

Simplicial complexes and Boolean functions*

Jesús María Aransay Azofra, Alejandro del Campo López, Julius Michaelis

March 19, 2025

Abstract

In this work we formalise the isomorphism between simplicial complexes of dimension n and monotone Boolean functions in n variables, mainly following the definitions and results as introduced by N. A. Scoville [3, Ch. 6]. We also take advantage of the AFP representation of ROBDD (Reduced Ordered Binary Decision Diagrams) [2] to compute the ROBDD representation of a given simplicial complex (by means of the isomorphism to Boolean functions). Some examples of simplicial complexes and associated Boolean functions are also presented.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Boolean functions	2
3	Threshold function	3
4	Simplicial Complexes	4
5	Simplicial complex induced by a monotone Boolean function	6
6	The simplicial complex induced by the threshold function	8
7	Bijection between simplicial complexes and monotone Boolean functions	15
8	Converting boolean functions to BDTs	17
9	Relation between type $\text{bool vec} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ and type $'a \text{ boolefunc}$	18
10	Definition of <i>evasive</i> Boolean function	20

*This research was partially funded by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (Spain), grant number PID2020-116641GB-I00.

11 Detour: Lexicographic ordering for lists	21
12 Executably converting Simplicial Complexes to BDDs	22
13 Binary operations over Boolean functions and simplicial complexes	29

1 Introduction

```
theory Boolean-functions
imports
  Main
  Jordan-Normal-Form.Matrix
begin
```

2 Boolean functions

Definition of monotonicity

We consider (monotone) Boolean functions over vectors of length n , so that we can later prove that those are isomorphic to simplicial complexes of dimension n (in n vertexes).

```
locale boolean-functions
  = fixes n::nat
begin

definition bool-fun-dim-n :: (bool vec => bool) set
  where bool-fun-dim-n = {f. f ∈ carrier-vec n → (UNIV::bool set)}

definition monotone_bool-fun :: (bool vec => bool) => bool
  where monotone_bool-fun ≡ (mono-on (carrier-vec n))

definition monotone_bool-fun-set :: (bool vec => bool) set
  where monotone_bool-fun-set = (Collect monotone_bool-fun)
```

Some examples of Boolean functions

```
definition bool-fun-top :: bool vec => bool
  where bool-fun-top f = True

definition bool-fun-bot :: bool vec => bool
  where bool-fun-bot f = False

end
```

3 Threshold function

```

definition count-true :: bool vec => nat
  where count-true v = sum (λi. if vec-index v i then 1 else 0::nat) {0..<dim-vec
v}

lemma vec-index (vec (5::nat) (λi. False)) 2 = False
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma vec-index (vec (5::nat) (λi. True)) 3 = True
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma count-true (vec (1::nat) (λi. True)) = 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma count-true (vec (2::nat) (λi. True)) = 2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma count-true (vec (5::nat) (λi. True)) = 5
  ⟨proof⟩

```

The threshold function is a Boolean function which also satisfies the condition of being *evasive*. We follow the definition by Scoville [3, Problem 6.5].

```

definition bool-fun-threshold :: nat => (bool vec => bool)
  where bool-fun-threshold i = (λv. if i ≤ count-true v then True else False)

context boolean-functions
begin

lemma mono-on UNIV bool-fun-top
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma monotone-bool-fun bool-fun-top
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mono-on UNIV bool-fun-bot
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma monotone-bool-fun bool-fun-bot
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma
  monotone-count-true:
  assumes ulev: (u::bool vec) ≤ v
  shows count-true u ≤ count-true v
  ⟨proof⟩

```

The threshold function is monotone.

```

lemma
  monotone-threshold:
  assumes ulev:  $(u::\text{bool vec}) \leq v$ 
  shows bool-fun-threshold n u  $\leq \text{bool-fun-threshold } n v$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma
  assumes  $(u::\text{bool vec}) \leq v$ 
  and  $n < \text{dim-vec } u$ 
  shows bool-fun-threshold n u  $\leq \text{bool-fun-threshold } n v$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma mono-on UNIV (bool-fun-threshold n)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma monotone-bool-fun (bool-fun-threshold n)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

end

theory Simplicial-complex
imports
  Boolean-functions
begin

```

4 Simplicial Complexes

```

lemma Pow-singleton:  $\text{Pow } \{a\} = \{\{\}, \{a\}\}$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma Pow-pair:  $\text{Pow } \{a,b\} = \{\{\}, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}\}$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

locale simplcial-complex
   $= \text{fixes } n::\text{nat}$ 
begin

```

A simplex (in n vertexes) is any set of vertexes, including the empty set.

```

definition simplices ::  $\text{nat set set}$ 
  where simplices =  $\text{Pow } \{0..<n\}$ 

```

```

lemma  $\{\} \in \text{simplices}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $\{0..<n\} \in \text{simplices}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma finite-simplex:
  assumes  $\sigma \in \text{simplices}$ 

```

shows *finite* σ
(proof)

A simplicial complex (in n vertexes) is a collection of sets of vertexes such that every subset of a set of vertexes also belongs to the simplicial complex.

definition *simplicial-complex* :: *nat set set => bool*
where *simplicial-complex* $K \equiv (\forall \sigma \in K. (\sigma \in \text{simplices}) \wedge (\text{Pow } \sigma) \subseteq K)$

lemma

finite-simplicial-complex:
assumes *simplicial-complex* K
shows *finite* K
(proof)

lemma *finite-simplices*:
assumes *simplicial-complex* K
and $v \in K$
shows *finite* v
(proof)

definition *simplicial-complex-set* :: *nat set set set*
where *simplicial-complex-set* = (*Collect simplicial-complex*)

lemma *simplicial-complex-empty-set*:
fixes $K : \text{nat set set}$
assumes $k : \text{simplicial-complex } K$
shows $K = \{\} \vee \{\} \in K$ *(proof)*

lemma

simplicial-complex-monotone:
fixes $K : \text{nat set set}$
assumes $k : \text{simplicial-complex } K$ **and** $s : s \in K$ **and** $rs : r \subseteq s$
shows $r \in K$
(proof)

One example of simplicial complex with four simplices.

lemma
assumes $\text{three} : (3 : \text{nat}) < n$
shows *simplicial-complex* $\{\{\}, \{0\}, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}\}$
(proof)

lemma $\neg \text{simplicial-complex } \{\{0, 1\}, \{1\}\}$
(proof)

Another example of simplicial complex with five simplices.

lemma
assumes $\text{three} : (3 : \text{nat}) < n$
shows *simplicial-complex* $\{\{\}, \{0\}, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{0, 1\}\}$

```
<proof>
```

Another example of simplicial complex with ten simplices.

```
lemma
  assumes three: (3::nat) < n
  shows simplicial-complex
    {{2,3},{1,3},{1,2},{0,3},{0,2},{3},{2},{1},{0},{}}
<proof>
end
```

5 Simplicial complex induced by a monotone Boolean function

In this section we introduce the definition of the simplicial complex induced by a monotone Boolean function, following the definition in Scoville [3, Def. 6.9].

