.6 Misc Preservation Lemmas

**lemma**  $\text{get-const-sum}[simp]:$

$a \neq \infty \Rightarrow b \neq \infty \Rightarrow \text{get-const } a \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \text{get-const } b \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \text{get-const } (a + b) \in \mathbb{Z}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{sum-not-inf-dest}:$

**assumes**  $a + b \neq \infty$   
**shows**  $a \neq \infty \wedge b \neq \infty$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{sum-not-inf-int}:$

**assumes**  $a + b \neq \infty \text{ get-const } a \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ get-const } b \in \mathbb{Z}$   
**shows**  $\text{get-const } (a + b) \in \mathbb{Z}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{int-fw-upd}:$

$\forall i \leq n. \forall j \leq n. m i j \neq \infty \rightarrow \text{get-const } (m i j) \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow k \leq n \Rightarrow i \leq n \Rightarrow j \leq n$   
 $\Rightarrow i' \leq n \Rightarrow j' \leq n \Rightarrow (fw-upd m k i j i' j') \neq \infty$

$\implies \text{get-const} (\text{fw-upd } m \ k \ i \ j \ i' \ j') \in \mathbb{Z}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *fw-int-aux-c*:

**assumes**  $\forall i \leq n. \forall j \leq n. M i j \neq \infty \rightarrow \text{get-const} (M i j) \in \mathbb{Z} a \leq n b \leq n c \leq n i \leq n j \leq n ((\text{fw } M n) \ 0 \ 0 \ c) \ i \ j \neq \infty$

**shows**  $\text{get-const} (((\text{fw } M n) \ 0 \ 0 \ c) \ i \ j) \in \mathbb{Z}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *fw-int-aux-Suc-b*:

**assumes**  $\forall i \leq n. \forall j \leq n. (\text{fw } M n) \ a \ b \ n \ i \ j \neq \infty \rightarrow \text{get-const} ((\text{fw } M n) \ a \ b \ n \ i \ j) \in \mathbb{Z} a \leq n \ Suc \ b \leq n \ c \leq n \ i \leq n \ j \leq n ((\text{fw } M n) \ a \ (\text{Suc } b) \ c) \ i \ j \neq \infty$

**shows**  $\text{get-const} (((\text{fw } M n) \ a \ (\text{Suc } b) \ c) \ i \ j) \in \mathbb{Z}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *fw-int-aux-b*:

**assumes**  $\forall i \leq n. \forall j \leq n. M i j \neq \infty \rightarrow \text{get-const} (M i j) \in \mathbb{Z} a \leq n b \leq n c \leq n i \leq n j \leq n ((\text{fw } M n) \ 0 \ b \ c) \ i \ j \neq \infty$

**shows**  $\text{get-const} (((\text{fw } M n) \ 0 \ b \ c) \ i \ j) \in \mathbb{Z}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *fw-int-aux-Suc-a*:

**assumes**  $\forall i \leq n. \forall j \leq n. (\text{fw } M n) \ a \ n \ n \ i \ j \neq \infty \rightarrow \text{get-const} ((\text{fw } M n) \ a \ n \ n \ i \ j) \in \mathbb{Z} Suc \ a \leq n \ b \leq n \ c \leq n \ i \leq n \ j \leq n ((\text{fw } M n) \ (\text{Suc } a) \ b \ c) \ i \ j \neq \infty$

**shows**  $\text{get-const} (((\text{fw } M n) \ (\text{Suc } a) \ b \ c) \ i \ j) \in \mathbb{Z}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *fw-int-preservation*:

**assumes**  $\forall i \leq n. \forall j \leq n. M i j \neq \infty \rightarrow \text{get-const} (M i j) \in \mathbb{Z} a \leq n b \leq n c \leq n i \leq n j \leq n ((\text{fw } M n) \ a \ b \ c) \ i \ j \neq \infty$

**shows**  $\text{get-const} (((\text{fw } M n) \ a \ b \ c) \ i \ j) \in \mathbb{Z}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *FW-int-preservation*:

**assumes**  $\forall i \leq n. \forall j \leq n. M i j \neq \infty \rightarrow \text{get-const} (M i j) \in \mathbb{Z}$

**shows**  $\forall i \leq n. \forall j \leq n. FW M n i j \neq \infty \rightarrow \text{get-const} (FW M n i j) \in \mathbb{Z}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**abbreviation** *dbm-int*  $M n \equiv \forall i \leq n. \forall j \leq n. M i j \neq \infty \rightarrow \text{get-const} (M i j)$

$i j) \in \mathbb{Z}$

**lemma** *And-int-preservation:*

**assumes** *dbm-int M1 n dbm-int M2 n*

**shows** *dbm-int (And M1 M2) n*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *up-int-preservation:*

*dbm-int M n  $\implies$  dbm-int (up M) n*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *DBM-reset-int-preservation':*

**assumes** *dbm-int M n DBM-reset M n k d M' d  $\in \mathbb{Z}$   $k \leq n$*

**shows** *dbm-int M' n*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *DBM-reset-int-preservation:*

**assumes** *dbm-int M n d  $\in \mathbb{Z}$   $0 < k k \leq n$*

**shows** *dbm-int (reset M n k d) n*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *DBM-reset'-int-preservation:*

**assumes** *dbm-int M n d  $\in \mathbb{Z}$   $\forall c. v c > 0 \forall c \in set cs. v c \leq n$*

**shows** *dbm-int (reset' M n cs v d) n*  $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *int-zone-dbm:*

**assumes** *clock-numbering' v n*

$\forall (-,d) \in collect-clock-pairs cc. d \in \mathbb{Z} \forall c \in collect-clks cc. v c \leq n$

**obtains** *M where*  $\{u. u \vdash cc\} = [M]_{v,n}$

**and**  $\forall i \leq n. \forall j \leq n. M i j \neq \infty \longrightarrow get-const (M i j) \in \mathbb{Z}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *reset-set1:*

$\forall c \in set cs. ([cs \rightarrow d]u) c = d$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *reset-set11:*

$\forall c. c \notin set cs \longrightarrow ([cs \rightarrow d]u) c = u c$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *reset-set2:*

$\forall c. c \notin set cs \longrightarrow (set-clocks cs ts u)c = u c$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *reset-set*:

**assumes**  $\forall c \in \text{set } cs. u c = d$

**shows**  $[cs \rightarrow d](\text{set-clocks } cs ts u) = u$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**abbreviation** *global-clock-numbering* ::  
 $('a, 'c, 't :: \text{time}, 's) \text{ ta} \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow \text{nat}) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

**where**

*global-clock-numbering*  $A v n \equiv$   
 $\text{clock-numbering}' v n \wedge (\forall c \in \text{clk-set } A. v c \leq n) \wedge (\forall k \leq n. k > 0 \rightarrow (\exists c. v c = k))$

**lemma** *dbm-int-abstr*:

**assumes**  $\forall (x, m) \in \text{collect-clock-pairs } g. m \in \mathbb{Z}$

**shows**  $\text{dbm-int}(\text{abstr } g (\lambda i j. \infty) v) n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *dbm-int-inv-abstr*:

**assumes**  $\forall (x, m) \in \text{clkp-set } A. m \in \mathbb{N}$

**shows**  $\text{dbm-int}(\text{abstr}(\text{inv-of } A l) (\lambda i j. \infty) v) n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *dbm-int-guard-abstr*:

**assumes** *valid-abstraction*  $A X k A \vdash l \rightarrow^{g, a, r} l'$

**shows**  $\text{dbm-int}(\text{abstr } g (\lambda i j. \infty) v) n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *collect-clks-id*:  $\text{collect-clks } cc = \text{fst} \langle \text{collect-clock-pairs } cc \rangle$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 5.6.1 Unused theorems

**lemma** *canonical-cyc-free*:

$\text{canonical } M n \implies \forall i \leq n. M i i \geq \mathbf{1} \implies \text{cyc-free } M n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *canonical-cyc-free2*:

$\text{canonical } M n \implies \text{cyc-free } M n \longleftrightarrow (\forall i \leq n. M i i \geq \mathbf{1})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *DBM-reset'-diag-preservation*:

**assumes**  $\forall k \leq n. M k k \leq \mathbf{1}$  *clock-numbering*  $v$   $\forall c \in \text{set } cs. v c \leq n$

**shows**  $\forall k \leq n. \text{reset}' M n cs v d k k \leq \mathbf{1}$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

### 5.6.2 Semantics Based on DBMs

```
theory DBM-Zone-Semantics
imports DBM-Operations
begin
```

### 5.6.3 Single Step

**inductive** step-z-dbm ::

```
('a, 'c, 't, 's) ta  $\Rightarrow$  's  $\Rightarrow$  ('t::time) DBM
 $\Rightarrow$  ('c  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  's  $\Rightarrow$  ('t::time) DBM  $\Rightarrow$  bool
(-  $\vdash$  (-, -)  $\rightsquigarrow_{-, -}$  (-, -) [61,61,61] 61)
```

**where**

step-t-z-dbm:

```
D-inv = abstr (inv-of A l) ( $\lambda i j. \infty$ ) v  $\implies$  A  $\vdash$  ⟨l,D⟩  $\rightsquigarrow_{v,n}$  ⟨l,And (up
(And D D-inv)) D-inv⟩ |
```

step-a-z-dbm:

```
A  $\vdash$  l  $\longrightarrow^{g,a,r}$  l'
 $\implies$  A  $\vdash$  ⟨l,D⟩  $\rightsquigarrow_{v,n}$  ⟨l',And (reset' (And D (abstr g ( $\lambda i j. \infty$ ) v)) n r v
0)
 $\qquad$  (abstr (inv-of A l') ( $\lambda i j. \infty$ ) v))
```

**inductive-cases** step-z-cases: A  $\vdash$  ⟨l, D⟩  $\rightsquigarrow_{v,n}$  ⟨l', D'⟩

**declare** step-z-dbm.intros[intro]

**lemma** step-z-dbm-preserves-int:

```
assumes A  $\vdash$  ⟨l,D⟩  $\rightsquigarrow_{v,n}$  ⟨l',D'⟩ global-clock-numbering A v n valid-abstraction
A X k
      dbm-int D n
shows dbm-int D' n
⟨proof⟩
```

**lemma** And-correct:

```
shows [M1]v,n  $\cap$  [M2]v,n = [And M1 M2]v,n
⟨proof⟩
```

**lemma** up-correct:

```
assumes clock-numbering' v n
shows [up M]v,n = [M]v,n†
⟨proof⟩
```

**lemma** step-z-dbm-sound:

**assumes**  $A \vdash \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{v,n} \langle l', D' \rangle$  global-clock-numbering  $A v n$   
**shows**  $A \vdash \langle l, [D]_{v,n} \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', [D']_{v,n} \rangle$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** step-z-dbm-DBM:

**assumes**  $A \vdash \langle l, [D]_{v,n} \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', Z \rangle$  global-clock-numbering  $A v n$   
**obtains**  $D'$  **where**  $A \vdash \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{v,n} \langle l', D' \rangle$   $Z = [D']_{v,n}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** step-z-computable:

**assumes**  $A \vdash \langle l, [D]_{v,n} \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', Z \rangle$  global-clock-numbering  $A v n$   
**obtains**  $D'$  **where**  $Z = [D']_{v,n}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** step-z-dbm-complete:

**assumes** global-clock-numbering  $A v n$   $A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow \langle l', u' \rangle$   
**and**  $u \in [(D)]_{v,n}$   
**shows**  $\exists D'. A \vdash \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{v,n} \langle l', D' \rangle \wedge u' \in [D']_{v,n}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

#### 5.6.4 Multi Step

**inductive** steps-z-dbm ::

$('a, 'c, 't, 's) ta \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow ('t::time) DBM$   
 $\Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow ('t::time) DBM \Rightarrow bool$   
 $(\text{-} \vdash \langle \text{-}, \text{-} \rangle \rightsquigarrow^*_{\text{-}, \text{-}} \langle \text{-}, \text{-} \rangle [61, 61, 61] 61)$

**where**

*refl*:  $A \vdash \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow^*_{v,n} \langle l, D \rangle$  |  
*step*:  $A \vdash \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{v,n} \langle l', D' \rangle \implies A \vdash \langle l', D' \rangle \rightsquigarrow^*_{v,n} \langle l'', D'' \rangle \implies$   
 $A \vdash \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow^*_{v,n} \langle l'', D'' \rangle$

**declare** steps-z-dbm.intros[intro]

**lemma** steps-z-dbm-sound:

**assumes**  $A \vdash \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow^*_{v,n} \langle l', D' \rangle$   
**and** global-clock-numbering  $A v n$   
**and**  $u' \in [D']_{v,n}$   
**shows**  $\exists u \in [D]_{v,n}. A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle l', u' \rangle$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** steps-z-dbm-complete:

**assumes**  $A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle l', u' \rangle$   
**and** global-clock-numbering  $A v n$   
**and**  $u \in [D]_{v,n}$   
**shows**  $\exists D'. A \vdash \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow^*_{v,n} \langle l', D' \rangle \wedge u' \in [D']_{v,n}$   $\langle proof \rangle$

```
end
```

```
<proof><proof><proof><proof><proof><proof><proof><proof><proof><proof><proof><proof><proof><proof><proof><proof>
```

## 5.7 Normalization of DBMs

```
theory DBM-Normalization
imports DBM-Basics
begin
```

This is the implementation of the common approximation operation.

```
fun norm-upper :: ('t::time) DBMEntry ⇒ 't ⇒ ('t::time) DBMEntry
where
```

```
norm-upper e t = (if Le t < e then ∞ else e)
```

```
fun norm-lower :: ('t::time) DBMEntry ⇒ 't ⇒ ('t::time) DBMEntry
where
```

```
norm-lower e t = (if e < Lt t then Lt t else e)
```

Note that literature pretends that **0** would have some (presumably infinite bound) in  $k$  and thus defines normalization uniformly. The easiest way to get around this seems to explicate this in the definition as below.

```
definition norm :: ('t::time) DBM ⇒ (nat ⇒ 't) ⇒ nat ⇒ 't DBM
where
```

```
norm M k n ≡ λ i j.
```

```
let ub = if i > 0 then (k i) else 0 in
```

```
let lb = if j > 0 then (− k j) else 0 in
```

```
if i ≤ n ∧ j ≤ n then norm-lower (norm-upper (M i j) ub) lb else M i j
```

## 5.8 Normalization is a Widening Operator

```
lemma norm-mono:
```

```
assumes ∀ c. v c > 0 u ∈ [M]v,n
```

```
shows u ∈ [norm M k n]v,n (is u ∈ [?M2]v,n)
```

```
<proof>
```

```
end
```

```
theory Regions-Beta
```

```
imports Misc DBM-Normalization DBM-Operations
```

```
begin
```

