

# Simplicial complexes and Boolean functions\*

Jesús María Aransay Azofra, Alejandro del Campo López, Julius Michaelis

March 19, 2025

## Abstract

In this work we formalise the isomorphism between simplicial complexes of dimension  $n$  and monotone Boolean functions in  $n$  variables, mainly following the definitions and results as introduced by N. A. Scoville [3, Ch. 6]. We also take advantage of the AFP representation of ROBDD (Reduced Ordered Binary Decision Diagrams) [2] to compute the ROBDD representation of a given simplicial complex (by means of the isomorphism to Boolean functions). Some examples of simplicial complexes and associated Boolean functions are also presented.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Boolean functions</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Threshold function</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Simplicial Complexes</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Simplicial complex induced by a monotone Boolean function</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>The simplicial complex induced by the threshold function</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Bijection between simplicial complexes and monotone Boolean functions</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Converting boolean functions to BDTs</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Relation between type <math>\text{bool vec} \Rightarrow \text{bool}</math> and type <math>'a \text{ boolefunc}</math></b>	<b>28</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Definition of <i>evasive</i> Boolean function</b>	<b>30</b>

---

\*This research was partially funded by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (Spain), grant number PID2020-116641GB-I00.

<b>11 Detour: Lexicographic ordering for lists</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>12 Executably converting Simplicial Complexes to BDDs</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>13 Binary operations over Boolean functions and simplicial complexes</b>	<b>43</b>

## 1 Introduction

```
theory Boolean-functions
imports
  Main
  Jordan-Normal-Form.Matrix
begin
```

## 2 Boolean functions

Definition of monotonicity

We consider (monotone) Boolean functions over vectors of length  $n$ , so that we can later prove that those are isomorphic to simplicial complexes of dimension  $n$  (in  $n$  vertexes).

```
locale boolean-functions
  = fixes n::nat
begin

definition bool-fun-dim-n :: (bool vec => bool) set
  where bool-fun-dim-n = {f. f ∈ carrier-vec n → (UNIV::bool set)}

definition monotone_bool-fun :: (bool vec => bool) => bool
  where monotone_bool-fun ≡ (mono-on (carrier-vec n))

definition monotone_bool-fun-set :: (bool vec => bool) set
  where monotone_bool-fun-set = (Collect monotone_bool-fun)
```

Some examples of Boolean functions

```
definition bool-fun-top :: bool vec => bool
  where bool-fun-top f = True

definition bool-fun-bot :: bool vec => bool
  where bool-fun-bot f = False

end
```

### 3 Threshold function

```

definition count-true :: bool vec => nat
  where count-true v = sum (λi. if vec-index v i then 1 else 0::nat) {0..<dim-vec v}

lemma vec-index (vec (5::nat) (λi. False)) 2 = False
  by simp

lemma vec-index (vec (5::nat) (λi. True)) 3 = True
  by simp

lemma count-true (vec (1::nat) (λi. True)) = 1
  unfolding count-true-def by simp

lemma count-true (vec (2::nat) (λi. True)) = 2
  unfolding count-true-def by simp

lemma count-true (vec (5::nat) (λi. True)) = 5
  unfolding count-true-def by simp

```

The threshold function is a Boolean function which also satisfies the condition of being *evasive*. We follow the definition by Scoville [3, Problem 6.5].

```

definition bool-fun-threshold :: nat => (bool vec => bool)
  where bool-fun-threshold i = (λv. if i ≤ count-true v then True else False)

context boolean-functions
begin

lemma mono-on UNIV bool-fun-top
  by (simp add: bool-fun-top-def mono-onI monotone_bool-fun-def)

lemma monotone_bool-fun bool-fun-top
  by (simp add: bool-fun-top-def mono-onI monotone_bool-fun-def)

lemma mono-on UNIV bool-fun-bot
  by (simp add: bool-fun-bot-def mono-onI monotone_bool-fun-def)

lemma monotone_bool-fun bool-fun-bot
  by (simp add: bool-fun-bot-def mono-onI monotone_bool-fun-def)

lemma
  monotone-count-true:
  assumes ulev: (u::bool vec) ≤ v
  shows count-true u ≤ count-true v
  unfolding count-true-def
  using Groups-Big.ordered-comm-monoid-add-class.sum-mono
  [of {0..<dim-vec u}]

```

```

 $(\lambda i. \text{if } \text{vec-index } u \ i \ \text{then } 1 \ \text{else } 0)$ 
 $(\lambda i. \text{if } \text{vec-index } v \ i \ \text{then } 1 \ \text{else } 0)]$ 
using ulev
unfolding Matrix.less-eq-vec-def
by fastforce

The threshold function is monotone.

lemma
  monotone-threshold:
  assumes ulev:  $(u:\text{bool vec}) \leq v$ 
  shows bool-fun-threshold n u  $\leq$  bool-fun-threshold n v
  unfolding bool-fun-threshold-def
  using monotone-count-true [OF ulev] by simp

lemma
  assumes  $(u:\text{bool vec}) \leq v$ 
  and  $n < \text{dim-vec } u$ 
  shows bool-fun-threshold n u  $\leq$  bool-fun-threshold n v
  using monotone-threshold [OF assms(1)] .

lemma mono-on UNIV (bool-fun-threshold n)
  by (meson mono-onI monotone-bool-fun-def monotone-threshold)

lemma monotone-bool-fun (bool-fun-threshold n)
  unfolding monotone-bool-fun-def
  by (meson boolean-functions.monotone-threshold mono-onI)

end

end

theory Simplicial-complex
imports
  Boolean-functions
begin

```

## 4 Simplicial Complexes

```

lemma Pow-singleton:  $\text{Pow } \{a\} = \{\{\}, \{a\}\}$  by auto

lemma Pow-pair:  $\text{Pow } \{a,b\} = \{\{\}, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}\}$  by auto

locale simplcial-complex
  = fixes n::nat
begin

```

A simplex (in  $n$  vertexes) is any set of vertexes, including the empty set.

```
definition simplices :: nat set set
```

```

where simplices = Pow {0.. $n$ }

lemma {} ∈ simplices
  unfolding simplices-def by simp

lemma {0.. $n$ } ∈ simplices
  unfolding simplices-def by simp

lemma finite-simplex:
  assumes σ ∈ simplices
  shows finite σ
  by (metis Pow-iff assms finite-atLeastLessThan finite-subset simplices-def)

```

A simplicial complex (in  $n$  vertexes) is a collection of sets of vertexes such that every subset of a set of vertexes also belongs to the simplicial complex.

```

definition simplicial-complex :: nat set set => bool
  where simplicial-complex K ≡ (forall σ ∈ K. (σ ∈ simplices) ∧ (Pow σ) ⊆ K)

```

```

lemma
  finite-simplicial-complex:
  assumes simplicial-complex K
  shows finite K
  by (metis assms finite-Pow-iff finite-atLeastLessThan rev-finite-subset simplices-def
simplicial-complex-def subsetI)

lemma finite-simplices:
  assumes simplicial-complex K
  and v ∈ K
  shows finite v
  using assms finite-simplex simplicial-complex.simps simplicial-complex-def by blast

```

```

definition simplicial-complex-set :: nat set set set
  where simplicial-complex-set = (Collect simplicial-complex)

```

```

lemma simplicial-complex-empty-set:
  fixes K::nat set set
  assumes k: simplicial-complex K
  shows K = {} ∨ {} ∈ K using k unfolding simplicial-complex-def Pow-def by
auto

```

```

lemma
  simplicial-complex-monotone:
  fixes K::nat set set
  assumes k: simplicial-complex K and s: s ∈ K and rs: r ⊆ s
  shows r ∈ K
  using k rs s
  unfolding simplicial-complex-def Pow-def by auto

```

One example of simplicial complex with four simplices.

```
lemma
  assumes three:  $(3:\text{nat}) < n$ 
  shows simplicial-complex  $\{\{\}, \{0\}, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}\}$ 
  apply (simp-all add: Pow-singleton simplicial-complex-def simplices-def)
  using Suc-lessD three by presburger

lemma  $\neg$  simplicial-complex  $\{\{0,1\}, \{1\}\}$ 
  by (simp add: Pow-pair simplicial-complex-def)
```

Another example of simplicial complex with five simplices.

```
lemma
  assumes three:  $(3:\text{nat}) < n$ 
  shows simplicial-complex  $\{\{\}, \{0\}, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{0,1\}\}$ 
  apply (simp add: Pow-pair Pow-singleton simplicial-complex-def simplices-def)
  using Suc-lessD three by presburger
```

Another example of simplicial complex with ten simplices.

```
lemma
  assumes three:  $(3:\text{nat}) < n$ 
  shows simplicial-complex
     $\{\{2,3\}, \{1,3\}, \{1,2\}, \{0,3\}, \{0,2\}, \{3\}, \{2\}, \{1\}, \{0\}, \{\}\}$ 
  apply (simp add: Pow-pair Pow-singleton simplicial-complex-def simplices-def)
  using Suc-lessD three by presburger
```

end

## 5 Simplicial complex induced by a monotone Boolean function

In this section we introduce the definition of the simplicial complex induced by a monotone Boolean function, following the definition in Scoville [3, Def. 6.9].

First we introduce the set of tuples for which a Boolean function is *False*.

```
definition ceros-of-boolean-input :: bool vec => nat set
  where ceros-of-boolean-input v = {x. x < dim-vec v  $\wedge$  vec-index v x = False}
```

```
lemma
  ceros-of-boolean-input-l-dim:
  assumes a:  $a \in \text{ceros-of-boolean-input } v$ 
  shows a < dim-vec v
  using a unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def by simp

lemma ceros-of-boolean-input v = {x. x < dim-vec v  $\wedge$   $\neg$  vec-index v x}
  unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def by simp
```

```

lemma
  ceros-of-boolean-input-complementary:
  shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $v = \{x. x < \text{dim-vec } v\} - \{x. \text{vec-index } v x\}$ 
  unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def by auto

```

```

lemma monotone-ceros-of-boolean-input:
  fixes  $r$  and  $s::\text{bool vec}$ 
  assumes  $r \leq s$ 
  shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $s \subseteq \text{ceros-of-boolean-input } r$ 
proof (intro subsetI, unfold ceros-of-boolean-input-def, intro CollectI, rule conjI)
  fix  $x$ 
  assume  $x \in \{x. x < \text{dim-vec } s \wedge \text{vec-index } s x = \text{False}\}$ 
  hence  $xl: x < \text{dim-vec } s$  and  $nr: \text{vec-index } s x = \text{False}$  by simp-all
  show  $\text{vec-index } r x = \text{False}$ 
  using r-le-s nr xl unfolding less-eq-vec-def
  by auto
  show  $x < \text{dim-vec } r$ 
  using r-le-s xl unfolding less-eq-vec-def
  by auto
qed

```

We introduce here instantiations of the *typbool* type for the type classes *classzero* and *classone* that will simplify notation at some points:

```

instantiation bool :: {zero,one}
begin

```

```

definition
  zero-bool-def: 0 == False

```

```

definition
  one-bool-def: 1 == True

```

```

instance proof qed

```

```

end

```

Definition of the simplicial complex induced by a Boolean function  $f$  in dimension  $n$ .

```

definition
  simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function
  :: nat => (bool vec => bool) => nat set set
  where simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function  $n f =$ 
     $\{y. \exists x. \text{dim-vec } x = n \wedge f x \wedge \text{ceros-of-boolean-input } x = y\}$ 

```

The simplicial complex induced by a Boolean function is a subset of the powerset of the set of vertexes.

```

lemma

```

```

simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-subset:
simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n (v::bool vec => bool)
 $\subseteq \text{Pow}((\{0..n\}:\text{nat set}))$ 
using ceros-of-boolean-input-def
simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-def
by force

```

**corollary**

```

simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n (v::bool vec => bool)
 $\subseteq \text{Pow}((\text{UNIV}:\text{nat set}))$  by simp

```

The simplicial complex induced by a monotone Boolean function is a simplicial complex. This result is proven in Scoville as part of the proof of Proposition 6.16 [3, Prop. 6.16].

```

context simplicial-complex
begin

```

**lemma**

```

monotone-bool-fun-induces-simplicial-complex:
assumes mon: boolean-functions.monotone-bool-fun n f
shows simplicial-complex (simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n f)
unfolding simplicial-complex-def
proof (rule, unfold simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-def, safe)
    fix  $\sigma :: \text{nat set}$  and  $x :: \text{bool vec}$ 
    assume  $fx: f x$  and  $\text{dim-vec-}x: n = \text{dim-vec } x$ 
    show ceros-of-boolean-input  $x \in \text{simplicial-complex.simplices}(\text{dim-vec } x)$ 
        using ceros-of-boolean-input-def  $\text{dim-vec-}x$  simpllices-def by force

```

**next**

```

    fix  $\sigma :: \text{nat set}$  and  $x :: \text{bool vec}$  and  $\tau :: \text{nat set}$ 
    assume  $fx: f x$  and  $\text{dim-vec-}x: n = \text{dim-vec } x$  and tau-def:  $\tau \subseteq \text{ceros-of-boolean-input}$ 
    x

```

```

    show  $\exists xb. \text{dim-vec } xb = \text{dim-vec } x \wedge f xb \wedge \text{ceros-of-boolean-input } xb = \tau$ 
    proof (rule exI [of - vec n ( $\lambda i. \text{if } i \in \tau \text{ then False else True}$ )], intro conjI)
        show  $\text{dim-vec}(\text{vec } n (\lambda i. \text{if } i \in \tau \text{ then False else True})) = \text{dim-vec } x$ 
            unfolding dim-vec using dim-vec-x .

