# Routing

# Julius Michaelis, Cornelius Diekmann

## March 17, 2025

#### Abstract

This entry contains definitions for routing with routing tables/longest prefix matching.

A routing table entry is modelled as a record of a prefix match, a metric, an output port, and an optional next hop. A routing table is a list of entries, sorted by prefix length and metric. Additionally, a parser and serializer for the output of the ip-route command, a function to create a relation from output port to corresponding destination IP space, and a model of a linux style router are included.

# Contents

1	Rou	ting Table	<b>2</b>
	1.1	Definition	2
	1.2	Single Packet Semantics	3
	1.3	Longest Prefix Match	4
	1.4	Printing	8
2	Routing table to Relation		
	2.1	Wordintervals for Ports by Routing	9
	2.2	Reduction	12
3	3 Linux Router		15
4	4 Parser		18

# Sorting a list by two keys

theory Linorder-Helper imports Main begin

Sorting is fun...

The problem is that Isabelle does not have anything like sortBy, only sort-key. This means that there is no way to sort something based on two properties, with one being infinitely more important.

```
Enter this:
```

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{datatype} \ ('a,'b) \ linord\text{-}helper = LinordHelper \ 'a \ 'b \\ \\ \textbf{instantiation} \ linord\text{-}helper :: (linorder, linorder) \ linorder \\ \textbf{begin} \\ \textbf{definition} \ linord\text{-}helper\text{-}less\text{-}eq1 \ a \ b \equiv (case \ a \ of \ LinordHelper \ a1 \ a2 \Rightarrow case \ b \\ of \ LinordHelper \ b1 \ b2 \Rightarrow a1 < b1 \lor a1 = b1 \land a2 \leq b2) \\ \textbf{definition} \ a \leq b \longleftrightarrow linord\text{-}helper\text{-}less\text{-}eq1 \ a \ b \\ \textbf{definition} \ a < b \longleftrightarrow (a \neq b \land linord\text{-}helper\text{-}less\text{-}eq1 \ a \ b) \\ \textbf{instance} \\ \textbf{by} \ standard \ (auto \ simp: \ linord\text{-}helper\text{-}less\text{-}eq1\text{-}def \ less\text{-}eq\text{-}linord\text{-}helper\text{-}def \ less\text{-}linord\text{-}helper\text{-}def} \\ \textbf{split:} \ linord\text{-}helper\text{-}less = \ less\text{-}linord\text{-}helper\text{-}def \ linord\text{-}helper\text{-}less\text{-}eq1\text{-}def} \\ \textbf{lemmas} \ linord\text{-}helper\text{-}less = \ less\text{-}linord\text{-}helper\text{-}def \ linord\text{-}helper\text{-}less\text{-}eq1\text{-}def} \\ \textbf{lemmas} \ linord\text{-}helper\text{-}le = \ less\text{-}eq\text{-}linord\text{-}helper\text{-}def \ linord\text{-}helper\text{-}less\text{-}eq1\text{-}def} \\ \textbf{lemmas} \ linord\text{-}helper\text{-}le = \ less\text{-}eq\text{-}linord\text{-}helper\text{-}def \ linord\text{-}helper\text{-}less\text{-}eq1\text{-}def} \\ \textbf{lemmas} \ linord\text{-}helper\text{-}le = \ less\text{-}eq\text{-}linord\text{-}helper\text{-}def \ linord\text{-}helper\text{-}less\text{-}eq1\text{-}def} \\ \textbf{lemmas} \ linord\text{-}helper\text{-}less\text{-}eq1\text{-}def \ linord\text{-}eq1\text{-}eq1\text{-}eq1\text{-}eq1\text{-}eq1\text{-}eq1\text{-}eq1\text{-}eq1\text{-}eq1\text{-}eq1\text{-}eq1\text{-}eq1\text{-}eq1\text{-}
```

Now, it is possible to use sort-key f, with f constructing a LinordHelper containing the two desired properties for sorting.

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

# 1 Routing Table

```
 \begin{array}{c} \textbf{theory} \ Routing\text{-}Table \\ \textbf{imports} \ IP\text{-}Addresses.Prefix\text{-}Match \\ IP\text{-}Addresses.IPv4} \ IP\text{-}Addresses.IPv6 \\ Linorder\text{-}Helper \\ IP\text{-}Addresses.Prefix\text{-}Match\text{-}toString} \\ Pure-ex.Guess \\ \textbf{begin} \end{array}
```

This section makes the necessary definitions to work with a routing table using longest prefix matching.

### 1.1 Definition

```
record(overloaded) 'i routing-action =
  output-iface :: string
  next-hop :: 'i word option

record(overloaded) 'i routing-rule =
  routing-match :: ('i::len) prefix-match
  metric :: nat
  routing-action :: 'i routing-action
```

This definition is engineered to model routing tables on packet forwarding devices. It eludes, e.g., the source address hint, which is only relevant for packets originating from the device itself.

```
context
begin
definition default-metric = 0
type-synonym 'i prefix-routing = ('i routing-rule) list
abbreviation routing-oiface a \equiv output-iface (routing-action a)
abbreviation routing-prefix r \equiv pfxm-length (routing-match r)
definition valid-prefixes where
  valid-prefixes r = foldr\ conj\ (map\ (\lambda rr.\ valid-prefix\ (routing-match\ rr))\ r)\ True
lemma valid-prefixes-split: valid-prefixes (r\#rs) \Longrightarrow valid-prefix (routing-match r)
\land valid-prefixes rs
  using valid-prefixes-def by force
lemma foldr-True-set: foldr (\lambda x. (\wedge) (f x)) l True = (\forall x \in set l. f x)
  by (induction \ l) \ simp-all
lemma valid-prefixes-alt-def: valid-prefixes r = (\forall e \in set \ r. \ valid-prefix \ (routing-match
e))
  unfolding valid-prefixes-def
  unfolding foldr-map
  unfolding comp-def
  unfolding foldr-True-set
fun has-default-route :: ('i::len) prefix-routing \Rightarrow bool where
has\text{-}default\text{-}route\ (r\#rs) = (((pfxm\text{-}length\ (routing\text{-}match\ r)) = 0) \lor has\text{-}default\text{-}route
rs) \mid
has-default-route Nil = False
lemma has-default-route-alt: has-default-route rt \longleftrightarrow (\exists r \in set \ rt. \ pfxm-length
(routing-match \ r) = 0) \ \mathbf{by}(induction \ rt) \ simp-all
        Single Packet Semantics
1.2
fun routing-table-semantics :: ('i::len) prefix-routing \Rightarrow 'i word \Rightarrow 'i routing-action
routing-table-semantics [] - = routing-action (undefined::'i routing-rule) |
routing-table-semantics (r\#rs) p = (if prefix-match-semantics (routing-match r) p
then routing-action r else routing-table-semantics rs p)
\mathbf{lemma}\ routing\text{-}table\text{-}semantics\text{-}ports\text{-}from\text{-}table:}\ valid\text{-}prefixes\ rtbl \Longrightarrow has\text{-}default\text{-}route
rtbl \Longrightarrow
  routing-table-semantics rtbl packet = r \Longrightarrow r \in routing-action 'set rtbl
proof(induction rtbl)
  case (Cons \ r \ rs)
```