First we introduce the set of tuples for which a Boolean function is *False*.

```
definition ceros-of-boolean-input :: bool vec => nat set
  where ceros-of-boolean-input v = {x. x < dim-vec v ∧ vec-index v x = False}
```

```
lemma
  ceros-of-boolean-input-l-dim:
  assumes a: a ∈ ceros-of-boolean-input v
  shows a < dim-vec v
<proof>

lemma ceros-of-boolean-input v = {x. x < dim-vec v ∧ ¬ vec-index v x}
<proof>

lemma
  ceros-of-boolean-input-complementary:
  shows ceros-of-boolean-input v = {x. x < dim-vec v} − {x. vec-index v x}
<proof>
```

```
lemma monotone-ceros-of-boolean-input:
  fixes r and s::bool vec
  assumes r-le-s: r ≤ s
  shows ceros-of-boolean-input s ⊆ ceros-of-boolean-input r
<proof>
```

We introduce here instantiations of the type *bool* for the type classes *classzero* and *classone* that will simplify notation at some points:

```
instantiation bool :: {zero,one}
```

```

begin

definition
  zero-bool-def: 0 == False

definition
  one-bool-def: 1 == True

instance ⟨proof⟩

end

```

Definition of the simplicial complex induced by a Boolean function f in dimension n .

```

definition
  simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function
    :: nat => (bool vec => bool) => nat set set
  where simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n f =
    {y. ∃ x. dim-vec x = n ∧ f x ∧ ceros-of-boolean-input x = y}

```

The simplicial complex induced by a Boolean function is a subset of the powerset of the set of vertexes.

```

lemma
  simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-subset:
  simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n (v::bool vec => bool)
    ⊆ Pow (({0..n}::nat set))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary
  simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n (v::bool vec => bool)
    ⊆ Pow ((UNIV::nat set)) ⟨proof⟩

```

The simplicial complex induced by a monotone Boolean function is a simplicial complex. This result is proven in Scoville as part of the proof of Proposition 6.16 [3, Prop. 6.16].

```

context simplicial-complex
begin

lemma
  monotone-bool-fun-induces-simplicial-complex:
  assumes mon: boolean-functions.monotone-bool-fun n f
  shows simplicial-complex (simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function
    n f)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

Example 6.10 in Scoville, the threshold function for 2 in dimension 4 (with vertexes 0,1,2,3)

```

definition bool-fun-threshold-2-3 :: bool vec => bool
  where bool-fun-threshold-2-3 = ( $\lambda v.$  if  $2 \leq \text{count-true } v$  then True else False)

lemma set-list-four: shows {0..<4} = set [0,1,2,3::nat]  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma comp-fun-commute-lambda:
  comp-fun-commute-on UNIV ((+)
   $\circ (\lambda i.$  if vec 4 f $ i then 1 else (0::nat)))
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma bool-fun-threshold-2-3
  (vec 4 ( $\lambda i.$  if  $i = 0 \vee i = 1$  then True else False)) = True
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma
  0  $\notin$  ceros-of-boolean-input (vec 4 ( $\lambda i.$  if  $i = 0 \vee i = 1$  then True else False))
  and 1  $\notin$  ceros-of-boolean-input (vec 4 ( $\lambda i.$  if  $i = 0 \vee i = 1$  then True else False))
  and 2  $\in$  ceros-of-boolean-input (vec 4 ( $\lambda i.$  if  $i = 0 \vee i = 1$  then True else False))
  and 3  $\in$  ceros-of-boolean-input (vec 4 ( $\lambda i.$  if  $i = 0 \vee i = 1$  then True else False))
  and {2,3}  $\subseteq$  ceros-of-boolean-input (vec 4 ( $\lambda i.$  if  $i = 0 \vee i = 1$  then True else False))
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma bool-fun-threshold-2-3 (vec 4 ( $\lambda i.$  if  $i = 3$  then True else False)) = False
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma bool-fun-threshold-2-3 (vec 4 ( $\lambda i.$  if  $i = 0$  then False else True))
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

