

A Formalization of the SCL(FOL) Calculus: Simple Clause Learning for First-Order Logic

Martin Desharnais

March 19, 2025

Abstract

This Isabelle/HOL formalization covers the unexecutable specification of Simple Clause Learning for first-order logic without equality [2, 1]: SCL(FOL). The main results are formal proofs of soundness, non-redundancy of learned clauses, termination, and refutational completeness. Compared to the unformalized version, the formalized calculus is simpler, a number of results were generalized, and the non-redundancy statement was strengthened. We found and corrected one bug in a previously published version of the SCL Backtrack rule. Compared to related formalizations, we introduce a new technique for showing termination based on non-redundant clause learning.

Contents

| | | |
|----------|---|----------|
| 1 | Abstract Renaming | 3 |
| 1.1 | Interpretation to Prove That Assumptions Are Consistent . . . | 4 |
| 2 | Abstract Substitution Extra | 4 |
| 3 | Extra Lemmas | 5 |
| 3.1 | Set Extra | 5 |
| 3.2 | Finite Set Extra | 5 |
| 3.3 | Product Type Extra | 5 |
| 3.4 | List Extra | 5 |
| 3.5 | Sublist Extra | 6 |
| 3.6 | Multiset Extra | 6 |
| 3.6.1 | Calculus Extra | 6 |
| 3.7 | Clausal Calculus Extra | 6 |
| 3.7.1 | Clausal Calculus Only | 6 |
| 3.7.2 | Clausal Calculus and Abstract Substitution | 7 |
| 3.8 | First Order Terms Extra | 7 |
| 3.8.1 | First Order Terms Only | 7 |
| 3.8.2 | First Order Terms And Abstract Substitution | 9 |

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 3.8.3 | Minimal, Idempotent Most General Unifier | 14 |
| 3.8.4 | Renaming Extra | 15 |
| 4 | SCL State | 16 |
| 5 | SCL(FOL) Calculus | 22 |
| 5.1 | Lemmas About (\prec_B) | 22 |
| 5.2 | Rules | 22 |
| 5.3 | Well-Defined | 24 |
| 5.4 | Some rules are right unique | 26 |
| 5.5 | Miscellaneous Lemmas | 26 |
| 6 | Invariants | 28 |
| 6.1 | Initial Literals Generalize Learned, Trail, and Conflict Literals | 28 |
| 6.2 | Trail Literals Are Ground | 30 |
| 6.3 | Trail Literals Are Defined Only Once | 31 |
| 6.4 | Trail Closures Are False In Subtrails | 32 |
| 6.5 | Trail Literals Were Propagated or Decided | 33 |
| 6.6 | Trail Atoms Are Less Than Bound | 35 |
| 6.7 | Trail Resolved Literals Have Unique Polarity | 36 |
| 6.8 | Trail And Conflict Closures Are Ground | 37 |
| 6.9 | Trail And Conflict Closures Are Ground And False | 39 |
| 6.10 | Learned Clauses Are Non-empty | 40 |
| 6.11 | Backtrack Follows Conflict Resolution | 41 |
| 6.12 | Miscellaneous Lemmas | 42 |
| 7 | Soundness | 42 |
| 7.1 | Sound Trail | 42 |
| 7.2 | Sound State | 43 |
| 7.3 | Initial State Is Sound | 43 |
| 7.4 | SCL Rules Preserve Soundness | 43 |
| 8 | Strategies | 44 |
| 9 | Monotonicity w.r.t. the Bounding Atom | 45 |
| 9.1 | Examples | 51 |
| 9.2 | Miscellaneous Lemmas | 52 |
| 9.3 | Well-Defined | 52 |
| 9.4 | Strict Partial Order | 53 |
| 9.5 | Strict Total (w.r.t. Elements in Trail) Order | 54 |
| 9.6 | Well-Founded | 54 |
| 9.7 | Extension on All Literals | 55 |
| 9.7.1 | Well-Founded | 57 |
| 9.8 | Alternative only for terms | 57 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 10 Reasonable Steps | 60 |
| 10.1 Invariants | 60 |
| 10.1.1 No Conflict After Decide | 60 |
| 10.2 Miscellaneous Lemmas | 61 |
| 11 Regular Steps | 61 |
| 11.1 Invariants | 62 |
| 11.1.1 Almost No Conflict With Trail | 62 |
| 11.1.2 Backtrack Follows Regular Conflict Resolution | 64 |
| 11.2 Miscellaneous Lemmas | 66 |
| 12 Resolve in Regular Runs | 66 |
| 13 Clause Redundancy | 67 |
| 14 Trail-Induced Ordering | 69 |
| 14.1 Miscellaneous Lemmas | 69 |
| 14.2 Strict Partial Order | 69 |
| 14.3 Properties | 69 |
| 15 Dynamic Non-Redundancy | 70 |
| 16 Static Non-Redundancy | 72 |
| 16.1 Basic Results | 74 |
| 16.1.1 Minimal-element characterization of well-foundedness | 74 |
| 17 Extra Lemmas | 76 |
| 17.1 Set Extra | 76 |
| 17.2 Prod Extra | 76 |
| 17.3 FSet Extra | 77 |
| 18 Termination | 77 |
| 18.1 SCL without backtracking terminates | 77 |
| 18.2 Backtracking can only be done finitely often | 79 |
| 18.3 Regular SCL terminates | 80 |
| theory <i>Abstract-Renaming-Apart</i> | |
| imports <i>Main</i> | |
| begin | |

1 Abstract Renaming

```

locale renaming-apart =
  fixes
    renaming :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a
  assumes
    renaming-correct: finite V  $\implies$  renaming V x  $\notin$  V and

```

inj-renaming: finite V \implies inj (renaming V)

1.1 Interpretation to Prove That Assumptions Are Consistent

experiment begin

definition *renaming-apart-nats* **where**

renaming-apart-nats V = (let m = Max V in ($\lambda x. \text{Suc } (x + m)$))

interpretation *renaming-apart-nats: renaming-apart renaming-apart-nats*
<proof>

end

end

theory *Ordered-Resolution-Prover-Extra*

imports

Ordered-Resolution-Prover.Abstract-Substitution

begin

2 Abstract Substitution Extra

lemma (*in substitution-ops*) *subst-atm-of-eqI:*

L · l σ_L = K · l σ_K \implies atm-of L · a σ_L = atm-of K · a σ_K
<proof>

lemma (*in substitution-ops*) *set-mset-subst-cls-conv: set-mset (C · σ) = ($\lambda L. L · l$*

σ) ‘ set-mset C

<proof>

end

theory *SCL-FOL*

imports

Main

HOL-Library.FSet

Saturation-Framework.Calculus

Saturation-Framework-Extensions.Clausal-Calculus

Ordered-Resolution-Prover.Clausal-Logic

Ordered-Resolution-Prover.Abstract-Substitution

Ordered-Resolution-Prover.Herbrand-Interpretation

First-Order-Terms.Subsumption

First-Order-Terms.Term

First-Order-Terms.Unification

Abstract-Renaming-Apart

Ordered-Resolution-Prover-Extra

begin

3 Extra Lemmas

3.1 Set Extra

lemma *not-in-iff*: $L \notin xs \iff (\forall y \in xs. L \neq y)$
<proof>

lemma *disjoint-iff'*: $A \cap B = \{\}$ $\iff (\forall a \in A. a \notin B) \wedge (\forall b \in B. b \notin A)$
<proof>

lemma *set-filter-insert-conv*:
 $\{x \in insert\ y\ S. P\ x\} = (if\ P\ y\ then\ insert\ y\ else\ id)\ \{x \in S. P\ x\}$
<proof>

lemma *not-empty-if-mem*: $x \in X \implies X \neq \{\}$
<proof>

3.2 Finite Set Extra

lemma *finite-induct'* [*case-names empty singleton insert-insert, induct set: finite*]:
— Discharging $x \notin F$ entails extra work.

assumes *finite F*
assumes $P\ \{\}$
and *singleton*: $\bigwedge x. P\ \{x\}$
and *insert-insert*: $\bigwedge x\ y\ F. finite\ F \implies x \neq y \implies x \notin F \implies y \notin F \implies P$
 $(insert\ y\ F) \implies P\ (insert\ x\ (insert\ y\ F))$
shows $P\ F$
<proof>

3.3 Product Type Extra

lemma *insert-Times*: $insert\ a\ A \times B = Pair\ a\ ' B \cup A \times B$
<proof>

lemma *Times-insert*: $A \times insert\ b\ B = (\lambda x. (x, b))\ ' A \cup A \times B$
<proof>

lemma *insert-Times-insert'*:
 $insert\ a\ A \times insert\ b\ B = insert\ (a, b)\ ((Pair\ a\ ' B) \cup ((\lambda x. (x, b))\ ' A) \cup (A \times B))$
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
<proof>

3.4 List Extra

lemma *lt-lengthD*:
assumes *i-lt-xs*: $i < length\ xs$
shows $\exists xs1\ xi\ xs2. xs = xs1\ @\ xi\ \#\ xs2 \wedge length\ xs1 = i$
<proof>

lemma *lt-lt-lengthD*:

assumes *i-lt-xs*: $i < \text{length } xs$ **and** *j-lt-xs*: $j < \text{length } xs$ **and**

i-lt-j: $i < j$

shows $\exists xs1\ xi\ xs2\ xj\ xs3. xs = xs1 @ xi \# xs2 @ xj \# xs3 \wedge \text{length } xs1 = i \wedge \text{length } (xs1 @ xi \# xs2) = j$

<proof>

3.5 Sublist Extra

lemma *not-mem-strict-suffix*:

shows *strict-suffix* $xs\ (y \# ys) \implies y \notin \text{set } ys \implies y \notin \text{set } xs$

<proof>

lemma *not-mem-strict-suffix'*:

shows *strict-suffix* $xs\ (y \# ys) \implies f\ y \notin f\ ' \text{set } ys \implies f\ y \notin f\ ' \text{set } xs$

<proof>

3.6 Multiset Extra

lemma *multp_{DM}-implies-one-step*:

multp_{DM} $R\ M\ N \implies \exists I\ J\ K. N = I + J \wedge M = I + K \wedge J \neq \{\#\} \wedge (\forall k \in \#K. \exists x \in \#J. R\ k\ x)$

<proof>

lemma *multp_{HO}-implies-one-step*:

multp_{HO} $R\ M\ N \implies \exists I\ J\ K. N = I + J \wedge M = I + K \wedge J \neq \{\#\} \wedge (\forall k \in \#K. \exists x \in \#J. R\ k\ x)$

<proof>

lemma *Multiset-Bex-plus-iff*: $(\exists x \in \#(M1 + M2). P\ x) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \in \#M1. P\ x) \vee (\exists x \in \#M2. P\ x)$

<proof>

lemma *multp-singleton-rightD*:

assumes *multp* $R\ M\ \{\#x\#}$ **and** *transp* R

shows $y \in \#M \implies R\ y\ x$

<proof>

3.6.1 Calculus Extra

lemma (**in** *consequence-relation*) *entails-one-formula*: $N \models U \implies D \in U \implies N \models \{D\}$

<proof>

3.7 Clausal Calculus Extra

3.7.1 Clausal Calculus Only

lemma *true-cls-iff-set-mset-eq*: *set-mset* $C = \text{set-mset } D \implies I \models C \longleftrightarrow I \models D$

<proof>

lemma *true-cls-if-set-mset-eq*: $(\forall D \in \mathcal{D}. \exists C \in \mathcal{C}. \text{set-mset } D = \text{set-mset } C) \implies I \models_s \mathcal{C} \implies I \models_s \mathcal{D}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *entails-cls-insert*: $N \models_e \text{insert } C \ U \longleftrightarrow N \models_e \{C\} \wedge N \models_e U$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Collect-lits-from-atms-conv*: $\{L. P \ (\text{atm-of } L)\} = (\bigcup x \in \{x. P \ x\}. \{Pos \ x, \ Neg \ x\})$
 (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
 ⟨proof⟩

3.7.2 Clausal Calculus and Abstract Substitution

lemma (in substitution) *is-ground-lit-Pos[simp]*: *is-ground-atm atm* \implies *is-ground-lit (Pos atm)*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in substitution) *is-ground-lit-Neg[simp]*: *is-ground-atm atm* \implies *is-ground-lit (Neg atm)*
 ⟨proof⟩

3.8 First Order Terms Extra

3.8.1 First Order Terms Only

lemma *atm-of-eq-uminus-if-lit-eq*: $L = - \ K \implies \text{atm-of } L = \text{atm-of } K$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *subst-subst-eq-subst-subst-if-subst-eq-substI*:
assumes $t \cdot \sigma = u \cdot \delta$ **and**
t-inter-δ-empty: $\text{vars-term } t \cap \text{subst-domain } \delta = \{\}$ **and**
u-inter-σ-empty: $\text{vars-term } u \cap \text{subst-domain } \sigma = \{\}$
shows
range-vars σ ∩ subst-domain δ = {} $\implies t \cdot \sigma \cdot \delta = u \cdot \sigma \cdot \delta$
range-vars δ ∩ subst-domain σ = {} $\implies t \cdot \delta \cdot \sigma = u \cdot \delta \cdot \sigma$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *subst-compose-in-unifiersI*:
assumes $t \cdot \sigma = u \cdot \delta$ **and**
vars-term t ∩ subst-domain δ = {} **and**
vars-term u ∩ subst-domain σ = {}
shows
range-vars σ ∩ subst-domain δ = {} $\implies \sigma \circ_s \delta \in \text{unifiers } \{(t, u)\}$
range-vars δ ∩ subst-domain σ = {} $\implies \delta \circ_s \sigma \in \text{unifiers } \{(t, u)\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *subst-ident-if-not-in-domain*: $x \notin \text{subst-domain } \mu \implies \mu \ x = \text{Var } x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *is-renaming* ($\text{Var}(x := \text{Var } x')$)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ex-mgu-if-subst-eq-subst-and-disj-vars*:
fixes $t\ u :: ('f, 'v)\ \text{Term.term}$ **and** $\sigma_t\ \sigma_u :: ('f, 'v)\ \text{subst}$
assumes $t \cdot \sigma_t = u \cdot \sigma_u$ **and** $\text{vars-term } t \cap \text{vars-term } u = \{\}$
shows $\exists \mu :: ('f, 'v)\ \text{subst. Unification.mgu } t\ u = \text{Some } \mu$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *restrict-subst-domain-subst-composition*:
fixes $\sigma_A\ \sigma_B\ A\ B$
assumes
 distinct-domains: $A \cap B = \{\}$ **and**
 distinct-range: $\forall x \in A. \text{vars-term } (\sigma_A\ x) \cap \text{subst-domain } \sigma_B = \{\}$
defines $\sigma \equiv \text{restrict-subst-domain } A\ \sigma_A\ \circ_s\ \sigma_B$
shows $x \in A \implies \sigma\ x = \sigma_A\ x$ $x \in B \implies \sigma\ x = \sigma_B\ x$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *merge-substs-on-disjoint-domains*:
fixes $\sigma_A\ \sigma_B\ A\ B$
assumes *distinct-domains*: $A \cap B = \{\}$
defines $\sigma \equiv (\lambda x. \text{if } x \in A \text{ then } \sigma_A\ x \text{ else if } x \in B \text{ then } \sigma_B\ x \text{ else } \text{Var } x)$
shows
 $x \in A \implies \sigma\ x = \sigma_A\ x$
 $x \in B \implies \sigma\ x = \sigma_B\ x$
 $x \notin A \cup B \implies \sigma\ x = \text{Var } x$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *is-grounding-merge* **where**
is-grounding-merge $\gamma\ A\ \gamma_A\ B\ \gamma_B \longleftrightarrow$
 $A \cap B = \{\} \longrightarrow (\forall x \in A. \text{vars-term } (\gamma_A\ x) = \{\}) \longrightarrow (\forall x \in B. \text{vars-term } (\gamma_B\ x) = \{\}) \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall x \in A. \gamma\ x = \gamma_A\ x) \wedge (\forall x \in B. \gamma\ x = \gamma_B\ x)$

lemma *is-grounding-merge-if-mem-then-else*[*simp*]:
fixes $\gamma_A\ \gamma_B\ A\ B$
defines $\gamma \equiv (\lambda x. \text{if } x \in A \text{ then } \gamma_A\ x \text{ else } \gamma_B\ x)$
shows *is-grounding-merge* $\gamma\ A\ \gamma_A\ B\ \gamma_B$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *is-grounding-merge-restrict-subst-domain-comp*[*simp*]:
fixes $\gamma_A\ \gamma_B\ A\ B$
defines $\gamma \equiv \text{restrict-subst-domain } A\ \gamma_A\ \circ_s\ \gamma_B$

shows *is-grounding-merge* $\gamma A \gamma_A B \gamma_B$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

3.8.2 First Order Terms And Abstract Substitution

no-notation *subst-apply-term* (**infixl** $\langle \cdot \rangle$ 67)

no-notation *subst-compose* (**infixl** $\langle \circ_s \rangle$ 75)

global-interpretation *substitution-ops subst-apply-term Var subst-compose* ⟨*proof*⟩

notation *subst-atm-abbrev* (**infixl** $\langle \cdot a \rangle$ 67)

notation *subst-atm-list* (**infixl** $\langle \cdot al \rangle$ 67)

notation *subst-lit* (**infixl** $\langle \cdot l \rangle$ 67)

notation *subst-cls* (**infixl** $\langle \cdot \rangle$ 67)

notation *subst-cls* (**infixl** $\langle \cdot cs \rangle$ 67)

notation *subst-cls-list* (**infixl** $\langle \cdot cl \rangle$ 67)

notation *subst-cls-lists* (**infixl** $\langle \cdot \cdot cl \rangle$ 67)

notation *comp-subst-abbrev* (**infixl** $\langle \odot \rangle$ 67)

abbreviation *vars-lit* :: (f, v) *Term.term literal* \Rightarrow v set **where**
vars-lit $L \equiv$ *vars-term* (*atm-of* L)

definition *vars-cls* :: (f, v) *term clause* \Rightarrow v set **where**
vars-cls $C =$ *Union* (*set-mset* (*image-mset* *vars-lit* C))

definition *vars-cls* :: (f, v) *term clause set* \Rightarrow v set **where**
vars-cls $N = (\bigcup C \in N. \text{vars-cls } C)$

lemma *vars-cls-empty[simp]*: *vars-cls* $\{\}$ = $\{\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *vars-cls-insert[simp]*: *vars-cls* (*insert* $C N$) = *vars-cls* $C \cup$ *vars-cls* N
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *vars-cls-union[simp]*: *vars-cls* ($CC \cup DD$) = *vars-cls* $CC \cup$ *vars-cls* DD
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *vars-cls-empty[simp]*: *vars-cls* $\{\#\}$ = $\{\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *finite-vars-cls[simp]*: *finite* (*vars-cls* C)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *vars-cls-plus-iff*: *vars-cls* ($C + D$) = *vars-cls* $C \cup$ *vars-cls* D
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *vars-cls-subset-vars-cls-if-subset-mset*: $C \subseteq\# D \Rightarrow$ *vars-cls* $C \subseteq$ *vars-cls* D
 D

<proof>

lemma *is-ground-atm-iff-vars-empty*: $is-ground-atm\ t \longleftrightarrow vars-term\ t = \{\}$
<proof>

lemma *is-ground-lit-iff-vars-empty*: $is-ground-lit\ L \longleftrightarrow vars-lit\ L = \{\}$
<proof>

lemma *is-ground-cls-iff-vars-empty*: $is-ground-cls\ C \longleftrightarrow vars-cls\ C = \{\}$
<proof>

lemma *is-ground-atm-is-ground-on-var*:
assumes *is-ground-atm* $(A \cdot a\ \sigma)$ and $v \in vars-term\ A$
shows *is-ground-atm* $(\sigma\ v)$
<proof>

lemma *is-ground-lit-is-ground-on-var*:
assumes *ground-lit*: *is-ground-lit* $(subst-lit\ L\ \sigma)$ and *v-in-L*: $v \in vars-lit\ L$
shows *is-ground-atm* $(\sigma\ v)$
<proof>

lemma *is-ground-cls-is-ground-on-var*:
assumes
 ground-clause: *is-ground-cls* $(subst-cls\ C\ \sigma)$ and
 v-in-C: $v \in vars-cls\ C$
shows *is-ground-atm* $(\sigma\ v)$
<proof>

lemma *vars-atm-subset-subst-domain-if-grounding*:
assumes *is-ground-atm* $(t \cdot a\ \gamma)$
shows $vars-term\ t \subseteq subst-domain\ \gamma$
<proof>

lemma *vars-lit-subset-subst-domain-if-grounding*:
assumes *is-ground-lit* $(L \cdot l\ \gamma)$
shows $vars-lit\ L \subseteq subst-domain\ \gamma$
<proof>

lemma *vars-cls-subset-subst-domain-if-grounding*:
assumes *is-ground-cls* $(C \cdot \sigma)$
shows $vars-cls\ C \subseteq subst-domain\ \sigma$
<proof>

lemma *same-on-vars-lit*:
assumes $\forall v \in vars-lit\ L. \sigma\ v = \tau\ v$
shows $subst-lit\ L\ \sigma = subst-lit\ L\ \tau$
<proof>

lemma *same-on-vars-clause*:

assumes $\forall v \in \text{vars-cls } S. \sigma v = \tau v$

shows $\text{subst-cls } S \sigma = \text{subst-cls } S \tau$

<proof>

lemma *same-on-lits-clause*:

assumes $\forall L \in \# C. \text{subst-lit } L \sigma = \text{subst-lit } L \tau$

shows $\text{subst-cls } C \sigma = \text{subst-cls } C \tau$

<proof>

global-interpretation *substitution* $(\cdot a) \text{ Var} :: - \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) \text{ term } (\odot)$

<proof>

lemma *vars-subst-lit-eq-vars-subst-atm*: $\text{vars-lit } (L \cdot l \sigma) = \text{vars-term } (\text{atm-of } L \cdot a \sigma)$

<proof>

lemma *vars-subst-lit-eq*:

$\text{vars-lit } (L \cdot l \sigma) = (\bigcup x \in \text{vars-lit } L. \text{vars-term } (\sigma x))$

<proof>

lemma *vars-subst-cls-eq*:

$\text{vars-cls } (C \cdot \sigma) = (\bigcup x \in \text{vars-cls } C. \text{vars-term } (\sigma x))$

<proof>

lemma *vars-subst-lit-subset*: $\text{vars-lit } (L \cdot l \sigma) \subseteq \text{vars-lit } L - \text{subst-domain } \sigma \cup \text{range-vars } \sigma$

<proof>

lemma *vars-subst-cls-subset*: $\text{vars-cls } (C \cdot \sigma) \subseteq \text{vars-cls } C - \text{subst-domain } \sigma \cup \text{range-vars } \sigma$

<proof>

lemma *vars-subst-cls-subset-weak*: $\text{vars-cls } (C \cdot \sigma) \subseteq \text{vars-cls } C \cup \text{range-vars } \sigma$

<proof>

lemma *vars-cls-plus[simp]*: $\text{vars-cls } (C + D) = \text{vars-cls } C \cup \text{vars-cls } D$

<proof>

lemma *vars-cls-add-mset[simp]*: $\text{vars-cls } (\text{add-mset } L C) = \text{vars-lit } L \cup \text{vars-cls } C$

<proof>

lemma *UN-vars-term-atm-of-cls[simp]*: $(\bigcup T \in \{\text{atm-of ' set-mset } C\}. \bigcup (\text{vars-term ' } T)) = \text{vars-cls } C$

<proof>

lemma *vars-lit-subst-subset-vars-cls-substI[intro]*:

$\text{vars-lit } L \subseteq \text{vars-cls } C \implies \text{vars-lit } (L \cdot l \sigma) \subseteq \text{vars-cls } (C \cdot \sigma)$

<proof>

lemma *vars-subst-cls-subset-vars-cls-subst*:

$vars-cls\ C \subseteq vars-cls\ D \implies vars-cls\ (C \cdot \sigma) \subseteq vars-cls\ (D \cdot \sigma)$
<proof>

lemma *vars-cls-subst-subset*:

assumes *range-vars- η* : $range-vars\ \eta \subseteq vars-lit\ L \cup vars-lit\ L'$

shows $vars-cls\ (add-mset\ L\ D \cdot \eta) \subseteq vars-cls\ (add-mset\ L'\ (add-mset\ L\ D))$

<proof>

definition *disjoint-vars where*

$disjoint-vars\ C\ D \longleftrightarrow vars-cls\ C \cap vars-cls\ D = \{\}$

lemma *disjoint-vars-iff-inter-empty*: $disjoint-vars\ C\ D \longleftrightarrow vars-cls\ C \cap vars-cls\ D = \{\}$

<proof>

hide-fact *disjoint-vars-def*

lemma *disjoint-vars-sym*: $disjoint-vars\ C\ D \longleftrightarrow disjoint-vars\ D\ C$

<proof>

lemma *disjoint-vars-plus-iff*: $disjoint-vars\ (C + D)\ E \longleftrightarrow disjoint-vars\ C\ E \wedge disjoint-vars\ D\ E$

<proof>

lemma *disjoint-vars-subset-mset*: $disjoint-vars\ C\ D \implies E \subseteq\# C \implies disjoint-vars\ E\ D$

<proof>

lemma *disjoint-vars-subst-clsI*:

$disjoint-vars\ C\ D \implies range-vars\ \sigma \cap vars-cls\ D = \{\} \implies disjoint-vars\ (C \cdot \sigma)\ D$

<proof>

lemma *is-renaming-iff*: $is-renaming\ \varrho \longleftrightarrow (\forall x. is-Var\ (\varrho\ x)) \wedge inj\ \varrho$

(**is** ?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs)

