

# Routing

Julius Michaelis, Cornelius Diekmann

December 14, 2021

## Abstract

This entry contains definitions for routing with routing tables/longest prefix matching.

A routing table entry is modelled as a record of a prefix match, a metric, an output port, and an optional next hop. A routing table is a list of entries, sorted by prefix length and metric. Additionally, a parser and serializer for the output of the ip-route command, a function to create a relation from output port to corresponding destination IP space, and a model of a linux style router are included.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Routing Table</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Definition . . . . .	2
1.2	Single Packet Semantics . . . . .	3
1.3	Longest Prefix Match . . . . .	4
1.4	Printing . . . . .	8
<b>2</b>	<b>Routing table to Relation</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1	Wordintervals for Ports by Routing . . . . .	9
2.2	Reduction . . . . .	12
<b>3</b>	<b>Linux Router</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Parser</b>	<b>18</b>

## Sorting a list by two keys

```
theory Linorder-Helper
imports Main
begin
```

Sorting is fun...

The problem is that Isabelle does not have anything like `sortBy`, only *sort-key*. This means that there is no way to sort something based on two properties, with one being infinitely more important.

Enter this:

```
datatype ('a,'b) linord-helper = LinordHelper 'a 'b
```

```
instantiation linord-helper :: (linorder, linorder) linorder
begin
```

```
  definition linord-helper-less-eq1 a b  $\equiv$  (case a of LinordHelper a1 a2  $\Rightarrow$  case b
of LinordHelper b1 b2  $\Rightarrow$  a1 < b1  $\vee$  a1 = b1  $\wedge$  a2  $\leq$  b2)
```

```
  definition a  $\leq$  b  $\longleftrightarrow$  linord-helper-less-eq1 a b
```

```
  definition a < b  $\longleftrightarrow$  (a  $\neq$  b  $\wedge$  linord-helper-less-eq1 a b)
```

```
instance
```

```
by standard (auto simp: linord-helper-less-eq1-def less-eq-linord-helper-def less-linord-helper-def
split: linord-helper.splits)
```

```
end
```

```
lemmas linord-helper-less = less-linord-helper-def linord-helper-less-eq1-def
```

```
lemmas linord-helper-le = less-eq-linord-helper-def linord-helper-less-eq1-def
```

Now, it is possible to use *sort-key* *f*, with *f* constructing a *LinordHelper* containing the two desired properties for sorting.

```
end
```

## 1 Routing Table

```
theory Routing-Table
```

```
imports IP-Addresses.Prefix-Match
```

```
         IP-Addresses.IPv4 IP-Addresses.IPv6
```

```
         Linorder-Helper
```

```
         IP-Addresses.Prefix-Match-toString
```

```
         Pure-ex.Guess
```

```
begin
```

This section makes the necessary definitions to work with a routing table using longest prefix matching.

### 1.1 Definition

```
record(overloaded) 'i routing-action =
  output-iface :: string
  next-hop :: 'i word option
```

```
record(overloaded) 'i routing-rule =
  routing-match :: ('i::len) prefix-match
  metric :: nat
  routing-action :: 'i routing-action
```

This definition is engineered to model routing tables on packet forwarding devices. It eludes, e.g., the source address hint, which is only relevant for packets originating from the device itself.

**context**  
**begin**

**definition** *default-metric* = 0

**type-synonym** 'i *prefix-routing* = ('i *routing-rule*) list

**abbreviation** *routing-oiface* a ≡ *output-iface* (*routing-action* a)

**abbreviation** *routing-prefix* r ≡ *pfm-length* (*routing-match* r)

**definition** *valid-prefixes* **where**

*valid-prefixes* r = *foldr conj* (*map* ( $\lambda rr. \text{valid-prefix } (\text{routing-match } rr)$ ) r) True

**lemma** *valid-prefixes-split*: *valid-prefixes* (r#rs)  $\implies$  *valid-prefix* (*routing-match* r)  $\wedge$  *valid-prefixes* rs

**using** *valid-prefixes-def* **by** *force*

**lemma** *foldr-True-set*: *foldr* ( $\lambda x. (\wedge) (f x)$ ) l True = ( $\forall x \in \text{set } l. f x$ )

**by** (*induction* l) *simp-all*

**lemma** *valid-prefixes-alt-def*: *valid-prefixes* r = ( $\forall e \in \text{set } r. \text{valid-prefix } (\text{routing-match } e)$ )

**unfolding** *valid-prefixes-def*

**unfolding** *foldr-map*

**unfolding** *comp-def*

**unfolding** *foldr-True-set*

..

**fun** *has-default-route* :: ('i::len) *prefix-routing*  $\implies$  bool **where**

*has-default-route* (r#rs) = ( $((\text{pfm-length } (\text{routing-match } r)) = 0) \vee \text{has-default-route } rs$ ) |

*has-default-route* Nil = False

**lemma** *has-default-route-alt*: *has-default-route* rt  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists r \in \text{set } rt. \text{pfm-length } (\text{routing-match } r) = 0$ ) **by**(*induction* rt) *simp-all*

## 1.2 Single Packet Semantics

**fun** *routing-table-semantics* :: ('i::len) *prefix-routing*  $\implies$  'i word  $\implies$  'i *routing-action* **where**

*routing-table-semantics* [] - = *routing-action* (*undefined*::'i *routing-rule*) |

*routing-table-semantics* (r#rs) p = (*if* *prefix-match-semantics* (*routing-match* r) p *then* *routing-action* r *else* *routing-table-semantics* rs p)

**lemma** *routing-table-semantics-ports-from-table*: *valid-prefixes* rtbl  $\implies$  *has-default-route* rtbl  $\implies$

*routing-table-semantics* rtbl packet = r  $\implies$  r  $\in$  *routing-action* ' set rtbl

**proof**(*induction* rtbl)

**case** (*Cons* r rs)

```

note  $v\text{-pfxs} = \text{valid-prefixes-split}[OF\ Cons.premis(1)]$ 
show  $?case$ 
proof ( $cases\ pfxm\text{-length}\ (routing\text{-match}\ r) = 0$ )
  case  $True$ 
    note  $zero\text{-prefix-match-all}[OF\ conjunct1[OF\ v\text{-pfxs}]\ True]\ Cons.premis(3)$ 
    then show  $?thesis$  by  $simp$ 
  next
    case  $False$ 
    hence  $has\text{-default-route}\ rs$  using  $Cons.premis(2)$  by  $simp$ 
    from  $Cons.IH[OF\ conjunct2[OF\ v\text{-pfxs}]\ this]\ Cons.premis(3)$  show  $?thesis$  by
force
qed
qed  $simp$ 

```

### 1.3 Longest Prefix Match

We can abuse *LinordHelper* to sort.

