

Standard Borel Spaces

Michikazu Hirata

March 19, 2025

Abstract

This entry includes a formalization of standard Borel spaces and (a variant of) the Borel isomorphism theorem. A separable complete metrizable topological space is called a polish space and a measurable space generated from a polish space is called a standard Borel space. We formalize the notion of standard Borel spaces by establishing set-based metric spaces, and then prove (a variant of) the Borel isomorphism theorem. The theorem states that a standard Borel spaces is either a countable discrete space or isomorphic to \mathbb{R} .

Contents

1 Lemmas	2
1.1 Lemmas for Abstract Topology	2
1.1.1 Generated By	2
1.1.2 Isolated Point	3
1.1.3 Perfect Set	4
1.1.4 Bases and Sub-Bases in Abstract Topology	5
1.1.5 Separable Spaces	8
1.1.6 G_δ Set	9
1.1.7 Continuous Maps on First Countable Topology	10
1.1.8 Upper-Semicontinuous Functions	10
1.1.9 Lower-Semicontinuous Functions	11
1.2 Lemmas for Measure Theory	11
1.2.1 Lemmas for Measurable Sets	11
1.2.2 Measurable Isomorphisms	13
1.2.3 Borel Spaces Generated from Abstract Topologies . .	16
1.3 Lemmas for Abstract Metric Spaces	19
1.3.1 Basic Lemmas	19
1.3.2 Dense in Metric Spaces	20
1.3.3 Separability in Metric Spaces	25
1.3.4 Compact Metric Spaces	26
1.3.5 Discrete Distance	27
1.3.6 Binary Product Metric Spaces	27

1.3.7	Sum Metric Spaces	29
1.3.8	Product Metric Spaces	31
2	Abstract Polish Spaces	35
2.1	Polish Spaces	35
2.2	Extended Reals and Non-Negative Extended Reals	36
2.3	Continuous Embddings	36
2.3.1	Embedding into Hilbert Cube	37
2.3.2	Embedding from Cantor Space	37
2.4	Borel Spaces generated from Polish Spaces	37
3	Standard Borel Spaces	38
3.1	Standard Borel Spaces	38
3.2	Isomorphism between \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{H}	42
3.3	Final Results	42

We refer to the HOL-Analysis library, the textbooks by Matsuzaka [2] and Srivastava [3], and the lecture note by Biskup [1].

1 Lemmas

```
theory Lemmas-StandardBorel
imports HOL-Probability.Probability
begin
```

1.1 Lemmas for Abstract Topology

1.1.1 Generated By

```
lemma topology-generated-by-sub:
assumes ⋀ U. U ∈ ℰ ⟹ (openin X U)
and openin (topology-generated-by ℰ) U
shows openin X U
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma topology-generated-by-open:
S = topology-generated-by {U | U . openin S U}
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma topology-generated-by-eq:
assumes ⋀ U. U ∈ ℰ ⟹ (openin (topology-generated-by ℬ) U)
and ⋀ U. U ∈ ℬ ⟹ (openin (topology-generated-by ℰ) U)
shows topology-generated-by ℬ = topology-generated-by ℰ
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma topology-generated-by-homeomorphic-spaces:
assumes homeomorphic-map X Y f X = topology-generated-by ℬ
shows Y = topology-generated-by ((‘) f ‘ ℬ)
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *open-map-generated-topo*:

assumes $\bigwedge u. u \in U \implies \text{openin } S (f \cdot u)$ *inj-on f (topspace (topology-generated-by U))*

shows *open-map (topology-generated-by U) S f*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subtopology-generated-by*:

subtopology (topology-generated-by O) T = topology-generated-by {T ∩ U | U. U ∈ O}

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prod-topology-generated-by*:

topology-generated-by {U × V | U V. U ∈ O ∧ V ∈ U} = prod-topology (topology-generated-by O) (topology-generated-by U)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prod-topology-generated-by-open*:

prod-topology S S' = topology-generated-by {U × V | U V. openin S U ∧ openin S' V}

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *product-topology-cong*:

assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies S i = K i$

shows *product-topology S I = product-topology K I*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *topology-generated-by-without-empty*:

topology-generated-by O = topology-generated-by {U ∈ O. U ≠ {}}

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *topology-from-bij*:

assumes *bij-betw f A (topspace S)*

shows *homeomorphic-map (pullback-topology A f S) S f topspace (pullback-topology A f S) = A*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *openin-pullback-topology'*:

assumes *bij-betw f A (topspace S)*

shows *openin (pullback-topology A f S) u ↔ (openin S (f · u)) ∧ u ⊆ A*

$\langle proof \rangle$

1.1.2 Isolated Point

definition *isolated-points-of :: 'a topology ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a set (infixr <isolated'-points'-of 80)* **where**

$X \text{ isolated-points-of } A \equiv \{x \in \text{topspace } X \cap A. x \notin X \text{ derived-set-of } A\}$

lemma *isolated-points-of-eq*:

$X \text{ isolated-points-of } A = \{x \in \text{topspace } X \cap A. \exists U. x \in U \wedge \text{openin } X U \wedge U \cap (A - \{x\}) = \{\}\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-isolated-points-of*:

$x \in X \text{ isolated-points-of } A \longleftrightarrow x \in \text{topspace } X \wedge x \in A \wedge (\exists U. x \in U \wedge \text{openin } X U \wedge U \cap (A - \{x\}) = \{\})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *derived-set-of-eq*:

$x \in X \text{ derived-set-of } A \longleftrightarrow x \in X \text{ closure-of } (A - \{x\})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

1.1.3 Perfect Set

definition *perfect-set* :: 'a topology \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow bool **where**

$\text{perfect-set } X A \longleftrightarrow \text{closedin } X A \wedge X \text{ isolated-points-of } A = \{\}$

abbreviation *perfect-space* $X \equiv \text{perfect-set } X (\text{topspace } X)$

lemma *perfect-space-euclidean*: *perfect-space* (*euclidean* :: 'a :: *perfect-space topology*)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *perfect-setI*:

assumes *closedin* $X A$

and $\bigwedge x T. [x \in A; x \in T; \text{openin } X T] \implies \exists y \neq x. y \in T \wedge y \in A$

shows *perfect-set* $X A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *perfect-spaceI*:

assumes $\bigwedge x T. [x \in T; \text{openin } X T] \implies \exists y \neq x. y \in T$

shows *perfect-space* X

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *perfect-setD*:

assumes *perfect-set* $X A$

shows *closedin* $X A A \subseteq \text{topspace } X \wedge \bigwedge x T. [x \in A; x \in T; \text{openin } X T] \implies$

$\exists y \neq x. y \in T \wedge y \in A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *perfect-space-perfect*:

perfect-set euclidean (*UNIV* :: 'a :: *perfect-space set*)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *perfect-set-subtopology*:

assumes *perfect-set* $X A$

shows *perfect-space* (*subtopology* $X A$)

$\langle proof \rangle$

1.1.4 Bases and Sub-Bases in Abstract Topology

definition *subbase-in* :: [*'a topology*, *'a set set*] \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
subbase-in S O \longleftrightarrow *S = topology-generated-by O*

definition *base-in* :: [*'a topology*, *'a set set*] \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
base-in S O \longleftrightarrow ($\forall U$. *openin S U* \longleftrightarrow ($\exists \mathcal{U}$. $U = \bigcup \mathcal{U} \wedge \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$))

lemma *second-countable-base-in*: *second-countable S* \longleftrightarrow ($\exists \mathcal{O}$. *countable O* \wedge
base-in S O)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *zero-dimensional* :: *'a topology* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
zero-dimensional S \longleftrightarrow ($\exists \mathcal{O}$. *base-in S O* \wedge ($\forall u \in \mathcal{O}$. *openin S u* \wedge *closedin S u*))

lemma *openin-base*:
assumes *base-in S O* $U = \bigcup \mathcal{U}$ **and** $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$
shows *openin S U*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *base-is-subbase*:
assumes *base-in S O*
shows *subbase-in S O*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subbase-in-subset*:
assumes *subbase-in S O* **and** $U \in \mathcal{O}$
shows $U \subseteq \text{topspace } S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subbase-in-openin*:
assumes *subbase-in S O* **and** $U \in \mathcal{O}$
shows *openin S U*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *base-in-subset*:
assumes *base-in S O* **and** $U \in \mathcal{O}$
shows $U \subseteq \text{topspace } S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *base-in-openin*:
assumes *base-in S O* **and** $U \in \mathcal{O}$
shows *openin S U*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *base-in-def2*:
assumes $\bigwedge U$. $U \in \mathcal{O} \implies \text{openin } S U$

shows *base-in S O* \longleftrightarrow ($\forall U. \text{openin } S U \longrightarrow (\forall x \in U. \exists W \in \mathcal{O}. x \in W \wedge W \subseteq U)$)
(proof)

lemma *base-in-def2'*:
base-in S O \longleftrightarrow ($\forall b \in \mathcal{O}. \text{openin } S b \wedge (\forall x. \text{openin } S x \longrightarrow (\exists B' \subseteq \mathcal{O}. \bigcup B' = x))$)
(proof)

corollary *base-in-in-subset*:
assumes *base-in S O openin S u x ∈ u*
shows $\exists v \in \mathcal{O}. x \in v \wedge v \subseteq u$
(proof)

lemma *base-in-without-empty*:
assumes *base-in S O*
shows *base-in S {U ∈ O. U ≠ {}}*
(proof)

lemma *second-countable-ex-without-empty*:
assumes *second-countable S*
shows $\exists \mathcal{O}. \text{countable } \mathcal{O} \wedge \text{base-in } S \mathcal{O} \wedge (\forall U \in \mathcal{O}. U \neq \{\})$
(proof)

lemma *subtopology-subbase-in*:
assumes *subbase-in S O*
shows *subbase-in (subtopology S T) {T ∩ U | U. U ∈ O}*
(proof)

lemma *subtopology-base-in*:
assumes *base-in S O*
shows *base-in (subtopology S T) {T ∩ U | U. U ∈ O}*
(proof)

lemma *second-countable-subtopology*:
assumes *second-countable S*
shows *second-countable (subtopology S T)*
(proof)

lemma *open-map-with-base*:
assumes *base-in S O* $\wedge A \in \mathcal{O} \implies \text{openin } S' (f^{-1} A)$
shows *open-map S S' f*
(proof)

Construct a base from a subbase.

lemma *finite'-intersection-of-idempot [simp]*:
finite' intersection-of finite' intersection-of P = finite' intersection-of P
(proof)

lemma *finite'-intersection-of-countable*:
assumes *countable* \mathcal{O}
shows *countable* (*Collect* (*finite' intersection-of* ($\lambda x. x \in \mathcal{O}$)))
{proof}

lemma *finite'-intersection-of-openin*:
assumes (*finite' intersection-of* ($\lambda x. x \in \mathcal{O}$)) U
shows *openin* (*topology-generated-by* \mathcal{O}) U
{proof}

lemma *topology-generated-by-finite-intersections*:
topology-generated-by \mathcal{O} = *topology-generated-by* (*Collect* (*finite' intersection-of* ($\lambda x. x \in \mathcal{O}$)))
{proof}

lemma *base-from-subbase*:
assumes *subbase-in* $S \mathcal{O}$
shows *base-in* S (*Collect* (*finite' intersection-of* ($\lambda x. x \in \mathcal{O}$)))
{proof}

lemma *countable-base-from-countable-subbase*:
assumes *countable* \mathcal{O} **and** *subbase-in* $S \mathcal{O}$
shows *second-countable* S
{proof}

lemma *prod-topology-second-countable*:
assumes *second-countable* S **and** *second-countable* S'
shows *second-countable* (*prod-topology* $S S'$)
{proof}

Abstract version of the theorem $\exists K. \text{topological-basis } K \wedge \text{countable } K \wedge (\forall k \in K. \exists X. k = \text{Pi}_E \text{ UNIV } X \wedge (\forall i. \text{open } (X i)) \wedge \text{finite } \{i. X i \neq \text{UNIV}\})$.

lemma *product-topology-countable-base-in*:
assumes *countable* I **and** $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{second-countable } (S i)$
shows $\exists \mathcal{O}'. \text{countable } \mathcal{O}' \wedge \text{base-in} (\text{product-topology } S I) \mathcal{O}' \wedge (\forall k \in \mathcal{O}'. \exists X. k = (\Pi_E i \in I. X i) \wedge (\forall i. \text{openin } (S i) (X i)) \wedge \text{finite } \{i. X i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\} \wedge \{i. X i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\} \subseteq I)$
{proof}

lemma *product-topology-second-countable*:
assumes *countable* I **and** $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{second-countable } (S i)$
shows *second-countable* (*product-topology* $S I$)
{proof}

lemma *second-countable-euclidean[simp]*:
second-countable (*euclidean* :: 'a :: *second-countable-topology topology*)
{proof}

lemma *Cantor-Bendixon*:
assumes *second-countable* X
shows $\exists U P. \text{countable } U \wedge \text{openin } X U \wedge \text{perfect-set } X P \wedge U \cup P = \text{topspace } X \wedge U \cap P = \{\} \wedge (\forall a \neq \{\}. \text{openin } (\text{subtopology } X P) a \rightarrow \text{uncountable } a)$
(proof)

1.1.5 Separable Spaces

definition *dense-in* :: $['a \text{ topology}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
dense-in $S U \longleftrightarrow (U \subseteq \text{topspace } S \wedge (\forall V. \text{openin } S V \rightarrow V \neq \{\}) \rightarrow U \cap V \neq \{\})$

lemma *dense-in-def2*:
dense-in $S U \longleftrightarrow (U \subseteq \text{topspace } S \wedge (S \text{ closure-of } U) = \text{topspace } S)$
(proof)

lemma *dense-in-topspace[simp]*: *dense-in* S (*topspace* S)
(proof)

lemma *dense-in-subset*:
assumes *dense-in* $S U$
shows $U \subseteq \text{topspace } S$
(proof)

lemma *dense-in-nonempty*:
assumes *topspace* $S \neq \{\}$ *dense-in* $S U$
shows $U \neq \{\}$
(proof)

lemma *dense-inI*:
assumes $U \subseteq \text{topspace } S$
and $\bigwedge V. \text{openin } S V \rightarrow V \neq \{\} \rightarrow U \cap V \neq \{\}$
shows *dense-in* $S U$
(proof)

lemma *dense-in-infinite*:
assumes *t1-space* X *infinite* (*topspace* X) *dense-in* $X U$
shows *infinite* U
(proof)

lemma *dense-in-prod*:
assumes *dense-in* $S U$ **and** *dense-in* $S' U'$
shows *dense-in* (*prod-topology* $S S'$) ($U \times U'$)
(proof)

lemma *separable-space-def2:separable-space* $S \longleftrightarrow (\exists U. \text{countable } U \wedge \text{dense-in } S U)$
(proof)

