

A Modular Formalization of Superposition

Martin Desharnais Balazs Toth

March 17, 2025

Abstract

Superposition is an efficient proof calculus for reasoning about first-order logic with equality that is implemented in many automatic theorem provers. It works by saturating the given set of clauses and is refutationally complete, meaning that if the set is inconsistent, the saturation will contain a contradiction. In this formalization, we restructured the completeness proof to cleanly separate the ground (i.e., variable-free) and nonground aspects. We relied on the IsaFoR library for first-order terms and on the Isabelle saturation framework. A paper describing this formalization was published at the 15th International Conference on Interactive Theorem Proving (ITP 2024) [1].

Contents

1	Superposition Calculus	2
1.1	Ground Rules	2
1.1.1	Alternative Specification of the Superposition Rule . .	3
1.2	Ground Layer	6
1.3	Redundancy Criterion	12
1.4	Model Construction	15
1.5	Static Refutational Completeness	59
2	Nonground Layer	65
2.0.1	Alternative Specification of the Superposition Rule . .	67
3	Completeness	82
3.1	Liftings	82
3.2	Ground instances	111
3.3	Soundness	119
4	Integration of IsaFoR Terms and the Knuth–Bendix Order	129
theory	<i>Ground-Critical-Pairs</i>	
imports	<i>First-Order-Clause.Term-Rewrite-System</i>	
begin		

definition *ground-critical-pairs* :: 'f gterm rel \Rightarrow 'f gterm rel **where**
ground-critical-pairs $R = \{(ctxt\langle r_2 \rangle_G, r_1) \mid ctxt\ l\ r_1\ r_2. (ctxt\langle l \rangle_G, r_1) \in R \wedge (l, r_2) \in R\}$

locale *ground-critical-pair-theorem* =
fixes *f-type* :: 'f itself
assumes *ground-critical-pair-theorem*:
 $\bigwedge R :: 'f\ gterm\ rel.$
 $WCR\ (rewrite\ inside\ gctxt\ R) \longleftrightarrow ground\ critical\ pairs\ R \subseteq (rewrite\ inside\ gctxt\ R)^\downarrow$

end

theory *Ground-Superposition*

imports

Ground-Critical-Pairs

First-Order-Clause.Selection-Function

First-Order-Clause.Ground-Order

First-Order-Clause.Ground-Clause

begin

1 Superposition Calculus

locale *ground-superposition-calculus* =
ground-order-with-equality **where** $less_t = less_t +$
selection-function $select +$
ground-critical-pair-theorem $TYPE('f)$

for

$less_t :: 'f\ gterm \Rightarrow 'f\ gterm \Rightarrow bool$ **and**

$select :: 'f\ gatom\ clause \Rightarrow 'f\ gatom\ clause$

begin

1.1 Ground Rules

inductive *superposition* ::

$'f\ gatom\ clause \Rightarrow 'f\ gatom\ clause \Rightarrow 'f\ gatom\ clause \Rightarrow bool$

where

superpositionI:

$E = add\ mset\ L_E\ E' \Longrightarrow$

$D = add\ mset\ L_D\ D' \Longrightarrow$

$D \prec_c E \Longrightarrow$

$\mathcal{P} \in \{Pos, Neg\} \Longrightarrow$

$L_E = \mathcal{P}\ (Upair\ \kappa\langle t \rangle_G\ u) \Longrightarrow$

$L_D = t \approx t' \Longrightarrow$

$u \prec_t \kappa\langle t \rangle_G \Longrightarrow$

$t' \prec_t t \Longrightarrow$

$(\mathcal{P} = Pos \wedge select\ E = \{\#\} \wedge is\ strictly\ maximal\ L_E\ E) \vee$

$(\mathcal{P} = Neg \wedge (select\ E = \{\#\} \wedge is\ maximal\ L_E\ E \vee is\ maximal\ L_E\ (select\ E)))$

\Longrightarrow

$select\ D = \{\#\} \Longrightarrow$

is-strictly-maximal $L_D D \implies$
 $C = \text{add-mset } (\mathcal{P} (\text{Upair } \kappa \langle t \rangle_G u)) (E' + D') \implies$
superposition $D E C$

inductive *eq-resolution* :: 'f gatom clause \Rightarrow 'f gatom clause \Rightarrow bool **where**

*eq-resolution*I:

$D = \text{add-mset } L D' \implies$
 $L = t \not\approx t \implies$
 $\text{select } D = \{\#\} \wedge \text{is-maximal } L D \vee \text{is-maximal } L (\text{select } D) \implies$
 $C = D' \implies$
eq-resolution $D C$

inductive *eq-factoring* :: 'f gatom clause \Rightarrow 'f gatom clause \Rightarrow bool **where**

*eq-factoring*I:

$D = \text{add-mset } L_1 (\text{add-mset } L_2 D') \implies$
 $L_1 = t \approx t' \implies$
 $L_2 = t \approx t'' \implies$
 $\text{select } D = \{\#\} \implies$
is-maximal $L_1 D \implies$
 $t' \prec_t t \implies$
 $C = \text{add-mset } (t' \not\approx t'') (\text{add-mset } (t \approx t'') D') \implies$
eq-factoring $D C$

abbreviation *eq-resolution-inferences* **where**

eq-resolution-inferences $\equiv \{\text{Infer } [D] C \mid D C. \text{eq-resolution } D C\}$

abbreviation *eq-factoring-inferences* **where**

eq-factoring-inferences $\equiv \{\text{Infer } [D] C \mid D C. \text{eq-factoring } D C\}$

abbreviation *superposition-inferences* **where**

superposition-inferences $\equiv \{\text{Infer } [D, E] C \mid D E C. \text{superposition } D E C\}$

1.1.1 Alternative Specification of the Superposition Rule

inductive *superposition'* ::

'f gatom clause \Rightarrow 'f gatom clause \Rightarrow 'f gatom clause \Rightarrow bool

where

*superposition'*I:

$P_1 = \text{add-mset } L_1 P_1' \implies$
 $P_2 = \text{add-mset } L_2 P_2' \implies$
 $P_2 \prec_c P_1 \implies$
 $\mathcal{P} \in \{\text{Pos}, \text{Neg}\} \implies$
 $L_1 = \mathcal{P} (\text{Upair } s \langle t \rangle_G s') \implies$
 $L_2 = t \approx t' \implies$
 $s' \prec_t s \langle t \rangle_G \implies$
 $t' \prec_t t \implies$
 $(\mathcal{P} = \text{Pos} \longrightarrow \text{select } P_1 = \{\#\} \wedge \text{is-strictly-maximal } L_1 P_1) \implies$
 $(\mathcal{P} = \text{Neg} \longrightarrow (\text{select } P_1 = \{\#\} \wedge \text{is-maximal } L_1 P_1 \vee \text{is-maximal } L_1 (\text{select } P_1))) \implies$

select $P_2 = \{\#\} \implies$
is-strictly-maximal $L_2 P_2 \implies$
 $C = \text{add-mset } (\mathcal{P} (\text{Upair } s\langle t \rangle_G s')) (P_1' + P_2') \implies$
superposition' $P_2 P_1 C$

lemma *superposition' = superposition*

proof (*intro ext iffI*)

fix $P1 P2 C$

assume *superposition'* $P2 P1 C$

thus *superposition* $P2 P1 C$

proof (*cases P2 P1 C rule: superposition'.cases*)

case (*superposition'I* $L_1 P_1' L_2 P_2' \mathcal{P} s t s' t'$)

thus *?thesis*

using *superpositionI*

by force

qed

next

fix $P1 P2 C$

assume *superposition* $P1 P2 C$

thus *superposition'* $P1 P2 C$

proof (*cases P1 P2 C rule: superposition.cases*)

case (*superpositionI* $L_1 P_1' L_2 P_2' \mathcal{P} s t s' t'$)

thus *?thesis*

using *superposition'I*

by (*metis literals-distinct(2)*)

qed

qed

inductive *pos-superposition ::*

'f gatom clause \Rightarrow 'f gatom clause \Rightarrow 'f gatom clause \Rightarrow bool

where

pos-superpositionI:

$P_1 = \text{add-mset } L_1 P_1' \implies$

$P_2 = \text{add-mset } L_2 P_2' \implies$

$P_2 \prec_c P_1 \implies$

$L_1 = s\langle t \rangle_G \approx s' \implies$

$L_2 = t \approx t' \implies$

$s' \prec_t s\langle t \rangle_G \implies$

$t' \prec_t t \implies$

select $P_1 = \{\#\} \implies$

is-strictly-maximal $L_1 P_1 \implies$

select $P_2 = \{\#\} \implies$

is-strictly-maximal $L_2 P_2 \implies$

$C = \text{add-mset } (s\langle t \rangle_G \approx s') (P_1' + P_2') \implies$

pos-superposition $P_2 P_1 C$

lemma *superposition-if-pos-superposition:*

assumes *step: pos-superposition* $P_2 P_1 C$

shows *superposition* $P_2 P_1 C$

using *step*
proof (*cases* $P_2 P_1 C$ *rule: pos-superposition.cases*)
case (*pos-superpositionI* $L_1 P_1' L_2 P_2' s t s' t'$)
thus *?thesis*
using *superpositionI*
by (*metis insert-iff*)
qed

inductive *neg-superposition* ::
'f gatom clause \Rightarrow 'f gatom clause \Rightarrow 'f gatom clause \Rightarrow bool
where

neg-superpositionI:
 $P_1 = \text{add-mset } L_1 P_1' \Longrightarrow$
 $P_2 = \text{add-mset } L_2 P_2' \Longrightarrow$
 $P_2 \prec_c P_1 \Longrightarrow$
 $L_1 = s\langle t \rangle_G \not\approx s' \Longrightarrow$
 $L_2 = t \approx t' \Longrightarrow$
 $s' \prec_t s\langle t \rangle_G \Longrightarrow$
 $t' \prec_t t \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{select } P_1 = \{\#\} \wedge \text{is-maximal } L_1 P_1 \vee \text{is-maximal } L_1 (\text{select } P_1) \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{select } P_2 = \{\#\} \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{is-strictly-maximal } L_2 P_2 \Longrightarrow$
 $C = \text{add-mset } (\text{Neg } (\text{Upair } s\langle t \rangle_G s')) (P_1' + P_2') \Longrightarrow$
neg-superposition $P_2 P_1 C$

lemma *superposition-if-neg-superposition*:
assumes *neg-superposition* $P_2 P_1 C$
shows *superposition* $P_2 P_1 C$
using *assms*
proof (*cases* $P_2 P_1 C$ *rule: neg-superposition.cases*)
case (*neg-superpositionI* $L_1 P_1' L_2 P_2' s t s' t'$)
then show *?thesis*
using *superpositionI*
by (*metis insert-iff*)
qed

lemma *superposition-iff-pos-or-neg*:
superposition $P_2 P_1 C \longleftrightarrow$
pos-superposition $P_2 P_1 C \vee \text{neg-superposition } P_2 P_1 C$
proof (*rule iffI*)
assume *superposition* $P_2 P_1 C$
thus *pos-superposition* $P_2 P_1 C \vee \text{neg-superposition } P_2 P_1 C$
proof (*cases* $P_2 P_1 C$ *rule: superposition.cases*)
case (*superpositionI* $L_1 P_1' L_2 P_2' \mathcal{P} s t s' t'$)
then show *?thesis*
using *pos-superpositionI*[*of* $P_1 L_1 P_1' P_2 L_2 P_2' s t s' t'$]
using *neg-superpositionI*[*of* $P_1 L_1 P_1' P_2 L_2 P_2' s t s' t'$]
by *metis*
qed

next
assume *pos-superposition* $P_2 P_1 C \vee$ *neg-superposition* $P_2 P_1 C$
thus *superposition* $P_2 P_1 C$
using
superposition-if-neg-superposition
superposition-if-pos-superposition
by *metis*
qed

1.2 Ground Layer

definition *G-Inf* :: 'f gatom clause inference set **where**

G-Inf =
 $\{Infer [P_2, P_1] C \mid P_2 P_1 C. \text{superposition } P_2 P_1 C\} \cup$
 $\{Infer [P] C \mid P C. \text{eq-resolution } P C\} \cup$
 $\{Infer [P] C \mid P C. \text{eq-factoring } P C\}$

abbreviation *G-Bot* :: 'f gatom clause set **where**

G-Bot $\equiv \{\{\#\}\}$

definition *G-entails* :: 'f gatom clause set \Rightarrow 'f gatom clause set \Rightarrow bool **where**

G-entails $N_1 N_2 \iff (\forall (I :: 'f gterm \text{ rel}). \text{refl } I \longrightarrow \text{trans } I \longrightarrow \text{sym } I \longrightarrow$
compatible-with-gtxt $I \longrightarrow \text{upair } 'I \models_s N_1 \longrightarrow \text{upair } 'I \models_s N_2)$

lemma *superposition-smaller-conclusion*:

assumes

step: *superposition* $P_1 P_2 C$

shows $C \prec_c P_2$

using *step*

proof (*cases* $P_1 P_2 C$ *rule*: *superposition.cases*)

case (*superpositionI* $L_1 P_1' L_2 P_2' \mathcal{P} s t s' t'$)

have $P_1' + \text{add-mset } (\mathcal{P} (\text{Upair } s\langle t' \rangle_G s')) P_2' \prec_c P_1' + \{\#\mathcal{P} (\text{Upair } s\langle t \rangle_G s')\#\}$

unfolding *less_c-def*

proof (*intro one-step-implies-multip ballI*)

fix K **assume** $K \in\# \text{add-mset } (\mathcal{P} (\text{Upair } s\langle t' \rangle_G s')) P_2'$

moreover have $\mathcal{P} (\text{Upair } s\langle t' \rangle_G s') \prec_l \mathcal{P} (\text{Upair } s\langle t \rangle_G s')$

proof –

have $s\langle t' \rangle_G \prec_t s\langle t \rangle_G$

using $\langle t' \rangle \prec_t t$

by *simp*

hence $\text{multip } (\prec_t) \{\#s\langle t' \rangle_G, s'\#\} \{\#s\langle t \rangle_G, s'\#\}$

by (*simp add: add-mset-commute multip-cancel-add-mset*)

have *?thesis* **if** $\mathcal{P} = \text{Pos}$

unfolding *that less_l-def*

using $\langle \text{multp } (\prec_t) \{ \#s\langle t' \rangle_G, s'\# \} \{ \#s\langle t \rangle_G, s'\# \} \rangle$
by *simp*

moreover have *?thesis* **if** $\mathcal{P} = \text{Neg}$
unfolding *that less_l-def*
using $\langle \text{multp } (\prec_t) \{ \#s\langle t' \rangle_G, s'\# \} \{ \#s\langle t \rangle_G, s'\# \} \rangle$ *multp-double-doubleI*
by *force*

ultimately show *?thesis*
using $\langle \mathcal{P} \in \{ \text{Pos}, \text{Neg} \} \rangle$
by *auto*

qed

moreover have $\forall K \in \# P_2'. K \prec_l \mathcal{P} (\text{Upair } s\langle t \rangle_G s')$
proof –

have *is-strictly-maximal L₂ P1*
using *superpositionI*
by *argo*

hence $\forall K \in \# P_2'. \neg \text{Pos} (\text{Upair } t t') \prec_l K \wedge \text{Pos} (\text{Upair } t t') \neq K$
unfolding
is-strictly-maximal-def
 $\langle P1 = \text{add-mset } L_2 P_2' \rangle \langle L_2 = t \approx t' \rangle$
by *simp*

hence $\forall K \in \# P_2'. K \prec_l \text{Pos} (\text{Upair } t t')$
by *auto*

have *thesis-if-Neg*: $\text{Pos} (\text{Upair } t t') \prec_l \mathcal{P} (\text{Upair } s\langle t \rangle_G s')$
if $\mathcal{P} = \text{Neg}$
proof –

have $t \preceq_t s\langle t \rangle_G$
using *term.order.less-eq-subterm-property* .

hence $\text{multp } (\prec_t) \{ \#t, t'\# \} \{ \#s\langle t \rangle_G, s', s\langle t \rangle_G, s'\# \}$
unfolding *reflclp-iff*
proof (*elim disjE*)
assume $t \prec_t s\langle t \rangle_G$

moreover hence $t' \prec_t s\langle t \rangle_G$
using *superpositionI(8)*
by *order*

ultimately show *?thesis*
by (*auto intro: one-step-implies-multp[of - - - {#}, simplified]*)

next
assume $t = s\langle t \rangle_G$
thus *?thesis*
using $\langle t' \prec_t t \rangle$

```

    by simp
  qed
  thus  $Pos (Upair\ t\ t') \prec_l \mathcal{P} (Upair\ s\langle t \rangle_G\ s')$ 
    using  $\langle \mathcal{P} = Neg \rangle$ 
    by (simp add: lessl-def)
  qed

  have thesis-if-Pos:  $Pos (Upair\ t\ t') \preceq_l \mathcal{P} (Upair\ s\langle t \rangle_G\ s')$ 
    if  $\mathcal{P} = Pos$  and is-maximal  $L_1\ P2$ 
  proof (cases s)
    case Hole
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases  $t' \preceq_t s'$ )
      case True

      hence  $(multp\ (\prec_t))^{==} \{\#t, t'\#\} \{\#s\langle t \rangle_G, s'\#\}$ 
        unfolding Hole
        by (simp add: multp-cancel-add-mset)

      thus ?thesis
        unfolding Hole  $\langle \mathcal{P} = Pos \rangle$ 
        by (auto simp: lessl-def)
    next
      case False
      hence  $s' \prec_t t'$ 
        by order

      hence  $multp\ (\prec_t) \{\#s\langle t \rangle_G, s'\#\} \{\#t, t'\#\}$ 
        by (simp add: Hole multp-cancel-add-mset)

      hence  $\mathcal{P} (Upair\ s\langle t \rangle_G\ s') \prec_l Pos (Upair\ t\ t')$ 
        using  $\langle \mathcal{P} = Pos \rangle$ 
        by (simp add: lessl-def)

      moreover have  $\forall K \in \# P_1'. K \preceq_l \mathcal{P} (Upair\ s\langle t \rangle_G\ s')$ 
        using that
        unfolding superpositionI is-maximal-def
        by auto

      ultimately have  $\forall K \in \# P_1'. K \preceq_l Pos (Upair\ t\ t')$ 
        by auto

      hence  $P2 \prec_c P1$ 
        using
           $\langle \mathcal{P} (Upair\ s\langle t \rangle_G\ s') \prec_l Pos (Upair\ t\ t') \rangle$ 
          one-step-implies-multp[of  $P1\ P2\ (\prec_l)\ \{\#\}$ , simplified]
          literal.order.multp-if-maximal-less-that-maximal
          superpositionI
          that

```



```

    unfolding lessc-def
    by blast

  hence False
    using ⟨P1 <c P2⟩ by order

  thus ?thesis ..
qed
next
case (More f ts1 ctxt ts2)
hence t <t s⟨t⟩G
  using term.order.subterm-property[of s t]
  by simp

moreover hence t' <t s⟨t⟩G
  using ⟨t' <t t⟩
  by order

ultimately have multp (<t) {#t, t'#} {#s⟨t⟩G, s'#}
  using one-step-implies-multp[of {#s⟨t⟩G, s'#} {#t, t'#} (<t) {#}]
  by simp

hence Pos (Upair t t') <l P (Upair s⟨t⟩G s')
  using ⟨P = Pos⟩
  by (simp add: lessl-def)

thus ?thesis
  by order
qed

have P = Pos ∨ P = Neg
  using ⟨P ∈ {Pos, Neg}⟩
  by simp

thus ?thesis
proof (elim disjE; intro ball)
  fix K assume P = Pos K ∈# P2'
  have K <l t ≈ t'
    using ⟨∀ K ∈# P2'. K <l t ≈ t'⟩ ⟨K ∈# P2'⟩
    by metis

  also have t ≈ t' ≼l P (Upair s⟨t⟩G s')
  proof (rule thesis-if-Pos[OF ⟨P = Pos⟩])

    have is-strictly-maximal L1 P2
      using ⟨P = Pos⟩ superpositionI
      by simp

    thus is-maximal L1 P2
  end
end

```

by *blast*
 qed

finally show $K \prec_l \mathcal{P} (U\text{pair } s\langle t \rangle_G s')$.
 next
 fix K assume $\mathcal{P} = \text{Neg } K \in\# P_2'$

have $K \prec_l t \approx t'$
 using $\langle \forall K \in\# P_2'. K \prec_l t \approx t' \rangle \langle K \in\# P_2' \rangle$
 by *metis*

also have $t \approx t' \prec_l \mathcal{P} (U\text{pair } s\langle t \rangle_G s')$
 using *thesis-if-Neg*[*OF* $\langle \mathcal{P} = \text{Neg} \rangle$] .

finally show $K \prec_l \mathcal{P} (U\text{pair } s\langle t \rangle_G s')$.
 qed
 qed

ultimately show $\exists j \in\# \{ \# \mathcal{P} (U\text{pair } s\langle t \rangle_G s') \# \}$. $K \prec_l j$
 by *auto*
 qed *simp*

moreover have $C = \text{add-mset } (\mathcal{P} (U\text{pair } s\langle t \rangle_G s')) (P_1' + P_2')$
 unfolding *superpositionI* ..

moreover have $P_2 = P_1' + \{ \# \mathcal{P} (U\text{pair } s\langle t \rangle_G s') \# \}$
 unfolding *superpositionI* by *simp*

ultimately show *?thesis*
 by *simp*
 qed

lemma *ground-eq-resolution-smaller-conclusion*:
 assumes *step*: *eq-resolution* P C
 shows $C \prec_c P$
 using *step*
proof (*cases* P C *rule*: *eq-resolution.cases*)
 case (*eq-resolutionI* L t)
 then show *?thesis*
 unfolding *less_c-def*
 by (*metis* *add.left-neutral* *add-mset-add-single* *empty-not-add-mset* *multi-member-split* *one-step-implies-multp* *union-commute*)
 qed

lemma *ground-eq-factoring-smaller-conclusion*:
 assumes *step*: *eq-factoring* P C
 shows $C \prec_c P$
 using *step*
proof (*cases* P C *rule*: *eq-factoring.cases*)

```

case (eq-factoringI L1 L2 P' t t' t'')
have is-maximal L1 P
  using eq-factoringI
  by simp

hence  $\forall K \in \# \text{ add-mset } (Pos (U\text{pair } t t'')) P'. \neg Pos (U\text{pair } t t') \prec_l K$ 
  unfolding eq-factoringI is-maximal-def
  by auto

hence  $\neg Pos (U\text{pair } t t') \prec_l Pos (U\text{pair } t t')$ 
  by simp

hence  $Pos (U\text{pair } t t'') \preceq_l Pos (U\text{pair } t t')$ 
  by order

hence  $t'' \preceq_t t'$ 
  unfolding reflclp-iff
  by (auto simp: lessl-def multp-cancel-add-mset)

have C = add-mset (Neg (Upair t' t'')) (add-mset (Pos (Upair t t'')) P')
  using eq-factoringI
  by argo

moreover have add-mset (Neg (Upair t' t'')) (add-mset (Pos (Upair t t'')) P')
 $\prec_c P$ 
  unfolding eq-factoringI lessc-def
proof (intro one-step-implies-multp[of {#-#} {#-#}, simplified])
  have  $t'' \prec_t t$ 
    using  $\langle t' \prec_t t \rangle \langle t'' \preceq_t t' \rangle$ 
    by order

  hence multp ( $\prec_t$ ) {#t', t'', t', t''#} {#t, t'#}
    using one-step-implies-multp[of - - {#}, simplified]
    by (metis  $\langle t' \prec_t t \rangle$  diff-empty id-remove-1-mset-iff-notin insert-iff
      set-mset-add-mset-insert)

  thus Neg (Upair t' t'')  $\prec_l$  Pos (Upair t t')
    by (simp add: lessl-def)
qed

ultimately show ?thesis
  by argo
qed

sublocale consequence-relation where Bot = G-Bot and entails = G-entails
proof unfold-locales
  show G-Bot  $\neq \{\}$ 
  by simp
next

```

```

show  $\bigwedge B N. B \in G\text{-Bot} \implies G\text{-entails } \{B\} N$ 
  by (simp add: G-entails-def)
next
show  $\bigwedge N2 N1. N2 \subseteq N1 \implies G\text{-entails } N1 N2$ 
  by (auto simp: G-entails-def elim!: true-clss-mono[rotated])
next
fix  $N1 N2$  assume ball-G-entails:  $\forall C \in N2. G\text{-entails } N1 \{C\}$ 
show  $G\text{-entails } N1 N2$ 
  unfolding G-entails-def
proof (intro allI impI)
  fix  $I :: 'f \text{ gterm rel}$ 
  assume refl I and trans I and sym I and compatible-with-gctxt I and
     $(\lambda(x, y). \text{Upair } x y) ' I \Vdash_s N1$ 

  hence  $\forall C \in N2. (\lambda(x, y). \text{Upair } x y) ' I \Vdash_s \{C\}$ 
  using ball-G-entails
  by (simp add: G-entails-def)

  then show  $(\lambda(x, y). \text{Upair } x y) ' I \Vdash_s N2$ 
  by (simp add: true-clss-def)
qed
next
show  $\bigwedge N1 N2 N3. G\text{-entails } N1 N2 \implies G\text{-entails } N2 N3 \implies G\text{-entails } N1 N3$ 
  using G-entails-def
  by simp
qed

```

1.3 Redundancy Criterion

sublocale *calculus-with-finitary-standard-redundancy* **where**

Inf = *G-Inf* **and**

Bot = *G-Bot* **and**

entails = *G-entails* **and**

less = \prec_c

defines *GRed-I* = *Red-I* **and** *GRed-F* = *Red-F*

proof *unfold-locales*

show *transp* \prec_c

by *simp*

next

show *wfP* \prec_c

by *auto*

next

show $\bigwedge \iota. \iota \in G\text{-Inf} \implies \text{prems-of } \iota \neq []$

by (*auto simp: G-Inf-def*)

next

fix ι

have *concl-of* $\iota \prec_c$ *main-prem-of* ι

if $\iota\text{-def}$: $\iota = \text{Infer } [P_2, P_1] C$ **and**

infer: *superposition* $P_2 P_1 C$

```

for  $P_2 P_1 C$ 
unfolding  $\iota$ -def
using infer
using superposition-smaller-conclusion
by simp

moreover have  $\text{concl-of } \iota \prec_c \text{main-prem-of } \iota$ 
if  $\iota$ -def:  $\iota = \text{Infer } [P] C$  and
  infer: eq-resolution  $P C$ 
for  $P C$ 
unfolding  $\iota$ -def
using infer
using ground-eq-resolution-smaller-conclusion
by simp

moreover have  $\text{concl-of } \iota \prec_c \text{main-prem-of } \iota$ 
if  $\iota$ -def:  $\iota = \text{Infer } [P] C$  and
  infer: eq-factoring  $P C$ 
for  $P C$ 
unfolding  $\iota$ -def
using infer
using ground-eq-factoring-smaller-conclusion
by simp

ultimately show  $\iota \in G\text{-Inf} \implies \text{concl-of } \iota \prec_c \text{main-prem-of } \iota$ 
unfolding  $G\text{-Inf-def}$ 
by fast
qed

lemma redundant-infer-singleton:
  assumes  $\exists D \in N. G\text{-entails } (\text{insert } D (\text{set } (\text{side-prems-of } \iota))) \{ \text{concl-of } \iota \} \wedge D$ 
 $\prec_c \text{main-prem-of } \iota$ 
  shows redundant-infer  $N \iota$ 
proof–
  obtain  $D$  where  $D$ :
     $D \in N$ 
     $G\text{-entails } (\text{insert } D (\text{set } (\text{side-prems-of } \iota))) \{ \text{concl-of } \iota \}$ 
     $D \prec_c \text{main-prem-of } \iota$ 
    using assms
    by blast

  show ?thesis
    unfolding redundant-infer-def
    by (rule exI[of -  $\{D\}$ ]) (auto simp:  $D$ )
qed

end

end

```

```

theory Abstract-Rewriting-Extra
  imports
    First-Order-Clause.Transitive-Closure-Extra
    Abstract-Rewriting.Abstract-Rewriting
begin

lemma mem-join-union-iff-mem-join-lhs:
  assumes
     $\bigwedge z. (x, z) \in A^* \implies z \notin \text{Domain } B$  and
     $\bigwedge z. (y, z) \in A^* \implies z \notin \text{Domain } B$ 
  shows  $(x, y) \in (A \cup B)^\downarrow \longleftrightarrow (x, y) \in A^\downarrow$ 
proof (rule iffI)
  assume  $(x, y) \in (A \cup B)^\downarrow$ 
  then obtain  $z$  where
     $(x, z) \in (A \cup B)^*$  and  $(y, z) \in (A \cup B)^*$ 
  by auto

  show  $(x, y) \in A^\downarrow$ 
proof (rule joinI)
  from assms(1) show  $(x, z) \in A^*$ 
  using  $\langle (x, z) \in (A \cup B)^* \rangle$  mem-rtrancl-union-iff-mem-rtrancl-lhs[of x A B z]
by simp
  next
  from assms(2) show  $(y, z) \in A^*$ 
  using  $\langle (y, z) \in (A \cup B)^* \rangle$  mem-rtrancl-union-iff-mem-rtrancl-lhs[of y A B z]
by simp
  qed
next
  show  $(x, y) \in A^\downarrow \implies (x, y) \in (A \cup B)^\downarrow$ 
  by (metis UnCI join-mono subset-Un-eq sup.left-idem)
qed

lemma mem-join-union-iff-mem-join-rhs:
  assumes
     $\bigwedge z. (x, z) \in B^* \implies z \notin \text{Domain } A$  and
     $\bigwedge z. (y, z) \in B^* \implies z \notin \text{Domain } A$ 
  shows  $(x, y) \in (A \cup B)^\downarrow \longleftrightarrow (x, y) \in B^\downarrow$ 
  using mem-join-union-iff-mem-join-lhs
  by (metis assms(1) assms(2) sup-commute)

lemma refl-join: refl ( $r^\downarrow$ )
  by (simp add: joinI-right reflI)

lemma trans-join:
  assumes strongly-norm: SN  $r$  and confluent: WCR  $r$ 
  shows trans ( $r^\downarrow$ )
proof –
  from confluent strongly-norm have CR  $r$ 
  using Newman by metis

```

```

hence  $r^{\leftrightarrow*} = r^\downarrow$ 
  using CR-imp-conversionIff-join by metis
thus ?thesis
  using conversion-trans by metis
qed

end
theory Relation-Extra
  imports Main
begin

lemma partition-set-around-element:
  assumes tot: totalp-on  $N$   $R$  and x-in:  $x \in N$ 
  shows  $N = \{y \in N. R\ y\ x\} \cup \{x\} \cup \{y \in N. R\ x\ y\}$ 
proof (intro Set.equalityI Set.subsetI)
  fix  $z$  assume  $z \in N$ 
  hence  $R\ z\ x \vee z = x \vee R\ x\ z$ 
    using tot[THEN totalp-onD] x-in by auto
  thus  $z \in \{y \in N. R\ y\ x\} \cup \{x\} \cup \{y \in N. R\ x\ y\}$ 
    using  $\langle z \in N \rangle$  by auto
next
  fix  $z$  assume  $z \in \{y \in N. R\ y\ x\} \cup \{x\} \cup \{y \in N. R\ x\ y\}$ 
  hence  $z \in N \vee z = x$ 
    by auto
  thus  $z \in N$ 
    using x-in by auto
qed

end
theory Ground-Superposition-Completeness
  imports
    Ground-Superposition

    First-Order-Clause.HOL-Extra
    Abstract-Rewriting-Extra
    Relation-Extra
begin

```

1.4 Model Construction

```

context ground-superposition-calculus begin

```

```

function epsilon ::  $- \Rightarrow 'f\ gatom\ clause \Rightarrow 'f\ gterm\ rel$  where
  epsilon  $N\ C = \{(s, t) \mid s\ t\ C'\}$ .
   $C \in N \wedge$ 
   $C = add-mset\ (Pos\ (Upair\ s\ t))\ C' \wedge$ 
  select  $C = \{\#\} \wedge$ 
  is-strictly-maximal  $(Pos\ (Upair\ s\ t))\ C \wedge$ 
   $t \prec_t s \wedge$ 

```

```

    (let  $R_C = (\bigcup D \in \{D \in N. D \prec_c C\}. \text{epsilon } \{E \in N. E \preceq_c D\} D)$  in
     $\neg \text{upair } \text{'(rewrite-inside-gctxt } R_C)\downarrow \models C \wedge$ 
     $\neg \text{upair } \text{'(rewrite-inside-gctxt (insert (s, t) } R_C)\downarrow \models C' \wedge$ 
     $s \in NF \text{ (rewrite-inside-gctxt } R_C)\}$ )
  by auto

termination epsilon
proof (relation  $\{(x1, x2), (y1, y2). x2 \prec_c y2\}$ )
  define  $f :: 'c \times 'f \text{ gterm uprod literal multiset} \Rightarrow 'f \text{ gterm uprod literal multiset}$ 
where
   $f = (\lambda(x1, x2). x2)$ 
  have  $\text{wfp } (\lambda(x1, x2) (y1, y2). x2 \prec_c y2)$ 
proof (rule wfp-if-convertible-to-wfp)
  show  $\bigwedge x y. (\text{case } x \text{ of } (x1, x2) \Rightarrow \lambda(y1, y2). x2 \prec_c y2) y \Longrightarrow (\text{snd } x) \prec_c (\text{snd } y)$ 
    by auto
  next
  show  $\text{wfp } (\prec_c)$ 
    by auto
  qed
thus  $\text{wf } \{(x1, x2), (y1, y2). x2 \prec_c y2\}$ 
  by (simp add: wfp-def)
next
show  $\bigwedge N C x xa xb xc xd. xd \in \{D \in N. D \prec_c C\} \Longrightarrow ((\{E \in N. E \preceq_c xd\},$ 
 $xd), N, C) \in \{(x1, x2), y1, y2). x2 \prec_c y2\}$ 
  by simp
qed

declare epsilon.simps[simp del]

lemma epsilon-filter-le-conv:  $\text{epsilon } \{D \in N. D \preceq_c C\} C = \text{epsilon } N C$ 
proof (intro subset-antisym subrelI)
  fix  $x y$ 
  assume  $(x, y) \in \text{epsilon } \{D \in N. D \preceq_c C\} C$ 
  then obtain  $C'$  where
     $C \in N$  and
     $C = \text{add-mset } (x \approx y) C'$  and
     $\text{select } C = \{\#\}$  and
     $\text{is-strictly-maximal } (x \approx y) C$  and
     $y \prec_t x$  and
    (let  $R_C = \bigcup x \in \{D \in N. (D \prec_c C \vee D = C) \wedge D \prec_c C\}. \text{epsilon } \{E \in N. (E \prec_c C \vee E = C) \wedge E \preceq_c x\} x$  in
     $\neg \text{upair } \text{'(rewrite-inside-gctxt } R_C)\downarrow \models C \wedge$ 
     $\neg \text{upair } \text{'(rewrite-inside-gctxt (insert (x, y) } R_C)\downarrow \models C' \wedge$ 
     $x \in NF \text{ (rewrite-inside-gctxt } R_C)\}$ )
  unfolding epsilon.simps[of - C] mem-Collect-eq
  by auto

moreover have  $(\bigcup x \in \{D \in N. (D \prec_c C \vee D = C) \wedge D \prec_c C\}. \text{epsilon } \{E \in$ 

```


$N. (E \prec_c C \vee E = C) \wedge E \preceq_c x \} x) = (\bigcup D \in \{D \in N. D \prec_c C\}. \text{epsilon } \{E \in N. E \preceq_c D\} D)$
proof (rule SUP-cong)
show $\{D \in N. (D \prec_c C \vee D = C) \wedge D \prec_c C\} = \{D \in N. D \prec_c C\}$
by metis
next
show $\bigwedge x. x \in \{D \in N. D \prec_c C\} \implies \text{epsilon } \{E \in N. (E \prec_c C \vee E = C) \wedge E \preceq_c x\} x = \text{epsilon } \{E \in N. E \preceq_c x\} x$
by (metis (no-types, lifting) clause.order.dual-order.strict-trans2 mem-Collect-eq)
qed

ultimately show $(x, y) \in \text{epsilon } N C$
unfolding $\text{epsilon.simps[of - C]}$ **by simp**
next
fix $x y$
assume $(x, y) \in \text{epsilon } N C$
then obtain C' **where**
 $C \in N$ **and**
 $C = \text{add-mset } (x \approx y) C'$ **and**
 $\text{select } C = \{\#\}$ **and**
 $\text{is-strictly-maximal } (x \approx y) C$ **and**
 $y \prec_t x$ **and**
 $(\text{let } R_C = \bigcup x \in \{D \in N. D \prec_c C\}. \text{epsilon } \{E \in N. E \preceq_c x\} x \text{ in}$
 $\neg \text{upair } \text{'(rewrite-inside-gctxt } R_C)\downarrow \models C \wedge$
 $\neg \text{upair } \text{'(rewrite-inside-gctxt (insert (x, y) } R_C)\downarrow \models C' \wedge$
 $x \in NF \text{(rewrite-inside-gctxt } R_C))$
unfolding $\text{epsilon.simps[of - C]}$ mem-Collect-eq
by auto

moreover have $(\bigcup x \in \{D \in N. (D \prec_c C \vee D = C) \wedge D \prec_c C\}. \text{epsilon } \{E \in N. (E \prec_c C \vee E = C) \wedge E \preceq_c x\} x) = (\bigcup D \in \{D \in N. D \prec_c C\}. \text{epsilon } \{E \in N. E \preceq_c D\} D)$
proof (rule SUP-cong)
show $\{D \in N. (D \prec_c C \vee D = C) \wedge D \prec_c C\} = \{D \in N. D \prec_c C\}$
by metis
next
show $\bigwedge x. x \in \{D \in N. D \prec_c C\} \implies \text{epsilon } \{E \in N. (E \prec_c C \vee E = C) \wedge E \preceq_c x\} x = \text{epsilon } \{E \in N. E \preceq_c x\} x$
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) clause.order.dual-order.strict-trans2 mem-Collect-eq)
qed

ultimately show $(x, y) \in \text{epsilon } \{D \in N. (\prec_c)^{==} D C\} C$
unfolding $\text{epsilon.simps[of - C]}$ **by simp**
qed

end

lemma (in ground-superposition-calculus) *epsilon-eq-empty-or-singleton*:

$\text{epsilon } N C = \{\} \vee (\exists s t. \text{epsilon } N C = \{(s, t)\})$

proof –

have $\exists_{\leq 1} (x, y). \exists C'.$
 $C = \text{add-mset } (\text{Pos } (\text{Upair } x y)) C' \wedge \text{is-strictly-maximal } (\text{Pos } (\text{Upair } x y)) C$
 $\wedge y \prec_t x$

by (rule *Uniq-prodI*)
 (metis *Upair-inject add-mset-remove-trivial insert-iff is-strictly-maximal-def*
literal.inject(1) literal.order.nle-le set-mset-add-mset-insert
term.order.dual-order.asym)

hence *Uniq-epsilon*: $\exists_{\leq 1} (x, y). \exists C'.$
 $C \in N \wedge$
 $C = \text{add-mset } (\text{Pos } (\text{Upair } x y)) C' \wedge \text{select } C = \{\#\} \wedge$
 $\text{is-strictly-maximal } (\text{Pos } (\text{Upair } x y)) C \wedge y \prec_t x \wedge$
 (let $R_C = \bigcup D \in \{D \in N. D \prec_c C\}. \text{epsilon } \{E \in N. E \preceq_c D\} D$ in
 $\neg \text{upair } '(\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } R_C)^\downarrow \Vdash C \wedge$
 $\neg \text{upair } '(\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{insert } (x, y) R_C))^\downarrow \Vdash C' \wedge$
 $x \in NF (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } R_C)$)

using *Uniq-antimono'*
by (smt (verit) *Uniq-def Uniq-prodI case-prod-conv*)

show ?thesis

unfolding *epsilon.simps*[of $N C$]
using *Collect-eq-if-Uniq-prod*[OF *Uniq-epsilon*]
by (smt (verit, best) *Collect-cong Collect-empty-eq Uniq-def Uniq-epsilon case-prod-conv*
insertCI mem-Collect-eq)

qed

lemma (in *ground-superposition-calculus*) *card-epsilon-le-one*:
 $\text{card } (\text{epsilon } N C) \leq 1$
using *epsilon-eq-empty-or-singleton*[of $N C$]
by *auto*

definition (in *ground-superposition-calculus*) *rewrite-sys* **where**
 $\text{rewrite-sys } N C \equiv (\bigcup D \in \{D \in N. D \prec_c C\}. \text{epsilon } \{E \in N. E \preceq_c D\} D)$

definition (in *ground-superposition-calculus*) *rewrite-sys'* **where**
 $\text{rewrite-sys}' N \equiv (\bigcup C \in N. \text{epsilon } N C)$

lemma (in *ground-superposition-calculus*) *rewrite-sys-alt*: $\text{rewrite-sys}' \{D \in N. D \prec_c C\} = \text{rewrite-sys } N C$
unfolding *rewrite-sys'-def rewrite-sys-def*

proof (rule *SUP-cong*)

show $\{D \in N. D \prec_c C\} = \{D \in N. D \prec_c C\} ..$

next

show $\bigwedge x. x \in \{D \in N. D \prec_c C\} \implies \text{epsilon } \{D \in N. D \prec_c C\} x = \text{epsilon } \{E \in N. (\prec_c)^\text{==} E x\} x$

using *epsilon-filter-le-conv*
by (smt (verit, best) *Collect-cong clause.order.le-less-trans mem-Collect-eq*)

qed

lemma (in *ground-superposition-calculus*) *mem-epsilonE*:

assumes *rule-in*: $rule \in \text{epsilon } N \ C$

obtains $l \ r \ C'$ **where**

$C \in N$ **and**

$rule = (l, r)$ **and**

$C = \text{add-mset } (Pos \ (U\text{pair } l \ r)) \ C'$ **and**

$\text{select } C = \{\#\}$ **and**

is-strictly-maximal $(Pos \ (U\text{pair } l \ r)) \ C$ **and**

$r \prec_t l$ **and**

$\neg \text{upair } '(\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N \ C))^\downarrow \models C$ **and**

$\neg \text{upair } '(\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{insert } (l, r) \ (\text{rewrite-sys } N \ C)))^\downarrow \models C'$ **and**

$l \in NF \ (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N \ C))$

using *rule-in*

unfolding *epsilon.simps*[of $N \ C$] *mem-Collect-eq* *Let-def* *rewrite-sys-def*

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*))

lemma (in *ground-superposition-calculus*) *mem-epsilon-iff*:

$(l, r) \in \text{epsilon } N \ C \longleftrightarrow$

$(\exists C'. C \in N \wedge C = \text{add-mset } (Pos \ (U\text{pair } l \ r)) \ C' \wedge \text{select } C = \{\#\} \wedge$

is-strictly-maximal $(Pos \ (U\text{pair } l \ r)) \ C \wedge r \prec_t l \wedge$

$\neg \text{upair } '(\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys}' \ \{D \in N. D \prec_c C\}))^\downarrow \models C \wedge$

$\neg \text{upair } '(\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{insert } (l, r) \ (\text{rewrite-sys}' \ \{D \in N. D \prec_c C\})))^\downarrow$

$\models C' \wedge$

$l \in NF \ (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys}' \ \{D \in N. D \prec_c C\}))$)

(*is ?LHS* \longleftrightarrow *?RHS*)

proof (*rule iffI*)

assume *?LHS*

thus *?RHS*

using *rewrite-sys-alt*

by (*auto elim: mem-epsilonE*)

next

assume *?RHS*

thus *?LHS*

unfolding *epsilon.simps*[of $N \ C$] *mem-Collect-eq*

unfolding *rewrite-sys-alt* *rewrite-sys-def* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma (in *ground-superposition-calculus*) *rhs-lt-lhs-if-mem-rewrite-sys*:

assumes $(t1, t2) \in \text{rewrite-sys } N \ C$

shows $t2 \prec_t t1$

using *assms*

unfolding *rewrite-sys-def*

by (*smt* (*verit*, *best*) *UN-iff* *mem-epsilonE* *prod.inject*)

lemma (in *ground-superposition-calculus*) *rhs-less-trm-lhs-if-mem-rewrite-inside-gctxt-rewrite-sys*:

assumes *rule-in*: $(t1, t2) \in \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N \ C)$

shows $t2 \prec_t t1$

proof –

from *rule-in* **obtain** *ctxt t1' t2'* **where**

$(t1, t2) = (ctxt\langle t1' \rangle_G, ctxt\langle t2' \rangle_G) \wedge (t1', t2') \in rewrite\text{-}sys\ N\ C$

unfolding *rewrite-inside-gctxt-def mem-Collect-eq*

by *auto*

thus *?thesis*

using *rhs-lt-lhs-if-mem-rewrite-sys[of t1' t2']*

by (*metis Pair-inject term.order.context-compatibility*)

qed

lemma (*in ground-superposition-calculus*) *rhs-lesseq-trm-lhs-if-mem-rtrancl-rewrite-inside-gctxt-rewrite-sys*:

assumes *rule-in*: $(t1, t2) \in (rewrite\text{-}inside\text{-}gctxt\ (rewrite\text{-}sys\ N\ C))^*$

shows $t2 \preceq_t t1$

using *rule-in*

proof (*induction t2 rule: rtrancl-induct*)

case *base*

show *?case*

by *order*

next

case (*step t2 t3*)

from *step.hyps* **have** $t3 \prec_t t2$

using *rhs-less-trm-lhs-if-mem-rewrite-inside-gctxt-rewrite-sys* **by** *metis*

with *step.IH* **show** *?case*

by *order*

qed

lemma *singleton-eq-CollectD*: $\{x\} = \{y. P\ y\} \implies P\ x$

by *blast*

lemma *subset-Union-mem-CollectI*: $P\ x \implies f\ x \subseteq (\bigcup y \in \{z. P\ z\}. f\ y)$

by *blast*

lemma (*in ground-superposition-calculus*) *rewrite-sys-subset-if-less-clc*:

$C \prec_c D \implies rewrite\text{-}sys\ N\ C \subseteq rewrite\text{-}sys\ N\ D$

unfolding *rewrite-sys-def*

unfolding *epsilon-filter-le-conv*

by (*smt (verit, del-insts) SUP-mono clause.order.dual-order.strict-trans mem-Collect-eq subset-eq*)

lemma (*in ground-superposition-calculus*) *mem-rewrite-sys-if-less-clc*:

assumes $D \in N$ **and** $D \prec_c C$ **and** $(u, v) \in epsilon\ N\ D$

shows $(u, v) \in rewrite\text{-}sys\ N\ C$

unfolding *rewrite-sys-def UN-iff*

proof (*intro bexI*)

show $D \in \{D \in N. D \prec_c C\}$

using $\langle D \in N \rangle \langle D \prec_c C \rangle$ **by** *simp*

next

show $(u, v) \in epsilon\ \{E \in N. E \preceq_c D\}\ D$

using $\langle (u, v) \in epsilon\ N\ D \rangle$ *epsilon-filter-le-conv* **by** *simp*

qed

lemma (in *ground-superposition-calculus*) *less-trm-iff-less-cls-if-lhs-epsilon*:

assumes E_C : *epsilon* $N C = \{(s, t)\}$ **and** E_D : *epsilon* $N D = \{(u, v)\}$

shows $u \prec_t s \iff D \prec_c C$

proof –

from E_C **have** $(s, t) \in \text{epsilon } N C$

by *simp*

then obtain C' **where**

$C \in N$ **and**

C-def: $C = \text{add-mset } (\text{Pos } (\text{Upair } s t)) C'$ **and**

is-strictly-maximal $(\text{Pos } (\text{Upair } s t)) C$ **and**

$t \prec_t s$ **and**

s-irreducible: $s \in NF$ (*rewrite-inside-gctat* (*rewrite-sys* $N C$))

by (*auto elim!*: *mem-epsilonE*)

hence $\forall L \in \# C'. L \prec_l \text{Pos } (\text{Upair } s t)$

unfolding *is-strictly-maximal-def*

by *auto*

from E_D **obtain** D' **where**

$D \in N$ **and**

D-def: $D = \text{add-mset } (\text{Pos } (\text{Upair } u v)) D'$ **and**

is-strictly-maximal $(\text{Pos } (\text{Upair } u v)) D$ **and**

$v \prec_t u$

by (*auto simp*: *elim*: *epsilon.elims* *dest*: *singleton-eq-CollectD*)

hence $\forall L \in \# D'. L \prec_l \text{Pos } (\text{Upair } u v)$

by (*auto simp*: *is-strictly-maximal-def*)

show *?thesis*

proof (*rule iffI*)

assume $u \prec_t s$

moreover hence $v \prec_t s$

using $\langle v \prec_t u \rangle$

by *order*

ultimately have *multp* $(\prec_t) \{\#u, v\} \{\#s, t\}$

using *one-step-implies-multp*[of $\{\#s, t\} \{\#u, v\} - \{\#\}$]

by *simp*

hence $\text{Pos } (\text{Upair } u v) \prec_l \text{Pos } (\text{Upair } s t)$

by (*simp add*: *less_l-def*)

moreover hence $\forall L \in \# D'. L \prec_l \text{Pos } (\text{Upair } s t)$

using $\langle \forall L \in \# D'. L \prec_l \text{Pos } (\text{Upair } u v) \rangle$

by (*meson literal.order.transp-on-less transpD*)

ultimately show $D \prec_c C$

using *one-step-implies-multp*[of $C D - \{\#\}$] *less_c-def*

by (*simp add: D-def C-def*)
 next
 assume $D \prec_c C$

 have $(u, v) \in \text{rewrite-sys } N C$
 using $E_D \langle D \in N \rangle \langle D \prec_c C \rangle \text{ mem-rewrite-sys-if-less-cls}$
 by *auto*

 hence $(u, v) \in \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N C)$
 by *blast*

 hence $s \neq u$
 using *s-irreducible*
 by *auto*

 moreover have $\neg (s \prec_t u)$
 proof (*rule notI*)
 assume $s \prec_t u$

 moreover hence $t \prec_t u$
 using $\langle t \prec_t s \rangle$
 by *order*

 ultimately have $\text{multp } (\prec_t) \{ \#s, t\} \{ \#u, v\}$
 using *one-step-implies-multp*[of $\{ \#u, v\} \{ \#s, t\} - \{ \# \}$]
 by *simp*

 hence $\text{Pos } (\text{Upair } s t) \prec_l \text{Pos } (\text{Upair } u v)$
 by (*simp add: less_l-def*)

 moreover hence $\forall L \in \# C'. L \prec_l \text{Pos } (\text{Upair } u v)$
 using $\langle \forall L \in \# C'. L \prec_l \text{Pos } (\text{Upair } s t) \rangle$
 by (*meson literal.order.transp-on-less transpD*)

 ultimately have $C \prec_c D$
 using *one-step-implies-multp*[of $D C - \{ \# \}$] *less_c-def*
 by (*simp add: D-def C-def*)

 thus *False*
 using $\langle D \prec_c C \rangle$
 by *order*
 qed
 ultimately show $u \prec_t s$
 by *order*
 qed
 qed

lemma (*in ground-superposition-calculus*) *termination-rewrite-sys: wf* ($(\text{rewrite-sys } N C)^{-1}$)

proof (*rule wf-if-convertible-to-wf*)
show $wf \{(x, y). x \prec_t y\}$
using *term.order.wfp*
by (*simp add: wfp-def*)
next
fix $t s$
assume $(t, s) \in (\text{rewrite-sys } N \ C)^{-1}$
hence $(s, t) \in \text{rewrite-sys } N \ C$
by *simp*
then obtain D **where** $D \prec_c C$ **and** $(s, t) \in \text{epsilon } N \ D$
unfolding *rewrite-sys-def* **using** *epsilon-filter-le-conv* **by** *blast*
hence $t \prec_t s$
by (*auto elim: mem-epsilonE*)
thus $(t, s) \in \{(x, y). x \prec_t y\}$
by (*simp add:*)
qed

lemma (*in ground-superposition-calculus*) *termination-Union-rewrite-sys:*
 $wf ((\bigcup D \in N. \text{rewrite-sys } N \ D)^{-1})$
proof (*rule wf-if-convertible-to-wf*)
show $wf \{(x, y). x \prec_t y\}$
using *term.order.wfp*
by (*simp add: wfp-def*)
next
fix $t s$
assume $(t, s) \in (\bigcup D \in N. \text{rewrite-sys } N \ D)^{-1}$

hence $(s, t) \in (\bigcup D \in N. \text{rewrite-sys } N \ D)$
by *simp*

then obtain C **where** $C \in N$ $(s, t) \in \text{rewrite-sys } N \ C$
by *auto*

then obtain D **where** $D \prec_c C$ **and** $(s, t) \in \text{epsilon } N \ D$
unfolding *rewrite-sys-def* **using** *epsilon-filter-le-conv*
by *blast*

hence $t \prec_t s$
by (*auto elim: mem-epsilonE*)

thus $(t, s) \in \{(x, y). x \prec_t y\}$
by *simp*
qed

lemma (*in ground-superposition-calculus*) *no-crit-pairs:*
 $\{(t1, t2) \in \text{ground-critical-pairs } (\bigcup (\text{epsilon } N2 \ ' N)). t1 \neq t2\} = \{\}$
proof (*rule ccontr*)
assume $\{(t1, t2).$
 $(t1, t2) \in \text{ground-critical-pairs } (\bigcup (\text{epsilon } N2 \ ' N)) \wedge t1 \neq t2\} \neq \{\}$

then obtain $ctxt\ l\ r1\ r2$ **where**
 $(ctxt\langle r2 \rangle_G, r1) \in \text{ground-critical-pairs } (\bigcup (\text{epsilon } N2\ 'N))$ **and**
 $ctxt\langle r2 \rangle_G \neq r1$ **and**
 $\text{rule1-in: } (ctxt\langle l \rangle_G, r1) \in \bigcup (\text{epsilon } N2\ 'N)$ **and**
 $\text{rule2-in: } (l, r2) \in \bigcup (\text{epsilon } N2\ 'N)$
unfolding $\text{ground-critical-pairs-def mem-Collect-eq}$ **by** blast

from rule1-in rule2-in **obtain** $C1\ C2$ **where**
 $C1 \in N$ **and** $\text{rule1-in': } (ctxt\langle l \rangle_G, r1) \in \text{epsilon } N2\ C1$ **and**
 $C2 \in N$ **and** $\text{rule2-in': } (l, r2) \in \text{epsilon } N2\ C2$
by auto

from rule1-in' **obtain** $C1'$ **where**
 $C1\text{-def: } C1 = \text{add-mset } (\text{Pos } (\text{Upair } ctxt\langle l \rangle_G\ r1))\ C1'$ **and**
 $C1\text{-max: is-strictly-maximal } (\text{Pos } (\text{Upair } ctxt\langle l \rangle_G\ r1))\ C1$ **and**
 $r1 \prec_t ctxt\langle l \rangle_G$ **and**
 $l1\text{-irreducible: } ctxt\langle l \rangle_G \in \text{NF } (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N2\ C1))$
by $(\text{auto elim: mem-epsilonE})$

from rule2-in' **obtain** $C2'$ **where**
 $C2\text{-def: } C2 = \text{add-mset } (\text{Pos } (\text{Upair } l\ r2))\ C2'$ **and**
 $C2\text{-max: is-strictly-maximal } (\text{Pos } (\text{Upair } l\ r2))\ C2$ **and**
 $r2 \prec_t l$
by $(\text{auto elim: mem-epsilonE})$

have $\text{epsilon } N2\ C1 = \{(ctxt\langle l \rangle_G, r1)\}$
using $\text{rule1-in' epsilon-eq-empty-or-singleton}$ **by** fastforce

have $\text{epsilon } N2\ C2 = \{(l, r2)\}$
using $\text{rule2-in' epsilon-eq-empty-or-singleton}$ **by** fastforce

show False
proof $(\text{cases } ctxt = \square)$
case True
hence $\neg (ctxt\langle l \rangle_G \prec_t l)$ **and** $\neg (l \prec_t ctxt\langle l \rangle_G)$
by $(\text{simp-all add: irreflpD})$

hence $\neg (C1 \prec_c C2)$ **and** $\neg (C2 \prec_c C1)$
using $\langle \text{epsilon } N2\ C1 = \{(ctxt\langle l \rangle_G, r1)\} \rangle \langle \text{epsilon } N2\ C2 = \{(l, r2)\} \rangle$
 $\text{less-trm-iff-less-cls-if-lhs-epsilon}$
by simp-all

hence $C1 = C2$
by order

hence $r1 = r2$
using $\langle \text{epsilon } N2\ C1 = \{(ctxt\langle l \rangle_G, r1)\} \rangle \langle \text{epsilon } N2\ C2 = \{(l, r2)\} \rangle$
by simp

moreover have $r1 \neq r2$
using $\langle \text{ctxt}\langle r2 \rangle_G \neq r1 \rangle$
unfolding $\langle \text{ctxt} = \square \rangle$
by *simp*

ultimately show *?thesis*
by *contradiction*

next
case *False*
hence $l \prec_t \text{ctxt}\langle l \rangle_G$
by *(metis term.order.subterm-property)*

hence $C2 \prec_c C1$
using $\langle \text{epsilon } N2 \ C1 = \{\langle \text{ctxt}\langle l \rangle_G, r1 \rangle\} \ \langle \text{epsilon } N2 \ C2 = \{\langle l, r2 \rangle\} \ \text{less-trm-iff-less-cls-if-lhs-epsilon} \rangle$
by *simp*

have $(l, r2) \in \text{rewrite-sys } N2 \ C1$
by *(metis \langle C2 \prec_c C1 \rangle \langle \text{epsilon } N2 \ C2 = \{\langle l, r2 \rangle\} \ \text{mem-epsilonE mem-rewrite-sys-if-less-cls singletonI})*

hence $(\text{ctxt}\langle l \rangle_G, \text{ctxt}\langle r2 \rangle_G) \in \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N2 \ C1)$
by *auto*

thus *False*
using *l1-irreducible*
by *auto*

qed
qed

lemma *(in ground-superposition-calculus) WCR-Union-rewrite-sys:*
WCR (rewrite-inside-gctxt ($\bigcup D \in N. \text{epsilon } N2 \ D$))
unfolding *ground-critical-pair-theorem*
proof *(intro subsetI ballI)*
fix *tuple*
assume *tuple-in: tuple \in ground-critical-pairs ($\bigcup (\text{epsilon } N2 \ 'N)$)*

then obtain $t1 \ t2$ **where** *tuple-def: tuple = (t1, t2)*
by *fastforce*

moreover have $(t1, t2) \in (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\bigcup (\text{epsilon } N2 \ 'N)))^\downarrow$ **if** $t1 = t2$
using *that by auto*

moreover have *False* **if** $t1 \neq t2$
using *that tuple-def tuple-in no-crit-pairs by simp*

ultimately show $\text{tuple} \in (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\bigcup (\text{epsilon } N2 \ 'N)))^\downarrow$
by *(cases t1 = t2) simp-all*

qed

lemma (in *ground-superposition-calculus*)

assumes

$D \preceq_c C$ **and**

$E_C\text{-eq}$: $\text{epsilon } N C = \{(s, t)\}$ **and**

$L\text{-in}$: $L \in \# D$ **and**

$\text{topmost-trms-of-}L$: $\text{mset-uprod } (\text{atm-of } L) = \{\#u, v\# \}$

shows

lesseq-trm-if-pos : $\text{is-pos } L \implies u \preceq_t s$ **and**

less-trm-if-neg : $\text{is-neg } L \implies u \prec_t s$

proof –

from $E_C\text{-eq}$ **have** $(s, t) \in \text{epsilon } N C$

by *simp*

then obtain C' **where**

$C\text{-def}$: $C = \text{add-mset } (\text{Pos } (\text{Upair } s t)) C'$ **and**

$C\text{-max-lit}$: $\text{is-strictly-maximal } (\text{Pos } (\text{Upair } s t)) C$ **and**

$t \prec_t s$

by (*auto elim: mem-epsilonE*)

have $\text{Pos } (\text{Upair } s t) \prec_l L$ **if** $\text{is-pos } L$ **and** $\neg u \preceq_t s$

proof –

from *that(2)* **have** $s \prec_t u$

by *order*

hence $\text{multp } (\prec_t) \{\#s, t\# \} \{\#u, v\# \}$

using $\langle t \prec_t s \rangle$

by (*smt (verit, del-insts) add.right-neutral empty-iff insert-iff one-step-implies-multp set-mset-add-mset-insert set-mset-empty transpD term.order.transp union-mset-add-mset-right*)

with *that(1)* **show** $\text{Pos } (\text{Upair } s t) \prec_l L$

using *topmost-trms-of-L*

by (*cases L (simp-all add: less_l-def)*)

qed

moreover have $\text{Pos } (\text{Upair } s t) \prec_l L$ **if** $\text{is-neg } L$ **and** $\neg u \prec_t s$

proof –

from *that(2)* **have** $s \preceq_t u$

by *order*

hence $\text{multp } (\prec_t) \{\#s, t\# \} \{\#u, v, u, v\# \}$

using $\langle t \prec_t s \rangle$

by (*smt (z3) add-mset-add-single add-mset-remove-trivial add-mset-remove-trivial-iff empty-not-add-mset insert-DiffM insert-noteq-member one-step-implies-multp*

reflclp-iff

transp-def term.order.transp union-mset-add-mset-left union-mset-add-mset-right)

with *that(1)* **show** $\text{Pos } (\text{Upair } s t) \prec_l L$

```

    using topmost-trms-of-L
    by (cases L) (simp-all add: lessl-def)
qed

moreover have False if Pos (Upair s t) <l L
proof -
  have C <c D
  unfolding lessc-def
  proof (rule multp-if-maximal-of-lhs-is-less)
    show Pos (Upair s t) ∈# C
    by (simp add: C-def)
  next
    show L ∈# D
    using L-in
    by simp
  next
    show is-maximal (Pos (Upair s t)) C
    using is-maximal-if-is-strictly-maximal[OF C-max-lit].
  next
    show Pos (Upair s t) <l L
    using that .
  qed simp-all

  with ⟨D <c C⟩ show False
  by order
qed

ultimately show is-pos L ⇒ u <t s and is-neg L ⇒ u <t s
  by argo+
qed

lemma (in ground-order) less-trm-const-lhs-if-mem-rewrite-inside-gctxt:
  fixes t t1 t2 r
  assumes
    rule-in: (t1, t2) ∈ rewrite-inside-gctxt r and
    ball-lt-lhs: ∧t1 t2. (t1, t2) ∈ r ⇒ t <t t1
  shows t <t t1
proof -
  from rule-in obtain ctxt t1' t2' where
    rule-in': (t1', t2') ∈ r and
    l-def: t1 = ctxt(t1')G and
    r-def: t2 = ctxt(t2')G
  unfolding rewrite-inside-gctxt-def by fast

  show ?thesis
  using ball-lt-lhs[OF rule-in'] term.order.less-eq-subterm-property[of t1' ctxt]
  l-def
  by order
qed

```

lemma (in *ground-superposition-calculus*) *split-Union-epsilon*:
assumes *D-in*: $D \in N$
shows $(\bigcup C \in N. \text{epsilon } N C) =$
 $\text{rewrite-sys } N D \cup \text{epsilon } N D \cup (\bigcup C \in \{C \in N. D \prec_c C\}. \text{epsilon } N C)$
proof –
have $N = \{C \in N. C \prec_c D\} \cup \{D\} \cup \{C \in N. D \prec_c C\}$
proof (*rule partition-set-around-element*)
show *totalp-on* $N (\prec_c)$
using *clause.order.totalp-on-less* .
next
show $D \in N$
using *D-in*
by *simp*
qed
hence $(\bigcup C \in N. \text{epsilon } N C) =$
 $(\bigcup C \in \{C \in N. C \prec_c D\}. \text{epsilon } N C) \cup \text{epsilon } N D \cup (\bigcup C \in \{C \in N.$
 $D \prec_c C\}. \text{epsilon } N C)$
by *auto*

thus $(\bigcup C \in N. \text{epsilon } N C) =$
 $\text{rewrite-sys } N D \cup \text{epsilon } N D \cup (\bigcup C \in \{C \in N. D \prec_c C\}. \text{epsilon } N C)$
using *epsilon-filter-le-conv rewrite-sys-def*
by *simp*

qed

lemma (in *ground-superposition-calculus*) *split-Union-epsilon'*:
assumes *D-in*: $D \in N$
shows $(\bigcup C \in N. \text{epsilon } N C) = \text{rewrite-sys } N D \cup (\bigcup C \in \{C \in N. D \preceq_c C\}.$
 $\text{epsilon } N C)$
using *split-Union-epsilon[OF D-in] D-in*
by *auto*

lemma (in *ground-superposition-calculus*) *split-rewrite-sys*:
assumes $C \in N$ **and** *D-in*: $D \in N$ **and** $D \prec_c C$
shows $\text{rewrite-sys } N C = \text{rewrite-sys } N D \cup (\bigcup C' \in \{C' \in N. D \preceq_c C' \wedge C'$
 $\prec_c C\}. \text{epsilon } N C')$
proof –
have $\{D \in N. D \prec_c C\} =$
 $\{y \in \{D \in N. D \prec_c C\}. y \prec_c D\} \cup \{D\} \cup \{y \in \{D \in N. D \prec_c C\}. D \prec_c$
 $y\}$
proof (*rule partition-set-around-element*)
show *totalp-on* $\{D \in N. D \prec_c C\} (\prec_c)$
using *clause.order.totalp-on-less* .
next
from *D-in* $\langle D \prec_c C \rangle$ **show** $D \in \{D \in N. D \prec_c C\}$
by *simp*
qed

also have $\dots = \{x \in N. x \prec_c C \wedge x \prec_c D\} \cup \{D\} \cup \{x \in N. D \prec_c x \wedge x \prec_c C\}$

by *auto*

also have $\dots = \{x \in N. x \prec_c D\} \cup \{D\} \cup \{x \in N. D \prec_c x \wedge x \prec_c C\}$

using $\langle D \prec_c C \rangle$ *clause.order.less-trans*

by *blast*

finally have *Collect-N-lt-C*: $\{x \in N. x \prec_c C\} = \{x \in N. x \prec_c D\} \cup \{x \in N. D \preceq_c x \wedge x \prec_c C\}$

by *auto*

have *rewrite-sys N C* = $(\bigcup C' \in \{D \in N. D \prec_c C\}. \textit{epsilon N C'})$

using *epsilon-filter-le-conv*

by (*simp add: rewrite-sys-def*)

also have $\dots = (\bigcup C' \in \{x \in N. x \prec_c D\}. \textit{epsilon N C'}) \cup (\bigcup C' \in \{x \in N. D \preceq_c x \wedge x \prec_c C\}. \textit{epsilon N C'})$

unfolding *Collect-N-lt-C*

by *simp*

finally show *rewrite-sys N C* = *rewrite-sys N D* $\cup \bigcup (\textit{epsilon N } \langle C' \in N. D \preceq_c C' \wedge C' \prec_c C \rangle)$

using *epsilon-filter-le-conv*

unfolding *rewrite-sys-def*

by *simp*

qed

lemma (*in ground-order*) *mem-join-union-iff-mem-join-lhs'*:

assumes

ball-R1-rhs-lt-lhs: $\bigwedge t1 t2. (t1, t2) \in R_1 \implies t2 \prec_t t1$ **and**

ball-R2-lt-lhs: $\bigwedge t1 t2. (t1, t2) \in R_2 \implies s \prec_t t1 \wedge t \prec_t t1$

shows $(s, t) \in (R_1 \cup R_2)^\downarrow \iff (s, t) \in R_1^\downarrow$

proof –

have *ball-R1-rhs-lt-lhs'*: $(t1, t2) \in R_1^* \implies t2 \preceq_t t1$ **for** *t1 t2*

proof (*induction t2 rule: rtrancl-induct*)

case *base*

show *?case*

by *order*

next

case (*step y z*)

thus *?case*

using *ball-R1-rhs-lt-lhs*

by (*metis reflclp-iff transpD term.order.transp*)

qed

show *?thesis*

proof (*rule mem-join-union-iff-mem-join-lhs*)

fix *u* **assume** $(s, u) \in R_1^*$

hence $u \preceq_t s$
 using *ball-R₁-rhs-lt-lhs'* by *metis*

show $u \notin \text{Domain } R_2$
 proof (rule *notI*)
 assume $u \in \text{Domain } R_2$
 then obtain u' where $(u, u') \in R_2$
 by *auto*

hence $s \prec_t u$
 using *ball-R₂-lt-lhs*
 by *simp*

with $\langle u \preceq_t s \rangle$ show *False*
 by *order*

qed

next

fix u assume $(t, u) \in R_1^*$
 hence $u \preceq_t t$
 using *ball-R₁-rhs-lt-lhs'*
 by *simp*

show $u \notin \text{Domain } R_2$
 proof (rule *notI*)
 assume $u \in \text{Domain } R_2$
 then obtain u' where $(u, u') \in R_2$
 by *auto*

hence $t \prec_t u$
 using *ball-R₂-lt-lhs*
 by *simp*

with $\langle u \preceq_t t \rangle$ show *False*
 by *order*

qed

qed

qed

lemma (in *ground-order*) *mem-join-union-iff-mem-join-rhs'*:

assumes

ball-R₁-rhs-lt-lhs: $\bigwedge t1\ t2. (t1, t2) \in R_2 \implies t2 \prec_t t1$ **and**

ball-R₂-lt-lhs: $\bigwedge t1\ t2. (t1, t2) \in R_1 \implies s \prec_t t1 \wedge t \prec_t t1$

shows $(s, t) \in (R_1 \cup R_2)^\downarrow \iff (s, t) \in R_2^\downarrow$

using *assms mem-join-union-iff-mem-join-lhs'*

by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) sup-commute*)

lemma (in *ground-order*) *mem-join-union-iff-mem-join-lhs''*:

assumes

Range-R₁-lt-Domain-R₂: $\bigwedge t1\ t2. t1 \in \text{Range } R_1 \implies t2 \in \text{Domain } R_2 \implies t1$

$\prec_t t2$ **and**
s-lt-Domain- R_2 : $\bigwedge t2. t2 \in \text{Domain } R_2 \implies s \prec_t t2$ **and**
t-lt-Domain- R_2 : $\bigwedge t2. t2 \in \text{Domain } R_2 \implies t \prec_t t2$
shows $(s, t) \in (R_1 \cup R_2)^\downarrow \iff (s, t) \in R_1^\downarrow$
proof (*rule mem-join-union-iff-mem-join-lhs*)
fix u **assume** $(s, u) \in R_1^*$
hence $u = s \vee u \in \text{Range } R_1$
by (*meson Range.intros rtrancl.cases*)

thus $u \notin \text{Domain } R_2$
using *Range- R_1 -lt-Domain- R_2 s-lt-Domain- R_2*
by (*metis irreflpD term.order.irreflp-on-less*)

next
fix u **assume** $(t, u) \in R_1^*$
hence $u = t \vee u \in \text{Range } R_1$
by (*meson Range.intros rtrancl.cases*)

thus $u \notin \text{Domain } R_2$
using *Range- R_1 -lt-Domain- R_2 t-lt-Domain- R_2*
by (*metis irreflpD term.order.irreflp-on-less*)

qed

lemma (*in ground-superposition-calculus*) *lift-entailment-to-Union*:
fixes $N D$
defines $R_D \equiv \text{rewrite-sys } N D$
assumes
D-in: $D \in N$ **and**
 R_D -entails- D : $\text{upair } \ulcorner (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } R_D)^\downarrow \Vdash D$
shows
 $\text{upair } \ulcorner (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\bigcup D \in N. \text{epsilon } N D))^\downarrow \Vdash D$ **and**
 $\bigwedge C. C \in N \implies D \prec_c C \implies \text{upair } \ulcorner (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N C))^\downarrow \Vdash D$
proof –

from *R_D -entails- D* **obtain** $L s t$ **where**
L-in: $L \in \# D$ **and**
L-eq-disj-L-eq: $L = \text{Pos } (\text{Upair } s t) \wedge (s, t) \in (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } R_D)^\downarrow \vee$
 $L = \text{Neg } (\text{Upair } s t) \wedge (s, t) \notin (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } R_D)^\downarrow$
unfolding *true-cls-def true-lit-iff*
by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) image-iff prod.case surj-pair uprod-exhaust*)

from *L-eq-disj-L-eq* **show**
 $\text{upair } \ulcorner (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\bigcup D \in N. \text{epsilon } N D))^\downarrow \Vdash D$ **and**
 $\bigwedge C. C \in N \implies D \prec_c C \implies \text{upair } \ulcorner (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N C))^\downarrow \Vdash D$
unfolding *atomize-all atomize-conj atomize-imp*
proof (*elim disjE conjE*)
assume *L-def*: $L = \text{Pos } (\text{Upair } s t)$ **and** $(s, t) \in (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } R_D)^\downarrow$
have $R_D \subseteq (\bigcup D \in N. \text{epsilon } N D)$ **and**
 $\forall C. C \in N \longrightarrow D \prec_c C \longrightarrow R_D \subseteq \text{rewrite-sys } N C$

unfolding R_D -def rewrite-sys-def
using D -in clause.order.transp-on-less[THEN transpD]
using epsilon-filter-le-conv
by (auto intro: Collect-mono)

hence rewrite-inside-gctxt $R_D \subseteq$ rewrite-inside-gctxt $(\bigcup D \in N. \text{epsilon } N D)$
and
 $\forall C. C \in N \longrightarrow D \prec_c C \longrightarrow$ rewrite-inside-gctxt $R_D \subseteq$ rewrite-inside-gctxt
 $(\text{rewrite-sys } N C)$
by (auto intro!: rewrite-inside-gctxt-mono)

hence $(s, t) \in (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\bigcup D \in N. \text{epsilon } N D))^\downarrow$ **and**
 $\forall C. C \in N \longrightarrow D \prec_c C \longrightarrow (s, t) \in (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N C))^\downarrow$
by (auto intro!: join-mono intro: set-mp[OF - $\prec(s, t) \in (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } R_D)^\downarrow$])

thus upair ' $(\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\bigcup (\text{epsilon } N ' N)))^\downarrow \models D \wedge$
 $(\forall C. C \in N \longrightarrow D \prec_c C \longrightarrow \text{upair ' } (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N$
 $C))^\downarrow \models D)$
unfolding true-cls-def true-lit-iff
using L -in L -def **by** blast

next
have $(t1, t2) \in R_D \implies t2 \prec_t t1$ **for** $t1 t2$
by (auto simp: R_D -def rewrite-sys-def elim: mem-epsilonE)

hence ball- R_D -rhs-lt-lhs: $(t1, t2) \in \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } R_D \implies t2 \prec_t t1$ **for**
 $t1 t2$
by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) Pair-inject term.order.context-compatibility mem-Collect-eq
rewrite-inside-gctxt-def)

assume L -def: $L = \text{Neg } (\text{Upair } s t)$ **and** $(s, t) \notin (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } R_D)^\downarrow$

have $(s, t) \in (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } R_D \cup \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\bigcup C \in \{C \in N.$
 $D \preceq_c C\}. \text{epsilon } N C))^\downarrow \longleftrightarrow$
 $(s, t) \in (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } R_D)^\downarrow$
proof (rule mem-join-union-iff-mem-join-lhs')
show $\bigwedge t1 t2. (t1, t2) \in \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } R_D \implies t2 \prec_t t1$
using ball- R_D -rhs-lt-lhs **by** simp

next
have ball-Rinf-minus-lt-lhs: $s \prec_t \text{fst rule} \wedge t \prec_t \text{snd rule}$
if rule-in: rule $\in (\bigcup C \in \{C \in N. D \preceq_c C\}. \text{epsilon } N C)$
for rule
proof -
from rule-in **obtain** C **where**
 $C \in N$ **and** $D \preceq_c C$ **and** rule $\in \text{epsilon } N C$
by auto

have epsilon- C -eq: $\text{epsilon } N C = \{(\text{fst rule}, \text{snd rule})\}$
using $\langle \text{rule} \in \text{epsilon } N C \rangle$ epsilon-eq-empty-or-singleton **by** force

show *?thesis*
using *less-trm-if-neg[OF ‹D \preceq_c C› epsilon-C-eq L-in]*
by (*simp add: L-def*)
qed

show $\bigwedge t1\ t2. (t1, t2) \in \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\bigcup (\text{epsilon } N \text{ ' } \{C \in N. (\prec_c)^{==}$
 $D\ C\})) \implies$
 $s \prec_t t1 \wedge t \prec_t t1$
using *less-trm-const-lhs-if-mem-rewrite-inside-gctxt*
using *ball-Rinf-minus-lt-lhs*
by *force*
qed

moreover have
 $(s, t) \in (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } R_D \cup \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\bigcup C' \in \{C' \in N. D$
 $\preceq_c C' \wedge C' \prec_c C\}. \text{epsilon } N\ C'))^\downarrow \longleftrightarrow$
 $(s, t) \in (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } R_D)^\downarrow$
if $C \in N$ **and** $D \prec_c C$
for C
proof (*rule mem-join-union-iff-mem-join-lhs'*)
show $\bigwedge t1\ t2. (t1, t2) \in \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } R_D \implies t2 \prec_t t1$
using *ball-RD-rhs-lt-lhs by simp*
next
have *ball-lt-lhs: s \prec_t t1 \wedge t \prec_t t1*
if $C \in N$ **and** $D \prec_c C$ **and**
rule-in: (t1, t2) \in ($\bigcup C' \in \{C' \in N. D \preceq_c C' \wedge C' \prec_c C\}. \text{epsilon } N\ C')$
for $C\ t1\ t2$
proof –
from *rule-in* **obtain** C' **where**
 $C' \in N$ **and** $D \preceq_c C'$ **and** $C' \prec_c C$ **and** $(t1, t2) \in \text{epsilon } N\ C'$
by (*auto simp: rewrite-sys-def*)

have *epsilon-C'-eq: epsilon } N\ C' = \{(t1, t2)\}*
using $\langle (t1, t2) \in \text{epsilon } N\ C' \rangle$ *epsilon-eq-empty-or-singleton* **by** *force*

show *?thesis*
using *less-trm-if-neg[OF ‹D \preceq_c C'› epsilon-C'-eq L-in]*
by (*simp add: L-def*)
qed

show $\bigwedge t1\ t2. (t1, t2) \in \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\bigcup (\text{epsilon } N \text{ ' } \{C' \in N. (\prec_c)^{==}$
 $D\ C' \wedge C' \prec_c C\})) \implies$
 $s \prec_t t1 \wedge t \prec_t t1$
using *less-trm-const-lhs-if-mem-rewrite-inside-gctxt*
using *ball-lt-lhs[OF that(1,2)]*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
qed

ultimately have $(s, t) \notin (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } R_D \cup \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\bigcup C \in \{C \in N. D \preceq_c C\}. \text{epsilon } N C))^\downarrow$ **and**
 $\forall C. C \in N \longrightarrow D \prec_c C \longrightarrow$
 $(s, t) \notin (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } R_D \cup \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\bigcup C' \in \{C' \in N. D \preceq_c C' \wedge C' \prec_c C\}. \text{epsilon } N C'))^\downarrow$
using $\langle (s, t) \notin (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } R_D)^\downarrow \rangle$ **by** *simp-all*

hence $(s, t) \notin (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\bigcup D \in N. \text{epsilon } N D))^\downarrow$ **and**
 $\forall C. C \in N \longrightarrow D \prec_c C \longrightarrow (s, t) \notin (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N C))^\downarrow$
using *split-Union-epsilon*[*OF D-in, folded R_D-def*]
using *split-rewrite-sys*[*OF - D-in, folded R_D-def*]
by (*simp-all add: rewrite-inside-gctxt-union*)

hence $(\text{Upair } s \ t) \notin \text{upair } \langle (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\bigcup D \in N. \text{epsilon } N D))^\downarrow$
and
 $\forall C. C \in N \longrightarrow D \prec_c C \longrightarrow (\text{Upair } s \ t) \notin \text{upair } \langle (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N C))^\downarrow$
unfolding *atomize-conj*
by (*meson sym-join true-lit-simps*(2)) *true-lit-uprod-iff-true-lit-prod*(2))

thus $\text{upair } \langle (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\bigcup (\text{epsilon } N \ \langle N \rangle))^\downarrow \models D \wedge$
 $(\forall C. C \in N \longrightarrow D \prec_c C \longrightarrow \text{upair } \langle (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N C))^\downarrow$
 $\models D)$
unfolding *true-cls-def true-lit-iff*
using *L-in L-def* **by** *metis*

qed
qed

lemma (*in ground-superposition-calculus*)
assumes *productive: epsilon N C = {(l, r)}*
shows

true-cls-if-productive-epsilon:
 $\text{upair } \langle (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\bigcup D \in N. \text{epsilon } N D))^\downarrow \models C$
 $\bigwedge D. D \in N \implies C \prec_c D \implies \text{upair } \langle (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N D))^\downarrow$
 $\models C$ **and**
false-cls-if-productive-epsilon:
 $\neg \text{upair } \langle (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\bigcup D \in N. \text{epsilon } N D))^\downarrow \models C - \{\#\text{Pos}$
 $(\text{Upair } l \ r)\#\}$
 $\bigwedge D. D \in N \implies C \prec_c D \implies \neg \text{upair } \langle (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N$
 $D))^\downarrow \models C - \{\#\text{Pos } (\text{Upair } l \ r)\#\}$

proof –
from *productive* **have** $(l, r) \in \text{epsilon } N \ C$
by *simp*

then obtain C' **where**
 $C\text{-in: } C \in N$ **and**
 $C\text{-def: } C = \text{add-mset } (\text{Pos } (\text{Upair } l \ r)) \ C'$ **and**
 $\text{select } C = \{\#\}$ **and**
 $\text{is-strictly-maximal } (\text{Pos } (\text{Upair } l \ r)) \ C$ **and**