## 6 Refinement to $\beta$ -regions

### 6.1 Definition

**type-synonym**  $'c\ ceiling = ('c \Rightarrow nat)$

```
datatype intv =
  Const nat |
  Intv nat |
  Greater nat
```

```
datatype intv' =
  Const' int |
  Intv' int |
  Greater' int |
  Smaller' int
```

**type-synonym**  $t = real$

```
instantiation real :: time
begin
  instance ⟨proof⟩
end
```

```
inductive valid-intv :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  intv  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
   $0 \leq d \Rightarrow d \leq c \Rightarrow valid\text{-}intv c (Const d) |$ 
   $0 \leq d \Rightarrow d < c \Rightarrow valid\text{-}intv c (Intv d) |$ 
   $valid\text{-}intv c (Greater c)$ 
```

```
inductive valid-intv' :: int  $\Rightarrow$  int  $\Rightarrow$  intv'  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
   $valid\text{-}intv' l - (Smaller' (-l)) |$ 
   $-l \leq d \Rightarrow d \leq u \Rightarrow valid\text{-}intv' l u (Const' d) |$ 
   $-l \leq d \Rightarrow d < u \Rightarrow valid\text{-}intv' l u (Intv' d) |$ 
   $valid\text{-}intv' - u (Greater' u)$ 
```

```
inductive intv-elem ::  $'c \Rightarrow ('c, t)$  eval  $\Rightarrow$  intv  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
   $u x = d \Rightarrow intv\text{-}elem x u (Const d) |$ 
   $d < u x \Rightarrow u x < d + 1 \Rightarrow intv\text{-}elem x u (Intv d) |$ 
   $c < u x \Rightarrow intv\text{-}elem x u (Greater c)$ 
```

**inductive** intv'-elem ::  $'c \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow ('c, t)$  eval  $\Rightarrow$  intv'  $\Rightarrow$  bool

**where**

$$\begin{aligned} u \ x - u \ y < c &\implies \text{intv'-elem } x \ y \ u (\text{Smaller}' \ c) \mid \\ u \ x - u \ y = d &\implies \text{intv'-elem } x \ y \ u (\text{Const}' \ d) \mid \\ d < u \ x - u \ y &\implies u \ x - u \ y < d + 1 \implies \text{intv'-elem } x \ y \ u (\text{Intv}' \ d) \mid \\ c < u \ x - u \ y &\implies \text{intv'-elem } x \ y \ u (\text{Greater}' \ c) \end{aligned}$$

**abbreviation** *total-preorder r*  $\equiv$  *refl r*  $\wedge$  *trans r*

**inductive** *isConst :: intv  $\Rightarrow$  bool*

**where**

$$\text{isConst } (\text{Const } -)$$

**inductive** *isIntv :: intv  $\Rightarrow$  bool*

**where**

$$\text{isIntv } (\text{Intv } -)$$

**inductive** *isGreater :: intv  $\Rightarrow$  bool*

**where**

$$\text{isGreater } (\text{Greater } -)$$

**declare** *isIntv.intros[intro!]* *isConst.intros[intro!]* *isGreater.intros[intro!]*

**declare** *isIntv.cases[elim!]* *isConst.cases[elim!]* *isGreater.cases[elim!]*

**inductive** *valid-region :: 'c set  $\Rightarrow$  ('c  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  ('c  $\Rightarrow$  intv)  $\Rightarrow$  ('c  $\Rightarrow$  'c  $\Rightarrow$  intv')  $\Rightarrow$  'c rel  $\Rightarrow$  bool*

**where**

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket X_0 = \{x \in X. \exists d. I x = \text{Intv } d\}; \text{refl-on } X_0 \ r; \text{trans } r; \text{total-on } X_0 \ r; \\ \forall x \in X. \text{valid-intv } (k x) (I x); \\ \forall x \in X. \forall y \in X. \text{isGreater } (I x) \vee \text{isGreater } (I y) \longrightarrow \text{valid-intv}' (k y) (k x) (J x y) \rrbracket \\ \implies \text{valid-region } X k I J r \end{aligned}$$

**inductive-set** *region for X I J r*

**where**

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x \in X. u \ x \geq 0 &\implies \forall x \in X. \text{intv-elem } x \ u (I x) \implies X_0 = \{x \in X. \\ \exists d. I x = \text{Intv } d\} &\implies \\ \forall x \in X_0. \forall y \in X_0. (x, y) \in r &\longleftrightarrow \text{frac } (u \ x) \leq \text{frac } (u \ y) \implies \\ \forall x \in X. \forall y \in X. \text{isGreater } (I x) \vee \text{isGreater } (I y) &\longrightarrow \text{intv'-elem } x \ y \\ u (J x y) &\implies u \in \text{region } X I J r \end{aligned}$$

Defining the unique element of a partition that contains a valuation

**definition** *part ([]- [61,61] 61)* **where** *part v R*  $\equiv$  *THE R. R  $\in$  R  $\wedge$  v  $\in$*

$R$

First we need to show that the set of regions is a partition of the set of all clock assignments. This property is only claimed by P. Bouyer.

```

inductive-cases[elim!]: intv-elem  $x u$  (Const  $d$ )
inductive-cases[elim!]: intv-elem  $x u$  (Intv  $d$ )
inductive-cases[elim!]: intv-elem  $x u$  (Greater  $d$ )
inductive-cases[elim!]: valid-intv  $c$  (Greater  $d$ )
inductive-cases[elim!]: valid-intv  $c$  (Const  $d$ )
inductive-cases[elim!]: valid-intv  $c$  (Intv  $d$ )
inductive-cases[elim!]: intv'-elem  $x y u$  (Const'  $d$ )
inductive-cases[elim!]: intv'-elem  $x y u$  (Intv'  $d$ )
inductive-cases[elim!]: intv'-elem  $x y u$  (Greater'  $d$ )
inductive-cases[elim!]: intv'-elem  $x y u$  (Smaller'  $d$ )
inductive-cases[elim!]: valid-intv'  $l u$  (Greater'  $d$ )
inductive-cases[elim!]: valid-intv'  $l u$  (Smaller'  $d$ )
inductive-cases[elim!]: valid-intv'  $l u$  (Const'  $d$ )
inductive-cases[elim!]: valid-intv'  $l u$  (Intv'  $d$ )

declare valid-intv.intros[intro]
declare valid-intv'.intros[intro]
declare intv-elem.intros[intro]
declare intv'-elem.intros[intro]

declare region.cases[elim]
declare valid-region.cases[elim]
```

## 6.2 Basic Properties

First we show that all valid intervals are distinct

**lemma** *valid-intv-distinct*:

```

valid-intv  $c I \implies valid-intv c I' \implies intv-elem x u I \implies intv-elem x u I'$ 
 $\implies I = I'$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

**lemma** *valid-intv'-distinct*:

```

 $-c \leq d \implies valid-intv' c d I \implies valid-intv' c d I' \implies intv'-elem x y u I$ 
 $\implies intv'-elem x y u I'$ 
 $\implies I = I'$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

From this we show that all valid regions are distinct

**lemma** *valid-regions-distinct*:

```

valid-region X k I J r ==> valid-region X k I' J' r' ==> v ∈ region X I J
r ==> v ∈ region X I' J' r'
==> region X I J r = region X I' J' r'
⟨proof⟩

```

```

locale Beta-Regions =
  fixes X k R and V :: ('c, t) eval set
  defines R ≡ {region X I J r | I J r. valid-region X k I J r}
  defines V ≡ {v . ∀ x ∈ X. v x ≥ 0}
  assumes finite: finite X
  assumes non-empty: X ≠ {}
begin

```

```

lemma R-regions-distinct:
  [R ∈ R; v ∈ R; R' ∈ R; R ≠ R'] ==> v ∉ R'
⟨proof⟩

```

Secondly, we also need to show that every valuations belongs to a region which is part of the partition.

```

definition intv-of :: nat ⇒ t ⇒ intv where
  intv-of c v ≡
    if (v > c) then Greater c
    else if (∃ x :: nat. x = v) then (Const (nat (floor v)))
    else (Intv (nat (floor v)))

```

```

definition intv'-of :: int ⇒ int ⇒ t ⇒ intv' where
  intv'-of l u v ≡
    if (v > u) then Greater' u
    else if (v < l) then Smaller' l
    else if (∃ x :: int. x = v) then (Const' (floor v))
    else (Intv' (floor v))

```

```

lemma region-cover:
  ∀ x ∈ X. v x ≥ 0 ==> ∃ R. R ∈ R ∧ v ∈ R
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma region-cover-V: v ∈ V ==> ∃ R. R ∈ R ∧ v ∈ R ⟨proof⟩

```

Note that we cannot show that every region is non-empty anymore. The problem are regions fixing differences between an 'infeasible' constant.

We can show that there is always exactly one region a valid valuation belongs to. Note that we do not need non-emptiness for that.

```

lemma regions-partition:

```

$\forall x \in X. 0 \leq v x \implies \exists! R \in \mathcal{R}. v \in R$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *region-unique*:

$v \in R \implies R \in \mathcal{R} \implies [v]_{\mathcal{R}} = R$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *regions-partition'*:

$\forall x \in X. 0 \leq v x \implies \forall x \in X. 0 \leq v' x \implies v' \in [v]_{\mathcal{R}} \implies [v']_{\mathcal{R}} = [v]_{\mathcal{R}}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *regions-closed*:

$R \in \mathcal{R} \implies v \in R \implies t \geq 0 \implies [v \oplus t]_{\mathcal{R}} \in \mathcal{R}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *regions-closed'*:

$R \in \mathcal{R} \implies v \in R \implies t \geq 0 \implies (v \oplus t) \in [v \oplus t]_{\mathcal{R}}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *valid-regions-I-cong*:

*valid-region*  $X k I J r \implies \forall x \in X. I x = I' x$   
 $\implies \forall x \in X. \forall y \in X. (\text{isGreater}(I x) \vee \text{isGreater}(I y)) \longrightarrow J x y = J' x y$   
 $\implies \text{region } X I J r = \text{region } X I' J' r \wedge \text{valid-region } X k I' J' r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**fun** *intv-const* :: *intv*  $\Rightarrow$  *nat*

**where**

*intv-const* (*Const*  $d$ ) =  $d$  |  
*intv-const* (*Intv*  $d$ ) =  $d$  |  
*intv-const* (*Greater*  $d$ ) =  $d$

**fun** *intv'-const* :: *intv'*  $\Rightarrow$  *int*

**where**

*intv'-const* (*Smaller'*  $d$ ) =  $d$  |  
*intv'-const* (*Const'*  $d$ ) =  $d$  |  
*intv'-const* (*Intv'*  $d$ ) =  $d$  |  
*intv'-const* (*Greater'*  $d$ ) =  $d$

**lemma** *finite-R-aux*:

**fixes**  $P A B$  **assumes** *finite*  $\{x. A x\}$  *finite*  $\{x. B x\}$   
**shows** *finite*  $\{(I, J) \mid I J. P I J r \wedge A I \wedge B J\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma finite- $\mathcal{R}$ :
  notes [[simproc add: finite-Collect]]
  shows finite  $\mathcal{R}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

### 6.3 Approximation with $\beta$ -regions

```

locale Beta-Regions' = Beta-Regions +
  fixes  $v\ n$  not-in- $X$ 
  assumes clock-numbering:  $\forall c. v\ c > 0 \wedge (\forall x. \forall y. v\ x \leq n \wedge v\ y \leq n \wedge v\ x = v\ y \rightarrow x = y)$ 
     $\forall k :: nat \leq n. k > 0 \rightarrow (\exists c \in X. v\ c = k) \forall c \in X. v\ c \leq n$ 
  assumes not-in- $X$ : not-in- $X \notin X$ 
begin

```

**definition**  $v' \equiv \lambda i. \text{if } i \leq n \text{ then } (\text{THE } c. c \in X \wedge v\ c = i) \text{ else not-in-}X$

```

lemma v-v':
   $\forall c \in X. v'(v\ c) = c$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

#### abbreviation

$vabstr (S :: ('a, t) zone) M \equiv S = [M]_{v,n} \wedge (\forall i \leq n. \forall j \leq n. M\ i\ j \neq \infty \rightarrow get-const (M\ i\ j) \in \mathbb{Z})$

**definition** normalized:

```

normalized  $M \equiv$ 
   $(\forall i\ j. 0 < i \wedge i \leq n \wedge 0 < j \wedge j \leq n \wedge M\ i\ j \neq \infty \rightarrow$ 
     $Lt (- ((k\ o\ v')\ j)) \leq M\ i\ j \wedge M\ i\ j \leq Le ((k\ o\ v')\ i))$ 
     $\wedge (\forall i \leq n. i > 0 \rightarrow (M\ i\ 0 \leq Le ((k\ o\ v')\ i) \vee M\ i\ 0 = \infty) \wedge Lt (- ((k\ o\ v')\ i)) \leq M\ 0\ i))$ 

```

**definition** apx-def:

$Approx_\beta Z \equiv \bigcap \{S. \exists U\ M. S = \bigcup U \wedge U \subseteq \mathcal{R} \wedge Z \subseteq S \wedge vabstr S\ M \wedge normalized\ M\}$

**lemma** apx-min:

```

 $S = \bigcup U \rightarrow U \subseteq \mathcal{R} \rightarrow S = [M]_{v,n} \rightarrow \forall i \leq n. \forall j \leq n. M\ i\ j \neq \infty$ 
 $\rightarrow get-const (M\ i\ j) \in \mathbb{Z}$ 
 $\rightarrow normalized\ M \rightarrow Z \subseteq S \rightarrow Approx_\beta Z \subseteq S$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma**  $U \neq \{\} \implies x \in \bigcap U \implies \exists S \in U. x \in S \langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\mathcal{R}\text{-union: } \bigcup \mathcal{R} = V \langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{all-dbm: } \exists M. \text{vabstr}(\bigcup \mathcal{R}) M \wedge \text{normalized } M \langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\mathcal{R}\text{-int: }$

$R \in \mathcal{R} \implies R' \in \mathcal{R} \implies R \neq R' \implies R \cap R' = \{\} \langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{aux1: }$

$u \in R \implies R \in \mathcal{R} \implies U \subseteq \mathcal{R} \implies u \in \bigcup U \implies R \subseteq \bigcup U \langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{aux2: } x \in \bigcap U \implies U \neq \{\} \implies \exists S \in U. x \in S \langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{aux2': } x \in \bigcap U \implies U \neq \{\} \implies \forall S \in U. x \in S \langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{apx-subset: } Z \subseteq \text{Approx}_\beta Z \langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{aux3: }$

$\forall X \in U. \forall Y \in U. X \cap Y \in U \implies S \subseteq U \implies S \neq \{\} \implies \text{finite } S \implies \bigcap S \in U \langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{empty-zone-dbm: }$