```
note v\text{-}pfxs = valid\text{-}prefixes\text{-}split[OF\ Cons.prems(1)]} show ?case
proof(cases pfxm\text{-}length\ (routing\text{-}match\ r) = 0)
case True
note zero\text{-}prefix\text{-}match\text{-}all[OF\ conjunct1[OF\ v\text{-}pfxs]\ True]\ Cons.prems(3)} then show ?thesis by simp
next
case False
hence has\text{-}default\text{-}route\ rs\ using\ Cons.prems(2)\ by\ simp
from Cons.IH[OF\ conjunct2[OF\ v\text{-}pfxs]\ this]\ Cons.prems(3)\ show\ ?thesis\ by
force
qed
qed simp
```

## 1.3 Longest Prefix Match

We can abuse *LinordHelper* to sort.

```
definition routing-rule-sort-key \equiv \lambda r. LinordHelper (0 - (of\text{-nat} :: nat \Rightarrow int) (pfxm-length (routing-match r))) (metric r)
```

There is actually a slight design choice here. We can choose to sort based on  $(?a \le ?b) = (if \ pfxm-length \ ?a = pfxm-length \ ?b \ then \ pfxm-prefix \ ?a \le pfxm-prefix \ ?b \ else \ pfxm-length \ ?b < pfxm-length \ ?a)$  (thus including the address) or only the prefix length (excluding it). Which is taken does not matter gravely, since the bits of the prefix can't matter. They're either eqal or the rules don't overlap and the metric decides. (It does matter for the resulting list though.) Ignoring the prefix and taking only its length is slightly easier.

```
definition rr-ctor\ m\ l\ a\ nh\ me \equiv (|\ routing-match = PrefixMatch\ (ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal\ m)\ l,\ metric = me,\ routing-action = (|\ output-iface = a,\ next-hop = (map-option\ ipv4addr-of-dotdecimal\ nh)))\ value sort-key\ routing-rule-sort-key\ [\ rr-ctor\ (0,0,0,1)\ 3\ ''''\ None\ 0,\ rr-ctor\ (0,0,0,2)\ 8\ []\ None\ 0,\ rr-ctor\ (0,0,0,3)\ 4\ []\ None\ 13,\ rr-ctor\ (0,0,0,3)\ 4\ []\ None\ 42]
```

**definition** is-longest-prefix-routing  $\equiv$  sorted  $\circ$  map routing-rule-sort-key

```
definition correct-routing :: ('i::len) prefix-routing \Rightarrow bool where correct-routing r \equiv is-longest-prefix-routing r \land valid-prefixes r
```

Many proofs and functions around routing require at least parts of correct-routing as an assumption. Obviously, correct-routing is not given for arbitrary routing tables. Therefore, correct-routing is made to be executable and should be checked for any routing table after parsing. Note: correct-routing used to also require has-default-route, but none of the proofs require it anymore and it is not given for any routing table.

```
lemma is-longest-prefix-routing-rule-exclusion:
 assumes is-longest-prefix-routing (r1 \# rn \# rss)
 shows is-longest-prefix-routing (r1 \# rss)
using assms by(case-tac rss) (auto simp add: is-longest-prefix-routing-def)
lemma int-of-nat-less: int-of-nat a < int-of-nat b \Longrightarrow a < b by (simp\ add: int-of-nat-def)
lemma is-longest-prefix-routing-sorted-by-length:
 assumes is-longest-prefix-routing r
    and r = r1 \# rs @ r2 \# rss
 shows (pfxm-length (routing-match r1) \ge pfxm-length (routing-match r2))
using assms
proof(induction rs arbitrary: r)
 case (Cons rn rs)
 let ?ro = r1 \# rs @ r2 \# rss
 have is-longest-prefix-routing?ro using Cons.prems is-longest-prefix-routing-rule-exclusion[of
r1 \ rn \ rs @ r2 \# rss] by simp
 from Cons.IH[OF this] show ?case by simp
 case Nil thus ?case by(auto simp add: is-longest-prefix-routing-def routing-rule-sort-key-def
linord-helper-less-eq1-def less-eq-linord-helper-def int-of-nat-def)
qed
definition sort-rtbl :: ('i::len) routing-rule list \Rightarrow 'i routing-rule list \equiv sort-key
routing-rule-sort-key
lemma is-longest-prefix-routing-sort: is-longest-prefix-routing (sort-rtbl r) unfold-
ing sort-rtbl-def is-longest-prefix-routing-def by simp
definition unambiguous-routing rtbl \equiv (\forall rt1 \ rt2 \ rr \ ra. \ rtbl = rt1 @ rr \# rt2
 ing-rule-sort-key rr \neq routing-rule-sort-key ra)
lemma unambiguous-routing-Cons: unambiguous-routing (r \# rtbl) \Longrightarrow unam-
biguous-routing rtbl
 unfolding unambiguous-routing-def by (clarsimp) (metis append-Cons in-set-conv-decomp)
lemma unambiquous-routing (rr \# rtbl) \implies is-longest-prefix-routing (rr \# rtbl)
\implies ra \in set \ rtbl \implies routing-match \ rr = routing-match \ ra \implies routing-rule-sort-key
rr < routing-rule-sort-key ra
 unfolding is-longest-prefix-routing-def unambiquous-routing-def by(fastforce)
primrec unambiguous-routing-code where
unambiguous-routing-code [] = True |
unambiguous-routing-code (rr\#rtbl) = (list-all\ (\lambda ra.\ routing-match\ rr \neq routing-match\ r)
ra \lor routing\text{-}rule\text{-}sort\text{-}key \ rr \neq routing\text{-}rule\text{-}sort\text{-}key \ ra) \ rtbl \land unambiguous\text{-}routing\text{-}code
rtbl)
lemma unambiguous-routing-code[code-unfold]: unambiguous-routing rtbl \longleftrightarrow un-
ambiguous-routing-code rtbl
proof(induction rtbl)
 case (Cons rr rtbl) show ?case (is ?l \leftrightarrow ?r) proof
   assume l: ?l
```

