

Stone Relation Algebras

Walter Guttmann

May 26, 2024

Abstract

We develop Stone relation algebras, which generalise relation algebras by replacing the underlying Boolean algebra structure with a Stone algebra. We show that finite matrices over bounded linear orders form an instance. As a consequence, relation-algebraic concepts and methods can be used for reasoning about weighted graphs. We also develop a fixpoint calculus and apply it to compare different definitions of reflexive-transitive closures in semirings.

Contents

1	Synopsis and Motivation	2
2	Fixpoints	3
3	Semirings	17
3.1	Idempotent Semirings	18
3.2	Bounded Idempotent Semirings	27
4	Relation Algebras	31
4.1	Single-Object Bounded Distributive Allegories	31
4.2	Single-Object Pseudocomplemented Distributive Allegories	53
4.3	Stone Relation Algebras	67
4.4	Relation Algebras	69
5	Subalgebras of Relation Algebras	75
6	Matrix Relation Algebras	80
6.1	Finite Suprema	81
6.2	Square Matrices	84
6.3	Stone Algebras	85
6.4	Semirings	87
6.5	Stone Relation Algebras	91
7	Matrices over Bounded Linear Orders	95

1 Synopsis and Motivation

This document describes the following six theory files:

- * **Fixpoints** develops a fixpoint calculus based on partial orders. We also consider least (pre)fixpoints and greatest (post)fixpoints. The derived rules include unfold, square, rolling, fusion, exchange and diagonal rules studied in [1]. Our results are based on the existence of fixpoints instead of completeness of the underlying structure.
- * **Semirings** contains a hierarchy of structures generalising idempotent semirings. In particular, several of these algebras do not assume that multiplication is associative in order to capture models such as multirelations. Even in such a weak setting we can derive several results comparing different definitions of reflexive-transitive closures based on fixpoints.
- * **Relation Algebras** introduces Stone relation algebras, which weaken the Boolean algebra structure of relation algebras to Stone algebras. This is motivated by the wish to represent weighted graphs (matrices over numbers) in addition to unweighted graphs (Boolean matrices) that form relations. Many results of relation algebras can be derived from the weaker axioms and therefore also apply to weighted graphs. Some results hold in Stone relation algebras after small modifications. This allows us to apply relational concepts and methods also to weighted graphs. In particular, we prove a number of properties that have been used to verify graph algorithms. Tarski's relation algebras [28] arise as a special case by imposing further axioms.
- * **Subalgebras of Relation Algebras** studies the structures of subsets of elements characterised by a given property. In particular we look at regular elements (which correspond to unweighted graphs), coreflexives (tests), vectors and covectors (which can be used to represent sets). The subsets are turned into Isabelle/HOL types, which are shown to form instances of various algebras.
- * **Matrix Relation Algebras** lifts the Stone algebra hierarchy, the semiring structure and, finally, Stone relation algebras to finite square matrices. These are mostly standard constructions similar to those in [3, 4] implemented so that they work for many algebraic structures. In particular, they can be instantiated to weighted graphs (see below) and extended to Kleene algebras (not part of this development).

- * Matrices over Bounded Linear Orders studies relational properties. In particular, we characterise univalent, injective, total, surjective, mapping, bijective, vector, covector, point, atom, reflexive, coreflexive, irreflexive, symmetric, antisymmetric and asymmetric matrices. Definitions of these properties are taken from relation algebras and their meaning for matrices over bounded linear orders (weighted graphs) is explained by logical formulas in terms of matrix entries.

Following a refactoring, the selection of components of a graph in Stone relation algebras, which was originally part of Nicolas Robinson-O'Brien's theory `Relational_Minimum_Spanning_Trees/Boruvka.thy`, has been moved into a new theory in this entry.

The development is based on a theory of Stone algebras [15] and forms the basis for an extension to Kleene algebras to capture further properties of graphs. We apply Stone relation algebras to verify Prim's minimum spanning tree algorithm in Isabelle/HOL in [14].

Related libraries for semirings and relation algebras in the Archive of Formal Proofs are [3, 4]. The theory `Kleene_Algebra/Dioid.thy` introduces a number of structures that generalise idempotent semirings, but does not cover most of the semiring structures in the present development. The theory `Relation_Algebra/Relation_Algebra.thy` covers Tarski's relation algebras and hence cannot be reused for the present development as most properties need to be derived from the weaker axioms of Stone relation algebras. The matrix constructions in theories `Kleene_Algebra/Inf_Matrix.thy` and `Relation_Algebra/Relation_Algebra_Models.thy` are similar, but have strong restrictions on the matrix entry types not appropriate for many algebraic structures in the present development. We also deviate from these hierarchies by basing idempotent semirings directly on the Isabelle/HOL semilattice structures instead of a separate structure; this results in a somewhat smoother integration with the lattice structure of relation algebras.

2 Fixpoints

This theory develops a fixpoint calculus based on partial orders. Besides fixpoints we consider least prefixpoints and greatest postfixpoints of functions on a partial order. We do not assume that the underlying structure is complete or that all functions are continuous or isotone. Assumptions about the existence of fixpoints and necessary properties of the involved functions will be stated explicitly in each theorem. This way, the results can be instantiated by various structures, such as complete lattices and Kleene algebras, which impose different kinds of restriction. See, for example, [1, 10] for fixpoint calculi in complete lattices. Our fixpoint calculus contains similar rules, in particular:

- * unfold rule,

- * fixpoint operators preserve isotonicity,
- * square rule,
- * rolling rule,
- * various fusion rules,
- * exchange rule and
- * diagonal rule.

All of our rules are based on existence rather than completeness of the underlying structure. We have applied results from this theory in [13] and subsequent papers for unifying and reasoning about the semantics of recursion in various relational and matrix-based computation models.

theory *Fixpoints*

imports *Stone-Algebras.Lattice-Basics*

begin

The whole calculus is based on partial orders only.

context *order*

begin

We first define when an element x is a least/greatest (pre/post)fixpoint of a given function f .

definition *is-fixpoint* $f x \equiv f x = x$ $:: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ **where** *is-fixpoint*

definition *is-prefixpoint* $f x \equiv f x \leq x$ $:: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ **where** *is-prefixpoint*

definition *is-postfixpoint* $f x \equiv f x \geq x$ $:: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ **where** *is-postfixpoint*

definition *is-least-fixpoint* $f x \equiv f x = x \wedge (\forall y . f y = y \longrightarrow x \leq y)$ $:: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ **where** *is-least-fixpoint*

definition *is-greatest-fixpoint* $f x \equiv f x = x \wedge (\forall y . f y = y \longrightarrow x \geq y)$ $:: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ **where** *is-greatest-fixpoint*

definition *is-least-prefixpoint* $f x \equiv f x \leq x \wedge (\forall y . f y \leq y \longrightarrow x \leq y)$ $:: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ **where** *is-least-prefixpoint*

definition *is-greatest-postfixpoint* $f x \equiv f x \geq x \wedge (\forall y . f y \geq y \longrightarrow x \geq y)$ $:: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ **where** *is-greatest-postfixpoint*

Next follows the existence of the corresponding fixpoints for a given function f .

definition *has-fixpoint* $f \equiv \exists x . is_fixpoint\ f\ x$ $:: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow bool$ **where** *has-fixpoint*

definition *has-prefixpoint* $f \equiv \exists x . is_prefixpoint\ f\ x$ $:: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow bool$ **where** *has-prefixpoint*

definition *has-postfixpoint* :: ('a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ bool **where** *has-postfixpoint*
f ≡ ∃ x . *is-postfixpoint* f x

definition *has-least-fixpoint* :: ('a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ bool **where** *has-least-fixpoint*
f ≡ ∃ x . *is-least-fixpoint* f x

definition *has-greatest-fixpoint* :: ('a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ bool **where**
has-greatest-fixpoint f ≡ ∃ x . *is-greatest-fixpoint* f x

definition *has-least-prefixpoint* :: ('a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ bool **where**
has-least-prefixpoint f ≡ ∃ x . *is-least-prefixpoint* f x

definition *has-greatest-postfixpoint* :: ('a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ bool **where**
has-greatest-postfixpoint f ≡ ∃ x . *is-greatest-postfixpoint* f x

The actual least/greatest (pre/post)fixpoints of a given function *f* are extracted by the following operators.

definition *the-least-fixpoint* :: ('a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a (μ - [201] 200) **where** μ f
= (THE x . *is-least-fixpoint* f x)

definition *the-greatest-fixpoint* :: ('a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a (ν - [201] 200) **where** ν f
= (THE x . *is-greatest-fixpoint* f x)

definition *the-least-prefixpoint* :: ('a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a (pμ - [201] 200) **where** pμ f
= (THE x . *is-least-prefixpoint* f x)

definition *the-greatest-postfixpoint* :: ('a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a (pν - [201] 200) **where** pν f
= (THE x . *is-greatest-postfixpoint* f x)

We start with basic consequences of the above definitions.

lemma *least-fixpoint-unique*:

has-least-fixpoint f ⇒ ∃!x . *is-least-fixpoint* f x
using *has-least-fixpoint-def is-least-fixpoint-def order.antisym* **by** *auto*

lemma *greatest-fixpoint-unique*:

has-greatest-fixpoint f ⇒ ∃!x . *is-greatest-fixpoint* f x
using *has-greatest-fixpoint-def is-greatest-fixpoint-def order.antisym* **by** *auto*

lemma *least-prefixpoint-unique*:

has-least-prefixpoint f ⇒ ∃!x . *is-least-prefixpoint* f x
using *has-least-prefixpoint-def is-least-prefixpoint-def order.antisym* **by** *auto*

lemma *greatest-postfixpoint-unique*:

has-greatest-postfixpoint f ⇒ ∃!x . *is-greatest-postfixpoint* f x
using *has-greatest-postfixpoint-def is-greatest-postfixpoint-def order.antisym* **by**
auto

lemma *least-fixpoint*:

has-least-fixpoint f ⇒ *is-least-fixpoint* f (μ f)
by (*simp add: least-fixpoint-unique theI' the-least-fixpoint-def*)

lemma *greatest-fixpoint*:

has-greatest-fixpoint f ⇒ *is-greatest-fixpoint* f (ν f)
by (*simp add: greatest-fixpoint-unique theI' the-greatest-fixpoint-def*)

lemma *least-prefixpoint*:

has-least-prefixpoint $f \implies$ *is-least-prefixpoint* f ($p\mu$ f)
by (*simp add: least-prefixpoint-unique theI' the-least-prefixpoint-def*)

lemma *greatest-postfixpoint*:
has-greatest-postfixpoint $f \implies$ *is-greatest-postfixpoint* f ($p\nu$ f)
by (*simp add: greatest-postfixpoint-unique theI' the-greatest-postfixpoint-def*)

lemma *least-fixpoint-same*:
is-least-fixpoint f $x \implies x = \mu$ f
by (*simp add: is-least-fixpoint-def order.antisym the-equality the-least-fixpoint-def*)

lemma *greatest-fixpoint-same*:
is-greatest-fixpoint f $x \implies x = \nu$ f
using *greatest-fixpoint greatest-fixpoint-unique has-greatest-fixpoint-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *least-prefixpoint-same*:
is-least-prefixpoint f $x \implies x = p\mu$ f
using *has-least-prefixpoint-def least-prefixpoint least-prefixpoint-unique* **by** *blast*

lemma *greatest-postfixpoint-same*:
is-greatest-postfixpoint f $x \implies x = p\nu$ f
using *greatest-postfixpoint greatest-postfixpoint-unique has-greatest-postfixpoint-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *least-fixpoint-char*:
is-least-fixpoint f $x \iff$ *has-least-fixpoint* $f \wedge x = \mu$ f
using *has-least-fixpoint-def least-fixpoint-same* **by** *auto*

lemma *least-prefixpoint-char*:
is-least-prefixpoint f $x \iff$ *has-least-prefixpoint* $f \wedge x = p\mu$ f
using *has-least-prefixpoint-def least-prefixpoint-same* **by** *auto*

lemma *greatest-fixpoint-char*:
is-greatest-fixpoint f $x \iff$ *has-greatest-fixpoint* $f \wedge x = \nu$ f
using *greatest-fixpoint-same has-greatest-fixpoint-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *greatest-postfixpoint-char*:
is-greatest-postfixpoint f $x \iff$ *has-greatest-postfixpoint* $f \wedge x = p\nu$ f
using *greatest-postfixpoint-same has-greatest-postfixpoint-def* **by** *auto*

Next come the unfold rules for least/greatest (pre/post)fixpoints.

lemma *mu-unfold*:
has-least-fixpoint $f \implies f$ (μ f) = μ f
using *is-least-fixpoint-def least-fixpoint* **by** *auto*

lemma *pmu-unfold*:
has-least-prefixpoint $f \implies f$ ($p\mu$ f) \leq $p\mu$ f
using *is-least-prefixpoint-def least-prefixpoint* **by** *blast*

lemma *nu-unfold*:
has-greatest-fixpoint $f \implies \nu f = f (\nu f)$
by (*metis is-greatest-fixpoint-def greatest-fixpoint*)

lemma *pnu-unfold*:
has-greatest-postfixpoint $f \implies p\nu f \leq f (p\nu f)$
using *greatest-postfixpoint is-greatest-postfixpoint-def* **by** *auto*

Pre-/postfixpoints of isotone functions are fixpoints.

lemma *least-prefixpoint-fixpoint*:
has-least-prefixpoint $f \implies \text{isotone } f \implies \text{is-least-fixpoint } f (p\mu f)$
using *is-least-fixpoint-def is-least-prefixpoint-def least-prefixpoint order.antisym isotone-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *pmu-mu*:
has-least-prefixpoint $f \implies \text{isotone } f \implies p\mu f = \mu f$
by (*simp add: least-fixpoint-same least-prefixpoint-fixpoint*)

lemma *greatest-postfixpoint-fixpoint*:
has-greatest-postfixpoint $f \implies \text{isotone } f \implies \text{is-greatest-fixpoint } f (p\nu f)$
using *greatest-postfixpoint is-greatest-fixpoint-def is-greatest-postfixpoint-def order.antisym isotone-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *pnu-nu*:
has-greatest-postfixpoint $f \implies \text{isotone } f \implies p\nu f = \nu f$
by (*simp add: greatest-fixpoint-same greatest-postfixpoint-fixpoint*)

The fixpoint operators preserve isotonicity.

lemma *pmu-isotone*:
has-least-prefixpoint $f \implies \text{has-least-prefixpoint } g \implies f \leq\leq g \implies p\mu f \leq p\mu g$
by (*metis is-least-prefixpoint-def least-prefixpoint order-trans lifted-less-eq-def*)

lemma *mu-isotone*:
has-least-prefixpoint $f \implies \text{has-least-prefixpoint } g \implies \text{isotone } f \implies \text{isotone } g$
 $\implies f \leq\leq g \implies \mu f \leq \mu g$
using *pmu-isotone pmu-mu* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *pnu-isotone*:
has-greatest-postfixpoint $f \implies \text{has-greatest-postfixpoint } g \implies f \leq\leq g \implies p\nu f$
 $\leq p\nu g$
by (*metis greatest-postfixpoint is-greatest-postfixpoint-def order-trans lifted-less-eq-def*)

lemma *nu-isotone*:
has-greatest-postfixpoint $f \implies \text{has-greatest-postfixpoint } g \implies \text{isotone } f \implies$
*isotone } g \implies f \leq\leq g \implies \nu f \leq \nu g
using *pnu-isotone pnu-nu* **by** *fastforce**

The square rule for fixpoints of a function applied twice.

lemma *mu-square*:

isotone f \implies *has-least-fixpoint f* \implies *has-least-fixpoint (f o f)* \implies $\mu f = \mu (f \circ f)$

by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) order.antisym is-least-fixpoint-def isotone-def least-fixpoint-char least-fixpoint-unique o-apply*)

lemma *nu-square*:

isotone f \implies *has-greatest-fixpoint f* \implies *has-greatest-fixpoint (f o f)* \implies $\nu f = \nu (f \circ f)$

by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) order.antisym is-greatest-fixpoint-def isotone-def greatest-fixpoint-char greatest-fixpoint-unique o-apply*)

The rolling rule for fixpoints of the composition of two functions.

lemma *mu-roll*:

assumes *isotone g*

and *has-least-fixpoint (f o g)*

and *has-least-fixpoint (g o f)*

shows $\mu (g \circ f) = g (\mu (f \circ g))$

proof (*rule order.antisym*)

show $\mu (g \circ f) \leq g (\mu (f \circ g))$

by (*metis assms(2-3) comp-apply is-least-fixpoint-def least-fixpoint*)

next

have *is-least-fixpoint (g o f) (mu (f o g))*

by (*simp add: assms(3) least-fixpoint*)

thus $g (\mu (f \circ g)) \leq \mu (g \circ f)$

by (*metis (no-types) assms(1-2) comp-def is-least-fixpoint-def least-fixpoint isotone-def*)

qed

lemma *nu-roll*:

assumes *isotone g*

and *has-greatest-fixpoint (f o g)*

and *has-greatest-fixpoint (g o f)*

shows $\nu (g \circ f) = g (\nu (f \circ g))$

proof (*rule order.antisym*)

have *1: is-greatest-fixpoint (f o g) (nu (f o g))*

by (*simp add: assms(2) greatest-fixpoint*)

have *is-greatest-fixpoint (g o f) (nu (g o f))*

by (*simp add: assms(3) greatest-fixpoint*)

thus $\nu (g \circ f) \leq g (\nu (f \circ g))$

using *1* **by** (*metis (no-types) assms(1) comp-def is-greatest-fixpoint-def isotone-def*)

next

show $g (\nu (f \circ g)) \leq \nu (g \circ f)$

by (*metis assms(2-3) comp-apply greatest-fixpoint is-greatest-fixpoint-def*)

qed

Least (pre)fixpoints are below greatest (post)fixpoints.

lemma *mu-below-nu*:

has-least-fixpoint $f \implies$ *has-greatest-fixpoint* $f \implies \mu f \leq \nu f$
using *greatest-fixpoint is-greatest-fixpoint-def mu-unfold* **by** *auto*

lemma *pmu-below-pnu-fix*:

has-fixpoint $f \implies$ *has-least-prefixpoint* $f \implies$ *has-greatest-postfixpoint* $f \implies p\mu f \leq p\nu f$
by (*metis greatest-postfixpoint has-fixpoint-def is-fixpoint-def is-greatest-postfixpoint-def is-least-prefixpoint-def least-prefixpoint order-refl order-trans*)

lemma *pmu-below-pnu-iso*:

isotone $f \implies$ *has-least-prefixpoint* $f \implies$ *has-greatest-postfixpoint* $f \implies p\mu f \leq p\nu f$
using *greatest-postfixpoint-fixpoint is-greatest-fixpoint-def is-least-fixpoint-def least-prefixpoint-fixpoint* **by** *auto*

Several variants of the fusion rule for fixpoints follow.

lemma *mu-fusion-1*:

assumes *galois* $l\ u$
and *isotone* h
and *has-least-prefixpoint* g
and *has-least-fixpoint* h
and $l(g(u(\mu h))) \leq h(l(u(\mu h)))$
shows $l(p\mu g) \leq \mu h$

proof –

have $l(g(u(\mu h))) \leq \mu h$
by (*metis assms(1,2,4,5) galois-char isotone-def order-lesseq-imp mu-unfold*)
thus $l(p\mu g) \leq \mu h$
using *assms(1,3) is-least-prefixpoint-def least-prefixpoint galois-def* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *mu-fusion-2*:

galois $l\ u \implies$ *isotone* $h \implies$ *has-least-prefixpoint* $g \implies$ *has-least-fixpoint* $h \implies l \circ g \leq h \circ l \implies l(p\mu g) \leq \mu h$
by (*simp add: mu-fusion-1 lifted-less-eq-def*)

lemma *mu-fusion-equal-1*:

galois $l\ u \implies$ *isotone* $g \implies$ *isotone* $h \implies$ *has-least-prefixpoint* $g \implies$ *has-least-fixpoint* $h \implies l(g(u(\mu h))) \leq h(l(u(\mu h))) \implies l(g(p\mu g)) = h(l(p\mu g)) \implies \mu h = l(p\mu g) \wedge \mu h = l(\mu g)$
by (*metis order.antisym least-fixpoint least-prefixpoint-fixpoint is-least-fixpoint-def mu-fusion-1 pmu-mu*)

lemma *mu-fusion-equal-2*:

galois $l\ u \implies$ *isotone* $h \implies$ *has-least-prefixpoint* $g \implies$ *has-least-prefixpoint* $h \implies l(g(u(\mu h))) \leq h(l(u(\mu h))) \wedge l(g(p\mu g)) = h(l(p\mu g)) \implies p\mu h = l(p\mu g) \wedge \mu h = l(p\mu g)$
by (*metis is-least-prefixpoint-def least-fixpoint-char least-prefixpoint least-prefixpoint-fixpoint order.antisym galois-char isotone-def mu-fusion-1*)

lemma *mu-fusion-equal-3*:
assumes *galois l u*
and *isotone g*
and *isotone h*
and *has-least-prefixpoint g*
and *has-least-fixpoint h*
and $l \circ g = h \circ l$
shows $\mu h = l (p\mu g)$
and $\mu h = l (\mu g)$
proof –
have $\forall x . l (g x) = h (l x)$
using *assms(6) comp-eq-elim* **by** *blast*
thus $\mu h = l (p\mu g)$
using *assms(1-5) mu-fusion-equal-1* **by** *auto*
thus $\mu h = l (\mu g)$
by (*simp add: assms(2,4) pmu-mu*)
qed

lemma *mu-fusion-equal-4*:
assumes *galois l u*
and *isotone h*
and *has-least-prefixpoint g*
and *has-least-prefixpoint h*
and $l \circ g = h \circ l$
shows $p\mu h = l (p\mu g)$
and $\mu h = l (p\mu g)$
proof –
have $\forall x . l (g x) = h (l x)$
using *assms(5) comp-eq-elim* **by** *blast*
thus $p\mu h = l (p\mu g)$
using *assms(1-4) mu-fusion-equal-2* **by** *auto*
thus $\mu h = l (p\mu g)$
by (*simp add: assms(2,4) pmu-mu*)
qed

lemma *nu-fusion-1*:
assumes *galois l u*
and *isotone h*
and *has-greatest-postfixpoint g*
and *has-greatest-fixpoint h*
and $h (u (l (\nu h))) \leq u (g (l (\nu h)))$
shows $\nu h \leq u (p\nu g)$
proof –
have $\nu h \leq u (g (l (\nu h)))$
by (*metis assms(1,2,4,5) order-trans galois-char isotone-def nu-unfold*)
thus $\nu h \leq u (p\nu g)$
by (*metis assms(1,3) greatest-postfixpoint is-greatest-postfixpoint-def ord.galois-def*)

qed

lemma *nu-fusion-2*:

galois l u \implies isotone h \implies has-greatest-postfixpoint g \implies has-greatest-fixpoint h \implies h \circ u \leq u \circ g \implies ν h \leq u (p ν g)
by (*simp add: nu-fusion-1 lifted-less-eq-def*)

lemma *nu-fusion-equal-1*:

galois l u \implies isotone g \implies isotone h \implies has-greatest-postfixpoint g \implies has-greatest-fixpoint h \implies h (u (l (ν h))) \leq u (g (l (ν h))) \implies h (u (p ν g)) = u (g (p ν g)) \implies ν h = u (p ν g) \wedge ν h = u (ν g)
by (*metis greatest-fixpoint-char greatest-postfixpoint-fixpoint is-greatest-fixpoint-def order.antisym nu-fusion-1*)

lemma *nu-fusion-equal-2*:

galois l u \implies isotone h \implies has-greatest-postfixpoint g \implies has-greatest-postfixpoint h \implies h (u (l (ν h))) \leq u (g (l (ν h))) \wedge h (u (p ν g)) = u (g (p ν g)) \implies p ν h = u (p ν g) \wedge ν h = u (p ν g)
by (*metis greatest-fixpoint-char greatest-postfixpoint greatest-postfixpoint-fixpoint is-greatest-postfixpoint-def order.antisym galois-char nu-fusion-1 isotone-def*)

lemma *nu-fusion-equal-3*:

assumes *galois l u*
and *isotone g*
and *isotone h*
and *has-greatest-postfixpoint g*
and *has-greatest-fixpoint h*
and *h \circ u = u \circ g*
shows *ν h = u (p ν g)*
and *ν h = u (ν g)*

proof –

have $\forall x . u (g x) = h (u x)$
using *assms(6) comp-eq-dest* **by** *fastforce*
thus $\nu h = u (p\nu g)$
using *assms(1–5) nu-fusion-equal-1* **by** *auto*
thus $\nu h = u (\nu g)$
by (*simp add: assms(2–4) pnu-nu*)

qed

lemma *nu-fusion-equal-4*:

assumes *galois l u*
and *isotone h*
and *has-greatest-postfixpoint g*
and *has-greatest-postfixpoint h*
and *h \circ u = u \circ g*
shows *p ν h = u (p ν g)*
and *ν h = u (p ν g)*

proof –

have $\forall x . u (g x) = h (u x)$

```

    using assms(5) comp-eq-dest by fastforce
  thus  $p\nu h = u (p\nu g)$ 
    using assms(1-4) nu-fusion-equal-2 by auto
  thus  $\nu h = u (p\nu g)$ 
    by (simp add: assms(2,4) pnu-nu)
qed

```

Next come the exchange rules for replacing the first/second function in a composition.

lemma *mu-exchange-1:*

```

  assumes galois l u
    and isotone g
    and isotone h
    and has-least-prefixpoint (l o h)
    and has-least-prefixpoint (h o g)
    and has-least-fixpoint (g o h)
    and  $l \circ h \circ g \leq\leq g \circ h \circ l$ 
  shows  $\mu (l \circ h) \leq \mu (g \circ h)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $l \circ (h \circ g) \leq\leq (g \circ h) \circ l$ 
    by (simp add: assms(7) rewriteL-comp-comp)
  have (l o h) ( $\mu (g \circ h)$ ) = l ( $\mu (h \circ g)$ )
    by (metis assms(2,3,5,6) comp-apply least-fixpoint-char
least-prefixpoint-fixpoint isotone-def mu-roll)
  also have ...  $\leq \mu (g \circ h)$ 
    using 1 by (metis assms(1-3,5,6) comp-apply least-fixpoint-char
least-prefixpoint-fixpoint isotone-def mu-fusion-2)
  finally have  $p\mu (l \circ h) \leq \mu (g \circ h)$ 
    using assms(4) is-least-prefixpoint-def least-prefixpoint by blast
  thus  $\mu (l \circ h) \leq \mu (g \circ h)$ 
    by (metis assms(1,3,4) galois-char isotone-def least-fixpoint-char
least-prefixpoint-fixpoint o-apply)
qed

```

lemma *mu-exchange-2:*

```

  assumes galois l u
    and isotone g
    and isotone h
    and has-least-prefixpoint (l o h)
    and has-least-prefixpoint (h o l)
    and has-least-prefixpoint (h o g)
    and has-least-fixpoint (g o h)
    and has-least-fixpoint (h o g)
    and  $l \circ h \circ g \leq\leq g \circ h \circ l$ 
  shows  $\mu (h \circ l) \leq \mu (h \circ g)$ 
proof -
  have  $\mu (h \circ l) = h (\mu (l \circ h))$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) assms(1,3-5) galois-char isotone-def
least-fixpoint-char least-prefixpoint-fixpoint mu-roll o-apply)

```

also have $\dots \leq h (\mu (g \circ h))$
using *assms(1-4,6,7,9) isotone-def mu-exchange-1* **by** *blast*
also have $\dots = \mu (h \circ g)$
by (*simp add: assms(3,7,8) mu-roll*)
finally show *?thesis*

•
qed

lemma *mu-exchange-equal*:

assumes *galois l u*
and *galois k t*
and *isotone h*
and *has-least-prefixpoint (l o h)*
and *has-least-prefixpoint (h o l)*
and *has-least-prefixpoint (k o h)*
and *has-least-prefixpoint (h o k)*
and $l \circ h \circ k = k \circ h \circ l$
shows $\mu (l \circ h) = \mu (k \circ h)$
and $\mu (h \circ l) = \mu (h \circ k)$

proof –

have 1: *has-least-fixpoint (k o h)*
using *assms(2,3,6) least-fixpoint-char least-prefixpoint-fixpoint galois-char isotone-def* **by** *auto*
have 2: *has-least-fixpoint (h o k)*
using *assms(2,3,7) least-fixpoint-char least-prefixpoint-fixpoint galois-char isotone-def* **by** *auto*
have 3: *has-least-fixpoint (l o h)*
using *assms(1,3,4) least-fixpoint-char least-prefixpoint-fixpoint galois-char isotone-def* **by** *auto*
have 4: *has-least-fixpoint (h o l)*
using *assms(1,3,5) least-fixpoint-char least-prefixpoint-fixpoint galois-char isotone-def* **by** *auto*
show $\mu (h \circ l) = \mu (h \circ k)$
using 1 2 3 4 *assms order.antisym galois-char lifted-reflexive mu-exchange-2*
by *auto*
show $\mu (l \circ h) = \mu (k \circ h)$
using 1 2 3 4 *assms order.antisym galois-char lifted-reflexive mu-exchange-1*
by *auto*
qed

lemma *nu-exchange-1*:

assumes *galois l u*
and *isotone g*
and *isotone h*
and *has-greatest-postfixpoint (u o h)*
and *has-greatest-postfixpoint (h o g)*
and *has-greatest-fixpoint (g o h)*
and $g \circ h \circ u \leq u \circ h \circ g$
shows $\nu (g \circ h) \leq \nu (u \circ h)$

proof –
have $(g \circ h) \circ u \leq u \circ (h \circ g)$
by (*simp add: assms(7) o-assoc*)
hence $\nu (g \circ h) \leq u (\nu (h \circ g))$
by (*metis assms(1–3,5,6) greatest-fixpoint-char greatest-postfixpoint-fixpoint isotone-def nu-fusion-2 o-apply*)
also have $\dots = (u \circ h) (\nu (g \circ h))$
by (*metis assms(2,3,5,6) greatest-fixpoint-char greatest-postfixpoint-fixpoint isotone-def nu-roll o-apply*)
finally have $\nu (g \circ h) \leq p\nu (u \circ h)$
using *assms(4) greatest-postfixpoint is-greatest-postfixpoint-def* **by** *blast*
thus $\nu (g \circ h) \leq \nu (u \circ h)$
using *assms(1,3,4) galois-char greatest-fixpoint-char greatest-postfixpoint-fixpoint isotone-def* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *nu-exchange-2*:
assumes *galois l u*
and *isotone g*
and *isotone h*
and *has-greatest-postfixpoint (u o h)*
and *has-greatest-postfixpoint (h o u)*
and *has-greatest-postfixpoint (h o g)*
and *has-greatest-fixpoint (g o h)*
and *has-greatest-fixpoint (h o g)*
and $g \circ h \circ u \leq u \circ h \circ g$
shows $\nu (h \circ g) \leq \nu (h \circ u)$

proof –
have $\nu (h \circ g) = h (\nu (g \circ h))$
by (*simp add: assms(3,7,8) nu-roll*)
also have $\dots \leq h (\nu (u \circ h))$
using *assms(1–4,6,7,9) isotone-def nu-exchange-1* **by** *blast*
also have $\dots = \nu (h \circ u)$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) assms(1,3–5) galois-char greatest-fixpoint-char greatest-postfixpoint-fixpoint isotone-def nu-roll o-apply*)
finally show $\nu (h \circ g) \leq \nu (h \circ u)$

qed

lemma *nu-exchange-equal*:
assumes *galois l u*
and *galois k t*
and *isotone h*
and *has-greatest-postfixpoint (u o h)*
and *has-greatest-postfixpoint (h o u)*
and *has-greatest-postfixpoint (t o h)*
and *has-greatest-postfixpoint (h o t)*
and $u \circ h \circ t = t \circ h \circ u$
shows $\nu (u \circ h) = \nu (t \circ h)$

and $\nu (h \circ u) = \nu (h \circ t)$
proof –
have 1: *has-greatest-fixpoint* ($u \circ h$)
using *assms*(1,3,4) *greatest-fixpoint-char* *greatest-postfixpoint-fixpoint*
galois-char *isotone-def* **by** *auto*
have 2: *has-greatest-fixpoint* ($h \circ u$)
using *assms*(1,3,5) *greatest-fixpoint-char* *greatest-postfixpoint-fixpoint*
galois-char *isotone-def* **by** *auto*
have 3: *has-greatest-fixpoint* ($t \circ h$)
using *assms*(2,3,6) *greatest-fixpoint-char* *greatest-postfixpoint-fixpoint*
galois-char *isotone-def* **by** *auto*
have 4: *has-greatest-fixpoint* ($h \circ t$)
using *assms*(2,3,7) *greatest-fixpoint-char* *greatest-postfixpoint-fixpoint*
galois-char *isotone-def* **by** *auto*
show $\nu (u \circ h) = \nu (t \circ h)$
using 1 2 3 4 *assms* *order.antisym* *galois-char* *lifted-reflexive* *nu-exchange-1*
by *auto*
show $\nu (h \circ u) = \nu (h \circ t)$
using 1 2 3 4 *assms* *order.antisym* *galois-char* *lifted-reflexive* *nu-exchange-2*
by *auto*
qed