$\exists M :: t \text{DBM}. \text{vabstr}(\{\}) M \wedge \text{normalized } M \wedge (\forall k \leq n. M k k \leq \text{Le } 0) \langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{valid-dbms-int: }$

$\forall X \in \{S. \exists M. \text{vabstr } S M\}. \forall Y \in \{S. \exists M. \text{vabstr } S M\}. X \cap Y \in \{S. \exists M. \text{vabstr } S M\} \langle proof \rangle$

**print-statement**  $\text{split-min}$

**lemma**  $\text{split-min': }$

$P(\min i j) = ((\min i j = i \longrightarrow P i) \wedge (\min i j = j \longrightarrow P j)) \langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{normalized-and-preservation: }$

$\text{normalized } M1 \implies \text{normalized } M2 \implies \text{normalized } (\text{And } M1 M2) \langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma valid-dbms-int':
   $\forall X \in \{S. \exists M. vabstr S M \wedge normalized M\}. \forall Y \in \{S. \exists M. vabstr S M \wedge normalized M\}.$ 
   $X \cap Y \in \{S. \exists M. vabstr S M \wedge normalized M\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma apx-in:
   $Z \subseteq V \implies Approx_{\beta} Z \in \{S. \exists U M. S = \bigcup U \wedge U \subseteq \mathcal{R} \wedge Z \subseteq S \wedge vabstr S M \wedge normalized M\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma apx-empty:
   $Approx_{\beta} \{\} = \{\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

## 6.4 Computing $\beta$ -Approximation

```

context Beta-Regions'
begin

lemma dbm-regions:
   $vabstr S M \implies normalized M \implies [M]_{v,n} \neq \{\} \implies [M]_{v,n} \subseteq V \implies \exists U \subseteq \mathcal{R}. S = \bigcup U$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma dbm-regions':
   $vabstr S M \implies normalized M \implies S \subseteq V \implies \exists U \subseteq \mathcal{R}. S = \bigcup U$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma dbm-regions'':
   $dbm\text{-int } M n \implies normalized M \implies [M]_{v,n} \subseteq V \implies \exists U \subseteq \mathcal{R}. [M]_{v,n} = \bigcup U$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma canonical-saturated-1:
  assumes Le  $r \leq M (v c1) 0$ 
  and Le  $(-r) \leq M 0 (v c1)$ 
  and cycle-free  $M n$ 
  and canonical  $M n$ 
  and  $v c1 \leq n$ 
  and  $v c1 > 0$ 
  and  $\forall c. v c \leq n \rightarrow 0 < v c$ 

```

**obtains**  $u$  **where**  $u \in [M]_{v,n}$   $u \ c1 = r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** canonical-saturated-2:

**assumes**  $Le\ r \leq M\ 0$  ( $v\ c2$ )  
**and**  $Le\ (-r) \leq M$  ( $v\ c2$ )  $0$   
**and** cycle-free  $M\ n$   
**and** canonical  $M\ n$   
**and**  $v\ c2 \leq n$   
**and**  $v\ c2 > 0$   
**and**  $\forall c. v\ c \leq n \longrightarrow 0 < v\ c$   
**obtains**  $u$  **where**  $u \in [M]_{v,n}$   $u \ c2 = -r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** canonical-saturated-3:

**assumes**  $Le\ r \leq M$  ( $v\ c1$ ) ( $v\ c2$ )  
**and**  $Le\ (-r) \leq M$  ( $v\ c2$ ) ( $v\ c1$ )  
**and** cycle-free  $M\ n$   
**and** canonical  $M\ n$   
**and**  $v\ c1 \leq n$   $v\ c2 \leq n$   
**and**  $v\ c1 \neq v\ c2$   
**and**  $\forall c. v\ c \leq n \longrightarrow 0 < v\ c$   
**obtains**  $u$  **where**  $u \in [M]_{v,n}$   $u \ c1 - u \ c2 = r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** DBM-canonical-subset-le:

**notes** any-le-inf[intro]  
**fixes**  $M :: t\ DBM$   
**assumes** canonical  $M\ n$   $[M]_{v,n} \subseteq [M']_{v,n}$   $[M]_{v,n} \neq \{\}$   $i \leq n$   $j \leq n$   $i \neq j$   
**shows**  $M\ i\ j \leq M'\ i\ j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** DBM-set-diag:

**assumes**  $[M]_{v,n} \neq \{\}$   
**shows**  $[M]_{v,n} = [(\lambda i\ j. \text{if } i = j \text{ then } Le\ 0 \text{ else } M\ i\ j)]_{v,n}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** DBM-le-subset':

**assumes**  $\forall i \leq n. \forall j \leq n. i \neq j \longrightarrow M\ i\ j \leq M'\ i\ j$   
**and**  $\forall i \leq n. M'\ i\ i \geq Le\ 0$   
**and**  $u \in [M]_{v,n}$   
**shows**  $u \in [M']_{v,n}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *neg-diag-empty-spec*:

**assumes**  $i \leq n$   $M i i < \mathbf{1}$

**shows**  $[M]_{v,n} = \{\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *canonical-empty-zone-spec*:

**assumes** *canonical M n*

**shows**  $[M]_{v,n} = \{\} \longleftrightarrow (\exists i \leq n. M i i < \mathbf{1})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *norm-set-diag*:

**assumes** *canonical M n*  $[M]_{v,n} \neq \{\}$

**obtains**  $M'$  **where**  $[M]_{v,n} = [M']_{v,n}$   $[norm M (k o v') n]_{v,n} = [norm M' (k o v') n]_{v,n}$

$\forall i \leq n. M' i i = \mathbf{1}$  *canonical M' n*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *norm-normalizes*:

**notes** *any-le-inf[intro]*

**shows** *normalized (norm M (k o v') n)*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *norm-int-preservation*:

**assumes** *dbm-int M n i j*  $i \leq n$   $j \leq n$   $norm M (k o v') n i j \neq \infty$

**shows** *get-const (norm M (k o v') n i j) ∈ ℤ*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *norm-V-preservation'*:

**notes** *any-le-inf[intro]*

**assumes**  $[M]_{v,n} \subseteq V$  *canonical M n*  $[M]_{v,n} \neq \{\}$

**shows**  $[norm M (k o v') n]_{v,n} \subseteq V$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *norm-V-preservation*:

**assumes**  $[M]_{v,n} \subseteq V$  *canonical M n*

**shows**  $[norm M (k o v') n]_{v,n} \subseteq V$  (**is**  $[?M]_{v,n} \subseteq V$ )

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *norm-min*:

**assumes** *normalized M1*  $[M]_{v,n} \subseteq [M1]_{v,n}$   
*canonical M n*  $[M]_{v,n} \neq \{\}$   $[M]_{v,n} \subseteq V$

**shows**  $[norm M (k o v') n]_{v,n} \subseteq [M1]_{v,n}$  (**is**  $[?M2]_{v,n} \subseteq [M1]_{v,n}$ )

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma apx-norm-eq:
  assumes canonical  $M\ n$   $[M]_{v,n} \subseteq V$  dbm-int  $M\ n$ 
  shows  $\text{Approx}_\beta ([M]_{v,n}) = [\text{norm } M\ (k\ o\ v')\ n]_{v,n}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

## 6.5 Auxiliary $\beta$ -boundedness Theorems

```

context Beta-Regions'
begin

```

```

lemma  $\beta$ -boundedness-diag-lt:
  fixes  $m :: int$ 
  assumes  $- k\ y \leq m$   $m \leq k\ x$   $x \in X$   $y \in X$ 
  shows  $\exists\ U \subseteq \mathcal{R}. \bigcup U = \{u \in V. u\ x - u\ y < m\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $\beta$ -boundedness-diag-eq:
  fixes  $m :: int$ 
  assumes  $- k\ y \leq m$   $m \leq k\ x$   $x \in X$   $y \in X$ 
  shows  $\exists\ U \subseteq \mathcal{R}. \bigcup U = \{u \in V. u\ x - u\ y = m\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $\beta$ -boundedness-lt:
  fixes  $m :: int$ 
  assumes  $m \leq k\ x$   $x \in X$ 
  shows  $\exists\ U \subseteq \mathcal{R}. \bigcup U = \{u \in V. u\ x < m\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $\beta$ -boundedness-gt:
  fixes  $m :: int$ 
  assumes  $m \leq k\ x$   $x \in X$ 
  shows  $\exists\ U \subseteq \mathcal{R}. \bigcup U = \{u \in V. u\ x > m\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $\beta$ -boundedness-eq:
  fixes  $m :: int$ 
  assumes  $m \leq k\ x$   $x \in X$ 
  shows  $\exists\ U \subseteq \mathcal{R}. \bigcup U = \{u \in V. u\ x = m\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $\beta$ -boundedness-diag-le:
  fixes  $m :: int$ 

```

**assumes**  $- k y \leq m \ m \leq k x \ x \in X \ y \in X$   
**shows**  $\exists \ U \subseteq \mathcal{R}. \bigcup U = \{u \in V. u x - u y \leq m\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $\beta$ -boundedness-le:  
**fixes**  $m :: int$   
**assumes**  $m \leq k x \ x \in X$   
**shows**  $\exists \ U \subseteq \mathcal{R}. \bigcup U = \{u \in V. u x \leq m\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $\beta$ -boundedness-ge:  
**fixes**  $m :: int$   
**assumes**  $m \leq k x \ x \in X$   
**shows**  $\exists \ U \subseteq \mathcal{R}. \bigcup U = \{u \in V. u x \geq m\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $\beta$ -boundedness-diag-lt':  
**fixes**  $m :: int$   
**shows**  
 $- k y \leq (m :: int) \implies m \leq k x \implies x \in X \implies y \in X \implies Z \subseteq \{u \in V.$   
 $u x - u y < m\}$   
 $\implies \text{Approx}_\beta Z \subseteq \{u \in V. u x - u y < m\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $\beta$ -boundedness-diag-le':  
**fixes**  $m :: int$   
**shows**  
 $- k y \leq (m :: int) \implies m \leq k x \implies x \in X \implies y \in X \implies Z \subseteq \{u \in V.$   
 $u x - u y \leq m\}$   
 $\implies \text{Approx}_\beta Z \subseteq \{u \in V. u x - u y \leq m\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $\beta$ -boundedness-lt':  
**fixes**  $m :: int$   
**shows**  
 $m \leq k x \implies x \in X \implies Z \subseteq \{u \in V. u x < m\} \implies \text{Approx}_\beta Z \subseteq \{u \in V. u x < m\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $\beta$ -boundedness-gt':  
**fixes**  $m :: int$   
**shows**  
 $m \leq k x \implies x \in X \implies Z \subseteq \{u \in V. u x > m\} \implies \text{Approx}_\beta Z \subseteq \{u \in V. u x > m\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma obtains-dbm-le:
  fixes m :: int
  assumes x ∈ X m ≤ k x
  obtains M where vabstr {u ∈ V. u x ≤ m} M normalized M
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma β-boundedness-le':
  fixes m :: int
  shows m ≤ k x ⇒ x ∈ X ⇒ Z ⊆ {u ∈ V. u x ≤ m} ⇒ Approx_β Z ⊆ {u ∈ V. u x ≤ m}
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma obtains-dbm-ge:
  fixes m :: int
  assumes x ∈ X m ≤ k x
  obtains M where vabstr {u ∈ V. u x ≥ m} M normalized M
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma β-boundedness-ge':
  fixes m :: int
  shows m ≤ k x ⇒ x ∈ X ⇒ Z ⊆ {u ∈ V. u x ≥ m} ⇒ Approx_β Z
  ⊆ {u ∈ V. u x ≥ m}
⟨proof⟩
```

end

end

## 7 The Classic Construction for Decidability

```
theory Regions
imports Timed-Automata Misc
begin
```

The following is a formalization of regions in the correct version of Patricia Bouyer et al.

### 7.1 Definition of Regions

```
type-synonym 'c ceiling = ('c ⇒ nat)
```

```

datatype intv =
  Const nat |
  Intv nat |
  Greater nat

type-synonym t = real

instantiation real :: time
begin
  instance ⟨proof⟩
end

inductive valid-intv :: nat ⇒ intv ⇒ bool
where
   $0 \leq d \implies d \leq c \implies \text{valid-intv } c (\text{Const } d) |$ 
   $0 \leq d \implies d < c \implies \text{valid-intv } c (\text{Intv } d) |$ 
   $\text{valid-intv } c (\text{Greater } c)$ 

inductive intv-elem :: 'c ⇒ ('c,t) eval ⇒ intv ⇒ bool
where
   $u x = d \implies \text{intv-elem } x u (\text{Const } d) |$ 
   $d < u x \implies u x < d + 1 \implies \text{intv-elem } x u (\text{Intv } d) |$ 
   $c < u x \implies \text{intv-elem } x u (\text{Greater } c)$ 

abbreviation total-preorder r ≡ refl r ∧ trans r

inductive valid-region :: 'c set ⇒ ('c ⇒ nat) ⇒ ('c ⇒ intv) ⇒ 'c rel ⇒
  bool
where
   $\llbracket X_0 = \{x \in X. \exists d. I x = \text{Intv } d\}; \text{refl-on } X_0 r; \text{trans } r; \text{total-on } X_0 r;$ 
   $\forall x \in X. \text{valid-intv } (k x) (I x) \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{valid-region } X k I r$ 

inductive-set region for X I r
where
   $\forall x \in X. u x \geq 0 \implies \forall x \in X. \text{intv-elem } x u (I x) \implies X_0 = \{x \in X.$ 
   $\exists d. I x = \text{Intv } d\} \implies$ 
   $\forall x \in X_0. \forall y \in X_0. (x, y) \in r \longleftrightarrow \text{frac } (u x) \leq \text{frac } (u y)$ 
   $\implies u \in \text{region } X I r$ 

```

Defining the unique element of a partition that contains a valuation

**definition** part ([]- [61,61] 61) **where** part v R ≡ THE R. R ∈ R ∧ v ∈ R

**inductive-set** *Succ* for  $\mathcal{R}$  *R* where

$u \in R \implies R \in \mathcal{R} \implies R' \in \mathcal{R} \implies t \geq 0 \implies R' = [u \oplus t]_{\mathcal{R}} \implies R' \in \text{Succ } \mathcal{R} \text{ } R$

First we need to show that the set of regions is a partition of the set of all clock assignments. This property is only claimed by P. Bouyer.

**inductive-cases**[*elim!*]: *intv-elem*  $x u$  (*Const*  $d$ )

**inductive-cases**[*elim!*]: *intv-elem*  $x u$  (*Intv*  $d$ )

**inductive-cases**[*elim!*]: *intv-elem*  $x u$  (*Greater*  $d$ )

**inductive-cases**[*elim!*]: *valid-intv*  $c$  (*Greater*  $d$ )

**inductive-cases**[*elim!*]: *valid-intv*  $c$  (*Const*  $d$ )

**inductive-cases**[*elim!*]: *valid-intv*  $c$  (*Intv*  $d$ )

**declare** *valid-intv.intros*[*intro*]

**declare** *intv-elem.intros*[*intro*]

**declare** *Succ.intros*[*intro*]

**declare** *Succ.cases*[*elim*]

**declare** *region.cases*[*elim*]

**declare** *valid-region.cases*[*elim*]

## 7.2 Basic Properties

First we show that all valid intervals are distinct.

**lemma** *valid-intv-distinct*:

$\text{valid-intv } c I \implies \text{valid-intv } c I' \implies \text{intv-elem } x u I \implies \text{intv-elem } x u I'$

$\implies I = I'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

From this we show that all valid regions are distinct.

**lemma** *valid-regions-distinct*:

$\text{valid-region } X k I r \implies \text{valid-region } X k I' r' \implies v \in \text{region } X I r \implies v \in \text{region } X I' r'$

$\implies \text{region } X I r = \text{region } X I' r'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *R-regions-distinct*:

$\llbracket \mathcal{R} = \{\text{region } X I r \mid I r. \text{valid-region } X k I r\}; R \in \mathcal{R}; v \in R; R' \in \mathcal{R};$

$R \neq R' \rrbracket \implies v \notin R'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Secondly, we also need to show that every valuations belongs to a region which is part of the partition.

**definition** *intv-of* :: *nat*  $\Rightarrow$  *t*  $\Rightarrow$  *intv* **where**