```

lemma countable-space-separable-space:
  assumes countable (topspace S)
  shows separable-space S
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma separable-space-prod:
  assumes separable-space S and separable-space S'
  shows separable-space (prod-topology S S')
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dense-in-product:
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{dense-in } (T i) (U i)$ 
  shows dense-in (product-topology T I) ( $\prod_{i \in I} U i$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma separable-countable-product:
  assumes countable I and  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{separable-space } (T i)$ 
  shows separable-space (product-topology T I)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma separable-finite-product:
  assumes finite I and  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{separable-space } (T i)$ 
  shows separable-space (product-topology T I)
  ⟨proof⟩

1.1.6  $G_\delta$  Set

lemma gdelta-inD:
  assumes gdelta-in S A
  shows  $\exists \mathcal{U}. \mathcal{U} \neq \{\} \wedge \text{countable } \mathcal{U} \wedge (\forall b \in \mathcal{U}. \text{openin } S b) \wedge A = \bigcap \mathcal{U}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gdelta-inD':
  assumes gdelta-in S A
  shows  $\exists U. (\forall n : \text{nat}. \text{openin } S (U n)) \wedge A = \bigcap (\text{range } U)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gdelta-in-continuous-map:
  assumes continuous-map X Y f gdelta-in Y a
  shows gdelta-in X (f  ${}^{-1}$  a  $\cap$  topspace X)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma g-delta-of-inj-open-map:
  assumes open-map X Y f inj-on f (topspace X) gdelta-in X a
  shows gdelta-in Y (f  ${}^1$  a)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gdelta-in-prod:
  assumes gdelta-in X A gdelta-in Y B

```

shows *gdelta-in* (*prod-topology* *X Y*) (*A* × *B*)
(proof)

corollary *gdelta-in-prod1*:

assumes *gdelta-in X A*
shows *gdelta-in* (*prod-topology* *X Y*) (*A* × *topspace Y*)
(proof)

corollary *gdelta-in-prod2*:

assumes *gdelta-in Y B*
shows *gdelta-in* (*prod-topology* *X Y*) (*topspace X* × *B*)
(proof)

lemma *continuous-map-imp-closed-graph'*:

assumes *continuous-map X Y f Hausdorff-space Y*
shows *closedin* (*prod-topology* *Y X*) (($\lambda x. (f x, x)$) ‘ *topspace X*)
(proof)

1.1.7 Continuous Maps on First Countable Topology

Generalized version of *Metric-space* ?*M* ?*d* \implies *eventually* ?*P* (*atin* (*Metric-space.mtopology* ?*M* ?*d*) ?*a*) = ($\forall \sigma.$ *range* *σ* \subseteq ?*M* – {?*a*} \wedge *limitin* (*Metric-space.mtopology* ?*M* ?*d*) *σ* ?*a* *sequentially* \longrightarrow ($\forall_F n$ *in sequentially*. ?*P* (*σ* *n*)))

lemma *eventually-atin-sequentially*:

assumes *first-countable X*
shows *eventually P* (*atin X a*) \longleftrightarrow ($\forall \sigma.$ *range* *σ* \subseteq *topspace X* – {*a*} \wedge *limitin* *X σ a sequentially* \longrightarrow *eventually* ($\lambda n. P (\sigma n)$) *sequentially*)
(proof)

lemma *continuous-map-iff-limit-seq*:

assumes *first-countable X*
shows *continuous-map X Y f* \longleftrightarrow ($\forall xn x.$ *limitin* *X xn x sequentially* \longrightarrow *limitin* *Y* ($\lambda n. f (xn n)$) (*f x*) *sequentially*)
(proof)

1.1.8 Upper-Semicontinuous Functions

definition *upper-semicontinuous-map* :: [*'a topology*, *'a* \Rightarrow *'b* :: *linorder-topology*]
 \Rightarrow *bool where*
upper-semicontinuous-map X f \longleftrightarrow ($\forall a.$ *openin X* {*x* \in *topspace X*. *f x < a*})

lemma *continuous-upper-semicontinuous*:

assumes *continuous-map X (euclidean :: ('b :: linorder-topology) topology) f*
shows *upper-semicontinuous-map X f*
(proof)

lemma *upper-semicontinuous-map-iff-closed*:

upper-semicontinuous-map X f \longleftrightarrow ($\forall a.$ *closedin X* {*x* \in *topspace X*. *f x ≥ a*})
(proof)

```

lemma upper-semicontinuous-map-real-iff:
  fixes  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{real}$ 
  shows upper-semicontinuous-map  $X f \longleftrightarrow \text{upper-semicontinuous-map } X (\lambda x. \text{ereal } (f x))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

1.1.9 Lower-Semicontinuous Functions

```

definition lower-semicontinuous-map :: '[' $a$  topology, ' $a \Rightarrow 'b :: \text{linorder-topology}$ ]  $\Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where
lower-semicontinuous-map  $X f \longleftrightarrow (\forall a. \text{openin } X \{x \in \text{topspace } X. a < f x\})$ 

```

```

lemma continuous-lower-semicontinuous:
  assumes continuous-map  $X (\text{euclidean} :: ('b :: \text{linorder-topology}) \text{ topology}) f$ 
  shows lower-semicontinuous-map  $X f$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma lower-semicontinuous-map-iff-closed:
lower-semicontinuous-map  $X f \longleftrightarrow (\forall a. \text{closedin } X \{x \in \text{topspace } X. f x \leq a\})$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma lower-semicontinuous-map-real-iff:
  fixes  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{real}$ 
  shows lower-semicontinuous-map  $X f \longleftrightarrow \text{lower-semicontinuous-map } X (\lambda x. \text{ereal } (f x))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

1.2 Lemmas for Measure Theory

1.2.1 Lemmas for Measurable Sets

```

lemma measurable-preserve-sigma-sets:
  assumes sets  $M = \text{sigma-sets } \Omega S S \subseteq \text{Pow } \Omega$ 
     $\wedge a. a \in S \implies f ` a \in \text{sets } N \text{ inj-on } f (\text{space } M) f ` \text{space } M \in \text{sets } N$ 
    and  $b \in \text{sets } M$ 
  shows  $f ` b \in \text{sets } N$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

inductive-set sigma-sets-cinter :: ' $a$  set  $\Rightarrow 'a$  set set  $\Rightarrow 'a$  set set
  for sp :: ' $a$  set and A :: ' $a$  set set
  where
    Basic-c[intro, simp]:  $a \in A \implies a \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter } sp A$ 
    | Top-c[simp]:  $sp \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter } sp A$ 
    | Inter-c:  $(\bigwedge i::\text{nat}. a i \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter } sp A) \implies (\bigcap i. a i) \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter } sp A$ 
    | Union-c:  $(\bigwedge i::\text{nat}. a i \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter } sp A) \implies (\bigcup i. a i) \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter } sp A$ 

```

