

Syntax-Independent Logic Infrastructure

Andrei Popescu Dmitriy Traytel

March 17, 2025

Abstract

We formalize a notion of logic whose terms and formulas are kept abstract. In particular, logical connectives, substitution, free variables, and provability are not defined, but characterized by their general properties as locale assumptions. Based on this abstract characterization, we develop further reusable reasoning infrastructure. For example, we define parallel substitution (along with proving its characterizing theorems) from single-point substitution. Similarly, we develop a natural deduction style proof system starting from the abstract Hilbert-style one. These one-time efforts benefit different concrete logics satisfying our locales' assumptions.

We instantiate the syntax-independent logic infrastructure to Robinson arithmetic (also known as Q) in the AFP entry [Robinson_Arithmetic](#) and to hereditarily finite set theory in the AFP entries [Goedel_HFSet_Semantic](#) and [Goedel_HFSet_Semanticless](#), which are part of our formalization of Gödel's Incompleteness Theorems described in our CADE-27 paper [1].

Contents

1 Preliminaries	3
1.1 Trivia	3
1.2 Some Proof Infrastructure	4
2 Syntax	6
2.1 Generic Syntax	6
2.1.1 Instance Operator	8
2.1.2 Fresh Variables	9
2.1.3 Parallel Term Substitution	10
2.1.4 Parallel Formula Substitution	12
2.2 Adding Numerals to the Generic Syntax	25
2.3 Adding Connectives and Quantifiers	27
2.3.1 Iterated conjunction	38
2.3.2 Parallel substitution versus the new connectives	39
2.4 Adding Disjunction	40
2.4.1 Iterated disjunction	40
2.4.2 Parallel substitution versus the new connectives	41
2.5 Adding an Ordering-Like Formula	43
2.6 Allowing the Renaming of Quantified Variables	44
2.7 The Exists-Unique Quantifier	45
3 Deduction	47
3.1 Positive Logic Deduction	47
3.1.1 Properties of the propositional fragment	48
3.1.2 Properties involving quantifiers	56
3.1.3 Properties concerning equality	60
3.1.4 The equivalence between soft substitution and substitution	62
3.2 Deduction Considering False	62
3.2.1 Basic properties of False (fls)	63
3.2.2 Properties involving negation	63
3.2.3 Properties involving True (tru)	66
3.2.4 Property of set-based conjunctions	66
3.2.5 Consistency and ω -consistency	72
3.3 Deduction Considering False and Disjunction	74
3.3.1 Disjunction vs. disjunction	75
3.3.2 Disjunction vs. conjunction	76
3.3.3 Disjunction vs. True and False	76
3.3.4 Set-based disjunctions	77
3.4 Deduction with Quantified Variable Renaming Included	82
3.5 Deduction with PseudoOrder Axioms Included	82

4 Natural Deduction	84
4.1 Natural Deduction from the Hilbert System	84
4.2 Structural Rules for the Natural Deduction Relation	84
4.3 Back and Forth between Hilbert and Natural Deduction	85
4.4 More Structural Properties	85
4.5 Properties Involving Substitution	87
4.6 Introduction and Elimination Rules	88
4.7 Adding Lemmas of Various Shapes into the Proof Context	93
4.8 Rules for Equality	95
4.9 Other Rules	95
4.10 Natural Deduction for the Exists-Unique Quantifier	96
4.11 Eisbach Notation for Natural Deduction Proofs	98
5 Pseudo-Terms	100
5.1 Basic Setting	100
5.2 The $\forall\exists$ Equivalence	101
5.3 Instantiation	103
5.3.1 Instantiation with terms	103
5.3.2 Instantiation with pseudo-terms	104
5.3.3 Closure and compositionality properties of instantiation	104
5.4 Equality between Pseudo-Terms and Terms	108
6 Truth in a Standard Model	111
7 Arithmetic Constructs	114
7.1 Arithmetic Terms	116
7.2 The (Nonstrict and Strict) Order Relations	138
7.3 Bounded Quantification	141
8 Deduction in a System Embedding the Intuitionistic Robinson Arithmetic	143
8.1 Natural Deduction with the Bounded Quantifiers	143
8.2 Deduction with the Robinson Arithmetic Axioms	144
8.3 Properties Provable in Q	150
8.3.1 General properties, unconstrained by numerals	150
8.3.2 Representability properties	152
8.3.3 The "order-adequacy" properties	156
8.3.4 Verifying the abstract ordering assumptions	165

Chapter 1

Preliminaries

1.1 Trivia

abbreviation (*input*) *any* **where** *any* \equiv *undefined*

lemma *Un_Diff2*: $B \cap C = \{\} \implies A \cup B - C = A - C \cup B$ **by** *auto*

lemma *Diff_Diff_Un*: $A - B - C = A - (B \cup C)$ **by** *auto*

fun *first* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *nat list* **where**
 first 0 = []
 | *first* (Suc *n*) = *n* # *first* *n*

Facts about zipping lists:

lemma *fst_set_zip_map_fst*:
 length *xs* = *length* *ys* \implies *fst* ‘(set (zip (map *fst* *xs*) *ys*)) = *fst* ‘(set *xs*)
 by (*induct* *xs* *ys* *rule*: *list_induct2*) *auto*

lemma *snd_set_zip_map_snd*:
 length *xs* = *length* *ys* \implies *snd* ‘(set (zip *xs* (map *snd* *ys*))) = *snd* ‘(set *ys*)
 by (*induct* *xs* *ys* *rule*: *list_induct2*) *auto*

lemma *snd_set_zip*:
 length *xs* = *length* *ys* \implies *snd* ‘(set (zip *xs* *ys*)) = set *ys*
 by (*induct* *xs* *ys* *rule*: *list_induct2*) *auto*

lemma *set_zip_D*: $(x, y) \in \text{set}(\text{zip } xs \ ys) \implies x \in \text{set } xs \wedge y \in \text{set } ys$
 using *set_zip_leftD* *set_zip_rightD* **by** *auto*

lemma *inj_on_set_zip_map*:
 assumes *i*: *inj_on f X*
 and *a*: $(f x_1, y_1) \in \text{set}(\text{zip } (\text{map } f \ xs) \ ys)$ *set xs* $\subseteq X$ $x_1 \in X$ *length xs* = *length ys*
 shows $(x_1, y_1) \in \text{set}(\text{zip } xs \ ys)$
using *a* **proof** (*induct* *xs* *arbitrary*: *ys* *x1* *y1*)
 case (*Cons* *x* *xs* *yys*)
 thus ?*case* **using** *i* **unfolding** *inj_on_def* **by** (*cases* *yys*) *auto*
qed (*insert* *i*, *auto*)

lemma *set_zip_map_fst_snd*:
 assumes $(u, x) \in \text{set}(\text{zip } us \ (\text{map } snd \ txs))$
 and $(t, u) \in \text{set}(\text{zip } (\text{map } fst \ txs) \ us)$
 and *distinct* (*map* *snd* *txs*)
 and *distinct* *us* **and** *length* *us* = *length* *txs*

```

shows (t, x) ∈ set txs
using assms(5,1–4)
by (induct us txs arbitrary: u x t rule: list_induct2)
  (auto dest: set_zip_leftD set_zip_rightD)

lemma set_zip_map_fst_snd2:
assumes (u, x) ∈ set (zip us (map snd txs))
  and (t, x) ∈ set txs
  and distinct (map snd txs)
  and distinct us and length us = length txs
shows (t, u) ∈ set (zip (map fst txs) us)
using assms(5,1–4)
by (induct us txs arbitrary: u x t rule: list_induct2)
  (auto dest: set_zip_rightD simp: image_iff)

lemma set_zip_length_map:
assumes (x1, y1) ∈ set (zip xs ys) and length xs = length ys
shows (f x1, y1) ∈ set (zip (map f xs) ys)
using assms(2,1) by (induct xs ys arbitrary: x1 y1 rule: list_induct2) auto

definition asList :: 'a set ⇒ 'a list where
asList A ≡ SOME as. set as = A

lemma asList[simp,intro!]: finite A ⇒ set (asList A) = A
  unfolding asList_def by (meson finite_list tfl_some)

lemma triv_Un_imp_aux:
(¬ a. φ ⇒ a ∉ A ⇒ a ∈ B ↔ a ∈ C) ⇒ φ → A ∪ B = A ∪ C
  by auto

definition toN where toN n ≡ [0..(Suc n)]]

lemma set_toN[simp]: set (toN n) = {0..n}
  unfolding toN_def by auto

declare list.map_cong[cong]

```

1.2 Some Proof Infrastructure

```

ML ‹
exception TAC of term

val simped = Thm.rule_attribute [] (fn context => fn thm =>
let
  val ctxt = Context.proof_of context;
  val (thm', ctxt') = yield_singleton (apfst snd oo Variable.import false) thm ctxt;
  val full_goal = Thm.prop_of thm';
  val goal = Goal.prove ctxt' [] full_goal (fn {context = ctxt, prems = _} =>
    HEADGOAL (asm_full_simp_tac ctxt THEN' TRY o SUBGOAL (fn (goal, _) => raise (TAC
      goal))));
  |> K (HOLogic.mk_Trueprop @{term True})
  handle TAC goal => goal;
  val thm = Goal.prove ctxt' [] goal (fn {context = ctxt, prems = _} =>
    HEADGOAL (Method.insert_tac ctxt [thm'] THEN' asm_full_simp_tac ctxt))
  |> singleton (Variable.export ctxt' ctxt);
in thm end)

val __ = Theory.setup

```

```
(Attrib.setup binding <simped> (pair simped) simped rule);  
>
```

```
method RULE methods meth uses rule =  
  (rule rule; (solves meth)?)
```

TryUntilFail:

```
method TUF methods meth =  
  ((meth;fail)+)?
```

Helping a method, usually simp or auto, with specific substitutions inserted. For auto, this is a bit like a "simp!" analogue of "intro!" and "dest!": It forces the application of an indicated simplification rule, if this is possible.

```
method variousSubsts1 methods meth uses s1 =  
  (meth?,(subst s1)?, meth?)  
method variousSubsts2 methods meth uses s1 s2 =  
  (meth?,(subst s1)?, meth?, subst s2, meth?)  
method variousSubsts3 methods meth uses s1 s2 s3 =  
  (meth?,(subst s1)?, meth?, (subst s2)?, meth?, (subst s3)?, meth?)  
method variousSubsts4 methods meth uses s1 s2 s3 s4 =  
  (meth?,(subst s1)?, meth?, (subst s2)?, meth?, (subst s3)?, meth?, (subst s4)?, meth?)  
method variousSubsts5 methods meth uses s1 s2 s3 s4 s5 =  
  (meth?,(subst s1)?, meth?, (subst s2)?, meth?, (subst s3)?, meth?, (subst s4)?, meth?, (subst s5)?,  
  meth?)  
method variousSubsts6 methods meth uses s1 s2 s3 s4 s5 s6 =  
  (meth?,(subst s1)?, meth?, (subst s2)?, meth?, (subst s3)?, meth?,  
  (subst s4)?, meth?, (subst s5)?, meth?, (subst s6)?, meth?)
```

Chapter 2

Syntax

2.1 Generic Syntax

We develop some generic (meta-)axioms for syntax and substitution. We only assume that the syntax of our logic has notions of variable, term and formula, which *include* subsets of "numeric" variables, terms and formulas, the latter being endowed with notions of free variables and substitution subject to some natural properties.

```
locale Generic_Syntax =
  fixes
    var :: 'var set — numeric variables (i.e., variables ranging over numbers)
    and trm :: 'trm set — numeric trms, which include the numeric variables
    and fmla :: 'fmla set — numeric formulas
    and Var :: 'var ⇒ 'trm — trms include at least the variables
    and FvarsT :: 'trm ⇒ 'var set — free variables for trms
    and substT :: 'trm ⇒ 'trm ⇒ 'var ⇒ 'trm — substitution for trms
    and Fvars :: 'fmla ⇒ 'var set — free variables for formulas
    and subst :: 'fmla ⇒ 'trm ⇒ 'var ⇒ 'fmla — substitution for formulas
  assumes
    infinite_var: infinite var — the variables are assumed infinite
    and — Assumptions about the infrastructure (free vars, substitution and the embedding of variables
  into trms. NB: We need fewer assumptions for trm substitution than for formula substitution!
    Var[simp,intro!]: ∀x. x ∈ var ⇒ Var x ∈ trm
    and
    inj_Var[simp]: ∀ x y. x ∈ var ⇒ y ∈ var ⇒ (Var x = Var y ↔ x = y)
    and
    finite_FvarsT: ∀ t. t ∈ trm ⇒ finite (FvarsT t)
    and
    FvarsT: ∀t. t ∈ trm ⇒ FvarsT t ⊆ var
    and
    substT[simp,intro]: ∀t1 t x. t1 ∈ trm ⇒ t ∈ trm ⇒ x ∈ var ⇒ substT t1 t x ∈ trm
    and
    FvarsT_Var[simp]: ∀ x. x ∈ var ⇒ FvarsT (Var x) = {x}
    and
    substT_Var[simp]: ∀ x t y. x ∈ var ⇒ y ∈ var ⇒ t ∈ trm ⇒
      substT (Var x) t y = (if x = y then t else Var x)
    and
    substT_notIn[simp]:
      ∀t1 t2 x. x ∈ var ⇒ t1 ∈ trm ⇒ t2 ∈ trm ⇒ x ∉ FvarsT t1 ⇒ substT t1 t2 x = t1
    and
    — Assumptions about the infrastructure (free vars and substitution) on formulas
    finite_Fvars: ∀ φ. φ ∈ fmla ⇒ finite (Fvars φ)
    and
```

$Fvars: \bigwedge \varphi. \varphi \in fmla \implies Fvars \varphi \subseteq var$
and
 $subst[simp,intro]: \bigwedge \varphi t x. \varphi \in fmla \implies t \in trm \implies x \in var \implies subst \varphi t x \in fmla$
and
 $Fvars_subst_in:$
 $\bigwedge \varphi t x. \varphi \in fmla \implies t \in trm \implies x \in var \implies x \in Fvars \varphi \implies$
 $Fvars(subst \varphi t x) = Fvars \varphi - \{x\} \cup FvarsT t$
and
 $subst_compose_eq_or:$
 $\bigwedge \varphi t1 t2 x1 x2. \varphi \in fmla \implies t1 \in trm \implies t2 \in trm \implies x1 \in var \implies x2 \in var \implies$
 $x1 = x2 \vee x2 \notin Fvars \varphi \implies subst(subst \varphi t1 x1) t2 x2 = subst \varphi (substT t1 t2 x2) x1$
and
 $subst_compose_diff:$
 $\bigwedge \varphi t1 t2 x1 x2. \varphi \in fmla \implies t1 \in trm \implies t2 \in trm \implies x1 \in var \implies x2 \in var \implies$
 $x1 \neq x2 \implies x1 \notin FvarsT t2 \implies$
 $subst(subst \varphi t1 x1) t2 x2 = subst(subst \varphi t2 x2) (substT t1 t2 x2) x1$
and
 $subst_same_Var[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge \varphi x. \varphi \in fmla \implies x \in var \implies subst \varphi (Var x) x = \varphi$
and
 $subst_notIn[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge x \varphi t. \varphi \in fmla \implies t \in trm \implies x \in var \implies x \notin Fvars \varphi \implies subst \varphi t x = \varphi$
begin

```

lemma var_NE: var ≠ {}
using infinite_var by auto

lemma Var_injD: Var x = Var y ⟹ x ∈ var ⟹ y ∈ var ⟹ x = y
by auto

lemma FvarsT_VarD: x ∈ FvarsT (Var y) ⟹ y ∈ var ⟹ x = y
by auto

lemma FvarsT': t ∈ trm ⟹ x ∈ FvarsT t ⟹ x ∈ var
using FvarsT by auto

lemma Fvars': φ ∈ fmla ⟹ x ∈ Fvars φ ⟹ x ∈ var
using Fvars by auto

lemma Fvars_subst[simp]:
φ ∈ fmla ⟹ t ∈ trm ⟹ x ∈ var ⟹
Fvars(subst φ t x) = (Fvars φ - {x}) ∪ (if x ∈ Fvars φ then FvarsT t else {})
by (simp add: Fvars_subst_in)

lemma in_Fvars_substD:
y ∈ Fvars(subst φ t x) ⟹ φ ∈ fmla ⟹ t ∈ trm ⟹ x ∈ var
⟹ y ∈ (Fvars φ - {x}) ∪ (if x ∈ Fvars φ then FvarsT t else {})
using Fvars_subst by auto

lemma inj_on_Var: inj_on Var var
using inj_Var unfolding inj_on_def by auto

lemma subst_compose_same:
bigwedge φ t1 t2 x. φ ∈ fmla ⟹ t1 ∈ trm ⟹ t2 ∈ trm ⟹ x ∈ var ⟹
subst(subst φ t1 x) t2 x = subst φ (substT t1 t2 x) x
using subst_compose_eq_or by blast

lemma subst_subst[simp]:
```

```

assumes  $\varphi[simp]: \varphi \in fmla$  and  $t[simp]: t \in trm$  and  $x[simp]: x \in var$  and  $y[simp]: y \in var$ 
assumes  $yy: x \neq y$   $y \notin Fvars \varphi$ 
shows  $subst(subst \varphi (Var y) x) t y = subst \varphi t x$ 
using  $subst\_compose\_eq\_or[OF \varphi \_ t x y, of Var y]$  using  $subst\_notIn yy$  by simp

lemma subst_comp:
 $\wedge x y \varphi t. \varphi \in fmla \implies t \in trm \implies x \in var \implies y \in var \implies$ 
 $x \neq y \implies y \notin FvarsT t \implies$ 
 $subst(subst \varphi (Var x) y) t x = subst(subst \varphi t x) t y$ 
by (simp add: subst_compose_diff)

lemma exists_nat_var:
 $\exists f::nat \Rightarrow 'var. inj f \wedge range f \subseteq var$ 
by (simp add: infinite_countable_subset infinite_var)

definition Variable :: nat  $\Rightarrow 'var$  where
Variable = (SOME f. inj f  $\wedge$  range f  $\subseteq$  var)

lemma Variable_inj_var:
 $inj Variable \wedge range Variable \subseteq var$ 
unfolding Variable_def using someI_ex[OF exists_nat_var] .

lemma inj_Variable[simp]:  $\wedge i j. Variable i = Variable j \longleftrightarrow i = j$ 
and Variable[simp,intro!]:  $\wedge i. Variable i \in var$ 
using Variable_inj_var image_def unfolding inj_def by auto

Convenient notations for some variables We reserve the first 10 indexes for any special variables
we may wish to consider later.

abbreviation xx where xx  $\equiv$  Variable 10
abbreviation yy where yy  $\equiv$  Variable 11
abbreviation zz where zz  $\equiv$  Variable 12

abbreviation xx' where xx'  $\equiv$  Variable 13
abbreviation yy' where yy'  $\equiv$  Variable 14
abbreviation zz' where zz'  $\equiv$  Variable 15

lemma xx: xx  $\in$  var
and yy: yy  $\in$  var
and zz: zz  $\in$  var
and xx': xx'  $\in$  var
and yy': yy'  $\in$  var
and zz': zz'  $\in$  var
by auto

lemma vars_distinct[simp]:
xx  $\neq$  yy yy  $\neq$  xx xx  $\neq$  zz zz  $\neq$  xx xx  $\neq$  xx' xx'  $\neq$  xx xx  $\neq$  yy' yy'  $\neq$  xx xx  $\neq$  zz' zz'  $\neq$  xx
yy  $\neq$  zz zz  $\neq$  yy yy  $\neq$  xx' xx'  $\neq$  yy yy  $\neq$  yy' yy'  $\neq$  yy yy  $\neq$  zz' zz'  $\neq$  yy
zz  $\neq$  xx' xx'  $\neq$  zz zz  $\neq$  yy' yy'  $\neq$  zz zz  $\neq$  zz' zz'  $\neq$  zz
xx'  $\neq$  yy' yy'  $\neq$  xx' xx'  $\neq$  zz' zz'  $\neq$  xx'
yy'  $\neq$  zz' zz'  $\neq$  yy'
by auto

```

2.1.1 Instance Operator

```

definition inst :: 'fmla  $\Rightarrow 'trm \Rightarrow 'fmla$  where
inst  $\varphi t = subst \varphi t xx$ 

```

```

lemma inst[simp]:  $\varphi \in fmla \implies t \in trm \implies inst \varphi t \in fmla$ 

```

```

unfolding inst_def by auto

definition getFresh :: 'var set ⇒ 'var where
  getFresh V = (SOME x. x ∈ var ∧ x ∉ V)

lemma getFresh: finite V ⇒ getFresh V ∈ var ∧ getFresh V ∉ V
  by (metis (no_types, lifting) finite_subset getFresh_def infinite_var someI_ex subsetI)

definition getFr :: 'var list ⇒ 'trm list ⇒ 'fmla list ⇒ 'var where
  getFr xs ts φs =
    getFresh (set xs ∪ (UNION (FvarsT ` set ts)) ∪ (UNION (Fvars ` set φs)))

lemma getFr_FvarsT_Fvars:
  assumes set xs ⊆ var set ts ⊆ trm and set φs ⊆ fmla
  shows getFr xs ts φs ∈ var ∧
    getFr xs ts φs ∉ set xs ∧
    (t ∈ set ts → getFr xs ts φs ∉ FvarsT t) ∧
    (φ ∈ set φs → getFr xs ts φs ∉ Fvars φ)
proof-
  have finite (set xs ∪ (UNION (FvarsT ` set ts)) ∪ (UNION (Fvars ` set φs))) by finite_subset
  using assms finite_FvarsT finite_Fvars by auto
  from getFresh[OF this] show ?thesis using assms unfolding getFr_def by auto
qed

lemma getFr[simp,intro]:
  assumes set xs ⊆ var set ts ⊆ trm and set φs ⊆ fmla
  shows getFr xs ts φs ∈ var
  using assms getFr_FvarsT_Fvars by auto

lemma getFr_var:
  assumes set xs ⊆ var set ts ⊆ trm and set φs ⊆ fmla and t ∈ set ts
  shows getFr xs ts φs ∉ set xs
  using assms getFr_FvarsT_Fvars by auto

lemma getFr_FvarsT:
  assumes set xs ⊆ var set ts ⊆ trm and set φs ⊆ fmla and t ∈ set ts
  shows getFr xs ts φs ∉ FvarsT t
  using assms getFr_FvarsT_Fvars by auto

lemma getFr_Fvars:
  assumes set xs ⊆ var set ts ⊆ trm and set φs ⊆ fmla and φ ∈ set φs
  shows getFr xs ts φs ∉ Fvars φ
  using assms getFr_FvarsT_Fvars by auto

```

2.1.2 Fresh Variables

```

fun getFreshN :: 'var set ⇒ nat ⇒ 'var list where
  getFreshN V 0 = []
  | getFreshN V (Suc n) = (let u = getFresh V in u # getFreshN (insert u V) n)

lemma getFreshN: finite V ⇒
  set (getFreshN V n) ⊆ var ∧ set (getFreshN V n) ∩ V = {} ∧ length (getFreshN V n) = n ∧ distinct
  (getFreshN V n)
  by (induct n arbitrary: V) (auto simp: getFresh Let_def)

definition getFrN :: 'var list ⇒ 'trm list ⇒ 'fmla list ⇒ nat ⇒ 'var list where
  getFrN xs ts φs n =
    getFreshN (set xs ∪ (UNION (FvarsT ` set ts)) ∪ (UNION (Fvars ` set φs))) n

```

```

lemma getFrN_FvarsT_Fvars:
assumes set xs ⊆ var set ts ⊆ trm and set φs ⊆ fmla
shows set (getFrN xs ts φs n) ⊆ var ∧
  set (getFrN xs ts φs n) ∩ set xs = {} ∧
  (t ∈ set ts → set (getFrN xs ts φs n) ∩ FvarsT t = {}) ∧
  (φ ∈ set φs → set (getFrN xs ts φs n) ∩ Fvars φ = {}) ∧
  length (getFrN xs ts φs n) = n ∧
  distinct (getFrN xs ts φs n)
proof-
  have finite (set xs ∪ (⋃(FvarsT ` set ts)) ∪ (⋃(Fvars ` set φs)))
  using assms finite_FvarsT finite_Fvars by auto
  from getFreshN[OF this] show ?thesis using assms unfolding getFrN_def by auto
qed

lemma getFrN[simp,intro]:
assumes set xs ⊆ var set ts ⊆ trm and set φs ⊆ fmla
shows set (getFrN xs ts φs n) ⊆ var
using assms getFrN_FvarsT_Fvars by auto

lemma getFrN_var:
assumes set xs ⊆ var set ts ⊆ trm and set φs ⊆ fmla and t ∈ set ts
shows set (getFrN xs ts φs n) ∩ set xs = {}
using assms getFrN_FvarsT_Fvars by auto

lemma getFrN_FvarsT:
assumes set xs ⊆ var set ts ⊆ trm and set φs ⊆ fmla and t ∈ set ts
shows set (getFrN xs ts φs n) ∩ FvarsT t = {}
using assms getFrN_FvarsT_Fvars by auto

lemma getFrN_Fvars:
assumes set xs ⊆ var set ts ⊆ trm and set φs ⊆ fmla and φ ∈ set φs
shows set (getFrN xs ts φs n) ∩ Fvars φ = {}
using assms getFrN_FvarsT_Fvars by auto

lemma getFrN_length:
assumes set xs ⊆ var set ts ⊆ trm and set φs ⊆ fmla
shows length (getFrN xs ts φs n) = n
using assms getFrN_FvarsT_Fvars by auto

lemma getFrN_distinct[simp,intro]:
assumes set xs ⊆ var set ts ⊆ trm and set φs ⊆ fmla
shows distinct (getFrN xs ts φs n)
using assms getFrN_FvarsT_Fvars by auto

```

2.1.3 Parallel Term Substitution

```

fun rawsubstT :: 'trm ⇒ ('trm × 'var) list ⇒ 'trm where
  rawsubstT t [] = t
  | rawsubstT t ((t1,x1) # txs) = rawsubstT (substT t t1 x1) txs

lemma rawsubstT[simp]:
assumes t ∈ trm and snd ` (set txs) ⊆ var and fst ` (set txs) ⊆ trm
shows rawsubstT t txs ∈ trm
using assms by (induct txs arbitrary: t) fastforce+

definition psubstT :: 'trm ⇒ ('trm × 'var) list ⇒ 'trm where
  psubstT t txs =

```

```
(let xs = map snd txs; ts = map fst txs; us = getFrN xs (t # ts) [] (length xs) in
  rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT t (zip (map Var us) xs)) (zip ts us))
```

The psubstT versions of the subst axioms.

```
lemma psubstT[simp,intro]:
  assumes t ∈ trm and snd ` (set txs) ⊆ var and fst ` (set txs) ⊆ trm
  shows psubstT t txs ∈ trm
proof-
  define us where us: us = getFrN (map snd txs) (t # map fst txs) [] (length txs)
  have us_facts: set us ⊆ var
    set us ∩ FvarsT t = {}
    set us ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ` (fst ` (set txs))) = {}
    set us ∩ snd ` (set txs) = {}
    length us = length txs
    distinct us
  using assms unfolding us
  using getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd txs t # map fst txs [] _ length txs]
    getFrN_var[of map snd txs t # map fst txs [] _ length txs]
    getFrN_length[of map snd txs t # map fst txs [] length txs]
    getFrN_distinct[of map snd txs t # map fst txs [] length txs]
  by auto (metis (no_types, opaque_lifting) IntI empty_iff image_iff old.prod.inject surjective_pairing)
  show ?thesis using assms us_facts unfolding psubstT_def
    by (force simp: Let_def us[symmetric] dest: set_zip_leftD set_zip_rightD intro!: rawpsubstT)
qed

lemma rawpsubstT_Var_not[simp]:
  assumes x ∈ var snd ` (set txs) ⊆ var fst ` (set txs) ⊆ trm
  and x ∉ snd ` (set txs)
  shows rawpsubstT (Var x) txs = Var x
  using assms by (induct txs) auto

lemma psubstT_Var_not[simp]:
  assumes x ∈ var snd ` (set txs) ⊆ var fst ` (set txs) ⊆ trm
  and x ∉ snd ` (set txs)
  shows psubstT (Var x) txs = Var x
proof-
  define us where us: us = getFrN (map snd txs) (Var x # map fst txs) [] (length txs)
  have us_facts: set us ⊆ var
    x ∉ set us
    set us ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ` (fst ` (set txs))) = {}
    set us ∩ snd ` (set txs) = {}
    length us = length txs
  using assms unfolding us
  using getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd txs Var x # map fst txs [] Var x length txs]
    getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd txs Var x # map fst txs [] _ length txs]
    getFrN_var[of map snd txs Var x # map fst txs [] Var x length txs]
    getFrN_length[of map snd txs Var x # map fst txs [] length txs]
  by (auto simp: set_eq_iff)
  have [simp]: rawpsubstT (Var x) (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs)) = Var x
    using assms us_facts
    by (intro rawpsubstT_Var_not) (force dest: set_zip_rightD set_zip_leftD)+
  have [simp]: rawpsubstT (Var x) (zip (map fst txs) us) = Var x
    using assms us_facts
    by (intro rawpsubstT_Var_not) (force dest: set_zip_rightD set_zip_leftD)+
  show ?thesis using assms us_facts unfolding psubstT_def
    by (auto simp: Let_def us[symmetric])
qed
```

```

lemma rawpsubstT_notIn[simp]:
assumes x ∈ var snd ‘(set txs) ⊆ var fst ‘(set txs) ⊆ trm t ∈ trm
and FvarsT t ∩ snd ‘(set txs) = {}
shows rawpsubstT t txs = t
using assms by (induct txs) auto

lemma psubstT_notIn[simp]:
assumes x ∈ var snd ‘(set txs) ⊆ var fst ‘(set txs) ⊆ trm t ∈ trm
and FvarsT t ∩ snd ‘(set txs) = {}
shows psubstT t txs = t
proof-
define us where us: us = getFrN (map snd txs) (t # map fst txs) [] (length txs)
have us_facts: set us ⊆ var
set us ∩ FvarsT t = {}
set us ∩ ∪ (FvarsT ‘(fst ‘(set txs))) = {}
set us ∩ snd ‘(set txs) = {}
length us = length txs
using assms unfolding us
using getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd txs t # map fst txs [] _ length txs]
getFrN_var[of map snd txs t # map fst txs [] t length txs]
getFrN_length[of map snd txs t # map fst txs [] length txs]
by (auto simp: set_eq_iff)
have [simp]: rawpsubstT t (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs)) = t
using assms us_facts
by (intro rawpsubstT_notIn) (auto 0 3 dest: set_zip_rightD set_zip_leftD)
have [simp]: rawpsubstT t (zip (map fst txs) us) = t
using assms us_facts
by (intro rawpsubstT_notIn) (auto 0 3 dest: set_zip_rightD set_zip_leftD)
show ?thesis using assms us_facts unfolding psubstT_def
by (auto simp: Let_def us[symmetric])
qed

```

2.1.4 Parallel Formula Substitution

```

fun rawpsubst :: 'fmla ⇒ ('trm × 'var) list ⇒ 'fmla where
rawpsubst φ [] = φ
| rawpsubst φ ((t1,x1) # txs) = rawpsubst (subst φ t1 x1) txs

lemma rawpsubst[simp]:
assumes φ ∈ fmla and snd ‘(set txs) ⊆ var and fst ‘(set txs) ⊆ trm
shows rawpsubst φ txs ∈ fmla
using assms by (induct txs arbitrary: φ) fastforce+

definition psubst :: 'fmla ⇒ ('trm × 'var) list ⇒ 'fmla where
psubst φ txs =
(let xs = map snd txs; ts = map fst txs; us = getFrN xs ts [φ] (length xs) in
rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ (zip (map Var us) xs)) (zip ts us))

```

The psubst versions of the subst axioms.

```

lemma psubst[simp,intro]:
assumes φ ∈ fmla and snd ‘(set txs) ⊆ var and fst ‘(set txs) ⊆ trm
shows psubst φ txs ∈ fmla
proof-
define us where us: us = getFrN (map snd txs) (map fst txs) [φ] (length txs)
have us_facts: set us ⊆ var
set us ∩ FvarsT φ = {}
set us ∩ ∪ (FvarsT ‘(fst ‘(set txs))) = {}
set us ∩ snd ‘(set txs) = {}

```

```

length us = length ttxs
distinct us
using assms unfolding us
using getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd ttxs map fst ttxs [φ] _ length ttxs]
getFrN_Fvars[of map snd ttxs map fst ttxs [φ] φ length ttxs]
getFrN_var[of map snd ttxs map fst ttxs [φ] _ length ttxs]
getFrN_length[of map snd ttxs map fst ttxs [φ] length ttxs]
getFrN_distinct[of map snd ttxs map fst ttxs [φ] length ttxs]
by (auto 8 0 simp: set_eq_iff image_iff Bex_def Ball_def)
show ?thesis using assms us_facts unfolding psubst_def
by (auto 0 3 simp: Let_def us[symmetric] dest: set_zip_rightD set_zip_leftD intro!: rawpsubst)
qed

lemma Fvars_rawpsubst_su:
assumes φ ∈ fmla and snd ‘(set ttxs) ⊆ var and fst ‘(set ttxs) ⊆ trm
shows Fvars (rawpsubst φ ttxs) ⊆
(Fvars φ - snd ‘(set ttxs)) ∪ (∪ {FvarsT t | t x . (t,x) ∈ set ttxs})
using assms proof(induction ttxs arbitrary: φ)
case (Cons tx ttxs φ)
then obtain t x where tx: tx = (t,x) by force
have t: t ∈ trm and x: x ∈ var using Cons.prems unfolding tx by auto
define χ where χ = subst φ t x
have 0: Fvars χ = Fvars φ - {x} ∪ (if x ∈ Fvars φ then FvarsT t else {})
using Cons.prems unfolding χ_def by (auto simp: tx t)
have χ: χ ∈ fmla unfolding χ_def using Cons.prems t x by auto
have Fvars (rawpsubst χ ttxs) ⊆
(Fvars χ - snd ‘(set ttxs)) ∪
(∪ {FvarsT t | t x . (t,x) ∈ set ttxs})
using Cons.prems χ by (intro Cons.IH) auto
also have ... ⊆ Fvars φ - insert x (snd ‘set ttxs) ∪ ∪ {FvarsT ta | ta. ∃ xa. ta = t ∧ xa = x ∨ (ta, xa) ∈ set ttxs}
(is ... ⊆ ?R) by(auto simp: 0 tx Cons.prems)
finally have 1: Fvars (rawpsubst χ ttxs) ⊆ ?R .
have 2: Fvars χ = Fvars φ - {x} ∪ (if x ∈ Fvars φ then FvarsT t else {})
using Cons.prems t x unfolding χ_def using Fvars_subst by auto
show ?case using 1 by (simp add: tx χ_def[symmetric] 2)
qed auto

lemma in_Fvars_rawpsubst_imp:
assumes y ∈ Fvars (rawpsubst φ ttxs)
and φ ∈ fmla and snd ‘(set ttxs) ⊆ var and fst ‘(set ttxs) ⊆ trm
shows (y ∈ Fvars φ - snd ‘(set ttxs)) ∨
(y ∈ ∪ {FvarsT t | t x . (t,x) ∈ set ttxs})
using Fvars_rawpsubst_su[OF assms(2-4)]
using assms(1) by blast

lemma Fvars_rawpsubst:
assumes φ ∈ fmla and snd ‘(set ttxs) ⊆ var and fst ‘(set ttxs) ⊆ trm
and distinct (map snd ttxs) and ∀ x ∈ snd ‘(set ttxs). ∀ t ∈ fst ‘(set ttxs). x ∉ FvarsT t
shows Fvars (rawpsubst φ ttxs) =
(Fvars φ - snd ‘(set ttxs)) ∪
(∪ {if x ∈ Fvars φ then FvarsT t else {} | t x . (t,x) ∈ set ttxs})
using assms proof(induction ttxs arbitrary: φ)
case (Cons a ttxs φ)
then obtain t x where a: a = (t,x) by force
have t: t ∈ trm and x: x ∈ var using Cons.prems unfolding a by auto
have x_ttxs: ∏ ta xa. (ta, xa) ∈ set ttxs ==> x ≠ xa using ‹distinct (map snd (a # ttxs))›
unfolding a by (auto simp: rev_image_eqI)

```

```

have  $xt: x \notin FvarsT t \wedge snd ' set ttxs \cap FvarsT t = \{\}$  using Cons.prems unfolding a by auto
hence 0:  $Fvars \varphi - \{x\} \cup FvarsT t - snd ' set ttxs =$ 
 $Fvars \varphi - insert x (snd ' set ttxs) \cup FvarsT t$ 
by auto
define  $\chi$  where  $\chi_{def}: \chi = subst \varphi t x$ 
have  $\chi: \chi \in fmla$  unfolding  $\chi_{def}$  using Cons.prems t x by auto
have 1:  $Fvars (rawpsubst \chi ttxs) =$ 
 $(Fvars \chi - snd ' (set ttxs)) \cup$ 
 $(\bigcup \{if x \in Fvars \chi then FvarsT t else \{} | t x . (t,x) \in set ttxs\})$ 
using Cons.prems  $\chi$  by (intro Cons.IH) auto
have 2:  $Fvars \chi = Fvars \varphi - \{x\} \cup (if x \in Fvars \varphi then FvarsT t else \{})$ 
using Cons.prems t x unfolding  $\chi_{def}$  using  $Fvars\_subst$  by auto

define  $f$  where  $f \equiv \lambda ta xa. if xa \in Fvars \varphi then FvarsT ta else \{}$ 

have 3:  $\bigcup \{f ta xa | ta xa. (ta, xa) \in set ((t, x) \# ttxs)\} =$ 
 $f t x \cup (\bigcup \{f ta xa | ta xa. (ta, xa) \in set ttxs\})$  by auto
have 4:  $snd ' set ((t, x) \# ttxs) = \{x\} \cup snd ' set ttxs$  by auto
have 5:  $f t x \cap snd ' set ttxs = \{\}$  unfolding  $f_{def}$  using  $xt$  by auto
have 6:  $\bigcup \{if xa \in Fvars \varphi - \{x\} \cup f t x then FvarsT ta else \{} | ta xa. (ta, xa) \in set ttxs\}$ 
 $= (\bigcup \{f ta xa | ta xa. (ta, xa) \in set ttxs\})$ 
unfolding  $f_{def}$  using  $xt$   $x\_ttxs$  by (fastforce split: if_splits)

have  $Fvars \varphi - \{x\} \cup f t x - snd ' set ttxs \cup$ 
 $\bigcup \{if xa \in Fvars \varphi - \{x\} \cup f t x then FvarsT ta else \{$ 
 $| ta xa. (ta, xa) \in set ttxs\} =$ 
 $Fvars \varphi - snd ' set ((t, x) \# ttxs) \cup$ 
 $\bigcup \{f ta xa | ta xa. (ta, xa) \in set ((t, x) \# ttxs)\}$ 
unfolding 3 4 6 unfolding  $Un\_Diff2[OF 5]$   $Un\_assoc$  unfolding  $Diff\_Diff\_Un ..$ 

thus ?case unfolding a rawpsubst.simps 1 2  $\chi_{def}[symmetric]$   $f_{def}$  by simp
qed auto

lemma in_Fvars_rawpsubstD:
assumes  $y \in Fvars (rawpsubst \varphi ttxs)$ 
and  $\varphi \in fmla$  and  $snd ' (set ttxs) \subseteq var$  and  $fst ' (set ttxs) \subseteq trm$ 
and distinct (map  $snd ttxs$ ) and  $\forall x \in snd ' (set ttxs). \forall t \in fst ' (set ttxs). x \notin FvarsT t$ 
shows  $(y \in Fvars \varphi - snd ' (set ttxs)) \vee$ 
 $(y \in \bigcup \{if x \in Fvars \varphi then FvarsT t else \{} | t x . (t,x) \in set ttxs\})$ 
using  $Fvars\_rawpsubst assms$  by auto

lemma Fvars_psubst:
assumes  $\varphi \in fmla$  and  $snd ' (set ttxs) \subseteq var$  and  $fst ' (set ttxs) \subseteq trm$ 
and distinct (map  $snd ttxs$ )
shows  $Fvars (psubst \varphi ttxs) =$ 
 $(Fvars \varphi - snd ' (set ttxs)) \cup$ 
 $(\bigcup \{if x \in Fvars \varphi then FvarsT t else \{} | t x . (t,x) \in set ttxs\})$ 

proof-
define us where  $us = getFrN (map snd ttxs) (map fst ttxs) [\varphi] (length ttxs)$ 
have us_facts:  $set us \subseteq var$ 
 $set us \cap Fvars \varphi = \{\}$ 
 $set us \cap \bigcup (FvarsT ' (fst ' (set ttxs))) = \{\}$ 
 $set us \cap snd ' (set ttxs) = \{\}$ 
 $length us = length ttxs$ 
 $distinct us$ 
using assms unfolding us
using getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd ttxs map fst ttxs [\varphi] __ length ttxs]
getFrN_Fvars[of map snd ttxs map fst ttxs [\varphi] __ length ttxs]

```

```

getFrN_var[of map snd ttxs map fst ttxs [φ] _ length ttxs]
getFrN_length[of map snd ttxs map fst ttxs [φ] length ttxs]
getFrN_length[of map snd ttxs map fst ttxs [φ] length ttxs]
by (auto 9 0 simp: set_eq_iff image_iff)
define χ where χ_def: χ = rawpsubst φ (zip (map Var us) (map snd ttxs))
have χ: χ ∈ fmla unfolding χ_def using assms us_facts
  by (intro rawpsubst) (auto dest!: set_zip_D)
have set_us: set us = snd ` (set (zip (map fst ttxs) us))
  using us_facts by (intro snd_set_zip[symmetric]) auto
have set_ttxs: snd ` set ttxs = snd ` (set (zip (map Var us) (map snd ttxs)))
  using us_facts by (intro snd_set_zip_map_snd[symmetric]) auto
have ⋀ t x. (t, x) ∈ set (zip (map Var us) (map snd ttxs)) ⟹ ∃ u. t = Var u
  using us_facts set_zip_leftD by fastforce
hence 00: ⋀ t x. (t, x) ∈ set (zip (map Var us) (map snd ttxs))
  ⟷ (∃ u ∈ var. t = Var u ∧ (Var u, x) ∈ set (zip (map Var us) (map snd ttxs)))
  using us_facts set_zip_leftD by fastforce
have Fvars χ =
  Fvars φ - snd ` set ttxs ∪
  ⋃ {if x ∈ Fvars φ then FvarsT t else {} | t x.
    (t, x) ∈ set (zip (map Var us) (map snd ttxs))}
  unfolding χ_def set_ttxs using assms us_facts
  apply (intro Fvars_rawpsubst)
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_rightD)
  subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_leftD)
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by (auto 0 6 simp: set_ttxs[symmetric] set_eq_iff subset_eq image_iff in_set_zip
    dest: spec[where P=λx. x ∈ set us → (∀ y ∈ set ttxs. x ≠ snd y), THEN mp[OF _ nth_mem]]).
also have ... =
  Fvars φ - snd ` set ttxs ∪
  ⋃ {if x ∈ Fvars φ then {u} else {} | u x. u ∈ var ∧ (Var u, x) ∈ set (zip (map Var us) (map snd ttxs))}
  (is ... = ?R)
  using FvarsT_Var by (metis (no_types, opaque_lifting) 00)
finally have 0: Fvars χ = ?R .
have 1: Fvars (rawpsubst χ (zip (map fst ttxs) us)) =
  (Fvars χ - set us) ∪
  (⋃ {if u ∈ Fvars χ then FvarsT t else {} | t u . (t,u) ∈ set (zip (map fst ttxs) us)})
  unfolding set_us using us_facts assms χ
  apply (intro Fvars_rawpsubst)
  subgoal by (auto dest: set_zip_rightD)
  subgoal by (auto dest: set_zip_rightD)
  subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_leftD)
  subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_leftD)
  subgoal by (metis IntI Union_iff empty_iff fst_set_zip_map_fst image_eqI set_us) .
have 2: Fvars χ - set us = Fvars φ - snd ` set ttxs
  unfolding 0 using us_facts(1,2)
  by (fastforce dest!: set_zip_leftD split: if_splits)
have 3:
  (⋃ {if u ∈ Fvars χ then FvarsT t else {} | t u . (t,u) ∈ set (zip (map fst ttxs) us)}) =
  (⋃ {if x ∈ Fvars φ then FvarsT t else {} | t x . (t,x) ∈ set ttxs})
proof safe
  fix xx tt yy
  assume xx: xx ∈ (if y ∈ Fvars χ then FvarsT tt else {})
    and yy: (tt, yy) ∈ set (zip (map fst ttxs) us)
  have ttin: tt ∈ fst ` set ttxs using yy using set_zip_leftD by fastforce
  have yyin: yy ∈ set us using yy by (meson set_zip_D)
  have yyvar: yy ∈ var using us_facts yyin by auto
  have yynnotin: yy ∉ snd ` set ttxs yy ∉ Fvars φ using yyin us_facts by auto

```

```

show  $xx \in \bigcup \{ \text{if } x \in \text{Fvars } \varphi \text{ then } \text{FvarsT } t \text{ else } \{ \} \mid t x. (t, x) \in \text{set } \text{txs} \}$ 
proof(cases  $y \in \text{Fvars } \chi$ )
  case True note  $y = \text{True}$ 
  hence  $xx: xx \in \text{FvarsT } tt \text{ using } xx \text{ by simp}$ 
  obtain  $x \text{ where } x\varphi: x \in \text{Fvars } \varphi$ 
    and  $yx: (\text{Var } y, x) \in \text{set } (\text{zip } (\text{map } \text{Var } us) (\text{map } \text{snd } \text{txs}))$ 
    using  $y \text{ ynotin unfolding } 0 \text{ by auto (metis empty_if insert_if)}$ 
  have  $yx: (y, x) \in \text{set } (\text{zip } us (\text{map } \text{snd } \text{txs}))$ 
  using  $yvar \text{ us_facts by (intro inj_on_set_zip_map[OF inj_on_Var yx]) auto}$ 
  have  $(tt, x) \in \text{set } \text{txs} \text{ apply(rule set_zip_map_fst_snd[OF yx ty])}$ 
    using <distinct (map snd txs)> us_facts by auto
  thus ?thesis using xx x\varphi by auto
qed(insert xx, auto)

next
fix  $y tt xx$ 
assume  $y: y \in (\text{if } xx \in \text{Fvars } \varphi \text{ then } \text{FvarsT } tt \text{ else } \{ \})$ 
  and  $tx: (tt, xx) \in \text{set } \text{txs}$ 
hence  $xx\text{snd}: xx \in \text{snd } \text{'set } \text{txs by force}$ 
obtain  $u \text{ where } u\text{in}: u \in \text{set } us \text{ and } u\text{xx}: (u, xx) \in \text{set } (\text{zip } us (\text{map } \text{snd } \text{txs}))$ 
  by (metis xx\text{snd} in_setImpl_in_set_zip2_length_map set_map set_zip_leftD us_facts(5))
hence  $u\text{var}: u \in \text{var} \text{ using us_facts by auto}$ 
show  $y \in \bigcup \{ \text{if } u \in \text{Fvars } \chi \text{ then } \text{FvarsT } t \text{ else } \{ \} \mid t u. (t, u) \in \text{set } (\text{zip } (\text{map } \text{fst } \text{txs}) us) \}$ 
proof(cases  $xx \in \text{Fvars } \varphi$ )
  case True note  $xx = \text{True}$ 
  hence  $y: y \in \text{FvarsT } tt \text{ using } y \text{ by auto}$ 
  have  $(\text{Var } u, xx) \in \text{set } (\text{zip } (\text{map } \text{Var } us) (\text{map } \text{snd } \text{txs}))$ 
    using us_facts by (intro set_zip_length_map[OF u\text{xx}]) auto
  hence  $u\chi: u \in \text{Fvars } \chi \text{ using } u\text{in } xx \text{ uvar unfolding } 0 \text{ by auto}$ 
  have  $ttu: (tt, u) \in \text{set } (\text{zip } (\text{map } \text{fst } \text{txs}) us)$ 
    using assms us_facts by (intro set_zip_map_fst_snd2[OF u\text{xx} tx]) auto
  show ?thesis using u\chi ttu y by auto
qed(insert y, auto)

qed
show ?thesis
by (simp add: psubst_def Let_def us[symmetric] \chi_def[symmetric] 1 2 3)
qed

lemma in_Fvars_psubstD:
assumes  $y \in \text{Fvars } (\text{psubst } \varphi \text{ txs})$ 
  and  $\varphi \in \text{fmla}$  and  $\text{snd } (\text{set } \text{txs}) \subseteq \text{var}$  and  $\text{fst } (\text{set } \text{txs}) \subseteq \text{trm}$ 
  and  $\text{distinct } (\text{map } \text{snd } \text{txs})$ 
shows  $y \in (\text{Fvars } \varphi - \text{snd } (\text{set } \text{txs})) \cup$ 
   $(\bigcup \{ \text{if } x \in \text{Fvars } \varphi \text{ then } \text{FvarsT } t \text{ else } \{ \} \mid t x. (t, x) \in \text{set } \text{txs} \})$ 
using assms Fvars_psubst by auto

lemma subst2_fresh_switch:
assumes  $\varphi \in \text{fmla}$   $t \in \text{trm}$   $s \in \text{trm}$   $x \in \text{var}$   $y \in \text{var}$ 
  and  $x \neq y$   $x \notin \text{FvarsT } s$   $y \notin \text{FvarsT } t$ 
shows  $\text{subst } (\text{subst } \varphi \text{ } s \text{ } y) \text{ } t \text{ } x = \text{subst } (\text{subst } \varphi \text{ } t \text{ } x) \text{ } s \text{ } y \quad (\text{is } ?L = ?R)$ 
using assms by (simp add: subst_compose_diff[of \varphi s t y x])

lemma rawsubst2_fresh_switch:
assumes  $\varphi \in \text{fmla}$   $t \in \text{trm}$   $s \in \text{trm}$   $x \in \text{var}$   $y \in \text{var}$ 
  and  $x \neq y$   $x \notin \text{FvarsT } s$   $y \notin \text{FvarsT } t$ 
shows  $\text{rawsubst } \varphi \text{ } [(s,y),(t,x)] = \text{rawsubst } \varphi \text{ } [(t,x),(s,y)]$ 
using assms by (simp add: subst2_fresh_switch)

lemma rawsubst_compose:

```

```

assumes  $\varphi \in fmla$  and  $snd`(\set{txs1}) \subseteq var$  and  $fst`(\set{txs1}) \subseteq trm$ 
and  $snd`(\set{txs2}) \subseteq var$  and  $fst`(\set{txs2}) \subseteq trm$ 
shows  $\text{rawpsubst}(\text{rawpsubst } \varphi \text{ } txs1) \text{ } txs2 = \text{rawpsubst} \varphi \text{ } (txs1 @ txs2)$ 
using assms by (induct txs1 arbitrary: txs2  $\varphi$ ) auto

lemma  $\text{rawpsubst\_subst\_fresh\_switch}$ :
assumes  $\varphi \in fmla$   $snd`(\set{txs}) \subseteq var$  and  $fst`(\set{txs}) \subseteq trm$ 
and  $\forall x \in snd`(\set{txs}). x \notin FvarsT s$ 
and  $\forall t \in fst`(\set{txs}). y \notin FvarsT t$ 
and distinct ( $\text{map } snd \text{ } txs$ )
and  $s \in trm$  and  $y \in var$   $y \notin snd`(\set{txs})$ 
shows  $\text{rawpsubst}(\text{subst } \varphi \text{ } s \text{ } y) \text{ } txs = \text{rawpsubst} \varphi \text{ } (txs @ [(s,y)])$ 
using assms proof(induction txs arbitrary:  $\varphi \text{ } s \text{ } y$ )
case (Cons  $tx \text{ } txs$ )
obtain  $t \text{ } x$  where  $tx[\text{simp}]: tx = (t,x)$  by force
have  $x: x \in var$  and  $t: t \in trm$  using Cons unfolding  $tx$  by auto
have  $\text{rawpsubst} \varphi ((s, y) \# (t, x) \# txs) = \text{rawpsubst} \varphi ((s, y), (t, x)] @ txs)$  by simp
also have ... =  $\text{rawpsubst}(\text{rawpsubst} \varphi [(s, y), (t, x)]) \text{ } txs$ 
using Cons by auto
also have  $\text{rawpsubst} \varphi [(s, y), (t, x)] = \text{rawpsubst} \varphi [(t, x), (s, y)]$ 
using Cons by (intro rawpsubst2_fresh_switch) auto
also have  $\text{rawpsubst}(\text{rawpsubst} \varphi [(t, x), (s, y)]) \text{ } txs = \text{rawpsubst} \varphi ((t, x), (s, y)] @ txs)$ 
using Cons by auto
also have ... =  $\text{rawpsubst}(\text{subst } \varphi \text{ } t \text{ } x) \text{ } (txs @ [(s,y)])$  using Cons by auto
also have ... =  $\text{rawpsubst} \varphi (((t, x) \# txs) @ [(s, y)])$  by simp
finally show ?case unfolding  $tx$  by auto
qed auto

lemma  $\text{subst\_rawpsubst\_fresh\_switch}$ :
assumes  $\varphi \in fmla$   $snd`(\set{txs}) \subseteq var$  and  $fst`(\set{txs}) \subseteq trm$ 
and  $\forall x \in snd`(\set{txs}). x \notin FvarsT s$ 
and  $\forall t \in fst`(\set{txs}). y \notin FvarsT t$ 
and distinct ( $\text{map } snd \text{ } txs$ )
and  $s \in trm$  and  $y \in var$   $y \notin snd`(\set{txs})$ 
shows  $\text{subst}(\text{rawpsubst} \varphi \text{ } txs) \text{ } s \text{ } y = \text{rawpsubst} \varphi ((s,y) \# txs)$ 
using assms proof(induction txs arbitrary:  $\varphi \text{ } s \text{ } y$ )
case (Cons  $tx \text{ } txs$ )
obtain  $t \text{ } x$  where  $tx[\text{simp}]: tx = (t,x)$  by force
have  $x: x \in var$  and  $t: t \in trm$  using Cons unfolding  $tx$  by auto
have  $\text{subst}(\text{rawpsubst}(\text{subst } \varphi \text{ } t \text{ } x) \text{ } txs) \text{ } s \text{ } y = \text{rawpsubst}(\text{subst } \varphi \text{ } t \text{ } x) \text{ } ((s,y) \# txs)$ 
using Cons.prems by (intro Cons.IH) auto
also have ... =  $\text{rawpsubst}(\text{rawpsubst} \varphi [(t,x)]) \text{ } ((s,y) \# txs)$  by simp
also have ... =  $\text{rawpsubst} \varphi (([t,x]) @ ((s,y) \# txs))$ 
using Cons.prems by auto
also have ... =  $\text{rawpsubst} \varphi (([t,x]) @ txs)$  by simp
also have ... =  $\text{rawpsubst}(\text{rawpsubst} \varphi [(t,x), (s,y)]) \text{ } txs$ 
using Cons.prems by auto
also have  $\text{rawpsubst} \varphi [(t,x), (s,y)] = \text{rawpsubst} \varphi [(s,y), (t,x)]$ 
using Cons.prems by (intro rawpsubst2_fresh_switch) auto
also have  $\text{rawpsubst}(\text{rawpsubst} \varphi [(s,y), (t,x)]) \text{ } txs = \text{rawpsubst} \varphi ((s,y), (t,x)] @ txs)$ 
using Cons.prems by auto
finally show ?case by simp
qed auto

lemma  $\text{rawpsubst\_compose\_freshVar}$ :
assumes  $\varphi \in fmla$   $snd`(\set{txs}) \subseteq var$  and  $fst`(\set{txs}) \subseteq trm$ 
and distinct ( $\text{map } snd \text{ } txs$ )
and  $\bigwedge i. j. i < j \implies j < \text{length } txs \implies \text{snd } (txs!j) \notin FvarsT(fst(txss!i))$ 

```

```

and us_facts: set us ⊆ var
set us ∩ Fvars φ = {}
set us ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ‘(fst ‘(set txs))) = {}
set us ∩ snd ‘(set txs) = {}
length us = length txs
distinct us
shows rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) us) = rawpsubst φ
txs
using assms proof(induction txis arbitrary: us φ)
case (Cons tx txis uus φ)
obtain t x where tx[simp]: tx = (t,x) by force
obtain u us where uus[simp]: uus = u # us using Cons by (cases uus) auto
have us_facts: set us ⊆ var
set us ∩ Fvars φ = {}
set us ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ‘(fst ‘(set txs))) = {}
set us ∩ snd ‘(set txs) = {}
length us = length txis
distinct us and u_facts: u ∈ var u ∉ Fvars φ
u ∉ ⋃ (FvarsT ‘(fst ‘(set txs)))
u ∉ snd ‘(set txis) u ∉ set us
using Cons by auto
let ?uxs = zip (map Var us) (map snd txis)
have 1: rawpsubst (subst φ (Var u) x) ?uxs = rawpsubst φ (?uxs @ [(Var u,x)])
using u_facts Cons.prem
by (intro rawpsubst_subst_fresh_switch) (auto simp: subsetD dest!: set_zip_D)
let ?uxs = zip (map Var uus) (map snd (tx # txis))
let ?tus = zip (map fst txis) us let ?txs = zip (map fst (tx # txis)) uus
have 2: u ∈ Fvars (rawpsubst φ (zip (map Var us) (map snd txis))) ==> False
using Cons.prem apply-apply(drule in_Fvars_rawpsubstD)
subgoal by auto
subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal by auto
subgoal premises prem using us_facts(1,4,5)
by (auto 0 3 simp: in_set_zip subset_eq set_eq_iff image_iff
dest: spec[where P=λx. x ∈ set us —> (∀ y ∈ set txis. x ≠ snd y),
THEN mp[OF _ nth_mem], THEN bspec[OF _ nth_mem]])
subgoal
by (auto simp: in_set_zip subset_eq split: if_splits) .

have 3: ⋀ xx tt. xx ∈ FvarsT t ==> (tt, xx) ∉ set txis
using Cons.prem(4,5) tx unfolding set_conv_nth
by simp (metis One_nat_def Suc_leI diff_Suc_1 fst_conv le_imp_less_Suc
nth_Cons_0 snd_conv zero_less_Suc)

have 00: rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ ?uxs) ?txs = rawpsubst (subst (rawpsubst φ (?uxs @ [(Var u, x)])) t u) ?tus
by (simp add: 1)

have rawpsubst φ (?uxs @ [(Var u, x)]) = rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ ?uxs) [(Var u, x)]
using Cons.prem by (intro rawpsubst_compose[symmetric]) (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
also have rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ ?uxs) [(Var u, x)] = subst (rawpsubst φ ?uxs) (Var u) x by simp
finally have subst (rawpsubst φ (?uxs @ [(Var u, x)])) t u =
subst (subst (rawpsubst φ ?uxs) (Var u) x) t u by simp
also have ... = subst (rawpsubst φ ?uxs) t x
using Cons 2 by (intro subst_subst) (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
also have ... = rawpsubst φ ((t,x) # ?uxs)
using Cons.prem 3 apply(intro subst_rawpsubst_fresh_switch)

```

```

subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal by (fastforce dest!: set_zip_D)
by (auto dest!: set_zip_D)
also have ... = rawpsubst φ [(t,x)] @ ?uxs) by simp
also have ... = rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ [(t,x)]) ?uxs
using Cons.preds by (intro rawpsubst_compose[symmetric]) (auto dest!: set_zip_D)
finally have rawpsubst (subst (rawpsubst φ (?uxs @ [(Var u, x)])) t u) ?tus =
    rawpsubst (rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ [(t,x)] ?uxs) ?tus) by auto
hence rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ ?uuxs) ?txs = rawpsubst (rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ [(t,x)] ?uxs) ?tus)
    using 00 by auto
also have ... = rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ [(t,x)]) txs
using Cons.preds apply (intro Cons.IH rawpsubst)
subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_D in_Fvars_substD)
subgoal by (metis Suc_mono diff_Suc_1 length_Cons nat.simps(3) nth_Cons')
by (auto dest!: set_zip_D in_Fvars_substD)
also have ... = rawpsubst φ [(t,x)] @ txs)
using Cons.preds by (intro rawpsubst_compose) (auto dest!: set_zip_D)
finally show ?case by simp
qed auto

lemma rawpsubst_compose_freshVar2_aux:
assumes φ[simp]: φ ∈ fmla
and ts: set ts ⊆ trm
and xs: set xs ⊆ var distinct xs
and us_facts: set us ⊆ var distinct us
set us ∩ Fvars φ = {}
set us ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ` (set ts)) = {}
set us ∩ set xs = {}
and vs_facts: set vs ⊆ var distinct vs
set vs ∩ Fvars φ = {}
set vs ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ` (set ts)) = {}
set vs ∩ set xs = {}
and l: length us = length xs length vs = length xs length ts = length xs
and d: set us ∩ set vs = {}
shows rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ (zip (map Var us) xs)) (zip ts us) =
    rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ (zip (map Var vs) xs)) (zip ts vs)
using assms proof(induction xs arbitrary: φ ts us vs)
case (Cons x xs φ tts uus vvs)
obtain t ts u us v vs where tts[simp]: tts = t # ts and lts[simp]: length ts = length xs
    and uus[simp]: uus = u # us and lus[simp]: length us = length xs
    and vvs[simp]: vvs = v # vs and lvs[simp]: length vs = length xs
using ‹length uus = length (x # xs)› ‹length vvs = length (x # xs)› ‹length tts = length (x # xs)›
apply(cases tts)
subgoal by auto
subgoal apply(cases uus)
    subgoal by auto
    subgoal by (cases vvs) auto ..
let ?φux = subst φ (Var u) x let ?φvx = subst φ (Var v) x

```

```

have 0: rawpsubst (rawpsubst ?φux (zip (map Var us) xs)) (zip ts us) =
    rawpsubst (rawpsubst ?φux (zip (map Var vs) xs)) (zip ts vs)
apply(rule Cons.IH) using Cons.prem by (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)

have 1: rawpsubst ?φux (zip (map Var vs) xs) =
    subst (rawpsubst φ (zip (map Var vs) xs)) (Var u) x
using Cons.prem
by (intro subst_rawpsubst_fresh_switch[simplified,symmetric])
    (force intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D simp: subset_eq)+

have 11: rawpsubst ?φvx (zip (map Var vs) xs) =
    subst (rawpsubst φ (zip (map Var vs) xs)) (Var v) x
using Cons.prem
by (intro subst_rawpsubst_fresh_switch[simplified,symmetric])
    (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D simp: subset_eq)

have subst (subst (rawpsubst φ (zip (map Var vs) xs)) (Var u) x) t u =
    subst (rawpsubst φ (zip (map Var vs) xs)) t x
using Cons.prem
by (intro subst_subst) (force intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D in_Fvars_rawpsubst_imp simp: Fvars_rawpsubst)+
also have ... = subst (subst (rawpsubst φ (zip (map Var vs) xs)) (Var v) x) t v
using Cons.prem
by (intro subst_subst[symmetric])
    (force intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D in_Fvars_rawpsubst_imp simp: Fvars_rawpsubst)+

finally have
  2: subst (subst (rawpsubst φ (zip (map Var vs) xs)) (Var u) x) t u =
    subst (subst (rawpsubst φ (zip (map Var vs) xs)) (Var v) x) t v .

have rawpsubst (subst (rawpsubst ?φux (zip (map Var us) xs)) t u) (zip ts us) =
    subst (rawpsubst (rawpsubst ?φux (zip (map Var us) xs))) (zip ts us)) t u
using Cons.prem
by (intro subst_rawpsubst_fresh_switch[simplified,symmetric]) (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
also have ... = subst (rawpsubst (rawpsubst ?φux (zip (map Var vs) xs)) (zip ts vs)) t u
  unfolding 0 ..
also have ... = rawpsubst (subst (rawpsubst ?φux (zip (map Var vs) xs)) t u) (zip ts vs)
using Cons.prem
by (intro subst_rawpsubst_fresh_switch[simplified]) (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
also have ... = rawpsubst (subst (subst (rawpsubst φ (zip (map Var vs) xs)) (Var u) x) t u) (zip ts vs)
  unfolding 1 ..
also have ... = rawpsubst (subst (subst (rawpsubst φ (zip (map Var vs) xs)) (Var v) x) t v) (zip ts vs)
  unfolding 2 ..
also have ... = rawpsubst (subst (rawpsubst ?φvx (zip (map Var vs) xs)) t v) (zip ts vs)
  unfolding 11 ..
finally have rawpsubst (subst (rawpsubst ?φux (zip (map Var us) xs)) t u) (zip ts us) =
    rawpsubst (subst (rawpsubst ?φvx (zip (map Var vs) xs)) t v) (zip ts vs) .
thus ?case by simp
qed auto

```

... now getting rid of the disjointness hypothesis:

```

lemma rawpsubst_compose_freshVar2:
assumes φ[simp]: φ ∈ fmla
and ts: set ts ⊆ trm
and xs: set xs ⊆ var distinct xs
and us_facts: set us ⊆ var distinct us
set us ∩ Fvars φ = {}
set us ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ` (set ts)) = {}
set us ∩ set xs = {}