```

```

        from mon have mono: mono-on (carrier-vec n) f
        unfolding boolean-functions.monotone-bool-fun-def .
        show  $f(\text{vec } n (\lambda i. \text{if } i \in \tau \text{ then False else True}))$ 
    proof -

```

```

        have  $f x \leq f(\text{vec } n (\lambda i. \text{if } i \in \tau \text{ then False else True}))$ 
    proof (rule mono-onD [OF mono])

```

```

        show  $x \in \text{carrier-vec } n$  using dim-vec-x by simp
        show  $\text{vec } n (\lambda i. \text{if } i \in \tau \text{ then False else True}) \in \text{carrier-vec } n$  by simp
        show  $x \leq \text{vec } n (\lambda i. \text{if } i \in \tau \text{ then False else True})$ 
            using tau-def  $\text{dim-vec-}x$  unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
            using less-eq-vec-def by fastforce
    qed

```

**qed**

```

thus ?thesis using fx by simp
qed
show ceros-of-boolean-input (vec n (λi. if i ∈ τ then False else True)) = τ
  using τ ⊆ ceros-of-boolean-input x ceros-of-boolean-input-def dim-vec-x by
auto
qed
qed

end

```

Example 6.10 in Scoville, the threshold function for 2 in dimension 4 (with vertexes 0,1,2,3)

```

definition bool-fun-threshold-2-3 :: bool vec => bool
  where bool-fun-threshold-2-3 = (λv. if 2 ≤ count-true v then True else False)

lemma set-list-four: shows {0..<4} = set [0,1,2,3::nat] by auto

lemma comp-fun-commute-lambda:
  comp-fun-commute-on UNIV ((+)
  ◦ (λi. if vec 4 f $ i then 1 else (0::nat)))
  unfolding comp-fun-commute-on-def by auto

lemma bool-fun-threshold-2-3
  (vec 4 (λi. if i = 0 ∨ i = 1 then True else False)) = True
  unfolding bool-fun-threshold-2-3-def
  unfolding count-true-def
  unfolding dim-vec
  unfolding sum.eq-fold
  using index-vec [of - 4]
  apply auto
  unfolding set-list-four
  unfolding comp-fun-commute-on.fold-set-fold-remdups [OF comp-fun-commute-lambda,
  simplified]
  by simp

lemma
  0 ∉ ceros-of-boolean-input (vec 4 (λi. if i = 0 ∨ i = 1 then True else False))
  and 1 ∉ ceros-of-boolean-input (vec 4 (λi. if i = 0 ∨ i = 1 then True else False))
  and 2 ∈ ceros-of-boolean-input (vec 4 (λi. if i = 0 ∨ i = 1 then True else False))
  and 3 ∈ ceros-of-boolean-input (vec 4 (λi. if i = 0 ∨ i = 1 then True else False))
  and {2,3} ⊆ ceros-of-boolean-input (vec 4 (λi. if i = 0 ∨ i = 1 then True else False))
  unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def by simp-all

lemma bool-fun-threshold-2-3 (vec 4 (λi. if i = 3 then True else False)) = False
  unfolding bool-fun-threshold-2-3-def
  unfolding count-true-def
  unfolding dim-vec
  unfolding sum.eq-fold

```

```

using index-vec [of - 4]
apply auto
unfolding set-list-four
unfolding comp-fun-commute-on.fold-set-fold-remdups [OF comp-fun-commute-lambda,
simplified]
by simp

lemma bool-fun-threshold-2-3 (vec 4 ( $\lambda i. \text{if } i = 0 \text{ then False else True}$ ))
unfoldng bool-fun-threshold-2-3-def
unfoldng count-true-def
unfoldng dim-vec
unfoldng sum.eq-fold
using index-vec [of - 4]
apply auto
unfolding set-list-four
unfolding comp-fun-commute-on.fold-set-fold-remdups [OF comp-fun-commute-lambda,
simplified]
by simp

```

## 6 The simplicial complex induced by the threshold function

```

lemma
empty-set-in-simplicial-complex-induced:
{}  $\in$  simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function 4 bool-fun-threshold-2-3
unfoldng simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-def
unfoldng bool-fun-threshold-2-3-def
apply rule
apply (rule exI [of - vec 4 ( $\lambda x. \text{True}$ )])
unfoldng count-true-def ceros-of-boolean-input-def by auto

lemma singleton-in-simplicial-complex-induced:
assumes x:  $x < 4$ 
shows {x}  $\in$  simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function 4 bool-fun-threshold-2-3
(is ?A  $\in$  simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function 4 bool-fun-threshold-2-3)
proof (unfold simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-def, rule,
rule exI [of - vec 4 ( $\lambda i. \text{if } i \in ?A \text{ then False else True}$ )],
intro conjI)
show dim-vec (vec 4 ( $\lambda i. \text{if } i \in \{x\} \text{ then False else True}$ )) = 4 by simp
show bool-fun-threshold-2-3 (vec 4 ( $\lambda i. \text{if } i \in ?A \text{ then False else True}$ ))
unfoldng bool-fun-threshold-2-3-def
unfoldng count-true-def
unfoldng dim-vec
unfoldng sum.eq-fold
using index-vec [of - 4]
apply auto
unfolding set-list-four
unfolding comp-fun-commute-on.fold-set-fold-remdups [OF comp-fun-commute-lambda,

```

```

simplified]
  by simp
show ceros-of-boolean-input (vec 4 (λi. if i ∈ ?A then False else True)) = ?A
  unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def using x by auto
qed

lemma pair-in-simplicial-complex-induced:
  assumes x: x < 4 and y: y < 4
  shows {x,y} ∈ simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function 4 bool-fun-threshold-2-3
  (is ?A ∈ simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function 4 bool-fun-threshold-2-3)
proof (unfold simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-def, rule,
      rule exI [of - vec 4 (λi. if i ∈ ?A then False else True)],
      intro conjI)
show dim-vec (vec 4 (λi. if i ∈ {x, y} then False else True)) = 4 by simp
show bool-fun-threshold-2-3 (vec 4 (λi. if i ∈ ?A then False else True))
  unfolding bool-fun-threshold-2-3-def
  unfolding count-true-def
  unfolding dim-vec
  unfolding sum.eq-fold
  using index-vec [of - 4]
  apply auto
  unfolding set-list-four
  unfolding comp-fun-commute-on.fold-set-fold-remdups [OF comp-fun-commute-lambda,
simplified]
  by simp
show ceros-of-boolean-input (vec 4 (λi. if i ∈ ?A then False else True)) = ?A
  unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def using x y by auto
qed

lemma finite-False: finite {x. x < dim-vec a ∧ vec-index (a::bool vec) x = False}
by auto

lemma finite-True: finite {x. x < dim-vec a ∧ vec-index (a::bool vec) x = True}
by auto

lemma UNIV-disjoint: {x. x < dim-vec a ∧ vec-index (a::bool vec) x = True}
  ∩ {x. x < dim-vec a ∧ vec-index (a::bool vec) x = False} = {}
  by auto

lemma UNIV-union: {x. x < dim-vec a ∧ vec-index (a::bool vec) x = True}
  ∪ {x. x < dim-vec a ∧ vec-index (a::bool vec) x = False} = {x. x < dim-vec a}
  by auto

lemma card-UNIV-union:
  card {x. x < dim-vec a ∧ vec-index (a::bool vec) x = True}
  + card {x. x < dim-vec a ∧ vec-index (a::bool vec) x = False}
  = card {x. x < dim-vec a}
  (is card ?true + card ?false = -)
proof -

```

```

have card ?true + card ?false = card (?true  $\cup$  ?false) + card (?true  $\cap$  ?false)
  using card-Un-Int [OF finite-True [of a] finite-False [of a]] .
also have ... = card {x. x < dim-vec a}
  unfolding UNIV-union UNIV-disjoint by simp
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

```

lemma card-complementary:
  card (ceros-of-boolean-input v)
  + card {x. x < (dim-vec v)  $\wedge$  (vec-index v x = True)} = (dim-vec v)
  unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
  using card-UNIV-union [of v] by simp

```

```

corollary
  card-beros-of-boolean-input:
  shows card (ceros-of-boolean-input a)  $\leq$  dim-vec a
  using card-complementary [of a] by simp

```

```

lemma
  vec-fun:
  assumes v  $\in$  carrier-vec n
  shows  $\exists f. v = \text{vec } n f$  using assms unfolding carrier-vec-def by fastforce

```

```

corollary
  assumes dim-vec v = n
  shows  $\exists f. v = \text{vec } n f$ 
  using carrier-vecI [OF assms] unfolding carrier-vec-def by fastforce

```

```

lemma
  vec-l-eq:
  assumes i < n
  shows vec (Suc n) f $ i = vec n f $ i
  by (simp add: assms less-SucI)

```

```

lemma
  card-boolean-function:
  assumes d: v  $\in$  carrier-vec n
  shows card {x. x < n  $\wedge$  v $ x = True} = ( $\sum_{i=0..n}$  if v $ i then 1 else 0)
  using d proof (induction n arbitrary: v rule: nat-less-induct)
  case (1 n)
  assume hyp:  $\forall m < n. \forall x. x \in \text{carrier-vec } m \longrightarrow$ 
    card {xa. xa < m  $\wedge$  x $ xa = True} = ( $\sum_{i=0..m}$  if x $ i then 1 else 0)
  and d: v  $\in$  carrier-vec n
  show card {x. x < n  $\wedge$  v $ x = True} = ( $\sum_{i=0..n}$  if v $ i then 1 else 0)
  using d proof (cases n)
  case 0
  then show ?thesis by simp
next

```

```

case (Suc m)
assume v: v ∈ carrier-vec n
obtain f :: nat => bool where v-f: v = vec n f using vec-fun [OF v] by auto
have card {x. x < m ∧ (vec m f) $ x = True} = (∑ i = 0..<m. if (vec m f)
$ i then 1 else 0)
using hyp v Suc by simp
show ?thesis unfolding v-f unfolding Suc
proof (cases vec (Suc m) f $ m = True)
case True
have one: {x. x < Suc m ∧ vec (Suc m) f $ x = True} =
({x. x < m ∧ vec (Suc m) f $ x = True} ∪ {x. x = m ∧ (vec (Suc m) f)
$ x = True})
by auto
have two: disjoint {x. x < m ∧ vec (Suc m) f $ x = True} {x. x = m ∧ (vec
(Suc m) f) $ x = True}
using disjoint-iff by blast
have card {x. x < Suc m ∧ vec (Suc m) f $ x = True} =
= card {x. x < m ∧ (vec (Suc m) f) $ x = True} + card {x. x = m ∧
(vec (Suc m) f) $ x = True}
unfolding one
by (rule card-Un-disjnt [OF - - two], simp-all)
also have ... = card {x. x < m ∧ (vec m f) $ x = True} + 1
proof -
have one: {x. x < m ∧ vec (Suc m) f $ x = True} = {x. x < m ∧ vec m f
$ x = True}
using vec-l-eq [of - m] by auto
have eq: {x. x = m ∧ vec (Suc m) f $ x = True} = {m} using True by
auto
hence two: card {x. x = m ∧ vec (Suc m) f $ x = True} = 1 by simp
show ?thesis using one two by simp
qed
finally have lhs: card {x. x < Suc m ∧ vec (Suc m) f $ x = True} = card
{x. x < m ∧ vec m f $ x = True} + 1 .
have (∑ i = 0..<Suc m. if vec (Suc m) f $ i then 1 else 0) =
(∑ i = 0..<m. if vec (Suc m) f $ i then 1 else 0) + (if vec (Suc m) f $ m
then 1 else 0)
by simp
also have ... = (∑ i = 0..<m. if vec m f $ i then 1 else 0) + 1
using vec-l-eq [of - m] True by simp
finally have rhs: (∑ i = 0..<Suc m. if vec (Suc m) f $ i then 1 else 0) =
(∑ i = 0..<m. if vec m f $ i then 1 else 0) + 1 .
show card {x. x < Suc m ∧ vec (Suc m) f $ x = True} =
(∑ i = 0..<Suc m. if vec (Suc m) f $ i then 1 else 0)
unfolding lhs rhs using hyp Suc by simp
next
case False
have one: {x. x < Suc m ∧ vec (Suc m) f $ x = True} =
({x. x < m ∧ vec (Suc m) f $ x = True} ∪ {x. x = m ∧ (vec (Suc m) f)
$ x = True})

```

