

The Incompatibility of *SD*-Efficiency and *SD*-Strategy-Proofness

Manuel Eberl

March 19, 2025

Abstract

This formalisation contains the proof that there is no anonymous and neutral Social Decision Scheme for at least four voters and alternatives that fulfils both *SD*-Efficiency and *SD*-Strategy-Proofness. The proof is a fully structured and quasi-human-readable one. It was derived from the (unstructured) SMT proof of the case for exactly four voters and alternatives by Brandl *et al.* [1].

Their proof relies on an unverified translation of the original problem to SMT, and the proof that lifts the argument for exactly four voters and alternatives to the general case is also not machine-checked.

In this Isabelle proof, on the other hand, all of these steps are also fully proven and machine-checked. This is particularly important seeing as a previously published informal proof of a weaker statement contained a mistake in precisely this lifting step. [2]

Contents

1	Incompatibility of <i>SD</i>-Efficiency and <i>SD</i>-Strategy-Proofness	2
1.1	Preliminary Definitions	2
1.2	Definition of Preference Profiles and Fact Gathering	3
1.3	Main Proof	6
1.4	Lifting to more than 4 agents and alternatives	17

1 Incompatibility of SD-Efficiency and SD-Strategy-Proofness

```
theory SDS-Impossibility
imports
  Randomised-Social-Choice.SDS-Automation
  Randomised-Social-Choice.Randomised-Social-Choice
begin
```

1.1 Preliminary Definitions

```
locale sds-impossibility =
  anonymous-sds agents alts sds +
  neutral-sds agents alts sds +
  sd-efficient-sds agents alts sds +
  strategyproof-sds agents alts sds
  for agents :: 'agent set and alts :: 'alt set and sds +
  assumes agents-ge-4: card agents  $\geq$  4
    and alts-ge-4: card alts  $\geq$  4

locale sds-impossibility-4-4 = sds-impossibility agents alts sds
  for agents :: 'agent set and alts :: 'alt set and sds +
  fixes A1 A2 A3 A4 :: 'agent and a b c d :: 'alt
  assumes distinct-agents: distinct [A1, A2, A3, A4]
    and distinct-alts: distinct [a, b, c, d]
    and agents: agents = {A1, A2, A3, A4}
    and alts: alts = {a, b, c, d}
begin
```

```
lemma an-sds: an-sds agents alts sds by unfold-locales
lemma ex-post-efficient-sds: ex-post-efficient-sds agents alts sds by unfold-locales
lemma sd-efficient-sds: sd-efficient-sds agents alts sds by unfold-locales
lemma strategyproof-an-sds: strategyproof-an-sds agents alts sds by unfold-locales
```

```
lemma distinct-agents' [simp]:
  A1  $\neq$  A2 A1  $\neq$  A3 A1  $\neq$  A4 A2  $\neq$  A1 A2  $\neq$  A3 A2  $\neq$  A4
  A3  $\neq$  A1 A3  $\neq$  A2 A3  $\neq$  A4 A4  $\neq$  A1 A4  $\neq$  A2 A4  $\neq$  A3
  using distinct-agents by auto
```

```
lemma distinct-alts' [simp]:
  a  $\neq$  b a  $\neq$  c a  $\neq$  d b  $\neq$  a b  $\neq$  c b  $\neq$  d
  c  $\neq$  a c  $\neq$  b c  $\neq$  d d  $\neq$  a d  $\neq$  b d  $\neq$  c
  using distinct-alts by auto
```

```
lemma card-agents [simp]: card agents = 4 and card-alts [simp]: card alts = 4
  using distinct-agents distinct-alts by (simp-all add: agents alts)
```

```
lemma in-agents [simp]: A1  $\in$  agents A2  $\in$  agents A3  $\in$  agents A4  $\in$  agents
  by (simp-all add: agents)
```

lemma *in-alt*s [simp]: $a \in \text{alts } b \in \text{alts } c \in \text{alts } d \in \text{alts}$
by (*simp-all add: alts*)

lemma *agent-iff*: $x \in \text{agents} \longleftrightarrow x \in \{A1, A2, A3, A4\}$
 $(\forall x \in \text{agents}. P x) \longleftrightarrow P A1 \wedge P A2 \wedge P A3 \wedge P A4$
 $(\exists x \in \text{agents}. P x) \longleftrightarrow P A1 \vee P A2 \vee P A3 \vee P A4$
by (*auto simp add: agents*)

lemma *alt-iff*: $x \in \text{alts} \longleftrightarrow x \in \{a, b, c, d\}$
 $(\forall x \in \text{alts}. P x) \longleftrightarrow P a \wedge P b \wedge P c \wedge P d$
 $(\exists x \in \text{alts}. P x) \longleftrightarrow P a \vee P b \vee P c \vee P d$
by (*auto simp add: alts*)

1.2 Definition of Preference Profiles and Fact Gathering

preference-profile

agents: agents
alts: alts

where $R1 = A1: [c, d], [a, b] \quad A2: [b, d], a, c \quad A3: a, b, [c, d] \quad A4: [a, c], [b, d]$
and $R2 = A1: [a, c], [b, d] \quad A2: [c, d], a, b \quad A3: [b, d], a, c \quad A4: a, b, [c, d]$
and $R3 = A1: [a, b], [c, d] \quad A2: [c, d], [a, b] \quad A3: d, [a, b], c \quad A4: c, a, [b, d]$
and $R4 = A1: [a, b], [c, d] \quad A2: [a, d], [b, c] \quad A3: c, [a, b], d \quad A4: d, c, [a, b]$
and $R5 = A1: [c, d], [a, b] \quad A2: [a, b], [c, d] \quad A3: [a, c], d, b \quad A4: d, [a, b], c$
and $R6 = A1: [a, b], [c, d] \quad A2: [c, d], [a, b] \quad A3: [a, c], [b, d] \quad A4: d, b, a, c$
and $R7 = A1: [a, b], [c, d] \quad A2: [c, d], [a, b] \quad A3: a, c, d, b \quad A4: d, [a, b], c$
and $R8 = A1: [a, b], [c, d] \quad A2: [a, c], [b, d] \quad A3: d, [a, b], c \quad A4: d, c, [a, b]$
and $R9 = A1: [a, b], [c, d] \quad A2: [a, d], c, b \quad A3: d, c, [a, b] \quad A4: [a, b, c], d$
and $R10 = A1: [a, b], [c, d] \quad A2: [c, d], [a, b] \quad A3: [a, c], d, b \quad A4: [b, d], a, c$
and $R11 = A1: [a, b], [c, d] \quad A2: [c, d], [a, b] \quad A3: d, [a, b], c \quad A4: c, a, b, d$
and $R12 = A1: [c, d], [a, b] \quad A2: [a, b], [c, d] \quad A3: [a, c], d, b \quad A4: [a, b, d], c$
and $R13 = A1: [a, c], [b, d] \quad A2: [c, d], a, b \quad A3: [b, d], a, c \quad A4: a, b, d, c$
and $R14 = A1: [a, b], [c, d] \quad A2: d, c, [a, b] \quad A3: [a, b, c], d \quad A4: a, d, c, b$
and $R15 = A1: [a, b], [c, d] \quad A2: [c, d], [a, b] \quad A3: [b, d], a, c \quad A4: a, c, d, b$

and $R16 = A1: [a, b], [c, d]$ $A2: [c, d], [a, b]$ $A3: a, c, d, b$ $A4: [a, b, d], c$
and $R17 = A1: [a, b], [c, d]$ $A2: [c, d], [a, b]$ $A3: [a, c], [b, d]$ $A4: d, [a, b], c$
and $R18 = A1: [a, b], [c, d]$ $A2: [a, d], [b, c]$ $A3: [a, b, c], d$ $A4: d, c, [a, b]$
and $R19 = A1: [a, b], [c, d]$ $A2: [c, d], [a, b]$ $A3: [b, d], a, c$ $A4: [a, c], [b, d]$
and $R20 = A1: [b, d], a, c$ $A2: b, a, [c, d]$ $A3: a, c, [b, d]$ $A4: d, c, [a, b]$
and $R21 = A1: [a, d], c, b$ $A2: d, c, [a, b]$ $A3: c, [a, b], d$ $A4: a, b, [c, d]$
and $R22 = A1: [a, c], d, b$ $A2: d, c, [a, b]$ $A3: d, [a, b], c$ $A4: a, b, [c, d]$
and $R23 = A1: [a, b], [c, d]$ $A2: [c, d], [a, b]$ $A3: [a, c], [b, d]$ $A4: [a, b, d], c$
and $R24 = A1: [c, d], [a, b]$ $A2: d, b, a, c$ $A3: c, a, [b, d]$ $A4: b, a, [c, d]$
and $R25 = A1: [c, d], [a, b]$ $A2: [b, d], a, c$ $A3: a, b, [c, d]$ $A4: a, c, [b, d]$
and $R26 = A1: [b, d], [a, c]$ $A2: [c, d], [a, b]$ $A3: a, b, [c, d]$ $A4: a, c, [b, d]$
and $R27 = A1: [a, b], [c, d]$ $A2: [b, d], a, c$ $A3: [a, c], [b, d]$ $A4: [c, d], a, b$
and $R28 = A1: [c, d], a, b$ $A2: [b, d], a, c$ $A3: a, b, [c, d]$ $A4: a, c, [b, d]$
and $R29 = A1: [a, c], d, b$ $A2: [b, d], a, c$ $A3: a, b, [c, d]$ $A4: d, c, [a, b]$
and $R30 = A1: [a, d], c, b$ $A2: d, c, [a, b]$ $A3: c, [a, b], d$ $A4: [a, b], d, c$
and $R31 = A1: [b, d], a, c$ $A2: [a, c], d, b$ $A3: c, d, [a, b]$ $A4: [a, b], c, d$
and $R32 = A1: [a, c], d, b$ $A2: d, c, [a, b]$ $A3: d, [a, b], c$ $A4: [a, b], d, c$
and $R33 = A1: [c, d], [a, b]$ $A2: [a, c], d, b$ $A3: a, b, [c, d]$ $A4: d, [a, b], c$
and $R34 = A1: [a, b], [c, d]$ $A2: a, c, d, b$ $A3: b, [a, d], c$ $A4: c, d, [a, b]$
and $R35 = A1: [a, d], c, b$ $A2: a, b, [c, d]$ $A3: [a, b, c], d$ $A4: d, c, [a, b]$
and $R36 = A1: [c, d], [a, b]$ $A2: [a, c], d, b$ $A3: [b, d], a, c$ $A4: a, b, [c, d]$
and $R37 = A1: [a, c], [b, d]$ $A2: [b, d], [a, c]$ $A3: a, b, [c, d]$ $A4: c, d, [a, b]$
and $R38 = A1: [c, d], a, b$ $A2: [b, d], a, c$ $A3: a, b, [c, d]$ $A4: [a, c], b, d$
and $R39 = A1: [a, c], d, b$ $A2: [b, d], a, c$ $A3: a, b, [c, d]$ $A4: [c, d], a, b$
and $R40 = A1: [a, d], c, b$ $A2: [a, b], c, d$ $A3: [a, b, c], d$ $A4: d, c, [a, b]$

$[a, b]$
and $R41 = A1: [a, d], c, b \quad A2: [a, b], d, c \quad A3: [a, b, c], d \quad A4: d, c,$
 $[a, b]$
and $R42 = A1: [c, d], [a, b] \quad A2: [a, b], [c, d] \quad A3: d, b, a, c \quad A4: c, a,$
 $[b, d]$
and $R43 = A1: [a, b], [c, d] \quad A2: [c, d], [a, b] \quad A3: d, [a, b], c \quad A4: a, [c,$
 $d], b$
and $R44 = A1: [c, d], [a, b] \quad A2: [a, c], d, b \quad A3: [a, b], d, c \quad A4: [a, b,$
 $d], c$
and $R45 = A1: [a, c], d, b \quad A2: [b, d], a, c \quad A3: [a, b], c, d \quad A4: [c,$
 $d], b, a$
and $R46 = A1: [b, d], a, c \quad A2: d, c, [a, b] \quad A3: [a, c], [b, d] \quad A4: b, a,$
 $[c, d]$
and $R47 = A1: [a, b], [c, d] \quad A2: [a, d], c, b \quad A3: d, c, [a, b] \quad A4: c,$
 $[a, b], d$
by (*simp-all add: agents alts*)

derive-orbit-equations (*an-sds*)
 $R10 \ R26 \ R27 \ R28 \ R29 \ R43 \ R45$
by *simp-all*

prove-inefficient-supports (*ex-post-efficient-sds sd-efficient-sds*)
 $R3 \ [b] \ \mathbf{and} \ R4 \ [b] \ \mathbf{and} \ R5 \ [b] \ \mathbf{and} \ R7 \ [b] \ \mathbf{and} \ R8 \ [b] \ \mathbf{and}$
 $R9 \ [b] \ \mathbf{and} \ R11 \ [b] \ \mathbf{and} \ R12 \ [b] \ \mathbf{and} \ R14 \ [b] \ \mathbf{and} \ R16 \ [b] \ \mathbf{and}$
 $R17 \ [b] \ \mathbf{and} \ R18 \ [b] \ \mathbf{and} \ R21 \ [b] \ \mathbf{and} \ R22 \ [b] \ \mathbf{and} \ R23 \ [b] \ \mathbf{and}$
 $R30 \ [b] \ \mathbf{and} \ R32 \ [b] \ \mathbf{and} \ R33 \ [b] \ \mathbf{and} \ R35 \ [b] \ \mathbf{and} \ R40 \ [b] \ \mathbf{and}$
 $R41 \ [b] \ \mathbf{and} \ R43 \ [b] \ \mathbf{and} \ R44 \ [b] \ \mathbf{and} \ R47 \ [b] \ \mathbf{and}$
 $R10 \ [c, b] \ \mathit{witness}: [a: 1 / 2, b: 0, c: 0, d: 1 / 2] \ \mathbf{and}$
 $R15 \ [c, b] \ \mathit{witness}: [a: 1 / 2, b: 0, c: 0, d: 1 / 2] \ \mathbf{and}$
 $R19 \ [c, b] \ \mathit{witness}: [a: 1 / 2, b: 0, c: 0, d: 1 / 2] \ \mathbf{and}$
 $R25 \ [b, c] \ \mathit{witness}: [c: 0, d: 1 / 2, a: 1 / 2, b: 0] \ \mathbf{and}$
 $R26 \ [c, b] \ \mathit{witness}: [b: 0, d: 1 / 2, a: 1 / 2, c: 0] \ \mathbf{and}$
 $R27 \ [c, b] \ \mathit{witness}: [a: 1 / 2, b: 0, c: 0, d: 1 / 2] \ \mathbf{and}$
 $R28 \ [b, c] \ \mathit{witness}: [c: 0, d: 1 / 2, a: 1 / 2, b: 0] \ \mathbf{and}$
 $R29 \ [b, c] \ \mathit{witness}: [a: 1 / 2, c: 0, d: 1 / 2, b: 0] \ \mathbf{and}$
 $R39 \ [b, c] \ \mathit{witness}: [a: 1 / 2, c: 0, d: 1 / 2, b: 0]$
by (*simp-all add: agent-iff alt-iff*)

derive-strategyproofness-conditions (*strategyproof-an-sds*)
distance: 2
 $R1 \ R2 \ R3 \ R4 \ R5 \ R6 \ R7 \ R8 \ R9 \ R10 \ R11 \ R12 \ R13 \ R14 \ R15 \ R16 \ R17 \ R18 \ R19$
 $R20$
 $R21 \ R22 \ R23 \ R24 \ R25 \ R26 \ R27 \ R28 \ R29 \ R30 \ R31 \ R32 \ R33 \ R34 \ R35 \ R36 \ R37$
 $R38 \ R39 \ R40$
 $R41 \ R42 \ R43 \ R44 \ R45 \ R46 \ R47$
by (*simp-all add: agent-iff alt-iff*)

lemma *lottery-conditions:*
assumes *is-pref-profile R*

shows $\text{pmf } (sds \ R) \ a \geq 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R) \ b \geq 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R) \ c \geq 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R) \ d \geq 0$
 $\text{pmf } (sds \ R) \ a + \text{pmf } (sds \ R) \ b + \text{pmf } (sds \ R) \ c + \text{pmf } (sds \ R) \ d = 1$
using *lottery-prob-alts*[*OF sds-wf*[*OF assms*]]
by (*simp-all add: alts pmf-nonneg measure-measure-pmf-finite*)

1.3 Main Proof

lemma *R45* [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (sds \ R45) \ a = 1/4 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R45) \ b = 1/4$
 $\text{pmf } (sds \ R45) \ c = 1/4 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R45) \ d = 1/4$
using *R45.orbits lottery-conditions*[*OF R45.wf*] **by** *simp-all*

lemma *R10-bc* [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (sds \ R10) \ b = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R10) \ c = 0$
using *R10.support R10.orbits* **by** *auto*

lemma *R10-ad* [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (sds \ R10) \ a = 1/2 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R10) \ d = 1/2$
using *lottery-conditions*[*OF R10.wf*] *R10-bc R10.orbits* **by** *simp-all*

lemma *R26-bc* [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (sds \ R26) \ b = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R26) \ c = 0$
using *R26.support R26.orbits* **by** *auto*

lemma *R26-d* [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (sds \ R26) \ d = 1 - \text{pmf } (sds \ R26) \ a$
using *lottery-conditions*[*OF R26.wf*] *R26-bc* **by** *simp*

lemma *R27-bc* [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (sds \ R27) \ b = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R27) \ c = 0$
using *R27.support R27.orbits* **by** *auto*

lemma *R27-d* [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (sds \ R27) \ d = 1 - \text{pmf } (sds \ R27) \ a$
using *lottery-conditions*[*OF R27.wf*] *R27-bc* **by** *simp*

lemma *R28-bc* [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (sds \ R28) \ b = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R28) \ c = 0$
using *R28.support R28.orbits* **by** *auto*

lemma *R28-d* [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (sds \ R28) \ d = 1 - \text{pmf } (sds \ R28) \ a$
using *lottery-conditions*[*OF R28.wf*] *R28-bc* **by** *simp*

lemma *R29-bc* [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (sds \ R29) \ b = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R29) \ c = 0$
using *R29.support R29.orbits* **by** *auto*

lemma *R29-ac* [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (sds \ R29) \ a = 1/2 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R29) \ d = 1/2$
using *lottery-conditions*[*OF R29.wf*] *R29-bc R29.orbits* **by** *simp-all*

lemmas *R43-bc* [*simp*] = *R43.support*

lemma *R43-ad* [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R43) a = 1/2 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds } R43) d = 1/2$
using *lottery-conditions*[*OF R43.wf*] *R43-bc* *R43.orbits* **by** *simp-all*

lemma *R39-b* [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R39) b = 0$

proof –

```
{
  assume [simp]:  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R39) c = 0$ 
  with R29-R39.strategyproofness(1)
  have  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R39) d \leq 1/2$  by auto
  with R39-R29.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R39.wf]
  have  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R39) b = 0$  by auto
}
```

with *R39.support* **show** *?thesis* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *R36-a* [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R36) a = 1/2$ **and** *R36-b* [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R36) b = 0$

proof –

```
from R10-R36.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R36.wf]
  have  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R36) a + \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R36) b \leq 1/2$  by auto
  with R36-R10.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R36.wf]
  show  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R36) a = 1/2 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds } R36) b = 0$  by auto
```

qed

lemma *R36-d* [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R36) d = 1/2 - \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R36) c$
using *lottery-conditions*[*OF R36.wf*] **by** *simp*

lemma *R39-a* [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R39) a = 1/2$

proof –

```
from R36-R39.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R39.wf]
  have  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R39) a \geq 1/2$  by auto
  with R39-R36.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R39.wf]
  show ?thesis by auto
```

qed

lemma *R39-d* [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R39) d = 1/2 - \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R39) c$
using *lottery-conditions*[*OF R39.wf*] **by** *simp*

lemmas *R12-b* [*simp*] = *R12.support*

lemma *R12-c* [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R12) c = 0$

```
using R12-R10.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R12.wf]
by (auto simp del: pmf-nonneg)
```

lemma *R12-d* [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R12) d = 1 - \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R12) a$

using *lottery-conditions*[*OF R12.wf*] **by** *simp*

lemma *R12-a-ge-one-half*: $\text{pmf } (sds \ R12) \ a \geq 1/2$
using *R10-R12.strategyproofness*(1) *lottery-conditions*[*OF R12.wf*]
by *auto*

lemma *R44* [*simp*]:
 $\text{pmf } (sds \ R44) \ a = \text{pmf } (sds \ R12) \ a$ $\text{pmf } (sds \ R44) \ d = 1 - \text{pmf } (sds \ R12) \ a$
 $\text{pmf } (sds \ R44) \ b = 0$ $\text{pmf } (sds \ R44) \ c = 0$
proof –
from *R12-R44.strategyproofness*(1) *R44.support* **have** $\text{pmf } (sds \ R44) \ a \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R12) \ a$ **by** *simp*
with *R44-R12.strategyproofness*(1) *R44.support* *lottery-conditions*[*OF R44.wf*]
show $\text{pmf } (sds \ R44) \ a = \text{pmf } (sds \ R12) \ a$ $\text{pmf } (sds \ R44) \ c = 0$
 $\text{pmf } (sds \ R44) \ d = 1 - \text{pmf } (sds \ R12) \ a$ **by** (*auto simp del: pmf-nonneg*)
qed (*insert R44.support, simp-all*)

lemma *R9-a* [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (sds \ R9) \ a = \text{pmf } (sds \ R35) \ a$
proof –
from *R9-R35.strategyproofness*(1) *R35.support* *R9.support*
have $\text{pmf } (sds \ R35) \ a \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R9) \ a$ **by** *simp*
with *R35-R9.strategyproofness*(1) *R9.support* *R35.support* **show** *?thesis* **by**
simp
qed

lemma *R18-c* [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (sds \ R18) \ c = \text{pmf } (sds \ R9) \ c$
proof –
from *R18-R9.strategyproofness*(1) *R18.support* *R9.support*
have $\text{pmf } (sds \ R18) \ d + \text{pmf } (sds \ R18) \ a \geq \text{pmf } (sds \ R9) \ d + \text{pmf } (sds \ R9) \ a$
by *auto*
with *R9-R18.strategyproofness*(1) *R18.support* *R9.support*
lottery-conditions[*OF R9.wf*] *lottery-conditions*[*OF R18.wf*]
show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *R5-d-ge-one-half*: $\text{pmf } (sds \ R5) \ d \geq 1/2$
using *R5-R10.strategyproofness*(1) *R5.support* *lottery-conditions*[*OF R5.wf*] **by**
auto

lemma *R7* [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (sds \ R7) \ a = 1/2$ $\text{pmf } (sds \ R7) \ b = 0$ $\text{pmf } (sds \ R7) \ c = 0$
 $\text{pmf } (sds \ R7) \ d = 1/2$
proof –
from *R5-d-ge-one-half* **have** $1/2 \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R5) \ d$ **by** *simp*
also from *R5-R17.strategyproofness*(1) *R17.support* *lottery-conditions*[*OF R5.wf*]
lottery-conditions[*OF R17.wf*]
have $\dots \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R17) \ d$ **by** (*auto simp del: pmf-nonneg*)
also from *R17-R7.strategyproofness*(1) *lottery-conditions*[*OF R7.wf*] *lottery-conditions*[*OF R17.wf*] *R7.support*

have $\text{pmf } (sds \ R17) \ d \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R7) \ d$ **by** (*auto simp del: pmf-nonneg*)
finally have $\text{pmf } (sds \ R7) \ d \geq 1/2$.
with $R7\text{-}R43.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$ *lottery-conditions*[*OF R7.wf*] *R7.support*
show $\text{pmf } (sds \ R7) \ a = 1/2 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R7) \ b = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R7) \ c = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R7) \ d = 1/2$
by auto
qed

lemma $R5$ [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (sds \ R5) \ a = 1/2 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R5) \ b = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R5) \ c = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R5) \ d = 1/2$
proof –
from $R5\text{-}R7.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$ *lottery-conditions*[*OF R5.wf*] *R5.support*
have $\text{pmf } (sds \ R5) \ d \leq 1/2$ **by auto**
with $R5\text{-}d\text{-}ge\text{-}one\text{-}half$ **show** $d: \text{pmf } (sds \ R5) \ d = 1 / 2$ **by simp**
with $R5\text{-}R10.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$ *lottery-conditions*[*OF R5.wf*] *R5.support*
show $\text{pmf } (sds \ R5) \ c = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R5) \ a = 1/2$ **by simp-all**
qed (*simp-all add: R5.support*)

lemma $R15$ [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (sds \ R15) \ a = 1/2 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R15) \ b = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R15) \ c = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R15) \ d = 1/2$
proof –
{
assume $\text{pmf } (sds \ R15) \ b = 0$
with $R10\text{-}R15.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$ *lottery-conditions*[*OF R15.wf*]
have $\text{pmf } (sds \ R15) \ a + \text{pmf } (sds \ R15) \ c \leq 1/2$ **by auto**
with $R15\text{-}R10.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$ *lottery-conditions*[*OF R15.wf*]
have $\text{pmf } (sds \ R15) \ c = 0$ **by auto**
}
with *R15.support* **show** [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (sds \ R15) \ c = 0$ **by blast**
with $R15\text{-}R5.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$ *lottery-conditions*[*OF R15.wf*]
have $\text{pmf } (sds \ R15) \ a \geq 1/2$ **by auto**
moreover from $R15\text{-}R7.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$ *lottery-conditions*[*OF R15.wf*]
have $\text{pmf } (sds \ R15) \ b + \text{pmf } (sds \ R15) \ d \geq 1/2$ **by auto**
ultimately show $\text{pmf } (sds \ R15) \ a = 1/2$ **using** *lottery-conditions*[*OF R15.wf*]
by auto
with $R15\text{-}R5.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$ *lottery-conditions*[*OF R15.wf*]
show $\text{pmf } (sds \ R15) \ d = 1/2 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R15) \ b = 0$ **by auto**
qed

lemma $R13\text{-}aux$: $\text{pmf } (sds \ R13) \ b = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R13) \ c = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R13) \ d = 1 - \text{pmf } (sds \ R13) \ a$
and $R27\text{-}R13$ [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (sds \ R27) \ a = \text{pmf } (sds \ R13) \ a$
using $R27\text{-}R13.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$ $R13\text{-}R27.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$ *lottery-conditions*[*OF R13.wf*] **by auto**

lemma $R13$ [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (sds \ R13) \ a = 1/2 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R13) \ b = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R13) \ c = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R13) \ d = 1/2$
using $R15\text{-}R13.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$ $R13\text{-}R15.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$ $R13\text{-}aux$ **by simp-all**

lemma R27 [simp]: $\text{pmf (sds R27) } a = 1/2 \text{ pmf (sds R27) } b = 0 \text{ pmf (sds R27) } c = 0 \text{ pmf (sds R27) } d = 1/2$
by simp-all

lemma R19 [simp]: $\text{pmf (sds R19) } a = 1/2 \text{ pmf (sds R19) } b = 0 \text{ pmf (sds R19) } c = 0 \text{ pmf (sds R19) } d = 1/2$

proof –

have $\text{pmf (sds R19) } a = 1/2 \wedge \text{pmf (sds R19) } b = 0 \wedge \text{pmf (sds R19) } c = 0 \wedge \text{pmf (sds R19) } d = 1/2$

proof (*rule disjE[OF R19.support]; safe*)

assume [simp]: $\text{pmf (sds R19) } b = 0$

from R10-R19.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R19.wf]

have $\text{pmf (sds R19) } a + \text{pmf (sds R19) } c \leq 1/2$ **by auto**

moreover from R19-R10.strategyproofness(1)

have $\text{pmf (sds R19) } a + \text{pmf (sds R19) } c \geq 1/2$ **by simp**

ultimately show $\text{pmf (sds R19) } d = 1/2$ **using** lottery-conditions[OF R19.wf]

by simp

with R27-R19.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R19.wf]

show $\text{pmf (sds R19) } a = 1/2 \text{ pmf (sds R19) } c = 0$ **by auto**

next

assume [simp]: $\text{pmf (sds R19) } c = 0$

from R19-R10.strategyproofness(1) **have** $\text{pmf (sds R19) } a \geq 1/2$ **by auto**

moreover from R19-R27.strategyproofness(1) **have** $\text{pmf (sds R19) } d \geq 1/2$

by auto

ultimately show $\text{pmf (sds R19) } a = 1/2 \text{ pmf (sds R19) } d = 1/2 \text{ pmf (sds R19) } b = 0$

using lottery-conditions[OF R19.wf] **by** (*auto simp del: pmf-nonneg*)

qed

thus $\text{pmf (sds R19) } a = 1/2 \text{ pmf (sds R19) } b = 0 \text{ pmf (sds R19) } c = 0 \text{ pmf (sds R19) } d = 1/2$

by blast+

qed

lemma R1 [simp]: $\text{pmf (sds R1) } a = 1/2 \text{ pmf (sds R1) } b = 0$

proof –

from R19-R1.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R1.wf]

have $\text{pmf (sds R1) } a + \text{pmf (sds R1) } b \leq 1/2$ **by simp**

with R1-R19.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R1.wf]

show $\text{pmf (sds R1) } a = 1/2 \text{ pmf (sds R1) } b = 0$ **by auto**

qed

lemma R22 [simp]: $\text{pmf (sds R22) } a = 1/2 \text{ pmf (sds R22) } b = 0 \text{ pmf (sds R22) } c = 0 \text{ pmf (sds R22) } d = 1/2$

proof –

from R33-R5.strategyproofness(1) R33.support

have $1/2 \leq \text{pmf (sds R33) } a$ **by auto**

also from R33-R22.strategyproofness(1) R22.support R33.support

lottery-conditions[OF R22.wf] lottery-conditions[OF R33.wf]

have ... \leq $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R22) a$ **by** *simp*
finally show $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R22) a = 1/2 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds } R22) b = 0 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds } R22) c = 0 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds } R22) d = 1/2$
using *R22-R29.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R22.wf]* **by** (*auto simp del: pmf-nonneg*)
qed

lemma *R28 [simp]: pmf (sds R28) a = 1/2 pmf (sds R28) b = 0 pmf (sds R28) c = 0 pmf (sds R28) d = 1/2*

proof –
have $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R28) a \leq \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R32) d$
using *R32-R28.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R32.wf]* **by** *auto*
hence *R32-d: pmf (sds R32) d = pmf (sds R28) a*
using *R28-R32.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R32.wf]* **by** *auto*

from *R22-R32.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R32.wf] R32.support*
have $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R32) a \leq 1/2$ **by** *auto*
with *R32-R22.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R32.wf] R32.support*
show $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R28) a = 1/2 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds } R28) b = 0 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds } R28) c = 0 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds } R28) d = 1/2$
by (*auto simp: R32-d simp del: pmf-nonneg*)
qed

lemma *R39 [simp]: pmf (sds R39) a = 1/2 pmf (sds R39) b = 0 pmf (sds R39) c = 0 pmf (sds R39) d = 1/2*

proof –
from *R28-R39.strategyproofness(1) show pmf (sds R39) c = 0 by simp*
thus $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R39) a = 1/2 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds } R39) b = 0 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds } R39) d = 1/2$
by *simp-all*
qed

lemma *R2 [simp]: pmf (sds R2) a = 1/2 pmf (sds R2) b = 0 pmf (sds R2) c = 0 pmf (sds R2) d = 1/2*

proof –
from *R1-R2.strategyproofness(1) R2-R1.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R2.wf] lottery-conditions[OF R1.wf]*
have $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R2) a = 1/2 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds } R2) c + \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R2) d = 1/2$
by (*auto simp: algebra-simps simp del: pmf-nonneg*)
with *R39-R2.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R2.wf]*
show $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R2) a = 1/2 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds } R2) b = 0 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds } R2) c = 0 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds } R2) d = 1/2$
by *auto*
qed

lemma *R42 [simp]: pmf (sds R42) a = 0 pmf (sds R42) b = 0 pmf (sds R42) c = 1/2 pmf (sds R42) d = 1/2*

proof –
from *R17-R5.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R17.wf] R17.support*
have $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R17) d \leq 1/2$ **by** *auto*

moreover from $R5-R17.strategyproofness(1)$ $R17.support$ $lottery-conditions[OF R17.wf]$
have $pmf (sds R17) d \geq 1/2$ **by** *auto*
ultimately have $R17-d: pmf (sds R17) d = 1/2$ **by** *simp*

from $R6-R42.strategyproofness(1)$
have $pmf (sds R42) a + pmf (sds R42) c \leq pmf (sds R6) a + pmf (sds R6) c$ **by** *simp*
also from $R6-R19.strategyproofness(1)$ $lottery-conditions[OF R6.wf]$
have $pmf (sds R6) a + pmf (sds R6) c \leq 1/2$ **by** (*auto simp del: pmf-nonneg*)
finally have $pmf (sds R42) a + pmf (sds R42) c \leq 1 / 2$.
moreover from $R17-R11.strategyproofness(1)$ $R11.support$ $R17.support$
 $lottery-conditions[OF R11.wf]$ $lottery-conditions[OF R17.wf]$
have $pmf (sds R11) d \geq 1/2$ **by** (*auto simp: R17-d*)
ultimately have $pmf (sds R42) a + pmf (sds R42) c \leq pmf (sds R11) d$ **by** *simp*
with $R42-R11.strategyproofness(1)$ $R11.support$
have $E: pmf (sds R11) d \leq pmf (sds R42) c$ **by** *auto*
with $\langle pmf (sds R11) d \geq 1/2 \rangle$ **have** $pmf (sds R42) c \geq 1/2$ **by** *simp*
moreover from $R17-R3.strategyproofness(1)$ $R3.support$ $R17.support$
 $lottery-conditions[OF R17.wf]$ $lottery-conditions[OF R3.wf]$
have $pmf (sds R3) d \geq 1/2$ **by** (*auto simp: R17-d*)
ultimately show $pmf (sds R42) a = 0$ $pmf (sds R42) b = 0$ $pmf (sds R42) c = 1/2$ $pmf (sds R42) d = 1/2$
using $R42-R3.strategyproofness(1)$ $lottery-conditions[OF R3.wf]$ $lottery-conditions[OF R42.wf]$
by *linarith+*
qed

lemma $R37$ [*simp*]: $pmf (sds R37) a = 1/2$ $pmf (sds R37) b = 0$ $pmf (sds R37) c = 1/2$ $pmf (sds R37) d = 0$
proof –
from $R37-R42.strategyproofness(1)$ $lottery-conditions[OF R37.wf]$
have $pmf (sds R37) a = 1/2 \vee pmf (sds R37) a + pmf (sds R37) b > 1/2$
by (*auto simp del: pmf-nonneg*)
moreover from $R37-R42.strategyproofness(2)$ $lottery-conditions[OF R37.wf]$
have $pmf (sds R37) c = 1/2 \vee pmf (sds R37) c + pmf (sds R37) d > 1/2$
by (*auto simp del: pmf-nonneg*)
ultimately show $pmf (sds R37) a = 1/2$ $pmf (sds R37) b = 0$ $pmf (sds R37) c = 1/2$ $pmf (sds R37) d = 0$
using $lottery-conditions[OF R37.wf]$ **by** (*auto simp del: pmf-nonneg*)
qed

lemma $R24$ [*simp*]: $pmf (sds R24) a = 0$ $pmf (sds R24) b = 0$ $pmf (sds R24) d = 1 - pmf (sds R24) c$
using $R42-R24.strategyproofness(1)$ $lottery-conditions[OF R24.wf]$ **by** (*auto simp del: pmf-nonneg*)

lemma $R34$ [*simp*]:

$pmf (sds R34) a = 1 - pmf (sds R24) c$ $pmf (sds R34) b = pmf (sds R24) c$
 $pmf (sds R34) c = 0$ $pmf (sds R34) d = 0$

proof –

from $R24$ - $R34$.*strategyproofness*(1) *lottery-conditions*[$OF R34$.*wf*]
have $pmf (sds R34) b \leq pmf (sds R24) c$ **by** (*auto simp del: pmf-nonneg*)
moreover from $R34$ - $R24$.*strategyproofness*(1) *lottery-conditions*[$OF R34$.*wf*]
have $pmf (sds R34) b \geq pmf (sds R24) c$ **by** *auto*
ultimately show $bc: pmf (sds R34) b = pmf (sds R24) c$ **by** *simp*
from $R34$ - $R24$.*strategyproofness*(1) bc *lottery-conditions*[$OF R34$.*wf*]
show $pmf (sds R34) c = 0$ **by** *auto*
moreover from $R24$ - $R34$.*strategyproofness*(1) bc **show** $pmf (sds R34) d = 0$
by *simp*
ultimately show $pmf (sds R34) a = 1 - pmf (sds R24) c$
using bc *lottery-conditions*[$OF R34$.*wf*] **by** *auto*
qed

lemma $R14$ [*simp*]: $pmf (sds R14) b = 0$ $pmf (sds R14) d = 0$ $pmf (sds R14) c$
 $= 1 - pmf (sds R14) a$
using $R14$ - $R34$.*strategyproofness*(1) $R14$.*support* *lottery-conditions*[$OF R14$.*wf*]
by (*auto simp del: pmf-nonneg*)

lemma $R46$ [*simp*]: $pmf (sds R46) a = 0$ $pmf (sds R46) c = 0$ $pmf (sds R46) d$
 $= 1 - pmf (sds R46) b$
using $R46$ - $R37$.*strategyproofness*(1) *lottery-conditions*[$OF R46$.*wf*] **by** *auto*

lemma $R20$ [*simp*]: $pmf (sds R20) a = 0$ $pmf (sds R20) c = 0$ $pmf (sds R20) d$
 $= 1 - pmf (sds R20) b$
using $R46$ - $R20$.*strategyproofness*(1) *lottery-conditions*[$OF R20$.*wf*] **by** (*auto simp del: pmf-nonneg*)

lemma $R21$ [*simp*]: $pmf (sds R21) d = 1 - pmf (sds R21) a$ $pmf (sds R21) b$
 $= 0$ $pmf (sds R21) c = 0$
using $R20$ - $R21$.*strategyproofness*(1) *lottery-conditions*[$OF R21$.*wf*] **by** *auto*

lemma $R16$ - $R12$: $pmf (sds R16) c + pmf (sds R16) a \leq pmf (sds R12) a$
using $R12$ - $R16$.*strategyproofness*(1) $R16$.*support* *lottery-conditions*[$OF R16$.*wf*]
by *auto*

lemma $R16$ [*simp*]: $pmf (sds R16) b = 0$ $pmf (sds R16) c = 0$ $pmf (sds R16) d$
 $= 1 - pmf (sds R16) a$

proof –

from $R16$ - $R12$ **have** $pmf (sds R16) c + pmf (sds R16) a \leq pmf (sds R12) a$
by *simp*
also from $R44$ - $R40$.*strategyproofness*(1) *lottery-conditions*[$OF R40$.*wf*] $R40$.*support*
have $pmf (sds R12) a \leq pmf (sds R40) a$ **by** *auto*
also from $R9$ - $R40$.*strategyproofness*(1) $R9$.*support* $R40$.*support*
have $pmf (sds R40) a \leq pmf (sds R9) a$ **by** *auto*

finally have $\text{pmf } (sds \ R16) \ c + \text{pmf } (sds \ R16) \ a \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R9) \ a$ **by** *simp*
moreover from $R14\text{-}R16.\text{strategyproofness}(1) \ R16.\text{support}$ *lottery-conditions*[*OF R16.wf*]
have $\text{pmf } (sds \ R16) \ a \geq \text{pmf } (sds \ R14) \ a$ **by** *auto*
ultimately have $\text{pmf } (sds \ R16) \ c \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R9) \ a - \text{pmf } (sds \ R14) \ a$ **by**
simp
also from $R14\text{-}R9.\text{strategyproofness}(1) \ R9.\text{support}$ *lottery-conditions*[*OF R9.wf*]
have $\text{pmf } (sds \ R9) \ a - \text{pmf } (sds \ R14) \ a \leq 0$ **by** (*auto simp del: pmf-nonneg*)
finally show $\text{pmf } (sds \ R16) \ b = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R16) \ c = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R16) \ d = 1$
 $- \text{pmf } (sds \ R16) \ a$
using *lottery-conditions*[*OF R16.wf*] $R16.\text{support}$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma $R12\text{-}R14$: $\text{pmf } (sds \ R14) \ a \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R12) \ a$
using $R14\text{-}R16.\text{strategyproofness}(1) \ R16\text{-}R12$ **by** *auto*

lemma $R12\text{-}a$ [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (sds \ R12) \ a = \text{pmf } (sds \ R9) \ a$
proof –
from $R44\text{-}R40.\text{strategyproofness}(1) \ R40.\text{support}$ *lottery-conditions*[*OF R40.wf*]
have $\text{pmf } (sds \ R12) \ a \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R40) \ a$ **by** *auto*
also from $R9\text{-}R40.\text{strategyproofness}(1) \ R9.\text{support}$ $R40.\text{support}$
have $\text{pmf } (sds \ R40) \ a \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R9) \ a$ **by** *auto*
finally have B : $\text{pmf } (sds \ R12) \ a \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R9) \ a$ **by** *simp*
moreover from $R14\text{-}R9.\text{strategyproofness}(1) \ \text{lottery-conditions}$ [*OF R9.wf*] $R9.\text{support}$
have $\text{pmf } (sds \ R9) \ a \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R14) \ a$ **by** (*auto simp del: pmf-nonneg*)
with $R12\text{-}R14$ **have** $\text{pmf } (sds \ R9) \ a \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R12) \ a$ **by** *simp*
ultimately show $\text{pmf } (sds \ R12) \ a = \text{pmf } (sds \ R9) \ a$ **by** *simp*
qed

lemma $R9$ [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (sds \ R9) \ b = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R9) \ d = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R14) \ a =$
 $\text{pmf } (sds \ R35) \ a \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R9) \ c = 1 - \text{pmf } (sds \ R35) \ a$
using $R12\text{-}R14 \ R14\text{-}R9.\text{strategyproofness}(1) \ \text{lottery-conditions}$ [*OF R9.wf*] $R9.\text{support}$
by *auto*

lemma $R23$ [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (sds \ R23) \ b = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R23) \ c = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R23) \ d$
 $= 1 - \text{pmf } (sds \ R23) \ a$
using $R23\text{-}R19.\text{strategyproofness}(1) \ \text{lottery-conditions}$ [*OF R23.wf*] $R23.\text{support}$
by (*auto simp del: pmf-nonneg*)

lemma $R35$ [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (sds \ R35) \ a = \text{pmf } (sds \ R21) \ a \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R35) \ b = 0$
 $\text{pmf } (sds \ R35) \ c = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R35) \ d = 1 - \text{pmf } (sds \ R21) \ a$
proof –
from $R35\text{-}R21.\text{strategyproofness}(1) \ R35.\text{support}$
have $\text{pmf } (sds \ R21) \ a \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R35) \ a + \text{pmf } (sds \ R35) \ c$ **by** *auto*
with $R21\text{-}R35.\text{strategyproofness}(1) \ R35.\text{support}$ *lottery-conditions*[*OF R35.wf*]
show $\text{pmf } (sds \ R35) \ a = \text{pmf } (sds \ R21) \ a \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R35) \ b = 0$
 $\text{pmf } (sds \ R35) \ c = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R35) \ d = 1 - \text{pmf } (sds \ R21) \ a$ **by** *simp-all*

qed

lemma *R18* [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R18) a = \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R14) a \text{ pmf } (\text{sds } R18) b = 0$
 $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R18) d = 0 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds } R18) c = 1 - \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R14) a$

proof –

from *R23-R12.strategyproofness(1)*

have *R21-R23*: $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R21) a \leq \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R23) a$ **by** *simp*

from *R23-R18.strategyproofness(1)*

have $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R18) d \leq \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R21) a - \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R23) a$ **by** *simp*

also from *R21-R23* **have** $\dots \leq 0$ **by** *simp*

finally show $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R18) d = 0$ **by** *simp*

with *lottery-conditions[OF R18.wf]* *R18.support*

show $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R18) a = \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R14) a$

$\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R18) c = 1 - \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R14) a$ **by** *auto*

qed (*insert R18.support, simp-all*)

lemma *R4* [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R4) a = \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R21) a \text{ pmf } (\text{sds } R4) b = 0$
 $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R4) c = 1 - \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R4) a \text{ pmf } (\text{sds } R4) d = 0$

proof –

from *R30-R21.strategyproofness(1)* *R30.support* *lottery-conditions[OF R30.wf]*

have $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R4) c + \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R21) a \leq \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R4) c + \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R30)$

a **by** *auto*

also {

have $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R30) a \leq \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R47) a$

using *R47-R30.strategyproofness(1)* *R30.support* *R47.support*

lottery-conditions[OF R4.wf] *lottery-conditions[OF R47.wf]* **by** *auto*

moreover from *R4-R47.strategyproofness(1)* *R4.support* *R47.support*

lottery-conditions[OF R4.wf] *lottery-conditions[OF R47.wf]*

have $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R4) c \leq \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R47) c$ **by** *simp*

ultimately have $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R4) c + \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R30) a \leq 1 - \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R47) d$

using *lottery-conditions[OF R47.wf]* *R47.support* **by** *simp*

}

finally have $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R4) c + \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R14) a \leq 1$

using *lottery-conditions[OF R47.wf]* **by** (*auto simp del: pmf-nonneg*)

with *R4-R18.strategyproofness(1)* *lottery-conditions[OF R4.wf]* *R4.support*

show $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R4) a = \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R21) a \text{ pmf } (\text{sds } R4) b = 0$

$\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R4) c = 1 - \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R4) a \text{ pmf } (\text{sds } R4) d = 0$ **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *R8-d* [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R8) d = 1 - \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R8) a$

and *R8-c* [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R8) c = 0$

and *R26-a* [*simp*]: $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R26) a = 1 - \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R8) a$

proof –

from *R8-R26.strategyproofness(2)* *R8.support* *lottery-conditions[OF R8.wf]*

have $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R26) a \leq \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R8) d$ **by** *auto*

with *R26-R8.strategyproofness(2)* *R8.support* *lottery-conditions[OF R8.wf]*

have $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R26) a = \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R8) d$ **by** *auto*

with *R8-R26.strategyproofness(2)* *R8.support* *lottery-conditions[OF R8.wf]*

show $\text{pmf } (sds \ R8) \ c = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R8) \ d = 1 - \text{pmf } (sds \ R8) \ a$
 $\text{pmf } (sds \ R26) \ a = 1 - \text{pmf } (sds \ R8) \ a$ **by** $(\text{auto simp del: pmf-nonneg})$
qed

lemma *R21-R47*: $\text{pmf } (sds \ R21) \ d \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R47) \ c$
using *R4-R47.strategyproofness(1)* *R4.support* *R47.support*
lottery-conditions[OF R4.wf] *lottery-conditions[OF R47.wf]*
by *auto*

lemma *R30 [simp]*: $\text{pmf } (sds \ R30) \ a = \text{pmf } (sds \ R47) \ a \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R30) \ b = 0$
 $\text{pmf } (sds \ R30) \ c = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R30) \ d = 1 - \text{pmf } (sds \ R47) \ a$
proof –
have *A*: $\text{pmf } (sds \ R30) \ a \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R47) \ a$
using *R47-R30.strategyproofness(1)* *R30.support* *R47.support*
lottery-conditions[OF R4.wf] *lottery-conditions[OF R47.wf]* **by** *auto*
with *R21-R47* *R30-R21.strategyproofness(1)*
lottery-conditions[OF R30.wf] *lottery-conditions[OF R47.wf]*
show $\text{pmf } (sds \ R30) \ a = \text{pmf } (sds \ R47) \ a \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R30) \ b = 0$
 $\text{pmf } (sds \ R30) \ c = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R30) \ d = 1 - \text{pmf } (sds \ R47) \ a$
by $(\text{auto simp: R30.support R47.support simp del: pmf-nonneg})$
qed

lemma *R31-c-ge-one-half*: $\text{pmf } (sds \ R31) \ c \geq 1/2$
proof –
from *R25.support* **have** $\text{pmf } (sds \ R25) \ a \geq 1/2$
proof
assume $\text{pmf } (sds \ R25) \ c = 0$
with *R25-R36.strategyproofness(1)* *lottery-conditions[OF R36.wf]*
show $\text{pmf } (sds \ R25) \ a \geq 1/2$ **by** $(\text{auto simp del: pmf-nonneg})$
next
assume *[simp]*: $\text{pmf } (sds \ R25) \ b = 0$
from *R36-R25.strategyproofness(1)* *lottery-conditions[OF R25.wf]*
have $\text{pmf } (sds \ R25) \ c + \text{pmf } (sds \ R25) \ a \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R36) \ c + 1 / 2$ **by**
auto
with *R25-R36.strategyproofness(1)* **show** $\text{pmf } (sds \ R25) \ a \geq 1/2$ **by** *auto*
qed
hence $\text{pmf } (sds \ R26) \ a \geq 1/2$
using *R25-R26.strategyproofness(1)* *lottery-conditions[OF R25.wf]* **by** $(\text{auto simp del: pmf-nonneg})$
with *lottery-conditions[OF R47.wf]*
have $1/2 \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R26) \ a + \text{pmf } (sds \ R47) \ d$ **by** $(\text{simp del: pmf-nonneg})$
also have $\dots = 1 - \text{pmf } (sds \ R8) \ a + \text{pmf } (sds \ R47) \ d$ **by** *simp*
also from *R4-R8.strategyproofness(1)*
have $1 - \text{pmf } (sds \ R8) \ a \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R21) \ d$ **by** *auto*
also note *R21-R47*
also from *R30-R41.strategyproofness(1)* *R41.support*
lottery-conditions[OF R41.wf] *lottery-conditions[OF R47.wf]*
have $\text{pmf } (sds \ R47) \ c + \text{pmf } (sds \ R47) \ d \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R41) \ d$ **by** $(\text{auto simp del: pmf-nonneg})$

also from $R41$ - $R31$.strategyproofness(1) $R41$.support lottery-conditions[OF $R31$.wf]

lottery-conditions[OF $R41$.wf]
have pmf (sds $R41$) $d \leq pmf$ (sds $R31$) c **by** *auto*
finally show pmf (sds $R31$) $c \geq 1/2$ **by** *simp*
qed

lemma $R31$: pmf (sds $R31$) $a = 0$ pmf (sds $R31$) $c = 1/2$ pmf (sds $R31$) $b + pmf$ (sds $R31$) $d = 1/2$

proof –

from $R2$ - $R38$.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF $R38$.wf]
have A : pmf (sds $R38$) $b + pmf$ (sds $R38$) $d \geq 1/2$ **by** *auto*
with $R31$ -c-ge-one-half $R31$ - $R38$.strategyproofness(1)
lottery-conditions[OF $R31$.wf] lottery-conditions[OF $R38$.wf]
have pmf (sds $R38$) $b + pmf$ (sds $R38$) $d = pmf$ (sds $R31$) $d + pmf$ (sds $R31$)
 b **by** *auto*
with $R31$ -c-ge-one-half A lottery-conditions[OF $R31$.wf] lottery-conditions[OF
 $R38$.wf]
show pmf (sds $R31$) $a = 0$ pmf (sds $R31$) $c = 1/2$ pmf (sds $R31$) $b + pmf$
(sds $R31$) $d = 1/2$
by *linarith+*
qed

lemma *absurd*: *False*

using $R31$ $R45$ - $R31$.strategyproofness(2) **by** *simp*

end

1.4 Lifting to more than 4 agents and alternatives

lemma *finite-list'*:

assumes *finite* A

obtains xs **where** $A = set\ xs$ *distinct* xs $length\ xs = card\ A$

proof –

from *assms* **obtain** xs **where** $set\ xs = A$ **using** *finite-list* **by** *blast*

thus *?thesis* **using** *distinct-card*[*of* *remdups* xs]

by (*intro* *that*[*of* *remdups* xs]) *simp-all*

qed

lemma *finite-list-subset*:

assumes *finite* A $card\ A \geq n$

obtains xs **where** $set\ xs \subseteq A$ *distinct* xs $length\ xs = n$

proof –

obtain xs **where** $A = set\ xs$ *distinct* xs $length\ xs = card\ A$

using *finite-list'*[*OF assms(1)*] **by** *blast*
with *assms* **show** *?thesis*
by (*intro that*[*of take n xs*]) (*simp-all add: set-take-subset*)
qed

lemma *card-ge-4E*:
assumes *finite A card A ≥ 4*
obtains *a b c d* **where** *distinct [a,b,c,d] {a,b,c,d} ⊆ A*
proof –
from *assms* **obtain** *xs* **where** *xs: set xs ⊆ A distinct xs length xs = 4*
by (*rule finite-list-subset*)
then obtain *a b c d* **where** *xs = [a, b, c, d]*
by (*auto simp: eval-nat-numeral length-Suc-conv*)
with *xs* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*intro that*[*of a b c d*]) *simp-all*
qed

context *sds-impossibility*
begin

lemma *absurd: False*
proof –
from *card-ge-4E*[*OF finite-agents agents-ge-4*]
obtain *A1 A2 A3 A4* **where** *agents: distinct [A1, A2, A3, A4] {A1, A2, A3, A4} ⊆ agents .*
from *card-ge-4E*[*OF finite-alts alts-ge-4*]
obtain *a b c d* **where** *alts: distinct [a, b, c, d] {a, b, c, d} ⊆ alts .*
define *agents' alts'* **where** *agents' = {A1,A2,A3,A4}* **and** *alts' = {a,b,c,d}*
from *agents alts*
interpret *sds-lowering-anonymous-neutral-sdeff-stratproof agents alts sds agents' alts'*
unfolding *agents'-def alts'-def* **by** *unfold-locales simp-all*
from *agents alts*
interpret *sds-impossibility-4-4 agents' alts' lowered A1 A2 A3 A4 a b c d*
by *unfold-locales (simp-all add: agents'-def alts'-def)*
from *absurd* **show** *False .*
qed

end

end

References

- [1] F. Brandl, F. Brandt, and C. Geist. Proving the incompatibility of Efficiency and Strategyproofness via SMT solving. *Proceedings of the 25th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*, 2016. Forthcoming.

- [2] F. Brandl, F. Brandt, and W. Suksompong. The impossibility of extending Random Dictatorship to weak preferences. *Economics Letters*, 141:pp. 44 – 47, 2016.