<proof>

lemma *subst-cls-idem-if-disj-vars*: $subst-domain\ \sigma \cap vars-cls\ C = \{\} \implies C \cdot \sigma = C$

<proof>

lemma *subst-lit-idem-if-disj-vars*: $subst-domain\ \sigma \cap vars-lit\ L = \{\} \implies L \cdot l\ \sigma = L$

<proof>

lemma *subst-lit-restrict-subst-domain*: $vars-lit\ L \subseteq V \implies L \cdot l\ restrict-subst-domain\ V\ \sigma = L \cdot l\ \sigma$

<proof>

lemma *subst-cls-restrict-subst-domain*: $\text{vars-cls } C \subseteq V \implies C \cdot \text{restrict-subst-domain } V \sigma = C \cdot \sigma$
<proof>

lemma *subst-cls-insert[simp]*: $\text{insert } C \ U \cdot \text{cs } \eta = \text{insert } (C \cdot \eta) \ (U \cdot \text{cs } \eta)$
<proof>

lemma *valid-grounding-of-renaming*:
assumes *is-renaming* ϱ
shows $I \models_s \text{grounding-of-cls } (C \cdot \varrho) \longleftrightarrow I \models_s \text{grounding-of-cls } C$
<proof>

lemma *is-unifier-iff-mem-unifiers-Times*:
assumes *fin-AA*: *finite* AA
shows $\text{is-unifier } v \ AA \longleftrightarrow v \in \text{unifiers } (AA \times AA)$
<proof>

lemma *is-mgu-singleton-iff-Unifiers-is-mgu-Times*:
assumes *fin*: *finite* AA
shows $\text{is-mgu } v \ \{AA\} \longleftrightarrow \text{Unifiers.is-mgu } v \ (AA \times AA)$
<proof>

lemma *is-imagu-singleton-iff-Unifiers-is-imagu-Times*:
assumes *fin*: *finite* AA
shows $\text{is-imagu } v \ \{AA\} \longleftrightarrow \text{Unifiers.is-imagu } v \ (AA \times AA)$
<proof>

lemma *unifiers-without-refl*: $\text{unifiers } E = \text{unifiers } \{e \in E. \text{fst } e \neq \text{snd } e\}$
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
<proof>

lemma *subst-lit-renaming-subst-adapted*:
assumes *ren- ϱ* : *is-renaming* ϱ **and** *vars-L*: $\text{vars-lit } L \subseteq \text{subst-domain } \sigma$
shows $L \cdot l \ \varrho \cdot l \ \text{rename-subst-domain } \varrho \ \sigma = L \cdot l \ \sigma$
<proof>

lemma *subst-renaming-subst-adapted*:
assumes *ren- ϱ* : *is-renaming* ϱ **and** *vars-D*: $\text{vars-cls } D \subseteq \text{subst-domain } \sigma$
shows $D \cdot \varrho \cdot \text{rename-subst-domain } \varrho \ \sigma = D \cdot \sigma$
<proof>

lemma *subst-domain-rename-subst-domain-subset'*:
assumes *is-var- ϱ* : $\forall x. \text{is-Var } (\varrho \ x)$
shows $\text{subst-domain } (\text{rename-subst-domain } \varrho \ \sigma) \subseteq (\bigcup x \in \text{subst-domain } \sigma. \text{vars-term } (\varrho \ x))$
<proof>

lemma *range-vars-eq-empty-if-is-ground*:

is-ground-cls $(C \cdot \gamma) \implies \text{subst-domain } \gamma \subseteq \text{vars-cls } C \implies \text{range-vars } \gamma = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.8.3 Minimal, Idempotent Most General Unifier

lemma *is-imgu-if-mgu-eq-Some*:

assumes *mgu*: *Unification.mgu* $t\ u = \text{Some } \mu$

shows *is-imgu* $\mu \ \{\{t, u\}\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

primrec *pairs* **where**

pairs $\square = \square \mid$

pairs $(x \# xs) = (x, x) \# \text{map } (\text{Pair } x) \ xs \ @ \ \text{map } (\lambda y. (y, x)) \ xs \ @ \ \text{pairs } xs$

lemma *set (pairs [a, b, c, d]) =*

$\{(a, a), (a, b), (a, c), (a, d),$
 $(b, a), (b, b), (b, c), (b, d),$
 $(c, a), (c, b), (c, c), (c, d),$
 $(d, a), (d, b), (d, c), (d, d)\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *set-pairs*: *set (pairs xs) = set xs \times set xs*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Reflexive and symmetric pairs are not necessary to computing the MGU, but it makes the set of the resulting list equivalent to $\{(x, y). x \in xs \wedge y \in ys\}$, which is necessary for the following properties.

lemma *pair-in-set-pairs*: $a \in \text{set } as \implies b \in \text{set } as \implies (a, b) \in \text{set } (\text{pairs } as)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fst-pair-in-set-if-pair-in-pairs*: $p \in \text{set } (\text{pairs } as) \implies \text{fst } p \in \text{set } as$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *snd-pair-in-set-if-pair-in-pairs*: $p \in \text{set } (\text{pairs } as) \implies \text{snd } p \in \text{set } as$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *vars-mset-mset-pairs*:

vars-mset $(\text{mset } (\text{pairs } as)) = (\bigcup b \in \text{set } as. \bigcup a \in \text{set } as. \text{vars-term } a \cup \text{vars-term } b)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *mgu-sets* **where**

mgu-sets $\mu \ AAA \longleftrightarrow (\exists \text{ass. set } (\text{map set } \text{ass}) = AAA \wedge$

$\text{map-option subst-of } (\text{unify } (\text{concat } (\text{map pairs } \text{ass})) \ \square) = \text{Some } \mu)$

lemma *is-imgu-if-mgu-sets*:

assumes *mgu-AAA*: *mgu-sets* $\mu \ AAA$

shows *is-ingu* μ *AAA*
<proof>

3.8.4 Renaming Extra

context *renaming-apart* **begin**

lemma *inj-Var-comp-renaming*: *finite* $V \implies \text{inj} (Var \circ \text{renaming } V)$
<proof>

lemma *is-renaming-Var-comp-renaming*: *finite* $V \implies \text{Term.is-renaming} (Var \circ \text{renaming } V)$
<proof>

lemma *vars-term-subst-term-Var-comp-renaming-disj*:
assumes *fin-V*: *finite* V
shows *vars-term* $(t \cdot a (Var \circ \text{renaming } V)) \cap V = \{\}$
<proof>

lemma *vars-term-subst-term-Var-comp-renaming-disj'*:
assumes *fin-V*: *finite* $V1$ **and** *sub*: $V2 \subseteq V1$
shows *vars-term* $(t \cdot a (Var \circ \text{renaming } V1)) \cap V2 = \{\}$
<proof>

lemma *vars-lit-subst-renaming-disj*:
assumes *fin-V*: *finite* V
shows *vars-lit* $(L \cdot l (Var \circ \text{renaming } V)) \cap V = \{\}$
<proof>

lemma *vars-cls-subst-renaming-disj*:
assumes *fin-V*: *finite* V
shows *vars-cls* $(C \cdot (Var \circ \text{renaming } V)) \cap V = \{\}$
<proof>

abbreviation *renaming-wrt* :: $(f, -) \text{ Term.term clause set} \Rightarrow - \Rightarrow (f, -) \text{ Term.term}$
where

renaming-wrt $N \equiv Var \circ \text{renaming} (\text{vars-cls } N)$

lemma *is-renaming-renaming-wrt*: *finite* $N \implies \text{is-renaming} (\text{renaming-wrt } N)$
<proof>

lemma *ex-renaming-to-disjoint-vars*:
fixes $C :: (f, 'a) \text{ Term.term clause}$ **and** $N :: (f, 'a) \text{ Term.term clause set}$
assumes *fin*: *finite* N
shows $\exists \varrho. \text{is-renaming } \varrho \wedge \text{vars-cls} (C \cdot \varrho) \cap \text{vars-cls } N = \{\}$
<proof>

end

4 SCL State

type-synonym (f, v) *closure* = (f, v) *term clause* \times (f, v) *subst*

type-synonym (f, v) *closure-with-lit* =

(f, v) *term clause* \times (f, v) *term literal* \times (f, v) *subst*

type-synonym (f, v) *trail* = $((f, v)$ *term literal* \times (f, v) *closure-with-lit option*) *list*

type-synonym (f, v) *state* =

(f, v) *trail* \times (f, v) *term clause fset* \times (f, v) *closure option*

Note that, in contrast to Bromberger, Schwarz, and Weidenbach, the level is not part of the state. It would be redundant because it can always be computed from the trail.

abbreviation *initial-state* :: (f, v) *state* **where**

initial-state \equiv $([], \{\}, None)$

definition *state-trail* :: (f, v) *state* \Rightarrow (f, v) *trail* **where**

state-trail $S = fst\ S$

lemma *state-trail-simp*[*simp*]: *state-trail* $(\Gamma, U, u) = \Gamma$

<proof>

definition *state-learned* :: (f, v) *state* \Rightarrow (f, v) *term clause fset* **where**

state-learned $S = fst\ (snd\ S)$

lemma *state-learned-simp*[*simp*]: *state-learned* $(\Gamma, U, u) = U$

<proof>

definition *state-conflict* :: (f, v) *state* \Rightarrow (f, v) *closure option* **where**

state-conflict $S = snd\ (snd\ S)$

lemma *state-conflict-simp*[*simp*]: *state-conflict* $(\Gamma, U, u) = u$

<proof>

lemmas *state-proj-simp* = *state-trail-simp* *state-learned-simp* *state-conflict-simp*

lemma *state-simp*[*simp*]: $(state-trail\ S, state-learned\ S, state-conflict\ S) = S$

<proof>

fun *clss-of-trail-lit* **where**

clss-of-trail-lit $(-, None) = \{\}\}$ |

clss-of-trail-lit $(-, Some\ (C, L, -)) = \{|add-mset\ L\ C|\}$

primrec *clss-of-trail* :: (f, v) *trail* \Rightarrow (f, v) *term clause fset* **where**

clss-of-trail $[] = \{\}\}$ |

clss-of-trail $(Ln\ \#\ \Gamma) = clss-of-trail-lit\ Ln\ |\cup|\ clss-of-trail\ \Gamma$

hide-fact *clss-of-trail-def*

lemma *class-of-trail-append*: $\text{class-of-trail } (\Gamma_0 @ \Gamma_1) = \text{class-of-trail } \Gamma_0 \cup \text{class-of-trail } \Gamma_1$

<proof>

fun *class-of-closure* **where**

class-of-closure *None* = $\{\{\}\}$ |

class-of-closure (*Some* (*C*, -)) = $\{\{C\}\}$

definition *propagate-lit* **where**

propagate-lit *L C* γ = (*L* · *l* γ , *Some* (*C*, *L*, γ))

abbreviation *trail-propagate* ::

(*f*, '*v*) *trail* \Rightarrow (*f*, '*v*) *term literal* \Rightarrow (*f*, '*v*) *term clause* \Rightarrow (*f*, '*v*) *subst* \Rightarrow

(*f*, '*v*) *trail* **where**

trail-propagate Γ *L C* $\gamma \equiv \text{propagate-lit } L C \gamma \# \Gamma$

lemma *fst-propagate-lit[simp]*: $\text{fst } (\text{propagate-lit } L C \sigma) = L \cdot l \sigma$

<proof>

lemma *suffix-trail-propagate[simp]*: $\text{suffix } \Gamma (\text{trail-propagate } \Gamma L C \delta)$

<proof>

lemma *class-of-trail-trail-propagate[simp]*:

$\text{class-of-trail } (\text{trail-propagate } \Gamma L C \gamma) = \text{finsert } (\text{add-mset } L C) (\text{class-of-trail } \Gamma)$

<proof>

definition *decide-lit* **where**

decide-lit *L* = (*L*, *None*)

abbreviation *trail-decide* :: (*f*, '*v*) *trail* \Rightarrow (*f*, '*v*) *term literal* \Rightarrow (*f*, '*v*) *trail*

where

trail-decide Γ *L* $\equiv \text{decide-lit } L \# \Gamma$

lemma *fst-decide-lit[simp]*: $\text{fst } (\text{decide-lit } L) = L$

<proof>

lemma *class-of-trail-trail-decide[simp]*:

$\text{class-of-trail } (\text{trail-decide } \Gamma L) = \text{class-of-trail } \Gamma$

<proof>

definition *is-decision-lit*

:: (*f*, '*v*) *term literal* \times (*f*, '*v*) *closure-with-lit option* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

is-decision-lit *Ln* $\longleftrightarrow \text{snd } Ln = \text{None}$

definition *trail-interp* :: - *list* \Rightarrow - *interp* **where**

trail-interp $\Gamma = \bigcup ((\lambda L. \text{case } L \text{ of } \text{Pos } A \Rightarrow \{A\} \mid \text{Neg } A \Rightarrow \{\}) \text{ 'fst ' set } \Gamma)$

lemma

$trail\text{-}interp \ [] = \{\}$
 $trail\text{-}interp \ ((Pos\ A, ann) \# \Gamma) = insert\ A \ (trail\text{-}interp \ \Gamma)$
 $trail\text{-}interp \ ((Neg\ A, ann) \# \Gamma) = trail\text{-}interp \ \Gamma$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trail-interp-eq-Union*:

$trail\text{-}interp \ \Gamma = (\bigcup Ln \in set \ \Gamma. case\ fst\ Ln\ of\ Pos\ t \Rightarrow \{t\} \mid Neg\ t \Rightarrow \{\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *trail-true-lit* :: $(- literal \times - option) list \Rightarrow - literal \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $trail\text{-}true\text{-}lit \ \Gamma \ L \longleftrightarrow L \in fst \ ' set \ \Gamma$

definition *trail-false-lit* :: $(- literal \times - option) list \Rightarrow - literal \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $trail\text{-}false\text{-}lit \ \Gamma \ L \longleftrightarrow - L \in fst \ ' set \ \Gamma$

definition *trail-true-cls* :: $(- literal \times - option) list \Rightarrow - clause \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $trail\text{-}true\text{-}cls \ \Gamma \ C \longleftrightarrow (\exists L \in \# \ C. trail\text{-}true\text{-}lit \ \Gamma \ L)$

definition *trail-false-cls* :: $(- literal \times - option) list \Rightarrow - clause \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $trail\text{-}false\text{-}cls \ \Gamma \ C \longleftrightarrow (\forall L \in \# \ C. trail\text{-}false\text{-}lit \ \Gamma \ L)$

definition *trail-true-clss* :: $(f, v) trail \Rightarrow (f, v) term\ clause\ set \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $trail\text{-}true\text{-}clss \ \Gamma \ N \longleftrightarrow (\forall C \in N. trail\text{-}true\text{-}cls \ \Gamma \ C)$

definition *trail-defined-lit* :: $(- literal \times - option) list \Rightarrow - literal \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $trail\text{-}defined\text{-}lit \ \Gamma \ L \longleftrightarrow (L \in fst \ ' set \ \Gamma \vee - L \in fst \ ' set \ \Gamma)$

definition *trail-defined-cls* :: $(- literal \times - option) list \Rightarrow - clause \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $trail\text{-}defined\text{-}cls \ \Gamma \ C \longleftrightarrow (\forall L \in \# \ C. trail\text{-}defined\text{-}lit \ \Gamma \ L)$

lemma *trail-defined-lit-iff-true-or-false*:

$trail\text{-}defined\text{-}lit \ \Gamma \ L \longleftrightarrow trail\text{-}true\text{-}lit \ \Gamma \ L \vee trail\text{-}false\text{-}lit \ \Gamma \ L$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trail-true-or-false-cls-if-defined*:

$trail\text{-}defined\text{-}cls \ \Gamma \ C \Longrightarrow trail\text{-}true\text{-}cls \ \Gamma \ C \vee trail\text{-}false\text{-}cls \ \Gamma \ C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trail-false-cls-mempty[simp]*: $trail\text{-}false\text{-}cls \ \Gamma \ \{\#\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trail-false-cls-add-mset*:

$trail\text{-}false\text{-}cls \ \Gamma \ (add\text{-}mset\ L\ C) \longleftrightarrow trail\text{-}false\text{-}lit \ \Gamma \ L \wedge trail\text{-}false\text{-}cls \ \Gamma \ C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trail-false-cls-plus*:

$trail\text{-}false\text{-}cls \ \Gamma \ (C + D) \longleftrightarrow trail\text{-}false\text{-}cls \ \Gamma \ C \wedge trail\text{-}false\text{-}cls \ \Gamma \ D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-trail-true-Nil[simp]*:
 $\neg \text{trail-true-lit } [] L$
 $\neg \text{trail-true-cls } [] C$
 $N \neq \{\}$ $\implies \neg \text{trail-true-cls } [] N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-trail-false-Nil[simp]*:
 $\neg \text{trail-false-lit } [] L$
 $\text{trail-false-cls } [] C \iff C = \{\#\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-trail-defined-lit-Nil[simp]*: $\neg \text{trail-defined-lit } [] L$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trail-defined-lit-if-trail-defined-suffix*:
 $\text{suffix } \Gamma' \Gamma \implies \text{trail-defined-lit } \Gamma' K \implies \text{trail-defined-lit } \Gamma K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trail-defined-cls-if-trail-defined-suffix*:
 $\text{suffix } \Gamma' \Gamma \implies \text{trail-defined-cls } \Gamma' C \implies \text{trail-defined-cls } \Gamma C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trail-false-lit-if-trail-false-suffix*:
 $\text{suffix } \Gamma' \Gamma \implies \text{trail-false-lit } \Gamma' K \implies \text{trail-false-lit } \Gamma K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trail-false-cls-if-trail-false-suffix*:
 $\text{suffix } \Gamma' \Gamma \implies \text{trail-false-cls } \Gamma' C \implies \text{trail-false-cls } \Gamma C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trail-interp-Cons*: $\text{trail-interp } (Ln \# \Gamma) = \text{trail-interp } [Ln] \cup \text{trail-interp } \Gamma$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trail-interp-Cons'*: $\text{trail-interp } (Ln \# \Gamma) = (\text{case fst } Ln \text{ of Pos } A \Rightarrow \{A\} \mid$
 $\text{Neg } A \Rightarrow \{\}) \cup \text{trail-interp } \Gamma$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-lit-thick-unionD*: $(I1 \cup I2) \models_l L \implies I1 \models_l L \vee I2 \models_l L$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subtrail-falseI*:
assumes *tr-false*: $\text{trail-false-cls } ((L, Cl) \# \Gamma) C$ **and** *L-not-in*: $-L \notin \# C$
shows $\text{trail-false-cls } \Gamma C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trail-false-cls-ignores-duplicates*:
 $\text{set-mset } C = \text{set-mset } D \implies \text{trail-false-cls } \Gamma C \iff \text{trail-false-cls } \Gamma D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ball-trail-propagate-is-ground-lit*:
assumes $\forall x \in \text{set } \Gamma. \text{is-ground-lit } (\text{fst } x)$ **and** *is-ground-lit* $(L \cdot l \ \sigma)$
shows $\forall x \in \text{set } (\text{trail-propagate } \Gamma \ L \ C \ \sigma). \text{is-ground-lit } (\text{fst } x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ball-trail-decide-is-ground-lit*:
assumes $\forall x \in \text{set } \Gamma. \text{is-ground-lit } (\text{fst } x)$ **and** *is-ground-lit* L
shows $\forall x \in \text{set } (\text{trail-decide } \Gamma \ L). \text{is-ground-lit } (\text{fst } x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trail-false-cls-subst-mgu-before-grounding*:
fixes $\Gamma :: ('f, 'v) \text{ trail}$
assumes *tr-false-cls*: *trail-false-cls* $\Gamma ((D + \{\#L, L'\#\}) \cdot \sigma)$ **and**
imgu- μ : *is-imgu* $\mu \{\{\text{atm-of } L, \text{atm-of } L'\}\}$ **and**
unif- σ : *is-unifiers* $\sigma \{\{\text{atm-of } L, \text{atm-of } L'\}\}$
shows *trail-false-cls* $\Gamma ((D + \{\#L\#\}) \cdot \mu \cdot \sigma)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trail-defined-lit-iff-defined-uminus*: *trail-defined-lit* $\Gamma \ L \longleftrightarrow \text{trail-defined-lit } \Gamma \ (-L)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trail-defined-lit-iff*: *trail-defined-lit* $\Gamma \ L \longleftrightarrow \text{atm-of } L \in \text{atm-of } ' \text{fst } ' \text{ set } \Gamma$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trail-interp-conv*: *trail-interp* $\Gamma = \text{atm-of } ' \{L \in \text{fst } ' \text{ set } \Gamma. \text{is-pos } L\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-in-trail-interp-if-not-in-trail*: $t \notin \text{atm-of } ' \text{fst } ' \text{ set } \Gamma \implies t \notin \text{trail-interp } \Gamma$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

inductive *trail-consistent* **where**

Nil[simp]: *trail-consistent* $\square \mid$

Cons: $\neg \text{trail-defined-lit } \Gamma \ L \implies \text{trail-consistent } \Gamma \implies \text{trail-consistent } ((L, u) \# \Gamma)$

lemma *distinct-atm-of-trail-if-trail-consistent*:
trail-consistent $\Gamma \implies \text{distinct } (\text{map } (\text{atm-of } \circ \text{fst}) \ \Gamma)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trail-consistent-appendD*: *trail-consistent* $(\Gamma @ \Gamma') \implies \text{trail-consistent } \Gamma'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trail-consistent-if-suffix*:
trail-consistent $\Gamma \implies \text{suffix } \Gamma' \ \Gamma \implies \text{trail-consistent } \Gamma'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trail-interp-lit-if-trail-true*:

shows $\text{trail-consistent } \Gamma \implies \text{trail-true-lit } \Gamma L \implies \text{trail-interp } \Gamma \models_l L$
<proof>

lemma *trail-interp-cls-if-trail-true*:

assumes *trail-consistent* Γ **and** *trail-true-cls* ΓC
shows $\text{trail-interp } \Gamma \models C$
<proof>

lemma *trail-true-cls-iff-trail-interp-entails*:

assumes *trail-consistent* $\Gamma \forall L \in \# C$. *trail-defined-lit* ΓL
shows $\text{trail-true-cls } \Gamma C \longleftrightarrow \text{trail-interp } \Gamma \models C$
<proof>

lemma *trail-false-cls-iff-not-trail-interp-entails*:

assumes *trail-consistent* $\Gamma \forall L \in \# C$. *trail-defined-lit* ΓL
shows $\text{trail-false-cls } \Gamma C \longleftrightarrow \neg \text{trail-interp } \Gamma \models C$
<proof>

inductive *trail-closures-false* **where**

Nil[simp]: *trail-closures-false* $[] \mid$

Cons:

$(\forall D K \gamma. Kn = \text{propagate-lit } K D \gamma \longrightarrow \text{trail-false-cls } \Gamma (D \cdot \gamma)) \implies$
 $\text{trail-closures-false } \Gamma \implies \text{trail-closures-false } (Kn \# \Gamma)$

lemma *trail-closures-false-ConsD*: $\text{trail-closures-false } (Ln \# \Gamma) \implies \text{trail-closures-false } \Gamma$

<proof>

lemma *trail-closures-false-appendD*: $\text{trail-closures-false } (\Gamma @ \Gamma') \implies \text{trail-closures-false } \Gamma'$

<proof>

lemma *is-ground-lit-if-true-in-ground-trail*:

assumes $\forall L \in \text{fst } \text{'set } \Gamma$. *is-ground-lit* L
shows $\text{trail-true-lit } \Gamma L \implies \text{is-ground-lit } L$
<proof>

lemma *is-ground-lit-if-false-in-ground-trail*:

assumes $\forall L \in \text{fst } \text{'set } \Gamma$. *is-ground-lit* L
shows $\text{trail-false-lit } \Gamma L \implies \text{is-ground-lit } L$
<proof>

lemma *is-ground-lit-if-defined-in-ground-trail*:

assumes $\forall L \in \text{fst } \text{'set } \Gamma$. *is-ground-lit* L
shows $\text{trail-defined-lit } \Gamma L \implies \text{is-ground-lit } L$
<proof>

lemma *is-ground-cls-if-false-in-ground-trail*:

assumes $\forall L \in \text{fst } \text{'set } \Gamma. \text{ is-ground-lit } L$
shows $\text{trail-false-cls } \Gamma \ C \implies \text{is-ground-cls } C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5 SCL(FOL) Calculus

locale $\text{scl-fol-calculus} = \text{renaming-apart renaming-vars}$
for $\text{renaming-vars} :: \text{'v set} \Rightarrow \text{'v} \Rightarrow \text{'v} +$
fixes $\text{less-B} :: (\text{'f}, \text{'v}) \text{ term} \Rightarrow (\text{'f}, \text{'v}) \text{ term} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** \prec_B 50)
assumes
 $\text{finite-less-B}: \bigwedge \beta. \text{finite } \{x. x \prec_B \beta\}$
begin

abbreviation lesseq-B (**infix** \preceq_B 50) **where**
 $\text{lesseq-B} \equiv (\prec_B)^{==}$

