

# Stochastic Matrices and the Perron–Frobenius Theorem\*

René Thiemann

April 20, 2020

## Abstract

Stochastic matrices are a convenient way to model discrete-time and finite state Markov chains. The Perron–Frobenius theorem tells us something about the existence and uniqueness of non-negative eigenvectors of a stochastic matrix.

In this entry, we formalize stochastic matrices, link the formalization to the existing AFP-entry on Markov chains, and apply the Perron–Frobenius theorem to prove that stationary distributions always exist, and they are unique if the stochastic matrix is irreducible.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Stochastic Matrices</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Stochastic Vectors and Probability Mass Functions</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Stochastic Matrices and Markov Models</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Eigenspaces</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Stochastic Matrices and the Perron–Frobenius Theorem</b>	<b>11</b>

## 1 Introduction

In their AFP entry Markov Models [2], Hölzl and Nipkow provide a framework for specifying discrete- and continuous-time Markov chains.

In the following, we instantiate their framework by formalizing right-stochastic matrices and stochastic vectors. These vectors encode probability

---

\*Supported by FWF (Austrian Science Fund) project Y757.

mass functions over a finite set of states, whereas stochastic matrices can be utilized to model discrete-time and finite space Markov chains.

The formulation of Markov chains as matrices has the advantage that certain concepts can easily be expressed via matrices. For instance, a stationary distribution is nothing else than a non-negative real eigenvector of the transition matrix for eigenvalue 1. As a consequence, we can derive certain properties on Markov chains using results on matrices. To be more precise, we utilize the formalization of the Perron–Frobenius theorem [1] to prove that a stationary distribution always exists, and that it is unique if the transition matrix is irreducible.

## 2 Stochastic Matrices

We define a type for stochastic vectors and right-stochastic matrices, i.e., non-negative real vectors and matrices where the sum of each column is 1. For this type we define a matrix-vector multiplication, i.e., we show that  $A*v$  is a stochastic vector, if  $A$  is a right-stochastic matrix and  $v$  a stochastic vector.

**theory** *Stochastic-Matrix*

**imports** *Perron-Frobenius.Perron-Frobenius-Aux*  
**begin**

**definition** *non-neg-vec* :: 'a :: linordered-idom ^ 'n  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
*non-neg-vec* A  $\equiv$  ( $\forall$  i. A \$ i  $\geq$  0)

**definition** *stoch-vec* :: 'a :: comm-ring-1 ^ 'n  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
*stoch-vec* v = (sum ( $\lambda$  i. v \$ i) UNIV = 1)

**definition** *right-stoch-mat* :: 'a :: comm-ring-1 ^ 'n ^ 'm  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
*right-stoch-mat* a = ( $\forall$  j. *stoch-vec* (column j a))

**typedef** 'i st-mat = { a :: real ^ 'i ^ 'i. *non-neg-mat* a  $\wedge$  *right-stoch-mat* a }  
**morphisms** *st-mat* *Abs-st-mat*  
**by** (rule exI[of -  $\chi$  i j. if i = undefined then 1 else 0],  
auto simp: *non-neg-mat-def elements-mat-h-def right-stoch-mat-def stoch-vec-def column-def*)

**setup-lifting** *type-definition-st-mat*

**typedef** 'i st-vec = { v :: real ^ 'i. *non-neg-vec* v  $\wedge$  *stoch-vec* v }  
**morphisms** *st-vec* *Abs-st-vec*  
**by** (rule exI[of -  $\chi$  i. if i = undefined then 1 else 0],  
auto simp: *non-neg-vec-def stoch-vec-def*)

**setup-lifting** *type-definition-st-vec*

**lift-definition** *transition-vec-of-st-mat* :: 'i :: finite st-mat  $\Rightarrow$  'i  $\Rightarrow$  'i st-vec

**is**  $\lambda a i. \text{column } i a$   
**by** (*auto simp: right-stoch-mat-def non-neg-mat-def stoch-vec-def elements-mat-h-def non-neg-vec-def column-def*)

**lemma non-neg-vec-st-vec: non-neg-vec (st-vec v)**  
**by** (*transfer, auto*)

**lemma non-neg-mat-mult-non-neg-vec: non-neg-mat a  $\implies$  non-neg-vec v  $\implies$  non-neg-vec (a \* v v)**  
**unfolding non-neg-mat-def non-neg-vec-def elements-mat-h-def**  
**by** (*auto simp: matrix-vector-mult-def intro!: sum-nonneg*)