```
intv-of k c ≡
  if (c > k) then Greater k
  else if ( $\exists$  x :: nat. x = c) then (Const (nat (floor c)))
  else (Intv (nat (floor c)))
```

**lemma** *region-cover*:

```
 $\forall$  x  $\in$  X. u x  $\geq$  0  $\implies$   $\exists$  R. R  $\in$  {region X I r | I r. valid-region X k I r}
 $\wedge$  u  $\in$  R
⟨proof⟩
```

**lemma** *intv-not-empty*:

```
obtains d where intv-elem x (v(x := d)) (I x)
⟨proof⟩
```

**fun** *get-intv-val* :: *intv*  $\Rightarrow$  *real*  $\Rightarrow$  *real*

**where**

```
get-intv-val (Const d) - = d |
get-intv-val (Intv d) f = d + f |
get-intv-val (Greater d) - = d + 1
```

**lemma** *region-not-empty-aux*:

```
assumes 0 < f f < 1 0 < g g < 1
shows frac (get-intv-val (Intv d) f)  $\leq$  frac (get-intv-val (Intv d') g)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
f  $\leq$  g
⟨proof⟩
```

**lemma** *region-not-empty*:

```
assumes finite X valid-region X k I r
shows  $\exists$  u. u  $\in$  region X I r
⟨proof⟩
```

Now we can show that there is always exactly one region a valid valuation belongs to.

**lemma** *regions-partition*:

```
 $\mathcal{R} = \{\text{region } X I r \mid I r. \text{valid-region } X k I r\} \implies \forall x \in X. 0 \leq u x \implies$ 
 $\exists! R \in \mathcal{R}. u \in R$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

**lemma** *region-unique*:

```
 $\mathcal{R} = \{\text{region } X I r \mid I r. \text{valid-region } X k I r\} \implies u \in R \implies R \in \mathcal{R} \implies$ 
```

$[u]_{\mathcal{R}} = R$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** regions-partition':

$\mathcal{R} = \{region X I r \mid I r. valid-region X k I r\} \implies \forall x \in X. 0 \leq v x \implies \forall x \in X. 0 \leq v' x \implies v' \in [v]_{\mathcal{R}}$   
 $\implies [v']_{\mathcal{R}} = [v]_{\mathcal{R}}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** regions-closed:

$\mathcal{R} = \{region X I r \mid I r. valid-region X k I r\} \implies R \in \mathcal{R} \implies v \in R \implies t \geq 0 \implies [v \oplus t]_{\mathcal{R}} \in \mathcal{R}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** regions-closed':

$\mathcal{R} = \{region X I r \mid I r. valid-region X k I r\} \implies R \in \mathcal{R} \implies v \in R \implies t \geq 0 \implies (v \oplus t) \in [v \oplus t]_{\mathcal{R}}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** valid-regions-I-cong:

$valid-region X k I r \implies \forall x \in X. I x = I' x \implies region X I r = region X I' r \wedge valid-region X k I' r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**fun** intv-const :: intv  $\Rightarrow$  nat

**where**

$intv\text{-const} (Const d) = d \mid$   
 $intv\text{-const} (Intv d) = d \mid$   
 $intv\text{-const} (Greater d) = d$

**lemma** finite- $\mathcal{R}$ :

**notes** [[simproc add: finite-Collect]] finite-subset[intro]

**fixes**  $X k$

**defines**  $\mathcal{R} \equiv \{region X I r \mid I r. valid-region X k I r\}$

**assumes** finite  $X$

**shows** finite  $\mathcal{R}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** SuccI2:

$\mathcal{R} = \{region X I r \mid I r. valid-region X k I r\} \implies v \in R \implies R \in \mathcal{R} \implies t \geq 0 \implies R' = [v \oplus t]_{\mathcal{R}}$   
 $\implies R' \in Succ \mathcal{R} R$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 7.3 Set of Regions

The first property Bouyer shows is that these regions form a 'set of regions'.

For the unbounded region in the upper right corner, the set of successors only contains itself.

**lemma** *Succ-refl*:

$$\mathcal{R} = \{\text{region } X I r \mid I r. \text{ valid-region } X k I r\} \implies \text{finite } X \implies R \in \mathcal{R} \implies R \in \text{Succ } \mathcal{R} \ R$$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *Succ-refl'*:

$$\mathcal{R} = \{\text{region } X I r \mid I r. \text{ valid-region } X k I r\} \implies \text{finite } X \implies \forall x \in X. \exists c. I x = \text{Greater } c \implies \text{region } X I r \in \mathcal{R} \implies \text{Succ } \mathcal{R} (\text{region } X I r) = \{\text{region } X I r\}$$

*(proof)*

Defining the closest successor of a region. Only exists if at least one interval is upper-bounded.

**definition**

$$\text{succ } \mathcal{R} \ R = (\text{SOME } R'. R' \in \text{Succ } \mathcal{R} \ R \wedge (\forall u \in R. \forall t \geq 0. (u \oplus t) \notin R \longrightarrow (\exists t' \leq t. (u \oplus t') \in R' \wedge 0 \leq t')))$$

**inductive** *isConst* :: *intv*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool*

**where**

*isConst* (*Const* -)

**inductive** *isIntv* :: *intv*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool*

**where**

*isIntv* (*Intv* -)

**inductive** *isGreater* :: *intv*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool*

**where**

*isGreater* (*Greater* -)

**declare** *isIntv.intros[intro!]* *isConst.intros[intro!]* *isGreater.intros[intro!]*

**declare** *isIntv.cases[elim!]* *isConst.cases[elim!]* *isGreater.cases[elim!]*

What Bouyer states at the end. However, we have to be a bit more precise than in her statement.

**lemma** *closest-prestable-1*:

**fixes** *I X k r*

```

defines  $\mathcal{R} \equiv \{\text{region } X I r \mid I r. \text{valid-region } X k I r\}$ 
defines  $R \equiv \text{region } X I r$ 
defines  $Z \equiv \{x \in X . \exists c. I x = \text{Const } c\}$ 
assumes  $Z \neq \{\}$ 
defines  $I' \equiv \lambda x. \text{if } x \notin Z \text{ then } I x \text{ else if } \text{intv-const } (I x) = k x \text{ then}$ 
 $\text{Greater } (k x) \text{ else } \text{Intv } (\text{intv-const } (I x))$ 
defines  $r' \equiv r \cup \{(x,y) . x \in Z \wedge y \in X \wedge \text{intv-const } (I x) < k x \wedge \text{isIntv}$ 
 $(I' y)\}$ 
assumes finite  $X$ 
assumes valid-region  $X k I r$ 
shows  $\forall v \in R. \forall t > 0. \exists t' \leq t. (v \oplus t') \in \text{region } X I' r' \wedge t' \geq 0$ 
and  $\forall v \in \text{region } X I' r'. \forall t \geq 0. (v \oplus t) \notin R$ 
and  $\forall x \in X. \neg \text{isConst } (I' x)$ 
and  $\forall v \in R. \forall t < 1. \forall t' \geq 0. (v \oplus t') \in \text{region } X I' r'$ 
 $\longrightarrow \{x. x \in X \wedge (\exists c. I x = \text{Intv } c \wedge v x + t \geq c + 1)\}$ 
 $= \{x. x \in X \wedge (\exists c. I' x = \text{Intv } c \wedge (v \oplus t') x + (t - t') \geq$ 
 $c + 1)\}$ 
<proof>

```

**lemma** *closest-valid-1*:

```

fixes  $I X k r$ 
defines  $\mathcal{R} \equiv \{\text{region } X I r \mid I r. \text{valid-region } X k I r\}$ 
defines  $R \equiv \text{region } X I r$ 
defines  $Z \equiv \{x \in X . \exists c. I x = \text{Const } c\}$ 
assumes  $Z \neq \{\}$ 
defines  $I' \equiv \lambda x. \text{if } x \notin Z \text{ then } I x \text{ else if } \text{intv-const } (I x) = k x \text{ then}$ 
 $\text{Greater } (k x) \text{ else } \text{Intv } (\text{intv-const } (I x))$ 
defines  $r' \equiv r \cup \{(x,y) . x \in Z \wedge y \in X \wedge \text{intv-const } (I x) < k x \wedge \text{isIntv}$ 
 $(I' y)\}$ 
assumes finite  $X$ 
assumes valid-region  $X k I r$ 
shows valid-region  $X k I' r'$ 
<proof>

```

**lemma** *closest-prestable-2*:

```

fixes  $I X k r$ 
defines  $\mathcal{R} \equiv \{\text{region } X I r \mid I r. \text{valid-region } X k I r\}$ 
defines  $R \equiv \text{region } X I r$ 
assumes  $\forall x \in X. \neg \text{isConst } (I x)$ 
defines  $X_0 \equiv \{x \in X. \text{isIntv } (I x)\}$ 
defines  $M \equiv \{x \in X_0. \forall y \in X_0. (x, y) \in r \longrightarrow (y, x) \in r\}$ 
defines  $I' \equiv \lambda x. \text{if } x \notin M \text{ then } I x \text{ else } \text{Const } (\text{intv-const } (I x) + 1)$ 
defines  $r' \equiv \{(x,y) \in r. x \notin M \wedge y \notin M\}$ 
assumes finite  $X$ 

```

**assumes** *valid-region X k I r*  
**assumes**  $M \neq \{\}$   
**shows**  $\forall v \in R. \forall t \geq 0. (v \oplus t) \notin R \longrightarrow (\exists t' \leq t. (v \oplus t') \in \text{region } X I' r' \wedge t' \geq 0)$   
**and**  $\forall v \in \text{region } X I' r'. \forall t \geq 0. (v \oplus t) \notin R$   
**and**  $\forall v \in R. \forall t'. \{x. x \in X \wedge (\exists c. I' x = \text{Intv } c \wedge (v \oplus t') x + (t - t') \geq \text{real } (c + 1))\} = \{x. x \in X \wedge (\exists c. I x = \text{Intv } c \wedge v x + t \geq \text{real } (c + 1))\} - M$   
**and**  $\exists x \in X. \text{isConst } (I' x)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *closest-valid-2*:

**fixes**  $I X k r$   
**defines**  $\mathcal{R} \equiv \{\text{region } X I r \mid I r. \text{valid-region } X k I r\}$   
**defines**  $R \equiv \text{region } X I r$   
**assumes**  $\forall x \in X. \neg \text{isConst } (I x)$   
**defines**  $X_0 \equiv \{x \in X. \text{isIntv } (I x)\}$   
**defines**  $M \equiv \{x \in X_0. \forall y \in X_0. (x, y) \in r \longrightarrow (y, x) \in r\}$   
**defines**  $I' \equiv \lambda x. \text{if } x \notin M \text{ then } I x \text{ else Const } (\text{intv-const } (I x) + 1)$   
**defines**  $r' \equiv \{(x, y) \in r. x \notin M \wedge y \notin M\}$   
**assumes** *finite X*  
**assumes** *valid-region X k I r*  
**assumes**  $M \neq \{\}$   
**shows** *valid-region X k I' r'*  
*(proof)*

### 7.3.1 Putting the Proof for the 'Set of Regions' Property Together

**Misc lemma** *total-finite-trans-max*:

$X \neq \{\} \implies \text{finite } X \implies \text{total-on } X r \implies \text{trans } r \implies \exists x \in X. \forall y \in X. x \neq y \implies (y, x) \in r$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *card-mono-strict-subset*:

$\text{finite } A \implies \text{finite } B \implies \text{finite } C \implies A \cap B \neq \{\} \implies C = A - B \implies \text{card } C < \text{card } A$   
*(proof)*

**Proof** First we show that a shift by a non-negative integer constant means that any two valuations from the same region are being shifted to the same region.

```

lemma int-shift-equiv:
  fixes X k fixes t :: int
  defines R ≡ {region X I r | I r. valid-region X k I r}
  assumes v ∈ R v' ∈ R R ∈ R t ≥ 0
  shows (v' ⊕ t) ∈ [v ⊕ t]R ⟨proof⟩

```

Now, we can use the 'immediate' induction proposed by P. Bouyer for shifts smaller than one. The induction principle is not at all obvious: the induction is over the set of clocks for which the valuation is shifted beyond the current interval boundaries. Using the two successor operations, we can see that either the set of these clocks remains the same ( $Z = \emptyset$ ) or strictly decreases ( $Z = \{x\}$ ).

```

lemma set-of-regions-lt-1:
  fixes X k I r t v
  defines R ≡ {region X I r | I r. valid-region X k I r}
  defines C ≡ {x. x ∈ X ∧ (∃ c. I x = Intv c ∧ v x + t ≥ c + 1)}
  assumes valid-region X k I r v ∈ region X I r v' ∈ region X I r finite X
  0 ≤ t t < 1
  shows ∃ t' ≥ 0. (v' ⊕ t') ∈ [v ⊕ t]R ⟨proof⟩

```

Finally, we can put the two pieces together: for a non-negative shift  $t$ , we first shift  $\lfloor t \rfloor$  and then  $\text{frac } t$ .

```

lemma set-of-regions:
  fixes X k
  defines R ≡ {region X I r | I r. valid-region X k I r}
  assumes R ∈ R v ∈ R R' ∈ Succ R R finite X
  shows ∃ t ≥ 0. [v ⊕ t]R = R' ⟨proof⟩