**definition**  $routing\text{-rule-sort-key} \equiv \lambda r. \text{LinordHelper}\ (0 - (of\text{-nat} :: nat \Rightarrow int))\ (pfxm\text{-length}\ (routing\text{-match}\ r))\ (metric\ r)$

There is actually a slight design choice here. We can choose to sort based on  $(?a \leq ?b) = (if\ pfxm\text{-length}\ ?a = pfxm\text{-length}\ ?b\ then\ pfxm\text{-prefix}\ ?a \leq pfxm\text{-prefix}\ ?b\ else\ pfxm\text{-length}\ ?b < pfxm\text{-length}\ ?a)$  (thus including the address) or only the prefix length (excluding it). Which is taken does not matter gravely, since the bits of the prefix can't matter. They're either equal or the rules don't overlap and the metric decides. (It does matter for the resulting list though.) Ignoring the prefix and taking only its length is slightly easier.

**definition**  $rr\text{-ctor}\ m\ l\ a\ nh\ me \equiv (\!| routing\text{-match} = \text{PrefixMatch}\ (ipv4\text{addr-of-dotdecimal}\ m)\ l, metric = me, routing\text{-action} = (\!| output\text{-iface} = a, next\text{-hop} = (\text{map-option}\ ipv4\text{addr-of-dotdecimal}\ nh)\!|)\!|)$

**value**  $sort\text{-key}\ routing\text{-rule-sort-key}\ [$   
 $rr\text{-ctor}\ (0,0,0,1)\ 3\ \text{""}\ None\ 0,$   
 $rr\text{-ctor}\ (0,0,0,2)\ 8\ \square\ None\ 0,$   
 $rr\text{-ctor}\ (0,0,0,3)\ 4\ \square\ None\ 13,$   
 $rr\text{-ctor}\ (0,0,0,3)\ 4\ \square\ None\ 42]$

**definition**  $is\text{-longest-prefix-routing} \equiv sorted \circ map\ routing\text{-rule-sort-key}$

**definition**  $correct\text{-routing} :: ('i::len)\ prefix\text{-routing} \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
 $correct\text{-routing}\ r \equiv is\text{-longest-prefix-routing}\ r \wedge valid\text{-prefixes}\ r$

Many proofs and functions around routing require at least parts of *correct-routing* as an assumption. Obviously, *correct-routing* is not given for arbitrary routing tables. Therefore, *correct-routing* is made to be executable and should be checked for any routing table after parsing. Note: *correct-routing* used to also require *has-default-route*, but none of the proofs require it anymore and it is not given for any routing table.

**lemma** *is-longest-prefix-routing-rule-exclusion*:  
**assumes** *is-longest-prefix-routing* ( $r1 \# rn \# rss$ )  
**shows** *is-longest-prefix-routing* ( $r1 \# rss$ )  
**using** *assms* **by**(*case-tac*  $rss$ ) (*auto simp add: is-longest-prefix-routing-def*)

**lemma** *int-of-nat-less*:  $int\text{-of-nat } a < int\text{-of-nat } b \implies a < b$  **by** (*simp add: int-of-nat-def*)

**lemma** *is-longest-prefix-routing-sorted-by-length*:  
**assumes** *is-longest-prefix-routing*  $r$   
**and**  $r = r1 \# rs @ r2 \# rss$   
**shows** ( $pfm\text{-length } (routing\text{-match } r1) \geq pfm\text{-length } (routing\text{-match } r2)$ )  
**using** *assms*  
**proof**(*induction*  $rs$  *arbitrary*:  $r$ )  
**case** (*Cons*  $rn$   $rs$ )  
**let**  $?ro = r1 \# rs @ r2 \# rss$   
**have** *is-longest-prefix-routing*  $?ro$  **using** *Cons.prem*s *is-longest-prefix-routing-rule-exclusion*[*of*  
 $r1$   $rn$   $rs @ r2 \# rss$ ] **by** *simp*  
**from** *Cons.IH*[*OF this*] **show**  $?case$  **by** *simp*  
**next**  
**case** *Nil* **thus**  $?case$  **by**(*auto simp add: is-longest-prefix-routing-def routing-rule-sort-key-def*  
*linord-helper-less-eq1-def less-eq-linord-helper-def int-of-nat-def*)  
**qed**

**definition** *sort-rtbl* :: ( $'i::len$ ) *routing-rule list*  $\Rightarrow$   $'i$  *routing-rule list*  $\equiv$  *sort-key*  
*routing-rule-sort-key*

**lemma** *is-longest-prefix-routing-sort*: *is-longest-prefix-routing* (*sort-rtbl*  $r$ ) **unfolding**  
*sort-rtbl-def is-longest-prefix-routing-def* **by** *simp*

**definition** *unambiguous-routing*  $rtbl \equiv (\forall rt1 rt2 rr ra. rtbl = rt1 @ rr \# rt2 \longrightarrow ra \in set (rt1 @ rt2) \longrightarrow routing\text{-match } rr = routing\text{-match } ra \longrightarrow routing\text{-rule-sort-key } rr \neq routing\text{-rule-sort-key } ra)$

**lemma** *unambiguous-routing-Cons*: *unambiguous-routing* ( $r \# rtbl$ )  $\implies$  *unambiguous-routing*  $rtbl$   
**unfolding** *unambiguous-routing-def* **by**(*clarsimp*) (*metis append-Cons in-set-conv-decomp*)

**lemma** *unambiguous-routing* ( $rr \# rtbl$ )  $\implies$  *is-longest-prefix-routing* ( $rr \# rtbl$ )  
 $\implies ra \in set\ rtbl \implies routing\text{-match } rr = routing\text{-match } ra \implies routing\text{-rule-sort-key } rr < routing\text{-rule-sort-key } ra$   
**unfolding** *is-longest-prefix-routing-def unambiguous-routing-def* **by**(*fastforce*)

**primrec** *unambiguous-routing-code* **where**  
*unambiguous-routing-code* [] = *True* |  
*unambiguous-routing-code* ( $rr \# rtbl$ ) = (*list-all* ( $\lambda ra. routing\text{-match } rr \neq routing\text{-match } ra \vee routing\text{-rule-sort-key } rr \neq routing\text{-rule-sort-key } ra$ )  $rtbl \wedge unambiguous\text{-routing-code } rtbl$ )

**lemma** *unambiguous-routing-code*[*code-unfold*]: *unambiguous-routing*  $rtbl \longleftrightarrow unambiguous\text{-routing-code } rtbl$   
**proof**(*induction*  $rtbl$ )  
**case** (*Cons*  $rr$   $rtbl$ ) **show**  $?case$  (*is*  $?l \longleftrightarrow ?r$ ) **proof**  
**assume**  $l: ?l$

