

Haskell’s **Show**-Class in Isabelle/HOL*

Christian Sternagel René Thiemann

March 19, 2025

Abstract

We implemented a type-class for pretty-printing, similar to Haskell’s **Show**-class [1]. Moreover, we provide instantiations for Isabelle/HOL’s standard types like \mathbb{B} , *prod*, *sum*, \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z} , and \mathbb{Q} . It is further possible, to automatically derive “to-string” functions for arbitrary user defined datatypes similar to Haskell’s “**deriving Show**”.

Contents

1	Converting Arbitrary Values to Readable Strings	1
1.1	The Show-Law	2
1.2	Show-Functions for Characters and Strings	4
2	Instances of the Show Class for Standard Types	7
2.1	Displaying Polynomials	9
3	Show Based on String Literals	10
4	Show for Real Numbers – Interface	14
5	Show for Complex Numbers	15
6	Show Implemetation for Real Numbers via Rational Numbers	15

1 Converting Arbitrary Values to Readable Strings

A type class similar to Haskell’s **Show** class, allowing for constant-time concatenation of strings using function composition.

theory *Show*

*This research is supported by FWF (Austrian Science Fund) projects J3202 and P22767.

```

imports
  Main
  Deriving.Generator-Aux
  Deriving.Derive-Manager
begin

type-synonym
  shows = string  $\Rightarrow$  string

— show-functions with precedence
type-synonym
  'a showsp = nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  shows

```

1.1 The Show-Law

The "show law", $shows\text{-}prec\ p\ x\ (r\ @\ s) = shows\text{-}prec\ p\ x\ r\ @\ s$, states that show-functions do not temper with or depend on output produced so far.

named-theorems *show-law-simps* \langle simplification rules for proving the show law \rangle

named-theorems *show-law-intros* \langle introduction rules for proving the show law \rangle

definition *show-law* :: 'a shows_p \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool

where

show-law $s\ x \longleftrightarrow (\forall p\ y\ z. s\ p\ x\ (y\ @\ z) = s\ p\ x\ y\ @\ z)$

lemma *show-lawI*:

$(\bigwedge p\ y\ z. s\ p\ x\ (y\ @\ z) = s\ p\ x\ y\ @\ z) \Longrightarrow show\text{-}law\ s\ x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *show-lawE*:

$show\text{-}law\ s\ x \Longrightarrow (s\ p\ x\ (y\ @\ z) = s\ p\ x\ y\ @\ z \Longrightarrow P) \Longrightarrow P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *show-lawD*:

$show\text{-}law\ s\ x \Longrightarrow s\ p\ x\ (y\ @\ z) = s\ p\ x\ y\ @\ z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

class *show* =

fixes *shows-prec* :: 'a shows_p

and *shows-list* :: 'a list \Rightarrow shows

assumes *shows-prec-append* [*show-law-simps*]: $shows\text{-}prec\ p\ x\ (r\ @\ s) = shows\text{-}prec\ p\ x\ r\ @\ s$ **and**

shows-list-append [*show-law-simps*]: $shows\text{-}list\ xs\ (r\ @\ s) = shows\text{-}list\ xs\ r\ @\ s$

begin

abbreviation *shows* $x \equiv shows\text{-}prec\ 0\ x$

abbreviation *show* $x \equiv shows\ x\ ''''$

end

Convert a string to a show-function that simply prepends the string unchanged.

definition *shows-string* :: *string* \Rightarrow *shows*

where

shows-string = (@)

lemma *shows-string-append* [show-law-simps]:

shows-string *x* (*r* @ *s*) = *shows-string* *x* *r* @ *s*

\langle proof \rangle

fun *shows-sep* :: ('*a* \Rightarrow *shows*) \Rightarrow *shows* \Rightarrow '*a* list \Rightarrow *shows*

where

shows-sep *s* sep [] = *shows-string* "" |

shows-sep *s* sep [*x*] = *s* *x* |

shows-sep *s* sep (*x*#*xs*) = *s* *x* o sep o *shows-sep* *s* sep *xs*

lemma *shows-sep-append* [show-law-simps]:

assumes $\bigwedge r s. \forall x \in \text{set } xs. \text{shows } x (r @ s) = \text{shows } x r @ s$

and $\bigwedge r s. \text{sep } (r @ s) = \text{sep } r @ s$

shows *shows-sep* *shows* *sep* *xs* (*r* @ *s*) = *shows-sep* *shows* *sep* *xs* *r* @ *s*