**lemma right-stoch-mat-mult-stoch-vec: assumes right-stoch-mat a and stoch-vec v**  
**shows stoch-vec (a \* v v)**  
**proof** –  
**note** \* = *assms[unfolded right-stoch-mat-def column-def stoch-vec-def, simplified]*  
**have**  $\text{stoch-vec } (a * v v) = ((\sum_{i \in \text{UNIV}}. \sum_{j \in \text{UNIV}}. a \ \$ \ i \ \$ \ j * v \ \$ \ j) = 1)$   
**(is** - = (*?sum = 1*))  
**unfolding stoch-vec-def matrix-vector-mult-def by auto**  
**also have** *?sum* =  $(\sum_{j \in \text{UNIV}}. \sum_{i \in \text{UNIV}}. a \ \$ \ i \ \$ \ j * v \ \$ \ j)$   
**by** (*rule sum.swap*)  
**also have** ... =  $(\sum_{j \in \text{UNIV}}. v \ \$ \ j)$   
**by** (*rule sum.cong[OF refl], insert \*, auto simp: sum-distrib-right[symmetric]*)  
**also have** ... = 1 **using** \* **by auto**  
**finally show** *?thesis* **by simp**  
**qed**

**lift-definition st-mat-times-st-vec :: 'i :: finite st-mat  $\Rightarrow$  'i st-vec  $\Rightarrow$  'i st-vec**  
**(infixl \*st 70) is (\*v)**  
**using right-stoch-mat-mult-stoch-vec non-neg-mat-mult-non-neg-vec by auto**

**lift-definition to-st-vec :: real ^ 'i  $\Rightarrow$  'i st-vec is**  
 $\lambda x. \text{if stoch-vec } x \wedge \text{non-neg-vec } x \text{ then } x \text{ else } (\chi \ i. \text{if } i = \text{undefined then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$   
**by** (*auto simp: non-neg-vec-def stoch-vec-def*)

**lemma right-stoch-mat-st-mat: right-stoch-mat (st-mat A)**  
**by transfer auto**

**lemma non-neg-mat-st-mat: non-neg-mat (st-mat A)**  
**by** (*transfer, auto simp: non-neg-mat-def elements-mat-h-def*)

**lemma st-mat-mult-st-vec: st-mat A \*v st-vec X = st-vec (A \*st X) by** (*transfer, auto*)

**lemma st-vec-nonneg[simp]: st-vec x  $\ \$ \ i \geq 0$**   
**using non-neg-vec-st-vec[of x] by** (*auto simp: non-neg-vec-def*)

```

lemma st-mat-nonneg[simp]: st-mat  $x$   $\$ i$   $\$ j \geq 0$ 
  using non-neg-mat-st-mat[of  $x$ ] by (auto simp: non-neg-mat-def elements-mat-h-def)

end

```

### 3 Stochastic Vectors and Probability Mass Functions

We prove that over a finite type, stochastic vectors and probability mass functions are essentially the same thing: one can convert between both representations.

```

theory Stochastic-Vector-PMF
  imports Stochastic-Matrix
  HOL-Probability.Probability-Mass-Function
begin

```

```

lemma sigma-algebra-UNIV-finite[simp]: sigma-algebra (UNIV :: 'a :: finite set)
  UNIV
proof (unfold-locales, goal-cases)
  case ( $\lambda a b$ )
  show ?case by (intro exI[of - { $a-b$ }], auto)
qed auto

```

```

definition measure-of-st-vec' :: 'a st-vec  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: finite set  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal where
  measure-of-st-vec'  $x$   $I = \text{sum } (\lambda i. \text{st-vec } x \$ i) I$ 

```

```

lemma positive-measure-of-st-vec'[simp]: positive  $A$  (measure-of-st-vec'  $x$ )
  unfolding measure-of-st-vec'-def positive-def by auto

```

```

lemma measure-space-measure-of-st-vec': measure-space UNIV UNIV (measure-of-st-vec'
 $x$ )

```

```

  unfolding measure-space-def
proof (simp, simp add: countably-additive-def measure-of-st-vec'-def disjoint-family-on-def,
clarify, goal-cases)
  case ( $1 A$ )
  let ? $x = \text{st-vec } x$ 
  define  $N$  where  $N = \{i. A i \neq \{\}\}$ 
  let ? $A = \bigcup (A \text{ ' } N)$ 
  have finite  $B \Longrightarrow B \subseteq ?A \Longrightarrow \exists K. \text{finite } K \wedge K \subseteq N \wedge B \subseteq \bigcup (A \text{ ' } K)$  for  $B$ 
proof (induct rule: finite-induct)
  case (insert b B)
  from insert(3-4) obtain  $K$  where  $K: \text{finite } K K \subseteq N B \subseteq \bigcup (A \text{ ' } K)$  by
auto
  from insert(4) obtain  $a$  where  $a \in N b \in A a$  by auto
  show ?case by (intro exI[of - insert a K], insert a K, auto)
qed auto
from this[OF - subset-refl] obtain  $K$  where *: finite  $K K \subseteq N \bigcup (A \text{ ' } K) =$ 