```

inductive-set sigma-sets-cinter-dunion :: ' $a$  set  $\Rightarrow 'a$  set set  $\Rightarrow 'a$  set set

```

```

for sp :: 'a set and A :: 'a set set
where
  Basic-cd[intro, simp]:  $a \in A \implies a \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter-dunion } sp A$ 
  | Top-cd[simp]:  $sp \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter-dunion } sp A$ 
  | Inter-cd:  $(\bigwedge i:\text{nat. } a_i \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter-dunion } sp A) \implies (\bigcap i. a_i) \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter-dunion } sp A$ 
  | Union-cd:  $(\bigwedge i:\text{nat. } a_i \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter-dunion } sp A) \implies \text{disjoint-family } a \implies (\bigcup i. a_i) \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter-dunion } sp A$ 

lemma sigma-sets-cinter-dunion-subset:  $\text{sigma-sets-cinter-dunion } sp A \subseteq \text{sigma-sets-cinter } sp A$ 
proof

lemma sigma-sets-cinter-into-sp:
assumes  $A \subseteq \text{Pow } sp$   $x \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter } sp A$ 
shows  $x \subseteq sp$ 
proof

lemma sigma-sets-cinter-dunion-into-sp:
assumes  $A \subseteq \text{Pow } sp$   $x \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter-dunion } sp A$ 
shows  $x \subseteq sp$ 
proof

lemma sigma-sets-cinter-int:
assumes  $a \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter } sp A$   $b \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter } sp A$ 
shows  $a \cap b \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter } sp A$ 
proof

lemma sigma-sets-cinter-dunion-int:
assumes  $a \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter-dunion } sp A$   $b \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter-dunion } sp A$ 
shows  $a \cap b \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter-dunion } sp A$ 
proof

lemma sigma-sets-cinter-un:
assumes  $a \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter } sp A$   $b \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter } sp A$ 
shows  $a \cup b \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter } sp A$ 
proof

lemma sigma-sets-eq-cinter-dunion:
assumes metrizable-space X
shows sigma-sets (topspace X) {U. openin X U} = sigma-sets-cinter-dunion (topspace X) {U. openin X U}
proof

