

Strict Omega Categories

Anthony Bordg Adrián Doña Mateo

March 17, 2025

Abstract

This theory formalises a definition of strict ω -categories and the strict ω -category of pasting diagrams, following [1]. It is the first step towards a formalisation of weak infinity categories à la Batanin–Leinster.

Contents

1	Background material on extensional functions	1
2	Globular sets	2
2.1	Globular sets	2
2.2	Maps between globular sets	4
2.3	The terminal globular set	5
3	Strict ω-categories	6
4	The category of pasting diagrams	7
4.1	Rooted trees	8
4.2	The strict ω -category of pasting diagrams	12
5	Acknowledgements	15
theory <i>Globular-Set</i>		

imports HOL-Library.FuncSet

begin

1 Background material on extensional functions

lemma *PiE-imp-Pi*: $f \in A \rightarrow_E B \implies f \in A \rightarrow B \langle proof \rangle$

lemma *PiE-iff'*: $f \in A \rightarrow_E B = (f \in A \rightarrow B \wedge f \in \text{extensional } A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *composing* ($\langle - \circ - \downarrow - \rangle [60,0,60]59$)
where $g \circ f \downarrow D \equiv \text{compose } D g f$

lemma *compose-PiE*: $f \in A \rightarrow B \implies g \in B \rightarrow C \implies g \circ f \downarrow A \in A \rightarrow_E C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compose-eq-iff*: $(g \circ f \downarrow A = k \circ h \downarrow A) = (\forall x \in A. g(f x) = k(h x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compose-eq-if*: $(\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies g(f x) = k(h x)) \implies g \circ f \downarrow A = k \circ h \downarrow A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compose-compose-eq-iff2*: $(h \circ (g \circ f \downarrow A) \downarrow A = h' \circ (g' \circ f' \downarrow A) \downarrow A) = (\forall x \in A. h(g(f x)) = h'(g'(f' x)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compose-compose-eq-iff1*: **assumes** $f \in A \rightarrow B$ $f' \in A \rightarrow B$
shows $((h \circ g \downarrow B) \circ f \downarrow A = (h' \circ g' \downarrow B) \circ f' \downarrow A) = (\forall x \in A. h(g(f x)) = h'(g'(f' x)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compose-compose-eq-if1*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; f' \in A \rightarrow B; \forall x \in A. h(g(f x)) = h'(g'(f' x)) \rrbracket \implies (h \circ g \downarrow B) \circ f \downarrow A = (h' \circ g' \downarrow B) \circ f' \downarrow A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compose-compose-eq-if2*: $\forall x \in A. h(g(f x)) = h'(g'(f' x)) \implies h \circ (g \circ f \downarrow A) \downarrow A = h' \circ (g' \circ f' \downarrow A) \downarrow A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compose-restrict-eq1*: $f \in A \rightarrow B \implies \text{restrict } g B \circ f \downarrow A = g \circ f \downarrow A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compose-restrict-eq2*: $g \circ (\text{restrict } f A) \downarrow A = g \circ f \downarrow A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compose-Id-eq-restrict*: $g \circ (\lambda x \in A. x) \downarrow A = \text{restrict } g A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2 Globular sets

2.1 Globular sets

We define a locale *globular-set* that encodes the cell data of a strict ω -category [1, Def 1.4.5]. The elements of $X n$ are the n -cells, and the maps s and t give the source and target of a cell, respectively.

locale *globular-set* =

```

fixes X :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a set and s :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a and t :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a
assumes s-fun: s n  $\in$  X (Suc n)  $\rightarrow$  X n
    and t-fun: t n  $\in$  X (Suc n)  $\rightarrow$  X n
    and s-comp: x  $\in$  X (Suc (Suc n))  $\implies$  s n (t (Suc n) x) = s n (s (Suc n) x)
    and t-comp: x  $\in$  X (Suc (Suc n))  $\implies$  t n (s (Suc n) x) = t n (t (Suc n) x)
begin

```

```

lemma s-comp': s n  $\circ$  t (Suc n)  $\downarrow$  X (Suc (Suc n)) = s n  $\circ$  s (Suc n)  $\downarrow$  X (Suc (Suc n))
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma t-comp': t n  $\circ$  s (Suc n)  $\downarrow$  X (Suc (Suc n)) = t n  $\circ$  t (Suc n)  $\downarrow$  X (Suc (Suc n))
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

These are the generalised source and target maps. The arguments are the dimension of the input and output, respectively. They allow notation similar to s^{m-p} in [1].

