

Riesz Representation Theorem

Michikazu Hirata

March 17, 2025

Abstract

We formalize the Riesz-Markov-Kakutani representation theorem following pp.37-47 of the book *Real and Complex Analysis* by Rudin [1]. This entry also includes formalization of regular measures, tightness of measures, and Urysohn's lemma on locally compact Hausdorff spaces. Roughly speaking, the theorem states that if φ is a positive linear functional from $C(X)$ (the space of continuous functions from X to complex numbers which have compact supports) to complex numbers, then there exists a unique measure μ such that for all $f \in C(X)$,

$$\varphi(f) = \int f d\mu.$$

Contents

1	Urysohn's Lemma	2
1.1	Lemmas for Upper/Lower Semi-Continuous Functions	2
1.2	Urysohn's Lemma	7
2	Regular Measures	19
3	The Riesz Representation Theorem	37
3.1	Lemmas for Complex-Valued Continuous Maps	37
3.2	Compact Supports	38
3.3	Positive Linear Functionals	41
3.4	Lemmas for Uniqueness	48
3.5	Riesz Representation Theorem for Real Numbers	54
3.6	Riesz Representation Theorem for Complex Numbers	92
3.7	Other Forms of the Theorem	94
3.7.1	Theorem for Compact Hausdorff Spaces	97
3.7.2	Theorem for Compact Metrizable Spaces	99

1 Urysohn's Lemma

```
theory Urysohn-Locally-Compact-Hausdorff
imports Standard-Borel-Spaces.StandardBorel
begin
```

We prove Urysohn's lemma for locally compact Hausdorff space (Lemma 2.12 [1])

1.1 Lemmas for Upper/Lower Semi-Continuous Functions

lemma

```
assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{topspace } X \implies f x = g x$ 
shows upper-semicontinuous-map-cong:
  upper-semicontinuous-map  $X f \longleftrightarrow$  upper-semicontinuous-map  $X g$  (is ?g1)
and lower-semicontinuous-map-cong:
  lower-semicontinuous-map  $X f \longleftrightarrow$  lower-semicontinuous-map  $X g$  (is ?g2)
proof –
have [simp]:  $\bigwedge a. \{x \in \text{topspace } X. f x < a\} = \{x \in \text{topspace } X. g x < a\}$ 
   $\bigwedge a. \{x \in \text{topspace } X. f x > a\} = \{x \in \text{topspace } X. g x > a\}$ 
using assms by auto
show ?g1 ?g2
by(auto simp: upper-semicontinuous-map-def lower-semicontinuous-map-def)
qed
```

lemma upper-lower-semicontinuous-map-iff-continuous-map:

```
continuous-map  $X$  euclidean  $f \longleftrightarrow$  upper-semicontinuous-map  $X f \wedge$  lower-semicontinuous-map
 $X f$ 
using continuous-map-upper-lower-semicontinuous-lt
  lower-semicontinuous-map-def upper-semicontinuous-map-def
by blast
```

lemma [simp]:

```
shows upper-semicontinuous-map-const: upper-semicontinuous-map  $X (\lambda x. c)$ 
and lower-semicontinuous-map-const: lower-semicontinuous-map  $X (\lambda x. c)$ 
using continuous-map-const[of - euclidean  $c$ ]
unfolding upper-lower-semicontinuous-map-iff-continuous-map by auto
```

lemma upper-semicontinuous-map-c-add-iff:

```
fixes  $c :: \text{real}$ 
shows upper-semicontinuous-map  $X (\lambda x. c + f x) \longleftrightarrow$  upper-semicontinuous-map
 $X f$ 
proof –
have [simp]:  $c + f x < a \longleftrightarrow f x < a - c$  for  $x a$ 
by auto
show ?thesis
by(simp add: upper-semicontinuous-map-def) (metis add-diff-cancel-left')
qed
```

corollary *upper-semicontinuous-map-add-c-iff*:
fixes $c :: \text{real}$
shows *upper-semicontinuous-map* $X (\lambda x. f x + c) \longleftrightarrow$ *upper-semicontinuous-map* $X f$
by(*simp add: add.commute upper-semicontinuous-map-c-add-iff*)

lemma *upper-semicontinuous-map-posreal-cmult-iff*:
fixes $c :: \text{real}$
assumes $c > 0$
shows *upper-semicontinuous-map* $X (\lambda x. c * f x) \longleftrightarrow$ *upper-semicontinuous-map* $X f$
proof –
have [*simp*]: $c * f x < a \longleftrightarrow f x < a / c$ **for** $x a$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: less-divide-eq mult.commute*)
thus ?*thesis*
by(*simp add: upper-semicontinuous-map-def*)
(*metis assms less-numeral-extra(3) nonzero-mult-div-cancel-left*)
qed

lemma *upper-semicontinuous-map-real-cmult*:
fixes $c :: \text{real}$
assumes $c \geq 0$ *upper-semicontinuous-map* $X f$
shows *upper-semicontinuous-map* $X (\lambda x. c * f x)$
by(*cases c = 0*)
(*use assms upper-semicontinuous-map-posreal-cmult-iff[simplified dual-order.strict-iff-order]*)
in *auto*)

lemma *lower-semicontinuous-map-posreal-cmult-iff*:
fixes $c :: \text{real}$
assumes $c > 0$
shows *lower-semicontinuous-map* $X (\lambda x. c * f x) \longleftrightarrow$ *lower-semicontinuous-map* $X f$
proof –
have [*simp*]: $c * f x > a \longleftrightarrow f x > a / c$ **for** $x a$
by (*simp add: assms divide-less-eq mult.commute*)
show ?*thesis*
by(*simp add: lower-semicontinuous-map-def*)
(*metis assms less-numeral-extra(3) nonzero-mult-div-cancel-left*)
qed

lemma *lower-semicontinuous-map-real-cmult*:
fixes $c :: \text{real}$
assumes $c \geq 0$ *lower-semicontinuous-map* $X f$
shows *lower-semicontinuous-map* $X (\lambda x. c * f x)$
by(*cases c = 0*)
(*use assms lower-semicontinuous-map-posreal-cmult-iff[simplified dual-order.strict-iff-order]*)

in *auto*)

lemma *upper-semicontinuous-map-INF*:

fixes $f :: - \Rightarrow - \Rightarrow 'a :: \{\text{linorder-topology, complete-linorder}\}$

assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in I \Longrightarrow \text{upper-semicontinuous-map } X (f i)$

shows $\text{upper-semicontinuous-map } X (\lambda x. \bigcap_{i \in I}. f i x)$

unfolding *upper-semicontinuous-map-def*

proof

fix a

have $\{x \in \text{topspace } X. (\bigcap_{i \in I}. f i x) < a\} = (\bigcup_{i \in I}. \{x \in \text{topspace } X. f i x < a\})$

by (*auto simp: Inf-less-iff*)

also have *openin* $X \dots$

using *assms* **by** (*auto simp: upper-semicontinuous-map-def*)

finally show *openin* $X \{x \in \text{topspace } X. (\bigcap_{i \in I}. f i x) < a\} .$

qed

lemma *upper-semicontinuous-map-cInf*:

fixes $f :: - \Rightarrow - \Rightarrow 'a :: \{\text{linorder-topology, conditionally-complete-linorder}\}$

assumes $I \neq \{\} \wedge x. x \in \text{topspace } X \Longrightarrow \text{bdd-below } ((\lambda i. f i x) ' I)$

and $\bigwedge i. i \in I \Longrightarrow \text{upper-semicontinuous-map } X (f i)$

shows $\text{upper-semicontinuous-map } X (\lambda x. \bigcap_{i \in I}. f i x)$

unfolding *upper-semicontinuous-map-def*

proof

fix a

have [*simp*]: $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{topspace } X \Longrightarrow (\bigcap_{i \in I}. f i x) < a \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \in (\lambda i. f i x) ' I. x < a)$

by (*intro cInf-less-iff*) (*use assms in auto*)

have $\{x \in \text{topspace } X. (\bigcap_{i \in I}. f i x) < a\} = (\bigcup_{i \in I}. \{x \in \text{topspace } X. f i x < a\})$

by *auto*

also have *openin* $X \dots$

using *assms* **by** (*auto simp: upper-semicontinuous-map-def*)

finally show *openin* $X \{x \in \text{topspace } X. (\bigcap_{i \in I}. f i x) < a\} .$

qed

lemma *lower-semicontinuous-map-Sup*:

fixes $f :: - \Rightarrow - \Rightarrow 'a :: \{\text{linorder-topology, complete-linorder}\}$

assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in I \Longrightarrow \text{lower-semicontinuous-map } X (f i)$

shows $\text{lower-semicontinuous-map } X (\lambda x. \bigcup_{i \in I}. f i x)$

unfolding *lower-semicontinuous-map-def*

proof

fix a

have $\{x \in \text{topspace } X. (\bigcup_{i \in I}. f i x) > a\} = (\bigcup_{i \in I}. \{x \in \text{topspace } X. f i x > a\})$

by (*auto simp: less-Sup-iff*)

also have *openin* $X \dots$

using *assms* **by** (*auto simp: lower-semicontinuous-map-def*)

finally show *openin* $X \{x \in \text{topspace } X. (\bigcup_{i \in I}. f i x) > a\} .$

qed

lemma *indicator-closed-upper-semicontinuous-map*:

```

assumes closedin X C
shows upper-semicontinuous-map X (indicator C :: -  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: {zero-less-one, linorder-topology})
unfolding upper-semicontinuous-map-def
proof safe
  fix a :: 'a
  consider a  $\leq$  0 | 0 < a a  $\leq$  1 | 1 < a
    by fastforce
  then show openin X {x  $\in$  topspace X. indicator C x < a}
  proof cases
    case 1
    then have [simp]:{x  $\in$  topspace X. indicator C x < a} = {}
    by(simp add: indicator-def) (meson order.strict-iff-not order.trans zero-less-one-class.zero-le-one)
    show ?thesis
    by simp
  next
    case 2
    then have [simp]:{x  $\in$  topspace X. indicator C x < a} = topspace X - C
    by(fastforce simp add: indicator-def)
    show ?thesis
    using assms by auto
  next
    case 3
    then have [simp]: {x  $\in$  topspace X. indicator C x < a} = topspace X
    by (simp add: indicator-def dual-order.strict-trans2)
    show ?thesis
    by simp
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma indicator-open-lower-semicontinuous-map:
assumes openin X U
shows lower-semicontinuous-map X (indicator U :: -  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: {zero-less-one, linorder-topology})
unfolding lower-semicontinuous-map-def
proof safe
  fix a :: 'a
  consider a < 0 | 0  $\leq$  a a < 1 | 1  $\leq$  a
    by fastforce
  then show openin X {x  $\in$  topspace X. a < indicator U x}
  proof cases
    case 1
    then have [simp]: {x  $\in$  topspace X. a < indicator U x} = topspace X
    using order-less-trans by (fastforce simp add: indicator-def)
    show ?thesis
    by simp
  next
    case 2
    then have [simp]:{x  $\in$  topspace X. a < indicator U x} = U

```

```

    using openin-subset[OF assms] by(simp add: indicator-def) fastforce
  show ?thesis
    by(simp add: assms)
next
case 3
then have [simp]:{x ∈ topspace X. a < indicator U x} = {}
  by(simp add: indicator-def) (meson dual-order.strict-trans leD zero-less-one)
show ?thesis
  by simp
qed
qed

```

lemma *lower-semicontinuous-map-cSup*:

```

  fixes f :: - ⇒ - ⇒ 'a :: {linorder-topology, conditionally-complete-linorder}
  assumes I ≠ {} ∧ x. x ∈ topspace X ⇒ bdd-above ((λi. f i x) ' I)
    and ∧i. i ∈ I ⇒ lower-semicontinuous-map X (f i)
  shows lower-semicontinuous-map X (λx. ⋂i∈I. f i x)
  unfolding lower-semicontinuous-map-def
proof
  fix a
  have [simp]: ∧x. x ∈ topspace X ⇒ (⋂i∈I. f i x) > a ⟷ (∃ x∈(λi. f i x) ' I.
x > a)
    by(intro less-cSup-iff) (use assms in auto)
  have {x ∈ topspace X. (⋂i∈I. f i x) > a} = (⋃i∈I. {x∈topspace X. f i x > a})
    by(auto simp: less-Sup-iff)
  also have openin X ...
    using assms by(auto simp: lower-semicontinuous-map-def)
  finally show openin X {x ∈ topspace X. (⋂i∈I. f i x) > a} .
qed

```

lemma *openin-continuous-map-less*:

```

  assumes continuous-map X (euclidean :: ('a :: {dense-linorder, order-topology})
topology) f
    and continuous-map X euclidean g
  shows openin X {x∈topspace X. f x < g x}
proof -
  have {x∈topspace X. f x < g x} = {x∈topspace X. ∃ r. f x < r ∧ r < g x}
    using dense order.strict-trans by blast
  also have ... = (⋃r. {x∈topspace X. f x < r} ∩ {x∈topspace X. r < g x})
    by blast
  also have openin X ...
    using assms by(fastforce simp: continuous-map-upper-lower-semicontinuous-lt)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

corollary *closedin-continuous-map-eq*:

```

  assumes continuous-map X (euclidean :: ('a :: {dense-linorder, order-topology})
topology) f
    and continuous-map X euclidean g

```

shows $\text{closedin } X \{x \in \text{topspace } X. f x = g x\}$
proof –
have $\{x \in \text{topspace } X. f x = g x\} = \text{topspace } X - (\{x \in \text{topspace } X. f x < g x\} \cup \{x \in \text{topspace } X. f x > g x\})$
by *auto*
also have $\text{closedin } X \dots$
using *openin-continuous-map-less*[*OF assms*] *openin-continuous-map-less*[*OF assms(2,1)*]
by *blast*
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

1.2 Urysohn's Lemma

lemma *locally-compact-Hausdorff-compactin-openin-subset*:

assumes *locally-compact-space* X *Hausdorff-space* $X \vee$ *regular-space* X
and *compactin* X *openin* X V $T \subseteq V$
shows $\exists U. \text{openin } X U \wedge \text{compactin } X (X \text{ closure-of } U) \wedge T \subseteq U \wedge (X \text{ closure-of } U) \subseteq V$

proof –

have $\bigwedge x W. \text{openin } X W \implies x \in W$
 $\implies (\exists U V. \text{openin } X U \wedge (\text{compactin } X V \wedge \text{closedin } X V) \wedge x \in U \wedge U \subseteq V \wedge V \subseteq W)$

using *assms(1)* **by** (*auto simp: locally-compact-space-neighbourhood-base-closedin*[*OF assms(2)*] *neighbourhood-base-of*)

from *this*[*OF assms(4)*] **have** $\forall x \in T. \exists U W. \text{openin } X U \wedge (\text{compactin } X W \wedge \text{closedin } X W) \wedge x \in U \wedge U \subseteq W \wedge W \subseteq V$

using *assms(5)* **by** *blast*

then have $\exists Ux Wx. \forall x \in T. \text{openin } X (Ux x) \wedge \text{compactin } X (Wx x) \wedge \text{closedin } X (Wx x) \wedge x \in Ux x \wedge Ux x \subseteq Wx x \wedge Wx x \subseteq V$

by *metis*

then obtain $Ux Wx$ **where** $UW: \bigwedge x. x \in T \implies \text{openin } X (Ux x) \wedge \bigwedge x. x \in T \implies \text{compactin } X (Wx x) \wedge \bigwedge x. x \in T \implies \text{closedin } X (Wx x)$

$\bigwedge x. x \in T \implies x \in Ux x \wedge \bigwedge x. x \in T \implies Ux x \subseteq Wx x \wedge \bigwedge x. x \in T \implies Wx x \subseteq V$

by *blast*

have $T \subseteq (\bigcup x \in T. Ux x)$

using UW **by** *blast*

hence $\exists \mathcal{F}. \text{finite } \mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{F} \subseteq Ux \text{ ' } T \wedge T \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{F}$

using *compactinD*[*OF assms(3)*,*of* $Ux \text{ ' } T$] $UW(1)$ **by** *auto*

then obtain T' **where** $T': \text{finite } T' \wedge T' \subseteq T \wedge T \subseteq (\bigcup x \in T'. Ux x)$

by (*metis finite-subset-image*)

have $1: X \text{ closure-of } \bigcup (Ux \text{ ' } T') = (\bigcup x \in T'. X \text{ closure-of } (Ux x))$

by (*simp add: T'(1) closure-of-Union*)

have $2: \bigwedge x. x \in T' \implies X \text{ closure-of } (Ux x) \subseteq Wx x$

by (*meson T'(2) UW(3) UW(5) closure-of-minimal subsetD*)

hence $\bigwedge x. x \in T' \implies \text{compactin } X (X \text{ closure-of } (Ux x))$

by (*meson T'(2) UW(2) closed-compactin closedin-closure-of subsetD*)

then show *?thesis*

using $T' 2 UW$ **by**(*fastforce intro!*: $exI[\mathbf{where} x = \bigcup x \in T'. Ux x]$ *compactin-Union simp: 1*)

qed

lemma *Urysohn-locally-compact-Hausdorff-closed-compact-support*:

fixes $a b :: \text{real}$ **and** $X :: 'a \text{ topology}$

assumes *locally-compact-space* X *Hausdorff-space* $X \vee$ *regular-space* X

and $a \leq b$ *closedin* X S *compactin* X T *disjnt* S T

obtains f **where** *continuous-map* X (*subtopology euclidean* $\{a..b\}$) $f f ' S \subseteq \{a\}$ $f ' T \subseteq \{b\}$ *disjnt* (X *closure-of* $\{x \in \text{topspace } X. f x \neq a\}$) S *compactin* X (X *closure-of* $\{x \in \text{topspace } X. f x \neq a\}$)

proof –

have $\exists f.$ *continuous-map* X (*subtopology euclidean* $\{0..1::\text{real}\}$) $f \wedge f ' S \subseteq \{0\} \wedge f ' T \subseteq \{1\} \wedge$ *disjnt* (X *closure-of* $\{x \in \text{topspace } X. f x \neq 0\}$) $S \wedge$ *compactin* X (X *closure-of* $\{x \in \text{topspace } X. f x \neq 0\}$)

proof –

define $r :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **where** $r \equiv (\lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } n = 1 \text{ then } 1$ *else from-nat-into* ($\{0 < .. < 1\} \cap \mathbb{Q}$) $(n - 2)$)

have $r-01$: $r 0 = 0$ $r (\text{Suc } 0) = 1$

by(*simp-all add: r-def*)

have r -*bij*: *bij-betw* r $UNIV$ ($\{0..1\} \cap \mathbb{Q}$)

proof –

have 1 : *bij-betw* (*from-nat-into* ($\{0 < .. < 1::\text{real}\} \cap \mathbb{Q}$)) $UNIV$ ($\{0 < .. < 1\} \cap \mathbb{Q}$)

proof –

have [*simp*]: *infinite* ($\{0 < .. < 1::\text{real}\} \cap \mathbb{Q}$)

proof –

have $\{0 < .. < 1::\text{real}\} \cap \mathbb{Q} =$ *of-rat* ' $\{0 < .. < 1::\text{rat}\}$

by(*auto simp: Rats-def*)

also have *infinite* ...

proof

assume *finite* (*real-of-rat* ' $\{0 < .. < 1\}$)

moreover have *finite* (*real-of-rat* ' $\{0 < .. < 1\}$) \longleftrightarrow *finite* $\{0 < .. < 1::\text{rat}\}$

by(*auto intro!: finite-image-iff inj-onI*)

ultimately show *False*

using *infinite-Ioo*[*of* $0 1 :: \text{rat}$] **by** *simp*

qed

finally show *?thesis* .

qed

show *?thesis*

using *countable-rat* **by**(*auto intro!: from-nat-into-to-nat-on-product-metric-pair*)

qed

have 2 : *bij-betw* r ($\{2..\}$) ($\{0 < .. < 1\} \cap \mathbb{Q}$)

proof –

have 3 : *bij-betw* ($\lambda n. n - 2$) $\{2::\text{nat}..\}$ $UNIV$

by(*auto simp: bij-betw-def image-def intro!: inj-onI bexI*[**where** $x = - + 2$])

have 4 : *bij-betw* ($\lambda n. r (n + 2)$) $UNIV$ ($\{0 < .. < 1\} \cap \mathbb{Q}$)

using 1 **by**(*auto simp: r-def*)

have 5 : *bij-betw* ($\lambda n. r (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (n - 2)))$) $\{2..\}$ ($\{0 < .. < 1\} \cap \mathbb{Q}$)

using *bij-betw-comp-iff*[*THEN iffD1, OF* $3 4$] **by**(*auto simp: comp-def*)


```

    show ?thesis
      by(rule bij-betw-cong[THEN iffD1,OF - 5]) (simp add: Suc-diff-Suc
numeral-2-eq-2)
    qed
    have [simp]: insert (Suc 0) (insert 0 {2..}) = UNIV insert 1 (insert 0
({0<.. $1::\text{real}$ }  $\cap \mathbb{Q}$ )) = {0..1}  $\cap \mathbb{Q}$ 
      by auto
    show ?thesis
      using notIn-Un-bij-betw[of 1,OF - - notIn-Un-bij-betw[of 0,OF - - 2]] by(auto
simp: r-01)
    qed
    have r0-min:  $\bigwedge n. n \neq 0 \longleftrightarrow r\ 0 < r\ n$ 
      using r-bij r-01 by (metis (full-types) IntE UNIV-I atLeastAtMost-iff bij-betw-iff-bijections
linorder-not-le not-less-iff-gr-or-eq)
    have r1-max:  $\bigwedge n. n \neq 1 \longleftrightarrow r\ n < r\ 1$ 
      using r-bij r-01(2) by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) IntD2 One-nat-def
UNIV-I atLeastAtMost-iff bij-betw-iff-bijections inf-commute linorder-less-linear linorder-not-le)
    let ?V = topspace X - S
    have openinV: openin X ?V
      using assms(4) by blast
    have T-sub-V:  $T \subseteq ?V$ 
      by(meson DiffI assms(5,6) compactin-subset-topospace disjnt-iff subset-eq)
    obtain V0 where V0: openin X V0 compactin X (X closure-of V0)  $T \subseteq V0$ 
X closure-of V0  $\subseteq ?V$ 
      using locally-compact-Hausdorff-compactin-openin-subset[OF assms(1,2) assms(5)
openinV T-sub-V] by metis
    obtain V1 where V1: openin X V1 compactin X (X closure-of V1)  $T \subseteq V1$ 
X closure-of V1  $\subseteq V0$ 
      using locally-compact-Hausdorff-compactin-openin-subset[OF assms(1,2) assms(5)
V0(1,3)] by metis

arg max
  have  $\exists i. i < n \wedge r\ i < r\ n \wedge (\forall m. m < n \wedge r\ m < r\ n \longrightarrow r\ m \leq r\ i)$  if  $n \geq 2$  for  $n$ 
    proof -
      have 1:  $\{m. m < n \wedge r\ m < r\ n\} \neq \{\}$ 
        proof -
          have  $n \neq 0$ 
            using n by fastforce
          hence  $r\ n \neq r\ 0$ 
            by (metis UNIV-I r-bij bij-betw-iff-bijections)
          hence  $r\ n > r\ 0$ 
            by (metis IntE UNIV-I atLeastAtMost-iff bij-betw-iff-bijections order-less-le
r-01(1) r-bij)
          hence  $0 \in \{m. m < n \wedge r\ n > r\ m\}$ 
            using n by auto
          thus ?thesis
            by auto
        qed
    qed

```

```

have 2:finite {m. m < n ∧ r n > r m}
  by auto
define ri where ri ≡ Max (r ‘ {m. m < n ∧ r n > r m})
have ri-1: ri ∈ r ‘ {m. m < n ∧ r n > r m}
  unfolding ri-def using 1 2 by auto
have ri-2: ∧m. m < n ⇒ r n > r m ⇒ r m ≤ ri
  unfolding ri-def by(subst Max-ge-iff) (use 1 2 in auto)
obtain i where i:ri = r i i < n r n > r i
  using ri-1 by auto
thus ?thesis
  using ri-2 by(auto intro!: exI[where x=i])
qed
then obtain i where i: ∧n. n ≥ 2 ⇒ i n < n ∧n. n ≥ 2 ⇒ r (i n) < r n
  ∧n m. n ≥ 2 ⇒ m < n ⇒ r m < r n ⇒ r m ≤ r (i n)
  by metis

arg min
  have ∃j. j < n ∧ r n < r j ∧ (∀m. m < n ∧ r n < r m → r j ≤ r m) if n:
n ≥ 2 for n
  proof –
  have 1:{m. m < n ∧ r n < r m} ≠ {}
  proof –
  have n ≠ 1
    using n by fastforce
  hence r n ≠ r 1
    by (metis UNIV-I r-bij bij-betw-iff-bijections)
  hence r n < r 1
    by (metis IntE One-nat-def UNIV-I atLeastAtMost-iff bij-betw-iff-bijections
order-less-le r-01(2) r-bij)
  hence 1 ∈ {m. m < n ∧ r n < r m}
    using n by auto
  thus ?thesis
    by auto
  qed
  have 2:finite {m. m < n ∧ r n < r m}
    by auto
  define rj where rj ≡ Min (r ‘ {m. m < n ∧ r n < r m})
  have rj-1: rj ∈ r ‘ {m. m < n ∧ r n < r m}
    unfolding rj-def using 1 2 by auto
  have rj-2: ∧m. m < n ⇒ r n < r m ⇒ rj ≤ r m
    unfolding rj-def by(subst Min-le-iff) (use 1 2 in auto)
  obtain j where j:rj = r j j < n r n < r j
    using rj-1 by auto
  thus ?thesis
    using rj-2 by(auto intro!: exI[where x=j])
  qed
  then obtain j where j: ∧n. n ≥ 2 ⇒ j n < n ∧n. n ≥ 2 ⇒ r (j n) > r
n ∧n m. n ≥ 2 ⇒ m < n ⇒ r m > r n ⇒ r m ≥ r (j n)
  by metis

```

have $i2: i\ 2 = 0$
by (*metis* $i(1,2)$ *One-nat-def dual-order.refl less-2-cases not-less-iff-gr-or-eq r1-max*)
have $j2: j\ 2 = 1$
by (*metis* $j(1,2)$ *One-nat-def dual-order.refl i(2) i2 less-2-cases not-less-iff-gr-or-eq*)
have $\exists Vn. \forall n. Vn\ n = (if\ n = 0\ then\ V0\ else\ if\ n = 1\ then\ V1$
else (*SOME* $V. openin\ X\ V \wedge compactin\ X\ (X\ closure-of\ V) \wedge X\ closure-of$
 $Vn\ (j\ n) \subseteq V \wedge X\ closure-of\ V \subseteq Vn\ (i\ n)))$
(is $\exists Vn. \forall n. Vn\ n = ?if\ n\ Vn$)
proof(*rule dependent-wellorder-choice*)
fix $r\ n$ **and** $Vn\ Vn' :: nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set$
assume $h: \bigwedge y::nat. y < n \implies Vn\ y = Vn'\ y$
consider $n \geq 2 \mid n = 0 \mid n = 1$
by *fastforce*
then show $r = ?if\ n\ Vn \longleftrightarrow r = ?if\ n\ Vn'$
by *cases (use i j h in auto)*
qed *auto*
then obtain Vn **where** $Vn-def: \bigwedge n. Vn\ n = (if\ n = 0\ then\ V0\ else\ if\ n = 1$
then $V1$
else (*SOME* $V. openin\ X\ V \wedge compactin\ X\ (X\ closure-of\ V) \wedge X\ closure-of$
 $Vn\ (j\ n) \subseteq V \wedge X\ closure-of\ V \subseteq Vn\ (i\ n)))$
by *blast*
have $Vn-0: Vn\ 0 = V0$ **and** $Vn-1: Vn\ 1 = V1$
by(*auto simp: Vn-def*)
have $Vns: (n \geq 2 \longrightarrow openin\ X\ (Vn\ n) \wedge compactin\ X\ (X\ closure-of\ Vn\ n) \wedge$
 $X\ closure-of\ Vn\ (j\ n) \subseteq Vn\ n \wedge X\ closure-of\ Vn\ n \subseteq Vn\ (i\ n)) \wedge$
 $(\forall k \leq n. \forall l \leq n. r\ k < r\ l \longrightarrow X\ closure-of\ Vn\ l \subseteq Vn\ k)$ **(is** $?P1\ n \wedge$
 $?P2\ n)$ **for** n
proof(*rule nat-less-induct[of - n]*)
fix n
assume $h: \forall m < n. ?P1\ m \wedge ?P2\ m$
show $?P1\ n \wedge ?P2\ n$
proof
show $P1: ?P1\ n$
proof
assume $n: 2 \leq n$
then consider $n = 2 \mid n > 2$
by *fastforce*
then show $openin\ X\ (Vn\ n) \wedge compactin\ X\ (X\ closure-of\ Vn\ n) \wedge$
 $X\ closure-of\ Vn\ (j\ n) \subseteq Vn\ n \wedge X\ closure-of\ Vn\ n \subseteq Vn\ (i\ n)$
proof *cases*
case 1
have $2: Vn\ 2 = (SOME\ V. openin\ X\ V \wedge compactin\ X\ (X\ closure-of\ V)$
 \wedge
 $X\ closure-of\ Vn\ 1 \subseteq V \wedge X\ closure-of\ V \subseteq Vn\ 0)$
by(*simp add: Vn-def i2 j2 1*)
show *?thesis*
unfolding $1\ i2\ j2\ Vn-0\ Vn-1\ 2$
by(*rule someI-ex*)

```

      (auto intro!: V0 V1 locally-compact-Hausdorff-compactin-openin-subset[OF
assms(1,2)])
    next
      case 2
      then have 1: Vn n = (SOME V. openin X V ∧ compactin X (X closure-of
V) ∧ X closure-of Vn (j n) ⊆ V ∧ X closure-of V ⊆ Vn (i n))
        by(auto simp: Vn-def)
      show ?thesis
        unfolding 1
      proof(rule someI-ex)
        have ij:j n < n i n < n r (i n) < r (j n)
          using j[of n] i[of n] order.strict-trans 2 by auto
        hence max (j n) (i n) < n
          by auto
        from h[rule-format,OF this] ij(3) have ijsub:X closure-of Vn (j n) ⊆
Vn (i n)
          by auto
        have jc:compactin X (X closure-of Vn (j n))
      proof -
        consider j n ≥ 2 | j n = 0 | j n = 1
          by fastforce
        then show ?thesis
      proof cases
        case 1
        then show ?thesis
          using ij(1) h by auto
        qed(auto simp: Vn-0 Vn-1[simplified] V0 V1)
      qed
      have io:openin X (Vn (i n))
      proof -
        consider i n ≥ 2 | i n = 0 | i n = 1
          by fastforce
        then show ?thesis
      proof cases
        case 1
        then show ?thesis
          using ij(2) h by auto
        qed(auto simp: Vn-0 Vn-1[simplified] V0 V1)
      qed
      show ∃ x. openin X x ∧ compactin X (X closure-of x) ∧ X closure-of
Vn (j n) ⊆ x ∧ X closure-of x ⊆ Vn (i n)
        by(rule locally-compact-Hausdorff-compactin-openin-subset[OF
assms(1,2) jc io ijsub])
      qed
    qed
  show ?P2 n
  proof(intro allI impI)
    fix k l

```

```

assume  $kl: k \leq n \wedge l \leq n \wedge r \ k < r \ l$ 
then consider  $n = 1 \mid n \geq 2$ 
  using  $r\text{-bij order-neq-le-trans}$  by  $fastforce$ 
then show  $X \text{ closure-of } \forall n \ l \subseteq \forall n \ k$ 
proof cases
  case 1
    then have  $[simp]: k = 0 \wedge l = 1$ 
    using  $r\text{-01 kl le-Suc-eq}$  by  $fastforce+$ 
    show  $?thesis$ 
    using  $Vn\text{-0 } Vn\text{-1 } V0 \ V1$  by  $simp$ 
  next
    case  $n:2$ 
    consider  $k < n \wedge l < n \mid k = n \wedge l < n \mid k < n \wedge l = n$ 
    using  $kl \text{ order-less-le}$  by  $auto$ 
    then show  $?thesis$ 
    proof cases
      case 1
        with  $kl(\beta) \ h$  show  $?thesis$ 
        by  $(meson \ nle\text{-le})$ 
      next
        case  $k:2$ 
        then have  $k1: X \text{ closure-of } \forall n \ (j \ k) \subseteq \forall n \ k$ 
        using  $P1 \ n$  by  $simp$ 
        consider  $r \ (j \ k) = r \ l \mid r \ (j \ k) < r \ l$ 
        using  $j(\beta)[OF \ - \ - \ kl(\beta)] \ k \ n$  by  $fastforce$ 
        then show  $?thesis$ 
        proof cases
          case 1
            then have  $j \ k = l$ 
            using  $r\text{-bij}$  by  $(auto \ simp: \ bij\text{-betw-def } injD)$ 
            with  $k1$  show  $?thesis$  by  $simp$ 
          next
            case 2
            then have  $X \text{ closure-of } \forall n \ l \subseteq \forall n \ (j \ k)$ 
            using  $k \ h$  by  $(meson \ j(1) \ n \ nat\text{-le-linear})$ 
            thus  $?thesis$ 
            using  $k1 \ \text{closure-of-mono}$  by  $fastforce$ 
          qed
        next
          case  $l:3$ 
          consider  $r \ k = r \ (i \ l) \mid r \ k < r \ (i \ l)$ 
          using  $i(\beta)[OF \ - \ - \ kl(\beta)] \ l \ n$  by  $fastforce$ 
          then show  $?thesis$ 
          proof cases
            case 1
            then have  $k = i \ l$ 
            using  $r\text{-bij}$  by  $(auto \ simp: \ bij\text{-betw-def } injD)$ 
            thus  $?thesis$ 
            using  $P1 \ l(2) \ n$  by  $blast$ 

```