```

by auto
have two: disjoint {x. x < m ∧ vec (Suc m) f $ x = True} {x. x = m ∧ (vec
(Suc m) f) $ x = True}
  using disjoint-iff by blast
have card {x. x < Suc m ∧ vec (Suc m) f $ x = True}
  = card {x. x < m ∧ (vec (Suc m) f) $ x = True} + card {x. x = m ∧
(vec (Suc m) f) $ x = True}
  unfolding one
  by (rule card-Un-disjnt [OF - - two], simp-all)
also have ... = card {x. x < m ∧ (vec m f) $ x = True} + 0
proof -
  have one: {x. x < m ∧ vec (Suc m) f $ x = True} = {x. x < m ∧ vec m f
$ x = True}
    using vec-l-eq [of - m] by auto
  have eq: {x. x = m ∧ vec (Suc m) f $ x = True} = {} using False by auto
  hence two: card {x. x = m ∧ vec (Suc m) f $ x = True} = 0 by simp
  show ?thesis using one two by simp
qed
finally have lhs: card {x. x < Suc m ∧ vec (Suc m) f $ x = True} = card
{x. x < m ∧ vec m f $ x = True} + 0 .
have (∑ i = 0..<Suc m. if vec (Suc m) f $ i then 1 else 0) =
  (∑ i = 0..<m. if vec (Suc m) f $ i then 1 else 0) + (if vec (Suc m) f $ m
then 1 else 0)
  by simp
also have ... = (∑ i = 0..<m. if vec m f $ i then 1 else 0)
  using vec-l-eq [of - m] False by simp
finally have rhs: (∑ i = 0..<Suc m. if vec (Suc m) f $ i then 1 else 0) =
  (∑ i = 0..<m. if vec m f $ i then 1 else 0) .
show card {x. x < Suc m ∧ vec (Suc m) f $ x = True} =
  (∑ i = 0..<Suc m. if vec (Suc m) f $ i then 1 else 0)
  unfolding lhs rhs using hyp Suc by simp
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma card-ceros-count-UNIV:
  shows card (ceros-of-boolean-input a) + count-true ((a::bool vec)) = dim-vec a
  using card-complementary [of a]
  using card-boolean-function
  unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
  unfolding count-true-def by simp

```

We calculate the carrier set of the *ceros-of-boolean-input* function for dimensions 2, 3 and 4.

Vectors of dimension 2.

```

lemma
  dim-vec-2-cases:
  assumes dx: dim-vec x = 2

```

```

shows  $(x \$ 0 = x \$ 1 = \text{True}) \vee (x \$ 0 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{True})$ 
       $\vee (x \$ 0 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{False}) \vee (x \$ 0 = x \$ 1 = \text{False})$ 
by auto

lemma tt-2: assumes dx: dim-vec x = 2
  and be:  $x \$ 0 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{True}$ 
  shows ceros-of-boolean-input x = {}
  using dx be unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def using less-2-cases by auto

lemma tf-2: assumes dx: dim-vec x = 2
  and be:  $x \$ 0 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{False}$ 
  shows ceros-of-boolean-input x = {1}
  using dx be unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def using less-2-cases by auto

lemma ft-2: assumes dx: dim-vec x = 2
  and be:  $x \$ 0 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{True}$ 
  shows ceros-of-boolean-input x = {0}
  using dx be unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def using less-2-cases by auto

lemma ff-2: assumes dx: dim-vec x = 2
  and be:  $x \$ 0 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{False}$ 
  shows ceros-of-boolean-input x = {0,1}
  using dx be unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def using less-2-cases by auto

lemma
  assumes dx: dim-vec x = 2
  shows ceros-of-boolean-input x  $\in \{\{\}, \{0\}, \{1\}, \{0,1\}\}$ 
  using dim-vec-2-cases [OF ]
  using tt-2 [OF dx] tf-2 [OF dx] ft-2 [OF dx] ff-2 [OF dx]
  by (metis insertCI)

```

Vectors of dimension 3.

```

lemma less-3-cases:
  assumes n:  $n < 3$  shows  $n = 0 \vee n = 1 \vee n = (2::nat)$ 
  using n by linarith

lemma
  dim-vec-3-cases:
  assumes dx: dim-vec x = 3
  shows  $(x \$ 0 = x \$ 1 = x \$ 2 = \text{False}) \vee (x \$ 0 = x \$ 1 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{True})$ 
         $\vee (x \$ 0 = x \$ 2 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{True}) \vee (x \$ 0 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 1 = x \$ 2 = \text{True})$ 
         $\vee (x \$ 0 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 1 = x \$ 2 = \text{False}) \vee (x \$ 0 = x \$ 2 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{False})$ 
         $\vee (x \$ 0 = x \$ 1 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{False}) \vee (x \$ 0 = x \$ 1 = x \$ 2 = \text{True})$ 
  by auto

lemma fff-3: assumes dx: dim-vec x = 3

```

```

and be:  $x \$ 0 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{False}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{0,1,2\}$ 
using dx be
unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
using less-3-cases by auto

lemma fft-3: assumes dx: dim-vec x = 3
and be:  $x \$ 0 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{True}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{0,1\}$ 
using dx be unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
using less-3-cases by auto

lemma ftf-3: assumes dx: dim-vec x = 3
and be:  $x \$ 0 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{False}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{0,2\}$ 
using dx be unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
using less-3-cases by fastforce

lemma ftt-3: assumes dx: dim-vec x = 3
and be:  $x \$ 0 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{True}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{0\}$ 
using dx be unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
using less-3-cases by auto

lemma tff-3: assumes dx: dim-vec x = 3
and be:  $x \$ 0 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{False}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{1,2\}$ 
using dx be unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
using less-3-cases by auto

lemma tft-3: assumes dx: dim-vec x = 3
and be:  $x \$ 0 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{True}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{1\}$ 
using dx be unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
using less-3-cases by auto

lemma ttf-3: assumes dx: dim-vec x = 3
and be:  $x \$ 0 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{False}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{2\}$ 
using dx be unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
using less-3-cases by fastforce

lemma ttt-3: assumes dx: dim-vec x = 3
and be:  $x \$ 0 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{True}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{\}$ 
using dx be unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
using less-3-cases by auto

lemma

```

```

assumes dx: dim-vec x = 3
shows ceros-of-boolean-input x ∈ {{}, {0}, {1}, {2}, {0,1}, {0,2}, {1,2}, {0,1,2}}
using dim-vec-3-cases [OF ]
using fff-3 [OF dx] fft-3 [OF dx] ftf-3 [OF dx] ftt-3 [OF dx]
using tff-3 [OF dx] tft-3 [OF dx] tt-3 [OF dx]
by (smt (z3) insertCI)

```

Vectors of dimension 4.

**lemma** less-4-cases:

```

assumes n: n < 4
shows n = 0 ∨ n = 1 ∨ n = 2 ∨ n = (3::nat)
using n by linarith

```

**lemma**

dim-vec-4-cases:

```

assumes dx: dim-vec x = 4
shows (x $ 0 = x $ 1 = x $ 2 = x $ 3 = False) ∨ (x $ 0 = x $ 1 = x $ 2 =
False ∧ x $ 3 = True)
    ∨ (x $ 0 = x $ 1 = x $ 3 = False ∧ x $ 2 = True) ∨ (x $ 0 = x $ 1 = False
∧ x $ 2 = x $ 3 = True)
    ∨ (x $ 0 = x $ 2 = x $ 3 = False ∧ x $ 1 = True) ∨ (x $ 0 = x $ 2 = False
∧ x $ 1 = x $ 3 = True)
    ∨ (x $ 0 = x $ 3 = False ∧ x $ 1 = x $ 2 = True) ∨ (x $ 0 = False ∧ x $ 1
= x $ 2 = x $ 3 = True)
    ∨ (x $ 0 = True ∧ x $ 1 = x $ 2 = x $ 3 = False) ∨ (x $ 0 = x $ 3 = True
∧ x $ 1 = x $ 2 = False)
    ∨ (x $ 0 = x $ 2 = True ∧ x $ 1 = x $ 3 = False) ∨ (x $ 0 = x $ 2 = x $ 3 =
True ∧ x $ 1 = False)
    ∨ (x $ 0 = x $ 1 = True ∧ x $ 2 = x $ 3 = False) ∨ (x $ 0 = x $ 1 = x $ 3 =
True ∧ x $ 2 = False)
    ∨ (x $ 0 = x $ 1 = x $ 2 = True ∧ x $ 3 = False) ∨ (x $ 0 = x $ 1 = x $ 2 =
x $ 3 = True ∧ x $ 2 = False)
by blast

```

**lemma** ffff-4: **assumes** dx: dim-vec x = 4

```

and be: x $ 0 = False ∧ x $ 1 = False ∧ x $ 2 = False ∧ x $ 3 = False
shows ceros-of-boolean-input x = {0,1,2,3}
using dx be
unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
using less-4-cases by auto

```

**lemma** fftt-4: **assumes** dx: dim-vec x = 4

```

and be: x $ 0 = False ∧ x $ 1 = False ∧ x $ 2 = False ∧ x $ 3 = True
shows ceros-of-boolean-input x = {0,1,2}
using dx be
unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
using less-4-cases by auto

```

**lemma** fftf-4: **assumes** dx: dim-vec x = 4

```

and be:  $x \$ 0 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 3 = \text{False}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{0,1,3\}$ 
using  $dx$  be
unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
using less-4-cases by auto

lemma fftt-4: assumes  $dx: \text{dim-vec } x = 4$ 
and be:  $x \$ 0 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 3 = \text{True}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{0,1\}$ 
using  $dx$  be
unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
using less-4-cases by auto

lemma ftff-4: assumes  $dx: \text{dim-vec } x = 4$ 
and be:  $x \$ 0 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 3 = \text{False}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{0,2,3\}$ 
using  $dx$  be
unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
using less-4-cases by auto

lemma ftft-4: assumes  $dx: \text{dim-vec } x = 4$ 
and be:  $x \$ 0 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 3 = \text{True}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{0,2\}$ 
using  $dx$  be
unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
using less-4-cases by auto

lemma fttf-4: assumes  $dx: \text{dim-vec } x = 4$ 
and be:  $x \$ 0 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 3 = \text{False}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{0,3\}$ 
using  $dx$  be
unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
using less-4-cases by auto

lemma fttt-4: assumes  $dx: \text{dim-vec } x = 4$ 
and be:  $x \$ 0 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 3 = \text{True}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{0\}$ 
using  $dx$  be
unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
using less-4-cases by auto

lemma tfff-4: assumes  $dx: \text{dim-vec } x = 4$ 
and be:  $x \$ 0 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 3 = \text{False}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{1,2,3\}$ 
using  $dx$  be
unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
using less-4-cases by auto

lemma tfft-4: assumes  $dx: \text{dim-vec } x = 4$ 

```

```

and be:  $x \$ 0 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 3 = \text{True}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{1,2\}$ 
using  $dx$  be
unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
using less-4-cases by auto

lemma tftf-4: assumes  $dx: \text{dim-vec } x = 4$ 
and be:  $x \$ 0 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 3 = \text{False}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{1,3\}$ 
using  $dx$  be
unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
using less-4-cases by auto

lemma tftt-4: assumes  $dx: \text{dim-vec } x = 4$ 
and be:  $x \$ 0 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 3 = \text{True}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{1\}$ 
using  $dx$  be
unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
using less-4-cases by auto

lemma ttff-4: assumes  $dx: \text{dim-vec } x = 4$ 
and be:  $x \$ 0 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 3 = \text{False}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{2,3\}$ 
using  $dx$  be
unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
using less-4-cases by auto

lemma ttft-4: assumes  $dx: \text{dim-vec } x = 4$ 
and be:  $x \$ 0 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{False} \wedge x \$ 3 = \text{True}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{2\}$ 
using  $dx$  be
unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
using less-4-cases by auto

lemma tttf-4: assumes  $dx: \text{dim-vec } x = 4$ 
and be:  $x \$ 0 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 3 = \text{False}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{3\}$ 
using  $dx$  be
unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
using less-4-cases by auto

lemma tttt-4: assumes  $dx: \text{dim-vec } x = 4$ 
and be:  $x \$ 0 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 1 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 2 = \text{True} \wedge x \$ 3 = \text{True}$ 
shows ceros-of-boolean-input  $x = \{\}$ 
using  $dx$  be
unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
using less-4-cases by auto

lemma

```

```

ceros-of-boolean-input-set:
assumes dx: dim-vec x = 4
shows ceros-of-boolean-input x ∈ { {}, { 0 }, { 1 }, { 2 }, { 3 }, { 0, 1 }, { 0, 2 }, { 0, 3 }, { 1, 2 }, { 1, 3 }, { 2, 3 },
{ 0, 1, 2 }, { 0, 1, 3 }, { 0, 2, 3 }, { 1, 2, 3 }, { 0, 1, 2, 3 } }
using dim-vec-4-cases [OF ]
using ffff-4 [OF dx] ffff-4 [OF dx] fftf-4 [OF dx] fftt-4 [OF dx]
using ftff-4 [OF dx] ftft-4 [OF dx] fttf-4 [OF dx] fttt-4 [OF dx]
using tfff-4 [OF dx] tfft-4 [OF dx] tftf-4 [OF dx] tftt-4 [OF dx]
using ttff-4 [OF dx] ttft-4 [OF dx] tttf-4 [OF dx] tttt-4 [OF dx]
by (smt (z3) insertCI)

```

**context** simplicial-complex  
**begin**

The simplicial complex induced by the monotone Boolean function *bool-fun-threshold-2-3* has the following explicit expression.