```

```

and vs_facts: set vs ⊆ var distinct vs
set vs ∩ Fvars φ = {}
set vs ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ` (set ts)) = {}
set vs ∩ set xs = {}
and l: length us = length xs length vs = length xs length ts = length xs
shows rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ (zip (map Var us) xs)) (zip ts us) =
    rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ (zip (map Var vs) xs)) (zip ts vs) (is ?L = ?R)
proof-
  define ws where ws = getFrN (xs @ us @ vs) ts [φ] (length xs)
  note fv = getFrN_Fvars[of xs @ us @ vs ts [φ] _ length xs]
  and fvt = getFrN_FvarsT[of xs @ us @ vs ts [φ] _ length xs]
  and var = getFrN_var[of xs @ us @ vs ts [φ] _ length xs]
  and l = getFrN_length[of xs @ us @ vs ts [φ] length xs]
  have ws_facts: set ws ⊆ var distinct ws
    set ws ∩ Fvars φ = {}
    set ws ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ` (set ts)) = {}
    set ws ∩ set xs = {} set ws ∩ set us = {} set ws ∩ set vs = {}
    length ws = length xs using assms unfolding ws_def
    apply -
    subgoal by auto
    subgoal by auto
    subgoal using fv by auto
    subgoal using fvt IntI empty_iff by fastforce
    subgoal using var IntI empty_iff by fastforce
    subgoal using var IntI empty_iff by fastforce
    subgoal using var IntI empty_iff by fastforce
    subgoal using l by auto .
  have ?L = rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ (zip (map Var ws) xs)) (zip ts ws)
    apply(rule rawpsubst_compose_freshVar2_aux) using assms ws_facts by auto
  also have ... = ?R
    apply(rule rawpsubst_compose_freshVar2_aux) using assms ws_facts by auto
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma psubst_subst_fresh_switch:
  assumes φ ∈ fmla snd ` set txs ⊆ var fst ` set txs ⊆ trm
  and ∀x∈snd ` set txs. x ∉ FvarsT s ∀t∈fst ` set txs. y ∉ FvarsT t
  and distinct (map snd txs)
  and s ∈ trm y ∈ var y ∉ snd ` set txs
  shows psubst (subst φ s y) txs = subst (psubst φ txs) s y
proof-
  define us where us = getFrN (map snd txs) (map fst txs) [φ] (length txs)
  note fvt = getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd txs map fst txs [φ] _ length txs]
  and fv = getFrN_Fvars[of map snd txs map fst txs [φ] _ length txs]
  and var = getFrN_var[of map snd txs map fst txs [φ] _ length txs]
  and l = getFrN_length[of map snd txs map fst txs [φ] length txs]

  have us_facts: set us ⊆ var
    set us ∩ Fvars φ = {}
    set us ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ` (fst ` (set txs))) = {}
    set us ∩ snd ` (set txs) = {}
    length us = length txs
    distinct us
  using assms unfolding us apply -
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal using fv by (cases txs, auto)
  subgoal using fvt by (cases txs, auto)
  subgoal using var by (cases txs, auto)

```

```

subgoal using l by auto
subgoal by auto .

define vs where vs: vs = getFrN (map snd txs) (map fst txs) [subst φ s y] (length txs)
note fvt = getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd txs map fst txs [subst φ s y] _ length txs]
and fv = getFrN_Fvars[of map snd txs map fst txs [subst φ s y] _ length txs]
and var = getFrN_var[of map snd txs map fst txs [subst φ s y] _ length txs]
and l = getFrN_length[of map snd txs map fst txs [subst φ s y] length txs]

have vs_facts: set vs ⊆ var
  set vs ∩ Fvars (subst φ s y) = {}
  set vs ∩ ∪ (FvarsT ‘ (fst ‘ (set txs))) = {}
  set vs ∩ snd ‘ (set txs) = {}
  length vs = length txs
  distinct vs

using assms unfolding vs apply -
subgoal by auto
subgoal using fv by (cases txs, auto)
subgoal using fvt by (cases txs, auto)
subgoal using var by (cases txs, auto)
subgoal using l by auto
subgoal by auto .

define ws where ws: ws = getFrN (y # map snd txs) (s # map fst txs) [φ] (length txs)
note fvt = getFrN_FvarsT[of y # map snd txs s # map fst txs [φ] _ length txs]
and fv = getFrN_Fvars[of y # map snd txs s # map fst txs [φ] _ length txs]
and var = getFrN_var[of y # map snd txs s # map fst txs [φ] _ length txs]
and l = getFrN_length[of y # map snd txs s # map fst txs [φ] length txs]

have ws_facts: set ws ⊆ var
  set ws ∩ Fvars φ = {} y ∉ set ws set ws ∩ FvarsT s = {}
  set ws ∩ ∪ (FvarsT ‘ (fst ‘ (set txs))) = {}
  set ws ∩ snd ‘ (set txs) = {}
  length ws = length txs
  distinct ws

using assms unfolding ws apply -
subgoal by auto
subgoal using fv by (cases txs, auto)
subgoal using var by (cases txs, auto)
subgoal using fvt by (cases txs, auto)
subgoal using fvt by (cases txs, auto)
subgoal using var by (cases txs, auto)
subgoal using l by (cases txs, auto)
by auto

let ?vxs = zip (map Var vs) (map snd txs)
let ?tvs = (zip (map fst txs) vs)
let ?uxs = zip (map Var us) (map snd txs)
let ?tus = (zip (map fst txs) us)
let ?wxs = zip (map Var ws) (map snd txs)
let ?twx = (zip (map fst txs) ws)

have 0: rawpsubst (subst φ s y) ?wxs = subst (rawpsubst φ ?wxs) s y
apply(subst rawpsubst_compose[of φ ?wxs [(s,y)], simplified])
using assms ws_facts apply -
subgoal by auto
subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_D)

```

```

subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal apply(subst rawpsubst_subst_fresh_switch)
  by (auto dest!: set_zip_D simp: subset_eq rawpsubst_subst_fresh_switch) .

have 1: rawpsubst (rawpsubst  $\varphi$  ?wxs) ?tws = rawpsubst (rawpsubst  $\varphi$  ?uxs) ?tus
  using assms ws_facts us_facts by (intro rawpsubst_compose_freshVar2) (auto simp: subset_eq)

have rawpsubst (rawpsubst (subst  $\varphi$  s y) ?vxs) ?tvs =
  rawpsubst (rawpsubst (subst  $\varphi$  s y) ?wxs) ?tws
  using assms ws_facts vs_facts
  by (intro rawpsubst_compose_freshVar2) (auto simp: subset_eq)
also have ... = rawpsubst (subst (rawpsubst (rawpsubst  $\varphi$  ?wxs) ?tws) s y) ?tws unfolding 0 ..
also have ... = subst (rawpsubst (rawpsubst  $\varphi$  ?wxs) ?tws) s y
apply(subst rawpsubst_compose[of rawpsubst  $\varphi$  ?wxs ?tws [(s,y)],simplified])
using assms ws_facts apply -
subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_D simp: subset_eq intro!: rawpsubst)
subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by (subst rawpsubst_subst_fresh_switch)
  (auto dest!: set_zip_D simp: subset_eq rawpsubst_subst_fresh_switch
  intro!: rawpsubst) .
also have ... = subst (rawpsubst (rawpsubst  $\varphi$  ?uxs) ?tus) s y unfolding 1 ..
finally show ?thesis unfolding psubst_def by (simp add: Let_def vs[symmetric] us[symmetric])
qed

```

For many cases, the simpler rawpsubst can replace psubst:

```

lemma psubst_eq_rawpsubst:
assumes  $\varphi \in \text{fmla}$  snd ` (set tfs)  $\subseteq$  var and fst ` (set tfs)  $\subseteq$  trm
and distinct (map snd tfs)

  and  $\bigwedge i j. i < j \implies j < \text{length } tfs \implies \text{snd } (tfs!j) \notin \text{FvarsT } (\text{fst } (tfs!i))$ 
shows psubst  $\varphi$  tfs = rawpsubst  $\varphi$  tfs
proof-
  define us where us: us = getFrN (map snd tfs) (map fst tfs) [ $\varphi$ ] (length tfs)
  note fvt = getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd tfs map fst tfs [ $\varphi$ ] _ length tfs]
  and fv = getFrN_Fvars[of map snd tfs map fst tfs [ $\varphi$ ] _ length tfs]
  and var = getFrN_var[of map snd tfs map fst tfs [ $\varphi$ ] _ length tfs]
  and l = getFrN_length[of map snd tfs map fst tfs [ $\varphi$ ] length tfs]
  have us_facts: set us  $\subseteq$  var
    set us  $\cap$  Fvars  $\varphi$  = {}
    set us  $\cap$   $\bigcup$  (FvarsT ` (fst ` (set tfs))) = {}
    set us  $\cap$  snd ` (set tfs) = {}
    length us = length tfs
    distinct us
    using assms unfolding us
    apply -
    subgoal by auto
    subgoal using fv by auto
    subgoal using fvt by force
    subgoal using var by (force simp: image_iff)
    using l by auto
  show ?thesis
    using rawpsubst_compose_freshVar assms us_facts
    by (simp add: psubst_def Let_def us[symmetric])
qed

```

Some particular cases:

```

lemma psubst_eq_subst:
assumes φ ∈ fmla x ∈ var and t ∈ trm
shows psubst φ [(t,x)] = subst φ t x
proof-
  have psubst φ [(t,x)] = rawsubst φ [(t,x)] apply(rule psubst_eq_rawsubst)
    using assms by auto
  thus ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma psubst_eq_rawsubst2:
assumes φ ∈ fmla x1 ∈ var x2 ∈ var t1 ∈ trm t2 ∈ trm
  and x1 ≠ x2 x2 ≠ FvarsT t1
shows psubst φ [(t1,x1),(t2,x2)] = rawsubst φ [(t1,x1),(t2,x2)]
apply(rule psubst_eq_rawsubst)
using assms using less_SucE by force+

lemma psubst_eq_rawsubst3:
assumes φ ∈ fmla x1 ∈ var x2 ∈ var x3 ∈ var t1 ∈ trm t2 ∈ trm t3 ∈ trm
  and x1 ≠ x2 x1 ≠ x3 x2 ≠ x3
  x2 ≠ FvarsT t1 x3 ≠ FvarsT t1 x3 ≠ FvarsT t2
shows psubst φ [(t1,x1),(t2,x2),(t3,x3)] = rawsubst φ [(t1,x1),(t2,x2),(t3,x3)]
using assms using less_SucE apply(intro psubst_eq_rawsubst)
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal for i j
  apply(cases j)
subgoal by auto
subgoal by (simp add: nth_Cons') .

lemma psubst_eq_rawsubst4:
assumes φ ∈ fmla x1 ∈ var x2 ∈ var x3 ∈ var x4 ∈ var
  t1 ∈ trm t2 ∈ trm t3 ∈ trm t4 ∈ trm
  and x1 ≠ x2 x1 ≠ x3 x2 ≠ x3 x1 ≠ x4 x2 ≠ x4 x3 ≠ x4
  x2 ≠ FvarsT t1 x3 ≠ FvarsT t1 x3 ≠ FvarsT t2 x4 ≠ FvarsT t1 x4 ≠ FvarsT t2 x4 ≠ FvarsT t3
shows psubst φ [(t1,x1),(t2,x2),(t3,x3),(t4,x4)] = rawsubst φ [(t1,x1),(t2,x2),(t3,x3),(t4,x4)]
using assms using less_SucE apply(intro psubst_eq_rawsubst)
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal for i j
  apply(cases j)
subgoal by auto
subgoal by (simp add: nth_Cons') .

lemma rawsubst_same_Var[simp]:
assumes φ ∈ fmla set xs ⊆ var
shows rawsubst φ (map (λx. (Var x,x)) xs) = φ
using assms by (induct xs) auto

lemma psubst_same_Var[simp]:
assumes φ ∈ fmla set xs ⊆ var and distinct xs
shows psubst φ (map (λx. (Var x,x)) xs) = φ
proof-
  have psubst φ (map (λx. (Var x,x)) xs) = rawsubst φ (map (λx. (Var x,x)) xs)

```

```

using assms by (intro psubst_eq_rawsubst) (auto simp: nth_eq_iff_index_eq_subsetD)
thus ?thesis using assms by auto
qed

lemma rawsubst_notIn[simp]:
assumes snd ` (set ttxs) ⊆ var fst ` (set ttxs) ⊆ trm φ ∈ fmla
and Fvars φ ∩ snd ` (set ttxs) = {}
shows rawsubst φ ttxs = φ
using assms by (induct ttxs) auto

lemma psubst_notIn[simp]:
assumes x ∈ var snd ` (set ttxs) ⊆ var fst ` (set ttxs) ⊆ trm φ ∈ fmla
and Fvars φ ∩ snd ` (set ttxs) = {}
shows psubst φ ttxs = φ
proof-
define us where us = getFrN (map snd ttxs) (map fst ttxs) [φ] (length ttxs)
have us_facts: set us ⊆ var
set us ∩ Fvars φ = {}
set us ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ` (fst ` (set ttxs))) = {}
set us ∩ snd ` (set ttxs) = {}
length us = length ttxs
using getFrN_Fvars[of map snd ttxs map fst ttxs [φ] _ length ttxs]
getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd ttxs map fst ttxs [φ] _ length ttxs]
getFrN_var[of map snd ttxs map fst ttxs [φ] _ length ttxs]
getFrN_length[of map snd ttxs map fst ttxs [φ] length ttxs]
using assms unfolding us apply -
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)
subgoal by auto .

have [simp]: rawsubst φ (zip (map Var us) (map snd ttxs)) = φ
using assms us_facts apply(intro rawsubst_notIn)
subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_rightD)
subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_leftD)
subgoal by auto
subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_rightD) .
have [simp]: rawsubst φ (zip (map fst ttxs) us) = φ
using assms us_facts apply(intro rawsubst_notIn)
subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_rightD)
subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_leftD)
subgoal by auto
subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_rightD) .
show ?thesis using assms us_facts unfolding psubst_def
by(auto simp: Let_def us[symmetric])
qed

end — context Generic_Syntax

```

2.2 Adding Numerals to the Generic Syntax

```

locale Syntax_with_Numerals =
Generic_Syntax var trm fmla Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
for var :: 'var set and trm :: 'trm set and fmla :: 'fmla set
and Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
+
fixes

```

— Abstract notion of numerals, as a subset of the ground terms:
 $\text{num} :: \text{'trm set}$

assumes
 $\text{numNE: num} \neq \{\}$
and
 $\text{num: num} \subseteq \text{trm}$
and
 $\text{FvarsT_num[simp, intro!]: } \bigwedge n. n \in \text{num} \implies \text{FvarsT } n = \{\}$

begin

lemma $\text{substT_num1[simp]: } t \in \text{trm} \implies y \in \text{var} \implies n \in \text{num} \implies \text{substT } n \ t \ y = n$
using num **by** auto

lemma $\text{in_num[simp]: } n \in \text{num} \implies n \in \text{trm}$ **using** num **by** auto

lemma subst_comp_num:
assumes $\varphi \in \text{fmla}$ $x \in \text{var}$ $y \in \text{var}$ $n \in \text{num}$
shows $x \neq y \implies \text{subst}(\text{subst } \varphi (\text{Var } x) y) \ n \ x = \text{subst}(\text{subst } \varphi n \ x) \ n \ y$
using assms **by** $(\text{simp add: subst_comp})$

lemma rawpsubstT_num:
assumes $\text{snd} ` (\text{set txs}) \subseteq \text{var}$ $\text{fst} ` (\text{set txs}) \subseteq \text{trm}$ $n \in \text{num}$
shows $\text{rawpsubstT } n \ \text{txs} = n$
using assms **by** $(\text{induct txs}) \text{ auto}$

lemma $\text{psubstT_num[simp]:}$
assumes $\text{snd} ` (\text{set txs}) \subseteq \text{var}$ $\text{fst} ` (\text{set txs}) \subseteq \text{trm}$ $n \in \text{num}$
shows $\text{psubstT } n \ \text{txs} = n$

proof –

define us **where** $us = \text{getFrN}(\text{map snd txs}) (n \# \text{map fst txs}) [] (\text{length txs})$
have $us_facts: \text{set us} \subseteq \text{var}$
 $\text{set us} \cap \text{FvarsT } n = \{\}$
 $\text{set us} \cap \bigcup (\text{FvarsT} ` (\text{fst} ` (\text{set txs}))) = \{\}$
 $\text{set us} \cap \text{snd} ` (\text{set txs}) = \{\}$
 $\text{length us} = \text{length txs}$
using assms **unfolding** us
using $\text{getFrN_Fvars}[\text{of map snd txs } n \# \text{map fst txs} [] __ \text{length txs}]$
 $\text{getFrN_FvarsT}[\text{of map snd txs } n \# \text{map fst txs} [] __ \text{length txs}]$
 $\text{getFrN_var}[\text{of map snd txs } n \# \text{map fst txs} [] __ \text{length txs}]$
 $\text{getFrN_length}[\text{of map snd txs } n \# \text{map fst txs} [] __ \text{length txs}]$
by $(\text{auto 7 0 simp: set_eq_iff image_iff})$

let $?t = \text{rawpsubstT } n (\text{zip}(\text{map Var us}) (\text{map snd txs}))$
have $t: ?t = n$
using $\text{assms us_facts apply(intro rawpsubstT_num)}$
subgoal by $(\text{auto dest!: set_zip_rightD})$
subgoal by $(\text{auto dest!: set_zip_leftD})$
subgoal by $\text{auto} .$
have $\text{rawpsubstT } ?t (\text{zip}(\text{map fst txs}) us) = n$
unfolding t **using** $\text{assms us_facts apply(intro rawpsubstT_num)}$
subgoal by $(\text{auto dest!: set_zip_rightD})$
subgoal by $(\text{auto dest!: set_zip_leftD})$
subgoal by $\text{auto} .$
thus $?thesis$ **unfolding** psubstT_def **by** $(\text{simp add: Let_def us[symmetric]})$

qed

end — context *Syntax_with_Numerals*

2.3 Adding Connectives and Quantifiers

```

locale Syntax_with_Connectives =
  Generic_Syntax var trm fmla Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
  for
    var :: 'var set and trm :: 'trm set and fmla :: 'fmla set
    and Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
    +
  fixes
    — Logical connectives
    eql :: 'trm ⇒ 'trm ⇒ 'fmla
    and
    cnj :: 'fmla ⇒ 'fmla ⇒ 'fmla
    and
    imp :: 'fmla ⇒ 'fmla ⇒ 'fmla
    and
    all :: 'var ⇒ 'fmla ⇒ 'fmla
    and
    exi :: 'var ⇒ 'fmla ⇒ 'fmla
  assumes
    eql[simp,intro]:  $\bigwedge t1 t2. t1 \in \text{trm} \Rightarrow t2 \in \text{trm} \Rightarrow \text{eql } t1 t2 \in \text{fmla}$ 
    and
    cnj[simp,intro]:  $\bigwedge \varphi_1 \varphi_2. \varphi_1 \in \text{fmla} \Rightarrow \varphi_2 \in \text{fmla} \Rightarrow \text{cnj } \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \in \text{fmla}$ 
    and
    imp[simp,intro]:  $\bigwedge \varphi_1 \varphi_2. \varphi_1 \in \text{fmla} \Rightarrow \varphi_2 \in \text{fmla} \Rightarrow \text{imp } \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \in \text{fmla}$ 
    and
    all[simp,intro]:  $\bigwedge x \varphi. x \in \text{var} \Rightarrow \varphi \in \text{fmla} \Rightarrow \text{all } x \varphi \in \text{fmla}$ 
    and
    exi[simp,intro]:  $\bigwedge x \varphi. x \in \text{var} \Rightarrow \varphi \in \text{fmla} \Rightarrow \text{exi } x \varphi \in \text{fmla}$ 
    and
    Fvars_eql[simp]:
     $\bigwedge t1 t2. t1 \in \text{trm} \Rightarrow t2 \in \text{trm} \Rightarrow \text{Fvars } (\text{eql } t1 t2) = \text{FvarsT } t1 \cup \text{FvarsT } t2$ 
    and
    Fvars_cnj[simp]:
     $\bigwedge \varphi \chi. \varphi \in \text{fmla} \Rightarrow \chi \in \text{fmla} \Rightarrow \text{Fvars } (\text{cnj } \varphi \chi) = \text{Fvars } \varphi \cup \text{Fvars } \chi$ 
    and
    Fvars_imp[simp]:
     $\bigwedge \varphi \chi. \varphi \in \text{fmla} \Rightarrow \chi \in \text{fmla} \Rightarrow \text{Fvars } (\text{imp } \varphi \chi) = \text{Fvars } \varphi \cup \text{Fvars } \chi$ 
    and
    Fvars_all[simp]:
     $\bigwedge x \varphi. x \in \text{var} \Rightarrow \varphi \in \text{fmla} \Rightarrow \text{Fvars } (\text{all } x \varphi) = \text{Fvars } \varphi - \{x\}$ 
    and
    Fvars_exi[simp]:
     $\bigwedge x \varphi. x \in \text{var} \Rightarrow \varphi \in \text{fmla} \Rightarrow \text{Fvars } (\text{exi } x \varphi) = \text{Fvars } \varphi - \{x\}$ 
    and
    — Assumed properties of substitution
    subst_cnj[simp]:
     $\bigwedge x \varphi \chi t. \varphi \in \text{fmla} \Rightarrow \chi \in \text{fmla} \Rightarrow t \in \text{trm} \Rightarrow x \in \text{var} \Rightarrow$ 
       $\text{subst } (\text{cnj } \varphi \chi) t x = \text{cnj } (\text{subst } \varphi t x) (\text{subst } \chi t x)$ 
    and
    subst_imp[simp]:
     $\bigwedge x \varphi \chi t. \varphi \in \text{fmla} \Rightarrow \chi \in \text{fmla} \Rightarrow t \in \text{trm} \Rightarrow x \in \text{var} \Rightarrow$ 
       $\text{subst } (\text{imp } \varphi \chi) t x = \text{imp } (\text{subst } \varphi t x) (\text{subst } \chi t x)$ 
    and
    subst_all[simp]:
     $\bigwedge x y \varphi t. \varphi \in \text{fmla} \Rightarrow t \in \text{trm} \Rightarrow x \in \text{var} \Rightarrow y \in \text{var} \Rightarrow$ 
       $x \neq y \Rightarrow x \notin \text{FvarsT } t \Rightarrow \text{subst } (\text{all } x \varphi) t y = \text{all } x (\text{subst } \varphi t y)$ 
    and
    subst_exi[simp]:

```

```

 $\bigwedge x y \varphi t. \varphi \in fmla \implies t \in trm \implies x \in var \implies y \in var \implies$ 
 $x \neq y \implies x \notin FvarsT t \implies subst(exi x \varphi) t y = exi x (subst \varphi t y)$ 
and
subst_eql[simp]:
 $\bigwedge t1 t2 t x. t \in trm \implies t1 \in trm \implies t2 \in trm \implies x \in var \implies$ 
 $subst(eql t1 t2) t x = eql(substT t1 t x) (substT t2 t x)$ 

```

begin

Formula equivalence, \longleftrightarrow , a derived connective

definition $eqv :: 'fmla \Rightarrow 'fmla \Rightarrow 'fmla$ **where**
 $eqv \varphi \chi = cnj(imp \varphi \chi)(imp \chi \varphi)$

lemma

$eqv[simp]: \bigwedge \varphi \chi. \varphi \in fmla \implies \chi \in fmla \implies eqv \varphi \chi \in fmla$

and

$Fvars_eqv[simp]: \bigwedge \varphi \chi. \varphi \in fmla \implies \chi \in fmla \implies$
 $Fvars(eqv \varphi \chi) = Fvars \varphi \cup Fvars \chi$

and

subst_eqv[simp]:

$\bigwedge \varphi \chi t x. \varphi \in fmla \implies \chi \in fmla \implies t \in trm \implies x \in var \implies$
 $subst(eqv \varphi \chi) t x = eqv(subst \varphi t x) (subst \chi t x)$

unfolding eqv_def **by** $auto$

lemma $subst_all_idle[simp]:$

assumes [simp]: $x \in var \varphi \in fmla t \in trm$
shows $subst(all x \varphi) t x = all x \varphi$
by (*intro subst_notIn*) $auto$

lemma $subst_exi_idle[simp]:$

assumes [simp]: $x \in var \varphi \in fmla t \in trm$
shows $subst(exi x \varphi) t x = exi x \varphi$
by (*rule subst_notIn*) $auto$

Parallel substitution versus connectives and quantifiers.

lemma $rawpsubst_cnj:$

assumes $\varphi1 \in fmla \varphi2 \in fmla$
and $snd('set txs) \subseteq var fst('set txs) \subseteq trm$
shows $rawpsubst(cnj \varphi1 \varphi2) txs = cnj(rawpsubst \varphi1 txs) (rawpsubst \varphi2 txs)$
using assms by (*induct txs arbitrary: \varphi1 \varphi2*) $auto$

lemma $psubst_cnj[simp]:$

assumes $\varphi1 \in fmla \varphi2 \in fmla$
and $snd('set txs) \subseteq var fst('set txs) \subseteq trm$
and $distinct(map snd txs)$
shows $psubst(cnj \varphi1 \varphi2) txs = cnj(psubst \varphi1 txs) (psubst \varphi2 txs)$

proof-

define us **where** $us = getFrN(map snd txs) (map fst txs) [cnj \varphi1 \varphi2] (length txs)$
have $us_facts: set us \subseteq var$

$set us \cap Fvars \varphi1 = \{\}$

$set us \cap Fvars \varphi2 = \{\}$

$set us \cap \bigcup(FvarsT(fst('set txs))) = \{\}$

$set us \cap snd('set txs) = \{\}$

$length us = length txs$

$distinct us$

using assms unfolding us

using $getFrN_Fvars[of map snd txs map fst txs [cnj \varphi1 \varphi2] _ length txs]$

$getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd txs map fst txs [cnj \varphi1 \varphi2] _ length txs]$

$getFrN_var[of map snd txs map fst txs [cnj \varphi1 \varphi2] _ length txs]$

```

getFrN_length[of map snd txs map fst txs [cnj φ1 φ2] length txs]
apply -
subgoal by auto
subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto .
define vs1 where vs1: vs1 = getFrN (map snd txs) (map fst txs) [φ1] (length txs)
have vs1_facts: set vs1 ⊆ var
  set vs1 ∩ Fvars φ1 = {}
  set vs1 ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ‘(fst ‘(set txs))) = {}
  set vs1 ∩ snd ‘(set txs) = {}
  length vs1 = length txs
  distinct vs1
using assms unfolding vs1
using getFrN_Fvars[of map snd txs map fst txs [φ1] _ length txs]
  getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd txs map fst txs [φ1] _ length txs]
  getFrN_var[of map snd txs map fst txs [φ1] _ length txs]
  getFrN_length[of map snd txs map fst txs [φ1] length txs]
apply -
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by force
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto .

define vs2 where vs2: vs2 = getFrN (map snd txs) (map fst txs) [φ2] (length txs)
have vs2_facts: set vs2 ⊆ var
  set vs2 ∩ Fvars φ2 = {}
  set vs2 ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ‘(fst ‘(set txs))) = {}
  set vs2 ∩ snd ‘(set txs) = {}
  length vs2 = length txs
  distinct vs2
using assms unfolding vs2
using getFrN_Fvars[of map snd txs map fst txs [φ2] _ length txs]
  getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd txs map fst txs [φ2] _ length txs]
  getFrN_var[of map snd txs map fst txs [φ2] _ length txs]
  getFrN_length[of map snd txs map fst txs [φ2] length txs]
apply -
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by force
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto .

let ?tus = zip (map fst txs) us
let ?uxs = zip (map Var us) (map snd txs)
let ?tvs1 = zip (map fst txs) vs1
let ?vxs1 = zip (map Var vs1) (map snd txs)
let ?tvs2 = zip (map fst txs) vs2
let ?vxs2 = zip (map Var vs2) (map snd txs)

let ?c = rawpsubst (cnj φ1 φ2) ?uxs
have c: ?c = cnj (rawpsubst φ1 ?uxs) (rawpsubst φ2 ?uxs)

```

```

using assms us_facts
by (intro rawpsubst_cnj) (auto intro!: rawpsubstT dest!: set_zip_D)
have 0: rawpsubst ?c ?tus =
  cnj (rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ1 ?uxs) ?tus) (rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ2 ?uxs) ?tus)
  unfolding c using assms us_facts
  by (intro rawpsubst_cnj) (auto dest!: set_zip_D intro!: rawpsubst)

have 1: rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ1 ?uxs) ?tus = rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ1 ?vxs1) ?tvs1
  using assms vs1_facts us_facts
  by (intro rawpsubst_compose_freshVar2) (auto intro!: rawpsubst)
have 2: rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ2 ?uxs) ?tus = rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ2 ?vxs2) ?tvs2
  using assms vs2_facts us_facts
  by (intro rawpsubst_compose_freshVar2)(auto intro!: rawpsubst)
show ?thesis unfolding psubst_def by (simp add: Let_def us[symmetric] vs1[symmetric] vs2[symmetric]
0 1 2)
qed

lemma rawpsubst_imp:
assumes φ1 ∈ fmla φ2 ∈ fmla
  and snd ‘(set tfs) ⊆ var fst ‘(set tfs) ⊆ trm
shows rawpsubst (imp φ1 φ2) tfs = imp (rawpsubst φ1 tfs) (rawpsubst φ2 tfs)
using assms apply (induct tfs arbitrary: φ1 φ2)
subgoal by auto
subgoal for tx tfs φ1 φ2 by (cases tx) auto .

lemma psubst_imp[simp]:
assumes φ1 ∈ fmla φ2 ∈ fmla
  and snd ‘(set tfs) ⊆ var fst ‘(set tfs) ⊆ trm
  and distinct (map snd tfs)
shows psubst (imp φ1 φ2) tfs = imp (psubst φ1 tfs) (psubst φ2 tfs)
proof –
define us where us: us = getFrN (map snd tfs) (map fst tfs) [imp φ1 φ2] (length tfs)
have us_facts: set us ⊆ var
  set us ∩ Fvars φ1 = {}
  set us ∩ Fvars φ2 = {}
  set us ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ‘(fst ‘(set tfs))) = {}
  set us ∩ snd ‘(set tfs) = {}
  length us = length tfs
  distinct us
using assms unfolding us
using getFrN_Fvars[of map snd tfs map fst tfs [imp φ1 φ2] _ length tfs]
getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd tfs map fst tfs [imp φ1 φ2] _ length tfs]
getFrN_var[of map snd tfs map fst tfs [imp φ1 φ2] _ length tfs]
getFrN_length[of map snd tfs map fst tfs [imp φ1 φ2] length tfs]
apply –
subgoal by auto
subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)
by auto

define vs1 where vs1: vs1 = getFrN (map snd tfs) (map fst tfs) [φ1] (length tfs)
have vs1_facts: set vs1 ⊆ var
  set vs1 ∩ Fvars φ1 = {}
  set vs1 ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ‘(fst ‘(set tfs))) = {}
  set vs1 ∩ snd ‘(set tfs) = {}
  length vs1 = length tfs

```

```

distinct vs1
using assms unfolding vs1
using getFrN_Fvars[of map snd txs map fst tks [φ1] _ length tks]
  getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd tks map fst tks [φ1] _ length tks]
  getFrN_var[of map snd tks map fst tks [φ1] _ length tks]
  getFrN_length[of map snd tks map fst tks [φ1] length tks]
apply -
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by force
by auto

define vs2 where vs2: vs2 = getFrN (map snd tks) (map fst tks) [φ2] (length tks)
have vs2_facts: set vs2 ⊆ var
  set vs2 ∩ Fvars φ2 = {}
  set vs2 ∩ ∪ (FvarsT ‘(fst ‘(set tks))) = {}
  set vs2 ∩ snd ‘(set tks) = {}
  length vs2 = length tks
  distinct vs2
using assms unfolding vs2
using getFrN_Fvars[of map snd tks map fst tks [φ2] _ length tks]
  getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd tks map fst tks [φ2] _ length tks]
  getFrN_var[of map snd tks map fst tks [φ2] _ length tks]
  getFrN_length[of map snd tks map fst tks [φ2] length tks]
apply -
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by force
by auto

let ?tus = zip (map fst tks) us
let ?uxs = zip (map Var us) (map snd tks)
let ?tvs1 = zip (map fst tks) vs1
let ?vxs1 = zip (map Var vs1) (map snd tks)
let ?tvs2 = zip (map fst tks) vs2
let ?vxs2 = zip (map Var vs2) (map snd tks)

let ?c = rawpsubst (imp φ1 φ2) ?uxs
have c: ?c = imp (rawpsubst φ1 ?uxs) (rawpsubst φ2 ?uxs)
  apply(rule rawpsubst_imp) using assms us_facts apply (auto intro!: rawpsubstT)
  apply(drule set_zip_rightD) apply simp apply blast
  apply(drule set_zip_leftD) apply simp apply blast .
have 0: rawpsubst ?c ?tus =
  imp (rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ1 ?uxs) ?tus) (rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ2 ?uxs) ?tus)
unfold c
using assms us_facts
by (intro rawpsubst_imp) (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
have 1: rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ1 ?uxs) ?tus = rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ1 ?vxs1) ?tvs1
  using assms vs1_facts us_facts
  by (intro rawpsubst_compose_freshVar2) (auto intro!: rawpsubst)
have 2: rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ2 ?uxs) ?tus = rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ2 ?vxs2) ?tvs2
  using assms vs2_facts us_facts
  by (intro rawpsubst_compose_freshVar2) (auto intro!: rawpsubst)
show ?thesis unfolding psubst_def by (simp add: Let_def us[symmetric] vs1[symmetric] vs2[symmetric]
0 1 2)
qed

```

```

lemma rawpsubst_eqv:
assumes φ1 ∈ fmla φ2 ∈ fmla
  and snd ‘(set txs) ⊆ var fst ‘(set txs) ⊆ trm
shows rawpsubst (eqv φ1 φ2) txs = eqv (rawpsubst φ1 txs) (rawpsubst φ2 txs)
using assms apply (induct txs arbitrary: φ1 φ2)
subgoal by auto
subgoal for tx txs φ1 φ2 by (cases tx) auto .

lemma psubst_eqv[simp]:
assumes φ1 ∈ fmla φ2 ∈ fmla
  and snd ‘(set txs) ⊆ var fst ‘(set txs) ⊆ trm
  and distinct (map snd txs)
shows psubst (eqv φ1 φ2) txs = eqv (psubst φ1 txs) (psubst φ2 txs)
proof-
define us where us: us = getFrN (map snd txs) (map fst txs) [eqv φ1 φ2] (length txs)
have us_facts: set us ⊆ var
  set us ∩ Fvars φ1 = {}
  set us ∩ Fvars φ2 = {}
  set us ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ‘(fst ‘(set txs))) = {}
  set us ∩ snd ‘(set txs) = {}
  length us = length txs
  distinct us
using assms unfolding us
using getFrN_Fvars[of map snd txs map fst txs [eqv φ1 φ2] _ length txs]
  getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd txs map fst txs [eqv φ1 φ2] _ length txs]
  getFrN_var[of map snd txs map fst txs [eqv φ1 φ2] _ length txs]
  getFrN_length[of map snd txs map fst txs [eqv φ1 φ2] length txs]
apply -
subgoal by auto
subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)
by auto

define vs1 where vs1: vs1 = getFrN (map snd txs) (map fst txs) [φ1] (length txs)
have vs1_facts: set vs1 ⊆ var
  set vs1 ∩ Fvars φ1 = {}
  set vs1 ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ‘(fst ‘(set txs))) = {}
  set vs1 ∩ snd ‘(set txs) = {}
  length vs1 = length txs
  distinct vs1
using assms unfolding vs1
using getFrN_Fvars[of map snd txs map fst txs [φ1] _ length txs]
  getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd txs map fst txs [φ1] _ length txs]
  getFrN_var[of map snd txs map fst txs [φ1] _ length txs]
  getFrN_length[of map snd txs map fst txs [φ1] length txs]
apply -
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by force
by auto

define vs2 where vs2: vs2 = getFrN (map snd txs) (map fst txs) [φ2] (length txs)
have vs2_facts: set vs2 ⊆ var
  set vs2 ∩ Fvars φ2 = {}

```

```

set vs2 ∩ ∪ (FvarsT ‘ (fst ‘ (set txes))) = {}
set vs2 ∩ snd ‘ (set txes) = {}
length vs2 = length txes
distinct vs2
using assms unfolding vs2
using getFrN_Fvars[of map snd txes map fst txes [φ2] _ length txes]
getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd txes map fst txes [φ2] _ length txes]
getFrN_var[of map snd txes map fst txes [φ2] _ length txes]
getFrN_length[of map snd txes map fst txes [φ2] length txes]
apply -
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by force
by auto

let ?tus = zip (map fst txes) us
let ?uxs = zip (map Var us) (map snd txes)
let ?tv1 = zip (map fst txes) vs1
let ?vxs1 = zip (map Var vs1) (map snd txes)
let ?tv2 = zip (map fst txes) vs2
let ?vxs2 = zip (map Var vs2) (map snd txes)

let ?c = rawpsubst (eqv φ1 φ2) ?uxs
have c: ?c = eqv (rawpsubst φ1 ?uxs) (rawpsubst φ2 ?uxs)
  using assms us_facts
  by (intro rawpsubst_eqv) (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
have 0: rawpsubst ?c ?tus =
  eqv (rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ1 ?uxs) ?tus) (rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ2 ?uxs) ?tus)
  unfolding c using assms us_facts
  by (intro rawpsubst_eqv) (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
have 1: rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ1 ?uxs) ?tus = rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ1 ?vxs1) ?tv1
  using assms v1_facts us_facts
  by (intro rawpsubst_compose_fresh_Var2) (auto intro!: rawpsubst)
have 2: rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ2 ?uxs) ?tus = rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ2 ?vxs2) ?tv2
  using assms v2_facts us_facts
  by (intro rawpsubst_compose_fresh_Var2) (auto intro!: rawpsubst)
show ?thesis unfolding psubst_def by (simp add: Let_def us[symmetric] vs1[symmetric] vs2[symmetric]
0 1 2)
qed

lemma rawpsubst_all:
assumes φ ∈ fmly ∈ var
  and snd ‘ (set txes) ⊆ var fst ‘ (set txes) ⊆ trm
  and y ∉ snd ‘ (set txes) y ∉ ∪ (FvarsT ‘ fst ‘ (set txes))
shows rawpsubst (all y φ) txes = all y (rawpsubst φ txes)
using assms apply (induct txes arbitrary: φ)
subgoal by auto
subgoal for tx txes φ by (cases tx) auto .

lemma psubst_all[simp]:
assumes φ ∈ fmly ∈ var
  and snd ‘ (set txes) ⊆ var fst ‘ (set txes) ⊆ trm
  and y ∉ snd ‘ (set txes) y ∉ ∪ (FvarsT ‘ fst ‘ (set txes))
  and distinct (map snd txes)
shows psubst (all y φ) txes = all y (psubst φ txes)
proof-
  define us where us = getFrN (map snd txes) (map fst txes) [all y φ] (length txes)

```

```

have us_facts: set us ⊆ var
  set us ∩ (Fvars φ - {y}) = {}
  set us ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ` (fst ` (set txs))) = {}
  set us ∩ snd ` (set txs) = {}
  length us = length txs
  distinct us
using assms unfolding us
using getFrN_Fvars[of map snd txs map fst tks [all y φ] _ length tks]
  getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd tks map fst tks [all y φ] _ length tks]
  getFrN_var[of map snd tks map fst tks [all y φ] _ length tks]
  getFrN_length[of map snd tks map fst tks [all y φ] length tks]
apply -
subgoal by auto
subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)
by auto

define vs where vs: vs = getFrN (map snd tks) (map fst tks) [φ] (length tks)
have vs_facts: set vs ⊆ var
  set vs ∩ Fvars φ = {}
  set vs ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ` (fst ` (set tks))) = {}
  set vs ∩ snd ` (set tks) = {}
  length vs = length tks
  distinct vs
using assms unfolding vs
using getFrN_Fvars[of map snd tks map fst tks [φ] _ length tks]
  getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd tks map fst tks [φ] _ length tks]
  getFrN_var[of map snd tks map fst tks [φ] _ length tks]
  getFrN_length[of map snd tks map fst tks [φ] length tks]
apply -
subgoal by auto
subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)
by auto

define ws where ws: ws = getFrN (y # map snd tks) (map fst tks) [φ] (length tks)
have ws_facts: set ws ⊆ var
  set ws ∩ Fvars φ = {} y ∉ set ws
  set ws ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ` (fst ` (set tks))) = {}
  set ws ∩ snd ` (set tks) = {}
  length ws = length tks
  distinct ws
using assms unfolding ws
using getFrN_Fvars[of y # map snd tks map fst tks [φ] _ length tks]
  getFrN_FvarsT[of y # map snd tks map fst tks [φ] _ length tks]
  getFrN_var[of y # map snd tks map fst tks [φ] _ length tks]
  getFrN_length[of y # map snd tks map fst tks [φ] length tks]
apply -
subgoal by auto
subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)
subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)
by auto

have 0: rawpsubst (all y φ) (zip (map Var ws) (map snd tks)) =

```

```

all y (rawpsubst φ (zip (map Var ws) (map snd txs)))
using assms ws_facts apply(intro rawpsubst_all)
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal by (fastforce dest: set_zip_D) .

have 1: rawpsubst ((rawpsubst φ (zip (map Var ws) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) ws) =
    rawpsubst ((rawpsubst φ (zip (map Var ws) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) vs)
apply(rule rawpsubst_compose_freshVar2)
using assms ws_facts vs_facts by (auto intro!: rawpsubst)
have rawpsubst (rawpsubst (all y φ) (zip (map Var ws) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) us) =
    rawpsubst (rawpsubst (all y φ) (zip (map Var ws) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) ws)
using assms ws_facts us_facts
by (intro rawpsubst_compose_freshVar2) (auto intro!: rawpsubst)
also have
... = all y (rawpsubst ((rawpsubst φ (zip (map Var ws) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) ws)))
unfolding 0 using assms ws_facts
by (intro rawpsubst_all) (auto dest!: set_zip_D intro!: rawpsubst)
also have
... = all y (rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ (zip (map Var ws) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) vs))
unfolding 1 ..
finally show ?thesis unfolding psubst_def by (simp add: Let_def us[symmetric] vs[symmetric])
qed

lemma rawpsubst_exi:
assumes φ ∈ fmla y ∈ var
and snd ‘(set txs) ⊆ var fst ‘(set txs) ⊆ trm
and y ∉ snd ‘(set txs) y ∉ ∪ (FvarsT ‘fst ‘(set txs))
shows rawpsubst (exi y φ) txs = exi y (rawpsubst φ txs)
using assms apply (induct ttx arbitrary: φ)
subgoal by auto
subgoal for tx ttx φ by (cases tx) auto .

lemma psubst_exi[simp]:
assumes φ ∈ fmla y ∈ var
and snd ‘(set txs) ⊆ var fst ‘(set txs) ⊆ trm
and y ∉ snd ‘(set txs) y ∉ ∪ (FvarsT ‘fst ‘(set txs))
and distinct (map snd ttx)
shows psubst (exi y φ) ttx = exi y (psubst φ ttx)
proof –
define us where us: us = getFrN (map snd ttx) (map fst ttx) [exi y φ] (length ttx)
have us_facts: set us ⊆ var
set us ∩ (Fvars φ - {y}) = {}
set us ∩ ∪ (FvarsT ‘fst ‘(set ttx)) = {}
set us ∩ snd ‘(set ttx) = {}
length us = length ttx
distinct us
using assms unfolding us
using getFrN_Fvars[of map snd ttx map fst ttx [exi y φ] _ length ttx]
getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd ttx map fst ttx [exi y φ] _ length ttx]
getFrN_var[of map snd ttx map fst ttx [exi y φ] _ length ttx]
getFrN_length[of map snd ttx map fst ttx [exi y φ] length ttx]
apply –
subgoal by auto
subgoal by fastforce

```

```

subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)
subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)
by auto

define vs where vs: vs = getFrN (map snd txs) (map fst txs) [ $\varphi$ ] (length txs)
have vs_facts: set vs  $\subseteq$  var
  set vs  $\cap$  Fvars  $\varphi$  = {}
  set vs  $\cap$   $\bigcup$  (FvarsT ` (fst ` (set txs))) = {}
  set vs  $\cap$  snd ` (set txs) = {}
  length vs = length txs
  distinct vs
using assms unfolding vs
using getFrN_Fvars[of map snd txs map fst txs [ $\varphi$ ] _ length txs]
  getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd txs map fst txs [ $\varphi$ ] _ length txs]
  getFrN_var[of map snd txs map fst txs [ $\varphi$ ] _ length txs]
  getFrN_length[of map snd txs map fst txs [ $\varphi$ ] length txs]
apply -
subgoal by auto
subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)
subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)
by auto

define ws where ws: ws = getFrN (y # map snd txs) (map fst txs) [ $\varphi$ ] (length txs)
have ws_facts: set ws  $\subseteq$  var
  set ws  $\cap$  Fvars  $\varphi$  = {} y  $\notin$  set ws
  set ws  $\cap$   $\bigcup$  (FvarsT ` (fst ` (set txs))) = {}
  set ws  $\cap$  snd ` (set txs) = {}
  length ws = length txs
  distinct ws
using assms unfolding ws
using getFrN_Fvars[of y # map snd txs map fst txs [ $\varphi$ ] _ length txs]
  getFrN_FvarsT[of y # map snd txs map fst txs [ $\varphi$ ] _ length txs]
  getFrN_var[of y # map snd txs map fst txs [ $\varphi$ ] _ length txs]
  getFrN_length[of y # map snd txs map fst txs [ $\varphi$ ] length txs]
apply -
subgoal by auto
subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)
subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)
by auto

have 0: rawpsubst (exi y  $\varphi$ ) (zip (map Var ws) (map snd txs)) =
  exi y (rawpsubst  $\varphi$  (zip (map Var ws) (map snd txs)))
using assms ws_facts apply(intro rawpsubst_exi)
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal by (fastforce dest: set_zip_D) .

have 1: rawpsubst ((rawpsubst  $\varphi$  (zip (map Var ws) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) ws) =
  rawpsubst ((rawpsubst  $\varphi$  (zip (map Var ws) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) vs))
using assms ws_facts vs_facts

```

```

by (intro rawpsubst_compose_freshVar2) (auto intro!: rawpsubst)
have rawpsubst (rawpsubst (exi y φ) (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) us) =
    rawpsubst (rawpsubst (exi y φ) (zip (map Var ws) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) ws)
    using assms ws_facts us_facts
    by (intro rawpsubst_compose_freshVar2) (auto intro!: rawpsubst)
also have
... = exi y (rawpsubst ((rawpsubst φ (zip (map Var ws) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) ws)))
    using assms ws_facts unfolding 0
    by (intro rawpsubst_exi) (auto dest!: set_zip_D intro!: rawpsubst)
also have
... = exi y (rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ (zip (map Var vs) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) vs))
    unfolding 1 ..
finally show ?thesis unfolding psubst_def by (simp add: Let_def us[symmetric] vs[symmetric])
qed

```

end — context *Syntax_with_Connectives*

```

locale Syntax_with_Numerals_and_Connectives =
  Syntax_with_Numerals
  var trm fmla Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
  num
  +
  Syntax_with_Connectives
  var trm fmla Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
  eql cnj imp all exi
for
  var :: 'var set and trm :: 'trm set and fmla :: 'fmla set
  and Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
  and num
  and eql cnj imp all exi
begin

lemma subst_all_num[simp]:
assumes φ ∈ fmla x ∈ var y ∈ var n ∈ num
shows x ≠ y  $\implies$  subst (all x φ) n y = all x (subst φ n y)
using assms by simp

lemma subst_exi_num[simp]:
assumes φ ∈ fmla x ∈ var y ∈ var n ∈ num
shows x ≠ y  $\implies$  subst (exi x φ) n y = exi x (subst φ n y)
using assms by simp

```

The "soft substitution" function:

```

definition softSubst :: 'fmla  $\Rightarrow$  'trm  $\Rightarrow$  'var  $\Rightarrow$  'fmla where
  softSubst φ t x = exi x (cnj (eql (Var x) t) φ)

lemma softSubst[simp,intro]: φ ∈ fmla  $\implies$  t ∈ trm  $\implies$  x ∈ var  $\implies$  softSubst φ t x ∈ fmla
  unfolding softSubst_def by auto

lemma Fvars_softSubst[simp]:
  φ ∈ fmla  $\implies$  t ∈ trm  $\implies$  x ∈ var  $\implies$ 
  Fvars (softSubst φ t x) = (Fvars φ ∪ FvarsT t - {x})
  unfolding softSubst_def by auto

lemma Fvars_softSubst_subst_in:
  φ ∈ fmla  $\implies$  t ∈ trm  $\implies$  x ∈ var  $\implies$  x ∉ FvarsT t  $\implies$  x ∈ Fvars φ  $\implies$ 
  Fvars (softSubst φ t x) = Fvars (subst φ t x)

```

by auto

```
lemma Fvars_softSubst_subst_notIn:
   $\varphi \in fmla \Rightarrow t \in trm \Rightarrow x \in var \Rightarrow x \notin FvarsT t \Rightarrow x \notin Fvars \varphi \Rightarrow$ 
   $Fvars(\text{softSubst } \varphi t x) = Fvars(\text{subst } \varphi t x) \cup FvarsT t$ 
  by auto
```

end — context *Syntax_with_Connectives*

The addition of False among logical connectives

```
locale Syntax_with_Connectives_False =
  Syntax_with_Connectives
  var trm fmla Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
  eql cnj imp all exi
  for
    var :: 'var set and trm :: 'trm set and fmla :: 'fmla set
    and Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
    and eql cnj imp all exi
    +
  fixes fls::'fmla
  assumes
    fls[simp,intro!]: fls  $\in fmla$ 
    and
    Fvars_fls[simp,intro!]: Fvars fls = {}
    and
    subst_fls[simp]:
       $\bigwedge t x. t \in trm \Rightarrow x \in var \Rightarrow \text{subst } fls t x = fls$ 
begin
```

Negation as a derived connective:

```
definition neg :: 'fmla  $\Rightarrow$  'fmla where
  neg  $\varphi = \text{imp } \varphi fls$ 
```

```
lemma
  neg[simp]:  $\bigwedge \varphi. \varphi \in fmla \Rightarrow \text{neg } \varphi \in fmla$ 
  and
  Fvars_neg[simp]:  $\bigwedge \varphi. \varphi \in fmla \Rightarrow Fvars(\text{neg } \varphi) = Fvars \varphi$ 
  and
  subst_neg[simp]:
     $\bigwedge \varphi t x. \varphi \in fmla \Rightarrow t \in trm \Rightarrow x \in var \Rightarrow$ 
     $\text{subst } (\text{neg } \varphi) t x = \text{neg } (\text{subst } \varphi t x)$ 
  unfolding neg_def by auto
```

True as a derived connective:

```
definition tru where tru = neg fls
```

```
lemma
  tru[simp,intro!]: tru  $\in fmla$ 
  and
  Fvars_tru[simp]: Fvars tru = {}
  and
  subst_tru[simp]:  $\bigwedge t x. t \in trm \Rightarrow x \in var \Rightarrow \text{subst } tru t x = tru$ 
  unfolding tru_def by auto
```

2.3.1 Iterated conjunction

First we define list-based conjunction:

```

fun lcnj :: 'fmla list  $\Rightarrow$  'fmla where
  lcnj [] = tru
  | lcnj ( $\varphi$  #  $\varphi s$ ) = cnj  $\varphi$  (lcnj  $\varphi s$ )

lemma lcnj[simp,intro!]: set  $\varphi s \subseteq$  fmla  $\Rightarrow$  lcnj  $\varphi s \in$  fmla
  by (induct  $\varphi s$ ) auto

lemma Fvars_lcnj[simp]:
  set  $\varphi s \subseteq$  fmla  $\Rightarrow$  finite F  $\Rightarrow$  Fvars (lcnj  $\varphi s$ ) =  $\bigcup$  (set (map Fvars  $\varphi s$ ))
  by(induct  $\varphi s$ ) auto

lemma subst_lcnj[simp]:
  set  $\varphi s \subseteq$  fmla  $\Rightarrow$  t  $\in$  trm  $\Rightarrow$  x  $\in$  var  $\Rightarrow$ 
  subst (lcnj  $\varphi s$ ) t x = lcnj (map ( $\lambda\varphi$ . subst  $\varphi$  t x)  $\varphi s$ )
  by(induct  $\varphi s$ ) auto

```

Then we define (finite-)set-based conjunction:

```

definition scnj :: 'fmla set  $\Rightarrow$  'fmla where
  scnj F = lcnj (asList F)

```

```

lemma scnj[simp,intro!]: F  $\subseteq$  fmla  $\Rightarrow$  finite F  $\Rightarrow$  scnj F  $\in$  fmla
  unfolding scnj_def by auto

```

```

lemma Fvars_scnj[simp]:
  F  $\subseteq$  fmla  $\Rightarrow$  finite F  $\Rightarrow$  Fvars (scnj F) =  $\bigcup$  (Fvars ` F)
  unfolding scnj_def by auto

```

2.3.2 Parallel substitution versus the new connectives

```

lemma rawpsubst_fls:
  snd ` (set txs)  $\subseteq$  var  $\Rightarrow$  fst ` (set txs)  $\subseteq$  trm  $\Rightarrow$  rawpsubst fls txs = fls
  by (induct txs) auto

lemma psubst_fls[simp]:
  assumes snd ` (set txs)  $\subseteq$  var and fst ` (set txs)  $\subseteq$  trm
  shows psubst fls txs = fls
proof-
  define us where us: us = getFrN (map snd txs) (map fst txs) [fls] (length txs)
  have us_facts: set us  $\subseteq$  var
    set us  $\cap$   $\bigcup$  (FvarsT ` (fst ` (set txs))) = {}
    set us  $\cap$  snd ` (set txs) = {}
    length us = length txs
    distinct us
  using assms unfolding us
  using getFrN_Fvars[of map snd txs map fst txs [fls] _ length txs]
    getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd txs map fst txs [fls] _ length txs]
    getFrN_var[of map snd txs map fst txs [fls] _ length txs]
    getFrN_length[of map snd txs map fst txs [fls] length txs]
  apply -
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by fastforce
  subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)
  subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)
  by auto
  have [simp]: rawpsubst fls (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs)) = fls
    using us_facts assms by (intro rawpsubst_fls) (auto dest!: set_zip_D)
  show ?thesis using assms us_facts
  unfolding psubst_def by (auto simp add: Let_def us[symmetric] intro!: rawpsubst_fls dest!: set_zip_D)

```

```

qed

lemma psubst_neg[simp]:
assumes φ ∈ fmla
  and snd ‘(set tfs) ⊆ var fst ‘(set tfs) ⊆ trm
  and distinct (map snd tfs)
shows psubst (neg φ) tfs = neg (psubst φ tfs)
unfolding neg_def using assms psubst_imp psubst_fls by auto

lemma psubst_tru[simp]:
assumes snd ‘(set tfs) ⊆ var and fst ‘(set tfs) ⊆ trm
  and distinct (map snd tfs)
shows psubst tru tfs = tru
unfolding tru_def using assms psubst_neg[of fls tfs] psubst_fls by auto

lemma psubst_lcnj[simp]:
set φs ⊆ fmla ==> snd ‘(set tfs) ⊆ var ==> fst ‘(set tfs) ⊆ trm ==>
distinct (map snd tfs) ==>
psubst (lcnj φs) tfs = lcnj (map (λφ. psubst φ tfs) φs)
by (induct φs) auto

end — context Syntax_with_Connectives_False

```

2.4 Adding Disjunction

NB: In intuitionistic logic, disjunction is not definable from the other connectives.

```

locale Syntax_with_Connectives_False_Disj =
Syntax_with_Connectives_False
var trm fmla Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
eqn cnj imp all exi
fls
for
  var :: 'var set and trm :: 'trm set and fmla :: 'fmla set
  and Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
  and eqn cnj imp all exi
  and fls
  +
fixes dsj :: 'fmla ⇒ 'fmla ⇒ 'fmla
assumes
  dsj[simp]: ∧φ χ. φ ∈ fmla ⇒ χ ∈ fmla ⇒ dsj φ χ ∈ fmla
  and
  Fvars_dsj[simp]: ∧φ χ. φ ∈ fmla ⇒ χ ∈ fmla ⇒
  Fvars (dsj φ χ) = Fvars φ ∪ Fvars χ
  and
  subst_dsj[simp]:
  ∧ x φ χ t. φ ∈ fmla ⇒ χ ∈ fmla ⇒ t ∈ trm ⇒ x ∈ var ⇒
  subst (dsj φ χ) t x = dsj (subst φ t x) (subst χ t x)
begin

```

2.4.1 Iterated disjunction

First we define list-based disjunction:

```

fun ldsj :: 'fmla list ⇒ 'fmla where
  ldsj [] = fls
  | ldsj (φ # φs) = dsj φ (ldsj φs)

```

```

lemma ldsj[simp,intro!]: set φs ⊆ fmla ==> ldsj φs ∈ fmla
  by (induct φs) auto

lemma Fvars_ldsj[simp]:
  set φs ⊆ fmla ==> Fvars (ldsj φs) = ∪ (set (map Fvars φs))
  by(induct φs) auto

lemma subst_ldsj[simp]:
  set φs ⊆ fmla ==> t ∈ trm ==> x ∈ var ==>
  subst (ldsj φs) t x = ldsj (map (λφ. subst φ t x) φs)
  by(induct φs) auto

```

Then we define (finite-)set-based disjunction:

```

definition sdsj :: 'fmla set ⇒ 'fmla where
  sdsj F = ldsj (asList F)

```

```

lemma sdsj[simp,intro!]: F ⊆ fmla ==> finite F ==> sdsj F ∈ fmla
  unfolding sdsj_def by auto

```

```

lemma Fvars_sdsj[simp]:
  F ⊆ fmla ==> finite F ==> Fvars (sdsj F) = ∪ (Fvars ` F)
  unfolding sdsj_def by auto

```

2.4.2 Parallel substitution versus the new connectives

```

lemma rawpsubst_dsj:
  assumes φ1 ∈ fmla φ2 ∈ fmla
    and snd ` (set txes) ⊆ var fst ` (set txes) ⊆ trm
  shows rawpsubst (dsj φ1 φ2) txes = dsj (rawpsubst φ1 txes) (rawpsubst φ2 txes)
  using assms apply (induct txes arbitrary: φ1 φ2)
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal for tx txes φ1 φ2 apply (cases tx) by auto .

lemma psubst_dsj[simp]:
  assumes φ1 ∈ fmla φ2 ∈ fmla
    and snd ` (set txes) ⊆ var fst ` (set txes) ⊆ trm
    and distinct (map snd txes)
  shows psubst (dsj φ1 φ2) txes = dsj (psubst φ1 txes) (psubst φ2 txes)
proof-
  define us where us: us = getFrN (map snd txes) (map fst txes) [dsj φ1 φ2] (length txes)
  have us_facts: set us ⊆ var
    set us ∩ Fvars φ1 = {}
    set us ∩ Fvars φ2 = {}
    set us ∩ ∪ (FvarsT ` (fst ` (set txes))) = {}
    set us ∩ snd ` (set txes) = {}
    length us = length txes
    distinct us
  using assms unfolding us
  using getFrN_Fvars[of map snd txes map fst txes [dsj φ1 φ2] _ length txes]
    getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd txes map fst txes [dsj φ1 φ2] _ length txes]
    getFrN_var[of map snd txes map fst txes [dsj φ1 φ2] _ length txes]
    getFrN_length[of map snd txes map fst txes [dsj φ1 φ2] length txes]
  apply -
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by fastforce
  subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)
  subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)
  subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)

```

by auto

```

define vs1 where vs1: vs1 = getFrN (map snd ttxs) (map fst ttxs) [ $\varphi_1$ ] (length ttxs)
have vs1_facts: set vs1  $\subseteq$  var
  set vs1  $\cap$  Fvars  $\varphi_1$  = {}
  set vs1  $\cap$   $\bigcup$  (FvarsT ‘(fst ‘(set ttxs))) = {}
  set vs1  $\cap$  snd ‘(set ttxs) = {}
  length vs1 = length ttxs
  distinct vs1
using assms unfolding vs1
using getFrN_Fvars[of map snd ttxs map fst ttxs [ $\varphi_1$ ] _ length ttxs]
  getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd ttxs map fst ttxs [ $\varphi_1$ ] _ length ttxs]
  getFrN_var[of map snd ttxs map fst ttxs [ $\varphi_1$ ] _ length ttxs]
  getFrN_length[of map snd ttxs map fst ttxs [ $\varphi_1$ ] length ttxs]
apply –
subgoal by auto
subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)
by auto

define vs2 where vs2: vs2 = getFrN (map snd ttxs) (map fst ttxs) [ $\varphi_2$ ] (length ttxs)
have vs2_facts: set vs2  $\subseteq$  var
  set vs2  $\cap$  Fvars  $\varphi_2$  = {}
  set vs2  $\cap$   $\bigcup$  (FvarsT ‘(fst ‘(set ttxs))) = {}
  set vs2  $\cap$  snd ‘(set ttxs) = {}
  length vs2 = length ttxs
  distinct vs2
using assms unfolding vs2
using getFrN_Fvars[of map snd ttxs map fst ttxs [ $\varphi_2$ ] _ length ttxs]
  getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd ttxs map fst ttxs [ $\varphi_2$ ] _ length ttxs]
  getFrN_var[of map snd ttxs map fst ttxs [ $\varphi_2$ ] _ length ttxs]
  getFrN_length[of map snd ttxs map fst ttxs [ $\varphi_2$ ] length ttxs]
apply –
apply –
subgoal by auto
subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)
by auto

let ?tus = zip (map fst ttxs) us
let ?uxs = zip (map Var us) (map snd ttxs)
let ?tvs1 = zip (map fst ttxs) vs1
let ?vxs1 = zip (map Var vs1) (map snd ttxs)
let ?tvs2 = zip (map fst ttxs) vs2
let ?vxs2 = zip (map Var vs2) (map snd ttxs)

let ?c = rawpsubst (dsj  $\varphi_1$   $\varphi_2$ ) ?uxs
have c: ?c = dsj (rawpsubst  $\varphi_1$  ?uxs) (rawpsubst  $\varphi_2$  ?uxs)
  apply(rule rawpsubst_dsj) using assms us_facts apply (auto intro!: rawpsubstT)
  apply(drule set_zip_rightD) apply simp apply blast
  apply(drule set_zip_leftD) apply simp apply blast .
have 0: rawpsubst ?c ?tus =
  dsj (rawpsubst (rawpsubst  $\varphi_1$  ?uxs) ?tus) (rawpsubst (rawpsubst  $\varphi_2$  ?uxs) ?tus)
  unfolding c using assms us_facts
  by (intro rawpsubst_dsj) (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
have 1: rawpsubst (rawpsubst  $\varphi_1$  ?uxs) ?tus = rawpsubst (rawpsubst  $\varphi_1$  ?vxs1) ?tvs1

```

```

using assms vs1_facts us_facts
by (intro rawpsubst_compose_fresh Var2) (auto intro!: rawpsubst)
have 2: rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ2 ?uxs) ?tus = rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ2 ?vxs2) ?tvs2
  using assms vs2_facts us_facts
  by (intro rawpsubst_compose_fresh Var2) (auto intro!: rawpsubst)
show ?thesis unfolding psubst_def by (simp add: Let_def us[symmetric] vs1[symmetric] vs2[symmetric]
0 1 2)
qed

lemma psubst_ldsj[simp]:
set φs ⊆ fmla ==> snd ` (set tfs) ⊆ var ==> fst ` (set tfs) ⊆ trm ==>
distinct (map snd tfs) ==>
psubst (ldsj φs) tfs = ldsj (map (λφ. psubst φ tfs) φs)
by (induct φs) auto

end — context Syntax_with_Connectives_False_Disj

```

2.5 Adding an Ordering-Like Formula

```

locale Syntax_with_Numerals_and_Connectives_False_Disj =
Syntax_with_Connectives_False_Disj
var trm fmla Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
eql cnj imp all exi
fls
dsj
+
Syntax_with_Numerals_and_Connectives
var trm fmla Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
num
eql cnj imp all exi
for
  var :: 'var set and trm :: 'trm set and fmla :: 'fmla set
  and Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
  and eql cnj imp all exi
  and fls
  and dsj
  and num

```

... and in addition a formula expressing order (think: less than or equal to)

```

locale Syntax_PseudoOrder =
Syntax_with_Numerals_and_Connectives_False_Disj
var trm fmla Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
eql cnj imp all exi
fls
dsj
num
for
  var :: 'var set and trm :: 'trm set and fmla :: 'fmla set
  and Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
  and eql cnj imp all exi
  and fls
  and dsj
  and num
+
fixes
  — Lq is a formula with free variables xx yy:
  Lq :: 'fmla
assumes

```

```

Lq[simp,intro!]: Lq ∈ fmla
and
Fvars_Lq[simp]: Fvars Lq = {zz,yy}
begin

definition LLq where LLq t1 t2 = psubst Lq [(t1,zz), (t2,yy)]

lemma LLq_def2: t1 ∈ trm ⇒ t2 ∈ trm ⇒ yy ∉ FvarsT t1 ⇒
LLq t1 t2 = subst (subst Lq t1 zz) t2 yy
unfolding LLq_def by (rule psubst_eq_rawsubst2[simplified]) auto

lemma LLq[simp,intro]:
assumes t1 ∈ trm t2 ∈ trm
shows LLq t1 t2 ∈ fmla
using assms unfolding LLq_def by auto

lemma LLq2[simp,intro!]:
n ∈ num ⇒ LLq n (Var yy') ∈ fmla
by auto

lemma Fvars_LLq[simp]: t1 ∈ trm ⇒ t2 ∈ trm ⇒ yy ∉ FvarsT t1 ⇒
Fvars (LLq t1 t2) = FvarsT t1 ∪ FvarsT t2
by (auto simp add: LLq_def2 subst2_fresh_switch)

lemma LLq.simps[simp]:
m ∈ num ⇒ n ∈ num ⇒ subst (LLq m (Var yy)) n yy = LLq m n
m ∈ num ⇒ n ∈ num ⇒ subst (LLq m (Var yy')) n yy = LLq m (Var yy')
m ∈ num ⇒ subst (LLq m (Var yy')) (Var yy) yy' = LLq m (Var yy)
n ∈ num ⇒ subst (LLq (Var xx) (Var yy)) n xx = LLq n (Var yy)
n ∈ num ⇒ subst (LLq (Var zz) (Var yy)) n yy = LLq (Var zz) n
m ∈ num ⇒ subst (LLq (Var zz) (Var yy)) m zz = LLq m (Var yy)
m ∈ num ⇒ n ∈ num ⇒ subst (LLq (Var zz) n) m xx = LLq (Var zz) n
by (auto simp: LLq_def2 subst2_fresh_switch)

end — context Syntax_PseudoOrder

```

2.6 Allowing the Renaming of Quantified Variables

So far, we did not need any renaming axiom for the quantifiers. However, our axioms for substitution implicitly assume the irrelevance of the bound names; in other words, their usual instances would have this property; and since this assumption greatly simplifies the formal development, we make it at this point.

```

locale Syntax_with_Connectives_Rename =
Syntax_with_Connectives
var trm fmla Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
eql cnj imp all exi
for
var :: 'var set and trm :: 'trm set and fmla :: 'fmla set
and Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
and eql cnj imp all exi
+
assumes all_rename:
  ∀φ x y. φ ∈ fmla ⇒ x ∈ var ⇒ y ∈ var ⇒ y ∉ Fvars φ ⇒
    all x φ = all y (subst φ (Var y) x)
and exi_rename:
  ∀φ x y. φ ∈ fmla ⇒ x ∈ var ⇒ y ∈ var ⇒ y ∉ Fvars φ ⇒
    exi x φ = exi y (subst φ (Var y) x)

```

```

begin

lemma all_rename2:
 $\varphi \in fmla \Rightarrow x \in var \Rightarrow y \in var \Rightarrow (y = x \vee y \notin Fvars \varphi) \Rightarrow$ 
 $\text{all } x \varphi = \text{all } y (\text{subst } \varphi (\text{Var } y) x)$ 
using all_rename by (cases y = x) (auto simp del: Fvars_subst)

lemma exi_rename2:
 $\varphi \in fmla \Rightarrow x \in var \Rightarrow y \in var \Rightarrow (y = x \vee y \notin Fvars \varphi) \Rightarrow$ 
 $\text{exi } x \varphi = \text{exi } y (\text{subst } \varphi (\text{Var } y) x)$ 
using exi_rename by (cases y = x) (auto simp del: Fvars_subst)

```

2.7 The Exists-Unique Quantifier

It is phrased in such a way as to avoid substitution:

```

definition exu :: 'var ⇒ 'fmla ⇒ 'fmla where
exu x φ ≡ let y = getFr [x] [] [φ] in
cnj (exi x φ) (exi y (all x (imp φ (eql (Var x) (Var y)))))

lemma exu[simp,intro]:
x ∈ var ⇒ φ ∈ fmla ⇒ exu x φ ∈ fmla
unfolding exu_def by (simp add: Let_def)

lemma Fvars_exu[simp]:
x ∈ var ⇒ φ ∈ fmla ⇒ Fvars (exu x φ) = Fvars φ - {x}
unfolding exu_def by (auto simp: Let_def getFr_Fvars)

lemma exu_def_var:
assumes [simp]: x ∈ var y ∈ var y ≠ x y ∉ Fvars φ φ ∈ fmla
shows
exu x φ = cnj (exi x φ) (exi y (all x (imp φ (eql (Var x) (Var y)))))
proof-
have [simp]: x ≠ y using assms by blast
define z where z: z ≡ getFr [x] [] [φ]
have z_facts[simp]: z ∈ var z ≠ x x ≠ z z ∉ Fvars φ
unfolding z using getFr_FvarsT_Fvars[of [x] [] [φ]] by auto
define u where u: u ≡ getFr [x,y,z] [] [φ]
have u_facts[simp]: u ∈ var u ≠ x u ≠ z y ≠ u u ≠ y x ≠ u z ≠ u u ∉ Fvars φ
unfolding u using getFr_FvarsT_Fvars[of [x,y,z] [] [φ]] by auto
have exu x φ = cnj (exi x φ) (exi u (all x (imp φ (eql (Var x) (Var u)))))
by (auto simp: exu_def Let_def z[symmetric] exi_rename[of all x (imp φ (eql (Var x) (Var z))) z u])
also have ... = cnj (exi x φ) (exi y (all x (imp φ (eql (Var x) (Var y)))))
by (auto simp: exi_rename[of all x (imp φ (eql (Var x) (Var u))) u y]
split: if_splits)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma subst_exu[simp]:
assumes [simp]: φ ∈ fmla t ∈ trm x ∈ var y ∈ var x ≠ y x ∉ FvarsT t
shows subst (exu x φ) t y = exu x (subst φ t y)
proof-
define u where u: u ≡ getFr [x,y] [t] [φ]
have u_facts[simp]: u ∈ var u ≠ x u ≠ y y ≠ u x ≠ u
u ∉ FvarsT t u ∉ Fvars φ
unfolding u using getFr_FvarsT_Fvars[of [x,y] [t] [φ]] by auto
show ?thesis

```

```

by (auto simp: Let_def exu_def_var[of _ u] subst_compose_diff)
qed

lemma subst_exu_idle[simp]:
assumes [simp]:  $x \in \text{var } \varphi \in \text{fmla } t \in \text{trm}$ 
shows subst(exu x  $\varphi$ ) t x = exu x  $\varphi$ 
by (intro subst_notIn) auto

lemma exu_rename:
assumes [simp]:  $\varphi \in \text{fmla } x \in \text{var } y \in \text{var } y \notin \text{Fvars } \varphi$ 
shows exu x  $\varphi$  = exu y (subst  $\varphi$  (Var y) x)
proof(cases y = x)
  case [simp]: False
  define z where z:  $z = \text{getFr}[x] \sqcup [\varphi]$ 
  have z_facts[simp]:  $z \in \text{var } z \neq x x \neq z z \notin \text{Fvars } \varphi$ 
  unfolding z using getFr_FvarsT_Fvars[of [x]  $\sqcup$  [\varphi]] by auto
  define u where u:  $u \equiv \text{getFr}[x,y,z] \sqcup [\varphi]$ 
  have u_facts[simp]:  $u \in \text{var } u \neq x x \neq u u \neq y y \neq u u \neq z z \neq u$ 
     $u \notin \text{Fvars } \varphi$ 
  unfolding u using getFr_FvarsT_Fvars[of [x,y,z]  $\sqcup$  [\varphi]] by auto
  show ?thesis
    by (auto simp: exu_def_var[of _ u] exi_rename[of __ y] all_rename[of __ y])
qed auto

lemma exu_rename2:
 $\varphi \in \text{fmla} \implies x \in \text{var} \implies y \in \text{var} \implies (y = x \vee y \notin \text{Fvars } \varphi) \implies$ 
  exu x  $\varphi$  = exu y (subst  $\varphi$  (Var y) x)
using exu_rename by (cases y = x) (auto simp del: Fvars_subst)

end — context Syntax_with_Connectives_Rename

```

Chapter 3

Deduction

We formalize deduction in a logical system that (shallowly) embeds intuitionistic logic connectives and quantifiers over a signature containing the numerals.