```
with unambiguous-routing-Cons Cons.IH have unambiguous-routing-code rtbl
by blast
    moreover have list-all (\lambda ra. routing-match rr \neq routing-match ra \vee rout-
ing-rule-sort-key rr \neq routing-rule-sort-key ra) rtbl
    using l unfolding unambiguous-routing-def by (fastforce\ simp\ add:\ list-all-iff)
   ultimately show ?r by simp
 next
   assume r: ?r
   with Cons.IH have unambiguous-routing rtbl by simp
    from r have *: list-all (\lambda ra. routing-match rr \neq routing-match ra \vee rout-
ing-rule-sort-key rr \neq routing-rule-sort-key ra) rtbl by simp
     have False if rr \# rtbl = rt1 @ rra \# rt2 ra \in set (rt1 @ rt2) rout-
ing\text{-}rule\text{-}sort\text{-}key\ rra = routing\text{-}rule\text{-}sort\text{-}key\ ra \wedge routing\text{-}match\ rra = routing\text{-}match
ra for rt1 rt2 rra ra
   \mathbf{proof}(cases\ rt1 = [])
     case True thus ?thesis using that * by(fastforce simp add: list-all-iff)
     case False
      with that(1) have rtbl: rtbl = tl rt1 @ rra # rt2 by (metis \ list.sel(3)
tl-append2)
     show ?thesis proof(cases ra = hd rt1)
      case False hence ra \in set (tl \ rt1 \ @ \ rt2) using that by (cases \ rt1; \ simp)
     with \langle unambiguous\text{-}routing\ rtbl\rangle\ \mathbf{show}\ ?thesis\ \mathbf{using}\ that(3)\ rtbl\ \mathbf{unfolding}
unambiguous-routing-def by fast
     next
       case True hence rr = ra using that \langle rt1 \neq [] \rangle by (cases rt1; simp)
      thus ?thesis using that * unfolding rtbl by(fastforce simp add: list-all-iff)
     ged
   ged
   thus ?l unfolding unambiguous-routing-def by blast
qed(simp\ add:\ unambiguous-routing-def)
lemma unambigous-prefix-routing-weak-mono:
 assumes lpfx: is-longest-prefix-routing (rr#rtbl)
 assumes e:rr' \in set \ rtbl
 shows routing-rule-sort-key rr' \ge routing-rule-sort-key rr
using assms by(simp add: is-longest-prefix-routing-def)
lemma unambigous-prefix-routing-strong-mono:
  assumes lpfx: is-longest-prefix-routing (rr\#rtbl)
 assumes uam: unambiguous-routing (rr#rtbl)
 assumes e:rr' \in set \ rtbl
 assumes ne: routing-match rr' = routing-match rr
 shows routing-rule-sort-key rr' > routing-rule-sort-key rr
proof -
 from uam e ne have routing-rule-sort-key rr \neq routing-rule-sort-key rr' by (fastforce
simp add: unambiguous-routing-def)
 moreover from unambigous-prefix-routing-weak-mono lpfx e have routing-rule-sort-key
rr \leq routing-rule-sort-key rr'.
```

```
qed
lemma routing-rule-sort-key (rr-ctor (0,0,0,0) 8 \cap None 0) > routing-rule-sort-key
(rr\text{-}ctor\ (0,0,0,0)\ 24\ []\ None\ 0) by eval
In case you don't like that formulation of is-longest-prefix-routing over sort-
ing, this is your lemma.
theorem existential-routing: valid-prefixes rtbl \implies is-longest-prefix-routing rtbl
\implies has-default-route rtbl \implies unambiguous-routing rtbl \implies
routing-table-semantics rtbl addr = act \longleftrightarrow (\exists rr \in set rtbl. prefix-match-semantics
(routing-match rr) addr \wedge routing-action rr = act \wedge
  (\forall ra \in set \ rtbl. \ routing-rule-sort-key \ ra < routing-rule-sort-key \ rr \longrightarrow \neg pre-
fix-match-semantics (routing-match ra) addr))
proof(induction rtbl)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
 case (Cons rr rtbl)
 show ?case proof(cases prefix-match-semantics (routing-match rr) addr)
   case False
  hence [simp]: routing-table-semantics (rr \# rtbl) addr = routing-table-semantics
(rr \# rtbl) \ addr \ \mathbf{bv} \ simp
   show ?thesis proof(cases routing-prefix rr = 0)
     case True
Need special treatment, rtbl won't have a default route, so the IH is not
usable.
    have valid-prefix (routing-match rr) using Cons.prems valid-prefixes-split by
blast
     with True False have False using zero-prefix-match-all by blast
     thus ?thesis ..
   next
     case False
      with Cons.prems have mprems: valid-prefixes rtbl is-longest-prefix-routing
rtbl has-default-route rtbl unambiguous-routing rtbl
    by (simp-all add: valid-prefixes-split unambiguous-routing-Cons is-longest-prefix-routing-def)
      show ?thesis using Cons.IH[OF mprems] False \langle \neg prefix\text{-match-semantics} \rangle
(routing-match rr) addr\rightarrow by simp
   ged
 next
   \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
     from True have [simp]: routing-table-semantics (rr \# rtbl) addr = rout-
ing-action rr by simp
   show ?thesis (is ?l \longleftrightarrow ?r) proof
     assume ?l
     hence [simp]: act = routing-action rr by (simp \ add: True)
     have *: (\forall ra \in set (rr \# rtbl). routing-rule-sort-key rr \leq routing-rule-sort-key
ra)
     using \langle is-longest-prefix-routing (rr \# rtbl) \rangle by (clarsimp simp: is-longest-prefix-routing-def)
```

ultimately show ?thesis by simp