```

lemma
simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-4-bool-fun-threshold-2-3:
shows { {}, { 0 }, { 1 }, { 2 }, { 3 }, { 0, 1 }, { 0, 2 }, { 0, 3 }, { 1, 2 }, { 1, 3 }, { 2, 3 } }
= simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function 4 bool-fun-threshold-2-3
(is { {}, ?a, ?b, ?c, ?d, ?e, ?f, ?g, ?h, ?i, ?j } = -)
proof (rule)
show { {}, ?a, ?b, ?c, ?d, ?e, ?f, ?g, ?h, ?i, ?j }
⊆ simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function 4 bool-fun-threshold-2-3
by (simp add:
empty-set-in-simplicial-complex-induced
singleton-in-simplicial-complex-induced pair-in-simplicial-complex-induced) +
show simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function 4 bool-fun-threshold-2-3
⊆ { {}, ?a, ?b, ?c, ?d, ?e, ?f, ?g, ?h, ?i, ?j }
unfolding simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-def
unfolding bool-fun-threshold-2-3-def
proof
fix y::nat set
assume y: y ∈ { y. ∃ x. dim-vec x = 4 ∧ (if 2 ≤ count-true x then True else False) ∧ ceros-of-boolean-input x = y }
then obtain x::bool vec
where ct-ge-2: (if 2 ≤ count-true x then True else False)
and cx: ceros-of-boolean-input x = y and dx: dim-vec x = 4 by auto
have count-true x + card (ceros-of-boolean-input x) = dim-vec x
using card-ceros-count-UNIV [of x] by simp
hence card (ceros-of-boolean-input x) ≤ 2
using ct-ge-2
using card-boolean-function
using dx by presburger
hence card-le: card y ≤ 2 using cx by simp
have y ∈ { {}, ?a, ?b, ?c, ?d, ?e, ?f, ?g, ?h, ?i, ?j }
proof (rule ccontr)
assume y ∉ { {}, ?a, ?b, ?c, ?d, ?e, ?f, ?g, ?h, ?i, ?j }
then have y-nin: y ∉ set [ {}, ?a, ?b, ?c, ?d, ?e, ?f, ?g, ?h, ?i, ?j ] by simp

```

```

have  $y \in \text{set } [\{0,1,2\}, \{0,1,3\}, \{0,2,3\}, \{1,2,3\}, \{0,1,2,3\}]$ 
  using ceros-of-boolean-input-set [OF  $dx$ ]  $y$ -nin
  unfolding  $cx$  by simp
  hence  $\text{card } y \geq 3$  by auto
  thus  $\text{False}$  using card-le by simp
qed
then show  $y \in \{\{\}, ?a, ?b, ?c, ?d, ?e, ?f, ?g, ?h, ?i, ?j\}$ 
  by simp
qed
qed
end
end

theory Bij-betw-simplicial-complex-bool-func
imports
  Simplicial-complex
begin

```

## 7 Bijection between simplicial complexes and monotone Boolean functions

```

context simplicial-complex
begin

lemma ceros-of-boolean-input-in-set:
  assumes  $s: \sigma \in \text{simplices}$ 
  shows ceros-of-boolean-input ( $\text{vec } n (\lambda i. i \notin \sigma)$ ) =  $\sigma$ 
  unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def using  $s$  unfolding simplices-def by auto

lemma
  assumes  $\sigma: \sigma \in \text{simplices}$ 
  and  $\text{nempty}: \sigma \neq \{\}$ 
  shows  $\text{Max } \sigma < n$ 
proof -
  have  $\text{Max } \sigma \in \sigma$  using linorder-class.Max-in [OF finite-simplex [OF  $\sigma$ ]
   $\text{nempty}$ ].
  thus  $?thesis$  using  $\sigma$  unfolding simplices-def by auto
qed

definition bool-vec-from-simplice ::  $\text{nat set} \Rightarrow (\text{bool vec})$ 
  where  $\text{bool-vec-from-simplice } \sigma = \text{vec } n (\lambda i. i \notin \sigma)$ 

lemma [simp]:
  assumes  $\sigma \in \text{simplices}$ 
  shows ceros-of-boolean-input (bool-vec-from-simplice  $\sigma$ ) =  $\sigma$ 
  unfolding bool-vec-from-simplice-def

```

```

unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
unfolding dim-vec
using assms unfolding simplices-def by auto

lemma [simp]:
assumes n: dim-vec f = n
shows bool-vec-from-simplice (ceros-of-boolean-input f) = f
unfolding bool-vec-from-simplice-def
unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
using n by auto

lemma bool-vec-from-simplice {0} = vec n (λi. i ∉ {0})
unfolding bool-vec-from-simplice-def by auto

lemma bool-vec-from-simplice {1,2} =
vec n (λi. i ∉ {1,2})
unfolding bool-vec-from-simplice-def by auto

lemma simplicial-complex-implies-true:
assumes  $\sigma \in \text{simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function } n f$ 
shows f (bool-vec-from-simplice σ)
unfolding bool-vec-from-simplice-def
using assms
unfolding simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-def
unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
apply auto
by (smt (verit, best) dim-vec eq-vecI index-vec)

definition bool-vec-set-from-simplice-set :: nat set set => (bool vec) set
where bool-vec-set-from-simplice-set K =
{σ. (dim-vec σ = n) ∧ (∃k∈K. σ = bool-vec-from-simplice k)}

definition boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex :: nat set set => (bool vec => bool)
where boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex K =
(λx. x ∈ (bool-vec-set-from-simplice-set K))

lemma Collect (boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex K) = (bool-vec-set-from-simplice-set K)
unfolding boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex-def by simp

The Boolean function induced by a simplicial complex is monotone. This result is proven in Scoville as part of the proof of Proposition 6.16. The opposite direction has been proven as boolean-functions.monotone-bool-fun n ?f  $\implies$  simplicial-complex (simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n ?f).

lemma
simplicial-complex-induces-monotone-bool-fun:
assumes mon: simplicial-complex (K::nat set set)

```

```

shows boolean-functions.monotone-bool-fun n (boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex
K)
proof (unfold boolean-functions.monotone-bool-fun-def)
show mono-on (carrier-vec n) (boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex K)
proof (intro mono-onI)
fix r and s::bool vec
assume r-le-s: r ≤ s
show boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex K r
≤ boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex K s
proof (cases boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex K r)
case False then show ?thesis by simp
next
case True
have ce: ceros-of-boolean-input s ⊆ ceros-of-boolean-input r
using monotone-zeros-of-boolean-input [OF r-le-s] .
from True obtain k where r-def: r = vec n (λi. i ∉ k)
and k: k ∈ K
unfolding boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex-def
unfolding bool-vec-set-from-simplice-set-def
unfolding bool-vec-from-simplice-def by auto
have r-in-K: ceros-of-boolean-input r ∈ K
using k mon
unfolding r-def
unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
unfolding dim-vec
using simplicial-complex-def [of K] by fastforce
have boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex K s
proof (unfold boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex-def
bool-vec-set-from-simplice-set-def, rule, rule conjI)
show dim-vec s = n
by (metis less-eq-vec-def dim-vec r-def r-le-s)
show ∃k∈K. s = bool-vec-from-simplice k
proof (rule bexI [of - ceros-of-boolean-input s], unfold bool-vec-from-simplice-def)
show ceros-of-boolean-input s ∈ K
using simplicial-complex-monotone [OF mon r-in-K ce] .
show s = vec n (λi. i ∉ ceros-of-boolean-input s)
using ce unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
using r-le-s
unfolding less-eq-vec-def
unfolding r-def
unfolding dim-vec by auto
qed
qed
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
qed
qed

```

**lemma shows** (*simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n*) ∈

```

boolean-functions.monotone-bool-fun-set n
→ (simplicial-complex-set::nat set set set)

proof
  fix x::bool vec ⇒ bool
  assume x: x ∈ boolean-functions.monotone-bool-fun-set n
  show simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n x ∈ simplicial-complex-set
    using monotone-bool-fun-induces-simplicial-complex [of x] x
    unfolding boolean-functions.monotone-bool-fun-set-def
    unfolding boolean-functions.monotone-bool-fun-def simplicial-complex-set-def
    by auto
qed

lemma shows boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex
  ∈ (simplicial-complex-set::nat set set set)
  → boolean-functions.monotone-bool-fun-set n

proof
  fix x::nat set set assume x: x ∈ simplicial-complex-set
  show boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex x ∈ boolean-functions.monotone-bool-fun-set n
    using simplicial-complex-induces-monotone-bool-fun [of x]
    unfolding boolean-functions.monotone-bool-fun-set-def
    unfolding boolean-functions.monotone-bool-fun-def
    using x unfolding simplicial-complex-set-def
    unfolding mem-Collect-eq by fast
qed

```

Given a Boolean function  $f$ , if we build its associated simplicial complex and then the associated Boolean function, we obtain  $f$ .

The result holds for every Boolean function  $f$  (the premise on  $f$  being monotone can be omitted).

```

lemma
  boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex-simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function:
    fixes f :: bool vec ⇒ bool
    assumes dim: v ∈ carrier-vec n
    shows boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex
      (simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n f) v = f v

proof (intro iffI)
  assume xb: f v
  show bf: boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex
    (simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n f) v
  proof –
    have f v ∧ v = bool-vec-from-simplice (ceros-of-boolean-input v)
    unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
    unfolding bool-vec-from-simplice-def
    using xb dim unfolding carrier-vec-def by auto
    then show ?thesis
    unfolding simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-def
    unfolding boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex-def

```

```

unfolding bool-vec-set-from-simplice-set-def
unfolding mem-Collect-eq
using dim unfolding carrier-vec-def by blast
qed
next
assume boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex
  (simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n f) v
then show f v
  unfolding simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-def
  unfolding boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex-def
  unfolding bool-vec-set-from-simplice-set-def
  unfolding mem-Collect-eq
  using <boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex
    (simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n f) v
    boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex-def
    simplicial-complex.bool-vec-set-from-simplice-set-def
    simplicial-complex-implies-true by fastforce
qed

```

Given a simplicial complex  $K$ , if we build its associated Boolean function, and then the associated simplicial complex, we obtain  $K$ .

```

lemma
  simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex:
  fixes K :: nat set set
  assumes K: simplicial-complex K
  shows simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n
    (boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex K) = K
  proof (intro equalityI)
    show simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n
      (boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex K) ⊆ K
    proof
      fix x :: nat set
      assume x: x ∈ simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function
        n (boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex K)
      show x ∈ K
        using x
        unfolding boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex-def
        unfolding simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-def
        unfolding bool-vec-from-simplice-def bool-vec-set-from-simplice-set-def
        using K
        unfolding simplicial-complex-def simplices-def
        by auto (metis assms bool-vec-from-simplice-def
          ceros-of-boolean-input-in-set simplicial-complex-def)
    qed
next
  show K ⊆ simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n
    (boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex K)
  proof
    fix x :: nat set

```

```

assume  $x \in K$ 
hence  $x: x \in \text{simplices}$  using  $K$  unfolding simplicial-complex-def by simp
have  $bvs: \text{ceros-of-boolean-input} (\text{bool-vec-from-simplice } x) = x$ 
    unfolding one-bool-def
    unfolding bool-vec-from-simplice-def
    using ceros-of-boolean-input-in-set [OF  $x$ ] .
show  $x \in \text{simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function} n$ 
    (boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex  $K$ )
    unfolding boolean-function-from-simplicial-complex-def
    unfolding simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-def
    unfolding bool-vec-from-simplice-def bool-vec-set-from-simplice-set-def
    using  $x$  bool-vec-from-simplice-def  $bvs$ 
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting)  $\langle x \in K \rangle$  dim-vec mem-Collect-eq)
qed
qed

end

end
Author: Julius Michaelis
theory MkIfex
imports ROBDD.BDT
begin

```

## 8 Converting boolean functions to BDTs

The following function builds an '*a ifex*' (a binary decision tree) from a boolean function and its list of variables (note that in this development we will be using boolean functions over sets of the natural numbers of the form  $\{\cdot < n\}$ ).