```

```

?A by auto
{
  assume  $K \subset N$ 
  then obtain  $n$  where **:  $n \in N$   $n \notin K$  by auto
  from this[unfolding  $N$ -def] obtain  $a$  where  $a: a \in A$   $n$  by auto
  with ** * obtain  $k$  where ***:  $k \in K$   $a \in A$   $k$  by force
  from ** *** have  $n \neq k$  by auto
  from 1[rule-format, OF this] have  $A \cap n \cap A \cap k = \{\}$  by auto
  with ***  $a$  have False by auto
}
with * have  $fin$ : finite  $N$  by auto
have  $id$ :  $\bigcup (A \text{ ' } UNIV) = ?A$  unfolding  $N$ -def by auto
show  $(\sum i. \text{ennreal } (sum ((\$h) ?x) (A \ i))) =$ 
   $\text{ennreal } (sum ((\$h) ?x) (\bigcup (A \text{ ' } UNIV)))$  unfolding  $id$ 
  apply (subst  $suminf$ -finite[OF  $fin$ ], (auto simp:  $N$ -def)[1])
  apply (subst  $sum$ -ennreal, (insert non-neg-vec-st-vec[of  $x$ ], auto simp: non-neg-vec-def
intro!:  $sum$ -nonneg)[1])
  apply (rule  $arg$ -cong[of - - ennreal])
  apply (subst  $sum$ .UNION-disjoint[OF  $fin$ ], insert 1, auto)
done
qed

```

```

context begin
setup-lifting type-definition-measure

```

```

lift-definition measure-of-st-vec :: 'a st-vec  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: finite measure is
   $\lambda x. (UNIV, UNIV, \text{measure-of-st-vec } x)$ 
  by (auto simp: measure-space-measure-of-st-vec')

```

```

lemma sets-measure-of-st-vec[simp]: sets (measure-of-st-vec  $x$ ) = UNIV
  unfolding sets-def by (transfer, auto)

```

```

lemma space-measure-of-st-vec[simp]: space (measure-of-st-vec  $x$ ) = UNIV
  unfolding space-def by (transfer, auto)

```

```

lemma emeasure-measure-of-st-vec[simp]: emeasure (measure-of-st-vec  $x$ )  $I =$ 
   $sum (\lambda i. \text{st-vec } x \ \$ i) I$ 
  unfolding emeasure-def by (transfer', auto simp: measure-of-st-vec'-def)

```

```

lemma prob-space-measure-of-st-vec: prob-space (measure-of-st-vec  $x$ )
  by (unfold-locale, intro  $exI$ [of - UNIV], auto, transfer, auto simp: stoch-vec-def)
end

```

```

lift-definition st-vec-of-pmf :: 'i :: finite pmf  $\Rightarrow$  'i st-vec is
   $\lambda pmf. \text{vec-lambda } (pmf \ pmf)$ 
proof (intro conjI, goal-cases)
  case (2  $pmf$ )
  show stoch-vec (vec-lambda (pmf  $pmf$ ))
    unfolding stoch-vec-def

```

```

    apply auto
    apply (unfold measure-pmf-UNIV[of pmF, symmetric])
    by (simp add: measure-pmf-conv-infsetsum)
qed (auto simp: non-neg-vec-def stoch-vec-def)

context pmf-as-measure
begin
lift-definition pmf-of-st-vec :: 'a :: finite st-vec  $\Rightarrow$  'a pmf is measure-of-st-vec
proof (goal-cases)
  case (1 x)
  show ?case
    by (auto simp: prob-space-measure-of-st-vec measure-def)
      (rule AE-I[where N = {i. st-vec x $ i = 0}], auto)
qed

lemma st-vec-st-vec-of-pmf[simp]:
  st-vec (st-vec-of-pmf x) $ i = pmf x i
  by (simp add: st-vec-of-pmf.rep-eq)

lemma pmf-pmf-of-st-vec[simp]: pmf (pmf-of-st-vec x) i = st-vec x $ i
  by (transfer, auto simp: measure-def)

lemma st-vec-of-pmf-pmf-of-st-vec[simp]: st-vec-of-pmf (pmf-of-st-vec x) = x
proof -
  have st-vec (st-vec-of-pmf (pmf-of-st-vec x)) = st-vec x
    unfolding vec-eq-iff by auto
  thus ?thesis using st-vec-inject by blast
qed

lemma pmf-of-st-vec-inj: (pmf-of-st-vec x = pmf-of-st-vec y) = (x = y)
  by (metis st-vec-of-pmf-pmf-of-st-vec)
end
end