```

fun s' :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a where
    s' 0 0 = id |
    s' 0 (Suc n) = undefined |
    s' (Suc m) n = (if Suc m < n then undefined
        else if Suc m = n then id
        else s' m n  $\circ$  s m)

```

```

fun t' :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a where
    t' 0 0 = id |
    t' 0 (Suc n) = undefined |
    t' (Suc m) n = (if Suc m < n then undefined
        else if Suc m = n then id
        else t' m n  $\circ$  t m)

```

```

lemma s'-n-n [simp]: s' n n = id
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma s'-Suc-n-n [simp]: s' (Suc n) n = s n
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma s'-Suc-Suc-n-n [simp]: s' (Suc (Suc n)) n = s n  $\circ$  s (Suc n)
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma s'-Suc [simp]: n  $\leq$  m  $\implies$  s' (Suc m) n = s' m n  $\circ$  s m
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma s'-Suc': n < m  $\implies$  s' m n = s n  $\circ$  s' m (Suc n)
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma t'-n-n [simp]: t' n n = id
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

lemma t' -Suc- n - n [simp]: $t' (\text{Suc } n) n = t n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma t' -Suc-Suc- n - n [simp]: $t' (\text{Suc} (\text{Suc } n)) n = t n \circ t (\text{Suc } n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma t' -Suc [simp]: $n \leq m \implies t' (\text{Suc } m) n = t' m n \circ t m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma t' -Suc': $n < m \implies t' m n = t n \circ t' m (\text{Suc } n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma s' -fun: $n \leq m \implies s' m n \in X m \rightarrow X n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma t' -fun: $n \leq m \implies t' m n \in X m \rightarrow X n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma s' -comp: $\llbracket n < m; x \in X m \rrbracket \implies s n (t' m (\text{Suc } n) x) = s' m n x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma t' -comp: $\llbracket n < m; x \in X m \rrbracket \implies t n (s' m (\text{Suc } n) x) = t' m n x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The following predicates and sets are needed to define composition in an ω -category.

definition $\text{is-parallel-pair} :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{is-parallel-pair } m n x y \equiv n \leq m \wedge x \in X m \wedge y \in X m \wedge s' m n x = s' m n y \wedge$
 $t' m n x = t' m n y$

[1, p. 44]

definition $\text{is-composable-pair} :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{is-composable-pair } m n y x \equiv n < m \wedge y \in X m \wedge x \in X m \wedge t' m n x = s' m n y$

definition $\text{composable-pairs} :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a) \text{ set}$ **where**
 $\text{composable-pairs } m n = \{(y, x). \text{is-composable-pair } m n y x\}$

lemma $\text{composable-pairs-empty}: m \leq n \implies \text{composable-pairs } m n = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

2.2 Maps between globular sets

We define maps between globular sets to be natural transformations of the corresponding functors [1, Def 1.4.5].

locale $\text{globular-map} = \text{source}: \text{globular-set } X s_X t_X + \text{target}: \text{globular-set } Y s_Y t_Y$

```

for  $X s_X t_X Y s_Y t_Y +$ 
fixes  $\varphi :: nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b$ 
assumes map-fun:  $\varphi m \in X m \rightarrow Y m$ 
    and is-natural-wrt-s:  $x \in X (Suc m) \implies \varphi m (s_X m x) = s_Y m (\varphi (Suc m)$ 
 $x)$ 
    and is-natural-wrt-t:  $x \in X (Suc m) \implies \varphi m (t_X m x) = t_Y m (\varphi (Suc m)$ 
 $x)$ 
begin

lemma is-natural-wrt-s':  $\llbracket n \leq m; x \in X m \rrbracket \implies \varphi n (source.s' m n x) = target.s'$ 
 $m n (\varphi m x)$ 
{proof}

lemma is-natural-wrt-t':  $\llbracket n \leq m; x \in X m \rrbracket \implies \varphi n (source.t' m n x) = target.t'$ 
 $m n (\varphi m x)$ 
{proof}

end