**with** *unambiguous-routing-Cons Cons.IH* **have** *unambiguous-routing-code rtbl*  
**by** *blast*  
**moreover** **have** *list-all* ( $\lambda ra. \text{routing-match } rr \neq \text{routing-match } ra \vee \text{routing-rule-sort-key } rr \neq \text{routing-rule-sort-key } ra$ ) *rtbl*  
**using** *l unfolding unambiguous-routing-def* **by**(*fastforce simp add: list-all-iff*)  
**ultimately** **show** *?r* **by** *simp*  
**next**  
**assume** *r: ?r*  
**with** *Cons.IH* **have** *unambiguous-routing rtbl* **by** *simp*  
**from** *r* **have** *\**: *list-all* ( $\lambda ra. \text{routing-match } rr \neq \text{routing-match } ra \vee \text{routing-rule-sort-key } rr \neq \text{routing-rule-sort-key } ra$ ) *rtbl* **by** *simp*  
**have** *False* **if**  $rr \# rtbl = rt1 @ rra \# rt2$   $ra \in \text{set } (rt1 @ rt2)$  *routing-rule-sort-key rra = routing-rule-sort-key ra \wedge routing-match rra = routing-match ra* **for** *rt1 rt2 rra ra*  
**proof**(*cases rt1 = []*)  
**case** *True* **thus** *?thesis* **using** *that \** **by**(*fastforce simp add: list-all-iff*)  
**next**  
**case** *False*  
**with** *that(1)* **have** *rtbl: rtbl = tl rt1 @ rra \# rt2* **by** (*metis list.sel(3) tl-append2*)  
**show** *?thesis* **proof**(*cases ra = hd rt1*)  
**case** *False* **hence**  $ra \in \text{set } (tl rt1 @ rt2)$  **using** *that* **by**(*cases rt1; simp*)  
**with**  $\langle \text{unambiguous-routing } rtbl \rangle$  **show** *?thesis* **using** *that(3) rtbl unfolding unambiguous-routing-def* **by** *fast*  
**next**  
**case** *True* **hence**  $rr = ra$  **using** *that*  $\langle rt1 \neq [] \rangle$  **by**(*cases rt1; simp*)  
**thus** *?thesis* **using** *that \** **unfolding** *rtbl* **by**(*fastforce simp add: list-all-iff*)  
**qed**  
**qed**  
**thus** *?l* **unfolding** *unambiguous-routing-def* **by** *blast*  
**qed**  
**qed**(*simp add: unambiguous-routing-def*)

**lemma** *unambiguous-prefix-routing-weak-mono:*

**assumes** *lpfx: is-longest-prefix-routing (rr\#rtbl)*

**assumes**  $e:rr' \in \text{set } rtbl$

**shows**  $\text{routing-rule-sort-key } rr' \geq \text{routing-rule-sort-key } rr$

**using** *assms* **by**(*simp add: is-longest-prefix-routing-def*)

**lemma** *unambiguous-prefix-routing-strong-mono:*

**assumes** *lpfx: is-longest-prefix-routing (rr\#rtbl)*

**assumes** *uam: unambiguous-routing (rr\#rtbl)*

**assumes**  $e:rr' \in \text{set } rtbl$

**assumes** *ne: routing-match rr' = routing-match rr*

**shows**  $\text{routing-rule-sort-key } rr' > \text{routing-rule-sort-key } rr$

**proof** –

**from** *uam e ne* **have**  $\text{routing-rule-sort-key } rr \neq \text{routing-rule-sort-key } rr'$  **by**(*fastforce simp add: unambiguous-routing-def*)

**moreover** **from** *unambiguous-prefix-routing-weak-mono lpfx e* **have**  $\text{routing-rule-sort-key } rr \leq \text{routing-rule-sort-key } rr'$  .

**ultimately show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*  
**qed**

**lemma** *routing-rule-sort-key* (*rr-ctor* (0,0,0,0) 8 [] *None* 0) > *routing-rule-sort-key* (*rr-ctor* (0,0,0,0) 24 [] *None* 0) **by** *eval*

In case you don't like that formulation of *is-longest-prefix-routing* over sorting, this is your lemma.

**theorem** *existential-routing: valid-prefixes rtbl*  $\implies$  *is-longest-prefix-routing rtbl*  $\implies$  *has-default-route rtbl*  $\implies$  *unambiguous-routing rtbl*  $\implies$  *routing-table-semantics rtbl addr = act*  $\iff$  ( $\exists$  *rr*  $\in$  *set rtbl*. *prefix-match-semantics* (*routing-match rr*) *addr*  $\wedge$  *routing-action rr = act*  $\wedge$  ( $\forall$  *ra*  $\in$  *set rtbl*. *routing-rule-sort-key ra* < *routing-rule-sort-key rr*  $\implies$   $\neg$ *prefix-match-semantics* (*routing-match ra*) *addr*))

**proof**(*induction rtbl*)

**case** *Nil* **thus** *?case* **by** *simp*

**next**

**case** (*Cons rr rtbl*)

**show** *?case* **proof**(*cases prefix-match-semantics* (*routing-match rr*) *addr*)

**case** *False*

**hence** [*simp*]: *routing-table-semantics* (*rr* # *rtbl*) *addr* = *routing-table-semantics* (*rr* # *rtbl*) *addr* **by** *simp*

**show** *?thesis* **proof**(*cases routing-prefix rr = 0*)

**case** *True*

Need special treatment, *rtbl* won't have a default route, so the IH is not usable.

**have** *valid-prefix* (*routing-match rr*) **using** *Cons.premis valid-prefixes-split* **by** *blast*

**with** *True False* **have** *False* **using** *zero-prefix-match-all* **by** *blast*

**thus** *?thesis* ..

**next**

**case** *False*

**with** *Cons.premis* **have** *mpremis: valid-prefixes rtbl is-longest-prefix-routing rtbl has-default-route rtbl unambiguous-routing rtbl*

**by**(*simp-all add: valid-prefixes-split unambiguous-routing-Cons is-longest-prefix-routing-def*)

**show** *?thesis* **using** *Cons.IH[OF mprems]* *False*  $\langle \neg$  *prefix-match-semantics* (*routing-match rr*) *addr*  $\rangle$  **by** *simp*

**qed**

**next**

**case** *True*

**from** *True* **have** [*simp*]: *routing-table-semantics* (*rr* # *rtbl*) *addr* = *routing-action rr* **by** *simp*

**show** *?thesis* (**is** *?l*  $\iff$  *?r*) **proof**

**assume** *?l*

**hence** [*simp*]: *act* = *routing-action rr* **by**(*simp add: True*)

**have** \*: ( $\forall$  *ra*  $\in$  *set* (*rr* # *rtbl*). *routing-rule-sort-key rr*  $\leq$  *routing-rule-sort-key ra*)