```

## 4 Stochastic Matrices and Markov Models

We interpret stochastic matrices as Markov chain with discrete time and finite state and prove that the bind-operation on probability mass functions is precisely matrix-vector multiplication. As a consequence, the notion of stationary distribution is equivalent to being an eigenvector with eigenvalue 1.

**theory** *Stochastic-Matrix-Markov-Models*

**imports**

*Markov-Models.Classifying-Markov-Chain-States*

*Stochastic-Vector-PMF*

**begin**

**definition** *transition-of-st-mat* :: 'i st-mat  $\Rightarrow$  'i :: finite  $\Rightarrow$  'i pmf **where**

$transition\text{-of}\text{-st}\text{-mat } a \ i = pmf\text{-as}\text{-measure}.pmf\text{-of}\text{-st}\text{-vec } (transition\text{-vec}\text{-of}\text{-st}\text{-mat } a \ i)$

**lemma**  $st\text{-vec}\text{-transition}\text{-vec}\text{-of}\text{-st}\text{-mat}[simp]$ :  
 $st\text{-vec } (transition\text{-vec}\text{-of}\text{-st}\text{-mat } A \ a) \ \$ \ i = st\text{-mat } A \ \$ \ i \ \$ \ a$   
**by** ( $transfer$ ,  $auto$   $simp$ :  $column\text{-def}$ )

**locale**  $transition\text{-matrix} = pmf\text{-as}\text{-measure} +$   
**fixes**  $A :: 'i :: finite\ st\text{-mat}$   
**begin**  
**sublocale**  $MC\text{-syntax } transition\text{-of}\text{-st}\text{-mat } A .$

**lemma**  $measure\text{-pmf}\text{-of}\text{-st}\text{-vec}[simp]$ :  $measure\text{-pmf } (pmf\text{-of}\text{-st}\text{-vec } x) = measure\text{-of}\text{-st}\text{-vec } x$   
**by** ( $rule\ pmf\text{-as}\text{-measure}.pmf\text{-of}\text{-st}\text{-vec}.rep\text{-eq}$ )

**lemma**  $pmf\text{-transition}\text{-of}\text{-st}\text{-mat}[simp]$ :  $pmf } (transition\text{-of}\text{-st}\text{-mat } A \ a) \ i = st\text{-mat } A \ \$ \ i \ \$ \ a$   
**unfolding**  $transition\text{-of}\text{-st}\text{-mat}\text{-def}$   
**by** ( $transfer$ ,  $auto$   $simp$ :  $measure\text{-def}$ )

**lemma**  $bind\text{-is}\text{-matrix}\text{-vector}\text{-mult}$ :  $(bind\text{-pmf } x \ (transition\text{-of}\text{-st}\text{-mat } A)) = pmf\text{-as}\text{-measure}.pmf\text{-of}\text{-st}\text{-vec } (A \ *st \ st\text{-vec}\text{-of}\text{-pmf } x)$   
**proof** ( $rule\ pmf\text{-eqI}$ ,  $goal\text{-cases}$ )  
**case** ( $1 \ i$ )  
**define**  $X$  **where**  $X = st\text{-vec}\text{-of}\text{-pmf } x$   
**have**  $pmf } (bind\text{-pmf } x \ (transition\text{-of}\text{-st}\text{-mat } A)) \ i =$   
 $(\sum a \in UNIV. pmf \ x \ a \ *_R \ pmf } (transition\text{-of}\text{-st}\text{-mat } A \ a) \ i)$   
**unfolding**  $pmf\text{-bind}$  **by** ( $subst\ integral\text{-measure}\text{-pmf}[of \ UNIV]$ ,  $auto$ )  
**also have**  $\dots = (\sum a \in UNIV. st\text{-mat } A \ \$ \ i \ \$ \ a \ *_v \ st\text{-vec } X \ \$ \ a)$   
**by** ( $rule\ sum.cong[OF \ refl]$ ,  $auto$   $simp$ :  $X\text{-def}$ )  
**also have**  $\dots = (st\text{-mat } A \ *_v \ st\text{-vec } X) \ \$ \ i$   
**unfolding**  $matrix\text{-vector}\text{-mult}\text{-def}$  **by**  $auto$   
**also have**  $\dots = st\text{-vec } (A \ *st \ X) \ \$ \ i$  **unfolding**  $st\text{-mat}\text{-mult}\text{-st}\text{-vec}$  **by**  $simp$   
**also have**  $\dots = pmf } (pmf\text{-of}\text{-st}\text{-vec } (A \ *st \ X)) \ i$  **by**  $simp$   
**finally show**  $?case$  **by** ( $simp\ add$ :  $X\text{-def}$ )  
**qed**