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *shows-sep-map*:

shows-sep *f* sep (map *g* *xs*) = *shows-sep* (*f* o *g*) sep *xs*

\langle proof \rangle

definition

shows-list-gen :: ('*a* \Rightarrow *shows*) \Rightarrow *string* \Rightarrow *string* \Rightarrow *string* \Rightarrow *string* \Rightarrow '*a* list \Rightarrow *shows*

where

shows-list-gen *shows* *e* *l* *s* *r* *xs* =

(if *xs* = [] then *shows-string* *e*

else *shows-string* *l* o *shows-sep* *shows* (*shows-string* *s*) *xs* o *shows-string* *r*)

lemma *shows-list-gen-append* [show-law-simps]:

assumes $\bigwedge r s. \forall x \in \text{set } xs. \text{shows } x (r @ s) = \text{shows } x r @ s$

shows *shows-list-gen* *shows* *e* *l* sep *r* *xs* (*s* @ *t*) = *shows-list-gen* *shows* *e* *l* sep *r* *xs* *s* @ *t*

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *shows-list-gen-map*:

shows-list-gen *f* *e* *l* sep *r* (map *g* *xs*) = *shows-list-gen* (*f* o *g*) *e* *l* sep *r* *xs*

\langle proof \rangle

definition *pshowsp-list* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *shows* list \Rightarrow *shows*

where

pshowsp-list *p* *xs* = *shows-list-gen* id "" "[", " ", "]" *xs*

definition *showsp-list* :: '*a* showsp \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow '*a* list \Rightarrow *shows*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *o-append*:

$(\bigwedge x y. f (x @ y) = f x @ y) \implies g (x @ y) = g x @ y \implies (f \circ g) (x @ y) = (f \circ g) x @ y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

instantiation *list* :: (*show*) *show*
begin

definition *shows-prec* (*p* :: *nat*) (*xs* :: 'a *list*) = *shows-list xs*

definition *shows-list* (*xss* :: 'a *list list*) = *showsp-list shows-prec 0 xss*

instance

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

definition *shows-lines* :: 'a::*show list* \Rightarrow *shows*

where

shows-lines = *shows-sep shows shows-nl*

definition *shows-many* :: 'a::*show list* \Rightarrow *shows*

where

shows-many = *shows-sep shows id*

definition *shows-words* :: 'a::*show list* \Rightarrow *shows*

where

shows-words = *shows-sep shows shows-space*

lemma *shows-lines-append* [*show-law-simps*]:

shows-lines xs (r @ s) = shows-lines xs r @ s

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *shows-many-append* [*show-law-simps*]:

shows-many xs (r @ s) = shows-many xs r @ s

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *shows-words-append* [*show-law-simps*]:

shows-words xs (r @ s) = shows-words xs r @ s

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *shows-foldr-append* [*show-law-simps*]:

assumes $\bigwedge r s. \forall x \in \text{set } xs. \text{show } x (r @ s) = \text{show } x r @ s$

shows *foldr show xs (r @ s) = foldr show xs r @ s*

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma shows-sep-cong [fundef-cong]:
  assumes xs = ys and  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } ys \implies f\ x = g\ x$ 
  shows shows-sep f sep xs = shows-sep g sep ys
  <proof>

lemma shows-list-gen-cong [fundef-cong]:
  assumes xs = ys and  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } ys \implies f\ x = g\ x$ 
  shows shows-list-gen f e l sep r xs = shows-list-gen g e l sep r ys
  <proof>

lemma showsp-list-cong [fundef-cong]:
  xs = ys  $\implies p = q \implies$ 
   $(\bigwedge p\ x. x \in \text{set } ys \implies f\ p\ x = g\ p\ x) \implies \text{showsp-list } f\ p\ xs = \text{showsp-list } g\ q\ ys$ 
  <proof>

abbreviation (input) shows-cons :: string  $\Rightarrow$  shows  $\Rightarrow$  shows (infixr <+#+> 10)
where
  s +#+ p  $\equiv$  shows-string s  $\circ$  p

abbreviation (input) shows-append :: shows  $\Rightarrow$  shows  $\Rightarrow$  shows (infixr <+@+> 10)
where
  s +@+ p  $\equiv$  s  $\circ$  p

instantiation String.literal :: show
begin

definition shows-prec-literal :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  String.literal  $\Rightarrow$  string  $\Rightarrow$  string
  where shows-prec p s = shows-string (String.explode s)

definition shows-list-literal :: String.literal list  $\Rightarrow$  string  $\Rightarrow$  string
  where shows-list ss = shows-string (concat (map String.explode ss))

lemma shows-list-literal-code [code]:
  shows-list = foldr ( $\lambda s. \text{shows-string } (\text{String.explode } s)$ )
  <proof>

instance <proof>

end

  Don't use Haskell's existing "Show" class for code-generation, since it is
  not compatible to the formalized class.