$r \prec_t l$ **and**
 $e: \neg \text{upair } \langle \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N C) \rangle^\downarrow \Vdash C$ **and**
 $f: \neg \text{upair } \langle \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{insert } (l, r) (\text{rewrite-sys } N C)) \rangle^\downarrow \Vdash C'$ **and**
 $l \in NF$ ($\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N C)$)
by (*rule mem-epsilonE*) *blast*

have $(l, r) \in (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\bigcup D \in N. \text{epsilon } N D))^\downarrow$
using $C\text{-in } \langle (l, r) \in \text{epsilon } N C \rangle$ *mem-rewrite-inside-gctxt-if-mem-rewrite-rules*
by *blast*

thus $\text{upair } \langle \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\bigcup D \in N. \text{epsilon } N D) \rangle^\downarrow \Vdash C$
using $C\text{-def}$
by *blast*

have $\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\bigcup D \in N. \text{epsilon } N D) =$
 $\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N C \cup \text{epsilon } N C \cup (\bigcup D \in \{D \in N. C \prec_c D\}. \text{epsilon } N D))$
 $\prec_c D\}. \text{epsilon } N D)$
using *split-Union-epsilon[OF C-in]*
by *simp*

also have $\dots =$
 $\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N C \cup \text{epsilon } N C) \cup$
 $\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\bigcup D \in \{D \in N. C \prec_c D\}. \text{epsilon } N D)$
by (*simp add: rewrite-inside-gctxt-union*)

finally have *rewrite-inside-gctxt-Union-epsilon-eq*:
 $\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\bigcup D \in N. \text{epsilon } N D) =$
 $\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{insert } (l, r) (\text{rewrite-sys } N C)) \cup$
 $\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\bigcup D \in \{D \in N. C \prec_c D\}. \text{epsilon } N D)$
unfolding *productive*
by *simp*

have $\text{mem-join-union-iff-mem-lhs}:(t1, t2) \in (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{insert } (l, r)$
 $(\text{rewrite-sys } N C)) \cup$
 $\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\bigcup D \in \{D \in N. C \prec_c D\}. \text{epsilon } N D))^\downarrow \longleftrightarrow$
 $(t1, t2) \in (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{insert } (l, r) (\text{rewrite-sys } N C))^\downarrow$
if $t1 \preceq_t l$ **and** $t2 \preceq_t l$
for $t1\ t2$

proof (*rule mem-join-union-iff-mem-join-lhs'*)
fix $s1\ s2$ **assume** $(s1, s2) \in \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{insert } (l, r) (\text{rewrite-sys } N$
 $C))$

moreover have $s2 \prec_t s1$ **if** $(s1, s2) \in \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } \{(l, r)\}$
proof (*rule rhs-lt-lhs-if-rule-in-rewrite-inside-gctxt[OF that]*)
show $\bigwedge s1\ s2. (s1, s2) \in \{(l, r)\} \implies s2 \prec_t s1$
using $\langle r \prec_t l \rangle$
by *simp*
qed *simp-all*

moreover have $s2 \prec_t s1$ **if** $(s1, s2) \in \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt} (\text{rewrite-sys } N \ C)$
proof (*rule rhs-lt-lhs-if-rule-in-rewrite-inside-gctxt* [OF *that*])
show $\bigwedge s1 \ s2. (s1, s2) \in \text{rewrite-sys } N \ C \implies s2 \prec_t s1$
by (*simp add: rhs-lt-lhs-if-mem-rewrite-sys*)
qed *simp*

ultimately show $s2 \prec_t s1$
using *rewrite-inside-gctxt-union* [of $\{(l, r)\}$, *simplified*] **by** *blast*
next

have *ball-lt-lhs*: $t1 \prec_t s1 \wedge t2 \prec_t s1$
if *rule-in*: $(s1, s2) \in (\bigcup D \in \{D \in N. C \prec_c D\}. \text{epsilon } N \ D)$
for $s1 \ s2$
proof –
from *rule-in* **obtain** D **where**
 $D \in N$ **and** $C \prec_c D$ **and** $(s1, s2) \in \text{epsilon } N \ D$
by (*auto simp: rewrite-sys-def*)

have $E_D\text{-eq}$: $\text{epsilon } N \ D = \{(s1, s2)\}$
using $\langle (s1, s2) \in \text{epsilon } N \ D \rangle$ *epsilon-eq-empty-or-singleton* **by** *force*

have $l \prec_t s1$
using $\langle C \prec_c D \rangle$
using *less-trm-iff-less-cls-if-lhs-epsilon* [OF $E_D\text{-eq}$ *productive*]
by *metis*

with $\langle t1 \preceq_t l \rangle \langle t2 \preceq_t l \rangle$ **show** *?thesis*
by (*metis reflclp-iff transpD term.order.transp*)
qed

thus $\bigwedge l \ r. (l, r) \in \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt} (\bigcup (\text{epsilon } N \ \{D \in N. C \prec_c D\}))$
 $\implies t1 \prec_t l \wedge t2 \prec_t l$
using *rewrite-inside-gctxt-Union-epsilon-eq*
using *less-trm-const-lhs-if-mem-rewrite-inside-gctxt*
by *presburger*
qed

have *neg-concl1*: $\neg \text{upair } \langle \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt} (\bigcup D \in N. \text{epsilon } N \ D) \rangle^\downarrow \models C'$
unfolding *true-cls-def Set.bex-simps*
proof (*intro ballI*)
fix L **assume** $L\text{-in}$: $L \in \# \ C'$
hence $L \in \# \ C$
by (*simp add: C-def*)

obtain $t1 \ t2$ **where**
 atm-L-eq : $\text{atm-of } L = \text{Upair } t1 \ t2$
by (*metis uprod-exhaust*)

hence trms-of-L : $\text{mset-uprod} (\text{atm-of } L) = \{\#t1, t2\# \}$
by *simp*

hence $t1 \preceq_t l$ **and** $t2 \preceq_t l$
unfolding *atomize-conj*
using *less-trm-if-neg*[*OF reflclp-refl productive* $\langle L \in\# C \rangle$]
using *lesseq-trm-if-pos*[*OF reflclp-refl productive* $\langle L \in\# C \rangle$]
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *opaque-lifting*) *add-mset-commute sup2CI*)

have $(t1, t2) \notin (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\bigcup D \in N. \text{epsilon } N D))^\downarrow$ **if** *L-def*: $L = \text{Pos } (\text{Upair } t1 t2)$
proof –
from *that* **have** $(t1, t2) \notin (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{insert } (l, r) (\text{rewrite-sys } N C)))^\downarrow$
using *f* $\langle L \in\# C \rangle$
by *blast*

thus *?thesis*
using *rewrite-inside-gctxt-Union-epsilon-eq mem-join-union-iff-mem-lhs*[*OF* $\langle t1 \preceq_t l \rangle \langle t2 \preceq_t l \rangle$]
by *simp*
qed

moreover **have** $(t1, t2) \in (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\bigcup D \in N. \text{epsilon } N D))^\downarrow$
if *L-def*: $L = \text{Neg } (\text{Upair } t1 t2)$
proof –
from *that* **have** $(t1, t2) \in (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{insert } (l, r) (\text{rewrite-sys } N C)))^\downarrow$
using *f* $\langle L \in\# C \rangle$
by (*meson true-lit-uprod-iff-true-lit-prod*(2) *sym-join true-cls-def true-lit-simps*(2))

thus *?thesis*
using *rewrite-inside-gctxt-Union-epsilon-eq mem-join-union-iff-mem-lhs*[*OF* $\langle t1 \preceq_t l \rangle \langle t2 \preceq_t l \rangle$]
by *simp*
qed

ultimately show $\neg \text{upair } \langle (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\bigcup (\text{epsilon } N \text{ ' } N)))^\downarrow \models L$
using *atm-L-eq true-lit-uprod-iff-true-lit-prod*[*OF sym-join*] *true-lit-simps*
by (*smt* (*verit*, *ccfv-SIG*) *literal.exhaust-sel*)
qed

then show $\neg \text{upair } \langle (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\bigcup D \in N. \text{epsilon } N D))^\downarrow \models C - \{\#\text{Pos } (\text{Upair } l r)\#\}$
by (*simp add: C-def*)

fix *D*
assume $D \in N$ **and** $C \prec_c D$

have $(l, r) \in \text{rewrite-sys } N D$
using *C-in* $\langle (l, r) \in \text{epsilon } N C \rangle \langle C \prec_c D \rangle$ *mem-rewrite-sys-if-less-cls*
by *metis*

hence $(l, r) \in (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N D))^\downarrow$
by *auto*

thus $\text{upair } \langle (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N D))^\downarrow \models C$
using *C-def*
by *blast*

from $\langle D \in N \rangle$ **have** $\text{rewrite-sys } N D \subseteq (\bigcup D \in N. \text{epsilon } N D)$
by (*simp add: split-Union-epsilon*)

hence $\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N D) \subseteq \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\bigcup D \in N. \text{epsilon } N D)$
using *rewrite-inside-gctxt-mono*
by *metis*

hence $(\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N D))^\downarrow \subseteq (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\bigcup D \in N. \text{epsilon } N D))^\downarrow$
using *join-mono*
by *metis*

have $\neg \text{upair } \langle (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N D))^\downarrow \models C'$
unfolding *true-cls-def Set.bex-simps*
proof (*intro ballI*)
fix L **assume** $L\text{-in}: L \in\# C'$
hence $L \in\# C$
by (*simp add: C-def*)

obtain $t1\ t2$ **where**
 $\text{atm-L-eq}: \text{atm-of } L = \text{Upair } t1\ t2$
by (*metis uprod-exhaust*)

hence $\text{trms-of-L}: \text{mset-uprod } (\text{atm-of } L) = \{\#t1, t2\#$
by *simp*

hence $t1 \preceq_t l$ **and** $t2 \preceq_t l$
unfolding *atomize-conj*
using *less-trm-if-neg[OF reflclp-refl productive \langle L \in\# C \rangle]*
using *lesseq-trm-if-pos[OF reflclp-refl productive \langle L \in\# C \rangle]*
by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) add-mset-commute sup2CI*)

have $(t1, t2) \notin (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N D))^\downarrow$ **if** $L\text{-def}: L = \text{Pos } (\text{Upair } t1\ t2)$
proof –
from **that** **have** $(t1, t2) \notin (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{insert } (l, r) (\text{rewrite-sys } N C)))^\downarrow$
using $f \langle L \in\# C' \rangle$ **by** *blast*
thus *?thesis*
using *rewrite-inside-gctxt-Union-epsilon-eq*

using *mem-join-union-iff-mem-lhs*[*OF* $\langle t1 \preceq_t l \rangle \langle t2 \preceq_t l \rangle$]
using $\langle (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N D))^\downarrow \subseteq (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\cup (\text{epsilon } N \text{ ' } N)))^\downarrow \rangle$ **by** *auto*
qed

moreover have $(t1, t2) \in (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N D))^\downarrow$ **if** *L-def*:
 $L = \text{Neg } (\text{Upair } t1 t2)$

using *e*
proof (*rule contrapos-np*)
assume $(t1, t2) \notin (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N D))^\downarrow$

hence $(t1, t2) \notin (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N C))^\downarrow$
using *rewrite-sys-subset-if-less-cl*[*OF* $\langle C \prec_c D \rangle$]
by (*meson join-mono rewrite-inside-gctxt-mono subsetD*)

thus $\text{upair } \langle (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N C))^\downarrow \models C$
using *neg-literal-notin-imp-true-cl*[*of* $\text{Upair } t1 t2 C \text{upair } \langle _ \rangle^\downarrow$]
unfolding *uprod-mem-image-iff-prod-mem*[*OF* *sym-join*]
using *L-def L-in C-def*
by *simp*

qed

ultimately show $\neg \text{upair } \langle (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N D))^\downarrow \models_l L$
using *atm-L-eq true-lit-uprod-iff-true-lit-prod*[*OF* *sym-join*] *true-lit-simps*
by (*smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) literal.exhaust-sel*)

qed

thus $\neg \text{upair } \langle (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N D))^\downarrow \models C - \{\#\text{Pos } (\text{Upair } l r)\#\}$

by (*simp add: C-def*)

qed

lemma *from-neq-double-rtrancl-to-eqE*:

assumes $x \neq y$ **and** $(x, z) \in r^*$ **and** $(y, z) \in r^*$

obtains

w **where** $(x, w) \in r$ **and** $(w, z) \in r^*$ |

w **where** $(y, w) \in r$ **and** $(w, z) \in r^*$

using *assms*

by (*metis converse-rtranclE*)

lemma *ex-step-if-joinable*:

assumes *asympt* $R (x, z) \in r^*$ **and** $(y, z) \in r^*$

shows

$R \stackrel{==}{=} z y \implies R y x \implies \exists w. (x, w) \in r \wedge (w, z) \in r^*$

$R \stackrel{==}{=} z x \implies R x y \implies \exists w. (y, w) \in r \wedge (w, z) \in r^*$

using *assms*

by (*metis asymptD converse-rtranclE reflclp-iff*) $+$

lemma (**in** *ground-superposition-calculus*) *trans-join-rewrite-inside-gctxt-rewrite-sys*:

trans $((\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N C))^\downarrow)$

proof (*rule trans-join*)
have $wf \ ((rewrite\text{-inside-gctxt} \ (rewrite\text{-sys} \ N \ C))^{-1})$
proof (*rule wf-converse-rewrite-inside-gctxt*)
fix $s \ t$
assume $(s, t) \in rewrite\text{-sys} \ N \ C$

then obtain D **where** $(s, t) \in \epsilon \ N \ D$
unfolding *rewrite-sys-def*
using *epsilon-filter-le-conv*
by *auto*

thus $t \prec_t s$
by (*auto elim: mem-epsilonE*)
qed *auto*

thus $SN \ (rewrite\text{-inside-gctxt} \ (rewrite\text{-sys} \ N \ C))$
by (*simp only: SN-iff-wf*)

next
show $WCR \ (rewrite\text{-inside-gctxt} \ (rewrite\text{-sys} \ N \ C))$
unfolding *rewrite-sys-def epsilon-filter-le-conv*
using *WCR-Union-rewrite-sys*
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting)*)

qed

lemma (*in ground-order*) *true-cls-insert-and-not-true-clsE*:
assumes
 $upair \ ' \ (rewrite\text{-inside-gctxt} \ (insert \ r \ R))^\downarrow \models C$ **and**
 $\neg \ upair \ ' \ (rewrite\text{-inside-gctxt} \ R)^\downarrow \models C$

obtains $t \ t'$ **where**
 $Pos \ (Upair \ t \ t') \in \# \ C$ **and**
 $t \prec_t \ t'$ **and**
 $(t, t') \in (rewrite\text{-inside-gctxt} \ (insert \ r \ R))^\downarrow$ **and**
 $(t, t') \notin (rewrite\text{-inside-gctxt} \ R)^\downarrow$

proof –
assume $hyp: \bigwedge t \ t'. \ Pos \ (Upair \ t \ t') \in \# \ C \implies t \prec_t \ t' \implies (t, t') \in (rewrite\text{-inside-gctxt} \ (insert \ r \ R))^\downarrow \implies$
 $(t, t') \notin (rewrite\text{-inside-gctxt} \ R)^\downarrow \implies thesis$

from *assms* **obtain** L **where**
 $L \in \# \ C$ **and**
entails-L: $upair \ ' \ (rewrite\text{-inside-gctxt} \ (insert \ r \ R))^\downarrow \models_l L$ **and**
doesn't-entail-L: $\neg \ upair \ ' \ (rewrite\text{-inside-gctxt} \ R)^\downarrow \models_l L$
by (*meson true-cls-def*)

have *totalp-on* (*set-uprod* (*atm-of* L)) (\prec_t)
by *simp*

then obtain $t \ t'$ **where** *atm-of* $L = Upair \ t \ t'$ **and** $t \preceq_t \ t'$
using *ex-ordered-Upair* **by** *metis*


```

show ?thesis
proof (cases L)
  case (Pos A)

    hence L-def: L = Pos (Upair t t')
      using ⟨atm-of L = Upair t t'⟩
      by simp

    moreover have (t, t') ∈ (rewrite-inside-gctxt (insert r R))↓
      using entails-L
      unfolding L-def
      unfolding true-lit-uprod-iff-true-lit-prod[OF sym-join]
      by (simp add: true-lit-def)

    moreover have (t, t') ∉ (rewrite-inside-gctxt R)↓
      using doesnt-entail-L
      unfolding L-def
      unfolding true-lit-uprod-iff-true-lit-prod[OF sym-join]
      by (simp add: true-lit-def)

    ultimately show ?thesis
      using hyp ⟨L ∈ # C⟩ ⟨t ≼t t'⟩ by auto
  next
    case (Neg A)
    hence L-def: L = Neg (Upair t t')
      using ⟨atm-of L = Upair t t'⟩ by simp

    have (t, t') ∉ (rewrite-inside-gctxt (insert r R))↓
      using entails-L
      unfolding L-def
      unfolding true-lit-uprod-iff-true-lit-prod[OF sym-join]
      by (simp add: true-lit-def)

    moreover have (t, t') ∈ (rewrite-inside-gctxt R)↓
      using doesnt-entail-L
      unfolding L-def
      unfolding true-lit-uprod-iff-true-lit-prod[OF sym-join]
      by (simp add: true-lit-def)

    moreover have (rewrite-inside-gctxt R)↓ ⊆ (rewrite-inside-gctxt (insert r R))↓
      using join-mono rewrite-inside-gctxt-mono
      by (metis subset-insertI)

    ultimately have False
      by auto

    thus ?thesis ..
  qed
qed

```

lemma (in *ground-superposition-calculus*) *model-preconstruction*:

fixes
 $N :: 'f\ gatom\ clause\ set$ **and**
 $C :: 'f\ gatom\ clause$

defines
 $entails \equiv \lambda E\ C.\ upair\ \langle (rewrite\ inside\ gtxt\ E)^\downarrow \models C$

assumes *saturated* N **and** $\{\#\} \notin N$ **and** *C-in*: $C \in N$

shows
 $epsilon\ N\ C = \{\}$ $\longleftrightarrow entails\ (rewrite\ sys\ N\ C)\ C$
 $\bigwedge D.\ D \in N \implies C \prec_c D \implies entails\ (rewrite\ sys\ N\ D)\ C$

unfolding *atomize-all* *atomize-conj* *atomize-imp*

using *clause.order.wfp* *C-in*

proof (*induction* C *rule: wfp-induct-rule*)

case (*less* C)

note $IH = less.IH$

from $\langle \{\#\} \notin N \rangle \langle C \in N \rangle$ **have** $C \neq \{\#\}$
by *metis*

define I **where**
 $I = (rewrite\ inside\ gtxt\ (rewrite\ sys\ N\ C))^\downarrow$

have *refl* I
by (*simp only: I-def refl-join*)

have *trans* I
unfolding *I-def*
using *trans-join-rewrite-inside-gtxt-rewrite-sys* .

have *sym* I
by (*simp only: I-def sym-join*)

have *compatible-with-gtxt* I
by (*simp only: I-def compatible-with-gtxt-join compatible-with-gtxt-rewrite-inside-gtxt*)

note $I\text{-interp} = \langle refl\ I \rangle \langle trans\ I \rangle \langle sym\ I \rangle \langle compatible\ with\ gtxt\ I \rangle$

have $i: (epsilon\ N\ C = \{\}) \longleftrightarrow entails\ (rewrite\ sys\ N\ C)\ C$

proof (*rule iffI*)

show $entails\ (rewrite\ sys\ N\ C)\ C \implies epsilon\ N\ C = \{\}$
unfolding *entails-def* *rewrite-sys-def*
by (*metis (no-types) empty-iff equalityI mem-epsilonE rewrite-sys-def subsetI*)

next
assume $epsilon\ N\ C = \{\}$

have *cond-conv*: $(\exists L.\ L \in \#\ select\ C \vee (select\ C = \{\#\} \wedge is\ maximal\ L\ C \wedge is\ neg\ L)) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists A.\ Neg\ A \in \#\ C \wedge (Neg\ A \in \#\ select\ C \vee select\ C = \{\#\} \wedge is\ maximal$

(Neg A) C)
by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) is-pos-def literal.order.is-maximal-in-mset-iff literal.disc(2) literal.exhaust mset-subset-eqD select-negative-literals select-subset*)

show *entails (rewrite-sys N C) C*
proof (*cases $\exists L. is-maximal L (select C) \vee (select C = \{\#\} \wedge is-maximal L C \wedge is-neg L)$*)
case *ex-neg-lit-sel-or-max: True*

hence $\exists A. Neg A \in\# C \wedge (is-maximal (Neg A) (select C) \vee select C = \{\#\})$
 $\wedge is-maximal (Neg A) C$
by (*metis is-pos-def literal.exhaust literal.order.is-maximal-in-mset-iff mset-subset-eqD select-negative-literals select-subset*)

then obtain *s s'* **where**
Neg (Upair s s') $\in\# C$ and
sel-or-max: $select C = \{\#\} \wedge is-maximal (Neg (Upair s s')) C \vee is-maximal (Neg (Upair s s')) (select C)$
by (*metis uprod-exhaust*)

then obtain *C'* **where**
C-def: $C = add-mset (Neg (Upair s s')) C'$
by (*metis mset-add*)

show *?thesis*
proof (*cases upair ' (rewrite-inside-gtxt (rewrite-sys N C)) \downarrow \models Pos (Upair s s')*)
case *True*
hence $(s, s') \in (rewrite-inside-gtxt (rewrite-sys N C)) $\downarrow$$
by (*meson sym-join true-lit-simps(1) true-lit-uprod-iff-true-lit-prod(1)*)

have $s = s' \vee s \prec_t s' \vee s' \prec_t s$
by *auto*

thus *?thesis*
proof (*rule disjE*)
assume $s = s'$
define $\iota :: 'f \text{ gatom clause inference where}$
 $\iota = Infer [C] C'$

have *eq-resolution C C'*
proof (*rule eq-resolutionI*)
show $C = add-mset (Neg (Upair s s')) C'$
by (*simp only: C-def*)
next
show $Neg (Upair s s') = Neg (Upair s s)$
by (*simp only: $\langle s = s' \rangle$*)
next

show *select* $C = \{\#\} \wedge \text{is-maximal } (s \not\approx s') \ C \vee \text{is-maximal } (s \approx s')$
(select C)
using *sel-or-max* .
qed *simp*

hence $\iota \in G\text{-Inf}$
by (*auto simp only: ι -def G-Inf-def*)

moreover have $\bigwedge t. t \in \text{set } (\text{prems-of } \iota) \implies t \in N$
using $\langle C \in N \rangle$
by (*simp add: ι -def*)

ultimately have $\iota \in \text{Inf-from } N$
by (*auto simp: Inf-from-def*)

hence $\iota \in \text{Red-I } N$
using $\langle \text{saturated } N \rangle$
by (*auto simp: saturated-def*)

then obtain *DD* where
DD-subset: $DD \subseteq N$ and
finite DD and
DD-entails-C': $G\text{-entails } DD \ \{C'\}$ and
ball-DD-lt-C: $\forall D \in DD. D \prec_c C$
unfolding *Red-I-def redundant-infer-def*
by (*auto simp: ι -def*)

moreover have $\forall D \in DD. \text{entails } (\text{rewrite-sys } N \ C) \ D$
using *IH[THEN conjunct2, rule-format, of - C]*
using $\langle C \in N \rangle$ *DD-subset ball-DD-lt-C*
by *blast*

ultimately have $\text{entails } (\text{rewrite-sys } N \ C) \ C'$
using *I-interp DD-entails-C'*
unfolding *entails-def G-entails-def*
by (*simp add: I-def true-clss-def*)

then show $\text{entails } (\text{rewrite-sys } N \ C) \ C$
using *C-def entails-def*
by *simp*

next
from $\langle (s, s') \in (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N \ C))^\downarrow \rangle$, **obtain** *u* where
s-u: $(s, u) \in (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N \ C))^$ and*
s'-u: $(s', u) \in (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N \ C))^$*
by *auto*

moreover hence $u \preceq_t s$ and $u \preceq_t s'$
using *rhs-lesseq-trm-lhs-if-mem-rtrancl-rewrite-inside-gctxt-rewrite-sys*
by *simp-all*

moreover assume $s \prec_t s' \vee s' \prec_t s$

ultimately obtain u_0 **where**
 $s' \prec_t s \implies (s, u_0) : \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N \ C)$
 $s \prec_t s' \implies (s', u_0) : \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N \ C)$ **and**
 $(u_0, u) : (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N \ C))^*$
using $\text{ex-step-if-joinable}[OF - s-u \ s'-u]$
by $(\text{metis asympD term.order.asymp})$

then obtain ctxt $t \ t'$ **where**
 $s\text{-eq-if: } s' \prec_t s \implies s = \text{ctxt}\langle t \rangle_G$ **and**
 $s'\text{-eq-if: } s \prec_t s' \implies s' = \text{ctxt}\langle t \rangle_G$ **and**
 $u_0 = \text{ctxt}\langle t' \rangle_G$ **and**
 $(t, t') \in \text{rewrite-sys } N \ C$
by $(\text{smt (verit) Pair-inject } \langle s \prec_t s' \vee s' \prec_t s \rangle \text{ asympD term.order.asymp})$

mem-Collect-eq
 $\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt-def}$

then obtain D **where**
 $(t, t') \in \text{epsilon } N \ D$ **and** $D \in N$ **and** $D \prec_c C$
unfolding $\text{rewrite-sys-def epsilon-filter-le-conv}$
by auto

then obtain D' **where**
 $D\text{-def: } D = \text{add-mset } (\text{Pos } (U\text{pair } t \ t')) \ D'$ **and**
 $\text{sel-D: } \text{select } D = \{\#\}$ **and**
 $\text{max-t-t': } \text{is-strictly-maximal } (\text{Pos } (U\text{pair } t \ t')) \ D$ **and**
 $t' \prec_t t$
by $(\text{elim mem-epsilonE}) \text{ fast}$

have $\text{superI: neg-superposition } D \ C \ (\text{add-mset } (\text{Neg } (U\text{pair } s_1\langle t' \rangle_G \ s_1\langle t' \rangle)))$
 $(C' + D')$
if $\{s, s'\} = \{s_1\langle t \rangle_G, s_1\langle t' \rangle\}$ **and** $s_1' \prec_t s_1\langle t \rangle_G$
for $s_1 \ s_1'$
proof $(\text{rule neg-superpositionI})$
show $C = \text{add-mset } (\text{Neg } (U\text{pair } s \ s')) \ C'$
by $(\text{simp only: C-def})$
next
show $D = \text{add-mset } (\text{Pos } (U\text{pair } t \ t')) \ D'$
by $(\text{simp only: D-def})$
next
show $D \prec_c C$
using $\langle D \prec_c C \rangle$.
next
show $\text{select } C = \{\#\} \wedge \text{is-maximal } (\text{Neg } (U\text{pair } s \ s')) \ C \vee \text{is-maximal}$
 $(s \approx s')$ $(\text{select } C)$
using sel-or-max .
next

```

    show select  $D = \{\#\}$ 
      using sel-D .
next
  show is-strictly-maximal (Pos (Upair  $t$   $t'$ ))  $D$ 
    using max-t-t' .
next
  show  $t' \prec_t t$ 
    using  $\langle t' \prec_t t \rangle$  .
next
  from that(1) show  $Neg$  (Upair  $s$   $s'$ ) =  $Neg$  (Upair  $s_1 \langle t \rangle_G$   $s_1'$ )
    by fastforce
next
  from that(2) show  $s_1' \prec_t s_1 \langle t \rangle_G$  .
qed simp-all

  have neg-superposition  $D$   $C$  (add-mset ( $Neg$  (Upair  $ctxt \langle t \rangle_G$   $s'$ )) ( $C' +$ 
 $D'$ ))
  if  $\langle s' \prec_t s \rangle$ 
proof (rule superI)
  from that show  $\{s, s'\} = \{ctxt \langle t \rangle_G, s'\}$ 
    using s-eq-if
    by simp
next
  from that show  $s' \prec_t ctxt \langle t \rangle_G$ 
    using s-eq-if
    by simp
qed

  moreover have neg-superposition  $D$   $C$  (add-mset ( $Neg$  (Upair  $ctxt \langle t \rangle_G$ 
 $s$ )) ( $C' + D'$ ))
  if  $\langle s \prec_t s' \rangle$ 
proof (rule superI)
  from that show  $\{s, s'\} = \{ctxt \langle t \rangle_G, s\}$ 
    using s'-eq-if
    by auto
next
  from that show  $s \prec_t ctxt \langle t \rangle_G$ 
    using s'-eq-if
    by simp
qed

ultimately obtain  $CD$  where
  super: neg-superposition  $D$   $C$   $CD$  and
  CD-eq1:  $s' \prec_t s \implies CD = \text{add-mset} (Neg (Upair ctxt \langle t \rangle_G s')) (C' +$ 
 $D')$  and
  CD-eq2:  $s \prec_t s' \implies CD = \text{add-mset} (Neg (Upair ctxt \langle t \rangle_G s)) (C' + D')$ 
  using  $\langle s \prec_t s' \vee s' \prec_t s \rangle$  s'-eq-if s-eq-if
  by metis

```

define $\iota :: 'f \text{ gatom clause inference where}$
 $\iota = \text{Infer } [D, C] \text{ } CD$

have $\iota \in G\text{-Inf}$
using *superposition-if-neg-superposition*[*OF super*]
by (*auto simp only: ι -def G-Inf-def*)

moreover have $\bigwedge t. t \in \text{set } (\text{prems-of } \iota) \implies t \in N$
using $\langle C \in N \rangle \langle D \in N \rangle$
by (*auto simp add: ι -def*)

ultimately have $\iota \in \text{Inf-from } N$
by (*auto simp: Inf-from-def*)

hence $\iota \in \text{Red-I } N$
using $\langle \text{saturated } N \rangle$
by (*auto simp: saturated-def*)

then obtain DD **where**
 $DD\text{-subset: } DD \subseteq N$ **and**
 $\text{finite } DD$ **and**
 $DD\text{-entails-}CD: G\text{-entails } (\text{insert } D \text{ } DD) \{CD\}$ **and**
 $\text{ball-}DD\text{-lt-}C: \forall D \in DD. D \prec_c C$
unfolding *Red-I-def redundant-infer-def mem-Collect-eq*
by (*auto simp: ι -def*)

moreover have $\forall D \in \text{insert } D \text{ } DD. \text{entails } (\text{rewrite-sys } N \text{ } C) \text{ } D$
using *IH[THEN conjunct2, rule-format, of - C]*
using $\langle C \in N \rangle \langle D \in N \rangle \langle D \prec_c C \rangle DD\text{-subset ball-}DD\text{-lt-}C$
by (*metis in-mono insert-iff*)

ultimately have $\text{entails } (\text{rewrite-sys } N \text{ } C) \text{ } CD$
using *I-interp DD-entails-CD*
unfolding *entails-def G-entails-def*
by (*simp add: I-def true-cls-def*)

moreover have $\neg \text{entails } (\text{rewrite-sys } N \text{ } C) \text{ } D'$
unfolding *entails-def*
using *false-cls-if-productive-epsilon(2)[OF - $\langle C \in N \rangle \langle D \prec_c C \rangle$]*
by (*metis D-def $\langle (t, t') \in \text{epsilon } N \text{ } D \rangle \text{add-mset-remove-trivial empty-iff}$*
 $\text{epsilon-eq-empty-or-singleton singletonD}$)

moreover have $\neg \text{upair } \langle (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N \text{ } C)) \downarrow \models l$
 $(\text{Neg } (\text{Upair } \text{ctxt}\langle t' \rangle_G \text{ } s'))$
if $s' \prec_t s$
using $\langle (u_0, u) \in (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N \text{ } C))^* \rangle \langle u_0 = \text{ctxt}\langle t' \rangle_G \rangle$

$s'-u$
by *blast*

moreover have $\neg \text{upair } \langle (\text{rewrite-inside-gtxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N \ C))^\downarrow \models l$
 $(\text{Neg } (\text{Upair } \text{ctxt}\langle t \rangle_G \ s))$
if $s \prec_t s'$
using $\langle (u_0, u) \in (\text{rewrite-inside-gtxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N \ C))^* \rangle \langle u_0 = \text{ctxt}\langle t \rangle_G \rangle$
s-u
by *blast*

ultimately show *entails* $(\text{rewrite-sys } N \ C) \ C$
unfolding *entails-def* $C\text{-def}$
using $\langle s \prec_t s' \vee s' \prec_t s \rangle$ *CD-eq1* *CD-eq2*
by *fast*
qed
next
case *False*
thus *?thesis*
using $\langle \text{Neg } (\text{Upair } s \ s') \in \# \ C \rangle$
by *(auto simp add: entails-def true-cls-def)*
qed
next
case *False*
hence *select* $C = \{\#\}$
using *literal.order.ex-maximal-in-mset* **by** *blast*

from *False* **obtain** A **where** *Pos-A-in: Pos* $A \in \# \ C$ **and** *max-Pos-A:*
is-maximal $(\text{Pos } A) \ C$
using $\langle \text{select } C = \{\#\} \rangle$ *literal.order.ex-maximal-in-mset[OF* $\langle C \neq \{\#\} \rangle$
by *(metis is-pos-def literal.order.is-maximal-in-mset-iff)*

then obtain C' **where** *C-def: C = add-mset* $(\text{Pos } A) \ C'$
by *(meson mset-add)*

have *totalp-on* $(\text{set-uprod } A) \ (\prec_t)$
by *simp*

then obtain $s \ s'$ **where** *A-def: A = Upair* $s \ s'$ **and** $s' \preceq_t s$
using *ex-ordered-Upair[of* $A \ (\prec_t)$
by *fastforce*

show *?thesis*
proof *(cases upair* $\langle (\text{rewrite-inside-gtxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N \ C))^\downarrow \models C' \vee s = s' \rangle$
case *True*
then show *?thesis*
using $\langle \text{epsilon } N \ C = \{\} \rangle$
using *A-def* *C-def* *entails-def*
by *blast*
next
case *False*

from *False* **have** $\neg \text{upair } \langle (\text{rewrite-inside-gtxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N \ C))^\downarrow \models C' \rangle$
by *simp*


```

from False have  $s' \prec_t s$ 
  using  $\langle s' \preceq_t s \rangle$  term.order.asymp[THEN asympD]
  by auto

then show ?thesis
proof (cases is-strictly-maximal (Pos A) C)
  case strictly-maximal: True
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases s ∈ NF (rewrite-inside-gctxt (rewrite-sys N C)))
    case s-irreducible: True

hence e-or-f-doesnt-hold: upair ‘ (rewrite-inside-gctxt (rewrite-sys N C))↓
 $\models C \vee$ 
  upair ‘ (rewrite-inside-gctxt (insert (s, s') (rewrite-sys N C)))↓  $\models C'$ 
  using  $\langle \text{epsilon } N C = \{\} \rangle$  [unfolded epsilon.simps[of N C]]
  using  $\langle C \in N \rangle$  C-def  $\langle \text{select } C = \{\#\} \rangle$  strictly-maximal  $\langle s' \prec_t s \rangle$ 
  unfolding A-def rewrite-sys-def
  by (smt (verit, best) Collect-empty-eq)

show ?thesis
proof (cases upair ‘ (rewrite-inside-gctxt (rewrite-sys N C))↓  $\models C$ )
  case e-doesnt-hold: True
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: entails-def)
  next
  case e-holds: False
  hence R-C-doesnt-entail-C':  $\neg \text{upair ‘ (rewrite-inside-gctxt (rewrite-sys$ 
N C))↓  $\models C'$ 
    unfolding C-def
    by simp

show ?thesis
proof (cases upair ‘ (rewrite-inside-gctxt (insert (s, s') (rewrite-sys N
C))↓  $\models C'$ )
  case f-doesnt-hold: True
  then obtain  $C'' t t'$  where C'-def:  $C' = \text{add-mset (Pos (Upair t$ 
t')) C'' and
     $t' \prec_t t$  and
     $(t, t') \in (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt (insert (s, s') (rewrite-sys N C)))↓$  and
     $(t, t') \notin (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt (rewrite-sys N C))↓$ 
    using f-doesnt-hold R-C-doesnt-entail-C'
    using true-cls-insert-and-not-true-clsE
    by (metis insert-DiffM join-sym Upair-sym)

have  $\text{Pos (Upair t t')} \prec_l \text{Pos (Upair s s')}$ 
  using strictly-maximal literal.order.not-less-iff-gr-or-eq
  unfolding literal.order.is-strictly-maximal-in-mset-iff A-def C'-def
C-def