```

The composition of two globular maps is itself a globular map. This intermediate locale gathers the data needed for such a statement.

```

locale two-globular-maps = fst: globular-map  $X s_X t_X Y s_Y t_Y \varphi +$  snd: globular-map  $Y s_Y t_Y Z s_Z t_Z \psi$ 
for  $X s_X t_X Y s_Y t_Y Z s_Z t_Z \varphi \psi$ 

sublocale two-globular-maps  $\subseteq$  comp: globular-map  $X s_X t_X Z s_Z t_Z \lambda m. \psi m \circ$ 
 $\varphi m$ 
{proof}

sublocale two-globular-maps  $\subseteq$  compose: globular-map  $X s_X t_X Z s_Z t_Z \lambda m. \psi$ 
 $m \circ \varphi m \downarrow X m$ 
{proof}

```

2.3 The terminal globular set

The terminal globular set, with a unique m-cell for each m [1, p. 264].

```

interpretation final-glob: globular-set  $\lambda m. \{()\} \lambda m. id \lambda m. id$ 
{proof}

```

```

context globular-set
begin

```

[1, p. 272]

```

interpretation map-to-final-glob: globular-map  $X s t$ 
 $\lambda m. \{()\} \lambda m. id \lambda m. id$ 
 $\lambda m. (\lambda x. ())$ 
{proof}

```

end

```

end
theory Strict-Omega-Category
imports Globular-Set

```

```
begin
```

3 Strict ω -categories

First, we define a locale *pre-strict-omega-category* that holds the data of a strict ω -category without the associativity, unity and exchange axioms [1, Def 1.4.8 (a) - (b)]. We do this in order to set up convenient notation before we state the remaining axioms.

```

locale pre-strict-omega-category = globular-set +
  fixes comp :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a
    and i :: nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a
  assumes comp-fun: is-composable-pair m n x' x ⇒ comp m n x' x ∈ X m
    and i-fun: i n ∈ X n → X (Suc n)
    and s-comp-Suc: is-composable-pair (Suc m) m x' x ⇒ s m (comp (Suc m)
      m x' x) = s m x
    and t-comp-Suc: is-composable-pair (Suc m) m x' x ⇒ t m (comp (Suc m) m
      x' x) = t m x'
    and s-comp: [[is-composable-pair (Suc m) n x' x; n < m]] ⇒
      s m (comp (Suc m) n x' x) = comp m n (s m x') (s m x)
    and t-comp: [[is-composable-pair (Suc m) n x' x; n < m]] ⇒
      t m (comp (Suc m) n x' x) = comp m n (t m x') (s m x)
    and s-i: x ∈ X n ⇒ s n (i n x) = x
    and t-i: x ∈ X n ⇒ t n (i n x) = x
begin

```

Similar to the generalised source and target maps in *globular-set*, we defined a generalised identity map. The first argument gives the dimension of the resulting identity cell, while the second gives the dimension of the input cell.

```

fun i' :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a where
  i' 0 0 = id |
  i' 0 (Suc n) = undefined |
  i' (Suc m) n = (if Suc m < n then undefined
    else if Suc m = n then id
    else i m o i' m n)

