

Stream Fusion

Brian Huffman

March 17, 2025

Abstract

Stream Fusion [1] is a system for removing intermediate list structures from Haskell programs; it consists of a Haskell library along with several compiler rewrite rules. (The library is available online at <http://www.cse.unsw.edu.au/~dons/streams.html>.)

These theories contain a formalization of much of the Stream Fusion library in HOLCF. Lazy list and stream types are defined, along with coercions between the two types, as well as an equivalence relation for streams that generate the same list. List and stream versions of `map`, `filter`, `foldr`, `enumFromTo`, `append`, `zipWith`, and `concatMap` are defined, and the stream versions are shown to respect stream equivalence.

Contents

1	Lazy Lists	2
2	Stream Iterators	3
2.1	Type definitions for streams	4
2.2	Converting from streams to lists	4
2.3	Converting from lists to streams	5
2.4	Bisimilarity relation on streams	6
3	Stream Fusion	6
3.1	Type constructors for state types	6
3.2	Map function	7
3.3	Filter function	8
3.4	Foldr function	9
3.5	EnumFromTo function	10
3.6	Append function	11
3.7	ZipWith function	14
3.8	ConcatMap function	17
3.9	Examples	20

1 Lazy Lists

```
theory LazyList
imports HOLCF HOLCF-Library.Int-Discrete
begin

domain 'a LList = LNil | LCons (lazy 'a) (lazy 'a LList)

fixrec
  mapL :: ('a → 'b) → 'a LList → 'b LList
where
  mapL.f.LNil = LNil
| mapL.f.(LCons.x.xs) = LCons.(f.x).(mapL.f.xs)

lemma mapL-strict [simp]: mapL.f.⊥ = ⊥
by fixrec-simp

fixrec
  filterL :: ('a → tr) → 'a LList → 'a LList
where
  filterL.p.LNil = LNil
| filterL.p.(LCons.x.xs) =
  (If p.x then LCons.x.(filterL.p.xs) else filterL.p.xs)

lemma filterL-strict [simp]: filterL.p.⊥ = ⊥
by fixrec-simp

fixrec
  foldrL :: ('a → 'b → 'b) → 'b → 'a LList → 'b
where
  foldrL.f.z.LNil = z
| foldrL.f.z.(LCons.x.xs) = f.x.(foldrL.f.z.xs)

lemma foldrL-strict [simp]: foldrL.f.z.⊥ = ⊥
by fixrec-simp

fixrec
  enumFromToL :: int⊥ → int⊥ → (int⊥) LList
where
  enumFromToL.(up.x).(up.y) =
  (if x ≤ y then LCons.(up.x).(enumFromToL.(up.(x+1)).(up.y)) else LNil)

lemma enumFromToL-simps' [simp]:
  x ≤ y ⇒
  enumFromToL.(up.x).(up.y) = LCons.(up.x).(enumFromToL.(up.(x+1)).(up.y))
  ¬ x ≤ y ⇒ enumFromToL.(up.x).(up.y) = LNil
by simp-all

declare enumFromToL.simps [simp del]
```

```

lemma enumFromToL-strict [simp]:
  enumFromToL. $\perp$ .y =  $\perp$ 
  enumFromToL.x. $\perp$  =  $\perp$ 
apply (subst enumFromToL.unfold, simp)
apply (induct x)
apply (subst enumFromToL.unfold, simp)+
done

fixrec
  appendL :: 'a LList  $\rightarrow$  'a LList  $\rightarrow$  'a LList
where
  appendL.LNil.ys = ys
  | appendL.(LCons.x.xs).ys = LCons.x.(appendL.xs.ys)

lemma appendL-strict [simp]: appendL. $\perp$ .ys =  $\perp$ 
by fixrec-simp

lemma appendL-LNil-right: appendL.xs.LNil = xs
by (induct xs) simp-all

fixrec
  zipWithL :: ('a  $\rightarrow$  'b  $\rightarrow$  'c)  $\rightarrow$  'a LList  $\rightarrow$  'b LList  $\rightarrow$  'c LList
where
  zipWithL.f.LNil.ys = LNil
  | zipWithL.f.(LCons.x.xs).LNil = LNil
  | zipWithL.f.(LCons.x.xs).(LCons.y.ys) = LCons.(f.x.y).( zipWithL.f.xs.ys)

lemma zipWithL-strict [simp]:
  zipWithL.f. $\perp$ .ys =  $\perp$ 
  zipWithL.f.(LCons.x.xs). $\perp$  =  $\perp$ 
by fixrec-simp+

fixrec
  concatMapL :: ('a  $\rightarrow$  'b LList)  $\rightarrow$  'a LList  $\rightarrow$  'b LList
where
  concatMapL.f.LNil = LNil
  | concatMapL.f.(LCons.x.xs) = appendL.(f.x).( concatMapL.f.xs)

lemma concatMapL-strict [simp]: concatMapL.f. $\perp$  =  $\perp$ 
by fixrec-simp

end

```

2 Stream Iterators

```

theory Stream
imports LazyList
begin

```

2.1 Type definitions for streams

Note that everything is strict in the state type.

domain $(\prime a, \prime s)$ *Step* = *Done* | *Skip* $\prime s$ | *Yield* (**lazy** $\prime a$) $\prime s$

type-synonym $(\prime a, \prime s)$ *Stepper* = $\prime s \rightarrow (\prime a, \prime s)$ *Step*

domain $(\prime a, \prime s)$ *Stream* = *Stream* (**lazy** $(\prime a, \prime s)$ *Stepper*) $\prime s$

2.2 Converting from streams to lists

fixrec

unfold :: $(\prime a, \prime s)$ *Stepper* \rightarrow $(\prime s \rightarrow \prime a$ *LList*)

where

unfold \cdot *h* \cdot \perp = \perp
| $s \neq \perp \implies$
unfold \cdot *h* \cdot *s* =
(case *h* \cdot *s* of
Done \Rightarrow *LNil*
| *Skip* \cdot *s'* \Rightarrow *unfold* \cdot *h* \cdot *s'*
| *Yield* \cdot *x* \cdot *s'* \Rightarrow *LCons* \cdot *x* \cdot (*unfold* \cdot *h* \cdot *s'*))

fixrec

unfoldF :: $(\prime a, \prime s)$ *Stepper* \rightarrow $(\prime s \rightarrow \prime a$ *LList*) \rightarrow $(\prime s \rightarrow \prime a$ *LList*)

where

unfoldF \cdot *h* \cdot *u* \cdot \perp = \perp
| $s \neq \perp \implies$
unfoldF \cdot *h* \cdot *u* \cdot *s* =
(case *h* \cdot *s* of
Done \Rightarrow *LNil*
| *Skip* \cdot *s'* \Rightarrow *u* \cdot *s'*
| *Yield* \cdot *x* \cdot *s'* \Rightarrow *LCons* \cdot *x* \cdot (*u* \cdot *s'*))

lemma *unfold-eq-fix*: *unfold* \cdot *h* = *fix* \cdot (*unfoldF* \cdot *h*)

