

# The Incompatibility of *SD*-Efficiency and *SD*-Strategy-Proofness

Manuel Eberl

August 16, 2018

## Abstract

This formalisation contains the proof that there is no anonymous and neutral Social Decision Scheme for at least four voters and alternatives that fulfils both *SD*-Efficiency and *SD*-Strategy-Proofness. The proof is a fully structured and quasi-human-readable one. It was derived from the (unstructured) SMT proof of the case for exactly four voters and alternatives by Brandl *et al.* [1].

Their proof relies on an unverified translation of the original problem to SMT, and the proof that lifts the argument for exactly four voters and alternatives to the general case is also not machine-checked.

In this Isabelle proof, on the other hand, all of these steps are also fully proven and machine-checked. This is particularly important seeing as a previously published informal proof of a weaker statement contained a mistake in precisely this lifting step. [2]

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Incompatibility of SD-Efficiency and SD-Strategy-Proofness</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Preliminary Definitions . . . . .	2
1.2	Definition of Preference Profiles and Fact Gathering . . . . .	3
1.3	Main Proof . . . . .	6
1.4	Lifting to more than 4 agents and alternatives . . . . .	17

# 1 Incompatibility of SD-Efficiency and SD-Strategy-Proofness

```
theory SDS-Impossibility
imports
  Randomised-Social-Choice.SDS-Automation
  Randomised-Social-Choice.Randomised-Social-Choice
begin
```

## 1.1 Preliminary Definitions

```
locale sds-impossibility =
  anonymous-sds agents alts sds +
  neutral-sds agents alts sds +
  sd-efficient-sds agents alts sds +
  strategyproof-sds agents alts sds
  for agents :: 'agent set and alts :: 'alt set and sds +
  assumes agents-ge-4: card agents  $\geq$  4
    and alts-ge-4: card alts  $\geq$  4

locale sds-impossibility-4-4 = sds-impossibility agents alts sds
  for agents :: 'agent set and alts :: 'alt set and sds +
  fixes A1 A2 A3 A4 :: 'agent and a b c d :: 'alt
  assumes distinct-agents: distinct [A1, A2, A3, A4]
    and distinct-alts: distinct [a, b, c, d]
    and agents: agents = {A1, A2, A3, A4}
    and alts: alts = {a, b, c, d}
begin
```

**lemma** *an-sds*: *an-sds agents alts sds* **by** *unfold-locales*

**lemma** *ex-post-efficient-sds*: *ex-post-efficient-sds agents alts sds* **by** *unfold-locales*

**lemma** *sd-efficient-sds*: *sd-efficient-sds agents alts sds* **by** *unfold-locales*

**lemma** *strategyproof-an-sds*: *strategyproof-an-sds agents alts sds* **by** *unfold-locales*

**lemma** *distinct-agents'* [*simp*]:

```
A1  $\neq$  A2 A1  $\neq$  A3 A1  $\neq$  A4 A2  $\neq$  A1 A2  $\neq$  A3 A2  $\neq$  A4
A3  $\neq$  A1 A3  $\neq$  A2 A3  $\neq$  A4 A4  $\neq$  A1 A4  $\neq$  A2 A4  $\neq$  A3
using distinct-agents by auto
```

**lemma** *distinct-alts'* [*simp*]:

```
a  $\neq$  b a  $\neq$  c a  $\neq$  d b  $\neq$  a b  $\neq$  c b  $\neq$  d
c  $\neq$  a c  $\neq$  b c  $\neq$  d d  $\neq$  a d  $\neq$  b d  $\neq$  c
using distinct-alts by auto
```

**lemma** *card-agents* [*simp*]: *card agents = 4* **and** *card-alts* [*simp*]: *card alts = 4*  
**using** *distinct-agents distinct-alts* **by** (*simp-all add: agents alts*)

**lemma** *in-agents* [*simp*]: *A1  $\in$  agents A2  $\in$  agents A3  $\in$  agents A4  $\in$  agents*  
**by** (*simp-all add: agents*)

**lemma** *in-alt*s [simp]:  $a \in \text{alts } b \in \text{alts } c \in \text{alts } d \in \text{alts}$   
**by** (*simp-all add: alts*)

**lemma** *agent-iff*:  $x \in \text{agents} \longleftrightarrow x \in \{A1, A2, A3, A4\}$   
 $(\forall x \in \text{agents}. P x) \longleftrightarrow P A1 \wedge P A2 \wedge P A3 \wedge P A4$   
 $(\exists x \in \text{agents}. P x) \longleftrightarrow P A1 \vee P A2 \vee P A3 \vee P A4$   
**by** (*auto simp add: agents*)

**lemma** *alt-iff*:  $x \in \text{alts} \longleftrightarrow x \in \{a, b, c, d\}$   
 $(\forall x \in \text{alts}. P x) \longleftrightarrow P a \wedge P b \wedge P c \wedge P d$   
 $(\exists x \in \text{alts}. P x) \longleftrightarrow P a \vee P b \vee P c \vee P d$   
**by** (*auto simp add: alts*)

## 1.2 Definition of Preference Profiles and Fact Gathering

### preference-profile

*agents: agents*  
*alts: alts*

**where**  $R1 = A1: [c, d], [a, b] \quad A2: [b, d], a, c \quad A3: a, b, [c, d] \quad A4: [a, c], [b, d]$   
**and**  $R2 = A1: [a, c], [b, d] \quad A2: [c, d], a, b \quad A3: [b, d], a, c \quad A4: a, b, [c, d]$   
**and**  $R3 = A1: [a, b], [c, d] \quad A2: [c, d], [a, b] \quad A3: d, [a, b], c \quad A4: c, a, [b, d]$   
**and**  $R4 = A1: [a, b], [c, d] \quad A2: [a, d], [b, c] \quad A3: c, [a, b], d \quad A4: d, c, [a, b]$   
**and**  $R5 = A1: [c, d], [a, b] \quad A2: [a, b], [c, d] \quad A3: [a, c], d, b \quad A4: d, [a, b], c$   
**and**  $R6 = A1: [a, b], [c, d] \quad A2: [c, d], [a, b] \quad A3: [a, c], [b, d] \quad A4: d, b, a, c$   
**and**  $R7 = A1: [a, b], [c, d] \quad A2: [c, d], [a, b] \quad A3: a, c, d, b \quad A4: d, [a, b], c$   
**and**  $R8 = A1: [a, b], [c, d] \quad A2: [a, c], [b, d] \quad A3: d, [a, b], c \quad A4: d, c, [a, b]$   
**and**  $R9 = A1: [a, b], [c, d] \quad A2: [a, d], c, b \quad A3: d, c, [a, b] \quad A4: [a, b, c], d$   
**and**  $R10 = A1: [a, b], [c, d] \quad A2: [c, d], [a, b] \quad A3: [a, c], d, b \quad A4: [b, d], a, c$   
**and**  $R11 = A1: [a, b], [c, d] \quad A2: [c, d], [a, b] \quad A3: d, [a, b], c \quad A4: c, a, b, d$   
**and**  $R12 = A1: [c, d], [a, b] \quad A2: [a, b], [c, d] \quad A3: [a, c], d, b \quad A4: [a, b, d], c$   
**and**  $R13 = A1: [a, c], [b, d] \quad A2: [c, d], a, b \quad A3: [b, d], a, c \quad A4: a, b, d, c$   
**and**  $R14 = A1: [a, b], [c, d] \quad A2: d, c, [a, b] \quad A3: [a, b, c], d \quad A4: a, d, c, b$   
**and**  $R15 = A1: [a, b], [c, d] \quad A2: [c, d], [a, b] \quad A3: [b, d], a, c \quad A4: a, c, d, b$