```

```

lemma i'-n-n [simp]: i' n n = id
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma i'-Suc-n-n [simp]: i' (Suc n) n = i n
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma  $i'$ -Suc [simp]:  $n \leq m \implies i'(\text{Suc } m) n = i m \circ i' m n$   

   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $i'$ -Suc':  $n < m \implies i' m n = i' m (\text{Suc } n) \circ i n$   

   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $i'$ -fun:  $n \leq m \implies i' m n \in X n \rightarrow X m$   

   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

Now we may define a strict  $\omega$ -category including the composition, unity  

and exchange axioms [1, Def 1.4.8 (c) - (f)].

locale strict-omega-category = pre-strict-omega-category +
  assumes comp-assoc:  $\llbracket \text{is-composable-pair } m n x' x; \text{is-composable-pair } m n x'' x' \rrbracket \implies$   

 $\text{comp } m n (\text{comp } m n x'' x') x = \text{comp } m n x'' (\text{comp } m n x' x)$ 
  and i-comp:  $\llbracket n < m; x \in X m \rrbracket \implies \text{comp } m n (i' m n (t' m n x)) x = x$ 
  and comp-i:  $\llbracket n < m; x \in X m \rrbracket \implies \text{comp } m n x (i' m n (s' m n x)) = x$ 
  and bin-interchange:  $\llbracket q < p; p < m;$   

 $\text{is-composable-pair } m p y' y; \text{is-composable-pair } m p x' x;$   

 $\text{is-composable-pair } m q y' x'; \text{is-composable-pair } m q y x \rrbracket \implies$   

 $\text{comp } m q (\text{comp } m p y' y) (\text{comp } m p x' x) = \text{comp } m p (\text{comp } m q y' x')$   

 $(\text{comp } m q y x)$ 
  and null-interchange:  $\llbracket q < p; \text{is-composable-pair } p q x' x \rrbracket \implies$   

 $\text{comp } (\text{Suc } p) q (i p x') (i p x) = i p (\text{comp } p q x' x)$ 

locale strict-omega-functor = globular-map +
  source: strict-omega-category  $X s_X t_X \text{comp}_X i_X$  +
  target: strict-omega-category  $Y s_Y t_Y \text{comp}_Y i_Y$ 
  for  $\text{comp}_X i_X \text{comp}_Y i_Y$  +
  assumes commute-with-comp:  $\text{is-composable-pair } m n x' x \implies$   

 $\varphi m (\text{comp}_X m n x' x) = \text{comp}_Y m n (\varphi m x') (\varphi m x)$ 
  and commute-with-id:  $x \in X n \implies \varphi (\text{Suc } n) (i_X n x) = i_Y n (\varphi n x)$ 

end

theory Pasting-Diagram
imports Strict-Omega-Category

```

begin

4 The category of pasting diagrams

We define the strict ω -category of pasting diagrams, 'pd'. We encode its cells as rooted trees. First we develop some basic theory of trees.

4.1 Rooted trees

datatype *tree* = *Node* (*subtrees*: *tree list*) — [1, p. 268]

abbreviation *Leaf* :: *tree* **where**
Leaf ≡ *Node* []

fun *subtree* :: *tree* ⇒ *nat list* ⇒ *tree* ($\langle - \cdot !t \rightarrow [59,60]59 \rangle$) **where**

t !*t* [] = *t* |

t !*t* (*i*#*xs*) = *subtrees* (*t* !*t* *xs*) ! *i*

value *Leaf* !*t* []

value *Node* [*Node* [*Leaf*, *Leaf*, *Leaf*], *Leaf*, *Node* [*Leaf*]] !*t* [0]

value *Node* [*Node* [*Leaf*, *Leaf*, *Leaf*], *Leaf*, *Node* [*Leaf*]] !*t* [2,0]

value *Node* [*Node* [*Leaf*, *Leaf*, *Leaf*], *Leaf*, *Node* [*Leaf*]] !*t* [1]

value *Node* [*Node* [*Leaf*, *Leaf*, *Leaf*], *Leaf*, *Node* [*Leaf*]] !*t* [0,2]

lemma *subtrees-Leaf*: (*t* = *Leaf*) = (*subtrees t* = [])

⟨proof⟩

fun *is-subtree-index* :: *tree* ⇒ *nat list* ⇒ *bool* **where**

is-subtree-index t [] = *True* |

is-subtree-index t (*i*#*xs*) = (*is-subtree-index t xs* ∧ *i* < *length* (*subtrees (t !t xs)*))

lemma *subtree-append*: *ts* ! *i* !*t* *xs* = *Node ts* !*t* *xs* @ [i]

