# Sauer-Shelah Lemma

### Ata Keskin

#### March 19, 2025

### Abstract

The Sauer-Shelah Lemma is a fundamental result in extremal set theory and combinatorics, that guarantees the existence of a set Tof size k which is shattered by a family of sets  $\mathcal{F}$ , if the cardinality of the family is greater than some bound dependent on k. A set Tis said to be shattered by a family  $\mathcal{F}$  if every subset of T can be obtained as an intersection of T with some set  $S \in \mathcal{F}$ . The Sauer-Shelah Lemma has found use in diverse fields such as computational geometry, approximation algorithms and machine learning. In this entry we formalize the notion of shattering and prove the generalized and standard versions of the Sauer-Shelah Lemma.

### Contents

	Introduction	1
2	Definitions and lemmas about shattering2.1Intersection of a family of sets with a set	<b>2</b> 2 2
3	Lemmas involving the cardinality of sets	3
4	Lemmas involving the binomial coefficient	4
5	Sauer-Shelah Lemma	4
5	Sauer-Shelah Lemma 5.1 Generalized Sauer-Shelah Lemma	<b>4</b> 4
5		-
5	5.1 Generalized Sauer-Shelah Lemma	4

# 1 Introduction

The goal of this entry is to formalize the Sauer-Shelah Lemma. The result was first published by Sauer [2] and Shelah [3] independently from one another. The proof presented in this entry is based on an article by Kalai [1].

The lemma has a wide range of applications. Vapnik and Červonenkis [4] reproved and used the lemma in the context of statistical learning theory. For instance, the VC-dimension of a family of sets is defined as the size of the largest set the family shatters. In this context, the Sauer-Shelah Lemma is a result tying the VC-dimension of a family to the number of sets in the family.

### 2 Definitions and lemmas about shattering

In this section, we introduce the predicate *shatters* and the term for the family of sets that a family shatters *shattered-by*.

```
theory Shattering
imports Main
begin
```

### 2.1 Intersection of a family of sets with a set

**abbreviation** IntF :: 'a set set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set set (infixl  $\langle \cap * \rangle$  60) where  $F \cap * S \equiv ((\cap) S)$  ' F

**lemma** *idem-IntF*: **assumes**  $\bigcup A \subseteq Y$  **shows**  $A \cap Y = A$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** subset-IntF: **assumes**  $A \subseteq B$  **shows**  $A \cap X \subseteq B \cap X$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** Int-IntF:  $(A \cap * Y) \cap * X = A \cap * (Y \cap X)$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

*insert* distributes over  $(\cap *)$ 

**lemma** insert-IntF: **shows** insert  $x \ (H \cap * S) = (insert x \ H) \cap * (insert x S)$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

### **2.2 Definition of** shatters, VC-dim and shattered-by

**abbreviation** shatters :: 'a set set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infixl  $\langle$  shatters $\rangle$  70) where H shatters  $A \equiv H \cap A = Pow A$ 

**definition** VC-dim :: 'a set set  $\Rightarrow$  nat where VC-dim  $F = Sup \{ card \ S \mid S. F \text{ shatters } S \}$ 

**definition** shattered-by :: 'a set set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set set where shattered-by  $F \equiv \{A. F \text{ shatters } A\}$ 

```
lemma shattered-by-in-Pow:
 shows shattened-by F \subseteq Pow (\bigcup F)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma subset-shatters:
 assumes A \subseteq B and A shatters X
  shows B shatters X
\langle proof \rangle
lemma supset-shatters:
  assumes Y \subseteq X and A shatters X
 shows A shatters Y
\langle proof \rangle
lemma shatters-empty:
  assumes F \neq \{\}
 shows F shatters {}
\langle proof \rangle
lemma subset-shattered-by:
 assumes A \subseteq B
  shows shattened-by A \subseteq shattened-by B
\langle proof \rangle
lemma finite-shattered-by:
  assumes finite ([] F)
 shows finite (shattened-by F)
  \langle proof \rangle
```

The following example shows that requiring finiteness of a family of sets is not enough, to ensure that *shattered-by* also stays finite.