```

fun mk-ifex :: ('a :: linorder) boolfunc  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a ifex where
mk-ifex  $f [] = (\text{if } f (\text{const False}) \text{ then Trueif else Falseif}) |$ 
mk-ifex  $f (v \# vs) = \text{ifex-ite}$ 
    (IF  $v$  Trueif Falseif)
    (mk-ifex (bf-restrict  $v$  True  $f$ )  $vs$ )
    (mk-ifex (bf-restrict  $v$  False  $f$ )  $vs$ )

```

The result of *mk-ifex* is *ifex-ordered* and *ifex-minimal*.

```

lemma mk-ifex-ro: ro-ifex (mk-ifex  $f$   $vs$ )
by (induction  $vs$  arbitrary:  $f$ ; fastforce
    intro: order-ifex-ite-invar minimal-ifex-ite-invar
    simp del: ifex-ite.simps)

```

To prove that *mk-ifex* has correctly captured a boolean function  $f$ , we need know that all variables that  $f$  depends on were considered by *mk-ifex*. In that regard, one troublesome aspect of *boolfunc* from *ROBDD.Bool-Func* is that it is too general: Boolean functions' assignments assign a Boolean value

to any natural number, and functions are not limited to “reading” only from a finite set of variables. This for example allows for the boolean function that asks “Is the assignment true in a finite number of variables:  $\lambda as. \text{finite} \{x. as x\}$ .” This function does not depend on any single variable, but on the set of all of them. A definition that proved to work despite such subtleties is that a function  $f$  only depends on the variables in set  $x$  iff for any pair of assignments that agree in  $x$  (but are arbitrary otherwise), the values of  $f$  agree:

```

definition reads-inside-set f x ≡
  ( ∀ assmt1 assmt2. ( ∀ p. p ∈ x → assmt1 p = assmt2 p) → f assmt1 = f assmt2)

lemma reads-inside-set-subset: reads-inside-set f a ⇒ a ⊆ b ⇒ reads-inside-set
f b
  unfolding reads-inside-set-def by blast

lemma reads-inside-set-restrict: reads-inside-set f s ⇒ reads-inside-set (bf-restrict
i v f) (Set.remove i s)
  unfolding reads-inside-set-def bf-restrict-def by force

lemma collect-upd-true: Collect (x(y:= True)) = insert y (Collect x) by auto
lemma collect-upd-false: Collect (x(y:= False)) = Set.remove y (Collect x) by
auto metis

lemma reads-none: reads-inside-set f {} ⇒ f = bf-True ∨ f = bf-False
  unfolding reads-inside-set-def by fast

lemma val-ifex-ite-subst: [ro-ifex i; ro-ifex t; ro-ifex e] ⇒ val-ifex (ifex-ite i t e)
= bf-ite (val-ifex i) (val-ifex t) (val-ifex e)
  using val-ifex-ite by blast

theorem
  val-ifex-mk-ifex-equal:
    reads-inside-set f (set vs) ⇒ val-ifex (mk-ifex f vs) assmt = f assmt
  proof(induction vs arbitrary: f assmt)
    case Nil
      then show ?case using reads-none by auto
    next
      case (Cons v vs)
        have reads-inside-set (bf-restrict v x f) (set vs) for x
          using reads-inside-set-restrict[OF Cons.premises] reads-inside-set-subset by fast-
force
        from Cons.IH[OF this] show ?case
          unfolding mk-ifex.simps val-ifex.simps bf-restrict-def
          by(subst val-ifex-ite-subst; simp add: bf-ite-def fun-upd-idem mk-ifex-ro)
    qed

  end

```

```

theory Evasive
imports
  Bij-betw-simplicial-complex-bool-func
  MkIfex
begin

  9 Relation between type  $\text{bool vec} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  and type
    ' $a$  boolefunc'

definition vec-to-boolefunc ::  $\text{nat} \Rightarrow (\text{bool vec} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{nat boolefunc})$ 
  where  $\text{vec-to-boolefunc } n f = (\lambda i. f (\text{vec } n i))$ 

lemma
  ris: reads-inside-set  $(\lambda i. \text{bool-fun-threshold-2-3} (\text{vec } 4 i)) (\text{set } [0,1,2,3])$ 
  unfolding reads-inside-set-def
  unfolding bool-fun-threshold-2-3-def
  unfolding count-true-def
  unfolding dim-vec
  unfolding set-list-four [symmetric] by simp

```

```

lemma
  shows val-ifex  $(\text{mk-ifex} (\text{vec-to-boolefunc } 4 \text{ bool-fun-threshold-2-3}) [0,1,2,3])$ 
   $= \text{vec-to-boolefunc } 4 \text{ bool-fun-threshold-2-3}$ 
  apply (rule ext)
  apply (rule val-ifex-mk-ifex-equal)
  unfolding vec-to-boolefunc-def
  using ris.

```

For any Boolean function in dimension  $n$ , its ifex representation is *ifex-ordered* and *ifex-minimal*.

```

lemma mk-ifex-boolean-function:
  fixes  $f :: \text{bool vec} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  shows ro-ifex  $(\text{mk-ifex} (\text{vec-to-boolefunc } n f) [0..n])$ 
  using mk-ifex-ro by fast

```

Any Boolean function in dimension  $n$  can be seen as an expression over the underlying set of variables.

```

lemma
  reads-inside-set-boolean-function:
  fixes  $f :: \text{bool vec} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  shows reads-inside-set  $(\text{vec-to-boolefunc } n f) \{.. < n\}$ 
  unfolding vec-to-boolefunc-def
  unfolding reads-inside-set-def
  by (smt (verit, best) dim-vec eq-vecI index-vec lessThan-iff)

```

Any Boolean function of a finite dimension is equal to its ifex representation by means of *mk-ifex*.

```

lemma mk-ifex-equivalence:
  fixes f :: bool vec => bool
  shows val-ifex (mk-ifex (vec-to-boolefunc n f) [0..n])
    = vec-to-boolefunc n f
  apply (rule ext)
  apply (rule val-ifex-mk-ifex-equal)
  using reads-inside-set-boolean-function [of n f]
  unfolding reads-inside-set-def by auto

definition bcount-true :: nat => (nat=> bool) => nat
  where bcount-true n f = ( $\sum i = 0..n. \text{if } f(i) \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0$ )

definition boolefunc-threshold-2-3 :: (nat => bool) => bool
  where boolefunc-threshold-2-3 = ( $\lambda v. 2 \leq \text{bcount-true} 4 v$ )

```

```

definition proj-2 :: (nat => bool) => bool
  where proj-2 = ( $\lambda v. v 2$ )

```

```

definition proj-2-n3 :: (nat => bool) => bool
  where proj-2-n3 = ( $\lambda v. v 2 \wedge \neg v 3$ )

```

The following definition computes the height of a '*a ifex*' expression.

```

fun height :: 'a ifex => nat
  where height Trueif = 0
    | height Falseif = 0
    | height (IF v va vb) = 1 + max (height va) (height vb)

```

Both *mk-ifex* and *height* can be used in computations.

```

lemma height (mk-ifex (boolefunc-threshold-2-3) [0,1,2,3]) = 4
  by eval

```

```

lemma height (mk-ifex (proj-2) [0,1,2,3]) = 1
  by eval

```

```

lemma mk-ifex (proj-2) [0] = Falseif by eval

```

```

lemma height (mk-ifex (proj-2) [0]) = 0 by eval

```

```

lemma mk-ifex (proj-2) [3,2,1,0] = IF 2 Trueif Falseif
  by eval

```

```

lemma mk-ifex (proj-2) [0,1,2,3] = IF 2 Trueif Falseif
  by eval

```

```

lemma height (mk-ifex (proj-2) [0,1,2,3]) = 1 by eval

```

```

lemma mk-ifex (proj-2-n3) [0,1,2,3] = IF 2 (IF 3 Falseif Trueif) Falseif by eval

lemma mk-ifex (bf-False::nat boolefunc) [0,1,2,3] = Falseif by eval

lemma height (mk-ifex (bf-False::nat boolefunc) [0,1,2,3]) = 0 by eval

lemma mk-ifex (bf-True::nat boolefunc) [0,1,2,3] = Trueif by eval

lemma height (mk-ifex (bf-True::nat boolefunc) [0,1,2,3]) = 0 by eval

```

## 10 Definition of *evasive* Boolean function

Now we introduce the definition of evasive Boolean function. It is based on the height of the ifex expression of the given function. The definition is inspired by the one by Scoville [3, Ex. 6.19].

```

definition evasive :: nat => ((nat => bool) => bool) => bool
  where evasive n f ≡ (height (mk-ifex f [0..n])) = n

```

```
corollary evasive 4 boolefunc-threshold-2-3 by eval
```

```
lemma ⊢ evasive 4 proj-2 by eval
```

```
lemma ⊢ evasive 4 proj-2-n3 by eval
```

```
lemma ⊢ evasive 4 bf-True by eval
```

```
lemma ⊢ evasive 4 bf-False by eval
```

```
end
```

```

theory ListLexorder
imports Main
begin

```

## 11 Detour: Lexicographic ordering for lists

Simplicial complexes are defined as sets of sets. To conveniently run computations on them, we convert those sets to lists via *sorted-list-of-set*. This requires providing an arbitrary linear order for lists. We pick a lexicographic order.

```
datatype 'a :: linorder linorder-list = LinorderList 'a list
```

```
definition linorder-list-unwrap L ≡ case L of LinorderList L ⇒ L
```

```

fun less-eq-linorder-list-pre where
  less-eq-linorder-list-pre (LinorderList []) (LinorderList []) = True |

```

```

less-eq-linorder-list-pre (LinorderList []) - = True |
less-eq-linorder-list-pre - (LinorderList []) = False |
less-eq-linorder-list-pre (LinorderList (a # as)) (LinorderList (b # bs))
= (if a = b then less-eq-linorder-list-pre (LinorderList as) (LinorderList bs) else
a < b)

instantiation linorder-list :: (linorder) linorder
begin
definition less-linorder-list x y ≡
  (less-eq-linorder-list-pre x y ∧ ¬ less-eq-linorder-list-pre y x)
definition less-eq-linorder-list x y ≡ less-eq-linorder-list-pre x y
instance
proof (standard; unfold less-eq-linorder-list-def less-linorder-list-def)
  fix x y z
  show less-eq-linorder-list-pre x x
  proof(induction x)
    case (LinorderList xa)
    then show ?case by(induction xa; simp)
  qed
  show less-eq-linorder-list-pre x y ==> less-eq-linorder-list-pre y x ==> x = y
    by(induction x y rule: less-eq-linorder-list-pre.induct; simp split: if-splits)
  show less-eq-linorder-list-pre x y ∨ less-eq-linorder-list-pre y x
    by(induction x y rule: less-eq-linorder-list-pre.induct; auto)
  show less-eq-linorder-list-pre x y ==> less-eq-linorder-list-pre y z ==> less-eq-linorder-list-pre
x z
  proof(induction x z arbitrary: y rule: less-eq-linorder-list-pre.induct)
    case (3 va vb)
    then show ?case
      using less-eq-linorder-list-pre.elims(2) by blast
  next
    case (4 a1 as b1 bs)
    obtain y1 ys where y: y = LinorderList (y1 # ys)
      using 4.prems(1) less-eq-linorder-list-pre.elims(2) by blast
    then show ?case proof(cases a1 = b1)
      case True
        have prems: less-eq-linorder-list-pre (LinorderList as) (LinorderList ys)
        less-eq-linorder-list-pre (LinorderList ys) (LinorderList bs)
        by (metis 4.prems True y less-eq-linorder-list-pre.simps(4) not-less-iff-gr-or-eq)+
        note IH = 4.IH[OF - this]
      then show ?thesis
        using True by simp

  next
    case False
    then show ?thesis using 4.prems less-trans y by (simp split: if-splits)
    qed
  qed simp-all
qed simp

```

```

end

The main product of this theory file:

definition sorted-list-of-list-set L ≡
  map linorder-list-unwrap (sorted-list-of-set (LinorderList ` L))

lemma set-sorted-list-of-list-set[simp]:
  finite L ⇒ set (sorted-list-of-list-set L) = L
  by(force simp add: sorted-list-of-list-set-def linorder-list-unwrap-def)

end

theory BDD
  imports
    Evasive
    ROBDD.Level-Collapse
    ListLexorder
  begin

```

## 12 Executably converting Simplicial Complexes to BDDs

We already know how to convert a simplicial complex to a boolean function, and that to a BDT. We could trivially convert convert boolean functions to BDDs the same way they are converted to BDTs, but the conversion to BDTs necessarily takes an amount of steps exponential in the number of variables (vertices). The following method avoids this exponential method.

This theory does not include a proof on the run-time complexity of the conversion.

The basic idea is that each vertex in a simplicial complex corresponds to one *True* line in the truth table of the inducing Boolean function. This is captured by the following definition, which is part of the correctness assumptions of the final theorem.

