

An Incremental Simplex Algorithm with Unsatisfiable Core Generation*

Filip Marić Mirko Spasić René Thiemann

June 19, 2024

Abstract

We present an Isabelle/HOL formalization and total correctness proof for the incremental version of the Simplex algorithm which is used in most state-of-the-art SMT solvers. It supports extraction of satisfying assignments, extraction of minimal unsatisfiable cores, incremental assertion of constraints and backtracking. The formalization relies on stepwise program refinement, starting from a simple specification, going through a number of refinement steps, and ending up in a fully executable functional implementation. Symmetries present in the algorithm are handled with special care.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Auxiliary Results	3
3	Linearly Ordered Rational Vectors	6
4	Linear Polynomials and Constraints	9
5	Rational Numbers Extended with Infinitesimal Element	19
6	The Simplex Algorithm	22
6.1	Procedure Specification	23
6.2	Handling Strict Inequalities	25
6.3	Preprocessing	27
6.4	Incrementally Asserting Atoms	31
6.5	Asserting Single Atoms	45

*Supported by the Serbian Ministry of Education and Science grant 174021, by the SNF grant SCOPES IZ73Z0127979/1, and by FWF (Austrian Science Fund) project Y757. The authors are listed in alphabetical order regardless of individual contributions or seniority.

6.6	Update and Pivot	51
6.7	Check implementation	63
6.8	Symmetries	74
6.9	Concrete implementation	75
7	The Incremental Simplex Algorithm	87
7.1	Lowest Layer: Fixed Tableau and Incremental Atoms	87
7.2	Intermediate Layer: Incremental Non-Strict Constraints	90
7.3	Highest Layer: Incremental Constraints	92
7.4	Concrete Implementation	94
7.4.1	Connecting all the locales	94
7.4.2	An implementation which encapsulates the state	95
7.4.3	Soundness of the incremental simplex implementation	96
7.5	Test Executability and Example for Incremental Interface	99

1 Introduction

This formalization closely follows the simplex algorithm as it is described by Dutertre and de Moura [1].

The original formalization has been developed and is extensively described by Spasić and Marić [3]. It features a front-end that for a given set of constraints either returns a satisfying assignment or the information that it is unsatisfiable.

The original formalization was extended by Thiemann in three different ways.

- The extended simplex method returns a minimal unsatisfiable core instead of just a bit “unsatisfiable”.
- The extension also contains an incremental interface to the simplex method where one can dynamically assert and retract linear constraints. In contrast, the original simplex formalization only offered an interface which demands all constraints as input and which restarts the computation from scratch on every input.
- The optimization of eliminating unused variables in the preprocessing phase [1, Section 3] has been integrated in the formalization.

The first two of these extensions required the introduction of *indexed* constraints in combination with generalised lemmas. In these generalisations, global constraints had to be replaced by arbitrary (indexed) subsets of constraints.

2 Auxiliary Results

```
theory Simplex-Auxiliary
  imports
    HOL-Library.Mapping
begin
```

lemma *map-reindex*:

```
  assumes  $\forall i < \text{length } l. g (l ! i) = f i$ 
  shows  $\text{map } f [0..<\text{length } l] = \text{map } g l$ 
  <proof>
```

lemma *map-parametrize-idx*:

```
   $\text{map } f l = \text{map } (\lambda i. f (l ! i)) [0..<\text{length } l]$ 
  <proof>
```

lemma *last-tl*:

```
  assumes  $\text{length } l > 1$ 
  shows  $\text{last } (tl l) = \text{last } l$ 
  <proof>
```

lemma *hd-tl*:

```
  assumes  $\text{length } l > 1$ 
  shows  $\text{hd } (tl l) = l ! 1$ 
  <proof>
```

lemma *butlast-empty-conv-length*:

```
  shows  $(\text{butlast } l = []) = (\text{length } l \leq 1)$ 
  <proof>
```

lemma *butlast-nth*:

```
  assumes  $n + 1 < \text{length } l$ 
  shows  $\text{butlast } l ! n = l ! n$ 
  <proof>
```

lemma *last-take-conv-nth*:

```
  assumes  $0 < n \wedge n \leq \text{length } l$ 
  shows  $\text{last } (\text{take } n l) = l ! (n - 1)$ 
  <proof>
```

lemma *tl-nth*:

```
  assumes  $l \neq []$ 
  shows  $tl l ! n = l ! (n + 1)$ 
  <proof>
```

lemma *interval-3split*:

assumes $i < n$
shows $[0..<n] = [0..<i] @ [i] @ [i+1..<n]$
 ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation $list-min\ l \equiv foldl\ min\ (hd\ l)\ (tl\ l)$
lemma $list-min-Min[simp]: l \neq [] \implies list-min\ l = Min\ (set\ l)$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition $min-satisfying :: (('a::linorder) \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ option$ **where**
 $min-satisfying\ P\ l \equiv$
 $let\ xs = filter\ P\ l\ in$
 $if\ xs = []\ then\ None\ else\ Some\ (list-min\ xs)$

lemma $min-satisfying-None:$
 $min-satisfying\ P\ l = None \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall\ x \in set\ l. \neg P\ x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $min-satisfying-Some:$
 $min-satisfying\ P\ l = Some\ x \longrightarrow$
 $x \in set\ l \wedge P\ x \wedge (\forall\ x' \in set\ l. x' < x \longrightarrow \neg P\ x')$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $min-element:$
fixes $k :: nat$
assumes $\exists\ (m::nat). P\ m$
shows $\exists\ mm. P\ mm \wedge (\forall\ m'. m' < mm \longrightarrow \neg P\ m')$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $finite-fun-args:$
assumes $finite\ A\ \forall\ a \in A. finite\ (B\ a)$
shows $finite\ \{f. (\forall\ a. if\ a \in A\ then\ f\ a \in B\ a\ else\ f\ a = f0\ a)\}$ **(is** $finite\ (?F\ A)$ **)**
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $foldl-mapping-update:$

assumes $X \in \text{set } l \text{ distinct } (\text{map } f \ l)$
shows $\text{Mapping.lookup } (\text{foldl } (\lambda m \ a. \ \text{Mapping.update } (f \ a) \ (g \ a) \ m) \ i \ l) \ (f \ X) = \text{Some } (g \ X)$
 <proof>

end

theory *Rel-Chain*

imports

Simplex-Auxiliary

begin

definition

rel-chain :: $'a \ \text{list} \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a) \ \text{set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

rel-chain $l \ r = (\forall \ k < \text{length } l - 1. \ (l \ ! \ k, \ l \ ! \ (k + 1)) \in r)$

lemma

rel-chain-Nil: *rel-chain* $[] \ r$ **and**

rel-chain-Cons: *rel-chain* $(x \ # \ xs) \ r = (\text{if } xs = [] \ \text{then } \text{True} \ \text{else } ((x, \ \text{hd } xs) \in r) \wedge \text{rel-chain } xs \ r)$
 <proof>

lemma *rel-chain-drop*:

rel-chain $l \ R \ ==> \text{rel-chain } (\text{drop } n \ l) \ R$
 <proof>

lemma *rel-chain-take*:

rel-chain $l \ R \ ==> \text{rel-chain } (\text{take } n \ l) \ R$
 <proof>

lemma *rel-chain-butlast*:

rel-chain $l \ R \ ==> \text{rel-chain } (\text{butlast } l) \ R$
 <proof>

lemma *rel-chain-tl*:

rel-chain $l \ R \ ==> \text{rel-chain } (\text{tl } l) \ R$
 <proof>

lemma *rel-chain-append*:

assumes *rel-chain* $l \ R \ \text{rel-chain } l' \ R \ (\text{last } l, \ \text{hd } l') \in R$

shows *rel-chain* $(l \ @ \ l') \ R$

<proof>

lemma *rel-chain-appendD*:

assumes *rel-chain* $(l \ @ \ l') \ R$

shows *rel-chain* $l \ R \ \text{rel-chain } l' \ R \ l \neq [] \wedge l' \neq [] \longrightarrow (\text{last } l, \ \text{hd } l') \in R$

<proof>

lemma *rtrancl-rel-chain*:

$(x, y) \in R^* \longleftrightarrow (\exists l. l \neq [] \wedge \text{hd } l = x \wedge \text{last } l = y \wedge \text{rel-chain } l R)$
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
<proof>

lemma *trancl-rel-chain*:

$(x, y) \in R^+ \longleftrightarrow (\exists l. l \neq [] \wedge \text{length } l > 1 \wedge \text{hd } l = x \wedge \text{last } l = y \wedge \text{rel-chain } l R)$ (is ?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs)
<proof>

lemma *rel-chain-elems-rtrancl*:

assumes *rel-chain* $l R$ $i \leq j < \text{length } l$
shows $(l ! i, l ! j) \in R^*$
<proof>

lemma *reorder-cyclic-list*:

assumes $\text{hd } l = s$ $\text{last } l = s$ $\text{length } l > 2$ $sl + 1 < \text{length } l$
rel-chain $l r$
obtains $l' :: 'a \text{ list}$
where $\text{hd } l' = l ! (sl + 1)$ $\text{last } l' = l ! sl$ *rel-chain* $l' r$ $\text{length } l' = \text{length } l - 1$
 $\forall i. i + 1 < \text{length } l' \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists j. j + 1 < \text{length } l \wedge l' ! i = l ! j \wedge l' ! (i + 1) = l ! (j + 1))$
<proof>

end

3 Linearly Ordered Rational Vectors

theory *Simplex-Algebra*

imports

HOL.Rat

HOL.Real-Vector-Spaces

begin

class *scaleRat* =

fixes *scaleRat* :: $\text{rat} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ (**infixr** $*R$ 75)

begin

abbreviation

divideRat :: $'a \Rightarrow \text{rat} \Rightarrow 'a$ (**infixl** $'/R$ 70)

where

$x /R r == \text{scaleRat } (\text{inverse } r) x$

end

class *rational-vector* = *scaleRat* + *ab-group-add* +

assumes *scaleRat-right-distrib*: $\text{scaleRat } a (x + y) = \text{scaleRat } a x + \text{scaleRat } a y$

and

scaleRat-left-distrib: $\text{scaleRat } (a + b) x = \text{scaleRat } a x + \text{scaleRat } b x$

and *scaleRat-scaleRat*: $\text{scaleRat } a (\text{scaleRat } b x) = \text{scaleRat } (a * b) x$

and *scaleRat-one*: $\text{scaleRat } 1 \ x = x$

interpretation *rational-vector*:

vector-space *scaleRat* :: $\text{rat} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a::\text{rational-vector}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

class *ordered-rational-vector* = *rational-vector* + *order*

class *linordered-rational-vector* = *ordered-rational-vector* + *linorder* +

assumes *plus-less*: $(a::'a) < b \implies a + c < b + c$ **and**

scaleRat-less1: $\llbracket (a::'a) < b; k > 0 \rrbracket \implies (k *R a) < (k *R b)$ **and**

scaleRat-less2: $\llbracket (a::'a) < b; k < 0 \rrbracket \implies (k *R a) > (k *R b)$

begin

lemma *scaleRat-leq1*: $\llbracket a \leq b; k > 0 \rrbracket \implies k *R a \leq k *R b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *scaleRat-leq2*: $\llbracket a \leq b; k < 0 \rrbracket \implies k *R a \geq k *R b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zero-scaleRat*

$[simp]$: $0 *R v = \text{zero}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *scaleRat-zero*

$[simp]$: $a *R (0::'a) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *scaleRat-uminus* $[simp]$:

$-1 *R x = - (x :: 'a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *minus-lt*: $(a::'a) < b \iff a - b < 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *minus-gt*: $(a::'a) < b \iff 0 < b - a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *minus-leq*:

$(a::'a) \leq b \iff a - b \leq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *minus-geq*: $(a::'a) \leq b \iff 0 \leq b - a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *divide-lt*:

$\llbracket c *R (a::'a) < b; (c::\text{rat}) > 0 \rrbracket \implies a < (1/c) *R b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *divide-gt*:

$\llbracket c *R (a::'a) > b; (c::rat) > 0 \rrbracket \implies a > (1/c) *R b$
<proof>

lemma *divide-leq*:

$\llbracket c *R (a::'a) \leq b; (c::rat) > 0 \rrbracket \implies a \leq (1/c) *R b$
<proof>

lemma *divide-geq*:

$\llbracket c *R (a::'a) \geq b; (c::rat) > 0 \rrbracket \implies a \geq (1/c) *R b$
<proof>

lemma *divide-lt1*:

$\llbracket c *R (a::'a) < b; (c::rat) < 0 \rrbracket \implies a > (1/c) *R b$
<proof>

lemma *divide-gt1*:

$\llbracket c *R (a::'a) > b; (c::rat) < 0 \rrbracket \implies a < (1/c) *R b$
<proof>

lemma *divide-leq1*:

$\llbracket c *R (a::'a) \leq b; (c::rat) < 0 \rrbracket \implies a \geq (1/c) *R b$
<proof>

lemma *divide-geq1*:

$\llbracket c *R (a::'a) \geq b; (c::rat) < 0 \rrbracket \implies a \leq (1/c) *R b$
<proof>

end

class *lrv* = *linordered-rational-vector* + *one* +
assumes *zero-neq-one*: $0 \neq 1$

subclass (**in** *linordered-rational-vector*) *ordered-ab-semigroup-add*
<proof>

instantiation *rat* :: *rational-vector*

begin

definition *scaleRat-rat* :: *rat* \Rightarrow *rat* \Rightarrow *rat* **where**

[simp]: $x *R y = x * y$

instance *<proof>*

end

instantiation *rat* :: *ordered-rational-vector*

begin

instance *<proof>*

end

instantiation *rat* :: *linordered-rational-vector*


```

begin
instance <proof>
end

instantiation rat :: lrv
begin
instance <proof>
end

lemma uminus-less-lrv[simp]: fixes a b :: 'a :: lrv
  shows  $- a < - b \longleftrightarrow b < a$ 
  <proof>

end

```

4 Linear Polynomials and Constraints

```

theory Abstract-Linear-Poly
  imports
    Simplex-Algebra
begin

type-synonym var = nat

  (Infinite) linear polynomials as functions from vars to coeffs

definition fun-zero :: var  $\Rightarrow$  'a::zero where
  [simp]: fun-zero ==  $\lambda v. 0$ 
definition fun-plus :: (var  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  (var  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  var  $\Rightarrow$  'a::plus where
  [simp]: fun-plus f1 f2 ==  $\lambda v. f1 v + f2 v$ 
definition fun-scale :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  (var  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  (var  $\Rightarrow$  'a::ring) where
  [simp]: fun-scale c f ==  $\lambda v. c*(f v)$ 
definition fun-coeff :: (var  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  var  $\Rightarrow$  'a where
  [simp]: fun-coeff f var = f var
definition fun-vars :: (var  $\Rightarrow$  'a::zero)  $\Rightarrow$  var set where
  [simp]: fun-vars f = {v. f v  $\neq$  0}
definition fun-vars-list :: (var  $\Rightarrow$  'a::zero)  $\Rightarrow$  var list where
  [simp]: fun-vars-list f = sorted-list-of-set {v. f v  $\neq$  0}
definition fun-var :: var  $\Rightarrow$  (var  $\Rightarrow$  'a::{zero,one}) where
  [simp]: fun-var x = ( $\lambda x'. \text{if } x' = x \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0$ )
type-synonym 'a valuation = var  $\Rightarrow$  'a
definition fun-valuate :: (var  $\Rightarrow$  rat)  $\Rightarrow$  'a valuation  $\Rightarrow$  ('a::rational-vector) where
  [simp]: fun-valuate lp val = ( $\sum_{x \in \{v. lp v \neq 0\}} lp x *R val x$ )

  Invariant – only finitely many variables

definition inv where
  [simp]: inv c == finite {v. c v  $\neq$  0}

lemma inv-fun-zero [simp]:
  inv fun-zero <proof>

```

lemma *inv-fun-plus* [*simp*]:
 $\llbracket \text{inv } (f1 :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{monoid-add}); \text{inv } f2 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{inv } (\text{fun-plus } f1 \ f2)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inv-fun-scale* [*simp*]:
 $\text{inv } (f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{ring}) \Longrightarrow \text{inv } (\text{fun-scale } r \ f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

linear-poly type – rat coeffs

typedef *linear-poly* = $\{c :: \text{var} \Rightarrow \text{rat}. \text{inv } c\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Linear polynomials are of the form $a_1 \cdot x_1 + \dots + a_n \cdot x_n$. Their formalization follows the data-refinement approach of Isabelle/HOL [2]. Abstract representation of polynomials are functions mapping variables to their coefficients, where only finitely many variables have non-zero coefficients. Operations on polynomials are defined as operations on functions. For example, the sum of p_1 and p_2 is defined by $\lambda v. p_1 \ v + p_2 \ v$ and the value of a polynomial p for a valuation v (denoted by $p \llbracket v \rrbracket$), is defined by $\sum x \mid p \ x \neq (0::'b). \ p \ x \ * \ v \ x$. Executable representation of polynomials uses RBT mappings instead of functions.

setup-lifting *type-definition-linear-poly*

Vector space operations on polynomials

instantiation *linear-poly* :: *rational-vector*
begin

lift-definition *zero-linear-poly* :: *linear-poly* **is** *fun-zero* $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lift-definition *plus-linear-poly* :: *linear-poly* \Rightarrow *linear-poly* \Rightarrow *linear-poly* **is** *fun-plus*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lift-definition *scaleRat-linear-poly* :: *rat* \Rightarrow *linear-poly* \Rightarrow *linear-poly* **is** *fun-scale*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *uminus-linear-poly* :: *linear-poly* \Rightarrow *linear-poly* **where**
uminus-linear-poly $lp = -1 \ *R \ lp$

definition *minus-linear-poly* :: *linear-poly* \Rightarrow *linear-poly* \Rightarrow *linear-poly* **where**
minus-linear-poly $lp1 \ lp2 = lp1 + (- \ lp2)$

instance
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

Coefficient

lift-definition *coeff* :: *linear-poly* \Rightarrow *var* \Rightarrow *rat* **is** *fun-coeff* \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *coeff-plus* [*simp*] : *coeff* (*lp1* + *lp2*) *var* = *coeff* *lp1* *var* + *coeff* *lp2* *var*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *coeff-scaleRat* [*simp*]: *coeff* (*k* **R* *lp1*) *var* = *k* * *coeff* *lp1* *var*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *coeff-uminus* [*simp*]: *coeff* (-*lp*) *var* = - *coeff* *lp* *var*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *coeff-minus* [*simp*]: *coeff* (*lp1* - *lp2*) *var* = *coeff* *lp1* *var* - *coeff* *lp2* *var*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

Set of variables

lift-definition *vars* :: *linear-poly* \Rightarrow *var set* **is** *fun-vars* \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *coeff-zero*: *coeff* *p* *x* \neq 0 \longleftrightarrow *x* \in *vars* *p*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *finite-vars*: *finite* (*vars* *p*)
 \langle *proof* \rangle

List of variables

lift-definition *vars-list* :: *linear-poly* \Rightarrow *var list* **is** *fun-vars-list* \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *set-vars-list*: *set* (*vars-list* *lp*) = *vars* *lp*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

Construct single variable polynomial

lift-definition *Var* :: *var* \Rightarrow *linear-poly* **is** *fun-var* \langle *proof* \rangle

Value of a polynomial in a given valuation

lift-definition *valuate* :: *linear-poly* \Rightarrow '*a valuation* \Rightarrow ('*a::rational-vector*) **is** *fun-valuate*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

syntax

-*valuate* :: *linear-poly* \Rightarrow '*a valuation* \Rightarrow '*a* (- $\{$ - $\}$)

translations

p $\{$ *v* $\}$ == *CONST* *valuate* *p* *v*

lemma *valuate-zero*: (0 $\{$ *v* $\}$) = 0
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma

valuate-diff: (*p* $\{$ *v1* $\}$) - (*p* $\{$ *v2* $\}$) = (*p* $\{$ λ *x*. *v1* *x* - *v2* *x* $\}$)
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *valuate-opposite-val*:

shows $p \llbracket \lambda x. - v x \rrbracket = - (p \llbracket v \rrbracket)$
<proof>

lemma *valuate-nonneg*:

fixes $v :: 'a::\text{linordered-rational-vector valuation}$

assumes $\forall x \in \text{vars } p. (\text{coeff } p x > 0 \longrightarrow v x \geq 0) \wedge (\text{coeff } p x < 0 \longrightarrow v x \leq 0)$

shows $p \llbracket v \rrbracket \geq 0$
<proof>

lemma *valuate-nonpos*:

fixes $v :: 'a::\text{linordered-rational-vector valuation}$

assumes $\forall x \in \text{vars } p. (\text{coeff } p x > 0 \longrightarrow v x \leq 0) \wedge (\text{coeff } p x < 0 \longrightarrow v x \geq 0)$

shows $p \llbracket v \rrbracket \leq 0$
<proof>

lemma *valuate-uminus*: $(-p) \llbracket v \rrbracket = - (p \llbracket v \rrbracket)$

<proof>

lemma *valuate-add-lemma*:

fixes $v :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b::\text{rational-vector}$

assumes $\text{finite } \{v. f1 v \neq 0\} \text{ finite } \{v. f2 v \neq 0\}$

shows

$(\sum x \in \{v. f1 v + f2 v \neq 0\}. (f1 x + f2 x) *R v x) =$

$(\sum x \in \{v. f1 v \neq 0\}. f1 x *R v x) + (\sum x \in \{v. f2 v \neq 0\}. f2 x *R v x)$

<proof>

lemma *valuate-add*: $(p1 + p2) \llbracket v \rrbracket = (p1 \llbracket v \rrbracket) + (p2 \llbracket v \rrbracket)$

<proof>

lemma *valuate-minus*: $(p1 - p2) \llbracket v \rrbracket = (p1 \llbracket v \rrbracket) - (p2 \llbracket v \rrbracket)$

<proof>

lemma *valuate-scaleRat*:

$(c *R lp) \llbracket v \rrbracket = c *R (lp \llbracket v \rrbracket)$

<proof>

lemma *valuate-Var*: $(\text{Var } x) \llbracket v \rrbracket = v x$

<proof>

lemma *valuate-sum*: $((\sum x \in A. f x) \llbracket v \rrbracket) = (\sum x \in A. ((f x) \llbracket v \rrbracket))$

<proof>

lemma *distinct-vars-list*:

distinct (vars-list p)

<proof>

lemma *zero-coeff-zero*: $p = 0 \longleftrightarrow (\forall v. \text{coeff } p \ v = 0)$
<proof>

lemma *all-val*:

assumes $\forall (v::\text{var} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{rv}). \exists v'. (\forall x \in \text{vars } p. v' \ x = v \ x) \wedge (p \ \{\!\{v'\}\!\} = 0)$
shows $p = 0$
<proof>

lift-definition *lp-monom* :: $\text{rat} \Rightarrow \text{var} \Rightarrow \text{linear-poly}$ **is**
 $\lambda c \ x \ y. \text{if } x = y \text{ then } c \text{ else } 0$ *<proof>*

lemma *valuate-lp-monom*: $((\text{lp-monom } c \ x) \ \{\!\{v\}\!\}) = c * (v \ x)$
<proof>

lemma *valuate-lp-monom-1* [*simp*]: $((\text{lp-monom } 1 \ x) \ \{\!\{v\}\!\}) = v \ x$
<proof>

lemma *coeff-lp-monom* [*simp*]:
shows $\text{coeff } (\text{lp-monom } c \ v) \ v' = (\text{if } v = v' \text{ then } c \text{ else } 0)$
<proof>

lemma *vars-uminus* [*simp*]: $\text{vars } (-p) = \text{vars } p$
<proof>

lemma *vars-plus* [*simp*]: $\text{vars } (p1 + p2) \subseteq \text{vars } p1 \cup \text{vars } p2$
<proof>

lemma *vars-minus* [*simp*]: $\text{vars } (p1 - p2) \subseteq \text{vars } p1 \cup \text{vars } p2$
<proof>

lemma *vars-lp-monom*: $\text{vars } (\text{lp-monom } r \ x) = (\text{if } r = 0 \text{ then } \{\!\}\ \text{else } \{x\})$
<proof>

lemma *vars-scaleRat1*: $\text{vars } (c *R p) \subseteq \text{vars } p$
<proof>

lemma *vars-scaleRat*: $c \neq 0 \implies \text{vars}(c *R p) = \text{vars } p$
<proof>

lemma *vars-Var* [*simp*]: $\text{vars } (\text{Var } x) = \{x\}$
<proof>

lemma *coeff-Var1* [*simp*]: $\text{coeff } (\text{Var } x) \ x = 1$
<proof>

lemma *coeff-Var2*: $x \neq y \implies \text{coeff } (\text{Var } x) \ y = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *valuate-depend*:

assumes $\forall x \in vars\ p. v\ x = v'\ x$

shows $(p\ \{\!\{v}\!\}) = (p\ \{\!\{v'\}\!\})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *valuate-update-x-lemma*:

fixes $v1\ v2 :: 'a::rational-vector\ valuation$

assumes

$\forall y. f\ y \neq 0 \longrightarrow y \neq x \longrightarrow v1\ y = v2\ y$

$finite\ \{v. f\ v \neq 0\}$

shows

$(\sum_{x \in \{v. f\ v \neq 0\}} f\ x *R\ v1\ x) + f\ x *R\ (v2\ x - v1\ x) = (\sum_{x \in \{v. f\ v \neq 0\}} f\ x *R\ v2\ x)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *valuate-update-x*:

fixes $v1\ v2 :: 'a::rational-vector\ valuation$

assumes $\forall y \in vars\ lp. y \neq x \longrightarrow v1\ y = v2\ y$

shows $lp\ \{\!\{v1}\!\} + coeff\ lp\ x *R\ (v2\ x - v1\ x) = (lp\ \{\!\{v2}\!\})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vars-zero*: $vars\ 0 = \{\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vars-empty-zero*: $vars\ lp = \{\} \longleftrightarrow lp = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition *max-var*:: $linear-poly \Rightarrow var$ **where**

$max-var\ lp \equiv if\ lp = 0\ then\ 0\ else\ Max\ (vars\ lp)$

lemma *max-var-max*:

assumes $a \in vars\ lp$

shows $max-var\ lp \geq a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *max-var-code*[code]:

$max-var\ lp = (let\ vl = vars-list\ lp$

$in\ if\ vl = []\ then\ 0\ else\ foldl\ max\ (hd\ vl)\ (tl\ vl))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition *monom-var*:: $linear-poly \Rightarrow var$ **where**

$monom-var\ l = max-var\ l$

definition *monom-coeff*:: $linear-poly \Rightarrow rat$ **where**

$monom-coeff\ l = coeff\ l\ (monom-var\ l)$

definition *is-monom* :: $linear-poly \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$is\text{-monom } l \longleftrightarrow length (vars\text{-list } l) = 1$

lemma *is-monom-vars-not-empty*:

$is\text{-monom } l \implies vars\ l \neq \{\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *monom-var-in-vars*:

$is\text{-monom } l \implies monom\text{-var } l \in vars\ l$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zero-is-no-monom[simp]*: $\neg is\text{-monom } 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-monom-monom-coeff-not-zero*:

$is\text{-monom } l \implies monom\text{-coeff } l \neq 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *list-two-elements*:

$\llbracket y \in set\ l; x \in set\ l; length\ l = Suc\ 0; y \neq x \rrbracket \implies False$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-monom-vars-monom-var*:

assumes $is\text{-monom } l$

shows $vars\ l = \{monom\text{-var } l\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *monom-valuate*:

assumes $is\text{-monom } m$

shows $m\{v\} = (monom\text{-coeff } m) *R\ v\ (monom\text{-var } m)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *coeff-zero-simp [simp]*:

$coeff\ 0\ v = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-eq-iff*: $p = q \longleftrightarrow (\forall v. coeff\ p\ v = coeff\ q\ v)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-eqI*:

assumes $\bigwedge v. coeff\ p\ v = coeff\ q\ v$

shows $p = q$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *coeff-sum-list*:

assumes *distinct xs*

shows $coeff\ (\sum x \leftarrow xs. f\ x *R\ lp\text{-monom } 1\ x)\ v = (if\ v \in set\ xs\ then\ f\ v\ else\ 0)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *linear-poly-sum*:

$p \{v\} = (\sum_{x \in \text{vars } p} \text{coeff } p \ x *R \ v \ x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *all-valuate-zero*: **assumes** $\bigwedge(v::'a::\text{lrval valuation}). p \{v\} = 0$
shows $p = 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *linear-poly-eqI*: **assumes** $\bigwedge(v::'a::\text{lrval valuation}). (p \{v\}) = (q \{v\})$
shows $p = q$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *monom-poly-assemble*:
assumes *is-monom* p
shows $\text{monom-coeff } p *R \ \text{lp-monom } 1 \ (\text{monom-var } p) = p$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *coeff-sum*: $\text{coeff } (\text{sum } (f :: - \Rightarrow \text{linear-poly}) \ \text{is}) \ x = \text{sum } (\lambda \ i. \ \text{coeff } (f \ i) \ x) \ \text{is}$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

theory *Linear-Poly-Maps*
imports *Abstract-Linear-Poly*
HOL-Library.Finite-Map
HOL-Library.Monad-Syntax
begin

definition *get-var-coeff* :: $(\text{var}, \text{rat}) \ \text{fmap} \Rightarrow \text{var} \Rightarrow \text{rat}$ **where**
 $\text{get-var-coeff } \text{lp } v == \text{case } \text{fmlookup } \text{lp } v \ \text{of } \text{None} \Rightarrow 0 \mid \text{Some } c \Rightarrow c$

definition *set-var-coeff* :: $\text{var} \Rightarrow \text{rat} \Rightarrow (\text{var}, \text{rat}) \ \text{fmap} \Rightarrow (\text{var}, \text{rat}) \ \text{fmap}$ **where**
 $\text{set-var-coeff } v \ c \ \text{lp} ==$
 $\text{if } c = 0 \ \text{then } \text{fmdrop } v \ \text{lp} \ \text{else } \text{fmupd } v \ c \ \text{lp}$

lift-definition *LinearPoly* :: $(\text{var}, \text{rat}) \ \text{fmap} \Rightarrow \text{linear-poly}$ **is** *get-var-coeff*
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *ordered-keys* :: $(\text{'a} :: \text{linorder}, \text{'b}) \ \text{fmap} \Rightarrow \text{'a list}$ **where**
 $\text{ordered-keys } m = \text{sorted-list-of-set } (\text{fset } (\text{fmdom } m))$

context includes *fmap.lifting lifting-syntax*
begin

lemma [*transfer-rule*]: $((\text{=} ==> \text{=}) ==> \text{pcr-linear-poly} ==> \text{=}) \ (\text{=})$
pcr-linear-poly

<proof>

lemma [*transfer-rule*]: (*pcr-fmap* (=) (=) ==> *pcr-linear-poly*) ($\lambda f x.$ *case f x of None* $\Rightarrow 0$ | *Some x* $\Rightarrow x$) *LinearPoly*
<proof>

lift-definition *linear-poly-map* :: *linear-poly* \Rightarrow (*var*, *rat*) *fmap* **is**
 $\lambda lp x.$ *if lp x = 0 then None else Some (lp x)* *<proof>*

lemma *certificate*[*code abstype*]:
LinearPoly (linear-poly-map lp) = lp
<proof>

Zero

definition *zero* :: (*var*, *rat*)*fmap* **where** *zero = fmempty*

lemma [*code abstract*]:
linear-poly-map 0 = zero *<proof>*

Addition

definition *add-monom* :: *rat* \Rightarrow *var* \Rightarrow (*var*, *rat*) *fmap* \Rightarrow (*var*, *rat*) *fmap* **where**
add-monom c v lp == set-var-coeff v (c + get-var-coeff lp v) lp

definition *add* :: (*var*, *rat*) *fmap* \Rightarrow (*var*, *rat*) *fmap* \Rightarrow (*var*, *rat*) *fmap* **where**
add lp1 lp2 = foldl ($\lambda lp v.$ *add-monom (get-var-coeff lp1 v) v lp*) *lp2 (ordered-keys lp1)*

lemma *lookup-add-monom*:
get-var-coeff lp v + c $\neq 0$ \implies
fmlookup (add-monom c v lp) v = Some (get-var-coeff lp v + c)
get-var-coeff lp v + c = 0 \implies
fmlookup (add-monom c v lp) v = None
x $\neq v$ \implies fmlookup (add-monom c v lp) x = fmlookup lp x
<proof>

lemma *fmlookup-fold-not-mem*: *x \notin set k1 \implies*
fmlookup (foldl ($\lambda lp v.$ *add-monom (get-var-coeff P1 v) v lp*) *P2 k1*) *x*
= fmlookup P2 x
<proof>

lemma [*code abstract*]:
linear-poly-map (p1 + p2) = add (linear-poly-map p1) (linear-poly-map p2)
<proof>

Scaling

definition *scale* :: *rat* \Rightarrow (*var*, *rat*) *fmap* \Rightarrow (*var*, *rat*) *fmap* **where**
scale r lp = (if r = 0 then fmempty else (fmmap ((r) lp))*

lemma [*code abstract*]:

$linear-poly-map (r *R p) = scale\ r\ (linear-poly-map\ p)$
<proof>

lemma *coeff-code* [*code*]:
 $coeff\ lp = get-var-coeff\ (linear-poly-map\ lp)$
<proof>

lemma *Var-code* [*code abstract*]:
 $linear-poly-map\ (Var\ x) = set-var-coeff\ x\ 1\ fmempty$
<proof>

lemma *vars-code* [*code*]: $vars\ lp = fset\ (fndom\ (linear-poly-map\ lp))$
<proof>

lemma *vars-list-code* [*code*]: $vars-list\ lp = ordered-keys\ (linear-poly-map\ lp)$
<proof>

lemma *valuate-code* [*code*]: $valuate\ lp\ val = (\$
 $let\ lpm = linear-poly-map\ lp$
 $in\ sum-list\ (map\ (\lambda\ x.\ (the\ (fmlookup\ lpm\ x)) *R\ (val\ x))\ (vars-list\ lp)))$
<proof>

end

lemma *lp-monom-code* [*code*]: $linear-poly-map\ (lp-monom\ c\ x) = (if\ c = 0\ then$
 $fmempty\ else\ fmupd\ x\ c\ fmempty)$
<proof>
 include *fmap.lifting*
<proof>

instantiation *linear-poly* :: *equal*
begin

definition *equal-linear-poly* $x\ y = (linear-poly-map\ x = linear-poly-map\ y)$

instance
<proof>
end

end

5 Rational Numbers Extended with Infinitesimal Element

```

theory QDelta
  imports
    Abstract-Linear-Poly
    Simplex-Algebra
begin

datatype QDelta = QDelta rat rat

primrec qdfst :: QDelta  $\Rightarrow$  rat where
  qdfst (QDelta a b) = a

primrec qdsnd :: QDelta  $\Rightarrow$  rat where
  qdsnd (QDelta a b) = b

lemma [simp]: QDelta (qdfst qd) (qdsnd qd) = qd
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma [simp]:  $\llbracket$ QDelta.qdsnd x = QDelta.qdsnd y; QDelta.qdfst y = QDelta.qdfst
x $\rrbracket \Longrightarrow$  x = y
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

instantiation QDelta :: rational-vector
begin

definition zero-QDelta :: QDelta
  where
    0 = QDelta 0 0

definition plus-QDelta :: QDelta  $\Rightarrow$  QDelta  $\Rightarrow$  QDelta
  where
    qd1 + qd2 = QDelta (qdfst qd1 + qdfst qd2) (qdsnd qd1 + qdsnd qd2)

definition minus-QDelta :: QDelta  $\Rightarrow$  QDelta  $\Rightarrow$  QDelta
  where
    qd1 - qd2 = QDelta (qdfst qd1 - qdfst qd2) (qdsnd qd1 - qdsnd qd2)

definition uminus-QDelta :: QDelta  $\Rightarrow$  QDelta
  where
    - qd = QDelta (- (qdfst qd)) (- (qdsnd qd))

definition scaleRat-QDelta :: rat  $\Rightarrow$  QDelta  $\Rightarrow$  QDelta
  where
    r *R qd = QDelta (r*(qdfst qd)) (r*(qdsnd qd))

instance
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