6 The simplicial complex induced by the threshold function

```

lemma
  empty-set-in-simplicial-complex-induced:
  {}  $\in$  simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function 4 bool-fun-threshold-2-3
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma singleton-in-simplicial-complex-induced:
  assumes x:  $x < 4$ 
  shows {x}  $\in$  simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function 4 bool-fun-threshold-2-3
  (is ?A  $\in$  simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function 4 bool-fun-threshold-2-3)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma pair-in-simplicial-complex-induced:
  assumes x:  $x < 4$  and y:  $y < 4$ 
  shows {x,y}  $\in$  simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function 4 bool-fun-threshold-2-3
  (is ?A  $\in$  simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function 4 bool-fun-threshold-2-3)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

lemma *finite-False*: $\text{finite } \{x. x < \text{dim-vec } a \wedge \text{vec-index } (\text{a::bool vec}) x = \text{False}\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *finite-True*: $\text{finite } \{x. x < \text{dim-vec } a \wedge \text{vec-index } (\text{a::bool vec}) x = \text{True}\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UNIV-disjoint*: $\{x. x < \text{dim-vec } a \wedge \text{vec-index } (\text{a::bool vec}) x = \text{True}\}$
 $\cap \{x. x < \text{dim-vec } a \wedge \text{vec-index } (\text{a::bool vec}) x = \text{False}\} = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UNIV-union*: $\{x. x < \text{dim-vec } a \wedge \text{vec-index } (\text{a::bool vec}) x = \text{True}\}$
 $\cup \{x. x < \text{dim-vec } a \wedge \text{vec-index } (\text{a::bool vec}) x = \text{False}\} = \{x. x < \text{dim-vec } a\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *card-UNIV-union*:
 $\text{card } \{x. x < \text{dim-vec } a \wedge \text{vec-index } (\text{a::bool vec}) x = \text{True}\}$
 $+ \text{card } \{x. x < \text{dim-vec } a \wedge \text{vec-index } (\text{a::bool vec}) x = \text{False}\}$
 $= \text{card } \{x. x < \text{dim-vec } a\}$
(is $\text{card ?true} + \text{card ?false} = -$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *card-complementary*:
 $\text{card } (\text{ceros-of-boolean-input } v)$
 $+ \text{card } \{x. x < (\text{dim-vec } v) \wedge (\text{vec-index } v x = \text{True})\} = (\text{dim-vec } v)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary
card-ceros-of-boolean-input:
shows $\text{card } (\text{ceros-of-boolean-input } a) \leq \text{dim-vec } a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma
vec-fun:
assumes $v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$
shows $\exists f. v = \text{vec } n f$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary
assumes $\text{dim-vec } v = n$
shows $\exists f. v = \text{vec } n f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma
vec-l-eq:
assumes $i < n$
shows $\text{vec } (\text{Suc } n) f \$ i = \text{vec } n f \$ i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma

card-boolean-function:
assumes $d: v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$
shows $\text{card } \{x. x < n \wedge v \$ x = \text{True}\} = (\sum i = 0..n. \text{if } v \$ i \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0::\text{nat})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *card-ceros-count-UNIV*:
shows $\text{card } (\text{ceros-of-boolean-input } a) + \text{count-true } ((a::\text{bool vec})) = \text{dim-vec } a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

We calculate the carrier set of the *ceros-of-boolean-input* function for dimensions 2, 3 and 4.

Vectors of dimension 2.

lemma
dim-vec-2-cases:
assumes $dx: \text{dim-vec } x = 2$
shows $(x \$ 0 = x \$ 1 = \text{True}) \vee (x \$ 0 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{True})$
 $\vee (x \$ 0 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{False}) \vee (x \$ 0 = x \$ 1 = \text{False})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tt-2*: **assumes** $dx: \text{dim-vec } x = 2$
and $be: x \$ 0 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{True}$
shows $\text{ceros-of-boolean-input } x = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tf-2*: **assumes** $dx: \text{dim-vec } x = 2$
and $be: x \$ 0 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{False}$
shows $\text{ceros-of-boolean-input } x = \{1\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ft-2*: **assumes** $dx: \text{dim-vec } x = 2$
and $be: x \$ 0 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{True}$
shows $\text{ceros-of-boolean-input } x = \{0\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ff-2*: **assumes** $dx: \text{dim-vec } x = 2$
and $be: x \$ 0 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{False}$
shows $\text{ceros-of-boolean-input } x = \{0,1\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma
assumes $dx: \text{dim-vec } x = 2$
shows $\text{ceros-of-boolean-input } x \in \{\{\}, \{0\}, \{1\}, \{0,1\}\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Vectors of dimension 3.

lemma *less-3-cases*:
assumes $n: n < 3$ **shows** $n = 0 \vee n = 1 \vee n = (2::\text{nat})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

dim-vec-3-cases:

assumes $dx: dim\text{-}vec x = 3$

shows $(x \$ 0 = x \$ 1 = x \$ 2 = False) \vee (x \$ 0 = x \$ 1 = False \wedge x \$ 2 = True)$

$\quad \vee (x \$ 0 = x \$ 2 = False \wedge x \$ 1 = True) \vee (x \$ 0 = False \wedge x \$ 1 = x \$ 2 = True)$

$\quad \vee (x \$ 0 = True \wedge x \$ 1 = x \$ 2 = False) \vee (x \$ 0 = x \$ 2 = True \wedge x \$ 1 = False)$

$\quad \vee (x \$ 0 = x \$ 1 = True \wedge x \$ 2 = False) \vee (x \$ 0 = x \$ 1 = x \$ 2 = True)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fff-3*: **assumes** $dx: dim\text{-}vec x = 3$

and $be: x \$ 0 = False \wedge x \$ 1 = False \wedge x \$ 2 = False$

shows *ceros-of-boolean-input* $x = \{0,1,2\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fft-3*: **assumes** $dx: dim\text{-}vec x = 3$

and $be: x \$ 0 = False \wedge x \$ 1 = False \wedge x \$ 2 = True$

shows *ceros-of-boolean-input* $x = \{0,1\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ftf-3*: **assumes** $dx: dim\text{-}vec x = 3$

and $be: x \$ 0 = False \wedge x \$ 1 = True \wedge x \$ 2 = False$

shows *ceros-of-boolean-input* $x = \{0,2\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ftt-3*: **assumes** $dx: dim\text{-}vec x = 3$

and $be: x \$ 0 = False \wedge x \$ 1 = True \wedge x \$ 2 = True$

shows *ceros-of-boolean-input* $x = \{0\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tff-3*: **assumes** $dx: dim\text{-}vec x = 3$

and $be: x \$ 0 = True \wedge x \$ 1 = False \wedge x \$ 2 = False$

shows *ceros-of-boolean-input* $x = \{1,2\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tft-3*: **assumes** $dx: dim\text{-}vec x = 3$

and $be: x \$ 0 = True \wedge x \$ 1 = False \wedge x \$ 2 = True$

shows *ceros-of-boolean-input* $x = \{1\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ttf-3*: **assumes** $dx: dim\text{-}vec x = 3$

and $be: x \$ 0 = True \wedge x \$ 1 = True \wedge x \$ 2 = False$

shows *ceros-of-boolean-input* $x = \{2\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma ttt-3: assumes dx: dim-vec x = 3
and be: x $ 0 = True  $\wedge$  x $ 1 = True  $\wedge$  x $ 2 = True
shows ceros-of-boolean-input x = {}
⟨proof⟩

lemma
assumes dx: dim-vec x = 3
shows ceros-of-boolean-input x ∈ { {}, { 0 }, { 1 }, { 2 }, { 0,1 }, { 0,2 }, { 1,2 }, { 0,1,2 } }
⟨proof⟩

Vectors of dimension 4.

lemma less-4-cases:
assumes n: n < 4
shows n = 0  $\vee$  n = 1  $\vee$  n = 2  $\vee$  n = (3::nat)
⟨proof⟩

lemma
dim-vec-4-cases:
assumes dx: dim-vec x = 4
shows (x $ 0 = x $ 1 = x $ 2 = x $ 3 = False)  $\vee$  (x $ 0 = x $ 1 = x $ 2 = False  $\wedge$  x $ 3 = True)
 $\vee$  (x $ 0 = x $ 1 = x $ 3 = False  $\wedge$  x $ 2 = True)  $\vee$  (x $ 0 = x $ 1 = False  $\wedge$  x $ 2 = x $ 3 = True)
 $\vee$  (x $ 0 = x $ 2 = x $ 3 = False  $\wedge$  x $ 1 = True)  $\vee$  (x $ 0 = x $ 2 = False  $\wedge$  x $ 1 = x $ 3 = True)
 $\vee$  (x $ 0 = x $ 3 = False  $\wedge$  x $ 1 = x $ 2 = True)  $\vee$  (x $ 0 = False  $\wedge$  x $ 1 = x $ 2 = x $ 3 = True)
 $\vee$  (x $ 0 = True  $\wedge$  x $ 1 = x $ 2 = x $ 3 = False)  $\vee$  (x $ 0 = x $ 3 = True  $\wedge$  x $ 1 = x $ 2 = False)
 $\vee$  (x $ 0 = x $ 2 = True  $\wedge$  x $ 1 = x $ 3 = False)  $\vee$  (x $ 0 = x $ 2 = x $ 3 = True  $\wedge$  x $ 1 = False)
 $\vee$  (x $ 0 = x $ 1 = True  $\wedge$  x $ 2 = x $ 3 = False)  $\vee$  (x $ 0 = x $ 1 = x $ 3 = True  $\wedge$  x $ 2 = False)
 $\vee$  (x $ 0 = x $ 1 = x $ 2 = True  $\wedge$  x $ 3 = False)  $\vee$  (x $ 0 = x $ 1 = x $ 3 = True  $\wedge$  x $ 2 = False)
⟨proof⟩

lemma ffff-4: assumes dx: dim-vec x = 4
and be: x $ 0 = False  $\wedge$  x $ 1 = False  $\wedge$  x $ 2 = False  $\wedge$  x $ 3 = False
shows ceros-of-boolean-input x = { 0,1,2,3 }
⟨proof⟩

lemma ffft-4: assumes dx: dim-vec x = 4
and be: x $ 0 = False  $\wedge$  x $ 1 = False  $\wedge$  x $ 2 = False  $\wedge$  x $ 3 = True
shows ceros-of-boolean-input x = { 0,1,2 }
⟨proof⟩

lemma fft-4: assumes dx: dim-vec x = 4
and be: x $ 0 = False  $\wedge$  x $ 1 = False  $\wedge$  x $ 2 = True  $\wedge$  x $ 3 = False