```

definition bf-from-sc :: nat set set => (bool vec ⇒ bool)
  where bf-from-sc K ≡ (λv. {i. i < dim-vec v ∧ ¬(vec-index v i)} ∈ K)

lemma bf-from-sc:
  assumes sc: simplicial-complex.simplicial-complex n K
  shows simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n (bf-from-sc K)
  = K
  unfolding bf-from-sc-def simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-def
  using sc
  unfolding simplicial-complex.simplicial-complex-def
  unfolding simplicial-complex.simplices-def
  unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def

```

```
by auto (metis ceros-of-boolean-input-def dim-vec sc
simplicial-complex.ceros-of-boolean-input-in-set simplicial-complex.simplicial-complex-def)
```

```
definition boolefunc-from-sc :: nat => nat set set => nat boolefunc
where boolefunc-from-sc n K ≡ λp. {i. i < n ∧ ¬ p i} ∈ K
```

The conversion proven correct in two major steps:

- Prove that we can convert the list form of simplicial complexes to boolean functions instead of the set form (*boolefunc-from-sc-list*)
- Prove that we can convert the list form of simplicial complexes to BDDs (*boolefunc-bdd-from-sc-list*)

```
definition sc-threshold-2-3 ≡ {{}, {0::nat}, {1}, {2}, {3}, {0,1}, {0,2}, {0,3}, {1,2}, {1,3}, {2,3}}
```

Example: The truth table (as separate lemmas) for *sc-threshold-2-3*:

```
lemma hlp1: {i. i < 4 ∧ ¬ (f(0 := a0, 1 := a1, 2 := a2, 3 := a3)) i} =
(if a0 then {} else {0::nat})
∪ (if a1 then {} else {1})
∪ (if a2 then {} else {2})
∪ (if a3 then {} else {3})
by auto

lemma sc-threshold-2-3-ffff:
boolefunc-from-sc 4 sc-threshold-2-3 (a (0:=False,1:=False,2:=False,3:=False)) =
False
unfolding hlp1 boolefunc-from-sc-def sc-threshold-2-3-def
by simp (smt (z3) Suc-eq-numeral insert-absorb insert-commute insert-ident
insert-not-empty numeral-2-eq-2 singleton-inject zero-neq-numeral)

lemma sc-threshold-2-3-ffft:
boolefunc-from-sc 4 sc-threshold-2-3 (a (0:=False,1:=False,2:=False,3:=True)) =
False
unfolding hlp1 boolefunc-from-sc-def sc-threshold-2-3-def
by simp (smt (z3) Suc-eq-numeral insertI1 insert-absorb insert-commute
insert-ident insert-not-empty numeral-2-eq-2 singleton-inject zero-neq-numeral)

lemma sc-threshold-2-3-fft:
boolefunc-from-sc 4 sc-threshold-2-3 (a (0:=False,1:=False,2:=True,3:=False)) =
False
unfolding hlp1 boolefunc-from-sc-def sc-threshold-2-3-def
by simp (smt (z3) insertI1 insert-iff numeral-1-eq-Suc-0
numeral-2-eq-2 numeral-eq-iff semiring-norm(86) singleton-iff zero-neq-numeral)

lemma sc-threshold-2-3-ftff:
boolefunc-from-sc 4 sc-threshold-2-3 (a (0:=False,1:=True,2:=False,3:=False)) =
False
unfolding hlp1 boolefunc-from-sc-def sc-threshold-2-3-def
```

```

by simp (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) insert-absorb insert-iff insert-not-empty
numeral-eq-iff semiring-norm(89) zero-neq-numeral)

lemma sc-threshold-2-3-tfff:
  boolefunc-from-sc 4 sc-threshold-2-3 (a(0:=True,1:=False,2:=False,3:=False)) =
  False
  unfolding hlp1 boolefunc-from-sc-def sc-threshold-2-3-def
by simp (smt (z3) eval-nat-numeral(3) insertI1 insert-commute insert-iff
n-not-Suc-n numeral-1-eq-Suc-0 numeral-2-eq-2
numeral-eq-iff singletonD verit-eq-simplify(12))

lemma sc-threshold-2-3-ffft:
  boolefunc-from-sc 4 sc-threshold-2-3 (a(0:=False,1:=False,2:=True,3:=True)) =
  True
  unfolding hlp1 boolefunc-from-sc-def sc-threshold-2-3-def by auto

lemma sc-threshold-2-3-ftft:
  boolefunc-from-sc 4 sc-threshold-2-3 (a(0:=False,1:=True,2:=False,3:=True)) =
  True
  unfolding hlp1 boolefunc-from-sc-def sc-threshold-2-3-def by auto

lemma sc-threshold-2-3-fttf:
  boolefunc-from-sc 4 sc-threshold-2-3 (a(0:=False,1:=True,2:=True,3:=False)) =
  True
  unfolding hlp1 boolefunc-from-sc-def sc-threshold-2-3-def by auto

lemma sc-threshold-2-3-fttt:
  boolefunc-from-sc 4 sc-threshold-2-3 (a(0:=False,1:=True,2:=True,3:=True)) =
  True
  unfolding hlp1 boolefunc-from-sc-def sc-threshold-2-3-def by auto

lemma sc-threshold-2-3-tfft:
  boolefunc-from-sc 4 sc-threshold-2-3 (a(0:=True,1:=False,2:=False,3:=True)) =
  True
  unfolding hlp1 boolefunc-from-sc-def sc-threshold-2-3-def by auto

lemma sc-threshold-2-3-tftf:
  boolefunc-from-sc 4 sc-threshold-2-3 (a(0:=True,1:=False,2:=True,3:=False)) =
  True
  unfolding hlp1 boolefunc-from-sc-def sc-threshold-2-3-def by auto

lemma sc-threshold-2-3-tftt:
  boolefunc-from-sc 4 sc-threshold-2-3 (a(0:=True,1:=False,2:=True,3:=True)) =
  True
  unfolding hlp1 boolefunc-from-sc-def sc-threshold-2-3-def by auto

lemma sc-threshold-2-3-ttff:
  boolefunc-from-sc 4 sc-threshold-2-3 (a(0:=True,1:=True,2:=False,3:=False)) =
  True

```

```

unfolding hlp1 boolefunc-from-sc-def sc-threshold-2-3-def by auto

lemma sc-threshold-2-3-tft:
  boolefunc-from-sc 4 sc-threshold-2-3 (a(0:=True,1:=True,2:=False,3:=True)) =
  True
  unfolding hlp1 boolefunc-from-sc-def sc-threshold-2-3-def by auto

lemma sc-threshold-2-3-ttf:
  boolefunc-from-sc 4 sc-threshold-2-3 (a(0:=True,1:=True,2:=True,3:=False)) =
  True
  unfolding hlp1 boolefunc-from-sc-def sc-threshold-2-3-def by auto

lemma sc-threshold-2-3-ttt:
  boolefunc-from-sc 4 sc-threshold-2-3 (a(0:=True,1:=True,2:=True,3:=True)) =
  True
  unfolding hlp1 boolefunc-from-sc-def sc-threshold-2-3-def by auto

lemma boolefunc-from-sc n UNIV = bf-True
  unfolding boolefunc-from-sc-def by simp

lemma boolefunc-from-sc n {} = bf-False
  unfolding boolefunc-from-sc-def by simp

This may seem like an extra step, but effectively, it means: require that all
the atoms outside the vertex are true, but don't care about what's in the
vertex.

lemma boolefunc-from-sc-lazy:
  simplicial-complex.simplicial-complex n K
   $\implies$  boolefunc-from-sc n K = ( $\lambda p.$  Pow { $i.$   $i < n \wedge \neg p i$ }  $\subseteq K$ )
  unfolding simplicial-complex.simplicial-complex-def boolefunc-from-sc-def
  by auto

primrec boolefunc-from-vertex-list :: nat list  $\Rightarrow$  nat list  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where
    boolefunc-from-vertex-list n [] = bf-True |
    boolefunc-from-vertex-list n (f#fs) =
      bf-and (boolefunc-from-vertex-list n fs) (if  $f \in \text{set } n$  then bf-True else bf-lit f)

lemma boolefunc-from-vertex-list-Cons:
  boolefunc-from-vertex-list (a # as) lUNIV =
  ( $\lambda v.$  (boolefunc-from-vertex-list as lUNIV) (v(a:=True)))
  by (induction lUNIV; simp add: bf-lit-def)

lemma boolefunc-from-vertex-list-Empty:
  boolefunc-from-vertex-list [] lUNIV = Ball (set lUNIV)
  by (induction lUNIV) (auto simp add: bf-lit-def)

lemma boolefunc-from-vertex-list:
  set lUNIV = {.. $n$ }  $\implies$  boolefunc-from-vertex-list a lUNIV = ( $\lambda p.$  { $i.$   $i < n \wedge \neg$ 
```

```

 $p \{ i \} \subseteq set a)$ 
by (induction a; fastforce
  simp add: boolefunc-from-vertex-list-Empty boolefunc-from-vertex-list-Cons)

primrec boolefunc-from-sc-list :: nat list  $\Rightarrow$  nat list list  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  boolefunc-from-sc-list lUNIV [] = bf-False |
  boolefunc-from-sc-list lUNIV (l#L) =
    bf-or (boolefunc-from-sc-list lUNIV L) (boolefunc-from-vertex-list l lUNIV)

lemma boolefunc-from-sc-un:
  boolefunc-from-sc n (a  $\cup$  b) = bf-or (boolefunc-from-sc n a) (boolefunc-from-sc n b)
  unfolding boolefunc-from-sc-def unfolding bf-or-def bf-ite-def by force

lemma bf-ite-const[simp]: bf-ite bf-True a b = a bf-ite bf-False a b = b
  by (simp-all)

lemma Pow-subset-Pow: Pow a  $\subseteq$  Pow b = (a  $\subseteq$  b)
  by blast

lemma boolefunc-from-sc-list-concat:
  boolefunc-from-sc-list lUNIV (a @ b) =
    bf-or (boolefunc-from-sc-list lUNIV a) (boolefunc-from-sc-list lUNIV b)
  by (induction a; auto)

lemma boolefunc-from-sc-list-existing-useless:
  a  $\in$  set as  $\implies$  boolefunc-from-sc-list l (a # as) = boolefunc-from-sc-list l as
  proof(induction as)
    case (Cons a1s as) then show ?case by (cases a1s = a; simp) metis
  qed simp

primrec remove :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list
where
  remove a [] = []
  remove a (a1 # as) = (if a = a1 then [] else [a1]) @ remove a as

lemma set-remove[simp]: set (remove a as) = set as - {a}
  by(induction as; auto)

lemma remove-concat[simp]: remove a (a1 @ a2) = remove a a1 @ remove a a2
  by(induction a1; simp)

lemma boolefunc-from-sc-list-dedup1:
  boolefunc-from-sc-list l (a # as) = boolefunc-from-sc-list l (a # remove a as)
  proof(induction as)
    case (Cons a1s as) then show ?case by(cases a1s = a; simp) metis
  qed simp

lemma boolefunc-from-sc-list-reorder:

```

```

set a = set b  $\implies$  boolefunc-from-sc-list l a = boolefunc-from-sc-list l b
proof(induction a arbitrary: b)
next
  case (Cons a1 a2)
    then obtain b1 b2 where b: b = b1 @ a1 # b2 by (metis list.set-intros(1)
      split-list)
    have cons-concat:  $\bigwedge a \text{ as}. a \# as = [a] @ as$  by simp
    have bb: boolefunc-from-sc-list l b =
      bf-or (boolefunc-from-vertex-list a1 l)
        (bf-or (boolefunc-from-sc-list l b1) (boolefunc-from-sc-list l b2))
    apply(subst b)
    apply(subst boolefunc-from-sc-list-concat)
    apply(subst cons-concat)
    apply(subst boolefunc-from-sc-list-concat)
    apply(auto)
    done
    have bbb: boolefunc-from-sc-list l b = boolefunc-from-sc-list l (a1 # (remove a1 (b1
      @ b2)))
      unfolding bb boolefunc-from-sc-list-dedup1[symmetric]
      by (auto simp add: boolefunc-from-sc-list-concat)
    show ?case proof(cases a1 ∈ set a2)
      case True
        then show ?thesis using Cons by (metis insert-absorb list.set(2))
      next
        case False
        then have a2: set a2 = set (remove a1 (b1 @ b2))
          using Cons.preds b by fastforce
          show ?thesis using Cons.IH[OF a2] bbb by simp
        qed
      qed simp

lemma boolefunc-from-sc-list:
  set lUNIV = {..<n::nat}
   $\implies$  simplicial-complex.simplicial-complex n (set ` set L)
   $\implies$  boolefunc-from-sc-list lUNIV L = boolefunc-from-sc n (set ` set L)
proof –
  assume lUNIV: set lUNIV = {..<n::nat}
  assume sc: simplicial-complex.simplicial-complex n (set ` set L)
  define sorted where sorted  $\equiv$  sorted-wrt ( $\lambda a b :: \text{nat list}. \text{card } (\text{set } b) \leq \text{card } (\text{set } a)$ )
  have i: sorted L
     $\implies$  simplicial-complex.simplicial-complex n (set ` set L)
     $\implies$  boolefunc-from-sc-list lUNIV L = boolefunc-from-sc n (set ` set L) for
  L
  proof(induction L)
    case Nil
      show ?case by (simp add: boolefunc-from-sc-def)
  next

```