```
thus ?r by(fastforce simp add: True)
   next
     assume ?r
     then guess rr' .. note rr' = this
     have rr' = rr \operatorname{proof}(rule \ ccontr)
      assume C: rr' \neq rr
      from C have e: rr' \in set \ rtbl \ using \ rr' \ by \ simp
      show False proof cases
        assume eq: routing-match rr' = routing-match rr
         with e have routing-rule-sort-key rr < routing-rule-sort-key rr' using
unambigous-prefix-routing-strong-mono[OF\ Cons.prems(2,4)\ -\ eq]\ \mathbf{by}\ simp
        with True rr' show False by simp
      next
        assume ne: routing-match rr' \neq routing-match rr
          from rr' Cons.prems have valid-prefix (routing-match rr) valid-prefix
(routing-match rr') prefix-match-semantics (routing-match rr') addr by (auto simp
add: valid-prefixes-alt-def)
           {f note} same-length-prefixes-distinct [OF this (1,2) ne [symmetric] - True
this(3)
        moreover have routing-prefix rr = routing-prefix rr' (is ?pe) proof -
            have routing-rule-sort-key rr < routing-rule-sort-key rr' \longrightarrow \neg pre-
fix-match-semantics (routing-match rr) addr using rr' by simp
           with unambigous-prefix-routing-weak-mono[OF Cons.prems(2) e] True
have routing-rule-sort-key rr = routing-rule-sort-key rr' by simp
          thus ?pe by(simp add: routing-rule-sort-key-def int-of-nat-def)
        ultimately show False.
      ged
     qed
    thus ?l using rr' by simp
   qed
 qed
\mathbf{qed}
1.4
       Printing
definition routing-rule-32-toString (rr::32 routing-rule) \equiv
 prefix-match-32-toString (routing-match rr)
@ (case next-hop (routing-action rr) of Some nh \Rightarrow "via" @ ipv4addr-toString
nh \mid - \Rightarrow [])
@ " dev " @ routing-oiface rr
@ " metric " @ string-of-nat (metric rr)
definition routing-rule-128-toString (rr::128 \text{ routing-rule}) \equiv
 prefix-match-128-toString (routing-match rr)
@ (case next-hop (routing-action rr) of Some nh \Rightarrow "via " @ ipv6addr-toString
nh \mid - \Rightarrow [])
@ "dev "@ routing-oiface rr
@ " metric " @ string-of-nat (metric rr)
```

```
lemma map routing-rule-32-toString [rr-ctor (42,0,0,0) 7 "eth0" None 808, rr-ctor (0,0,0,0) 0 "eth1" (Some (222,173,190,239)) 707] = ["42.0.0.0/7 dev eth0 metric 808", "0.0.0.0/0 via 222.173.190.239 dev eth1 metric 707"] by eval
```

# 2 Routing table to Relation

Walking through a routing table splits the (remaining) IP space when traversing a routing table into a pair of sets: the pair contains the IPs concerned by the current rule and those left alone.

```
private definition ipset-prefix-match where
 ipset-prefix-match pfx \ rg = (let \ pfxrg = prefix-to-wordset \ pfx \ in \ (rg \cap pfxrg, \ rg - pfxrg, \ rg - pfxrg)
pfxrg))
private lemma ipset-prefix-match-m[simp]: fst (ipset-prefix-match pfx rg) = rg \cap
(prefix-to-wordset pfx) by(simp only: Let-def ipset-prefix-match-def, simp)
private lemma ipset-prefix-match-nm[simp]: snd (ipset-prefix-match pfx rg) = rg
- (prefix-to-wordset pfx) by(simp only: Let-def ipset-prefix-match-def, simp)
private lemma ipset-prefix-match-distinct: rpm = ipset-prefix-match pfx rg \Longrightarrow
  (fst \ rpm) \cap (snd \ rpm) = \{\} \ \mathbf{by} \ force
private lemma ipset-prefix-match-complete: rpm = ipset-prefix-match pfx rg \Longrightarrow
  (fst \ rpm) \cup (snd \ rpm) = rg \ \mathbf{by} \ force
private lemma rpm-m-dup-simp: rg \cap fst (ipset-prefix-match (routing-match r)
rg) = fst (ipset-prefix-match (routing-match r) rg)
 by simp
private definition range-prefix-match :: 'i::len prefix-match \Rightarrow 'i wordinterval \Rightarrow
'i wordinterval × 'i wordinterval where
  range-prefix-match\ pfx\ rg \equiv (let\ pfxrg = prefix-to-wordinterval\ pfx\ in
  (wordinterval-intersection rg pfxrg, wordinterval-setminus rg pfxrg))
private lemma range-prefix-match-set-eq:
  (\lambda(r1,r2)). (wordinterval-to-set r1, wordinterval-to-set r2)) (range-prefix-match
pfx rg) =
    ipset-prefix-match pfx (wordinterval-to-set rg)
  unfolding range-prefix-match-def ipset-prefix-match-def Let-def
 \textbf{using} \ word interval\text{-}intersection\text{-}set\text{-}eq \ word interval\text{-}set minus\text{-}set\text{-}eq \ prefix\text{-}to\text{-}word interval\text{-}set\text{-}eq
by auto
private lemma range-prefix-match-sm[simp]: wordinterval-to-set (fst (range-prefix-match
pfx rq)) =
   fst (ipset-prefix-match pfx (wordinterval-to-set rg))
 by (metis fst-conv ipset-prefix-match-m wordinterval-intersection-set-eq prefix-to-wordinterval-set-eq
range-prefix-match-def)
private lemma range-prefix-match-snm[simp]: wordinterval-to-set (snd (range-prefix-match
pfx rg)) =
    snd (ipset-prefix-match pfx (wordinterval-to-set rg))
 by (metis snd-conv ipset-prefix-match-nm wordinterval-setminus-set-eq prefix-to-wordinterval-set-eq
```

range-prefix-match-def)

### 2.1 Wordintervals for Ports by Routing

This split, although rather trivial, can be used to construct the sets (or rather: the intervals) of IPs that are actually matched by an entry in a routing table.