5.1 Lemmas About (\prec_B)

lemma $\text{lits-less-B-conv}: \{L. \text{atm-of } L \prec_B \beta\} = (\bigcup x \in \{x. x \prec_B \beta\}. \{Pos\ x, Neg\ x\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{lits-eq-conv}: \{L. \text{atm-of } L = \beta\} = \{Pos\ \beta, Neg\ \beta\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{lits-less-eq-B-conv}: \{L. \text{atm-of } L \prec_B \beta \vee \text{atm-of } L = \beta\} = \text{insert } (Pos\ \beta) (\text{insert } (Neg\ \beta) \{L. \text{atm-of } L \prec_B \beta\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{finite-lits-less-B}: \text{finite } \{L. \text{atm-of } L \prec_B \beta\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{finite-lits-less-eq-B}: \text{finite } \{L. \text{atm-of } L \preceq_B \beta\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{Collect-ball-eq-Pow-Collect}: \{X. \forall x \in X. P\ x\} = \text{Pow } \{x. P\ x\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{finite-lit-cls-nodup-less-B}: \text{finite } \{C. \forall L \in \# \ C. \text{atm-of } L \prec_B \beta \wedge \text{count } C\ L = 1\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.2 Rules

inductive $\text{propagate} :: (\text{'f}, \text{'v}) \text{ term clause fset} \Rightarrow (\text{'f}, \text{'v}) \text{ term} \Rightarrow (\text{'f}, \text{'v}) \text{ state} \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{'f}, \text{'v}) \text{ state} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **for** $N\ \beta$ **where**
 $\text{propagateI}: C \ |\in| N \ |\cup| U \implies C = \text{add-mset } L\ C' \implies \text{is-ground-cls } (C \cdot \gamma)$
 \implies

$\forall K \in \# C \cdot \gamma. \text{atm-of } K \preceq_B \beta \implies$
 $C_0 = \{\#K \in \# C'. K \cdot l \gamma \neq L \cdot l \gamma\} \implies C_1 = \{\#K \in \# C'. K \cdot l \gamma = L \cdot l \gamma\} \implies$
 $\text{trail-false-cls } \Gamma (C_0 \cdot \gamma) \implies \neg \text{trail-defined-lit } \Gamma (L \cdot l \gamma) \implies$
 $\text{is-ingu } \mu \{\text{atm-of ' set-mset (add-mset } L C_1)\} \implies$
 $\text{propagate } N \beta (\Gamma, U, \text{None}) (\text{trail-propagate } \Gamma (L \cdot l \mu) (C_0 \cdot \mu) \gamma, U, \text{None})$

lemma $C \in | N \cup U \implies C = \text{add-mset } L C' \implies \text{is-ground-cls } (C \cdot \gamma) \implies$
 $\forall K \in \# C. \text{atm-of } (K \cdot l \gamma) \preceq_B \beta \implies$
 $C_0 = \{\#K \in \# C'. K \cdot l \gamma \neq L \cdot l \gamma\} \implies C_1 = \{\#K \in \# C'. K \cdot l \gamma = L \cdot l \gamma\} \implies$
 $\text{trail-false-cls } \Gamma (C_0 \cdot \gamma) \implies \neg \text{trail-defined-lit } \Gamma (L \cdot l \gamma) \implies$
 $\text{is-ingu } \mu \{\text{atm-of ' set-mset (add-mset } L C_1)\} \implies$
 $\text{propagate } N \beta (\Gamma, U, \text{None}) (\text{trail-propagate } \Gamma (L \cdot l \mu) (C_0 \cdot \mu) \gamma, U, \text{None})$
<proof>

inductive *decide* :: (*f*, *v*) term clause fset \Rightarrow (*f*, *v*) term \Rightarrow (*f*, *v*) state \Rightarrow
(*f*, *v*) state \Rightarrow bool **for** $N \beta$ **where**
decideI: $\text{is-ground-lit } (L \cdot l \gamma) \implies$
 $\neg \text{trail-defined-lit } \Gamma (L \cdot l \gamma) \implies \text{atm-of } L \cdot a \gamma \preceq_B \beta \implies$
 $\text{decide } N \beta (\Gamma, U, \text{None}) (\text{trail-decide } \Gamma (L \cdot l \gamma), U, \text{None})$

inductive *conflict* :: (*f*, *v*) term clause fset \Rightarrow (*f*, *v*) term \Rightarrow (*f*, *v*) state \Rightarrow
(*f*, *v*) state \Rightarrow bool **for** $N \beta$ **where**
conflictI: $D \in | N \cup U \implies \text{is-ground-cls } (D \cdot \gamma) \implies \text{trail-false-cls } \Gamma (D \cdot \gamma)$
 \implies
 $\text{conflict } N \beta (\Gamma, U, \text{None}) (\Gamma, U, \text{Some } (D, \gamma))$

inductive *skip* :: (*f*, *v*) term clause fset \Rightarrow (*f*, *v*) term \Rightarrow (*f*, *v*) state \Rightarrow
(*f*, *v*) state \Rightarrow bool **for** $N \beta$ **where**
skipI: $-L \notin \# D \cdot \sigma \implies$
 $\text{skip } N \beta ((L, n) \# \Gamma, U, \text{Some } (D, \sigma)) (\Gamma, U, \text{Some } (D, \sigma))$

lemma $-(fst \mathcal{K}) \notin \# D \cdot \sigma \implies \text{skip } N \beta (\mathcal{K} \# \Gamma, U, \text{Some } (D, \sigma)) (\Gamma, U, \text{Some } (D, \sigma))$
<proof>

inductive *factorize* :: (*f*, *v*) term clause fset \Rightarrow (*f*, *v*) term \Rightarrow (*f*, *v*) state \Rightarrow
(*f*, *v*) state \Rightarrow bool **for** $N \beta$ **where**
factorizeI: $L \cdot l \gamma = L' \cdot l \gamma \implies \text{is-ingu } \mu \{\{\text{atm-of } L, \text{atm-of } L'\}\} \implies$
 $\text{factorize } N \beta (\Gamma, U, \text{Some } (\text{add-mset } L' (\text{add-mset } L D), \gamma)) (\Gamma, U, \text{Some } (\text{add-mset } L D \cdot \mu, \gamma))$

inductive *resolve* :: (*f*, *v*) term clause fset \Rightarrow (*f*, *v*) term \Rightarrow (*f*, *v*) state \Rightarrow
(*f*, *v*) state \Rightarrow bool **for** $N \beta$ **where**
resolveI: $\Gamma = \text{trail-propagate } \Gamma' K D \gamma_D \implies K \cdot l \gamma_D = -(L \cdot l \gamma_C) \implies$
 $\text{is-renaming } \varrho_C \implies \text{is-renaming } \varrho_D \implies$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{vars-cls } (\text{add-mset } L \ C \cdot \varrho_C) \cap \text{vars-cls } (\text{add-mset } K \ D \cdot \varrho_D) = \{\} \implies \\
& \text{is-ingu } \mu \{ \{ \text{atm-of } L \cdot a \ \varrho_C, \text{atm-of } K \cdot a \ \varrho_D \} \} \implies \\
& \text{is-grounding-merge } \gamma \\
& \quad (\text{vars-cls } (\text{add-mset } L \ C \cdot \varrho_C)) (\text{rename-subst-domain } \varrho_C \ \gamma_C) \\
& \quad (\text{vars-cls } (\text{add-mset } K \ D \cdot \varrho_D)) (\text{rename-subst-domain } \varrho_D \ \gamma_D) \implies \\
& \text{resolve } N \ \beta \ (\Gamma, U, \text{Some } (\text{add-mset } L \ C, \gamma_C)) \ (\Gamma, U, \text{Some } ((C \cdot \varrho_C + D \cdot \\
& \varrho_D) \cdot \mu, \gamma))
\end{aligned}$$

inductive backtrack :: (*f*, *v*) *term clause fset* \Rightarrow (*f*, *v*) *term* \Rightarrow (*f*, *v*) *state* \Rightarrow (*f*, *v*) *state* \Rightarrow **bool** **for** *N* β **where**
backtrackI: $\Gamma = \text{trail-decide } (\Gamma' \ @ \ \Gamma'') \ K \implies K = - (L \cdot l \ \sigma) \implies$
 $\nexists \gamma. \text{is-ground-cls } (\text{add-mset } L \ D \cdot \gamma) \wedge \text{trail-false-cls } \Gamma'' (\text{add-mset } L \ D \cdot \gamma)$
 \implies
backtrack *N* β ($\Gamma, U, \text{Some } (\text{add-mset } L \ D, \sigma)$) ($\Gamma'', \text{finsert } (\text{add-mset } L \ D) \ U,$
None)

definition scl :: (*f*, *v*) *term clause fset* \Rightarrow (*f*, *v*) *term* \Rightarrow (*f*, *v*) *state* \Rightarrow (*f*, *v*) *state* \Rightarrow **bool** **where**
scl *N* β *S* *S'* \longleftrightarrow *propagate* *N* β *S* *S'* \vee *decide* *N* β *S* *S'* \vee *conflict* *N* β *S* *S'* \vee *skip* *N* β *S* *S'* \vee
factorize *N* β *S* *S'* \vee *resolve* *N* β *S* *S'* \vee *backtrack* *N* β *S* *S'*

Note that, in contrast to Fiori and Weidenbach (CADE 2019), the set *N* of initial clauses and the ground atom β are parameters of the relation instead of being repeated twice in the states. This is to highlight the fact that they are constant.

5.3 Well-Defined

lemma propagate-well-defined:

assumes *propagate* *N* β *S* *S'*

shows

- \neg *decide* *N'* β' *S* *S'*
- \neg *conflict* *N'* β' *S* *S'*
- \neg *skip* *N'* β' *S* *S'*
- \neg *factorize* *N'* β' *S* *S'*
- \neg *resolve* *N'* β' *S* *S'*
- \neg *backtrack* *N'* β' *S* *S'*

<proof>

lemma decide-well-defined:

assumes *decide* *N* β *S* *S'*

shows

- \neg *propagate* *N'* β' *S* *S'*
- \neg *conflict* *N'* β' *S* *S'*
- \neg *skip* *N'* β' *S* *S'*
- \neg *factorize* *N'* β' *S* *S'*

\neg *resolve* $N' \beta' S S'$
 \neg *backtrack* $N' \beta' S S'$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *conflict-well-defined:*
assumes *conflict* $N \beta S S'$
shows

\neg *propagate* $N' \beta' S S'$
 \neg *decide* $N' \beta' S S'$
 \neg *skip* $N' \beta' S S'$
 \neg *factorize* $N' \beta' S S'$
 \neg *resolve* $N' \beta' S S'$
 \neg *backtrack* $N' \beta' S S'$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *skip-well-defined:*
assumes *skip* $N \beta S S'$
shows

\neg *propagate* $N' \beta' S S'$
 \neg *decide* $N' \beta' S S'$
 \neg *conflict* $N' \beta' S S'$
 \neg *factorize* $N' \beta' S S'$
 \neg *resolve* $N' \beta' S S'$
 \neg *backtrack* $N' \beta' S S'$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *factorize-well-defined:*
assumes *factorize* $N \beta S S'$
shows

\neg *propagate* $N \beta S S'$
 \neg *decide* $N \beta S S'$
 \neg *conflict* $N \beta S S'$
 \neg *skip* $N \beta S S'$

\neg *backtrack* $N \beta S S'$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *resolve-well-defined:*
assumes *resolve* $N \beta S S'$
shows

\neg *propagate* $N \beta S S'$
 \neg *decide* $N \beta S S'$
 \neg *conflict* $N \beta S S'$
 \neg *skip* $N \beta S S'$

\neg *backtrack* $N \beta S S'$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *backtrack-well-defined:*

assumes *backtrack* $N \beta S S'$
shows
 \neg *propagate* $N' \beta' S S'$
 \neg *decide* $N' \beta' S S'$
 \neg *conflict* $N' \beta' S S'$
 \neg *skip* $N' \beta' S S'$
 \neg *factorize* $N' \beta' S S'$
 \neg *resolve* $N' \beta' S S'$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

5.4 Some rules are right unique

lemma *right-unique-skip*: *right-unique* (*skip* $N \beta$)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

5.5 Miscellaneous Lemmas

lemma *conflict-set-after-factorization*:

assumes *fact*: *factorize* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *conflict-S*: *state-conflict* $S = \text{Some } (C, \gamma)$
shows $\exists C' \gamma'. \text{state-conflict } S' = \text{Some } (C', \gamma') \wedge \text{set-mset } (C \cdot \gamma) = \text{set-mset } (C' \cdot \gamma')$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *not-trail-false-ground-cls-if-no-conflict*:

assumes
no-conf: $\nexists S'. \text{conflict } N \beta S S'$ **and**
could-conf: *state-conflict* $S = \text{None}$ **and**
C-in: $C \in N \cup \text{state-learned } S$ **and**
gr-C-γ: *is-ground-cls* $(C \cdot \gamma)$
shows $\neg \text{trail-false-cls } (\text{state-trail } S) (C \cdot \gamma)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *scl-mempty-not-in-sate-learned*:

scl $N \beta S S' \implies \{\#\} \notin \text{state-learned } S \implies \{\#\} \notin \text{state-learned } S'$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *conflict-if-mempty-in-initial-clauses-and-no-conflict*:

assumes $\{\#\} \in N$ **and** *state-conflict* $S = \text{None}$
shows *conflict* $N \beta S$ (*state-trail* S , *state-learned* S , *Some* ($\{\#\}$, *Var*))
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *conflict-initial-state-if-mempty-in-intial-clauses*:

$\{\#\} \in N \implies \text{conflict } N \beta \text{ initial-state } (\[], \{\#\}, \text{Some } (\{\#\}, \text{Var}))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *conflict-empty-trail*:

assumes *conf*: *conflict* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *empty-trail*: *state-trail* $S = \[]$
shows $\{\#\} \in N \cup \text{state-learned } S$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *conflict-empty-trail'*:

assumes $\{\#\} \mid \in \mid N \mid \cup \mid U$

shows $\exists S'. \text{conflict } N \beta (\ [], U, \text{None}) S'$

<proof>

lemma *mempty-in-iff-ex-conflict*: $\{\#\} \mid \in \mid N \mid \cup \mid U \longleftrightarrow (\exists S'. \text{conflict } N \beta (\ [], U, \text{None}) S')$

<proof>

lemma *conflict-initial-state-only-with-mempty*:

assumes *conflict* $N \beta$ *initial-state* S

shows $\exists \gamma. S = (\ [], \{\ \}, \text{Some } (\{\#\}, \gamma))$

<proof>

lemma *no-more-step-if-conflict-mempty*:

assumes *state-trail* $S = \ []$ *state-conflict* $S = \text{Some } (\{\#\}, \gamma)$

shows $\nexists S'. \text{scl } N \beta S S'$

<proof>

lemma *ex-conflict-if-trail-false-cls*:

assumes *tr-false- Γ - D* : *trail-false-cls* ΓD **and** *D-in*: $D \in \text{grounding-of-cls } (fset N \cup fset U)$

shows $\exists S'. \text{conflict } N \beta (\Gamma, U, \text{None}) S'$

<proof>

lemma *no-conflict-tail-trail*:

assumes $\nexists S. \text{conflict } N \beta (Ln \ \# \ \Gamma, U, \text{None}) S$

shows $\nexists S. \text{conflict } N \beta (\Gamma, U, \text{None}) S$

<proof>

lemma *subst-domain-rename-subst-domain-subset-vars-cls-subst-cls*:

assumes $\forall x. \text{is-Var } (\varrho_C x)$ **and**

dom- γ_C : *subst-domain* $\gamma_C \subseteq \text{vars-cls } (add-mset L C)$

shows *subst-domain* $(\text{rename-subst-domain } \varrho_C \gamma_C) \subseteq \text{vars-cls } (add-mset L C \cdot \varrho_C)$

<proof>

lemma *renamed-comp-renamed-simp*:

fixes $\gamma_C \gamma_D$

assumes

$K \cdot l \gamma_D = - (L \cdot l \gamma_C)$ **and**

ground-conf: *is-ground-cls* $(add-mset L C \cdot \gamma_C)$ **and**

ground-prop: *is-ground-cls* $(add-mset K D \cdot \gamma_D)$ **and**

dom- γ_D : *subst-domain* $\gamma_D \subseteq \text{vars-cls } (add-mset K D)$ **and**

ren- ϱ_C : *is-renaming* ϱ_C **and**

ren- ϱ_D : *is-renaming* ϱ_D **and**

disjoint-vars: $\text{vars-cls } (add-mset L C \cdot \varrho_C) \cap \text{vars-cls } (add-mset K D \cdot \varrho_D) =$

$\{\}$

defines $\gamma \equiv \text{rename-subst-domain } \varrho_D \gamma_D \odot \text{rename-subst-domain } \varrho_C \gamma_C$

shows

subst-renamed-comp-renamed-simp:

$$L \cdot l \varrho_C \cdot l \gamma = L \cdot l \gamma_C \ C \cdot \varrho_C \cdot \gamma = C \cdot \gamma_C$$

$$K \cdot l \varrho_D \cdot l \gamma = K \cdot l \gamma_D \ D \cdot \varrho_D \cdot \gamma = D \cdot \gamma_D \text{ and}$$

ingu-comp-renamed-comp-renamed-simp:

$$\text{is-ingu } \mu \ \{\{\text{atm-of } L \cdot a \ \varrho_C, \text{ atm-of } K \cdot a \ \varrho_D\}\} \implies \mu \odot \gamma = \gamma$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

6 Invariants

6.1 Initial Literals Generalize Learned, Trail, and Conflict Literals

definition *clss-lits-generalize-clss-lits* **where**

$$\text{clss-lits-generalize-clss-lits } N \ U \longleftrightarrow$$

$$(\forall L \in \bigcup (\text{set-mset } ' U). \exists K \in \bigcup (\text{set-mset } ' N). \text{generalizes-lit } K \ L)$$

lemma *clss-lits-generalize-clss-lits-if-superset*[*simp*]:

assumes $N2 \subseteq N1$

shows *clss-lits-generalize-clss-lits* $N1 \ N2$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *clss-lits-generalize-clss-lits-subset:*

$$\text{clss-lits-generalize-clss-lits } N \ U1 \implies U2 \subseteq U1 \implies \text{clss-lits-generalize-clss-lits } N \ U2$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *clss-lits-generalize-clss-lits-insert:*

$$\text{clss-lits-generalize-clss-lits } N \ (\text{insert } C \ U) \longleftrightarrow$$

$$(\forall L \in \# \ C. \exists K \in \bigcup (\text{set-mset } ' N). \text{generalizes-lit } K \ L) \wedge \text{clss-lits-generalize-clss-lits } N \ U$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *clss-lits-generalize-clss-lits-trans:*

assumes

clss-lits-generalize-clss-lits $N1 \ N2$ **and**

clss-lits-generalize-clss-lits $N2 \ N3$

shows *clss-lits-generalize-clss-lits* $N1 \ N3$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *clss-lits-generalize-clss-lits-subst-clss:*

assumes *clss-lits-generalize-clss-lits* $N1 \ N2$

shows *clss-lits-generalize-clss-lits* $N1 \ ((\lambda C. C \cdot \sigma) ' N2)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *clss-lits-generalize-clss-lits-singleton-subst-clss:*

$$\text{clss-lits-generalize-clss-lits } N \ \{C\} \implies \text{clss-lits-generalize-clss-lits } N \ \{C \cdot \sigma\}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *clss-lits-generalize-clss-lits-subst-clss*:

assumes *clss-lits-generalize-clss-lits* N $\{add-mset L1 (add-mset L2 C)\}$

shows *clss-lits-generalize-clss-lits* N $\{add-mset (L1 \cdot l \sigma) (C \cdot \sigma)\}$

<proof>

definition *initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict* **where**

initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict $N S \longleftrightarrow$ *clss-lits-generalize-clss-lits* (*fset* N)

(*fset* (*state-learned* S \cup | *clss-of-trail* (*state-trail* S) \cup |

(*case state-conflict* S of *None* \Rightarrow $\{\}$ | *Some* $(C, -)$ \Rightarrow $\{|C|\}$)))

lemma *initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict-initial-state[simp]*:

initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict N *initial-state*

<proof>

lemma *propagate-preserves-initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict*:

propagate $N \beta S S' \Longrightarrow$ *initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict* $N S \Longrightarrow$

initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict $N S'$

<proof>

lemma *decide-preserves-initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict*:

decide $N \beta S S' \Longrightarrow$ *initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict* $N S \Longrightarrow$

initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict $N S'$

<proof>

lemma *conflict-preserves-initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict*:

assumes *conflict* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict* $N S$

shows *initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict* $N S'$

<proof>

lemma *skip-preserves-initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict*:

skip $N \beta S S' \Longrightarrow$ *initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict* $N S \Longrightarrow$

initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict $N S'$

<proof>

lemma *factorize-preserves-initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict*:

factorize $N \beta S S' \Longrightarrow$ *initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict* $N S \Longrightarrow$

initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict $N S'$

<proof>

lemma *resolve-preserves-initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict*:

resolve $N \beta S S' \Longrightarrow$ *initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict* $N S \Longrightarrow$

initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict $N S'$

<proof>

lemma *backtrack-preserves-initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict*:

backtrack $N \beta S S' \Longrightarrow$ *initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict* $N S \Longrightarrow$

initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict $N S'$

<proof>

lemma *scl-preserves-initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict*:
assumes *scl* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict* $N S$
shows *initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict* $N S'$
<proof>

6.2 Trail Literals Are Ground

definition *trail-lits-ground* **where**
trail-lits-ground $S \longleftrightarrow (\forall L \in \text{fst } \text{' set (state-trail } S). \text{ is-ground-lit } L)$

lemma *trail-lits-ground-initial-state[simp]*: *trail-lits-ground* *initial-state*
<proof>

lemma *propagate-preserves-trail-lits-ground*:
assumes *propagate* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *trail-lits-ground* S
shows *trail-lits-ground* S'
<proof>

lemma *decide-preserves-trail-lits-ground*:
assumes *decide* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *trail-lits-ground* S
shows *trail-lits-ground* S'
<proof>

lemma *conflict-preserves-trail-lits-ground*:
assumes *conflict* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *trail-lits-ground* S
shows *trail-lits-ground* S'
<proof>

lemma *skip-preserves-trail-lits-ground*:
assumes *skip* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *trail-lits-ground* S
shows *trail-lits-ground* S'
<proof>

lemma *factorize-preserves-trail-lits-ground*:
assumes *factorize* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *trail-lits-ground* S
shows *trail-lits-ground* S'
<proof>

lemma *resolve-preserves-trail-lits-ground*:
assumes *resolve* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *trail-lits-ground* S
shows *trail-lits-ground* S'
<proof>

lemma *backtrack-preserves-trail-lits-ground*:
assumes *backtrack* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *trail-lits-ground* S
shows *trail-lits-ground* S'
<proof>

lemma *scl-preserves-trail-lits-ground*:
assumes *scl N β S S' and trail-lits-ground S*
shows *trail-lits-ground S'*
<proof>

6.3 Trail Literals Are Defined Only Once

definition *trail-lits-consistent where*
trail-lits-consistent S ↔ trail-consistent (state-trail S)

lemma *trail-lits-consistent-initial-state[simp]*: *trail-lits-consistent initial-state*
<proof>

lemma *propagate-preserves-trail-lits-consistent*:
assumes *propagate N β S S' and invar: trail-lits-consistent S*
shows *trail-lits-consistent S'*
<proof>

lemma *decide-preserves-trail-lits-consistent*:
assumes *decide N β S S' and invar: trail-lits-consistent S*
shows *trail-lits-consistent S'*
<proof>

lemma *conflict-preserves-trail-lits-consistent*:
assumes *conflict N β S S' and invar: trail-lits-consistent S*
shows *trail-lits-consistent S'*
<proof>

lemma *skip-preserves-trail-lits-consistent*:
assumes *skip N β S S' and invar: trail-lits-consistent S*
shows *trail-lits-consistent S'*
<proof>

lemma *factorize-preserves-trail-lits-consistent*:
assumes *factorize N β S S' and invar: trail-lits-consistent S*
shows *trail-lits-consistent S'*
<proof>

lemma *resolve-preserves-trail-lits-consistent*:
assumes *resolve N β S S' and invar: trail-lits-consistent S*
shows *trail-lits-consistent S'*
<proof>

lemma *backtrack-preserves-trail-lits-consistent*:
assumes *backtrack N β S S' and invar: trail-lits-consistent S*
shows *trail-lits-consistent S'*
<proof>

lemma *scl-preserves-trail-lits-consistent*:

assumes $scl\ N\ \beta\ S\ S'$ **and** *trail-lits-consistent* S
shows *trail-lits-consistent* S'
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trail-consistent-iff*: *trail-consistent* $\Gamma \longleftrightarrow (\forall \Gamma' Ln\ \Gamma''. \Gamma = \Gamma'' @ Ln \# \Gamma' \longrightarrow \neg \text{trail-defined-lit } \Gamma' (fst\ Ln))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

6.4 Trail Closures Are False In Subtrails

definition *trail-closures-false'* **where**
trail-closures-false' $S \longleftrightarrow \text{trail-closures-false } (state\text{-trail } S)$

lemma *trail-closures-false'-initial-state[simp]*: *trail-closures-false'* *initial-state*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *propagate-preserves-trail-closures-false'*:
assumes *step*: *propagate* $N\ \beta\ S\ S'$ **and** *invar*: *trail-closures-false'* S
shows *trail-closures-false'* S'
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *decide-preserves-trail-closures-false'*:
assumes *step*: *decide* $N\ \beta\ S\ S'$ **and** *invar*: *trail-closures-false'* S
shows *trail-closures-false'* S'
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conflict-preserves-trail-closures-false'*:
assumes *step*: *conflict* $N\ \beta\ S\ S'$ **and** *invar*: *trail-closures-false'* S
shows *trail-closures-false'* S'
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *skip-preserves-trail-closures-false'*:
assumes *step*: *skip* $N\ \beta\ S\ S'$ **and** *invar*: *trail-closures-false'* S
shows *trail-closures-false'* S'
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *factorize-preserves-trail-closures-false'*:
assumes *step*: *factorize* $N\ \beta\ S\ S'$ **and** *invar*: *trail-closures-false'* S
shows *trail-closures-false'* S'
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *resolve-preserves-trail-closures-false'*:
assumes *step*: *resolve* $N\ \beta\ S\ S'$ **and** *invar*: *trail-closures-false'* S
shows *trail-closures-false'* S'
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-preserves-trail-closures-false'*:
assumes *step*: *backtrack* $N\ \beta\ S\ S'$ **and** *invar*: *trail-closures-false'* S
shows *trail-closures-false'* S'

