

# Separation Algebra

Gerwin Klein and Rafal Kolanski and Andrew Boyton

May 26, 2024

## Abstract

We present a generic type class implementation of separation algebra for Isabelle/HOL as well as lemmas and generic tactics which can be used directly for any instantiation of the type class.

The ex directory contains example instantiations that include structures such as a heap or virtual memory.

The abstract separation algebra is based upon “Abstract Separation Logic” by Calcagno et al. These theories are also the basis of “Mechanised Separation Algebra” by the authors [1].

The aim of this work is to support and significantly reduce the effort for future separation logic developments in Isabelle/HOL by factoring out the part of separation logic that can be treated abstractly once and for all. This includes developing typical default rule sets for reasoning as well as automated tactic support for separation logic.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Abstract Separation Algebra</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Input syntax for lifting boolean predicates to separation predicates</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Associative/Commutative Monoid Basis of Separation Algebras</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Separation Algebra as Defined by Calcagno et al.</b>	<b>4</b>
4.1	Basic Construct Definitions and Abbreviations . . . . .	5
4.2	Disjunction/Addition Properties . . . . .	5
4.3	Substate Properties . . . . .	6
4.4	Separating Conjunction Properties . . . . .	6
4.5	Properties of <i>sep-true</i> and <i>sep-false</i> . . . . .	7
4.6	Properties of zero ( $\square$ ) . . . . .	8
4.7	Properties of top ( <i>sep-true</i> ) . . . . .	8
4.8	Separating Conjunction with Quantifiers . . . . .	9
4.9	Properties of Separating Implication . . . . .	9

4.10	Pure assertions . . . . .	10
4.11	Intuitionistic assertions . . . . .	11
4.12	Strictly exact assertions . . . . .	13
<b>5</b>	<b>Separation Algebra with Stronger, but More Intuitive Disjunction Axiom</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Folding separating conjunction over lists of predicates</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Separation Algebra with a Cancellative Monoid (for completeness)</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Standard Heaps as an Instance of Separation Algebra</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Separation Logic Tactics</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Selection (move-to-front) tactics</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>11</b>	<b>Substitution</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>12</b>	<b>Forward Reasoning</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>13</b>	<b>Backward Reasoning</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>14</b>	<b>Cancellation of Common Conjuncts via Elimination Rules</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>15</b>	<b>Example from HOL/Hoare/Separation</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>16</b>	<b>Test cases for <i>sep-cancel</i>.</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>17</b>	<b>More properties of maps plus map disjunction.</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>18</b>	<b>Things that could go into Option Type</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>19</b>	<b>Things that go into Map.thy</b>	<b>21</b>
19.1	Properties of maps not related to restriction . . . . .	22
19.2	Properties of map restriction . . . . .	23
<b>20</b>	<b>Things that should not go into Map.thy (separation logic)</b>	<b>25</b>
20.1	Definitions . . . . .	25
20.2	Properties of ( $'-$ ) . . . . .	25
20.3	Properties of map disjunction . . . . .	25
20.4	Map associativity-commutativity based on map disjunction . .	25
20.5	Basic properties . . . . .	26
20.6	Map disjunction and addition . . . . .	27
20.7	Map disjunction and map updates . . . . .	28
20.8	Map disjunction and ( $\subseteq_m$ ) . . . . .	28

20.9 Map disjunction and restriction . . . . .	29
<b>21 Separation Algebra for Virtual Memory</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>22 Abstract Separation Logic, Alternative Definition</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>23 Equivalence between Separation Algebra Formulations</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>24 Total implies Partial</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>25 Partial implies Total</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>26 A simplified version of the actual capDL specification.</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>27 Instantiating capDL as a separation algebra.</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>28 Defining some separation logic maps-to predicates on top of the instantiation.</b>	<b>53</b>

## 1 Abstract Separation Algebra

```
theory Separation-Algebra
imports Main
begin
```

This theory is the main abstract separation algebra development

## 2 Input syntax for lifting boolean predicates to separation predicates

```
abbreviation (input)
  pred-and :: ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool (infixr and 35) where
  a and b ≡ λs. a s ∧ b s
```

```
abbreviation (input)
  pred-or :: ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool (infixr or 30) where
  a or b ≡ λs. a s ∨ b s
```

```
abbreviation (input)
  pred-not :: ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool (not - [40] 40) where
  not a ≡ λs. ¬a s
```

```
abbreviation (input)
  pred-imp :: ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool (infixr imp 25) where
  a imp b ≡ λs. a s ⟶ b s
```

```
abbreviation (input)
```

*pred-K* :: 'b ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'b (<->) **where**  
 <f> ≡ λs. f

**abbreviation** (*input*)

*pred-ex* :: ('b ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool (**binder** *EXS* 10) **where**  
*EXS* x. P x ≡ λs. ∃x. P x s

**abbreviation** (*input*)

*pred-all* :: ('b ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool (**binder** *ALLS* 10) **where**  
*ALLS* x. P x ≡ λs. ∀x. P x s

### 3 Associative/Commutative Monoid Basis of Separation Algebras

**class** *pre-sep-algebra* = zero + plus +

fixes *sep-disj* :: 'a => 'a => bool (**infix** ## 60)

**assumes** *sep-disj-zero* [*simp*]: x ## 0

**assumes** *sep-disj-commuteI*: x ## y ⇒ y ## x

**assumes** *sep-add-zero* [*simp*]: x + 0 = x

**assumes** *sep-add-commute*: x ## y ⇒ x + y = y + x

**assumes** *sep-add-assoc*:

[[ x ## y; y ## z; x ## z ]] ⇒ (x + y) + z = x + (y + z)

**begin**

**lemma** *sep-disj-commute*: x ## y = y ## x

<*proof*>

**lemma** *sep-add-left-commute*:

**assumes** a: a ## b b ## c a ## c

**shows** b + (a + c) = a + (b + c) (**is** ?lhs = ?rhs)

<*proof*>

**lemmas** *sep-add-ac = sep-add-assoc sep-add-commute sep-add-left-commute*  
*sep-disj-commute*

**end**

### 4 Separation Algebra as Defined by Calcagno et al.

**class** *sep-algebra* = *pre-sep-algebra* +

**assumes** *sep-disj-addD1*: [[ x ## y + z; y ## z ]] ⇒ x ## y

**assumes** *sep-disj-addI1*: [[ x ## y + z; y ## z ]] ⇒ x + y ## z

**begin**

## 4.1 Basic Construct Definitions and Abbreviations

### definition

$sep\text{-}conj :: ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow bool)$  (**infixr** **\*\*** 35)  
**where**  
 $P ** Q \equiv \lambda h. \exists x y. x \#\# y \wedge h = x + y \wedge P x \wedge Q y$

### notation

$sep\text{-}conj$  (**infixr**  **$\wedge^*$**  35)

### definition

$sep\text{-}empty :: 'a \Rightarrow bool$  ( $\square$ ) **where**  
 $\square \equiv \lambda h. h = 0$

### definition

$sep\text{-}impl :: ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow bool)$  (**infixr**  **$\longrightarrow^*$**  25)  
**where**  
 $P \longrightarrow^* Q \equiv \lambda h. \forall h'. h \#\# h' \wedge P h' \longrightarrow Q (h + h')$

### definition

$sep\text{-}substate :: 'a \Rightarrow bool$  (**infix**  **$\preceq$**  60) **where**  
 $x \preceq y \equiv \exists z. x \#\# z \wedge x + z = y$

### abbreviation

$sep\text{-}true \equiv \langle True \rangle$

### abbreviation

$sep\text{-}false \equiv \langle False \rangle$

### definition

$sep\text{-}list\text{-}conj :: ('a \Rightarrow bool) list \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow bool)$  ( **$\wedge^*$**  - [60] 90) **where**  
 $sep\text{-}list\text{-}conj Ps \equiv foldl (**) \square Ps$

## 4.2 Disjunction/Addition Properties

**lemma** *disjoint-zero-sym* [*simp*]:  $0 \#\# x$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-add-zero-sym* [*simp*]:  $0 + x = x$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-disj-addD2*:  $\llbracket x \#\# y + z; y \#\# z \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \#\# z$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-disj-addD*:  $\llbracket x \#\# y + z; y \#\# z \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \#\# y \wedge x \#\# z$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-add-disjD*:  $\llbracket x + y \#\# z; x \#\# y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \#\# z \wedge y \#\# z$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-disj-addI2*:

$$\llbracket x \#\# y + z; y \#\# z \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x + z \#\# y$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-add-disjI1*:

$$\llbracket x + y \#\# z; x \#\# y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x + z \#\# y$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-add-disjI2*:

$$\llbracket x + y \#\# z; x \#\# y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow z + y \#\# x$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-disj-addI3*:

$$x + y \#\# z \Longrightarrow x \#\# y \Longrightarrow x \#\# y + z$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-disj-add*:

$$\llbracket y \#\# z; x \#\# y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \#\# y + z = x + y \#\# z$$

*<proof>*

### 4.3 Substate Properties

**lemma** *sep-substate-disj-add*:

$$x \#\# y \Longrightarrow x \preceq x + y$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-substate-disj-add'*:

$$x \#\# y \Longrightarrow x \preceq y + x$$

*<proof>*

### 4.4 Separating Conjunction Properties

**lemma** *sep-conjD*:

$$(P \wedge^* Q) h \Longrightarrow \exists x y. x \#\# y \wedge h = x + y \wedge P x \wedge Q y$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-conjE*:

$$\llbracket (P ** Q) h; \wedge x y. \llbracket P x; Q y; x \#\# y; h = x + y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow X \rrbracket \Longrightarrow X$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-conjI*:

$$\llbracket P x; Q y; x \#\# y; h = x + y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (P ** Q) h$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-conj-commuteI*:

$$(P ** Q) h \Longrightarrow (Q ** P) h$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-conj-commute*:

$(P ** Q) = (Q ** P)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-conj-assoc*:  
 $((P ** Q) ** R) = (P ** Q ** R)$  (**is** ?lhs = ?rhs)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-conj-impl*:  
 $\llbracket (P ** Q) h; \bigwedge h. P h \implies P' h; \bigwedge h. Q h \implies Q' h \rrbracket \implies (P' ** Q') h$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-conj-impl1*:  
assumes  $P: \bigwedge h. P h \implies I h$   
shows  $(P ** R) h \implies (I ** R) h$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-globalise*:  
 $\llbracket (P ** R) h; (\bigwedge h. P h \implies Q h) \rrbracket \implies (Q ** R) h$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-conj-trivial-strip2*:  
 $Q = R \implies (Q ** P) = (R ** P)$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *disjoint-subheaps-exist*:  
 $\exists x y. x \#\# y \wedge h = x + y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-conj-left-commute*:  
 $(P ** (Q ** R)) = (Q ** (P ** R))$  (**is** ?x = ?y)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *sep-conj-ac = sep-conj-commute sep-conj-assoc sep-conj-left-commute*

**lemma** *ab-semigroup-mult-sep-conj*: *class.ab-semigroup-mult* (\*\*)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-empty-zero* [*simp,intro!*]:  $\square 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 4.5 Properties of *sep-true* and *sep-false*

**lemma** *sep-conj-sep-true*:  
 $P h \implies (P ** sep-true) h$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-conj-sep-true'*:  
 $P h \implies (sep-true ** P) h$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-conj-true* [*simp*]:  
 $(sep\text{-true} ** sep\text{-true}) = sep\text{-true}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-conj-false-right* [*simp*]:  
 $(P ** sep\text{-false}) = sep\text{-false}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-conj-false-left* [*simp*]:  
 $(sep\text{-false} ** P) = sep\text{-false}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 4.6 Properties of zero ( $\square$ )

**lemma** *sep-conj-empty* [*simp*]:  
 $(P ** \square) = P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-conj-empty'* [*simp*]:  
 $(\square ** P) = P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-conj-sep-emptyI*:  
 $P h \implies (P ** \square) h$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-conj-sep-emptyE*:  
 $\llbracket P s; (P ** \square) s \implies (Q ** R) s \rrbracket \implies (Q ** R) s$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *monoid-add*: *class.monoid-add* ((**\*\***))  $\square$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *comm-monoid-add*: *class.comm-monoid-add* (**\*\***)  $\square$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 4.7 Properties of top (*sep-true*)