```

```

shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{0,1,3\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma fftt-4: assumes  $dx: \text{dim-vec } x = 4$ 
and  $be: x \$ 0 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 3 = \text{True}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{0,1\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma ftff-4: assumes  $dx: \text{dim-vec } x = 4$ 
and  $be: x \$ 0 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 3 = \text{False}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{0,2,3\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma ftft-4: assumes  $dx: \text{dim-vec } x = 4$ 
and  $be: x \$ 0 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 3 = \text{True}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{0,2\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma fttf-4: assumes  $dx: \text{dim-vec } x = 4$ 
and  $be: x \$ 0 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 3 = \text{False}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{0,3\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma fftt-4: assumes  $dx: \text{dim-vec } x = 4$ 
and  $be: x \$ 0 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 3 = \text{True}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{0\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma tfff-4: assumes  $dx: \text{dim-vec } x = 4$ 
and  $be: x \$ 0 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 3 = \text{False}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{1,2,3\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma tfft-4: assumes  $dx: \text{dim-vec } x = 4$ 
and  $be: x \$ 0 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 3 = \text{True}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{1,2\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma tftf-4: assumes  $dx: \text{dim-vec } x = 4$ 
and  $be: x \$ 0 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 3 = \text{False}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{1,3\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma tftt-4: assumes  $dx: \text{dim-vec } x = 4$ 
and  $be: x \$ 0 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 3 = \text{True}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{1\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma ttff-4: assumes  $dx: \text{dim-vec } x = 4$ 

```

```

and be:  $x \$ 0 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 3 = \text{False}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{2,3\}$ 
{proof}

lemma ttft-4: assumes  $dx: \text{dim-vec } x = 4$ 
and be:  $x \$ 0 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 3 = \text{True}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{2\}$ 
{proof}

lemma tttf-4: assumes  $dx: \text{dim-vec } x = 4$ 
and be:  $x \$ 0 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 3 = \text{False}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{3\}$ 
{proof}

lemma tttt-4: assumes  $dx: \text{dim-vec } x = 4$ 
and be:  $x \$ 0 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 3 = \text{True}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{\}$ 
{proof}

lemma
ceros-of-boolean-input-set:
assumes  $dx: \text{dim-vec } x = 4$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x \in \{\{\}, \{0\}, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{0,1\}, \{0,2\}, \{0,3\}, \{1,2\}, \{1,3\}, \{2,3\},$ 
 $\{0,1,2\}, \{0,1,3\}, \{0,2,3\}, \{1,2,3\}, \{0,1,2,3\}\}$ 
{proof}

context simplicial-complex
begin

The simplicial complex induced by the monotone Boolean function bool-fun-threshold-2-3 has the following explicit expression.

lemma
simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-4-bool-fun-threshold-2-3:
shows  $\{\{\}, \{0\}, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{0,1\}, \{0,2\}, \{0,3\}, \{1,2\}, \{1,3\}, \{2,3\}\}$ 
 $= \text{simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function 4 bool-fun-threshold-2-3}$ 
(is  $\{\{\}, ?a, ?b, ?c, ?d, ?e, ?f, ?g, ?h, ?i, ?j\} = -$ )
{proof}

end

end

theory Bij-btw-simplicial-complex-bool-func
imports
Simplicial-complex
begin

```

7 Bijection between simplicial complexes and monotone Boolean functions

```

context simplicial-complex
begin

lemma ceros-of-boolean-input-in-set:
  assumes s:  $\sigma \in \text{simplices}$ 
  shows ceros-of-boolean-input (vec n ( $\lambda i. i \notin \sigma$ )) =  $\sigma$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma
  assumes sigma:  $\sigma \in \text{simplices}$ 
  and nempty:  $\sigma \neq \{\}$ 
  shows Max σ < n
  ⟨proof⟩

definition bool-vec-from-simplice :: nat set => (bool vec)
  where bool-vec-from-simplice σ = vec n ( $\lambda i. i \notin \sigma$ )

lemma [simp]:
  assumes σ ∈ simplices
  shows ceros-of-boolean-input (bool-vec-from-simplice σ) = σ
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma [simp]:
  assumes n: dim-vec f = n
  shows bool-vec-from-simplice (ceros-of-boolean-input f) = f
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bool-vec-from-simplice {0} = vec n ( $\lambda i. i \notin \{0\}$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bool-vec-from-simplice {1,2} =
  vec n ( $\lambda i. i \notin \{1,2\}$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma simplicial-complex-implies-true:
  assumes σ ∈ simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n f
  shows f (bool-vec-from-simplice σ)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition bool-vec-set-from-simplice-set :: nat set set => (bool vec) set
  where bool-vec-set-from-simplice-set K =
    {σ. (dim-vec σ = n) ∧ (∃ k ∈ K. σ = bool-vec-from-simplice k)}

definition boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex :: nat set set => (bool vec => bool)
  where boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex K =

```

$(\lambda x. x \in (\text{bool-vec-set-from-simplice-set } K))$

lemma *Collect (boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex K) = (bool-vec-set-from-simplice-set K)*
(proof)

The Boolean function induced by a simplicial complex is monotone. This result is proven in Scoville as part of the proof of Proposition 6.16. The opposite direction has been proven as *boolean-functions.monotone-bool-fun n ?f \Rightarrow simplicial-complex (simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n ?f)*.

lemma
simplicial-complex-induces-monotone-bool-fun:
assumes *mon: simplicial-complex (K::nat set set)*
shows *boolean-functions.monotone-bool-fun n (boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex K)*
(proof)

lemma shows *(simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n) \in boolean-functions.monotone-bool-fun-set n*
 $\rightarrow (\text{simplicial-complex-set::nat set set set})$
(proof)

lemma shows *boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex*
 $\in (\text{simplicial-complex-set::nat set set set})$
 $\rightarrow \text{boolean-functions.monotone-bool-fun-set n}$
(proof)

Given a Boolean function f , if we build its associated simplicial complex and then the associated Boolean function, we obtain f .