The following results generalise parts of [10, Exercise 8.27] from continuous functions on complete partial orders to the present setting.

lemma *mu-commute-fixpoint-1*:

isotone $f \implies \text{has-least-fixpoint} (f \circ g) \implies f \circ g = g \circ f \implies \text{is-fixpoint } f (\mu (f \circ g))$
by (*metis is-fixpoint-def mu-roll*)

lemma *mu-commute-fixpoint-2*:

isotone $g \implies \text{has-least-fixpoint} (f \circ g) \implies f \circ g = g \circ f \implies \text{is-fixpoint } g (\mu (f \circ g))$
by (*simp add: mu-commute-fixpoint-1*)

lemma *mu-commute-least-fixpoint*:

isotone $f \implies \text{isotone } g \implies \text{has-least-fixpoint } f \implies \text{has-least-fixpoint } g \implies \text{has-least-fixpoint} (f \circ g) \implies f \circ g = g \circ f \implies \mu (f \circ g) = \mu f \implies \mu g \leq \mu f$
by (*metis is-least-fixpoint-def least-fixpoint mu-roll*)

The converse of the preceding result is claimed for continuous f, g on a complete partial order; it is unknown whether it holds without these additional assumptions.

lemma *nu-commute-fixpoint-1*:

isotone $f \implies \text{has-greatest-fixpoint} (f \circ g) \implies f \circ g = g \circ f \implies \text{is-fixpoint } f (\nu (f \circ g))$
by (*metis is-fixpoint-def nu-roll*)

lemma *nu-commute-fixpoint-2*:

isotone $g \implies \text{has-greatest-fixpoint} (f \circ g) \implies f \circ g = g \circ f \implies \text{is-fixpoint } g$

$(\nu(f \circ g))$
by (*simp add: nu-commute-fixpoint-1*)

lemma *nu-commute-greatest-fixpoint*:

isotone f \implies *isotone g* \implies *has-greatest-fixpoint f* \implies *has-greatest-fixpoint g*
 \implies *has-greatest-fixpoint (f \circ g)* \implies $f \circ g = g \circ f \implies \nu (f \circ g) = \nu f \implies \nu f \leq$
 νg

by (*metis greatest-fixpoint is-greatest-fixpoint-def nu-roll*)

Finally, we show a number of versions of the diagonal rule for functions with two arguments.

lemma *mu-diagonal-1*:

assumes *isotone* $(\lambda x . \mu (\lambda y . f x y))$
and $\forall x .$ *has-least-fixpoint* $(\lambda y . f x y)$
and *has-least-prefixpoint* $(\lambda x . \mu (\lambda y . f x y))$
shows $\mu (\lambda x . f x x) = \mu (\lambda x . \mu (\lambda y . f x y))$

proof –

let $?g = \lambda x . \mu (\lambda y . f x y)$

have 1: *is-least-prefixpoint* $?g (\mu ?g)$

using *assms(1,3) least-prefixpoint pmu-mu* **by** *fastforce*

have $f (\mu ?g) (\mu ?g) = \mu ?g$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) assms is-least-fixpoint-def least-fixpoint-char*

least-prefixpoint-fixpoint)

hence *is-least-fixpoint* $(\lambda x . f x x) (\mu ?g)$

using 1 *assms(2) is-least-fixpoint-def is-least-prefixpoint-def least-fixpoint* **by**

auto

thus *?thesis*

using *least-fixpoint-same* **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *mu-diagonal-2*:

$\forall x .$ *isotone* $(\lambda y . f x y) \wedge$ *isotone* $(\lambda y . f y x) \wedge$ *has-least-prefixpoint* $(\lambda y . f x y)$
 \implies *has-least-prefixpoint* $(\lambda x . \mu (\lambda y . f x y)) \implies \mu (\lambda x . f x x) = \mu (\lambda x . \mu$
 $(\lambda y . f x y))$

apply (*rule mu-diagonal-1*)

using *isotone-def lifted-less-eq-def mu-isotone* **apply** *simp*

using *has-least-fixpoint-def least-prefixpoint-fixpoint* **apply** *blast*

by *simp*

lemma *nu-diagonal-1*:

assumes *isotone* $(\lambda x . \nu (\lambda y . f x y))$

and $\forall x .$ *has-greatest-fixpoint* $(\lambda y . f x y)$

and *has-greatest-postfixpoint* $(\lambda x . \nu (\lambda y . f x y))$

shows $\nu (\lambda x . f x x) = \nu (\lambda x . \nu (\lambda y . f x y))$

proof –

let $?g = \lambda x . \nu (\lambda y . f x y)$

have 1: *is-greatest-postfixpoint* $?g (\nu ?g)$

using *assms(1,3) greatest-postfixpoint pnun-nu* **by** *fastforce*

have $f (\nu ?g) (\nu ?g) = \nu ?g$


```

by (metis (no-types, lifting) assms is-greatest-fixpoint-def greatest-fixpoint-char
greatest-postfixpoint-fixpoint)
hence is-greatest-fixpoint ( $\lambda x . f x x$ ) ( $\nu ?g$ )
using 1 assms(2) is-greatest-fixpoint-def is-greatest-postfixpoint-def
greatest-fixpoint by auto
thus ?thesis
using greatest-fixpoint-same by simp
qed

```

lemma *nu-diagonal-2*:

```

 $\forall x . \text{isotone } (\lambda y . f x y) \wedge \text{isotone } (\lambda y . f y x) \wedge \text{has-greatest-postfixpoint } (\lambda y . f$ 
 $x y) \implies \text{has-greatest-postfixpoint } (\lambda x . \nu (\lambda y . f x y)) \implies \nu (\lambda x . f x x) = \nu (\lambda x .$ 
 $\nu (\lambda y . f x y))$ 
apply (rule nu-diagonal-1)
using isotone-def lifted-less-eq-def nu-isotone apply simp
using has-greatest-fixpoint-def greatest-postfixpoint-fixpoint apply blast
by simp

```

end

end

3 Semirings

This theory develops a hierarchy of idempotent semirings. All kinds of semiring considered here are bounded semilattices, but many lack additional properties typically assumed for semirings. In particular, we consider the variants of semirings, in which

- * multiplication is not required to be associative;
- * a right zero and unit of multiplication need not exist;
- * multiplication has a left residual;
- * multiplication from the left is not required to distribute over addition;
- * the semilattice order has a greatest element.

We have applied results from this theory a number of papers for unifying computation models. For example, see [13] for various relational and matrix-based computation models and [6] for multirelational models.

The main results in this theory relate different ways of defining reflexive-transitive closures as discussed in [6].

theory *Semirings*

imports *Fixpoints*

begin

3.1 Idempotent Semirings

The following definitions are standard for relations. Putting them into a general class that depends only on the signature facilitates reuse. Coreflexives are sometimes called partial identities, subidentities, monotypes or tests.

```

class times-one-ord = times + one + ord
begin

abbreviation reflexive :: 'a ⇒ bool where reflexive x ≡ 1 ≤ x
abbreviation coreflexive :: 'a ⇒ bool where coreflexive x ≡ x ≤ 1

abbreviation transitive :: 'a ⇒ bool where transitive x ≡ x * x ≤ x
abbreviation dense-rel :: 'a ⇒ bool where dense-rel x ≡ x ≤ x * x
abbreviation idempotent :: 'a ⇒ bool where idempotent x ≡ x * x = x

abbreviation preorder :: 'a ⇒ bool where preorder x ≡ reflexive x ∧
transitive x

abbreviation coreflexives ≡ { x . coreflexive x }

end

```

The first algebra is a very weak idempotent semiring, in which multiplication is not necessarily associative.

```

class non-associative-left-semiring = bounded-semilattice-sup-bot + times + one
+
  assumes mult-left-sub-dist-sup: x * y ⊔ x * z ≤ x * (y ⊔ z)
  assumes mult-right-dist-sup: (x ⊔ y) * z = x * z ⊔ y * z
  assumes mult-left-zero [simp]: bot * x = bot
  assumes mult-left-one [simp]: 1 * x = x
  assumes mult-sub-right-one: x ≤ x * 1
begin

subclass times-one-ord .

```

We first show basic isotonicity and subdistributivity properties of multiplication.

```

lemma mult-left-isotone:
  x ≤ y ⇒ x * z ≤ y * z
  using mult-right-dist-sup sup-right-divisibility by auto

lemma mult-right-isotone:
  x ≤ y ⇒ z * x ≤ z * y
  using mult-left-sub-dist-sup sup.bounded-iff sup-right-divisibility by auto

lemma mult-isotone:
  w ≤ y ⇒ x ≤ z ⇒ w * x ≤ y * z
  using order-trans mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone by blast

```

lemma *affine-isotone*:
isotone ($\lambda x . y * x \sqcup z$)
using *isotone-def mult-right-isotone sup-left-isotone* **by** *auto*

lemma *mult-left-sub-dist-sup-left*:
 $x * y \leq x * (y \sqcup z)$
by (*simp add: mult-right-isotone*)

lemma *mult-left-sub-dist-sup-right*:
 $x * z \leq x * (y \sqcup z)$
by (*simp add: mult-right-isotone*)

lemma *mult-right-sub-dist-sup-left*:
 $x * z \leq (x \sqcup y) * z$
by (*simp add: mult-left-isotone*)

lemma *mult-right-sub-dist-sup-right*:
 $y * z \leq (x \sqcup y) * z$
by (*simp add: mult-left-isotone*)

lemma *case-split-left*:
assumes $1 \leq w \sqcup z$
and $w * x \leq y$
and $z * x \leq y$
shows $x \leq y$
proof –
have $(w \sqcup z) * x \leq y$
by (*simp add: assms(2-3) mult-right-dist-sup*)
thus *?thesis*
by (*metis assms(1) dual-order.trans mult-left-one mult-left-isotone*)
qed

lemma *case-split-left-equal*:
 $w \sqcup z = 1 \implies w * x = w * y \implies z * x = z * y \implies x = y$
by (*metis mult-left-one mult-right-dist-sup*)

Next we consider under which semiring operations the above properties are closed.

lemma *reflexive-one-closed*:
reflexive 1
by *simp*

lemma *reflexive-sup-closed*:
reflexive $x \implies \text{reflexive } (x \sqcup y)$
by (*simp add: le-supI1*)

lemma *reflexive-mult-closed*:
reflexive $x \implies \text{reflexive } y \implies \text{reflexive } (x * y)$

using *mult-isotone* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *coreflexive-bot-closed*:
coreflexive bot
by *simp*

lemma *coreflexive-one-closed*:
coreflexive 1
by *simp*

lemma *coreflexive-sup-closed*:
coreflexive x \implies coreflexive y \implies coreflexive (x \sqcup y)
by *simp*

lemma *coreflexive-mult-closed*:
*coreflexive x \implies coreflexive y \implies coreflexive (x * y)*
using *mult-isotone* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *transitive-bot-closed*:
transitive bot
by *simp*

lemma *transitive-one-closed*:
transitive 1
by *simp*

lemma *dense-bot-closed*:
dense-rel bot
by *simp*

lemma *dense-one-closed*:
dense-rel 1
by *simp*

lemma *dense-sup-closed*:
dense-rel x \implies dense-rel y \implies dense-rel (x \sqcup y)
by (*metis mult-right-dist-sup order-lesseq-imp sup.mono*
mult-left-sub-dist-sup-left mult-left-sub-dist-sup-right)

lemma *idempotent-bot-closed*:
idempotent bot
by *simp*

lemma *idempotent-one-closed*:
idempotent 1
by *simp*

lemma *preorder-one-closed*:
preorder 1

by *simp*

lemma *coreflexive-transitive*:
coreflexive $x \implies$ *transitive* x
using *mult-left-isotone* by *fastforce*

lemma *preorder-idempotent*:
preorder $x \implies$ *idempotent* x
using *order.antisym* *mult-isotone* by *fastforce*

We study the following three ways of defining reflexive-transitive closures. Each of them is given as a least prefixpoint, but the underlying functions are different. They implement left recursion, right recursion and symmetric recursion, respectively.

abbreviation $Lf :: 'a \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a)$ where $Lf\ y \equiv (\lambda x . 1 \sqcup x * y)$
abbreviation $Rf :: 'a \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a)$ where $Rf\ y \equiv (\lambda x . 1 \sqcup y * x)$
abbreviation $Sf :: 'a \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a)$ where $Sf\ y \equiv (\lambda x . 1 \sqcup y \sqcup x * x)$

abbreviation $lstar :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ where $lstar\ y \equiv p\mu\ (Lf\ y)$
abbreviation $rstar :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ where $rstar\ y \equiv p\mu\ (Rf\ y)$
abbreviation $sstar :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ where $sstar\ y \equiv p\mu\ (Sf\ y)$

All functions are isotone and, therefore, if the prefixpoints exist they are also fixpoints.

lemma *lstar-rec-isotone*:
isotone $(Lf\ y)$
using *isotone-def* *sup-right-divisibility* *sup-right-isotone*
mult-right-sub-dist-sup-right by *auto*

lemma *rstar-rec-isotone*:
isotone $(Rf\ y)$
using *isotone-def* *sup-right-divisibility* *sup-right-isotone*
mult-left-sub-dist-sup-right by *auto*

lemma *sstar-rec-isotone*:
isotone $(Sf\ y)$
using *isotone-def* *sup-right-isotone* *mult-isotone* by *auto*

lemma *lstar-fixpoint*:
has-least-prefixpoint $(Lf\ y) \implies lstar\ y = \mu\ (Lf\ y)$
by (*simp* add: *pmu-mu* *lstar-rec-isotone*)

lemma *rstar-fixpoint*:
has-least-prefixpoint $(Rf\ y) \implies rstar\ y = \mu\ (Rf\ y)$
by (*simp* add: *pmu-mu* *rstar-rec-isotone*)

lemma *sstar-fixpoint*:
has-least-prefixpoint $(Sf\ y) \implies sstar\ y = \mu\ (Sf\ y)$
by (*simp* add: *pmu-mu* *sstar-rec-isotone*)

lemma *sstar-increasing*:
has-least-prefixpoint ($Sf\ y$) $\implies y \leq sstar\ y$
using *order-trans pmu-unfold sup-ge1 sup-ge2* **by** *blast*

The fixpoint given by right recursion is always below the one given by symmetric recursion.

lemma *rstar-below-sstar*:
assumes *has-least-prefixpoint* ($Rf\ y$)
and *has-least-prefixpoint* ($Sf\ y$)
shows $rstar\ y \leq sstar\ y$
proof –
have $y \leq sstar\ y$
using *assms(2) pmu-unfold* **by** *force*
hence $Rf\ y\ (sstar\ y) \leq Sf\ y\ (sstar\ y)$
by (*meson sup.cobounded1 sup.mono mult-left-isotone*)
also have $\dots \leq sstar\ y$
using *assms(2) pmu-unfold* **by** *blast*
finally show *?thesis*
using *assms(1) is-least-prefixpoint-def least-prefixpoint* **by** *auto*
qed
end

Our next structure adds one half of the associativity property. This inequality holds, for example, for multirelations under the compositions defined by Parikh and Peleg [23, 25]. The converse inequality requires up-closed multirelations for Parikh’s composition.

class *pre-left-semiring* = *non-associative-left-semiring* +
assumes *mult-semi-associative*: $(x * y) * z \leq x * (y * z)$
begin

lemma *mult-one-associative* [*simp*]:
 $x * 1 * y = x * y$
by (*metis dual-order.antisym mult-left-isotone mult-left-one mult-semi-associative mult-sub-right-one*)

lemma *mult-sup-associative-one*:
 $(x * (y * 1)) * z \leq x * (y * z)$
by (*metis mult-semi-associative mult-one-associative*)

lemma *rstar-increasing*:
assumes *has-least-prefixpoint* ($Rf\ y$)
shows $y \leq rstar\ y$
proof –
have $Rf\ y\ (rstar\ y) \leq rstar\ y$
using *assms pmu-unfold* **by** *blast*
thus *?thesis*
by (*metis le-supE mult-right-isotone mult-sub-right-one sup.absorb-iff2*)

qed

end

For the next structure we add a left residual operation. Such a residual is available, for example, for multirelations.

The operator notation for binary division is introduced in a class that requires a unary inverse. This is appropriate for fields, but too strong in the present context of semirings. We therefore reintroduce it without requiring a unary inverse.

no-notation

inverse-divide (**infixl** $'/$ 70)

notation

divide (**infixl** $'/$ 70)

class *residuated-pre-left-semiring* = *pre-left-semiring* + *divide* +

assumes *lres-galois*: $x * y \leq z \iff x \leq z / y$

begin

We first derive basic properties of left residuals from the Galois connection.

lemma *lres-left-isotone*:

$x \leq y \implies x / z \leq y / z$

using *dual-order.trans lres-galois* **by** *blast*

lemma *lres-right-antitone*:

$x \leq y \implies z / y \leq z / x$

using *dual-order.trans lres-galois mult-right-isotone* **by** *blast*

lemma *lres-inverse*:

$(x / y) * y \leq x$

by (*simp add: lres-galois*)

lemma *lres-one*:

$x / 1 \leq x$

using *mult-sub-right-one order-trans lres-inverse* **by** *blast*

lemma *lres-mult-sub-lres-lres*:

$x / (z * y) \leq (x / y) / z$

using *lres-galois mult-semi-associative order.trans* **by** *blast*

lemma *mult-lres-sub-assoc*:

$x * (y / z) \leq (x * y) / z$

by (*meson dual-order.trans lres-galois mult-right-isotone lres-inverse lres-mult-sub-lres-lres*)

With the help of a left residual, it follows that left recursion is below right recursion.

lemma *lstar-below-rstar*:
assumes *has-least-prefixpoint* (*Lf y*)
and *has-least-prefixpoint* (*Rf y*)
shows $lstar\ y \leq rstar\ y$
proof –
have $y * (rstar\ y / y) * y \leq y * rstar\ y$
using *lres-galois mult-lres-sub-assoc* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots \leq rstar\ y$
using *assms(2) le-supE pmu-unfold* **by** *blast*
finally have $y * (rstar\ y / y) \leq rstar\ y / y$
by (*simp add: lres-galois*)
hence $Rf\ y\ (rstar\ y / y) \leq rstar\ y / y$
using *assms(2) lres-galois rstar-increasing* **by** *fastforce*
hence $rstar\ y \leq rstar\ y / y$
using *assms(2) is-least-prefixpoint-def least-prefixpoint* **by** *auto*
hence $Lf\ y\ (rstar\ y) \leq rstar\ y$
using *assms(2) lres-galois pmu-unfold* **by** *fastforce*
thus *?thesis*
using *assms(1) is-least-prefixpoint-def least-prefixpoint* **by** *auto*
qed

Moreover, right recursion gives the same result as symmetric recursion.
The next proof follows an argument of [5, Satz 10.1.5].

lemma *rstar-sstar*:
assumes *has-least-prefixpoint* (*Rf y*)
and *has-least-prefixpoint* (*Sf y*)
shows $rstar\ y = sstar\ y$
proof –
have $Rf\ y\ (rstar\ y / rstar\ y) * rstar\ y \leq rstar\ y \sqcup y * ((rstar\ y / rstar\ y) * rstar\ y)$
using *mult-right-dist-sup mult-semi-associative sup-right-isotone* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots \leq rstar\ y \sqcup y * rstar\ y$
using *mult-right-isotone sup-right-isotone lres-inverse* **by** *blast*
also have $\dots \leq rstar\ y$
using *assms(1) pmu-unfold* **by** *fastforce*
finally have $Rf\ y\ (rstar\ y / rstar\ y) \leq rstar\ y / rstar\ y$
by (*simp add: lres-galois*)
hence $rstar\ y * rstar\ y \leq rstar\ y$
using *assms(1) is-least-prefixpoint-def least-prefixpoint lres-galois* **by** *auto*
hence $y \sqcup rstar\ y * rstar\ y \leq rstar\ y$
by (*simp add: assms(1) rstar-increasing*)
hence $Sf\ y\ (rstar\ y) \leq rstar\ y$
using *assms(1) pmu-unfold* **by** *force*
hence $sstar\ y \leq rstar\ y$
using *assms(2) is-least-prefixpoint-def least-prefixpoint* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis*
by (*simp add: assms order.antisym rstar-below-sstar*)
qed

end

context *monoid-mult*
begin

lemma *monoid-power-closed*:

assumes $P\ 1\ P\ x \wedge y\ z . P\ y \implies P\ z \implies P\ (y * z)$
shows $P\ (x \wedge^n)$

proof (*induct n*)

case *0*

thus *?case*

by (*simp add: assms(1)*)

next

case (*Suc n*)

thus *?case*

by (*simp add: assms(2,3)*)

qed

end

In the next structure we add full associativity of multiplication, as well as a right unit. Still, multiplication does not need to have a right zero and does not need to distribute over addition from the left.

class *idempotent-left-semiring* = *non-associative-left-semiring* + *monoid-mult*
begin

subclass *pre-left-semiring*

by *unfold-locales (simp add: mult-assoc)*

lemma *zero-right-mult-decreasing*:

$x * \text{bot} \leq x$

by (*metis bot-least mult-1-right mult-right-isotone*)

The following result shows that for dense coreflexives there are two equivalent ways to express that a property is preserved. In the setting of Kleene algebras, this is well known for tests, which form a Boolean subalgebra. The point here is that only very few properties of tests are needed to show the equivalence.

lemma *test-preserves-equation*:

assumes *dense-rel p*

and *coreflexive p*

shows $p * x \leq x * p \iff p * x = p * x * p$

proof

assume *1*: $p * x \leq x * p$

have $p * x \leq p * p * x$

by (*simp add: assms(1) mult-left-isotone*)

also have $\dots \leq p * x * p$

using *1* **by** (*simp add: mult-right-isotone mult-assoc*)

finally show $p * x = p * x * p$

```

    using assms(2) order.antisym mult-right-isotone by fastforce
next
  assume  $p * x = p * x * p$ 
  thus  $p * x \leq x * p$ 
    by (metis assms(2) mult-left-isotone mult-left-one)
qed

end

```

The next structure has both distributivity properties of multiplication. Only a right zero is missing from full semirings. This is important as many computation models do not have a right zero of sequential composition.

```

class idempotent-left-zero-semiring = idempotent-left-semiring +
  assumes mult-left-dist-sup:  $x * (y \sqcup z) = x * y \sqcup x * z$ 
begin

```

```

lemma case-split-right:

```

```

  assumes  $1 \leq w \sqcup z$ 
    and  $x * w \leq y$ 
    and  $x * z \leq y$ 
  shows  $x \leq y$ 
proof -
  have  $x * (w \sqcup z) \leq y$ 
    by (simp add: assms(2-3) mult-left-dist-sup)
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis assms(1) dual-order.trans mult-1-right mult-right-isotone)
qed

```

```

lemma case-split-right-equal:

```

```

   $w \sqcup z = 1 \implies x * w = y * w \implies x * z = y * z \implies x = y$ 
  by (metis mult-1-right mult-left-dist-sup)

```

This is the first structure we can connect to the semirings provided by Isabelle/HOL.

```

sublocale semiring: ordered-semiring sup bot less-eq less times
  apply unfold-locales
  using sup-right-isotone apply blast
  apply (simp add: mult-right-dist-sup)
  apply (simp add: mult-left-dist-sup)
  apply (simp add: mult-right-isotone)
  by (simp add: mult-left-isotone)

```

```

sublocale semiring: semiring-numeral 1 times sup ..

```

```

end

```

Completing this part of the hierarchy, we obtain idempotent semirings by adding a right zero of multiplication.

```

class idempotent-semiring = idempotent-left-zero-semiring +

```

assumes *mult-right-zero* [*simp*]: $x * bot = bot$
begin

sublocale *semiring*: *semiring-0 sup bot times*
by *unfold-locales simp-all*

end

3.2 Bounded Idempotent Semirings

All of the following semirings have a greatest element in the underlying semi-lattice order. With this element, we can express further standard properties of relations. We extend each class in the above hierarchy in turn.

class *times-top* = *times + top*
begin

abbreviation *vector* :: '*a* \Rightarrow *bool* **where** *vector* *x* $\equiv x * top = x$

abbreviation *covector* :: '*a* \Rightarrow *bool* **where** *covector* *x* $\equiv top * x = x$

abbreviation *total* :: '*a* \Rightarrow *bool* **where** *total* *x* $\equiv x * top = top$

abbreviation *surjective* :: '*a* \Rightarrow *bool* **where** *surjective* *x* $\equiv top * x = top$

abbreviation *vectors* $\equiv \{ x . vector\ x \}$

abbreviation *covectors* $\equiv \{ x . covector\ x \}$

end

class *bounded-non-associative-left-semiring* = *non-associative-left-semiring + top*
+

assumes *sup-right-top* [*simp*]: $x \sqcup top = top$

begin

subclass *times-top* .

We first give basic properties of the greatest element.

lemma *sup-left-top* [*simp*]:

$top \sqcup x = top$

using *sup-right-top sup commute* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *top-greatest* [*simp*]:

$x \leq top$

by (*simp add: le-iff-sup*)

lemma *top-left-mult-increasing*:

$x \leq top * x$

by (*metis mult-left-isotone mult-left-one top-greatest*)

lemma *top-right-mult-increasing*:

$x \leq x * top$

using *mult-right-isotone mult-sub-right-one order-trans top-greatest* **by** *blast*

lemma *top-mult-top* [*simp*]:
top * *top* = *top*
by (*simp add: order.antisym top-left-mult-increasing*)

Closure of the above properties under the semiring operations is considered next.

lemma *vector-bot-closed*:
vector bot
by *simp*

lemma *vector-top-closed*:
vector top
by *simp*

lemma *vector-sup-closed*:
vector x \implies *vector y* \implies *vector (x \sqcup y)*
by (*simp add: mult-right-dist-sup*)

lemma *covector-top-closed*:
covector top
by *simp*

lemma *total-one-closed*:
total 1
by *simp*

lemma *total-top-closed*:
total top
by *simp*

lemma *total-sup-closed*:
total x \implies *total (x \sqcup y)*
by (*simp add: mult-right-dist-sup*)

lemma *surjective-one-closed*:
surjective 1
by (*simp add: order.antisym mult-sub-right-one*)

lemma *surjective-top-closed*:
surjective top
by *simp*

lemma *surjective-sup-closed*:
surjective x \implies *surjective (x \sqcup y)*
by (*metis le-iff-sup mult-left-sub-dist-sup-left sup-left-top*)

lemma *reflexive-top-closed*:
reflexive top

by *simp*

lemma *transitive-top-closed*:

transitive top

by *simp*

lemma *dense-top-closed*:

dense-rel top

by *simp*

lemma *idempotent-top-closed*:

idempotent top

by *simp*

lemma *preorder-top-closed*:

preorder top

by *simp*

end

Some closure properties require at least half of associativity.

class *bounded-pre-left-semiring* = *pre-left-semiring* +

bounded-non-associative-left-semiring

begin

lemma *vector-mult-closed*:

vector y \implies *vector (x * y)*

by (*metis order.antisym mult-semi-associative top-right-mult-increasing*)

lemma *surjective-mult-closed*:

surjective x \implies *surjective y* \implies *surjective (x * y)*

by (*metis order.antisym mult-semi-associative top-greatest*)

end

We next consider residuals with the greatest element.

class *bounded-residuated-pre-left-semiring* = *residuated-pre-left-semiring* +

bounded-pre-left-semiring

begin

lemma *lres-top-decreasing*:

x / top \leq *x*

using *lres-inverse order.trans top-right-mult-increasing* **by** *blast*

lemma *top-lres-absorb* [*simp*]:

top / x = *top*

using *order.antisym lres-galois top-greatest* **by** *blast*

lemma *covector-lres-closed*:

```

    covector x  $\implies$  covector (x / y)
  by (metis order.antisym mult-lres-sub-assoc top-left-mult-increasing)

end

```

Some closure properties require full associativity.

```

class bounded-idempotent-left-semiring = bounded-pre-left-semiring +
idempotent-left-semiring
begin

```

```

lemma covector-mult-closed:
  covector x  $\implies$  covector (x * y)
  by (metis mult-assoc)

```

```

lemma total-mult-closed:
  total x  $\implies$  total y  $\implies$  total (x * y)
  by (simp add: mult-assoc)

```

```

lemma total-power-closed:
  total x  $\implies$  total (x ^ n)
  apply (rule monoid-power-closed)
  using total-mult-closed by auto

```

```

lemma surjective-power-closed:
  surjective x  $\implies$  surjective (x ^ n)
  apply (rule monoid-power-closed)
  using surjective-mult-closed by auto

```

```

end

```

Some closure properties require distributivity from the left.

```

class bounded-idempotent-left-zero-semiring = bounded-idempotent-left-semiring
+ idempotent-left-zero-semiring
begin

```

```

lemma covector-sup-closed:
  covector x  $\implies$  covector y  $\implies$  covector (x  $\sqcup$  y)
  by (simp add: mult-left-dist-sup)

```

```

end

```

Our final structure is an idempotent semiring with a greatest element.

```

class bounded-idempotent-semiring = bounded-idempotent-left-zero-semiring +
idempotent-semiring
begin

```

```

lemma covector-bot-closed:
  covector bot
  by simp

```

end

end

4 Relation Algebras

The main structures introduced in this theory are Stone relation algebras. They generalise Tarski's relation algebras [28] by weakening the Boolean algebra lattice structure to a Stone algebra. Our motivation is to generalise relation-algebraic methods from unweighted graphs to weighted graphs. Unlike unweighted graphs, weighted graphs do not form a Boolean algebra because there is no complement operation on the edge weights. However, edge weights form a Stone algebra, and matrices over edge weights (that is, weighted graphs) form a Stone relation algebra.

The development in this theory is described in our papers [14, 16]. Our main application there is the verification of Prim's minimum spanning tree algorithm. Related work about fuzzy relations [12, 29], Dedekind categories [18] and rough relations [9, 24] is also discussed in these papers. In particular, Stone relation algebras do not assume that the underlying lattice is complete or a Heyting algebra, and they do not assume that composition has residuals.

We proceed in two steps. First, we study the positive fragment in the form of single-object bounded distributive allegories [11]. Second, we extend these structures by a pseudocomplement operation with additional axioms to obtain Stone relation algebras.

