# A Complete Proof of the Robbins Conjecture

### Matthew Wampler-Doty

### March 17, 2025

#### Abstract

The document gives a formalization of the proof of the Robbins conjecture, following A. Mann, A Complete Proof of the Robbins Conjecture, 2003.

### Contents

1	Rol	obins Conjecture	-	
2	Axi	iom Systems		
	2.1	Common Algebras		
	2.2	Boolean Algebra		
	2.3	Huntington's Algebra		
	2.4	Robbins' Algebra		
3	Equivalence			
	3.1	Boolean Algebra		
	3.2	Huntington Algebra		
	3.3	Robbins' Algebra		

### 1 Robbins Conjecture

theory Robbins-Conjecture imports Main begin

The document gives a formalization of the proof of the Robbins conjecture, following A. Mann, A Complete Proof of the Robbins Conjecture, 2003, DOI 10.1.1.6.7838

# 2 Axiom Systems

The following presents several axiom systems that shall be under study.

The first axiom sets common systems that underly all of the systems we shall be looking at.

The second system is a reformulation of Boolean algebra. We shall follow pages 7–8 in S. Koppelberg. *General Theory of Boolean Algebras*, Volume 1 of *Handbook of Boolean Algebras*. North Holland, 1989. Note that our formulation deviates slightly from this, as we only provide one distribution axiom, as the dual is redundant.

The third system is Huntington's algebra and the fourth system is Robbins' algebra.

Apart from the common system, all of these systems are demonstrated to be equivalent to the library formulation of Boolean algebra, under appropriate interpretation.

### 2.1 Common Algebras

```
class\ common-algebra = uminus +
  fixes inf :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ (infixl} \langle \Box \rangle 70)
  fixes sup :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ (infixl} \longleftrightarrow 65)
  fixes bot :: 'a (\langle \bot \rangle)
  fixes top :: 'a (\langle \top \rangle)
  assumes sup-assoc: x \sqcup (y \sqcup z) = (x \sqcup y) \sqcup z
  assumes sup\text{-}comm: x \sqcup y = y \sqcup x
context common-algebra begin
definition less-eq :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool (infix \langle \sqsubseteq \rangle 50) where
   x \sqsubseteq y = (x \sqcup y = y)
definition less :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool (infix \langle \Box \rangle 50) where
   x \sqsubset y = (x \sqsubseteq y \land \neg \ y \sqsubseteq x)
definition minus :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ (infixl} \longleftrightarrow 65) where
   minus \ x \ y = (x \sqcap - y)
definition secret-object1 :: 'a (\langle \iota \rangle) where
  \iota = (SOME \ x. \ True)
end
{f class}\ ext{-}common{-}algebra = common{-}algebra +
  assumes inf-eq: x \sqcap y = -(-x \sqcup -y)
  assumes top\text{-}eq: \top = \iota \sqcup - \iota
  assumes bot-eq: \bot = -(\iota \sqcup - \iota)
```

## 2.2 Boolean Algebra

```
class boolean-algebra-II = common-algebra + assumes inf-comm: x \sqcap y = y \sqcap x
```

```
assumes inf-assoc: x \sqcap (y \sqcap z) = (x \sqcap y) \sqcap z assumes sup-absorb: x \sqcup (x \sqcap y) = x assumes inf-absorb: x \sqcap (x \sqcup y) = x assumes sup-inf-distrib1: x \sqcup y \sqcap z = (x \sqcup y) \sqcap (x \sqcup z) assumes sup-compl: x \sqcup -x = \top assumes inf-compl: x \sqcap -x = \bot
```

#### 2.3 Huntington's Algebra

```
class huntington-algebra = ext-common-algebra + 

assumes huntington: -(-x \sqcup -y) \sqcup -(-x \sqcup y) = x
```

### 2.4 Robbins' Algebra

```
class robbins-algebra = ext-common-algebra + assumes robbins: -(-(x \sqcup y) \sqcup -(x \sqcup -y)) = x
```

### 3 Equivalence

With our axiom systems defined, we turn to providing equivalence results between them.

We shall begin by illustrating equivalence for our formulation and the library formulation of Boolean algebra.

#### 3.1 Boolean Algebra

The following provides the canonical definitions for order and relative complementation for Boolean algebras. These are necessary since the Boolean algebras presented in the Isabelle/HOL library have a lot of structure, while our formulation is considerably simpler.

Since our formulation of Boolean algebras is considerably simple, it is easy to show that the library instantiates our axioms.

```
{\bf context}\ boolean\text{-}algebra\text{-}II\ {\bf begin}
```

context boolean-algebra begin

```
lemma boolean-II-is-boolean:
    class.boolean-algebra minus uminus (\sqcap) (\sqsubseteq) (\sqsubseteq) (\sqcup) \bot \top

apply unfold-locales

apply (metis inf-absorb inf-assoc inf-comm inf-compl
    less-def less-eq-def minus-def
    sup-absorb sup-assoc sup-comm
    sup-compl sup-inf-distrib1
    sup-absorb inf-comm)+

done

end
```

```
 \begin{array}{c} \textbf{lemma} \ boolean-is-boolean-II: \\ class.boolean-algebra-II \ uminus \ inf \ sup \ bot \ top \\ \textbf{apply} \ unfold-locales \\ \textbf{apply} \ (metis \ sup-assoc \ sup-commute \ sup-inf-absorb \ sup-compl-top \\ inf-assoc \ inf-commute \ inf-sup-absorb \ inf-compl-bot \\ sup-inf-distrib1)+ \\ \textbf{done} \\ \textbf{end} \end{array}
```

### 3.2 Huntington Algebra

We shall illustrate here that all Boolean algebra using our formulation are Huntington algebras, and illustrate that every Huntington algebra may be interpreted as a Boolean algebra.

