A Complete Proof of the Robbins Conjecture

Matthew Wampler-Doty

February 6, 2026

Abstract

The document gives a formalization of the proof of the Robbins conjecture, following A. Mann, *A Complete Proof of the Robbins Conjecture*, 2003.

Contents

1 Robbins Conjecture

theory *Robbins-Conjecture*
imports *Main*
begin

The document gives a formalization of the proof of the Robbins conjecture, following A. Mann, *A Complete Proof of the Robbins Conjecture*, 2003, DOI 10.1.1.6.7838

2 Axiom Systems

The following presents several axiom systems that shall be under study.

The first axiom sets common systems that underly all of the systems we shall be looking at.

The second system is a reformulation of Boolean algebra. We shall follow pages 7–8 in S. Koppelberg. *General Theory of Boolean Algebras*, Volume 1 of *Handbook of Boolean Algebras*. North Holland, 1989. Note that our formulation deviates slightly from this, as we only provide one distribution axiom, as the dual is redundant.

The third system is Huntington's algebra and the fourth system is Robbins' algebra.

Apart from the common system, all of these systems are demonstrated to be equivalent to the library formulation of Boolean algebra, under appropriate interpretation.

2.1 Common Algebras

class *common-algebra* = *uminus* +
  fixes *inf* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ (**infixl** ‹⊓› *70*)
  fixes *sup* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ (**infixl** ‹⊔› *65*)
  fixes *bot* :: $'a$ (‹⊥›)
  fixes *top* :: $'a$ (‹⊤›)
  assumes *sup-assoc*: $x \sqcup (y \sqcup z) = (x \sqcup y) \sqcup z$
  assumes *sup-comm*: $x \sqcup y = y \sqcup x$

context *common-algebra* **begin**

definition *less-eq* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** ‹⊑› *50*) **where**
  $x \sqsubseteq y = (x \sqcup y = y)$
definition *less* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** ‹⊏› *50*) **where**
  $x \sqsubset y = (x \sqsubseteq y \land \neg\ y \sqsubseteq x)$
definition *minus* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ (**infixl** ‹−› *65*) **where**
  $minus\ x\ y = (x \sqcap -\ y)$

definition *secret-object1* :: $'a$ (‹ι›) **where**
  $\iota = (SOME\ x.\ True)$

end

class *ext-common-algebra* = *common-algebra* +
  assumes *inf-eq*: $x \sqcap y = -(-\ x \sqcup -\ y)$
  assumes *top-eq*: $\top = \iota \sqcup -\ \iota$
  assumes *bot-eq*: $\bot = -(\iota \sqcup -\ \iota)$

2.2 Boolean Algebra

class *boolean-algebra-II* =
  common-algebra +
  assumes *inf-comm*: $x \sqcap y = y \sqcap x$

assumes *inf-assoc*: $x \sqcap (y \sqcap z) = (x \sqcap y) \sqcap z$
assumes *sup-absorb*: $x \sqcup (x \sqcap y) = x$
assumes *inf-absorb*: $x \sqcap (x \sqcup y) = x$
assumes *sup-inf-distrib1*: $x \sqcup y \sqcap z = (x \sqcup y) \sqcap (x \sqcup z)$
assumes *sup-compl*: $x \sqcup - x = \top$
assumes *inf-compl*: $x \sqcap - x = \bot$

2.3 Huntington's Algebra

class *huntington-algebra* = *ext-common-algebra* +
assumes *huntington*: $- (-x \sqcup -y) \sqcup - (-x \sqcup y) = x$

2.4 Robbins' Algebra

class *robbins-algebra* = *ext-common-algebra* +
assumes *robbins*: $- (- (x \sqcup y) \sqcup - (x \sqcup -y)) = x$

3 Equivalence

With our axiom systems defined, we turn to providing equivalence results between them.

We shall begin by illustrating equivalence for our formulation and the library formulation of Boolean algebra.

3.1 Boolean Algebra

The following provides the canonical definitions for order and relative complementation for Boolean algebras. These are necessary since the Boolean algebras presented in the Isabelle/HOL library have a lot of structure, while our formulation is considerably simpler.

Since our formulation of Boolean algebras is considerably simple, it is easy to show that the library instantiates our axioms.

context *boolean-algebra-II* **begin**

lemma *boolean-II-is-boolean*:
class.boolean-algebra minus uminus $(\sqcap)$ $(\sqsubseteq)$ $(\sqsubset)$ $(\sqcup)$ $\bot$ $\top$
apply *unfold-locales*
apply (*metis inf-absorb inf-assoc inf-comm inf-compl*
less-def less-eq-def minus-def
sup-absorb sup-assoc sup-comm
sup-compl sup-inf-distrib1
sup-absorb inf-comm)+
done

end

context *boolean-algebra* **begin**

lemma *boolean-is-boolean-II*:
class.boolean-algebra-II uminus inf sup bot top
apply *unfold-locales*
apply (*metis sup-assoc sup-commute sup-inf-absorb sup-compl-top*
inf-assoc inf-commute inf-sup-absorb inf-compl-bot
sup-inf-distrib1)+
done

end

3.2 Huntington Algebra

We shall illustrate here that all Boolean algebra using our formulation are Huntington algebras, and illustrate that every Huntington algebra may be interpreted as a Boolean algebra.

Since the Isabelle/HOL library has good automation, it is convenient to first show that the library instances Huntington algebras to exploit previous results, and then use our previously derived correspondence.

context *boolean-algebra* **begin**
lemma *boolean-is-huntington*:
class.huntington-algebra uminus inf sup bot top
apply *unfold-locales*
apply (*metis double-compl inf-sup-distrib1 inf-top-right*
compl-inf inf-commute inf-compl-bot
compl-sup sup-commute sup-compl-top
sup-compl-top sup-assoc)+
done

end

context *boolean-algebra-II* **begin**

lemma *boolean-II-is-huntington*:
class.huntington-algebra uminus $(\sqcap)$ $(\sqcup)$ $\bot$ $\top$
proof $-$
interpret *boolean*:
boolean-algebra minus uminus $(\sqcap)$ $(\sqsubseteq)$ $(\sqsubset)$ $(\sqcup)$ $\bot$ $\top$
by (*fact boolean-II-is-boolean*)
show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *boolean.boolean-is-huntington*)
qed