3.1 Positive Logic Deduction

```
locale Deduct =
  Syntax_with_Numerals_and_Connectives
  var trm fmla Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
  num
  eql cnj imp all exi
  for
  var :: 'var set and trm :: 'trm set and fmla :: 'fmla set
  and Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
  and num
  and eql cnj imp all exi
  +
  fixes
  — Provability of numeric formulas:
  prv :: 'fmla ⇒ bool
  — Hilbert-style system for intuitionistic logic over →, ∧, ∨, ∃. (⊥, ¬ and ∨ will be included later.) Hilbert-style is preferred since it requires the least amount of infrastructure. (Later, natural deduction rules will also be defined.)
  assumes
  — Propositional rules and axioms. There is a single propositional rule, modus ponens.
  — The modus ponens rule:
  prv_imp_mp:
   $\wedge \varphi \chi. \varphi \in fmla \Rightarrow \chi \in fmla \Rightarrow$ 
   $prv (\text{imp } \varphi \chi) \Rightarrow prv \varphi \Rightarrow prv \chi$ 
  and
  — The propositional intuitionistic axioms:
  prv_imp_imp_triv:
   $\wedge \varphi \chi. \varphi \in fmla \Rightarrow \chi \in fmla \Rightarrow$ 
   $prv (\text{imp } \varphi (\text{imp } \chi \varphi))$ 
  and
  prv_imp_trans:
   $\wedge \varphi \chi \psi. \varphi \in fmla \Rightarrow \chi \in fmla \Rightarrow \psi \in fmla \Rightarrow$ 
   $prv (\text{imp } (\text{imp } \varphi (\text{imp } \chi \psi)))$ 
   $(\text{imp } (\text{imp } \varphi \chi) (\text{imp } \varphi \psi)))$ 
  and
  prv_imp_cnjL:
   $\wedge \varphi \chi. \varphi \in fmla \Rightarrow \chi \in fmla \Rightarrow$ 
```

```

prv (imp (cnj φ χ) φ)
and
prv_imp_cnjR:
 $\wedge \varphi \chi. \varphi \in fmla \implies \chi \in fmla \implies$ 
    prv (imp (cnj φ χ) χ)
and
prv_imp_cnjI:
 $\wedge \varphi \chi. \varphi \in fmla \implies \chi \in fmla \implies$ 
    prv (imp φ (imp χ (cnj φ χ)))
and

— Predicate calculus (quantifier) rules and axioms
— The rules of universal and existential generalization:
prv_all_imp_gen:
 $\wedge x \varphi \chi. x \notin Fvars \varphi \implies prv (imp \varphi \chi) \implies prv (imp \varphi (all x \chi))$ 
and
prv_exi_imp_gen:
 $\wedge x \varphi \chi. x \in var \implies \varphi \in fmla \implies \chi \in fmla \implies$ 
     $x \notin Fvars \chi \implies prv (imp \varphi \chi) \implies prv (imp (exi x \varphi) \chi)$ 
and
— Two quantifier instantiation axioms:
prv_all_inst:
 $\wedge x \varphi t.$ 
 $x \in var \implies \varphi \in fmla \implies t \in trm \implies$ 
    prv (imp (all x φ) (subst φ t x))
and
prv_exi_inst:
 $\wedge x \varphi t.$ 
 $x \in var \implies \varphi \in fmla \implies t \in trm \implies$ 
    prv (imp (subst φ t x) (exi x φ))
and
— The equality axioms:
prv.eql_refl:
 $\wedge x. x \in var \implies$ 
    prv (eql (Var x) (Var x))
and
prv.eql_subst:
 $\wedge \varphi x y.$ 
 $x \in var \implies y \in var \implies \varphi \in fmla \implies$ 
    prv ((imp (eql (Var x) (Var y))
        (imp φ (subst φ (Var y) x))))
begin

```

3.1.1 Properties of the propositional fragment

```

lemma prv_imp_triv:
assumes phi:  $\varphi \in fmla$  and psi:  $\psi \in fmla$ 
shows prv ψ  $\implies$  prv (imp φ ψ)
by (meson prv_imp_imp_triv prv_imp_mp imp phi psi)

lemma prv_imp_refl:
assumes phi:  $\varphi \in fmla$ 
shows prv (imp φ φ)
by (metis prv_imp_imp_triv prv_imp_mp prv_imp_trans imp phi)

lemma prv_imp_refl2:  $\varphi \in fmla \implies \psi \in fmla \implies \varphi = \psi \implies prv (imp \varphi \psi)$ 
using prv_imp_refl by auto

```

```

lemma prv_cnjI:
assumes phi:  $\varphi \in fmla$  and chi:  $\chi \in fmla$ 
shows prv  $\varphi \implies prv \chi \implies prv (\text{cnj } \varphi \chi)$ 
by (meson cnj prv_imp_cnjI prv_imp_mp imp phi chi)

lemma prv_cnjEL:
assumes phi:  $\varphi \in fmla$  and chi:  $\chi \in fmla$ 
shows prv ( $\text{cnj } \varphi \chi$ )  $\implies prv \varphi$ 
using chi phi prv_imp_cnjL prv_imp_mp by blast

lemma prv_cnjER:
assumes phi:  $\varphi \in fmla$  and chi:  $\chi \in fmla$ 
shows prv ( $\text{cnj } \varphi \chi$ )  $\implies prv \chi$ 
using chi phi prv_imp_cnjR prv_imp_mp by blast

lemma prv_prv_imp_trans:
assumes phi:  $\varphi \in fmla$  and chi:  $\chi \in fmla$  and psi:  $\psi \in fmla$ 
assumes 1: prv ( $\text{imp } \varphi \chi$ ) and 2: prv ( $\text{imp } \chi \psi$ )
shows prv ( $\text{imp } \varphi \psi$ )
proof-
  have prv ( $\text{imp } \varphi (\text{imp } \chi \psi)$ ) by (simp add: 2 chi prv_imp_triv phi psi)
  thus ?thesis by (metis 1 chi prv_imp_mp prv_imp_trans imp phi psi)
qed

lemma prv_imp_trans1:
assumes phi:  $\varphi \in fmla$  and chi:  $\chi \in fmla$  and psi:  $\psi \in fmla$ 
shows prv ( $\text{imp } (\text{imp } \chi \psi) (\text{imp } (\text{imp } \varphi \chi) (\text{imp } \varphi \psi))$ )
by (meson chi prv_prv_imp_trans prv_imp_imp_triv prv_imp_trans imp phi psi)

lemma prv_imp_com:
assumes phi:  $\varphi \in fmla$  and chi:  $\chi \in fmla$  and psi:  $\psi \in fmla$ 
assumes prv ( $\text{imp } \varphi (\text{imp } \chi \psi)$ )
shows prv ( $\text{imp } \chi (\text{imp } \varphi \psi)$ )
by (metis (no_types) assms prv_prv_imp_trans prv_imp_imp_triv prv_imp_mp prv_imp_trans imp)

lemma prv_imp_trans2:
assumes phi:  $\varphi \in fmla$  and chi:  $\chi \in fmla$  and psi:  $\psi \in fmla$ 
shows prv ( $\text{imp } (\text{imp } \varphi \chi) (\text{imp } (\text{imp } \chi \psi) (\text{imp } \varphi \psi))$ )
using prv_imp_mp prv_imp_trans prv_imp_trans1 prv_imp_imp_triv
by (meson chi prv_imp_com imp phi psi)

lemma prv_imp_cnj:
assumes  $\varphi \in fmla$  and  $\chi \in fmla$  and  $\psi \in fmla$ 
shows prv ( $\text{imp } \varphi \psi \implies prv (\text{imp } \varphi \chi \implies prv (\text{imp } \varphi (\text{cnj } \psi \chi)))$ )
proof -
  assume prv ( $\text{imp } \varphi \psi$ )
  moreover
  assume prv ( $\text{imp } \varphi \chi$ )
  then have prv ( $\text{imp } \varphi (\text{imp } \psi f)$ ) if prv ( $\text{imp } \chi f$ )  $f \in fmla$  for  $f$ 
    using that by (metis (no_types) assms imp prv_imp_imp_triv prv_prv_imp_trans)
  moreover have prv ( $\text{imp } \varphi (\text{imp } \psi \psi) \implies prv (\text{imp } \varphi (\text{imp } \varphi \psi))$ )
    using ⟨prv ( $\text{imp } \varphi \psi$ )⟩ by (metis (no_types) assms(1,3) imp prv_imp_com prv_prv_imp_trans)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (metis (no_types) assms cnj imp prv_imp_cnjI prv_imp_com prv_imp_mp prv_imp_trans)
qed

lemma prv_imp_imp_com:
assumes  $\varphi \in fmla$  and  $\chi \in fmla$  and  $\psi \in fmla$ 

```

```

shows
prv (imp (imp φ (imp χ ψ))
      (imp χ (imp φ ψ)))
by (metis (no_types) assms
     prv_prv_imp_trans prv_imp_com prv_imp_triv prv_imp_trans imp)

lemma prv_cnj_imp_monoR2:
assumes φ ∈ fmla and χ ∈ fmla and ψ ∈ fmla
assumes prv (imp φ (imp χ ψ))
shows prv (imp (cnj φ χ) ψ)
proof -
  have prv (imp (cnj φ χ) (cnj φ χ))
    using prv_imp_refl by (blast intro: assms(1-3))
  then have prv (imp (imp (cnj φ χ) (imp (cnj φ χ) ψ)) (imp (cnj φ χ) ψ))
    by (metis (no_types) cnj imp assms(1-3) prv_imp_com prv_imp_mp prv_imp_trans)
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis (no_types) imp cnj assms prv_imp_cnjL prv_imp_cnjR prv_imp_com prv_imp_mp
        prv_prv_imp_trans)
qed

lemma prv_imp_imp_imp_cnj:
assumes φ ∈ fmla and χ ∈ fmla and ψ ∈ fmla
shows
prv (imp (imp φ (imp χ ψ))
      (imp (cnj φ χ) ψ))
proof-
  have prv (imp φ (imp (imp φ (imp χ ψ)) (imp χ ψ)))
    by (simp add: assms prv_imp_com prv_imp_refl)
  hence prv (imp φ (imp χ (imp (imp φ (imp χ ψ)) ψ)))
    by (metis (no_types, lifting) assms prv_prv_imp_trans prv_imp_com imp)
  hence prv (imp (cnj φ χ)
              (imp (imp φ (imp χ ψ)) ψ))
    by (simp add: assms prv_cnj_imp_monoR2)
  thus ?thesis using assms prv_imp_com prv_imp_mp by (meson cnj imp)
qed

lemma prv_imp_cnj_imp:
assumes φ ∈ fmla and χ ∈ fmla and ψ ∈ fmla
shows
prv (imp (imp (cnj φ χ) ψ)
      (imp φ (imp χ ψ)))
  by (metis (no_types) assms cnj prv_prv_imp_trans prv_imp_cnjI prv_imp_com prv_imp_trans2
      imp)
  using assms prv_imp_cnj_imp prv_imp_mp by (meson cnj imp)

lemma prv_cnj_imp:
assumes φ ∈ fmla and χ ∈ fmla and ψ ∈ fmla
assumes prv (imp (cnj φ χ) ψ)
shows prv (imp φ (imp χ ψ))
  using assms prv_imp_cnj_imp prv_imp_mp by (meson cnj imp)

```

Monotonicity of conjunction w.r.t. implication:

```

lemma prv_cnj_imp_monoR:
assumes φ ∈ fmla and χ ∈ fmla and ψ ∈ fmla
shows prv (imp (imp φ χ) (imp (imp φ ψ) (imp φ (cnj χ ψ))))
  by (meson assms cnj imp prv_cnj_imp prv_cnj_imp_monoR2 prv_imp_cnjL prv_imp_cnjR)

lemma prv_imp_cnj3L:
assumes φ1 ∈ fmla and φ2 ∈ fmla and χ ∈ fmla

```

```

shows  $\text{prv}(\text{imp}(\text{imp} \varphi_1 \chi)(\text{imp}(\text{cnj} \varphi_1 \varphi_2) \chi))$ 
using  $\text{assms } \text{prv\_imp\_cnjL } \text{prv\_imp\_mp } \text{prv\_imp\_trans2}$ 
by (metis cnj imp)

lemma  $\text{prv\_imp\_cnj3R}$ :
assumes  $\varphi_1 \in \text{fmla}$  and  $\varphi_2 \in \text{fmla}$  and  $\chi \in \text{fmla}$ 
shows  $\text{prv}(\text{imp}(\text{imp} \varphi_2 \chi)(\text{imp}(\text{cnj} \varphi_1 \varphi_2) \chi))$ 
using  $\text{prv\_imp\_cnjR } \text{prv\_imp\_mp } \text{prv\_imp\_trans2}$ 
by (metis assms cnj imp)

lemma  $\text{prv\_cnj\_imp\_mono}$ :
assumes  $\varphi_1 \in \text{fmla}$  and  $\varphi_2 \in \text{fmla}$  and  $\chi_1 \in \text{fmla}$  and  $\chi_2 \in \text{fmla}$ 
shows  $\text{prv}(\text{imp}(\text{imp} \varphi_1 \chi_1)(\text{imp}(\text{imp} \varphi_2 \chi_2)(\text{imp}(\text{cnj} \varphi_1 \varphi_2)(\text{cnj} \chi_1 \chi_2))))$ 
proof-
have  $\text{prv}(\text{imp}(\text{imp}(\text{cnj} \varphi_1 \varphi_2) \chi_1)(\text{imp}(\text{imp}(\text{cnj} \varphi_1 \varphi_2) \chi_2)(\text{imp}(\text{cnj} \varphi_1 \varphi_2)(\text{cnj} \chi_1 \chi_2))))$ 
using  $\text{prv\_cnj\_imp\_monoR}[of \text{cnj} \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \chi_1 \chi_2] \text{ assms by auto}$ 
hence  $\text{prv}(\text{imp}(\text{imp} \varphi_1 \chi_1)(\text{imp}(\text{imp}(\text{cnj} \varphi_1 \varphi_2) \chi_2)(\text{imp}(\text{cnj} \varphi_1 \varphi_2)(\text{cnj} \chi_1 \chi_2))))$ 
by (metis (no_types) imp cnj assms prv_imp_cnj3L prv_prv_imp_trans)
hence  $\text{prv}(\text{imp}(\text{imp}(\text{cnj} \varphi_1 \varphi_2) \chi_2)(\text{imp}(\text{imp} \varphi_1 \chi_1)(\text{imp}(\text{cnj} \varphi_1 \varphi_2)(\text{cnj} \chi_1 \chi_2))))$ 
using  $\text{prv\_imp\_com assms by (meson cnj imp)}$ 
hence  $\text{prv}(\text{imp}(\text{imp} \varphi_2 \chi_2)(\text{imp}(\text{imp} \varphi_1 \chi_1)(\text{imp}(\text{cnj} \varphi_1 \varphi_2)(\text{cnj} \chi_1 \chi_2))))$ 
using  $\text{prv\_imp\_cnj3R } \text{prv\_imp\_mp } \text{prv\_imp\_trans1}$ 
by (metis (no_types) assms cnj prv_prv_imp_trans imp)
thus ?thesis using  $\text{prv\_imp\_com assms}$ 
by (meson cnj imp)
qed

lemma  $\text{prv\_cnj\_mono}$ :
assumes  $\varphi_1 \in \text{fmla}$  and  $\varphi_2 \in \text{fmla}$  and  $\chi_1 \in \text{fmla}$  and  $\chi_2 \in \text{fmla}$ 
assumes  $\text{prv}(\text{imp} \varphi_1 \chi_1)$  and  $\text{prv}(\text{imp} \varphi_2 \chi_2)$ 
shows  $\text{prv}(\text{imp}(\text{cnj} \varphi_1 \varphi_2)(\text{cnj} \chi_1 \chi_2))$ 
using  $\text{assms } \text{prv\_cnj\_imp\_mono } \text{prv\_imp\_mp}$ 
by (metis (mono_tags) cnj prv_prv_imp_trans prv_imp_cnjL prv_imp_cnjR)

lemma  $\text{prv\_cnj\_imp\_monoR4}$ :
assumes  $\varphi \in \text{fmla}$  and  $\chi \in \text{fmla}$  and  $\psi_1 \in \text{fmla}$  and  $\psi_2 \in \text{fmla}$ 
shows
 $\text{prv}(\text{imp}(\text{imp} \varphi (\text{imp} \chi \psi_1)))$ 
 $(\text{imp}(\text{imp} \varphi (\text{imp} \chi \psi_2))(\text{imp} \varphi (\text{imp} \chi (\text{cnj} \psi_1 \psi_2))))$ 
by (simp add: assms prv_cnj_imp prv_imp_cnj prv_imp_cnjL prv_imp_cnjR prv_cnj_imp_monoR2)

lemma  $\text{prv\_imp\_cnj4}$ :
assumes  $\varphi \in \text{fmla}$  and  $\chi \in \text{fmla}$  and  $\psi_1 \in \text{fmla}$  and  $\psi_2 \in \text{fmla}$ 
shows  $\text{prv}(\text{imp} \varphi (\text{imp} \chi \psi_1)) \implies \text{prv}(\text{imp} \varphi (\text{imp} \chi \psi_2)) \implies \text{prv}(\text{imp} \varphi (\text{imp} \chi (\text{cnj} \psi_1 \psi_2)))$ 
by (simp add: assms prv_cnj_imp prv_imp_cnj prv_cnj_imp_monoR2)

lemma  $\text{prv\_cnj\_imp\_monoR5}$ :
assumes  $\varphi_1 \in \text{fmla}$  and  $\varphi_2 \in \text{fmla}$  and  $\chi_1 \in \text{fmla}$  and  $\chi_2 \in \text{fmla}$ 
shows  $\text{prv}(\text{imp}(\text{imp} \varphi_1 \chi_1)(\text{imp}(\text{imp} \varphi_2 \chi_2)(\text{imp} \varphi_1 (\text{imp} \varphi_2 (\text{cnj} \chi_1 \chi_2)))))$ 
proof-
have  $\text{prv}(\text{imp}(\text{imp} \varphi_1 \chi_1)(\text{imp}(\text{imp} \varphi_2 \chi_2)(\text{imp}(\text{cnj} \varphi_1 \varphi_2)(\text{cnj} \chi_1 \chi_2))))$ 
using  $\text{prv\_cnj\_imp\_mono}[of \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \chi_1 \chi_2] \text{ assms by auto}$ 
hence  $\text{prv}(\text{imp}(\text{imp} \varphi_1 \chi_1)(\text{imp}(\text{cnj} \varphi_1 \varphi_2)(\text{imp}(\text{imp} \varphi_2 \chi_2)(\text{cnj} \chi_1 \chi_2))))$ 
by (metis (no_types, lifting) assms cnj imp prv_imp_imp_com prv_prv_imp_trans)
hence  $\text{prv}(\text{imp}(\text{imp} \varphi_1 \chi_1)(\text{imp} \varphi_1 (\text{imp} \varphi_2 (\text{imp}(\text{imp} \varphi_2 \chi_2)(\text{cnj} \chi_1 \chi_2)))))$ 
using  $\text{prv\_imp\_cnj\_imp } \text{prv\_imp\_mp } \text{prv\_imp\_trans2}$ 
by (metis (mono_tags) assms cnj prv_prv_imp_trans imp)
hence 1:  $\text{prv}(\text{imp}(\text{imp} \varphi_1 \chi_1)(\text{imp} \varphi_1 (\text{imp}(\text{imp} \varphi_2 \chi_2)(\text{imp} \varphi_2 (\text{cnj} \chi_1 \chi_2)))))$ 

```

```

using prv_cnj_imp prv_imp_cnjR prv_imp_mp prv_imp_trans1
by (metis (no_types) assms cnj prv_cnj_imp_monoR prv_prv_imp_trans prv_imp_imp_triv imp)
thus ?thesis
  by (metis (no_types, lifting) assms cnj imp prv_prv_imp_trans prv_imp_imp_com)
qed

lemma prv_imp_cnj5:
assumes φ1 ∈ fmla and φ2 ∈ fmla and χ1 ∈ fmla and χ2 ∈ fmla
assumes prv (imp φ1 χ1) and prv (imp φ2 χ2)
shows prv (imp φ1 (imp φ2 (cnj χ1 χ2)))
  by (simp add: assms prv_cnj_imp prv_cnj_mono)

```

Properties of formula equivalence:

```

lemma prv_eqv_imp:
assumes φ ∈ fmla and χ ∈ fmla
shows prv (imp (eqv φ χ) (eqv χ φ))
  by (simp add: assms prv_imp_cnj prv_imp_cnjL prv_imp_cnjR eqv_def)

lemma prv_eqv_eqv:
assumes φ ∈ fmla and χ ∈ fmla
shows prv (eqv (eqv φ χ) (eqv χ φ))
  using assms prv_cnjI prv_eqv_imp eqv_def by auto

lemma prv_imp_eqvEL:
φ1 ∈ fmla ==> φ2 ∈ fmla ==> prv (eqv φ1 φ2) ==> prv (imp φ1 φ2)
  unfolding eqv_def by (meson cnj imp prv_imp_cnjL prv_imp_mp)

lemma prv_imp_eqvER:
φ1 ∈ fmla ==> φ2 ∈ fmla ==> prv (eqv φ1 φ2) ==> prv (imp φ2 φ1)
  unfolding eqv_def by (meson cnj imp prv_imp_cnjR prv_imp_mp)

lemma prv_eqv_imp_trans:
assumes φ ∈ fmla and χ ∈ fmla and ψ ∈ fmla
shows prv (imp (eqv φ χ) (imp (eqv χ ψ) (eqv φ ψ)))
proof-
  have prv (imp (eqv φ χ) (imp (imp χ ψ) (imp φ ψ)))
  using assms prv_imp_cnjL prv_imp_mp prv_imp_trans2 eqv_def
  by (metis prv_imp_cnj3L eqv_imp)
  hence prv (imp (eqv φ χ) (imp (eqv χ ψ) (imp φ ψ)))
    using prv_imp_cnjL prv_imp_mp prv_imp_trans2 eqv_def
    by (metis (no_types) assms prv_imp_cnj3L prv_imp_com eqv_imp)
  hence 1: prv (imp (cnj (eqv φ χ) (eqv χ ψ)) (imp φ ψ))
    using prv_cnj_imp_monoR2
    by (simp add: assms(1) assms(2) assms(3))
  have prv (imp (eqv φ χ) (imp (imp ψ χ) (imp ψ φ)))
    using prv_imp_cnjR prv_imp_mp prv_imp_trans1 eqv_def
    by (metis assms prv_cnj_imp_monoR2 prv_imp_triv imp)
  hence prv (imp (eqv φ χ) (imp (eqv χ ψ) (imp ψ φ)))
    by (metis assms cnj eqv_def imp prv_imp_cnj3R prv_prv_imp_trans)
  hence 2: prv (imp (cnj (eqv φ χ) (eqv χ ψ)) (imp ψ φ))
    using prv_cnj_imp_monoR2
    by (metis (no_types, lifting) assms eqv_imp)
  have prv (imp (cnj (eqv φ χ) (eqv χ ψ)) (eqv φ ψ))
    using 1 2 using assms prv_imp_cnj by (auto simp: eqv_def[of φ ψ])
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: assms prv_cnj_imp)
qed

```

```

lemma prv_equiv_cnj_trans:
assumes φ ∈ fmla and χ ∈ fmla and ψ ∈ fmla
shows prv (imp (cnj (equiv φ χ) (equiv χ ψ)) (equiv φ ψ))
by (simp add: assms prv_equiv_imp_trans prv_cnj_imp_monoR2)

lemma prv_equivI:
assumes φ ∈ fmla and χ ∈ fmla
assumes prv (imp φ χ) and prv (imp χ φ)
shows prv (equiv φ χ)
by (simp add: assms equiv_def prv_cnjI)

Formula equivalence is a congruence (i.e., an equivalence that is compatible with the other connectives):

lemma prv_equiv_refl: φ ∈ fmla ==> prv (equiv φ φ)
by (simp add: prv_cnjI prv_imp_refl equiv_def)

lemma prv_equiv_sym:
assumes φ ∈ fmla and χ ∈ fmla
shows prv (equiv φ χ) ==> prv (equiv χ φ)
using assms prv_cnjI prv_cnj_imp_monoR2 prv_imp_mp prv_imp_trans1 prv_imp_triv equiv_def
by (meson prv_equiv_imp equiv)

lemma prv_equiv_trans:
assumes φ ∈ fmla and χ ∈ fmla and ψ ∈ fmla
shows prv (equiv φ χ) ==> prv (equiv χ ψ) ==> prv (equiv φ ψ)
using assms prv_cnjI prv_cnj_imp_monoR2 prv_imp_mp prv_imp_trans1 prv_imp_triv equiv_def
by (metis prv_prv_imp_trans prv_imp_cnjL prv_imp_cnjR equiv imp)

lemma imp_imp_compat_equivL:
assumes φ1 ∈ fmla and φ2 ∈ fmla and χ ∈ fmla
shows prv (imp (equiv φ1 φ2) (equiv (imp φ1 χ) (imp φ2 χ)))
proof -
have f: prv (imp (equiv φ1 φ2) (equiv (imp φ1 χ) (imp φ2 χ)))
if prv (imp (equiv φ1 φ2) (imp (imp φ2 χ) (imp φ1 χ))) prv (imp (equiv φ1 φ2) (imp (imp φ1 χ) (imp φ2 χ)))
using assms that prv_imp_cnj by (auto simp: equiv_def)
moreover have (prv (imp (equiv φ1 φ2) (imp φ1 φ2)) ∧ prv (imp (equiv φ1 φ2) (imp φ2 φ1)))
by (simp add: assms equiv_def prv_imp_cnjL prv_imp_cnjR)
ultimately show ?thesis
by (metis (no_types) assms equiv imp prv_imp_trans2 prv_prv_imp_trans)
qed

lemma imp_imp_compat_equivR:
assumes φ ∈ fmla and χ1 ∈ fmla and χ2 ∈ fmla
shows prv (imp (equiv χ1 χ2) (equiv (imp φ χ1) (imp φ χ2)))
by (simp add: assms prv_cnj_mono prv_imp_trans1 equiv_def)

lemma imp_imp_compat_equiv:
assumes φ1 ∈ fmla and φ2 ∈ fmla and χ1 ∈ fmla and χ2 ∈ fmla
shows prv (imp (equiv φ1 φ2) (imp (equiv χ1 χ2) (equiv (imp φ1 χ1) (imp φ2 χ2))))
proof -
have prv (imp (equiv φ1 φ2) (imp (equiv χ1 χ2) (cnj (equiv (imp φ1 χ1) (imp φ2 χ1)) (equiv (imp φ2 χ1) (imp φ2 χ2)))))
using prv_imp_cnj5
[OF _ _ _ _ _ imp_imp_compat_equivL[of φ1 φ2 χ1] imp_imp_compat_equivR[of φ2 χ1 χ2]] assms
by auto
hence prv (imp (cnj (equiv φ1 φ2) (equiv χ1 χ2)) (cnj (equiv (imp φ1 χ1) (imp φ2 χ1)) (equiv (imp φ2 χ1) (imp φ2 χ2))))

```

```

by(simp add: assms prv_cnj_imp_monoR2)
hence prv (imp (cnj (eqv φ1 φ2) (eqv χ1 χ2)) (eqv (imp φ1 χ1) (imp φ2 χ2)))
  using assms prv_eqv_cnj_trans[of imp φ1 χ1 imp φ2 χ1 imp φ2 χ2]
  using prv_imp_mp prv_imp_trans2
  by (metis (no_types) cnj prv_prv_imp_trans eqv imp)
thus ?thesis using assms prv_cnj_imp by auto
qed

lemma imp_compat_eqvL:
assumes φ1 ∈ fmla and φ2 ∈ fmla and χ ∈ fmla
assumes prv (eqv φ1 φ2)
shows prv (eqv (imp φ1 χ) (imp φ2 χ))
using assms prv_imp_mp imp_imp_compat_eqvL by (meson eqv imp)

lemma imp_compat_eqvR:
assumes φ ∈ fmla and χ1 ∈ fmla and χ2 ∈ fmla
assumes prv (eqv χ1 χ2)
shows prv (eqv (imp φ χ1) (imp φ χ2))
using assms prv_imp_mp imp_imp_compat_eqvR by (meson eqv imp)

lemma imp_compat_eqv:
assumes φ1 ∈ fmla and φ2 ∈ fmla and χ1 ∈ fmla and χ2 ∈ fmla
assumes prv (eqv φ1 φ2) and prv (eqv χ1 χ2)
shows prv (eqv (imp φ1 χ1) (imp φ2 χ2))
using assms prv_imp_mp imp_imp_compat_eqv by (metis eqv imp)

lemma imp_cnj_compat_eqvL:
assumes φ1 ∈ fmla and φ2 ∈ fmla and χ ∈ fmla
shows prv (imp (eqv φ1 φ2) (eqv (cnj φ1 χ) (cnj φ2 χ)))
proof -
  have prv (imp (imp (imp φ2 φ1) (imp (cnj φ2 χ) (cnj φ1 χ))) (imp (cnj (imp φ1 φ2) (imp φ2 φ1)) (cnj (imp (cnj φ1 χ) (cnj φ2 χ)))) (imp (cnj φ2 χ) (cnj φ1 χ))))))
  by (metis (no_types) imp cnj assms prv_imp_mp assms prv_cnj_imp_mono prv_imp_com prv_imp_refl)
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis (no_types) imp cnj assms prv_imp_mp assms eqv_def prv_cnj_imp_mono prv_imp_com
prv_imp_refl)
qed

lemma imp_cnj_compat_eqvR:
assumes φ ∈ fmla and χ1 ∈ fmla and χ2 ∈ fmla
shows prv (imp (eqv χ1 χ2) (eqv (cnj φ χ1) (cnj φ χ2)))
  by (simp add: assms prv_cnj_mono prv_imp_cnj3R prv_imp_cnj4 prv_imp_cnjL prv_imp_triv
eqv_def)

lemma imp_cnj_compat_eqv:
assumes φ1 ∈ fmla and φ2 ∈ fmla and χ1 ∈ fmla and χ2 ∈ fmla
shows prv (imp (eqv φ1 φ2) (imp (eqv χ1 χ2) (eqv (cnj φ1 χ1) (cnj φ2 χ2)))))
proof-
  have prv (imp (eqv φ1 φ2) (imp (eqv χ1 χ2) (cnj (eqv (cnj φ1 χ1) (cnj φ2 χ1)) (eqv (cnj φ2 χ1) (cnj φ2 χ2))))))
  using prv_imp_cnj5
  [OF ____ imp_cnj_compat_eqvL[of φ1 φ2 χ1] imp_cnj_compat_eqvR[of φ2 χ1 χ2]] assms by
auto
  hence prv (imp (cnj (eqv φ1 φ2) (eqv χ1 χ2)) (cnj (eqv (cnj φ1 χ1) (cnj φ2 χ1)) (eqv (cnj φ2 χ1) (cnj φ2 χ2)))))


```

```

by(simp add: assms prv_cnj_imp_monoR2)
hence prv (imp (cnj (eqv φ1 φ2) (eqv χ1 χ2)) (eqv (cnj φ1 χ1) (cnj φ2 χ2)))
  using assms prv_eqv_cnj_trans[of cnj φ1 χ1 cnj φ2 χ1 cnj φ2 χ2]
  using prv_imp_mp prv_imp_trans2
  by (metis (no_types) cnj prv_prv_imp_trans eqv)
thus ?thesis using assms prv_cnj_imp by auto
qed

lemma cnj_compat_eqvL:
assumes φ1 ∈ fmla and φ2 ∈ fmla and χ ∈ fmla
assumes prv (eqv φ1 φ2)
shows prv (eqv (cnj φ1 χ) (cnj φ2 χ))
using assms prv_imp_mp imp_cnj_compat_eqvL by (meson eqv cnj)

lemma cnj_compat_eqvR:
assumes φ ∈ fmla and χ1 ∈ fmla and χ2 ∈ fmla
assumes prv (eqv χ1 χ2)
shows prv (eqv (cnj φ χ1) (cnj φ χ2))
using assms prv_imp_mp imp_cnj_compat_eqvR by (meson eqv cnj)

lemma cnj_compat_eqv:
assumes φ1 ∈ fmla and φ2 ∈ fmla and χ1 ∈ fmla and χ2 ∈ fmla
assumes prv (eqv φ1 φ2) and prv (eqv χ1 χ2)
shows prv (eqv (cnj φ1 χ1) (cnj φ2 χ2))
using assms prv_imp_mp imp_cnj_compat_eqv by (metis eqv imp cnj)

lemma prv_eqv_prv:
assumes φ ∈ fmla and χ ∈ fmla
assumes prv φ and prv (eqv φ χ)
shows prv χ
by (metis assms prv_imp_cnjL prv_imp_mp eqv eqv_def imp)

lemma prv_eqv_prv_rev:
assumes φ ∈ fmla and χ ∈ fmla
assumes prv φ and prv (eqv χ φ)
shows prv χ
using assms prv_eqv_prv prv_eqv_sym by blast

lemma prv_imp_eqv_transi:
assumes φ ∈ fmla and χ1 ∈ fmla and χ2 ∈ fmla
assumes prv (imp φ χ1) and prv (eqv χ1 χ2)
shows prv (imp φ χ2)
by (meson assms imp_imp_compat_eqvR prv_eqv_prv)

lemma prv_imp_eqv_transi_rev:
assumes φ ∈ fmla and χ1 ∈ fmla and χ2 ∈ fmla
assumes prv (imp φ χ2) and prv (eqv χ1 χ2)
shows prv (imp φ χ1)
by (meson assms prv_eqv_sym prv_imp_eqv_transi)

lemma prv_eqv_imp_transi:
assumes φ1 ∈ fmla and φ2 ∈ fmla and χ ∈ fmla
assumes prv (eqv φ1 φ2) and prv (imp φ2 χ)
shows prv (imp φ1 χ)
by (meson assms prv_imp_eqv_transi prv_imp_refl prv_prv_imp_trans)

lemma prv_eqv_imp_transi_rev:
assumes φ1 ∈ fmla and φ2 ∈ fmla and χ ∈ fmla

```

```

assumes prv (eqv φ1 φ2) and prv (imp φ1 χ)
shows prv (imp φ2 χ)
by (meson assms prv_eqv_imp_transi prv_eqv_sym)

lemma prv_imp_monoL: φ ∈ fmla ⇒ χ ∈ fmla ⇒ ψ ∈ fmla ⇒
prv (imp χ ψ) ⇒ prv (imp (imp φ χ) (imp φ ψ))
by (meson imp prv_imp_mp prv_imp_trans1)

lemma prv_imp_monoR: φ ∈ fmla ⇒ χ ∈ fmla ⇒ ψ ∈ fmla ⇒
prv (imp ψ χ) ⇒ prv (imp (imp χ φ) (imp ψ φ))
by (meson imp prv_imp_mp prv_imp_trans2)

More properties involving conjunction:

lemma prv_cnj_com_imp:
assumes φ[simp]: φ ∈ fmla and χ[simp]: χ ∈ fmla
shows prv (imp (cnj φ χ) (cnj χ φ))
by (simp add: prv_imp_cnj prv_imp_cnjL prv_imp_cnjR)

lemma prv_cnj_com:
assumes φ[simp]: φ ∈ fmla and χ[simp]: χ ∈ fmla
shows prv (eqv (cnj φ χ) (cnj χ φ))
by (simp add: prv_cnj_com_imp prv_eqvI)

lemma prv_cnj_assoc_imp1:
assumes φ[simp]: φ ∈ fmla and χ[simp]: χ ∈ fmla and ψ[simp]: ψ ∈ fmla
shows prv (imp (cnj φ (cnj χ ψ)) (cnj (cnj φ χ) ψ))
by (simp add: prv_cnj_imp_monoR2 prv_imp_cnj prv_imp_cnjL prv_imp_cnjR prv_imp_triv)

lemma prv_cnj_assoc_imp2:
assumes φ[simp]: φ ∈ fmla and χ[simp]: χ ∈ fmla and ψ[simp]: ψ ∈ fmla
shows prv (imp (cnj (cnj φ χ) ψ) (cnj φ (cnj χ ψ)))
proof -
have prv (imp (cnj φ χ) (imp ψ φ)) ∧ cnj χ ψ ∈ fmla ∧ cnj φ χ ∈ fmla
by (meson χ φ ψ cnj imp prv_cnj_imp_monoR2 prv_imp_imp_triv prv_prv_imp_trans)
then show ?thesis
using χ φ ψ cnj imp prv_cnj_imp_monoR2 prv_imp_cnj4 prv_imp_cnjI prv_imp_triv by pres-
burger
qed

lemma prv_cnj_assoc:
assumes φ[simp]: φ ∈ fmla and χ[simp]: χ ∈ fmla and ψ[simp]: ψ ∈ fmla
shows prv (eqv (cnj φ (cnj χ ψ)) (cnj (cnj φ χ) ψ))
by (simp add: prv_cnj_assoc_imp1 prv_cnj_assoc_imp2 prv_eqvI)

lemma prv_cnj_com_imp3:
assumes φ1 ∈ fmla φ2 ∈ fmla φ3 ∈ fmla
shows prv (imp (cnj φ1 (cnj φ2 φ3)))
      (cnj φ2 (cnj φ1 φ3)))
by (simp add: assms prv_cnj_imp_monoR2 prv_imp_cnj prv_imp_cnjL prv_imp_refl prv_imp_triv)

```

3.1.2 Properties involving quantifiers

Fundamental properties:

```

lemma prv_allE:
assumes x ∈ var and φ ∈ fmla and t ∈ trm
shows prv (all x φ) ⇒ prv (subst φ t x)
using assms prv_all_inst prv_imp_mp by (meson subst all)

```

```

lemma prv_exiI:
  assumes  $x \in var$  and  $\varphi \in fmla$  and  $t \in trm$ 
  shows  $prv(subst \varphi t x) \implies prv(exi x \varphi)$ 
  using assms prv_exi_inst prv_imp_mp by (meson subst exi)

lemma prv_imp_imp_exi:
  assumes  $x \in var$  and  $\varphi \in fmla$  and  $\chi \in fmla$ 
  assumes  $x \notin Fvars \varphi$ 
  shows  $prv(imp(exi x (imp \varphi \chi)) (imp \varphi (exi x \chi)))$ 
  using assms imp_exi_Fvars_exi_Fvars_imp_Un_iff assms prv_exi_imp_gen prv_exi_inst prv_imp_mp
            prv_imp_trans1 member_remove remove_def subst_same_Var by (metis (full_types) Var)

lemma prv_imp_exi:
  assumes  $x \in var$  and  $\varphi \in fmla$  and  $\chi \in fmla$ 
  shows  $x \notin Fvars \varphi \implies prv(exi x (imp \varphi \chi)) \implies prv(imp \varphi (exi x \chi))$ 
  using assms prv_imp_imp_exi prv_imp_mp by (meson exi imp)

lemma prv_exi_imp:
  assumes  $x: x \in var$  and  $\varphi \in fmla$  and  $\chi \in fmla$ 
  assumes  $x \notin Fvars \varphi$  and  $d: prv(all x (imp \varphi \chi))$ 
  shows  $prv(imp(exi x \varphi) \chi)$ 
proof-
  have  $prv(imp \varphi \chi)$  using prv_allE[of  $x$  _ Var  $x$ , of  $imp \varphi \chi$ ] assms by simp
  thus ?thesis using assms prv_exi_imp_gen by blast
qed

lemma prv_all_imp:
  assumes  $x: x \in var$  and  $\varphi \in fmla$  and  $\chi \in fmla$ 
  assumes  $x \notin Fvars \varphi$  and  $prv(all x (imp \varphi \chi))$ 
  shows  $prv(imp \varphi (all x \chi))$ 
proof-
  have  $prv(imp \varphi \chi)$  using prv_allE[of  $x$  _ Var  $x$ , of  $imp \varphi \chi$ ] assms by simp
  thus ?thesis using assms prv_all_imp_gen by blast
qed

lemma prv_exi_inst_same:
  assumes  $\varphi \in fmla$   $\chi \in fmla$   $x \in var$ 
  shows  $prv(imp \varphi (exi x \varphi))$ 
proof-
  have  $0: \varphi = subst \varphi (Var x) x$  using assms by simp
  show ?thesis
    apply(subst 0)
    using assms by (intro prv_exi_inst) auto
qed

lemma prv_exi_cong:
  assumes  $\varphi \in fmla$   $\chi \in fmla$   $x \in var$ 
  and  $prv(imp \varphi \chi)$ 
  shows  $prv(imp(exi x \varphi) (exi x \chi))$ 
proof-
  have  $0: prv(imp \chi (exi x \chi))$  using assms prv_exi_inst_same by auto
  show ?thesis
    using assms apply(intro prv_exi_imp_gen)
    subgoal by auto
    subgoal by auto
    subgoal by auto
    subgoal by auto
    subgoal using 0 exi prv_prv_imp_trans by blast .

```

qed

```

lemma prv_exi_congW:
  assumes  $\varphi \in fmla \chi \in fmla x \in var$ 
    and  $prv(\text{imp } \varphi \chi) \text{ prv } (\text{exi } x \varphi)$ 
  shows  $prv(\text{exi } x \chi)$ 
  by (meson exi assms prv_exi_cong prv_imp_mp)

lemma prv_all_cong:
  assumes  $\varphi \in fmla \chi \in fmla x \in var$ 
    and  $prv(\text{imp } \varphi \chi)$ 
  shows  $prv(\text{imp } (\text{all } x \varphi) (\text{all } x \chi))$ 
proof-
  have 0:  $prv(\text{imp } (\text{all } x \varphi) \chi)$  using assms
    by (metis Var all prv_all_inst prv_prv_imp_trans subst_same_Var)
  show ?thesis by (simp add: 0 assms prv_all_imp_gen)
qed

lemma prv_all_congW:
  assumes  $\varphi \in fmla \chi \in fmla x \in var$ 
    and  $prv(\text{imp } \varphi \chi) \text{ prv } (\text{all } x \varphi)$ 
  shows  $prv(\text{all } x \chi)$ 
  by (meson all assms prv_all_cong prv_imp_mp)

```

Quantifiers versus free variables and substitution:

```

lemma exists_no_Fvars:  $\exists \varphi. \varphi \in fmla \wedge prv \varphi \wedge Fvars \varphi = \{\}$ 
proof-
  obtain n where [simp]:  $n \in num$  using numNE by blast
  show ?thesis
    by (intro exI[of _ imp (eql n n) (eql n n)]) (simp add: prv_imp_refl)
qed

lemma prv_all_gen:
  assumes  $x \in var$  and  $\varphi \in fmla$ 
  assumes  $prv \varphi$  shows  $prv(\text{all } x \varphi)$ 
  using assms prv_all_imp_gen prv_imp_mp prv_imp_triv exists_no_Fvars by blast

lemma all_subst_rename_prv:
   $\varphi \in fmla \implies x \in var \implies y \in var \implies$ 
   $y \notin Fvars \varphi \implies prv(\text{all } x \varphi) \implies prv(\text{all } y (\text{subst } \varphi (\text{Var } y) x))$ 
  by (simp add: prv_allE prv_all_gen)

lemma allE_id:
  assumes  $y \in var$  and  $\varphi \in fmla$ 
  assumes  $prv(\text{all } y \varphi)$ 
  shows  $prv \varphi$ 
  using assms prv_allE by (metis Var subst_same_Var)

lemma prv_subst:
  assumes  $x \in var$  and  $\varphi \in fmla$  and  $t \in trm$ 
  shows  $prv \varphi \implies prv(\text{subst } \varphi t x)$ 
  by (simp add: assms prv_allE prv_all_gen)

lemma prv_rawpsubst:
  assumes  $\varphi \in fmla$  and  $snd ` (set txs) \subseteq var$  and  $fst ` (set txs) \subseteq trm$ 
    and  $prv \varphi$ 
  shows  $prv(\text{rawpsubst } \varphi txs)$ 
  using assms apply (induct txs arbitrary:  $\varphi$ )

```

```

subgoal by auto
subgoal for tx txs φ by (cases tx) (auto intro: prv_subst) .

lemma prv_psubst:
  assumes φ ∈ fmla and snd ` (set txs) ⊆ var and fst ` (set txs) ⊆ trm
    and prv φ
  shows prv (psubst φ txs)
proof-
  define us where us: us ≡ getFrN (map snd txs) (map fst txs) [φ] (length txs)
  have us_facts: set us ⊆ var
    set us ∩ Fvars φ = {}
    set us ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ` (fst ` (set txs))) = {}
    set us ∩ snd ` (set txs) = {}
    length us = length txs
    distinct us
  using assms unfolding us
  using getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd txs map fst txs [φ] _ length txs]
    getFrN_Fvars[of map snd txs map fst txs [φ] _ length txs]
    getFrN_var[of map snd txs map fst txs [φ] _ length txs]
    getFrN_length[of map snd txs map fst txs [φ] length txs]
    getFrN_length[of map snd txs map fst txs [φ] length txs]
    apply -
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by fastforce
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)
  by auto
  show ?thesis using assms us_facts unfolding psubst_def
    by (auto simp: Let_def us[symmetric] intro!: prv_rawpsubst rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
qed

lemma prv_eqv_rawpsubst:
  φ ∈ fmla ==> ψ ∈ fmla ==> snd ` set txs ⊆ var ==> fst ` set txs ⊆ trm ==> prv (eqv φ ψ) ==>
  prv (eqv (rawpsubst φ txs) (rawpsubst ψ txs))
  by (metis eqv prv_rawpsubst rawpsubst_eqv)

lemma prv_eqv_psubst:
  φ ∈ fmla ==> ψ ∈ fmla ==> snd ` set txs ⊆ var ==> fst ` set txs ⊆ trm ==> prv (eqv φ ψ) ==>
  distinct (map snd txs) ==>
  prv (eqv (psubst φ txs) (psubst ψ txs))
  by (metis eqv prv_psubst psubst_eqv)

lemma prv_all_imp_trans:
  assumes x ∈ var and φ ∈ fmla and χ ∈ fmla and ψ ∈ fmla
  shows prv (all x (imp φ χ)) ==> prv (all x (imp χ ψ)) ==> prv (all x (imp φ ψ))
  by (metis Var assms prv_allE prv_all_gen prv_prv_imp_trans imp_subst_same_Var)

lemma prv_all_imp_cnj:
  assumes x ∈ var and φ ∈ fmla and χ ∈ fmla and ψ ∈ fmla
  shows prv (all x (imp φ (imp ψ χ))) ==> prv (all x (imp (cnj ψ φ) χ))
  by (metis Var assms cnj prv_allE prv_all_gen prv_imp_com prv_cnj_imp_monoR2 imp_subst_same_Var)

lemma prv_all_imp_cnj_rev:
  assumes x ∈ var and φ ∈ fmla and χ ∈ fmla and ψ ∈ fmla
  shows prv (all x (imp (cnj φ ψ) χ)) ==> prv (all x (imp φ (imp ψ χ)))
  by (metis (full_types) Var assms cnj prv_allE prv_all_gen prv_cnj_imp_imp_subst_same_Var)

```

3.1.3 Properties concerning equality

```

lemma prv_eql_reflT:
  assumes t: t ∈ trm
  shows prv (eql t t)
proof-
  obtain x where x: x ∈ var using var_NE by auto
  show ?thesis using prv_subst[OF x _ t prv_eql_refl[OF x]] x t by simp
qed

lemma prv_eql_subst_trm:
  assumes xx: x ∈ var and φ: φ ∈ fmla and t1 ∈ trm and t2 ∈ trm
  shows prv ((imp (eql t1 t2)
              (imp (subst φ t1 x) (subst φ t2 x))))
proof-
  have finite ({x} ∪ FvarsT t1 ∪ FvarsT t2 ∪ Fvars φ) (is finite ?A)
    by (simp add: assmss finite_FvarsT finite_Fvars)
  then obtain y where y: y ∈ var and y ∉ ?A
    by (meson finite_subset infinite_var_subset_iff)
  hence xy: x ≠ y and yt1: y ∉ FvarsT t1 and yt2: y ∉ FvarsT t2 and yφ: y ∉ Fvars φ by auto
  have x: x ∉ Fvars (subst φ (Var y) x) using xy y assms by simp
  hence 1: prv (imp (eql t1 (Var y)) (imp (subst φ t1 x) (subst φ (Var y) x)))
    using xy y assms prv_subst[OF xx _ _ prv_eql_subst[OF xx y φ]] by simp
  have yy: y ∉ Fvars (subst φ t1 x) using yt1 yφ assms by simp
  from prv_subst[OF y _ _ 1, of t2]
  show ?thesis using xy yt1 yt2 yφ x xx y yy assms by auto
qed

lemma prv_eql_subst_trm2:
  assumes x ∈ var and φ ∈ fmla and t1 ∈ trm and t2 ∈ trm
  assumes prv (eql t1 t2)
  shows prv (imp (subst φ t1 x) (subst φ t2 x))
  by (meson assmss eql imp local.subst prv_eql_subst_trm prv_imp_mp)

lemma prv_eql_sym:
  assumes [simp]: t1 ∈ trm t2 ∈ trm
  shows prv (imp (eql t1 t2) (eql t2 t1))
proof-
  obtain x where x[simp]: x ∈ var x ∉ FvarsT t1
    by (meson assmss finite_FvarsT finite_subset infinite_var_subsetI)
  thus ?thesis using prv_eql_subst_trm[of x eql (Var x) t1 t1 t2, simplified]
    by (meson assmss eql imp prv_eql_reflT prv_imp_com prv_imp_mp)
qed

lemma prv_prv_eql_sym: t1 ∈ trm ==> t2 ∈ trm ==> prv (eql t1 t2) ==> prv (eql t2 t1)
  by (meson eql prv_eql_sym prv_imp_mp)

lemma prv_all_eql:
  assumes x ∈ var and y ∈ var and φ ∈ fmla and t1 ∈ trm and t2 ∈ trm
  shows prv (all x ((imp (eql t1 t2)
                  (imp (subst φ t2 y) (subst φ t1 y)))))

  by (meson subst assmss prv_all_gen prv_prv_imp_trans prv_eql_subst_trm prv_eql_sym eql imp)

lemma prv_eql_subst_trm_rev:
  assumes t1 ∈ trm and t2 ∈ trm and φ ∈ fmla and y ∈ var
  shows
    prv ((imp (eql t1 t2)
          (imp (subst φ t2 y) (subst φ t1 y))))
  using assmss prv_all_eql prv_all_inst prv_imp_mp subst_same_Var

```

```

by (meson subst prv_prv_imp_trans prv.eql_subst_trm prv.eql_sym eql imp)

lemma prv.eql_subst_trm_rev2:
assumes x ∈ var and φ ∈ fmla and t1 ∈ trm and t2 ∈ trm
assumes prv (eql t1 t2)
shows prv (imp (subst φ t2 x) (subst φ t1 x))
by (meson assms eql imp local.subst prv.eql_subst_trm_rev prv_imp_mp)

lemma prv.eql_subst_trm_eqv:
assumes x ∈ var and φ ∈ fmla and t1 ∈ trm and t2 ∈ trm
assumes prv (eql t1 t2)
shows prv (eqv (subst φ t1 x) (subst φ t2 x))
using assms prv.eql_subst_trm2[OF assms] prv.eql_subst_trm_rev2[OF assms]
prv_eqvI by auto

lemma prv.eql_subst_trm_id:
assumes y ∈ var φ ∈ fmla and n ∈ num
shows prv (imp (eql (Var y) n) (imp φ (subst φ n y)))
using assms prv.eql_subst_trm
by (metis Var_in_num subst_same_Var)

lemma prv.eql_subst_trm_id_back:
assumes y ∈ var φ ∈ fmla and n ∈ num
shows prv (imp (eql (Var y) n) (imp (subst φ n y) φ))
by (metis Var_assms_in_num prv.eql_subst_trm_rev subst_same_Var)

lemma prv.eql_subst_trm_id_rev:
assumes y ∈ var φ ∈ fmla and n ∈ num
shows prv (imp (eql n (Var y)) (imp φ (subst φ n y)))
using assms prv.eql_subst_trm_rev by (metis Var_in_num subst_same_Var)

lemma prv.eql_subst_trm_id_back_rev:
assumes y ∈ var φ ∈ fmla and n ∈ num
shows prv (imp (eql n (Var y)) (imp (subst φ n y) φ))
by (metis (full_types) Var_assms_in_num prv.eql_subst_trm subst_same_Var)

lemma prv.eql_imp_trans_rev:
assumes t1[simp]: t1 ∈ trm and t2[simp]: t2 ∈ trm and t3[simp]: t3 ∈ trm
shows prv (imp (eql t1 t2) (imp (eql t1 t3) (eql t2 t3)))
proof-
obtain y1 where y1[simp]: y1 ∈ var and y1 ∉ FvarsT t1 ∪ FvarsT t2 ∪ FvarsT t3
  using finite_FvarsT finite_subset_infinite_var_subsetI t1 t2 t3
  by (metis (full_types) infinite_Un)
hence [simp]: y1 ∉ FvarsT t1 y1 ∉ FvarsT t2 y1 ∉ FvarsT t3 by auto
obtain y2 where y2[simp]: y2 ∈ var and y2 ∉ FvarsT t1 ∪ FvarsT t2 ∪ FvarsT t3 ∪ {y1}
  using finite_FvarsT finite_subset_infinite_var_subsetI t1 t2 t3
  by (metis (full_types) finite_insert_infinite_Un insert_is_Un)
hence [simp]: y2 ∉ FvarsT t1 y2 ∉ FvarsT t2 y2 ∉ FvarsT t3 y1 ≠ y2 by auto
have 0: prv (imp (eql (Var y1) (Var y2))
  (imp (eql (Var y1) t3) (eql (Var y2) t3)))
  using prv.eql_subst[OF y1 y2, of eql (Var y1) t3] by simp
note 1 = prv_subst[OF y1 _ t1 0, simplified]
show ?thesis using prv_subst[OF y2 _ t2 1, simplified] .
qed

lemma prv.eql_imp_trans:
assumes t1[simp]: t1 ∈ trm and t2[simp]: t2 ∈ trm and t3[simp]: t3 ∈ trm
shows prv (imp (eql t1 t2) (imp (eql t2 t3) (eql t1 t3)))

```

```

by (meson eql imp prv_eql_sym prv_eql_imp_trans_rev prv_prv_imp_trans t1 t2 t3)

lemma prv_eql_trans:
assumes t1[simp]: t1 ∈ trm and t2[simp]: t2 ∈ trm and t3[simp]: t3 ∈ trm
and prv (eql t1 t2) and prv (eql t2 t3)
shows prv (eql t1 t3)
by (meson assms cnj eql prv_cnjI prv_cnj_imp_monoR2 prv_eql_imp_trans prv_imp_mp)

```

3.1.4 The equivalence between soft substitution and substitution

```

lemma prv_subst_imp_softSubst:
assumes [simp,intro!]: x ∈ var t ∈ trm φ ∈ fmla x ∉ FvarsT t
shows prv (imp (subst φ t x) (softSubst φ t x))
unfolding softSubst_def by (rule prv_imp_exi)
(auto simp: prv_eql_reflT prv_imp_cnj prv_imp_refl prv_imp_triv subst_compose_same
intro: prv_exiI[of _ _ t])

lemma prv_subst_implies_softSubst:
assumes x ∈ var t ∈ trm φ ∈ fmla
and x ∉ FvarsT t
and prv (subst φ t x)
shows prv (softSubst φ t x)
using assms prv_subst_imp_softSubst
by (metis Var cnj eql exi subst prv_imp_mp softSubst_def)

lemma prv_softSubst_imp_subst:
assumes [simp,intro!]: x ∈ var t ∈ trm φ ∈ fmla x ∉ FvarsT t
shows prv (imp (softSubst φ t x) (subst φ t x))
unfolding softSubst_def apply(rule prv_exi_imp_gen)
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by (metis Var assms(1–3) eql subst prv_cnj_imp_monoR2 prv_eql_subst_trm subst_same_Var)
.

lemma prv_softSubst_implies_subst:
assumes x ∈ var t ∈ trm φ ∈ fmla
and x ∉ FvarsT t
and prv (softSubst φ t x)
shows prv (subst φ t x)
by (metis Var assms cnj eql exi local.subst prv_imp_mp prv_softSubst_imp_subst softSubst_def)

lemma prv_softSubst_eqv_subst:
assumes [simp,intro!]: x ∈ var t ∈ trm φ ∈ fmla x ∉ FvarsT t
shows prv (eqv (softSubst φ t x) (subst φ t x))
by (metis Var assms cnj eql exi local.subst prv_eqvI prv_softSubst_imp_subst prv_subst_imp_softSubst
softSubst_def)

end — context Deduct

```

3.2 Deduction Considering False

```

locale Deduct_with_False =
Syntax_with_Connectives_False
var trm fmla Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
eql cnj imp all exi
fls