Tarski's relation algebras are then obtained by a simple extension that imposes a Boolean algebra. See, for example, [7, 17, 20, 21, 26, 27] for further details about relations and relation algebras, and [2, 8] for algebras of relations with a smaller signature.

theory *Relation-Algebras*

imports *Stone-Algebras.P-Algebras Semirings*

begin

4.1 Single-Object Bounded Distributive Allegories

We start with developing bounded distributive allegories. The following definitions concern properties of relations that require converse in addition to lattice and semiring operations.

class *conv* =
 fixes *conv* :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a $(^{-T} [100] 100)$

class *bounded-distrib-allegory-signature* = *inf* + *sup* + *times* + *conv* + *bot* + *top*
+ *one* + *ord*

begin

subclass *times-one-ord* .
subclass *times-top* .

abbreviation *total-var* :: 'a \Rightarrow bool **where** *total-var* $x \equiv 1 \leq x * x^T$
abbreviation *surjective-var* :: 'a \Rightarrow bool **where** *surjective-var* $x \equiv 1 \leq x^T * x$
abbreviation *univalent* :: 'a \Rightarrow bool **where** *univalent* $x \equiv x^T * x \leq 1$
abbreviation *injective* :: 'a \Rightarrow bool **where** *injective* $x \equiv x * x^T \leq 1$

abbreviation *mapping* :: 'a \Rightarrow bool **where** *mapping* $x \equiv$ *univalent* x
 \wedge *total* x
abbreviation *bijjective* :: 'a \Rightarrow bool **where** *bijjective* $x \equiv$ *injective* $x \wedge$
surjective x

abbreviation *point* :: 'a \Rightarrow bool **where** *point* $x \equiv$ *vector* $x \wedge$
bijjective x
abbreviation *arc* :: 'a \Rightarrow bool **where** *arc* $x \equiv$ *bijjective* $(x * top)$
 \wedge *bijjective* $(x^T * top)$

abbreviation *symmetric* :: 'a \Rightarrow bool **where** *symmetric* $x \equiv x^T = x$
abbreviation *antisymmetric* :: 'a \Rightarrow bool **where** *antisymmetric* $x \equiv x \sqcap x^T \leq 1$
abbreviation *asymmetric* :: 'a \Rightarrow bool **where** *asymmetric* $x \equiv x \sqcap x^T =$
bot
abbreviation *linear* :: 'a \Rightarrow bool **where** *linear* $x \equiv x \sqcup x^T = top$

abbreviation *equivalence* :: 'a \Rightarrow bool **where** *equivalence* $x \equiv$ *preorder* $x \wedge$
symmetric x
abbreviation *order* :: 'a \Rightarrow bool **where** *order* $x \equiv$ *preorder* $x \wedge$
antisymmetric x
abbreviation *linear-order* :: 'a \Rightarrow bool **where** *linear-order* $x \equiv$ *order* $x \wedge$
linear x

end

We reuse the relation algebra axioms given in [20] except for one – see lemma *conv-complement-sub* below – which we replace with the Dedekind rule (or modular law) *dedekind-1*. The Dedekind rule or variants of it are known from [7, 11, 19, 27]. We add *comp-left-zero*, which follows in relation algebras but not in the present setting. The main change is that only a bounded distributive lattice is required, not a Boolean algebra.

class *bounded-distrib-allegory* = *bounded-distrib-lattice* + *times* + *one* + *conv* +
assumes *comp-associative* : $(x * y) * z = x * (y * z)$
assumes *comp-right-dist-sup* : $(x \sqcup y) * z = (x * z) \sqcup (y * z)$
assumes *comp-left-zero* [*simp*]: $bot * x = bot$
assumes *comp-left-one* [*simp*]: $1 * x = x$
assumes *conv-involutive* [*simp*]: $x^{TT} = x$
assumes *conv-dist-sup* : $(x \sqcup y)^T = x^T \sqcup y^T$
assumes *conv-dist-comp* : $(x * y)^T = y^T * x^T$

assumes *dedekind-1* : $x * y \sqcap z \leq x * (y \sqcap (x^T * z))$
begin

subclass *bounded-distrib-allegory-signature* .

Many properties of relation algebras already follow in bounded distributive allegories.

lemma *conv-isotone*:
 $x \leq y \implies x^T \leq y^T$
by (*metis conv-dist-sup le-iff-sup*)

lemma *conv-order*:
 $x \leq y \iff x^T \leq y^T$
using *conv-isotone* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *conv-bot* [*simp*]:
 $bot^T = bot$
using *conv-order bot-unique* **by** *force*

lemma *conv-top* [*simp*]:
 $top^T = top$
by (*metis conv-involutive conv-order order.eq-iff top-greatest*)

lemma *conv-dist-inf*:
 $(x \sqcap y)^T = x^T \sqcap y^T$
apply (*rule order.antisym*)
using *conv-order* **apply** *simp*
by (*metis conv-order conv-involutive inf.boundedI inf.cobounded1 inf.cobounded2*)

lemma *conv-inf-bot-iff*:
 $bot = x^T \sqcap y \iff bot = x \sqcap y^T$
using *conv-dist-inf conv-bot* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *conv-one* [*simp*]:
 $1^T = 1$
by (*metis comp-left-one conv-dist-comp conv-involutive*)

lemma *comp-left-dist-sup*:
 $(x * y) \sqcup (x * z) = x * (y \sqcup z)$
by (*metis comp-right-dist-sup conv-involutive conv-dist-sup conv-dist-comp*)

lemma *comp-right-isotone*:
 $x \leq y \implies z * x \leq z * y$
by (*simp add: comp-left-dist-sup sup.absorb-iff1*)

lemma *comp-left-isotone*:
 $x \leq y \implies x * z \leq y * z$
by (*metis comp-right-dist-sup le-iff-sup*)

lemma *comp-isotone*:
 $x \leq y \implies w \leq z \implies x * w \leq y * z$
using *comp-left-isotone comp-right-isotone order.trans* **by** *blast*

lemma *comp-left-subdist-inf*:
 $(x \sqcap y) * z \leq x * z \sqcap y * z$
by (*simp add: comp-left-isotone*)

lemma *comp-left-increasing-sup*:
 $x * y \leq (x \sqcup z) * y$
by (*simp add: comp-left-isotone*)

lemma *comp-right-subdist-inf*:
 $x * (y \sqcap z) \leq x * y \sqcap x * z$
by (*simp add: comp-right-isotone*)

lemma *comp-right-increasing-sup*:
 $x * y \leq x * (y \sqcup z)$
by (*simp add: comp-right-isotone*)

lemma *comp-right-zero [simp]*:
 $x * \text{bot} = \text{bot}$
by (*metis comp-left-zero conv-dist-comp conv-involutive*)

lemma *comp-right-one [simp]*:
 $x * 1 = x$
by (*metis comp-left-one conv-dist-comp conv-involutive*)

lemma *comp-left-conjugate*:
 $\text{conjugate } (\lambda y . x * y) (\lambda y . x^T * y)$
apply (*unfold conjugate-def, intro allI*)
by (*metis comp-right-zero bot.extremum-unique conv-involutive dedekind-1 inf commute*)

lemma *comp-right-conjugate*:
 $\text{conjugate } (\lambda y . y * x) (\lambda y . y * x^T)$
apply (*unfold conjugate-def, intro allI*)
by (*metis comp-left-conjugate[unfolded conjugate-def] conv-inf-bot-iff conv-dist-comp conv-involutive*)

We still obtain a semiring structure.

subclass *bounded-idempotent-semiring*
by (*unfold-locales*)
(*auto simp: comp-right-isotone comp-right-dist-sup comp-associative comp-left-dist-sup*)

sublocale *inf: semiring-0 sup bot inf*
by (*unfold-locales, auto simp: inf-sup-distrib2 inf-sup-distrib1 inf-assoc*)

lemma *schroeder-1*:

$$x * y \sqcap z = \text{bot} \iff x^T * z \sqcap y = \text{bot}$$

using *abel-semigroup commute comp-left-conjugate conjugate-def inf.abel-semigroup-axioms* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *schroeder-2*:

$$x * y \sqcap z = \text{bot} \iff z * y^T \sqcap x = \text{bot}$$

by (*metis comp-right-conjugate conjugate-def inf-commute*)

lemma *comp-additive*:

$$\text{additive } (\lambda y . x * y) \wedge \text{additive } (\lambda y . x^T * y) \wedge \text{additive } (\lambda y . y * x) \wedge \text{additive } (\lambda y . y * x^T)$$

by (*simp add: comp-left-dist-sup additive-def comp-right-dist-sup*)

lemma *dedekind-2*:

$$y * x \sqcap z \leq (y \sqcap (z * x^T)) * x$$

by (*metis conv-dist-inf conv-order conv-dist-comp dedekind-1*)

The intersection with a vector can still be exported from the first argument of a composition, and many other properties of vectors and covectors continue to hold.

lemma *vector-inf-comp*:

$$\text{vector } x \implies (x \sqcap y) * z = x \sqcap (y * z)$$

apply (*rule order.antisym*)

apply (*metis comp-left-subdist-inf comp-right-isotone inf.sup-left-isotone order-lesseq-imp top-greatest*)

by (*metis comp-left-isotone comp-right-isotone dedekind-2 inf-commute inf-mono order-refl order-trans top-greatest*)

lemma *vector-inf-closed*:

$$\text{vector } x \implies \text{vector } y \implies \text{vector } (x \sqcap y)$$

by (*simp add: vector-inf-comp*)

lemma *vector-inf-one-comp*:

$$\text{vector } x \implies (x \sqcap 1) * y = x \sqcap y$$

by (*simp add: vector-inf-comp*)

lemma *covector-inf-comp-1*:

assumes *vector x*

$$\text{shows } (y \sqcap x^T) * z = (y \sqcap x^T) * (x \sqcap z)$$

proof –

$$\text{have } (y \sqcap x^T) * z \leq (y \sqcap x^T) * (z \sqcap ((y^T \sqcap x) * \text{top}))$$

by (*metis inf-top-right dedekind-1 conv-dist-inf conv-involutive*)

$$\text{also have } \dots \leq (y \sqcap x^T) * (x \sqcap z)$$

by (*metis assms comp-left-isotone comp-right-isotone inf-le2 inf-mono order-refl inf-commute*)

finally show *?thesis*

by (*simp add: comp-right-isotone order.antisym*)

qed

lemma *covector-inf-comp-2*:

assumes *vector x*

shows $y * (x \sqcap z) = (y \sqcap x^T) * (x \sqcap z)$

proof –

have $y * (x \sqcap z) \leq (y \sqcap (top * (x \sqcap z)^T)) * (x \sqcap z)$

by (*metis dedekind-2 inf-top-right*)

also have $\dots \leq (y \sqcap x^T) * (x \sqcap z)$

by (*metis assms comp-left-isotone conv-dist-comp conv-order conv-top eq-refl inf-le1 inf-mono*)

finally show *?thesis*

using *comp-left-subdist-inf order.antisym* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *covector-inf-comp-3*:

vector x $\implies (y \sqcap x^T) * z = y * (x \sqcap z)$

by (*metis covector-inf-comp-1 covector-inf-comp-2*)

lemma *covector-inf-closed*:

covector x \implies *covector y* \implies *covector (x \sqcap y)*

by (*metis comp-right-subdist-inf order.antisym top-left-mult-increasing*)

lemma *vector-conv-covector*:

vector v \longleftrightarrow *covector (v^T)*

by (*metis conv-dist-comp conv-involutive conv-top*)

lemma *covector-conv-vector*:

covector v \longleftrightarrow *vector (v^T)*

by (*simp add: vector-conv-covector*)

lemma *covector-comp-inf*:

covector z $\implies x * (y \sqcap z) = x * y \sqcap z$

apply (*rule order.antisym*)

apply (*metis comp-isotone comp-right-subdist-inf inf.boundedE inf.boundedI inf.cobounded2 top.extremum*)

by (*metis comp-left-isotone comp-right-isotone dedekind-1 inf-commute inf-mono order-refl order-trans top-greatest*)

lemma *vector-restrict-comp-conv*:

vector x $\implies x \sqcap y \leq x^T * y$

by (*metis covector-inf-comp-3 eq-refl inf.sup-monoid.add-commute inf-top-right le-supE sup.orderE top-left-mult-increasing*)

lemma *covector-restrict-comp-conv*:

covector x $\implies y \sqcap x \leq y * x^T$

by (*metis conv-dist-comp conv-dist-inf conv-order conv-top inf.sup-monoid.add-commute vector-restrict-comp-conv*)

lemma *covector-comp-inf-1*:
covector $x \implies (y \sqcap x) * z = y * (x^T \sqcap z)$
using *covector-conv-vector covector-inf-comp-3* **by** *fastforce*

We still have two ways to represent surjectivity and totality.

lemma *surjective-var*:
surjective $x \iff$ *surjective-var* x
proof
assume *surjective* x
thus *surjective-var* x
by (*metis dedekind-2 comp-left-one inf-absorb2 top-greatest*)
next
assume *surjective-var* x
hence $x^T * (x * \text{top}) = \text{top}$
by (*metis comp-left-isotone comp-associative comp-left-one top-le*)
thus *surjective* x
by (*metis comp-right-isotone conv-top conv-dist-comp conv-involutive top-greatest top-le*)
qed

lemma *total-var*:
total $x \iff$ *total-var* x
by (*metis conv-top conv-dist-comp conv-involutive surjective-var*)

lemma *surjective-conv-total*:
surjective $x \iff$ *total* (x^T)
by (*metis conv-top conv-dist-comp conv-involutive*)

lemma *total-conv-surjective*:
total $x \iff$ *surjective* (x^T)
by (*simp add: surjective-conv-total*)

lemma *injective-conv-univalent*:
injective $x \iff$ *univalent* (x^T)
by *simp*

lemma *univalent-conv-injective*:
univalent $x \iff$ *injective* (x^T)
by *simp*

We continue with studying further closure properties.

lemma *univalent-bot-closed*:
univalent *bot*
by *simp*

lemma *univalent-one-closed*:
univalent *1*
by *simp*

lemma *univalent-inf-closed*:

univalent $x \implies \text{univalent } (x \sqcap y)$

by (*metis comp-left-subdist-inf comp-right-subdist-inf conv-dist-inf inf.cobounded1 order-lesseq-imp*)

lemma *univalent-mult-closed*:

assumes *univalent* x

and *univalent* y

shows *univalent* $(x * y)$

proof –

have $(x * y)^T * x \leq y^T$

by (*metis assms(1) comp-left-isotone comp-right-one conv-one conv-order comp-associative conv-dist-comp conv-involutive*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*metis assms(2) comp-left-isotone comp-associative dual-order.trans*)

qed

lemma *injective-bot-closed*:

injective bot

by *simp*

lemma *injective-one-closed*:

injective 1

by *simp*

lemma *injective-inf-closed*:

injective $x \implies \text{injective } (x \sqcap y)$

by (*metis conv-dist-inf injective-conv-univalent univalent-inf-closed*)

lemma *injective-mult-closed*:

injective $x \implies \text{injective } y \implies \text{injective } (x * y)$

by (*metis injective-conv-univalent conv-dist-comp univalent-mult-closed*)

lemma *mapping-one-closed*:

mapping 1

by *simp*

lemma *mapping-mult-closed*:

mapping $x \implies \text{mapping } y \implies \text{mapping } (x * y)$

by (*simp add: comp-associative univalent-mult-closed*)

lemma *bijjective-one-closed*:

bijjective 1

by *simp*

lemma *bijjective-mult-closed*:

bijjective $x \implies \text{bijjective } y \implies \text{bijjective } (x * y)$

by (*metis injective-mult-closed comp-associative*)

lemma *bijection-conv-mapping*:
bijection $x \longleftrightarrow \text{mapping } (x^T)$
by (*simp add: surjective-conv-total*)

lemma *mapping-conv-bijection*:
mapping $x \longleftrightarrow \text{bijection } (x^T)$
by (*simp add: total-conv-surjective*)

lemma *reflexive-inf-closed*:
reflexive $x \implies \text{reflexive } y \implies \text{reflexive } (x \sqcap y)$
by *simp*

lemma *reflexive-conv-closed*:
reflexive $x \implies \text{reflexive } (x^T)$
using *conv-isotone* **by** *force*

lemma *coreflexive-inf-closed*:
coreflexive $x \implies \text{coreflexive } (x \sqcap y)$
by (*simp add: le-infI1*)

lemma *coreflexive-conv-closed*:
coreflexive $x \implies \text{coreflexive } (x^T)$
using *conv-order* **by** *force*

lemma *coreflexive-symmetric*:
coreflexive $x \implies \text{symmetric } x$
by (*metis comp-right-one comp-right-subdist-inf conv-dist-inf conv-dist-comp conv-involutive dedekind-1 inf.absorb1 inf.absorb2*)

lemma *transitive-inf-closed*:
transitive $x \implies \text{transitive } y \implies \text{transitive } (x \sqcap y)$
by (*meson comp-left-subdist-inf inf.cobounded1 inf.sup-mono inf-le2 mult-right-isotone order.trans*)

lemma *transitive-conv-closed*:
transitive $x \implies \text{transitive } (x^T)$
using *conv-order conv-dist-comp* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *dense-conv-closed*:
dense-rel $x \implies \text{dense-rel } (x^T)$
using *conv-order conv-dist-comp* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *idempotent-conv-closed*:
idempotent $x \implies \text{idempotent } (x^T)$
by (*metis conv-dist-comp*)

lemma *preorder-inf-closed*:
preorder $x \implies \text{preorder } y \implies \text{preorder } (x \sqcap y)$
using *transitive-inf-closed* **by** *auto*

lemma *preorder-conv-closed*:
 $preorder\ x \implies preorder\ (x^T)$
by (*simp add: reflexive-conv-closed transitive-conv-closed*)

lemma *symmetric-bot-closed*:
 $symmetric\ bot$
by *simp*

lemma *symmetric-one-closed*:
 $symmetric\ 1$
by *simp*

lemma *symmetric-top-closed*:
 $symmetric\ top$
by *simp*

lemma *symmetric-inf-closed*:
 $symmetric\ x \implies symmetric\ y \implies symmetric\ (x \sqcap y)$
by (*simp add: conv-dist-inf*)

lemma *symmetric-sup-closed*:
 $symmetric\ x \implies symmetric\ y \implies symmetric\ (x \sqcup y)$
by (*simp add: conv-dist-sup*)

lemma *symmetric-conv-closed*:
 $symmetric\ x \implies symmetric\ (x^T)$
by *simp*

lemma *one-inf-conv*:
 $1 \sqcap x = 1 \sqcap x^T$
by (*metis conv-dist-inf coreflexive-symmetric inf.cobounded1 symmetric-one-closed*)

lemma *antisymmetric-bot-closed*:
 $antisymmetric\ bot$
by *simp*

lemma *antisymmetric-one-closed*:
 $antisymmetric\ 1$
by *simp*

lemma *antisymmetric-inf-closed*:
 $antisymmetric\ x \implies antisymmetric\ (x \sqcap y)$
by (*rule order-trans[where $y=x \sqcap x^T$]*) (*simp-all add: conv-isotone inf.coboundedI2 inf.sup-assoc*)

lemma *antisymmetric-conv-closed*:
 $antisymmetric\ x \implies antisymmetric\ (x^T)$

by (*simp add: inf-commute*)

lemma asymmetric-bot-closed:
asymmetric bot
by *simp*

lemma asymmetric-inf-closed:
asymmetric $x \implies asymmetric (x \sqcap y)$
by (*metis conv-dist-inf inf.mult-zero-left inf.left-commute inf-assoc*)

lemma asymmetric-conv-closed:
asymmetric $x \implies asymmetric (x^T)$
by (*simp add: inf-commute*)

lemma linear-top-closed:
linear top
by *simp*

lemma linear-sup-closed:
linear $x \implies linear (x \sqcup y)$
by (*metis conv-dist-sup sup-assoc sup-commute sup-top-right*)

lemma linear-reflexive:
linear $x \implies reflexive x$
by (*metis one-inf-conv inf.distrib-left inf.cobounded2 inf.orderE reflexive-top-closed sup.idem*)

lemma linear-conv-closed:
linear $x \implies linear (x^T)$
by (*simp add: sup-commute*)

lemma linear-comp-closed:
assumes *linear x*
and *linear y*
shows *linear (x * y)*
proof –
have *reflexive y*
by (*simp add: assms(2) linear-reflexive*)
hence *$x \sqcup x^T \leq x * y \sqcup y^T * x^T$*
by (*metis case-split-left case-split-right le-supI sup.cobounded1 sup.cobounded2 sup.idem reflexive-conv-closed*)
thus *?thesis*
by (*simp add: assms(1) conv-dist-comp top-le*)
qed

lemma equivalence-one-closed:
equivalence 1
by *simp*

lemma *equivalence-top-closed*:

equivalence top

by *simp*

lemma *equivalence-inf-closed*:

equivalence x \implies equivalence y \implies equivalence (x \sqcap y)

using *conv-dist-inf preorder-inf-closed* **by** *auto*

lemma *equivalence-conv-closed*:

equivalence x \implies equivalence (x^T)

by *simp*

lemma *order-one-closed*:

order 1

by *simp*

lemma *order-inf-closed*:

order x \implies order y \implies order (x \sqcap y)

using *antisymmetric-inf-closed transitive-inf-closed* **by** *auto*

lemma *order-conv-closed*:

order x \implies order (x^T)

by (*simp add: inf-commute reflexive-conv-closed transitive-conv-closed*)

lemma *linear-order-conv-closed*:

linear-order x \implies linear-order (x^T)

using *equivalence-top-closed conv-dist-sup inf-commute reflexive-conv-closed transitive-conv-closed* **by** *force*

We show a fact about equivalences.

lemma *equivalence-comp-dist-inf*:

*equivalence x \implies x * y \sqcap x * z = x * (y \sqcap x * z)*

by (*metis order.antisym comp-right-subdist-inf dedekind-1 order.eq-iff inf.absorb1 inf.absorb2 mult-1-right mult-assoc*)

The following result generalises the fact that composition with a test amounts to intersection with the corresponding vector. Both tests and vectors can be used to represent sets as relations.

lemma *coreflexive-comp-top-inf*:

*coreflexive x \implies x * top \sqcap y = x * y*

apply (*rule order.antisym*)

apply (*metis comp-left-isotone comp-left-one coreflexive-symmetric dedekind-1 inf-top-left order-trans*)

using *comp-left-isotone comp-right-isotone* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *coreflexive-comp-top-inf-one*:

*coreflexive x \implies x * top \sqcap 1 = x*

by (*simp add: coreflexive-comp-top-inf*)

lemma *coreflexive-comp-inf*:
coreflexive $x \implies$ *coreflexive* $y \implies x * y = x \sqcap y$
by (*metis* (*full-types*) *coreflexive-comp-top-inf* *coreflexive-comp-top-inf-one*
inf.mult-assoc *inf.absorb2*)

lemma *coreflexive-comp-inf-comp*:
assumes *coreflexive* x
and *coreflexive* y
shows $(x * z) \sqcap (y * z) = (x \sqcap y) * z$
proof –
have $(x * z) \sqcap (y * z) = x * \text{top} \sqcap z \sqcap y * \text{top} \sqcap z$
using *assms* *coreflexive-comp-top-inf* *inf-assoc* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = x * \text{top} \sqcap y * \text{top} \sqcap z$
by (*simp* *add*: *inf.commute* *inf.left-commute*)
also have $\dots = (x \sqcap y) * \text{top} \sqcap z$
by (*metis* *assms* *coreflexive-comp-inf* *coreflexive-comp-top-inf* *mult-assoc*)
also have $\dots = (x \sqcap y) * z$
by (*simp* *add*: *assms*(1) *coreflexive-comp-top-inf* *coreflexive-inf-closed*)
finally show *?thesis*
qed

lemma *test-comp-test-inf*:
 $(x \sqcap 1) * y * (z \sqcap 1) = (x \sqcap 1) * y \sqcap y * (z \sqcap 1)$
by (*smt* *comp-right-one* *comp-right-subdist-inf* *coreflexive-comp-top-inf*
inf.left-commute *inf.orderE* *inf-le2* *mult-assoc*)

lemma *test-comp-test-top*:
 $y \sqcap (x \sqcap 1) * \text{top} * (z \sqcap 1) = (x \sqcap 1) * y * (z \sqcap 1)$
proof –
have $\forall u v . (v \sqcap u^T)^T = v^T \sqcap u$
using *conv-dist-inf* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis*
by (*smt* *conv-dist-comp* *conv-involutive* *coreflexive-comp-top-inf*
inf.cobounded2 *inf.left-commute* *inf.sup-monoid.add-commute*
symmetric-one-closed *mult-assoc* *symmetric-top-closed*)
qed

lemma *coreflexive-idempotent*:
coreflexive $x \implies$ *idempotent* x
by (*simp* *add*: *coreflexive-comp-inf*)

lemma *coreflexive-univalent*:
coreflexive $x \implies$ *univalent* x
by (*simp* *add*: *coreflexive-idempotent* *coreflexive-symmetric*)

lemma *coreflexive-injective*:
coreflexive $x \implies$ *injective* x
by (*simp* *add*: *coreflexive-idempotent* *coreflexive-symmetric*)

lemma *coreflexive-commutative*:

coreflexive $x \implies$ *coreflexive* $y \implies x * y = y * x$
by (*simp add: coreflexive-comp-inf inf.commute*)

lemma *coreflexive-dedekind*:

coreflexive $x \implies$ *coreflexive* $y \implies$ *coreflexive* $z \implies x * y \sqcap z \leq x * (y \sqcap x * z)$
by (*simp add: coreflexive-comp-inf inf.coboundedI1 inf.left-commute*)

Also the equational version of the Dedekind rule continues to hold.

lemma *dedekind-eq*:

$x * y \sqcap z = (x \sqcap (z * y^T)) * (y \sqcap (x^T * z)) \sqcap z$

proof (*rule order.antisym*)

have $x * y \sqcap z \leq x * (y \sqcap (x^T * z)) \sqcap z$

by (*simp add: dedekind-1*)

also have $\dots \leq (x \sqcap (z * (y \sqcap (x^T * z))^T)) * (y \sqcap (x^T * z)) \sqcap z$

by (*simp add: dedekind-2*)

also have $\dots \leq (x \sqcap (z * y^T)) * (y \sqcap (x^T * z)) \sqcap z$

by (*metis comp-left-isotone comp-right-isotone inf-mono conv-order inf.cobounded1 order-refl*)

finally show $x * y \sqcap z \leq (x \sqcap (z * y^T)) * (y \sqcap (x^T * z)) \sqcap z$

.

next

show $(x \sqcap (z * y^T)) * (y \sqcap (x^T * z)) \sqcap z \leq x * y \sqcap z$

using *comp-isotone inf.sup-left-isotone* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *dedekind*:

$x * y \sqcap z \leq (x \sqcap (z * y^T)) * (y \sqcap (x^T * z))$

by (*metis dedekind-eq inf.cobounded1*)

lemma *vector-export-comp*:

$(x * \text{top} \sqcap y) * z = x * \text{top} \sqcap y * z$

proof –

have *vector* $(x * \text{top})$

by (*simp add: comp-associative*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: vector-inf-comp*)

qed

lemma *vector-export-comp-unit*:

$(x * \text{top} \sqcap 1) * y = x * \text{top} \sqcap y$

by (*simp add: vector-export-comp*)

We solve a few exercises from [27].

lemma *ex231a* [*simp*]:

$(1 \sqcap x * x^T) * x = x$

by (*metis inf.cobounded1 inf.idem inf-right-idem comp-left-one conv-one coreflexive-comp-top-inf dedekind-eq*)

lemma *ex231b* [*simp*]:
 $x * (1 \sqcap x^T * x) = x$
by (*metis conv-dist-comp conv-dist-inf conv-involutive conv-one ex231a*)

lemma *ex231c*:
 $x \leq x * x^T * x$
by (*metis comp-left-isotone ex231a inf-le2*)

lemma *ex231d*:
 $x \leq x * top * x$
by (*metis comp-left-isotone comp-right-isotone top-greatest order-trans ex231c*)

lemma *ex231e* [*simp*]:
 $x * top * x * top = x * top$
by (*metis ex231d order.antisym comp-associative mult-right-isotone top.extremum*)

lemma *arc-injective*:
 $arc\ x \implies injective\ x$
by (*metis conv-dist-inf conv-involutive inf.absorb2 top-right-mult-increasing univalent-inf-closed*)

lemma *arc-conv-closed*:
 $arc\ x \implies arc\ (x^T)$
by *simp*

lemma *arc-univalent*:
 $arc\ x \implies univalent\ x$
using *arc-conv-closed arc-injective univalent-conv-injective* **by** *blast*

lemma *injective-codomain*:
assumes *injective x*
shows $x * (x \sqcap 1) = x \sqcap 1$
proof (*rule order.antisym*)
show $x * (x \sqcap 1) \leq x \sqcap 1$
by (*metis assms comp-right-one dual-order.trans inf.boundedI inf.cobounded1 inf.sup-monoid.add-commute mult-right-isotone one-inf-conv*)
next
show $x \sqcap 1 \leq x * (x \sqcap 1)$
by (*metis coreflexive-idempotent inf.cobounded1 inf.cobounded2 mult-left-isotone*)
qed

The following result generalises [22, Exercise 2]. It is used to show that the while-loop preserves injectivity of the constructed tree.

lemma *injective-sup*:
assumes *injective t*
and $e * t^T \leq 1$

and *injective e*
shows *injective (t \sqcup e)*
proof –
have $(t \sqcup e) * (t \sqcup e)^T = t * t^T \sqcup t * e^T \sqcup e * t^T \sqcup e * e^T$
by (*simp add: comp-left-dist-sup conv-dist-sup semiring.distrib-right sup.assoc*)
thus *?thesis*
using *assms coreflexive-symmetric conv-dist-comp* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *injective-inv:*
*injective t \implies e * t^T = bot \implies arc e \implies injective (t \sqcup e)*
using *arc-injective injective-sup bot-least* **by** *blast*

lemma *univalent-sup:*
*univalent t \implies e^T * t \leq 1 \implies univalent e \implies univalent (t \sqcup e)*
by (*metis injective-sup conv-dist-sup conv-involutive*)

lemma *point-injective:*
*arc x \implies x^T * top * x \leq 1*
by (*metis conv-top comp-associative conv-dist-comp conv-involutive vector-top-closed*)

lemma *vv-transitive:*
*vector v \implies (v * v^T) * (v * v^T) \leq v * v^T*
by (*metis comp-associative comp-left-isotone comp-right-isotone top-greatest*)

lemma *epm-3:*
assumes *e \leq w*
and *injective w*
shows *e = w \sqcap top * e*
proof –
have *w \sqcap top * e \leq w * e^T * e*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) inf.absorb2 top.extremum dedekind-2 inf commute*)
also have *... \leq w * w^T * e*
by (*simp add: assms(1) conv-isotone mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone*)
also have *... \leq e*
using *assms(2) coreflexive-comp-top-inf inf.sup-right-divisibility* **by** *blast*
finally show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: assms(1) top-left-mult-increasing order.antisym*)
qed

lemma *comp-inf-vector:*
*x * (y \sqcap z * top) = (x \sqcap top * z^T) * y*
by (*metis conv-top covector-inf-comp-3 comp-associative conv-dist-comp inf commute vector-top-closed*)

lemma *inf-vector-comp:*
*(x \sqcap y * top) * z = y * top \sqcap x * z*

using *inf.commute vector-export-comp* by *auto*

lemma *comp-inf-covector*:

$x * (y \sqcap \text{top} * z) = x * y \sqcap \text{top} * z$

by (*simp add: covector-comp-inf covector-mult-closed*)

Well-known distributivity properties of univalent and injective relations over meet continue to hold.