The result holds for every Boolean function f (the premise on f being monotone can be omitted).

lemma
boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex-simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function:
fixes *f :: bool vec \Rightarrow bool*
assumes *dim: v \in carrier-vec n*
shows *boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex*
 $(\text{simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function } n f) v = f v$
(proof)

Given a simplicial complex K , if we build its associated Boolean function, and then the associated simplicial complex, we obtain K .

lemma
simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex:
fixes *K :: nat set set*
assumes *K: simplicial-complex K*
shows *simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n*

(boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex K) = K
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

Author: Julius Michaelis

theory *MkIfex*
imports *ROBDD.BDT*
begin

8 Converting boolean functions to BDTs

The following function builds an '*a ifex*' (a binary decision tree) from a boolean function and its list of variables (note that in this development we will be using boolean functions over sets of the natural numbers of the form $\{.. < n\}$).

```
fun mk-ifex :: ('a :: linorder) boolfunc  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a ifex where
mk-ifex f [] = (if f (const False) then Trueif else Falseif) |  

mk-ifex f (v#vs) = ifex-ite  

    (IF v Trueif Falseif)  

    (mk-ifex (bf-restrict v True f) vs)  

    (mk-ifex (bf-restrict v False f) vs)
```

The result of *mk-ifex* is *ifex-ordered* and *ifex-minimal*.

lemma *mk-ifex-ro: ro-ifex (mk-ifex f vs)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

To prove that *mk-ifex* has correctly captured a boolean function *f*, we need know that all variables that *f* depends on were considered by *mk-ifex*. In that regard, one troublesome aspect of *boolfunc* from *ROBDD.Bool-Func* is that it is too general: Boolean functions' assignments assign a Boolean value to any natural number, and functions are not limited to "reading" only from a finite set of variables. This for example allows for the boolean function that asks "Is the assignment true in a finite number of variables: $\lambda as. \text{finite} \{x. as\}$." This function does not depend on any single variable, but on the set of all of them. A definition that proved to work despite such subtleties is that a function *f* only depends on the variables in set *x* iff for any pair of assignments that agree in *x* (but are arbitrary otherwise), the values of *f* agree:

definition *reads-inside-set f x* \equiv
 $(\forall assmt1 assmt2. (\forall p. p \in x \longrightarrow assmt1 p = assmt2 p) \longrightarrow f assmt1 = f assmt2)$

lemma *reads-inside-set-subset: reads-inside-set f a \Longrightarrow a \subseteq b \Longrightarrow reads-inside-set f b*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reads-inside-set-restrict*: *reads-inside-set f s* \implies *reads-inside-set (bf-restrict i v f)* (*Set.remove i s*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *collect-upd-true*: *Collect (x(y:= True)) = insert y (Collect x)* $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *collect-upd-false*: *Collect (x(y:= False)) = Set.remove y (Collect x)* $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reads-none*: *reads-inside-set f {}* \implies *f = bf-True* \vee *f = bf-False*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val-ifex-ite-subst*: $\llbracket ro-ifex i; ro-ifex t; ro-ifex e \rrbracket \implies val-ifex (ifex-ite i t e) = bf-ite (val-ifex i) (val-ifex t) (val-ifex e)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem

val-ifex-mk-ifex-equal:

reads-inside-set f (set vs) \implies val-ifex (mk-ifex f vs) assmt = f assmt
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

theory *Evasive*

imports

Bij-betw-simplicial-complex-bool-func

MkIfex

begin

9 Relation between type $bool \text{ vec} \Rightarrow bool$ and type '*a boolefunc*

definition *vec-to-boolefunc* :: *nat \Rightarrow (bool vec \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow (nat boolefunc)*
where *vec-to-boolefunc n f = ($\lambda i. f (\text{vec } n i)$)*

lemma

ris: reads-inside-set ($\lambda i. \text{bool-fun-threshold-2-3} (\text{vec } 4 i)$) (set [0,1,2,3])
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

shows *val-ifex (mk-ifex (vec-to-boolefunc 4 bool-fun-threshold-2-3) [0,1,2,3]) = vec-to-boolefunc 4 bool-fun-threshold-2-3*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

For any Boolean function in dimension n , its ifex representation is *ifex-ordered* and *ifex-minimal*.

lemma *mk-ifex-boolean-function*:
fixes *f :: bool vec \Rightarrow bool*

```
shows ro-ifex (mk-ifex (vec-to-boolefunc n f) [0..n])
⟨proof⟩
```

Any Boolean function in dimension n can be seen as an expression over the underlying set of variables.

lemma

```
  reads-inside-set-boolean-function:
fixes f :: bool vec => bool
shows reads-inside-set (vec-to-boolefunc n f) {..<n}
⟨proof⟩
```

Any Boolean function of a finite dimension is equal to its ifex representation by means of *mk-ifex*.

lemma

```
  mk-ifex-equivalence:
fixes f :: bool vec => bool
shows val-ifex (mk-ifex (vec-to-boolefunc n f) [0..n])
  = vec-to-boolefunc n f
⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition bcount-true :: nat => (nat=> bool) => nat
where bcount-true n f = ( $\sum i = 0..n. \text{iff } i \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0$ ::nat))
```

```
definition boolefunc-threshold-2-3 :: (nat => bool) => bool
where boolefunc-threshold-2-3 = ( $\lambda v. 2 \leq \text{bcount-true } 4 v$ )
```

```
definition proj-2 :: (nat => bool) => bool
where proj-2 = ( $\lambda v. v 2$ )
```

```
definition proj-2-n3 :: (nat => bool) => bool
where proj-2-n3 = ( $\lambda v. v 2 \wedge \neg v 3$ )
```

The following definition computes the height of a '*a ifex*' expression.

```
fun height :: 'a ifex => nat
where height Trueif = 0
| height Falseif = 0
| height (IF v va vb) = 1 + max (height va) (height vb)
```

Both *mk-ifex* and *height* can be used in computations.

```
lemma height (mk-ifex (boolefunc-threshold-2-3) [0,1,2,3]) = 4
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma height (mk-ifex (proj-2) [0,1,2,3]) = 1
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma mk-ifex (proj-2) [0] = Falseif ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma height (mk-ifex (proj-2) [0]) = 0 ⟨proof⟩

lemma mk-ifex (proj-2) [3,2,1,0] = IF 2 Trueif Falseif
⟨proof⟩

lemma mk-ifex (proj-2) [0,1,2,3] = IF 2 Trueif Falseif
⟨proof⟩

lemma height (mk-ifex (proj-2) [0,1,2,3]) = 1 ⟨proof⟩

lemma mk-ifex (proj-2-n3) [0,1,2,3] = IF 2 (IF 3 Falseif Trueif) Falseif ⟨proof⟩

lemma mk-ifex (bf-False::nat boolfunc) [0,1,2,3] = Falseif ⟨proof⟩

lemma height (mk-ifex (bf-False::nat boolfunc) [0,1,2,3]) = 0 ⟨proof⟩

lemma mk-ifex (bf-True::nat boolfunc) [0,1,2,3] = Trueif ⟨proof⟩

lemma height (mk-ifex (bf-True::nat boolfunc) [0,1,2,3]) = 0 ⟨proof⟩

```

10 Definition of *evasive* Boolean function

Now we introduce the definition of evasive Boolean function. It is based on the height of the ifex expression of the given function. The definition is inspired by the one by Scoville [3, Ex. 6.19].

```

definition evasive :: nat => ((nat => bool) => bool) => bool
where evasive n f ≡ (height (mk-ifex f [0..n])) = n

```

```
corollary evasive 4 boolfunc-threshold-2-3 ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma ⊢ evasive 4 proj-2 ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma ⊢ evasive 4 proj-2-n3 ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma ⊢ evasive 4 bf-True ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma ⊢ evasive 4 bf-False ⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

```

theory ListLexorder
imports Main
begin

```

11 Detour: Lexicographic ordering for lists

Simplicial complexes are defined as sets of sets. To conveniently run computations on them, we convert those sets to lists via *sorted-list-of-set*. This requires providing an arbitrary linear order for lists. We pick a lexicographic order.