```

## 7.4 Compability With Clock Constraints

```

definition ccval ({}-{}) [100]) where ccval cc ≡ {v. v ⊢ cc}

```

```

definition ccompatible
where
ccompatible R cc ≡ ∀ R ∈ R. R ⊆ ccval cc ∨ ccval cc ∩ R = {}

```

```

lemma ccompatible1:
  fixes X k fixes c :: real
  defines R ≡ {region X I r | I r. valid-region X k I r}
  assumes c ≤ k x c ∈ ℑ x ∈ X
  shows ccompatible R (EQ x c) ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ccompatible2:
  fixes X k fixes c :: real

```

```

defines  $\mathcal{R} \equiv \{\text{region } X I r \mid I r. \text{valid-region } X k I r\}$ 
assumes  $c \leq k x c \in \mathbb{N} x \in X$ 
shows  $\text{ccompatible } \mathcal{R} (\text{LT } x c) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{ccompatible3}:$ 
  fixes  $X k$  fixes  $c :: \text{real}$ 
  defines  $\mathcal{R} \equiv \{\text{region } X I r \mid I r. \text{valid-region } X k I r\}$ 
  assumes  $c \leq k x c \in \mathbb{N} x \in X$ 
  shows  $\text{ccompatible } \mathcal{R} (\text{LE } x c) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{ccompatible4}:$ 
  fixes  $X k$  fixes  $c :: \text{real}$ 
  defines  $\mathcal{R} \equiv \{\text{region } X I r \mid I r. \text{valid-region } X k I r\}$ 
  assumes  $c \leq k x c \in \mathbb{N} x \in X$ 
  shows  $\text{ccompatible } \mathcal{R} (\text{GT } x c) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{ccompatible5}:$ 
  fixes  $X k$  fixes  $c :: \text{real}$ 
  defines  $\mathcal{R} \equiv \{\text{region } X I r \mid I r. \text{valid-region } X k I r\}$ 
  assumes  $c \leq k x c \in \mathbb{N} x \in X$ 
  shows  $\text{ccompatible } \mathcal{R} (\text{GE } x c) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{ccompatible}:$ 
  fixes  $X k$  fixes  $c :: \text{nat}$ 
  defines  $\mathcal{R} \equiv \{\text{region } X I r \mid I r. \text{valid-region } X k I r\}$ 
  assumes  $\forall (x,m) \in \text{collect-clock-pairs cc. } m \leq k x \wedge x \in X \wedge m \in \mathbb{N}$ 
  shows  $\text{ccompatible } \mathcal{R} \text{ cc} \langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

## 7.5 Compability with Resets

**definition**  $\text{region-set}$

**where**

$$\text{region-set } R x c = \{v(x := c) \mid v. v \in R\}$$

**lemma**  $\text{region-set-id}:$

**fixes**  $X k$

**defines**  $\mathcal{R} \equiv \{\text{region } X I r \mid I r. \text{valid-region } X k I r\}$

**assumes**  $R \in \mathcal{R} v \in R \text{ finite } X 0 \leq c c \leq k x x \in X$

**shows**  $[v(x := c)]_{\mathcal{R}} = \text{region-set } R x c [v(x := c)]_{\mathcal{R}} \in \mathcal{R} v(x := c) \in [v(x := c)]_{\mathcal{R}}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition**  $\text{region-set}'$

**where**

$$\text{region-set}' R r c = \{[r \rightarrow c]v \mid v. v \in R\}$$

**lemma** *region-set'-id*:

**fixes**  $X k$  **and**  $c :: nat$

**defines**  $\mathcal{R} \equiv \{\text{region } X I r \mid I r. \text{valid-region } X k I r\}$

**assumes**  $R \in \mathcal{R}$   $v \in R$   $\text{finite } X$   $0 \leq c \forall x \in \text{set } r. c \leq k x \in \text{set } r \subseteq X$

**shows**  $[[r \rightarrow c]v]_{\mathcal{R}} = \text{region-set}' R r c \wedge [[r \rightarrow c]v]_{\mathcal{R}} \in \mathcal{R} \wedge [r \rightarrow c]v \in [[r \rightarrow c]v]_{\mathcal{R}}$   $\langle proof \rangle$

## 7.6 A Semantics Based on Regions

### 7.6.1 Single step

**inductive** *step-r* ::

$('a, 'c, t, 's) ta \Rightarrow ('c, t) \text{ zone set} \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow ('c, t) \text{ zone} \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow ('c, t) \text{ zone} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

$(-, - \vdash \langle -, - \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle -, - \rangle [61, 61, 61, 61] 61)$

**where**

*step-t-r*:

$\llbracket \mathcal{R} = \{\text{region } X I r \mid I r. \text{valid-region } X k I r\}; \text{valid-abstraction } A X k; R \in \mathcal{R}; R' \in \text{Succ } \mathcal{R} R;$

$R \subseteq \{\text{inv-of } A l\}; R' \subseteq \{\text{inv-of } A l'\} \rrbracket \implies A, \mathcal{R} \vdash \langle l, R \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l, R' \rangle \mid$

*step-a-r*:

$\llbracket \mathcal{R} = \{\text{region } X I r \mid I r. \text{valid-region } X k I r\}; \text{valid-abstraction } A X k; A \vdash l \xrightarrow{g, a, r} l'; R \in \mathcal{R} \rrbracket$

$\implies A, \mathcal{R} \vdash \langle l, R \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', \text{region-set}' (R \cap \{u. u \vdash g\}) r 0 \cap \{u. u \vdash \text{inv-of } A l'\} \rangle$

**inductive-cases[elim!]**:  $A, \mathcal{R} \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', u' \rangle$

**declare** *step-r.intros[intro]*

**lemma** *region-cover'*:

**assumes**  $\mathcal{R} = \{\text{region } X I r \mid I r. \text{valid-region } X k I r\}$  **and**  $\forall x \in X. 0 \leq v x$

**shows**  $v \in [v]_{\mathcal{R}}$   $[v]_{\mathcal{R}} \in \mathcal{R}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *step-r-complete-aux*:

**fixes**  $R r A l' g$

**defines**  $R' \equiv \text{region-set}' (R \cap \{u. u \vdash g\}) r 0 \cap \{u. u \vdash \text{inv-of } A l'\}$

**assumes**  $\mathcal{R} = \{\text{region } X I r \mid I r. \text{valid-region } X k I r\}$

**and**  $\text{valid-abstraction } A X k$

**and**  $u \in R$

**and**  $R \in \mathcal{R}$   
**and**  $A \vdash l \xrightarrow{g,a,r} l'$   
**and**  $u \vdash g$   
**and**  $[r \rightarrow 0]u \vdash \text{inv-of } A \ l'$   
**shows**  $R = R \cap \{u. u \vdash g\} \wedge R' = \text{region-set}' R \ r \ 0 \wedge R' \in \mathcal{R}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *step-r-complete*:

$\llbracket A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow \langle l', u' \rangle; \mathcal{R} = \{\text{region } X \ I \ r \mid I \ r. \text{ valid-region } X \ k \ I \ r\}; \text{ valid-abstraction } A \ X \ k; \forall x \in X. u \ x \geq 0 \rrbracket \implies \exists R'. A, \mathcal{R} \vdash \langle l, ([u]_{\mathcal{R}}) \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', R' \rangle \wedge u' \in R' \wedge R' \in \mathcal{R}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Compare this to lemma *step-z-sound*. This version is weaker because for regions we may very well arrive at a successor for which not every valuation can be reached by the predecessor. This is the case for e.g. the region with only Greater (k x) bounds.

**lemma** *step-r-sound*:

$A, \mathcal{R} \vdash \langle l, R \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', R' \rangle \implies \mathcal{R} = \{\text{region } X \ I \ r \mid I \ r. \text{ valid-region } X \ k \ I \ r\} \implies R' \neq \{\} \implies (\forall u \in R. \exists u' \in R'. A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow \langle l', u' \rangle)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 7.6.2 Multi Step

**inductive**

$\text{steps-r} :: ('a, 'c, t, 's) \ ta \Rightarrow ('c, t) \ \text{zone set} \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow ('c, t) \ \text{zone} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
 $(-, - \vdash \langle -, - \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \langle -, - \rangle [61, 61, 61, 61, 61, 61] \ 61)$

**where**

$\text{refl}: A, \mathcal{R} \vdash \langle l, R \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \langle l, R \rangle \mid$   
 $\text{step}: A, \mathcal{R} \vdash \langle l, R \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \langle l', R' \rangle \implies A, \mathcal{R} \vdash \langle l', R' \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l'', R'' \rangle \implies A, \mathcal{R} \vdash \langle l, R \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \langle l'', R'' \rangle$

**declare** *steps-r.intros[intro]*

**lemma** *steps-alt*:

$A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle l', u' \rangle \implies A \vdash \langle l', u' \rangle \rightarrow \langle l'', u'' \rangle \implies A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle l'', u'' \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *emptiness-preservance*:  $A, \mathcal{R} \vdash \langle l, R \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', R' \rangle \implies R = \{\} \implies R' = \{\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *emptiness-preservance-steps*:  $A, \mathcal{R} \vdash \langle l, R \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \langle l', R' \rangle \implies R = \{\}$   
 $\implies R' = \{\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Note how it is important to define the multi-step semantics "the right way round". This also the direction Bouyer implies for her implicit induction.

**lemma** *steps-r-sound*:

$A, \mathcal{R} \vdash \langle l, R \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \langle l', R' \rangle \implies \mathcal{R} = \{\text{region } X I r \mid I \text{ r. valid-region } X k I r\}$   
 $\implies R' \neq \{\} \implies u \in R \implies \exists u' \in R'. A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle l', u' \rangle$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *steps-r-sound'*:

$A, \mathcal{R} \vdash \langle l, R \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \langle l', R' \rangle \implies \mathcal{R} = \{\text{region } X I r \mid I \text{ r. valid-region } X k I r\}$   
 $\implies R' \neq \{\} \implies (\exists u' \in R'. \exists u \in R. A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle l', u' \rangle)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *single-step-r*:

$A, \mathcal{R} \vdash \langle l, R \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', R' \rangle \implies A, \mathcal{R} \vdash \langle l, R \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \langle l', R' \rangle$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *steps-r-alt*:

$A, \mathcal{R} \vdash \langle l', R' \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \langle l'', R'' \rangle \implies A, \mathcal{R} \vdash \langle l, R \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', R' \rangle \implies A, \mathcal{R} \vdash \langle l, R \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \langle l'', R'' \rangle$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *single-step*:

$x1 \vdash \langle x2, x3 \rangle \rightarrow \langle x4, x5 \rangle \implies x1 \vdash \langle x2, x3 \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle x4, x5 \rangle$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *steps-r-complete*:

$\llbracket A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle l', u' \rangle; \mathcal{R} = \{\text{region } X I r \mid I \text{ r. valid-region } X k I r\}; \text{valid-abstraction } A X k; \forall x \in X. u x \geq 0 \rrbracket \implies \exists R'. A, \mathcal{R} \vdash \langle l, ([u]_{\mathcal{R}}) \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \langle l', R' \rangle \wedge u' \in R'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**theory** *Closure*

**imports** *Regions*

**begin**

## 7.7 Correct Approximation of Zones with $\alpha$ -regions

```

locale AlphaClosure =
  fixes X k R and V :: ('c, t) eval set
  defines R ≡ {region X I r | I r. valid-region X k I r}
  defines V ≡ {v . ∀ x ∈ X. v x ≥ 0}
  assumes finite: finite X
begin

lemmas set-of-regions-spec = set-of-regions[OF --- finite, of - k, folded
R-def]
lemmas region-cover-spec = region-cover[of X - k, folded R-def]
lemmas region-unique-spec = region-unique[of R X k, folded R-def, sim-
plified]
lemmas regions-closed'-spec = regions-closed'[of R X k, folded R-def, sim-
plified]

lemma valid-regions-distinct-spec:
  R ∈ R ⇒ R' ∈ R ⇒ v ∈ R ⇒ v ∈ R' ⇒ R = R'
  ⟨proof⟩

definition cla (Closure $_{\alpha}$  - [71] 71)
where
  cla Z = ∪ {R ∈ R. R ∩ Z ≠ {}}