proof (rule *below-antisym*)

show *unfold* \cdot *h* \sqsubseteq *fix* \cdot (*unfoldF* \cdot *h*)

apply (rule *unfold.induct*, *simp*, *simp*)

apply (*subst* *fix-eq*)

apply (rule *cfun-belowI*, *rename-tac* *s*)

apply (*case-tac* $s = \perp$, *simp*, *simp*)

apply (*intro* *monofun-cfun* *monofun-LAM* *below-refl*, *simp-all*)

done

show *fix* \cdot (*unfoldF* \cdot *h*) \sqsubseteq *unfold* \cdot *h*

apply (rule *fix-ind*, *simp*, *simp*)

apply (*subst* *unfold.unfold*)

apply (rule *cfun-belowI*, *rename-tac* *s*)

apply (*case-tac* $s = \perp$, *simp*, *simp*)

apply (*intro* *monofun-cfun* *monofun-LAM* *below-refl*, *simp-all*)

done

qed

lemma *unfold-ind*:

fixes $P :: ('s \rightarrow 'a \text{ LList}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

assumes $\text{adm } P$ **and** $P \perp$ **and** $\bigwedge u. P u \implies P (\text{unfoldF} \cdot h \cdot u)$

shows $P (\text{unfold} \cdot h)$

unfolding *unfold-eq-fix* **by** (*rule fix-ind [of P, OF assms]*)

fixrec

$\text{unfold2} :: ('s \rightarrow 'a \text{ LList}) \rightarrow ('a, 's) \text{ Step} \rightarrow 'a \text{ LList}$

where

$\text{unfold2} \cdot u \cdot \text{Done} = \text{LNil}$

| $s \neq \perp \implies \text{unfold2} \cdot u \cdot (\text{Skip} \cdot s) = u \cdot s$

| $s \neq \perp \implies \text{unfold2} \cdot u \cdot (\text{Yield} \cdot x \cdot s) = \text{LCons} \cdot x \cdot (u \cdot s)$

lemma *unfold2-strict [simp]*: $\text{unfold2} \cdot u \cdot \perp = \perp$

by *fixrec-simp*

lemma *unfold*: $s \neq \perp \implies \text{unfold} \cdot h \cdot s = \text{unfold2} \cdot (\text{unfold} \cdot h) \cdot (h \cdot s)$

by (*case-tac h \cdot s, simp-all*)

lemma *unfoldF*: $s \neq \perp \implies \text{unfoldF} \cdot h \cdot u \cdot s = \text{unfold2} \cdot u \cdot (h \cdot s)$

by (*case-tac h \cdot s, simp-all*)

declare *unfold.simps(2)* [*simp del*]

declare *unfoldF.simps(2)* [*simp del*]

declare *unfoldF [simp]*

fixrec

$\text{unstream} :: ('a, 's) \text{ Stream} \rightarrow 'a \text{ LList}$

where

$s \neq \perp \implies \text{unstream} \cdot (\text{Stream} \cdot h \cdot s) = \text{unfold} \cdot h \cdot s$

lemma *unstream-strict [simp]*: $\text{unstream} \cdot \perp = \perp$

by *fixrec-simp*

2.3 Converting from lists to streams

fixrec

$\text{streamStep} :: ('a \text{ LList})_{\perp} \rightarrow ('a, ('a \text{ LList})_{\perp}) \text{ Step}$

where

$\text{streamStep} \cdot (\text{up} \cdot \text{LNil}) = \text{Done}$

| $\text{streamStep} \cdot (\text{up} \cdot (\text{LCons} \cdot x \cdot xs)) = \text{Yield} \cdot x \cdot (\text{up} \cdot xs)$

lemma *streamStep-strict [simp]*: $\text{streamStep} \cdot (\text{up} \cdot \perp) = \perp$

by *fixrec-simp*

fixrec

$\text{stream} :: 'a \text{ LList} \rightarrow ('a, ('a \text{ LList})_{\perp}) \text{ Stream}$

where
 $stream \cdot xs = Stream \cdot streamStep \cdot (up \cdot xs)$

lemma *stream-defined* [*simp*]: $stream \cdot xs \neq \perp$
by *simp*

lemma *unstream-stream* [*simp*]:
fixes $xs :: 'a \text{ LList}$
shows $unstream \cdot (stream \cdot xs) = xs$
by (*induct xs, simp-all add: unfold*)

declare $stream.simps$ [*simp del*]

2.4 Bisimilarity relation on streams

definition
 $bisimilar :: ('a, 's) Stream \Rightarrow ('a, 't) Stream \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $\langle \approx \rangle$ 50)

where
 $a \approx b \iff unstream \cdot a = unstream \cdot b \wedge a \neq \perp \wedge b \neq \perp$

lemma *unstream-cong*:
 $a \approx b \implies unstream \cdot a = unstream \cdot b$
unfolding *bisimilar-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *stream-cong*:
 $xs = ys \implies stream \cdot xs \approx stream \cdot ys$
unfolding *bisimilar-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *stream-unstream-cong*:
 $a \approx b \implies stream \cdot (unstream \cdot a) \approx b$
unfolding *bisimilar-def* **by** *simp*

end

3 Stream Fusion

theory *StreamFusion*
imports *Stream*
begin

3.1 Type constructors for state types

domain $Switch = S1 \mid S2$

domain $'a \text{ Maybe} = Nothing \mid Just \ 'a$

hide-const (**open**) $Left \ Right$

domain $('a, 'b) \text{ Either} = Left \ 'a \mid Right \ 'b$

domain ('a, 'b) Both (**infixl** <!:> 25) = Both 'a 'b (**infixl** <!:> 75)

domain 'a L = L (**lazy** 'a)

3.2 Map function

fixrec

$mapStep :: ('a \rightarrow 'b) \rightarrow ('s \rightarrow ('a, 's) Step) \rightarrow 's \rightarrow ('b, 's) Step$

where

$mapStep.f.h.\perp = \perp$

| $s \neq \perp \implies mapStep.f.h.s = (case\ h.s\ of$

$Done \Rightarrow Done$

 | $Skip.s' \Rightarrow Skip.s'$

 | $Yield.x.s' \Rightarrow Yield.(f.x).s'$)

fixrec

$mapS :: ('a \rightarrow 'b) \rightarrow ('a, 's) Stream \rightarrow ('b, 's) Stream$

where

$s \neq \perp \implies mapS.f.(Stream.h.s) = Stream.(mapStep.f.h).s$

lemma *unfold-mapStep*:

fixes $f :: 'a \rightarrow 'b$ **and** $h :: 's \rightarrow ('a, 's) Step$

assumes $s \neq \perp$

shows $unfold.(mapStep.f.h).s = mapL.f.(unfold.h.s)$

proof (*rule below-antisym*)

show $unfold.(mapStep.f.h).s \sqsubseteq mapL.f.(unfold.h.s)$

using < $s \neq \perp$ >

apply (*induct arbitrary: s rule: unfold-ind [where h=mapStep.f.h]*)