lemma *univalent-comp-left-dist-inf*:

assumes *univalent x*

shows $x * (y \sqcap z) = x * y \sqcap x * z$

proof (*rule order.antisym*)

show $x * (y \sqcap z) \leq x * y \sqcap x * z$

by (*simp add: comp-right-isotone*)

next

have $x * y \sqcap x * z \leq (x \sqcap x * z * y^T) * (y \sqcap x^T * x * z)$

by (*metis comp-associative dedekind*)

also have $\dots \leq x * (y \sqcap x^T * x * z)$

by (*simp add: comp-left-isotone*)

also have $\dots \leq x * (y \sqcap 1 * z)$

using *assms comp-left-isotone comp-right-isotone inf.sup-right-isotone* by

blast

finally show $x * y \sqcap x * z \leq x * (y \sqcap z)$

by *simp*

qed

lemma *injective-comp-right-dist-inf*:

injective z $\implies (x \sqcap y) * z = x * z \sqcap y * z$

by (*metis univalent-comp-left-dist-inf conv-dist-comp conv-involutive conv-dist-inf*)

lemma *vector-covector*:

vector v \implies *vector w* $\implies v \sqcap w^T = v * w^T$

by (*metis covector-comp-inf inf-top-left vector-conv-covector*)

lemma *comp-inf-vector-1*:

$(x \sqcap \text{top} * y) * z = x * (z \sqcap (\text{top} * y)^T)$

by (*simp add: comp-inf-vector conv-dist-comp*)

The shunting properties for bijective relations and mappings continue to hold.

lemma *shunt-bijective*:

assumes *bijective z*

shows $x \leq y * z \iff x * z^T \leq y$

proof

assume $x \leq y * z$

hence $x * z^T \leq y * z * z^T$

by (*simp add: mult-left-isotone*)

also have $\dots \leq y$

using *assms comp-associative mult-right-isotone* **by** *fastforce*
finally show $x * z^T \leq y$

next

assume $1: x * z^T \leq y$
have $x = x \sqcap top * z$
by (*simp add: assms*)
also have $\dots \leq x * z^T * z$
by (*metis dedekind-2 inf-commute inf-top.right-neutral*)
also have $\dots \leq y * z$
using 1 **by** (*simp add: mult-left-isotone*)
finally show $x \leq y * z$

qed

lemma *shunt-mapping*:

mapping $z \implies x \leq z * y \iff z^T * x \leq y$
by (*metis shunt-bijective mapping-conv-bijective conv-order conv-dist-comp conv-involutive*)

lemma *bijective-reverse*:

assumes *bijective* p
and *bijective* q
shows $p \leq r * q \iff q \leq r^T * p$

proof –

have $p \leq r * q \iff p * q^T \leq r$
by (*simp add: assms(2) shunt-bijective*)
also have $\dots \iff q^T \leq p^T * r$
by (*metis assms(1) conv-dist-comp conv-involutive conv-order shunt-bijective*)
also have $\dots \iff q \leq r^T * p$
using *conv-dist-comp conv-isotone* **by** *fastforce*
finally show *?thesis*
by *simp*

qed

lemma *arc-expanded*:

arc $x \iff x * top * x^T \leq 1 \wedge x^T * top * x \leq 1 \wedge top * x * top = top$
by (*metis conv-top comp-associative conv-dist-comp conv-involutive vector-top-closed*)

lemma *arc-top-arc*:

assumes *arc* x
shows $x * top * x = x$
by (*metis assms epm-3 top-right-mult-increasing vector-inf-comp vector-mult-closed vector-top-closed*)

lemma *arc-top-edge*:

assumes *arc* x
shows $x^T * top * x = x^T * x$

proof –
have $x^T = x^T * top \sqcap top * x^T$
using *assms epm-3 top-right-mult-increasing* **by** *simp*
thus *?thesis*
by (*metis comp-inf-vector-1 conv-dist-comp conv-involutive conv-top*
inf.absorb1 top-right-mult-increasing)
qed

Lemmas *arc-eq-1* and *arc-eq-2* were contributed by Nicolas Robinson-O'Brien.

lemma *arc-eq-1*:
assumes *arc x*
shows $x = x * x^T * x$
proof –
have $x * x^T * x \leq x * top * x$
by (*simp add: mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone*)
also have $\dots \leq x$
by (*simp add: assms arc-top-arc*)
finally have $x * x^T * x \leq x$
by *simp*
thus *?thesis*
by (*simp add: order.antisym ex231c*)
qed

lemma *arc-eq-2*:
assumes *arc x*
shows $x^T = x^T * x * x^T$
using *arc-eq-1 assms conv-involutive* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *points-arc*:
point x \implies *point y* \implies *arc (x * y^T)*
by (*metis comp-associative conv-dist-comp conv-involutive*
equivalence-top-closed)

lemma *point-arc*:
point x \implies *arc (x * x^T)*
by (*simp add: points-arc*)

lemma *arc-expanded-1*:
arc e \implies $e * x * e^T \leq 1$
by (*meson arc-expanded order-trans top-greatest mult-left-isotone*
mult-right-isotone)

lemma *arc-expanded-2*:
arc e \implies $e^T * x * e \leq 1$
by (*meson arc-expanded order-trans top-greatest mult-left-isotone*
mult-right-isotone)

lemma *point-conv-comp*:

point $x \implies x^T * x = \text{top}$
using *order-eq-iff shunt-bijective top-greatest vector-conv-covector* **by** *blast*

lemma *point-antisymmetric*:
point $x \implies \text{antisymmetric } x$
by (*simp add: vector-covector*)

lemma *mapping-inf-point-arc*:
assumes *mapping* x
and *point* y
shows *arc* $(x \sqcap y)$
proof (*unfold arc-expanded, intro conjI*)
show $(x \sqcap y) * \text{top} * (x \sqcap y)^T \leq 1$
by (*metis assms conv-dist-comp covector-conv-vector inf.orderE*
inf.sup-monoid.add-commute surjective-conv-total top.extremum
top-right-mult-increasing vector-export-comp)
have $(x \sqcap y)^T * \text{top} * (x \sqcap y) = x^T * y * (x \sqcap y)$
by (*simp add: assms(2) conv-dist-inf covector-inf-comp-3*)
also have $\dots = x^T * (y \sqcap y^T) * x$
by (*simp add: assms(2) comp-associative covector-inf-comp-3*
inf.sup-monoid.add-commute)
also have $\dots \leq x^T * x$
by (*metis assms(2) comp-right-one mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone*
vector-covector)
also have $\dots \leq 1$
by (*simp add: assms(1)*)
finally show $(x \sqcap y)^T * \text{top} * (x \sqcap y) \leq 1$
 \cdot
show $\text{top} * (x \sqcap y) * \text{top} = \text{top}$
by (*metis assms inf-top-right inf-vector-comp mult-assoc*)
qed

lemma *univalent-power-closed*:
univalent $x \implies \text{univalent } (x \hat{\ } n)$
apply (*rule monoid-power-closed*)
using *univalent-mult-closed* **by** *auto*

lemma *injective-power-closed*:
injective $x \implies \text{injective } (x \hat{\ } n)$
apply (*rule monoid-power-closed*)
using *injective-mult-closed* **by** *auto*

lemma *mapping-power-closed*:
mapping $x \implies \text{mapping } (x \hat{\ } n)$
apply (*rule monoid-power-closed*)
using *mapping-mult-closed* **by** *auto*

lemma *bijective-power-closed*:
bijective $x \implies \text{bijective } (x \hat{\ } n)$

apply (*rule monoid-power-closed*)
using *bijjective-mult-closed* **by** *auto*

lemma *power-conv-commute*:
 $x^T \hat{\ } n = (x \hat{\ } n)^T$
proof (*induct n*)
case *0*
thus *?case*
by *simp*
next
case (*Suc n*)
thus *?case*
using *conv-dist-comp power-Suc2* **by** *force*
qed

A relation is a permutation if and only if it has a left inverse and a right inverse.

lemma *invertible-total*:
assumes $\exists z . 1 \leq x * z$
shows *total x*
proof –
from *assms* **obtain** *z* **where** $1 \leq x * z$
by *auto*
hence $top \leq x * z * top$
using *mult-isotone* **by** *fastforce*
also have $\dots \leq x * top$
by (*simp add: mult-right-isotone mult-assoc*)
finally show *?thesis*
using *top-le* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *invertible-surjective*:
assumes $\exists y . 1 \leq y * x$
shows *surjective x*
proof –
from *assms* **obtain** *y* **where** $1 \leq y * x$
by *auto*
hence $top \leq top * y * x$
using *mult-right-isotone mult-assoc* **by** *fastforce*
also have $\dots \leq top * x$
by (*simp add: mult-left-isotone*)
finally show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: top-le*)
qed

lemma *invertible-univalent*:
assumes $\exists y . y * x = 1$
and $\exists z . x * z = 1$
shows *univalent x*

proof –
from *assms* **obtain** y **where** $1: y * x = 1$
by *auto*
from *assms* **obtain** z **where** $2: x * z = 1$
by *auto*
have $y = y * x * z$
using 2 *comp-associative comp-right-one* **by** *force*
also have $\dots = z$
using 1 **by** *auto*
finally have $3: y = z$
·
hence *total* z
using 1 *invertible-total* **by** *blast*
hence $x \leq x * z * z^T$
using *mult-right-isotone total-var mult-assoc* **by** *fastforce*
also have $\dots = z^T$
using 2 **by** *auto*
finally have $4: x \leq z^T$
·
have *total* x
using 2 *invertible-total* **by** *blast*
hence $z \leq z * x * x^T$
using *comp-associative mult-right-isotone total-var* **by** *fastforce*
also have $\dots = x^T$
using 1 3 **by** *auto*
finally have $z \leq x^T$
·
hence $z = x^T$
using 4 *conv-order* **by** *force*
thus *?thesis*
using 1 3 **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *invertible-injective*:
assumes $\exists y . y * x = 1$
and $\exists z . x * z = 1$
shows *injective* x
by (*metis assms invertible-univalent conv-dist-comp conv-involutive mult-left-one*)

lemma *invertible-mapping*:
assumes $\exists y . y * x = 1$
and $\exists z . x * z = 1$
shows *mapping* x
using *assms invertible-total invertible-univalent dual-order.eq-iff* **by** *auto*

lemma *invertible-bijective*:
assumes $\exists y . y * x = 1$

```

    and  $\exists z . x * z = 1$ 
    shows bijjective  $x$ 
    using assms invertible-injective invertible-surjective by blast

```

```
end
```

4.2 Single-Object Pseudocomplemented Distributive Allegories

We extend single-object bounded distributive allegories by a pseudocomplement operation. The following definitions concern properties of relations that require a pseudocomplement.

```

class relation-algebra-signature = bounded-distrib-allegory-signature + uminus
begin

```

```

abbreviation irreflexive      :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where irreflexive  $x$        $\equiv x \leq -1$ 
abbreviation strict-linear  :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where strict-linear  $x$      $\equiv x \sqcup x^T$ 
= -1

```

```

abbreviation strict-order    :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where strict-order  $x$      $\equiv$ 
irreflexive  $x \wedge$  transitive  $x$ 

```

```

abbreviation linear-strict-order :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where linear-strict-order  $x$   $\equiv$ 
strict-order  $x \wedge$  strict-linear  $x$ 

```

The following variants are useful for the graph model.

```

abbreviation pp-mapping        :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where pp-mapping  $x$        $\equiv$ 
univalent  $x \wedge$  total  $(--x)$ 

```

```

abbreviation pp-bijjective     :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where pp-bijjective  $x$      $\equiv$ 
injective  $x \wedge$  surjective  $(--x)$ 

```

```

abbreviation pp-point          :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where pp-point  $x$          $\equiv$  vector
x  $\wedge$  pp-bijjective  $x$ 

```

```

abbreviation pp-arc            :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where pp-arc  $x$            $\equiv$ 
pp-bijjective  $(x * top) \wedge$  pp-bijjective  $(x^T * top)$ 

```

```
end
```

```

class pd-allegory = bounded-distrib-allegory + p-algebra
begin

```

```

subclass relation-algebra-signature .

```

```

subclass pd-algebra ..

```

```

lemma conv-complement-1:

```

$$-(x^T) \sqcup (-x)^T = (-x)^T$$

```

by (metis conv-dist-inf conv-order bot-least conv-involutive pseudo-complement
sup.absorb2 sup.cobounded2)

```

lemma *conv-complement*:

$$(-x)^T = -(x^T)$$

by (*metis conv-complement-1 conv-dist-sup conv-involutive sup-commute*)

lemma *conv-complement-sub-inf* [*simp*]:

$$x^T * -(x * y) \sqcap y = \text{bot}$$

by (*metis comp-left-zero conv-dist-comp conv-involutive dedekind-1 inf-import-p inf-p inf-right-idem ppp pseudo-complement regular-closed-bot*)

lemma *conv-complement-sub-leq*:

$$x^T * -(x * y) \leq -y$$

using *pseudo-complement conv-complement-sub-inf* **by** *blast*

lemma *conv-complement-sub* [*simp*]:

$$x^T * -(x * y) \sqcup -y = -y$$

by (*simp add: conv-complement-sub-leq sup.absorb2*)

lemma *complement-conv-sub*:

$$-(y * x) * x^T \leq -y$$

by (*metis conv-complement conv-complement-sub-leq conv-order conv-dist-comp*)

The following so-called Schröder equivalences, or De Morgan's Theorem K, hold only with a pseudocomplemented element on both right-hand sides.

lemma *schroeder-3-p*:

$$x * y \leq -z \iff x^T * z \leq -y$$

using *pseudo-complement schroeder-1* **by** *auto*

lemma *schroeder-4-p*:

$$x * y \leq -z \iff z * y^T \leq -x$$

using *pseudo-complement schroeder-2* **by** *auto*

lemma *comp-pp-semi-commute*:

$$x * --y \leq --(x * y)$$

using *conv-complement-sub-leq schroeder-3-p* **by** *fastforce*

The following result looks similar to a property of (anti)domain.

lemma *p-comp-pp* [*simp*]:

$$-(x * --y) = -(x * y)$$

using *comp-pp-semi-commute comp-right-isotone order.eq-iff p-antitone pp-increasing* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *pp-comp-semi-commute*:

$$--x * y \leq --(x * y)$$

using *complement-conv-sub schroeder-4-p* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *p-pp-comp* [*simp*]:

$$-(--x * y) = -(x * y)$$

using *pp-comp-semi-commute comp-left-isotone order.eq-iff p-antitone pp-increasing* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *pp-comp-subdist*:

$$--x * --y \leq --(x * y)$$

by (*simp add: p-antitone-iff*)

lemma *theorem24xxiii*:

$$x * y \sqcap -(x * z) = x * (y \sqcap -z) \sqcap -(x * z)$$

proof –

have $x * y \sqcap -(x * z) \leq x * (y \sqcap (x^T * -(x * z)))$

by (*simp add: dedekind-1*)

also have $\dots \leq x * (y \sqcap -z)$

using *comp-right-isotone conv-complement-sub-leq inf.sup-right-isotone* **by**

auto

finally show *?thesis*

using *comp-right-subdist-inf order.antisym inf.coboundedI2 inf commute* **by**

auto

qed

Even in Stone relation algebras, we do not obtain the backward implication in the following result.

lemma *vector-complement-closed*:

$$\text{vector } x \implies \text{vector } (-x)$$

by (*metis complement-conv-sub conv-top order.eq-iff top-right-mult-increasing*)

lemma *covector-complement-closed*:

$$\text{covector } x \implies \text{covector } (-x)$$

by (*metis conv-complement-sub-leq conv-top order.eq-iff top-left-mult-increasing*)

lemma *covector-vector-comp*:

$$\text{vector } v \implies -v^T * v = \text{bot}$$

by (*metis conv-bot conv-complement conv-complement-sub-inf conv-dist-comp conv-involutive inf-top.right-neutral*)

lemma *irreflexive-bot-closed*:

$$\text{irreflexive bot}$$

by *simp*

lemma *irreflexive-inf-closed*:

$$\text{irreflexive } x \implies \text{irreflexive } (x \sqcap y)$$

by (*simp add: le-infI1*)

lemma *irreflexive-sup-closed*:

$$\text{irreflexive } x \implies \text{irreflexive } y \implies \text{irreflexive } (x \sqcup y)$$

by *simp*

lemma *irreflexive-conv-closed*:

$$\text{irreflexive } x \implies \text{irreflexive } (x^T)$$

using *conv-complement conv-isotone* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *reflexive-complement-irreflexive:*

reflexive $x \implies$ *irreflexive* $(-x)$

by (*simp add: p-antitone*)

lemma *irreflexive-complement-reflexive:*

irreflexive $x \iff$ *reflexive* $(-x)$

by (*simp add: p-antitone-iff*)

lemma *symmetric-complement-closed:*

symmetric $x \implies$ *symmetric* $(-x)$

by (*simp add: conv-complement*)

lemma *asymmetric-irreflexive:*

asymmetric $x \implies$ *irreflexive* x

by (*metis inf.mult-not-zero inf.left-commute inf.right-idem
inf.sup-monoid.add-commute pseudo-complement one-inf-conv*)

lemma *linear-asymmetric:*

linear $x \implies$ *asymmetric* $(-x)$

using *conv-complement p-top* **by** *force*

lemma *strict-linear-sup-closed:*

strict-linear $x \implies$ *strict-linear* $y \implies$ *strict-linear* $(x \sqcup y)$

by (*metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) conv-dist-sup sup.right-idem sup-assoc
sup-commute*)

lemma *strict-linear-irreflexive:*

strict-linear $x \implies$ *irreflexive* x

using *sup-left-divisibility* **by** *blast*

lemma *strict-linear-conv-closed:*

strict-linear $x \implies$ *strict-linear* (x^T)

by (*simp add: sup-commute*)

lemma *strict-order-var:*

strict-order $x \iff$ *asymmetric* $x \wedge$ *transitive* x

by (*metis asymmetric-irreflexive comp-right-one irreflexive-conv-closed
conv-dist-comp dual-order.trans pseudo-complement schroeder-3-p*)

lemma *strict-order-bot-closed:*

strict-order *bot*

by *simp*

lemma *strict-order-inf-closed:*

strict-order $x \implies$ *strict-order* $y \implies$ *strict-order* $(x \sqcap y)$

using *inf.coboundedI1 transitive-inf-closed* **by** *auto*

lemma *strict-order-conv-closed:*

strict-order $x \implies$ *strict-order* (x^T)

using *irreflexive-conv-closed transitive-conv-closed* by *blast*

lemma *order-strict-order*:

assumes *order x*

shows *strict-order (x \sqcap -1)*

proof (*rule conjI*)

show *1: irreflexive (x \sqcap -1)*

by *simp*

have *antisymmetric (x \sqcap -1)*

using *antisymmetric-inf-closed assms* by *blast*

hence $(x \sqcap -1) * (x \sqcap -1) \sqcap 1 \leq (x \sqcap -1 \sqcap (x \sqcap -1)^T) * (x \sqcap -1 \sqcap (x \sqcap -1)^T)$

using *1* by (*metis (no-types) coreflexive-symmetric irreflexive-inf-closed coreflexive-transitive dedekind-1 inf-idem mult-1-right semiring.mult-not-zero strict-order-var*)

also have $\dots = (x \sqcap x^T \sqcap -1) * (x \sqcap x^T \sqcap -1)$

by (*simp add: conv-complement conv-dist-inf inf.absorb2*

inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc)

also have $\dots = \text{bot}$

using *assms order.antisym reflexive-conv-closed* by *fastforce*

finally have $(x \sqcap -1) * (x \sqcap -1) \leq -1$

using *le-bot pseudo-complement* by *blast*

thus *transitive (x \sqcap -1)*

by (*meson assms comp-isotone inf.boundedI inf.cobounded1*

inf.order-lesseq-imp)

qed

lemma *strict-order-order*:

strict-order x \implies order (x \sqcup 1)

apply (*unfold strict-order-var, intro conjI*)

apply *simp*

apply (*simp add: mult-left-dist-sup mult-right-dist-sup sup.absorb2*)

using *conv-dist-sup coreflexive-bot-closed sup.absorb2 sup-inf-distrib2* by *fastforce*

lemma *linear-strict-order-conv-closed*:

linear-strict-order x \implies linear-strict-order (x^T)

by (*simp add: irreflexive-conv-closed sup-monoid.add-commute transitive-conv-closed*)

lemma *linear-order-strict-order*:

linear-order x \implies linear-strict-order (x \sqcap -1)

apply (*rule conjI*)

using *order-strict-order* **apply** *simp*

by (*metis conv-complement conv-dist-inf coreflexive-symmetric order.eq-iff inf.absorb2 inf.distrib-left inf.sup-monoid.add-commute top.extremum*)

lemma *regular-conv-closed*:

regular x \implies regular (x^T)

by (*metis conv-complement*)

We show a number of facts about equivalences.

lemma *equivalence-comp-left-complement*:
equivalence $x \implies x * -x = -x$
apply (*rule order.antisym*)
apply (*metis conv-complement-sub-leq preorder-idempotent*)
using *mult-left-isotone* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *equivalence-comp-right-complement*:
equivalence $x \implies -x * x = -x$
by (*metis equivalence-comp-left-complement conv-complement conv-dist-comp*)

The pseudocomplement of tests is given by the following operation.

abbreviation *coreflexive-complement* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a$ (*-''* [80] 80)
where $x' \equiv -x \sqcap 1$

lemma *coreflexive-comp-top-coreflexive-complement*:
coreflexive $x \implies (x * \text{top})' = x'$
by (*metis coreflexive-comp-top-inf-one inf commute inf-import-p*)

lemma *coreflexive-comp-inf-complement*:
coreflexive $x \implies (x * y) \sqcap -z = (x * y) \sqcap -(x * z)$
by (*metis coreflexive-comp-top-inf inf.sup-relative-same-increasing inf-import-p inf-le1*)

lemma *double-coreflexive-complement*:
 $x'' = (-x)'$
using *inf.sup-monoid.add-commute inf-import-p* **by** *auto*

lemma *coreflexive-pp-dist-comp*:
assumes *coreflexive* x
and *coreflexive* y
shows $(x * y)'' = x'' * y''$
proof –
have $(x * y)'' = --(x * y) \sqcap 1$
by (*simp add: double-coreflexive-complement*)
also have $\dots = --x \sqcap --y \sqcap 1$
by (*simp add: assms coreflexive-comp-inf*)
also have $\dots = (--x \sqcap 1) * (--y \sqcap 1)$
by (*simp add: coreflexive-comp-inf inf.left-commute inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc*)
also have $\dots = x'' * y''$
by (*simp add: double-coreflexive-complement*)
finally show *?thesis*

qed

lemma *coreflexive-pseudo-complement*:
coreflexive $x \implies x \sqcap y = \text{bot} \iff x \leq y'$

by (*simp add: pseudo-complement*)

lemma *pp-bijective-conv-mapping*:

pp-bijective $x \longleftrightarrow$ *pp-mapping* (x^T)

by (*simp add: conv-complement surjective-conv-total*)

lemma *pp-arc-expanded*:

pp-arc $x \longleftrightarrow x * top * x^T \leq 1 \wedge x^T * top * x \leq 1 \wedge top * \neg\neg x * top = top$

proof

assume 1: *pp-arc* x

have 2: $x * top * x^T \leq 1$

using 1 by (*metis comp-associative conv-dist-comp equivalence-top-closed vector-top-closed*)

have 3: $x^T * top * x \leq 1$

using 1 by (*metis conv-dist-comp conv-involutive equivalence-top-closed vector-top-closed mult-assoc*)

have 4: $x^T \leq x^T * x * x^T$

by (*metis conv-involutive ex231c*)

have $top = \neg\neg(top * x) * top$

using 1 by (*metis conv-complement conv-dist-comp conv-involutive equivalence-top-closed*)

also have $\dots \leq \neg\neg(top * x^T * top * x) * top$

using 1 by (*metis eq-refl mult-assoc p-comp-pp p-pp-comp*)

also have $\dots = (top * \neg\neg(x * top) \sqcap \neg\neg(top * x^T * top * x)) * top$

using 1 by *simp*

also have $\dots = top * (\neg\neg(x * top) \sqcap \neg\neg(top * x^T * top * x)) * top$

by (*simp add: covector-complement-closed covector-comp-inf covector-mult-closed*)

also have $\dots = top * \neg\neg(x * top \sqcap top * x^T * top * x) * top$

by *simp*

also have $\dots = top * \neg\neg(x * top * x^T * top * x) * top$

by (*metis comp-associative comp-inf-covector inf-top.left-neutral*)

also have $\dots \leq top * \neg\neg(x * top * x^T * x * x^T * top * x) * top$

using 4 by (*metis comp-associative comp-left-isotone comp-right-isotone pp-isotone*)

also have $\dots \leq top * \neg\neg(x * x^T * top * x) * top$

using 2 by (*metis comp-associative comp-left-isotone comp-right-isotone pp-isotone comp-left-one*)

also have $\dots \leq top * \neg\neg x * top$

using 3 by (*metis comp-associative comp-left-isotone comp-right-isotone pp-isotone comp-right-one*)

finally show $x * top * x^T \leq 1 \wedge x^T * top * x \leq 1 \wedge top * \neg\neg x * top = top$

using 2 3 *top-le* by *blast*

next

assume $x * top * x^T \leq 1 \wedge x^T * top * x \leq 1 \wedge top * \neg\neg x * top = top$

thus *pp-arc* x

apply (*intro conjI*)

apply (*metis comp-associative conv-dist-comp equivalence-top-closed vector-top-closed*)

apply (*metis comp-associative mult-right-isotone top-le
pp-comp-semi-commute*)
apply (*metis conv-dist-comp coreflexive-symmetric vector-conv-covector
vector-top-closed mult-assoc*)
by (*metis conv-complement conv-dist-comp equivalence-top-closed inf.orderE
inf-top.left-neutral mult-right-isotone pp-comp-semi-commute*)
qed

The following operation represents states with infinite executions of non-strict computations.

abbreviation $N :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$
where $N x \equiv \neg(\neg x * top) \sqcap 1$

lemma *N-comp*:
 $N x * y = \neg(\neg x * top) \sqcap y$
by (*simp add: vector-mult-closed vector-complement-closed vector-inf-one-comp*)

lemma *N-comp-top [simp]*:
 $N x * top = \neg(\neg x * top)$
by (*simp add: N-comp*)

lemma *vector-N-pp*:
 $vector\ x \Longrightarrow N x = \neg\neg x \sqcap 1$
by (*simp add: vector-complement-closed*)

lemma *N-vector-pp [simp]*:
 $N (x * top) = \neg\neg(x * top) \sqcap 1$
by (*simp add: comp-associative vector-complement-closed*)

lemma *N-vector-top-pp [simp]*:
 $N (x * top) * top = \neg\neg(x * top)$
by (*metis N-comp-top comp-associative vector-top-closed
vector-complement-closed*)

lemma *N-below-inf-one-pp*:
 $N x \leq \neg\neg x \sqcap 1$
using *inf.sup-left-isotone p-antitone top-right-mult-increasing by auto*

lemma *N-below-pp*:
 $N x \leq \neg\neg x$
using *N-below-inf-one-pp by auto*

lemma *N-comp-N*:
 $N x * N y = \neg(\neg x * top) \sqcap \neg(\neg y * top) \sqcap 1$
by (*simp add: N-comp inf.mult-assoc*)

lemma *N-bot [simp]*:
 $N bot = bot$
by *simp*

lemma *N-top* [*simp*]:

$N \text{ top} = 1$

by *simp*

lemma *n-split-omega-mult-pp*:

$xs * --xo = xo \implies \text{vector } xo \implies N \text{ top} * xo = xs * N \text{ xo} * \text{top}$

by (*metis N-top N-vector-top-pp comp-associative comp-left-one*)

Many of the following results have been derived for verifying Prim's minimum spanning tree algorithm.

lemma *ee*:

assumes *vector v*

and $e \leq v * -v^T$

shows $e * e = \text{bot}$

proof –

have $e * v \leq \text{bot}$

by (*metis assms covector-vector-comp comp-associative mult-left-isotone mult-right-zero*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*metis assms(2) bot-unique comp-associative mult-right-isotone semiring.mult-not-zero*)

qed

lemma *et*:

assumes *vector v*

and $e \leq v * -v^T$

and $t \leq v * v^T$

shows $e * t = \text{bot}$

and $e * t^T = \text{bot}$

proof –

have $e * t \leq v * -v^T * v * v^T$

using *assms(2-3) comp-isotone mult-assoc* **by** *fastforce*

thus $e * t = \text{bot}$

by (*simp add: assms(1) covector-vector-comp le-bot mult-assoc*)

next

have $t^T \leq v * v^T$

using *assms(3) conv-order conv-dist-comp* **by** *fastforce*

hence $e * t^T \leq v * -v^T * v * v^T$

by (*metis assms(2) comp-associative comp-isotone*)

thus $e * t^T = \text{bot}$

by (*simp add: assms(1) covector-vector-comp le-bot mult-assoc*)

qed

lemma *ve-dist*:

assumes $e \leq v * -v^T$

and *vector v*

and *arc e*

shows $(v \sqcup e^T * \text{top}) * (v \sqcup e^T * \text{top})^T = v * v^T \sqcup v * v^T * e \sqcup e^T * v * v^T$

$\sqcup e^T * e$
proof –
have $e \leq v * top$
using *assms(1) comp-right-isotone dual-order.trans top-greatest* **by** *blast*
hence $v * top * e = v * top * (v * top \sqcap e)$
by (*simp add: inf.absorb2*)
also have $\dots = (v * top \sqcap top * v^T) * e$
using *assms(2) covector-inf-comp-3 vector-conv-covector* **by** *force*
also have $\dots = v * top * v^T * e$
by (*metis assms(2) inf-top-right vector-inf-comp*)
also have $\dots = v * v^T * e$
by (*simp add: assms(2)*)
finally have 1: $v * top * e = v * v^T * e$

have $e^T * top * e \leq e^T * top * e * e^T * e$
using *ex231c comp-associative mult-right-isotone* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots \leq e^T * e$
by (*metis assms(3) coreflexive-comp-top-inf le-infE mult-semi-associative point-injective*)
finally have 2: $e^T * top * e = e^T * e$
by (*simp add: order.antisym mult-left-isotone top-right-mult-increasing*)
have $(v \sqcup e^T * top) * (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T = (v \sqcup e^T * top) * (v^T \sqcup top * e)$
by (*simp add: conv-dist-comp conv-dist-sup*)
also have $\dots = v * v^T \sqcup v * top * e \sqcup e^T * top * v^T \sqcup e^T * top * top * e$
by (*metis semiring.distrib-left semiring.distrib-right sup-assoc mult-assoc*)
also have $\dots = v * v^T \sqcup v * top * e \sqcup (v * top * e)^T \sqcup e^T * top * e$
by (*simp add: comp-associative conv-dist-comp*)
also have $\dots = v * v^T \sqcup v * v^T * e \sqcup (v * v^T * e)^T \sqcup e^T * e$
using 1 2 **by** *simp*
finally show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: comp-associative conv-dist-comp*)
qed

lemma *ev:*

vector v $\implies e \leq v * -v^T \implies e * v = bot$
by (*metis covector-vector-comp order.antisym bot-least comp-associative mult-left-isotone mult-right-zero*)

lemma *vTeT:*

vector v $\implies e \leq v * -v^T \implies v^T * e^T = bot$
using *conv-bot ev conv-dist-comp* **by** *fastforce*

The following result is used to show that the while-loop of Prim's algorithm preserves that the constructed tree is a subgraph of g .