**using**  $\langle$ *is-longest-prefix-routing* (*rr* # *rtbl*) $\rangle$  **by**(*clarsimp simp: is-longest-prefix-routing-def*)

```

thus ?r by(fastforce simp add: True)
next
assume ?r
then guess rr' .. note rr' = this
have rr' = rr proof(rule ccontr)
  assume C: rr' ≠ rr
  from C have e: rr' ∈ set rtbl using rr' by simp
  show False proof cases
    assume eq: routing-match rr' = routing-match rr
    with e have routing-rule-sort-key rr < routing-rule-sort-key rr' using
unambiguous-prefix-routing-strong-mono[OF Cons.prem(2,4) - eq] by simp
    with True rr' show False by simp
  next
  assume ne: routing-match rr' ≠ routing-match rr
  from rr' Cons.prem have valid-prefix (routing-match rr) valid-prefix
(routing-match rr') prefix-match-semantics (routing-match rr') addr by(auto simp
add: valid-prefixes-alt-def)
  note same-length-prefixes-distinct[OF this(1,2) ne[symmetric] - True
this(3)]
  moreover have routing-prefix rr = routing-prefix rr' (is ?pe) proof -
    have routing-rule-sort-key rr < routing-rule-sort-key rr'  $\longrightarrow$   $\neg$  pre-
fix-match-semantics (routing-match rr) addr using rr' by simp
    with unambiguous-prefix-routing-weak-mono[OF Cons.prem(2) e] True
have routing-rule-sort-key rr = routing-rule-sort-key rr' by simp
    thus ?pe by(simp add: routing-rule-sort-key-def int-of-nat-def)
  qed
  ultimately show False .
qed
qed
thus ?l using rr' by simp
qed
qed
qed

```

## 1.4 Printing

**definition** routing-rule-32-toString (rr::32 routing-rule) ≡  
 prefix-match-32-toString (routing-match rr)  
 @ (case next-hop (routing-action rr) of Some nh  $\Rightarrow$  " via " @ ipv4addr-toString  
 nh | -  $\Rightarrow$  [])  
 @ " dev " @ routing-oiface rr  
 @ " metric " @ string-of-nat (metric rr)

**definition** routing-rule-128-toString (rr::128 routing-rule) ≡  
 prefix-match-128-toString (routing-match rr)  
 @ (case next-hop (routing-action rr) of Some nh  $\Rightarrow$  " via " @ ipv6addr-toString  
 nh | -  $\Rightarrow$  [])  
 @ " dev " @ routing-oiface rr  
 @ " metric " @ string-of-nat (metric rr)



```

lemma map routing-rule-32-toString
[rr-ctor (42,0,0,0) 7 "eth0" None 808,
 rr-ctor (0,0,0,0) 0 "eth1" (Some (222,173,190,239)) 707] =
["42.0.0.0/7 dev eth0 metric 808",
 "0.0.0.0/0 via 222.173.190.239 dev eth1 metric 707"] by eval

```

## 2 Routing table to Relation

Walking through a routing table splits the (remaining) IP space when traversing a routing table into a pair of sets: the pair contains the IPs concerned by the current rule and those left alone.

**private definition** *ipset-prefix-match* **where**

```

ipset-prefix-match pfx rg = (let pfxrg = prefix-to-wordset pfx in (rg ∩ pfxrg, rg - pfxrg))

```

**private lemma** *ipset-prefix-match-m*[simp]:  $fst (ipset-prefix-match pfx rg) = rg \cap (prefix-to-wordset pfx)$  **by** (simp only: Let-def ipset-prefix-match-def, simp)

**private lemma** *ipset-prefix-match-nm*[simp]:  $snd (ipset-prefix-match pfx rg) = rg - (prefix-to-wordset pfx)$  **by** (simp only: Let-def ipset-prefix-match-def, simp)

**private lemma** *ipset-prefix-match-distinct*:  $rpm = ipset-prefix-match pfx rg \implies (fst rpm) \cap (snd rpm) = \{\}$  **by** force

**private lemma** *ipset-prefix-match-complete*:  $rpm = ipset-prefix-match pfx rg \implies (fst rpm) \cup (snd rpm) = rg$  **by** force

**private lemma** *rpm-m-dup-simp*:  $rg \cap fst (ipset-prefix-match (routing-match r) rg) = fst (ipset-prefix-match (routing-match r) rg)$

**by** simp

**private definition** *range-prefix-match* :: 'i::len prefix-match  $\Rightarrow$  'i wordinterval  $\Rightarrow$  'i wordinterval  $\times$  'i wordinterval **where**

```

range-prefix-match pfx rg  $\equiv$  (let pfxrg = prefix-to-wordinterval pfx in
 (wordinterval-intersection rg pfxrg, wordinterval-setminus rg pfxrg))

```

**private lemma** *range-prefix-match-set-eq*:

```

( $\lambda(r1,r2).$  (wordinterval-to-set r1, wordinterval-to-set r2)) (range-prefix-match pfx rg) =

```

```

ipset-prefix-match pfx (wordinterval-to-set rg)

```

**unfolding** range-prefix-match-def ipset-prefix-match-def Let-def

**using** wordinterval-intersection-set-eq wordinterval-setminus-set-eq prefix-to-wordinterval-set-eq

**by** auto

**private lemma** *range-prefix-match-sm*[simp]:  $wordinterval-to-set (fst (range-prefix-match pfx rg)) =$

```

fst (ipset-prefix-match pfx (wordinterval-to-set rg))

```

**by** (metis fst-conv ipset-prefix-match-m wordinterval-intersection-set-eq prefix-to-wordinterval-set-eq range-prefix-match-def)

**private lemma** *range-prefix-match-snm*[simp]:  $wordinterval-to-set (snd (range-prefix-match pfx rg)) =$

```

snd (ipset-prefix-match pfx (wordinterval-to-set rg))

```

**by** (metis snd-conv ipset-prefix-match-nm wordinterval-setminus-set-eq prefix-to-wordinterval-set-eq range-prefix-match-def)

