

The Sunflower Lemma of Erdős and Rado

René Thiemann

May 26, 2024

Abstract

We formally define sunflowers and provide a formalization of the sunflower lemma of Erdős and Rado: whenever a set of size- k -sets has a larger cardinality than $(r - 1)^k \cdot k!$, then it contains a sunflower of cardinality r .

1 Sunflowers

Sunflowers are sets of sets, such that whenever an element is contained in at least two of the sets, then it is contained in all of the sets.

theory *Sunflower*

imports *Main*

HOL-Library.FuncSet

begin

definition *sunflower* :: 'a set set \Rightarrow bool **where**

sunflower $S = (\forall x. (\exists A B. A \in S \wedge B \in S \wedge A \neq B \wedge$
 $x \in A \wedge x \in B)$
 $\longrightarrow (\forall A. A \in S \longrightarrow x \in A))$

lemma *sunflower-subset*: $F \subseteq G \Longrightarrow \text{sunflower } G \Longrightarrow \text{sunflower } F$

unfolding *sunflower-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *pairwise-disjnt-imp-sunflower*:

pairwise disjnt $F \Longrightarrow \text{sunflower } F$

unfolding *sunflower-def*

by (*metis disjnt-insert1 mk-disjoint-insert pairwiseD*)

lemma *card2-sunflower*: **assumes** *finite* S **and** $\text{card } S \leq 2$

shows *sunflower* S

proof –

from *assms* **have** $\text{card } S = 0 \vee \text{card } S = \text{Suc } 0 \vee \text{card } S = 2$ **by** *linarith*

with $\langle \text{finite } S \rangle$ **obtain** $A B$ **where** $S = \{\}$ $\vee S = \{A\}$ $\vee S = \{A, B\}$

using *card-2-iff[of S]* *card-1-singleton-iff[of S]* **by** *auto*

thus *?thesis* **unfolding** *sunflower-def* **by** *auto*

qed

```

lemma empty-sunflower: sunflower {}
  by (rule card2-sunflower, auto)

lemma singleton-sunflower: sunflower {A}
  by (rule card2-sunflower, auto)

lemma doubleton-sunflower: sunflower {A,B}
  by (rule card2-sunflower, auto, cases A = B, auto)

lemma sunflower-imp-union-intersect-unique:
  assumes sunflower S
  and  $x \in (\bigcup S) - (\bigcap S)$ 
  shows  $\exists! A. A \in S \wedge x \in A$ 
proof -
  from assms obtain A where A: A ∈ S x ∈ A by auto
  show ?thesis
  proof
    show  $A \in S \wedge x \in A$  using A by auto
    fix B
    assume B: B ∈ S ∧ x ∈ B
    show  $B = A$ 
    proof (rule ccontr)
      assume  $B \neq A$ 
      with A B have  $\exists A B. A \in S \wedge B \in S \wedge A \neq B \wedge x \in A \wedge x \in B$  by auto
      from  $\langle \text{sunflower } S \rangle$  [unfolded sunflower-def, rule-format, OF this]
      have  $x \in \bigcap S$  by auto
      with assms show False by auto
    qed
  qed
qed

lemma union-intersect-unique-imp-sunflower:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in (\bigcup S) - (\bigcap S) \implies \exists_{\leq 1} A. A \in S \wedge x \in A$ 
  shows sunflower S
  unfolding sunflower-def
proof (intro allI impI, elim exE conjE, goal-cases)
  case (1 x C A B)
  hence  $x \in \bigcup S$  by auto
  show ?case
  proof (cases x ∈ ∩ S)
    case False
    with assms[of x] x have  $\exists_{\leq 1} A. A \in S \wedge x \in A$  by blast
    with 1 have False unfolding Uniq-def by blast
    thus ?thesis ..
  next
  case True
  with 1 show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

qed

lemma *sunflower-iff-union-intersect-unique*:

$sunflower\ S \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in \bigcup S - \bigcap S. \exists! A. A \in S \wedge x \in A)$
(is ?l = ?r)

proof

assume ?l

from *sunflower-imp-union-intersect-unique*[OF this]

show ?r by auto

next

assume ?r

hence *: $\forall x \in \bigcup S - \bigcap S. \exists_{\leq 1} A. A \in S \wedge x \in A$

unfolding *ex1-iff-ex-Uniq* by auto

show ?l

by (rule *union-intersect-unique-imp-sunflower*, insert *, auto)

qed

lemma *sunflower-iff-intersect-Uniq*:

$sunflower\ S \longleftrightarrow (\forall x. x \in \bigcap S \vee (\exists_{\leq 1} A. A \in S \wedge x \in A))$
(is ?l = ?r)

proof

assume ?l

from *sunflower-imp-union-intersect-unique*[OF this]

show ?r unfolding *ex1-iff-ex-Uniq*

by (metis (no-types, lifting) *DiffI UnionI Uniq-I*)

next

assume ?r

show ?l

by (rule *union-intersect-unique-imp-sunflower*, insert <?r>, auto)

qed

If there exists sunflowers whenever all elements are sets of the same cardinality r , then there also exists sunflowers whenever all elements are sets with cardinality at most r .

lemma *sunflower-card-subset-lift*: fixes $F :: 'a\ set\ set$

assumes *sunflower*: $\bigwedge G :: ('a + nat)\ set\ set.$

$(\forall A \in G. finite\ A \wedge card\ A = k) \implies card\ G > c$

$\implies \exists S. S \subseteq G \wedge sunflower\ S \wedge card\ S = r$

and kF : $\forall A \in F. finite\ A \wedge card\ A \leq k$

and $cardF$: $card\ F > c$

shows $\exists S. S \subseteq F \wedge sunflower\ S \wedge card\ S = r$

proof –

let $?n = Suc\ c$

from $cardF$ have $card\ F \geq ?n$ by auto

then obtain FF where sub : $FF \subseteq F$ and $cardF$: $card\ FF = ?n$

by (rule *obtain-subset-with-card-n*)

let $?N = \{0 ..< ?n\}$

from $cardF$ have *finite* FF

by (*simp add: card-ge-0-finite*)

```

from ex-bij-betw-nat-finite[OF this, unfolded cardF]
obtain f where f: bij-betw f ?N FF by auto
hence injf: inj-on f ?N by (rule bij-betw-imp-inj-on)
have Ff: FF = f ' ?N
  by (metis bij-betw-imp-surj-on f)
define g where g = (λ i. (Inl ' f i) ∪ (Inr ' {0 ..< (k - card (f i))}))
have injg: inj-on g ?N unfolding g-def using f
proof (intro inj-onI, goal-cases)
  case (1 x y)
  hence f x = f y by auto
  with injf 1 show x = y
    by (meson inj-onD)
qed
hence cardgN: card (g ' ?N) > c
  by (simp add: card-image)
{
  fix i
  assume i ∈ ?N
  hence f i ∈ FF unfolding Ff by auto
  with sub have f i ∈ F by auto
  hence card (f i) ≤ k finite (f i) using kF by auto
  hence card (g i) = k ∧ finite (g i) unfolding g-def
  by (subst card-Un-disjoint, auto, subst (1 2) card-image, auto intro: inj-onI)
}
hence  $\forall A \in g ' ?N. \text{finite } A \wedge \text{card } A = k$  by auto
from sunflower[OF this cardgN]
obtain S where SgN: S ⊆ g ' ?N and sf: sunflower S and card: card S = r
by auto
from SgN obtain N where NN: N ⊆ ?N and SgN: S = g ' N
  by (meson subset-image-iff)
from injg NN have inj-g: inj-on g N
  by (rule inj-on-subset)
from injf NN have inj-f: inj-on f N
  by (rule inj-on-subset)
from card-image[OF inj-g] SgN card
have cardN: card N = r by auto
let ?S = f ' N
show ?thesis
proof (intro exI[of - ?S] conjI)
  from NN show ?S ⊆ F using Ff sub by auto
  from card-image[OF inj-f] cardN show card ?S = r by auto
  show sunflower ?S unfolding sunflower-def
  proof (intro allI impI, elim exE conjE, goal-cases)
    case (1 x C A B)
    from  $\langle A \in f ' N \rangle$  obtain i where i: i ∈ N and A: A = f i by auto
    from  $\langle B \in f ' N \rangle$  obtain j where j: j ∈ N and B: B = f j by auto
    from  $\langle C \in f ' N \rangle$  obtain k where k: k ∈ N and C: C = f k by auto
    hence gk: g k ∈ g ' N by auto
    from  $\langle A \neq B \rangle$  A B have ij: i ≠ j by auto

```

```

from inj-g ij i j have gij: g i ≠ g j by (metis inj-on-contrad)
from ⟨x ∈ A⟩ have memi: Inl x ∈ g i unfolding A g-def by auto
from ⟨x ∈ B⟩ have memj: Inl x ∈ g j unfolding B g-def by auto
have ∃ A B. A ∈ g ‘ N ∧ B ∈ g ‘ N ∧ A ≠ B ∧ Inl x ∈ A ∧ Inl x ∈ B
  using memi memj gij i j by auto
from sf[unfolding sunflower-def SgN, rule-format, OF this gk] have Inl x ∈ g
k .
  thus x ∈ C unfolding C g-def by auto
qed
qed
qed

```

We provide another sunflower lifting lemma that ensures non-empty cores. Here, all elements must be taken from a finite set, and the bound is multiplied the cardinality.

lemma *sunflower-card-core-lift*:

```

assumes finE: finite (E :: 'a set)
and sunflower: ∧ G :: 'a set set.
  (∀ A ∈ G. finite A ∧ card A ≤ k) ⇒ card G > c
  ⇒ ∃ S. S ⊆ G ∧ sunflower S ∧ card S = r
and F: ∀ A ∈ F. A ⊆ E ∧ s ≤ card A ∧ card A ≤ k
and cardF: card F > (card E choose s) * c
and s: s ≠ 0
and r: r ≠ 0
shows ∃ S. S ⊆ F ∧ sunflower S ∧ card S = r ∧ card (∩ S) ≥ s
proof –
let ?g = λ (A :: 'a set) x. card x = s ∧ x ⊆ A
let ?E = {X. X ⊆ E ∧ card X = s}
from cardF have finF: finite F
  by (metis card.infinite le-0-eq less-le)
from cardF have FnE: F ≠ {} by force
{
  from FnE obtain B where B: B ∈ F by auto
  with F[rule-format, OF B] obtain A where A ⊆ E card A = s
  by (meson obtain-subset-with-card-n order-trans)
  hence ?E ≠ {} using B by auto
} note EnE = this
define f where f = (λ A. SOME x. ?g A x)
from finE have finiteE: finite ?E by simp

have f ∈ F → ?E
proof
  fix B
  assume B: B ∈ F
  with F[rule-format, OF B] have ∃ x. ?g B x by (meson obtain-subset-with-card-n)
  from someI-ex[OF this] B F show f B ∈ ?E unfolding f-def by auto
qed
from pigeonhole-card[OF this finF finiteE EnE]
obtain a where a: a ∈ ?E

```

and $le: \text{card } F \leq \text{card } (f - \{a\} \cap F) * \text{card } ?E$ **by** *auto*
have $\text{precond}: \forall A \in f - \{a\} \cap F. \text{finite } A \wedge \text{card } A \leq k$
using $F \text{ finite-subset}[OF - \text{finE}]$ **by** *auto*
have $c * (\text{card } E \text{ choose } s) = (\text{card } E \text{ choose } s) * c$ **by** *simp*
also have $\dots < \text{card } F$ **by** *fact*
also have $\dots \leq (\text{card } (f - \{a\} \cap F)) * \text{card } ?E$ **by** *fact*
also have $\text{card } ?E = \text{card } E \text{ choose } s$ **by** $(\text{rule } n\text{-subsets}[OF \text{ finE}])$
finally have $c < \text{card } (f - \{a\} \cap F)$ **by** *auto*
from $\text{sunflower}[OF \text{ precondition this}]$
obtain S **where** $*$: $S \subseteq f - \{a\} \cap F$ $\text{sunflower } S \text{ card } S = r$
by *auto*
from $\text{finite-subset}[OF - \text{finF}, \text{ of } S]$
have $\text{finS}: \text{finite } S$ **using** $*$ **by** *auto*
from $* r$ **have** $\text{SnE}: S \neq \{\}$ **by** *auto*
have $\text{finIS}: \text{finite } (\bigcap S)$
proof $(\text{rule } \text{finite-Inter})$
from SnE **obtain** A **where** $A: A \in S$ **by** *auto*
with $F s$ **have** $\text{finite } A$
using $* \text{precond}$ **by** *blast*
thus $\exists A \in S. \text{finite } A$ **using** A **by** *auto*
qed
show $?thesis$
proof $(\text{intro } \text{exI}[\text{of } - S] \text{ conjI } *)$
show $S \subseteq F$ **using** $*$ **by** *auto*
{
fix A
assume $A \in S$
with $*(1)$ **have** $A \in f - \{a\}$ **and** $A: A \in F$ **using** $*$ **by** *auto*
from this **have** $** : f A = a A \in F$ **by** *auto*
from $F[\text{rule-format}, OF A]$ **have** $\exists x. \text{card } x = s \wedge x \subseteq A$
by $(\text{meson } \text{obtain-subset-with-card-n } \text{order-trans})$
from $\text{someI-ex}[\text{of } ?g A, OF \text{ this}] **$
have $a \subseteq A$ **unfolding** $f\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*
}
hence $a \subseteq \bigcap S$ **by** *auto*
from $\text{card-mono}[OF \text{ finIS } \text{this}]$
have $\text{card } a \leq \text{card } (\bigcap S)$.
with a **show** $s \leq \text{card } (\bigcap S)$ **by** *auto*
qed
qed

lemma *sunflower-nonempty-core-lift*:

assumes $\text{finE}: \text{finite } (E :: 'a \text{ set})$
and $\text{sunflower}: \bigwedge G :: 'a \text{ set set.}$
 $(\forall A \in G. \text{finite } A \wedge \text{card } A \leq k) \implies \text{card } G > c$
 $\implies \exists S. S \subseteq G \wedge \text{sunflower } S \wedge \text{card } S = r$
and $F: \forall A \in F. A \subseteq E \wedge \text{card } A \leq k$
and $\text{empty}: \{\} \notin F$
and $\text{cardF}: \text{card } F > \text{card } E * c$

```

shows  $\exists S. S \subseteq F \wedge \text{sunflower } S \wedge \text{card } S = r \wedge (\bigcap S) \neq \{\}$ 
proof (cases r = 0)
  case False
  from F empty have F':  $\forall A \in F. A \subseteq E \wedge 1 \leq \text{card } A \wedge \text{card } A \leq k$  using finE
    by (metis One-nat-def Suc-leI card-gt-0-iff finite-subset)
  from cardF have cardF': (card E choose 1) * c < card F by auto
  from sunflower-card-core-lift[OF finE sunflower, of k c F 1, OF - - F' cardF' -
False]
  obtain S where  $S \subseteq F$  and main: sunflower S card S = r 1 ≤ card (⋂ S) by
auto
  thus ?thesis by (intro exI[of - S], auto)
next
  case True
  thus ?thesis by (intro exI[of - {}], auto simp: empty-sunflower)
qed

```

end

2 The Sunflower Lemma

We formalize the proof of the sunflower lemma of Erdős and Rado [2], as it is presented in the textbook [3, Chapter 6]. We further integrate Exercise 6.2 from the textbook, which provides a lower bound on the existence of sunflowers.

theory Erdos-Rado-Sunflower

imports

Sunflower

begin

When removing an element from all subsets, then one can afterwards add these elements to a sunflower and get a new sunflower.

lemma sunflower-remove-element-lift:

assumes S: $S \subseteq \{ A - \{a\} \mid A . A \in F \wedge a \in A \}$

and sf: sunflower S

shows $\exists Sa. \text{sunflower } Sa \wedge Sa \subseteq F \wedge \text{card } Sa = \text{card } S \wedge Sa = \text{insert } a \text{ ' } S$

proof (intro exI[of - insert a ' S] conjI refl)

let ?Sa = insert a ' S

{

fix B

assume $B \in ?Sa$

then obtain C **where** $C \in S$ **and** B: $B = \text{insert } a \text{ } C$

by auto

from C S **obtain** T **where** $T \in F \wedge a \in T \wedge C = T - \{a\}$

by auto

with B **have** $B = T$ **by** auto

with $\langle T \in F \rangle$ **have** $B \in F$ **by** auto

}

```

thus SaF: ?Sa  $\subseteq$  F by auto
have inj: inj-on (insert a) S using S
  by (intro inj-on-inverseI[of -  $\lambda$  B. B - {a}], auto)
thus card ?Sa = card S by (rule card-image)
show sunflower ?Sa unfolding sunflower-def
proof (intro allI, intro impI)
  fix x
  assume  $\exists$  C D. C  $\in$  ?Sa  $\wedge$  D  $\in$  ?Sa  $\wedge$  C  $\neq$  D  $\wedge$  x  $\in$  C  $\wedge$  x  $\in$  D
  then obtain C D where *: C  $\in$  ?Sa D  $\in$  ?Sa C  $\neq$  D x  $\in$  C x  $\in$  D
  by auto
  from *(1-2) obtain C' D' where
    **: C'  $\in$  S D'  $\in$  S C = insert a C' D = insert a D'
  by auto
  with  $\langle$  C  $\neq$  D  $\rangle$  inj have CD': C'  $\neq$  D' by auto
  show  $\forall$  E. E  $\in$  ?Sa  $\longrightarrow$  x  $\in$  E
  proof (cases x = a)
    case False
    with *** have x  $\in$  C' x  $\in$  D' by auto
    with ** CD' have  $\exists$  C D. C  $\in$  S  $\wedge$  D  $\in$  S  $\wedge$  C  $\neq$  D  $\wedge$  x  $\in$  C  $\wedge$  x  $\in$  D by
  auto
  from sf[unfolded sunflower-def, rule-format, OF this]
  show ?thesis by auto
  qed auto
qed
qed

```

The sunflower-lemma of Erdős and Rado: if a set has a certain size and all elements have the same cardinality, then a sunflower exists.

```

lemma Erdos-Rado-sunflower-same-card:
  assumes  $\forall$  A  $\in$  F. finite A  $\wedge$  card A = k
  and card F > (r - 1)  $\wedge$  k * fact k
  shows  $\exists$  S. S  $\subseteq$  F  $\wedge$  sunflower S  $\wedge$  card S = r  $\wedge$  {}  $\notin$  S
  using assms
proof (induct k arbitrary: F)
  case 0
  hence F = {{}}  $\vee$  F = {} card F  $\geq$  2 by auto
  hence False by auto
  thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc k F)
  define pd-sub :: 'a set set  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
    pd-sub = ( $\lambda$  G t. G  $\subseteq$  F  $\wedge$  card G = t  $\wedge$  pairwise disjnt G  $\wedge$  {}  $\notin$  G)
  show ?case
  proof (cases  $\exists$  t G. pd-sub G t  $\wedge$  t  $\geq$  r)
    case True
    then obtain t G where pd-sub: pd-sub G t and t: t  $\geq$  r by auto
    from pd-sub[unfolded pd-sub-def] pairwise-disjnt-imp-sunflower
    have *: G  $\subseteq$  F card G = t sunflower G {}  $\notin$  G by auto
    from t  $\langle$  card G = t  $\rangle$  obtain H where H  $\subseteq$  G card H = r

```



```

    by (metis obtain-subset-with-card-n)
  with sunflower-subset[OF ‹ $H \subseteq G$ ›] * show ?thesis by blast
next
case False
define P where P = ( $\lambda t. \exists G. \text{pd-sub } G t$ )
have ex:  $\exists t. P t$  unfolding P-def
  by (intro exI[of - 0] exI[of - {}], auto simp: pd-sub-def)
have large':  $\bigwedge t. P t \implies t < r$  using False unfolding P-def by auto
hence large:  $\bigwedge t. P t \implies t \leq r$  by fastforce
define t where t = (GREATEST t. P t)
from GreatestI-ex-nat[OF ex large, folded t-def] have Pt: P t .
from Greatest-le-nat[of P, OF - large]
have greatest:  $\bigwedge s. P s \implies s \leq t$  unfolding t-def by auto
from large'[OF Pt] have tr:  $t \leq r - 1$  by simp
from Pt[unfolded P-def pd-sub-def] obtain G where
  cardG: card G = t and
  disj: pairwise disjnt G and
  GF:  $G \subseteq F$ 
  by blast
define A where A = ( $\bigcup G$ )
from Suc(3) have card F > 0 by simp
hence finite F by (rule card-ge-0-finite)
from GF ‹finite F› have finG: finite G by (rule finite-subset)
have card ( $\bigcup G$ )  $\leq$  sum card G
  using card-Union-le-sum-card by blast
also have ...  $\leq$  of-nat (card G) * Suc k
  by (metis GF Suc.prem1 le-Suc-eq subsetD sum-bounded-above)
also have ...  $\leq$  (r - 1) * Suc k
  using tr[folded cardG] by (metis id-apply mult-le-mono1 of-nat-eq-id)
finally have cardA: card A  $\leq$  (r - 1) * Suc k unfolding A-def .
{
  fix B
  assume *: B  $\in$  F
  with Suc(2) have nE: B  $\neq$  {} by auto
  from Suc(2) have eF: {}  $\notin$  F by auto
  have B  $\cap$  A  $\neq$  {}
  proof
    assume dis: B  $\cap$  A = {}
    hence disj: pairwise disjnt ({B}  $\cup$  G) using disj unfolding A-def
      by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) Int-commute Un-iff
        Union-disjoint disjnt-def pairwise-def singleton-iff)
    from nE dis have B  $\notin$  G unfolding A-def by auto
    with finG have c: card ({B}  $\cup$  G) = Suc t by (simp add: cardG)
    have P (Suc t) unfolding P-def pd-sub-def
      by (intro exI[of - {B}  $\cup$  G], insert eF disj c * GF, auto)
    with greatest show False by force
  qed
} note overlap = this
have F  $\neq$  {} using Suc(2-) by auto

```

```

with overlap have Ane:  $A \neq \{\}$  unfolding A-def by auto
have finite A unfolding A-def using finG Suc(2-) GF by auto
let  $?g = \lambda B x. x \in B \cap A$ 
define f where  $f = (\lambda B. \text{SOME } x. ?g B x)$ 
have  $f \in F \rightarrow A$ 
proof
  fix B
  assume  $B \in F$ 
  from overlap[OF this] have  $\exists x. ?g B x$  unfolding A-def by auto
  from someI-ex[OF this] show  $f B \in A$  unfolding f-def by auto
qed
from pigeonhole-card[OF this <finite F> <finite A> Ane]
obtain a where  $a: a \in A$ 
  and  $le: \text{card } F \leq \text{card } (f - \{a\} \cap F) * \text{card } A$  by auto
  {
    fix S
    assume  $S \in F \wedge f S \in \{a\}$ 
    with someI-ex[of ?g S] a overlap[OF this(1)]
    have  $a \in S$  unfolding f-def by auto
  } note  $FaS = \text{this}$ 
  let  $?F = \{S - \{a\} \mid S. S \in F \wedge f S \in \{a\}\}$ 
  from cardA have  $((r - 1) \wedge^k * \text{fact } k) * \text{card } A \leq ((r - 1) \wedge^k * \text{fact } k) * ((r - 1) * \text{Suc } k)$ 
  by simp
  also have  $\dots = (r - 1) \wedge (\text{Suc } k) * \text{fact } (\text{Suc } k)$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) fact-Suc mult.assoc mult.commute of-nat-id power-Suc2)
  also have  $\dots < \text{card } (f - \{a\} \cap F) * \text{card } A$ 
  using Suc(3) le by auto
  also have  $f - \{a\} \cap F = \{S \in F. f S \in \{a\}\}$  by auto
  also have  $\text{card } \dots = \text{card } ((\lambda S. S - \{a\}) \text{ ` } \{S \in F. f S \in \{a\}\})$ 
  by (subst card-image; intro inj-onI refl, insert FaS) auto
  also have  $(\lambda S. S - \{a\}) \text{ ` } \{S \in F. f S \in \{a\}\} = ?F$  by auto
  finally have  $lt: (r - 1) \wedge^k * \text{fact } k < \text{card } ?F$  by simp
  have  $\forall A \in ?F. \text{finite } A \wedge \text{card } A = k$  using Suc(2) FaS by auto
  from Suc(1)[OF this lt] obtain S
  where sunflower S  $\text{card } S = r$   $S \subseteq ?F$  by auto
  from  $\langle S \subseteq ?F \rangle$  FaS have  $S \subseteq \{A - \{a\} \mid A. A \in F \wedge a \in A\}$  by auto
  from sunflower-remove-element-lift[OF this <sunflower S> <card S = r>]
  show ?thesis by auto
qed
qed

```

Using *sunflower-card-subset-lift* we can easily replace the condition that the cardinality is exactly k by the requirement that the cardinality is at most k . However, then $\{\} \notin S$ cannot be ensured. Consider $r = 1 \wedge 0 < k \wedge F = \{\{\}\}$.

lemma *Erdos-Rado-sunflower*:

assumes $\forall A \in F. \text{finite } A \wedge \text{card } A \leq k$

and $\text{card } F > (r - 1) \wedge k * \text{fact } k$
shows $\exists S. S \subseteq F \wedge \text{sunflower } S \wedge \text{card } S = r$
by (*rule sunflower-card-subset-lift[OF - assms],*
metis Erdos-Rado-sunflower-same-card)

We further provide a lower bound on the existence of sunflowers, i.e., Exercise 6.2 of the textbook [3]. To be more precise, we prove that there is a set of sets of cardinality $(r - 1)^k$, where each element is a set of cardinality k , such that there is no subset which is a sunflower with cardinality of at least r .

lemma *sunflower-lower-bound:*

assumes *inf: infinite (UNIV :: 'a set)*

and $r: r \neq 0$

and $rk: r = 1 \implies k \neq 0$

shows $\exists F.$

$\text{card } F = (r - 1) \wedge k \wedge \text{finite } F \wedge$

$(\forall A \in F. \text{finite } (A :: 'a \text{ set}) \wedge \text{card } A = k) \wedge$

$(\nexists S. S \subseteq F \wedge \text{sunflower } S \wedge \text{card } S \geq r)$

proof (*cases r = 1*)

case *False*

with r **have** $r: r > 1$ **by** *auto*

show *?thesis*

proof (*induct k*)

case 0

have $\text{id}: S \subseteq \{\{\}\} \longleftrightarrow (S = \{\} \vee S = \{\{\}\})$ **for** $S :: 'a \text{ set}$ **set by** *auto*

show *?case* **using** r

by (*intro exI[of - \{\{\}\}], auto simp: id*)

next

case (*Suc k*)

then obtain F **where**

$\text{card}F: \text{card } F = (r - 1) \wedge k$ **and**

$\text{fin}: \text{finite } F$ **and**

$AF: \bigwedge A. (A :: 'a \text{ set}) \in F \implies \text{finite } A \wedge \text{card } A = k$ **and**

$\text{sf}: \neg (\exists S \subseteq F. \text{sunflower } S \wedge r \leq \text{card } S)$

by *metis*

main idea: get $k - 1$ fresh elements and add one of these to all elements of F

have $\text{finite } (\bigcup F)$ **using** $\text{fin } AF$ **by** *simp*

hence $\text{infinite } (\text{UNIV} - \bigcup F)$ **using** inf **by** *simp*

from *infinite-arbitrarily-large[OF this, of r - 1]*

obtain New **where** $\text{New}: \text{finite } \text{New} \wedge \text{card } \text{New} = r - 1$

$\text{New} \cap \bigcup F = \{\}$ **by** *auto*

define G **where** $G = (\lambda (A, a). \text{insert } a A) ` (F \times \text{New})$

show *?case*

proof (*intro exI[of - G] conjI*)

show $\text{finite } G$ **using** $\text{New fin unfolding } G\text{-def}$ **by** *simp*

have $\text{card } G = \text{card } (F \times \text{New})$ **unfolding** $G\text{-def}$

proof (*(subst card-image; (intro refl)?), intro inj-onI, clarsimp, goal-cases*)

```

case (1 A a B b)
hence ab: a = b using New by auto
from 1(1) have insert a A - {a} = insert b B - {a} by simp
also have insert a A - {a} = A using New 1 by auto
also have insert b B - {a} = B using New 1 ab[symmetric] by auto
finally show ?case using ab by auto
qed
also have ... = card F * card New using New fin by auto
finally show card G = (r - 1) ^ Suc k
  unfolding cardF New by simp
{
  fix B
  assume B ∈ G
  then obtain a A where G: a ∈ New A ∈ F B = insert a A
    unfolding G-def by auto
  with AF[of A] New have finite B card B = Suc k
    by (auto simp: card-insert-if)
}
thus ∀ A ∈ G. finite A ∧ card A = Suc k by auto
show ¬ (∃ S ⊆ G. sunflower S ∧ r ≤ card S)
proof (intro notI, elim exE conjE)
  fix S
  assume *: S ⊆ G sunflower S r ≤ card S
  define g where g B = (SOME a. a ∈ New ∧ a ∈ B) for B
  {
    fix B
    assume B ∈ S
    with ⟨S ⊆ G⟩ have B ∈ G by auto
    hence ∃ a. a ∈ New ∧ a ∈ B unfolding G-def by auto
    from someI-ex[OF this, folded g-def]
    have g B ∈ New g B ∈ B by auto
  } note gB = this
  have card (g ' S) ≤ card New
    by (rule card-mono, insert New gB, auto)
  also have ... < r unfolding New using r by simp
  also have ... ≤ card S by fact
  finally have card (g ' S) < card S .
  from pigeonhole[OF this] have ¬ inj-on g S .
  then obtain B1 B2 where B12: B1 ∈ S B2 ∈ S B1 ≠ B2 g B1 = g B2
    unfolding inj-on-def by auto
  define a where a = g B2
  from B12 gB[of B1] gB[of B2] have a: a ∈ New a ∈ B1 a ∈ B2
    unfolding a-def by auto
  with B12 have ∃ B1 B2. B1 ∈ S ∧ B2 ∈ S ∧ B1 ≠ B2 ∧ a ∈ B1 ∧ a ∈
B2
    unfolding a-def by blast
  from ⟨sunflower S⟩[unfolded sunflower-def, rule-format, OF this]
  have aS: B ∈ S ⇒ a ∈ B for B by auto
  define h where h B = B - {a} for B

```

```

define T where T = h ` S
have  $\exists S \subseteq F$ . sunflower S  $\wedge r \leq \text{card } S$ 
proof (intro exI[of - T] conjI)
  {
    fix B
    assume B  $\in S$ 
    have hB: h B = B - {a}
      unfolding h-def T-def by auto
    from aS  $\langle B \in S \rangle$  have aB: a  $\in B$  by auto
    from  $\langle B \in S \rangle \langle S \subseteq G \rangle$  obtain a' A where AF: A  $\in F$ 
      and B: B = insert a' A
      and a': a'  $\in \text{New}$  unfolding G-def by force
    from aB B a' New AF a(1) hB AF have insert a (h B) = B h B = A
  by auto
    hence insert a (h B) = B h B  $\in F$  insert a (h B)  $\in S$  using AF  $\langle B \in S \rangle$  by auto
  } note main = this
  have CTS: C  $\in T \implies \text{insert } a \ C \in S$  for C using main unfolding
T-def by force
  show T  $\subseteq F$  unfolding T-def using main by auto
  have r  $\leq \text{card } S$  by fact
  also have ... = card T unfolding T-def
    by (subst card-image, intro inj-on-inverseI[of - insert a], insert main,
auto)
  finally show r  $\leq \text{card } T$  .
  show sunflower T unfolding sunflower-def
  proof (intro allI impI, elim exE conjE, goal-cases)
    case (1 x C C1 C2)
    from CTS[OF  $\langle C1 \in T \rangle$ ] CTS[OF  $\langle C2 \in T \rangle$ ] CTS[OF  $\langle C \in T \rangle$ ]
    have *: insert a C1  $\in S$  insert a C2  $\in S$  insert a C  $\in S$  by auto
    from 1 have insert a C1  $\neq$  insert a C2 using main
      unfolding T-def by auto
    hence  $\exists A B. A \in S \wedge B \in S \wedge A \neq B \wedge x \in A \wedge x \in B$ 
      using * 1 by auto
    from  $\langle \text{sunflower } S \rangle$ [unfolded sunflower-def, rule-format, OF this *(3)]
    have x  $\in \text{insert } a \ C$  .
    with 1 show x  $\in C$  unfolding T-def h-def by auto
  qed
  qed
  with sf
  show False ..
  qed
  qed
  qed
next
  case r: True
  with rk have k  $\neq 0$  by auto
  then obtain l where k = Suc l by (cases k, auto)
  show ?thesis unfolding r k

```

by (*intro exI[of - {}], auto*)
qed

The difference between the lower and the upper bound on the existence of sunflowers as they have been formalized is *fact k*. There is more recent work with tighter bounds [1], but we only integrate the initial result of Erdős and Rado in this theory.

We further provide the Erdős Rado lemma lifted to obtain non-empty cores or cores of arbitrary cardinality.

lemma *Erdos-Rado-sunflower-card-core:*
assumes *finite E*
and $\forall A \in F. A \subseteq E \wedge s \leq \text{card } A \wedge \text{card } A \leq k$
and $\text{card } F > (\text{card } E \text{ choose } s) * (r - 1) \wedge k * \text{fact } k$
and $s \neq 0$
and $r \neq 0$
shows $\exists S. S \subseteq F \wedge \text{sunflower } S \wedge \text{card } S = r \wedge \text{card } (\bigcap S) \geq s$
by (*rule sunflower-card-core-lift[OF assms(1) - assms(2) - assms(4-5),*
*of (r - 1) \wedge k * fact k],*
rule Erdos-Rado-sunflower, insert assms(3), auto simp: ac-simps)

lemma *Erdos-Rado-sunflower-nonempty-core:*
assumes *finite E*
and $\forall A \in F. A \subseteq E \wedge \text{card } A \leq k$
and $\{\} \notin F$
and $\text{card } F > \text{card } E * (r - 1) \wedge k * \text{fact } k$
shows $\exists S. S \subseteq F \wedge \text{sunflower } S \wedge \text{card } S = r \wedge \bigcap S \neq \{\}$
by (*rule sunflower-nonempty-core-lift[OF assms(1)*
*- assms(2-3), of (r - 1) \wedge k * fact k],*
rule Erdos-Rado-sunflower, insert assms(4), auto simp: ac-simps)

end

References

- [1] Ryan Alweiss, Shachar Lovett, Kewen Wu, and Jiapeng Zhang. Improved bounds for the sunflower lemma. In *Proceedings of the 52nd Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC 2020*, pages 624–630. ACM, 2020. doi:10.1145/3357713.3384234.
- [2] Paul Erdős and Richard Rado. Intersection theorems for systems of sets. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 35:85–90, 1960. doi:10.1112/jlms/s1-35.1.85.
- [3] Stasys Jukna. *Extremal Combinatorics*. Texts in Theoretical Computer Science. An EATCS Series. Springer, 2011. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-17364-6_6.