

# Subresultants\*

Sebastiaan Joosten, René Thiemann and Akihisa Yamada

March 19, 2025

## Abstract

We formalize the theory of subresultants and the subresultant polynomial remainder sequence as described by Brown and Traub. As a result, we obtain efficient certified algorithms for computing the resultant and the greatest common divisor of polynomials.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Resultants</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Dichotomous Lazard</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Binary Exponentiation</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Homomorphisms</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Polynomial coefficients with integer index</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Subresultants and the subresultant PRS</b>	<b>9</b>
7.1	Algorithm . . . . .	9
7.2	Soundness Proof for <i>div-exp-param.resultant-impl</i> <i>div-exp</i> = <i>resultant</i> . . . . .	10
7.3	Code Equations . . . . .	22
<b>8</b>	<b>Computing the Gcd via the subresultant PRS</b>	<b>26</b>
8.1	Algorithm . . . . .	26
8.2	Soundness Proof for <i>gcd-impl</i> = <i>gcd</i> . . . . .	26
8.3	Code Equations . . . . .	28

---

\*Supported by FWF (Austrian Science Fund) project Y757.

## 1 Introduction

Computing the gcd of two polynomials can be done via the Euclidean algorithm, if the domain of the polynomials is a field. For non-field polynomials, one has to replace the modulo operation by the pseudo-modulo operation, which results in the exponential growth of coefficients in the gcd algorithm. To counter this problem, one may divide the intermediate polynomials by their contents in every iteration of the gcd algorithm. This is precisely the way how currently resultants and gcds are computed in Isabelle.

Computing contents in every iteration is a costly operation, and therefore Brown and Traub have developed the subresultant PRS (polynomial remainder sequence) algorithm [1, 2]. It avoids intermediate content computation and at the same time keeps the coefficients small, i.e., the coefficients grow at most polynomially.

The soundness of the subresultant PRS gcd algorithm is in principle similar to the Euclidean algorithm, i.e., the intermediate polynomials that are computed in both algorithms differ only by a constant factor. The major problem is to prove that all the performed divisions are indeed exact divisions. To this end, we formalize the fundamental theorem of Brown and Traub as well as the resulting algorithms by following the original (condensed) proofs. This is in contrast to a similar Coq formalization by Mahboubi [4], which follows another proof based on polynomial determinants.

As a consequence of the new algorithms, we significantly increased the speed of the algebraic number implementation [5] which heavily relies upon the computation of resultants of bivariate polynomials.

## 2 Resultants

This theory defines the Sylvester matrix and the resultant and contains basic facts about these notions. After the connection between resultants and subresultants has been established, we then use properties of subresultants to transfer them to resultants. Remark: these properties have previously been proven separately for both resultants and subresultants; and this is the reason for splitting the theory of resultants in two parts, namely “Resultant-Prelim” and “Resultant” which is located in the Algebraic-Number AFP-entry.

```
theory Resultant-Prelim
imports
  Jordan-Normal-Form.Determinant
  Polynomial-Interpolation.Ring-Hom-Poly
begin

  Sylvester matrix

definition sylvester-mat-sub :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a poly ⇒ 'a poly ⇒ 'a :: zero mat
  where
```

```

sylvester-mat-sub m n p q ≡
mat (m+n) (m+n) ( $\lambda$  (i,j).
  if i < n then
    if i ≤ j ∧ j - i ≤ m then coeff p (m + i - j) else 0
  else if i - n ≤ j ∧ j ≤ i then coeff q (i-j) else 0)

```

**definition** *sylvester-mat* :: 'a poly ⇒ 'a poly ⇒ 'a :: zero mat **where**  
*sylvester-mat* p q ≡ *sylvester-mat-sub* (degree p) (degree q) p q

**lemma** *sylvester-mat-sub-dim*[simp]:  
**fixes** m n p q  
**defines** S ≡ *sylvester-mat-sub* m n p q  
**shows** dim-row S = m+n **and** dim-col S = m+n  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *sylvester-mat-sub-carrier*:  
**shows** *sylvester-mat-sub* m n p q ∈ carrier-mat (m+n) (m+n) *{proof}*

**lemma** *sylvester-mat-dim*[simp]:  
**fixes** p q  
**defines** d ≡ degree p + degree q  
**shows** dim-row (*sylvester-mat* p q) = d dim-col (*sylvester-mat* p q) = d  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *sylvester-carrier-mat*:  
**fixes** p q  
**defines** d ≡ degree p + degree q  
**shows** *sylvester-mat* p q ∈ carrier-mat d d *{proof}*

**lemma** *sylvester-mat-sub-index*:  
**fixes** p q  
**assumes** i: i < m+n **and** j: j < m+n  
**shows** *sylvester-mat-sub* m n p q §§ (i,j) =  
 (if i < n then
 if i ≤ j ∧ j - i ≤ m then coeff p (m + i - j) else 0
 else if i - n ≤ j ∧ j ≤ i then coeff q (i-j) else 0)
*{proof}*

**lemma** *sylvester-index-mat*:  
**fixes** p q  
**defines** m ≡ degree p **and** n ≡ degree q  
**assumes** i: i < m+n **and** j: j < m+n  
**shows** *sylvester-mat* p q §§ (i,j) =  
 (if i < n then
 if i ≤ j ∧ j - i ≤ m then coeff p (m + i - j) else 0
 else if i - n ≤ j ∧ j ≤ i then coeff q (i-j) else 0)
*{proof}*

**lemma** *sylvester-index-mat2*:

```

fixes p q :: 'a :: comm-semiring-1 poly
defines m ≡ degree p and n ≡ degree q
assumes i: i < m+n and j: j < m+n
shows sylvester-mat p q $$ (i,j) =
  (if i < n then coeff (monom 1 (n - i) * p) (m+n-j)
   else coeff (monom 1 (m + n - i) * q) (m+n-j))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sylvester-mat-sub-0[simp]: sylvester-mat-sub 0 n 0 q = 0m n n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sylvester-mat-0[simp]: sylvester-mat 0 q = 0m (degree q) (degree q)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sylvester-mat-const[simp]:
  fixes a :: 'a :: semiring-1
  shows sylvester-mat [:a:] q = a ·m 1m (degree q)
  and sylvester-mat p [:a:] = a ·m 1m (degree p)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sylvester-mat-sub-map:
  assumes f0: f 0 = 0
  shows map-mat f (sylvester-mat-sub m n p q) = sylvester-mat-sub m n (map-poly
    f p) (map-poly f q)
    (is ?l = ?r)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition resultant :: 'a poly ⇒ 'a poly ⇒ 'a :: comm-ring-1 where
  resultant p q = det (sylvester-mat p q)

  Resultant, but the size of the base Sylvester matrix is given.

definition resultant-sub m n p q = det (sylvester-mat-sub m n p q)

lemma resultant-sub: resultant p q = resultant-sub (degree p) (degree q) p q
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma resultant-const[simp]:
  fixes a :: 'a :: comm-ring-1
  shows resultant [:a:] q = a ^ (degree q)
  and resultant p [:a:] = a ^ (degree p)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma resultant-1[simp]:
  fixes p :: 'a :: comm-ring-1 poly
  shows resultant 1 p = 1 resultant p 1 = 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma resultant-0[simp]:

```

```

fixes p :: 'a :: comm-ring-1 poly
assumes degree p > 0
shows resultant 0 p = 0 resultant p 0 = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in comm-ring-hom) resultant-map-poly: degree (map-poly hom p) = degree
p ==>
degree (map-poly hom q) = degree q ==> resultant (map-poly hom p) (map-poly
hom q) = hom (resultant p q)
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in inj-comm-ring-hom) resultant-hom: resultant (map-poly hom p) (map-poly
hom q) = hom (resultant p q)
⟨proof⟩

end