**lemma**  $\exists F::nat set set. finite <math>F \land infinite (shattered-by F) \langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

### 3 Lemmas involving the cardinality of sets

In this section, we prove some lemmas that make use of the term *card* or provide bounds for it.

```
theory Card-Lemmas
imports Main
begin
```

**lemma** card-Int-copy:

```
assumes finite X and A \cup B \subseteq X and \exists f. inj-on f (A \cap B) \land (A \cup B) \cap (f \land (A \cap B)) = \{\} \land f \land (A \cap B) \subseteq X
```

```
shows card A + card B \le card X

\langle proof \rangle

lemma finite-diff-not-empty:

assumes finite Y and card Y < card X

shows X - Y \ne \{\}

\langle proof \rangle

lemma obtain-difference-element:

fixes F :: 'a \text{ set set}

assumes 2 \le card F

obtains x where x \in \bigcup F x \notin \bigcap F

\langle proof \rangle
```

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

## 4 Lemmas involving the binomial coefficient

In this section, we prove lemmas that use the term for the binomial coefficient *choose*.

theory Binomial-Lemmas imports Main begin

```
lemma choose-mono:
assumes x \le y
shows x choose n \le y choose n
\langle proof \rangle
```

**lemma** choose-row-sum-set: **assumes** finite  $(\bigcup F)$  **shows** card  $\{S. S \subseteq \bigcup F \land card S \leq k\} = (\sum i \leq k. card (\bigcup F) choose i)$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

# 5 Sauer-Shelah Lemma

```
theory Sauer-Shelah-Lemma
imports Shattering Card-Lemmas Binomial-Lemmas
begin
```

### 5.1 Generalized Sauer-Shelah Lemma

To prove the Sauer-Shelah Lemma, we will first prove a slightly stronger fact that every family F shatters at least as many sets as *card* F. We first fix an element  $x \in \bigcup F$  and consider the subfamily F0 of sets in the family

not containing it. By induction, F0 shatters at least as many elements of F as card F0. Next, we consider the subfamily F1 of sets in the family that contain x. Again, by induction, F1 shatters as many elements of F as its cardinality. The number of elements of F shattered by F0 and F1 sum up to at least card F0 + card F1 = card F. When a set  $S \in F$  is shattered by only one of the two subfamilies, say F0, it contributes one unit to the set shattered-by F0 and to shattered-by F. However, when the set is shattered by both subfamilies, both S and  $S \cup \{x\}$  are in shattered-by F, so S contributes two units to shattered-by  $F0 \cup$  shattered-by F1. Therefore, the cardinality of shattered-by  $F0 \cup$  shattered-by F1, which is at least card F.

**lemma** sauer-shelah-0: **fixes** F :: 'a set set **shows** finite  $(\bigcup F) \Longrightarrow card F \le card (shattered-by F)$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

### 5.2 Sauer-Shelah Lemma

The generalized version immediately implies the Sauer-Shelah Lemma, because only  $(\sum i \le k. n \ choose \ i)$  of the subsets of an *n*-item universe have cardinality less than k + 1. Thus, when  $(\sum i \le k. n \ choose \ i) < card F$ , there are not enough sets to be shattered, so one of the shattered sets must have cardinality at least k + 1.

**corollary** sauer-shelah: **fixes** F :: 'a set set **assumes** finite ( $\bigcup F$ ) and ( $\sum i \le k. \ card \ (\bigcup F) \ choose \ i$ ) < card F **shows**  $\exists S.$  (F shatters  $S \land card \ S = k + 1$ )  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

#### 5.3 Sauer-Shelah Lemma for hypergraphs

If we designate X to be the set of hyperedges and S the set of vertices, we can also formulate the Sauer-Shelah Lemma in terms of hypergraphs. In this form, the statement provides a sufficient condition for the existence of an hyperedge of a given cardinality which is shattered by the set of edges.

**corollary** sauer-shelah-2: **fixes** X :: 'a set set and S :: 'a set **assumes** finite S and  $X \subseteq Pow S$  and  $(\sum i \le k. \ card \ S \ choose \ i) < card \ X$  **shows**  $\exists Y. (X \text{ shatters } Y \land card \ Y = k + 1)$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

#### 5.4 Alternative statement of the Sauer-Shelah Lemma

We can also state the Sauer-Shelah Lemma in terms of the *VC-dim*. If the VC-dimension of F is k then F can consist at most of  $(\sum i \leq k. \ card \ (\bigcup F) \ choose \ i)$  sets which is in  $\mathcal{O}(card \ (\bigcup F) \ k)$ .

```
corollary sauer-shelah-alt:

assumes finite (\bigcup F) and VC-dim F = k

shows card F \leq (\sum i \leq k. \ card \ (\bigcup F) \ choose \ i)

\langle proof \rangle
```

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

# References

- [1] G. Kalai. Extremal combinatorics iii: Some basic theorems, Sep 2008.
- [2] N. Sauer. On the density of families of sets. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A, 13(1):145–147, 1972.
- [3] S. Shelah. A combinatorial problem; stability and order for models and theories in infinitary languages. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 41(1):247 – 261, 1972.
- [4] V. N. Vapnik and A. J. Červonenkis. The uniform convergence of frequencies of the appearance of events to their probabilities. *Teor. Verojatnost. i Primenen.*, 16:264–279, 1971.