

The Sunflower Lemma of Erdős and Rado

René Thiemann

May 26, 2024

Abstract

We formally define sunflowers and provide a formalization of the sunflower lemma of Erdős and Rado: whenever a set of size- k -sets has a larger cardinality than $(r - 1)^k \cdot k!$, then it contains a sunflower of cardinality r .

1 Sunflowers

Sunflowers are sets of sets, such that whenever an element is contained in at least two of the sets, then it is contained in all of the sets.

theory *Sunflower*

imports *Main*

HOL-Library.FuncSet

begin

definition *sunflower* :: 'a set set \Rightarrow bool **where**

sunflower $S = (\forall x. (\exists A B. A \in S \wedge B \in S \wedge A \neq B \wedge$
 $x \in A \wedge x \in B)$
 $\longrightarrow (\forall A. A \in S \longrightarrow x \in A))$

lemma *sunflower-subset*: $F \subseteq G \Longrightarrow \text{sunflower } G \Longrightarrow \text{sunflower } F$

<proof>

lemma *pairwise-disjnt-imp-sunflower*:

pairwise disjnt $F \Longrightarrow \text{sunflower } F$

<proof>

lemma *card2-sunflower*: **assumes** *finite* S **and** $\text{card } S \leq 2$

shows *sunflower* S

<proof>

lemma *empty-sunflower*: *sunflower* $\{\}$

<proof>

lemma *singleton-sunflower*: *sunflower* $\{A\}$

<proof>

lemma *doubleton-sunflower*: *sunflower* $\{A,B\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *sunflower-imp-union-intersect-unique*:
assumes *sunflower* S
and $x \in (\bigcup S) - (\bigcap S)$
shows $\exists! A. A \in S \wedge x \in A$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *union-intersect-unique-imp-sunflower*:
assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in (\bigcup S) - (\bigcap S) \implies \exists_{\leq 1} A. A \in S \wedge x \in A$
shows *sunflower* S
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *sunflower-iff-union-intersect-unique*:
sunflower $S \iff (\forall x \in \bigcup S - \bigcap S. \exists! A. A \in S \wedge x \in A)$
 (**is** ? l = ? r)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *sunflower-iff-intersect-Uniq*:
sunflower $S \iff (\forall x. x \in \bigcap S \vee (\exists_{\leq 1} A. A \in S \wedge x \in A))$
 (**is** ? l = ? r)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

If there exists sunflowers whenever all elements are sets of the same cardinality r , then there also exists sunflowers whenever all elements are sets with cardinality at most r .

lemma *sunflower-card-subset-lift*: **fixes** $F :: 'a \text{ set set}$
assumes *sunflower*: $\bigwedge G :: ('a + \text{nat}) \text{ set set}.$
 $(\forall A \in G. \text{finite } A \wedge \text{card } A = k) \implies \text{card } G > c$
 $\implies \exists S. S \subseteq G \wedge \text{sunflower } S \wedge \text{card } S = r$
and $kF: \forall A \in F. \text{finite } A \wedge \text{card } A \leq k$
and $\text{card}F: \text{card } F > c$
shows $\exists S. S \subseteq F \wedge \text{sunflower } S \wedge \text{card } S = r$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

We provide another sunflower lifting lemma that ensures non-empty cores. Here, all elements must be taken from a finite set, and the bound is multiplied the cardinality.

lemma *sunflower-card-core-lift*:
assumes *finE*: *finite* $(E :: 'a \text{ set})$
and *sunflower*: $\bigwedge G :: 'a \text{ set set}.$
 $(\forall A \in G. \text{finite } A \wedge \text{card } A \leq k) \implies \text{card } G > c$
 $\implies \exists S. S \subseteq G \wedge \text{sunflower } S \wedge \text{card } S = r$
and $F: \forall A \in F. A \subseteq E \wedge s \leq \text{card } A \wedge \text{card } A \leq k$
and $\text{card}F: \text{card } F > (\text{card } E \text{ choose } s) * c$
and $s: s \neq 0$
and $r: r \neq 0$

shows $\exists S. S \subseteq F \wedge \text{sunflower } S \wedge \text{card } S = r \wedge \text{card } (\bigcap S) \geq s$
 <proof>

lemma *sunflower-nonempty-core-lift*:

assumes *finE*: *finite* (*E* :: 'a set)

and *sunflower*: $\bigwedge G :: \text{'a set set.}$

$(\forall A \in G. \text{finite } A \wedge \text{card } A \leq k) \implies \text{card } G > c$

$\implies \exists S. S \subseteq G \wedge \text{sunflower } S \wedge \text{card } S = r$

and *F*: $\forall A \in F. A \subseteq E \wedge \text{card } A \leq k$

and *empty*: $\{\} \notin F$

and *cardF*: $\text{card } F > \text{card } E * c$

shows $\exists S. S \subseteq F \wedge \text{sunflower } S \wedge \text{card } S = r \wedge (\bigcap S) \neq \{\}$