```

### 3 Dichotomous Lazard

This theory contains Lazard's optimization in the computation of the sub-resultant PRS as described by Ducos [3, Section 2].

```

theory Dichotomous-Lazard
imports
HOL-Computational-Algebra.Polynomial-Factorial
begin

lemma power-fract[simp]: (Fract a b) ^ n = Fract (a ^ n) (b ^ n)
⟨proof⟩

lemma range-to-fract-dvd-iff: assumes b: b ≠ 0
shows Fract a b ∈ range to-fract ↔ b dvd a
⟨proof⟩

lemma Fract-cases-coprime [cases type: fract]:
fixes q :: 'a :: factorial-ring-gcd fract
obtains (Fract) a b where q = Fract a b b ≠ 0 coprime a b
⟨proof⟩

lemma to-fract-power-le: fixes a :: 'a :: factorial-ring-gcd fract
assumes no-fract: a * b ^ e ∈ range to-fract
and a: a ∈ range to-fract
and le: f ≤ e
shows a * b ^ f ∈ range to-fract
⟨proof⟩

lemma div-divide-to-fract: assumes x ∈ range to-fract
and x = (y :: 'a :: idom-divide fract) / z
and x' = y' div z'
⟨proof⟩

```

```

and  $y = \text{to-fract } y'$   $z = \text{to-fract } z'$ 
shows  $x = \text{to-fract } x'$ 
⟨proof⟩

declare Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat-def [termination-simp]

fun dichotomous-Lazard :: 'a :: idom-divide  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a where
dichotomous-Lazard x y n = (if  $n \leq 1$  then if  $n = 1$  then x else 1 else
let (d,r) = Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat n 2;
rec = dichotomous-Lazard x y d;
recsq = rec * rec div y in
if r = 0 then recsq else recsq * x div y)

lemma dichotomous-Lazard-main: fixes x :: 'a :: idom-divide
assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \leq n \implies (\text{to-fract } x)^{\wedge i} / (\text{to-fract } y)^{\wedge(i-1)} \in \text{range to-fract}$ 
shows  $\text{to-fract}(\text{dichotomous-Lazard } x y n) = (\text{to-fract } x)^{\wedge n} / (\text{to-fract } y)^{\wedge(n-1)}$ 

⟨proof⟩

lemma dichotomous-Lazard: fixes x :: 'a :: factorial-ring-gcd
assumes  $(\text{to-fract } x)^{\wedge n} / (\text{to-fract } y)^{\wedge(n-1)} \in \text{range to-fract}$ 
shows  $\text{to-fract}(\text{dichotomous-Lazard } x y n) = (\text{to-fract } x)^{\wedge n} / (\text{to-fract } y)^{\wedge(n-1)}$ 

⟨proof⟩

declare dichotomous-Lazard.simps[simp del]

end

```

## 4 Binary Exponentiation

This theory defines the standard algorithm for binary exponentiation, or exponentiation by squaring.

```

theory Binary-Exponentiation
imports
  Main
begin

declare Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat-def[termination-simp]

context monoid-mult
begin
fun binary-power :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a where
binary-power x n = (if  $n = 0$  then 1 else
let (d,r) = Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat n 2;
rec = binary-power (x * x) d in
if r = 0 then rec else rec * x)

```

```

lemma binary-power[simp]: binary-power = ( $\wedge$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma binary-power-code-unfold[code-unfold]: ( $\wedge$ ) = binary-power
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

declare binary-power.simps[simp del]
end
end

```

## 5 Homomorphisms

We register two homomorphism, namely lifting constants to polynomials, and lifting elements of some domain into their fraction field.

```

theory More-Homomorphisms
  imports Polynomial-Interpolation.Ring-Hom-Poly
    Jordan-Normal-Form.Determinant
  begin

  abbreviation (input) coeff-lift ==  $\lambda a. [: a :]$ 

  interpretation coeff-lift-hom: inj-comm-monoid-add-hom coeff-lift  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
  interpretation coeff-lift-hom: inj-ab-group-add-hom coeff-lift  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
  interpretation coeff-lift-hom: inj-comm-semiring-hom coeff-lift
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 
  interpretation coeff-lift-hom: inj-comm-ring-hom coeff-lift  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
  interpretation coeff-lift-hom: inj-idom-hom coeff-lift  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

The following rule is incompatible with existing simp rules.

```

declare coeff-lift-hom.hom-mult[simp del]
declare coeff-lift-hom.hom-add[simp del]
declare coeff-lift-hom.hom-uminus[simp del]

interpretation to-fract-hom: inj-comm-ring-hom to-fract  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
interpretation to-fract-hom: idom-hom to-fract  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
interpretation to-fract-hom: inj-idom-hom to-fract  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

## 6 Polynomial coefficients with integer index

We provide a function to access the coefficients of a polynomial via an integer index. Then index-shifting becomes more convenient, e.g., compare in the lemmas for accessing the coefficient of a product with a monomial there is no special case for integer coefficients, whereas for natural number coefficients there is a case-distinction.

```
theory Coeff-Int
```

```

imports
  HOL-Combinatorics.Permutations
  Polynomial-Interpolation.Missing-Polynomial
begin

definition coeff-int :: 'a :: zero poly ⇒ int ⇒ 'a where
  coeff-int p i = (if i < 0 then 0 else coeff p (nat i))

lemma coeff-int-eq-0: i < 0 ∨ i > int (degree p) ⇒ coeff-int p i = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma coeff-int-smult[simp]: coeff-int (smult c p) i = c * coeff-int p i
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma coeff-int-signof-mult: coeff-int (of-int (sign x) * f) i = of-int (sign x) *
  coeff-int f i
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma coeff-int-sum: coeff-int (sum p A) i = (∑ x∈A. coeff-int (p x) i)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma coeff-int-0[simp]: coeff-int f 0 = coeff f 0 ⟨proof⟩

lemma coeff-int-monom-mult: coeff-int (monom a d * f) i = (a * coeff-int f (i - d))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma coeff-prod-const: assumes finite xs and y ∉ xs
  and ∧ x. x ∈ xs ⇒ degree (f x) = 0
  shows coeff (prod f (insert y xs)) i = prod (λ x. coeff (f x) 0) xs * coeff (f y) i
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma coeff-int-prod-const: assumes finite xs and y ∉ xs
  and ∧ x. x ∈ xs ⇒ degree (f x) = 0
  shows coeff-int (prod f (insert y xs)) i = prod (λ x. coeff-int (f x) 0) xs * coeff-int
    (f y) i
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma coeff-int[simp]: coeff-int p n = coeff p n ⟨proof⟩

lemma coeff-int-minus[simp]:
  coeff-int (a - b) i = coeff-int a i - coeff-int b i
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma coeff-int-pCons-0[simp]: coeff-int (pCons 0 b) i = coeff-int b (i - 1)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 7 Subresultants and the subresultant PRS

This theory contains most of the soundness proofs of the subresultant PRS algorithm, where we closely follow the papers of Brown [1] and Brown and Traub [2]. This is in contrast to a similar Coq formalization of Mahboubi [4] which is based on polynomial determinants.

Whereas the current file only contains an algorithm to compute the resultant of two polynomials efficiently, there is another theory “Subresultant-Gcd” which also contains the algorithm to compute the GCD of two polynomials via the subresultant algorithm. In both algorithms we integrate Lazard’s optimization in the dichotomous version, but not the second optimization described by Ducos [3].