**lemmas**  $stationary\text{-distribution}\text{-alt}\text{-def} =$   
 $stationary\text{-distribution}\text{-def}[unfolding \ bind\text{-is}\text{-matrix}\text{-vector}\text{-mult}]$

**lemma**  $stationary\text{-distribution}\text{-implies}\text{-pmf}\text{-of}\text{-st}\text{-vec}$ :  
**assumes**  $stationary\text{-distribution } N$   
**shows**  $\exists x. N = pmf\text{-of}\text{-st}\text{-vec } x$   
**proof** –  
**from**  $assms[unfolding \ stationary\text{-distribution}\text{-alt}\text{-def}]$  **show**  $?thesis$  **by**  $auto$   
**qed**

**lemma**  $stationary\text{-distribution}\text{-pmf}\text{-of}\text{-st}\text{-vec}$ :

```

    stationary-distribution (pmf-of-st-vec x) = (A *st x = x)
  unfolding stationary-distribution-alt-def pmf-of-st-vec-inj by auto
end
end

```

## 5 Eigenspaces

Using results on Jordan-Normal forms, we prove that the geometric multiplicity of an eigenvalue (i.e., the dimension of the eigenspace) is bounded by the algebraic multiplicity of an eigenvalue (i.e., the multiplicity as root of the characteristic polynomial). As a consequence we derive that any two eigenvectors of some eigenvalue with multiplicity 1 must be scalar multiples of each other.

```

theory Eigenspace
imports
  Jordan-Normal-Form.Jordan-Normal-Form-Uniqueness
  Perron-Frobenius.Perron-Frobenius-Aux
begin
hide-const (open) Coset.order

```

The dimension of every generalized eigenspace is bounded by the algebraic multiplicity of an eigenvalue. Hence, in particular the geometric multiplicity is smaller than the algebraic multiplicity.

```

lemma dim-gen-eigenspace-order-char-poly: assumes jnf: jordan-nf A n-as
  shows dim-gen-eigenspace A lam k ≤ order lam (char-poly A)
  unfolding jordan-nf-order[OF jnf] dim-gen-eigenspace[OF jnf]
  by (induct n-as, auto)

```

Every eigenvector is contained in the eigenspace.

```

lemma eigenvector-mat-kernel-char-matrix: assumes A: A ∈ carrier-mat n n
  and ev: eigenvector A v lam
shows v ∈ mat-kernel (char-matrix A lam)
  using ev[unfolded eigenvector-char-matrix[OF A]] A
  unfolding mat-kernel-def by (auto simp: char-matrix-def)

```

If the algebraic multiplicity is one, then every two eigenvectors are scalar multiples of each other.

```

lemma unique-eigenvector-jnf: assumes jnf: jordan-nf (A :: 'a :: field mat) n-as
  and ord: order lam (char-poly A) = 1
  and ev: eigenvector A v lam eigenvector A w lam
shows ∃ a. v = a ·v w
proof -
  let ?cA = char-matrix A lam
  from similar-matD jnf[unfolded jordan-nf-def] obtain n where
    A: A ∈ carrier-mat n n by auto
  from dim-gen-eigenspace-order-char-poly[OF jnf, of lam 1, unfolded ord]