The nice and easy properties proved by Bouyer lemma closure-constraint-id:
  ∀ (x, m) ∈ collect-clock-pairs g. m ≤ real (k x) ∧ x ∈ X ∧ m ∈ N ⇒
  Closure $_{\alpha}$  {g} = {g} ∩ V
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma closure-id':
  Z ≠ {} ⇒ Z ⊆ R ⇒ R ∈ R ⇒ Closure $_{\alpha}$  Z = R
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma closure-id:
  Closure $_{\alpha}$  Z ≠ {} ⇒ Z ⊆ R ⇒ R ∈ R ⇒ Closure $_{\alpha}$  Z = R
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma closure-update-mono:
  Z ⊆ V ⇒ set r ⊆ X ⇒ zone-set (Closure $_{\alpha}$  Z) r ⊆ Closure $_{\alpha}$ (zone-set
Z r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma SuccI3:

```

$R \in \mathcal{R} \implies v \in R \implies t \geq 0 \implies (v \oplus t) \in R' \implies R' \in \mathcal{R} \implies R' \in \text{Succ}$

$\mathcal{R} R$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *closure-delay-mono*:

$Z \subseteq V \implies (\text{Closure}_\alpha Z)^\dagger \subseteq \text{Closure}_\alpha (Z^\dagger)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *region-V*:  $R \in \mathcal{R} \implies R \subseteq V$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *closure-V*:

$\text{Closure}_\alpha Z \subseteq V$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *closure-V-int*:

$\text{Closure}_\alpha Z = \text{Closure}_\alpha (Z \cap V)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *closure-constraint-mono*:

$\text{Closure}_\alpha g = g \implies g \cap (\text{Closure}_\alpha Z) \subseteq \text{Closure}_\alpha (g \cap Z)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *closure-constraint-mono'*:

**assumes**  $\text{Closure}_\alpha g = g \cap V$

**shows**  $g \cap (\text{Closure}_\alpha Z) \subseteq \text{Closure}_\alpha (g \cap Z)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cla-empty-iff*:

$Z \subseteq V \implies Z = \{\} \longleftrightarrow \text{Closure}_\alpha Z = \{\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *closure-involutive-aux*:

$U \subseteq \mathcal{R} \implies \text{Closure}_\alpha \bigcup U = \bigcup U$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *closure-involutive-aux'*:

$\exists U. U \subseteq \mathcal{R} \wedge \text{Closure}_\alpha Z = \bigcup U$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *closure-involutive*:

$\text{Closure}_\alpha \text{ Closure}_\alpha Z = \text{Closure}_\alpha Z$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *closure-involutive'*:

$Z \subseteq \text{Closure}_\alpha W \implies \text{Closure}_\alpha Z \subseteq \text{Closure}_\alpha W$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *closure-subs*:

$Z \subseteq V \implies Z \subseteq \text{Closure}_\alpha Z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cla-mono'*:

$Z' \subseteq V \implies Z \subseteq Z' \implies \text{Closure}_\alpha Z \subseteq \text{Closure}_\alpha Z'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cla-mono*:

$Z \subseteq Z' \implies \text{Closure}_\alpha Z \subseteq \text{Closure}_\alpha Z'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 7.8 A New Zone Semantics Abstracting with $\text{Closure}_\alpha$

### 7.8.1 Single step

**inductive** *step-z-alpha* ::

$('a, 'c, t, 's) \text{ ta} \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow ('c, t) \text{ zone} \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow ('c, t) \text{ zone} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
 $(-\vdash \langle -, - \rangle \rightsquigarrow_\alpha \langle -, - \rangle [61, 61, 61] 61)$

**where**

*step-alpha*:  $A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_\alpha \langle l', \text{Closure}_\alpha Z' \rangle$

**inductive-cases[elim!]**:  $A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightsquigarrow_\alpha \langle l', u' \rangle$

**declare** *step-z-alpha.intros[intro]*

**lemma** *up-V*:  $Z \subseteq V \implies Z^\uparrow \subseteq V$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *reset-V*:  $Z \subseteq V \implies (\text{zone-set } Z r) \subseteq V$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *step-z-V*:  $A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies Z \subseteq V \implies Z' \subseteq V$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Single-step soundness and completeness follows trivially from *cla-empty-iff*.

**lemma** *step-z-alpha-sound*:

$A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_\alpha \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies Z \subseteq V \implies Z' \neq \{\} \implies \exists Z''. A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', Z'' \rangle \wedge Z'' \neq \{\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *step-z-alpha-complete*:

$A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies Z \subseteq V \implies Z' \neq \{\} \implies \exists Z''. A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\alpha} \langle l', Z'' \rangle \wedge Z'' \neq \{\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** zone-set-mono:

$A \subseteq B \implies \text{zone-set } A \ r \subseteq \text{zone-set } B \ r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** zone-delay-mono:

$A \subseteq B \implies A^{\dagger} \subseteq B^{\dagger}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 7.8.2 Multi step

**inductive**

*steps-z-alpha* :: ('a, 'c, t, 's) ta  $\Rightarrow$  's  $\Rightarrow$  ('c, t) zone  $\Rightarrow$  's  $\Rightarrow$  ('c, t) zone  
 $\Rightarrow$  bool  
 $(\vdash \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\alpha} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle [61, 61, 61] 61)$

**where**

*refl*:  $A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\alpha} \langle l, Z \rangle |$   
*step*:  $A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\alpha} \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies A \vdash \langle l', Z' \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\alpha} \langle l'', Z'' \rangle \implies A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\alpha} \langle l'', Z'' \rangle$

**declare** *steps-z-alpha.intros[intro]*

**lemma** subset-int-mono:  $A \subseteq B \implies A \cap C \subseteq B \cap C$   $\langle proof \rangle$

P. Bouyer's calculation for *Post* (*Closure* <sub>$\alpha$</sub>  Z, e)  $\subseteq$  *Closure* <sub>$\alpha$</sub>  *Post* (Z, e)

This is now obsolete as we argue solely with monotonicity of *steps-z* w.r.t *Closure* <sub>$\alpha$</sub>

**lemma** calc:

*valid-abstraction* A X k  $\implies$  Z  $\subseteq$  V  $\implies$  A  $\vdash \langle l, \text{Closure}_{\alpha} Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', Z' \rangle$   
 $\implies \exists Z''. A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\alpha} \langle l', Z'' \rangle \wedge Z' \subseteq Z''$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Turning P. Bouyers argument for multiple steps into an inductive proof is not direct. With this initial argument we can get to a point where the induction hypothesis is applicable. This breaks the "information hiding" induced by the different variants of steps.

**lemma** *steps-z-alpha-closure-involutive'-aux*:

$A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies \text{Closure}_{\alpha} Z \subseteq \text{Closure}_{\alpha} W \implies \text{valid-abstraction}$   
 $A X k \implies Z \subseteq V$   
 $\implies \exists W'. A \vdash \langle l, W \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', W' \rangle \wedge \text{Closure}_{\alpha} Z' \subseteq \text{Closure}_{\alpha} W'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *steps-z-alpha-closure-involutive'-aux'*:

$$\begin{aligned} A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', Z' \rangle &\implies \text{Closure}_{\alpha} Z \subseteq \text{Closure}_{\alpha} W \implies \text{valid-abstraction} \\ A X k \implies Z \subseteq V &\implies W \subseteq Z \\ &\implies \exists W'. A \vdash \langle l, W \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', W' \rangle \wedge \text{Closure}_{\alpha} Z' \subseteq \text{Closure}_{\alpha} W' \wedge W' \subseteq Z' \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

**lemma** *steps-z-alt*:

$$\begin{aligned} A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \langle l', Z' \rangle &\implies A \vdash \langle l', Z' \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l'', Z'' \rangle \implies A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \\ \langle l'', Z'' \rangle \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

**lemma** *steps-z-alpha-V*:  $A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\alpha}^* \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies Z \subseteq V \implies Z' \subseteq V$

**lemma** *steps-z-alpha-closure-involutive'*:

$$\begin{aligned} A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\alpha}^* \langle l', Z' \rangle &\implies A \vdash \langle l', Z' \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l'', Z'' \rangle \implies \text{valid-abstraction} \\ A X k \implies Z \subseteq V &\implies \\ &\implies \exists Z'''. A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \langle l'', Z''' \rangle \wedge \text{Closure}_{\alpha} Z'' \subseteq \text{Closure}_{\alpha} Z''' \wedge Z''' \\ &\subseteq Z'' \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Old proof using Bouyer's calculation

**lemma** *steps-z-alpha-closure-involutive''*:

$$\begin{aligned} A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\alpha}^* \langle l', Z' \rangle &\implies A \vdash \langle l', Z' \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l'', Z'' \rangle \implies \text{valid-abstraction} \\ A X k \implies Z \subseteq V &\implies \\ &\implies \exists Z'''. A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \langle l'', Z''' \rangle \wedge \text{Closure}_{\alpha} Z'' \subseteq \text{Closure}_{\alpha} Z''' \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

**lemma** *steps-z-alpha-closure-involutive*:

$$\begin{aligned} A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\alpha}^* \langle l', Z' \rangle &\implies \text{valid-abstraction} \\ A X k \implies Z \subseteq V &\implies \\ &\implies \exists Z''. A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \langle l', Z'' \rangle \wedge \text{Closure}_{\alpha} Z' \subseteq \text{Closure}_{\alpha} Z'' \wedge Z'' \subseteq \\ Z' \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

**lemma** *steps-z-V*:

$$\begin{aligned} A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \langle l', Z' \rangle &\implies Z \subseteq V \implies Z' \subseteq V \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

**lemma** *steps-z-alpha-sound*:

$$\begin{aligned} A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\alpha}^* \langle l', Z' \rangle &\implies \text{valid-abstraction} \\ A X k \implies Z \subseteq V &\implies Z' \neq \{\} \\ &\implies \exists Z''. A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \langle l', Z'' \rangle \wedge Z'' \neq \{\} \wedge Z'' \subseteq Z' \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *step-z-mono*:

$A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies Z \subseteq W \implies \exists W'. A \vdash \langle l, W \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', W' \rangle \wedge Z' \subseteq W'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *step-z-alpha-mono*:

$A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\alpha} \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies Z \subseteq W \implies W \subseteq V \implies \exists W'. A \vdash \langle l, W \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\alpha} \langle l', W' \rangle \wedge Z' \subseteq W'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *steps-z-alpha-mono*:

$A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\alpha^*} \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies Z \subseteq W \implies W \subseteq V \implies \exists W'. A \vdash \langle l, W \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\alpha^*} \langle l', W' \rangle \wedge Z' \subseteq W'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *steps-z-alpha-alt*:

$A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\alpha} \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies A \vdash \langle l', Z' \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\alpha^*} \langle l'', Z'' \rangle \implies A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\alpha^*} \langle l'', Z'' \rangle$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *steps-z-alpha-complete*:

$A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies \text{valid-abstraction } A X k \implies Z \subseteq V \implies Z' \neq \{\}$   
 $\implies \exists Z''. A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\alpha^*} \langle l', Z'' \rangle \wedge Z' \subseteq Z''$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *steps-z-alpha-complete'*:

$A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies \text{valid-abstraction } A X k \implies Z \subseteq V \implies Z' \neq \{\}$   
 $\implies \exists Z''. A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\alpha^*} \langle l', Z'' \rangle \wedge Z'' \neq \{\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**end**

**theory** *Approx-Beta*

**imports** *DBM-Zone-Semantics Regions-Beta Closure*

**begin**

## 8 Correctness of $\beta$ -approximation from $\alpha$ -regions

Instantiating real

```
instantiation real :: linordered-ab-monoid-add
begin
```

**definition**

```
neutral-real: 1 = (0 :: real)
```

**instance**  $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

Merging the locales for the two types of regions

**locale**  $Regions =$

```
fixes X and k :: 'c ⇒ nat and v :: 'c ⇒ nat and n :: nat and not-in-X
```

```
assumes finite: finite X
```

```
assumes clock-numbering: clock-numbering' v n ∀ k ≤ n. k > 0 → (∃ c ∈ X. v c = k)
```

$$\forall c \in X. v c \leq n$$