**lemma** *sep-conj-true-P* [*simp*]:  
 $(sep\text{-true} ** (sep\text{-true} ** P)) = (sep\text{-true} ** P)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-conj-disj*:  
 $((P \text{ or } Q) ** R) = ((P ** R) \text{ or } (Q ** R))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-conj-sep-true-left*:  
 $(P ** Q) h \implies (sep\text{-true} ** Q) h$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$



**lemma** *sep-conj-sep-true-right*:  
 $(P ** Q) h \implies (P ** \text{sep-true}) h$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 4.8 Separating Conjunction with Quantifiers

**lemma** *sep-conj-conj*:  
 $((P \text{ and } Q) ** R) h \implies ((P ** R) \text{ and } (Q ** R)) h$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-conj-exists1*:  
 $((\text{EXS } x. P x) ** Q) = (\text{EXS } x. (P x ** Q))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-conj-exists2*:  
 $(P ** (\text{EXS } x. Q x)) = (\text{EXS } x. P ** Q x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *sep-conj-exists = sep-conj-exists1 sep-conj-exists2*

**lemma** *sep-conj-spec*:  
 $((\text{ALLS } x. P x) ** Q) h \implies (P x ** Q) h$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 4.9 Properties of Separating Implication

**lemma** *sep-implI*:  
**assumes**  $a: \bigwedge h'. \llbracket h \#\# h'; P h' \rrbracket \implies Q (h + h')$   
**shows**  $(P \longrightarrow* Q) h$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-implD*:  
 $(x \longrightarrow* y) h \implies \forall h'. h \#\# h' \wedge x h' \longrightarrow y (h + h')$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-implE*:  
 $(x \longrightarrow* y) h \implies (\forall h'. h \#\# h' \wedge x h' \longrightarrow y (h + h') \implies Q) \implies Q$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-impl-sep-true [simp]*:  
 $(P \longrightarrow* \text{sep-true}) = \text{sep-true}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-impl-sep-false [simp]*:  
 $(\text{sep-false} \longrightarrow* P) = \text{sep-true}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-impl-sep-true-P*:  
 $(\text{sep-true} \longrightarrow* P) h \implies P h$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-impl-sep-true-false* [*simp*]:  
 $(sep\ true \longrightarrow^* sep\ false) = sep\ false$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-conj-sep-impl*:  
 $\llbracket P\ h; \bigwedge h. (P\ **\ Q)\ h \implies R\ h \rrbracket \implies (Q \longrightarrow^* R)\ h$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-conj-sep-impl2*:  
 $\llbracket (P\ **\ Q)\ h; \bigwedge h. P\ h \implies (Q \longrightarrow^* R)\ h \rrbracket \implies R\ h$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-conj-sep-impl-sep-conj2*:  
 $(P\ **\ R)\ h \implies (P\ **\ (Q \longrightarrow^* (Q\ **\ R)))\ h$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 4.10 Pure assertions

### definition

$pure :: ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
 $pure\ P \equiv \forall h\ h'. P\ h = P\ h'$

**lemma** *pure-sep-true*:  
 $pure\ sep\ true$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *pure-sep-false*:  
 $pure\ sep\ false$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *pure-split*:  
 $pure\ P = (P = sep\ true \vee P = sep\ false)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *pure-sep-conj*:  
 $\llbracket pure\ P; pure\ Q \rrbracket \implies pure\ (P\ \wedge^*\ Q)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *pure-sep-impl*:  
 $\llbracket pure\ P; pure\ Q \rrbracket \implies pure\ (P \longrightarrow^* Q)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *pure-conj-sep-conj*:  
 $\llbracket (P\ and\ Q)\ h; pure\ P \vee pure\ Q \rrbracket \implies (P\ \wedge^*\ Q)\ h$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *pure-sep-conj-conj*:  
 $\llbracket (P\ \wedge^*\ Q)\ h; pure\ P; pure\ Q \rrbracket \implies (P\ and\ Q)\ h$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *pure-conj-sep-conj-assoc*:

$\text{pure } P \implies ((P \text{ and } Q) \wedge^* R) = (P \text{ and } (Q \wedge^* R))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *pure-sep-impl-impl*:

$\llbracket (P \longrightarrow^* Q) \text{ h}; \text{pure } P \rrbracket \implies P \text{ h} \longrightarrow Q \text{ h}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *pure-impl-sep-impl*:

$\llbracket P \text{ h} \longrightarrow Q \text{ h}; \text{pure } P; \text{pure } Q \rrbracket \implies (P \longrightarrow^* Q) \text{ h}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *pure-conj-right*:  $(Q \wedge^* (\langle P' \rangle \text{ and } Q')) = (\langle P' \rangle \text{ and } (Q \wedge^* Q'))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *pure-conj-right'*:  $(Q \wedge^* (P' \text{ and } \langle Q' \rangle)) = (\langle Q' \rangle \text{ and } (Q \wedge^* P'))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *pure-conj-left*:  $((\langle P' \rangle \text{ and } Q') \wedge^* Q) = (\langle P' \rangle \text{ and } (Q' \wedge^* Q))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *pure-conj-left'*:  $((P' \text{ and } \langle Q' \rangle) \wedge^* Q) = (\langle Q' \rangle \text{ and } (P' \wedge^* Q))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *pure-conj* = *pure-conj-right* *pure-conj-right'* *pure-conj-left*  
*pure-conj-left'*

**declare** *pure-conj*[*simp add*]

## 4.11 Intuitionistic assertions

**definition** *intuitionistic* ::  $(a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**

*intuitionistic*  $P \equiv \forall h \ h'. P \text{ h} \wedge h \preceq h' \longrightarrow P \text{ h}'$

**lemma** *intuitionisticI*:

$(\bigwedge h \ h'. \llbracket P \text{ h}; h \preceq h' \rrbracket \implies P \text{ h}') \implies \text{intuitionistic } P$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *intuitionisticD*:

$\llbracket \text{intuitionistic } P; P \text{ h}; h \preceq h' \rrbracket \implies P \text{ h}'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *pure-intuitionistic*:

$\text{pure } P \implies \text{intuitionistic } P$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *intuitionistic-conj*:

$\llbracket \text{intuitionistic } P; \text{intuitionistic } Q \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{intuitionistic } (P \text{ and } Q)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *intuitionistic-disj*:

$\llbracket \text{intuitionistic } P; \text{intuitionistic } Q \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{intuitionistic } (P \text{ or } Q)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *intuitionistic-forall*:

$(\bigwedge x. \text{intuitionistic } (P x)) \Longrightarrow \text{intuitionistic } (\text{ALLS } x. P x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *intuitionistic-exists*:

$(\bigwedge x. \text{intuitionistic } (P x)) \Longrightarrow \text{intuitionistic } (\text{EXS } x. P x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *intuitionistic-sep-conj-sep-true*:

$\text{intuitionistic } (\text{sep-true } \wedge^* P)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *intuitionistic-sep-impl-sep-true*:

$\text{intuitionistic } (\text{sep-true } \longrightarrow^* P)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *intuitionistic-sep-conj*:

**assumes** *ip*:  $\text{intuitionistic } (P :: ('a \Rightarrow \text{bool}))$   
**shows**  $\text{intuitionistic } (P \wedge^* Q)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *intuitionistic-sep-impl*:

**assumes** *iq*:  $\text{intuitionistic } Q$   
**shows**  $\text{intuitionistic } (P \longrightarrow^* Q)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *strongest-intuitionistic*:

$\neg (\exists Q. (\forall h. (Q h \longrightarrow (P \wedge^* \text{sep-true}) h)) \wedge \text{intuitionistic } Q \wedge$   
 $Q \neq (P \wedge^* \text{sep-true}) \wedge (\forall h. P h \longrightarrow Q h))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *weakest-intuitionistic*:

$\neg (\exists Q. (\forall h. ((\text{sep-true} \longrightarrow^* P) h \longrightarrow Q h)) \wedge \text{intuitionistic } Q \wedge$   
 $Q \neq (\text{sep-true} \longrightarrow^* P) \wedge (\forall h. Q h \longrightarrow P h))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *intuitionistic-sep-conj-sep-true-P*:

$\llbracket (P \wedge^* \text{sep-true}) s; \text{intuitionistic } P \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P s$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *intuitionistic-sep-conj-sep-true-simp*:

$\text{intuitionistic } P \Longrightarrow (P \wedge^* \text{sep-true}) = P$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *intuitionistic-sep-impl-sep-true-P*:  
[[  $P$   $h$ ; intuitionistic  $P$  ]]  $\impl$  (*sep-true*  $\longrightarrow^* P$ )  $h$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *intuitionistic-sep-impl-sep-true-simp*:  
*intuitionistic*  $P \impl$  (*sep-true*  $\longrightarrow^* P$ ) =  $P$   
*<proof>*

## 4.12 Strictly exact assertions

**definition** *strictly-exact* :: ( $'a \impl \text{bool}$ )  $\impl \text{bool}$  **where**  
*strictly-exact*  $P \equiv \forall h h'. P h \wedge P h' \longrightarrow h = h'$

**lemma** *strictly-exactD*:  
[[ *strictly-exact*  $P$ ;  $P h$ ;  $P h'$  ]]  $\impl h = h'$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *strictly-exactI*:  
( $\bigwedge h h'. [[ P h; P h' ]] \impl h = h'$ )  $\impl$  *strictly-exact*  $P$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *strictly-exact-sep-conj*:  
[[ *strictly-exact*  $P$ ; *strictly-exact*  $Q$  ]]  $\impl$  *strictly-exact* ( $P \wedge^* Q$ )  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *strictly-exact-conj-impl*:  
[[ ( $Q \wedge^* \text{sep-true}$ )  $h$ ;  $P h$ ; *strictly-exact*  $Q$  ]]  $\impl$  ( $Q \wedge^* (Q \longrightarrow^* P)$ )  $h$   
*<proof>*

**end**

**interpretation** *sep*: *ab-semigroup-mult* (\*\*)  
*<proof>*

**interpretation** *sep*: *comm-monoid-add* (\*\*)  
*<proof>*

## 5 Separation Algebra with Stronger, but More Intuitive Disjunction Axiom

**class** *stronger-sep-algebra* = *pre-sep-algebra* +  
**assumes** *sep-add-disj-eq* [*simp*]:  $y \## z \impl x \## y + z = (x \## y \wedge x \## z)$   
**begin**

**lemma** *sep-disj-add-eq* [*simp*]:  $x \## y \impl x + y \## z = (x \## z \wedge y \## z)$

```

    <proof>
subclass sep-algebra <proof>
end

```

## 6 Folding separating conjunction over lists of predicates

```

lemma sep-list-conj-Nil [simp]:  $\bigwedge^* [] = \square$ 
  <proof>

```

```

lemma (in semigroup-add) foldl-assoc:
shows foldl (+) (x+y) zs = x + (foldl (+) y zs)
  <proof>

```

```

lemma (in monoid-add) foldl-absorb0:
shows x + (foldl (+) 0 zs) = foldl (+) x zs
  <proof>

```

```

lemma sep-list-conj-Cons [simp]:  $\bigwedge^* (x\#xs) = (x ** \bigwedge^* xs)$ 
  <proof>

```

```

lemma sep-list-conj-append [simp]:  $\bigwedge^* (xs @ ys) = (\bigwedge^* xs ** \bigwedge^* ys)$ 
  <proof>

```

```

lemma (in comm-monoid-add) foldl-map-filter:
  foldl (+) 0 (map f (filter P xs)) +
    foldl (+) 0 (map f (filter (not P) xs))
  = foldl (+) 0 (map f xs)
  <proof>

```

## 7 Separation Algebra with a Cancellative Monoid (for completeness)

Separation algebra with a cancellative monoid. The results of being a precise assertion (distributivity over separating conjunction) require this. although we never actually use this property in our developments, we keep it here for completeness.

```

class cancellative-sep-algebra = sep-algebra +
  assumes sep-add-cancelD:  $\llbracket x + z = y + z ; x \#\# z ; y \#\# z \rrbracket \implies x = y$ 
begin

```

```

definition

```

*precise* :: ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ bool **where**  
*precise* P = (∀ h hp hp'. hp ⚓ h ∧ P hp ∧ hp' ⚓ h ∧ P hp' ⟶ hp = hp')

**lemma** *precise* ((=) s)  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *sep-add-cancel*:  
 x ## z ⟹ y ## z ⟹ (x + z = y + z) = (x = y)  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *precise-distribute*:  
*precise* P = (∀ Q R. ((Q and R) ∧\* P) = ((Q ∧\* P) and (R ∧\* P)))  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *strictly-precise*: *strictly-exact* P ⟹ *precise* P  
 ⟨proof⟩

**end**

**end**

## 8 Standard Heaps as an Instance of Separation Algebra

**theory** *Sep-Heap-Instance*  
**imports** *Separation-Algebra*  
**begin**

Example instantiation of a the separation algebra to a map, i.e. a function from any type to 'a option.