end

context *huntington-algebra* **begin**

lemma *huntington-id*: $x \sqcup -x = -x \sqcup -(-x)$
proof $-$

from *huntington* **have**
$x \sqcup -x = -(-x \sqcup -(-(-x))) \sqcup -(-x \sqcup -(-x)) \sqcup$
$(-(-(-x) \sqcup -(-(-x))) \sqcup -(-(-x) \sqcup -(-x)))$
by *simp*
also from *sup-comm* **have**
$\ldots = -(-(-x) \sqcup -(-x)) \sqcup -(-(-x) \sqcup -(-(-x))) \sqcup$
$(-(-(-x) \sqcup -x) \sqcup -(-(-(-x)) \sqcup -x))$
by *simp*
also from *sup-assoc* **have**
$\ldots = -(-(-x) \sqcup -(-x)) \sqcup$
$(-(-(-x) \sqcup -(-(-x))) \sqcup -(-(-x) \sqcup -x)) \sqcup$
$-(-(-(-x)) \sqcup -x)$
by *simp*
also from *sup-comm* **have**
$\ldots = -(-(-x) \sqcup -(-x)) \sqcup$
$(-(-(-x) \sqcup -x) \sqcup -(-(-x) \sqcup -(-(-x)))) \sqcup$
$-(-(-(-x)) \sqcup -x)$
by *simp*
also from *sup-assoc* **have**
$\ldots = -(-(-x) \sqcup -(-x)) \sqcup -(-(-x) \sqcup -x) \sqcup$
$(-(-(-x) \sqcup -(-(-x))) \sqcup -(-(-(-x)) \sqcup -x))$
by *simp*
also from *sup-comm* **have**
$\ldots = -(-(-x) \sqcup -(-x)) \sqcup -(-(-x) \sqcup -x) \sqcup$
$(-(-(-(-x)) \sqcup -(-x)) \sqcup -(-(-(-x)) \sqcup -x))$
by *simp*
also from *huntington* **have**
$\ldots = -x \sqcup -(-x)$
by *simp*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *dbl-neg*: $-(-x) = x$
apply (*metis huntington huntington-id sup-comm*)
done

lemma *towards-sup-compl*: $x \sqcup -x = y \sqcup -y$
proof $-$
from *huntington* **have**
$x \sqcup -x = -(-x \sqcup -(-y)) \sqcup -(-x \sqcup -y) \sqcup (-(-(-x) \sqcup -(-y)) \sqcup -(-(-x)$
$\sqcup -y))$
by *simp*
also from *sup-comm* **have**
$\ldots = -(-(-y) \sqcup -x) \sqcup -(-y \sqcup -x) \sqcup (-(-y \sqcup -(-x)) \sqcup -(-(-y) \sqcup -(-x)))$
by *simp*
also from *sup-assoc* **have**
$\ldots = -(-(-y) \sqcup -x) \sqcup (-(-y \sqcup -x) \sqcup -(-y \sqcup -(-x))) \sqcup -(-(-y) \sqcup -(-x))$
by *simp*
also from *sup-comm* **have**

$\ldots = -(-y \sqcup -(-x)) \sqcup -(-y \sqcup -x) \sqcup -(-(-y) \sqcup -x) \sqcup -(-(-y) \sqcup -(-x))$
by *simp*
also from *sup-assoc* **have**
$\ldots = -(-y \sqcup -(-x)) \sqcup -(-y \sqcup -x) \sqcup (-(-(-y) \sqcup -x) \sqcup -(-(-y) \sqcup -(-x)))$
by *simp*
also from *sup-comm* **have**
$\ldots = -(-y \sqcup -(-x)) \sqcup -(-y \sqcup -x) \sqcup (-(-(-y) \sqcup -(-x)) \sqcup -(-(-y) \sqcup -x))$
by *simp*
also from *huntington* **have**
$y \sqcup -y = \ldots$ **by** *simp*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *sup-compl*: $x \sqcup -x = \top$
by (*simp add*: *top-eq towards-sup-compl*)

lemma *towards-inf-compl*: $x \sqcap -x = y \sqcap -y$
by (*metis dbl-neg inf-eq sup-comm sup-compl*)

lemma *inf-compl*: $x \sqcap -x = \bot$
by (*metis dbl-neg sup-comm bot-eq towards-inf-compl inf-eq*)

lemma *towards-idem*: $\bot = \bot \sqcup \bot$
by (*metis dbl-neg huntington inf-compl inf-eq sup-assoc sup-comm sup-compl*)

lemma *sup-ident*: $x \sqcup \bot = x$
by (*metis dbl-neg huntington inf-compl inf-eq sup-assoc sup-comm sup-compl towards-idem*)

lemma *inf-ident*: $x \sqcap \top = x$
by (*metis dbl-neg inf-compl inf-eq sup-ident sup-comm sup-compl*)

lemma *sup-idem*: $x \sqcup x = x$
by (*metis dbl-neg huntington inf-compl inf-eq sup-ident sup-comm sup-compl*)

lemma *inf-idem*: $x \sqcap x = x$
by (*metis dbl-neg inf-eq sup-idem*)

lemma *sup-nil*: $x \sqcup \top = \top$
by (*metis sup-idem sup-assoc sup-comm sup-compl*)

lemma *inf-nil*: $x \sqcap \bot = \bot$
by (*metis dbl-neg inf-compl inf-eq sup-nil sup-comm sup-compl*)

lemma *sup-absorb*: $x \sqcup x \sqcap y = x$
by (*metis huntington inf-eq sup-idem sup-assoc sup-comm*)

lemma *inf-absorb*: $x \sqcap (x \sqcup y) = x$
by (*metis dbl-neg inf-eq sup-absorb*)

lemma *partition*: $x \sqcap y \sqcup x \sqcap -y = x$
by (*metis dbl-neg huntington inf-eq sup-comm*)

lemma *demorgans1*: $-(x \sqcap y) = -x \sqcup -y$
by (*metis dbl-neg inf-eq*)

lemma *demorgans2*: $-(x \sqcup y) = -x \sqcap -y$
by (*metis dbl-neg inf-eq*)

lemma *inf-comm*: $x \sqcap y = y \sqcap x$
by (*metis inf-eq sup-comm*)

lemma *inf-assoc*: $x \sqcap (y \sqcap z) = x \sqcap y \sqcap z$
by (*metis dbl-neg inf-eq sup-assoc*)