```



```

have dim: kernel-dim ?cA ≤ 1
  unfolding dim-gen-eigenspace-def by auto
from eigenvector-mat-kernel-char-matrix[OF A ev(1)]
have vk: v ∈ mat-kernel ?cA .
from eigenvector-mat-kernel-char-matrix[OF A ev(2)]
have wk: w ∈ mat-kernel ?cA .
from ev[unfolded eigenvector-def] A have
  v: v ∈ carrier-vec n v ≠ 0_v n and
  w: w ∈ carrier-vec n w ≠ 0_v n by auto
have cA: ?cA ∈ carrier-mat n n using A
  unfolding char-matrix-def by auto
interpret kernel n n ?cA
  by (unfold-locales, rule cA)
from kernel-basis-exists[OF A] obtain B where B: finite B basis B by auto
from this[unfolded Ker.basis-def] have basis: mat-kernel ?cA = span B by auto
{
  assume card B = 0
  with B basis have bas: mat-kernel ?cA = local.span {} by auto
  also have ... = {0_v n} unfolding Ker.span-def by auto
  finally have False using v vk by auto
}
with Ker.dim-basis[OF B] dim have card B = Suc 0 by (cases card B, auto)
hence ∃ b. B = {b} using card-eq-SucD by blast
then obtain b where Bb: B = {b} by blast
from B(2)[unfolded Bb Ker.basis-def] have bk: b ∈ mat-kernel ?cA by auto
hence b: b ∈ carrier-vec n using cA mat-kernelD(1) by blast
from Bb basis have mat-kernel ?cA = span {b} by auto
also have ... = NC.span {b}
  by (rule span-same, insert bk, auto)
also have ... ⊆ { a ·_v b | a. True }
proof -
{
  fix x
  assume x ∈ NC.span {b}
  from this[unfolded NC.span-def] obtain a A
    where x: x = NC.lincomb a A and A: A ⊆ {b} by auto
  hence A = {} ∨ A = {b} by auto
  hence ∃ a. x = a ·_v b
  proof
    assume A = {} thus ?thesis unfolding x using b by (intro exI[of - 0],
auto)
  next
    assume A = {b} thus ?thesis unfolding x using b
      by (intro exI[of - a b], auto simp: NC.lincomb-def)
  qed
}
thus ?thesis by auto
qed
finally obtain vv ww where vb: v = vv ·_v b and wb: w = ww ·_v b using vk wk

```

**by** *force+*  
**from** *wb w b* **have** *ww: ww ≠ 0* **by** *auto*  
**from** *arg-cong[OF wb, of λ x. inverse ww ·<sub>v</sub> x]* *w ww b* **have** *b = inverse ww ·<sub>v</sub> w*  
**by** (*auto simp: smult-smult-assoc*)  
**from** *vb[unfolded this smult-smult-assoc]* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*  
**qed**

Getting rid of the JNF-assumption for complex matrices.

**lemma** *unique-eigenvector-complex*: **assumes** *A: A ∈ carrier-mat n n*  
**and** *ord: order lam (char-poly A :: complex poly) = 1*  
**and** *ev: eigenvector A v lam eigenvector A w lam*  
**shows**  $\exists a. v = a \cdot_v w$   
**proof** –  
**from** *jordan-nf-exists[OF A] char-poly-factorized[OF A]* **obtain** *n-as*  
**where** *jordan-nf A n-as* **by** *auto*  
**from** *unique-eigenvector-jnf[OF this ord ev]* **show** *?thesis* .  
**qed**

Convert the result to real matrices via homomorphisms.

**lemma** *unique-eigenvector-real*: **assumes** *A: A ∈ carrier-mat n n*  
**and** *ord: order lam (char-poly A :: real poly) = 1*  
**and** *ev: eigenvector A v lam eigenvector A w lam*  
**shows**  $\exists a. v = a \cdot_v w$   
**proof** –  
**let** *?c = complex-of-real*  
**let** *?A = map-mat ?c A*  
**from** *A* **have** *cA: ?A ∈ carrier-mat n n* **by** *auto*  
**have** *ord: order (?c lam) (char-poly ?A) = 1*  
**unfolding** *of-real-hom.char-poly-hom[OF A]*  
**by** (*subst map-poly-inj-idom-divide-hom.order-hom, unfold-locales, rule ord*)  
**note** *evc = of-real-hom.eigenvector-hom[OF A]*  
**from** *unique-eigenvector-complex[OF cA ord evc evc, OF ev]* **obtain** *a :: complex*  
**where** *id: map-vec ?c v = a ·<sub>v</sub> map-vec ?c w* **by** *auto*  
**from** *ev[unfolded eigenvector-def] A* **have** *carr: v ∈ carrier-vec n w ∈ carrier-vec n*  
*v ≠ 0<sub>v</sub> n* **by** *auto*  
**then obtain** *i* **where** *i: i < n v \$ i ≠ 0* **unfolding** *Matrix.vec-eq-iff* **by** *auto*  
**from** *arg-cong[OF id, of λ x. x \$ i]* *carr i*  
**have** *?c (v \$ i) = a \* ?c (w \$ i)*  
**by** *auto*  
**with** *i(2)* **have** *a ∈ Reals*  
**by** (*metis Reals-cnj-iff complex-cnj-complex-of-real complex-cnj-mult mult-cancel-right mult-eq-0-iff of-real-hom.hom-zero of-real-hom.injectivity*)  
**then obtain** *b* **where** *a: a = ?c b* **by** (*rule Reals-cases*)  
**from** *id[unfolded a]* **have** *map-vec ?c v = map-vec ?c (b ·<sub>v</sub> w)* **by** *auto*  
**hence** *v = b ·<sub>v</sub> w* **by** (*rule of-real-hom.vec-hom-inj*)

```

thus ?thesis by auto
qed