lemma sigma-sets-eq-cinter:
assumes metrizable-space X
shows sigma-sets (topspace X) {U. openin X U} = sigma-sets-cinter (topspace X) {U. openin X U}
proof

```

1.2.2 Measurable Isomorphisms

definition measurable-isomorphic-map::['a measure, 'b measure, 'a \Rightarrow 'b] \Rightarrow bool

where

measurable-isomorphic-map $M N f \longleftrightarrow$ bij-betw f (space M) (space N) $\wedge f \in M \rightarrow_M N \wedge$ the-inv-into (space M) $f \in N \rightarrow_M M$

lemma measurable-isomorphic-map-sets-cong:

assumes sets $M =$ sets M' sets $N =$ sets N'

shows measurable-isomorphic-map $M N f \longleftrightarrow$ measurable-isomorphic-map $M' N' f$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma measurable-isomorphic-map-surj:

assumes measurable-isomorphic-map $M N f$

shows $f`$ space $M =$ space N

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma measurable-isomorphic-mapI:

assumes bij-betw f (space M) (space N) $f \in M \rightarrow_M N$ the-inv-into (space M) $f \in N \rightarrow_M M$

shows measurable-isomorphic-map $M N f$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma measurable-isomorphic-map-byWitness:

assumes $f \in M \rightarrow_M N g \in N \rightarrow_M M \wedge \forall x. x \in$ space $M \implies g(f x) = x \wedge \forall x. x \in$ space $N \implies f(g x) = x$

shows measurable-isomorphic-map $M N f$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma measurable-isomorphic-map-restrict-space:

assumes $f \in M \rightarrow_M N \wedge \forall A. A \in$ sets $M \implies f` A \in$ sets N inj-on f (space M)

shows measurable-isomorphic-map M (restrict-space N ($f`$ space M)) f

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma measurable-isomorphic-mapD':

assumes measurable-isomorphic-map $M N f$

shows $\forall A. A \in$ sets $M \implies f` A \in$ sets $N f \in M \rightarrow_M N$

$\exists g. \text{bij-betw } g \text{ (space } N \text{) (space } M \text{)} \wedge g \in N \rightarrow_M M \wedge (\forall x \in \text{space } M. g(f x) = x) \wedge (\forall x \in \text{space } N. f(g x) = x) \wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } N. g` A \in \text{sets } M)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma measurable-isomorphic-map-inv:

assumes measurable-isomorphic-map $M N f$

shows measurable-isomorphic-map $N M$ (the-inv-into (space M) f)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma measurable-isomorphic-map-comp:

assumes measurable-isomorphic-map $M N f$ and measurable-isomorphic-map $N L g$

shows measurable-isomorphic-map $M L (g \circ f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition measurable-isomorphic::['a measure, 'b measure] \Rightarrow bool (infixr `measurable'-isomorphic` 50) **where**
 M measurable-isomorphic $N \longleftrightarrow (\exists f. \text{measurable-isomorphic-map } M N f)$

lemma measurable-isomorphic-sets-cong:
assumes sets $M =$ sets M' sets $N =$ sets N'
shows M measurable-isomorphic $N \longleftrightarrow M'$ measurable-isomorphic N'
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma measurable-isomorphicD:
assumes M measurable-isomorphic N
shows $\exists f g. f \in M \rightarrow_M N \wedge g \in N \rightarrow_M M \wedge (\forall x \in \text{space } M. g(f x) = x) \wedge (\forall y \in \text{space } N. f(g y) = y) \wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } M. f ' A \in \text{sets } N) \wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } N. g ' A \in \text{sets } M)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma measurable-isomorphic-cardinality-eq:
assumes M measurable-isomorphic N
shows space $M \approx$ space N
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma measurable-isomorphic-count-spaces: count-space A measurable-isomorphic
count-space $B \longleftrightarrow A \approx B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma measurable-isomorphic-byWitness:
assumes $f \in M \rightarrow_M N \wedge x. x \in \text{space } M \implies g(f x) = x$
and $g \in N \rightarrow_M M \wedge y. y \in \text{space } N \implies f(g y) = y$
shows M measurable-isomorphic N
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma measurable-isomorphic-refl:
 M measurable-isomorphic M
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma measurable-isomorphic-sym:
assumes M measurable-isomorphic N
shows N measurable-isomorphic M
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma measurable-isomorphic-trans:
assumes M measurable-isomorphic N and N measurable-isomorphic L
shows M measurable-isomorphic L
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma measurable-isomorphic-empty:

assumes space $M = \{\}$ space $N = \{\}$

shows M measurable-isomorphic N

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma measurable-isomorphic-empty1:

assumes space $M = \{\}$ M measurable-isomorphic N

shows space $N = \{\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma measurable-isomorphic-empty2:

assumes space $N = \{\}$ M measurable-isomorphic N

shows space $M = \{\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma measurable-lift-product:

assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies f i \in (M i) \rightarrow_M (N i)$

shows $(\lambda x. i. \text{if } i \in I \text{ then } f i (x i) \text{ else undefined}) \in (\prod_{M i \in I} M i) \rightarrow_M (\prod_{M i \in I} N i)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma measurable-isomorphic-map-lift-product:

assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{measurable-isomorphic-map} (M i) (N i) (h i)$

shows $\text{measurable-isomorphic-map} (\prod_{M i \in I} M i) (\prod_{M i \in I} N i) (\lambda x. i. \text{if } i \in I \text{ then } h i (x i) \text{ else undefined})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma measurable-isomorphic-lift-product:

assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies (M i) \text{ measurable-isomorphic } (N i)$

shows $(\prod_{M i \in I} M i) \text{ measurable-isomorphic } (\prod_{M i \in I} N i)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

<https://math24.net/cantor-schroeder-bernstein-theorem.html>

lemma Schroeder-Bernstein-measurable':

assumes $f`(\text{space } M) \in \text{sets } N$ $g`(\text{space } N) \in \text{sets } M$

and measurable-isomorphic-map M (restrict-space $N (f`(\text{space } M))$) f **and** measurable-isomorphic-map N (restrict-space $M (g`(\text{space } N))$) g

shows $\exists h. \text{measurable-isomorphic-map } M N h$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Schroeder-Bernstein-measurable:

assumes $f \in M \rightarrow_M N \wedge A. A \in \text{sets } M \implies f`A \in \text{sets } N \text{ inj-on } f(\text{space } M)$

and $g \in N \rightarrow_M M \wedge A. A \in \text{sets } N \implies g`A \in \text{sets } M \text{ inj-on } g(\text{space } N)$

shows $\exists h. \text{measurable-isomorphic-map } M N h$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma measurable-isomorphic-from-embeddings:

assumes M measurable-isomorphic (restrict-space $N B$) N measurable-isomorphic (restrict-space $M A$)

and $A \in \text{sets } M$ $B \in \text{sets } N$

shows M measurable-isomorphic N
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma measurable-isomorphic-antisym:

assumes B measurable-isomorphic (restrict-space C c) A measurable-isomorphic (restrict-space B b)
and $c \in$ sets C $b \in$ sets B C measurable-isomorphic A
shows C measurable-isomorphic B
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma countable-infinite-isomorphic-to-nat-index:

assumes countable I **and** infinite I
shows $(\Pi_M x \in I. M)$ measurable-isomorphic $(\Pi_M (x :: nat) \in UNIV. M)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma PiM-PiM-isomorphic-to-PiM:

$(\Pi_M i \in I. \Pi_M j \in J. M i j)$ measurable-isomorphic $(\Pi_M (i, j) \in I \times J. M i j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma measurable-isomorphic-map-sigma-sets:

assumes sets $M =$ sigma-sets (space M) U measurable-isomorphic-map $M N f$
shows sets $N =$ sigma-sets (space N) $((\lambda f) f` U)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.2.3 Borel Spaces Generated from Abstract Topologies

definition borel-of :: 'a topology \Rightarrow 'a measure where
borel-of $X \equiv$ sigma (topspace X) { U . openin X U }

lemma emeasure-borel-of: emeasure (borel-of X) $A = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma borel-of-euclidean: borel-of euclidean = borel
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma space-borel-of: space (borel-of X) = topstype X
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma sets-borel-of: sets (borel-of X) = sigma-sets (topstype X) { U . openin X U }
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma sets-borel-of-closed: sets (borel-of X) = sigma-sets (topstype X) { U . closedin X U }
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma borel-of-open:
assumes openin X U
shows $U \in$ sets (borel-of X)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma borel-of-closed:

assumes closedin $X U$

shows $U \in \text{sets}(\text{borel-of } X)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in Metric-space) nbh-sets[measurable]: $(\bigcup_{a \in A} \text{mball } a e) \in \text{sets}(\text{borel-of } mtopology)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma borel-of-gdelta-in:

assumes gdelta-in $X U$

shows $U \in \text{sets}(\text{borel-of } X)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma borel-of-subtopology:

borel-of (subtopology $X U$) = restrict-space (borel-of X) U

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma sets-borel-of-discrete-topology: sets (borel-of (discrete-topology I)) = sets (count-space I)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma continuous-map-measurable:

assumes continuous-map $X Y f$

shows $f \in \text{borel-of } X \rightarrow_M \text{borel-of } Y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma upper-semicontinuous-map-measurable:

fixes $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{\text{linorder-topology}, \text{second-countable-topology}\}$

assumes upper-semicontinuous-map $X f$

shows $f \in \text{borel-measurable}(\text{borel-of } X)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma lower-semicontinuous-map-measurable:

fixes $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{\text{linorder-topology}, \text{second-countable-topology}\}$

assumes lower-semicontinuous-map $X f$

shows $f \in \text{borel-measurable}(\text{borel-of } X)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma open-map-preserves-sets:

assumes open-map $S T f \text{ inj-on } f (\text{topspace } S) A \in \text{sets}(\text{borel-of } S)$

shows $f ` A \in \text{sets}(\text{borel-of } T)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma open-map-preserves-sets':

assumes open-map $S (\text{subtopology } T (f ` (\text{topspace } S))) f \text{ inj-on } f (\text{topspace } S)$
 $f ` (\text{topspace } S) \in \text{sets}(\text{borel-of } T) A \in \text{sets}(\text{borel-of } S)$

shows $f : A \in \text{sets}(\text{borel-of } T)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Abstract topology version of $\text{open} = \text{generate-topology } ?X \implies \text{borel} = \text{sigma } \text{UNIV } ?X$.

lemma $\text{borel-of-second-countable}'$:
assumes second-countable S **and** subbase-in $S \mathcal{U}$
shows borel-of $S = \text{sigma}(\text{topspace } S) \mathcal{U}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Abstract topology version $\text{borel} \otimes_M \text{borel} = \text{borel}$.

lemma borel-of-prod :
assumes second-countable S **and** second-countable S'
shows borel-of $S \otimes_M \text{borel-of } S' = \text{borel-of}(\text{prod-topology } S S')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{product-borel-of-measurable}$:
assumes $i \in I$
shows $(\lambda x. x i) \in (\text{borel-of}(\text{product-topology } S I)) \rightarrow_M \text{borel-of}(S i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Abstract topology version of $\text{sets}(Pi_M \text{ UNIV } (\lambda -. \text{ borel})) \subseteq \text{sets borel}$

lemma $\text{sets-PiM-subset-borel-of}$:
sets $(\prod_M i \in I. \text{borel-of}(S i)) \subseteq \text{sets}(\text{borel-of}(\text{product-topology } S I))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Abstract topology version of $\text{sets}(Pi_M \text{ UNIV } (\lambda i. \text{ borel})) = \text{sets borel}$.

lemma $\text{sets-PiM-equal-borel-of}$:
assumes countable I **and** $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{second-countable}(S i)$
shows $\text{sets}(\prod_M i \in I. \text{borel-of}(S i)) = \text{sets}(\text{borel-of}(\text{product-topology } S I))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{homeomorphic-map-borel-isomorphic}$:
assumes homeomorphic-map $X Y f$
shows measurable-isomorphic-map $(\text{borel-of } X)(\text{borel-of } Y) f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{homeomorphic-space-measurable-isomorphic}$:
assumes S homeomorphic-space T
shows borel-of S measurable-isomorphic borel-of T
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{measurable-isomorphic-borel-map}$:
assumes sets $M = \text{sets}(\text{borel-of } S)$ **and** $f : \text{measurable-isomorphic-map } M N f$
shows $\exists S'. \text{homeomorphic-map } S S' f \wedge \text{sets } N = \text{sets}(\text{borel-of } S')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{measurable-isomorphic-borels}$:

assumes sets $M = \text{sets}(\text{borel-of } S)$ $M \text{ measurable-isomorphic } N$
shows $\exists S'. S \text{ homeomorphic-space } S' \wedge \text{sets } N = \text{sets}(\text{borel-of } S')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

1.3 Lemmas for Abstract Metric Spaces

theory Set-Based-Metric-Space
imports Lemmas-StandardBorel
begin

We prove additional lemmas related to set-based metric spaces.

1.3.1 Basic Lemmas

lemma

assumes Metric-space $M d \wedge \forall x y. x \in M \implies y \in M \implies d x y = d' x y$
and $\forall x y. d' x y = d' y x \wedge \forall x y. d' x y \geq 0$
shows Metric-space-eq: Metric-space $M d'$
and Metric-space-eq-mtopology: Metric-space.mtopology $M d = \text{Metric-space.mtopology } M d'$
and Metric-space-eq-mcomplete: Metric-space.mcomplete $M d \longleftrightarrow \text{Metric-space.mcomplete } M d'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context Metric-space

begin

lemma mtopology-base-in-balls: base-in mtopology $\{m\text{ball } a \varepsilon \mid a \in M \wedge \varepsilon > 0\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma closedin-metric2: closedin mtopology $C \longleftrightarrow C \subseteq M \wedge (\forall x. x \in C \longleftrightarrow (\forall \varepsilon > 0. m\text{ball } x \varepsilon \cap C \neq \{\}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma openin-mtopology2:
openin mtopology $U \longleftrightarrow U \subseteq M \wedge (\forall xn x. \text{limitin mtopology } xn x \text{ sequentially} \wedge x \in U \longrightarrow (\exists N. \forall n \geq N. xn n \in U))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma closure-of-mball: mtopology closure-of mball $a e \subseteq m\text{cball } a e$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma interior-of-mcball: mball $a e \subseteq \text{mtopology interior-of } m\text{cball } a e$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma isolated-points-of-mtopology:

mtopology isolated-points-of A = {x ∈ M ∩ A. ∀ xn. range xn ⊆ A ∧ limitin mtopology xn x sequentially → (∃ no. ∀ n ≥ no. xn n = x)}
(proof)

lemma *perfect-set-mball-infinite*:
assumes *perfect-set mtopology A a ∈ A e > 0*
shows *infinite (mball a e)*
(proof)

lemma *MCauchy-dist-Cauchy*:
assumes *MCauchy xn MCauchy yn*
shows *Cauchy (λn. d (xn n) (yn n))*
(proof)

1.3.2 Dense in Metric Spaces

abbreviation *mdense ≡ dense-in mtopology*

<https://people.bath.ac.uk/mw2319/ma30252/sec-dense.html>

lemma *mdense-def*:
mdense U ↔ U ⊆ M ∧ (∀ x ∈ M. ∀ ε > 0. mball x ε ∩ U ≠ {})
(proof)

corollary *mdense-balls-cover*:
assumes *mdense U and e > 0*
shows *(⋃ u ∈ U. mball u e) = M*
(proof)

lemma *mdense-empty-iff*: *mdense {} ↔ M = {}*
(proof)

lemma *mdense-M*: *mdense M*
(proof)

lemma *mdense-def2*:
mdense U ↔ U ⊆ M ∧ (∀ x ∈ M. ∀ ε > 0. ∃ y ∈ U. d x y < ε)
(proof)

lemma *mdense-def3*:
mdense U ↔ U ⊆ M ∧ (∀ x ∈ M. ∃ xn. range xn ⊆ U ∧ limitin mtopology xn x sequentially)
(proof)

Diameter

definition *mdiameter :: 'a set ⇒ ennreal* **where**
mdiameter A ≡ ⋃ {ennreal (d x y) | x y. x ∈ A ∩ M ∧ y ∈ A ∩ M}

lemma *mdiameter-empty[simp]*:
mdiameter {} = 0

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma mdiameter-def2:

assumes $A \subseteq M$

shows mdiameter $A = \bigcup \{ennreal(d x y) \mid x y. x \in A \wedge y \in A\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma mdiameter-subset:

assumes $A \subseteq B$

shows mdiameter $A \leq$ mdiameter B

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma mdiameter-cball-leq: mdiameter (mcball $a \varepsilon$) \leq ennreal ($2 * \varepsilon$)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma mdiameter-ball-leq:

mdiameter (mball $a \varepsilon$) \leq ennreal ($2 * \varepsilon$)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma mdiameter-is-sup:

assumes $x \in A \cap M y \in A \cap M$

shows $d x y \leq$ mdiameter A

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma mdiameter-is-sup':

assumes $x \in A \cap M y \in A \cap M$ mdiameter $A \leq$ ennreal $r r \geq 0$

shows $d x y \leq r$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma mdiameter-le:

assumes $\bigwedge x y. x \in A \implies y \in A \implies d x y \leq r$

shows mdiameter $A \leq r$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma mdiameter-eq-closure: mdiameter (mtopology closure-of A) $=$ mdiameter A

A

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma mbounded-finite-mdiameter: mbounded $A \longleftrightarrow A \subseteq M \wedge$ mdiameter $A <$

∞

$\langle proof \rangle$

Distance between a point and a set.

definition d-set :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow real **where**

d-set $A \equiv (\lambda x. \text{if } A \neq \{\} \wedge A \subseteq M \wedge x \in M \text{ then Inf } \{d x y \mid y. y \in A\} \text{ else } 0)$

lemma d-set-nonneg[simp]:

d-set $A x \geq 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *d-set-bdd-below*[simp]:
bdd-below {*d x y* |*y*. *y* ∈ *A*}
⟨proof⟩

lemma *d-set-singleton*[simp]:
 $x \in M \implies y \in M \implies \text{d-set } \{y\} x = d x y$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *d-set-empty*[simp]:
d-set {} *x* = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma *d-set-notin*:
 $x \notin M \implies \text{d-set } A x = 0$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *d-set-inA*:
assumes *x* ∈ *A*
shows *d-set A x* = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma *d-set-nzeroD*:
assumes *d-set A x* ≠ 0
shows *A* ⊆ *M* *x* ∈ *A* *A* ≠ {}
⟨proof⟩

lemma *d-set-antimono*:
assumes *A* ⊆ *B* *A* ≠ {} *B* ⊆ *M*
shows *d-set B x* ≤ *d-set A x*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *d-set-bounded*:
assumes $\bigwedge y. y \in A \implies d x y < K$ $K > 0$
shows *d-set A x* < *K*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *d-set-tr*:
assumes *x* ∈ *M* *y* ∈ *M*
shows *d-set A x* ≤ *d x y* + *d-set A y*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *d-set-abs-le*:
assumes *x* ∈ *M* *y* ∈ *M*
shows $|d-set A x - d-set A y| \leq d x y$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *d-set-inA-le*:
assumes *y* ∈ *A*