```
private fun routing-port-ranges:: 'i prefix-routing \Rightarrow 'i wordinterval \Rightarrow (string \times
('i::len) wordinterval) list where
routing-port-ranges | lo = (if wordinterval-empty lo then | else [(routing-oiface
(undefined::'i\ routing-rule),lo)])
routing-port-ranges (a\#as) lo = (
let\ rpm = range-prefix-match\ (routing-match\ a)\ lo;\ m = fst\ rpm;\ nm = snd\ rpm
in (
(routing-oiface\ a,m)\ \#\ routing-port-ranges\ as\ nm))
{\bf private\ lemma\ \it routing-port-ranges-subsets:}
(a1, b1) \in set (routing\text{-}port\text{-}ranges \ tbl \ s) \implies wordinterval\text{-}to\text{-}set \ b1 \subseteq wordinter\text{-}
 by(induction tbl arbitrary: s; fastforce simp add: Let-def split: if-splits)
private lemma routing-port-ranges-sound: e \in set (routing-port-ranges tbl \ s) \Longrightarrow
k \in wordinterval\text{-}to\text{-}set \ (snd \ e) \Longrightarrow valid\text{-}prefixes \ tbl \Longrightarrow
fst \ e = output-iface (routing-table-semantics tbl k)
proof(induction tbl arbitrary: s)
case (Cons a as)
note s = Cons.prems(1)[unfolded\ routing-port-ranges.simps\ Let-def\ list.set]
note vpfx = valid\text{-}prefixes\text{-}split[OF\ Cons.prems(3)]
show ?case (is ?kees) proof(cases e = (routing-oiface a, fst (range-prefix-match))
(routing-match \ a) \ s)))
 case False
 hence es: e \in set (routing-port-ranges as (snd (range-prefix-match (routing-match
(a) (s)) using s by blast
  note eq = Cons.IH[OF\ this\ Cons.prems(2)\ conjunct2[OF\ vpfx]]
 have \neg prefix\text{-}match\text{-}semantics (routing\text{-}match a) k (is ?nom)
 proof -
   from routing-port-ranges-subsets[of fst e snd e, unfolded prod.collapse, OF es]
  have *: wordinterval-to-set (snd\ e) \subseteq wordinterval-to-set (snd\ (range-prefix-match)
(routing-match \ a) \ s)).
  show ?nom unfolding prefix-match-semantics-wordset[OF conjunct1[OF vpfx]]
    using * Cons.prems(2) unfolding wordinterval-subset-set-eq
   by(auto simp add: range-prefix-match-def Let-def)
 aed
 thus ?kees using eq by simp
next
 \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
 hence fe: fst \ e = routing-oiface \ a \ by \ simp
 from True have k \in wordinterval-to-set (fst (range-prefix-match (routing-match
a) s))
  using Cons.prems(2) by (simp)
  hence prefix-match-semantics (routing-match a) k
```

```
unfolding prefix-match-semantics-wordset[OF conjunct1, OF vpfx]
  unfolding range-prefix-match-def Let-def
  by simp
  thus ?kees by(simp add: fe)
ged
qed (simp split: if-splits)
private lemma routing-port-ranges-disjoined:
assumes vpfx: valid-prefixes tbl
 and ins: (a1, b1) \in set (routing-port-ranges tbls) (a2, b2) \in set (routing-port-ranges
tbl(s)
 and nemp: wordinterval-to-set b1 \neq \{\}
shows b1 \neq b2 \longleftrightarrow wordinterval\text{-}to\text{-}set \ b1 \cap wordinterval\text{-}to\text{-}set \ b2 = \{\}
using assms
proof(induction tbl arbitrary: s)
  case (Cons \ r \ rs)
 have vpfx: valid-prefix (routing-match r) valid-prefixes rs using Cons.prems(1)
using valid-prefixes-split by blast+
   fix a1 b1 a2 b2
   assume one: b1 = fst (range-prefix-match (routing-match r) s)
    assume two: (a2, b2) \in set (routing-port-ranges rs (snd (range-prefix-match
(routing-match \ r) \ s)))
   have dc: wordinterval-to-set (snd (range-prefix-match (routing-match r) s)) \cap
         wordinterval-to-set (fst (range-prefix-match (routing-match r) s)) = {} by
force
   hence wordinterval-to-set b1 \cap wordinterval-to-set b2 = \{\}
   unfolding one using two [THEN routing-port-ranges-subsets] by fast
  } note * = this
 \mathbf{show} ?case
 using \langle (a1, b1) \in set \ (routing\text{-}port\text{-}ranges \ (r \# rs) \ s) \rangle \langle (a2, b2) \in set \ (routing\text{-}port\text{-}ranges \ set) \rangle
(r \# rs) s) \rightarrow nemp
    Cons.IH[OF\ vpfx(2)] *
   by(fastforce simp add: Let-def)
qed (simp split: if-splits)
private lemma routing-port-rangesI:
valid-prefixes tbl \Longrightarrow
output-iface (routing-table-semantics tbl k) = output-port \Longrightarrow
k \in wordinterval\text{-}to\text{-}set \ wi \Longrightarrow
(\exists ip\text{-range. }(output\text{-port, }ip\text{-range}) \in set (routing\text{-port-ranges }tbl wi) \land k \in wordinter
val-to-set ip-range)
proof(induction tbl arbitrary: wi)
 case Nil thus ?case by simp blast
\mathbf{next}
 case (Cons \ r \ rs)
 from Cons.prems(1) have vpfx: valid-prefix (routing-match r) and vpfxs: valid-prefixes
   by(simp-all add: valid-prefixes-split)
```

```
show ?case
  \mathbf{proof}(cases\ prefix\text{-}match\text{-}semantics\ (routing\text{-}match\ r)\ k)
   {\bf case}\  \, True
   thus ?thesis
     using Cons.prems(2) using vpfx \langle k \in wordinterval\text{-}to\text{-}set \ wi \rangle
     by (intro exI[where x = fst (range-prefix-match (routing-match r) wi)])
        (simp add: prefix-match-semantics-wordset Let-def)
  next
   case False
     with \langle k \in wordinterval\text{-}to\text{-}set \ wi \rangle have ksnd: k \in wordinterval\text{-}to\text{-}set \ (snd
(range-prefix-match\ (routing-match\ r)\ wi))
     by (simp add: prefix-match-semantics-wordset vpfx)
     have mpr: output-iface (routing-table-semantics rs(k) = output-port using
Cons.prems False by simp
   note Cons.IH[OF vpfxs mpr ksnd]
   thus ?thesis by(fastforce simp: Let-def)
 qed
qed
```

#### 2.2 Reduction

So far, one entry in the list would be generated for each routing table entry. This next step reduces it to one for each port. The resulting list will represent a function from port to IP wordinterval. (It can also be understood as a function from IP (interval) to port (where the intervals don't overlap).