```

```

+
Deduct
var trm fmla Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
num
eql cnj imp all exi
prv
for
  var :: 'var set and trm :: 'trm set and fmla :: 'fmla set
  and Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
  and eql cnj imp all exi
  and fls
  and num
  and prv
+
assumes
  prv_fls[simp,intro]:  $\bigwedge \varphi. \text{prv} (\text{imp} \text{ fls} \varphi)$ 
begin

```

3.2.1 Basic properties of False (fls)

```

lemma prv_expl:
  assumes  $\varphi \in \text{fmla}$ 
  assumes  $\text{prv fls}$ 
  shows  $\text{prv } \varphi$ 
  using assms prv_imp_mp by blast

lemma prv_cnjR_fls:  $\varphi \in \text{fmla} \implies \text{prv} (\text{eqv} (\text{cnj} \text{ fls} \varphi) \text{ fls})$ 
  by (simp add: prv_eqvI prv_imp_cnjL)

lemma prv_cnjL_fls:  $\varphi \in \text{fmla} \implies \text{prv} (\text{eqv} (\text{cnj} \varphi \text{ fls}) \text{ fls})$ 
  by (simp add: prv_eqvI prv_imp_cnjR)

```

3.2.2 Properties involving negation

Recall that negation has been defined from implication and False.

```

lemma prv_imp_neg_fls:
  assumes  $\varphi \in \text{fmla}$ 
  shows  $\text{prv} (\text{imp} \varphi (\text{imp} (\text{neg} \varphi) \text{ fls}))$ 
  using assms prv_imp_com prv_imp_refl neg_def by auto

lemma prv_neg_fls:
  assumes  $\varphi \in \text{fmla}$ 
  assumes  $\text{prv } \varphi \text{ and } \text{prv} (\text{neg} \varphi)$ 
  shows  $\text{prv fls}$ 
  by (metis assms prv_imp_mp fls neg_def)

lemma prv_imp_neg_neg:
  assumes  $\varphi \in \text{fmla}$ 
  shows  $\text{prv} (\text{imp} \varphi (\text{neg} (\text{neg} \varphi)))$ 
  using assms prv_imp_neg_fls neg_def by auto

lemma prv_neg_neg:
  assumes  $\varphi \in \text{fmla}$ 
  assumes  $\text{prv } \varphi$ 
  shows  $\text{prv} (\text{neg} (\text{neg} \varphi))$ 
  by (metis assms prv_imp_mp prv_imp_neg_fls neg_def)

lemma prv_imp_imp_neg_rev:

```

```

assumes  $\varphi \in fmla$  and  $\chi \in fmla$ 
shows  $prv (imp (imp \varphi \chi))$   

 $(imp (neg \chi) (neg \varphi)))$ 
unfolding neg_def using prv_imp_trans2[OF assms fls] .

lemma prv_imp_neg_rev:
assumes  $\varphi \in fmla$  and  $\chi \in fmla$ 
assumes  $prv (imp \varphi \chi)$ 
shows  $prv (imp (neg \chi) (neg \varphi))$ 
by (meson assms imp neg prv_imp_imp_neg_rev prv_imp_mp)

lemma prv_eqv_neg_prv_fls:
 $\varphi \in fmla \implies$ 
 $prv (eqv \varphi (neg \varphi)) \implies prv fls$ 
by (metis cnj fls neg neg_def prv_cnj_imp_monoR2 prv_eqv_imp_transi prv_imp_cnj prv_imp_eqvER
prv_imp_mp prv_imp_neg_rev)

lemma prv_eqv_eqv_neg_prv_fls:
 $\varphi \in fmla \implies \chi \in fmla \implies$ 
 $prv (eqv \varphi \chi) \implies prv (eqv \varphi (neg \chi)) \implies prv fls$ 
by (meson neg prv_eqv_neg_prv_fls prv_eqv_sym prv_eqv_trans)

lemma prv_eqv_eqv_neg_prv_fls2:
 $\varphi \in fmla \implies \chi \in fmla \implies$ 
 $prv (eqv \varphi \chi) \implies prv (eqv \chi (neg \varphi)) \implies prv fls$ 
by (simp add: prv_eqv_eqv_neg_prv_fls prv_eqv_sym)

lemma prv_neg_imp_imp_trans:
assumes  $\varphi \in fmla$   $\chi \in fmla$   $\psi \in fmla$ 
and  $prv (neg \varphi)$ 
and  $prv (imp \chi (imp \psi \varphi))$ 
shows  $prv (imp \chi (neg \psi))$ 
unfolding neg_def
by (metis assms cnj fls neg_def prv_cnj_imp prv_cnj_imp_monoR2 prv_prv_imp_trans)

lemma prv_imp_neg_imp_neg_imp:
assumes  $\varphi \in fmla$   $\chi \in fmla$ 
and  $prv (neg \varphi)$ 
shows  $prv ((imp \chi (neg (imp \chi \varphi))))$ 
by (metis assms fls imp neg_def prv_imp_com prv_imp_monoL)

lemma prv_prv_neg_imp_neg:
assumes  $\varphi \in fmla$   $\chi \in fmla$ 
and  $prv \varphi$  and  $prv \chi$ 
shows  $prv (neg (imp \varphi (neg \chi)))$ 
by (meson assms imp neg prv_imp_mp prv_imp_neg_imp_neg imp prv_neg_neg)

lemma prv_imp_neg_imp_cnjL:
assumes  $\varphi \in fmla$   $\varphi_1 \in fmla$   $\varphi_2 \in fmla$ 
and  $prv (imp \varphi (neg \varphi_1))$ 
shows  $prv (imp \varphi (neg (cnj \varphi_1 \varphi_2)))$ 
unfolding neg_def by (metis assms cnj fls neg neg_def prv_imp_cnj3L prv_prv_imp_trans)

lemma prv_imp_neg_imp_cnjR:
assumes  $\varphi \in fmla$   $\varphi_1 \in fmla$   $\varphi_2 \in fmla$ 
and  $prv (imp \varphi (neg \varphi_2))$ 
shows  $prv (imp \varphi (neg (cnj \varphi_1 \varphi_2)))$ 
unfolding neg_def by (metis assms cnj fls neg neg_def prv_imp_cnj3R prv_prv_imp_trans)

```

Negation versus quantifiers:

```

lemma prv_all_neg_imp_neg_exi:
  assumes x:  $x \in \text{var}$  and  $\varphi: \varphi \in \text{fmla}$ 
  shows  $\text{prv}(\text{imp}(\text{all } x (\text{neg } \varphi)) (\text{neg}(\text{exi } x \varphi)))$ 
proof-
  have 0:  $\text{prv}(\text{imp}(\text{all } x (\text{neg } \varphi)) (\text{imp } \varphi \text{ fts}))$ 
    using  $\text{prv\_all\_inst}[\text{OF } x, \text{of neg } \varphi \text{ Var } x, \text{simplified}] \text{ assms by (auto simp: neg\_def)}$ 
  have 1:  $\text{prv}(\text{imp } \varphi (\text{imp}(\text{all } x (\text{neg } \varphi)) \text{ fts}))$ 
    using 0 by (simp add: assms prv_imp_com)
  have 2:  $\text{prv}(\text{imp}(\text{exi } x \varphi) (\text{imp}(\text{all } x (\text{neg } \varphi)) \text{ fts}))$ 
    using 1 assms by (intro prv_exi_imp_gen) auto
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: assms neg_def prv_imp_com)
qed

lemma prv_neg_exi_imp_all_neg:
  assumes x:  $x \in \text{var}$  and  $\varphi: \varphi \in \text{fmla}$ 
  shows  $\text{prv}(\text{imp}(\text{neg}(\text{exi } x \varphi)) (\text{all } x (\text{neg } \varphi)))$ 
using assms
by (intro prv_all_imp_gen[of x neg (exi x \varphi)])
  (auto simp: prv_exi_inst_same prv_imp_neg_rev)

lemma prv_neg_exi_eqv_all_neg:
  assumes x:  $x \in \text{var}$  and  $\varphi: \varphi \in \text{fmla}$ 
  shows  $\text{prv}(\text{eqv}(\text{neg}(\text{exi } x \varphi)) (\text{all } x (\text{neg } \varphi)))$ 
by (simp add: \varphi prv_all_neg_imp_neg_exi prv_eqvI prv_neg_exi_imp_all_neg x)

lemma prv_neg_exi_implies_all_neg:
  assumes x:  $x \in \text{var}$  and  $\varphi: \varphi \in \text{fmla}$  and  $\text{prv}(\text{neg}(\text{exi } x \varphi))$ 
  shows  $\text{prv}(\text{all } x (\text{neg } \varphi))$ 
by (meson \varphi all assms(3) exi neg prv_imp_mp prv_neg_exi_imp_all_neg x)

lemma prv_neg_neg_exi:
  assumes x:  $x \in \text{var}$   $\varphi \in \text{fmla}$ 
  and  $\text{prv}(\text{neg } \varphi)$ 
  shows  $\text{prv}(\text{neg}(\text{exi } x \varphi))$ 
using assms neg_def prv_exi_imp_gen by auto

lemma prv_exi_imp_exiI:
  assumes [simp]:  $x \in \text{var}$   $y \in \text{var}$   $\varphi \in \text{fmla}$  and  $\text{yx}: y = x \vee y \notin \text{Fvars } \varphi$ 
  shows  $\text{prv}(\text{imp}(\text{exi } x \varphi) (\text{exi } y (\text{subst } \varphi (\text{Var } y) x)))$ 
proof(cases y = x)
  case [simp]: False hence [simp]:  $x \neq y$  by blast
  show ?thesis apply(rule prv_exi_imp_gen)
    subgoal by auto
    subgoal by auto
    subgoal by auto
    subgoal by auto
    using yx
    by (auto intro!: prv_imp_exiI[of __ __ Var x]
      simp: prv_imp_refl2)
qed(simp add: yx prv_imp_refl)

lemma prv_imp_neg_allI:
  assumes  $\varphi \in \text{fmla}$   $\chi \in \text{fmla}$   $t \in \text{trm}$   $x \in \text{var}$ 
  and  $\text{prv}(\text{imp } \varphi (\text{neg}(\text{subst } \chi t x)))$ 
  shows  $\text{prv}(\text{imp } \varphi (\text{neg}(\text{all } x \chi)))$ 
by (meson all assms subst neg prv_all_inst prv_imp_rev prv_prv_imp_trans)

```

```

lemma prv_imp_neg_allWI:
  assumes  $\chi \in fmla$   $t \in trm$   $x \in var$ 
    and  $prv(\neg(subst\chi t x))$ 
  shows  $prv(\neg(all x \chi))$ 
  by (metis all assms fls subst neg_def prv_all_inst prv_prv_imp_trans)

```

3.2.3 Properties involving True (tru)

```

lemma prv_imp_tru:  $\varphi \in fmla \implies prv(\text{imp } \varphi \text{ tru})$ 
  by (simp add: neg_def prv_imp_triv tru_def)

```

```

lemma prv_tru_imp:  $\varphi \in fmla \implies prv(\text{eqv } (\text{imp } \text{tru} \varphi) \varphi)$ 
  by (metis imp neg_def prv_eqvI prv_fls prv_imp_com prv_imp_imp_triv prv_imp_mp prv_imp_refl
    tru tru_def)

```

```

lemma prv_fls_neg_tru:  $\varphi \in fmla \implies prv(\text{eqv } \text{fls}(\neg \text{tru}))$ 
  using neg_def prv_eqvI prv_neg_neg_tru_def by auto

```

```

lemma prv_tru_neg_fls:  $\varphi \in fmla \implies prv(\text{eqv } \text{tru}(\neg \text{fls}))$ 
  by (simp add: prv_eqv_refl tru_def)

```

```

lemma prv_cnjR_tru:  $\varphi \in fmla \implies prv(\text{eqv } (\text{cnj } \text{tru} \varphi) \varphi)$ 
  by (simp add: prv_eqvI prv_imp_cnj prv_imp_cnjR prv_imp_refl prv_imp_tru)

```

```

lemma prv_cnjL_tru:  $\varphi \in fmla \implies prv(\text{eqv } (\text{cnj } \varphi \text{ tru}) \varphi)$ 
  by (simp add: prv_eqvI prv_imp_cnj prv_imp_cnjL prv_imp_refl prv_imp_tru)

```

3.2.4 Property of set-based conjunctions

These are based on properties of the auxiliary list conjunctions.

```

lemma prv_lcnj_imp_in:
  assumes set  $\varphi s \subseteq fmla$ 
    and  $\varphi \in \text{set } \varphi s$ 
  shows  $prv(\text{imp } (\text{lcnj } \varphi s) \varphi)$ 
proof-
  have  $\varphi \in fmla$  using assms by auto
  thus ?thesis using assms
    by (induct  $\varphi s$  arbitrary:  $\varphi$ )
      (auto simp: prv_imp_cnjL prv_cnj_imp_monoR2 prv_imp_triv)
qed

```

```

lemma prv_lcnj_imp:
  assumes  $\chi \in fmla$  and set  $\varphi s \subseteq fmla$ 
    and  $\varphi \in \text{set } \varphi s$  and  $prv(\text{imp } \varphi \chi)$ 
  shows  $prv(\text{imp } (\text{lcnj } \varphi s) \chi)$ 
  using assms lcnj prv_lcnj_imp_in prv_prv_imp_trans by blast

```

```

lemma prv_imp_lcnj:
  assumes  $\chi \in fmla$  and set  $\varphi s \subseteq fmla$ 
    and  $\bigwedge \varphi. \varphi \in \text{set } \varphi s \implies prv(\text{imp } \chi \varphi)$ 
  shows  $prv(\text{imp } \chi (\text{lcnj } \varphi s))$ 
  using assms
  by (induct  $\varphi s$  arbitrary:  $\chi$ ) (auto simp: prv_imp_tru prv_imp_com prv_imp_cnj)

```

```

lemma prv_lcnj_imp_inner:
  assumes  $\varphi \in fmla$  set  $\varphi 1s \subseteq fmla$  set  $\varphi 2s \subseteq fmla$ 
  shows  $prv(\text{imp } (\text{cnj } \varphi (\text{lcnj } (\varphi 1s @ \varphi 2s))) (\text{lcnj } (\varphi 1s @ \varphi \# \varphi 2s)))$ 
  using assms proof(induction  $\varphi 1s$ )

```

```

case (Cons φ1 φ1s)
have [intro!]: set (φ1s @ φ2s) ⊆ fmla set (φ1s @ φ # φ2s) ⊆ fmla using Cons by auto
have 0: prv (imp (cnj φ (cnj φ1 (lcnj (φ1s @ φ2s)))))  

    (cnj φ1 (cnj φ (lcnj (φ1s @ φ2s)))))  

using Cons by (intro prv_cnj_com_imp3) fastforce+
have 1: prv (imp (cnj φ1 (cnj φ (lcnj (φ1s @ φ2s)))))  

    (cnj φ1 (lcnj (φ1s @ φ # φ2s))))  

using Cons by (intro prv_cnj_mono) (auto simp add: prv_imp_refl)
show ?case using prv_prv_imp_trans[OF ___ 0 1] Cons by auto
qed(simp add: prv_imp_refl)

lemma prv_lcnj_imp_remdups:
assumes set φs ⊆ fmla
shows prv (imp (lcnj (remdups φs)) (lcnj φs))
using assms apply(induct φs)
by (auto simp: prv_imp_cnj prv_lcnj_imp_in prv_cnj_mono prv_imp_refl)

lemma prv_lcnj_mono:
assumes φ1s: set φ1s ⊆ fmla and set φ2s ⊆ set φ1s
shows prv (imp (lcnj φ1s) (lcnj φ2s))
proof-
define φ2s' where φ2s': φ2s' = remdups φ2s
have A: set φ2s' ⊆ set φ1s distinct φ2s' unfolding φ2s' using assms by auto
have B: prv (imp (lcnj φ1s) (lcnj φ2s'))  

using φ1s A proof(induction φ1s arbitrary: φ2s')
case (Cons φ1 φ1s φ2ss)
show ?case proof(cases φ1 ∈ set φ2ss)
case True
then obtain φ2ss1 φ2ss2 where φ2ss: φ2ss = φ2ss1 @ φ1 # φ2ss2
by (meson split_list)
define φ2s where φ2s: φ2s ≡ φ2ss1 @ φ2ss2
have nin: φ1 ∉ set φ2s using φ2ss <distinct φ2ss> unfolding φ2s by auto
have [intro!]: set φ2s ⊆ fmla unfolding φ2s using φ2ss Cons by auto
have 0: prv (imp (cnj φ1 (lcnj φ2s)) (lcnj φ2ss))  

unfolding φ2s φ2ss using Cons φ2ss
by (intro prv_lcnj_imp_inner) auto
have 1: prv (imp (lcnj φ1s) (lcnj φ2s))
using nin Cons.preds True φ2s φ2ss by (intro Cons.IH) auto
have 2: prv (imp (cnj φ1 (lcnj φ1s)) (cnj φ1 (lcnj φ2s)))  

using Cons φ2ss φ2s 1 by (intro prv_cnj_mono) (fastforce simp add: prv_imp_refl)+
show ?thesis
using Cons by (auto intro!: prv_prv_imp_trans[OF ___ 2 0])
next
case False
then show ?thesis
by (meson Cons lcnj prv_imp_lcnj prv_lcnj_imp_in subset_iff)
qed
qed(simp add: prv_imp_refl)
have C: prv (imp (lcnj φ2s') (lcnj φ2s))
unfolding φ2s' using assms by (intro prv_lcnj_imp_remdups) auto
show ?thesis using A assms by (intro prv_prv_imp_trans[OF ___ B C]) auto
qed

lemma prv_lcnj_eqv:
assumes set φ1s ⊆ fmla and set φ2s = set φ1s
shows prv (eqv (lcnj φ1s) (lcnj φ2s))
using assms prv_lcnj_mono by (intro prv_eqvI) auto

```

```

lemma prv_lcnj_mono_imp:
assumes set φ1s ⊆ fmla set φ2s ⊆ fmla and ∀ φ2 ∈ set φ2s. ∃ φ1 ∈ set φ1s. prv (imp φ1 φ2)
shows prv (imp (lcnj φ1s) (lcnj φ2s))
using assms apply(intro prv_imp_lcnj)
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal using prv_lcnj_imp by blast .

```

Set-based conjunction commutes with substitution only up to provably equivalence:

```

lemma prv_subst_scnj:
assumes F ⊆ fmla finite F t ∈ trm x ∈ var
shows prv (eqv (subst (scnj F) t x) (scnj ((λφ. subst φ t x) ` F)))
using assms unfolding scnj_def by (fastforce intro!: prv_lcnj_eqv)

lemma prv_imp_subst_scnj:
assumes F ⊆ fmla finite F t ∈ trm x ∈ var
shows prv (imp (subst (scnj F) t x) (scnj ((λφ. subst φ t x) ` F)))
using prv_subst_scnj[OF assms] assms by (intro prv_imp_eqvEL) auto

lemma prv_subst_scnj_imp:
assumes F ⊆ fmla finite F t ∈ trm x ∈ var
shows prv (imp (scnj ((λφ. subst φ t x) ` F)) (subst (scnj F) t x))
using prv_subst_scnj[OF assms] assms by (intro prv_imp_eqvER) auto

lemma prv_scnj_imp_in:
assumes F ⊆ fmla finite F
and φ ∈ F
shows prv (imp (scnj F) φ)
unfolding scnj_def using assms by (intro prv_lcnj_imp_in) auto

lemma prv_scnj_imp:
assumes χ ∈ fmla and F ⊆ fmla finite F
and φ ∈ F and prv (imp φ χ)
shows prv (imp (scnj F) χ)
unfolding scnj_def using assms by (intro prv_lcnj_imp) auto

lemma prv_imp_scnj:
assumes χ ∈ fmla and F ⊆ fmla finite F
and λφ. φ ∈ F ==> prv (imp χ φ)
shows prv (imp χ (scnj F))
unfolding scnj_def using assms by (intro prv_imp_lcnj) auto

lemma prv_scnj_mono:
assumes F1 ⊆ fmla and F2 ⊆ F1 and finite F1
shows prv (imp (scnj F1) (scnj F2))
unfolding scnj_def using assms apply (intro prv_lcnj_mono)
subgoal by auto
subgoal by (metis asList infinite_super) .

lemma prv_scnj_mono_imp:
assumes F1 ⊆ fmla F2 ⊆ fmla finite F1 finite F2
and ∀ φ2 ∈ F2. ∃ φ1 ∈ F1. prv (imp φ1 φ2)
shows prv (imp (scnj F1) (scnj F2))
unfolding scnj_def using assms by (intro prv_lcnj_mono_imp) auto

```

Commutation with parallel substitution:

```

lemma prv_imp_scnj_insert:
assumes F ⊆ fmla and finite F and φ ∈ fmla

```

```

shows prv (imp (scnj (insert  $\varphi$  F)) (cnj  $\varphi$  (scnj F)))
using assms apply (intro prv_imp_cnj)
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by (auto intro: prv_imp_refl prv_scnj_imp)
subgoal by (auto intro: prv_scnj_mono) .

lemma prv_implies_scnj_insert:
assumes F ⊆ fmla and finite F and  $\varphi \in fmla$ 
and prv (scnj (insert  $\varphi$  F))
shows prv (cnj  $\varphi$  (scnj F))
by (meson assms cnj finite.insertI insert_subset prv_imp_mp prv_imp_scnj_insert scnj)

lemma prv_imp_cnj_scnj:
assumes F ⊆ fmla and finite F and  $\varphi \in fmla$ 
shows prv (imp (cnj  $\varphi$  (scnj F)) (scnj (insert  $\varphi$  F)))
using assms
by (auto intro!: prv_imp_scnj prv_imp_cnjL
simp: prv_cnj_imp_monoR2 prv_imp_triv prv_scnj_imp_in subset_iff)

lemma prv_implies_cnj_scnj:
assumes F ⊆ fmla and finite F and  $\varphi \in fmla$ 
and prv (cnj  $\varphi$  (scnj F))
shows prv (scnj (insert  $\varphi$  F))
by (meson assms cnj finite.insertI insert_subset prv_imp_cnj_scnj prv_imp_mp scnj)

lemma prv_eqv_scnj_insert:
assumes F ⊆ fmla and finite F and  $\varphi \in fmla$ 
shows prv (eqv (scnj (insert  $\varphi$  F)) (cnj  $\varphi$  (scnj F)))
by (simp add: assms prv_eqvI prv_imp_cnj_scnj prv_imp_scnj_insert)

lemma prv_scnj1_imp:
 $\varphi \in fmla \implies$  prv (imp (scnj { $\varphi$ })  $\varphi$ )
using prv_scnj_imp_in by auto

lemma prv_imp_scnj1:
 $\varphi \in fmla \implies$  prv (imp  $\varphi$  (scnj { $\varphi$ }))
using prv_imp_refl prv_imp_scnj by fastforce

lemma prv_scnj2_imp_cnj:
 $\varphi \in fmla \implies \psi \in fmla \implies$  prv (imp (scnj { $\varphi, \psi$ }) (cnj  $\varphi \psi$ ))
using prv_imp_cnj prv_scnj_imp_in by auto

lemma prv_cnj_imp_scnj2:
 $\varphi \in fmla \implies \psi \in fmla \implies$  prv (imp (cnj  $\varphi \psi$ ) (scnj { $\varphi, \psi$ }))
using prv_imp_cnjL prv_imp_cnjR prv_imp_scnj by fastforce

lemma prv_imp_imp_scnj2:
 $\varphi \in fmla \implies \psi \in fmla \implies$  prv (imp  $\varphi$  (imp  $\psi$  (scnj { $\varphi, \psi$ })))
using prv_cnj_imp_scnj2 prv_cnj_imp by auto

```

```

lemma prv_rawpsubst_scnj:
assumes F ⊆ fmla finite F
and snd ` (set txs) ⊆ var fst ` (set txs) ⊆ trm
shows prv (eqv (rawpsubst (scnj F) txs) (scnj (( $\lambda \varphi$ . rawpsubst  $\varphi$  txs) ` F)))

```

```

using assms proof(induction txs arbitrary: F)
case (Cons tx txs)
then obtain t x where tx[simp]: tx = (t,x) by (cases tx) auto
hence [simp]: t ∈ trm x ∈ var using Cons.preds by auto
have 0: (λφ. rawpsubst (subst φ t x) txs) ‘ F =
    (λφ. rawpsubst φ txs) ‘ ((λφ. subst φ t x) ‘ F)
  using Cons.preds by auto
have prv (eqv (subst (scnj F) t x)
    (scnj ((λφ. subst φ t x) ‘ F)))
  using Cons.preds by (intro prv_subst_scnj) auto
hence prv (eqv (rawpsubst (subst (scnj F) t x) txs)
    (rawpsubst (scnj ((λφ. subst φ t x) ‘ F)) txs))
  using Cons.preds by (intro prv_eqv_rawpsubst) auto
moreover
have prv (eqv (rawpsubst (scnj ((λφ. subst φ t x) ‘ F)) txs)
    (scnj ((λφ. rawpsubst (subst φ t x) txs) ‘ F)))
  unfolding 0 using Cons.preds by (intro Cons.IH) auto
ultimately show ?case
  using Cons.preds apply – by (rule prv_eqv_trans) (auto intro!: rawpsubst)
qed(auto simp: image_def prv_eqv_refl[])

```

lemma *prv_psubst_scnj*:

assumes $F \subseteq \text{fmla}$ finite F
 and $\text{snd} ‘ (\text{set txs}) \subseteq \text{var}$ $\text{fst} ‘ (\text{set txs}) \subseteq \text{trm}$
 and distinct (map snd txs)

shows $\text{prv} (\text{eqv} (\text{psubst} (\text{scnj } F) \text{ txs}) (\text{scnj } ((\lambda\varphi. \text{psubst } \varphi \text{ txs}) ‘ F)))$

proof –

define us where $us \equiv \text{getFrN} (\text{map snd txs}) (\text{map fst txs}) [\text{scnj } F] (\text{length txs})$

have us_facts : set $us \subseteq \text{var}$
 $\text{set } us \cap \bigcup (\text{Fvars } ‘ F) = \{\}$
 $\text{set } us \cap \bigcup (\text{FvarsT } ‘ (\text{fst} ‘ (\text{set txs}))) = \{\}$
 $\text{set } us \cap \text{snd} ‘ (\text{set txs}) = \{\}$
 $\text{length } us = \text{length txs}$
 $\text{distinct } us$

using assms unfolding us

using $\text{getFrN_Fvars}[\text{of map snd txs map fst txs} [\text{scnj } F] __ \text{length txs}]$
 $\text{getFrN_FvarsT}[\text{of map snd txs map fst txs} [\text{scnj } F] __ \text{length txs}]$
 $\text{getFrN_var}[\text{of map snd txs map fst txs} [\text{scnj } F] __ \text{length txs}]$
 $\text{getFrN_length}[\text{of map snd txs map fst txs} [\text{scnj } F] \text{ length txs}]$

apply –

subgoal by auto

subgoal by fastforce

subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)
subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)
subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)
by auto

define vs where $vs \equiv \lambda \varphi. \text{getFrN} (\text{map snd txs}) (\text{map fst txs}) [\varphi] (\text{length txs})$

hence $\forall \varphi. vs \varphi = \text{getFrN} (\text{map snd txs}) (\text{map fst txs}) [\varphi] (\text{length txs})$ **by auto**

{fix φ assume $\varphi \in F$ hence $\varphi \in \text{fmla}$ using assms by auto}

hence set $(vs \varphi) \subseteq \text{var} \wedge$
 $\text{set } (vs \varphi) \cap \text{Fvars } \varphi = \{\} \wedge$
 $\text{set } (vs \varphi) \cap \bigcup (\text{FvarsT } ‘ (\text{fst} ‘ (\text{set txs}))) = \{\} \wedge$
 $\text{set } (vs \varphi) \cap \text{snd} ‘ (\text{set txs}) = \{\} \wedge$
 $\text{length } (vs \varphi) = \text{length txs} \wedge$
 $\text{distinct } (vs \varphi)$

using assms unfolding vs

using $\text{getFrN_Fvars}[\text{of map snd txs map fst txs} [\varphi] __ \text{length txs}]$

```

getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd txs map fst txs [φ] _ length txs]
getFrN_var[of map snd txs map fst txs [φ] _ length txs]
getFrN_length[of map snd txs map fst txs [φ] length txs]
apply (intro conjI)
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)
by auto
} note vs_facts = this

have [simp]:  $\bigwedge x f. f \in F \implies x \in \text{set } (vs f) \implies x \in \text{var}$ 
using vs_facts
by (meson subsetD)

let ?tus = zip (map fst txs) us
let ?uxs = zip (map Var us) (map snd txs)
let ?tvs =  $\lambda \varphi. \text{zip } (\text{map fst txs}) \ (vs \varphi)$ 
let ?vxs =  $\lambda \varphi. \text{zip } (\text{map Var } (vs \varphi)) \ (\text{map snd txs})$ 

let ?c = rawpsubst (scnj F) ?uxs
have c: prv (eqv ?c
  (scnj ((λφ. rawpsubst φ ?uxs) ` F)))
using assms us_facts by (intro prv_rawpsubst_scnj) (auto intro!: rawpsubstT dest!: set_zip_D)
hence prv (eqv (rawpsubst ?c ?tus)
  (rawpsubst (scnj ((λφ. rawpsubst φ ?uxs) ` F)) ?tus))
using assms us_facts
by (intro prv_eqv_rawpsubst) (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
moreover
have prv (eqv (rawpsubst (scnj ((λφ. rawpsubst φ ?uxs) ` F)) ?tus)
  (scnj ((λφ. rawpsubst φ ?tus) ` ((λφ. rawpsubst φ ?uxs) ` F))))
using assms us_facts
by (intro prv_rawpsubst_scnj) (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
ultimately
have 0: prv (eqv (rawpsubst ?c ?tus)
  (scnj ((λφ. rawpsubst φ ?tus) ` ((λφ. rawpsubst φ ?uxs) ` F))))
using assms us_facts apply – by (rule prv_eqv_trans) (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
moreover
have prv (eqv (scnj ((λφ. rawpsubst φ ?tus) ` ((λφ. rawpsubst φ ?uxs) ` F)))
  (scnj ((λφ. rawpsubst (rawpsubst φ (?vxs φ)) (?tvs φ)) ` F)))
using assms us_facts vs_facts apply(intro prv_eqvI)
subgoal by (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal by (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal apply(rule prv_scnj_mono_imp)
subgoal by (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal by (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal apply clarsimp
subgoal for φ apply(rule bexI[of _ φ]) apply(rule prv_imp_refl2)
subgoal by (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal by (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal by (rule rawpsubst_compose_freshVar2)
(auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D) ...
subgoal apply(rule prv_scnj_mono_imp)
subgoal by (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal by (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal by (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)

```

```

subgoal by (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal apply clarsimp
  subgoal for  $\varphi$  apply(rule bexI[of _  $\varphi$ ]) apply(rule prv_imp_refl2)
    apply (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
    apply(rule rawpsubst_compose_freshVar2)
    apply (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D) . . .
ultimately
have prv (eqv (rawpsubst (rawpsubst (scnj F) ?uxs) ?tus)
  (scnj (( $\lambda\varphi.$  rawpsubst (rawpsubst  $\varphi$  (?vxs  $\varphi$ )) (?tvs  $\varphi$ )) 'F)))
  using assms us_facts
  apply - by (rule prv_eqv_trans) (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
thus ?thesis unfolding psubst_def by (simp add: Let_def us[symmetric] vss)
qed

lemma prv_imp_psubst_scnj:
assumes F ⊆ fmla finite F snd ' set txs ⊆ var fst ' set txs ⊆ trm
  and distinct (map snd txs)
shows prv (imp (psubst (scnj F) txs) (scnj (( $\lambda\varphi.$  psubst  $\varphi$  txs) 'F)))
using prv_psubst_scnj[OF assms] assms apply(intro prv_imp_eqvEL) by auto

lemma prv_psubst_scnj_imp:
assumes F ⊆ fmla finite F snd ' set txs ⊆ var fst ' set txs ⊆ trm
  and distinct (map snd txs)
shows prv (imp (scnj (( $\lambda\varphi.$  psubst  $\varphi$  txs) 'F)) (psubst (scnj F) txs))
using prv_psubst_scnj[OF assms] assms apply(intro prv_imp_eqvER) by auto

```

3.2.5 Consistency and ω -consistency

```

definition consistent :: bool where
consistent ≡  $\neg$  prv fls

lemma consistent_def2: consistent  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists \varphi \in \text{fmла}.$   $\neg$  prv  $\varphi$ )
unfolding consistent_def using prv_expl by blast

lemma consistent_def3: consistent  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall \varphi \in \text{fmла}.$   $\neg$  (prv  $\varphi$   $\wedge$  prv (neg  $\varphi$ )))
unfolding consistent_def using prv_neg_fls neg_def by auto

```

```

definition  $\omega$ consistent :: bool where
 $\omega$ consistent ≡
 $\forall \varphi \in \text{fmла}. \forall x \in \text{var}.$  Fvars  $\varphi = \{x\} \longrightarrow$ 
  ( $\forall n \in \text{num}.$  prv (neg (subst  $\varphi$  n x)))
 $\longrightarrow$ 
 $\neg$  prv (neg (neg (exi x  $\varphi$ )))

```

The above particularly strong version of ω consistent is used for the sake of working without assuming classical logic. It of course implies the more standard formulations for classical logic:

```

definition  $\omega$ consistentStd1 :: bool where
 $\omega$ consistentStd1 ≡
 $\forall \varphi \in \text{fmла}. \forall x \in \text{var}.$  Fvars  $\varphi = \{x\} \longrightarrow$ 
  ( $\forall n \in \text{num}.$  prv (neg (subst  $\varphi$  n x)))  $\longrightarrow$   $\neg$  prv (exi x  $\varphi$ )

definition  $\omega$ consistentStd2 :: bool where
 $\omega$ consistentStd2 ≡
 $\forall \varphi \in \text{fmла}. \forall x \in \text{var}.$  Fvars  $\varphi = \{x\} \longrightarrow$ 
  ( $\forall n \in \text{num}.$  prv (subst  $\varphi$  n x))  $\longrightarrow$   $\neg$  prv (exi x (neg  $\varphi$ ))

lemma  $\omega$ consistent_impliesStd1:

```

```

 $\omega\text{consistent} \implies$ 
 $\omega\text{consistentStd1}$ 
unfolding  $\omega\text{consistent\_def}$   $\omega\text{consistentStd1\_def}$  using  $\text{prv\_neg\_neg}$  by blast

lemma  $\omega\text{consistent\_impliesStd2}:$ 
 $\omega\text{consistent} \implies$ 
 $\omega\text{consistentStd2}$ 
by (auto dest!:  $\omega\text{consistent\_impliesStd1}$  bspec[of __ neg __]
      simp:  $\omega\text{consistentStd1\_def}$   $\omega\text{consistentStd2\_def}$   $\text{prv\_neg\_neg}$ )

```

In the presence of classical logic deduction, the stronger condition is equivalent to the standard ones:

```

lemma  $\omega\text{consistent\_iffStd1}:$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge \varphi. \varphi \in \text{fmla} \implies \text{prv} (\text{imp} (\text{neg} (\text{neg} \varphi)) \varphi)$ 
shows  $\omega\text{consistent} \leftrightarrow \omega\text{consistentStd1}$ 
apply standard
subgoal using  $\omega\text{consistent\_impliesStd1}$  by auto
subgoal unfolding  $\omega\text{consistentStd1\_def}$   $\omega\text{consistent\_def}$ 
  by (meson assms exi neg prv_imp_mp) .

lemma  $\omega\text{consistent\_iffStd2}:$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge \varphi. \varphi \in \text{fmla} \implies \text{prv} (\text{imp} (\text{neg} (\text{neg} \varphi)) \varphi)$ 
shows  $\omega\text{consistent} \leftrightarrow \omega\text{consistentStd2}$ 
unfolding  $\omega\text{consistent\_iffStd1}$  [OF assms, simplified]
   $\omega\text{consistentStd1\_def}$   $\omega\text{consistentStd2\_def}$  apply safe
subgoal for  $\varphi$ 
  by (auto simp: prv_neg_neg dest: bspec[of __ neg __])
subgoal for  $\varphi$ 
  using prv_exi_congW prv_imp_neg_fls
  by (auto simp: neg_def prv_neg_neg dest!: bspec[of __ neg __]) .

```

ω -consistency implies consistency:

```

lemma  $\omega\text{consistentStd1\_implies\_consistent}:$ 
assumes  $\omega\text{consistentStd1}$ 
shows consistent
unfolding consistent_def
proof safe
assume pf:  $\text{prv fls}$ 
then obtain x where  $x: x \in \text{var} \neq \text{Fvars fls}$ 
  using finite_Fvars getFresh by auto
let ?fls = cnj (fls) (eql (Var x) (Var x))
have 0:  $\forall n \in \text{num}. \text{prv} (\text{neg} (\text{subst} ?fls n x))$  and 1:  $\text{prv} (\text{exi} x \text{ fls})$ 
  using x fls by (auto simp: pf prv_expl)
have 2:  $\neg \text{prv} (\text{exi} x ?fls)$  using 0 fls x assms
  unfolding  $\omega\text{consistentStd1\_def}$  by simp
show False using 1 2 consistent_def consistent_def2 pf x(1) by blast
qed

```

```

lemma  $\omega\text{consistentStd2\_implies\_consistent}:$ 
assumes  $\omega\text{consistentStd2}$ 
shows consistent
unfolding consistent_def
proof safe
assume pf:  $\text{prv fls}$ 
then obtain x where  $x: x \in \text{var} \neq \text{Fvars fls}$ 
  using finite_Fvars getFresh by auto
let ?fls = cnj (fls) (eql (Var x) (Var x))
have 0:  $\forall n \in \text{num}. \text{prv} (\text{subst} ?fls n x)$  and 1:  $\text{prv} (\text{exi} x (\text{neg} ?fls))$ 

```

```

  using x fls by (auto simp: pf prv_expl)
have 2: ¬ prv (exi x (neg ?fls)) using 0 fls x assms
  unfolding ωconsistentStd2_def by auto
show False using 1 2 by simp
qed

corollary ωconsistent_implies_consistent:
  assumes ωconsistent
  shows consistent
  by (simp add: ωconsistentStd2_implies_consistent ωconsistent_impliesStd2 assms)

end — context Deduct_with_False

```

3.3 Deduction Considering False and Disjunction

```

locale Deduct_with_False_Disj =
Syntax_with_Connectives_False_Disj
var trm fmla Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
eql cnj imp all exi
fls
dsj
+
Deduct_with_False
var trm fmla Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
eql cnj imp all exi
fls
num
prv
for
  var :: 'var set and trm :: 'trm set and fmla :: 'fmla set
  and Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
  and eql cnj imp all exi
  and fls
  and dsj
  and num
  and prv
  +
assumes
  prv_dsj_impL:
  ⋀ φ χ. φ ∈ fmla ==> χ ∈ fmla ==>
  prv (imp φ (dsj φ χ))
  and
  prv_dsj_impR:
  ⋀ φ χ. φ ∈ fmla ==> χ ∈ fmla ==>
  prv (imp χ (dsj φ χ))
  and
  prv_imp_dsjE:
  ⋀ φ χ ψ. φ ∈ fmla ==> χ ∈ fmla ==> ψ ∈ fmla ==>
  prv (imp (imp φ ψ) (imp (imp χ ψ) (imp (dsj φ χ) ψ)))
begin

lemma prv_imp_dsjEE:
  assumes φ[simp]: φ ∈ fmla and χ[simp]: χ ∈ fmla and ψ[simp]: ψ ∈ fmla
  assumes prv (imp φ ψ) and prv (imp χ ψ)
  shows prv (imp (dsj φ χ) ψ)
  by (metis assms dsj imp prv_imp_dsjE prv_imp_mp)

lemma prv_dsj_cases:

```

```

assumes  $\varphi_1 \in fmla$   $\varphi_2 \in fmla$   $\chi \in fmla$ 
  and  $prv(dsj \varphi_1 \varphi_2)$  and  $prv(imp \varphi_1 \chi)$  and  $prv(imp \varphi_2 \chi)$ 
shows  $prv \chi$ 
by (meson assms dsj prv_imp_dsjEE prv_imp_mp)

```

3.3.1 Disjunction vs. disjunction

```

lemma prv_dsj_com_imp:
assumes  $\varphi[simp]: \varphi \in fmla$  and  $\chi[simp]: \chi \in fmla$ 
shows  $prv(imp(dsj \varphi \chi) (dsj \chi \varphi))$ 
by (metis  $\chi \varphi$  dsj imp prv_dsj_impL prv_dsj_impR prv_imp_dsjE prv_imp_mp)

lemma prv_dsj_com:
assumes  $\varphi[simp]: \varphi \in fmla$  and  $\chi[simp]: \chi \in fmla$ 
shows  $prv(eqv(dsj \varphi \chi) (dsj \chi \varphi))$ 
by (simp add: prv_dsj_com_imp prv_eqvI)

lemma prv_dsj_assoc_imp1:
assumes  $\varphi[simp]: \varphi \in fmla$  and  $\chi[simp]: \chi \in fmla$  and  $\psi[simp]: \psi \in fmla$ 
shows  $prv(imp(dsj \varphi (dsj \chi \psi)) (dsj (dsj \varphi \chi) \psi))$ 
proof -
have f1:  $\bigwedge f fa fb. f \notin fmla \vee \neg prv(imp fa fb) \vee fb \notin fmla \vee fa \notin fmla \vee prv(imp fa (dsj fb f))$ 
  by (meson dsj prv_dsj_impL prv_prv_imp_trans)
have  $prv(imp \varphi (dsj \varphi \chi))$ 
  by (simp add: prv_dsj_impL)
then show ?thesis
  using f1  $\chi \varphi \psi$  dsj prv_dsj_impR prv_imp_dsjEE by presburger
qed

lemma prv_dsj_assoc_imp2:
assumes  $\varphi[simp]: \varphi \in fmla$  and  $\chi[simp]: \chi \in fmla$  and  $\psi[simp]: \psi \in fmla$ 
shows  $prv(imp(dsj (dsj \varphi \chi) \psi) (dsj \varphi (dsj \chi \psi)))$ 
proof -
have f1:  $\forall f fa fb. (((prv(imp f (dsj fa fb)) \vee \neg prv(imp f (dsj fb fa))) \vee f \notin fmla) \vee fa \notin fmla) \vee fb \notin fmla$ 
  by (meson dsj prv_dsj_com_imp prv_prv_imp_trans)
have  $\forall f fa fb. (((prv(imp f (dsj fa fb)) \vee \neg prv(imp f fa)) \vee fa \notin fmla) \vee f \notin fmla) \vee fb \notin fmla$ 
  by (meson dsj prv_dsj_impL prv_prv_imp_trans)
then show ?thesis
  using f1 by (metis  $\chi \varphi \psi$  dsj prv_dsj_impR prv_imp_dsjEE)
qed

lemma prv_dsj_assoc:
assumes  $\varphi[simp]: \varphi \in fmla$  and  $\chi[simp]: \chi \in fmla$  and  $\psi[simp]: \psi \in fmla$ 
shows  $prv(eqv(dsj \varphi (dsj \chi \psi)) (dsj (dsj \varphi \chi) \psi))$ 
by (simp add: prv_dsj_assoc_imp1 prv_dsj_assoc_imp2 prv_eqvI)

lemma prv_dsj_com_imp3:
assumes  $\varphi_1 \in fmla$   $\varphi_2 \in fmla$   $\varphi_3 \in fmla$ 
shows  $prv(imp(dsj \varphi_1 (dsj \varphi_2 \varphi_3)) (dsj \varphi_2 (dsj \varphi_1 \varphi_3)))$ 
proof -
have  $\forall f fa fb. (((prv(imp f (dsj fb fa)) \vee \neg prv(imp f fa)) \vee fa \notin fmla) \vee f \notin fmla) \vee fb \notin fmla$ 
  by (meson dsj prv_dsj_impR prv_prv_imp_trans)
then show ?thesis
  by (meson assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) dsj prv_dsj_impL prv_dsj_impR prv_imp_dsjEE)
qed

```

```

lemma prv_dsj_mono:
 $\varphi_1 \in fmla \implies \varphi_2 \in fmla \implies \chi_1 \in fmla \implies \chi_2 \in fmla \implies$ 
 $\text{prv}(\text{imp } \varphi_1 \chi_1) \implies \text{prv}(\text{imp } \varphi_2 \chi_2) \implies \text{prv}(\text{imp } (\text{dsj } \varphi_1 \varphi_2) (\text{dsj } \chi_1 \chi_2))$ 
  by (meson dsj prv_dsj_impL prv_dsj_impR prv_imp_dsjEE prv_prv_imp_trans)

```

3.3.2 Disjunction vs. conjunction

```

lemma prv_cnj_dsj_distrib1:
  assumes  $\varphi[\text{simp}]: \varphi \in fmla \text{ and } \chi_1[\text{simp}]: \chi_1 \in fmla \text{ and } \chi_2[\text{simp}]: \chi_2 \in fmla$ 
  shows  $\text{prv}(\text{imp } (\text{cnj } \varphi (\text{dsj } \chi_1 \chi_2)) (\text{dsj } (\text{cnj } \varphi \chi_1) (\text{cnj } \varphi \chi_2)))$ 
  by (simp add: prv_cnj_imp prv_cnj_imp_monoR2 prv_dsj_impL prv_dsj_impR prv_imp_com prv_imp_dsjEE)

lemma prv_cnj_dsj_distrib2:
  assumes  $\varphi[\text{simp}]: \varphi \in fmla \text{ and } \chi_1[\text{simp}]: \chi_1 \in fmla \text{ and } \chi_2[\text{simp}]: \chi_2 \in fmla$ 
  shows  $\text{prv}(\text{imp } (\text{dsj } (\text{cnj } \varphi \chi_1) (\text{cnj } \varphi \chi_2)) (\text{cnj } \varphi (\text{dsj } \chi_1 \chi_2)))$ 
  by (simp add: prv_cnj_mono prv_dsj_impL prv_dsj_impR prv_imp_dsjEE prv_imp_refl)

lemma prv_cnj_dsj_distrib:
  assumes  $\varphi[\text{simp}]: \varphi \in fmla \text{ and } \chi_1[\text{simp}]: \chi_1 \in fmla \text{ and } \chi_2[\text{simp}]: \chi_2 \in fmla$ 
  shows  $\text{prv}(\text{eqv } (\text{cnj } \varphi (\text{dsj } \chi_1 \chi_2)) (\text{dsj } (\text{cnj } \varphi \chi_1) (\text{cnj } \varphi \chi_2)))$ 
  by (simp add: prv_cnj_dsj_distrib1 prv_cnj_dsj_distrib2 prv_eqvI)

lemma prv_dsj_cnj_distrib1:
  assumes  $\varphi[\text{simp}]: \varphi \in fmla \text{ and } \chi_1[\text{simp}]: \chi_1 \in fmla \text{ and } \chi_2[\text{simp}]: \chi_2 \in fmla$ 
  shows  $\text{prv}(\text{imp } (\text{dsj } \varphi (\text{cnj } \chi_1 \chi_2)) (\text{cnj } (\text{dsj } \varphi \chi_1) (\text{dsj } \varphi \chi_2)))$ 
  by (simp add: prv_cnj_mono prv_dsj_impL prv_dsj_impR prv_imp_cnj prv_imp_dsjEE)

lemma prv_dsj_cnj_distrib2:
  assumes  $\varphi[\text{simp}]: \varphi \in fmla \text{ and } \chi_1[\text{simp}]: \chi_1 \in fmla \text{ and } \chi_2[\text{simp}]: \chi_2 \in fmla$ 
  shows  $\text{prv}(\text{imp } (\text{cnj } (\text{dsj } \varphi \chi_1) (\text{dsj } \varphi \chi_2)) (\text{dsj } \varphi (\text{cnj } \chi_1 \chi_2)))$ 
  proof -
    have  $\forall f fa fb. (((\text{prv}(\text{imp } f (\text{imp } fb fa))) \vee \neg \text{prv}(\text{imp } f fa)) \vee fa \notin fmla) \vee f \notin fmla \vee fb \notin fmla$ 
      by (meson imp prv_imp_imp_triv prv_prv_imp_trans)
    then show ?thesis
      by (metis x1 x2  $\varphi$  cnj dsj imp prv_cnj_imp prv_cnj_imp_monoR2 prv_dsj_impL prv_dsj_impR prv_imp_com prv_imp_dsjEE)
  qed

```

```

lemma prv_dsj_cnj_distrib:
  assumes  $\varphi[\text{simp}]: \varphi \in fmla \text{ and } \chi_1[\text{simp}]: \chi_1 \in fmla \text{ and } \chi_2[\text{simp}]: \chi_2 \in fmla$ 
  shows  $\text{prv}(\text{eqv } (\text{dsj } \varphi (\text{cnj } \chi_1 \chi_2)) (\text{cnj } (\text{dsj } \varphi \chi_1) (\text{dsj } \varphi \chi_2)))$ 
  by (simp add: prv_dsj_cnj_distrib1 prv_dsj_cnj_distrib2 prv_eqvI)

```

3.3.3 Disjunction vs. True and False

```

lemma prv_dsjR_fls:  $\varphi \in fmla \implies \text{prv}(\text{eqv } (\text{dsj } \text{fls} \varphi) \varphi)$ 
  by (simp add: prv_dsj_impR prv_eqvI prv_imp_dsjEE prv_imp_refl)

lemma prv_dsjL_fls:  $\varphi \in fmla \implies \text{prv}(\text{eqv } (\text{dsj } \varphi \text{ fls}) \varphi)$ 
  by (simp add: prv_dsj_impL prv_eqvI prv_imp_dsjEE prv_imp_refl)

lemma prv_dsjR_tru:  $\varphi \in fmla \implies \text{prv}(\text{eqv } (\text{dsj } \text{tru} \varphi) \text{ tru})$ 
  by (simp add: prv_dsj_impL prv_eqvI prv_imp_tru)

lemma prv_dsjL_tru:  $\varphi \in fmla \implies \text{prv}(\text{eqv } (\text{dsj } \varphi \text{ tru}) \text{ tru})$ 
  by (simp add: prv_dsj_impR prv_eqvI prv_imp_tru)

```

3.3.4 Set-based disjunctions

Just like for conjunctions, these are based on properties of the auxiliary list disjunctions.

```

lemma prv_imp_ldsj_in:
assumes set φs ⊆ fmla
and φ ∈ set φs
shows prv (imp φ (ldsj φs))
proof-
  have φ ∈ fmla using assms by auto
  thus ?thesis
  using assms apply(induct φs arbitrary: φ)
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by (simp add: prv_dsj_impL)
    (meson dsj ldsj prv_dsj_impL prv_dsj_impR prv_prv_imp_trans) .
qed

lemma prv_imp_ldsj:
assumes χ ∈ fmla and set φs ⊆ fmla
and φ ∈ set φs and prv (imp χ φ)
shows prv (imp χ (ldsj φs))
using assms ldsj prv_imp_ldsj_in prv_prv_imp_trans by blast

lemma prv_ldsj_imp:
assumes χ ∈ fmla and set φs ⊆ fmla
and ⋀φ. φ ∈ set φs ==> prv (imp φ χ)
shows prv (imp (ldsj φs) χ)
using assms
by (induct φs arbitrary: χ)
  (auto simp add: prv_imp_tru prv_imp_com prv_imp_dsjEE)

lemma prv_ldsj_imp_inner:
assumes φ ∈ fmla set φ1s ⊆ fmla set φ2s ⊆ fmla
shows prv (imp (ldsj (φ1s @ φ # φ2s)) (dsj φ (ldsj (φ1s @ φ2s))))
using assms proof(induction φ1s)
  case (Cons φ1 φ1s)
  have [intro!]: set (φ1s @ φ2s) ⊆ fmla set (φ1s @ φ # φ2s) ⊆ fmla using Cons by auto
  have 0: prv (imp (dsj φ1 (dsj φ (ldsj (φ1s @ φ2s)))))
    (dsj φ (dsj φ1 (ldsj (φ1s @ φ2s))))+
  using Cons by (intro prv_dsj_com_imp3) fastforce+
  have 1: prv (imp (dsj φ1 (ldsj (φ1s @ φ # φ2s))))
    (dsj φ1 (dsj φ (ldsj (φ1s @ φ2s))))+
  using Cons by (intro prv_dsj_mono) (auto simp add: prv_imp_refl)
  show ?case using prv_prv_imp_trans[OF _ _ _ 1 0] Cons by auto
qed(simp add: prv_imp_refl)

lemma prv_ldsj_imp_remdups:
assumes set φs ⊆ fmla
shows prv (imp (ldsj φs) (ldsj (remdups φs)))
using assms apply(induct φs)
subgoal by auto
subgoal by (metis ldsj prv_imp_ldsj_in prv_ldsj_imp_set_remdups) .

lemma prv_ldsj_mono:
assumes φ2s: set φ2s ⊆ fmla and set φ1s ⊆ set φ2s
shows prv (imp (ldsj φ1s) (ldsj φ2s))
proof-
  define φ1s' where φ1s': φ1s' = remdups φ1s
  have A: set φ1s' ⊆ set φ2s distinct φ1s' unfolding φ1s' using assms by auto

```

```

have B:  $\text{prv} (\text{imp} (\text{ldsj } \varphi_1s') (\text{ldsj } \varphi_2s))$ 
using  $\varphi_2s$  A proof(induction  $\varphi_2s$  arbitrary:  $\varphi_1s'$ )
  case (Cons  $\varphi_2$   $\varphi_2s$   $\varphi_1ss$ )
    show ?case proof(cases  $\varphi_2 \in \text{set } \varphi_1ss$ )
      case True
      then obtain  $\varphi_1ss1$   $\varphi_1ss2$  where  $\varphi_1ss: \varphi_1ss = \varphi_1ss1 @ \varphi_2 # \varphi_1ss2$ 
      by (meson split_list)
      define  $\varphi_1s$  where  $\varphi_1s: \varphi_1s \equiv \varphi_1ss1 @ \varphi_1ss2$ 
      have nin:  $\varphi_2 \notin \text{set } \varphi_1s$  using  $\varphi_1ss$  (distinct  $\varphi_1ss$ ) unfolding  $\varphi_1s$  by auto
      have [intro!,simp]:  $\text{set } \varphi_1s \subseteq \text{fmla}$  unfolding  $\varphi_1s$  using  $\varphi_1ss$  Cons by auto
      have 0:  $\text{prv} (\text{imp} (\text{ldsj } \varphi_1s) (\text{dsj } \varphi_2 (\text{ldsj } \varphi_1s)))$ 
      unfolding  $\varphi_1s$   $\varphi_1ss$ 
      apply(rule prv_ldsj_imp_inner) using Cons  $\varphi_1ss$  by auto
      have 1:  $\text{prv} (\text{imp} (\text{ldsj } \varphi_1s) (\text{ldsj } \varphi_2s))$  apply(rule Cons.IH) using nin Cons.preds True
      using  $\varphi_1s$   $\varphi_1ss$  by auto
      have 2:  $\text{prv} (\text{imp} (\text{dsj } \varphi_2 (\text{ldsj } \varphi_1s)) (\text{dsj } \varphi_2 (\text{ldsj } \varphi_2s)))$ 
      using Cons  $\varphi_1ss$   $\varphi_1s$  1 apply(intro prv_dsj_mono)
      using prv_imp_refl by auto blast+
      show ?thesis using Cons by (auto intro!: prv_prv_imp_trans[OF _ _ _ 0 2])
    next
      case False
      then show ?thesis using Cons
      by (meson ldsj_order.trans prv_imp_ldsj_in prv_ldsj_imp_subset_code(1))
    qed
  qed(simp add: prv_imp_refl)
  have C:  $\text{prv} (\text{imp} (\text{ldsj } \varphi_1s) (\text{ldsj } \varphi_1s'))$ 
  unfolding  $\varphi_1s'$  using assms by (intro prv_ldsj_imp_remdups) auto
  show ?thesis using A assms by (intro prv_prv_imp_trans[OF _ _ _ C B]) auto
qed

```

lemma prv_ldsj_eqv :
assumes $\text{set } \varphi_1s \subseteq \text{fmla}$ **and** $\text{set } \varphi_2s = \text{set } \varphi_1s$
shows $\text{prv} (\text{eqv} (\text{ldsj } \varphi_1s) (\text{ldsj } \varphi_2s))$
 using assms prv_ldsj_mono by (intro prv_eqvI) auto

lemma prv_ldsj_mono_imp :
assumes $\text{set } \varphi_1s \subseteq \text{fmla}$ $\text{set } \varphi_2s \subseteq \text{fmla}$ **and** $\forall \varphi_1 \in \text{set } \varphi_1s. \exists \varphi_2 \in \text{set } \varphi_2s. \text{prv} (\text{imp } \varphi_1 \varphi_2)$
shows $\text{prv} (\text{imp} (\text{ldsj } \varphi_1s) (\text{ldsj } \varphi_2s))$
 using assms apply(intro prv_ldsj_imp)
 subgoal by auto
 subgoal by auto
 subgoal using prv_imp_ldsj by blast .