```

shows d-set A  $x \leq d x y$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma d-set-ball-empty:
assumes A ≠ {} A ⊆ M e > 0  $x \in M$  mball x e ∩ A = {}
shows d-set A  $x \geq e$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma d-set-closed-pos:
assumes closedin mtopology A A ≠ {}  $x \in M$  x ∉ A
shows d-set A  $x > 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma gdelta-in-closed:
assumes closedin mtopology M
shows gdelta-in mtopology M
⟨proof⟩

Oscillation

definition osc-on :: ['b set, 'b topology, 'b ⇒ 'a, 'b] ⇒ ennreal where
osc-on A X f ≡ ( $\lambda y. \bigcap \{mdiameter(f^*(A \cap U)) \mid U. y \in U \wedge openin X U\}$ )

abbreviation osc X ≡ osc-on (topspace X) X

lemma osc-def: osc X f = ( $\lambda y. \bigcap \{mdiameter(f^*(U)) \mid U. y \in U \wedge openin X U\}$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma osc-on-less-iff:
osc-on A X f x < t  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists v. x \in v \wedge openin X v \wedge mdiameter(f^*(A \cap v)) < t$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma osc-less-iff:
osc X f x < t  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists v. x \in v \wedge openin X v \wedge mdiameter(f^*(v)) < t$ )
⟨proof⟩

end

definition mdist-set :: 'a metric ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a ⇒ real where
mdist-set m ≡ Metric-space.d-set (mspace m) (mdist m)

lemma(in Metric-space) mdist-set-Self: mdist-set Self = d-set
⟨proof⟩

lemma mdist-set-nonneg[simp]: mdist-set m A  $x \geq 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma mdist-set-singleton[simp]:
 $x \in mspace m \implies y \in mspace m \implies mdist-set m \{y\} x = mdist m x y$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mdist-set-empty[simp]: mdist-set m {} x = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mdist-set-inA:
  assumes x ∈ A
  shows mdist-set m A x = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mdist-set-nzeroD:
  assumes mdist-set m A x ≠ 0
  shows A ⊆ mspace m x ∉ A A ≠ {}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mdist-set-antimono:
  assumes A ⊆ B A ≠ {} B ⊆ mspace m
  shows mdist-set m B x ≤ mdist-set m A x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mdist-set-bounded:
  assumes ⋀y. y ∈ A ⟹ mdist m x y < K K > 0
  shows mdist-set m A x < K
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mdist-set-tr:
  assumes x ∈ mspace m y ∈ mspace m
  shows mdist-set m A x ≤ mdist m x y + mdist-set m A y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mdist-set-abs-le:
  assumes x ∈ mspace m y ∈ mspace m
  shows |mdist-set m A x - mdist-set m A y| ≤ mdist m x y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mdist-set-inA-le:
  assumes y ∈ A
  shows mdist-set m A x ≤ mdist m x y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mdist-set-ball-empty:
  assumes A ≠ {} A ⊆ mspace m e > 0 x ∈ mspace m mball-of m x e ∩ A = {}
  shows mdist-set m A x ≥ e
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mdist-set-closed-pos:
  assumes closedin (mtopology-of m) A A ≠ {} x ∈ mspace m x ∉ A
  shows mdist-set m A x > 0
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mdist-set-uniformly-continuous: uniformly-continuous-map m euclidean-metric
(mdist-set m A)
⟨proof⟩

lemma uniformly-continuous-map-add:
  fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b::real-normed-vector
  assumes uniformly-continuous-map m euclidean-metric f uniformly-continuous-map
m euclidean-metric g
  shows uniformly-continuous-map m euclidean-metric (λx. f x + g x)
⟨proof⟩

lemma uniformly-continuous-map-real-divide:
  fixes f :: 'a ⇒ real
  assumes uniformly-continuous-map m euclidean-metric f uniformly-continuous-map
m euclidean-metric g
  and ∀x. x ∈ mspace m ⇒ g x ≠ 0 ∀x. x ∈ mspace m ⇒ |g x| ≥ a a > 0
  ∀x. x ∈ mspace m ⇒ |g x| < Kg
  and ∀x. x ∈ mspace m ⇒ |f x| < Kf
  shows uniformly-continuous-map m euclidean-metric (λx. f x / g x)
⟨proof⟩

lemma
  assumes e > 0
  shows uniformly-continuous-map-from-capped-metric:uniformly-continuous-map
(capped-metric e m1) m2 f ←→ uniformly-continuous-map m1 m2 f (is ?g1)
  and uniformly-continuous-map-to-capped-metric:uniformly-continuous-map m1
(capped-metric e m2) f ←→ uniformly-continuous-map m1 m2 f (is ?g2)
⟨proof⟩

lemma Urysohn-lemma-uniform:
  assumes closedin (mtopology-of m) T closedin (mtopology-of m) U T ∩ U = {}
  ∀x y. x ∈ T ⇒ y ∈ U ⇒ mdist m x y ≥ e e > 0
  obtains f :: 'a ⇒ real
  where uniformly-continuous-map m euclidean-metric f
    ∀x. f x ≥ 0 ∀x. f x ≤ 1 ∀x. x ∈ T ⇒ f x = 1 ∀x. x ∈ U ⇒ f x = 0
⟨proof⟩

```

Open maps

```

lemma Metric-space-open-map-from-dist:
  assumes f ∈ mspace m1 → mspace m2
  and ∀x ε. x ∈ mspace m1 ⇒ ε > 0 ⇒ ∃δ>0. ∀y∈mspace m1. mdist m2
(f x) (f y) < δ ⇒ mdist m1 x y < ε
  shows open-map (mtopology-of m1) (subtopology (mtopology-of m2)) (f ` mspace
m1)) f
⟨proof⟩

```

1.3.3 Separability in Metric Spaces

context Metric-space

begin

For a metric space M , M is separable iff M is second countable.

lemma *generated-by-countable-balls*:

assumes *countable U and mdense U*

shows *mtopology = topology-generated-by {mball y (1 / real n) | y n. y ∈ U}*

(proof)

lemma *separable-space-imp-second-countable*:

assumes *separable-space mtopology*

shows *second-countable mtopology*

(proof)

corollary *separable-space-iff-second-countable*:

separable-space mtopology ↔ second-countable mtopology

(proof)

lemma *Lindelof-mdiameter*:

assumes *separable-space mtopology 0 < e*

shows $\exists U. \text{countable } U \wedge \bigcup U = M \wedge (\forall u \in U. \text{mdiameter } u < \text{ennreal } e)$

(proof)

end

lemma *metrizable-space-separable-iff-second-countable*:

assumes *metrizable-space X*

shows *separable-space X ↔ second-countable X*

(proof)

abbreviation *mdense-of m U* \equiv *dense-in (mtopology-of m) U*

lemma *mdense-of-def*: *mdense-of m U* \longleftrightarrow $(U \subseteq \text{mspace } m \wedge (\forall x \in \text{mspace } m.$

$\forall \varepsilon > 0. \text{mball-of } m x \varepsilon \cap U \neq \{\})$

(proof)

lemma *mdense-of-def2*: *mdense-of m U* \longleftrightarrow $(U \subseteq \text{mspace } m \wedge (\forall x \in \text{mspace } m.$

$\forall \varepsilon > 0. \exists y \in U. \text{mdist } m x y < \varepsilon))$

(proof)

lemma *mdense-of-def3*: *mdense-of m U* \longleftrightarrow $(U \subseteq \text{mspace } m \wedge (\forall x \in \text{mspace } m.$

$\exists xn. \text{range } xn \subseteq U \wedge \text{limitin } (\text{mtopology-of } m) xn x \text{ sequentially}))$

(proof)

1.3.4 Compact Metric Spaces

context *Metric-space*

begin

lemma *mtotally-bounded-eq-compact-closedin*:

```

assumes mcomplete closedin mtopology S
shows mtotally-bounded  $S \longleftrightarrow S \subseteq M \wedge \text{compactin mtopology } S$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma mtotally-bounded-def2: mtotally-bounded  $S \longleftrightarrow (\forall \varepsilon > 0. \exists K. \text{finite } K \wedge K \subseteq M \wedge S \subseteq (\bigcup_{x \in K} \text{mball } x \varepsilon))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma compact-space-imp-separable:
assumes compact-space mtopology
shows separable-space mtopology
⟨proof⟩

lemma separable-space-cfunspace:
assumes separable-space mtopology mcomplete
    and metrizable-space X compact-space X
shows separable-space (mtopology-of (cfunspace X Self))
⟨proof⟩

end

context Submetric
begin

lemma separable-sub:
assumes separable-space mtopology
shows separable-space sub.mtopology
⟨proof⟩

end

```

1.3.5 Discrete Distance

```

lemma(in discrete-metric) separable-space-iff: separable-space disc.mtopology  $\longleftrightarrow$  countable M
⟨proof⟩

```

1.3.6 Binary Product Metric Spaces

We define the L^1 -distance. L^1 -distance and L^2 distance (Euclid distance) generate the same topological space.

definition prod-dist-L1 $\equiv \lambda d1\ d2\ (x,y)\ (x',y').\ d1\ x\ x' + d2\ y\ y'$