```
assumes not-in-X: not-in-X ∉ X
```

```
assumes non-empty: X ≠ {}
```

**begin**

**definition**  $\mathcal{R}$ -def:  $\mathcal{R} \equiv \{Regions.region X I r \mid I r. Regions.valid-region X k I r\}$

**definition**  $\mathcal{R}_\beta$ -def:  $\mathcal{R}_\beta \equiv \{Regions-Beta.region X I J r \mid I J r. Regions-Beta.valid-region X k I J r\}$

**definition**  $V$ -def:  $V \equiv \{v . \forall x \in X. v x \geq 0\}$

**sublocale** alpha-interp: AlphaClosure X k  $\mathcal{R}$  V  $\langle proof \rangle$

**sublocale** beta-interp: Beta-Regions' X k  $\mathcal{R}_\beta$  V v n not-in-X  $\langle proof \rangle$

**abbreviation**  $Approx_\beta \equiv beta\text{-}interp.Approx_\beta$

### 8.1 Preparing Bouyer's Theorem

**lemma** region-dbm:

assumes  $R \in \mathcal{R}$

defines  $v' \equiv \lambda i. THE c. c \in X \wedge v c = i$

obtains M

where  $[M]_{v,n} = R$

**and**  $\forall i \leq n. \forall j \leq n. M i 0 = \infty \wedge j > 0 \wedge i \neq j \rightarrow M i j = \infty \wedge M j i = \infty$   
**and**  $\forall i \leq n. M i i = Le 0$   
**and**  $\forall i \leq n. \forall j \leq n. i > 0 \wedge j > 0 \wedge M i 0 \neq \infty \wedge M j 0 \neq \infty \rightarrow (\exists d :: int.$   
 $(-k(v'j) \leq d \wedge d \leq k(v'i)) \wedge M i j = Le d \wedge M j i = Le(-d))$   
 $\vee (-k(v'j) \leq d - 1 \wedge d \leq k(v'i)) \wedge M i j = Lt d \wedge M j i = Lt(-d + 1)))$   
**and**  $\forall i \leq n. i > 0 \wedge M i 0 \neq \infty \rightarrow (\exists d :: int. d \leq k(v'i) \wedge d \geq 0 \wedge (M i 0 = Le d \wedge M 0 i = Le(-d)) \vee (M i 0 = Lt d \wedge M 0 i = Lt(-d + 1)))$   
**and**  $\forall i \leq n. i > 0 \rightarrow (\exists d :: int. -k(v'i) \leq d \wedge d \leq 0 \wedge (M 0 i = Le d \vee M 0 i = Lt d))$   
**and**  $\forall i. \forall j. M i j \neq \infty \rightarrow get-const(M i j) \in \mathbb{Z}$   
**and**  $\forall i \leq n. \forall j \leq n. M i j \neq \infty \wedge i > 0 \wedge j > 0 \rightarrow (\exists d :: int. (M i j = Le d \vee M i j = Lt d) \wedge (-k(v'j)) \leq d \wedge d \leq k(v'i))$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *len-inf-elem*:

$(a, b) \in set(arcs i j xs) \Rightarrow M a b = \infty \Rightarrow len M i j xs = \infty$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *dbm-add-strict-right-mono-neutral*:  $a < Le d \Rightarrow a + Le(-d) < Le 0$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *dbm-lt-not-inf-less[intro]*:  $A \neq \infty \Rightarrow A \prec \infty$  *(proof)*

**lemma** *add-inf[simp]*:

$a + \infty = \infty \quad \infty + a = \infty$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *inf-lt[simp,dest!]*:

$\infty < x \Rightarrow False$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *zone-diag-lt*:

**assumes**  $a \leq n$   $b \leq n$  **and**  $C: v c1 = a \vee c2 = b$  **and**  $not0: a > 0$   $b > 0$   
**shows**  $[(\lambda i j. if i = a \wedge j = b then Lt d else \infty)]_{v,n} = \{u. u c1 = u c2 < d\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *zone-diag-le*:

**assumes**  $a \leq n$   $b \leq n$  **and**  $C: v c1 = a$   $v c2 = b$  **and**  $\text{not}0: a > 0$   $b > 0$   
**shows**  $[(\lambda i j. \text{if } i = a \wedge j = b \text{ then } \text{Le } d \text{ else } \infty)]_{v,n} = \{u. u c1 - u c2 \leq d\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zone-diag-lt-2*:

**assumes**  $a \leq n$  **and**  $C: v c = a$  **and**  $\text{not}0: a > 0$   
**shows**  $[(\lambda i j. \text{if } i = a \wedge j = 0 \text{ then } \text{Lt } d \text{ else } \infty)]_{v,n} = \{u. u c < d\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zone-diag-le-2*:

**assumes**  $a \leq n$  **and**  $C: v c = a$  **and**  $\text{not}0: a > 0$   
**shows**  $[(\lambda i j. \text{if } i = a \wedge j = 0 \text{ then } \text{Le } d \text{ else } \infty)]_{v,n} = \{u. u c \leq d\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zone-diag-lt-3*:

**assumes**  $a \leq n$  **and**  $C: v c = a$  **and**  $\text{not}0: a > 0$   
**shows**  $[(\lambda i j. \text{if } i = 0 \wedge j = a \text{ then } \text{Lt } d \text{ else } \infty)]_{v,n} = \{u. -u c < d\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *len-int-closed*:

$\forall i j. (M i j :: \text{real}) \in \mathbb{Z} \implies \text{len } M i j xs \in \mathbb{Z}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *get-const-distr*:

$a \neq \infty \implies b \neq \infty \implies \text{get-const } (a + b) = \text{get-const } a + \text{get-const } b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *len-int-dbm-closed*:

$\forall (i, j) \in \text{set } (\text{arcs } i j xs). (\text{get-const } (M i j) :: \text{real}) \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge M i j \neq \infty$   
 $\implies \text{get-const } (\text{len } M i j xs) \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge \text{len } M i j xs \neq \infty$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zone-diag-le-3*:

**assumes**  $a \leq n$  **and**  $C: v c = a$  **and**  $\text{not}0: a > 0$   
**shows**  $[(\lambda i j. \text{if } i = 0 \wedge j = a \text{ then } \text{Le } d \text{ else } \infty)]_{v,n} = \{u. -u c \leq d\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dbm-lt'*:

**assumes**  $[M]_{v,n} \subseteq V M a b \leq \text{Lt } d a \leq n b \leq n v c1 = a v c2 = b a > 0 b > 0$   
**shows**  $[M]_{v,n} \subseteq \{u \in V. u c1 - u c2 < d\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dbm-lt'2*:

**assumes**  $[M]_{v,n} \subseteq V M a \ 0 \leq Lt d \ a \leq n \ v \ c1 = a \ a > 0$

**shows**  $[M]_{v,n} \subseteq \{u \in V. \ u \ c1 < d\}$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *dbm-lt'3*:

**assumes**  $[M]_{v,n} \subseteq V M 0 \ a \leq Lt d \ a \leq n \ v \ c1 = a \ a > 0$

**shows**  $[M]_{v,n} \subseteq \{u \in V. \ -u \ c1 < d\}$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *dbm-le'*:

**assumes**  $[M]_{v,n} \subseteq V M a \ b \leq Le d \ a \leq n \ b \leq n \ v \ c1 = a \ v \ c2 = b \ a > 0 \ b > 0$

**shows**  $[M]_{v,n} \subseteq \{u \in V. \ u \ c1 - u \ c2 \leq d\}$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *dbm-le'2*:

**assumes**  $[M]_{v,n} \subseteq V M a \ 0 \leq Le d \ a \leq n \ v \ c1 = a \ a > 0$

**shows**  $[M]_{v,n} \subseteq \{u \in V. \ u \ c1 \leq d\}$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *dbm-le'3*:

**assumes**  $[M]_{v,n} \subseteq V M 0 \ a \leq Le d \ a \leq n \ v \ c1 = a \ a > 0$

**shows**  $[M]_{v,n} \subseteq \{u \in V. \ -u \ c1 \leq d\}$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *int-zone-dbm*:

**assumes**  $\forall (-,d) \in \text{collect-clock-pairs cc. } d \in \mathbb{Z} \ \forall c \in \text{collect-clks cc. } v \ c \leq n$

**obtains**  $M$  **where**  $\{u. \ u \vdash cc\} = [M]_{v,n}$  **and** *dbm-int M n*

*(proof)*

**lemma** *non-empty-dbm-diag-set'*:

**assumes** *clock-numbering' v n*  $\forall i \leq n. \ \forall j \leq n. \ M \ i \ j \neq \infty \longrightarrow \text{get-const}(M \ i \ j) \in \mathbb{Z}$

$[M]_{v,n} \neq \{\}$

**obtains**  $M'$  **where**  $[M]_{v,n} = [M']_{v,n} \wedge (\forall i \leq n. \ \forall j \leq n. \ M' \ i \ j \neq \infty \longrightarrow \text{get-const}(M' \ i \ j) \in \mathbb{Z})$

$\wedge (\forall i \leq n. \ M' \ i \ i = 1)$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *dbm-entry-int*:

$x \neq \infty \implies \text{get-const } x \in \mathbb{Z} \implies \exists d :: \text{int. } x = Le d \vee x = Lt d$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**abbreviation**  $vabstr \equiv beta\text{-}interp.vabstr$

## 8.2 Bouyer's Main Theorem

**theorem**  $region\text{-}zone\text{-}intersect\text{-}empty\text{-}approx\text{-}correct$ :

**assumes**  $R \in \mathcal{R}$   $Z \subseteq V$   $R \cap Z = \{\}$   $vabstr Z M$

**shows**  $R \cap Approx_\beta Z = \{\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

## 8.3 Nice Corollaries of Bouyer's Theorem

**lemma**  $\mathcal{R}\text{-}V: \bigcup \mathcal{R} = V$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $regions\text{-}beta\text{-}V: R \in \mathcal{R}_\beta \implies R \subseteq V$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $apx\text{-}V: Z \subseteq V \implies Approx_\beta Z \subseteq V$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary**  $approx\text{-}\beta\text{-closure}\text{-}\alpha$ :

**assumes**  $Z \subseteq V$   $vabstr Z M$

**shows**  $Approx_\beta Z \subseteq Closure_\alpha Z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**  $V' \equiv \{Z. Z \subseteq V \wedge (\exists M. vabstr Z M)\}$

**corollary**  $approx\text{-}\beta\text{-closure}\text{-}\alpha': Z \in V' \implies Approx_\beta Z \subseteq Closure_\alpha Z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

We could prove this more directly too (without using  $Closure_\alpha Z$ ), obviously

**lemma**  $apx\text{-empty}\text{-}iff$ :

**assumes**  $Z \subseteq V$   $vabstr Z M$

**shows**  $Z = \{\} \longleftrightarrow Approx_\beta Z = \{\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $apx\text{-empty}\text{-}iff'$ :

**assumes**  $Z \in V'$  **shows**  $Z = \{\} \longleftrightarrow Approx_\beta Z = \{\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $apx\text{-}V'$ :

**assumes**  $Z \subseteq V$  **shows**  $Approx_\beta Z \in V'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

## 8.4 A New Zone Semantics Abstracting with $Approx_\beta$

**lemma**  $step\text{-}z\text{-}V'$ :

**assumes**  $A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', Z' \rangle$  valid-abstraction  $A X k \forall c \in clk\text{-set } A. v c \leq n Z \in V'$   
**shows**  $Z' \in V'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $steps\text{-}z\text{-}V'$ :

$A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies$  valid-abstraction  $A X k \implies \forall c \in clk\text{-set } A. v c \leq n \implies Z \in V' \implies Z' \in V'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 8.4.1 Single Step

**inductive**  $step\text{-}z\text{-}beta ::$

$('a, 'c, t, 's) ta \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow ('c, t) zone \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow ('c, t) zone \Rightarrow bool$   
 $(\dashv \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \rightsquigarrow_\beta \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle [61, 61, 61] 61)$

**where**

$step\text{-}beta: A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_\beta \langle l', Approx_\beta Z' \rangle$

**inductive-cases[elim!]:**  $A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightsquigarrow_\beta \langle l', u' \rangle$

**declare**  $step\text{-}z\text{-}beta.intros[intro]$

**lemma**  $step\text{-}z\text{-}alpha\text{-}sound:$

$A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_\beta \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies$  valid-abstraction  $A X k \implies \forall c \in clk\text{-set } A. v c \leq n \implies Z \in V' \implies Z' \neq \{\}$   
 $\implies \exists Z''. A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', Z' \rangle \wedge Z'' \neq \{\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $step\text{-}z\text{-}alpha\text{-}complete:$

$A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies$  valid-abstraction  $A X k \implies \forall c \in clk\text{-set } A. v c \leq n \implies Z \in V' \implies Z' \neq \{\}$   
 $\implies \exists Z''. A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_\beta \langle l', Z'' \rangle \wedge Z'' \neq \{\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 8.4.2 Multi step

**inductive**

$steps\text{-}z\text{-}beta :: ('a, 'c, t, 's) ta \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow ('c, t) zone \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow ('c, t) zone \Rightarrow bool$   
 $(\dashv \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \rightsquigarrow_\beta^* \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle [61, 61, 61] 61)$

**where**

$refl: A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_\beta^* \langle l, Z \rangle |$

*step*:  $A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\beta}^* \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies A \vdash \langle l', Z' \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\beta} \langle l'', Z'' \rangle \implies A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\beta}^* \langle l'', Z'' \rangle$

**declare** steps-z-beta.intros[intro]

**lemma** V'-V:  $Z \in V' \implies Z \subseteq V$  ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** steps-z-beta-V':

$A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\beta}^* \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies \text{valid-abstraction } A X k \implies \forall c \in \text{clk-set } A. v c \leq n \implies Z \in V' \implies Z' \in V'$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** alpha-beta-step:

$A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\beta} \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies \text{valid-abstraction } A X k \implies \forall c \in \text{clk-set } A. v c \leq n \implies Z \in V'$   
 $\implies \exists Z''. A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\alpha} \langle l', Z'' \rangle \wedge Z' \subseteq Z''$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**Soundness lemma** alpha-beta-step':

$A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\beta} \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies \text{valid-abstraction } A X k \implies \forall c \in \text{clk-set } A. v c \leq n \implies Z \in V' \implies W \subseteq V$   
 $\implies Z \subseteq W \implies \exists W'. A \vdash \langle l, W \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\alpha} \langle l', W' \rangle \wedge Z' \subseteq W'$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** alpha-beta-steps:

$A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\beta}^* \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies \text{valid-abstraction } A X k \implies \forall c \in \text{clk-set } A. v c \leq n \implies Z \in V'$   
 $\implies \exists Z''. A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\alpha}^* \langle l', Z'' \rangle \wedge Z' \subseteq Z''$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**corollary** steps-z-beta-sound:

$A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\beta}^* \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies \forall c \in \text{clk-set } A. v c \leq n \implies \text{valid-abstraction } A X k \implies Z \in V' \implies Z' \neq \{\}$   
 $\implies \exists Z''. A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \langle l', Z'' \rangle \wedge Z'' \neq \{\}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**Completeness lemma** apx-mono:

$Z' \subseteq V \implies Z \subseteq Z' \implies \text{Approx}_{\beta} Z \subseteq \text{Approx}_{\beta} Z'$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** step-z-beta-mono:

$A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\beta} \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies Z \subseteq W \implies W \subseteq V \implies \exists W'. A \vdash \langle l, W \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\beta} \langle l', W' \rangle \wedge Z' \subseteq W'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *steps-z-beta-V*:  $A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\beta^*} \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies Z \subseteq V \implies Z' \subseteq V$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *steps-z-beta-mono*:

$A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\beta^*} \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies Z \subseteq W \implies W \subseteq V \implies \exists W'. A \vdash \langle l, W \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\beta^*} \langle l', W' \rangle \wedge Z' \subseteq W'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *steps-z-beta-alt*:

$A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\beta} \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies A \vdash \langle l', Z' \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\beta^*} \langle l'', Z'' \rangle \implies A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\beta^*} \langle l'', Z'' \rangle$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *steps-z-beta-complete*:

$A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies \text{valid-abstraction } A X k \implies Z \subseteq V$   
 $\implies \exists Z''. A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\beta^*} \langle l', Z'' \rangle \wedge Z' \subseteq Z''$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *steps-z-beta-complete'*:

$A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies \text{valid-abstraction } A X k \implies Z \subseteq V \implies Z' \neq \{\}$   
 $\implies \exists Z''. A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\beta^*} \langle l', Z'' \rangle \wedge Z'' \neq \{\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

## 9 Forward Analysis with DBMs and Widening

**theory** *Normalized-Zone-Semantics*  
**imports** *DBM-Zone-Semantics Approx-Beta*  
**begin**

### 9.1 DBM-based Semantics with Normalization

#### 9.1.1 Single Step

**inductive** *step-z-norm* ::  
 $('a, 'c, t, 's) ta \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow t \text{ DBM} \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow$   
 $'s \Rightarrow t \text{ DBM} \Rightarrow bool$   
 $(\dashv \langle \_, \_ \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\_, \_, \_} \langle \_, \_ \rangle [61, 61, 61, 61] 61)$

**where** *step-z-norm*:

$$A \vdash \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{v,n} \langle l', D' \rangle \implies A \vdash \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{k,v,n} \langle l', \text{norm } (\text{FW } D' n) k n \rangle$$

**inductive** *steps-z-norm* ::

$$\begin{aligned} ('a, 'c, t, 's) \text{ ta} \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow t \text{ DBM} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}) \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow \text{nat}) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \\ 's \Rightarrow t \text{ DBM} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \\ (- \vdash \langle -, - \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{-, -, -} \langle -, - \rangle [61, 61, 61, 61] 61) \end{aligned}$$

**where**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{refl: } A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{k,v,n} \langle l, Z \rangle | \\ \text{step: } A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{k,v,n} \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies A \vdash \langle l', Z' \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{k,v,n} \langle l'', Z'' \rangle \\ \implies A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{k,v,n} \langle l'', Z'' \rangle \end{aligned}$$

**context** *Regions*

**begin**

**abbreviation**  $v' \equiv \text{beta-interp}.v'$

**abbreviation** *step-z-norm'*  $(- \vdash \langle -, - \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{N}} \langle -, - \rangle [61, 61, 61] 61)$

**where**

$$A \vdash \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{N}} \langle l', D' \rangle \equiv A \vdash \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{(k o v'), v, n} \langle l', D' \rangle$$

**abbreviation** *steps-z-norm'*  $(- \vdash \langle -, - \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{N}*} \langle -, - \rangle [61, 61, 61] 61)$

**where**

$$A \vdash \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{N}*} \langle l', D' \rangle \equiv A \vdash \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{(k o v'), v, n} \langle l', D' \rangle$$

**inductive-cases**[*elim!*]:  $A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{N}} \langle l', u' \rangle$

**declare** *step-z-norm.intros*[*intro*]

**lemma** *apx-empty-iff''*:

**assumes** canonical  $M1 n [M1]_{v,n} \subseteq V \text{ dbm-int } M1 n$

**shows**  $[M1]_{v,n} = \{\} \longleftrightarrow [\text{norm } M1 (k o v') n]_{v,n} = \{\}$

*{proof}*

**inductive** *valid-dbm* **where**

$$[M]_{v,n} \subseteq V \implies \text{dbm-int } M n \implies \text{valid-dbm } M$$

**inductive-cases** *valid-dbm-cases*[*elim*]: *valid-dbm M*

**declare** *valid-dbm.intros*[*intro*]

**lemma** *step-z-valid-dbm*:

**assumes**  $A \vdash \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{v,n} \langle l', D' \rangle$

**and** *global-clock-numbering A v n valid-abstraction A X k valid-dbm D*

**shows** valid-dbm  $D'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** FW-zone-equiv-spec:

**shows**  $[M]_{v,n} = [FW M n]_{v,n}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** cn-weak:  $\forall k \leq n. 0 < k \rightarrow (\exists c. v c = k)$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** valid-dbm-non-empty-diag:

**assumes** valid-dbm  $M$   $[M]_{v,n} \neq \{\}$

**shows**  $\forall k \leq n. M k k \geq 1$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** non-empty-cycle-free:

**assumes**  $[M]_{v,n} \neq \{\}$

**shows** cycle-free  $M n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** norm-empty-diag-preservation:

**assumes**  $i \leq n$

**assumes**  $M i i < Le 0$

**shows** norm  $M (k o v') n i i < Le 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** norm-FW-empty:

**assumes** valid-dbm  $M$

**assumes**  $[M]_{v,n} = \{\}$

**shows** [norm (FW  $M n$ ) ( $k o v'$ )  $n]_{v,n} = \{\}$  (**is**  $[?M]_{v,n} = \{\}$ )

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** apx-norm-eq-spec:

**assumes** valid-dbm  $M$

**and**  $[M]_{v,n} \neq \{\}$

**shows** beta-interp.Approx $_{\beta}$  ( $[M]_{v,n}$ ) = [norm (FW  $M n$ ) ( $k o v'$ )  $n]_{v,n}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**print-statement** step-z-norm.inducts

**lemma** step-z-norm-induct[case-names - step-z-norm step-z-refl]:

**assumes**  $x1 \vdash \langle x2, x3 \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{(k o v'), v, n} \langle x7, x8 \rangle$

**and** step-z-norm:

$\bigwedge A l D l' D'$ .

$A \vdash \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{v,n} \langle l', D' \rangle \implies$   
 $P A l D l' (\text{norm } (FW D' n) (k o v') n)$   
**shows**  $P x1 x2 x3 x7 x8$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** FW-valid-preservation:

**assumes** valid-dbm  $M$   
**shows** valid-dbm  $(FW M n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Obsolete

**lemma** norm-diag-preservation:

**assumes**  $\forall l \leq n. M1 l l \leq \mathbf{1}$   
**shows**  $\forall l \leq n. (\text{norm } M1 (k o v') n) l l \leq \mathbf{1}$  (**is**  $\forall l \leq n. ?M l l \leq \mathbf{1}$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** norm-FW-valid-preservation-non-empty:

**assumes** valid-dbm  $M [M]_{v,n} \neq \{\}$   
**shows** valid-dbm  $(\text{norm } (FW M n) (k o v') n)$  (**is** valid-dbm  $?M$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** norm-FW-valid-preservation-empty:

**assumes** valid-dbm  $M [M]_{v,n} = \{\}$   
**shows** valid-dbm  $(\text{norm } (FW M n) (k o v') n)$  (**is** valid-dbm  $?M$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** norm-FW-valid-preservation:

**assumes** valid-dbm  $M$   
**shows** valid-dbm  $(\text{norm } (FW M n) (k o v') n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** norm-beta-sound:

**assumes**  $A \vdash \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{N}} \langle l', D' \rangle$  global-clock-numbering  $A v n$  valid-abstraction  
 $A X k$   
**and** valid-dbm  $D$   
**shows**  $A \vdash \langle l, [D]_{v,n} \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\beta} \langle l', [D']_{v,n} \rangle$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** step-z-norm-valid-dbm:

**assumes**  $A \vdash \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{N}} \langle l', D' \rangle$  global-clock-numbering  $A v n$  valid-abstraction  
 $A X k$  valid-dbm  $D$   
**shows** valid-dbm  $D'$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** norm-beta-complete:

**assumes**  $A \vdash \langle l, [D]_{v,n} \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\beta} \langle l', Z \rangle$  global-clock-numbering  $A v n$  valid-abstraction

$A \ X \ k$   
**and**    *valid-dbm D*  
**obtains**  $D'$  **where**  $A \vdash \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{N}} \langle l', D' \rangle$   $[D']_{v,n} = Z$  *valid-dbm D'*  
*(proof)*

### 9.1.2 Multi step

**declare** *steps-z-norm.intros[intro]*

**lemma** *steps-z-norm-induct[case-names - refl step]:*  
**assumes**  $x1 \vdash \langle x2, x3 \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{(k \circ v'), v, n^*} \langle x7, x8 \rangle$   
**and**  $\bigwedge A \ l \ Z. \ P \ A \ l \ Z \ l \ Z$   
**and**  
 $\bigwedge A \ l \ Z \ l' \ Z' \ l'' \ Z''.$   
 $A \vdash \langle l, Z \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{(k \circ v'), v, n^*} \langle l', Z' \rangle \implies$   
 $P \ A \ l \ Z \ l' \ Z' \implies$   
 $A \vdash \langle l', Z' \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{(k \circ v'), v, n} \langle l'', Z'' \rangle \implies P \ A \ l \ Z \ l'' \ Z''$   
**shows**  $P \ x1 \ x2 \ x3 \ x7 \ x8$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *norm-beta-sound-multi:*  
**assumes**  $A \vdash \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{N}^*} \langle l', D' \rangle$  *global-clock-numbering A v n valid-abstraction*  
 $A \ X \ k$  *valid-dbm D*  
**shows**  $A \vdash \langle l, [D]_{v,n} \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\beta^*} \langle l', [D']_{v,n} \rangle \wedge$  *valid-dbm D' (proof)*

**lemma** *norm-beta-complete-multi:*  
**assumes**  $A \vdash \langle l, [D]_{v,n} \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\beta^*} \langle l', Z \rangle$  *global-clock-numbering A v n valid-abstraction*  
 $A \ X \ k$   
**and**    *valid-dbm D*  
**obtains**  $D'$  **where**  $A \vdash \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{N}^*} \langle l', D' \rangle$   $[D']_{v,n} = Z$  *valid-dbm D'*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *norm-beta-equiv-multi:*  
**assumes** *global-clock-numbering A v n valid-abstraction A X k*  
**and**    *valid-dbm D*  
**shows**  $(\exists D'. A \vdash \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{N}^*} \langle l', D' \rangle \wedge Z = [D']_{v,n}) \longleftrightarrow A \vdash \langle l, [D]_{v,n} \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\beta^*} \langle l', Z \rangle$   
*(proof)*

### 9.1.3 Connecting with Correctness Results for Approximating Semantics

**lemma** *steps-z-norm-complete':*  
**assumes**  $A \vdash \langle l, [D]_{v,n} \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \langle l', Z \rangle$  *global-clock-numbering A v n valid-abstraction*

$A \ X \ k$   
**and** *valid-dbm D*  
**shows**  $\exists \ D'. A \vdash \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{N}^*} \langle l', D' \rangle \wedge Z \subseteq [D']_{v,n}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *valid-dbm-V'*:  
**assumes** *valid-dbm M*  
**shows**  $[M]_{v,n} \in V'$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *steps-z-norm-sound'*:  
**assumes**  $A \vdash \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{N}^*} \langle l', D' \rangle$   
**and** *global-clock-numbering A v n*  
**and** *valid-abstraction A X k*  
**and** *valid-dbm D*  
**and**  $[D]_{v,n} \neq \{\}$   
**shows**  $\exists Z. A \vdash \langle l, [D]_{v,n} \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \langle l', Z \rangle \wedge Z \neq \{ \}$   
*(proof)*

## 9.2 The Final Result About Language Emptiness

**lemma** *steps-z-norm-complete*:  
**assumes**  $A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle l', u' \rangle \ u \in [D]_{v,n}$   
**and** *global-clock-numbering A v n valid-abstraction A X k valid-dbm D*  
**shows**  $\exists \ D'. A \vdash \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{N}^*} \langle l', D' \rangle \wedge u' \in [D']_{v,n}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *steps-z-norm-sound*:  
**assumes**  $A \vdash \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{N}^*} \langle l', D' \rangle$   
**and** *global-clock-numbering A v n valid-abstraction A X k valid-dbm D*  
**and**  $[D]_{v,n} \neq \{\}$   
**shows**  $\exists \ u \in [D]_{v,n}. \exists \ u'. A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle l', u' \rangle$   
*(proof)*

**theorem** *steps-z-norm-decides-emptiness*:  
**assumes** *global-clock-numbering A v n valid-abstraction A X k valid-dbm D*  
**shows**  $(\exists \ D'. A \vdash \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{\mathcal{N}^*} \langle l', D' \rangle \wedge [D']_{v,n} \neq \{\}) \longleftrightarrow (\exists \ u \in [D]_{v,n}. \exists \ u'. A \vdash \langle l, u \rangle \rightarrow^* \langle l', u' \rangle)$   
*(proof)*

### 9.3 Finiteness of the Search Space

**abbreviation** *dbm-default*  $M \equiv (\forall i > n. \forall j. M i j = \mathbf{1}) \wedge (\forall j > n. \forall i. M i j = \mathbf{1})$

**lemma**  $a \in \mathbb{Z} \implies \exists b. a = \text{real-of-int } b \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *norm-default-preservation*:

$\text{dbm-default } M \implies \text{dbm-default} (\text{norm } M (k o v') n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *normalized-integral-dbmss-finite*:

$\text{finite} \{ \text{norm } M (k o v') n \mid M. \text{dbm-int } M n \wedge \text{dbm-default } M \}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

### 9.4 Appendix: Standard Clock Numberings for Concrete Models

**locale** *Regions'* =

**fixes**  $X$  **and**  $k :: 'c \Rightarrow \text{nat}$  **and**  $v :: 'c \Rightarrow \text{nat}$  **and**  $n :: \text{nat}$  **and** *not-in-X*  
**assumes** *finite*:  $\text{finite } X$   
**assumes** *clock-numbering*:  $\forall c \in X. v c > 0 \forall c. c \notin X \longrightarrow v c > n$   
**assumes** *bij*:  $\text{bij-betw } v X \{1..n\}$   
**assumes** *non-empty*:  $X \neq \{\}$   
**assumes** *not-in-X*:  $\text{not-in-}X \notin X$

**begin**

**lemma** *inj*: *inj-on*  $v X \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cn-weak*:  $\forall c. v c > 0 \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *in-X*: **assumes**  $v x \leq n$  **shows**  $x \in X \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

**sublocale** *Regions'*  $\subseteq$  *Regions*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *standard-abstraction*:

**assumes** *finite* (*clkp-set A*) *finite* (*collect-clkvt (trans-of A)*)  $\forall (-, m :: \text{real})$