apply (*simp, simp*)

apply (*case-tac h.s, simp-all add: unfold*)

done

next

show $mapL.f.(unfold.h.s) \sqsubseteq unfold.(mapStep.f.h).s$

using < $s \neq \perp$ >

apply (*induct arbitrary: s rule: unfold-ind [where h=h]*)

apply (*simp, simp*)

apply (*case-tac h.s, simp-all add: unfold*)

done

qed

lemma *unstream-mapS*:

fixes $f :: 'a \rightarrow 'b$ **and** $a :: ('a, 's) Stream$

shows $a \neq \perp \implies unstream.(mapS.f.a) = mapL.f.(unstream.a)$

by (*induct a, simp, simp add: unfold-mapStep*)

lemma *mapS-defined*: $a \neq \perp \implies mapS.f.a \neq \perp$

by (*induct a, simp-all*)

lemma *mapS-cong*:
fixes $f :: 'a \rightarrow 'b$
fixes $a :: ('a, 's) \text{Stream}$
fixes $b :: ('a, 't) \text{Stream}$
shows $f = g \implies a \approx b \implies \text{mapS}\cdot f\cdot a \approx \text{mapS}\cdot g\cdot b$
unfolding *bisimilar-def*
by (*simp add: unstream-mapS mapS-defined*)

lemma *mapL-eq*: $\text{mapL}\cdot f\cdot xs = \text{unstream}\cdot(\text{mapS}\cdot f\cdot(\text{stream}\cdot xs))$
by (*simp add: unstream-mapS*)

3.3 Filter function

fixrec
 $\text{filterStep} :: ('a \rightarrow \text{tr}) \rightarrow ('s \rightarrow ('a, 's) \text{Step}) \rightarrow 's \rightarrow ('a, 's) \text{Step}$
where
 $\text{filterStep}\cdot p\cdot h\cdot \perp = \perp$
 $| s \neq \perp \implies \text{filterStep}\cdot p\cdot h\cdot s = (\text{case } h\cdot s \text{ of}$
 $\quad \text{Done} \Rightarrow \text{Done}$
 $\quad | \text{Skip}\cdot s' \Rightarrow \text{Skip}\cdot s'$
 $\quad | \text{Yield}\cdot x\cdot s' \Rightarrow (\text{If } p\cdot x \text{ then } \text{Yield}\cdot x\cdot s' \text{ else } \text{Skip}\cdot s'))$

fixrec
 $\text{filterS} :: ('a \rightarrow \text{tr}) \rightarrow ('a, 's) \text{Stream} \rightarrow ('a, 's) \text{Stream}$
where
 $s \neq \perp \implies \text{filterS}\cdot p\cdot(\text{Stream}\cdot h\cdot s) = \text{Stream}\cdot(\text{filterStep}\cdot p\cdot h)\cdot s$

lemma *unfold-filterStep*:
fixes $p :: 'a \rightarrow \text{tr}$ **and** $h :: 's \rightarrow ('a, 's) \text{Step}$
assumes $s \neq \perp$
shows $\text{unfold}\cdot(\text{filterStep}\cdot p\cdot h)\cdot s = \text{filterL}\cdot p\cdot(\text{unfold}\cdot h\cdot s)$
proof (*rule below-antisym*)
show $\text{unfold}\cdot(\text{filterStep}\cdot p\cdot h)\cdot s \sqsubseteq \text{filterL}\cdot p\cdot(\text{unfold}\cdot h\cdot s)$
using $\langle s \neq \perp \rangle$
apply (*induct arbitrary: s rule: unfold-ind [where h=filterStep.p.h]*)
apply (*simp, simp*)
apply (*case-tac h.s, simp-all add: unfold*)
apply (*case-tac p.a rule: trE, simp-all*)
done
next
show $\text{filterL}\cdot p\cdot(\text{unfold}\cdot h\cdot s) \sqsubseteq \text{unfold}\cdot(\text{filterStep}\cdot p\cdot h)\cdot s$
using $\langle s \neq \perp \rangle$
apply (*induct arbitrary: s rule: unfold-ind [where h=h]*)
apply (*simp, simp*)
apply (*case-tac h.s, simp-all add: unfold*)
apply (*case-tac p.a rule: trE, simp-all add: unfold*)
done
qed

lemma *unstream-filterS*:
 $a \neq \perp \implies \text{unstream} \cdot (\text{filterS} \cdot p \cdot a) = \text{filterL} \cdot p \cdot (\text{unstream} \cdot a)$
by (*induct a, simp, simp add: unfold-filterStep*)

lemma *filterS-defined*: $a \neq \perp \implies \text{filterS} \cdot p \cdot a \neq \perp$
by (*induct a, simp-all*)

lemma *filterS-cong*:
fixes $p :: 'a \rightarrow tr$
fixes $a :: ('a, 's) \text{Stream}$
fixes $b :: ('a, 't) \text{Stream}$
shows $p = q \implies a \approx b \implies \text{filterS} \cdot p \cdot a \approx \text{filterS} \cdot q \cdot b$
unfolding *bisimilar-def*
by (*simp add: unstream-filterS filterS-defined*)

lemma *filterL-eq*: $\text{filterL} \cdot p \cdot xs = \text{unstream} \cdot (\text{filterS} \cdot p \cdot (\text{stream} \cdot xs))$
by (*simp add: unstream-filterS*)

3.4 Foldr function

fixrec
 $\text{foldrS} :: ('a \rightarrow 'b \rightarrow 'b) \rightarrow 'b \rightarrow ('a, 's) \text{Stream} \rightarrow 'b$
where
foldrS-Stream:
 $s \neq \perp \implies \text{foldrS} \cdot f \cdot z \cdot (\text{Stream} \cdot h \cdot s) =$
(case h·s of Done \Rightarrow z
| Skip·s' \Rightarrow foldrS·f·z·(Stream·h·s')
| Yield·x·s' \Rightarrow f·x·(foldrS·f·z·(Stream·h·s')))

lemma *unfold-foldrS*:
assumes $s \neq \perp$ **shows** $\text{foldrS} \cdot f \cdot z \cdot (\text{Stream} \cdot h \cdot s) = \text{foldrL} \cdot f \cdot z \cdot (\text{unfold} \cdot h \cdot s)$
proof (*rule below-antisym*)
show $\text{foldrS} \cdot f \cdot z \cdot (\text{Stream} \cdot h \cdot s) \sqsubseteq \text{foldrL} \cdot f \cdot z \cdot (\text{unfold} \cdot h \cdot s)$
using $\langle s \neq \perp \rangle$
apply (*induct arbitrary: s rule: foldrS.induct*)
apply (*simp, simp, simp*)
apply (*case-tac h·s, simp-all add: monofun-cfun unfold*)
done
next
show $\text{foldrL} \cdot f \cdot z \cdot (\text{unfold} \cdot h \cdot s) \sqsubseteq \text{foldrS} \cdot f \cdot z \cdot (\text{Stream} \cdot h \cdot s)$
using $\langle s \neq \perp \rangle$
apply (*induct arbitrary: s rule: unfold-ind*)
apply (*simp, simp*)
apply (*case-tac h·s, simp-all add: monofun-cfun unfold*)
done
qed

lemma *unstream-foldrS*:
 $a \neq \perp \implies \text{foldrS} \cdot f \cdot z \cdot a = \text{foldrL} \cdot f \cdot z \cdot (\text{unstream} \cdot a)$

by (induct a, simp, simp del: foldrS-Stream add: unfold-foldrS)

lemma foldrS-cong:

fixes a :: ('a, 's) Stream

fixes b :: ('a, 't) Stream

shows $f = g \implies z = w \implies a \approx b \implies \text{foldrS} \cdot f \cdot z \cdot a = \text{foldrS} \cdot g \cdot w \cdot b$

by (simp add: bisimilar-def unstream-foldrS)

lemma foldrL-eq:

$\text{foldrL} \cdot f \cdot z \cdot xs = \text{foldrS} \cdot f \cdot z \cdot (\text{stream} \cdot xs)$

by (simp add: unstream-foldrS)

3.5 EnumFromTo function

type-synonym $\text{int}' = \text{int}_\perp$

fixrec

$\text{enumFromToStep} :: \text{int}' \rightarrow (\text{int}')_\perp \rightarrow (\text{int}', (\text{int}')_\perp) \text{ Step}$

where

$\text{enumFromToStep} \cdot (\text{up} \cdot y) \cdot (\text{up} \cdot (\text{up} \cdot x)) =$
 $(\text{if } x \leq y \text{ then Yield} \cdot (\text{up} \cdot x) \cdot (\text{up} \cdot (\text{up} \cdot (x+1))) \text{ else Done})$

lemma enumFromToStep-strict [simp]:

$\text{enumFromToStep} \cdot \perp \cdot x'' = \perp$

$\text{enumFromToStep} \cdot (\text{up} \cdot y) \cdot \perp = \perp$

$\text{enumFromToStep} \cdot (\text{up} \cdot y) \cdot (\text{up} \cdot \perp) = \perp$

by fixrec-simp+

lemma enumFromToStep-simps' [simp]:

$x \leq y \implies \text{enumFromToStep} \cdot (\text{up} \cdot y) \cdot (\text{up} \cdot (\text{up} \cdot x)) =$
 $\text{Yield} \cdot (\text{up} \cdot x) \cdot (\text{up} \cdot (\text{up} \cdot (x+1)))$

$\neg x \leq y \implies \text{enumFromToStep} \cdot (\text{up} \cdot y) \cdot (\text{up} \cdot (\text{up} \cdot x)) = \text{Done}$

by simp-all

declare enumFromToStep.simps [simp del]

fixrec

$\text{enumFromToS} :: \text{int}' \rightarrow \text{int}' \rightarrow (\text{int}', (\text{int}')_\perp) \text{ Stream}$

where

$\text{enumFromToS} \cdot x \cdot y = \text{Stream} \cdot (\text{enumFromToStep} \cdot y) \cdot (\text{up} \cdot x)$

declare enumFromToS.simps [simp del]

lemma unfold-enumFromToStep:

$\text{unfold} \cdot (\text{enumFromToStep} \cdot (\text{up} \cdot y)) \cdot (\text{up} \cdot n) = \text{enumFromToL} \cdot n \cdot (\text{up} \cdot y)$

proof (rule below-antisym)

show $\text{unfold} \cdot (\text{enumFromToStep} \cdot (\text{up} \cdot y)) \cdot (\text{up} \cdot n) \sqsubseteq \text{enumFromToL} \cdot n \cdot (\text{up} \cdot y)$

apply (induct arbitrary: n rule: unfold-ind [where h=enumFromToStep · (up · y)])

apply (simp, simp)

```

apply (case-tac n, simp, simp)
apply (case-tac  $x \leq y$ , simp-all)
done
next
show enumFromToL.n.(up.y)  $\sqsubseteq$  unfold.(enumFromToStep.(up.y)).(up.n)
apply (induct arbitrary: n rule: enumFromToL.induct)
apply (simp, simp)
apply (rename-tac e n)
apply (case-tac n, simp)
apply (case-tac  $x \leq y$ , simp-all add: unfold)
done
qed

```

```

lemma unstream-enumFromToS:
  unstream.(enumFromToS.x.y) = enumFromToL.x.y
apply (simp add: enumFromToS.simps)
apply (induct y, simp add: unfold)
apply (induct x, simp add: unfold)
apply (simp add: unfold-enumFromToStep)
done

```

```

lemma enumFromToS-defined: enumFromToS.x.y  $\neq \perp$ 
  by (simp add: enumFromToS.simps)

```

```

lemma enumFromToS-cong:
   $x = x' \implies y = y' \implies \text{enumFromToS}.x.y \approx \text{enumFromToS}.x'.y'$ 
unfolding bisimilar-def by (simp add: enumFromToS-defined)

```

```

lemma enumFromToL-eq: enumFromToL.x.y = unstream.(enumFromToS.x.y)
by (simp add: unstream-enumFromToS)

```

3.6 Append function

```

fixrec
  appendStep ::
    ('s  $\rightarrow$  ('a, 's) Step)  $\rightarrow$ 
    ('t  $\rightarrow$  ('a, 't) Step)  $\rightarrow$ 
    't  $\rightarrow$  ('s, 't) Either  $\rightarrow$  ('a, ('s, 't) Either) Step
where
  sa  $\neq \perp \implies \text{appendStep}.ha.hb.sb0.(Left.sa) =$ 
    (case ha.sa of
      Done  $\Rightarrow$  Skip.(Right.sb0)
    | Skip.sa'  $\Rightarrow$  Skip.(Left.sa')
    | Yield.x.sa'  $\Rightarrow$  Yield.x.(Left.sa'))
  | sb  $\neq \perp \implies \text{appendStep}.ha.hb.sb0.(Right.sb) =$ 
    (case hb.sb of
      Done  $\Rightarrow$  Done
    | Skip.sb'  $\Rightarrow$  Skip.(Right.sb')
    | Yield.x.sb'  $\Rightarrow$  Yield.x.(Right.sb'))

```

lemma *appendStep-strict* [*simp*]: $appendStep\ ha\ hb\ sb0\ \perp = \perp$
by *fixrec-simp*

fixrec

appendS ::
 $('a, 's)\ Stream \rightarrow ('a, 't)\ Stream \rightarrow ('a, ('s, 't)\ Either)\ Stream$

where

$sa0 \neq \perp \implies sb0 \neq \perp \implies$
 $appendS\ (Stream\ ha\ sa0)\ (Stream\ hb\ sb0) =$
 $Stream\ (appendStep\ ha\ hb\ sb0)\ (Left\ sa0)$

lemma *unfold-appendStep*:

fixes $ha :: 's \rightarrow ('a, 's)\ Step$

fixes $hb :: 't \rightarrow ('a, 't)\ Step$

assumes $sb0$ [*simp*]: $sb0 \neq \perp$

shows

$(\forall sa. sa \neq \perp \longrightarrow unfold\ (appendStep\ ha\ hb\ sb0)\ (Left\ sa) =$
 $appendL\ (unfold\ ha\ sa)\ (unfold\ hb\ sb0)) \wedge$
 $(\forall sb. sb \neq \perp \longrightarrow unfold\ (appendStep\ ha\ hb\ sb0)\ (Right\ sb) =$
 $unfold\ hb\ sb)$

proof –

note *unfold* [*simp*]

let $?h = appendStep\ ha\ hb\ sb0$

have 1:

$(\forall sa. sa \neq \perp \longrightarrow$
 $unfold\ ?h\ (Left\ sa) \sqsubseteq$
 $appendL\ (unfold\ ha\ sa)\ (unfold\ hb\ sb0))$
 \wedge
 $(\forall sb. sb \neq \perp \longrightarrow unfold\ ?h\ (Right\ sb) \sqsubseteq unfold\ hb\ sb)$
apply (*rule* *unfold-ind* [**where** $h=?h$])
apply *simp*
apply *simp*
apply (*intro* *conjI* *allI* *impI*)
apply (*case-tac* $ha\ sa$, *simp*, *simp*, *simp*, *simp*)
apply (*case-tac* $hb\ sb$, *simp*, *simp*, *simp*, *simp*)
done

let $?P = \lambda ua\ ub. \forall sa. sa \neq \perp \longrightarrow$
 $appendL\ (ua\ sa)\ (ub\ sb0) \sqsubseteq unfold\ ?h\ (Left\ sa)$

let $?Q = \lambda ub. \forall sb. sb \neq \perp \longrightarrow ub\ sb \sqsubseteq unfold\ ?h\ (Right\ sb)$

have *P-base*: $\bigwedge ub. ?P \perp ub$
by *simp*

have *Q-base*: $?Q \perp$
by *simp*

```

have P-step:  $\bigwedge ua\ ub. ?P\ ua\ ub \implies ?Q\ ub \implies ?P\ (unfoldF\cdot ha\cdot ua)\ ub$ 
  apply (intro allI impI)
  apply (case-tac ha\sa, simp, simp, simp, simp)
  done

have Q-step:  $\bigwedge ua\ ub. ?Q\ ub \implies ?Q\ (unfoldF\cdot hb\cdot ub)$ 
  apply (intro allI impI)
  apply (case-tac hb\sb, simp, simp, simp, simp)
  done

have Q:  $?Q\ (unfold\cdot hb)$ 
  apply (rule unfold-ind [where h=hb], simp)
  apply (rule Q-base)
  apply (erule Q-step)
  done

have P:  $?P\ (unfold\cdot ha)\ (unfold\cdot hb)$ 
  apply (rule unfold-ind [where h=ha], simp)
  apply (rule P-base)
  apply (erule P-step)
  apply (rule Q)
  done

have 2:  $?P\ (unfold\cdot ha)\ (unfold\cdot hb) \wedge ?Q\ (unfold\cdot hb)$ 
  using P Q by (rule conjI)

from 1 2 show ?thesis
  by (simp add: po-eq-conv [where 'a='a LList])
qed

lemma appendS-defined:  $xs \neq \perp \implies ys \neq \perp \implies appendS\cdot xs\cdot ys \neq \perp$ 
by (cases xs, simp, cases ys, simp, simp)

lemma unstream-appendS:
   $a \neq \perp \implies b \neq \perp \implies$ 
   $unstream\cdot(appendS\cdot a\cdot b) = appendL\cdot(unstream\cdot a)\cdot(unstream\cdot b)$ 
apply (cases a, simp, cases b, simp)
apply (simp add: unfold-appendStep)
done

lemma appendS-cong:
  fixes f :: 'a  $\rightarrow$  'b
  fixes a :: ('a, 's) Stream
  fixes b :: ('a, 't) Stream
  shows  $a \approx a' \implies b \approx b' \implies appendS\cdot a\cdot b \approx appendS\cdot a'\cdot b'$ 
unfolding bisimilar-def
by (simp add: unstream-appendS appendS-defined)

```

lemma *appendL-eq*: $\text{appendL}\cdot xs\cdot ys = \text{unstream}\cdot(\text{appendS}\cdot(\text{stream}\cdot xs)\cdot(\text{stream}\cdot ys))$
by (*simp add: unstream-appendS*)

3.7 ZipWith function

fixrec

zipWithStep ::
 $('a \rightarrow 'b \rightarrow 'c) \rightarrow$
 $('s \rightarrow ('a, 's) \text{ Step}) \rightarrow$
 $('t \rightarrow ('b, 't) \text{ Step}) \rightarrow$
 $'s\ !:\ 't\ !:\ 'a\ L\ \text{Maybe} \rightarrow ('c, 's\ !:\ 't\ !:\ 'a\ L\ \text{Maybe}) \text{ Step}$

where

$sa \neq \perp \implies sb \neq \perp \implies$
 $\text{zipWithStep}\cdot f\cdot ha\cdot hb\cdot (sa\ !:\ sb\ !:\ \text{Nothing}) =$
 $(\text{case } ha\cdot sa \text{ of}$
 $\quad \text{Done} \Rightarrow \text{Done}$
 $\quad | \text{Skip}\cdot sa' \Rightarrow \text{Skip}\cdot (sa' !:\ sb !:\ \text{Nothing})$
 $\quad | \text{Yield}\cdot a\cdot sa' \Rightarrow \text{Skip}\cdot (sa' !:\ sb !:\ \text{Just}\cdot(L\cdot a)))$
 $| sa \neq \perp \implies sb \neq \perp \implies$
 $\text{zipWithStep}\cdot f\cdot ha\cdot hb\cdot (sa\ !:\ sb\ !:\ \text{Just}\cdot(L\cdot a)) =$
 $(\text{case } hb\cdot sb \text{ of}$
 $\quad \text{Done} \Rightarrow \text{Done}$
 $\quad | \text{Skip}\cdot sb' \Rightarrow \text{Skip}\cdot (sa !:\ sb' !:\ \text{Just}\cdot(L\cdot a))$
 $\quad | \text{Yield}\cdot b\cdot sb' \Rightarrow \text{Yield}\cdot (f\cdot a\cdot b)\cdot (sa !:\ sb' !:\ \text{Nothing}))$

lemma *zipWithStep-strict* [*simp*]: $\text{zipWithStep}\cdot f\cdot ha\cdot hb\cdot \perp = \perp$
by *fixrec-simp*

fixrec

zipWithS :: $('a \rightarrow 'b \rightarrow 'c) \rightarrow$
 $('a, 's) \text{ Stream} \rightarrow ('b, 't) \text{ Stream} \rightarrow ('c, 's\ !:\ 't\ !:\ 'a\ L\ \text{Maybe}) \text{ Stream}$