lemma *prim-subgraph-inv:*

assumes $e \leq v * -v^T \sqcap g$
and $t \leq v * v^T \sqcap g$
shows $t \sqcup e \leq ((v \sqcup e^T * top) * (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T) \sqcap g$
proof (*rule sup-least*)

have $t \leq ((v \sqcup e^T * top) * v^T) \sqcap g$
using *assms(2) le-supI1 mult-right-dist-sup by auto*
also have $\dots \leq ((v \sqcup e^T * top) * (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T) \sqcap g$
using *comp-right-isotone conv-dist-sup inf.sup-left-isotone by auto*
finally show $t \leq ((v \sqcup e^T * top) * (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T) \sqcap g$
 \cdot
next
have $e \leq v * top$
by (*meson assms(1) inf.boundedE mult-right-isotone order.trans top.extremum*)
hence $e \leq v * top \sqcap top * e$
by (*simp add: top-left-mult-increasing*)
also have $\dots = v * top * e$
by (*metis inf-top-right vector-export-comp*)
finally have $e \leq v * top * e \sqcap g$
using *assms(1) by auto*
also have $\dots = v * (e^T * top)^T \sqcap g$
by (*simp add: comp-associative conv-dist-comp*)
also have $\dots \leq v * (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g$
by (*simp add: conv-dist-sup mult-left-dist-sup sup.assoc sup.orderI*)
also have $\dots \leq (v \sqcup e^T * top) * (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g$
using *inf.sup-left-isotone mult-right-sub-dist-sup-left by auto*
finally show $e \leq ((v \sqcup e^T * top) * (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T) \sqcap g$
 \cdot
qed

The following result shows how to apply the Schröder equivalence to the middle factor in a composition of three relations. Again the elements on the right-hand side need to be pseudocomplemented.

lemma *triple-schroeder-p:*

$$x * y * z \leq -w \iff x^T * w * z^T \leq -y$$

using *mult-assoc p-antitone-iff schroeder-3-p schroeder-4-p by auto*

The rotation versions of the Schröder equivalences continue to hold, again with pseudocomplemented elements on the right-hand side.

lemma *schroeder-5-p:*

$$x * y \leq -z \iff y * z^T \leq -x^T$$

using *schroeder-3-p schroeder-4-p by auto*

lemma *schroeder-6-p:*

$$x * y \leq -z \iff z^T * x \leq -y^T$$

using *schroeder-3-p schroeder-4-p by auto*

lemma *vector-conv-compl:*

$$\text{vector } v \implies top * -v^T = -v^T$$

by (*simp add: covector-complement-closed vector-conv-covector*)

Composition commutes, relative to the diversity relation.

lemma *comp-commute-below-diversity:*

$x * y \leq -1 \iff y * x \leq -1$
by (*metis comp-right-one conv-dist-comp conv-one schroeder-3-p schroeder-4-p*)

lemma *comp-injective-below-complement*:
injective y $\implies -x * y \leq -(x * y)$
by (*metis p-antitone-iff comp-associative comp-right-isotone comp-right-one schroeder-4-p*)

lemma *comp-univalent-below-complement*:
univalent x $\implies x * -y \leq -(x * y)$
by (*metis p-inf pseudo-complement semiring.mult-zero-right univalent-comp-left-dist-inf*)

Bijjective relations and mappings can be exported from a pseudocomplement.

lemma *comp-bijjective-complement*:
bijjective y $\implies -x * y = -(x * y)$
using *comp-injective-below-complement complement-conv-sub order.antisym shunt-bijjective* **by** *blast*

lemma *comp-mapping-complement*:
mapping x $\implies x * -y = -(x * y)$
by (*metis (full-types) comp-bijjective-complement conv-complement conv-dist-comp conv-involutive total-conv-surjective*)

The following facts are used in the correctness proof of Kruskal's minimum spanning tree algorithm.

lemma *kruskal-injective-inv*:
assumes *injective f*
and *covector q*
and $q * f^T \leq q$
and $e \leq q$
and $q * f^T \leq -e$
and *injective e*
and $q^T * q \sqcap f^T * f \leq 1$
shows *injective* $((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T \sqcup e)$
proof –
have $1: (f \sqcap -q) * (f \sqcap -q)^T \leq 1$
by (*simp add: assms(1) injective-inf-closed*)
have $2: (f \sqcap -q) * (f \sqcap q) \leq 1$
proof –
have $21: bot = q * f^T \sqcap -q$
by (*metis assms(3) inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc inf.sup-right-divisibility inf-import-p inf-p*)
have $(f \sqcap -q) * (f \sqcap q) \leq -q * f \sqcap q$
by (*metis assms(2) comp-inf-covector comp-isotone inf.cobounded2 inf.left-idem*)
also have $\dots = bot$
using 21 *schroeder-2* **by** *auto*

finally show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: bot-unique*)
qed
have 3: $(f \sqcap -q) * e^T \leq 1$
proof –
have $(f \sqcap -q) * e^T \leq -q * e^T$
by (*simp add: mult-left-isotone*)
also have ... = *bot*
by (*metis assms(2,4) bot-unique conv-bot conv-complement*
covector-complement-closed p-antitone p-bot regular-closed-bot schroeder-5-p)
finally show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: bot-unique*)
qed
have 4: $(f \sqcap q)^T * (f \sqcap -q)^T \leq 1$
using 2 *conv-dist-comp conv-isotone* **by force**
have 5: $(f \sqcap q)^T * (f \sqcap q) \leq 1$
proof –
have $(f \sqcap q)^T * (f \sqcap q) \leq q^T * q \sqcap f^T * f$
by (*simp add: conv-isotone mult-isotone*)
also have ... ≤ 1
by (*simp add: assms(7)*)
finally show *?thesis*
by *simp*
qed
have 6: $(f \sqcap q)^T * e^T \leq 1$
proof –
have $f^T * e^T \leq -q^T$
using *assms(5) schroeder-5-p* **by** *simp*
hence $(f \sqcap q)^T * e^T = \text{bot}$
by (*metis assms(2,5) conv-bot conv-dist-comp covector-comp-inf inf.absorb1*
inf.cobounded2 inf.sup-monoid.add-commute inf-left-commute inf-p schroeder-4-p)
thus *?thesis*
by (*simp add: bot-unique*)
qed
have 7: $e * (f \sqcap -q)^T \leq 1$
using 3 *conv-dist-comp coreflexive-symmetric* **by** *fastforce*
have 8: $e * (f \sqcap q) \leq 1$
using 6 *conv-dist-comp coreflexive-symmetric* **by** *fastforce*
have 9: $e * e^T \leq 1$
by (*simp add: assms(6)*)
have $((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T \sqcup e) * ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T \sqcup e)^T = (f \sqcap -q) * (f \sqcap -q)^T \sqcup (f \sqcap -q) * (f \sqcap q) \sqcup (f \sqcap -q) * e^T \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T * (f \sqcap -q)^T \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T * (f \sqcap q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T * e^T \sqcup e * (f \sqcap -q)^T \sqcup e * (f \sqcap q) \sqcup e * e^T$
using *comp-left-dist-sup comp-right-dist-sup conv-dist-sup sup.assoc* **by** *simp*
also have ... ≤ 1
using 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 **by** *simp*
finally show *?thesis*
by *simp*
qed

lemma *kruskal-exchange-injective-inv-1*:
assumes *injective f*
and *covector q*
and $q * f^T \leq q$
and $q^T * q \sqcap f^T * f \leq 1$
shows *injective* $((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)$
using *kruskal-injective-inv* [**where** $e = \text{bot}$] **by** (*simp add: assms*)

lemma *kruskal-exchange-acyclic-inv-3*:
assumes *injective w*
and $d \leq w$
shows $(w \sqcap -d) * d^T * \text{top} = \text{bot}$
proof –
have $(w \sqcap -d) * d^T * \text{top} = (w \sqcap -d \sqcap (d^T * \text{top})^T) * \text{top}$
by (*simp add: comp-associative comp-inf-vector-1 conv-dist-comp*)
also have $\dots = (w \sqcap \text{top} * d \sqcap -d) * \text{top}$
by (*simp add: conv-dist-comp inf-commute inf-left-commute*)
finally show *?thesis*
using *assms epm-3* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *kruskal-subgraph-inv*:
assumes $f \leq --(-h \sqcap g)$
and $e \leq --g$
and *symmetric h*
and *symmetric g*
shows $(f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T \sqcup e \leq --(-h \sqcap -e \sqcap -e^T) \sqcap g$
proof –
let $?f = (f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T \sqcup e$
let $?h = h \sqcap -e \sqcap -e^T$
have $1: f \sqcap -q \leq -h \sqcap --g$
using *assms(1) inf.coboundedI1* **by** *simp*
have $(f \sqcap q)^T \leq (-h \sqcap --g)^T$
using *assms(1) inf.coboundedI1 conv-isotone* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = -h \sqcap --g$
using *assms(3,4) conv-complement conv-dist-inf* **by** *simp*
finally have $?f \leq (-h \sqcap --g) \sqcup (e \sqcap --g)$
using 1 *assms(2) inf.absorb1 semiring.add-right-mono* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots \leq (-h \sqcup --e) \sqcap --g$
by (*simp add: inf.coboundedI1 le-supI2 pp-increasing*)
also have $\dots \leq -?h \sqcap --g$
using *inf.sup-left-isotone order-trans p-antitone-inf p-supdist-inf* **by** *blast*
finally show $?f \leq --(-?h \sqcap g)$
using *inf-pp-semi-commute order-lesseq-imp* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *antisymmetric-inf-diversity*:
antisymmetric x $\implies x \sqcap -1 = x \sqcap -x^T$

by (smt (verit, del-insts) inf.orderE inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc
 inf.sup-monoid.add-commute inf-import-p one-inf-conv)

end

4.3 Stone Relation Algebras

We add *pp-dist-comp* and *pp-one*, which follow in relation algebras but not in the present setting. The main change is that only a Stone algebra is required, not a Boolean algebra.

```
class stone-relation-algebra = pd-allegory + stone-algebra +
  assumes pp-dist-comp :  $--(x * y) = --x * --y$ 
  assumes pp-one [simp]:  $--1 = 1$ 
begin
```

The following property is a simple consequence of the Stone axiom. We cannot hope to remove the double complement in it.

```
lemma conv-complement-0-p [simp]:
   $(-x)^T \sqcup (--x)^T = top$ 
  by (metis conv-top conv-dist-sup stone)
```

```
lemma theorem24xxiv-pp:
   $-(x * y) \sqcup --(x * z) = -(x * (y \sqcap -z)) \sqcup --(x * z)$ 
  by (metis p-dist-inf theorem24xxiii)
```

```
lemma asymmetric-linear:
  asymmetric  $x \longleftrightarrow$  linear  $(-x)$ 
  by (metis conv-complement inf.distrib-left inf-p maddux-3-11-pp p-bot
  p-dist-inf)
```

```
lemma strict-linear-asymmetric:
  strict-linear  $x \implies$  antisymmetric  $(-x)$ 
  by (metis conv-complement eq-refl p-dist-sup pp-one)
```

```
lemma regular-complement-top:
  regular  $x \implies x \sqcup -x = top$ 
  by (metis stone)
```

```
lemma regular-mult-closed:
  regular  $x \implies$  regular  $y \implies$  regular  $(x * y)$ 
  by (simp add: pp-dist-comp)
```

```
lemma regular-one-closed:
  regular 1
  by simp
```

The following variants of total and surjective are useful for graphs.

```
lemma pp-total:
```

total $(--x) \longleftrightarrow -(x*top) = bot$
by (*simp add: dense-pp pp-dist-comp*)

lemma *pp-surjective*:
surjective $(--x) \longleftrightarrow -(top*x) = bot$
by (*metis p-bot p-comp-pp p-top pp-dist-comp*)

Bijjective elements and mappings are necessarily regular, that is, invariant under double-complement. This implies that points are regular. Moreover, also arcs are regular.

lemma *bijjective-regular*:
bijjective $x \implies regular\ x$
by (*metis comp-bijjective-complement mult-left-one regular-one-closed*)

lemma *mapping-regular*:
mapping $x \implies regular\ x$
by (*metis bijjective-regular conv-complement conv-involutive total-conv-surjective*)

lemma *arc-regular*:
assumes *arc* x
shows *regular* x
proof –
have $--x \leq --(x * top \sqcap top * x)$
by (*simp add: pp-isotone top-left-mult-increasing top-right-mult-increasing*)
also have $... = --(x * top) \sqcap --(top * x)$
by *simp*
also have $... = x * top \sqcap top * x$
by (*metis assms bijjective-regular conv-top conv-dist-comp conv-involutive mapping-regular*)
also have $... \leq x * x^T * top * x$
by (*metis comp-associative dedekind-1 inf commute inf-top.right-neutral*)
also have $... \leq x$
by (*metis assms comp-right-one conv-top comp-associative conv-dist-comp conv-involutive mult-right-isotone vector-top-closed*)
finally show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: order.antisym pp-increasing*)
qed

lemma *regular-power-closed*:
regular $x \implies regular\ (x \hat{\ } n)$
apply (*rule monoid-power-closed*)
using *regular-mult-closed* **by** *auto*

end

Every Stone algebra can be expanded to a Stone relation algebra by identifying the semiring and lattice structures and taking identity as converse.

```

sublocale stone-algebra < comp-inf: stone-relation-algebra where one = top
and times = inf and conv = id
proof (unfold-locales, goal-cases)
  case 7
  show ?case by (simp add: inf-commute)
qed (auto simp: inf.assoc inf-sup-distrib2 inf-left-commute)

```

Every bounded linear order can be expanded to a Stone algebra, which can be expanded to a Stone relation algebra by reusing some of the operations. In particular, composition is meet, its identity is *top* and converse is the identity function.

```

class linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion = linorder-stone-algebra-expansion
+ times + conv + one +
  assumes times-def [simp]:  $x * y = \min x y$ 
  assumes conv-def [simp]:  $x^T = x$ 
  assumes one-def [simp]:  $1 = \text{top}$ 
begin

```

```

lemma times-inf [simp]:
   $x * y = x \sqcap y$ 
by simp

```

```

subclass stone-relation-algebra
  apply unfold-locales
  using comp-inf.mult-right-dist-sup inf-commute inf-assoc inf-left-commute
pp-dist-inf min-def by simp-all

```

```

lemma times-dense:
   $x \neq \text{bot} \implies y \neq \text{bot} \implies x * y \neq \text{bot}$ 
  using inf-dense min-inf times-def by presburger

```

end

4.4 Relation Algebras

For a relation algebra, we only require that the underlying lattice is a Boolean algebra. In fact, the only missing axiom is that double-complement is the identity.

```

class relation-algebra = boolean-algebra + stone-relation-algebra
begin

```

```

lemma conv-complement-0 [simp]:
   $x^T \sqcup (-x)^T = \text{top}$ 
by (simp add: conv-complement)

```

We now obtain the original formulations of the Schröder equivalences.

```

lemma schroeder-3:
   $x * y \leq z \iff x^T * -z \leq -y$ 

```

by (*simp add: schroeder-3-p*)

lemma *schroeder-4*:

$x * y \leq z \longleftrightarrow -z * y^T \leq -x$

by (*simp add: schroeder-4-p*)

lemma *theorem24xxiv*:

$-(x * y) \sqcup (x * z) = -(x * (y \sqcap -z)) \sqcup (x * z)$

using *theorem24xxiv-pp* **by** *auto*

lemma *vector-N*:

vector $x \implies N(x) = x \sqcap 1$

by (*simp add: vector-N-pp*)

lemma *N-vector* [*simp*]:

$N(x * top) = x * top \sqcap 1$

by *simp*

lemma *N-vector-top* [*simp*]:

$N(x * top) * top = x * top$

using *N-vector-top-pp* **by** *simp*

lemma *N-below-inf-one*:

$N(x) \leq x \sqcap 1$

using *N-below-inf-one-pp* **by** *simp*

lemma *N-below*:

$N(x) \leq x$

using *N-below-pp* **by** *simp*

lemma *n-split-omega-mult*:

$xs * xo = xo \implies xo * top = xo \implies N(top) * xo = xs * N(xo) * top$

using *n-split-omega-mult-pp* **by** *simp*

lemma *complement-vector*:

vector $v \longleftrightarrow \text{vector } (-v)$

using *vector-complement-closed* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *complement-covector*:

covector $v \longleftrightarrow \text{covector } (-v)$

using *covector-complement-closed* **by** *force*

lemma *triple-schroeder*:

$x * y * z \leq w \longleftrightarrow x^T * -w * z^T \leq -y$

by (*simp add: triple-schroeder-p*)

lemma *schroeder-5*:

$x * y \leq z \longleftrightarrow y * -z^T \leq -x^T$

by (*simp add: conv-complement schroeder-5-p*)

lemma *schroeder-6*:
 $x * y \leq z \iff -z^T * x \leq -y^T$
by (*simp add: conv-complement schroeder-5-p*)

end

We briefly look at the so-called Tarski rule. In some models of Stone relation algebras it only holds for regular elements, so we add this as an assumption.

class *stone-relation-algebra-tarski* = *stone-relation-algebra* +
assumes *tarski*: *regular* $x \implies x \neq \text{bot} \implies \text{top} * x * \text{top} = \text{top}$
begin

We can then show, for example, that every arc is contained in a pseudo-complemented relation or its pseudocomplement.

lemma *arc-in-partition*:

assumes *arc* x
shows $x \leq -y \vee x \leq --y$

proof –

have 1 : $x * \text{top} * x^T \leq 1 \wedge x^T * \text{top} * x \leq 1$
using *assms arc-expanded* **by** *auto*

have $\neg x \leq --y \implies x \leq -y$

proof

assume $\neg x \leq --y$

hence $x \sqcap -y \neq \text{bot}$

using *pseudo-complement* **by** *simp*

hence $\text{top} * (x \sqcap -y) * \text{top} = \text{top}$

using *assms arc-regular tarski* **by** *auto*

hence $x = x \sqcap \text{top} * (x \sqcap -y) * \text{top}$

by *simp*

also have $\dots \leq x \sqcap x * ((x \sqcap -y) * \text{top})^T * (x \sqcap -y) * \text{top}$

by (*metis dedekind-2 inf.cobounded1 inf.boundedI inf-commute mult-assoc inf.absorb2 top.extremum*)

also have $\dots = x \sqcap x * \text{top} * (x^T \sqcap -y^T) * (x \sqcap -y) * \text{top}$

by (*simp add: comp-associative conv-complement conv-dist-comp conv-dist-inf*)

also have $\dots \leq x \sqcap x * \text{top} * x^T * (x \sqcap -y) * \text{top}$

using *inf.sup-right-isotone mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots \leq x \sqcap 1 * (x \sqcap -y) * \text{top}$

using 1 **by** (*metis comp-associative comp-isotone inf.sup-right-isotone mult-1-left mult-semi-associative*)

also have $\dots = x \sqcap (x \sqcap -y) * \text{top}$

by *simp*

also have $\dots \leq (x \sqcap -y) * ((x \sqcap -y)^T * x)$

by (*metis dedekind-1 inf-commute inf-top-right*)

also have $\dots \leq (x \sqcap -y) * (x^T * x)$

by (*simp add: conv-dist-inf mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone*)

also have $\dots \leq (x \sqcap -y) * (x^T * \text{top} * x)$

by (*simp add: mult-assoc mult-right-isotone top-left-mult-increasing*)
 also have $\dots \leq x \sqcap -y$
 using 1 by (*metis mult-right-isotone mult-1-right*)
 finally show $x \leq -y$
 by *simp*
 qed
 thus ?thesis
 by *auto*
 qed

lemma *non-bot-arc-in-partition-xor*:
 assumes *arc x*
 and $x \neq \text{bot}$
 shows $(x \leq -y \wedge \neg x \leq --y) \vee (\neg x \leq -y \wedge x \leq --y)$
proof –
 have $x \leq -y \wedge x \leq --y \longrightarrow \text{False}$
 by (*simp add: assms(2) inf-absorb1 shunting-1-pp*)
 thus ?thesis
 using *assms(1) arc-in-partition* by *auto*
 qed

lemma *point-in-vector-or-pseudo-complement*:
 assumes *point p*
 and *vector v*
 shows $p \leq --v \vee p \leq -v$
proof (*rule disjCI*)
 assume $\neg(p \leq -v)$
 hence $\text{top} * (p \sqcap --v) = \text{top}$
 by (*smt assms bijective-regular regular-closed-inf regular-closed-p shunting-1-pp tarski vector-complement-closed vector-inf-closed vector-mult-closed*)
 thus $p \leq --v$
 by (*metis assms(1) epm-3 inf.absorb-iff1 inf.cobounded1 inf-top.right-neutral*)
 qed

lemma *distinct-points*:
 assumes *point x*
 and *point y*
 and $x \neq y$
 shows $x \sqcap y = \text{bot}$
 by (*metis assms order.antisym comp-bijective-complement inf.sup-monoid.add-commute mult-left-one pseudo-complement regular-one-closed point-in-vector-or-pseudo-complement*)

lemma *point-in-vector-or-complement*:
 assumes *point p*
 and *vector v*
 and *regular v*
 shows $p \leq v \vee p \leq -v$
 using *assms point-in-vector-or-pseudo-complement* by *fastforce*

lemma *point-in-vector-sup*:
assumes *point p*
and *vector v*
and *regular v*
and $p \leq v \sqcup w$
shows $p \leq v \vee p \leq w$
by (*metis assms inf.absorb1 shunting-var-p sup-commute point-in-vector-or-complement*)

lemma *point-atomic-vector*:
assumes *point x*
and *vector y*
and *regular y*
and $y \leq x$
shows $y = x \vee y = \text{bot}$
proof (*cases x ≤ -y*)
case *True*
thus *?thesis*
using *assms(4) inf.absorb2 pseudo-complement by force*
next
case *False*
thus *?thesis*
using *assms point-in-vector-or-pseudo-complement by fastforce*
qed

lemma *point-in-vector-or-complement-2*:
assumes *point x*
and *vector y*
and *regular y*
and $\neg y \leq -x$
shows $x \leq y$
using *assms point-in-vector-or-pseudo-complement p-antitone-iff by fastforce*

The next three lemmas *arc-in-arc-or-complement*, *arc-in-sup-arc* and *different-arc-in-sup-arc* were contributed by Nicolas Robinson-O'Brien.

lemma *arc-in-arc-or-complement*:
assumes *arc x*
and *arc y*
and $\neg x \leq y$
shows $x \leq -y$
using *assms arc-in-partition arc-regular by force*

lemma *arc-in-sup-arc*:
assumes *arc x*
and *arc y*
and $x \leq z \sqcup y$
shows $x \leq z \vee x \leq y$
proof (*cases x ≤ y*)

```

case True
thus ?thesis
  by simp
next
case False
hence  $x \leq -y$ 
  using assms(1,2) arc-in-arc-or-complement by blast
hence  $x \leq -y \sqcap (z \sqcup y)$ 
  using assms(3) by simp
hence  $x \leq z$ 
  by (metis inf.boundedE inf.sup-monoid.add-commute maddux-3-13
sup-commute)
thus ?thesis
  by simp
qed

```

lemma *different-arc-in-sup-arc:*

```

assumes arc x
  and arc y
  and  $x \leq z \sqcup y$ 
  and  $x \neq y$ 
shows  $x \leq z$ 
proof -
  have  $x \leq -y$ 
  using arc-in-arc-or-complement assms(1,2,4) order.eq-iff p-antitone-iff by
blast
  hence  $x \leq -y \sqcap (z \sqcup y)$ 
  using assms arc-in-sup-arc by simp
  thus ?thesis
  by (metis order-lesseq-imp p-inf-sup-below sup-commute)
qed

```

end

class *relation-algebra-tarski* = *relation-algebra* + *stone-relation-algebra-tarski*

Finally, the above axioms of relation algebras do not imply that they contain at least two elements. This is necessary, for example, to show that arcs are not empty.

```

class stone-relation-algebra-consistent = stone-relation-algebra +
  assumes consistent: bot  $\neq$  top
begin

```

lemma *arc-not-bot:*

```

arc x  $\implies$  x  $\neq$  bot
using consistent mult-right-zero by auto

```

lemma *point-not-bot:*

```

point p  $\implies$  p  $\neq$  bot

```

```

    using consistent by force

end

class relation-algebra-consistent = relation-algebra +
stone-relation-algebra-consistent

class stone-relation-algebra-tarski-consistent = stone-relation-algebra-tarski +
stone-relation-algebra-consistent
begin

lemma arc-in-partition-xor:
  arc x  $\implies$  (x  $\leq$  -y  $\wedge$   $\neg$  x  $\leq$  --y)  $\vee$  ( $\neg$  x  $\leq$  -y  $\wedge$  x  $\leq$  --y)
  by (simp add: non-bot-arc-in-partition-xor arc-not-bot)

lemma regular-injective-vector-point-xor-bot:
  assumes regular x
    and vector x
    and injective x
  shows point x  $\longleftrightarrow$  x  $\neq$  bot
  using assms comp-associative consistent tarski by fastforce

end

class relation-algebra-tarski-consistent = relation-algebra +
stone-relation-algebra-tarski-consistent

end

```

5 Subalgebras of Relation Algebras

In this theory we consider the algebraic structure of regular elements, coreflexives, vectors and covectors in Stone relation algebras. These elements form important subalgebras and substructures of relation algebras.

theory *Relation-Subalgebras*

imports *Stone-Algebras.Stone-Construction Relation-Algebras*

begin

The regular elements of a Stone relation algebra form a relation subalgebra.

instantiation *regular* :: (stone-relation-algebra) relation-algebra

begin

lift-definition *times-regular* :: 'a regular \implies 'a regular \implies 'a regular **is** *times*
using *regular-mult-closed regular-closed-p* **by** *blast*

lift-definition *conv-regular* :: 'a regular \Rightarrow 'a regular **is** *conv*
using *conv-complement* **by** *blast*

lift-definition *one-regular* :: 'a regular **is** 1
using *regular-one-closed* **by** *blast*

instance

apply *intro-classes*
apply (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *times-regular.rep-eq* *Rep-regular-inject*
comp-associative)
apply (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *times-regular.rep-eq* *Rep-regular-inject*
mult-right-dist-sup *sup-regular.rep-eq*)
apply (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *times-regular.rep-eq* *Rep-regular-inject*
bot-regular.rep-eq *semiring.mult-zero-left*)
apply (*simp* *add: one-regular.rep-eq* *times-regular.rep-eq*
Rep-regular-inject[THEN sym])
using *Rep-regular-inject* *conv-regular.rep-eq* **apply** *force*
apply (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *Rep-regular-inject* *conv-dist-sup*
conv-regular.rep-eq *sup-regular.rep-eq*)
apply (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *conv-regular.rep-eq* *times-regular.rep-eq*
Rep-regular-inject *conv-dist-comp*)
by (*auto* *simp* *add: conv-regular.rep-eq* *dedekind-1* *inf-regular.rep-eq*
less-eq-regular.rep-eq *times-regular.rep-eq*)

end

The coreflexives (tests) in an idempotent semiring form a bounded idempotent subsemiring.

typedef (**overloaded**) 'a *coreflexive* =
coreflexives::'a::non-associative-left-semiring *set*
by *auto*

lemma *simp-coreflexive* [*simp*]:
 $\exists y . \text{Rep-coreflexive } x \leq 1$
using *Rep-coreflexive* **by** *simp*

setup-lifting *type-definition-coreflexive*

instantiation *coreflexive* :: (*idempotent-semiring*) *bounded-idempotent-semiring*
begin

lift-definition *sup-coreflexive* :: 'a *coreflexive* \Rightarrow 'a *coreflexive* \Rightarrow 'a *coreflexive* **is**
sup
by *simp*

lift-definition *times-coreflexive* :: 'a *coreflexive* \Rightarrow 'a *coreflexive* \Rightarrow 'a *coreflexive* **is**
times
by (*simp* *add: coreflexive-mult-closed*)

```

lift-definition bot-coreflexive :: 'a coreflexive is bot
  by simp

lift-definition one-coreflexive :: 'a coreflexive is 1
  by simp

lift-definition top-coreflexive :: 'a coreflexive is 1
  by simp

lift-definition less-eq-coreflexive :: 'a coreflexive  $\Rightarrow$  'a coreflexive  $\Rightarrow$  bool is
  less-eq .

lift-definition less-coreflexive :: 'a coreflexive  $\Rightarrow$  'a coreflexive  $\Rightarrow$  bool is less .

instance
  apply intro-classes
  apply (simp-all add: less-coreflexive.rep-eq less-eq-coreflexive.rep-eq
  less-le-not-le)[2]
  apply (meson less-eq-coreflexive.rep-eq order-trans)
  apply (simp-all add: Rep-coreflexive-inject bot-coreflexive.rep-eq
  less-eq-coreflexive.rep-eq sup-coreflexive.rep-eq)[5]
  apply (simp add: semiring.distrib-left less-eq-coreflexive.rep-eq
  sup-coreflexive.rep-eq times-coreflexive.rep-eq)
  apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) sup-coreflexive.rep-eq times-coreflexive.rep-eq
  Rep-coreflexive-inject mult-right-dist-sup)
  apply (simp add: times-coreflexive.rep-eq bot-coreflexive.rep-eq
  Rep-coreflexive-inject[THEN sym])
  apply (simp add: one-coreflexive.rep-eq times-coreflexive.rep-eq
  Rep-coreflexive-inject[THEN sym])
  apply (simp add: one-coreflexive.rep-eq less-eq-coreflexive.rep-eq
  times-coreflexive.rep-eq)
  apply (simp only: sup-coreflexive.rep-eq top-coreflexive.rep-eq
  Rep-coreflexive-inject[THEN sym], metis Abs-coreflexive-cases
  Abs-coreflexive-inverse mem-Collect-eq sup.absorb2)
  apply (simp add: less-eq-coreflexive.rep-eq mult.assoc times-coreflexive.rep-eq)
  apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) times-coreflexive.rep-eq Rep-coreflexive-inject
  mult.assoc)
  using Rep-coreflexive-inject one-coreflexive.rep-eq times-coreflexive.rep-eq
apply fastforce
  apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) sup-coreflexive.rep-eq times-coreflexive.rep-eq
  Rep-coreflexive-inject mult-left-dist-sup)
  by (simp add: times-coreflexive.rep-eq bot-coreflexive.rep-eq
  Rep-coreflexive-inject[THEN sym])

end

```

The coreflexives (tests) in a Stone relation algebra form a Stone relation algebra where the pseudocomplement is taken relative to the identity relation and converse is the identity function.

```

instantiation coreflexive :: (stone-relation-algebra) stone-relation-algebra
begin

lift-definition inf-coreflexive :: 'a coreflexive  $\Rightarrow$  'a coreflexive  $\Rightarrow$  'a coreflexive is
inf
  by (simp add: le-infI1)

lift-definition minus-coreflexive :: 'a coreflexive  $\Rightarrow$  'a coreflexive  $\Rightarrow$  'a coreflexive
is  $\lambda x y . x \sqcap -y$ 
  by (simp add: le-infI1)

lift-definition uminus-coreflexive :: 'a coreflexive  $\Rightarrow$  'a coreflexive is  $\lambda x . -x \sqcap 1$ 
  by simp

lift-definition conv-coreflexive :: 'a coreflexive  $\Rightarrow$  'a coreflexive is id
  by simp

instance
  apply intro-classes
  apply (auto simp: inf-coreflexive.rep-eq less-eq-coreflexive.rep-eq)[3]
  apply simp
  apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Rep-coreflexive-inject inf-coreflexive.rep-eq
sup-coreflexive.rep-eq sup-inf-distrib1)
  apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Rep-coreflexive-inject bot-coreflexive.rep-eq
top-greatest coreflexive-pseudo-complement inf-coreflexive.rep-eq
less-eq-coreflexive.rep-eq one-coreflexive.rep-eq one-coreflexive-def
top-coreflexive-def uminus-coreflexive.rep-eq)
  apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Rep-coreflexive-inject maddux-3-21-pp
one-coreflexive.rep-eq one-coreflexive-def pp-dist-inf pp-one regular-closed-p
sup-coreflexive.rep-eq sup-right-top top-coreflexive-def uminus-coreflexive.rep-eq)
  apply (auto simp: mult.assoc mult-right-dist-sup)[4]
  using Rep-coreflexive-inject conv-coreflexive.rep-eq apply fastforce
  apply (metis (mono-tags) Rep-coreflexive-inject conv-coreflexive.rep-eq)
  apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Rep-coreflexive-inject top-greatest
conv-coreflexive.rep-eq coreflexive-commutative less-eq-coreflexive.rep-eq
one-coreflexive.rep-eq one-coreflexive-def times-coreflexive.rep-eq
top-coreflexive-def)
  apply (simp only: conv-coreflexive.rep-eq less-eq-coreflexive.rep-eq
one-coreflexive.rep-eq times-coreflexive.rep-eq inf-coreflexive.rep-eq
Rep-coreflexive-inject[THEN sym], metis coreflexive-dedekind Rep-coreflexive
mem-Collect-eq)
  apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Rep-coreflexive Rep-coreflexive-inject
coreflexive-pp-dist-comp mem-Collect-eq times-coreflexive.rep-eq
uminus-coreflexive.rep-eq)
  by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) Rep-coreflexive-inverse inf commute
inf.idem inf-import-p one-coreflexive.rep-eq pp-one uminus-coreflexive.rep-eq)

end