lemma *inf-sup-distrib1*: $x \sqcap (y \sqcup z) = (x \sqcap y) \sqcup (x \sqcap z)$
proof −
  from *partition* **have**
  $x \sqcap (y \sqcup z) = x \sqcap (y \sqcup z) \sqcap y \sqcup x \sqcap (y \sqcup z) \sqcap -y$ **..**
  also from *inf-assoc* **have**
  $\ldots = x \sqcap ((y \sqcup z) \sqcap y) \sqcup x \sqcap (y \sqcup z) \sqcap -y$ **by** *simp*
  also from *inf-comm* **have**
  $\ldots = x \sqcap (y \sqcap (y \sqcup z)) \sqcup x \sqcap (y \sqcup z) \sqcap -y$ **by** *simp*
  also from *inf-absorb* **have**
  $\ldots = (x \sqcap y) \sqcup (x \sqcap (y \sqcup z) \sqcap -y)$ **by** *simp*
  also from *partition* **have**
  $\ldots = ((x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap -z)) \sqcup$
    $((x \sqcap (y \sqcup z) \sqcap -y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap (y \sqcup z) \sqcap -y \sqcap -z))$ **by** *simp*
  also from *inf-assoc* **have**
  $\ldots = ((x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap -z)) \sqcup$
    $((x \sqcap ((y \sqcup z) \sqcap (-y \sqcap z))) \sqcup (x \sqcap ((y \sqcup z) \sqcap (-y \sqcap -z))))$ **by** *simp*
  also from *demorgans2* **have**
  $\ldots = ((x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap -z)) \sqcup$
    $((x \sqcap ((y \sqcup z) \sqcap (-y \sqcap z))) \sqcup (x \sqcap ((y \sqcup z) \sqcap -(y \sqcup z))))$ **by** *simp*
  also from *inf-compl* **have**
  $\ldots = ((x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap -z)) \sqcup$
    $((x \sqcap ((y \sqcup z) \sqcap (-y \sqcap z))) \sqcup (x \sqcap \bot))$ **by** *simp*
  also from *inf-nil* **have**
  $\ldots = ((x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap -z)) \sqcup$
    $((x \sqcap ((y \sqcup z) \sqcap (-y \sqcap z))) \sqcup \bot)$ **by** *simp*
  also from *sup-idem* **have**
  $\ldots = ((x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap -z)) \sqcup$
    $((x \sqcap ((y \sqcup z) \sqcap (-y \sqcap z))) \sqcup \bot)$ **by** *simp*
  also from *sup-ident* **have**
  $\ldots = ((x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap -z)) \sqcup$
    $(x \sqcap ((y \sqcup z) \sqcap (-y \sqcap z)))$ **by** *simp*
  also from *inf-comm* **have**
  $\ldots = ((x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap -z)) \sqcup$

$(x \sqcap ((-y \sqcap z) \sqcap (y \sqcup z)))$ **by** *simp*
also from *sup-comm* **have**
$\ldots = ((x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap -z)) \sqcup$
$(x \sqcap ((-y \sqcap z) \sqcap (z \sqcup y)))$ **by** *simp*
also from *inf-assoc* **have**
$\ldots = ((x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap (y \sqcap z)) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap -z)) \sqcup$
$(x \sqcap (-y \sqcap (z \sqcap (z \sqcup y))))$ **by** *simp*
also from *inf-absorb* **have**
$\ldots = ((x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap (y \sqcap z)) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap -z)) \sqcup (x \sqcap (-y \sqcap z))$
by *simp*
also from *inf-comm* **have**
$\ldots = ((x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap (z \sqcap y)) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap -z)) \sqcup (x \sqcap (z \sqcap -y))$
by *simp*
also from *sup-assoc* **have**
$\ldots = ((x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup ((x \sqcap (z \sqcap y)) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap -z))) \sqcup (x \sqcap (z \sqcap -y))$
by *simp*
also from *sup-comm* **have**
$\ldots = ((x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup ((x \sqcap y \sqcap -z) \sqcup (x \sqcap (z \sqcap y)))) \sqcup (x \sqcap (z \sqcap -y))$
by *simp*
also from *sup-assoc* **have**
$\ldots = ((x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap -z)) \sqcup ((x \sqcap (z \sqcap y)) \sqcup (x \sqcap (z \sqcap -y)))$
by *simp*
also from *inf-assoc* **have**
$\ldots = ((x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap -z)) \sqcup ((x \sqcap z \sqcap y) \sqcup (x \sqcap z \sqcap -y))$ **by** *simp*
also from *partition* **have** $\ldots = (x \sqcap y) \sqcup (x \sqcap z)$ **by** *simp*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *sup-inf-distrib1*:
$x \sqcup (y \sqcap z) = (x \sqcup y) \sqcap (x \sqcup z)$
proof $-$
from *dbl-neg* **have**
$x \sqcup (y \sqcap z) = -(-(-(-x) \sqcup (y \sqcap z)))$ **by** *simp*
also from *inf-eq* **have**
$\ldots = -(-x \sqcap (-y \sqcup -z))$ **by** *simp*
also from *inf-sup-distrib1* **have**
$\ldots = -((-x \sqcap -y) \sqcup (-x \sqcap -z))$ **by** *simp*
also from *demorgans2* **have**
$\ldots = -(-x \sqcap -y) \sqcap -(-x \sqcap -z)$ **by** *simp*
also from *demorgans1* **have**
$\ldots = (-(-x) \sqcup -(-y)) \sqcap (-(-x) \sqcup -(-z))$ **by** *simp*
also from *dbl-neg* **have**
$\ldots = (x \sqcup y) \sqcap (x \sqcup z)$ **by** *simp*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *huntington-is-boolean-II*:
class.boolean-algebra-II uminus $(\sqcap)$ $(\sqcup)$ $\bot$ $\top$
apply *unfold-locales*

```
apply (metis inf-comm inf-assoc sup-absorb
            inf-absorb sup-inf-distrib1
            sup-compl inf-compl)+
done

lemma huntington-is-boolean:
  class.boolean-algebra minus uminus (⊓) (⊑) (⊏) (⊔) ⊥ ⊤
proof −
  interpret boolean-II:
    boolean-algebra-II uminus (⊓) (⊔) ⊥ ⊤
      by (fact huntington-is-boolean-II)
  show ?thesis by (simp add: boolean-II.boolean-II-is-boolean)
qed
end
```

3.3 Robbins' Algebra

```
context boolean-algebra begin
lemma boolean-is-robbins:
  class.robbins-algebra uminus inf sup bot top
apply unfold-locales
apply (metis sup-assoc sup-commute compl-inf double-compl sup-compl-top
            inf-compl-bot diff-eq sup-bot-right sup-inf-distrib1)+
done
end

context boolean-algebra-II begin
lemma boolean-II-is-robbins:
  class.robbins-algebra uminus inf sup bot top
proof −
  interpret boolean:
    boolean-algebra minus uminus (⊓) (⊑) (⊏) (⊔) ⊥ ⊤
      by (fact boolean-II-is-boolean)
  show ?thesis by (simp add: boolean.boolean-is-robbins)
qed
end

context huntington-algebra begin
lemma huntington-is-robbins:
  class.robbins-algebra uminus inf sup bot top
proof −
  interpret boolean:
    boolean-algebra minus uminus (⊓) (⊑) (⊏) (⊔) ⊥ ⊤
      by (fact huntington-is-boolean)
  show ?thesis by (simp add: boolean.boolean-is-robbins)
qed
end
```