Just like set-based conjunction, set-based disjunction commutes with substitution only up to provably equivalence:

lemma prv_subst_sdsj :
 $F \subseteq \text{fmla} \implies \text{finite } F \implies t \in \text{trm} \implies x \in \text{var} \implies$
 $\text{prv} (\text{eqv} (\text{subst} (\text{sdsj } F) t x) (\text{sdsj} ((\lambda \varphi. \text{subst } \varphi t x) ` F)))$
 unfolding sdsj_def by (fastforce intro!: prv_ldsj_eqv)

lemma prv_imp_sdsj_in :
assumes $\varphi \in \text{fmla}$ **and** $F \subseteq \text{fmla}$ finite F
and $\varphi \in F$
shows $\text{prv} (\text{imp } \varphi (\text{sdsj } F))$
 unfolding sdsj_def using assms by (intro prv_imp_ldsj_in) auto

lemma prv_imp_sdsj :
assumes $\chi \in \text{fmla}$ **and** $F \subseteq \text{fmla}$ finite F

```

and  $\varphi \in F$  and  $\text{prv}(\text{imp } \chi \varphi)$ 
shows  $\text{prv}(\text{imp } \chi (\text{sdsj } F))$ 
  unfolding  $\text{sdsj\_def}$  using assms by (intro  $\text{prv\_imp\_ldsj}$ ) auto

lemma  $\text{prv\_sdsj\_imp}$ :
assumes  $\chi \in \text{fmla}$  and  $F \subseteq \text{fmla}$  finite  $F$ 
and  $\bigwedge \varphi. \varphi \in F \implies \text{prv}(\text{imp } \varphi \chi)$ 
shows  $\text{prv}(\text{imp } (\text{sdsj } F) \chi)$ 
  unfolding  $\text{sdsj\_def}$  using assms by (intro  $\text{prv\_ldsj\_imp}$ ) auto

lemma  $\text{prv\_sdsj\_mono}$ :
assumes  $F2 \subseteq \text{fmla}$  and  $F1 \subseteq F2$  and finite  $F2$ 
shows  $\text{prv}(\text{imp } (\text{sdsj } F1) (\text{sdsj } F2))$ 
  unfolding  $\text{sdsj\_def}$  using assms apply(intro  $\text{prv\_ldsj\_mono}$ )
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by (metis asList infinite_super) .

lemma  $\text{prv\_sdsj\_mono\_imp}$ :
assumes  $F1 \subseteq \text{fmla}$   $F2 \subseteq \text{fmla}$  finite  $F1$  finite  $F2$ 
and  $\forall \varphi_1 \in F1. \exists \varphi_2 \in F2. \text{prv}(\text{imp } \varphi_1 \varphi_2)$ 
shows  $\text{prv}(\text{imp } (\text{sdsj } F1) (\text{sdsj } F2))$ 
  unfolding  $\text{sdsj\_def}$  using assms by (intro  $\text{prv\_ldsj\_mono\_imp}$ ) auto

lemma  $\text{prv\_sdsj\_cases}$ :
assumes  $F \subseteq \text{fmla}$  finite  $F$   $\psi \in \text{fmla}$ 
and  $\text{prv}(\text{sdsj } F)$  and  $\bigwedge \varphi. \varphi \in F \implies \text{prv}(\text{imp } \varphi \psi)$ 
shows  $\text{prv } \psi$ 
  by (meson assms  $\text{prv\_imp\_mp}$   $\text{prv\_sdsj\_imp}$   $\text{sdsj}$ )

lemma  $\text{prv\_sdsj1\_imp}$ :
 $\varphi \in \text{fmla} \implies \text{prv}(\text{imp } (\text{sdsj } \{\varphi\}) \varphi)$ 
  using  $\text{prv\_imp\_refl}$   $\text{prv\_sdsj\_imp}$  by fastforce

lemma  $\text{prv\_imp\_sdsj1}$ :
 $\varphi \in \text{fmla} \implies \text{prv}(\text{imp } \varphi (\text{sdsj } \{\varphi\}))$ 
  using  $\text{prv\_imp\_refl}$   $\text{prv\_imp\_sdsj}$  by fastforce

lemma  $\text{prv\_sdsj2\_imp\_dsj}$ :
 $\varphi \in \text{fmla} \implies \psi \in \text{fmla} \implies \text{prv}(\text{imp } (\text{sdsj } \{\varphi, \psi\}) (\text{dsj } \varphi \psi))$ 
  using  $\text{prv\_dsj\_impL}$   $\text{prv\_dsj\_impR}$   $\text{prv\_sdsj\_imp}$  by fastforce

lemma  $\text{prv\_dsj\_imp\_sdsj2}$ :
 $\varphi \in \text{fmla} \implies \psi \in \text{fmla} \implies \text{prv}(\text{imp } (\text{dsj } \varphi \psi) (\text{sdsj } \{\varphi, \psi\}))$ 
  by (simp add:  $\text{prv\_imp\_dsjEE}$   $\text{prv\_imp\_sdsj\_in}$ )

Commutation with parallel substitution:

lemma  $\text{prv\_rawpsubst\_sdsj}$ :
assumes  $F \subseteq \text{fmla}$  finite  $F$ 
and  $\text{snd} ` (\text{set } \text{txs}) \subseteq \text{var}$   $\text{fst} ` (\text{set } \text{txs}) \subseteq \text{trm}$ 
shows  $\text{prv}(\text{eqv}(\text{rawpsubst}(\text{sdsj } F) \text{ txs}) (\text{sdsj } ((\lambda \varphi. \text{rawpsubst } \varphi \text{ txs}) ` F)))$ 
  using assms proof(induction txs arbitrary:  $F$ )
  case (Cons tx txs)
    then obtain t x where tx[simp]:  $tx = (t, x)$  by (cases tx) auto
    hence [simp]:  $t \in \text{trm}$   $x \in \text{var}$  using Cons.preds by auto
    have 0:  $(\lambda \varphi. \text{rawpsubst}(\text{subst } \varphi \text{ t } x) \text{ txs}) ` F =$ 
       $(\lambda \varphi. \text{rawpsubst } \varphi \text{ txs}) ` ((\lambda \varphi. \text{subst } \varphi \text{ t } x) ` F)$ 
    using Cons.preds by auto
    have prv (eqv (subst (sdsj F) t x))

```

```

(sdsj ((λφ. subst φ t x) ` F)))
using Cons.prefs by (intro prv_subst_sdsj) auto
hence prv (eqv (rawsubst (subst (sdsj F) t x) txs)
    (rawsubst (sdsj ((λφ. subst φ t x) ` F)) txs))
using Cons.prefs by (intro prv_eqv_rawsubst) auto
moreover
have prv (eqv (rawsubst (sdsj ((λφ. subst φ t x) ` F)) txs)
    (sdsj ((λφ. rawsubst (subst φ t x) txs) ` F)))
unfolding 0 using Cons.prefs by (intro Cons.IH) auto
ultimately show ?case
using Cons.prefs apply – by (rule prv_eqv_trans) (auto intro!: rawsubst)
qed(auto simp: image_def prv_eqv_refl)[]

lemma prv_psubst_sdsj:
assumes F ⊆ fmla finite F
and snd ` (set txs) ⊆ var fst ` (set txs) ⊆ trm
and distinct (map snd txs)
shows prv (eqv (psubst (sdsj F) txs) (sdsj ((λφ. psubst φ txs) ` F)))
proof –
define us where us: us ≡ getFrN (map snd txs) (map fst txs) [sdsj F] (length txs)
have us_facts: set us ⊆ var
set us ∩ ⋃ (Fvars ` F) = {}
set us ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ` (fst ` (set txs))) = {}
set us ∩ snd ` (set txs) = {}
length us = length txs
distinct us
using assms unfolding us
using getFrN_Fvars[of map snd txs map fst txs [sdsj F] _ length txs]
getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd txs map fst txs [sdsj F] _ length txs]
getFrN_var[of map snd txs map fst txs [sdsj F] _ length txs]
getFrN_length[of map snd txs map fst txs [sdsj F] length txs]
apply –
subgoal by auto
subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)
subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)
subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)
by auto

define vs where vs: vs ≡ λ φ. getFrN (map snd txs) (map fst txs) [φ] (length txs)
hence vss: ∀φ. vs φ = getFrN (map snd txs) (map fst txs) [φ] (length txs) by auto
{fix φ assume φ ∈ F hence φ ∈ fmla using assms by auto
hence set (vs φ) ⊆ var ∧
set (vs φ) ∩ Fvars φ = {} ∧
set (vs φ) ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ` (fst ` (set txs))) = {} ∧
set (vs φ) ∩ snd ` (set txs) = {} ∧
length (vs φ) = length txs ∧
distinct (vs φ)
using assms unfolding vs
using getFrN_Fvars[of map snd txs map fst txs [φ] _ length txs]
getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd txs map fst txs [φ] _ length txs]
getFrN_var[of map snd txs map fst txs [φ] _ length txs]
getFrN_length[of map snd txs map fst txs [φ] length txs]
apply(intro conjI)
subgoal by auto
subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)
subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)

```

```

subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)
by auto
} note vs_facts = this

have [simp]:  $\bigwedge x f. f \in F \implies x \in \text{set } (vs f) \implies x \in \text{var}$ 
using vs_facts by (meson subsetD)

let ?tus = zip (map fst txs) us
let ?uxs = zip (map Var us) (map snd txs)
let ?tvs =  $\lambda \varphi. \text{zip} (\text{map} \text{ fst} \text{ txs}) (\text{vs} \varphi)$ 
let ?vxs =  $\lambda \varphi. \text{zip} (\text{map} \text{ Var} (\text{vs} \varphi)) (\text{map} \text{ snd} \text{ txs})$ 

let ?c = rawpsubst (sdsj F) ?uxs
have c: prv (eqv ?c
    (sdsj (( $\lambda \varphi. \text{rawpsubst} \varphi$  ?uxs) ` F)))
using assms us_facts
by (intro prv_rawpsubst_sdsj) (auto intro!: rawpsubstT dest!: set_zip_D)
hence prv (eqv (rawpsubst ?c ?tus)
    (rawpsubst (sdsj (( $\lambda \varphi. \text{rawpsubst} \varphi$  ?uxs) ` F)) ?tus))
using assms us_facts by (intro prv_eqv_rawpsubst) (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
moreover
have prv (eqv (rawpsubst (sdsj (( $\lambda \varphi. \text{rawpsubst} \varphi$  ?uxs) ` F)) ?tus)
    (sdsj (( $\lambda \varphi. \text{rawpsubst} \varphi$  ?tus) ` (( $\lambda \varphi. \text{rawpsubst} \varphi$  ?uxs) ` F))))
using assms us_facts by (intro prv_rawpsubst_sdsj) (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
ultimately
have 0: prv (eqv (rawpsubst ?c ?tus)
    (sdsj (( $\lambda \varphi. \text{rawpsubst} \varphi$  ?tus) ` (( $\lambda \varphi. \text{rawpsubst} \varphi$  ?uxs) ` F)))
using assms us_facts apply- by (rule prv_eqv_trans) (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
moreover
have prv (eqv (sdsj (( $\lambda \varphi. \text{rawpsubst} \varphi$  ?tus) ` (( $\lambda \varphi. \text{rawpsubst} \varphi$  ?uxs) ` F)))
    (sdsj (( $\lambda \varphi. \text{rawpsubst} (\text{rawpsubst} \varphi$  (?vxs  $\varphi$ )) (?tvs  $\varphi$ )) ` F)))
using assms us_facts vs_facts apply(intro prv_eqvI)
subgoal by (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal by (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal apply(rule prv_sdsj_mono_imp)
    subgoal by (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
    subgoal by (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
    subgoal by auto
    subgoal by auto
    subgoal apply clarsimp
        subgoal for  $\varphi$  apply(rule bexI[of _  $\varphi$ ]) apply(rule prv_imp_refl2)
        subgoal by (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
        subgoal by (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
        subgoal by (rule rawpsubst_compose_freshVar2)
            (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D) ...
subgoal apply(rule prv_sdsj_mono_imp)
    subgoal by (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
    subgoal apply clarsimp
        subgoal for  $\varphi$  apply(rule bexI[of _  $\varphi$ ]) apply(rule prv_imp_refl2)
        apply (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
        apply(rule rawpsubst_compose_freshVar2)
        apply (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D) ....
ultimately
have prv (eqv (rawpsubst (rawpsubst (sdsj F) ?uxs) ?tus)
    (sdsj (( $\lambda \varphi. \text{rawpsubst} (\text{rawpsubst} \varphi$  (?vxs  $\varphi$ )) (?tvs  $\varphi$ )) ` F)))

```

```

using assms us_facts
apply_by (rule prv_eqv_trans) (auto intro!: rawpsubst dest!: set_zip_D)
thus ?thesis unfolding psubst_def by (simp add: Let_def us[symmetric] vss)
qed

end -- context Deduct_with_False_Disj

```

3.4 Deduction with Quantified Variable Renaming Included

```

locale Deduct_with_False_Disj_Rename =
Deduct_with_False_Disj
var trm fmla Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
eql cnj imp all exi
fls
dsj
num
prv
+
Syntax_with_Connectives_Rename
var trm fmla Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
eql cnj imp all exi
for
var :: 'var set and trm :: 'trm set and fmla :: 'fmla set
and Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
and eql cnj imp all exi
and fls
and dsj
and num
and prv

```

3.5 Deduction with PseudoOrder Axioms Included

We assume a two-variable formula Lq that satisfies two axioms resembling the properties of the strict or nonstrict ordering on naturals. The choice of these axioms is motivated by an abstract account of Rosser's trick to improve on Gödel's First Incompleteness Theorem, reported in our CADE 2019 paper [1].

```

locale Deduct_with_PseudoOrder =
Deduct_with_False_Disj
var trm fmla Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
eql cnj imp all exi
fls
dsj
num
prv
+
Syntax_PseudoOrder
var trm fmla Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
eql cnj imp all exi
fls
dsj
num
Lq
for
var :: 'var set and trm :: 'trm set and fmla :: 'fmla set
and Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
and eql cnj imp all exi

```

```

and fls
and dsj
and num
and prv
and Lq
+
assumes
Lq_num:
let LLq = ( $\lambda t1 t2. psubst Lq [(t1,zz), (t2,yy)]$ ) in
 $\forall \varphi \in fmla. \forall q \in num. Fvars \varphi = \{zz\} \wedge (\forall p \in num. prv (subst \varphi p zz))$ 
 $\longrightarrow prv (all zz (imp (LLq (Var zz) q) \varphi))$ 
and
Lq_num2:
let LLq = ( $\lambda t1 t2. psubst Lq [(t1,zz), (t2,yy)]$ ) in
 $\forall p \in num. \exists P \subseteq num. finite P \wedge prv (dsj (sdsj \{eql (Var yy) r | r. r \in P\}) (LLq p (Var yy)))$ 
begin

lemma LLq_num:
assumes  $\varphi \in fmla$   $q \in num$   $Fvars \varphi = \{zz\}$   $\forall p \in num. prv (subst \varphi p zz)$ 
shows  $prv (all zz (imp (LLq (Var zz) q) \varphi))$ 
using assms Lq_num unfolding LLq_def by auto

lemma LLq_num2:
assumes  $p \in num$ 
shows  $\exists P \subseteq num. finite P \wedge prv (dsj (sdsj \{eql (Var yy) r | r. r \in P\}) (LLq p (Var yy)))$ 
using assms Lq_num2 unfolding LLq_def by auto

end — context Deduct_with_PseudoOrder

```

Chapter 4

Natural Deduction

We develop a natural deduction system based on the Hilbert system.

```
context Deduct_with_False_Disj
begin
```

4.1 Natural Deduction from the Hilbert System

```
definition nprv :: 'fmla set ⇒ 'fmla ⇒ bool where
nprv F φ ≡ prv (imp (scnj F) φ)
```

```
lemma nprv_hyp[simp,intro]:
φ ∈ F ⇒ F ⊆ fmla ⇒ finite F ⇒ nprv F φ
unfolding nprv_def
by (simp add: prv_scnj_imp_in_subset_iff)
```

4.2 Structural Rules for the Natural Deduction Relation

```
lemma prv_nprv0I: prv φ ⇒ φ ∈ fmla ⇒ nprv {} φ
unfolding nprv_def by (simp add: prv_imp_triv)
```

```
lemma prv_nprv0I': φ ∈ fmla ⇒ prv φ ↔ nprv {} φ
using prv_nprv0I unfolding nprv_def
by (metis asList eqv finite.simps insert_not_empty lcnj.simps(1) ldsj.cases
list.simps(15) prv_eqvI prv_imp_mp prv_imp_tru scnj_def tru)
```

```
lemma nprv_mono:
assumes nprv G φ
and F ⊆ fmla finite F G ⊆ F φ ∈ fmla
shows nprv F φ
using assms unfolding nprv_def
by (meson order_trans prv_prv_imp_trans prv_scnj_mono rev_finite_subset scnj)
```

```
lemma nprv_cut:
assumes nprv F φ and nprv (insert φ F) ψ
and F ⊆ fmla finite F φ ∈ fmla ψ ∈ fmla
shows nprv F ψ
using assms unfolding nprv_def
by (metis (full_types) cnj finite.insertI
insert_subset prv_imp_cnj prv_imp_cnj_scnj prv_imp_refl prv_prv_imp_trans scnj)
```

```
lemma nprv_strong_cut2:
```

```

nprv F φ1 ==> nprv (insert φ1 F) φ2 ==> nprv (insert φ2 (insert φ1 F)) ψ ==>
F ⊆ fmla ==> finite F ==> φ1 ∈ fmla ==> φ2 ∈ fmla ==> ψ ∈ fmla ==>
nprv F ψ
by (meson finite.insertI insert_subsetI nprv_cut)

```

```

lemma nprv_cut2:
nprv F φ1 ==> nprv F φ2 ==>
F ⊆ fmla ==> finite F ==> φ1 ∈ fmla ==> φ2 ∈ fmla ==> ψ ∈ fmla ==>
nprv (insert φ2 (insert φ1 F)) ψ ==> nprv F ψ
by (meson finite.insertI insert_subsetI nprv_mono nprv_strong_cut2 subset_insertI)

```

Useful for fine control of the eigenformula:

```

lemma nprv_insertShiftI:
nprv (insert φ1 (insert φ2 F)) ψ ==> nprv (insert φ2 (insert φ1 F)) ψ
by (simp add: insert_commute)

```

```

lemma nprv_insertShift2I:
nprv (insert φ3 (insert φ1 (insert φ2 F))) ψ ==> nprv (insert φ1 (insert φ2 (insert φ3 F))) ψ
by (simp add: insert_commute)

```

4.3 Back and Forth between Hilbert and Natural Deduction

This is now easy, thanks to the large number of facts we have proved for Hilbert-style deduction

```

lemma prv_nprvI: prv φ ==> φ ∈ fmla ==> F ⊆ fmla ==> finite F ==> nprv F φ
using prv_nprv0I
by (simp add: nprv_def prv_imp_triv)

```

thm *prv_nprv0I*

```

lemma prv_nprv1I:
assumes φ ∈ fmla ψ ∈ fmla and prv (imp φ ψ)
shows nprv {φ} ψ
using assms unfolding nprv_def by (simp add: prv_scnj_imp)

lemma prv_nprv2I:
assumes prv (imp φ1 (imp φ2 ψ)) φ1 ∈ fmla φ2 ∈ fmla ψ ∈ fmla
shows nprv {φ1,φ2} ψ
using assms unfolding nprv_def
by (meson cnj_empty_subsetI finite.simps insert_subsetI prv_cnj_imp_monoR2 prv_prv_imp_trans
prv_scnj2_imp_cnj_scnj)

lemma nprv_prvI: nprv {} φ ==> φ ∈ fmla ==> prv φ
using prv_nprv_emp by auto

```

4.4 More Structural Properties

```

lemma nprv_clear: nprv {} φ ==> F ⊆ fmla ==> finite F ==> φ ∈ fmla ==> nprv F φ
by (rule nprv_mono) auto

```

```

lemma nprv_cut_set:
assumes F: finite F F ⊆ fmla and G: finite G G ⊆ fmla χ ∈ fmla
and n1: ⋀ ψ. ψ ∈ G ==> nprv F ψ and n2: nprv (G ∪ F) χ
shows nprv F χ
using G F n1 n2 proof(induction arbitrary: F χ)
  case (insert ψ G F χ)
  hence 0: nprv F ψ by auto

```

```

have 1: nprv (insert  $\psi$  F)  $\chi$ 
using insert.prems apply–apply(rule insert.IH)
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by (meson finite.simps insert_subset nprv_mono subsetD subset_insertI)
by auto
show ?case using insert.prems by (intro nprv_cut[OF 0 1]) auto
qed(insert nprv_clear, auto)

lemma nprv_clear2_1:
nprv { $\varphi_2$ }  $\psi \implies \varphi_1 \in \text{fmla} \implies \varphi_2 \in \text{fmla} \implies \psi \in \text{fmla} \implies$ 
nprv { $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$ }  $\psi$ 
by (rule nprv_mono) auto

lemma nprv_clear2_2:
nprv { $\varphi_1$ }  $\psi \implies \varphi_1 \in \text{fmla} \implies \varphi_2 \in \text{fmla} \implies \psi \in \text{fmla} \implies$ 
nprv { $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$ }  $\psi$ 
by (rule nprv_mono) auto

lemma nprv_clear3_1:
nprv { $\varphi_2, \varphi_3$ }  $\psi \implies \varphi_1 \in \text{fmla} \implies \varphi_2 \in \text{fmla} \implies \varphi_3 \in \text{fmla} \implies \psi \in \text{fmla} \implies$ 
nprv { $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3$ }  $\psi$ 
by (rule nprv_mono) auto

lemma nprv_clear3_2:
nprv { $\varphi_1, \varphi_3$ }  $\psi \implies \varphi_1 \in \text{fmla} \implies \varphi_2 \in \text{fmla} \implies \varphi_3 \in \text{fmla} \implies \psi \in \text{fmla} \implies$ 
nprv { $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3$ }  $\psi$ 
by (rule nprv_mono) auto

lemma nprv_clear3_3:
nprv { $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$ }  $\psi \implies \varphi_1 \in \text{fmla} \implies \varphi_2 \in \text{fmla} \implies \varphi_3 \in \text{fmla} \implies \psi \in \text{fmla} \implies$ 
nprv { $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3$ }  $\psi$ 
by (rule nprv_mono) auto

lemma nprv_clear4_1:
nprv { $\varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4$ }  $\psi \implies \varphi_1 \in \text{fmla} \implies \varphi_2 \in \text{fmla} \implies \varphi_3 \in \text{fmla} \implies \varphi_4 \in \text{fmla} \implies \psi \in \text{fmla} \implies$ 
nprv { $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4$ }  $\psi$ 
by (rule nprv_mono) auto

lemma nprv_clear4_2:
nprv { $\varphi_1, \varphi_3, \varphi_4$ }  $\psi \implies \varphi_1 \in \text{fmla} \implies \varphi_2 \in \text{fmla} \implies \varphi_3 \in \text{fmla} \implies \varphi_4 \in \text{fmla} \implies \psi \in \text{fmla} \implies$ 
nprv { $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4$ }  $\psi$ 
by (rule nprv_mono) auto

lemma nprv_clear4_3:
nprv { $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_4$ }  $\psi \implies \varphi_1 \in \text{fmla} \implies \varphi_2 \in \text{fmla} \implies \varphi_3 \in \text{fmla} \implies \varphi_4 \in \text{fmla} \implies \psi \in \text{fmla} \implies$ 
nprv { $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4$ }  $\psi$ 
by (rule nprv_mono) auto

lemma nprv_clear4_4:
nprv { $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3$ }  $\psi \implies \varphi_1 \in \text{fmla} \implies \varphi_2 \in \text{fmla} \implies \varphi_3 \in \text{fmla} \implies \varphi_4 \in \text{fmla} \implies \psi \in \text{fmla} \implies$ 
nprv { $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4$ }  $\psi$ 
by (rule nprv_mono) auto

lemma nprv_clear5_1:
nprv { $\varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4, \varphi_5$ }  $\psi \implies \varphi_1 \in \text{fmla} \implies \varphi_2 \in \text{fmla} \implies \varphi_3 \in \text{fmla} \implies \varphi_4 \in \text{fmla} \implies \varphi_5 \in \text{fmla}$ 

```

```

 $\implies \psi \in fmla \implies$ 
 $nprv \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4, \varphi_5\} \psi$ 
by (rule nprv_mono) auto

lemma nprv_clear5_2:
 $nprv \{\varphi_1, \varphi_3, \varphi_4, \varphi_5\} \psi \implies \varphi_1 \in fmla \implies \varphi_2 \in fmla \implies \varphi_3 \in fmla \implies \varphi_4 \in fmla \implies \varphi_5 \in fmla$ 
 $\implies \psi \in fmla \implies$ 
 $nprv \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4, \varphi_5\} \psi$ 
by (rule nprv_mono) auto

lemma nprv_clear5_3:
 $nprv \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_4, \varphi_5\} \psi \implies \varphi_1 \in fmla \implies \varphi_2 \in fmla \implies \varphi_3 \in fmla \implies \varphi_4 \in fmla \implies \varphi_5 \in fmla$ 
 $\implies \psi \in fmla \implies$ 
 $nprv \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4, \varphi_5\} \psi$ 
by (rule nprv_mono) auto

lemma nprv_clear5_4:
 $nprv \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_5\} \psi \implies \varphi_1 \in fmla \implies \varphi_2 \in fmla \implies \varphi_3 \in fmla \implies \varphi_4 \in fmla \implies \varphi_5 \in fmla$ 
 $\implies \psi \in fmla \implies$ 
 $nprv \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4, \varphi_5\} \psi$ 
by (rule nprv_mono) auto

lemma nprv_clear5_5:
 $nprv \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4\} \psi \implies \varphi_1 \in fmla \implies \varphi_2 \in fmla \implies \varphi_3 \in fmla \implies \varphi_4 \in fmla \implies \varphi_5 \in fmla$ 
 $\implies \psi \in fmla \implies$ 
 $nprv \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4, \varphi_5\} \psi$ 
by (rule nprv_mono) auto

```

4.5 Properties Involving Substitution

```

lemma nprv_subst:
assumes  $x \in var t \in trm \psi \in fmla$  finite  $F F \subseteq fmla$ 
and 1:  $nprv F \psi$ 
shows  $nprv ((\lambda \varphi. subst \varphi t x) ` F) (subst \psi t x)$ 
using assms using  $prv\_subst[OF \dots 1[unfolded nprv\_def]]$  unfolding  $nprv\_def$ 
by (intro  $prv\_prv\_imp\_trans[OF \dots prv\_subst\_scnj\_imp]$ ) auto

```

```

lemma nprv_subst_fresh:
assumes 0:  $x \in var t \in trm \psi \in fmla$  finite  $F F \subseteq fmla$ 
 $nprv F \psi$  and 1:  $x \notin \bigcup (Fvars ` F)$ 
shows  $nprv F (subst \psi t x)$ 
proof-
have 2:  $(\lambda \varphi. subst \varphi t x) ` F = F$  unfolding  $image\_def$  using assms by force
show ?thesis using  $nprv\_subst[OF 0]$  unfolding 2 .
qed

```

```

lemma nprv_subst_rev:
assumes 0:  $x \in var y \in var \psi \in fmla$  finite  $F F \subseteq fmla$ 
and f:  $y = x \vee (y \notin Fvars \psi \wedge y \notin \bigcup (Fvars ` F))$ 
and 1:  $nprv ((\lambda \varphi. subst \varphi (Var y) x) ` F) (subst \psi (Var y) x)$ 
shows  $nprv F \psi$ 
proof-
have 0:  $subst (subst \psi (Var y) x) (Var x) y = \psi$ 
using assms by (auto simp: subst_compose_eq_or)
have  $nprv ((\lambda \varphi. subst \varphi (Var x) y) ` (\lambda \varphi. subst \varphi (Var y) x) ` F) \psi$ 
using assms apply(subst 0[symmetric]) by (rule nprv_subst) auto
moreover
have  $prv (imp (scnj F))$ 

```

```

(scnj ((λφ. subst φ (Var x) y) ` (λφ. subst φ (Var y) x) ` F)))
using assms apply(intro prv_scnj_mono_imp)
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal apply clarify
  subgoal for __ φ
    by (auto simp: subst_compose_eq_or_intro!: bexI[of _ φ] prv_imp_refl2) ..
ultimately show ?thesis
unfolding nprv_def using assms
apply- by(rule prv_prv_imp_trans) auto
qed

lemma nprv_psubst:
assumes 0: snd ` set txs ⊆ var fst ` set txs ⊆ trm ψ ∈ fmla finite F F ⊆ fmla
distinct (map snd txs)
and 1: nprv F ψ
shows nprv ((λφ. psubst φ txs) ` F) (psubst ψ txs)
using assms unfolding nprv_def
apply(intro prv_prv_imp_trans[OF ___ prv_psubst_scnj_imp])
subgoal by auto
subgoal using prv_psubst[OF ___ 1[unfolded nprv_def]]
by (metis imp psubst_imp scnj) .

```

4.6 Introduction and Elimination Rules

We systematically leave the side-conditions at the end, to simplify reasoning.

```

lemma nprv_impI:
nprv (insert φ F) ψ ==>
F ⊆ fmla ==> finite F ==> φ ∈ fmla ==> ψ ∈ fmla ==>
nprv F (imp φ ψ)
unfolding nprv_def
by (metis cnj finite.insertI insert_subset prv_cnj_imp prv_imp_cnj_scnj prv_imp_com prv_prv_imp_trans
scnj)

lemma nprv_impI_rev:
assumes nprv F (imp φ ψ)
and F ⊆ fmla and finite F and φ ∈ fmla and ψ ∈ fmla
shows nprv (insert φ F) ψ
using assms unfolding nprv_def
by (metis cnj finite.insertI insert_subset prv_cnj_imp_monoR2 prv_equiv_imp_transi
prv_equiv_scnj_insert prv_imp_com scnj)

lemma nprv_impI_rev2:
assumes nprv F (imp φ ψ) and G: insert φ F ⊆ G
and G ⊆ fmla and finite G and φ ∈ fmla and ψ ∈ fmla
shows nprv G ψ
using assms apply- apply(rule nprv_mono[of insert φ F])
subgoal by (meson nprv_impI_rev order_trans rev_finite_subset insertI)

```

by auto

lemma *nprv_mp*:

*nprv F (imp φ ψ) ⇒ nprv F φ ⇒
F ⊆ fmla ⇒ finite F ⇒ φ ∈ fmla ⇒ ψ ∈ fmla ⇒
nprv F ψ*

unfolding *nprv_def*

by (metis (full_types) cnj prv_cnj_imp_monoR2 prv_imp_cnj prv_imp_refl prv_prv_imp_trans scnj)

lemma *nprv_impE*:

*nprv F (imp φ ψ) ⇒ nprv F φ ⇒ nprv (insert ψ F) χ ⇒
F ⊆ fmla ⇒ finite F ⇒ φ ∈ fmla ⇒ ψ ∈ fmla ⇒ χ ∈ fmla ⇒
nprv F χ*

using *nprv_cut nprv_mp* **by** blast

lemmas *nprv_impE0 = nprv_impE[OF nprv_hyp __, simped]*

lemmas *nprv_impE1 = nprv_impE[OF __ nprv_hyp __, simped]*

lemmas *nprv_impE2 = nprv_impE[OF __ __ nprv_hyp, simped]*

lemmas *nprv_impE01 = nprv_impE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp __, simped]*

lemmas *nprv_impE02 = nprv_impE[OF nprv_hyp __ nprv_hyp, simped]*

lemmas *nprv_impE12 = nprv_impE[OF __ nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]*

lemmas *nprv_impE012 = nprv_impE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]*

lemma *nprv_cnjI*:

*nprv F φ ⇒ nprv F ψ ⇒
F ⊆ fmla ⇒ finite F ⇒ φ ∈ fmla ⇒ ψ ∈ fmla ⇒
nprv F (cnj φ ψ)*

unfolding *nprv_def* **by** (simp add: prv_imp_cnj)

lemma *nprv_cnjE*:

*nprv F (cnj φ1 φ2) ⇒ nprv (insert φ1 (insert φ2 F)) ψ ⇒
F ⊆ fmla ⇒ finite F ⇒ φ1 ∈ fmla ⇒ φ2 ∈ fmla ⇒ ψ ∈ fmla ⇒
nprv F ψ*

unfolding *nprv_def*

by (metis cnj nprv_cut2 nprv_def prv_imp_cnjL prv_imp_cnjR prv_prv_imp_trans scnj)

lemmas *nprv_cnjE0 = nprv_cnjE[OF nprv_hyp __, simped]*

lemmas *nprv_cnjE1 = nprv_cnjE[OF __ nprv_hyp, simped]*

lemmas *nprv_cnjE01 = nprv_cnjE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]*

lemma *nprv_dsjIL*:

*nprv F φ ⇒
F ⊆ fmla ⇒ finite F ⇒ φ ∈ fmla ⇒ ψ ∈ fmla ⇒
nprv F (dsj φ ψ)*

unfolding *nprv_def* **by** (meson dsj prv_dsj_impL prv_prv_imp_trans scnj)

lemma *nprv_dsjIR*:

*nprv F ψ ⇒
F ⊆ fmla ⇒ finite F ⇒ φ ∈ fmla ⇒ ψ ∈ fmla ⇒
nprv F (dsj φ ψ)*

unfolding *nprv_def* **by** (meson dsj prv_dsj_impR prv_prv_imp_trans scnj)

lemma *nprv_dsjE*:

assumes *nprv F (dsj φ ψ)*

and *nprv (insert φ F) χ nprv (insert ψ F) χ*

and *F ⊆ fmla finite F φ ∈ fmla ψ ∈ fmla χ ∈ fmla*

shows *nprv F χ*

proof-

```

have nprv F (imp (dsj φ ψ) χ)
  by (meson assms dsj imp nprv_def nprv_impI prv_imp_com prv_imp_dsjEE scnj)
hence nprv (insert (dsj φ ψ) F) χ using assms
  by (simp add: nprv_impI_rev)
thus ?thesis using assms by (meson dsj nprv_cut)
qed

lemmas nprv_dsjE0 = nprv_dsjE[OF nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_dsjE1 = nprv_dsjE[OF __ nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_dsjE2 = nprv_dsjE[OF __ __ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_dsjE01 = nprv_dsjE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_dsjE02 = nprv_dsjE[OF nprv_hyp __ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_dsjE12 = nprv_dsjE[OF __ nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_dsjE012 = nprv_dsjE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]

lemma nprv_flsE: nprv F fls ==> F ⊆ fmla ==> finite F ==> φ ∈ fmla ==> nprv F φ
unfolding nprv_def using prv_prv_imp_trans scnj by blast

lemmas nprv_flsE0 = nprv_flsE[OF nprv_hyp, simped]

lemma nprv_truI: F ⊆ fmla ==> finite F ==> nprv F tru
unfolding nprv_def by (simp add: prv_imp_tru)

lemma nprv_negI:
nprv (insert φ F) fls ==>
F ⊆ fmla ==> finite F ==> φ ∈ fmla ==>
nprv F (neg φ)
unfolding neg_def by (auto intro: nprv_impI)

lemma nprv_neg_fls:
nprv F (neg φ) ==> nprv F φ ==>
F ⊆ fmla ==> finite F ==> φ ∈ fmla ==> ψ ∈ fmla ==>
nprv F fls
unfolding neg_def using nprv_mp by blast

lemma nprv_negE:
nprv F (neg φ) ==> nprv F φ ==>
F ⊆ fmla ==> finite F ==> φ ∈ fmla ==> ψ ∈ fmla ==>
nprv F ψ
using nprv_flsE nprv_neg_fls by blast

lemmas nprv_negE0 = nprv_negE[OF nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_negE1 = nprv_negE[OF __ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_negE01 = nprv_negE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]

lemma nprv_scnjI:
(\ $\bigwedge \psi. \psi \in G \implies nprv F \psi) \implies$ 
F ⊆ fmla ==> finite F ==> G ⊆ fmla ==> finite G ==>
nprv F (scnj G)
unfolding nprv_def by (simp add: prv_imp_scnj)

lemma nprv_scnjE:
nprv F (scnj G) ==> nprv (G ∪ F) ψ ==>
F ⊆ fmla ==> finite F ==> G ⊆ fmla ==> finite G ==> ψ ∈ fmla ==>
nprv F ψ
apply(rule nprv_cut_set[of _ G])
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto

```

```

subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by (meson in_mono nprv_def prv_prv_imp_trans prv_scnj_imp_in scnj) .

lemmas nprv_scnjE0 = nprv_scnjE[OF nprv_hyp _, simped]
lemmas nprv_scnjE1 = nprv_scnjE[OF _ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_scnjE01 = nprv_scnjE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]

lemma nprv_lcnjI:

$$(\bigwedge \psi. \psi \in \text{set } \psi s \implies \text{nprv } F \psi) \implies$$


$$F \subseteq \text{fmla} \implies \text{finite } F \implies \text{set } \psi s \subseteq \text{fmla} \implies$$


$$\text{nprv } F (\text{lcnj } \psi s)$$

unfolding nprv_def by (simp add: prv_imp_lcnj)

lemma nprv_lcnjE:

$$\text{nprv } F (\text{lcnj } \varphi s) \implies \text{nprv } (\text{set } \varphi s \cup F) \psi \implies$$


$$F \subseteq \text{fmla} \implies \text{finite } F \implies \text{set } \varphi s \subseteq \text{fmla} \implies \psi \in \text{fmla} \implies$$


$$\text{nprv } F \psi$$

apply(rule nprv_cut_set[of _ set \varphi s \cup F])
subgoal by auto
subgoal
apply (elim UnE)
apply (meson lcnj nprv_def prv_lcnj_imp_in prv_prv_imp_trans scnj subset_code(1))
by auto
subgoal by auto .

lemmas nprv_lcnjE0 = nprv_lcnjE[OF nprv_hyp _, simped]
lemmas nprv_lcnjE1 = nprv_lcnjE[OF _ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_lcnjE01 = nprv_lcnjE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]

lemma nprv_sdsjI:

$$\text{nprv } F \varphi \implies$$


$$F \subseteq \text{fmla} \implies \text{finite } F \implies G \subseteq \text{fmla} \implies \text{finite } G \implies \varphi \in G \implies$$


$$\text{nprv } F (\text{sdsj } G)$$

unfolding nprv_def by (simp add: prv_imp_sdsj)

lemma nprv_sdsjE:
assumes nprv F (sdsj G)
and  $\bigwedge \psi. \psi \in G \implies \text{nprv } (\text{insert } \psi F) \chi$ 
and  $F \subseteq \text{fmla}$  finite  $F$   $G \subseteq \text{fmla}$  finite  $G$   $\chi \in \text{fmla}$ 
shows nprv F  $\chi$ 
proof-
have 0: prv (imp (sdsj G) (imp (scnj F)  $\chi$ ))
using assms apply(intro prv_sdsj_imp)
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by (meson nprv_def nprv_impI prv_imp_com scnj set_rev_mp) .
hence nprv F (imp (sdsj G)  $\chi$ )
by (simp add: 0 assms nprv_def prv_imp_com)
thus ?thesis using assms nprv_mp by blast
qed

```

```

lemmas nprv_sdsjE0 = nprv_sdsjE[OF nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_sdsjE1 = nprv_sdsjE[OF __ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_sdsjE01 = nprv_sdsjE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]

lemma nprv_ldsjI:
nprv F φ ==>
F ⊆ fmla ==> finite F ==> set φs ⊆ fmla ==> φ ∈ set φs ==>
nprv F (ldsj φs)
unfolding nprv_def by(simp add: prv_imp_ldsj)

lemma nprv_ldsjE:
assumes nprv F (ldsj ψs)
and ∧ ψ. ψ ∈ set ψs ==> nprv (insert ψ F) χ
and F ⊆ fmla finite F set ψs ⊆ fmla χ ∈ fmla
shows nprv F χ
proof-
have 0: prv (imp (ldsj ψs) (imp (scnj F) χ))
using assms apply(intro prv_ldsj_imp)
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by (meson nprv_def nprv_impI prv_imp_com scnj set_rev_mp) .
hence nprv F (imp (ldsj ψs) χ)
by (simp add: 0 assms nprv_def prv_imp_com)
thus ?thesis using assms nprv_mp by blast
qed

lemmas nprv_ldsjE0 = nprv_ldsjE[OF nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_ldsjE1 = nprv_ldsjE[OF __ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_ldsjE01 = nprv_ldsjE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]

lemma nprv_allI:
nprv F φ ==>
F ⊆ fmla ==> finite F ==> φ ∈ fmla ==> x ∈ var ==> x ∉ ⋃ (Fvars ` F) ==>
nprv F (all x φ)
unfolding nprv_def by (rule prv_all_imp_gen) auto

lemma nprv_allE:
assumes nprv F (all x φ) nprv (insert (subst φ t x) F) ψ
F ⊆ fmla finite F φ ∈ fmla t ∈ trm x ∈ var ψ ∈ fmla
shows nprv F ψ
proof-
have nprv F (subst φ t x)
using assms unfolding nprv_def by (meson all subst prv_all_inst prv_prv_imp_trans scnj)
thus ?thesis by (meson assms local.subst nprv_cut)
qed

lemmas nprv_allE0 = nprv_allE[OF nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_allE1 = nprv_allE[OF __ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_allE01 = nprv_allE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]

lemma nprv_exiI:
nprv F (subst φ t x) ==>
F ⊆ fmla ==> finite F ==> φ ∈ fmla ==> t ∈ trm ==> x ∈ var ==>
nprv F (exi x φ)
unfolding nprv_def by (meson exi local.subst prv_exi_inst prv_prv_imp_trans scnj)

lemma nprv_exiE:
assumes n: nprv F (exi x φ)

```

```

and nn: nprv (insert  $\varphi$  F)  $\psi$ 
and 0[simp]:  $F \subseteq \text{fmla}$  finite  $F$   $\varphi \in \text{fmla}$   $x \in \text{var}$   $\psi \in \text{fmla}$ 
and  $x: x \notin \bigcup (\text{Fvars} ' F)$   $x \notin \text{Fvars} \psi$ 
shows nprv F  $\psi$ 
proof-
have nprv F (imp (exi x  $\varphi$ )  $\psi$ ) unfolding nprv_def apply(rule prv_imp_com)
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal apply(rule prv_exi_imp_gen)
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal using x by auto
subgoal apply(rule prv_imp_com)
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal using assms(3–5) assms(7) nn nprv_def nprv_impI by blast ...
thus ?thesis using n assms nprv_mp by blast
qed

```

```

lemmas nprv_exiE0 = nprv_exiE[OF nprv_hyp _, simped]
lemmas nprv_exiE1 = nprv_exiE[OF _ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_exiE01 = nprv_exiE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]

```

4.7 Adding Lemmas of Various Shapes into the Proof Context

```

lemma nprv_addLemmaE:
assumes prv  $\varphi$  nprv (insert  $\varphi$  F)  $\psi$ 
and  $\varphi \in \text{fmla}$   $\psi \in \text{fmla}$  and  $F \subseteq \text{fmla}$  and finite  $F$ 
shows nprv F  $\psi$ 
using assms nprv_cut prv_nprvI by blast

lemmas nprv_addLemmaE1 = nprv_addLemmaE[OF _ nprv_hyp, simped]

lemma nprv_addImpLemmaI:
assumes prv (imp  $\varphi_1 \varphi_2$ )
and  $F \subseteq \text{fmla}$  finite  $F$   $\varphi_1 \in \text{fmla}$   $\varphi_2 \in \text{fmla}$ 
and nprv F  $\varphi_1$ 
shows nprv F  $\varphi_2$ 
by (meson assms nprv_def prv_prv_imp_trans scnj)

lemma nprv_addImpLemmaE:
assumes prv (imp  $\varphi_1 \varphi_2$ ) and nprv F  $\varphi_1$  and nprv ((insert  $\varphi_2$ ) F)  $\psi$ 
and  $F \subseteq \text{fmla}$  finite  $F$   $\varphi_1 \in \text{fmla}$   $\varphi_2 \in \text{fmla}$   $\psi \in \text{fmla}$ 
shows nprv F  $\psi$ 
using assms nprv_addImpLemmaI nprv_cut by blast

lemmas nprv_addImpLemmaE1 = nprv_addImpLemmaE[OF _ nprv_hyp _, simped]
lemmas nprv_addImpLemmaE2 = nprv_addImpLemmaE[OF _ _ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_addImpLemmaE12 = nprv_addImpLemmaE[OF _ nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]

lemma nprv_addImp2LemmaI:
assumes prv (imp  $\varphi_1$  (imp  $\varphi_2 \varphi_3$ ))
and  $F \subseteq \text{fmla}$  finite  $F$   $\varphi_1 \in \text{fmla}$   $\varphi_2 \in \text{fmla}$   $\varphi_3 \in \text{fmla}$ 

```

```

and nprv F φ1 nprv F φ2
shows nprv F φ3
by (meson assms imp nprv_addImpLemmaI nprv_mp)

lemma nprv_addImp2LemmaE:
assumes prv (imp φ1 (imp φ2 φ3)) and nprv F φ1 and nprv F φ2 and nprv ((insert φ3) F) ψ
and F ⊆ fmla finite F φ1 ∈ fmla φ2 ∈ fmla φ3 ∈ fmla ψ ∈ fmla
shows nprv F ψ
by (meson assms nprv_addImp2LemmaI nprv_cut)

lemmas nprv_addImp2LemmaE1 = nprv_addImp2LemmaE[OF _ nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_addImp2LemmaE2 = nprv_addImp2LemmaE[OF __ nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_addImp2LemmaE3 = nprv_addImp2LemmaE[OF __ __ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_addImp2LemmaE12 = nprv_addImp2LemmaE[OF _ nprv_hyp nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_addImp2LemmaE13 = nprv_addImp2LemmaE[OF _ nprv_hyp _ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_addImp2LemmaE23 = nprv_addImp2LemmaE[OF __ nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_addImp2LemmaE123 = nprv_addImp2LemmaE[OF __ nprv_hyp nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]

lemma nprv_addImp3LemmaI:
assumes prv (imp φ1 (imp φ2 (imp φ3 φ4)))
and F ⊆ fmla finite F φ1 ∈ fmla φ2 ∈ fmla φ3 ∈ fmla φ4 ∈ fmla
and nprv F φ1 nprv F φ2 nprv F φ3
shows nprv F φ4
by (meson assms imp nprv_addImpLemmaI nprv_mp)

lemma nprv_addImp3LemmaE:
assumes prv (imp φ1 (imp φ2 (imp φ3 φ4))) and nprv F φ1 and nprv F φ2 and nprv F φ3
and nprv ((insert φ4) F) ψ
and F ⊆ fmla finite F φ1 ∈ fmla φ2 ∈ fmla φ3 ∈ fmla φ4 ∈ fmla ψ ∈ fmla
shows nprv F ψ
by (meson assms nprv_addImp3LemmaI nprv_cut)

lemmas nprv_addImp3LemmaE1 = nprv_addImp3LemmaE[OF _ nprv_hyp ___, simped]
lemmas nprv_addImp3LemmaE2 = nprv_addImp3LemmaE[OF __ nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_addImp3LemmaE3 = nprv_addImp3LemmaE[OF __ __ nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_addImp3LemmaE4 = nprv_addImp3LemmaE[OF __ __ __ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_addImp3LemmaE12 = nprv_addImp3LemmaE[OF _ nprv_hyp nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_addImp3LemmaE13 = nprv_addImp3LemmaE[OF _ nprv_hyp _ nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_addImp3LemmaE14 = nprv_addImp3LemmaE[OF _ nprv_hyp _ _ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_addImp3LemmaE23 = nprv_addImp3LemmaE[OF __ nprv_hyp nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_addImp3LemmaE24 = nprv_addImp3LemmaE[OF __ nprv_hyp _ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_addImp3LemmaE34 = nprv_addImp3LemmaE[OF __ __ nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_addImp3LemmaE123 = nprv_addImp3LemmaE[OF __ nprv_hyp nprv_hyp nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_addImp3LemmaE124 = nprv_addImp3LemmaE[OF __ nprv_hyp nprv_hyp _ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_addImp3LemmaE134 = nprv_addImp3LemmaE[OF __ nprv_hyp _ nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_addImp3LemmaE234 = nprv_addImp3LemmaE[OF __ __ nprv_hyp nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_addImp3LemmaE1234 = nprv_addImp3LemmaE[OF __ nprv_hyp nprv_hyp nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]

lemma nprv_addDsjLemmaE:
assumes prv (dsj φ1 φ2) and nprv (insert φ1 F) ψ and nprv ((insert φ2) F) ψ
and F ⊆ fmla finite F φ1 ∈ fmla φ2 ∈ fmla ψ ∈ fmla
shows nprv F ψ

```

```

by (meson assms dsj nprv_clear nprv_dsjE prv_nprv0I)

lemmas nprv_addDsjLemmaE1 = nprv_addDsjLemmaE[OF _ nprv_hyp _, simped]
lemmas nprv_addDsjLemmaE2 = nprv_addDsjLemmaE[OF _ _ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_addDsjLemmaE12 = nprv_addDsjLemmaE[OF _ nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]

```

4.8 Rules for Equality

Reflexivity:

```

lemma nprv_eql_reflI:  $F \subseteq fmla \Rightarrow \text{finite } F \Rightarrow t \in \text{trm} \Rightarrow \text{nprv } F (\text{eql } t t)$ 
by (simp add: prv_eql_reflT prv_nprvI)

lemma nprv_eq_eqlI:  $t1 = t2 \Rightarrow F \subseteq fmla \Rightarrow \text{finite } F \Rightarrow t1 \in \text{trm} \Rightarrow \text{nprv } F (\text{eql } t1 t2)$ 
by (simp add: prv_eql_reflT prv_nprvI)

```

Symmetry:

```

lemmas nprv_eql_symI = nprv_addImpLemmaI[OF prv_eql_sym, simped, rotated 4]
lemmas nprv_eql_symE = nprv_addImpLemmaE[OF prv_eql_sym, simped, rotated 2]

lemmas nprv_eql_symE0 = nprv_eql_symE[OF nprv_hyp _, simped]
lemmas nprv_eql_symE1 = nprv_eql_symE[OF _ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_eql_symE01 = nprv_eql_symE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]

```

Transitivity:

```

lemmas nprv_eql_transI = nprv_addImp2LemmaI[OF prv_eql_imp_trans, simped, rotated 5]
lemmas nprv_eql_transE = nprv_addImp2LemmaE[OF prv_eql_imp_trans, simped, rotated 3]

lemmas nprv_eql_transE0 = nprv_eql_transE[OF nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_eql_transE1 = nprv_eql_transE[OF _ nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_eql_transE2 = nprv_eql_transE[OF __ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_eql_transE01 = nprv_eql_transE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_eql_transE02 = nprv_eql_transE[OF nprv_hyp __ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_eql_transE12 = nprv_eql_transE[OF __ nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_eql_transE012 = nprv_eql_transE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]

```

Substitutivity:

```

lemmas nprv_eql_substI =
nprv_addImp2LemmaI[OF prv_eql_subst_trm_rev, simped, rotated 6]
lemmas nprv_eql_substE = nprv_addImp2LemmaE[OF prv_eql_subst_trm_rev, simped, rotated 4]

lemmas nprv_eql_substE0 = nprv_eql_substE[OF nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_eql_substE1 = nprv_eql_substE[OF _ nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_eql_substE2 = nprv_eql_substE[OF __ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_eql_substE01 = nprv_eql_substE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_eql_substE02 = nprv_eql_substE[OF nprv_hyp __ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_eql_substE12 = nprv_eql_substE[OF __ nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_eql_substE012 = nprv_eql_substE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]

```

4.9 Other Rules

```

lemma nprv_cnjH:
nprv (insert  $\varphi_1$  (insert  $\varphi_2$   $F$ ))  $\psi \Rightarrow$ 
 $F \subseteq fmla \Rightarrow \text{finite } F \Rightarrow \varphi_1 \in fmla \Rightarrow \varphi_2 \in fmla \Rightarrow \psi \in fmla \Rightarrow$ 
nprv (insert (cnj  $\varphi_1 \varphi_2$ )  $F$ )  $\psi$ 
apply(rule nprv_cut2[of _  $\varphi_1 \varphi_2$ ])

```

```

subgoal by (auto simp: nprv_impI_rev prv_imp_cnjL prv_imp_cnjR prv_nprvI)
by (meson cnj finite.insertI insert_iff insert_subset nprv_mono subset_insertI)

lemma nprv_exi_commute:
assumes [simp]:  $x \in var$   $y \in var$   $\varphi \in fmla$ 
shows nprv {exi x (exi y  $\varphi$ )} (exi y (exi x  $\varphi$ ))
apply(rule nprv_exiE0[of x exi y  $\varphi$ ], auto)
apply(rule nprv_clear2_2, auto)
apply(rule nprv_exiE0[of y  $\varphi$ ], auto)
apply(rule nprv_clear2_2, auto)
apply(rule nprv_exiI[of __ Var y], auto)
by (rule nprv_exiI[of __ Var x], auto)

lemma prv_exi_commute:
assumes [simp]:  $x \in var$   $y \in var$   $\varphi \in fmla$ 
shows prv (imp (exi x (exi y  $\varphi$ )) (exi y (exi x  $\varphi$ )))
apply(rule nprv_prvI, auto)
apply(rule nprv_impI, auto)
by (rule nprv_exi_commute, auto)

end

```

4.10 Natural Deduction for the Exists-Unique Quantifier

context Deduct_with_False_Disj_Rename
begin

```

lemma nprv_exuI:
assumes n1: nprv F (subst  $\varphi$  t x) and n2: nprv (insert  $\varphi$  F) (eql (Var x) t)
and i[simp]:  $F \subseteq fmla$  finite  $F$   $\varphi \in fmla$   $t \in trm$   $x \in var$   $x \notin FvarsT t$ 
and u:  $x \notin (\bigcup_{\varphi \in F} Fvars \varphi)$ 
shows nprv F (exu x  $\varphi$ )
proof-
define z where  $z \equiv getFr [x] [t] [\varphi]$ 
have z_facts[simp]:  $z \in var$   $z \neq x$   $x \neq z$   $z \notin FvarsT t$   $z \notin Fvars \varphi$ 
using getFr_FvarsT_Fvars[of [x] [t] [\varphi]] unfolding z_def[symmetric] by auto
have 0: exu x  $\varphi = cnj (exi x \varphi) (exi z (all x (imp \varphi (eql (Var x) (Var z)))))$ 
by (simp add: exu_def_var[of __ z])
show ?thesis
unfolding 0
apply(rule nprv_cnjI, auto)
apply(rule nprv_exiI[of __ t], auto)
apply(rule n1)

apply(rule nprv_exiI[of __ t], auto)
apply(rule nprv_allI, insert u, auto)
apply(rule nprv_impI, insert n2, auto)
done
qed

lemma nprv_exuI_var:
assumes n1: nprv F (subst  $\varphi$  t x) and n2: nprv (insert (subst  $\varphi$  (Var y) x) F) (eql (Var y) t)

```

```

and i[simp]:  $F \subseteq \text{fmla}$  finite  $F \varphi \in \text{fmla} t \in \text{trm} x \in \text{var}$ 
 $y \in \text{var} y \notin \text{FvarsT } t \text{ and } u: y \notin (\bigcup_{\varphi \in F} \text{Fvars } \varphi) \text{ and } yx: y = x \vee y \notin \text{Fvars } \varphi$ 
shows nprv  $F$  (exu  $x \varphi$ )
apply(subst exu_rename2[of __ y])
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal using yx by auto
subgoal apply(intro nprv_exuI[of __ t])
subgoal by (metis i(3) i(4) i(5) i(6) n1 subst_same_Var subst_subst_yx)
using n2 u by auto .

```

This turned out to be the most useful introduction rule for arithmetic:

```

lemma nprv_exuI_exi:
assumes n1: nprv  $F$  (exi  $x \varphi$ ) and n2: nprv (insert (subst  $\varphi$  (Var  $y$ )  $x$ ) (insert  $\varphi$   $F$ )) (eql (Var  $y$ ) (Var  $x$ ))
and i[simp]:  $F \subseteq \text{fmla}$  finite  $F \varphi \in \text{fmla} x \in \text{var} y \in \text{var} y \neq x y \notin \text{Fvars } \varphi$ 
and u:  $x \notin (\bigcup_{\varphi \in F} \text{Fvars } \varphi) y \notin (\bigcup_{\varphi \in F} \text{Fvars } \varphi)$ 
shows nprv  $F$  (exu  $x \varphi$ )
proof-
have e: nprv (insert  $\varphi$   $F$ ) (exu  $x \varphi$ )
apply(rule nprv_exuI_var[of __ Var  $x$  __  $y$ ])
using n2 u by auto
show ?thesis
apply(rule nprv_cut[OF n1], auto)
apply(rule nprv_exiE0, insert u, auto)
apply(rule nprv_mono[OF e], auto) .
qed

lemma prv_exu_imp_exi:
assumes [simp]:  $\varphi \in \text{fmla} x \in \text{var}$ 
shows prv (imp (exu  $x \varphi$ ) (exi  $x \varphi$ ))
proof-
define z where z:  $z \equiv \text{getFr} [x] [] [\varphi]$ 
have z_facts[simp]:  $z \in \text{var} z \neq x x \neq z z \notin \text{Fvars } \varphi$ 
using getFr_FvarsT_Fvars[of [x] [] [\varphi]] unfolding z by auto
show ?thesis unfolding exu_def
by (simp add: Let_def z[symmetric] prv_imp_cnjL)
qed

lemma prv_exu_exi:
assumes x ∈ var  $\varphi \in \text{fmla}$  prv (exu  $x \varphi$ )
shows prv (exi  $x \varphi$ )
by (meson assms exi exu prv_exu_imp_exi prv_imp_mp)

```

This is just exu behaving for elimination and forward like exi:

```

lemma nprv_exuE_exi:
assumes n1: nprv  $F$  (exu  $x \varphi$ ) and n2: nprv (insert  $\varphi$   $F$ )  $\psi$ 
and i[simp]:  $F \subseteq \text{fmla}$  finite  $F \varphi \in \text{fmla} x \in \text{var} \psi \in \text{fmla} x \notin \text{Fvars } \psi$ 
and u:  $x \notin (\bigcup_{\varphi \in F} \text{Fvars } \varphi)$ 
shows nprv  $F$   $\psi$ 
using assms apply- apply(rule nprv_exiE[of _ x  $\varphi$ ])
subgoal by (rule nprv_addImpLemmaI[OF prv_exu_imp_exi[of  $\varphi$  x]]) auto
by auto

lemma nprv_exuF_exi:
assumes n1: exu  $x \varphi \in F$  and n2: nprv (insert  $\varphi$   $F$ )  $\psi$ 
and i[simp]:  $F \subseteq \text{fmla}$  finite  $F \varphi \in \text{fmla} x \in \text{var} \psi \in \text{fmla} x \notin \text{Fvars } \psi$ 

```

```

and  $u: x \notin (\bigcup \varphi \in F. Fvars \varphi)$ 
shows  $nprv F \psi$ 
using assms  $nprv\_exuE\_exi nprv\_hyp$  by metis

lemma  $prv\_exu\_uni$ :
assumes [simp]:  $\varphi \in fmla$   $x \in var$   $t1 \in trm$   $t2 \in trm$ 
shows  $prv (imp (exu x \varphi) (imp (subst \varphi t1 x) (imp (subst \varphi t2 x) (eql t1 t2))))$ 
proof-
  define  $z$  where  $z: z \equiv getFr [x] [t1,t2] [\varphi]$ 
  have  $z\_facts$ [simp]:  $z \in var$   $z \neq x$   $x \neq z$   $z \notin Fvars \varphi$   $z \notin FvarsT t1$   $z \notin FvarsT t2$ 
  using  $getFr\_FvarsT\_Fvars[of [x] [t1,t2] [\varphi]]$  unfolding  $z$  by auto
  show ?thesis
  apply(rule  $nprv\_prvI$ , auto)
  apply(rule  $nprv\_impI$ , auto)
  apply(simp add:  $exu\_def\_var[of \_ z]$ )
  apply(rule  $nprv\_cnjE0$ , auto)
  apply(rule  $nprv\_clear3\_1$ , auto)
  apply(rule  $nprv\_clear2\_2$ , auto)
  apply(rule  $nprv\_exiE0$ , auto)
  apply(rule  $nprv\_clear2\_2$ , auto)
  apply(rule  $nprv\_allE0[of \_ \_ \_ t1]$ , auto)
  apply(rule  $nprv\_allE0[of \_ \_ \_ t2]$ , auto)
  apply(rule  $nprv\_clear3\_3$ , auto)
  apply(rule  $nprv\_impI$ , auto)
  apply(rule  $nprv\_impI$ , auto)
  apply(rule  $nprv\_impE01$ , auto)
  apply(rule  $nprv\_clear5\_2$ , auto)
  apply(rule  $nprv\_clear4\_3$ , auto)
  apply(rule  $nprv\_impE01$ , auto)
  apply(rule  $nprv\_clear4\_3$ , auto)
  apply(rule  $nprv\_clear3\_3$ , auto)
  apply(rule  $nprv\_eql\_symE0[of t2 Var z]$ , auto)
  apply(rule  $nprv\_eql\_transE012$ , auto) .
qed

```

```

lemmas  $nprv\_exuE\_uni = nprv\_addImp3LemmaE[OF prv\_exu\_uni,simped,rotated 4]$ 
lemmas  $nprv\_exuF\_uni = nprv\_exuE\_uni[OF nprv\_hyp,simped]$ 

```

end — context *Deduct_with_False_Disj*

4.11 Eisbach Notation for Natural Deduction Proofs

The proof pattern will be: On a goal of the form $nprv F \varphi$, we apply a rule (usually an introduction, elimination, or cut/lemma-addition rule), then discharge the side-conditions with *auto*, ending up with zero, one or two goals of the same *nprv*-shape. This process is abstracted away in the Eisbach *nrule* method:

```

method  $nrule$  uses  $r = (rule r, auto?)$ 

```

```

method  $nrule2$  uses  $r = (rule r, auto?)$ 

```

Methods for chaining several *nrule* applications:

```

method  $nprover2$  uses  $r1 r2 =$ 
   $(-,(((nrule r: r1)?, (nrule r: r2)?); fail))$ 
method  $nprover3$  uses  $r1 r2 r3 =$ 
   $(-,(((nrule r: r1)?, (nrule r: r2)?, (nrule r: r3)?); fail))$ 
method  $nprover4$  uses  $r1 r2 r3 r4 =$ 

```

```

 $(-,(((nrule r: r1)?, (nrule r: r2)?, (nrule r: r3)?, (nrule r: r4)?) ; fail))$ 
method nprover5 uses r1 r2 r3 r4 r5 =
 $(-,((nrule r: r1)?, (nrule r: r2)?, (nrule r: r3)?,$ 
 $(nrule r: r4)?, (nrule r: r5)?) ; fail)$ 
method nprover6 uses r1 r2 r3 r4 r5 r6 =
 $(-,((nrule r: r1)?, (nrule r: r2)?, (nrule r: r3)?,$ 
 $(nrule r: r4)?, (nrule r: r5)?, (nrule r: r6)?) ; fail)$ 

```

Chapter 5

Pseudo-Terms

Pseudo-terms are formulas that satisfy the exists-unique property on one of their variables.

5.1 Basic Setting

```
context Generic_Syntax
begin
```

We choose a specific variable, `out`, that will represent the "output" of pseudo-terms, i.e., the variable on which the exists-unique property holds:

```
abbreviation out ≡ Variable 0
```

Many facts will involve pseudo-terms with only one additional "input" variable, `inp`:

```
abbreviation inp ≡ Variable (Suc 0)
```

```
lemma out_inp_distinct[simp]:
  out ≠ inp inp ≠ out
  out ≠ xx out ≠ yy yy ≠ out out ≠ zz zz ≠ out out ≠ xx' xx' ≠ out
    out ≠ yy' yy' ≠ out out ≠ zz' zz' ≠ out
  inp ≠ xx inp ≠ yy yy ≠ inp inp ≠ zz zz ≠ inp inp ≠ xx' xx' ≠ inp
    inp ≠ yy' yy' ≠ inp inp ≠ zz' zz' ≠ inp
by auto
```

```
end
```

```
context Deduct_with_False_Disj_Rename
begin
```

Pseudo-terms over the first $n + 1$ variables, i.e., having n input variables (Variable 1 to Variable n), and an output variable, `out` (which is an abbreviation for Variable 0).

```
definition pterm :: nat ⇒ 'fmla set where
  pterm n ≡ {σ ∈ fmla . Fvars σ = Variable ` {0..n} ∧ prv (exu out σ)}
```

```
lemma pterm[intro,simp]: σ ∈ pterm n ⇒ σ ∈ fmla
  unfolding pterm_def by auto
```

```
lemma pterm_1_Fvars[simp]: σ ∈ pterm (Suc 0) ⇒ Fvars σ = {out,inp}
  unfolding pterm_def by auto
```

```

lemma ptrm_prv_exu:  $\sigma \in \text{ptrm } n \implies \text{prv} (\text{exu out } \sigma)$ 
  unfolding ptrm_def by auto

lemma ptrm_prv_exi:  $\sigma \in \text{ptrm } n \implies \text{prv} (\text{exi out } \sigma)$ 
  by (simp add: ptrm_prv_exu prv_exu_exi)

lemma npdrv_ptrmE_exi:
 $\sigma \in \text{ptrm } n \implies \text{npdrv} (\text{insert } \sigma F) \psi \implies$ 
 $F \subseteq \text{fmla} \implies \text{finite } F \implies$ 
 $\psi \in \text{fmla} \implies \text{out} \notin \text{Fvars } \psi \implies \text{out} \notin \bigcup (\text{Fvars} ` F) \implies \text{npdrv } F \psi$ 
  apply (frule ptrm_prv_exu, drule ptrm)
  apply(rule npdrv_exuE_exi[of _ out σ])
  by (auto intro!: prv_nprvI)

lemma npdrv_ptrmE_uni:
 $\sigma \in \text{ptrm } n \implies \text{npdrv } F (\text{subst } \sigma t1 \text{ out}) \implies \text{npdrv } F (\text{subst } \sigma t2 \text{ out}) \implies$ 
 $\text{npdrv} (\text{insert} (\text{eql } t1 t2) F) \psi \implies$ 
 $F \subseteq \text{fmla} \implies \text{finite } F \implies \psi \in \text{fmla} \implies t1 \in \text{trm} \implies t2 \in \text{trm}$ 
 $\implies \text{npdrv } F \psi$ 
  apply (frule ptrm_prv_exu, drule ptrm)
  apply(rule npdrv_exuE_uni[of _ out σ t1 t2])
  by (auto intro!: prv_nprvI)

lemma npdrv_ptrmE_uni0:
 $\sigma \in \text{ptrm } n \implies \text{npdrv } F \sigma \implies \text{npdrv } F (\text{subst } \sigma t \text{ out}) \implies$ 
 $\text{npdrv} (\text{insert} (\text{eql } (\text{Var out}) t) F) \psi \implies$ 
 $F \subseteq \text{fmla} \implies \text{finite } F \implies \psi \in \text{fmla} \implies t \in \text{trm}$ 
 $\implies \text{npdrv } F \psi$ 
  by (rule npdrv_ptrmE_uni0[of σ __ Var out t]) auto

lemma npdrv_ptrmE0_uni0:
 $\sigma \in \text{ptrm } n \implies \sigma \in F \implies \text{npdrv } F (\text{subst } \sigma t \text{ out}) \implies$ 
 $\text{npdrv} (\text{insert} (\text{eql } (\text{Var out}) t) F) \psi \implies$ 
 $F \subseteq \text{fmla} \implies \text{finite } F \implies \psi \in \text{fmla} \implies t \in \text{trm}$ 
 $\implies \text{npdrv } F \psi$ 
  by (rule npdrv_ptrmE_uni0[of σ n __ t]) auto

```

5.2 The $\forall\exists$ Equivalence

There are two natural ways to state that (unique) "output" of a pseudo-term σ satisfies a property φ : (1) using \exists : there exists an "out" such that σ and φ hold for it; (2) using \forall : for all "out" such that σ holds for it, φ holds for it as well.

We prove the well-known fact that these two ways are equivalent. (Intuitionistic logic suffice to prove that.)

```

lemma ptrm_nprv_exi:
assumes σ:  $\sigma \in \text{ptrm } n$  and [simp]:  $\varphi \in \text{fmla}$ 
shows npdrv {σ, exi out (cnj σ φ)} φ
proof-
  have [simp]:  $\sigma \in \text{fmla}$  using σ by simp
  define z where  $z \equiv \text{getFr} [\text{out}] [] [\sigma, \varphi]$ 
  have z_facts[simp]:  $z \in \text{var}$   $z \neq \text{out}$   $z \notin \text{Fvars } \sigma$   $z \notin \text{Fvars } \varphi$ 
    using getFr_FvarsT_Fvars[of [out] [] [σ,φ]] unfolding z_def[symmetric] by auto
  have θ:  $\text{exi out} (\text{cnj } \sigma \varphi) = \text{exi } z (\text{subst} (\text{cnj } \sigma \varphi) (\text{Var } z) \text{ out})$ 
    by(rule exi_rename, auto)
  show ?thesis
    unfolding θ

```

```

apply(nrule r: nprv_exiE0[of z subst (cnj σ φ) (Var z) out])
apply(nrule2 r: nprv_ptrmE0_uni0[OF σ, of _ Var z])
  subgoal by (nrule r: nprv_cnjE0)
  subgoal
    apply(nrule r: nprv_clear4_4)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_clear3_3)
    apply (nrule r: nprv_cnjE0)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_clear4_4)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_clear3_1)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_eql_substE012[of Var out Var z _ φ out φ]) .
qed

lemma ptrm_nprv_exi_all:
  assumes σ: σ ∈ ptrm n and [simp]: φ ∈ fmla
  shows nprv {exi out (cnj σ φ)} (all out (imp σ φ))
proof-
  have [simp]: σ ∈ fmla using σ by simp
  show ?thesis
  apply(nrule r: nprv_allI)
  apply(nrule r: nprv_impI)
  apply(nrule r: ptrm_nprv_exi[OF σ]) .
qed

lemma ptrm_prv_exi_imp_all:
  assumes σ: σ ∈ ptrm n and [simp]: φ ∈ fmla
  shows prv (imp (exi out (cnj σ φ)) (all out (imp σ φ)))
proof-
  have [simp]: σ ∈ fmla using σ by simp
  show ?thesis
  apply(nrule r: nprv_prvI)
  apply(nrule r: nprv_impI)
  apply(nrule r: ptrm_nprv_exi_all[OF σ]) .
qed

lemma ptrm_nprv_all_imp_exi:
  assumes σ: σ ∈ ptrm n and [simp]: φ ∈ fmla
  shows nprv {all out (imp σ φ)} (exi out (cnj σ φ))
proof-
  have [simp]: σ ∈ fmla using σ by simp
  define z where z ≡ getFr [out] [] [σ,φ]
  have z_facts[simp]: z ∈ var z ≠ out z ∉ Fvars σ z ∉ Fvars φ
  using getFr_FvarsT_Fvars[of [out] [] [σ,φ]] unfolding z_def[symmetric] by auto
  show ?thesis
    apply(nrule r: nprv_ptrmE_exi[OF σ])
    apply(nrule r: nprv_exiI[of _ cnj σ φ Var out out])
    apply(nrule r: nprv_allE0[of out imp σ φ _ Var out])
    apply(nrule r: nprv_clear3_3)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_cnjI)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_impE01) .
qed

lemma ptrm_prv_all_imp_exi:
  assumes σ: σ ∈ ptrm n and [simp]: φ ∈ fmla
  shows prv (imp (all out (imp σ φ)) (exi out (cnj σ φ)))
proof-
  have [simp]: σ ∈ fmla using σ by simp
  define z where z ≡ getFr [out] [] [σ,φ]
  have z_facts[simp]: z ∈ var z ≠ out z ∉ Fvars σ z ∉ Fvars φ

```

```

using getFr_FvarsT_Fvars[of [out] [] [σ,φ]] unfolding z_def[symmetric] by auto
show ?thesis
apply(nrule r: nprv_prvI)
apply(nrule r: nprv_imprI)
apply(nrule r: ptrm_nprv_all_imp_exi[OF σ]) .
qed

end — context Deduct_with_False_Disj_Rename

```

5.3 Instantiation

We define the notion of instantiating the "inp" variable of a formula (in particular, of a pseudo-term): – first with a term); – then with a pseudo-term.