```

by *auto*
have $\neg (t \prec_t s)$
proof (*rule notI*)
assume $t \prec_t s$
 $C))^\downarrow \longleftrightarrow$
have $(t, t') \in (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{insert } (s, s') (\text{rewrite-sys } N$
 $(t, t') \in (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N C))^\downarrow$
unfolding $\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt-union}[\text{of } \{(s, s')\} \text{ rewrite-sys } N C,$
simplified]
proof (*rule mem-join-union-iff-mem-join-rhs'*)
show $\bigwedge t1 t2. (t1, t2) \in \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } \{(s, s')\} \implies t \prec_t t1$
 $\wedge t' \prec_t t1$
using $\langle t \prec_t s \rangle \langle t' \prec_t t \rangle$
by (*smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) fst-conv singletonD*
less-trm-const-lhs-if-mem-rewrite-inside-gctxt transpD
term.order.transp)
next
show $\bigwedge t1 t2. (t1, t2) \in \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N C)$
 $\implies t2 \prec_t t1$
using *rhs-less-trm-lhs-if-mem-rewrite-inside-gctxt-rewrite-sys* **by**
force
qed
thus *False*
using $\langle (t, t') \in (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{insert } (s, s') (\text{rewrite-sys } N$
 $C))^\downarrow \rangle$
using $\langle (t, t') \notin (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N C))^\downarrow \rangle$
by *metis*
qed
moreover **have** $\neg (s \prec_t t)$
proof (*rule notI*)
assume $s \prec_t t$
hence $\text{multp } (\prec_t) \{\#s, s'\# \} \{\#t, t'\# \}$
using $\langle s' \prec_t s \rangle \langle t' \prec_t t \rangle$
using *one-step-implies-multp[of - - - \{\#\}, simplified]*
by (*metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) empty-not-add-mset insert-iff*
set-mset-add-mset-insert set-mset-empty singletonD transpD
term.order.transp)
hence $\text{Pos } (\text{Upair } s s') \prec_1 \text{Pos } (\text{Upair } t t')$
by (*simp add: less1-def*)
thus *False*
using $\langle t \approx t' \prec_1 s \approx s' \rangle$
by *order*
qed

ultimately have $t = s$
by *order*
hence $t' \prec_t s'$
using $\langle t' \prec_t t \rangle \langle s' \prec_t s \rangle$
using $\langle \text{Pos} (\text{Upair } t \ t') \prec_t \text{Pos} (\text{Upair } s \ s') \rangle$
unfolding *less_l-def*
by (*simp add: multp-cancel-add-mset term.order.transp*)

obtain t'' **where**
 $(t, t'') \in \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt} (\text{insert } (s, s') (\text{rewrite-sys } N \ C))$ **and**
 $(t'', t') \in (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt} (\text{insert } (s, s') (\text{rewrite-sys } N \ C)))^\downarrow$
using $\langle (t, t'') \in (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt} (\text{insert } (s, s') (\text{rewrite-sys } N \ C)))^\downarrow \rangle$ [*THEN joinD*]
using *ex-step-if-joinable* [*OF term.order.asymp - - - $\langle t' \prec_t t \rangle$*]
by (*smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) $\langle t = s \rangle$ converse-rtranclE insertCI*
joinI-right
join-sym r-into-rtrancl mem-rewrite-inside-gctxt-if-mem-rewrite-rules
rtrancl-join-join)

have $t'' \prec_t t$
proof (*rule predicate-holds-of-mem-rewrite-inside-gctxt* [*of - - - $\lambda x y.$*
 $y \prec_t x$])
show $(t, t'') \in \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt} (\text{insert } (s, s') (\text{rewrite-sys } N \ C))$
using $\langle (t, t'') \in \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt} (\text{insert } (s, s') (\text{rewrite-sys } N \ C)) \rangle$.
next
show $\bigwedge t1 \ t2. (t1, t2) \in \text{insert } (s, s') (\text{rewrite-sys } N \ C) \implies t2 \prec_t t1$
t1 **by** (*metis $\langle s' \prec_t s \rangle$ insert-iff old.prod.inject rhs-lt-lhs-if-mem-rewrite-sys*)
next
show $\bigwedge t1 \ t2 \ \text{ctxt } \sigma. (t1, t2) \in \text{insert } (s, s') (\text{rewrite-sys } N \ C) \implies$
 $t2 \prec_t t1 \implies \text{ctxt}(t2)_G \prec_t \text{ctxt}(t1)_G$
by (*simp only: term.order.context-compatibility*)
qed

have $(t, t'') \in \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt} \{(s, s')\}$
using $\langle (t, t'') \in \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt} (\text{insert } (s, s') (\text{rewrite-sys } N \ C)) \rangle$
C)
using $\langle t = s \rangle$ *s-irreducible mem-rewrite-step-union-NF*
using *rewrite-inside-gctxt-insert*
by *blast*

hence $\exists \text{ctxt}. s = \text{ctxt}(s)_G \wedge t'' = \text{ctxt}(s')_G$
by (*simp add: $\langle t = s \rangle$ rewrite-inside-gctxt-def*)

hence $t'' = s'$
by (*metis gctxt-ident-iff-eq-GHole*)

moreover have $(t'', t') \in (\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt} (\text{rewrite-sys } N \ C))^\downarrow$

```

proof (rule mem-join-union-iff-mem-join-rhs'[THEN iffD1])
  show  $(t'', t') \in (\text{rewrite-inside-gtxt } \{(s, s')\} \cup$ 
     $\text{rewrite-inside-gtxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N C))^\downarrow$ 
    using  $\langle (t'', t') \in (\text{rewrite-inside-gtxt } (\text{insert } (s, s') (\text{rewrite-sys}$ 
       $N C)))^\downarrow \rangle$ 
    using rewrite-inside-gtxt-union[of  $\{-\}$ , simplified]
    by metis
  next
  show  $\bigwedge t1 t2. (t1, t2) \in \text{rewrite-inside-gtxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N C) \implies$ 
     $t2 \prec_t t1$ 
    using rhs-less-trm-lhs-if-mem-rewrite-inside-gtxt-rewrite-sys .
  next
  show  $\bigwedge t1 t2. (t1, t2) \in \text{rewrite-inside-gtxt } \{(s, s')\} \implies t'' \prec_t t1$ 
     $\wedge t' \prec_t t1$ 
    using  $\langle t' \prec_t t \rangle \langle t'' \prec_t t \rangle$ 
    unfolding  $\langle t = s \rangle$ 
    using less-trm-const-lhs-if-mem-rewrite-inside-gtxt
    by fastforce
  qed

  ultimately have  $(s', t') \in (\text{rewrite-inside-gtxt } (\text{rewrite-sys } N C))^\downarrow$ 
    by simp

  let  $?concl = \text{add-mset } (\text{Neg } (\text{Upair } s' t')) (\text{add-mset } (\text{Pos } (\text{Upair } t$ 
     $t')) C'')$ 

  define  $\iota :: 'f \text{ gatom clause inference where}$ 
     $\iota = \text{Infer } [C] ?concl$ 

  have eq-fact: eq-factoring  $C ?concl$ 
  proof (rule eq-factoringI)
  show  $C = \text{add-mset } (\text{Pos } (\text{Upair } s s')) (\text{add-mset } (\text{Pos } (\text{Upair } t t'))$ 
     $C'')$ 
    by (simp add: C-def C'-def A-def)
  next
  show  $\text{select } C = \{\#\}$ 
    using  $\langle \text{select } C = \{\#\} \rangle$  .
  next
  show is-maximal  $(\text{Pos } (\text{Upair } s s')) C$ 
    by (metis A-def max-Pos-A)
  next
  show  $s' \prec_t s$ 
    using  $\langle s' \prec_t s \rangle$  .
  next
  show  $\text{Pos } (\text{Upair } t t') = \text{Pos } (\text{Upair } s t')$ 
    unfolding  $\langle t = s \rangle$  ..
  next
  show  $\text{add-mset } (\text{Neg } (\text{Upair } s' t')) (\text{add-mset } (\text{Pos } (\text{Upair } t t')) C'')$ 
    =

```

$add\text{-}mset\ (Neg\ (Upair\ s'\ t'))\ (add\text{-}mset\ (Pos\ (Upair\ s\ t'))\ C'')$
by $(auto\ simp\ add:\ \langle t = s \rangle)$
qed $simp\text{-}all$

hence $\iota \in G\text{-}Inf$
by $(auto\ simp:\ \iota\text{-}def\ G\text{-}Inf\text{-}def)$

moreover have $\bigwedge t. t \in set\ (prems\text{-}of\ \iota) \implies t \in N$
using $\langle C \in N \rangle$
by $(auto\ simp\ add:\ \iota\text{-}def)$

ultimately have $\iota \in Inf\text{-}from\ N$
by $(auto\ simp:\ Inf\text{-}from\text{-}def)$

hence $\iota \in Red\text{-}I\ N$
using $\langle saturated\ N \rangle$
by $(auto\ simp:\ saturated\text{-}def)$

then obtain DD **where**
 $DD\text{-}subset:\ DD \subseteq N$ **and**
 $finite\ DD$ **and**
 $DD\text{-}entails\text{-}C': G\text{-}entails\ DD\ \{?concl\}$ **and**
 $ball\text{-}DD\text{-}lt\text{-}C:\ \forall D \in DD. D \prec_c C$
unfolding $Red\text{-}I\text{-}def\ redundant\text{-}infer\text{-}def$
by $(auto\ simp:\ \iota\text{-}def)$

have $\forall D \in DD. entails\ (rewrite\text{-}sys\ N\ C)\ D$
using $IH[THEN\ conjunct2,\ rule\text{-}format,\ of\ -\ C]$
using $\langle C \in N \rangle\ DD\text{-}subset\ ball\text{-}DD\text{-}lt\text{-}C$
by $blast$

hence $entails\ (rewrite\text{-}sys\ N\ C)\ ?concl$
unfolding $entails\text{-}def\ I\text{-}def[symmetric]$
using $DD\text{-}entails\text{-}C'[unfolded\ G\text{-}entails\text{-}def]$
using $I\text{-}interp$
by $(simp\ add:\ true\text{-}class\text{-}def)$

thus $entails\ (rewrite\text{-}sys\ N\ C)\ C$
unfolding $entails\text{-}def\ I\text{-}def[symmetric]$
unfolding $C\text{-}def\ C'\text{-}def\ A\text{-}def$
using $I\text{-}def\ \langle (s', t') \in (rewrite\text{-}inside\text{-}gctxt\ (rewrite\text{-}sys\ N\ C))^\downarrow \rangle$
by $blast$

next
case $f\text{-}holds:\ False$
hence $False$
using $e\text{-}or\text{-}f\text{-}doesn't\ hold\ e\text{-}holds$
by $metis$

thus $?thesis\ ..$

qed
qed
next
case *s-reducible*: *False*

hence $\exists ss. (s, ss) \in \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt} (\text{rewrite-sys } N \ C)$
unfolding *NF-def*
by *auto*

then obtain *ctxt t t' D* **where**
 $D \in N$ **and**
 $D \prec_c C$ **and**
 $(t, t') \in \text{epsilon } N \ D$ **and**
 $s = \text{ctxt}\langle t \rangle_G$
using *epsilon-filter-le-conv*
by (*auto simp: rewrite-inside-gctxt-def rewrite-sys-def*)

obtain *D'* **where**
 $D\text{-def}: D = \text{add-mset} (\text{Pos} (\text{Upair } t \ t')) \ D'$ **and**
 $\text{select } D = \{\#\}$ **and**
 $\text{max-}t\text{-}t': \text{is-strictly-maximal} (t \approx t') \ D$ **and**
 $t' \prec_t t$
using $\langle (t, t') \in \text{epsilon } N \ D \rangle$
by (*elim mem-epsilonE*) *simp*

let $?concl = \text{add-mset} (\text{Pos} (\text{Upair } \text{ctxt}\langle t \rangle_G \ s')) (C' + D')$

define $\iota :: 'f \text{ gatom clause inference}$ **where**
 $\iota = \text{Infer} [D, C] \ ?concl$

have *super: pos-superposition D C ?concl*
proof (*rule pos-superpositionI*)
show $C = \text{add-mset} (\text{Pos} (\text{Upair } s \ s')) \ C'$
by (*simp only: C-def A-def*)

next
show $D = \text{add-mset} (\text{Pos} (\text{Upair } t \ t')) \ D'$
by (*simp only: D-def*)

next
show $D \prec_c C$
using $\langle D \prec_c C \rangle$.

next
show $\text{select } D = \{\#\}$
using $\langle \text{select } D = \{\#\} \rangle$.

next
show $\text{select } C = \{\#\}$
using $\langle \text{select } C = \{\#\} \rangle$.

next
show $\text{is-strictly-maximal} (s \approx s') \ C$
using *A-def strictly-maximal*

by *simp*
 next
 show *is-strictly-maximal* ($t \approx t'$) D
 using *max-t-t'* .
 next
 show $t' \prec_t t$
 using $\langle t' \prec_t t \rangle$.
 next
 show $Pos (Upair\ s\ s') = Pos (Upair\ ctxt\langle t \rangle_G\ s')$
 by (*simp only: $\langle s = ctxt\langle t \rangle_G \rangle$*)
 next
 show $s' \prec_t ctxt\langle t \rangle_G$
 using $\langle s' \prec_t s \rangle$
 unfolding $\langle s = ctxt\langle t \rangle_G \rangle$.
 qed *simp-all*

hence $\iota \in G\text{-Inf}$
 using *superposition-if-pos-superposition*
 by (*auto simp: ι -def G-Inf-def*)

moreover have $\bigwedge t. t \in set\ (prems\ of\ \iota) \implies t \in N$
 using $\langle C \in N \rangle \langle D \in N \rangle$
 by (*auto simp add: ι -def*)

ultimately have $\iota \in Inf\text{-from}\ N$
 by (*auto simp only: Inf-from-def*)

hence $\iota \in Red\text{-I}\ N$
 using $\langle saturated\ N \rangle$
 by (*auto simp only: saturated-def*)

then obtain DD where
 $DD\text{-subset: } DD \subseteq N$ and
 $finite\ DD$ and
 $DD\text{-entails-concl: } G\text{-entails } (insert\ D\ DD)\ \{?concl\}$ and
 $ball\ DD\text{-lt-}C: \forall D \in DD. D \prec_c C$
 unfolding *Red-I-def redundant-infer-def mem-Collect-eq*
 by (*auto simp: ι -def*)

moreover have $\forall D \in insert\ D\ DD. entails\ (rewrite\ sys\ N\ C)\ D$
 using *IH[THEN conjunct2, rule-format, of - C]*
 using $\langle C \in N \rangle \langle D \in N \rangle \langle D \prec_c C \rangle DD\text{-subset } ball\ DD\text{-lt-}C$
 by (*metis in-mono insert-iff*)

ultimately have $entails\ (rewrite\ sys\ N\ C)\ ?concl$
 using *I-interp DD-entails-concl*
 unfolding *entails-def G-entails-def*
 by (*simp add: I-def true-cls-def*)

moreover have \neg entails (rewrite-sys N C) D'
unfolding entails-def
using false-cls-if-productive-epsilon(2)[OF - $\langle C \in N \rangle \langle D \prec_c C \rangle$]
by (metis D-def $\langle (t, t') \in \text{epsilon } N D \rangle$ add-mset-remove-trivial empty-iff
epsilon-eq-empty-or-singleton singletonD)

ultimately have entails (rewrite-sys N C) $\{\#Pos (Upair \text{ctxt}\langle t \rangle_G s')\#\}$
unfolding entails-def
using $\langle \neg \text{upair } ' (rewrite-inside-gctxt (rewrite-sys N C))^\downarrow \Vdash C' \rangle$
by fastforce

hence $(\text{ctxt}\langle t \rangle_G, s') \in (rewrite-inside-gctxt (rewrite-sys N C))^\downarrow$
by (simp add: entails-def true-cls-def uprod-mem-image-iff-prod-mem[OF
sym-join])

moreover have $(\text{ctxt}\langle t \rangle_G, \text{ctxt}\langle t' \rangle_G) \in rewrite-inside-gctxt (rewrite-sys$
 $N C)$
using $\langle (t, t') \in \text{epsilon } N D \rangle \langle D \in N \rangle \langle D \prec_c C \rangle$ rewrite-sys-def
epsilon-filter-le-conv
by (auto simp: rewrite-inside-gctxt-def)

ultimately have $(\text{ctxt}\langle t \rangle_G, s') \in (rewrite-inside-gctxt (rewrite-sys N$
 $C))^\downarrow$
using r-into-rtrancl rtrancl-join-join
by metis

hence entails (rewrite-sys $N C$) $\{\#Pos (Upair \text{ctxt}\langle t \rangle_G s')\#\}$
unfolding entails-def true-cls-def
by auto

thus ?thesis
using A-def C-def $\langle s = \text{ctxt}\langle t \rangle_G \rangle$ entails-def
by fastforce

qed

next

case False
hence $2 \leq \text{count } C (Pos A)$
using max-Pos-A
by (meson is-strictly-maximal-def
literal.order.count-ge-2-if-maximal-in-mset-and-not-greatest-in-mset
literal.order.is-greatest-in-mset-iff literal.order.leD)

then obtain C' **where** C-def: $C = \text{add-mset } (Pos A) (\text{add-mset } (Pos A)$
 $C')$
using two-le-countE
by metis

define $\iota :: 'f \text{ gatom clause inference where}$
 $\iota = \text{Infer } [C] (\text{add-mset } (Pos (Upair s s')) (\text{add-mset } (Neg (Upair s' s'))$

C')

let $?concl = add-mset (Pos (Upair s s')) (add-mset (Neg (Upair s' s')) C')$

have $eq-fact: eq-factoring C ?concl$

proof (rule $eq-factoringI$)

show $C = add-mset (Pos A) (add-mset (Pos A) C')$

by (simp add: $C-def$)

next

show $Pos A = Pos (Upair s s')$

by (simp add: $A-def$)

next

show $Pos A = Pos (Upair s s')$

by (simp add: $A-def$)

next

show $select C = \{\#\}$

using $\langle select C = \{\#\} \rangle$.

next

show $is-maximal (Pos A) C$

using $max-Pos-A$.

next

show $s' \prec_t s$

using $\langle s' \prec_t s \rangle$.

qed $simp-all$

hence $\iota \in G-Inf$

by (auto simp: $\iota-def G-Inf-def$)

moreover have $\bigwedge t. t \in set (prems-of \iota) \implies t \in N$

using $\langle C \in N \rangle$

by (auto simp add: $\iota-def$)

ultimately have $\iota \in Inf-from N$

by (auto simp: $Inf-from-def$)

hence $\iota \in Red-I N$

using $\langle saturated N \rangle$

by (auto simp: $saturated-def$)

then obtain DD **where**

$DD-subset: DD \subseteq N$ **and**

$finite DD$ **and**

$DD-entails-concl: G-entails DD \{?concl\}$ **and**

$ball-DD-lt-C: \forall D \in DD. D \prec_c C$

unfolding $Red-I-def redundant-infer-def mem-Collect-eq$

by (auto simp: $\iota-def$)

moreover have $\forall D \in DD. entails (rewrite-sys N C) D$

using $IH[THEN conjunct2, rule-format, of - C]$

```

using  $\langle C \in N \rangle$  DD-subset ball-DD-lt-C
by blast

ultimately have entails (rewrite-sys N C) ?concl
using I-interp DD-entails-concl
unfolding entails-def G-entails-def
by (simp add: I-def true-cls-def)

then show ?thesis
by (simp add: entails-def A-def C-def joinI-right pair-imageI)
qed
qed
qed
qed

moreover have iib: entails (rewrite-sys N D) C if  $D \in N$  and  $C \prec_c D$  for  $D$ 
using epsilon-eq-empty-or-singleton[of N C, folded ]
proof (elim disjE exE)
assume epsilon N C = {}
hence entails (rewrite-sys N C) C
unfolding i by simp
thus ?thesis
using lift-entailment-to-Union(2)[OF  $\langle C \in N \rangle$  - that]
by (simp only: entails-def)
next
fix l r assume epsilon N C = {(l, r)}
thus ?thesis
using true-cls-if-productive-epsilon(2)[OF  $\langle epsilon N C = {(l, r)} \rangle$  that]
by (simp only: entails-def)
qed

ultimately show ?case
by metis
qed

lemma (in ground-superposition-calculus) model-construction:
fixes
  N :: 'f gatom clause set and
  C :: 'f gatom clause
defines
  entails  $\equiv \lambda E C. \text{upair } \langle \text{rewrite-inside-gtxt } E \rangle^\downarrow \models C$ 
assumes saturated N and  $\{\#\} \notin N$  and  $C\text{-in}: C \in N$ 
shows entails ( $\bigcup D \in N. \text{epsilon } N D$ ) C
using epsilon-eq-empty-or-singleton[of N C]
proof (elim disjE exE)
assume epsilon N C = {}

hence entails (rewrite-sys N C) C
using model-preconstruction(1)[OF assms(2,3,4)]

```

```

    by (metis entails-def)

  thus ?thesis
    using lift-entailment-to-Union(1)[OF ‹C ∈ N›]
    by (simp only: entails-def)
next
  fix l r assume epsilon N C = {(l, r)}
  thus ?thesis
    using true-cls-if-productive-epsilon(1)[OF ‹epsilon N C = {(l, r)}›]
    by (simp only: entails-def)
qed

```

1.5 Static Refutational Completeness

lemma (in *ground-superposition-calculus*) *statically-complete*:

```

  fixes N :: 'f gatom clause set
  assumes saturated N and G-entails N {{#}}
  shows {#} ∈ N
  using ‹G-entails N {{#}}›
proof (rule contrapos-pp)
  assume {#} ∉ N

  define I :: 'f gterm rel where
    I = (rewrite-inside-gctxt (∪ D ∈ N. epsilon N D))↓

  show ¬ G-entails N G-Bot
  unfolding G-entails-def not-all not-imp
proof (intro exI conjI)
  show refl I
    by (simp only: I-def refl-join)
next
  show trans I
  unfolding I-def
proof (rule trans-join)
  have wf ((rewrite-inside-gctxt (∪ D ∈ N. epsilon N D))-1)
  proof (rule wf-converse-rewrite-inside-gctxt)
  fix s t
  assume (s, t) ∈ (∪ D ∈ N. epsilon N D)
  then obtain C where C ∈ N (s, t) ∈ epsilon N C
  by auto

  thus t <t s
    by (auto elim: mem-epsilonE)
qed auto
thus SN (rewrite-inside-gctxt (∪ D ∈ N. epsilon N D))
  unfolding SN-iff-wf .
next
show WCR (rewrite-inside-gctxt (∪ D ∈ N. epsilon N D))
  using WCR-Union-rewrite-sys .

```

```

qed
next
show sym I
  by (simp only: I-def sym-join)
next
show compatible-with-gctxt I
  unfolding I-def
  by (simp only: I-def compatible-with-gctxt-join compatible-with-gctxt-rewrite-inside-gctxt)
next
show upair ' I ||s N
  unfolding I-def
  using model-construction[OF ⟨saturated N⟩ ⟨{#} ∉ N⟩]
  by (simp add: true-cls-def)
next
show  $\neg$  upair ' I ||s G-Bot
  by simp
qed
qed

```

sublocale *ground-superposition-calculus* \subseteq *statically-complete-calculus* **where**

```

Bot = G-Bot and
Inf = G-Inf and
entails = G-entails and
Red-I = Red-I and
Red-F = Red-F
using statically-complete
by unfold-locales simp

```

end

theory *Ground-Superposition-Soundness*

imports *Ground-Superposition*

begin

lemma (*in ground-superposition-calculus*) *soundness-ground-superposition:*

assumes

step: superposition P1 P2 C

shows *G-entails {P1, P2} {C}*

using *step*

proof (*cases P1 P2 C rule: superposition.cases*)

case (*superpositionI L₁ P₁' L₂ P₂' P s t s' t'*)

show *?thesis*

unfolding *G-entails-def true-cls-singleton*

unfolding *true-cls-insert*

proof (*intro allI impI, elim conjE*)

fix *I :: 'f gterm rel*

let *?I' = (λ(t₁, t). Upair t₁ t) ' I*

assume *refl I and trans I and sym I and compatible-with-gctxt I and*

?I' ||_s P1 and ?I' ||_s P2

then obtain $K1\ K2 :: 'f\ gatom\ literal$ where
 $K1 \in\# P1$ and $?I' \models K1$ and $K2 \in\# P2$ and $?I' \models K2$
 by (auto simp: true-cls-def)

show $?I' \models C$
 proof (cases $K2 = \mathcal{P} (Upair\ s\langle t\rangle_G\ s')$)
 case $K1\text{-def}$: True
 hence $?I' \models \mathcal{P} (Upair\ s\langle t\rangle_G\ s')$
 using $\langle ?I' \models K2 \rangle$ by simp

show $?thesis$
 proof (cases $K1 = Pos (Upair\ t\ t')$)
 case $K2\text{-def}$: True
 hence $(t, t') \in I$
 using $\langle ?I' \models K1 \rangle$ true-lit-uprod-iff-true-lit-prod[OF $\langle sym\ I \rangle$] by simp

have $?thesis$ if $\mathcal{P} = Pos$

proof –
 from that have $(s\langle t\rangle_G, s') \in I$
 using $\langle ?I' \models K2 \rangle$ $K1\text{-def}$ true-lit-uprod-iff-true-lit-prod[OF $\langle sym\ I \rangle$] by

simp

hence $(s\langle t'\rangle_G, s') \in I$
 using $\langle (t, t') \in I \rangle$
 using $\langle compatible\text{-with-gctxt}\ I \rangle \langle refl\ I \rangle \langle sym\ I \rangle \langle trans\ I \rangle$
 by (meson compatible-with-gctxtD refl-onD1 symD trans-onD)
 hence $?I' \models Pos (Upair\ s\langle t'\rangle_G\ s')$
 by blast
 thus $?thesis$
 unfolding superpositionI that
 by simp

qed

moreover have $?thesis$ if $\mathcal{P} = Neg$

proof –
 from that have $(s\langle t\rangle_G, s') \notin I$
 using $\langle ?I' \models K2 \rangle$ $K1\text{-def}$ true-lit-uprod-iff-true-lit-prod[OF $\langle sym\ I \rangle$] by

simp

hence $(s\langle t'\rangle_G, s') \notin I$
 using $\langle (t, t') \in I \rangle$
 using $\langle compatible\text{-with-gctxt}\ I \rangle \langle trans\ I \rangle$
 by (metis compatible-with-gctxtD transD)
 hence $?I' \models Neg (Upair\ s\langle t'\rangle_G\ s')$
 by (meson $\langle sym\ I \rangle$ true-lit-simps(2) true-lit-uprod-iff-true-lit-prod(2))
 thus $?thesis$
 unfolding superpositionI that by simp

qed

ultimately show $?thesis$

using $\langle \mathcal{P} \in \{Pos, Neg\} \rangle$ by auto

```

next
  case False
  hence  $K1 \in\# P_2'$ 
    using  $\langle K1 \in\# P1 \rangle$ 
    unfolding superpositionI by simp
  hence  $?I' \Vdash P_2'$ 
    using  $\langle ?I' \Vdash K1 \rangle$  by blast
  thus ?thesis
    unfolding superpositionI by simp
qed
next
  case False
  hence  $K2 \in\# P_1'$ 
    using  $\langle K2 \in\# P2 \rangle$ 
    unfolding superpositionI by simp
  hence  $?I' \Vdash P_1'$ 
    using  $\langle ?I' \Vdash K2 \rangle$  by blast
  thus ?thesis
    unfolding superpositionI by simp
qed
qed
qed

lemma (in ground-superposition-calculus) soundness-ground-eq-resolution:
  assumes step: eq-resolution P C
  shows G-entails {P} {C}
  using step
proof (cases P C rule: eq-resolution.cases)
  case (eq-resolutionI L D' t)
  show ?thesis
    unfolding G-entails-def true-cls-singleton
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix I :: 'f gterm rel'
    assume refl I and  $(\lambda(t_1, t_2). \text{Upair } t_1 \ t_2) \ 'I \Vdash P$ 
    then obtain K where  $K \in\# P$  and  $(\lambda(t_1, t_2). \text{Upair } t_1 \ t_2) \ 'I \Vdash K$ 
      by (auto simp: true-cls-def)
    hence  $K \neq L$ 
      by (metis  $\langle \text{refl } I \rangle$  eq-resolutionI(2) pair-imageI reflD true-lit-simps(2))
    hence  $K \in\# C$ 
      using  $\langle K \in\# P \rangle \langle P = \text{add-mset } L \ D' \rangle \langle C = D' \rangle$  by simp
    thus  $(\lambda(t_1, t_2). \text{Upair } t_1 \ t_2) \ 'I \Vdash C$ 
      using  $\langle (\lambda(t_1, t_2). \text{Upair } t_1 \ t_2) \ 'I \Vdash K \rangle$  by blast
  qed
qed

```

lemma (in *ground-superposition-calculus*) *soundness-ground-eq-factoring*:

```

  assumes step: eq-factoring P C
  shows G-entails {P} {C}
  using step

```

```

proof (cases P C rule: eq-factoring.cases)
  case (eq-factoringI L1 L2 P' t t' t'')
  show ?thesis
    unfolding G-entails-def true-cls-singleton
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix I :: 'f gterm rel
    let ?I' = (λ(t1, t). Upair t1 t) ' I
    assume trans I and sym I and ?I'  $\models$  P
    then obtain K :: 'f gatom literal where
      K ∈# P and ?I'  $\models$  K
      by (auto simp: true-cls-def)

  show ?I'  $\models$  C
  proof (cases K = L1 ∨ K = L2)
    case True
      hence I  $\models$  Pos (t, t') ∨ I  $\models$  Pos (t, t'')
        unfolding eq-factoringI
        using ⟨?I'  $\models$  K⟩ true-lit-uprod-iff-true-lit-prod[OF ⟨sym I⟩] by metis
      hence I  $\models$  Pos (t, t'') ∨ I  $\models$  Neg (t', t'')
        proof (elim disjE)
          assume I  $\models$  Pos (t, t')
          then show ?thesis
            unfolding true-lit-simps
            by (metis ⟨trans I⟩ transD)
        next
          assume I  $\models$  Pos (t, t'')
          then show ?thesis
            by simp
        qed
      hence ?I'  $\models$  Pos (Upair t t'') ∨ ?I'  $\models$  Neg (Upair t' t'')
        unfolding true-lit-uprod-iff-true-lit-prod[OF ⟨sym I⟩] .
      thus ?thesis
        unfolding eq-factoringI
        by (metis true-cls-add-mset)
    next
      case False
      hence K ∈# P'
        using ⟨K ∈# P⟩
        unfolding eq-factoringI
        by auto
      hence K ∈# C
        by (simp add: eq-factoringI(1,2,7))
      thus ?thesis
        using ⟨(λ(t1, t). Upair t1 t) ' I  $\models$  K⟩ by blast
    qed
  qed
qed

```

sublocale ground-superposition-calculus \subseteq sound-inference-system **where**

```

Inf = G-Inf and
Bot = G-Bot and
entails = G-entails
proof unfold-locales
  show  $\bigwedge \iota. \iota \in G\text{-Inf} \implies G\text{-entails } (\text{set } (\text{prems-of } \iota)) \{ \text{concl-of } \iota \}$ 
    unfolding G-Inf-def
    using soundness-ground-superposition
    using soundness-ground-eq-resolution
    using soundness-ground-eq-factoring
    by (auto simp: G-entails-def)
qed

end
theory Ground-Superposition-Welltypedness-Preservation
  imports
    Ground-Superposition
    First-Order-Clause.Ground-Typing
begin

context ground-superposition-calculus
begin

sublocale ground-typing where  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F} :: ('f, 'ty) \text{ fun-types}$ 
  by unfold-locales

context
  fixes  $\mathcal{F} :: ('f, 'ty) \text{ fun-types}$ 
begin

lemma ground-superposition-preserves-typing:
  assumes
    superposition D E C
    clause.is-welltyped D
    clause.is-welltyped E
  shows clause.is-welltyped C
  using assms
  by (cases rule: superposition.cases) (auto 4 3)

lemma ground-eq-resolution-preserves-typing:
  assumes eq-resolution D C clause.is-welltyped D
  shows clause.is-welltyped C
  using assms
  by (cases rule: eq-resolution.cases) auto

lemma ground-eq-factoring-preserves-typing:
  assumes eq-factoring D C
  shows clause.is-welltyped D  $\longleftrightarrow$  clause.is-welltyped C
  using assms
  by (cases rule: eq-factoring.cases) auto

```



```

end

end

end
theory Superposition
  imports
    First-Order-Clause.Nonground-Order
    First-Order-Clause.Nonground-Selection-Function
    First-Order-Clause.Nonground-Typing
    First-Order-Clause.Typed-Tiebreakers
    First-Order-Clause.Welltyped-IMGU

    Ground-Superposition
begin

```

2 Nonground Layer

```

locale superposition-calculus =
  nonground-inhabited-typing  $\mathcal{F}$  +
  nonground-equality-order  $less_t$  +
  nonground-selection-function select +
  typed-tiebreakers tiebreakers +
  ground-critical-pair-theorem  $TYPE('f)$ 
for
  select :: ('f, 'v :: infinite) select and
  less_t :: ('f, 'v) term  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'v) term  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
   $\mathcal{F}$  :: ('f, 'ty) fun-types and
  tiebreakers :: ('f, 'v) tiebreakers +
assumes
  types-ordLeq-variables:  $|UNIV :: 'ty\ set| \leq o\ |UNIV :: 'v\ set|$ 
begin

interpretation term-order-notation.

inductive eq-resolution :: ('f, 'v, 'ty) typed-clause  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'v, 'ty) typed-clause  $\Rightarrow$ 
bool where
  eq-resolutionI:
     $D = add\_mset\ l\ D' \Longrightarrow$ 
     $l = t\ !\approx\ t' \Longrightarrow$ 
     $welltyped\_imgu\_on\ (clause.vars\ D)\ \mathcal{V}\ t\ t'\ \mu \Longrightarrow$ 
     $select\ D = \{\#\} \wedge is\_maximal\ (l \cdot l\ \mu)\ (D \cdot \mu) \vee is\_maximal\ (l \cdot l\ \mu)\ (select\ D \cdot$ 
     $\mu) \Longrightarrow$ 
     $C = D' \cdot \mu \Longrightarrow$ 
    eq-resolution  $(D, \mathcal{V})\ (C, \mathcal{V})$ 

inductive eq-factoring :: ('f, 'v, 'ty) typed-clause  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'v, 'ty) typed-clause  $\Rightarrow$ 
bool where

```

eq-factoringI:

$$\begin{aligned}
& D = \text{add-mset } l_1 \ (\text{add-mset } l_2 \ D') \implies \\
& l_1 = t_1 \approx t_1' \implies \\
& l_2 = t_2 \approx t_2' \implies \\
& \text{select } D = \{\#\} \implies \\
& \text{is-maximal } (l_1 \cdot l \ \mu) \ (D \cdot \mu) \implies \\
& \neg (t_1 \cdot t \ \mu \preceq_t t_1' \cdot t \ \mu) \implies \\
& \text{welltyped-ingu-on } (\text{clause.vars } D) \ \mathcal{V} \ t_1 \ t_2 \ \mu \implies \\
& C = \text{add-mset } (t_1 \approx t_2 \wedge) \ (\text{add-mset } (t_1' \not\approx t_2') \ D') \cdot \mu \implies \\
& \text{eq-factoring } (D, \mathcal{V}) \ (C, \mathcal{V})
\end{aligned}$$

inductive superposition ::

$$(\text{'f, 'v, 'ty typed-clause} \Rightarrow \text{'f, 'v, 'ty typed-clause} \Rightarrow \text{'f, 'v, 'ty typed-clause} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$$

where

superpositionI:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{infinite-variables-per-type } \mathcal{V}_1 \implies \\
& \text{infinite-variables-per-type } \mathcal{V}_2 \implies \\
& \text{term-subst.is-renaming } \varrho_1 \implies \\
& \text{term-subst.is-renaming } \varrho_2 \implies \\
& \text{clause.vars } (E \cdot \varrho_1) \cap \text{clause.vars } (D \cdot \varrho_2) = \{\} \implies \\
& E = \text{add-mset } l_1 \ E' \implies \\
& D = \text{add-mset } l_2 \ D' \implies \\
& \mathcal{P} \in \{\text{Pos, Neg}\} \implies \\
& l_1 = \mathcal{P} \ (\text{Upair } c_1 \langle t_1 \rangle \ t_1') \implies \\
& l_2 = t_2 \approx t_2' \implies \\
& \neg \text{is-Var } t_1 \implies \\
& \text{welltyped-ingu-on } (\text{clause.vars } (E \cdot \varrho_1) \cup \text{clause.vars } (D \cdot \varrho_2)) \ \mathcal{V}_3 \ (t_1 \cdot t \ \varrho_1) \ (t_2 \\
& \cdot t \ \varrho_2) \ \mu \implies \\
& \forall x \in \text{clause.vars } E. \ \mathcal{V}_1 \ x = \mathcal{V}_3 \ (\text{term.rename } \varrho_1 \ x) \implies \\
& \forall x \in \text{clause.vars } D. \ \mathcal{V}_2 \ x = \mathcal{V}_3 \ (\text{term.rename } \varrho_2 \ x) \implies \\
& \text{term.subst.is-welltyped-on } (\text{clause.vars } E) \ \mathcal{V}_1 \ \varrho_1 \implies \\
& \text{term.subst.is-welltyped-on } (\text{clause.vars } D) \ \mathcal{V}_2 \ \varrho_2 \implies \\
& (\bigwedge \tau \ \tau'. \ \text{typed } \mathcal{V}_2 \ t_2 \ \tau \implies \text{typed } \mathcal{V}_2 \ t_2' \ \tau' \implies \tau = \tau') \implies \\
& \neg (E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \mu \preceq_c D \cdot \varrho_2 \odot \mu) \implies \\
& (\mathcal{P} = \text{Pos} \implies \text{select } E = \{\#\}) \implies \\
& (\mathcal{P} = \text{Pos} \implies \text{is-strictly-maximal } (l_1 \cdot l \ \varrho_1 \odot \mu) \ (E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \mu)) \implies \\
& (\mathcal{P} = \text{Neg} \implies \text{select } E = \{\#\} \implies \text{is-maximal } (l_1 \cdot l \ \varrho_1 \odot \mu) \ (E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \mu)) \implies \\
& (\mathcal{P} = \text{Neg} \implies \text{select } E \neq \{\#\} \implies \text{is-maximal } (l_1 \cdot l \ \varrho_1 \odot \mu) \ ((\text{select } E) \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \\
& \mu)) \implies \\
& \text{select } D = \{\#\} \implies \\
& \text{is-strictly-maximal } (l_2 \cdot l \ \varrho_2 \odot \mu) \ (D \cdot \varrho_2 \odot \mu) \implies \\
& \neg (c_1 \langle t_1 \rangle \cdot t \ \varrho_1 \odot \mu \preceq_t t_1' \cdot t \ \varrho_1 \odot \mu) \implies \\
& \neg (t_2 \cdot t \ \varrho_2 \odot \mu \preceq_t t_2' \cdot t \ \varrho_2 \odot \mu) \implies \\
& C = \text{add-mset } (\mathcal{P} \ (\text{Upair } (c_1 \cdot t_c \ \varrho_1) \langle t_2' \cdot t \ \varrho_2 \rangle \ (t_1' \cdot t \ \varrho_1))) \ (E' \cdot \varrho_1 + D' \cdot \varrho_2) \cdot \\
& \mu \implies \\
& \text{superposition } (D, \mathcal{V}_2) \ (E, \mathcal{V}_1) \ (C, \mathcal{V}_3)
\end{aligned}$$

abbreviation eq-factoring-inferences where

eq-factoring-inferences $\equiv \{ \text{Infer } [D] C \mid D C. \text{eq-factoring } D C \}$

abbreviation *eq-resolution-inferences* **where**

eq-resolution-inferences $\equiv \{ \text{Infer } [D] C \mid D C. \text{eq-resolution } D C \}$

abbreviation *superposition-inferences* **where**

superposition-inferences $\equiv \{ \text{Infer } [D, E] C \mid D E C. \text{superposition } D E C \}$

definition *inferences* $:: (f, v, ty)$ *typed-clause inference set* **where**

inferences $\equiv \text{superposition-inferences} \cup \text{eq-resolution-inferences} \cup \text{eq-factoring-inferences}$

abbreviation *bottom_F* $:: (f, v, ty)$ *typed-clause set* (\perp_F) **where**

bottom_F $\equiv \{ (\{\#\}, \mathcal{V}) \mid \mathcal{V}. \text{infinite-variables-per-type } \mathcal{V} \}$