```

datatype 'a :: linorder linorder-list = LinorderList 'a list

definition linorder-list-unwrap L ≡ case L of LinorderList L ⇒ L

fun less-eq-linorder-list-pre where
  less-eq-linorder-list-pre (LinorderList []) (LinorderList []) = True |
  less-eq-linorder-list-pre (LinorderList []) - = True |
  less-eq-linorder-list-pre - (LinorderList []) = False |
  less-eq-linorder-list-pre (LinorderList (a # as)) (LinorderList (b # bs))
    = (if a = b then less-eq-linorder-list-pre (LinorderList as) (LinorderList bs) else
      a < b)

instantiation linorder-list :: (linorder) linorder
begin
  definition less-linorder-list x y ≡
    (less-eq-linorder-list-pre x y ∧ ¬ less-eq-linorder-list-pre y x)
  definition less-eq-linorder-list x y ≡ less-eq-linorder-list-pre x y
  instance
    ⟨proof⟩
end

The main product of this theory file:

definition sorted-list-of-list-set L ≡
  map linorder-list-unwrap (sorted-list-of-set (LinorderList ` L))

lemma set-sorted-list-of-list-set[simp]:
  finite L ⇒ set (sorted-list-of-list-set L) = L
  ⟨proof⟩

end

theory BDD
imports
  Evasive
  ROBDD.Level-Collapse
  ListLexorder
begin
```

12 Executably converting Simplicial Complexes to BDDs

We already know how to convert a simplicial complex to a boolean function, and that to a BDT. We could trivially convert convert boolean functions to BDDs the same way they are converted to BDTs, but the conversion to BDTs necessarily takes an amount of steps exponential in the number of variables (vertices). The following method avoids this exponential method.

This theory does not include a proof on the run-time complexity of the conversion.

The basic idea is that each vertex in a simplicial complex corresponds to one *True* line in the truth table of the inducing Boolean function. This is captured by the following definition, which is part of the correctness assumptions of the final theorem.

definition *bf-from-sc* :: *nat set set => (bool vec => bool)*
where *bf-from-sc K* $\equiv (\lambda v. \{i. i < \text{dim-vec } v \wedge \neg (\text{vec-index } v i)\} \in K)$

lemma *bf-from-sc*:
assumes *sc: simplicial-complex.simplicial-complex n K*
shows *simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n (bf-from-sc K) = K*
<proof>

definition *boolfunc-from-sc* :: *nat => nat set set => nat boolfunc*
where *boolfunc-from-sc n K* $\equiv \lambda p. \{i. i < n \wedge \neg p i\} \in K$

The conversion proven correct in two major steps:

- Prove that we can convert the list form of simplicial complexes to boolean functions instead of the set form (*boolfunc-from-sc-list*)
- Prove that we can convert the list form of simplicial complexes to BDDs (*boolfunc-bdd-from-sc-list*)

definition *sc-threshold-2-3* $\equiv \{\{\}, \{0::\text{nat}\}, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{0,1\}, \{0,2\}, \{0,3\}, \{1,2\}, \{1,3\}, \{2,3\}\}$

Example: The truth table (as separate lemmas) for *sc-threshold-2-3*:

lemma *hlp1: {i. i < 4 \wedge \neg (f(0 := a0, 1 := a1, 2 := a2, 3 := a3)) i} =*
(if a0 then {} else {0::nat})
\cup (if a1 then {} else {1})
\cup (if a2 then {} else {2})
\cup (if a3 then {} else {3})
<proof>

lemma *sc-threshold-2-3-ffff:*

```

boolfunc-from-sc 4 sc-threshold-2-3 (a (0:=False,1:=False,2:=False,3:=False)) =
False
⟨proof⟩

lemma sc-threshold-2-3-ffff:
  boolfunc-from-sc 4 sc-threshold-2-3 (a(0:=False,1:=False,2:=False,3:=True)) =
False
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sc-threshold-2-3-fftf:
  boolfunc-from-sc 4 sc-threshold-2-3 (a(0:=False,1:=False,2:=True,3:=False)) =
False
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sc-threshold-2-3-ftff:
  boolfunc-from-sc 4 sc-threshold-2-3 (a(0:=False,1:=True,2:=False,3:=False)) =
False
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sc-threshold-2-3-tfff:
  boolfunc-from-sc 4 sc-threshold-2-3 (a(0:=True,1:=False,2:=False,3:=False)) =
False
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sc-threshold-2-3-ffff:
  boolfunc-from-sc 4 sc-threshold-2-3 (a(0:=False,1:=False,2:=True,3:=True)) =
True
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sc-threshold-2-3-ftft:
  boolfunc-from-sc 4 sc-threshold-2-3 (a(0:=False,1:=True,2:=False,3:=True)) =
True
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sc-threshold-2-3-ffft:
  boolfunc-from-sc 4 sc-threshold-2-3 (a(0:=False,1:=True,2:=True,3:=False)) =
True
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sc-threshold-2-3-fttt:
  boolfunc-from-sc 4 sc-threshold-2-3 (a(0:=False,1:=True,2:=True,3:=True)) =
True
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sc-threshold-2-3-tfft:
  boolfunc-from-sc 4 sc-threshold-2-3 (a(0:=True,1:=False,2:=False,3:=True)) =
True
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sc-threshold-2-3-tftf:
  boolfunc-from-sc 4 sc-threshold-2-3 (a(0:=True,1:=False,2:=True,3:=False)) =
  True
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sc-threshold-2-3-tftt:
  boolfunc-from-sc 4 sc-threshold-2-3 (a(0:=True,1:=False,2:=True,3:=True)) =
  True
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sc-threshold-2-3-ttff:
  boolfunc-from-sc 4 sc-threshold-2-3 (a(0:=True,1:=True,2:=False,3:=False)) =
  True
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sc-threshold-2-3-ttft:
  boolfunc-from-sc 4 sc-threshold-2-3 (a(0:=True,1:=True,2:=False,3:=True)) =
  True
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sc-threshold-2-3-tttf:
  boolfunc-from-sc 4 sc-threshold-2-3 (a(0:=True,1:=True,2:=True,3:=False)) =
  True
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sc-threshold-2-3-tttt:
  boolfunc-from-sc 4 sc-threshold-2-3 (a(0:=True,1:=True,2:=True,3:=True)) =
  True
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma boolfunc-from-sc n UNIV = bf-True
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma boolfunc-from-sc n {} = bf-False
  ⟨proof⟩