Before diving into the proof that the Robbins algebra is Boolean, we shall present some shorthand machinery

context *common-algebra* **begin**

primrec *copyp* :: *nat* ⇒ *'a* ⇒ *'a* (**infix** ‹⊗› *80*)
where
  copyp-0: $0 \otimes x = x$
| *copyp-Suc*: $(Suc\ k) \otimes x = (k \otimes x) \sqcup x$

unbundle *no set-product-syntax*

primrec *copy* :: *nat* ⇒ *'a* ⇒ *'a* (**infix** ‹×› *85*)
where
  $0 \times x = x$
| $(Suc\ k) \times x = k \otimes x$

lemma *one*: $1 \times x = x$
proof −
  have $1 = Suc(0)$ **by** *arith*
  hence $1 \times x = Suc(0) \times x$ **by** *metis*
  also have $\ldots = x$ **by** *simp*
  finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *two*: $2 \times x = x \sqcup x$
proof −
  have $2 = Suc(Suc(0))$ **by** *arith*
  hence $2 \times x = Suc(Suc(0)) \times x$ **by** *metis*
  also have $\ldots = x \sqcup x$ **by** *simp*
  finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *three*: $3 \times x = x \sqcup x \sqcup x$
proof −
  have $3 = Suc(Suc(Suc(0)))$ **by** *arith*
  hence $3 \times x = Suc(Suc(Suc(0))) \times x$ **by** *metis*
  also have $\ldots = x \sqcup x \sqcup x$ **by** *simp*
  finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *four*: $4 \times x = x \sqcup x \sqcup x \sqcup x$
proof −
  have $4 = Suc(Suc(Suc(Suc(0))))$ **by** *arith*
  hence $4 \times x = Suc(Suc(Suc(Suc(0)))) \times x$ **by** *metis*
  also have $\ldots = x \sqcup x \sqcup x \sqcup x$ **by** *simp*
  finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *five*: $5 \times x = x \sqcup x \sqcup x \sqcup x \sqcup x$
proof $-$
  have $5 = Suc(Suc(Suc(Suc(Suc(0)))))$ **by** *arith*
  hence $5 \times x = Suc(Suc(Suc(Suc(Suc(0))))) \times x$ **by** *metis*
  also have $\ldots = x \sqcup x \sqcup x \sqcup x \sqcup x$ **by** *simp*
  finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *six*: $6 \times x = x \sqcup x \sqcup x \sqcup x \sqcup x \sqcup x$
proof $-$
  have $6 = Suc(Suc(Suc(Suc(Suc(Suc(0))))))$ **by** *arith*
  hence $6 \times x = Suc(Suc(Suc(Suc(Suc(Suc(0)))))) \times x$ **by** *metis*
  also have $\ldots = x \sqcup x \sqcup x \sqcup x \sqcup x \sqcup x$ **by** *simp*
  finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *copyp-distrib*: $k \otimes (x \sqcup y) = (k \otimes x) \sqcup (k \otimes y)$
proof (*induct k*)
  case *0* **show** *?case* **by** *simp*
  case *Suc* **thus** *?case* **by** (*simp*, *metis sup-assoc sup-comm*)
qed

corollary *copy-distrib*: $k \times (x \sqcup y) = (k \times x) \sqcup (k \times y)$
by (*induct k*, (*simp add*: *sup-assoc sup-comm copyp-distrib*)+)

lemma *copyp-arith*: $(k + l + 1) \otimes x = (k \otimes x) \sqcup (l \otimes x)$
proof (*induct l*)
  case *0* **have** $k + 0 + 1 = Suc(k)$ **by** *arith*
    thus *?case* **by** *simp*
  case (*Suc l*) **note** *ind-hyp* = *this*
    have $k + Suc(l) + 1 = Suc(k + l + 1)$ **by** *arith+*
    hence $(k + Suc(l) + 1) \otimes x = (k + l + 1) \otimes x \sqcup x$ **by** (*simp add*: *ind-hyp*)
    also from *ind-hyp* **have**
      $\ldots = (k \otimes x) \sqcup (l \otimes x) \sqcup x$ **by** *simp*
    also note *sup-assoc*
    finally show *?case* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *copy-arith*:
  assumes $k \neq 0$ **and** $l \neq 0$
  shows $(k + l) \times x = (k \times x) \sqcup (l \times x)$
using *assms*
proof $-$
  from *assms* **have** $\exists\ k'.\ Suc(k') = k$
    and $\exists\ l'.\ Suc(l') = l$ **by** *arith+*
  from *this* **obtain** $k'\ l'$ **where** *A*: $Suc(k') = k$

and B: $Suc(l') = l$ **by** *fast+*
from *this* **have** $A1$: $k \times x = k' \otimes x$
and $B1$: $l \times x = l' \otimes x$ **by** *fastforce+*
from A B **have** $k + l = Suc(k' + l' + 1)$ **by** *arith*
hence $(k + l) \times x = (k' + l' + 1) \otimes x$ **by** *simp*
also from *copyp-arith* **have**
$\ldots = k' \otimes x \sqcup l' \otimes x$ **by** *fast*
also from $A1$ $B1$ **have**
$\ldots = k \times x \sqcup l \times x$ **by** *fastforce*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

end

The theorem asserting all Robbins algebras are Boolean comes in 6 movements.

First: The Winker identity is proved.

Second: Idempotence for a particular object is proved. Note that falsum is defined in terms of this object.

Third: An identity law for falsum is derived.

Fourth: Idempotence for supremum is derived.

Fifth: The double negation law is proven

Sixth: Robbin's algebras are proven to be Huntington Algebras.

context *robbins-algebra* **begin**

definition *secret-object2* :: $'a$ ($\langle\alpha\rangle$) **where**
$\alpha = -(-(\iota \sqcup \iota \sqcup \iota) \sqcup \iota)$
definition *secret-object3* :: $'a$ ($\langle\beta\rangle$) **where**
$\beta = \iota \sqcup \iota$
definition *secret-object4* :: $'a$ ($\langle\delta\rangle$) **where**
$\delta = \beta \sqcup (-(\alpha \sqcup -\beta) \sqcup -(\alpha \sqcup -\beta))$
definition *secret-object5* :: $'a$ ($\langle\gamma\rangle$) **where**
$\gamma = \delta \sqcup -(\delta \sqcup -\delta)$
definition *winker-object* :: $'a$ ($\langle\varrho\rangle$) **where**
$\varrho = \gamma \sqcup \gamma \sqcup \gamma$
definition *fake-bot* :: $'a$ ($\langle\bot\bot\rangle$) **where**
$\bot\bot = -(\varrho \sqcup -\varrho)$

lemma *robbins2*: $y = -(-(-x \sqcup y) \sqcup -(x \sqcup y))$
by (*metis robbins sup-comm*)

lemma *mann0*: $-(x \sqcup y) = -(-(-(x \sqcup y) \sqcup -x \sqcup y) \sqcup y)$
by (*metis robbins sup-comm sup-assoc*)

```
lemma mann1: −(−x ⊔ y) = −(−(−(−x ⊔ y) ⊔ x ⊔ y) ⊔ y)
  by (metis robbins sup-comm sup-assoc)

lemma mann2: y = −(−(−(−x ⊔ y) ⊔ x ⊔ y ⊔ y) ⊔ −(−x ⊔ y))
  by (metis mann1 robbins sup-comm sup-assoc)

lemma mann3: z = −(−(−(−(−x ⊔ y) ⊔ x ⊔ y ⊔ y) ⊔ −(−x ⊔ y) ⊔ z) ⊔ −(y ⊔
z))
proof −
  let ?w = −(−(−x ⊔ y) ⊔ x ⊔ y ⊔ y) ⊔ −(−x ⊔ y)
  from robbins[where x=z and y=?w] sup-comm mann2
  have z = −(−(y ⊔ z) ⊔ −(?w ⊔ z)) by metis
  thus ?thesis by (metis sup-comm)
qed

lemma mann4: −(y ⊔ z) =
  −(−(−(−(−x ⊔ y) ⊔ x ⊔ y ⊔ y) ⊔ −(−x ⊔ y) ⊔ −(y ⊔ z) ⊔ z) ⊔ z)
proof −
 from robbins2[where x=−(−(−x ⊔ y) ⊔ x ⊔ y ⊔ y) ⊔ −(−x ⊔ y) ⊔ z
                and y=−(y ⊔ z)]
      mann3[where x=x and y=y and z=z]
 have −(y ⊔ z) =
        −(z ⊔ −(−(−(−x ⊔ y) ⊔ x ⊔ y ⊔ y) ⊔ −(−x ⊔ y) ⊔ z ⊔ −(y ⊔ z)))
   by metis
 with sup-comm sup-assoc show ?thesis by metis
qed

lemma mann5: u =
−(−(−(−(−(−x ⊔ y) ⊔ x ⊔ y ⊔ y) ⊔
      −(−x ⊔ y) ⊔ − (y ⊔ z) ⊔ z) ⊔ z ⊔ u) ⊔
  −(−(y ⊔ z) ⊔ u))
using robbins2[where x=−(−(−(−x ⊔ y) ⊔ x ⊔ y ⊔ y) ⊔
                          −(−x ⊔ y) ⊔ −(y ⊔ z) ⊔ z) ⊔ z
                and y=u]
      mann4[where x=x and y=y and z=z]
      sup-comm
by metis

lemma mann6:
−(− 3×x ⊔ x) = −(−(−(− 3×x ⊔ x) ⊔ − 3×x) ⊔ −(−(− 3×x ⊔ x) ⊔ 5×x))
proof −
  have 3+2=(5::nat) and 3≠(0::nat) and 2≠(0::nat) by arith+
  with copy-arith have ♡: 3×x ⊔ 2×x = 5×x by metis
  let ?p = −(− 3×x ⊔ x)
  { fix q
    from sup-comm have
    −(q ⊔ 5×x) = −(5×x ⊔ q) by metis
     also from ♡ mann0[where x=3×x and y=q ⊔ 2×x] sup-assoc sup-comm
have
```

$\ldots = -(-(-(3\times x \sqcup (q \sqcup 2\times x)) \sqcup -\ 3\times x \sqcup (q \sqcup 2\times x)) \sqcup (q \sqcup 2\times x))$
by *metis*
also from *sup-assoc* **have**
$\ldots = -(-(-((3\times x \sqcup q) \sqcup 2\times x) \sqcup -\ 3\times x \sqcup (q \sqcup 2\times x)) \sqcup (q \sqcup 2\times x))$ **by** *metis*
also from *sup-comm* **have**
$\ldots = -(-(-((q \sqcup 3\times x) \sqcup 2\times x) \sqcup -\ 3\times x \sqcup (q \sqcup 2\times x)) \sqcup (q \sqcup 2\times x))$ **by** *metis*
also from *sup-assoc* **have**
$\ldots = -(-(-(q \sqcup (3\times x \sqcup 2\times x)) \sqcup -\ 3\times x \sqcup (q \sqcup 2\times x)) \sqcup (q \sqcup 2\times x))$ **by** *metis*
also from ♡ **have**
$\ldots = -(-(-(q \sqcup 5\times x) \sqcup -\ 3\times x \sqcup (q \sqcup 2\times x)) \sqcup (q \sqcup 2\times x))$ **by** *metis*
also from *sup-assoc* **have**
$\ldots = -(-(-(q \sqcup 5\times x) \sqcup (-\ 3\times x \sqcup q) \sqcup 2\times x) \sqcup (q \sqcup 2\times x))$ **by** *metis*
also from *sup-comm* **have**
$\ldots = -(-(-(q \sqcup 5\times x) \sqcup (q \sqcup -\ 3\times x) \sqcup 2\times x) \sqcup (2\times x \sqcup q))$ **by** *metis*
also from *sup-assoc* **have**
$\ldots = -(-(-(q \sqcup 5\times x) \sqcup q \sqcup -\ 3\times x \sqcup 2\times x) \sqcup 2\times x \sqcup q)$ **by** *metis*
finally have
$-(q \sqcup 5\times x) = -(-(-(q \sqcup 5\times x) \sqcup q \sqcup -\ 3\times x \sqcup 2\times x) \sqcup 2\times x \sqcup q)$ **by** *simp*
} hence ♠:
$-(?p \sqcup 5\times x) = -(-(-(?p \sqcup 5\times x) \sqcup ?p \sqcup -\ 3\times x \sqcup 2\times x) \sqcup 2\times x \sqcup ?p)$
by *simp*

from *mann5*[**where** $x=3\times x$ **and** $y=x$ **and** $z=2\times x$ **and** $u=?p$]
sup-assoc three[**where** $x=x$] *five*[**where** $x=x$] **have**
$?p =$
$-(-(-(-(?p \sqcup 5\times x) \sqcup ?p \sqcup -(x \sqcup 2\times x) \sqcup 2\times x) \sqcup 2\times x \sqcup ?p) \sqcup$
$-(-(x \sqcup 2\times x) \sqcup ?p))$ **by** *metis*
also from *sup-comm* **have**
$\ldots =$
$-(-(-(-(?p \sqcup 5\times x) \sqcup ?p \sqcup -(2\times x \sqcup x) \sqcup 2\times x) \sqcup 2\times x \sqcup ?p) \sqcup$
$-(-(2\times x \sqcup x) \sqcup ?p))$ **by** *metis*
also from *two*[**where** $x=x$] *three*[**where** $x=x$] **have**
$\ldots =$
$-(-(-(-(?p \sqcup 5\times x) \sqcup ?p \sqcup -\ 3\times x \sqcup 2\times x) \sqcup 2\times x \sqcup ?p) \sqcup$
$-(-\ 3\times x \sqcup ?p))$ **by** *metis*
also from ♠ **have** $\ldots = -(-(?p \sqcup 5\times x) \sqcup -(-\ 3\times x \sqcup ?p))$ **by** *simp*
also from *sup-comm* **have** $\ldots = -(-(?p \sqcup 5\times x) \sqcup -(?p \sqcup -\ 3\times x))$ **by** *simp*
also from *sup-comm* **have** $\ldots = -(-(?p \sqcup -\ 3\times x) \sqcup -(?p \sqcup 5\times x))$ **by** *simp*
finally show *?thesis* **.**
qed

lemma *mann7*:
$-\ 3\times x = -(-(-\ 3\times x \sqcup x) \sqcup 5\times x)$
proof $-$
let $?p = -(-\ 3\times x \sqcup x)$
let $?q = ?p \sqcup -\ 3\times x$

```
  let ?r = −(?p ⊔ 5×x)
  from robbins2[where x=?q
                and y=?r]
       mann6[where x=x]
  have ?r = − (?p ⊔ − (?q ⊔ ?r)) by simp
  also from sup-comm have . . . = − (− (?q ⊔ ?r) ⊔ ?p) by simp
  also from sup-comm have . . . = − (− (?r ⊔ ?q) ⊔ ?p) by simp
  finally have ♠: ?r = − (− (?r ⊔ ?q) ⊔ ?p) .
  from mann3[where x=3×x and y=x and z=− 3×x]
       sup-comm have
       − 3×x = −(−(−(?p ⊔ 3×x ⊔ x ⊔ x) ⊔ ?p ⊔ − 3×x) ⊔ ?p) by metis
  also from sup-assoc have
       . . . = −(−(−(?p ⊔ (3×x ⊔ x ⊔ x)) ⊔ ?q) ⊔ ?p) by metis
  also from three[where x=x] five[where x=x] have
       . . . = −(−(?r ⊔ ?q) ⊔ ?p) by metis
  finally have − 3×x = −(−(?r ⊔ ?q) ⊔ ?p) by metis
  with ♠ show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma mann8:
−(− 3×x ⊔ x) ⊔ 2×x = −(−(−(− 3×x ⊔ x) ⊔ − 3×x ⊔ 2×x) ⊔ − 3×x)
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof −
  let ?p = −(− 3×x ⊔ x)
  let ?q = ?p ⊔ 2×x
  let ?r = 3×x
  have 3+2=(5::nat) and 3≠(0::nat) and 2≠(0::nat) by arith+
  with copy-arith have ♡: 3×x ⊔ 2×x = 5×x by metis
  from robbins2[where x=?r and y=?q] and sup-assoc
  have ?q = −(−(− 3×x ⊔ ?q) ⊔ −(3×x ⊔ ?p ⊔ 2×x)) by metis
  also from sup-comm have
       . . . = −(−(?q ⊔ − 3×x) ⊔ −(?p ⊔ 3×x ⊔ 2×x)) by metis
  also from ♡ sup-assoc have
       . . . = −(−(?q ⊔ − 3×x) ⊔ −(?p ⊔ 5×x)) by metis
  also from mann7[where x=x] have
       . . . = −(−(?q ⊔ − 3×x) ⊔ − 3×x) by metis
  also from sup-assoc have
       . . . = −(−(?p ⊔ (2×x ⊔ − 3×x)) ⊔ − 3×x) by metis
  also from sup-comm have
       . . . = −(−(?p ⊔ (− 3×x ⊔ 2×x)) ⊔ − 3×x) by metis
  also from sup-assoc have
       . . . = ?rhs by metis
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma mann9: x = −(−(− 3×x ⊔ x) ⊔ − 3×x )
proof −
  let ?p = −(− 3×x ⊔ x)
  let ?q = ?p ⊔ 4×x
```

have $4+1=(5::nat)$ **and** $1\neq(0::nat)$ **and** $4\neq(0::nat)$ **by** *arith+*
with *copy-arith one* **have** ♡: $4\times x \sqcup x = 5\times x$ **by** *metis*
with *sup-assoc robbins2*[**where** $y=x$ **and** $x=?q$]
have $x = -(-(-?q \sqcup x) \sqcup -(?p \sqcup 5\times x))$ **by** *metis*
with *mann7* **have** $x = -(-(-?q \sqcup x) \sqcup -\ 3\times x)$ **by** *metis*
moreover
have $3+1=(4::nat)$ **and** $1\neq(0::nat)$ **and** $3\neq(0::nat)$ **by** *arith+*
with *copy-arith one* **have** ♠: $3\times x \sqcup x = 4\times x$ **by** *metis*
with *mann1*[**where** $x=3\times x$ **and** $y=x$] *sup-assoc* **have**
$-(-?q \sqcup x) = ?p$ **by** *metis*
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *mann10*: $y = -(-(-(-\ 3\times x \sqcup x) \sqcup -\ 3\times x \sqcup y) \sqcup -(x \sqcup y))$
using *robbins2*[**where** $x=-(-\ 3\times x \sqcup x) \sqcup -\ 3\times x$ **and** $y=y$]
mann9[**where** $x=x$]
sup-comm
by *metis*

theorem *mann*: $2\times x = -(-\ 3\times x \sqcup x) \sqcup 2\times x$
using *mann10*[**where** $x=x$ **and** $y=2\times x$]
mann8[**where** $x=x$]
two[**where** $x=x$] *three*[**where** $x=x$] *sup-comm*
by *metis*

corollary *winkerr*: $\alpha \sqcup \beta = \beta$
using *mann secret-object2-def secret-object3-def two three*
by *metis*

corollary *winker*: $\beta \sqcup \alpha = \beta$
by (*metis winkerr sup-comm*)

corollary *multi-winkerp*: $\beta \sqcup k \otimes \alpha = \beta$
by (*induct k*, (*simp add*: *winker sup-comm sup-assoc*)+)

corollary *multi-winker*: $\beta \sqcup k \times \alpha = \beta$
by (*induct k*, (*simp add*: *multi-winkerp winker sup-comm sup-assoc*)+)

lemma *less-eq-introp*:
$-(x \sqcup -(y \sqcup z)) = -(x \sqcup y \sqcup -z) \Longrightarrow y \sqsubseteq x$
by (*metis robbins sup-assoc less-eq-def*
sup-comm[**where** $x=x$ **and** $y=y$])

corollary *less-eq-intro*:
$-(x \sqcup -(y \sqcup z)) = -(x \sqcup y \sqcup -z) \Longrightarrow x \sqcup y = x$
by (*metis less-eq-introp less-eq-def sup-comm*)

lemma *eq-intro*:
$-(x \sqcup -(y \sqcup z)) = -(y \sqcup -(x \sqcup z)) \Longrightarrow x = y$
  by (*metis robbins sup-assoc sup-comm*)

lemma *copyp0*:
  assumes $-(x \sqcup -y) = z$
    shows $-(x \sqcup -(y \sqcup k \otimes (x \sqcup z))) = z$
using *assms*
proof (*induct k*)
  case *0* **show** *?case*
    by (*simp*, *metis assms robbins sup-assoc sup-comm*)
  case *Suc* **note** *ind-hyp* = *this*
  show *?case*
    by (*simp*, *metis ind-hyp robbins sup-assoc sup-comm*)
qed

lemma *copyp1*:
  assumes $-(-(x \sqcup -y) \sqcup -y) = x$
    shows $-(y \sqcup k \otimes (x \sqcup -(x \sqcup -y))) = -y$
using *assms*
proof −
  let $?z = -(x \sqcup - y)$
  let $?ky = y \sqcup k \otimes (x \sqcup ?z)$
  have $-(x \sqcup -?ky) = ?z$ **by** (*simp add*: *copyp0*)
  hence $-(-?ky \sqcup -(-y \sqcup ?z)) = ?z$ **by** (*metis assms sup-comm*)
  also have $-(?z \sqcup -?ky) = x$ **by** (*metis assms copyp0 sup-comm*)
  hence $?z = -(-y \sqcup -(-?ky \sqcup ?z))$ **by** (*metis sup-comm*)
  finally show *?thesis* **by** (*metis eq-intro*)
qed

corollary *copyp2*:
  assumes $-(x \sqcup y) = -y$
    shows $-(y \sqcup k \otimes (x \sqcup -(x \sqcup -y))) = -y$
  by (*metis assms robbins sup-comm copyp1*)

lemma *two-threep*:
  assumes $-(2 \times x \sqcup y) = -y$
    and $-(3 \times x \sqcup y) = -y$
    shows $2 \times x \sqcup y = 3 \times x \sqcup y$
using *assms*
proof −
  from *assms two three* **have**
    A: $-(x \sqcup x \sqcup y) = -y$ **and**
    B: $-(x \sqcup x \sqcup x \sqcup y) = -y$ **by** *simp+*
  with *sup-assoc*
    copyp2[**where** $x=x$ **and** $y=x \sqcup x \sqcup y$ **and** $k=0$]
  have $-(x \sqcup x \sqcup y \sqcup x \sqcup -(x \sqcup -y)) = -y$ **by** *simp*
  moreover
  from *sup-comm sup-assoc A B*

copyp2[**where** $x{=}x \sqcup x$ **and** $y{=}y$ **and** $k{=}\mathit{0}$]
have $-(y \sqcup x \sqcup x \sqcup -(x \sqcup x \sqcup -y)) = -y$ **by** *fastforce*
with *sup-comm sup-assoc*
have $-(x \sqcup x \sqcup y \sqcup -(x \sqcup (x \sqcup -y))) = -y$ **by** *metis*
ultimately have
$-(x \sqcup x \sqcup y \sqcup -(x \sqcup (x \sqcup -y))) = -(x \sqcup x \sqcup y \sqcup x \sqcup -(x \sqcup -y))$ **by** *simp*
with *less-eq-intro* **have** $x \sqcup x \sqcup y = x \sqcup x \sqcup y \sqcup x$ **by** *metis*
with *sup-comm sup-assoc two three* **show** *?thesis* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *two-three*:
assumes $-(x \sqcup y) = -y \vee -(-(x \sqcup -y) \sqcup -y) = x$
shows $y \sqcup \mathit{2} \times (x \sqcup -(x \sqcup -y)) = y \sqcup \mathit{3} \times (x \sqcup -(x \sqcup -y))$
(**is** $y \sqcup \mathit{?z2} = y \sqcup \mathit{?z3}$)
using *assms*
proof
assume $-(x \sqcup y) = -y$
with *copyp2*[**where** $k{=}\mathit{Suc}(\mathit{0})$]
copyp2[**where** $k{=}\mathit{Suc}(\mathit{Suc}(\mathit{0}))$]
two three
have $-(y \sqcup \mathit{?z2}) = -y$ **and** $-(y \sqcup \mathit{?z3}) = -y$ **by** *simp+*
with *two-threep sup-comm* **show** *?thesis* **by** *metis*
next
assume $-(-(x \sqcup -y) \sqcup -y) = x$
with *copyp1*[**where** $k{=}\mathit{Suc}(\mathit{0})$]
copyp1[**where** $k{=}\mathit{Suc}(\mathit{Suc}(\mathit{0}))$]
two three
have $-(y \sqcup \mathit{?z2}) = -y$ **and** $-(y \sqcup \mathit{?z3}) = -y$ **by** *simp+*
with *two-threep sup-comm* **show** *?thesis* **by** *metis*
qed

lemma *sup-idem*: $\varrho \sqcup \varrho = \varrho$
proof $-$
from *winkerr two*
copyp2[**where** $x{=}\alpha$ **and** $y{=}\beta$ **and** $k{=}\mathit{Suc}(\mathit{0})$] **have**
$-\beta = -(\beta \sqcup \mathit{2} \times (\alpha \sqcup -(\alpha \sqcup -\beta)))$ **by** *simp*
also from *copy-distrib sup-assoc* **have**
$\ldots = -(\beta \sqcup \mathit{2} \times \alpha \sqcup \mathit{2} \times (-(\alpha \sqcup -\beta)))$ **by** *simp*
also from *sup-assoc secret-object4-def two*
multi-winker[**where** $k{=}\mathit{2}$] **have**
$\ldots = -\delta$ **by** *metis*
finally have $-\beta = -\delta$ **by** *simp*
with *secret-object4-def sup-assoc three* **have**
$\delta \sqcup -(\alpha \sqcup -\delta) = \beta \sqcup \mathit{3} \times (-(\alpha \sqcup -\beta))$ **by** *simp*
also from *copy-distrib*[**where** $k{=}\mathit{3}$]
multi-winker[**where** $k{=}\mathit{3}$]
sup-assoc **have**
$\ldots = \beta \sqcup \mathit{3} \times (\alpha \sqcup -(\alpha \sqcup -\beta))$ **by** *metis*
also from *winker sup-comm two-three*[**where** $x{=}\alpha$ **and** $y{=}\beta$] **have**

. . . = $\beta \sqcup 2 \times (\alpha \sqcup -(\alpha \sqcup -\beta))$ **by** *fastforce*
also from *copy-distrib*[**where** $k=2$]
multi-winker[**where** $k=2$]
sup-assoc two secret-object4-def **have**
. . . = δ **by** *metis*
finally have ♡: $\delta \sqcup -(\alpha \sqcup -\delta) = \delta$ **by** *simp*
from *secret-object4-def winkerr sup-assoc* **have**
$\alpha \sqcup \delta = \delta$ **by** *metis*
hence $\delta \sqcup \alpha = \delta$ **by** (*metis sup-comm*)
hence $-(-(\delta \sqcup -\delta) \sqcup -\delta) = -(-(\delta \sqcup (\alpha \sqcup -\delta)) \sqcup -\delta)$ **by** (*metis sup-assoc*)
also from ♡ **have**
. . . = $-(-(\delta \sqcup (\alpha \sqcup -\delta)) \sqcup -(\delta \sqcup -(\alpha \sqcup -\delta)))$ **by** *metis*
also from *robbins* **have**
. . . = δ **by** *metis*
finally have $-(-(\delta \sqcup -\delta) \sqcup -\delta) = \delta$ **by** *simp*
with *two-three*[**where** $x=\delta$ **and** $y=\delta$]
secret-object5-def sup-comm
have $3 \times \gamma \sqcup \delta = 2 \times \gamma \sqcup \delta$ **by** *fastforce*
with *secret-object5-def sup-assoc sup-comm* **have**
$3 \times \gamma \sqcup \gamma = 2 \times \gamma \sqcup \gamma$ **by** *fastforce*
with *two three four five six* **have**
$6 \times \gamma = 3 \times \gamma$ **by** *simp*
moreover have $3 + 3 = (6::nat)$ **and** $3 \neq (0::nat)$ **by** *arith*+
moreover note *copy-arith*[**where** $k=3$ **and** $l=3$ **and** $x=\gamma$]
winker-object-def three
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *sup-ident*: $x \sqcup \bot\bot = x$
proof −
have *I*: $\varrho = -(-\varrho \sqcup \bot\bot)$
by (*metis fake-bot-def inf-eq robbins sup-comm sup-idem*)

{ fix x **have** $x = -(-(x \sqcup -\varrho \sqcup \bot\bot) \sqcup -(x \sqcup \varrho))$
by (*metis I robbins sup-assoc*) **}**
note *II* = *this*

have *III*: $-\varrho = -(-(\varrho \sqcup -\varrho \sqcup -\varrho) \sqcup \varrho)$
by (*metis robbins*[**where** $x=-\varrho$ **and** $y=\varrho \sqcup -\varrho$]
I sup-comm fake-bot-def)
hence $\varrho = -(-(\varrho \sqcup -\varrho \sqcup -\varrho) \sqcup -\varrho)$
by (*metis robbins*[**where** $x=\varrho$ **and** $y=\varrho \sqcup -\varrho \sqcup -\varrho$]
sup-comm[**where** $x=\varrho$ **and** $y=-(\varrho \sqcup -\varrho \sqcup -\varrho)$]
sup-assoc sup-idem)
hence $-(\varrho \sqcup -\varrho \sqcup -\varrho) = \bot\bot$
by (*metis robbins*[**where** $x=-(\varrho \sqcup -\varrho \sqcup -\varrho)$ **and** $y=\varrho$]
III sup-comm fake-bot-def)

hence $-\varrho = -(\varrho \sqcup \bot\bot)$
  by (*metis III sup-comm*)
hence $\varrho = -(-(\varrho \sqcup \bot\bot) \sqcup -(\varrho \sqcup \bot\bot \sqcup -\varrho))$
  by (*metis II sup-idem sup-comm sup-assoc*)
moreover have $\varrho \sqcup \bot\bot = -(-(\varrho \sqcup \bot\bot) \sqcup -(\varrho \sqcup \bot\bot \sqcup -\varrho))$
  by (*metis robbins*[**where** $x{=}\varrho \sqcup \bot\bot$ **and** $y{=}\varrho$]
    sup-comm[**where** $y{=}\varrho$]
    sup-assoc sup-idem)
ultimately have $\varrho = \varrho \sqcup \bot\bot$ **by** *auto*
hence $x \sqcup \bot\bot = -(-(x \sqcup \varrho) \sqcup -(x \sqcup \bot\bot \sqcup -\varrho))$
  by (*metis robbins*[**where** $x{=}x \sqcup \bot\bot$ **and** $y{=}\varrho$]
    sup-comm[**where** $x{=}\bot\bot$ **and** $y{=}\varrho$]
    sup-assoc)
thus *?thesis* **by** (*metis sup-assoc sup-comm II*)
qed

lemma *dbl-neg*: $-\ (-x) = x$
proof $-$
  { fix x **have** $\bot\bot = -(-x \sqcup -(-x))$
    by (*metis robbins sup-comm sup-ident*)
  } note I = *this*

  { fix x **have** $-x = -(-(-x \sqcup -(-(-x))))$
    by (*metis I robbins sup-comm sup-ident*)
  } note II = *this*

  { fix x **have** $-(-(-x)) = -(-(-x \sqcup -(-(-x))))$
    by (*metis I II robbins sup-assoc sup-comm sup-ident*)
  } note III = *this*

  show *?thesis* **by** (*metis II III robbins*)
qed

theorem *robbins-is-huntington*:
  class.huntington-algebra uminus $(\sqcap)$ $(\sqcup)$ $\bot$ $\top$
apply *unfold-locales*
apply (*metis dbl-neg robbins sup-comm*)
done

theorem *robbins-is-boolean-II*:
  class.boolean-algebra-II uminus $(\sqcap)$ $(\sqcup)$ $\bot$ $\top$
proof $-$
  interpret *huntington*:
    huntington-algebra uminus $(\sqcap)$ $(\sqcup)$ $\bot$ $\top$
      by (*fact robbins-is-huntington*)

```
  show ?thesis by (simp add: huntington.huntington-is-boolean-II)
qed

theorem robbins-is-boolean:
  class.boolean-algebra minus uminus (⊓) (⊑) (⊏) (⊔) ⊥ ⊤
proof −
  interpret huntington:
    huntington-algebra uminus (⊓) (⊔) ⊥ ⊤
      by (fact robbins-is-huntington)
  show ?thesis by (simp add: huntington.huntington-is-boolean)
qed

end

no-notation secret-object1 (‹ι›)
   and secret-object2 (‹α›)
   and secret-object3 (‹β›)
   and secret-object4 (‹δ›)
   and secret-object5 (‹γ›)
   and winker-object (‹ϱ›)
   and less-eq (infix ‹⊑› 50)
   and less (infix ‹⊏› 50)
   and inf (infixl ‹⊓› 70)
   and sup (infixl ‹⊔› 65)
   and top (‹⊤›)
   and bot (‹⊥›)
   and copyp (infix ‹⊗› 80)
   and copy (infix ‹×› 85)

notation
  Product-Type.Times (infixr ‹×› 80)

end
```