**class** *opt* =  
**fixes** *none* :: 'a  
**begin**  
**definition** *domain* f ≡ {x. f x ≠ none}  
**end**

**instantiation** *option* :: (type) *opt*  
**begin**  
**definition** *none-def* [*simp*]: *none* ≡ None  
**instance** ⟨proof⟩  
**end**

**instantiation** *fun* :: (type, *opt*) *zero*  
**begin**  
**definition** *zero-fun-def*: 0 ≡ λs. none  
**instance** ⟨proof⟩  
**end**

**instantiation** *fun* :: (*type*, *opt*) *sep-algebra*  
**begin**

**definition**

*plus-fun-def*:  $m1 + m2 \equiv \lambda x. \text{if } m2\ x = \text{none then } m1\ x \text{ else } m2\ x$

**definition**

*sep-disj-fun-def*:  $\text{sep-disj } m1\ m2 \equiv \text{domain } m1 \cap \text{domain } m2 = \{\}$

**instance**

*<proof>*

**end**

For the actual option type *domain* and *+* are just *dom* and *++*:

**lemma** *domain-conv*:  $\text{domain} = \text{dom}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *plus-fun-conv*:  $a + b = a ++ b$

*<proof>*

**lemmas** *map-convs* = *domain-conv plus-fun-conv*

Any map can now act as a separation heap without further work:

**lemma**

**fixes** *h* :: (*nat* => *nat*) => 'foo *option*

**shows** (*P* \*\* *Q* \*\* *H*) *h* = (*Q* \*\* *H* \*\* *P*) *h*

*<proof>*

**end**

## 9 Separation Logic Tactics

**theory** *Sep-Tactics*

**imports** *Separation-Algebra*

**begin**

*<ML>*

A number of proof methods to assist with reasoning about separation logic.

## 10 Selection (move-to-front) tactics

*<ML>*



## 11 Substitution

*<ML>*

## 12 Forward Reasoning

*<ML>*

## 13 Backward Reasoning

*<ML>*

## 14 Cancellation of Common Conjuncts via Elimination Rules

**named-theorems** *sep-cancel*

The basic *sep-cancel-tac* is minimal. It only eliminates erule-derivable conjuncts between an assumption and the conclusion.

To have a more useful tactic, we augment it with more logic, to proceed as follows:

- try discharge the goal first using *tac*
- if that fails, invoke *sep-cancel-tac*
- if *sep-cancel-tac* succeeds
  - try to finish off with *tac* (but ok if that fails)
  - try to finish off with  $\lambda s. True$  (but ok if that fails)

*<ML>*

As above, but use *blast* with a depth limit to figure out where cancellation can be done.

*<ML>*

**end**

## 15 Example from HOL/Hoare/Separation

**theory** *Simple-Separation-Example*

**imports** *HOL-Hoare.Hoare-Logic-Abort* *../Sep-Heap-Instance*  
*../Sep-Tactics*

**begin**

**declare** *[[syntax-ambiguity-warning = false]]*

**type-synonym**  $heap = (nat \Rightarrow nat\ option)$

**definition**  $maps\ to :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow heap \Rightarrow bool$  ( $- \mapsto -$  [56,51] 56)  
where  $x \mapsto y \equiv \lambda h. h = [x \mapsto y]$

**notation**  $pred\ ex$  (**binder**  $\exists$  10)

**definition**  $maps\ to\ ex :: nat \Rightarrow heap \Rightarrow bool$  ( $- \mapsto -$  [56] 56)  
where  $x \mapsto - \equiv \exists y. x \mapsto y$

**lemma**  $maps\ to\ maps\ to\ ex$  [elim]:  
 $(p \mapsto v) s \Longrightarrow (p \mapsto -) s$   
{proof}

**lemma**  $maps\ to\ write$ :  
 $(p \mapsto - ** P) H \Longrightarrow (p \mapsto v ** P) (H (p \mapsto v))$   
{proof}

**lemma**  $points\ to$ :  
 $(p \mapsto v ** P) H \Longrightarrow the (H p) = v$   
{proof}

**primrec**

$list :: nat \Rightarrow nat\ list \Rightarrow heap \Rightarrow bool$   
**where**  
 $list\ i\ [] = (\langle i=0 \rangle \text{ and } \square)$   
 $| list\ i\ (x\ \#\ xs) = (\langle i=x \wedge i \neq 0 \rangle \text{ and } (EXS\ j. i \mapsto j ** list\ j\ xs))$

**lemma**  $list\ empty$  [simp]:  
**shows**  $list\ 0\ xs = (\lambda s. xs = [] \wedge \square s)$   
{proof}

**lemma**  $VARs$   $x\ y\ z\ w\ h$   
 $\{(x \mapsto y ** z \mapsto w) h\}$   
*SKIP*  
 $\{x \neq z\}$   
{proof}

**lemma**  $VARs$   $H\ x\ y\ z\ w$

```

{(P ** Q) H}
SKIP
{(Q ** P) H}
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma VARS H
{p≠0 ∧ (p ↦ - ** list q qs) H}
H := H(p ↦ q)
{list p (p#qs) H}
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma VARS H p q r
{(list p Ps ** list q Qs) H}
WHILE p ≠ 0
INV {∃ ps qs. (list p ps ** list q qs) H ∧ rev ps @ qs = rev Ps @ Qs}
DO r := p; p := the(H p); H := H(r ↦ q); q := r OD
{list q (rev Ps @ Qs) H}
⟨proof⟩

```

**end**

```

theory Sep-Tactics-Test
imports ../Sep-Tactics
begin

```

Substitution and forward/backward reasoning

```

typedecl p
typedecl val
typedecl heap

```

```

axiomatization where heap-sep-algebra: OFCLASS(heap, sep-algebra-class)
instance heap :: sep-algebra ⟨proof⟩

```

```

axiomatization
points-to :: p ⇒ val ⇒ heap ⇒ bool and
val :: heap ⇒ p ⇒ val
where
points-to: (points-to p v ** P) h ⇒ val h p = v

```

```

lemma
[[ Q2 (val h p); (K ** T ** blub ** P ** points-to p v ** P ** J) h ]]
⇒ Q (val h p) (val h p)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma
[[ Q2 (val h p); (K ** T ** blub ** P ** points-to p v ** P ** J) h ]]
⇒ Q (val h p) (val h p)

```

*<proof>*

**lemma**

$\llbracket Q2 (val\ h\ p); (K ** T ** blub ** P ** points-to\ p\ v ** P ** J)\ h \rrbracket$   
 $\implies Q (val\ h\ p) (val\ h\ p)$   
*<proof>*

**consts**

$update :: p \Rightarrow val \Rightarrow heap \Rightarrow heap$

**schematic-goal**

**assumes**  $a: \bigwedge P. (stuff\ p ** P)\ H \implies (other-stuff\ p\ v ** P)\ (update\ p\ v\ H)$   
**shows**  $(X ** Y ** other-stuff\ p\ ?v)\ (update\ p\ v\ H)$   
*<proof>*

Example of low-level rewrites

**lemma**  $\llbracket unrelated\ s ; (P ** Q ** R)\ s \rrbracket \implies (A ** B ** Q ** P)\ s$   
*<proof>*

Conjunct selection

**lemma**  $(A ** B ** Q ** P)\ s$   
*<proof>*

**lemma**  $\llbracket also\ unrelated; (A ** B ** Q ** P)\ s \rrbracket \implies unrelated$   
*<proof>*

## 16 Test cases for *sep-cancel*.

**lemma**

**assumes forward:**  $\bigwedge s\ g\ p\ v. A\ g\ p\ v\ s \implies AA\ g\ p\ s$   
**shows**  $\bigwedge xv\ yv\ P\ s\ y\ x\ s. (A\ g\ x\ yv ** A\ g\ y\ yv ** P)\ s \implies (AA\ g\ y ** sep-true)\ s$   
*<proof>*

**lemma**

**assumes forward:**  $\bigwedge s. generic\ s \implies instance\ s$   
**shows**  $(A ** generic ** B)\ s \implies (instance ** sep-true)\ s$   
*<proof>*

**lemma**  $\llbracket (A ** B)\ sa ; (A ** Y)\ s \rrbracket \implies (A ** X)\ s$   
*<proof>*

**lemma**  $\llbracket (A ** B)\ sa ; (A ** Y)\ s \rrbracket \implies (\lambda s. (A ** X)\ s)\ s$   
*<proof>*

**schematic-goal**  $\llbracket (B ** A ** C)\ s \rrbracket \implies (\lambda s. (A ** ?X)\ s)\ s$   
*<proof>*

**lemma**  
**assumes** *forward*:  $\bigwedge s. \text{generic } s \implies \text{instance } s$   
**shows**  $\llbracket (A ** B) s ; (\text{generic} ** Y) s \rrbracket \implies (X ** \text{instance}) s$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  
**assumes** *forward*:  $\bigwedge s. \text{generic } s \implies \text{instance } s$   
**shows**  $\text{generic } s \implies \text{instance } s$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  
**assumes** *forward*:  $\bigwedge s. \text{generic } s \implies \text{instance } s$   
**assumes** *forward2*:  $\bigwedge s. \text{instance } s \implies \text{instance2 } s$   
**shows**  $\text{generic } s \implies (\text{instance2} ** \text{sep-true}) s$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

## 17 More properties of maps plus map disjunction.

**theory** *Map-Extra*  
**imports** *Main*  
**begin**

A note on naming: Anything not involving heap disjunction can potentially be incorporated directly into `Map.thy`, thus uses  $m$  for map variable names. Anything involving heap disjunction is not really mergeable with `Map`, is destined for use in separation logic, and hence uses  $h$

## 18 Things that could go into Option Type

Misc option lemmas

**lemma** *None-not-eq*:  $(\text{None} \neq x) = (\exists y. x = \text{Some } y)$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *None-com*:  $(\text{None} = x) = (x = \text{None})$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Some-com*:  $(\text{Some } y = x) = (x = \text{Some } y)$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 19 Things that go into Map.thy

Map intersection: set of all keys for which the maps agree.