**and**  $R16 = A1: [a, b], [c, d]$      $A2: [c, d], [a, b]$      $A3: a, c, d, b$      $A4: [a, b, d], c$   
**and**  $R17 = A1: [a, b], [c, d]$      $A2: [c, d], [a, b]$      $A3: [a, c], [b, d]$      $A4: d, [a, b], c$   
**and**  $R18 = A1: [a, b], [c, d]$      $A2: [a, d], [b, c]$      $A3: [a, b, c], d$      $A4: d, c, [a, b]$   
**and**  $R19 = A1: [a, b], [c, d]$      $A2: [c, d], [a, b]$      $A3: [b, d], a, c$      $A4: [a, c], [b, d]$   
**and**  $R20 = A1: [b, d], a, c$      $A2: b, a, [c, d]$      $A3: a, c, [b, d]$      $A4: d, c, [a, b]$   
**and**  $R21 = A1: [a, d], c, b$      $A2: d, c, [a, b]$      $A3: c, [a, b], d$      $A4: a, b, [c, d]$   
**and**  $R22 = A1: [a, c], d, b$      $A2: d, c, [a, b]$      $A3: d, [a, b], c$      $A4: a, b, [c, d]$   
**and**  $R23 = A1: [a, b], [c, d]$      $A2: [c, d], [a, b]$      $A3: [a, c], [b, d]$      $A4: [a, b, d], c$   
**and**  $R24 = A1: [c, d], [a, b]$      $A2: d, b, a, c$      $A3: c, a, [b, d]$      $A4: b, a, [c, d]$   
**and**  $R25 = A1: [c, d], [a, b]$      $A2: [b, d], a, c$      $A3: a, b, [c, d]$      $A4: a, c, [b, d]$   
**and**  $R26 = A1: [b, d], [a, c]$      $A2: [c, d], [a, b]$      $A3: a, b, [c, d]$      $A4: a, c, [b, d]$   
**and**  $R27 = A1: [a, b], [c, d]$      $A2: [b, d], a, c$      $A3: [a, c], [b, d]$      $A4: [c, d], a, b$   
**and**  $R28 = A1: [c, d], a, b$      $A2: [b, d], a, c$      $A3: a, b, [c, d]$      $A4: a, c, [b, d]$   
**and**  $R29 = A1: [a, c], d, b$      $A2: [b, d], a, c$      $A3: a, b, [c, d]$      $A4: d, c, [a, b]$   
**and**  $R30 = A1: [a, d], c, b$      $A2: d, c, [a, b]$      $A3: c, [a, b], d$      $A4: [a, b], d, c$   
**and**  $R31 = A1: [b, d], a, c$      $A2: [a, c], d, b$      $A3: c, d, [a, b]$      $A4: [a, b], c, d$   
**and**  $R32 = A1: [a, c], d, b$      $A2: d, c, [a, b]$      $A3: d, [a, b], c$      $A4: [a, b], d, c$   
**and**  $R33 = A1: [c, d], [a, b]$      $A2: [a, c], d, b$      $A3: a, b, [c, d]$      $A4: d, [a, b], c$   
**and**  $R34 = A1: [a, b], [c, d]$      $A2: a, c, d, b$      $A3: b, [a, d], c$      $A4: c, d, [a, b]$   
**and**  $R35 = A1: [a, d], c, b$      $A2: a, b, [c, d]$      $A3: [a, b, c], d$      $A4: d, c, [a, b]$   
**and**  $R36 = A1: [c, d], [a, b]$      $A2: [a, c], d, b$      $A3: [b, d], a, c$      $A4: a, b, [c, d]$   
**and**  $R37 = A1: [a, c], [b, d]$      $A2: [b, d], [a, c]$      $A3: a, b, [c, d]$      $A4: c, d, [a, b]$   
**and**  $R38 = A1: [c, d], a, b$      $A2: [b, d], a, c$      $A3: a, b, [c, d]$      $A4: [a, c], b, d$   
**and**  $R39 = A1: [a, c], d, b$      $A2: [b, d], a, c$      $A3: a, b, [c, d]$      $A4: [c, d], a, b$   
**and**  $R40 = A1: [a, d], c, b$      $A2: [a, b], c, d$      $A3: [a, b, c], d$      $A4: d, [a, b], c$

$c, [a, b]$   
**and**  $R41 = A1: [a, d], c, b \quad A2: [a, b], d, c \quad A3: [a, b, c], d \quad A4: d,$   
 $c, [a, b]$   
**and**  $R42 = A1: [c, d], [a, b] \quad A2: [a, b], [c, d] \quad A3: d, b, a, c \quad A4: c,$   
 $a, [b, d]$   
**and**  $R43 = A1: [a, b], [c, d] \quad A2: [c, d], [a, b] \quad A3: d, [a, b], c \quad A4: a,$   
 $[c, d], b$   
**and**  $R44 = A1: [c, d], [a, b] \quad A2: [a, c], d, b \quad A3: [a, b], d, c \quad A4: [a,$   
 $b, d], c$   
**and**  $R45 = A1: [a, c], d, b \quad A2: [b, d], a, c \quad A3: [a, b], c, d \quad A4: [c,$   
 $d], b, a$   
**and**  $R46 = A1: [b, d], a, c \quad A2: d, c, [a, b] \quad A3: [a, c], [b, d] \quad A4: b,$   
 $a, [c, d]$   
**and**  $R47 = A1: [a, b], [c, d] \quad A2: [a, d], c, b \quad A3: d, c, [a, b] \quad A4: c,$   
 $[a, b], d$   
**by** (*simp-all add: agents alts*)