where

$sa0 \neq \perp \implies sb0 \neq \perp \implies \text{zipWithS}\cdot f\cdot (\text{Stream}\cdot ha\cdot sa0)\cdot (\text{Stream}\cdot hb\cdot sb0) =$
 $\text{Stream}\cdot(\text{zipWithStep}\cdot f\cdot ha\cdot hb)\cdot (sa0\ !:\ sb0\ !:\ \text{Nothing})$

lemma *zipWithS-fix-ind-lemma*:

fixes $P\ Q :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

assumes $P\text{-}0: \bigwedge j. P\ 0\ j$ **and** $P\text{-}Suc: \bigwedge i\ j. P\ i\ j \implies Q\ i\ j \implies P\ (\text{Suc}\ i)\ j$

assumes $Q\text{-}0: \bigwedge i. Q\ i\ 0$ **and** $Q\text{-}Suc: \bigwedge i\ j. P\ i\ j \implies Q\ i\ j \implies Q\ i\ (\text{Suc}\ j)$

shows $P\ i\ j \wedge Q\ i\ j$

apply (*induct* $n \equiv i + j$ *arbitrary: i j*)

apply (*simp add: P-0 Q-0*)

apply (*rule conjI*)

apply (*case-tac i, simp add: P-0, simp add: P-Suc*)

apply (*case-tac j, simp add: Q-0, simp add: Q-Suc*)

done

lemma *zipWithS-fix-ind*:

assumes $x: x = \text{fix}\cdot f$ **and** $y: y = \text{fix}\cdot g$

```

assumes adm-P: adm ( $\lambda x. P (fst x) (snd x)$ )
assumes adm-Q: adm ( $\lambda x. Q (fst x) (snd x)$ )
assumes P-0:  $\bigwedge b. P \perp b$  and P-Suc:  $\bigwedge a b. P a b \implies Q a b \implies P (f \cdot a) b$ 
assumes Q-0:  $\bigwedge a. Q a \perp$  and Q-Suc:  $\bigwedge a b. P a b \implies Q a b \implies Q a (g \cdot b)$ 
shows  $P x y \wedge Q x y$ 
proof –
  have 1:  $\bigwedge i j. P (iterate\ i \cdot f \cdot \perp) (iterate\ j \cdot g \cdot \perp) \wedge Q (iterate\ i \cdot f \cdot \perp) (iterate\ j \cdot g \cdot \perp)$ 
    apply (rule-tac  $i=i$  and  $j=j$  in zipWithS-fix-ind-lemma)
    apply (simp add: P-0)
    apply (simp add: P-Suc)
    apply (simp add: Q-0)
    apply (simp add: Q-Suc)
  done
  have case-prod P ( $\bigsqcup i. (iterate\ i \cdot f \cdot \perp, iterate\ i \cdot g \cdot \perp)$ )
    apply (rule admD)
    apply (simp add: split-def adm-P)
    apply simp
    apply (simp add: 1)
  done
  then have P:  $P x y$ 
    unfolding  $x\ y\ fix-def2$ 
    by (simp add: lub-prod)
  have case-prod Q ( $\bigsqcup i. (iterate\ i \cdot f \cdot \perp, iterate\ i \cdot g \cdot \perp)$ )
    apply (rule admD)
    apply (simp add: split-def adm-Q)
    apply simp
    apply (simp add: 1)
  done
  then have Q:  $Q x y$ 
    unfolding  $x\ y\ fix-def2$ 
    by (simp add: lub-prod)
  from P Q show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

```

lemma unfold-zipWithStep:
  fixes  $f :: 'a \rightarrow 'b \rightarrow 'c$ 
  fixes  $ha :: 's \rightarrow ('a, 's)\ Step$ 
  fixes  $hb :: 't \rightarrow ('b, 't)\ Step$ 
  defines  $h-def: h \equiv zipWithStep \cdot f \cdot ha \cdot hb$ 
  shows
  ( $\forall sa\ sb. sa \neq \perp \longrightarrow sb \neq \perp \longrightarrow$ 
     $unfold \cdot h \cdot (sa\ !: sb\ !: Nothing) =$ 
     $zipWithL \cdot f \cdot (unfold \cdot ha \cdot sa) \cdot (unfold \cdot hb \cdot sb)) \wedge$ 
  ( $\forall sa\ sb\ a. sa \neq \perp \longrightarrow sb \neq \perp \longrightarrow$ 
     $unfold \cdot h \cdot (sa\ !: sb\ !: Just \cdot (L \cdot a)) =$ 
     $zipWithL \cdot f \cdot (LCons \cdot a \cdot (unfold \cdot ha \cdot sa)) \cdot (unfold \cdot hb \cdot sb))$ )
proof –
  note unfold [simp]
  have h-simps [simp]:

```

$\bigwedge sa\ sb.\ sa \neq \perp \implies sb \neq \perp \implies h.(sa\ !:\ sb\ !:\ Nothing) =$
 (case $ha \cdot sa$ of
 $Done \Rightarrow Done$
 $| Skip \cdot sa' \Rightarrow Skip.(sa' !:\ sb !:\ Nothing)$
 $| Yield \cdot a \cdot sa' \Rightarrow Skip.(sa' !:\ sb !:\ Just.(L \cdot a))$)
 $\bigwedge sa\ sb\ a.\ sa \neq \perp \implies sb \neq \perp \implies h.(sa\ !:\ sb\ !:\ Just.(L \cdot a)) =$
 (case $hb \cdot sb$ of
 $Done \Rightarrow Done$
 $| Skip \cdot sb' \Rightarrow Skip.(sa\ !:\ sb' !:\ Just.(L \cdot a))$
 $| Yield \cdot b \cdot sb' \Rightarrow Yield.(f \cdot a \cdot b).(sa\ !:\ sb' !:\ Nothing)$)
 $h.\perp = \perp$
unfolding h -def by *simp-all*

have 1:

$(\forall sa\ sb.\ sa \neq \perp \longrightarrow sb \neq \perp \longrightarrow$
 $unfold \cdot h.(sa\ !:\ sb\ !:\ Nothing) \sqsubseteq$
 $zipWithL \cdot f.(unfold \cdot ha \cdot sa).(unfold \cdot hb \cdot sb))$
 \wedge
 $(\forall sa\ sb\ a.\ sa \neq \perp \longrightarrow sb \neq \perp \longrightarrow$
 $unfold \cdot h.(sa\ !:\ sb\ !:\ Just.(L \cdot a)) \sqsubseteq$
 $zipWithL \cdot f.(LCons \cdot a.(unfold \cdot ha \cdot sa).(unfold \cdot hb \cdot sb))$)
apply (rule *unfold-ind* [where $h=h$], *simp*)
apply *simp*
apply (*intro conjI allI impI*)
apply (*case-tac ha sa, simp, simp, simp, simp*)
apply (*case-tac hb sb, simp, simp, simp, simp*)
done

let $?P = \lambda ua\ ub.\ \forall sa\ sb.\ sa \neq \perp \longrightarrow sb \neq \perp \longrightarrow$
 $zipWithL \cdot f.(ua \cdot sa).(ub \cdot sb) \sqsubseteq unfold \cdot h.(sa\ !:\ sb\ !:\ Nothing)$

let $?Q = \lambda ua\ ub.\ \forall sa\ sb\ a.\ sa \neq \perp \longrightarrow sb \neq \perp \longrightarrow$
 $zipWithL \cdot f.(LCons \cdot a.(ua \cdot sa).(ub \cdot sb) \sqsubseteq$
 $unfold \cdot h.(sa\ !:\ sb\ !:\ Just.(L \cdot a))$

have P -base: $\bigwedge ub.\ ?P \perp ub$
by *simp*

have Q -base: $\bigwedge ua.\ ?Q ua \perp$
by *simp*

have P -step: $\bigwedge ua\ ub.\ ?P ua\ ub \implies ?Q ua\ ub \implies ?P (unfoldF \cdot ha \cdot ua)\ ub$
by (*clarsimp, case-tac ha sa, simp-all*)

have Q -step: $\bigwedge ua\ ub.\ ?P ua\ ub \implies ?Q ua\ ub \implies ?Q ua (unfoldF \cdot hb \cdot ub)$
by (*clarsimp, case-tac hb sb, simp-all*)

have 2: $?P (unfold \cdot ha) (unfold \cdot hb) \wedge ?Q (unfold \cdot ha) (unfold \cdot hb)$
apply (rule *zipWithS-fix-ind* [*OF* *unfold-eq-fix* [*of ha*] *unfold-eq-fix* [*of hb*]])