```

end

instantiation *QDelta* :: *linorder*

begin

definition *less-eq-QDelta* :: *QDelta* \Rightarrow *QDelta* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

$qd1 \leq qd2 \iff (qfst\ qd1 < qfst\ qd2) \vee (qfst\ qd1 = qfst\ qd2 \wedge qdsnd\ qd1 \leq qdsnd\ qd2)$

definition *less-QDelta* :: *QDelta* \Rightarrow *QDelta* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

$qd1 < qd2 \iff (qfst\ qd1 < qfst\ qd2) \vee (qfst\ qd1 = qfst\ qd2 \wedge qdsnd\ qd1 < qdsnd\ qd2)$

instance $\langle proof \rangle$

end

instantiation *QDelta*:: *linordered-rational-vector*

begin

instance $\langle proof \rangle$

end

instantiation *QDelta* :: *lrv*

begin

definition *one-QDelta* **where**

one-QDelta = *QDelta* 1 0

instance $\langle proof \rangle$

end

definition $\delta 0$:: *QDelta* \Rightarrow *QDelta* \Rightarrow *rat*

where

$\delta 0\ qd1\ qd2 ==$

let $c1 = qfst\ qd1$; $c2 = qfst\ qd2$; $k1 = qdsnd\ qd1$; $k2 = qdsnd\ qd2$ *in*

(if $(c1 < c2 \wedge k1 > k2)$ *then*

$(c2 - c1) / (k1 - k2)$

else

1

)

definition *val* :: *QDelta* \Rightarrow *rat* \Rightarrow *rat*

where *val* *qd* $\delta = (qfst\ qd) + \delta * (qdsnd\ qd)$

lemma *val-plus*:

val (*qd1* + *qd2*) $\delta = \text{val } qd1\ \delta + \text{val } qd2\ \delta$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val-scaleRat*:

val ($c *R\ qd$) $\delta = c * \text{val } qd\ \delta$

<proof>

lemma *qdfst-setsum*:

$finite\ A \implies qdfst\ (\sum\ x \in A.\ f\ x) = (\sum\ x \in A.\ qdfst\ (f\ x))$
<proof>

lemma *qdsnd-setsum*:

$finite\ A \implies qdsnd\ (\sum\ x \in A.\ f\ x) = (\sum\ x \in A.\ qdsnd\ (f\ x))$
<proof>

lemma *valuate-valuate-rat*:

$lp\ \{\!(\lambda v.\ (QDelta\ (vl\ v)\ 0))\!\} = QDelta\ (lp\ \{vl\})\ 0$
<proof>

lemma *valuate-rat-valuate*:

$lp\ \{\!(\lambda v.\ val\ (vl\ v)\ \delta)\!\} = val\ (lp\ \{vl\})\ \delta$
<proof>

lemma *delta0*:

assumes $qd1 \leq qd2$
shows $\forall\ \varepsilon.\ \varepsilon > 0 \wedge \varepsilon \leq (\delta0\ qd1\ qd2) \longrightarrow val\ qd1\ \varepsilon \leq val\ qd2\ \varepsilon$
<proof>

primrec

$\delta\text{-min} :: (QDelta \times QDelta)\ list \Rightarrow rat$ **where**
 $\delta\text{-min}\ [] = 1$ |
 $\delta\text{-min}\ (h \# t) = min\ (\delta\text{-min}\ t)\ (\delta0\ (fst\ h)\ (snd\ h))$

lemma *delta-gt-zero*:

$\delta\text{-min}\ l > 0$
<proof>

lemma *delta-le-one*:

$\delta\text{-min}\ l \leq 1$
<proof>

lemma *delta-min-append*:

$\delta\text{-min}\ (as\ @\ bs) = min\ (\delta\text{-min}\ as)\ (\delta\text{-min}\ bs)$
<proof>

lemma *delta-min-mono*: $set\ as \subseteq set\ bs \implies \delta\text{-min}\ bs \leq \delta\text{-min}\ as$

<proof>

lemma *delta-min*:

assumes $\forall\ qd1\ qd2.\ (qd1,\ qd2) \in set\ qd \longrightarrow qd1 \leq qd2$
shows $\forall\ \varepsilon.\ \varepsilon > 0 \wedge \varepsilon \leq \delta\text{-min}\ qd \longrightarrow (\forall\ qd1\ qd2.\ (qd1,\ qd2) \in set\ qd \longrightarrow val\ qd1\ \varepsilon \leq val\ qd2\ \varepsilon)$
<proof>

lemma *QDelta-0-0*: *QDelta 0 0 = 0* *<proof>*
lemma *qdsnd-0*: *qdsnd 0 = 0* *<proof>*
lemma *qdfst-0*: *qdfst 0 = 0* *<proof>*

end

6 The Simplex Algorithm

theory *Simplex*

imports

Linear-Poly-Maps
QDelta
Rel-Chain
Simplex-Algebra
HOL-Library.Multiset
HOL-Library.RBT-Mapping
HOL-Library.Code-Target-Numeral

begin

Linear constraints are of the form $p \bowtie c$, where p is a homogenous linear polynomial, c is a rational constant and $\bowtie \in \{<, >, \leq, \geq, =\}$. Their abstract syntax is given by the *constraint* type, and semantics is given by the relation \models_c , defined straightforwardly by primitive recursion over the *constraint* type. A set of constraints is satisfied, denoted by \models_{cs} , if all constraints are. There is also an indexed version \models_{ics} which takes an explicit set of indices and then only demands that these constraints are satisfied.

datatype *constraint* = *LT linear-poly rat*

| *GT linear-poly rat*
| *LEQ linear-poly rat*
| *GEQ linear-poly rat*
| *EQ linear-poly rat*

Indexed constraints are just pairs of indices and constraints. Indices will be used to identify constraints, e.g., to easily specify an unsatisfiable core by a list of indices.

type-synonym *'i i-constraint* = *'i × constraint*

abbreviation (*input*) *restrict-to* :: *'i set* \Rightarrow (*'i × 'a*) *set* \Rightarrow *'a set* **where**
restrict-to I xs \equiv *snd* ' (*xs* \cap (*I* \times *UNIV*))

The operation *restrict-to* is used to select constraints for a given index set.

abbreviation (*input*) *flat* :: (*'i × 'a*) *set* \Rightarrow *'a set* **where**
flat xs \equiv *snd* ' *xs*

The operation *flat* is used to drop indices from a set of indexed constraints.

abbreviation (input) *flat-list* :: ('i × 'a) list ⇒ 'a list **where**
flat-list xs ≡ map snd xs

primrec

satisfies-constraint :: 'a :: lrv valuation ⇒ constraint ⇒ bool (infixl |=_c 100)

where

$v \models_c (LT\ l\ r) \longleftrightarrow (l\{v\}) < r *R\ 1$
 $v \models_c (GT\ l\ r) \longleftrightarrow (l\{v\}) > r *R\ 1$
 $v \models_c (LEQ\ l\ r) \longleftrightarrow (l\{v\}) \leq r *R\ 1$
 $v \models_c (GEQ\ l\ r) \longleftrightarrow (l\{v\}) \geq r *R\ 1$
 $v \models_c (EQ\ l\ r) \longleftrightarrow (l\{v\}) = r *R\ 1$

abbreviation *satisfies-constraints* :: rat valuation ⇒ constraint set ⇒ bool (infixl |=_{cs} 100) **where**

$v \models_{cs}\ cs \equiv \forall\ c \in\ cs.\ v \models_c\ c$

lemma *unsat-mono*: **assumes** $\neg (\exists\ v.\ v \models_{cs}\ cs)$

and $cs \subseteq ds$

shows $\neg (\exists\ v.\ v \models_{cs}\ ds)$

<proof>

fun *i-satisfies-cs* (infixl |=_{ics} 100) **where**

$(I,v) \models_{ics}\ cs \longleftrightarrow v \models_{cs}\ restrict\ to\ I\ cs$

definition *distinct-indices* :: ('i × 'c) list ⇒ bool **where**

distinct-indices as = (distinct (map fst as))

lemma *distinct-indicesD*: *distinct-indices as* ⇒ $(i,x) \in\ set\ as \implies (i,y) \in\ set\ as \implies x = y$

<proof>

For the unsat-core predicate we only demand minimality in case that the indices are distinct. Otherwise, minimality does in general not hold. For instance, consider the input constraints $c_1 : x < 0$, $c_2 : x > 2$ and $c_2 : x < 1$ where the index c_2 occurs twice. If the simplex-method first encounters constraint c_1 , then it will detect that there is a conflict between c_1 and the first c_2 -constraint. Consequently, the index-set $\{c_1, c_2\}$ will be returned, but this set is not minimal since $\{c_2\}$ is already unsatisfiable.

definition *minimal-unsat-core* :: 'i set ⇒ 'i i-constraint list ⇒ bool **where**

minimal-unsat-core I ics = $((I \subseteq fst\ 'set\ ics) \wedge (\neg (\exists\ v.\ (I,v) \models_{ics}\ set\ ics)) \wedge (distinct\ indices\ ics \longrightarrow (\forall\ J.\ J \subset I \longrightarrow (\exists\ v.\ (J,v) \models_{ics}\ set\ ics))))$

6.1 Procedure Specification

abbreviation (input) *Unsat* **where** *Unsat* ≡ *Inl*

abbreviation (input) *Sat* **where** *Sat* ≡ *Inr*

The specification for the satisfiability check procedure is given by:

locale *Solve* =

— Decide if the given list of constraints is satisfiable. Return either an unsat core, or a satisfying valuation.

fixes *solve* :: 'i *i-constraint list* \Rightarrow 'i *list* + *rat valuation*

— If the status *Sat* is returned, then returned valuation satisfies all constraints.

assumes *simplex-sat*: *solve cs* = *Sat v* \Longrightarrow $v \models_{cs} \text{flat } (\text{set } cs)$

— If the status *Unsat* is returned, then constraints are unsatisfiable, i.e., an unsatisfiable core is returned.

assumes *simplex-unsat*: *solve cs* = *Unsat I* \Longrightarrow *minimal-unsat-core (set I) cs*

abbreviation (*input*) *look* **where** *look* \equiv *Mapping.lookup*

abbreviation (*input*) *upd* **where** *upd* \equiv *Mapping.update*

lemma *look-upd*: *look (upd k v m)* = (*look m*)($k \mapsto v$)

<proof>

lemmas *look-upd-simps[simp]* = *look-upd Mapping.lookup-empty*

definition *map2fun*:: (*var*, 'a :: *zero*) *mapping* \Rightarrow *var* \Rightarrow 'a **where**

map2fun v \equiv $\lambda x. \text{case } \text{look } v \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow 0 \mid \text{Some } y \Rightarrow y$

syntax

-map2fun :: (*var*, 'a) *mapping* \Rightarrow *var* \Rightarrow 'a (*<->*)

translations

$\langle v \rangle == \text{CONST } \text{map2fun } v$

lemma *map2fun-def'*:

$\langle v \rangle x \equiv \text{case } \text{Mapping.lookup } v \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow 0 \mid \text{Some } y \Rightarrow y$

<proof>

Note that the above specification requires returning a valuation (defined as a HOL function), which is not efficiently executable. In order to enable more efficient data structures for representing valuations, a refinement of this specification is needed and the function *solve* is replaced by the function *solve-exec* returning optional (*var*, *rat*) *mapping* instead of *var* \Rightarrow *rat* function. This way, efficient data structures for representing mappings can be easily plugged-in during code generation [2]. A conversion from the *mapping* datatype to HOL function is denoted by *<->* and given by: $\langle v \rangle x \equiv \text{case } \text{Mapping.lookup } v \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow 0 :: 'a \mid \text{Some } y \Rightarrow y$.

locale *SolveExec* =

fixes *solve-exec* :: 'i *i-constraint list* \Rightarrow 'i *list* + (*var*, *rat*) *mapping*

assumes *simplex-sat0*: *solve-exec cs* = *Sat v* \Longrightarrow $\langle v \rangle \models_{cs} \text{flat } (\text{set } cs)$

assumes *simplex-unsat0*: *solve-exec cs* = *Unsat I* \Longrightarrow *minimal-unsat-core (set I) cs*

begin

definition *solve* **where**

solve cs \equiv $\text{case } \text{solve-exec } cs \text{ of } \text{Sat } v \Rightarrow \text{Sat } \langle v \rangle \mid \text{Unsat } c \Rightarrow \text{Unsat } c$

end

sublocale *SolveExec* < *Solve solve*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

6.2 Handling Strict Inequalities

The first step of the procedure is removing all equalities and strict inequalities. Equalities can be easily rewritten to non-strict inequalities. Removing strict inequalities can be done by replacing the list of constraints by a new one, formulated over an extension \mathbf{Q}' of the space of rationals \mathbf{Q} . \mathbf{Q}' must have a structure of a linearly ordered vector space over \mathbf{Q} (represented by the type class *lrv*) and must guarantee that if some non-strict constraints are satisfied in \mathbf{Q}' , then there is a satisfying valuation for the original constraints in \mathbf{Q} . Our final implementation uses the \mathbf{Q}_δ space, defined in [1] (basic idea is to replace $p < c$ by $p \leq c - \delta$ and $p > c$ by $p \geq c + \delta$ for a symbolic parameter δ). So, all constraints are reduced to the form $p \bowtie b$, where p is a linear polynomial (still over \mathbf{Q}), b is constant from \mathbf{Q}' and $\bowtie \in \{\leq, \geq\}$. The non-strict constraints are represented by the type *'a ns-constraint*, and their semantics is denoted by \models_{ns} and \models_{nss} . The indexed variant is \models_{inss} .

datatype *'a ns-constraint* = *LEQ-ns linear-poly 'a* | *GEQ-ns linear-poly 'a*

type-synonym (*'i, 'a*) *i-ns-constraint* = *'i* × *'a ns-constraint*

primrec *satisfiable-ns-constraint* :: *'a::lrv valuation* \Rightarrow *'a ns-constraint* \Rightarrow *bool*
 (**infixl** \models_{ns} 100) **where**
 $v \models_{ns} \text{LEQ-ns } l \ r \longleftrightarrow l\{v\} \leq r$
 $| \ v \models_{ns} \text{GEQ-ns } l \ r \longleftrightarrow l\{v\} \geq r$

abbreviation *satisfies-ns-constraints* :: *'a::lrv valuation* \Rightarrow *'a ns-constraint set* \Rightarrow *bool*
 (**infixl** \models_{nss} 100) **where**
 $v \models_{nss} cs \equiv \forall c \in cs. v \models_{ns} c$

fun *i-satisfies-ns-constraints* :: *'i set* × *'a::lrv valuation* \Rightarrow (*'i, 'a*) *i-ns-constraint set* \Rightarrow *bool*
 (**infixl** \models_{inss} 100) **where**
 $(I, v) \models_{inss} cs \longleftrightarrow v \models_{nss} \text{restrict-to } I \ cs$

lemma *i-satisfies-ns-constraints-mono*:
 $(I, v) \models_{inss} cs \Longrightarrow J \subseteq I \Longrightarrow (J, v) \models_{inss} cs$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

primrec *poly* :: *'a ns-constraint* \Rightarrow *linear-poly* **where**
 $poly (\text{LEQ-ns } p \ a) = p$
 $| \ poly (\text{GEQ-ns } p \ a) = p$

primrec *ns-constraint-const* :: *'a ns-constraint* \Rightarrow *'a* **where**
 $ns\text{-constraint-const } (\text{LEQ-ns } p \ a) = a$
 $| \ ns\text{-constraint-const } (\text{GEQ-ns } p \ a) = a$

definition *distinct-indices-ns* :: ('i,'a :: lrv) *i-ns-constraint set* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
distinct-indices-ns ns = ((\forall n1 n2 i. (i,n1) \in ns \longrightarrow (i,n2) \in ns \longrightarrow
poly n1 = poly n2 \wedge ns-constraint-const n1 = ns-constraint-const n2))

definition *minimal-unsat-core-ns* :: 'i *set* \Rightarrow ('i,'a :: lrv) *i-ns-constraint set* \Rightarrow *bool*
where
minimal-unsat-core-ns I cs = (($I \subseteq$ fst ' cs) \wedge (\neg (\exists v. (I,v) \models_{inss} cs))
 \wedge (*distinct-indices-ns cs* \longrightarrow (\forall J \subset I. \exists v. (J,v) \models_{inss} cs)))

Specification of reduction of constraints to non-strict form is given by:

locale *To-ns* =

— Convert a constraint to an equisatisfiable non-strict constraint list. The conversion must work for arbitrary subsets of constraints – selected by some index set I – in order to carry over unsat-cores and in order to support incremental simplex solving.

fixes *to-ns* :: 'i *i-constraint list* \Rightarrow ('i,'a::lrv) *i-ns-constraint list*

— Convert the valuation that satisfies all non-strict constraints to the valuation that satisfies all initial constraints.

fixes *from-ns* :: (var, 'a) *mapping* \Rightarrow 'a *ns-constraint list* \Rightarrow (var, rat) *mapping*

assumes *to-ns-unsat*: *minimal-unsat-core-ns I (set (to-ns cs))* \Longrightarrow *minimal-unsat-core I cs*

assumes *i-to-ns-sat*: (I,⟨v \wedge ⟩) \models_{inss} set (to-ns cs) \Longrightarrow (I,⟨from-ns v' (flat-list (to-ns cs))⟩) \models_{ics} set cs

assumes *to-ns-indices*: fst ' set (to-ns cs) = fst ' set cs

assumes *distinct-cond*: *distinct-indices cs* \Longrightarrow *distinct-indices-ns (set (to-ns cs))*

begin

lemma *to-ns-sat*: ⟨v \wedge ⟩ \models_{nss} flat (set (to-ns cs)) \Longrightarrow ⟨from-ns v' (flat-list (to-ns cs))⟩ \models_{cs} flat (set cs)

⟨proof⟩

end

locale *Solve-exec-ns* =

fixes *solve-exec-ns* :: ('i,'a::lrv) *i-ns-constraint list* \Rightarrow 'i *list* + (var, 'a) *mapping*

assumes *simplex-ns-sat*: *solve-exec-ns cs* = Sat v \Longrightarrow ⟨v⟩ \models_{nss} flat (set cs)

assumes *simplex-ns-unsat*: *solve-exec-ns cs* = Unsat I \Longrightarrow *minimal-unsat-core-ns (set I) (set cs)*

After the transformation, the procedure is reduced to solving only the non-strict constraints, implemented in the *solve-exec-ns* function having an analogous specification to the *solve* function. If *to-ns*, *from-ns* and *solve-exec-ns* are available, the *solve-exec* function can be easily defined and it can be easily shown that this definition satisfies its specification (also analogous to *solve*).

locale *SolveExec'* = *To-ns to-ns from-ns* + *Solve-exec-ns solve-exec-ns* **for**

to-ns:: 'i *i-constraint list* \Rightarrow ('i,'a::lrv) *i-ns-constraint list* **and**

from-ns :: (var, 'a) *mapping* \Rightarrow 'a *ns-constraint list* \Rightarrow (var, rat) *mapping* **and**

solve-exec-ns :: ('i,'a) *i-ns-constraint list* \Rightarrow 'i *list* + (var, 'a) *mapping*

begin

definition *solve-exec* **where**

solve-exec $cs \equiv \text{let } cs' = \text{to-ns } cs \text{ in case } \text{solve-exec-ns } cs'$
of $\text{Sat } v \Rightarrow \text{Sat } (\text{from-ns } v \text{ (flat-list } cs'))$
| $\text{Unsat } is \Rightarrow \text{Unsat } is$

end

sublocale *SolveExec'* < *SolveExec* *solve-exec*
(*proof*)

6.3 Preprocessing

The next step in the procedure rewrites a list of non-strict constraints into an equisatisfiable form consisting of a list of linear equations (called the *tableau*) and of a list of *atoms* of the form $x_i \bowtie b_i$ where x_i is a variable and b_i is a constant (from the extension field). The transformation is straightforward and introduces auxiliary variables for linear polynomials occurring in the initial formula. For example, $[x_1 + x_2 \leq b_1, x_1 + x_2 \geq b_2, x_2 \geq b_3]$ can be transformed to the tableau $[x_3 = x_1 + x_2]$ and atoms $[x_3 \leq b_1, x_3 \geq b_2, x_2 \geq b_3]$.

type-synonym *eq* = *var* \times *linear-poly*

primrec *lhs* :: *eq* \Rightarrow *var* **where** *lhs* (*l*, *r*) = *l*

primrec *rhs* :: *eq* \Rightarrow *linear-poly* **where** *rhs* (*l*, *r*) = *r*

abbreviation *rvars-eq* :: *eq* \Rightarrow *var set* **where**

rvars-eq *eq* \equiv *vars* (*rhs* *eq*)

definition *satisfies-eq* :: '*a*::*rational-vector valuation* \Rightarrow *eq* \Rightarrow *bool* (**infixl** \models_e 100)

where

$v \models_e eq \equiv v (\text{lhs } eq) = (\text{rhs } eq) \{v\}$

lemma *satisfies-eq-iff*: $v \models_e (x, p) \equiv v x = p \{v\}$

(*proof*)

type-synonym *tableau* = *eq list*

definition *satisfies-tableau* :: '*a*::*rational-vector valuation* \Rightarrow *tableau* \Rightarrow *bool* (**infixl** \models_t 100) **where**

$v \models_t t \equiv \forall e \in \text{set } t. v \models_e e$

definition *lvars* :: *tableau* \Rightarrow *var set* **where**

$lvars\ t = set\ (map\ lhs\ t)$

definition $rvars :: tableau \Rightarrow var\ set$ **where**

$rvars\ t = \bigcup\ (set\ (map\ rvars\text{-}eq\ t))$

abbreviation $tvvars$ **where** $tvvars\ t \equiv lvars\ t \cup rvars\ t$

The condition that the rhss are non-zero is required to obtain minimal unsatisfiable cores. To observe the problem with 0 as rhs, consider the tableau $x = 0$ in combination with atom $(A : x \leq 0)$ where then $(B : x \geq 1)$ is asserted. In this case, the unsat core would be computed as $\{A, B\}$, although already $\{B\}$ is unsatisfiable.

definition $normalized\text{-}tableau :: tableau \Rightarrow bool\ (\Delta)$ **where**

$normalized\text{-}tableau\ t \equiv distinct\ (map\ lhs\ t) \wedge lvars\ t \cap rvars\ t = \{\} \wedge 0 \notin rhs\ set\ t$

Equations are of the form $x = p$, where x is a variable and p is a polynomial, and are represented by the type $eq = var \times linear\text{-}poly$. Semantics of equations is given by $v \models_e (x, p) \equiv v\ x = p \ \{ \ v \}$. Tableau is represented as a list of equations, by the type $tableau = eq\ list$. Semantics for a tableau is given by $v \models_t t \equiv \forall e \in set\ t. v \models_e e$. Functions $lvars$ and $rvars$ return sets of variables appearing on the left hand side (lhs) and the right hand side (rhs) of a tableau. Lhs variables are called *basic* while rhs variables are called *non-basic* variables. A tableau t is *normalized* (denoted by $\Delta\ t$) iff no variable occurs on the lhs of two equations in a tableau and if sets of lhs and rhs variables are distinct.

lemma $normalized\text{-}tableau\text{-}unique\text{-}eq\text{-}for\text{-}lvar$:

assumes $\Delta\ t$

shows $\forall x \in lvars\ t. \exists! p. (x, p) \in set\ t$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $recalc\text{-}tableau\text{-}lvars$:

assumes $\Delta\ t$

shows $\forall v. \exists v'. (\forall x \in rvars\ t. v\ x = v'\ x) \wedge v' \models_t t$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $tableau\text{-}perm$:

assumes $lvars\ t1 = lvars\ t2\ rvars\ t1 = rvars\ t2$

$\Delta\ t1\ \Delta\ t2 \wedge v :: 'a :: lrv\ valuation. v \models_t t1 \longleftrightarrow v \models_t t2$

shows $mset\ t1 = mset\ t2$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Elementary atoms are represented by the type $'a\ atom$ and semantics for atoms and sets of atoms is denoted by \models_a and \models_{as} and given by:

datatype $'a\ atom = Leq\ var\ 'a \quad | \quad Geq\ var\ 'a$

primrec $atom\text{-}var :: 'a\ atom \Rightarrow var$ **where**

$atom\text{-}var\ (Leq\ var\ a) = var$

$| atom\text{-}var\ (Geq\ var\ a) = var$

primrec *atom-const*::'a atom \Rightarrow 'a **where**

atom-const (*Leq* var a) = a
| *atom-const* (*Geq* var a) = a

primrec *satisfies-atom* :: 'a::linorder valuation \Rightarrow 'a atom \Rightarrow bool (**infixl** \models_a 100)
where

$v \models_a \text{Leq } x \ c \longleftrightarrow v \ x \leq \ c \quad | \quad v \models_a \text{Geq } x \ c \longleftrightarrow v \ x \geq \ c$

definition *satisfies-atom-set* :: 'a::linorder valuation \Rightarrow 'a atom set \Rightarrow bool (**infixl** \models_{as} 100) **where**

$v \models_{as} as \equiv \forall a \in as. v \models_a a$

definition *satisfies-atom'* :: 'a::linorder valuation \Rightarrow 'a atom \Rightarrow bool (**infixl** \models_{ae} 100) **where**

$v \models_{ae} a \longleftrightarrow v \ (\text{atom-var } a) = \text{atom-const } a$

lemma *satisfies-atom'-stronger*: $v \models_{ae} a \Longrightarrow v \models_a a$ *<proof>*

abbreviation *satisfies-atom-set'* :: 'a::linorder valuation \Rightarrow 'a atom set \Rightarrow bool (**infixl** \models_{aes} 100) **where**

$v \models_{aes} as \equiv \forall a \in as. v \models_{ae} a$

lemma *satisfies-atom-set'-stronger*: $v \models_{aes} as \Longrightarrow v \models_{as} as$
<proof>

There is also the indexed variant of an atom

type-synonym ('i,'a) *i-atom* = 'i \times 'a atom

fun *i-satisfies-atom-set* :: 'i set \times 'a::linorder valuation \Rightarrow ('i,'a) *i-atom* set \Rightarrow bool (**infixl** \models_{ias} 100) **where**

$(I,v) \models_{ias} as \longleftrightarrow v \models_{as} \text{restrict-to } I \ as$

fun *i-satisfies-atom-set'* :: 'i set \times 'a::linorder valuation \Rightarrow ('i,'a) *i-atom* set \Rightarrow bool (**infixl** \models_{iaes} 100) **where**

$(I,v) \models_{iaes} as \longleftrightarrow v \models_{aes} \text{restrict-to } I \ as$

lemma *i-satisfies-atom-set'-stronger*: $Iv \models_{iaes} as \Longrightarrow Iv \models_{ias} as$
<proof>

lemma *satisfies-atom-restrict-to-Cons*: $v \models_{as} \text{restrict-to } I \ (\text{set } as) \Longrightarrow (i \in I \Longrightarrow v \models_a a)$

$\Longrightarrow v \models_{as} \text{restrict-to } I \ (\text{set } ((i,a) \# as))$

<proof>

lemma *satisfies-tableau-Cons*: $v \models_t t \Longrightarrow v \models_e e \Longrightarrow v \models_t (e \# t)$
<proof>

definition *distinct-indices-atoms* :: ('i,'a) *i-atom* set \Rightarrow bool **where**

distinct-indices-atoms $as = (\forall i a b. (i,a) \in as \longrightarrow (i,b) \in as \longrightarrow \text{atom-var } a = \text{atom-var } b \wedge \text{atom-const } a = \text{atom-const } b)$

The specification of the preprocessing function is given by:

locale *Preprocess* = **fixes** *preprocess::('i,'a::lrv) i-ns-constraint list \Rightarrow tableau \times ('i,'a) i-atom list*

$\times ((\text{var}, 'a) \text{ mapping} \Rightarrow (\text{var}, 'a) \text{ mapping}) \times 'i \text{ list}$

assumes

— The returned tableau is always normalized.

preprocess-tableau-normalized: $\text{preprocess } cs = (t, as, \text{trans-}v, U) \Longrightarrow \Delta t$ **and**

— Tableau and atoms are equisatisfiable with starting non-strict constraints.

i-preprocess-sat: $\bigwedge v. \text{preprocess } cs = (t, as, \text{trans-}v, U) \Longrightarrow I \cap \text{set } U = \{\} \Longrightarrow (I, \langle v \rangle) \models_{ias} \text{set } as \Longrightarrow \langle v \rangle \models_t t \Longrightarrow (I, \langle \text{trans-}v \ v \rangle) \models_{inss} \text{set } cs$ **and**

preprocess-unsat: $\text{preprocess } cs = (t, as, \text{trans-}v, U) \Longrightarrow (I, v) \models_{inss} \text{set } cs \Longrightarrow \exists v'. (I, v') \models_{ias} \text{set } as \wedge v' \models_t t$ **and**

— distinct indices on ns-constraints ensures distinct indices in atoms

preprocess-distinct: $\text{preprocess } cs = (t, as, \text{trans-}v, U) \Longrightarrow \text{distinct-indices-ns } (\text{set } cs) \Longrightarrow \text{distinct-indices-atoms } (\text{set } as)$ **and**

— unsat indices

preprocess-unsat-indices: $\text{preprocess } cs = (t, as, \text{trans-}v, U) \Longrightarrow i \in \text{set } U \Longrightarrow \neg (\exists v. (\{i\}, v) \models_{inss} \text{set } cs)$ **and**

— preprocessing cannot introduce new indices

preprocess-index: $\text{preprocess } cs = (t, as, \text{trans-}v, U) \Longrightarrow \text{fst } ' \text{ set } as \cup \text{set } U \subseteq \text{fst } ' \text{ set } cs$

begin

lemma *preprocess-sat*: $\text{preprocess } cs = (t, as, \text{trans-}v, U) \Longrightarrow U = [] \Longrightarrow \langle v \rangle \models_{as} \text{flat } (\text{set } as) \Longrightarrow \langle v \rangle \models_t t \Longrightarrow \langle \text{trans-}v \ v \rangle \models_{nss} \text{flat } (\text{set } cs)$

<proof>

end

definition *minimal-unsat-core-tabl-atoms* :: $'i \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{tableau} \Rightarrow ('i, 'a::lrv) \text{ i-atom set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\text{minimal-unsat-core-tabl-atoms } I t as = (I \subseteq \text{fst } ' as \wedge (\neg (\exists v. v \models_t t \wedge (I, v) \models_{ias} as)) \wedge$

$(\text{distinct-indices-atoms } as \longrightarrow (\forall J \subset I. \exists v. v \models_t t \wedge (J, v) \models_{iaes} as)))$

lemma *minimal-unsat-core-tabl-atomsD*: **assumes** *minimal-unsat-core-tabl-atoms* $I t as$

shows $I \subseteq \text{fst } ' as$

$\neg (\exists v. v \models_t t \wedge (I, v) \models_{ias} as)$

$\text{distinct-indices-atoms } as \Longrightarrow J \subset I \Longrightarrow \exists v. v \models_t t \wedge (J, v) \models_{iaes} as$

<proof>

locale *AssertAll* =
fixes *assert-all* :: *tableau* \Rightarrow ('i,'a::lrv) *i-atom list* \Rightarrow 'i list + (var, 'a)mapping
assumes *assert-all-sat*: $\Delta t \Longrightarrow \text{assert-all } t \text{ as} = \text{Sat } v \Longrightarrow \langle v \rangle \models_t t \wedge \langle v \rangle \models_{as}$
flat (set as)
assumes *assert-all-unsat*: $\Delta t \Longrightarrow \text{assert-all } t \text{ as} = \text{Unsat } I \Longrightarrow \text{minimal-unsat-core-tabl-atoms}$
(set I) t (set as)

Once the preprocessing is done and tableau and atoms are obtained, their satisfiability is checked by the *assert-all* function. Its precondition is that the starting tableau is normalized, and its specification is analogue to the one for the *solve* function. If *preprocess* and *assert-all* are available, the *solve-exec-ns* can be defined, and it can easily be shown that this definition satisfies the specification.

locale *Solve-exec-ns'* = *Preprocess preprocess* + *AssertAll assert-all* **for**
preprocess:: ('i,'a::lrv) *i-ns-constraint list* \Rightarrow *tableau* \times ('i,'a) *i-atom list* \times ((var,'a)mapping
 \Rightarrow (var,'a)mapping) \times 'i list **and**
assert-all :: *tableau* \Rightarrow ('i,'a::lrv) *i-atom list* \Rightarrow 'i list + (var, 'a) mapping
begin
definition *solve-exec-ns* **where**

solve-exec-ns s \equiv
case *preprocess* s of (t,as,trans-v,ui) \Rightarrow
(case ui of i # - \Rightarrow Inl [i] | - \Rightarrow
(case *assert-all* t as of Inl I \Rightarrow Inl I | Inr v \Rightarrow Inr (trans-v v)))
end

context *Preprocess*
begin

lemma *preprocess-unsat-index*: **assumes** *prep*: *preprocess* cs = (t,as,trans-v,ui)
and i: i \in set ui
shows *minimal-unsat-core-ns* {i} (set cs)
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma *preprocess-minimal-unsat-core*: **assumes** *prep*: *preprocess* cs = (t,as,trans-v,ui)
and *unsat*: *minimal-unsat-core-tabl-atoms* I t (set as)
and *inter*: I \cap set ui = {}
shows *minimal-unsat-core-ns* I (set cs)
 \langle proof \rangle
end

sublocale *Solve-exec-ns'* < *Solve-exec-ns* *solve-exec-ns*
 \langle proof \rangle