```

context Metric-space12
begin

```

```

lemma prod-L1-metric: Metric-space (M1 × M2) (prod-dist-L1 d1 d2)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

sublocale Prod-metric-L1: Metric-space M1 × M2 prod-dist-L1 d1 d2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma prod-dist-L1-geq:
  shows d1 x y ≤ prod-dist-L1 d1 d2 (x,x') (y,y')
    d2 x' y' ≤ prod-dist-L1 d1 d2 (x,x') (y,y')
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma prod-dist-L1-ball:
  assumes (x,x') ∈ Prod-metric-L1.mball (a,a') ε
  shows x ∈ M1.mball a ε
    and x' ∈ M2.mball a' ε
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma prod-dist-L1-ball':
  assumes z ∈ Prod-metric-L1.mball a ε
  shows fst z ∈ M1.mball (fst a) ε
    and snd z ∈ M2.mball (snd a) ε
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma prod-dist-L1-ball1': Prod-metric-L1.mball (a1,a2) (min e1 e2) ⊆ M1.mball
a1 e1 × M2.mball a2 e2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma prod-dist-L1-ball1:
  assumes b1 ∈ M1.mball a1 e1 b2 ∈ M2.mball a2 e2
  shows ∃ e12>0. Prod-metric-L1.mball (b1,b2) e12 ⊆ M1.mball a1 e1 × M2.mball
a2 e2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma prod-dist-L1-ball2':
  M1.mball a1 e1 × M2.mball a2 e2 ⊆ Prod-metric-L1.mball (a1,a2) (e1 + e2)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma prod-dist-L1-ball2:
  assumes (b1,b2) ∈ Prod-metric-L1.mball (a1,a2) e12
  shows ∃ e1>0. ∃ e2>0. M1.mball b1 e1 × M2.mball b2 e2 ⊆ Prod-metric-L1.mball
(a1,a2) e12
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma prod-dist-L1-mtopology:
  Prod-metric-L1.mtopology = prod-topology M1.mtopology M2.mtopology
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma prod-dist-L1-limitin-iff: limitin Prod-metric-L1.mtopology zn z sequentially
  ↔ limitin M1.mtopology (λn. fst (zn n)) (fst z) sequentially ∧ limitin M2.mtopology
  (λn. snd (zn n)) (snd z) sequentially
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma prod-dist-L1-MCauchy-iff: Prod-metric-L1.MCauchy zn  $\longleftrightarrow$  M1.MCauchy
 $(\lambda n. \text{fst} (\text{zn } n)) \wedge M2.MCauchy (\lambda n. \text{snd} (\text{zn } n))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
end

```

1.3.7 Sum Metric Spaces

```

locale Sum-metric =
  fixes I :: 'i set
  and Mi :: 'i  $\Rightarrow$  'a set
  and di :: 'i  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  real
  assumes Mi-disj: disjoint-family-on Mi I
    and d-nonneg:  $\bigwedge i x y. 0 \leq di i x y$ 
    and d-bounded:  $\bigwedge i x y. di i x y < 1$ 
    and Md-metric:  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \Rightarrow \text{Metric-space} (Mi i) (di i)$ 
begin

```

```
abbreviation M  $\equiv$   $\bigcup_{i \in I} Mi i$ 
```

```

lemma Mi-inj-on:
  assumes i  $\in$  I j  $\in$  I a  $\in$  Mi i a  $\in$  Mi j
  shows i = j
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

definition sum-dist :: '['a, 'a']  $\Rightarrow$  real where
sum-dist x y  $\equiv$  (if x  $\in$  M  $\wedge$  y  $\in$  M then (if  $\exists i \in I. x \in Mi i \wedge y \in Mi i$  then di (THE i. i  $\in$  I  $\wedge$  x  $\in$  Mi i  $\wedge$  y  $\in$  Mi i) x y else 1) else 0)

```

```

lemma sum-dist-simps:
  shows  $\bigwedge i. [i \in I; x \in Mi i; y \in Mi i] \Rightarrow \text{sum-dist } x y = di i x y$ 
  and  $\bigwedge i j. [i \in I; j \in I; i \neq j; x \in Mi i; y \in Mi j] \Rightarrow \text{sum-dist } x y = 1$ 
  and  $\bigwedge i. [i \in I; y \in M; x \in Mi i; y \notin Mi i] \Rightarrow \text{sum-dist } x y = 1$ 
  and  $\bigwedge i. [i \in I; x \in M; y \in Mi i; x \notin Mi i] \Rightarrow \text{sum-dist } x y = 1$ 
  and  $x \notin M \Rightarrow \text{sum-dist } x y = 0$ 
   $y \notin M \Rightarrow \text{sum-dist } x y = 0$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma sum-dist-if-less1:
  assumes i  $\in$  I x  $\in$  Mi i y  $\in$  M sum-dist x y  $< 1$ 
  shows y  $\in$  Mi i
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma inM-cases:
  assumes x  $\in$  M y  $\in$  M
  and  $\bigwedge i. [i \in I; x \in Mi i; y \in Mi i] \Rightarrow P x y$ 
  and  $\bigwedge i j. [i \in I; j \in I; i \neq j; x \in Mi i; y \in Mi j; x \neq y] \Rightarrow P x y$ 
  shows P x y  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```
sublocale Sum-metric: Metric-space M sum-dist
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-dist-le1*: *sum-dist* x $y \leq 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-dist-ball-eq-ball*:
assumes $i \in I$ $e \leq 1$ $x \in Mi$ i
shows *Metric-space.mball* (Mi i) (di i) x $e = Sum-metric.mball$ x e
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ball-le-sum-dist-ball*:
assumes $i \in I$
shows *Metric-space.mball* (Mi i) (di i) x $e \subseteq Sum-metric.mball$ x e
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *openin-mtopology-iff*:
openin *Sum-metric.mtopology* $U \longleftrightarrow U \subseteq M \wedge (\forall i \in I. openin (Metric-space.mtopology (Mi) (di i)) (U \cap Mi i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *openin-mtopology-Mi*:
assumes $i \in I$
shows *openin* *Sum-metric.mtopology* (Mi i)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *subtopology-mtopology-Mi*:
assumes $i \in I$
shows *subtopology* *Sum-metric.mtopology* (Mi i) = *Metric-space.mtopology* (Mi i) (di i)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *limitin-Mi-limitin-M*:
assumes $i \in I$ *limitin* (*Metric-space.mtopology* (Mi i) (di i)) xn x sequentially
shows *limitin* *Sum-metric.mtopology* xn x sequentially
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *limitin-M-limitin-Mi*:
assumes *limitin* *Sum-metric.mtopology* xn x sequentially
shows $\exists i \in I. limitin (Metric-space.mtopology (Mi) (di i)) xn x sequentially$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *MCauchy-Mi-MCauchy-M*:
assumes $i \in I$ *Metric-space.MCauchy* (Mi i) (di i) xn
shows *Sum-metric.MCauchy* xn
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *MCauchy-M-MCauchy-Mi*:
assumes *Sum-metric.MCauchy* xn

```

shows  $\exists m. \exists i \in I. \text{Metric-space.MCauchy} (Mi i) (di i) (\lambda n. xn (n + m))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma separable-Mi-separable-M:
assumes countable I  $\wedge i. i \in I \implies \text{separable-space} (\text{Metric-space.mtopology} (Mi i) (di i))$ 
shows separable-space Sum-metric.mtopology
⟨proof⟩

lemma separable-M-separable-Mi:
assumes separable-space Sum-metric.mtopology  $\wedge i. i \in I$ 
shows separable-space (Metric-space.mtopology (Mi i) (di i))
⟨proof⟩

lemma mcomplete-Mi-mcomplete-M:
assumes  $\wedge i. i \in I \implies \text{Metric-space.mcomplete} (Mi i) (di i)$ 
shows Sum-metric.mcomplete
⟨proof⟩

lemma mcomplete-M-mcomplete-Mi:
assumes Sum-metric.mcomplete i ∈ I
shows Metric-space.mcomplete (Mi i) (di i)
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma sum-metricI:
fixes Si
assumes disjoint-family-on Si I
and  $\wedge i x y. i \notin I \implies 0 \leq di i x y$ 
and  $\wedge i x y. di i x y < 1$ 
and  $\wedge i. i \in I \implies \text{Metric-space} (Si i) (di i)$ 
shows Sum-metric I Si di
⟨proof⟩

end

```

1.3.8 Product Metric Spaces

```

theory Set-Based-Metric-Product
  imports Set-Based-Metric-Space
begin

lemma nsum-of-r':
fixes r :: real
assumes r:0 < r r < 1
shows  $(\sum n. r^{\wedge}(n + k) * K) = r^{\wedge}k / (1 - r) * K$ 

```

```
(is ?lhs = -)
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma nsum-of-r-leg:

fixes $r :: \text{real}$ and $a :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real}$

assumes $r: 0 < r \quad r < 1$

and $a: \bigwedge n. 0 \leq a n \wedge n. a n \leq K$

shows $0 \leq (\sum n. r^{\wedge}(n+k) * a(n+l)) (\sum n. r^{\wedge}(n+k) * a(n+l)) \leq r^{\wedge}k$

/ $(1 - r) * K$

⟨proof⟩

lemma nsum-of-r-le:

fixes $r :: \text{real}$ and $a :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real}$

assumes $r: 0 < r \quad r < 1$

and $a: \bigwedge n. 0 \leq a n \wedge n. a n \leq K \exists n' \geq l. a n' < K$

shows $(\sum n. r^{\wedge}(n+k) * a(n+l)) < r^{\wedge}k / (1 - r) * K$

⟨proof⟩

definition product-dist' :: [$\text{real}, 'i \text{ set}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'i, 'i \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}, 'i \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{real}$]

$\Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow \text{real}$ where

product-dist-def: $\text{product-dist}' r I g Mi di \equiv (\lambda x y. \text{if } x \in (\prod_E i \in I. Mi i) \wedge y \in (\prod_E i \in I. Mi i) \text{ then } (\sum n. \text{if } g n \in I \text{ then } r^{\wedge}n * di(g n) (x(g n)) (y(g n)) \text{ else } 0) \text{ else } 0)$

$$d(x, y) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} r^n * d_{g_I(i)}(x_{g_I(i)}, y_{g_I(i)}).$$

locale Product-metric =

fixes $r :: \text{real}$

and $I :: 'i \text{ set}$

and $f :: 'i \Rightarrow \text{nat}$

and $g :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'i$

and $Mi :: 'i \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$

and $di :: 'i \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{real}$

and $K :: \text{real}$

assumes $r: 0 < r \quad r < 1$

and $I: \text{countable } I$

and $gf-comp-id: \bigwedge i. i \in I \Rightarrow g(f i) = i$

and $gf-if-finite: \text{finite } I \Rightarrow \text{bij-betw } f I \{.. < \text{card } I\}$

$\text{finite } I \Rightarrow \text{bij-betw } g \{.. < \text{card } I\} I$

and $gf-if-infinite: \text{infinite } I \Rightarrow \text{bij-betw } f I \text{ UNIV}$

$\text{infinite } I \Rightarrow \text{bij-betw } g \text{ UNIV } I$

$\bigwedge n. \text{infinite } I \Rightarrow f(g n) = n$

and $Md\text{-metric}: \bigwedge i. i \in I \Rightarrow \text{Metric-space } (Mi i) (di i)$

and $di\text{-nonneg}: \bigwedge i x y. 0 \leq di i x y$

and $di\text{-bounded}: \bigwedge i x y. di i x y \leq K$

and $K\text{-pos}: 0 < K$

lemma from-nat-into-to-nat-on-product-metric-pair:

assumes $\text{countable } I$

shows $\bigwedge i. i \in I \Rightarrow \text{from-nat-into } I (\text{to-nat-on } I i) = i$