```

apply (simp, simp)
apply (rule P-base)
apply (erule (1) P-step)
apply (rule Q-base)
apply (erule (1) Q-step)
done

```

```

from 1 2 show ?thesis
  by (simp-all add: po-eq-conv [where 'a='c LList])
qed

```

```

lemma zipWithS-defined:  $a \neq \perp \implies b \neq \perp \implies \text{zipWithS}.f.a.b \neq \perp$ 
by (cases a, simp, cases b, simp, simp)

```

```

lemma unstream-zipWithS:
   $a \neq \perp \implies b \neq \perp \implies$ 
   $\text{unstream}.\text{zipWithS}.f.a.b = \text{zipWithL}.f.\text{unstream}.a.\text{unstream}.b$ 
apply (cases a, simp, cases b, simp)
apply (simp add: unfold-zipWithStep)
done

```

```

lemma zipWithS-cong:
   $f = f' \implies a \approx a' \implies b \approx b' \implies$ 
   $\text{zipWithS}.f.a.b \approx \text{zipWithS}.f'.a'.b'$ 
unfolding bisimilar-def
by (simp add: unstream-zipWithS zipWithS-defined)

```

```

lemma zipWithL-eq:
   $\text{zipWithL}.f.xs.ys = \text{unstream}.\text{zipWithS}.f.\text{stream}.xs.\text{stream}.ys$ 
by (simp add: unstream-zipWithS)

```

3.8 ConcatMap function

fixrec

```

concatMapStep ::
  ( $'a \rightarrow ('b, 't) \text{Stream}$ )  $\rightarrow$ 
  ( $'s \rightarrow ('a, 's) \text{Step}$ )  $\rightarrow$ 
  ( $'s \text{!}:: ('b, 't) \text{Stream Maybe}$ )  $\rightarrow$ 
  ( $'b, 's \text{!}:: ('b, 't) \text{Stream Maybe}$ ) Step

```

where

```

 $sa \neq \perp \implies \text{concatMapStep}.f.ha.(sa \text{!}:: \text{Nothing}) =$ 
  (case  $ha.sa$  of
     $\text{Done} \Rightarrow \text{Done}$ 
  |  $\text{Skip}.sa' \Rightarrow \text{Skip}.(sa' \text{!}:: \text{Nothing})$ 
  |  $\text{Yield}.a.sa' \Rightarrow \text{Skip}.(sa' \text{!}:: \text{Just}(f.a))$ )
|  $sa \neq \perp \implies sb \neq \perp \implies$ 
   $\text{concatMapStep}.f.ha.(sa \text{!}:: \text{Just}(\text{Stream}.hb.sb)) =$ 
  (case  $hb.sb$  of
     $\text{Done} \Rightarrow \text{Skip}.(sa \text{!}:: \text{Nothing})$ 

```

| $Skip \cdot sb' \Rightarrow Skip \cdot (sa \text{ !: } Just \cdot (Stream \cdot hb \cdot sb'))$
| $Yield \cdot b \cdot sb' \Rightarrow Yield \cdot b \cdot (sa \text{ !: } Just \cdot (Stream \cdot hb \cdot sb'))$

lemma *concatMapStep-strict* [simp]: $concatMapStep \cdot f \cdot ha \cdot \perp = \perp$
by *fixrec-simp*

fixrec

concatMapS ::
 $('a \rightarrow ('b, 't) Stream) \rightarrow ('a, 's) Stream \rightarrow$
 $('b, 's \text{ !: } ('b, 't) Stream Maybe) Stream$

where

$s \neq \perp \Longrightarrow concatMapS \cdot f \cdot (Stream \cdot h \cdot s) = Stream \cdot (concatMapStep \cdot f \cdot h) \cdot (s \text{ !: } Nothing)$

lemma *concatMapS-strict* [simp]: $concatMapS \cdot f \cdot \perp = \perp$
by *fixrec-simp*

lemma *unfold-concatMapStep*:

fixes $ha :: 's \rightarrow ('a, 's) Step$

fixes $f :: 'a \rightarrow ('b, 't) Stream$

defines $h\text{-def}: h \equiv concatMapStep \cdot f \cdot ha$

defines $f'\text{-def}: f' \equiv unstream \circ f$

shows

$(\forall sa. sa \neq \perp \longrightarrow$
 $unfold \cdot h \cdot (sa \text{ !: } Nothing) = concatMapL \cdot f' \cdot (unfold \cdot ha \cdot sa)) \wedge$
 $(\forall sa \ hb \ sb. sa \neq \perp \longrightarrow sb \neq \perp \longrightarrow$
 $unfold \cdot h \cdot (sa \text{ !: } Just \cdot (Stream \cdot hb \cdot sb)) =$
 $appendL \cdot (unfold \cdot hb \cdot sb) \cdot (concatMapL \cdot f' \cdot (unfold \cdot ha \cdot sa)))$

proof –

note *unfold* [simp]

have $h\text{-simps}$ [simp]:

$\bigwedge sa. sa \neq \perp \Longrightarrow h \cdot (sa \text{ !: } Nothing) =$
 $(case \ ha \cdot sa \ of \ Done \Rightarrow Done$
| $Skip \cdot sa' \Rightarrow Skip \cdot (sa' \text{ !: } Nothing)$
| $Yield \cdot a \cdot sa' \Rightarrow Skip \cdot (sa' \text{ !: } Just \cdot (f \cdot a))$)

$\bigwedge sa \ hb \ sb. sa \neq \perp \Longrightarrow sb \neq \perp \Longrightarrow h \cdot (sa \text{ !: } Just \cdot (Stream \cdot hb \cdot sb)) =$
 $(case \ hb \cdot sb \ of \ Done \Rightarrow Skip \cdot (sa \text{ !: } Nothing)$
| $Skip \cdot sb' \Rightarrow Skip \cdot (sa \text{ !: } Just \cdot (Stream \cdot hb \cdot sb'))$
| $Yield \cdot b \cdot sb' \Rightarrow Yield \cdot b \cdot (sa \text{ !: } Just \cdot (Stream \cdot hb \cdot sb'))$)

$h \cdot \perp = \perp$

unfolding $h\text{-def}$ **by** *simp-all*

have $f'\text{-beta}$ [simp]: $\bigwedge a. f' \cdot a = unstream \cdot (f \cdot a)$

unfolding $f'\text{-def}$ **by** *simp*

have 1 :

$(\forall sa. sa \neq \perp \longrightarrow$
 $unfold \cdot h \cdot (sa \text{ !: } Nothing) \sqsubseteq concatMapL \cdot f' \cdot (unfold \cdot ha \cdot sa))$
 \wedge