**derive-orbit-equations** (*an-sds*)  
 $R10 \ R26 \ R27 \ R28 \ R29 \ R43 \ R45$   
**by** *simp-all*

**prove-inefficient-supports** (*ex-post-efficient-sds sd-efficient-sds*)  
 $R3 [b] \text{ and } R4 [b] \text{ and } R5 [b] \text{ and } R7 [b] \text{ and } R8 [b] \text{ and}$   
 $R9 [b] \text{ and } R11 [b] \text{ and } R12 [b] \text{ and } R14 [b] \text{ and } R16 [b] \text{ and}$   
 $R17 [b] \text{ and } R18 [b] \text{ and } R21 [b] \text{ and } R22 [b] \text{ and } R23 [b] \text{ and}$   
 $R30 [b] \text{ and } R32 [b] \text{ and } R33 [b] \text{ and } R35 [b] \text{ and } R40 [b] \text{ and}$   
 $R41 [b] \text{ and } R43 [b] \text{ and } R44 [b] \text{ and } R47 [b] \text{ and}$   
 $R10 [c, b] \text{ witness: } [a: 1 / 2, b: 0, c: 0, d: 1 / 2] \text{ and}$   
 $R15 [c, b] \text{ witness: } [a: 1 / 2, b: 0, c: 0, d: 1 / 2] \text{ and}$   
 $R19 [c, b] \text{ witness: } [a: 1 / 2, b: 0, c: 0, d: 1 / 2] \text{ and}$   
 $R25 [b, c] \text{ witness: } [c: 0, d: 1 / 2, a: 1 / 2, b: 0] \text{ and}$   
 $R26 [c, b] \text{ witness: } [b: 0, d: 1 / 2, a: 1 / 2, c: 0] \text{ and}$   
 $R27 [c, b] \text{ witness: } [a: 1 / 2, b: 0, c: 0, d: 1 / 2] \text{ and}$   
 $R28 [b, c] \text{ witness: } [c: 0, d: 1 / 2, a: 1 / 2, b: 0] \text{ and}$   
 $R29 [b, c] \text{ witness: } [a: 1 / 2, c: 0, d: 1 / 2, b: 0] \text{ and}$   
 $R39 [b, c] \text{ witness: } [a: 1 / 2, c: 0, d: 1 / 2, b: 0]$   
**by** (*simp-all add: agent-iff alt-iff*)

**derive-strategyproofness-conditions** (*strategyproof-an-sds*)  
*distance: 2*  
 $R1 \ R2 \ R3 \ R4 \ R5 \ R6 \ R7 \ R8 \ R9 \ R10 \ R11 \ R12 \ R13 \ R14 \ R15 \ R16 \ R17 \ R18 \ R19$   
 $R20$   
 $R21 \ R22 \ R23 \ R24 \ R25 \ R26 \ R27 \ R28 \ R29 \ R30 \ R31 \ R32 \ R33 \ R34 \ R35 \ R36 \ R37$   
 $R38 \ R39 \ R40$   
 $R41 \ R42 \ R43 \ R44 \ R45 \ R46 \ R47$   
**by** (*simp-all add: agent-iff alt-iff*)

**lemma** *lottery-conditions:*  
**assumes** *is-pref-profile R*

**shows**  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R) \ a \geq 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R) \ b \geq 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R) \ c \geq 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R) \ d \geq 0$   
 $\text{pmf } (sds \ R) \ a + \text{pmf } (sds \ R) \ b + \text{pmf } (sds \ R) \ c + \text{pmf } (sds \ R) \ d = 1$   
**using** *lottery-prob-alts*[*OF sds-wf*[*OF assms*]]  
**by** (*simp-all add: alts pmf-nonneg measure-measure-pmf-finite*)

### 1.3 Main Proof

**lemma** *R45* [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R45) \ a = 1/4 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R45) \ b = 1/4$   
 $\text{pmf } (sds \ R45) \ c = 1/4 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R45) \ d = 1/4$   
**using** *R45.orbits lottery-conditions*[*OF R45.wf*] **by** *simp-all*

**lemma** *R10-bc* [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R10) \ b = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R10) \ c = 0$   
**using** *R10.support R10.orbits* **by** *auto*

**lemma** *R10-ad* [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R10) \ a = 1/2 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R10) \ d = 1/2$   
**using** *lottery-conditions*[*OF R10.wf*] *R10-bc R10.orbits* **by** *simp-all*

**lemma** *R26-bc* [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R26) \ b = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R26) \ c = 0$   
**using** *R26.support R26.orbits* **by** *auto*

**lemma** *R26-d* [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R26) \ d = 1 - \text{pmf } (sds \ R26) \ a$   
**using** *lottery-conditions*[*OF R26.wf*] *R26-bc* **by** *simp*

**lemma** *R27-bc* [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R27) \ b = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R27) \ c = 0$   
**using** *R27.support R27.orbits* **by** *auto*

**lemma** *R27-d* [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R27) \ d = 1 - \text{pmf } (sds \ R27) \ a$   
**using** *lottery-conditions*[*OF R27.wf*] *R27-bc* **by** *simp*

**lemma** *R28-bc* [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R28) \ b = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R28) \ c = 0$   
**using** *R28.support R28.orbits* **by** *auto*

**lemma** *R28-d* [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R28) \ d = 1 - \text{pmf } (sds \ R28) \ a$   
**using** *lottery-conditions*[*OF R28.wf*] *R28-bc* **by** *simp*

**lemma** *R29-bc* [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R29) \ b = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R29) \ c = 0$   
**using** *R29.support R29.orbits* **by** *auto*

**lemma** *R29-ac* [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R29) \ a = 1/2 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R29) \ d = 1/2$   
**using** *lottery-conditions*[*OF R29.wf*] *R29-bc R29.orbits* **by** *simp-all*

**lemmas** *R43-bc* [*simp*] = *R43.support*

**lemma** *R43-ad* [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R43) a = 1/2 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds } R43) d = 1/2$   
**using** *lottery-conditions*[*OF R43.wf*] *R43-bc* *R43.orbits* **by** *simp-all*

**lemma** *R39-b* [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R39) b = 0$

**proof** –

```
{
  assume [simp]:  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R39) c = 0$ 
  with R29-R39.strategyproofness(1)
  have  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R39) d \leq 1/2$  by auto
  with R39-R29.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R39.wf]
  have  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R39) b = 0$  by auto
}
```

**with** *R39.support* **show** *?thesis* **by** *blast*

**qed**

**lemma** *R36-a* [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R36) a = 1/2$  **and** *R36-b* [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R36) b = 0$

**proof** –

```
from R10-R36.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R36.wf]
  have  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R36) a + \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R36) b \leq 1/2$  by auto
  with R36-R10.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R36.wf]
  show  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R36) a = 1/2 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds } R36) b = 0$  by auto
```

**qed**

**lemma** *R36-d* [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R36) d = 1/2 - \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R36) c$   
**using** *lottery-conditions*[*OF R36.wf*] **by** *simp*

**lemma** *R39-a* [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R39) a = 1/2$

**proof** –

```
from R36-R39.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R39.wf]
  have  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R39) a \geq 1/2$  by auto
  with R39-R36.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R39.wf]
  show ?thesis by auto
```

**qed**

**lemma** *R39-d* [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R39) d = 1/2 - \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R39) c$   
**using** *lottery-conditions*[*OF R39.wf*] **by** *simp*

**lemmas** *R12-b* [*simp*] = *R12.support*

**lemma** *R12-c* [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R12) c = 0$

```
using R12-R10.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R12.wf]
by (auto simp del: pmf-nonneg)
```

**lemma** *R12-d* [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R12) d = 1 - \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R12) a$

```

using lottery-conditions[OF R12.wf] by simp

lemma R12-a-ge-one-half: pmf (sds R12) a ≥ 1/2
using R10-R12.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R12.wf]
by auto

lemma R44 [simp]:
  pmf (sds R44) a = pmf (sds R12) a pmf (sds R44) d = 1 - pmf (sds R12) a
  pmf (sds R44) b = 0 pmf (sds R44) c = 0
proof -
  from R12-R44.strategyproofness(1) R44.support have pmf (sds R44) a ≤ pmf
  (sds R12) a by simp
  with R44-R12.strategyproofness(1) R44.support lottery-conditions[OF R44.wf]
  show pmf (sds R44) a = pmf (sds R12) a pmf (sds R44) c = 0
  pmf (sds R44) d = 1 - pmf (sds R12) a by (auto simp del: pmf-nonneg)
qed (insert R44.support, simp-all)

lemma R9-a [simp]: pmf (sds R9) a = pmf (sds R35) a
proof -
  from R9-R35.strategyproofness(1) R35.support R9.support
  have pmf (sds R35) a ≤ pmf (sds R9) a by simp
  with R35-R9.strategyproofness(1) R9.support R35.support show ?thesis by
  simp
qed

lemma R18-c [simp]: pmf (sds R18) c = pmf (sds R9) c
proof -
  from R18-R9.strategyproofness(1) R18.support R9.support
  have pmf (sds R18) d + pmf (sds R18) a ≥ pmf (sds R9) d + pmf (sds R9)
  a by auto
  with R9-R18.strategyproofness(1) R18.support R9.support
  lottery-conditions[OF R9.wf] lottery-conditions[OF R18.wf]
  show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma R5-d-ge-one-half: pmf (sds R5) d ≥ 1/2
using R5-R10.strategyproofness(1) R5.support lottery-conditions[OF R5.wf] by
  auto

lemma R7 [simp]: pmf (sds R7) a = 1/2 pmf (sds R7) b = 0 pmf (sds R7) c
  = 0 pmf (sds R7) d = 1/2
proof -
  from R5-d-ge-one-half have 1/2 ≤ pmf (sds R5) d by simp
  also from R5-R17.strategyproofness(1) R17.support lottery-conditions[OF R5.wf]
  lottery-conditions[OF R17.wf]
  have ... ≤ pmf (sds R17) d by (auto simp del: pmf-nonneg)
  also from R17-R7.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R7.wf] lottery-conditions[OF
  R17.wf] R7.support

```



**have**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R17) d \leq \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R7) d$  **by** (*auto simp del: pmf-nonneg*)  
**finally have**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R7) d \geq 1/2$  .  
**with**  $R7\text{-}R43.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$   $\text{lottery-conditions}[OF R7.wf]$   $R7.\text{support}$   
**show**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R7) a = 1/2$   $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R7) b = 0$   $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R7) c = 0$   $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R7) d = 1/2$   
**by auto**  
**qed**

**lemma**  $R5$  [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R5) a = 1/2$   $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R5) b = 0$   $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R5) c = 0$   $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R5) d = 1/2$   
**proof** –  
**from**  $R5\text{-}R7.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$   $\text{lottery-conditions}[OF R5.wf]$   $R5.\text{support}$   
**have**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R5) d \leq 1/2$  **by auto**  
**with**  $R5\text{-}d\text{-}ge\text{-}one\text{-}half$  **show**  $d: \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R5) d = 1/2$  **by simp**  
**with**  $R5\text{-}R10.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$   $\text{lottery-conditions}[OF R5.wf]$   $R5.\text{support}$   
**show**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R5) c = 0$   $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R5) a = 1/2$  **by simp-all**  
**qed** (*simp-all add: R5.support*)

**lemma**  $R15$  [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R15) a = 1/2$   $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R15) b = 0$   $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R15) c = 0$   $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R15) d = 1/2$   
**proof** –  
{  
**assume**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R15) b = 0$   
**with**  $R10\text{-}R15.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$   $\text{lottery-conditions}[OF R15.wf]$   
**have**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R15) a + \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R15) c \leq 1/2$  **by auto**  
**with**  $R15\text{-}R10.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$   $\text{lottery-conditions}[OF R15.wf]$   
**have**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R15) c = 0$  **by auto**  
}  
**with**  $R15.\text{support}$  **show** [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R15) c = 0$  **by blast**  
**with**  $R15\text{-}R5.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$   $\text{lottery-conditions}[OF R15.wf]$   
**have**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R15) a \geq 1/2$  **by auto**  
**moreover from**  $R15\text{-}R7.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$   $\text{lottery-conditions}[OF R15.wf]$   
**have**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R15) b + \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R15) d \geq 1/2$  **by auto**  
**ultimately show**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R15) a = 1/2$  **using**  $\text{lottery-conditions}[OF R15.wf]$   
**by auto**  
**with**  $R15\text{-}R5.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$   $\text{lottery-conditions}[OF R15.wf]$   
**show**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R15) d = 1/2$   $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R15) b = 0$  **by auto**  
**qed**

**lemma**  $R13\text{-}aux$ :  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R13) b = 0$   $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R13) c = 0$   $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R13) d = 1 - \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R13) a$   
**and**  $R27\text{-}R13$  [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R27) a = \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R13) a$   
**using**  $R27\text{-}R13.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$   $R13\text{-}R27.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$   $\text{lottery-conditions}[OF R13.wf]$  **by auto**

**lemma**  $R13$  [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R13) a = 1/2$   $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R13) b = 0$   $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R13) c = 0$   $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R13) d = 1/2$   
**using**  $R15\text{-}R13.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$   $R13\text{-}R15.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$   $R13\text{-}aux$  **by simp-all**

**lemma R27** [simp]:  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds R27}) \text{ a} = 1/2 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds R27}) \text{ b} = 0 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds R27}) \text{ c} = 0 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds R27}) \text{ d} = 1/2$   
**by** simp-all

**lemma R19** [simp]:  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds R19}) \text{ a} = 1/2 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds R19}) \text{ b} = 0 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds R19}) \text{ c} = 0 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds R19}) \text{ d} = 1/2$

**proof** –

**have**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds R19}) \text{ a} = 1/2 \wedge \text{pmf } (\text{sds R19}) \text{ b} = 0 \wedge \text{pmf } (\text{sds R19}) \text{ c} = 0 \wedge \text{pmf } (\text{sds R19}) \text{ d} = 1/2$

**proof** (rule disjE[OF R19.support]; safe)

**assume** [simp]:  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds R19}) \text{ b} = 0$

**from** R10-R19.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R19.wf]

**have**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds R19}) \text{ a} + \text{pmf } (\text{sds R19}) \text{ c} \leq 1/2$  **by** auto

**moreover from** R19-R10.strategyproofness(1)

**have**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds R19}) \text{ a} + \text{pmf } (\text{sds R19}) \text{ c} \geq 1/2$  **by** simp

**ultimately show**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds R19}) \text{ d} = 1/2$  **using** lottery-conditions[OF R19.wf]

**by** simp

**with** R27-R19.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R19.wf]

**show**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds R19}) \text{ a} = 1/2 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds R19}) \text{ c} = 0$  **by** auto

**next**

**assume** [simp]:  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds R19}) \text{ c} = 0$

**from** R19-R10.strategyproofness(1) **have**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds R19}) \text{ a} \geq 1/2$  **by** auto

**moreover from** R19-R27.strategyproofness(1) **have**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds R19}) \text{ d} \geq 1/2$

**by** auto

**ultimately show**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds R19}) \text{ a} = 1/2 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds R19}) \text{ d} = 1/2 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds R19}) \text{ b} = 0$

**using** lottery-conditions[OF R19.wf] **by** (auto simp del: pmf-nonneg)

**qed**

**thus**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds R19}) \text{ a} = 1/2 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds R19}) \text{ b} = 0 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds R19}) \text{ c} = 0 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds R19}) \text{ d} = 1/2$

**by** blast+

**qed**

**lemma R1** [simp]:  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds R1}) \text{ a} = 1/2 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds R1}) \text{ b} = 0$

**proof** –

**from** R19-R1.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R1.wf]

**have**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds R1}) \text{ a} + \text{pmf } (\text{sds R1}) \text{ b} \leq 1/2$  **by** simp

**with** R1-R19.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R1.wf]

**show**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds R1}) \text{ a} = 1/2 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds R1}) \text{ b} = 0$  **by** auto

**qed**

**lemma R22** [simp]:  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds R22}) \text{ a} = 1/2 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds R22}) \text{ b} = 0 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds R22}) \text{ c} = 0 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds R22}) \text{ d} = 1/2$

**proof** –

**from** R33-R5.strategyproofness(1) R33.support

**have**  $1/2 \leq \text{pmf } (\text{sds R33}) \text{ a}$  **by** auto

**also from** R33-R22.strategyproofness(1) R22.support R33.support

lottery-conditions[OF R22.wf] lottery-conditions[OF R33.wf]

**have** ...  $\leq$   $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R22) a$  **by** *simp*  
**finally show**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R22) a = 1/2 \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R22) b = 0 \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R22) c = 0 \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R22) d = 1/2$   
**using** *R22-R29.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R22.wf]* **by** (*auto simp del: pmf-nonneg*)  
**qed**

**lemma** *R28 [simp]: pmf (sds R28) a = 1/2 pmf (sds R28) b = 0 pmf (sds R28) c = 0 pmf (sds R28) d = 1/2*

**proof** –  
**have**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R28) a \leq \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R32) d$   
**using** *R32-R28.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R32.wf]* **by** *auto*  
**hence** *R32-d: pmf (sds R32) d = pmf (sds R28) a*  
**using** *R28-R32.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R32.wf]* **by** *auto*  
**from** *R22-R32.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R32.wf] R32.support*

**have**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R32) a \leq 1/2$  **by** *auto*  
**with** *R32-R22.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R32.wf] R32.support*  
**show**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R28) a = 1/2 \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R28) b = 0 \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R28) c = 0 \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R28) d = 1/2$   
**by** (*auto simp: R32-d simp del: pmf-nonneg*)  
**qed**

**lemma** *R39 [simp]: pmf (sds R39) a = 1/2 pmf (sds R39) b = 0 pmf (sds R39) c = 0 pmf (sds R39) d = 1/2*

**proof** –  
**from** *R28-R39.strategyproofness(1) show pmf (sds R39) c = 0 by simp*  
**thus**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R39) a = 1/2 \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R39) b = 0 \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R39) d = 1/2$   
**by** *simp-all*  
**qed**

**lemma** *R2 [simp]: pmf (sds R2) a = 1/2 pmf (sds R2) b = 0 pmf (sds R2) c = 0 pmf (sds R2) d = 1/2*

**proof** –  
**from** *R1-R2.strategyproofness(1) R2-R1.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R2.wf] lottery-conditions[OF R1.wf]*  
**have**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R2) a = 1/2 \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R2) c + \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R2) d = 1/2$   
**by** (*auto simp: algebra-simps simp del: pmf-nonneg*)  
**with** *R39-R2.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R2.wf]*  
**show**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R2) a = 1/2 \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R2) b = 0 \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R2) c = 0 \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R2) d = 1/2$   
**by** *auto*  
**qed**

**lemma** *R42 [simp]: pmf (sds R42) a = 0 pmf (sds R42) b = 0 pmf (sds R42) c = 1/2 pmf (sds R42) d = 1/2*

**proof** –  
**from** *R17-R5.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R17.wf] R17.support*

**have**  $\text{pmf (sds R17) } d \leq 1/2$  **by** *auto*  
**moreover from**  $R5\text{-}R17.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$   $R17.\text{support lottery-conditions}[OF R17.wf]$   
**have**  $\text{pmf (sds R17) } d \geq 1/2$  **by** *auto*  
**ultimately have**  $R17\text{-}d: \text{pmf (sds R17) } d = 1/2$  **by** *simp*  
  
**from**  $R6\text{-}R42.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$   
**have**  $\text{pmf (sds R42) } a + \text{pmf (sds R42) } c \leq \text{pmf (sds R6) } a + \text{pmf (sds R6) } c$  **by** *simp*  
**also from**  $R6\text{-}R19.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$   $\text{lottery-conditions}[OF R6.wf]$   
**have**  $\text{pmf (sds R6) } a + \text{pmf (sds R6) } c \leq 1/2$  **by** (*auto simp del: pmf-nonneg*)  
**finally have**  $\text{pmf (sds R42) } a + \text{pmf (sds R42) } c \leq 1 / 2$  .  
**moreover from**  $R17\text{-}R11.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$   $R11.\text{support R17.support}$   
 $\text{lottery-conditions}[OF R11.wf]$   $\text{lottery-conditions}[OF R17.wf]$   
**have**  $\text{pmf (sds R11) } d \geq 1/2$  **by** (*auto simp: R17-d*)  
**ultimately have**  $\text{pmf (sds R42) } a + \text{pmf (sds R42) } c \leq \text{pmf (sds R11) } d$  **by**  
*simp*  
**with**  $R42\text{-}R11.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$   $R11.\text{support}$   
**have**  $E: \text{pmf (sds R11) } d \leq \text{pmf (sds R42) } c$  **by** *auto*  
**with**  $\langle \text{pmf (sds R11) } d \geq 1/2 \rangle$  **have**  $\text{pmf (sds R42) } c \geq 1/2$  **by** *simp*  
**moreover from**  $R17\text{-}R3.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$   $R3.\text{support R17.support}$   
 $\text{lottery-conditions}[OF R17.wf]$   $\text{lottery-conditions}[OF R3.wf]$   
**have**  $\text{pmf (sds R3) } d \geq 1/2$  **by** (*auto simp: R17-d*)  
**ultimately show**  $\text{pmf (sds R42) } a = 0$   $\text{pmf (sds R42) } b = 0$   $\text{pmf (sds R42) } c$   
 $= 1/2$   $\text{pmf (sds R42) } d = 1/2$   
**using**  $R42\text{-}R3.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$   $\text{lottery-conditions}[OF R3.wf]$   $\text{lottery-conditions}[OF R42.wf]$   
**by** *linarith+*  
**qed**

**lemma**  $R37$  [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf (sds R37) } a = 1/2$   $\text{pmf (sds R37) } b = 0$   $\text{pmf (sds R37) } c = 1/2$   $\text{pmf (sds R37) } d = 0$

**proof** –

**from**  $R37\text{-}R42.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$   $\text{lottery-conditions}[OF R37.wf]$   
**have**  $\text{pmf (sds R37) } a = 1/2 \vee \text{pmf (sds R37) } a + \text{pmf (sds R37) } b > 1/2$   
**by** (*auto simp del: pmf-nonneg*)  
**moreover from**  $R37\text{-}R42.\text{strategyproofness}(2)$   $\text{lottery-conditions}[OF R37.wf]$   
**have**  $\text{pmf (sds R37) } c = 1/2 \vee \text{pmf (sds R37) } c + \text{pmf (sds R37) } d > 1/2$   
**by** (*auto simp del: pmf-nonneg*)  
**ultimately show**  $\text{pmf (sds R37) } a = 1/2$   $\text{pmf (sds R37) } b = 0$   $\text{pmf (sds R37) } c = 1/2$   $\text{pmf (sds R37) } d = 0$   
**using**  $\text{lottery-conditions}[OF R37.wf]$  **by** (*auto simp del: pmf-nonneg*)  
**qed**

**lemma**  $R24$  [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf (sds R24) } a = 0$   $\text{pmf (sds R24) } b = 0$   $\text{pmf (sds R24) } d = 1 - \text{pmf (sds R24) } c$

**using**  $R42\text{-}R24.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$   $\text{lottery-conditions}[OF R24.wf]$  **by** (*auto simp del: pmf-nonneg*)

**lemma** *R34* [*simp*]:

$\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R34) a = 1 - \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R24) c$   
 $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R34) b = \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R24) c$   
 $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R34) c = 0$   
 $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R34) d = 0$

**proof** –

**from** *R24-R34.strategyproofness*(1) *lottery-conditions*[*OF R34.wf*]

**have**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R34) b \leq \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R24) c$  **by** (*auto simp del: pmf-nonneg*)

**moreover from** *R34-R24.strategyproofness*(1) *lottery-conditions*[*OF R34.wf*]

**have**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R34) b \geq \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R24) c$  **by** *auto*

**ultimately show**  $\text{bc: pmf } (\text{sds } R34) b = \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R24) c$  **by** *simp*

**from** *R34-R24.strategyproofness*(1) *bc lottery-conditions*[*OF R34.wf*]

**show**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R34) c = 0$  **by** *auto*

**moreover from** *R24-R34.strategyproofness*(1) *bc show pmf (sds R34) d = 0*

**by** *simp*

**ultimately show**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R34) a = 1 - \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R24) c$

**using** *bc lottery-conditions*[*OF R34.wf*] **by** *auto*

**qed**

**lemma** *R14* [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R14) b = 0$   
 $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R14) d = 0$   
 $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R14) c = 1 - \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R14) a$

**using** *R14-R34.strategyproofness*(1) *R14.support lottery-conditions*[*OF R14.wf*]

**by** (*auto simp del: pmf-nonneg*)

**lemma** *R46* [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R46) a = 0$   
 $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R46) c = 0$   
 $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R46) d = 1 - \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R46) b$

**using** *R46-R37.strategyproofness*(1) *lottery-conditions*[*OF R46.wf*] **by** *auto*

**lemma** *R20* [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R20) a = 0$   
 $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R20) c = 0$   
 $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R20) d = 1 - \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R20) b$

**using** *R46-R20.strategyproofness*(1) *lottery-conditions*[*OF R20.wf*] **by** (*auto simp del: pmf-nonneg*)

**lemma** *R21* [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R21) d = 1 - \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R21) a$   
 $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R21) b = 0$   
 $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R21) c = 0$

**using** *R20-R21.strategyproofness*(1) *lottery-conditions*[*OF R21.wf*] **by** *auto*

**lemma** *R16-R12*:  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R16) c + \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R16) a \leq \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R12) a$

**using** *R12-R16.strategyproofness*(1) *R16.support lottery-conditions*[*OF R16.wf*]

**by** *auto*

**lemma** *R16* [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R16) b = 0$   
 $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R16) c = 0$   
 $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R16) d = 1 - \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R16) a$

**proof** –

**from** *R16-R12* **have**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R16) c + \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R16) a \leq \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R12) a$

**by** *simp*

**also from** *R44-R40.strategyproofness*(1) *lottery-conditions*[*OF R40.wf*] *R40.support*

**have**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R12) a \leq \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R40) a$  **by** *auto*

**also from** *R9-R40.strategyproofness*(1) *R9.support R40.support*

**have**  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R40) \ a \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R9) \ a$  **by** *auto*  
**finally have**  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R16) \ c + \text{pmf } (sds \ R16) \ a \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R9) \ a$  **by** *simp*  
**moreover from**  $R14\text{-}R16.\text{strategyproofness}(1) \ R16.\text{support}$  *lottery-conditions*[*OF R16.wf*]  
**have**  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R16) \ a \geq \text{pmf } (sds \ R14) \ a$  **by** *auto*  
**ultimately have**  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R16) \ c \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R9) \ a - \text{pmf } (sds \ R14) \ a$  **by**  
*simp*  
**also from**  $R14\text{-}R9.\text{strategyproofness}(1) \ R9.\text{support}$  *lottery-conditions*[*OF R9.wf*]  
**have**  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R9) \ a - \text{pmf } (sds \ R14) \ a \leq 0$  **by** (*auto simp del: pmf-nonneg*)  
**finally show**  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R16) \ b = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R16) \ c = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R16) \ d = 1$   
 $- \text{pmf } (sds \ R16) \ a$   
**using** *lottery-conditions*[*OF R16.wf*]  $R16.\text{support}$  **by** *auto*  
**qed**

**lemma**  $R12\text{-}R14$ :  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R14) \ a \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R12) \ a$   
**using**  $R14\text{-}R16.\text{strategyproofness}(1) \ R16\text{-}R12$  **by** *auto*

**lemma**  $R12\text{-}a$  [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R12) \ a = \text{pmf } (sds \ R9) \ a$   
**proof** –

**from**  $R44\text{-}R40.\text{strategyproofness}(1) \ R40.\text{support}$  *lottery-conditions*[*OF R40.wf*]

**have**  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R12) \ a \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R40) \ a$  **by** *auto*  
**also from**  $R9\text{-}R40.\text{strategyproofness}(1) \ R9.\text{support}$   $R40.\text{support}$   
**have**  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R40) \ a \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R9) \ a$  **by** *auto*  
**finally have**  $B$ :  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R12) \ a \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R9) \ a$  **by** *simp*  
**moreover from**  $R14\text{-}R9.\text{strategyproofness}(1) \ \text{lottery-conditions}$ [*OF R9.wf*]  $R9.\text{support}$

**have**  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R9) \ a \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R14) \ a$  **by** (*auto simp del: pmf-nonneg*)  
**with**  $R12\text{-}R14$  **have**  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R9) \ a \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R12) \ a$  **by** *simp*  
**ultimately show**  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R12) \ a = \text{pmf } (sds \ R9) \ a$  **by** *simp*

**qed**

**lemma**  $R9$  [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R9) \ b = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R9) \ d = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R14) \ a =$   
 $\text{pmf } (sds \ R35) \ a \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R9) \ c = 1 - \text{pmf } (sds \ R35) \ a$   
**using**  $R12\text{-}R14 \ R14\text{-}R9.\text{strategyproofness}(1) \ \text{lottery-conditions}$ [*OF R9.wf*]  $R9.\text{support}$   
**by** *auto*

**lemma**  $R23$  [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R23) \ b = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R23) \ c = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R23) \ d$   
 $= 1 - \text{pmf } (sds \ R23) \ a$   
**using**  $R23\text{-}R19.\text{strategyproofness}(1) \ \text{lottery-conditions}$ [*OF R23.wf*]  $R23.\text{support}$

**by** (*auto simp del: pmf-nonneg*)

**lemma**  $R35$  [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R35) \ a = \text{pmf } (sds \ R21) \ a \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R35) \ b = 0$   
 $\text{pmf } (sds \ R35) \ c = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R35) \ d = 1 - \text{pmf } (sds \ R21) \ a$   
**proof** –

**from**  $R35\text{-}R21.\text{strategyproofness}(1) \ R35.\text{support}$

**have**  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R21) \ a \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R35) \ a + \text{pmf } (sds \ R35) \ c$  **by** *auto*  
**with**  $R21\text{-}R35.\text{strategyproofness}(1) \ R35.\text{support}$  *lottery-conditions*[*OF R35.wf*]

**show**  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R35) \ a = \text{pmf } (sds \ R21) \ a \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R35) \ b = 0$   
 $\text{pmf } (sds \ R35) \ c = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R35) \ d = 1 - \text{pmf } (sds \ R21) \ a$  **by** *simp-all*  
**qed**

**lemma** *R18* [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R18) \ a = \text{pmf } (sds \ R14) \ a \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R18) \ b = 0$   
 $\text{pmf } (sds \ R18) \ d = 0 \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R18) \ c = 1 - \text{pmf } (sds \ R14) \ a$

**proof** –

**from** *R23-R12.strategyproofness(1)*

**have** *R21-R23*:  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R21) \ a \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R23) \ a$  **by** *simp*

**from** *R23-R18.strategyproofness(1)*

**have**  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R18) \ d \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R21) \ a - \text{pmf } (sds \ R23) \ a$  **by** *simp*

**also from** *R21-R23* **have**  $\dots \leq 0$  **by** *simp*

**finally show**  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R18) \ d = 0$  **by** *simp*

**with** *lottery-conditions[OF R18.wf]* *R18.support*

**show**  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R18) \ a = \text{pmf } (sds \ R14) \ a$

$\text{pmf } (sds \ R18) \ c = 1 - \text{pmf } (sds \ R14) \ a$  **by** *auto*

**qed** (*insert R18.support, simp-all*)

**lemma** *R4* [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R4) \ a = \text{pmf } (sds \ R21) \ a \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R4) \ b = 0$   
 $\text{pmf } (sds \ R4) \ c = 1 - \text{pmf } (sds \ R4) \ a \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R4) \ d = 0$

**proof** –

**from** *R30-R21.strategyproofness(1)* *R30.support* *lottery-conditions[OF R30.wf]*

**have**  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R4) \ c + \text{pmf } (sds \ R21) \ a \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R4) \ c + \text{pmf } (sds \ R30)$   
*a* **by** *auto*

**also** {

**have**  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R30) \ a \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R47) \ a$

**using** *R47-R30.strategyproofness(1)* *R30.support* *R47.support*

*lottery-conditions[OF R4.wf]* *lottery-conditions[OF R47.wf]* **by** *auto*

**moreover from** *R4-R47.strategyproofness(1)* *R4.support* *R47.support*

*lottery-conditions[OF R4.wf]* *lottery-conditions[OF R47.wf]*

**have**  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R4) \ c \leq \text{pmf } (sds \ R47) \ c$  **by** *simp*

**ultimately have**  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R4) \ c + \text{pmf } (sds \ R30) \ a \leq 1 - \text{pmf } (sds \ R47)$

*d*

**using** *lottery-conditions[OF R47.wf]* *R47.support* **by** *simp*

}

**finally have**  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R4) \ c + \text{pmf } (sds \ R14) \ a \leq 1$

**using** *lottery-conditions[OF R47.wf]* **by** (*auto simp del: pmf-nonneg*)

**with** *R4-R18.strategyproofness(1)* *lottery-conditions[OF R4.wf]* *R4.support*

**show**  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R4) \ a = \text{pmf } (sds \ R21) \ a \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R4) \ b = 0$

$\text{pmf } (sds \ R4) \ c = 1 - \text{pmf } (sds \ R4) \ a \ \text{pmf } (sds \ R4) \ d = 0$  **by** *auto*

**qed**

**lemma** *R8-d* [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R8) \ d = 1 - \text{pmf } (sds \ R8) \ a$

**and** *R8-c* [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R8) \ c = 0$

**and** *R26-a* [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (sds \ R26) \ a = 1 - \text{pmf } (sds \ R8) \ a$

**proof** –

**from** *R8-R26.strategyproofness(2)* *R8.support* *lottery-conditions[OF R8.wf]*

**have**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R26) a \leq \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R8) d$  **by** *auto*  
**with**  $R26\text{-}R8.\text{strategyproofness}(2) R8.\text{support lottery-conditions}[OF R8.wf]$   
**have**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R26) a = \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R8) d$  **by** *auto*  
**with**  $R8\text{-}R26.\text{strategyproofness}(2) R8.\text{support lottery-conditions}[OF R8.wf]$   
**show**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R8) c = 0 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds } R8) d = 1 - \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R8) a$   
 $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R26) a = 1 - \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R8) a$  **by** (*auto simp del: pmf-nonneg*)  
**qed**

**lemma**  $R21\text{-}R47$ :  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R21) d \leq \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R47) c$   
**using**  $R4\text{-}R47.\text{strategyproofness}(1) R4.\text{support } R47.\text{support}$   
 $\text{lottery-conditions}[OF R4.wf] \text{ lottery-conditions}[OF R47.wf]$   
**by** *auto*

**lemma**  $R30$  [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R30) a = \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R47) a \text{ pmf } (\text{sds } R30) b = 0$   
 $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R30) c = 0 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds } R30) d = 1 - \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R47) a$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $A$ :  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R30) a \leq \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R47) a$   
**using**  $R47\text{-}R30.\text{strategyproofness}(1) R30.\text{support } R47.\text{support}$   
 $\text{lottery-conditions}[OF R4.wf] \text{ lottery-conditions}[OF R47.wf]$  **by** *auto*  
**with**  $R21\text{-}R47 R30\text{-}R21.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$   
 $\text{lottery-conditions}[OF R30.wf] \text{ lottery-conditions}[OF R47.wf]$   
**show**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R30) a = \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R47) a \text{ pmf } (\text{sds } R30) b = 0$   
 $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R30) c = 0 \text{ pmf } (\text{sds } R30) d = 1 - \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R47) a$   
**by** (*auto simp: R30.support R47.support simp del: pmf-nonneg*)  
**qed**

**lemma**  $R31\text{-}c\text{-ge-one-half}$ :  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R31) c \geq 1/2$   
**proof** –  
**from**  $R25.\text{support}$  **have**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R25) a \geq 1/2$   
**proof**  
**assume**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R25) c = 0$   
**with**  $R25\text{-}R36.\text{strategyproofness}(1) \text{ lottery-conditions}[OF R36.wf]$   
**show**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R25) a \geq 1/2$  **by** (*auto simp del: pmf-nonneg*)  
**next**  
**assume** [*simp*]:  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R25) b = 0$   
**from**  $R36\text{-}R25.\text{strategyproofness}(1) \text{ lottery-conditions}[OF R25.wf]$   
**have**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R25) c + \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R25) a \leq \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R36) c + 1 / 2$  **by**  
*auto*  
**with**  $R25\text{-}R36.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$  **show**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R25) a \geq 1/2$  **by** *auto*  
**qed**  
**hence**  $\text{pmf } (\text{sds } R26) a \geq 1/2$   
**using**  $R25\text{-}R26.\text{strategyproofness}(1) \text{ lottery-conditions}[OF R25.wf]$  **by** (*auto*  
*simp del: pmf-nonneg*)  
**with**  $\text{lottery-conditions}[OF R47.wf]$   
**have**  $1/2 \leq \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R26) a + \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R47) d$  **by** (*simp del: pmf-nonneg*)  
**also have**  $\dots = 1 - \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R8) a + \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R47) d$  **by** *simp*  
**also from**  $R4\text{-}R8.\text{strategyproofness}(1)$   
**have**  $1 - \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R8) a \leq \text{pmf } (\text{sds } R21) d$  **by** *auto*  
**also note**  $R21\text{-}R47$



**also from** *R30-R41.strategyproofness(1) R41.support*  
*lottery-conditions[OF R41.wf] lottery-conditions[OF R47.wf]*  
**have**  $\text{pmf (sds R47) } c + \text{pmf (sds R47) } d \leq \text{pmf (sds R41) } d$  **by** (*auto simp*  
*del: pmf-nonneg*)  
**also from** *R41-R31.strategyproofness(1) R41.support lottery-conditions[OF R31.wf]*  
*lottery-conditions[OF R41.wf]*  
**have**  $\text{pmf (sds R41) } d \leq \text{pmf (sds R31) } c$  **by** *auto*  
**finally show**  $\text{pmf (sds R31) } c \geq 1/2$  **by** *simp*  
**qed**

**lemma** *R31: pmf (sds R31) a = 0 pmf (sds R31) c = 1/2 pmf (sds R31) b + pmf (sds R31) d = 1/2*

**proof** –  
**from** *R2-R38.strategyproofness(1) lottery-conditions[OF R38.wf]*  
**have** *A: pmf (sds R38) b + pmf (sds R38) d ≥ 1/2* **by** *auto*  
**with** *R31-c-ge-one-half R31-R38.strategyproofness(1)*  
*lottery-conditions[OF R31.wf] lottery-conditions[OF R38.wf]*  
**have**  $\text{pmf (sds R38) } b + \text{pmf (sds R38) } d = \text{pmf (sds R31) } d + \text{pmf (sds R31) } b$  **by** *auto*  
**with** *R31-c-ge-one-half A lottery-conditions[OF R31.wf] lottery-conditions[OF R38.wf]*  
**show**  $\text{pmf (sds R31) } a = 0 \text{ pmf (sds R31) } c = 1/2 \text{ pmf (sds R31) } b + \text{pmf (sds R31) } d = 1/2$   
**by** *linarith+*  
**qed**

**lemma** *absurd: False*  
**using** *R31 R45-R31.strategyproofness(2)* **by** *simp*

**end**

## 1.4 Lifting to more than 4 agents and alternatives

**lemma** *finite-list'*:  
**assumes** *finite A*  
**obtains** *xs* **where** *A = set xs distinct xs length xs = card A*  
**proof** –  
**from** *assms obtain xs where set xs = A* **using** *finite-list* **by** *blast*  
**thus** *?thesis* **using** *distinct-card[of remdups xs]*  
**by** (*intro that[of remdups xs] simp-all*)  
**qed**

**lemma** *finite-list-subset*:

**assumes** *finite A card A ≥ n*  
**obtains** *xs where set xs ⊆ A distinct xs length xs = n*  
**proof** –  
**obtain** *xs where A = set xs distinct xs length xs = card A*  
**using** *finite-list'[OF assms(1)]* **by** *blast*  
**with** *assms* **show** *?thesis*  
**by** (*intro that[of take n xs]*) (*simp-all add: set-take-subset*)  
**qed**

**lemma** *card-ge-4E*:  
**assumes** *finite A card A ≥ 4*  
**obtains** *a b c d where distinct [a,b,c,d] {a,b,c,d} ⊆ A*  
**proof** –  
**from** *finite-list-subset[OF assms]* **guess** *xs* .  
**moreover then obtain** *a b c d where xs = [a, b, c, d]*  
**by** (*auto simp: eval-nat-numeral length-Suc-conv*)  
**ultimately show** *?thesis* **by** (*intro that[of a b c d]*) *simp-all*  
**qed**

**context** *sds-impossibility*  
**begin**

**lemma** *absurd: False*  
**proof** –  
**from** *card-ge-4E[OF finite-agents agents-ge-4]* **guess** *A1 A2 A3 A4* .  
**note** *agents = this*  
**from** *card-ge-4E[OF finite-alts alts-ge-4]* **guess** *a b c d* .  
**note** *alts = this*  
**define** *agents' alts' where agents' = {A1,A2,A3,A4} and alts' = {a,b,c,d}*  
**from** *agents alts*  
**interpret** *sds-lowering-anonymous-neutral-sdeff-stratproof agents alts sds agents'*  
*alts'*  
**unfolding** *agents'-def alts'-def* **by** *unfold-locales simp-all*  
**from** *agents alts*  
**interpret** *sds-impossibility-4-4 agents' alts' lowered A1 A2 A3 A4 a b c d*  
**by** *unfold-locales (simp-all add: agents'-def alts'-def)*  
**from** *absurd* **show** *False* .  
**qed**

**end**

**end**

## References

- [1] F. Brandl, F. Brandt, and C. Geist. Proving the incompatibility of Efficiency and Strategyproofness via SMT solving. *Proceedings of the*

*25th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*, 2016. Forthcoming.

- [2] F. Brandl, F. Brandt, and W. Suksompong. The impossibility of extending Random Dictatorship to weak preferences. *Economics Letters*, 141:pp. 44 – 47, 2016.