

# The Sunflower Lemma of Erdős and Rado

René Thiemann

March 1, 2021

## Abstract

We formally define sunflowers and provide a formalization of the sunflower lemma of Erdős and Rado: whenever a set of size- $k$ -sets has a larger cardinality than  $(r - 1)^k \cdot k!$ , then it contains a sunflower of cardinality  $r$ .

## 1 Sunflowers

Sunflowers are sets of sets, such that whenever an element is contained in at least two of the sets, then it is contained in all of the sets.

**theory** *Sunflower*

**imports** *Main*

*HOL-Library.FuncSet*

**begin**

**definition** *sunflower* :: 'a set set  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**

*sunflower*  $S = (\forall x. (\exists A B. A \in S \wedge B \in S \wedge A \neq B \wedge$   
 $x \in A \wedge x \in B)$   
 $\longrightarrow (\forall A. A \in S \longrightarrow x \in A))$

**lemma** *sunflower-subset*:  $F \subseteq G \Longrightarrow \text{sunflower } G \Longrightarrow \text{sunflower } F$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *pairwise-disjnt-imp-sunflower*:

*pairwise disjnt*  $F \Longrightarrow \text{sunflower } F$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *card2-sunflower*: **assumes** *finite*  $S$  **and**  $\text{card } S \leq 2$

**shows** *sunflower*  $S$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *empty-sunflower*: *sunflower*  $\{\}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *singleton-sunflower*: *sunflower*  $\{A\}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *doubleton-sunflower*: *sunflower*  $\{A,B\}$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *sunflower-imp-union-intersect-unique*:  
**assumes** *sunflower*  $S$   
**and**  $x \in (\bigcup S) - (\bigcap S)$   
**shows**  $\exists! A. A \in S \wedge x \in A$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *union-intersect-unique-imp-sunflower*:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge x. x \in (\bigcup S) - (\bigcap S) \implies \exists_{\leq 1} A. A \in S \wedge x \in A$   
**shows** *sunflower*  $S$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *sunflower-iff-union-intersect-unique*:  
*sunflower*  $S \iff (\forall x \in \bigcup S - \bigcap S. \exists! A. A \in S \wedge x \in A)$   
 (**is** ? $l$  = ? $r$ )  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *sunflower-iff-intersect-Uniq*:  
*sunflower*  $S \iff (\forall x. x \in \bigcap S \vee (\exists_{\leq 1} A. A \in S \wedge x \in A))$   
 (**is** ? $l$  = ? $r$ )  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

If there exists sunflowers whenever all elements are sets of the same cardinality  $r$ , then there also exists sunflowers whenever all elements are sets with cardinality at most  $r$ .

**lemma** *sunflower-card-subset-lift*: **fixes**  $F :: 'a \text{ set set}$   
**assumes** *sunflower*:  $\bigwedge G :: ('a + \text{nat}) \text{ set set}.$   
 $(\forall A \in G. \text{finite } A \wedge \text{card } A = k) \implies \text{card } G > c$   
 $\implies \exists S. S \subseteq G \wedge \text{sunflower } S \wedge \text{card } S = r$   
**and**  $kF: \forall A \in F. \text{finite } A \wedge \text{card } A \leq k$   
**and**  $\text{card}F: \text{card } F > c$   
**shows**  $\exists S. S \subseteq F \wedge \text{sunflower } S \wedge \text{card } S = r$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

We provide another sunflower lifting lemma that ensures non-empty cores. Here, all elements must be taken from a finite set, and the bound is multiplied the cardinality.

**lemma** *sunflower-card-core-lift*:  
**assumes** *finE*: *finite*  $(E :: 'a \text{ set})$   
**and** *sunflower*:  $\bigwedge G :: 'a \text{ set set}.$   
 $(\forall A \in G. \text{finite } A \wedge \text{card } A \leq k) \implies \text{card } G > c$   
 $\implies \exists S. S \subseteq G \wedge \text{sunflower } S \wedge \text{card } S = r$   
**and**  $F: \forall A \in F. A \subseteq E \wedge s \leq \text{card } A \wedge \text{card } A \leq k$   
**and**  $\text{card}F: \text{card } F > (\text{card } E \text{ choose } s) * c$   
**and**  $s: s \neq 0$   
**and**  $r: r \neq 0$

**shows**  $\exists S. S \subseteq F \wedge \text{sunflower } S \wedge \text{card } S = r \wedge \text{card } (\bigcap S) \geq s$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *sunflower-nonempty-core-lift*:

**assumes** *finE*: *finite* ( $E :: 'a \text{ set}$ )

**and** *sunflower*:  $\bigwedge G :: 'a \text{ set set.}$

$(\forall A \in G. \text{finite } A \wedge \text{card } A \leq k) \implies \text{card } G > c$

$\implies \exists S. S \subseteq G \wedge \text{sunflower } S \wedge \text{card } S = r$

**and** *F*:  $\forall A \in F. A \subseteq E \wedge \text{card } A \leq k$

**and** *empty*:  $\{\} \notin F$

**and** *cardF*:  $\text{card } F > \text{card } E * c$

**shows**  $\exists S. S \subseteq F \wedge \text{sunflower } S \wedge \text{card } S = r \wedge (\bigcap S) \neq \{\}$

<proof>

**end**

## 2 The Sunflower Lemma

We formalize the proof of the sunflower lemma of Erdős and Rado [2], as it is presented in the textbook [3, Chapter 6]. We further integrate Exercise 6.2 from the textbook, which provides a lower bound on the existence of sunflowers.