```

Vectors in a Stone relation algebra form a Stone subalgebra.

typedef (overloaded) 'a vector = vectors::'a::bounded-pre-left-semiring set
using surjective-top-closed **by** blast

lemma simp-vector [simp]:
 $\exists y . \text{Rep-vector } x * \text{top} = \text{Rep-vector } x$
using Rep-vector **by** simp

setup-lifting type-definition-vector

instantiation vector :: (stone-relation-algebra) stone-algebra
begin

lift-definition sup-vector :: 'a vector \Rightarrow 'a vector \Rightarrow 'a vector **is** sup
by (simp add: vector-sup-closed)

lift-definition inf-vector :: 'a vector \Rightarrow 'a vector \Rightarrow 'a vector **is** inf
by (simp add: vector-inf-closed)

lift-definition uminus-vector :: 'a vector \Rightarrow 'a vector **is** uminus
by (simp add: vector-complement-closed)

lift-definition bot-vector :: 'a vector **is** bot
by simp

lift-definition top-vector :: 'a vector **is** top
by simp

lift-definition less-eq-vector :: 'a vector \Rightarrow 'a vector \Rightarrow bool **is** less-eq .

lift-definition less-vector :: 'a vector \Rightarrow 'a vector \Rightarrow bool **is** less .

instance

apply intro-classes
apply (auto simp: Rep-vector-inject top-vector.rep-eq bot-vector.rep-eq
less-le-not-le inf-vector.rep-eq sup-vector.rep-eq less-eq-vector.rep-eq
less-vector.rep-eq)[12]
apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Rep-vector-inject inf-vector.rep-eq
sup-inf-distrib1 sup-vector.rep-eq)
apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Rep-vector-inject bot-vector-def
bot-vector.rep-eq pseudo-complement inf-vector.rep-eq less-eq-vector.rep-eq
uminus-vector.rep-eq)
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) sup-vector.rep-eq uminus-vector.rep-eq
Rep-vector-inverse stone top-vector.abs-eq)

end

Covectors in a Stone relation algebra form a Stone subalgebra.

typedef (overloaded) 'a covector = covectors::'a::bounded-pre-left-semiring set
using surjective-top-closed **by** blast

```

lemma simp-covector [simp]:
   $\exists y . \text{top} * \text{Rep-covector } x = \text{Rep-covector } x$ 
  using Rep-covector by simp

setup-lifting type-definition-covector

instantiation covector :: (stone-relation-algebra) stone-algebra
begin

lift-definition sup-covector :: 'a covector  $\Rightarrow$  'a covector  $\Rightarrow$  'a covector is sup
  by (simp add: covector-sup-closed)

lift-definition inf-covector :: 'a covector  $\Rightarrow$  'a covector  $\Rightarrow$  'a covector is inf
  by (simp add: covector-inf-closed)

lift-definition uminus-covector :: 'a covector  $\Rightarrow$  'a covector is uminus
  by (simp add: covector-complement-closed)

lift-definition bot-covector :: 'a covector is bot
  by simp

lift-definition top-covector :: 'a covector is top
  by simp

lift-definition less-eq-covector :: 'a covector  $\Rightarrow$  'a covector  $\Rightarrow$  bool is less-eq .

lift-definition less-covector :: 'a covector  $\Rightarrow$  'a covector  $\Rightarrow$  bool is less .

instance
  apply intro-classes
  apply (auto simp: Rep-covector-inject less-eq-covector.rep-eq inf-covector.rep-eq
bot-covector.rep-eq top-covector.rep-eq sup-covector.rep-eq less-le-not-le
less-covector.rep-eq)[12]
  apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Rep-covector-inject inf-covector.rep-eq
sup-inf-distrib1 sup-covector.rep-eq)
  apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Rep-covector-inject bot-covector-def
bot-covector.rep-eq pseudo-complement inf-covector.rep-eq less-eq-covector.rep-eq
uminus-covector.rep-eq)
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) sup-covector.rep-eq uminus-covector.rep-eq
Rep-covector-inverse stone top-covector.abs-eq)

end

end

```

6 Matrix Relation Algebras

This theory gives matrix models of Stone relation algebras and more general structures. We consider only square matrices. The main result is that matrices over Stone relation algebras form a Stone relation algebra.

We use the monoid structure underlying semilattices to provide finite sums, which are necessary for defining the composition of two matrices. See [3, 4] for similar liftings to matrices for semirings and relation algebras. A technical difference is that those theories are mostly based on semirings whereas our hierarchy is mostly based on lattices (and our semirings directly inherit from semilattices).

Relation algebras have both a semiring and a lattice structure such that semiring addition and lattice join coincide. In particular, finite sums and finite suprema coincide. Isabelle/HOL has separate theories for semirings and lattices, based on separate addition and join operations and different operations for finite sums and finite suprema. Reusing results from both theories is beneficial for relation algebras, but not always easy to realise.

theory *Matrix-Relation-Algebras*

imports *Relation-Algebras*

begin

6.1 Finite Suprema

We consider finite suprema in idempotent semirings and Stone relation algebras. We mostly use the first of the following notations, which denotes the supremum of expressions $t(x)$ over all x from the type of x . For finite types, this is implemented in Isabelle/HOL as the repeated application of binary suprema.

syntax

-sum-sup-monoid :: *idt* \Rightarrow *'a::bounded-semilattice-sup-bot* \Rightarrow *'a* (\bigsqcup -) [0,10] 10)

-sum-sup-monoid-bounded :: *idt* \Rightarrow *'b set* \Rightarrow *'a::bounded-semilattice-sup-bot* \Rightarrow *'a* (\bigsqcup - \in -) [0,51,10] 10)

translations

$\bigsqcup_x t \Rightarrow XCONST sup-monoid.sum (\lambda x . t) \{ x . CONST True \}$
 $\bigsqcup_{x \in X} t \Rightarrow XCONST sup-monoid.sum (\lambda x . t) X$

context *idempotent-semiring*

begin

The following induction principles are useful for comparing two suprema. The first principle works because types are not empty.

lemma *one-sup-induct* [*case-names one sup*]:

fixes *f g* :: *'b::finite* \Rightarrow *'a*

assumes *one*: $\bigwedge i . P (f i) (g i)$

```

    and sup:  $\bigwedge j I . j \notin I \implies P (\bigsqcup_{i \in I} f i) (\bigsqcup_{i \in I} g i) \implies P (f j \sqcup (\bigsqcup_{i \in I} f i))$ 
    (g j  $\sqcup (\bigsqcup_{i \in I} g i)$ )
    shows P ( $\bigsqcup_k f k$ ) ( $\bigsqcup_k g k$ )
  proof -
    let ?X = { k::'b . True }
    have finite ?X and ?X  $\neq \{\}$ 
    by auto
    thus ?thesis
  proof (induct rule: finite-ne-induct)
    case (singleton i) thus ?case
    using one by simp
  next
    case (insert j I) thus ?case
    using sup by simp
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma bot-sup-induct [case-names bot sup]:
  fixes f g :: 'b::finite  $\Rightarrow$  'a
  assumes bot: P bot bot
  and sup:  $\bigwedge j I . j \notin I \implies P (\bigsqcup_{i \in I} f i) (\bigsqcup_{i \in I} g i) \implies P (f j \sqcup (\bigsqcup_{i \in I} f i))$ 
  (g j  $\sqcup (\bigsqcup_{i \in I} g i)$ )
  shows P ( $\bigsqcup_k f k$ ) ( $\bigsqcup_k g k$ )
  apply (induct rule: one-sup-induct)
  using bot sup apply fastforce
  using sup by blast

```

Now many properties of finite suprema follow by simple applications of the above induction rules. In particular, we show distributivity of composition, isotonicity and the upper-bound property.

```

lemma comp-right-dist-sum:
  fixes f :: 'b::finite  $\Rightarrow$  'a
  shows ( $\bigsqcup_k f k * x$ ) = ( $\bigsqcup_k f k$ ) * x
  proof (induct rule: one-sup-induct)
    case one show ?case
    by simp
  next
    case (sup j I) thus ?case
    using mult-right-dist-sup by auto
  qed

```

```

lemma comp-left-dist-sum:
  fixes f :: 'b::finite  $\Rightarrow$  'a
  shows ( $\bigsqcup_k x * f k$ ) = x * ( $\bigsqcup_k f k$ )
  proof (induct rule: one-sup-induct)
    case one show ?case
    by simp
  next
    case (sup j I) thus ?case

```

by (*simp add: mult-left-dist-sup*)
qed

lemma *leq-sum*:
 fixes $f g :: 'b::\text{finite} \Rightarrow 'a$
 shows $(\forall k . f k \leq g k) \implies (\bigsqcup_k f k) \leq (\bigsqcup_k g k)$
proof (*induct rule: one-sup-induct*)
 case *one* **thus** *?case*
 by *simp*
next
 case (*sup j I*) **thus** *?case*
 using *sup-mono* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *ub-sum*:
 fixes $f :: 'b::\text{finite} \Rightarrow 'a$
 shows $f i \leq (\bigsqcup_k f k)$
proof –
 have $i \in \{ k . \text{True} \}$
 by *simp*
 thus $f i \leq (\bigsqcup_k f (k::'b))$
 by (*metis finite-code sup-monoid.sum.insert sup-ge1 mk-disjoint-insert*)
qed

lemma *lub-sum*:
 fixes $f :: 'b::\text{finite} \Rightarrow 'a$
 assumes $\forall k . f k \leq x$
 shows $(\bigsqcup_k f k) \leq x$
proof (*induct rule: one-sup-induct*)
 case *one* **show** *?case*
 by (*simp add: assms*)
next
 case (*sup j I*) **thus** *?case*
 using *assms le-supI* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *lub-sum-iff*:
 fixes $f :: 'b::\text{finite} \Rightarrow 'a$
 shows $(\forall k . f k \leq x) \longleftrightarrow (\bigsqcup_k f k) \leq x$
 using *order.trans ub-sum lub-sum* **by** *blast*

lemma *sum-const*:
 $(\bigsqcup_{k::'b::\text{finite}} f) = f$
 by (*metis lub-sum sup.cobounded1 sup-monoid.add-0-right sup-same-context ub-sum*)

end

context *stone-relation-algebra*

begin

In Stone relation algebras, we can also show that converse, double complement and meet distribute over finite suprema.

```
lemma conv-dist-sum:
  fixes f :: 'b::finite  $\Rightarrow$  'a
  shows  $(\bigsqcup_k (f k)^T) = (\bigsqcup_k f k)^T$ 
proof (induct rule: one-sup-induct)
  case one show ?case
    by simp
next
  case (sup j I) thus ?case
    by (simp add: conv-dist-sup)
qed
```

```
lemma pp-dist-sum:
  fixes f :: 'b::finite  $\Rightarrow$  'a
  shows  $(\bigsqcup_k --f k) = --(\bigsqcup_k f k)$ 
proof (induct rule: one-sup-induct)
  case one show ?case
    by simp
next
  case (sup j I) thus ?case
    by simp
qed
```

```
lemma inf-right-dist-sum:
  fixes f :: 'b::finite  $\Rightarrow$  'a
  shows  $(\bigsqcup_k f k \sqcap x) = (\bigsqcup_k f k) \sqcap x$ 
  by (rule comp-inf.comp-right-dist-sum)
```

end

6.2 Square Matrices

Because our semiring and relation algebra type classes only work for homogeneous relations, we only look at square matrices.

```
type-synonym ('a,'b) square = 'a  $\times$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b
```

We use standard matrix operations. The Stone algebra structure is lifted componentwise. Composition is matrix multiplication using given composition and supremum operations. Its unit lifts given zero and one elements into an identity matrix. Converse is matrix transpose with an additional componentwise transpose.

```
definition less-eq-matrix :: ('a,'b::ord) square  $\Rightarrow$  ('a,'b) square  $\Rightarrow$  bool
(infix  $\preceq$  50) where  $f \preceq g = (\forall e . f e \leq g e)$ 
definition less-matrix :: ('a,'b::ord) square  $\Rightarrow$  ('a,'b) square  $\Rightarrow$  bool
(infix  $\prec$  50) where  $f \prec g = (f \preceq g \wedge \neg g \preceq f)$ 
```

definition *sup-matrix* :: ('a,'b::sup) square \Rightarrow ('a,'b) square \Rightarrow ('a,'b) square
(infixl \oplus 65) **where** $f \oplus g = (\lambda e . f e \sqcup g e)$
definition *inf-matrix* :: ('a,'b::inf) square \Rightarrow ('a,'b) square \Rightarrow ('a,'b) square
(infixl \otimes 67) **where** $f \otimes g = (\lambda e . f e \sqcap g e)$
definition *minus-matrix* :: ('a,'b::{uminus,inf}) square \Rightarrow ('a,'b) square \Rightarrow
('a,'b) square (infixl \ominus 65) **where** $f \ominus g = (\lambda e . f e \sqcap -g e)$
definition *implies-matrix* :: ('a,'b::implies) square \Rightarrow ('a,'b) square \Rightarrow ('a,'b)
square (infixl \odot 65) **where** $f \odot g = (\lambda e . f e \rightsquigarrow g e)$
definition *times-matrix* :: ('a,'b::{times,bounded-semilattice-sup-bot}) square \Rightarrow
('a,'b) square \Rightarrow ('a,'b) square (infixl \odot 70) **where** $f \odot g = (\lambda(i,j) . \bigsqcup_k f(i,k) * g(k,j))$
definition *uminus-matrix* :: ('a,'b::uminus) square \Rightarrow ('a,'b) square
(\ominus - [80] 80) **where** $\ominus f = (\lambda e . -f e)$
definition *conv-matrix* :: ('a,'b::conv) square \Rightarrow ('a,'b) square
(t [100] 100) **where** $f^t = (\lambda(i,j) . (f(j,i))^T)$
definition *bot-matrix* :: ('a,'b::bot) square
(mbot) **where** mbot = ($\lambda e . bot$)
definition *top-matrix* :: ('a,'b::top) square
(mtop) **where** mtop = ($\lambda e . top$)
definition *one-matrix* :: ('a,'b::{one,bot}) square
(mone) **where** mone = ($\lambda(i,j) . \text{if } i = j \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } bot$)

6.3 Stone Algebras

We first lift the Stone algebra structure. Because all operations are componentwise, this also works for infinite matrices.

interpretation *matrix-order*: order **where** less-eq = less-eq-matrix **and** less = less-matrix :: ('a,'b::order) square \Rightarrow ('a,'b) square \Rightarrow bool
apply unfold-locales
apply (simp add: less-matrix-def)
apply (simp add: less-eq-matrix-def)
apply (meson less-eq-matrix-def order-trans)
by (meson less-eq-matrix-def antisym ext)

interpretation *matrix-semilattice-sup*: semilattice-sup **where** sup = sup-matrix **and** less-eq = less-eq-matrix **and** less = less-matrix :: ('a,'b::semilattice-sup) square \Rightarrow ('a,'b) square \Rightarrow bool
apply unfold-locales
apply (simp add: sup-matrix-def less-eq-matrix-def)
apply (simp add: sup-matrix-def less-eq-matrix-def)
by (simp add: sup-matrix-def less-eq-matrix-def)

interpretation *matrix-semilattice-inf*: semilattice-inf **where** inf = inf-matrix **and** less-eq = less-eq-matrix **and** less = less-matrix :: ('a,'b::semilattice-inf) square \Rightarrow ('a,'b) square \Rightarrow bool
apply unfold-locales
apply (simp add: inf-matrix-def less-eq-matrix-def)
apply (simp add: inf-matrix-def less-eq-matrix-def)
by (simp add: inf-matrix-def less-eq-matrix-def)

interpretation *matrix-bounded-semilattice-sup-bot*: *bounded-semilattice-sup-bot*
where *sup* = *sup-matrix* **and** *less-eq* = *less-eq-matrix* **and** *less* = *less-matrix*
and *bot* = *bot-matrix* :: ('a,'b::bounded-semilattice-sup-bot) *square*
apply *unfold-locales*
by (*simp add: bot-matrix-def less-eq-matrix-def*)

interpretation *matrix-bounded-semilattice-inf-top*: *bounded-semilattice-inf-top*
where *inf* = *inf-matrix* **and** *less-eq* = *less-eq-matrix* **and** *less* = *less-matrix*
and *top* = *top-matrix* :: ('a,'b::bounded-semilattice-inf-top) *square*
apply *unfold-locales*
by (*simp add: less-eq-matrix-def top-matrix-def*)

interpretation *matrix-lattice*: *lattice* **where** *sup* = *sup-matrix* **and** *inf* =
inf-matrix **and** *less-eq* = *less-eq-matrix* **and** *less* = *less-matrix* :: ('a,'b::lattice)
square \Rightarrow ('a,'b) *square* \Rightarrow *bool* ..

interpretation *matrix-distrib-lattice*: *distrib-lattice* **where** *sup* = *sup-matrix*
and *inf* = *inf-matrix* **and** *less-eq* = *less-eq-matrix* **and** *less* = *less-matrix* ::
('a,'b::distrib-lattice) *square* \Rightarrow ('a,'b) *square* \Rightarrow *bool*
apply *unfold-locales*
by (*simp add: sup-inf-distrib1 sup-matrix-def inf-matrix-def*)

interpretation *matrix-bounded-lattice*: *bounded-lattice* **where** *sup* = *sup-matrix*
and *inf* = *inf-matrix* **and** *less-eq* = *less-eq-matrix* **and** *less* = *less-matrix* **and**
bot = *bot-matrix* :: ('a,'b::bounded-lattice) *square* **and** *top* = *top-matrix* ..

interpretation *matrix-bounded-distrib-lattice*: *bounded-distrib-lattice* **where** *sup*
= *sup-matrix* **and** *inf* = *inf-matrix* **and** *less-eq* = *less-eq-matrix* **and** *less* =
less-matrix **and** *bot* = *bot-matrix* :: ('a,'b::bounded-distrib-lattice) *square* **and** *top*
= *top-matrix* ..

interpretation *matrix-p-algebra*: *p-algebra* **where** *sup* = *sup-matrix* **and** *inf* =
inf-matrix **and** *less-eq* = *less-eq-matrix* **and** *less* = *less-matrix* **and** *bot* =
bot-matrix :: ('a,'b::p-algebra) *square* **and** *top* = *top-matrix* **and** *uminus* =
uminus-matrix
apply *unfold-locales*
apply (*unfold inf-matrix-def bot-matrix-def less-eq-matrix-def*
uminus-matrix-def)
by (*meson pseudo-complement*)

interpretation *matrix-pd-algebra*: *pd-algebra* **where** *sup* = *sup-matrix* **and** *inf* =
inf-matrix **and** *less-eq* = *less-eq-matrix* **and** *less* = *less-matrix* **and** *bot* =
bot-matrix :: ('a,'b::pd-algebra) *square* **and** *top* = *top-matrix* **and** *uminus* =
uminus-matrix ..

In particular, matrices over Stone algebras form a Stone algebra.

interpretation *matrix-stone-algebra*: *stone-algebra* **where** *sup* = *sup-matrix*
and *inf* = *inf-matrix* **and** *less-eq* = *less-eq-matrix* **and** *less* = *less-matrix* **and**

bot = *bot-matrix* :: ('a,'b::stone-algebra) square and *top* = *top-matrix* and
uminus = *uminus-matrix*
 by *unfold-locales* (*simp add: sup-matrix-def uminus-matrix-def top-matrix-def*)

interpretation *matrix-heyting-stone-algebra*: *heyting-stone-algebra* where *sup* =
sup-matrix and *inf* = *inf-matrix* and *less-eq* = *less-eq-matrix* and *less* =
less-matrix and *bot* = *bot-matrix* :: ('a,'b::heyting-stone-algebra) square and *top*
 = *top-matrix* and *uminus* = *uminus-matrix* and *implies* = *implies-matrix*
 apply *unfold-locales*
 apply (*unfold inf-matrix-def sup-matrix-def bot-matrix-def top-matrix-def*
less-eq-matrix-def uminus-matrix-def implies-matrix-def)
 apply (*simp add: implies-galois*)
 apply (*simp add: uminus-eq*)
 by *simp*

interpretation *matrix-boolean-algebra*: *boolean-algebra* where *sup* = *sup-matrix*
 and *inf* = *inf-matrix* and *less-eq* = *less-eq-matrix* and *less* = *less-matrix* and
bot = *bot-matrix* :: ('a,'b::boolean-algebra) square and *top* = *top-matrix* and
uminus = *uminus-matrix* and *minus* = *minus-matrix*
 apply *unfold-locales*
 apply *simp*
 apply (*simp add: sup-matrix-def uminus-matrix-def top-matrix-def*)
 by (*simp add: inf-matrix-def uminus-matrix-def minus-matrix-def*)

6.4 Semirings

Next, we lift the semiring structure. Because of composition, this requires a restriction to finite matrices.

interpretation *matrix-monoid*: *monoid-mult* where *times* = *times-matrix* and
one = *one-matrix* :: ('a::finite,'b::idempotent-semiring) square

proof

fix *f g h* :: ('a,'b) square
 show $(f \odot g) \odot h = f \odot (g \odot h)$
 proof (*rule ext, rule prod-cases*)
 fix *i j*
 have $((f \odot g) \odot h) (i,j) = (\bigsqcup_l (f \odot g) (i,l) * h (l,j))$
 by (*simp add: times-matrix-def*)
 also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup_l (\bigsqcup_k f (i,k) * g (k,l)) * h (l,j))$
 by (*simp add: times-matrix-def*)
 also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup_l \bigsqcup_k (f (i,k) * g (k,l)) * h (l,j))$
 by (*metis (no-types) comp-right-dist-sum*)
 also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup_l \bigsqcup_k f (i,k) * (g (k,l) * h (l,j)))$
 by (*simp add: mult.assoc*)
 also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup_k \bigsqcup_l f (i,k) * (g (k,l) * h (l,j)))$
 using *sup-monoid.sum.swap* by *auto*
 also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup_k f (i,k) * (\bigsqcup_l g (k,l) * h (l,j)))$
 by (*metis (no-types) comp-left-dist-sum*)
 also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup_k f (i,k) * (g \odot h) (k,j))$
 by (*simp add: times-matrix-def*)

```

    also have ... = (f ∘ (g ∘ h)) (i,j)
      by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
    finally show ((f ∘ g) ∘ h) (i,j) = (f ∘ (g ∘ h)) (i,j)
      .
  qed
next
fix f :: ('a,'b) square
show mone ∘ f = f
proof (rule ext, rule prod-cases)
  fix i j
  have (mone ∘ f) (i,j) = (⊔k mone (i,k) * f (k,j))
    by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
  also have ... = (⊔k (if i = k then 1 else bot) * f (k,j))
    by (simp add: one-matrix-def)
  also have ... = (⊔k if i = k then 1 * f (k,j) else bot * f (k,j))
    by (metis (full-types, opaque-lifting))
  also have ... = (⊔k if i = k then f (k,j) else bot)
    by (meson mult-left-one mult-left-zero)
  also have ... = f (i,j)
    by simp
  finally show (mone ∘ f) (i,j) = f (i,j)
    .
qed
next
fix f :: ('a,'b) square
show f ∘ mone = f
proof (rule ext, rule prod-cases)
  fix i j
  have (f ∘ mone) (i,j) = (⊔k f (i,k) * mone (k,j))
    by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
  also have ... = (⊔k f (i,k) * (if k = j then 1 else bot))
    by (simp add: one-matrix-def)
  also have ... = (⊔k if k = j then f (i,k) * 1 else f (i,k) * bot)
    by (metis (full-types, opaque-lifting))
  also have ... = (⊔k if k = j then f (i,k) else bot)
    by (meson mult.right-neutral semiring.mult-zero-right)
  also have ... = f (i,j)
    by simp
  finally show (f ∘ mone) (i,j) = f (i,j)
    .
qed
qed

```

interpretation *matrix-idempotent-semiring: idempotent-semiring* **where** *sup = sup-matrix* **and** *less-eq = less-eq-matrix* **and** *less = less-matrix* **and** *bot = bot-matrix* :: ('a::finite,'b::idempotent-semiring) square **and** *one = one-matrix* **and** *times = times-matrix*

proof
 fix f g h :: ('a,'b) square


```

show  $f \odot g \oplus f \odot h \preceq f \odot (g \oplus h)$ 
proof (unfold less-eq-matrix-def, rule allI, rule prod-cases)
  fix  $i j$ 
  have  $(f \odot g \oplus f \odot h) (i,j) = (f \odot g) (i,j) \sqcup (f \odot h) (i,j)$ 
    by (simp add: sup-matrix-def)
  also have  $\dots = (\bigsqcup_k f (i,k) * g (k,j)) \sqcup (\bigsqcup_k f (i,k) * h (k,j))$ 
    by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
  also have  $\dots = (\bigsqcup_k f (i,k) * g (k,j) \sqcup f (i,k) * h (k,j))$ 
    by (simp add: sup-monoid.sum.distrib)
  also have  $\dots = (\bigsqcup_k f (i,k) * (g (k,j) \sqcup h (k,j)))$ 
    by (simp add: mult-left-dist-sup)
  also have  $\dots = (\bigsqcup_k f (i,k) * (g \oplus h) (k,j))$ 
    by (simp add: sup-matrix-def)
  also have  $\dots = (f \odot (g \oplus h)) (i,j)$ 
    by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
  finally show  $(f \odot g \oplus f \odot h) (i,j) \leq (f \odot (g \oplus h)) (i,j)$ 
    by simp
qed
next
fix  $f g h :: ('a,'b) \text{ square}$ 
show  $(f \oplus g) \odot h = f \odot h \oplus g \odot h$ 
proof (rule ext, rule prod-cases)
  fix  $i j$ 
  have  $((f \oplus g) \odot h) (i,j) = (\bigsqcup_k (f \oplus g) (i,k) * h (k,j))$ 
    by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
  also have  $\dots = (\bigsqcup_k (f (i,k) \sqcup g (i,k)) * h (k,j))$ 
    by (simp add: sup-matrix-def)
  also have  $\dots = (\bigsqcup_k f (i,k) * h (k,j) \sqcup g (i,k) * h (k,j))$ 
    by (meson mult-right-dist-sup)
  also have  $\dots = (\bigsqcup_k f (i,k) * h (k,j)) \sqcup (\bigsqcup_k g (i,k) * h (k,j))$ 
    by (simp add: sup-monoid.sum.distrib)
  also have  $\dots = (f \odot h) (i,j) \sqcup (g \odot h) (i,j)$ 
    by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
  also have  $\dots = (f \odot h \oplus g \odot h) (i,j)$ 
    by (simp add: sup-matrix-def)
  finally show  $((f \oplus g) \odot h) (i,j) = (f \odot h \oplus g \odot h) (i,j)$ 
    by simp
qed
next
fix  $f :: ('a,'b) \text{ square}$ 
show  $\text{mbot} \odot f = \text{mbot}$ 
proof (rule ext, rule prod-cases)
  fix  $i j$ 
  have  $(\text{mbot} \odot f) (i,j) = (\bigsqcup_k \text{mbot} (i,k) * f (k,j))$ 
    by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
  also have  $\dots = (\bigsqcup_k \text{bot} * f (k,j))$ 
    by (simp add: bot-matrix-def)
  also have  $\dots = \text{bot}$ 
    by simp

```

```

    also have ... = mbot (i,j)
      by (simp add: bot-matrix-def)
    finally show (mbot  $\odot$  f) (i,j) = mbot (i,j)
  .
qed
next
fix f :: ('a,'b) square
show mone  $\odot$  f = f
  by simp
next
fix f :: ('a,'b) square
show f  $\preceq$  f  $\odot$  mone
  by simp
next
fix f g h :: ('a,'b) square
show f  $\odot$  (g  $\oplus$  h) = f  $\odot$  g  $\oplus$  f  $\odot$  h
proof (rule ext, rule prod-cases)
  fix i j
  have (f  $\odot$  (g  $\oplus$  h)) (i,j) = ( $\bigsqcup_k$  f (i,k) * (g  $\oplus$  h) (k,j))
    by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
  also have ... = ( $\bigsqcup_k$  f (i,k) * (g (k,j)  $\sqcup$  h (k,j)))
    by (simp add: sup-matrix-def)
  also have ... = ( $\bigsqcup_k$  f (i,k) * g (k,j)  $\sqcup$  f (i,k) * h (k,j))
    by (meson mult-left-dist-sup)
  also have ... = ( $\bigsqcup_k$  f (i,k) * g (k,j)  $\sqcup$  ( $\bigsqcup_k$  f (i,k) * h (k,j)))
    by (simp add: sup-monoid.sum.distrib)
  also have ... = (f  $\odot$  g) (i,j)  $\sqcup$  (f  $\odot$  h) (i,j)
    by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
  also have ... = (f  $\odot$  g  $\oplus$  f  $\odot$  h) (i,j)
    by (simp add: sup-matrix-def)
  finally show (f  $\odot$  (g  $\oplus$  h)) (i,j) = (f  $\odot$  g  $\oplus$  f  $\odot$  h) (i,j)
  .
qed
next
fix f :: ('a,'b) square
show f  $\odot$  mbot = mbot
proof (rule ext, rule prod-cases)
  fix i j
  have (f  $\odot$  mbot) (i,j) = ( $\bigsqcup_k$  f (i,k) * mbot (k,j))
    by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
  also have ... = ( $\bigsqcup_k$  f (i,k) * bot)
    by (simp add: bot-matrix-def)
  also have ... = bot
    by simp
  also have ... = mbot (i,j)
    by (simp add: bot-matrix-def)
  finally show (f  $\odot$  mbot) (i,j) = mbot (i,j)
  .
qed

```

qed

interpretation *matrix-bounded-idempotent-semiring*:

bounded-idempotent-semiring **where** *sup* = *sup-matrix* **and** *less-eq* =
less-eq-matrix **and** *less* = *less-matrix* **and** *bot* = *bot-matrix* ::

('a::finite,'b::bounded-idempotent-semiring) *square* **and** *top* = *top-matrix* **and** *one*
= *one-matrix* **and** *times* = *times-matrix*

proof

fix *f* :: *('a,'b)* *square*

show $f \oplus mtop = mtop$

proof

fix *e*

have $(f \oplus mtop) e = f e \sqcup mtop e$

by (*simp add: sup-matrix-def*)

also have $\dots = f e \sqcup top$

by (*simp add: top-matrix-def*)

also have $\dots = top$

by *simp*

also have $\dots = mtop e$

by (*simp add: top-matrix-def*)

finally show $(f \oplus mtop) e = mtop e$

.

qed

qed

6.5 Stone Relation Algebras

Finally, we show that matrices over Stone relation algebras form a Stone relation algebra.

interpretation *matrix-stone-relation-algebra*: *stone-relation-algebra* **where** *sup*