2.0.1 Alternative Specification of the Superposition Rule

inductive *superposition'* $::$

(f, v, ty) *typed-clause* $\Rightarrow (f, v, ty)$ *typed-clause* $\Rightarrow (f, v, ty)$ *typed-clause* \Rightarrow
bool

where

superposition'I:

infinite-variables-per-type $\mathcal{V}_1 \Rightarrow$

infinite-variables-per-type $\mathcal{V}_2 \Rightarrow$

term-subst.is-renaming $\varrho_1 \Rightarrow$

term-subst.is-renaming $\varrho_2 \Rightarrow$

clause.vars $(E \cdot \varrho_1) \cap \text{clause.vars } (D \cdot \varrho_2) = \{\} \Rightarrow$

$E = \text{add-mset } l_1 E' \Rightarrow$

$D = \text{add-mset } l_2 D' \Rightarrow$

$\mathcal{P} \in \{Pos, Neg\} \Rightarrow$

$l_1 = \mathcal{P} (\text{Upair } c_1(t_1) t_1') \Rightarrow$

$l_2 = t_2 \approx t_2' \Rightarrow$

$\neg \text{is-Var } t_1 \Rightarrow$

welltyped-ingu-on $(\text{clause.vars } (E \cdot \varrho_1) \cup \text{clause.vars } (D \cdot \varrho_2)) \mathcal{V}_3 (t_1 \cdot t \varrho_1) (t_2 \cdot t \varrho_2) \mu \Rightarrow$

$\forall x \in \text{clause.vars } E. \mathcal{V}_1 x = \mathcal{V}_3 (\text{term.rename } \varrho_1 x) \Rightarrow$

$\forall x \in \text{clause.vars } D. \mathcal{V}_2 x = \mathcal{V}_3 (\text{term.rename } \varrho_2 x) \Rightarrow$

term.subst.is-welltyped-on $(\text{clause.vars } E) \mathcal{V}_1 \varrho_1 \Rightarrow$

term.subst.is-welltyped-on $(\text{clause.vars } D) \mathcal{V}_2 \varrho_2 \Rightarrow$

$(\bigwedge \tau \tau'. \text{typed } \mathcal{V}_2 t_2 \tau \Rightarrow \text{typed } \mathcal{V}_2 t_2' \tau' \Rightarrow \tau = \tau') \Rightarrow$

$\neg (E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \mu \preceq_c D \cdot \varrho_2 \odot \mu) \Rightarrow$

$(\mathcal{P} = Pos \wedge \text{select } E = \{\#\} \wedge \text{is-strictly-maximal } (l_1 \cdot l \varrho_1 \odot \mu) (E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \mu) \vee$

$\mathcal{P} = Neg \wedge (\text{select } E = \{\#\} \wedge \text{is-maximal } (l_1 \cdot l \varrho_1 \odot \mu) (E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \mu) \vee$

$\text{is-maximal } (l_1 \cdot l \varrho_1 \odot \mu) ((\text{select } E) \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \mu)) \Rightarrow$

select $D = \{\#\} \Rightarrow$

is-strictly-maximal $(l_2 \cdot l \varrho_2 \odot \mu) (D \cdot \varrho_2 \odot \mu) \Rightarrow$

$\neg (c_1(t_1) \cdot t \varrho_1 \odot \mu \preceq_t t_1' \cdot t \varrho_1 \odot \mu) \Rightarrow$

$\neg (t_2 \cdot t \varrho_2 \odot \mu \preceq_t t_2' \cdot t \varrho_2 \odot \mu) \Rightarrow$

$C = \text{add-mset } (\mathcal{P} (\text{Upair } (c_1 \cdot t_c \varrho_1)(t_2' \cdot t \varrho_2) (t_1' \cdot t \varrho_1))) (E' \cdot \varrho_1 + D' \cdot \varrho_2) \cdot \mu \Rightarrow$

superposition' (D, \mathcal{V}_2) (E, \mathcal{V}_1) (C, \mathcal{V}_3)

lemma *superposition-eq-superposition'*: *superposition* = *superposition'*

proof (*intro ext iffI*)

fix $D E C$

assume *superposition* $D E C$

then show *superposition'* $D E C$

proof (*cases D E C rule: superposition.cases*)

case (*superpositionI* $\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{V}_2 \varrho_1 \varrho_2 E D l_1 E' l_2 D' \mathcal{P} c_1 t_1 t_1' t_2 t_2' \mathcal{V}_3 \mu C$)

show *?thesis*

proof (*unfold superpositionI(1-3), rule superposition'I[of $\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{V}_2 \varrho_1 \varrho_2$]; (rule superpositionI)?*)

show $\mathcal{P} = Pos \wedge select E = \{\#\} \wedge is-strictly-maximal (l_1 \cdot l \varrho_1 \odot \mu) (E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \mu) \vee$

$\mathcal{P} = Neg \wedge (select E = \{\#\} \wedge is-maximal (l_1 \cdot l \varrho_1 \odot \mu) (E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \mu) \vee$
 $is-maximal (l_1 \cdot l \varrho_1 \odot \mu) (select E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \mu))$

using *superpositionI(11,22-25)*

by *fastforce*

qed

qed

next

fix $D E C$

assume *superposition'* $D E C$

then show *superposition* $D E C$

proof (*cases D E C rule: superposition'.cases*)

case (*superposition'I* $\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{V}_2 \varrho_1 \varrho_2 E D l_1 E' l_2 D' \mathcal{P} c_1 t_1 t_1' t_2 t_2' \mathcal{V}_3 \mu C$)

show *?thesis*

proof (*unfold superposition'I(1-3), rule superpositionI[of $\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{V}_2 \varrho_1 \varrho_2$]; (rule superposition'I)?*)

show

$\mathcal{P} = Pos \implies select E = \{\#\}$

$\mathcal{P} = Pos \implies is-strictly-maximal (l_1 \cdot l \varrho_1 \odot \mu) (E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \mu)$

$\mathcal{P} = Neg \implies select E = \{\#\} \implies is-maximal (l_1 \cdot l \varrho_1 \odot \mu) (E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \mu)$

$\mathcal{P} = Neg \implies select E \neq \{\#\} \implies is-maximal (l_1 \cdot l \varrho_1 \odot \mu) (select E \cdot \varrho_1$

$\odot \mu)$

using *superposition'I(22) is-maximal-not-empty*

by *auto*

qed

qed

qed

inductive *pos-superposition* ::

$(f, 'v, 'ty) typed-clause \implies (f, 'v, 'ty) typed-clause \implies (f, 'v, 'ty) typed-clause \implies$
bool

where

pos-superpositionI:

$infinite-variables-per-type \mathcal{V}_1 \implies$
 $infinite-variables-per-type \mathcal{V}_2 \implies$
 $term-subst.is-renaming \varrho_1 \implies$
 $term-subst.is-renaming \varrho_2 \implies$
 $clause.vars (E \cdot \varrho_1) \cap clause.vars (D \cdot \varrho_2) = \{\} \implies$
 $E = add-mset l_1 E' \implies$
 $D = add-mset l_2 D' \implies$
 $l_1 = c_1 \langle t_1 \rangle \approx t_1' \implies$
 $l_2 = t_2 \approx t_2' \implies$
 $\neg is-Var t_1 \implies$
 $welltyped-imgu-on (clause.vars (E \cdot \varrho_1) \cup clause.vars (D \cdot \varrho_2)) \mathcal{V}_3 (t_1 \cdot t \varrho_1) (t_2 \cdot t \varrho_2) \mu \implies$
 $\forall x \in clause.vars E. \mathcal{V}_1 x = \mathcal{V}_3 (term.rename \varrho_1 x) \implies$
 $\forall x \in clause.vars D. \mathcal{V}_2 x = \mathcal{V}_3 (term.rename \varrho_2 x) \implies$
 $term.subst.is-welltyped-on (clause.vars E) \mathcal{V}_1 \varrho_1 \implies$
 $term.subst.is-welltyped-on (clause.vars D) \mathcal{V}_2 \varrho_2 \implies$
 $(\bigwedge \tau \tau'. typed \mathcal{V}_2 t_2 \tau \implies typed \mathcal{V}_2 t_2' \tau' \implies \tau = \tau') \implies$
 $\neg (E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \mu \preceq_c D \cdot \varrho_2 \odot \mu) \implies$
 $select E = \{\#\} \implies$
 $is-strictly-maximal (l_1 \cdot l \varrho_1 \odot \mu) (E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \mu) \implies$
 $select D = \{\#\} \implies$
 $is-strictly-maximal (l_2 \cdot l \varrho_2 \odot \mu) (D \cdot \varrho_2 \odot \mu) \implies$
 $\neg (c_1 \langle t_1 \rangle \cdot t \varrho_1 \odot \mu \preceq_t t_1' \cdot t \varrho_1 \odot \mu) \implies$
 $\neg (t_2 \cdot t \varrho_2 \odot \mu \preceq_t t_2' \cdot t \varrho_2 \odot \mu) \implies$
 $C = add-mset ((c_1 \cdot t_c \varrho_1) \langle t_2' \cdot t \varrho_2 \rangle \approx (t_1' \cdot t \varrho_1)) (E' \cdot \varrho_1 + D' \cdot \varrho_2) \cdot \mu \implies$
 $pos-superposition (D, \mathcal{V}_2) (E, \mathcal{V}_1) (C, \mathcal{V}_3)$

lemma *superposition-if-pos-superposition*:

assumes *pos-superposition D E C*

shows *superposition D E C*

using *assms*

proof (*cases rule: pos-superposition.cases*)

case (*pos-superpositionI* $\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{V}_2 \varrho_1 \varrho_2 E D l_1 E' l_2 D' c_1 t_1 t_1' t_2 t_2' \mu \mathcal{V}_3 C$)

then show *?thesis*

using *superpositionI*[*of* $\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{V}_2 \varrho_1 \varrho_2 E D l_1 E' l_2 D' Pos c_1 t_1 t_1' t_2 t_2' \mu \mathcal{V}_3 C$]

by *fast*

qed

inductive *neg-superposition* ::

$(f, 'v, 'ty) typed-clause \implies (f, 'v, 'ty) typed-clause \implies (f, 'v, 'ty) typed-clause \implies bool$

where

neg-superpositionI:

$infinite-variables-per-type \mathcal{V}_1 \implies$

$infinite-variables-per-type \mathcal{V}_2 \implies$

$term-subst.is-renaming \varrho_1 \implies$

$term\text{-}subst.is\text{-}renaming\ \varrho_2 \implies$
 $clause.vars\ (E \cdot \varrho_1) \cap clause.vars\ (D \cdot \varrho_2) = \{\} \implies$
 $E = add\text{-}mset\ l_1\ E' \implies$
 $D = add\text{-}mset\ l_2\ D' \implies$
 $l_1 = c_1\langle t_1 \rangle \! \approx t_1' \implies$
 $l_2 = t_2 \approx t_2' \implies$
 $\neg is\text{-}Var\ t_1 \implies$
 $welltyped\text{-}imgu\text{-}on\ (clause.vars\ (E \cdot \varrho_1) \cup clause.vars\ (D \cdot \varrho_2))\ \mathcal{V}_3\ (t_1 \cdot t\ \varrho_1)\ (t_2$
 $\cdot t\ \varrho_2)\ \mu \implies$
 $\forall x \in clause.vars\ E.\ \mathcal{V}_1\ x = \mathcal{V}_3\ (term.rename\ \varrho_1\ x) \implies$
 $\forall x \in clause.vars\ D.\ \mathcal{V}_2\ x = \mathcal{V}_3\ (term.rename\ \varrho_2\ x) \implies$
 $term.subst.is\text{-}welltyped\text{-}on\ (clause.vars\ E)\ \mathcal{V}_1\ \varrho_1 \implies$
 $term.subst.is\text{-}welltyped\text{-}on\ (clause.vars\ D)\ \mathcal{V}_2\ \varrho_2 \implies$
 $(\bigwedge \tau\ \tau'.\ typed\ \mathcal{V}_2\ t_2\ \tau \implies typed\ \mathcal{V}_2\ t_2'\ \tau' \implies \tau = \tau') \implies$
 $\neg (E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \mu \preceq_c D \cdot \varrho_2 \odot \mu) \implies$
 $(select\ E = \{\#\} \implies is\text{-}maximal\ (l_1 \cdot l\ \varrho_1 \odot \mu)\ (E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \mu)) \implies$
 $(select\ E \neq \{\#\} \implies is\text{-}maximal\ (l_1 \cdot l\ \varrho_1 \odot \mu)\ ((select\ E) \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \mu)) \implies$
 $select\ D = \{\#\} \implies$
 $is\text{-}strictly\text{-}maximal\ (l_2 \cdot l\ \varrho_2 \odot \mu)\ (D \cdot \varrho_2 \odot \mu) \implies$
 $\neg (c_1\langle t_1 \rangle \cdot t\ \varrho_1 \odot \mu \preceq_t t_1' \cdot t\ \varrho_1 \odot \mu) \implies$
 $\neg (t_2 \cdot t\ \varrho_2 \odot \mu \preceq_t t_2' \cdot t\ \varrho_2 \odot \mu) \implies$
 $C = add\text{-}mset\ ((c_1 \cdot t_c\ \varrho_1)\langle t_2' \cdot t\ \varrho_2 \rangle \! \approx (t_1' \cdot t\ \varrho_1))\ (E' \cdot \varrho_1 + D' \cdot \varrho_2) \cdot \mu \implies$
 $neg\text{-}superposition\ (D, \mathcal{V}_2)\ (E, \mathcal{V}_1)\ (C, \mathcal{V}_3)$

lemma *superposition-if-neg-superposition:*

assumes *neg-superposition* $E\ D\ C$

shows *superposition* $E\ D\ C$

using *assms*

proof (*cases* $E\ D\ C$ *rule:* *neg-superposition.cases*)

case (*neg-superpositionI* $\mathcal{V}_1\ \mathcal{V}_2\ \varrho_1\ \varrho_2\ E\ D\ l_1\ E'\ l_2\ D'\ c_1\ t_1\ t_1'\ t_2\ t_2'\ \mu\ \mathcal{V}_3\ C$)

then show *?thesis*

using

superpositionI[*of* $\mathcal{V}_1\ \mathcal{V}_2\ \varrho_1\ \varrho_2\ E\ D\ l_1\ E'\ l_2\ D'\ Neg\ c_1\ t_1\ t_1'\ t_2\ t_2'\ \mu\ \mathcal{V}_3\ C$]
literals-distinct(2)

by *blast*

qed

lemma *superposition-iff-pos-or-neg:*

superposition $D\ E\ C \iff pos\text{-}superposition\ D\ E\ C \vee neg\text{-}superposition\ D\ E\ C$

proof (*rule* *iffI*)

assume *superposition* $D\ E\ C$

thus *pos-superposition* $D\ E\ C \vee neg\text{-}superposition\ D\ E\ C$

proof (*cases* $D\ E\ C$ *rule:* *superposition.cases*)

case (*superpositionI* $\mathcal{V}_1\ \mathcal{V}_2\ \varrho_1\ \varrho_2\ E\ D\ l_1\ E'\ l_2\ D'\ \mathcal{P}\ c_1\ t_1\ t_1'\ t_2\ t_2'\ \mathcal{V}_3\ \mu\ C$)

show *?thesis*

proof(*cases* $\mathcal{P} = Pos$)

case *True*

then have *pos-superposition* $(D, \mathcal{V}_2)\ (E, \mathcal{V}_1)\ (C, \mathcal{V}_3)$

```

using
  superpositionI
  pos-superpositionI[of  $\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{V}_2 \varrho_1 \varrho_2 E D l_1 E' l_2 D' c_1 t_1 t_1' t_2 t_2' \mathcal{V}_3 \mu C$ ]
by argo

then show ?thesis
  unfolding superpositionI(1-3)
by simp

next
  case False

then have  $\mathcal{P} = \text{Neg}$ 
  using superpositionI(11)
by blast

then have neg-superposition  $(D, \mathcal{V}_2) (E, \mathcal{V}_1) (C, \mathcal{V}_3)$ 
  using
    superpositionI
    neg-superpositionI[of  $\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{V}_2 \varrho_1 \varrho_2 E D l_1 E' l_2 D' c_1 t_1 t_1' t_2 t_2' \mathcal{V}_3 \mu C$ ]
  by argo

then show ?thesis
  unfolding superpositionI(1-3)
by simp
qed
qed
next
  assume pos-superposition  $D E C \vee$  neg-superposition  $D E C$ 
  thus superposition  $D E C$ 
  using superposition-if-neg-superposition superposition-if-pos-superposition
by metis
qed

end

end
theory Grounded-Superposition
  imports
    Superposition
    Ground-Superposition

    First-Order-Clause.Grounded-Selection-Function
    First-Order-Clause.Nonground-Inference
    Saturation-Framework.Lifting-to-Non-Ground-Calculi
begin

locale grounded-superposition-calculus =
  superposition-calculus where select = select and  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F} +$ 

```

grounded-selection-function **where** $select = select$ **and** $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$
for
 $select :: ('f, 'v :: infinite) select$ **and**
 $\mathcal{F} :: ('f, 'ty) fun-types$
begin

sublocale *nonground-inference*.

sublocale *ground: ground-superposition-calculus* **where**

$less_t = (\prec_{tG})$ **and** $select = select_G$
rewrites
 $multiset-extension.multiset-extension (\prec_{tG}) mset-lit = (\prec_{lG})$ **and**
 $multiset-extension.multiset-extension (\prec_{lG}) (\lambda x. x) = (\prec_{cG})$ **and**
 $\bigwedge l C. ground.is-maximal l C \longleftrightarrow is-maximal (literal.from-ground l) (clause.from-ground C)$ **and**
 $\bigwedge l C. ground.is-strictly-maximal l C \longleftrightarrow is-strictly-maximal (literal.from-ground l) (clause.from-ground C)$
by *unfold-locales simp-all*

abbreviation *is-inference-ground-instance-one-premise* **where**

$is-inference-ground-instance-one-premise D C \iota_G \gamma \equiv$
 $case (D, C) of ((D, \mathcal{V}'), (C, \mathcal{V})) \Rightarrow$
 $inference.is-ground (Infer [D] C \cdot \iota \gamma) \wedge$
 $\iota_G = inference.to-ground (Infer [D] C \cdot \iota \gamma) \wedge$
 $clause.is-welltyped \mathcal{V} D \wedge$
 $term.subst.is-welltyped-on (clause.vars C) \mathcal{V} \gamma \wedge$
 $clause.is-welltyped \mathcal{V} C \wedge$
 $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}' \wedge$
 $infinite-variables-per-type \mathcal{V}$

abbreviation *is-inference-ground-instance-two-premises* **where**

$is-inference-ground-instance-two-premises D E C \iota_G \gamma \varrho_1 \varrho_2 \equiv$
 $case (D, E, C) of ((D, \mathcal{V}_2), (E, \mathcal{V}_1), (C, \mathcal{V}_3)) \Rightarrow$
 $term.subst.is-renaming \varrho_1$
 $\wedge term.subst.is-renaming \varrho_2$
 $\wedge clause.vars (E \cdot \varrho_1) \cap clause.vars (D \cdot \varrho_2) = \{\}$
 $\wedge inference.is-ground (Infer [D \cdot \varrho_2, E \cdot \varrho_1] C \cdot \iota \gamma)$
 $\wedge \iota_G = inference.to-ground (Infer [D \cdot \varrho_2, E \cdot \varrho_1] C \cdot \iota \gamma)$
 $\wedge clause.is-welltyped \mathcal{V}_1 E$
 $\wedge clause.is-welltyped \mathcal{V}_2 D$
 $\wedge term.subst.is-welltyped-on (clause.vars C) \mathcal{V}_3 \gamma$
 $\wedge clause.is-welltyped \mathcal{V}_3 C$
 $\wedge infinite-variables-per-type \mathcal{V}_1$
 $\wedge infinite-variables-per-type \mathcal{V}_2$
 $\wedge infinite-variables-per-type \mathcal{V}_3$

abbreviation *is-inference-ground-instance* **where**

$is-inference-ground-instance \iota \iota_G \gamma \equiv$
 $(case \iota of$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Infer } [D] C \Rightarrow \text{is-inference-ground-instance-one-premise } D C \iota_G \gamma \\ | \text{Infer } [D, E] C \Rightarrow \exists \varrho_1 \varrho_2. \text{is-inference-ground-instance-two-premises } D E C \\ \iota_G \gamma \varrho_1 \varrho_2 \\ | - \Rightarrow \text{False} \\ \wedge \iota_G \in \text{ground.G-Inf} \end{array}$$

definition *inference-ground-instances* **where**

inference-ground-instances $\iota = \{ \iota_G \mid \iota_G \gamma. \text{is-inference-ground-instance } \iota \iota_G \gamma \}$

lemma *is-inference-ground-instance*:

is-inference-ground-instance $\iota \iota_G \gamma \implies \iota_G \in \text{inference-ground-instances } \iota$

unfolding *inference-ground-instances-def*

by *blast*

lemma *is-inference-ground-instance-one-premise*:

assumes *is-inference-ground-instance-one-premise* $D C \iota_G \gamma \iota_G \in \text{ground.G-Inf}$

shows $\iota_G \in \text{inference-ground-instances } (\text{Infer } [D] C)$

using *assms*

unfolding *inference-ground-instances-def*

by *auto*

lemma *is-inference-ground-instance-two-premises*:

assumes *is-inference-ground-instance-two-premises* $D E C \iota_G \gamma \varrho_1 \varrho_2 \iota_G \in \text{ground.G-Inf}$

shows $\iota_G \in \text{inference-ground-instances } (\text{Infer } [D, E] C)$

using *assms*

unfolding *inference-ground-instances-def*

by *auto*

lemma *ground-inference-concl-in-welltyped-ground-instances*:

assumes $\iota_G \in \text{inference-ground-instances } \iota$

shows *concl-of* $\iota_G \in \text{clause.welltyped-ground-instances } (\text{concl-of } \iota)$

proof –

obtain *premises* $C \mathcal{V}$ **where**

$\iota: \iota = \text{Infer premises } (C, \mathcal{V})$

using *Calculus.inference.exhaust*

by (*metis prod.collapse*)

show *?thesis*

using *assms*

unfolding ι *inference-ground-instances-def clause.welltyped-ground-instances-def*

by (*cases premises rule: list-4-cases*) *auto*

qed

lemma *ground-inference-red-in-welltyped-ground-instances-of-concl*:

assumes $\iota_G \in \text{inference-ground-instances } \iota$

shows $\iota_G \in \text{ground.Red-I } (\text{clause.welltyped-ground-instances } (\text{concl-of } \iota))$

proof –

from *assms* **have** $\iota_G \in \text{ground.G-Inf}$

```

unfolding inference-ground-instances-def
by blast

moreover have concl-of  $\iota_G \in \text{clause.welltyped-ground-instances}$  (concl-of  $\iota$ )
using assms ground-inference-concl-in-welltyped-ground-instances
by auto

ultimately show  $\iota_G \in \text{ground.Red-I}$  (clause.welltyped-ground-instances (concl-of
 $\iota$ ))
using ground.Red-I-of-Inf-to-N
by blast
qed

thm option.sel

sublocale lifting:
tiebreaker-lifting
 $\perp_F$ 
inferences
ground.G-Bot
ground.G-entails
ground.G-Inf
ground.GRed-I
ground.GRed-F
clause.welltyped-ground-instances
Some  $\circ$  inference-ground-instances
typed-tiebreakers
proof(unfold-locales; (intro impI typed-tiebreakers.wfp typed-tiebreakers.transp)?)

show  $\perp_F \neq \{\}$ 
using exists-infinite-variables-per-type[OF types-ordLeq-variables]
by blast
next
fix bottom
assume bottom  $\in \perp_F$ 

then show clause.welltyped-ground-instances bottom  $\neq \{\}$ 
unfolding clause.welltyped-ground-instances-def
by auto
next
fix bottom
assume bottom  $\in \perp_F$ 

then show clause.welltyped-ground-instances bottom  $\subseteq \text{ground.G-Bot}$ 
unfolding clause.welltyped-ground-instances-def
by auto
next
fix C :: ('f, 'v, 'ty) typed-clause

```

```

assume clause.welltyped-ground-instances  $C \cap \text{ground}.G\text{-Bot} \neq \{\}$ 

moreover then have fst  $C = \{\#\}$ 
  unfolding clause.welltyped-ground-instances-def
  by simp

then have  $C = (\{\#\}, \text{snd } C)$ 
  by (metis split-pairs)

ultimately show  $C \in \perp_F$ 
  unfolding clause.welltyped-ground-instances-def
  by blast
next
fix  $\iota :: ('f, 'v, 'ty) \text{typed-clause inference}$ 

  show the  $((\text{Some} \circ \text{inference-ground-instances}) \iota) \subseteq$ 
    ground.GRed-I (clause.welltyped-ground-instances (concl-of  $\iota$ ))
    using ground-inference-red-in-welltyped-ground-instances-of-concl
    by auto
qed

end

context superposition-calculus
begin

sublocale
  lifting-intersection
  inferences
   $\{\{\#\}\}$ 
  select $G_s$ 
  ground-superposition-calculus.G-Inf ( $\prec_t G$ )
   $\lambda\cdot$ . ground-superposition-calculus.G-entails
  ground-superposition-calculus.GRed-I ( $\prec_t G$ )
   $\lambda\cdot$ . ground-superposition-calculus.GRed-F ( $\prec_t G$ )
   $\perp_F$ 
   $\lambda\cdot$ . clause.welltyped-ground-instances
   $\lambda \text{select}_G$ . Some  $\circ$  (grounded-superposition-calculus.inference-ground-instances
  ( $\prec_t$ ) select $G$   $\mathcal{F}$ )
  typed-tiebreakers
proof(unfold-locales; (intro ballI)?)
  show select $G_s$   $\neq \{\}$ 
  using select $G$ -simple
  unfolding select $G_s$ -def
  by blast
next
fix select $G$ 
assume select $G$   $\in$  select $G_s$ 

```

```

then interpret grounded-superposition-calculus
  where  $select_G = select_G$ 
  by unfold-locales (simp add: select_Gs-def)

show consequence-relation ground.G-Bot ground.G-entails
  using ground.consequence-relation-axioms.

show tiebreaker-lifting
   $\perp_F$ 
  inferences
  ground.G-Bot
  ground.G-entails
  ground.G-Inf
  ground.GRed-I
  ground.GRed-F
  clause.welltyped-ground-instances
  (Some  $\circ$  inference-ground-instances)
  typed-tiebreakers
  by unfold-locales
qed

end

end
theory Superposition-Welltypedness-Preservation
  imports Superposition
begin

context superposition-calculus
begin

lemma eq-resolution-preserves-typing:
  assumes eq-resolution (D, V) (C, V)
  shows clause.is-welltyped V D  $\longleftrightarrow$  clause.is-welltyped V C
  using assms
  by (cases (D, V) (C, V) rule: eq-resolution.cases) auto

lemma eq-factoring-preserves-typing:
  assumes eq-factoring (D, V) (C, V)
  shows clause.is-welltyped V D  $\longleftrightarrow$  clause.is-welltyped V C
  using assms
  by (cases (D, V) (C, V) rule: eq-factoring.cases) fastforce

lemma superposition-preserves-typing-C:
  assumes
    superposition: superposition (D, V2) (E, V1) (C, V3) and
    D-is-welltyped: clause.is-welltyped V2 D and
    E-is-welltyped: clause.is-welltyped V1 E
  shows clause.is-welltyped V3 C

```

```

using superposition
proof (cases ( $D, \mathcal{V}_2$ ) ( $E, \mathcal{V}_1$ ) ( $C, \mathcal{V}_3$ ) rule: superposition.cases)
  case (superpositionI  $\varrho_1 \varrho_2 l_1 E' l_2 D' \mathcal{P} c_1 t_1 t_1' t_2 t_2' \mu$ )

  then have welltyped- $\mu$ :
    term.subst.is-welltyped-on (clause.vars ( $E \cdot \varrho_1$ )  $\cup$  clause.vars ( $D \cdot \varrho_2$ ))  $\mathcal{V}_3 \mu$ 
    by meson

  have clause.is-welltyped  $\mathcal{V}_3$  ( $E \cdot \varrho_1$ )
    using E-is-welltyped clause.is-welltyped.typed-renaming[OF superpositionI(3,
13)]
    by blast

  then have  $E\mu$ -is-welltyped: clause.is-welltyped  $\mathcal{V}_3$  ( $E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \mu$ )
    using welltyped- $\mu$ 
    by simp

  have clause.is-welltyped  $\mathcal{V}_3$  ( $D \cdot \varrho_2$ )
    using D-is-welltyped clause.is-welltyped.typed-renaming[OF superpositionI(4,
14)]
    by blast

  then have  $D\mu$ -is-welltyped: clause.is-welltyped  $\mathcal{V}_3$  ( $D \cdot \varrho_2 \odot \mu$ )
    using welltyped- $\mu$ 
    by simp

  have imgu:  $t_1 \cdot t \varrho_1 \odot \mu = t_2 \cdot t \varrho_2 \odot \mu$ 
    using superpositionI(12) term.is-imgu-unifies-pair
    by auto

  from literal-cases[OF superpositionI(8)]  $E\mu$ -is-welltyped  $D\mu$ -is-welltyped imgu
  show ?thesis
    unfolding superpositionI
    by cases auto
qed

lemma superposition-preserves-typing-D:
  assumes
    superposition: superposition ( $D, \mathcal{V}_2$ ) ( $E, \mathcal{V}_1$ ) ( $C, \mathcal{V}_3$ ) and
    C-is-welltyped: clause.is-welltyped  $\mathcal{V}_3$   $C$ 
  shows clause.is-welltyped  $\mathcal{V}_2$   $D$ 
  using superposition
proof (cases ( $D, \mathcal{V}_2$ ) ( $E, \mathcal{V}_1$ ) ( $C, \mathcal{V}_3$ ) rule: superposition.cases)
  case (superpositionI  $\varrho_1 \varrho_2 l_1 E' l_2 D' \mathcal{P} c_1 t_1 t_1' t_2 t_2' \mu$ )

  have  $\mu$ -is-welltyped:
    term.subst.is-welltyped-on (clause.vars ( $E \cdot \varrho_1$ )  $\cup$  clause.vars ( $D \cdot \varrho_2$ ))  $\mathcal{V}_3 \mu$ 
    using superpositionI(12)
    by blast

```

show *?thesis*

proof–

have *clause.is-welltyped* \mathcal{V}_2 D'

proof–

have *clause.is-welltyped* \mathcal{V}_3 $(D' \cdot \varrho_2)$

using *C-is-welltyped* μ -*is-welltyped*

unfolding *superpositionI*

by *auto*

moreover have $\forall x \in \text{clause.vars } D'. \mathcal{V}_2 x = \mathcal{V}_3 (\text{clause.rename } \varrho_2 x)$

using *superpositionI(14)*

unfolding *superpositionI*

by *simp*

ultimately show *?thesis*

using *clause.is-welltyped.typed-renaming[OF superpositionI(4)]*

unfolding *superpositionI*

by *blast*

qed

moreover have *literal.is-welltyped* \mathcal{V}_2 l_2

proof–

have $\mathcal{V}_2 \cdot \mathcal{V}_3: \forall x \in \text{literal.vars } l_2. \mathcal{V}_2 x = \mathcal{V}_3 (\text{clause.rename } \varrho_2 x)$

using *superpositionI(14)*

unfolding *superpositionI*

by *auto*

have *literal.is-welltyped* \mathcal{V}_3 $(l_2 \cdot l \varrho_2)$

proof–

obtain τ **where** $\tau: \text{welltyped } \mathcal{V}_3 (t_2 \cdot t \varrho_2) \tau$

using *superpositionI(12)*

by *force*

moreover obtain τ' **where** $\tau': \text{welltyped } \mathcal{V}_3 (t_2' \cdot t \varrho_2) \tau'$

proof–

have μ -*is-welltyped: term.subst.is-welltyped-on* $(\text{term.vars } ((c_1 \cdot t_c \varrho_1) \langle t_2' \cdot t \varrho_2 \rangle)) \mathcal{V}_3 \mu$

using μ -*is-welltyped superpositionI(8)*

unfolding *superpositionI*

by *auto*

have *term.is-welltyped* $\mathcal{V}_3 ((c_1 \cdot t_c \varrho_1) \langle t_2' \cdot t \varrho_2 \rangle \cdot t \mu)$

using *C-is-welltyped superpositionI(8)*

unfolding *superpositionI*

by *auto*

then show *?thesis*
unfolding *term.welltyped.explicit-subst-stability[OF μ -is-welltyped]*
using *that term.welltyped.subterm*
by *meson*
qed

moreover have $\tau = \tau'$
proof–
have *welltyped \mathcal{V}_2 t_2 τ welltyped \mathcal{V}_2 t_2' τ'*
using
 τ τ'
superpositionI(12, 14)
term.welltyped.explicit-typed-renaming[OF superpositionI(4)]
unfolding *superpositionI*
by(*auto simp: Set.ball-Un*)

then show *?thesis*
using *superpositionI(17)*
by (*simp add: term.typed-if-welltyped*)
qed

ultimately show *?thesis*
unfolding *superpositionI*
by *auto*
qed

then show *?thesis*
using *literal.is-welltyped.typed-renaming[OF superpositionI(4) \mathcal{V}_2 - \mathcal{V}_3]*
unfolding *superpositionI*
by *simp*
qed

ultimately show *?thesis*
unfolding *superpositionI*
by *simp*
qed
qed

lemma *superposition-preserves-typing-E:*
assumes
superposition: superposition (D, \mathcal{V}_2) (E, \mathcal{V}_1) (C, \mathcal{V}_3) and
C-is-welltyped: clause.is-welltyped \mathcal{V}_3 C
shows *clause.is-welltyped \mathcal{V}_1 E*
using *superposition*
proof (*cases (D, \mathcal{V}_2) (E, \mathcal{V}_1) (C, \mathcal{V}_3) rule: superposition.cases*)
case (*superpositionI ϱ_1 ϱ_2 l_1 E' l_2 D' \mathcal{P} c_1 t_1 t_1' t_2 t_2' μ*)

have [*simp*]: $\bigwedge a \sigma. \mathcal{P} a \cdot l \sigma = \mathcal{P} (a \cdot a \sigma)$
using *superpositionI(8)*

by *auto*

have [*simp*]: $\bigwedge \mathcal{V} a. \text{literal.is-welltyped } \mathcal{V} (\mathcal{P} a) \longleftrightarrow \text{atom.is-welltyped } \mathcal{V} a$
using *superpositionI(8)*
by (*auto simp: literal-is-welltyped-iff-atm-of*)

have [*simp*]: $\bigwedge a. \text{literal.vars } (\mathcal{P} a) = \text{atom.vars } a$
using *superpositionI(8)*
by *auto*

have μ -is-welltyped:
term.subst.is-welltyped-on (*clause.vars* ($E \cdot \varrho_1$) \cup *clause.vars* ($D \cdot \varrho_2$)) $\mathcal{V}_3 \mu$
using *superpositionI(12)*
by *blast*

show ?thesis

proof –

have *clause.is-welltyped* $\mathcal{V}_1 E'$

proof –

have *clause.is-welltyped* $\mathcal{V}_3 (E' \cdot \varrho_1)$
using *C-is-welltyped* μ -is-welltyped
unfolding *superpositionI*
by *auto*

moreover have $\forall x \in \text{clause.vars } E'. \mathcal{V}_1 x = \mathcal{V}_3 (\text{clause.rename } \varrho_1 x)$
using *superpositionI(13)*
unfolding *superpositionI*
by *simp*

ultimately show ?thesis

using *clause.is-welltyped.typed-renaming[OF superpositionI(3)]*
unfolding *superpositionI*
by *blast*

qed

moreover have *literal.is-welltyped* $\mathcal{V}_1 l_1$

proof –

have \mathcal{V}_1 - \mathcal{V}_3 : $\forall x \in \text{literal.vars } l_1. \mathcal{V}_1 x = \mathcal{V}_3 (\text{clause.rename } \varrho_1 x)$
using *superpositionI(13)*
unfolding *superpositionI*
by *auto*

have *literal.is-welltyped* $\mathcal{V}_3 (\mathcal{P} (\text{Upair } (c_1 \cdot t_c \varrho_1)(t_1 \cdot t \varrho_1) (t_1' \cdot t \varrho_1)))$

proof –

have μ -is-welltyped:

term.subst.is-welltyped-on
(*clause.vars* (*add-mset* ($\mathcal{P} (\text{Upair } (c_1 \cdot t_c \varrho_1)(t_2' \cdot t \varrho_2) (t_1' \cdot t \varrho_1))$)) ($E' \cdot$


```

 $\varrho_1 + D' \cdot \varrho_2)))$ 
   $\mathcal{V}_3 \mu$ 
  using  $\mu$ -is-welltyped
  unfolding superpositionI
  by auto

  have atom.is-welltyped  $\mathcal{V}_3 (U\text{pair } (t_2' \cdot t \varrho_2) (t_1 \cdot t \varrho_1))$ 
  using
    superpositionI(12)
    superposition-preserves-typing-D[OF superposition C-is-welltyped]
    clause.is-welltyped.typed-renaming[OF superpositionI(4) superposi-
tionI(14)]
  unfolding superpositionI
  by auto

  moreover have literal.is-welltyped  $\mathcal{V}_3 (\mathcal{P} (U\text{pair } (c_1 \cdot t_c \varrho_1) (t_2' \cdot t \varrho_2) (t_1' \cdot t \varrho_1)))$ 
  using C-is-welltyped
  unfolding superpositionI clause.is-welltyped.subst-stability[OF  $\mu$ -is-welltyped]
  by simp

  ultimately show ?thesis
  by auto
qed

  then show ?thesis
  using literal.is-welltyped.typed-renaming[OF superpositionI(3)  $\mathcal{V}_1$ - $\mathcal{V}_3$ ]
  unfolding superpositionI
  by simp
qed

  ultimately show ?thesis
  unfolding superpositionI
  by simp
qed
qed

lemma superposition-preserves-typing:
  assumes superposition (D,  $\mathcal{V}_2$ ) (E,  $\mathcal{V}_1$ ) (C,  $\mathcal{V}_3$ )
  shows clause.is-welltyped  $\mathcal{V}_2 D \wedge$  clause.is-welltyped  $\mathcal{V}_1 E \iff$  clause.is-welltyped  $\mathcal{V}_3 C$ 
  using
    superposition-preserves-typing-C
    superposition-preserves-typing-D
    superposition-preserves-typing-E
    assms
  by blast

end

```

```

end
theory Superposition-Completeness
  imports
    Grounded-Superposition
    Ground-Superposition-Completeness
    Superposition-Welltypedness-Preservation

    First-Order-Clause.Nonground-Entailment
begin