```

(∀ sa hb sb. sa ≠ ⊥ → sb ≠ ⊥ →
  unfold·h·(sa !: Just·(Stream·hb·sb)) ⊆
  appendL·(unfold·hb·sb)·(concatMapL·f'·(unfold·ha·sa)))
apply (rule unfold-ind [where h=h], simp)
apply simp
apply (intro conjI allI impI)
apply (case-tac ha·sa, simp, simp, simp)
apply (rename-tac a sa')
apply (case-tac f·a, simp, simp)
apply (case-tac hb·sb, simp, simp, simp, simp)
done

```

```

let ?P = λua. ∀ sa. sa ≠ ⊥ →
  concatMapL·f'·(ua·sa) ⊆ unfold·h·(sa !: Nothing)

```

```

let ?Q = λhb ua ub. ∀ sa sb. sa ≠ ⊥ → sb ≠ ⊥ →
  appendL·(ub·sb)·(concatMapL·f'·(ua·sa)) ⊆
  unfold·h·(sa !: Just·(Stream·hb·sb))

```

```

have P-base: ?P ⊥
  by simp

```

```

have P-step: ∧ua. ?P ua ⇒ ∀hb. ?Q hb ua (unfold·hb) ⇒ ?P (unfoldF·ha·ua)
  apply (intro allI impI)
  apply (case-tac ha·sa, simp, simp, simp)
  apply (rename-tac a sa')
  apply (case-tac f·a, simp, simp)
done

```

```

have Q-base: ∧ua hb. ?Q hb ua ⊥
  by simp

```

```

have Q-step: ∧hb ua ub. ?P ua ⇒ ?Q hb ua ub ⇒ ?Q hb ua (unfoldF·hb·ub)
  apply (intro allI impI)
  apply (case-tac hb·sb, simp, simp, simp, simp)
done

```

```

have 2: ?P (unfold·ha) ∧ (∀hb. ?Q hb (unfold·ha) (unfold·hb))
  apply (rule unfold-ind [where h=ha], simp)
  apply (rule conjI)
  apply (rule P-base)
  apply (rule allI, rule-tac h=hb in unfold-ind, simp)
  apply (rule Q-base)
  apply (erule Q-step [OF P-base])
  apply (erule conjE)
  apply (rule conjI)
  apply (erule (1) P-step)
  apply (rule allI, rule-tac h=hb in unfold-ind, simp)
  apply (rule Q-base)

```

apply (*erule* (2) *Q-step* [*OF P-step*])
done

from 1 2 **show** *?thesis*
by (*simp-all add: po-eq-conv* [**where** 'a='b *LList*])
qed

lemma *unstream-concatMapS*:
 $unstream \cdot (concatMapS \cdot f \cdot a) = concatMapL \cdot (unstream \ o o \ f) \cdot (unstream \cdot a)$
by (*cases a, simp, simp add: unfold-concatMapStep*)

lemma *concatMapS-defined*: $a \neq \perp \implies concatMapS \cdot f \cdot a \neq \perp$
by (*induct a, simp-all*)

lemma *concatMapS-cong*:
fixes $f :: 'a \Rightarrow ('b, 's) \text{Stream}$
fixes $g :: 'a \Rightarrow ('b, 't) \text{Stream}$
fixes $a :: ('a, 'u) \text{Stream}$
fixes $b :: ('a, 'v) \text{Stream}$
shows $(\bigwedge x. f \ x \approx g \ x) \implies a \approx b \implies cont \ f \implies cont \ g \implies$
 $concatMapS \cdot (\bigwedge x. f \ x) \cdot a \approx concatMapS \cdot (\bigwedge x. g \ x) \cdot b$
unfolding *bisimilar-def*
by (*simp add: unstream-concatMapS oo-def concatMapS-defined*)

lemma *concatMapL-eq*:
 $concatMapL \cdot f \cdot xs = unstream \cdot (concatMapS \cdot (stream \ o o \ f) \cdot (stream \cdot xs))$
by (*simp add: unstream-concatMapS oo-def eta-cfun*)

3.9 Examples

lemmas *stream-eqs* =
mapL-eq
filterL-eq
foldrL-eq
enumFromToL-eq
appendL-eq
zipWithL-eq
concatMapL-eq

lemmas *stream-congs* =
unstream-cong
stream-cong
stream-unstream-cong
mapS-cong
filterS-cong
foldrS-cong
enumFromToS-cong
appendS-cong
zipWithS-cong

concatMapS-cong

lemma

*mapL.f oo filterL.p oo mapL.g =
unstream oo mapS.f oo filterS.p oo mapS.g oo stream*

apply (*rule cfun-eqI, simp*)

apply (*unfold stream-eqs*)

apply (*intro stream-congs refl*)

done

lemma

*foldrL.f.z.(mapL.g.(filterL.p.(enumFromToL.x.y))) =
foldrS.f.z.(mapS.g.(filterS.p.(enumFromToS.x.y)))*

apply (*unfold stream-eqs*)

apply (*intro stream-congs refl*)

done

lemma *oo-LAM [simp]: cont g \implies f oo ($\Lambda x. g x$) = ($\Lambda x. f.(g x)$)*

unfolding *oo-def by simp*

lemma

*concatMapL.($\Lambda k.$
mapL.($\Lambda m. f.k.m$).(*enumFromToL.one.k*)).(*enumFromToL.one.n*) =
unstream.(concatMapS.($\Lambda k.$
mapS.($\Lambda m. f.k.m$).(*enumFromToS.one.k*)).(*enumFromToS.one.n*))*

unfolding *stream-eqs*

apply *simp*

apply (*simp add: stream-congs*)

done

end

References

- [1] D. Coutts, R. Leshchinskiy, and D. Stewart. Stream fusion: From lists to streams to nothing at all. In *Proceedings of the ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Functional Programming, ICFP 2007*, Apr. 2007.