code-reserved (Haskell) Show

end

```

2 Instances of the Show Class for Standard Types

theory *Show-Instances*

imports

Show

HOL.Rat

begin

definition *showsp-unit* :: *unit* *showsp*

where

showsp-unit *p* *x* = *shows-string* "()"

lemma *show-law-unit* [*show-law-intros*]:

show-law *showsp-unit* *x*

⟨*proof*⟩

abbreviation *showsp-char* :: *char* *showsp*

where

showsp-char ≡ *shows-prec*

lemma *show-law-char* [*show-law-intros*]:

show-law *showsp-char* *x*

⟨*proof*⟩

primrec *showsp-bool* :: *bool* *showsp*

where

showsp-bool *p* *True* = *shows-string* "True" |

showsp-bool *p* *False* = *shows-string* "False"

lemma *show-law-bool* [*show-law-intros*]:

show-law *showsp-bool* *x*

⟨*proof*⟩

primrec *pshowsp-prod* :: (*shows* × *shows*) *showsp*

where

pshowsp-prod *p* (*x*, *y*) = *shows-string* "(" o *x* o *shows-string* ", " o *y* o *shows-string* ")"

definition *showsp-prod* :: '*a* *showsp* ⇒ '*b* *showsp* ⇒ ('*a* × '*b*) *showsp*

where

[*code del*]: *showsp-prod* *s1* *s2* *p* = *pshowsp-prod* *p* o *map-prod* (*s1* 1) (*s2* 1)

lemma *showsp-prod-simps* [*simp*, *code*]:

showsp-prod *s1* *s2* *p* (*x*, *y*) =

shows-string "(" o *s1* 1 *x* o *shows-string* ", " o *s2* 1 *y* o *shows-string* ")"

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *show-law-prod* [*show-law-intros*]:

$(\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Basic-BNFs.fsts } y \implies \text{show-law } s1 \ x) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Basic-BNFs.snds } y \implies \text{show-law } s2 \ x) \implies$
 $\text{show-law } (\text{showsp-prod } s1 \ s2) \ y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *string-of-digit* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *string*

where

string-of-digit *n* =
 (if *n* = 0 then "0"
 else if *n* = 1 then "1"
 else if *n* = 2 then "2"
 else if *n* = 3 then "3"
 else if *n* = 4 then "4"
 else if *n* = 5 then "5"
 else if *n* = 6 then "6"
 else if *n* = 7 then "7"
 else if *n* = 8 then "8"
 else "9")

fun *showsp-nat* :: *nat* *showsp*

where

showsp-nat *p* *n* =
 (if *n* < 10 then *shows-string* (*string-of-digit* *n*)
 else *showsp-nat* *p* (*n* div 10) o *shows-string* (*string-of-digit* (*n* mod 10)))

declare *showsp-nat.simps* [*simp del*]

lemma *show-law-nat* [*show-law-intros*]:

show-law *showsp-nat* *n*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *showsp-nat-append* [*show-law-simps*]:

showsp-nat *p* *n* (*x* @ *y*) = *showsp-nat* *p* *n* *x* @ *y*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *showsp-int* :: *int* *showsp*

where

showsp-int *p* *i* =
 (if *i* < 0 then *shows-string* "-" o *showsp-nat* *p* (*nat* ($- i$)) else *showsp-nat* *p*
 (*nat* *i*))

lemma *show-law-int* [*show-law-intros*]:

show-law *showsp-int* *i*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *showsp-int-append* [*show-law-simps*]:

showsp-int *p* *i* (*x* @ *y*) = *showsp-int* *p* *i* *x* @ *y*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *showsp-rat* :: *rat* *showsp*


```

where
  showsp-rat p x =
    (case quotient-of x of (d, n) =>
      if n = 1 then showsp-int p d else showsp-int p d o shows-string "/" o showsp-int
        p n)

```

```

lemma show-law-rat [show-law-intros]:
  show-law showsp-rat r
  <proof>

```

```

lemma showsp-rat-append [show-law-simps]:
  showsp-rat p r (x @ y) = showsp-rat p r x @ y
  <proof>

```

Automatic show functions are not used for *unit*, *prod*, and numbers: for *unit* and *prod*, we do not want to display "*Unity*" and "*Pair*"; for *nat*, we do not want to display "*Suc (Suc (... (Suc 0) ...))*"; and neither *int* nor *rat* are datatypes.

<ML>

```

derive show option sum prod unit bool nat int rat

```

```

export-code
  shows-prec :: 'a::show option showsp
  shows-prec :: ('a::show, 'b::show) sum showsp
  shows-prec :: ('a::show × 'b::show) showsp
  shows-prec :: unit showsp
  shows-prec :: char showsp
  shows-prec :: bool showsp
  shows-prec :: nat showsp
  shows-prec :: int showsp
  shows-prec :: rat showsp
checking

```

```

end

```

2.1 Displaying Polynomials

We define a method which converts polynomials to strings and registers it in the Show class.

```

theory Show-Poly
imports
  Show-Instances
  HOL-Computational-Algebra.Polynomial
begin

```

```

fun show-factor :: nat => string where
  show-factor 0 = []

```

```
| show-factor (Suc 0) = "x"
| show-factor n = "x^" @ show n
```

fun show-coeff-factor **where**

```
  show-coeff-factor c n = (if n = 0 then show c else if c = 1 then show-factor n
    else show c @ show-factor n)
```

fun show-poly-main :: nat \Rightarrow 'a :: {zero,one,show} list \Rightarrow string **where**

```
  show-poly-main - [] = "0"
| show-poly-main n [c] = show-coeff-factor c n
| show-poly-main n (c # cs) = (if c = 0 then show-poly-main (Suc n) cs else
  show-coeff-factor c n @ " + " @ show-poly-main (Suc n) cs)
```

definition show-poly :: 'a :: {zero,one,show} poly \Rightarrow string **where**

```
  show-poly p = show-poly-main 0 (coeffs p)
```

definition showsp-poly :: 'a :: {zero,one,show} poly showsp

where

```
  showsp-poly p x = shows-string (show-poly x)
```

instantiation poly :: ({show,one,zero}) show

begin

definition shows-prec p (x :: 'a poly) = showsp-poly p x

definition shows-list (ps :: 'a poly list) = showsp-list shows-prec 0 ps

lemma show-law-poly [show-law-simps]:

```
  shows-prec p (a :: 'a poly) (r @ s) = shows-prec p a r @ s
  <proof>
```

instance <proof>

end

end

3 Show Based on String Literals

theory Shows-Literal

imports

Main

Show-Instances

begin

In this theory we provide an alternative to the *show*-class, where *String.literal* instead of *string* is used, with the aim that target-language readable strings are used in generated code. In particular when writing Isabelle functions that produce strings such as *STR "this is info for the user: ..."*, this class

might be useful.

To keep it simple, in contrast to *show*, here we do not enforce the show law.

type-synonym *showsl* = *String.literal* \Rightarrow *String.literal*

definition *showsl-of-shows* :: *shows* \Rightarrow *showsl* **where**
showsl-of-shows *shws* *s* = *String.implode* (*shws* []) + *s*

definition *showsl-lit* :: *String.literal* \Rightarrow *showsl* **where**
showsl-lit = (+)

definition *showsl-paren* *s* = *showsl-lit* (*STR* "(") *o s o* *showsl-lit* (*STR* ")")

fun *showsl-sep* :: ('*a* \Rightarrow *showsl*) \Rightarrow *showsl* \Rightarrow '*a list* \Rightarrow *showsl*
where
showsl-sep *s sep* [] = *showsl-lit* (*STR* ""') |
showsl-sep *s sep* [*x*] = *s x* |
showsl-sep *s sep* (*x#xs*) = *s x o sep o showsl-sep s sep xs*

definition
showsl-list-gen :: ('*a* \Rightarrow *showsl*) \Rightarrow *String.literal* \Rightarrow *String.literal*
 \Rightarrow *String.literal* \Rightarrow *String.literal* \Rightarrow '*a list* \Rightarrow *showsl*
where
showsl-list-gen *showslx e l s r xs* =
(if *xs* = [] then *showsl-lit e*
else *showsl-lit l o showsl-sep showslx (showsl-lit s) xs o showsl-lit r*)

definition *default-showsl-list* :: ('*a* \Rightarrow *showsl*) \Rightarrow '*a list* \Rightarrow *showsl* **where**
default-showsl-list *sl* = *showsl-list-gen* *sl* (*STR* "[]") (*STR* "[") (*STR* ", ") (*STR* "]"')
"

definition [code-unfold]: *char-zero* = (48 :: integer)

lemma *char-zero*: *char-zero* = *integer-of-char* (*CHR* "0") \langle proof \rangle

fun *lit-of-digit* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *String.literal* **where**
lit-of-digit *n* =
String.implode [*char-of-integer* (*char-zero* + *integer-of-nat n*)]

class *showl* =
fixes *showsl* :: '*a* \Rightarrow *showsl*
and *showsl-list* :: '*a list* \Rightarrow *showsl*

definition *showsl-lines desc-empty* = *showsl-list-gen* *showsl desc-empty* (*STR* ""')
(*STR* "[\leftarrow]"') (*STR* ""')

abbreviation *showl* **where** *showl x* \equiv *showsl x* (*STR* ""')

instantiation *char* :: *showl*

```

begin
definition showsl-char c = showsl-lit (String.implode [c]) — Shouldn't there be a
faster conversion than via strings?
definition showsl-list-char cs s = showsl-lit (String.implode cs) s
instance ⟨proof⟩
end

instantiation String.literal :: showl
begin
definition showsl (s :: String.literal) = showsl-lit s
definition showsl-list (xs :: String.literal list) = default-showsl-list showsl xs
instance ⟨proof⟩
end

instantiation bool :: showl
begin
definition showsl (b :: bool) = showsl-lit (if b then STR "True" else STR "False")

definition showsl-list (xs :: bool list) = default-showsl-list showsl xs
instance ⟨proof⟩
end

instantiation nat :: showl
begin
fun showsl-nat :: nat ⇒ showsl where
  showsl-nat n =
    (if n < 10 then showsl-lit (lit-of-digit n)
     else showsl-nat (n div 10) o showsl-lit (lit-of-digit (n mod 10)))
definition showsl-list (xs :: nat list) = default-showsl-list showsl xs
instance ⟨proof⟩
end

instantiation int :: showl
begin
definition showsl-int i =
  (if i < 0 then showsl-lit (STR "--") o showsl (nat (− i)) else showsl (nat i))
definition showsl-list (xs :: int list) = default-showsl-list showsl xs
instance ⟨proof⟩
end

instantiation integer :: showl
begin
definition showsl-integer :: integer ⇒ showsl where showsl-integer i = showsl
(int-of-integer i)
definition showsl-list-integer :: integer list ⇒ showsl where showsl-list-integer xs
= default-showsl-list showsl xs
instance ⟨proof⟩
end

```

```

instantiation rat :: showl
begin
definition showsl-rat x =
  (case quotient-of x of (d, n) =>
    if n = 1 then showsl d else showsl d o showsl-lit (STR "/"') o showsl n)
definition showsl-list (xs :: rat list) = default-showsl-list showsl xs
instance <proof>
end

instantiation unit :: showl
begin
definition showsl (x :: unit) = showsl-lit (STR "()")
definition showsl-list (xs :: unit list) = default-showsl-list showsl xs
instance <proof>
end

instantiation option :: (showl) showl
begin
fun showsl-option where
  showsl-option None = showsl-lit (STR "None")
  | showsl-option (Some x) = showsl-lit (STR "Some (') o showsl x o showsl-lit (STR ")")
definition showsl-list (xs :: 'a option list) = default-showsl-list showsl xs
instance <proof>
end

instantiation sum :: (showl,showl) showl
begin
fun showsl-sum where
  showsl-sum (Inl x) = showsl-lit (STR "Inl (') o showsl x o showsl-lit (STR ")")
  | showsl-sum (Inr x) = showsl-lit (STR "Inr (') o showsl x o showsl-lit (STR ")")

definition showsl-list (xs :: ('a + 'b) list) = default-showsl-list showsl xs
instance <proof>
end

instantiation prod :: (showl,showl) showl
begin
fun showsl-prod where
  showsl-prod (Pair x y) = showsl-lit (STR "("') o showsl x
    o showsl-lit (STR ", '") o showsl y o showsl-lit (STR ")")
definition showsl-list (xs :: ('a * 'b) list) = default-showsl-list showsl xs
instance <proof>
end

definition [code-unfold]: showsl-nl = showsl (STR "[<math>\leftrightarrow</math>"]')

```

definition *add-index* :: *showsl* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *showsl* **where**
add-index *s i* = *s o showsl-lit (STR "'.')* *o showsl i*

instantiation *list* :: (*showl*) *showl*

begin

definition *showsl-list* :: '*a list* \Rightarrow *showsl* **where**

showsl-list (*xs* :: '*a list*) = *showl-class.showsl-list xs*

definition *showsl-list-list* (*xs* :: '*a list list*) = *default-showsl-list showsl xs*

instance \langle *proof* \rangle

end

end

4 Show for Real Numbers – Interface

We just demand that there is some function from reals to string and register this as show-function. Implementations are available in one of the theories *Show-Real-Impl* and *../Algebraic-Numbers/Show-Real-....*

theory *Show-Real*

imports

HOL.Real

Show

Shows-Literal

begin

consts *show-real* :: *real* \Rightarrow *string*

definition *showsp-real* :: *real showsp*

where

showsp-real *p x y* =
(show-real x @ y)

lemma *show-law-real* [*show-law-intros*]:

show-law showsp-real r
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *showsp-real-append* [*show-law-simps*]:

showsp-real p r (x @ y) = *showsp-real p r x @ y*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

\langle *ML* \rangle

derive *show real*

instantiation *real* :: *showl*

begin

definition *showsl* (*x* :: *real*) = *showsl-lit (String.implode (show-real x))*

```

definition showsl-list (xs :: real list) = default-showsl-list showsl xs
instance  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
end

end

```

5 Show for Complex Numbers

We print complex numbers as real and imaginary parts. Note that by transitivity, this theory demands that an implementations for *show-real* is available, e.g., by using one of the theories *Show-Real-Impl* or *../Algebraic-Numbers/Show-Real-....*

```

theory Show-Complex
imports
  HOL.Complex
  Show-Real
begin

definition show-complex x = (
  let r = Re x; i = Im x in
  if (i = 0) then show-real r else if
  r = 0 then show-real i @ "i" else
  "(" @ show-real r @ "+" @ show-real i @ "i")

definition showsp-complex :: complex showsp
where
  showsp-complex p x y =
    (show-complex x @ y)

lemma show-law-complex [show-law-intros]:
  show-law showsp-complex r
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma showsp-complex-append [show-law-simps]:
  showsp-complex p r (x @ y) = showsp-complex p r x @ y
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\langle ML \rangle$ 

derive show complex
end

```

6 Show Implemetation for Real Numbers via Rational Numbers

We just provide an implementation for show of real numbers where we assume that real numbers are implemented via rational numbers.

```

theory Show-Real-Impl
imports
  Show-Real
  Show-Instances
begin

  We now define show-real.

overloading show-real  $\equiv$  show-real
begin
  definition show-real
    where show-real  $x \equiv$ 
      (if ( $\exists y. x = \text{Ratreal } y$ ) then show (THE  $y. x = \text{Ratreal } y$ ) else "Irrational")
  end

lemma show-real-code[code]: show-real (Ratreal  $x$ ) = show  $x$ 
  <proof>

end

  We provide two parsers for natural numbers and integers, which are
  verified in the sense that they are the inverse of the show-function for these
  types. We therefore also prove that the show-functions are injective.

theory Number-Parser
imports
  Show-Instances
begin

  We define here the bind-operations for option and sum-type. We do not
  import these operations from Certification-Monads.Strict-Sum and Parser-
  Monad, since these imports would yield a cyclic dependency of the two AFP
  entries Show and Certification-Monads.

definition obind where obind  $opt\ f = (\text{case } opt \text{ of } None \Rightarrow None \mid Some\ x \Rightarrow f\ x)$ 
definition sbind where sbind  $su\ f = (\text{case } su \text{ of } Inl\ e \Rightarrow Inl\ e \mid Inr\ r \Rightarrow f\ r)$ 

context begin

  A natural number parser which is proven correct:

definition nat-of-digit ::  $char \Rightarrow nat\ option$  where
  nat-of-digit  $x \equiv$ 
    if  $x = \text{CHR } "0"$  then Some 0
    else if  $x = \text{CHR } "1"$  then Some 1
    else if  $x = \text{CHR } "2"$  then Some 2
    else if  $x = \text{CHR } "3"$  then Some 3
    else if  $x = \text{CHR } "4"$  then Some 4
    else if  $x = \text{CHR } "5"$  then Some 5
    else if  $x = \text{CHR } "6"$  then Some 6
    else if  $x = \text{CHR } "7"$  then Some 7
    else if  $x = \text{CHR } "8"$  then Some 8

```


else if $x = \text{CHR } "9"$ then $\text{Some } 9$
 else None

private fun *nat-of-string-aux* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{string} \Rightarrow \text{nat option}$
where
 nat-of-string-aux $n \ [] = \text{Some } n \mid$
 nat-of-string-aux $n \ (d \# s) = (\text{obind } (\text{nat-of-digit } d) \ (\lambda m. \text{nat-of-string-aux } (10 * n + m) \ s))$

definition *nat-of-string* $s \equiv$
 case if $s = []$ then None else *nat-of-string-aux* $0 \ s$ of
 $\text{None} \Rightarrow \text{Inl } (\text{STR } \text{"cannot convert " + String.implode } s + \text{STR } \text{" to a number"})$
 $\mid \text{Some } n \Rightarrow \text{Inr } n$

private lemma *nat-of-string-aux-snoc*:
nat-of-string-aux $n \ (s @ [c]) =$
 $\text{obind } (\text{nat-of-string-aux } n \ s) \ (\lambda l. \text{obind } (\text{nat-of-digit } c) \ (\lambda m. \text{Some } (10 * l + m)))$
 <proof> **lemma** *nat-of-string-aux-digit*:
assumes $m10: m < 10$
shows *nat-of-string-aux* $n \ (s @ \text{string-of-digit } m) =$
 $\text{obind } (\text{nat-of-string-aux } n \ s) \ (\lambda l. \text{Some } (10 * l + m))$
 <proof> **lemmas** *shows-move* = *showsp-nat-append*[$\text{of } 0 - [], \text{simplified, folded shows-prec-nat-def}$]

private lemma *nat-of-string-aux-show*: *nat-of-string-aux* $0 \ (\text{show } m) = \text{Some } m$
 <proof>

lemma fixes $m :: \text{nat}$ **shows** *show-nonemp*: $\text{show } m \neq []$
 <proof>

The parser *nat-of-string* is the inverse of *show*.

lemma *nat-of-string-show[simp]*: *nat-of-string* $(\text{show } m) = \text{Inr } m$
 <proof>

end

We also provide a verified parser for integers.

fun *safe-head* **where** *safe-head* $[] = \text{None} \mid \text{safe-head } (x \# xs) = \text{Some } x$

definition *int-of-string* :: $\text{string} \Rightarrow \text{String.literal} + \text{int}$
where *int-of-string* $s \equiv$
 if *safe-head* $s = \text{Some } (\text{CHR } \text{"-"})$ then $\text{sbind } (\text{nat-of-string } (\text{tl } s)) \ (\lambda n. \text{Inr } (- \text{int } n))$
 else $\text{sbind } (\text{nat-of-string } s) \ (\lambda n. \text{Inr } (\text{int } n))$

definition *digits* :: char set **where**
digits = $\text{set } ("0123456789")$

lemma *set-string-of-digit*: $\text{set } (\text{string-of-digit } x) \subseteq \text{digits}$

<proof>

lemma *range-showsp-nat*: $\text{set } (\text{showsp-nat } p \ n \ s) \subseteq \text{digits} \cup \text{set } s$
<proof>

lemma *set-show-nat*: $\text{set } (\text{show } (n :: \text{nat})) \subseteq \text{digits}$
<proof>

lemma *int-of-string-show[simp]*: $\text{int-of-string } (\text{show } x) = \text{Inr } x$
<proof>

hide-const (**open**) *obind sbind*

Eventually, we derive injectivity of the show-functions for nat and int.

lemma *inj-show-nat*: $\text{inj } (\text{show} :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{string})$
<proof>

lemma *inj-show-int*: $\text{inj } (\text{show} :: \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{string})$
<proof>

end

References

- [1] P. Hudak, J. Peterson, and J. H. Fasel. A gentle introduction to Haskell. *SIGPLAN Notices*, 27(5), 1992. Original version at <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/130697.130698>, updated version at <https://www.haskell.org/tutorial/>.