```

and finite  $I \implies$  bij-betw (to-nat-on  $I$ )  $I \{.. < \text{card } I\}$ 
and finite  $I \implies$  bij-betw (from-nat-into  $I$ )  $\{.. < \text{card } I\} I$ 
and infinite  $I \implies$  bij-betw (to-nat-on  $I$ )  $I \text{UNIV}$ 
and infinite  $I \implies$  bij-betw (from-nat-into  $I$ )  $\text{UNIV } I$ 
and  $\bigwedge n.$  infinite  $I \implies$  to-nat-on  $I$  (from-nat-into  $I$   $n$ ) =  $n$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma product-metric-pair-finite-nat:
bij-betw id  $\{..n\} \{.. < \text{card } \{..n\}\}$  bij-betw id  $\{.. < \text{card } \{..n\}\} \{..n\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma product-metric-pair-finite-nat':
bij-betw id  $\{.. < n\} \{.. < \text{card } \{.. < n\}\}$  bij-betw id  $\{.. < \text{card } \{.. < n\}\} \{.. < n\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

context Product-metric
begin

abbreviation product-dist ≡ product-dist' r  $I g Mi di$ 

lemma nsum-of-rK:  $(\sum n. r^{\wedge}(n + k) * K) = r^{\wedge}k / (1 - r) * K$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma i-min:
assumes  $i \in I g n = i$ 
shows  $f i \leq n$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma g-surj:
assumes  $i \in I$ 
shows  $\exists n. g n = i$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma product-dist-summable'[simp]:
summable  $(\lambda n. r^{\wedge}n * di(g n) (x(g n)) (y(g n)))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma product-dist-summable[simp]:
summable  $(\lambda n. \text{if } g n \in I \text{ then } r^{\wedge}n * di(g n) (x(g n)) (y(g n)) \text{ else } 0)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma summable-rK[simp]: summable  $(\lambda n. r^{\wedge}n * K)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma Product-metric: Metric-space  $(\prod_E i \in I. Mi i)$  product-dist
⟨proof⟩

sublocale Product-metric: Metric-space  $\prod_E i \in I. Mi i$  product-dist
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *product-dist-leqr*: $\text{product-dist } x \ y \leq 1 / (1 - r) * K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *product-dist-geq*:
assumes $i \in I$ **and** $g \ n = i \ x \in (\Pi_E \ i \in I. \ Mi \ i)$ $y \in (\Pi_E \ i \in I. \ Mi \ i)$
shows $di \ i \ (x \ i) \ (y \ i) \leq (1/r)^n * \text{product-dist } x \ y$
(is $?lhs \leq ?rhs$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *limitin-M-iff-limitin-Mi*:
shows $\text{limitin Product-metric.mtopology } xn \ x \text{ sequentially} \leftrightarrow (\exists N. \forall n \geq N. (\forall i \in I. \ xn \ n \ i \in Mi \ i) \wedge (\forall i. i \notin I \rightarrow xn \ n \ i = \text{undefined})) \wedge (\forall i \in I. \text{limitin} (\text{Metric-space.mtopology } (Mi \ i) \ (di \ i)) \ (\lambda n. \ xn \ n \ i) \ (x \ i) \text{ sequentially}) \wedge x \in (\Pi_E \ i \in I. \ Mi \ i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Product-metric-mtopology-eq*: $\text{product-topology } (\lambda i. \text{Metric-space.mtopology } (Mi \ i) \ (di \ i)) \ I = \text{Product-metric.mtopology}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *separable-Mi-separable-M*:
assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{separable-space } (\text{Metric-space.mtopology } (Mi \ i) \ (di \ i))$
shows $\text{separable-space Product-metric.mtopology}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mcomplete-Mi-mcomplete-M*:
assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{Metric-space.mcomplete } (Mi \ i) \ (di \ i)$
shows $\text{Product-metric.mcomplete}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

lemma *product-metricI*:
assumes $0 < r \ r < 1 \ \text{countable } I \ \bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{Metric-space } (Mi \ i) \ (di \ i)$
and $\bigwedge i \ x \ y. 0 \leq di \ i \ x \ y \wedge i \ x \ y. di \ i \ x \ y \leq K \ 0 < K$
shows $\text{Product-metric } r \ I \ (\text{to-nat-on } I) \ (\text{from-nat-into } I) \ Mi \ di \ K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *product-metric-natI*:
assumes $0 < r \ r < 1 \ \bigwedge n. \text{Metric-space } (Mi \ n) \ (di \ n)$
and $\bigwedge i \ x \ y. 0 \leq di \ i \ x \ y \wedge i \ x \ y. di \ i \ x \ y \leq K \ 0 < K$
shows $\text{Product-metric } r \ \text{UNIV id id } Mi \ di \ K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

2 Abstract Polish Spaces

```
theory Abstract-Metrizable-Topology
  imports Set-Based-Metric-Product
begin
```

2.1 Polish Spaces

```
definition Polish-space X ≡ completely-metrizable-space X ∧ separable-space X
```

```
lemma(in Metric-space) Polish-space-mtopology:
  assumes mcomplete separable-space mtopology
  shows Polish-space mtopology
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma
  assumes Polish-space X
  shows Polish-space-imp-completely-metrizable-space: completely-metrizable-space X
    and Polish-space-imp-metrizable-space: metrizable-space X
    and Polish-space-imp-second-countable: second-countable X
    and Polish-space-imp-separable-space: separable-space X
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma Polish-space-closedin:
  assumes Polish-space X closedin X A
  shows Polish-space (subtopology X A)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma Polish-space-gdelta-in:
  assumes Polish-space X gdelta-in X A
  shows Polish-space (subtopology X A)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
corollary Polish-space-openin:
  assumes Polish-space X openin X A
  shows Polish-space (subtopology X A)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma homeomorphic-Polish-space-aux:
  assumes Polish-space X X homeomorphic-space Y
  shows Polish-space Y
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
corollary homeomorphic-Polish-space:
  assumes X homeomorphic-space Y
  shows Polish-space X ←→ Polish-space Y
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma Polish-space-euclidean[simp]: Polish-space (euclidean :: ('a :: polish-space)
```

topology)
⟨proof⟩

lemma *Polish-space-countable[simp]:*
 Polish-space (euclidean :: 'a :: {countable,discrete-topology} topology)
⟨proof⟩

lemma *Polish-space-discrete-topology: Polish-space (discrete-topology I) ↔ countable I*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *Polish-space-prod:*
 assumes *Polish-space X and Polish-space Y*
 shows *Polish-space (prod-topology X Y)*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *Polish-space-product:*
 assumes *countable I and ∏i. i ∈ I ⇒ Polish-space (S i)*
 shows *Polish-space (product-topology S I)*
⟨proof⟩

lemma(in Product-metric) Polish-spaceI:
 assumes *∏i. i ∈ I ⇒ separable-space (Metric-space.mtopology (Mi i) (di i))*
 and *∏i. i ∈ I ⇒ Metric-space.mcomplete (Mi i) (di i)*
 shows *Polish-space Product-metric.mtopology*
⟨proof⟩

lemma(in Sum-metric) Polish-spaceI:
 assumes *countable I*
 and *∏i. i ∈ I ⇒ separable-space (Metric-space.mtopology (Mi i) (di i))*
 and *∏i. i ∈ I ⇒ Metric-space.mcomplete (Mi i) (di i)*
 shows *Polish-space Sum-metric.mtopology*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *compact-metrizable-imp-Polish-space:*
 assumes *metrizable-space X compact-space X*
 shows *Polish-space X*
⟨proof⟩

2.2 Extended Reals and Non-Negative Extended Reals

lemma *Polish-space-ereal:Polish-space (euclidean :: ereal topology)*
⟨proof⟩

corollary *Polish-space-ennreal:Polish-space (euclidean :: ennreal topology)*
⟨proof⟩

2.3 Continuous Embddings

abbreviation *Hilbert-cube-topology :: (nat ⇒ real) topology where*

Hilbert-cube-topology \equiv (product-topology ($\lambda n.$ top-of-set {0..1}) UNIV)

lemma *topspace-Hilbert-cube*: *topspace Hilbert-cube-topology* = (Π_E $x \in$ UNIV. {0..1})
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Polish-space-Hilbert-cube*: *Polish-space Hilbert-cube-topology*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *Cantor-space-topology* :: ($nat \Rightarrow real$) topology **where**
Cantor-space-topology \equiv (product-topology ($\lambda n.$ top-of-set {0,1}) UNIV)

lemma *topspace-Cantor-space*:
topspace Cantor-space-topology = (Π_E $x \in$ UNIV. {0,1})
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Polish-space-Cantor-space*: *Polish-space Cantor-space-topology*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *completely-metrizable-space-homeo-image-gdelta-in*:
assumes completely-metrizable-space *X* completely-metrizable-space *Y B* \subseteq *topspace Y X homeomorphic-space subtopology Y B*
shows *gdelta-in Y B*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.3.1 Embedding into Hilbert Cube

lemma *embedding-into-Hilbert-cube*:
assumes metrizable-space *X* separable-space *X*
shows $\exists A \subseteq$ *topspace Hilbert-cube-topology. X homeomorphic-space (subtopology Hilbert-cube-topology A)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *embedding-into-Hilbert-cube-gdelta-in*:
assumes Polish-space *X*
shows $\exists A.$ *gdelta-in Hilbert-cube-topology A* \wedge *X homeomorphic-space (subtopology Hilbert-cube-topology A)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.3.2 Embedding from Cantor Space

lemma *embedding-from-Cantor-space*:
assumes Polish-space *X uncountable (topspace X)*
shows $\exists A.$ *gdelta-in X A* \wedge *Cantor-space-topology homeomorphic-space (subtopology X A)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.4 Borel Spaces generated from Polish Spaces

lemma *closedin-clopen-topology*:
assumes Polish-space *X closedin X a*

shows $\exists X'. \text{Polish-space } X' \wedge (\forall u. \text{openin } X u \longrightarrow \text{openin } X' u) \wedge \text{topspace } X = \text{topspace } X' \wedge \text{sets}(\text{borel-of } X) = \text{sets}(\text{borel-of } X') \wedge \text{openin } X' a \wedge \text{closedin } X' a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Polish-space-union-Polish*:

fixes $X :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ topology}$

assumes $\bigwedge n. \text{Polish-space}(X n) \wedge \text{topspace}(X n) = Xt \wedge \forall x y. x \in Xt \implies y \in Xt \implies x \neq y \implies \exists O_x O_y. (\forall n. \text{openin}(X n) O_x) \wedge (\forall n. \text{openin}(X n) O_y) \wedge x \in O_x \wedge y \in O_y \wedge \text{disjnt } O_x O_y$

defines $Xun \equiv \text{topology-generated-by } (\bigcup n. \{u. \text{openin}(X n) u\})$

shows *Polish-space Xun*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sets-clopen-topology*:

assumes *Polish-space X a in sets(borel-of X)*

shows $\exists X'. \text{Polish-space } X' \wedge (\forall u. \text{openin } X u \longrightarrow \text{openin } X' u) \wedge \text{topspace } X = \text{topspace } X' \wedge \text{sets}(\text{borel-of } X) = \text{sets}(\text{borel-of } X') \wedge \text{openin } X' a \wedge \text{closedin } X' a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