<proof>

lemma *scl-preserves-trail-closures-false'*:
assumes *scl* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *trail-closures-false'* S
shows *trail-closures-false'* S'
<proof>

lemma *trail-closures-false* $\Gamma \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall K D \gamma \Gamma' \Gamma''. \Gamma = \Gamma'' @ \text{propagate-lit } K D \gamma \# \Gamma' \longrightarrow \text{trail-false-cls } \Gamma' (D \cdot \gamma))$
<proof>

6.5 Trail Literals Were Propagated or Decided

inductive *trail-propagated-or-decided* **for** $N \beta U$ **where**

Nil[simp]: *trail-propagated-or-decided* $N \beta U [] |$

Propagate:

$C | \in | N | \cup | U \implies$
 $C = \text{add-mset } L C' \implies$
 $\text{is-ground-cls } (C \cdot \gamma) \implies$
 $\forall K \in \# C \cdot \gamma. \text{atm-of } K \preceq_B \beta \implies$
 $C_0 = \{\#K \in \# C'. K \cdot l \gamma \neq L \cdot l \gamma \#\} \implies$
 $C_1 = \{\#K \in \# C'. K \cdot l \gamma = L \cdot l \gamma \#\} \implies$
 $\text{trail-false-cls } \Gamma (C_0 \cdot \gamma) \implies$
 $\neg \text{trail-defined-lit } \Gamma (L \cdot l \gamma) \implies$
 $\text{is-imgu } \mu \{\text{atm-of } \text{'set-mset (add-mset } L C_1)\} \implies$
 $\text{trail-propagated-or-decided } N \beta U \Gamma \implies$
 $\text{trail-propagated-or-decided } N \beta U (\text{trail-propagate } \Gamma (L \cdot l \mu) (C_0 \cdot \mu) \gamma) |$

Decide:

$\text{is-ground-lit } (L \cdot l \gamma) \implies$
 $\neg \text{trail-defined-lit } \Gamma (L \cdot l \gamma) \implies$
 $\text{atm-of } L \cdot a \gamma \preceq_B \beta \implies$
 $\text{trail-propagated-or-decided } N \beta U \Gamma \implies$
 $\text{trail-propagated-or-decided } N \beta U (\text{trail-decide } \Gamma (L \cdot l \gamma))$

lemma *trail-propagate-or-decide-suffixI*:
assumes *trail-propagated-or-decided* $N \beta U ys$ **and** *suffix* $xs ys$
shows *trail-propagated-or-decided* $N \beta U xs$
<proof>

definition *trail-propagated-or-decided'* **where**

trail-propagated-or-decided' $N \beta S =$
 $\text{trail-propagated-or-decided } N \beta (\text{state-learned } S) (\text{state-trail } S)$

lemma *trail-propagated-or-decided-learned-finsert*:
assumes *trail-propagated-or-decided* $N \beta U \Gamma$
shows *trail-propagated-or-decided* $N \beta (\text{finsert } C U) \Gamma$
<proof>

lemma *trail-propagated-or-decided-trail-append*:
assumes *trail-propagated-or-decided* $N \beta U (\Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2)$
shows *trail-propagated-or-decided* $N \beta U \Gamma_2$
<proof>

lemma *trail-propagated-or-decided-initial-state[simp]*:
trail-propagated-or-decided' $N \beta \text{initial-state}$
<proof>

lemma *propagate-preserves-trail-propagated-or-decided*:
assumes *propagate* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *trail-propagated-or-decided'* $N \beta S$
shows *trail-propagated-or-decided'* $N \beta S'$
<proof>

lemma *decide-preserves-trail-propagated-or-decided*:
assumes *decide* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *trail-propagated-or-decided'* $N \beta S$
shows *trail-propagated-or-decided'* $N \beta S'$
<proof>

lemma *conflict-preserves-trail-propagated-or-decided*:
assumes *conflict* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *invar: trail-propagated-or-decided'* $N \beta S$
shows *trail-propagated-or-decided'* $N \beta S'$
<proof>

lemma *skip-preserves-trail-propagated-or-decided*:
assumes *skip* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *invar: trail-propagated-or-decided'* $N \beta S$
shows *trail-propagated-or-decided'* $N \beta S'$
<proof>

lemma *factorize-preserves-trail-propagated-or-decided*:
assumes *factorize* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *invar: trail-propagated-or-decided'* $N \beta S$
shows *trail-propagated-or-decided'* $N \beta S'$
<proof>

lemma *resolve-preserves-trail-propagated-or-decided*:
assumes *resolve* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *invar: trail-propagated-or-decided'* $N \beta S$
shows *trail-propagated-or-decided'* $N \beta S'$
<proof>

lemma *backtrack-preserves-trail-propagated-or-decided*:
assumes *backtrack* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *invar: trail-propagated-or-decided'* $N \beta S$
shows *trail-propagated-or-decided'* $N \beta S'$
<proof>

lemma *scl-preserves-trail-propagated-or-decided*:
assumes *scl* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *trail-propagated-or-decided'* $N \beta S$
shows *trail-propagated-or-decided'* $N \beta S'$
<proof>

definition *trail-propagated-wf* **where**

trail-propagated-wf $\Gamma \longleftrightarrow (\forall (L_\gamma, n) \in \text{set } \Gamma.$

case n of

None \Rightarrow *True*

| *Some* $(-, L, \gamma) \Rightarrow L_\gamma = L \cdot l \ \gamma)$

lemma *trail-propagated-wf-iff*:

trail-propagated-wf $\Gamma \longleftrightarrow (\forall Ln \in \text{set } \Gamma. \forall D K \ \gamma. \text{snd } Ln = \text{Some } (D, K, \gamma)$
 $\longrightarrow \text{fst } Ln = K \cdot l \ \gamma)$

(**is** *?lhs* \longleftrightarrow *?rhs*)

<proof>

lemma *trail-propagated-wf-if-trail-propagated-or-decided*:

trail-propagated-or-decided $N \ U \ \beta \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow$ *trail-propagated-wf* Γ
<proof>

lemma *trail-propagated-wf-if-trail-propagated-or-decided'*:

trail-propagated-or-decided' $N \ \beta \ S \Longrightarrow$ *trail-propagated-wf* (*state-trail* S)
<proof>

lemma *trail-propagated-lit-wf-initial-state*:

$\forall \mathcal{K} \in \text{set } (\text{state-trail } \text{initial-state}). \forall D K \ \gamma. \text{snd } \mathcal{K} = \text{Some } (D, K, \gamma) \longrightarrow \text{fst } \mathcal{K}$
 $= K \cdot l \ \gamma$
<proof>

lemma *scl-preserves-trail-propagated-lit-wf*:

assumes *step*: *scl* $N \ \beta \ S \ S'$ **and**

inv: $\forall \mathcal{K} \in \text{set } (\text{state-trail } S). \forall D K \ \gamma. \text{snd } \mathcal{K} = \text{Some } (D, K, \gamma) \longrightarrow \text{fst } \mathcal{K} =$
 $K \cdot l \ \gamma$

shows $\forall \mathcal{K} \in \text{set } (\text{state-trail } S'). \forall D K \ \gamma. \text{snd } \mathcal{K} = \text{Some } (D, K, \gamma) \longrightarrow \text{fst } \mathcal{K} =$
 $K \cdot l \ \gamma$

<proof>

6.6 Trail Atoms Are Less Than Bound

definition *trail-atoms-lt* **where**

trail-atoms-lt $\beta \ S \longleftrightarrow (\forall A \in \text{atm-of } 'fst' \ \text{set } (\text{state-trail } S). A \preceq_B \beta)$

lemma *trail-atoms-lt-initial-state[simp]*: *trail-atoms-lt* β *initial-state*

<proof>

lemma *propagate-preserves-trail-atoms-lt*:

assumes *propagate* $N \ \beta \ S \ S'$ **and** *trail-atoms-lt* $\beta \ S$

shows *trail-atoms-lt* $\beta \ S'$

<proof>

lemma *decide-preserves-trail-atoms-lt*:

assumes *decide* $N \ \beta \ S \ S'$ **and** *trail-atoms-lt* $\beta \ S$

shows *trail-atoms-lt* $\beta \ S'$

<proof>

lemma *conflict-preserves-trail-atoms-lt*:
assumes *conflict* $N \beta S S'$ and *trail-atoms-lt* βS
shows *trail-atoms-lt* $\beta S'$
<proof>

lemma *skip-preserves-trail-atoms-lt*:
assumes *skip* $N \beta S S'$ and *trail-atoms-lt* βS
shows *trail-atoms-lt* $\beta S'$
<proof>

lemma *factorize-preserves-trail-atoms-lt*:
assumes *factorize* $N \beta S S'$ and *trail-atoms-lt* βS
shows *trail-atoms-lt* $\beta S'$
<proof>

lemma *resolve-preserves-trail-atoms-lt*:
assumes *resolve* $N \beta S S'$ and *trail-atoms-lt* βS
shows *trail-atoms-lt* $\beta S'$
<proof>

lemma *backtrack-preserves-trail-atoms-lt*:
assumes *backtrack* $N \beta S S'$ and *trail-atoms-lt* βS
shows *trail-atoms-lt* $\beta S'$
<proof>

lemma *scl-preserves-trail-atoms-lt*:
assumes *scl* $N \beta S S'$ and *trail-atoms-lt* βS
shows *trail-atoms-lt* $\beta S'$
<proof>

6.7 Trail Resolved Literals Have Unique Polarity

definition *trail-resolved-lits-pol* where

trail-resolved-lits-pol $S \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall Ln \in \text{set } (\text{state-trail } S). \forall C L \gamma. \text{snd } Ln = \text{Some } (C, L, \gamma) \longrightarrow \neg(L \cdot l \gamma) \notin \#$
 $C \cdot \gamma)$

lemma *trail-resolved-lits-pol-initial-state[simp]*: *trail-resolved-lits-pol* *initial-state*
<proof>

lemma *propagate-preserves-trail-resolved-lits-pol*:
assumes *step*: *propagate* $N \beta S S'$ and *invar*: *trail-resolved-lits-pol* S
shows *trail-resolved-lits-pol* S'
<proof>

lemma *decide-preserves-trail-resolved-lits-pol*:
assumes *step*: *decide* $N \beta S S'$ and *invar*: *trail-resolved-lits-pol* S

shows *trail-resolved-lits-pol* S'
<proof>

lemma *conflict-preserves-trail-resolved-lits-pol*:
assumes *step*: *conflict* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *invar*: *trail-resolved-lits-pol* S
shows *trail-resolved-lits-pol* S'
<proof>

lemma *skip-preserves-trail-resolved-lits-pol*:
assumes *step*: *skip* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *invar*: *trail-resolved-lits-pol* S
shows *trail-resolved-lits-pol* S'
<proof>

lemma *factorize-preserves-trail-resolved-lits-pol*:
assumes *step*: *factorize* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *invar*: *trail-resolved-lits-pol* S
shows *trail-resolved-lits-pol* S'
<proof>

lemma *resolve-preserves-trail-resolved-lits-pol*:
assumes *step*: *resolve* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *invar*: *trail-resolved-lits-pol* S
shows *trail-resolved-lits-pol* S'
<proof>

lemma *backtrack-preserves-trail-resolved-lits-pol*:
assumes *step*: *backtrack* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *invar*: *trail-resolved-lits-pol* S
shows *trail-resolved-lits-pol* S'
<proof>

lemma *scl-preserves-trail-resolved-lits-pol*:
assumes *scl* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *trail-resolved-lits-pol* S
shows *trail-resolved-lits-pol* S'
<proof>

6.8 Trail And Conflict Closures Are Ground

definition *ground-closures* **where**
ground-closures $S \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall Ln \in \text{set } (\text{state-trail } S). \forall C L \gamma. \text{snd } Ln = \text{Some } (C, L, \gamma) \longrightarrow \text{is-ground-cls}$
 $(\text{add-mset } L C \cdot \gamma)) \wedge$
 $(\forall C \gamma. \text{state-conflict } S = \text{Some } (C, \gamma) \longrightarrow \text{is-ground-cls } (C \cdot \gamma))$

lemma *ground-closures-initial-state[simp]*: *ground-closures* *initial-state*
<proof>

lemma *propagate-preserves-ground-closures*:
assumes *step*: *propagate* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *invar*: *ground-closures* S
shows *ground-closures* S'
<proof>

lemma *decide-preserves-ground-closures*:
assumes *step*: $decide\ N\ \beta\ S\ S'$ **and** *invar*: *ground-closures* S
shows *ground-closures* S'
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conflict-preserves-ground-closures*:
assumes *step*: $conflict\ N\ \beta\ S\ S'$ **and** *invar*: *ground-closures* S
shows *ground-closures* S'
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *skip-preserves-ground-closures*:
assumes *step*: $skip\ N\ \beta\ S\ S'$ **and** *invar*: *ground-closures* S
shows *ground-closures* S'
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *factorize-preserves-ground-closures*:
assumes *step*: $factorize\ N\ \beta\ S\ S'$ **and** *invar*: *ground-closures* S
shows *ground-closures* S'
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *merge-of-renamed-groundings*:
assumes
ren- ϱ_C : *is-renaming* ϱ_C **and**
ren- ϱ_D : *is-renaming* ϱ_D **and**
disjoint-vars: $vars-cl\ (C \cdot \varrho_C) \cap vars-cl\ (D \cdot \varrho_D) = \{\}$ **and**
ground-conf: *is-ground-cl* $(C \cdot \gamma_C)$ **and**
ground-prop: *is-ground-cl* $(D \cdot \gamma_D)$ **and**
merge- γ : *is-grounding-merge* γ
 $(vars-cl\ (C \cdot \varrho_C))\ (rename-subst-domain\ \varrho_C\ \gamma_C)$
 $(vars-cl\ (D \cdot \varrho_D))\ (rename-subst-domain\ \varrho_D\ \gamma_D)$
shows
 $\forall L \in \# C. L \cdot l\ \varrho_C \cdot l\ \gamma = L \cdot l\ \gamma_C$
 $\forall K \in \# D. K \cdot l\ \varrho_D \cdot l\ \gamma = K \cdot l\ \gamma_D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *resolve-preserves-ground-closures*:
assumes *step*: $resolve\ N\ \beta\ S\ S'$ **and** *invar*: *ground-closures* S
shows *ground-closures* S'
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *backtrack-preserves-ground-closures*:
assumes *step*: $backtrack\ N\ \beta\ S\ S'$ **and** *invar*: *ground-closures* S
shows *ground-closures* S'
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *scl-preserves-ground-closures*:
assumes $scl\ N\ \beta\ S\ S'$ **and** *ground-closures* S
shows *ground-closures* S'
 $\langle proof \rangle$

6.9 Trail And Conflict Closures Are Ground And False

definition *ground-false-closures* where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ground-false-closures } S &\longleftrightarrow \text{ground-closures } S \wedge \\ &\text{trail-closures-false } (\text{state-trail } S) \wedge \\ &(\forall C \gamma. \text{state-conflict } S = \text{Some } (C, \gamma) \longrightarrow \text{trail-false-cls } (\text{state-trail } S) (C \cdot \\ &\gamma)) \end{aligned}$$

lemma *ground-false-closures-initial-state[simp]*: *ground-false-closures initial-state*
<proof>

lemma *propagate-preserves-ground-false-closures*:

assumes *step*: *propagate* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *invar*: *ground-false-closures* S
shows *ground-false-closures* S'
<proof>

lemma *decide-preserves-ground-false-closures*:

assumes *step*: *decide* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *invar*: *ground-false-closures* S
shows *ground-false-closures* S'
<proof>

lemma *conflict-preserves-ground-false-closures*:

assumes *step*: *conflict* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *invar*: *ground-false-closures* S
shows *ground-false-closures* S'
<proof>

lemma *skip-preserves-ground-false-closures*:

assumes *step*: *skip* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *invar*: *ground-false-closures* S
shows *ground-false-closures* S'
<proof>

lemma *factorize-preserves-ground-false-closures*:

assumes *step*: *factorize* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *invar*: *ground-false-closures* S
shows *ground-false-closures* S'
<proof>

lemma *resolve-preserves-ground-false-closures*:

assumes *step*: *resolve* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *invar*: *ground-false-closures* S
shows *ground-false-closures* S'
<proof>

lemma *backtrack-preserves-ground-false-closures*:

assumes *step*: *backtrack* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *invar*: *ground-false-closures* S
shows *ground-false-closures* S'
<proof>

lemma *scl-preserves-ground-false-closures*:

assumes *scl* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *ground-false-closures* S
shows *ground-false-closures* S'
<proof>

6.10 Learned Clauses Are Non-empty

definition *learned-nonempty* where

learned-nonempty $S \longleftrightarrow \{\#\} \mid \notin \mid$ *state-learned* S

lemma *learned-nonempty-initial-state[simp]*: *learned-nonempty initial-state*
<proof>

lemma *propagate-preserves-learned-nonempty*:
assumes *propagate* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *learned-nonempty* S
shows *learned-nonempty* S'
<proof>

lemma *decide-preserves-learned-nonempty*:
assumes *decide* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *learned-nonempty* S
shows *learned-nonempty* S'
<proof>

lemma *conflict-preserves-learned-nonempty*:
assumes *conflict* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *learned-nonempty* S
shows *learned-nonempty* S'
<proof>

lemma *skip-preserves-learned-nonempty*:
assumes *skip* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *learned-nonempty* S
shows *learned-nonempty* S'
<proof>

lemma *factorize-preserves-learned-nonempty*:
assumes *factorize* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *learned-nonempty* S
shows *learned-nonempty* S'
<proof>

lemma *resolve-preserves-learned-nonempty*:
assumes *resolve* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *learned-nonempty* S
shows *learned-nonempty* S'
<proof>

lemma *backtrack-preserves-learned-nonempty*:
assumes *backtrack* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *learned-nonempty* S
shows *learned-nonempty* S'
<proof>

lemma *scl-preserves-learned-nonempty*:
assumes *scl* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *learned-nonempty* S
shows *learned-nonempty* S'
<proof>

6.11 Backtrack Follows Conflict Resolution

definition *conflict-resolution* where

$$\text{conflict-resolution } N \beta S \longleftrightarrow (\text{state-conflict } S \neq \text{None} \longrightarrow (\exists S0 S1. \text{conflict } N \beta S0 S1 \wedge (\text{skip } N \beta \sqcup \text{factorize } N \beta \sqcup \text{resolve } N \beta)^{**} S1 S))$$

lemma *conflict-resolution-initial-state[simp]*: *conflict-resolution* $N \beta$ *initial-state*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *propagate-preserves-conflict-resolution*:

assumes *step*: *propagate* $N \beta S S'$
shows *conflict-resolution* $N \beta S'$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *decide-preserves-conflict-resolution*:

assumes *step*: *decide* $N \beta S S'$
shows *conflict-resolution* $N \beta S'$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *conflict-preserves-conflict-resolution*:

assumes *step*: *conflict* $N \beta S S'$
shows *conflict-resolution* $N \beta S'$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *skip-preserves-conflict-resolution*:

assumes *step*: *skip* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *invar*: *conflict-resolution* $N \beta S$
shows *conflict-resolution* $N \beta S'$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *factorize-preserves-conflict-resolution*:

assumes *step*: *factorize* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *invar*: *conflict-resolution* $N \beta S$
shows *conflict-resolution* $N \beta S'$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *resolve-preserves-conflict-resolution*:

assumes *step*: *resolve* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *invar*: *conflict-resolution* $N \beta S$
shows *conflict-resolution* $N \beta S'$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *backtrack-preserves-conflict-resolution*:

assumes *step*: *backtrack* $N \beta S S'$
shows *conflict-resolution* $N \beta S'$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *scl-preserves-conflict-resolution*:

assumes *scl* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *conflict-resolution* $N \beta S$
shows *conflict-resolution* $N \beta S'$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

6.12 Miscellaneous Lemmas

lemma *before-conflict*:

assumes *conflict* $N \beta S1 S2$ **and**

invars: *learned-nonempty* $S1$ *trail-propagated-or-decided'* $N \beta S1$

shows $\{\#\} \mid \in \mid N \vee (\exists S0. \text{propagate } N \beta S0 S1) \vee (\exists S0. \text{decide } N \beta S0 S1)$

<proof>

lemma *before-backtrack*:

assumes *backt*: *backtrack* $N \beta Sn Sm$ **and**

invar: *conflict-resolution* $N \beta Sn$

shows $\exists S0 S1. \text{conflict } N \beta S0 S1 \wedge (\text{skip } N \beta \sqcup \text{factorize } N \beta \sqcup \text{resolve } N \beta)^{**} S1 Sn$

<proof>

lemma *ball-less-B-if-trail-false-and-trail-atoms-lt*:

trail-false-cls (*state-trail* S) $C \implies \text{trail-atoms-lt } \beta S \implies \forall L \in \# C. \text{atm-of } L \preceq_B \beta$

<proof>

7 Soundness

7.1 Sound Trail

abbreviation *entails-G* (**infix** $\langle \models_{\mathcal{G}e} \rangle$ 50) **where**

entails-G $N U \equiv \text{grounding-of-cls } N \models_e \text{grounding-of-cls } U$

definition *sound-trail* **where**

sound-trail $N \Gamma \longleftrightarrow$

$(\forall Ln \in \text{set } \Gamma. \forall D K \gamma. \text{snd } Ln = \text{Some } (D, K, \gamma) \longrightarrow \text{fset } N \models_{\mathcal{G}e} \{\text{add-mset } K D\})$

lemma *sound-trail-Nil[simp]*: *sound-trail* $N []$

<proof>

lemma *entails-G-mono*: $N \models_{\mathcal{G}e} U \implies N \subseteq NN \implies NN \models_{\mathcal{G}e} U$

<proof>

lemma *sound-trail-supersetI*: *sound-trail* $N \Gamma \implies N \mid \subseteq \mid NN \implies \text{sound-trail } NN \Gamma$

<proof>

lemma *sound-trail-ConsD*: *sound-trail* $N (Ln \# \Gamma) \implies \text{sound-trail } N \Gamma$

<proof>

lemma *sound-trail-appendD*: *sound-trail* $N (\Gamma @ \Gamma') \implies \text{sound-trail } N \Gamma'$

<proof>

lemma *sound-trail-propagate*:

assumes

sound- Γ : *sound-trail* $N \Gamma$ **and**

N-entails-C-L: *fset* $N \models_{\mathcal{G}e} \{C + \{\#L\#\}\}$

shows *sound-trail* N (*trail-propagate* $\Gamma L C \sigma$)

<proof>

lemma *sound-trail-decide*:

sound-trail $N \Gamma \implies \text{sound-trail } N$ (*trail-decide* ΓL)

<proof>

7.2 Sound State

definition *sound-state* :: (*f*, *v*) *term clause* *fset* \Rightarrow (*f*, *v*) *term* \Rightarrow (*f*, *v*) *state*
 \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

sound-state $N \beta S \longleftrightarrow$

$(\exists \Gamma U u. S = (\Gamma, U, u) \wedge \text{sound-trail } N \Gamma \wedge \text{fset } N \models_{\mathcal{G}e} \text{fset } U \wedge$
 $(\text{case } u \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid \text{Some } (C, \gamma) \Rightarrow \text{fset } N \models_{\mathcal{G}e} \{C\}))$

7.3 Initial State Is Sound

lemma *sound-initial-state[simp]*: *sound-state* $N \beta$ *initial-state*

<proof>

7.4 SCL Rules Preserve Soundness

lemma *mem-vars-cls-subst-clsD*: $x' \in \text{vars-cls } (C \cdot \varrho) \implies \exists x \in \text{vars-cls } C. x' \in$
vars-term (ϱx)

<proof>

lemma *propagate-preserves-sound-state*:

assumes *step*: *propagate* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *sound*: *sound-state* $N \beta S$

shows *sound-state* $N \beta S'$

<proof>

lemma *decide-preserves-sound-state*:

assumes *step*: *decide* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *sound*: *sound-state* $N \beta S$

shows *sound-state* $N \beta S'$

<proof>

lemma *conflict-preserves-sound-state*:

assumes *step*: *conflict* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *sound*: *sound-state* $N \beta S$

shows *sound-state* $N \beta S'$

<proof>

lemma *skip-preserves-sound-state*:

assumes *step*: *skip* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *sound*: *sound-state* $N \beta S$

shows *sound-state* $N \beta S'$

<proof>

lemma *factorize-preserves-sound-state*:

assumes *step*: factorize $N \beta S S'$ **and** *sound*: sound-state $N \beta S$
shows sound-state $N \beta S'$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *resolve-preserves-sound-state*:

assumes *step*: resolve $N \beta S S'$ **and** *sound*: sound-state $N \beta S$
shows sound-state $N \beta S'$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *backtrack-preserves-sound-state*:

assumes *step*: backtrack $N \beta S S'$ **and** *sound*: sound-state $N \beta S$
shows sound-state $N \beta S'$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *scl-preserves-sound-state*:

fixes $N :: ('f, 'v) \text{Term.term clause fset}$
shows $\text{scl } N \beta S S' \implies \text{sound-state } N \beta S \implies \text{sound-state } N \beta S'$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

8 Strategies

definition *reasonable-scl where*

$\text{reasonable-scl } N \beta S S' \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{scl } N \beta S S' \wedge (\text{decide } N \beta S S' \longrightarrow \neg(\exists S''. \text{conflict } N \beta S' S''))$

lemma *scl-if-reasonable*: $\text{reasonable-scl } N \beta S S' \implies \text{scl } N \beta S S'$