This may seem like an extra step, but effectively, it means: require that all
the atoms outside the vertex are true, but don't care about what's in the
vertex.

lemma boolfunc-from-sc-lazy:
  simplicial-complex.simplicial-complex n K
   $\implies$  boolfunc-from-sc n K = ( $\lambda p. \text{Pow} \{i. i < n \wedge \neg p i\} \subseteq K$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

primrec boolfunc-from-vertex-list :: nat list  $\Rightarrow$  nat list  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  boolfunc-from-vertex-list n [] = bf-True |
  boolfunc-from-vertex-list n (f#fs) =
    bf-and (boolfunc-from-vertex-list n fs) (if f  $\in$  set n then bf-True else bf-lit f)

```

```

lemma boolefunc-from-vertex-list-Cons:
  boolefunc-from-vertex-list (a # as) lUNIV =
    ( $\lambda v.$  (boolefunc-from-vertex-list as lUNIV) (v(a:=True)))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma boolefunc-from-vertex-list-Empty:
  boolefunc-from-vertex-list [] lUNIV = Ball (set lUNIV)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma boolefunc-from-vertex-list:
  set lUNIV = {.. $< n\} \implies$  boolefunc-from-vertex-list a lUNIV = ( $\lambda p.$  {i. i  $< n \wedge \neg$ 
  p i}  $\subseteq$  set a)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

primrec boolefunc-from-sc-list :: nat list  $\Rightarrow$  nat list list  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  boolefunc-from-sc-list lUNIV [] = bf-False |
  boolefunc-from-sc-list lUNIV (l#L) =
    bf-or (boolefunc-from-sc-list lUNIV L) (boolefunc-from-vertex-list l lUNIV)

lemma boolefunc-from-sc-un:
  boolefunc-from-sc n (a  $\cup$  b) = bf-or (boolefunc-from-sc n a) (boolefunc-from-sc n b)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma bf-ite-const[simp]: bf-ite bf-True a b = a bf-ite bf-False a b = b
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Pow-subset-Pow: Pow a  $\subseteq$  Pow b = (a  $\subseteq$  b)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma boolefunc-from-sc-list-concat:
  boolefunc-from-sc-list lUNIV (a @ b) =
    bf-or (boolefunc-from-sc-list lUNIV a) (boolefunc-from-sc-list lUNIV b)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma boolefunc-from-sc-list-existing-useless:
  a  $\in$  set as  $\implies$  boolefunc-from-sc-list l (a # as) = boolefunc-from-sc-list l as
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

primrec remove :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list
where
  remove a [] = []
  remove a (a1 # as) = (if a = a1 then [] else [a1]) @ remove a as

lemma set-remove[simp]: set (remove a as) = set as - {a}
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma remove-concat[simp]: remove a (a1 @ a2) = remove a a1 @ remove a a2

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *boolfunc-from-sc-list-dedup1*:
 $boolfunc\text{-}from\text{-}sc\text{-}list l (a \# as) = boolfunc\text{-}from\text{-}sc\text{-}list l (a \# remove a as)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *boolfunc-from-sc-list-reorder*:
 $set a = set b \implies boolfunc\text{-}from\text{-}sc\text{-}list l a = boolfunc\text{-}from\text{-}sc\text{-}list l b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *boolfunc-from-sc-list*:
 $set lUNIV = \{.. < n :: nat\}$
 $\implies simplicial\text{-}complex.simplicial\text{-}complex n (set ` set L)$
 $\implies boolfunc\text{-}from\text{-}sc\text{-}list lUNIV L = boolfunc\text{-}from\text{-}sc n (set ` set L)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *boolfunc-from-sc-alt*: $boolfunc\text{-}from\text{-}sc n K = vec\text{-}to\text{-}boolfunc n (bf\text{-}from\text{-}sc K)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

primrec *bdd-from-vertex-list* :: $nat list \Rightarrow nat list \Rightarrow bddi \Rightarrow (nat \times bddi) Heap$
where
 $bdd\text{-}from\text{-}vertex\text{-}list n [] s = tci s |$
 $bdd\text{-}from\text{-}vertex\text{-}list n (f \# fs) s = do \{$
 $(f, s) \leftarrow if f \in set n then tci s else litci f s;$
 $(fs, s) \leftarrow bdd\text{-}from\text{-}vertex\text{-}list n fs s;$
 $andci fs f s$
 $\}$

primrec *bdd-from-sc-list* :: $nat list \Rightarrow nat list list \Rightarrow bddi \Rightarrow (nat \times bddi) Heap$
where
 $bdd\text{-}from\text{-}sc\text{-}list lUNIV [] s = fci s |$
 $bdd\text{-}from\text{-}sc\text{-}list lUNIV (l \# L) s = do \{$
 $(l, s) \leftarrow bdd\text{-}from\text{-}vertex\text{-}list l lUNIV s;$
 $(L, s) \leftarrow bdd\text{-}from\text{-}sc\text{-}list lUNIV L s;$
 $orci L l s$
 $\}$

definition *nat-list-from-vertex* $v \equiv sorted\text{-}list\text{-}of\text{-}set v$

definition *nat-list-from-sc* $K \equiv sorted\text{-}list\text{-}of\text{-}list\text{-}set (nat\text{-}list\text{-}from\text{-}vertex ` K)$

definition *ex-2-3* $\equiv do \{$
 $s \leftarrow emptyci;$
 $(ex, s) \leftarrow bdd\text{-}from\text{-}sc\text{-}list [0, 1, 2, 3] (nat\text{-}list\text{-}from\text{-}sc sc\text{-}threshold-2-3) s;$
 $graphifyci "threshold-two-three" ex s$
 $\}$

```

lemma nat-list-from-vertex:
  assumes finite l
  shows set (nat-list-from-vertex l) = {i . i ∈ l}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma
  finite-sorted-list-of-set:
  assumes finite L
  shows finite (sorted-list-of-set ` L)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-list-from-sc:
  assumes L: finite L
  and l: ∀ l∈L. finite l
  shows set ` set (nat-list-from-sc (L :: nat set set)) = {{i . i ∈ l} | l. l ∈ L}
  ⟨proof⟩

definition ex-false ≡ do {
  s ← emptyci;
  (ex, s) ← bdd-from-sc-list [0, 1, 2, 3] (nat-list-from-sc {}) s;
  graphifyci "false" ex s
}

definition ex-true ≡ do {
  s ← emptyci;
  (ex, s) ← bdd-from-sc-list [0, 1, 2, 3]
    (nat-list-from-sc
      {{}, {0}, {1}, {2}, {3},
       {0,1}, {0,2}, {0,3}, {1,2}, {1,3}, {2,3},
       {0,1,2}, {0,1,3}, {0,2,3}, {1,2,3}, {0,1,2,3}}) s;
  graphifyci "true" ex s
}

definition another-ex ≡ do {
  s ← emptyci;
  (ex, s) ← bdd-from-sc-list [0, 1, 2, 3]
    (nat-list-from-sc
      {{}, {0}, {1}, {2}, {3},
       {0,1}, {0,2}, {0,3}, {1,2}, {1,3}, {2,3},
       {0,1,2}, {0,1,3}, {0,2,3}, {1,2,3}}) s;
  graphifyci "another-ex" ex s
}

definition one-another-ex ≡ do {
  s ← emptyci;
  (ex, s) ← bdd-from-sc-list [0, 1, 2, 3]