⟨proof⟩

lemma *is-subtree-index-append* [iff]: *is-subtree-index (Node ts) (xs @ [i])* =

(*i* < *length ts* ∧ *is-subtree-index (ts!i) xs*)

⟨proof⟩

lemma *is-subtree-index-append'* [iff]: *is-subtree-index t (xs @ [i])* =

(*is-subtree-index t* [i] ∧ *is-subtree-index (t !t [i]) xs*)

⟨proof⟩

lemma *max-set-up* [simp]: *Max {0..<Suc n}* = *n*

⟨proof⟩

lemma *length-subtrees-eq-Max*: **assumes** *is-subtree-index t xs subtrees (t !t xs)* ≠ []

shows *length (subtrees (t !t xs))* = *Suc (Max {i. is-subtree-index t (i # xs)})*

⟨proof⟩

lemma *tree-eq-iff-subtree-eq*: (*t* = *u*) = (*length (subtrees t)* = *length (subtrees u)*)

∧

($\forall i < \text{length} (\text{subtrees } t). t !t [i] = u !t [i])$)

⟨proof⟩

We define the height of a rooted tree. A tree with only one node has height 0. The trees of height at most n encode the n-cells in 'pd'.

```

fun height :: tree  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
height Leaf = 0 |
height (Node ts) = Suc (fold (max  $\circ$  height) ts 0)

value height Leaf
value height (Node [Leaf, Leaf])
value height (Node [Node [Leaf, Leaf], Leaf])
value height (Node [Node [Leaf, Node [Leaf]]])

lemma height-Node [simp]: ts  $\neq$  []  $\implies$  height (Node ts) = Suc (fold (max  $\circ$  height)
ts 0)
    {proof}

lemma fold-eq-Max [simp]: ts  $\neq$  []  $\implies$  fold (max  $\circ$  height) ts 0 = Max (set (map
height ts))
    {proof}

lemma height-Node-Max: ts  $\neq$  []  $\implies$  height (Node ts) = Suc (Max (set (map
height ts)))
    {proof}

lemma height-Node-pos : ts  $\neq$  []  $\implies$  0 < height (Node ts)
{proof}

lemma height-exists:
    assumes height (Node ts) = Suc n
    shows  $\exists t. t \in \text{set ts} \wedge \text{height } t = n$ 
{proof}

lemma height-lt: assumes  $t \in \text{set ts}$  shows height t < height (Node ts)
{proof}

lemma height-le-imp-le-Suc:
    assumes  $\forall t \in \text{set ts}. \text{height } t \leq n$ 
    shows height (Node ts)  $\leq$  Suc n
{proof}

lemma height-zero [simp]: height t = 0  $\implies$  t = Leaf
{proof}

lemma is-subtree-index-length-le: is-subtree-index t xs  $\implies$  length xs  $\leq$  height t
{proof}

lemma height-subtree: is-subtree-index t xs  $\implies$  height (t !t xs)  $\leq$  height t - length
xs
{proof}

lemma height-induct: ( $\bigwedge t. \forall u. \text{height } u < \text{height } t \longrightarrow P u \implies P t$ )  $\implies$  P t
{proof}

```

```

lemma subtree-index-induct [case-names Index Step]:
  assumes
    is-subtree-index t xs
     $\bigwedge xs. \llbracket \text{is-subtree-index } t \text{ } xs; \forall i < \text{length } (\text{subtrees } (t !t xs)). P (i\#xs) \rrbracket \implies P \text{ } xs$ 
  shows P xs
  ⟨proof⟩

```

The function *trim* keeps the first n layers of a tree and removes the remaining ones.

```

fun trim :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  tree  $\Rightarrow$  tree where
  trim 0 t = Leaf |
  trim (Suc n) (Node ts) = Node (map (trim n) ts)

```

```

lemma trim-Leaf [simp]: trim n Leaf = Leaf
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma height-trim-le: height (trim n t)  $\leq$  n
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma trim-const: height t  $\leq$  n  $\implies$  trim n t = t
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma height-trim-le': n  $\leq$  height t  $\implies$  height (trim n t) = n
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma height-trim: height (trim n t) = (if n  $\leq$  height t then n else height t)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

value trim 1 Leaf
value trim 1 (Node [Leaf, Leaf])
value trim 2 (Node [Node [Leaf, Leaf], Leaf])
value trim 1 (Node [Node [Leaf, Node [Leaf]], Node [Leaf]])