= *sup-matrix* **and** *inf* = *inf-matrix* **and** *less-eq* = *less-eq-matrix* **and** *less* =
less-matrix **and** *bot* = *bot-matrix* :: *('a::finite,'b::stone-relation-algebra)* *square*

and *top* = *top-matrix* **and** *uminus* = *uminus-matrix* **and** *one* = *one-matrix* **and**
times = *times-matrix* **and** *conv* = *conv-matrix*

proof

fix *f g h* :: *('a,'b)* *square*

show $(f \odot g) \odot h = f \odot (g \odot h)$

by (*simp add: matrix-monoid.mult-assoc*)

next

fix *f g h* :: *('a,'b)* *square*

show $(f \oplus g) \odot h = f \odot h \oplus g \odot h$

by (*simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-right-dist-sup*)

next

fix *f* :: *('a,'b)* *square*

show $mbot \odot f = mbot$

by *simp*

next

fix *f* :: *('a,'b)* *square*

show $mone \odot f = f$

```

    by simp
next
fix f :: ('a,'b) square
show  $f^{tt} = f$ 
proof (rule ext, rule prod-cases)
  fix i j
  have  $(f^{tt}) (i,j) = ((f^t) (j,i))^T$ 
    by (simp add: conv-matrix-def)
  also have ... =  $f (i,j)$ 
    by (simp add: conv-matrix-def)
  finally show  $(f^{tt}) (i,j) = f (i,j)$ 
  .
qed
next
fix f g :: ('a,'b) square
show  $(f \oplus g)^t = f^t \oplus g^t$ 
proof (rule ext, rule prod-cases)
  fix i j
  have  $((f \oplus g)^t) (i,j) = ((f \oplus g) (j,i))^T$ 
    by (simp add: conv-matrix-def)
  also have ... =  $(f (j,i) \sqcup g (j,i))^T$ 
    by (simp add: sup-matrix-def)
  also have ... =  $(f^t (i,j) \sqcup g^t (i,j))$ 
    by (simp add: conv-matrix-def conv-dist-sup)
  also have ... =  $(f^t \oplus g^t) (i,j)$ 
    by (simp add: sup-matrix-def)
  finally show  $((f \oplus g)^t) (i,j) = (f^t \oplus g^t) (i,j)$ 
  .
qed
next
fix f g :: ('a,'b) square
show  $(f \odot g)^t = g^t \odot f^t$ 
proof (rule ext, rule prod-cases)
  fix i j
  have  $((f \odot g)^t) (i,j) = ((f \odot g) (j,i))^T$ 
    by (simp add: conv-matrix-def)
  also have ... =  $(\bigsqcup_k f (j,k) * g (k,i))^T$ 
    by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
  also have ... =  $(\bigsqcup_k (f (j,k) * g (k,i))^T)$ 
    by (metis (no-types) conv-dist-sum)
  also have ... =  $(\bigsqcup_k (g (k,i))^T * (f (j,k))^T)$ 
    by (simp add: conv-dist-comp)
  also have ... =  $(\bigsqcup_k (g^t) (i,k) * (f^t) (k,j))$ 
    by (simp add: conv-matrix-def)
  also have ... =  $(g^t \odot f^t) (i,j)$ 
    by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
  finally show  $((f \odot g)^t) (i,j) = (g^t \odot f^t) (i,j)$ 
  .
qed

```

```

next
fix f g h :: ('a,'b) square
show (f ∘ g) ⊗ h ≤ f ∘ (g ⊗ (ft ∘ h))
proof (unfold less-eq-matrix-def, rule allI, rule prod-cases)
  fix i j
  have ((f ∘ g) ⊗ h) (i,j) = (f ∘ g) (i,j) ⊔ h (i,j)
    by (simp add: inf-matrix-def)
  also have ... = (⊔k f (i,k) * g (k,j)) ⊔ h (i,j)
    by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
  also have ... = (⊔k f (i,k) * g (k,j) ⊔ h (i,j))
    by (metis (no-types) inf-right-dist-sum)
  also have ... ≤ (⊔k f (i,k) * (g (k,j) ⊔ (f (i,k))T * h (i,j)))
    by (rule leq-sum, meson dedekind-1)
  also have ... = (⊔k f (i,k) * (g (k,j) ⊔ (ft) (k,i) * h (i,j)))
    by (simp add: conv-matrix-def)
  also have ... ≤ (⊔k f (i,k) * (g (k,j) ⊔ (⊔l (ft) (k,l) * h (l,j))))
    by (rule leq-sum, rule allI, rule comp-right-isotone, rule
inf.sup-right-isotone, rule ub-sum)
  also have ... = (⊔k f (i,k) * (g (k,j) ⊔ (ft ∘ h) (k,j)))
    by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
  also have ... = (⊔k f (i,k) * (g ⊗ (ft ∘ h)) (k,j))
    by (simp add: inf-matrix-def)
  also have ... = (f ∘ (g ⊗ (ft ∘ h))) (i,j)
    by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
  finally show ((f ∘ g) ⊗ h) (i,j) ≤ (f ∘ (g ⊗ (ft ∘ h))) (i,j)

```

qed

```

next
fix f g :: ('a,'b) square
show ⊖⊖(f ∘ g) = ⊖⊖f ∘ ⊖⊖g
proof (rule ext, rule prod-cases)
  fix i j
  have (⊖⊖(f ∘ g)) (i,j) = --((f ∘ g) (i,j))
    by (simp add: uminus-matrix-def)
  also have ... = --(⊔k f (i,k) * g (k,j))
    by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
  also have ... = (⊔k --(f (i,k) * g (k,j)))
    by (metis (no-types) pp-dist-sum)
  also have ... = (⊔k --(f (i,k)) * --(g (k,j)))
    by (meson pp-dist-comp)
  also have ... = (⊔k (⊖⊖f) (i,k) * (⊖⊖g) (k,j))
    by (simp add: uminus-matrix-def)
  also have ... = (⊖⊖f ∘ ⊖⊖g) (i,j)
    by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
  finally show (⊖⊖(f ∘ g)) (i,j) = (⊖⊖f ∘ ⊖⊖g) (i,j)

```

qed

```

next
let ?o = mone :: ('a,'b) square

```

```

show  $\ominus\ominus ?o = ?o$ 
proof (rule ext, rule prod-cases)
  fix  $i\ j$ 
  have  $(\ominus\ominus ?o)(i,j) = --(?o(i,j))$ 
    by (simp add: uminus-matrix-def)
  also have  $\dots = --(\text{if } i = j \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } \text{bot})$ 
    by (simp add: one-matrix-def)
  also have  $\dots = (\text{if } i = j \text{ then } --1 \text{ else } --\text{bot})$ 
    by simp
  also have  $\dots = (\text{if } i = j \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } \text{bot})$ 
    by auto
  also have  $\dots = ?o(i,j)$ 
    by (simp add: one-matrix-def)
  finally show  $(\ominus\ominus ?o)(i,j) = ?o(i,j)$ 
  .
qed
qed

```

interpretation *matrix-stone-relation-algebra-consistent*:
stone-relation-algebra-consistent **where** $\text{sup} = \text{sup-matrix}$ **and** $\text{inf} = \text{inf-matrix}$
and $\text{less-eq} = \text{less-eq-matrix}$ **and** $\text{less} = \text{less-matrix}$ **and** $\text{bot} = \text{bot-matrix} ::$
 $(!a::\text{finite}, !b::\text{stone-relation-algebra-consistent}) \text{square}$ **and** $\text{top} = \text{top-matrix}$ **and**
 $\text{uminus} = \text{uminus-matrix}$ **and** $\text{one} = \text{one-matrix}$ **and** $\text{times} = \text{times-matrix}$ **and**
 $\text{conv} = \text{conv-matrix}$

proof
show $(\text{mbot}::(!a,!b) \text{square}) \neq \text{mtop}$
by (metis consistent bot-matrix-def top-matrix-def)
qed

interpretation *matrix-stone-relation-algebra-tarski*: *stone-relation-algebra-tarski*
where $\text{sup} = \text{sup-matrix}$ **and** $\text{inf} = \text{inf-matrix}$ **and** $\text{less-eq} = \text{less-eq-matrix}$ **and**
 $\text{less} = \text{less-matrix}$ **and** $\text{bot} = \text{bot-matrix} ::$
 $(!a::\text{finite}, !b::\text{stone-relation-algebra-tarski}) \text{square}$ **and** $\text{top} = \text{top-matrix}$ **and**
 $\text{uminus} = \text{uminus-matrix}$ **and** $\text{one} = \text{one-matrix}$ **and** $\text{times} = \text{times-matrix}$ **and**
 $\text{conv} = \text{conv-matrix}$

proof
fix $x :: (!a,!b) \text{square}$
assume $1: \text{matrix-p-algebra.regular } x$
assume $x \neq \text{mbot}$
from this obtain $i\ j$ **where** $x(i,j) \neq \text{bot}$
by (metis bot-matrix-def ext surj-pair)
hence $2: \text{top} * x(i,j) * \text{top} = \text{top}$
using 1 **by** (metis tarski uminus-matrix-def)
show *matrix-bounded-idempotent-semiring.total* $(\text{mtop} \odot x)$
proof (rule ext, rule prod-cases)
fix $k\ l$
have $\text{top} * x(i,j) * \text{top} \leq (\bigsqcup_m \text{top} * x(m,j)) * \text{top}$
using *comp-inf.ub-sum* *comp-isotone* **by** *fastforce*
also have $\dots = (\text{mtop} \odot x)(k,j) * \text{top}$

```

    by (simp add: times-matrix-def top-matrix-def)
  also have ... ≤ (⊔m (mtop ⊙ x) (k,m) * top)
    using comp-inf.ub-sum by force
  also have ... = (mtop ⊙ x ⊙ mtop) (k,l)
    by (simp add: times-matrix-def top-matrix-def)
  finally show (mtop ⊙ x ⊙ mtop) (k,l) = mtop (k,l)
    using 2 by (simp add: top-matrix-def inf.bot-unique)
qed
end

```

interpretation *matrix-stone-relation-algebra-tarski-consistent*:
stone-relation-algebra-tarski-consistent **where** *sup* = *sup-matrix* **and** *inf* =
inf-matrix **and** *less-eq* = *less-eq-matrix* **and** *less* = *less-matrix* **and** *bot* =
bot-matrix :: ('a::finite,'b::stone-relation-algebra-tarski-consistent) *square* **and** *top* =
top-matrix **and** *uminus* = *uminus-matrix* **and** *one* = *one-matrix* **and** *times* =
times-matrix **and** *conv* = *conv-matrix*
 ..
end

7 Matrices over Bounded Linear Orders

In this theory we characterise relation-algebraic properties of matrices over bounded linear orders (for example, extended real numbers) in terms of the entries in the matrices. We consider, in particular, the following properties: univalent, injective, total, surjective, mapping, bijective, vector, covector, point, arc, reflexive, coreflexive, irreflexive, symmetric, antisymmetric, asymmetric. We also consider the effect of composition with the matrix of greatest elements and with coreflexives (tests).

theory *Linear-Order-Matrices*

imports *Matrix-Relation-Algebras*

begin

class *non-trivial-linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion* =
linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion + *non-trivial*
begin

subclass *non-trivial-bounded-order* ..

end

Before we look at matrices, we generalise selectivity to finite suprema.

lemma *linorder-finite-sup-selective*:

fixes *f* :: 'a::finite ⇒ 'b::linorder-stone-algebra-expansion
shows ∃ *i* . (⊔_k *f k*) = *f i*

apply (*induct rule: comp-inf.one-sup-induct*)
apply *blast*
using *sup-selective by fastforce*

lemma *linorder-top-finite-sup*:
fixes $f :: 'a::finite \Rightarrow 'b::linorder-stone-algebra-expansion$
assumes $\forall k . f k \neq top$
shows $(\bigsqcup_k f k) \neq top$
by (*metis assms linorder-finite-sup-selective*)

The following results show the effect of composition with the *top* matrix from the left and from the right.

lemma *comp-top-linorder-matrix*:
fixes $f :: ('a::finite, 'b::linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion) square$
shows $(f \odot mtop) (i, j) = (\bigsqcup_k f (i, k))$
apply (*unfold times-matrix-def top-matrix-def*)
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) case-prod-conv comp-right-one one-def sup-monoid.sum.cong*)

lemma *top-comp-linorder-matrix*:
fixes $f :: ('a::finite, 'b::linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion) square$
shows $(mtop \odot f) (i, j) = (\bigsqcup_k f (k, j))$
apply (*unfold times-matrix-def top-matrix-def*)
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) case-prod-conv comp-left-one one-def sup-monoid.sum.cong*)

We characterise univalent matrices: in each row, at most one entry may be different from *bot*.

lemma *univalent-linorder-matrix-1*:
fixes $f :: ('a::finite, 'b::linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion) square$
assumes *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.univalent f*
and $f (i, j) \neq bot$
and $f (i, k) \neq bot$
shows $j = k$

proof –
have $(f^t \odot f) (j, k) = (\bigsqcup_l (f^t) (j, l) * f (l, k))$
by (*simp add: times-matrix-def*)
also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup_l (f (l, j))^T * f (l, k))$
by (*simp add: conv-matrix-def*)
also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup_l f (l, j) * f (l, k))$
by *simp*
also have $\dots \geq f (i, j) * f (i, k)$
using *comp-inf.ub-sum by fastforce*
finally have $(f^t \odot f) (j, k) \neq bot$
using *assms(2,3) bot.extremum-uniqueI times-dense by fastforce*
hence $mone (j, k) \neq (bot::'b)$
by (*metis assms(1) bot.extremum-uniqueI less-eq-matrix-def*)
thus *?thesis*
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) case-prod-conv one-matrix-def*)

qed

lemma *univalent-linorder-matrix-2*:

fixes $f :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion}) \text{square}$

assumes $\forall i j k . f (i,j) \neq \text{bot} \wedge f (i,k) \neq \text{bot} \longrightarrow j = k$

shows *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.univalent* f

proof –

show $f^t \odot f \leq \text{mone}$

proof (*unfold less-eq-matrix-def*, *rule allI*, *rule prod-cases*)

fix $j k$

show $(f^t \odot f) (j,k) \leq \text{mone} (j,k)$

proof (*cases* $j = k$)

assume $j = k$

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: one-matrix-def*)

next

assume $j \neq k$

hence $(\bigsqcup_i f (i,j) * f (i,k)) = \text{bot}$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) assms semiring.mult-not-zero sup-monoid.sum.neutral*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: times-matrix-def conv-matrix-def*)

qed

qed

qed

lemma *univalent-linorder-matrix*:

fixes $f :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion}) \text{square}$

shows *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.univalent* $f \longleftrightarrow (\forall i j k . f (i,j) \neq \text{bot} \wedge f (i,k) \neq \text{bot} \longrightarrow j = k)$

using *univalent-linorder-matrix-1 univalent-linorder-matrix-2* **by** *auto*

Injective matrices can then be characterised by applying converse: in each column, at most one entry may be different from *bot*.

lemma *injective-linorder-matrix*:

fixes $f :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion}) \text{square}$

shows *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.injective* $f \longleftrightarrow (\forall i j k . f (j,i) \neq \text{bot} \wedge f (k,i) \neq \text{bot} \longrightarrow j = k)$

by (*unfold matrix-stone-relation-algebra.injective-conv-univalent univalent-linorder-matrix*) (*simp add: conv-matrix-def*)

Next come total matrices: each row has a *top* entry.

lemma *total-linorder-matrix-1*:

fixes $f :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion}) \text{square}$

assumes *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.total-var* f

shows $\exists j . f (i,j) = \text{top}$

proof –

have $\text{mone} (i,i) \leq (f \odot f^t) (i,i)$

using *assms less-eq-matrix-def* **by** *blast*

hence $top = (f \odot f^t) (i, i)$
by (*simp add: one-matrix-def top.extremum-unique*)
also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup_j f (i, j) * (f^t) (j, i))$
by (*simp add: times-matrix-def*)
also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup_j f (i, j) * f (i, j))$
by (*simp add: conv-matrix-def*)
also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup_j f (i, j))$
by *simp*
finally show *?thesis*
by (*metis linorder-top-finite-sup*)
qed

lemma *total-linorder-matrix-2*:
fixes $f :: ('a::finite, 'b::linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion) \text{ square}$
assumes $\forall i . \exists j . f (i, j) = top$
shows *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.total-var f*
proof (*unfold less-eq-matrix-def, rule allI, rule prod-cases*)
fix $j \ k$
show $mone (j, k) \leq (f \odot f^t) (j, k)$
proof (*cases j = k*)
assume $j = k$
hence $(\bigsqcup_i f (j, i) * (f^t) (i, k)) = (\bigsqcup_i f (j, i))$
by (*simp add: conv-matrix-def*)
also have $\dots = top$
by (*metis (no-types) assms comp-inf.ub-sum sup.absorb2 sup-top-left*)
finally show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: times-matrix-def*)
next
assume $j \neq k$
thus *?thesis*
by (*simp add: one-matrix-def*)
qed
qed

lemma *total-linorder-matrix*:
fixes $f :: ('a::finite, 'b::linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion) \text{ square}$
shows *matrix-bounded-idempotent-semiring.total f* $\longleftrightarrow (\forall i . \exists j . f (i, j) = top)$
using *total-linorder-matrix-1 total-linorder-matrix-2*
matrix-stone-relation-algebra.total-var **by** *auto*

Surjective matrices are again characterised by applying converse: each column has a *top* entry.

lemma *surjective-linorder-matrix*:
fixes $f :: ('a::finite, 'b::linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion) \text{ square}$
shows *matrix-bounded-idempotent-semiring.surjective f* $\longleftrightarrow (\forall j . \exists i . f (i, j) = top)$
by (*unfold matrix-stone-relation-algebra.surjective-conv-total total-linorder-matrix*) (*simp add: conv-matrix-def*)

A mapping therefore means that each row has exactly one *top* entry and

all others are *bot*.

lemma *mapping-linorder-matrix*:

fixes $f :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion}) \text{ square}$
shows $\text{matrix-stone-relation-algebra.mapping } f \longleftrightarrow (\forall i . \exists j . f (i,j) = \text{top} \wedge (\forall k . j \neq k \longrightarrow f (i,k) = \text{bot}))$
by (*unfold total-linorder-matrix univalent-linorder-matrix*) (*metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) comp-inf.mult-1-right comp-inf.mult-right-zero*)

lemma *mapping-linorder-matrix-unique*:

fixes $f :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{non-trivial-linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion}) \text{ square}$
shows $\text{matrix-stone-relation-algebra.mapping } f \longleftrightarrow (\forall i . \exists! j . f (i,j) = \text{top} \wedge (\forall k . j \neq k \longrightarrow f (i,k) = \text{bot}))$
apply (*unfold mapping-linorder-matrix*)
using *bot-not-top* **by** *auto*

Conversely, *bijjective* means that each column has exactly one *top* entry and all others are *bot*.

lemma *bijjective-linorder-matrix*:

fixes $f :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion}) \text{ square}$
shows $\text{matrix-stone-relation-algebra.bijjective } f \longleftrightarrow (\forall j . \exists i . f (i,j) = \text{top} \wedge (\forall k . i \neq k \longrightarrow f (k,j) = \text{bot}))$
by (*unfold matrix-stone-relation-algebra.bijjective-conv-mapping mapping-linorder-matrix*) (*simp add: conv-matrix-def*)

lemma *bijjective-linorder-matrix-unique*:

fixes $f :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{non-trivial-linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion}) \text{ square}$
shows $\text{matrix-stone-relation-algebra.bijjective } f \longleftrightarrow (\forall j . \exists! i . f (i,j) = \text{top} \wedge (\forall k . i \neq k \longrightarrow f (k,j) = \text{bot}))$
by (*unfold matrix-stone-relation-algebra.bijjective-conv-mapping mapping-linorder-matrix-unique*) (*simp add: conv-matrix-def*)

We derive algebraic characterisations of matrices in which each row has an entry that is different from *bot*.

lemma *pp-total-linorder-matrix-1*:

fixes $f :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{non-trivial-linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion}) \text{ square}$
assumes $\ominus(f \odot \text{mtop}) = \text{mbot}$
shows $\exists j . f (i,j) \neq \text{bot}$

proof –

have $\neg(\exists j . f (i,j) \neq \text{bot}) \implies \ominus(f \odot \text{mtop}) \neq \text{mbot}$

proof –

assume $\neg(\exists j . f (i,j) \neq \text{bot})$

hence $\text{top} = -(f \odot \text{mtop}) (i,i)$

by (*simp add: comp-top-linorder-matrix linorder-finite-sup-selective*)

also have $\dots = (\ominus(f \odot \text{mtop})) (i,i)$

by (*simp add: uminus-matrix-def*)

finally show $\ominus(f \odot \text{mtop}) \neq \text{mbot}$

by (*metis bot-matrix-def bot-not-top*)
 qed
 thus *?thesis*
 using *assms by blast*
 qed

lemma *pp-total-linorder-matrix-2*:
 fixes $f :: ('a::finite, 'b::linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion) \text{ square}$
 assumes $\forall i . \exists j . f (i,j) \neq \text{bot}$
 shows $\ominus(f \odot \text{mtop}) = \text{mbot}$
proof (*rule ext, rule prod-cases*)
 fix $i j$
 have $(\ominus(f \odot \text{mtop})) (i,j) = -(\bigsqcup_k f (i,k))$
 by (*simp add: comp-top-linorder-matrix uminus-matrix-def*)
 also have $\dots = \text{bot}$
 by (*metis antisym assms bot.extremum comp-inf.ub-sum uminus-def*)
 finally show $(\ominus(f \odot \text{mtop})) (i,j) = \text{mbot} (i,j)$
 by (*simp add: bot-matrix-def*)
 qed

lemma *pp-total-linorder-matrix-3*:
 fixes $f :: ('a::finite, 'b::non-trivial-linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion) \text{ square}$
 shows $\ominus(f \odot \text{mtop}) = \text{mbot} \iff (\forall i . \exists j . f (i,j) \neq \text{bot})$
 using *pp-total-linorder-matrix-1 pp-total-linorder-matrix-2 by auto*

lemma *pp-total-linorder-matrix*:
 fixes $f :: ('a::finite, 'b::non-trivial-linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion) \text{ square}$
 shows *matrix-bounded-idempotent-semiring.total* $(\ominus \ominus f) \iff (\forall i . \exists j . f (i,j) \neq \text{bot})$
 using *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-total pp-total-linorder-matrix-1 pp-total-linorder-matrix-2 by auto*

lemma *pp-mapping-linorder-matrix*:
 fixes $f :: ('a::finite, 'b::non-trivial-linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion) \text{ square}$
 shows *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-mapping* $f \iff (\forall i . \exists j . f (i,j) \neq \text{bot} \wedge (\forall k . j \neq k \implies f (i,k) = \text{bot}))$
 by (*metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) pp-total-linorder-matrix univalent-linorder-matrix-1 univalent-linorder-matrix-2*)

lemma *pp-mapping-linorder-matrix-unique*:
 fixes $f :: ('a::finite, 'b::non-trivial-linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion) \text{ square}$
 shows *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-mapping* $f \iff (\forall i . \exists !j . f (i,j) \neq \text{bot} \wedge (\forall k . j \neq k \implies f (i,k) = \text{bot}))$
 apply (*rule iffI*)
 using *pp-mapping-linorder-matrix apply blast*

by (*metis pp-total-linorder-matrix univalent-linorder-matrix*)

Next follow matrices in which each column has an entry that is different from *bot*.

lemma *pp-surjective-linorder-matrix-1*:

fixes $f :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{non-trivial-linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion})$

square

shows $\ominus(\text{mtop} \odot f) = \text{mbot} \iff (\forall j . \exists i . f(i,j) \neq \text{bot})$

proof –

have $\ominus(\text{mtop} \odot f) = \text{mbot} \iff (\ominus(\text{mtop} \odot f))^t = \text{mbot}^t$

by (*metis matrix-stone-relation-algebra.conv-involutive*)

also have $\dots \iff \ominus(f^t \odot \text{mtop}) = \text{mbot}$

by (*simp add: matrix-stone-relation-algebra.conv-complement matrix-stone-relation-algebra.conv-dist-comp*)

also have $\dots \iff (\forall i . \exists j . (f^t)(i,j) \neq \text{bot})$

using *pp-total-linorder-matrix-3* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots \iff (\forall j . \exists i . f(i,j) \neq \text{bot})$

by (*simp add: conv-matrix-def*)

finally show *?thesis*

qed

lemma *pp-surjective-linorder-matrix*:

fixes $f :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{non-trivial-linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion})$

square

shows *matrix-bounded-idempotent-semiring.surjective* $(\ominus \ominus f) \iff (\forall j . \exists i . f(i,j) \neq \text{bot})$

using *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-surjective pp-surjective-linorder-matrix-1* **by** *auto*

lemma *pp-bijective-linorder-matrix*:

fixes $f :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{non-trivial-linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion})$

square

shows *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-bijective* $f \iff (\forall j . \exists i . f(i,j) \neq \text{bot} \wedge (\forall k . i \neq k \implies f(k,j) = \text{bot}))$

by (*unfold matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-bijective-conv-mapping pp-mapping-linorder-matrix*) (*simp add: conv-matrix-def*)

lemma *pp-bijective-linorder-matrix-unique*:

fixes $f :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{non-trivial-linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion})$

square

shows *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-bijective* $f \iff (\forall j . \exists !i . f(i,j) \neq \text{bot} \wedge (\forall k . i \neq k \implies f(k,j) = \text{bot}))$

by (*unfold matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-bijective-conv-mapping pp-mapping-linorder-matrix-unique*) (*simp add: conv-matrix-def*)

The regular matrices are those which contain only *bot* or *top* entries.

lemma *regular-linorder-matrix*:

fixes $f :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion})$ *square*

shows *matrix-p-algebra.regular* $f \longleftrightarrow (\forall e . f e = \text{bot} \vee f e = \text{top})$
proof –
have *matrix-p-algebra.regular* $f \longleftrightarrow (\ominus \ominus f = f)$
by *auto*
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow (\forall e . \text{--} f e = f e)$
by (*metis uminus-matrix-def ext*)
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow (\forall e . f e = \text{bot} \vee f e = \text{top})$
by *force*
finally show *?thesis*
 .
qed

Vectors are precisely the row-constant matrices.

lemma *vector-linorder-matrix-0*:
fixes $f :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion}) \text{square}$
assumes *matrix-bounded-idempotent-semiring.vector* f
shows $f (i,j) = (\bigsqcup_k f (i,k))$
by (*metis assms comp-top-linorder-matrix*)

lemma *vector-linorder-matrix-1*:
fixes $f :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion}) \text{square}$
assumes *matrix-bounded-idempotent-semiring.vector* f
shows $f (i,j) = f (i,k)$
by (*metis assms vector-linorder-matrix-0*)

lemma *vector-linorder-matrix-2*:
fixes $f :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion}) \text{square}$
assumes $\forall i j k . f (i,j) = f (i,k)$
shows *matrix-bounded-idempotent-semiring.vector* f
proof (*rule ext, rule prod-cases*)
fix $i j$
have $(f \odot \text{mtop}) (i,j) = (\bigsqcup_k f (i,k))$
by (*simp add: comp-top-linorder-matrix*)
also have $\dots = f (i,j)$
by (*metis assms linorder-finite-sup-selective*)
finally show $(f \odot \text{mtop}) (i,j) = f (i,j)$
 .
qed

lemma *vector-linorder-matrix*:
fixes $f :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion}) \text{square}$
shows *matrix-bounded-idempotent-semiring.vector* $f \longleftrightarrow (\forall i j k . f (i,j) = f (i,k))$
using *vector-linorder-matrix-1 vector-linorder-matrix-2* **by** *auto*

Hence covectors are precisely the column-constant matrices.

lemma *covector-linorder-matrix-0*:
fixes $f :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion}) \text{square}$
assumes *matrix-bounded-idempotent-semiring.covector* f

shows $f (i,j) = (\bigsqcup_k f (k,j))$
by (*metis assms top-comp-linorder-matrix*)

lemma *covector-linorder-matrix*:

fixes $f :: ('a::finite, 'b::linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion) \text{ square}$
shows *matrix-bounded-idempotent-semiring.covector* $f \longleftrightarrow (\forall i j k . f (i,j) = f (k,j))$
by (*unfold matrix-stone-relation-algebra.covector-conv-vector vector-linorder-matrix*) (*metis (no-types, lifting) case-prod-conv conv-matrix-def conv-def*)

A point is a matrix that has exactly one row, which is constant *top*, and all other rows are constant *bot*.

lemma *point-linorder-matrix*:

fixes $f :: ('a::finite, 'b::linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion) \text{ square}$
shows *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.point* $f \longleftrightarrow (\exists i . \forall j . f (i,j) = \text{top} \wedge (\forall k . i \neq k \longrightarrow f (k,j) = \text{bot}))$
apply (*unfold vector-linorder-matrix bijective-linorder-matrix*)
apply (*rule iffI*)
apply *metis*
by *metis*

lemma *point-linorder-matrix-unique*:

fixes $f :: ('a::finite, 'b::non-trivial-linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion) \text{ square}$
shows *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.point* $f \longleftrightarrow (\exists ! i . \forall j . f (i,j) = \text{top} \wedge (\forall k . i \neq k \longrightarrow f (k,j) = \text{bot}))$
apply (*unfold vector-linorder-matrix bijective-linorder-matrix*)
apply (*rule iffI*)
apply (*metis bot-not-top*)
by *metis*

lemma *pp-point-linorder-matrix*:

fixes $f :: ('a::finite, 'b::non-trivial-linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion) \text{ square}$
shows *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-point* $f \longleftrightarrow (\exists i . \forall j . f (i,j) \neq \text{bot} \wedge (\forall k . f (i,j) = f (i,k)) \wedge (\forall k . i \neq k \longrightarrow f (k,j) = \text{bot}))$
apply (*unfold vector-linorder-matrix pp-bijective-linorder-matrix*)
apply (*rule iffI*)
apply *metis*
by *metis*

lemma *pp-point-linorder-matrix-unique*:

fixes $f :: ('a::finite, 'b::non-trivial-linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion) \text{ square}$
shows *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-point* $f \longleftrightarrow (\exists ! i . \forall j . f (i,j) \neq \text{bot} \wedge (\forall k . f (i,j) = f (i,k)) \wedge (\forall k . i \neq k \longrightarrow f (k,j) = \text{bot}))$
apply (*unfold vector-linorder-matrix pp-bijective-linorder-matrix*)
apply (*rule iffI*)

apply *metis*
by *metis*

An arc is a matrix that has exactly one *top* entry and all other entries are *bot*.