**theory** *Erdos-Rado-Sunflower*

**imports**

*Sunflower*

**begin**

When removing an element from all subsets, then one can afterwards add these elements to a sunflower and get a new sunflower.

**lemma** *sunflower-remove-element-lift*:

**assumes** *S*:  $S \subseteq \{ A - \{a\} \mid A. A \in F \wedge a \in A \}$

**and** *sf*: *sunflower* *S*

**shows**  $\exists Sa. \text{sunflower } Sa \wedge Sa \subseteq F \wedge \text{card } Sa = \text{card } S \wedge Sa = \text{insert } a \text{ ' } S$

<proof>

The sunflower-lemma of Erdős and Rado: if a set has a certain size and all elements have the same cardinality, then a sunflower exists.

**lemma** *Erdos-Rado-sunflower-same-card*:

**assumes**  $\forall A \in F. \text{finite } A \wedge \text{card } A = k$

**and**  $\text{card } F > (r - 1) \wedge k * \text{fact } k$

**shows**  $\exists S. S \subseteq F \wedge \text{sunflower } S \wedge \text{card } S = r \wedge \{\} \notin S$

<proof>

Using *sunflower-card-subset-lift* we can easily replace the condition that the cardinality is exactly  $k$  by the requirement that the cardinality is at most  $k$ . However, then  $\{\} \notin S$  cannot be ensured. Consider  $r = 1 \wedge 0 < k \wedge F = \{\{\}\}$ .

**lemma** *Erdos-Rado-sunflower*:

**assumes**  $\forall A \in F. \text{finite } A \wedge \text{card } A \leq k$

**and**  $\text{card } F > (r - 1)^k * \text{fact } k$

**shows**  $\exists S. S \subseteq F \wedge \text{sunflower } S \wedge \text{card } S = r$

*<proof>*

We further provide a lower bound on the existence of sunflowers, i.e., Exercise 6.2 of the textbook [3]. To be more precise, we prove that there is a set of sets of cardinality  $(r - 1)^k$ , where each element is a set of cardinality  $k$ , such that there is no subset which is a sunflower with cardinality of at least  $r$ .

**lemma** *sunflower-lower-bound*:

**assumes** *inf*: *infinite* (*UNIV* :: 'a set)

**and**  $r: r \neq 0$

**and**  $rk: r = 1 \implies k \neq 0$

**shows**  $\exists F.$

$\text{card } F = (r - 1)^k \wedge \text{finite } F \wedge$

$(\forall A \in F. \text{finite } (A :: 'a \text{ set}) \wedge \text{card } A = k) \wedge$

$(\nexists S. S \subseteq F \wedge \text{sunflower } S \wedge \text{card } S \geq r)$

*<proof>*

The difference between the lower and the upper bound on the existence of sunflowers as they have been formalized is *fact k*. There is more recent work with tighter bounds [1], but we only integrate the initial result of Erdős and Rado in this theory.

We further provide the Erdős Rado lemma lifted to obtain non-empty cores or cores of arbitrary cardinality.

**lemma** *Erdos-Rado-sunflower-card-core*:

**assumes** *finite E*

**and**  $\forall A \in F. A \subseteq E \wedge s \leq \text{card } A \wedge \text{card } A \leq k$

**and**  $\text{card } F > (\text{card } E \text{ choose } s) * (r - 1)^k * \text{fact } k$

**and**  $s \neq 0$

**and**  $r \neq 0$

**shows**  $\exists S. S \subseteq F \wedge \text{sunflower } S \wedge \text{card } S = r \wedge \text{card } (\bigcap S) \geq s$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *Erdos-Rado-sunflower-nonempty-core*:

**assumes** *finite E*

**and**  $\forall A \in F. A \subseteq E \wedge \text{card } A \leq k$

**and**  $\{\} \notin F$

**and**  $\text{card } F > \text{card } E * (r - 1)^k * \text{fact } k$

**shows**  $\exists S. S \subseteq F \wedge \text{sunflower } S \wedge \text{card } S = r \wedge \bigcap S \neq \{\}$

*<proof>*

**end**

## References

- [1] Ryan Alweiss, Shachar Lovett, Kewen Wu, and Jiapeng Zhang. Improved bounds for the sunflower lemma. In *Proceedings of the 52nd Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC 2020*, pages 624–630. ACM, 2020. doi:[10.1145/3357713.3384234](https://doi.org/10.1145/3357713.3384234).
- [2] Paul Erdős and Richard Rado. Intersection theorems for systems of sets. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 35:85–90, 1960. doi:[10.1112/jlms/s1-35.1.85](https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/s1-35.1.85).
- [3] Stasys Jukna. *Extremal Combinatorics*. Texts in Theoretical Computer Science. An EATCS Series. Springer, 2011. doi:[10.1007/978-3-642-17364-6\\_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-17364-6_6).