```

case (Cons a L)
from Cons.prems(2) have p: Pow (set a) ⊆ (set ‘ set (a # L))
  unfolding simplicial-complex.simplicial-complex-def by simp
  hence pun: insert (set a) (set ‘ set L) = Pow (set a) ∪ (set ‘ set L) by auto
from p and Cons.prems(2)
have sp: simplicial-complex.simplicial-complex n (Pow (set a))
  by (meson PowD Pow-subset-Pow simplicial-complex.simplicial-complex-def subsetD)
have bfSing: boolfunc-from-sc-list lUNIV [a] = boolfunc-from-sc n (Pow (set a))
  unfolding boolfunc-from-sc-lazy [OF sp]
  by (simp add: Pow-subset-Pow boolfunc-from-vertex-list [OF lUNIV])
have bflCons: boolfunc-from-sc-list lUNIV (a # L) = bf-or (boolfunc-from-sc-list lUNIV [a]) (boolfunc-from-sc-list lUNIV L)
  unfolding boolfunc-from-sc-list.simps(2) [of - a L] by auto
from Cons.prems have simplicial-complex.simplicial-complex n (set ‘ set L)
  unfolding simplicial-complex.simplicial-complex-def sorted-def
  by simp (metis List.finite-set PowD card-seteq insert-image subset-insert)
from Cons.IH[OF - this] Cons.prems(1)
have boolfunc-from-sc-list lUNIV L = boolfunc-from-sc n (set ‘ set L)
  unfolding sorted-def by simp
thus ?case
  apply(subst bflCons)
  apply(simp del: boolfunc-from-sc-list.simps)
  apply(subst pun)
  apply(subst boolfunc-from-sc-un)
  apply(subst bfSing)
  apply(simp)
  done
qed
define sort where sort ≡ rev (sort-key (λl. card (set l)) L)
have sc: simplcial-complex.simplcial-complex n (set ‘ set sort)
  unfolding sort-def using sc by simp
have sorted: sorted sort
  by(simp add: sorted-def sort-def sorted-wrt-rev) (metis sorted-map sorted-sort-key)
have set: set sort = set L unfolding sort-def by simp
from boolfunc-from-sc-list-reorder[OF set] i[OF sorted sc] set
show ?thesis by presburger
qed

lemma boolfunc-from-sc-alt: boolfunc-from-sc n K = vec-to-boolefunc n (bf-from-sc K)
unfolding boolfunc-from-sc-def vec-to-boolefunc-def bf-from-sc-def
unfolding dim-vec by(fastforce intro!: eqelem-imp-iff)

primrec bdd-from-vertex-list :: nat list ⇒ nat list ⇒ bddi ⇒ (nat × bddi) Heap
where
  bdd-from-vertex-list n [] s = tci s |
  bdd-from-vertex-list n (f#fs) s = do {

```

```

(f, s) ← if  $f \in set n$  then  $tci f s$  else  $litci f s$ ;
(fs, s) ←  $bdd\text{-from}\text{-vertex}\text{-list} n fs s$ ;
andci fs f s
}

primrec  $bdd\text{-from}\text{-sc}\text{-list} :: nat list \Rightarrow nat list list \Rightarrow bddi \Rightarrow (nat \times bddi) \text{ Heap}$ 
where
   $bdd\text{-from}\text{-sc}\text{-list} l \text{UNIV} [] s = fci s |$ 
   $bdd\text{-from}\text{-sc}\text{-list} l \text{UNIV} (l \# L) s = do \{$ 
     $(l, s) \leftarrow bdd\text{-from}\text{-vertex}\text{-list} l l \text{UNIV} s;$ 
     $(L, s) \leftarrow bdd\text{-from}\text{-sc}\text{-list} l \text{UNIV} L s;$ 
    orci L l s
  }

definition  $nat\text{-list}\text{-from}\text{-vertex} v \equiv sorted\text{-list}\text{-of}\text{-set} v$ 

definition  $nat\text{-list}\text{-from}\text{-sc} K \equiv sorted\text{-list}\text{-of}\text{-list}\text{-set} (nat\text{-list}\text{-from}\text{-vertex} ` K)$ 

definition  $ex\text{-}2\text{-}3 \equiv do \{$ 
   $s \leftarrow emptyci;$ 
   $(ex, s) \leftarrow bdd\text{-from}\text{-sc}\text{-list} [0, 1, 2, 3] (nat\text{-list}\text{-from}\text{-sc} sc\text{-threshold}\text{-}2\text{-}3) s;$ 
  graphifyci "threshold-two-three" ex s
}

lemma  $nat\text{-list}\text{-from}\text{-vertex}:$ 
assumes finite l
shows set (nat-list-from-vertex l) = {i . i ∈ l}
unfolding nat-list-from-vertex-def sorted-list-of-set-def
by auto (metis assms set-sorted-list-of-set sorted-list-of-set-def)+

lemma
  finite-sorted-list-of-set:
  assumes finite L
  shows finite (sorted-list-of-set ` L)
  using finite-imageI [OF assms, of sorted-list-of-set] .

lemma  $nat\text{-list}\text{-from}\text{-sc}:$ 
assumes L: finite L
and l: ∀ l ∈ L. finite l
shows set ` set (nat-list-from-sc (L :: nat set set)) = {{i . i ∈ l} | l. l ∈ L}
unfolding nat-list-from-sc-def
unfolding nat-list-from-vertex-def
unfolding set-sorted-list-of-list-set [OF finite-sorted-list-of-set [OF L]]
proof (safe)
  fix x :: nat set
  assume xl: x ∈ L
  hence fx: finite x using l by simp

```

```

show exl:  $\exists l. \text{set}(\text{sorted-list-of-set } x) = \{i. i \in l\} \wedge l \in L$ 
  by (rule exI [of - x], auto simp add: xl fx)
show {i. i ∈ x} ∈ set ‘sorted-list-of-set’ L
  by (metis Collect-mem-eq exl fx image-Iff set-sorted-list-of-set)
qed

```

```

definition ex-false ≡ do {
  s ← emptyci;
  (ex, s) ← bdd-from-sc-list [0, 1, 2, 3] (nat-list-from-sc {}) s;
  graphifyci "false" ex s
}

```

```

definition ex-true ≡ do {
  s ← emptyci;
  (ex, s) ← bdd-from-sc-list [0, 1, 2, 3]
    (nat-list-from-sc
      {{}, {0}, {1}, {2}, {3},
       {0,1}, {0,2}, {0,3}, {1,2}, {1,3}, {2,3},
       {0,1,2}, {0,1,3}, {0,2,3}, {1,2,3}, {0,1,2,3}}) s;
  graphifyci "true" ex s
}

```

```

definition another-ex ≡ do {
  s ← emptyci;
  (ex, s) ← bdd-from-sc-list [0, 1, 2, 3]
    (nat-list-from-sc
      {{}, {0}, {1}, {2}, {3},
       {0,1}, {0,2}, {0,3}, {1,2}, {1,3}, {2,3},
       {0,1,2}, {0,1,3}, {0,2,3}, {1,2,3}}) s;
  graphifyci "another-ex" ex s
}

```

```

definition one-another-ex ≡ do {
  s ← emptyci;
  (ex, s) ← bdd-from-sc-list [0, 1, 2, 3]
    (nat-list-from-sc
      {{}, {0}, {1}, {2}, {3},
       {0,1}, {0,2}, {0,3}, {1,2}, {1,3}, {2,3},
       {0,1,2}, {0,1,3}, {0,2,3}, {1,2,3}}) s;
  graphifyci "one-another-ex" ex s
}

```

**lemma** bf-ite-direct[simp]: bf-ite i bf-True bf-False = i **by** simp

**lemma** andciI: node-relator (tb, tc) rp  $\implies$  node-relator (eb, ec) rp  $\implies$  rq ⊆ rp

```

<bdd-relator rp s> andci tc ec s <λ(r,s'). bdd-relator (insert (bf-and tb eb,r)
rq) s'>
by sep-auto

lemma bdd-from-vertex-list[sep-heap-rules]:
shows <bdd-relator rp s>
bdd-from-vertex-list n l s
<λ(r,s'). bdd-relator (insert (boolfunc-from-vertex-list n l, r) rp) s'>
proof(induction l arbitrary: rp s)
case Nil then show ?case by (sep-auto)
next
case (Cons a l)
show ?case proof(cases a ∈ set n)
case True
show ?thesis
apply(simp only: bdd-from-vertex-list.simps list.map
       boolfunc-from-vertex-list.simps True if-True)
apply(sep-auto simp only:)
apply(rule Cons.IH)
apply(clarsimp simp del: bf-ite-def)
apply(sep-auto)
done
next
case False
show ?thesis
apply(simp only: bdd-from-vertex-list.simps list.map
       boolfunc-from-vertex-list.simps False if-False)
apply(sep-auto simp only:)
apply(rule Cons.IH)
apply(sep-auto simp del: bf-ite-def bf-and-def)
done
qed
qed

lemma boolfunc-bdd-from-sc-list:
shows <bdd-relator rp s>
bdd-from-sc-list lUNIV K s
<λ(r,s'). bdd-relator (insert (boolfunc-from-sc-list lUNIV K, r) rp) s'>
proof(induction K arbitrary: rp s)
case Nil
then show ?case by sep-auto
next
case (Cons a K)
show ?case by(sep-auto heap add: Cons.IH simp del: bf-ite-def bf-or-def)
qed

lemma map-map-idI: (∀x. x ∈ ∪(set ` set l) ⇒ f x = x) ⇒ map (map f) l = l
by(induct l; simp; meson map-idI)

```

```

definition
bdd-from-sc K n ≡ bdd-from-sc-list (nat-list-from-vertex {..<n}) (nat-list-from-sc K)

theorem bdd-from-sc:
assumes simplicial-complex.simplicial-complex n (K :: nat set set)
shows <bdd-relator rp s>
bdd-from-sc K n s
<λ(r,s'). bdd-relator (insert (vec-to-boolefunc n (bf-from-sc K), r) rp) s'>

proof –
have fK: finite K
using simplicial-complex.finite-simplicial-complex [OF assms] .
have fv: ∀ v∈K. finite v
using simplicial-complex.finite-simplices [OF assms] ..
define lUNIV where lUNIV-def: lUNIV = nat-list-from-vertex {..<n}
hence set-lUNIV: set lUNIV = {..<n}
unfolding nat-list-from-vertex-def
using sorted-list-of-set 1) [OF finite-lessThan [of n]] by simp
define Klist where Klist ≡ (nat-list-from-sc K)
have Klist-set: set ‘set Klist = K
using nat-list-from-sc [OF fK fv]
unfolding Klist-def nat-list-from-sc-def nat-list-from-vertex-def by simp
have Klist-map: Klist = nat-list-from-sc K
unfolding Klist-def ..
have sc-Klist: simplcial-complex.simplcial-complex n (set ‘set Klist)
unfolding Klist-set using assms .
show ?thesis
apply (insert boolefunc-bdd-from-sc-list[of rp s lUNIV Klist])
unfolding bdd-from-sc-def unfolding Klist-def [symmetric]
unfolding lUNIV-def [symmetric]
unfolding boolefunc-from-sc-list [OF set-lUNIV sc-Klist]
unfolding Klist-set
unfolding boolefunc-from-sc-alt by simp
qed

code-identifier
code-module Product-Type → (SML) IBDD
  and (OCaml) IBDD and (Haskell) IBDD
| code-module Typerep → (SML) IBDD
  and (OCaml) IBDD and (Haskell) IBDD
| code-module String → (SML) IBDD
  and (OCaml) IBDD and (Haskell) IBDD

export-code open bdd-from-sc ex-2-3 ex-false ex-true another-ex one-another-ex
  in Haskell module-name IBDD file BDD

export-code bdd-from-sc ex-2-3
  in SML module-name IBDD file SMLBDD

```

```

end

theory Binary-operations
imports Bij-btw-simplicial-complex-bool-func
begin

```

## 13 Binary operations over Boolean functions and simplicial complexes

In this theory some results on binary operations over Boolean functions and their relationship to operations over the induced simplicial complexes are presented. We follow the presentation by Chastain and Scoville [1, Sect. 1.1].