6.4 Incrementally Asserting Atoms

The function *assert-all* can be implemented by iteratively asserting one by one atom from the given list of atoms.

type-synonym $'a \text{ bounds} = \text{var} \rightarrow 'a$

Asserted atoms will be stored in a form of *bounds* for a given variable. Bounds are of the form $l_i \leq x_i \leq u_i$, where l_i and u_i are either scalars or $\pm\infty$. Each time a new atom is asserted, a bound for the corresponding variable is updated (checking for conflict with the previous bounds). Since bounds for a variable can be either finite or $\pm\infty$, they are represented by (partial) maps from variables to values ($'a \text{ bounds} = \text{var} \rightarrow 'a$). Upper and lower bounds are represented separately. Infinite bounds map to *None* and this is reflected in the semantics:

$$c \geq_{ub} b \iff \text{case } b \text{ of } None \Rightarrow False \mid \text{Some } b' \Rightarrow c \geq b'$$

$$c \leq_{ub} b \iff \text{case } b \text{ of } None \Rightarrow True \mid \text{Some } b' \Rightarrow c \leq b'$$

Strict comparisons, and comparisons with lower bounds are performed similarly.

abbreviation (*input*) *le* **where**

$$le \text{ } lt \text{ } x \text{ } y \equiv lt \text{ } x \text{ } y \vee x = y$$

definition *geub* (\triangleright_{ub}) **where**

$$\triangleright_{ub} \text{ } lt \text{ } c \text{ } b \equiv \text{case } b \text{ of } None \Rightarrow False \mid \text{Some } b' \Rightarrow le \text{ } lt \text{ } b' \text{ } c$$

definition *gtub* (\triangleright_{ub}) **where**

$$\triangleright_{ub} \text{ } lt \text{ } c \text{ } b \equiv \text{case } b \text{ of } None \Rightarrow False \mid \text{Some } b' \Rightarrow lt \text{ } b' \text{ } c$$

definition *leub* (\triangleleft_{ub}) **where**

$$\triangleleft_{ub} \text{ } lt \text{ } c \text{ } b \equiv \text{case } b \text{ of } None \Rightarrow True \mid \text{Some } b' \Rightarrow le \text{ } lt \text{ } c \text{ } b'$$

definition *ltub* (\triangleleft_{ub}) **where**

$$\triangleleft_{ub} \text{ } lt \text{ } c \text{ } b \equiv \text{case } b \text{ of } None \Rightarrow True \mid \text{Some } b' \Rightarrow lt \text{ } c \text{ } b'$$

definition *lelb* (\triangleleft_{lb}) **where**

$$\triangleleft_{lb} \text{ } lt \text{ } c \text{ } b \equiv \text{case } b \text{ of } None \Rightarrow False \mid \text{Some } b' \Rightarrow le \text{ } lt \text{ } c \text{ } b'$$

definition *ltlb* (\triangleleft_{lb}) **where**

$$\triangleleft_{lb} \text{ } lt \text{ } c \text{ } b \equiv \text{case } b \text{ of } None \Rightarrow False \mid \text{Some } b' \Rightarrow lt \text{ } c \text{ } b'$$

definition *gelb* (\triangleright_{lb}) **where**

$$\triangleright_{lb} \text{ } lt \text{ } c \text{ } b \equiv \text{case } b \text{ of } None \Rightarrow True \mid \text{Some } b' \Rightarrow le \text{ } lt \text{ } b' \text{ } c$$

definition *gtlb* (\triangleright_{lb}) **where**

$$\triangleright_{lb} \text{ } lt \text{ } c \text{ } b \equiv \text{case } b \text{ of } None \Rightarrow True \mid \text{Some } b' \Rightarrow lt \text{ } b' \text{ } c$$

definition *ge-ubound* :: $'a::\text{linorder} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ option} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infixl** \geq_{ub} 100) **where**

$$c \geq_{ub} b = \triangleright_{ub} (<) c b$$

definition *gt-ubound* :: $'a::\text{linorder} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ option} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infixl** $>_{ub}$ 100) **where**

$$c >_{ub} b = \triangleright_{ub} (<) c b$$

definition *le-ubound* :: $'a::\text{linorder} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ option} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infixl** \leq_{ub} 100) **where**

$$c \leq_{ub} b = \triangleleft_{ub} (<) c b$$

definition *lt-ubound* :: $'a::\text{linorder} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ option} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infixl** $<_{ub}$ 100) **where**

$$c <_{ub} b = \triangleleft_{ub} (<) c b$$

definition *le-lbound* :: $'a::\text{linorder} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ option} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infixl** \leq_{lb} 100) **where**

$$c \leq_{lb} b = \triangleleft_{lb} (<) c b$$

definition *lt-lbound* :: $'a::\text{linorder} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ option} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infixl** $<_{lb}$ 100) **where**

$$c <_{lb} b = \triangleleft_{lb} (<) c b$$

definition *ge-lbound* :: $'a::\text{linorder} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ option} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infixl** \geq_{lb} 100) **where**

$$c \geq_{lb} b = \triangleright_{lb} (<) c b$$

definition $gt_lbound :: 'a::linorder \Rightarrow 'a\ option \Rightarrow bool$ (**infixl** $>_{lb}$ 100) **where**
 $c >_{lb} b = \triangleright_{lb} (<) c b$

lemmas $bound_compare'-defs =$
 $geub-def\ gtub-def\ leub-def\ ltub-def$
 $gelb-def\ gtlb-def\ lelb-def\ ltlb-def$

lemmas $bound_compare''-defs =$
 $ge-ubound-def\ gt-ubound-def\ le-ubound-def\ lt-ubound-def$
 $le-lbound-def\ lt-lbound-def\ ge-lbound-def\ gt-lbound-def$

lemmas $bound_compare-defs = bound_compare'-defs\ bound_compare''-defs$

lemma $opposite-dir$ [*simp*]:
 $\triangleleft_{lb} (>) a b = \triangleright_{ub} (<) a b$
 $\triangleleft_{ub} (>) a b = \triangleright_{lb} (<) a b$
 $\triangleright_{lb} (>) a b = \triangleleft_{ub} (<) a b$
 $\triangleright_{ub} (>) a b = \triangleleft_{lb} (<) a b$
 $\triangleleft_{lb} (>) a b = \triangleright_{ub} (<) a b$
 $\triangleleft_{ub} (>) a b = \triangleright_{lb} (<) a b$
 $\triangleright_{lb} (>) a b = \triangleleft_{ub} (<) a b$
 $\triangleright_{ub} (>) a b = \triangleleft_{lb} (<) a b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma [*simp*]: $\neg c \geq_{ub} None \neg c \leq_{lb} None$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $neg-bounds-compare$:
 $(\neg (c \geq_{ub} b)) \implies c <_{ub} b$ $(\neg (c \leq_{ub} b)) \implies c >_{ub} b$
 $(\neg (c >_{ub} b)) \implies c \leq_{ub} b$ $(\neg (c <_{ub} b)) \implies c \geq_{ub} b$
 $(\neg (c \leq_{lb} b)) \implies c >_{lb} b$ $(\neg (c \geq_{lb} b)) \implies c <_{lb} b$
 $(\neg (c <_{lb} b)) \implies c \geq_{lb} b$ $(\neg (c >_{lb} b)) \implies c \leq_{lb} b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $bounds-compare-contradictory$ [*simp*]:
 $\llbracket c \geq_{ub} b; c <_{ub} b \rrbracket \implies False$ $\llbracket c \leq_{ub} b; c >_{ub} b \rrbracket \implies False$
 $\llbracket c >_{ub} b; c \leq_{ub} b \rrbracket \implies False$ $\llbracket c <_{ub} b; c \geq_{ub} b \rrbracket \implies False$
 $\llbracket c \leq_{lb} b; c >_{lb} b \rrbracket \implies False$ $\llbracket c \geq_{lb} b; c <_{lb} b \rrbracket \implies False$
 $\llbracket c <_{lb} b; c \geq_{lb} b \rrbracket \implies False$ $\llbracket c >_{lb} b; c \leq_{lb} b \rrbracket \implies False$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $compare-strict-nonstrict$:
 $x <_{ub} b \implies x \leq_{ub} b$
 $x >_{ub} b \implies x \geq_{ub} b$

$x <_{lb} b \implies x \leq_{lb} b$
 $x >_{lb} b \implies x \geq_{lb} b$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma [simp]:

$\llbracket x \leq c; c <_{ub} b \rrbracket \implies x <_{ub} b$
 $\llbracket x < c; c \leq_{ub} b \rrbracket \implies x <_{ub} b$
 $\llbracket x \leq c; c \leq_{ub} b \rrbracket \implies x \leq_{ub} b$
 $\llbracket x \geq c; c >_{lb} b \rrbracket \implies x >_{lb} b$
 $\llbracket x > c; c \geq_{lb} b \rrbracket \implies x >_{lb} b$
 $\llbracket x \geq c; c \geq_{lb} b \rrbracket \implies x \geq_{lb} b$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma bounds-lg [simp]:

$\llbracket c >_{ub} b; x \leq_{ub} b \rrbracket \implies x < c$
 $\llbracket c \geq_{ub} b; x <_{ub} b \rrbracket \implies x < c$
 $\llbracket c \geq_{ub} b; x \leq_{ub} b \rrbracket \implies x \leq c$
 $\llbracket c <_{lb} b; x \geq_{lb} b \rrbracket \implies x > c$
 $\llbracket c \leq_{lb} b; x >_{lb} b \rrbracket \implies x > c$
 $\llbracket c \leq_{lb} b; x \geq_{lb} b \rrbracket \implies x \geq c$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma bounds-compare-Some [simp]:

$x \leq_{ub} \text{Some } c \longleftrightarrow x \leq c$ $x \geq_{ub} \text{Some } c \longleftrightarrow x \geq c$
 $x <_{ub} \text{Some } c \longleftrightarrow x < c$ $x >_{ub} \text{Some } c \longleftrightarrow x > c$
 $x \geq_{lb} \text{Some } c \longleftrightarrow x \geq c$ $x \leq_{lb} \text{Some } c \longleftrightarrow x \leq c$
 $x >_{lb} \text{Some } c \longleftrightarrow x > c$ $x <_{lb} \text{Some } c \longleftrightarrow x < c$
 ⟨proof⟩

fun in-bounds where

$in\text{-bounds } x \ v \ (lb, ub) = (v \ x \ \geq_{lb} \ lb \ x \ \wedge \ v \ x \ \leq_{ub} \ ub \ x)$

fun satisfies-bounds :: 'a::linorder valuation \Rightarrow 'a bounds \times 'a bounds \Rightarrow bool

(infixl \models_b 100) where

$v \models_b b \longleftrightarrow (\forall x. in\text{-bounds } x \ v \ b)$

declare satisfies-bounds.simps [simp del]

lemma satisfies-bounds-iff:

$v \models_b (lb, ub) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x. v \ x \ \geq_{lb} \ lb \ x \ \wedge \ v \ x \ \leq_{ub} \ ub \ x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma not-in-bounds:

$\neg (in\text{-bounds } x \ v \ (lb, ub)) = (v \ x \ <_{lb} \ lb \ x \ \vee \ v \ x \ >_{ub} \ ub \ x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

fun atoms-equiv-bounds :: 'a::linorder atom set \Rightarrow 'a bounds \times 'a bounds \Rightarrow bool

(infixl \doteq 100) where

$as \doteq (lb, ub) \longleftrightarrow (\forall v. v \models_{as} as \longleftrightarrow v \models_b (lb, ub))$

declare *atoms-equiv-bounds.simps* [*simp del*]

lemma *atoms-equiv-bounds-simps*:

$$as \doteq (lb, ub) \equiv \forall v. v \models_{as} as \longleftrightarrow v \models_b (lb, ub)$$

<proof>

A valuation satisfies bounds iff the value of each variable respects both its lower and upper bound, i.e., $v \models_b (lb, ub) = (\forall x. v x \geq_{lb} lb x \wedge v x \leq_{ub} ub x)$. Asserted atoms are precisely encoded by the current bounds in a state (denoted by \doteq) if every valuation satisfies them iff it satisfies the bounds, i.e., $as \doteq (lb, ub) \equiv \forall v. v \models_{as} as = v \models_b (lb, ub)$.

The procedure also keeps track of a valuation that is a candidate solution. Whenever a new atom is asserted, it is checked whether the valuation is still satisfying. If not, the procedure tries to fix that by changing it and changing the tableau if necessary (but so that it remains equivalent to the initial tableau).

Therefore, the state of the procedure stores the tableau (denoted by \mathcal{T}), lower and upper bounds (denoted by \mathcal{B}_l and \mathcal{B}_u , and ordered pair of lower and upper bounds denoted by \mathcal{B}), candidate solution (denoted by \mathcal{V}) and a flag (denoted by \mathcal{U}) indicating if unsatisfiability has been detected so far:

Since we also need to now about the indices of atoms, actually, the bounds are also indexed, and in addition to the flag for unsatisfiability, we also store an optional unsat core.

type-synonym *'i bound-index* = *var* \Rightarrow *'i*

type-synonym (*'i, 'a bounds-index* = (*var*, (*'i* \times *'a*))*mapping*

datatype (*'i, 'a state* = *State*

(\mathcal{T} : *tableau*)
(\mathcal{B}_{il} : (*'i, 'a bounds-index*)
(\mathcal{B}_{iu} : (*'i, 'a bounds-index*)
(\mathcal{V} : (*var*, *'a mapping*)
(\mathcal{U} : *bool*)
(\mathcal{U}_c : *'i list option*)

definition *indexl* :: (*'i, 'a state* \Rightarrow *'i bound-index* (\mathcal{I}_l) **where**
 $\mathcal{I}_l s = (\text{fst } o \text{ the}) o \text{ look } (\mathcal{B}_{il} s)$

definition *boundsl* :: (*'i, 'a state* \Rightarrow *'a bounds* (\mathcal{B}_l) **where**
 $\mathcal{B}_l s = \text{map-option snd } o \text{ look } (\mathcal{B}_{il} s)$

definition *indexu* :: (*'i, 'a state* \Rightarrow *'i bound-index* (\mathcal{I}_u) **where**
 $\mathcal{I}_u s = (\text{fst } o \text{ the}) o \text{ look } (\mathcal{B}_{iu} s)$

definition *boundsu* :: (*'i, 'a state* \Rightarrow *'a bounds* (\mathcal{B}_u) **where**
 $\mathcal{B}_u s = \text{map-option snd } o \text{ look } (\mathcal{B}_{iu} s)$

abbreviation *BoundsIndicesMap* (\mathcal{B}_i) **where** $\mathcal{B}_i s \equiv (\mathcal{B}_{il} s, \mathcal{B}_{iu} s)$
abbreviation *Bounds* $:: ('i, 'a) state \Rightarrow 'a bounds \times 'a bounds$ (\mathcal{B}) **where** $\mathcal{B} s \equiv (\mathcal{B}_l s, \mathcal{B}_u s)$
abbreviation *Indices* $:: ('i, 'a) state \Rightarrow 'i bound-index \times 'i bound-index$ (\mathcal{I}) **where** $\mathcal{I} s \equiv (\mathcal{I}_l s, \mathcal{I}_u s)$
abbreviation *BoundsIndices* $:: ('i, 'a) state \Rightarrow ('a bounds \times 'a bounds) \times ('i bound-index \times 'i bound-index)$ (\mathcal{BI})
where $\mathcal{BI} s \equiv (\mathcal{B} s, \mathcal{I} s)$

fun *satisfies-bounds-index* $:: 'i set \times 'a::lrv valuation \Rightarrow ('a bounds \times 'a bounds)$
 \times
 $('i bound-index \times 'i bound-index) \Rightarrow bool$ (**infixl** \models_{ib} 100) **where**
 $(I, v) \models_{ib} ((BL, BU), (IL, IU)) \longleftrightarrow$ (
 $(\forall x c. BL x = Some c \longrightarrow IL x \in I \longrightarrow v x \geq c)$
 $\wedge (\forall x c. BU x = Some c \longrightarrow IU x \in I \longrightarrow v x \leq c))$
declare *satisfies-bounds-index.simps*[*simp del*]

fun *satisfies-bounds-index'* $:: 'i set \times 'a::lrv valuation \Rightarrow ('a bounds \times 'a bounds)$
 \times
 $('i bound-index \times 'i bound-index) \Rightarrow bool$ (**infixl** \models_{ibe} 100) **where**
 $(I, v) \models_{ibe} ((BL, BU), (IL, IU)) \longleftrightarrow$ (
 $(\forall x c. BL x = Some c \longrightarrow IL x \in I \longrightarrow v x = c)$
 $\wedge (\forall x c. BU x = Some c \longrightarrow IU x \in I \longrightarrow v x = c))$
declare *satisfies-bounds-index'.simps*[*simp del*]

fun *atoms-imply-bounds-index* $:: ('i, 'a::lrv) i-atom set \Rightarrow ('a bounds \times 'a bounds)$
 $\times ('i bound-index \times 'i bound-index)$
 $\Rightarrow bool$ (**infixl** \models_i 100) **where**
 $as \models_i bi \longleftrightarrow (\forall I v. (I, v) \models_{ias} as \longrightarrow (I, v) \models_{ib} bi)$
declare *atoms-imply-bounds-index.simps*[*simp del*]

lemma *i-satisfies-atom-set-mono*: $as \subseteq as' \Longrightarrow v \models_{ias} as' \Longrightarrow v \models_{ias} as$
<proof>

lemma *atoms-imply-bounds-index-mono*: $as \subseteq as' \Longrightarrow as \models_i bi \Longrightarrow as' \models_i bi$
<proof>

definition *satisfies-state* $:: 'a::lrv valuation \Rightarrow ('i, 'a) state \Rightarrow bool$ (**infixl** \models_s 100)
where
 $v \models_s s \equiv v \models_b \mathcal{B} s \wedge v \models_t \mathcal{T} s$

definition *curr-val-satisfies-state* $:: ('i, 'a::lrv) state \Rightarrow bool$ (\models) **where**
 $\models s \equiv \langle \mathcal{V} s \rangle \models_s s$

fun *satisfies-state-index* $:: 'i set \times 'a::lrv valuation \Rightarrow ('i, 'a) state \Rightarrow bool$ (**infixl** \models_{is} 100) **where**
 $(I, v) \models_{is} s \longleftrightarrow (v \models_t \mathcal{T} s \wedge (I, v) \models_{ib} \mathcal{BI} s)$
declare *satisfies-state-index.simps*[*simp del*]

fun *satisfies-state-index'* :: 'i set × 'a::lrv valuation ⇒ ('i,'a) state ⇒ bool (**infixl** \models_{ise} 100) **where**
 $(I,v) \models_{ise} s \longleftrightarrow (v \models_t \mathcal{T} s \wedge (I,v) \models_{ibe} \mathcal{BI} s)$
declare *satisfies-state-index'*.*simps*[*simp del*]

definition *indices-state* :: ('i,'a)state ⇒ 'i set **where**
indices-state $s = \{ i. \exists x b. \text{look } (\mathcal{B}_{il} s) x = \text{Some } (i,b) \vee \text{look } (\mathcal{B}_{iu} s) x = \text{Some } (i,b) \}$

distinctness requires that for each index i , there is at most one variable x and bound b such that $x \leq b$ or $x \geq b$ or both are enforced.

definition *distinct-indices-state* :: ('i,'a)state ⇒ bool **where**
distinct-indices-state $s = (\forall i x b x' b'. ((\text{look } (\mathcal{B}_{il} s) x = \text{Some } (i,b) \vee \text{look } (\mathcal{B}_{iu} s) x = \text{Some } (i,b)) \longrightarrow (\text{look } (\mathcal{B}_{il} s) x' = \text{Some } (i,b') \vee \text{look } (\mathcal{B}_{iu} s) x' = \text{Some } (i,b')) \longrightarrow (x = x' \wedge b = b')))$

lemma *distinct-indices-stateD*: **assumes** *distinct-indices-state* s
shows $\text{look } (\mathcal{B}_{il} s) x = \text{Some } (i,b) \vee \text{look } (\mathcal{B}_{iu} s) x = \text{Some } (i,b) \implies \text{look } (\mathcal{B}_{il} s) x' = \text{Some } (i,b') \vee \text{look } (\mathcal{B}_{iu} s) x' = \text{Some } (i,b')$
 $\implies x = x' \wedge b = b'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *unsat-state-core* :: ('i,'a::lrv) state ⇒ bool **where**
unsat-state-core $s = (\text{set } (\text{the } (\mathcal{U}_c s)) \subseteq \text{indices-state } s \wedge (\neg (\exists v. (\text{set } (\text{the } (\mathcal{U}_c s)), v) \models_{is} s)))$

definition *subsets-sat-core* :: ('i,'a::lrv) state ⇒ bool **where**
subsets-sat-core $s = ((\forall I. I \subset \text{set } (\text{the } (\mathcal{U}_c s)) \longrightarrow (\exists v. (I,v) \models_{ise} s)))$

definition *minimal-unsat-state-core* :: ('i,'a::lrv) state ⇒ bool **where**
minimal-unsat-state-core $s = (\text{unsat-state-core } s \wedge (\text{distinct-indices-state } s \longrightarrow \text{subsets-sat-core } s))$

lemma *minimal-unsat-core-tabl-atoms-mono*: **assumes** $as \subseteq bs$
and *unsat*: *minimal-unsat-core-tabl-atoms* $I t as$
shows *minimal-unsat-core-tabl-atoms* $I t bs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *state-satisfies-index*: **assumes** $v \models_s s$
shows $(I,v) \models_{is} s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unsat-state-core-unsat*: *unsat-state-core* $s \implies \neg (\exists v. v \models_s s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *tableau-validated* (∇) **where**
 $\nabla s \equiv \forall x \in \text{tvars } (\mathcal{T} s). \text{Mapping.lookup } (\mathcal{V} s) x \neq \text{None}$

definition *index-valid where*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{index-valid as } (s :: ('i, 'a) \text{ state}) &= (\forall x b i. \\ &(\text{look } (\mathcal{B}_{il} s) x = \text{Some } (i, b) \longrightarrow ((i, \text{Geq } x b) \in as)) \\ &\wedge (\text{look } (\mathcal{B}_{iu} s) x = \text{Some } (i, b) \longrightarrow ((i, \text{Leq } x b) \in as))) \end{aligned}$$

lemma *index-valid-indices-state*: $\text{index-valid as } s \implies \text{indices-state } s \subseteq \text{fst } 'as$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *index-valid-mono*: $as \subseteq bs \implies \text{index-valid as } s \implies \text{index-valid } bs s$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *index-valid-distinct-indices*: **assumes** *index-valid as s*
and *distinct-indices-atoms as*
shows *distinct-indices-state s*
 ⟨proof⟩

To be a solution of the initial problem, a valuation should satisfy the initial tableau and list of atoms. Since tableau is changed only by equivalency preserving transformations and asserted atoms are encoded in the bounds, a valuation is a solution if it satisfies both the tableau and the bounds in the final state (when all atoms have been asserted). So, a valuation v satisfies a state s (denoted by \models_s) if it satisfies the tableau and the bounds, i.e., $v \models_s s \equiv v \models_b \mathcal{B} s \wedge v \models_t \mathcal{T} s$. Since \mathcal{V} should be a candidate solution, it should satisfy the state (unless the \mathcal{U} flag is raised). This is denoted by $\models s$ and defined by $\models s \equiv \langle \mathcal{V} s \rangle \models_s s$. ∇s will denote that all variables of $\mathcal{T} s$ are explicitly valuated in $\mathcal{V} s$.

definition *update $\mathcal{B}\mathcal{T}$ where*

$$[\text{simp}]: \text{update}\mathcal{B}\mathcal{T} \text{ field-update } i x c s = \text{field-update } (\text{upd } x (i, c)) s$$

fun \mathcal{B}_{iu} -*update where*

$$\mathcal{B}_{iu}\text{-update } up (State T BIL BIU V U UC) = State T BIL (up BIU) V U UC$$

fun \mathcal{B}_{il} -*update where*

$$\mathcal{B}_{il}\text{-update } up (State T BIL BIU V U UC) = State T (up BIL) BIU V U UC$$

fun \mathcal{V} -*update where*

$$\mathcal{V}\text{-update } V (State T BIL BIU V\text{-old } U UC) = State T BIL BIU V U UC$$

fun \mathcal{T} -*update where*

$$\mathcal{T}\text{-update } T (State T\text{-old } BIL BIU V U UC) = State T BIL BIU V U UC$$

lemma *update-simps*[simp]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{iu} (\mathcal{B}_{iu}\text{-update } up s) &= up (\mathcal{B}_{iu} s) \\ \mathcal{B}_{il} (\mathcal{B}_{iu}\text{-update } up s) &= \mathcal{B}_{il} s \\ \mathcal{T} (\mathcal{B}_{iu}\text{-update } up s) &= \mathcal{T} s \\ \mathcal{V} (\mathcal{B}_{iu}\text{-update } up s) &= \mathcal{V} s \\ \mathcal{U} (\mathcal{B}_{iu}\text{-update } up s) &= \mathcal{U} s \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{U}_c (\mathcal{B}_{iu}\text{-update } up \ s) = \mathcal{U}_c \ s$
 $\mathcal{B}_{il} (\mathcal{B}_{il}\text{-update } up \ s) = up \ (\mathcal{B}_{il} \ s)$
 $\mathcal{B}_{iu} (\mathcal{B}_{il}\text{-update } up \ s) = \mathcal{B}_{iu} \ s$
 $\mathcal{T} (\mathcal{B}_{il}\text{-update } up \ s) = \mathcal{T} \ s$
 $\mathcal{V} (\mathcal{B}_{il}\text{-update } up \ s) = \mathcal{V} \ s$
 $\mathcal{U} (\mathcal{B}_{il}\text{-update } up \ s) = \mathcal{U} \ s$
 $\mathcal{U}_c (\mathcal{B}_{il}\text{-update } up \ s) = \mathcal{U}_c \ s$
 $\mathcal{V} (\mathcal{V}\text{-update } V \ s) = V$
 $\mathcal{B}_{il} (\mathcal{V}\text{-update } V \ s) = \mathcal{B}_{il} \ s$
 $\mathcal{B}_{iu} (\mathcal{V}\text{-update } V \ s) = \mathcal{B}_{iu} \ s$
 $\mathcal{T} (\mathcal{V}\text{-update } V \ s) = \mathcal{T} \ s$
 $\mathcal{U} (\mathcal{V}\text{-update } V \ s) = \mathcal{U} \ s$
 $\mathcal{U}_c (\mathcal{V}\text{-update } V \ s) = \mathcal{U}_c \ s$
 $\mathcal{T} (\mathcal{T}\text{-update } T \ s) = T$
 $\mathcal{B}_{il} (\mathcal{T}\text{-update } T \ s) = \mathcal{B}_{il} \ s$
 $\mathcal{B}_{iu} (\mathcal{T}\text{-update } T \ s) = \mathcal{B}_{iu} \ s$
 $\mathcal{V} (\mathcal{T}\text{-update } T \ s) = \mathcal{V} \ s$
 $\mathcal{U} (\mathcal{T}\text{-update } T \ s) = \mathcal{U} \ s$
 $\mathcal{U}_c (\mathcal{T}\text{-update } T \ s) = \mathcal{U}_c \ s$
 ⟨proof⟩

declare

$\mathcal{B}_{iu}\text{-update.simps}[simp \ del]$
 $\mathcal{B}_{il}\text{-update.simps}[simp \ del]$

fun *set-unsat* :: 'i list ⇒ ('i,'a) state ⇒ ('i,'a) state **where**

set-unsat I (State T BIL BIU V U UC) = State T BIL BIU V True (Some (remdups I))

lemma *set-unsat-simps*[simp]: $\mathcal{B}_{il} (\text{set-unsat } I \ s) = \mathcal{B}_{il} \ s$

$\mathcal{B}_{iu} (\text{set-unsat } I \ s) = \mathcal{B}_{iu} \ s$
 $\mathcal{T} (\text{set-unsat } I \ s) = \mathcal{T} \ s$
 $\mathcal{V} (\text{set-unsat } I \ s) = \mathcal{V} \ s$
 $\mathcal{U} (\text{set-unsat } I \ s) = \text{True}$
 $\mathcal{U}_c (\text{set-unsat } I \ s) = \text{Some } (\text{remdups } I)$
 ⟨proof⟩

datatype ('i,'a) *Direction* = *Direction*

(lt: 'a::linorder ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool)
 (LBI: ('i,'a) state ⇒ ('i,'a) bounds-index)
 (UBI: ('i,'a) state ⇒ ('i,'a) bounds-index)
 (LB: ('i,'a) state ⇒ 'a bounds)
 (UB: ('i,'a) state ⇒ 'a bounds)
 (LI: ('i,'a) state ⇒ 'i bound-index)
 (UI: ('i,'a) state ⇒ 'i bound-index)
 (UBI-upd: (('i,'a) bounds-index ⇒ ('i,'a) bounds-index) ⇒ ('i,'a) state ⇒ ('i,'a) state)
 (LE: var ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a atom)
 (GE: var ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a atom)

(le-rat: rat \Rightarrow rat \Rightarrow bool)

definition *Positive where*

[simp]: *Positive* \equiv *Direction* ($<$) \mathcal{B}_{il} \mathcal{B}_{iu} \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{B}_u \mathcal{I}_l \mathcal{I}_u \mathcal{B}_{iu} -update *Leq* *Geq* (\leq)

definition *Negative where*

[simp]: *Negative* \equiv *Direction* ($>$) \mathcal{B}_{iu} \mathcal{B}_{il} \mathcal{B}_u \mathcal{B}_l \mathcal{I}_u \mathcal{I}_l \mathcal{B}_{il} -update *Geq* *Leq* (\geq)

Assuming that the \mathcal{U} flag and the current valuation \mathcal{V} in the final state determine the solution of a problem, the *assert-all* function can be reduced to the *assert-all-state* function that operates on the states:

assert-all t as \equiv *let* $s =$ *assert-all-state t as in*
if (\mathcal{U} s) *then* (*False*, *None*) *else* (*True*, *Some* (\mathcal{V} s))

Specification for the *assert-all-state* can be directly obtained from the specification of *assert-all*, and it describes the connection between the valuation in the final state and the initial tableau and atoms. However, we will make an additional refinement step and give stronger assumptions about the *assert-all-state* function that describes the connection between the initial tableau and atoms with the tableau and bounds in the final state.

locale *AssertAllState* = **fixes** *assert-all-state::tableau* \Rightarrow ($'i, 'a::lrv$) *i-atom list* \Rightarrow ($'i, 'a$) *state*

assumes

— The final and the initial tableau are equivalent.

assert-all-state-tableau-equiv: Δ $t \Longrightarrow$ *assert-all-state t as* = $s' \Longrightarrow$ ($v::'a$ *valuation*) $\models_t t \longleftrightarrow v \models_t \mathcal{T}$ s' **and**

— If \mathcal{U} is not raised, then the valuation in the final state satisfies its tableau and its bounds (that are, in this case, equivalent to the set of all asserted bounds).

assert-all-state-sat: Δ $t \Longrightarrow$ *assert-all-state t as* = $s' \Longrightarrow$ $\neg \mathcal{U}$ $s' \Longrightarrow$ $\models s'$ **and**

assert-all-state-sat-atoms-equiv-bounds: Δ $t \Longrightarrow$ *assert-all-state t as* = $s' \Longrightarrow$ $\neg \mathcal{U}$ $s' \Longrightarrow$ *flat* (*set as*) \doteq \mathcal{B} s' **and**

— If \mathcal{U} is raised, then there is no valuation satisfying the tableau and the bounds in the final state (that are, in this case, equivalent to a subset of asserted atoms).

assert-all-state-unsat: Δ $t \Longrightarrow$ *assert-all-state t as* = $s' \Longrightarrow$ \mathcal{U} $s' \Longrightarrow$ *minimal-unsat-state-core* s' **and**

assert-all-state-unsat-atoms-equiv-bounds: Δ $t \Longrightarrow$ *assert-all-state t as* = $s' \Longrightarrow$ \mathcal{U} $s' \Longrightarrow$ *set as* $\models_i \mathcal{BI}$ s' **and**

— The set of indices is taken from the constraints

assert-all-state-indices: Δ $t \Longrightarrow$ *assert-all-state t as* = $s \Longrightarrow$ *indices-state* $s \subseteq$ *fst* ' *set as* **and**

assert-all-index-valid: Δ $t \Longrightarrow$ *assert-all-state t as* = $s \Longrightarrow$ *index-valid* (*set as*) s **begin**

definition *assert-all where*

assert-all t as \equiv *let* $s = \text{assert-all-state } t$ *as in*
if $(\mathcal{U} \ s)$ *then* *Unsat* *(the* $(\mathcal{U}_c \ s)$ *) else* *Sat* $(\mathcal{V} \ s)$

end

The *assert-all-state* function can be implemented by first applying the *init* function that creates an initial state based on the starting tableau, and then by iteratively applying the *assert* function for each atom in the starting atoms list.

assert-loop as s \equiv *foldl* $(\lambda \ s' \ a. \text{if } (\mathcal{U} \ s') \text{ then } s' \text{ else } \text{assert } a \ s')$ s *as*
assert-all-state t as \equiv *assert-loop ats* $(\text{init } t)$

locale *Init'* =

fixes *init* :: *tableau* \Rightarrow $(i, a::\text{lrval})$ *state*

assumes *init'-tableau-normalized*: $\Delta \ t \Longrightarrow \Delta \ (\mathcal{T} \ (\text{init } t))$

assumes *init'-tableau-equiv*: $\Delta \ t \Longrightarrow (v::\text{'a valuation}) \models_t t = v \models_t \mathcal{T} \ (\text{init } t)$

assumes *init'-sat*: $\Delta \ t \Longrightarrow \neg \mathcal{U} \ (\text{init } t) \longrightarrow \models \ (\text{init } t)$

assumes *init'-unsat*: $\Delta \ t \Longrightarrow \mathcal{U} \ (\text{init } t) \longrightarrow \text{minimal-unsat-state-core} \ (\text{init } t)$

assumes *init'-atoms-equiv-bounds*: $\Delta \ t \Longrightarrow \{\} \doteq \mathcal{B} \ (\text{init } t)$

assumes *init'-atoms-imply-bounds-index*: $\Delta \ t \Longrightarrow \{\} \models_i \mathcal{BI} \ (\text{init } t)$