3 Standard Borel Spaces

3.1 Standard Borel Spaces

theory *StandardBorel*

imports *Abstract-Metrizable-Topology*

begin

locale *standard-borel* =

fixes $M :: 'a \text{ measure}$

assumes *Polish-space: $\exists S. \text{Polish-space } S \wedge \text{sets } M = \text{sets}(\text{borel-of } S)$*

begin

lemma *singleton-sets*:

assumes $x \in \text{space } M$

shows $\{x\} \in \text{sets } M$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *countable-sets*:

assumes $A \subseteq \text{space } M \text{ countable } A$

shows $A \in \text{sets } M$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *standard-borel-restrict-space*:

assumes $A \in \text{sets } M$

shows *standard-borel (restrict-space M A)*

```

⟨proof⟩

end

locale standard-borel-ne = standard-borel +
  assumes space-ne: space M ≠ {}
begin

  lemma standard-borel-ne-restrict-space:
    assumes A ∈ sets M A ≠ {}
    shows standard-borel-ne (restrict-space M A)
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma standard-borel: standard-borel M
    ⟨proof⟩

  end

  lemma standard-borel-sets:
    assumes standard-borel M and sets M = sets N
    shows standard-borel N
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma standard-borel-ne-sets:
    assumes standard-borel-ne M and sets M = sets N
    shows standard-borel-ne N
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma pair-standard-borel:
    assumes standard-borel M standard-borel N
    shows standard-borel (M ⊗M N)
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma pair-standard-borel-ne:
    assumes standard-borel-ne M standard-borel-ne N
    shows standard-borel-ne (M ⊗M N)
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma product-standard-borel:
    assumes countable I
      and ⋀ i ∈ I ⟹ standard-borel (M i)
    shows standard-borel (ΠM i ∈ I. M i)
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma product-standard-borel-ne:
    assumes countable I
      and ⋀ i ∈ I ⟹ standard-borel-ne (M i)
    shows standard-borel-ne (ΠM i ∈ I. M i)
    ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma closed-set-standard-borel[simp]:
  fixes U :: 'a :: topological-space set
  assumes Polish-space (euclidean :: 'a topology) closed U
  shows standard-borel (restrict-space borel U)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma closed-set-standard-borel-ne[simp]:
  fixes U :: 'a :: topological-space set
  assumes Polish-space (euclidean :: 'a topology) closed U U ≠ {}
  shows standard-borel-ne (restrict-space borel U)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma open-set-standard-borel[simp]:
  fixes U :: 'a :: topological-space set
  assumes Polish-space (euclidean :: 'a topology) open U
  shows standard-borel (restrict-space borel U)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma open-set-standard-borel-ne[simp]:
  fixes U :: 'a :: topological-space set
  assumes Polish-space (euclidean :: 'a topology) open U U ≠ {}
  shows standard-borel-ne (restrict-space borel U)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma standard-borel-ne-borel[simp]: standard-borel-ne (borel :: ('a :: polish-space)
measure)
  and standard-borel-ne-lborel[simp]: standard-borel-ne lborel
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma count-space-standard'[simp]:
  assumes countable I
  shows standard-borel (count-space I)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma count-space-standard-ne[simp]: standard-borel-ne (count-space (UNIV :: (-
:: countable) set))
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary measure-pmf-standard-borel-ne[simp]: standard-borel-ne (measure-pmf (p
:: (- :: countable) pmf))
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary measure-spmf-standard-borel-ne[simp]: standard-borel-ne (measure-spmf
(p :: (- :: countable) spmf))
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary countable-standard-ne[simp]:
  standard-borel-ne (borel :: 'a :: {countable,t2-space} measure)

```

(proof)

lemma(in standard-borel) countable-discrete-space:
 assumes countable (space M)

shows sets M = Pow (space M)

(proof)

lemma(in standard-borel) measurable-isomorphic-standard:

assumes M measurable-isomorphic N

shows standard-borel N

(proof)

lemma(in standard-borel-ne) measurable-isomorphic-standard-ne:

assumes M measurable-isomorphic N

shows standard-borel-ne N

(proof)

lemma(in standard-borel) standard-borel-embed-measure:

assumes inj-on f (space M)

shows standard-borel (embed-measure M f)

(proof)

corollary(in standard-borel-ne) standard-borel-ne-embed-measure:

assumes inj-on f (space M)

shows standard-borel-ne (embed-measure M f)

(proof)

lemma

shows standard-ne-ereal: standard-borel-ne (borel :: ereal measure)

and standard-ne-ennreal: standard-borel-ne (borel :: ennreal measure)

(proof)

Cantor space \mathcal{C}

definition Cantor-space :: (nat \Rightarrow real) measure **where**

Cantor-space \equiv (Π_M i \in UNIV. restrict-space borel {0,1})

lemma Cantor-space-standard-ne: standard-borel-ne Cantor-space

(proof)

lemma Cantor-space-borel:

sets (borel-of Cantor-space-topology) = sets Cantor-space

(**is** ?lhs = -)

(proof)

Hilbert cube \mathcal{H}

definition Hilbert-cube :: (nat \Rightarrow real) measure **where**

Hilbert-cube \equiv (Π_M i \in UNIV. restrict-space borel {0..1})

lemma Hilbert-cube-standard-ne: standard-borel-ne Hilbert-cube

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Hilbert-cube-borel*:

sets (borel-of Hilbert-cube-topology) = sets Hilbert-cube (**is** ?lhs = -)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.2 Isomorphism between \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{H}

lemma *Cantor-space-isomorphic-to-Hilbert-cube*:

Cantor-space measurable-isomorphic Hilbert-cube
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.3 Final Results

lemma(in standard-borel) *embedding-into-Hilbert-cube*:

$\exists A \in \text{sets Hilbert-cube}. M \text{ measurable-isomorphic} (\text{restrict-space Hilbert-cube } A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in standard-borel) *embedding-from-Cantor-space*:

assumes uncountable (space M)
shows $\exists A \in \text{sets } M. \text{Cantor-space measurable-isomorphic} (\text{restrict-space } M A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary(in standard-borel) *uncountable-isomorphic-to-Hilbert-cube*:

assumes uncountable (space M)
shows Hilbert-cube measurable-isomorphic M
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary(in standard-borel) *uncountable-isomorphic-to-real*:

assumes uncountable (space M)
shows $M \text{ measurable-isomorphic} (\text{borel :: real measure})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in standard-borel) *isomorphic-subset-real*:

assumes $A \in \text{sets} (\text{borel :: real measure})$ uncountable A
obtains B where $B \in \text{sets borel } B \subseteq A M \text{ measurable-isomorphic restrict-space borel } B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in standard-borel) *countable-isomorphic-to-subset-real*:

assumes countable (space M)
obtains $A :: \text{real set}$
where countable $A A \in \text{sets borel } M \text{ measurable-isomorphic restrict-space borel } A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *Borel-isomorphism-theorem*:

assumes standard-borel M standard-borel N
shows space $M \approx$ space $N \longleftrightarrow M \text{ measurable-isomorphic } N$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

definition to-real-on :: 'a measure  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  real where
  to-real-on M  $\equiv$  (if uncountable (space M) then (SOME f. measurable-isomorphic-map
    borel :: real measure) f) else (real  $\circ$  to-nat-on (space M)))

definition from-real-into :: 'a measure  $\Rightarrow$  real  $\Rightarrow$  'a where
  from-real-into M  $\equiv$  (if uncountable (space M) then the-inv-into (space M) (to-real-on
    M) else ( $\lambda r.$  from-nat-into (space M) (nat  $\lfloor r \rfloor$ )))

context standard-borel
begin

abbreviation to-real  $\equiv$  to-real-on M
abbreviation from-real  $\equiv$  from-real-into M

lemma to-real-def-countable:
  assumes countable (space M)
  shows to-real = ( $\lambda r.$  real (to-nat-on (space M) r))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma from-real-def-countable:
  assumes countable (space M)
  shows from-real = ( $\lambda r.$  from-nat-into (space M) (nat  $\lfloor r \rfloor$ ))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma from-real-to-real[simp]:
  assumes  $x \in$  space M
  shows from-real (to-real x) = x
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma to-real-measurable[measurable]:
  to-real  $\in$  M  $\rightarrow_M$  borel
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma from-real-measurable':
  assumes space M  $\neq \{\}$ 
  shows from-real  $\in$  borel  $\rightarrow_M$  M
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma to-real-from-real:
  assumes uncountable (space M)
  shows to-real (from-real r) = r
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

lemma(in standard-borel-ne) from-real-measurable[measurable]: from-real  $\in$  borel
   $\rightarrow_M$  M
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

end

References

- [1] Lecture note of math245b in UCLA. <https://web.archive.org/web/20210506130459/https://www.math.ucla.edu/~biskup/245b.1.20w/>, 2020. Accessed: June 27. 2023.
- [2] K. Matsuzaka. **集合・位相入門**. Iwanami Shoten, 1968. written in Japanese.
- [3] S. M. Srivastava. *A Course on Borel Sets*. Springer, 1998.