```

definition bool-fun-or :: nat ⇒ (bool vec ⇒ bool) ⇒ (bool vec ⇒ bool) ⇒ (bool vec
⇒ bool)

```

```
where (bool-fun-or n f g) ≡ (λx. f x ∨ g x)
```

```

definition bool-fun-and :: nat ⇒ (bool vec ⇒ bool) ⇒ (bool vec ⇒ bool) ⇒ (bool vec
⇒ bool)

```

```
where (bool-fun-and n f g) ≡ (λx. f x ∧ g x)
```

```
lemma eq-union-or:
```

```
simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n (bool-fun-or n f g)
```

```
= simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n f
```

```
  ∪ simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n g
```

```
(is ?sc n (?bf-or n f g) = ?sc n f ∪ ?sc n g)
```

```
proof
```

```
show ?sc n f ∪ ?sc n g ⊆ ?sc n (?bf-or n f g)
```

```
proof
```

```
fix σ :: nat set
```

```
assume σ ∈ (?sc n f ∪ ?sc n g)
```

```
hence sigma: σ ∈ ?sc n f ∨ σ ∈ ?sc n g by auto
```

```
have f (simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice n σ)
```

```
  ∨ g (simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice n σ)
```

```
proof (cases σ ∈ ?sc n f)
```

```
case True
```

```
from simplicial-complex.simplicial-complex-implies-true [OF True]
```

```
show f (simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice n σ)
```

```
  ∨ g (simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice n σ) by fast
```

```
next
```

```
case False
```

```
hence sigmain: σ ∈ ?sc n g using sigma by fast
```

```
from simplicial-complex.simplicial-complex-implies-true [OF sigmain]
```

```
show f (simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice n σ)
```

```
  ∨ g (simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice n σ) by fast
```

```
qed
```

```
thus σ ∈ ?sc n (?bf-or n f g)
```

```

using simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-def
using bool-fun-or-def sigma by auto
qed
next
show ?sc n (?bf-or n f g) ⊆ ?sc n f ∪ ?sc n g
proof
fix σ::nat set
assume sigma: σ ∈ ?sc n (?bf-or n f g)
hence bool-fun-or n f g (simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice n σ)
  unfolding simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice-def
  unfolding simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-def
  unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
  by auto (smt (verit) dim-vec eq-vecI index-vec)+
hence (f (simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice n σ))
  ∨ (g (simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice n σ))
  unfolding bool-fun-or-def
  by auto
hence σ ∈ ?sc n f ∨ σ ∈ ?sc n g
  by (smt (z3) sigma bool-fun-or-def mem-Collect-eq
      simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-def)
thus σ ∈ simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n f
  ∪ simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n g
  by auto
qed
qed

lemma eq-inter-and:
simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n (bool-fun-and n f g)
= simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n f
  ∩ simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n g
(is ?sc n (?bf-and n f g) = ?sc n f ∩ ?sc n g)
proof
show ?sc n f ∩ ?sc n g ⊆ ?sc n (?bf-and n f g)
proof
fix σ :: nat set
assume σ ∈ (?sc n f ∩ ?sc n g)
hence sigma: σ ∈ ?sc n f ∧ σ ∈ ?sc n g by auto
have f (simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice n σ)
  ∧ g (simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice n σ)
proof -
from sigma have sigmaf: σ ∈ ?sc n f and sigmag: σ ∈ ?sc n g
  by auto
have f (simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice n σ)
  using simplicial-complex.simplicial-complex-implies-true [OF sigmaf] .
moreover have g (simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice n σ)
  using simplicial-complex.simplicial-complex-implies-true [OF sigmag] .
ultimately show ?thesis by fast
qed
thus σ ∈ ?sc n (?bf-and n f g)

```

```

unfolding simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-def
unfolding bool-fun-and-def
using sigma apply auto
by (smt (z3) Collect-cong ceros-of-boolean-input-def dim-vec index-vec mem-Collect-eq
      simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice-def
      simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-def)
qed
next
show ?sc n (?bf-and n f g) ⊆ ?sc n f ∩ ?sc n g
proof
  fix σ :: nat set
  assume sigma: σ ∈ ?sc n (?bf-and n f g)
  hence bool-fun-and n f g (simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice n σ)
    unfolding simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice-def
    unfolding simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-def
    unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
    by auto (smt (verit) dim-vec eq-vecI index-vec) +
    hence (f (simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice n σ))
      ∧ (g (simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice n σ))
    unfolding bool-fun-and-def
    by auto
    hence σ ∈ ?sc n f ∧ σ ∈ ?sc n g
    using bool-fun-and-def sigma simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-def
by auto
  thus σ ∈ simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n f
    ∩ simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n g
  by auto
qed
qed

definition bool-fun-ast :: (nat × nat) ⇒ (bool vec ⇒ bool) × (bool vec ⇒ bool)
  ⇒ (bool vec × bool vec ⇒ bool)
where (bool-fun-ast n f) ≡ (λ (x,y). (fst f x) ∧ (snd f y))

definition
  simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-ast
  :: (nat × nat) ⇒ ((bool vec × bool vec ⇒ bool)) ⇒ (nat set * nat set) set
where simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-ast n f =
  {z. ∃ x y. dim-vec x = fst n ∧ dim-vec y = snd n ∧ f (x, y)
  ∧ ((ceros-of-boolean-input x), (ceros-of-boolean-input y)) = z}

lemma fst-es-simplice:
  a ∈ simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-ast n f
  ⇒ (∃ x y. f (x, y) ∧ (ceros-of-boolean-input x) = fst(a))
by (smt (verit) fst-conv mem-Collect-eq
      simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-ast-def)

lemma snd-es-simplice:
  a ∈ simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-ast n f

```

```

 $\implies (\exists x y. f(x, y) \wedge (\text{ceros-of-boolean-input } y) = \text{snd}(a))$ 
by (smt (verit) snd-conv mem-Collect-eq
          simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-ast-def)

definition set-ast :: (nat set) set  $\Rightarrow$  (nat set) set  $\Rightarrow$  ((nat set*nat set) set)
where set-ast A B  $\equiv$  {c.  $\exists a \in A. \exists b \in B. c = (a, b)$ }

definition set-fst :: (nat*nat) set  $\Rightarrow$  nat set
where set-fst AB = {a.  $\exists ab \in AB. a = \text{fst } ab$ }

lemma set-fst-simp [simp]:
assumes y  $\neq \{\}$ 
shows set-fst (x  $\times$  y) = x
proof
show set-fst (x  $\times$  y)  $\subseteq$  x
  by (smt (verit) SigmaE mem-Collect-eq prod.sel(1) set-fst-def subsetI)
show x  $\subseteq$  set-fst (x  $\times$  y)
proof
  fix a::nat
  assume a  $\in$  x
  then obtain b where b  $\in$  y and (a,b)  $\in$  (x  $\times$  y)
    using assms by blast
  then show a  $\in$  set-fst (x  $\times$  y)
    using set-fst-def by fastforce
qed
qed

definition set-snd :: (nat*nat) set  $\Rightarrow$  nat set
where set-snd AB = {b.  $\exists ab \in AB. b = \text{snd}(ab)$ }

lemma
  simplicial-complex-ast-implies-fst-true:
assumes  $\gamma \in \text{simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-ast nn}$ 
          (bool-fun-ast nn f)
shows fst f (simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice (fst nn) (fst  $\gamma$ ))
using assms
unfolding simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice-def
unfolding simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-ast-def
unfolding bool-fun-ast-def
unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
apply auto
by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) bool-fun-ast-def case-prod-conv dim-vec index-vec
      vec-eq-iff)

lemma
  simplicial-complex-ast-implies-snd-true:
assumes  $\gamma \in \text{simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-ast nn}$ 
          (bool-fun-ast nn f)
shows snd f (simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice (snd nn) (snd  $\gamma$ ))

```

```

using assms
unfolding simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice-def
unfolding simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-ast-def
unfolding bool-fun-ast-def
unfolding ceros-of-boolean-input-def
by auto (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) bool-fun-ast-def
            case-prod-conv dim-vec index-vec vec-eq-iff)

lemma eq-ast:
simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-ast (n, m) (bool-fun-ast
(n, m) f)
= set-ast (simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n (fst f))
          (simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function m (snd f))
proof
show set-ast (simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n (fst f))
          (simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function m (snd f))
 $\subseteq$  simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-ast (n, m)
          (bool-fun-ast (n, m) f)
proof
fix  $\gamma::nat$  set*nat set
assume pert:  $\gamma \in$  set-ast (simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function
n (fst f))
          (simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function m (snd f))
hence f: (fst  $\gamma$ )  $\in$  simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n
(fst f)
unfolding set-ast-def
by auto
have sigma: fst f (simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice n (fst  $\gamma$ ))
using simplicial-complex.simplicial-complex-implies-true [OF f].
from pert have g: (snd  $\gamma$ )  $\in$  simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function
m (snd f)
unfolding set-ast-def by auto
have tau: (snd f) (simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice m (snd  $\gamma$ ))
using simplicial-complex.simplicial-complex-implies-true [OF g].
from sigma and tau have sigtau: bool-fun-ast (n, m) f
          ((simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice n (fst  $\gamma$ )),
           (simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice m (snd  $\gamma$ )))
unfolding bool-fun-ast-def
by auto
from sigtau
show  $\gamma \in$  simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-ast (n, m)
          (bool-fun-ast (n, m) f)
unfolding simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-ast-def
unfolding bool-fun-ast-def
using sigma apply auto
using f g simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-def by
fastforce
qed
next

```

```

show simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-ast (n, m)
  (bool-fun-ast (n, m) f)
   $\subseteq$  set-ast (simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n (fst f))
    (simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function m (snd f))

proof
fix  $\gamma :: \text{nat set}^*\text{nat set}$ 
assume pert:  $\gamma \in \text{simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-ast}$ 
(n, m)
  (bool-fun-ast (n, m) f)
have sigma: (fst  $\gamma$ )  $\in$  simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function
n (fst f)
  unfolding bool-fun-ast-def
  unfolding simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-def
  unfolding simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-ast-def
  apply auto
  apply (rule exI [of - simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice n (fst  $\gamma$ )], safe)
  using simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice-def apply auto[1]
    apply (metis fst-conv pert simplicial-complex-ast-implies-fst-true)
  using ceros-of-boolean-input-def simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice-def
    apply fastforce
  using ceros-of-boolean-input-def pert
    simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice-def
    simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-ast-def by force
have tau: (snd  $\gamma$ )  $\in$  simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function m
(snd f)
  unfolding bool-fun-ast-def
  unfolding simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-def
  unfolding simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-ast-def
  apply auto
  apply (rule exI [of - simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice m (snd  $\gamma$ )],
safe)
  using simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice-def apply auto[1]
    apply (metis snd-conv pert simplicial-complex-ast-implies-snd-true)
  using ceros-of-boolean-input-def simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice-def
    apply fastforce
  using ceros-of-boolean-input-def pert
    simplicial-complex.bool-vec-from-simplice-def
    simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function-ast-def by force
from sigma and tau
show  $\gamma \in \text{set-ast}$ 
  (simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function n (fst f))
  (simplicial-complex-induced-by-monotone-boolean-function m (snd f))
  using set-ast-def
  by force
qed
qed

end

```

## References

- [1] E. J. Chastain and N. A. Scoville. Homology of Boolean functions and the complexity of simplicial homology.  
<https://nanopdf.com/download/homology-of-boolean-functions-and-the-complexity-of-simplicial-pdf.pdf>.
- [2] J. Michaelis, M. Haslbeck, P. Lammich, and L. Hupel. Algorithms for reduced ordered binary decision diagrams. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, Apr. 2016. <https://isa-afp.org/entries/ROBDD.html>, Formal proof development.
- [3] N. A. Scoville. *Discrete Morse Theory*, volume 90 of *Student Mathematical Library*. American Mathematical Society, 2019.