Specification for *init* can be obtained from the specification of *asser-all-state* since all its assumptions must also hold for *init* (when the list of atoms is empty). Also, since *init* is the first step in the *assert-all-state* implementation, the precondition for *init* the same as for the *assert-all-state*. However, unsatisfiability is never going to be detected during initialization and \mathcal{U} flag is never going to be raised. Also, the tableau in the initial state can just be initialized with the starting tableau. The condition $\{\} \doteq \mathcal{B} \ (\text{init } t)$ is equivalent to asking that initial bounds are empty. Therefore, specification for *init* can be refined to:

locale *Init* = **fixes** *init*::*tableau* \Rightarrow $(i, a::\text{lrval})$ *state*

assumes

— Tableau in the initial state for t is t : *init-tableau-id*: $\mathcal{T} \ (\text{init } t) = t$ **and**

— Since unsatisfiability is not detected, \mathcal{U} must not be set: *init-unsat-flag*: $\neg \mathcal{U} \ (\text{init } t)$ **and**

— The current valuation must satisfy the tableau: *init-satisfies-tableau*: $\langle \mathcal{V} \ (\text{init } t) \rangle \models_t t$ **and**

— In an initial state no atoms are yet asserted so the bounds must be empty:
init-bounds: $\mathcal{B}_{il} \ (\text{init } t) = \text{Mapping.empty}$ $\mathcal{B}_{iu} \ (\text{init } t) = \text{Mapping.empty}$ **and**

— All tableau vars are valued: *init-tableau-valuated*: $\nabla \ (\text{init } t)$

begin

lemma *init-satisfies-bounds*:
 $\langle \mathcal{V}(\text{init } t) \rangle \models_b \mathcal{B}(\text{init } t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *init-satisfies*:
 $\models(\text{init } t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *init-atoms-equiv-bounds*:
 $\{\} \doteq \mathcal{B}(\text{init } t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *init-atoms-imply-bounds-index*:
 $\{\} \models_i \mathcal{BI}(\text{init } t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *init-tableau-normalized*:
 $\Delta t \implies \Delta(\mathcal{T}(\text{init } t))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *init-index-valid: index-valid as (init t)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *init-indices: indices-state (init t) = {}*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
end

sublocale *Init < Init' init*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation *vars-list where*

$\text{vars-list } t \equiv \text{remdups}(\text{map lhs } t @ (\text{concat}(\text{map}(\text{Abstract-Linear-Poly.vars-list} \circ \text{rhs}) t)))$

lemma *tvars t = set (vars-list t)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The *assert* function asserts a single atom. Since the *init* function does not raise the \mathcal{U} flag, from the definition of *assert-loop*, it is clear that the flag is not raised when the *assert* function is called. Moreover, the assumptions about the *assert-all-state* imply that the loop invariant must be that if the \mathcal{U} flag is not raised, then the current valuation must satisfy the state (i.e., $\models s$). The *assert* function will be more easily implemented if it is always applied to a state with a normalized and valuated tableau, so we make this another loop invariant. Therefore, the precondition for the *assert a s*

function call is that $\neg \mathcal{U} s$, $\models s$, $\Delta (\mathcal{T} s)$ and ∇s hold. The specification for *assert* directly follows from the specification of *assert-all-state* (except that it is additionally required that bounds reflect asserted atoms also when unsatisfiability is detected, and that it is required that *assert* keeps the tableau normalized and valuated).

locale *Assert* = **fixes** *assert::('i,'a::lrv) i-atom \Rightarrow ('i,'a) state \Rightarrow ('i,'a) state*

assumes

— Tableau remains equivalent to the previous one and normalized and valuated.

assert-tableau: $\llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} s; \models s; \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{let } s' = \text{assert } a \text{ } s \text{ in}$
 $((v::'a \text{ valuation}) \models_t \mathcal{T} s \longleftrightarrow v \models_t \mathcal{T} s') \wedge \Delta (\mathcal{T} s') \wedge \nabla s' \text{ and}$

— If the \mathcal{U} flag is not raised, then the current valuation is updated so that it satisfies the current tableau and the current bounds.

assert-sat: $\llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} s; \models s; \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \neg \mathcal{U} (\text{assert } a \text{ } s) \Longrightarrow \models (\text{assert } a \text{ } s)$
and

— The set of asserted atoms remains equivalent to the bounds in the state.

assert-atoms-equiv-bounds: $\llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} s; \models s; \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{flat } \text{ats} \doteq \mathcal{B} s \Longrightarrow \text{flat}$
 $(\text{ats} \cup \{a\}) \doteq \mathcal{B} (\text{assert } a \text{ } s) \text{ and}$

— There is a subset of asserted atoms which remains index-equivalent to the bounds in the state.

assert-atoms-imply-bounds-index: $\llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} s; \models s; \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{ats} \models_i \mathcal{BI} s \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{insert } a \text{ } \text{ats} \models_i \mathcal{BI} (\text{assert } a \text{ } s) \text{ and}$

— If the \mathcal{U} flag is raised, then there is no valuation that satisfies both the current tableau and the current bounds.

assert-unsat: $\llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} s; \models s; \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s; \text{index-valid } \text{ats } s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathcal{U} (\text{assert } a \text{ } s) \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{minimal-unsat-state-core} (\text{assert } a \text{ } s) \text{ and}$

assert-index-valid: $\llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} s; \models s; \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{index-valid } \text{ats } s \Longrightarrow \text{index-valid}$
 $(\text{insert } a \text{ } \text{ats}) (\text{assert } a \text{ } s)$

begin

lemma *assert-tableau-equiv*: $\llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} s; \models s; \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (v::'a \text{ valuation}) \models_t$
 $\mathcal{T} s \longleftrightarrow v \models_t \mathcal{T} (\text{assert } a \text{ } s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *assert-tableau-normalized*: $\llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} s; \models s; \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Delta (\mathcal{T} (\text{assert}$
 $a \text{ } s))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *assert-tableau-valuated*: $\llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} s; \models s; \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \nabla (\text{assert } a \text{ } s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

locale *AssertAllState'* = *Init init* + *Assert assert* **for**
init :: *tableau* \Rightarrow (*'i,'a::lrv*) *state* **and** *assert* :: (*'i,'a*) *i-atom* \Rightarrow (*'i,'a*) *state* \Rightarrow
(*'i,'a*) *state*
begin

definition *assert-loop* **where**
assert-loop as s \equiv *foldl* (λ *s' a*. *if* (\mathcal{U} *s'*) *then s'* *else assert a s'*) *s as*

definition *assert-all-state* **where** [*simp*]:
assert-all-state t as \equiv *assert-loop as (init t)*

lemma *AssertAllState'-precond*:
 $\Delta t \Longrightarrow \Delta (\mathcal{T} (\text{assert-all-state } t \text{ as}))$
 $\wedge (\nabla (\text{assert-all-state } t \text{ as}))$
 $\wedge (\neg \mathcal{U} (\text{assert-all-state } t \text{ as}) \longrightarrow \models (\text{assert-all-state } t \text{ as}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *AssertAllState'Induct*:
assumes
 Δt
 $P \{ \} (\text{init } t)$
 $\bigwedge as \ bs \ t. \ as \subseteq \ bs \Longrightarrow P \ as \ t \Longrightarrow P \ bs \ t$
 $\bigwedge s \ a \ as. \llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} \ s; \models \ s; \Delta (\mathcal{T} \ s); \nabla \ s; P \ as \ s; \text{index-valid } as \ s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P (\text{insert } a \ as) (\text{assert } a \ s)$
shows $P (\text{set } as) (\text{assert-all-state } t \ as)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *AssertAllState'-index-valid*: $\Delta t \Longrightarrow \text{index-valid } (\text{set } as) (\text{assert-all-state } t \ as)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *AssertAllState'-sat-atoms-equiv-bounds*:
 $\Delta t \Longrightarrow \neg \mathcal{U} (\text{assert-all-state } t \ as) \Longrightarrow \text{flat } (\text{set } as) \doteq \mathcal{B} (\text{assert-all-state } t \ as)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *AssertAllState'-unsat-atoms-implies-bounds*:
assumes Δt
shows $\text{set } as \models_i \mathcal{BI} (\text{assert-all-state } t \ as)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

Under these assumptions, it can easily be shown (mainly by induction) that the previously shown implementation of *assert-all-state* satisfies its specification.

sublocale *AssertAllState' < AssertAllState* *assert-all-state*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

6.5 Asserting Single Atoms

The *assert* function is split in two phases. First, *assert-bound* updates the bounds and checks only for conflicts cheap to detect. Next, *check* performs the full simplex algorithm. The *assert* function can be implemented as *assert a s = check (assert-bound a s)*. Note that it is also possible to do the first phase for several asserted atoms, and only then to let the expensive second phase work.

Asserting an atom $x \bowtie b$ begins with the function *assert-bound*. If the atom is subsumed by the current bounds, then no changes are performed. Otherwise, bounds for x are changed to incorporate the atom. If the atom is inconsistent with the previous bounds for x , the \mathcal{U} flag is raised. If x is not a lhs variable in the current tableau and if the value for x in the current valuation violates the new bound b , the value for x can be updated and set to b , meanwhile updating the values for lhs variables of the tableau so that it remains satisfied. Otherwise, no changes to the current valuation are performed.

fun *satisfies-bounds-set* :: 'a::linorder valuation \Rightarrow 'a bounds \times 'a bounds \Rightarrow var set \Rightarrow bool **where**

satisfies-bounds-set v (lb , ub) $S \iff (\forall x \in S. \text{in-bounds } x \ v \ (lb, ub))$

declare *satisfies-bounds-set.simps* [*simp del*]

syntax

-satisfies-bounds-set :: (var \Rightarrow 'a::linorder) \Rightarrow 'a bounds \times 'a bounds \Rightarrow var set \Rightarrow bool (- \models_b - \parallel / -)

translations

$v \models_b b \parallel S == \text{CONST satisfies-bounds-set } v \ b \ S$

lemma *satisfies-bounds-set-iff*:

$v \models_b (lb, ub) \parallel S \equiv (\forall x \in S. v \ x \geq_{lb} lb \ x \wedge v \ x \leq_{ub} ub \ x)$

<proof>

definition *curr-val-satisfies-no-lhs* (\models_{nolhs}) **where**

$\models_{nolhs} s \equiv \langle \mathcal{V} \ s \rangle \models_t (\mathcal{T} \ s) \wedge (\langle \mathcal{V} \ s \rangle \models_b (\mathcal{B} \ s) \parallel (- \text{ lvars } (\mathcal{T} \ s)))$

lemma *satisfies-satisfies-no-lhs*:

$\models s \implies \models_{nolhs} s$

<proof>

definition *bounds-consistent* :: ('i, 'a::linorder) state \Rightarrow bool (\diamond) **where**

$\diamond s \equiv$

$\forall x. \text{if } \mathcal{B}_l \ s \ x = \text{None} \vee \mathcal{B}_u \ s \ x = \text{None} \text{ then True else the } (\mathcal{B}_l \ s \ x) \leq \text{the } (\mathcal{B}_u \ s \ x)$

So, the *assert-bound* function must ensure that the given atom is included in the bounds, that the tableau remains satisfied by the valuation and that all variables except the lhs variables in the tableau are within their bounds. To formalize this, we introduce the notation $v \models_b (lb, ub) \parallel S$, and define v

$\models_b (lb, ub) \parallel S \equiv \forall x \in S. v x \geq_{lb} lb x \wedge v x \leq_{ub} ub x$, and $\models_{nolhs} s \equiv \langle \mathcal{V} s \rangle$
 $\models_t \mathcal{T} s \wedge \langle \mathcal{V} s \rangle \models_b \mathcal{B} s \parallel - lvars (\mathcal{T} s)$. The *assert-bound* function raises the \mathcal{U} flag if and only if lower and upper bounds overlap. This is formalized as $\diamond s \equiv \forall x. \text{if } \mathcal{B}_l s x = \text{None} \vee \mathcal{B}_u s x = \text{None} \text{ then True else the } (\mathcal{B}_l s x) \leq \text{the } (\mathcal{B}_u s x)$.

lemma *satisfies-bounds-consistent*:

$(v::'a::linorder \text{ valuation}) \models_b \mathcal{B} s \longrightarrow \diamond s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *satisfies-consistent*:

$\models s \longrightarrow \diamond s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bounds-consistent-geq-lb*:

$\llbracket \diamond s; \mathcal{B}_u s x_i = \text{Some } c \rrbracket$
 $\implies c \geq_{lb} \mathcal{B}_l s x_i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bounds-consistent-leq-ub*:

$\llbracket \diamond s; \mathcal{B}_l s x_i = \text{Some } c \rrbracket$
 $\implies c \leq_{ub} \mathcal{B}_u s x_i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bounds-consistent-gt-ub*:

$\llbracket \diamond s; c <_{lb} \mathcal{B}_l s x \rrbracket \implies \neg c >_{ub} \mathcal{B}_u s x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bounds-consistent-lt-lb*:

$\llbracket \diamond s; c >_{ub} \mathcal{B}_u s x \rrbracket \implies \neg c <_{lb} \mathcal{B}_l s x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Since the *assert-bound* is the first step in the *assert* function implementation, the preconditions for *assert-bound* are the same as preconditions for the *assert* function. The specification for the *assert-bound* is:

locale *AssertBound* = **fixes** *assert-bound::('i,'a::lrv) i-atom* \Rightarrow $(i, 'a)$ *state* \Rightarrow $(i, 'a)$ *state*

assumes

— The tableau remains unchanged and valuated.

assert-bound-tableau: $\llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} s; \models s; \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s \rrbracket \implies \text{assert-bound } a s = s' \implies \mathcal{T} s' = \mathcal{T} s \wedge \nabla s'$ **and**

— If the \mathcal{U} flag is not set, all but the lhs variables in the tableau remain within their bounds, the new valuation satisfies the tableau, and bounds do not overlap.

assert-bound-sat: $\llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} s; \models s; \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s \rrbracket \implies \text{assert-bound } a s = s' \implies \neg \mathcal{U} s' \implies \models_{nolhs} s' \wedge \diamond s'$ **and**

— The set of asserted atoms remains equivalent to the bounds in the state.

assert-bound-atoms-equiv-bounds: $\llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} s; \models s; \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{flat } \text{ats} \doteq \mathcal{B} s \Longrightarrow \text{flat } (\text{ats} \cup \{a\}) \doteq \mathcal{B} (\text{assert-bound } a s) \text{ and}$

assert-bound-atoms-imply-bounds-index: $\llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} s; \models s; \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{ats} \models_i \mathcal{BI} s \Longrightarrow \text{insert } a \text{ ats} \models_i \mathcal{BI} (\text{assert-bound } a s) \text{ and}$

— \mathcal{U} flag is raised, only if the bounds became inconsistent:

assert-bound-unsat: $\llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} s; \models s; \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{index-valid as } s \Longrightarrow \text{as-}$
 $\text{sert-bound } a s = s' \Longrightarrow \mathcal{U} s' \Longrightarrow \text{minimal-unsat-state-core } s' \text{ and}$

assert-bound-index-valid: $\llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} s; \models s; \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{index-valid as } s \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{index-valid } (\text{insert } a \text{ as}) (\text{assert-bound } a s)$

begin

lemma *assert-bound-tableau-id*: $\llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} s; \models s; \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathcal{T} (\text{assert-bound}$
 $a s) = \mathcal{T} s$
<proof>

lemma *assert-bound-tableau-validated*: $\llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} s; \models s; \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \nabla (\text{assert-bound}$
 $a s)$
<proof>

end

locale *AssertBoundNoLhs* =

fixes *assert-bound* :: ('i,'a::lrv) *i-atom* \Rightarrow ('i,'a) *state* \Rightarrow ('i,'a) *state*
assumes *assert-bound-nolhs-tableau-id*: $\llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} s; \models_{\text{nolhs}} s; \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s; \diamond s \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow \mathcal{T} (\text{assert-bound } a s) = \mathcal{T} s$
assumes *assert-bound-nolhs-sat*: $\llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} s; \models_{\text{nolhs}} s; \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s; \diamond s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\neg \mathcal{U} (\text{assert-bound } a s) \Longrightarrow \models_{\text{nolhs}} (\text{assert-bound } a s) \wedge \diamond (\text{assert-bound } a s)$
assumes *assert-bound-nolhs-atoms-equiv-bounds*: $\llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} s; \models_{\text{nolhs}} s; \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla$
 $s; \diamond s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{flat } \text{ats} \doteq \mathcal{B} s \Longrightarrow \text{flat } (\text{ats} \cup \{a\}) \doteq \mathcal{B} (\text{assert-bound } a s)$
assumes *assert-bound-nolhs-atoms-imply-bounds-index*: $\llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} s; \models_{\text{nolhs}} s; \Delta (\mathcal{T}$
 $s); \nabla s; \diamond s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{ats} \models_i \mathcal{BI} s \Longrightarrow \text{insert } a \text{ ats} \models_i \mathcal{BI} (\text{assert-bound } a s)$
assumes *assert-bound-nolhs-unsat*: $\llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} s; \models_{\text{nolhs}} s; \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s; \diamond s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{index-valid as } s \Longrightarrow \mathcal{U} (\text{assert-bound } a s) \Longrightarrow \text{minimal-unsat-state-core } (\text{assert-bound}$
 $a s)$
assumes *assert-bound-nolhs-tableau-validated*: $\llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} s; \models_{\text{nolhs}} s; \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s;$
 $\diamond s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\nabla (\text{assert-bound } a s)$
assumes *assert-bound-nolhs-index-valid*: $\llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} s; \models_{\text{nolhs}} s; \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s; \diamond s \rrbracket$
 \Longrightarrow
 $\text{index-valid as } s \Longrightarrow \text{index-valid } (\text{insert } a \text{ as}) (\text{assert-bound } a s)$

sublocale *AssertBoundNoLhs* < *AssertBound*

<proof>

The second phase of *assert*, the *check* function, is the heart of the Simplex algorithm. It is always called after *assert-bound*, but in two different situations. In the first case *assert-bound* raised the \mathcal{U} flag and then *check* should retain the flag and should not perform any changes. In the second case *assert-bound* did not raise the \mathcal{U} flag, so $\models_{\text{no}hs} s, \diamond s, \Delta(\mathcal{T} s),$ and ∇s hold.

locale *Check* = **fixes** *check::('i,'a)::lrv) state* \Rightarrow *('i,'a) state*
assumes

— If *check* is called from an inconsistent state, the state is unchanged.

check-unsat-id: $\mathcal{U} s \Longrightarrow \text{check } s = s$ **and**

— The tableau remains equivalent to the previous one, normalized and valuated, the state stays consistent.

check-tableau: $\llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} s; \models_{\text{no}hs} s; \diamond s; \Delta(\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
let $s' = \text{check } s$ *in* $((v::'a \text{ valuation}) \models_t \mathcal{T} s \longleftrightarrow v \models_t \mathcal{T} s') \wedge \Delta(\mathcal{T} s') \wedge \nabla s'$
 $\wedge \models_{\text{no}hs} s' \wedge \diamond s'$ **and**

— The bounds remain unchanged.

check-bounds-id: $\llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} s; \models_{\text{no}hs} s; \diamond s; \Delta(\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_i(\text{check } s) = \mathcal{B}_i s$
and

— If \mathcal{U} flag is not raised, the current valuation \mathcal{V} satisfies both the tableau and the bounds and if it is raised, there is no valuation that satisfies them.

check-sat: $\llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} s; \models_{\text{no}hs} s; \diamond s; \Delta(\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \neg \mathcal{U}(\text{check } s) \Longrightarrow \models(\text{check } s)$ **and**

check-unsat: $\llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} s; \models_{\text{no}hs} s; \diamond s; \Delta(\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathcal{U}(\text{check } s) \Longrightarrow \text{minimal-unsat-state-core}(\text{check } s)$

begin

lemma *check-tableau-equiv*: $\llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} s; \models_{\text{no}hs} s; \diamond s; \Delta(\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $(v::'a \text{ valuation}) \models_t \mathcal{T} s \longleftrightarrow v \models_t \mathcal{T}(\text{check } s)$

<proof>

lemma *check-tableau-index-valid*: **assumes** $\neg \mathcal{U} s \models_{\text{no}hs} s \diamond s \Delta(\mathcal{T} s) \nabla s$
shows *index-valid as* $(\text{check } s) = \text{index-valid as } s$

<proof>

lemma *check-tableau-normalized*: $\llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} s; \models_{\text{no}hs} s; \diamond s; \Delta(\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Delta(\mathcal{T}(\text{check } s))$

<proof>

lemma *check-bounds-consistent*: **assumes** $\neg \mathcal{U} s \models_{\text{noLhs}} s \diamond s \Delta (\mathcal{T} s) \nabla s$
shows $\diamond (\text{check } s)$
<proof>

lemma *check-tableau-validated*: $\llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} s; \models_{\text{noLhs}} s; \diamond s; \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \nabla (\text{check } s)$
<proof>

lemma *check-indices-state*: **assumes** $\neg \mathcal{U} s \Longrightarrow \models_{\text{noLhs}} s \neg \mathcal{U} s \Longrightarrow \diamond s \neg \mathcal{U} s$
 $\Longrightarrow \Delta (\mathcal{T} s) \neg \mathcal{U} s \Longrightarrow \nabla s$
shows *indices-state (check s) = indices-state s*
<proof>

lemma *check-distinct-indices-state*: **assumes** $\neg \mathcal{U} s \Longrightarrow \models_{\text{noLhs}} s \neg \mathcal{U} s \Longrightarrow \diamond s$
 $\neg \mathcal{U} s \Longrightarrow \Delta (\mathcal{T} s) \neg \mathcal{U} s \Longrightarrow \nabla s$
shows *distinct-indices-state (check s) = distinct-indices-state s*
<proof>

end

locale *Assert'* = *AssertBound assert-bound* + *Check check* **for**
assert-bound :: $('i, 'a::\text{lrV}) \text{ i-atom} \Rightarrow ('i, 'a) \text{ state} \Rightarrow ('i, 'a) \text{ state}$ **and**
check :: $('i, 'a::\text{lrV}) \text{ state} \Rightarrow ('i, 'a) \text{ state}$

begin

definition *assert* :: $('i, 'a) \text{ i-atom} \Rightarrow ('i, 'a) \text{ state} \Rightarrow ('i, 'a) \text{ state}$ **where**
assert a s $\equiv \text{check } (\text{assert-bound } a s)$

lemma *Assert'Precond*:

assumes $\neg \mathcal{U} s \models s \Delta (\mathcal{T} s) \nabla s$

shows

$\Delta (\mathcal{T} (\text{assert-bound } a s))$

$\neg \mathcal{U} (\text{assert-bound } a s) \Longrightarrow \models_{\text{noLhs}} (\text{assert-bound } a s) \wedge \diamond (\text{assert-bound } a s)$

$\nabla (\text{assert-bound } a s)$

<proof>

end

sublocale *Assert' < Assert* *assert*

<proof>

Under these assumptions for *assert-bound* and *check*, it can be easily shown that the implementation of *assert* (previously given) satisfies its specification.

locale *AssertAllState''* = *Init init* + *AssertBoundNoLhs assert-bound* + *Check check* **for**

init :: $\text{tableau} \Rightarrow ('i, 'a::\text{lrV}) \text{ state}$ **and**

$assert-bound :: ('i, 'a :: lrv) i\text{-atom} \Rightarrow ('i, 'a) \text{ state} \Rightarrow ('i, 'a) \text{ state}$ **and**
 $check :: ('i, 'a :: lrv) \text{ state} \Rightarrow ('i, 'a) \text{ state}$

begin

definition *assert-bound-loop* **where**

$assert-bound-loop \text{ ats } s \equiv foldl (\lambda s' a. \text{ if } (\mathcal{U} s') \text{ then } s' \text{ else } assert-bound \ a \ s') \ s \ \text{ats}$

definition *assert-all-state* **where** [*simp*]:

$assert-all-state \ t \ \text{ats} \equiv check \ (assert-bound-loop \ \text{ats} \ (init \ t))$

However, for efficiency reasons, we want to allow implementations that delay the *check* function call and call it after several *assert-bound* calls. For example:

$assert-bound-loop \ \text{ats } s \equiv foldl (\lambda s' a. \text{ if } \mathcal{U} \ s' \ \text{then } s' \ \text{else } assert-bound \ a \ s') \ s \ \text{ats}$

$assert-all-state \ t \ \text{ats} \equiv check \ (assert-bound-loop \ \text{ats} \ (init \ t))$

Then, the loop consists only of *assert-bound* calls, so *assert-bound* post-condition must imply its precondition. This is not the case, since variables on the lhs may be out of their bounds. Therefore, we make a refinement and specify weaker preconditions (replace $\models s$, by $\models_{nolhs} s$ and $\diamond s$) for *assert-bound*, and show that these preconditions are still good enough to prove the correctness of this alternative *assert-all-state* definition.

lemma *AssertAllState''-precond'*:

assumes $\Delta (\mathcal{T} \ s) \nabla s \neg \mathcal{U} \ s \longrightarrow \models_{nolhs} \ s \wedge \diamond \ s$

shows $let \ s' = assert-bound-loop \ \text{ats} \ s \ \text{in}$

$\Delta (\mathcal{T} \ s') \wedge \nabla s' \wedge (\neg \mathcal{U} \ s' \longrightarrow \models_{nolhs} \ s' \wedge \diamond \ s')$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *AssertAllState''-precond*:

assumes $\Delta \ t$

shows $let \ s' = assert-bound-loop \ \text{ats} \ (init \ t) \ \text{in}$

$\Delta (\mathcal{T} \ s') \wedge \nabla s' \wedge (\neg \mathcal{U} \ s' \longrightarrow \models_{nolhs} \ s' \wedge \diamond \ s')$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *AssertAllState''Induct*:

assumes

$\Delta \ t$

$P \ \{\} \ (init \ t)$

$\bigwedge as \ bs \ t. \ as \subseteq bs \implies P \ as \ t \implies P \ bs \ t$

$\bigwedge s \ a \ \text{ats}. \ [\neg \mathcal{U} \ s; \ \langle \mathcal{V} \ s \rangle \models_t \ \mathcal{T} \ s; \ \models_{nolhs} \ s; \ \Delta (\mathcal{T} \ s); \ \nabla s; \ \diamond s; \ P \ (\text{set} \ \text{ats}) \ s;$

index-valid $(\text{set} \ \text{ats}) \ s]$

$\implies P \ (\text{insert} \ a \ (\text{set} \ \text{ats})) \ (assert-bound \ a \ s)$

shows $P \ (\text{set} \ \text{ats}) \ (assert-bound-loop \ \text{ats} \ (init \ t))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *AssertAllState''-tableau-id*:

$\Delta \ t \implies \mathcal{T} \ (assert-bound-loop \ \text{ats} \ (init \ t)) = \mathcal{T} \ (init \ t)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *AssertAllState''-sat:*

$\Delta t \implies$
 $\neg \mathcal{U} (\text{assert-bound-loop ats } (\text{init } t)) \longrightarrow \models_{\text{no lhs}} (\text{assert-bound-loop ats } (\text{init } t))$
 $\wedge \Diamond (\text{assert-bound-loop ats } (\text{init } t))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *AssertAllState''-unsat:*

$\Delta t \implies \mathcal{U} (\text{assert-bound-loop ats } (\text{init } t)) \longrightarrow \text{minimal-unsat-state-core } (\text{assert-bound-loop ats } (\text{init } t))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *AssertAllState''-sat-atoms-equiv-bounds:*

$\Delta t \implies \neg \mathcal{U} (\text{assert-bound-loop ats } (\text{init } t)) \longrightarrow \text{flat } (\text{set ats}) \doteq \mathcal{B} (\text{assert-bound-loop ats } (\text{init } t))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *AssertAllState''-atoms-imply-bounds-index:*

assumes Δt
shows $\text{set ats} \models_i \mathcal{BI} (\text{assert-bound-loop ats } (\text{init } t))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *AssertAllState''-index-valid:*

$\Delta t \implies \text{index-valid } (\text{set ats}) (\text{assert-bound-loop ats } (\text{init } t))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

sublocale *AssertAllState'' < AssertAllState assert-all-state*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

6.6 Update and Pivot

Both *assert-bound* and *check* need to update the valuation so that the tableau remains satisfied. If the value for a variable not on the lhs of the tableau is changed, this can be done rather easily (once the value of that variable is changed, one should recalculate and change the values for all lhs variables of the tableau). The *update* function does this, and it is specified by:

locale *Update* = **fixes** $\text{update}::\text{var} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{lrval} \Rightarrow ('i, 'a) \text{ state} \Rightarrow ('i, 'a) \text{ state}$

assumes

— Tableau, bounds, and the unsatisfiability flag are preserved.

update-id: $\llbracket \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s; x \notin \text{lvars } (\mathcal{T} s) \rrbracket \implies$

$\text{let } s' = \text{update } x \text{ c } s \text{ in } \mathcal{T} s' = \mathcal{T} s \wedge \mathcal{B}_i s' = \mathcal{B}_i s \wedge \mathcal{U} s' = \mathcal{U} s \wedge \mathcal{U}_c s' = \mathcal{U}_c s$

s and

— Tableau remains valuated.

update-tableau-validated: $\llbracket \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s; x \notin \text{lvars} (\mathcal{T} s) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \nabla (\text{update } x v s)$
and

— The given variable x in the updated valuation is set to the given value v while all other variables (except those on the lhs of the tableau) are unchanged.

update-valuation-nonlhs: $\llbracket \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s; x \notin \text{lvars} (\mathcal{T} s) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x' \notin \text{lvars} (\mathcal{T} s) \longrightarrow$
 $\text{look } (\mathcal{V} (\text{update } x v s)) x' = (\text{if } x = x' \text{ then } \text{Some } v \text{ else } \text{look } (\mathcal{V} s) x') \text{ and}$

— Updated valuation continues to satisfy the tableau.

update-satisfies-tableau: $\llbracket \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s; x \notin \text{lvars} (\mathcal{T} s) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \langle \mathcal{V} s \rangle \models_t \mathcal{T} s \longrightarrow$
 $\langle \mathcal{V} (\text{update } x c s) \rangle \models_t \mathcal{T} s$

begin

lemma *update-bounds-id*:

assumes $\Delta (\mathcal{T} s) \nabla s x \notin \text{lvars} (\mathcal{T} s)$

shows $\mathcal{B}_i (\text{update } x c s) = \mathcal{B}_i s$

$\mathcal{B}\mathcal{I} (\text{update } x c s) = \mathcal{B}\mathcal{I} s$

$\mathcal{B}_l (\text{update } x c s) = \mathcal{B}_l s$

$\mathcal{B}_u (\text{update } x c s) = \mathcal{B}_u s$

<proof>

lemma *update-indices-state-id*:

assumes $\Delta (\mathcal{T} s) \nabla s x \notin \text{lvars} (\mathcal{T} s)$

shows $\text{indices-state } (\text{update } x c s) = \text{indices-state } s$

<proof>

lemma *update-tableau-id*: $\llbracket \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s; x \notin \text{lvars} (\mathcal{T} s) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathcal{T} (\text{update } x c s) =$
 $\mathcal{T} s$

<proof>

lemma *update-unsat-id*: $\llbracket \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s; x \notin \text{lvars} (\mathcal{T} s) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathcal{U} (\text{update } x c s) =$
 $\mathcal{U} s$

<proof>

lemma *update-unsat-core-id*: $\llbracket \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s; x \notin \text{lvars} (\mathcal{T} s) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_c (\text{update } x c$
 $s) = \mathcal{U}_c s$

<proof>

definition *assert-bound' where*

[simp]: $\text{assert-bound}' \text{ dir } i x c s \equiv$

(if $(\geq_{ub} (lt \text{ dir})) c (UB \text{ dir } s x)$ *then* s

else let $s' = \text{update}\mathcal{B}\mathcal{I} (UB\text{-upd } \text{ dir}) i x c s$ *in*

if $(\leq_{lb} (lt \text{ dir})) c ((LB \text{ dir}) s x)$ *then*

set-unsat $[i, ((LI \text{ dir}) s x)] s'$

else if $x \notin \text{lvars} (\mathcal{T} s') \wedge (lt \text{ dir}) c (\langle \mathcal{V} s \rangle x)$ *then*

update $x c s'$

else

s')

fun *assert-bound* :: ('i,'a::lrv) *i-atom* \Rightarrow ('i,'a) *state* \Rightarrow ('i,'a) *state* **where**
assert-bound (i,Leq x c) s = *assert-bound'* Positive i x c s
| *assert-bound* (i,Geq x c) s = *assert-bound'* Negative i x c s

lemma *assert-bound'-cases*:

assumes $\llbracket \supset_{ub} (lt\ dir) c ((UB\ dir) s\ x) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P\ s$
assumes $\llbracket \neg (\supset_{ub} (lt\ dir) c ((UB\ dir) s\ x)); \triangleleft_{lb} (lt\ dir) c ((LB\ dir) s\ x) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $P (set-unsat [i, ((LI\ dir) s\ x)] (update\ \mathcal{BI} (UBI-upd\ dir) i\ x\ c\ s))$
assumes $\llbracket x \notin lvars (\mathcal{T}\ s); (lt\ dir) c (\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle s\ x); \neg (\supset_{ub} (lt\ dir) c ((UB\ dir) s\ x));$
 $\neg (\triangleleft_{lb} (lt\ dir) c ((LB\ dir) s\ x)) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $P (update\ x\ c (update\ \mathcal{BI} (UBI-upd\ dir) i\ x\ c\ s))$
assumes $\llbracket \neg (\supset_{ub} (lt\ dir) c ((UB\ dir) s\ x)); \neg (\triangleleft_{lb} (lt\ dir) c ((LB\ dir) s\ x)); x$
 $\in lvars (\mathcal{T}\ s) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $P (update\ \mathcal{BI} (UBI-upd\ dir) i\ x\ c\ s)$
assumes $\llbracket \neg (\supset_{ub} (lt\ dir) c ((UB\ dir) s\ x)); \neg (\triangleleft_{lb} (lt\ dir) c ((LB\ dir) s\ x)); \neg$
 $((lt\ dir) c (\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle s\ x)) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $P (update\ \mathcal{BI} (UBI-upd\ dir) i\ x\ c\ s)$
assumes *dir* = Positive \vee *dir* = Negative
shows $P (assert-bound'\ dir\ i\ x\ c\ s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *assert-bound-cases*:

assumes $\bigwedge c\ x\ dir.$
 $\llbracket dir = Positive \vee dir = Negative;$
 $a = LE\ dir\ x\ c;$
 $\supset_{ub} (lt\ dir) c ((UB\ dir) s\ x)$
 $\rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $P' (lt\ dir) (UBI\ dir) (LBI\ dir) (UB\ dir) (LB\ dir) (UBI-upd\ dir) (UI\ dir)$
 $(LI\ dir) (LE\ dir) (GE\ dir) s$
assumes $\bigwedge c\ x\ dir.$
 $\llbracket dir = Positive \vee dir = Negative;$
 $a = LE\ dir\ x\ c;$
 $\neg \supset_{ub} (lt\ dir) c ((UB\ dir) s\ x); \triangleleft_{lb} (lt\ dir) c ((LB\ dir) s\ x)$
 $\rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $P' (lt\ dir) (UBI\ dir) (LBI\ dir) (UB\ dir) (LB\ dir) (UBI-upd\ dir) (UI\ dir)$
 $(LI\ dir) (LE\ dir) (GE\ dir)$
 $(set-unsat [i, ((LI\ dir) s\ x)] (update\ \mathcal{BI} (UBI-upd\ dir) i\ x\ c\ s))$
assumes $\bigwedge c\ x\ dir.$
 $\llbracket dir = Positive \vee dir = Negative;$
 $a = LE\ dir\ x\ c;$
 $x \notin lvars (\mathcal{T}\ s); (lt\ dir) c (\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle s\ x);$
 $\neg (\supset_{ub} (lt\ dir) c ((UB\ dir) s\ x)); \neg (\triangleleft_{lb} (lt\ dir) c ((LB\ dir) s\ x))$
 $\rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $P' (lt\ dir) (UBI\ dir) (LBI\ dir) (UB\ dir) (LB\ dir) (UBI-upd\ dir) (UI\ dir)$
 $(LI\ dir) (LE\ dir) (GE\ dir)$
 $(update\ x\ c ((update\ \mathcal{BI} (UBI-upd\ dir) i\ x\ c\ s)))$
assumes $\bigwedge c\ x\ dir.$

$\llbracket \text{dir} = \text{Positive} \vee \text{dir} = \text{Negative};$
 $a = \text{LE dir } x \ c;$
 $x \in \text{lvars } (\mathcal{T} \ s); \neg (\supset_{ub} (\text{lt dir}) \ c \ ((\text{UB dir}) \ s \ x));$
 $\neg (\triangleleft_{lb} (\text{lt dir}) \ c \ ((\text{LB dir}) \ s \ x))$
 $\rrbracket \implies$
 $P' (\text{lt dir}) (\text{UBI dir}) (\text{LBI dir}) (\text{UB dir}) (\text{LB dir}) (\text{UBI-upd dir}) (\text{UI dir})$
 $(\text{LI dir}) (\text{LE dir}) (\text{GE dir})$
 $((\text{updateBI} (\text{UBI-upd dir}) \ i \ x \ c \ s))$
assumes $\bigwedge \ c \ x \ \text{dir}.$
 $\llbracket \text{dir} = \text{Positive} \vee \text{dir} = \text{Negative};$
 $a = \text{LE dir } x \ c;$
 $\neg (\supset_{ub} (\text{lt dir}) \ c \ ((\text{UB dir}) \ s \ x)); \neg (\triangleleft_{lb} (\text{lt dir}) \ c \ ((\text{LB dir}) \ s \ x));$
 $\neg ((\text{lt dir}) \ c \ (\mathcal{V} \ s) \ x)$
 $\rrbracket \implies$
 $P' (\text{lt dir}) (\text{UBI dir}) (\text{LBI dir}) (\text{UB dir}) (\text{LB dir}) (\text{UBI-upd dir}) (\text{UI dir})$
 $(\text{LI dir}) (\text{LE dir}) (\text{GE dir})$
 $((\text{updateBI} (\text{UBI-upd dir}) \ i \ x \ c \ s))$
assumes $\bigwedge \ s. P \ s = P' (>) \ \mathcal{B}_{il} \ \mathcal{B}_{iu} \ \mathcal{B}_l \ \mathcal{B}_u \ \mathcal{B}_{il\text{-update}} \ \mathcal{I}_l \ \mathcal{I}_u \ \text{Geq} \ \text{Leq} \ s$
assumes $\bigwedge \ s. P \ s = P' (<) \ \mathcal{B}_{iu} \ \mathcal{B}_{il} \ \mathcal{B}_u \ \mathcal{B}_l \ \mathcal{B}_{iu\text{-update}} \ \mathcal{I}_u \ \mathcal{I}_l \ \text{Leq} \ \text{Geq} \ s$
shows $P \ (\text{assert-bound } (i, a) \ s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
end

lemma *set-unsat-bounds-id*: $\mathcal{B} \ (\text{set-unsat } I \ s) = \mathcal{B} \ s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *decrease-ub-satisfied-inverse*:
assumes $\text{lt}: \triangleleft_{ub} (\text{lt dir}) \ c \ (\text{UB dir } s \ x)$ **and** $\text{dir}: \text{dir} = \text{Positive} \vee \text{dir} = \text{Negative}$
assumes $v: v \models_b \mathcal{B} \ (\text{updateBI} (\text{UBI-upd dir}) \ i \ x \ c \ s)$
shows $v \models_b \mathcal{B} \ s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *atoms-equiv-bounds-extend*:
fixes $x \ c \ \text{dir}$
assumes $\text{dir} = \text{Positive} \vee \text{dir} = \text{Negative} \ \neg \supset_{ub} (\text{lt dir}) \ c \ (\text{UB dir } s \ x) \ \text{ats} \doteq$
 $\mathcal{B} \ s$
shows $(\text{ats} \cup \{\text{LE dir } x \ c\}) \doteq \mathcal{B} \ (\text{updateBI} (\text{UBI-upd dir}) \ i \ x \ c \ s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bounds-updates*: $\mathcal{B}_l \ (\mathcal{B}_{iu\text{-update}} \ u \ s) = \mathcal{B}_l \ s$
 $\mathcal{B}_u \ (\mathcal{B}_{il\text{-update}} \ u \ s) = \mathcal{B}_u \ s$
 $\mathcal{B}_u \ (\mathcal{B}_{iu\text{-update}} \ (\text{upd } x \ (i, c)) \ s) = (\mathcal{B}_u \ s) \ (x \mapsto c)$
 $\mathcal{B}_l \ (\mathcal{B}_{il\text{-update}} \ (\text{upd } x \ (i, c)) \ s) = (\mathcal{B}_l \ s) \ (x \mapsto c)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

locale *EqForLVar* =
fixes $\text{eq-idx-for-lvar} :: \text{tableau} \Rightarrow \text{var} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$

assumes *eq-idx-for-lvar*:

$$\llbracket x \in \text{lvars } t \rrbracket \implies \text{eq-idx-for-lvar } t \ x < \text{length } t \wedge \text{lhs } (t ! \text{eq-idx-for-lvar } t \ x) = x$$

begin

definition *eq-for-lvar* :: *tableau* \Rightarrow *var* \Rightarrow *eq* **where**

$$\text{eq-for-lvar } t \ v \equiv t ! (\text{eq-idx-for-lvar } t \ v)$$

lemma *eq-for-lvar*:

$$\llbracket x \in \text{lvars } t \rrbracket \implies \text{eq-for-lvar } t \ x \in \text{set } t \wedge \text{lhs } (\text{eq-for-lvar } t \ x) = x$$

<proof>

abbreviation *rvars-of-lvar* **where**

$$\text{rvars-of-lvar } t \ x \equiv \text{rvars-eq } (\text{eq-for-lvar } t \ x)$$

lemma *rvars-of-lvar-rvars*:

assumes $x \in \text{lvars } t$

shows $\text{rvars-of-lvar } t \ x \subseteq \text{rvars } t$

<proof>

end

Updating changes the value of x and then updates values of all lhs variables so that the tableau remains satisfied. This can be based on a function that recalculates rhs polynomial values in the changed valuation:

locale *RhsEqVal* = **fixes** *rhs-eq-val*::(*var*, '*a*::*lrv*) *mapping* \Rightarrow *var* \Rightarrow '*a* \Rightarrow *eq* \Rightarrow '*a*

— *rhs-eq-val* computes the value of the rhs of e in $\langle v \rangle(x := c)$.

assumes *rhs-eq-val*: $\langle v \rangle \models_e e \implies \text{rhs-eq-val } v \ x \ c \ e = \text{rhs } e \ \{\!\! \{ \langle v \rangle (x := c) \}\!\! \}$

begin

Then, the next implementation of *update* satisfies its specification:

abbreviation *update-eq* **where**

$$\text{update-eq } v \ x \ c \ v' \ e \equiv \text{upd } (\text{lhs } e) \ (\text{rhs-eq-val } v \ x \ c \ e) \ v'$$

definition *update* :: *var* \Rightarrow '*a* \Rightarrow ('*i*, '*a*) *state* \Rightarrow ('*i*, '*a*) *state* **where**

$$\text{update } x \ c \ s \equiv \mathcal{V}\text{-update } (\text{upd } x \ c \ (\text{foldl } (\text{update-eq } (\mathcal{V} \ s) \ x \ c) \ (\mathcal{V} \ s) \ (\mathcal{T} \ s))) \ s$$

lemma *update-no-set-none*:

shows $\text{look } (\mathcal{V} \ s) \ y \neq \text{None} \implies$

$$\text{look } (\text{foldl } (\text{update-eq } (\mathcal{V} \ s) \ x \ v) \ (\mathcal{V} \ s) \ t) \ y \neq \text{None}$$

<proof>

lemma *update-no-left*:

assumes $y \notin \text{lvars } t$

shows $\text{look } (\mathcal{V} \ s) \ y = \text{look } (\text{foldl } (\text{update-eq } (\mathcal{V} \ s) \ x \ v) \ (\mathcal{V} \ s) \ t) \ y$

<proof>

lemma *update-left*:

assumes $y \in \text{lvars } t$

shows $\exists \text{eq} \in \text{set } t. \text{lhs } \text{eq} = y \wedge$

look (foldl (update-eq (\mathcal{V} s) x v) (\mathcal{V} s) t) y = Some (rhs-eq-val (\mathcal{V} s) x v eq)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma update-valuate-rhs:

assumes $e \in \text{set } (\mathcal{T} s) \triangle (\mathcal{T} s)$

shows $\text{rhs } e \llbracket \langle \mathcal{V} (\text{update } x \ c \ s) \rangle \rrbracket = \text{rhs } e \llbracket \langle \mathcal{V} s \rangle (x := c) \rrbracket$

⟨proof⟩

end

sublocale RhsEqVal < Update update

⟨proof⟩

To update the valuation for a variable that is on the lhs of the tableau it should first be swapped with some rhs variable of its equation, in an operation called *pivoting*. Pivoting has the precondition that the tableau is normalized and that it is always called for a lhs variable of the tableau, and a rhs variable in the equation with that lhs variable. The set of rhs variables for the given lhs variable is found using the *rvars-of-lvar* function (specified in a very simple locale *EqForLVar*, that we do not print).

locale Pivot = EqForLVar + **fixes** pivot::var \Rightarrow var \Rightarrow ('i,'a::lrv) state \Rightarrow ('i,'a) state

assumes

— Valuation, bounds, and the unsatisfiability flag are not changed.

pivot-id: $\llbracket \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); x_i \in \text{lvars } (\mathcal{T} s); x_j \in \text{rvars-of-lvar } (\mathcal{T} s) \ x_i \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

let $s' = \text{pivot } x_i \ x_j \ s$ in $\mathcal{V} \ s' = \mathcal{V} \ s \wedge \mathcal{B}_i \ s' = \mathcal{B}_i \ s \wedge \mathcal{U} \ s' = \mathcal{U} \ s \wedge \mathcal{U}_c \ s' = \mathcal{U}_c \ s$ **and**

— The tableau remains equivalent to the previous one and normalized.

pivot-tableau: $\llbracket \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); x_i \in \text{lvars } (\mathcal{T} s); x_j \in \text{rvars-of-lvar } (\mathcal{T} s) \ x_i \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

let $s' = \text{pivot } x_i \ x_j \ s$ in $((v::'a \ \text{valuation}) \models_t \mathcal{T} \ s \longleftrightarrow v \models_t \mathcal{T} \ s') \wedge \Delta (\mathcal{T} \ s')$ **and**

— x_i and x_j are swapped, while the other variables do not change sides.

pivot-vars': $\llbracket \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); x_i \in \text{lvars } (\mathcal{T} s); x_j \in \text{rvars-of-lvar } (\mathcal{T} s) \ x_i \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$ let $s' = \text{pivot } x_i \ x_j \ s$ in

$\text{rvars}(\mathcal{T} \ s') = \text{rvars}(\mathcal{T} \ s) - \{x_j\} \cup \{x_i\} \ \wedge \ \text{lvars}(\mathcal{T} \ s') = \text{lvars}(\mathcal{T} \ s) - \{x_i\} \cup \{x_j\}$

begin

lemma *pivot-bounds-id*: $\llbracket \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); x_i \in \text{lvars } (\mathcal{T} s); x_j \in \text{rvars-of-lvar } (\mathcal{T} s) \ x_i \rrbracket$

\Longrightarrow

$\mathcal{B}_i (\text{pivot } x_i \ x_j \ s) = \mathcal{B}_i \ s$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *pivot-bounds-id'*: **assumes** $\Delta (\mathcal{T} s) \ x_i \in \text{lvars } (\mathcal{T} s) \ x_j \in \text{rvars-of-lvar } (\mathcal{T} s)$

$s) x_i$

shows $\mathcal{BI}(\text{pivot } x_i x_j s) = \mathcal{BI} s \mathcal{B}(\text{pivot } x_i x_j s) = \mathcal{B} s \mathcal{I}(\text{pivot } x_i x_j s) = \mathcal{I} s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pivot-valuation-id*: $\llbracket \Delta(\mathcal{T} s); x_i \in \text{lvars}(\mathcal{T} s); x_j \in \text{rvars-of-lvar}(\mathcal{T} s) x_i \rrbracket$
 $\implies \mathcal{V}(\text{pivot } x_i x_j s) = \mathcal{V} s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pivot-unsat-id*: $\llbracket \Delta(\mathcal{T} s); x_i \in \text{lvars}(\mathcal{T} s); x_j \in \text{rvars-of-lvar}(\mathcal{T} s) x_i \rrbracket$
 $\implies \mathcal{U}(\text{pivot } x_i x_j s) = \mathcal{U} s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pivot-unsat-core-id*: $\llbracket \Delta(\mathcal{T} s); x_i \in \text{lvars}(\mathcal{T} s); x_j \in \text{rvars-of-lvar}(\mathcal{T} s) x_i \rrbracket$
 $\implies \mathcal{U}_c(\text{pivot } x_i x_j s) = \mathcal{U}_c s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pivot-tableau-equiv*: $\llbracket \Delta(\mathcal{T} s); x_i \in \text{lvars}(\mathcal{T} s); x_j \in \text{rvars-of-lvar}(\mathcal{T} s) x_i \rrbracket$
 \implies
 $(v::'a \text{ valuation}) \models_t \mathcal{T} s = v \models_t \mathcal{T}(\text{pivot } x_i x_j s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pivot-tableau-normalized*: $\llbracket \Delta(\mathcal{T} s); x_i \in \text{lvars}(\mathcal{T} s); x_j \in \text{rvars-of-lvar}(\mathcal{T} s) x_i \rrbracket$
 $\implies \Delta(\mathcal{T}(\text{pivot } x_i x_j s))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pivot-rvars*: $\llbracket \Delta(\mathcal{T} s); x_i \in \text{lvars}(\mathcal{T} s); x_j \in \text{rvars-of-lvar}(\mathcal{T} s) x_i \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{rvars}(\mathcal{T}(\text{pivot } x_i x_j s)) = \text{rvars}(\mathcal{T} s) - \{x_j\} \cup \{x_i\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pivot-lvars*: $\llbracket \Delta(\mathcal{T} s); x_i \in \text{lvars}(\mathcal{T} s); x_j \in \text{rvars-of-lvar}(\mathcal{T} s) x_i \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{lvars}(\mathcal{T}(\text{pivot } x_i x_j s)) = \text{lvars}(\mathcal{T} s) - \{x_i\} \cup \{x_j\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pivot-vars*:

$\llbracket \Delta(\mathcal{T} s); x_i \in \text{lvars}(\mathcal{T} s); x_j \in \text{rvars-of-lvar}(\mathcal{T} s) x_i \rrbracket \implies \text{tvars}(\mathcal{T}(\text{pivot } x_i x_j s)) = \text{tvars}(\mathcal{T} s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma

pivot-tableau-validated: $\llbracket \Delta(\mathcal{T} s); x_i \in \text{lvars}(\mathcal{T} s); x_j \in \text{rvars-of-lvar}(\mathcal{T} s) x_i; \nabla s \rrbracket$
 $\implies \nabla(\text{pivot } x_i x_j s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

Functions *pivot* and *update* can be used to implement the *check* function. In its context, *pivot* and *update* functions are always called together, so the following definition can be used: *pivot-and-update* $x_i x_j c s = \text{update } x_i c(\text{pivot } x_i x_j s)$. It is possible to make a more efficient implementation of

pivot-and-update that does not use separate implementations of *pivot* and *update*. To allow this, a separate specification for *pivot-and-update* can be given. It can be easily shown that the *pivot-and-update* definition above satisfies this specification.

locale *PivotAndUpdate* = *EqForLVar* +
fixes *pivot-and-update* :: *var* \Rightarrow *var* \Rightarrow '*a*::*lrv* \Rightarrow ('*i*, '*a*) *state* \Rightarrow ('*i*, '*a*) *state*
assumes *pivotandupdate-unsat-id*: $\llbracket \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s; x_i \in \text{lvars} (\mathcal{T} s); x_j \in \text{rvars-of-lvar} (\mathcal{T} s) x_i \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\mathcal{U} (\text{pivot-and-update } x_i x_j c s) = \mathcal{U} s$
assumes *pivotandupdate-unsat-core-id*: $\llbracket \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s; x_i \in \text{lvars} (\mathcal{T} s); x_j \in \text{rvars-of-lvar} (\mathcal{T} s) x_i \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\mathcal{U}_c (\text{pivot-and-update } x_i x_j c s) = \mathcal{U}_c s$
assumes *pivotandupdate-bounds-id*: $\llbracket \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s; x_i \in \text{lvars} (\mathcal{T} s); x_j \in \text{rvars-of-lvar} (\mathcal{T} s) x_i \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\mathcal{B}_i (\text{pivot-and-update } x_i x_j c s) = \mathcal{B}_i s$
assumes *pivotandupdate-tableau-normalized*: $\llbracket \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s; x_i \in \text{lvars} (\mathcal{T} s); x_j \in \text{rvars-of-lvar} (\mathcal{T} s) x_i \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\Delta (\mathcal{T} (\text{pivot-and-update } x_i x_j c s))$
assumes *pivotandupdate-tableau-equiv*: $\llbracket \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s; x_i \in \text{lvars} (\mathcal{T} s); x_j \in \text{rvars-of-lvar} (\mathcal{T} s) x_i \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $(v::'a \text{ valuation}) \models_t \mathcal{T} s \longleftrightarrow v \models_t \mathcal{T} (\text{pivot-and-update } x_i x_j c s)$
assumes *pivotandupdate-satisfies-tableau*: $\llbracket \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s; x_i \in \text{lvars} (\mathcal{T} s); x_j \in \text{rvars-of-lvar} (\mathcal{T} s) x_i \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\langle \mathcal{V} s \rangle \models_t \mathcal{T} s \longrightarrow \langle \mathcal{V} (\text{pivot-and-update } x_i x_j c s) \rangle \models_t \mathcal{T} s$
assumes *pivotandupdate-rvars*: $\llbracket \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s; x_i \in \text{lvars} (\mathcal{T} s); x_j \in \text{rvars-of-lvar} (\mathcal{T} s) x_i \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{rvars} (\mathcal{T} (\text{pivot-and-update } x_i x_j c s)) = \text{rvars} (\mathcal{T} s) - \{x_j\} \cup \{x_i\}$
assumes *pivotandupdate-lvars*: $\llbracket \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s; x_i \in \text{lvars} (\mathcal{T} s); x_j \in \text{rvars-of-lvar} (\mathcal{T} s) x_i \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{lvars} (\mathcal{T} (\text{pivot-and-update } x_i x_j c s)) = \text{lvars} (\mathcal{T} s) - \{x_i\} \cup \{x_j\}$
assumes *pivotandupdate-valuation-nonlhs*: $\llbracket \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s; x_i \in \text{lvars} (\mathcal{T} s); x_j \in \text{rvars-of-lvar} (\mathcal{T} s) x_i \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $x \notin \text{lvars} (\mathcal{T} s) - \{x_i\} \cup \{x_j\} \longrightarrow \text{look } (\mathcal{V} (\text{pivot-and-update } x_i x_j c s)) x =$
(if $x = x_i$ *then* *Some* c *else* $\text{look } (\mathcal{V} s) x$ *)*
assumes *pivotandupdate-tableau-validated*: $\llbracket \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s; x_i \in \text{lvars} (\mathcal{T} s); x_j \in \text{rvars-of-lvar} (\mathcal{T} s) x_i \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\nabla (\text{pivot-and-update } x_i x_j c s)$
begin

lemma *pivotandupdate-bounds-id'*: **assumes** $\Delta (\mathcal{T} s) \nabla s x_i \in \text{lvars} (\mathcal{T} s) x_j \in \text{rvars-of-lvar} (\mathcal{T} s) x_i$

shows $\mathcal{BI} (\text{pivot-and-update } x_i x_j c s) = \mathcal{BI} s$

$\mathcal{B} (\text{pivot-and-update } x_i x_j c s) = \mathcal{B} s$

$\mathcal{I} (\text{pivot-and-update } x_i x_j c s) = \mathcal{I} s$

<proof>

lemma *pivotandupdate-valuation-xi*: $\llbracket \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s; x_i \in \text{lvars} (\mathcal{T} s); x_j \in \text{rvars-of-lvar} (\mathcal{T} s) x_i \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{look } (\mathcal{V} (\text{pivot-and-update } x_i x_j c s)) x_i = \text{Some } c$

<proof>

lemma *pivotandupdate-valuation-other-nolhs*: $\llbracket \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s; x_i \in \text{lvars} (\mathcal{T} s); x_j \in \text{rvars-of-lvar} (\mathcal{T} s) x_i; x \notin \text{lvars} (\mathcal{T} s); x \neq x_j \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{look} (\mathcal{V} (\text{pivot-and-update } x_i x_j c s)) x = \text{look} (\mathcal{V} s) x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *pivotandupdate-nolhs*:
 $\llbracket \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s; x_i \in \text{lvars} (\mathcal{T} s); x_j \in \text{rvars-of-lvar} (\mathcal{T} s) x_i; \models_{\text{nolhs}} s; \diamond s; \mathcal{B}_l s x_i = \text{Some } c \vee \mathcal{B}_u s x_i = \text{Some } c \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \models_{\text{nolhs}} (\text{pivot-and-update } x_i x_j c s)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *pivotandupdate-bounds-consistent*:
assumes $\Delta (\mathcal{T} s) \nabla s x_i \in \text{lvars} (\mathcal{T} s) x_j \in \text{rvars-of-lvar} (\mathcal{T} s) x_i$
shows $\diamond (\text{pivot-and-update } x_i x_j c s) = \diamond s$
 ⟨proof⟩
end

locale *PivotUpdate* = *Pivot eq-idx-for-lvar pivot* + *Update update* **for**
eq-idx-for-lvar :: *tableau* \Rightarrow *var* \Rightarrow *nat* **and**
pivot :: *var* \Rightarrow *var* \Rightarrow (*i*,*a*::*lrv*) *state* \Rightarrow (*i*,*a*) *state* **and**
update :: *var* \Rightarrow *a* \Rightarrow (*i*,*a*) *state* \Rightarrow (*i*,*a*) *state*
begin
definition *pivot-and-update* :: *var* \Rightarrow *var* \Rightarrow *a* \Rightarrow (*i*,*a*) *state* \Rightarrow (*i*,*a*) *state*
where [*simp*]:
pivot-and-update $x_i x_j c s \equiv \text{update } x_i c (\text{pivot } x_i x_j s)$

lemma *pivot-update-precond*:
assumes $\Delta (\mathcal{T} s) x_i \in \text{lvars} (\mathcal{T} s) x_j \in \text{rvars-of-lvar} (\mathcal{T} s) x_i$
shows $\Delta (\mathcal{T} (\text{pivot } x_i x_j s)) x_i \notin \text{lvars} (\mathcal{T} (\text{pivot } x_i x_j s))$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

sublocale *PivotUpdate* < *PivotAndUpdate eq-idx-for-lvar pivot-and-update*
 ⟨proof⟩

Given the *update* function, *assert-bound* can be implemented as follows.

assert-bound (*Leq* $x c$) $s \equiv$
 if $c \geq_{ub} \mathcal{B}_u s x$ then s
 else let $s' = s \langle \mathcal{B}_u := (\mathcal{B}_u s) (x := \text{Some } c) \rangle$
 in if $c <_{lb} \mathcal{B}_l s x$ then $s' \langle \mathcal{U} := \text{True} \rangle$
 else if $x \notin \text{lvars} (\mathcal{T} s') \wedge c < \langle \mathcal{V} s \rangle x$ then *update* $x c s'$ else s'

The case of *Geq* $x c$ atoms is analogous (a systematic way to avoid symmetries is discussed in Section 6.8). This implementation satisfies both its specifications.

lemma *indices-state-set-unsat*: $indices\text{-}state (set\text{-}unsat I s) = indices\text{-}state s$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *BI-set-unsat*: $BI (set\text{-}unsat I s) = BI s$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *satisfies-tableau-cong*: **assumes** $\bigwedge x. x \in tvars t \implies v x = w x$
shows $(v \models_t t) = (w \models_t t)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *satisfying-state-valuation-to-atom-tabl*: **assumes** $J: J \subseteq indices\text{-}state s$
and *model*: $(J, v) \models_{ise} s$
and *ivalid*: *index-valid as s*
and *dist*: *distinct-indices-atoms as*
shows $(J, v) \models_{iae s} as v \models_t \mathcal{T} s$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

Note that in order to ensure minimality of the unsat cores, pivoting is required.

sublocale *AssertAllState* < *AssertAll assert-all*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Update*) *update-to-assert-bound-no-lhs*: **assumes** *pivot*: *Pivot eqlvar*
 (*pivot* :: $var \Rightarrow var \Rightarrow ('i, 'a) state \Rightarrow ('i, 'a) state$)
shows *AssertBoundNoLhs assert-bound*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

Pivoting the tableau can be reduced to pivoting single equations, and substituting variable by polynomials. These operations are specified by:

locale *PivotEq* =
fixes *pivot-eq*:: $eq \Rightarrow var \Rightarrow eq$
assumes
 — Lhs var of *eq* and x_j are swapped, while the other variables do not change sides.

vars-pivot-eq:
 $\llbracket x_j \in rvars\text{-}eq eq; lhs eq \notin rvars\text{-}eq eq \rrbracket \implies let eq' = pivot\text{-}eq eq x_j in$
 $lhs eq' = x_j \wedge rvars\text{-}eq eq' = \{lhs eq\} \cup (rvars\text{-}eq eq - \{x_j\})$ **and**

— Pivoting keeps the equation equisatisfiable.

equiv-pivot-eq:
 $\llbracket x_j \in rvars\text{-}eq eq; lhs eq \notin rvars\text{-}eq eq \rrbracket \implies$
 $(v::'a::lrv valuation) \models_e pivot\text{-}eq eq x_j \longleftrightarrow v \models_e eq$

begin

lemma *lhs-pivot-eq*:
 $\llbracket x_j \in rvars\text{-}eq eq; lhs eq \notin rvars\text{-}eq eq \rrbracket \implies lhs (pivot\text{-}eq eq x_j) = x_j$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *rvars-pivot-eq*:

$\llbracket x_j \in \text{rvars-eq } eq; \text{lhs } eq \notin \text{rvars-eq } eq \rrbracket \implies \text{rvars-eq } (\text{pivot-eq } eq \ x_j) = \{\text{lhs } eq\} \cup (\text{rvars-eq } eq - \{x_j\})$
 <proof>

end

abbreviation *doublesub* (- \subseteq_s - \subseteq_s - [50,51,51] 50) **where**

doublesub $a \ b \ c \equiv a \subseteq b \wedge b \subseteq c$

locale *SubstVar* =

fixes *subst-var*::*var* \Rightarrow *linear-poly* \Rightarrow *linear-poly* \Rightarrow *linear-poly*

assumes

— Effect of *subst-var* $x_j \ lp' \ lp$ on *lp* variables.

vars-subst-var':

$(\text{vars } lp - \{x_j\}) - \text{vars } lp' \subseteq_s \text{vars } (\text{subst-var } x_j \ lp' \ lp) \subseteq_s (\text{vars } lp - \{x_j\}) \cup \text{vars } lp'$ **and**

subst-no-effect: $x_j \notin \text{vars } lp \implies \text{subst-var } x_j \ lp' \ lp = lp$ **and**

subst-with-effect: $x_j \in \text{vars } lp \implies x \in \text{vars } lp' - \text{vars } lp \implies x \in \text{vars } (\text{subst-var } x_j \ lp' \ lp)$ **and**

— Effect of *subst-var* $x_j \ lp' \ lp$ on *lp* value.

equiv-subst-var:

$(v::'a :: \text{lrvaluation}) \ x_j = lp' \ \{v\} \longrightarrow lp \ \{v\} = (\text{subst-var } x_j \ lp' \ lp) \ \{v\}$

begin

lemma *vars-subst-var*:

$\text{vars } (\text{subst-var } x_j \ lp' \ lp) \subseteq (\text{vars } lp - \{x_j\}) \cup \text{vars } lp'$
 <proof>

lemma *vars-subst-var-supset*:

$\text{vars } (\text{subst-var } x_j \ lp' \ lp) \supseteq (\text{vars } lp - \{x_j\}) - \text{vars } lp'$
 <proof>

definition *subst-var-eq* :: *var* \Rightarrow *linear-poly* \Rightarrow *eq* \Rightarrow *eq* **where**

subst-var-eq $v \ lp' \ eq \equiv (\text{lhs } eq, \text{subst-var } v \ lp' \ (\text{rhs } eq))$

lemma *rvars-eq-subst-var-eq*:

shows $\text{rvars-eq } (\text{subst-var-eq } x_j \ lp \ eq) \subseteq (\text{rvars-eq } eq - \{x_j\}) \cup \text{vars } lp$
 <proof>

lemma *rvars-eq-subst-var-eq-supset:*

$rvars\text{-}eq\ (subst\text{-}var\text{-}eq\ x_j\ lp\ eq) \supseteq (rvars\text{-}eq\ eq) - \{x_j\} - (vars\ lp)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *equiv-subst-var-eq:*

assumes $(v::'a\ valuation) \models_e (x_j, lp^\wedge)$
shows $v \models_e eq \longleftrightarrow v \models_e subst\text{-}var\text{-}eq\ x_j\ lp'\ eq$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

locale $Pivot' = EqForLVar + PivotEq + SubstVar$

begin

definition $pivot\text{-}tableau' :: var \Rightarrow var \Rightarrow tableau \Rightarrow tableau$ **where**

$pivot\text{-}tableau'\ x_i\ x_j\ t \equiv$
 $let\ x_i\text{-}idx = eq\text{-}idx\text{-}for\text{-}lvar\ t\ x_i; eq = t ! x_i\text{-}idx; eq' = pivot\text{-}eq\ eq\ x_j\ in$
 $map\ (\lambda\ idx. if\ idx = x_i\text{-}idx\ then$
 eq'
 $else$
 $subst\text{-}var\text{-}eq\ x_j\ (rhs\ eq^\wedge)\ (t ! idx)$
 $)\ [0..<length\ t]$

definition $pivot' :: var \Rightarrow var \Rightarrow ('i,'a::lrv)\ state \Rightarrow ('i,'a)\ state$ **where**

$pivot'\ x_i\ x_j\ s \equiv \mathcal{T}\text{-}update\ (pivot\text{-}tableau'\ x_i\ x_j\ (\mathcal{T}\ s))\ s$

Then, the next implementation of *pivot* satisfies its specification:

definition $pivot\text{-}tableau :: var \Rightarrow var \Rightarrow tableau \Rightarrow tableau$ **where**

$pivot\text{-}tableau\ x_i\ x_j\ t \equiv let\ eq = eq\text{-}for\text{-}lvar\ t\ x_i; eq' = pivot\text{-}eq\ eq\ x_j\ in$
 $map\ (\lambda\ e. if\ lhs\ e = lhs\ eq\ then\ eq'\ else\ subst\text{-}var\text{-}eq\ x_j\ (rhs\ eq^\wedge)\ e)\ t$

definition $pivot :: var \Rightarrow var \Rightarrow ('i,'a::lrv)\ state \Rightarrow ('i,'a)\ state$ **where**

$pivot\ x_i\ x_j\ s \equiv \mathcal{T}\text{-}update\ (pivot\text{-}tableau\ x_i\ x_j\ (\mathcal{T}\ s))\ s$

lemma $pivot\text{-}tableau'\ pivot\text{-}tableau:$

assumes $\Delta\ t\ x_i \in lvars\ t$
shows $pivot\text{-}tableau'\ x_i\ x_j\ t = pivot\text{-}tableau\ x_i\ x_j\ t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $pivot'\ pivot:$ **fixes** $s :: ('i,'a::lrv)\ state$

assumes $\Delta\ (\mathcal{T}\ s)\ x_i \in lvars\ (\mathcal{T}\ s)$
shows $pivot'\ x_i\ x_j\ s = pivot\ x_i\ x_j\ s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

sublocale $Pivot' < Pivot\ eq\text{-}idx\text{-}for\text{-}lvar\ pivot$

$\langle proof \rangle$

6.7 Check implementation

The *check* function is called when all rhs variables are in bounds, and it checks if there is a lhs variable that is not. If there is no such variable, then satisfiability is detected and *check* succeeds. If there is a lhs variable x_i out of its bounds, a rhs variable x_j is sought which allows pivoting with x_i and updating x_i to its violated bound. If x_i is under its lower bound it must be increased, and if x_j has a positive coefficient it must be increased so it must be under its upper bound and if it has a negative coefficient it must be decreased so it must be above its lower bound. The case when x_i is above its upper bound is symmetric (avoiding symmetries is discussed in Section 6.8). If there is no such x_j , unsatisfiability is detected and *check* fails. The procedure is recursively repeated, until it either succeeds or fails. To ensure termination, variables x_i and x_j must be chosen with respect to a fixed variable ordering. For choosing these variables auxiliary functions *min-lvar-not-in-bounds*, *min-rvar-inc* and *min-rvar-dec* are specified (each in its own locale). For, example:

locale *MinLVarNotInBounds* = **fixes** *min-lvar-not-in-bounds::('i,'a::lrv) state \Rightarrow var option*
assumes

min-lvar-not-in-bounds-None: *min-lvar-not-in-bounds s = None \longrightarrow ($\forall x \in \text{lvars } (\mathcal{T} s)$). in-bounds x $\langle \mathcal{V} s \rangle$ ($\mathcal{B} s$))* **and**

min-lvar-not-in-bounds-Some': *min-lvar-not-in-bounds s = Some $x_i \longrightarrow x_i \in \text{lvars } (\mathcal{T} s) \wedge \neg \text{in-bounds } x_i \langle \mathcal{V} s \rangle$ ($\mathcal{B} s$)*
 $\wedge (\forall x \in \text{lvars } (\mathcal{T} s). x < x_i \longrightarrow \text{in-bounds } x \langle \mathcal{V} s \rangle$ ($\mathcal{B} s$))

begin

lemma *min-lvar-not-in-bounds-None'*:

min-lvar-not-in-bounds s = None \longrightarrow ($\langle \mathcal{V} s \rangle \models_b \mathcal{B} s \parallel \text{lvars } (\mathcal{T} s)$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *min-lvar-not-in-bounds-lvars*: *min-lvar-not-in-bounds s = Some $x_i \longrightarrow x_i \in \text{lvars } (\mathcal{T} s)$*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *min-lvar-not-in-bounds-Some*: *min-lvar-not-in-bounds s = Some $x_i \longrightarrow \neg \text{in-bounds } x_i \langle \mathcal{V} s \rangle$ ($\mathcal{B} s$)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *min-lvar-not-in-bounds-Some-min*: *min-lvar-not-in-bounds s = Some $x_i \longrightarrow (\forall x \in \text{lvars } (\mathcal{T} s). x < x_i \longrightarrow \text{in-bounds } x \langle \mathcal{V} s \rangle$ ($\mathcal{B} s$))*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

abbreviation *reasable-var where*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{reasable-var } dir \ x \ eq \ s \equiv & \\ & (\text{coeff } (rhs \ eq) \ x > 0 \wedge \triangleleft_{ub} (lt \ dir) (\langle \mathcal{V} \ s \rangle \ x) (UB \ dir \ s \ x)) \vee \\ & (\text{coeff } (rhs \ eq) \ x < 0 \wedge \triangleright_{lb} (lt \ dir) (\langle \mathcal{V} \ s \rangle \ x) (LB \ dir \ s \ x)) \end{aligned}$$

locale *MinRVarsEq* =

fixes *min-rvar-incdec-eq* :: ('i,'a) *Direction* \Rightarrow ('i,'a)::*lrv*) *state* \Rightarrow *eq* \Rightarrow 'i *list* + *var*

assumes *min-rvar-incdec-eq-None*:

min-rvar-incdec-eq dir s eq = Inl is \implies

$(\forall x \in rvars\text{-eq } eq. \neg \text{reasable-var } dir \ x \ eq \ s) \wedge$

$(\text{set } is = \{LI \ dir \ s \ (lhs \ eq)\} \cup \{LI \ dir \ s \ x \mid x. x \in rvars\text{-eq } eq \wedge \text{coeff } (rhs \ eq) \ x < 0\}$

$\cup \{UI \ dir \ s \ x \mid x. x \in rvars\text{-eq } eq \wedge \text{coeff } (rhs \ eq) \ x > 0\}) \wedge$

$((dir = Positive \vee dir = Negative) \longrightarrow LI \ dir \ s \ (lhs \ eq) \in indices\text{-state } s \longrightarrow$

$set \ is \subseteq indices\text{-state } s)$

assumes *min-rvar-incdec-eq-Some-rvars*:

min-rvar-incdec-eq dir s eq = Inr x_j \implies x_j \in rvars-eq eq

assumes *min-rvar-incdec-eq-Some-incdec*:

min-rvar-incdec-eq dir s eq = Inr x_j \implies reasable-var dir x_j eq s

assumes *min-rvar-incdec-eq-Some-min*:

min-rvar-incdec-eq dir s eq = Inr x_j \implies

$(\forall x \in rvars\text{-eq } eq. x < x_j \longrightarrow \neg \text{reasable-var } dir \ x \ eq \ s)$

begin

lemma *min-rvar-incdec-eq-None'*:

assumes *: *dir = Positive* \vee *dir = Negative*

and *min*: *min-rvar-incdec-eq dir s eq = Inl is*

and *sub*: *I = set is*

and *Iv*: $(I, v) \models_{ib} \mathcal{BI} \ s$

shows *le* $(lt \ dir) ((rhs \ eq) \ \{\!\! \{v\}\!\!\}) ((rhs \ eq) \ \{\!\! \{\langle \mathcal{V} \ s \rangle\}\!\!\})$

<proof>

end

locale *MinRVars = EqForLVar + MinRVarsEq min-rvar-incdec-eq*

for *min-rvar-incdec-eq* :: ('i, 'a :: *lrv*) *Direction* \Rightarrow -

begin

abbreviation *min-rvar-incdec* :: ('i,'a) *Direction* \Rightarrow ('i,'a) *state* \Rightarrow *var* \Rightarrow 'i *list*

+ *var where*

min-rvar-incdec dir s x_i \equiv min-rvar-incdec-eq dir s (eq-for-lvar ($\mathcal{T} \ s$) x_i)

end

locale *MinVars = MinLVarNotInBounds min-lvar-not-in-bounds + MinRVars eq-idx-for-lvar*

min-rvar-incdec-eq

for *min-lvar-not-in-bounds* :: ('i,'a)::*lrv*) *state* \Rightarrow - **and**

eq-idx-for-lvar and

$min-rvar-incdec-eq :: ('i, 'a :: lrv) Direction \Rightarrow -$

locale *PivotUpdateMinVars* =
PivotAndUpdate eq-idx-for-lvar pivot-and-update +
MinVars min-lvar-not-in-bounds eq-idx-for-lvar min-rvar-incdec-eq **for**
eq-idx-for-lvar :: tableau \Rightarrow var \Rightarrow nat **and**
min-lvar-not-in-bounds :: ('i,'a::lrv) state \Rightarrow var option **and**
min-rvar-incdec-eq :: ('i,'a) Direction \Rightarrow ('i,'a) state \Rightarrow eq \Rightarrow 'i list + var **and**
pivot-and-update :: var \Rightarrow var \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('i,'a) state \Rightarrow ('i,'a) state
begin

definition *check'* **where**

check' dir x_i s \equiv
 let $l_i = the (LB\ dir\ s\ x_i)$;
 $x_j' = min-rvar-incdec\ dir\ s\ x_i$
 in case x_j' of
 $Inl\ I \Rightarrow set-unsat\ I\ s$
 $| Inr\ x_j \Rightarrow pivot-and-update\ x_i\ x_j\ l_i\ s$

lemma *check'-cases*:

assumes $\bigwedge I. \llbracket min-rvar-incdec\ dir\ s\ x_i = Inl\ I; check'\ dir\ x_i\ s = set-unsat\ I\ s \rrbracket$
 $\implies P (set-unsat\ I\ s)$
assumes $\bigwedge x_j\ l_i. \llbracket min-rvar-incdec\ dir\ s\ x_i = Inr\ x_j;$
 $l_i = the (LB\ dir\ s\ x_i);$
 $check'\ dir\ x_i\ s = pivot-and-update\ x_i\ x_j\ l_i\ s \rrbracket \implies$
 $P (pivot-and-update\ x_i\ x_j\ l_i\ s)$
shows $P (check'\ dir\ x_i\ s)$
<proof>

partial-function (*tailrec*) *check* **where**

check s =
 (if $\mathcal{U}\ s$ then s
 else let $x_i' = min-lvar-not-in-bounds\ s$
 in case x_i' of
 $None \Rightarrow s$
 $| Some\ x_i \Rightarrow let\ dir = if\ \langle \mathcal{V}\ s \rangle\ x_i <_{lb}\ \mathcal{B}_l\ s\ x_i$ then *Positive*
 else *Negative*
 in *check* (*check' dir x_i s*))

declare *check.simps*[code]

inductive *check-dom* **where**

step: $\llbracket \bigwedge x_i. \llbracket \neg \mathcal{U}\ s; Some\ x_i = min-lvar-not-in-bounds\ s; \langle \mathcal{V}\ s \rangle\ x_i <_{lb}\ \mathcal{B}_l\ s\ x_i \rrbracket$
 $\implies check-dom (check'\ Positive\ x_i\ s);$
 $\bigwedge x_i. \llbracket \neg \mathcal{U}\ s; Some\ x_i = min-lvar-not-in-bounds\ s; \neg \langle \mathcal{V}\ s \rangle\ x_i <_{lb}\ \mathcal{B}_l\ s\ x_i \rrbracket$
 $\implies check-dom (check'\ Negative\ x_i\ s) \rrbracket$
 $\implies check-dom\ s$

The definition of *check* can be given by:

$check\ s \equiv$ if $\mathcal{U}\ s$ then s
 else let $x_i' = min-lvar-not-in-bounds\ s$ in
 case x_i' of $None \Rightarrow s$
 | $Some\ x_i \Rightarrow$ if $\langle \mathcal{V}\ s \rangle\ x_i <_{lb}\ \mathcal{B}_l\ s\ x_i$ then $check\ (check-inc\ x_i\ s)$
 else $check\ (check-dec\ x_i\ s)$

$check-inc\ x_i\ s \equiv$ let $l_i = the\ (\mathcal{B}_l\ s\ x_i)$; $x_j' = min-rvar-inc\ s\ x_i$ in
 case x_j' of $None \Rightarrow s \ (\mathcal{U} := True)$ | $Some\ x_j \Rightarrow pivot-and-update\ x_i\ x_j\ l_i\ s$

The definition of *check-dec* is analogous. It is shown (mainly by induction) that this definition satisfies the *check* specification. Note that this definition uses general recursion, so its termination is non-trivial. It has been shown that it terminates for all states satisfying the check preconditions. The proof is based on the proof outline given in [1]. It is very technically involved, but conceptually uninteresting so we do not discuss it in more details.

lemma *pivotandupdate-check-precond:*

assumes

$dir = (if\ \langle \mathcal{V}\ s \rangle\ x_i <_{lb}\ \mathcal{B}_l\ s\ x_i$ then *Positive* else *Negative*)

$min-lvar-not-in-bounds\ s = Some\ x_i$

$min-rvar-incdec\ dir\ s\ x_i = Inr\ x_j$

$l_i = the\ (LB\ dir\ s\ x_i)$

$\nabla\ s\ \Delta\ (\mathcal{T}\ s) \models_{noIhs}\ s\ \diamond\ s$

shows $\Delta\ (\mathcal{T}\ (pivot-and-update\ x_i\ x_j\ l_i\ s)) \wedge \models_{noIhs}\ (pivot-and-update\ x_i\ x_j\ l_i\ s) \wedge \diamond\ (pivot-and-update\ x_i\ x_j\ l_i\ s) \wedge \nabla\ (pivot-and-update\ x_i\ x_j\ l_i\ s)$
 (proof)

abbreviation *gt-state'* where

$gt-state'\ dir\ s\ s'\ x_i\ x_j\ l_i \equiv$

$min-lvar-not-in-bounds\ s = Some\ x_i \wedge$

$l_i = the\ (LB\ dir\ s\ x_i) \wedge$

$min-rvar-incdec\ dir\ s\ x_i = Inr\ x_j \wedge$

$s' = pivot-and-update\ x_i\ x_j\ l_i\ s$

definition *gt-state* :: $(i, 'a)$ state $\Rightarrow (i, 'a)$ state $\Rightarrow bool$ (**infixl** \succ_x 100) where

$s \succ_x s' \equiv$

$\exists\ x_i\ x_j\ l_i.$

let $dir = if\ \langle \mathcal{V}\ s \rangle\ x_i <_{lb}\ \mathcal{B}_l\ s\ x_i$ then *Positive* else *Negative* in

$gt-state'\ dir\ s\ s'\ x_i\ x_j\ l_i$

abbreviation *succ* :: $(i, 'a)$ state $\Rightarrow (i, 'a)$ state $\Rightarrow bool$ (**infixl** \succ 100) where

$s \succ s' \equiv \Delta\ (\mathcal{T}\ s) \wedge \diamond\ s \wedge \models_{noIhs}\ s \wedge \nabla\ s \wedge s \succ_x s' \wedge \mathcal{B}_i\ s' = \mathcal{B}_i\ s \wedge \mathcal{U}_c\ s' = \mathcal{U}_c\ s$

abbreviation $\text{succ-rel} :: ('i, 'a) \text{ state rel where}$

$$\text{succ-rel} \equiv \{(s, s'). s \succ s'\}$$

abbreviation $\text{succ-rel-trancl} :: ('i, 'a) \text{ state} \Rightarrow ('i, 'a) \text{ state} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infixl** \succ^+ 100)

where

$$s \succ^+ s' \equiv (s, s') \in \text{succ-rel}^+$$

abbreviation $\text{succ-rel-rtrancl} :: ('i, 'a) \text{ state} \Rightarrow ('i, 'a) \text{ state} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infixl** \succ^* 100)

where

$$s \succ^* s' \equiv (s, s') \in \text{succ-rel}^*$$

lemma succ-vars :

assumes $s \succ s'$

obtains $x_i x_j$ **where**

$$x_i \in \text{lvars } (\mathcal{T} s)$$

$$x_j \in \text{rvars-of-lvar } (\mathcal{T} s) \quad x_i x_j \in \text{rvars } (\mathcal{T} s)$$

$$\text{lvars } (\mathcal{T} s') = \text{lvars } (\mathcal{T} s) - \{x_i\} \cup \{x_j\}$$

$$\text{rvars } (\mathcal{T} s') = \text{rvars } (\mathcal{T} s) - \{x_j\} \cup \{x_i\}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma succ-vars-id :

assumes $s \succ s'$

shows $\text{lvars } (\mathcal{T} s) \cup \text{rvars } (\mathcal{T} s) =$

$$\text{lvars } (\mathcal{T} s') \cup \text{rvars } (\mathcal{T} s')$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma succ-inv :

assumes $s \succ s'$

shows $\Delta (\mathcal{T} s') \nabla s' \diamond s' \mathcal{B}_i s = \mathcal{B}_i s'$

$$(v :: 'a \text{ valuation}) \models_t (\mathcal{T} s) \longleftrightarrow v \models_t (\mathcal{T} s')$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{succ-rvar-valuation-id}$:

assumes $s \succ s' \quad x \in \text{rvars } (\mathcal{T} s) \quad x \in \text{rvars } (\mathcal{T} s')$

shows $\langle \mathcal{V} s \rangle x = \langle \mathcal{V} s' \rangle x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{succ-min-lvar-not-in-bounds}$:

assumes $s \succ s'$

$$xr \in \text{lvars } (\mathcal{T} s) \quad xr \in \text{rvars } (\mathcal{T} s')$$

shows $\neg \text{in-bounds } xr (\langle \mathcal{V} s \rangle) (\mathcal{B} s)$

$$\forall x \in \text{lvars } (\mathcal{T} s). x < xr \longrightarrow \text{in-bounds } x (\langle \mathcal{V} s \rangle) (\mathcal{B} s)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma succ-min-rvar :

assumes $s \succ s'$

$$xs \in \text{lvars } (\mathcal{T} s) \quad xs \in \text{rvars } (\mathcal{T} s')$$

$$xr \in \text{rvars } (\mathcal{T} s) \quad xr \in \text{lvars } (\mathcal{T} s')$$

$eq = eq\text{-for-lvar } (\mathcal{T} s) xs$ **and**
 $dir: dir = Positive \vee dir = Negative$
shows
 $\neg \triangleright_{lb} (lt\ dir) (\langle \mathcal{V} s \rangle xs) (LB\ dir\ s\ xs) \longrightarrow$
 $reasable\text{-var } dir\ xr\ eq\ s \wedge (\forall x \in rvars\text{-eq } eq. x < xr \longrightarrow \neg reasable\text{-var}$
 $dir\ x\ eq\ s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma succ-set-on-bound:

assumes
 $s \succ s' x_i \in lvars (\mathcal{T} s) x_i \in rvars (\mathcal{T} s')$ **and**
 $dir: dir = Positive \vee dir = Negative$
shows
 $\neg \triangleright_{lb} (lt\ dir) (\langle \mathcal{V} s \rangle x_i) (LB\ dir\ s\ x_i) \longrightarrow \langle \mathcal{V} s' \rangle x_i = the (LB\ dir\ s\ x_i)$
 $\langle \mathcal{V} s' \rangle x_i = the (\mathcal{B}_l\ s\ x_i) \vee \langle \mathcal{V} s' \rangle x_i = the (\mathcal{B}_u\ s\ x_i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma succ-rvar-valuation:

assumes
 $s \succ s' x \in rvars (\mathcal{T} s')$
shows
 $\langle \mathcal{V} s' \rangle x = \langle \mathcal{V} s \rangle x \vee \langle \mathcal{V} s' \rangle x = the (\mathcal{B}_l\ s\ x) \vee \langle \mathcal{V} s' \rangle x = the (\mathcal{B}_u\ s\ x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma succ-no-vars-valuation:

assumes
 $s \succ s' x \notin tvars (\mathcal{T} s')$
shows $look (\mathcal{V} s') x = look (\mathcal{V} s) x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma succ-valuation-satisfies:

assumes $s \succ s' \langle \mathcal{V} s \rangle \models_t \mathcal{T} s$
shows $\langle \mathcal{V} s' \rangle \models_t \mathcal{T} s'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma succ-tableau-validated:

assumes $s \succ s' \nabla s$
shows $\nabla s'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation succ-chain where

$succ\text{-chain } l \equiv rel\text{-chain } l\ succ\text{-rel}$

lemma succ-chain-induct:

assumes *: $succ\text{-chain } l\ i \leq j\ j < length\ l$
assumes base: $\bigwedge i. P\ i\ i$
assumes step: $\bigwedge i. l! i \succ (l! (i + 1)) \implies P\ i\ (i + 1)$
assumes trans: $\bigwedge i\ j\ k. \llbracket P\ i\ j; P\ j\ k; i < j; j \leq k \rrbracket \implies P\ i\ k$

shows $P\ i\ j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succ-chain-bounds-id*:
assumes $succ-chain\ l\ i \leq j\ j < length\ l$
shows $\mathcal{B}_i\ (l!\ i) = \mathcal{B}_i\ (l!\ j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succ-chain-vars-id'*:
assumes $succ-chain\ l\ i \leq j\ j < length\ l$
shows $lvars\ (\mathcal{T}\ (l!\ i)) \cup rvars\ (\mathcal{T}\ (l!\ i)) =$
 $lvars\ (\mathcal{T}\ (l!\ j)) \cup rvars\ (\mathcal{T}\ (l!\ j))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succ-chain-vars-id*:
assumes $succ-chain\ l\ i < length\ l\ j < length\ l$
shows $lvars\ (\mathcal{T}\ (l!\ i)) \cup rvars\ (\mathcal{T}\ (l!\ i)) =$
 $lvars\ (\mathcal{T}\ (l!\ j)) \cup rvars\ (\mathcal{T}\ (l!\ j))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succ-chain-tableau-equiv'*:
assumes $succ-chain\ l\ i \leq j\ j < length\ l$
shows $(v::'a\ valuation) \models_t \mathcal{T}\ (l!\ i) \longleftrightarrow v \models_t \mathcal{T}\ (l!\ j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succ-chain-tableau-equiv*:
assumes $succ-chain\ l\ i < length\ l\ j < length\ l$
shows $(v::'a\ valuation) \models_t \mathcal{T}\ (l!\ i) \longleftrightarrow v \models_t \mathcal{T}\ (l!\ j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succ-chain-no-vars-valuation*:
assumes $succ-chain\ l\ i \leq j\ j < length\ l$
shows $\forall x. x \notin tvars\ (\mathcal{T}\ (l!\ i)) \longrightarrow look\ (\mathcal{V}\ (l!\ i))\ x = look\ (\mathcal{V}\ (l!\ j))\ x$ **(is**
 $?P\ i\ j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succ-chain-rvar-valuation*:
assumes $succ-chain\ l\ i \leq j\ j < length\ l$
shows $\forall x \in rvars\ (\mathcal{T}\ (l!\ j)).$
 $\langle \mathcal{V}\ (l!\ j) \rangle\ x = \langle \mathcal{V}\ (l!\ i) \rangle\ x \vee$
 $\langle \mathcal{V}\ (l!\ j) \rangle\ x = the\ (\mathcal{B}_l\ (l!\ i))\ x \vee$
 $\langle \mathcal{V}\ (l!\ j) \rangle\ x = the\ (\mathcal{B}_u\ (l!\ i))\ x$ **(is** $?P\ i\ j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succ-chain-valuation-satisfies*:
assumes $succ-chain\ l\ i \leq j\ j < length\ l$
shows $\langle \mathcal{V}\ (l!\ i) \rangle \models_t \mathcal{T}\ (l!\ i) \longrightarrow \langle \mathcal{V}\ (l!\ j) \rangle \models_t \mathcal{T}\ (l!\ j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succ-chain-tableau-validated:*

assumes *succ-chain* $l \ i \leq j \ j < \text{length } l$

shows $\nabla (l! i) \longrightarrow \nabla (l! j)$

<proof>

abbreviation *swap-lr where*

swap-lr $l \ i \ x \equiv i + 1 < \text{length } l \wedge x \in \text{lvars } (\mathcal{T} (l! i)) \wedge x \in \text{rvars } (\mathcal{T} (l! (i + 1)))$

abbreviation *swap-rl where*

swap-rl $l \ i \ x \equiv i + 1 < \text{length } l \wedge x \in \text{rvars } (\mathcal{T} (l! i)) \wedge x \in \text{lvars } (\mathcal{T} (l! (i + 1)))$

abbreviation *always-r where*

always-r $l \ i \ j \ x \equiv \forall k. i \leq k \wedge k \leq j \longrightarrow x \in \text{rvars } (\mathcal{T} (l! k))$

lemma *succ-chain-always-r-valuation-id:*

assumes *succ-chain* $l \ i \leq j \ j < \text{length } l$

shows *always-r* $l \ i \ j \ x \longrightarrow \langle \mathcal{V} (l! i) \rangle x = \langle \mathcal{V} (l! j) \rangle x$ (**is** *?P* $i \ j$)

<proof>

lemma *succ-chain-swap-rl-exists:*

assumes *succ-chain* $l \ i < j \ j < \text{length } l$

$x \in \text{rvars } (\mathcal{T} (l! i)) \ x \in \text{lvars } (\mathcal{T} (l! j))$

shows $\exists k. i \leq k \wedge k < j \wedge \text{swap-rl } l \ k \ x$

<proof>

lemma *succ-chain-swap-lr-exists:*

assumes *succ-chain* $l \ i < j \ j < \text{length } l$

$x \in \text{lvars } (\mathcal{T} (l! i)) \ x \in \text{rvars } (\mathcal{T} (l! j))$

shows $\exists k. i \leq k \wedge k < j \wedge \text{swap-lr } l \ k \ x$

<proof>

lemma *finite-tableaus-aux:*

shows *finite* $\{t. \text{lvars } t = L \wedge \text{rvars } t = V - L \wedge \Delta t \wedge (\forall v::'a \text{ valuation. } v \models_t t = v \models_t t0)\}$ (**is** *finite* (*?Al* L))

<proof>

lemma *finite-tableaus:*

assumes *finite* V

shows *finite* $\{t. \text{tvars } t = V \wedge \Delta t \wedge (\forall v::'a \text{ valuation. } v \models_t t = v \models_t t0)\}$ (**is** *finite* *?A*)

<proof>

lemma *finite-accessible-tableaus:*

shows *finite* $(\mathcal{T} \text{ ' } \{s'. s \succ^* s'\})$

<proof>

abbreviation *check-valuation* **where**

check-valuation ($v::'a$ valuation) $v0$ $bl0$ $bu0$ $t0$ $V \equiv$
 $\exists t. \text{tvars } t = V \wedge \Delta t \wedge (\forall v::'a \text{ valuation. } v \models_t t = v \models_t t0) \wedge v \models_t t \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in \text{rvars } t. v x = v0 x \vee v x = bl0 x \vee v x = bu0 x) \wedge$
 $(\forall x. x \notin V \longrightarrow v x = v0 x)$

lemma *finite-valuations*:

assumes *finite* V

shows *finite* $\{v::'a \text{ valuation. } \text{check-valuation } v \text{ } v0 \text{ } bl0 \text{ } bu0 \text{ } t0 \text{ } V\}$ (**is** *finite* $?A$)

<proof>

lemma *finite-accessible-valuations*:

shows *finite* $(\mathcal{V} \text{ ' } \{s'. s \succ^* s'\})$

<proof>

lemma *accessible-bounds*:

shows $\mathcal{B}_i \text{ ' } \{s'. s \succ^* s'\} = \{\mathcal{B}_i s\}$

<proof>

lemma *accessible-unsat-core*:

shows $\mathcal{U}_c \text{ ' } \{s'. s \succ^* s'\} = \{\mathcal{U}_c s\}$

<proof>

lemma *state-eqI*:

$\mathcal{B}_{il} s = \mathcal{B}_{il} s' \implies \mathcal{B}_{iu} s = \mathcal{B}_{iu} s' \implies$

$\mathcal{T} s = \mathcal{T} s' \implies \mathcal{V} s = \mathcal{V} s' \implies$

$\mathcal{U} s = \mathcal{U} s' \implies \mathcal{U}_c s = \mathcal{U}_c s' \implies$

$s = s'$

<proof>

lemma *finite-accessible-states*:

shows *finite* $\{s'. s \succ^* s'\}$ (**is** *finite* $?A$)

<proof>

lemma *acyclic-suc-rel*: *acyclic succ-rel*

<proof>

lemma *check-unsat-terminates*:

assumes $\mathcal{U} s$

shows *check-dom* s

<proof>

lemma *check-sat-terminates'-aux*:

assumes

dir: *dir* = (if $\langle \mathcal{V} s \rangle x_i <_{lb} \mathcal{B}_l s x_i$ then Positive else Negative) and
 \ast : $\bigwedge s'. \llbracket s \succ s'; \nabla s'; \Delta (\mathcal{T} s'); \diamond s'; \models_{noth_s} s' \rrbracket \implies \text{check-dom } s'$ and
 $\nabla s \Delta (\mathcal{T} s) \diamond s \models_{noth_s} s$
 $\neg \mathcal{U} s \text{ min-lvar-not-in-bounds } s = \text{Some } x_i$
 $\triangleleft_{lb} (lt \text{ dir}) (\langle \mathcal{V} s \rangle x_i) (LB \text{ dir } s x_i)$

shows *check-dom*

(case *min-rvar-incdec* *dir* *s* x_i of *Inl* *I* \implies *set-unsat* *I* *s*
 $|$ *Inr* $x_j \implies$ *pivot-and-update* x_i x_j (the (LB *dir* *s* x_i)) *s*)

<proof>

lemma *check-sat-terminates'*:

assumes $\nabla s \Delta (\mathcal{T} s) \diamond s \models_{noth_s} s s_0 \succ^* s$

shows *check-dom* *s*

<proof>

lemma *check-sat-terminates*:

assumes $\nabla s \Delta (\mathcal{T} s) \diamond s \models_{noth_s} s$

shows *check-dom* *s*

<proof>

lemma *check-cases*:

assumes $\mathcal{U} s \implies P s$

assumes $\llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} s; \text{min-lvar-not-in-bounds } s = \text{None} \rrbracket \implies P s$

assumes $\bigwedge x_i \text{ dir } I$.

$\llbracket \text{dir} = \text{Positive} \vee \text{dir} = \text{Negative};$
 $\neg \mathcal{U} s; \text{min-lvar-not-in-bounds } s = \text{Some } x_i;$
 $\triangleleft_{lb} (lt \text{ dir}) (\langle \mathcal{V} s \rangle x_i) (LB \text{ dir } s x_i);$
 $\text{min-rvar-incdec } \text{dir } s x_i = \text{Inl } I \rrbracket \implies$
 $P (\text{set-unsat } I s)$

assumes $\bigwedge x_i x_j l_i \text{ dir}$.

$\llbracket \text{dir} = (\text{if } \langle \mathcal{V} s \rangle x_i <_{lb} \mathcal{B}_l s x_i \text{ then Positive else Negative});$
 $\neg \mathcal{U} s; \text{min-lvar-not-in-bounds } s = \text{Some } x_i;$
 $\triangleleft_{lb} (lt \text{ dir}) (\langle \mathcal{V} s \rangle x_i) (LB \text{ dir } s x_i);$
 $\text{min-rvar-incdec } \text{dir } s x_i = \text{Inr } x_j;$
 $l_i = \text{the } (LB \text{ dir } s x_i);$
 $\text{check}' \text{ dir } x_i s = \text{pivot-and-update } x_i x_j l_i s \rrbracket \implies$
 $P (\text{check } (\text{pivot-and-update } x_i x_j l_i s))$

assumes $\Delta (\mathcal{T} s) \diamond s \models_{noth_s} s$

shows *P* (*check* *s*)

<proof>

lemma *check-induct*:

fixes $s :: ('i, 'a) \text{ state}$

assumes $\ast: \nabla s \Delta (\mathcal{T} s) \models_{noth_s} s \diamond s$

assumes $\ast\ast$:

$\bigwedge s. \mathcal{U} s \implies P s s$

$\bigwedge s. \llbracket \neg \mathcal{U} s; \text{min-lvar-not-in-bounds } s = \text{None} \rrbracket \implies P s s$

$\bigwedge s x_i \text{ dir } I. \llbracket \text{dir} = \text{Positive} \vee \text{dir} = \text{Negative}; \neg \mathcal{U} s; \text{min-lvar-not-in-bounds}$
 $s = \text{Some } x_i;$
 $\triangleleft_{lb} (\text{lt dir}) (\langle \mathcal{V} s \rangle x_i) (\text{LB dir } s x_i); \text{min-rvar-incdec dir } s x_i = \text{Inl } I \rrbracket$
 $\implies P s (\text{set-unsat } I s)$
assumes step' : $\bigwedge s x_i x_j l_i. \llbracket \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s; x_i \in \text{lvars } (\mathcal{T} s); x_j \in \text{rvars-eq}$
 $(\text{eq-for-lvar } (\mathcal{T} s) x_i) \rrbracket \implies P s (\text{pivot-and-update } x_i x_j l_i s)$
assumes trans' : $\bigwedge si sj sk. \llbracket P si sj; P sj sk \rrbracket \implies P si sk$
shows $P s (\text{check } s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{check-induct}'$:
fixes $s :: ('i, 'a) \text{ state}$
assumes $\nabla s \Delta (\mathcal{T} s) \models_{\text{noths}} s \diamond s$
assumes $\bigwedge s x_i \text{ dir } I. \llbracket \text{dir} = \text{Positive} \vee \text{dir} = \text{Negative}; \neg \mathcal{U} s; \text{min-lvar-not-in-bounds}$
 $s = \text{Some } x_i;$
 $\triangleleft_{lb} (\text{lt dir}) (\langle \mathcal{V} s \rangle x_i) (\text{LB dir } s x_i); \text{min-rvar-incdec dir } s x_i = \text{Inl } I; P s \rrbracket$
 $\implies P (\text{set-unsat } I s)$
assumes $\bigwedge s x_i x_j l_i. \llbracket \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \nabla s; x_i \in \text{lvars } (\mathcal{T} s); x_j \in \text{rvars-eq } (\text{eq-for-lvar}$
 $(\mathcal{T} s) x_i); P s \rrbracket \implies P (\text{pivot-and-update } x_i x_j l_i s)$
assumes $P s$
shows $P (\text{check } s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{check-induct}''$:
fixes $s :: ('i, 'a) \text{ state}$
assumes $*$: $\nabla s \Delta (\mathcal{T} s) \models_{\text{noths}} s \diamond s$
assumes $**$:
 $\mathcal{U} s \implies P s$
 $\bigwedge s. \llbracket \nabla s; \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \models_{\text{noths}} s; \diamond s; \neg \mathcal{U} s; \text{min-lvar-not-in-bounds } s = \text{None} \rrbracket$
 $\implies P s$
 $\bigwedge s x_i \text{ dir } I. \llbracket \text{dir} = \text{Positive} \vee \text{dir} = \text{Negative}; \nabla s; \Delta (\mathcal{T} s); \models_{\text{noths}} s; \diamond s;$
 $\neg \mathcal{U} s;$
 $\text{min-lvar-not-in-bounds } s = \text{Some } x_i; \triangleleft_{lb} (\text{lt dir}) (\langle \mathcal{V} s \rangle x_i) (\text{LB dir } s x_i);$
 $\text{min-rvar-incdec dir } s x_i = \text{Inl } I \rrbracket$
 $\implies P (\text{set-unsat } I s)$
shows $P (\text{check } s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

lemma poly-eval-update : $(p \ \S \ v \ (x := c :: 'a :: \text{lrval}) \ \S) = (p \ \S \ v \ \S) + \text{coeff } p \ x \ *R$
 $(c - v \ x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{bounds-consistent-set-unsat[simp]}$: $\diamond (\text{set-unsat } I s) = \diamond s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *curr-val-satisfies-no-lhs-set-unsat[simp]*: $(\models_{no\ l\ h\ s} (set\ unsat\ I\ s)) = (\models_{no\ l\ h\ s} s)$
 <proof>

context *PivotUpdateMinVars*

begin

context

fixes *rhs-eq-val* :: $(var, 'a::lrv)$ *mapping* $\Rightarrow var \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow eq \Rightarrow 'a$

assumes *RhsEqVal rhs-eq-val*

begin

lemma *check-minimal-unsat-state-core*:

assumes *: $\neg \mathcal{U}\ s \models_{no\ l\ h\ s} s \diamond s \triangle (\mathcal{T}\ s) \nabla s$

shows $\mathcal{U}\ (check\ s) \longrightarrow minimal\ unsat\ state\ core\ (check\ s)$

(**is** *?P (check s)*)

<proof>

lemma *Check-check: Check check*

<proof>

end

end

6.8 Symmetries

Simplex algorithm exhibits many symmetric cases. For example, *assert-bound* treats atoms *Leq x c* and *Geq x c* in a symmetric manner, *check-inc* and *check-dec* are symmetric, etc. These symmetric cases differ only in several aspects: order relations between numbers ($<$ vs $>$ and \leq vs \geq), the role of lower and upper bounds (\mathcal{B}_l vs \mathcal{B}_u) and their updating functions, comparisons with bounds (e.g., \geq_{ub} vs \leq_{lb} or $<_{lb}$ vs $>_{ub}$), and atom constructors (*Leq* and *Geq*). These can be attributed to two different orientations (positive and negative) of rational axis. To avoid duplicating definitions and proofs, *assert-bound* definition cases for *Leq* and *Geq* are replaced by a call to a newly introduced function parametrized by a *Direction* — a record containing minimal set of aspects listed above that differ in two definition cases such that other aspects can be derived from them (e.g., only $<$ need to be stored while \leq can be derived from it). Two constants of the type *Direction* are defined: *Positive* (with $<$, \leq orders, \mathcal{B}_l for lower and \mathcal{B}_u for upper bounds and their corresponding updating functions, and *Leq* constructor) and *Negative* (completely opposite from the previous one). Similarly, *check-inc* and *check-dec* are replaced by a new function *check-incdec* parametrized by a *Direction*. All lemmas, previously repeated for each symmetric instance, were replaced by a more abstract one, again parametrized by a *Direction* parameter.

6.9 Concrete implementation

It is easy to give a concrete implementation of the initial state constructor, which satisfies the specification of the *Init* locale. For example:

definition *init-state* :: - \Rightarrow ('i,'a :: zero)state **where**
init-state t = State t Mapping.empty Mapping.empty (Mapping.tabulate (vars-list t) (λ v. 0)) False None

interpretation *Init init-state* :: - \Rightarrow ('i,'a :: lrv)state
 <proof>

definition *min-lvar-not-in-bounds* :: ('i,'a::{linorder,zero}) state \Rightarrow var option
where
min-lvar-not-in-bounds s \equiv
min-satisfying (λ x. \neg in-bounds x ($\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$ s)) (\mathcal{B} s)) (map lhs (\mathcal{T} s))

interpretation *MinLVarNotInBounds min-lvar-not-in-bounds* :: ('i,'a::lrv) state
 \Rightarrow -
 <proof>

definition *unsat-indices* :: ('i,'a :: linorder) Direction \Rightarrow ('i,'a) state \Rightarrow var list
 \Rightarrow eq \Rightarrow 'i list **where**
unsat-indices dir s vs eq = (let r = rhs eq; li = LI dir s; ui = UI dir s in
 remdups (li (lhs eq) # map (λ x. if coeff r x < 0 then li x else ui x) vs))

definition *min-rvar-incdec-eq* :: ('i,'a) Direction \Rightarrow ('i,'a::lrv) state \Rightarrow eq \Rightarrow 'i list
 + var **where**
min-rvar-incdec-eq dir s eq = (let rvars = Abstract-Linear-Poly.vars-list (rhs eq)
 in case *min-satisfying* (λ x. reasable-var dir x eq s) rvars of
 None \Rightarrow Inl (unsat-indices dir s rvars eq)
 | Some x_j \Rightarrow Inr x_j)

interpretation *MinRVarsEq min-rvar-incdec-eq* :: ('i,'a :: lrv) Direction \Rightarrow -
 <proof>

primrec *eq-idx-for-lvar-aux* :: tableau \Rightarrow var \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat **where**
eq-idx-for-lvar-aux [] x i = i
 | *eq-idx-for-lvar-aux* (eq # t) x i =
 (if lhs eq = x then i else *eq-idx-for-lvar-aux* t x (i+1))

definition *eq-idx-for-lvar* **where**
eq-idx-for-lvar t x \equiv *eq-idx-for-lvar-aux* t x 0

lemma *eq-idx-for-lvar-aux*:
assumes $x \in \text{lvars } t$
shows $\text{let } idx = \text{eq-idx-for-lvar-aux } t \ x \ i \ \text{in}$
 $i \leq idx \wedge idx < i + \text{length } t \wedge \text{lhs } (t ! (idx - i)) = x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

global-interpretation *EqForLVarDefault*: *EqForLVar eq-idx-for-lvar*
defines *eq-for-lvar-code* = *EqForLVarDefault.eq-for-lvar*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *pivot-eq* :: $eq \Rightarrow \text{var} \Rightarrow eq$ **where**
 $\text{pivot-eq } e \ y \equiv \text{let } cy = \text{coeff } (rhs \ e) \ y \ \text{in}$
 $(y, (-1/cy) *R ((rhs \ e) - cy *R (Var \ y)) + (1/cy) *R (Var (lhs \ e)))$

lemma *pivot-eq-satisfies-eq*:
assumes $y \in \text{rvars-eq } e$
shows $v \models_e e = v \models_e \text{pivot-eq } e \ y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pivot-eq-rvars*:
assumes $x \in \text{vars } (rhs \ (\text{pivot-eq } e \ v)) \ x \neq \text{lhs } e \ \text{coeff } (rhs \ e) \ v \neq 0 \ v \neq \text{lhs } e$
shows $x \in \text{vars } (rhs \ e)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation *PivotEq pivot-eq*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *subst-var*:: $\text{var} \Rightarrow \text{linear-poly} \Rightarrow \text{linear-poly} \Rightarrow \text{linear-poly}$ **where**
 $\text{subst-var } v \ lp' \ lp \equiv lp + (\text{coeff } lp \ v) *R \ lp' - (\text{coeff } lp \ v) *R (Var \ v)$

definition *subst-var-eq-code* = *SubstVar.subst-var-eq subst-var*

global-interpretation *SubstVar subst-var rewrites*
 $\text{SubstVar.subst-var-eq } \text{subst-var} = \text{subst-var-eq-code}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *rhs-eq-val* **where**

rhs-eq-val $v\ x_i\ c\ e \equiv \text{let } x_j = \text{lhs } e; a_{ij} = \text{coeff } (\text{rhs } e)\ x_i \text{ in}$
 $\langle v \rangle x_j + a_{ij} *R (c - \langle v \rangle x_i)$

definition *update-code* = *RhsEqVal.update rhs-eq-val*

definition *assert-bound'-code* = *Update.assert-bound' update-code*

definition *assert-bound-code* = *Update.assert-bound update-code*

global-interpretation *RhsEqValDefault'*: *RhsEqVal rhs-eq-val*

rewrites

RhsEqVal.update rhs-eq-val = *update-code* **and**

Update.assert-bound update-code = *assert-bound-code* **and**

Update.assert-bound' update-code = *assert-bound'-code*

<proof>

sublocale *PivotUpdateMinVars* < *Check check*

<proof>

definition *pivot-code* = *Pivot'.pivot eq-idx-for-lvar pivot-eq subst-var*

definition *pivot-tableau-code* = *Pivot'.pivot-tableau eq-idx-for-lvar pivot-eq subst-var*

global-interpretation *Pivot'Default'*: *Pivot' eq-idx-for-lvar pivot-eq subst-var*

rewrites

Pivot'.pivot eq-idx-for-lvar pivot-eq subst-var = *pivot-code* **and**

Pivot'.pivot-tableau eq-idx-for-lvar pivot-eq subst-var = *pivot-tableau-code* **and**

SubstVar.subst-var-eq subst-var = *subst-var-eq-code*

<proof>

definition *pivot-and-update-code* = *PivotUpdate.pivot-and-update pivot-code up-date-code*

global-interpretation *PivotUpdateDefault'*: *PivotUpdate eq-idx-for-lvar pivot-code update-code*

rewrites

PivotUpdate.pivot-and-update pivot-code update-code = *pivot-and-update-code*

<proof>

sublocale *Update* < *AssertBoundNoLhs assert-bound*

<proof>

definition *check-code* = *PivotUpdateMinVars.check eq-idx-for-lvar min-lvar-not-in-bounds min-rvar-incdec-eq pivot-and-update-code*

definition *check'-code* = *PivotUpdateMinVars.check' eq-idx-for-lvar min-rvar-incdec-eq pivot-and-update-code*

global-interpretation *PivotUpdateMinVarsDefault'*: *PivotUpdateMinVars eq-idx-for-lvar min-lvar-not-in-bounds min-rvar-incdec-eq pivot-and-update-code*

rewrites

PivotUpdateMinVars.check eq-idx-for-lvar min-lvar-not-in-bounds min-rvar-incdec-eq

pivot-and-update-code = *check-code* **and**
PivotUpdateMin Vars.check' eq-idx-for-lvar min-rvar-incdec-eq pivot-and-update-code
= *check'-code*
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *assert-code* = *Assert'.assert assert-bound-code check-code*

global-interpretation *Assert'Default: Assert' assert-bound-code check-code*
rewrites
Assert'.assert assert-bound-code check-code = *assert-code*
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *assert-bound-loop-code* = *AssertAllState''.assert-bound-loop assert-bound-code*
definition *assert-all-state-code* = *AssertAllState''.assert-all-state init-state assert-bound-code*
check-code

definition *assert-all-code* = *AssertAllState.assert-all assert-all-state-code*

global-interpretation *AssertAllStateDefault: AssertAllState'' init-state assert-bound-code*
check-code

rewrites
AssertAllState''.assert-bound-loop assert-bound-code = *assert-bound-loop-code*
and
AssertAllState''.assert-all-state init-state assert-bound-code check-code = *as-*
sert-all-state-code **and**
AssertAllState.assert-all assert-all-state-code = *assert-all-code*
⟨*proof*⟩

primrec

monom-to-atom:: QDelta ns-constraint ⇒ QDelta atom **where**
monom-to-atom (LEQ-ns l r) = (if (monom-coeff l < 0) then
(Geq (monom-var l) (r /R monom-coeff l))
else
(Leq (monom-var l) (r /R monom-coeff l)))
| *monom-to-atom (GEQ-ns l r) = (if (monom-coeff l < 0) then*
(Leq (monom-var l) (r /R monom-coeff l))
else
(Geq (monom-var l) (r /R monom-coeff l)))

primrec

qdelta-constraint-to-atom:: QDelta ns-constraint ⇒ var ⇒ QDelta atom **where**
qdelta-constraint-to-atom (LEQ-ns l r) v = (if (is-monom l) then (monom-to-atom
(LEQ-ns l r)) else (Leq v r))
| *qdelta-constraint-to-atom (GEQ-ns l r) v = (if (is-monom l) then (monom-to-atom*
(GEQ-ns l r)) else (Geq v r))

primrec

qdelta-constraint-to-atom' :: *QDelta ns-constraint* \Rightarrow *var* \Rightarrow *QDelta atom* **where**
qdelta-constraint-to-atom' (*LEQ-ns l r*) *v* = (*Leq v r*)
| *qdelta-constraint-to-atom'* (*GEQ-ns l r*) *v* = (*Geq v r*)

fun *linear-poly-to-eq* :: *linear-poly* \Rightarrow *var* \Rightarrow *eq* **where**

linear-poly-to-eq p v = (*v, p*)

datatype *'i istate* = *IState*

(*FirstFreshVariable*: *var*)

(*Tableau*: *tableau*)

(*Atoms*: (*'i, QDelta*) *i-atom list*)

(*Poly-Mapping*: *linear-poly* \rightarrow *var*)

(*UnsatIndices*: *'i list*)

primrec *zero-satisfies* :: *'a* :: *lrv ns-constraint* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

zero-satisfies (*LEQ-ns l r*) \longleftrightarrow $0 \leq r$

| *zero-satisfies* (*GEQ-ns l r*) \longleftrightarrow $0 \geq r$

lemma *zero-satisfies*: *poly c = 0* \Longrightarrow *zero-satisfies c* \Longrightarrow *v* \models_{ns} *c*

(*proof*)

lemma *not-zero-satisfies*: *poly c = 0* \Longrightarrow \neg *zero-satisfies c* \Longrightarrow \neg *v* \models_{ns} *c*

(*proof*)

fun

preprocess' :: (*'i, QDelta*) *i-ns-constraint list* \Rightarrow *var* \Rightarrow *'i istate* **where**

preprocess' [] *v* = *IState v* [] [] ($\lambda p. \text{None}$) []

| *preprocess'* ((*i, h*) # *t*) *v* = (*let s' = preprocess' t v*; *p = poly h*; *is-monom-h = is-monom p*;

v' = *FirstFreshVariable s'*;

t' = *Tableau s'*;

a' = *Atoms s'*;

m' = *Poly-Mapping s'*;

u' = *UnsatIndices s' in*

if is-monom-h then IState v' t'

((*i, qdelta-constraint-to-atom h v'*) # *a'*) *m' u'*

else if p = 0 then

if zero-satisfies h then s' else

IState v' t' a' m' (i # u')

else (case m' p of Some v \Rightarrow

IState v' t' ((i, qdelta-constraint-to-atom h v) # a') m' u'

| *None* \Rightarrow *IState (v' + 1) (linear-poly-to-eq p v' # t')*

((*i, qdelta-constraint-to-atom h v'*) # *a'*) (*m' (p \mapsto v')*) *u'*)

)

lemma *preprocess'-simps*: *preprocess' ((i, h) # t) v* = (*let s' = preprocess' t v*; *p*

```

= poly h; is-monom-h = is-monom p;
  v' = FirstFreshVariable s';
  t' = Tableau s';
  a' = Atoms s';
  m' = Poly-Mapping s';
  u' = UnsatIndices s' in
  if is-monom-h then IState v' t'
    ((i,monom-to-atom h) # a') m' u'
  else if p = 0 then
    if zero-satisfies h then s' else
      IState v' t' a' m' (i # u')
  else (case m' p of Some v =>
    IState v' t' ((i,qdelta-constraint-to-atom' h v) # a') m' u'
  | None => IState (v' + 1) (linear-poly-to-eq p v' # t')
    ((i,qdelta-constraint-to-atom' h v') # a') (m' (p ↦ v')) u')
) <proof>

```

lemmas preprocess'-code = preprocess'.simps(1) preprocess'-simps
declare preprocess'-code[code]

Normalization of constraints helps to identify same polynomials, e.g., the constraints $x + y \leq 5$ and $-2x - 2y \leq -12$ will be normalized to $x + y \leq 5$ and $x + y \geq 6$, so that only one slack-variable will be introduced for the polynomial $x + y$, and not another one for $-2x - 2y$. Normalization will take care that the max-var of the polynomial in the constraint will have coefficient 1 (if the polynomial is non-zero)

fun normalize-ns-constraint :: 'a :: lrv ns-constraint => 'a ns-constraint **where**
 normalize-ns-constraint (LEQ-ns l r) = (let v = max-var l; c = coeff l v in
 if c = 0 then LEQ-ns l r else
 let ic = inverse c in if c < 0 then GEQ-ns (ic *R l) (scaleRat ic r) else LEQ-ns
 (ic *R l) (scaleRat ic r))
 | normalize-ns-constraint (GEQ-ns l r) = (let v = max-var l; c = coeff l v in
 if c = 0 then GEQ-ns l r else
 let ic = inverse c in if c < 0 then LEQ-ns (ic *R l) (scaleRat ic r) else GEQ-ns
 (ic *R l) (scaleRat ic r))

lemma normalize-ns-constraint[simp]: $v \models_{ns} (\text{normalize-ns-constraint } c) \iff v \models_{ns} (c :: 'a :: lrv \text{ ns-constraint})$
 <proof>

declare normalize-ns-constraint.simps[simp del]

lemma i-satisfies-normalize-ns-constraint[simp]: $Iv \models_{inss} (\text{map-prod id normalize-ns-constraint } 'cs)$
 $\iff Iv \models_{inss} cs$
 <proof>

abbreviation max-var:: QDelta ns-constraint => var **where**

$max\text{-}var\ C \equiv Abstract\text{-}Linear\text{-}Poly.max\text{-}var\ (poly\ C)$

fun

$start\text{-}fresh\text{-}variable :: ('i, QDelta)\ i\text{-}ns\text{-}constraint\ list \Rightarrow var$ **where**
 $start\text{-}fresh\text{-}variable\ [] = 0$
 $| start\text{-}fresh\text{-}variable\ ((i,h)\#t) = max\ (max\text{-}var\ h + 1)\ (start\text{-}fresh\text{-}variable\ t)$

definition

$preprocess\text{-}part\ 1 :: ('i, QDelta)\ i\text{-}ns\text{-}constraint\ list \Rightarrow tableau \times (('i, QDelta)\ i\text{-}atom\ list) \times 'i\ list$ **where**
 $preprocess\text{-}part\ 1\ l \equiv let\ start = start\text{-}fresh\text{-}variable\ l; is = preprocess'\ l\ start\ in$
 $(Tableau\ is, Atoms\ is, UnsatIndices\ is)$

lemma $lhs\text{-}linear\text{-}poly\text{-}to\text{-}eq$ [simp]:

$lhs\ (linear\text{-}poly\text{-}to\text{-}eq\ h\ v) = v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rvars\text{-}eq\text{-}linear\text{-}poly\text{-}to\text{-}eq$ [simp]:

$rvars\text{-}eq\ (linear\text{-}poly\text{-}to\text{-}eq\ h\ v) = vars\ h$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $fresh\text{-}var\text{-}monoinc$:

$FirstFreshVariable\ (preprocess'\ cs\ start) \geq start$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation $vars\text{-}constraints$ **where**

$vars\text{-}constraints\ cs \equiv \bigcup\ (set\ (map\ vars\ (map\ poly\ cs)))$

lemma $start\text{-}fresh\text{-}variable\text{-}fresh$:

$\forall\ var \in vars\text{-}constraints\ (flat\text{-}list\ cs). var < start\text{-}fresh\text{-}variable\ cs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $vars\text{-}tableau\text{-}vars\text{-}constraints$:

$rvars\ (Tableau\ (preprocess'\ cs\ start)) \subseteq vars\text{-}constraints\ (flat\text{-}list\ cs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lvars\text{-}tableau\text{-}ge\text{-}start$:

$\forall\ var \in lvars\ (Tableau\ (preprocess'\ cs\ start)). var \geq start$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rhs\text{-}no\text{-}zero\text{-}tableau\text{-}start$:

$0 \notin rhs\ 'set\ (Tableau\ (preprocess'\ cs\ start))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $first\text{-}fresh\text{-}variable\text{-}not\text{-}in\text{-}lvars$:

$\forall\ var \in lvars\ (Tableau\ (preprocess'\ cs\ start)). FirstFreshVariable\ (preprocess'\ cs\ start) > var$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sat-atom-sat-eq-sat-constraint-non-monom*:

assumes $v \models_a \text{qdelta-constraint-to-atom } h \text{ var } v \models_e \text{linear-poly-to-eq } (\text{poly } h) \text{ var}$
 $\neg \text{is-monom } (\text{poly } h)$
shows $v \models_{ns} h$
<proof>

lemma *qdelta-constraint-to-atom-monom*:

assumes $\text{is-monom } (\text{poly } h)$
shows $v \models_a \text{qdelta-constraint-to-atom } h \text{ var} \longleftrightarrow v \models_{ns} h$
<proof>

lemma *preprocess'-Tableau-Poly-Mapping-None*: (*Poly-Mapping* (*preprocess' cs start*))

$p = \text{None}$
 $\implies \text{linear-poly-to-eq } p \text{ v} \notin \text{set } (\text{Tableau } (\text{preprocess' cs start}))$
<proof>

lemma *preprocess'-Tableau-Poly-Mapping-Some*: (*Poly-Mapping* (*preprocess' cs start*))

$p = \text{Some } v$
 $\implies \text{linear-poly-to-eq } p \text{ v} \in \text{set } (\text{Tableau } (\text{preprocess' cs start}))$
<proof>

lemma *preprocess'-Tableau-Poly-Mapping-Some'*: (*Poly-Mapping* (*preprocess' cs start*)) $p = \text{Some } v$

$\implies \exists h. \text{poly } h = p \wedge \neg \text{is-monom } (\text{poly } h) \wedge \text{qdelta-constraint-to-atom } h \text{ v} \in \text{flat } (\text{set } (\text{Atoms } (\text{preprocess' cs start})))$
<proof>

lemma *not-one-le-zero-qdelta*: $\neg (1 \leq (0 :: \text{QDelta}))$ *<proof>*

lemma *one-zero-contrad[dest,consumes 2]*: $1 \leq x \implies (x :: \text{QDelta}) \leq 0 \implies \text{False}$

<proof>

lemma *i-preprocess'-sat*:

assumes $(I, v) \models_{ias} \text{set } (\text{Atoms } (\text{preprocess' s start})) \text{ v} \models_t \text{Tableau } (\text{preprocess' s start})$
 $I \cap \text{set } (\text{UnsatIndices } (\text{preprocess' s start})) = \{\}$
shows $(I, v) \models_{inss} \text{set } s$
<proof>

lemma *preprocess'-sat*:

assumes $v \models_{as} \text{flat } (\text{set } (\text{Atoms } (\text{preprocess' s start}))) \text{ v} \models_t \text{Tableau } (\text{preprocess' s start})$
 $\text{set } (\text{UnsatIndices } (\text{preprocess' s start})) = \{\}$
shows $v \models_{nss} \text{flat } (\text{set } s)$
<proof>

lemma *sat-constraint-valuation*:

assumes $\forall \text{var} \in \text{vars } (\text{poly } c). v1 \text{ var} = v2 \text{ var}$

shows $v1 \models_{ns} c \longleftrightarrow v2 \models_{ns} c$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *atom-var-first*:

assumes $a \in \text{flat} (\text{set} (\text{Atoms} (\text{preprocess}' \text{ cs start}))) \forall \text{ var} \in \text{vars-constraints}$
 (*flat-list cs*). $\text{var} < \text{start}$

shows $\text{atom-var } a < \text{FirstFreshVariable} (\text{preprocess}' \text{ cs start})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *satisfies-tableau-satisfies-tableau*:

assumes $v1 \models_t t \forall \text{ var} \in \text{tvars } t. v1 \text{ var} = v2 \text{ var}$

shows $v2 \models_t t$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *preprocess'-unsat-indices*:

assumes $i \in \text{set} (\text{UnsatIndices} (\text{preprocess}' \text{ s start}))$

shows $\neg (\{i\}, v) \models_{inss} \text{set } s$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *preprocess'-unsat*:

assumes $(I, v) \models_{inss} \text{set } s \text{ vars-constraints} (\text{flat-list } s) \subseteq V \forall \text{ var} \in V. \text{var} < \text{start}$

shows $\exists v'. (\forall \text{ var} \in V. v \text{ var} = v' \text{ var})$
 $\wedge v' \models_{as} \text{restrict-to } I (\text{set} (\text{Atoms} (\text{preprocess}' \text{ s start})))$
 $\wedge v' \models_t \text{Tableau} (\text{preprocess}' \text{ s start})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *lvvars-distinct*:

distinct ($\text{map lhs} (\text{Tableau} (\text{preprocess}' \text{ cs start}))$)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *normalized-tableau-preprocess'*: $\Delta (\text{Tableau} (\text{preprocess}' \text{ cs} (\text{start-fresh-variable} \text{ cs})))$

⟨proof⟩

Improved preprocessing: Deletion. An equation $x = p$ can be deleted from the tableau, if x does not occur in the atoms.

lemma *delete-lhs-var*: **assumes** *norm*: Δt **and** $t: t = t1 @ (x, p) \# t2$

and $t': t' = t1 @ t2$

and $tv: tv = (\lambda v. \text{upd } x (p \{ \langle v \rangle \}) v)$

and $x\text{-as}: x \notin \text{atom-var 'snd ' set as}$

shows $\Delta t'$ — new tableau is normalized

$\langle w \rangle \models_t t' \implies \langle tv w \rangle \models_t t$ — solution of new tableau is translated to solution of old tableau

$(I, \langle w \rangle) \models_{ias} \text{set as} \implies (I, \langle tv w \rangle) \models_{ias} \text{set as}$ — solution translation also works for bounds

$v \models_t t \implies v \models_t t'$ — solution of old tableau is also solution for new tableau

⟨proof⟩

definition *pivot-tableau-eq* :: *tableau* \Rightarrow *eq* \Rightarrow *tableau* \Rightarrow *var* \Rightarrow *tableau* \times *eq* \times *tableau* **where**

pivot-tableau-eq *t1 eq t2 x* \equiv *let* *eq'* = *pivot-eq eq x*; *m* = *map* (λ *e. subst-var-eq x (rhs eq') e*) *in*
 (*m t1, eq', m t2*)

lemma *pivot-tableau-eq*: **assumes** *t*: *t* = *t1 @ eq # t2* *t'* = *t1' @ eq' # t2'*

and *x*: *x* \in *rvars-eq eq* **and** *norm*: Δ *t* **and** *pte*: *pivot-tableau-eq t1 eq t2 x* = (*t1',eq',t2'*)

shows Δ *t' lhs eq' = x (v :: 'a :: lrv valuation)* \models_t *t' \longleftrightarrow v \models_t t*
<proof>

function *preprocess-opt* :: *var set* \Rightarrow *tableau* \Rightarrow *tableau* \Rightarrow *tableau* \times ((*var,'a :: lrv*)*mapping* \Rightarrow (*var,'a*)*mapping*) **where**

preprocess-opt X t1 [] = (*t1,id*)
 | *preprocess-opt X t1 ((x,p) # t2)* = (*if* *x* \notin *X* *then*
 case *preprocess-opt X t1 t2* *of* (*t,tv*) \Rightarrow (*t, (\lambda v. upd x (p \Downarrow $\langle v \rangle$) v) o tv*)
 else *case* *find* ($\lambda x. x \notin X$) (*Abstract-Linear-Poly.vars-list p*) *of*
 None \Rightarrow *preprocess-opt X ((x,p) # t1) t2*
 | *Some y* \Rightarrow *case* *pivot-tableau-eq t1 (x,p) t2 y* *of*
 (*tt1,(z,q),tt2*) \Rightarrow *case* *preprocess-opt X tt1 tt2* *of* (*t,tv*) \Rightarrow (*t, (\lambda v. upd z (q \Downarrow $\langle v \rangle$) v) o tv*)
 <proof>

termination *<proof>*

lemma *preprocess-opt*: **assumes** *X* = *atom-var 'snd 'set as*

preprocess-opt X t1 t2 = (*t',tv*) Δ *t* = *rev t1 @ t2*

shows Δ *t'*

(*\langle w \rangle :: 'a :: lrv valuation*) \models_t *t' \Longrightarrow \langle tv w \rangle \models_t t*
 (*I, \langle w \rangle*) \models_{ias} *set as \Longrightarrow (I, \langle tv w \rangle) \models_{ias} set as*
v \models_t t \Longrightarrow (v :: 'a valuation) \models_t t'
<proof>

definition *preprocess-part-2* *as t* = *preprocess-opt (atom-var 'snd 'set as) [] t*

lemma *preprocess-part-2*: **assumes** *preprocess-part-2 as t* = (*t',tv*) Δ *t*

shows Δ *t'*

(*\langle w \rangle :: 'a :: lrv valuation*) \models_t *t' \Longrightarrow \langle tv w \rangle \models_t t*
 (*I, \langle w \rangle*) \models_{ias} *set as \Longrightarrow (I, \langle tv w \rangle) \models_{ias} set as*
v \models_t t \Longrightarrow (v :: 'a valuation) \models_t t'
<proof>

definition *preprocess* :: (*'i,QDelta*) *i-ns-constraint list* \Rightarrow $- \times - \times (- \Rightarrow$ (*var,QDelta*)*mapping*) \times *'i list* **where**

preprocess l = (*case* *preprocess-part-1* (*map* (*map-prod id normalize-ns-constraint*) *l*) *of*
 (*t,as,ui*) \Rightarrow *case* *preprocess-part-2 as t* *of* (*t,tv*) \Rightarrow (*t,as,tv,ui*))

lemma *preprocess*:

assumes *id*: $\text{preprocess } cs = (t, as, \text{trans-}v, ui)$

shows Δt

$\text{fst } ' \text{ set } as \cup \text{ set } ui \subseteq \text{fst } ' \text{ set } cs$

$\text{distinct-indices-ns } (\text{set } cs) \implies \text{distinct-indices-atoms } (\text{set } as)$

$I \cap \text{set } ui = \{\} \implies (I, \langle v \rangle) \models_{ias} \text{set } as \implies$

$\langle v \rangle \models_t t \implies (I, \langle \text{trans-}v \ v \rangle) \models_{inss} \text{set } cs$

$i \in \text{set } ui \implies \nexists v. (\{i\}, v) \models_{inss} \text{set } cs$

$\exists v. (I, v) \models_{inss} \text{set } cs \implies \exists v'. (I, v') \models_{ias} \text{set } as \wedge v' \models_t t$

<proof>

interpretation *PreprocessDefault*: *Preprocess preprocess*

<proof>

global-interpretation *Solve-exec-ns'Default*: *Solve-exec-ns' preprocess assert-all-code*

defines *solve-exec-ns-code* = *Solve-exec-ns'Default.solve-exec-ns*

<proof>

primrec

constraint-to-qdelta-constraint:: *constraint* \Rightarrow *QDelta ns-constraint list* **where**

constraint-to-qdelta-constraint (*LT* *l r*) = [*LEQ-ns l (QDelta.QDelta r (-1))*]

| *constraint-to-qdelta-constraint* (*GT* *l r*) = [*GEQ-ns l (QDelta.QDelta r 1)*]

| *constraint-to-qdelta-constraint* (*LEQ* *l r*) = [*LEQ-ns l (QDelta.QDelta r 0)*]

| *constraint-to-qdelta-constraint* (*GEQ* *l r*) = [*GEQ-ns l (QDelta.QDelta r 0)*]

| *constraint-to-qdelta-constraint* (*EQ* *l r*) = [*LEQ-ns l (QDelta.QDelta r 0), GEQ-ns l (QDelta.QDelta r 0)*]

primrec

i-constraint-to-qdelta-constraint:: *'i i-constraint* \Rightarrow (*'i, QDelta*) *i-ns-constraint list*

where

i-constraint-to-qdelta-constraint (*i, c*) = *map (Pair i) (constraint-to-qdelta-constraint c)*

definition

to-ns :: *'i i-constraint list* \Rightarrow (*'i, QDelta*) *i-ns-constraint list* **where**

to-ns l \equiv *concat (map i-constraint-to-qdelta-constraint l)*

primrec

$\delta 0$ -val :: *QDelta ns-constraint* \Rightarrow *QDelta valuation* \Rightarrow *rat* **where**

$\delta 0$ -val (*LEQ-ns lll rrr*) *vl* = $\delta 0$ *lll* {*vl*} *rrr*

| *$\delta 0$ -val* (*GEQ-ns lll rrr*) *vl* = $\delta 0$ *rrr* *lll* {*vl*}

primrec

$\delta 0$ -val-min :: *QDelta ns-constraint list* \Rightarrow *QDelta valuation* \Rightarrow *rat* **where**

$\delta 0$ -val-min [] *vl* = 1

| $\delta 0\text{-val-min } (h\#t) \text{ vl} = \text{min } (\delta 0\text{-val-min } t \text{ vl}) (\delta 0\text{-val } h \text{ vl})$

abbreviation *vars-list-constraints where*

vars-list-constraints cs \equiv *remdups (concat (map Abstract-Linear-Poly.vars-list (map poly cs)))*

definition

from-ns $::$ (*var*, *QDelta*) *mapping* \Rightarrow *QDelta ns-constraint list* \Rightarrow (*var*, *rat*) *mapping where*

from-ns vl cs \equiv *let* $\delta = \delta 0\text{-val-min } cs \langle vl \rangle$ *in*

Mapping.tabulate (vars-list-constraints cs) (\lambda var. val (\langle vl \rangle var) \delta)

global-interpretation *SolveExec'Default: SolveExec' to-ns from-ns solve-exec-ns-code*

defines *solve-exec-code* = *SolveExec'Default.solve-exec*

and *solve-code* = *SolveExec'Default.solve*

\langle proof \rangle

hide-const (open) *le lt LE GE LB UB LI UI LBI UBI UBI-upd le-rat*

inv zero Var add flat flat-list restrict-to look upd

Simplex version with indexed constraints as input

definition *simplex-index* $::$ '*i i-constraint list* \Rightarrow '*i list* + (*var*, *rat*) *mapping where*

simplex-index = *solve-exec-code*

lemma *simplex-index:*

simplex-index cs = *Unsat I* \implies *set I* \subseteq *fst ' set cs* \wedge $\neg (\exists v. (\text{set } I, v) \models_{ics} \text{set } cs)$ \wedge

(*distinct-indices cs* $\longrightarrow (\forall J \subset \text{set } I. (\exists v. (J, v) \models_{ics} \text{set } cs))$) — minimal unsat core

simplex-index cs = *Sat v* $\implies \langle v \rangle \models_{cs} (\text{snd ' set } cs)$ — satisfying assignment

\langle proof \rangle

Simplex version where indices will be created

definition *simplex where* *simplex cs* = *simplex-index (zip [0..*length cs*] cs)*

lemma *simplex:*

simplex cs = *Unsat I* $\implies \neg (\exists v. v \models_{cs} \text{set } cs)$ — unsat of original constraints

simplex cs = *Unsat I* $\implies \text{set } I \subseteq \{0..*length cs*\} \wedge \neg (\exists v. v \models_{cs} \{cs ! i \mid i. i \in \text{set } I\})$

$\wedge (\forall J \subset \text{set } I. \exists v. v \models_{cs} \{cs ! i \mid i. i \in J\})$ — minimal unsat core

simplex cs = *Sat v* $\implies \langle v \rangle \models_{cs} \text{set } cs$ — satisfying assignment

\langle proof \rangle

check executability

lemma *case simplex [LT (lp-monom 2 1 - lp-monom 3 2 + lp-monom 3 0) 0, GT (lp-monom 1 1) 5]*

of Sat - \Rightarrow True | Unsat - \Rightarrow False

```

⟨proof⟩
  check unsat core
lemma
  case simplex-index [
    (1 :: int, LT (lp-monom 1 1) 4),
    (2, GT (lp-monom 2 1 - lp-monom 1 2) 0),
    (3, EQ (lp-monom 1 1 - lp-monom 2 2) 0),
    (4, GT (lp-monom 2 2) 5),
    (5, GT (lp-monom 3 0) 7)]
    of Sat - => False | Unsat I => set I = {1,3,4} — Constraints 1,3,4 are unsat
  core
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

7 The Incremental Simplex Algorithm

In this theory we specify operations which permit to incrementally add constraints. To this end, first an indexed list of potential constraints is used to construct the initial state, and then one can activate indices, extract solutions or unsat cores, do backtracking, etc.

```

theory Simplex-Incremental
  imports Simplex
begin

```

7.1 Lowest Layer: Fixed Tableau and Incremental Atoms

Interface

```

locale Incremental-Atom-Ops = fixes
  init-s :: tableau => 's and
  assert-s :: ('i,'a :: lrv) i-atom => 's => 'i list + 's and
  check-s :: 's => 's × ('i list option) and
  solution-s :: 's => (var, 'a) mapping and
  checkpoint-s :: 's => 'c and
  backtrack-s :: 'c => 's => 's and
  precond-s :: tableau => bool and
  weak-invariant-s :: tableau => ('i,'a) i-atom set => 's => bool and
  invariant-s :: tableau => ('i,'a) i-atom set => 's => bool and
  checked-s :: tableau => ('i,'a) i-atom set => 's => bool
assumes
  assert-s-ok: invariant-s t as s ==> assert-s a s = Inr s' ==>
    invariant-s t (insert a as) s' and
  assert-s-unsat: invariant-s t as s ==> assert-s a s = Unsat I ==>
    minimal-unsat-core-tabl-atoms (set I) t (insert a as) and
  check-s-ok: invariant-s t as s ==> check-s s = (s', None) ==>
    checked-s t as s' and

```

check-s-unsat: $\text{invariant-s } t \text{ as } s \implies \text{check-s } s = (s', \text{Some } I) \implies$
 $\text{weak-invariant-s } t \text{ as } s' \wedge \text{minimal-unsat-core-tabl-atoms } (\text{set } I) \text{ } t \text{ as } \mathbf{and}$
init-s: $\text{precond-s } t \implies \text{checked-s } t \text{ } \{\} \text{ (init-s } t) \mathbf{and}$
solution-s: $\text{checked-s } t \text{ as } s \implies \text{solution-s } s = v \implies \langle v \rangle \models_t t \wedge \langle v \rangle \models_{as} \text{Simplex.flat as } \mathbf{and}$
backtrack-s: $\text{checked-s } t \text{ as } s \implies \text{checkpoint-s } s = c$
 $\implies \text{weak-invariant-s } t \text{ bs } s' \implies \text{backtrack-s } c \text{ } s' = s'' \implies as \subseteq bs \implies \text{invariant-s}$
 $t \text{ as } s'' \mathbf{and}$
weak-invariant-s: $\text{invariant-s } t \text{ as } s \implies \text{weak-invariant-s } t \text{ as } s \mathbf{and}$
checked-invariant-s: $\text{checked-s } t \text{ as } s \implies \text{invariant-s } t \text{ as } s$
begin

fun *assert-all-s* :: $(i, a) \text{ } i\text{-atom list} \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow i \text{ list} + s \mathbf{where}$
 $\text{assert-all-s } [] \text{ } s = \text{Inr } s$
 $| \text{assert-all-s } (a \# as) \text{ } s = (\text{case } \text{assert-s } a \text{ } s \text{ of } \text{Unsat } I \Rightarrow \text{Unsat } I$
 $| \text{Inr } s' \Rightarrow \text{assert-all-s } as \text{ } s')$

lemma *assert-all-s-ok*: $\text{invariant-s } t \text{ as } s \implies \text{assert-all-s } bs \text{ } s = \text{Inr } s' \implies$
 $\text{invariant-s } t \text{ (set } bs \cup as) \text{ } s'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *assert-all-s-unsat*: $\text{invariant-s } t \text{ as } s \implies \text{assert-all-s } bs \text{ } s = \text{Unsat } I \implies$
 $\text{minimal-unsat-core-tabl-atoms } (\text{set } I) \text{ } t \text{ (as } \cup \text{ set } bs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

Implementation of the interface via the Simplex operations *init*, *check*, and *assert-bound*.

locale *Incremental-State-Ops-Simplex* = *AssertBoundNoLhs* *assert-bound* + *Init*
 $\text{init} + \text{Check } \text{check}$
for *assert-bound* :: $(i, a::lrv) \text{ } i\text{-atom} \Rightarrow (i, a) \text{ state} \Rightarrow (i, a) \text{ state} \mathbf{and}$
 $\text{init} :: \text{tableau} \Rightarrow (i, a) \text{ state} \mathbf{and}$
 $\text{check} :: (i, a) \text{ state} \Rightarrow (i, a) \text{ state}$
begin

definition *weak-invariant-s* **where**
 $\text{weak-invariant-s } t \text{ (as :: } (i, a) \text{ } i\text{-atom set) } s =$
 $(\models_{\text{noLhs}} s \wedge$
 $\Delta (\mathcal{T} \text{ } s) \wedge$
 $\nabla s \wedge$
 $\diamond s \wedge$
 $(\forall v :: (\text{var} \Rightarrow a). v \models_t \mathcal{T} \text{ } s \iff v \models_t t) \wedge$
 $\text{index-valid as } s \wedge$
 $\text{Simplex.flat as} \doteq \mathcal{B} \text{ } s \wedge$
 $\text{as} \models_i \mathcal{BI} \text{ } s)$

definition *invariant-s* **where**

invariant-s t ($as :: ('i, 'a)i\text{-atom set}$) $s =$
 (*weak-invariant-s* t as $s \wedge \neg \mathcal{U} s$)

definition *checked-s* **where**

checked-s t as $s = (\text{invariant-s } t \text{ as } s \wedge \models s)$

definition *assert-s* **where** *assert-s* a $s = (\text{let } s' = \text{assert-bound } a \text{ s in}$
 if $\mathcal{U} s'$ then $\text{Inl (the } (\mathcal{U}_c s'))$ else $\text{Inr } s')$

definition *check-s* **where** *check-s* $s = (\text{let } s' = \text{check } s \text{ in}$
 if $\mathcal{U} s'$ then $(s', \text{Some (the } (\mathcal{U}_c s')))$ else (s', None))

definition *checkpoint-s* **where** *checkpoint-s* $s = \mathcal{B}_i s$

fun *backtrack-s* :: $- \Rightarrow ('i, 'a) \text{ state} \Rightarrow ('i, 'a) \text{ state}$

where *backtrack-s* $(bl, bu) (\text{State } t \text{ bl-old bu-old } v \text{ u uc}) = \text{State } t \text{ bl bu } v \text{ False}$
None

lemmas *invariant-defs* = *weak-invariant-s-def invariant-s-def checked-s-def*

lemma *invariant-sD: assumes* *invariant-s* t as s

shows $\neg \mathcal{U} s \models_{\text{noth}s} s \triangle (\mathcal{T} s) \nabla s \diamond s$

Simplex.flat $as \doteq \mathcal{B} s$ $as \models_i \mathcal{BI} s$ *index-valid* as s

$(\forall v :: (\text{var} \Rightarrow 'a). v \models_t \mathcal{T} s \longleftrightarrow v \models_t t)$

<proof>

lemma *weak-invariant-sD: assumes* *weak-invariant-s* t as s

shows $\models_{\text{noth}s} s \triangle (\mathcal{T} s) \nabla s \diamond s$

Simplex.flat $as \doteq \mathcal{B} s$ $as \models_i \mathcal{BI} s$ *index-valid* as s

$(\forall v :: (\text{var} \Rightarrow 'a). v \models_t \mathcal{T} s \longleftrightarrow v \models_t t)$

<proof>

lemma *minimal-unsat-state-core-translation: assumes*

unsat: minimal-unsat-state-core $(s :: ('i, 'a)::\text{lr}v)\text{state}$ **and**

tabl: $\forall (v :: 'a \text{ valuation}). v \models_t \mathcal{T} s = v \models_t t$ **and**

index: index-valid as s **and**

imp: $as \models_i \mathcal{BI} s$ **and**

I: $I = \text{the } (\mathcal{U}_c s)$

shows *minimal-unsat-core-tabl-atoms* $(\text{set } I) t$ as

<proof>

sublocale *Incremental-Atom-Ops*

init *assert-s* *check-s* \mathcal{V} *checkpoint-s* *backtrack-s* \triangle *weak-invariant-s* *invariant-s*
checked-s

<proof>

end

7.2 Intermediate Layer: Incremental Non-Strict Constraints

Interface

locale *Incremental-NS-Constraint-Ops* = **fixes**

init-nsc :: ('i,'a :: lrv) *i-ns-constraint list* \Rightarrow 's **and**

assert-nsc :: 'i \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 'i list + 's **and**

check-nsc :: 's \Rightarrow 's \times ('i list option) **and**

solution-nsc :: 's \Rightarrow (var, 'a) mapping **and**

checkpoint-nsc :: 's \Rightarrow 'c **and**

backtrack-nsc :: 'c \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 's **and**

weak-invariant-nsc :: ('i,'a) *i-ns-constraint list* \Rightarrow 'i set \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow bool **and**

invariant-nsc :: ('i,'a) *i-ns-constraint list* \Rightarrow 'i set \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow bool **and**

checked-nsc :: ('i,'a) *i-ns-constraint list* \Rightarrow 'i set \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow bool

assumes

assert-nsc-ok: *invariant-nsc nsc J s* \Longrightarrow *assert-nsc j s* = *Inr s'* \Longrightarrow

invariant-nsc nsc (insert j J) s' **and**

assert-nsc-unsat: *invariant-nsc nsc J s* \Longrightarrow *assert-nsc j s* = *Unsat I* \Longrightarrow

set I \subseteq *insert j J* \wedge *minimal-unsat-core-ns (set I) (set nsc)* **and**

check-nsc-ok: *invariant-nsc nsc J s* \Longrightarrow *check-nsc s* = (*s'*, *None*) \Longrightarrow

checked-nsc nsc J s' **and**

check-nsc-unsat: *invariant-nsc nsc J s* \Longrightarrow *check-nsc s* = (*s'*, *Some I*) \Longrightarrow

set I \subseteq *J* \wedge *weak-invariant-nsc nsc J s' \wedge minimal-unsat-core-ns (set I) (set nsc)* **and**

init-nsc: *checked-nsc nsc {} (init-nsc nsc)* **and**

solution-nsc: *checked-nsc nsc J s* \Longrightarrow *solution-nsc s* = *v* \Longrightarrow (*J*, (*v*)) \models_{inss} *set nsc* **and**

backtrack-nsc: *checked-nsc nsc J s* \Longrightarrow *checkpoint-nsc s* = *c*

\Longrightarrow *weak-invariant-nsc nsc K s'* \Longrightarrow *backtrack-nsc c s' = s''* \Longrightarrow *J* \subseteq *K* \Longrightarrow

invariant-nsc nsc J s'' **and**

weak-invariant-nsc: *invariant-nsc nsc J s* \Longrightarrow *weak-invariant-nsc nsc J s* **and**

checked-invariant-nsc: *checked-nsc nsc J s* \Longrightarrow *invariant-nsc nsc J s*

Implementation via the Simplex operation preprocess and the incremental operations for atoms.

fun *create-map* :: ('i \times 'a)list \Rightarrow ('i, ('i \times 'a) list)mapping **where**

create-map [] = *Mapping.empty*

| *create-map ((i,a) # xs)* = (let *m* = *create-map xs* in

case *Mapping.lookup m i* of

None \Rightarrow *Mapping.update i [(i,a)] m*

| *Some ias* \Rightarrow *Mapping.update i ((i,a) # ias) m*)

definition *list-map-to-fun* :: ('i, ('i \times 'a) list)mapping \Rightarrow 'i \Rightarrow ('i \times 'a) list **where**

list-map-to-fun m i = (case *Mapping.lookup m i* of None \Rightarrow [] | *Some ias* \Rightarrow *ias*)

lemma *list-map-to-fun-create-map*: *set (list-map-to-fun (create-map ias) i)* = *set ias* \cap {*i*} \times *UNIV*

<proof>

fun *prod-wrap* :: ('c \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 's \times ('i list option))

$\Rightarrow 'c \times 's \Rightarrow ('c \times 's) \times ('i \text{ list option})$ **where**
 $\text{prod-wrap } f \text{ (asi,s)} = (\text{case } f \text{ asi } s \text{ of } (s', \text{info}) \Rightarrow ((\text{asi},s'), \text{info}))$

lemma *prod-wrap-def'*: $\text{prod-wrap } f \text{ (asi,s)} = \text{map-prod (Pair asi) id (f asi s)}$
 ⟨proof⟩

locale *Incremental-Atom-Ops-For-NS-Constraint-Ops* =
Incremental-Atom-Ops init-s assert-s check-s solution-s checkpoint-s backtrack-s
 Δ
weak-invariant-s invariant-s checked-s
 + *Preprocess preprocess*
for
init-s :: $\text{tableau} \Rightarrow 's$ **and**
assert-s :: $('i :: \text{linorder}, 'a :: \text{lrV}) \text{ i-atom} \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 'i \text{ list} + 's$ **and**
check-s :: $'s \Rightarrow 's \times 'i \text{ list option}$ **and**
solution-s :: $'s \Rightarrow (\text{var}, 'a) \text{ mapping}$ **and**
checkpoint-s :: $'s \Rightarrow 'c$ **and**
backtrack-s :: $'c \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 's$ **and**
weak-invariant-s :: $\text{tableau} \Rightarrow ('i, 'a) \text{ i-atom set} \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **and**
invariant-s :: $\text{tableau} \Rightarrow ('i, 'a) \text{ i-atom set} \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **and**
checked-s :: $\text{tableau} \Rightarrow ('i, 'a) \text{ i-atom set} \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **and**
preprocess :: $('i, 'a) \text{ i-ns-constraint list} \Rightarrow \text{tableau} \times ('i, 'a) \text{ i-atom list} \times ((\text{var}, 'a) \text{ mapping}$
 $\Rightarrow (\text{var}, 'a) \text{ mapping}) \times 'i \text{ list}$
begin

definition *check-nsc* **where** $\text{check-nsc} = \text{prod-wrap } (\lambda \text{ asitv. check-s})$

definition *assert-nsc* **where** $\text{assert-nsc } i = (\lambda ((\text{asi}, \text{tv}, \text{ui}), \text{s}).$
 if $i \in \text{set } \text{ui}$ then $\text{Unsat } [i]$ else
 case $\text{assert-all-s (list-map-to-fun asi } i) \text{ s of Unsat } I \Rightarrow \text{Unsat } I \mid \text{Inr } s' \Rightarrow \text{Inr}$
 $((\text{asi}, \text{tv}, \text{ui}), s')$)

fun *checkpoint-nsc* **where** $\text{checkpoint-nsc (asi-tv-ui,s)} = \text{checkpoint-s } s$
fun *backtrack-nsc* **where** $\text{backtrack-nsc } c \text{ (asi-tv-ui,s)} = (\text{asi-tv-ui}, \text{backtrack-s } c$
 $s)$

fun *solution-nsc* **where** $\text{solution-nsc } ((\text{asi}, \text{tv}, \text{ui}), \text{s}) = \text{tv (solution-s } s)$

definition *init-nsc* $\text{nsc} = (\text{case } \text{preprocess } \text{nsc} \text{ of } (t, \text{as}, \text{trans-v}, \text{ui}) \Rightarrow$
 $((\text{create-map } \text{as}, \text{trans-v}, \text{remdups } \text{ui}), \text{init-s } t))$

fun *invariant-as-asi* **where** $\text{invariant-as-asi } \text{as } \text{asi } \text{tc } \text{tc}' \text{ ui } \text{ui}' = (\text{tc} = \text{tc}' \wedge \text{set}$
 $\text{ui} = \text{set } \text{ui}' \wedge$
 $(\forall i. \text{set (list-map-to-fun asi } i) = (\text{as} \cap (\{i\} \times \text{UNIV}))))$

fun *weak-invariant-nsc* **where**
 $\text{weak-invariant-nsc } \text{nsc } J \text{ ((asi,tv,ui),s)} = (\text{case } \text{preprocess } \text{nsc} \text{ of } (t, \text{as}, \text{tv}', \text{ui}') \Rightarrow$
invariant-as-asi (set as) asi tv tv' ui ui' \wedge
 $\text{weak-invariant-s } t \text{ (set as} \cap (J \times \text{UNIV})) \text{ s} \wedge J \cap \text{set } \text{ui} = \{\})$

fun *invariant-nsc* **where**

invariant-nsc *nsc* *J* $((asi, tv, ui), s) = (\text{case preprocess nsc of } (t, as, tv', ui') \Rightarrow \text{invariant-as-asi } (\text{set } as) \text{ asi } tv \text{ } tv' \text{ } ui \text{ } ui' \wedge$
invariant-s *t* $(\text{set } as \cap (J \times UNIV)) \text{ } s \wedge J \cap \text{set } ui = \{\})$

fun *checked-nsc* **where**

checked-nsc *nsc* *J* $((asi, tv, ui), s) = (\text{case preprocess nsc of } (t, as, tv', ui') \Rightarrow \text{invariant-as-asi } (\text{set } as) \text{ asi } tv \text{ } tv' \text{ } ui \text{ } ui' \wedge$
checked-s *t* $(\text{set } as \cap (J \times UNIV)) \text{ } s \wedge J \cap \text{set } ui = \{\})$

lemma *i-satisfies-atom-set-inter-right*: $((I, v) \models_{ias} (ats \cap (J \times UNIV))) \iff ((I \cap J, v) \models_{ias} ats)$
 {proof}

lemma *ns-constraints-ops*: *Incremental-NS-Constraint-Ops* *init-nsc* *assert-nsc*
check-nsc *solution-nsc* *checkpoint-nsc* *backtrack-nsc*
weak-invariant-nsc *invariant-nsc* *checked-nsc*
 {proof}

end

7.3 Highest Layer: Incremental Constraints

Interface

locale *Incremental-Simplex-Ops* = **fixes**

init-cs :: 'i i-constraint list \Rightarrow 's **and**
assert-cs :: 'i \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 'i list + 's **and**
check-cs :: 's \Rightarrow 's \times 'i list option **and**
solution-cs :: 's \Rightarrow rat valuation **and**
checkpoint-cs :: 's \Rightarrow 'c **and**
backtrack-cs :: 'c \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 's **and**
weak-invariant-cs :: 'i i-constraint list \Rightarrow 'i set \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow bool **and**
invariant-cs :: 'i i-constraint list \Rightarrow 'i set \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow bool **and**
checked-cs :: 'i i-constraint list \Rightarrow 'i set \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow bool

assumes

assert-cs-ok: *invariant-cs* *cs* *J* *s* \implies *assert-cs* *j* *s* = *Inr* *s'* \implies
invariant-cs *cs* $(\text{insert } j \text{ } J) \text{ } s'$ **and**
assert-cs-unsat: *invariant-cs* *cs* *J* *s* \implies *assert-cs* *j* *s* = *Unsat* *I* \implies
 $\text{set } I \subseteq \text{insert } j \text{ } J \wedge \text{minimal-unsat-core } (\text{set } I) \text{ } cs$ **and**
check-cs-ok: *invariant-cs* *cs* *J* *s* \implies *check-cs* *s* = (*s'*, *None*) \implies
checked-cs *cs* *J* *s'* **and**
check-cs-unsat: *invariant-cs* *cs* *J* *s* \implies *check-cs* *s* = (*s'*, *Some* *I*) \implies
weak-invariant-cs *cs* *J* *s'* \wedge $\text{set } I \subseteq J \wedge \text{minimal-unsat-core } (\text{set } I) \text{ } cs$ **and**
init-cs: *checked-cs* *cs* $\{\}$ (*init-cs* *cs*) **and**
solution-cs: *checked-cs* *cs* *J* *s* \implies *solution-cs* *s* = *v* \implies $(J, v) \models_{ics} \text{set } cs$ **and**
backtrack-cs: *checked-cs* *cs* *J* *s* \implies *checkpoint-cs* *s* = *c*
 \implies *weak-invariant-cs* *cs* *K* *s'* \implies *backtrack-cs* *c* *s'* = *s''* \implies $J \subseteq K \implies$

invariant-cs cs J s'' **and**

weak-invariant-cs: invariant-cs cs J s \implies *weak-invariant-cs cs J s* **and**

checked-invariant-cs: checked-cs cs J s \implies *invariant-cs cs J s*

Implementation via the Simplex-operation To-Ns and the Incremental Operations for Non-Strict Constraints

locale *Incremental-NS-Constraint-Ops-To-Ns-For-Incremental-Simplex* =

Incremental-NS-Constraint-Ops init-nsc assert-nsc check-nsc solution-nsc check-point-nsc backtrack-nsc

weak-invariant-nsc invariant-nsc checked-nsc + To-ns to-ns from-ns

for

init-nsc :: ('i,'a :: lrv) *i-ns-constraint list* \Rightarrow 's **and**

assert-nsc :: 'i \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 'i list + 's **and**

check-nsc :: 's \Rightarrow 's \times 'i list option **and**

solution-nsc :: 's \Rightarrow (var, 'a) mapping **and**

checkpoint-nsc :: 's \Rightarrow 'c **and**

backtrack-nsc :: 'c \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 's **and**

weak-invariant-nsc :: ('i,'a) *i-ns-constraint list* \Rightarrow 'i set \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow bool **and**

invariant-nsc :: ('i,'a) *i-ns-constraint list* \Rightarrow 'i set \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow bool **and**

checked-nsc :: ('i,'a) *i-ns-constraint list* \Rightarrow 'i set \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow bool **and**

to-ns :: 'i *i-constraint list* \Rightarrow ('i,'a) *i-ns-constraint list* **and**

from-ns :: (var, 'a) mapping \Rightarrow 'a *ns-constraint list* \Rightarrow (var, rat) mapping

begin

fun *assert-cs* **where** *assert-cs i (cs,s)* = (case *assert-nsc i s* of

Unsat I \Rightarrow *Unsat I*

| *Inr s'* \Rightarrow *Inr (cs, s')*)

definition *init-cs cs* = (let *tons-cs* = *to-ns cs* in (map snd (*tons-cs*), *init-nsc tons-cs*))

definition *check-cs s* = *prod-wrap* (λ *cs. check-nsc s*) *s*

fun *checkpoint-cs* **where** *checkpoint-cs (cs,s)* = (*checkpoint-nsc s*)

fun *backtrack-cs* **where** *backtrack-cs c (cs,s)* = (*cs, backtrack-nsc c s*)

fun *solution-cs* **where** *solution-cs (cs,s)* = (*from-ns (solution-nsc s) cs*)

fun *weak-invariant-cs* **where**

weak-invariant-cs cs J (ds,s) = (*ds* = map snd (*to-ns cs*) \wedge *weak-invariant-nsc (to-ns cs) J s*)

fun *invariant-cs* **where**

invariant-cs cs J (ds,s) = (*ds* = map snd (*to-ns cs*) \wedge *invariant-nsc (to-ns cs) J s*)

fun *checked-cs* **where**

checked-cs cs J (ds,s) = (*ds* = map snd (*to-ns cs*) \wedge *checked-nsc (to-ns cs) J s*)

sublocale *Incremental-Simplex-Ops*

init-cs

assert-cs

check-cs

solution-cs
checkpoint-cs
backtrack-cs
weak-invariant-cs
invariant-cs
checked-cs
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

7.4 Concrete Implementation

7.4.1 Connecting all the locales

global-interpretation *Incremental-State-Ops-Simplex-Default:*

Incremental-State-Ops-Simplex assert-bound-code init-state check-code

defines *assert-s = Incremental-State-Ops-Simplex-Default.assert-s and*

check-s = Incremental-State-Ops-Simplex-Default.check-s and

backtrack-s = Incremental-State-Ops-Simplex-Default.backtrack-s and

checkpoint-s = Incremental-State-Ops-Simplex-Default.checkpoint-s and

weak-invariant-s = Incremental-State-Ops-Simplex-Default.weak-invariant-s

and

invariant-s = Incremental-State-Ops-Simplex-Default.invariant-s and

checked-s = Incremental-State-Ops-Simplex-Default.checked-s and

assert-all-s = Incremental-State-Ops-Simplex-Default.assert-all-s

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Incremental-State-Ops-Simplex-Default-assert-all-s[simp]:*

Incremental-State-Ops-Simplex-Default.assert-all-s = assert-all-s

⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas *assert-all-s-code = Incremental-State-Ops-Simplex-Default.assert-all-s.simps[unfolded*

Incremental-State-Ops-Simplex-Default-assert-all-s]

declare *assert-all-s-code[code]*

global-interpretation *Incremental-Atom-Ops-For-NS-Constraint-Ops-Default:*

Incremental-Atom-Ops-For-NS-Constraint-Ops init-state assert-s check-s \mathcal{V}

checkpoint-s backtrack-s weak-invariant-s invariant-s checked-s preprocess

defines

init-nsc = Incremental-Atom-Ops-For-NS-Constraint-Ops-Default.init-nsc and

check-nsc = Incremental-Atom-Ops-For-NS-Constraint-Ops-Default.check-nsc

and

assert-nsc = Incremental-Atom-Ops-For-NS-Constraint-Ops-Default.assert-nsc

and

checkpoint-nsc = Incremental-Atom-Ops-For-NS-Constraint-Ops-Default.checkpoint-nsc

and

```

    solution-nsc = Incremental-Atom-Ops-For-NS-Constraint-Ops-Default.solution-nsc
and
    backtrack-nsc = Incremental-Atom-Ops-For-NS-Constraint-Ops-Default.backtrack-nsc
and
    invariant-nsc = Incremental-Atom-Ops-For-NS-Constraint-Ops-Default.invariant-nsc
and
    weak-invariant-nsc = Incremental-Atom-Ops-For-NS-Constraint-Ops-Default.weak-invariant-nsc
and
    checked-nsc = Incremental-Atom-Ops-For-NS-Constraint-Ops-Default.checked-nsc

    ⟨proof⟩

type-synonym 'i simplex-state' = QDelta ns-constraint list
  × (('i, ('i × QDelta atom) list) mapping × ((var, QDelta) mapping ⇒ (var, QDelta) mapping)
  × 'i list
  × ('i, QDelta) state

```

global-interpretation *Incremental-Simplex*:

```

Incremental-NS-Constraint-Ops-To-Ns-For-Incremental-Simplex
init-nsc assert-nsc check-nsc solution-nsc checkpoint-nsc backtrack-nsc
weak-invariant-nsc invariant-nsc checked-nsc to-ns from-ns
defines
  init-simplex' = Incremental-Simplex.init-cs and
  assert-simplex' = Incremental-Simplex.assert-cs and
  check-simplex' = Incremental-Simplex.check-cs and
  backtrack-simplex' = Incremental-Simplex.backtrack-cs and
  checkpoint-simplex' = Incremental-Simplex.checkpoint-cs and
  solution-simplex' = Incremental-Simplex.solution-cs and
  weak-invariant-simplex' = Incremental-Simplex.weak-invariant-cs and
  invariant-simplex' = Incremental-Simplex.invariant-cs and
  checked-simplex' = Incremental-Simplex.checked-cs
  ⟨proof⟩

```

7.4.2 An implementation which encapsulates the state

In principle, we now already have a complete implementation of the incremental simplex algorithm with *init-simplex'*, *assert-simplex'*, etc. However, this implementation results in code where the internal type *'i simplex-state'* becomes visible. Therefore, we now define all operations on a new type which encapsulates the internal construction.

```

datatype 'i simplex-state = Simplex-State 'i simplex-state'
datatype 'i simplex-checkpoint = Simplex-Checkpoint (nat, 'i × QDelta) mapping
  × (nat, 'i × QDelta) mapping

fun init-simplex where init-simplex cs =
  (let tons-cs = to-ns cs
    in Simplex-State (map snd tons-cs,

```

case preprocess tons-cs of (t, as, trans-v, ui) ⇒ ((create-map as, trans-v, remdups ui), init-state t)))

fun assert-simplex where *assert-simplex i (Simplex-State (cs, (asi, tv, ui), s)) = (if i ∈ set ui then Inl [i] else case assert-all-s (list-map-to-fun asi i) s of Inl y ⇒ Inl y | Inr s' ⇒ Inr (Simplex-State (cs, (asi, tv, ui), s')))*

fun check-simplex where *check-simplex (Simplex-State (cs, asi-tv, s)) = (case check-s s of (s', res) ⇒ (Simplex-State (cs, asi-tv, s'), res))*

fun solution-simplex where *solution-simplex (Simplex-State (cs, (asi, tv, ui), s)) = ⟨from-ns (tv (V s)) cs⟩*

fun checkpoint-simplex where *checkpoint-simplex (Simplex-State (cs, asi-tv, s)) = Simplex-Checkpoint (checkpoint-s s)*

fun backtrack-simplex where *backtrack-simplex (Simplex-Checkpoint c) (Simplex-State (cs, asi-tv, s)) = Simplex-State (cs, asi-tv, backtrack-s c s)*

7.4.3 Soundness of the incremental simplex implementation

First link the unprimed constants against their primed counterparts.

lemma *init-simplex'*: *init-simplex cs = Simplex-State (init-simplex' cs)*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *assert-simplex'*: *assert-simplex i (Simplex-State s) = map-sum id Simplex-State (assert-simplex' i s)*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *check-simplex'*: *check-simplex (Simplex-State s) = map-prod Simplex-State id (check-simplex' s)*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *solution-simplex'*: *solution-simplex (Simplex-State s) = solution-simplex' s*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *checkpoint-simplex'*: *checkpoint-simplex (Simplex-State s) = Simplex-Checkpoint (checkpoint-simplex' s)*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *backtrack-simplex'*: *backtrack-simplex (Simplex-Checkpoint c) (Simplex-State s) = Simplex-State (backtrack-simplex' c s)*
 ⟨proof⟩

fun invariant-simplex where

invariant-simplex cs J (Simplex-State s) = invariant-simplex' cs J s

fun *weak-invariant-simplex* **where**

weak-invariant-simplex cs J (Simplex-State s) = weak-invariant-simplex' cs J s

fun *checked-simplex* **where**

checked-simplex cs J (Simplex-State s) = checked-simplex' cs J s

Hide implementation

declare *init-simplex.simps[simp del]*

declare *assert-simplex.simps[simp del]*

declare *check-simplex.simps[simp del]*

declare *solution-simplex.simps[simp del]*

declare *checkpoint-simplex.simps[simp del]*

declare *backtrack-simplex.simps[simp del]*

Soundness lemmas

lemma *init-simplex: checked-simplex cs {} (init-simplex cs)*

<proof>

lemma *assert-simplex-ok:*

invariant-simplex cs J s \implies assert-simplex j s = Inr s' \implies invariant-simplex cs (insert j J) s'

<proof>

lemma *assert-simplex-unsat:*

invariant-simplex cs J s \implies assert-simplex j s = Inl I \implies

set I \subseteq insert j J \wedge minimal-unsat-core (set I) cs

<proof>

lemma *check-simplex-ok:*

invariant-simplex cs J s \implies check-simplex s = (s',None) \implies checked-simplex cs J s'

<proof>

lemma *check-simplex-unsat:*

invariant-simplex cs J s \implies check-simplex s = (s',Some I) \implies

weak-invariant-simplex cs J s' \wedge set I \subseteq J \wedge minimal-unsat-core (set I) cs

<proof>

lemma *solution-simplex:*

checked-simplex cs J s \implies solution-simplex s = v \implies (J, v) \models_{ics} set cs

<proof>

lemma *backtrack-simplex:*

checked-simplex cs J s \implies

checkpoint-simplex s = c \implies

weak-invariant-simplex cs K s' \implies

backtrack-simplex c s' = s'' \implies

$J \subseteq K \implies$
invariant-simplex cs J s''
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *weak-invariant-simplex*:
invariant-simplex cs J s \implies weak-invariant-simplex cs J s
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *checked-invariant-simplex*:
checked-simplex cs J s \implies invariant-simplex cs J s
 ⟨proof⟩

declare *checked-simplex.simps[simp del]*
declare *invariant-simplex.simps[simp del]*
declare *weak-invariant-simplex.simps[simp del]*

From this point onwards, one should not look into the types *'i simplex-state* and *'i simplex-checkpoint*.

For convenience: an assert-all function which takes multiple indices.

fun *assert-all-simplex* :: *'i list \Rightarrow 'i simplex-state \Rightarrow 'i list + 'i simplex-state* **where**
assert-all-simplex [] s = Inr s
 | *assert-all-simplex (j # J) s = (case assert-simplex j s of Unsat I \Rightarrow Unsat I*
 | *Inr s' \Rightarrow assert-all-simplex J s')*

lemma *assert-all-simplex-ok*: *invariant-simplex cs J s \implies assert-all-simplex K s = Inr s' \implies*
invariant-simplex cs (J \cup set K) s'
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *assert-all-simplex-unsat*: *invariant-simplex cs J s \implies assert-all-simplex K s = Unsat I \implies*
set I \subseteq set K \cup J \wedge minimal-unsat-core (set I) cs
 ⟨proof⟩

The collection of soundness lemmas for the incremental simplex algorithm.

lemmas *incremental-simplex =*
init-simplex
assert-simplex-ok
assert-simplex-unsat
assert-all-simplex-ok
assert-all-simplex-unsat
check-simplex-ok
check-simplex-unsat
solution-simplex
backtrack-simplex
checked-invariant-simplex
weak-invariant-simplex

7.5 Test Executability and Example for Incremental Interface

```

value (code) let cs = [
  (1 :: int, LT (lp-monom 1 1) 4), —  $x_1 < 4$ 
  (2, GT (lp-monom 2 1 - lp-monom 1 2) 0), —  $2x_1 - x_2 > 0$ 
  (3, EQ (lp-monom 1 1 - lp-monom 2 2) 0), —  $x_1 - 2x_2 = 0$ 
  (4, GT (lp-monom 2 2) 5), —  $2x_2 > 5$ 
  (5, GT (lp-monom 3 0) 7), —  $3x_0 > 7$ 
  (6, GT (lp-monom 3 3 + lp-monom (1/3) 2) 2)]; —  $3x_3 + 1/3x_2 > 2$ 
  s1 = init-simplex cs; — initialize
  s2 = (case assert-all-simplex [1,2,3] s1 of Inr s ⇒ s | Unsat - ⇒ undefined);
— assert 1,2,3
  s3 = (case check-simplex s2 of (s,None) ⇒ s | - ⇒ undefined); — check that
1,2,3 are sat.
  c123 = checkpoint-simplex s3; — after check, store checkpoint for backtracking
  s4 = (case assert-simplex 4 s2 of Inr s ⇒ s | Unsat - ⇒ undefined); — assert 4
  (s5,I) = (case check-simplex s4 of (s,Some I) ⇒ (s,I) | - ⇒ undefined); —
checking detects unsat-core 1,3,4
  s6 = backtrack-simplex c123 s5; — backtrack to constraints 1,2,3
  s7 = (case assert-all-simplex [5,6] s6 of Inr s ⇒ s | Unsat - ⇒ undefined); —
assert 5,6
  s8 = (case check-simplex s7 of (s,None) ⇒ s | - ⇒ undefined); — check that
1,2,3,5,6 are sat.
  sol = solution-simplex s8 — solution for 1,2,3,5,6
  in (I, map (λ x. ("x-", x, "=")) sol x) [0,1,2,3]) — output unsat core and
solution
end

```

References

- [1] B. Dutertre and L. de Moura. A fast linear-arithmetic solver for DPLL(T). In T. Ball and R. B. Jones, editors, *CAV'06*, volume 4144 of *LNCS*, pages 81–94, 2006.
- [2] F. Haftmann, A. Krauss, O. Kunčar, and T. Nipkow. Data refinement in Isabelle/HOL. In S. Blazy, C. Paulin-Mohring, and D. Pichardie, editors, *ITP'13*, volume 7998 of *LNCS*, pages 100–115, 2013.
- [3] M. Spasić and F. Marić. Formalization of incremental simplex algorithm by stepwise refinement. In D. Giannakopoulou and D. Méry, editors, *FM'12*, volume 7436 of *LNCS*, pages 434–449, 2012.