⟨*proof*⟩

definition *regular-scl where*

$\text{regular-scl } N \beta S S' \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{conflict } N \beta S S' \vee \neg(\exists S''. \text{conflict } N \beta S S'') \wedge \text{reasonable-scl } N \beta S S'$

lemma *reasonable-if-regular*:

$\text{regular-scl } N \beta S S' \implies \text{reasonable-scl } N \beta S S'$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *scl-if-regular*:

$\text{regular-scl } N \beta S S' \implies \text{scl } N \beta S S'$

⟨*proof*⟩

The following specification of *regular-scl* is better for the paper as it highlights that it is a restriction of *reasonable-scl*.

lemma $\text{regular-scl } N \beta S S' \longleftrightarrow \text{reasonable-scl } N \beta S S' \wedge$

$((\exists S''. \text{conflict } N \beta S S'') \longrightarrow \text{conflict } N \beta S S')$

(**is** ?lhs = ?rhs)

⟨*proof*⟩

definition *ex-conflict where*

$\text{ex-conflict } C \Gamma \longleftrightarrow (\exists \gamma. \text{is-ground-cls } (C \cdot \gamma) \wedge \text{trail-false-cls } \Gamma (C \cdot \gamma))$

definition *is-shortest-backtrack* **where**

is-shortest-backtrack $C \Gamma \Gamma_0 \longleftrightarrow C \neq \{\#\} \longrightarrow \text{suffix } \Gamma_0 \Gamma \wedge \neg \text{ex-conflict } C \Gamma_0$
 \wedge
 $(\forall Kn. \text{suffix } (Kn \# \Gamma_0) \Gamma \longrightarrow \text{ex-conflict } C (Kn \# \Gamma_0))$

definition *shortest-backtrack-strategy* **where**

shortest-backtrack-strategy $R N \beta S S' \longleftrightarrow R N \beta S S' \wedge (\text{backtrack } N \beta S S' \longrightarrow$
 \longrightarrow
 $\text{is-shortest-backtrack } (\text{fst } (\text{the } (\text{state-conflict } S))) (\text{state-trail } S) (\text{state-trail } S'))$

lemma *regular-scl-if-shortest-backtrack-strategy*:

shortest-backtrack-strategy $\text{regular-scl } N \beta S S' \implies \text{regular-scl } N \beta S S'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *strategy-restrictions*:

shows

shortest-backtrack-strategy $\text{regular-scl } N \beta S S' \implies \text{regular-scl } N \beta S S'$ **and**
 $\text{regular-scl } N \beta S S' \implies \text{reasonable-scl } N \beta S S'$ **and**
 $\text{reasonable-scl } N \beta S S' \implies \text{scl } N \beta S S'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

primrec *shortest-backtrack* **where**

shortest-backtrack $C [] = []$
 $\text{shortest-backtrack } C (Ln \# \Gamma) =$
 $(\text{if } \text{ex-conflict } C (Ln \# \Gamma) \text{ then}$
 $\text{shortest-backtrack } C \Gamma$
 else
 $Ln \# \Gamma)$

lemma *suffix-shortest-backtrack*: $\text{suffix } (\text{shortest-backtrack } C \Gamma) \Gamma$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ex-conflict-shortest-backtrack*: $\text{ex-conflict } C (\text{shortest-backtrack } C \Gamma) \longleftrightarrow$

$C = \{\#\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-shortest-backtrack-shortest-backtrack*:

$C \neq \{\#\} \implies \text{is-shortest-backtrack } C \Gamma (\text{shortest-backtrack } C \Gamma)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

9 Monotonicity w.r.t. the Bounding Atom

lemma *scl-monotone-wrt-bound*:

assumes $\bigwedge A. \text{is-ground-atm } A \implies A \preceq_B \beta \implies A \preceq_B \beta'$ **and** $\text{scl } N \beta S_0 S_1$
shows $\text{scl } N \beta' S_0 S_1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reasonable-scl-monotone-wrt-bound*:

assumes $\bigwedge A. \text{is-ground-atm } A \implies A \preceq_B \beta \implies A \preceq_B \beta'$ **and** *reasonable-scl* $N \beta S_0 S_1$
shows *reasonable-scl* $N \beta' S_0 S_1$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *regular-scl-monotone-wrt-bound*:

assumes $\bigwedge A. \text{is-ground-atm } A \implies A \preceq_B \beta \implies A \preceq_B \beta'$ **and** *regular-scl* $N \beta S_0 S_1$
shows *regular-scl* $N \beta' S_0 S_1$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *min-back-regular-scl-monotone-wrt-bound*:

assumes
 $\bigwedge A. \text{is-ground-atm } A \implies A \preceq_B \beta \implies A \preceq_B \beta'$ **and**
shortest-backtrack-strategy regular-scl $N \beta S_0 S_1$
shows *shortest-backtrack-strategy regular-scl* $N \beta' S_0 S_1$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *monotonicity-wrt-bound*:

assumes $\bigwedge A. \text{is-ground-atm } A \implies A \preceq_B \beta \implies A \preceq_B \beta'$
shows
scl $N \beta S_0 S_1 \implies \text{scl } N \beta' S_0 S_1$ **and**
reasonable-scl $N \beta S_0 S_1 \implies \text{reasonable-scl } N \beta' S_0 S_1$ **and**
regular-scl $N \beta S_0 S_1 \implies \text{regular-scl } N \beta' S_0 S_1$ **and**
shortest-backtrack-strategy regular-scl $N \beta S_0 S_1 \implies$
shortest-backtrack-strategy regular-scl $N \beta' S_0 S_1$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

corollary

assumes
transp-on $\{A. \text{is-ground-atm } A\} (\prec_B)$ **and**
is-ground-atm β **and**
is-ground-atm β' **and**
 $\beta \prec_B \beta'$
shows
scl $N \beta S_0 S_1 \implies \text{scl } N \beta' S_0 S_1$ **and**
reasonable-scl $N \beta S_0 S_1 \implies \text{reasonable-scl } N \beta' S_0 S_1$ **and**
regular-scl $N \beta S_0 S_1 \implies \text{regular-scl } N \beta' S_0 S_1$ **and**
shortest-backtrack-strategy regular-scl $N \beta S_0 S_1 \implies$
shortest-backtrack-strategy regular-scl $N \beta' S_0 S_1$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

end

theory *Correct-Termination*

imports *SCL-FOL*

begin

context *scl-fol-calculus* **begin**

lemma *not-satisfiable-if-sound-state-conflict-bottom*:

assumes *sound-S*: *sound-state* $N \beta S$ **and** *conflict-S*: *state-conflict* $S = \text{Some}$
 $(\{\#\}, \gamma)$
shows \neg *satisfiable* (*grounding-of-clss* (*fset* N))
<proof>

lemma *propagate-if-conflict-follows-decide*:

assumes
trail-lt-beta: *trail-atoms-lt* βS_2 **and**
no-conf: $\nexists S_1$. *conflict* $N \beta S_0 S_1$ **and** *deci*: *decide* $N \beta S_0 S_2$ **and** *conf*: *conflict*
 $N \beta S_2 S_3$
shows $\exists S_4$. *propagate* $N \beta S_0 S_4$
<proof>

theorem *correct-termination*:

fixes *gnd-N* **and** *gnd-N-lt-beta*
assumes
sound-S: *sound-state* $N \beta S$ **and**
invars: *trail-atoms-lt* βS *trail-propagated-wf* (*state-trail* S) *trail-lits-consistent*
 S
ground-false-closures S **and**
no-new-conflict: $\nexists S'$. *conflict* $N \beta S S'$ **and**
no-new-propagate: $\nexists S'$. *propagate* $N \beta S S'$ **and**
no-new-decide: $\nexists S'$. *decide* $N \beta S S' \wedge (\nexists S''$. *conflict* $N \beta S' S'')$ **and**
no-new-skip: $\nexists S'$. *skip* $N \beta S S'$ **and**
no-new-resolve: $\nexists S'$. *resolve* $N \beta S S'$ **and**
no-new-backtrack: $\nexists S'$. *backtrack* $N \beta S S' \wedge$
is-shortest-backtrack (*fst* (*the* (*state-conflict* S))) (*state-trail* S) (*state-trail* S')
defines
gnd-N \equiv *grounding-of-clss* (*fset* N) **and**
gnd-N-lt-beta \equiv $\{C \in \text{gnd-N}. \forall L \in \# C. \text{atm-of } L \preceq_B \beta\}$
shows \neg *satisfiable* *gnd-N* \wedge ($\exists \gamma$. *state-conflict* $S = \text{Some}$ ($\{\#\}, \gamma$)) \vee
satisfiable *gnd-N-lt-beta* \wedge *trail-true-clss* (*state-trail* S) *gnd-N-lt-beta*
<proof>

corollary *correct-termination-strategy*:

fixes *gnd-N* **and** *gnd-N-lt-beta*
assumes
run: (*strategy* $N \beta$)** *initial-state* S **and**
no-step: $\nexists S'$. *strategy* $N \beta S S'$ **and**
strategy-restricted-by-min-back:
 $\bigwedge S S'$. *shortest-backtrack-strategy* *regular-scl* $N \beta S S' \implies$ *strategy* $N \beta S S'$
and
strategy-preserves-invars:
 $\bigwedge N \beta S S'$. *strategy* $N \beta S S' \implies$ *sound-state* $N \beta S \implies$ *sound-state* $N \beta S'$
 $\bigwedge N \beta S S'$. *strategy* $N \beta S S' \implies$ *trail-atoms-lt* $\beta S \implies$ *trail-atoms-lt* $\beta S'$

$\bigwedge N \beta S S'. \text{strategy } N \beta S S' \implies \text{trail-propagated-or-decided}' N \beta S \implies$
 $\text{trail-propagated-or-decided}' N \beta S'$
 $\bigwedge N \beta S S'. \text{strategy } N \beta S S' \implies \text{trail-lits-consistent } S \implies \text{trail-lits-consistent}$
 S'
 $\bigwedge N \beta S S'. \text{strategy } N \beta S S' \implies \text{ground-false-closures } S \implies \text{ground-false-closures}$
 S'

defines

$gnd-N \equiv \text{grounding-of-cls} (fset N)$ **and**

$gnd-N-lt-\beta \equiv \{C \in gnd-N. \forall L \in \# C. \text{atm-of } L \preceq_B \beta\}$

shows $\neg \text{satisfiable } gnd-N \wedge (\exists \gamma. \text{state-conflict } S = \text{Some} (\{\#\}, \gamma)) \vee$
 $\text{satisfiable } gnd-N-lt-\beta \wedge \text{trail-true-cls} (\text{state-trail } S) gnd-N-lt-\beta$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *correct-termination-scl-run:*

fixes $gnd-N$ **and** $gnd-N-lt-\beta$

assumes

$run: (scl N \beta)^{**}$ *initial-state* S **and**

$no\text{-step}: \# S'. scl N \beta S S'$

defines

$gnd-N \equiv \text{grounding-of-cls} (fset N)$ **and**

$gnd-N-lt-\beta \equiv \{C \in gnd-N. \forall L \in \# C. \text{atm-of } L \preceq_B \beta\}$

shows $\neg \text{satisfiable } gnd-N \wedge (\exists \gamma. \text{state-conflict } S = \text{Some} (\{\#\}, \gamma)) \vee$
 $\text{satisfiable } gnd-N-lt-\beta \wedge \text{trail-true-cls} (\text{state-trail } S) gnd-N-lt-\beta$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *correct-termination-reasonable-scl-run:*

fixes $gnd-N$ **and** $gnd-N-lt-\beta$

assumes

$run: (\text{reasonable-scl } N \beta)^{**}$ *initial-state* S **and**

$no\text{-step}: \# S'. \text{reasonable-scl } N \beta S S'$

defines

$gnd-N \equiv \text{grounding-of-cls} (fset N)$ **and**

$gnd-N-lt-\beta \equiv \{C \in gnd-N. \forall L \in \# C. \text{atm-of } L \preceq_B \beta\}$

shows $\neg \text{satisfiable } gnd-N \wedge (\exists \gamma. \text{state-conflict } S = \text{Some} (\{\#\}, \gamma)) \vee$
 $\text{satisfiable } gnd-N-lt-\beta \wedge \text{trail-true-cls} (\text{state-trail } S) gnd-N-lt-\beta$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *correct-termination-regular-scl-run:*

fixes $gnd-N$ **and** $gnd-N-lt-\beta$

assumes

$run: (\text{regular-scl } N \beta)^{**}$ *initial-state* S **and**

$no\text{-step}: \# S'. \text{regular-scl } N \beta S S'$

defines

$gnd-N \equiv \text{grounding-of-cls} (fset N)$ **and**

$gnd-N-lt-\beta \equiv \{C \in gnd-N. \forall L \in \# C. \text{atm-of } L \preceq_B \beta\}$

shows $\neg \text{satisfiable } gnd-N \wedge (\exists \gamma. \text{state-conflict } S = \text{Some} (\{\#\}, \gamma)) \vee$
 $\text{satisfiable } gnd-N-lt-\beta \wedge \text{trail-true-cls} (\text{state-trail } S) gnd-N-lt-\beta$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *correct-termination-shortest-backtrack-strategy-regular-scl:*
fixes $gnd-N$ **and** $gnd-N-lt-\beta$
assumes
run: $(shortest-backtrack-strategy\ regular-scl\ N\ \beta)^{**}$ *initial-state* S **and**
no-step: $\nexists S'$. $shortest-backtrack-strategy\ regular-scl\ N\ \beta\ S\ S'$
defines
 $gnd-N \equiv grounding-of-class\ (fset\ N)$ **and**
 $gnd-N-lt-\beta \equiv \{C \in gnd-N. \forall L \in \# C. atm-of\ L \preceq_B \beta\}$
shows \neg *satisfiable* $gnd-N \wedge (\exists \gamma. state-conflict\ S = Some\ (\{\#\}, \gamma)) \vee$
satisfiable $gnd-N-lt-\beta \wedge trail-true-cls\ (state-trail\ S)\ gnd-N-lt-\beta$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *correct-termination-strategies:*
fixes $gnd-N$ **and** $gnd-N-lt-\beta$
assumes
 $(scl\ N\ \beta)^{**}$ *initial-state* $S \wedge (\nexists S'. scl\ N\ \beta\ S\ S') \vee$
 $(reasonable-scl\ N\ \beta)^{**}$ *initial-state* $S \wedge (\nexists S'. reasonable-scl\ N\ \beta\ S\ S') \vee$
 $(regular-scl\ N\ \beta)^{**}$ *initial-state* $S \wedge (\nexists S'. regular-scl\ N\ \beta\ S\ S') \vee$
 $(shortest-backtrack-strategy\ regular-scl\ N\ \beta)^{**}$ *initial-state* $S \wedge$
 $(\nexists S'. shortest-backtrack-strategy\ regular-scl\ N\ \beta\ S\ S')$
defines
 $gnd-N \equiv grounding-of-class\ (fset\ N)$ **and**
 $gnd-N-lt-\beta \equiv \{C \in gnd-N. \forall L \in \# C. atm-of\ L \preceq_B \beta\}$
shows \neg *satisfiable* $gnd-N \wedge (\exists \gamma. state-conflict\ S = Some\ (\{\#\}, \gamma)) \vee$
satisfiable $gnd-N-lt-\beta \wedge trail-true-cls\ (state-trail\ S)\ gnd-N-lt-\beta$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

theory *Trail-Induced-Ordering*

imports

Main

List-Index.List-Index

begin

lemma *wf-if-convertible-to-wf:*

fixes $r :: 'a\ rel$ **and** $s :: 'b\ rel$ **and** $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b$

assumes $wf\ s$ **and** *convertible:* $\bigwedge x\ y. (x, y) \in r \implies (f\ x, f\ y) \in s$

shows $wf\ r$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wfP-if-convertible-to-wfP:* $wfP\ S \implies (\bigwedge x\ y. R\ x\ y \implies S\ (f\ x)\ (f\ y)) \implies$

$wfP\ R$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Converting to *nat* is a very common special case that might be found more

easily by Sledgehammer.

lemma *wfP-if-convertible-to-nat*:

fixes $f :: - \Rightarrow \text{nat}$

shows $(\bigwedge x y. R x y \Longrightarrow f x < f y) \Longrightarrow \text{wfP } R$

<proof>

definition *trail-less-id-id* **where**

trail-less-id-id $Ls L K \longleftrightarrow$

$(\exists i < \text{length } Ls. \exists j < \text{length } Ls. i > j \wedge L = Ls ! i \wedge K = Ls ! j)$

definition *trail-less-comp-id* **where**

trail-less-comp-id $Ls L K \longleftrightarrow$

$(\exists i < \text{length } Ls. \exists j < \text{length } Ls. i > j \wedge L = - (Ls ! i) \wedge K = Ls ! j)$

definition *trail-less-id-comp* **where**

trail-less-id-comp $Ls L K \longleftrightarrow$

$(\exists i < \text{length } Ls. \exists j < \text{length } Ls. i \geq j \wedge L = Ls ! i \wedge K = - (Ls ! j))$

definition *trail-less-comp-comp* **where**

trail-less-comp-comp $Ls L K \longleftrightarrow$

$(\exists i < \text{length } Ls. \exists j < \text{length } Ls. i > j \wedge L = - (Ls ! i) \wedge K = - (Ls ! j))$

definition *trail-less* **where**

trail-less $Ls L K \longleftrightarrow \text{trail-less-id-id } Ls L K \vee \text{trail-less-comp-id } Ls L K \vee$

trail-less-id-comp $Ls L K \vee \text{trail-less-comp-comp } Ls L K$

definition *trail-less'* **where**

trail-less' $Ls = (\lambda L K.$

$(\exists i. i < \text{length } Ls \wedge L = Ls ! i \wedge K = - (Ls ! i)) \vee$

$(\exists i. \text{Suc } i < \text{length } Ls \wedge L = - (Ls ! \text{Suc } i) \wedge K = Ls ! i)^{++}$

lemma *transp-trail-less'*: *transp* (*trail-less'* Ls)

<proof>

lemma *trail-less'-Suc*:

assumes $\text{Suc } i < \text{length } Ls$

shows *trail-less'* $Ls (Ls ! \text{Suc } i) (Ls ! i)$

<proof>

lemma *trail-less'-comp-Suc-comp*:

assumes $\text{Suc } i < \text{length } Ls$

shows *trail-less'* $Ls (- (Ls ! \text{Suc } i)) (- (Ls ! i))$

<proof>

lemma *trail-less'-id-id*: $j < i \Longrightarrow i < \text{length } Ls \Longrightarrow \text{trail-less}' Ls (Ls ! i) (Ls ! j)$

<proof>

lemma *trail-less'-comp-comp*:

$j < i \implies i < \text{length } Ls \implies \text{trail-less}' Ls (- (Ls ! i)) (- (Ls ! j))$
<proof>

lemma *trail-less'-id-comp*:

assumes $j < i$ **and** $i < \text{length } Ls$
shows $\text{trail-less}' Ls (Ls ! i) (- (Ls ! j))$
<proof>

lemma *trail-less'-comp-id*:

assumes $j < i$ **and** $i < \text{length } Ls$
shows $\text{trail-less}' Ls (- (Ls ! i)) (Ls ! j)$
<proof>

lemma *trail-less-eq-trail-less'*:

fixes $Ls :: 'a :: \text{uminus}$ *list*
assumes
 uminus-not-id: $\bigwedge x :: 'a. - x \neq x$ **and**
 uminus-uminus-id: $\bigwedge x :: 'a. - (- x) = x$ **and**
 pairwise-distinct:
 $\forall i < \text{length } Ls. \forall j < \text{length } Ls. i \neq j \longrightarrow Ls ! i \neq Ls ! j \wedge Ls ! i \neq - (Ls ! j)$
shows $\text{trail-less } Ls = \text{trail-less}' Ls$
<proof>

9.1 Examples

experiment

fixes $L0 L1 L2 :: 'a :: \text{uminus}$
begin

lemma *trail-less-id-comp* $[L2, L1, L0] L2 (- L2)$
<proof>

lemma *trail-less-comp-id* $[L2, L1, L0] (- L1) L2$
<proof>

lemma *trail-less-id-comp* $[L2, L1, L0] L1 (- L1)$
<proof>

lemma *trail-less-comp-id* $[L2, L1, L0] (- L0) L1$
<proof>

lemma *trail-less-id-comp* $[L2, L1, L0] L0 (- L0)$
<proof>

lemma *trail-less-id-id* $[L2, L1, L0] L1 L2$

<proof>

lemma *trail-less-id-id* [L2, L1, L0] L0 L1
<proof>

lemma *trail-less-comp-comp* [L2, L1, L0] (- L1) (- L2)
<proof>

lemma *trail-less-comp-comp* [L2, L1, L0] (- L0) (- L1)
<proof>

end

9.2 Miscellaneous Lemmas

lemma *not-trail-less-Nil*: $\neg \text{trail-less } [] L K$
<proof>

lemma *defined-if-trail-less*:
assumes *trail-less* Ls L K
shows $L \in \text{set } Ls \cup \text{uminus } 'a \text{ set } Ls \iff K \in \text{set } Ls \cup \text{uminus } 'a \text{ set } Ls$
<proof>

lemma *not-less-if-undefined*:
fixes L :: 'a :: uminus
assumes
 uminus-uminus-id: $\bigwedge x :: 'a. - (- x) = x$ **and**
 $L \notin \text{set } Ls \implies - L \notin \text{set } Ls$
shows $\neg \text{trail-less } Ls L K \implies \neg \text{trail-less } Ls K L$
<proof>

lemma *defined-conv*:
fixes L :: 'a :: uminus
assumes *uminus-uminus-id*: $\bigwedge x :: 'a. - (- x) = x$
shows $L \in \text{set } Ls \cup \text{uminus } 'a \text{ set } Ls \iff L \in \text{set } Ls \vee - L \in \text{set } Ls$
<proof>

lemma *trail-less-comp-rightI*: $L \in \text{set } Ls \implies \text{trail-less } Ls L (- L)$
<proof>

lemma *trail-less-comp-leftI*:
fixes Ls :: ('a :: uminus) list
assumes *uminus-uminus-id*: $\bigwedge x :: 'a. - (- x) = x$
shows $- L \in \text{set } Ls \implies \text{trail-less } Ls (- L) L$
<proof>

9.3 Well-Defined

lemma *trail-less-id-id-well-defined*:

assumes

pairwise-distinct: $\forall x \in \text{set } Ls. \forall y \in \text{set } Ls. x \neq -y$ **and**

L-le-K: *trail-less-id-id* $Ls\ L\ K$

shows

\neg *trail-less-id-comp* $Ls\ L\ K$

\neg *trail-less-comp-id* $Ls\ L\ K$

\neg *trail-less-comp-comp* $Ls\ L\ K$

<proof>

lemma *trail-less-id-comp-well-defined*:

assumes

pairwise-distinct: $\forall x \in \text{set } Ls. \forall y \in \text{set } Ls. x \neq -y$ **and**

L-le-K: *trail-less-id-comp* $Ls\ L\ K$

shows

\neg *trail-less-id-id* $Ls\ L\ K$

\neg *trail-less-comp-id* $Ls\ L\ K$

\neg *trail-less-comp-comp* $Ls\ L\ K$

<proof>

lemma *trail-less-comp-id-well-defined*:

assumes

pairwise-distinct: $\forall x \in \text{set } Ls. \forall y \in \text{set } Ls. x \neq -y$ **and**

L-le-K: *trail-less-comp-id* $Ls\ L\ K$

shows

\neg *trail-less-id-id* $Ls\ L\ K$

\neg *trail-less-id-comp* $Ls\ L\ K$

\neg *trail-less-comp-comp* $Ls\ L\ K$

<proof>

lemma *trail-less-comp-comp-well-defined*:

assumes

pairwise-distinct: $\forall x \in \text{set } Ls. \forall y \in \text{set } Ls. x \neq -y$ **and**

L-le-K: *trail-less-comp-comp* $Ls\ L\ K$

shows

\neg *trail-less-id-id* $Ls\ L\ K$

\neg *trail-less-id-comp* $Ls\ L\ K$

\neg *trail-less-comp-id* $Ls\ L\ K$

<proof>

9.4 Strict Partial Order

lemma *irreflp-trail-less*:

fixes $Ls :: ('a :: \text{uminus}) \text{ list}$

assumes

uminus-not-id: $\bigwedge x :: 'a. -x \neq x$ **and**

uminus-uminus-id: $\bigwedge x :: 'a. -(-x) = x$ **and**

pairwise-distinct:

$\forall i < \text{length } Ls. \forall j < \text{length } Ls. i \neq j \longrightarrow Ls ! i \neq Ls ! j \wedge Ls ! i \neq -(Ls !$

$j)$

shows *irreflp* (*trail-less* *Ls*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *transp-trail-less*:

fixes *Ls* :: ('a :: *uminus*) *list*

assumes

uminus-not-id: $\bigwedge x :: 'a. - x \neq x$ **and**

uminus-uminus-id: $\bigwedge x :: 'a. - (- x) = x$ **and**

pairwise-distinct:

$\forall i < \text{length } Ls. \forall j < \text{length } Ls. i \neq j \longrightarrow Ls ! i \neq Ls ! j \wedge Ls ! i \neq - (Ls !$

j)

shows *transp* (*trail-less* *Ls*)

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *asympt-trail-less*:

fixes *Ls* :: ('a :: *uminus*) *list*

assumes

uminus-not-id: $\bigwedge x :: 'a. - x \neq x$ **and**

uminus-uminus-id: $\bigwedge x :: 'a. - (- x) = x$ **and**

pairwise-distinct:

$\forall i < \text{length } Ls. \forall j < \text{length } Ls. i \neq j \longrightarrow Ls ! i \neq Ls ! j \wedge Ls ! i \neq - (Ls !$

j)

shows *asympt* (*trail-less* *Ls*)

⟨*proof*⟩

9.5 Strict Total (w.r.t. Elements in Trail) Order

lemma *totalp-on-trail-less*:

totalp-on (*set* *Ls* \cup *uminus* ' *set* *Ls*) (*trail-less* *Ls*)

⟨*proof*⟩

9.6 Well-Founded

lemma *not-trail-less-Cons-id-comp*:

fixes *Ls* :: ('a :: *uminus*) *list*

assumes

uminus-not-id: $\bigwedge x :: 'a. - x \neq x$ **and**

uminus-uminus-id: $\bigwedge x :: 'a. - (- x) = x$ **and**

pairwise-distinct:

$\forall i < \text{length } (L \# Ls). \forall j < \text{length } (L \# Ls). i \neq j \longrightarrow$

$(L \# Ls) ! i \neq (L \# Ls) ! j \wedge (L \# Ls) ! i \neq - ((L \# Ls) ! j)$

shows $\neg \text{trail-less } (L \# Ls) (- L) L$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *not-trail-less-if-undefined*:

fixes *L* :: 'a :: *uminus*

assumes

undefined: $L \notin \text{set } Ls - L \notin \text{set } Ls$ **and**

uminus-uminus-id: $\bigwedge x :: 'a. - (- x) = x$

shows $\neg \text{trail-less } Ls L K \neg \text{trail-less } Ls K L$

<proof>

lemma *trail-less-ConsD*:

fixes $L H K :: 'a :: \text{uminus}$

assumes *uminus-uminus-id*: $\bigwedge x :: 'a. - (- x) = x$ **and**

L-neq-K: $L \neq K$ **and** *L-neq-minus-K*: $L \neq - K$ **and**

less-Cons: *trail-less* $(L \# Ls) H K$

shows *trail-less* $Ls H K$

<proof>

lemma *trail-subset-empty-or-ex-smallest*:

fixes $Ls :: ('a :: \text{uminus}) \text{ list}$

assumes

uminus-not-id: $\bigwedge x :: 'a. - x \neq x$ **and**

uminus-uminus-id: $\bigwedge x :: 'a. - (- x) = x$ **and**

pairwise-distinct:

$\forall i < \text{length } Ls. \forall j < \text{length } Ls. i \neq j \longrightarrow Ls ! i \neq Ls ! j \wedge Ls ! i \neq - (Ls !$

$j)$

shows $Q \subseteq \text{set } Ls \cup \text{uminus `set } Ls \implies Q = \{\} \vee (\exists z \in Q. \forall y. \text{trail-less } Ls y$
 $z \longrightarrow y \notin Q)$

<proof>

lemma *wfP-trail-less*:

fixes $Ls :: ('a :: \text{uminus}) \text{ list}$

assumes

uminus-not-id: $\bigwedge x :: 'a. - x \neq x$ **and**

uminus-uminus-id: $\bigwedge x :: 'a. - (- x) = x$ **and**

pairwise-distinct:

$\forall i < \text{length } Ls. \forall j < \text{length } Ls. i \neq j \longrightarrow Ls ! i \neq Ls ! j \wedge Ls ! i \neq - (Ls !$

$j)$

shows *wfP* $(\text{trail-less } Ls)$

<proof>

9.7 Extension on All Literals

definition *trail-less-ex* **where**

trail-less-ex $lt Ls L K \longleftrightarrow$

(if $L \in \text{set } Ls \vee - L \in \text{set } Ls$ *then*

if $K \in \text{set } Ls \vee - K \in \text{set } Ls$ *then*

trail-less $Ls L K$

else

True

else

if $K \in \text{set } Ls \vee - K \in \text{set } Ls$ *then*

False

else

lt L K)

lemma

fixes $Ls :: ('a :: \text{uminus}) \text{ list}$
assumes
 $\text{uminus-uminus-id: } \bigwedge x :: 'a. - (- x) = x$
shows $K \in \text{set } Ls \vee - K \in \text{set } Ls \implies \text{trail-less-ex } lt \ Ls \ L \ K \longleftrightarrow \text{trail-less } Ls \ L \ K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trail-less-ex-if-trail-less*:
fixes $Ls :: ('a :: \text{uminus}) \text{ list}$
assumes
 $\text{uminus-uminus-id: } \bigwedge x :: 'a. - (- x) = x$
shows $\text{trail-less } Ls \ L \ K \implies \text{trail-less-ex } lt \ Ls \ L \ K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma
fixes $Ls :: ('a :: \text{uminus}) \text{ list}$
assumes
 $\text{uminus-uminus-id: } \bigwedge x :: 'a. - (- x) = x$
shows $L \in \text{set } Ls \cup \text{uminus } ' \text{ set } Ls \implies K \notin \text{set } Ls \cup \text{uminus } ' \text{ set } Ls \implies \text{trail-less-ex } lt \ Ls \ L \ K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *irreflp-trail-ex-less*:
fixes $Ls :: ('a :: \text{uminus}) \text{ list}$ **and** $lt :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
assumes
 $\text{uminus-not-id: } \bigwedge x :: 'a. - x \neq x$ **and**
 $\text{uminus-uminus-id: } \bigwedge x :: 'a. - (- x) = x$ **and**
pairwise-distinct:
 $\forall i < \text{length } Ls. \forall j < \text{length } Ls. i \neq j \longrightarrow Ls ! i \neq Ls ! j \wedge Ls ! i \neq - (Ls ! j)$ **and**
 $\text{irreflp-lt: } \text{irreflp } lt$
shows $\text{irreflp } (\text{trail-less-ex } lt \ Ls)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *transp-trail-less-ex*:
fixes $Ls :: ('a :: \text{uminus}) \text{ list}$
assumes
 $\text{uminus-not-id: } \bigwedge x :: 'a. - x \neq x$ **and**
 $\text{uminus-uminus-id: } \bigwedge x :: 'a. - (- x) = x$ **and**
pairwise-distinct:
 $\forall i < \text{length } Ls. \forall j < \text{length } Ls. i \neq j \longrightarrow Ls ! i \neq Ls ! j \wedge Ls ! i \neq - (Ls ! j)$ **and**
 $\text{transp-lt: } \text{transp } lt$
shows $\text{transp } (\text{trail-less-ex } lt \ Ls)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *asympt-trail-less-ex*:
fixes $Ls :: ('a :: \text{uminus}) \text{ list}$

assumes

uminus-not-id: $\bigwedge x :: 'a. - x \neq x$ **and**

uminus-uminus-id: $\bigwedge x :: 'a. - (- x) = x$ **and**

pairwise-distinct:

$\forall i < \text{length } Ls. \forall j < \text{length } Ls. i \neq j \longrightarrow Ls ! i \neq Ls ! j \wedge Ls ! i \neq - (Ls !$

j) **and**

asympt-lt: *asympt lt*

shows *asympt* (*trail-less-ex lt Ls*)

<proof>

lemma *totalp-on-trail-less-ex*:

fixes *Ls* :: ('a :: *uminus*) *list*

assumes

uminus-uminus-id: $\bigwedge x :: 'a. - (- x) = x$ **and**

totalp-on-lt: *totalp-on A lt*

shows *totalp-on* (*A* \cup *set Ls* \cup *uminus ' set Ls*) (*trail-less-ex lt Ls*)

<proof>

9.7.1 Well-Founded

lemma *wfP-trail-less-ex*:

fixes *Ls* :: ('a :: *uminus*) *list*

assumes

uminus-not-id: $\bigwedge x :: 'a. - x \neq x$ **and**

uminus-uminus-id: $\bigwedge x :: 'a. - (- x) = x$ **and**

pairwise-distinct:

$\forall i < \text{length } Ls. \forall j < \text{length } Ls. i \neq j \longrightarrow Ls ! i \neq Ls ! j \wedge Ls ! i \neq - (Ls !$

j) **and**

wfP-lt: *wfP lt*

shows *wfP* (*trail-less-ex lt Ls*)

<proof>

9.8 Alternative only for terms

definition *trail-term-less where*

trail-term-less ts t1 t2 $\longleftrightarrow (\exists i < \text{length } ts. \exists j < i. t1 = ts ! i \wedge t2 = ts ! j)$

lemma *transp-trail-term-less*:

assumes *distinct ts*

shows *transp* (*trail-term-less ts*)

<proof>

lemma *asympt-trail-term-less*:

assumes *distinct ts*

shows *asympt* (*trail-term-less ts*)

<proof>

lemma *irreflp-trail-term-less*:

assumes *distinct ts*

shows *irreflp* (*trail-term-less ts*)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *totalp-on-trail-term-less*:
shows *totalp-on* (*set ts*) (*trail-term-less ts*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wfP-trail-term-less*:
assumes *distinct ts*
shows *wfP* (*trail-term-less ts*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trail-term-less-Cons-if-mem*:
assumes $y \in \text{set } xs$
shows *trail-term-less* ($x \# xs$) $y x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end
theory *Initial-Literals-Generalize-Learned-Literals*
imports *SCL-FOL*
begin

global-interpretation *comp-finsert-commute*: *comp-fun-commute finsert*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *fset-mset* :: $'a \text{ multiset} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ fset}$
where *fset-mset* = *fold-mset finsert* $\{\|\|\}$

lemma *fset-mset-mempty[simp]*: *fset-mset* $\{\#\} = \{\|\|\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fset-mset-add-mset[simp]*: *fset-mset* (*add-mset* $x M$) = *finsert* x (*fset-mset* M)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fset-fset-mset[simp]*: *fset* (*fset-mset* M) = *set-mset* M
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fmember-fset-mset-iff[simp]*: $x \in | \text{fset-mset } M \iff x \in \# M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fBall-fset-mset-iff[simp]*: $(\forall x \in | \text{fset-mset } M. P x) \iff (\forall x \in \# M. P x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fBex-fset-mset-iff[simp]*: $(\exists x \in | \text{fset-mset } M. P x) \iff (\exists x \in \# M. P x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fmember-ffUnion-iff*: $a \in | \text{ffUnion } (f \mid A) \iff (\exists x \in | A. a \in | f x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fBex-ffUnion-iff*: $(\exists z \mid \in \mid \text{ffUnion } (f \mid^{\dagger} A). P z) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \mid \in \mid A. \exists z \mid \in \mid f x. P z)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *fBall-ffUnion-iff*: $(\forall z \mid \in \mid \text{ffUnion } (f \mid^{\dagger} A). P z) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \mid \in \mid A. \forall z \mid \in \mid f x. P z)$
 ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation *grounding-lits-of-clss* **where**

grounding-lits-of-clss $N \equiv \{L \cdot l \ \gamma \mid L \ \gamma. L \in \bigcup (\text{set-mset } \text{' } N) \wedge \text{is-ground-lit } (L \cdot l \ \gamma)\}$

context *scl-fol-calculus* **begin**

corollary *grounding-lits-of-learned-subset-grounding-lits-of-initial*:

assumes *initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict* $N \ S$

shows *grounding-lits-of-clss* $(\text{fset } (\text{state-learned } S)) \subseteq \text{grounding-lits-of-clss } (\text{fset } N)$

(**is** *?lhs* \subseteq *?rhs*)

⟨proof⟩

lemma *grounding-lits-of-clss-conv*:

grounding-lits-of-clss $N = \{L \mid L \ C. \text{add-mset } L \ C \in \text{grounding-of-clss } N\}$

(**is** *?lhs* = *?rhs*)

⟨proof⟩

corollary *groundings-of-learned-subset-groundings-of-initial*:

assumes *initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict* $N \ S$

defines $U \equiv \text{state-learned } S$

shows $\{L \mid L \ C. \text{add-mset } L \ C \in \text{grounding-of-clss } (\text{fset } U)\} \subseteq$

$\{L \mid L \ C. \text{add-mset } L \ C \in \text{grounding-of-clss } (\text{fset } N)\}$

⟨proof⟩

end

end

theory *Multiset-Order-Extra*

imports *HOL-Library.Multiset-Order*

begin

lemma *strict-subset-implies-multp_{HO}*: $A \subset \# B \implies \text{multp}_{HO} \ r \ A \ B$

⟨proof⟩

end

theory *Non-Redundancy*

imports

SCL-FOL

Trail-Induced-Ordering

Initial-Literals-Generalize-Learned-Literals
Multiset-Order-Extra

begin

context *scl-fol-calculus* **begin**

10 Reasonable Steps

lemma *reasonable-scl-sound-state*:

reasonable-scl $N \beta S S' \implies \text{sound-state } N \beta S \implies \text{sound-state } N \beta S'$

<proof>

lemma *reasonable-run-sound-state*:

(reasonable-scl $N \beta)^{**}$ $S S' \implies \text{sound-state } N \beta S \implies \text{sound-state } N \beta S'$

<proof>

10.1 Invariants

10.1.1 No Conflict After Decide

inductive *no-conflict-after-decide* **for** $N \beta U$ **where**

Nil[*simp*]: *no-conflict-after-decide* $N \beta U \square \mid$

Cons: (*is-decision-lit* $Ln \longrightarrow (\nexists S'. \text{conflict } N \beta (Ln \# \Gamma, U, \text{None}) S')$) \implies

no-conflict-after-decide $N \beta U \Gamma \implies \text{no-conflict-after-decide } N \beta U (Ln \# \Gamma)$

definition *no-conflict-after-decide'* **where**

no-conflict-after-decide' $N \beta S = \text{no-conflict-after-decide } N \beta (\text{state-learned } S)$
(*state-trail* S)

lemma *no-conflict-after-decide'-initial-state*[*simp*]: *no-conflict-after-decide'* $N \beta$ *initial-state*

<proof>

lemma *propagate-preserves-no-conflict-after-decide'*:

assumes *propagate* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *no-conflict-after-decide'* $N \beta S$

shows *no-conflict-after-decide'* $N \beta S'$

<proof>

lemma *decide-preserves-no-conflict-after-decide'*:

assumes *decide* $N \beta S S'$ **and** $\nexists S''. \text{conflict } N \beta S' S''$ **and** *no-conflict-after-decide'* $N \beta S$

shows *no-conflict-after-decide'* $N \beta S'$

<proof>

lemma *conflict-preserves-no-conflict-after-decide'*:

assumes *conflict* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *no-conflict-after-decide'* $N \beta S$

shows *no-conflict-after-decide'* $N \beta S'$

<proof>

lemma *skip-preserves-no-conflict-after-decide'*:
assumes *skip* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *no-conflict-after-decide'* $N \beta S$
shows *no-conflict-after-decide'* $N \beta S'$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *factorize-preserves-no-conflict-after-decide'*:
assumes *factorize* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *no-conflict-after-decide'* $N \beta S$
shows *no-conflict-after-decide'* $N \beta S'$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *resolve-preserves-no-conflict-after-decide'*:
assumes *resolve* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *no-conflict-after-decide'* $N \beta S$
shows *no-conflict-after-decide'* $N \beta S'$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *learning-clause-without-conflict-preserves-no-conflict*:
fixes $N :: ('f, 'v) \text{Term.term clause fset}$
assumes $\# \gamma. \text{is-ground-cls } (C \cdot \gamma) \wedge \text{trail-false-cls } \Gamma (C \cdot \gamma)$
shows $\# S'. \text{conflict } N \beta (\Gamma, U, \text{None}) S' \implies \# S'. \text{conflict } N \beta (\Gamma, \text{finsert } C U, \text{None}) S'$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *backtrack-preserves-no-conflict-after-decide'*:
assumes *step: backtrack* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *invar: no-conflict-after-decide'* $N \beta S$
shows *no-conflict-after-decide'* $N \beta S'$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *reasonable-scl-preserves-no-conflict-after-decide'*:
assumes *reasonable-scl* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *no-conflict-after-decide'* $N \beta S$
shows *no-conflict-after-decide'* $N \beta S'$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

10.2 Miscellaneous Lemmas

lemma *before-reasonable-conflict*:
assumes *conf: conflict* $N \beta S1 S2$ **and**
invars: learned-nonempty $S1$ *trail-propagated-or-decided'* $N \beta S1$
no-conflict-after-decide' $N \beta S1$
shows $\{\#\} \mid \in N \vee (\exists S0. \text{propagate } N \beta S0 S1)$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

11 Regular Steps

lemma *regular-scl-if-conflict[simp]*: *conflict* $N \beta S S' \implies \text{regular-scl } N \beta S S'$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *regular-scl-if-skip[simp]*: *skip* $N \beta S S' \implies \text{regular-scl } N \beta S S'$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *regular-scl-if-factorize[simp]*: $factorize\ N\ \beta\ S\ S' \implies regular-scl\ N\ \beta\ S\ S'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *regular-scl-if-resolve[simp]*: $resolve\ N\ \beta\ S\ S' \implies regular-scl\ N\ \beta\ S\ S'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *regular-scl-if-backtrack[simp]*: $backtrack\ N\ \beta\ S\ S' \implies regular-scl\ N\ \beta\ S\ S'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *regular-scl-sound-state*: $regular-scl\ N\ \beta\ S\ S' \implies sound-state\ N\ \beta\ S \implies sound-state\ N\ \beta\ S'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *regular-run-sound-state*:
 $(regular-scl\ N\ \beta)^{**}\ S\ S' \implies sound-state\ N\ \beta\ S \implies sound-state\ N\ \beta\ S'$
 ⟨proof⟩

11.1 Invariants

11.1.1 Almost No Conflict With Trail

inductive *no-conflict-with-trail* for $N\ \beta\ U$ where

Nil: $(\nexists S'.\ conflict\ N\ \beta\ ([],\ U,\ None)\ S') \implies no-conflict-with-trail\ N\ \beta\ U\ []\ |$

Cons: $(\nexists S'.\ conflict\ N\ \beta\ (Ln\ \# \Gamma,\ U,\ None)\ S') \implies$

$no-conflict-with-trail\ N\ \beta\ U\ \Gamma \implies no-conflict-with-trail\ N\ \beta\ U\ (Ln\ \# \Gamma)$

lemma *nex-conflict-if-no-conflict-with-trail*:
assumes *no-conflict-with-trail* $N\ \beta\ U\ \Gamma$
shows $\nexists S'.\ conflict\ N\ \beta\ (\Gamma,\ U,\ None)\ S'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *nex-conflict-if-no-conflict-with-trail'*:
assumes *no-conflict-with-trail* $N\ \beta\ U\ \Gamma$
shows $\nexists S'.\ conflict\ N\ \beta\ ([],\ U,\ None)\ S'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *no-conflict-after-decide-if-no-conflict-with-trail*:
 $no-conflict-with-trail\ N\ \beta\ U\ \Gamma \implies no-conflict-after-decide\ N\ \beta\ U\ \Gamma$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *not-trail-false-cls-if-no-conflict-with-trail*:
 $no-conflict-with-trail\ N\ \beta\ U\ \Gamma \implies D\ |\in|\ N\ |\cup|\ U \implies D \neq \{\#\} \implies is-ground-cls$
 $(D \cdot \gamma) \implies$
 $\neg trail-false-cls\ \Gamma\ (D \cdot \gamma)$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *almost-no-conflict-with-trail* where

almost-no-conflict-with-trail $N\ \beta\ S \longleftrightarrow$

$\{\#\} |\in|\ N \wedge state-trail\ S = [] \vee$

no-conflict-with-trail $N\ \beta\ (state-learned\ S)$

(case state-trail S of $\square \Rightarrow \square \mid Ln \# \Gamma \Rightarrow$ if is-decision-lit Ln then $Ln \# \Gamma$ else Γ)

lemma *nex-conflict-if-no-conflict-with-trail''*:

assumes *no-conf*: state-conflict $S = None$ **and** $\{\#\} \mid \notin \mid N$ **and** *learned-nonempty* S

no-conflict-with-trail $N \beta$ (state-learned S) (state-trail S)

shows $\nexists S'. \text{conflict } N \beta S S'$

<proof>

lemma *no-conflict-with-trail-if-nex-conflict*:

assumes *no-conf*: $\nexists S'. \text{conflict } N \beta S S'$ state-conflict $S = None$

shows *no-conflict-with-trail* $N \beta$ (state-learned S) (state-trail S)

<proof>

lemma *almost-no-conflict-with-trail-if-no-conflict-with-trail*:

no-conflict-with-trail $N \beta U \Gamma \implies$ *almost-no-conflict-with-trail* $N \beta (\Gamma, U, Cl)$

<proof>

lemma *almost-no-conflict-with-trail-initial-state[simp]*:

almost-no-conflict-with-trail $N \beta$ *initial-state*

<proof>

lemma *propagate-preserves-almost-no-conflict-with-trail*:

assumes *step*: propagate $N \beta S S'$ **and** *reg-step*: regular-scl $N \beta S S'$

shows *almost-no-conflict-with-trail* $N \beta S'$

<proof>

lemma *decide-preserves-almost-no-conflict-with-trail*:

assumes *step*: decide $N \beta S S'$ **and** *reg-step*: regular-scl $N \beta S S'$

shows *almost-no-conflict-with-trail* $N \beta S'$

<proof>

lemma *almost-no-conflict-with-trail-conflict-not-relevant*:

almost-no-conflict-with-trail $N \beta (\Gamma, U, Cl1) \longleftrightarrow$

almost-no-conflict-with-trail $N \beta (\Gamma, U, Cl2)$

<proof>

lemma *conflict-preserves-almost-no-conflict-with-trail*:

assumes *step*: conflict $N \beta S S'$ **and** *invar*: *almost-no-conflict-with-trail* $N \beta S$

shows *almost-no-conflict-with-trail* $N \beta S'$

<proof>

lemma *skip-preserves-almost-no-conflict-with-trail*:

assumes *step*: skip $N \beta S S'$ **and** *invar*: *almost-no-conflict-with-trail* $N \beta S$

shows *almost-no-conflict-with-trail* $N \beta S'$

<proof>

lemma *factorize-preserves-almost-no-conflict-with-trail*:

assumes *step*: factorize $N \beta S S'$ **and** *invar*: almost-no-conflict-with-trail $N \beta S$
shows almost-no-conflict-with-trail $N \beta S'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *resolve-preserves-almost-no-conflict-with-trail*:
assumes *step*: resolve $N \beta S S'$ **and** *invar*: almost-no-conflict-with-trail $N \beta S$
shows almost-no-conflict-with-trail $N \beta S'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *backtrack-preserves-almost-no-conflict-with-trail*:
assumes *step*: backtrack $N \beta S S'$ **and** *invar*: almost-no-conflict-with-trail $N \beta S$
shows almost-no-conflict-with-trail $N \beta S'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *regular-scl-preserves-almost-no-conflict-with-trail*:
assumes regular-scl $N \beta S S'$ **and** almost-no-conflict-with-trail $N \beta S$
shows almost-no-conflict-with-trail $N \beta S'$
 ⟨proof⟩

11.1.2 Backtrack Follows Regular Conflict Resolution

lemma *before-conflict-in-regular-run*:
assumes
 reg-run: (regular-scl $N \beta$)** *initial-state* $S1$ **and**
 conf: conflict $N \beta S1 S2$ **and**
 $\{\#\} \notin N$
shows $\exists S0. (\text{regular-scl } N \beta)$ ** *initial-state* $S0 \wedge \text{regular-scl } N \beta S0 S1 \wedge$
 (propagate $N \beta S0 S1$)
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *regular-conflict-resolution* **where**
 regular-conflict-resolution $N \beta S \longleftrightarrow \{\#\} \notin N \longrightarrow$
 (case state-conflict S of
 None \Rightarrow (regular-scl $N \beta$)** *initial-state* S |
 Some - \Rightarrow ($\exists S0 S1 S2 S3. (\text{regular-scl } N \beta)$ ** *initial-state* $S0 \wedge$
 propagate $N \beta S0 S1 \wedge \text{regular-scl } N \beta S0 S1 \wedge$
 conflict $N \beta S1 S2 \wedge \text{regular-scl } N \beta S1 S2 \wedge$
 (factorize $N \beta)$ ** $S2 S3 \wedge (\text{regular-scl } N \beta)$ ** $S2 S3 \wedge$
 ($S3 = S \vee (\exists S4. \text{resolve } N \beta S3 S4 \wedge (\text{skip } N \beta \sqcup \text{factorize } N \beta \sqcup \text{resolve } N \beta)$ ** $S4 S$))))

lemma *regular-conflict-resolution-initial-state[simp]*:
 regular-conflict-resolution $N \beta$ *initial-state*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *propagate-preserves-regular-conflict-resolution*:
assumes *step*: propagate $N \beta S S'$ **and** *reg-step*: regular-scl $N \beta S S'$ **and**
invar: regular-conflict-resolution $N \beta S$

shows *regular-conflict-resolution* $N \beta S'$
(*proof*)

lemma *decide-preserves-regular-conflict-resolution*:
assumes *step*: *decide* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *reg-step*: *regular-scl* $N \beta S S'$ **and**
invar: *regular-conflict-resolution* $N \beta S$
shows *regular-conflict-resolution* $N \beta S'$
(*proof*)

lemma *conflict-preserves-regular-conflict-resolution*:
assumes *step*: *conflict* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *reg-step*: *regular-scl* $N \beta S S'$ **and**
invar: *regular-conflict-resolution* $N \beta S$
shows *regular-conflict-resolution* $N \beta S'$
(*proof*)

lemma
assumes *almost-no-conflict-with-trail* $N \beta S$ **and** $\{\#\} \notin N$
shows *no-conflict-after-decide'* $N \beta S$
(*proof*)

lemma *mempty-not-in-learned-if-almost-no-conflict-with-trail*:
almost-no-conflict-with-trail $N \beta S \implies \{\#\} \notin N \implies \{\#\} \notin \text{state-learned } S$
(*proof*)

lemma *skip-preserves-regular-conflict-resolution*:
assumes *step*: *skip* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *reg-step*: *regular-scl* $N \beta S S'$ **and**
invar: *regular-conflict-resolution* $N \beta S$
shows *regular-conflict-resolution* $N \beta S'$
(*proof*)

lemma *factorize-preserves-regular-conflict-resolution*:
assumes *step*: *factorize* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *reg-step*: *regular-scl* $N \beta S S'$ **and**
invar: *regular-conflict-resolution* $N \beta S$
shows *regular-conflict-resolution* $N \beta S'$
(*proof*)

lemma *resolve-preserves-regular-conflict-resolution*:
assumes *step*: *resolve* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *reg-step*: *regular-scl* $N \beta S S'$ **and**
invar: *regular-conflict-resolution* $N \beta S$
shows *regular-conflict-resolution* $N \beta S'$
(*proof*)

lemma *backtrack-preserves-regular-conflict-resolution*:
assumes *step*: *backtrack* $N \beta S S'$ **and** *reg-step*: *regular-scl* $N \beta S S'$ **and**
invar: *regular-conflict-resolution* $N \beta S$
shows *regular-conflict-resolution* $N \beta S'$
(*proof*)

lemma *regular-scl-preserves-regular-conflict-resolution*:

assumes *reg-step*: *regular-scl* $N \beta S S'$ **and**
invars: *regular-conflict-resolution* $N \beta S$
shows *regular-conflict-resolution* $N \beta S'$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

11.2 Miscellaneous Lemmas

lemma *mempty-not-in-initial-clauses-if-non-empty-regular-conflict*:
assumes *state-conflict* $S = \text{Some } (C, \gamma)$ **and** $C \neq \{\#\}$ **and**
invars: *almost-no-conflict-with-trail* $N \beta S$ *sound-state* $N \beta S$ *ground-false-closures* S
shows $\{\#\} \notin N$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *mempty-not-in-initial-clauses-if-regular-run-reaches-non-empty-conflict*:
assumes $(\text{regular-scl } N \beta)^{**}$ *initial-state* S **and** *state-conflict* $S = \text{Some } (C, \gamma)$
and $C \neq \{\#\}$
shows $\{\#\} \notin N$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *before-regular-backtrack*:
assumes
backt: *backtrack* $N \beta S S'$ **and**
invars: *sound-state* $N \beta S$ *almost-no-conflict-with-trail* $N \beta S$
regular-conflict-resolution $N \beta S$ *ground-false-closures* S
shows $\exists S_0 S_1 S_2 S_3 S_4. (\text{regular-scl } N \beta)^{**}$ *initial-state* $S_0 \wedge$
propagate $N \beta S_0 S_1 \wedge \text{regular-scl } N \beta S_0 S_1 \wedge$
conflict $N \beta S_1 S_2 \wedge (\text{factorize } N \beta)^{**}$ $S_2 S_3 \wedge \text{resolve } N \beta S_3 S_4 \wedge$
skip $N \beta \sqcup \text{factorize } N \beta \sqcup \text{resolve } N \beta)^{**}$ $S_4 S$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

12 Resolve in Regular Runs

lemma *resolve-if-conflict-follows-propagate*:
assumes
no-conf: $\nexists S_1. \text{conflict } N \beta S_0 S_1$ **and**
propa: *propagate* $N \beta S_0 S_1$ **and**
conf: *conflict* $N \beta S_1 S_2$
shows $\exists S_3. \text{resolve } N \beta S_2 S_3$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *factorize-preserves-resolvability*:
assumes *reso*: *resolve* $N \beta S_1 S_2$ **and** *fact*: *factorize* $N \beta S_1 S_3$ **and**
invar: *ground-closures* S_1
shows $\exists S_4. \text{resolve } N \beta S_3 S_4$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

The following lemma corresponds to Lemma 7 in the paper.

lemma *no-backtrack-after-conflict-if*:

assumes *conf*: $\text{conflict } N \beta S1 S2$ **and** *trail-S2*: $\text{state-trail } S1 = \text{trail-propagate } \Gamma L C \gamma$
shows $\nexists S4. \text{backtrack } N \beta S2 S4$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *skip-state-trail*: $\text{skip } N \beta S S' \implies \text{suffix } (\text{state-trail } S') (\text{state-trail } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *factorize-state-trail*: $\text{factorize } N \beta S S' \implies \text{state-trail } S' = \text{state-trail } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *resolve-state-trail*: $\text{resolve } N \beta S S' \implies \text{state-trail } S' = \text{state-trail } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mempty-not-in-initial-clauses-if-run-leads-to-trail*:
assumes
reg-run: $(\text{regular-scl } N \beta)^{**} \text{initial-state } S1$ **and**
trail-lit: $\text{state-trail } S1 = Lc \# \Gamma$
shows $\{\#\} \not\subseteq N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conflict-with-literal-gets-resolved*:
assumes
trail-lit: $\text{state-trail } S1 = Lc \# \Gamma$ **and**
conf: $\text{conflict } N \beta S1 S2$ **and**
resolution: $(\text{skip } N \beta \sqcup \text{factorize } N \beta \sqcup \text{resolve } N \beta)^{**} S2 Sn$ **and**
backtrack: $\exists Sn'. \text{backtrack } N \beta Sn Sn'$ **and**
mempty-not-in-init-clss: $\{\#\} \not\subseteq N$ **and**
invars: $\text{learned-nonempty } S1 \text{trail-propagated-or-decided}' N \beta S1 \text{no-conflict-after-decide}'$
 $N \beta S1$
shows $\neg \text{is-decision-lit } Lc \wedge \text{strict-suffix } (\text{state-trail } Sn) (\text{state-trail } S1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

13 Clause Redundancy

definition *ground-redundant where*
 $\text{ground-redundant } lt N C \longleftrightarrow \{D \in N. lt D C\} \models_e \{C\}$

definition *redundant where*
 $\text{redundant } lt N C \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall C' \in \text{grounding-of-cls } C. \text{ground-redundant } lt (\text{grounding-of-class } N) C')$

lemma *redundant* $lt N C \longleftrightarrow (\forall C' \in \text{grounding-of-cls } C. \{D' \in \text{grounding-of-clss } N. lt D' C'\} \models_e \{C'\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ground-redundant-iff*:

ground-redundant $lt\ N\ C \iff (\exists M \subseteq N. M \Vdash_e \{C\} \wedge (\forall D \in M. lt\ D\ C))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ground-redundant-is-ground-standard-redundancy*:

fixes lt

defines $Red-F_G \equiv \lambda N. \{C. \text{ground-redundant } lt\ N\ C\}$

shows $Red-F_G\ N = \{C. \exists M \subseteq N. M \Vdash_e \{C\} \wedge (\forall D \in M. lt\ D\ C)\}$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *redundant-is-standard-redundancy*:

fixes $lt\ \mathcal{G}_F\ \mathcal{G}_{Fs}\ Red-F_G\ Red-F$

defines

$\mathcal{G}_F \equiv \text{grounding-of-clcs and}$

$\mathcal{G}_{Fs} \equiv \text{grounding-of-clcs and}$

$Red-F_G \equiv \lambda N. \{C. \text{ground-redundant } lt\ N\ C\}$ **and**

$Red-F \equiv \lambda N. \{C. \text{redundant } lt\ N\ C\}$

shows $Red-F\ N = \{C. \forall D \in \mathcal{G}_F\ C. D \in Red-F_G\ (\mathcal{G}_{Fs}\ N)\}$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *ground-redundant-if-strict-subset*:

assumes $D \in N$ **and** $D \subset\# C$

shows *ground-redundant* $(\text{multp}_{HO}\ R)\ N\ C$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *redundant-if-strict-subset*:

assumes $D \in N$ **and** $D \subset\# C$

shows *redundant* $(\text{multp}_{HO}\ R)\ N\ C$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *redundant-if-strict-subsumes*:

assumes $D \cdot \sigma \subset\# C$ **and** $D \in N$

shows *redundant* $(\text{multp}_{HO}\ R)\ N\ C$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *ground-redundant-mono-strong*:

ground-redundant $R\ N\ C \implies (\bigwedge x. x \in N \implies R\ x\ C \implies S\ x\ C) \implies \text{ground-redundant}$
 $S\ N\ C$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *redundant-mono-strong*:

redundant $R\ N\ C \implies$

$(\bigwedge x\ y. x \in \text{grounding-of-clcs}\ N \implies y \in \text{grounding-of-clcs}\ C \implies R\ x\ y \implies S\ x$
 $y) \implies$

redundant $S\ N\ C$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *redundant-multp-if-redundant-strict-subset*:

redundant $(\subset\#)\ N\ C \implies \text{redundant } (\text{multp}_{HO}\ R)\ N\ C$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *redundant-multip-if-redundant-subset*:
redundant ($\subset\#$) $N C \implies \text{redundant} (\text{multp} (\text{trail-less-ex } lt \ Ls)) N C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-bex-subset-mset-if-not-ground-redundant*:
assumes *is-ground-cls* C **and** *is-ground-cls* N
shows $\neg \text{ground-redundant} (\subset\#) N C \implies \neg (\exists D \in N. D \subset\# C)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

14 Trail-Induced Ordering

14.1 Miscellaneous Lemmas

lemma *pairwise-distinct-if-trail-consistent*:
fixes Γ
defines $Ls \equiv (\text{map } \text{fst } \Gamma)$
shows *trail-consistent* $\Gamma \implies$
 $\forall i < \text{length } Ls. \forall j < \text{length } Ls. i \neq j \longrightarrow Ls ! i \neq Ls ! j \wedge Ls ! i \neq - (Ls ! j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

14.2 Strict Partial Order

lemma *irreflp-trail-less-if-trail-consistent*:
trail-consistent $\Gamma \implies \text{irreflp} (\text{trail-less} (\text{map } \text{fst } \Gamma))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *transp-trail-less-if-trail-consistent*:
trail-consistent $\Gamma \implies \text{transp} (\text{trail-less} (\text{map } \text{fst } \Gamma))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *asympt-trail-less-if-trail-consistent*:
trail-consistent $\Gamma \implies \text{asympt} (\text{trail-less} (\text{map } \text{fst } \Gamma))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

14.3 Properties

lemma *trail-defined-lit-if-trail-term-less*:
assumes *trail-term-less* $(\text{map} (\text{atm-of } o \ \text{fst}) \Gamma) (\text{atm-of } L) (\text{atm-of } K)$
shows *trail-defined-lit* ΓL *trail-defined-lit* ΓK
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trail-defined-cls-if-lt-defined*:
assumes *consistent- Γ* : *trail-consistent* Γ **and**
 $C\text{-lt-}D$: $\text{multp}_{HO} (\text{lit-less} (\text{trail-term-less} (\text{map} (\text{atm-of } o \ \text{fst}) \Gamma))) C D$ **and**
 $\text{tr-def-}D$: *trail-defined-cls* ΓD **and**
lit-less-preserves-term-order: $\bigwedge R L1 L2. \text{lit-less } R L1 L2 \implies R^{==} (\text{atm-of } L1)$
 $(\text{atm-of } L2)$
shows *trail-defined-cls* ΓC

<proof>

15 Dynamic Non-Redundancy

lemma *regular-run-if-skip-factorize-resolve-run:*

assumes $(\text{skip } N \beta \sqcup \text{factorize } N \beta \sqcup \text{resolve } N \beta)^{**} S S'$

shows $(\text{regular-scl } N \beta)^{**} S S'$

<proof>

lemma *not-trail-true-and-false-lit:*

$\text{trail-consistent } \Gamma \implies \neg (\text{trail-true-lit } \Gamma L \wedge \text{trail-false-lit } \Gamma L)$

<proof>

lemma *not-trail-true-and-false-clc:*

$\text{trail-consistent } \Gamma \implies \neg (\text{trail-true-clc } \Gamma C \wedge \text{trail-false-clc } \Gamma C)$

<proof>

fun *standard-lit-less where*

$\text{standard-lit-less } R (\text{Pos } t1) (\text{Pos } t2) = R t1 t2 \mid$

$\text{standard-lit-less } R (\text{Pos } t1) (\text{Neg } t2) = R^{==} t1 t2 \mid$

$\text{standard-lit-less } R (\text{Neg } t1) (\text{Pos } t2) = R t1 t2 \mid$

$\text{standard-lit-less } R (\text{Neg } t1) (\text{Neg } t2) = R t1 t2$

lemma *standard-lit-less-preserves-term-less:*

shows $\text{standard-lit-less } R L1 L2 \implies R^{==} (\text{atm-of } L1) (\text{atm-of } L2)$

<proof>

theorem *learned-clauses-in-regular-runs-invars:*

fixes Γ *lit-less*

assumes

sound-S0: sound-state $N \beta S0$ **and**

invars: learned-nonempty $S0$ *trail-propagated-or-decided'* $N \beta S0$

no-conflict-after-decide' $N \beta S0$ *almost-no-conflict-with-trail* $N \beta S0$

trail-lits-consistent $S0$ *trail-closures-false'* $S0$ *ground-false-closures* $S0$ **and**

conflict: conflict $N \beta S0 S1$ **and**

resolution: (skip $N \beta \sqcup \text{factorize } N \beta \sqcup \text{resolve } N \beta)^{++} S1 Sn$ **and**

backtrack: backtrack $N \beta Sn Sn'$ **and**

lit-less-preserves-term-order: $\bigwedge R L1 L2. \text{lit-less } R L1 L2 \implies R^{==} (\text{atm-of } L1)$

(atm-of $L2)$

defines

$\Gamma \equiv \text{state-trail } S1$ **and**

$U \equiv \text{state-learned } S1$ **and**

$\text{trail-ord} \equiv \text{multp}_{HO} (\text{lit-less } (\text{trail-term-less } (\text{map } (\text{atm-of } o \text{ fst}) \Gamma)))$

shows $(\exists C \gamma. \text{state-conflict } Sn = \text{Some } (C, \gamma) \wedge$

$C \cdot \gamma \notin \text{grounding-of-clss } (\text{fset } N \cup \text{fset } U) \wedge$

$\text{set-mset } (C \cdot \gamma) \notin \text{set-mset } \text{'grounding-of-clss } (\text{fset } N \cup \text{fset } U) \wedge$

$C \notin (\text{fset } N \cup \text{fset } U) \wedge$

$\neg (\exists D \in \text{fset } N \cup \text{fset } U. \exists \sigma. D \cdot \sigma = C) \wedge$

$\neg \text{redundant trail-ord } (\text{fset } N \cup \text{fset } U) C$

<proof>

theorem *dynamic-non-redundancy-regular-scl:*

fixes Γ

assumes

regular-run: $(\text{regular-scl } N \beta)^{**}$ *initial-state* $S0$ **and**

conflict: $\text{conflict } N \beta S0 S1$ **and**

resolution: $(\text{skip } N \beta \sqcup \text{factorize } N \beta \sqcup \text{resolve } N \beta)^{++}$ $S1 Sn$ **and**

backtrack: $\text{backtrack } N \beta Sn Sn'$ **and**

lit-less-preserves-term-order: $\bigwedge R L1 L2. \text{lit-less } R L1 L2 \implies R^{==} (\text{atm-of } L1)$
(atm-of $L2)$

defines

$\Gamma \equiv \text{state-trail } S1$ **and**

$U \equiv \text{state-learned } S1$ **and**

trail-ord $\equiv \text{multp}_{HO} (\text{lit-less } (\text{trail-term-less } (\text{map } (\text{atm-of } o \text{ fst}) \Gamma)))$

shows $(\text{regular-scl } N \beta)^{**}$ *initial-state* $Sn' \wedge$

$(\exists C \gamma. \text{state-conflict } Sn = \text{Some } (C, \gamma) \wedge$

$C \cdot \gamma \notin \text{grounding-of-clss } (\text{fset } N \cup \text{fset } U) \wedge$

$\text{set-mset } (C \cdot \gamma) \notin \text{set-mset } ' \text{grounding-of-clss } (\text{fset } N \cup \text{fset } U) \wedge$

$C \notin \text{fset } N \cup \text{fset } U \wedge$

$\neg (\exists D \in \text{fset } N \cup \text{fset } U. \exists \sigma. D \cdot \sigma = C) \wedge$

$\neg \text{redundant trail-ord } (\text{fset } N \cup \text{fset } U) C$

<proof>

theorem *dynamic-non-redundancy-projectable-strategy:*

fixes

$S1 :: ('f, 'v)$ *state* **and**

lit-less $:: (('f, 'v)$ *term* $\implies ('f, 'v)$ *term* $\implies \text{bool}) \implies$

$('f, 'v)$ *term literal* $\implies ('f, 'v)$ *term literal* $\implies \text{bool}$ **and**

strategy **and** *strategy-init* **and** *proj*

defines

$\Gamma \equiv \text{state-trail } S1$ **and**

$U \equiv \text{state-learned } S1$

defines

trail-ord $\equiv \text{multp}_{HO} (\text{lit-less } (\text{trail-term-less } (\text{map } (\text{atm-of } o \text{ fst}) \Gamma)))$

assumes

run: strategy^{**} *strategy-init* $S0$ **and**

conflict: $\text{conflict } N \beta (\text{proj } S0) S1$ **and**

resolution: $(\text{skip } N \beta \sqcup \text{factorize } N \beta \sqcup \text{resolve } N \beta)^{++}$ $S1 Sn$ **and**

backtrack: $\text{backtrack } N \beta Sn Sn'$ **and**

strategy-restricts-regular-scl:

$\bigwedge S S'. \text{strategy}^{**} \text{strategy-init } S \implies \text{strategy } S S' \implies \text{regular-scl } N \beta (\text{proj } S) (\text{proj } S')$ **and**

initial-state: $\text{proj strategy-init} = \text{initial-state}$ **and**

lit-less-preserves-term-order: $\bigwedge R L1 L2. \text{lit-less } R L1 L2 \implies R^{==} (\text{atm-of } L1)$
(atm-of $L2)$

shows $(\exists C \gamma. \text{state-conflict } Sn = \text{Some } (C, \gamma) \wedge$

$C \cdot \gamma \notin \text{grounding-of-clss } (\text{fset } N \cup \text{fset } U) \wedge$

$\text{set-mset } (C \cdot \gamma) \notin \text{set-mset } ' \text{grounding-of-clss } (\text{fset } N \cup \text{fset } U) \wedge$

$C \notin \text{fset } N \cup \text{fset } U \wedge$
 $\neg (\exists D \in \text{fset } N \cup \text{fset } U. \exists \sigma. D \cdot \sigma = C) \wedge$
 $\neg \text{redundant trail-ord } (\text{fset } N \cup \text{fset } U) C$
 ⟨proof⟩

corollary *dynamic-non-redundancy-strategy:*

fixes Γ

assumes

run: strategy^{**} *initial-state* $S0$ **and**

conflict: $\text{conflict } N \beta S0 S1$ **and**

resolution: $(\text{skip } N \beta \sqcup \text{factorize } N \beta \sqcup \text{resolve } N \beta)^{++} S1 Sn$ **and**

backtrack: $\text{backtrack } N \beta Sn Sn'$ **and**

strategy-imp-regular-scl: $\bigwedge S S'. \text{strategy } S S' \implies \text{regular-scl } N \beta S S'$ **and**

lit-less-preserves-term-order: $\bigwedge R L1 L2. \text{lit-less } R L1 L2 \implies R^{\text{==}} (\text{atm-of } L1)$

(*atm-of* $L2$)

defines

$\Gamma \equiv \text{state-trail } S1$ **and**

$U \equiv \text{state-learned } S1$ **and**

$\text{trail-ord} \equiv \text{multp}_{HO} (\text{lit-less } (\text{trail-term-less } (\text{map } (\text{atm-of } o \text{ fst}) \Gamma)))$

shows $(\exists C \gamma. \text{state-conflict } Sn = \text{Some } (C, \gamma) \wedge$

$C \cdot \gamma \notin \text{grounding-of-cls } (\text{fset } N \cup \text{fset } U) \wedge$

$\text{set-mset } (C \cdot \gamma) \notin \text{set-mset } ' \text{grounding-of-cls } (\text{fset } N \cup \text{fset } U) \wedge$

$C \notin \text{fset } N \cup \text{fset } U \wedge$

$\neg (\exists D \in \text{fset } N \cup \text{fset } U. \exists \sigma. D \cdot \sigma = C) \wedge$

$\neg \text{redundant trail-ord } (\text{fset } N \cup \text{fset } U) C$

⟨proof⟩

16 Static Non-Redundancy

lemma *before-regular-backtrack':*

assumes

run: $(\text{regular-scl } N \beta)^{**}$ *initial-state* S **and**

step: $\text{backtrack } N \beta S S'$

shows $\exists S0 S1 S2 S3 S4. (\text{regular-scl } N \beta)^{**}$ *initial-state* $S0 \wedge$

$\text{propagate } N \beta S0 S1 \wedge \text{regular-scl } N \beta S0 S1 \wedge$

$\text{conflict } N \beta S1 S2 \wedge (\text{factorize } N \beta)^{**} S2 S3 \wedge \text{resolve } N \beta S3 S4 \wedge$

$(\text{skip } N \beta \sqcup \text{factorize } N \beta \sqcup \text{resolve } N \beta)^{**} S4 S$

⟨proof⟩

theorem *static-non-subsumption-regular-scl:*

assumes

run: $(\text{regular-scl } N \beta)^{**}$ *initial-state* S **and**

step: $\text{backtrack } N \beta S S'$

defines

$U \equiv \text{state-learned } S$

shows $\exists C \gamma. \text{state-conflict } S = \text{Some } (C, \gamma) \wedge \neg (\exists D |\in| N |\cup| U. \text{subsumes } D C)$

⟨proof⟩

corollary *static-non-subsumption-projectable-strategy*:
fixes *strategy* **and** *strategy-init* **and** *proj*
assumes
run: *strategy*** *strategy-init* *S* **and**
step: *backtrack* *N* β (*proj* *S*) *S'* **and**
strategy-restricts-regular-scl:
 $\bigwedge S S'. \text{strategy}^{**} \text{strategy-init } S \implies \text{strategy } S S' \implies \text{regular-scl } N \beta (\text{proj } S) (\text{proj } S')$ **and**
initial-state: *proj strategy-init* = *initial-state*
defines
 $U \equiv \text{state-learned } (\text{proj } S)$
shows $\exists C \gamma. \text{state-conflict } (\text{proj } S) = \text{Some } (C, \gamma) \wedge \neg (\exists D |\in| N |\cup| U. \text{subsumes } D C)$
<proof>

corollary *static-non-subsumption-strategy*:
assumes
run: *strategy*** *initial-state* *S* **and**
step: *backtrack* *N* β *S S'* **and**
strategy-imp-regular-scl: $\bigwedge S S'. \text{strategy } S S' \implies \text{regular-scl } N \beta S S'$
defines
 $U \equiv \text{state-learned } S$
shows $\exists C \gamma. \text{state-conflict } S = \text{Some } (C, \gamma) \wedge \neg (\exists D |\in| N |\cup| U. \text{subsumes } D C)$
<proof>

end

end

theory *Wellfounded-Extra*

imports

Main

Ordered-Resolution-Prover.Lazy-List-Chain

begin

lemma *wf-onI*:

$(\bigwedge P x. (\bigwedge y. y \in A \implies (\bigwedge z. z \in A \implies (z, y) \in r \implies P z) \implies P y) \implies x \in A \implies P x) \implies \text{wf-on } A r$
<proof>

lemma *wfI*: $(\bigwedge P x. (\bigwedge y. (\bigwedge z. (z, y) \in r \implies P z) \implies P y) \implies P x) \implies \text{wf } r$
<proof>

lemma *wf-on-induct[consumes 1, case-names less in-dom]*:

assumes

wf-on *A* *r* **and**

$\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies (\bigwedge y. y \in A \implies (y, x) \in r \implies P y) \implies P x$ **and**
 $x \in A$

shows $P x$

<proof>

16.1 Basic Results

16.1.1 Minimal-element characterization of well-foundedness

lemma *minimal-if-wf-on*:

assumes $wf: wf\text{-on } A\ R$ **and** $B \subseteq A$ **and** $B \neq \{\}$

shows $\exists z \in B. \forall y. (y, z) \in R \longrightarrow y \notin B$

<proof>

lemma *wfE-min*:

assumes $wf: wf\ R$ **and** $Q: x \in Q$

obtains z **where** $z \in Q \wedge y. (y, z) \in R \Longrightarrow y \notin Q$

<proof>

lemma *wfE-min'*:

$wf\ R \Longrightarrow Q \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge z. z \in Q \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge y. (y, z) \in R \Longrightarrow y \notin Q)) \Longrightarrow thesis$
 $\Longrightarrow thesis$

<proof>

lemma *wf-on-if-minimal*:

assumes $\bigwedge B. B \subseteq A \Longrightarrow B \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow \exists z \in B. \forall y. (y, z) \in R \longrightarrow y \notin B$

shows $wf\text{-on } A\ R$

<proof>

lemma *ex-trans-min-element-if-wf-on*:

assumes $wf: wf\text{-on } A\ r$ **and** $x\text{-in}: x \in A$

shows $\exists y \in A. (y, x) \in r^* \wedge \neg(\exists z \in A. (z, y) \in r)$

<proof>

lemma *ex-trans-min-element-if-wfp-on*: $wfp\text{-on } A\ R \Longrightarrow x \in A \Longrightarrow \exists y \in A. R^{**}\ y$
 $x \wedge \neg(\exists z \in A. R\ z\ y)$

<proof>

Well-foundedness of the empty relation

definition *inv-imagep-on* :: $'a\ set \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$inv\text{-imagep-on } A\ R\ f = (\lambda x\ y. x \in A \wedge y \in A \wedge R\ (f\ x)\ (f\ y))$

lemma *wfp-on-inv-imagep*:

assumes $wf: wfp\text{-on } (f\ 'A)\ R$

shows $wfp\text{-on } A\ (inv\text{-imagep } R\ f)$

<proof>

lemma *wfp-on-if-convertible-to-wfp*:

assumes

$wf: wfp\text{-on } (f\ 'A)\ Q$ **and**

convertible: $(\bigwedge x\ y. x \in A \Longrightarrow y \in A \Longrightarrow R\ x\ y \Longrightarrow Q\ (f\ x)\ (f\ y))$

shows $wfp\text{-on } A\ R$

<proof>

definition *lex-prodp* **where**

lex-prodp $R_A R_B x y \longleftrightarrow R_A (fst\ x) (fst\ y) \vee fst\ x = fst\ y \wedge R_B (snd\ x) (snd\ y)$

lemma *lex-prodp-lex-prod-iff*[*pred-set-conv*]:

lex-prodp $R_A R_B x y \longleftrightarrow (x, y) \in lex\ prod\ \{(x, y). R_A\ x\ y\}\ \{(x, y). R_B\ x\ y\}$

<proof>

lemma *lex-prod-lex-prodp-iff*:

lex-prod $\{(x, y). R_A\ x\ y\}\ \{(x, y). R_B\ x\ y\} = \{(x, y). lex\ prodp\ R_A\ R_B\ x\ y\}$

<proof>

lemma *wf-on-lex-prod*:

assumes *wfA*: *wf-on* $A\ r_A$ **and** *wfB*: *wf-on* $B\ r_B$ **and** *AB-subset*: $AB \subseteq A \times B$

shows *wf-on* $AB\ (r_A\ <*\text{lex*}>\ r_B)$

<proof>

lemma *wfp-on-lex-prodp*: *wfp-on* $A\ R_A \implies wfp\ on\ B\ R_B \implies AB \subseteq A \times B \implies$

wfp-on $AB\ (lex\ prodp\ R_A\ R_B)$

<proof>

corollary *wfp-lex-prodp*: *wfp* $R_A \implies wfp\ R_B \implies wfp\ (lex\ prodp\ R_A\ R_B)$

<proof>

lemma *wfp-on-sup-if-convertible-to-wfp*:

includes *lattice-syntax*

assumes

wf-S: *wfp-on* $A\ S$ **and**

wf-Q: *wfp-on* $(f\ 'A)\ Q$ **and**

convertible-R: $\bigwedge x\ y. x \in A \implies y \in A \implies R\ x\ y \implies Q\ (f\ x)\ (f\ y)$ **and**

convertible-S: $\bigwedge x\ y. x \in A \implies y \in A \implies S\ x\ y \implies Q\ (f\ x)\ (f\ y) \vee f\ x = f\ y$

shows *wfp-on* $A\ (R\ \sqcup\ S)$

<proof>

lemma *wfp-on-iff-wfp*: *wfp-on* $A\ R \longleftrightarrow wfp\ (\lambda x\ y. R\ x\ y \wedge x \in A \wedge y \in A)$

<proof>

lemma *chain-lnth-rtranclp*:

assumes

chain: *Lazy-List-Chain.chain* $R\ xs$ **and**

len: *enat* $j < llength\ xs$

shows $R^{**}\ (lhd\ xs)\ (lnth\ xs\ j)$

<proof>

lemma *chain-conj-rtranclpI*:

fixes $xs :: 'a\ llist$

assumes *Lazy-List-Chain.chain* $(\lambda x\ y. R\ x\ y)\ (LCons\ init\ xs)$

shows *Lazy-List-Chain.chain* $(\lambda x\ y. R\ x\ y \wedge R^{**}\ init\ x)\ (LCons\ init\ xs)$

<proof>

lemma *rtranclp-implies-ex-lfinite-chain*:

assumes *run*: $R^{**} x_0 x$

shows $\exists xs. lfinite\ xs \wedge chain\ (\lambda y z. R\ y\ z \wedge R^{**}\ x_0\ y)\ (LCons\ x_0\ xs) \wedge llast\ (LCons\ x_0\ xs) = x$

<proof>

lemma *chain-conj-rtranclpD*:

fixes *xs* :: 'a llist

assumes *inf*: $\neg lfinite\ xs$ **and** *chain*: $chain\ (\lambda y z. R\ y\ z \wedge R^{**}\ x_0\ y)\ xs$

shows $\exists ys. lfinite\ ys \wedge chain\ (\lambda y z. R\ y\ z \wedge R^{**}\ x_0\ y)\ (lappend\ ys\ xs) \wedge lhd\ (lappend\ ys\ xs) = x_0$

<proof>

lemma *wfp-on-rtranclp-conversep-iff-no-infinite-down-chain-llist*:

fixes *R* *x*₀

shows $wfp\text{-on}\ \{x. R^{**}\ x_0\ x\}\ R^{-1-1} \longleftrightarrow (\nexists xs. \neg lfinite\ xs \wedge Lazy\text{-List}\text{-Chain.}\ chain\ R\ (LCons\ x_0\ xs))$

<proof>

end

theory *Termination*

imports

SCL-FOL

Non-Redundancy

Wellfounded-Extra

HOL-Library.Monad-Syntax

begin

17 Extra Lemmas

17.1 Set Extra

lemma *minus-psubset-minusI*:

assumes $C \subset B$ **and** $B \subseteq A$

shows $(A - B \subset A - C)$

<proof>

17.2 Prod Extra

lemma *lex-prod-lex-prodp-eq*:

$lex\text{-prod}\ \{(x, y). RA\ x\ y\}\ \{(x, y). RB\ x\ y\} = \{(x, y). lex\text{-prodp}\ RA\ RB\ x\ y\}$

<proof>

lemma *reflp-on-lex-prodp*:

assumes *reflp-on* *A* *RA*

shows *reflp-on* $(A \times B)$ $(lex\text{-prodp}\ RA\ RB)$

<proof>

lemma *transp-lex-prodp*:
assumes *transp RA and transp RB*
shows *transp (lex-prodp RA RB)*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *asympt-lex-prodp*:
assumes *asympt RA and asympt RB*
shows *asympt (lex-prodp RA RB)*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *totalp-on-lex-prodp*:
assumes *totalp-on A RA and totalp-on B RB*
shows *totalp-on (A \times B) (lex-prodp RA RB)*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

17.3 FSet Extra

lemma *finsert-Abs-fset*: *finite A \implies finsert a (Abs-fset A) = Abs-fset (insert a A)*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *minus-pfssubset-minusI*:
assumes *C $|<|$ B and B $|<|$ A*
shows *(A $|<|$ B $|<|$ A $|<|$ C)*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *Abs-fset-minus*: *finite A \implies finite B \implies Abs-fset (A - B) = Abs-fset A $|<|$ Abs-fset B*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *fminus-conv*: *A $|<|$ B \longleftrightarrow fset A \subset fset B \wedge finite (fset A) \wedge finite (fset B)*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

18 Termination

context *scl-fol-calculus* **begin**

18.1 SCL without backtracking terminates

definition *M-prop-deci* :: *- \Rightarrow - \Rightarrow (-, -) Term.term literal fset **where**
*M-prop-deci β Γ = Abs-fset {L. atm-of L \preceq_B β } $|<|$ (fst $|^q$ fset-of-list Γ)**

primrec *M-skip-fact-reso* **where**
M-skip-fact-reso [] C = [] |
M-skip-fact-reso (Ln # Γ) C =
(let n = count C (- (fst Ln)) in
(case snd Ln of None \Rightarrow 0 | Some - \Rightarrow n) #

$\mathcal{M}\text{-skip-fact-reso } \Gamma (C + (\text{case snd Ln of None} \Rightarrow \{\#\} \mid \text{Some } (D, -, \gamma) \Rightarrow \text{repeat-mset } n (D \cdot \gamma)))$

fun $\mathcal{M}\text{-skip-fact-reso}'$ **where**

$\mathcal{M}\text{-skip-fact-reso}' C [] = [] \mid$
 $\mathcal{M}\text{-skip-fact-reso}' C ((-, \text{None}) \# \Gamma) = 0 \# \mathcal{M}\text{-skip-fact-reso}' C \Gamma \mid$
 $\mathcal{M}\text{-skip-fact-reso}' C ((K, \text{Some } (D, -, \gamma)) \# \Gamma) =$
 $(\text{let } n = \text{count } C (- K) \text{ in } n \# \mathcal{M}\text{-skip-fact-reso}' (C + \text{repeat-mset } n (D \cdot \gamma)))$
 $\Gamma)$

lemma $\mathcal{M}\text{-skip-fact-reso } \Gamma C = \mathcal{M}\text{-skip-fact-reso}' C \Gamma$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\mathcal{M}\text{-skip-fact-reso}' C (\text{decide-lit } K \# \Gamma) = 0 \# \mathcal{M}\text{-skip-fact-reso}' C \Gamma$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\mathcal{M}\text{-skip-fact-reso}' C (\text{propagate-lit } K D \gamma \# \Gamma) =$
 $(\text{let } n = \text{count } C (- (K \cdot l \gamma)) \text{ in } n \# \mathcal{M}\text{-skip-fact-reso}' (C + \text{repeat-mset } n (D$
 $\cdot \gamma))) \Gamma$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun $\mathcal{M} :: - \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) \text{ state} \Rightarrow$

$\text{bool} \times ('f, 'v) \text{ Term.term literal fset} \times \text{nat list} \times \text{nat}$ **where**
 $\mathcal{M} \beta (\Gamma, U, \text{None}) = (\text{True}, \mathcal{M}\text{-prop-deci } \beta \Gamma, [], 0) \mid$
 $\mathcal{M} \beta (\Gamma, U, \text{Some } (C, \gamma)) = (\text{False}, \{\|\}, \mathcal{M}\text{-skip-fact-reso } \Gamma (C \cdot \gamma), \text{size } C)$

lemma $\text{length-}\mathcal{M}\text{-skip-fact-reso}[\text{simp}]$: $\text{length } (\mathcal{M}\text{-skip-fact-reso } \Gamma C) = \text{length } \Gamma$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\mathcal{M}\text{-skip-fact-reso-add-mset}$:

$(\mathcal{M}\text{-skip-fact-reso } \Gamma C, \mathcal{M}\text{-skip-fact-reso } \Gamma (\text{add-mset } L C)) \in (\text{List.lenlex } \{(x,$
 $y). x < y\})^=$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{termination-scl-without-back-invars}$:

fixes $N \beta$
defines
 $\text{scl-without-backtrack} \equiv \text{propagate } N \beta \sqcup \text{decide } N \beta \sqcup \text{conflict } N \beta \sqcup \text{skip } N$
 $\beta \sqcup$
 $\text{factorize } N \beta \sqcup \text{resolve } N \beta$ **and**
 $\text{invars} \equiv \text{trail-atoms-lt } \beta \sqcap \text{trail-resolved-lits-pol} \sqcap \text{trail-lits-ground} \sqcap$
 $\text{initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict } N \sqcap \text{ground-closures}$
shows $\text{wfp-on } \{S. \text{invars } S\} \text{scl-without-backtrack}^{-1-1}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary $\text{termination-scl-without-back}$:

fixes
 $N :: ('f, 'v) \text{ Term.term clause fset}$ **and**
 $\beta :: ('f, 'v) \text{ Term.term}$

defines
 $scl\text{-without-backtrack} \equiv propagate\ N\ \beta \sqcup decide\ N\ \beta \sqcup conflict\ N\ \beta \sqcup skip\ N\ \beta \sqcup$
 $factorize\ N\ \beta \sqcup resolve\ N\ \beta$ **and**
 $invars \equiv trail\text{-atoms-}lt\ \beta \sqcap trail\text{-resolved-lits-pol} \sqcap trail\text{-lits-ground} \sqcap$
 $initial\text{-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict}\ N \sqcap ground\text{-closures}$
shows $wfp\text{-on}\ \{S.\ scl\text{-without-backtrack}^{**}\ initial\text{-state}\ S\}$ $scl\text{-without-backtrack}^{-1-1}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *termination-strategy-without-back*:

fixes
 $N :: ('f, 'v)\ Term.term\ clause\ fset$ **and**
 $\beta :: ('f, 'v)\ Term.term$
defines
 $scl\text{-without-backtrack} \equiv propagate\ N\ \beta \sqcup decide\ N\ \beta \sqcup conflict\ N\ \beta \sqcup skip\ N\ \beta \sqcup$
 $factorize\ N\ \beta \sqcup resolve\ N\ \beta$
assumes $strategy\text{-stronger}: \bigwedge S\ S'.\ strategy\ S\ S' \implies scl\text{-without-backtrack}\ S\ S'$
shows $wfp\text{-on}\ \{S.\ strategy^{**}\ initial\text{-state}\ S\}$ $strategy^{-1-1}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

18.2 Backtracking can only be done finitely often

definition $fclss\text{-no-dup} :: ('f, 'v)\ Term.term \Rightarrow ('f, 'v)\ Term.term\ literal\ fset\ fset$
where
 $fclss\text{-no-dup}\ \beta = fPow\ (Abs\text{-fset}\ \{L.\ atm\text{-of}\ L \preceq_B\ \beta\})$

lemma *image-fset-fset-fPow-eq*: $fset\ ' \ fset\ (fPow\ A) = Pow\ (fset\ A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma
assumes $\forall L \in \# C.\ count\ C\ L = 1$
shows $\exists C'.\ C = mset\text{-set}\ C'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fmember-fclss-no-dup-if*:
assumes $\forall L \in | C.\ atm\text{-of}\ L \preceq_B\ \beta$
shows $C \in | fclss\text{-no-dup}\ \beta$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $\mathcal{M}\text{-back} :: - \Rightarrow ('f, 'v)\ state \Rightarrow ('f, 'v)\ Term.term\ literal\ fset\ fset$
where

$\mathcal{M}\text{-back}\ \beta\ S = Abs\text{-fset}\ (fset\ (fclss\text{-no-dup}\ \beta) -$
 $Abs\text{-fset}\ ' \ set\text{-mset}\ ' \ grounding\text{-of-clss}\ (fset\ (state\text{-learned}\ S)))$

lemma *M-back-after-regular-backtrack*:

assumes
 $regular\text{-run}: (regular\text{-scl}\ N\ \beta)^{**}\ initial\text{-state}\ S0$ **and**
 $conflict: conflict\ N\ \beta\ S0\ S1$ **and**

resolution: $(\text{skip } N \beta \sqcup \text{factorize } N \beta \sqcup \text{resolve } N \beta)^{++} S1 Sn$ **and**
backtrack: $\text{backtrack } N \beta Sn Sn'$
defines $U \equiv \text{state-learned } Sn$
shows
 $\exists C \gamma. \text{state-conflict } Sn = \text{Some } (C, \gamma) \wedge$
 $\text{set-mset } (C \cdot \gamma) \notin \text{set-mset } \text{'grounding-of-cls } (fset N \cup fset U)$ **and**
 $\mathcal{M}\text{-back } \beta Sn' \mid \subset \mid \mathcal{M}\text{-back } \beta Sn$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

18.3 Regular SCL terminates

theorem *termination-regular-scl-invars*:

fixes
 $N :: ('f, 'v) \text{Term.term clause fset}$ **and**
 $\beta :: ('f, 'v) \text{Term.term}$
defines
 $\text{invars} \equiv \text{trail-atoms-lt } \beta \sqcap \text{trail-resolved-lits-pol} \sqcap \text{trail-lits-ground} \sqcap$
 $\text{initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict } N \sqcap \text{ground-closures} \sqcap \text{ground-false-closures}$
 \sqcap
 $\text{sound-state } N \beta \sqcap \text{almost-no-conflict-with-trail } N \beta \sqcap \text{regular-conflict-resolution}$
 $N \beta$
shows
 $\text{wfp-on } \{S. \text{invars } S\} (\text{regular-scl } N \beta)^{-1-1}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *termination-regular-scl*:

fixes
 $N :: ('f, 'v) \text{Term.term clause fset}$ **and**
 $\beta :: ('f, 'v) \text{Term.term}$
defines
 $\text{invars} \equiv \text{trail-atoms-lt } \beta \sqcap \text{trail-resolved-lits-pol} \sqcap \text{trail-lits-ground} \sqcap$
 $\text{initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict } N \sqcap \text{ground-closures} \sqcap \text{ground-false-closures}$
 \sqcap
 $\text{sound-state } N \beta \sqcap \text{almost-no-conflict-with-trail } N \beta \sqcap \text{regular-conflict-resolution}$
 $N \beta$
shows $\text{wfp-on } \{S. (\text{regular-scl } N \beta)^{**} \text{initial-state } S\} (\text{regular-scl } N \beta)^{-1-1}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *termination-projectable-strategy*:

fixes
 $N :: ('f, 'v) \text{Term.term clause fset}$ **and**
 $\beta :: ('f, 'v) \text{Term.term}$ **and**
 strategy **and** strategy-init **and** proj
assumes *strategy-restricts-regular-scl*:
 $\bigwedge S S'. \text{strategy}^{**} \text{strategy-init } S \implies \text{strategy } S S' \implies \text{regular-scl } N \beta (\text{proj } S)$
 (proj S) **and**
 $\text{initial-state: } \text{proj strategy-init} = \text{initial-state}$
shows $\text{wfp-on } \{S. \text{strategy}^{**} \text{strategy-init } S\} \text{strategy}^{-1-1}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *termination-strategy*:

fixes

$N :: ('f, 'v) \text{ Term.term clause fset}$ **and**

$\beta :: ('f, 'v) \text{ Term.term}$

assumes *strategy-restricts-regular-scl*: $\bigwedge S S'. \text{ strategy } S S' \implies \text{ regular-scl } N \beta$
 $S S'$

shows *wfp-on* $\{S. \text{ strategy}^{**} \text{ initial-state } S\}$ strategy^{-1-1}
<proof>

end

end

theory *Completeness*

imports

Correct-Termination

Termination

Functional-Ordered-Resolution-Prover.IsaFoR-Term

begin

lemma (**in** *scl-fol-calculus*) *regular-scl-run-derives-contradiction-if-unsat*:

fixes $N \beta \text{ gnd-}N$

defines

$\text{gnd-}N \equiv \text{grounding-of-clss } (\text{fset } N)$ **and**

$\text{gnd-}N\text{-lt-}\beta \equiv \{C \in \text{gnd-}N. \forall L \in \# C. \text{ atm-of } L \preceq_B \beta\}$

assumes

unsat: \neg *satisfiable* $\text{gnd-}N\text{-lt-}\beta$ **and**

run: $(\text{regular-scl } N \beta)^{**} \text{ initial-state } S$ **and**

no-more-step: $\nexists S'. \text{ regular-scl } N \beta S S'$

shows $\exists \gamma. \text{ state-conflict } S = \text{Some } (\{\#\}, \gamma)$
<proof>

theorem (**in** *scl-fol-calculus*)

fixes $N \beta \text{ gnd-}N$

defines

$\text{gnd-}N \equiv \text{grounding-of-clss } (\text{fset } N)$ **and**

$\text{gnd-}N\text{-lt-}\beta \equiv \{C \in \text{gnd-}N. \forall L \in \# C. \text{ atm-of } L \preceq_B \beta\}$

assumes *unsat*: \neg *satisfiable* $\text{gnd-}N\text{-lt-}\beta$

shows $\exists S. (\text{regular-scl } N \beta)^{**} \text{ initial-state } S \wedge$

$(\nexists S'. \text{ regular-scl } N \beta S S') \wedge$

$(\exists \gamma. \text{ state-conflict } S = \text{Some } (\{\#\}, \gamma))$

<proof>

lemma (**in** *scl-fol-calculus*) *no-infinite-down-chain*:

$\nexists Ss. \neg \text{ifinite } Ss \wedge \text{Lazy-List-Chain.chain } (\lambda S S'. \text{ regular-scl } N \beta S S') (\text{LCons initial-state } Ss)$

<proof>

theorem (**in** *scl-fol-calculus*) *completeness-wrt-bound*:

fixes $N \beta \text{ gnd-}N$
defines
 $\text{gnd-}N \equiv \text{grounding-of-clss } (fset \ N) \ \mathbf{and}$
 $\text{gnd-}N\text{-lt-}\beta \equiv \{C \in \text{gnd-}N. \forall L \in \# \ C. \text{atm-of } L \preceq_B \beta\}$
assumes $\text{unsat}: \neg \text{satisfiable } \text{gnd-}N\text{-lt-}\beta$
shows
 $\nexists Ss. \neg \text{lfinite } Ss \wedge \text{Lazy-List-Chain.chain } (\lambda S \ S'. \text{regular-scl } N \ \beta \ S \ S')$
 $(LCons \ \text{initial-state } Ss) \ \mathbf{and}$
 $\forall S. (\text{regular-scl } N \ \beta)^{**} \ \text{initial-state } S \longrightarrow (\nexists S'. \text{regular-scl } N \ \beta \ S \ S') \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists \gamma. \text{state-conflict } S = \text{Some } (\{\#\}, \gamma))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

locale $\text{compact-scl} =$
 $\text{scl-fol-calculus } \text{renaming-vars } (<) :: ('f :: \text{weighted}, 'v) \text{ term} \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) \text{ term} \Rightarrow$
 bool
for $\text{renaming-vars} :: 'v \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'v \Rightarrow 'v$
begin

theorem ex-bound-if-unsat :
fixes $N :: ('f, 'v) \text{ term clause fset}$
defines
 $\text{gnd-}N \equiv \text{grounding-of-clss } (fset \ N)$
assumes $\text{unsat}: \neg \text{satisfiable } \text{gnd-}N$
shows $\exists \beta. \neg \text{satisfiable } \{C \in \text{gnd-}N. \forall L \in \# \ C. \text{atm-of } L \leq \beta\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

end

theory Invariants
imports SCL-FOL
begin

The following lemma restate existing invariants in a compact, paper-friendly way.

lemma (in scl-fol-calculus) $\text{scl-state-invariants}$:

shows
 $\text{inv-trail-lits-ground}$:
 $\text{trail-lits-ground } \text{initial-state}$
 $\text{scl } N \ \beta \ S \ S' \Longrightarrow \text{trail-lits-ground } S \Longrightarrow \text{trail-lits-ground } S' \ \mathbf{and}$
 $\text{inv-trail-atoms-lt}$:
 $\text{trail-atoms-lt } \beta \ \text{initial-state}$
 $\text{scl } N \ \beta \ S \ S' \Longrightarrow \text{trail-atoms-lt } \beta \ S \Longrightarrow \text{trail-atoms-lt } \beta \ S' \ \mathbf{and}$
 $\text{inv-undefined-trail-lits}$:
 $\forall \Gamma' \ Ln \ \Gamma''. \text{state-trail } \text{initial-state} = \Gamma'' @ Ln \ \# \ \Gamma' \longrightarrow \neg \text{trail-defined-lit } \Gamma'$
 $(fst \ Ln)$
 $\text{scl } N \ \beta \ S \ S' \Longrightarrow$
 $(\forall \Gamma' \ Ln \ \Gamma''. \text{state-trail } S = \Gamma'' @ Ln \ \# \ \Gamma' \longrightarrow \neg \text{trail-defined-lit } \Gamma' (fst \ Ln))$

\implies
 $(\forall \Gamma' Ln \Gamma''. \text{state-trail } S' = \Gamma'' @ Ln \# \Gamma' \longrightarrow \neg \text{trail-defined-lit } \Gamma' (\text{fst } Ln))$ **and**
inv-ground-closures:
ground-closures initial-state
 $\text{scl } N \beta S S' \implies \text{ground-closures } S \implies \text{ground-closures } S'$ **and**
inv-ground-false-closures:
ground-false-closures initial-state
 $\text{scl } N \beta S S' \implies \text{ground-false-closures } S \implies \text{ground-false-closures } S'$ **and**
inv-trail-propagated-lits-wf:
 $\forall \mathcal{K} \in \text{set } (\text{state-trail } \text{initial-state}). \forall D K \gamma. \text{snd } \mathcal{K} = \text{Some } (D, K, \gamma) \longrightarrow \text{fst } \mathcal{K} = K \cdot l \gamma$
 $\text{scl } N \beta S S' \implies$
 $(\forall \mathcal{K} \in \text{set } (\text{state-trail } S). \forall D K \gamma. \text{snd } \mathcal{K} = \text{Some } (D, K, \gamma) \longrightarrow \text{fst } \mathcal{K} = K \cdot l \gamma) \implies$
 $(\forall \mathcal{K} \in \text{set } (\text{state-trail } S'). \forall D K \gamma. \text{snd } \mathcal{K} = \text{Some } (D, K, \gamma) \longrightarrow \text{fst } \mathcal{K} = K \cdot l \gamma)$ **and**
inv-trail-resolved-lits-pol:
trail-resolved-lits-pol initial-state
 $\text{scl } N \beta S S' \implies \text{trail-resolved-lits-pol } S \implies \text{trail-resolved-lits-pol } S'$ **and**
inv-initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict:
initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict N initial-state
 $\text{scl } N \beta S S' \implies \text{initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict } N S \implies \text{initial-lits-generalize-learned-trail-conflict } N S'$ **and**
inv-sound-state:
sound-state N beta initial-state
 $\text{scl } N \beta S S' \implies \text{sound-state } N \beta S \implies \text{sound-state } N \beta S'$
 <proof>

end

References

- [1] M. Bromberger, S. Schwarz, and C. Weidenbach. SCL(FOL) revisited, 2023.
- [2] A. Fiori and C. Weidenbach. SCL clause learning from simple models. In P. Fontaine, editor, *Automated Deduction – CADE 27*, volume 11716 of *Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence*, pages 233–249, Natal, Brazil, 2019. Springer.