5.3.1 Instantiation with terms

Instantiation with terms is straightforward using substitution. In the name of the operator, the suffix "Inp" is a reminder that we instantiate φ on its variable "inp".

```

context Generic_Syntax
begin

definition instInp :: 'fmla ⇒ 'trm ⇒ 'fmla where
instInp φ t ≡ subst φ t inp

lemma instInp_fmla[simp,intro]:
assumes φ ∈ fmla and t ∈ trm
shows instInp φ t ∈ fmla
using assms instInp_def by auto

lemma Fvars_instInp[simp,intro]:
assumes φ ∈ fmla and t ∈ trm Fvars φ = {inp}
shows Fvars (instInp φ t) = FvarsT t
using assms instInp_def by auto

end — context Generic_Syntax

context Deduct_with_False_Disj_Rename
begin

lemma Fvars_instInp_ptrm_1[simp,intro]:
assumes τ: τ ∈ ptrm (Suc 0) and t ∈ trm
shows Fvars (instInp τ t) = insert out (FvarsT t)
using assms instInp_def by auto

lemma instInp:
assumes τ: τ ∈ ptrm (Suc 0) and [simp]: t ∈ trm
and [simp]: FvarsT t = Variable ` {(Suc 0)..n}
shows instInp τ t ∈ ptrm n
proof-
  note Let_def[simp]
  have [simp]: τ ∈ fmla Fvars τ = {out,inp}
    using assms unfolding ptrm_def by auto
  have [simp]: Fvars (instInp τ t) = insert out (FvarsT t)
    using τ by (subst Fvars_instInp_ptrm_1) auto
  have 0: exu out (instInp τ t) = subst (exu out τ) t inp

```

```

unfolding instInp_def by (subst subst_exu) auto
have 1: prv (exu out τ) using τ unfolding pterm_def by auto
have prv (exu out (instInp τ t))
  unfolding 0 by (rule prv_subst[OF ___ 1], auto)
thus ?thesis using assms unfolding pterm_def[of n] by auto
qed

lemma instInp_0:
assumes τ: τ ∈ pterm (Suc 0) and t ∈ trm and FvarsT t = {}
shows instInp τ t ∈ pterm 0
using assms by (intro instInp) auto

lemma instInp_1:
assumes τ: τ ∈ pterm (Suc 0) and t ∈ trm and FvarsT t = {inp}
shows instInp τ t ∈ pterm (Suc 0)
using assms by (intro instInp) auto

```

5.3.2 Instantiation with pseudo-terms

Instantiation of a formula φ with a pseudo-term τ yields a formula that could be casually written $\varphi(\tau)$. It states the existence of an output zz of τ on which φ holds. Instead of $\varphi(\tau)$, we write $instInpP \varphi n \tau$ where n is the number of input variables of τ . In the name $instInpP$, Inp is as before a reminder that we instantiate φ on its variable "inp" and the suffix "P" stands for "Pseudo".

```

definition instInpP :: 'fmla ⇒ nat ⇒ 'fmla ⇒ 'fmla where
instInpP φ n τ ≡ let zz = Variable (Suc (Suc n)) in
  exi zz (cnj (subst τ (Var zz) out) (subst φ (Var zz) inp))

lemma instInpP_fmla[simp, intro]:
assumes φ ∈ fmla and τ ∈ fmla
shows instInpP φ n τ ∈ fmla
using assms unfolding instInpP_def by (auto simp: Let_def)

lemma Fvars_instInpP[simp]:
assumes φ ∈ fmla and τ: τ ∈ pterm n Variable (Suc (Suc n)) ∉ Fvars φ
shows Fvars (instInpP φ n τ) = Fvars φ − {inp} ∪ Variable ‘{(Suc 0)..n}
using assms unfolding instInpP_def Let_def pterm_def by auto

lemma Fvars_instInpP2[simp]:
assumes φ ∈ fmla and τ: τ ∈ pterm n and Fvars φ ⊆ {inp}
shows Fvars (instInpP φ n τ) = Fvars φ − {inp} ∪ Variable ‘{(Suc 0)..n}
using assms by (subst Fvars_instInpP) auto

```

5.3.3 Closure and compositionality properties of instantiation

Instantiating a 1-pseudo-term with an n-pseudo-term yields an n pseudo-term:

```

lemma instInpP1[simp,intro]:
assumes σ: σ ∈ pterm (Suc 0) and τ: τ ∈ pterm n
shows instInpP σ n τ ∈ pterm n
proof –
  note Let_def[simp]
  have [simp]: σ ∈ fmla τ ∈ fmla Fvars σ = {out,inp}
    Fvars τ = Variable ‘{0..n}
    using assms unfolding pterm_def by auto
  define zz where zz ≡ Variable (Suc (Suc n))
  have zz_facts[simp]: zz ∈ var ∧ i. i ≤ n ⇒ Variable i ≠ zz ∧ zz ≠ Variable i
    out ≠ zz zz ≠ out inp ≠ zz zz ≠ inp
  unfolding zz_def by auto

```

```

define x where  $x \equiv \text{getFr}[\text{out}, \text{inp}, \text{zz}] \llbracket [\sigma, \tau]$ 
have x_facts[simp]:  $x \in \text{var}$   $x \neq \text{out}$   $x \neq \text{inp}$ 
 $x \neq \text{zz}$   $\text{zz} \neq x$   $x \notin \text{Fvars}$   $\sigma \notin \text{Fvars}$   $\tau$ 
using getFr_FvarsT_Fvars[of [out,inp,zz] [] [\sigma,\tau]] unfolding x_def[symmetric] by auto
have [simp]:  $x \neq \text{Variable}(\text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n))$ 
using x_facts(4) zz_def by auto
define z where  $z \equiv \text{getFr}[\text{out}, \text{inp}, \text{zz}, x] \llbracket [\sigma, \tau]$ 
have z_facts[simp]:  $z \in \text{var}$   $z \neq \text{out}$   $z \neq \text{inp}$   $z \neq \text{zz}$   $z \notin \text{Fvars}$   $\sigma \notin \text{Fvars}$   $\tau$ 
using getFr_FvarsT_Fvars[of [out,inp,zz,x] [] [\sigma,\tau]] unfolding z_def[symmetric] by auto

have [simp]:  $\bigwedge i. z = \text{Variable} i \implies \neg i \leq n$ 
and [simp]:  $\bigwedge i. x = \text{Variable} i \implies \neg i \leq n$ 
using <Fvars  $\tau = \text{Variable}^{\{0..n\}}$  atLeastAtMost_iff z_facts(7) x_facts(7)
by blast+

have [simp]:  $\text{Fvars}(\text{instInpP}[\sigma, n, \tau]) = \text{Variable}^{\{0..n\}}$ 
unfolded instInpP_def by auto
have tt:  $\text{exi out } \tau = \text{exi zz} (\text{subst } \tau (\text{Var zz}) \text{ out})$ 
by (rule exi_rename) auto

have exi_σ:  $\text{prv}(\text{exi out } \sigma)$  and exi_τ:  $\text{prv}(\text{exi zz} (\text{subst } \tau (\text{Var zz}) \text{ out}))$ 
using σ τ pterm_prv_exi tt by fastforce+
have exi_σ:  $\text{prv}(\text{exi out} (\text{subst } \sigma (\text{Var zz}) \text{ inp}))$ 
using prv_subst[OF ___ exi_σ, of inp Var zz] by auto

have exu_σ:  $\text{prv}(\text{exu out } \sigma)$ 
using σ pterm_prv_exu by blast
have exu_σ:  $\text{prv}(\text{exu out} (\text{subst } \sigma (\text{Var zz}) \text{ inp}))$ 
using prv_subst[OF ___ exu_σ, of inp Var zz] by auto

have zz_z:  $\text{exi zz} (\text{cnj} (\text{subst } \tau (\text{Var zz}) \text{ out}) (\text{subst } \sigma (\text{Var zz}) \text{ inp})) =$ 
 $\text{exi z} (\text{cnj} (\text{subst } \tau (\text{Var z}) \text{ out}) (\text{subst } \sigma (\text{Var z}) \text{ inp}))$ 
by (variousSubsts2 auto s1: exi_rename[of _ zz] s2: subst_subst)

have 0:  $\text{prv}(\text{exu out} (\text{instInpP}[\sigma, n, \tau]))$ 
apply(nrule r: nprv_prvI)
apply(nrue2 r: nprv_exuI_exi[of ___ x])
subgoal unfolding instInpP_def Let_def
  apply(nrue r: nprv_addImpLemmaI[OF prv_exi_commute])
  apply(nrue r: nprv_addLemmaE[OF exi_τ])
  apply(nrue r: nprv_exiE[of _ zz subst τ (Var zz) out])
  apply(nrue r: nprv_clear2_2)
  apply(nrue r: nprv_exiI[of __ Var zz])
  apply(nrue r: nprv_addLemmaE[OF exi_σ])
  apply(nrue r: nprv_exiE[of _ out subst σ (Var zz) inp])
  apply(nrue r: nprv_clear3_2)
  apply(nrue r: nprv_exiI[of __ Var out])
    apply(variousSubsts1 auto s1: subst_subst)
  apply(nrue r: nprv_cnjI) .
subgoal
  unfolding instInpP_def Let_def zz_def[symmetric]
  apply(nrue r: nprv_exiE0[of zz])
  apply(nrue r: nprv_clear3_2)
  apply(nrue r: nprv_cnjE0)
  apply(nrue r: nprv_clear4_3)
  unfolding zz_z
  apply(nrue r: nprv_exiE0[of z])

```

```

apply(nrule r: nprv_clear4_4)
apply(nrule r: nprv_cnjE0)
apply(nrule r: nprv_clear5_3)
apply(nrule r: nprv_cut[of_ eql (Var z) (Var zz)])
subgoal by (nprover3 r1: nprv_clear4_2 r2: nprv_clear3_3
            r3: nprv_ptrmE_uni[OF τ, of_ Var z Var zz])
subgoal
  apply(nrule r: nprv_clear5_2)
  apply(nrule r: nprv_clear4_3)
  apply(nrule2 r: nprv.eql_substE[of_ Var zz Var z σ inp])
  subgoal by (nrule r: nprv.eql_symE01)
  subgoal
    apply(nrule r: nprv_clear4_2)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_clear3_2)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_addLemmaE[OF exu_σ])
    apply(nrule r: nprv_exuE_uni[of_ out subst σ (Var zz) inp Var out Var x])
    apply(nrule r: nprv.eql_symE01) . . .
show ?thesis using 0 unfolding ptrm_def instInpP_def Let_def by auto
qed

```

Term and pseudo-term instantiation compose smoothly:

```

lemma instInp_instInpP:
assumes φ: φ ∈ fmla Fvars φ ⊆ {inp} and τ: τ ∈ ptrm (Suc 0)
and t ∈ trm and FvarsT t = {}
shows instInp (instInpP φ (Suc 0) τ) t = instInpP φ 0 (instInp τ t)
proof-
  define x1 and x2 where
    x12: x1 ≡ Variable (Suc (Suc 0))
    x2 ≡ Variable (Suc (Suc (Suc 0)))
  have x_facts[simp]: x1 ∈ var x2 ∈ var x1 ≠ inp x2 ≠ inp
    x1 ≠ out out ≠ x1 x2 ≠ out out ≠ x2 x1 ≠ x2 x2 ≠ x1
  unfolding x12 by auto
  show ?thesis
  using assms unfolding instInp_def instInpP_def Let_def x12[symmetric]
  apply(subst subst_exi)
  apply(TUF simp)

  apply(variousSubsts5 auto
    s1: subst_compose_same
    s2: subst_compose_diff
    s3: exi_rename[of_ x1 x2]
    s4: subst_comp
    s5: subst_notIn[of φ _ x1]
  ) .
qed

```

Pseudo-term instantiation also composes smoothly with itself:

```

lemma nprv_instInpP_compose:
assumes [simp]: χ ∈ fmla Fvars χ = {inp}
and σ[simp]: σ ∈ ptrm (Suc 0) and τ[simp]: τ ∈ ptrm 0
shows nprv {instInpP (instInpP χ (Suc 0) σ) 0 τ}
  (instInpP χ 0 (instInpP σ 0 τ)) (is ?A)
and
  nprv {instInpP χ 0 (instInpP σ 0 τ)}
  (instInpP (instInpP χ (Suc 0) σ) 0 τ) (is ?B)
proof-
  define χσ and στ where χσ_def: χσ ≡ instInpP χ (Suc 0) σ and στ_def: στ ≡ instInpP σ 0 τ

```

```

have [simp]:  $\sigma \in fmla Fvars \sigma = \{out,inp\} \tau \in fmla Fvars \tau = \{out\}$ 
  using  $\sigma \tau$  unfolding pterm_def by auto
have  $\chi\sigma[simp]: \chi\sigma \in fmla Fvars \chi\sigma = \{inp\}$ 
  unfolding  $\chi\sigma\_def$  by auto
have  $\sigma\tau[simp]: \sigma\tau \in pterm 0 \sigma\tau \in fmla Fvars \sigma\tau = \{out\}$  unfolding  $\sigma\tau\_def$ 
  by auto
define z where  $z \equiv Variable (Suc (Suc 0))$ 
have z_facts[simp]:  $z \in var$ 
   $out \neq z \wedge z \neq out \wedge inp \neq z \wedge z \neq inp \wedge z \notin Fvars \chi \wedge z \notin Fvars \sigma \wedge z \notin Fvars \tau$ 
  unfolding z_def by auto
define zz where  $zz \equiv Variable (Suc (Suc (Suc 0)))$ 
have zz_facts[simp]:  $zz \in var$ 
   $out \neq zz \wedge zz \neq out \wedge inp \neq zz \wedge zz \neq inp \wedge z \neq zz \wedge zz \neq z$ 
   $zz \notin Fvars \chi \wedge zz \notin Fvars \sigma \wedge zz \notin Fvars \tau$ 
  unfolding zz_def z_def by auto
define z' where  $z' \equiv getFr [out,inp,z,zz] [] [\chi,\sigma,\tau]$ 
have z'_facts[simp]:  $z' \in var \wedge z' \neq out \wedge z' \neq inp \wedge z' \neq z \wedge z' \neq zz \wedge z' \neq z'$ 
   $z' \notin Fvars \chi \wedge z' \notin Fvars \sigma \wedge z' \notin Fvars \tau$ 
using getFr_FvarsT_Fvars[of [out,inp,z,zz] [] [\chi,\sigma,\tau]] unfolding z'_def[symmetric] by auto

have  $\chi\sigma': instInpP \chi\sigma 0 \tau = exi z' (cnj (subst \tau (Var z') out) (subst \chi\sigma (Var z') inp))$ 
  unfolding instInpP_def Let_def z_def[symmetric]
  by (auto simp: exi_rename[of _ z z'])
have  $\chi\sigma z': subst \chi\sigma (Var z') inp =$ 
   $exi zz (cnj (subst (subst \sigma (Var zz) out) (Var z') inp) (subst \chi (Var zz) inp))$ 
unfolding  $\chi\sigma\_def instInpP\_def Let\_def zz\_def[symmetric]$ 
by (auto simp: subst_compose_same)
have  $\sigma\tau zz: subst \sigma\tau (Var zz) out =$ 
   $exi z (cnj (subst \tau (Var z) out) (subst (subst \sigma (Var zz) out) (Var z) inp))$ 
unfolding  $\sigma\tau\_def instInpP\_def Let\_def z\_def[symmetric]$ 
by (variousSubsts2 auto s1: subst_compose_same s2: subst_compose_diff)

have nprv {instInpP  $\chi\sigma 0 \tau$ } (instInpP  $\chi 0 \sigma\tau$ )
unfolding  $\chi\sigma'$ 
apply(nrule r: nprv_exiE0)
apply(nrule r: nprv_clear2_2)
apply(nrule r: nprv_cnjE0)
apply(nrule r: nprv_clear3_3)
unfolding  $\chi\sigma z'$ 
apply(nrule r: nprv_exiE0)
apply(nrule r: nprv_clear3_3)
apply(nrule r: nprv_cnjE0)
apply(nrule r: nprv_clear4_3)
unfolding instInpP_def Let_def z_def[symmetric]
apply(nrule r: nprv_exiI[of __ Var zz])
apply(nrule r: nprv_cnjI)
apply(nrule r: nprv_clear3_2)
unfolding  $\sigma\tau zz$ 
apply(nrule r: nprv_exiI[of __ Var z'])
apply(nrule r: nprv_cnjI).
thus ?A unfolding  $\chi\sigma\_def \sigma\tau\_def$  .

have  $\chi\sigma\tau: instInpP \chi 0 \sigma\tau = exi z' (cnj (subst \sigma\tau (Var z') out) (subst \chi (Var z') inp))$ 
unfolding instInpP_def Let_def z_def[symmetric]
by (auto simp: exi_rename[of _ z z'])

have  $\sigma\tau z': subst \sigma\tau (Var z') out =$ 

```

```

exi z (cnj (subst  $\tau$  (Var z) out) (subst (subst  $\sigma$  (Var z) inp) (Var z') out))
unfolding  $\sigma\tau$ _def  $instInpP$ _def  $Let$ _def  $z$ _def[symmetric]
by (auto simp: subst_compose_same)

have  $\chi\sigma z$ : subst  $\chi\sigma$  (Var z) inp =
  exi zz (cnj (subst (subst  $\sigma$  (Var z) inp) (Var zz) out) (subst  $\chi$  (Var zz) inp))
unfolding  $\chi\sigma$ _def  $instInpP$ _def  $Let$ _def  $zz$ _def[symmetric]
by (variousSubsts2 auto s1: subst_compose_same s2: subst_compose_diff)

have  $nprv \{instInpP \chi 0 \sigma\tau\} (instInpP \chi\sigma 0 \tau)$ 
unfolding  $\chi\sigma\tau$ 
apply(nrule r: nprv_exiE0)
apply(nrule r: nprv_clear2_2)
apply(nrule r: nprv_cnjE0)
apply(nrule r: nprv_clear3_3)
unfolding  $\sigma\tau z'$ 
apply(nrule r: nprv_exiE0)
apply(nrule r: nprv_clear3_2)
apply(nrule r: nprv_cnjE0)
apply(nrule r: nprv_clear4_3)
unfolding  $instInpP$ _def  $Let$ _def  $z$ _def[symmetric]
apply(nrule r: nprv_exiI[of __ Var z])
apply(nrule r: nprv_cnjI)
unfolding  $\chi\sigma z$ 
apply(nrule r: nprv_exiI[of __ Var z'])
apply(nrule r: nprv_cnjI).
thus ?B unfolding  $\chi\sigma$ _def  $\sigma\tau$ _def .
qed

lemma  $prv_{instInpP\_compose}$ :
assumes [simp]:  $\chi \in fmla Fvars \chi = \{inp\}$ 
and  $\sigma$ [simp]:  $\sigma \in ptrm (Suc 0)$  and  $\tau$ [simp]:  $\tau \in ptrm 0$ 
shows  $prv (imp (instInpP (instInpP \chi (Suc 0) \sigma) 0 \tau)$ 
 $(instInpP \chi 0 (instInpP \sigma 0 \tau)))$  (is ?A)
and
 $prv (imp (instInpP \chi 0 (instInpP \sigma 0 \tau))$ 
 $(instInpP (instInpP \chi (Suc 0) \sigma) 0 \tau))$  (is ?B)
and
 $prv (eqv (instInpP (instInpP \chi (Suc 0) \sigma) 0 \tau)$ 
 $(instInpP \chi 0 (instInpP \sigma 0 \tau)))$  (is ?C)
proof-
  have [simp]:  $\sigma \in fmla Fvars \sigma = \{out,inp\}$   $\tau \in fmla Fvars \tau = \{out\}$ 
  using  $\sigma \tau$  unfolding  $ptrm$ _def by auto
  show ?A ?B by (intro nprv_pruI nprv_impiI nprv_instInpP_compose, auto)+
  thus ?C by (intro prv_eqvI) auto
qed

```

5.4 Equality between Pseudo-Terms and Terms

Casually, the equality between a pseudo-term τ and a term t can be written as $\vdash \tau = t$. This is in fact the (provability of) the instantiation of τ with t on τ 's output variable out. Indeed, this formula says that the unique entity denoted by τ is exactly t .

```

definition prveqlPT :: 'fmla ⇒ 'trm ⇒ bool where
prveqlPT  $\tau t \equiv prv (\text{subst } \tau t \text{ out})$ 

```

We prove that term–pseudo-term equality indeed acts like an equality, in that it satisfies the substitutivity principle (shown only in the particular case of formula-input instantiation).

```

lemma prveqlPT_nprv_instInp_instInpP:
assumes[simp]:  $\varphi \in \text{fmla}$  and  $f: \text{Fvars } \varphi \subseteq \{\text{inp}\}$  and  $\tau: \tau \in \text{ptrm } 0$ 
and [simp]:  $t \in \text{trm } \text{FvarsT } t = \{\}$ 
and  $\tau t: \text{prveqlPT } \tau t$ 
shows  $\text{nprv } \{\text{instInpP } \varphi 0 \tau\} (\text{instInp } \varphi t)$ 
proof-
  have [simp]:  $\tau \in \text{fmla } \text{Fvars } \tau = \{\text{out}\}$  using  $\tau$  unfolding  $\text{ptrm\_def}$  by auto
  define zz where  $zz \equiv \text{Variable } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0))$ 
  have zz_facts[simp]:  $zz \in \text{var}$ 
     $\text{out} \neq zz \wedge zz \neq \text{out}$   $\text{inp} \neq zz \wedge zz \neq \text{inp}$   $zz \notin \text{Fvars } \tau$   $zz \notin \text{Fvars } \varphi$ 
  unfolding zz_def using f by auto

  note lemma1 = nprv_addLemmaE[OF  $\tau t$ [unfolded prveqlPT_def]]

  show ?thesis unfolding instInpP_def Let_def zz_def[symmetric] instInp_def
  apply(nrule r: lemma1)
  apply(nrule r: nprv_exiE0[of zz])
  apply(nrule r: nprv_clear3_3)
  apply(nrule r: nprv_cnjE0)
  apply(nrule r: nprv_clear4_3)
  apply(nrule r: nprv_ptrmE_uni[OF  $\tau$ , of _ t Var zz])
  apply(nrule r: nprv_clear4_2)
  apply(nrule r: nprv_clear3_3)
  apply(nrule r: nprv_eql_substE012[of t Var zz _  $\varphi$  inp]) .
qed

lemma prveqlPT_prv_instInp_instInpP:
assumes  $\varphi \in \text{fmla}$  and  $f: \text{Fvars } \varphi \subseteq \{\text{inp}\}$  and  $\tau: \tau \in \text{ptrm } 0$ 
and  $t \in \text{trm } \text{FvarsT } t = \{\}$ 
and  $\tau t: \text{prveqlPT } \tau t$ 
shows  $\text{prv } (\text{imp } (\text{instInpP } \varphi 0 \tau) (\text{instInp } \varphi t))$ 
using assms by (intro nprv_prvI nprv_impI prveqlPT_nprv_instInpP_instInpP) auto

lemma prveqlPT_nprv_instInpP_instInp:
assumes[simp]:  $\varphi \in \text{fmla}$  and  $f: \text{Fvars } \varphi \subseteq \{\text{inp}\}$  and  $\tau: \tau \in \text{ptrm } 0$ 
and [simp]:  $t \in \text{trm } \text{FvarsT } t = \{\}$ 
and  $\tau t: \text{prveqlPT } \tau t$ 
shows  $\text{nprv } \{\text{instInp } \varphi t\} (\text{instInpP } \varphi 0 \tau)$ 
proof-
  have [simp]:  $\tau \in \text{fmla } \text{Fvars } \tau = \{\text{out}\}$  using  $\tau$  unfolding  $\text{ptrm\_def}$  by auto
  define zz where  $zz \equiv \text{Variable } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0))$ 
  have zz_facts[simp]:  $zz \in \text{var}$ 
     $\text{out} \neq zz \wedge zz \neq \text{out}$   $\text{inp} \neq zz \wedge zz \neq \text{inp}$   $zz \notin \text{Fvars } \tau$   $zz \notin \text{Fvars } \varphi$ 
  unfolding zz_def using f by auto

  note lemma1 = nprv_addLemmaE[OF  $\tau t$ [unfolded prveqlPT_def]]

  show ?thesis unfolding instInpP_def Let_def zz_def[symmetric] instInp_def
  by (nprover3 r1: lemma1 r2: nprv_exiI[of __ t] r3: nprv_cnjI)
qed

lemma prveqlPT_prv_instInpP_instInp:
assumes  $\varphi \in \text{fmla}$  and  $f: \text{Fvars } \varphi \subseteq \{\text{inp}\}$  and  $\tau: \tau \in \text{ptrm } 0$ 
and  $t \in \text{trm } \text{FvarsT } t = \{\}$ 
and  $\tau t: \text{prveqlPT } \tau t$ 
shows  $\text{prv } (\text{imp } (\text{instInp } \varphi t) (\text{instInpP } \varphi 0 \tau))$ 
using assms by (intro nprv_prvI nprv_impI prveqlPT_nprv_instInpP_instInp) auto

```

```

lemma prveqlPT_prv_instInp_eqv_instInpP:
assumes φ ∈ fmla and f: Fvars φ ⊆ {inp} and τ: τ ∈ ptrm 0
and t ∈ trm FvarsT t = {}
and τt: prveqlPT τ t
shows prv (eqv (instInpP φ 0 τ) (instInp φ t))
using assms prveqlPT_prv_instInp_instInpP prveqlPT_prv_instInpP_instInp
by (intro prv_eqvI) auto

```

end — context *Deduct_with_False_Disj_Rename*

Chapter 6

Truth in a Standard Model

Abstract notion of standard model and truth.

First some minimal assumptions, involving implication, negation and (universal and existential) quantification:

```
locale Minimal_Truth =
Syntax_with_Numerals_and_Connectives_False_Disj
var trm fmla Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
eql cnj imp all exi
fls
dsj
num
for
var :: 'var set and trm :: 'trm set and fmla :: 'fmla set
and Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
and eql cnj imp all exi
and fls
and dsj
and num
+
— The notion of truth for sentences:
fixes isTrue :: 'fmla ⇒ bool
assumes
not_isTrue_fls: ¬ isTrue fls
and
isTrue_imp:
  ∧φ. φ ∈ fmla ⇒ φ ∈ fmla ⇒ Fvars φ = {} ⇒ Fvars ψ = {} ⇒
    isTrue φ ⇒ isTrue (imp φ ψ) ⇒ isTrue ψ
and
isTrue_all:
  ∧x φ. x ∈ var ⇒ φ ∈ fmla ⇒ Fvars φ = {x} ⇒
    (∀ n ∈ num. isTrue (subst φ n x)) ⇒ isTrue (all x φ)
and
isTrue_exi:
  ∧x φ. x ∈ var ⇒ φ ∈ fmla ⇒ Fvars φ = {x} ⇒
    isTrue (exi x φ) ⇒ (∃ n ∈ num. isTrue (subst φ n x))
and
isTrue_neg:
  ∧φ. φ ∈ fmla ⇒ Fvars φ = {} ⇒
    isTrue φ ∨ isTrue (neg φ)
begin

lemma isTrue_neg_excl:
```

```

 $\varphi \in fmla \implies Fvars \varphi = \{\} \implies$ 
 $isTrue \varphi \implies.isTrue (\neg \varphi) \implies False$ 
using isTrue_imp not_isTrue_fls unfolding neg_def by auto

lemma isTrue_neg_neg:
assumes  $\varphi \in fmla$   $Fvars \varphi = \{\}$ 
and  $isTrue (\neg (\neg \varphi))$ 
shows  $isTrue \varphi$ 
using assms isTrue_neg isTrue_neg_excl by fastforce

end — context Minimal_Truth

locale Minimal_Truth_Soundness =
Minimal_Truth
  var trm fmla Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
  eql cnj imp all exi
  fls
  dsj
  num
  isTrue
+
Deduct_with_False_Disj
  var trm fmla Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
  eql cnj imp all exi
  fls
  dsj
  num
  prv
for
  var :: 'var set and trm :: 'trm set and fmla :: 'fmla set
and Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
and eql cnj imp all exi
and fls
and dsj
and num
and prv
and isTrue
+
assumes
— We assume soundness of the provability for sentences (w.r.t. truth):
 $sound\_isTrue: \bigwedge \varphi. \varphi \in fmla \implies Fvars \varphi = \{\} \implies prv \varphi \implies isTrue \varphi$ 
begin

```

For sound theories, consistency is a fact rather than a hypothesis:

```

lemma consistent: consistent
unfolding consistent_def using not_isTrue_fls sound_isTrue by blast

lemma prv_neg_excl:
 $\varphi \in fmla \implies Fvars \varphi = \{\} \implies prv \varphi \implies prv (\neg \varphi) \implies False$ 
using isTrue_neg_excl[of φ] sound_isTrue by auto

lemma prv_imp_implies_isTrue:
assumes [simp]:  $\varphi \in fmla$   $\chi \in fmla$   $Fvars \varphi = \{ \}$   $Fvars \chi = \{ \}$ 
and  $p: prv (\imp \varphi \chi)$  and  $i: isTrue \varphi$ 
shows  $isTrue \chi$ 
proof-
have  $isTrue (\imp \varphi \chi)$  using p by (intro sound_isTrue) auto

```

```

thus ?thesis using assms isTrue_imp by blast
qed

```

Sound theories are not only consistent, but also ω -consistent (in the strong, intuitionistic sense):

```

lemma  $\omega$ consistent:  $\omega$ consistent
unfolding  $\omega$ consistent_def proof (safe del: notI)
fix  $\varphi$   $x$  assume 0[simp,intro]:  $\varphi \in fmla$   $x \in var$  and 1:  $Fvars \varphi = \{x\}$ 
and 00:  $\forall n \in num. prv(neg(subst \varphi n x))$ 
hence  $\forall n \in num. isTrue(neg(subst \varphi n x))$ 
using 00 1 by (auto intro!: sound_isTrue)
hence isTrue(all x (neg  $\varphi$ )) by (simp add: 1 isTrue_all)
moreover
{have prv (imp (all x (neg  $\varphi$ )) (neg (exi x  $\varphi$ )))
  using prv_all_neg_imp_neg_exi by blast
  hence isTrue (imp (all x (neg  $\varphi$ )) (neg (exi x  $\varphi$ )))
    by (simp add: 1 sound_isTrue)
}
ultimately have isTrue (neg (exi x  $\varphi$ ))
  by (metis 0 1 Diff_insert_absorb Fvars_all Fvars_exi Fvars_neg all
       exi_insert_absorb insert_not_empty isTrue_imp_neg)
hence  $\neg isTrue(neg(neg(exi x \varphi)))$ 
  using 1 isTrue_neg_excl by force
thus  $\neg prv(neg(neg(exi x \varphi)))$ 
  using 1 sound_isTrue by auto
qed

lemma  $\omega$ consistentStd1:  $\omega$ consistentStd1
  using  $\omega$ consistent  $\omega$ consistent_impliesStd1 by blast

lemma  $\omega$ consistentStd2:  $\omega$ consistentStd2
  using  $\omega$ consistent  $\omega$ consistent_impliesStd2 by blast

end — context Minimal_Truth_Soundness

```

Chapter 7

Arithmetic Constructs

Less generic syntax, more committed towards embedding arithmetics

(An embedding of) the syntax of arithmetic, obtained by adding plus and times

```
locale Syntax_Arith_aux =
  Syntax_with_Connectives_Rename
  var trm fmla Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
    eql cnj imp all exi
  +
  Syntax_with_Numerals_and_Connectives_False_Disj
  var trm fmla Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
    eql cnj imp all exi
    fls
    dsj
    num
  for
  var :: 'var set and trm :: 'trm set and fmla :: 'fmla set
  and Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
  and eql cnj imp all exi
  and fls
  and dsj
  and num
  +
  fixes
  zer :: 'trm
  and
  suc :: 'trm ⇒ 'trm
  and
  pls :: 'trm ⇒ 'trm ⇒ 'trm
  and
  tms :: 'trm ⇒ 'trm ⇒ 'trm
  assumes
  Fvars_zero[simp,intro!]: FvarsT zer = {}
  and
  substT_zer[simp]: ∀ t x. t ∈ trm ⇒ x ∈ var ⇒
    substT zer t x = zer
  and
  suc[simp]: ∀ t. t ∈ trm ⇒ suc t ∈ trm
  and
  FvarsT_suc[simp]: ∀ t. t ∈ trm ⇒
    FvarsT (suc t) = FvarsT t
  and
  substT_suc[simp]: ∀ t1 t x. t1 ∈ trm ⇒ t ∈ trm ⇒ x ∈ var ⇒
```

```

 $\text{substT} (\text{suc } t1) \ t \ x = \text{suc} (\text{substT } t1 \ t \ x)$ 
and
 $\text{pls[simp]}: \bigwedge t1 \ t2. \ t1 \in \text{trm} \implies t2 \in \text{trm} \implies \text{pls } t1 \ t2 \in \text{trm}$ 
and
 $\text{Fvars\_pls[simp]}: \bigwedge t1 \ t2. \ t1 \in \text{trm} \implies t2 \in \text{trm} \implies$ 
 $\text{FvarsT } (\text{pls } t1 \ t2) = \text{FvarsT } t1 \cup \text{FvarsT } t2$ 
and
 $\text{substT\_pls[simp]}: \bigwedge t1 \ t2 \ t \ x. \ t1 \in \text{trm} \implies t2 \in \text{trm} \implies t \in \text{trm} \implies x \in \text{var} \implies$ 
 $\text{substT } (\text{pls } t1 \ t2) \ t \ x = \text{pls } (\text{substT } t1 \ t \ x) \ (\text{substT } t2 \ t \ x)$ 
and
 $\text{tms[simp]}: \bigwedge t1 \ t2. \ t1 \in \text{trm} \implies t2 \in \text{trm} \implies \text{tms } t1 \ t2 \in \text{trm}$ 
and
 $\text{Fvars\_tms[simp]}: \bigwedge t1 \ t2. \ t1 \in \text{trm} \implies t2 \in \text{trm} \implies$ 
 $\text{FvarsT } (\text{tms } t1 \ t2) = \text{FvarsT } t1 \cup \text{FvarsT } t2$ 
and
 $\text{substT\_tms[simp]}: \bigwedge t1 \ t2 \ t \ x. \ t1 \in \text{trm} \implies t2 \in \text{trm} \implies t \in \text{trm} \implies x \in \text{var} \implies$ 
 $\text{substT } (\text{tms } t1 \ t2) \ t \ x = \text{tms } (\text{substT } t1 \ t \ x) \ (\text{substT } t2 \ t \ x)$ 
begin

```

The embedding of numbers into our abstract notion of numerals (not required to be surjective)

```

fun Num :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'trm where
  Num 0 = zer
  | Num (Suc n) = suc (Num n)

end — context Syntax_Arith_aux

```

```

locale Syntax_Arith =
  Syntax_Arith_aux
  var trm fmla Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
  eql cnj imp all exi
  fls
  dsj
  num
  zer suc pls tms
for
  var :: 'var set and trm :: 'trm set and fmla :: 'fmla set
and Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
and eql cnj imp all exi
and fls
and dsj
and num
  zer suc pls tms
  +
assumes
  — We assume that numbers are the only numerals:
  num_Num: num = range Num
begin

```

```

lemma Num[simp,intro!]: Num n  $\in$  num
  using num_Num by auto

```

```

lemma FvarsT_Num[simp]: FvarsT (Num n) = {}
  by auto

```

```

lemma substT_Num[simp]: x  $\in$  var  $\implies$  t  $\in$  trm  $\implies$  substT (Num n) t x = Num n
  by auto

```

```

lemma zer[simp,intro!]: zer ∈ num
and suc_num[simp]:  $\bigwedge n. n \in \text{num} \implies \text{suc } n \in \text{num}$ 
by (metis Num Num.simps(1), metis Num Num.simps(2) imageE num_Num)

```

7.1 Arithmetic Terms

Arithmetic terms are inductively defined to contain the numerals and the variables and be closed under the arithmetic operators:

```

inductive_set atrm :: 'trm set where
| atrm_num[simp]:  $n \in \text{num} \implies n \in \text{atrm}$ 
| atrm_Var[simp,intro]:  $x \in \text{var} \implies \text{Var } x \in \text{atrm}$ 
| atrm_suc[simp,intro]:  $t \in \text{atrm} \implies \text{suc } t \in \text{atrm}$ 
| atrm_pls[simp,intro]:  $t \in \text{atrm} \implies t' \in \text{atrm} \implies \text{pls } t \ t' \in \text{atrm}$ 
| atrm_tms[simp,intro]:  $t \in \text{atrm} \implies t' \in \text{atrm} \implies \text{tms } t \ t' \in \text{atrm}$ 

```

```

lemma atrm_imp_trm[simp]: assumes  $t \in \text{atrm}$  shows  $t \in \text{trm}$ 
using assms by induct auto

```

```

lemma atrm_trm:  $\text{atrm} \subseteq \text{trm}$ 
using atrm_imp_trm by auto

```

```

lemma zer_atrm[simp]: zer ∈ atrm by auto

```

```

lemma Num_atrm[simp]: Num  $n \in \text{atrm}$ 
by auto

```

```

lemma substT_atrm[simp]:
assumes  $r \in \text{atrm}$  and  $x \in \text{var}$  and  $t \in \text{atrm}$ 
shows  $\text{substT } r \ t \ x \in \text{atrm}$ 
using assms by (induct) auto

```

Whereas we did not assume the rich set of formula-substitution properties to hold for all terms, we can prove that these properties hold for arithmetic terms.

Properties for arithmetic terms corresponding to the axioms for formulas:

```

lemma FvarsT_substT:
assumes  $s \in \text{atrm}$   $t \in \text{trm}$   $x \in \text{var}$ 
shows  $\text{FvarsT } (\text{substT } s \ t \ x) = (\text{FvarsT } s - \{x\}) \cup (\text{if } x \in \text{FvarsT } s \text{ then } \text{FvarsT } t \text{ else } \{\})$ 
using assms by induct auto

```

```

lemma substT_compose_eq_or:
assumes  $s \in \text{atrm}$   $t1 \in \text{trm}$   $t2 \in \text{trm}$   $x1 \in \text{var}$   $x2 \in \text{var}$ 
and  $x1 = x2 \vee x2 \notin \text{FvarsT } s$ 
shows  $\text{substT } (\text{substT } s \ t1 \ x1) \ t2 \ x2 = \text{substT } s \ (\text{substT } t1 \ t2 \ x2) \ x1$ 
using assms apply induct
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by (metis FvarsT_suc atrm_imp_trm substT substT_suc)
subgoal by (metis Fvars_pls UnCI atrm_imp_trm substT substT_pls)
subgoal by (metis Fvars_tms UnCI atrm_imp_trm substT substT_tms) .

```

```

lemma substT_compose_diff:
assumes  $s \in \text{atrm}$   $t1 \in \text{trm}$   $t2 \in \text{trm}$   $x1 \in \text{var}$   $x2 \in \text{var}$ 
and  $x1 \neq x2$   $x1 \notin \text{FvarsT } t2$ 
shows  $\text{substT } (\text{substT } s \ t1 \ x1) \ t2 \ x2 = \text{substT } (\text{substT } s \ t2 \ x2) \ (\text{substT } t1 \ t2 \ x2) \ x1$ 
using assms apply induct
subgoal by auto

```

```

subgoal by auto
subgoal by (metis atrm_imp_trm substT substT_suc)
subgoal by (metis atrm_imp_trm substT substT_pls)
subgoal by (metis atrm_imp_trm substT substT_tms) .

```

```

lemma substT_same_Var[simp]:
assumes s ∈ atrm x ∈ var
shows substT s (Var x) x = s
using assms by induct auto

```

... and corresponding to some corollaries we proved for formulas (with essentially the same proofs):

```

lemma in_FvarsT_substTD:
y ∈ FvarsT (substT r t x) ⟹ r ∈ atrm ⟹ t ∈ trm ⟹ x ∈ var
    ⟹ y ∈ (FvarsT r - {x}) ∪ (if x ∈ FvarsT r then FvarsT t else {})
using FvarsT_substT by auto

```

```

lemma substT_compose_same:
∧ s t1 t2 x. s ∈ atrm ⟹ t1 ∈ trm ⟹ t2 ∈ trm ⟹ x ∈ var ⟹
    substT (substT s t1 x) t2 x = substT s (substT t1 t2 x) x
    using substT_compose_eq_or by blast

```

```

lemma substT_substT[simp]:
assumes s[simp]: s ∈ atrm and t[simp]: t ∈ trm and x[simp]: x ∈ var and y[simp]: y ∈ var
assumes yy: x ≠ y y ∉ FvarsT s
shows substT (substT s (Var y) x) t y = substT s t x
    using substT_compose_eq_or[OF s _ t x y, of Var y] using subst_notIn yy by simp

```

```

lemma substT_comp:
∧ x y s t. s ∈ atrm ⟹ t ∈ trm ⟹ x ∈ var ⟹ y ∈ var ⟹
    x ≠ y ⟹ y ∉ FvarsT t ⟹
    substT (substT s (Var x) y) t x = substT (substT s t x) t y
    by (simp add: substT_compose_diff)

```

Now the corresponding development of parallel substitution for arithmetic terms:

```

lemma rawsubstT_atrm[simp,intro]:
assumes r ∈ atrm and snd ` (set txs) ⊆ var and fst ` (set txs) ⊆ atrm
shows rawsubstT r txs ∈ atrm
using assms by (induct txs arbitrary: r) auto

```

```

lemma psubstT_atrm[simp,intro]:
assumes r ∈ atrm and snd ` (set txs) ⊆ var and fst ` (set txs) ⊆ atrm
shows psubstT r txs ∈ atrm
proof-
have txs_trm: fst ` (set txs) ⊆ trm using assms atrm_trm by auto
define us where us: us ≡ getFrN (map snd txs) (r # map fst txs) [] (length txs)
have us_facts: set us ⊆ var
set us ∩ FvarsT r = {}
set us ∩ ∪ (FvarsT ` (fst ` (set txs))) = {}
set us ∩ snd ` (set txs) = {}
length us = length txs
distinct us
using assms(1,2) txs_trm unfolding us
using getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd txs r # map fst txs [] _ length txs]
getFrN_Fvars[of map snd txs r # map fst txs [] _ length txs]
getFrN_var[of map snd txs r # map fst txs [] _ length txs]
getFrN_length[of map snd txs r # map fst txs [] length txs]
getFrN_distinct[of map snd txs r # map fst txs [] length txs]
apply -

```

```

subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by force
by auto

show ?thesis using assms us_facts unfolding psubstT_def
  by (force simp: Let_def us[symmetric]
    intro!: rawsubstT_atrm[of _ zip (map fst txs) us] dest!: set_zip_D)
qed

lemma Fvars_rawsubst_su:
assumes r ∈ atrm and snd ‘(set txs) ⊆ var and fst ‘(set txs) ⊆ atrm
shows FvarsT (rawsubstT r txs) ⊆
  (FvarsT r – snd ‘(set txs)) ∪ (∪ {FvarsT t | t x . (t,x) ∈ set txs})
using assms proof(induction txs arbitrary: r)
  case (Cons tx txs r)
  then obtain t x where tx: tx = (t,x) by force
  have t: t ∈ trm and x: x ∈ var using Cons.preds unfolding tx by auto
  define χ where χ ≡ substT r t x
  have 0: FvarsT χ = FvarsT r – {x} ∪ (if x ∈ FvarsT r then FvarsT t else {})
  using Cons.preds unfolding χ_def by (auto simp: tx t FvarsT_substT)
  have χ: χ ∈ trm χ ∈ atrm unfolding χ_def using Cons.preds t x by (auto simp add: tx)
  have FvarsT (rawsubstT χ txs) ⊆
    (FvarsT χ – snd ‘(set txs)) ∪
    (∪ {FvarsT t | t x . (t,x) ∈ set txs})
    using Cons.preds χ by (intro Cons.IH) auto
  also have ... ⊆ FvarsT r – insert x (snd ‘set txs) ∪ ∪ {FvarsT ta | ta. ∃ xa. ta = t ∧ xa = x ∨ (ta, xa) ∈ set txs}
    (is ⊆ ?R) by (auto simp: 0 Cons.preds)
  finally have 1: FvarsT (rawsubstT χ txs) ⊆ ?R .
  have 2: FvarsT χ = FvarsT r – {x} ∪ (if x ∈ FvarsT r then FvarsT t else {})
    using Cons.preds t x unfolding χ_def using FvarsT_substT by auto
  show ?case using 1 by (simp add: tx χ_def[symmetric] 2)
qed auto

lemma in_FvarsT_rawsubstT_imp:
assumes y ∈ FvarsT (rawsubstT r txs)
and r ∈ atrm and snd ‘(set txs) ⊆ var and fst ‘(set txs) ⊆ atrm
shows (y ∈ FvarsT r – snd ‘(set txs)) ∨
  (y ∈ ∪ {FvarsT t | t x . (t,x) ∈ set txs})
using Fvars_rawsubst_su[OF assms(2-4)]
using assms(1) by blast

lemma FvarsT_rawsubstT:
assumes r ∈ atrm and snd ‘(set txs) ⊆ var and fst ‘(set txs) ⊆ atrm
and distinct (map snd txs) and ∀ x ∈ snd ‘(set txs). ∀ t ∈ fst ‘(set txs). x ∉ FvarsT t
shows FvarsT (rawsubstT r txs) =
  (FvarsT r – snd ‘(set txs)) ∪
  (∪ {if x ∈ FvarsT r then FvarsT t else {} | t x . (t,x) ∈ set txs})
using assms proof(induction txs arbitrary: r)
  case (Cons a txs r)
  then obtain t x where a: a = (t,x) by force
  have t: t ∈ trm and x: x ∈ var using Cons.preds unfolding a by auto
  have xt: x ∉ FvarsT t ∧ snd ‘set txs ∩ FvarsT t = {} using Cons.preds unfolding a by auto
  hence 0: FvarsT r – {x} ∪ FvarsT t – snd ‘set txs = FvarsT r – insert x (snd ‘set txs) ∪ FvarsT t
  by auto
  have x_txs: ⋀ ta xa. (ta, xa) ∈ set txs ==> x ≠ xa using ⟨distinct (map snd (a # txs))⟩

```

```

unfolding a by (auto simp: rev_image_eqI)

define  $\chi$  where  $\chi_{\text{def}}: \chi \equiv \text{substT } r t x$ 
have  $\chi: \chi \in \text{trm} \ \chi \in \text{atrm}$  unfolding  $\chi_{\text{def}}$  using Cons.premss t x by (auto simp: a)
have 1:  $\text{FvarsT} (\text{rawsubstT } \chi \text{ txs}) =$ 
 $(\text{FvarsT } \chi - \text{snd} ' (\text{set txs})) \cup$ 
 $(\bigcup \{\text{if } x \in \text{FvarsT } \chi \text{ then } \text{FvarsT } t \text{ else } \{} | t x . (t,x) \in \text{set txs}\})$ 
using Cons.premss  $\chi$  by (intro Cons.IH) auto
have 2:  $\text{FvarsT } \chi = \text{FvarsT } r - \{x\} \cup (\text{if } x \in \text{FvarsT } r \text{ then } \text{FvarsT } t \text{ else } \{ \})$ 
using Cons.premss t x unfolding  $\chi_{\text{def}}$  using FvarsT_substT by auto

define f where  $f \equiv \lambda t a x a. \text{if } x a \in \text{FvarsT } r \text{ then } \text{FvarsT } t a \text{ else } \{ \}$ 

have 3:  $\bigcup \{f t a x a | t a x a. (t a, x a) \in \text{set } ((t, x) \# \text{txs})\} =$ 
 $f t x \cup (\bigcup \{f t a x a | t a x a. (t a, x a) \in \text{set txs}\})$  by auto
have 4:  $\text{snd} ' \text{set } ((t, x) \# \text{txs}) = \{x\} \cup \text{snd} ' \text{set txs}$  by auto
have 5:  $f t x \cap \text{snd} ' \text{set txs} = \{ \}$  unfolding f_def using xt by auto
have 6:  $\bigcup \{\text{if } x a \in \text{FvarsT } r - \{x\} \cup f t x \text{ then } \text{FvarsT } t a \text{ else } \{} | t a x a. (t a, x a) \in \text{set txs}\}$ 
 $= (\bigcup \{f t a x a | t a x a. (t a, x a) \in \text{set txs}\})$ 
unfolding f_def using xt x_txs by (fastforce split: if_splits)

have  $\text{FvarsT } r - \{x\} \cup f t x - \text{snd} ' \text{set txs} \cup$ 
 $\bigcup \{\text{if } x a \in \text{FvarsT } r - \{x\} \cup f t x \text{ then } \text{FvarsT } t a \text{ else } \{ \}$ 
 $| t a x a. (t a, x a) \in \text{set txs}\} =$ 
 $\text{FvarsT } r - \text{snd} ' \text{set } ((t, x) \# \text{txs}) \cup$ 
 $\bigcup \{f t a x a | t a x a. (t a, x a) \in \text{set } ((t, x) \# \text{txs})\}$ 
unfolding 3 4 6 unfolding Un_Diff2[OF 5] Un_assoc unfolding Diff_Diff_Un ..

thus ?case unfolding a rawsubstT.simps 1 2  $\chi_{\text{def}}[\text{symmetric}] f_{\text{def}}$  by simp
qed auto

lemma in_FvarsT_rawsubstTD:
assumes  $y \in \text{FvarsT} (\text{rawsubstT } r \text{ txs})$ 
and  $r \in \text{atrm}$  and  $\text{snd} ' (\text{set txs}) \subseteq \text{var}$  and  $\text{fst} ' (\text{set txs}) \subseteq \text{atrm}$ 
and  $\text{distinct} (\text{map snd txs})$  and  $\forall x \in \text{snd} ' (\text{set txs}). \forall t \in \text{fst} ' (\text{set txs}). x \notin \text{FvarsT } t$ 
shows  $(y \in \text{FvarsT } r - \text{snd} ' (\text{set txs})) \vee$ 
 $(y \in \bigcup \{\text{if } x \in \text{FvarsT } r \text{ then } \text{FvarsT } t \text{ else } \{} | t x . (t,x) \in \text{set txs}\})$ 
using FvarsT_rawsubstT assms by auto

lemma FvarsT_psubstT:
assumes  $r \in \text{atrm}$  and  $\text{snd} ' (\text{set txs}) \subseteq \text{var}$  and  $\text{fst} ' (\text{set txs}) \subseteq \text{atrm}$ 
and  $\text{distinct} (\text{map snd txs})$ 
shows  $\text{FvarsT} (\text{psubstT } r \text{ txs}) =$ 
 $(\text{FvarsT } r - \text{snd} ' (\text{set txs})) \cup$ 
 $(\bigcup \{\text{if } x \in \text{FvarsT } r \text{ then } \text{FvarsT } t \text{ else } \{} | t x . (t,x) \in \text{set txs}\})$ 
proof-
have txs_trm:  $\text{fst} ' (\text{set txs}) \subseteq \text{trm}$  using assms by auto
define us where us:  $us \equiv \text{getFrN} (\text{map snd txs}) (r \# \text{map fst txs}) [] (\text{length txs})$ 
have us_facts:  $\text{set us} \subseteq \text{var}$ 
set us  $\cap$  FvarsT r = {}
set us  $\cap$   $(\text{FvarsT} ' (\text{fst} ' (\text{set txs}))) = \{ \}$ 
set us  $\cap$   $\text{snd} ' (\text{set txs}) = \{ \}$ 
length us = length txs
distinct us
using assms(1,2) txs_trm unfolding us
using getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd txs r # map fst txs [] _ length txs]
getFrN_Fvars[of map snd txs r # map fst txs [] _ length txs]
getFrN_var[of map snd txs r # map fst txs [] _ length txs]

```

```

getFrN_length[of map snd txs r # map fst txs [] length txs]
getFrN_length[of map snd txs r # map fst txs [] length txs]
apply -
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by force
  by auto
have [simp]:  $\bigwedge aa\ b. b \in set (map snd txs) \implies$ 
   $aa \in set (map Var us) \implies b \notin FvarsT aa$ 
using us_facts by (fastforce simp: image_def Int_def)
have [simp]:
 $\bigwedge b\ ac\ bc. b \in set us \implies b \in FvarsT ac \implies (ac, bc) \notin set txs$ 
using us_facts(3) by (fastforce simp: image_def Int_def)

define  $\chi$  where  $\chi_{\_def} : \chi \equiv rawpsubstT r (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs))$ 
have  $\chi : \chi \in atrm$  unfolding  $\chi_{\_def}$ 
using assms using us_facts by (intro rawpsubstT_atrm) (force dest!: set_zip_D)+

hence  $\chi \in trm$  by auto
note  $\chi = \chi$  this
have set_us:  $set us = snd ' (set (zip (map fst txs) us))$ 
  using us_facts by (intro snd_set_zip[symmetric]) auto
have set_txs:  $set txs = snd ' (set (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs)))$ 
  using us_facts by (intro snd_set_zip_map_snd[symmetric]) auto
have  $\bigwedge t\ x. (t, x) \in set (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs)) \implies \exists u. t = Var u$ 
  using us_facts set_zip_leftD by fastforce
hence 00:  $\bigwedge t\ x. (t, x) \in set (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs))$ 
   $\iff (\exists u \in var. t = Var u \wedge (Var u, x) \in set (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs)))$ 
  using us_facts set_zip_leftD by fastforce
have  $FvarsT \chi =$ 
   $FvarsT r - snd ' set txs \cup$ 
   $\bigcup \{if x \in FvarsT r then FvarsT t else \{\} | t x.$ 
     $(t, x) \in set (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs))\}$ 
  unfolding  $\chi_{\_def}$  set_txs using assms us_facts set_txs
  by (intro FvarsT_rawpsubstT) (force dest!: set_zip_D)+

also have ... =
   $FvarsT r - snd ' set txs \cup$ 
   $\bigcup \{if x \in FvarsT r then \{u\} else \{\} | u x. u \in var \wedge (Var u, x) \in set (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs))\}$ 
  (is ... = ?R)
apply(subst 00)
by (metis (no_types, opaque_lifting) FvarsT_Var)
finally have 0:  $FvarsT \chi = ?R$  .
have 1:  $FvarsT (rawpsubstT \chi (zip (map fst txs) us)) =$ 
   $(FvarsT \chi - set us) \cup$ 
   $(\bigcup \{if u \in FvarsT \chi then FvarsT t else \{\} | t u . (t, u) \in set (zip (map fst txs) us)\})$ 
unfolding us_facts set_us using assms  $\chi$  apply (intro FvarsT_rawpsubstT)
subgoal by auto
subgoal using us_facts by (auto dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal using us_facts by (auto dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal using us_facts by (auto dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_D) .

have 2:  $FvarsT \chi - set us = FvarsT r - snd ' set txs$ 
unfolding 0 apply auto
using set_zip_leftD us_facts(1) apply fastforce
using set_zip_leftD us_facts(1) apply fastforce

```

```

using us_facts(2) by auto
have 3:
(Union {if u ∈ FvarsT χ then FvarsT t else {} | t u . (t,u) ∈ set (zip (map fst txs) us)}) =
(Union {if x ∈ FvarsT r then FvarsT t else {} | t x . (t,x) ∈ set txs})
proof safe
fix xx tt y
assume xx: xx ∈ (if y ∈ FvarsT χ then FvarsT tt else {})
and ty: (tt, y) ∈ set (zip (map fst txs) us)
have ttin: tt ∈ fst ` set txs using ty using set_zip_leftD by fastforce
have yin: y ∈ set us using ty by (meson set_zip_D)
have yvar: y ∈ var using us_facts yin by auto
have ynotin: y ∉ snd ` set txs y ∉ FvarsT r using yin us_facts by auto
show xx ∈ Union {if x ∈ FvarsT r then FvarsT t else {} | t x . (t, x) ∈ set txs}
proof(cases y ∈ FvarsT χ)
case True note y = True
hence xx: xx ∈ FvarsT tt using xx by simp
obtain x where xr: x ∈ FvarsT r
and yx: (Var y, x) ∈ set (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs))
using y ynotin unfolding 0 by (auto split: if_splits)
have yx: (y, x) ∈ set (zip us (map snd txs))
using yvar us_facts by (intro inj_on_set_zip_map[OF inj_on_Var yx]) auto
have (tt, x) ∈ set txs apply(rule set_zip_map_fst_snd[OF yx ty])
using ‹distinct (map snd txs)› us_facts by auto
thus ?thesis using xx xr by auto
qed(insert xx, auto)
qed(insert xx, auto)
next
fix y tt xx
assume y: y ∈ (if xx ∈ FvarsT r then FvarsT tt else {})
and tx: (tt, xx) ∈ set txs
hence xxsnd: xx ∈ snd ` set txs by force
obtain u where uin: u ∈ set us and uxx: (u, xx) ∈ set (zip us (map snd txs))
by (metis xxsnd in_setImpl_in_set_zip2 length_map set_map set_zip_leftD us_facts(5))
hence uvar: u ∈ var using us_facts by auto
show y ∈ Union {if u ∈ FvarsT χ then FvarsT t else {} | t u . (t, u) ∈ set (zip (map fst txs) us)}
proof(cases xx ∈ FvarsT r)
case True note xx = True
hence y: y ∈ FvarsT tt using y by auto
have (Var u, xx) ∈ set (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs))
apply(rule set_zip_length_map[OF uxx]) using us_facts by auto
hence uxχ: u ∈ FvarsT χ using uin xx uvar unfolding 0 by auto
have ttu: (tt, u) ∈ set (zip (map fst txs) us)
apply(rule set_zip_map_fst_snd2[OF uxx tx]) using assms us_facts by auto
show ?thesis using uxχ ttu y by auto
qed(insert y, auto)
qed
show ?thesis
by (simp add: psubstT_def Let_def us[symmetric] χ_def[symmetric] 1 2 3)
qed

```

```

lemma in_FvarsT_psubstTD:
assumes y ∈ FvarsT (psubstT r txs)
and r ∈ atrm and snd ` (set txs) ⊆ var and fst ` (set txs) ⊆ atrm
and distinct (map snd txs)
shows y ∈ (FvarsT r - snd ` (set txs)) ∪
(Union {if x ∈ FvarsT r then FvarsT t else {} | t x . (t,x) ∈ set txs})
using assms FvarsT_psubstT by auto

```

```

lemma substT2_fresh_switch:
  assumes r ∈ atrm t ∈ trm s ∈ trm x ∈ var y ∈ var
  and x ≠ y x ∉ FvarsT s y ∉ FvarsT t
  shows substT (substT r s y) t x = substT (substT r t x) s y (is ?L = ?R)
  using assms by (simp add: substT_compose_diff[of r s t y x])

lemma rawpsubst2_fresh_switch:
  assumes r ∈ atrm t ∈ trm s ∈ trm x ∈ var y ∈ var
  and x ≠ y x ∉ FvarsT s y ∉ FvarsT t
  shows rawpsubstT r [(s,y),(t,x)] = rawpsubstT r [(t,x),(s,y)]
  using assms by (simp add: substT2_fresh_switch)

lemma rawpsubstT_compose:
  assumes t ∈ trm and snd ‘(set txs1) ⊆ var and fst ‘(set txs1) ⊆ atrm
  and snd ‘(set txs2) ⊆ var and fst ‘(set txs2) ⊆ atrm
  shows rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT t txs1) txs2 = rawpsubstT t (txs1 @ txs2)
  using assms apply (induct txs1 arbitrary: txs2 t)
  subgoal by simp
  subgoal for tx1 txs1 txs2 t apply (cases tx1) by auto .

lemma rawpsubstT_subst_fresh_switch:
  assumes r ∈ atrm snd ‘(set txs) ⊆ var and fst ‘(set txs) ⊆ atrm
  and ∀ x ∈ snd ‘(set txs). x ∉ FvarsT s
  and ∀ t ∈ fst ‘(set txs). y ∉ FvarsT t
  and distinct (map snd txs)
  and s ∈ atrm and y ∈ var y ∉ snd ‘(set txs)
  shows rawpsubstT (substT r s y) txs = rawpsubstT r (txs @ [(s,y)])
  using assms proof(induction txs arbitrary: r s y)
  case (Cons tx txs)
  obtain t x where tx[simp]: tx = (t,x) by force
  have x: x ∈ var and t: t ∈ trm using Cons unfolding tx by auto
  have rawpsubstT r ((s, y) # (t, x) # txs) = rawpsubstT r [(s, y), (t, x)] @ txs by simp
  also have ... = rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT r [(s, y), (t, x)]) txs
  using Cons by auto
  also have rawpsubstT r [(s, y), (t, x)] = rawpsubstT r [(t, x), (s, y)]
  using Cons by (intro rawpsubst2_fresh_switch) auto
  also have rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT r [(t, x), (s, y)]) txs = rawpsubstT r [(t, x), (s, y)] @ txs
  using Cons by (intro rawpsubstT_compose) auto
  also have ... = rawpsubstT (substT r t x) (txs @ [(s,y)]) using Cons by auto
  also have ... = rawpsubstT r (((t, x) # txs) @ [(s, y)]) by simp
  finally show ?case unfolding tx by auto
qed auto

lemma substT_rawpsubstT_fresh_switch:
  assumes r ∈ atrm snd ‘(set txs) ⊆ var and fst ‘(set txs) ⊆ atrm
  and ∀ x ∈ snd ‘(set txs). x ∉ FvarsT s
  and ∀ t ∈ fst ‘(set txs). y ∉ FvarsT t
  and distinct (map snd txs)
  and s ∈ atrm and y ∈ var y ∉ snd ‘(set txs)
  shows substT (rawpsubstT r txs) s y = rawpsubstT r ((s,y) # txs)
  using assms proof(induction txs arbitrary: r s y)
  case (Cons tx txs)
  obtain t x where tx[simp]: tx = (t,x) by force
  have x: x ∈ var and t: t ∈ trm using Cons unfolding tx by auto
  have substT (rawpsubstT (substT r t x) txs) s y = rawpsubstT (substT r t x) ((s,y) # txs)
  using Cons.psms by (intro Cons.IH) auto
  also have ... = rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT r [(t,x)]) ((s,y) # txs) by simp

```

```

also have ... = rawsubstT r([(t,x)] @ ((s,y) # txs))
  using Cons.prems by (intro rawsubstT_compose) auto
also have ... = rawsubstT r([(t,x),(s,y)] @ txs) by simp
also have ... = rawsubstT (rawsubstT r [(t,x),(s,y)]) txs
  using Cons.prems by (intro rawsubstT_compose[symmetric]) auto
also have rawsubstT r [(t,x),(s,y)] = rawsubstT r [(s,y),(t,x)]
  using Cons.prems by (intro rawsubst2_fresh_switch) auto
also have rawsubstT (rawsubstT r [(s,y),(t,x)]) txs = rawsubstT r [(s,y),(t,x)] @ txs
  using Cons.prems by (intro rawsubstT_compose) auto
finally show ?case by simp
qed auto

lemma rawsubstT_compose_freshVar:
assumes r ∈ atrm snd ‘(set txs) ⊆ var and fst ‘(set txs) ⊆ atrm
and distinct (map snd txs)
and ⋀ i j. i < j ==> j < length txs ==> snd (txs!j) ∉ FvarsT (fst (txs!i))
and us_facts: set us ⊆ var
  set us ∩ FvarsT r = {}
  set us ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ‘(fst ‘(set txs))) = {}
  set us ∩ snd ‘(set txs) = {}
  length us = length txs
  distinct us
shows rawsubstT (rawsubstT r (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) us) = rawsubstT
r txs
using assms proof(induction txs arbitrary: us r)
case (Cons tx txs uus r)
obtain t x where tx[simp]: tx = (t,x) by force
obtain u us where uus[simp]: uus = u # us using Cons by (cases uus) auto
have us_facts: set us ⊆ var
  set us ∩ FvarsT r = {}
  set us ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ‘(fst ‘(set txs))) = {}
  set us ∩ snd ‘(set txs) = {}
  length us = length txs
  distinct us and u_facts: u ∈ var u ∉ FvarsT r
  u ∉ ⋃ (FvarsT ‘(fst ‘(set txs)))
  u ∉ snd ‘(set txs) u ∉ set us
  using Cons by auto
have [simp]: ⋀ bb xaa ab. bb ∈ FvarsT (Var xaa) ==>
  (ab, bb) ∈ set txs ==> xaa ∉ set us
using us_facts(1,4) by force

let ?uxs = zip (map Var us) (map snd txs)
have 1: rawsubstT (substT r (Var u) x) ?uxs = rawsubstT r (?uxs @ [(Var u,x)])
using Cons.prems u_facts apply(intro rawsubstT_subst_fresh_switch)
subgoal by auto
subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal by (fastforce dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal by (fastforce dest!: set_zip_D)
by (auto dest!: set_zip_D)

let ?uuxs = zip (map Var uus) (map snd (tx # txs))
let ?tus = zip (map fst txs) us let ?txs = zip (map fst (tx # txs)) uus
have 2: u ∈ FvarsT (rawsubstT r (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs))) ==> False
apply(drule in_FvarsT_rawsubstTD) apply-
subgoal using Cons.prems by auto
subgoal using Cons.prems by (auto dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal using Cons.prems by (force dest!: set_zip_D)