```

```

lemma trim-trim' [simp]: trim n  $\circ$  trim n = trim n
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma trim-trim-Suc [simp]: trim n  $\circ$  trim (Suc n) = trim n
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma trim-trim [simp]: n  $\leq$  m  $\implies$  trim n  $\circ$  trim m = trim n
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma trim-eq-imp-trim-eq [simp]:  $\llbracket n \leq m; \text{trim } m \text{ } t = \text{trim } m \text{ } u \rrbracket \implies \text{trim } n \text{ } t = \text{trim } n \text{ } u$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma trim-1-eq: assumes trim 1 (Node ts) = trim 1 (Node us) shows length ts = length us

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length-subtrees-trim-Suc*: $\text{length}(\text{subtrees}(\text{trim}(\text{Suc } n) t)) = \text{length}(\text{subtrees } t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trim-eq-Leaf*: $\text{trim } n t = \text{Leaf} \implies n = 0 \vee t = \text{Leaf}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *map-eq-imp-pairs-eq*: $\text{map } f xs = \text{map } g ys \implies (\forall x y. (x, y) \in \text{set}(\text{zip } xs ys) \implies f x = g y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trim-eq-subtree-eq*:
assumes $\text{trim}(\text{Suc } n)(\text{Node } ts) = \text{trim}(\text{Suc } n)(\text{Node } us)$
shows $\bigwedge t u. (t, u) \in \text{set}(\text{zip } ts us) \implies \text{trim } n t = \text{trim } n u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pairs-eq-imp-map-eq*:
assumes $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys \forall (x, y) \in \text{set}(\text{zip } xs ys). f x = g y$
shows $\text{map } f xs = \text{map } g ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *map-eq-iff-pairs-eq*: $(\text{map } f xs = \text{map } g ys) = (\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys \wedge (\forall (x, y) \in \text{set}(\text{zip } xs ys). f x = g y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subtree-eq-trim-eq*:
assumes $\text{length } ts = \text{length } us \forall (t, u) \in \text{set}(\text{zip } ts us). \text{trim } n t = \text{trim } n u$
shows $\text{trim}(\text{Suc } n)(\text{Node } ts) = \text{trim}(\text{Suc } n)(\text{Node } us)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subtree-trim-1*: *is-subtree-index* $t [i] \implies \text{trim}(\text{Suc } n) t !t [i] = \text{trim } n (t !t [i])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-subtree-index-trim*:
 $\text{is-subtree-index}(\text{trim } n t) xs = (\text{is-subtree-index } t xs \wedge \text{length } xs \leq n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subtree-trim*: $\llbracket \text{is-subtree-index } t xs; \text{length } xs \leq n \rrbracket \implies \text{trim } n t !t xs = \text{trim } (n - \text{length } xs) (t !t xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length-subtrees-trim*: $\llbracket \text{is-subtree-index } t xs; \text{length } xs < n \rrbracket \implies \text{length}(\text{subtrees}(\text{trim } n t !t xs)) = \text{length}(\text{subtrees}(t !t xs))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subtree-trim-Leaf*: **assumes** *is-subtree-index* $(\text{trim } n t) xs t !t xs = \text{Leaf}$

shows $\text{trim } n \ t \ !t \ xs = \text{Leaf}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.2 The strict ω -category of pasting diagrams

The function δ acts as both the source and target map in the globular set of pasting diagrams. It is denoted ∂ in Leinster [1, p. 264].

abbreviation δ **where**

$$\delta \equiv \text{trim}$$

value $\delta \ 1 \ (\text{Node} \ [\text{Node} \ [\text{Leaf}, \ \text{Leaf}, \ \text{Leaf}], \ \text{Leaf}, \ \text{Node} \ [\text{Leaf}]]])$
value $\delta \ 2 \ (\text{Node} \ [\text{Node} \ [\text{Node} \ [\text{Leaf}, \ \text{Leaf}]], \ \text{Node} \ [\text{Leaf}, \ \text{Leaf}]]])$

abbreviation $PD :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{tree set}$ **where**
 $PD \ n \equiv \{t. \ \text{height } t \leq n\}$

interpretation $pd: \text{globular-set}$ $PD \ \delta$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The generalised source and target maps have simple interpretations in terms of trim .

lemma s' -eq-trim: **assumes** $n \leq m$ $\text{height } t \leq m$ **shows** $pd.s' \ m \ n \ t = \text{trim } n \ t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma s' -eq- t' : $pd.s' = pd.t'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma t' -eq-trim: **assumes** $n \leq m$ $\text{height } t \leq m$ **shows** $pd.t' \ m \ n \ t = \text{trim } n \ t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Next we define identities and composition [1, p. 266]. The identity of a tree with height at most n is the same tree seen as a tree of height at most $n + 1$.

fun $\text{tree-comp} :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{tree} \Rightarrow \text{tree} \Rightarrow \text{tree}$ **where**
 $\text{tree-comp } 0 \ (\text{Node } ts) \ (\text{Node } us) = \text{Node} \ (ts @ us) \ |$
 $\text{tree-comp } (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{Node } ts) \ (\text{Node } us) = \text{Node} \ (\text{map2} \ (\text{tree-comp } n) \ ts \ us)$

value $\text{tree-comp } 1$
 $(\text{Node} \ [\text{Node} \ [\text{Leaf}, \ \text{Leaf}], \ \text{Leaf}, \ \text{Node} \ [\text{Leaf}]]])$
 $(\text{Node} \ [\text{Leaf}, \ \text{Leaf}, \ \text{Node} \ [\text{Leaf}, \ \text{Leaf}]]])$

value $\text{tree-comp } 0$
 $(\text{Node} \ [\text{Node} \ [\text{Node} \ [\text{Leaf}, \ \text{Leaf}]]]])$
 $(\text{Node} \ [\text{Node} \ [\text{Leaf}, \ \text{Leaf}]]])$