Since the Isabelle/HOL library has good automation, it is convenient to first show that the library instances Huntington algebras to exploit previous results, and then use our previously derived correspondence.

```
context boolean-algebra begin
lemma boolean-is-huntington:
  class.huntington-algebra uminus inf sup bot top
apply unfold-locales
apply (metis double-compl inf-sup-distrib1 inf-top-right
           compl-inf inf-commute inf-compl-bot
           compl-sup sup-commute sup-compl-top
           sup\text{-}compl\text{-}top\ sup\text{-}assoc)+
done
end
context boolean-algebra-II begin
lemma boolean-II-is-huntington:
  class.huntington-algebra uminus (\sqcap) (\sqcup) \bot \top
proof -
 interpret boolean:
   boolean-algebra minus uminus (\sqcap) (\sqsubseteq) (\sqsubseteq) (\sqcup) \bot \top
     by (fact boolean-II-is-boolean)
 show ?thesis by (simp add: boolean.boolean-is-huntington)
qed
end
context huntington-algebra begin
lemma huntington-id: x \sqcup -x = -x \sqcup -(-x)
proof -
```

```
from huntington have
  x \mathrel{\sqcup} -x = -(-x \mathrel{\sqcup} -(-(-x))) \mathrel{\sqcup} -(-x \mathrel{\sqcup} -(-x)) \mathrel{\sqcup}
            (-(-(-x) \sqcup -(-(-x))) \sqcup -(-(-x) \sqcup -(-x)))
    by simp
  also from sup-comm have
  \ldots = -(-(-x) \sqcup -(-x)) \sqcup -(-(-x) \sqcup -(-(-x))) \sqcup
        (-(-(-x) \sqcup -x) \sqcup -(-(-(-x)) \sqcup -x))
    by simp
  also from sup-assoc have
  \dots = -(-(-x) \sqcup -(-x)) \sqcup
       (-(-(-x) \sqcup -(-(-x))) \sqcup -(-(-x) \sqcup -x)) \sqcup
       -(-(-(-x)) \sqcup -x)
    \mathbf{by} \ simp
  also from sup-comm have
  \dots = -(-(-x) \sqcup -(-x)) \sqcup
       (-(-(-x) \sqcup -x) \sqcup -(-(-x) \sqcup -(-(-x)))) \sqcup
       -(-(-(-x)) \sqcup -x)
    by simp
  also from sup-assoc have
  \ldots = -(-(-x) \sqcup -(-x)) \sqcup -(-(-x) \sqcup -x) \sqcup
        (-(-(-x) \sqcup -(-(-x))) \sqcup -(-(-(-x)) \sqcup -x))
    \mathbf{by} \ simp
  also from sup-comm have
  \dots = -(-(-x) \sqcup -(-x)) \sqcup -(-(-x) \sqcup -x) \sqcup
        (-(-(-(-x)) \sqcup -(-x)) \sqcup -(-(-(-x)) \sqcup -x))
    by simp
  also from huntington have
  \ldots = -x \sqcup -(-x)
   by simp
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
\mathbf{lemma} \ dbl\text{-}neg: -(-x) = x
apply (metis huntington huntington-id sup-comm)
done
lemma towards-sup-compl: x \sqcup -x = y \sqcup -y
proof -
   from huntington have
  x \mathrel{\sqcup} -x = -(-x \mathrel{\sqcup} -(-y)) \mathrel{\sqcup} -(-x \mathrel{\sqcup} -y) \mathrel{\sqcup} (-(-(-x) \mathrel{\sqcup} -(-y)) \mathrel{\sqcup} -(-(-x))
\sqcup -y))
     by simp
  also from sup-comm have
 \ldots = -(-(-y) \sqcup -x) \sqcup -(-y \sqcup -x) \sqcup (-(-y \sqcup -(-x)) \sqcup -(-(-y) \sqcup -(-x)))
    by simp
  also from sup-assoc have
 \ldots = -(-(-y) \sqcup -x) \sqcup (-(-y \sqcup -x) \sqcup -(-y \sqcup -(-x))) \sqcup -(-(-y) \sqcup -(-x))
    by simp
  also from sup-comm have
```

```
\dots = -(-y \sqcup -(-x)) \sqcup -(-y \sqcup -x) \sqcup -(-(-y) \sqcup -x) \sqcup -(-(-y) \sqcup -(-x))
    by simp
  also from sup-assoc have
 \ldots = -(-y \sqcup -(-x)) \sqcup -(-y \sqcup -x) \sqcup (-(-(-y) \sqcup -x) \sqcup -(-(-y) \sqcup -(-x)))
    bv simp
  also from sup\text{-}comm have
 \ldots = -(-y \sqcup -(-x)) \sqcup -(-y \sqcup -x) \sqcup (-(-(-y) \sqcup -(-x)) \sqcup -(-(-y) \sqcup -x))
    by simp
  also from huntington have
  y \sqcup -y = \dots  by simp
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma sup\text{-}compl: x \sqcup -x = \top
by (simp add: top-eq towards-sup-compl)
lemma towards-inf-compl: x \sqcap -x = y \sqcap -y
by (metis dbl-neg inf-eq sup-comm sup-compl)
lemma inf-compl: x \sqcap -x = \bot
by (metis dbl-neg sup-comm bot-eq towards-inf-compl inf-eq)
lemma towards-idem: \bot = \bot \sqcup \bot
by (metis dbl-neg huntington inf-compl inf-eq sup-assoc sup-comm sup-compl)
lemma sup\text{-}ident: x \sqcup \bot = x
by (metis dbl-neg huntington inf-compl inf-eq sup-assoc
        sup-comm sup-compl towards-idem)
lemma inf-ident: x \sqcap \top = x
by (metis dbl-neg inf-compl inf-eq sup-ident sup-comm sup-compl)
lemma sup\text{-}idem: x \sqcup x = x
by (metis dbl-neg huntington inf-compl inf-eq sup-ident sup-comm sup-compl)
lemma inf-idem: x \sqcap x = x
by (metis dbl-neg inf-eq sup-idem)
lemma sup-nil: x \sqcup \top = \top
by (metis sup-idem sup-assoc sup-comm sup-compl)
lemma inf-nil: x \sqcap \bot = \bot
by (metis dbl-neg inf-compl inf-eq sup-nil sup-comm sup-compl)
lemma sup-absorb: x \sqcup x \sqcap y = x
by (metis huntington inf-eq sup-idem sup-assoc sup-comm)
lemma inf-absorb: x \sqcap (x \sqcup y) = x
by (metis dbl-neg inf-eq sup-absorb)
```

```
lemma partition: x \sqcap y \sqcup x \sqcap -y = x
by (metis dbl-neg huntington inf-eq sup-comm)
lemma demorgans1: -(x \sqcap y) = -x \sqcup -y
by (metis dbl-neg inf-eq)
lemma demorgans2: -(x \sqcup y) = -x \sqcap -y
by (metis dbl-neg inf-eq)
lemma inf-comm: x \sqcap y = y \sqcap x
by (metis inf-eq sup-comm)
lemma inf-assoc: x \sqcap (y \sqcap z) = x \sqcap y \sqcap z
by (metis dbl-neg inf-eq sup-assoc)
lemma inf-sup-distrib1: x \sqcap (y \sqcup z) = (x \sqcap y) \sqcup (x \sqcap z)
proof -
  from partition have
  x \sqcap (y \sqcup z) = x \sqcap (y \sqcup z) \sqcap y \sqcup x \sqcap (y \sqcup z) \sqcap -y \dots
  also from inf-assoc have
  \dots = x \sqcap ((y \sqcup z) \sqcap y) \sqcup x \sqcap (y \sqcup z) \sqcap -y \text{ by } simp
  also from inf-comm have
  \dots = x \sqcap (y \sqcap (y \sqcup z)) \sqcup x \sqcap (y \sqcup z) \sqcap -y \text{ by } simp
  also from inf-absorb have
  \dots = (x \sqcap y) \sqcup (x \sqcap (y \sqcup z) \sqcap -y) by simp
  also from partition have
  \dots = ((x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap -z)) \sqcup
       ((x \sqcap (y \sqcup z) \sqcap -y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap (y \sqcup z) \sqcap -y \sqcap -z)) by simp
  also from inf-assoc have
  \dots = ((x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap -z)) \sqcup
       ((x \sqcap ((y \sqcup z) \sqcap (-y \sqcap z))) \sqcup (x \sqcap ((y \sqcup z) \sqcap (-y \sqcap -z)))) by simp
  also from demorgans2 have
  \ldots = ((x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap -z)) \sqcup
        ((x \sqcap ((y \sqcup z) \sqcap (-y \sqcap z))) \sqcup (x \sqcap ((y \sqcup z) \sqcap -(y \sqcup z)))) by simp
  also from inf-compl have
  \dots = ((x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap -z)) \sqcup
        ((x \sqcap ((y \sqcup z) \sqcap (-y \sqcap z))) \sqcup (x \sqcap \bot)) by simp
  also from inf-nil have
  \ldots = ((x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap -z)) \sqcup
       ((x \sqcap ((y \sqcup z) \sqcap (-y \sqcap z))) \sqcup \bot) by simp
  also from sup-idem have
  \dots = ((x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap -z)) \sqcup
       ((x \sqcap ((y \sqcup z) \sqcap (-y \sqcap z))) \sqcup \bot) by simp
  also from sup-ident have
  \ldots = ((x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap -z)) \sqcup
       (x \sqcap ((y \sqcup z) \sqcap (-y \sqcap z))) by simp
  also from inf-comm have
  \dots = ((x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap -z)) \sqcup
```

```
(x \sqcap ((-y \sqcap z) \sqcap (y \sqcup z))) by simp
  also from sup-comm have
  \ldots = ((x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap -z)) \sqcup
       (x \sqcap ((-y \sqcap z) \sqcap (z \sqcup y))) by simp
  also from inf-assoc have
  \ldots = ((x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap (y \sqcap z)) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap -z)) \sqcup
       (x \sqcap (-y \sqcap (z \sqcap (z \sqcup y)))) by simp
  also from inf-absorb have
  \dots = ((x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap (y \sqcap z)) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap -z)) \sqcup (x \sqcap (-y \sqcap z))
     by simp
  also from inf-comm have
  \dots = ((x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap (z \sqcap y)) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap -z)) \sqcup (x \sqcap (z \sqcap -y))
     by simp
  also from sup-assoc have
  \ldots = ((x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup ((x \sqcap (z \sqcap y)) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap -z))) \sqcup (x \sqcap (z \sqcap -y))
     by simp
  also from sup-comm have
  \ldots = ((x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup ((x \sqcap y \sqcap -z) \sqcup (x \sqcap (z \sqcap y)))) \sqcup (x \sqcap (z \sqcap -y))
     by simp
  also from sup-assoc have
  \dots = ((x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap -z)) \sqcup ((x \sqcap (z \sqcap y)) \sqcup (x \sqcap (z \sqcap -y)))
     \mathbf{by} \ simp
  also from inf-assoc have
  \dots = ((x \sqcap y \sqcap z) \sqcup (x \sqcap y \sqcap -z)) \sqcup ((x \sqcap z \sqcap y) \sqcup (x \sqcap z \sqcap -y)) by simp
  also from partition have ... = (x \sqcap y) \sqcup (x \sqcap z) by simp
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma sup-inf-distrib1:
  x \sqcup (y \sqcap z) = (x \sqcup y) \sqcap (x \sqcup z)
proof -
  from dbl-neg have
  x \sqcup (y \sqcap z) = -(-(-(-x) \sqcup (y \sqcap z))) by simp
  also from inf-eq have
  \dots = -(-x \sqcap (-y \sqcup -z)) by simp
  also from inf-sup-distrib1 have
  \dots = -((-x \sqcap -y) \sqcup (-x \sqcap -z)) by simp
  also from demorgans2 have
  \dots = -(-x \sqcap -y) \sqcap -(-x \sqcap -z) by simp
  also from demorgans1 have
  ... = (-(-x) \sqcup -(-y)) \sqcap (-(-x) \sqcup -(-z)) by simp
  also from dbl-neg have
  \dots = (x \sqcup y) \sqcap (x \sqcup z) by simp
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma huntington-is-boolean-II:
   class.boolean-algebra-II\ uminus\ (\sqcap)\ (\sqcup)\ \bot\ \top
apply unfold-locales
```

```
apply (metis inf-comm inf-assoc sup-absorb
           inf-absorb sup-inf-distrib1
           sup\text{-}compl \ inf\text{-}compl)+
done
lemma huntington-is-boolean:
   class.boolean-algebra\ minus\ uminus\ (\sqcap)\ (\sqsubseteq)\ (\sqsubseteq)\ (\sqcup)\ \bot\ \top
proof -
 interpret boolean-II:
   boolean-algebra-II \ uminus \ (\sqcap) \ (\sqcup) \ \bot \ \top
     by (fact huntington-is-boolean-II)
 show ?thesis by (simp add: boolean-II.boolean-II-is-boolean)
qed
end
3.3
       Robbins' Algebra
context boolean-algebra begin
lemma boolean-is-robbins:
  class.robbins-algebra uminus inf sup bot top
apply unfold-locales
apply (metis sup-assoc sup-commute compl-inf double-compl sup-compl-top
           inf-compl-bot diff-eq sup-bot-right sup-inf-distrib1)+
done
end
context boolean-algebra-II begin
lemma boolean-II-is-robbins:
  class.robbins-algebra uminus inf sup bot top
proof
 interpret boolean:
   boolean-algebra minus uminus (\sqcap) (\sqsubseteq) (\sqsubseteq) (\sqcup) \bot \top
     by (fact boolean-II-is-boolean)
 show ?thesis by (simp add: boolean.boolean-is-robbins)
qed
end
context huntington-algebra begin
lemma huntington-is-robbins:
  class.robbins-algebra uminus inf sup bot top
proof -
 interpret boolean:
   boolean-algebra minus uminus (\sqcap) (\sqsubseteq) (\sqsubseteq) (\sqcup) \bot \top
     by (fact huntington-is-boolean)
 show ?thesis by (simp add: boolean.boolean-is-robbins)
qed
end
```

Before diving into the proof that the Robbins algebra is Boolean, we shall present some shorthand machinery

#### context common-algebra begin

```
primrec copyp :: nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ (infix } \langle \otimes \rangle \text{ } 80)
where
  copyp-\theta: \theta \otimes x = x
| copyp\text{-}Suc: (Suc \ k) \otimes x = (k \otimes x) \sqcup x
{\bf unbundle} \ no \ set\text{-}product\text{-}syntax
primrec copy :: nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ (infix } (\times) 85)
where
  0 \times x = x
| (Suc k) \times x = k \otimes x
lemma one: 1 \times x = x
proof -
 have
               1 = Suc(0) by arith
            1 \times x = Suc(0) \times x by metis
 also have \dots = x by simp
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma two: 2 \times x = x \sqcup x
proof -
              2 = Suc(Suc(\theta)) by arith
 have
 hence 2 \times x = Suc(Suc(\theta)) \times x by metis
 also have \ldots = x \sqcup x by simp
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma three: 3 \times x = x \sqcup x \sqcup x
proof -
               3 = Suc(Suc(Suc(\theta))) by arith
  have
               3 \times x = Suc(Suc(Suc(\theta))) \times x by metis
  hence
  also have \dots = x \sqcup x \sqcup x by simp
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma four: 4 \times x = x \sqcup x \sqcup x \sqcup x
proof -
 have
              4 = Suc(Suc(Suc(Suc(\theta)))) by arith
              4 \times x = Suc(Suc(Suc(Suc(0)))) \times x by metis
 also have \ldots = x \sqcup x \sqcup x \sqcup x by simp
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
```

```
lemma five: 5 \times x = x \sqcup x \sqcup x \sqcup x \sqcup x
proof -
              5 = Suc(Suc(Suc(Suc(Suc(\theta))))) by arith
 have
              5 \times x = Suc(Suc(Suc(Suc(Suc(\theta))))) \times x by metis
 also have \ldots = x \sqcup x \sqcup x \sqcup x \sqcup x  by simp
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma six: 6 \times x = x \sqcup x \sqcup x \sqcup x \sqcup x \sqcup x
proof -
              6 = Suc(Suc(Suc(Suc(Suc(Suc(\theta)))))) by arith
 have
              6 \times x = Suc(Suc(Suc(Suc(Suc(Suc(0)))))) \times x by metis
 also have \ldots = x \sqcup x \sqcup x \sqcup x \sqcup x \sqcup x \sqcup x by simp
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma copyp-distrib: k \otimes (x \sqcup y) = (k \otimes x) \sqcup (k \otimes y)
proof (induct k)
 case 0 show ?case by simp
 case Suc thus ?case by (simp, metis sup-assoc sup-comm)
qed
corollary copy-distrib: k \times (x \sqcup y) = (k \times x) \sqcup (k \times y)
by (induct k, (simp add: sup-assoc sup-comm copyp-distrib)+)
lemma copyp-arith: (k + l + 1) \otimes x = (k \otimes x) \sqcup (l \otimes x)
proof (induct l)
 case \theta have k + \theta + 1 = Suc(k) by arith
   thus ?case by simp
 case (Suc\ l) note ind-hyp = this
   have k + Suc(l) + 1 = Suc(k + l + 1) by arith+
   hence (k + Suc(l) + 1) \otimes x = (k + l + 1) \otimes x \sqcup x by (simp\ add:\ ind-hyp)
   also from ind-hyp have
         \dots = (k \otimes x) \sqcup (l \otimes x) \sqcup x \text{ by } simp
   also note sup-assoc
   finally show ?case by simp
qed
lemma copy-arith:
  assumes k \neq 0 and l \neq 0
    shows (k + l) \times x = (k \times x) \sqcup (l \times x)
using assms
proof -
 from assms have \exists k'. Suc(k') = k
            and \exists l'. Suc(l') = l by arith+
 from this obtain k' l' where A: Suc(k') = k
```

```
and B: Suc(l') = l by fast +
  from this have A1: k \times x = k' \otimes x
            and B1: l \times x = l' \otimes x by fastforce+
  from A B have k + l = Suc(k' + l' + 1) by arith
  hence (k + l) \times x = (k' + l' + 1) \otimes x by simp
  also from copyp-arith have
       \ldots = k' \otimes x \sqcup l' \otimes x by fast
  also from A1 B1 have
       \dots = k \times x \sqcup l \times x \text{ by } fastforce
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
end
     The theorem asserting all Robbins algebras are Boolean comes in 6 move-
ments.
    First: The Winker identity is proved.
    Second: Idempotence for a particular object is proved. Note that falsum
is defined in terms of this object.
    Third: An identity law for falsum is derived.
    Fourth: Idempotence for supremum is derived.
    Fifth: The double negation law is proven
    Sixth: Robbin's algebras are proven to be Huntington Algebras.
context robbins-algebra begin
definition secret-object2 :: 'a (\langle \alpha \rangle) where
 \alpha = -(-(\iota \sqcup \iota \sqcup \iota) \sqcup \iota)
definition secret-object3 :: 'a (\langle \beta \rangle) where
  \beta = \iota \sqcup \iota
definition secret-object 4 :: 'a (\langle \delta \rangle) where
  \delta = \beta \sqcup (-(\alpha \sqcup -\beta) \sqcup -(\alpha \sqcup -\beta))
definition secret-object5 :: 'a (\langle \gamma \rangle) where
  \gamma = \delta \sqcup -(\delta \sqcup -\delta)
definition winker-object :: 'a (\langle \varrho \rangle) where
  \rho = \gamma \sqcup \gamma \sqcup \gamma
definition fake-bot :: 'a (\langle \bot \bot \rangle) where
  \bot\bot = -(\varrho \sqcup -\varrho)
```

```
lemma robbins2: y = -(-(-x \sqcup y) \sqcup -(x \sqcup y))
by (metis \ robbins \ sup\text{-}comm)
lemma mann\theta: -(x \sqcup y) = -(-(-(x \sqcup y) \sqcup -x \sqcup y) \sqcup y)
by (metis \ robbins \ sup\text{-}comm \ sup\text{-}assoc)
```

```
lemma mann1: -(-x \sqcup y) = -(-(-(-x \sqcup y) \sqcup x \sqcup y) \sqcup y)
     by (metis robbins sup-comm sup-assoc)
lemma mann2: y = -(-(-(-x \sqcup y) \sqcup x \sqcup y \sqcup y) \sqcup -(-x \sqcup y))
     by (metis mann1 robbins sup-comm sup-assoc)
lemma mann3: z = -(-(-(-(-x \sqcup y) \sqcup x \sqcup y \sqcup y) \sqcup -(-x \sqcup y) \sqcup z) \sqcup -(y \sqcup z) \sqcup
z))
proof -
     let ?w = -(-(-x \sqcup y) \sqcup x \sqcup y \sqcup y) \sqcup -(-x \sqcup y)
     from robbins[where x=z and y=?w] sup\text{-}comm mann2
     have z = -(-(y \sqcup z) \sqcup -(?w \sqcup z)) by metis
     thus ?thesis by (metis sup-comm)
qed
lemma mann4: -(y \sqcup z) =
      -(-(-(-(-x \sqcup y) \sqcup x \sqcup y \sqcup y) \sqcup -(-x \sqcup y) \sqcup -(y \sqcup z) \sqcup z)
proof -
   from robbins2[where x=-(-(-x \sqcup y) \sqcup x \sqcup y \sqcup y) \sqcup -(-x \sqcup y) \sqcup z
                                                  and y=-(y \sqcup z)
                 mann3[where x=x and y=y and z=z]
   have -(y \sqcup z) =
                     -(z \sqcup -(-(-(-x \sqcup y) \sqcup x \sqcup y \sqcup y) \sqcup -(-x \sqcup y) \sqcup z \sqcup -(y \sqcup z)))
   with sup-comm sup-assoc show ?thesis by metis
qed
lemma mann5: u =
-(-(-(-(-(-x \sqcup y) \sqcup x \sqcup y \sqcup y) \sqcup
                 -(-x \sqcup y) \sqcup -(y \sqcup z) \sqcup z) \sqcup z \sqcup u) \sqcup
      -(-(y \sqcup z) \sqcup u))
using robbins2[where x=-(-(-(-x \sqcup y) \sqcup x \sqcup y \sqcup y) \sqcup
                                                                            -(-x \sqcup y) \sqcup -(y \sqcup z) \sqcup z) \sqcup z
                                                and y=u
                 mann4 [where x=x and y=y and z=z]
                 sup-comm
by metis
lemma mann6:
-(-3\times x\sqcup x)=-(-(-(-3\times x\sqcup x)\sqcup -3\times x)\sqcup -(-(-3\times x\sqcup x)\sqcup 5\times x))
proof -
     have 3+2=(5::nat) and 3\neq(0::nat) and 2\neq(0::nat) by arith+
      with copy-arith have \heartsuit: 3 \times x \sqcup 2 \times x = 5 \times x by metis
     let ?p = -(-3 \times x \sqcup x)
      { fix q
           from sup\text{-}comm have
            -(q \sqcup 5 \times x) = -(5 \times x \sqcup q) by metis
              also from \heartsuit mann\theta[where x=3\times x and y=q \sqcup 2\times x] sup-assoc sup-comm
have
```

```
\ldots = -(-(-(3 \times x \sqcup (q \sqcup 2 \times x)) \sqcup - 3 \times x \sqcup (q \sqcup 2 \times x)) \sqcup (q \sqcup 2 \times x))
      by metis
    also from sup-assoc have
     \dots = -(-(-((3\times x \sqcup q) \sqcup 2\times x) \sqcup - 3\times x \sqcup (q \sqcup 2\times x)) \sqcup (q \sqcup 2\times x)) by
metis
    also from sup-comm have
     \dots = -(-(-((q \sqcup 3 \times x) \sqcup 2 \times x) \sqcup - 3 \times x \sqcup (q \sqcup 2 \times x)) \sqcup (q \sqcup 2 \times x)) by
    also from sup-assoc have
     \dots = -(-(-(q \sqcup (3 \times x \sqcup 2 \times x)) \sqcup - 3 \times x \sqcup (q \sqcup 2 \times x)) \sqcup (q \sqcup 2 \times x)) by
metis
    also from \heartsuit have
    \dots = -(-(-(q \sqcup 5 \times x) \sqcup - 3 \times x \sqcup (q \sqcup 2 \times x)) \sqcup (q \sqcup 2 \times x)) by metis
    also from sup-assoc have
    \dots = -(-(-(q \sqcup 5 \times x) \sqcup (-3 \times x \sqcup q) \sqcup 2 \times x) \sqcup (q \sqcup 2 \times x)) by metis
    also from sup-comm have
    \dots = -(-(-(q \sqcup 5 \times x) \sqcup (q \sqcup - 3 \times x) \sqcup 2 \times x) \sqcup (2 \times x \sqcup q)) by metis
    also from sup-assoc have
    \dots = -(-(-(q \sqcup 5 \times x) \sqcup q \sqcup - 3 \times x \sqcup 2 \times x) \sqcup 2 \times x \sqcup q) by metis
    finally have
    -(q \sqcup 5 \times x) = -(-(-(q \sqcup 5 \times x) \sqcup q \sqcup -3 \times x \sqcup 2 \times x) \sqcup 2 \times x \sqcup q) by simp
  } hence ♠:
     -(?p \sqcup 5 \times x) = -(-(-(?p \sqcup 5 \times x) \sqcup ?p \sqcup - 3 \times x \sqcup 2 \times x) \sqcup 2 \times x \sqcup ?p)
    by simp
  from mann5 [where x=3\times x and y=x and z=2\times x and u=?p]
       sup-assoc three [where x=x] five [where x=x] have
  p =
   -(-(-(-(?p \sqcup 5 \times x) \sqcup ?p \sqcup -(x \sqcup 2 \times x) \sqcup 2 \times x) \sqcup 2 \times x \sqcup ?p) \sqcup
     -(-(x \sqcup 2 \times x) \sqcup ?p)) by metis
  also from sup-comm have
   -(-(-(-(?p \sqcup 5 \times x) \sqcup ?p \sqcup -(2 \times x \sqcup x) \sqcup 2 \times x) \sqcup 2 \times x \sqcup ?p) \sqcup
     -(-(2\times x \sqcup x) \sqcup ?p)) by metis
  also from two[where x=x] three[where x=x] have
   -(-(-(-(?p \sqcup 5 \times x) \sqcup ?p \sqcup - 3 \times x \sqcup 2 \times x) \sqcup 2 \times x \sqcup ?p) \sqcup
     -(-3\times x\sqcup ?p)) by metis
  also from \spadesuit have ... = -(-(?p \sqcup 5 \times x) \sqcup -(-3 \times x \sqcup ?p)) by simp
  also from sup\text{-}comm have \ldots = -(-(?p \sqcup 5 \times x) \sqcup -(?p \sqcup - 3 \times x)) by simp
  also from sup-comm have ... = -(-(?p \sqcup - 3 \times x) \sqcup -(?p \sqcup 5 \times x)) by simp
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma mann 7:
-3\times x = -(-(-3\times x \sqcup x) \sqcup 5\times x)
proof -
  let ?p = -(-3 \times x \sqcup x)
  let ?q = ?p \sqcup - 3 \times x
```

```
let ?r = -(?p \sqcup 5 \times x)
  from robbins2 [where x=?q
                 and y = ?r
       mann6 [where x=x]
  have ?r = -(?p \sqcup -(?q \sqcup ?r)) by simp
  also from sup\text{-}comm have \ldots = -(-(?q \sqcup ?r) \sqcup ?p) by simp
  also from sup\text{-}comm have \ldots = -(-(?r \sqcup ?q) \sqcup ?p) by simp
  finally have \spadesuit: ?r = -(-(?r \sqcup ?q) \sqcup ?p).
  from mann3 [where x=3\times x and y=x and z=-3\times x]
       sup\text{-}comm have
       -3\times x = -(-(-(?p \sqcup 3\times x \sqcup x \sqcup x) \sqcup ?p \sqcup -3\times x) \sqcup ?p) by metis
  also from sup-assoc have
       \dots = -(-(-(?p \sqcup (3 \times x \sqcup x \sqcup x)) \sqcup ?q) \sqcup ?p) by metis
  also from three[where x=x] five[where x=x] have
       \dots = -(-(?r \sqcup ?q) \sqcup ?p) by metis
 finally have -3 \times x = -(-(?r \sqcup ?q) \sqcup ?p) by metis
  with $\infty$ show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma mann8:
-(-3\times x\sqcup x)\sqcup 2\times x=-(-(-(-3\times x\sqcup x)\sqcup -3\times x\sqcup 2\times x)\sqcup -3\times x)
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  let ?p = -(-3 \times x \sqcup x)
 let ?q = ?p \sqcup 2 \times x
 let ?r = 3 \times x
  have 3+2=(5::nat) and 3\neq(0::nat) and 2\neq(0::nat) by arith+
  with copy-arith have \heartsuit: 3 \times x \sqcup 2 \times x = 5 \times x by metis
  from robbins2 [where x=?r and y=?q] and sup-assoc
  have ?q = -(-(-3 \times x \sqcup ?q) \sqcup -(3 \times x \sqcup ?p \sqcup 2 \times x)) by metis
  also from sup-comm have
      \dots = -(-(?q \sqcup - 3 \times x) \sqcup -(?p \sqcup 3 \times x \sqcup 2 \times x)) by metis
  also from \heartsuit sup-assoc have
      \dots = -(-(?q \sqcup - 3 \times x) \sqcup -(?p \sqcup 5 \times x)) by metis
 also from mann 7 [where x=x] have
      \dots = -(-(?q \sqcup - 3 \times x) \sqcup - 3 \times x) by metis
 also from sup-assoc have
       \dots = -(-(?p \sqcup (2 \times x \sqcup - 3 \times x)) \sqcup - 3 \times x) by metis
  also from sup-comm have
      \dots = -(-(?p \sqcup (-3 \times x \sqcup 2 \times x)) \sqcup -3 \times x) by metis
  also from sup-assoc have
      \dots = ?rhs by metis
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma mann9: x = -(-(-3 \times x \sqcup x) \sqcup -3 \times x)
proof -
 let ?p = -(-3 \times x \sqcup x)
 let ?q = ?p \sqcup 4 \times x
```

```
have 4+1=(5::nat) and 1\neq(0::nat) and 4\neq(0::nat) by arith+
  with copy-arith one have \heartsuit: 4 \times x \sqcup x = 5 \times x by metis
  with sup-assoc robbins2 [where y=x and x=?q]
  have x = -(-(-?q \sqcup x) \sqcup -(?p \sqcup 5 \times x)) by metis
  with mann 7 have x = -(-(-?q \sqcup x) \sqcup - 3 \times x) by metis
  moreover
  have 3+1=(4::nat) and 1\neq(0::nat) and 3\neq(0::nat) by arith+
  with copy-arith one have \spadesuit: 3 \times x \sqcup x = 4 \times x by metis
  with mann1 [where x=3\times x and y=x] sup-assoc have
  -(-?q \sqcup x) = ?p  by metis
  ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma mann10: y = -(-(-(-3 \times x \sqcup x) \sqcup - 3 \times x \sqcup y) \sqcup -(x \sqcup y))
using robbins2[where x=-(-3\times x \sqcup x) \sqcup -3\times x and y=y]
     mann9[where x=x]
     sup-comm
by metis
theorem mann: 2 \times x = -(-3 \times x \sqcup x) \sqcup 2 \times x
using mann10[where x=x and y=2\times x]
     mann8[where x=x]
     two[\mathbf{where}\ x=x]\ three[\mathbf{where}\ x=x]\ sup\text{-}comm
by metis
corollary winkerr: \alpha \sqcup \beta = \beta
using mann secret-object2-def secret-object3-def two three
bv metis
corollary winker: \beta \sqcup \alpha = \beta
 by (metis winkerr sup-comm)
corollary multi-winkerp: \beta \sqcup k \otimes \alpha = \beta
  by (induct k, (simp add: winker sup-comm sup-assoc)+)
corollary multi-winker: \beta \sqcup k \times \alpha = \beta
  by (induct k, (simp add: multi-winkerp winker sup-comm sup-assoc)+)
lemma less-eq-introp:
-(x \sqcup -(y \sqcup z)) = -(x \sqcup y \sqcup -z) \Longrightarrow y \sqsubseteq x
 by (metis robbins sup-assoc less-eq-def
           sup\text{-}comm[\mathbf{where}\ x=x\ \mathbf{and}\ y=y])
{f corollary}\ less-eq\mbox{-}intro:
-(x \sqcup -(y \sqcup z)) = -(x \sqcup y \sqcup -z) \Longrightarrow x \sqcup y = x
 by (metis less-eq-introp less-eq-def sup-comm)
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{eq-intro}:
-(x \sqcup -(y \sqcup z)) = -(y \sqcup -(x \sqcup z)) \Longrightarrow x = y
 by (metis robbins sup-assoc sup-comm)
lemma copyp\theta:
  assumes -(x \sqcup -y) = z
    \mathbf{shows} - (x \sqcup -(y \sqcup k \otimes (x \sqcup z))) = z
using assms
proof (induct k)
 case \theta show ?case
    by (simp, metis assms robbins sup-assoc sup-comm)
 case Suc note ind-hyp = this
 show ?case
    by (simp, metis ind-hyp robbins sup-assoc sup-comm)
qed
lemma copyp1:
  assumes -(-(x \sqcup -y) \sqcup -y) = x
    shows -(y \sqcup k \otimes (x \sqcup -(x \sqcup -y))) = -y
using assms
proof -
 let ?z = -(x \sqcup - y)
 let ?ky = y \sqcup k \otimes (x \sqcup ?z)
 have -(x \sqcup -?ky) = ?z by (simp \ add: \ copyp\theta)
 hence -(-?ky \sqcup -(-y \sqcup ?z)) = ?z by (metis \ assms \ sup\text{-}comm)
 also have -(?z \sqcup -?ky) = x by (metis\ assms\ copyp0\ sup\text{-}comm)
 hence ?z = -(-y \sqcup -(-?ky \sqcup ?z)) by (metis sup-comm)
 finally show ?thesis by (metis eq-intro)
qed
corollary copyp2:
  assumes -(x \sqcup y) = -y
    shows -(y \sqcup k \otimes (x \sqcup -(x \sqcup -y))) = -y
  by (metis assms robbins sup-comm copyp1)
lemma two-threep:
  assumes -(2 \times x \sqcup y) = -y
      and -(3 \times x \sqcup y) = -y
    shows 2 \times x \sqcup y = 3 \times x \sqcup y
using assms
proof -
 from assms two three have
    A: -(x \sqcup x \sqcup y) = -y and
    B: -(x \sqcup x \sqcup x \sqcup y) = -y by simp+
 with sup-assoc
      copyp2[where x=x and y=x \sqcup x \sqcup y and k=0]
 have -(x \sqcup x \sqcup y \sqcup x \sqcup -(x \sqcup -y)) = -y by simp
 moreover
 from sup-comm sup-assoc A B
```

```
copyp2[where x=x \sqcup x and y=y and k=\theta]
  have -(y \sqcup x \sqcup x \sqcup -(x \sqcup x \sqcup -y)) = -y by fastforce
  with sup-comm sup-assoc
  have -(x \sqcup x \sqcup y \sqcup -(x \sqcup (x \sqcup -y))) = -y by metis
  ultimately have
    -(x \sqcup x \sqcup y \sqcup -(x \sqcup (x \sqcup -y))) = -(x \sqcup x \sqcup y \sqcup x \sqcup -(x \sqcup -y)) by simp
  with less-eq-intro have x \sqcup x \sqcup y = x \sqcup x \sqcup y \sqcup x by metis
  with sup-comm sup-assoc two three show ?thesis by metis
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ two\text{-}three:
  assumes -(x \sqcup y) = -y \vee -(-(x \sqcup -y) \sqcup -y) = x
    shows y \sqcup 2 \times (x \sqcup -(x \sqcup -y)) = y \sqcup 3 \times (x \sqcup -(x \sqcup -y))
          (is y \sqcup ?z2 = y \sqcup ?z3)
using assms
proof
   \mathbf{assume} - (x \sqcup y) = -y
      with copyp2[where k=Suc(\theta)]
           copyp2[where k=Suc(Suc(\theta))]
           two three
      have -(y \sqcup ?z2) = -y and -(y \sqcup ?z3) = -y by simp+
      with two-threep sup-comm show ?thesis by metis
   assume -(-(x \sqcup -y) \sqcup -y) = x
      with copyp1 [where k=Suc(\theta)]
           copyp1[where k=Suc(Suc(\theta))]
           two three
      have -(y \sqcup ?z2) = -y and -(y \sqcup ?z3) = -y by simp+
      with two-threep sup-comm show ?thesis by metis
qed
lemma sup\text{-}idem: \varrho \sqcup \varrho = \varrho
proof -
   from winkerr two
         copyp2[where x=\alpha and y=\beta and k=Suc(\theta)] have
   -\beta = -(\beta \sqcup 2 \times (\alpha \sqcup -(\alpha \sqcup -\beta))) by simp
   also from copy-distrib sup-assoc have
   \dots = -(\beta \sqcup 2 \times \alpha \sqcup 2 \times (-(\alpha \sqcup -\beta))) by simp
   also from sup-assoc secret-object4-def two
             multi-winker[where k=2] have
   \dots = -\delta by metis
   finally have -\beta = -\delta by simp
   with secret-object4-def sup-assoc three have
   \delta \sqcup -(\alpha \sqcup -\delta) = \beta \sqcup 3 \times (-(\alpha \sqcup -\beta)) by simp
   also from copy-distrib[where k=3]
             multi-winker[where k=3]
             sup-assoc have
   \ldots = \beta \sqcup 3 \times (\alpha \sqcup -(\alpha \sqcup -\beta)) by metis
   also from winker sup-comm two-three [where x=\alpha and y=\beta] have
```

```
\ldots = \beta \sqcup 2 \times (\alpha \sqcup -(\alpha \sqcup -\beta)) by fastforce
    also from copy-distrib[where k=2]
               multi-winker[where k=2]
               sup-assoc two secret-object4-def have
    \dots = \delta by metis
    finally have \heartsuit: \delta \sqcup -(\alpha \sqcup -\delta) = \delta by simp
    from secret-object4-def winkerr sup-assoc have
           \alpha \sqcup \delta = \delta by metis
    hence \delta \sqcup \alpha = \delta by (metis sup-comm)
    hence -(-(\delta \sqcup -\delta) \sqcup -\delta) = -(-(\delta \sqcup (\alpha \sqcup -\delta)) \sqcup -\delta) by (metis\ sup\ -assoc)
    also from \heartsuit have
           \dots = -(-(\delta \sqcup (\alpha \sqcup -\delta)) \sqcup -(\delta \sqcup -(\alpha \sqcup -\delta))) by metis
    also from robbins have
           \dots = \delta by metis
    finally have -(-(\delta \sqcup -\delta) \sqcup -\delta) = \delta by simp
    with two-three [where x=\delta and y=\delta]
          secret-object5-def sup-comm
    have 3 \times \gamma \sqcup \delta = 2 \times \gamma \sqcup \delta by fastforce
    with secret-object5-def sup-assoc sup-comm have
          3 \times \gamma \sqcup \gamma = 2 \times \gamma \sqcup \gamma by fastforce
    with two three four five six have
          6 \times \gamma = 3 \times \gamma \text{ by } simp
    moreover have 3 + 3 = (6::nat) and 3 \neq (0::nat) by arith+
    moreover note copy-arith[where k=3 and l=3 and x=\gamma]
                    winker-object-def three
    ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma sup\text{-}ident: x \sqcup \bot\bot = x
proof -
  have I: \varrho = -(-\varrho \sqcup \bot \bot)
    by (metis fake-bot-def inf-eq robbins sup-comm sup-idem)
  { fix x have x = -(-(x \sqcup -\rho \sqcup \bot\bot) \sqcup -(x \sqcup \rho))
    by (metis I robbins sup-assoc) }
  note II = this
  have III: -\varrho = -(-(\varrho \sqcup -\varrho \sqcup -\varrho) \sqcup \varrho)
    by (metis robbins[where x=-\varrho and y=\varrho \sqcup -\varrho]
               I sup\text{-}comm fake\text{-}bot\text{-}def)
  hence \rho = -(-(\rho \sqcup -\rho \sqcup -\rho) \sqcup -\rho)
    by (metis robbins[where x=\varrho and y=\varrho \sqcup -\varrho \sqcup -\varrho]
               sup\text{-}comm[where x=\varrho and y=-(\varrho \sqcup -\varrho \sqcup -\varrho)]
               sup-assoc sup-idem)
  hence -(\rho \sqcup -\rho \sqcup -\rho) = \bot\bot
    by (metis robbins[where x=-(\varrho \sqcup -\varrho \sqcup -\varrho) and y=\varrho]
               III sup-comm fake-bot-def)
```

```
hence -\varrho = -(\varrho \sqcup \bot \bot)
   by (metis III sup-comm)
  hence \varrho = -(-(\varrho \sqcup \bot\bot) \sqcup -(\varrho \sqcup \bot\bot \sqcup -\varrho))
    by (metis II sup-idem sup-comm sup-assoc)
  moreover have \varrho \sqcup \bot \bot = -(-(\varrho \sqcup \bot \bot) \sqcup -(\varrho \sqcup \bot \bot \sqcup -\varrho))
   by (metis\ robbins[\mathbf{where}\ x=\varrho \sqcup \bot\bot \mathbf{and}\ y=\varrho]
              sup\text{-}comm[\mathbf{where}\ y=\varrho]
              sup-assoc sup-idem)
  ultimately have \varrho = \varrho \sqcup \bot \bot by auto
  hence x \sqcup \bot \bot = -(-(x \sqcup \varrho) \sqcup -(x \sqcup \bot \bot \sqcup -\varrho))
    by (metis\ robbins[\mathbf{where}\ x=x \sqcup \bot\bot \ \mathbf{and}\ y=\varrho]
              sup\text{-}comm[where x=\perp\perp and y=\varrho]
              sup-assoc)
 thus ?thesis by (metis sup-assoc sup-comm II)
qed
lemma dbl-neg: -(-x) = x
proof -
  { fix x have \bot\bot = -(-x \sqcup -(-x))
      by (metis robbins sup-comm sup-ident)
  \} note I = this
  { fix x have -x = -(-(-x \sqcup -(-(-x))))
    by (metis I robbins sup-comm sup-ident)
  \} note II = this
  { fix x have -(-(-x)) = -(-(-x \sqcup -(-(-x))))
    by (metis I II robbins sup-assoc sup-comm sup-ident)
  } note III = this
 show ?thesis by (metis II III robbins)
qed
theorem robbins-is-huntington:
  class.huntington-algebra\ uminus\ (\sqcap)\ (\sqcup)\ \bot\ \top
apply unfold-locales
apply (metis dbl-neg robbins sup-comm)
done
theorem robbins-is-boolean-II:
  class.boolean-algebra-II\ uminus\ (\sqcap)\ (\sqcup)\ \bot\ \top
proof -
  interpret huntington:
    huntington-algebra uminus (\sqcap) (\sqcup) \perp \top
      by (fact robbins-is-huntington)
```

```
show ?thesis by (simp add: huntington.huntington-is-boolean-II)
\mathbf{qed}
theorem robbins-is-boolean:
  class.boolean-algebra minus uminus (\sqcap) (\sqsubseteq) (\sqsubseteq) (\sqcup) \bot \top
proof -
  interpret huntington:
     huntington-algebra uminus (\sqcap) (\sqcup) \perp \top
       by (fact robbins-is-huntington)
  show ?thesis by (simp add: huntington.huntington-is-boolean)
\mathbf{qed}
end
no-notation secret-object1 (\langle\iota\rangle)
   and secret-object2 (\langle \alpha \rangle)
   and secret-object3 (\langle \beta \rangle)
   and secret-object4 (\langle \delta \rangle)
   and secret-object5 (\langle \gamma \rangle)
   and winker-object (\langle \varrho \rangle)
   and less-eq (infix \langle \sqsubseteq \rangle 50)
   and less (infix \langle \Box \rangle 50)
   and inf (infixl \langle \Box \rangle 70)
   and sup (infixl \longleftrightarrow 65)
   and top (\langle \top \rangle)
   and bot (\langle \bot \rangle)
   and copyp (infix \langle \otimes \rangle 80)
   and copy (infix \langle \times \rangle 85)
notation
  \textit{Product-Type.Times} \ \ (\textbf{infixr} \ \ (\times \times \ \ 8\theta)
end
```