```

```

subgoal using Cons.prems by (auto dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal using us_facts(1,4,5) Cons.prems(7)
  by(fastforce dest!: set_zip_D split: if_splits simp: u_facts(5)) .

have 3: (tt, xx) ∉ set ttxs if xx ∈ FvarsT t for tt xx
  unfolding set_conv_nth mem_Collect_eq
proof safe
  fix i
  assume (tt, xx) = ttxs ! i i < length ttxs
  then show False
    using that Cons.prems(4) Cons.prems(5)[of 0 Suc i] tx
    by (auto simp: nth_Cons' split: if_splits dest: sym)
qed

have 00: rawsubstT (rawsubstT r ?uuxs) ?ttxs = rawsubstT (substT (rawsubstT r (?uuxs @ [(Var u, x)])) t u) ?tus
  by (simp add: 1)

have rawsubstT r (?uuxs @ [(Var u, x)]) = rawsubstT (rawsubstT r ?uuxs) [(Var u, x)]
  using Cons.prems
  by (intro rawsubstT_compose[symmetric]) (auto 0 3 dest!: set_zip_D)
also have rawsubstT (rawsubstT r ?uuxs) [(Var u, x)] = substT (rawsubstT r ?uuxs) (Var u) x by
simp
finally have substT (rawsubstT r (?uuxs @ [(Var u, x)])) t u =
  substT (substT (rawsubstT r ?uuxs) (Var u) x) t u by simp
also have ... = substT (rawsubstT r ?uuxs) t x
  using Cons 2 by (intro substT_substT) (auto 0 3 intro!: rawsubstT_atrm[of r] dest!: set_zip_D)
also have ... = rawsubstT r ((t,x) # ?uuxs)
  using Cons.prems 3
  by (intro substT_rawsubstT_fresh_switch) (auto 0 3 dest!: set_zip_D FvarsT_VarD)
also have ... = rawsubstT r ((t,x) @ ?uuxs) by simp
also have ... = rawsubstT (rawsubstT r ((t,x))) ?uuxs
  using Cons.prems by (intro rawsubstT_compose[symmetric]) (auto 0 3 dest!: set_zip_D)
finally have rawsubstT (substT (rawsubstT r (?uuxs @ [(Var u, x)])) t u) ?tus =
  rawsubstT (rawsubstT (rawsubstT r ((t,x))) ?uuxs) ?tus by auto
hence rawsubstT (rawsubstT r ?uuxs) ?ttxs = rawsubstT (rawsubstT (rawsubstT r ((t,x))) ?uuxs)
?tus
  using 00 by auto
also have ... = rawsubstT (rawsubstT r ((t,x))) ttxs
using Cons.prems apply(intro Cons.IH)
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by (metis Suc_leI le_imp_less_Suc length_Cons nth_Cons_Suc)
subgoal by auto
subgoal by (auto intro!: rawsubstT dest!: set_zip_D in_FvarsT_substTD
split: if_splits)
by auto
finally show ?case by simp
qed auto

lemma rawsubstT_compose_freshVar2_aux:
assumes r[simp]: r ∈ atrm
and ts: set ts ⊆ atrm
and xs: set xs ⊆ var distinct xs
and us_facts: set us ⊆ var distinct us

```

```

set us ∩ FvarsT r = {}
set us ∩ ∪ (FvarsT ` (set ts)) = {}
set us ∩ set xs = {}

and vs_facts: set vs ⊆ var distinct vs
set vs ∩ FvarsT r = {}
set vs ∩ ∪ (FvarsT ` (set ts)) = {}
set vs ∩ set xs = {}

and l: length us = length xs length vs = length xs length ts = length xs
and d: set us ∩ set vs = {}

shows rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT r (zip (map Var us) xs)) (zip ts us) =
rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT r (zip (map Var vs) xs)) (zip ts vs)

using assms proof(induction xs arbitrary: r ts us vs)
case (Cons x xs r tts uus vvs)
obtain t ts u us v vs where tts[simp]: tts = t # ts and lts[simp]: length ts = length xs
and uus[simp]: uus = u # us and lus[simp]: length us = length xs
and vvs[simp]: vvs = v # vs and lvs[simp]: length vs = length xs
using <length uus = length (x # xs)> <length vvs = length (x # xs)> <length tts = length (x # xs)>
apply(cases tts)
subgoal by auto
subgoal apply(cases uus)
subgoal by auto
subgoal by (cases vvs) auto ..

let ?rux = substT r (Var u) x let ?rvx = substT r (Var v) x

have 0: rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT ?rux (zip (map Var us) xs)) (zip ts us) =
rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT ?rux (zip (map Var vs) xs)) (zip ts vs)
using Cons.prem by (intro Cons.IH) (auto intro!: rawpsubstT dest!: set_zip_D simp: FvarsT_substT)

have 1: rawpsubstT ?rux (zip (map Var vs) xs) =
substT (rawpsubstT r (zip (map Var vs) xs)) (Var u) x
using Cons.prem
by (intro substT_rawpsubstT_fresh_switch[simplified,symmetric])
(auto intro!: rawpsubstT dest!: set_zip_D simp: subset_eq)

have 11: rawpsubstT ?rvx (zip (map Var vs) xs) =
substT (rawpsubstT r (zip (map Var vs) xs)) (Var v) x
using Cons.prem
by (intro substT_rawpsubstT_fresh_switch[simplified,symmetric])
(auto intro!: rawpsubstT dest!: set_zip_D simp: subset_eq)

have substT (substT (rawpsubstT r (zip (map Var vs) xs)) (Var u) x) t u =
substT (rawpsubstT r (zip (map Var vs) xs)) t x
using Cons.prem
by (intro substT_substT)
(auto 0 3 intro!: rawpsubstT_atrm[of r]
dest!: set_zip_D in_FvarsT_rawpsubstT_imp FvarsT_VarD simp: FvarsT_rawpsubstT)
also have ... = substT (substT (rawpsubstT r (zip (map Var vs) xs)) (Var v) x) t v
using Cons.prem
by (intro substT_substT[symmetric])
(auto 0 3 intro!: rawpsubstT_atrm[of r] dest!: set_zip_D in_FvarsT_rawpsubstT_imp FvarsT_VarD
simp: FvarsT_rawpsubstT)
finally have
2: substT (substT (rawpsubstT r (zip (map Var vs) xs)) (Var u) x) t u =
substT (substT (rawpsubstT r (zip (map Var vs) xs)) (Var v) x) t v .

have rawpsubstT (substT (rawpsubstT ?rux (zip (map Var us) xs)) t u) (zip ts us) =
substT (rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT ?rux (zip (map Var us) xs)) (zip ts us)) t u

```

```

using Cons.prem
by (intro substT_rawsubstT_fresh_switch[simplified,symmetric])
  (auto 0 3 intro!: rawsubstT_atrm[of ?rux] substT_atrm dest!: set_zip_D)
also have ... = substT (rawsubstT (rawsubstT ?rux (zip (map Var vs) xs)) (zip ts vs)) t u
  unfolding 0 ..
also have ... = rawsubstT (substT (rawsubstT ?rux (zip (map Var vs) xs)) t u) (zip ts vs)
using Cons.prem
by (intro substT_rawsubstT_fresh_switch[simplified])
  (auto 0 3 intro!: rawsubstT_atrm[of ?rux] dest!: set_zip_D)
also have ... = rawsubstT (substT (substT (rawsubstT r (zip (map Var vs) xs)) (Var u) x) t u) (zip
ts vs)
  unfolding 1 ..
also have ... = rawsubstT (substT (substT (rawsubstT r (zip (map Var vs) xs)) (Var v) x) t v) (zip
ts vs)
  unfolding 2 ..
also have ... = rawsubstT (substT (rawsubstT ?rvx (zip (map Var vs) xs)) t v) (zip ts vs)
  unfolding 11 ..
finally have rawsubstT (substT (rawsubstT ?rux (zip (map Var us) xs)) t u) (zip ts us) =
  rawsubstT (substT (rawsubstT ?rvx (zip (map Var vs) xs)) t v) (zip ts vs) .
thus ?case by simp
qed auto

```

```

lemma rawsubstT_compose_freshVar2:
assumes r[simp]: r ∈ atrm
and ts: set ts ⊆ atrm
and xs: set xs ⊆ var distinct xs
and us_facts: set us ⊆ var distinct us
  set us ∩ FvarsT r = {}
  set us ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ‘(set ts)) = {}
  set us ∩ set xs = {}
and vs_facts: set vs ⊆ var distinct vs
  set vs ∩ FvarsT r = {}
  set vs ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ‘(set ts)) = {}
  set vs ∩ set xs = {}
and l: length us = length xs length vs = length xs length ts = length xs
shows rawsubstT (rawsubstT r (zip (map Var us) xs)) (zip ts us) =
  rawsubstT (rawsubstT r (zip (map Var vs) xs)) (zip ts vs) (is ?L = ?R)
proof-
  have ts_trm: set ts ⊆ trm using ts by auto
  define ws where ws = getFrN (xs @ us @ vs) (r # ts) [] (length xs)
  have ws_facts: set ws ⊆ var distinct ws
  set ws ∩ FvarsT r = {}
  set ws ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ‘(set ts)) = {}
  set ws ∩ set xs = {} set ws ∩ set us = {} set ws ∩ set vs = {}
  length ws = length xs using assms(1) ts_trm assms(3–17) unfolding ws_def
  using getFrN_Fvars[of xs @ us @ vs r # ts [] _ length xs]
    getFrN_FvarsT[of xs @ us @ vs r # ts [] _ length xs]
    getFrN_var[of xs @ us @ vs r # ts [] _ length xs]
    getFrN_length[of xs @ us @ vs r # ts [] _ length xs]
  apply –
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by force
  subgoal by force
  subgoal by force
  subgoal by force

```

```

by auto
have ?L = rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT r (zip (map Var ws) xs)) (zip ts ws)
using assms ws_facts by (intro rawpsubstT_compose_freshVar2_aux) auto
also have ... = ?R
using assms ws_facts by (intro rawpsubstT_compose_freshVar2_aux) auto
finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```
lemma in_fst_image: a ∈ fst ` AB ↔ (exists b. (a,b) ∈ AB) by force
```

```

lemma psubstT_eq_rawpsubstT:
assumes r ∈ atrm snd ` (set ttxs) ⊆ var and fst ` (set ttxs) ⊆ atrm
and distinct (map snd ttxs)

and ∧ i j. i < j ⇒ j < length ttxs ⇒ snd (ttxs!j) ∉ FvarsT (fst (ttxs!i))
shows psubstT r ttxs = rawpsubstT r ttxs
proof-
  have ttxs_trm: r ∈ trm fst ` (set ttxs) ⊆ trm using assms by auto

  note frt = getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd ttxs r # map fst ttxs [] _ length ttxs]
  and fr = getFrN_Fvars[of map snd ttxs r # map fst ttxs [] _ length ttxs]
  and var = getFrN_var[of map snd ttxs r # map fst ttxs [] _ length ttxs]
  and l = getFrN_length[of map snd ttxs r # map fst ttxs [] length ttxs]
  define us where us: us ≡ getFrN (map snd ttxs) (r # map fst ttxs) [] (length ttxs)
  have us_facts: set us ⊆ var
  set us ∩ FvarsT r = {}
  set us ∩ ∪ (FvarsT ` (fst ` (set ttxs))) = {}
  set us ∩ snd ` (set ttxs) = {}
  length us = length ttxs
  distinct us
  using assms(2,4,5) ttxs_trm unfolding us

```

```

apply -
subgoal by auto
subgoal using frt by auto
subgoal using frt by (simp add: in_fst_image Int_def) (metis prod.collapse)
subgoal using var by (simp add: in_fst_image Int_def) (metis)
subgoal using l by auto
subgoal by auto .

```

```

show ?thesis
  using rawpsubstT_compose_freshVar assms us_facts
  by (simp add: psubstT_def Let_def us[symmetric])
qed

```

```

lemma psubstT_eq_substT:
assumes r ∈ atrm x ∈ var and t ∈ atrm
shows psubstT r [(t,x)] = substT r t x
proof-
  have psubstT r [(t,x)] = rawpsubstT r [(t,x)]
    using assms by (intro psubstT_eq_rawpsubstT) auto
  thus ?thesis by auto
qed

```

```
lemma psubstT_eq_rawpsubst2:
assumes r ∈ atrm x1 ∈ var x2 ∈ var t1 ∈ atrm t2 ∈ atrm
```

```

and  $x_1 \neq x_2$   $x_2 \notin FvarsT t_1$ 
shows  $psubstT r [(t_1, x_1), (t_2, x_2)] = rawsubstT r [(t_1, x_1), (t_2, x_2)]$ 
using assms using less_SucE by (intro psubstT_eq_rawsubstT) force+
lemma psubstT_eq_rawsubst3:
assumes  $r \in atrm$   $x_1 \in var$   $x_2 \in var$   $x_3 \in var$   $t_1 \in atrm$   $t_2 \in atrm$   $t_3 \in atrm$ 
and  $x_1 \neq x_2$   $x_1 \neq x_3$   $x_2 \neq x_3$ 
 $x_2 \notin FvarsT t_1$   $x_3 \notin FvarsT t_1$   $x_3 \notin FvarsT t_2$ 
shows  $psubstT r [(t_1, x_1), (t_2, x_2), (t_3, x_3)] = rawsubstT r [(t_1, x_1), (t_2, x_2), (t_3, x_3)]$ 
using assms less_SucE less_Suc_eq_0_disj
by (intro psubstT_eq_rawsubstT) auto

lemma psubstT_eq_rawsubst4:
assumes  $r \in atrm$   $x_1 \in var$   $x_2 \in var$   $x_3 \in var$   $x_4 \in var$ 
 $t_1 \in atrm$   $t_2 \in atrm$   $t_3 \in atrm$   $t_4 \in atrm$ 
and  $x_1 \neq x_2$   $x_1 \neq x_3$   $x_2 \neq x_3$   $x_1 \neq x_4$   $x_2 \neq x_4$   $x_3 \neq x_4$ 
 $x_2 \notin FvarsT t_1$   $x_3 \notin FvarsT t_1$   $x_3 \notin FvarsT t_2$   $x_4 \notin FvarsT t_1$   $x_4 \notin FvarsT t_2$   $x_4 \notin FvarsT t_3$ 
shows  $psubstT r [(t_1, x_1), (t_2, x_2), (t_3, x_3), (t_4, x_4)] = rawsubstT r [(t_1, x_1), (t_2, x_2), (t_3, x_3), (t_4, x_4)]$ 
using assms less_SucE less_Suc_eq_0_disj
by (intro psubstT_eq_rawsubstT) auto

lemma rawsubstT_same_Var[simp]:
assumes  $r \in atrm$  set  $xs \subseteq var$ 
shows  $rawsubstT r (\text{map } (\lambda x. (\text{Var } x, x)) xs) = r$ 
using assms by (induct xs) auto

lemma psubstT_same_Var[simp]:
assumes  $r \in atrm$  set  $xs \subseteq var$  and distinct  $xs$ 
shows  $psubstT r (\text{map } (\lambda x. (\text{Var } x, x)) xs) = r$ 
proof-
have  $psubstT r (\text{map } (\lambda x. (\text{Var } x, x)) xs) = rawsubstT r (\text{map } (\lambda x. (\text{Var } x, x)) xs)$ 
using assms FvarsT_Var[of xs ! _] nth_mem[of _ xs]
by (intro psubstT_eq_rawsubstT)
(auto simp: o_def distinct_conv_nth dest!: FvarsT_VarD)
thus ?thesis using assms by auto
qed

```

thm $psubstT_notIn$

```

lemma rawsubst_eql:
assumes  $t_1 \in trm$   $t_2 \in trm$ 
and  $\text{snd} ' (\text{set } txs) \subseteq var$   $\text{fst} ' (\text{set } txs) \subseteq trm$ 
shows  $rawsubst (eql t_1 t_2) txs = eql (rawsubstT t_1 txs) (rawsubstT t_2 txs)$ 
using assms apply (induct txs arbitrary: t1 t2)
subgoal by auto
subgoal for  $tx$   $txs$   $t_1$   $t_2$  by (cases tx) auto .

lemma psubst_eql[simp]:
assumes  $t_1 \in atrm$   $t_2 \in atrm$ 
and  $\text{snd} ' (\text{set } txs) \subseteq var$   $\text{fst} ' (\text{set } txs) \subseteq atrm$ 
and distinct (map snd txs)
shows  $psubst (eql t_1 t_2) txs = eql (psubstT t_1 txs) (psubstT t_2 txs)$ 
proof-

```

```

have t12:  $\text{fst} \cdot (\text{set } \text{txs}) \subseteq \text{trm}$  using assms by auto
define us where us:  $\text{us} \equiv \text{getFrN}(\text{map } \text{snd} \text{ txs}) (\text{map } \text{fst} \text{ txs}) [\text{eql } t1 \ t2] (\text{length } \text{txs})$ 
have us_facts: set us ⊆ var
set us ∩ FvarsT t1 = {}
set us ∩ FvarsT t2 = {}
set us ∩ ∪ (FvarsT ‘ (fst ‘ (set txs))) = {}
set us ∩ snd ‘ (set txs) = {}
length us = length txs
distinct us
using assms(1–3) t12 unfolding us
using getFrN_Fvars[of map snd txs map fst txs [eql t1 t2] _ length txs]
    getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd txs map fst txs [eql t1 t2] _ length txs]
        getFrN_var[of map snd txs map fst txs [eql t1 t2] _ length txs]
            getFrN_length[of map snd txs map fst txs [eql t1 t2] length txs]
apply –
subgoal by auto
subgoal by force
subgoal by force
subgoal by fastforce
subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)
by auto

define vs1 where vs1:  $\text{vs1} \equiv \text{getFrN}(\text{map } \text{snd} \text{ txs}) (t1 \# \text{map } \text{fst} \text{ txs}) [] (\text{length } \text{txs})$ 
have vs1_facts: set vs1 ⊆ var
set vs1 ∩ FvarsT t1 = {}
set vs1 ∩ ∪ (FvarsT ‘ (fst ‘ (set txs))) = {}
set vs1 ∩ snd ‘ (set txs) = {}
length vs1 = length txs
distinct vs1
using assms(1–3) t12 unfolding vs1
using getFrN_Fvars[of map snd txs t1 # map fst txs [] _ length txs]
    getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd txs t1 # map fst txs [] _ length txs]
        getFrN_var[of map snd txs t1 # map fst txs [] _ length txs]
            getFrN_length[of map snd txs t1 # map fst txs [] length txs]
apply –
subgoal by auto
subgoal by force
subgoal by auto
subgoal by force
subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)
by auto

define vs2 where vs2:  $\text{vs2} \equiv \text{getFrN}(\text{map } \text{snd} \text{ txs}) (t2 \# \text{map } \text{fst} \text{ txs}) [] (\text{length } \text{txs})$ 
have vs2_facts: set vs2 ⊆ var
set vs2 ∩ FvarsT t2 = {}
set vs2 ∩ ∪ (FvarsT ‘ (fst ‘ (set txs))) = {}
set vs2 ∩ snd ‘ (set txs) = {}
length vs2 = length txs
distinct vs2
using assms(1–3) t12 unfolding vs2
using getFrN_Fvars[of map snd txs t2 # map fst txs [] _ length txs]
    getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd txs t2 # map fst txs [] _ length txs]
        getFrN_var[of map snd txs t2 # map fst txs [] _ length txs]
            getFrN_length[of map snd txs t2 # map fst txs [] length txs]
apply –
subgoal by auto
subgoal by force
subgoal by auto

```

```

subgoal by force
subgoal by (fastforce simp: image_iff)
by auto

let ?tus = zip (map fst txs) us
let ?uxs = zip (map Var us) (map snd txs)
let ?e = rawpsubst (eql t1 t2) ?uxs
have e: ?e = eql (rawpsubstT t1 ?uxs) (rawpsubstT t2 ?uxs)
apply(rule rawpsubst_eql) using assms us_facts apply auto
apply(drule set_zip_rightD) apply simp apply blast
apply(drule set_zip_leftD) apply simp apply blast .
have 0: rawpsubst ?e ?tus =
    eql (rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT t1 ?uxs) ?tus) (rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT t2 ?uxs) ?tus)
unfolding e using assms us_facts apply(intro rawpsubst_eql)
subgoal by (auto intro!: rawpsubstT dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal by (auto intro!: rawpsubstT dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal by (auto intro!: rawpsubstT dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal by (fastforce intro!: rawpsubstT dest!: set_zip_D) .
have 1: rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT t1 ?uxs) ?tus =
    rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT t1 (zip (map Var vs1) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) vs1)
using assms us_facts vs1_facts
by (intro rawpsubstT_compose_freshVar2) auto
have 2: rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT t2 ?uxs) ?tus =
    rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT t2 (zip (map Var vs2) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) vs2)
using assms us_facts vs2_facts
by (intro rawpsubstT_compose_freshVar2) auto
show ?thesis unfolding psubstT_def psubst_def
by (simp add: Let_def us[symmetric] vs1[symmetric] vs2[symmetric] 0 1 2)
qed

```

```

lemma psubst_exu[simp]:
assumes  $\varphi \in fmla$   $x \in var$   $snd \subseteq set txs \subseteq var$   $fst \subseteq set txs \subseteq atrm$ 
 $x \notin snd \subseteq set txs$   $x \notin (\bigcup t \in fst \subseteq set txs. FvarsT t)$  distinct  $(map snd txs)$ 
shows  $psubst (exu x \varphi) txs = exu x (psubst \varphi txs)$ 
proof -
have  $f: fst \subseteq trm$  using assms by (meson atrm_trm_subset_trans)
note assms1 = assms(1-3) assms(5-7) f
define u where  $u: u \equiv getFr (x \# map snd txs) (map fst txs) [\varphi]$ 
have u_facts:  $u \in var$   $u \neq x$ 
 $u \notin snd \subseteq set txs$   $u \notin (\bigcup t \in fst \subseteq set txs. FvarsT t)$   $u \notin Fvars \varphi$ 
unfolding u using  $f getFr_FvarsT_Fvars$  [of  $x \# map snd txs$   $map fst txs$   $[\varphi]$ ] by (auto simp: assms)
hence [simp]:  $psubst (subst \varphi (Var u) x) txs = subst (psubst \varphi txs) (Var u) x$ 
using assms apply(intro psubst_subst_fresh_switch f) by auto
show ?thesis using  $f$  assms u_facts
by (subst exu_def_var[of _ u psubst \varphi txs])
(auto dest!: in_Fvars_psubstD split: if_splits simp: exu_def_var[of _ u] )
qed

```

thm psubstT_Var_not[no_vars]

```

lemma rawpsubstT_Var_in:
assumes  $snd \subseteq set txs \subseteq var$   $fst \subseteq (set txs) \subseteq trm$ 
and distinct  $(map snd txs)$  and  $(s,y) \in set txs$ 

```

```

and  $\bigwedge i. j. i < j \implies j < \text{length } \text{txs} \implies \text{snd } (\text{txs}!j) \notin \text{FvarsT } (\text{fst } (\text{txs}!i))$ 
shows  $\text{rawpsubstT } (\text{Var } y) \text{ txs} = s$ 
using assms proof(induction txs)
case (Cons tx txs)
obtain t x where  $\text{tx}[simp]: \text{tx} = (t, x)$  by (cases tx) auto

have 00:  $\text{FvarsT } t \cap \text{snd } ' \text{set txs} = \{\}$ 
using Cons.prems(5)[of 0 Suc _] by (auto simp: set_conv_nth)

have  $\text{rawpsubstT } (\text{substT } (\text{Var } y) t x) \text{ txs} = s$ 
proof(cases y = x)
  case [simp]: True hence [simp]:  $s = t$  using ‹distinct (map snd (tx # txs))›
  ‹(s, y) ∈ set (tx # txs)› using image_iff by fastforce
  show ?thesis using Cons.prems 00 by auto
next
  case False
  hence [simp]:  $\text{substT } (\text{Var } y) t x = \text{Var } y$ 
  using Cons.prems by (intro substT_notIn) auto
  have  $\text{rawpsubstT } (\text{Var } y) \text{ txs} = s$ 
  using Cons.prems apply(intro Cons.IH)
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal using False by auto
  subgoal by (metis length_Cons less_Suc_eq_0_disj nth_Cons_Suc) .
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
thus ?case by simp
qed auto

lemma psubstT_Var_in:
assumes  $y \in \text{var } \text{snd } ' \text{(set txs)} \subseteq \text{var } \text{fst } ' \text{(set txs)} \subseteq \text{trm}$ 
and  $\text{distinct } (\text{map snd txs})$  and  $(s, y) \in \text{set txs}$ 
shows  $\text{psubstT } (\text{Var } y) \text{ txs} = s$ 
proof-
  define us where  $us \equiv \text{getFrN } (\text{map snd txs}) (\text{Var } y \# \text{map fst txs}) [] (\text{length txs})$ 
  have us_facts:  $\text{set us} \subseteq \text{var}$ 
  set us  $\cap \bigcup (\text{FvarsT } ' (\text{fst } ' \text{(set txs)})) = \{\}$ 
   $y \notin \text{set us}$ 
  set us  $\cap \text{snd } ' \text{(set txs)} = \{\}$ 
  length us = length txs
  distinct us
  using assms unfolding us
  using getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd txs Var y # map fst txs [] _ length txs]
    getFrN_var[of map snd txs Var y # map fst txs [] _ length txs]
    getFrN_length[of map snd txs Var y # map fst txs [] length txs]
  apply -
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by force
  subgoal by force
  by auto
  obtain i where i[simp]:  $i < \text{length txs}$   $\text{txs}!i = (s, y)$  using ‹(s, y) ∈ set txs›
    by (metis in_set_conv_nth)
  hence 00[simp]:  $\bigwedge j. j < \text{length txs} \implies \text{txs} ! j = \text{txs} ! i \implies j = i$ 
    using ‹distinct (map snd txs)› distinct_Ex1_nth_mem by fastforce
  have 000[simp]:  $\bigwedge j ia. j < \text{length txs} \implies ia < \text{length txs} \implies \text{snd } (\text{txs} ! j) \neq us ! ia$ 
    using assms us_facts

```

```

by (metis IntI empty_iff length_map list.set_map nth_map nth_mem)
have [simp]:  $\bigwedge_{ii jj} ii < jj \implies jj < \text{length } txs \implies us ! ii \in \text{var}$ 
  using nth_mem us_facts(1) us_facts(5) by auto
have [simp]:  $\bigwedge_{i j} i < j \implies j < \text{length } txs \implies us ! j \notin \text{FvarsT} (\text{fst} (txs ! i))$ 
  using us_facts(2,5) by (auto simp: Int_def)

have 0: rawpsubstT (Var y) (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs)) = Var (us!i)
using assms us_facts
by (intro rawpsubstT_Var_in)
  (auto dest!: set_zip_D simp: in_set_conv_nth intro!: exI[of _ i])

have rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT (Var y) (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) us) = s
unfolding 0 using assms us_facts
by (intro rawpsubstT_Var_in)
  (auto dest!: set_zip_D simp: in_set_conv_nth intro!: exI[of _ i])
thus ?thesis unfolding psubstT_def by (simp add: Let_def us[symmetric])
qed

lemma psubstT_Var_Cons_aux:
assumes y ∈ var x ∈ var t ∈ atrm
snd ` set txs ⊆ var fst ` set txs ⊆ atrm x ∉ snd ` set txs
distinct (map snd txs) y ≠ x
shows psubstT (Var y) ((t, x) # txs) = psubstT (Var y) txs
proof –
have txa_trm: t ∈ trm fst ` set txs ⊆ trm using assms by auto
note assms1 = assms(1,2) assms(4) assms(6–8) txa_trm

note fvt = getFrN_FvarsT[of x # map snd txs Var y # t # map fst txs [] Suc (length txs)]
and var = getFrN_var[of x # map snd txs Var y # t # map fst txs [] Suc (length txs)]
and l = getFrN_length[of x # map snd txs Var y # t # map fst txs [] Suc (length txs)]
define uus where "uus = getFrN (x # map snd txs) (Var y # t # map fst txs) [] (Suc (length txs))"

have uus_facts: set uus ⊆ var
set uus ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ` (fst ` (set txs))) = {}
set uus ∩ snd ` (set txs) = {}
set uus ∩ FvarsT t = {}
x ∉ set uus
y ∉ set uus
length uus = Suc (length txs)
distinct uus
using assms1 unfolding uus
apply –
subgoal by auto
subgoal using fvt by (simp add: in_fst_image Int_def) (metis prod.collapse)
subgoal using var by (force simp add: in_fst_image Int_def)
subgoal using fvt by auto
subgoal using var by (fastforce simp: in_fst_image Int_def)
subgoal using fvt by (force simp: in_fst_image Int_def)
subgoal using l by auto
subgoal by auto .

obtain u us where "uus = u # us" using uus_facts by (cases uus) auto

have us_facts: set us ⊆ var
set us ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ` (fst ` (set txs))) = {}
set us ∩ snd ` (set txs) = {}
set us ∩ FvarsT t = {}
x ∉ set us

```

```

y ∉ set us
length us = length txs
distinct us
and u_facts:  $u \in \text{var}$ 
 $u \notin \bigcup (\text{FvarsT} ' (\text{fst} ' (\text{set txs})))$ 
 $u \notin \text{snd} ' (\text{set txs})$ 
 $u \notin \text{FvarsT} t$ 
 $u \neq x$ 
 $u \neq y$ 
 $u \notin \text{set us}$ 
using uus_facts by auto

note fvt = getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd txs Var y # map fst txs [] _ length txs]
and var = getFrN_var[of map snd txs Var y # map fst txs [] _ length txs]
and l = getFrN_length[of map snd txs Var y # map fst txs [] length txs]
define vs where vs: vs ≡ getFrN (map snd txs) (Var y # map fst txs) [] (length txs)
have vs_facts: set vs ⊆ var
set vs ∩ ∪ (FvarsT ' (fst ' (set txs))) = {}
y ∉ set vs
set vs ∩ snd ' (set txs) = {}
length vs = length txs
distinct vs
using assms1 unfolding vs
apply –
subgoal by auto
subgoal using fvt by (simp add: in_fst_image Int_def) (metis prod.collapse)
subgoal using fvt l by fastforce
subgoal using var by (force simp: Int_def in_fst_image)
subgoal using l by auto
subgoal by auto .

have 0: substT (Var y) (Var u) x = Var y
using assms u_facts by auto
have 1: substT (rawsubstT (Var y) (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs))) t u =
rawsubstT (Var y) (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs))
using assms u_facts us_facts
by (intro substT_notIn)
(auto 0 3 intro!: rawsubstT dest!: set_zip_D in_FvarsT_rawsubstT_imp FvarsT_VarD)

have rawsubstT (rawsubstT (Var y) (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) us) =
rawsubstT (rawsubstT (Var y) (zip (map Var vs) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) vs)
using assms vs_facts us_facts by (intro rawsubstT_compose_freshVar2) auto
thus ?thesis unfolding psubstT_def
by (simp add: Let_def uus[symmetric] vs[symmetric] 0 1)
qed

```

Simplification rules for parallel substitution:

```

lemma psubstT_Var_Cons[simp]:
 $y \in \text{var} \implies x \in \text{var} \implies t \in \text{atrm} \implies$ 
 $\text{snd} ' \text{set txs} \subseteq \text{var} \implies \text{fst} ' \text{set txs} \subseteq \text{atrm} \implies \text{distinct} (\text{map snd txs}) \implies x \notin \text{snd} ' \text{set txs} \implies$ 
 $\text{psubstT} (\text{Var } y) ((t,x) \# \text{txs}) = (\text{if } y = x \text{ then } t \text{ else } \text{psubstT} (\text{Var } y) \text{ txs})$ 
apply(cases y = x)
subgoal by (rule psubstT_Var_in) auto
subgoal by (auto intro!: psubstT_Var_Cons_aux) .

lemma psubstT_zer[simp]:
assumes snd ' (set txs) ⊆ var and fst ' (set txs) ⊆ trm
shows psubstT zer txs = zer

```

```

using assms by (intro psubstT_num) auto

lemma rawsubstT_suc:
assumes r ∈ trm and snd ‘(set txs) ⊆ var and fst ‘(set txs) ⊆ trm
shows rawsubstT (suc r) txs = suc (rawsubstT r txs)
using assms apply(induct txs arbitrary: r)
subgoal by simp
subgoal for tx txs r by (cases tx) auto .

lemma psubstT_suc[simp]:
assumes r ∈ atrm and snd ‘(set txs) ⊆ var and fst ‘(set txs) ⊆ atrm
and distinct (map snd txs)
shows psubstT (suc r) txs = suc (psubstT r txs)
proof-
have 000: r ∈ trm fst ‘(set txs) ⊆ trm using assms by auto
define us where us: us ≡ getFrN (map snd txs) (suc r # map fst txs) [] (length txs)
have us_facts: set us ⊆ var
set us ∩ FvarsT r = {}
set us ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ‘(fst ‘(set txs))) = {}
set us ∩ snd ‘(set txs) = {}
length us = length txs
distinct us
using assms(2) 000 unfolding us
using getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd txs suc r # map fst txs [] _ length txs]
getFrN_Fvars[of map snd txs suc r # map fst txs [] _ length txs]
getFrN_var[of map snd txs suc r # map fst txs [] _ length txs]
getFrN_length[of map snd txs suc r # map fst txs [] length txs]
getFrN_length[of map snd txs suc r # map fst txs [] length txs]
apply -
subgoal by auto
subgoal by force
subgoal by auto
subgoal by force
by auto
define vs where vs: vs ≡ getFrN (map snd txs) (r # map fst txs) [] (length txs)
have vs_facts: set vs ⊆ var
set vs ∩ FvarsT r = {}
set vs ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ‘(fst ‘(set txs))) = {}
set vs ∩ snd ‘(set txs) = {}
length vs = length txs
distinct vs
using assms(2) 000 unfolding vs
using getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd txs r # map fst txs [] _ length txs]
getFrN_Fvars[of map snd txs r # map fst txs [] _ length txs]
getFrN_var[of map snd txs r # map fst txs [] _ length txs]
getFrN_length[of map snd txs r # map fst txs [] length txs]
getFrN_length[of map snd txs r # map fst txs [] length txs]
apply -
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by force
by auto
have 0: rawsubstT (suc r) (zip (map Var vs) (map snd txs)) =
suc (rawsubstT r (zip (map Var vs) (map snd txs)))
using assms vs_facts by (intro rawsubstT_suc) (auto dest!: set_zip_D)

have rawsubstT (rawsubstT (suc r) (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) us) =

```

```

rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT (suc r) (zip (map Var vs) (map snd txes))) (zip (map fst txes) vs)
using assms us_facts vs_facts by (intro rawpsubstT_compose_freshVar2) auto
also have ... = suc (rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT r (zip (map Var vs) (map snd txes))) (zip (map fst txes)
vs))
unfolding 0 using assms vs_facts apply(intro rawpsubstT_suc)
subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_D intro!: rawpsubstT)
subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_D)
subgoal by (fastforce dest!: set_zip_D simp: Int_def) .
finally show ?thesis
by (simp add: Let_def us[symmetric] vs[symmetric] psubstT_def)
qed

lemma rawpsubstT_pls:
assumes r1 ∈ trm r2 ∈ trm and snd ‘(set txes) ⊆ var and fst ‘(set txes) ⊆ trm
shows rawpsubstT (pls r1 r2) txes = pls (rawpsubstT r1 txes) (rawpsubstT r2 txes)
using assms apply(induct txes arbitrary: r1 r2)
subgoal by simp
subgoal for tx txes r by (cases tx) auto .

lemma psubstT_pls[simp]:
assumes r1 ∈ atrm r2 ∈ atrm and snd ‘(set txes) ⊆ var and fst ‘(set txes) ⊆ atrm
and distinct (map snd txes)
shows psubstT (pls r1 r2) txes = pls (substT r1 txes) (substT r2 txes)
proof-
have 000: fst ‘(set txes) ⊆ trm using assms by auto
define us where us: us ≡ getFrN (map snd txes) (pls r1 r2 # map fst txes) [] (length txes)
have us_facts: set us ⊆ var
set us ∩ FvarsT r1 = {}
set us ∩ FvarsT r2 = {}
set us ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ‘(fst ‘(set txes))) = {}
set us ∩ snd ‘(set txes) = {}
length us = length txes
distinct us
using assms(1–3) 000 unfolding us
using getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd txes pls r1 r2 # map fst txes [] _ length txes]
getFrN_Fvars[of map snd txes pls r1 r2 # map fst txes [] _ length txes]
getFrN_var[of map snd txes pls r1 r2 # map fst txes [] _ length txes]
getFrN_length[of map snd txes pls r1 r2 # map fst txes [] length txes]
getFrN_length[of map snd txes pls r1 r2 # map fst txes [] length txes]
apply -
subgoal by auto
subgoal by force
subgoal by force
subgoal by auto
subgoal by force
by auto
define vs1 where vs1: vs1 ≡ getFrN (map snd txes) (r1 # map fst txes) [] (length txes)
have vs1_facts: set vs1 ⊆ var
set vs1 ∩ FvarsT r1 = {}
set vs1 ∩ ⋃ (FvarsT ‘(fst ‘(set txes))) = {}
set vs1 ∩ snd ‘(set txes) = {}
length vs1 = length txes
distinct vs1
using assms(1–3) 000 unfolding vs1
using getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd txes r1 # map fst txes [] _ length txes]
getFrN_Fvars[of map snd txes r1 # map fst txes [] _ length txes]
getFrN_var[of map snd txes r1 # map fst txes [] _ length txes]
getFrN_length[of map snd txes r1 # map fst txes [] length txes]

```

```

getFrN_length[of map snd txs r1 # map fst txs [] length txs]
apply -
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by force
by auto
define vs2 where vs2 ≡ getFrN (map snd txs) (r2 # map fst txs) [] (length txs)
have vs2_facts: set vs2 ⊆ var
set vs2 ∩ FvarsT r2 = {}
set vs2 ∩ ∪ (FvarsT ‘ (fst ‘ (set txs))) = {}
set vs2 ∩ snd ‘ (set txs) = {}
length vs2 = length txs
distinct vs2
using assms(1–3) 000 unfolding vs2
using getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd txs r2 # map fst txs [] _ length txs]
  getFrN_Fvars[of map snd txs r2 # map fst txs [] _ length txs]
  getFrN_var[of map snd txs r2 # map fst txs [] _ length txs]
  getFrN_length[of map snd txs r2 # map fst txs [] length txs]
  getFrN_length[of map snd txs r2 # map fst txs [] length txs]
apply -
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal by force
by auto
have 0: rawpsubstT (pls r1 r2) (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs)) =
  pls (rawpsubstT r1 (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs)))
  (rawpsubstT r2 (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs)))
using assms us_facts by (intro rawpsubstT_pls) (auto dest!: set_zip_D)

have 1: rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT r1 (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) us) =
  rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT r1 (zip (map Var vs1) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) vs1)
using assms us_facts vs1_facts by (intro rawpsubstT_compose_freshVar2) auto

have 2: rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT r2 (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) us) =
  rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT r2 (zip (map Var vs2) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) vs2)
using assms us_facts vs2_facts by (intro rawpsubstT_compose_freshVar2) auto

have 3: rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT (pls r1 r2) (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) us)
=
  pls (rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT r1 (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) us))
  (rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT r2 (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) us))
unfolding 0 using assms us_facts apply(intro rawpsubstT_pls)
subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_D intro!: rawpsubstT)
subgoal by (force dest!: set_zip_D intro!: rawpsubstT simp: Int_def)
subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_D intro!: rawpsubstT)
subgoal by (fastforce dest!: set_zip_D intro!: rawpsubstT simp: Int_def) .
show ?thesis unfolding psubstT_def
by (simp add: Let_def us[symmetric] vs1[symmetric] vs2[symmetric] 1 2 3)
qed

lemma rawpsubstT_tms:
assumes r1 ∈ trm r2 ∈ trm and snd ‘ (set txs) ⊆ var and fst ‘ (set txs) ⊆ trm
shows rawpsubstT (tms r1 r2) txs = tms (rawpsubstT r1 txs) (rawpsubstT r2 txs)
using assms apply(induct tms arbitrary: r1 r2)
subgoal by simp
subgoal for tx txs r by (cases tx) auto .

```

```

lemma psubstT_tms[simp]:
assumes r1 ∈ atrm r2 ∈ atrm and snd ‘(set tms) ⊆ var and fst ‘(set tms) ⊆ atrm
and distinct (map snd tms)
shows psubstT (tms r1 r2) tms = tms (psubstT r1 tms) (psubstT r2 tms)
proof-
  have 000: fst ‘(set tms) ⊆ trm using assms by auto
  define us where us: us ≡ getFrN (map snd tms) (tms r1 r2 # map fst tms) [] (length tms)
  have us_facts: set us ⊆ var
  set us ∩ FvarsT r1 = {}
  set us ∩ FvarsT r2 = {}
  set us ∩ ∪ (FvarsT ‘(fst ‘(set tms))) = {}
  set us ∩ snd ‘(set tms) = {}
  length us = length tms
  distinct us
  using assms(1–3) 000 unfolding us
  using getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd tms tms r1 r2 # map fst tms [] _ length tms]
    getFrN_Fvars[of map snd tms tms r1 r2 # map fst tms [] _ length tms]
    getFrN_var[of map snd tms tms r1 r2 # map fst tms [] _ length tms]
    getFrN_length[of map snd tms tms r1 r2 # map fst tms [] length tms]
    getFrN_length[of map snd tms tms r1 r2 # map fst tms [] length tms]
  apply -
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by force
  subgoal by force
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by force
  by auto
  define vs1 where vs1: vs1 ≡ getFrN (map snd tms) (r1 # map fst tms) [] (length tms)
  have vs1_facts: set vs1 ⊆ var
  set vs1 ∩ FvarsT r1 = {}
  set vs1 ∩ ∪ (FvarsT ‘(fst ‘(set tms))) = {}
  set vs1 ∩ snd ‘(set tms) = {}
  length vs1 = length tms
  distinct vs1
  using assms(1–3) 000 unfolding vs1
  using getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd tms r1 # map fst tms [] _ length tms]
    getFrN_Fvars[of map snd tms r1 # map fst tms [] _ length tms]
    getFrN_var[of map snd tms r1 # map fst tms [] _ length tms]
    getFrN_length[of map snd tms r1 # map fst tms [] length tms]
    getFrN_length[of map snd tms r1 # map fst tms [] length tms]
  apply -
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by force
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by force
  subgoal by force
  by auto
  define vs2 where vs2: vs2 ≡ getFrN (map snd tms) (r2 # map fst tms) [] (length tms)
  have vs2_facts: set vs2 ⊆ var
  set vs2 ∩ FvarsT r2 = {}
  set vs2 ∩ ∪ (FvarsT ‘(fst ‘(set tms))) = {}
  set vs2 ∩ snd ‘(set tms) = {}
  length vs2 = length tms
  distinct vs2
  using assms(1–3) 000 unfolding vs2
  using getFrN_FvarsT[of map snd tms r2 # map fst tms [] _ length tms]
    getFrN_Fvars[of map snd tms r2 # map fst tms [] _ length tms]

```

```

getFrN_var[of map snd txs r2 # map fst txs [] _ length txs]
getFrN_length[of map snd txs r2 # map fst txs [] length txs]
getFrN_length[of map snd txs r2 # map fst txs [] length txs]

apply -
subgoal by auto
subgoal by force
subgoal by auto
subgoal by force
subgoal by force
by auto
have 0: rawpsubstT (tms r1 r2) (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs)) =
  tms (rawpsubstT r1 (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs)))
    (rawpsubstT r2 (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs)))
using assms us_facts by (intro rawpsubstT_tms) (auto dest!: set_zip_D)

have 1: rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT r1 (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) us) =
  rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT r1 (zip (map Var vs1) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) vs1)
using assms us_facts vs1_facts by (intro rawpsubstT_compose_freshVar2) auto

have 2: rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT r2 (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) us) =
  rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT r2 (zip (map Var vs2) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) vs2)
using assms us_facts vs2_facts by (intro rawpsubstT_compose_freshVar2) auto

have 3: rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT (tms r1 r2) (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) us)
=
  tms (rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT r1 (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) us))
    (rawpsubstT (rawpsubstT r2 (zip (map Var us) (map snd txs))) (zip (map fst txs) us))
unfolding 0 using assms us_facts apply(intro rawpsubstT_tms)
subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_D intro!: rawpsubstT)
subgoal by (force dest!: set_zip_D intro!: rawpsubstT simp: Int_def)
subgoal by (auto dest!: set_zip_D intro!: rawpsubstT)
subgoal by (fastforce dest!: set_zip_D intro!: rawpsubstT simp: Int_def) .

show ?thesis unfolding psubstT_def
  by (simp add: Let_def us[symmetric] vs1[symmetric] vs2[symmetric] 1 2 3)
qed

```

7.2 The (Nonstrict and Strict) Order Relations

Lq (less than or equal to) is a formula with free vars xx and yy . NB: Out of the two possible ways, adding zz to the left or to the right, we choose the former, since this seems to enable Q (Robinson arithmetic) to prove as many useful properties as possible.

```

definition Lq :: 'fmla where
Lq ≡ exi zz (eql (Var yy) (pls (Var zz) (Var xx)))

```

Alternative, more flexible definition , for any non-capturing bound variable:

```

lemma Lq_def2: z ∈ var ==> z ≠ yy ==> z ≠ xx ==> Lq = exi z (eql (Var yy) (pls (Var z) (Var xx)))
unfolding Lq_def using exi_rename[eql (Var yy) (pls (Var zz) (Var xx)) zz z] by auto

```

```

lemma Lq[simp,intro!]: Lq ∈ fmla
unfolding Lq_def by auto

```

```

lemma Fvars_Lq[simp]: Fvars Lq = {xx,yy}
unfolding Lq_def by auto

```

As usual, we also define a predicate version:

```

definition LLq where LLq ≡ λ t1 t2. psubst Lq [(t1,xx), (t2,yy)]

```

```

lemma LLq[simp,intro]:
assumes t1 ∈ trm t2 ∈ trm
shows LLq t1 t2 ∈ fmla
  using assms unfolding LLq_def by auto

lemma LLq2[simp,intro!]:
n ∈ num ⟹ LLq n (Var yy') ∈ fmla
  by auto

lemma Fvars_LLq[simp]: t1 ∈ trm ⟹ t2 ∈ trm ⟹
Fvars (LLq t1 t2) = FvarsT t1 ∪ FvarsT t2
  unfolding LLq_def apply(subst Fvars_psubst)
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal apply safe
    subgoal by auto
    subgoal by auto
    subgoal by force
    subgoal by force
    subgoal by force
    subgoal by force ..

```

This lemma will be the working definition of LLq:

```

lemma LLq_pls:
assumes [simp]: t1 ∈ atrm t2 ∈ atrm z ∈ var z ∉ FvarsT t1 z ∉ FvarsT t2
shows LLq t1 t2 = exi z (eql t2 (pls (Var z) t1))
proof-
  define z' where z' ≡ getFr [xx,yy,z] [t1,t2] []
  have z_facts[simp]: z' ∈ var z' ≠ yy z' ≠ xx z' ≠ zz ≠ z' z' ∉ FvarsT t1 z' ∉ FvarsT t2
  using getFr_FvarsT_Fvars[of [xx,yy,z] [t1,t2] []] unfolding z'_def by auto
  have LLq t1 t2 = exi z' (eql t2 (pls (Var z') t1))
  by (simp add: LLq_def Lq_def2[z])
  also have ... = exi z (eql t2 (pls (Var z) t1))
  using exi_rename[of eql t2 (pls (Var z') t1) z' z, simplified] .
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma LLq_pls_zz:
assumes t1 ∈ atrm t2 ∈ atrm zz ∉ FvarsT t1 zz ∉ FvarsT t2
shows LLq t1 t2 = exi zz (eql t2 (pls (Var zz) t1))
using assms by (intro LLq_pls) auto

```

If we restrict attention to arithmetic terms, we can prove a uniform substitution property for LLq:

```

lemma subst_LLq[simp]:
assumes [simp]: t1 ∈ atrm t2 ∈ atrm s ∈ atrm x ∈ var
shows subst (LLq t1 t2) s x = LLq (substT t1 s x) (substT t2 s x)
proof-
  define z where z ≡ getFr [xx,yy,x] [t1,t2,s] []
  have z_facts[simp]: z ∈ var z ≠ xx z ≠ yy z ≠ x z ∉ FvarsT t1 z ∉ FvarsT t2 z ∉ FvarsT s
  using getFr_FvarsT_Fvars[of [xx,yy,x] [t1,t2,s] []] unfolding z_def by auto
  show ?thesis
  by(simp add: FvarsT_substT LLq_pls[z] subst2_fresh_switch Lq_def)
qed

```

```

lemma psubst_LLq[simp]:

```

```

assumes 1:  $t1 \in atm$   $t2 \in atm$   $fst`set txs \subseteq atm$ 
and 2:  $snd`set txs \subseteq var$ 
and 3:  $distinct(map snd txs)$ 
shows  $psubst(LLq t1 t2) txs = LLq(psubstT t1 txs) (psubstT t2 txs)$ 
proof-
have 0:  $t1 \in trm$   $t2 \in trm$   $fst`set txs \subseteq trm$  using 1 by auto
define z where  $z \equiv getFr([xx,yy] @ map snd txs) ([t1,t2] @ map fst txs) []$ 
have us_facts:  $z \in var$   $z \neq xx$   $z \neq yy$ 
 $z \notin FvarsT t1$   $z \notin FvarsT t2$ 
 $z \notin \bigcup(FvarsT ` (fst` (set txs)))$ 
 $z \notin snd` (set txs)$ 
using 0 2 unfolding z
using getFr_FvarsT[of [xx,yy] @ map snd txs [t1,t2] @ map fst txs []]
getFr_FvarsT[of [xx,yy] @ map snd txs [t1,t2] @ map fst txs []]
getFr_var[of [xx,yy] @ map snd txs [t1,t2] @ map fst txs []]
apply -
subgoal by auto
subgoal by force
subgoal by force
subgoal by force
subgoal by force
subgoal by auto
subgoal by (force simp: image_iff) .
qed
note in_FvarsT_psubstTD[dest!]
note if_splits[split]
show ?thesis
using assms 0 us_facts apply(subst LLq_pls[of __ z])
subgoal by auto
subgoal apply(subst LLq_pls[of __ z]) by auto .
qed

```

Lq less than) is the strict version of the order relation. We prove similar facts as for Lq

definition $Ls :: 'fmla$ **where**
 $Ls \equiv cnj Lq (neg (eql (Var xx) (Var yy)))$

lemma $Ls[simp,intro!]: Ls \in fmla$
unfolding Ls_def **by** *auto*

lemma $Fvars_Ls[simp]: Fvars Ls = \{xx,yy\}$
unfolding Ls_def **by** *auto*

definition LLs **where** $LLs \equiv \lambda t1 t2. psubst Ls [(t1,xx), (t2,yy)]$

lemma $LLs[simp,intro]:$
assumes $t1 \in trm$ $t2 \in trm$
shows $LLs t1 t2 \in fmla$
using assms **unfolding** LLs_def **by** *auto*

lemma $LLs2[simp,intro!]:$
 $n \in num \implies LLs n (Var yy') \in fmla$
by *auto*

lemma $Fvars_LLs[simp]: t1 \in trm \implies t2 \in trm \implies$

```

Fvars (LLs t1 t2) = FvarsT t1 ∪ FvarsT t2
unfolding LLs_def apply(subst Fvars_psubst)
subgoal by auto
subgoal apply safe
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by force
  subgoal by force
  subgoal by force
  subgoal by force ..

```

The working definition of LLs:

```

lemma LLs_LLq:
t1 ∈ atrm ==> t2 ∈ atrm ==>
  LLs t1 t2 = cnj (LLq t1 t2) (neg (eql t1 t2))
by (simp add: LLs_def Ls_def LLq_def)

lemma subst_LLs[simp]:
assumes [simp]: t1 ∈ atrm t2 ∈ atrm s ∈ atrm x ∈ var
shows subst (LLs t1 t2) s x = LLs (substT t1 s x) (substT t2 s x)
by(simp add: LLs_LLq subst2_fresh_switch Ls_def)

lemma psubst_LLs[simp]:
assumes 1: t1 ∈ atrm t2 ∈ atrm fst ` set txs ⊆ atrm
and 2: snd ` set txs ⊆ var
and 3: distinct (map snd txs)
shows psubst (LLs t1 t2) txs = LLs (psubstT t1 txs) (psubstT t2 txs)
proof-
  have 0: t1 ∈ trm t2 ∈ trm fst ` set txs ⊆ trm using 1 by auto
  define z where z: z ≡ getFr ([xx,yy] @ map snd txs) ([t1,t2] @ map fst txs) []
  have us_facts: z ∈ var z ≠ xx z ≠ yy
    z ∉ FvarsT t1 z ∉ FvarsT t2
    z ∉ ∪ (FvarsT ` (fst ` (set txs)))
    z ∉ snd ` (set txs)
  using 0 2 unfolding z
  using getFr_FvarsT[of [xx,yy] @ map snd txs [t1,t2] @ map fst txs []]
    getFr_Fvars[of [xx,yy] @ map snd txs [t1,t2] @ map fst txs []]
    getFr_var[of [xx,yy] @ map snd txs [t1,t2] @ map fst txs []]
  apply -
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by force
  subgoal by force
  subgoal by force
  subgoal by force
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal by (force simp: image_iff) .
  show ?thesis
  using assms 0 us_facts by (simp add: LLs_LLq)
qed

```

7.3 Bounded Quantification

Bounded forall

```
definition ball :: 'var ⇒ 'trm ⇒ 'fmla ⇒ 'fmla where
```

```

ball x t φ ≡ all x (imp (LLq (Var x) t) φ)

lemma ball[simp, intro]: x ∈ var ⇒ t ∈ trm ⇒ φ ∈ fmla ⇒ ball x t φ ∈ fmla
  unfolding ball_def by auto

lemma Fvars_ball[simp]:
x ∈ var ⇒ φ ∈ fmla ⇒ t ∈ trm ⇒ Fvars (ball x t φ) = (Fvars φ ∪ FvarsT t) - {x}
  unfolding ball_def by auto

lemma subst_ball:
φ ∈ fmla ⇒ t ∈ atrm ⇒ t1 ∈ atrm ⇒ x ∈ var ⇒ y ∈ var ⇒ x ≠ y ⇒ x ∉ FvarsT t1 ⇒
  subst (ball x t φ) t1 y = ball x (substT t t1 y) (subst φ t1 y)
  unfolding ball_def by simp

lemma psubst_ball:
φ ∈ fmla ⇒ y ∈ var ⇒ snd ` set txs ⊆ var ⇒ t ∈ atrm ⇒
  fst ` set txs ⊆ trm ⇒ fst ` set txs ⊆ atrm ⇒ y ∉ snd ` set txs ⇒ y ∉ (∪ t ∈ fst ` set txs. FvarsT t)
  ⇒
  distinct (map snd txs) ⇒
  psubst (ball y t φ) txs = ball y (psubstT t txs) (psubst φ txs)
  unfolding ball_def by simp

Bounded exists

definition bexi :: 'var ⇒ 'trm ⇒ 'fmla ⇒ 'fmla where
bexi x t φ ≡ exi x (cnj (LLq (Var x) t) φ)

lemma bexi[simp, intro]: x ∈ var ⇒ t ∈ trm ⇒ φ ∈ fmla ⇒ bexi x t φ ∈ fmla
  unfolding bexi_def by auto

lemma Fvars_bexi[simp]:
x ∈ var ⇒ φ ∈ fmla ⇒ t ∈ trm ⇒ Fvars (bexi x t φ) = (Fvars φ ∪ FvarsT t) - {x}
  unfolding bexi_def by auto

lemma subst_bexi:
φ ∈ fmla ⇒ t ∈ atrm ⇒ t1 ∈ atrm ⇒ x ∈ var ⇒ y ∈ var ⇒ x ≠ y ⇒ x ∉ FvarsT t1 ⇒
  subst (bexi x t φ) t1 y = bexi x (substT t t1 y) (subst φ t1 y)
  unfolding bexi_def by simp

lemma psubst_bexi:
φ ∈ fmla ⇒ y ∈ var ⇒ snd ` set txs ⊆ var ⇒ t ∈ atrm ⇒
  fst ` set txs ⊆ trm ⇒ fst ` set txs ⊆ atrm ⇒ y ∉ snd ` set txs ⇒ y ∉ (∪ t ∈ fst ` set txs. FvarsT t)
  ⇒
  distinct (map snd txs) ⇒
  psubst (bexi y t φ) txs = bexi y (psubstT t txs) (psubst φ txs)
  unfolding bexi_def by simp

end — context Syntax_Arith

```

Chapter 8

Deduction in a System Embedding the Intuitionistic Robinson Arithmetic

NB: Robinson arithmetic, also known as system Q, is Peano arithmetic without the induction axiom schema.