lemma *arc-linorder-matrix-1*:

fixes $f :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{non-trivial-linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion})$
square

assumes *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.arc f*

shows $\exists e . f e = \text{top} \wedge (\forall d . e \neq d \longrightarrow f d = \text{bot})$

proof –

have *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.point (f \odot mtop)*

by (*simp add: assms matrix-bounded-idempotent-semiring.vector-mult-closed*)

from this obtain i **where** $1: \forall j . (f \odot \text{mtop}) (i, j) = \text{top} \wedge (\forall k . i \neq k \longrightarrow (f \odot \text{mtop}) (k, j) = \text{bot})$

using *point-linorder-matrix by blast*

have *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.point (f^t \odot mtop)*

by (*simp add: assms matrix-bounded-idempotent-semiring.vector-mult-closed*)

from this obtain j **where** $\forall i . (f^t \odot \text{mtop}) (j, i) = \text{top} \wedge (\forall k . j \neq k \longrightarrow (f^t \odot \text{mtop}) (k, i) = \text{bot})$

using *point-linorder-matrix by blast*

hence $2: \forall i . (\text{mtop} \odot f) (i, j) = \text{top} \wedge (\forall k . j \neq k \longrightarrow (\text{mtop} \odot f) (i, k) = \text{bot})$

by (*metis (no-types) old.prod.case conv-matrix-def conv-def*

matrix-stone-relation-algebra.conv-dist-comp

matrix-stone-relation-algebra.conv-top)

have $3: \forall i k . j \neq k \longrightarrow f (i, k) = \text{bot}$

proof (*intro allI, rule impI*)

fix $i k$

assume $j \neq k$

hence $(\bigsqcup_l f (l, k)) = \text{bot}$

using 2 **by** (*simp add: top-comp-linorder-matrix*)

thus $f (i, k) = \text{bot}$

by (*metis bot.extremum-uniqueI comp-inf.ub-sum*)

qed

have $(\bigsqcup_k f (i, k)) = \text{top}$

using 1 **by** (*simp add: comp-top-linorder-matrix*)

hence $4: f (i, j) = \text{top}$

using 3 **by** (*metis bot-not-top linorder-finite-sup-selective*)

have $\forall k l . k \neq i \vee l \neq j \longrightarrow f (k, l) = \text{bot}$

proof (*intro allI, unfold imp-disjL, rule conjI*)

fix $k l$

show $k \neq i \longrightarrow f (k, l) = \text{bot}$

proof

assume $k \neq i$

hence $(\bigsqcup_m f (k, m)) = \text{bot}$

using 1 **by** (*simp add: comp-top-linorder-matrix*)

thus $f (k, l) = \text{bot}$

by (*metis bot.extremum-uniqueI comp-inf.ub-sum*)

qed


```

    show  $l \neq j \longrightarrow f(k,l) = \text{bot}$ 
      using 3 by simp
  qed
  thus ?thesis using 4
    by (metis old.prod.exhaust)
  qed

lemma pp-arc-linorder-matrix-2:
  fixes  $f :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion}) \text{ square}$ 
  assumes  $\exists e . f e \neq \text{bot} \wedge (\forall d . e \neq d \longrightarrow f d = \text{bot})$ 
  shows matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-arc  $f$ 
  proof (unfold matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-arc-expanded, intro conjI)
    show  $f \odot \text{mtop} \odot f^t \preceq \text{mone}$ 
    proof (unfold less-eq-matrix-def, rule allI, rule prod-cases)
      fix  $i j$ 
      show  $(f \odot \text{mtop} \odot f^t)(i,j) \leq \text{mone}(i,j)$ 
      proof (cases  $i = j$ )
        assume  $i = j$ 
        thus ?thesis
          by (simp add: one-matrix-def)
      next
        assume  $i \neq j$ 
        hence 1:  $\forall k l . f(i,k) * f(j,l) = \text{bot}$ 
          by (metis assms Pair-inject semiring.mult-not-zero)
        have  $(f \odot \text{mtop} \odot f^t)(i,j) = (\bigsqcup_l (f \odot \text{mtop})(i,l) * (f^t)(l,j))$ 
          by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
        also have  $\dots = (\bigsqcup_l (f \odot \text{mtop})(i,l) * f(j,l))$ 
          by (simp add: conv-matrix-def)
        also have  $\dots = (\bigsqcup_l (\bigsqcup_k f(i,k)) * f(j,l))$ 
          by (simp add: comp-top-linorder-matrix)
        also have  $\dots = (\bigsqcup_l \bigsqcup_k f(i,k) * f(j,l))$ 
          by (metis comp-right-dist-sum)
        also have  $\dots = \text{bot}$ 
          using 1 linorder-finite-sup-selective by simp
        finally show ?thesis
          by simp
      qed
    qed
  next
    show  $f^t \odot \text{mtop} \odot f \preceq \text{mone}$ 
    proof (unfold less-eq-matrix-def, rule allI, rule prod-cases)
      fix  $i j$ 
      show  $(f^t \odot \text{mtop} \odot f)(i,j) \leq \text{mone}(i,j)$ 
      proof (cases  $i = j$ )
        assume  $i = j$ 
        thus ?thesis
          by (simp add: one-matrix-def)
      next
        assume  $i \neq j$ 

```

```

    hence 2:  $\forall k l . f (k,i) * f (l,j) = bot$ 
      by (metis assms Pair-inject semiring.mult-not-zero)
    have  $(f^t \odot mtop \odot f) (i,j) = (\bigsqcup_l (f^t \odot mtop) (i,l) * f (l,j))$ 
      by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
    also have ... =  $(\bigsqcup_l (\bigsqcup_k (f^t) (i,k)) * f (l,j))$ 
      by (simp add: comp-top-linorder-matrix)
    also have ... =  $(\bigsqcup_l (\bigsqcup_k f (k,i)) * f (l,j))$ 
      by (simp add: conv-matrix-def)
    also have ... =  $(\bigsqcup_l \bigsqcup_k f (k,i) * f (l,j))$ 
      by (metis comp-right-dist-sum)
    also have ... = bot
      using 2 linorder-finite-sup-selective by simp
    finally show ?thesis
      by simp
  qed
qed
next
show  $mtop \odot \ominus \ominus f \odot mtop = mtop$ 
proof (rule ext, rule prod-cases)
  fix i j
  from assms obtain k l where  $f (k,l) \neq bot$ 
    using prod.collapse by auto
  hence  $top = --f (k,l)$ 
    by simp
  also have ...  $\leq (\bigsqcup_k --f (k,l))$ 
    using comp-inf.ub-sum by metis
  also have ...  $\leq (\bigsqcup_l \bigsqcup_k --f (k,l))$ 
    using comp-inf.ub-sum by simp
  finally have 3:  $top \leq (\bigsqcup_l \bigsqcup_k --f (k,l))$ 
    by simp
  have  $(mtop \odot \ominus \ominus f \odot mtop) (i,j) = (\bigsqcup_l (\bigsqcup_k top * --f (k,l)) * top)$ 
    by (simp add: times-matrix-def top-matrix-def uminus-matrix-def)
  also have ... =  $(\bigsqcup_l \bigsqcup_k --f (k,l))$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) sup-monoid.sum.cong comp-inf.mult-1-left
times-inf comp-inf.mult-1-right)
  also have ... = top
    using 3 top.extremum-unique by blast
  finally show  $(mtop \odot \ominus \ominus f \odot mtop) (i,j) = mtop (i,j)$ 
    by (simp add: top-matrix-def)
qed
qed

lemma arc-linorder-matrix-2:
  fixes f :: ('a::finite, 'b::non-trivial-linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion)
square
  assumes  $\exists e . f e = top \wedge (\forall d . e \neq d \longrightarrow f d = bot)$ 
  shows matrix-stone-relation-algebra.arc f
proof (unfold matrix-stone-relation-algebra.arc-expanded, intro conjI)
  show  $f \odot mtop \odot f^t \preceq mone$ 

```

```

  by (metis (no-types, lifting) assms bot-not-top
matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-arc-expanded pp-arc-linorder-matrix-2)
next
  show  $f^t \odot mtop \odot f \preceq mone$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) assms bot-not-top
matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-arc-expanded pp-arc-linorder-matrix-2)
next
  show  $mtop \odot f \odot mtop = mtop$ 
  proof (rule ext, rule prod-cases)
    fix  $i j$ 
    from assms obtain  $k l$  where  $f (k,l) = top$ 
    using prod.collapse by auto
    hence  $(\bigsqcup_k f (k,l)) = top$ 
    by (metis (mono-tags) comp-inf.ub-sum top-unique)
    hence  $\exists: top \leq (\bigsqcup_l \bigsqcup_k f (k,l))$ 
    by (metis (no-types) comp-inf.ub-sum)
    have  $(mtop \odot f \odot mtop) (i,j) = (\bigsqcup_l (\bigsqcup_k top * f (k,l)) * top)$ 
    by (simp add: times-matrix-def top-matrix-def)
    also have  $\dots = (\bigsqcup_l \bigsqcup_k f (k,l))$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) sup-monoid.sum.cong comp-inf.mult-1-left
times-inf comp-inf.mult-1-right)
    also have  $\dots = top$ 
    using  $\exists$  top.extremum-unique by blast
    finally show  $(mtop \odot f \odot mtop) (i,j) = mtop (i,j)$ 
    by (simp add: top-matrix-def)
  qed
qed

```

lemma *arc-linorder-matrix*:

```

  fixes  $f :: ('a::finite, 'b::non-trivial-linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion)$ 
square
  shows  $matrix-stone-relation-algebra.arc f \longleftrightarrow (\exists e . f e = top \wedge (\forall d . e \neq d \longrightarrow f d = bot))$ 
  using arc-linorder-matrix-1 arc-linorder-matrix-2 by blast

```

lemma *arc-linorder-matrix-unique*:

```

  fixes  $f :: ('a::finite, 'b::non-trivial-linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion)$ 
square
  shows  $matrix-stone-relation-algebra.arc f \longleftrightarrow (\exists! e . f e = top \wedge (\forall d . e \neq d \longrightarrow f d = bot))$ 
  apply (rule iffI)
  apply (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) arc-linorder-matrix bot-not-top)
  using arc-linorder-matrix by blast

```

lemma *pp-arc-linorder-matrix-1*:

```

  fixes  $f :: ('a::finite, 'b::non-trivial-linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion)$ 
square
  assumes  $matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-arc f$ 
  shows  $\exists e . f e \neq bot \wedge (\forall d . e \neq d \longrightarrow f d = bot)$ 

```

proof –

have *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-point* ($f \odot mtop$)

by (*simp add: assms matrix-bounded-idempotent-semiring.vector-mult-closed*)

from this obtain i **where** $1: \forall j . (f \odot mtop) (i,j) \neq bot \wedge (\forall k . (f \odot mtop) (i,j) = (f \odot mtop) (i,k)) \wedge (\forall k . i \neq k \longrightarrow (f \odot mtop) (k,j) = bot)$

by (*metis pp-point-linorder-matrix*)

have *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-point* ($f^t \odot mtop$)

by (*simp add: assms matrix-bounded-idempotent-semiring.vector-mult-closed*)

from this obtain j **where** $\forall i . (f^t \odot mtop) (j,i) \neq bot \wedge (\forall k . (f^t \odot mtop) (j,i) = (f^t \odot mtop) (j,k)) \wedge (\forall k . j \neq k \longrightarrow (f^t \odot mtop) (k,i) = bot)$

by (*metis pp-point-linorder-matrix*)

hence $2: \forall i . (mtop \odot f) (i,j) \neq bot \wedge (\forall k . (mtop \odot f) (i,j) = (mtop \odot f) (k,j)) \wedge (\forall k . j \neq k \longrightarrow (mtop \odot f) (i,k) = bot)$

by (*metis (no-types) old.prod.case conv-matrix-def conv-def matrix-stone-relation-algebra.conv-dist-comp matrix-stone-relation-algebra.conv-top*)

have $3: \forall i k . j \neq k \longrightarrow f (i,k) = bot$

proof (*intro allI, rule impI*)

fix $i k$

assume $j \neq k$

hence $(\bigsqcup_l f (l,k)) = bot$

using 2 **by** (*simp add: top-comp-linorder-matrix*)

thus $f (i,k) = bot$

by (*metis bot.extremum-uniqueI comp-inf.ub-sum*)

qed

have $(\bigsqcup_k f (i,k)) \neq bot$

using 1 **by** (*simp add: comp-top-linorder-matrix*)

hence $4: f (i,j) \neq bot$

using 3 **by** (*metis linorder-finite-sup-selective*)

have $\forall k l . k \neq i \vee l \neq j \longrightarrow f (k,l) = bot$

proof (*intro allI, unfold imp-disjL, rule conjI*)

fix $k l$

show $k \neq i \longrightarrow f (k,l) = bot$

proof

assume $k \neq i$

hence $(\bigsqcup_m f (k,m)) = bot$

using 1 **by** (*simp add: comp-top-linorder-matrix*)

thus $f (k,l) = bot$

by (*metis bot.extremum-uniqueI comp-inf.ub-sum*)

qed

show $l \neq j \longrightarrow f (k,l) = bot$

using 3 **by** *simp*

qed

thus *?thesis* **using** 4

by (*metis old.prod.exhaust*)

qed

lemma *pp-arc-linorder-matrix*:

fixes $f :: ('a::finite, 'b::non-trivial-linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion)$

square
shows *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-arc* $f \longleftrightarrow (\exists e . f e \neq \text{bot} \wedge (\forall d . e \neq d \longrightarrow f d = \text{bot}))$
using *pp-arc-linorder-matrix-1 pp-arc-linorder-matrix-2* **by** *blast*

lemma *pp-arc-linorder-matrix-unique*:

fixes $f :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{non-trivial-linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion})$

square

shows *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-arc* $f \longleftrightarrow (\exists !e . f e \neq \text{bot} \wedge (\forall d . e \neq d \longrightarrow f d = \text{bot}))$

apply (*rule iffI*)

apply (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) pp-arc-linorder-matrix*)

using *pp-arc-linorder-matrix* **by** *blast*

Reflexive matrices are those with a constant *top* diagonal.

lemma *reflexive-linorder-matrix-1*:

fixes $f :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion})$ *square*

assumes *matrix-idempotent-semiring.reflexive* f

shows $f (i, i) = \text{top}$

proof –

have (*top::'b*) = *mone* (i, i)

by (*simp add: one-matrix-def*)

also have $\dots \leq f (i, i)$

using *assms less-eq-matrix-def* **by** *blast*

finally show *?thesis*

by (*simp add: top.extremum-unique*)

qed

lemma *reflexive-linorder-matrix-2*:

fixes $f :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion})$ *square*

assumes $\forall i . f (i, i) = \text{top}$

shows *matrix-idempotent-semiring.reflexive* f

proof (*unfold less-eq-matrix-def, rule allI, rule prod-cases*)

fix $i j$

show $\text{mone} (i, j) \leq f (i, j)$

proof (*cases* $i = j$)

assume $i = j$

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: assms*)

next

assume $i \neq j$

hence (*bot::'b*) = *mone* (i, j)

by (*simp add: one-matrix-def*)

thus *?thesis*

by *simp*

qed

qed

lemma *reflexive-linorder-matrix*:

fixes $f :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion}) \text{square}$
shows $\text{matrix-idempotent-semiring.reflexive } f \longleftrightarrow (\forall i . f (i,i) = \text{top})$
using $\text{reflexive-linorder-matrix-1 reflexive-linorder-matrix-2}$ **by** auto

Coreflexive matrices are those in which all non-diagonal entries are *bot*.

lemma $\text{coreflexive-linorder-matrix-1}$:
fixes $f :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion}) \text{square}$
assumes $\text{matrix-idempotent-semiring.coreflexive } f$
and $i \neq j$
shows $f (i,j) = \text{bot}$
proof –
have $f (i,j) \leq \text{mone } (i,j)$
using $\text{assms less-eq-matrix-def}$ **by** blast
also have $\dots = \text{bot}$
by $(\text{simp add: assms one-matrix-def})$
finally show $?thesis$
by $(\text{simp add: bot.extremum-unique})$
qed

lemma $\text{coreflexive-linorder-matrix-2}$:
fixes $f :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion}) \text{square}$
assumes $\forall i j . i \neq j \longrightarrow f (i,j) = \text{bot}$
shows $\text{matrix-idempotent-semiring.coreflexive } f$
proof $(\text{unfold less-eq-matrix-def, rule allI, rule prod-cases})$
fix $i j$
show $f (i,j) \leq \text{mone } (i,j)$
proof $(\text{cases } i = j)$
assume $i = j$
hence $(\text{top}::'b) = \text{mone } (i,j)$
by $(\text{simp add: one-matrix-def})$
thus $?thesis$
by simp
next
assume $i \neq j$
thus $?thesis$
by (simp add: assms)
qed
qed

lemma $\text{coreflexive-linorder-matrix}$:
fixes $f :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion}) \text{square}$
shows $\text{matrix-idempotent-semiring.coreflexive } f \longleftrightarrow (\forall i j . i \neq j \longrightarrow f (i,j) = \text{bot})$
using $\text{coreflexive-linorder-matrix-1 coreflexive-linorder-matrix-2}$ **by** auto

Irreflexive matrices are those with a constant *bot* diagonal.

lemma $\text{irreflexive-linorder-matrix-1}$:
fixes $f :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion}) \text{square}$
assumes $\text{matrix-stone-relation-algebra.irreflexive } f$

```

    shows  $f (i,i) = bot$ 
  proof -
    have  $(top::'b) = mone (i,i)$ 
      by (simp add: one-matrix-def)
    hence  $(bot::'b) = (\ominus mone) (i,i)$ 
      by (simp add: uminus-matrix-def)
    hence  $f (i,i) \leq bot$ 
      by (metis assms less-eq-matrix-def)
    thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: bot.extremum-unique)
  qed

lemma irreflexive-linorder-matrix-2:
  fixes  $f :: ('a::finite, 'b::linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion) \text{ square}$ 
  assumes  $\forall i . f (i,i) = bot$ 
  shows matrix-stone-relation-algebra.irreflexive  $f$ 
  proof (unfold less-eq-matrix-def, rule allI, rule prod-cases)
    fix  $i j$ 
    show  $f (i,j) \leq (\ominus mone) (i,j)$ 
    proof (cases  $i = j$ )
      assume  $i = j$ 
      thus ?thesis
        by (simp add: assms)
    next
      assume  $i \neq j$ 
      hence  $(bot::'b) = mone (i,j)$ 
        by (simp add: one-matrix-def)
      hence  $(top::'b) = (\ominus mone) (i,j)$ 
        by (simp add: uminus-matrix-def)
      thus ?thesis
        by simp
    qed
  qed

```

```

lemma irreflexive-linorder-matrix:
  fixes  $f :: ('a::finite, 'b::linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion) \text{ square}$ 
  shows matrix-stone-relation-algebra.irreflexive  $f \longleftrightarrow (\forall i . f (i,i) = bot)$ 
  using irreflexive-linorder-matrix-1 irreflexive-linorder-matrix-2 by auto

```

As usual, symmetric matrices are those which do not change under transposition.

```

lemma symmetric-linorder-matrix:
  fixes  $f :: ('a::finite, 'b::linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion) \text{ square}$ 
  shows matrix-stone-relation-algebra.symmetric  $f \longleftrightarrow (\forall i j . f (i,j) = f (j,i))$ 
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) case-prod-conv cond-case-prod-eta conv-matrix-def conv-def)

```

Antisymmetric matrices are characterised as follows: each entry not on the diagonal or its mirror entry across the diagonal must be *bot*.

lemma *antisymmetric-linorder-matrix*:
fixes $f :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion}) \text{square}$
shows $\text{matrix-stone-relation-algebra.antisymmetric } f \longleftrightarrow (\forall i j . i \neq j \longrightarrow f (i,j) = \text{bot} \vee f (j,i) = \text{bot})$
proof –
have $\text{matrix-stone-relation-algebra.antisymmetric } f \longleftrightarrow (\forall i j . i \neq j \longrightarrow f (i,j) \sqcap f (j,i) \leq \text{bot})$
by (*simp add: conv-matrix-def inf-matrix-def less-eq-matrix-def one-matrix-def*)
thus *?thesis*
by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) inf.absorb-iff1 inf.cobounded1 inf-bot-right inf-dense*)
qed

For asymmetric matrices the diagonal is included: each entry or its mirror entry across the diagonal must be *bot*.

lemma *asymmetric-linorder-matrix*:
fixes $f :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion}) \text{square}$
shows $\text{matrix-stone-relation-algebra.asymmetric } f \longleftrightarrow (\forall i j . f (i,j) = \text{bot} \vee f (j,i) = \text{bot})$
proof –
have $\text{matrix-stone-relation-algebra.asymmetric } f \longleftrightarrow (\forall i j . f (i,j) \sqcap f (j,i) \leq \text{bot})$
apply (*unfold conv-matrix-def inf-matrix-def conv-def id-def bot-matrix-def*)
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) bot.extremum bot.extremum-unique1 case-prod-conv old.prod.exhaust*)
thus *?thesis*
by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) inf.absorb-iff1 inf.cobounded1 inf-bot-right inf-dense*)
qed

In a transitive matrix, the weight of one of the edges on an indirect route must be below the weight of the direct edge.

lemma *transitive-linorder-matrix*:
fixes $f :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion}) \text{square}$
shows $\text{matrix-idempotent-semiring.transitive } f \longleftrightarrow (\forall i j k . f (i,k) \leq f (i,j) \vee f (k,j) \leq f (i,j))$
proof –
have $\text{matrix-idempotent-semiring.transitive } f \longleftrightarrow (\forall i j . (\bigsqcup_k f (i,k) * f (k,j)) \leq f (i,j))$
by (*simp add: times-matrix-def less-eq-matrix-def*)
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow (\forall i j k . f (i,k) * f (k,j) \leq f (i,j))$
by (*simp add: lub-sum-iff*)
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow (\forall i j k . f (i,k) \leq f (i,j) \vee f (k,j) \leq f (i,j))$
using *inf-less-eq* **by** *fastforce*
finally show *?thesis*
qed

We finally show the effect of composing with a coreflexive (test) from the left and from the right. This amounts to a restriction of each row or column to the entry on the diagonal of the coreflexive. In this case, restrictions are formed by meets.

lemma *coreflexive-comp-linorder-matrix*:
fixes $f\ g :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion}) \text{ square}$
assumes *matrix-idempotent-semiring.coreflexive f*
shows $(f \odot g) (i,j) = f (i,i) \sqcap g (i,j)$
proof –
have $1: \forall k . i \neq k \longrightarrow f (i,k) = \text{bot}$
using *assms coreflexive-linorder-matrix by auto*
have $(\bigsqcup_k f (i,k)) = f (i,i) \sqcup (\bigsqcup_{k \in \text{UNIV} - \{i\}} f (i,k))$
by (*metis (no-types) UNIV-def brouwer.inf-bot-right finite-UNIV insert-def sup-monoid.sum.insert-remove*)
hence $2: (\bigsqcup_k f (i,k)) = f (i,i)$
using 1 **by** (*metis (no-types) linorder-finite-sup-selective sup-not-bot*)
have $(f \odot g) (i,j) = (f \odot \text{mtop} \otimes g) (i,j)$
by (*metis assms matrix-stone-relation-algebra.coreflexive-comp-top-inf*)
also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup_k f (i,k)) \sqcap g (i,j)$
by (*metis inf-matrix-def comp-top-linorder-matrix*)
finally show *?thesis*
using 2 **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *comp-coreflexive-linorder-matrix*:
fixes $f\ g :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion}) \text{ square}$
assumes *matrix-idempotent-semiring.coreflexive g*
shows $(f \odot g) (i,j) = f (i,j) \sqcap g (j,j)$
proof –
have $(f \odot g) (i,j) = ((f \odot g)^t) (j,i)$
by (*simp add: conv-matrix-def*)
also have $\dots = (g \odot f^t) (j,i)$
by (*simp add: assms matrix-stone-relation-algebra.conv-dist-comp matrix-stone-relation-algebra.coreflexive-symmetric*)
also have $\dots = g (j,j) \sqcap (f^t) (j,i)$
by (*simp add: assms coreflexive-comp-linorder-matrix*)
also have $\dots = f (i,j) \sqcap g (j,j)$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) conv-def old.prod.case conv-matrix-def inf-commute*)
finally show *?thesis*
qed

end

8 An Operation to Select Components

In this theory we axiomatise an operation to select components of a graph. This is joint work with Nicolas Robinson-O'Brien.

theory *Choose-Component*

imports

Relation-Algebras

begin

context *stone-relation-algebra*

begin

A *vector-classes* corresponds to one or more equivalence classes and a *unique-vector-class* corresponds to a single equivalence class.

definition *vector-classes* $:: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where** *vector-classes* $x \ v \equiv$
regular $x \wedge$ *regular* $v \wedge$ *equivalence* $x \wedge$ *vector* $v \wedge x * v \leq v \wedge v \neq \text{bot}$

definition *unique-vector-class* $:: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where** *unique-vector-class* $x \ v \equiv$
vector-classes $x \ v \wedge v * v^T \leq x$

end

We introduce the operation *choose-component*.

- * Axiom *component-in-v* expresses that the result of *choose-component* is contained in the set of vertices, v , we are selecting from, ignoring the weights.
- * Axiom *component-is-vector* states that the result of *choose-component* is a vector.
- * Axiom *component-is-regular* states that the result of *choose-component* is regular.
- * Axiom *component-is-connected* states that any two vertices from the result of *choose-component* are connected in e .
- * Axiom *component-single* states that the result of *choose-component* is closed under being connected in e .
- * Finally, axiom *component-not-bot-when-v-bot-bot* expresses that the operation *choose-component* returns a non-empty component if the input satisfies the given criteria.

class *choose-component* =

fixes *choose-component* $:: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$

class *choose-component-algebra* = *choose-component* + *stone-relation-algebra* +

assumes *component-is-vector*: *vector* (*choose-component* $e \ v$)

assumes *component-is-regular*: *regular* (*choose-component* $e \ v$)

assumes *component-in-v*: $choose\text{-}component\ e\ v \leq \text{--}v$
assumes *component-is-connected*: $choose\text{-}component\ e\ v *$
 $(choose\text{-}component\ e\ v)^T \leq e$
assumes *component-single*: $e * choose\text{-}component\ e\ v \leq$
 $choose\text{-}component\ e\ v$
assumes *component-not-bot-when-v-bot-bot*: $vector\text{-}classes\ e\ v \longrightarrow$
 $choose\text{-}component\ e\ v \neq bot$
begin

lemma *component-single-eq*:
assumes *equivalence* x
shows $choose\text{-}component\ x\ v = x * choose\text{-}component\ x\ v$
proof –
have $choose\text{-}component\ x\ v \leq x * choose\text{-}component\ x\ v$
by (*meson* *component-is-connected* *ex231c* *mult-isotone* *order-lesseq-imp*)
thus *?thesis*
by (*simp* *add*: *component-single* *order.antisym*)
qed

end

class *choose-component-algebra-tarski* = *choose-component-algebra* +
stone-relation-algebra-tarski
begin

definition *choose-component-point* $x \equiv choose\text{-}component\ 1\ (\text{--}x)$

lemma *choose-component-point-point*:
assumes *vector* x
and $x \neq bot$
shows *point* ($choose\text{-}component\text{-}point\ x$)
proof (*intro* *conjI*)
show *1*: *vector* ($choose\text{-}component\text{-}point\ x$)
by (*simp* *add*: *choose-component-point-def* *component-is-vector*)
show *injective* ($choose\text{-}component\text{-}point\ x$)
by (*simp* *add*: *choose-component-point-def* *component-is-connected*)
have *vector-classes* $1\ (\text{--}x)$
by (*metis* *assms* *comp-inf.semiring.mult-zero-left* *coreflexive-symmetric*
inf.eq-refl *mult-1-left* *pp-one* *regular-closed-p* *selection-closed-id* *vector-classes-def*
vector-complement-closed)
hence *choose-component-point* $x \neq bot$
by (*simp* *add*: *choose-component-point-def* *component-not-bot-when-v-bot-bot*)
thus *surjective* ($choose\text{-}component\text{-}point\ x$)
using 1 *choose-component-point-def* *component-is-regular* *tarski*
vector-mult-closed **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *choose-component-point-decreasing*:
 $choose\text{-}component\text{-}point\ x \leq \text{--}x$

by (*metis choose-component-point-def component-in-v regular-closed-p*)

end

end

References

- [1] C. J. Aarts, R. C. Backhouse, E. A. Boiten, H. Doornbos, N. van Gasteren, R. van Geldrop, P. F. Hoogendijk, E. Voermans, and J. van der Woude. Fixed-point calculus. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 53(3):131–136, 1995.
- [2] H. Andr eka and S. Mikul as. Axiomatizability of positive algebras of binary relations. *Algebra Universalis*, 66(1–2):7–34, 2011.
- [3] A. Armstrong, S. Foster, G. Struth, and T. Weber. Relation algebra. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, 2016, first version 2014.
- [4] A. Armstrong, V. B. F. Gomes, G. Struth, and T. Weber. Kleene algebra. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, 2016, first version 2013.
- [5] R. Berghammer. *Ordnungen, Verb ande und Relationen mit Anwendungen*. Springer, second edition, 2012.
- [6] R. Berghammer and W. Guttmann. Closure, properties and closure properties of multirelations. In W. Kahl, M. Winter, and J. N. Oliveira, editors, *Relational and Algebraic Methods in Computer Science*, volume 9348 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 67–83. Springer, 2015.
- [7] R. Bird and O. de Moor. *Algebra of Programming*. Prentice Hall, 1997.
- [8] D. A. Bredihin and B. M. Schein. Representations of ordered semi-groups and lattices by binary relations. *Colloquium Mathematicum*, 39(1):1–12, 1978.
- [9] S. D. Comer. On connections between information systems, rough sets and algebraic logic. In C. Rauszer, editor, *Algebraic Methods in Logic and in Computer Science*, volume 28 of *Banach Center Publications*, pages 117–124. Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, 1993.
- [10] B. A. Davey and H. A. Priestley. *Introduction to Lattices and Order*. Cambridge University Press, second edition, 2002.
- [11] P. J. Freyd and A.  cedrov. *Categories, Allegories*, volume 39 of *North-Holland Mathematical Library*. Elsevier Science Publishers, 1990.

- [12] J. A. Goguen. L-fuzzy sets. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 18(1):145–174, 1967.
- [13] W. Guttmann. Algebras for iteration and infinite computations. *Acta Inf.*, 49(5):343–359, 2012.
- [14] W. Guttmann. Relation-algebraic verification of Prim’s minimum spanning tree algorithm. In A. Sampaio and F. Wang, editors, *Theoretical Aspects of Computing – ICTAC 2016*, volume 9965 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 51–68. Springer, 2016.
- [15] W. Guttmann. Stone algebras. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, 2016.
- [16] W. Guttmann. Stone relation algebras. In P. Höfner, D. Pous, and G. Struth, editors, *Relational and Algebraic Methods in Computer Science*, volume 10226 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 127–143. Springer, 2017.
- [17] R. Hirsch and I. Hodkinson. *Relation Algebras by Games*. Elsevier Science B.V., 2002.
- [18] Y. Kawahara and H. Furusawa. Crispness in Dedekind categories. *Bulletin of Informatics and Cybernetics*, 33(1–2):1–18, 2001.
- [19] Y. Kawahara, H. Furusawa, and M. Mori. Categorical representation theorems of fuzzy relations. *Information Sciences*, 119(3–4):235–251, 1999.
- [20] R. D. Maddux. Relation-algebraic semantics. *Theoretical Comput. Sci.*, 160(1–2):1–85, 1996.
- [21] R. D. Maddux. *Relation Algebras*. Elsevier B.V., 2006.
- [22] J. N. Oliveira. Extended static checking by calculation using the point-free transform. In A. Bove, L. S. Barbosa, A. Pardo, and J. S. Pinto, editors, *Language Engineering and Rigorous Software Development*, volume 5520 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 195–251. Springer, 2009.
- [23] R. Parikh. Propositional logics of programs: new directions. In M. Karpinski, editor, *Foundations of Computation Theory*, volume 158 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 347–359. Springer, 1983.
- [24] Z. Pawlak. Rough sets, rough relations and rough functions. *Fundamenta Informaticae*, 27(2–3):103–108, 1996.
- [25] D. Peleg. Concurrent dynamic logic. *J. ACM*, 34(2):450–479, 1987.

- [26] G. Schmidt. *Relational Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, 2011.
- [27] G. Schmidt and T. Ströhlein. *Relations and Graphs*. Springer, 1993.
- [28] A. Tarski. On the calculus of relations. *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 6(3):73–89, 1941.
- [29] M. Winter. A new algebraic approach to L-fuzzy relations convenient to study crispness. *Information Sciences*, 139(3–4):233–252, 2001.