```

value tree-comp 0
  (tree-comp 0
    (tree-comp 1
      (Node [Leaf, Leaf])
      (Node [Node [Leaf], Node [Leaf, Leaf, Leaf]]))
      (Node [Leaf, Node [Leaf, Leaf]])))
    (Node [Leaf, Leaf, Leaf]))
```

lemma tree-comp-0-Leaf1 [simp]: tree-comp 0 Leaf t = t
⟨proof⟩

lemma tree-comp-0-Leaf2 [simp]: tree-comp 0 t Leaf = t
⟨proof⟩

lemma tree-comp-Suc-Leaf1 [simp]: tree-comp (Suc n) Leaf t = Leaf
⟨proof⟩

lemma tree-comp-Suc-Leaf2 [simp]: tree-comp (Suc n) t Leaf = Leaf
⟨proof⟩

lemma height-tree-comp-0 [simp]: height (tree-comp 0 t u) = max (height t) (height u)
⟨proof⟩

An alternative description of being composable for trees. Defined so that $\text{tree-comp } n \ t \ u$ is defined if and only if $\text{composable-tree } n \ t \ u$.

```

fun composable-tree :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  tree  $\Rightarrow$  tree  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  composable-tree 0 (Node ts) (Node us) = True |
  composable-tree (Suc n) (Node ts) (Node us) = (length ts = length us  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\forall i < \text{length } ts.$  composable-tree n (ts!i) (us!i)))
```

lemma sym-composable-tree: composable-tree n t u = composable-tree n u t
⟨proof⟩

lemma is-composable-pair-imp-composable-tree: pd.is-composable-pair m n t u \implies
 composable-tree n t u
⟨proof⟩

lemma composable-tree-imp-trim-eq: composable-tree n t u \implies trim n t = trim n u
⟨proof⟩

lemma composable-tree-imp-is-composable-pair:
 assumes n < m height t \leq m height u \leq m composable-tree n t u
 shows pd.is-composable-pair m n t u
⟨proof⟩

lemma *is-composable-pair-iff-composable-tree*: $pd.\text{is-composable-pair } m \ n \ t \ u = (n < m \wedge \text{height } t \leq m \wedge \text{height } u \leq m \wedge \text{composable-tree } n \ t \ u)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *composable-tree-imp-composable-tree-subtrees*:

$\text{composable-tree } (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{Node } ts) \ (\text{Node } us) \implies \forall (t, u) \in \text{set } (\text{zip } ts \ us). \ \text{composable-tree } n \ t \ u$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *composable-tree-nth-subtrees*:

$\llbracket \text{composable-tree } (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{Node } ts) \ (\text{Node } us); i < \text{length } ts \rrbracket \implies \text{composable-tree } n \ (ts!i) \ (us!i)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-composable-pair-imp-is-composable-pair-subtrees*:

assumes $pd.\text{is-composable-pair } (\text{Suc } m) \ (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{Node } ts) \ (\text{Node } us)$