**definition**  
 $\text{map-inter} :: ('a \rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$  (**infixl**  $\cap_m$  70) **where**  
 $m_1 \cap_m m_2 \equiv \{x \in \text{dom } m_1. m_1 x = m_2 x\}$

Map restriction via domain subtraction

**definition**

*sub-restrict-map* :: ('a → 'b) => 'a set => ('a → 'b) (**infixl** '− 110)

**where**

$m \text{ '− } S \equiv (\lambda x. \text{ if } x \in S \text{ then None else } m \ x)$

**19.1 Properties of maps not related to restriction**

**lemma** *empty-forall-equiv*:  $(m = \text{Map.empty}) = (\forall x. m \ x = \text{None})$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *map-le-empty2* [*simp*]:  
 $(m \subseteq_m \text{Map.empty}) = (m = \text{Map.empty})$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *dom-iff*:  
 $(\exists y. m \ x = \text{Some } y) = (x \in \text{dom } m)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *non-dom-eval*:  
 $x \notin \text{dom } m \implies m \ x = \text{None}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *non-dom-eval-eq*:  
 $x \notin \text{dom } m = (m \ x = \text{None})$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *map-add-same-left-eq*:  
 $m_1 = m_1' \implies (m_0 ++ m_1 = m_0 ++ m_1')$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *map-add-left-cancelI* [*intro!*]:  
 $m_1 = m_1' \implies m_0 ++ m_1 = m_0 ++ m_1'$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *dom-empty-is-empty*:  
 $(\text{dom } m = \{\}) = (m = \text{Map.empty})$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *map-add-dom-eq*:  
 $\text{dom } m = \text{dom } m' \implies m ++ m' = m'$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *map-add-right-dom-eq*:  
 $\llbracket m_0 ++ m_1 = m_0' ++ m_1'; \text{dom } m_1 = \text{dom } m_1' \rrbracket \implies m_1 = m_1'$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *map-le-same-dom-eq*:  
 $\llbracket m_0 \subseteq_m m_1; \text{dom } m_0 = \text{dom } m_1 \rrbracket \implies m_0 = m_1$   
 ⟨proof⟩

## 19.2 Properties of map restriction

**lemma** *restrict-map-cancel*:

$$(m \mid' S = m \mid' T) = (dom\ m \cap S = dom\ m \cap T)$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-add-restricted-self* [simp]:

$$m ++ m \mid' S = m$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-add-restrict-dom-right* [simp]:

$$(m ++ m') \mid' dom\ m' = m'$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *restrict-map-UNIV* [simp]:

$$m \mid' UNIV = m$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *restrict-map-dom*:

$$S = dom\ m \implies m \mid' S = m$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *restrict-map-subdom*:

$$dom\ m \subseteq S \implies m \mid' S = m$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-add-restrict*:

$$(m_0 ++ m_1) \mid' S = ((m_0 \mid' S) ++ (m_1 \mid' S))$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-le-restrict*:

$$m \subseteq_m m' \implies m = m' \mid' dom\ m$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *restrict-map-le*:

$$m \mid' S \subseteq_m m$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *restrict-map-remerge*:

$$\llbracket S \cap T = \{\} \rrbracket \implies m \mid' S ++ m \mid' T = m \mid' (S \cup T)$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *restrict-map-empty*:

$$dom\ m \cap S = \{\} \implies m \mid' S = Map.empty$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-add-restrict-comp-right* [simp]:

$$(m \mid' S ++ m \mid' (UNIV - S)) = m$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-add-restrict-comp-right-dom* [simp]:

$$(m \upharpoonright' S ++ m \upharpoonright' (\text{dom } m - S)) = m$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-add-restrict-comp-left* [simp]:

$$(m \upharpoonright' (\text{UNIV} - S) ++ m \upharpoonright' S) = m$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *restrict-self-UNIV*:

$$m \upharpoonright' (\text{dom } m - S) = m \upharpoonright' (\text{UNIV} - S)$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-add-restrict-nonmember-right*:

$$x \notin \text{dom } m' \implies (m ++ m') \upharpoonright' \{x\} = m \upharpoonright' \{x\}$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-add-restrict-nonmember-left*:

$$x \notin \text{dom } m \implies (m ++ m') \upharpoonright' \{x\} = m' \upharpoonright' \{x\}$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-add-restrict-right*:

$$x \subseteq \text{dom } m' \implies (m ++ m') \upharpoonright' x = m' \upharpoonright' x$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *restrict-map-compose*:

$$\llbracket S \cup T = \text{dom } m ; S \cap T = \{\} \rrbracket \implies m \upharpoonright' S ++ m \upharpoonright' T = m$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-le-dom-subset-restrict*:

$$\llbracket m' \subseteq_m m ; \text{dom } m' \subseteq S \rrbracket \implies m' \subseteq_m (m \upharpoonright' S)$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-le-dom-restrict-sub-add*:

$$m' \subseteq_m m \implies m \upharpoonright' (\text{dom } m - \text{dom } m') ++ m' = m$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *subset-map-restrict-sub-add*:

$$T \subseteq S \implies m \upharpoonright' (S - T) ++ m \upharpoonright' T = m \upharpoonright' S$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *restrict-map-sub-union*:

$$m \upharpoonright' (\text{dom } m - (S \cup T)) = (m \upharpoonright' (\text{dom } m - T)) \upharpoonright' (\text{dom } m - S)$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *prod-restrict-map-add*:

$$\llbracket S \cup T = U ; S \cap T = \{\} \rrbracket \implies m \upharpoonright' (X \times S) ++ m \upharpoonright' (X \times T) = m \upharpoonright' (X \times U)$$

*<proof>*



## 20 Things that should not go into Map.thy (separation logic)

### 20.1 Definitions

Map disjunction

**definition**

$map\text{-}disj :: ('a \rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow bool$  (**infix**  $\perp$  51) **where**  
 $h_0 \perp h_1 \equiv dom\ h_0 \cap dom\ h_1 = \{\}$

**declare** *None-not-eq* [*simp*]

### 20.2 Properties of ( $'-$ )

**lemma** *restrict-map-sub-disj*:  $h \mid 'S \perp h \text{'- } S$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *restrict-map-sub-add*:  $h \mid 'S ++ h \text{'- } S = h$   
*<proof>*

### 20.3 Properties of map disjunction

**lemma** *map-disj-empty-right* [*simp*]:  
 $h \perp Map.empty$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-disj-empty-left* [*simp*]:  
 $Map.empty \perp h$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-disj-com*:  
 $h_0 \perp h_1 = h_1 \perp h_0$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-disjD*:  
 $h_0 \perp h_1 \implies dom\ h_0 \cap dom\ h_1 = \{\}$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-disjI*:  
 $dom\ h_0 \cap dom\ h_1 = \{\} \implies h_0 \perp h_1$   
*<proof>*

### 20.4 Map associativity-commutativity based on map disjunction

**lemma** *map-add-com*:  
 $h_0 \perp h_1 \implies h_0 ++ h_1 = h_1 ++ h_0$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-add-left-commute*:

$$h_0 \perp h_1 \implies h_0 ++ (h_1 ++ h_2) = h_1 ++ (h_0 ++ h_2)$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-add-disj*:

$$h_0 \perp (h_1 ++ h_2) = (h_0 \perp h_1 \wedge h_0 \perp h_2)$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-add-disj'*:

$$(h_1 ++ h_2) \perp h_0 = (h_1 \perp h_0 \wedge h_2 \perp h_0)$$

*<proof>*

We redefine ( $++$ ) associativity to bind to the right, which seems to be the more common case. Note that when a theory includes Map again, *map-add-assoc* will return to the simpset and will cause infinite loops if its symmetric counterpart is added (e.g. via *map-add-ac*)

**declare** *map-add-assoc* [*simp del*]

Since the associativity-commutativity of ( $++$ ) relies on map disjunction, we include some basic rules into the ac set.

**lemmas** *map-add-ac* =

$$\text{map-add-assoc[symmetric] map-add-com map-disj-com map-add-left-commute map-add-disj map-add-disj'}$$

## 20.5 Basic properties

**lemma** *map-disj-None-right*:

$$\llbracket h_0 \perp h_1 ; x \in \text{dom } h_0 \rrbracket \implies h_1 x = \text{None}$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-disj-None-left*:

$$\llbracket h_0 \perp h_1 ; x \in \text{dom } h_1 \rrbracket \implies h_0 x = \text{None}$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-disj-None-left'*:

$$\llbracket h_0 x = \text{Some } y ; h_1 \perp h_0 \rrbracket \implies h_1 x = \text{None}$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-disj-None-right'*:

$$\llbracket h_1 x = \text{Some } y ; h_1 \perp h_0 \rrbracket \implies h_0 x = \text{None}$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-disj-common*:

$$\llbracket h_0 \perp h_1 ; h_0 p = \text{Some } v ; h_1 p = \text{Some } v' \rrbracket \implies \text{False}$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-disj-eq-dom-left*:

$$\llbracket h_0 \perp h_1 ; \text{dom } h_0' = \text{dom } h_0 \rrbracket \implies h_0' \perp h_1$$

*<proof>*

## 20.6 Map disjunction and addition

**lemma** *map-add-eval-left*:

$\llbracket x \in \text{dom } h ; h \perp h' \rrbracket \implies (h ++ h') x = h x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *map-add-eval-right*:

$\llbracket x \in \text{dom } h' ; h \perp h' \rrbracket \implies (h ++ h') x = h' x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *map-add-eval-left'*:

$\llbracket x \notin \text{dom } h' ; h \perp h' \rrbracket \implies (h ++ h') x = h x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *map-add-eval-right'*:

$\llbracket x \notin \text{dom } h ; h \perp h' \rrbracket \implies (h ++ h') x = h' x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *map-add-left-dom-eq*:

**assumes** *eq*:  $h_0 ++ h_1 = h_0' ++ h_1'$   
**assumes** *etc*:  $h_0 \perp h_1 \ h_0' \perp h_1' \ \text{dom } h_0 = \text{dom } h_0'$   
**shows**  $h_0 = h_0'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *map-add-left-eq*:

**assumes** *eq*:  $h_0 ++ h = h_1 ++ h$   
**assumes** *disj*:  $h_0 \perp h \ h_1 \perp h$   
**shows**  $h_0 = h_1$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *map-add-right-eq*:

$\llbracket h ++ h_0 = h ++ h_1 ; h_0 \perp h ; h_1 \perp h \rrbracket \implies h_0 = h_1$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *map-disj-add-eq-dom-right-eq*:

**assumes** *merge*:  $h_0 ++ h_1 = h_0' ++ h_1'$  **and** *d*:  $\text{dom } h_0 = \text{dom } h_0'$  **and**  
*ab-disj*:  $h_0 \perp h_1$  **and** *cd-disj*:  $h_0' \perp h_1'$   
**shows**  $h_1 = h_1'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *map-disj-add-eq-dom-left-eq*:

**assumes** *add*:  $h_0 ++ h_1 = h_0' ++ h_1'$  **and**  
*dom*:  $\text{dom } h_1 = \text{dom } h_1'$  **and**  
*disj*:  $h_0 \perp h_1 \ h_0' \perp h_1'$   
**shows**  $h_0 = h_0'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *map-add-left-cancel*:

**assumes** *disj*:  $h_0 \perp h_1 \ h_0 \perp h_1'$   
**shows**  $(h_0 ++ h_1 = h_0 ++ h_1') = (h_1 = h_1')$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-add-lr-disj*:

$$\llbracket h_0 ++ h_1 = h_0' ++ h_1'; h_1 \perp h_1' \rrbracket \implies \text{dom } h_1 \subseteq \text{dom } h_0'$$

*<proof>*

## 20.7 Map disjunction and map updates

**lemma** *map-disj-update-left* [*simp*]:

$$p \in \text{dom } h_1 \implies h_0 \perp h_1(p \mapsto v) = h_0 \perp h_1$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-disj-update-right* [*simp*]:

$$p \in \text{dom } h_1 \implies h_1(p \mapsto v) \perp h_0 = h_1 \perp h_0$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-add-update-left*:

$$\llbracket h_0 \perp h_1 ; p \in \text{dom } h_0 \rrbracket \implies (h_0 ++ h_1)(p \mapsto v) = (h_0(p \mapsto v) ++ h_1)$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-add-update-right*:

$$\llbracket h_0 \perp h_1 ; p \in \text{dom } h_1 \rrbracket \implies (h_0 ++ h_1)(p \mapsto v) = (h_0 ++ h_1(p \mapsto v))$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-add3-update*:

$$\llbracket h_0 \perp h_1 ; h_1 \perp h_2 ; h_0 \perp h_2 ; p \in \text{dom } h_0 \rrbracket \\ \implies (h_0 ++ h_1 ++ h_2)(p \mapsto v) = h_0(p \mapsto v) ++ h_1 ++ h_2$$

*<proof>*

## 20.8 Map disjunction and ( $\subseteq_m$ )

**lemma** *map-le-override* [*simp*]:

$$\llbracket h \perp h' \rrbracket \implies h \subseteq_m h ++ h'$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-leI-left*:

$$\llbracket h = h_0 ++ h_1 ; h_0 \perp h_1 \rrbracket \implies h_0 \subseteq_m h \text{ } \langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

**lemma** *map-leI-right*:

$$\llbracket h = h_0 ++ h_1 ; h_0 \perp h_1 \rrbracket \implies h_1 \subseteq_m h \text{ } \langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

**lemma** *map-disj-map-le*:

$$\llbracket h_0' \subseteq_m h_0 ; h_0 \perp h_1 \rrbracket \implies h_0' \perp h_1$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-le-on-disj-left*:

$$\llbracket h' \subseteq_m h ; h_0 \perp h_1 ; h' = h_0 ++ h_1 \rrbracket \implies h_0 \subseteq_m h$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-le-on-disj-right*:

$\llbracket h' \subseteq_m h ; h_0 \perp h_1 ; h' = h_1 ++ h_0 \rrbracket \implies h_0 \subseteq_m h$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-le-add-cancel*:

$\llbracket h_0 \perp h_1 ; h_0' \subseteq_m h_0 \rrbracket \implies h_0' ++ h_1 \subseteq_m h_0 ++ h_1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-le-override-bothD*:

**assumes** *subm*:  $h_0' ++ h_1 \subseteq_m h_0 ++ h_1$

**assumes** *disj'*:  $h_0' \perp h_1$

**assumes** *disj*:  $h_0 \perp h_1$

**shows**  $h_0' \subseteq_m h_0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-le-conv*:

$(h_0' \subseteq_m h_0 \wedge h_0' \neq h_0) = (\exists h_1. h_0 = h_0' ++ h_1 \wedge h_0' \perp h_1 \wedge h_0' \neq h_0)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-le-conv2*:

$h_0' \subseteq_m h_0 = (\exists h_1. h_0 = h_0' ++ h_1 \wedge h_0' \perp h_1)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

## 20.9 Map disjunction and restriction

**lemma** *map-disj-comp* [*simp*]:

$h_0 \perp h_1 \mid' (UNIV - \text{dom } h_0)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *restrict-map-disj*:

$S \cap T = \{\} \implies h \mid' S \perp h \mid' T$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-disj-restrict-dom* [*simp*]:

$h_0 \perp h_1 \mid' (\text{dom } h_1 - \text{dom } h_0)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *restrict-map-disj-dom-empty*:

$h \perp h' \implies h \mid' \text{dom } h' = \text{Map.empty}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *restrict-map-univ-disj-eq*:

$h \perp h' \implies h \mid' (UNIV - \text{dom } h') = h$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *restrict-map-disj-dom*:

$h_0 \perp h_1 \implies h \mid' \text{dom } h_0 \perp h \mid' \text{dom } h_1$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-add-restrict-dom-left*:

$h \perp h' \implies (h ++ h') \mid' \text{dom } h = h$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *map-add-restrict-dom-left'*:

$h \perp h' \implies S = \text{dom } h \implies (h ++ h') \mid' S = h$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *restrict-map-disj-left*:

$h_0 \perp h_1 \implies h_0 \mid' S \perp h_1$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *restrict-map-disj-right*:

$h_0 \perp h_1 \implies h_0 \perp h_1 \mid' S$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemmas** *restrict-map-disj-both = restrict-map-disj-right restrict-map-disj-left*

**lemma** *map-dom-disj-restrict-right*:

$h_0 \perp h_1 \implies (h_0 ++ h_0') \mid' \text{dom } h_1 = h_0' \mid' \text{dom } h_1$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *restrict-map-on-disj*:

$h_0' \perp h_1 \implies h_0 \mid' \text{dom } h_0' \perp h_1$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *restrict-map-on-disj'*:

$h_0 \perp h_1 \implies h_0 \perp h_1 \mid' S$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *map-le-sub-dom*:

$\llbracket h_0 ++ h_1 \subseteq_m h ; h_0 \perp h_1 \rrbracket \implies h_0 \subseteq_m h \mid' (\text{dom } h - \text{dom } h_1)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *map-submap-break*:

$\llbracket h \subseteq_m h' \rrbracket \implies h' = (h' \mid' (\text{UNIV} - \text{dom } h)) ++ h$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *map-add-disj-restrict-both*:

$\llbracket h_0 \perp h_1 ; S \cap S' = \{\}; T \cap T' = \{\} \rrbracket$   
 $\implies (h_0 \mid' S) ++ (h_1 \mid' T) \perp (h_0 \mid' S') ++ (h_1 \mid' T')$   
 ⟨proof⟩

end

## 21 Separation Algebra for Virtual Memory

**theory** *VM-Example*

**imports** *../Sep-Tactics ../Map-Extra*

**begin**

Example instantiation of the abstract separation algebra to the sliced-memory model used for building a separation logic in “Verification of Programs in Virtual Memory Using Separation Logic” (PhD Thesis) by Rafal Kolanski.

We wrap up the concept of physical and virtual pointers as well as value (usually a byte), and the page table root, into a datatype for instantiation. This avoids having to produce a hierarchy of type classes.

The result is more general than the original. It does not mention the types of pointers or virtual memory addresses. Instead of supporting only singleton page table roots, we now support sets so we can identify a single 0 for the monoid. This models multiple page tables in memory, whereas the original logic was only capable of one at a time.

```
datatype ('p,'v,'value,'r) vm-sep-state
  = VMSepState (((p × v) → value) × r set)
```

```
instantiation vm-sep-state :: (type, type, type, type) sep-algebra
begin
```

```
fun
  vm-heap :: ('a,'b,'c,'d) vm-sep-state ⇒ (('a × 'b) → 'c) where
  vm-heap (VMSepState (h,r)) = h
```

```
fun
  vm-root :: ('a,'b,'c,'d) vm-sep-state ⇒ 'd set where
  vm-root (VMSepState (h,r)) = r
```

```
definition
  sep-disj-vm-sep-state :: ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) vm-sep-state
    ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) vm-sep-state ⇒ bool where
  sep-disj-vm-sep-state x y = vm-heap x ⊥ vm-heap y
```

```
definition
  zero-vm-sep-state :: ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) vm-sep-state where
  zero-vm-sep-state ≡ VMSepState (Map.empty, {})
```

```
fun
  plus-vm-sep-state :: ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) vm-sep-state
    ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) vm-sep-state
    ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) vm-sep-state where
  plus-vm-sep-state (VMSepState (x,r)) (VMSepState (y,r'))
    = VMSepState (x ++ y, r ∪ r')
```

```
instance
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

end

## 22 Abstract Separation Logic, Alternative Definition

**theory** *Separation-Algebra-Alt*  
**imports** *Main*  
**begin**

This theory contains an alternative definition of separation algebra, following Calcagno et al very closely. While some of the abstract development is more algebraic, it is cumbersome to instantiate. We only use it to prove equivalence and to give an impression of how it would look like.

**no-notation** *map-add* (**infixl** ++ 100)

**definition**

$lift2 :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c \text{ option}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ option} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ option} \Rightarrow 'c \text{ option}$

**where**

$lift2\ f\ a\ b \equiv \text{case } (a,b) \text{ of } (Some\ a,\ Some\ b) \Rightarrow f\ a\ b \mid - \Rightarrow None$

**class** *sep-algebra-alt* = zero +  
**fixes** *add* :: '*a* => '*a* => '*a* option (**infixr**  $\oplus$  65)

**assumes** *add-zero* [*simp*]:  $x \oplus 0 = Some\ x$

**assumes** *add-comm*:  $x \oplus y = y \oplus x$

**assumes** *add-assoc*:  $lift2\ add\ a\ (lift2\ add\ b\ c) = lift2\ add\ (lift2\ add\ a\ b)\ c$

**begin**

**definition**

$disjoint :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$  (**infix** ## 60)

**where**

$a\ ##\ b \equiv a \oplus b \neq None$

**lemma** *disj-com*:  $x\ ##\ y = y\ ##\ x$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *disj-zero* [*simp*]:  $x\ ##\ 0$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *disj-zero2* [*simp*]:  $0\ ##\ x$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *add-zero2* [*simp*]:  $0 \oplus x = Some\ x$

*<proof>*

**definition**



*substate* :: 'a => 'a => bool (**infix**  $\preceq$  60) **where**  
 $a \preceq b \equiv \exists c. a \oplus c = \text{Some } b$

**definition**

*sep-conj* :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool) (**infixl** \*\* 61)  
**where**  
 $P ** Q \equiv \lambda s. \exists p q. p \oplus q = \text{Some } s \wedge P p \wedge Q q$

**definition** *emp* :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool ( $\square$ ) **where**

$\square \equiv \lambda s. s = 0$

**definition**

*sep-impl* :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool) (**infixr**  $\longrightarrow^*$  25)  
**where**  
 $P \longrightarrow^* Q \equiv \lambda h. \forall h' h''. h \oplus h' = \text{Some } h'' \wedge P h' \longrightarrow Q h''$

**definition** (**in** -)

*sep-true*  $\equiv \lambda s. \text{True}$

**definition** (**in** -)

*sep-false*  $\equiv \lambda s. \text{False}$

**abbreviation**

*add2* :: 'a option => 'a option => 'a option (**infixr** ++ 65)  
**where**  
 $add2 == \text{lift2 } add$

**lemma** *add2-comm*:

$a ++ b = b ++ a$   
(*proof*)

**lemma** *add2-None* [*simp*]:

$x ++ \text{None} = \text{None}$   
(*proof*)

**lemma** *None-add2* [*simp*]:

$\text{None} ++ x = \text{None}$   
(*proof*)

**lemma** *add2-Some-Some*:

$\text{Some } x ++ \text{Some } y = x \oplus y$   
(*proof*)

**lemma** *add2-zero* [*simp*]:

$\text{Some } x ++ \text{Some } 0 = \text{Some } x$   
(*proof*)

**lemma** *zero-add2* [*simp*]:  
*Some 0 ++ Some x = Some x*  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *sep-conjE*:  
 $\llbracket (P ** Q) s; \bigwedge p q. \llbracket P p; Q q; p \oplus q = \text{Some } s \rrbracket \implies X \rrbracket \implies X$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *sep-conjI*:  
 $\llbracket P p; Q q; p \oplus q = \text{Some } s \rrbracket \implies (P ** Q) s$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *sep-conj-comI*:  
 $(P ** Q) s \implies (Q ** P) s$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *sep-conj-com*:  
 $P ** Q = Q ** P$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *lift-to-add2*:  
 $\llbracket z \oplus q = \text{Some } s; x \oplus y = \text{Some } q \rrbracket \implies \text{Some } z ++ \text{Some } x ++ \text{Some } y = \text{Some } s$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *lift-to-add2'*:  
 $\llbracket q \oplus z = \text{Some } s; x \oplus y = \text{Some } q \rrbracket \implies (\text{Some } x ++ \text{Some } y) ++ \text{Some } z = \text{Some } s$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *add2-Some*:  
 $(x ++ \text{Some } y = \text{Some } z) = (\exists x'. x = \text{Some } x' \wedge x' \oplus y = \text{Some } z)$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *Some-add2*:  
 $(\text{Some } x ++ y = \text{Some } z) = (\exists y'. y = \text{Some } y' \wedge x \oplus y' = \text{Some } z)$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *sep-conj-assoc*:  
 $P ** (Q ** R) = (P ** Q) ** R$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** (**in**  $-$ ) *sep-true*[*simp*]: *sep-true s* ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** (**in**  $-$ ) *sep-false*[*simp*]:  $\neg \text{sep-false } x$  ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *sep-conj-sep-true*:  
 $P s \implies (P ** \text{sep-true}) s$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *sep-conj-sep-true'*:

$$P s \implies (\text{sep-true} ** P) s$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *disjoint-submaps-exist*:

$$\exists h_0 h_1. h_0 \oplus h_1 = \text{Some } h$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-conj-true[simp]*:

$$(\text{sep-true} ** \text{sep-true}) = \text{sep-true}$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-conj-false-right[simp]*:

$$(P ** \text{sep-false}) = \text{sep-false}$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-conj-false-left[simp]*:

$$(\text{sep-false} ** P) = \text{sep-false}$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-conj-left-com*:

$$(P ** (Q ** R)) = (Q ** (P ** R)) \text{ (is } ?x = ?y)$$

*<proof>*

**lemmas** *sep-conj-ac = sep-conj-com sep-conj-assoc sep-conj-left-com*

**lemma** *empty-empty[simp]*:  $\square 0$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-conj-empty[simp]*:

$$(P ** \square) = P$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-conj-empty'[simp]*:

$$(\square ** P) = P$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-conj-sep-emptyI*:

$$P s \implies (P ** \square) s$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-conj-true-P[simp]*:

$$(\text{sep-true} ** (\text{sep-true} ** P)) = (\text{sep-true} ** P)$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-conj-disj*:

$$((\lambda s. P s \vee Q s) ** R) s = ((P ** R) s \vee (Q ** R) s) \text{ (is } ?x = (?y \vee ?z))$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-conj-conj*:

$$((\lambda s. P s \wedge Q s) ** R) s \implies (P ** R) s \wedge (Q ** R) s$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-conj-exists1*:

$$((\lambda s. \exists x. P x s) ** Q) s = (\exists x. (P x ** Q) s)$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-conj-exists2*:

$$(P ** (\lambda s. \exists x. Q x s)) = (\lambda s. (\exists x. (P ** Q x) s))$$

*<proof>*

**lemmas** *sep-conj-exists = sep-conj-exists1 sep-conj-exists2*

**lemma** *sep-conj-forall*:

$$((\lambda s. \forall x. P x s) ** Q) s \implies (P x ** Q) s$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-conj-impl*:

$$\llbracket (P ** Q) s; \bigwedge s. P s \implies P' s; \bigwedge s. Q s \implies Q' s \rrbracket \implies (P' ** Q') s$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-conj-impl1*:

**assumes**  $P: \bigwedge s. P s \implies I s$   
**shows**  $(P ** R) s \implies (I ** R) s$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-conj-sep-true-left*:

$$(P ** Q) s \implies (sep-true ** Q) s$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-conj-sep-true-right*:

$$(P ** Q) s \implies (P ** sep-true) s$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-globalise*:

$$\llbracket (P ** R) s; \bigwedge s. P s \implies Q s \rrbracket \implies (Q ** R) s$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-implI*:

**assumes**  $a: \bigwedge h' h''. \llbracket h \oplus h' = \text{Some } h''; P h' \rrbracket \implies Q h''$   
**shows**  $(P \longrightarrow^* Q) h$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-implD*:

$$(x \longrightarrow^* y) h \implies \forall h' h''. h \oplus h' = \text{Some } h'' \wedge x h' \longrightarrow y h''$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *sep-impl-sep-true*[simp]:  
 $(P \longrightarrow^* \text{sep-true}) = \text{sep-true}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-impl-sep-false*[simp]:  
 $(\text{sep-false} \longrightarrow^* P) = \text{sep-true}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-impl-sep-true-P*:  
 $(\text{sep-true} \longrightarrow^* P) s \implies P s$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-impl-sep-true-false*[simp]:  
 $(\text{sep-true} \longrightarrow^* \text{sep-false}) = \text{sep-false}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-conj-sep-impl*:  
 $\llbracket P s; \bigwedge s. (P ** Q) s \implies R s \rrbracket \implies (Q \longrightarrow^* R) s$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-conj-sep-impl2*:  
 $\llbracket (P ** Q) s; \bigwedge s. P s \implies (Q \longrightarrow^* R) s \rrbracket \implies R s$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-conj-sep-impl-sep-conj2*:  
 $(P ** R) s \implies (P ** (Q \longrightarrow^* (Q ** R))) s$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-conj-triv-strip2*:  
 $Q = R \implies (Q ** P) = (R ** P) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

end

## 23 Equivalence between Separation Algebra Formulations

**theory** *Sep-Eq*  
**imports** *Separation-Algebra Separation-Algebra-Alt*  
**begin**

In this theory we show that our total formulation of separation algebra is equivalent in strength to Calcagno et al's original partial one.

This theory is not intended to be included in own developments.

**no-notation** *map-add* (**infixl** ++ 100)

## 24 Total implies Partial

**definition**  $add2 :: 'a::sep-algebra \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$  option **where**  
 $add2\ x\ y \equiv$  if  $x \#\# y$  then  $Some\ (x + y)$  else  $None$

**lemma**  $add2-zero$ :  $add2\ x\ 0 = Some\ x$   
(proof)

**lemma**  $add2-comm$ :  $add2\ x\ y = add2\ y\ x$   
(proof)

**lemma**  $add2-assoc$ :  
 $lift2\ add2\ a\ (lift2\ add2\ b\ c) = lift2\ add2\ (lift2\ add2\ a\ b)\ c$   
(proof)

**interpretation**  $total-partial$ :  $sep-algebra-alt\ 0\ add2$   
(proof)

## 25 Partial implies Total

**definition**  
 $sep-add' :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a :: sep-algebra-alt$  **where**  
 $sep-add'\ x\ y \equiv$  if disjoint  $x\ y$  then the  $(add\ x\ y)$  else undefined

**lemma**  $sep-disj-zero'$ :  
 $disjoint\ x\ 0$   
(proof)

**lemma**  $sep-disj-commuteI'$ :  
 $disjoint\ x\ y \implies disjoint\ y\ x$   
(proof)

**lemma**  $sep-add-zero'$ :  
 $sep-add'\ x\ 0 = x$   
(proof)

**lemma**  $sep-add-commute'$ :  
 $disjoint\ x\ y \implies sep-add'\ x\ y = sep-add'\ y\ x$   
(proof)

**lemma**  $sep-add-assoc'$ :  
 $\llbracket disjoint\ x\ y; disjoint\ y\ z; disjoint\ x\ z \rrbracket \implies$   
 $sep-add'\ (sep-add'\ x\ y)\ z = sep-add'\ x\ (sep-add'\ y\ z)$   
(proof)

**lemma**  $sep-disj-addD1'$ :  
 $disjoint\ x\ (sep-add'\ y\ z) \implies disjoint\ y\ z \implies disjoint\ x\ y$   
(proof)