<proof>

end

2 The Sunflower Lemma

We formalize the proof of the sunflower lemma of Erdős and Rado [2], as it is presented in the textbook [3, Chapter 6]. We further integrate Exercise 6.2 from the textbook, which provides a lower bound on the existence of sunflowers.

theory *Erdos-Rado-Sunflower*

imports

Sunflower

begin

When removing an element from all subsets, then one can afterwards add these elements to a sunflower and get a new sunflower.

lemma *sunflower-remove-element-lift*:

assumes *S*: $S \subseteq \{ A - \{a\} \mid A. A \in F \wedge a \in A \}$

and *sf*: *sunflower* *S*

shows $\exists Sa. \text{sunflower } Sa \wedge Sa \subseteq F \wedge \text{card } Sa = \text{card } S \wedge Sa = \text{insert } a \text{ ' } S$

<proof>

The sunflower-lemma of Erdős and Rado: if a set has a certain size and all elements have the same cardinality, then a sunflower exists.

lemma *Erdos-Rado-sunflower-same-card*:

assumes $\forall A \in F. \text{finite } A \wedge \text{card } A = k$

and $\text{card } F > (r - 1) \wedge k * \text{fact } k$

shows $\exists S. S \subseteq F \wedge \text{sunflower } S \wedge \text{card } S = r \wedge \{\} \notin S$

<proof>

Using *sunflower-card-subset-lift* we can easily replace the condition that the cardinality is exactly *k* by the requirement that the cardinality is at most *k*. However, then $\{\} \notin S$ cannot be ensured. Consider $r = 1 \wedge 0 < k \wedge F = \{\{\}\}$.

lemma *Erdos-Rado-sunflower*:

assumes $\forall A \in F. \text{finite } A \wedge \text{card } A \leq k$
and $\text{card } F > (r - 1)^k * \text{fact } k$
shows $\exists S. S \subseteq F \wedge \text{sunflower } S \wedge \text{card } S = r$
<proof>

We further provide a lower bound on the existence of sunflowers, i.e., Exercise 6.2 of the textbook [3]. To be more precise, we prove that there is a set of sets of cardinality $(r - 1)^k$, where each element is a set of cardinality k , such that there is no subset which is a sunflower with cardinality of at least r .

lemma *sunflower-lower-bound*:

assumes *inf: infinite (UNIV :: 'a set)*
and $r: r \neq 0$
and $rk: r = 1 \implies k \neq 0$
shows $\exists F.$
 $\text{card } F = (r - 1)^k \wedge \text{finite } F \wedge$
 $(\forall A \in F. \text{finite } (A :: 'a \text{ set}) \wedge \text{card } A = k) \wedge$
 $(\nexists S. S \subseteq F \wedge \text{sunflower } S \wedge \text{card } S \geq r)$
<proof>

The difference between the lower and the upper bound on the existence of sunflowers as they have been formalized is *fact k*. There is more recent work with tighter bounds [1], but we only integrate the initial result of Erdős and Rado in this theory.

We further provide the Erdős Rado lemma lifted to obtain non-empty cores or cores of arbitrary cardinality.

lemma *Erdos-Rado-sunflower-card-core*:

assumes *finite E*
and $\forall A \in F. A \subseteq E \wedge s \leq \text{card } A \wedge \text{card } A \leq k$
and $\text{card } F > (\text{card } E \text{ choose } s) * (r - 1)^k * \text{fact } k$
and $s \neq 0$
and $r \neq 0$
shows $\exists S. S \subseteq F \wedge \text{sunflower } S \wedge \text{card } S = r \wedge \text{card } (\bigcap S) \geq s$
<proof>

lemma *Erdos-Rado-sunflower-nonempty-core*:

assumes *finite E*
and $\forall A \in F. A \subseteq E \wedge \text{card } A \leq k$
and $\{\} \notin F$
and $\text{card } F > \text{card } E * (r - 1)^k * \text{fact } k$
shows $\exists S. S \subseteq F \wedge \text{sunflower } S \wedge \text{card } S = r \wedge \bigcap S \neq \{\}$
<proof>

end

References

- [1] Ryan Alweiss, Shachar Lovett, Kewen Wu, and Jiapeng Zhang. Improved bounds for the sunflower lemma. In *Proceedings of the 52nd Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC 2020*, pages 624–630. ACM, 2020. doi:[10.1145/3357713.3384234](https://doi.org/10.1145/3357713.3384234).
- [2] Paul Erdős and Richard Rado. Intersection theorems for systems of sets. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 35:85–90, 1960. doi:[10.1112/jlms/s1-35.1.85](https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/s1-35.1.85).
- [3] Stasys Jukna. *Extremal Combinatorics*. Texts in Theoretical Computer Science. An EATCS Series. Springer, 2011. doi:[10.1007/978-3-642-17364-6_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-17364-6_6).