```

 $\in \text{clkp-set } A. m \in \mathbb{N}$ 
obtains  $k :: 'c \Rightarrow \text{nat}$  where  $\text{valid-abstraction } A (\text{clk-set } A) k$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

**definition**

```

 $\text{finite-ta } A \equiv \text{finite} (\text{clkp-set } A) \wedge \text{finite} (\text{collect-clkvt} (\text{trans-of } A))$ 
 $\wedge (\forall (-, m :: \text{real}) \in \text{clkp-set } A. m \in \mathbb{N}) \wedge \text{clk-set } A \neq \{\} \wedge$ 
 $\neg \text{clk-set } A \neq \{\}$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{finite-ta-Regions}'$ :
fixes  $A :: ('a, 'c, \text{real}, 's) \text{ta}$ 
assumes  $\text{finite-ta } A$ 
obtains  $v n x$  where  $\text{Regions}' (\text{clk-set } A) v n x$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{finite-ta-RegionsD}$ :
assumes  $\text{finite-ta } A$ 
obtains  $k :: 'b \Rightarrow \text{nat}$  and  $v n x$  where
 $\text{Regions}' (\text{clk-set } A) v n x \text{ valid-abstraction } A (\text{clk-set } A) k \text{ global-clock-numbering}$ 
 $A v n$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

**definition**  $\text{valid-dbm}$  **where**  $\text{valid-dbm } M n \equiv \text{dbm-int } M n \wedge (\forall i \leq n. M$   
 $0 i \leq 1)$

```

lemma  $\text{dbm-positive}$ :
assumes  $M 0 (v c) \leq 1 v c \leq n \text{ DBM-val-bounded } v u M n$ 
shows  $u c \geq 0$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{valid-dbm-pos}$ :
assumes  $\text{valid-dbm } M n$ 
shows  $[M]_{v,n} \subseteq \{u. \forall c. v c \leq n \longrightarrow u c \geq 0\}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma (in Regions')  $V\text{-alt-def}$ :
shows  $\{u. \forall c. v c > 0 \wedge v c \leq n \longrightarrow u c \geq 0\} = V$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

An example of obtaining concrete models from our formalizations.

```

lemma  $\text{steps-z-norm-sound-spec}$ :
assumes  $\text{finite-ta } A$ 
obtains  $k v n$  where

```

$A \vdash \langle l, D \rangle \rightsquigarrow_{k,v,n}^* \langle l', D' \rangle \wedge \text{valid-dbm } D \ n \wedge [D']_{v,n} \neq \{\}$   
 $\longrightarrow (\exists Z. A \vdash \langle l, [D]_{v,n} \rangle \rightsquigarrow^* \langle l', Z \rangle \wedge Z \neq \{\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

## References

- [AD90] Rajeev Alur and D. L. Dill. Automata for modeling real-time systems. In *Proceedings of the Seventeenth International Colloquium on Automata, Languages and Programming*, pages 322–335, New York, NY, USA, 1990. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc.
- [AD94] Rajeev Alur and David L. Dill. A theory of timed automata. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 126:183–235, 1994.
- [Bou04] Patricia Bouyer. Forward analysis of updatable timed automata. *Formal Methods in System Design*, 24(3):281–320, 2004.
- [BY03] Johan Bengtsson and Wang Yi. Timed automata: Semantics, algorithms and tools. In *Lectures on Concurrency and Petri Nets, Advances in Petri Nets [This tutorial volume originates from the 4th Advanced Course on Petri Nets, ACPN 2003, held in Eichstätt, Germany in September 2003. In addition to lectures given at ACPN 2003, additional chapters have been commissioned]*, pages 87–124, 2003.
- [HHWt97] Thomas A. Henzinger, Pei-Hsin Ho, and Howard Wong-toi. Hytech: A model checker for hybrid systems. *Software Tools for Technology Transfer*, 1:460–463, 1997.
- [LPY97] G. Kim Larsen, Paul Pettersson, and Wang Yi. Uppaal in a nutshell. *International Journal on Software Tools for Technology Transfer*, 1(1):134–152, 1997.
- [Yov97] Sergio Yovine. KRONOS: A verification tool for real-time systems. *STTT*, 1(1-2):123–133, 1997.