## 2.1 Wordintervals for Ports by Routing

This split, although rather trivial, can be used to construct the sets (or rather: the intervals) of IPs that are actually matched by an entry in a routing table.

```
private fun routing-port-ranges :: 'i prefix-routing  $\Rightarrow$  'i wordinterval  $\Rightarrow$  (string  $\times$ 
('i::len) wordinterval) list where
routing-port-ranges [] lo = (if wordinterval-empty lo then [] else [(routing-oiface
(undefined::'i routing-rule),lo)]) |
routing-port-ranges (a#as) lo = (
  let rpm = range-prefix-match (routing-match a) lo; m = fst rpm; nm = snd rpm
  in (
    (routing-oiface a,m) # routing-port-ranges as nm))
```

```
private lemma routing-port-ranges-subsets:
(a1, b1)  $\in$  set (routing-port-ranges tbl s)  $\implies$  wordinterval-to-set b1  $\subseteq$  wordinter-
val-to-set s
by(induction tbl arbitrary: s; fastforce simp add: Let-def split: if-splits)
```

```
private lemma routing-port-ranges-sound: e  $\in$  set (routing-port-ranges tbl s)  $\implies$ 
k  $\in$  wordinterval-to-set (snd e)  $\implies$  valid-prefixes tbl  $\implies$ 
fst e = output-iface (routing-table-semantictbl tbl k)
```

```
proof(induction tbl arbitrary: s)
case (Cons a as)
note s = Cons.premis(1)[unfolded routing-port-ranges.simps Let-def list.set]
note vpfx = valid-prefixes-split[OF Cons.premis(3)]
show ?case (is ?kees) proof(cases e = (routing-oiface a, fst (range-prefix-match
(routing-match a) s)))
case False
hence es: e  $\in$  set (routing-port-ranges as (snd (range-prefix-match (routing-match
a) s))) using s by blast
note eq = Cons.IH[OF this Cons.premis(2) conjunct2[OF vpfx]]
have  $\neg$ prefix-match-semantictbl (routing-match a) k (is ?nom)
proof -
from routing-port-ranges-subsets[of fst e snd e, unfolded prod.collapse, OF es]
have *: wordinterval-to-set (snd e)  $\subseteq$  wordinterval-to-set (snd (range-prefix-match
(routing-match a) s)) .
show ?nom unfolding prefix-match-semantictbl-wordset[OF conjunct1[OF vpfx]]
using * Cons.premis(2) unfolding wordinterval-subset-set-eq
by(auto simp add: range-prefix-match-def Let-def)
qed
thus ?kees using eq by simp
next
case True
hence fe: fst e = routing-oiface a by simp
from True have k  $\in$  wordinterval-to-set (fst (range-prefix-match (routing-match
a) s))
using Cons.premis(2) by(simp)
hence prefix-match-semantictbl (routing-match a) k
```

```

unfolding prefix-match-semantic-words[OF conjunct1, OF vpx]
unfolding range-prefix-match-def Let-def
by simp
thus ?kees by(simp add: fe)
qed
qed (simp split: if-splits)

private lemma routing-port-ranges-disjoined:
assumes vpx: valid-prefixes tbl
and ins: (a1, b1) ∈ set (routing-port-ranges tbl s) (a2, b2) ∈ set (routing-port-ranges
tbl s)
and nemp: wordinterval-to-set b1 ≠ {}
shows b1 ≠ b2  $\longleftrightarrow$  wordinterval-to-set b1 ∩ wordinterval-to-set b2 = {}
using assms
proof(induction tbl arbitrary: s)
case (Cons r rs)
have vpx: valid-prefix (routing-match r) valid-prefixes rs using Cons.prem(1)
using valid-prefixes-split by blast+
{
fix a1 b1 a2 b2
assume one: b1 = fst (range-prefix-match (routing-match r) s)
assume two: (a2, b2) ∈ set (routing-port-ranges rs (snd (range-prefix-match
(routing-match r) s)))
have dc: wordinterval-to-set (snd (range-prefix-match (routing-match r) s)) ∩
wordinterval-to-set (fst (range-prefix-match (routing-match r) s)) = {} by
force
hence wordinterval-to-set b1 ∩ wordinterval-to-set b2 = {}
unfolding one using two[THEN routing-port-ranges-subsets] by fast
} note * = this
show ?case
using ⟨(a1, b1) ∈ set (routing-port-ranges (r # rs) s)⟩ ⟨(a2, b2) ∈ set (routing-port-ranges
(r # rs) s)⟩ nemp
Cons.IH[OF vpx(2)] *
by(fastforce simp add: Let-def)
qed (simp split: if-splits)

private lemma routing-port-rangesI:
valid-prefixes tbl  $\implies$ 
output-iface (routing-table-semantic tbl k) = output-port  $\implies$ 
k ∈ wordinterval-to-set wi  $\implies$ 
(∃ ip-range. (output-port, ip-range) ∈ set (routing-port-ranges tbl wi) ∧ k ∈ wordinter-
val-to-set ip-range)
proof(induction tbl arbitrary: wi)
case Nil thus ?case by simp blast
next
case (Cons r rs)
from Cons.prem(1) have vpx: valid-prefix (routing-match r) and vpxs: valid-prefixes
rs
by(simp-all add: valid-prefixes-split)

```

```

show ?case
proof(cases prefix-match-semantics (routing-match r) k)
  case True
  thus ?thesis
    using Cons.premis(2) using vpx  $\langle k \in \text{wordinterval-to-set } wi \rangle$ 
    by (intro exI[where  $x = \text{fst}(\text{range-prefix-match}(\text{routing-match } r) \text{ } wi)$ ])
      (simp add: prefix-match-semantics-wordset Let-def)
  next
  case False
  with  $\langle k \in \text{wordinterval-to-set } wi \rangle$  have ksnd:  $k \in \text{wordinterval-to-set}(\text{snd}(\text{range-prefix-match}(\text{routing-match } r) \text{ } wi))$ 
    by (simp add: prefix-match-semantics-wordset vpx)
  have mpr:  $\text{output-iface}(\text{routing-table-semantics } rs \text{ } k) = \text{output-port}$  using
    Cons.premis False by simp
  note Cons.IH[OF vpxs mpr ksnd]
  thus ?thesis by(fastforce simp: Let-def)
qed
qed

```

## 2.2 Reduction

So far, one entry in the list would be generated for each routing table entry. This next step reduces it to one for each port. The resulting list will represent a function from port to IP wordinterval. (It can also be understood as a function from IP (interval) to port (where the intervals don't overlap).

**definition** *reduce-range-destination*  $l \equiv$   
 $\text{let } ps = \text{remdups}(\text{map } \text{fst } l) \text{ in}$   
 $\text{let } c = \lambda s. (\text{wordinterval-Union} \circ \text{map } \text{snd} \circ \text{filter } (((=) s) \circ \text{fst})) l \text{ in}$   
 $[(p, c \text{ } p). p \leftarrow ps]$

**definition** *routing-ipassmt-wi*  $\text{tbl} \equiv \text{reduce-range-destination}(\text{routing-port-ranges } \text{tbl } \text{wordinterval-UNIV})$

**lemma** *routing-ipassmt-wi-distinct*:  $\text{distinct}(\text{map } \text{fst}(\text{routing-ipassmt-wi } \text{tbl}))$   
**unfolding** *routing-ipassmt-wi-def* *reduce-range-destination-def*  
**by**(simp add: comp-def)

**private lemma** *routing-port-ranges-superseted*:  
 $(a1, b1) \in \text{set}(\text{routing-port-ranges } \text{tbl } \text{wordinterval-UNIV}) \implies$   
 $\exists b2. (a1, b2) \in \text{set}(\text{routing-ipassmt-wi } \text{tbl}) \wedge \text{wordinterval-to-set } b1 \subseteq \text{wordinter-}$   
 $\text{val-to-set } b2$   
**unfolding** *routing-ipassmt-wi-def* *reduce-range-destination-def*  
**by**(force simp add: Set.image-iff wordinterval-Union)

**private lemma** *routing-ipassmt-wi-subsetted*:  
 $(a1, b1) \in \text{set}(\text{routing-ipassmt-wi } \text{tbl}) \implies$   
 $(a1, b2) \in \text{set}(\text{routing-port-ranges } \text{tbl } \text{wordinterval-UNIV}) \implies \text{wordinterval-to-set}$

$b2 \subseteq \text{wordinterval-to-set } b1$   
**unfolding** *routing-ipassmt-wi-def reduce-range-destination-def*  
**by**(*fastforce simp add: Set.image-iff wordinterval-Union comp-def*)

This lemma should hold without the *valid-prefixes* assumption, but that would break the semantic argument and make the proof a lot harder.

**lemma** *routing-ipassmt-wi-disjoint:*  
**assumes** *vpfx: valid-prefixes (tbl::('i::len) prefix-routing)*  
**and** *dif: a1  $\neq$  a2*  
**and** *ins: (a1, b1)  $\in$  set (routing-ipassmt-wi tbl) (a2, b2)  $\in$  set (routing-ipassmt-wi tbl)*  
**shows** *wordinterval-to-set b1  $\cap$  wordinterval-to-set b2 = {}*  
**proof**(*rule ccontr*)  
**note** *iuf = ins[unfolded routing-ipassmt-wi-def reduce-range-destination-def Let-def, simplified, unfolded Set.image-iff comp-def, simplified]*  
**assume** *(wordinterval-to-set b1  $\cap$  wordinterval-to-set b2  $\neq$  {})*  
**hence** *wordinterval-to-set b1  $\cap$  wordinterval-to-set b2  $\neq$  {}* **by** *simp*

If the intervals are not disjoint, there exists a witness of that.

**then obtain** *x* **where** *x[simp]: x  $\in$  wordinterval-to-set b1 x  $\in$  wordinterval-to-set b2* **by** *blast*

This witness has to have come from some entry in the result of *routing-port-ranges*, for both of *b1* and *b2*.

**hence**  $\exists b1g. x \in \text{wordinterval-to-set } b1g \wedge \text{wordinterval-to-set } b1g \subseteq \text{wordinterval-to-set } b1 \wedge (a1, b1g) \in \text{set (routing-port-ranges tbl wordinterval-UNIV)}$   
**using** *iuf(1)* **by**(*fastforce simp add: wordinterval-Union*)  
**then obtain** *b1g* **where** *b1g: x  $\in$  wordinterval-to-set b1g wordinterval-to-set b1g  $\subseteq$  wordinterval-to-set b1 (a1, b1g)  $\in$  set (routing-port-ranges tbl wordinterval-UNIV)* **by** *clarsimp*  
**from** *x* **have**  $\exists b2g. x \in \text{wordinterval-to-set } b2g \wedge \text{wordinterval-to-set } b2g \subseteq \text{wordinterval-to-set } b2 \wedge (a2, b2g) \in \text{set (routing-port-ranges tbl wordinterval-UNIV)}$   
**using** *iuf(2)* **by**(*fastforce simp add: wordinterval-Union*)  
**then obtain** *b2g* **where** *b2g: x  $\in$  wordinterval-to-set b2g wordinterval-to-set b2g  $\subseteq$  wordinterval-to-set b2 (a2, b2g)  $\in$  set (routing-port-ranges tbl wordinterval-UNIV)* **by** *clarsimp*

Soudness tells us that the both *a1* and *a2* have to be the result of routing *x*.

**note** *routing-port-ranges-sound[OF b1g(3), unfolded fst-conv snd-conv, OF b1g(1) vpfx] routing-port-ranges-sound[OF b2g(3), unfolded fst-conv snd-conv, OF b2g(1) vpfx]*

A contradiction follows from *a1  $\neq$  a2*.

**with** *dif* **show** *False* **by** *simp*  
**qed**

**lemma** *routing-ipassmt-wi-sound:*

**assumes** *vpfx*: *valid-prefixes tbl*  
**and** *ins*:  $(ea, eb) \in \text{set } (\text{routing-ipassmt-wi } \text{tbl})$   
**and** *x*:  $k \in \text{wordinterval-to-set } eb$   
**shows**  $ea = \text{output-iface } (\text{routing-table-semantic } \text{tbl } k)$   
**proof** –  
**note** *iuf* = *ins*[*unfolded routing-ipassmt-wi-def reduce-range-destination-def Let-def, simplified, unfolded Set.image-iff comp-def, simplified*]  
**from** *x* **have**  $\exists b1g. k \in \text{wordinterval-to-set } b1g \wedge \text{wordinterval-to-set } b1g \subseteq \text{wordinterval-to-set } eb \wedge (ea, b1g) \in \text{set } (\text{routing-port-ranges } \text{tbl } \text{wordinterval-UNIV})$   
**using** *iuf*(1) **by**(*fastforce simp add: wordinterval-Union*)  
**then obtain** *b1g* **where**  $b1g: k \in \text{wordinterval-to-set } b1g \text{ wordinterval-to-set } b1g \subseteq \text{wordinterval-to-set } eb \wedge (ea, b1g) \in \text{set } (\text{routing-port-ranges } \text{tbl } \text{wordinterval-UNIV})$  **by** *clarsimp*  
**note** *routing-port-ranges-sound*[*OF b1g(3), unfolded fst-conv snd-conv, OF b1g(1) vpfx*]  
**thus** *?thesis* .  
**qed**

**theorem** *routing-ipassmt-wi*:  
**assumes** *vpfx*: *valid-prefixes tbl*  
**shows**  
 $\text{output-iface } (\text{routing-table-semantic } \text{tbl } k) = \text{output-port } \longleftrightarrow (\exists ip\text{-range}. k \in \text{wordinterval-to-set } ip\text{-range} \wedge (\text{output-port}, ip\text{-range}) \in \text{set } (\text{routing-ipassmt-wi } \text{tbl}))$   
**proof** (*intro iffI, goal-cases*)  
**case 2 with** *vpfx routing-ipassmt-wi-sound* **show** *?case* **by** *blast*  
**next**  
**case 1**  
**then obtain** *ip-range* **where**  $(\text{output-port}, ip\text{-range}) \in \text{set } (\text{routing-port-ranges } \text{tbl } \text{wordinterval-UNIV}) \wedge k \in \text{wordinterval-to-set } ip\text{-range}$   
**using** *routing-port-rangesI*[**where** *wi* = *wordinterval-UNIV*, *OF vpfx*] **by** *auto*  
**thus** *?case*  
**unfolding** *routing-ipassmt-wi-def reduce-range-destination-def*  
**unfolding** *Let-def comp-def*  
**by**(*force simp add: Set.image-iff wordinterval-Union*)  
**qed**

**lemma** *routing-ipassmt-wi-has-all-interfaces*:  
**assumes** *in-tbl*:  $r \in \text{set } \text{tbl}$   
**shows**  $\exists s. (\text{routing-oiface } r, s) \in \text{set } (\text{routing-ipassmt-wi } \text{tbl})$   
**proof** –  
**from** *in-tbl* **have**  $\exists s. (\text{routing-oiface } r, s) \in \text{set } (\text{routing-port-ranges } \text{tbl } S)$  **for** *S*  
**proof**(*induction tbl arbitrary: S*)  
**case** (*Cons l ls*)  
**show** *?case*  
**proof**(*cases r = l*)  
**case** *True* **thus** *?thesis* **using** *Cons.prem*s **by**(*auto simp: Let-def*)  
**next**

```

    case False with Cons.prems have  $r \in \text{set } ls$  by simp
    from Cons.IH[OF this] show ?thesis by(simp add: Let-def) blast
qed
qed simp
thus ?thesis
  unfolding routing-ipassmt-wi-def reduce-range-destination-def
  by(force simp add: Set.image-iff)
qed

end

end

```

### 3 Linux Router

```

theory Linux-Router
imports
  Routing-Table
  Simple-Firewall.SimpleFw-Semantics
  Simple-Firewall.Simple-Packet
  HOL-Library.Monad-Syntax
begin

```

**definition** *fromMaybe*  $a$   $m = (\text{case } m \text{ of } \text{Some } a \Rightarrow a \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow a)$

Here, we present a heavily simplified model of a linux router. (i.e., a linux-based device with `net.ipv4.ip_forward`) It covers the following steps in packet processing:

- Packet arrives (destination port is empty, destination mac address is own address).
- Destination address is extracted and used for a routing table lookup.
- Packet is updated with output interface of routing decision.
- The FORWARD chain of iptables is considered.
- Next hop is extracted from the routing decision, fallback to destination address if directly attached.
- MAC address of next hop is looked up (using the mac lookup function `mlf`)
- L2 destination address of packet is updated.

This is stripped down to model only the most important and widely used aspects of packet processing. Here are a few examples of what was abstracted away:

- No local traffic.
- Only the **filter** table of iptables is considered, **raw** and **nat** are not.
- Only one routing table is considered. (Linux can have other tables than the **default** one.)
- No source MAC modification.
- ...

```
record interface =
  iface-name :: string
  iface-mac  :: 48 word
```

**definition** *iface-packet-check* :: interface list  $\Rightarrow$  ('i::len,'b) simple-packet-ext-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  interface option

**where** *iface-packet-check if* p  $\equiv$  find ( $\lambda i$ . *iface-name* i = p-*iface* p  $\wedge$  *iface-mac* i = p-l2dst p) *if*s

**term** *simple-fw*

**definition** *simple-linux-router* ::

```
'i routing-rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'i simple-rule list  $\Rightarrow$  (('i::len) word  $\Rightarrow$  48 word option)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  interface list  $\Rightarrow$  'i simple-packet-ext  $\Rightarrow$  'i simple-packet-ext option where
simple-linux-router rt fw mlf ifl p  $\equiv$  do {
  -  $\leftarrow$  iface-packet-check ifl p;
  let rd — (routing decision) = routing-table-semantics rt (p-dst p);
  let p = p(p-oiface := output-iface rd);
  let fd — (firewall decision) = simple-fw fw p;
  -  $\leftarrow$  (case fd of Decision FinalAllow  $\Rightarrow$  Some () | Decision FinalDeny  $\Rightarrow$  None);
  let nh = fromMaybe (p-dst p) (next-hop rd);
  ma  $\leftarrow$  mlf nh;
  Some (p(p-l2dst := ma))
}
```

However, the above model is still too powerful for some use-cases. Especially, the next hop look-up cannot be done without either a pre-distributed table of all MAC addresses, or the usual mechanic of sending out an ARP request and caching the answer. Doing ARP requests in the restricted environment of, e.g., an OpenFlow ruleset seems impossible. Therefore, we present this model:

**definition** *simple-linux-router-nol12* ::

```
'i routing-rule list  $\Rightarrow$  'i simple-rule list  $\Rightarrow$  ('i,'a) simple-packet-scheme  $\Rightarrow$ 
('i::len,'a) simple-packet-scheme option where
simple-linux-router-nol12 rt fw p  $\equiv$  do {
  let rd = routing-table-semantics rt (p-dst p);
  let p = p(p-oiface := output-iface rd);
  let fd = simple-fw fw p;
  -  $\leftarrow$  (case fd of Decision FinalAllow  $\Rightarrow$  Some () | Decision FinalDeny  $\Rightarrow$  None);
```



```

  Some p
}

```

The differences to *simple-linux-router* are illustrated by the lemmata below.

```

lemma rtr-nomac-e1:
  fixes pi
  assumes simple-linux-router rt fw mlf ifl pi = Some po
  assumes simple-linux-router-nol12 rt fw pi = Some po'
  shows  $\exists x. po = po'(\backslash p\text{-l2dst} := x)$ 
using assms
unfolding simple-linux-router-nol12-def simple-linux-router-def
by(simp add: Let-def split: option.splits state.splits final-decision.splits Option.bind-splits if-splits) blast+

```

```

lemma rtr-nomac-e2:
  fixes pi
  assumes simple-linux-router rt fw mlf ifl pi = Some po
  shows  $\exists po'. \text{simple-linux-router-nol12 } rt fw pi = \text{Some } po'$ 
using assms
unfolding simple-linux-router-nol12-def simple-linux-router-def
by(clarsimp simp add: Let-def split: option.splits state.splits final-decision.splits Option.bind-splits if-splits)

```

```

lemma rtr-nomac-e3:
  fixes pi
  assumes simple-linux-router-nol12 rt fw pi = Some po
  assumes iface-packet-check ifl pi = Some i — don't care
  assumes mlf (fromMaybe (p-dst pi) (next-hop (routing-table-semantics rt (p-dst pi)))) = Some i2
  shows  $\exists po'. \text{simple-linux-router } rt fw mlf ifl pi = \text{Some } po'$ 
using assms
unfolding simple-linux-router-nol12-def simple-linux-router-def
by(clarsimp simp add: Let-def split: option.splits state.splits final-decision.splits Option.bind-splits if-splits)

```

```

lemma rtr-nomac-eq:
  fixes pi
  assumes iface-packet-check ifl pi  $\neq$  None
  assumes mlf (fromMaybe (p-dst pi) (next-hop (routing-table-semantics rt (p-dst pi))))  $\neq$  None
  shows  $\exists x. \text{map-option } (\lambda p. p(\backslash p\text{-l2dst} := x)) (\text{simple-linux-router-nol12 } rt fw pi) = \text{simple-linux-router } rt fw mlf ifl pi$ 
proof(cases simple-linux-router-nol12 rt fw pi; cases simple-linux-router rt fw mlf ifl pi)
  fix a b
  assume as: simple-linux-router rt fw mlf ifl pi = Some b simple-linux-router-nol12 rt fw pi = Some a
  note rtr-nomac-e1 [OF this]
  with as show ?thesis by auto

```

```

next
  fix a assume as: simple-linux-router-nol12 rt fw pi = None simple-linux-router
  rt fw mlf ifl pi = Some a
  note rtr-nomac-e2[OF as(2)]
  with as(1) have False by simp
  thus ?thesis ..
next
  fix a assume as: simple-linux-router-nol12 rt fw pi = Some a simple-linux-router
  rt fw mlf ifl pi = None
  from ⟨iface-packet-check ifl pi ≠ None⟩ obtain i3 where iface-packet-check ifl
  pi = Some i3 by blast
  note rtr-nomac-e3[OF as(1) this] assms(2)
  with as(2) have False by force
  thus ?thesis ..
qed simp

end

```

## 4 Parser

```

theory IpRoute-Parser
imports Routing-Table
  IP-Addresses.IP-Address-Parser
keywords parse-ip-route parse-ip-6-route :: thy-decl
begin

```

This helps to read the output of the `ip route` command into a *32 routing-rule list*.

```

definition empty-rr-hlp :: ('a::len) prefix-match ⇒ 'a routing-rule where
  empty-rr-hlp pm = routing-rule.make pm default-metric (routing-action.make ""
  None)

```

**lemma** empty-rr-hlp-alt:

```

  empty-rr-hlp pm = ⟨ routing-match = pm, metric = 0, routing-action = ⟨out-
  put-iface = [], next-hop = None⟩ ⟩

```

```

  unfolding empty-rr-hlp-def routing-rule.defs default-metric-def routing-action.defs
  ..

```

```

definition routing-action-next-hop-update :: 'a word ⇒ 'a routing-rule ⇒ ('a::len)
  routing-rule

```

**where**

```

  routing-action-next-hop-update h pk = pk⟨ routing-action := (routing-action pk)⟨
  next-hop := Some h⟩ ⟩

```

**lemma** routing-action-next-hop-update h pk = routing-action-update (next-hop-update  
(λ-. (Some h))) (pk::32 routing-rule)

**by**(simp add: routing-action-next-hop-update-def)

```

definition routing-action-oiface-update :: string ⇒ 'a routing-rule ⇒ ('a::len) rout-
  ing-rule

```

**where**

*routing-action-oiface-update*  $h\ pk = \text{routing-action-update } (\text{output-iface-update } (\lambda-. h)) (pk::'a\ \text{routing-rule})$

**lemma** *routing-action-oiface-update*  $h\ pk = pk(\text{routing-action} := (\text{routing-action } pk)(\text{output-iface} := h))$

**by** (*simp add: routing-action-oiface-update-def*)

**definition** *default-prefix* = *PrefixMatch* 0 0

**lemma** *default-prefix-matchall: prefix-match-semantics default-prefix ip*

**unfolding** *default-prefix-def* **by** (*simp add: valid-prefix-00 zero-prefix-match-all*)

**definition** *sanity-ip-route* ( $r::('a::\text{len})\ \text{prefix-routing}$ )  $\equiv \text{correct-routing } r \wedge \text{unambiguous-routing } r \wedge \text{list-all } ((\neq) \text{ ""} \circ \text{routing-oiface})\ r$

The parser ensures that *sanity-ip-route* holds for any ruleset that is imported.

**ML-file**  $\langle \text{IpRoute-Parser.ml} \rangle$

**ML** $\langle$

*Outer-Syntax.local-theory* @{*command-keyword parse-ip-route*}

*Load a file generated by ip route and make the routing table definition available as isabelle term*

(*Parse.binding* --| @{*keyword =*} -- *Parse.string* >> *register-ip-route 32*)

$\rangle$

**ML** $\langle$

*Outer-Syntax.local-theory* @{*command-keyword parse-ip-6-route*}

*Load a file generated by ip -6 route and make the routing table definition available as isabelle term*

(*Parse.binding* --| @{*keyword =*} -- *Parse.string* >> *register-ip-route 128*)

$\rangle$

**parse-ip-route** *rtbl-parser-test1* = *ip-route-ex*

**lemma** *sanity-ip-route rtbl-parser-test1* **by** *eval*

**lemma** *rtbl-parser-test1* =

$[(\text{routing-match} = \text{PrefixMatch } 0xFFFFFFFF\ 32, \text{metric} = 0, \text{routing-action} = (\text{output-iface} = \text{"tun0"}, \text{next-hop} = \text{None}))],$

$(\text{routing-match} = \text{PrefixMatch } 0xA0D2AA\ 28, \text{metric} = 303, \text{routing-action} = (\text{output-iface} = \text{"ewlan"}, \text{next-hop} = \text{None})),$

$(\text{routing-match} = \text{PrefixMatch } 0xA0D2500\ 24, \text{metric} = 0, \text{routing-action} = (\text{output-iface} = \text{"tun0"}, \text{next-hop} = \text{Some } 0xFFFFFFFF)),$

$(\text{routing-match} = \text{PrefixMatch } 0xA0D2C00\ 24, \text{metric} = 0, \text{routing-action} = (\text{output-iface} = \text{"tun0"}, \text{next-hop} = \text{Some } 0xFFFFFFFF)),$

$(\text{routing-match} = \text{PrefixMatch } 0\ 0, \text{metric} = 303, \text{routing-action} = (\text{output-iface} = \text{"ewlan"}, \text{next-hop} = \text{Some } 0xA0D2AA\ 1))]$

**by** *eval*

```
parse-ip-6-route rtbl-parser-test2 = ip-6-route-ex  
value[code] rtbl-parser-test2  
lemma sanity-ip-route rtbl-parser-test2 by eval  
  
end
```