shows $\forall (t, u) \in \text{set } (\text{zip } ts \ us). \ pd.\text{is-composable-pair } m \ n \ t \ u$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *in-set-map2*: $(z \in \text{set } (\text{map2 } f \ xs \ ys)) = (\exists (x, y) \in \text{set } (\text{zip } xs \ ys). \ z = f \ x \ y)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *height-tree-comp-le*: $\llbracket \text{height } t \leq m; \text{height } u \leq m \rrbracket \implies \text{height } (\text{tree-comp } n \ t \ u) \leq m$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-map2 [simp]*: $\llbracket n < \text{length } xs; n < \text{length } ys \rrbracket \implies \text{map2 } f \ xs \ ys ! \ n = f \ (xs ! n) \ (ys ! n)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trim-tree-comp1*: $\text{composable-tree } n \ t \ u \implies \text{trim } n \ (\text{tree-comp } n \ t \ u) = \text{trim } n \ t$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trim-tree-comp2*: $\text{composable-tree } n \ t \ u \implies \text{trim } n \ (\text{tree-comp } n \ t \ u) = \text{trim } n \ u$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map2-map-map'*: $\text{map2 } f \ (\text{map } g \ xs) \ (\text{map } h \ ys) = \text{map } (\lambda(x, y). \ f \ (g \ x) \ (h \ y)) \ (\text{zip } xs \ ys)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trim-tree-comp-commute*: $\text{trim } m \ (\text{tree-comp } n \ t \ u) = \text{tree-comp } n \ (\text{trim } m \ t) \ (\text{trim } m \ u)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation *pd-pre-cat*: *pre-strict-omega-category* $PD \ \delta \ \delta \ \lambda \ m. \ \text{tree-comp } \lambda \ n.$

id
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tree-comp-assoc*: *tree-comp n (tree-comp n t u) v = tree-comp n t (tree-comp n u v)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *i'-eq-id*: $n \leq m \implies pd\text{-pre\text{-}cat}.i' m n = id$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *composable-tree-trim1*: $n \leq m \implies \text{composable-tree } n (\text{trim } m t) t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *composable-tree-trim2*: $n \leq m \implies \text{composable-tree } n t (\text{trim } m t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tree-comp-trim1*: *tree-comp n (trim n t) t = t*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tree-comp-trim2*: *tree-comp n t (trim n t) = t*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tree-comp-exchange*:
 $\llbracket q < p; \text{composable-tree } p y' y; \text{composable-tree } p x' x;$
 $\text{composable-tree } q y' x'; \text{composable-tree } q y x \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{tree-comp } q (\text{tree-comp } p y' y) (\text{tree-comp } p x' x) =$
 $\text{tree-comp } p (\text{tree-comp } q y' x') (\text{tree-comp } q y x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation *pd-cat'*: strict-omega-category *PD δ δ λ m. tree-comp λ n. id*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

5 Acknowledgements

The work has been jointly supported by the Cambridge Mathematics Place-
ments (CMP) Programme and the ERC Advanced Grant ALEXANDRIA
(Project GA 742178).

References

- [1] T. Leinster. *Higher operads, higher categories*. Number 298. Cambridge University Press, 2004.