```

next
  case 2
  then have  $X$  closure-of  $Vn (i l) \subseteq Vn k$ 
    by (metis h i(1) l(1) l(2) n nle-le)
  thus ?thesis
  by (metis P1 closure-of-closure-of closure-of-mono l(2) n subset-trans)
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
define  $Vr$  where  $Vr \equiv (\lambda x. \text{let } n = \text{THE } n. x = r n \text{ in } Vn n)$ 
have  $Vr-Vn$ :  $Vr (r n) = Vn n$  for  $n$ 
proof -
  have  $1: \bigwedge n m. r n = r m \longleftrightarrow n = m$ 
    using  $r$ -bij by (auto simp: bij-betw-def injD)
  have [simp]: (THE  $m. r n = r m$ ) =  $n$ 
    by (auto simp: 1)
  show ?thesis
    by (simp add: Vr-def)
qed
have  $Vr0$ :  $Vr 0 = V0$ 
  using  $Vr-Vn$ [of 0] by (auto simp:  $Vn-0$   $r-01$ )
have  $Vr1$ :  $Vr 1 = V1$ 
  using  $Vr-Vn$ [of 1]  $Vn-1$  by (auto simp:  $r-01$ )
have  $openin-Vr$ :  $openin X (Vr s)$  if  $s:s \in \{0..1\} \cap \mathbb{Q}$  for  $s$ 
proof -
  consider  $0 < s < 1 \mid s = 0 \mid s = 1$ 
  using  $s$  by fastforce
  then show ?thesis
  proof cases
    case 1
    then obtain  $n$  where  $n \geq 2$   $s = r n$ 
      by (metis  $r0$ -min  $r1$ -max  $s$  One-nat-def Suc-1 bij-betw-iff-bijections
        bot-nat-0.extremum-unique le-SucE not-less-eq-eq  $r$ -bij  $r$ -def)
    thus ?thesis
      using  $Vns$   $Vr-Vn$  by fastforce
  qed (auto simp:  $Vr0$   $Vr1$   $V0$   $V1$ )
qed
have  $compactin-clVr$ :  $compactin X (X \text{ closure-of } (Vr s))$  if  $s:s \in \{0..1\} \cap \mathbb{Q}$ 
for  $s$ 
proof -
  consider  $0 < s < 1 \mid s = 0 \mid s = 1$ 
  using  $s$  by fastforce
  then show ?thesis
  proof cases
    case 1

```

```

then obtain  $n$  where  $n \geq 2 s = r n$ 
  by (metis r0-min r1-max s One-nat-def Suc-1 bij-betw-iff-bijections
bot-nat-0.extremum-unique le-SucE not-less-eq-eq r-bij r-def)
  thus ?thesis
  using Vns Vr-Vn by fastforce
  qed(auto simp: Vr0 Vr1 V0 V1)
qed
have Vr-antimono:X closure-of  $Vr\ s \subseteq Vr\ k$  if  $h:s \in \{0..1\} \cap \mathbb{Q}$   $k \in \{0..1\} \cap \mathbb{Q}$ 
 $k < s$  for  $k\ s$ 
proof -
  obtain  $ns\ nk$  where  $n: s = r\ ns$   $k = r\ nk$ 
  by (metis h(1,2) bij-betw-iff-bijections r-bij)
  show ?thesis
  using Vr-Vn Vns[of max ns nk] h by(auto simp: n)
qed
define  $f$  where  $f \equiv (\lambda x. \bigsqcup_{s \in \{0..1\} \cap \mathbb{Q}} s * \text{indicat-real } (Vr\ s)\ x)$ 
define  $g$  where  $g \equiv (\lambda x. \bigsqcap_{s \in \{0..1\} \cap \mathbb{Q}} (1 - s) * \text{indicat-real } (X\ \text{closure-of } Vr\ s)\ x + s)$ 
note [intro!] = bdd-belowI[where m=0] bdd-aboveI[where M=1]
note [simp] = mult-le-one
have ne[simp]:  $\{0..1::\text{real}\} \cap \mathbb{Q} \neq \{\}$ 
  using Rats-0 atLeastAtMost-iff zero-less-one-class.zero-le-one by blast

have f-lower:lower-semicontinuous-map  $X\ f$ 
  unfolding f-def
  by(auto intro!: lower-semicontinuous-map-cSup lower-semicontinuous-map-real-cmult
indicator-open-lower-semicontinuous-map openin-Vr)
have g-upper:upper-semicontinuous-map  $X\ g$ 
  unfolding g-def
  by(auto intro!: upper-semicontinuous-map-cInf upper-semicontinuous-map-real-cmult
indicator-closed-upper-semicontinuous-map
  simp: upper-semicontinuous-map-add-c-iff)

have f-01:  $\bigwedge x. 0 \leq f\ x \wedge x. f\ x \leq 1$ 
proof -
  show  $\bigwedge x. 0 \leq f\ x$ 
  unfolding f-def by(subst le-cSup-iff) (auto intro!: bexI[where x=0])
  show  $\bigwedge x. f\ x \leq 1$ 
  unfolding f-def by(subst cSup-le-iff) (auto intro!: bexI[where x=0])
qed
have g-01:  $\bigwedge x. 0 \leq g\ x \wedge x. g\ x \leq 1$ 
proof -
  show  $\bigwedge x. 0 \leq g\ x$ 
  unfolding g-def by(subst le-cInf-iff) auto
  have  $\bigwedge x. \forall y > 1. \exists a \in (\lambda s. (1 - s) * \text{indicat-real } (X\ \text{closure-of } Vr\ s)\ x + s)$  ‘
( $\{0..1\} \cap \mathbb{Q}$ ).  $a < y$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) Int-iff Rats-1 add-0 atLeastAtMost-iff cancel-comm-monoid-add-class.diff-cancel image-eqI less-eq-real-def mult-cancel-left1 zero-less-one-class.zero-le-one)
  thus  $\bigwedge x. g\ x \leq 1$ 

```

```

unfolding g-def by(subst cInf-le-iff) auto
qed

have disj: disjnt (X closure-of {x∈topspace X. f x ≠ 0}) S
and f-csupport:compactin X (X closure-of {x∈topspace X. f x ≠ 0})
proof –
have 1:{x∈topspace X. f x ≠ 0} ⊆ X closure-of V0
proof –
have {x∈topspace X. f x ≠ 0} = {x∈topspace X. f x > 0}
using f-01 by (simp add: order-less-le)
also have ... ⊆ X closure-of V0
proof safe
fix x
assume h:x ∈ topspace X 0 < f x
then have ∃x∈(λs. s * indicat-real (Vr s) x) ‘({0..1} ∩ ℚ). 0 < x
by(intro less-cSup-iff[THEN iffD1]) (auto simp: f-def)
then obtain s where s: s ∈ {0..1} ∩ ℚ s * indicat-real (Vr s) x > 0
by fastforce
hence 1:s > 0 0 < indicat-real (Vr s) x
by (auto simp add: zero-less-mult-iff)
hence 2:x ∈ Vr s
by(auto simp: indicator-def)
have Vr s ⊆ X closure-of Vr s
by (meson closure-of-subset openin-Vr openin-subset s(1))
also have ... ⊆ X closure-of V0
using Vr-antimono[OF - - 1(1)] s(1) by (metis IntI Rats-0 Vr0 atLeastAt-
Most-iff calculation closure-of-mono order.refl order-trans zero-less-one-class.zero-le-one)
finally show x ∈ X closure-of V0
using 2 by auto
qed
finally show ?thesis .
qed
thus compactin X (X closure-of {x∈topspace X. f x ≠ 0})
by (meson V0(2) closed-compactin closedin-closure-of closure-of-minimal)
show disjnt (X closure-of {x∈topspace X. f x ≠ 0}) S
using 1 V0(4) closure-of-mono by(fastforce simp: disjnt-def)
qed
have f-1: f x = 1 if x: x ∈ T for x
proof –
have xv:x ∈ V1
using V1(3) x by blast
have 1 ≤ f x
unfolding f-def by(subst le-cSup-iff) (auto intro!: bexI[where x=1] simp:
Vr1 xv)
with f-01 show ?thesis
using nle-le by blast
qed
have f-0: f x = 0 if x: x ∈ S for x
proof –

```



```

have  $x \notin Vr\ s$  if  $s: s \in \{0..1\} \cap \mathbb{Q}$  for  $s$ 
proof -
  have  $x \notin Vr\ 0$ 
    using  $x\ V0$  closure-of-subset[OF openin-subset[of  $X\ V0$ ]] by (auto simp:
Vr0)
  moreover have  $Vr\ s \subseteq Vr\ 0$ 
    using Vr-antimono[of  $s\ 0$ ] s closure-of-subset[OF openin-subset[OF
openin-Vr[OF  $s$ ]]]
    by (cases  $s = 0$ ) auto
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by blast
qed
hence  $f\ x \leq 0$ 
  unfolding f-def by (subst cSup-le-iff) auto
with f-01 show ?thesis
  using nle-le by blast
qed
have  $fg: f\ x = g\ x$  if  $x: x \in \text{topspace } X$  for  $x$ 
proof -
  have  $\neg f\ x < g\ x$ 
  proof
    assume  $f\ x < g\ x$ 
    then obtain  $r\ s$  where  $rs: r \in \mathbb{Q}\ s \in \mathbb{Q}\ f\ x < r\ r < s\ s < g\ x$ 
      by (meson Rats-dense-in-real)
    hence  $r: r \in \{0..1\} \cap \mathbb{Q}$ 
    using f-01 g-01 by (metis IntI atLeastAtMost-iff inf.orderE inf.strict-coboundedI2
linorder-not-less nle-le)
    hence  $s: s \in \{0..1\} \cap \mathbb{Q}$ 
    using g-01 rs by (metis IntI atLeastAtMost-iff f-01(1) inf.strict-coboundedI2
inf.strict-order-iff less-eq-real-def)
    have  $x1: x \notin Vr\ r$ 
    proof -
      have  $r * \text{indicat-real } (Vr\ r)\ x < r$ 
        using r by (auto intro!: cSUP-lessD[OF - rs(3)][simplified f-def])
      thus ?thesis
        using r by auto
    qed
    have  $x2: x \in X$  closure-of  $Vr\ s$ 
    proof -
      have  $1: s < (1 - s) * \text{indicat-real } (X\ \text{closure-of } Vr\ s)\ x + s$ 
        using s by (intro less-cINF-D[OF - rs(5)][simplified g-def]) auto
      show ?thesis
        by (rule ccontr) (use s 1 in auto)
    qed
  show False
    using x1 x2 Vr-antimono[OF s r rs(4)] by blast
  qed
moreover have  $f\ x \leq g\ x$ 
proof -

```

```

    have  $l * \text{indicat-real } (Vr\ l)\ x \leq (1 - s) * \text{indicat-real } (X\ \text{closure-of } Vr\ s)\ x$ 
  + s
    if  $ls: l \in \{0..1\} \cap \mathbf{Q}\ s \in \{0..1\} \cap \mathbf{Q}$  for  $l\ s$ 
    proof(rule ccontr)
      assume  $h: \neg l * \text{indicat-real } (Vr\ l)\ x \leq (1 - s) * \text{indicat-real } (X\ \text{closure-of } Vr\ s)\ x + s$ 
      then have  $l * \text{indicat-real } (Vr\ l)\ x > (1 - s) * \text{indicat-real } (X\ \text{closure-of } Vr\ s)\ x + s$ 
      by auto
      hence  $l > s \wedge x \in Vr\ l \wedge x \notin Vr\ s$ 
      using  $ls$  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) h Int-iff add commute
        add.right-neutral atLeastAtMost-iff closure-of-subset diff-add-cancel in-mono indicator-simps(1)
        indicator-simps(2) mult commute mult-1 mult-zero-left openin-Vr openin-subset zero-less-one-class.zero-le-one)
      moreover have  $Vr\ l \subseteq Vr\ s$ 
      using Vr-antimono[OF  $ls$ ] by (meson calculation closure-of-subset  $ls(1)$ 
        openin-Vr openin-subset order-trans)
      ultimately show False
      by blast
    qed
    thus  $f\ x \leq g\ x$ 
    unfolding f-def g-def by(auto intro!: cSup-le-iff[THEN iffD2] le-cInf-iff[THEN iffD2])
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis
  by simp
qed
show ?thesis
proof(safe intro!: exI[where  $x=f$ ])
  have continuous-map X euclideanreal f
  by (simp add: fg f-lower g-upper upper-lower-semicontinuous-map-iff-continuous-map
    upper-semicontinuous-map-cong)
  thus continuous-map X (top-of-set  $\{0..1\}$ ) f
  using f-01 by(auto simp: continuous-map-in-subtopology)
  qed(use f-0 f-1 f-csupport disj in auto)
  qed
  then obtain f where  $f: \text{continuous-map } X\ (\text{top-of-set } \{0..1\})\ f\ f' \ S \subseteq \{0::\text{real}\}$ 
 $f' \ T \subseteq \{1\}$ 
 $\text{disjnt } (X\ \text{closure-of } \{x \in \text{topspace } X. f\ x \neq 0\})\ S\ \text{compact in } X\ (X\ \text{closure-of } \{x \in \text{topspace } X. f\ x \neq 0\})$ 
  by blast
  define g where  $g \equiv (\lambda x. (b - a) * f\ x + a)$ 
  have continuous-map X (top-of-set  $\{a..b\}$ ) g
  proof -
    have [simp]:  $0 \leq y \wedge y \leq 1 \implies (b - a) * y + a \leq b$  for y
    using assms(3) by (meson diff-ge-0-iff-ge le-diff-eq mult-left-le)
    show ?thesis
    using f(1) assms(3) by(auto simp: image-subset-iff continuous-map-in-subtopology
      g-def

```

intro!: continuous-map-add continuous-map-real-mult-left)

```
qed
moreover have  $g \text{ ' } S \subseteq \{a\} \text{ ' } g \text{ ' } T \subseteq \{b\}$ 
  using  $f(2,3)$  by(auto simp: g-def)
moreover have  $\text{disjnt } (X \text{ closure-of } \{x \in \text{topspace } X. g \ x \neq a\}) \ S$ 
   $\text{compactin } X \ (X \text{ closure-of } \{x \in \text{topspace } X. g \ x \neq a\})$ 
proof -
  consider  $a = b \mid a < b$ 
  using assms by fastforce
  then have  $\text{disjnt } (X \text{ closure-of } \{x \in \text{topspace } X. g \ x \neq a\}) \ S \wedge \text{compactin } X \ (X$ 
closure-of  $\{x \in \text{topspace } X. g \ x \neq a\})$ 
  proof cases
    case 1
    then have  $[\text{simp}]: \{x \in \text{topspace } X. g \ x \neq a\} = \{\}$ 
      by(auto simp: g-def)
    thus ?thesis
      by simp-all
  next
    case 2
    then have  $\{x \in \text{topspace } X. g \ x \neq a\} = \{x \in \text{topspace } X. f \ x \neq 0\}$ 
      by(auto simp: g-def)
    thus ?thesis
      by(simp add: f)
  qed
  thus  $\text{disjnt } (X \text{ closure-of } \{x \in \text{topspace } X. g \ x \neq a\}) \ S \text{ compactin } X \ (X \text{ closure-of}$ 
 $\{x \in \text{topspace } X. g \ x \neq a\})$ 
    by simp-all
  qed
ultimately show ?thesis
  using that by auto
qed
end
```

2 Regular Measures

theory *Regular-Measure*

```
imports HOL-Probability.Probability
  Standard-Borel-Spaces.StandardBorel
  Urysohn-Locally-Compact-Hausdorff
```

begin

context *Metric-space*

begin

lemma *nbh-add*: $(\bigcup b \in (\bigcup a \in A. \text{mball } a \ e). \text{mball } b \ f) \subseteq (\bigcup a \in A. \text{mball } a \ (e + f))$

proof *clarify*

```
fix  $a \ x \ b$ 
```

```
assume  $h: a \in A \ b \in \text{mball } a \ e \ x \in \text{mball } b \ f$ 
```

```

show  $x \in (\bigcup a \in A. \text{mball } a (e + f))$ 
proof(rule UN-I[OF h(1)])
  show  $x \in \text{mball } a (e + f)$ 
  using h triangle by fastforce
qed
qed

```

```

lemma nbh-subset:
assumes  $A: A \subseteq M$  and  $e: e > 0$ 
shows  $A \subseteq (\bigcup a \in A. \text{mball } a e)$ 
using  $A e$  by auto

```

```

lemma nbh-decseq:
assumes decseq an
shows decseq  $(\lambda n. \bigcup a \in A. \text{mball } a (an\ n))$ 
proof(safe intro!: decseq-SucI)
  fix  $n\ a\ b$ 
  assume  $a \in A\ b \in \text{mball } a (an\ (Suc\ n))$ 
  with decseq-SucD[OF assms] show  $b \in (\bigcup c \in A. \text{mball } c (an\ n))$ 
  by(auto intro!: beXI[where x=a] simp: frac-le order-less-le-trans)
qed

```

```

lemma nbh-Inter-closure-of:
assumes  $A: A \neq \{\}$   $A \subseteq M$ 
  and  $an: \bigwedge n. an\ n > 0\ \text{decseq } an\ an \longrightarrow 0$ 
shows  $(\bigcap n. \bigcup a \in A. \text{mball } a (an\ n)) = \text{mtopology closure-of } A$ 
proof safe
  fix  $x$ 
  assume  $x: x \in (\bigcap n. \bigcup a \in A. \text{mball } a (an\ n))$ 
  show  $x \in \text{mtopology closure-of } A$ 
  unfolding metric-closure-of
  proof safe
    fix  $r :: \text{real}$ 
    assume  $0 < r$ 
    from LIMSEQ-D[OF an(3) this]  $an(1)$  obtain  $N$  where  $N: \bigwedge n. n \geq N \implies$ 
 $an\ n < r$ 
    by fastforce
    show  $\exists y \in A. y \in \text{mball } x\ r$ 
    proof(rule ccontr)
      assume  $\neg (\exists y \in A. y \in \text{mball } x\ r)$ 
      then have  $1: \forall y \in A. y \notin \text{mball } x\ r$ 
      by auto
      obtain  $a$  where  $a: a \in A\ x \in \text{mball } a (an\ N)$ 
      using  $x$  by auto
      with  $N$ [of N] have  $a \in \text{mball } x (an\ N)\ \text{mball } x (an\ N) \subseteq \text{mball } x\ r$ 
      by (auto simp: commute)
      with  $a(1)\ 1$  show False by auto
    qed
  qed(use x in auto)

```

next
fix $x\ n$
assume $x \in \text{m topology closure-of } A$
then have $x \in M \ \forall r > 0. \ \exists y \in A. \ y \in \text{m ball } x\ r$
by(*auto simp: metric-closure-of*)
with $\text{an}(1)[\text{of } n]$ **obtain** y **where** $y : y \in A \ y \in \text{m ball } x\ (\text{an } n)$
by *auto*
thus $x \in (\bigcup a \in A. \ \text{m ball } a\ (\text{an } n))$
by(*auto intro!: bexI[where x=y] simp: commute*)
qed
end

lemma(*in finite-measure*)
assumes $\text{range } A \subseteq \text{sets } M \ \text{disjoint-family } A$
shows $\text{suminf-measure} : (\sum i. \ \text{measure } M\ (A\ i)) = \text{measure } M\ (\bigcup i. \ A\ i)$
and $\text{summable-measure} : \text{summable } (\lambda i. \ \text{measure } M\ (A\ i))$
using *finite-measure-UNION[OF assms] by(auto dest: sums-unique simp: summable-def)*

We refer to the lecture note [2].

Inner regular and outer regular with abstract topologies.

definition *inner-regular* :: $'a \ \text{topology} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{measure} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{inner-regular } X\ M \iff \text{sets } M = \text{sets } (\text{borel-of } X) \wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } M. \ M\ A = (\bigcup C \in \{C. \ \text{closedin } X\ C \wedge C \subseteq A\}. \ M\ C))$

definition *outer-regular* :: $'a \ \text{topology} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{measure} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{outer-regular } X\ M \iff \text{sets } M = \text{sets } (\text{borel-of } X) \wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } M. \ M\ A = (\bigcap C \in \{C. \ \text{openin } X\ C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \ M\ C))$

definition *regular-measure* :: $'a \ \text{topology} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{measure} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{regular-measure } X\ M \iff \text{inner-regular } X\ M \wedge \text{outer-regular } X\ M$

lemma
shows *inner-regularI*: $\text{sets } M = \text{sets } (\text{borel-of } X) \implies (\bigwedge A. \ A \in \text{sets } M \implies M\ A = (\bigcup C \in \{C. \ \text{closedin } X\ C \wedge C \subseteq A\}. \ M\ C)) \implies \text{inner-regular } X\ M$
and *inner-regularD*: $\text{inner-regular } X\ M \implies \text{sets } M = \text{sets } (\text{borel-of } X)$
 $\text{inner-regular } X\ M \implies A \in \text{sets } M \implies M\ A = (\bigcup C \in \{C. \ \text{closedin } X\ C \wedge C \subseteq A\}. \ M\ C)$
by(*auto simp: inner-regular-def*)

lemma
shows *outer-regularI*: $\text{sets } M = \text{sets } (\text{borel-of } X)$
 $\implies (\bigwedge A. \ A \in \text{sets } M \implies M\ A = (\bigcap C \in \{C. \ \text{openin } X\ C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \ M\ C))$
 $\implies \text{outer-regular } X\ M$
and *outer-regularD*: $\text{outer-regular } X\ M \implies \text{sets } M = \text{sets } (\text{borel-of } X)$
 $\text{outer-regular } X\ M \implies A \in \text{sets } M \implies M\ A = (\bigcap C \in \{C. \ \text{openin } X\ C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \ M\ C)$
by(*auto simp: outer-regular-def*)

lemma
shows *regular-measureI*: $inner\text{-}regular\ X\ M \implies outer\text{-}regular\ X\ M \implies regular\text{-}measure\ X\ M$
and *regular-measureD*:
 $regular\text{-}measure\ X\ M \implies inner\text{-}regular\ X\ M$ $regular\text{-}measure\ X\ M \implies outer\text{-}regular\ X\ M$
by(*auto simp: regular-measure-def*)

lemma *inner-regular-finite-measure*:
assumes *finite-measure M*
shows $inner\text{-}regular\ X\ M \longleftrightarrow$
 $sets\ M = sets\ (borel\text{-}of\ X) \wedge (\forall A \in sets\ M. measure\ M\ A = (\bigsqcup C \in \{C. closedin\ X\ C \wedge C \subseteq A\}. measure\ M\ C))$
unfolding *inner-regular-def*
proof *safe*
interpret *M: finite-measure M by fact*
fix *A*
assume $A \in sets\ M \forall A \in sets\ M. M\ A = (\bigsqcup C \in \{C. closedin\ X\ C \wedge C \subseteq A\}. M\ C)$
then have $1: M\ A = (\bigsqcup C \in \{C. closedin\ X\ C \wedge C \subseteq A\}. M\ C)$
by *blast*
have $ennreal\ (measure\ M\ A) = ennreal\ (\bigsqcup C \in \{C. closedin\ X\ C \wedge C \subseteq A\}. measure\ M\ C)$
proof *–*
have $ennreal\ (measure\ M\ A) = M\ A$
by (*simp add: M.emmeasure-eq-measure*)
also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup C \in \{C. closedin\ X\ C \wedge C \subseteq A\}. M\ C)$
by *fact*
also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup C \in \{C. closedin\ X\ C \wedge C \subseteq A\}. ennreal\ (measure\ M\ C))$
by (*simp add: M.emmeasure-eq-measure*)
also have $\dots = ennreal\ (\bigsqcup C \in \{C. closedin\ X\ C \wedge C \subseteq A\}. measure\ M\ C)$
by(*intro ennreal-SUP[symmetric]*) (*use calculation in fastforce*)
finally show *?thesis* .
qed
moreover have $(\bigsqcup C \in \{C. closedin\ X\ C \wedge C \subseteq A\}. measure\ M\ C) \geq 0$
by(*subst le-cSUP-iff*)
(*auto intro!: bdd-aboveI[where M=measure M (space M)] M.bounded-measure exI[where x={}]*)
ultimately show $measure\ M\ A = (\bigsqcup C \in \{C. closedin\ X\ C \wedge C \subseteq A\}. measure\ M\ C)$
by *simp*
next
interpret *M: finite-measure M by fact*
fix *A*
assume $A \in sets\ M \forall A \in sets\ M. measure\ M\ A = (\bigsqcup C \in \{C. closedin\ X\ C \wedge C \subseteq A\}. measure\ M\ C)$
then have $1: measure\ M\ A = (\bigsqcup C \in \{C. closedin\ X\ C \wedge C \subseteq A\}. measure\ M\ C)$

by *blast*
show $M A = (\bigsqcup C \in \{C. \text{closedin } X C \wedge C \subseteq A\}. M C)$
proof –
have $M A = \text{ennreal } (\text{measure } M A)$
by (*rule M.emmeasure-eq-measure*)
also have $\dots = \text{ennreal } (\bigsqcup C \in \{C. \text{closedin } X C \wedge C \subseteq A\}. \text{measure } M C)$
by (*simp add: 1*)
also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup C \in \{C. \text{closedin } X C \wedge C \subseteq A\}. \text{ennreal } (\text{measure } M C))$
by (*intro ennreal-SUP*)
(metis (mono-tags, lifting) M.emmeasure-eq-measure M.emmeasure-finite SUP-least emmeasure-space top.extremum-unique,blast)
finally show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: M.emmeasure-eq-measure*)
qed
qed

lemma (*in finite-measure*)
shows *inner-regularI: sets M = sets (borel-of X) \implies ($\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } M \implies \text{measure } M A = (\bigsqcup C \in \{C. \text{closedin } X C \wedge C \subseteq A\}. \text{measure } M C)$) \implies inner-regular X M*
and *inner-regularD:*
inner-regular X M \implies A \in sets M \implies measure M A = ($\bigsqcup C \in \{C. \text{closedin } X C \wedge C \subseteq A\}. \text{measure } M C$)
by (*auto simp: inner-regular-finite-measure finite-measure-axioms*)

lemma *outer-regular-finite-measure:*
assumes *finite-measure M*
shows *outer-regular X M \iff sets M = sets (borel-of X) \wedge ($\forall A \in \text{sets } M. \text{measure } M A = (\bigsqcup C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{measure } M C)$)*
unfolding *outer-regular-def*
proof *safe*
interpret *M: finite-measure M by fact*
fix *A*
assume *A: A \in sets M $\forall A \in \text{sets } M. \text{measure } M A = (\bigsqcup C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{measure } M C)$*
and *sets-M: sets M = sets (borel-of X)*
then have *1: measure M A = ($\bigsqcup C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{measure } M C$)*
by *blast*
have [*simp*]: *openin X (space M)*
by (*simp add: sets-M sets-eq-imp-space-eq space-borel-of*)
show $M A = (\bigsqcup C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. M C)$
proof –
have $\text{enn2ereal } (M A) = \text{ereal } (\text{measure } M A)$
by (*simp add: M.emmeasure-eq-measure*)
also have $\dots = \text{ereal } (\bigsqcup C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{measure } M C)$
by (*simp add: 1*)
also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup (\text{ereal } ' \text{measure } M ' \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}))$
by (*intro ereal-Inf'*) (*auto intro!: bdd-belowI[where m=0] exI[where x=space M] sets.sets-into-space[OF A(1)]*)

also have ... = $(\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X \ C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{enn2ereal } (M \ C))$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) M.emmeasure-eq-measure enn2ereal-ennreal image-cong image-image measure-nonneg*)
also have ... = $\text{enn2ereal } (\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X \ C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. M \ C)$
by (*simp add: Inf-ennreal.rep-eq image-image*)
finally show ?thesis
using *enn2ereal-inject by blast*
qed
next
interpret *M: finite-measure M by fact*
fix *A*
assume *A: A ∈ sets M ∨ A ∈ sets M. M A = $(\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X \ C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. M \ C)$*
and *sets-M: sets M = sets (borel-of X)*
then have *1: M A = $(\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X \ C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. M \ C)$*
by *blast*
have [*simp*]: *openin X (space M)*
by (*simp add: sets-M sets-eq-imp-space-eq space-borel-of*)
show *measure M A = $(\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X \ C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{measure } M \ C)$*
proof –
have *ereal (measure M A) = enn2ereal (M A)*
by (*simp add: M.emmeasure-eq-measure*)
also have ... = $\text{enn2ereal } (\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X \ C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. M \ C)$
by (*simp add: 1*)
also have ... = $(\prod (\text{ereal } \text{'measure } M \text{' } \{C. \text{openin } X \ C \wedge A \subseteq C\}))$
by (*auto simp: Inf-ennreal.rep-eq image-image M.emmeasure-eq-measure*)
also have ... = $\text{ereal } (\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X \ C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{measure } M \ C)$
by (*intro ereal-Inf'[symmetric] (auto intro!: bdd-belowI[where m=0] exI[where x=space M] sets.sets-into-space[OF A(1)])*)
finally show ?thesis
by *blast*
qed
qed

lemma(*in finite-measure*)
shows *outer-regularI: sets M = sets (borel-of X) \implies $(\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } M \implies \text{measure } M \ A = (\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X \ C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{measure } M \ C)) \implies$*
outer-regular X M
and *outer-regularD: outer-regular X M \implies A ∈ sets M*
 $\implies \text{measure } M \ A = (\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X \ C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{measure } M \ C)$
by (*auto simp: outer-regular-finite-measure finite-measure-axioms*)

Abstract version of $\llbracket \text{sets } ?M = \text{sets borel}; \text{emeasure } ?M (\text{space } ?M) \neq \infty; ?B \in \text{sets borel} \rrbracket \implies \text{emeasure } ?M ?B = \bigsqcup (\text{emeasure } ?M \text{' } \{K. K \subseteq ?B \wedge \text{compact } K\})$ and $\llbracket \text{sets } ?M = \text{sets borel}; \text{emeasure } ?M (\text{space } ?M) \neq \infty; ?B \in \text{sets borel} \rrbracket \implies \text{emeasure } ?M ?B = \prod (\text{emeasure } ?M \text{' } \{U. ?B \subseteq U \wedge \text{open } U\})$.

lemma(*in finite-measure*)
assumes *metrizable-space X sets (borel-of X) = sets M*

shows *inner-regular'*:*inner-regular* $X M$
and *outer-regular'*:*outer-regular* $X M$
proof –
let $?Sup = \lambda A. (\bigsqcup C \in \{C. \text{closedin } X C \wedge C \subseteq A\}. \text{measure } M C)$
let $?Inf = \lambda A. (\bigsqcap C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{measure } M C)$
{
fix A
assume $A[\text{measurable}]$: $A \in \text{sets } M$
obtain d **where** d : *Metric-space* (*topspace* X) d *Metric-space.mtopology* (*topspace* X) $d = X$
by (*metis* *Metric-space.topspace-mtopology* *assms(1)* *metrizable-space-def*)
then interpret d : *Metric-space* *topspace* X d **by** *simp*
have $\text{sets}[\text{measurable}(\text{raw})]$: $\bigwedge A. \text{openin } X A \implies A \in \text{sets } M \bigwedge A. \text{closedin } X A \implies A \in \text{sets } M$
 $\bigwedge A. \text{openin } d.\text{mtopology } A \implies A \in \text{sets } M \bigwedge A. \text{closedin } d.\text{mtopology } A \implies A \in \text{sets } M$
by (*auto simp*: d *assms(2)* [*symmetric*] *dest*: *borel-of-open* *borel-of-closed*)
have $\text{bdd}[\text{simp}]$: $\bigwedge A. \text{bdd-above}(\text{measure } M \text{ ' } \{C. \text{closedin } X C \wedge C \subseteq A\})$
 $\bigwedge A. \text{bdd-below}(\text{measure } M \text{ ' } \{C. \text{closedin } X C \wedge C \subseteq A\})$
 $\bigwedge A. \text{bdd-above}(\text{measure } M \text{ ' } \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\})$
 $\bigwedge A. \text{bdd-below}(\text{measure } M \text{ ' } \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\})$
by (*auto intro!*: $\text{bdd-aboveI}[\text{where } M = \text{measure } M \text{ (space } M)] \text{bdd-belowI}[\text{where } m = 0]$ *bounded-measure*)
have $\text{ne}[\text{simp}]$: $\{C. \text{closedin } X C \wedge C \subseteq A\} \neq \{\}$ $A \in \text{sets } M \implies \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\} \neq \{\}$ **for** A
using $\text{sets.sets-into-space}[\text{of } A M, \text{simplified space-borel-of}]$
 $\text{sets-eq-imp-space-eq}[\text{OF } \text{assms(2)}, \text{simplified space-borel-of}]$ **by** *blast+*
have 1 : $\text{measure } M A \leq ?Inf A$ $\text{measure } M A \geq ?Sup A$
using $\text{sets.sets-into-space}[\text{OF } A[\text{simplified } \text{assms(2)}[\text{symmetric}]]]$, *simplified space-borel-of*
 $\text{openin-topspace closedin-topspace sets.sets-into-space}[\text{OF } A]$
by (*fastforce intro!*: *le-cInf-iff* [**where** $a = \text{measure } M A$
and $S = \text{measure } M \text{ ' } \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}$, *THEN iffD2*]
 $\text{cSup-le-iff}[\text{where } a = \text{measure } M A$
and $S = \text{measure } M \text{ ' } \{C. \text{closedin } X C \wedge C \subseteq A\}$, *THEN iffD2*]
 $\text{bdd-aboveI}[\text{where } M = \text{measure } M \text{ (space } M)] \text{bdd-belowI}[\text{where } m = 0]$ *finite-measure-mono*)
have $\text{sets}M$: $\text{sigma-sets}(\text{topspace } X) \{U. \text{closedin } X U\} = \text{sets } M$
using $\text{sets-eq-imp-space-eq}[\text{OF } \text{assms(2)}]$ **by** (*auto simp*: *assms(2)* [*symmetric*] *sets-borel-of-closed*)
have 2 : *Int-stable* $\{U. \text{closedin } X U\} \{U. \text{closedin } X U\} \subseteq \text{Pow}(\text{topspace } X)$
by (*auto dest*: *closedin-subset intro!*: *Int-stableI*)

have $\text{measure } M A \leq ?Sup A \wedge \text{measure } M A \geq ?Inf A$
proof (*rule sigma-sets-induct-disjoint* [*OF* $2 A[\text{simplified } \text{sets}M[\text{symmetric}]]$])
fix a
assume $a \in \{U. \text{closedin } X U\}$