```

3 Completeness

```

context grounded-superposition-calculus
begin

```

3.1 Liftings

```

lemma eq-resolution-lifting:
  fixes
     $D_G C_G :: 'f \text{ ground-atom clause}$  and
     $D C :: ('f, 'v) \text{ atom clause}$  and
     $\gamma :: ('f, 'v) \text{ subst}$ 
  defines
    [simp]:  $D_G \equiv \text{clause.to-ground } (D \cdot \gamma)$  and
    [simp]:  $C_G \equiv \text{clause.to-ground } (C \cdot \gamma)$ 
  assumes
    ground-eq-resolution:  $\text{ground.eq-resolution } D_G C_G$  and
    D-grounding:  $\text{clause.is-ground } (D \cdot \gamma)$  and
    C-grounding:  $\text{clause.is-ground } (C \cdot \gamma)$  and
    select:  $\text{clause.from-ground } (\text{select}_G D_G) = (\text{select } D) \cdot \gamma$  and
    D-is-welltyped:  $\text{clause.is-welltyped } \mathcal{V} D$  and
     $\gamma$ -is-welltyped:  $\text{term.subst.is-welltyped-on } (\text{clause.vars } D) \mathcal{V} \gamma$  and
     $\mathcal{V}$ :  $\text{infinite-variables-per-type } \mathcal{V}$ 
  obtains  $C'$ 
  where
    eq-resolution  $(D, \mathcal{V}) (C', \mathcal{V})$ 
    Infer  $[D_G] C_G \in \text{inference-ground-instances } (\text{Infer } [(D, \mathcal{V})] (C', \mathcal{V}))$ 
     $C' \cdot \gamma = C \cdot \gamma$ 
  using ground-eq-resolution
proof(cases  $D_G C_G$  rule: ground.eq-resolution.cases)
  case ground-eq-resolutionI: (eq-resolutionI  $l_G D_G' t_G$ )

  let ?selectG-empty =  $\text{select}_G D_G = \{\#\}$ 
  let ?selectG-not-empty =  $\text{select}_G D_G \neq \{\#\}$ 

  obtain  $l$  where
     $l\text{-}\gamma$ :  $l \cdot l \gamma = \text{term.from-ground } t_G \approx \text{term.from-ground } t_G$  and
     $l\text{-in-}D$ :  $l \in \# D$  and

```

l-selected: $?select_G\text{-not-empty} \implies is\text{-maximal } l \text{ (select } D)$ **and**
l- γ -selected: $?select_G\text{-not-empty} \implies is\text{-maximal } (l \cdot l \ \gamma) \text{ (select } D \cdot \gamma)$ **and**
l-is-maximal: $?select_G\text{-empty} \implies is\text{-maximal } l \ D$ **and**
l- γ -is-maximal: $?select_G\text{-empty} \implies is\text{-maximal } (l \cdot l \ \gamma) \text{ (} D \cdot \gamma)$

proof–

obtain *max-l* **where**
is-maximal max-l D **and**
is-max-in-D- γ : *is-maximal* (*max-l* $\cdot l \ \gamma$) ($D \cdot \gamma$)

proof–

have $D \neq \{\#\}$
using *ground-eq-resolutionI*(1)
by *auto*

then show *?thesis*
using *that D-grounding obtain-maximal-literal*
by *blast*

qed

moreover then have *max-l* $\in \# \ D$
unfolding *is-maximal-def*
by *blast*

moreover have *max-l* $\cdot l \ \gamma = term.from-ground \ t_G \ !\approx term.from-ground \ t_G$ **if**
?select_G-empty

proof(*rule unique-maximal-in-ground-clause[OF D-grounding is-max-in-D- γ]*)
have *ground-is-maximal* $l_G \ D_G$
using *ground-eq-resolutionI*(3) *that*
unfolding *is-maximal-def*
by *simp*

then show *is-maximal* (*term.from-ground* $t_G \ !\approx term.from-ground \ t_G$) ($D \cdot$
 γ)
using *D-grounding*
unfolding *ground-eq-resolutionI*(2)
by *simp*

qed

moreover obtain *selected-l* **where**
selected-l $\cdot l \ \gamma = term.from-ground \ t_G \ !\approx term.from-ground \ t_G$ **and**
is-maximal selected-l (*select* D)
is-maximal (*selected-l* $\cdot l \ \gamma$) (*select* $D \cdot \gamma$)

if *?select_G-not-empty*

proof–

have *is-maximal* (*term.from-ground* $t_G \ !\approx term.from-ground \ t_G$) (*select* $D \cdot$
 γ)
if *?select_G-not-empty*
using *ground-eq-resolutionI*(3) *that select*
unfolding *ground-eq-resolutionI*(2)
by *simp*

```

then show ?thesis
  using
    that
    obtain-maximal-literal[OF - select-ground-subst[OF D-grounding]]
    unique-maximal-in-ground-clause[OF select-ground-subst[OF D-grounding]]
  by (metis is-maximal-not-empty clause.magma-subst-empty)
qed

moreover then have selected-l ∈# D if ?selectG-not-empty
  by (meson that maximal-in-clause mset-subset-eqD select-subset)

ultimately show ?thesis
  using that
  by blast
qed

obtain C' where D: D = add-mset l C'
  using multi-member-split[OF l-in-D]
  by blast

obtain t t' where l: l = t !≈ t'
  using l-γ obtain-from-neg-literal-subst
  by meson

obtain μ σ where γ: γ = μ ⊙ σ and imgu: welltyped-imgu-on (clause.vars D)
  ∨ t t' μ
proof–
  have unified: t · t γ = t' · t γ
  using l-γ
  unfolding l
  by simp

moreover obtain τ where welltyped: welltyped ∨ t τ welltyped ∨ t' τ
  using D-is-welltyped
  unfolding D l
  by auto

show ?thesis
  using obtain-welltyped-imgu-on[OF unified welltyped] that
  by metis
qed

show ?thesis
proof(rule that)

  show eq-resolution: eq-resolution (D, ∨) (C' · μ, ∨)
  proof (rule eq-resolutionI, rule D, rule l, rule imgu)
    show select D = {#} ∧ is-maximal (l · l μ) (D · μ) ∨ is-maximal (l · l μ)

```

```

((select D) · μ)
  proof(cases ?selectG-empty)
    case True

      moreover have is-maximal (l · l μ) (D · μ)
      proof-
        have l · l μ ∈# D · μ
          using l-in-D
          by blast

        then show ?thesis
          using l-γ-is-maximal[OF True] is-maximal-if-grounding-is-maximal
D-grounding
          unfolding γ
          by simp
        qed

      ultimately show ?thesis
        using select
        by simp
    next
      case False

      have l · l μ ∈# select D · μ
        using l-selected[OF False] maximal-in-clause
        by blast

      then have is-maximal (l · l μ) (select D · μ)
        using
          select-ground-subst[OF D-grounding]
          l-γ-selected[OF False]
          is-maximal-if-grounding-is-maximal
        unfolding γ
        by auto

      then show ?thesis
        using select
        by blast
      qed
    qed (rule refl)

  show C' · μ · γ: C' · μ · γ = C · γ
  proof-
    have term.is-idem μ
      using imgu
      unfolding term-subst.is-imgu-iff-is-idem-and-is-mgu
      by blast

    then have μ · γ: μ ⊙ γ = γ

```

```

unfolding  $\gamma$  term-subst.is-idem-def subst-compose-assoc[symmetric]
by argo

have  $D \cdot \gamma = \text{add-mset } (l \cdot l \ \gamma) \ (C \cdot \gamma)$ 
proof–
  have clause.to-ground  $(D \cdot \gamma) = \text{clause.to-ground } (\text{add-mset } (l \cdot l \ \gamma) \ (C \cdot$ 
 $\gamma))$ 
    using ground-eq-resolutionI(1)
  unfolding ground-eq-resolutionI(2) l- $\gamma$  ground-eq-resolutionI(4)[symmetric]
  by simp

  moreover have clause.is-ground  $(\text{add-mset } (l \cdot l \ \gamma) \ (C \cdot \gamma))$ 
    using C-grounding clause.to-set-is-ground-subst[OF l-in-D D-grounding]
    by simp

  ultimately show ?thesis
    using clause.to-ground-eq[OF D-grounding]
    by blast
qed

then have  $C' \cdot \gamma = C \cdot \gamma$ 
  unfolding D
  by simp

then show ?thesis
  unfolding clause.subst-comp-subst[symmetric]  $\mu$ - $\gamma$ .
qed

show Infer [DG] CG ∈ inference-ground-instances (Infer [(D,  $\mathcal{V}$ )] (C' ·  $\mu$ ,  $\mathcal{V}$ ))
proof (rule is-inference-ground-instance-one-premise)

  show is-inference-ground-instance-one-premise (D,  $\mathcal{V}$ ) (C' ·  $\mu$ ,  $\mathcal{V}$ ) (Infer [DG] CG)  $\gamma$ 
  proof(unfold split, intro conjI; (rule D-is-welltyped refl  $\mathcal{V}$ )?)
    show inference.is-ground (Infer [D] (C' ·  $\mu$ ) ·  $\iota$   $\gamma$ )
      using D-grounding C-grounding C'- $\mu$ - $\gamma$ 
      by auto
    next
      show Infer [DG] CG = inference.to-ground (Infer [D] (C' ·  $\mu$ ) ·  $\iota$   $\gamma$ )
        using C'- $\mu$ - $\gamma$ 
        by simp
    next
      have clause.vars (C' ·  $\mu$ ) ⊆ clause.vars D
        using clause.variables-in-base-imgu imgu
        unfolding D l
        by auto

  then show term.subst.is-welltyped-on (clause.vars (C' ·  $\mu$ ))  $\mathcal{V}$   $\gamma$ 
    using D-is-welltyped  $\gamma$ -is-welltyped

```

```

      by blast
    next
      show clause.is-welltyped  $\mathcal{V}$  ( $C' \cdot \mu$ )
        using D-is-welltyped eq-resolution eq-resolution-preserves-typing
        by blast
    qed

    show Infer [ $D_G$ ]  $C_G \in \text{ground.G-Inf}$ 
      unfolding ground.G-Inf-def
      using ground-eq-resolution
      by blast
    qed
  qed
qed

lemma eq-factoring-lifting:
  fixes
     $D_G C_G :: 'f \text{ ground-atom clause}$  and
     $D C :: ('f, 'v) \text{ atom clause}$  and
     $\gamma :: ('f, 'v) \text{ subst}$ 
  defines
    [simp]:  $D_G \equiv \text{clause.to-ground } (D \cdot \gamma)$  and
    [simp]:  $C_G \equiv \text{clause.to-ground } (C \cdot \gamma)$ 
  assumes
    ground-eq-factoring:  $\text{ground.eq-factoring } D_G C_G$  and
    D-grounding:  $\text{clause.is-ground } (D \cdot \gamma)$  and
    C-grounding:  $\text{clause.is-ground } (C \cdot \gamma)$  and
    select:  $\text{clause.from-ground } (\text{select}_G D_G) = (\text{select } D) \cdot \gamma$  and
    D-is-welltyped:  $\text{clause.is-welltyped } \mathcal{V} D$  and
     $\gamma$ -is-welltyped:  $\text{term.subst.is-welltyped-on } (\text{clause.vars } D) \mathcal{V} \gamma$  and
     $\mathcal{V}$ :  $\text{infinite-variables-per-type } \mathcal{V}$ 
  obtains  $C'$ 
  where
    eq-factoring  $(D, \mathcal{V}) (C', \mathcal{V})$ 
    Infer [ $D_G$ ]  $C_G \in \text{inference-ground-instances } (\text{Infer } [(D, \mathcal{V})] (C', \mathcal{V}))$ 
     $C' \cdot \gamma = C \cdot \gamma$ 
  using ground-eq-factoring
proof(cases  $D_G C_G$  rule:  $\text{ground.eq-factoring.cases}$ )
  case ground-eq-factoringI: ( $\text{eq-factoringI } l_{G1} l_{G2} D_G' t_{G1} t_{G2} t_{G3}$ )

  have  $D \neq \{\#\}$ 
    using ground-eq-factoringI(1)
    by auto

  then obtain  $l_1$  where
     $l_1$ -is-maximal:  $\text{is-maximal } l_1 D$  and
     $l_1$ - $\gamma$ -is-maximal:  $\text{is-maximal } (l_1 \cdot l \gamma) (D \cdot \gamma)$ 
    using that obtain-maximal-literal D-grounding
    by blast

```

obtain $t_1 t_1'$ **where**

$l_1: l_1 = t_1 \approx t_1'$ **and**

$l_1\text{-}\gamma: l_1 \cdot l \gamma = \text{term.from-ground } t_{G1} \approx \text{term.from-ground } t_{G2}$ **and**

$t_1\text{-}\gamma: t_1 \cdot t \gamma = \text{term.from-ground } t_{G1}$ **and**

$t_1'\text{-}\gamma: t_1' \cdot t \gamma = \text{term.from-ground } t_{G2}$

proof –

have *is-maximal* (*literal.from-ground* l_{G1}) ($D \cdot \gamma$)

using *ground-eq-factoringI*(5) *D-grounding*

by *simp*

then have $l_1 \cdot l \gamma = \text{term.from-ground } t_{G1} \approx \text{term.from-ground } t_{G2}$

unfolding *ground-eq-factoringI*(2)

using *unique-maximal-in-ground-clause*[*OF D-grounding* $l_1\text{-}\gamma\text{-is-maximal}$]

by *simp*

then show *?thesis*

using *that*

unfolding *ground-eq-factoringI*(2)

by (*metis obtain-from-pos-literal-subst*)

qed

obtain $l_2 D'$ **where**

$l_2\text{-}\gamma: l_2 \cdot l \gamma = \text{term.from-ground } t_{G1} \approx \text{term.from-ground } t_{G3}$ **and**

$D: D = \text{add-mset } l_1 (\text{add-mset } l_2 D')$

proof –

obtain D'' **where** $D: D = \text{add-mset } l_1 D''$

using *maximal-in-clause*[*OF* $l_1\text{-is-maximal}$]

by (*meson multi-member-split*)

moreover have $D \cdot \gamma = \text{clause.from-ground } (\text{add-mset } l_{G1} (\text{add-mset } l_{G2} D_G^\frown))$

using *ground-eq-factoringI*(1) *D_G-def*

by (*metis D-grounding clause.to-ground-inverse*)

ultimately have $D'' \cdot \gamma = \text{add-mset } (\text{literal.from-ground } l_{G2}) (\text{clause.from-ground } D_G^\frown)$

using $l_1\text{-}\gamma$

by (*simp add: ground-eq-factoringI*(2))

then obtain l_2 **where** $l_2 \cdot l \gamma = \text{term.from-ground } t_{G1} \approx \text{term.from-ground } t_{G3}$ $l_2 \in \# D''$

unfolding *clause.subst-def* *ground-eq-factoringI*

using *msed-map-invR*

by *force*

then show *?thesis*

using *that*

unfolding D

by (*metis mset-add*)

qed

then obtain $t_2 t_2'$ where

$l_2: l_2 = t_2 \approx t_2'$ and
 $t_2\text{-}\gamma: t_2 \cdot t \gamma = \text{term.from-ground } t_{G1}$ and
 $t_2'\text{-}\gamma: t_2' \cdot t \gamma = \text{term.from-ground } t_{G3}$
unfolding *ground-eq-factoringI*(3)
using *obtain-from-pos-literal-subst*
by *metis*

have $D'\text{-}\gamma: D' \cdot \gamma = \text{clause.from-ground } D_{G'}$
using *D D-grounding ground-eq-factoringI*(1,2,3) $l_1\text{-}\gamma l_2\text{-}\gamma$
by *force*

obtain $\mu \sigma$ where $\gamma: \gamma = \mu \odot \sigma$ and *imgu: welltyped-imgu-on* (*clause.vars D*)
 $\mathcal{V} t_1 t_2 \mu$

proof–

have *unified*: $t_1 \cdot t \gamma = t_2 \cdot t \gamma$
unfolding $t_1\text{-}\gamma t_2\text{-}\gamma$..

then obtain τ where *welltyped* $\mathcal{V} (t_1 \cdot t \gamma) \tau$ *welltyped* $\mathcal{V} (t_2 \cdot t \gamma) \tau$
using *D-is-welltyped* *γ-is-welltyped*
unfolding $D l_1 l_2$
by *auto*

then have *welltyped*: *welltyped* $\mathcal{V} t_1 \tau$ *welltyped* $\mathcal{V} t_2 \tau$
using *γ-is-welltyped*
unfolding $D l_1 l_2$
by *simp-all*

then show *?thesis*
using *obtain-welltyped-imgu-on*[*OF unified welltyped*] *that*
by *metis*

qed

let $?C'' = \text{add-mset } (t_1 \approx t_2') (\text{add-mset } (t_1' \not\approx t_2') D')$
let $?C' = ?C'' \cdot \mu$

show *?thesis*
proof(*rule that*)

show *eq-factoring*: *eq-factoring* (D, \mathcal{V}) ($?C', \mathcal{V}$)
proof (*rule eq-factoringI*; (*rule D l_1 l_2 imgu refl*)?)
show *select* $D = \{\#\}$
using *ground-eq-factoringI*(4) *select*
by *simp*
next
have $l_1 \cdot l \mu \in \# D \cdot \mu$
using *l_1-is-maximal clause.subst-in-to-set-subst maximal-in-clause*

```

    by blast

  then show is-maximal ( $l_1 \cdot l \mu$ ) ( $D \cdot \mu$ )
    using is-maximal-if-grounding-is-maximal D-grounding l1- $\gamma$ -is-maximal
    unfolding  $\gamma$ 
    by auto
next
  have groundings: term.is-ground ( $t_1' \cdot t \mu \cdot t \sigma$ ) term.is-ground ( $t_1 \cdot t \mu \cdot t \sigma$ )
    using  $t_1'-\gamma$   $t_1-\gamma$ 
    unfolding  $\gamma$ 
    by simp-all

  have  $t_1' \cdot t \gamma \prec_t t_1 \cdot t \gamma$ 
    using ground-eq-factoringI(6)
    unfolding  $t_1'-\gamma$   $t_1-\gamma$  term.order.lessG-def.

  then show  $\neg t_1 \cdot t \mu \preceq_t t_1' \cdot t \mu$ 
    unfolding  $\gamma$ 
    using term.order.ground-less-not-less-eq[OF groundings]
    by simp
qed

show  $C'-\gamma: ?C' \cdot \gamma = C \cdot \gamma$ 
proof-
  have term.is-idem  $\mu$ 
    using imgu
    unfolding term-subst.is-imgu-iff-is-idem-and-is-mgu
    by blast

  then have  $\mu-\gamma: \mu \odot \gamma = \gamma$ 
    unfolding  $\gamma$  term-subst.is-idem-def subst-compose-assoc[symmetric]
    by argo

  have  $C \cdot \gamma = \text{clause.from-ground } (\text{add-mset } (t_{G2} \approx t_{G3}) (\text{add-mset } (t_{G1} \approx t_{G3}) D_G'))$ 
    using ground-eq-factoringI( $\gamma$ ) clause.to-ground-eq[OF C-grounding clause.ground-is-ground]
    unfolding  $C_G\text{-def}$ 
    by (metis clause.from-ground-inverse)

  also have ... =  $?C'' \cdot \gamma$ 
    using  $t_1-\gamma$   $t_1'-\gamma$   $t_2'-\gamma$   $D'-\gamma$ 
    by simp

  also have ... =  $?C' \cdot \gamma$ 
    unfolding clause.subst-comp-subst[symmetric]  $\mu-\gamma$  ..

  finally show ?thesis ..
qed

```

```

show  $\text{Infer } [D_G] C_G \in \text{inference-ground-instances } (\text{Infer } [(D, \mathcal{V})] (?C', \mathcal{V}))$ 
proof (rule is-inference-ground-instance-one-premise)

  show is-inference-ground-instance-one-premise  $(D, \mathcal{V}) (?C', \mathcal{V}) (\text{Infer } [D_G]$ 
 $C_G) \gamma$ 
proof(unfold split, intro conjI; (rule D-is-welltyped refl  $\mathcal{V}$ )?)
  show inference.is-ground  $(\text{Infer } [D] ?C' \cdot \iota \gamma)$ 
    using C-grounding D-grounding C'- $\gamma$ 
    by auto
next
  show  $\text{Infer } [D_G] C_G = \text{inference.to-ground } (\text{Infer } [D] ?C' \cdot \iota \gamma)$ 
    using C'- $\gamma$ 
    by simp
next
  have imgu: term.is-imgu  $\mu \{\{t_1, t_2\}\}$ 
    using imgu
    by blast

  have clause.vars  $?C' \subseteq \text{clause.vars } D$ 
    using clause.variables-in-base-imgu[OF imgu, of ?C'']
    unfolding  $D \ l_1 \ l_2$ 
    by auto

  then show term.subst.is-welltyped-on  $(\text{clause.vars } ?C') \mathcal{V} \ \gamma$ 
    using D-is-welltyped  $\gamma$ -is-welltyped
    by blast
next
  show clause.is-welltyped  $\mathcal{V} \ ?C'$ 
    using D-is-welltyped eq-factoring eq-factoring-preserves-typing
    by blast
qed

  show  $\text{Infer } [D_G] C_G \in \text{ground.G-Inf}$ 
    unfolding ground.G-Inf-def
    using ground-eq-factoring
    by blast
qed
qed
qed

lemma superposition-lifting:
fixes
   $E \ D \ C \ C_G :: \text{'f ground-atom clause and}$ 
   $E \ D \ C :: (\text{'f, 'v} \text{ atom clause and}$ 
   $\gamma \ \varrho_1 \ \varrho_2 :: (\text{'f, 'v} \text{ subst and}$ 
   $\mathcal{V}_1 \ \mathcal{V}_2 :: (\text{'v, 'ty} \text{ var-types}$ 
defines
  [simp]:  $E_G \equiv \text{clause.to-ground } (E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \gamma)$  and
  [simp]:  $D_G \equiv \text{clause.to-ground } (D \cdot \varrho_2 \odot \gamma)$  and

```

[simp]: $C_G \equiv \text{clause.to-ground } (C \cdot \gamma)$ **and**
[simp]: $N_G \equiv \text{clause.welltyped-ground-instances } (E, \mathcal{V}_1) \cup$
 $\text{clause.welltyped-ground-instances } (D, \mathcal{V}_2)$ **and**
[simp]: $\iota_G \equiv \text{Infer } [D_G, E_G] C_G$

assumes

ground-superposition: $\text{ground.superposition } D_G E_G C_G$ **and**
 ϱ_1 : *term-subst.is-renaming* ϱ_1 **and**
 ϱ_2 : *term-subst.is-renaming* ϱ_2 **and**
rename-apart: $\text{clause.vars } (E \cdot \varrho_1) \cap \text{clause.vars } (D \cdot \varrho_2) = \{\}$ **and**
E-grounding: $\text{clause.is-ground } (E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \gamma)$ **and**
D-grounding: $\text{clause.is-ground } (D \cdot \varrho_2 \odot \gamma)$ **and**
C-grounding: $\text{clause.is-ground } (C \cdot \gamma)$ **and**
select-from-E: $\text{clause.from-ground } (\text{select}_G E_G) = (\text{select } E) \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \gamma$ **and**
select-from-D: $\text{clause.from-ground } (\text{select}_G D_G) = (\text{select } D) \cdot \varrho_2 \odot \gamma$ **and**
E-is-welltyped: $\text{clause.is-welltyped } \mathcal{V}_1 E$ **and**
D-is-welltyped: $\text{clause.is-welltyped } \mathcal{V}_2 D$ **and**
 ϱ_1 - γ -*is-welltyped*: $\text{term.subst.is-welltyped-on } (\text{clause.vars } E) \mathcal{V}_1 (\varrho_1 \odot \gamma)$ **and**
 ϱ_2 - γ -*is-welltyped*: $\text{term.subst.is-welltyped-on } (\text{clause.vars } D) \mathcal{V}_2 (\varrho_2 \odot \gamma)$ **and**
 ϱ_1 -*is-welltyped*: $\text{term.subst.is-welltyped-on } (\text{clause.vars } E) \mathcal{V}_1 \varrho_1$ **and**
 ϱ_2 -*is-welltyped*: $\text{term.subst.is-welltyped-on } (\text{clause.vars } D) \mathcal{V}_2 \varrho_2$ **and**
 \mathcal{V}_1 : *infinite-variables-per-type* \mathcal{V}_1 **and**
 \mathcal{V}_2 : *infinite-variables-per-type* \mathcal{V}_2 **and**
not-redundant: $\iota_G \notin \text{ground.Red-I } N_G$

obtains $C' \mathcal{V}_3$

where

superposition $(D, \mathcal{V}_2) (E, \mathcal{V}_1) (C', \mathcal{V}_3)$
 $\iota_G \in \text{inference-ground-instances } (\text{Infer } [(D, \mathcal{V}_2), (E, \mathcal{V}_1)] (C', \mathcal{V}_3))$
 $C' \cdot \gamma = C \cdot \gamma$

using *ground-superposition*

proof(*cases* $D_G E_G C_G$ *rule*: *ground.superposition.cases*)

case *ground-superpositionI*: (*superpositionI* $l_{G1} E_G' l_{G2} D_G' \mathcal{P}_G c_G t_{G1} t_{G2} t_{G3}$)

have $E\text{-}\gamma$: $E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \gamma = \text{clause.from-ground } (\text{add-mset } l_{G1} E_G')$

using *ground-superpositionI*(1)

unfolding $E_G\text{-def}$

by (*metis* $E\text{-grounding clause.to-ground-inverse}$)

have $D\text{-}\gamma$: $D \cdot \varrho_2 \odot \gamma = \text{clause.from-ground } (\text{add-mset } l_{G2} D_G')$

using *ground-superpositionI*(2) $D_G\text{-def}$

by (*metis* $D\text{-grounding clause.to-ground-inverse}$)

let $?select_G\text{-empty} = \text{select}_G (\text{clause.to-ground } (E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \gamma)) = \{\#\}$

let $?select_G\text{-not-empty} = \text{select}_G (\text{clause.to-ground } (E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \gamma)) \neq \{\#\}$

obtain l_1 **where**

$l_1\text{-}\gamma$: $l_1 \cdot l \varrho_1 \odot \gamma = \text{literal.from-ground } l_{G1}$ **and**

$l_1\text{-is-strictly-maximal}$: $\mathcal{P}_G = \text{Pos} \implies \text{is-strictly-maximal } l_1 E$ **and**

$l_1\text{-is-maximal}$: $\mathcal{P}_G = \text{Neg} \implies ?select_G\text{-empty} \implies \text{is-maximal } l_1 E$ **and**

$l_1\text{-selected}$: $\mathcal{P}_G = \text{Neg} \implies ?select_G\text{-not-empty} \implies \text{is-maximal } l_1 (\text{select } E)$ **and**

l_1 -in- E : $l_1 \in \# E$
proof –

have E -not-empty: $E \neq \{\#\}$
using *ground-superpositionI(1)*
by *auto*

have *is-strictly-maximal (literal.from-ground l_{G1}) ($E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \gamma$) if $\mathcal{P}_G = Pos$*
using *ground-superpositionI(9) that E-grounding*
by *simp*

then obtain *positive- l_1 where*
is-strictly-maximal positive- $l_1 E$
positive- $l_1 \cdot l \varrho_1 \odot \gamma = literal.from-ground l_{G1}$
if $\mathcal{P}_G = Pos$
using *obtain-strictly-maximal-literal[OF E-grounding]*
by *metis*

moreover then have *positive- $l_1 \in \# E$ if $\mathcal{P}_G = Pos$*
using *that strictly-maximal-in-clause*
by *blast*

moreover then have *is-maximal (literal.from-ground l_{G1}) ($E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \gamma$) if*
?select $_G$ -empty
using *that ground-superpositionI(9) is-maximal-not-empty E-grounding*
by *auto*

then obtain *negative-maximal- l_1 where*
is-maximal negative-maximal- $l_1 E$
negative-maximal- $l_1 \cdot l \varrho_1 \odot \gamma = literal.from-ground l_{G1}$
if $\mathcal{P}_G = Neg$ *?select $_G$ -empty*
using
obtain-maximal-literal[OF E-not-empty E-grounding[folded clause.subst-comp-subst]]
unique-maximal-in-ground-clause[OF E-grounding[folded clause.subst-comp-subst]]
by *metis*

moreover then have *negative-maximal- $l_1 \in \# E$ if $\mathcal{P}_G = Neg$?select $_G$ -empty*
using *that maximal-in-clause*
by *blast*

moreover have *ground-is-maximal l_{G1} (select $_G E_G$) if $\mathcal{P}_G = Neg$?select $_G$ -not-empty*
using *ground-superpositionI(9) that*
by *simp*

then obtain *negative-selected- l_1 where*
is-maximal negative-selected- l_1 (select E)
negative-selected- $l_1 \cdot l \varrho_1 \odot \gamma = literal.from-ground l_{G1}$
if $\mathcal{P}_G = Neg$ *?select $_G$ -not-empty*
using

select-from-E
unique-maximal-in-ground-clause
obtain-maximal-literal
unfolding E_G -def
 by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *clause.ground-is-ground* *clause.from-ground-empty'*
clause.magma-subst-empty)

moreover then have $\text{negative-selected-}l_1 \in \# E$ if $\mathcal{P}_G = \text{Neg } ?\text{select}_G\text{-not-empty}$
using that
 by (*meson* *maximal-in-clause* *mset-subset-eqD* *select-subset*)

ultimately show *?thesis*
using that *ground-superpositionI(9)*
 by (*metis* *literals-distinct(1)*)

qed

obtain E' where $E: E = \text{add-mset } l_1 E'$
 by (*meson* *l₁-in-E* *multi-member-split*)

then have $E' \cdot \gamma: E' \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \gamma = \text{clause.from-ground } E_G'$
using $l_1 \cdot \gamma$ $E \cdot \gamma$
 by *auto*

let $?P = \text{if } \mathcal{P}_G = \text{Pos} \text{ then Pos else Neg}$

have [*simp*]: $\mathcal{P}_G \neq \text{Pos} \iff \mathcal{P}_G = \text{Neg } \mathcal{P}_G \neq \text{Neg} \iff \mathcal{P}_G = \text{Pos}$
using *ground-superpositionI(4)*
 by *auto*

have [*simp*]: $\bigwedge a \sigma. ?P a \cdot l \sigma = ?P (a \cdot a \sigma)$
 by *auto*

have [*simp*]: $\bigwedge \mathcal{V} a. \text{literal.is-welltyped } \mathcal{V} (?P a) \iff \text{atom.is-welltyped } \mathcal{V} a$
 by (*auto simp: literal-is-welltyped-iff-atm-of*)

have [*simp*]: $\bigwedge a. \text{literal.vars } (?P a) = \text{atom.vars } a$
 by *auto*

have $l_1 \cdot \gamma$:
 $l_1 \cdot l \varrho_1 \odot \gamma = ?P (\text{Upair } (\text{context.from-ground } c_G) (\text{term.from-ground } t_{G_1})$
 (*term.from-ground } t_{G_2}))
unfolding *ground-superpositionI* $l_1 \cdot \gamma$
 by *simp**

obtain $c_1 t_1 t_1'$ where
 $l_1: l_1 = ?P (\text{Upair } c_1 \langle t_1 \rangle t_1')$ **and**
 $t_1' \cdot \gamma: t_1' \cdot t \varrho_1 \odot \gamma = \text{term.from-ground } t_{G_2}$ **and**
 $t_1 \cdot \gamma: t_1 \cdot t \varrho_1 \odot \gamma = \text{term.from-ground } t_{G_1}$ **and**
 $c_1 \cdot \gamma: c_1 \cdot t_c \varrho_1 \odot \gamma = \text{context.from-ground } c_G$ **and**

t_1 -is-Fun: is-Fun t_1
proof –

obtain c_1 - t_1 t_1' **where**
 l_1 : $l_1 = ?P$ (Upair c_1 - t_1 t_1') **and**
 t_1' - γ : $t_1' \cdot t \varrho_1 \odot \gamma = \text{term.from-ground } t_{G2}$ **and**
 c_1 - t_1 - γ : c_1 - $t_1 \cdot t \varrho_1 \odot \gamma = (\text{context.from-ground } c_G)(\text{term.from-ground } t_{G1})$
using l_1 - γ
by (smt (verit) obtain-from-literal-subst)

let ?inference-into-Fun =
 $\exists c_1 t_1.$
 c_1 - $t_1 = c_1 \langle t_1 \rangle \wedge$
 $t_1 \cdot t \varrho_1 \odot \gamma = \text{term.from-ground } t_{G1} \wedge$
 $c_1 \cdot t_c \varrho_1 \odot \gamma = \text{context.from-ground } c_G \wedge$
 is-Fun t_1

have \neg ?inference-into-Fun \implies ground.redundant-infer $N_G \iota_G$
proof –
assume \neg ?inference-into-Fun

with c_1 - t_1 - γ
obtain t_1 c_1 c_G' **where**
 c_1 - t_1 : c_1 - $t_1 = c_1 \langle t_1 \rangle$ **and**
 t_1 -is-Var: is-Var t_1 **and**
 c_G : $c_G = \text{context.to-ground } (c_1 \cdot t_c \varrho_1 \odot \gamma) \circ_c c_G'$
proof(induction c_1 - t_1 arbitrary: c_G thesis)
case (Var x)

show ?case
proof(rule Var.prem)

show Var $x = \square \langle \text{Var } x \rangle$
by simp

show is-Var (Var x)
by simp

show $c_G = \text{context.to-ground } (\square \cdot t_c \varrho_1 \odot \gamma) \circ_c c_G$
by (simp add: context.to-ground-def)

qed

next
case (Fun f ts)

have $c_G \neq \square$
using Fun.prem(2,3)
unfolding context.from-ground-def
by (metis actxt.simps(8) intp-actxt.simps(1) is-FunI)

then obtain ts_{G1} c_G' ts_{G2} **where**

$c_G: c_G = \text{More } f \text{ } ts_{G1} \text{ } c_G' \text{ } ts_{G2}$
using *Fun.prem*s
by (*cases* c_G) (*auto simp: context.from-ground-def*)

have
 $\text{map } (\lambda t. t \cdot t \varrho_1 \odot \gamma) \text{ } ts =$
 $\text{map } \text{term.from-ground } ts_{G1} \text{ } @ \text{ } (\text{context.from-ground } c_G') \langle \text{term.from-ground}$
 $t_{G1} \rangle \#$
 $\text{map } \text{term.from-ground } ts_{G2}$
using *Fun(3)*
unfolding c_G *context.from-ground-def*
by *simp*

moreover then obtain $ts_1 \text{ } t \text{ } ts_2$ **where**
 $ts: ts = ts_1 \text{ } @ \text{ } t \text{ } \# \text{ } ts_2$ **and**
 $ts_1\text{-}\gamma: \text{map } (\lambda \text{term. term } \cdot t \varrho_1 \odot \gamma) \text{ } ts_1 = \text{map } \text{term.from-ground } ts_{G1}$ **and**
 $ts_2\text{-}\gamma: \text{map } (\lambda \text{term. term } \cdot t \varrho_1 \odot \gamma) \text{ } ts_2 = \text{map } \text{term.from-ground } ts_{G2}$
by (*smt append-eq-map-conv map-eq-Cons-D*)

ultimately have $t\text{-}\gamma: t \cdot t \varrho_1 \odot \gamma = (\text{context.from-ground } c_G') \langle \text{term.from-ground}$
 $t_{G1} \rangle$
by *simp*

obtain $t_1 \text{ } c_1 \text{ } c_G''$ **where**
 $t = c_1 \langle t_1 \rangle$ **and**
 $\text{is-Var } t_1$ **and**
 $c_G' = \text{context.to-ground } (c_1 \cdot t_c \varrho_1 \odot \gamma) \circ_c c_G''$

proof–
have $t \in \text{set } ts$
by (*simp add: ts*)

moreover have
 $\nexists c_1 \text{ } t_1. t = c_1 \langle t_1 \rangle \wedge$
 $t_1 \cdot t \varrho_1 \odot \gamma = \text{term.from-ground } t_{G1} \wedge$
 $c_1 \cdot t_c \varrho_1 \odot \gamma = \text{context.from-ground } c_G' \wedge$
 $\text{is-Fun } t_1$

proof(*rule notI, elim exE conjE*)
fix $c_1 \text{ } t_1$
assume
 $t = c_1 \langle t_1 \rangle$
 $t_1 \cdot t \varrho_1 \odot \gamma = \text{term.from-ground } t_{G1}$
 $c_1 \cdot t_c \varrho_1 \odot \gamma = \text{context.from-ground } c_G'$
 $\text{is-Fun } t_1$

moreover then have
 $\text{Fun } f \text{ } ts = (\text{More } f \text{ } ts_1 \text{ } c_1 \text{ } ts_2) \langle t_1 \rangle$
 $(\text{More } f \text{ } ts_1 \text{ } c_1 \text{ } ts_2) \cdot t_c \varrho_1 \odot \gamma = \text{context.from-ground } c_G$
unfolding *context.from-ground-def* c_G ts
using $ts_1\text{-}\gamma \text{ } ts_2\text{-}\gamma$

by *auto*

ultimately show *False*
using *Fun.premis(3)*
by *blast*
qed

ultimately show *?thesis*
using *Fun(1) t-γ that*
by *blast*
qed

moreover then have
 $Fun\ f\ ts = (More\ f\ ts_1\ c_1\ ts_2)\langle t_1 \rangle$
 $c_G = context.to-ground\ (More\ f\ ts_1\ c_1\ ts_2\ \cdot t_c\ \varrho_1\ \odot\ \gamma)\ \circ_c\ c_G''$
using *ts₁-γ ts₂-γ*
unfolding *context.to-ground-def c_G ts*
by *auto*

ultimately show *?case*
using *Fun.premis(1)*
by *blast*
qed

obtain *x where* $t_1\ \cdot\ \varrho_1: t_1\ \cdot\ t\ \varrho_1 = Var\ x$
using *t₁-is-Var term.id-subst-rewrite[OF ρ₁]*
unfolding *is-Var-def*
by *auto*

have *ι_G-parts:*
 $set\ (side-prems-of\ \iota_G) = \{D_G\}$
 $main-prem-of\ \iota_G = E_G$
 $concl-of\ \iota_G = C_G$
by *simp-all*

show *?thesis*
proof(*rule ground.redundant-infer-singleton, unfold ι_G-parts, intro beXI conjI*)

let $?t_G = (context.from-ground\ c_G)\langle term.from-ground\ t_{G3} \rangle$

define *γ' where*
 $\gamma' \equiv \gamma(x := ?t_G)$

let $?E_{G'} = clause.to-ground\ (E\ \cdot\ \varrho_1\ \odot\ \gamma')$

have $t_1\ \cdot\ \gamma: t_1\ \cdot\ t\ \varrho_1\ \odot\ \gamma = (context.from-ground\ c_G)\langle term.from-ground\ t_{G1} \rangle$
proof –

have *context.is-ground (c₁ · t_c ρ₁ ⊙ γ)*

```

using  $c_1-t_1-\gamma$ 
unfolding  $c_1-t_1$  context.safe-unfolds
by (metis context.ground-is-ground context.term-with-context-is-ground
      term.ground-is-ground)

then show ?thesis
  using  $c_1-t_1-\gamma$ 
  unfolding  $c_1-t_1$   $c_1-t_1-\gamma$   $c_G$ 
  by auto
qed

have  $t_1-\gamma'$ :  $t_1 \cdot t \varrho_1 \odot \gamma' = (\text{context.from-ground } c_G')(\text{term.from-ground } t_{G3})$ 
  unfolding  $\gamma'$ -def
  using  $t_1-\varrho_1$ 
  by simp

show  $?E_{G'} \in N_G$ 
proof-

  have  $?E_{G'} \in \text{clause.welltyped-ground-instances } (E, \mathcal{V}_1)$ 
  proof(unfold clause.welltyped-ground-instances-def mem-Collect-eq fst-conv
snd-conv,
        intro exI conjI E-is-welltyped  $\mathcal{V}_1$ ,
        rule refl)

  show clause.is-ground  $(E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \gamma')$ 
    unfolding  $\gamma'$ -def
    using E-grounding
    by simp

  show term.subst.is-welltyped-on (clause.vars  $E$ )  $\mathcal{V}_1$   $(\varrho_1 \odot \gamma')$ 
  proof(intro term.welltyped.typed-subst-compose  $\varrho_1$ -is-welltyped)

  have welltyped  $\mathcal{V}_1$   $?t_G$   $(\mathcal{V}_1 x)$ 
  proof-

    have welltyped  $\mathcal{V}_1$   $(\text{context.from-ground } c_G')(\text{term.from-ground } t_{G1})$ 
    proof-

      have welltyped  $\mathcal{V}_1$   $(t_1 \cdot t \varrho_1)$   $(\mathcal{V}_1 x)$ 
        using  $t_1-\varrho_1$ 
        by auto

      then have welltyped  $\mathcal{V}_1$   $(t_1 \cdot t \varrho_1 \odot \gamma)$   $(\mathcal{V}_1 x)$ 
        using  $\varrho_1$ -is-welltyped  $\varrho_1-\gamma$ -is-welltyped
        unfolding  $E$   $c_1-t_1$   $l_1$  subst-compose-def
        by simp