```

Finally, the statement converted to HMA-world.

```

lemma unique-eigen-vector-real: assumes ord: order lam (charpoly A :: real poly)
= 1
and ev: eigen-vector A v lam eigen-vector A w lam
shows  $\exists a. v = a *s w$  using assms
proof (transfer, goal-cases)
case (1 lam A v w)
show ?case
by (rule unique-eigenvector-real[OF 1(1-2,4,6)])
qed

end

```

## 6 Stochastic Matrices and the Perron–Frobenius Theorem

Since a stationary distribution corresponds to a non-negative real eigenvector of the stochastic matrix, we can apply the Perron–Frobenius theorem. In this way we easily derive that every stochastic matrix has a stationary distribution, and moreover that this distribution is unique, if the matrix is irreducible, i.e., if the graph of the matrix is strongly connected.

```

theory Stochastic-Matrix-Perron-Frobenius

```

```

imports

```

```

  Perron-Frobenius.Perron-Frobenius-Irreducible

```

```

  Stochastic-Matrix-Markov-Models

```

```

  Eigenspace

```

```

begin

```

```

hide-const (open) Coset.order

```

```

lemma pf-nonneg-mat-st-mat: pf-nonneg-mat (st-mat A)

```

```

by (unfold-locales, auto simp: non-neg-mat-st-mat)

```

```

lemma stoch-non-neg-vec-norm1: assumes stoch-vec (v :: real ^ 'n) non-neg-vec
v

```

```

shows norm1 v = 1

```

```

unfolding assms(1)[unfolded stoch-vec-def, symmetric] norm1-def

```

```

by (rule sum.cong, insert assms(2)[unfolded non-neg-vec-def], auto)

```

```

lemma stationary-distribution-exists:  $\exists v. A *st v = v$ 

```

```

proof –

```

```

let ?A = st-mat A

```

```

let ?c = complex-of-real

```

```

let ?B =  $\chi$  i j. ?c (?A $ i $ j)

```

```

have real-non-neg-mat ?B using non-neg-mat-st-mat[of A]
  unfolding real-non-neg-mat-def elements-mat-h-def non-neg-mat-def
  by auto
from Perron-Frobenius.perron-frobenius-both[OF this] obtain v a where
  ev: eigen-vector ?B v (?c a) and nn: real-non-neg-vec v
  and a: a = HMA-Connect.spectral-radius ?B by auto
from spectral-radius-ev[of ?B, folded a] have a0: a ≥ 0 by auto
define w where w = (χ i. Re (v $ i))
from nn have vw: v = (χ i. ?c (w $ i)) unfolding real-non-neg-vec-def w-def
  by (auto simp: vec-elements-h-def)
from ev[unfolded eigen-vector-def] have v0: v ≠ 0 and ev: ?B *v v = ?c a *s
v by auto
from v0 have w0: w ≠ 0 unfolding vw by (auto simp: Finite-Cartesian-Product.vec-eq-iff)
{
  fix i
  from ev have Re ((?B *v v) $ i) = Re ((?c a *s v) $ i) by simp
  also have Re ((?c a *s v) $ i) = (a *s w) $ i unfolding vw by simp
  also have Re ((?B *v v) $ i) = (?A *v w) $ i unfolding vw
  by (simp add: matrix-vector-mult-def)
  also note calculation
}
hence ev: ?A *v w = a *s w by (auto simp: Finite-Cartesian-Product.vec-eq-iff)
from nn have nn: non-neg-vec w
  unfolding vw by (auto simp: real-non-neg-vec-def non-neg-vec-def vec-elements-h-def)

let ?n = norm1 w
from w0 have n0: ?n ≠ 0 by auto
hence n-pos: ?n > 0 using norm1-ge-0[of w] by linarith
define u where u = inverse ?n *s w
  have nn: non-neg-vec u using nn n-pos unfolding u-def non-neg-vec-def by
auto
  have nu: norm1 u = 1 unfolding u-def scalar-mult-eq-scaleR norm1-scaleR
using n-pos
  by (auto simp: field-simps)
have 1: stoch-vec u unfolding stoch-vec-def nu[symmetric] norm1-def
  by (rule sum.cong, insert nn[unfolded non-neg-vec-def], auto)
from arg-cong[OF ev, of λ x. inverse ?n *s x]
have ev: ?A *v u = a *s u unfolding u-def
  by (auto simp: ac-simps vector-smult-distrib matrix-vect-scaleR)
from right-stoch-mat-mult-stoch-vec[OF right-stoch-mat-st-mat[of A] 1, unfolded
ev]
have st: stoch-vec (a *s u) .
from non-neg-mat-mult-non-neg-vec[OF non-neg-mat-st-mat[of A] nn, unfolded
ev]
have nn': non-neg-vec (a *s u) .
from stoch-non-neg-vec-norm1[OF st nn', unfolded scalar-mult-eq-scaleR norm1-scaleR
nu] a0
have a = 1 by auto
with ev st have ev: ?A *v u = u and st: stoch-vec u by auto