```

then have  $a$ [measurable]: closedin  $X$   $a$   $a \in$  sets  $M$ 
  by(auto simp: assms(2)[symmetric] borel-of-closed)
show measure  $M$   $a \leq ?Sup$   $a \wedge$  measure  $M$   $a \geq ?Inf$   $a$ 
proof (cases  $a = \{\}$ )
  case empty: True
  thus ?thesis
    by(auto intro!: cINF-lower[where f=measure M and x={},simplified])
bdd-belowI[where m=0]
    simp: empty)
next
  case ne: False
  show ?thesis
  proof
    have measure  $M$   $a = ?Sup$   $a$ 
    by(rule cSup-eq-maximum[symmetric],insert a(1),auto intro!: finite-measure-mono)
    thus measure  $M$   $a \leq ?Sup$   $a$  by simp
  next
    show measure  $M$   $a \geq ?Inf$   $a$ 
    proof –
      have  $?Inf$   $a \leq (\prod n. \text{measure } M (\bigcup x \in a. d.\text{mball } x (1 / \text{Suc } n)))$ 
      proof(rule cInf-superset-mono)
        show  $\text{range } (\lambda n. \text{measure } M (\bigcup x \in a. d.\text{mball } x (1 / \text{real } (\text{Suc } n)))) \subseteq$ 
measure  $M$  ‘  $\{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge a \subseteq C\}$ 
        proof clarify
          fix  $n$ 
          have  $(\bigcup x \in a. d.\text{mball } x (1 / (1 + \text{real } n))) \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge a$ 
 $\subseteq C\}$ 
          using d.openin-mball[simplified d(2)] closedin-subset[OF a(1)] by
auto
          thus measure  $M$   $(\bigcup x \in a. d.\text{mball } x (1 / (\text{Suc } n))) \in$  measure  $M$  ‘  $\{C.$ 
openin  $X C \wedge a \subseteq C\}$ 
          by auto
        qed
      qed auto
    also have  $\dots = \text{measure } M$   $a$ 
    proof –
      have [measurable]:  $(\bigcup x \in a. d.\text{mball } x (1 / (1 + \text{real } n))) \in$  sets  $M$  for
 $n$ 
      by(auto simp: assms(2)[symmetric] d.openin-mball[simplified d] intro!:
borel-of-open openin-clauses(3))
      have  $0:\text{decseq } (\lambda n. \bigcup x \in a. d.\text{mball } x (1 / (1 + \text{real } n)))$ 
      by(rule d.nbh-decseq) (auto intro!: decseq-SucI simp: frac-le)
      have  $1:\text{decseq } (\lambda n. \text{measure } M (\bigcup x \in a. d.\text{mball } x (1 / (1 + \text{real } n))))$ 
      by(rule decseq-SucI,rule finite-measure-mono) (use decseq-SucD[OF
 $0]$  in auto)
      have  $2:(\lambda n. \text{measure } M (\bigcup x \in a. d.\text{mball } x (1 / (1 + \text{real } n)))) \longrightarrow$ 
 $(\prod n. \text{measure } M (\bigcup x \in a. d.\text{mball } x (1 / \text{Suc } n)))$ 
      by(auto intro!: LIMSEQ-decseq-INF[OF - 1] bdd-belowI[where m=0])
      moreover have  $(\lambda n. \text{measure } M (\bigcup x \in a. d.\text{mball } x (1 / (1 + \text{real } n))))$ 

```

```

n)))) → measure M a
  proof -
    have (⋂ n. (⋃ x∈a. d.mball x (1 / (1 + real n)))) = d.mtopology
closure-of a
    by(rule d.nbh-Inter-closure-of[OF ne])
      (auto simp: closedin-subset[OF a(1)] frac-le
intro!: decseq-SucI LIMSEQ-inverse-real-of-nat[simplified
inverse-eq-divide,simplified])
    also have ... = a
      by(auto simp: closure-of-eq d a)
    finally have (⋂ n. (⋃ x∈a. d.mball x (1 / (1 + real n)))) = a .
    moreover have (λn. measure M (⋃ x∈a. d.mball x (1 / (1 + real
n))))
      → measure M (⋂ n. (⋃ x∈a. d.mball x (1 / (1 +
real n))))
      by(auto intro!: finite-Lim-measure-decseq simp: 0)
    ultimately show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by(auto dest: LIMSEQ-unique)
  qed
  finally show ?Inf a ≤ measure M a .
  qed
  qed
  qed
  next
  show measure M {} ≤ ?Sup {} ∧ measure M {} ≥ ?Inf {}
    by(auto intro!: cINF-lower[where f=measure M and x={},simplified]
bdd-belowI[where m=0])
  next
  fix a
  assume a ∈ sigma-sets (topspace X) {U. closedin X U}
  and ih:measure M a ≤ ?Sup a ∧ measure M a ≥ ?Inf a
  then have [measurable]:a ∈ sets M
    by(simp add: setsM)
  show measure M (topspace X - a) ≤ ?Sup (topspace X - a) ∧ measure M
(topspace X - a) ≥ ?Inf (topspace X - a)
  proof
    show measure M (topspace X - a) ≤ ?Sup (topspace X - a)
  proof(safe intro!: le-cSup-iff-less[THEN iffD2])
    fix y
    assume y < measure M (topspace X - a)
    then have measure M a < measure M (space M) - y
      by(auto simp: sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF assms(2),simplified space-borel-of]
finite-measure-compl)
    then obtain U where U: openin X U a ⊆ U measure M U ≤ measure
M (space M) - y
      using ih by(auto simp: cInf-le-iff-less[OF ne(2) bdd(4)])
    show ∃ C∈{C. closedin X C ∧ C ⊆ topspace X - a}. y ≤ Sigma-Algebra.measure

```

```

M C
  proof (safe intro!: bexI[where x=topspace X - U])
    have [arith]:measure M a ≤ measure M U
      using U by(auto intro!: finite-measure-mono)
    show y ≤ measure M (topspace X - U)
      using U by(auto simp: sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF assms(2),simplified
space-borel-of] finite-measure-compl)
    qed (use U in auto)
  qed auto
next
  show ?Inf (topspace X - a) ≤ measure M (topspace X - a)
  proof (rule cInf-le-iff-less[THEN iffD2])
    show ∀ y > measure M (topspace X - a). ∃ C ∈ {C. openin X C ∧ topspace
X - a ⊆ C}. measure M C ≤ y
    proof safe
      fix y
      assume measure M (topspace X - a) < y
      then have measure M (space M) - y < measure M a
      by(auto simp: sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF assms(2),simplified space-borel-of]
finite-measure-compl)
      then obtain C where C: closedin X C C ⊆ a measure M (space M) -
y ≤ measure M C
      using ih by(auto simp: le-cSup-iff-less[OF ne(1) bdd(1)])
      show ∃ C ∈ {C. openin X C ∧ topspace X - a ⊆ C}. measure M C ≤ y
      proof (safe intro!: bexI[where x=topspace X - C])
        have [arith]:measure M C ≤ measure M a
          using C by(auto intro!: finite-measure-mono)
        show measure M (topspace X - C) ≤ y
          using C by(auto simp: sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF assms(2),simplified
space-borel-of] finite-measure-compl)
        qed (use C in auto)
      qed
    qed auto
  qed
next
  fix a :: nat ⇒ -
  assume h: disjoint-family a range a ⊆ sigma-sets (topspace X) {U. closedin
X U}
  and ih: ∧ i. measure M (a i) ≤ ?Sup (a i) ∧ ?Inf (a i) ≤ measure M (a i)
  then have a[measurable]: ∧ i. a i ∈ sets M
    by(simp add: setsM)
  show measure M (∪ i. a i) ≤ ?Sup (∪ i. a i) ∧ ?Inf (∪ i. a i) ≤ measure M
(∪ i. a i)
  proof
    show measure M (∪ i. a i) ≤ ?Sup (∪ i. a i)
    proof (rule le-cSup-iff-less[THEN iffD2])
      show ∀ y < measure M (∪ (range a)). ∃ C ∈ {C. closedin X C ∧ C ⊆ ∪
(range a)}. y ≤ measure M C
      proof safe

```

```

    fix y
    assume  $y < \text{measure } M (\bigcup i. a i)$ 
    also have ... =  $(\sum i. \text{measure } M (a i))$ 
      by(rule suminf-measure[OF - h(1),symmetric]) auto
    finally obtain  $N$  where  $N: y < (\sum i < N. \text{measure } M (a i))$ 
  by (meson linorder-not-less measure-nonneg suminf-le-const summableI-nonneg-bounded)
  consider  $N = 0 \mid N > 0$  by auto
  then show  $\exists C \in \{C. \text{closedin } X C \wedge C \subseteq \bigcup (\text{range } a)\}. y \leq \text{measure}$ 
M C
  proof cases
    case 1
      with  $N$  show ?thesis by(auto intro!: exI[where x={}])
    next
      case [arith]:2
        define  $e$  where  $e \equiv ((\sum i < N. \text{measure } M (a i)) - y) / N$ 
        have  $e \text{ [arith]: } e > 0$ 
          using  $N$  by(auto simp: e-def)
        hence  $\bigwedge i. \text{measure } M (a i) - e < \text{measure } M (a i)$  by auto
        hence  $\forall i. \exists Ci. \text{closedin } X Ci \wedge Ci \subseteq a i \wedge \text{measure } M (a i) - e \leq$ 
measure M Ci
          using ih[simplified le-cSup-iff-less[OF ne(1) bdd(1)]] by auto
        then obtain  $Ci$  where  $Ci: \bigwedge i. \text{closedin } X (Ci i)$ 
           $\bigwedge i. Ci i \subseteq a i \wedge i. \text{measure } M (a i) - e \leq \text{measure } M (Ci i)$ 
          by metis
        with  $h$  have  $Ci\text{-d: disjoint-family-on } Ci \{.. < N\}$ 
          by(auto simp: disjoint-family-on-def) blast
        show ?thesis
          proof(safe intro!: bexI[where x= $\bigcup (Ci \text{ ' } \{.. < N\})$ ])
            have  $y \leq (\sum i < N. \text{measure } M (a i)) - ((\sum i < N. \text{measure } M (a i))$ 
-  $y)$  by auto
            also have ...  $\leq (\sum i < N. \text{measure } M (a i) - e)$ 
              by(auto simp: e-def sum-subtractf)
            also have ...  $\leq (\sum i < N. \text{measure } M (Ci i))$ 
              using  $Ci$  by(auto intro!: sum-mono)
            also have ... =  $\text{measure } M (\bigcup (Ci \text{ ' } \{.. < N\}))$ 
              by(rule finite-measure-finite-Union[OF - - Ci-d,symmetric]) (use  $Ci$ 
in auto)
            finally show  $y \leq \text{measure } M (\bigcup (Ci \text{ ' } \{.. < N\}))$  .
          qed(insert  $Ci$ ,auto intro!: closedin-Union)
        qed
      qed
    qed auto
  next
    show ?Inf  $(\bigcup i. a i) \leq \text{measure } M (\bigcup i. a i)$ 
    proof(rule cInf-le-iff-less[THEN iffD2])
      show  $\forall y > \text{measure } M (\bigcup (\text{range } a)). \exists C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge \bigcup (\text{range}$ 
a)  $\subseteq C\}. \text{measure } M C \leq y$ 
      proof safe
        fix y

```

```

assume 1:measure M (∪ i. a i) < y
define en where en ≡ (λn. (y - measure M (∪ i. a i)) * (1 / 2) ^
(Suc n))
with 1 have [arith]:en n > 0 for n by auto
hence measure M (a i) < measure M (a i) + en i for i by auto
hence ∃ Ui. openin X Ui ∧ a i ⊆ Ui ∧ measure M Ui ≤ measure M (a
i) + en i for i
using ih[of i,simplified cInf-le-iff-less[OF ne(2)][OF ‹a i ∈ sets M›]
bdd(4)] by auto
then obtain Ui where Ui: ∧ i. openin X (Ui i) ∧ i. a i ⊆ Ui i
∧ i. measure M (Ui i) ≤ measure M (a i) + en i
by metis
have [simp]: summable en summable (λn. measure M (a n))
by(auto simp: en-def intro!: summable-measure h)
hence [simp]: summable (λn. measure M (a n) + en n)
by(auto intro!: summable-add)
have [simp]:summable (λn. measure M (Ui n))
using Ui by(auto intro!: summable-comparison-test-ev[OF ‹summable
(λn. measure M (a n) + en n)›])
show ∃ C∈{C. openin X C ∧ ∪ (range a) ⊆ C}. measure M C ≤ y
proof(safe intro!: bexI[where x=∪ i. Ui i])
have measure M (∪ i. Ui i) ≤ (∑ i. measure M (Ui i))
using Ui by(auto intro!: finite-measure-subadditive-countably)
also have ... ≤ (∑ i. measure M (a i) + en i)
by(auto intro!: suminf-le Ui)
also have ... = (∑ i. measure M (a i)) + (∑ i. en i)
by(simp add: suminf-add)
also have ... = measure M (∪ i. a i) + (y - measure M (∪ i. a i))
proof -
have [simp]:(∑ i. measure M (a i)) = measure M (∪ i. a i)
by(auto intro!: suminf-measure h)
have (∑ i. en i) = (y - Sigma-Algebra.measure M (∪ (range a))) /
2 * (∑ n. (1 / 2) ^ n)
by(simp only: suminf-mult[of λn. (1 / 2) ^ n :: real,simplified,symmetric])
(simp add: en-def)
also have ... = (y - measure M (∪ i. a i))
by(simp add: suminf-geometric)
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
finally show measure M (∪ i. Ui i) ≤ y by simp
qed(use Ui in auto)
qed
show {C. openin X C ∧ ∪ (range a) ⊆ C} ≠ {}
using sets.sets-into-space[OF a]
by(force intro!: exI[where x=topspace X] simp: sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF
assms(2),simplified space-borel-of])
qed auto
qed
qed

```

```

  note 1 this
}
with assms(2) show inner-regular X M outer-regular X M
  by (fastforce intro!: inner-regularI outer-regularI)+
qed

```

definition *tight-on-set* :: 'a topology \Rightarrow 'a measure set \Rightarrow bool **where**
tight-on-set X $\Gamma \iff (\forall M \in \Gamma. \text{finite-measure } M \wedge \text{sets (borel-of } X) = \text{sets } M) \wedge$
 $(\forall e > 0. \exists K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge (\forall M \in \Gamma. \text{measure } M (\text{space } M - K) < e))$

abbreviation *tight-on* :: 'a topology \Rightarrow 'a measure \Rightarrow bool **where**
tight-on X M $\equiv \text{tight-on-set } X \{M\}$

lemma *tight-on-def*:
tight-on X M $\iff \text{finite-measure } M \wedge \text{sets (borel-of } X) = \text{sets } M \wedge$
 $(\forall e > 0. \exists K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge \text{measure } M (\text{space } M - K) < e)$
 by(auto simp: tight-on-set-def)

lemma *tight-on-set-subset*: $A \subseteq B \implies \text{tight-on-set } X B \implies \text{tight-on-set } X A$
 unfolding *tight-on-set-def* by blast

lemma *tight-on-tight*: *tight-on-set euclidean* (Mi ' UNIV) $\wedge (\forall i. \text{real-distribution (Mi } i)) \iff \text{tight } Mi$

proof safe
 assume h:*tight-on-set euclidean*real (range Mi) $\forall i. \text{real-distribution (Mi } i)$
 show *tight* Mi
 unfolding *tight-def*
proof safe
 fix e :: real
 assume e: $e > 0$
 with h(1) obtain K **where** K:
 $\text{compact } K \wedge \forall i. \text{measure (Mi } i) (\text{space (Mi } i) - K) < e$
 by(auto simp: *tight-on-set-def*)
 obtain r **where** r:
 $r > 0 \wedge K \subseteq \text{ball } 0 r$
 by(*metis* bounded-subset-ballD[OF compact-imp-bounded[OF K(1)]])
 show $\exists a b. a < b \wedge (\forall n. 1 - e < \text{measure (Mi } n) \{a <..b\})$
proof(rule exI[**where** $x = -r$])
 show $\exists b > -r. \forall n. 1 - e < \text{measure (Mi } n) \{-r <..b\}$
proof(safe intro!: exI[**where** $x = r$])
 fix n
 interpret *real-distribution* Mi n
 using h by simp
 have [measurable]: $K \in \text{sets (Mi } n)$
 by (simp add: K(1) borel-compact)
 hence $1 - e < \text{prob } K$
 using K(2)[of n] by(simp add: prob-compl del: borel-UNIV)
 also have $\dots \leq \text{prob } \{-r <..<r\}$

```

    using r by(auto intro!: finite-measure-mono simp: ball-eq-greaterThanLessThan)
    also have ... ≤ prob {-r<..r}
      by(auto intro!: finite-measure-mono)
    finally show 1 - e < prob {-r<..r} .
  qed(use r in auto)
qed
qed(use h in simp)
next
assume h:tight Mi
show tight-on-set euclideanreal (range Mi)
  unfolding tight-on-set-def
proof safe
  fix e :: real
  assume e: e > 0
  with h obtain a b where ab: a < b ∧ n. measure (Mi n) {a<..b} > 1 - e
    by(auto simp: tight-def)
  show ∃ K. compactin euclideanreal K ∧ (∀ M∈range Mi. measure M (space M
- K) < e)
  proof(safe intro!: exI[where x={a..b}])
    fix n
    interpret real-distribution Mi n
      using h by(auto simp: tight-def)
    have prob (space (Mi n) - {a..b}) = 1 - prob {a..b}
      by(rule prob-compl) simp
    also have ... ≤ 1 - prob {a<..b}
      by(auto intro!: finite-measure-mono)
    also have ... < e
      using ab(2)[of n] by auto
    finally show prob (space (Mi n) - {a..b}) < e .
  qed simp
qed(insert h,auto simp: borel-of-euclidean tight-def real-distribution-def real-distribution-axioms-def
prob-space-def)
qed(auto simp: tight-def)

```

lemma *inner-regular''*:

```

  assumes metrizable-space X tight-on X M
  and [measurable]:A ∈ sets M
  shows measure M A = (⊔ K∈{K. compactin X K ∧ K ⊆ A}. measure M K)
(is - = ?rhs)
proof -
  have sets: sets (borel-of X) = sets M
    using assms(2) by(simp add: tight-on-def)
  interpret M: finite-measure M
    using assms(2) by(simp add: tight-on-def)
  have measure M A ≥ ?rhs
    using sets.sets-into-space[OF assms(3)]
  by(auto intro!: cSup-le-iff[THEN iffD2] M.finite-measure-mono bdd-aboveI[where
M=measure M (space M)])
  moreover have measure M A ≤ ?rhs

```



```

proof –
  have measure M A - e < ?rhs if e[arith]: e > 0 for e
  proof –
    obtain K where K: compactin X K measure M (space M - K) < e
      using assms(2)[simplified tight-on-def] e by metis
    hence [measurable]: K ∈ sets M
      by (auto simp: sets[symmetric]
        intro!: borel-of-closed compactin-imp-closedin[OF metrizable-imp-Hausdorff-space[OF
assms(1)])]
    have measure M A - e < measure M A - measure M (space M - K)
      using K by auto
    also have ... ≤ measure M (A ∩ K)
      by (metis Diff-mono M.finite-measure-Diff' M.finite-measure-mono ‹K ∈
sets M› assms(3) cancel-ab-semigroup-add-class.diff-right-commute dual-order.refl
le-iff-diff-le-0 sets.Diff sets.sets-into-space sets.top)
    also have ... = (⋂ C∈{C. closedin X C ∧ C ⊆ A ∩ K}. measure M C)
      by (rule M.inner-regularD[OF M.inner-regular'[OF assms(1) sets]]) measurable
    also have ... ≤ ?rhs
      proof (rule cSup-mono)
        show  $\bigwedge b. b \in \text{Sigma-Algebra.measure } M \text{ ' } \{C. \text{closedin } X \ C \ \wedge \ C \subseteq A \ \cap \ K\}$ 
           $\implies \exists a \in \text{Sigma-Algebra.measure } M \text{ ' } \{K. \text{compactin } X \ K \ \wedge \ K \subseteq A\}. b$ 
         $\leq a$ 
        proof safe
          fix C
          assume closedin X C C ⊆ A ∩ K
          then show  $\exists a \in \text{Sigma-Algebra.measure } M \text{ ' } \{K. \text{compactin } X \ K \ \wedge \ K \subseteq$ 
A}. measure M C ≤ a
            by (auto intro!: closed-compactin[OF K(1)])
          qed
        qed (auto intro!: bdd-aboveI[where M=measure M (space M)] M.bounded-measure)
        finally show ?thesis .
      qed
    thus ?thesis
    by (metis (full-types) cancel-ab-semigroup-add-class.diff-right-commute dual-order.refl
le-iff-diff-le-0 less-iff-diff-less-0 linorder-not-less)
    qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma (in finite-measure) tight-on-compact-space:
  assumes metrizable-space X compact-space X sets (borel-of X) = sets M
  shows tight-on X M
  using assms(1,2)
  by (auto simp: tight-on-def assms finite-measure-axioms sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF
assms(3)[symmetric]
    compact-space-def space-borel-of
    intro!: exI[where x=space M])

```

```

lemma(in finite-measure) tight-on-finite-space:
  assumes metrizable-space X sets (borel-of X) = sets M finite (space M)
  shows tight-on X M
proof -
  from assms(3) have compact-space X
  by(auto simp: assms compact-space-def sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF assms(2)] [symmetric])
space-borel-of
  intro!: finite-imp-compactin-eq[THEN iffD2])
  from tight-on-compact-space[OF assms(1) this assms(2)] show ?thesis .
qed

lemma(in finite-measure) tight-on-Polish:
  assumes Polish-space X sets (borel-of X) = sets M
  shows tight-on X M
proof(cases finite (space M))
  case True
  then show ?thesis
  by(auto intro!: tight-on-finite-space assms Polish-space-imp-metrizable-space)
next
  case inf:False
  then have inf2: infinite (topspace X)
  by(auto simp: sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF assms(2)] [symmetric]) space-borel-of)
  obtain d where d:Metric-space (topspace X) d Metric-space.mtopology (topspace
X) d = X
  Metric-space.mcomplete (topspace X) d
  by (metis Metric-space.topspace-mtopology assms(1) completely-metrizable-space-def
Polish-space-imp-completely-metrizable-space)
  interpret d: Metric-space topspace X d by fact
  have [measurable]: $\bigwedge a e. d.mball a e \in sets M \bigwedge a e. d.mcball a e \in sets M$ 
  using d.openin-mball d.closedin-mcball by(auto simp: assms(2)] [symmetric])
borel-of-open borel-of-closed d)
  show ?thesis
  unfolding tight-on-def
proof safe
  fix e :: real
  assume e: e > 0
  from assms obtain U where U: countable U dense-in X U
  by(auto simp: separable-space-def2 Polish-space-def)
  have U-ne: U  $\neq$  {}
  by (metis U(2) dense-in-nonempty inf2 infinite-imp-nonempty)
  let ?an = from-nat-into U
  have an: $\bigwedge n. ?an n \in U$ 
  by (simp add: U-ne from-nat-into)
  have anU: ( $\bigcup n. d.mball (?an n) e'$ ) = topspace X if e' > 0 for e'
proof -
  have ( $\bigcup n. d.mball (?an n) e'$ ) = ( $\bigcup u \in U. d.mball u e'$ )
  by(auto simp: UN-from-nat-into[OF U(1) U-ne])
  also have ... = topspace X
  by(rule d.mdense-balls-cover[simplified d, OF U(2) that])

```

finally show *?thesis* .
qed
have $\exists n. \text{measure } M (\bigcup i \in \{..<n\}. d.\text{mball } (?an\ i) (1 / \text{Suc } m)) > \text{measure } M (\text{space } M) - e * (1 / 2)^{\wedge \text{Suc } m}$ **for** m
proof –
have $1: (\lambda n. \text{measure } M (\bigcup i \in \{..<n\}. d.\text{mball } (?an\ i) (1 / \text{Suc } m))) \longrightarrow \text{measure } M (\bigcup n. \bigcup i \in \{..<n\}. d.\text{mball } (?an\ i) (1 / \text{Suc } m))$
by(*rule finite-Lim-measure-incseq*) (*fastforce simp: incseq-def*)+
have $(\bigcup n. \bigcup i \in \{..<n\}. d.\text{mball } (?an\ i) (1 / \text{Suc } m)) = (\bigcup n. d.\text{mball } (?an\ n) (1 / \text{Suc } m))$ **by** *blast*
also have $\dots = \text{topspace } X$
by(*rule anU*) *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{space } M$
by(*simp add: sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF assms(2),simplified space-borel-of]*)
finally have $(\lambda n. \text{measure } M (\bigcup i \in \{..<n\}. d.\text{mball } (?an\ i) (1 / \text{Suc } m))) \longrightarrow \text{measure } M (\text{space } M)$
using 1 **by** *simp*
moreover have $e * (1 / 2)^{\wedge \text{Suc } m} > 0$ **using** e **by** *auto*
ultimately have $\exists N. \forall n \geq N. |\text{measure } M (\bigcup i \in \{..<n\}. d.\text{mball } (?an\ i) (1 / \text{Suc } m)) - \text{measure } M (\text{space } M)| < e * (1/2)^{\wedge \text{Suc } m}$
unfolding *LIMSEQ-def dist-real-def* **by** *metis*
then obtain N **where** $\text{measure } M (\text{space } M) - \text{measure } M (\bigcup i \in \{..<N\}. d.\text{mball } (?an\ i) (1 / \text{Suc } m)) < e * (1/2)^{\wedge \text{Suc } m}$
using *bounded-measure* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis*
by(*auto intro!: exI[where x=N]*)
qed
then obtain n **where** $n: \bigwedge m. \text{measure } M (\bigcup i \in \{..<n\ m\}. d.\text{mball } (?an\ i) (1 / \text{Suc } m)) > \text{measure } M (\text{space } M) - e * (1 / 2)^{\wedge \text{Suc } m}$
by *metis*
have $n': \bigwedge m. \text{measure } M (\bigcup i \in \{..<n\ m\}. d.\text{mcball } (?an\ i) (1 / \text{Suc } m)) > \text{measure } M (\text{space } M) - e * (1 / 2)^{\wedge \text{Suc } m}$
by(*rule order.strict-trans2[OF n]*) (*auto intro!: finite-measure-mono*)
define K **where** $K \equiv \bigcap m. \bigcup k \in \{..<n\ m\}. d.\text{mcball } (?an\ k) (1 / \text{Suc } m)$
have $K\text{-closed}: \text{closedin } d.\text{mtopology } K$
by(*auto intro!: closedin-Union simp: K-def*)
have $K\text{-compact}: \text{compactin } d.\text{mtopology } K$
proof –
have $d.\text{mtotally-bounded } K$
unfolding *d.mtotally-bounded-def2*
proof *safe*
fix $e' :: \text{real}$
assume [*arith*]: $e' > 0$
then obtain m **where** $m[\text{arith}]: 1 / \text{Suc } m < e'$
using *nat-approx-posE* **by** *blast*
have $K \subseteq (\bigcup k \in \{..<n\ m\}. d.\text{mcball } (?an\ k) (1 / \text{Suc } m))$
by(*auto simp: K-def*)
also have $\dots \subseteq (\bigcup k \in \{..<n\ m\}. d.\text{mball } (?an\ k) e')$
using m **by** *auto*