```

```

moreover have context.is-ground (  $c_1 \cdot t_c \varrho_1 \odot \gamma$  )
  using  $c_1 \cdot t_1 \cdot \gamma$ 
  unfolding  $c_1 \cdot t_1$  context.safe-unfolds
by ( metis context.ground-is-ground context.term-with-context-is-ground
      term.ground-is-ground )

then have  $t_1 \cdot t \varrho_1 \odot \gamma = ( \text{context.from-ground } c_G' ) ( \text{term.from-ground } t_{G1} )$ 
  using  $c_1 \cdot t_1 \cdot \gamma$ 
  unfolding  $c_1 \cdot t_1$   $c_1 \cdot t_1 \cdot \gamma$   $c_G$ 
  by auto

ultimately show ?thesis
  by argo
qed

moreover obtain  $\tau$  where
  welltyped  $\mathcal{V}_1$  ( term.from-ground  $t_{G1}$  )  $\tau$ 
  welltyped  $\mathcal{V}_1$  ( term.from-ground  $t_{G3}$  )  $\tau$ 
proof–
  have clause.is-welltyped  $\mathcal{V}_2$  ( clause.from-ground  $D_G$  )
  using D-is-welltyped
  unfolding
     $D_G$ -def
    clause.to-ground-inverse[OF D-grounding]
    clause.is-welltyped.subst-stability[OF  $\varrho_2 \cdot \gamma$ -is-welltyped].

then obtain  $\tau$  where
  welltyped  $\mathcal{V}_2$  ( term.from-ground  $t_{G1}$  )  $\tau$ 
  welltyped  $\mathcal{V}_2$  ( term.from-ground  $t_{G3}$  )  $\tau$ 
  unfolding ground-superpositionI
  by auto

then show ?thesis
  using that term.welltyped.explicit-replace-V-iff[of -  $\mathcal{V}_2$   $\mathcal{V}_1$ ]
  by simp
qed

ultimately show ?thesis
  by auto
qed

moreover have term.subst.is-welltyped-on (  $\bigcup$  ( term.vars ‘  $\varrho_1$  ‘
  clause.vars  $E$  ) )  $\mathcal{V}_1$   $\gamma$ 
  by ( intro term.welltyped.renaming-subst-compose  $\varrho_1 \cdot \gamma$ -is-welltyped
   $\varrho_1$ -is-welltyped  $\varrho_1$  )

ultimately show
  term.subst.is-welltyped-on (  $\bigcup$  ( term.vars ‘  $\varrho_1$  ‘ clause.vars  $E$  ) )  $\mathcal{V}_1$   $\gamma'$ 

```

```

      unfolding  $\gamma'$ -def
      by simp
    qed
  qed

  then show ?thesis
    by simp
  qed

  show ground.G-entails  $\{?E_G', D_G\} \{C_G\}$ 
  proof (unfold ground.G-entails-def, intro allI impI)
    fix I :: 'f gterm rel
    let ?I = upair ' I

    assume
      refl-I: refl I and
      trans-I: trans I and
      sym-I: sym I and
      compatible-with-gctxt-I: compatible-with-gctxt I and
      premise: ?I  $\models_s \{?E_G', D_G\}$ 

    then interpret clause-entailment I
      by unfold-locales

    have  $\gamma$ -x-is-ground: term.is-ground ( $\gamma$  x)
      using  $t_1$ - $\gamma$   $t_1$ - $\varrho_1$ 
      by auto

    show ?I  $\models_s \{C_G\}$ 
    proof (cases ?I  $\models D_G'$ )
      case True

        then show ?thesis
          unfolding ground-superpositionI
          by auto
      next
      case False

        then have  $t_{G_1}$ - $t_{G_3}$ : Upair  $t_{G_1} t_{G_3} \in ?I$ 
          using premise sym
          unfolding ground-superpositionI
          by auto

        have ?I  $\models_l c_G'(t_{G_1})_G \approx c_G'(t_{G_3})_G$ 
          using upair-compatible-with-gctxtI[OF  $t_{G_1}$ - $t_{G_3}$ ]
          by auto

        then have ?I  $\models_l$  term.to-ground ( $t_1 \cdot t \varrho_1 \odot \gamma$ )  $\approx$  term.to-ground ( $t_1 \cdot t$ 
 $\varrho_1 \odot \gamma'$ )

```

```

unfolding  $t_1\text{-}\gamma$   $t_1\text{-}\gamma'$ 
by simp

then have (term.to-ground ( $\gamma$   $x$ ),  $c_G'\langle t_{G3}\rangle_G$ )  $\in I$ 
unfolding  $\gamma'\text{-def}$ 
using  $t_1\text{-}\varrho_1$ 
by (auto simp: sym)

moreover have  $?I \models ?E_G'$ 
using premise
by simp

ultimately have  $?I \models E_G$ 
unfolding  $\gamma'\text{-def}$ 
using
  clause.symmetric-congruence[of -  $\gamma$ , OF -  $\gamma\text{-is-ground}$ ]
  E-grounding
by simp

then have  $?I \models \text{add-mset } (\mathcal{P}_G (\text{Upair } c_G\langle t_{G3}\rangle_G t_{G2})) E_G'$ 
unfolding ground-superpositionI
using symmetric-literal-context-congruence[OF  $t_{G1}\text{-}t_{G3}$ ]
by (cases  $\mathcal{P}_G = \text{Pos}$ ) simp-all

then show ?thesis
unfolding ground-superpositionI
by blast
qed
qed

show  $?E_G' \prec_{c_G} E_G$ 
proof-

have  $\gamma$   $x = t_1 \cdot t \varrho_1 \odot \gamma$ 
using  $t_1\text{-}\varrho_1$ 
by simp

then have  $t_G\text{-smaller: } ?t_G \prec_t \gamma$   $x$ 
using ground-superpositionI(8)
unfolding  $t_1\text{-}\gamma$  term.order.less_G-def
by simp

have add-mset ( $l_1 \cdot l \varrho_1 \odot \gamma'$ ) ( $E' \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \gamma'$ )  $\prec_c$  add-mset ( $l_1 \cdot l \varrho_1 \odot \gamma$ )
( $E' \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \gamma$ )
proof(rule less_c-add-mset)

have  $x \in \text{literal.vars } (l_1 \cdot l \varrho_1)$ 
unfolding  $l_1$   $c_1\text{-}t_1$  literal.vars-def atom.vars-def
using  $t_1\text{-}\varrho_1$ 

```

by *auto*

moreover have *literal.is-ground* ($l_1 \cdot l \varrho_1 \odot \gamma$)
 using *E-grounding*
 unfolding *E*
 by *simp*

ultimately show $l_1 \cdot l \varrho_1 \odot \gamma' \prec_l l_1 \cdot l \varrho_1 \odot \gamma$
 unfolding *γ' -def*
 using *literal.order.subst-update-stability* *t_G-smaller*
 by *simp*

next

have *clause.is-ground* ($E' \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \gamma$)
 using *E'- γ*
 by *simp*

then show $E' \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \gamma' \preceq_c E' \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \gamma$
 unfolding *γ' -def*
 using *clause.order.subst-update-stability* *t_G-smaller*
 by (*cases* $x \in \text{clause.vars } (E' \cdot \varrho_1)$) *simp-all*

qed

then have $E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \gamma' \prec_c E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \gamma$
 unfolding *E*
 by *simp*

moreover have *clause.is-ground* ($E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \gamma'$)
 unfolding *γ' -def*
 using *E-grounding*
 by *simp*

ultimately show *?thesis*
 using *E-grounding*
 unfolding *clause.order.less_G-def*
 by *simp*

qed

qed

qed

then show *?thesis*
 using *not-redundant ground-superposition that* $l_1 \ t_1' \text{-}\gamma \ c_1 \text{-}t_1 \text{-}\gamma$
 unfolding *ground.Red-I-def* *ground.G-Inf-def*
 by *auto*

qed

obtain l_2 **where**
 $l_2 \text{-}\gamma$: $l_2 \cdot l \varrho_2 \odot \gamma = \text{literal.from-ground } l_{G2}$ **and**
 l_2 -*is-strictly-maximal*: *is-strictly-maximal* $l_2 \ D$

proof–
have *is-strictly-maximal* (*literal.from-ground* l_{G2}) ($D \cdot \varrho_2 \odot \gamma$)
using *ground-superpositionI*(11) *D-grounding*
by *simp*

then show *?thesis*
using *obtain-strictly-maximal-literal*[*OF D-grounding*] *that*
by *metis*
qed

then have l_2 -*in-D*: $l_2 \in \# D$
using *strictly-maximal-in-clause*
by *blast*

from l_2 - γ **have** l_2 - γ : $l_2 \cdot l \varrho_2 \odot \gamma = \text{term.from-ground } t_{G1} \approx \text{term.from-ground } t_{G3}$
unfolding *ground-superpositionI*
by *simp*

then obtain t_2 t_2' **where**
 l_2 : $l_2 = t_2 \approx t_2'$ **and**
 t_2 - γ : $t_2 \cdot t \varrho_2 \odot \gamma = \text{term.from-ground } t_{G1}$ **and**
 t_2' - γ : $t_2' \cdot t \varrho_2 \odot \gamma = \text{term.from-ground } t_{G3}$
using *obtain-from-pos-literal-subst*
by *metis*

obtain D' **where** D : $D = \text{add-mset } l_2 D'$
by (*meson* l_2 -*in-D* *multi-member-split*)

then have D' - γ : $D' \cdot \varrho_2 \odot \gamma = \text{clause.from-ground } D_{G'}$
using D - γ l_2 - γ
unfolding *ground-superpositionI*
by *auto*

obtain \mathcal{V}_3 **where**
 \mathcal{V}_1 - \mathcal{V}_3 : $\forall x \in \text{clause.vars } E. \mathcal{V}_1 x = \mathcal{V}_3 (\text{clause.rename } \varrho_1 x)$ **and**
 \mathcal{V}_2 - \mathcal{V}_3 : $\forall x \in \text{clause.vars } D. \mathcal{V}_2 x = \mathcal{V}_3 (\text{clause.rename } \varrho_2 x)$ **and**
 \mathcal{V}_3 : *infinite-variables-per-type* \mathcal{V}_3
using *clause.obtain-merged-V*[*OF* ϱ_1 ϱ_2 *rename-apart* \mathcal{V}_2 *clause.finite-vars*].

have γ -*is-welltyped*:
term.subst.is-welltyped-on (*clause.vars* ($E \cdot \varrho_1$) \cup *clause.vars* ($D \cdot \varrho_2$)) \mathcal{V}_3 γ
proof(*unfold Set.ball-Un, intro conjI*)

show *term.subst.is-welltyped-on* (*clause.vars* ($E \cdot \varrho_1$)) \mathcal{V}_3 γ
using *clause.is-welltyped.renaming-grounding*[*OF* ϱ_1 ϱ_1 - γ -*is-welltyped* *E-grounding* \mathcal{V}_1 - \mathcal{V}_3].
next

show *term.subst.is-welltyped-on* (*clause.vars* ($D \cdot \varrho_2$)) $\mathcal{V}_3 \gamma$
using *clause.is-welltyped.renaming-grounding*[*OF* ϱ_2 ϱ_2 - γ -*is-welltyped* *D-grounding*
 \mathcal{V}_2 - \mathcal{V}_3].
qed

obtain $\mu \sigma$ **where**
 $\gamma: \gamma = \mu \odot \sigma$ **and**
imgu: welltyped-imgu-on (*clause.vars* ($E \cdot \varrho_1$) \cup *clause.vars* ($D \cdot \varrho_2$)) \mathcal{V}_3 ($t_1 \cdot t$
 ϱ_1) ($t_2 \cdot t \varrho_2$) μ
proof–

have *unified*: $t_1 \cdot t \varrho_1 \cdot t \gamma = t_2 \cdot t \varrho_2 \cdot t \gamma$
using t_1 - γ t_2 - γ
by *simp*

obtain τ **where** *welltyped: welltyped* \mathcal{V}_3 ($t_1 \cdot t \varrho_1$) τ *welltyped* \mathcal{V}_3 ($t_2 \cdot t \varrho_2$) τ
proof–

have *clause.is-welltyped* \mathcal{V}_2 ($D \cdot \varrho_2 \odot \gamma$)
using ϱ_2 - γ -*is-welltyped* *D-is-welltyped*
by (*metis clause.is-welltyped.subst-stability*)

then obtain τ **where**
welltyped \mathcal{V}_2 (*term.from-ground* t_{G1}) τ
unfolding *D*- γ *ground-superpositionI*
by *auto*

then have *welltyped* \mathcal{V}_3 (*term.from-ground* t_{G1}) τ
using *term.welltyped.is-ground-typed*
by (*meson term.ground-is-ground term.welltyped.explicit-is-ground-typed*)

then have *welltyped* \mathcal{V}_3 ($t_1 \cdot t \varrho_1 \odot \gamma$) τ *welltyped* \mathcal{V}_3 ($t_2 \cdot t \varrho_2 \odot \gamma$) τ
using t_1 - γ t_2 - γ
by *presburger+*

moreover have
term.vars ($t_1 \cdot t \varrho_1$) \subseteq *clause.vars* ($E \cdot \varrho_1$)
term.vars ($t_2 \cdot t \varrho_2$) \subseteq *clause.vars* ($D \cdot \varrho_2$)
unfolding E l_1 *clause.add-subst* D l_2
by *auto*

ultimately have *welltyped* \mathcal{V}_3 ($t_1 \cdot t \varrho_1$) τ *welltyped* \mathcal{V}_3 ($t_2 \cdot t \varrho_2$) τ
using γ -*is-welltyped*
by (*simp-all add: subsetD*)

then show *?thesis*
using *that*
by *blast*

qed


```

show ?thesis
  using obtain-welltyped-imgu-on[OF unified welltyped] that
  by metis
qed

define C' where
  C': C' = add-mset (?P (Upair (c1 · tc ρ1)⟨t2' · t ρ2⟩ (t1' · t ρ1))) (E' · ρ1 + D'
  · ρ2) · μ

show ?thesis
proof(rule that)

  show superposition: superposition (D, V2) (E, V1) (C', V3)
  proof(rule superpositionI;
    ((rule ρ1 ρ2 E D l1 l2 t1-is-Fun imgu rename-apart ρ1-is-welltyped
    ρ2-is-welltyped V1 V2 C'
    V1-V3 V2-V3)+)?)

  show ?P ∈ {Pos, Neg}
  by simp
next

  show ¬ E · ρ1 ⊙ μ ≼c D · ρ2 ⊙ μ
  proof(rule clause.order.ground-less-not-less-eq)

    show clause.vars (D · ρ2 ⊙ μ · σ) = {}
    using D-grounding
    unfolding γ
    by simp

    show clause.vars (E · ρ1 ⊙ μ · σ) = {}
    using E-grounding
    unfolding γ
    by simp

    show D · ρ2 ⊙ μ · σ ≺c E · ρ1 ⊙ μ · σ
    using ground-superpositionI(3) D-grounding E-grounding
    unfolding EG-def DG-def clause.order.lessG-def γ
    by simp
  qed
next
  assume ?P = Pos

  then show select E = {#}
  using ground-superpositionI(9) select-from-E
  by fastforce

next
  assume Pos: ?P = Pos

```

```

show is-strictly-maximal ( $l_1 \cdot l \varrho_1 \odot \mu$ ) ( $E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \mu$ )
proof(rule is-strictly-maximal-if-grounding-is-strictly-maximal)

  show  $l_1 \cdot l \varrho_1 \odot \mu \in \# E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \mu$ 
    using l1-in-E
    by blast

  show clause.is-ground ( $E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \mu \cdot \sigma$ )
    using E-grounding[unfolded  $\gamma$ ]
    by simp

  show is-strictly-maximal ( $l_1 \cdot l \varrho_1 \odot \mu \cdot l \sigma$ ) ( $E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \mu \cdot \sigma$ )
    using Pos l1- $\gamma$  E- $\gamma$  ground-superpositionI(9)
    unfolding  $\gamma$  ground-superpositionI
    by fastforce
qed
next
assume Neg: ?P = Neg select E = {#}

  show is-maximal ( $l_1 \cdot l \varrho_1 \odot \mu$ ) ( $E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \mu$ )
  proof(rule is-maximal-if-grounding-is-maximal)

    show  $l_1 \cdot l \varrho_1 \odot \mu \in \# E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \mu$ 
      using l1-in-E
      by blast
    next

    show clause.is-ground ( $E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \mu \cdot \sigma$ )
      using E-grounding  $\gamma$ 
      by auto
    next

    show is-maximal ( $l_1 \cdot l \varrho_1 \odot \mu \cdot l \sigma$ ) ( $E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \mu \cdot \sigma$ )
      using l1- $\gamma$   $\gamma$  E- $\gamma$  ground-superpositionI(5,9) is-maximal-not-empty Neg
select-from-E
      by auto
    qed
  next
  assume Neg: ?P = Neg select E  $\neq$  {#}

  show is-maximal ( $l_1 \cdot l \varrho_1 \odot \mu$ ) ((select E) ·  $\varrho_1 \odot \mu$ )
  proof(rule is-maximal-if-grounding-is-maximal)

    show  $l_1 \cdot l \varrho_1 \odot \mu \in \# \text{select } E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \mu$ 
      using ground-superpositionI(9) l1-selected maximal-in-clause Neg se-
lect-from-E
      by force
    next

```

```

show clause.is-ground (select  $E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \mu \cdot \sigma$ )
  using select-ground-subst[OF E-grounding]
  unfolding  $\gamma$ 
  by simp
next
show is-maximal ( $l_1 \cdot l \varrho_1 \odot \mu \cdot l \sigma$ ) (select  $E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \mu \cdot \sigma$ )
  using  $\gamma$  ground-superpositionI(5,9)  $l_1\text{-}\gamma$  that select-from-E Neg
  by fastforce
qed
next

show select  $D = \{\#\}$ 
  using ground-superpositionI(10) select-from-D
  by simp
next

show is-strictly-maximal ( $l_2 \cdot l \varrho_2 \odot \mu$ ) ( $D \cdot \varrho_2 \odot \mu$ )
proof(rule is-strictly-maximal-if-grounding-is-strictly-maximal)

  show  $l_2 \cdot l \varrho_2 \odot \mu \in\# D \cdot \varrho_2 \odot \mu$ 
    using l2-in-D
    by blast
next

  show clause.is-ground ( $D \cdot \varrho_2 \odot \mu \cdot \sigma$ )
    using D-grounding
    unfolding  $\gamma$ 
    by simp
next

  show is-strictly-maximal ( $l_2 \cdot l \varrho_2 \odot \mu \cdot l \sigma$ ) ( $D \cdot \varrho_2 \odot \mu \cdot \sigma$ )
    using  $l_2\text{-}\gamma$   $\gamma$  D-}\gamma ground-superpositionI(6,11)
    by auto
qed
next

show  $\neg c_1\langle t_1 \rangle \cdot t \varrho_1 \odot \mu \preceq_t t_1' \cdot t \varrho_1 \odot \mu$ 
proof(rule term.order.ground-less-not-less-eq)

  show term.is-ground ( $t_1' \cdot t \varrho_1 \odot \mu \cdot t \sigma$ )
    using  $t_1'\text{-}\gamma$   $\gamma$ 
    by simp
next

  show term.is-ground ( $c_1\langle t_1 \rangle \cdot t \varrho_1 \odot \mu \cdot t \sigma$ )
    using  $t_1\text{-}\gamma$   $c_1\text{-}\gamma$   $\gamma$ 
    by simp
next

```

```

show  $t_1' \cdot t \varrho_1 \odot \mu \cdot t \sigma \prec_t c_1(t_1) \cdot t \varrho_1 \odot \mu \cdot t \sigma$ 
using ground-superpositionI(7)  $c_1\text{-}\gamma$   $t_1'\text{-}\gamma$   $t_1\text{-}\gamma$ 
unfolding term.order.lessG-def  $\gamma$ 
by auto
qed
next

show  $\neg t_2 \cdot t \varrho_2 \odot \mu \preceq_t t_2' \cdot t \varrho_2 \odot \mu$ 
proof(rule term.order.ground-less-not-less-eq)

show term.is-ground  $(t_2' \cdot t \varrho_2 \odot \mu \cdot t \sigma)$ 
using  $t_2'\text{-}\gamma$   $\gamma$ 
by simp
next

show term.is-ground  $(t_2 \cdot t \varrho_2 \odot \mu \cdot t \sigma)$ 
using  $t_2\text{-}\gamma$   $\gamma$ 
by simp
next

show  $t_2' \cdot t \varrho_2 \odot \mu \cdot t \sigma \prec_t t_2 \cdot t \varrho_2 \odot \mu \cdot t \sigma$ 
using ground-superpositionI(8)  $t_2\text{-}\gamma$   $t_2'\text{-}\gamma$ 
unfolding  $\gamma$  term.order.lessG-def
by simp
qed
next

have  $\exists \tau. \text{welltyped } \mathcal{V}_2 t_2 \tau \wedge \text{welltyped } \mathcal{V}_2 t_2' \tau$ 
using D-is-welltyped
unfolding  $D$   $l_2$ 
by auto

then show  $\bigwedge \tau \tau'. \llbracket \text{typed } \mathcal{V}_2 t_2 \tau; \text{typed } \mathcal{V}_2 t_2' \tau' \rrbracket \implies \tau = \tau'$ 
using term.typed-if-welltyped
by blast
qed

show  $C'\text{-}\gamma: C' \cdot \gamma = C \cdot \gamma$ 
proof–

have term-subst.is-idem  $\mu$ 
using imgu term.is-imgu-iff-is-idem-and-is-mgu
by blast

then have  $\mu\text{-}\gamma: \mu \odot \gamma = \gamma$ 
unfolding  $\gamma$  term-subst.is-idem-def
by (metis subst-compose-assoc)

```

```

have C ·  $\gamma$  =
  add-mset
    (? $\mathcal{P}$  (Upair (context.from-ground  $c_G$ )(term.from-ground  $t_{G3}$ )
  (term.from-ground  $t_{G2}$ )))
    (clause.from-ground  $E_G'$  + clause.from-ground  $D_G'$ )
using ground-superpositionI(4, 12) clause.to-ground-inverse[OF C-grounding]
by auto

then show ?thesis
unfolding
  C'
  E'- $\gamma$ [symmetric]
  D'- $\gamma$ [symmetric]
  t1'- $\gamma$ [symmetric]
  t2'- $\gamma$ [symmetric]
  c1- $\gamma$ [symmetric]
  clause.subst-comp-subst[symmetric]
   $\mu$ - $\gamma$ 
by simp
qed

show  $\iota_G \in$  inference-ground-instances (Infer [(D,  $\mathcal{V}_2$ ), (E,  $\mathcal{V}_1$ )] (C',  $\mathcal{V}_3$ ))
proof (rule is-inference-ground-instance-two-premises)

  show is-inference-ground-instance-two-premises (D,  $\mathcal{V}_2$ ) (E,  $\mathcal{V}_1$ ) (C',  $\mathcal{V}_3$ )  $\iota_G$ 
   $\gamma$   $\varrho_1$   $\varrho_2$ 
proof(unfold split, intro conjI;
  (rule  $\varrho_1$   $\varrho_2$  rename-apart D-is-welltyped E-is-welltyped refl  $\mathcal{V}_1$ 
   $\mathcal{V}_2$   $\mathcal{V}_3$ )?)

  show inference.is-ground (Infer [D ·  $\varrho_2$ , E ·  $\varrho_1$ ] C' ·  $\iota$   $\gamma$ )
  using D-grounding E-grounding C-grounding C'- $\gamma$ 
  by auto
next

  show  $\iota_G =$  inference.to-ground (Infer [D ·  $\varrho_2$ , E ·  $\varrho_1$ ] C' ·  $\iota$   $\gamma$ )
  using C'- $\gamma$ 
  by simp
next

  show term.subst.is-welltyped-on (clause.vars C')  $\mathcal{V}_3$   $\gamma$ 
proof(rule term.is-welltyped-on-subset[OF  $\gamma$ -is-welltyped])

  show clause.vars C'  $\subseteq$  clause.vars (E ·  $\varrho_1$ )  $\cup$  clause.vars (D ·  $\varrho_2$ )
proof (unfold subset-eq, intro ballI)
  fix x

  have is-imgu: term.is-imgu  $\mu$   $\{\{t_1 \cdot t \varrho_1, t_2 \cdot t \varrho_2\}\}$ 
  using imgu

```

by *blast*

assume $x \in \text{clause.vars } C'$

then consider

$(t_2 \wedge) x \in \text{term.vars } (t_2' \cdot t \varrho_2 \odot \mu) \mid$
 $(c_1) x \in \text{context.vars } (c_1 \cdot t_c \varrho_1 \odot \mu) \mid$
 $(t_1 \wedge) x \in \text{term.vars } (t_1' \cdot t \varrho_1 \odot \mu) \mid$
 $(E') x \in \text{clause.vars } (E' \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \mu) \mid$
 $(D') x \in \text{clause.vars } (D' \cdot \varrho_2 \odot \mu)$

unfolding C'

by *auto*

then show $x \in \text{clause.vars } (E \cdot \varrho_1) \cup \text{clause.vars } (D \cdot \varrho_2)$

proof *cases*

case t_2'

then show *?thesis*

using *term.variables-in-base-imgu*[*OF is-imgu*]

unfolding $E \ l_1 \ D \ l_2$

by *auto*

next

case c_1

then show *?thesis*

using *context.variables-in-base-imgu*[*OF is-imgu*]

unfolding $E \ l_1 \ D \ l_2$

by *force*

next

case t_1'

then show *?thesis*

using *term.variables-in-base-imgu*[*OF is-imgu*]

unfolding $E \ \text{clause.add-subst } l_1 \ D \ l_2$

by *auto*

next

case E'

then show *?thesis*

using *clause.variables-in-base-imgu*[*OF is-imgu*]

unfolding $E \ l_1 \ D \ l_2$

by *auto*

next

case D'

then show *?thesis*

using *clause.variables-in-base-imgu*[*OF is-imgu*]

unfolding $E \ l_1 \ D \ l_2$

by *auto*

```

      qed
    qed
  qed
next

  show clause.is-welltyped  $\mathcal{V}_3$   $C'$ 
  using superposition superposition-preserves-typing E-is-welltyped D-is-welltyped
  by blast
  qed

  show  $\iota_G \in \text{ground}.G\text{-Inf}$ 
  unfolding ground.G-Inf-def
  using ground-superposition
  by simp
  qed
qed
qed

```

3.2 Ground instances

```

context
  fixes  $\iota_G$   $N$ 
  assumes
    subst-stability: subst-stability-on N and
     $\iota_G\text{-Inf-from: } \iota_G \in \text{ground}.Inf\text{-from-q select}_G (\bigcup (\text{clause.welltyped-ground-instances } 'N))$ 
begin

```

lemma *single-premise-ground-instance:*

```

  assumes
    ground-inference:  $\iota_G \in \{\text{Infer } [D] C \mid D C. \text{ground-inference } D C\}$  and
    lifting:  $\bigwedge D \gamma C \mathcal{V} \text{thesis. } \llbracket$ 
    ground-inference (clause.to-ground ( $D \cdot \gamma$ )) (clause.to-ground ( $C \cdot \gamma$ ));
    clause.is-ground ( $D \cdot \gamma$ );
    clause.is-ground ( $C \cdot \gamma$ );
    clause.from-ground (selectG (clause.to-ground ( $D \cdot \gamma$ ))) = select  $D \cdot \gamma$ ;
    clause.is-welltyped  $\mathcal{V} D$ ; term.subst.is-welltyped-on (clause.vars  $D$ )  $\mathcal{V} \gamma$ ;
    infinite-variables-per-type  $\mathcal{V}$ ;
     $\bigwedge C'. \llbracket$ 
      inference ( $D, \mathcal{V}$ ) ( $C', \mathcal{V}$ );
      Infer [clause.to-ground ( $D \cdot \gamma$ )] (clause.to-ground ( $C \cdot \gamma$ ))
       $\in$  inference-ground-instances (Infer [ $(D, \mathcal{V})$ ] ( $C', \mathcal{V}$ ));
       $C' \cdot \gamma = C \cdot \gamma \rrbracket \implies \text{thesis} \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies$  thesis and
    inference-eq: inference = eq-factoring  $\vee$  inference = eq-resolution
  obtains  $\iota$  where
     $\iota \in \text{Inf-from } N$ 
     $\iota_G \in \text{inference-ground-instances } \iota$ 
proof –

```

obtain $D_G C_G$ **where**
 ι_G : $\iota_G = \text{Infer } [D_G] C_G$ **and**
ground-inference: *ground-inference* $D_G C_G$
using *ground-inference*
by *blast*

have *D_G -in-groundings*: $D_G \in \bigcup (\text{clause.welltyped-ground-instances } ' N)$
using ι_G -*Inf-from*
unfolding ι_G *ground.Inf-from-q-def* *ground.Inf-from-def*
by *simp*

obtain $D \gamma \mathcal{V}$ **where**
D-grounding: *clause.is-ground* $(D \cdot \gamma)$ **and**
D-is-welltyped: *clause.is-welltyped* $\mathcal{V} D$ **and**
 γ -is-welltyped: *term.subst.is-welltyped-on* $(\text{clause.vars } D) \mathcal{V} \gamma$ **and**
 \mathcal{V} : *infinite-variables-per-type* \mathcal{V} **and**
D-in-N: $(D, \mathcal{V}) \in N$ **and**
 $\text{select}_G D_G = \text{clause.to-ground } (\text{select } D \cdot \gamma)$
 $D \cdot \gamma = \text{clause.from-ground } D_G$
using *subst-stability*[*rule-format*, *OF* *D_G -in-groundings*]
by *blast*

then have
 D_G : $D_G = \text{clause.to-ground } (D \cdot \gamma)$ **and**
select: $\text{clause.from-ground } (\text{select}_G D_G) = \text{select } D \cdot \gamma$
by (*simp-all add*: *select-ground-subst*)

obtain C **where**
 C_G : $C_G = \text{clause.to-ground } (C \cdot \gamma)$ **and**
C-grounding: *clause.is-ground* $(C \cdot \gamma)$
by (*metis* *clause.all-subst-ident-iff-ground* *clause.from-ground-inverse*
clause.ground-is-ground)

obtain C' **where**
inference: *inference* $(D, \mathcal{V}) (C', \mathcal{V})$ **and**
inference-ground-instances: $\iota_G \in \text{inference-ground-instances } (\text{Infer } [(D, \mathcal{V})] (C', \mathcal{V}))$ **and**
 $C'-C$: $C' \cdot \gamma = C \cdot \gamma$
using
lifting[*OF*
ground-inference[*unfolded* $D_G C_G$]
D-grounding
C-grounding
select[*unfolded* D_G]
D-is-welltyped
 γ -is-welltyped
 \mathcal{V}]
unfolding $D_G C_G \iota_G$.


```

let ? $\iota$  = Infer [( $D$ ,  $\mathcal{V}$ )] ( $C'$ ,  $\mathcal{V}$ )

show ?thesis
proof(rule that[OF - inference-ground-instances])

  show ? $\iota$   $\in$  Inf-from  $N$ 
    using D-in-N inference inference-eq
    unfolding Inf-from-def inferences-def inference-system.Inf-from-def
    by auto
  qed
qed

lemma eq-resolution-ground-instance:
assumes ground-eq-resolution:  $\iota_G \in$  ground.eq-resolution-inferences
obtains  $\iota$  where
   $\iota \in$  Inf-from  $N$ 
   $\iota_G \in$  inference-ground-instances  $\iota$ 
using eq-resolution-lifting single-premise-ground-instance[OF ground-eq-resolution]
by blast

lemma eq-factoring-ground-instance:
assumes ground-eq-factoring:  $\iota_G \in$  ground.eq-factoring-inferences
obtains  $\iota$  where
   $\iota \in$  Inf-from  $N$ 
   $\iota_G \in$  inference-ground-instances  $\iota$ 
using eq-factoring-lifting single-premise-ground-instance[OF ground-eq-factoring]
by blast

lemma superposition-ground-instance:
assumes
  ground-superposition:  $\iota_G \in$  ground.superposition-inferences and
  not-redundant:  $\iota_G \notin$  ground.GRed-I ( $\bigcup$  (clause.welltyped-ground-instances ‘  $N$ ))
obtains  $\iota$  where
   $\iota \in$  Inf-from  $N$ 
   $\iota_G \in$  inference-ground-instances  $\iota$ 
proof–
obtain  $E_G$   $D_G$   $C_G$  where
   $\iota_G : \iota_G =$  Infer [ $D_G$ ,  $E_G$ ]  $C_G$  and
  ground-superposition: ground.superposition  $D_G$   $E_G$   $C_G$ 
using assms(1)
by blast

have
  EG-in-groundings:  $E_G \in \bigcup$  (clause.welltyped-ground-instances ‘  $N$ ) and
  DG-in-groundings:  $D_G \in \bigcup$  (clause.welltyped-ground-instances ‘  $N$ )
using  $\iota_G$ -Inf-from
unfolding  $\iota_G$  ground.Inf-from-q-def ground.Inf-from-def
by simp-all

```

obtain $E \mathcal{V}_1 \gamma_1$ **where**

E-grounding: $\text{clause.is-ground } (E \cdot \gamma_1)$ **and**
E-is-welltyped: $\text{clause.is-welltyped } \mathcal{V}_1 E$ **and**
 γ_1 -is-welltyped: $\text{term.subst.is-welltyped-on } (\text{clause.vars } E) \mathcal{V}_1 \gamma_1$ **and**
 \mathcal{V}_1 : infinite-variables-per-type \mathcal{V}_1 **and**
E-in-N: $(E, \mathcal{V}_1) \in N$ **and**
 $\text{select}_G E_G = \text{clause.to-ground } (\text{select } E \cdot \gamma_1)$
 $E \cdot \gamma_1 = \text{clause.from-ground } E_G$
using $\text{subst-stability}[\text{rule-format}, \text{OF } E_G\text{-in-groundings}]$
by *blast*

then have

$E_G: E_G = \text{clause.to-ground } (E \cdot \gamma_1)$ **and**
select-from-E: $\text{clause.from-ground } (\text{select}_G E_G) = \text{select } E \cdot \gamma_1$
by (*simp-all add: select-ground-subst*)

obtain $D \mathcal{V}_2 \gamma_2$ **where**

D-grounding: $\text{clause.is-ground } (D \cdot \gamma_2)$ **and**
D-is-welltyped: $\text{clause.is-welltyped } \mathcal{V}_2 D$ **and**
 γ_2 -is-welltyped: $\text{term.subst.is-welltyped-on } (\text{clause.vars } D) \mathcal{V}_2 \gamma_2$ **and**
 \mathcal{V}_2 : infinite-variables-per-type \mathcal{V}_2 **and**
D-in-N: $(D, \mathcal{V}_2) \in N$ **and**
 $\text{select}_G D_G = \text{clause.to-ground } (\text{select } D \cdot \gamma_2)$
 $D \cdot \gamma_2 = \text{clause.from-ground } D_G$
using $\text{subst-stability}[\text{rule-format}, \text{OF } D_G\text{-in-groundings}]$
by *blast*

then have

$D_G: D_G = \text{clause.to-ground } (D \cdot \gamma_2)$ **and**
select-from-D: $\text{clause.from-ground } (\text{select}_G D_G) = \text{select } D \cdot \gamma_2$
by (*simp-all add: select-ground-subst*)

obtain $\varrho_1 \varrho_2 \gamma :: ('f, 'v) \text{ subst where}$

ϱ_1 : *term-subst.is-renaming* ϱ_1 **and**
 ϱ_2 : *term-subst.is-renaming* ϱ_2 **and**
rename-apart: $\text{clause.vars } (E \cdot \varrho_1) \cap \text{clause.vars } (D \cdot \varrho_2) = \{\}$ **and**
 ϱ_1 -is-welltyped: $\text{term.subst.is-welltyped-on } (\text{clause.vars } E) \mathcal{V}_1 \varrho_1$ **and**
 ϱ_2 -is-welltyped: $\text{term.subst.is-welltyped-on } (\text{clause.vars } D) \mathcal{V}_2 \varrho_2$ **and**
 $\gamma_1\text{-}\gamma$: $\forall X \subseteq \text{clause.vars } E. \forall x \in X. \gamma_1 x = (\varrho_1 \odot \gamma) x$ **and**
 $\gamma_2\text{-}\gamma$: $\forall X \subseteq \text{clause.vars } D. \forall x \in X. \gamma_2 x = (\varrho_2 \odot \gamma) x$
using

$\text{clause.is-welltyped.obtain-merged-grounding}[\text{OF } \gamma_1\text{-is-welltyped } \gamma_2\text{-is-welltyped}$
E-grounding
 $\text{D-grounding } \mathcal{V}_2 \text{ clause.finite-vars}]$.