```

```

(nat-list-from-sc
{\{\},\{0\},\{1\},\{2\},\{3\},
\{0,1\},\{0,2\},\{0,3\},\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\{2,3\},
\{0,1,2\},\{0,1,3\},\{1,2,3\}}) s;
graphifyci "one-another-ex" ex s
}

lemma bf-ite-direct[simp]: bf-ite i bf-True bf-False = i ⟨proof⟩

lemma andciI: node-relator (tb, tc) rp  $\implies$  node-relator (eb, ec) rp  $\implies$  rq  $\subseteq$  rp
 $\implies$ 
<bdd-relator rp s> andci tc ec s < $\lambda(r,s').$  bdd-relator (insert (bf-and tb eb,r) rq) s'>
⟨proof⟩

lemma bdd-from-vertex-list[sep-heap-rules]:
shows <bdd-relator rp s>
bdd-from-vertex-list n l s
< $\lambda(r,s').$  bdd-relator (insert (boolfunc-from-vertex-list n l, r) rp) s'>
⟨proof⟩

lemma boolfunc-bdd-from-sc-list:
shows <bdd-relator rp s>
bdd-from-sc-list lUNIV K s
< $\lambda(r,s').$  bdd-relator (insert (boolfunc-from-sc-list lUNIV K, r) rp) s'>
⟨proof⟩

lemma map-map-idI: ( $\bigwedge x.$   $x \in \bigcup(\text{set} ` \text{set} l) \implies f x = x$ )  $\implies$  map (map f) l = l
⟨proof⟩

definition
bdd-from-sc K n  $\equiv$  bdd-from-sc-list (nat-list-from-vertex {..<n}) (nat-list-from-sc K)

theorem bdd-from-sc:
assumes simplicial-complex.simplicial-complex n (K :: nat set set)
shows <bdd-relator rp s>
bdd-from-sc K n s
< $\lambda(r,s').$  bdd-relator (insert (vec-to-boolfunc n (bf-from-sc K), r) rp) s'>
⟨proof⟩

code-identifier
code-module Product-Type  $\rightarrow$  (SML) IBDD
and (OCaml) IBDD and (Haskell) IBDD
| code-module Typerep  $\rightarrow$  (SML) IBD
and (OCaml) IBD and (Haskell) IBD
| code-module String  $\rightarrow$  (SML) IBDD
and (OCaml) IBDD and (Haskell) IBDD

```

```

export-code open bdd-from-sc ex-2-3 ex-false ex-true another-ex one-another-ex
in Haskell module-name IBDD file BDD

export-code bdd-from-sc ex-2-3
in SML module-name IBDD file SMLBDD

end

theory Binary-operations
imports Bij-betw-simplicial-complex-bool-func
begin

```

13 Binary operations over Boolean functions and simplicial complexes

In this theory some results on binary operations over Boolean functions and their relationship to operations over the induced simplicial complexes are presented. We follow the presentation by Chastain and Scoville [1, Sect. 1.1].

definition bool-fun-or :: nat \Rightarrow (bool vec \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow (bool vec \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow (bool vec \Rightarrow bool)
where (bool-fun-or n f g) \equiv ($\lambda x. f x \vee g x$)

definition bool-fun-and :: nat \Rightarrow (bool vec \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow (bool vec \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow (bool vec \Rightarrow bool)
where (bool-fun-and n f g) \equiv ($\lambda x. f x \wedge g x$)

lemma eq-union-or:
simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n (bool-fun-or n f g)
= simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n f
 \cup simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n g
(**is** ?sc n (?bf-or n f g) = ?sc n f \cup ?sc n g)
⟨proof⟩

lemma eq-inter-and:
simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n (bool-fun-and n f g)
= simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n f
 \cap simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n g
(**is** ?sc n (?bf-and n f g) = ?sc n f \cap ?sc n g)
⟨proof⟩

definition bool-fun-ast :: (nat \times nat) \Rightarrow (bool vec \Rightarrow bool) \times (bool vec \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow (bool vec \times bool vec \Rightarrow bool)
where (bool-fun-ast n f) \equiv ($\lambda (x,y). (fst f x) \wedge (snd f y)$)

definition

```

simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-ast
  :: (nat × nat) ⇒ ((bool vec × bool vec ⇒ bool)) ⇒ (nat set * nat set) set
where simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-ast n f =
  {z. ∃ x y. dim-vec x = fst n ∧ dim-vec y = snd n ∧ f (x, y)
   ∧ ((ceros-of-boolean-input x), (ceros-of-boolean-input y)) = z}

lemma fst-es-simplice:
  a ∈ simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-ast n f
  ⇒ (∃ x y. f (x, y) ∧ (ceros-of-boolean-input x) = fst(a))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma snd-es-simplice:
  a ∈ simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-ast n f
  ⇒ (∃ x y. f (x, y) ∧ (ceros-of-boolean-input y) = snd(a))
  ⟨proof⟩

definition set-ast :: (nat set) set ⇒ (nat set) set ⇒ ((nat set*nat set) set)
where set-ast A B ≡ {c. ∃ a∈A. ∃ b∈B. c = (a,b)}

definition set-fst :: (nat*nat) set ⇒ nat set
where set-fst AB = {a. ∃ ab∈AB. a = fst ab}

lemma set-fst-simp [simp]:
assumes y ≠ {}
shows set-fst (x × y) = x
⟨proof⟩

definition set-snd :: (nat*nat) set ⇒ nat set
where set-snd AB = {b. ∃ ab∈AB. b = snd(ab)}

lemma
  simplicial-complex-ast-implies-fst-true:
assumes γ ∈ simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-ast nn
  (bool-fun-ast nn f)
shows fst f (simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice (fst nn) (fst γ))
⟨proof⟩

lemma
  simplicial-complex-ast-implies-snd-true:
assumes γ ∈ simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-ast nn
  (bool-fun-ast nn f)
shows snd f (simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice (snd nn) (snd γ))
⟨proof⟩

lemma eq-ast:
  simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-ast (n, m) (bool-fun-ast
  (n, m) f)
  = set-ast (simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n (fst f))
    (simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function m (snd f))

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

References

- [1] E. J. Chastain and N. A. Scoville. Homology of Boolean functions and the complexity of simplicial homology.
<https://nanopdf.com/download/homology-of-boolean-functions-and-the-complexity-of-simplicial.pdf>.
- [2] J. Michaelis, M. Haslbeck, P. Lammich, and L. Hupel. Algorithms for reduced ordered binary decision diagrams. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, Apr. 2016. <https://isa-afp.org/entries/ROBDD.html>, Formal proof development.
- [3] N. A. Scoville. *Discrete Morse Theory*, volume 90 of *Student Mathematical Library*. American Mathematical Society, 2019.