```

```

  show ?thesis using ev st nn
  by (intro exI[of - to-st-vec u], transfer, auto)
qed

lemma stationary-distribution-unique:
  assumes fixed-mat.irreducible (st-mat A)
  shows  $\exists! v. A *st v = v$ 
proof -
  from stationary-distribution-exists obtain v where ev:  $A *st v = v$  by auto
  show ?thesis
  proof (intro ex1I, rule ev)
    fix w
    assume  $A *st w = w$ 
    thus  $w = v$  using ev assms
  proof (transfer, goal-cases)
    case (1 A w v)
    interpret perron-frobenius A
    by (unfold-locales, insert 1, auto)
    from 1 have *: eigen-vector A v 1 le-vec 0 v eigen-vector A w 1
    by (auto simp: eigen-vector-def stoch-vec-def non-neg-vec-def)
    from nonnegative-eigenvector-has-ev-sr[OF *(1-2)] have sr1:  $sr = 1$  by
  auto
  from multiplicity-sr-1[unfolded sr1] have order 1 (charpoly A) = 1 .
  from unique-eigen-vector-real[OF this *(1,3)] obtain a where
    vw:  $v = a *s w$  by auto
  from 1(2,4)[unfolded stoch-vec-def] have sum (( $\$h$ ) v) UNIV = sum (( $\$h$ )
w) UNIV by auto
  also have sum (( $\$h$ ) v) UNIV =  $a * sum (( $\$h$ ) w) UNIV$  unfolding vw
  by (auto simp: sum-distrib-left)
  finally have  $a = 1$  using 1(2)[unfolded stoch-vec-def] by auto
  with vw show  $v = w$  by auto
  qed
  qed
qed

```

Let us now convert the stationary distribution results from matrices to Markov chains.

```

context transition-matrix
begin

```

```

lemma stationary-distribution-exists:
   $\exists x. stationary-distribution (pmf-of-st-vec x)$ 
proof -
  from stationary-distribution-exists obtain x where ev:  $A *st x = x$  by auto
  show ?thesis
  by (intro exI[of - x], unfold stationary-distribution-pmf-of-st-vec,
    simp add: ev)
qed

```

```

lemma stationary-distribution-unique: assumes fixed-mat.irreducible (st-mat A)
shows  $\exists!$  N. stationary-distribution N
proof –
from stationary-distribution-exists obtain x where
  st: stationary-distribution (pmf-of-st-vec x) by blast
show ?thesis
proof (rule ex1I, rule st)
  fix N
  assume st': stationary-distribution N
  from stationary-distribution-implies-pmf-of-st-vec[OF this] obtain y where
    N: N = pmf-of-st-vec y by auto
  from st'[unfolded N] st
  have A *st x = x A *st y = y unfolding stationary-distribution-pmf-of-st-vec
by auto
  from stationary-distribution-unique[OF assms] this have x = y by auto
  with N show N = pmf-of-st-vec x by auto
qed
qed
end
end

```

## References

- [1] J. Divasón, O. Kunčar, R. Thiemann, and A. Yamada. Perron-frobenius theorem for spectral radius analysis. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, May 2016. [http://isa-afp.org/entries/Perron\\_Frobenius.html](http://isa-afp.org/entries/Perron_Frobenius.html), Formal proof development.
- [2] J. Hölzl and T. Nipkow. Markov models. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, Jan. 2012. [http://isa-afp.org/entries/Markov\\_Models.html](http://isa-afp.org/entries/Markov_Models.html), Formal proof development.