8.1 Natural Deduction with the Bounded Quantifiers

We start by simply putting together deduction with the arithmetic syntax, which allows us to reason about bounded quantifiers:

```
locale Deduct_with_False_Disj_Arith =
Syntax_Arith
var trm fmla Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
eql cnj imp all exi
fls
dsj
num
zer suc pls tms
+
Deduct_with_False_Disj
var trm fmla Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
eql cnj imp all exi
fls
dsj
num
prv
for
var :: 'var set and trm :: 'trm set and fmla :: 'fmla set
and Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
and eql cnj imp all exi
and fls
and dsj
and num
and zer suc pls tms
and prv
begin

lemma nprv_ballI:
```

```

nprv (insert (LLq (Var x) t) F) φ ==>
F ⊆ fmla ==> finite F ==> φ ∈ fmla ==> t ∈ trm ==> x ∈ var ==>
x ∉ (Union φ ∈ F. Fvars φ) ==> x ∉ FvarsT t ==>
nprv F (ball x t φ)
unfolding ball_def
by(nprover2 r1: nprv_allI r2: nprv_impI)

lemma nprv_ballE_aux:
nprv F (ball x t φ) ==> nprv F (LLq t1 t) ==>
F ⊆ fmla ==> finite F ==> φ ∈ fmla ==> t ∈ atrm ==> t1 ∈ atrm ==> x ∈ var ==> x ∉ FvarsT t ==>
nprv F (subst φ t1 x)
unfolding ball_def
by(nprover3 r1: nprv_allE[of _ x imp (LLq (Var x) t) φ t1]
r2: nprv_impE0[of LLq t1 t subst φ t1 x]
r3: nprv_mono[of F])

lemma nprv_ballE:
nprv F (ball x t φ) ==> nprv F (LLq t1 t) ==> nprv (insert (subst φ t1 x) F) ψ ==>
F ⊆ fmla ==> finite F ==> φ ∈ fmla ==> t ∈ atrm ==> t1 ∈ atrm ==> x ∈ var ==> ψ ∈ fmla ==>
x ∉ FvarsT t ==>
nprv F ψ
by (meson atrm_trm local.subst nprv_ballE_aux nprv_cut rev_subsetD)

lemmas nprv_ballE0 = nprv_ballE[OF nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_ballE1 = nprv_ballE[OF __ nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_ballE2 = nprv_ballE[OF __ __ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_ballE01 = nprv_ballE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_ballE02 = nprv_ballE[OF nprv_hyp __ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_ballE12 = nprv_ballE[OF __ nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_ballE012 = nprv_ballE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]

lemma nprv_bexiI:
nprv F (subst φ t1 x) ==> nprv F (LLq t1 t) ==>
F ⊆ fmla ==> finite F ==> φ ∈ fmla ==> t ∈ atrm ==> t1 ∈ atrm ==> x ∈ var ==>
x ∉ FvarsT t ==>
nprv F (bexi x t φ)
unfolding bexi_def
by (nprover2 r1: nprv_exiI[of __ t1] r2: nprv_cnjI)

lemma nprv_bexiE:
nprv F (bexi x t φ) ==> nprv (insert (LLq (Var x) t) (insert φ F)) ψ ==>
F ⊆ fmla ==> finite F ==> φ ∈ fmla ==> x ∈ var ==> ψ ∈ fmla ==> t ∈ atrm ==>
x ∉ (Union φ ∈ F. Fvars φ) ==> x ∉ Fvars ψ ==> x ∉ FvarsT t ==>
nprv F ψ
unfolding bexi_def
by (nprover2 r1: nprv_exiE[of __ x cnj (LLq (Var x) t) φ] r2: nprv_cnjH)

lemmas nprv_bexiE0 = nprv_bexiE[OF nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_bexiE1 = nprv_bexiE[OF __ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_bexiE01 = nprv_bexiE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]

end — context Deduct_with_False_Disj

```

8.2 Deduction with the Robinson Arithmetic Axioms

```

locale Deduct_Q =
Deduct_with_False_Disj_Arith
var trm fmla

```

```

Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
eql cnj imp all exi
fls
dsj
num
zer suc pls tms
prv
for
var :: 'var set and trm :: 'trm set and fmla :: 'fmla set
and Var FvarsT substT Fvars subst
and eql cnj imp all exi
and fls
and dsj
and num
and zer suc pls tms
and prv
+
assumes
— The Q axioms are stated for some fixed variables; we will prove more useful versions, for arbitrary terms substituting the variables.
prv_neg_zer_suc_var:
prv (neg (eql zer (suc (Var xx))))
and
prv_inj_suc_var:
prv (imp (eql (suc (Var xx)) (suc (Var yy)))
          (eql (Var xx) (Var yy)))
and
prv_zer_dsj_suc_var:
prv (dsj (eql (Var yy) zer)
          (exi xx (eql (Var yy) (suc (Var xx)))))
and
prv_pls_zer_var:
prv (eql (pls (Var xx) zer) (Var xx))
and
prv_pls_suc_var:
prv (eql (pls (Var xx) (suc (Var yy)))
          (suc (pls (Var xx) (Var yy))))
and
prv_tms_zer_var:
prv (eql (tms (Var xx) zer) zer)
and
prv_tms_suc_var:
prv (eql (tms (Var xx) (suc (Var yy)))
          (pls (tms (Var xx) (Var yy)) (Var xx)))
begin

```

Rules for quantifiers that allow changing, on the fly, the bound variable with one that is fresh for the proof context:

```

lemma nprv_allI_var:
assumes n1[simp]: nprv F (subst  $\varphi$  (Var y) x)
and i[simp]:  $F \subseteq \text{fmla}$  finite  $F \varphi \in \text{fmla}$   $x \in \text{var}$   $y \in \text{var}$ 
and u:  $y \notin (\bigcup_{\varphi \in F} \text{Fvars } \varphi)$  and yx[simp]:  $y = x \vee y \notin \text{Fvars } \varphi$ 
shows nprv F (all x  $\varphi$ )
proof-
have [simp]:  $\bigwedge \varphi. \varphi \in F \implies y \notin \text{Fvars } \varphi$  using u by auto
show ?thesis
apply(subst all_rename2[of __ y])
subgoal by auto

```

```

subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto
subgoal using yx by auto
subgoal by (nrule r: nprv_allI) .
qed

lemma nprv_exiE_var:
assumes n: nprv F (exi x φ)
and nn: nprv (insert (subst φ (Var y) x) F) ψ
and 0: F ⊆ fmla finite F φ ∈ fmla x ∈ var y ∈ var ψ ∈ fmla
and yx: y = x ∨ y ∉ Fvars φ y ∉ ∪ (Fvars ' F) y ∉ Fvars ψ
shows nprv F ψ
proof-
have e: exi x φ = exi y (subst φ (Var y) x)
using 0 yx n nn by (subst exi_rename2[of __ y]) (auto simp: 0)
show ?thesis
using assms unfolding e
by (auto intro: nprv_exiE[of _ y subst φ (Var y) x])
qed

lemma prv_neg_zer_suc:
assumes [simp]: t ∈ atrm shows prv (neg (eql zer (suc t)))
using prv_psubst[OF ___ prv_neg_zer_suc_var, of [(t,xx)]]
by simp

lemma prv_neg_suc_zer:
assumes t ∈ atrm shows prv (neg (eql (suc t) zer))
by (metis assms atrm.simps atrm_imp_trm eql_fls neg_def prv_eql_sym
prv_neg_zer_suc prv_prv_imp_trans zer_atrm)

lemmas nprv_zer_suc_contrE =
nprv_flsE[OF nprv_addImpLemmaE[OF prv_neg_zer_suc[unfolded neg_def]], OF __ nprv_hyp, simped,
rotated]

lemmas nprv_zer_suc_contrE0 = nprv_zer_suc_contrE[OF nprv_hyp, simped]

lemma nprv_zer_suc_2contrE:
nprv F (eql t zer) ==> nprv F (eql t (suc t1)) ==>
finite F ==> F ⊆ fmla ==> t ∈ atrm ==> t1 ∈ atrm ==> φ ∈ fmla ==>
nprv F φ
using nprv_eql_transI[OF nprv_eql_symI] nprv_zer_suc_contrE
by (meson atrm_imp_trm suc zer_atrm)

lemmas nprv_zer_suc_2contrE0 = nprv_zer_suc_2contrE[OF nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_zer_suc_2contrE1 = nprv_zer_suc_2contrE[OF __ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_zer_suc_2contrE01 = nprv_zer_suc_2contrE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]

lemma prv_inj_suc:
t ∈ atrm ==> t' ∈ atrm ==>
prv (imp (eql (suc t) (suc t')) (eql t t'))

```

```

using prv_psubst[OF ___ prv_inj_suc_var, of [(t,xx),(t',yy)]]]
by simp

lemmas nprv.eql.sucI = nprv.addImplLemmaI[OF prv_inj_suc, simped, rotated 4]
lemmas nprv.eql.sucE = nprv.addImplLemmaE[OF prv_inj_suc, simped, rotated 2]

lemmas nprv.eql.sucE0 = nprv.eql.sucE[OF nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv.eql.sucE1 = nprv.eql.sucE[OF __ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv.eql.sucE01 = nprv.eql.sucE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]

lemma prv_zer_dsj_suc:
assumes t[simp]: t ∈ atm and x[simp]: x ∈ var x ∉ FvarsT t
shows prv (dsj (eql t zer)
            (exi x (eql t (suc (Var x)))))

proof-
  define x' where x': x' ≡ getFr [x,yy] [t] []
  have x'_facts[simp]: x' ∈ var x' ≠ x x' ≠ yy x' ∉ FvarsT t unfolding x'
  using getFr_FvarsT_Fvars[of [x,yy] [t] []] by auto

  have prv (imp (exi xx (eql (Var yy) (suc (Var xx)))) (exi x' (eql (Var yy) (suc (Var x')))))
  by (auto intro!: prv_exi_imp prv_all_gen
      simp: prv_exi_inst[of x' eql (Var yy) (suc (Var x')) Var xx, simplified])
  with prv_zer_dsj_suc_var
  have 0: prv (dsj (eql (Var yy) zer) (exi x' (eql (Var yy) (suc (Var x')))))
  by (elim prv_dsj_cases[rotated 3])
    (auto intro: prv_dsj_implL prv_dsj_implR elim!: prv_prv_imp_trans[rotated 3])

  note 1 = prv_psubst[OF ___ 0, of [(t,yy)], simplified]
  moreover have prv (imp (exi x' (eql t (suc (Var x')))) (exi x (eql t (suc (Var x)))))
  by (auto intro!: prv_exi_imp prv_all_gen simp: prv_exi_inst[of x eql t (suc (Var x)) Var x', simplified])
  ultimately show ?thesis
  by (elim prv_dsj_cases[rotated 3])
    (auto intro: prv_dsj_implL prv_dsj_implR elim!: prv_prv_imp_trans[rotated 3])
qed

lemma nprv_zer_suc_casesE:
nprv (insert (eql t zer) F) φ ==> nprv (insert (eql t (suc (Var x))) F) φ ==>
finite F ==> F ⊆ fmla ==> φ ∈ fmla ==> x ∈ var ==> t ∈ atm ==>
x ∉ Fvars φ ==> x ∉ FvarsT t ==> x ∉ ∪ (Fvars ` F) ==>
nprv F φ
by (nprover3 r1: nprv_addDsjLemmaE[OF prv_zer_dsj_suc]
     r2: nprv_exiE0[of x eql t (suc (Var x))]
     r3: nprv_mono[of insert (eql _ (suc __)) __])

lemmas nprv_zer_suc_casesE0 = nprv_zer_suc_casesE[OF nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_zer_suc_casesE1 = nprv_zer_suc_casesE[OF __ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_zer_suc_casesE01 = nprv_zer_suc_casesE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]

lemma prv_pls_zer:
assumes [simp]: t ∈ atm shows prv (eql (pls t zer) t)
using prv_psubst[OF ___ prv_pls_zer_var, of [(t,xx)]]]
by simp

lemma prv_pls_suc:

```

```

 $t \in atrm \implies t' \in atrm \implies$ 
 $\text{prv} (\text{eql} (\text{pls} t (\text{suc} t'))$ 
 $\quad (\text{suc} (\text{pls} t t'))))$ 
using  $\text{prv\_psubst}[OF \dots \text{prv\_pls\_suc\_var}, \text{of} [(t,xx),(t',yy)]]$ 
by  $\text{simp}$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{prv\_tms\_zer}:$ 
assumes [simp]:  $t \in atrm$  shows  $\text{prv} (\text{eql} (\text{tms} t \text{ zer}) \text{ zer})$ 
using  $\text{prv\_psubst}[OF \dots \text{prv\_tms\_zer\_var}, \text{of} [(t,xx)]]$ 
by  $\text{simp}$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{prv\_tms\_suc}:$ 
 $t \in atrm \implies t' \in atrm \implies$ 
 $\text{prv} (\text{eql} (\text{tms} t (\text{suc} t'))$ 
 $\quad (\text{pls} (\text{tms} t t') t))$ 
using  $\text{prv\_psubst}[OF \dots \text{prv\_tms\_suc\_var}, \text{of} [(t,xx),(t',yy)]]$ 
by  $\text{simp}$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{prv\_suc\_imp\_cong}:$ 
assumes  $t1[\text{simp}]: t1 \in atrm$  and  $t2[\text{simp}]: t2 \in atrm$ 
shows  $\text{prv} (\text{imp} (\text{eql} t1 t2)$ 
 $\quad (\text{eql} (\text{suc} t1) (\text{suc} t2)))$ 
proof-
  define  $z$  where  $z \equiv \text{getFr} [xx,yy,zz] [t1,t2] []$ 
  have  $z\_facts[\text{simp}]: z \in var$   $z \neq xx$   $z \neq yy$   $z \neq zz$   $z \neq z$   $z \notin FvarsT$   $t1$   $z \notin FvarsT$   $t2$ 
  using  $\text{getFr\_FvarsT\_Fvars}[of [xx,yy,zz] [t1,t2] []]$  unfolding  $z\_def[symmetric]$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
  show ?thesis
  by (nprover4 r1: nprv_prvI r2: nprv_impI
    r3: nprv_eql_substE02[of t1 t2 _ eql (suc (Var z)) (suc t2) z]
    r4: nprv_eq_eqII)
qed

```

```

lemmas  $nprv\_suc\_congI = nprv\_addImpLemmaI[OF \text{prv\_suc\_imp\_cong}, \text{simped}, \text{rotated } 4]$ 
lemmas  $nprv\_suc\_congE = nprv\_addImpLemmaE[OF \text{prv\_suc\_imp\_cong}, \text{simped}, \text{rotated } 2]$ 

```

```

lemmas  $nprv\_suc\_congE0 = nprv\_suc\_congE[OF \text{nprv\_hyp}, \text{simped}]$ 
lemmas  $nprv\_suc\_congE1 = nprv\_suc\_congE[OF \text{nprv\_hyp}, \text{simped}]$ 
lemmas  $nprv\_suc\_congE01 = nprv\_suc\_congE[OF \text{nprv\_hyp} \text{nprv\_hyp}, \text{simped}]$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{prv\_suc\_cong}:$ 
assumes  $t1[\text{simp}]: t1 \in atrm$  and  $t2[\text{simp}]: t2 \in atrm$ 
assumes  $\text{prv} (\text{eql} t1 t2)$ 
shows  $\text{prv} (\text{eql} (\text{suc} t1) (\text{suc} t2))$ 
by (meson assms atrm_suc atrm_imp_trm eql prv_imp_mp prv_suc_imp_cong t1 t2)

```

```

lemma  $\text{prv\_pls\_imp\_cong}:$ 
assumes  $t1[\text{simp}]: t1 \in atrm$  and  $t1'[\text{simp}]: t1' \in atrm$ 
and  $t2[\text{simp}]: t2 \in atrm$  and  $t2'[\text{simp}]: t2' \in atrm$ 
shows  $\text{prv} (\text{imp} (\text{eql} t1 t1')$ 
 $\quad (\text{imp} (\text{eql} t2 t2') (\text{eql} (\text{pls} t1 t2) (\text{pls} t1' t2'))))$ 
proof-
  define  $z$  where  $z \equiv \text{getFr} [xx,yy,zz] [t1,t1',t2,t2'] []$ 
  have  $z\_facts[\text{simp}]: z \in var$   $z \neq xx$   $z \neq yy$   $z \neq zz$   $z \neq z$ 
   $z \notin FvarsT$   $t1$   $z \notin FvarsT$   $t1'$   $z \notin FvarsT$   $t2$   $z \notin FvarsT$   $t2'$ 

```

```

using getFr_FvarsT_Fvars[of [xx,yy,zz] [t1,t1',t2,t2']] [] unfolding z_def[symmetric] by auto
show ?thesis
apply(nrule r: nprv_prvI)
apply(nrule r: nprv_implI)
apply(nrule r: nprv_implI)
apply(nrule r: nprv_eql_substE02[of t1 t1' _ eql (pls (Var z) t2) (pls t1' t2') z])
apply(nrule r: nprv_eql_substE02[of t2 t2' _ eql (pls t1' (Var z)) (pls t1' t2') z])
apply(nrule r: nprv_eq_eqI) .
qed

lemmas nprv_pls_congI = nprv_addImp2LemmaI[OF prv_pls_imp_cong, simped, rotated 6]
lemmas nprv_pls_congE = nprv_addImp2LemmaE[OF prv_pls_imp_cong, simped, rotated 4]

lemmas nprv_pls_congE0 = nprv_pls_congE[OF nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_pls_congE1 = nprv_pls_congE[OF __ nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_pls_congE2 = nprv_pls_congE[OF __ __ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_pls_congE01 = nprv_pls_congE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_pls_congE02 = nprv_pls_congE[OF nprv_hyp __ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_pls_congE12 = nprv_pls_congE[OF __ nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_pls_congE012 = nprv_pls_congE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]

lemma prv_pls_cong:
assumes t1 ∈ atrm t1' ∈ atrm t2 ∈ atrm t2' ∈ atrm
and prv (eql t1 t1') and prv (eql t2 t2')
shows prv (eql (pls t1 t2) (pls t1' t2'))
by (metis assms atrm_imp_trm cnj eql pls prv_cnjI prv_cnj_imp_monoR2 prv_imp_mp prv_pls_imp_cong)

lemma prv_pls_congL:
t1 ∈ atrm ⇒ t1' ∈ atrm ⇒ t2 ∈ atrm ⇒
prv (eql t1 t1') ⇒ prv (eql (pls t1 t2) (pls t1' t2'))
by (rule prv_pls_cong[OF _____ prv_eql_reflT]) auto

lemma prv_pls_congR:
t1 ∈ atrm ⇒ t2 ∈ atrm ⇒ t2' ∈ atrm ⇒
prv (eql t2 t2') ⇒ prv (eql (pls t1 t2) (pls t1' t2'))
by (rule prv_pls_cong[OF _____ prv_eql_reflT]) auto

lemma nprv_pls_cong:
assumes [simp]: t1 ∈ atrm t1' ∈ atrm t2 ∈ atrm t2' ∈ atrm
shows nprv {eql t1 t1', eql t2 t2'} (eql (pls t1 t2) (pls t1' t2'))
unfolding nprv_def
by (auto intro!: prv_prv_imp_trans[OF _____ prv_scnj2_imp_cnj] prv_cnj_imp_monoR2 prv_pls_imp_cong)

lemma prv_tms_imp_cong:
assumes t1[simp]: t1 ∈ atrm and t1'[simp]: t1' ∈ atrm
and t2[simp]: t2 ∈ atrm and t2'[simp]: t2' ∈ atrm
shows prv (imp (eql t1 t1')
(imp (eql t2 t2') (eql (tms t1 t2) (tms t1' t2'))))
proof-
define z where z ≡ getFr [xx,yy,zz] [t1,t1',t2,t2'] []
have z_facts[simp]: z ∈ var z ≠ xx z ≠ yy z ≠ zz z ≠ z
z ∉ FvarsT t1 z ∉ FvarsT t1' z ∉ FvarsT t2 z ∉ FvarsT t2'
using getFr_FvarsT_Fvars[of [xx,yy,zz] [t1,t1',t2,t2']] [] unfolding z_def[symmetric] by auto
show ?thesis
apply(nrule r: nprv_prvI)
apply(nrule r: nprv_implI)
apply(nrule r: nprv_implI)

```

```

apply(nruler r: nprv_eql_substE02[of t1 t1' _ eql (tms (Var z) t2) (tms t1' t2') z])
apply(nruler r: nprv_eql_substE02[of t2 t2' _ eql (tms t1' (Var z)) (tms t1' t2') z])
apply(nruler r: nprv_eq_eqI) .
qed

lemmas nprv_tms_congI = nprv_addImp2LemmaI[OF prv_tms_imp_cong, simped, rotated 6]
lemmas nprv_tms_congE = nprv_addImp2LemmaE[OF prv_tms_imp_cong, simped, rotated 4]

lemmas nprv_tms_congE0 = nprv_tms_congE[OF nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_tms_congE1 = nprv_tms_congE[OF __ nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_tms_congE2 = nprv_tms_congE[OF __ __ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_tms_congE01 = nprv_tms_congE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_tms_congE02 = nprv_tms_congE[OF nprv_hyp __ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_tms_congE12 = nprv_tms_congE[OF __ nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_tms_congE012 = nprv_tms_congE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]

lemma prv_tms_cong:
assumes t1 ∈ atrm t1' ∈ atrm t2 ∈ atrm t2' ∈ atrm
and prv (eql t1 t1') and prv (eql t2 t2')
shows prv (eql (tms t1 t2) (tms t1' t2'))
by (metis assms atrm_imp_trm cnj eql tms prv_cnjI prv_cnj_imp_monoR2 prv_imp_mp prv_tms_imp_cong)

lemma nprv_tms_cong:
assumes [simp]: t1 ∈ atrm t1' ∈ atrm t2 ∈ atrm t2' ∈ atrm
shows nprv {eql t1 t1', eql t2 t2'} (eql (tms t1 t2) (tms t1' t2'))
unfolding nprv_def
by (auto intro!: prv_prv_imp_trans[OF ___ prv_scnj2_imp_cnj] prv_cnj_imp_monoR2 prv_tms_imp_cong)

lemma prv_tms_congL:
t1 ∈ atrm ⇒ t1' ∈ atrm ⇒ t2 ∈ atrm ⇒
prv (eql t1 t1') ⇒ prv (eql (tms t1 t2) (tms t1' t2))
by (rule prv_tms_cong[OF _____ prv_eql_reflT]) auto

lemma prv_tms_congR:
t1 ∈ atrm ⇒ t2 ∈ atrm ⇒ t2' ∈ atrm ⇒
prv (eql t2 t2') ⇒ prv (eql (tms t1 t2) (tms t1 t2'))
by (rule prv_tms_cong[OF _____ prv_eql_reflT]) auto

```

8.3 Properties Provable in Q

8.3.1 General properties, unconstrained by numerals

```

lemma prv_pls_suc_zer:
t ∈ atrm ⇒ prv (eql (pls t (suc zer)) (suc t))
by (metis (no_types, opaque_lifting) atrm.atrm_pls atrm_imp_trm
pls prv_eql_trans prv_pls_suc prv_pls_zer prv_suc_cong suc_zer_atrm)

lemma prv_LLq_suc_imp:
assumes [simp]: t1 ∈ atrm t2 ∈ atrm
shows prv (imp (LLq (suc t1) (suc t2)) (LLq t1 t2))
proof - define z where z ≡ getFr [xx,yy,zz] [t1,t2] []
have z_facts[simp]: z ∈ var z ≠ xx z ≠ yy z ≠ zz z ≠ zz z ∉ FvarsT t1 z ∉ FvarsT t2
using getFr_FvarsT_Fvars[of [xx,yy,zz] [t1,t2] []] unfolding z_def[symmetric] by auto
note LLq_pls[of __ z,simp]
show ?thesis
apply(nruler r: nprv_prvI)
apply(nruler r: nprv_impI)

```

```

apply(nrule r: nprv_exiE0)
apply(nrule r: nprv_addLemmaE[OF prv_pls_suc[of Var z t1]])
apply(nrule r: nprv_clear3_3)
apply(nrule r: nprv.eql_transE01[of suc t2 pls (Var z) (suc t1) __ suc (pls (Var z) t1)])
apply(nrule r: nprv.eql_sucE0[of t2 pls (Var z) t1])
apply(nrule r: nprv_exiI[of __ Var z z]) .
qed

```

```

lemmas nprv_LLq_sucI = nprv_addImpLemmaI[OF prv_LLq_suc_imp, simpded, rotated 4]
lemmas nprv_LLq_sucE = nprv_addImpLemmaE[OF prv_LLq_suc_imp, simpded, rotated 2]

```

```

lemmas nprv_LLq_sucE0 = nprv_LLq_sucE[OF nprv_hyp __, simpded]
lemmas nprv_LLq_sucE1 = nprv_LLq_sucE[OF __ nprv_hyp, simpded]
lemmas nprv_LLq_sucE01 = nprv_LLq_sucE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simpded]

```

lemma prv_LLs_imp_LLq:

assumes [simp]: $t1 \in \text{atrm}$ $t2 \in \text{atrm}$
shows prv (imp (LLs t1 t2) (LLq t1 t2))
by (simp add: LLs_LLq prv_imp_cnjL)

lemma prv_LLq_refl:

prv (LLq zer zer)
by (auto simp: LLq_pls_zz prv_pls_zer prv_prv.eql_sym intro!: prv_exiI[of zz __ zer])

NB: Monotonicity of pls and tms w.r.t. LLq cannot be proved in Q.

lemma prv_suc_mono_LLq:

assumes $t1 \in \text{atrm}$ $t2 \in \text{atrm}$
shows prv (imp (LLq t1 t2) (LLq (suc t1) (suc t2)))
proof -

```

have assms1:  $t1 \in \text{trm}$   $t2 \in \text{trm}$  using assms by auto
define z where  $z \equiv \text{getFr} [xx,yy,zz] [t1,t2]$  []
have z_facts[simp]:  $z \in \text{var}$   $z \neq xx$   $z \neq yy$   $z \neq zz$   $z \neq z$   $z \notin \text{FvarsT}$   $t1$   $z \notin \text{FvarsT}$   $t2$ 
using getFr_FvarsT_Fvars[of [xx,yy,zz] [t1,t2] []] using assms1 unfolding z_def[symmetric] by auto
define x where  $x \equiv \text{getFr} [xx,yy,zz,z] [t1,t2]$  []
have x_facts[simp]:  $x \in \text{var}$   $x \neq xx$   $x \neq yy$   $x \neq zz$   $x \neq z$   $x \neq x$   $x \notin \text{FvarsT}$   $t1x$   $\notin \text{FvarsT}$   $t2$ 
using getFr_FvarsT_Fvars[of [xx,yy,zz,z] [t1,t2] []] using assms1 unfolding x_def[symmetric] by
auto
note assms[simp]
note LLq_pls[of __ z, simp]
show ?thesis
apply(nrule r: nprv_prvI)
apply(nrule r: nprv_impI)
apply(nrule r: nprv_exiE0[of z eql t2 (pls (Var z) t1)])
apply(nrule r: nprv_clear2_2)
apply(nrule r: nprv_exiI[of __ Var z])
apply(nrule r: nprv_addLemmaE[OF prv_pls_suc[of Var z t1]])
apply(nrule r: nprv.eql_substE[of __
  pls (Var z) (suc t1) suc (pls (Var z) t1)
  eql (suc t2) (Var x) x])
apply(nrule r: nprv_clear2_1)
apply(nrule r: nprv_suc_congI).
qed

```

```

lemmas nprv_suc_mono_LLqI = nprv_addImpLemmaI[OF prv_suc_mono_LLq, simpded, rotated 4]
lemmas nprv_suc_mono_LLqE = nprv_addImpLemmaE[OF prv_suc_mono_LLq, simpded, rotated 2]

```

```

lemmas nprv_suc_mono_LLqE0 = nprv_suc_mono_LLqE[OF nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_suc_mono_LLqE1 = nprv_suc_mono_LLqE[OF __ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_suc_mono_LLqE01 = nprv_suc_mono_LLqE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]

```

8.3.2 Representability properties

Representability of number inequality

```

lemma prv_neg.eql.suc.Num.zer:
prv (neg (eql (suc (Num n)) zer))
apply(induct n)
  apply (metis Num Num.simps(1) Num_atrm eql_fls in_num neg_def prv.eql.sym prv.neg.zer.suc
prv.prv.imp_trans suc)
  by (metis Num_atrm atrm_imp_trm eql_fls neg_def prv.eql.sym prv.neg.zer.suc prv.prv.imp_trans
suc.zer.atrm)

lemma diff_prv.eql.Num:
assumes m ≠ n
shows prv (neg (eql (Num m) (Num n)))
using assms proof(induct m arbitrary: n)
  case 0
  then obtain n' where n: n = Suc n' by (cases n) auto
  thus ?case unfolding n by (simp add: prv.neg.zer.suc)
next
  case (Suc m n) note s = Suc
  show ?case
  proof(cases n)
    case 0
    thus ?thesis by (simp add: prv.neg.eql.suc.Num.zer)
  next
    case (Suc n') note n = Suc
    thus ?thesis using s
    by simp (meson Num Num_atrm eql_in_num neg prv.imp_mp prv.imp_neg_rev prv.inj.suc.suc)
  qed
qed

lemma consistent_prv.eql.Num.equal:
assumes consistent and prv (eql (Num m) (Num n))
shows m = n
using assms consistent_def3 diff_prv.eql.Num.in_num by blast

Representability of addition

lemma prv_pls.zer.zer:
prv (eql (pls.zer.zer) zer)
  by (simp add: prv.pls.zer)

lemma prv.eql.pls.plus:
prv (eql (pls (Num m) (Num n))
      (Num (m+n)))
proof(induct n)
  case (Suc n)
  note 0 = prv.pls.suc[of Num m Num n, simplified]
  show ?case
  by (auto intro: prv.eql_trans[OF ___ 0 prv.suc.cong[OF __ Suc]])
qed(simp add: prv.pls.zer)

lemma not_plus_prv.neg.eql.pls:
assumes m + n ≠ k

```

```

shows prv (neg (eql (pls (Num m) (Num n)) (Num k)))
using assms proof(induction n arbitrary: k)
  case 0 hence m: m ≠ k by simp
  note diff_prv_eql_Num[OF m, simp]
  show ?case
    apply(nrule r: nprv_prvI)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_addLemmaE[OF prv_pls_zer, of Num m])
    apply(nrule r: nprv_eql_substE
      [of pls (Num m) zer Num m neg (eql (Var xx) (Num k)) xx])
    apply(nrule r: prv_nprvI) .
  next
  case (Suc n)
  have 0: ∨k'. k = Suc k' ⟹
    prv (neg (eql (pls (Num m) (Num n)) (Num k'))) ∧ m + n ≠ k'
  using Suc.IH Suc.preds by auto
  show ?case
    apply(nrule r: nprv_prvI)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_addLemmaE[OF prv_pls_suc, of Num m Num n])
    apply(nrule r: nprv_eql_substE[of pls (Num m) (suc (Num n))
      suc (pls (Num m) (Num n)) neg (eql (Var xx) (Num k)) xx])
    apply(nrule r: nprv_clear)
    apply(cases k)
    subgoal by (nprover2 r1: prv_nprvI r2: prv_neg_suc_zer)
    subgoal for k' apply(frule 0)
    by (nprover4 r1: nprv_addLemmaE r2: nprv_negI
      r3: nprv_negE0 r4: nprv_eql_sucI) .
qed

lemma consistent_prv_eql_pls_plus_rev:
assumes consistent prv (eql (pls (Num m) (Num n)) (Num k))
shows k = m + n
by (metis Num assms consistent_def eql not_plus_prv_neg_eql_pls num pls prv_neg_fls subsetCE)

```

Representability of multiplication

```

lemma prv_tms_Num_zer:
prv (eql (tms (Num n) zer) zer)
by(auto simp: prv_tms_zer)

lemma prv_eql_tms_times:
prv (eql (tms (Num m) (Num n)) (Num (m * n)))
proof(induct n)
  case (Suc n)
  note 0 = prv_pls_congL[OF _ _ Suc, of Num m, simplified]
  thm prv_pls_cong[no_vars]
  note add.commute[simp]
  show ?case
    apply(nrule r: nprv_prvI)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_addLemmaE[OF 0])
    apply(nrule r: nprv_addLemmaE[OF prv_tms_suc[of Num m Num n, simplified]])
    apply(nrule r: nprv_eql_transE01[of
      tms (Num m) (suc (Num n))
      pls (tms (Num m) (Num n)) (Num m) _
      pls (Num (m * n)) (Num m)])
    apply(nrule r: nprv_clear3_2)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_clear2_2)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_addLemmaE[OF prv_eql_pls_plus[of m * n m]])
    apply(nrule r: nprv_eql_transE01[of
      tms (Num m) (suc (Num n))])

```

```


$$\text{pls} (\text{Num} (m * n)) (\text{Num} m) \dots$$


$$\text{Num} (m * n + m)) .$$

qed(auto simp: prv_tms_zer)

lemma ge_prv_neg.eql_pls_Num_zer:
assumes [simp]:  $t \in \text{atrm}$  and  $m: m > k$ 
shows  $\text{prv} (\text{neg} (\text{eql} (\text{pls} t (\text{Num} m)) (\text{Num} k)))$ 
proof-
  define  $z$  where  $z \equiv \text{getFr} [\text{xx},\text{yy},\text{zz}] [t] []$ 
  have  $\text{z\_facts}[simp]: z \in \text{var} z \neq \text{xx} z \neq \text{yy} z \neq \text{zz} z \neq \text{z} z \notin \text{FvarsT} t$ 
  using getFr_FvarsT_Fvars[of  $[\text{xx},\text{yy},\text{zz}] [t] []$ ] using assms unfolding z_def[symmetric] by auto
  show ?thesis using  $m$  proof(induction  $k$  arbitrary:  $m$ )
    case  $(0 m)$ 
    show ?case
    apply(cases  $m$ )
    subgoal using  $0$  by auto
    subgoal for  $n$ 
      apply(nrule r: nprv_prvI)
      apply(nrule r: nprv_addLemmaE[OF prv_neg_suc_zer[of pls t (Num n)]])
      apply(nrule r: nprv_negI)
      apply(nrule r: nprv_negE0)
      apply(nrule r: nprv_clear2_2)
      apply(nrule r: nprv_eql_symE0)
      apply(nrule r: nprv_eql_substE[of _ zer pls t (suc (Num n)) eql (suc (pls t (Num n))) (Var z) z])
      apply(nrule r: nprv_clear)
      apply(nrule r: nprv_eql_symI)
      apply(nrule r: prv_nprvI)
      apply(nrule r: prv_pls_suc) .
  next
    case  $(\text{Suc } k mm)$ 
    then obtain  $m$  where  $mm[simp]: mm = \text{Suc } m$  and  $k: k < m$  by (cases  $mm$ ) auto
    show ?case unfolding  $mm$  Num.simps
    apply(nrule r: nprv_prvI)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_addLemmaE[OF Suc.IH[OF k]])
    apply(nrule r: nprv_negI)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_negE0)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_clear2_2)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_implI_rev)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_addLemmaE[OF prv_pls_suc[of t Num m]])
    apply(nrule r: nprv_eql_substE[of _ pls t (suc (Num m)) suc (pls t (Num m))
       $\quad \text{imp} (\text{eql} (\text{Var } z) (\text{suc} (\text{Num } k))) (\text{eql} (\text{pls } t (\text{Num } m)) (\text{Num } k)) z]$ )
    apply(nrule r: nprv_clear)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_implI)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_eql_sucI) .
  qed
qed

lemma nprv_pls_Num_injectR:
assumes [simp]:  $t1 \in \text{atrm}$   $t2 \in \text{atrm}$ 
shows  $\text{prv} (\text{imp} (\text{eql} (\text{pls} t1 (\text{Num} m)) (\text{pls} t2 (\text{Num} m)))$ 
 $\quad (\text{eql } t1 t2))$ 
proof-
  define  $z$  where  $z \equiv \text{getFr} [\text{xx},\text{yy}] [t1,t2] []$ 
  have  $\text{z\_facts}[simp]: z \in \text{var} z \neq \text{xx} z \neq \text{yy} z \notin \text{FvarsT} t1 z \notin \text{FvarsT} t2$ 
  using getFr_FvarsT_Fvars[of  $[\text{xx},\text{yy}] [t1,t2] []$ ] using assms unfolding z_def[symmetric] by auto
  show ?thesis proof(induction  $m$ )
    case  $0$ 
    show ?case unfolding Num.simps

```

```

apply(nrule r: nprv_prvI)
apply(nrule r: nprv_addLemmaE[OF prv_pls_zer[of t1]])
apply(nrule r: nprv_eql_substE[of __ pls t1 zer t1 imp (eql (Var z) (pls t2 zer)) (eql t1 t2) z])
apply(nrule r: nprv_clear)
apply(nrule r: nprv_addLemmaE[OF prv_pls_zer[of t2]])
apply(nrule r: nprv_eql_substE[of __ pls t2 zer t2 imp (eql t1 (Var z)) (eql t1 t2) z])
apply(nrule r: nprv_impI) .
next
  case (Suc m)
  note Suc.IH[simp]
  show ?case
    apply(nrule r: nprv_prvI)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_addLemmaE[OF prv_pls_suc[of t1 Num m]])
    apply(nrule r: nprv_eql_substE[of __ pls t1 (suc (Num m)) suc (pls t1 (Num m))
      imp (eql (Var z) (pls t2 (suc (Num m)))) (eql t1 t2) z])
    apply(nrule r: nprv_clear)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_addLemmaE[OF prv_pls_suc[of t2 Num m]])
    apply(nrule r: nprv_eql_substE[of __ pls t2 (suc (Num m)) suc (pls t2 (Num m))
      imp (eql (suc (pls t1 (Num m))) (Var z)) (eql t1 t2) z])
    apply(nrule r: nprv_clear)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_impI)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_eql_sucE0)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_clear2_2)
    apply(nrule r: prv_nprvII) .
qed
qed

lemmas nprv_pls_Num_injectI = nprv_addImplLemmaI[OF nprv_pls_Num_injectR, simped, rotated 4]
lemmas nprv_pls_Num_injectE = nprv_addImplLemmaE[OF nprv_pls_Num_injectR, simped, rotated 2]

lemmas nprv_pls_Num_injectE0 = nprv_pls_Num_injectE[OF nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_pls_Num_injectE1 = nprv_pls_Num_injectE[OF __ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_pls_Num_injectE01 = nprv_pls_Num_injectE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]

lemma not_times_prv_neg_eql_tms:
assumes m * n ≠ k
shows prv (neg (eql (tms (Num m) (Num n)) (Num k)))
using assms proof(induction n arbitrary: k)
  case 0 hence m: 0 ≠ k by simp have zer: zer = Num 0 by simp
  have [simp]: prv (neg (eql zer (Num k))) by (subst zer, rule diff_prv_eql_Num[OF m])
  show ?case
    apply(nrule r: nprv_prvI)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_addLemmaE[OF prv_tms_zer, of Num m])
    apply(nrule r: nprv_eql_substE[of __ tms (Num m) zer zer neg (eql (Var xx) (Num k)) xx])
    apply(nrule r: prv_nprvI) .
next
  case (Suc n)
  have [simp]: nprv {} (neg (eql (pls (tms (Num m) (Num n)) (Num m)) (Num k)))
  proof(cases k < m)
    case [simp]: True
    thus ?thesis apply- by (nprover2 r1: prv_nprvI r2: ge_prv_neg_eql_pls_Num_zer)
  next
  case False
  define k' where k' ≡ k - m

```

```

with False have k:  $k = k' + m$  by auto
hence mm:  $m * n \neq k'$  using False Suc.preds by auto
note IH = Suc.IH[ $OF\ mm$ ]
show ?thesis unfolding k
apply(nrule r: nprv_negI)
apply(nrule r: nprv_addLemmaE[ $OF\ prv\_prv\_eql\_sym[OF\ \dots\ prv\_eql\_pls\_plus[of\ k'\ m]]$ ])
apply(nrule r: nprv_eql_transE01[of _ Num (k' + m)])
apply(nrule r: nprv_clear3_2)
apply(nrule r: nprv_clear2_2)
apply(nrule r: nprv_pls_Num_injectE0)
apply(nrule r: nprv_clear2_2)
apply(nrule r: nprv_addLemmaE[ $OF\ IH$ ])
apply(nrule r: nprv_negE0) .
qed
show ?case
apply(nrule r: nprv_prvI)
apply(nrule r: nprv_addLemmaE[ $OF\ prv\_tms\_suc,\ of\ Num\ m\ Num\ n$ ])
apply(nrule r: nprv_eql_substE[of _ tms (Num m) (suc (Num n)) pls (tms (Num m) (Num n)) (Num m)]
    neg (eql (Var xx) (Num k)) xx])
apply(nrule r: nprv_clear) .
qed

lemma consistent_prv_eql_tms_times_rev:
assumes consistent prv (eql (tms (Num m) (Num n)) (Num k))
shows k = m * n
by (metis Num assms consistent_def eql not_times_prv_neg_eql_tms_num tms prv_neg_fls subsetCE)

```

Representability of the order

```

lemma leq_prv_LLq_Num:
assumes m ≤ n
shows prv (LLq (Num m) (Num n))
proof-
  obtain i where n:  $n = i + m$  using assms add.commute le_Suc_ex by blast
  note prv_eql_pls_plus[simp]
  have prv (exi zz (eql (Num (i + m)) (pls (Var zz) (Num m)))) by(nprover2 r1: prv_exiI[of _ _ Num i] r2: prv_prv_eql_sym)
  thus ?thesis unfolding n by (simp add: LLq_pls_zz)
qed

```

8.3.3 The "order-adequacy" properties

These are properties Q1–O9 from Peter Smith, An Introduction to Gödel's theorems, Second Edition, Page 73.

```

lemma prv_LLq_zer: — O1
assumes [simp]: t ∈ atrm
shows prv (LLq zer t)
proof-
  define z where z ≡ getFr [xx,yy] [t] []
  have z_facts[simp]: z ∈ var z ≠ xx z ≠ yy z ∉ FvarsT t
  using getFr_FvarsT_Fvars[of [xx,yy] [t] []] unfolding z_def[symmetric] by auto
  have prv (exi z (eql t (pls (Var z) zer)))
  apply(nrule r: nprv_prvI)
  apply(nrule r: nprv_exiI[of _ _ t])
  apply(nrule r: nprv_eql_symI)
  apply(nrule r: prv_nprvI)
  apply(nrule r: prv_pls_zer) .

```

```

thus ?thesis by (simp add: LLq_pls[of __ z])
qed

lemmas Q1 = prv_LLq_zer

lemma prv_LLq_zer_imp_eql:
assumes [simp]:  $t \in \text{atrm}$ 
shows  $\text{prv} (\text{imp} (\text{LLq } t \text{ zer}) (\text{eql } t \text{ zer}))$ 
proof-
  define  $y$  where  $y \equiv \text{getFr} [] [t] []$ 
  have  $y\_facts[\text{simp}]$ :  $y \in \text{var} y \notin \text{FvarsT } t$ 
  using  $\text{getFr\_FvarsT\_Fvars}[\text{of} [] [t] []]$  unfolding  $y\_def[\text{symmetric}]$  by auto
  define  $z$  where  $z \equiv \text{getFr} [y] [t] []$ 
  have  $z\_facts[\text{simp}]$ :  $z \in \text{var} z \neq y z \notin \text{FvarsT } t$ 
  using  $\text{getFr\_FvarsT\_Fvars}[\text{of} [y] [t] []]$  unfolding  $z\_def[\text{symmetric}]$  by auto
  define  $x$  where  $x \equiv \text{getFr} [y,z] [t] []$ 
  have  $x\_facts[\text{simp}]$ :  $x \in \text{var} x \neq y x \neq z x \notin \text{FvarsT } t$ 
  using  $\text{getFr\_FvarsT\_Fvars}[\text{of} [y,z] [t] []]$  unfolding  $x\_def$  by auto
  note LLq_pls[of __ z,simp]
  show ?thesis
  apply(nrule r: nprv_prvI)
  apply(nrule r: nprv_impI)
  apply(nrule r: nprv_zer_suc_casesE[of t __ y])
  apply(nrule r: nprv_exiE0[of z eql zer (pls (Var z) t)])
  apply(nrule r: nprv_clear3_3)
  apply(nrule r: nprv.eql_symE0[of t])
  apply(nrule r: nprv.eql_substE01[of suc (Var y) t __ eql zer (pls (Var z) (Var x)) x])
  apply(nrule r: nprv.addLemmaE[OF prv_pls_suc[of Var z Var y,simplified]])
  apply(nrule r: nprv.eql_transE01[of zer pls (Var z) (suc (Var y)) __ suc (pls (Var z) (Var y))])
  apply(nrule r: nprv_zer_suc_contrE0[of pls (Var z) (Var y)]) .
qed

lemmas nprv_LLq_zer_eqlI = nprv_addImpLemmaI[OF prv_LLq_zer_imp_eql, simped, rotated 3]
lemmas nprv_LLq_zer_eqlE = nprv_addImpLemmaE[OF prv_LLq_zer_imp_eql, simped, rotated 1]

lemmas nprv_LLq_zer_eqlE0 = nprv_LLq_zer_eqlE[OF nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_LLq_zer_eqlE1 = nprv_LLq_zer_eqlE[OF __ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_LLq_zer_eqlE01 = nprv_LLq_zer_eqlE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]

lemma prv_sdsj_eql_imp_LLq: -- O2
assumes [simp]:  $t \in \text{atrm}$ 
shows  $\text{prv} (\text{imp} (\text{lds} (\text{map} (\lambda i. \text{eql } t (\text{Num } i)) (\text{toN } n))) (\text{LLq } t (\text{Num } n)))$ 
proof-
  define  $z$  where  $z \equiv \text{getFr} [xx,yy] [t] []$ 
  have  $z\_facts[\text{simp}]$ :  $z \in \text{var} z \neq xx z \neq yy z \notin \text{FvarsT } t$ 
  using  $\text{getFr\_FvarsT\_Fvars}[\text{of} [xx,yy] [t] []]$  unfolding  $z\_def[\text{symmetric}]$  by auto
  note imp[rule del, intro!] note dsj[intro!]
  show ?thesis
  apply(nrule r: nprv_prvI)
  apply(nrule r: nprv_impI)
  apply(nrule r: nprv_ldsjE0)
  subgoal for  $i$ 
    apply(nrule r: nprv.eql_substE[of __ t Num i LLq (Var z) (Num n) z])
    subgoal by (nrule r: nprv_hyp)
    subgoal by (nprover3 r1: nprv.addLemmaE[OF leq_prv_LLq_Num])
    subgoal by (nrule r: nprv_hyp) .

```

qed

```

declare subset_eq[simp]
lemmas nprv_sdsj_eql_LLqI = nprv_addImpLemmaI[OF prv_sdsj_eql_imp_LLq, simped, rotated 3]
lemmas nprv_sdsj_eql_LLqE = nprv_addImpLemmaE[OF prv_sdsj_eql_imp_LLq, simped, rotated 1]
declare subset_eq[simp del]

lemmas nprv_sdsj_eql_LLqE0 = nprv_sdsj_eql_LLqE[OF nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_sdsj_eql_LLqE1 = nprv_sdsj_eql_LLqE[OF __ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_sdsj_eql_LLqE01 = nprv_sdsj_eql_LLqE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]

lemmas O2I = nprv_sdsj_eql_LLqI
lemmas O2E = nprv_sdsj_eql_LLqE
lemmas O2E0 = nprv_sdsj_eql_LLqE0
lemmas O2E1 = nprv_sdsj_eql_LLqE1
lemmas O2E01 = nprv_sdsj_eql_LLqE01

lemma prv_LLq_imp_sdsj_eql: — O3
assumes [simp]:  $t \in \text{atrm}$ 
shows  $\text{prv}(\text{imp}(\text{LLq } t (\text{Num } n)) (\text{ldsj}(\text{map}(\lambda i. \text{eql } t (\text{Num } i)) (\text{toN } n))))$ 
using assms proof(induction n arbitrary: t)
  case (0 t) note 0[simp]
  note prv_LLq_zer_imp_eql[OF 0,simp]
  show ?case
    by (nprover4 r1: nprv_prvI r2: nprv_impI r3: nprv_ldsjI r4: prv_nprvII)
next
  case (Suc n) note t[simp] = ' $t \in \text{atrm}$ '
  define z where  $z \equiv \text{getFr}[\text{xx}, \text{yy}, \text{zz}] [t] []$ 
  have z_facts[simp]:  $z \in \text{var}$   $z \neq \text{xx}$   $z \neq \text{yy}$   $z \neq \text{zz}$   $z \neq \text{z}$   $z \notin \text{FvarsT } t$ 
  using getFr_FvarsT_Fvars[of [xx,yy,zz] [t] []] unfolding z_def[symmetric] by auto
  note subset_eq[simp]
  have [simp]:  $\text{eql } t \text{ zer} \in (\lambda x. \text{eql } t (\text{Num } x))` \{0..Suc n\}$  by (force simp: image_def)
  have [simp]:  $\bigwedge i. i \leq n \implies$ 
     $\text{eql } (\text{suc } (\text{Var } z)) (\text{suc } (\text{Num } i)) \in (\lambda x. \text{eql } (\text{suc } (\text{Var } z)) (\text{Num } x))` \{0..Suc n\}$ 
  by (auto simp: image_def intro!: bexI[of _ Suc _])
  show ?case
    apply(nrule r: nprv_prvI)
    apply(nrule2 r: nprv_zer_suc_casesE[of t __ z])
    subgoal by (nprover3 r1: nprv_impI r2: nprv_clear2_1 r3: nprv_ldsjI)
    subgoal
      apply(nrule r: nprv_eql_substE[of __ t suc (Var z)]
        imp (LLq (Var xx) (suc (Num n))) (ldsj (map (\lambda i. eql (Var xx) (Num i)) (toN (Suc n)))) xx])
      apply(nrule r: nprv_clear)
      apply(nrule r: nprv_impI)
      apply(nrule r: nprv_LLq_sucE0)
      apply(nrule r: nprv_addImpLemmaE[OF Suc.IH[of Var z, simplified]])
      apply(nrule r: nprv_ldsjE0)
      subgoal for i apply(nrule r: nprv_ldsjI[of __ eql (suc (Var z)) (suc (Num i))])
        apply(nrule r: nprv_suc_congI) ...
    qed

```

```

declare subset_eq[simp]
lemmas prv_LLq_sdsj_eqlI = nprv_addImpLemmaI[OF prv_LLq_imp_sdsj_eql, simped, rotated 3]
lemmas prv_LLq_sdsj_eqlE = nprv_addImpLemmaE[OF prv_LLq_imp_sdsj_eql, simped, rotated 1]
declare subset_eq[simp del]

```

```

lemmas prv_LLq_sdsj_eqlE0 = prv_LLq_sdsj_eqlE[OF nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas prv_LLq_sdsj_eqlE1 = prv_LLq_sdsj_eqlE[OF __ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas prv_LLq_sdsj_eqlE01 = prv_LLq_sdsj_eqlE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]

lemmas O3I = prv_LLq_sdsj_eqI
lemmas O3E = prv_LLq_sdsj_eqlE
lemmas O3E0 = prv_LLq_sdsj_eqlE0
lemmas O3E1 = prv_LLq_sdsj_eqlE1
lemmas O3E01 = prv_LLq_sdsj_eqlE01

lemma not_leq_prv_neg_LLq_Num:
assumes ¬ m ≤ n
shows prv (neg (LLq (Num m) (Num n)))
proof-
  have [simp]: ∀ i. i ≤ n ⟹ prv (imp (eq (Num m) (Num i)) fls)
  unfolding neg_def[symmetric]
  using assms by (intro diff_prv_eql_Num) simp
  show ?thesis
  apply(nrule r: nprv_prvI)
  apply(nrule r: nprv_negI)
  apply(nrule r: O3E0)
  apply(nrule r: nprv_ldsjE0)
  apply(nrule r: nprv_clear3_2)
  apply(nrule r: nprv_clear2_2)
  apply(nrule r: prv_nprvI) .
qed

lemma consistent_prv_LLq_Num_leq:
assumes consistent prv (LLq (Num m) (Num n))
shows m ≤ n
by (metis Num assms consistent_def LLq not_leq_prv_neg_LLq_Num num prv_neg_fls subsetCE)

lemma prv_ball_NumI: — O4
assumes [simp]: x ∈ var φ ∈ fmla
and [simp]: ∀ i. i ≤ n ⟹ prv (subst φ (Num i) x)
shows prv (ball x (Num n) φ)
apply(nrule r: nprv_prvI)
apply(nrule r: nprv_ballI)
apply(nrule r: O3E0)
apply(nrule r: nprv_clear2_2)
apply(nrule r: nprv_ldsjE0)
apply(nrule r: nprv_clear2_2)
apply(nrule r: nprv_eql_substE[of _ Var x Num _ φ x])
apply(nrule r: prv_nprvI) .

lemmas O4 = prv_ball_NumI

lemma prv_bexi_NumI: — O5
assumes [simp]: x ∈ var φ ∈ fmla
and [simp]: i ≤ n prv (subst φ (Num i) x)
shows prv (bexi x (Num n) φ)
proof-
  note leq_prv_LLq_Num[simp]
  show ?thesis
  by (nprover4 r1: nprv_prvI r2: nprv_bexiI[of __ Num i] r3: prv_nprvI r4: prv_nprvI)

```

qed

lemmas $O5 = \text{prv_bexi_NumI}$

lemma $\text{prv_LLq_Num_imp_Suc} : O6$

assumes [*simp*]: $t \in \text{atrm}$

shows $\text{prv}(\text{imp}(\text{LLq}(t)(\text{Num } n))(\text{LLq}(t)(\text{suc}(\text{Num } n))))$

proof–

have [*simp*]: $\bigwedge i. i \leq n \implies \text{prv}(\text{LLq}(\text{Num } i)(\text{suc}(\text{Num } n)))$

apply(*subst Num.simps(2)[symmetric]*)

by (*rule leq_prv_LLq_Num*) *simp*

show ?thesis

apply(*nrule r: nprv_prvI*)

apply(*nrule r: nprv_impI*)

apply(*nrule r: O3E0*)

apply(*nrule r: nprv_clear2_2*)

apply(*nrule r: nprv_ldsjE0*)

apply(*nrule r: nprv_clear2_2*)

apply(*nrule r: nprv_eql_substE[of _ t Num _ LLq (Var xx) (suc (Num n)) xx]*)

apply(*nrule r: prv_nprvI*) .

qed

lemmas $nprv_LLq_Num_SucI = \text{nprv_addImpLemmaI}[O6 \text{ prv_LLq_Num_imp_Suc}, \text{simped}, \text{rotated } 3]$

lemmas $nprv_LLq_Num_SucE = \text{nprv_addImpLemmaE}[O6 \text{ prv_LLq_Num_imp_Suc}, \text{simped}, \text{rotated } 1]$

lemmas $nprv_LLq_Num_SucE0 = \text{nprv_LLq_Num_SucE}[O6 \text{ nprv_hyp_}, \text{simped}]$

lemmas $nprv_LLq_Num_SucE1 = \text{nprv_LLq_Num_SucE}[O6 \text{ nprv_hyp}, \text{simped}]$

lemmas $nprv_LLq_Num_SucE01 = \text{nprv_LLq_Num_SucE}[O6 \text{ nprv_hyp nprv_hyp}, \text{simped}]$

lemmas $O6I = \text{nprv_LLq_Num_SucI}$

lemmas $O6E = \text{nprv_LLq_Num_SucE}$

lemmas $O6E0 = \text{nprv_LLq_Num_SucE0}$

lemmas $O6E1 = \text{nprv_LLq_Num_SucE1}$

lemmas $O6E01 = \text{nprv_LLq_Num_SucE01}$

Crucial for proving O7:

lemma $\text{prv_LLq_suc_Num_pls_Num}$:

assumes [*simp*]: $t \in \text{atrm}$

shows $\text{prv}(\text{LLq}(\text{suc}(\text{Num } n))(\text{pls}(\text{suc } t)(\text{Num } n)))$

proof–

define z **where** $z \equiv \text{getFr}[\text{xx}, \text{yy}, \text{zz}][t] []$

have $z_facts[\text{simp}]: z \in \text{var} z \neq \text{xx} z \neq \text{yy} z \neq \text{zz} z \neq \text{z} z \notin \text{FvarsT } t$

using *getFr_FvarsT_Fvars*[*of [xx,yy,zz] [t] [] unfolding z_def[symmetric]*] **by auto**

show ?thesis

proof(*induction n*)

case 0

have $\text{prv}(\text{exi } z (\text{eql}(\text{pls}(\text{suc } t) \text{ zer}) (\text{pls}(\text{Var } z)(\text{suc } \text{zer}))))$

apply(*nrule r: nprv_prvI*)

apply(*nrule r: nprv_exiI[of _ _ t]*)

apply(*nrule r: nprv_addLemmaE*[*of prv_pls_zer[of suc t]*])

apply(*nrule r: nprv_eql_substE*[*of pls(suc t) zer suc t eql (Var z) (pls t (suc zer)) z*])

apply(*nrule r: nprv_clear*)

apply(*nrule r: nprv_eql_symI*)

apply(*nrule r: prv_nprvI*)

apply(*nrule r: prv_pls_suc_zer*) .

```

thus ?case by (simp add: LLq_pls[of __ z])
next
  case (Suc n)
  have nn: suc (Num n) = suc (Num n) by simp
  note Suc.IH[simp]
  show ?case
    apply(nrule r: nprv_prvI)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_addLemmaE[OF prv_pls_suc[of suc t Num n]])
    apply(nrule r: nprv_eql_substE[of __ pls (suc t) (suc (Num n)) suc (pls (suc t) (Num n))
      LLq (suc (suc (Num n))) (Var z) z])
    apply(nrule r: nprv_clear)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_suc_mono_LLqI)
    apply(nrule r: prv_nprvI) .
  qed
qed

lemma prv_Num_LLq_imp.eql_suc: — O7
assumes [simp]: t ∈ atm
shows prv (imp (LLq (Num n) t)
  (dsj (eql (Num n) t)
    (LLq (suc (Num n)) t)))
proof-
  define z where z ≡ getFr [xx,yy,zz] [t] []
  have z_facts[simp]: z ∈ var z ≠ xx z ≠ yy z ≠ zz z ≠ z z ≠ FvarsT t
  using getFr_FvarsT_Fvars[of [xx,yy,zz] [t] []] unfolding z_def[symmetric] by auto
  define x where x ≡ getFr [xx,yy,zz,z] [t] []
  have x_facts[simp]: x ∈ var x ≠ xx x ≠ yy x ≠ zz z ≠ x x ≠ z z ≠ x x ≠ FvarsT t
  using getFr_FvarsT_Fvars[of [xx,yy,zz,z] [t] []] unfolding x_def[symmetric] by auto
  define y where y ≡ getFr [x,z] [t] []
  have y_facts[simp]: y ∈ var y ≠ FvarsT t x ≠ y y ≠ x z ≠ y y ≠ z
  using getFr_FvarsT_Fvars[of [x,z] [t] []] unfolding y_def[symmetric] by auto
  have [simp]: prv (eql (pls zer (Num n)) (Num n))
    by (subst Num.simps(1)[symmetric]) (metis plus_nat.add_0 prv.eql_pls_plus)
  have [simp]: prv (LLq (suc (Num n)) (pls (suc (Var x)) (Num n)))
    by (simp add: prv_LLq_suc_Num_pls_Num)

  note LLq_pls[of Num n t z, simplified, simp]
  show ?thesis
    apply(nrule r: nprv_prvI)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_impi)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_exiE0)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_clear2_2)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_zer_suc_casesE[of Var z __ x])
    subgoal
      apply(nrule r: nprv_dsjIL)
      apply(nrule r: nprv_impi_rev2[of {eql (Var z) zer} eql t (pls (Var z) (Num n))])
      apply(nrule r: nprv_eql_substE
        [of __ Var z zer imp (eql t (pls (Var y) (Num n))) (eql (Num n) t) y])
      apply(nrule r: nprv_clear)
      apply(nrule r: nprv_impi)
      apply(nrule r: nprv_eql_substE[of __ t pls zer (Num n) eql (Num n) (Var y) y])
      apply(nrule r: nprv_clear)
      apply(nrule r: prv_nprvI)
      apply(nrule r: prv_prv.eql_sym) .
    subgoal
      apply(nrule r: nprv_dsjIR)
      apply(nrule r: nprv_impi_rev2[of {eql (Var z) (suc (Var x))} eql t (pls (Var z) (Num n))])
      apply(nrule r: nprv_eql_substE

```

```

[of _ Var z suc (Var x) imp (eql t (pls (Var y) (Num n))) (LLq (suc (Num n)) t) y]

apply(nrule r: npnv_clear)
apply(nrule r: npnv_iml)
apply(nrule r: npnv_eql_substE
      [of _ t pls (suc (Var x)) (Num n) LLq (suc (Num n)) (Var y) y])
apply(nrule r: prv_npvI) ..
qed

lemma prv_Num_LLq_eql_sucE:
npnv F (LLq (Num n) t) ==>
  npnv (insert (eql (Num n) t) F) ψ ==>
  npnv (insert (LLq (suc (Num n)) t) F) ψ ==>
  t ∈ atrm ==> F ⊆ fmla ==> finite F ==> ψ ∈ fmla ==>
  npnv F ψ
apply(nrule r: npnv_addImpLemmaE[OF prv_Num_LLq_iml_eql_suc])
apply(nrule2 r: npnv_dsjE0[of eql (Num n) t LLq (suc (Num n)) t])
subgoal by (nrule r: npnv_mono[of insert (eql (Num n) t) F])
subgoal by (nrule r: npnv_mono[of insert (LLq (suc (Num n)) t) F]) .

lemmas prv_Num_LLq_eql_sucE0 = prv_Num_LLq_eql_sucE[OF npnv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas prv_Num_LLq_eql_sucE1 = prv_Num_LLq_eql_sucE[OF __ npnv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas prv_Num_LLq_eql_sucE2 = prv_Num_LLq_eql_sucE[OF __ __ npnv_hyp, simped]
lemmas prv_Num_LLq_eql_sucE01 = prv_Num_LLq_eql_sucE[OF npnv_hyp npnv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas prv_Num_LLq_eql_sucE02 = prv_Num_LLq_eql_sucE[OF npnv_hyp __ npnv_hyp, simped]
lemmas prv_Num_LLq_eql_sucE12 = prv_Num_LLq_eql_sucE[OF __ npnv_hyp npnv_hyp, simped]
lemmas prv_Num_LLq_eql_sucE012 = prv_Num_LLq_eql_sucE[OF npnv_hyp npnv_hyp npnv_hyp, simped]

lemmas O7E = prv_Num_LLq_eql_sucE
lemmas O7E0 = prv_Num_LLq_eql_sucE0

lemma prv_dsj_eql_Non_neg:
assumes t ∈ atrm
shows prv (dsj (eql t (Num n)) (neg (eql t (Num n)))) using assms proof(induction n arbitrary: t)
case [simp]:(0 t)
define z where z ≡ getFr [xx,yy,zz] [t] []
have z_facts[simp]: z ∈ var z ≠ xx z ≠ yy z ≠ zz zz ≠ z z ∉ FvarsT t
using getFr_FvarsT_Fvars[of [xx,yy,zz] [t] []] unfolding z_def[symmetric] by auto
show ?case
apply(nrule r: npnv_prvI)
apply(nrule r: npnv_zer_suc_casesE[of t __ z])
subgoal by (nrule r: npnv_dsjIL)
subgoal by (nprover3 r1: npnv_dsjIR r2: npnv_negI r3: npnv_zer_suc_2contrE01) .
next
case (Suc n) note ‹t ∈ atrm›[simp]
define z where z ≡ getFr [xx,yy,zz] [t] []
have z_facts[simp]: z ∈ var z ≠ xx z ≠ yy z ≠ zz zz ≠ z z ∉ FvarsT t
using getFr_FvarsT_Fvars[of [xx,yy,zz] [t] []] unfolding z_def[symmetric] by auto
show ?case
apply(nrule r: npnv_prvI)
apply(nrule r: npnv_zer_suc_casesE[of t __ z])
subgoal by (nprover3 r1: npnv_dsjIR r2: npnv_negI r3: npnv_zer_suc_2contrE01)

```

```

subgoal
  apply(nrule r: nprv.eql_substE [of _ t suc (Var z)
    dsj (eql (Var z) (suc (Num n))) (neg (eql (Var z) (suc (Num n)))) z])
  apply(nrule r: nprv.clear)
  apply(nrule r: nprv.addLemmaE[OF Suc.IH[of Var z]])
  apply(nrule r: nprv.dsje0)
  subgoal by (nprover2 r1: nprv.dsjIL r2: nprv.suc.congI)
  subgoal by (nprover4 r1: nprv.dsjIR r2: nprv.negE0 r3: nprv.negE0 r4: nprv.eql.sucI) .
qed

```

lemmas *nprv.eql.Num.casesE* = *nprv.addDsjLemmaE*[*OF prv.dsj.eql.Num.neg, simped, rotated*]

lemmas *nprv.eql.Num.casesE0* = *nprv.eql.Num.casesE*[*OF nprv.hyp* _, *simped*]

lemmas *nprv.eql.Num.casesE1* = *nprv.eql.Num.casesE*[*OF nprv.hyp*, *simped*]

lemmas *nprv.eql.Num.casesE01* = *nprv.eql.Num.casesE*[*OF nprv.hyp nprv.hyp*, *simped*]

```

lemma prv.LLq.Num.dsj: — O8
assumes [simp]: t ∈ atrm
shows prv(dsj(LLq t (Num n)) (LLq(Num n) t))
proof(induction n)
  case 0
  note prv.LLq.zer[simp]
  show ?case by (nprover3 r1: nprv.prvI r2: nprv.dsjIR r3: prv.nprvI)
next
  case (Suc n)
  have nn: suc(Num n) = Num(Suc n) by simp
  have [simp]: prv(LLq(Num n) (suc(Num n)))
  apply(subst nn) by (rule leq_prv_LLq_Num) simp
  show ?case
  apply(nrule r: nprv.prvI)
  apply(nrule r: nprv.addLemmaE[OF Suc.IH])
  apply(nrule r: nprv.dsje0)
  subgoal by (nprover2 r1: nprv.dsjIL r2: O6I)
  subgoal
    apply(nrule r: nprv.clear2_2)
    apply(nrule2 r: nprv.eql.Num.casesE[of t n])
    subgoal by (nprover3 r1: nprv.dsjIL r2: nprv.eql_substE[of _ t Num n LLq(Var xx) (suc(Num n) xx)]
      r3: prv.nprvI)
    subgoal
      apply(nrule r: O7E0[of n t])
      subgoal by (nprover2 r1: nprv.eql.symE0 r2: nprv.negE01)
      subgoal by (nrule r: nprv.dsjIR) .
qed

```

```

lemma prv.LLq.Num.casesE:
nprv(insert(LLq t (Num n)) F) ψ ==>
nprv(insert(LLq(Num n) t) F) ψ ==>
t ∈ atrm ==> F ⊆ fmla ==> finite F ==> ψ ∈ fmla ==>
nprv F ψ
by (rule nprv.addDsjLemmaE[OF prv.LLq.Num.dsj]) auto

```

lemmas *prv.LLq.Num.casesE0* = *prv.LLq.Num.casesE*[*OF nprv.hyp* _, *simped*]

lemmas *prv.LLq.Num.casesE1* = *prv.LLq.Num.casesE*[*OF nprv.hyp*, *simped*]

lemmas *prv.LLq.Num.casesE01* = *prv.LLq.Num.casesE*[*OF nprv.hyp nprv.hyp*, *simped*]

```

lemmas O8E = prv_LLq_Num_casesE
lemmas O8E0 = prv_LLq_Num_casesE0
lemmas O8E1 = prv_LLq_Num_casesE1
lemmas O8E01 = prv_LLq_Num_casesE01

lemma prv_imp_LLq_neg_Num_suc:
assumes [simp]:  $t \in \text{atrm}$ 
shows  $\text{prv}(\text{imp}(\text{LLq } t (\text{suc } (\text{Num } n)))$ 
       $(\text{imp}((\text{neg}(\text{eql } t (\text{suc } (\text{Num } n))))))$ 
       $(\text{LLq } t (\text{Num } n)))$ 
apply(nrule r: nprv_prvI)
apply(nrule r: nprv_impI)
apply(nrule r: nprv_impI)
apply(nrule r: O3E0[of t Suc n])
apply(nrule r: nprv_clear3_3)
apply(nrule r: nprv_ldsjE0)
subgoal for  $i$ 
apply(nrule r: nprv_clear3_2)
apply(nrule r: nprv_impI_rev2[of {eql t (Num i)} neg (eql t (suc (Num n)))])
apply(nrule r: nprv_eql_substE[of _ t Num i]
      imp (neg (eql (Var xx) (suc (Num n)))) (LLq (Var xx) (Num n)) xx])
apply(nrule r: nprv_clear)
apply(nrule r: nprv_impI)
apply(cases i = Suc n)
subgoal by (nprover2 r1: nprv_negE0 r2: nprv_eql_reflI)
subgoal by (nprover2 r1: prv_nprvI r2: leq_prv_LLq_Num) . .

lemmas nprv_LLq_neg_Num_sucI = nprv_addImp2LemmaI[OF prv_imp_LLq_neg_Num_suc, simped,
rotated 3]
lemmas nprv_LLq_neg_Num_sucE = nprv_addImp2LemmaE[OF prv_imp_LLq_neg_Num_suc, simped,
rotated 1]

lemmas nprv_LLq_neg_Num_sucE0 = nprv_LLq_neg_Num_sucE[OF nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_LLq_neg_Num_sucE1 = nprv_LLq_neg_Num_sucE[OF _ nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_LLq_neg_Num_sucE2 = nprv_LLq_neg_Num_sucE[OF __ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_LLq_neg_Num_sucE01 = nprv_LLq_neg_Num_sucE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas nprv_LLq_neg_Num_sucE02 = nprv_LLq_neg_Num_sucE[OF nprv_hyp __ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_LLq_neg_Num_sucE12 = nprv_LLq_neg_Num_sucE[OF _ nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas nprv_LLq_neg_Num_sucE012 = nprv_LLq_neg_Num_sucE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp nprv_hyp,
simped]

lemma prv_ball_Num_imp_ball_suc: — O9
assumes [simp]:  $x \in \text{var } \varphi \in \text{fmla}$ 
shows  $\text{prv}(\text{imp}(\text{ball } x (\text{Num } n) \varphi)$ 
       $(\text{ball } x (\text{suc } (\text{Num } n)) (\text{imp}(\text{neg}(\text{eql } (\text{Var } x) (\text{suc } (\text{Num } n)))) \varphi)))$ 
apply(nrule r: nprv_prvI)
apply(nrule r: nprv_impI)
apply(nrule r: nprv_ballI)
apply(nrule r: nprv_impI)
apply(nrule r: nprv_LLq_neg_Num_sucE01)
apply(nrule r: nprv_clear4_2)
apply(nrule r: nprv_clear3_2)
apply(nrule r: nprv_ballE0[of x Num n  $\varphi \_ \text{Var } x$ ]) .

```

```

lemmas prv_ball_Num_ball_sucI = nprv_addImpLemmaI[OF prv_ball_Num_imp_ball_suc, simped,
rotated 4]
lemmas prv_ball_Num_ball_sucE = nprv_addImpLemmaE[OF prv_ball_Num_imp_ball_suc, simped,
rotated 2]

lemmas prv_ball_Num_ball_sucE0 = prv_ball_Num_ball_sucE[OF nprv_hyp __, simped]
lemmas prv_ball_Num_ball_sucE1 = prv_ball_Num_ball_sucE[OF __ nprv_hyp, simped]
lemmas prv_ball_Num_ball_sucE01 = prv_ball_Num_ball_sucE[OF nprv_hyp nprv_hyp, simped]

lemmas O9I = prv_ball_Num_ball_sucI
lemmas O9E = prv_ball_Num_ball_sucE
lemmas O9E0 = prv_ball_Num_ball_sucE0
lemmas O9E1 = prv_ball_Num_ball_sucE1
lemmas O9E01 = prv_ball_Num_ball_sucE01

```

8.3.4 Verifying the abstract ordering assumptions

```

lemma LLq_num:
assumes φ[simp]: φ ∈ fmla Fvars φ = {zz} and q: q ∈ num and p: ∀ p ∈ num. prv (subst φ p zz)
shows prv (all zz (imp (LLq (Var zz) q) φ))
proof –
  obtain n where q: q = Num n using q num_Num by auto
  — NB: We did not need the whole strength of the assumption p – we only needed that to hold for numerals
  — smaller than n. However, the abstract framework allowed us to make this strong assumption, and did not
  — need to even assume an order on the numerals.
  show ?thesis unfolding q ball_def[symmetric] using p p num_Num by (intro O4) auto
qed

lemma LLq_num2:
assumes p ∈ num
shows ∃ P ⊆ num. finite P ∧ prv (dsj (sdsj {eql (Var yy) r | r. r ∈ P}) (LLq p (Var yy)))
proof –
  obtain n where q[simp]: p = Num n using assms num_Num by auto
  have [simp]: {eql (Var yy) r | r. r = Num i ∧ i ≤ n} ⊆ fmla by auto
  show ?thesis
  apply(nrule r: exI[of _ {Num i | i . i ≤ n}])
  apply(nrule r: nprv_prvI)
  apply(nrule r: O8E[of Var yy n])
  subgoal
    apply(nrule r: nprv_dsjIL)
    apply(nrule r: O3E0)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_ldsjE0)
    apply(nrule r: nprv_sdsjI[of _ eql (Var yy) (Num __)])
    apply(nrule r: nprv_hyp).
  subgoal by (nrule r: nprv_dsjIR).
qed

end — context Deduct_Q

sublocale Deduct_Q < lab: Deduct_with_PseudoOrder where Lq = LLq (Var zz) (Var yy)
apply standard apply auto[] using Fvars_Lq apply auto[]
using LLq_num LLq_num2 apply auto
done

```

Bibliography

- [1] A. Popescu and D. Traytel. A formally verified abstract account of Gödel’s incompleteness theorems. In P. Fontaine, editor, *CADE 27*, volume 11716 of *LNCS*, pages 442–461. Springer, 2019.