```

lemma sep-disj-addI1':
  disjoint x (sep-add' y z)  $\implies$  disjoint y z  $\implies$  disjoint (sep-add' x y) z
  <proof>

interpretation partial-total: sep-algebra sep-add' 0 disjoint
  <proof>

end

```

## 26 A simplified version of the actual capDL specification.

```

theory Types-D
imports HOL-Library.Word
begin

type-synonym cdl-object-id = 32 word

type-synonym cdl-object-set = cdl-object-id set

type-synonym cdl-size-bits = nat

type-synonym cdl-cnode-index = nat

type-synonym cdl-cap-ref = cdl-object-id  $\times$  cdl-cnode-index

datatype cdl-right = AllowRead | AllowWrite | AllowGrant

datatype cdl-cap =
  | NullCap
  | EndpointCap cdl-object-id cdl-right set
  | CNodeCap cdl-object-id
  | TcbCap cdl-object-id

type-synonym cdl-cap-map = cdl-cnode-index  $\Rightarrow$  cdl-cap option

translations
  (type) cdl-cap-map <= (type) nat  $\Rightarrow$  cdl-cap option
  (type) cdl-cap-ref <= (type) cdl-object-id  $\times$  nat

```

**type-synonym** *cdl-cptr* = 32 word

**record** *cdl-tcb* =  
  *cdl-tcb-caps* :: *cdl-cap-map*  
  *cdl-tcb-fault-endpoint* :: *cdl-cptr*

**record** *cdl-cnode* =  
  *cdl-cnode-caps* :: *cdl-cap-map*  
  *cdl-cnode-size-bits* :: *cdl-size-bits*

**datatype** *cdl-object* =  
  *Endpoint*  
  | *Tcb* *cdl-tcb*  
  | *CNode* *cdl-cnode*

**type-synonym** *cdl-heap* = *cdl-object-id*  $\Rightarrow$  *cdl-object option*

**type-synonym** *cdl-component* = *nat option*

**type-synonym** *cdl-components* = *cdl-component set*

**type-synonym** *cdl-ghost-state* = *cdl-object-id*  $\Rightarrow$  *cdl-components*

**translations**

(*type*) *cdl-heap*  $\leq$  (*type*) *cdl-object-id*  $\Rightarrow$  *cdl-object option*

(*type*) *cdl-ghost-state*  $\leq$  (*type*) *cdl-object-id*  $\Rightarrow$  *nat option set*

**record** *cdl-state* =  
  *cdl-objects* :: *cdl-heap*  
  *cdl-current-thread* :: *cdl-object-id option*  
  *cdl-ghost-state* :: *cdl-ghost-state*

**datatype** *cdl-object-type* =  
  *EndpointType*  
  | *TcbType*  
  | *CNodeType*

**definition**

*object-type* :: *cdl-object*  $\Rightarrow$  *cdl-object-type*

**where**

*object-type* *x*  $\equiv$   
  *case* *x* *of*



$Endpoint \Rightarrow EndpointType$   
 $| Tcb - \Rightarrow TcbType$   
 $| CNode - \Rightarrow CNodeType$

**definition**  $cap-objects :: cdl-cap \Rightarrow cdl-object-id\ set$

**where**

$cap-objects\ cap \equiv$   
 $case\ cap\ of$   
 $TcbCap\ x \Rightarrow \{x\}$   
 $| CNodeCap\ x \Rightarrow \{x\}$   
 $| EndpointCap\ x - \Rightarrow \{x\}$

**definition**  $cap-has-object :: cdl-cap \Rightarrow bool$

**where**

$cap-has-object\ cap \equiv$   
 $case\ cap\ of$   
 $NullCap \Rightarrow False$   
 $| - \Rightarrow True$

**definition**  $cap-object :: cdl-cap \Rightarrow cdl-object-id$

**where**

$cap-object\ cap \equiv$   
 $if\ cap-has-object\ cap$   
 $then\ THE\ obj-id.\ cap-objects\ cap = \{obj-id\}$   
 $else\ undefined$

**lemma**  $cap-object-simps:$

$cap-object\ (TcbCap\ x) = x$   
 $cap-object\ (CNodeCap\ x) = x$   
 $cap-object\ (EndpointCap\ x\ j) = x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**

$cap-rights :: cdl-cap \Rightarrow cdl-right\ set$

**where**

$cap-rights\ c \equiv case\ c\ of$   
 $EndpointCap\ -\ x \Rightarrow x$   
 $| - \Rightarrow UNIV$

**definition**

$update-cap-rights :: cdl-right\ set \Rightarrow cdl-cap \Rightarrow cdl-cap$

**where**

$update-cap-rights\ r\ c \equiv case\ c\ of$   
 $EndpointCap\ f1\ - \Rightarrow EndpointCap\ f1\ r$   
 $| - \Rightarrow c$

**definition**

$object-slots :: cdl-object \Rightarrow cdl-cap-map$

**where**

$object-slots\ obj \equiv case\ obj\ of$   
 $CNode\ x \Rightarrow cdl-cnode-caps\ x$   
 $| Tcb\ x \Rightarrow cdl-tcb-caps\ x$   
 $| - \Rightarrow Map.empty$

**definition**

$update-slots :: cdl-cap-map \Rightarrow cdl-object \Rightarrow cdl-object$

**where**

$update-slots\ new-val\ obj \equiv case\ obj\ of$   
 $CNode\ x \Rightarrow CNode\ (x\{cdl-cnode-caps := new-val\})$   
 $| Tcb\ x \Rightarrow Tcb\ (x\{cdl-tcb-caps := new-val\})$   
 $| - \Rightarrow obj$

**definition**

$add-to-slots :: cdl-cap-map \Rightarrow cdl-object \Rightarrow cdl-object$

**where**

$add-to-slots\ new-val\ obj \equiv update-slots\ (new-val\ ++\ (object-slots\ obj))\ obj$

**definition**

$slots-of :: cdl-heap \Rightarrow cdl-object-id \Rightarrow cdl-cap-map$

**where**

$slots-of\ h \equiv \lambda obj-id.$   
 $case\ h\ obj-id\ of$   
 $None \Rightarrow Map.empty$   
 $| Some\ obj \Rightarrow object-slots\ obj$

**definition**

$has-slots :: cdl-object \Rightarrow bool$

**where**

$has-slots\ obj \equiv case\ obj\ of$   
 $CNode\ - \Rightarrow True$   
 $| Tcb\ - \Rightarrow True$   
 $| - \Rightarrow False$

**definition**

$object-at :: (cdl-object \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow cdl-object-id \Rightarrow cdl-heap \Rightarrow bool$

**where**

$object-at\ P\ p\ s \equiv \exists\ object. s\ p = Some\ object \wedge P\ object$

**abbreviation**

$ko-at\ k \equiv object-at\ ((=)\ k)$

end

## 27 Instantiating capDL as a separation algebra.

```
theory Abstract-Separation-D
imports ../../Sep-Tactics Types-D ../../Map-Extra
begin
```

```
lemma inter-empty-not-both:
[[ $x \in A$ ;  $A \cap B = \{\}$ ]]  $\implies x \notin B$ 
  <proof>
```

```
lemma union-intersection:
 $A \cap (A \cup B) = A$ 
 $B \cap (A \cup B) = B$ 
 $(A \cup B) \cap A = A$ 
 $(A \cup B) \cap B = B$ 
  <proof>
```

```
lemma union-intersection1:  $A \cap (A \cup B) = A$ 
  <proof>
```

```
lemma union-intersection2:  $B \cap (A \cup B) = B$ 
  <proof>
```

```
lemma restrict-map-disj':
 $S \cap T = \{\} \implies h \mid' S \perp h' \mid' T$ 
  <proof>
```

```
lemma map-add-restrict-comm:
 $S \cap T = \{\} \implies h \mid' S ++ h' \mid' T = h' \mid' T ++ h \mid' S$ 
  <proof>
```

```
datatype sep-state = SepState cdl-heap cdl-ghost-state
```

```
primrec sep-heap :: sep-state  $\Rightarrow$  cdl-heap
where sep-heap (SepState h gs) = h
```

```
primrec sep-ghost-state :: sep-state  $\Rightarrow$  cdl-ghost-state
where sep-ghost-state (SepState h gs) = gs
```

**definition**

*the-set* :: 'a option set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set

**where**

*the-set* xs = {x. Some x  $\in$  xs}

**lemma** *the-set-union* [simp]:

*the-set* (A  $\cup$  B) = *the-set* A  $\cup$  *the-set* B  
 <proof>

**lemma** *the-set-inter* [simp]:

*the-set* (A  $\cap$  B) = *the-set* A  $\cap$  *the-set* B  
 <proof>

**lemma** *the-set-inter-empty*:

A  $\cap$  B = {}  $\implies$  *the-set* A  $\cap$  *the-set* B = {}  
 <proof>

**definition**

*slots-of-heap* :: cdl-heap  $\Rightarrow$  cdl-object-id  $\Rightarrow$  cdl-cap-map

**where**

*slots-of-heap* h  $\equiv$   $\lambda$ obj-id.

case h obj-id of

None  $\Rightarrow$  Map.empty

| Some obj  $\Rightarrow$  object-slots obj

**definition**

*add-to-slots* :: cdl-cap-map  $\Rightarrow$  cdl-object  $\Rightarrow$  cdl-object

**where**

*add-to-slots* new-val obj  $\equiv$  update-slots (new-val ++ (object-slots obj)) obj

**lemma** *add-to-slots-assoc*:

*add-to-slots* x (*add-to-slots* (y ++ z) obj) =  
*add-to-slots* (x ++ y) (*add-to-slots* z obj)  
 <proof>

**lemma** *add-to-slots-twice* [simp]:

*add-to-slots* x (*add-to-slots* y a) = *add-to-slots* (x ++ y) a  
 <proof>

**lemma** *slots-of-heap-empty* [simp]: *slots-of-heap* Map.empty object-id = Map.empty

<proof>

**lemma** *slots-of-heap-empty2* [simp]:

h obj-id = None  $\implies$  *slots-of-heap* h obj-id = Map.empty

*<proof>*

**lemma** *update-slots-add-to-slots-empty* [simp]:

*update-slots Map.empty (add-to-slots new obj) = update-slots Map.empty obj*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *update-object-slots-id* [simp]: *update-slots (object-slots a) a = a*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *update-slots-of-heap-id* [simp]:

*h obj-id = Some obj  $\implies$  update-slots (slots-of-heap h obj-id) obj = obj*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *add-to-slots-empty* [simp]: *add-to-slots Map.empty h = h*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *update-slots-eq*:

*update-slots a o1 = update-slots a o2  $\implies$  update-slots b o1 = update-slots b o2*

*<proof>*

**definition**

*not-conflicting-objects :: sep-state  $\Rightarrow$  sep-state  $\Rightarrow$  cdl-object-id  $\Rightarrow$  bool*

**where**

*not-conflicting-objects state-a state-b = ( $\lambda$ obj-id.*

*let heap-a = sep-heap state-a;*

*heap-b = sep-heap state-b;*

*gs-a = sep-ghost-state state-a;*

*gs-b = sep-ghost-state state-b*

*in case (heap-a obj-id, heap-b obj-id) of*

*(Some o1, Some o2)  $\Rightarrow$  object-type o1 = object-type o2  $\wedge$  gs-a obj-id  $\cap$  gs-b*

*obj-id = {}*

*| -  $\Rightarrow$  True)*

**definition**

*clean-slots :: cdl-cap-map  $\Rightarrow$  cdl-components  $\Rightarrow$  cdl-cap-map*

**where**

*clean-slots slots cmp  $\equiv$  slots |' the-set cmp*

**definition**

*object-clean-fields :: cdl-object  $\Rightarrow$  cdl-components  $\Rightarrow$  cdl-object*

**where**

*object-clean-fields obj cmp  $\equiv$  if None  $\in$  cmp then obj else case obj of*

*Tcb x  $\Rightarrow$  Tcb (x\cdl-tcb-fault-endpoint := undefined))*

| *CNode*  $x \Rightarrow \text{CNode } (x(\text{cdl-cnode-size-bits} := \text{undefined } ))$   
|  $- \Rightarrow \text{obj}$

**definition**

*object-clean-slots* :: *cdl-object*  $\Rightarrow$  *cdl-components*  $\Rightarrow$  *cdl-object*

**where**

*object-clean-slots obj cmp*  $\equiv$  *update-slots (clean-slots (object-slots obj) cmp) obj*

**definition**

*object-clean* :: *cdl-object*  $\Rightarrow$  *cdl-components*  $\Rightarrow$  *cdl-object*

**where**

*object-clean obj gs*  $\equiv$  *object-clean-slots (object-clean-fields obj gs) gs*

**definition**

*object-add* :: *cdl-object*  $\Rightarrow$  *cdl-object*  $\Rightarrow$  *cdl-components*  $\Rightarrow$  *cdl-components*  $\Rightarrow$  *cdl-object*

**where**

*object-add obj-a obj-b cmps-a cmps-b*  $\equiv$   
*let clean-obj-a = object-clean obj-a cmps-a;*  
*clean-obj-b = object-clean obj-b cmps-b*  
*in if (cmps-a = {})*  
*then clean-obj-b*  
*else if (cmps-b = {})*  
*then clean-obj-a*  
*else if (None  $\in$  cmps-b)*  
*then (update-slots (object-slots clean-obj-a ++ object-slots clean-obj-b) clean-obj-b)*  
*else (update-slots (object-slots clean-obj-a ++ object-slots clean-obj-b) clean-obj-a)*

**definition**

*cdl-heap-add* :: *sep-state*  $\Rightarrow$  *sep-state*  $\Rightarrow$  *cdl-heap*

**where**

*cdl-heap-add state-a state-b*  $\equiv$   $\lambda \text{obj-id.}$   
*let heap-a = sep-heap state-a;*  
*heap-b = sep-heap state-b;*  
*gs-a = sep-ghost-state state-a;*  
*gs-b = sep-ghost-state state-b*  
*in case heap-b obj-id of*  
*None  $\Rightarrow$  heap-a obj-id*  
| *Some obj-b  $\Rightarrow$  case heap-a obj-id of*  
*None  $\Rightarrow$  heap-b obj-id*  
| *Some obj-a  $\Rightarrow$  Some (object-add obj-a obj-b (gs-a obj-id) (gs-b*  
*obj-id))*

**definition**

*cdl-ghost-state-add* :: *sep-state*  $\Rightarrow$  *sep-state*  $\Rightarrow$  *cdl-ghost-state*  
**where**  
*cdl-ghost-state-add state-a state-b*  $\equiv$   $\lambda$ *obj-id*.  
*let heap-a = sep-heap state-a;*  
*heap-b = sep-heap state-b;*  
*gs-a = sep-ghost-state state-a;*  
*gs-b = sep-ghost-state state-b*  
*in if heap-a obj-id = None  $\wedge$  heap-b obj-id  $\neq$  None then gs-b obj-id*  
*else if heap-b obj-id = None  $\wedge$  heap-a obj-id  $\neq$  None then gs-a obj-id*  
*else gs-a obj-id  $\cup$  gs-b obj-id*

**definition**

*sep-state-add* :: *sep-state*  $\Rightarrow$  *sep-state*  $\Rightarrow$  *sep-state*  
**where**  
*sep-state-add state-a state-b*  $\equiv$   
*let*  
*heap-a = sep-heap state-a;*  
*heap-b = sep-heap state-b;*  
*gs-a = sep-ghost-state state-a;*  
*gs-b = sep-ghost-state state-b*  
*in SepState (cdl-heap-add state-a state-b) (cdl-ghost-state-add state-a state-b)*

**definition**

*sep-state-disj* :: *sep-state*  $\Rightarrow$  *sep-state*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool*  
**where**  
*sep-state-disj state-a state-b*  $\equiv$   
*let*  
*heap-a = sep-heap state-a;*  
*heap-b = sep-heap state-b;*  
*gs-a = sep-ghost-state state-a;*  
*gs-b = sep-ghost-state state-b*  
*in  $\forall$  obj-id. not-conflicting-objects state-a state-b obj-id*

**lemma not-conflicting-objects-comm:**

*not-conflicting-objects h1 h2 obj = not-conflicting-objects h2 h1 obj*  
*<proof>*

**lemma object-clean-comm:**

$\llbracket$ *object-type obj-a = object-type obj-b;*  
*object-slots obj-a ++ object-slots obj-b = object-slots obj-b ++ object-slots obj-a;*  
*None  $\notin$  cmp $\rrbracket$   
 $\implies$  *object-clean (add-to-slots (object-slots obj-a) obj-b) cmp =*  
*object-clean (add-to-slots (object-slots obj-b) obj-a) cmp**

*<proof>*

**lemma** *add-to-slots-object-slots*:

*object-type y = object-type z*

$\implies \text{add-to-slots } (\text{object-slots } (\text{add-to-slots } (x) y)) z =$

$\text{add-to-slots } (x ++ \text{object-slots } y) z$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *not-conflicting-objects-empty* [*simp*]:

*not-conflicting-objects s (SepState Map.empty (λobj-id. {})) obj-id*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *empty-not-conflicting-objects* [*simp*]:

*not-conflicting-objects (SepState Map.empty (λobj-id. {})) s obj-id*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *not-conflicting-objects-empty-object* [*elim!*]:

*(sep-heap x) obj-id = None  $\implies$  not-conflicting-objects x y obj-id*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *empty-object-not-conflicting-objects* [*elim!*]:

*(sep-heap y) obj-id = None  $\implies$  not-conflicting-objects x y obj-id*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *cdl-heap-add-empty* [*simp*]:

*cdl-heap-add (SepState h gs) (SepState Map.empty (λobj-id. {})) = h*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *empty-cdl-heap-add* [*simp*]:

*cdl-heap-add (SepState Map.empty (λobj-id. {})) (SepState h gs) = h*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-add-result-empty1*:  $a ++ b = \text{Map.empty} \implies a = \text{Map.empty}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-add-result-empty2*:  $a ++ b = \text{Map.empty} \implies b = \text{Map.empty}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *map-add-emptyE* [*elim!*]:  $\llbracket a ++ b = \text{Map.empty}; \llbracket a = \text{Map.empty}; b = \text{Map.empty} \rrbracket \implies R \rrbracket \implies R$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *clean-slots-empty* [*simp*]:

*clean-slots Map.empty cmp = Map.empty*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *object-type-update-slots* [*simp*]:

*object-type (update-slots slots x) = object-type x*

*<proof>*



**lemma** *object-type-object-clean-slots* [simp]:  
 $\text{object-type } (\text{object-clean-slots } x \text{ cmp}) = \text{object-type } x$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *object-type-object-clean-fields* [simp]:  
 $\text{object-type } (\text{object-clean-fields } x \text{ cmp}) = \text{object-type } x$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *object-type-object-clean* [simp]:  
 $\text{object-type } (\text{object-clean } x \text{ cmp}) = \text{object-type } x$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *object-type-add-to-slots* [simp]:  
 $\text{object-type } (\text{add-to-slots } slots \ x) = \text{object-type } x$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *object-slots-update-slots* [simp]:  
 $\text{has-slots } obj \implies \text{object-slots } (\text{update-slots } slots \ obj) = slots$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *object-slots-update-slots-empty* [simp]:  
 $\neg \text{has-slots } obj \implies \text{object-slots } (\text{update-slots } slots \ obj) = \text{Map.empty}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *update-slots-no-slots* [simp]:  
 $\neg \text{has-slots } obj \implies \text{update-slots } slots \ obj = obj$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *update-slots-update-slots* [simp]:  
 $\text{update-slots } slots \ (\text{update-slots } slots' \ obj) = \text{update-slots } slots \ obj$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *update-slots-same-object*:  
 $a = b \implies \text{update-slots } a \ obj = \text{update-slots } b \ obj$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *object-type-has-slots*:  
 $\llbracket \text{has-slots } x; \text{object-type } x = \text{object-type } y \rrbracket \implies \text{has-slots } y$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *object-slots-object-clean-fields* [simp]:  
 $\text{object-slots } (\text{object-clean-fields } obj \text{ cmp}) = \text{object-slots } obj$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *object-slots-object-clean-slots* [simp]:  
 $\text{object-slots } (\text{object-clean-slots } obj \text{ cmp}) = \text{clean-slots } (\text{object-slots } obj) \text{ cmp}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *object-slots-object-clean* [simp]:

$object-slots (object-clean\ obj\ cmp) = clean-slots (object-slots\ obj)\ cmp$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *object-slots-add-to-slots* [simp]:

$object-type\ y = object-type\ z \implies object-slots (add-to-slots (object-slots\ y)\ z) =$   
 $object-slots\ y ++ object-slots\ z$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *update-slots-object-clean-slots* [simp]:

$update-slots\ slots (object-clean-slots\ obj\ cmp) = update-slots\ slots\ obj$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *object-clean-fields-idem* [simp]:

$object-clean-fields (object-clean-fields\ obj\ cmp)\ cmp = object-clean-fields\ obj\ cmp$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *object-clean-slots-idem* [simp]:

$object-clean-slots (object-clean-slots\ obj\ cmp)\ cmp = object-clean-slots\ obj\ cmp$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *object-clean-fields-object-clean-slots* [simp]:

$object-clean-fields (object-clean-slots\ obj\ gs)\ gs = object-clean-slots (object-clean-fields$   
 $obj\ gs)\ gs$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *object-clean-idem* [simp]:

$object-clean (object-clean\ obj\ cmp)\ cmp = object-clean\ obj\ cmp$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *has-slots-object-clean-slots*:

$has-slots (object-clean-slots\ obj\ cmp) = has-slots\ obj$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *has-slots-object-clean-fields*:

$has-slots (object-clean-fields\ obj\ cmp) = has-slots\ obj$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *has-slots-object-clean*:

$has-slots (object-clean\ obj\ cmp) = has-slots\ obj$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *object-slots-update-slots-object-clean-fields* [simp]:

$object-slots (update-slots\ slots (object-clean-fields\ obj\ cmp)) = object-slots (update-slots$   
 $slots\ obj)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *object-clean-fields-update-slots* [simp]:

$object-clean-fields (update-slots\ slots\ obj)\ cmp = update-slots\ slots (object-clean-fields$

*obj cmp*)  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *object-clean-fields-twice* [simp]:

(*object-clean-fields* (*object-clean-fields obj cmp'*) *cmp*) = *object-clean-fields obj*  
 (*cmp* ∩ *cmp'*)  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *update-slots-object-clean-fields*:

[[*None* ∉ *cmps*; *None* ∉ *cmps'*; *object-type obj* = *object-type obj'*]]  
 ⇒ *update-slots slots* (*object-clean-fields obj cmps*) =  
*update-slots slots* (*object-clean-fields obj' cmps'*)  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *object-clean-fields-no-slots*:

[[*None* ∉ *cmps*; *None* ∉ *cmps'*; *object-type obj* = *object-type obj'*; ¬ *has-slots obj*;  
 ¬ *has-slots obj'*]]  
 ⇒ *object-clean-fields obj cmps* = *object-clean-fields obj' cmps'*  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *update-slots-object-clean*:

[[*None* ∉ *cmps*; *None* ∉ *cmps'*; *object-type obj* = *object-type obj'*]]  
 ⇒ *update-slots slots* (*object-clean obj cmps*) = *update-slots slots* (*object-clean*  
*obj' cmps'*)  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *cdl-heap-add-assoc'*:

∀ *obj-id*. *not-conflicting-objects x z obj-id* ∧  
*not-conflicting-objects y z obj-id* ∧  
*not-conflicting-objects x z obj-id* ⇒  
*cdl-heap-add* (*SepState* (*cdl-heap-add x y*) (*cdl-ghost-state-add x y*)) *z* =  
*cdl-heap-add x* (*SepState* (*cdl-heap-add y z*) (*cdl-ghost-state-add y z*))  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *cdl-heap-add-assoc*:

[[*sep-state-disj x y*; *sep-state-disj y z*; *sep-state-disj x z*]]  
 ⇒ *cdl-heap-add* (*SepState* (*cdl-heap-add x y*) (*cdl-ghost-state-add x y*)) *z* =  
*cdl-heap-add x* (*SepState* (*cdl-heap-add y z*) (*cdl-ghost-state-add y z*))  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *cdl-ghost-state-add-assoc*:

*cdl-ghost-state-add* (*SepState* (*cdl-heap-add x y*) (*cdl-ghost-state-add x y*)) *z* =  
*cdl-ghost-state-add x* (*SepState* (*cdl-heap-add y z*) (*cdl-ghost-state-add y z*))  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *clean-slots-map-add-comm*:

*cmps-a* ∩ *cmps-b* = {}  
 ⇒ *clean-slots slots-a cmps-a* ++ *clean-slots slots-b cmps-b* =  
*clean-slots slots-b cmps-b* ++ *clean-slots slots-a cmps-a*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *object-clean-all*:

$\text{object-type } \text{obj-a} = \text{object-type } \text{obj-b} \implies \text{object-clean } \text{obj-b } \{\} = \text{object-clean } \text{obj-a } \{\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *object-add-comm*:

$\llbracket \text{object-type } \text{obj-a} = \text{object-type } \text{obj-b}; \text{cmps-a} \cap \text{cmps-b} = \{\} \rrbracket$   
 $\implies \text{object-add } \text{obj-a } \text{obj-b } \text{cmps-a } \text{cmps-b} = \text{object-add } \text{obj-b } \text{obj-a } \text{cmps-b } \text{cmps-a}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-state-add-comm*:

$\text{sep-state-disj } x \ y \implies \text{sep-state-add } x \ y = \text{sep-state-add } y \ x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *add-to-slots-comm*:

$\llbracket \text{object-slots } y\text{-obj} \perp \text{object-slots } z\text{-obj}; \text{update-slots } \text{Map.empty } y\text{-obj} = \text{update-slots } \text{Map.empty } z\text{-obj} \rrbracket$   
 $\implies \text{add-to-slots } (\text{object-slots } z\text{-obj}) \ y\text{-obj} = \text{add-to-slots } (\text{object-slots } y\text{-obj}) \ z\text{-obj}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cdl-heap-add-none1*:

$\text{cdl-heap-add } x \ y \ \text{obj-id} = \text{None} \implies (\text{sep-heap } x) \ \text{obj-id} = \text{None}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cdl-heap-add-none2*:

$\text{cdl-heap-add } x \ y \ \text{obj-id} = \text{None} \implies (\text{sep-heap } y) \ \text{obj-id} = \text{None}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *object-type-object-addL*:

$\text{object-type } \text{obj} = \text{object-type } \text{obj}'$   
 $\implies \text{object-type } (\text{object-add } \text{obj } \text{obj}' \ \text{cmp } \text{cmp}') = \text{object-type } \text{obj}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *object-type-object-addR*:

$\text{object-type } \text{obj} = \text{object-type } \text{obj}'$   
 $\implies \text{object-type } (\text{object-add } \text{obj } \text{obj}' \ \text{cmp } \text{cmp}') = \text{object-type } \text{obj}'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-state-add-disjL*:

$\llbracket \text{sep-state-disj } y \ z; \text{sep-state-disj } x \ (\text{sep-state-add } y \ z) \rrbracket \implies \text{sep-state-disj } x \ y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-state-add-disjR*:

$\llbracket \text{sep-state-disj } y \ z; \text{sep-state-disj } x \ (\text{sep-state-add } y \ z) \rrbracket \implies \text{sep-state-disj } x \ z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sep-state-add-disj*:

```

[[sep-state-disj y z; sep-state-disj x y; sep-state-disj x z]] ==> sep-state-disj x
(sep-state-add y z)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

instantiation sep-state :: zero
begin
  definition 0 ≡ SepState Map.empty (λobj-id. {})
  instance ⟨proof⟩
end

```

```

instantiation sep-state :: stronger-sep-algebra
begin

```

```

definition (##) ≡ sep-state-disj
definition (+) ≡ sep-state-add

```

```

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

```

```

end

```

## 28 Defining some separation logic maps-to predicates on top of the instantiation.

```

theory Separation-D
imports Abstract-Separation-D
begin

```

```

type-synonym sep-pred = sep-state ⇒ bool

```

```

definition
  state-sep-projection :: cdl-state ⇒ sep-state
where
  state-sep-projection ≡ λs. SepState (cdl-objects s) (cdl-ghost-state s)

```

**abbreviation**

$$\text{lift}' :: (\text{sep-state} \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow \text{cdl-state} \Rightarrow 'a \ (\langle - \rangle)$$
**where**

$$\langle P \rangle s \equiv P \ (\text{state-sep-projection } s)$$
**definition**

$$\text{sep-map-general} :: \text{cdl-object-id} \Rightarrow \text{cdl-object} \Rightarrow \text{cdl-components} \Rightarrow \text{sep-pred}$$
**where**

$$\text{sep-map-general } p \ \text{obj } \text{gs} \equiv \lambda s. \text{sep-heap } s = [p \mapsto \text{obj}] \wedge \text{sep-ghost-state } s \ p = \text{gs}$$
**lemma** *sep-map-general-def2*:
$$\text{sep-map-general } p \ \text{obj } \text{gs} \ s =$$

$$(\text{dom } (\text{sep-heap } s) = \{p\} \wedge \text{ko-at } \text{obj } p \ (\text{sep-heap } s) \wedge \text{sep-ghost-state } s \ p = \text{gs})$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$
**definition**

$$\text{sep-map-i} :: \text{cdl-object-id} \Rightarrow \text{cdl-object} \Rightarrow \text{sep-pred} \ (- \mapsto i - [76,71] \ 76)$$
**where**

$$p \mapsto i \ \text{obj} \equiv \text{sep-map-general } p \ \text{obj} \ \text{UNIV}$$
**definition**

$$\text{sep-map-f} :: \text{cdl-object-id} \Rightarrow \text{cdl-object} \Rightarrow \text{sep-pred} \ (- \mapsto f - [76,71] \ 76)$$
**where**

$$p \mapsto f \ \text{obj} \equiv \text{sep-map-general } p \ (\text{update-slots } \text{Map.empty } \ \text{obj}) \ \{\text{None}\}$$
**definition**

$$\text{sep-map-c} :: \text{cdl-cap-ref} \Rightarrow \text{cdl-cap} \Rightarrow \text{sep-pred} \ (- \mapsto c - [76,71] \ 76)$$
**where**

$$p \mapsto c \ \text{cap} \equiv \lambda s. \text{let } (\text{obj-id}, \ \text{slot}) = p; \ \text{heap} = \text{sep-heap } s \ \text{in}$$

$$\exists \ \text{obj}. \ \text{sep-map-general } \ \text{obj-id } \ \text{obj} \ \{\text{Some } \ \text{slot}\} \ s \wedge \ \text{object-slots } \ \text{obj} = [\text{slot} \mapsto \ \text{cap}]$$
**definition**

$$\text{sep-any} :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{sep-pred}) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow \text{sep-pred}) \ \mathbf{where}$$

$$\text{sep-any } m \equiv (\lambda p \ s. \ \exists v. \ (m \ p \ v) \ s)$$

**abbreviation**  $\text{sep-any-map-i} \equiv \text{sep-any } \text{sep-map-i}$

**notation**  $\text{sep-any-map-i} \ (- \mapsto i - 76)$

**abbreviation**  $\text{sep-any-map-c} \equiv \text{sep-any } \text{sep-map-c}$

**notation**  $\text{sep-any-map-c} \ (- \mapsto c - 76)$

**end**

## References

- [1] G. Klein, R. Kolanski, and A. Boyton. Mechanised separation algebra (rough diamond). In Beringer and Felty, editors, *Interactive Theorem Proving (ITP 2012)*, LNCS. Springer, 2012.