```
definition reduce-range-destination l \equiv
let ps = remdups (map fst l) in
let c = \lambda s. (wordinterval-Union \circ map snd \circ filter (((=) s) \circ fst)) l in
[(p, c p). p \leftarrow ps]
definition routing-ipassmt-wi tbl \equiv reduce-range-destination (routing-port-ranges
tbl wordinterval-UNIV)
lemma routing-ipassmt-wi-distinct: distinct (map fst (routing-ipassmt-wi tbl))
  unfolding routing-ipassmt-wi-def reduce-range-destination-def
 \mathbf{by}(simp\ add:\ comp\text{-}def)
private lemma routing-port-ranges-superseted:
(a1,b1) \in set (routing-port-ranges \ tbl \ wordinterval-UNIV) \Longrightarrow
 \exists b2. (a1,b2) \in set (routing-ipassmt-witbl) \land wordinterval-to-set b1 \subseteq wordinter-
val-to-set b2
 unfolding routing-ipassmt-wi-def reduce-range-destination-def
 by(force simp add: Set.image-iff wordinterval-Union)
private lemma routing-ipassmt-wi-subsetted:
(a1,b1) \in set (routing-ipassmt-wi \ tbl) \Longrightarrow
(a1,b2) \in set \ (routing-port-ranges \ tbl \ word interval-UNIV) \Longrightarrow \ word interval-to-set
```

```
b2 ⊆ wordinterval-to-set b1

unfolding routing-ipassmt-wi-def reduce-range-destination-def

by(fastforce simp add: Set.image-iff wordinterval-Union comp-def)
```

This lemma should hold without the *valid-prefixes* assumption, but that would break the semantic argument and make the proof a lot harder.

```
lemma routing-ipassmt-wi-disjoint:
assumes vpfx: valid-prefixes (tbl::('i::len) prefix-routing)
and dif: a1 \neq a2
and ins: (a1, b1) \in set (routing-ipassmt-wi\ tbl) (a2, b2) \in set (routing-ipassmt-wi\ tbl)
shows wordinterval-to-set b1 \cap wordinterval-to-set b2 = \{\}
proof (rule\ ccontr)
note iuf = ins[unfolded\ routing-ipassmt-wi-def\ reduce-range-destination-def\ Let-def, simplified, <math>unfolded\ Set.image-iff\ comp-def,\ simplified]
assume (wordinterval-to-set b1 \cap wordinterval-to-set b2 \neq \{\})
hence wordinterval-to-set b1 \cap wordinterval-to-set b2 \neq \{\} by simp
```

If the intervals are not disjoint, there exists a witness of that.

then obtain x where x[simp]:  $x \in wordinterval$ -to-set b1  $x \in wordinterval$ -to-set b2 by blast

This witness has to have come from some entry in the result of *routing-port-ranges*, for both of b1 and b2.

```
hence \exists b1g. \ x \in word interval\text{-}to\text{-}set \ b1g \land word interval\text{-}to\text{-}set \ b1g \subseteq word interval\text{-}to\text{-}set \ b1 \land (a1, b1g) \in set \ (routing\text{-}port\text{-}ranges \ tbl \ word interval\text{-}UNIV) using iuf(1) by (fast force \ simp \ add: \ word interval\text{-}Union) then obtain b1g where b1g: \ x \in word interval\text{-}to\text{-}set \ b1g \ word interval\text{-}to\text{-}set
```

then obtain b1g where b1g:  $x \in wordinterval$ -to-set b1g wordinterval-to-set b1g  $\subseteq wordinterval$ -to-set b1  $(a1, b1g) \in set$  (routing-port-ranges tbl wordinterval-UNIV) by clarsimp

```
from x have \exists b2g. x \in wordinterval-to-set b2g \wedge wordinterval-to-set b2g \subseteq wordinterval-to-set b2 \wedge (a2, b2g) \in set (routing-port-ranges tbl wordinterval-UNIV) using iuf(2) by (fastforce simp add: wordinterval-Union)
```

then obtain b2g where b2g:  $x \in wordinterval$ -to-set b2g wordinterval-to-set  $b2g \subseteq wordinterval$ -to-set b2 (a2, b2g)  $\in$  set (routing-port-ranges tbl wordinterval-UNIV) by clarsimp

Soudness tells us that the both a1 and a2 have to be the result of routing x.

```
\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{note} \ routing-port-ranges-sound [OF\ b1g(3),\ unfolded\ fst-conv\ snd-conv,\ OF\ b1g(1)\ vpfx]\ routing-port-ranges-sound [OF\ b2g(3),\ unfolded\ fst-conv\ snd-conv,\ OF\ b2g(1)\ vpfx] \end{tabular}
```

A contradiction follows from  $a1 \neq a2$ .

```
with dif show False by simp qed
```

**lemma** routing-ipassmt-wi-sound:

```
assumes vpfx: valid-prefixes tbl
 and ins: (ea,eb) \in set (routing-ipassmt-wi \ tbl)
 and x: k \in wordinterval\text{-}to\text{-}set\ eb
 shows ea = output-iface (routing-table-semantics tbl k)
proof
 note iuf = ins[unfolded\ routing-ipassmt-wi-def\ reduce-range-destination-def\ Let-def,
simplified, unfolded Set.image-iff comp-def, simplified]
  from x have \exists b1g. k \in wordinterval\text{-}to\text{-}set b1g \land wordinterval\text{-}to\text{-}set b1g \subseteq
word interval\text{-}to\text{-}set\ eb \ \land \ (ea,\ b1g) \in set\ (routing\text{-}port\text{-}ranges\ tbl\ word interval\text{-}}UNIV)
   using iuf(1) by(fastforce\ simp\ add:\ wordinterval\text{-}Union)
  then obtain b1g where b1g: k \in wordinterval-to-set b1g wordinterval-to-set
b1g \subseteq wordinterval-to-set eb (ea, b1g) \in set (routing-port-ranges tbl wordinter-
val-UNIV) by clarsimp
 note routing-port-ranges-sound[OF b1g(3), unfolded fst-conv snd-conv, OF b1g(1)
 thus ?thesis.
qed
theorem routing-ipassmt-wi:
assumes vpfx: valid-prefixes tbl
  output-iface (routing-table-semantics tbl\ k) = output-port \longleftrightarrow
     (\exists ip\text{-range}. k \in wordinterval\text{-to-set} ip\text{-range} \land (output\text{-port}, ip\text{-range}) \in set
(routing-ipassmt-wi tbl))
proof (intro iffI, goal-cases)
  case 2 with vpfx routing-ipassmt-wi-sound show ?case by blast
next
 case 1
 then obtain ip-range where (output-port, ip-range) \in set (routing-port-ranges
tbl\ wordinterval\text{-}UNIV) \land k \in wordinterval\text{-}to\text{-}set\ ip\text{-}range
   using routing-port-ranges I[\mathbf{where} \ wi = word interval-UNIV, \ OF \ vpfx] \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
  thus ?case
   unfolding routing-ipassmt-wi-def reduce-range-destination-def
   unfolding Let-def comp-def
   by(force simp add: Set.image-iff wordinterval-Union)
qed
lemma routing-ipassmt-wi-has-all-interfaces:
 assumes in-tbl: r \in set tbl
 shows \exists s. (routing-oiface r,s) \in set (routing-ipassmt-wi tbl)
  from in-tbl have \exists s. (routing\text{-}oiface \ r,s) \in set (routing\text{-}port\text{-}ranges \ tbl \ S) for S
 proof(induction tbl arbitrary: S)
   case (Cons l ls)
   \mathbf{show}~? case
   \mathbf{proof}(cases\ r=l)
     case True thus ?thesis using Cons.prems by(auto simp: Let-def)
   next
```

```
case False with Cons.prems have r \in set ls by simp from Cons.IH[OF this] show ?thesis by(simp add: Let-def) blast qed qed simp thus ?thesis unfolding routing-ipassmt-wi-def reduce-range-destination-def by(force simp add: Set.image-iff) qed end
```

## 3 Linux Router

```
theory Linux-Router imports
Routing-Table
Simple-Firewall.SimpleFw-Semantics
Simple-Firewall.Simple-Packet
HOL-Library.Monad-Syntax
begin
definition fromMaybe\ a\ m=(case\ m\ of\ Some\ a\Rightarrow a\mid None\Rightarrow a)
```

Here, we present a heavily simplified model of a linux router. (i.e., a linux-based device with net.ipv4.ip\_forward) It covers the following steps in packet processing:

- Packet arrives (destination port is empty, destination mac address is own address).
- Destination address is extracted and used for a routing table lookup.
- Packet is updated with output interface of routing decision.
- The FORWARD chain of iptables is considered.
- Next hop is extracted from the routing decision, fallback to destination address if directly attached.
- MAC address of next hop is looked up (using the mac lookup function mlf)
- L2 destination address of packet is updated.

This is stripped down to model only the most important and widely used aspects of packet processing. Here are a few examples of what was abstracted away:

- No local traffic.
- Only the filter table of iptables is considered, raw and nat are not.
- Only one routing table is considered. (Linux can have other tables than the default one.)
- No source MAC modification.

• ...

```
record interface =
 iface-name :: string
 iface-mac :: 48 word
definition if ace-packet-check:: interface list \Rightarrow ('i::len,'b) simple-packet-ext-scheme
\Rightarrow interface option
where iface-packet-check ifs p \equiv find (\lambda i. iface-name i = p-iiface p \wedge iface-mac i
= p-l2dst p) ifs
term simple-fw
\mathbf{definition} \ \mathit{simple-linux-router} ::
  'i routing-rule list \Rightarrow 'i simple-rule list \Rightarrow (('i::len) word \Rightarrow 48 word option) \Rightarrow
         interface\ list \Rightarrow 'i\ simple-packet-ext \Rightarrow 'i\ simple-packet-ext\ option\ \mathbf{where}
simple-linux-router rt fw mlf ifl p \equiv do \{
 - \leftarrow iface\text{-}packet\text{-}check\ ifl\ p;
 let \ rd — (routing decision) = routing-table-semantics rt (p-dst p);
 let p = p(p-oiface := output-iface rd);
 let fd — (firewall decision) = simple-fw fw p;
 -\leftarrow (case fd of Decision FinalAllow \Rightarrow Some () | Decision FinalDeny \Rightarrow None);
 let \ nh = from Maybe \ (p-dst \ p) \ (next-hop \ rd);
 ma \leftarrow mlf \ nh:
 Some (p(p-l2dst := ma))
```

However, the above model is still too powerful for some use-cases. Especially, the next hop look-up cannot be done without either a pre-distributed table of all MAC addresses, or the usual mechanic of sending out an ARP request and caching the answer. Doing ARP requests in the restricted environment of, e.g., an OpenFlow ruleset seems impossible. Therefore, we present this model:

```
definition simple-linux-router-nol12 ::

'i routing-rule list \Rightarrow 'i simple-rule list \Rightarrow ('i,'a) simple-packet-scheme \Rightarrow ('i::len,'a) simple-packet-scheme option where
simple-linux-router-nol12 rt fw p \equiv do {
let rd = routing-table-semantics rt (p\text{-}dst \ p);
let p = p(p\text{-}oiface := output\text{-}iface \ rd);
let fd = simple\text{-}fw \ fw \ p;
- \leftarrow (case fd of Decision FinalAllow \Rightarrow Some () | Decision FinalDeny \Rightarrow None);
```

```
Some p
The differences to simple-linux-router are illustrated by the lemmata below.
lemma rtr-nomac-e1:
   fixes pi
 assumes simple-linux-router rt fw mlf ifl pi = Some po
 assumes simple-linux-router-nol12 rt fw pi = Some po'
 shows \exists x. po = po'(p-l2dst := x)
using assms
unfolding simple-linux-router-nol12-def simple-linux-router-def
by (simp add: Let-def split: option.splits state.splits final-decision.splits Option.bind-splits
if-splits) blast+
lemma rtr-nomac-e2:
   fixes pi
 assumes simple-linux-router rt fw mlf ifl pi = Some po
 shows \exists po'. simple-linux-router-nol12 rt fw pi = Some po'
{\bf unfolding} \ simple-linux-router-nol12-def \ simple-linux-router-def
by(clarsimp simp add: Let-def split: option.splits state.splits final-decision.splits
Option.bind-splits if-splits)
lemma rtr-nomac-e3:
   fixes pi
 assumes simple-linux-router-nol12 rt fw pi = Some po
 assumes if ace-packet-check iff pi = Some i—don't care
 {\bf assumes}\ mlf\ (\textit{fromMaybe}\ (\textit{p-dst}\ pi)\ (\textit{next-hop}\ (\textit{routing-table-semantics}\ rt\ (\textit{p-dst}\ production of the production 
pi)))) = Some i2
 shows \exists po'. simple-linux-router rt fw mlf ifl pi = Some po'
using assms
unfolding simple-linux-router-nol12-def simple-linux-router-def
by (clarsimp simp add: Let-def split: option.splits state.splits final-decision.splits
Option.bind-splits if-splits)
lemma rtr-nomac-eq:
   fixes pi
 assumes if ace-packet-check if i \neq N one
 assumes mlf (fromMaybe (p-dst pi) (next-hop (routing-table-semantics rt (p-dst
(pi)))) \neq None
 shows \exists x. map-option (\lambda p. p(p-l2dst := x)) (simple-linux-router-nol12 rt fw pi)
= simple-linux-router rt fw mlf ifl pi
proof(cases simple-linux-router-nol12 rt fw pi; cases simple-linux-router rt fw mlf
ift pi
 \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b
 assume as: simple-linux-router rt fw mlf ift pi = Some b simple-linux-router-nol12
rt fw pi = Some a
 note rtr-nomac-e1[OF this]
 with as show ?thesis by auto
```

```
next
\mathbf{fix}\ a\ \mathbf{assume}\ as:\ simple-linux-router-nol12\ rt\ fw\ pi=None\ simple-linux-router
rt\ fw\ mlf\ ifl\ pi=Some\ a
note rtr-nomac-e2[OF as(2)]
with as(1) have False by simp
thus ?thesis ..
\mathbf{next}
fix a assume as: simple-linux-router-nol12 rt fw pi = Some a simple-linux-router
rt fw mlf ifl pi = None
from \langle iface\text{-packet-check ift } pi \neq None \rangle obtain i3 where iface\text{-packet-check ift}
pi = Some \ i3 \ \mathbf{by} \ blast
note rtr-nomac-e3[OF as(1) this] <math>assms(2)
with as(2) have False by force
thus ?thesis ..
qed simp
end
4
      Parser
theory IpRoute-Parser
imports Routing-Table
  IP-Addresses.IP-Address-Parser
keywords parse-ip-route parse-ip-6-route :: thy-decl
begin
This helps to read the output of the ip route command into a 32 rout-
ing-rule list.
definition empty-rr-hlp :: ('a::len) prefix-match \Rightarrow 'a routing-rule where
 empty-rr-hlp\ pm=routing-rule.make\ pm\ default-metric\ (routing-action.make\ ''''
None)
lemma empty-rr-hlp-alt:
  empty-rr-hlp \ pm = \{ routing-match = pm, \ metric = 0, \ routing-action = \{ out-pm, \ metric = 0, \ routing-action = \} \}
put-iface = [], next-hop = None[]
 {\bf unfolding}\ empty-rr-hlp-def\ routing-rule. defs\ default-metric-def\ routing-action. defs
definition routing-action-next-hop-update :: 'a word \Rightarrow 'a routing-rule \Rightarrow ('a::len)
routing-rule
  where
 routing-action-next-hop-update\ h\ pk=pk(|routing-action:=(routing-action\ pk)(|
next-hop := Some \ h \mid \ \mid
lemma\ routing-action-next-hop-update h\ pk = routing-action-update (next-hop-update
(\lambda-. (Some h))) (pk::32 routing-rule)
 \mathbf{by}(simp\ add:\ routing-action-next-hop-update-def)
```

```
where
```

```
routing-action-oiface-update h pk = routing-action-update (output-iface-update (\lambda -. h)) (pk::'a\ routing-rule)
```

**lemma** routing-action-oiface-update h pk = pk(|| routing-action := (routing-action <math>pk)(|| output-iface := |h||)

**by**(simp add: routing-action-oiface-update-def)

```
definition default-prefix = PrefixMatch 0 0
```

lemma default-prefix-matchall: prefix-match-semantics default-prefix ip unfolding default-prefix-def by (simp add: valid-prefix-00 zero-prefix-match-all)

**definition** sanity-ip-route  $(r::('a::len) \ prefix-routing) \equiv correct-routing \ r \land unambiguous-routing \ r \land list-all \ ((\neq) '''' \circ routing-oiface) \ r$ 

The parser ensures that *sanity-ip-route* holds for any ruleset that is imported.

ML-file  $\langle IpRoute$ -Parser. $ML \rangle$ 

#### $\mathbf{ML} \langle$

Outer-Syntax.local-theory @{command-keyword parse-ip-route}

Load a file generated by ip route and make the routing table definition available as isabelle term

```
(Parse.binding -- | @\{keyword =\} -- Parse.string >> register-ip-route 32)
```

#### $\mathbf{ML}$

 $Outer-Syntax.local-theory \ @\{command-keyword\ parse-ip-6-route\}$ 

Load a file generated by ip-6 route and make the routing table definition available as isabelle term

```
(Parse.binding -- | @\{keyword =\} -- Parse.string >> register-ip-route 128)
```

**parse-ip-route** rtbl-parser-test1 = ip-route-ex lemma sanity-ip-route rtbl-parser-test1 by eval

### $\mathbf{lemma}\ rtbl ext{-}parser ext{-}test1$ =

[ $(routing-match = PrefixMatch \ 0xFFFFFF00 \ 32, \ metric = 0, \ routing-action = (output-iface = "tun0", next-hop = None)),$ 

 $(routing-match = PrefixMatch \ 0xA0D2AA0 \ 28, \ metric = 303, \ routing-action = (output-iface = "ewlan", \ next-hop = None)),$ 

 $\{routing\text{-}match = PrefixMatch \ 0xA0D2500 \ 24, \ metric = 0, \ routing\text{-}action = \{output\text{-}iface = "tun0", \ next\text{-}hop = Some \ 0xFFFFFF00\}\},$ 

 $\{routing-match = PrefixMatch \ 0xA0D2C00 \ 24, \ metric = 0, \ routing-action = \{output-iface = "tun0", \ next-hop = Some \ 0xFFFFFF00\}\},$ 

(|routing-match| = PrefixMatch| 0| 0, metric = 303, routing-action = (|output-iface| = "ewlan", next-hop = Some 0xA0D2AA1)) by eval

parse-ip-6-route rtbl-parser-test2 = ip-6-route-ex value[code] rtbl-parser-test2 lemma sanity-ip-route rtbl-parser-test2 by eval

 $\mathbf{end}$