have *E-grounding*: $\text{clause.is-ground } (E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \gamma)$

using $\text{clause.subst-eq } \gamma_1\text{-}\gamma$ *E-grounding*
by *fastforce*

have E_G : $E_G = \text{clause.to-ground } (E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \gamma)$
using $\text{clause.subst-eq } \gamma_1\text{-}\gamma$ E_G
by *fastforce*

have $D\text{-grounding}$: $\text{clause.is-ground } (D \cdot \varrho_2 \odot \gamma)$
using $\text{clause.subst-eq } \gamma_2\text{-}\gamma$ $D\text{-grounding}$
by *fastforce*

have D_G : $D_G = \text{clause.to-ground } (D \cdot \varrho_2 \odot \gamma)$
using $\text{clause.subst-eq } \gamma_2\text{-}\gamma$ D_G
by *fastforce*

have $\varrho_1\text{-}\gamma\text{-is-welltyped}$: $\text{term.subst.is-welltyped-on } (\text{clause.vars } E) \mathcal{V}_1 (\varrho_1 \odot \gamma)$
using $\gamma_1\text{-is-welltyped } \gamma_1\text{-}\gamma$
by *fastforce*

have $\varrho_2\text{-}\gamma\text{-is-welltyped}$: $\text{term.subst.is-welltyped-on } (\text{clause.vars } D) \mathcal{V}_2 (\varrho_2 \odot \gamma)$
using $\gamma_2\text{-is-welltyped } \gamma_2\text{-}\gamma$
by *fastforce*

have select-from-E :
 $\text{clause.from-ground } (\text{select}_G (\text{clause.to-ground } (E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \gamma))) = \text{select } E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot$
 γ

proof–
have $E \cdot \gamma_1 = E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \gamma$
using $\gamma_1\text{-}\gamma$ clause.subst-eq
by *fast*

moreover have $\text{select } E \cdot \gamma_1 = \text{select } E \cdot \varrho_1 \cdot \gamma$
using $\text{clause.subst-eq } \gamma_1\text{-}\gamma$ $\text{select-vars-subset}$
by (*metis clause.comp-subst.left.monoid-action-compatibility*)

ultimately show *?thesis*
using select-from-E
unfolding E_G
by *simp*

qed

have select-from-D :
 $\text{clause.from-ground } (\text{select}_G (\text{clause.to-ground } (D \cdot \varrho_2 \odot \gamma))) = \text{select } D \cdot \varrho_2 \odot$
 γ

proof–
have $D \cdot \gamma_2 = D \cdot \varrho_2 \odot \gamma$
using $\gamma_2\text{-}\gamma$ clause.subst-eq
by *fast*

moreover have $\text{select } D \cdot \gamma_2 = \text{select } D \cdot \varrho_2 \cdot \gamma$
using $\text{clause.subst-eq } \gamma_2\text{-}\gamma$ $\text{select-vars-subset}$
by (*metis clause.comp-subst.left.monoid-action-compatibility*)

```

ultimately show ?thesis
  using select-from-D
  unfolding DG
  by simp
qed

obtain C where
  C-grounding: clause.is-ground (C · γ) and
  CG: CG = clause.to-ground (C · γ)
by (metis clause.all-subst-ident-if-ground clause.from-ground-inverse clause.ground-is-ground)

have clause.welltyped-ground-instances (E, V1) ∪ clause.welltyped-ground-instances
(D, V2) ⊆
  ∪ (clause.welltyped-ground-instances ‘ N)
  using E-in-N D-in-N
  by blast

then have ιG-not-redundant:
  ιG ∉ ground.GRed-I
  (clause.welltyped-ground-instances (E, V1) ∪ clause.welltyped-ground-instances
(D, V2))
  using not-redundant ground.Red-I-of-subset
  by blast

obtain C' V3 where
  superposition: superposition (D, V2) (E, V1) (C', V3) and
  inference-groundings: ιG ∈ inference-ground-instances (Infer [(D, V2), (E, V1)]
(C', V3)) and
  C'·γ-C·γ: C' · γ = C · γ
  using
    superposition-lifting[OF
      ground-superposition[unfolded DG EG CG]
      ρ1 ρ2
      rename-apart
      E-grounding D-grounding C-grounding
      select-from-E select-from-D
      E-is-welltyped D-is-welltyped
      ρ1-γ-is-welltyped ρ2-γ-is-welltyped
      ρ1-is-welltyped ρ2-is-welltyped
      V1 V2
      ιG-not-redundant[unfolded ιG DG EG CG]
    ]
  unfolding ιG CG EG DG .

let ?ι = Infer [(D, V2), (E, V1)] (C', V3)

show ?thesis
proof(rule that[OF - inference-groundings])

```

```

show ? $\iota \in \text{Inf-from } N$ 
  using E-in-N D-in-N superposition
  unfolding Inf-from-def inferences-def inference-system.Inf-from-def
  by auto
qed
qed

lemma ground-instances:
assumes not-redundant:  $\iota_G \notin \text{ground.Red-I } (\bigcup (\text{clause.welltyped-ground-instances } N))$ 
obtains  $\iota$  where
   $\iota \in \text{Inf-from } N$ 
   $\iota_G \in \text{inference-ground-instances } \iota$ 
proof –
consider
  (superposition)  $\iota_G \in \text{ground.superposition-inferences}$  |
  (eq-resolution)  $\iota_G \in \text{ground.eq-resolution-inferences}$  |
  (eq-factoring)  $\iota_G \in \text{ground.eq-factoring-inferences}$ 
using  $\iota_G$ -Inf-from
unfolding
  ground.Inf-from-q-def
  ground.G-Inf-def
  inference-system.Inf-from-def
by fastforce

then show ?thesis
proof cases
  case superposition

  then show ?thesis
    using that superposition-ground-instance not-redundant
    by blast
next
  case eq-resolution

  then show ?thesis
    using that eq-resolution-ground-instance
    by blast
next
  case eq-factoring

  then show ?thesis
    using that eq-factoring-ground-instance
    by blast
qed
qed

end

```

```

end

context superposition-calculus
begin

lemma overapproximation:
  obtains selectG where
    ground-Inf-overapproximated selectG premises
    is-grounding selectG
  proof –
    obtain selectG where
      subst-stability: select-subst-stability-on select selectG premises and
      is-grounding selectG
    using obtain-subst-stable-on-select-grounding
    by blast

  then interpret grounded-superposition-calculus
    where selectG = selectG
    by unfold-locales

  show thesis
  proof (rule that[OF - selectG])

    show ground-Inf-overapproximated selectG premises
      using ground-instances[OF subst-stability]
      by auto
    qed
  qed

sublocale statically-complete-calculus  $\perp_F$  inferences entails- $\mathcal{G}$  Red-I- $\mathcal{G}$  Red-F- $\mathcal{G}$ 
proof (unfold static-empty-ord-inter-equiv-static-inter,
  rule stat-ref-comp-to-non-ground-fam-inter,
  rule ballI)
  fix selectG
  assume selectG ∈ selectGs
  then interpret grounded-superposition-calculus
    where selectG = selectG
    by unfold-locales (simp add: selectGs-def)

  show statically-complete-calculus
    ground.G-Bot
    ground.G-Inf
    ground.G-entails
    ground.Red-I
    ground.Red-F
  by unfold-locales
next

```

```

show  $\bigwedge N. \exists select_G \in select_{G_s}. ground\text{-}Inf\text{-}overapproximated\ select_G\ N$ 
  using overapproximation
  unfolding select_{G_s}\text{-}def
  by (smt (verit, best) mem-Collect-eq)
qed

end

```

```

end
theory Superposition-Soundness
  imports
    First-Order-Clause.Nonground-Entailment

    Grounded-Superposition
    Superposition-Welltypedness-Preservation
begin

```

3.3 Soundness

```

context grounded-superposition-calculus
begin

```

```

notation lifting.entails-G (infix  $\models_F$  50)

```

```

lemma eq-resolution-sound:

```

```

  assumes eq-resolution: eq-resolution D C
  shows  $\{D\} \models_F \{C\}$ 
  using eq-resolution
proof (cases D C rule: eq-resolution.cases)
  case (eq-resolutionI D l D' t t' V mu C)

```

```

  {
    fix  $I :: 'f\ ground\text{-}term\ rel$  and  $\gamma :: ('f, 'v)\ subst$ 

```

```

    let  $?I = upair\ 'I$ 

```

```

  assume

```

```

    refl-I: refl I and

```

```

    entails-ground-instances:  $\forall D_G \in clause.welltyped\text{-}ground\text{-}instances\ (D, \mathcal{V}).\ ?I$ 

```

```

 $\models D_G$  and

```

```

    C-is-ground: clause.is-ground (C · γ) and

```

```

    C-is-welltyped: clause.is-welltyped V C and

```

```

    γ-is-welltyped: term.subst.is-welltyped-on (clause.vars C) V γ and

```

```

     $\mathcal{V}$ : infinite-variables-per-type V

```

```

obtain  $\gamma'$  where

```

```

   $\gamma'$ -is-ground-subst: term.subst.is-ground-subst γ' and

```

```

   $\gamma'$ -is-welltyped: term.subst.is-welltyped V γ' and

```

```

   $\gamma'\text{-}\gamma$ :  $\forall x \in clause.vars\ C. \gamma\ x = \gamma'\ x$ 

```

```

using clause.is-welltyped.ground-subst-extension[OF C-is-ground  $\gamma$ -is-welltyped].

let  $?D_G = \text{clause.to-ground } (D \cdot \mu \cdot \gamma')$ 
let  $?l_G = \text{literal.to-ground } (l \cdot l \ \mu \cdot l \ \gamma')$ 
let  $?D_{G'} = \text{clause.to-ground } (D' \cdot \mu \cdot \gamma')$ 
let  $?t_G = \text{term.to-ground } (t \cdot t \ \mu \cdot t \ \gamma')$ 
let  $?t_{G'} = \text{term.to-ground } (t' \cdot t \ \mu \cdot t \ \gamma')$ 

have  $\mu\text{-is-welltyped}$ : term.subst.is-welltyped-on (clause.vars  $D$ )  $\mathcal{V} \ \mu$ 
  using eq-resolutionI
  by meson

have  $?D_G \in \text{clause.welltyped-ground-instances } (D, \mathcal{V})$ 
proof(unfold clause.welltyped-ground-instances-def mem-Collect-eq fst-conv snd-conv,
  intro exI conjI  $\mathcal{V}$ )
  show  $\text{clause.to-ground } (D \cdot \mu \cdot \gamma') = \text{clause.to-ground } (D \cdot \mu \odot \gamma')$ 
    by simp
  next
  show  $\text{clause.is-ground } (D \cdot \mu \odot \gamma')$ 
    using  $\gamma'\text{-is-ground-subst}$  clause.is-ground-subst-is-ground
    by auto
  next
  show  $\text{clause.is-welltyped } \mathcal{V} \ D$ 
    using C-is-welltyped
  unfolding
    eq-resolution-preserves-typing[OF eq-resolution[unfolded eq-resolutionI(1,
2)]]].
  next
  show term.subst.is-welltyped-on (clause.vars  $D$ )  $\mathcal{V} \ (\mu \odot \gamma')$ 
    using  $\gamma'\text{-is-welltyped}$   $\mu\text{-is-welltyped}$ 
    by (simp add: subst-compose-def)
qed

then have  $?I \models ?D_G$ 
  using entails-ground-instances
  by auto

then obtain  $l_G$  where  $l_G\text{-in-}D$ :  $l_G \in \# ?D_G$  and  $I\text{-models-}l_G$ :  $?I \models l_G$ 
  by (auto simp: true-cls-def)

have  $l_G \neq ?l_G$ 
proof(rule notI)
  assume  $l_G = ?l_G$ 

  then have [simp]:  $l_G = ?t_G \approx ?t_{G'}$ 
    unfolding eq-resolutionI
    by simp

  moreover have atm-of  $l_G \in ?I$ 

```



```

proof–
  have ?tG = ?tG'
    using eq-resolutionI(5) term-subst.is-imgu-unifies-pair
    by metis

  then show ?thesis
    using reflD[OF refl-I, of ?tG]
    by auto
qed

  ultimately show False
    using I-models-lG
    by auto
qed

  then have lG ∈# clause.to-ground (C · γ')
    using lG-in-D
    unfolding eq-resolutionI
    by simp

  then have ?I ⊨ clause.to-ground (C · γ')
    using clause.subst-eq[OF γ'-γ[rule-format]] I-models-lG
    by auto
}

then show ?thesis
  unfolding
    true-class-def
    eq-resolutionI(1,2)
    clause.welltyped-ground-instances-def
    ground.G-entails-def
  by auto
qed

lemma eq-factoring-sound:
  assumes eq-factoring: eq-factoring D C
  shows {D} ⊨F {C}
  using eq-factoring
proof (cases D C rule: eq-factoring.cases)
  case (eq-factoringI D l1 l2 D' t1 t1' t2 t2' μ ν C)

  {
    fix I :: 'f ground-term rel and γ :: ('f, 'v) subst

    let ?I = upair ' I

    assume
      trans-I: trans I and
      sym-I: sym I and

```

```

    entails-ground-instances:  $\forall D_G \in \text{clause.welltyped-ground-instances } (D, \mathcal{V}). ?I$ 
 $\models D_G$  and
    C-is-ground: clause.is-ground (C ·  $\gamma$ ) and
    C-is-welltyped: clause.is-welltyped  $\mathcal{V}$  C and
     $\gamma$ -is-welltyped: term.subst.is-welltyped-on (clause.vars C)  $\mathcal{V}$   $\gamma$  and
     $\mathcal{V}$ : infinite-variables-per-type  $\mathcal{V}$ 

obtain  $\gamma'$  where
     $\gamma'$ -is-ground-subst: term.subst.is-ground-subst  $\gamma'$  and
     $\gamma'$ -is-welltyped: term.subst.is-welltyped  $\mathcal{V}$   $\gamma'$  and
     $\gamma'$ - $\gamma$ :  $\forall x \in \text{clause.vars } C. \gamma x = \gamma' x$ 
using clause.is-welltyped.ground-subst-extension[OF C-is-ground  $\gamma$ -is-welltyped].

let ? $D_G$  = clause.to-ground (D ·  $\mu$  ·  $\gamma'$ )
let ? $D_G'$  = clause.to-ground (D' ·  $\mu$  ·  $\gamma'$ )
let ? $l_{G1}$  = literal.to-ground ( $l_1$  ·  $l$  ·  $\mu$  ·  $l$  ·  $\gamma'$ )
let ? $l_{G2}$  = literal.to-ground ( $l_2$  ·  $l$  ·  $\mu$  ·  $l$  ·  $\gamma'$ )
let ? $t_{G1}$  = term.to-ground ( $t_1$  ·  $t$  ·  $\mu$  ·  $t$  ·  $\gamma'$ )
let ? $t_{G1}'$  = term.to-ground ( $t_1'$  ·  $t$  ·  $\mu$  ·  $t$  ·  $\gamma'$ )
let ? $t_{G2}$  = term.to-ground ( $t_2$  ·  $t$  ·  $\mu$  ·  $t$  ·  $\gamma'$ )
let ? $t_{G2}'$  = term.to-ground ( $t_2'$  ·  $t$  ·  $\mu$  ·  $t$  ·  $\gamma'$ )
let ? $C_G$  = clause.to-ground (C ·  $\gamma'$ )

have  $\mu$ -is-welltyped: term.subst.is-welltyped-on (clause.vars D)  $\mathcal{V}$   $\mu$ 
using eq-factoringI(9)
by blast

have ? $D_G \in \text{clause.welltyped-ground-instances } (D, \mathcal{V})$ 
proof(unfold clause.welltyped-ground-instances-def mem-Collect-eq fst-conv snd-conv,
intro exI conjI  $\mathcal{V}$ )
show clause.to-ground (D ·  $\mu$  ·  $\gamma'$ ) = clause.to-ground (D ·  $\mu \odot \gamma'$ )
by simp
next
show clause.is-ground (D ·  $\mu \odot \gamma'$ )
using  $\gamma'$ -is-ground-subst clause.is-ground-subst-is-ground
by auto
next
show clause.is-welltyped  $\mathcal{V}$  D
using C-is-welltyped
unfolding eq-factoring-preserves-typing[OF eq-factoring[unfolded eq-factoringI(1,
2)]]].
next
show term.subst.is-welltyped-on (clause.vars D)  $\mathcal{V}$  ( $\mu \odot \gamma'$ )
using  $\mu$ -is-welltyped  $\gamma'$ -is-welltyped
by (simp add: subst-compose-def)
qed

then have ?I  $\models$  ? $D_G$ 
using entails-ground-instances

```

by *blast*

then obtain l_G where l_G -in- D_G : $l_G \in \# ?D_G$ and I -models- l_G : $?I \models l_G$
by (*auto simp: true-cls-def*)

have [*simp*]: $?t_{G2} = ?t_{G1}$
using *eq-factoringI(9) term-subst.is-imagu-unifies-pair*
by *metis*

have [*simp*]: $?l_{G1} = ?t_{G1} \approx ?t_{G1}'$
unfolding *eq-factoringI*
by *simp*

have [*simp*]: $?l_{G2} = ?t_{G2} \approx ?t_{G2}'$
unfolding *eq-factoringI*
by *simp*

have [*simp*]: $?C_G = \text{add-mset} (?t_{G1} \approx ?t_{G2}') (\text{add-mset} (?t_{G1}' \not\approx ?t_{G2}') ?D_G')$
unfolding *eq-factoringI*
by *simp*

have $?I \models \text{clause.to-ground} (C \cdot \gamma)$
proof (*cases* $l_G = ?l_{G1} \vee l_G = ?l_{G2}$)
case *True*

then have $?I \models l_{G1} \approx ?t_{G1}' \vee ?I \models l_{G1} \approx ?t_{G2}'$
using *I-models-l_G sym-I*
by (*auto elim: symE*)

then have $?I \models l_{G1} \approx ?t_{G2}' \vee ?I \models l_{G1}' \not\approx ?t_{G2}'$
using *sym-I trans-I*
by (*auto dest: transD*)

then show *?thesis*
using *clause.subst-eq[OF γ' - γ [rule-format]] sym-I*
by *auto*

next
case *False*

then have $l_G \in \# ?D_G'$
using *l_G-in-D_G*
unfolding *eq-factoringI*
by *simp*

then have $l_G \in \# \text{clause.to-ground} (C \cdot \gamma)$
using *clause.subst-eq[OF γ' - γ [rule-format]]*
by *simp*

then show *?thesis*

```

    using I-models-lG
    by blast
  qed
}

then show ?thesis
  unfolding
    eq-factoringI(1, 2)
    ground.G-entails-def
    true-clss-def
    clause.welltyped-ground-instances-def
  by auto
qed

lemma superposition-sound:
  assumes superposition: superposition D E C
  shows {E, D}  $\models_F$  {C}
  using superposition
proof (cases D E C rule: superposition.cases)
  case (superpositionI  $\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{V}_2 \varrho_1 \varrho_2 E D l_1 E' l_2 D' \mathcal{P} c_1 t_1 t_1' t_2 t_2' \mathcal{V}_3 \mu C$ )

  {
    fix I :: 'f gterm rel and  $\gamma :: 'v \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) \text{Term.term}$ 

    let ?I = ( $\lambda(x, y). \text{Upair } x \ y$ ) ' I

    assume
      refl-I: refl I and
      trans-I: trans I and
      sym-I: sym I and
      compatible-with-ground-context-I: compatible-with-gctxt I and
      E-entails-ground-instances:  $\forall E_G \in \text{clause.welltyped-ground-instances } (E, \mathcal{V}_1).$ 
    ?I  $\models E_G$  and
      D-entails-ground-instances:  $\forall D_G \in \text{clause.welltyped-ground-instances } (D, \mathcal{V}_2).$ 
    ?I  $\models D_G$  and
      C-is-ground: clause.is-ground (C ·  $\gamma$ ) and
      C-is-welltyped: clause.is-welltyped  $\mathcal{V}_3$  C and
       $\gamma$ -is-welltyped: term.subst.is-welltyped-on (clause.vars C)  $\mathcal{V}_3 \ \gamma$ 

    obtain  $\gamma'$  where
       $\gamma'$ -is-ground-subst: term.subst.is-ground-subst  $\gamma'$  and
       $\gamma'$ -is-welltyped: term.subst.is-welltyped  $\mathcal{V}_3 \ \gamma'$  and
       $\gamma'$ - $\gamma$ :  $\forall x \in \text{clause.vars } C. \ \gamma \ x = \gamma' \ x$ 
    using clause.is-welltyped.ground-subst-extension[OF C-is-ground  $\gamma$ -is-welltyped].

    let ?EG = clause.to-ground (E ·  $\varrho_1$  ·  $\mu$  ·  $\gamma'$ )
    let ?DG = clause.to-ground (D ·  $\varrho_2$  ·  $\mu$  ·  $\gamma'$ )

    let ?lG1 = literal.to-ground (l1 · l  $\varrho_1$  · l  $\mu$  · l  $\gamma'$ )
  }

```

```

let ?lG2 = literal.to-ground (l2 · l ρ2 · l μ · l γ')

let ?EG' = clause.to-ground (E' · ρ1 · μ · γ')
let ?DG' = clause.to-ground (D' · ρ2 · μ · γ')

let ?cG1 = context.to-ground (c1 · tc ρ1 · tc μ · tc γ')
let ?tG1 = term.to-ground (t1 · t ρ1 · t μ · t γ')
let ?tG1' = term.to-ground (t1' · t ρ1 · t μ · t γ')
let ?tG2 = term.to-ground (t2 · t ρ2 · t μ · t γ')
let ?tG2' = term.to-ground (t2' · t ρ2 · t μ · t γ')

let ?PG = if P = Pos then Pos else Neg

let ?CG = clause.to-ground (C · γ')

have P-subst [simp]:  $\bigwedge a \sigma. \mathcal{P} a \cdot l \sigma = \mathcal{P} (a \cdot a \sigma)$ 
  using superpositionI(11)
  by auto

have [simp]:  $\bigwedge \mathcal{V} a. \text{literal.is-welltyped } \mathcal{V} (\mathcal{P} a) \longleftrightarrow \text{atom.is-welltyped } \mathcal{V} a$ 
  using superpositionI(11)
  by (auto simp: literal-is-welltyped-iff-atm-of)

have [simp]:  $\bigwedge a. \text{literal.vars } (\mathcal{P} a) = \text{atom.vars } a$ 
  using superpositionI(11)
  by auto

have μ-γ'-is-ground-subst:
  term-subst.is-ground-subst (μ ⊙ γ')
  using term.is-ground-subst-comp-right[OF γ'-is-ground-subst].

have μ-is-welltyped:
  term.subst.is-welltyped-on (clause.vars (E · ρ1) ∪ clause.vars (D · ρ2))  $\mathcal{V}_3 \mu$ 
  using superpositionI(15)
  by blast

have D-is-welltyped: clause.is-welltyped  $\mathcal{V}_2 D$ 
  using superposition-preserves-typing-D[OF
    superposition[unfolded superpositionI(1-3)]
    C-is-welltyped].

have E-is-welltyped: clause.is-welltyped  $\mathcal{V}_1 E$ 
  using superposition-preserves-typing-E[OF
    superposition[unfolded superpositionI(1-3)]
    C-is-welltyped].

have is-welltyped-μ-γ:
  term.subst.is-welltyped-on (clause.vars (E · ρ1) ∪ clause.vars (D · ρ2))  $\mathcal{V}_3 (\mu$ 
⊙ γ')

```

```

using  $\gamma'$ -is-welltyped  $\mu$ -is-welltyped
by (simp add: term.welltyped.typed-subst-compose)

note is-welltyped- $\varrho$ - $\mu$ - $\gamma$  = term.welltyped.renaming-ground-subst[OF - - -  $\mu$ - $\gamma'$ -is-ground-subst]

have  $?E_G \in$  clause.welltyped-ground-instances ( $E, \mathcal{V}_1$ )
proof(
  unfold clause.welltyped-ground-instances-def mem-Collect-eq fst-conv snd-conv,
  intro exI conjI E-is-welltyped superpositionI)

  show clause.to-ground ( $E \cdot \varrho_1 \cdot \mu \cdot \gamma'$ ) = clause.to-ground ( $E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \mu \odot \gamma'$ )
  by simp
next

  show clause.is-ground ( $E \cdot \varrho_1 \odot \mu \odot \gamma'$ )
  using  $\gamma'$ -is-ground-subst clause.is-ground-subst-is-ground
  by auto
next

  show term.subst.is-welltyped-on (clause.vars  $E$ )  $\mathcal{V}_1$  ( $\varrho_1 \odot \mu \odot \gamma'$ )
  using
    is-welltyped- $\mu$ - $\gamma$ 
    is-welltyped- $\varrho$ - $\mu$ - $\gamma$ [OF
      superpositionI(6) - superpositionI(18, 16)[unfolded clause.vars-subst]
    by (simp add: subst-compose-assoc clause.vars-subst)
qed

then have entails- $E_G$ : ?I  $\models$   $?E_G$ 
using E-entails-ground-instances
by blast

have  $?D_G \in$  clause.welltyped-ground-instances ( $D, \mathcal{V}_2$ )
proof(
  unfold clause.welltyped-ground-instances-def mem-Collect-eq fst-conv snd-conv,
  intro exI conjI D-is-welltyped superpositionI)

  show clause.to-ground ( $D \cdot \varrho_2 \cdot \mu \cdot \gamma'$ ) = clause.to-ground ( $D \cdot \varrho_2 \odot \mu \odot \gamma'$ )
  by simp
next

  show clause.is-ground ( $D \cdot \varrho_2 \odot \mu \odot \gamma'$ )
  using  $\gamma'$ -is-ground-subst clause.is-ground-subst-is-ground
  by auto
next

  show term.subst.is-welltyped-on (clause.vars  $D$ )  $\mathcal{V}_2$  ( $\varrho_2 \odot \mu \odot \gamma'$ )
  using
    is-welltyped- $\mu$ - $\gamma$ 
    is-welltyped- $\varrho$ - $\mu$ - $\gamma$ [OF
      superpositionI(7) - superpositionI(19, 17)[unfolded clause.vars-subst]

```

```

    by (simp add: subst-compose-assoc clause.vars-subst)
qed

then have entails-DG: ?I  $\models$  ?DG
  using D-entails-ground-instances
  by blast

have ?I  $\models$  clause.to-ground (C · γ')
proof (cases ?I  $\models$  literal.to-ground (P (Upair (c1 · tc ρ1)(t2' · t ρ2) (t1' · t ρ1))
·l μ ·l γ'))
  case True
  then show ?thesis
    unfolding superpositionI
    by simp
next
  case False

  have imgu: term.is-imgu μ {{t1 · t ρ1, t2 · t ρ2}}
    using superpositionI(15)
    by blast

  interpret clause-entailment I
    by unfold-locales (rule trans-I sym-I compatible-with-ground-context-I)+

  note unfolds =
    superpositionI
    context.safe-unfolds
    clause-safe-unfolds
    literal-entails-unfolds
    term.is-imgu-unifies-pair[OF imgu]

  from literal-cases[OF superpositionI(11)]
  have ¬ ?I  $\models$  lG1 ∨ ¬ ?I  $\models$  lG2
  proof cases
    case Pos: 1

    show ?thesis
      using False symmetric-upair-context-congruence
      unfolding Pos unfolds
      by blast
  next
    case Neg: 2

    show ?thesis
      using False symmetric-upair-context-congruence
      unfolding Neg unfolds
      by blast
  qed

```

```

then have ?I  $\models$  ?EG'  $\vee$  ?I  $\models$  ?DG'
  using entails-DG entails-EG
  unfolding superpositionI
  by auto

then show ?thesis
  unfolding superpositionI
  by simp
qed

then have ?I  $\models$  clause.to-ground (C · γ)
  by (metis γ'-γ clause.subst-eq)
}

then show ?thesis
  unfolding
    ground.G-entails-def clause.welltyped-ground-instances-def true-clss-def super-
    positionI(1-3)
  by auto
qed

end

sublocale grounded-superposition-calculus  $\subseteq$  sound-inference-system inferences  $\perp_F$ 
( $\models_F$ )
proof unfold-locales
  fix ι

  assume ι ∈ inferences

  then show set (prems-of ι)  $\models_F$  {concl-of ι}
    using
      eq-factoring-sound
      eq-resolution-sound
      superposition-sound
    unfolding inferences-def ground.G-entails-def
    by auto
qed

sublocale superposition-calculus  $\subseteq$  sound-inference-system inferences  $\perp_F$  entails- $\mathcal{G}$ 
proof unfold-locales

  obtain selectG where selectG: selectG ∈ selectGs
  using Q-nonempty by blast

  then interpret grounded-superposition-calculus
  where selectG = selectG
  by unfold-locales (simp add: selectGs-def)

```



```

fix  $\iota$ 
assume  $\iota \in \text{inferences}$ 

then show  $\text{entails-}\mathcal{G}$  ( $\text{set}$  ( $\text{prems-of } \iota$ )) { $\text{concl-of } \iota$ }
  unfolding  $\text{entails-def}$ 
  using  $\text{sound}$ 
  by  $\text{blast}$ 
qed

end

```

4 Integration of IsaFoR Terms and the Knuth–Bendix Order

This theory implements the abstract interface for atoms and substitutions using the IsaFoR library.

```

theory IsaFoR-Term-Copy
imports
  First-Order-Terms.Unification
  HOL-Cardinals.Wellorder-Extension
  Knuth-Bendix-Order.KBO
begin

```

This part extends and integrates and the Knuth–Bendix order defined in IsaFoR.

```

record  $'f$  weights =
   $w :: 'f \times \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ 
   $w0 :: \text{nat}$ 
   $\text{pr-strict} :: 'f \times \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'f \times \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
   $\text{least} :: 'f \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
   $\text{scf} :: 'f \times \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ 

class weighted =
  fixes  $\text{weights} :: 'a$  weights
  assumes  $\text{weights-adm}$ :
     $\text{admissible-kbo}$ 
    ( $w$  weights) ( $w0$  weights) ( $\text{pr-strict}$  weights) ( $(\text{pr-strict } \text{weights})^{==}$ ) ( $\text{least}$ 
weights) ( $\text{scf}$  weights)
  and  $\text{pr-strict-total}$ :  $f_i = g_j \vee \text{pr-strict } \text{weights } f_i g_j \vee \text{pr-strict } \text{weights } g_j f_i$ 
  and  $\text{pr-strict-asymp}$ :  $\text{asymp} (\text{pr-strict } \text{weights})$ 
  and  $\text{scf-ok}$ :  $i < n \implies \text{scf } \text{weights } (f, n) i \leq 1$ 

```

```

instantiation unit :: weighted begin

```

```

definition  $\text{weights-unit} :: \text{unit } \text{weights}$  where  $\text{weights-unit} =$ 
  ( $w = \text{Suc} \circ \text{snd}$ ,  $w0 = 1$ ,  $\text{pr-strict} = \lambda(-, n) (-, m). n > m$ ,  $\text{least} = \lambda-. \text{True}$ ,
 $\text{scf} = \lambda- -. 1$ )

```

instance

by (*intro-classes, unfold-locales*) (*auto simp: weights-unit-def SN-iff-wf irreflp-def intro!: wf-subset[OF wf-inv-image[OF wf], of - snd]*)

end

global-interpretation *KBO*:

admissible-kbo

w (*weights :: 'f :: weighted weights*) *w0* (*weights :: 'f :: weighted weights*)

pr-strict weights ((*pr-strict weights*)⁼⁼) *least weights scf weights*

defines *weight* = *KBO.weight*

and *kbo* = *KBO.kbo*

by (*simp add: weights-adm*)

lemma *kbo-code[code]*: *kbo s t =*

(*let wt = weight t; ws = weight s in*

if vars-term-ms (KBO.SCF t) \subseteq # vars-term-ms (KBO.SCF s) \wedge wt \leq ws

then

(*if wt < ws then (True, True)*

else

(*case s of*

Var y \Rightarrow (False, case t of Var x \Rightarrow True | Fun g ts \Rightarrow ts = [] \wedge least weights

g)

| *Fun f ss \Rightarrow*

(*case t of*

Var x \Rightarrow (True, True)

| *Fun g ts \Rightarrow*

if pr-strict weights (f, length ss) (g, length ts) then (True, True)

else if (f, length ss) = (g, length ts) then lex-ext-unbounded kbo ss ts

else (False, False))))

else (False, False))

by (*subst KBO.kbo.simps*) (*auto simp: Let-def split: term.splits*)

definition *less-kbo s t = fst (kbo t s)*

lemma *less-kbo-gtotal*: *ground s \Longrightarrow ground t \Longrightarrow s = t \vee less-kbo s t \vee less-kbo t s*

unfolding *less-kbo-def* **using** *KBO.S-ground-total* **by** (*metis pr-strict-total subset-UNIV*)

lemma *less-kbo-subst*:

fixes $\sigma :: ('f :: weighted, 'v) \text{subst}$

shows *less-kbo s t \Longrightarrow less-kbo (s \cdot σ) (t \cdot σ)*

unfolding *less-kbo-def* **by** (*rule KBO.S-subst*)

lemma *wfP-less-kbo*: *wfP less-kbo*

proof –

have *SN $\{(x, y). \text{fst} (kbo x y)\}$*

using *pr-strict-asymp* **by** (*fastforce simp: asympI irreflp-def intro!: KBO.S-SN*)

```

scf-ok)
  then show ?thesis
    unfolding SN-iff-wf wfp-def by (rule wf-subset) (auto simp: less-kbo-def)
qed

end
theory Superposition-Example
  imports
    Superposition
    IsaFoR-Term-Copy
    VeriComp.Well-founded
begin

sublocale nonground-term-with-context  $\subseteq$ 
  nonground-term-order less-kbo :: ('f :: weighted, 'v) term  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'v) term  $\Rightarrow$  bool
proof unfold-locales
  show transp less-kbo
    using KBO.S-trans
    unfolding transp-def less-kbo-def
    by blast
next
  show asymp less-kbo
    using wfp-imp-asymp wfP-less-kbo
    by blast
next
  show wfp-on (range term.from-ground) less-kbo
    using wfp-on-subset[OF wfP-less-kbo subset-UNIV] .
next
  show totalp-on (range term.from-ground) less-kbo
    using less-kbo-gtotal
    unfolding totalp-on-def Term.ground-vars-term-empty term.is-ground-iff-range-from-ground
    by blast
next
  fix
    c :: ('f, 'v) context and
    t1 t2 :: ('f, 'v) term

    assume less-kbo t1 t2

    then show less-kbo c⟨t1⟩ c⟨t2⟩
      using KBO.S-ctxt less-kbo-def
      by blast
next
  fix
    t1 t2 :: ('f, 'v) term and
     $\gamma$  :: ('f, 'v) subst

    assume less-kbo t1 t2

```

```

then show less-kbo ( $t_1 \cdot t \ \gamma$ ) ( $t_2 \cdot t \ \gamma$ )
  using less-kbo-subst by blast
next
fix
   $t :: ('f, 'v)$  term and
   $c :: ('f, 'v)$  context
assume
  term.is-ground  $t$ 
  context.is-ground  $c$ 
   $c \neq \square$ 

then show less-kbo  $t \ c \langle t \rangle$ 
  by (simp add: KBO.S-supt less-kbo-def nectxt-imp-supt-ctxt)
qed

abbreviation trivial-tiebreakers ::
  ' $f$  gatom clause  $\Rightarrow$  (' $f, 'v$ ) atom clause  $\Rightarrow$  (' $f, 'v$ ) atom clause  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  trivial-tiebreakers - - -  $\equiv$  False

lemma trivial-tiebreakers: wellfounded-strict-order (trivial-tiebreakers  $C_G$ )
  by unfold-locales auto

locale trivial-superposition-example =
  ground-critical-pair-theorem TYPE(' $f$  :: weighted)
begin

sublocale nonground-term-with-context.

abbreviation trivial-select :: ' $a$  clause  $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$  clause where
  trivial-select -  $\equiv$   $\{\#\}$ 

abbreviation unit-types where
  unit-types -  $\equiv$   $([], ())$ 

sublocale selection-function trivial-select
  by unfold-locales auto

sublocale
  superposition-calculus
  trivial-select :: (' $f$ , ' $v$  :: infinite) select
  less-kbo
  unit-types
  trivial-tiebreakers
  by unfold-locales (auto simp: UNIV-unit)

end

```

```

context nonground-equality-order
begin

abbreviation select-max where
  select-max C  $\equiv$ 
    if  $\exists l \in \#C. \text{is-maximal } l \ C \wedge \text{is-neg } l$ 
    then  $\{\# \text{SOME } l. \text{is-maximal } l \ C \wedge \text{is-neg } l \#\}$ 
    else  $\{\#\}$ 

sublocale select-max: selection-function select-max
proof unfold-locales
  fix C

  {
    assume  $\exists l \in \#C. \text{is-maximal } l \ C \wedge \text{is-neg } l$ 

    then have  $\exists l. \text{is-maximal } l \ C \wedge \text{is-neg } l$ 
      by blast

    then have  $(\text{SOME } l. \text{is-maximal } l \ C \wedge \text{is-neg } l) \in \# \ C$ 
      by (rule someI2-ex) (simp add: maximal-in-clause)
  }

  then show select-max C  $\subseteq \# \ C$ 
    by auto
next
  fix C l

  {
    assume  $\exists l \in \#C. \text{is-maximal } l \ C \wedge \text{is-neg } l$ 

    then have  $\exists l. \text{is-maximal } l \ C \wedge \text{is-neg } l$ 
      by blast

    then have is-neg  $(\text{SOME } l. \text{is-maximal } l \ C \wedge \text{is-neg } l)$ 
      by (rule someI2-ex) simp
  }

  then show  $l \in \# \ \text{select-max } C \implies \text{is-neg } l$ 
    by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) ex-in-conv set-mset-add-mset-insert set-mset-eq-empty-iff
      singletonD)
qed

end

datatype type = A | B

```

lemma *UNIV-type* [simp]: (UNIV :: type set) = {A, B}
 using *type.exhaust* **by** *blast*

lemma *UNIV-type-ordLeq-UNIV-nat*: |UNIV :: type set| ≤_o |UNIV :: nat set|
by (*simp add: ordLeq3-finite-infinite*)

definition *pr-strict* :: ('f :: wellorder × nat) ⇒ - ⇒ bool **where**
pr-strict = *lex-prodp* ((<) :: 'f ⇒ 'f ⇒ bool) ((<) :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ bool)

lemma *wfp-pr-strict*: *wfp pr-strict*
by (*simp add: lex-prodp-wfP pr-strict-def*)

lemma *transp-pr-strict*: *transp pr-strict*
proof (*rule transpI*)
 show $\bigwedge x y z. pr-strict\ x\ y \implies pr-strict\ y\ z \implies pr-strict\ x\ z$
 unfolding *pr-strict-def* *lex-prodp-def*
by *force*
qed

definition *least* **where**
least $x \longleftrightarrow (\forall y. x \leq y)$

definition *weight* :: 'f × nat ⇒ nat **where**
weight $p = 1$

abbreviation *weights* **where** *weights* ≡
 ($w = weight, w0 = 1, pr-strict = pr-strict^{-1-1}, least = least, scf = \lambda-. 1$)

interpretation *weighted weights*
proof (*unfold-locale, unfold weights.select-convvs weight-def least-def pr-strict-def*
lex-prodp-def)

show *SN* {(fn :: ('b :: wellorder) × nat, gm).
 ($\lambda x y. fst\ x < fst\ y \vee fst\ x = fst\ y \wedge snd\ x < snd\ y$)⁻¹⁻¹ fn gm}
proof (*fold lex-prodp-def pr-strict-def, rule wf-imp-SN*)
 show *wf* {(fn, gm). *pr-strict*⁻¹⁻¹ fn gm}⁻¹
 using *wfp-pr-strict*
by (*simp add: wfp-pr-strict converse-def wfp-def*)
qed
qed (*auto simp: order.order-iff-strict*)

instantiation *nat* :: *weighted* **begin**

definition *weights-nat* :: *nat weights* **where** *weights-nat* ≡ *weights*

instance
 using *weights-adm pr-strict-total pr-strict-asymp*
by (*intro-classes, unfold weights-nat-def*) *auto*

```

end

instantiation nat :: infinite begin

instance
  by intro-classes simp

end

fun repeat :: nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a list where
  repeat 0 - = []
| repeat (Suc n) x = x # repeat n x

abbreviation types :: nat ⇒ type list × type where
  types n ≡
    let type = if even n then A else B
    in (repeat (n div 2) type, type)

lemma types-inhabited: ∃f. types f = ([], τ)
proof (cases τ)
  case A
    show ?thesis
      unfolding A
      by (rule exI[of - 0]) auto
  next
    case B
      show ?thesis
        unfolding B
        by (rule exI[of - 1]) auto
qed

locale superposition-example =
  ground-critical-pair-theorem TYPE(nat)
begin

sublocale wellfounded-strict-order trivial-tiebreakers CG
  using trivial-tiebreakers.

sublocale nonground-term-with-context .

sublocale nonground-equality-order less-kbo
  by unfold-locales

sublocale
  superposition-calculus
  select-max :: (nat, nat) select
  less-kbo
  types
  trivial-tiebreakers

```

```

proof unfold-locales
  fix  $\tau$ 
  show  $\exists f. \text{types } f = ([], \tau)$ 
    using types-inhabited .
next
  show  $|UNIV :: \text{type set}| \leq_o |UNIV :: \text{nat set}|$ 
    using UNIV-type-ordLeq-UNIV-nat .
qed

end

end

```

References

- [1] M. Desharnais, B. Toth, U. Waldmann, J. Blanchette, and S. Tourret. A Modular Formalization of Superposition in Isabelle/HOL. In Y. Bertot, T. Kutsia, and M. Norrish, editors, *15th International Conference on Interactive Theorem Proving (ITP 2024)*, volume 309 of *Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs)*, pages 12:1–12:20, Dagstuhl, Germany, 2024. Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik.