Secondary Sylow Theorems

Jakob von Raumer

March 19, 2025

Abstract

These theories extend the existent proof of the first sylow theorem (written by Florian Kammueller and L. C. Paulson) by what is often called the second, third and fourth sylow theorem. These theorems state propositions about the number of Sylow p-subgroups of a group and the fact that they are conjugate to each other. The proofs make use of an implementation of group actions and their properties.

Contents

1	\mathbf{Grc}	oup Actions	1
	1.1	Preliminaries and Definition	1
	1.2	The orbit relation	3
	1.3	Stabilizer and fixed points	3
	1.4	The Orbit-Stabilizer Theorem	4
	1.5	Some Examples for Group Actions	5
2	Cor	njugation of Subgroups and Cosets	6
	2.1	Definitions and Preliminaries	6
	2.2	Conjugation is a group action	7
	2.3	Properties of the Conjugation Action	8
3	The	e Secondary Sylow Theorems	9
	3.1	Preliminaries	9
	3.2	Extending the Sylow Locale	10
	3.3	Every p -group is Contained in a conjugate of a p -Sylow-Group	10
	3.4	Every p -Group is Contained in a p -Sylow-Group	10
	3.5	p-Sylow-Groups are conjugates of each other	10
	3.6	Counting Sylow-Groups	11

theory GroupAction imports HOL-Algebra.Bij

```
HOL-Algebra.Sylow begin
```

1 Group Actions

This is an implemention of group actions based on the group implementation of HOL-Algebra. An action a group G on a set M is represented by a group homomorphism between G and the group of bijections on M

1.1 Preliminaries and Definition

First, we need two theorems about singletons and sets of singletons which unfortunately are not included in the library.

```
theorem singleton-intersection:
 assumes A: card A = 1
 assumes B: card B = 1
 assumes noteq: A \neq B
 shows A \cap B = \{\}
\langle proof \rangle
theorem card-singleton-set:
 assumes cardOne: \forall x \in A.(card x = 1)
 shows card (\bigcup A) = card A
\langle proof \rangle
Intersecting Cosets are equal:
lemma (in subgroup) repr-independence2:
 assumes group:group \ G
 assumes U:U \in rcosets_G H
 assumes g:g \in U
 shows U = H \#> g
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{locale}\ group\text{-}action = group +
 fixes \varphi M
 assumes group-hom G (BijGroup M) \varphi
context group-action
begin
lemma is-group-action:group-action G \varphi M\langle proof \rangle
The action of 1 has no effect:
lemma one-is-id:
 assumes m \in M
 shows (\varphi \ \mathbf{1}) \ m = m
```

```
\langle proof \rangle
lemma action-closed:
 assumes m:m \in M
 assumes g:g \in carrier G
  shows \varphi \ g \ m \in M
\langle proof \rangle
lemma img-in-bij:
  assumes g \in carrier G
  shows (\varphi \ g) \in Bij \ M
The action of inv\ g reverts the action of g
lemma group-inv-rel:
 assumes g:g \in carrier G
 assumes mn:m\in M n\in M
 assumes phi:(\varphi g) n = m
  shows (\varphi (inv g)) m = n
\langle proof \rangle
lemma images-are-bij:
  assumes g:g \in carrier G
  shows bij-betw (\varphi \ g) \ M \ M
\langle proof \rangle
{f lemma} action-mult:
 assumes g:g \in carrier G
 assumes h:h \in carrier G
 assumes m:m \in M
  shows (\varphi \ g) \ ((\varphi \ h) \ m) = (\varphi \ (g \otimes h)) \ m
\langle proof \rangle
```

1.2 The orbit relation

The following describes the relation containing the information whether two elements of M lie in the same orbit of the action

```
definition same-orbit-rel 
where same-orbit-rel = \{p \in M \times M. \exists g \in carrier \ G. \ (\varphi \ g) \ (snd \ p) = (fst \ p)\}
```

Use the library about equivalence relations to define the set of orbits and the map assigning to each element of M its orbit

```
definition orbits
where orbits = M // same-orbit-rel
definition orbit :: 'c \Rightarrow 'c set
where orbit m = same-orbit-rel " \{m\}
```

Next, we define a more easy-to-use characterization of an orbit.

```
lemma orbit-char:
 assumes m:m \in M
 shows orbit m = \{n. \exists g. g \in carrier \ G \land (\varphi \ g) \ m = n\}
lemma same-orbit-char:
 assumes m \in M n \in M
 shows (m, n) \in same\text{-}orbit\text{-}rel = (\exists g \in carrier G. ((\varphi g) n = m))
\langle proof \rangle
Now we show that the relation we've defined is, indeed, an equivalence re-
lation:
lemma same-orbit-is-equiv:
 shows equiv M same-orbit-rel
\langle proof \rangle
1.3
       Stabilizer and fixed points
The following definition models the stabilizer of a group action:
definition stabilizer :: 'c \Rightarrow -
  where stabilizer m = \{g \in carrier \ G. \ (\varphi \ g) \ m = m\}
This shows that the stabilizer of m is a subgroup of G.
\mathbf{lemma}\ stabilizer\text{-}is\text{-}subgroup:
 assumes m:m \in M
 shows subgroup (stabilizer m) G
\langle proof \rangle
Next, we define and characterize the fixed points of a group action.
definition fixed-points :: 'c set
  where fixed-points = \{m \in M. \ carrier \ G \subseteq stabilizer \ m\}
lemma fixed-point-char:
 assumes m \in M
 shows (m \in fixed\text{-}points) = (\forall g \in carrier \ G. \ \varphi \ g \ m = m)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma orbit-contains-rep:
 assumes m:m \in M
 shows m \in orbit m
\langle proof \rangle
lemma singleton-orbit-eq-fixed-point:
 assumes m:m \in M
 shows (card\ (orbit\ m) = 1) = (m \in fixed\text{-}points)
\langle proof \rangle
```

1.4 The Orbit-Stabilizer Theorem

This section contains some theorems about orbits and their quotient groups. The first one is the well-known orbit-stabilizer theorem which establishes a bijection between the quotient group of the an element's stabilizer and its orbit.

```
theorem orbit-thm:
 assumes m:m \in M
 assumes rep: \land U. \ U \in (carrier \ (G \ Mod \ (stabilizer \ m))) \Longrightarrow rep \ U \in U
 shows bij-betw (\lambda H. (\varphi (inv (rep H)) m)) (carrier (G Mod (stabilizer m))) (orbit
\langle proof \rangle
In the case of G being finite, the last theorem can be reduced to a statement
about the cardinality of orbit and stabilizer:
corollary orbit-size:
 assumes fin:finite (carrier G)
 assumes m:m \in M
 shows order G = card (orbit m) * card (stabilizer m)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma orbit-not-empty:
 assumes fin:finite M
 assumes A:A \in orbits
 shows card A > 0
\langle proof \rangle
lemma fin-set-imp-fin-orbits:
 assumes finM:finite M
 shows finite orbits
\langle proof \rangle
lemma singleton-orbits:
 shows \bigcup \{N \in orbits. \ card \ N = 1\} = fixed-points
\langle proof \rangle
If G is a p-group acting on a finite set, a given orbit is either a singleton or
p divides its cardinality.
lemma p-dvd-orbit-size:
 assumes order G: order G = p \cap a
 assumes prime:prime p
 assumes finM:finite M
 assumes Norbit:N \in orbits
 assumes card N > 1
 shows p \ dvd \ card \ N
\langle proof \rangle
```

As a result of the last lemma the only orbits that count modulo p are the fixed points

```
lemma fixed-point-congruence:
 assumes order G = p \hat{a}
 assumes prime p
 assumes finM: finite M
 shows card \ M \ mod \ p = card \ fixed-points \ mod \ p
\langle proof \rangle
We can restrict any group action to the action of a subgroup:
lemma subgroup-action:
 assumes H:subgroup H G
 shows group-action (G(carrier := H)) \varphi M
\langle proof \rangle
end
        Some Examples for Group Actions
1.5
lemma (in group) right-mult-is-bij:
 assumes h:h \in carrier G
 shows (\lambda g \in carrier \ G. \ h \otimes g) \in Bij \ (carrier \ G)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) right-mult-group-action:
 shows group-action G (\lambda h. \lambda g \in carrier G. h \otimes g) (carrier G)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) rcosets-closed:
 assumes HG:subgroup H G
 assumes q:q \in carrier G
 \mathbf{assumes}\ M{:}M\in\mathit{rcosets}\ H
 shows M \#> g \in rcosets H
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) inv-mult-on-rcosets-is-bij:
 assumes HG:subgroup H G
 assumes g:g \in carrier G
 shows (\lambda U \in rcosets \ H. \ U \ \#> inv \ g) \in Bij \ (rcosets \ H)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) inv-mult-on-rcosets-action:
 assumes HG:subgroup H G
 shows group-action G (\lambda g. \lambda U \in rcosets H. U \#> inv g) (rcosets H)
\langle proof \rangle
```

end

```
theory Subgroup Conjugation imports Group Action begin
```

2 Conjugation of Subgroups and Cosets

This theory examines properties of the conjugation of subgroups of a fixed group as a group action

2.1 Definitions and Preliminaries

We define the set of all subgroups of G which have a certain cardinality. G will act on those sets. Afterwards some theorems which are already available for right cosets are dualized into statements about left cosets.

```
\mathbf{lemma} \ (\mathbf{in} \ \mathit{subgroup}) \ \mathit{subgroup-of-subset} \colon
 assumes G:group \ G
 assumes PH:H \subseteq K
 assumes KG:subgroup K G
 shows subgroup H (G(|carrier := K|))
\langle proof \rangle
context group
begin
definition subgroups-of-size ::nat \Rightarrow -
  where subgroups-of-size p = \{H. \text{ subgroup } H \text{ } G \land \text{ card } H = p\}
lemma lcosI: [|h \in H; H \subseteq carrier G; x \in carrier G]| ==> x \otimes h \in x < \# H
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma lcoset-join2:
  assumes H:subgroup H G
  assumes g:g \in H
  \mathbf{shows} \ g < \# \ H = H
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ cardeq	ext{-}rcoset:
 assumes finite\ (carrier\ G)
 assumes M \subseteq carrier G
 assumes g \in carrier G
  shows card (M \#> g) = card M
\langle proof \rangle
lemma cardeq-lcoset:
  assumes finite (carrier G)
  assumes M:M\subseteq carrier\ G
```

```
assumes g:g \in carrier \ G

shows card \ (g < \# \ M) = card \ M

\langle proof \rangle
```

2.2 Conjugation is a group action

We will now prove that conjugation acts on the subgroups of a certain group. A large part of this proof consists of showing that the conjugation of a subgroup with a group element is, again, a subgroup.

```
lemma conjugation-subgroup:
 assumes HG:subgroup H G
 assumes gG:g \in carrier G
 shows subgroup (g < \# (H \# > inv g)) G
\langle proof \rangle
definition conjugation-action::nat \Rightarrow -
 where conjugation-action p = (\lambda g \in carrier\ G.\ \lambda P \in subgroups-of\text{-}size\ p.\ g < \#\ (P
\#>inv\ g)
lemma conjugation-is-size-invariant:
 assumes fin:finite (carrier G)
 assumes P:P \in subgroups-of-size p
 assumes g:g \in carrier G
 shows conjugation-action p \ g \ P \in subgroups-of\text{-}size \ p
\langle proof \rangle
lemma conjugation-is-Bij:
 assumes fin:finite (carrier G)
 assumes g:g \in carrier G
 shows conjugation-action p \in Bij (subgroups-of-size p)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma lr-coset-assoc:
 assumes g:g \in carrier G
 assumes h:h \in carrier G
 assumes P:P \subseteq carrier G
 shows g < \# (P \# > h) = (g < \# P) \# > h
\langle proof \rangle
theorem acts-on-subsets:
 assumes fin:finite (carrier G)
 shows group-action G (conjugation-action p) (subgroups-of-size p)
\langle proof \rangle
```

2.3 Properties of the Conjugation Action

```
lemma stabilizer-contains-P:

assumes fin:finite\ (carrier\ G)

assumes P:P\in subgroups-of-size\ p
```

```
shows P \subseteq group\text{-}action.stabilizer G (conjugation\text{-}action p) P
\langle proof \rangle
corollary stabilizer-supergrp-P:
 assumes fin:finite (carrier G)
 assumes P:P \in subgroups-of-size p
  shows subgroup P (G(carrier := group-action.stabilizer <math>G (conjugation-action
p) P))
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) P-fixed-point-of-P-conj:
 assumes fin:finite (carrier G)
 \textbf{assumes} \ P \mathpunct{:} P \in \mathit{subgroups-of-size} \ p
  shows P \in group-action.fixed-points (G(carrier := P)) (conjugation-action p)
(subgroups-of-size p)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma conj-wo-inv:
 assumes QG:subgroup Q G
 assumes PG: subgroup PG
 assumes g:g \in carrier G
 assumes conj:inv\ g<\#\ (Q\ \#>\ g)=P
 shows Q \#> g = g < \# P
\langle proof \rangle
end
end
theory SndSylow
imports Subgroup Conjugation
begin
no-notation Multiset.subset-mset (infix \langle <\# \rangle 50)
```

3 The Secondary Sylow Theorems

3.1 Preliminaries

```
lemma singletonI:
   assumes \bigwedge x. \ x \in A \Longrightarrow x = y
   assumes y \in A
   shows A = \{y\}
\langle proof \rangle

context group
begin
```

```
lemma set-mult-inclusion:
   assumes H:subgroup H G
   assumes Q:P \subseteq carrier G
   assumes PQ:H < \# > P \subseteq H
   shows P \subseteq H
\langle proof \rangle

lemma card-subgrp-dvd:
   assumes subgroup H G
   shows card H dvd order G
\langle proof \rangle

lemma subgroup-finite:
   assumes subgroup:subgroup H G
   assumes finite:finite (carrier G)
   shows finite H
```

end

3.2 Extending the Sylow Locale

This locale extends the originale sylow locale by adding the constraint that the p must not divide the remainder m, i.e. p^a is the maximal size of a p-subgroup of G.

```
locale snd-sylow = sylow +
assumes pNotDvdm:\neg (p \ dvd \ m)

context snd-sylow
begin

lemma pa-not-zero: p \ \hat{} \ a \neq 0
\langle proof \rangle

lemma sylow-greater-zero:
shows card \ (subgroups-of-size \ (p \ \hat{} \ a)) > 0
\langle proof \rangle

lemma is-snd-sylow: snd-sylow G \ p \ a \ m \ \langle proof \rangle
```

3.3 Every *p*-group is Contained in a conjugate of a *p*-Sylow-Group

```
lemma ex-conj-sylow-group:

assumes H:H \in subgroups-of-size (p ^ b)

assumes Psize:P \in subgroups-of-size (p ^ a)

obtains g where g \in carrier G H \subseteq g < \# (P \# > inv g)

\langle proof \rangle
```

3.4 Every p-Group is Contained in a p-Sylow-Group

```
theorem sylow-contained-in-sylow-group:
assumes H:H \in subgroups-of-size (p ^ b)
obtains S where H \subseteq S and S \in subgroups-of-size (p ^ a)
\langle proof \rangle
```

3.5 p-Sylow-Groups are conjugates of each other

```
theorem sylow\text{-}conjugate:
   assumes P:P \in subgroups\text{-}of\text{-}size \ (p \ ^a)
   assumes Q:Q \in subgroups\text{-}of\text{-}size \ (p \ ^a)
   obtains g where g \in carrier \ G \ Q = g < \# \ (P \ \# > inv \ g)
\langle proof \rangle
corollary sylow\text{-}conj\text{-}orbit\text{-}rel:
   assumes P:P \in subgroups\text{-}of\text{-}size \ (p \ ^a)
   assumes Q:Q \in subgroups\text{-}of\text{-}size \ (p \ ^a)
   shows (P,Q) \in group\text{-}action.same\text{-}orbit\text{-}rel \ G \ (conjugation\text{-}action \ (p \ ^a)) \ (subgroups\text{-}of\text{-}size \ (p \ ^a))
\langle proof \rangle
```

3.6 Counting Sylow-Groups

theorem (in snd-sylow) p-sylow-mod-p:

The number of sylow groups is the orbit size of one of them:

```
theorem num-eq-card-orbit:
  assumes P:P \in subgroups-of-size (p \cap a)
 shows subgroups-of-size (p \hat{a}) = group-action.orbit G (conjugation-action <math>(p \hat{a})
a)) (subgroups-of-size (p \hat{a})) P
\langle proof \rangle
theorem num-sylow-normalizer:
  assumes Psize:P \in subgroups-of-size (p \cap a)
 \mathbf{shows}\ card\ (\mathit{rcosets}\ \mathit{G}((\mathit{carrier}:=\mathit{group}\text{-}\mathit{action}.\mathit{stabilizer}\ \mathit{G}\ (\mathit{conjugation}\text{-}\mathit{action}\ (\mathit{p}\ ^a\mathit{a}))\ \mathit{P}))
P) * p \cap a = card (group-action.stabilizer G (conjugation-action (p \cap a)) P)
\langle proof \rangle
theorem (in snd-sylow) num-sylow-dvd-remainder:
  shows card (subgroups-of-size (p \hat{a})) dvd m
\langle proof \rangle
We can restrict this locale to refer to a subgroup of order at least p^a:
lemma (in snd-sylow) restrict-locale:
  assumes subgrp:subgroup \ P \ G
  assumes card:p \ \widehat{\ } a \ dvd \ card \ P
  shows snd-sylow (G(|carrier| := P)) p a ((card\ P) div (p \hat{a}))
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
shows card (subgroups-of-size (p \hat{a})) mod p = 1 \langle proof \rangle
```

 $\quad \mathbf{end} \quad$

 \mathbf{end}