```
theory Subresultant
imports
  Resultant-Prelim
  Dichotomous-Lazard
  Binary-Exponentiation
  More-Homomorphisms
  Coeff-Int
begin
```

### 7.1 Algorithm

```
locale div-exp-param =
  fixes div-exp :: 'a :: idom-divide  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a
begin
partial-function(tailrec) subresultant-prs-main where
  subresultant-prs-main f g c = (let
    m = degree f;
    n = degree g;
    lf = lead-coeff f;
    lg = lead-coeff g;
     $\delta$  = m - n;
    d = div-exp lg c  $\delta$ ;
    h = pseudo-mod f g
    in if h = 0 then (g,d)
      else subresultant-prs-main g ((-1)  $\wedge$  ( $\delta$  + 1) * lf * (c  $\wedge$   $\delta$ )) d)

definition subresultant-prs where
  subresultant-prs f g = (let
    h = pseudo-mod f g;
     $\delta$  = (degree f - degree g);
    d = lead-coeff g  $\wedge$   $\delta$ 
    in if h = 0 then (g,d)
      else subresultant-prs-main g ((-1)  $\wedge$  ( $\delta$  + 1) * h) d)

definition resultant-impl-main where
  resultant-impl-main G1 G2 = (if G2 = 0 then (if degree G1 = 0 then 1 else 0)
```

```

else
  case subresultant-prs G1 G2 of
    (Gk,hk) => (if degree Gk = 0 then hk else 0))

definition resultant-impl where
  resultant-impl f g =
    (if length (coeffs f) ≥ length (coeffs g) then resultant-impl-main f g
     else let res = resultant-impl-main g f in
          if even (degree f) ∨ even (degree g) then res else - res)
end

locale div-exp-sound = div-exp-param +
assumes div-exp: ⋀ x y n.
  (to-fract x) ^ n / (to-fract y) ^ (n-1) ∈ range to-fract
  => to-fract (div-exp x y n) = (to-fract x) ^ n / (to-fract y) ^ (n-1)

```

```

definition basic-div-exp :: 'a :: idom-divide ⇒ 'a ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a where
  basic-div-exp x y n = x ^ n div y ^ (n-1)

```

We have an instance for arbitrary integral domains.

```

lemma basic-div-exp: div-exp-sound basic-div-exp
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Lazard's optimization is only proven for factorial rings.

```

lemma dichotomous-Lazard: div-exp-sound (dichotomous-Lazard :: 'a :: factorial-ring-gcd
  ⇒ -)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

## 7.2 Soundness Proof for *div-exp-param.resultant-impl div-exp = resultant*

```

abbreviation pdmod :: 'a::field poly ⇒ 'a poly ⇒ 'a poly × 'a poly
where
  pdmod p q ≡ (p div q, p mod q)

```

```

lemma even-sum-list: assumes ⋀ x. x ∈ set xs => even (f x) = even (g x)
  shows even (sum-list (map f xs)) = even (sum-list (map g xs))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma for-all-Suc: P i => (forall j ≥ Suc i. P j) = (forall j ≥ i. P j) for P
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma pseudo-mod-left-0[simp]: pseudo-mod 0 x = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma pseudo-mod-right-0[simp]: pseudo-mod x 0 = x
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma snd-pseudo-divmod-main-cong:
  assumes a1 = b1 a3 = b3 a4 = b4 a5 = b5 a6 = b6
  shows snd (pseudo-divmod-main a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 a6) = snd (pseudo-divmod-main
  b1 b2 b3 b4 b5 b6)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma snd-pseudo-mod-smult-invar-right:
  shows (snd (pseudo-divmod-main (x * lc) q r (smult x d) dr n))
  = snd (pseudo-divmod-main lc q' (smult (x^n) r) d dr n)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma snd-pseudo-mod-smult-invar-left:
  shows snd (pseudo-divmod-main lc q (smult x r) d dr n)
  = smult x (snd (pseudo-divmod-main lc q' r d dr n))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma snd-pseudo-mod-smult-left[simp]:
  shows snd (pseudo-divmod (smult (x:'a::idom) p) q) = (smult x (snd (pseudo-divmod
  p q)))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pseudo-mod-smult-right:
  assumes (x:'a::idom) ≠ 0 q ≠ 0
  shows (pseudo-mod p (smult (x:'a::idom) q)) = (smult (x^(Suc (length (coeffs
  p) - length (coeffs q))) (pseudo-mod p q)))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pseudo-mod-zero[simp]:
  pseudo-mod 0 f = (0:'a :: {idom} poly)
  pseudo-mod f 0 = f
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma prod-combine:
  assumes j ≤ i
  shows f i * (Π l ← [j..<i]. (f l :: 'a::comm-monoid-mult)) = prod-list (map f
  [j..<Suc i])
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma prod-list-minus-1-exp: prod-list (map (λ i. (-1)^(f i)) xs)
  = (-1)^(sum-list (map f xs))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma minus-1-power-even: (-(1 :: 'b :: comm-ring-1))^k = (if even k then 1
  else (-1))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma minus-1-even-eqI: assumes even k = even l shows

```

$(- (1 :: 'b :: comm-ring-1)) \wedge k = (- 1) \wedge l$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (in *comm-monoid-mult*) *prod-list-multf*:  
 $(\prod x \leftarrow xs. f x * g x) = prod-list (map f xs) * prod-list (map g xs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inverse-prod-list*:  $inverse (prod-list xs) = prod-list (map inverse (xs :: 'a :: field list))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *pow-int* ::  $'a :: field \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow 'a$  **where**  
 $pow-int x e = (if e < 0 then 1 / (x \wedge (nat (-e))) else x \wedge (nat e))$

**lemma** *pow-int-0*[simp]:  $pow-int x 0 = 1$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *pow-int-1*[simp]:  $pow-int x 1 = x$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *exp-pow-int*:  $x \wedge n = pow-int x n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *pow-int-add*: **assumes**  $x: x \neq 0$  **shows**  $pow-int x (a + b) = pow-int x a * pow-int x b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *pow-int-mult*:  $pow-int (x * y) a = pow-int x a * pow-int y a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *pow-int-base-1*[simp]:  $pow-int 1 a = 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *pow-int-divide*:  $a / pow-int x b = a * pow-int x (-b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *divide-prod-assoc*:  $x / (y * z :: 'a :: field) = x / y / z$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *minus-1-inverse-pow*[simp]:  $x / (-1) \wedge n = (x :: 'a :: field) * (-1) \wedge n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *subresultant-mat* ::  $nat \Rightarrow 'a :: comm-ring-1 poly \Rightarrow 'a poly \Rightarrow 'a poly mat$  **where**  
 $subresultant-mat J F G = (let$   
 $dg = degree G; df = degree F; f = coeff-int F; g = coeff-int G; n = (df - J)$   
 $+ (dg - J)$   
 $in mat n n (\lambda (i,j). if j < dg - J then$   
 $if i = n - 1 then monom 1 (dg - J - 1 - j) * F else [: f (df - int i + int$   
 $j) :])$

```

else let jj = j - (dg - J) in
  if i = n - 1 then monom 1 (df - J - 1 - jj) * G else [: g (dg - int i +
int jj) :])

```

**lemma** *subresultant-mat-dim*[simp]:

**fixes** *j p q*

**defines** *S*  $\equiv$  *subresultant-mat j p q*

**shows** *dim-row S*  $= (\text{degree } p - j) + (\text{degree } q - j)$  **and** *dim-col S*  $= (\text{degree } p - j) + (\text{degree } q - j)$   
*(proof)*

**definition** *subresultant'-mat* :: *nat*  $\Rightarrow$  *nat*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a* :: *comm-ring-1 poly*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a poly*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a mat* **where**

*subresultant'-mat J l F G*  $= (\text{let}$   
     $\gamma = \text{degree } G; \varphi = \text{degree } F; f = \text{coeff-int } F; g = \text{coeff-int } G; n = (\varphi - J) + (\gamma - J)$   
     $\text{in mat } n n (\lambda (i,j). \text{if } j < \gamma - J \text{ then}$   
       $\text{if } i = n - 1 \text{ then } (f (l - \text{int } (\gamma - J - 1) + \text{int } j)) \text{ else } (f (\varphi - \text{int } i + \text{int } j))$   
       $\text{else let } jj = j - (\gamma - J) \text{ in}$   
       $\text{if } i = n - 1 \text{ then } (g (l - \text{int } (\varphi - J - 1) + \text{int } jj)) \text{ else } (g (\gamma - \text{int } i + \text{int } jj)))$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *subresultant-index-mat*:

**fixes** *F G*

**assumes** *i: i < (degree F - J) + (degree G - J)* **and** *j: j < (degree F - J) + (degree G - J)*

**shows** *subresultant-mat J F G \$\$ (i,j) =*  
*(if j < degree G - J then*  
*if i = (degree F - J) + (degree G - J) - 1 then monom 1 (degree G - J - 1 - j) \* F else ([: coeff-int F (degree F - int i + int j) :])*  
*else let jj = j - (degree G - J) in*  
*if i = (degree F - J) + (degree G - J) - 1 then monom 1 (degree F - J - 1 - jj) \* G else ([: coeff-int G (degree G - int i + int jj) :])*  
*(proof)*

**definition** *subresultant* :: *nat*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a* :: *comm-ring-1 poly*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a poly*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a poly* **where**  
*subresultant J F G = det (subresultant-mat J F G)*

**lemma** *subresultant-smult-left*: **assumes** (*c* :: '*a* :: {*comm-ring-1, semiring-no-zero-divisors*})  $\neq 0$

**shows** *subresultant J (smult c f) g = smult (c ^ (degree g - J)) (subresultant J f g)*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *subresultant-swap*:

**shows** *subresultant J f g = smult ((- 1) ^ ((degree f - J) \* (degree g - J))) (subresultant J g f)*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subresultant-smult-right:assumes* ( $c :: 'a :: \{comm-ring-1, semiring-no-zero-divisors\}$ )  
 $\neq 0$   
**shows** *subresultant J f (smult c g) = smult (c ^ (degree f - J)) (subresultant J f g)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *coeff-subresultant: coeff (subresultant J F G) l =*  
 $(if \text{degree } F - J + (\text{degree } G - J) = 0 \wedge l \neq 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \det(\text{subresultant}'-\text{mat } J l F G))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subresultant'-zero-ge: assumes*  $(\text{degree } f - J) + (\text{degree } g - J) \neq 0$  **and**  
 $k \geq \text{degree } f + (\text{degree } g - J)$   
**shows**  $\det(\text{subresultant}'-\text{mat } J k f g) = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subresultant'-zero-lt: assumes*  
 $J: J \leq \text{degree } f \quad J \leq \text{degree } g \quad J < k$   
**and**  $k: k < \text{degree } f + (\text{degree } g - J)$   
**shows**  $\det(\text{subresultant}'-\text{mat } J k f g) = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subresultant'-mat-sylvester-mat: transpose-mat (subresultant'-mat 0 0 f g)*  
 $= \text{sylvester-mat } f g$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *coeff-subresultant-0-0-resultant: coeff (subresultant 0 f g) 0 = resultant f g*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subresultant-zero-ge: assumes*  $k \geq \text{degree } f + (\text{degree } g - J)$   
**and**  $(\text{degree } f - J) + (\text{degree } g - J) \neq 0$   
**shows**  $\text{coeff}(\text{subresultant } J f g) k = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subresultant-zero-lt: assumes*  $k < \text{degree } f + (\text{degree } g - J)$   
**and**  $J \leq \text{degree } f \quad J \leq \text{degree } g \quad J < k$   
**shows**  $\text{coeff}(\text{subresultant } J f g) k = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subresultant-resultant: subresultant 0 f g = [: resultant f g :]*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *(in inj-comm-ring-hom) subresultant-hom:*  
 $\text{map-poly hom}(\text{subresultant } J f g) = \text{subresultant } J (\text{map-poly hom } f) (\text{map-poly hom } g)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

We now derive properties of the resultant via the connection to subre-

sultants.

**lemma** *resultant-smult-left*: **assumes**  $(c :: 'a :: idom) \neq 0$   
**shows**  $\text{resultant}(\text{smult } c f) g = c \wedge^{\text{degree } g} \text{resultant } f g$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *resultant-smult-right*: **assumes**  $(c :: 'a :: idom) \neq 0$   
**shows**  $\text{resultant } f (\text{smult } c g) = c \wedge^{\text{degree } f} \text{resultant } f g$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *resultant-swap*:  $\text{resultant } f g = (-1) \wedge^{\text{degree } f * \text{degree } g} (\text{resultant } g f)$   
*⟨proof⟩*

The following equations are taken from Brown-Traub “On Euclid’s Algorithm and the Theory of Subresultant” (BT)

**lemma** *fixes*  $F B G H :: 'a :: idom$  **poly** **and**  $J :: nat$   
**defines**  $df: df \equiv \text{degree } F$   
**and**  $dg: dg \equiv \text{degree } G$   
**and**  $dh: dh \equiv \text{degree } H$   
**and**  $db: db \equiv \text{degree } B$   
**defines**  
 $n: n \equiv (df - J) + (dg - J)$   
**and**  $f: f \equiv \text{coeff-int } F$   
**and**  $b: b \equiv \text{coeff-int } B$   
**and**  $g: g \equiv \text{coeff-int } G$   
**and**  $h: h \equiv \text{coeff-int } H$   
**assumes**  $FGH: F + B * G = H$   
**and**  $dfg: df \geq dg$   
**and**  $\text{choice}: dg > dh \vee H = 0 \wedge F \neq 0 \wedge G \neq 0$   
**shows** *BT-eq-18*:  $\text{subresultant } J F G = \text{smult}((-1) \wedge^{\text{((df - J) * (dg - J))}} (\det(\text{mat } n n (\lambda(i,j).$   
 $\text{if } j < df - J$   
 $\text{then if } i = n - 1 \text{ then monom } 1 ((df - J) - 1 - j) * G$   
 $\text{else } [:g (\text{int } dg - \text{int } i + \text{int } j):]$   
 $\text{else if } i = n - 1 \text{ then monom } 1 ((dg - J) - 1 - (j - (df - J))) * H$   
 $\text{else } [:h (\text{int } df - \text{int } i + \text{int } (j - (df - J))):]))$   
 $\text{(is } - = \text{smult } ?m1 ?right)$   
**and** *BT-eq-19*:  $dh \leq J \implies J < dg \implies \text{subresultant } J F G = \text{smult}((-1) \wedge^{\text{((df - J) * (dg - J))}} * \text{lead-coeff } G \wedge^{\text{(df - J)}} * \text{coeff } H J \wedge^{\text{(dg - J - 1)}} H$   
 $\text{(is } - \implies - \implies - = \text{smult } (- * ?G * ?H) H)$   
**and** *BT-lemma-1-12*:  $J < dh \implies \text{subresultant } J F G = \text{smult}((-1) \wedge^{\text{((df - J) * (dg - J))}} * \text{lead-coeff } G \wedge^{\text{(df - dh)}} (\text{subresultant } J G H))$   
**and** *BT-lemma-1-13'*:  $J = dh \implies dg > dh \vee H \neq 0 \implies \text{subresultant } dh F G = \text{smult}((-1) \wedge^{\text{((df - dh) * (dg - dh))}} * \text{lead-coeff } G \wedge^{\text{(df - dh)}} * \text{lead-coeff } H \wedge^{\text{(dg - dh - 1)}} H)$   
**and** *BT-lemma-1-14*:  $dh < J \implies J < dg - 1 \implies \text{subresultant } J F G = 0$   
**and** *BT-lemma-1-15'*:  $J = dg - 1 \implies dg > dh \vee H \neq 0 \implies \text{subresultant } (dg$

```

- 1)  $F G = smult ($ 
 $(-1)^{\lceil df - dg + 1 \rceil} * lead-coeff G^{\lceil df - dg + 1 \rceil}) H$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas BT-lemma-1-13 = BT-lemma-1-13'[OF --- refl]
lemmas BT-lemma-1-15 = BT-lemma-1-15'[OF --- refl]

lemma subresultant-product: fixes  $F :: 'a :: idom poly$ 
assumes  $F = B * G$ 
and  $FG$ :  $\text{degree } F \geq \text{degree } G$ 
shows subresultant  $J F G = (\text{if } J < \text{degree } G \text{ then } 0 \text{ else}$ 
 $\text{if } J < \text{degree } F \text{ then } smult (lead-coeff G^{\lceil \text{degree } F - J - 1 \rceil}) G \text{ else } 1)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma resultant-pseudo-mod-0: assumes pseudo-mod  $f g = (0 :: 'a :: idom\text{-divide poly})$ 
and  $dfg$ :  $\text{degree } f \geq \text{degree } g$ 
and  $f$ :  $f \neq 0$  and  $g$ :  $g \neq 0$ 
shows resultant  $f g = (\text{if } \text{degree } g = 0 \text{ then } lead-coeff g^{\lceil \text{degree } f \rceil} \text{ else } 0)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

locale primitive-remainder-sequence =
fixes  $F :: nat \Rightarrow 'a :: idom\text{-divide poly}$ 
and  $n :: nat \Rightarrow nat$ 
and  $\delta :: nat \Rightarrow nat$ 
and  $f :: nat \Rightarrow 'a$ 
and  $k :: nat$ 
and  $\beta :: nat \Rightarrow 'a$ 
assumes  $f: \bigwedge i. f i = lead-coeff (F i)$ 
and  $n: \bigwedge i. n i = \text{degree } (F i)$ 
and  $\delta: \bigwedge i. \delta i = n i - n (\text{Suc } i)$ 
and  $n12: n 1 \geq n 2$ 
and  $F12: F 1 \neq 0 F 2 \neq 0$ 
and  $F0: \bigwedge i. i \neq 0 \implies F i = 0 \longleftrightarrow i > k$ 
and  $\beta0: \bigwedge i. \beta i \neq 0$ 
and  $pmod: \bigwedge i. i \geq 3 \implies i \leq \text{Suc } k \implies smult (\beta i) (F i) = pseudo-mod (F (i - 2)) (F (i - 1))$ 
begin

lemma f10:  $f 1 \neq 0$  and f20:  $f 2 \neq 0$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma f0:  $i \neq 0 \implies f i = 0 \longleftrightarrow i > k$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma n-gt: assumes  $2 \leq i < k$ 
shows  $n i > n (\text{Suc } i)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma n-ge: assumes  $1 \leq i \ i < k$ 
  shows  $n \ i \geq n \ (\text{Suc } i)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma n-ge-trans: assumes  $1 \leq i \ i \leq j \ j \leq k$ 
  shows  $n \ i \geq n \ j$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma delta-gt: assumes  $2 \leq i \ i < k$ 
  shows  $\delta \ i > 0 \ \langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma k2:  $2 \leq k$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma k0:  $k \neq 0 \ \langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma ni2:  $i \Rightarrow i \leq k \Rightarrow n \ i \neq n \ 2$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
end

locale subresultant-prs-locale = primitive-remainder-sequence F n δ f k β for
  F :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: idom-divide fract poly
  and n :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat
  and δ :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat
  and f :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a fract
  and k :: nat
  and β :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a fract +
  fixes G1 G2 :: 'a poly
  assumes F1: F 1 = map-poly to-fract G1
  and F2: F 2 = map-poly to-fract G2
begin

definition α i = (f (i - 1))  $\wedge$  (Suc (δ (i - 2)))

lemma α0:  $i > 1 \Rightarrow \alpha \ i = 0 \longleftrightarrow (i - 1) > k$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma α-char:
  assumes  $3 \leq i \ i < k + 2$ 
  shows  $\alpha \ i = (f \ (i - 1)) \wedge (\text{Suc} \ (\text{length} \ (\text{coeffs} \ (F \ (i - 2)))) - \text{length} \ (\text{coeffs} \ (F \ (i - 1))))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition Q :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a fract poly where
  Q i  $\equiv$  smult (α i) (fst (pdivmod (F (i - 2)) (F (i - 1)))))

lemma beta-F-as-sum:

```

**assumes**  $\beta \leq i \leq Suc k$   
**shows**  $smult(\beta i) (F i) = smult(\alpha i) (F(i - 2)) + - Q i * F(i - 1)$  (**is** ?t1)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma assumes**  $\beta \leq i \leq k$  **shows**

$BT\text{-}lemma\text{-}2\text{-}21: j < n \Rightarrow smult(\alpha i \wedge (n(i - 1) - j)) (\text{subresultant } j (F(i - 2)) (F(i - 1)))$

$= smult((-1) \wedge ((n(i - 2) - j) * (n(i - 1) - j)) * f(i - 1)) \wedge (\delta(i - 2) + \delta(i - 1)) * (\beta i \wedge (n(i - 1) - j)) (\text{subresultant } j (F(i - 1)) (F i))$

(**is** -  $\Rightarrow$  ?eq-21) **and**

$BT\text{-}lemma\text{-}2\text{-}22: smult(\alpha i \wedge (\delta(i - 1))) (\text{subresultant } (n i) (F(i - 2)) (F(i - 1)))$

$= smult((-1) \wedge ((\delta(i - 2) + \delta(i - 1)) * \delta(i - 1)) * f(i - 1) \wedge (\delta(i - 2) + \delta(i - 1)) * f i \wedge (\delta(i - 1) - 1) * (\beta i \wedge \delta(i - 1)) (F i))$

(**is** ?eq-22) **and**

$BT\text{-}lemma\text{-}2\text{-}23: n i < j \Rightarrow j < n(i - 1) - 1 \Rightarrow \text{subresultant } j (F(i - 2)) (F(i - 1)) = 0$

(**is** -  $\Rightarrow$  -  $\Rightarrow$  ?eq-23) **and**

$BT\text{-}lemma\text{-}2\text{-}24: smult(\alpha i) (\text{subresultant } (n(i - 1) - 1) (F(i - 2)) (F(i - 1)))$

$= smult((-1) \wedge (\delta(i - 2) + 1) * f(i - 1) \wedge (\delta(i - 2) + 1) * \beta i) (F i)$  (**is** ?eq-24)

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $BT\text{-}eq\text{-}30: 3 \leq i \Rightarrow i \leq k + 1 \Rightarrow j < n(i - 1) \Rightarrow$

$smult(\prod l \leftarrow [3..<i]. \alpha l \wedge (n(l - 1) - j)) (\text{subresultant } j (F 1) (F 2))$

$= smult(\prod l \leftarrow [3..<i]. \beta l \wedge (n(l - 1) - j) * f(l - 1) \wedge (\delta(l - 2) + \delta(l - 1))$

$* (-1) \wedge ((n(l - 2) - j) * (n(l - 1) - j))) (\text{subresultant } j (F(i - 2))$

$(F(i - 1)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $nonzero\text{-}alphaprod: \text{assumes } i \leq k + 1 \text{ shows } (\prod l \leftarrow [3..<i]. \alpha l \wedge (p l)) \neq 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $BT\text{-}eq\text{-}30': \text{assumes } i: 3 \leq i \leq k + 1 \ j < n(i - 1)$

**shows**  $\text{subresultant } j (F 1) (F 2)$

$= smult((-1) \wedge (\sum l \leftarrow [3..<i]. (n(l - 2) - j) * (n(l - 1) - j)))$

$* (\prod l \leftarrow [3..<i]. (\beta l / \alpha l) \wedge (n(l - 1) - j)) * (\prod l \leftarrow [3..<i]. f(l - 1) \wedge (\delta(l - 2) + \delta(l - 1)))) (\text{subresultant } j (F(i - 2)) (F(i - 1)))$

(**is** - =  $smult(\text{?mm} * \text{?b} * \text{?f})$  -)

$\langle proof \rangle$

For defining the subresultant PRS, we mainly follow Brown's "The Subresultant PRS Algorithm" (B).

**definition**  $R j = (\text{if } j = n 2 \text{ then } sdiv\text{-}poly (smult((lead-coeff G2) \wedge (\delta 1)) G2) (lead-coeff G2) \text{ else } \text{subresultant } j G1 G2)$

**abbreviation**  $\text{ff } i \equiv \text{to-fract } (i :: 'a)$   
**abbreviation**  $\text{ffp} \equiv \text{map-poly ff}$

**sublocale**  $\text{map-poly-hom}: \text{map-poly-inj-idom-hom} \text{ to-fract}\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**definition**  $\sigma i = (\sum l \leftarrow [3..<\text{Suc } i]. (n(l - 2) + n(i - 1) + 1) * (n(l - 1) + n(i - 1) + 1))$

**definition**  $\tau i = (\sum l \leftarrow [3..<\text{Suc } i]. (n(l - 2) + n i) * (n(l - 1) + n i))$

**definition**  $\gamma i = (-1)^\gamma(\sigma i) * \text{pow-int } (f(i - 1)) (1 - \text{int } (\delta(i - 1))) * (\prod l \leftarrow [3..<\text{Suc } i].$

$(\beta l / \alpha l)^\gamma(n(l - 1) - n(i - 1) + 1) * (f(l - 1))^\gamma(\delta(l - 2) + \delta(l - 1)))$

**definition**  $\Theta i = (-1)^\gamma(\tau i) * \text{pow-int } (f i) (\text{int } (\delta(i - 1)) - 1) * (\prod l \leftarrow [3..<\text{Suc } i].$

$(\beta l / \alpha l)^\gamma(n(l - 1) - n i) * (f(l - 1))^\gamma(\delta(l - 2) + \delta(l - 1)))$

**lemma** *fundamental-theorem-eq-4*: **assumes**  $i: 3 \leq i \leq k$

**shows**  $\text{ffp } (R(n(i - 1) - 1)) = \text{smult } (\gamma i) (F i)$

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** *fundamental-theorem-eq-5*: **assumes**  $i: 3 \leq i \leq k \quad n \ i < j \ j < n(i - 1) - 1$

**shows**  $R j = 0$

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** *fundamental-theorem-eq-6*: **assumes**  $3 \leq i \leq k$  **shows**  $\text{ffp } (R(n i)) = \text{smult } (\Theta i) (F i)$

**is**  $?lhs=?rhs$

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** *fundamental-theorem-eq-7*: **assumes**  $j: j < n \ k$  **shows**  $R j = 0$

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**definition**  $G i = R(n(i - 1) - 1)$

**definition**  $H i = R(n i)$

**lemma** *gamma-delta-beta-3*:  $\gamma 3 = (-1)^\gamma(\delta 1 + 1) * \beta 3$

**fun**  $h :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ fract}$  **where**  
 $h i = (\text{if } (i \leq 1) \text{ then } 1 \text{ else if } i = 2 \text{ then } (f 2 \wedge \delta 1) \text{ else } (f i \wedge \delta(i - 1)) / (h(i - 1) \wedge (\delta(i - 1) - 1)))$

```

lemma smult-inverse-sdiv-poly: assumes ffp:  $p \in \text{range } \text{ffp}$ 
  and p:  $p = \text{smult}(\text{inverse } x) q$ 
  and p':  $p' = \text{sdiv-poly } q' x'$ 
  and xx:  $x = \text{ff } x'$ 
  and qq:  $q = \text{ffp } q'$ 
shows  $p = \text{ffp } p'$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale subresultant-prs-locale2 = subresultant-prs-locale F n δ fk β G1 G2 for
  F :: nat ⇒ 'a :: idom-divide fract poly
  and n :: nat ⇒ nat
  and δ :: nat ⇒ nat
  and f :: nat ⇒ 'a fract
  and k :: nat
  and β :: nat ⇒ 'a fract
  and G1 G2 :: 'a poly +
assumes β3:  $\beta 3 = (-1)^{\gamma(\delta 1 + 1)}$ 
  and βi:  $\bigwedge i. 4 \leq i \Rightarrow i \leq \text{Suc } k \Rightarrow \beta i = (-1)^{\gamma(\delta(i - 2) + 1)} * f(i - 2)$ 
  * h(i - 2) ^ (δ(i - 2))
begin

lemma B-eq-17-main:  $2 \leq i \Rightarrow i \leq k \Rightarrow$ 
   $h i = (-1)^{\gamma(n 1 + n i + i + 1)} / f i$ 
  *  $(\prod l \leftarrow [3..< \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } i)]. (\alpha l / \beta l)) \wedge h i \neq 0$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma B-eq-17:  $2 \leq i \Rightarrow i \leq k \Rightarrow$ 
   $h i = (-1)^{\gamma(n 1 + n i + i + 1)} / f i * (\prod l \leftarrow [3..< \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } i)]. (\alpha l / \beta l))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma B-theorem-2:  $3 \leq i \Rightarrow i \leq \text{Suc } k \Rightarrow \gamma i = 1$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

context
  fixes i :: nat
  assumes i:  $3 \leq i \leq k$ 
begin
lemma B-theorem-3-b:  $\Theta i * f i = \text{ff } (\text{lead-coeff } (H i))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma B-theorem-3-main:  $\Theta i * f i / \gamma(i + 1) = (-1)^{\gamma(n 1 + n i + i + 1)} /$ 
   $f i * (\prod l \leftarrow [3..< \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } i)]. (\alpha l / \beta l))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma B-theorem-3:  $h i = \Theta i * f i$ 
   $h i = \text{ff } (\text{lead-coeff } (H i))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
end

```

```

lemma h0:  $i \leq k \implies h i \neq 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma deg-G12:  $\text{degree } G1 \geq \text{degree } G2$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma R0: shows  $R 0 = [: \text{resultant } G1 G2 :]$ 
⟨proof⟩

context
  fixes div-exp :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a
  assumes div-exp-sound: div-exp-sound div-exp
begin

interpretation div-exp-sound div-exp ⟨proof⟩

lemma subresultant-prs-main: assumes subresultant-prs-main  $Gi_{-1} Gi hi_{-1} = (Gk, hk)$ 
  and  $F i = \text{ffp } Gi$ 
  and  $F (i - 1) = \text{ffp } Gi_{-1}$ 
  and  $h (i - 1) = \text{ff } hi_{-1}$ 
  and  $i \geq 3 \wedge i \leq k$ 
shows  $F k = \text{ffp } Gk \wedge h k = \text{ff } hk \wedge (\forall j. i \leq j \longrightarrow j \leq k \longrightarrow F j \in \text{range ff} \wedge \beta (Suc j) \in \text{range ff})$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma subresultant-prs: assumes res: subresultant-prs  $G1 G2 = (Gk, hk)$ 
  shows  $F k = \text{ffp } Gk \wedge h k = \text{ff } hk \wedge (i \neq 0 \longrightarrow F i \in \text{range ff} \wedge (3 \leq i \longrightarrow i \leq Suc k \longrightarrow \beta i \in \text{range ff}))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma resultant-impl-main: resultant-impl-main  $G1 G2 = \text{resultant } G1 G2$ 
⟨proof⟩
end
end

```

At this point, we have soundness of the resultant-implementation, provided that we can instantiate the locale by constructing suitable values of F, b, h, etc. Now we show the existence of suitable locale parameters by constructively computing them.

```

context
  fixes  $G1 G2 :: 'a :: \text{idom-divide poly}$ 
begin

private function F and b and h where
   $F i = (\text{if } i = (0 :: \text{nat}) \text{ then } 1$ 
   $\text{else if } i = 1 \text{ then map-poly to-fract } G1 \text{ else if } i = 2 \text{ then map-poly to-fract } G2$ 
   $\text{else (let } G = \text{pseudo-mod } (F (i - 2)) (F (i - 1))$ 
     $\text{in if } F (i - 1) = 0 \vee G = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else smult } (\text{inverse } (b i)) G))$ 
   $| b i = (\text{if } i \leq 2 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else }$ 

```

```

if  $i = 3$  then  $(-1) \wedge (\text{degree}(F 1) - \text{degree}(F 2) + 1)$ 
else if  $F(i - 2) = 0$  then  $1$  else  $(-1) \wedge (\text{degree}(F(i - 2)) - \text{degree}(F(i - 1)) + 1) * \text{lead-coeff}(F(i - 2)) *$ 
 $h(i - 2) \wedge (\text{degree}(F(i - 2)) - \text{degree}(F(i - 1)))$ 
|  $h i = (\text{if } (i \leq 1) \text{ then } 1 \text{ else if } i = 2 \text{ then } (\text{lead-coeff}(F 2) \wedge (\text{degree}(F 1) - \text{degree}(F 2))) \text{ else}$ 
 $\text{if } F i = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } (\text{lead-coeff}(F i) \wedge (\text{degree}(F(i - 1)) - \text{degree}(F i))) /$ 
 $(h(i - 1) \wedge ((\text{degree}(F(i - 1)) - \text{degree}(F i)) - 1)))$ 
⟨proof⟩
termination
⟨proof⟩

declare h.simps[simp del] b.simps[simp del] F.simps[simp del]

private lemma Fb0: assumes base:  $G1 \neq 0$   $G2 \neq 0$ 
shows  $(F i = 0 \longrightarrow F(\text{Suc } i) = 0) \wedge b_i \neq 0 \wedge h_i \neq 0$ 
⟨proof⟩ definition k = (LEAST i.  $F(\text{Suc } i) = 0$ )
⟨proof⟩

private lemma k-exists:  $\exists i. F(\text{Suc } i) = 0$ 
⟨proof⟩ lemma k:  $F(\text{Suc } k) = 0 \wedge i < k \implies F(\text{Suc } i) \neq 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma enter-subresultant-prs: assumes len:  $\text{length}(\text{coeffs } G1) \geq \text{length}(\text{coeffs } G2)$ 
and G2:  $G2 \neq 0$ 
shows  $\exists F n d f k b. \text{subresultant-prs-locale2 } F n d f k b G1 G2$ 
⟨proof⟩
end

```

Now we obtain the soundness lemma outside the locale.

```

context div-exp-sound
begin

lemma resultant-impl-main: assumes len:  $\text{length}(\text{coeffs } G1) \geq \text{length}(\text{coeffs } G2)$ 
shows resultant-impl-main G1 G2 = resultant G1 G2
⟨proof⟩

theorem resultant-impl: resultant-impl = resultant
⟨proof⟩
end

```

### 7.3 Code Equations

In the following code-equations, we only compute the required values, e.g.,  $h_k$  is not required if  $n_k > 0$ , we compute  $(-1)^{\cdots} * \dots$  via a case-analysis, and we perform special cases for  $\delta_i = 1$ , which is the most frequent case.

```

context div-exp-param
begin

```

```

partial-function(tailrec) subresultant-prs-main-impl where
  subresultant-prs-main-impl f Gi-1 Gi ni-1 d1-1 hi-2 = (let
    gi-1 = lead-coeff Gi-1;
    ni = degree Gi;
    hi-1 = (if d1-1 = 1 then gi-1 else div-exp gi-1 hi-2 d1-1);
    d1 = ni-1 - ni;
    pmod = pseudo-mod Gi-1 Gi
    in (if pmod = 0 then f (Gi, (if d1 = 1 then lead-coeff Gi
      else div-exp (lead-coeff Gi) hi-1 d1)) else
    let
      gi = lead-coeff Gi;
      divisor =  $(-1)^{(d1 + 1)} * gi-1 * (hi-1 \wedge d1)$  ;
      Gi-p1 = sdiv-poly pmod divisor
      in subresultant-prs-main-impl f Gi Gi-p1 ni d1 hi-1)
  )

definition subresultant-prs-impl where
  subresultant-prs-impl f G1 G2 = (let
    pmod = pseudo-mod G1 G2;
    n2 = degree G2;
    delta-1 = (degree G1 - n2);
    g2 = lead-coeff G2;
    h2 =  $g2 \wedge \delta-1$ 
    in if pmod = 0 then f (G2, h2) else let
      G3 =  $(-1)^{(\delta-1 + 1)} * pmod$ ;
      g3 = lead-coeff G3;
      n3 = degree G3;
      d2 = n2 - n3;
      pmod = pseudo-mod G2 G3
      in if pmod = 0 then f (G3, if d2 = 1 then g3 else div-exp g3 h2 d2)
        else let divisor =  $(-1)^{(d2 + 1)} * g2 * h2 \wedge d2$ ; G4 = sdiv-poly pmod divisor
        in subresultant-prs-main-impl f G3 G4 n3 d2 h2
    )
  )
end

context div-exp-sound
begin

lemma div-exp-1: div-exp g h (Suc 0) = g
  <proof>

lemma subresultant-prs-impl: subresultant-prs-impl f G1 G2 = f (subresultant-prs G1 G2)
  <proof>

definition
  resultant-impl-rec = subresultant-prs-main-impl ( $\lambda (Gk, hk)$ . if degree Gk = 0 then hk else 0)
definition

```

*resultant-impl-start* = *subresultant-prs-impl* ( $\lambda (Gk, hk)$ . if degree  $Gk = 0$  then  $hk$  else 0)

**lemma** *resultant-impl-start-code*:

```

resultant-impl-start G1 G2 =
  (let pmod = pseudo-mod G1 G2;
   n2 = degree G2;
   n1 = degree G1;
   g2 = lead-coeff G2;
   d1 = n1 - n2
   in if pmod = 0 then if n2 = 0 then if d1 = 0 then 1 else if d1 = 1 then g2
   else g2 ^ d1 else 0
   else let
     G3 = if even d1 then - pmod else pmod;
     n3 = degree G3;
     pmod = pseudo-mod G2 G3
     in if pmod = 0
        then if n3 = 0 then
          let d2 = n2 - n3;
          g3 = lead-coeff G3
          in (if d2 = 1 then g3 else
              div-exp g3 (if d1 = 1 then g2 else g2 ^ d1) d2) else 0
        else let
          h2 = (if d1 = 1 then g2 else g2 ^ d1);
          d2 = n2 - n3;
          divisor = (if d2 = 1 then g2 * h2 else if even d2 then - g2
          * h2 ^ d2 else g2 * h2 ^ d2);
          G4 = sdiv-poly pmod divisor
          in resultant-impl-rec G3 G4 n3 d2 h2)
  
```

*(proof)*

**lemma** *resultant-impl-rec-code*:

```

resultant-impl-rec Gi-1 Gi ni-1 d1-1 hi-2 =
  let ni = degree Gi;
  pmod = pseudo-mod Gi-1 Gi
  in
  if pmod = 0
    then if ni = 0
      then
        let
          d1 = ni-1 - ni;
          gi = lead-coeff Gi
        in if d1 = 1 then gi else
          let gi-1 = lead-coeff Gi-1;
          hi-1 = (if d1-1 = 1 then gi-1 else div-exp gi-1 hi-2 d1-1) in
          div-exp gi hi-1 d1
        else 0
      else let
        d1 = ni-1 - ni;
      
```

```

 $gi-1 = \text{lead-coeff } Gi-1;$ 
 $hi-1 = (\text{if } d1-1 = 1 \text{ then } gi-1 \text{ else } \text{div-exp } gi-1 \text{ } hi-2 \text{ } d1-1);$ 
 $\text{divisor} = \text{if } d1 = 1 \text{ then } gi-1 * hi-1 \text{ else if even } d1 \text{ then } -gi-1 * hi-1 \wedge$ 
 $d1 \text{ else } gi-1 * hi-1 \wedge d1;$ 
 $Gi-p1 = \text{sdiv-poly pmod divisor}$ 
 $\text{in resultant-impl-rec } Gi \text{ } Gi-p1 \text{ ni } d1 \text{ } hi-1)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma resultant-impl-main-code: resultant-impl-main G1 G2 =
(if G2 = 0 then if degree G1 = 0 then 1 else 0
else resultant-impl-start G1 G2)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma resultant-impl-code: resultant-impl f g =
(if length (coeffs f)  $\geq$  length (coeffs g) then resultant-impl-main f g
else let res = resultant-impl-main g f in
if even (degree f)  $\vee$  even (degree g) then res else -res)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma resultant-code: resultant = resultant-impl
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemmas resultant-code-lemmas =
resultant-impl-code
resultant-impl-main-code
resultant-impl-start-code
resultant-impl-rec-code
end

global-interpretation div-exp-Lazard: div-exp-sound dichotomous-Lazard :: 'a :: factorial-ring-gcd  $\Rightarrow$  -
defines
resultant-impl-Lazard = div-exp-Lazard.resultant-impl and
resultant-impl-main-Lazard = div-exp-Lazard.resultant-impl-main and
resultant-impl-start-Lazard = div-exp-Lazard.resultant-impl-start and
resultant-impl-rec-Lazard = div-exp-Lazard.resultant-impl-rec
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

declare div-exp-Lazard.resultant-code-lemmas[code]
```

As default use Lazard-implementation, which implements resultants on factorial rings.

```
declare div-exp-Lazard.resultant-code[code]
```

We also provide a second implementation without Lazard's optimization, which works on integral domains.

```
global-interpretation div-exp-basic: div-exp-sound basic-div-exp
defines
resultant-impl-basic = div-exp-basic.resultant-impl and
```

```

resultant-impl-main-basic = div-exp-basic.resultant-impl-main and
resultant-impl-start-basic = div-exp-basic.resultant-impl-start and
resultant-impl-rec-basic = div-exp-basic.resultant-impl-rec
⟨proof⟩

declare div-exp-basic.resultant-code-lemmas[code]

thm div-exp-basic.resultant-code

end

```

## 8 Computing the Gcd via the subresultant PRS

This theory now formalizes how the subresultant PRS can be used to calculate the gcd of two polynomials. Moreover, it proves the connection between resultants and gcd, namely that the resultant is 0 iff the degree of the gcd is non-zero.

```

theory Subresultant-Gcd
imports
  Subresultant
  Polynomial-Factorization.Missing-Polynomial-Factorial
begin

8.1 Algorithm

locale div-exp-sound-gcd = div-exp-sound div-exp for
  div-exp :: 'a :: {semiring-gcd-mult-normalize,factorial-ring-gcd} ⇒ 'a ⇒ nat ⇒
  'a
begin
definition gcd-impl-primitive where
  [code del]: gcd-impl-primitive G1 G2 = normalize (primitive-part (fst (subresultant-prs
  G1 G2)))

definition gcd-impl-main where
  [code del]: gcd-impl-main G1 G2 = (if G1 = 0 then 0 else if G2 = 0 then
  normalize G1 else
  smult (gcd (content G1) (content G2))
  (gcd-impl-primitive (primitive-part G1) (primitive-part G2)))

definition gcd-impl where
  gcd-impl f g = (if length (coeffs f) ≥ length (coeffs g) then gcd-impl-main f g else
  gcd-impl-main g f)

8.2 Soundness Proof for gcd-impl = gcd
end

```

```

locale subresultant-prs-gcd = subresultant-prs-locale2 F n δ f k β G1 G2 for
  F :: nat ⇒ 'a :: {factorial-ring-gcd,semiring-gcd-mult-normalize} fract poly
  and n :: nat ⇒ nat
  and δ :: nat ⇒ nat
  and f :: nat ⇒ 'a fract
  and k :: nat
  and β :: nat ⇒ 'a fract
  and G1 G2 :: 'a poly
begin

  The subresultant PRS computes the gcd up to a scalar multiple.

context
  fixes div-exp :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a
  assumes div-exp-sound: div-exp-sound div-exp
begin

  interpretation div-exp-sound-gcd div-exp
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma subresultant-prs-gcd: assumes subresultant-prs G1 G2 = (Gk, hk)
    shows ∃ a b. a ≠ 0 ∧ b ≠ 0 ∧ smult a (gcd G1 G2) = smult b (normalize Gk)
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma gcd-impl-primitive: assumes primitive-part G1 = G1 and primitive-part
    G2 = G2
    shows gcd-impl-primitive G1 G2 = gcd G1 G2
    ⟨proof⟩
  end
  end

  context div-exp-sound-gcd
  begin

  lemma gcd-impl-main: assumes len: length (coeffs G1) ≥ length (coeffs G2)
    shows gcd-impl-main G1 G2 = gcd G1 G2
    ⟨proof⟩

  theorem gcd-impl[simp]: gcd-impl = gcd
  ⟨proof⟩

```

The implementation also reveals an important connection between resultant and gcd.

```

lemma resultant-0-gcd: resultant (f :: 'a poly) g = 0 ←→ degree (gcd f g) ≠ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

```

### 8.3 Code Equations

**definition** *gcd-impl-rec* = *subresultant-prs-main-impl fst*  
**definition** *gcd-impl-start* = *subresultant-prs-impl fst*

**lemma** *gcd-impl-rec-code*:

```
gcd-impl-rec Gi-1 Gi ni-1 d1-1 hi-2 = (
  let pmod = pseudo-mod Gi-1 Gi
  in
  if pmod = 0 then Gi
  else let
    ni = degree Gi;
    d1 = ni-1 - ni;
    gi-1 = lead-coeff Gi-1;
    hi-1 = (if d1-1 = 1 then gi-1 else div-exp gi-1 hi-2 d1-1);
    divisor = if d1 = 1 then gi-1 * hi-1 else if even d1 then - gi-1 * hi-1 ^
    d1 else gi-1 * hi-1 ^ d1;
    Gi-p1 = sdiv-poly pmod divisor
    in gcd-impl-rec Gi Gi-p1 ni d1 hi-1)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

**lemma** *gcd-impl-start-code*:

```
gcd-impl-start G1 G2 =
  (let pmod = pseudo-mod G1 G2
  in if pmod = 0 then G2
  else let
    n2 = degree G2;
    n1 = degree G1;
    d1 = n1 - n2;
    G3 = if even d1 then - pmod else pmod;
    pmod = pseudo-mod G2 G3
    in if pmod = 0
    then G3
    else let
      g2 = lead-coeff G2;
      n3 = degree G3;
      h2 = (if d1 = 1 then g2 else g2 ^ d1);
      d2 = n2 - n3;
      divisor = (if d2 = 1 then g2 * h2 else if even d2 then - g2 *
      h2 ^ d2 else g2 * h2 ^ d2);
      G4 = sdiv-poly pmod divisor
      in gcd-impl-rec G3 G4 n3 d2 h2)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

**lemma** *gcd-impl-main-code*:

```
gcd-impl-main G1 G2 = (if G1 = 0 then 0 else if G2 = 0 then normalize G1 else
  let c1 = content G1;
  c2 = content G2;
  p1 = map-poly (λ x. x div c1) G1;
  p2 = map-poly (λ x. x div c2) G2
```

```

    in smult (gcd c1 c2) (normalize (primitive-part (gcd-impl-start p1 p2))))
⟨proof⟩

lemmas gcd-code-lemmas =
  gcd-impl-main-code
  gcd-impl-start-code
  gcd-impl-rec-code
  gcd-impl-def

corollary gcd-via-subresultant: gcd = gcd-impl ⟨proof⟩
end

global-interpretation div-exp-Lazard-gcd: div-exp-sound-gcd dichotomous-Lazard
:: 'a :: {semiring-gcd-mult-normalize,factorial-ring-gcd} ⇒ -
defines
  gcd-impl-Lazard = div-exp-Lazard-gcd.gcd-impl and
  gcd-impl-main-Lazard = div-exp-Lazard-gcd.gcd-impl-main and
  gcd-impl-start-Lazard = div-exp-Lazard-gcd.gcd-impl-start and
  gcd-impl-rec-Lazard = div-exp-Lazard-gcd.gcd-impl-rec
⟨proof⟩

declare div-exp-Lazard-gcd.gcd-code-lemmas[code]

lemmas resultant-0-gcd = div-exp-Lazard-gcd.resultant-0-gcd

thm div-exp-Lazard-gcd.gcd-via-subresultant

```

Note that we did not activate  $\text{gcd} = \text{gcd-impl-Lazard}$  as code-equation, since according to our experiments, the subresultant-gcd algorithm is not always more efficient than the currently active equation. In particular, on  $\text{int poly gcd-impl-Lazard}$  performs worse, but on multi-variate polynomials, e.g.,  $\text{int poly poly poly}$ ,  $\text{gcd-impl-Lazard}$  is preferable.

**end**

## References

- [1] W. S. Brown. The subresultant PRS algorithm. *ACM Trans. Math. Softw.*, 4(3):237–249, 1978.
- [2] W. S. Brown and J. F. Traub. On Euclid’s algorithm and the theory of subresultants. *Journal of the ACM*, 18(4):505–514, 1971.
- [3] L. Ducos. Optimizations of the subresultant algorithm. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 145:149–163, 2000.
- [4] A. Mahboubi. Proving formally the implementation of an efficient gcd algorithm for polynomials. In *Proc. IJCAR’06*, volume 4130 of *LNCS*, pages 438–452, 2006.

- [5] R. Thiemann and A. Yamada. Algebraic numbers in Isabelle/HOL. In *Proc. ITP'16*, volume 9807 of *LNCS*, pages 391–408, 2016.