```

finally show  $\exists Ka. \text{finite } Ka \wedge Ka \subseteq \text{topspace } X \wedge K \subseteq (\bigcup_{x \in Ka} d.\text{mball } x \ e')$ 
  using an dense-in-subset[OF  $U(2)$ ] by(fastforce intro!:  $\text{exI}[\text{where } x=?an \ \{..\leq n \ m\}]$ )
  qed
  thus ?thesis
  by(simp add: d.mtotally-bounded-eq-compact-closedin[OF  $d(3)$  K-closed,simplified])
qed
show  $\exists K. \text{compactin } X \ K \wedge \text{measure } M (\text{space } M - K) < e$ 
proof(safe intro!:  $\text{exI}[\text{where } x=K]$ )
  have  $\text{sum:summable } (\lambda m. \text{measure } M (\text{space } M - (\bigcup_{k \in \{..\leq n \ m\}} d.\text{mball } (?an \ k) \ (1 / \text{Suc } m))))$ 
    apply(intro summable-comparison-test-ev[OF - summable-mult[OF complete-algebra-summable-geometric[where  $x=1 / 2$ ]],of - e]  $\text{exI}[\text{where } x=1]$ )
    apply(simp add: eventually-sequentially finite-measure-compl)
    apply(intro exI[where  $x=1$ ] allI)
  subgoal for  $l$ 
    using  $n'$ [of  $l$ ] e bounded-measure
    apply(auto intro!: order.strict-implies-order[OF order.strict-trans[where  $b=e * (1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } l$ ]])
    done
  by simp
  have  $\text{measure } M (\text{space } M - K) = \text{measure } M (\bigcup m. (\text{space } M - (\bigcup_{k \in \{..\leq n \ m\}} d.\text{mball } (?an \ k) \ (1 / \text{Suc } m))))$ 
    by(auto simp: K-def)
  also have  $\dots \leq (\sum m. \text{measure } M (\text{space } M - (\bigcup_{k \in \{..\leq n \ m\}} d.\text{mball } (?an \ k) \ (1 / \text{Suc } m))))$ 
    by(rule finite-measure-subadditive-countably) (use sum in auto)
  also have  $\dots = \text{measure } M (\text{space } M - (\bigcup_{k \in \{..\leq n \ 0\}} d.\text{mball } (?an \ k) \ (1 / \text{Suc } 0)))$ 
     $+ (\sum m. \text{measure } M (\text{space } M - (\bigcup_{k \in \{..\leq n \ (\text{Suc } m)\}} d.\text{mball } (?an \ k) \ (1 / \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } m))))$ 
    using suminf-split-initial-segment[OF sum,of 1] by simp
  also have  $\dots < e * (1 / 2)$ 
     $+ (\sum m. \text{measure } M (\text{space } M - (\bigcup_{k \in \{..\leq n \ (\text{Suc } m)\}} d.\text{mball } (?an \ k) \ (1 / \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } m))))$ 
    using  $n'$ [of  $0$ ] by(simp add: finite-measure-compl)
  also have  $\dots \leq e * (1 / 2) + (\sum m. e * (1 / 2) \wedge (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } m)))$ 
proof -
  have  $(\sum m. \text{measure } M (\text{space } M - (\bigcup_{k \in \{..\leq n \ (\text{Suc } m)\}} d.\text{mball } (?an \ k) \ (1 / \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } m)))) \leq (\sum m. e * (1 / 2) \wedge (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } m)))$ 
proof(rule suminf-le)
  fix  $l$ 
  show  $\text{measure } M (\text{space } M - (\bigcup_{k < n \ (\text{Suc } l)} d.\text{mball } (?an \ k) \ (1 / \text{real } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } l)))) \leq e * (1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } l)$ 
    using  $n'$ [of  $\text{Suc } l$ ] by (auto simp: finite-measure-compl)
  qed(use summable-Suc-iff[THEN iffD2,OF sum] in auto)
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed

```

also have ... = e
by(*simp add: suminf-geometric*[of 1 / 2 :: real] *suminf-mult suminf-divide*)
finally show *measure M (space M - K) < e .*
qed(*use K-compact d in auto*)
qed(*use finite-measure-axioms assms in auto*)
qed

corollary(*in finite-measure*) *inner-regular-Polish:*
assumes *Polish-space X sets (borel-of X) = sets M A ∈ sets M*
shows *measure M A = (⋂ K ∈ {K. compactin X K ∧ K ⊆ A}. measure M K)*
by(*auto intro!: tight-on-Polish inner-regular'' simp: assms Polish-space-imp-metrizable-space*)
end

3 The Riesz Representation Theorem

theory *Riesz-Representation*
imports *Regular-Measure*
Urysohn-Locally-Compact-Hausdorff
begin

3.1 Lemmas for Complex-Valued Continuous Maps

lemma *continuous-map-Re'*[*simp,continuous-intros*]: *continuous-map euclidean euclideanreal Re*
and *continuous-map-Im'*[*simp,continuous-intros*]: *continuous-map euclidean euclideanreal Im*
and *continuous-map-complex-of-real'*[*simp,continuous-intros*]: *continuous-map euclideanreal euclidean complex-of-real*
by(*auto simp: continuous-on tendsto-Re tendsto-Im*)

corollary
assumes *continuous-map X euclidean f*
shows *continuous-map-Re*[*simp,continuous-intros*]: *continuous-map X euclideanreal (λx. Re (f x))*
and *continuous-map-Im*[*simp,continuous-intros*]: *continuous-map X euclideanreal (λx. Im (f x))*
by(*auto intro!: continuous-map-compose*[*OF assms,simplified comp-def*] *continuous-map-Re' continuous-map-Im'*)

lemma *continuous-map-of-real-iff*[*simp*]:
continuous-map X euclidean (λx. of-real (f x)) :: - :: real-normed-div-algebra \longleftrightarrow
continuous-map X euclideanreal f
by(*auto simp: continuous-map-atin tendsto-of-real-iff*)

lemma *continuous-map-complex-mult* [*continuous-intros*]:
fixes $f :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{complex}$
shows $\llbracket \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f; \text{ continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } g \rrbracket \implies \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } (\lambda x. f x * g x)$

by (*simp add: continuous-map-atin tendsto-mult*)

lemma *continuous-map-complex-mult-left*:

fixes $f :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{complex}$

shows *continuous-map X euclidean f \implies continuous-map X euclidean* ($\lambda x. c * f x$)

by(*simp add: continuous-map-atin tendsto-mult*)

lemma *complex-continuous-map-iff*:

continuous-map X euclidean f \iff continuous-map X euclideanreal ($\lambda x. \text{Re } (f x) \wedge \text{continuous-map X euclideanreal } (\lambda x. \text{Im } (f x))$)

proof *safe*

assume *continuous-map X euclideanreal* ($\lambda x. \text{Re } (f x)$) *continuous-map X euclideanreal* ($\lambda x. \text{Im } (f x)$)

then have *continuous-map X euclidean* ($\lambda x. \text{Re } (f x) + i * \text{Im } (f x)$)

by(*auto intro!: continuous-map-add continuous-map-complex-mult-left continuous-map-compose[of X euclideanreal,simplified comp-def]*)

thus *continuous-map X euclidean f*

using *complex-eq by auto*

qed(*use continuous-map-compose[OF - continuous-map-Re',simplified comp-def] continuous-map-compose[OF - continuous-map-Im',simplified comp-def] in auto*)

lemma *complex-integrable-iff: complex-integrable M f \iff integrable M* ($\lambda x. \text{Re } (f x) \wedge \text{integrable M } (\lambda x. \text{Im } (f x))$)

proof *safe*

assume $h[\text{measurable}]: \text{integrable M } (\lambda x. \text{Re } (f x))$ *integrable M* ($\lambda x. \text{Im } (f x)$)

show *complex-integrable M f*

unfolding *integrable-iff-bounded*

proof *safe*

show $f[\text{measurable}]: f \in \text{borel-measurable M}$

using *borel-measurable-complex-iff h by blast*

have $(\int^+ x. \text{ennreal } (c \text{mod } (f x)) \partial M) \leq (\int^+ x. \text{ennreal } (|\text{Re } (f x)| + |\text{Im } (f x)|) \partial M)$

by(*intro nn-integral-mono ennreal-leI*) (*use cmod-le in auto*)

also have $\dots = (\int^+ x. \text{ennreal } |\text{Re } (f x)| \partial M) + (\int^+ x. \text{ennreal } |\text{Im } (f x)| \partial M)$

by(*auto intro!: nn-integral-add*)

also have $\dots < \infty$

using h **by**(*auto simp: integrable-iff-bounded*)

finally show $(\int^+ x. \text{ennreal } (c \text{mod } (f x)) \partial M) < \infty$.

qed

qed(*auto dest: integrable-Re integrable-Im*)

3.2 Compact Supports

definition *has-compact-support-on* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b :: \text{monoid-add}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ topology} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

(**infix** $\langle \text{has}'\text{-compact}'\text{-support}'\text{-on} \rangle 60$) **where**

has-compact-support-on X \iff compactin X (*X closure-of support-on (topspace*

X) f)

lemma *has-compact-support-on-iff*:

f has-compact-support-on $X \iff$ compactin X (X closure-of $\{x \in \text{topspace } X. f x \neq 0\}$)

by(simp add: has-compact-support-on-def support-on-def)

lemma *has-compact-support-on-zero*[simp]: $(\lambda x. 0)$ has-compact-support-on X

by(simp add: has-compact-support-on-iff)

lemma *has-compact-support-on-compact-space*[simp]: compact-space $X \implies f$ has-compact-support-on X

by(auto simp: has-compact-support-on-def closedin-compact-space)

lemma *has-compact-support-on-add*[simp,intro!]:

assumes f has-compact-support-on X g has-compact-support-on X

shows $(\lambda x. f x + g x)$ has-compact-support-on X

proof –

have support-on (topspace X) $(\lambda x. f x + g x)$

\subseteq support-on (topspace X) $f \cup$ support-on (topspace X) g

by(auto simp: in-support-on)

moreover have compactin X (X closure-of ...)

using assms **by**(simp add: has-compact-support-on-def compactin-Un)

ultimately show ?thesis

unfolding has-compact-support-on-def **by** (meson closed-compactin closedin-closure-of closure-of-mono)

qed

lemma *has-compact-support-on-sum*:

assumes finite $I \wedge i. i \in I \implies f i$ has-compact-support-on X

shows $(\lambda x. (\sum i \in I. f i x))$ has-compact-support-on X

proof –

have support-on (topspace X) $(\lambda x. (\sum i \in I. f i x)) \subseteq (\bigcup i \in I. \text{support-on (topspace } X) (f i))$

by(simp add: subset-eq) (meson in-support-on sum.neutral)

moreover have compactin X (X closure-of ...)

using assms **by**(auto simp: has-compact-support-on-def closure-of-Union intro!: compactin-Union)

ultimately show ?thesis

unfolding has-compact-support-on-def **by** (meson closed-compactin closedin-closure-of closure-of-mono)

qed

lemma *has-compact-support-on-mult-left*:

fixes $g :: - \Rightarrow - :: \text{mult-zero}$

assumes g has-compact-support-on X

shows $(\lambda x. f x * g x)$ has-compact-support-on X

proof –

have support-on (topspace X) $(\lambda x. f x * g x) \subseteq \text{support-on (topspace } X) g$

by(*auto simp add: in-support-on*)
 thus ?thesis
 using *assms unfolding has-compact-support-on-def*
 by (*meson closed-compactin closedin-closure-of closure-of-mono*)
 qed

lemma *has-compact-support-on-mult-right*:
 fixes $f :: - \Rightarrow - :: \text{mult-zero}$
 assumes f *has-compact-support-on* X
 shows $(\lambda x. f x * g x)$ *has-compact-support-on* X
proof –
 have *support-on* (*topspace* X) $(\lambda x. f x * g x) \subseteq \text{support-on } (\text{topspace } X) f$
 by(*auto simp add: in-support-on*)
 thus ?thesis
 using *assms unfolding has-compact-support-on-def*
 by (*meson closed-compactin closedin-closure-of closure-of-mono*)
 qed

lemma *has-compact-support-on-uminus-iff[simp]*:
 fixes $f :: - \Rightarrow - :: \text{group-add}$
 shows $(\lambda x. - f x)$ *has-compact-support-on* $X \iff f$ *has-compact-support-on* X
 by(*auto simp: has-compact-support-on-def support-on-def*)

lemma *has-compact-support-on-diff[simp,intro!]*:
 fixes $f :: - \Rightarrow - :: \text{group-add}$
 shows f *has-compact-support-on* $X \implies g$ *has-compact-support-on* X
 $\implies (\lambda x. f x - g x)$ *has-compact-support-on* X
unfolding *diff-conv-add-uminus* **by**(*intro has-compact-support-on-add*) *auto*

lemma *has-compact-support-on-max[simp,intro!]*:
 assumes f *has-compact-support-on* X g *has-compact-support-on* X
 shows $(\lambda x. \max (f x) (g x))$ *has-compact-support-on* X
proof –
 have *support-on* (*topspace* X) $(\lambda x. \max (f x) (g x))$
 $\subseteq \text{support-on } (\text{topspace } X) f \cup \text{support-on } (\text{topspace } X) g$
 by (*simp add: in-support-on max-def-raw unfold-simps(2)*)
moreover have *compactin* X (*X closure-of ...*)
 using *assms* **by**(*simp add: has-compact-support-on-def compactin-Un*)
ultimately show ?thesis
unfolding *has-compact-support-on-def* **by** (*meson closed-compactin closedin-closure-of closure-of-mono*)
 qed

lemma *has-compact-support-on-ext-iff[iff]*:
 $(\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x)$ *has-compact-support-on* $X \iff f$ *has-compact-support-on* X
by(*auto intro!: arg-cong2[where f=compactin] arg-cong2[where f=(closure-of)]*)
simp: has-compact-support-on-def in-support-on)

lemma *has-compact-support-on-of-real-iff[iff]*:

$(\lambda x. \text{of-real } (f x)) \text{ has-compact-support-on } X = f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$
by(*auto simp: has-compact-support-on-iff*)

lemma *has-compact-support-on-complex-iff*:

$f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \longleftrightarrow$

$(\lambda x. \text{Re } (f x)) \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \wedge (\lambda x. \text{Im } (f x)) \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$

proof *safe*

assume $h: (\lambda x. \text{Re } (f x)) \text{ has-compact-support-on } X (\lambda x. \text{Im } (f x)) \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$

have $\text{support-on } (\text{topspace } X) f \subseteq \text{support-on } (\text{topspace } X) (\lambda x. \text{Re } (f x)) \cup \text{support-on } (\text{topspace } X) (\lambda x. \text{Im } (f x))$

using *complex.expand by(auto simp: in-support-on)*

hence $X \text{ closure-of support-on } (\text{topspace } X) f$

$\subseteq X \text{ closure-of support-on } (\text{topspace } X) (\lambda x. \text{Re } (f x)) \cup X \text{ closure-of support-on } (\text{topspace } X) (\lambda x. \text{Im } (f x))$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) closure-of-Un sup.absorb-iff2*)

thus $f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$

using *h unfolding has-compact-support-on-def*

by (*meson closed-compactin closedin-closure-of compactin-Un*)

next

assume $h: f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$

have $\text{support-on } (\text{topspace } X) (\lambda x. \text{Re } (f x)) \subseteq \text{support-on } (\text{topspace } X) f$

$\text{support-on } (\text{topspace } X) (\lambda x. \text{Im } (f x)) \subseteq \text{support-on } (\text{topspace } X) f$

by(*auto simp: in-support-on*)

thus $(\lambda x. \text{Re } (f x)) \text{ has-compact-support-on } X (\lambda x. \text{Im } (f x)) \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$

using *h by(auto simp: closed-compactin closure-of-mono has-compact-support-on-def)*
qed

lemma [*simp*]:

assumes $f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$

shows $\text{has-compact-support-on-Re}:(\lambda x. \text{Re } (f x)) \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$

and $\text{has-compact-support-on-Im}:(\lambda x. \text{Im } (f x)) \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$

using *assms by(auto simp: has-compact-support-on-complex-iff)*

3.3 Positive Linear Functionals

definition *positive-linear-functional-on-CX* :: $'a \text{ topology} \Rightarrow (('a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{\text{ring, order, topological-space}\}) \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where *positive-linear-functional-on-CX* $X \varphi \equiv$

$(\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \longrightarrow f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$

$\longrightarrow (\forall x \in \text{topspace } X. f x \geq 0) \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) \geq 0) \wedge$

$(\forall f a. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \longrightarrow f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$

$\longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. a * f x) = a * \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x)) \wedge$

$(\forall f g. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \longrightarrow f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$

$\longrightarrow \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } g \longrightarrow g \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$

$\longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x + g x) = \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) + \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. g x))$

lemma

assumes *positive-linear-functional-on-CX* $X \ \varphi$

shows *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-pos*:

$\bigwedge f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \implies f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$
 $\implies (\bigwedge x. x \in \text{topspace } X \implies f x \geq 0) \implies \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) \geq 0$

and *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-lin*:

$\bigwedge f a. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \implies f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$
 $\implies \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. a * f x) = a * \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x)$

$\bigwedge f g. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \implies f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$
 $\implies \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } g \implies g \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$
 $\implies \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x + g x) = \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) + \varphi$

$(\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. g x)$

using *assms* **by**(*auto simp: positive-linear-functional-on-CX-def*)

lemma *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-pos-complex*:

assumes *positive-linear-functional-on-CX* $X \ \varphi$

shows *continuous-map X euclidean f implies f has-compact-support-on X*

$\implies (\bigwedge x. x \in \text{topspace } X \implies \text{Re } (f x) \geq 0) \implies (\bigwedge x. x \in \text{topspace } X \implies f$

$x \in \mathbb{R})$

$\implies \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) \geq 0$

by(*intro pos-lin-functional-on-CX-pos[OF assms]*) (*simp-all add: complex-is-Real-iff less-eq-complex-def*)

lemma *positive-linear-functional-on-CX-compact*:

assumes *compact-space* X

shows *positive-linear-functional-on-CX* $X \ \varphi \longleftrightarrow$

$(\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \longrightarrow (\forall x \in \text{topspace } X. f x \geq 0) \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace}$

$X. f x) \geq 0) \wedge$

$(\forall f a. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. a * f x) = a * \varphi$

$(\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x)) \wedge$

$(\forall f g. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \longrightarrow \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } g$

$\longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x + g x) = \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) + \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace}$

$X. g x))$

by(*auto simp: positive-linear-functional-on-CX-def assms*)

lemma

assumes *positive-linear-functional-on-CX* $X \ \varphi$ *compact-space* X

shows *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-compact-pos*:

$\bigwedge f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f$

$\implies (\bigwedge x. x \in \text{topspace } X \implies f x \geq 0) \implies \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) \geq 0$

and *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-compact-lin*:

$\bigwedge f a. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f$

$\implies \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. a * f x) = a * \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x)$

$\bigwedge f g. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \implies \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } g$

$\implies \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x + g x) = \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) + \varphi$

$(\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. g x)$

using *assms(1)* **by**(*auto simp: positive-linear-functional-on-CX-compact assms(2)*)

lemma *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-diff*:
fixes $f :: - \Rightarrow - :: \{\text{real-normed-vector, ring-1}\}$
assumes *positive-linear-functional-on-CX* $X \ \varphi$
and *cont:continuous-map* X *euclidean* f *continuous-map* X *euclidean* g
and *csupp: f has-compact-support-on* X *g has-compact-support-on* X
shows $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x - g x) = \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) - \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. g x)$
using *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-lin(2)*[*OF assms(1), of f $\lambda x. - g x$*] *cont csupp*
pos-lin-functional-on-CX-lin(1)[*OF assms(1) cont(2) csupp(2), of - 1*] **by** *simp*

lemma *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-compact-diff*:
fixes $f :: - \Rightarrow - :: \{\text{real-normed-vector, ring-1}\}$
assumes *positive-linear-functional-on-CX* $X \ \varphi$ *compact-space* X
and *continuous-map* X *euclidean* f *continuous-map* X *euclidean* g
shows $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x - g x) = \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) - \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. g x)$
using *assms(2)* **by**(*auto intro!*: *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-diff assms*)

lemma *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-mono*:
fixes $f :: - \Rightarrow - :: \{\text{real-normed-vector, ring-1, ordered-ab-group-add}\}$
assumes *positive-linear-functional-on-CX* $X \ \varphi$
and *mono: $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{topspace } X \implies f x \leq g x$*
and *cont:continuous-map* X *euclidean* f *continuous-map* X *euclidean* g
and *csupp: f has-compact-support-on* X *g has-compact-support-on* X
shows $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) \leq \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. g x)$
proof –
have $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) \leq \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) + \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. g x - f x)$
by(*auto intro!*: *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-pos*[*OF assms(1)*] *assms continuous-map-diff*)
also have $\dots = \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x + (g x - f x))$
by(*intro pos-lin-functional-on-CX-lin(2)*[*symmetric*]) (*auto intro!*: *assms continuous-map-diff*)
also have $\dots = \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. g x)$
by *simp*
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-compact-mono*:
fixes $f :: - \Rightarrow - :: \{\text{real-normed-vector, ring-1, ordered-ab-group-add}\}$
assumes *positive-linear-functional-on-CX* $X \ \varphi$ *compact-space* X
and $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{topspace } X \implies f x \leq g x$
and *continuous-map* X *euclidean* f *continuous-map* X *euclidean* g
shows $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) \leq \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. g x)$
using *assms(2)* **by**(*auto intro!*: *assms pos-lin-functional-on-CX-mono*)

lemma *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-zero*:
assumes *positive-linear-functional-on-CX* $X \ \varphi$
shows $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. 0) = 0$
proof –

have $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. 0) = \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. 0 * 0)$
by *simp*
also have $\dots = 0 * \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. 0)$
by (*intro pos-lin-functional-on-CX-lin*) (*auto simp: assms*)
finally show *?thesis*
by *simp*
qed

lemma *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-uminus*:
fixes $f :: - \Rightarrow - :: \{\text{real-normed-vector, ring-1}\}$
assumes *positive-linear-functional-on-CX* $X \varphi$
and *continuous-map* X *euclidean* f
and *csupp*: f *has-compact-support-on* X
shows $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. - f x) = - \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x)$
using *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-diff*[*of* $X \varphi \lambda x. 0 f$]
by (*auto simp: assms pos-lin-functional-on-CX-zero*)

lemma *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-compact-uminus*:
fixes $f :: - \Rightarrow - :: \{\text{real-normed-vector, ring-1}\}$
assumes *positive-linear-functional-on-CX* $X \varphi$ *compact-space* X
and *continuous-map* X *euclidean* f
shows $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. - f x) = - \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x)$
using *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-diff*[*of* $X \varphi \lambda x. 0 f$]
by (*auto simp: assms pos-lin-functional-on-CX-zero*)

lemma *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-sum*:
fixes $f :: - \Rightarrow - \Rightarrow - :: \{\text{real-normed-vector}\}$
assumes *positive-linear-functional-on-CX* $X \varphi$
and *finite* $I \wedge i. i \in I \Rightarrow$ *continuous-map* X *euclidean* ($f i$)
and $\wedge i. i \in I \Rightarrow$ $f i$ *has-compact-support-on* X
shows $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. (\sum i \in I. f i x)) = (\sum i \in I. \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f i x))$
using *assms(2,3,4)*

proof *induction*

case *empty*

show *?case*

using *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-zero*[*OF* *assms(1)*] **by** (*simp add: restrict-def*)

next

case *ih:(insert a F)*

show *?case* (**is** *?lhs = ?rhs*)

proof $-$

have *?lhs* $= \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f a x + (\sum i \in F. f i x))$

by (*simp add: sum.insert-if*[*OF* *ih(1)*] *ih(2)* *restrict-def*)

also have $\dots = \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f a x) + \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. (\sum i \in F. f i x))$

by (*auto intro!*: *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-lin*[*OF* *assms(1)*])

has-compact-support-on-sum ih continuous-map-sum)

also have $\dots = ?rhs$

by (*simp add: ih*) (*simp add: restrict-def*)

finally show *?thesis* .

qed

qed

lemma *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-pos-is-real*:

fixes $f :: - \Rightarrow \text{complex}$

assumes *positive-linear-functional-on-CX* $X \ \varphi$

and *continuous-map X euclidean f f has-compact-support-on X*

and $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{topspace } X \implies f \ x \in \mathbf{R}$

shows $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f \ x) \in \mathbf{R}$

proof –

have $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f \ x) = \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{complex-of-real } (\text{Re } (f \ x)))$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) assms(4) of-real-Re restrict-ext*)

also have $\dots = \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{complex-of-real } (\text{max } 0 \ (\text{Re } (f \ x))) - \text{complex-of-real } (\text{max } 0 \ (- \ \text{Re } (f \ x))))$

by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) diff-0 diff-0-right equation-minus-iff max.absorb-iff² max.order-iff neg-0-le-iff-le nle-le of-real-diff*)

also have $\dots = \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{complex-of-real } (\text{max } 0 \ (\text{Re } (f \ x)))) - \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{complex-of-real } (\text{max } 0 \ (- \ \text{Re } (f \ x))))$

using *assms by(auto intro!: pos-lin-functional-on-CX-diff continuous-map-real-max)*

also have $\dots \in \mathbf{R}$

using *assms by(intro Reals-diff)*

(auto intro!: nonnegative-complex-is-real pos-lin-functional-on-CX-pos[OF assms(1)] continuous-map-real-max

simp: less-eq-complex-def)

finally show *?thesis .*

qed

lemma

fixes $\varphi \ X$

defines $\varphi' \equiv (\lambda f. \text{Re } (\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{complex-of-real } (f \ x))))$

assumes *plf:positive-linear-functional-on-CX* $X \ \varphi$

shows *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-complex-decompose*:

$\bigwedge f. \text{continuous-map } X \ \text{euclidean } f \ f \ \text{has-compact-support-on } X$

$\implies \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f \ x)$

$= \text{complex-of-real } (\varphi' (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{Re } (f \ x))) + i * \text{complex-of-real } (\varphi'$

$(\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{Im } (f \ x)))$

and *pos-lin-functional-on-CX-complex-decompose-plf*:

positive-linear-functional-on-CX $X \ \varphi'$

proof –

fix $f :: - \Rightarrow \text{complex}$

assume *f:continuous-map X euclidean f f has-compact-support-on X*

show $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f \ x)$

$= \text{complex-of-real } (\varphi' (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{Re } (f \ x))) + i * \text{complex-of-real } (\varphi'$

$(\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{Im } (f \ x)))$

(is ?lhs = ?rhs)

proof –

have $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f \ x) = \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{Re } (f \ x) + i * \text{Im } (f \ x))$

using *complex-eq by presburger*

also have $\dots = \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{complex-of-real } (\text{Re } (f \ x))) + \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. i * \text{complex-of-real } (\text{Im } (f \ x)))$

```

using  $f$  by(auto intro!: pos-lin-functional-on-CX-lin[OF plf] has-compact-support-on-mult-left
continuous-map-complex-mult-left)
  also have ... =  $\varphi$  ( $\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{complex-of-real } (\text{Re } (f x)) + i * \varphi$ 
( $\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{complex-of-real } (\text{Im } (f x))$ )
  using  $f$  by(auto intro!: pos-lin-functional-on-CX-lin[OF plf])
  also have ... = complex-of-real ( $\varphi'$  ( $\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. (\text{Re } (f x))$ )) +  $i * \text{complex-of-real}$ 
( $\varphi'$  ( $\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{Im } (f x)$ ))
  proof -
    have [simp]: complex-of-real ( $\varphi'$  ( $\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{Re } (f x)$ )) =  $\varphi$  ( $\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{complex-of-real } (\text{Re } (f x))$ )
      (is ? $l$  = ? $r$ )
    proof -
      have ? $l$  = complex-of-real ( $\text{Re } (\varphi$  ( $\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{complex-of-real } (\text{Re } (f x))$ ))))
      by (metis (mono-tags, lifting)  $\varphi'$ -def restrict-apply' restrict-ext)
      also have ... = ? $r$ 
      by(intro of-real-Re pos-lin-functional-on-CX-pos-is-real[OF plf]) (use f in auto)
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
  have [simp]: complex-of-real ( $\varphi'$  ( $\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{Im } (f x)$ )) =  $\varphi$  ( $\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{complex-of-real } (\text{Im } (f x))$ )
      (is ? $l$  = ? $r$ )
  proof -
    have ? $l$  = complex-of-real ( $\text{Re } (\varphi$  ( $\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{complex-of-real } (\text{Im } (f x))$ ))))
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting)  $\varphi'$ -def restrict-apply' restrict-ext)
    also have ... = ? $r$ 
    by(intro of-real-Re pos-lin-functional-on-CX-pos-is-real[OF plf]) (use f in auto)
  finally show ?thesis .
  qed
  show ?thesis by simp
  qed
finally show ?thesis .
qed
next
  show positive-linear-functional-on-CX  $X$   $\varphi'$ 
  unfolding positive-linear-functional-on-CX-def
  proof safe
    fix  $f$ 
    assume  $f$ :continuous-map  $X$  euclideanreal  $f$  has-compact-support-on  $X \forall x \in \text{topspace } X. 0 \leq f x$ 
    show  $\varphi'$  ( $\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x$ )  $\geq 0$ 
    proof -
      have  $0 \leq \varphi$  ( $\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{complex-of-real } (f x)$ )
      using  $f$  by(intro pos-lin-functional-on-CX-pos[OF plf]) (simp-all add: less-eq-complex-def)
      hence  $0 \leq \text{Re } (\varphi$  ( $\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{complex-of-real } (f x)$ ))

```

```

    by (simp add: less-eq-complex-def)
  also have ... =  $\varphi' (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x)$ 
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting)  $\varphi'$ -def restrict-apply' restrict-ext)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
next
fix a f
assume f:continuous-map X euclideanreal f f has-compact-support-on X
show  $\varphi' (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. a * f x) = a * \varphi' (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x)$ 
proof -
  have *:  $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{complex-of-real } a * \text{complex-of-real } (f x)) = \text{complex-of-real } a * \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{complex-of-real } (f x))$ 
    using f by (auto intro!: pos-lin-functional-on-CX-lin[OF plf])
  have  $\varphi' (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. a * f x) = \text{Re } (\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{complex-of-real } a * \text{complex-of-real } (f x)))$ 
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting)  $\varphi'$ -def of-real-mult restrict-apply' restrict-ext)
  also have ... =  $a * (\text{Re } (\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{complex-of-real } (f x))))$ 
    unfolding * by simp
  also have ... =  $a * \varphi' (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x)$ 
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting)  $\varphi'$ -def restrict-apply' restrict-ext)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
next
fix f g
assume fg:continuous-map X euclideanreal f f has-compact-support-on X
      continuous-map X euclideanreal g g has-compact-support-on X
show  $\varphi' (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x + g x) = \varphi' (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) + \varphi' (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. g x)$ 
proof -
  have *:  $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{complex-of-real } (f x) + \text{complex-of-real } (g x)) = \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{complex-of-real } (f x)) + \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{complex-of-real } (g x))$ 
    using fg by (auto intro!: pos-lin-functional-on-CX-lin[OF plf])
  have  $\varphi' (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x + g x) = \text{Re } (\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{complex-of-real } (f x + g x)))$ 
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting)  $\varphi'$ -def restrict-apply' restrict-ext)
  also have ... =  $\text{Re } (\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{complex-of-real } (f x)) + \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{complex-of-real } (g x)))$ 
    unfolding of-real-add * by simp
  also have ... =  $\text{Re } (\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{complex-of-real } (f x))) + \text{Re } (\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{complex-of-real } (g x)))$ 
    by simp
  also have ... =  $\varphi' (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) + \varphi' (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. g x)$ 
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting)  $\varphi'$ -def restrict-apply' restrict-ext)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed
qed

```

3.4 Lemmas for Uniqueness

lemma *rep-measures-real-unique*:

assumes *locally-compact-space X Hausdorff-space X*

assumes *N: subalgebra N (borel-of X)*

$\bigwedge f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f \implies f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \implies \text{integrable } N f$

$\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } N \implies \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigcap C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{emeasure } N C)$

$\bigwedge A. \text{openin } X A \implies \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N K)$

$\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } N \implies \text{emeasure } N A < \infty \implies \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N K)$

$\bigwedge K. \text{compactin } X K \implies N K < \infty$

assumes *M: subalgebra M (borel-of X)*

$\bigwedge f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f \implies f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \implies \text{integrable } M f$

$\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } M \implies \text{emeasure } M A = (\bigcap C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{emeasure } M C)$

$\bigwedge A. \text{openin } X A \implies \text{emeasure } M A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } M K)$

$\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } M \implies \text{emeasure } M A < \infty \implies \text{emeasure } M A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } M K)$

$\bigwedge K. \text{compactin } X K \implies M K < \infty$

and *sets-eq: sets N = sets M*

and *integ-eq: $\bigwedge f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f \implies f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \implies (\int x. f x \partial N) = (\int x. f x \partial M)$*

shows *N = M*

proof(*intro measure-eqI sets-eq*)

have *space-N: space N = topspace X* **and** *space-M: space M = topspace X*

using *N(1) M(1) by(auto simp: subalgebra-def space-borel-of)*

have *N K = M K* **if** *K:compactin X K* **for** *K*

proof –

have *kc: kc-space X*

using *Hausdorff-imp-kc-space assms(2) by blast*

have *K-sets[measurable]: K ∈ sets N K ∈ sets M*

using *N(1) M(1) compactin-imp-closedin-gen[OF kc K]*

by(*auto simp: borel-of-closed subalgebra-def*)

show *?thesis*

proof(*rule antisym[OF ennreal-le-epsilon ennreal-le-epsilon]*)

fix *e :: real*

assume *e: e > 0*

show *emeasure N K ≤ emeasure M K + ennreal e*

proof –

have *emeasure M K ≥ $\bigcap (emeasure M \text{ ‘ } \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge K \subseteq C\})$*

by(*simp add: M(3)[OF K-sets(2)]*)

from *Inf-le-iff[THEN iffD1, OF this, rule-format, of emeasure M K + e]*

obtain *U* **where** *U:openin X U K ⊆ U emeasure M U < emeasure M K*

+ ennreal e

using *K M(6) e* **by** *fastforce*


```

then have [measurable]:  $U \in \text{sets } M$ 
  using  $M(1)$  by(auto simp: subalgebra-def borel-of-open)
then obtain  $f$  where  $f: \text{continuous-map } X \text{ (top-of-set } \{0..1::\text{real}\}) f$ 
   $f' \text{ (topspace } X - U) \subseteq \{0\} f' K \subseteq \{1\}$ 
   $\text{disjnt } (X \text{ closure-of } \{x \in \text{topspace } X. f x \neq 0\}) \text{ (topspace } X - U)$ 
   $\text{compactin } X \text{ (} X \text{ closure-of } \{x \in \text{topspace } X. f x \neq 0\})$ 
using Urysohn-locally-compact-Hausdorff-closed-compact-support[OF assms(1)
disjI1[OF assms(2)],of 0 1 topspace X - U K] U K
  by(simp add: closedin-def disjnt-iff) blast
have  $f\text{-int: integrable } N f \text{ integrable } M f$ 
using  $f$  by(auto intro!: N M simp: continuous-map-in-subtopology has-compact-support-on-iff)
have  $f\text{-01: } x \in \text{topspace } X \implies 0 \leq f x \ x \in \text{topspace } X \implies f x \leq 1$  for  $x$ 
  using continuous-map-image-subset-topspace[OF f(1)] by auto
have  $e\text{measure } N K = (\int^+ x. \text{indicator } K x \ \partial N)$ 
  by simp
also have  $\dots \leq (\int^+ x. f x \ \partial N)$ 
  using  $f(3)$  by(intro nn-integral-mono) (auto simp: indicator-def)
also have  $\dots = e\text{nnreal } (\int x. f x \ \partial N)$ 
by(rule nn-integral-eq-integral) (use f-int continuous-map-image-subset-topspace[OF
f(1)] f-01 space-N in auto)
also have  $\dots = e\text{nnreal } (\int x. f x \ \partial M)$ 
using  $f$  by(auto intro!: ennreal-cong integ-eq simp: continuous-map-in-subtopology
has-compact-support-on-iff)
also have  $\dots = (\int^+ x. f x \ \partial M)$ 
  by(rule nn-integral-eq-integral[symmetric])
  (use f-int continuous-map-image-subset-topspace[OF f(1)] f-01 space-M
in auto)
also have  $\dots \leq (\int^+ x. \text{indicator } U x \ \partial M)$ 
  using  $f(2)$   $f\text{-01}$  by(intro nn-integral-mono) (auto simp: indicator-def
space-M)
also have  $\dots = e\text{measure } M U$ 
  by simp
also have  $\dots < e\text{measure } M K + e\text{nnreal } e$ 
  by fact
finally show ?thesis
  by simp
qed
next
fix  $e :: \text{real}$ 
assume  $e: e > 0$ 
show  $e\text{measure } M K \leq e\text{measure } N K + e\text{nnreal } e$ 
proof -
  have  $e\text{measure } N K \geq \sqcap \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge K \subseteq C\}$ 
  by(simp add: N(3)[OF K-sets(1)])
from Inf-le-iff[THEN iffD1,OF this,rule-format,of e\text{measure } N K + e]
obtain  $U$  where  $U: \text{openin } X U K \subseteq U e\text{measure } N U < e\text{measure } N K +$ 
ennreal } e
  using  $K N(6) e$  by fastforce
then have [measurable]:  $U \in \text{sets } N$ 

```

```

    using N(1) by(auto simp: subalgebra-def borel-of-open)
  then obtain f where f:continuous-map X (top-of-set {0..1::real}) f
    f ' (topspace X - U) ⊆ {0} f ' K ⊆ {1}
    disjnt (X closure-of {x ∈ topspace X. f x ≠ 0}) (topspace X - U)
    compactin X (X closure-of {x ∈ topspace X. f x ≠ 0})
  using Urysohn-locally-compact-Hausdorff-closed-compact-support[OF assms(1)
disjI1[OF assms(2)],of 0 1 topspace X - U K] U K
    by(simp add: closedin-def disjnt-iff) blast
  have f-int: integrable N f integrable M f
  using f by(auto intro!: N M simp: continuous-map-in-subtopology has-compact-support-on-iff)
  have f-01: x ∈ topspace X ⇒ 0 ≤ f x x ∈ topspace X ⇒ f x ≤ 1 for x
    using continuous-map-image-subset-topospace[OF f(1)] by auto
  have emeasure M K = (∫+x. indicator K x ∂M)
    by simp
  also have ... ≤ (∫+x. f x ∂M)
    using f(3) by(intro nn-integral-mono) (auto simp: indicator-def)
  also have ... = ennreal (∫ x. f x ∂M)
  by(rule nn-integral-eq-integral) (use f-int continuous-map-image-subset-topospace[OF
f(1)] f-01 space-M in auto)
  also have ... = ennreal (∫ x. f x ∂N)
    using f by(auto intro!: ennreal-cong integ-eq[symmetric] simp: continu-
ous-map-in-subtopology has-compact-support-on-iff)
  also have ... = (∫+x. f x ∂N)
    by(rule nn-integral-eq-integral[symmetric])
    (use f-int continuous-map-image-subset-topospace[OF f(1)] f-01 space-N
in auto)
  also have ... ≤ (∫+x. indicator U x ∂N)
    using f(2) f-01 by(intro nn-integral-mono) (auto simp: indicator-def
space-N)
  also have ... = emeasure N U
    by simp
  also have ... < emeasure N K + ennreal e
    by fact
  finally show ?thesis
    by simp
qed
qed
qed
hence ∧A. openin X A ⇒ emeasure N A = emeasure M A
  by(auto simp: N(4) M(4))
thus ∧A. A ∈ sets N ⇒ emeasure N A = emeasure M A
  using N(3) M(3) by(auto simp: sets-eq)
qed

```

lemma *rep-measures-complex-unique:*

fixes $X :: 'a$ topology

assumes locally-compact-space X Hausdorff-space X

assumes N: subalgebra N (borel-of X)

$\wedge f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \implies f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \implies \text{com-}$

plex-integrable N f
 $\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } N \implies \text{emeasure } N A = (\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{emeasure } N C)$
 $\bigwedge A. \text{openin } X A \implies \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N K)$
 $\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } N \implies \text{emeasure } N A < \infty \implies \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N K)$
 $\bigwedge K. \text{compactin } X K \implies N K < \infty$
assumes *M: subalgebra M (borel-of X)*
 $\bigwedge f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \implies f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \implies \text{complex-integrable } M f$
 $\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } M \implies \text{emeasure } M A = (\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{emeasure } M C)$
 $\bigwedge A. \text{openin } X A \implies \text{emeasure } M A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } M K)$
 $\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } M \implies \text{emeasure } M A < \infty \implies \text{emeasure } M A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } M K)$
 $\bigwedge K. \text{compactin } X K \implies M K < \infty$
and *sets-eq: sets N = sets M*
and *integ-eq: $\bigwedge f::'a \Rightarrow \text{complex. continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \implies f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$*
 $\implies (\int x. f x \partial N) = (\int x. f x \partial M)$
shows *N = M*
proof(*rule rep-measures-real-unique[OF assms(1,2)]*)
fix *f*
assume *f:continuous-map X euclideanreal f f has-compact-support-on X*
show $(\int x. f x \partial N) = (\int x. f x \partial M)$
proof –
have $(\int x. f x \partial N) = \text{Re } (\int x. (\text{complex-of-real } (f x)) \partial N)$
by *simp*
also have $\dots = \text{Re } (\int x. (\text{complex-of-real } (f x)) \partial M)$
proof –
have $1: (\int x. (\text{complex-of-real } (f x)) \partial N) = (\int x. (\text{complex-of-real } (f x)) \partial M)$
by(*rule integ-eq*) (*auto intro!: f*)
show *?thesis*
unfolding *1* **by** *simp*
qed
finally show *?thesis*
by *simp*
qed
next
fix *f*
assume *continuous-map X euclideanreal f f has-compact-support-on X*
hence *complex-integrable N ($\lambda x. \text{complex-of-real } (f x)$) complex-integrable M ($\lambda x. \text{complex-of-real } (f x)$)*
by (*auto intro!: M N*)
thus *integrable N f integrable M f*
using *complex-of-real-integrable-eq* **by** *auto*
qed *fact+*

```

lemma finite-tight-measure-eq:
  assumes locally-compact-space X metrizable-space X tight-on X N tight-on X M
    and integ-eq:  $\bigwedge f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f \implies f \in \text{topspace } X \rightarrow \{0..1\} \implies (\int x. f x \partial N) = (\int x. f x \partial M)$ 
  shows  $N = M$ 
proof(rule measure-eqI)
  interpret  $N$ : finite-measure N
    using assms(3) tight-on-def by blast
  interpret  $M$ : finite-measure M
    using assms(4) tight-on-def by blast
  have integ-N:  $\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } N \implies \text{integrable } N \text{ (indicat-real } A)$ 
    and integ-M:  $\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } M \implies \text{integrable } M \text{ (indicat-real } A)$ 
    by (auto simp add: N.emeasure-eq-measure M.emeasure-eq-measure)
  have sets-N: sets N = borel-of X and space-N: space N = topspace X
    and sets-M: sets M = borel-of X and space-M: space M = topspace X
    using assms(3,4) sets-eq-imp-space-eq[of - borel-of X]
    by(auto simp: tight-on-def space-borel-of)
  fix  $A$ 
  assume [measurable]:  $A \in \text{sets } N$ 
  then have [measurable]:  $A \in \text{sets } M$ 
    using sets-M sets-N by blast
  have  $\text{measure } M A = \bigsqcup (\text{Sigma-Algebra.measure } M \text{ ' } \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\})$ 
    by(auto intro!: inner-regular''[OF assms(2,4)])
  also have  $\dots = \bigsqcup (\text{Sigma-Algebra.measure } N \text{ ' } \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\})$ 
  proof -
    have  $\text{measure } M K = \text{measure } N K$  if  $K: \text{compactin } X K K \subseteq A$  for  $K$ 
    proof -
      have [measurable]:  $K \in \text{sets } M$   $K \in \text{sets } N$ 
      by(auto simp: sets-M sets-N intro!: borel-of-closed compactin-imp-closedin K metrizable-imp-Hausdorff-space assms)
      show ?thesis
      proof(rule antisym[OF field-le-epsilon field-le-epsilon])
        fix  $e :: \text{real}$ 
        assume  $e: e > 0$ 
        have  $\forall y > \text{measure } N K. \exists a \in \text{measure } N \text{ ' } \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge K \subseteq C\}. a < y$ 
          by(intro cInf-le-iff[THEN iffD1] eq-refl[OF N.outer-regularD[OF N.outer-regular'[OF assms(2) sets-N[symmetric]],symmetric]])
          (auto intro!: bdd-belowI[where m=0] compactin-subset-topspace[OF K(1)])
        from this[rule-format,of measure N K + e] obtain  $U$  where  $U: \text{openin } X U K \subseteq U \text{ measure } N U < \text{measure } N K + e$ 
          using  $e$  by auto
        then have [measurable]:  $U \in \text{sets } M$   $U \in \text{sets } N$ 
          by(auto simp: sets-N sets-M intro!: borel-of-open)
        obtain  $f$  where  $f: \text{continuous-map } X \text{ (top-of-set } \{0..1::\text{real}\}) f f \text{ ' (topspace } X - U) \subseteq \{0\} f \text{ ' } K \subseteq \{1\}$ 

```

```

    disjnt (X closure-of {x ∈ topspace X. f x ≠ 0}) (topspace X - U)
    compactin X (X closure-of {x ∈ topspace X. f x ≠ 0})
using Urysohn-locally-compact-Hausdorff-closed-compact-support[OF assms(1)
disjI1[OF metrizable-imp-Hausdorff-space[OF assms(2)]],of 0 1 topspace X - U K]
U K
    by(simp add: closedin-def disjnt-iff) blast
hence f': continuous-map X euclideanreal f
     $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{topspace } X \implies f x \geq 0 \bigwedge x. x \in \text{topspace } X \implies f x \leq 1$ 
    by (auto simp add: continuous-map-in-subtopology)
have [measurable]: f ∈ borel-measurable M f ∈ borel-measurable N
    using continuous-map-measurable[OF f'(1)]
    by(auto simp: borel-of-euclidean sets-N sets-M cong: measurable-cong-sets)
from f'(2,3) have f-int[simp]: integrable M f integrable N f
by(auto intro!: M.integrable-const-bound[where B=1] N.integrable-const-bound[where
B=1] simp: space-N space-M)
    have measure M K = (∫ x. indicator K x ∂M)
    by simp
    also have ... ≤ (∫ x. f x ∂M)
    using f(3) f'(2) by(intro integral-mono integ-M) (auto simp: space-M
indicator-def)
    also have ... = (∫ x. f x ∂N)
    by(auto intro!: integ-eq[symmetric] f')
    also have ... ≤ (∫ x. indicator U x ∂N)
    using f(2) f'(3) by(intro integral-mono integ-N) (auto simp: space-N
indicator-def)
    also have ... ≤ measure N K + e
    using U(3) by fastforce
    finally show measure M K ≤ measure N K + e .
next
fix e :: real
assume e:e > 0
have  $\forall y > \text{measure } M K. \exists a \in \text{measure } M ' \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge K \subseteq C\}. a < y$ 
by(intro cInf-le-iff[THEN iffD1] eq-refl[OF M.outer-regularD[OF M.outer-regular'[OF
assms(2) sets-M[symmetric]],symmetric]])
    (auto intro!: bdd-belowI[where m=0] compactin-subset-topospace[OF
K(1)])
from this[rule-format,of measure M K + e] obtain U where U: openin X
U K ⊆ U measure M U < measure M K + e
    using e by auto
then have [measurable]: U ∈ sets M U ∈ sets N
    by(auto simp: sets-N sets-M intro!: borel-of-open)
obtain f where f:continuous-map X (top-of-set {0..1::real}) f
    f ' (topspace X - U) ⊆ {0} f ' K ⊆ {1}
    disjnt (X closure-of {x ∈ topspace X. f x ≠ 0}) (topspace X - U)
    compactin X (X closure-of {x ∈ topspace X. f x ≠ 0})
using Urysohn-locally-compact-Hausdorff-closed-compact-support[OF assms(1)
disjI1[OF metrizable-imp-Hausdorff-space[OF assms(2)]],of 0 1 topspace X - U K]
U K

```

by(*simp add: closedin-def disjnt-iff*) *blast*
hence f' : *continuous-map X euclideanreal f*
 $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{topspace } X \implies f x \geq 0 \bigwedge x. x \in \text{topspace } X \implies f x \leq 1$
by (*auto simp add: continuous-map-in-subtopology*)
have [*measurable*]: $f \in \text{borel-measurable } M \ f \in \text{borel-measurable } N$
using *continuous-map-measurable[OF f'(1)]*
by(*auto simp: borel-of-euclidean sets-N sets-M cong: measurable-cong-sets*)
from $f'(2,3)$ **have** $f\text{-int}[simp]$: *integrable M f integrable N f*
by(*auto intro!: M.integrable-const-bound[where B=1] N.integrable-const-bound[where B=1]*)
simp: space-N space-M)
have $\text{measure } N K = (\int x. \text{indicator } K x \partial N)$
by *simp*
also have $\dots \leq (\int x. f x \partial N)$
using $f(3) f'(2)$ **by**(*intro integral-mono integ-N*) (*auto simp: space-N indicator-def*)
also have $\dots = (\int x. f x \partial M)$
by(*auto intro!: integ-eq f'*)
also have $\dots \leq (\int x. \text{indicator } U x \partial M)$
using $f(2) f'(3)$ **by**(*intro integral-mono integ-M*) (*auto simp: space-M indicator-def*)
also have $\dots \leq \text{measure } M K + e$
using $U(3)$ **by** *fastforce*
finally show $\text{measure } N K \leq \text{measure } M K + e$.
qed
qed
thus *?thesis*
by *simp*
qed
also have $\dots = \text{measure } N A$
by(*auto intro!: inner-regular''[symmetric,OF assms(2,3)]*)
finally show $\text{emeasure } N A = \text{emeasure } M A$
using $M.\text{emeasure-eq-measure } N.\text{emeasure-eq-measure}$ **by** *presburger*
qed(*insert assms(3,4), auto simp: tight-on-def*)

3.5 Riesz Representation Theorem for Real Numbers

theorem *Riesz-representation-real-complete:*

fixes $X :: 'a \text{ topology}$ **and** $\varphi :: ('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{real}$
assumes lh :*locally-compact-space X Hausdorff-space X*
and plf :*positive-linear-functional-on-CX X \varphi*
shows $\exists M. \exists ! N. \text{sets } N = M \wedge \text{subalgebra } N \ (\text{borel-of } X)$
 $\wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigcap C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{emeasure } N C))$
 $\wedge (\forall A. \text{openin } X A \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N K))$
 $\wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N A < \infty$
 $\longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N K))$
 $\wedge (\forall K. \text{compactin } X K \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N K < \infty)$

$\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f \longrightarrow f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$
 $\longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial N))$
 $\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f \longrightarrow f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$
 $\longrightarrow \text{integrable } N f)$
 $\wedge \text{complete-measure } N$

proof –

let $?iscont = \lambda f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f$
let $?csupp = \lambda f. f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$
let $?fA = \lambda A f. ?iscont f \wedge ?csupp f \wedge X \text{ closure-of } \{x \in \text{topspace } X. f x \neq 0\}$
 $\subseteq A$
 $\wedge f \in \text{topspace } X \rightarrow \{0..1\} \wedge f \in \text{topspace } X - A \rightarrow \{0\}$
let $?fK = \lambda K f. ?iscont f \wedge ?csupp f \wedge f \in \text{topspace } X \rightarrow \{0..1\} \wedge f \in K \rightarrow$
 $\{1\}$

have $\text{ext-sup}[simp]:$
 $\bigwedge P Q. \{x \in \text{topspace } X. (\text{if } x \in \text{topspace } X \text{ then } P x \text{ else } Q x) \neq 0\} = \{x \in \text{topspace}$
 $X. P x \neq 0\}$
by fastforce
have $\text{times-unfold}[simp]: \bigwedge P Q. \{x \in \text{topspace } X. P x \wedge Q x\} = \{x \in \text{topspace } X.$
 $P x\} \cap \{x \in \text{topspace } X. Q x\}$
by fastforce
note $\text{pos} = \text{pos-lin-functional-on-CX-pos}[OF \text{ plf}]$
note $\text{linear} = \text{pos-lin-functional-on-CX-lin}[OF \text{ plf}]$
note $\varphi \text{diff} = \text{pos-lin-functional-on-CX-diff}[OF \text{ plf}]$
note $\varphi \text{mono} = \text{pos-lin-functional-on-CX-mono}[OF \text{ plf}]$
note $\varphi 0 = \text{pos-lin-functional-on-CX-zero}[OF \text{ plf}]$

Lemma 2.13 [1].

have $f \text{Apartment}: \exists hi. (\forall i \leq n. (?fA (Vi i) (hi i))) \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in K. (\sum i \leq n. hi i x) = 1) \wedge (\forall x \in \text{topspace } X. 0 \leq (\sum i \leq n.$
 $hi i x)) \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in \text{topspace } X. (\sum i \leq n. hi i x) \leq 1)$
if $K: \text{compactin } X K \wedge i::\text{nat}. i \leq n \implies \text{openin } X (Vi i) K \subseteq (\bigcup i \leq n. Vi i)$
for $K Vi n$
proof –
 $\{$
fix x
assume $x: x \in K$
have $\exists i \leq n. x \in Vi i \wedge (\exists U V. \text{openin } X U \wedge (\text{compactin } X V) \wedge x \in U \wedge$
 $U \subseteq V \wedge V \subseteq Vi i)$
proof –
obtain $i \text{ where } i: i \leq n x \in Vi i$
using $K x \text{ by } \text{blast}$
thus $?thesis$
using $\text{locally-compact-space-neighbourhood-base}[of X] \text{neighbourhood-base-of}[of$
 $\lambda U. \text{compactin } X U X] \text{lh } K$
by $(\text{fastforce intro!}: \text{exI}[\text{where } x=i])$
qed
 $\}$

hence $\exists ix \ Ux \ Vx. \forall x \in K. ix \ x \leq n \wedge x \in Vi \ (ix \ x) \wedge \text{openin } X \ (Ux \ x) \wedge$
 $\text{compactin } X \ (Vx \ x) \wedge x \in Ux \ x \wedge Ux \ x \subseteq Vx \ x \wedge Vx \ x \subseteq Vi$
(ix x)
by metis
then obtain $ix \ Ux \ Vx$ **where** $xinK: \bigwedge x. x \in K \implies ix \ x \leq n \ \bigwedge x. x \in K \implies$
 $x \in Vi \ (ix \ x)$
 $\bigwedge x. x \in K \implies \text{openin } X \ (Ux \ x) \ \bigwedge x. x \in K \implies \text{compactin } X \ (Vx \ x)$
 $\bigwedge x. x \in K \implies x \in Ux \ x$
 $\bigwedge x. x \in K \implies Ux \ x \subseteq Vx \ x \ \bigwedge x. x \in K \implies Vx \ x \subseteq Vi \ (ix \ x)$
by blast
hence $K \subseteq (\bigcup_{x \in K}. Ux \ x)$
by fastforce
from $\text{compactin } D[OF \ K(1) - \text{this}] \ xinK(3)$ **obtain** K' **where** $K': \text{finite } K' \ K'$
 $\subseteq K \ K \subseteq (\bigcup_{x \in K'}. Ux \ x)$
by (metis (no-types, lifting) finite-subset-image imageE)

define Hi **where** $Hi \equiv (\lambda i. \bigcup (Vx \ ' \ {x. x \in K' \wedge ix \ x = i}))$
have $Hi\text{-}Vi: \bigwedge i. i \leq n \implies Hi \ i \subseteq Vi \ i$
using $xinK \ K'$ **by (fastforce simp: Hi-def)**
have $K\text{-un}Hi: K \subseteq (\bigcup_{i \leq n}. Hi \ i)$
proof
fix x
assume $x \in K$
then obtain y **where** $y: y \in K' \ x \in Ux \ y$
using K' **by auto**
then have $x \in Vx \ y \ ix \ y \leq n$
using $K' \ xinK[of \ y]$ **by auto**
with y **show** $x \in (\bigcup_{i \leq n}. Hi \ i)$
by (fastforce simp: Hi-def)

qed
have $\text{compactin}\text{-}Hi: \bigwedge i. i \leq n \implies \text{compactin } X \ (Hi \ i)$
using $xinK \ K'$ **by (auto intro!: compactin-Union simp: Hi-def)**

{
fix i
assume $i \in \{..n\}$
then have $i: i \leq n$ **by auto**
have $\text{closedin } X \ (\text{topspace } X - Vi \ i) \ \text{disjnt} \ (\text{topspace } X - Vi \ i) \ (Hi \ i)$
using $Hi\text{-}Vi[OF \ i] \ K(2)[OF \ i]$ **by (auto simp: disjnt-def)**
from $\text{Urysohn-locally-compact-Hausdorff-closed-compact-support}[of \ - \ 0 \ 1, OF$
 $lh(1) \ \text{disjI1}[OF \ lh(2)] - \text{this}(1) \ \text{compactin}\text{-}Hi[OF \ i] \ \text{this}(2)]$
have $\exists hi. \text{continuous-map } X \ (\text{top-of-set } \{0..1::\text{real}\}) \ hi \wedge hi \ ' (\text{topspace } X$
 $- Vi \ i) \subseteq \{0\} \wedge$
 $hi \ ' Hi \ i \subseteq \{1\} \wedge \text{disjnt} \ (X \ \text{closure-of } \{x \in \text{topspace } X. hi \ x \neq 0\})$
 $(\text{topspace } X - Vi \ i) \wedge$
 $?csupp \ hi$
unfolding $\text{has-compact-support-on-iff}$ **by fastforce**
hence $\exists hi. ?iscont \ hi \wedge hi \ ' \ \text{topspace } X \subseteq \{0..1\} \wedge hi \ ' (\text{topspace } X - Vi \ i)$
 $\subseteq \{0\} \wedge$


```

      hi ' Hi i ⊆ {1} ∧ disjnt (X closure-of {x∈topspace X. hi x ≠ 0})
(topspace X - Vi i) ∧
      ?csupp hi
    by (simp add: continuous-map-in-subtopology disjnt-def has-compact-support-on-def)
  }
  hence ∃ hi. ∀ i∈{..n}. ?iscont (hi i) ∧ hi i ' topspace X ⊆ {0..1} ∧
      hi i ' (topspace X - Vi i) ⊆ {0} ∧ hi i ' Hi i ⊆ {1} ∧
      disjnt (X closure-of {x∈topspace X. hi i x ≠ 0}) (topspace X - Vi
i) ∧ ?csupp (hi i)
    by(intro bchoice) auto
  hence ∃ hi. ∀ i≤n. ?iscont (hi i) ∧ hi i ' topspace X ⊆ {0..1} ∧ hi i ' (topspace
X - Vi i) ⊆ {0} ∧
      hi i ' Hi i ⊆ {1} ∧ disjnt (X closure-of {x∈topspace X. hi i x ≠ 0})
(topspace X - Vi i) ∧ ?csupp (hi i)
    by (meson atMost-iff)
  then obtain gi where gi: ∧i. i ≤ n ⇒ ?iscont (gi i)
      ∧i. i ≤ n ⇒ gi i ' topspace X ⊆ {0..1} ∧ i. i ≤ n ⇒ gi i ' (topspace X -
Vi i) ⊆ {0}
      ∧i. i ≤ n ⇒ gi i ' Hi i ⊆ {1}
      ∧i. i ≤ n ⇒ disjnt (X closure-of {x∈topspace X. gi i x ≠ 0}) (topspace X
- Vi i)
      ∧i. i ≤ n ⇒ ?csupp (gi i)
    by fast
  define hi where hi ≡ (λn. λx∈topspace X. (∏ i<n. (1 - gi i x)) * gi n x)
  show ?thesis
  proof (safe intro!: exI[where x=hi])
    fix i
    assume i: i ≤ n
    then show ?iscont (hi i)
      using gi(1) by(auto simp: hi-def intro!: continuous-map-real-mult continu-
ous-map-prod continuous-map-diff)
    show ?csupp (hi i)
    proof -
      have {x ∈ topspace X. hi i x ≠ 0} = {x∈topspace X. gi i x ≠ 0} ∩ (∩ j<i.
{x∈topspace X. gi j x ≠ 1})
        using gi by(auto simp: hi-def)
      also have ... ⊆ {x∈topspace X. gi i x ≠ 0}
        by blast
      finally show ?thesis
        using gi(6)[OF i] closure-of-mono closed-compactin
        by(fastforce simp: has-compact-support-on-iff)
    qed
  next
  fix i x
  assume i: i ≤ n and x: x ∈ topspace X
  {
    assume x ∉ Vi i
    with i x gi(3)[of i] show hi i x = 0
      by(auto simp: hi-def)
  }

```

```

}
show hi i x ∈ {0..1}
using i x gi(2) by(auto simp: hi-def image-subset-iff intro!: mult-nonneg-nonneg
mult-le-one prod-le-1 prod-nonneg)
next
fix x
have 1:(∑ i≤n. hi i x) = 1 - (∏ i≤n. (1 - gi i x)) if x:x ∈ topspace X
proof -
  have (∑ i≤n. hi i x) = (∑ j≤n. (∏ i<j. (1 - gi i x)) * gi j x)
    using x by (simp add: hi-def)
  also have ... = 1 - (∏ i≤n. (1 - gi i x))
  proof -
    have [simp]: (∏ i<m. 1 - gi i x) * (1 - gi m x) = (∏ i≤m. 1 - gi i x)
for m
    by (metis lessThan-Suc-atMost prod.lessThan-Suc)
  show ?thesis
    by(induction n, simp-all) (simp add: right-diff-distrib)
  qed
finally show ?thesis .
qed
{
  assume x:x ∈ K
  then obtain i where i: i ≤ n x ∈ Hi i
    using K-unHi by auto
  have x ∈ topspace X
    using K(1) x compactin-subset-topspace by auto
  hence (∑ i≤n. hi i x) = 1 - (∏ i≤n. (1 - gi i x))
    by(simp add: 1)
  also have ... = 1
    using i gi(4)[OF i(1)] by(auto intro!: prod-zero bexI[where x=i])
  finally show (∑ i≤n. hi i x) = 1 .
}
}
assume x: x ∈ topspace X
then show 0 ≤ (∑ i≤n. hi i x) (∑ i≤n. hi i x) ≤ 1
  using gi(2) by(auto simp: 1 image-subset-iff intro!: prod-nonneg prod-le-1)
next
fix i x
assume h:i ≤ n x ∈ X closure-of {x ∈ topspace X. hi i x ≠ 0}
have {x ∈ topspace X. hi i x ≠ 0} = {x∈topspace X. gi i x ≠ 0} ∩ (∩ j<i.
{x∈topspace X. gi j x ≠ 1})
  using gi by(auto simp: hi-def)
also have ... ⊆ {x∈topspace X. gi i x ≠ 0}
  by blast
finally have X closure-of {x ∈ topspace X. hi i x ≠ 0} ⊆ X closure-of
{x∈topspace X. gi i x ≠ 0}
  by(rule closure-of-mono)
thus x ∈ Vi i
  using gi(5)[OF h(1)] h(2) closure-of-subset-topspace by(fastforce simp:
disjnt-def)

```

qed
qed
note [simp, intro!] = continuous-map-add continuous-map-diff continuous-map-real-mult
define μ' **where** $\mu' \equiv (\lambda A. \bigsqcup (\text{ennreal } ' \varphi ' \{(\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) \mid f. ?fA A f\}))$
define μ **where** $\mu \equiv (\lambda A. \bigsqcap (\mu' ' \{V. A \subseteq V \wedge \text{openin } X V\}))$

define Mf **where** $Mf \equiv \{E. E \subseteq \text{topspace } X \wedge \mu E < \top \wedge \mu E = (\bigsqcup (\mu ' \{K. K \subseteq E \wedge \text{compactin } X K\}))\}$
define M **where** $M \equiv \{E. E \subseteq \text{topspace } X \wedge (\forall K. \text{compactin } X K \longrightarrow E \cap K \in Mf)\}$

have μ' -mono: $\bigwedge A B. A \subseteq B \implies \mu' A \leq \mu' B$
unfolding μ' -def **by**(fastforce intro!: SUP-subset-mono imageI)
have μ -open: $\mu A = \mu' A$ **if** openin $X A$ **for** A
unfolding μ -def **by** (metis (mono-tags, lifting) INF-eqI μ' -mono dual-order.refl mem-Collect-eq that)
have μ -mono: $\bigwedge A B. A \subseteq B \implies \mu A \leq \mu B$
unfolding μ -def **by**(auto intro!: INF-superset-mono)
have μ -fin-subset: $\mu A < \infty \implies A \subseteq \text{topspace } X$ **for** A
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) INF-less-iff μ -def mem-Collect-eq openin-subset order.trans)

have μ' -empty: $\mu' \{\} = 0$ **and** μ -empty: $\mu \{\} = 0$
proof –
have $1: \{(\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) \mid f. ?fA \{\} f\} = \{\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. 0\}$
by(fastforce intro!: exI[**where** $x = \lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. 0$])
thus $\mu' \{\} = 0$ $\mu \{\} = 0$
by(auto simp: μ' -def φ -0 μ -open)

qed
have empty-in- Mf : $\{\} \in Mf$
by(auto simp: Mf -def μ -empty)

have step1: $\mu (\bigcup (\text{range } Ei)) \leq (\sum i. \mu (Ei i))$ **for** Ei
proof –
have $1: \mu' (V \cup U) \leq \mu' V + \mu' U$ **if** VU : openin $X V$ openin $X U$ **for** $V U$
proof –
have $\mu' (V \cup U) = \bigsqcup (\text{ennreal } ' \varphi ' \{(\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) \mid f. ?fA (V \cup U) f\})$
by(simp add: μ' -def)
also have $\dots \leq \mu' V + \mu' U$
unfolding Sup-le-iff
proof safe
fix g
assume g : ?iscont g ?csupp g $g \in \text{topspace } X \rightarrow \{0..1\}$ $g \in \text{topspace } X - (V \cup U) \rightarrow \{0\}$
 X closure-of $\{x \in \text{topspace } X. g x \neq 0\} \subseteq V \cup U$
have $\exists hi. (\forall i \leq \text{Suc } 0. ?iscont (hi i) \wedge ?csupp (hi i) \wedge X \text{ closure-of } \{x \in \text{topspace } X. hi i x \neq 0\} \subseteq (\text{case } i \text{ of } 0 \Rightarrow V \mid$

$Suc - \Rightarrow U) \wedge$
 $hi\ i \in\ topspace\ X \rightarrow \{0..1\} \wedge$
 $hi\ i \in\ topspace\ X - (case\ i\ of\ 0 \Rightarrow V \mid Suc - \Rightarrow U) \rightarrow \{0\} \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in X\ closure\ of\ \{x \in\ topspace\ X.\ g\ x \neq 0\}.\ (\sum\ i \leq Suc\ 0.\ hi\ i\ x) = 1) \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in\ topspace\ X.\ 0 \leq (\sum\ i \leq Suc\ 0.\ hi\ i\ x)) \wedge (\forall x \in\ topspace\ X.\ (\sum\ i \leq Suc\ 0.\ hi\ i\ x) \leq 1)$
proof(safe intro!: fApertition[of - Suc 0 $\lambda i.$ case i of 0 $\Rightarrow V \mid - \Rightarrow U$])
have 1:($\bigcup\ i \leq Suc\ 0.\ case\ i\ of\ 0 \Rightarrow V \mid Suc - \Rightarrow U) = U \cup V$
by(fastforce simp: le-Suc-eq)
show $\bigwedge x.\ x \in X\ closure\ of\ \{x \in\ topspace\ X.\ g\ x \neq 0\} \Longrightarrow x \in (\bigcup\ i \leq Suc\ 0.\ case\ i\ of\ 0 \Rightarrow V \mid Suc - \Rightarrow U)$
unfolding 1 **using** g **by** blast
next
show compactin X (X closure-of $\{x \in\ topspace\ X.\ g\ x \neq 0\}$)
using g **by**(simp add: has-compact-support-on-iff)
qed(use g VU le-Suc-eq in auto)
then obtain hi where
 $(\forall i \leq Suc\ 0.\ ?iscont\ (hi\ i) \wedge ?csupp\ (hi\ i) \wedge$
 $X\ closure\ of\ \{x \in\ topspace\ X.\ hi\ i\ x \neq 0\} \subseteq (case\ i\ of\ 0 \Rightarrow V \mid Suc -$
 $\Rightarrow U) \wedge$
 $hi\ i \in\ topspace\ X \rightarrow \{0..1\} \wedge hi\ i \in\ topspace\ X - (case\ i\ of\ 0 \Rightarrow V \mid$
 $Suc - \Rightarrow U) \rightarrow \{0\} \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in X\ closure\ of\ \{x \in\ topspace\ X.\ g\ x \neq 0\}.\ (\sum\ i \leq Suc\ 0.\ hi\ i\ x) = 1) \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in\ topspace\ X.\ 0 \leq (\sum\ i \leq Suc\ 0.\ hi\ i\ x)) \wedge (\forall x \in\ topspace\ X.\ (\sum\ i \leq Suc\ 0.\ hi\ i\ x) \leq 1)$
by blast
hence h0: $?iscont\ (hi\ 0) ?csupp\ (hi\ 0) X\ closure\ of\ \{x \in\ topspace\ X.\ hi\ 0\ x \neq 0\} \subseteq V$
 $hi\ 0 \in\ topspace\ X \rightarrow \{0..1\}$ $hi\ 0 \in\ topspace\ X - V \rightarrow \{0\}$
and h1: $?iscont\ (hi\ (Suc\ 0)) ?csupp\ (hi\ (Suc\ 0)) X\ closure\ of\ \{x \in\ topspace\ X.\ hi\ (Suc\ 0)\ x \neq 0\} \subseteq U$
 $hi\ (Suc\ 0) \in\ topspace\ X \rightarrow \{0..1\}$ $hi\ (Suc\ 0) \in\ topspace\ X - U \rightarrow \{0\}$
and h01-sum: $\bigwedge x.\ x \in X\ closure\ of\ \{x \in\ topspace\ X.\ g\ x \neq 0\} \Longrightarrow (\sum\ i \leq Suc\ 0.\ hi\ i\ x) = 1$
unfolding le-Suc-eq le-0-eq **by** auto
have ennreal $(\varphi\ (\lambda x \in\ topspace\ X.\ g\ x)) = ennreal\ (\varphi\ (\lambda x \in\ topspace\ X.\ g\ x * (hi\ 0\ x + hi\ (Suc\ 0)\ x)))$
proof -
have [simp]: $(\lambda x \in\ topspace\ X.\ g\ x) = (\lambda x \in\ topspace\ X.\ g\ x * (hi\ 0\ x + hi\ (Suc\ 0)\ x))$
proof
fix x
consider $g\ x \neq 0 \mid x \in\ topspace\ X \mid g\ x = 0 \mid x \notin\ topspace\ X$
by fastforce
then show restrict g (topspace X) x = $(\lambda x \in\ topspace\ X.\ g\ x * (hi\ 0\ x + hi\ (Suc\ 0)\ x))\ x$
proof cases
case 1

```

then have  $x \in X$  closure-of  $\{x \in \text{topspace } X. g \ x \neq 0\}$ 
using in-closure-of by fastforce
from h01-sum[OF this] show ?thesis
by simp
qed simp-all
qed
show ?thesis
by simp
qed
also have  $\dots = \text{ennreal } (\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. g \ x * \text{hi } 0 \ x + g \ x * \text{hi } (\text{Suc } 0) \ x))$ 
by (simp add: ring-class.ring-distrib(1))
also have  $\dots = \text{ennreal } (\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. g \ x * \text{hi } 0 \ x) + \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. g \ x * \text{hi } (\text{Suc } 0) \ x))$ 
by(intro ennreal-cong linear(2) has-compact-support-on-mult-left continuous-map-real-mult g h0 h1)
also have  $\dots = \text{ennreal } (\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. g \ x * \text{hi } 0 \ x)) + \text{ennreal } (\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. g \ x * \text{hi } (\text{Suc } 0) \ x))$ 
using g(3) h0(4) h1(4)
by(auto intro!: ennreal-plus pos g h0 h1 has-compact-support-on-mult-left mult-nonneg-nonneg)
also have  $\dots \leq \mu' \ V + \mu' \ U$ 
unfolding  $\mu'$ -def
proof(safe intro!: add-mono Sup-upper imageI)
show  $\exists f. (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. g \ x * \text{hi } 0 \ x) = \text{restrict } f \ (\text{topspace } X) \wedge ?\text{iscont } f \wedge ?\text{csupp } f \wedge$ 
 $X \text{ closure-of } \{x \in \text{topspace } X. f \ x \neq 0\} \subseteq V \wedge f \in \text{topspace } X \rightarrow \{0..1\} \wedge f \in \text{topspace } X - V \rightarrow \{0\}$ 
using Pi-mem[OF g(3)] Pi-mem[OF h0(4)] in-mono[OF closure-of-mono[OF inf-sup-ord(2)[of  $\{x \in \text{topspace } X. g \ x \neq 0\}$ ]]] h0(3,5)
by(auto intro!: exI[where  $x = \lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. g \ x * \text{hi } 0 \ x$ ] g(1,2) h0(1,2) has-compact-support-on-mult-left mult-le-one mult-nonneg-nonneg)
show  $\exists f. (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. g \ x * \text{hi } (\text{Suc } 0) \ x) = \text{restrict } f \ (\text{topspace } X) \wedge ?\text{iscont } f \wedge$ 
 $? \text{csupp } f \wedge X \text{ closure-of } \{x \in \text{topspace } X. f \ x \neq 0\} \subseteq U \wedge f \in \text{topspace } X \rightarrow \{0..1\} \wedge f \in \text{topspace } X - U \rightarrow \{0\}$ 
using Pi-mem[OF g(3)] Pi-mem[OF h1(4)] in-mono[OF closure-of-mono[OF inf-sup-ord(2)[of  $\{x \in \text{topspace } X. g \ x \neq 0\}$ ]]] h1(3,5)
by(auto intro!: exI[where  $x = \lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. g \ x * \text{hi } 1 \ x$ ] g(1,2) h1(1,2) has-compact-support-on-mult-left mult-le-one mult-nonneg-nonneg)
qed
finally show  $\text{ennreal } (\varphi (\text{restrict } g \ (\text{topspace } X))) \leq \mu' \ V + \mu' \ U .$ 
qed
finally show  $\mu' \ (V \cup U) \leq \mu' \ V + \mu' \ U .$ 
qed
consider  $\exists i. \mu \ (Ei \ i) = \infty \mid \bigwedge i. \mu \ (Ei \ i) < \infty$ 
using top.not-eq-extremum by auto
then show ?thesis

```

```

proof cases
  case 1
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis  $\mu$ -mono ennreal-suminf-lessD infinity-ennreal-def linorder-not-le
subset-UNIV top.not-eq-extremum)
  next
  case fin:2
  show ?thesis
  proof(rule ennreal-le-epsilon)
    fix e :: real
    assume e: 0 < e
    have  $\exists Vi. Ei\ i \subseteq Vi \wedge \text{openin } X\ Vi \wedge \mu' Vi \leq \mu (Ei\ i) + \text{ennreal } ((1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } i) * \text{ennreal } e$  for i
    proof -
      have  $1: \mu (Ei\ i) < \mu (Ei\ i) + \text{ennreal } ((1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } i) * \text{ennreal } e$ 
      using e fin less-le by fastforce
      have  $0 < \text{ennreal } ((1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } i) * \text{ennreal } e$ 
      using e by (simp add: ennreal-zero-less-mult-iff)
      have  $(\bigcap (\mu' \text{ ` } \{V. Ei\ i \subseteq V \wedge \text{openin } X\ V\})) \leq \mu (Ei\ i)$ 
      by (auto simp:  $\mu$ -def)
      from Inf-le-iff[THEN iffD1, OF this, rule-format, OF 1]
      show ?thesis
      by auto
    qed
  then obtain Vi where Vi:  $\bigwedge i. Vi\ i \supseteq Ei\ i \wedge i. \text{openin } X\ (Vi\ i)$ 
 $\bigwedge i. \mu (Vi\ i) \leq \mu (Ei\ i) + \text{ennreal } ((1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } i) * \text{ennreal } e$ 
  by (metis  $\mu$ -open)
  hence  $\mu (\bigcup (\text{range } Ei)) \leq \mu (\bigcup (\text{range } Vi))$ 
  by(auto intro!:  $\mu$ -mono)
  also have ... =  $\mu' (\bigcup (\text{range } Vi))$ 
  using Vi by(auto intro!:  $\mu$ -open)
  also have ... =  $(\bigsqcup (\text{ennreal } \text{ ` } \varphi \text{ ` } \{(\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f\ x) \mid f. ?fA (\bigcup (\text{range } Vi))\ f\}))$ 
  by(simp add:  $\mu'$ -def)
  also have ...  $\leq (\sum i. \mu (Ei\ i)) + \text{ennreal } e$ 
  unfolding Sup-le-iff
  proof safe
    fix f
    assume f: ?iscont f ?csupp f X closure-of {x  $\in$  topspace X. f x  $\neq$  0}  $\subseteq \bigcup (\text{range } Vi)$ 
 $f \in \text{topspace } X \rightarrow \{0..1\}$ 
 $f \in \text{topspace } X - \bigcup (\text{range } Vi) \rightarrow \{0\}$ 
    have  $\exists n. f \in \text{topspace } X - (\bigcup_{i \leq n. Vi\ i}) \rightarrow \{0\} \wedge X$ 
closure-of {x  $\in$  topspace X. f x  $\neq$  0}  $\subseteq (\bigcup_{i \leq n. Vi\ i})$ 
    proof -
      obtain K where K:finite K K  $\subseteq \text{range } Vi$ 
X closure-of {x  $\in$  topspace X. f x  $\neq$  0}  $\subseteq \bigcup K$ 
      using compactinD[OF f(2)[simplified has-compact-support-on-iff]] Vi(2)
      f(3)
      by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) imageE)
      hence  $\exists n. K \subseteq Vi \text{ ` } \{..n\}$ 

```

by (metis (no-types, lifting) finite-nat-iff-bounded-le finite-subset-image image-mono)

then obtain n where $n: X$ closure-of $\{x \in \text{topspace } X. f x \neq 0\} \subseteq (\bigcup_{i \leq n}. Vi i)$

using $K(\mathcal{B})$ by fastforce

show ?thesis

using in-closure-of n subsetD by (fastforce intro!: exI[where $x=n$])

qed

then obtain n where $n: f \in \text{topspace } X - (\bigcup_{i \leq n}. Vi i) \rightarrow \{0\}$ X closure-of $\{x \in \text{topspace } X. f x \neq 0\} \subseteq (\bigcup_{i \leq n}. Vi i)$

by blast

have ennreal $(\varphi (\text{restrict } f (\text{topspace } X))) \leq \mu' (\bigcup_{i \leq n}. Vi i)$

using $f(4)$ $f n$ by (auto intro!: imageI exI[where $x=f$] Sup-upper simp: μ' -def)

also have $\dots \leq (\sum_{i \leq n}. \mu' (Vi i))$

proof (induction n)

case ih: (Suc n')

have [simp]: $\mu' (\bigcup (Vi ' \{..Suc\ n'\})) = \mu' (\bigcup (Vi ' \{..n'\}) \cup Vi (Suc\ n'))$

by (rule arg-cong[of - - μ']) (fastforce simp: le-Suc-eq)

also have $\dots \leq \mu' (\bigcup (Vi ' \{..n'\})) + \mu' (Vi (Suc\ n'))$

using $Vi(2)$ by (auto intro!: 1)

also have $\dots \leq (\sum_{i \leq Suc\ n'}. \mu' (Vi i))$

using ih by fastforce

finally show ?case .

qed simp

also have $\dots = (\sum_{i \leq n}. \mu (Vi i))$

using $Vi(2)$ by (simp add: μ -open)

also have $\dots \leq (\sum i. \mu (Vi i))$

by (auto intro!: incseq-SucI incseq-le[OF - summable-LIMSEQ])

also have $\dots \leq (\sum i. \mu (Ei i) + \text{ennreal } ((1 / 2)^{\wedge} Suc\ i) * \text{ennreal } e)$

by (intro suminf-le $Vi(3)$) auto

also have $\dots = (\sum i. \mu (Ei i)) + (\sum i. \text{ennreal } ((1 / 2)^{\wedge} Suc\ i) * \text{ennreal } e)$

e)

by (rule suminf-add[symmetric]) auto

also have $\dots = (\sum i. \mu (Ei i)) + (\sum i. \text{ennreal } ((1 / 2)^{\wedge} Suc\ i) * \text{ennreal } e)$

e

by simp

also have $\dots = (\sum i. \mu (Ei i)) + \text{ennreal } 1 * \text{ennreal } e$

proof -

have $(\sum i. \text{ennreal } ((1 / 2)^{\wedge} Suc\ i)) = \text{ennreal } 1$

by (rule suminf-ennreal-eq) (use power-half-series in auto)

thus ?thesis

by presburger

qed

also have $\dots = (\sum i. \mu (Ei i)) + \text{ennreal } e$

by simp

finally show ennreal $(\varphi (\text{restrict } f (\text{topspace } X))) \leq (\sum i. \mu (Ei i)) + \text{ennreal } e$.

qed

```

    finally show  $\mu (\bigcup (\text{range } Ei)) \leq (\sum i. \mu (Ei i)) + \text{ennreal } e .$ 
  qed
  qed
  qed
  have step1':  $\mu (E1 \cup E2) \leq \mu E1 + \mu E2$  for  $E1 E2$ 
  proof -
    define  $En$  where  $En \equiv (\lambda n::\text{nat}. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } E1 \text{ else if } n = 1 \text{ then } E2 \text{ else } \{\})$ 
    have 1:  $(\bigcup (\text{range } En)) = (E1 \cup E2)$ 
      by(auto simp: En-def)
    have 2:  $(\sum i. \mu (En i)) = \mu E1 + \mu E2$ 
      using suminf-offset[of  $\lambda i. \mu (En i)$ , of  $\text{Suc } ( \text{Suc } 0)$ ]
      by(auto simp: En-def  $\mu$ -empty)
    show ?thesis
      using step1'[of  $En$ ] by(simp add: 1 2)
  qed
  have step2:  $K \in \text{Mf } \mu K = (\prod (\text{ennreal } ' \varphi ' \{(\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) \mid f. ?fK K f\}))$  if  $K$ : compactin  $X K$  for  $K$ 
  proof -
    have le1:  $\mu K \leq \text{ennreal } (\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x))$  if  $f$ : ?iscont  $f$  ?csupp  $f f \in \text{topspace } X \rightarrow \{0..1\}$   $f \in K \rightarrow \{1\}$  for  $f$ 
    proof -
      have  $f$ : continuous-map  $X$  (top-of-set  $\{0..1::\text{real}\}$ )  $f f ' K \subseteq \{1\}$  ?csupp  $f$ 
        using  $f$  by (auto simp: continuous-map-in-subtopology)
      hence  $f$ -cont: ?iscont  $f f \in \text{topspace } X \rightarrow \{0..1\}$ 
        by (auto simp add: continuous-map-in-subtopology)
      have 1:  $\mu K \leq \text{ennreal } (1 / ((\text{real } n + 1) / (\text{real } n + 2))) * \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x)$  for  $n$ 
    proof -
      let ?a =  $(\text{real } n + 1) / (\text{real } n + 2)$ 
      define  $V$  where  $V \equiv \{x \in \text{topspace } X. ?a < f x\}$ 
      have openinV: openin  $X V$ 
      using  $f(1)$  by (auto simp: V-def continuous-map-upper-lower-semicontinuous-lt-gen)
      have KV:  $K \subseteq V$ 
        using  $f(2)$  compactin-subset-topospace[OF  $K$ ] by(auto simp: V-def)
      hence  $\mu K \leq \mu V$ 
        by(rule  $\mu$ -mono)
      also have ... =  $\mu' V$ 
        by(simp add:  $\mu$ -open openinV)
      also have ... =  $(\prod (\text{ennreal } ' \varphi ' \{(\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) \mid f. ?fA V f\}))$ 
        by(simp add:  $\mu'$ -def)
      also have ...  $\leq (1 / ?a) * \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x)$ 
        unfolding Sup-le-iff
      proof (safe intro!: ennreal-leI)
        fix  $g$ 
        assume  $g$ : ?iscont  $g$  ?csupp  $g X$  closure-of  $\{x \in \text{topspace } X. g x \neq 0\} \subseteq V$ 
           $g \in \text{topspace } X \rightarrow \{0..1\}$   $g \in \text{topspace } X - V \rightarrow \{0\}$ 
          show  $\varphi (\text{restrict } g (\text{topspace } X)) \leq 1 / ?a * \varphi (\text{restrict } f (\text{topspace } X))$ 
        (is ?l  $\leq$  ?r)
      end
    end
  end

```



```

proof –
  have ?l ≤ φ (λx∈topspace X. 1 / ?a * f x)
  proof(rule φmono)
    fix x
    assume x: x ∈ topspace X
    consider g x ≠ 0 | g x = 0
      by fastforce
    then show g x ≤ 1 / ((real n + 1) / (real n + 2)) * f x
    proof cases
      case 1
      then have x ∈ V
        using g(5) x by auto
      hence ?a < f x
        by(auto simp: V-def x)
      hence 1 < 1 / ?a * f x
        by (simp add: divide-less-eq mult.commute)
      thus ?thesis
        by(intro order.strict-implies-order[OF order.strict-trans1] [of g x 1 1
/ ?a * f x]) (use g(4) x in auto)
    qed(use Pi-mem[OF f-cont(2)] x in auto)
    qed(intro g f-cont f has-compact-support-on-mult-left continuous-map-real-mult
continuous-map-canonical-const)+
    also have ... = ?r
      by(intro linear f f-cont)
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
  qed
  finally show ?thesis .
  qed
  have 2:(λn. ennreal (1 / ((real n + 1) / (real n + 2)) * φ (restrict f (topspace
X))))
    ———→ ennreal (φ (restrict f (topspace X)))
  proof(intro tendsto-ennrealI tendsto-mult-right[where l=1::real,simplified])
    have 1: (λn. 1 / ((real n + 1) / (real n + 2))) = (λn. real (Suc (Suc n))
/ real (Suc n))
      by (simp add: add.commute)
    show (λn. 1 / ((real n + 1) / (real n + 2))) ———→ 1
      unfolding 1 by(rule LIMSEQ-Suc[OF LIMSEQ-Suc-n-over-n])
    qed
  show μ K ≤ ennreal (φ (λx∈topspace X. f x))
    by(rule Lim-bounded2[where N=0,OF 2]) (use 1 in auto)
  qed
  have muK-fin:μ K < ⊤
  proof –
    obtain f where f: continuous-map X (top-of-set {0..1::real}) f f ‘ K ⊆ {1}
    ?csupp f
    using Urysohn-locally-compact-Hausdorff-closed-compact-support[OF lh(1)
disjI1[OF lh(2)]
      zero-le-one closedin-empty K] by(auto simp: has-compact-support-on-iff)

```

```

hence ?iscont f ?csupp f f ∈ topspace X → {0..1} f ∈ K → {1}
  by(auto simp: continuous-map-in-subtopology)
from le1[OF this]
show ?thesis
  using dual-order.strict-trans2 ennreal-less-top by blast
qed
moreover have μ K = (⊔ (μ ‘ {K'. K' ⊆ K ∧ compactin X K'}))
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) SUP-eqI μ-mono mem-Collect-eq subset-refl K)
ultimately show K ∈ Mf
  using compactin-subset-topospace[OF K] by(simp add: Mf-def)

show μ K = (⊔ (ennreal ‘ φ ‘ {(λx∈topspace X. f x) |f. ?fK K f}))
proof(safe intro!: antisym le-Inf-iff[THEN iffD2] Inf-le-iff[THEN iffD2])
  fix g
  assume ?iscont g ?csupp g g ∈ topspace X → {0..1} g ∈ K → {1}
  from le1[OF this(1-4)]
  show μ K ≤ ennreal (φ (λx∈topspace X. g x))
    by force
next
  fix y
  assume μ K < y
  then obtain V where V: openin X V K ⊆ V μ' V < y
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) INF-less-iff μ-def mem-Collect-eq)
  hence closedin X (topspace X - V) disjnt (topspace X - V) K
    by (auto simp: disjnt-def)
  from Urysohn-locally-compact-Hausdorff-closed-compact-support[OF lh(1)
disjI1[OF lh(2)] zero-le-one this(1) K this(2)]
  obtain f where f':continuous-map X (subtopology euclidean {0..1}) f f '
(topspace X - V) ⊆ {0::real}
  f ' K ⊆ {1} disjnt (X closure-of {x∈topspace X. f x ≠ 0}) (topspace X -
V)
  compactin X (X closure-of {x∈topspace X. f x ≠ 0})
  by blast
  hence f: ?iscont f ?csupp f ∧ x. x ∈ topspace X ⇒ f x ≥ 0
  ∧ x. x ∈ topspace X ⇒ f x ≤ 1 ∧ x. x ∈ K ⇒ f x = 1
  by(auto simp: has-compact-support-on-iff continuous-map-in-subtopology)
  have ennreal (φ (restrict f (topspace X))) < y
  proof(rule order.strict-trans1)
    show ennreal (φ (restrict f (topspace X))) ≤ μ' V
      unfolding μ'-def using f' f in-closure-of
      by (fastforce intro!: Sup-upper imageI exI[where x=λx∈topspace X. f x]
simp: disjnt-iff)
    qed fact
  thus ∃ a∈ennreal ‘ φ ‘ {(λx∈topspace X. f x)|f. ?fK K f}. a < y
  using f compactin-subset-topospace[OF K] by(auto intro!: exI[where x=λx∈topspace
X. f x])
  qed
qed
have μ-K: μ K ≤ ennreal (φ (λx∈topspace X. f x)) if K: compactin X K and

```

$f: ?fK K f$ **for** $K f$
using $le\text{-Inf}\text{-iff}[THEN\ iffD1, OF\ eq\text{-refl}[OF\ step2(2)[OF\ K]]]$ f **by** $blast$
have $step3: \mu A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. compactin\ X\ K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \mu K) \mu A < \infty \implies A \in Mf$ **if** $A: openin\ X\ A$ **for** A
proof –
show $\mu A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. compactin\ X\ K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \mu K)$
proof($safe\ intro!:$ $antisym\ le\text{-Sup}\text{-iff}[THEN\ iffD2]$ $Sup\text{-le}\text{-iff}[THEN\ iffD2]$)
fix y
assume $y: y < \mu A$
from $less\text{-SUP}\text{-iff}[THEN\ iffD1, OF\ less\text{-INF}\text{-D}[OF\ y[simplified\ \mu\text{-def}], simplified\ \mu'\text{-def}], of\ A]$
obtain f **where** $f: ?iscont\ f\ ?csupp\ f\ X$ $closure\text{-of}\ \{x \in\ topspace\ X. f\ x \neq 0\} \subseteq A$
 $f \in\ topspace\ X \rightarrow \{0..1\}$ $f \in\ topspace\ X - A \rightarrow \{0\}$ $y < ennreal\ (\varphi (\lambda x \in\ topspace\ X. f\ x))$
using A **by** $blast$
show $\exists a \in \mu ' \{K. compactin\ X\ K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. y < a$
proof($rule\ bestI[where\ x = \mu (X\ closure\text{-of}\ \{x \in\ topspace\ X. f\ x \neq 0\})]$)
show $y < \mu (X\ closure\text{-of}\ \{a \in\ topspace\ X. f\ a \neq 0\})$
proof($rule\ order.\text{strict}\text{-trans2}$)
show $ennreal\ (\varphi (\lambda x \in\ topspace\ X. f\ x)) \leq \mu (X\ closure\text{-of}\ \{a \in\ topspace\ X. f\ a \neq 0\})$
using $f\ in\text{-closure}\text{-of}\ in\text{-mono}$
by($fastforce\ intro!:$ $Sup\text{-upper}\ imageI\ exI[where\ x = f]$ $simp: \mu\text{-def}\ le\text{-Inf}\text{-iff}\ \mu'\text{-def}$)
qed $fact$
qed($use\ f(2,3)$ $has\text{-compact}\text{-support}\text{-on}\text{-iff}\ in\ auto$)
qed($auto\ intro!:$ $\mu\text{-mono}$)
thus $\mu A < \infty \implies A \in Mf$
unfolding $Mf\text{-def}$ **using** $openin\text{-subset}[OF\ A]$ **by** $simp\ metis$
qed
have $step4: \mu (\bigcup n. En\ n) = (\sum n. \mu (En\ n)) \mu (\bigcup n. En\ n) < \infty \implies (\bigcup n. En\ n) \in Mf$
if $En: \bigwedge n. En\ n \in Mf$ $disjoint\text{-family}\ En$ **for** En
proof –
have $compacts: \mu (K1 \cup K2) = \mu K1 + \mu K2$ **if** $K: compactin\ X\ K1\ compactin\ X\ K2$ $disjnt\ K1\ K2$ **for** $K1\ K2$
proof($rule\ antisym$)
show $\mu (K1 \cup K2) \leq \mu K1 + \mu K2$
by($rule\ step1'$)
next
show $\mu K1 + \mu K2 \leq \mu (K1 \cup K2)$
proof($rule\ ennreal\text{-le}\text{-epsilon}$)
fix $e :: real$
assume $e: 0 < e \mu (K1 \cup K2) < \top$
from $Urysohn\text{-locally}\text{-compact}\text{-Hausdorff}\text{-closed}\text{-compact}\text{-support}[OF\ lh(1)]\ disjI1[OF\ lh(2)]$
 $zero\text{-le}\text{-one}\ compactin\text{-imp}\text{-closedin}[OF\ lh(2)\ K(1)]\ K(2,3)]$
obtain f **where** $f: continuous\text{-map}\ X\ (top\text{-of}\text{-set}\ \{0..1::real\})\ f\ f ' K1 \subseteq$

$\{0\} f \text{ ' } K2 \subseteq \{1\}$
disjnt (*X closure-of* $\{x \in \text{topspace } X. f x \neq 0\}$) *K1 compactin X* (*X closure-of* $\{x \in \text{topspace } X. f x \neq 0\}$)
by *blast*
hence $f': ?iscont f ?csupp f \wedge x. x \in \text{topspace } X \implies f x \geq 0 \wedge x. x \in \text{topspace } X \implies f x \leq 1$
by (*auto simp: has-compact-support-on-iff continuous-map-in-subtopology*)
from *Inf-le-iff*[*THEN iffD1*, *OF eq-refl*[*OF step2*(2)[*symmetric*, *OF compactin-Un*[*OF K*(1,2)]]], *rule-format*, of $\mu (K1 \cup K2) + \text{ennreal } e$
obtain g **where** $g: ?iscont g ?csupp g g \in \text{topspace } X \rightarrow \{0..1\} g \in K1 \cup K2 \rightarrow \{1\}$
 $\text{ennreal } (\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. g x)) < \mu (K1 \cup K2) + \text{ennreal } e$
using e **by** *fastforce*
have $\mu K1 + \mu K2 \leq \text{ennreal } (\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. (1 - f x) * g x)) + \text{ennreal } (\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x * g x))$
proof(*rule add-mono*)
show $\mu K1 \leq \text{ennreal } (\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. (1 - f x) * g x))$
using f' *Pi-mem*[*OF g*(3)] $g(1,2,4,5) f(2)$ *compactin-subset-topospace*[*OF K*(1)]
by (*auto intro!*: μ -*K has-compact-support-on-mult-left mult-nonneg-nonneg mult-le-one K*(1) *mult-eq-1*[*THEN iffD2*])
show $\mu K2 \leq \text{ennreal } (\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x * g x))$
using $g f$ *Pi-mem*[*OF g*(3)] f' *compactin-subset-topospace*[*OF K*(2)]
by (*auto intro!*: μ -*K*[*OF K*(2)] *has-compact-support-on-mult-left mult-nonneg-nonneg mult-le-one mult-eq-1*[*THEN iffD2*])
qed
also have $\dots = \text{ennreal } (\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. (1 - f x) * g x) + \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x * g x))$
using $f' g$ **by** (*auto intro!*: *ennreal-plus*[*symmetric*] *pos has-compact-support-on-mult-left mult-nonneg-nonneg*)
also have $\dots = \text{ennreal } (\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. (1 - f x) * g x + f x * g x))$
by (*auto intro!*: *ennreal-cong linear*[*symmetric*] *has-compact-support-on-mult-left f' g*)
also have $\dots = \text{ennreal } (\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. g x))$
by (*simp add: Groups.mult-ac*(2) *right-diff-distrib*)
also have $\dots < \mu (K1 \cup K2) + \text{ennreal } e$
by *fact*
finally show $\mu K1 + \mu K2 \leq \mu (K1 \cup K2) + \text{ennreal } e$
by *order*
qed
qed
have $Hn: \exists Hn. \forall n. \text{compactin } X (Hn n) \wedge (Hn n) \subseteq En n \wedge \mu (En n) < \mu (Hn n) + \text{ennreal } ((1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } n) * \text{ennreal } e'$
if $e': e' > 0$ **for** e'
proof (*safe intro!*: *choice*)
show $\exists Hn. \text{compactin } X Hn \wedge Hn \subseteq En n \wedge \mu (En n) < \mu Hn + \text{ennreal } ((1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } n) * \text{ennreal } e'$ **for** n
proof (*cases* $\mu (En n) < \text{ennreal } ((1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } n) * \text{ennreal } e'$)
case *True*

then show *?thesis*
using e' **by**(*auto intro!*: exI [**where** $x=\{\}$] *simp*: μ -empty ennreal-zero-less-mult-iff)
next
case *False*
then have $le: \mu (En\ n) \geq \text{ennreal } ((1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } n) * \text{ennreal } e'$
by *order*
hence $pos: 0 < \mu (En\ n)$
using e' *zero-less-power* **by** *fastforce*
have $fin: \mu (En\ n) < \top$
using En *Mf-def* **by** *blast*
hence $1: \mu (En\ n) - \text{ennreal } ((1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } n) * \text{ennreal } e' < \mu (En\ n)$
using pos **by**(*auto intro!*: *ennreal-between simp*: *ennreal-zero-less-mult-iff*
 e')
have $\mu (En\ n) = \bigsqcup (\mu \text{ ' } \{K. K \subseteq (En\ n) \wedge \text{compactin } X\ K\})$
using En **by**(*auto simp*: *Mf-def*)
from *le-Sup-iff*[*THEN iffD1, OF eq_refl*[*OF this*],*rule-format, OF 1*]
obtain Hn **where** $Hn: Hn \subseteq En\ n$ *compactin* X Hn $\mu (En\ n) - \text{ennreal } ((1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } n) * \text{ennreal } e' < \mu Hn$
by *blast*
hence $\mu (En\ n) < \mu Hn + \text{ennreal } ((1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } n) * \text{ennreal } e'$
by (*metis diff-diff-ennreal' diff-gt-0-iff-gt-ennreal fin le order-less-le*)
with $Hn(1,2)$ **show** *?thesis*
by *blast*
qed
qed
show $1: \mu (\bigcup n. En\ n) = (\sum n. \mu (En\ n))$
proof(*rule antisym*)
show $(\sum n. \mu (En\ n)) \leq \mu (\bigcup (\text{range } En))$
proof(*rule ennreal-le-epsilon*)
fix $e :: \text{real}$
assume $fin: \mu (\bigcup (\text{range } En)) < \top$ **and** $e: 0 < e$
from Hn [*OF e*] **obtain** Hn **where** $Hn: \bigwedge n. \text{compactin } X (Hn\ n) \wedge n. Hn\ n \subseteq En\ n$
 $\bigwedge n. \mu (En\ n) < \mu (Hn\ n) + \text{ennreal } ((1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } n) * \text{ennreal } e$
by *blast*
have $(\sum n \leq N. \mu (En\ n)) \leq \mu (\bigcup (\text{range } En)) + \text{ennreal } e$ **for** N
proof –
have $(\sum n \leq N. \mu (En\ n)) \leq (\sum n \leq N. \mu (Hn\ n) + \text{ennreal } ((1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } n) * \text{ennreal } e)$
by(*rule sum-mono*) (*use Hn(3) order-less-le in auto*)
also have $\dots = (\sum n \leq N. \mu (Hn\ n)) + (\sum n \leq N. \text{ennreal } ((1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } n) * \text{ennreal } e)$
by(*rule sum.distrib*)
also have $\dots = \mu (\bigcup n \leq N. Hn\ n) + (\sum n \leq N. \text{ennreal } ((1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } n) * \text{ennreal } e)$
proof –
have $(\sum n \leq N. \mu (Hn\ n)) = \mu (\bigcup n \leq N. Hn\ n)$
proof(*induction N*)
case $ih:(\text{Suc } N')$

```

show ?case (is ?l = ?r)
proof -
  have ?l =  $\mu (\bigcup (Hn \text{ ' } \{..N'\})) + \mu (Hn (Suc N'))$ 
    by(simp add: ih)
  also have ... =  $\mu ((\bigcup (Hn \text{ ' } \{..N'\})) \cup Hn (Suc N'))$ 
  proof(rule compact_s[symmetric])
    show disjoint  $(\bigcup (Hn \text{ ' } \{..N'\})) (Hn (Suc N'))$ 
      using En(2) Hn(2) unfolding disjoint-family-on-def disjoint-iff
      by (metis Int-iff Suc-n-not-le-n UNIV-I UN-iff atMost-iff empty-iff
in-mono)
    qed(auto intro!: compactin-Union Hn)
  also have ... = ?r
    by (simp add: Un-commute atMost-Suc)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed simp
thus ?thesis
  by simp
qed
also have ...  $\leq \mu (\bigcup (\text{range } En)) + (\sum n \leq N. \text{ennreal } ((1 / 2) \wedge Suc n)$ 
* ennreal e)
  using Hn(2) by(auto intro!:  $\mu$ -mono)
also have ...  $\leq \mu (\bigcup (\text{range } En)) + \text{ennreal } e$ 
proof -
have  $(\sum n \leq N. \text{ennreal } ((1 / 2) \wedge Suc n) * \text{ennreal } e) = \text{ennreal } (\sum n \leq N.$ 
 $((1 / 2) \wedge Suc n)) * \text{ennreal } e$ 
  unfolding sum-distrib-right[symmetric] by simp
also have ... =  $\text{ennreal } e * \text{ennreal } (\sum n \leq N. ((1 / 2) \wedge Suc n))$ 
  using mult.commute by blast
also have ...  $\leq \text{ennreal } e * \text{ennreal } (\sum n. ((1 / 2) \wedge Suc n))$ 
using e by(auto intro!: ennreal-mult-le-mult-iff[THEN iffD2] ennreal-leI
sum-le-suminf)
also have ... =  $\text{ennreal } e$ 
  using power-half-series sums-unique by fastforce
finally show ?thesis
  by fastforce
qed
finally show ?thesis .
qed
thus  $(\sum n. \mu (En n)) \leq \mu (\bigcup (\text{range } En)) + \text{ennreal } e$ 
  by(auto intro!: LIMSEQ-le-const2[OF summable-LIMSEQ] exI[where
x=0])
qed
qed fact
show  $\bigcup (\text{range } En) \in Mf$  if  $\mu (\bigcup (\text{range } En)) < \infty$ 
proof -
  have  $\mu (\bigcup (\text{range } En)) = (\bigsqcup (\mu \text{ ' } \{K. K \subseteq (\bigcup (\text{range } En)) \wedge \text{compactin } X$ 
K}))
  proof(rule antisym)

```

show $\mu (\bigcup (\text{range } En)) \leq \bigsqcup (\mu \text{ ` } \{K. K \subseteq \bigcup (\text{range } En) \wedge \text{compactin } X$
 $K\})$
unfolding *le-Sup-iff*
proof *safe*
fix y
assume $y < \mu (\bigcup (\text{range } En))$
from *order-tendstoD(1)[OF summable-LIMSEQ' this[simplified 1]]*
obtain N **where** $N: y < (\sum n \leq N. \mu (En\ n))$
by *fastforce*
obtain e **where** $e: e > 0\ y < (\sum n \leq N. \mu (En\ n)) - \text{ennreal } e$
by (*metis N ennreal-le-epsilon ennreal-less-top less-diff-eq-ennreal*
linorder-not-le)
from Hn [*OF e(1)*] **obtain** Hn **where** $Hn: \bigwedge n. \text{compactin } X (Hn\ n) \wedge n.$
 $Hn\ n \subseteq En\ n$
 $\bigwedge n. \mu (En\ n) < \mu (Hn\ n) + \text{ennreal } ((1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } n) * \text{ennreal } e$
by *blast*
have $y < (\sum n \leq N. \mu (En\ n)) - \text{ennreal } e$
by *fact*
also have $\dots \leq (\sum n \leq N. \mu (Hn\ n) + \text{ennreal } ((1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } n) * \text{ennreal}$
 $e) - \text{ennreal } e$
by (*intro ennreal-minus-mono sum-mono*) (*use Hn(3) order-less-le in*
auto)
also have $\dots = (\sum n \leq N. \mu (Hn\ n)) + (\sum n \leq N. \text{ennreal } ((1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc}$
 $n) * \text{ennreal } e) - \text{ennreal } e$
by (*simp add: sum.distrib*)
also have $\dots = \mu (\bigcup n \leq N. Hn\ n) + (\sum n \leq N. \text{ennreal } ((1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } n)$
 $* \text{ennreal } e) - \text{ennreal } e$
proof –
have $(\sum n \leq N. \mu (Hn\ n)) = \mu (\bigcup n \leq N. Hn\ n)$
proof (*induction N*)
case $ih:(\text{Suc } N')$
show $?case$ (**is** $?l = ?r$)
proof –
have $?l = \mu (\bigcup (Hn \text{ ` } \{..N'\})) + \mu (Hn (\text{Suc } N'))$
by (*simp add: ih*)
also have $\dots = \mu ((\bigcup (Hn \text{ ` } \{..N'\})) \cup Hn (\text{Suc } N'))$
proof (*rule compacts[symmetric]*)
show *disjnt* $(\bigcup (Hn \text{ ` } \{..N'\})) (Hn (\text{Suc } N'))$
using $En(2)\ Hn(2)$ **unfolding** *disjoint-family-on-def disjnt-iff*
by (*metis Int-iff Suc-n-not-le-n UNIV-I UN-iff atMost-iff empty-iff*
in-mono)
qed (*auto intro!: compactin-Union Hn*)
also have $\dots = ?r$
by (*simp add: Un-commute atMost-Suc*)
finally show $?thesis$.
qed
qed *simp*
thus $?thesis$
by *simp*

qed
also have ... $\leq \mu (\bigcup_{n \leq N}. Hn\ n) + (\sum n. \text{ennreal } ((1 / 2) ^ \wedge \text{Suc } n) * \text{ennreal } e) - \text{ennreal } e$
by(*intro ennreal-minus-mono add-mono sum-le-suminf*) (*use e in auto*)
also have ... $= \mu (\bigcup_{n \leq N}. Hn\ n) + (\sum n. \text{ennreal } ((1 / 2) ^ \wedge \text{Suc } n)) * \text{ennreal } e - \text{ennreal } e$
using *ennreal-suminf-multc* **by** *presburger*
also have ... $= \mu (\bigcup_{n \leq N}. Hn\ n) + \text{ennreal } e - \text{ennreal } e$
proof –
have $(\sum n. \text{ennreal } ((1 / 2) ^ \wedge \text{Suc } n)) = \text{ennreal } 1$
by(*rule suminf-ennreal-eq*) (*use power-half-series in auto*)
thus *?thesis*
by *fastforce*
qed
also have ... $= \mu (\bigcup_{n \leq N}. Hn\ n)$
by *simp*
finally show $Bex (\mu ' \{K. K \subseteq \bigcup (\text{range } En) \wedge \text{compactin } X\ K\}) ((<)$
y)
using *Hn* **by**(*auto intro!*: *exI*[**where** $x = \bigcup_{n \leq N}. Hn\ n$] *compactin-Union*)
qed
qed(*auto intro!*: *Sup-le-iff*[*THEN iffD2*] *μ-mono*)
moreover have $(\bigcup (\text{range } En)) \subseteq \text{topspace } X$
using *En* **by**(*auto simp: Mf-def*)
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *that* **by**(*auto simp: Mf-def*)
qed
qed
have *step4'*: $\mu (E1 \cup E2) = \mu E1 + \mu E2$ $\mu(E1 \cup E2) < \infty \implies E1 \cup E2 \in Mf$
if $E: E1 \in Mf\ E2 \in Mf$ *disjnt* $E1\ E2$ **for** $E1\ E2$
proof –
define *En* **where** $En \equiv (\lambda n::nat. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } E1 \text{ else if } n = 1 \text{ then } E2 \text{ else } \{\})$
have *1*: $(\bigcup (\text{range } En)) = (E1 \cup E2)$
by(*auto simp: En-def*)
have *2*: $(\sum i. \mu (En\ i)) = \mu E1 + \mu E2$
using *suminf-offset*[*of λi. μ (En i), of Suc (Suc 0)*]
by(*auto simp: En-def μ-empty*)
have *3*: *disjoint-family* *En*
using *E(3)* **by**(*auto simp: disjoint-family-on-def disjnt-def En-def*)
have *4*: $\bigwedge n. En\ n \in Mf$
using *E(1,2)* **by**(*auto simp: En-def empty-in-Mf*)
show $\mu (E1 \cup E2) = \mu E1 + \mu E2$ $\mu(E1 \cup E2) < \infty \implies E1 \cup E2 \in Mf$
using *step4*[*of En*] *E(1)* **by**(*simp-all add: 1 2 3 4*)
qed
have *step5*: $\exists V\ K. \text{openin } X\ V \wedge \text{compactin } X\ K \wedge K \subseteq E \wedge E \subseteq V \wedge \mu (V - K) < \text{ennreal } e$
if $E: E \in Mf$ **and** $e: e > 0$ **for** $E\ e$


```

proof –
  have 1:  $\mu E < \mu E + \text{ennreal } (e / 2)$ 
    using  $E e$  by (simp add: Mf-def) (metis  $\mu$ -mono linorder-not-le)
  hence 2:  $\mu E + \text{ennreal } (e / 2) < \mu E + \text{ennreal } (e / 2) + \text{ennreal } (e / 2)$ 
    by simp
  from Inf-le-iff[THEN iffD1, OF eq-refl, rule-format, OF - 1]
  obtain  $V$  where  $V$ : openin  $X V E \subseteq V \mu V < \mu E + \text{ennreal } (e / 2)$ 
    using  $\mu$ -def  $\mu$ -open by force
  have  $\mu E + \text{ennreal } (e / 2) + \text{ennreal } (e / 2) \leq (\bigsqcup_{K \in \{K. K \subseteq E \wedge \text{compactin } X K\}} \mu K + \text{ennreal } e)$ 
    by (subst ennreal-SUP-add-left, insert E e) (auto simp: ennreal-plus-if Mf-def)
  from le-Sup-iff[THEN iffD1, OF this, rule-format, OF 2]
  obtain  $K$  where  $K$ : compactin  $X K K \subseteq E \mu E + \text{ennreal } (e / 2) < \mu K + \text{ennreal } e$ 
    by blast
  have  $\mu (V - K) < \infty$ 
    by (metis Diff-subset V(3)  $\mu$ -mono dual-order.strict-trans1 infinity-ennreal-def order-le-less-trans top-greatest)
  hence  $\mu K + \mu (V - K) = \mu (K \cup (V - K))$ 
    by (intro step4'(1)[symmetric, OF step2(1)[OF K(1)] step3(2)] openin-diff V(1) compactin-imp-closedin K(1) lh(2))
    (auto simp: disjnt-iff)
  also have  $\dots = \mu V$ 
    by (metis Diff-partition K(2) V(2) order-trans)
  also have  $\dots < \mu K + \text{ennreal } e$ 
    by (auto intro!: order.strict-trans[OF V(3)] K)
  finally have  $\mu (V - K) < \text{ennreal } e$ 
    by (simp add: ennreal-add-left-cancel-less)
  thus ?thesis
    using  $V K$  by blast
qed
have step6:  $\bigwedge A B. A \in \text{Mf} \implies B \in \text{Mf} \implies A - B \in \text{Mf} \bigwedge A B. A \in \text{Mf} \implies B \in \text{Mf} \implies A \cup B \in \text{Mf}$ 
   $\bigwedge A B. A \in \text{Mf} \implies B \in \text{Mf} \implies A \cap B \in \text{Mf}$ 
proof –
  {
    fix  $A B$ 
    assume  $AB$ :  $A \in \text{Mf } B \in \text{Mf}$ 
    have dif1:  $\mu (A - B) < \infty$ 
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) AB(1) Diff-subset Mf-def  $\mu$ -mono infinity-ennreal-def mem-Collect-eq order-le-less-trans)
    have  $\mu (A - B) = (\bigsqcup (\mu ' \{K. K \subseteq (A - B) \wedge \text{compactin } X K\}))$ 
    proof (rule antisym)
      show  $\mu (A - B) \leq \bigsqcup (\mu ' \{K. K \subseteq A - B \wedge \text{compactin } X K\})$ 
        unfolding le-Sup-iff
      proof safe
        fix  $y$ 
        assume  $y$ :  $y < \mu (A - B)$ 
        then obtain  $e$  where  $e$ :  $e > 0 \text{ennreal } e = \mu (A - B) - y$ 

```

```

      by (metis dif1 diff-gt-0-iff-gt-ennreal diff-le-self-ennreal ennreal-cases
ennreal-less-zero-iff neq-top-trans order-less-le)
    from step5[OF AB(1) half-gt-zero[OF e(1)]] step5[OF AB(2) half-gt-zero[OF
e(1)]]
  obtain V1 V2 K1 K2 where VK:
    openin X V1 compactin X K1 K1 ⊆ A A ⊆ V1 μ (V1 - K1) < ennreal
(e / 2)
    openin X V2 compactin X K2 K2 ⊆ B B ⊆ V2 μ (V2 - K2) < ennreal
(e / 2)
  by auto
  have K1V2:compactin X (K1 - V2)
    by(auto intro!: closed-compactin[OF VK(2)] compactin-imp-closedin[OF
lh(2) VK(2)] VK(6))
  have μ (A - B) ≤ μ ((K1 - V2) ∪ (V1 - K1) ∪ (V2 - K2))
    using VK by(auto intro!: μ-mono)
  also have ... ≤ μ ((K1 - V2) ∪ (V1 - K1)) + μ (V2 - K2)
    by fact
  also have ... ≤ μ (K1 - V2) + μ (V1 - K1) + μ (V2 - K2)
    by(auto intro!: step1')
  also have ... < μ (K1 - V2) + μ (V1 - K1) + ennreal (e / 2)
  unfolding add.assoc ennreal-add-left-cancel-less ennreal-add-left-cancel-less
    using step2(1)[OF K1V2] VK(5,10) Mf-def by fastforce
  also have ... ≤ μ (K1 - V2) + ennreal (e / 2) + ennreal (e / 2)
    using order.strict-implies-order[OF VK(5)] by(auto simp: add-mono)
  also have ... = μ (K1 - V2) + ennreal e
    using e(1) ennreal-plus-if by auto
  finally have 1:μ (A - B) < μ (K1 - V2) + ennreal e .
  show ∃ a∈(μ ' {K. K ⊆ A - B ∧ compactin X K}). (y < a)
  proof(safe intro!: bexI[where x=μ (K1 - V2)] imageI)
    have y < μ (K1 - V2) + ennreal e - ennreal e
      by (metis 1 add-diff-self-ennreal e(2) ennreal-less-top less-diff-eq-ennreal
order-less-imp-le y)
    also have ... = μ (K1 - V2)
      by simp
    finally show y < μ (K1 - V2) .
  qed(use K1V2 VK in auto)
  qed
  qed(auto intro!: μ-mono simp: Sup-le-iff)
  with dif1 show A - B ∈ Mf
    using Mf-def μ-fin-subset by auto
}
note diff=this
fix A B
assume AB: A ∈ Mf B ∈ Mf
show un: A ∪ B ∈ Mf
proof -
  have A ∪ B = (A - B) ∪ B
    by fastforce
  also have ... ∈ Mf

```

```

proof(rule step4'(2))
  have  $\mu (A - B \cup B) = \mu (A - B) + \mu B$ 
    by(rule step4'(1)) (auto simp: diff AB disjnt-iff)
  also have ... <  $\infty$ 
    using Mf-def diff[OF AB] AB(2) by fastforce
  finally show  $\mu (A - B \cup B) < \infty$  .
qed(auto simp: diff AB disjnt-iff)
finally show ?thesis .
qed
show int:  $A \cap B \in Mf$ 
proof -
  have  $A \cap B = A - (A - B)$ 
    by blast
  also have ...  $\in Mf$ 
    by(auto intro!: diff AB)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed
have step6':  $(\bigcup_{i \in I}. Ai \ i) \in Mf$  if finite I  $(\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies Ai \ i \in Mf)$  for Ai
and I :: nat set
proof -
  have  $(\forall i \in I. Ai \ i \in Mf) \longrightarrow (\bigcup_{i \in I}. Ai \ i) \in Mf$ 
    by(rule finite-induct[OF that(1)]) (auto intro!: step6(2) empty-in-Mf)
  with that show ?thesis
    by blast
qed
have step7: sigma-algebra (topspace X) M sets (borel-of X)  $\subseteq M$ 
proof -
  show sa:sigma-algebra (topspace X) M
    unfolding sigma-algebra-iff2
  proof(intro conjI ballI allI impI)
    show {}  $\in M$ 
      using empty-in-Mf by(auto simp: M-def)
    next
      show M-subspace:M  $\subseteq Pow$  (topspace X)
        by(auto simp: M-def)
      {
        fix s
        assume s:s  $\in M$ 
        show topspace X - s  $\in M$ 
          unfolding M-def
        proof(intro conjI CollectI allI impI)
          fix K
          assume K: compactin X K
          have (topspace X - s)  $\cap K = K - (s \cap K)$ 
            using M-subspace s compactin-subset-topspace[OF K] by fast
          also have ...  $\in Mf$ 
            by(intro step6(1) step2(1)[OF K]) (use s K M-def in blast)
          finally show (topspace X - s)  $\cap K \in Mf$  .
        }

```

```

qed blast
}
{
fix An :: nat ⇒ -
assume An: range An ⊆ M
show (⋃ (range An)) ∈ M
  unfolding M-def
proof(intro CollectI conjI allI impI)
  fix K
  assume K: compactin X K
  have ∃ Bn. ∀ n. Bn n = (An n ∩ K) - (⋃ i<n. Bn i)
    by(rule dependent-wellorder-choice) auto
  then obtain Bn where Bn: ⋀ n. Bn n = (An n ∩ K) - (⋃ i<n. Bn i)
    by blast
  have Bn-disj:disjoint-family Bn
    unfolding disjoint-family-on-def
  proof safe
    fix m n x
    assume h:m ≠ n x ∈ Bn m x ∈ Bn n
    then consider m < n | n < m
      by linarith
    then show x ∈ {}
  proof cases
    case 1
    with h(3) have x ∉ Bn m
      by(auto simp: Bn[of n])
    with h(2) show ?thesis by blast
  next
    case 2
    with h(2) have x ∉ Bn n
      by(auto simp: Bn[of m])
    with h(3) show ?thesis by blast
  qed
qed
have un:(⋃ (range An) ∩ K) = (⋃ n. Bn n)
proof -
  have 1:An n ∩ K ⊆ (⋃ i≤n. Bn i) for n
  proof safe
    fix x
    assume x:x ∈ An n x ∈ K
    define m where m = (LEAST m. x ∈ An m)
    have m1:⋀ l. l < m ⇒ x ∈ An m ⇒ x ∉ An l
      using m-def not-less-Least by blast
    hence x-nBn:l < m ⇒ x ∉ Bn l for l
      by (metis Bn Diff-Diff-Int Diff-iff m-def not-less-Least)
    have m2: x ∈ An m
      by (metis LeastI-ex x(1) m-def)
    have m3: m ≤ n
      using m1 m2 not-le-imp-less x(1) by blast

```

```

have x ∈ Bn m
  unfolding Bn[of m]
  using x-nBn m2 x(2) by fast
thus x ∈ ⋃ (Bn ‘ {..n})
  using m3 by blast
qed
have 2:(⋃ n. An n ∩ K) = (⋃ n. Bn n)
proof(rule antisym)
  show (⋃ n. An n ∩ K) ⊆ ⋃ (range Bn)
  proof safe
    fix n x
    assume x ∈ An n x ∈ K
    then have x ∈ (⋃ i≤n. Bn i)
      using 1 by fast
    thus x ∈ ⋃ (range Bn)
      by fast
  qed
next
  show ⋃ (range Bn) ⊆ (⋃ n. An n ∩ K)
  proof(rule SUP-mono)
    show ∃ m∈UNIV. Bn i ⊆ An m ∩ K for i
    by(auto intro!: beXI[where x=i] simp: Bn[of i])
  qed
qed
thus ?thesis
  by simp
qed
also have ... ∈ Mf
proof(safe intro!: step4(2) Bn-disj)
  fix n
  show Bn n ∈ Mf
  proof(rule less-induct)
    fix n
    show (⋀ m. m < n ⇒ Bn m ∈ Mf) ⇒ Bn n ∈ Mf
    using An K by(auto intro!: step6' step6(1) simp :Bn[of n] M-def)
  qed
next
  have μ (⋃ (range Bn)) ≤ μ K
    unfolding un[symmetric] by(auto intro!: μ-mono)
  also have ... < ∞
    using step2(1)[OF K] by(auto simp: Mf-def)
  finally show μ (⋃ (range Bn)) < ∞ .
qed
finally show ⋃ (range An) ∩ K ∈ Mf .
qed(use An M-def in auto)
}
qed
show sets (borel-of X) ⊆ M
  unfolding sets-borel-of-closed

```

```

proof(safe intro!: sigma-algebra.sigma-sets-subset[OF sa])
  fix T
  assume closedin X T
  then show T ∈ M
    by (simp add: Int-commute M-def closedin-subset compact-Int-closedin
step2(1))
  qed
qed
have step8: A ∈ Mf ↔ A ∈ M ∧ μ A < ∞ for A
proof safe
  assume A: A ∈ Mf
  then have A ⊆ topspace X
    by(auto simp: Mf-def)
  thus A ∈ M
    by(auto simp: M-def intro!:step6(3)[OF A step2(1)])
  show μ A < ∞
    using A by(auto simp: Mf-def)
next
assume A: A ∈ M μ A < ∞
hence A ⊆ topspace X
  using M-def by blast
moreover have μ A = (⊔ (μ ‘ {K. K ⊆ A ∧ compactin X K}))
proof(rule antisym)
  show μ A ≤ ⊔ (μ ‘ {K. K ⊆ A ∧ compactin X K})
    unfolding le-Sup-iff
  proof safe
    fix y
    assume y: y < μ A
    then obtain e where e: e > 0 ennreal e = μ A - y
      by (metis A(2) diff-gt-0-iff-gt-ennreal diff-le-self-ennreal ennreal-cases
ennreal-less-zero-iff neq-top-trans order-less-le)
    obtain U where U: openin X U A ⊆ U μ U < ∞
      using Inf-less-iff[THEN iffD1, OF A(2)[simplified μ-def]] μ-open by force
    from step5[OF step3(2)[OF U(1,3)] half-gt-zero[OF e(1)]]
    obtain V K where VK:
      openin X V compactin X K K ⊆ U U ⊆ V μ (V - K) < ennreal (e / 2)
    by blast
    have AK: A ∩ K ∈ Mf
      using step2(1) VK(2) A by(auto simp: M-def)
    hence e': μ (A ∩ K) < μ (A ∩ K) + ennreal (e / 2)
    by (metis Diff-Diff-Int Diff-subset Int-commute U(3) VK(3) VK(5) μ-mono
add.commute diff-gt-0-iff-gt-ennreal ennreal-add-diff-cancel infinity-ennreal-def or-
der-le-less-trans top.not-eq-extremum zero-le)
    have μ (A ∩ K) + ennreal (e / 2) = (⊔ K ∈ {L. L ⊆ (A ∩ K) ∧ compactin
X L}. μ K + ennreal (e / 2))
      by(subst ennreal-SUP-add-left) (use AK Mf-def in auto)
    from le-Sup-iff[THEN iffD1, OF this[THEN eq-refl],rule-format, OF e']
    obtain H where H: compactin X H H ⊆ A ∩ K μ (A ∩ K) < μ H +
ennreal (e / 2)

```

```

    by blast
  show  $\exists a \in \mu \{K. K \subseteq A \wedge \text{compactin } X K\}. y < a$ 
  proof (safe intro!: bezI [where  $x = \mu H$ ] imageI H(1))
    have  $\mu A \leq \mu ((A \cap K) \cup (V - K))$ 
      using VK U by (auto intro!:  $\mu$ -mono)
    also have  $\dots \leq \mu (A \cap K) + \mu (V - K)$ 
      by (auto intro!: step1'(1))
    also have  $\dots < \mu H + \text{ennreal } (e / 2) + \text{ennreal } (e / 2)$ 
      using H(3) VK(5) add-strict-mono by blast
    also have  $\dots = \mu H + \text{ennreal } e$ 
      using e(1) ennreal-plus-iff by fastforce
    finally have 1:  $\mu A < \mu H + \text{ennreal } e$  .
    have  $y = \mu A - \text{ennreal } e$ 
      using A(2) diff-diff-ennreal e(2) y by fastforce
    also have  $\dots < \mu H + \text{ennreal } e - \text{ennreal } e$ 
      using 1
      by (metis diff-le-self-ennreal e(2) ennreal-add-diff-cancel-right en-
nreal-less-top minus-less-iff-ennreal top-neq-ennreal)
    also have  $\dots = \mu H$ 
      by simp
    finally show  $y < \mu H$  .
  qed (use H in auto)
qed
qed (auto simp: Sup-le-iff intro!:  $\mu$ -mono)
ultimately show  $A \in Mf$ 
  using A(2) Mf-def by auto
qed
define N where  $N \equiv \text{measure-of } (\text{topspace } X) M \mu$ 
have step9:  $\text{measure-space } (\text{topspace } X) M \mu$ 
  unfolding measure-space-def
proof safe
  show countably-additive M  $\mu$ 
    unfolding countably-additive-def
    by (metis Sup-upper UNIV-I  $\mu$ -mono image-eqI image-subset-iff infinity-ennreal-def
linorder-not-less neq-top-trans step1 step4(1) step8)
  qed (auto simp: step7 positive-def  $\mu$ -empty)
  have space-N:  $\text{space } N = \text{topspace } X$  and sets-N:  $\text{sets } N = M$  and emeasure-N:
 $A \in \text{sets } N \implies \text{emeasure } N A = \mu A$  for A
  proof -
    show  $\text{space } N = \text{topspace } X$ 
      by (simp add: N-def space-measure-of-conv)
    show 1:  $\text{sets } N = M$ 
      by (simp add: N-def sigma-algebra.sets-measure-of-eq step7(1))
    have  $\bigwedge x. x \in M \implies x \subseteq \text{topspace } X$ 
      by (auto simp: M-def)
    thus  $A \in \text{sets } N \implies \text{emeasure } N A = \mu A$ 
      unfolding N-def using step9 by (auto intro!: emeasure-measure-of simp:
measure-space-def 1 [simplified N-def])
  qed

```

have $g1$: *subalgebra* N (*borel-of* X) (**is** ? $g1$)
and $g2$: ($\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N A = (\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{emeasure } N C)$) (**is** ? $g2$)
and $g3$: ($\forall A. \text{openin } X A \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N K)$) (**is** ? $g3$)
and $g4$: ($\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N A < \infty \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N K)$) (**is** ? $g4$)
and $g5$: ($\forall K. \text{compactin } X K \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N K < \infty$) (**is** ? $g5$)
and $g6$: *complete-measure* N (**is** ? $g6$)
proof –
have 1 : $\bigwedge P. (\bigwedge C. P C \implies C \in \text{sets } N) \implies \text{emeasure } N \text{ ‘ } \{C. P C\} = \mu \text{ ‘ } \{C. P C\}$
using *emeasure-N by auto*
show ? $g1$
by (*auto simp: subalgebra-def sets-N space-N space-borel-of step7*)
show ? $g2$
proof –
have $\text{emeasure } N \text{ ‘ } \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\} = \mu \text{ ‘ } \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}$ **for** A
using *step7(2) by (auto intro!: 1 simp: sets-N dest: borel-of-open)*
hence $\text{emeasure } N \text{ ‘ } \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\} = \mu \text{ ‘ } \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}$ **for** A
using *μ -open by auto*
thus ?*thesis*
by (*simp add: emeasure-N sets-N μ -def*) (*metis (no-types, lifting) Collect-cong*)
qed
show ? $g3$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) 1 borel-of-open emeasure-N sets-N step2(1) step3(1) step7(2) step8 subsetD*)
show ? $g4$
proof *safe*
fix A
assume $A[\text{measurable}]$: $A \in \text{sets } N$ $\text{emeasure } N A < \infty$
then have Mf : $A \in Mf$
by (*simp add: emeasure-N sets-N step8*)
have $\text{emeasure } N A = \mu A$
by (*simp add: emeasure-N*)
also have $\dots = \bigsqcup (\mu \text{ ‘ } \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\})$
using *Mf unfolding Mf-def by simp metis*
also have $\dots = \bigsqcup (\text{emeasure } N \text{ ‘ } \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\})$
using *emeasure-N sets-N step2(1) step8 by auto*
finally show $\text{emeasure } N A = \bigsqcup (\text{emeasure } N \text{ ‘ } \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\})$.
qed
show ? $g5$
using *emeasure-N sets-N step2(1) step8 by auto*
show ? $g6$
proof


```

fix A B
assume AB: B ⊆ A A ∈ null-sets N
then have μ A = 0
  by (metis emeasure-N null-setsD1 null-setsD2)
hence 1: μ B = 0
  using μ-mono[OF AB(1)] by fastforce
have B ∈ Mf
proof –
  have B ⊆ topspace X
    by (metis AB gfp.leq-trans null-setsD2 sets.sets-into-space space-N)
  moreover have μ B = ⌊ (μ ‘ {K. K ⊆ B ∧ compactin X K})
  proof(rule antisym)
    show ⌊ (μ ‘ {K. K ⊆ B ∧ compactin X K}) ≤ μ B
      by(auto simp: Sup-le-iff μ-mono)
  qed(simp add: 1)
  moreover have μ B < ⊤
    by(simp add: 1)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    unfolding Mf-def by blast
qed
thus B ∈ sets N
  by(simp add: step8 sets-N)
qed
qed

have g7: (∀f. ?iscont f → ?csupp f → integrable N f)
  unfolding integrable-iff-bounded
proof safe
  fix f
  assume f: ?iscont f ?csupp f
  then show [measurable]: f ∈ borel-measurable N
    by(auto intro!: measurable-from-subalg[OF g1]
      simp: lower-semicontinuous-map-measurable upper-lower-semicontinuous-map-iff-continuous-map)
  let ?K = X closure-of {x ∈ topspace X. f x ≠ 0}
  have K[measurable]: compactin X ?K ?K ∈ sets N
    using f(2) g1 sets-N step2(1) step8 by(auto simp: has-compact-support-on-iff
subalgebra-def)
  have bounded (f ‘ ?K)
    using image-compactin[of X ?K euclideanreal f] f
    by(auto simp: has-compact-support-on-iff intro!: compact-imp-bounded)
  then obtain B where B: ∧x. x ∈ ?K ⇒ |f x| ≤ B
    by (meson bounded-real imageI)
  show (∫+ x. ennreal (norm (f x)) ∂N) < ∞
  proof –
    have (∫+ x. ennreal (norm (f x)) ∂N) ≤ (∫+ x. ennreal (indicator ?K x * |f
x|) ∂N)
      using in-closure-of by(fastforce intro!: nn-integral-mono simp: indicator-def
space-N)
    also have ... ≤ (∫+ x. ennreal (B * indicator ?K x) ∂N)

```

```

    using B by(auto intro!: nn-integral-mono ennreal-leI simp: indicator-def)
  also have ... = (∫+ x. ennreal B * indicator ?K x ∂N)
    by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong simp: indicator-def)
  also have ... = ennreal B * (∫+ x. indicator ?K x ∂N)
    by(simp add: nn-integral-cmult)
  also have ... = ennreal B * emeasure N ?K
    by simp
  finally show ?thesis
    using g5 K(1) ennreal-mult-less-top linorder-not-le top.not-eq-extremum by
fastforce
  qed
  qed
  have g8: ∀f. ?iscont f → ?csupp f → φ (λx∈topspace X. f x) = (∫ x. f x ∂N)
  proof safe
    have 1: φ (λx∈topspace X. f x) ≤ (∫ x. f x ∂N) if f: ?iscont f ?csupp f for f
    proof -
      let ?K = X closure-of {x∈topspace X. f x ≠ 0}
      have K[measurable]: compactin X ?K ?K ∈ sets N
      using f(2) g1 sets-N step2(1) step8 by(auto simp: has-compact-support-on-iff
subalgebra-def)
      have f-meas[measurable]: f ∈ borel-measurable N
        using f by(auto intro!: measurable-from-subalg[OF g1]
simp: lower-semicontinuous-map-measurable upper-lower-semicontinuous-map-iff-continuous-map)
      have bounded (f ' ?K)
        using image-compactin[of X ?K euclideanreal f] f
        by(auto simp: has-compact-support-on-iff intro!: compact-imp-bounded)
      then obtain B' where B': ∧x. x ∈ ?K ⇒ |f x| ≤ B'
        by (meson bounded-real imageI)
      define B where B ≡ max 1 B'
      have B-pos: B > 0 and B: ∧x. x ∈ ?K ⇒ |f x| ≤ B
        using B' by(auto simp add: B-def intro!: max.coboundedI2)
      have 1: φ (λx∈topspace X. f x) ≤ (∫ x. f x ∂N) + 1 / (Suc n) * (2 * measure
N ?K + (1 / Suc n) + 2 * B + 1) for n
      proof -
        have ∃yn. ∀m::nat. yn m = (if m = 0 then - B - 1 else 1 / 2 * 1 / Suc
n + yn (m - 1))
          by(rule dependent-wellorder-choice) auto
        then obtain yn' where yn': ∧m::nat. yn' m = (if m = 0 then - B - 1
else 1 / 2 * 1 / Suc n + yn' (m - 1))
          by blast
        hence yn'-0: yn' 0 = - B - 1 and yn'-Suc: ∧m. yn' (Suc m) = 1 / 2 *
1 / Suc n + yn' m
          by simp-all
        have yn'-accum: yn' m = m * (1 / 2 * 1 / Suc n) + yn' 0 for m
          by(induction m) (auto simp: yn'-Suc add-divide-distrib)

      define L :: nat where L = (LEAST k. B ≤ yn' k)
      define yn where yn ≡ (λn. if n = L then B else yn' n)
      have L-least: ∧i. i < L ⇒ yn' i < B

```

```

    by (metis L-def linorder-not-less not-less-Least)
  have yn-L: yn L = B
    by(auto simp: yn-def)
  have yn'-L: yn' L ≥ B
    unfolding L-def
  proof(rule LeastI-ex)
    show ∃x. B ≤ yn' x
    proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=nat (ceiling ((2 * B + 2) / ((1/2) * 1 /
real (Suc n))))])
      have B ≤ 2 * B + 2 + (- B - 1)
        using B-pos by fastforce
      also have ... = (2 * B + 2) / ((1/2) * 1 / real (Suc n)) * (1 / 2 * 1
/ Suc n) + yn' 0
        by(auto simp: yn'-0)
      also have ... ≤ real (nat (ceiling ((2 * B + 2) / ((1/2) * 1 / real (Suc
n)))) * (1 / 2 * 1 / Suc n) + yn' 0
        by(intro add-mono real-nat-ceiling-ge mult-right-mono) auto
      also have ... = yn' (nat (ceiling ((2 * B + 2) / ((1/2) * 1 / real (Suc
n))))))
        by (metis yn'-accum)
      finally show B ≤ yn' (nat [(2 * B + 2) / (1 / 2 * 1 / real (Suc n))])
    .

  qed
  qed
  have L-pos: 0 < L
  proof(rule ccontr)
    assume ¬ 0 < L
    then have [simp]: L = 0
      by blast
    show False
      using yn'-L yn'-0 B-pos by auto
  qed
  have yn-0: yn 0 = - B - 1
    using L-pos by(auto simp: yn-def yn'-0)
  have strict-mono-yn:strict-mono yn
  proof(rule strict-monoI-Suc)
    fix m
    consider m = L | Suc m = L | m < L Suc m < L | L < m L < Suc m
      by linarith
    then show yn m < yn (Suc m)
  proof cases
    case 1
    then have yn m = B
      by(simp add: yn-L)
    also have ... ≤ yn' m
      using yn'-L by(simp add: 1)
    also have ... < yn' (Suc m)
      by (simp add: yn'-Suc)
    also have ... = yn (Suc m)

```

```

    using 1 by(auto simp: yn-def)
    finally show ?thesis .
next
  case 2
  then have yn m = yn' m
    using yn-def by force
  also have ... < B
    using L-least[of m] 2 by blast
  also have ... = yn (Suc m)
    by(simp add: 2 yn-L)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed(auto simp: yn-def yn'-Suc)
qed
have yn-le-L:  $\bigwedge i. i \leq L \implies yn\ i \leq B$ 
  using L-least less-eq-real-def yn-def by auto
have yn-ge-L:  $\bigwedge i. L < i \implies B < yn\ i$ 
  using strict-mono-yn[THEN strict-monoD] yn-L by blast
have yn-ge:  $\bigwedge i. -B - 1 \leq yn\ i$ 
  using monoD[OF strict-mono-mono[OF strict-mono-yn],of 0] yn-0 by
auto
have yn-Suc-le:  $yn\ (Suc\ i) < 1 / \text{real}\ (Suc\ n) + yn\ i$  for i
proof -
  consider  $i = L \mid Suc\ i = L \mid i < L \mid Suc\ i < L \mid L < i \mid L < Suc\ i$ 
  by linarith
  then show ?thesis
  proof cases
    case 1
    then have  $yn\ (Suc\ i) = yn'\ (Suc\ L)$ 
      by(simp add: yn-def)
    also have  $\dots = 1 / 2 * 1 / Suc\ n + yn'\ L$ 
      by(simp add: yn'-Suc)
    also have  $\dots = (1 / 2) * (1 / Suc\ n) + (1 / 2) * (1 / Suc\ n) + yn'\ (L$ 
- 1)
      using L-pos yn' by fastforce
    also have  $\dots = 1 / Suc\ n + yn'\ (L - 1)$ 
      unfolding semiring-normalization-rules(1) by simp
    also have  $\dots < 1 / Suc\ n + B$ 
      by (simp add: L-least L-pos less-eq-real-def)
    finally show ?thesis
      by(simp add: 1 yn-L)
  next
    case 2
    then have  $yn\ (Suc\ i) = B$ 
      by(simp add: yn-L)
    also have  $\dots \leq yn'\ L$ 
      using yn'-L .
    also have  $\dots = 1 / 2 * 1 / Suc\ n + yn'\ (L - 1)$ 
      using yn' L-pos by simp
    also have  $\dots = 1 / 2 * 1 / Suc\ n + yn\ i$ 

```

```

    using 2 yn-def by force
    also have ... < 1 / Suc n + yn i
      by (simp add: pos-less-divide-eq)
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed(auto simp: yn-def yn'-Suc pos-less-divide-eq)
qed

have f-bound: f x ∈ {yn 0<..yn L} if x:x ∈ ?K for x
  using B[OF x] yn-L yn-0 by auto
define En where En ≡ (λm. {x∈topspace X. yn m < f x ∧ f x ≤ yn (Suc
m)}) ∩ ?K)
have En-sets[measurable]: En m ∈ sets N for m
proof -
  have {x∈topspace X. yn m < f x ∧ f x ≤ yn (Suc m)} = f -' {yn m<..yn
(Suc m)} ∩ space N
    by(auto simp: space-N)
  also have ... ∈ sets N
    by simp
  finally show ?thesis
    by(simp add: En-def)
qed
have En-disjnt: disjoint-family En
  unfolding disjoint-family-on-def
proof safe
  fix m n x
  assume m ≠ n and x: x ∈ En n x ∈ En m
  then consider m < n | n < m
    by linarith
  thus x ∈ {}
proof cases
  case 1
  hence 1:Suc m ≤ n
    by simp
  from x have f x ≤ yn (Suc m) yn n < f x
    by(auto simp: En-def)
  with 1 show ?thesis
    using monoD[OF strict-mono-mono[OF strict-mono-yn] 1] by linarith
next
  case 2
  hence 1:Suc n ≤ m
    by simp
  from x have f x ≤ yn (Suc n) yn m < f x
    by(auto simp: En-def)
  with 1 show ?thesis
    using monoD[OF strict-mono-mono[OF strict-mono-yn] 1] by linarith
qed
qed
have K-eq-un-En: ?K = (⋃ i≤L. En i)
proof safe

```

```

fix x
assume x:x ∈ ?K
have ∃ m∈{..L}. yn m < f x ∧ x ∈ topspace X ∧ f x ≤ yn (Suc m)
proof(rule ccontr)
  assume ¬ (∃ m∈{..L}. yn m < f x ∧ x ∈ topspace X ∧ f x ≤ yn (Suc
m))
  then have 1:∧m. m ≤ L ⇒ yn (Suc m) < f x ∨ f x ≤ yn m
    using compactin-subset-topspace[OF K(1)] x by force
  then have m ≤ L ⇒ yn (Suc m) < f x for m
    by(induction m) (use B x yn-0 in fastforce)+
  hence yn (Suc L) < f x
    by force
  with yn-ge-L[of Suc L] f-bound x B show False
    by fastforce
qed
thus x ∈ (∪ i≤L. En i)
  using x by(auto simp: En-def)
qed(auto simp: En-def)
have emeasure-En-fin: emeasure N (En i) < ∞ for i
proof -
  have emeasure N (En i) ≤ μ ?K
    unfolding emeasure-N[OF En-sets[of i]] by(auto intro!: μ-mono simp:
En-def)
  also have ... < ∞
    using step2(1)[OF K(1)] step8 by blast
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
have ∃ Vi. openin X Vi ∧ En i ⊆ Vi ∧ measure N Vi < measure N (En i)
+ (1 / Suc n) / Suc L ∧
  (∀ x∈Vi. f x < (1 / real (Suc n) + yn i)) ∧ emeasure N Vi < ∞
for i
proof -
  have 1:emeasure N (En i) < emeasure N (En i) + ennreal (1 / real (Suc
n) / real (Suc L))
    unfolding ennreal-add-left-cancel-less[where b=0,simplified add-0-right]
    using emeasure-En-fin by (simp add: order-less-le)
  from Inf-le-iff[THEN iffD1,OF eq-refl[OF g2[rule-format,OF En-sets[of
i],symmetric]],rule-format,OF this]
  obtain Vi where Vi:openin X Vi Vi ⊇ En i
    emeasure N Vi < emeasure N (En i) + ennreal (1 / real (Suc n) / real
(Suc L))
    by blast
  hence ennreal (measure N Vi) = emeasure N Vi
    unfolding measure-def using ennreal-enn2real-if by fastforce
  also have ... < ennreal (measure N (En i)) + ennreal (1 / real (Suc n)
/ real (Suc L))
    using ennreal-enn2real-if emeasure-En-fin Vi by (metis emeasure-eq-ennreal-measure
top.extremum-strict)
  also have ... = ennreal (measure N (En i) + 1 / real (Suc n) / real (Suc

```

L))

by simp

finally have $1:\text{measure } N \text{ Vi} < \text{measure } N (En \ i) + 1 / \text{real } (Suc \ n) / \text{real } (Suc \ L)$

by(auto intro!: ennreal-less-iff[THEN iffD1])

define Vi' where $Vi' = Vi \cap \{x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{yn } i < f \ x \wedge f \ x < 1 / \text{real } (Suc \ n) + \text{yn } i\}$

have $En \ i \subseteq Vi'$

proof -

have $En \ i = En \ i \cap \{x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{yn } i < f \ x \wedge f \ x < 1 / \text{real } (Suc \ n) + \text{yn } i\}$

unfolding $En\text{-def}$ using $order.strict-trans1[OF - \ \text{yn-Suc-le}]$ by fast

also have $\dots \subseteq Vi'$

using $Vi(2)$ by(auto simp: $Vi'\text{-def}$)

finally show ?thesis .

qed

moreover have $\text{openin } X \ Vi'$

proof -

have $\{x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{yn } i < f \ x \wedge f \ x < 1 / \text{real } (Suc \ n) + \text{yn } i\} = (f - \{ \text{yn } i < \dots < 1 / \text{real } (Suc \ n) + \text{yn } i\} \cap \text{topspace } X)$

by fastforce

also have $\text{openin } X \ \dots$

using $continuous\text{-map-open}[OF \ f(1)]$ by simp

finally show ?thesis

using $Vi(1)$ by(auto simp: $Vi'\text{-def}$)

qed

moreover have $\text{measure } N \ Vi' < \text{measure } N (En \ i) + (1 / \text{real } (Suc \ n)) / \text{real } (Suc \ L)$ (is ?l < ?r)

proof -

have $?l \leq \text{measure } N \ Vi$

unfolding measure-def

proof(safe intro!: enn2real-mono emeasure-mono)

show $Vi \in \text{sets } N$

using $Vi(1)$ $\text{borel-of-open sets-N step7(2)}$ by blast

show $\text{emeasure } N \ Vi < \top$

by (metis $\langle \text{ennreal } (Sigma\text{-Algebra.measure } N \ Vi) = \text{emeasure } N \ Vi \rangle$ ennreal-less-top)

qed(auto simp: $Vi'\text{-def}$)

with 1 show ?thesis

by fastforce

qed

moreover have $\bigwedge x. x \in Vi' \implies f \ x < (1 / \text{real } (Suc \ n) + \text{yn } i)$

by(auto simp: $Vi'\text{-def}$)

moreover have $\text{emeasure } N \ Vi' < \infty$

by (metis (no-types, lifting) $\text{Diff-Diff-Int Diff-subset } Vi'\text{-def } Vi(1) \langle \text{ennreal } (\text{measure } N \ Vi) = \text{emeasure } N \ Vi \rangle \text{borel-of-open emeasure-mono ennreal-less-top infinity-ennreal-def linorder-not-less sets-N step7(2) subsetD top.not-eq-extremum}$)

ultimately show ?thesis

by *blast*
 qed
 then obtain V_i where

$$V_i: \bigwedge i. \text{openin } X (V_i i) \wedge i. \text{En } i \subseteq V_i i$$

$$\bigwedge i. \text{measure } N (V_i i) < \text{measure } N (\text{En } i) + (1 / \text{Suc } n) / \text{Suc } L$$

$$\bigwedge i x. x \in V_i i \implies f x < (1 / \text{real } (\text{Suc } n) + \text{yn } i)$$

$$\bigwedge i. \text{emeasure } N (V_i i) < \infty$$
 by *metis*
 have $?K \subseteq (\bigcup_{i \leq L}. V_i i)$
 using *K-eq-un-En Vi(2) by blast*
 from *fApertition[OF K(1) Vi(1) this]*
 obtain hi where $hi: \bigwedge i. i \leq L \implies ?iscont (hi i) \wedge i. i \leq L \implies ?csupp (hi$
 $i)$

$$\bigwedge i. i \leq L \implies X \text{ closure-of } \{x \in \text{topspace } X. hi i x \neq 0\} \subseteq V_i i$$

$$\bigwedge i. i \leq L \implies hi i \in \text{topspace } X \rightarrow \{0..1\} \wedge i. i \leq L \implies hi i \in \text{topspace}$$

 $X - V_i i \rightarrow \{0\}$

$$\bigwedge x. x \in ?K \implies (\sum_{i \leq L}. hi i x) = 1 \wedge x. x \in \text{topspace } X \implies 0 \leq (\sum_{i \leq L}.$$

 $hi i x)$

$$\bigwedge x. x \in \text{topspace } X \implies (\sum_{i \leq L}. hi i x) \leq 1$$
 by *blast*
 have *f-sum-hif*: $(\sum_{i \leq L}. f x * hi i x) = f x$ if $x: x \in \text{topspace } X$ for x
 proof (cases $f x = 0$)
 case *False*
 then have $x \in ?K$
 using *in-closure-of x by fast*
 with *hi(6)[OF this] show ?thesis*
 by (*simp add: sum-distrib-left[symmetric]*)
 qed *simp*
 have *sum-muEi*: $(\sum_{i \leq L}. \text{measure } N (\text{En } i)) = \text{measure } N ?K$
 proof -
 have $(\sum_{i \leq L}. \text{measure } N (\text{En } i)) = \text{measure } N (\bigcup_{i \leq L}. \text{En } i)$
 using *emeasure-En-fin En-disjnt*
 by (*fastforce intro!: measure-UNION'[symmetric] fmeasurableI pairwiseI*
simp: disjnt-iff disjoint-family-on-def)
 also have $\dots = \text{measure } N ?K$
 by (*simp add: K-eq-un-En*)
 finally show *?thesis* .
 qed
 have *measure-K-le*: $\text{measure } N ?K \leq (\sum_{i \leq L}. \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. hi i x))$
 proof -
 have *ennreal (measure N ?K) = μ ?K*
 by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) K(1) K(2) Sigma-Algebra.measure-def*
emeasure-N ennreal-enn2real g5 infinity-ennreal-def)
 also have $\mu ?K \leq \text{ennreal } (\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \sum_{i \leq L}. hi i x))$
 by (*auto intro!: le-Inf-iff[THEN iffD1, OF eq-refl[OF step2(2)[OF*
 $K(1)]]$, *rule-format*)
 $\text{imageI exI[where } x = \lambda x. \sum_{i \leq L}. hi i x]$ *has-compact-support-on-sum*
hi continuous-map-sum)
 also have $\dots = \text{ennreal } (\sum_{i \leq L}. \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. hi i x))$


```

    by(auto intro!: pos-lin-functional-on-CX-sum assms ennreal-cong hi)
  finally show ?thesis
    using Pi-mem[OF hi(4)] by(auto intro!: ennreal-le-iff[of - measure N
?K, THEN iffD1] sum-nonneg pos hi)
  qed
  have  $\varphi$  (restrict f (topspace X)) =  $\varphi$  ( $\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \sum_{i \leq L}. f x * hi i x$ )
    using f-sum-hif restrict-ext by force
  also have ... = ( $\sum_{i \leq L}. \varphi$  ( $\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x * hi i x$ ))
  using f hi by(auto intro!: pos-lin-functional-on-CX-sum assms has-compact-support-on-mult-right)
  also have ...  $\leq$  ( $\sum_{i \leq L}. \varphi$  ( $\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. (1 / (\text{Suc } n) + yn i) * hi i x$ ))
  proof(safe intro!: sum-mono  $\varphi$  mono)
    fix i x
    assume  $i: i \leq L$   $x \in \text{topspace } X$ 
    show  $f x * hi i x \leq (1 / (\text{Suc } n) + yn i) * hi i x$ 
    proof(cases  $x \in Vi i$ )
      case True
        hence  $f x < 1 / (\text{Suc } n) + yn i$ 
        by fact
      thus ?thesis
        using Pi-mem[OF hi(4)[OF i(1)] i(2)] by(intro mult-right-mono) auto
    next
      case False
        then show ?thesis
          using Pi-mem[OF hi(5)[OF i(1)]] i(2) by force
    qed
  qed(auto intro!: f hi has-compact-support-on-mult-left)
  also have ... = ( $\sum_{i \leq L}. (1 / (\text{Suc } n) + yn i) * \varphi$  ( $\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. hi i x$ ))
    by(intro Finite-Cartesian-Product.sum-cong-aux linear hi) auto
  also have ... = ( $\sum_{i \leq L}. (1 / (\text{Suc } n) + yn i + (B + 1)) * \varphi$  ( $\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. hi i x$ ))
    - ( $\sum_{i \leq L}. (B + 1) * \varphi$  ( $\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. hi i x$ ))
    by(simp add: sum-subtractf[symmetric] distrib-right)
  also have ... = ( $\sum_{i \leq L}. (1 / (\text{Suc } n) + yn i + (B + 1)) * \varphi$  ( $\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. hi i x$ ))
    - (B + 1) * ( $\sum_{i \leq L}. \varphi$  ( $\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. hi i x$ ))
    by (simp add: sum-distrib-left)
  also have ...  $\leq$  ( $\sum_{i \leq L}. (1 / (\text{Suc } n) + yn i + (B + 1)) * (\text{measure } N (En i) + (1 / \text{Suc } n / \text{Suc } L))$ )
    - (B + 1) *  $\text{measure } N ?K$ 
  proof(safe intro!: diff-mono[OF sum-mono[OF mult-left-mono]])
    fix i
    assume  $i: i \leq L$ 
    show  $\varphi$  (restrict (hi i) (topspace X))  $\leq$   $\text{measure } N (En i) + 1 / (\text{Suc } n) / (\text{Suc } L)$  (is ?l  $\leq$  ?r)
    proof -
      have ?l  $\leq$   $\text{measure } N (Vi i)$ 
      proof -
        have ennreal ( $\varphi$  (restrict (hi i) (topspace X)))  $\leq$   $\mu' (Vi i)$ 
        using hi(1,2,3,4,5)[OF i] by(auto intro!: SUP-upper imageI exI) where

```

$x=hi\ i]$ simp: μ' -def)
also have ... = emeasure N ($Vi\ i$)
by (metis $Vi(1)$ μ -open borel-of-open emeasure- N sets- N step7(2)
subsetD)
also have ... = ennreal (measure N ($Vi\ i$))
using $Vi(5)[of\ i]$ **by**(auto simp: measure-def intro!: ennreal-enn2real[symmetric])
finally show φ (restrict ($hi\ i$) (topspace X)) \leq measure N ($Vi\ i$)
using ennreal-le-iff measure-nonneg **by** blast
qed
with $Vi(3)[of\ i]$ **show** ?thesis
by linarith
qed
show $0 \leq 1 / \text{real } (Suc\ n) + yn\ i + (B + 1)$
using yn-ge[of i] **by**(simp add: add.assoc)
qed(use B -pos measure- K -le in fastforce)
also have ... = $(\sum_{i \leq L}. (yn\ i - 1 / (Suc\ n)) * \text{measure } N\ (En\ i)) + 2 * (\sum_{i \leq L}. ((1 / Suc\ n)) * \text{measure } N\ (En\ i))$
 $+ (\sum_{i \leq L}. (B + 1) * \text{measure } N\ (En\ i))$
 $+ (\sum_{i \leq L}. (1 / (Suc\ n) + yn\ i + (B + 1)) * (1 / Suc\ n / Suc\ L)) - (B + 1) * \text{measure } N\ ?K$
by(simp add: distrib-left distrib-right sum.distrib sum-subtractf left-diff-distrib)
also have ... = $(\sum_{i \leq L}. (yn\ i - 1 / (Suc\ n)) * \text{measure } N\ (En\ i)) + 1 / Suc\ n * 2 * \text{measure } N\ ?K$
 $+ (\sum_{i \leq L}. (1 / (Suc\ n) + yn\ i + (B + 1)) * (1 / Suc\ n / Suc\ L))$
by(simp add: sum-distrib-left[symmetric] sum-muEi del: times-divide-eq-left)
also have ... $\leq (\sum_{i \leq L}. (yn\ i - 1 / (Suc\ n)) * \text{measure } N\ (En\ i)) + 1 / Suc\ n * 2 * \text{measure } N\ ?K$
 $+ (\sum_{i \leq L}. (1 / (Suc\ n) + B + (B + 1)) * (1 / Suc\ n / Suc\ L))$
proof –
have $(\sum_{i \leq L}. (1 / (Suc\ n) + yn\ i + (B + 1)) * (1 / Suc\ n / Suc\ L))$
 $\leq (\sum_{i \leq L}. (1 / (Suc\ n) + B + (B + 1)) * (1 / Suc\ n / Suc\ L))$
proof(safe intro!: sum-mono mult-right-mono)
fix i
assume $i: i \leq L$
show $1 / (Suc\ n) + yn\ i + (B + 1) \leq 1 / (Suc\ n) + B + (B + 1)$
using yn-le-L[OF i] **by** fastforce
qed auto
thus ?thesis
by argo
qed
also have ... = $(\sum_{i \leq L}. (yn\ i - 1 / (Suc\ n)) * \text{measure } N\ (En\ i)) + 1 / Suc\ n * 2 * \text{measure } N\ ?K$
 $+ (1 / (Suc\ n) + B + (B + 1)) * (1 / Suc\ n)$
by simp
also have ... = $(\sum_{i \leq L}. (yn\ i - 1 / (Suc\ n)) * \text{measure } N\ (En\ i))$
 $+ 1 / Suc\ n * (2 * \text{measure } N\ ?K + (1 / Suc\ n) + 2 * B +$
1)

```

    by argo
    also have ... ≤ (∫ x. f x ∂N) + 1 / (Suc n) * (2 * measure N ?K + (1 /
Suc n) + 2 * B + 1)
    proof -
      have (∑ i≤L. (yn i - 1 / (Suc n)) * measure N (En i)) ≤ (∫ x. f x ∂N)
(is ?l ≤ ?r)
      proof -
        have ?l = (∑ i≤L. (∫ x. (yn i - 1 / (Suc n)) * indicator (En i) x ∂N))
        by simp
        also have ... = (∫ x. (∑ i≤L. (yn i - 1 / (Suc n)) * indicator (En i)
x) ∂N)
        by(rule Bochner-Integration.integral-sum[symmetric]) (use emea-
sure-En-fin in simp)
        also have ... ≤ ?r
        proof(rule integral-mono)
          fix x
          assume x: x ∈ space N
          consider ∧i. i ≤ L ⇒ x ∉ En i | ∃ i≤L. x ∈ En i
          by blast
          then show (∑ i≤L. (yn i - 1 / real (Suc n)) * indicat-real (En i)
x) ≤ f x
          proof cases
            case 1
            then have x ∉ ?K
            by(simp add: K-eq-un-En)
            hence f x = 0
            using x in-closure-of by(fastforce simp: space-N)
            with 1 show ?thesis
            by force
          next
            case 2
            then obtain i where i: i ≤ L x ∈ En i
            by blast
            with En-disjnt have ∧j. j ≠ i ⇒ x ∉ En j
            by(auto simp: disjoint-family-on-def)
            hence (∑ i≤L. (yn i - 1 / real (Suc n)) * indicat-real (En i) x)
            = (∑ j≤L. if j = i then (yn i - 1 / real (Suc n)) else 0)
            by(intro Finite-Cartesian-Product.sum-cong-aux) (use i in auto)
            also have ... = yn i - 1 / real (Suc n)
            using i by auto
            also have ... ≤ f x
            using i(2) by(auto simp: En-def diff-less-eq order-less-le-trans intro!:
order.strict-implies-order)
            finally show ?thesis .
          qed
        next
          show integrable N (λx. ∑ i≤L. (yn i - 1 / real (Suc n)) * indicat-real
(En i) x)
          using emeasure-En-fin by fastforce

```

```

      qed(use g7 f in auto)
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed
    thus ?thesis
      by fastforce
  qed
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
show ?thesis
proof(rule Lim-bounded2)
  show  $(\int x. f x \partial N) + 1 / \text{real} (\text{Suc } n) * (2 * \text{measure } N ?K + 1 / \text{real} (\text{Suc } n) + 2 * B + 1)) \longrightarrow (\int x. f x \partial N)$ 
  apply(rule tendsto-add[where b=0,simplified])
  apply simp
  apply(rule tendsto-mult[where a = 0::real, simplified,where b=2 *
measure N ?K + 2 * B + 1])
  apply(intro LIMSEQ-Suc[OF lim-inverse-n1] tendsto-add[OF tend-
sto-const,of - 0,simplified] tendsto-add[OF - tendsto-const])+
  done
  qed(use 1 in auto)
qed
fix f
assume f: ?iscont f ?csupp f
show  $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial N)$ 
proof(rule antisym)
  have  $-\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. - f x)$ 
  using f by(auto intro!:  $\varphi \text{diff}$ [of  $\lambda x. 0 f$ ,simplified  $\varphi - 0$ ,simplified,symmetric])
  also have  $\dots \leq (\int x. - f x \partial N)$ 
  by(intro 1) (auto simp: f)
  also have  $\dots = - (\int x. f x \partial N)$ 
  by simp
  finally show  $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) \geq (\int x. f x \partial N)$ 
  by linarith
qed(intro f 1)
qed
show ?thesis
  apply(intro exI[where x=M] exI[where a=N] rep-measures-real-unique[OF
lh(1,2),of - N])
  using sets-N g1 g2 g3 g4 g5 g6 g7 g8 by auto
qed

```

3.6 Riesz Representation Theorem for Complex Numbers

theorem *Riesz-representation-complex-complete:*

fixes $X :: 'a \text{ topology}$ **and** $\varphi :: ('a \Rightarrow \text{complex}) \Rightarrow \text{complex}$

assumes $lh: \text{locally-compact-space } X \text{ Hausdorff-space } X$

and $plf: \text{positive-linear-functional-on-CX } X \varphi$

shows $\exists M. \exists ! N. \text{sets } N = M \wedge \text{subalgebra } N \text{ (borel-of } X)$

$\wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N A = (\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{emeasure}$

$N C)$
 $\wedge (\forall A. \text{openin } X A \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N K))$
 $\wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N A < \infty \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N K))$
 $\wedge (\forall K. \text{compactin } X K \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N K < \infty)$
 $\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \longrightarrow f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial N))$
 $\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \longrightarrow f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \longrightarrow \text{complex-integrable } N f)$
 $\wedge \text{complete-measure } N$
proof –
let $?\varphi' = \lambda f. \text{Re } (\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{complex-of-real } (f x)))$
from $\text{Riesz-representation-real-complete}[OF \text{ lh pos-lin-functional-on-CX-complex-decompose-plf}[OF \text{ plf}]]$
obtain $M N$ **where** MN :
 $\text{sets } N = M \text{ subalgebra } N (\text{borel-of } X) (\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N A = \prod (\text{emeasure } N ' \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}))$
 $(\forall A. \text{openin } X A \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N A = \bigsqcup (\text{emeasure } N ' \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}))$
 $(\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N A < \infty \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N A = \bigsqcup (\text{emeasure } N ' \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}))$
 $(\forall K. \text{compactin } X K \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N K < \infty)$
 $\wedge f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f \Longrightarrow f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \Longrightarrow ?\varphi' (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial N)$
 $\wedge f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f \Longrightarrow f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \Longrightarrow \text{integrable } N f \text{ complete-measure } N$
by fastforce
have $MN1$: $\text{complex-integrable } N f$ **if** f : $\text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$ **for** f
using f **unfolding** $\text{complex-integrable-iff}$
by(auto intro! : $MN(8)$)
have $MN2$: $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial N)$
if f : $\text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$ **for** f
proof –
have $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x)$
 $= \text{complex-of-real } (?\varphi' (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{Re } (f x))) + i * \text{complex-of-real } (?\varphi' (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{Im } (f x)))$
using f **by**($\text{intro pos-lin-functional-on-CX-complex-decompose}[OF \text{ plf}]$)
also have $\dots = \text{complex-of-real } (\int x. \text{Re } (f x) \partial N) + i * \text{complex-of-real } (\int x. \text{Im } (f x) \partial N)$
proof –
have $*$: $?\varphi' (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{Re } (f x)) = (\int x. \text{Re } (f x) \partial N)$
using f **by**($\text{intro } MN(7)$) auto
have $**$: $?\varphi' (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{Im } (f x)) = (\int x. \text{Im } (f x) \partial N)$
using f **by**($\text{intro } MN(7)$) auto
show $?\text{thesis}$
unfolding $* ** ..$
qed

also have ... = *complex-of-real* ($\text{Re} (\int x. f x \partial N)$) + $i * \text{complex-of-real}$ ($\text{Im} (\int x. f x \partial N)$)
by(*simp add: integral-Im*[*OF MN1*[*OF that*]] *integral-Re*[*OF MN1*[*OF that*]])
also have ... = ($\int x. f x \partial N$)
using *complex-eq by auto*
finally show ?thesis .
qed
show ?thesis
apply(*intro exI*[**where** $x=M$] *exII*[**where** $a=N$] *rep-measures-complex-unique*[*OF lh*])
using *MN(1-6,9) MN1 MN2*
by *auto*
qed

3.7 Other Forms of the Theorem

In the case when the representation measure is on X .

theorem *Riesz-representation-real*:

assumes *lh:locally-compact-space X Hausdorff-space X*

and *positive-linear-functional-on-CX X φ*

shows $\exists! N. \text{sets } N = \text{sets (borel-of } X)$

$\wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N A = (\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{emeasure } N C))$

$\wedge (\forall A. \text{openin } X A \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N K))$

$\wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N A < \infty \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N K))$

$\wedge (\forall K. \text{compactin } X K \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N K < \infty)$

$\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f \longrightarrow f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial N))$

$\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f \longrightarrow f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \longrightarrow \text{integrable } N f)$

proof –

from *Riesz-representation-real-complete*[*OF assms*] **obtain** $M N$ **where** MN :

sets $N = M \text{ subalgebra } N \text{ (borel-of } X) (\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N A = \prod (\text{emeasure } N ' \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}))$

$(\forall A. \text{openin } X A \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N A = \bigsqcup (\text{emeasure } N ' \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}))$

$(\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N A < \infty \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N A = \bigsqcup (\text{emeasure } N ' \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}))$

$(\forall K. \text{compactin } X K \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N K < \infty)$

$\wedge f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f \implies f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \implies \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial N)$

$\wedge f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f \implies f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \implies \text{integrable } N f \text{ complete-measure } N$

by *fastforce*

define N' **where** $N' \equiv \text{restr-to-subalg } N \text{ (borel-of } X)$

have $g1$: *sets* $N' = \text{sets (borel-of } X)$ (**is** ? $g1$)

and $g2: \forall A \in \text{sets } N'. \text{emeasure } N' A = (\bigcap C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{emeasure } N' C)$ (**is** ?g2)
and $g3: \forall A. \text{openin } X A \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N' A = (\bigcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N' K)$ (**is** ?g3)
and $g4: \forall A \in \text{sets } N'. \text{emeasure } N' A < \infty \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N' A = (\bigcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N' K)$ (**is** ?g4)
and $g5: \forall K. \text{compactin } X K \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N' K < \infty$ (**is** ?g5)
and $g6: \forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f \longrightarrow f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial N')$ (**is** ?g6)
and $g7: \forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f \longrightarrow f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \longrightarrow \text{integrable } N' f$ (**is** ?g7)
proof –
have $\text{sets-}N'$: $\text{sets } N' = \text{borel-of } X$
using $\text{sets-restr-to-subalg}[OF MN(2)]$ **by** ($\text{auto simp: } N'\text{-def}$)
have $\text{emeasure-}N'$: $\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } N' \implies \text{emeasure } N' A = \text{emeasure } N A$
by ($\text{simp add: } MN(2) N'\text{-def emeasure-restr-to-subalg sets-restr-to-subalg}$)
have $\text{sets}N'[\text{measurable}]$: $\bigwedge A. \text{openin } X A \implies A \in \text{sets } N' \wedge A. \text{compactin } X A \implies A \in \text{sets } N'$
by ($\text{auto simp: sets-}N' \text{ dest: borel-of-open borel-of-closed}[OF \text{compactin-imp-closedin}[OF lh(2)]]$)
have $\text{sets-}N'\text{-sets-}N[\text{simp}]$: $\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } N' \implies A \in \text{sets } N$
using $MN(2)$ $\text{sets-}N'$ subalgebra-def **by** blast
show ?g1
by ($\text{simp add: } MN(2) N'\text{-def sets-restr-to-subalg}$)
show ?g2
using $MN(3)$ **by** ($\text{auto simp: emeasure-}N'$)
show ?g3
using $MN(4)$ **by** ($\text{auto simp: emeasure-}N'$)
show ?g4
using $MN(5)$ **by** ($\text{auto simp: emeasure-}N'$)
show ?g5
using $MN(6)$ **by** ($\text{auto simp: emeasure-}N'$)
show ?g6 ?g7
proof safe
fix f
assume $f: \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X$
then **have** $[\text{measurable}]$: $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{borel-of } X)$
by ($\text{simp add: continuous-lower-semicontinuous lower-semicontinuous-map-measurable}$)
from $MN(7,8)$ f **show** $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial N')$ $\text{integrable } N' f$
by ($\text{auto simp: } N'\text{-def integral-subalgebra2}[OF MN(2)] \text{ intro!: integrable-in-subalg}[OF MN(2)]$)
qed
qed
have $g8: \bigwedge L. \text{sets } L = \text{sets } (\text{borel-of } X) \implies \text{subalgebra } L (\text{borel-of } X)$
by ($\text{metis sets-eq-imp-space-eq subalgebra-def subset-refl}$)
show ?thesis
apply ($\text{intro ex1I}[\text{where } a=N'] \text{ rep-measures-real-unique}[OF lh]$)

using g1 g2 g3 g4 g5 g6 g7 g8 by auto
qed

theorem *Riesz-representation-complex*:

fixes $X :: 'a \text{ topology}$ **and** $\varphi :: ('a \Rightarrow \text{complex}) \Rightarrow \text{complex}$

assumes $lh: \text{locally-compact-space } X \text{ Hausdorff-space } X$

and $\text{positive-linear-functional-on-}CX \ X \ \varphi$

shows $\exists ! N. \text{sets } N = \text{sets (borel-of } X)$

$\wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N \ A = (\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X \ C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{emeasure } N \ C))$

$\wedge (\forall A. \text{openin } X \ A \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N \ A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X \ K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N \ K))$

$\wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N \ A < \infty \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N \ A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X \ K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N \ K))$

$\wedge (\forall K. \text{compactin } X \ K \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N \ K < \infty)$

$\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \ \text{euclidean } f \longrightarrow f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f \ x) = (\int x. f \ x \ \partial N))$

$\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \ \text{euclidean } f \longrightarrow f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \longrightarrow \text{complex-integrable } N \ f)$

proof –

from *Riesz-representation-complex-complete*[*OF assms*] **obtain** $M \ N$ **where** $M \ N$:

$\text{sets } N = M \ \text{subalgebra } N \ (\text{borel-of } X) \ (\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N \ A = \prod (\text{emeasure } N \ ' \{C. \text{openin } X \ C \wedge A \subseteq C\}))$

$(\forall A. \text{openin } X \ A \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N \ A = \bigsqcup (\text{emeasure } N \ ' \{K. \text{compactin } X \ K \wedge K \subseteq A\}))$

$(\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N \ A < \infty \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N \ A = \bigsqcup (\text{emeasure } N \ ' \{K. \text{compactin } X \ K \wedge K \subseteq A\}))$

$(\forall K. \text{compactin } X \ K \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N \ K < \infty)$

$\wedge f. \text{continuous-map } X \ \text{euclidean } f \Longrightarrow f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \Longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f \ x) = (\int x. f \ x \ \partial N)$

$\wedge f. \text{continuous-map } X \ \text{euclidean } f \Longrightarrow f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \Longrightarrow \text{complex-integrable } N \ f \ \text{complete-measure } N$

by *fastforce*

define N' **where** $N' \equiv \text{restr-to-subalg } N \ (\text{borel-of } X)$

have $g1: \text{sets } N' = \text{sets (borel-of } X)$ **(is ?g1)**

and $g2: \forall A \in \text{sets } N'. \text{emeasure } N' \ A = (\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X \ C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{emeasure } N' \ C)$ **(is ?g2)**

and $g3: \forall A. \text{openin } X \ A \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N' \ A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X \ K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N' \ K)$ **(is ?g3)**

and $g4: \forall A \in \text{sets } N'. \text{emeasure } N' \ A < \infty \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N' \ A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X \ K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N' \ K)$ **(is ?g4)**

and $g5: \forall K. \text{compactin } X \ K \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N' \ K < \infty$ **(is ?g5)**

and $g6: \forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \ \text{euclidean } f \longrightarrow f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f \ x) = (\int x. f \ x \ \partial N')$ **(is ?g6)**

and $g7: \forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \ \text{euclidean } f \longrightarrow f \text{ has-compact-support-on } X \longrightarrow \text{complex-integrable } N' \ f$ **(is ?g7)**

proof –

have $\text{sets-}N': \text{sets } N' = \text{borel-of } X$


```

    using sets-restr-to-subalg[OF MN(2)] by(auto simp: N'-def)
  have emeasure-N':  $\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } N' \implies \text{emeasure } N' A = \text{emeasure } N A$ 
    by (simp add: MN(2) N'-def emeasure-restr-to-subalg sets-restr-to-subalg)
  have setsN'[measurable]:  $\bigwedge A. \text{openin } X A \implies A \in \text{sets } N' \bigwedge A. \text{compactin } X$ 
 $A \implies A \in \text{sets } N'$ 
    by(auto simp: sets-N' dest: borel-of-open borel-of-closed[OF compactin-imp-closedin[OF
lh(2)]])
  have sets-N'-sets-N[simp]:  $\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } N' \implies A \in \text{sets } N$ 
    using MN(2) sets-N' subalgebra-def by blast
  show ?g1
    by (simp add: MN(2) N'-def sets-restr-to-subalg)
  show ?g2
    using MN(3) by(auto simp: emeasure-N')
  show ?g3
    using MN(4) by(auto simp: emeasure-N')
  show ?g4
    using MN(5) by(auto simp: emeasure-N')
  show ?g5
    using MN(6) by(auto simp: emeasure-N')
  show ?g6 ?g7
  proof safe
    fix f ::  $\mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \text{complex}$ 
    assume f:continuous-map X euclidean f f has-compact-support-on X
    then have [measurable]:  $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{borel-of } X)$ 
      by (metis borel-of-euclidean continuous-map-measurable)
    show  $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial N')$  integrable N' f
      using MN(7,8) f by(auto simp: N'-def integral-subalgebra2[OF MN(2)])
  intro!: integrable-in-subalg[OF MN(2)])
  qed
  qed
  have g8:  $\bigwedge L. \text{sets } L = \text{sets } (\text{borel-of } X) \implies \text{subalgebra } L (\text{borel-of } X)$ 
    by (metis sets-eq-imp-space-eq subalgebra-def subset-refl)

  show ?thesis
    apply(intro ex1I[where a=N'] rep-measures-complex-unique[OF lh])
    using g1 g2 g3 g4 g5 g6 g7 g8 by auto
  qed

```

3.7.1 Theorem for Compact Hausdorff Spaces

theorem *Riesz-representation-real-compact-Hausdorff:*

fixes $X :: 'a \text{ topology}$ **and** $\varphi :: ('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{real}$

assumes $lh:\text{compact-space } X \text{ Hausdorff-space } X$

and *positive-linear-functional-on-CX* $X \varphi$

shows $\exists! N. \text{sets } N = \text{sets } (\text{borel-of } X) \wedge \text{finite-measure } N$

$\wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N A = (\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{emeasure } N C))$

$\wedge (\forall A. \text{openin } X A \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N A = (\prod K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N K))$

$\wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N A < \infty \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N K))$
 $\wedge (\forall K. \text{compactin } X K \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N K < \infty)$
 $\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial N))$
 $\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f \longrightarrow \text{integrable } N f)$

proof –

have [simp]: *compactin* X (X *closure-of* A) **for** A
by (*simp add: closedin-compact-space* $lh(1)$)
from *Riesz-representation-real*[*OF compact-imp-locally-compact-space*[*OF* $lh(1)$]
assms(2,3)] **obtain** N **where** N :
sets $N = \text{sets } (\text{borel-of } X)$
 $(\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N A = (\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{emeasure } N C))$
 $(\forall A. \text{openin } X A \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N K))$
 $(\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N A < \infty \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N K))$
 $(\forall K. \text{compactin } X K \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N K < \infty)$
 $(\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial N))$
 $(\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f \longrightarrow \text{integrable } N f)$
by(*fastforce simp: assms(1)*)
have *space-N:space* $N = \text{topspace } X$
by (*simp add: N(1) sets-eq-imp-space-eq space-borel-of*)
have *fin:finite-measure* N
using $N(5)$ [*rule-format,of topspace* X] $lh(1)$
by(*auto intro!: finite-measureI simp: space-N compact-space-def*)
have $1: \bigwedge L. \text{sets } L = \text{sets } (\text{borel-of } X) \implies \text{subalgebra } L (\text{borel-of } X)$
by (*metis sets-eq-imp-space-eq subalgebra-def subset-refl*)
show ?thesis
by(*intro ex1I[where a=N] rep-measures-real-unique*[*OF compact-imp-locally-compact-space*[*OF* $lh(1)$]
 $lh(2)$])
(use N *fin* 1 **in** *auto*)

qed

theorem *Riesz-representation-complex-compact-Hausdorff*:

fixes $X :: 'a \text{ topology}$ **and** $\varphi :: ('a \Rightarrow \text{complex}) \Rightarrow \text{complex}$
assumes $lh: \text{compact-space } X$ *Hausdorff-space* X
and *positive-linear-functional-on-CX* X φ
shows $\exists ! N. \text{sets } N = \text{sets } (\text{borel-of } X) \wedge \text{finite-measure } N$
 $\wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N A = (\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{emeasure } N C))$
 $\wedge (\forall A. \text{openin } X A \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N K))$
 $\wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N A < \infty \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N K))$
 $\wedge (\forall K. \text{compactin } X K \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N K < \infty)$
 $\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x.$

$f x \partial N))$
 $\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \longrightarrow \text{complex-integrable } N f)$
proof –
have [simp]: compactin X (X closure-of A) **for** A
by (simp add: closedin-compact-space lh(1))
from Riesz-representation-complex[OF compact-imp-locally-compact-space[OF lh(1)]]
assms(2,3) **obtain** N **where** N :
sets $N = \text{sets (borel-of } X)$
 $(\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N A = (\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{emeasure } N C))$
 $(\forall A. \text{openin } X A \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N K))$
 $(\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N A < \infty \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N K))$
 $(\forall K. \text{compactin } X K \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N K < \infty)$
 $(\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial N))$
 $(\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \longrightarrow \text{complex-integrable } N f)$
by (fastforce simp: lh(1))
have space- N :space $N = \text{topspace } X$
by (simp add: $N(1)$ sets-eq-imp-space-eq space-borel-of)
have fin:finite-measure N
using $N(5)$ [rule-format, of topspace X] lh(1)
by (auto intro!: finite-measureI simp: space- N compact-space-def)
have 1: $\bigwedge L. \text{sets } L = \text{sets (borel-of } X) \implies \text{subalgebra } L (\text{borel-of } X)$
by (metis sets-eq-imp-space-eq subalgebra-def subset-refl)
show ?thesis
by (intro exI[**where** $a=N$] rep-measures-complex-unique[OF compact-imp-locally-compact-space[OF lh(1)]] lh(2))
(use N fin 1 **in** auto)
qed

3.7.2 Theorem for Compact Metrizable Spaces

theorem Riesz-representation-real-compact-metrizable:

fixes $X :: 'a \text{ topology}$ **and** $\varphi :: ('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{real}$

assumes lh:compact-space X metrizable-space X

and plf:positive-linear-functional-on-CX X φ

shows $\exists! N. \text{sets } N = \text{sets (borel-of } X) \wedge \text{finite-measure } N$

$\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean real } f \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial N))$

proof –

have hd: Hausdorff-space X

by (simp add: lh(2) metrizable-imp-Hausdorff-space)

from Riesz-representation-real-compact-Hausdorff[OF lh(1) hd plf] **obtain** N
where N :

sets $N = \text{sets (borel-of } X) \text{ finite-measure } N$

$(\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N A = (\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{emeasure } N C))$

$(\forall A. \text{openin } X \ A \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N \ A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X \ K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N \ K))$
 $(\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N \ A < \infty \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N \ A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X \ K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N \ K))$
 $(\forall K. \text{compactin } X \ K \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N \ K < \infty)$
 $(\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \ \text{euclideanreal } f \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f \ x) = (\int x. f \ x \ \partial N))$
 $(\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \ \text{euclideanreal } f \longrightarrow \text{integrable } N \ f)$
by fastforce
then have tight-on-N:tight-on X N
using finite-measure.tight-on-compact-space lh(1) lh(2) by metis

show ?thesis
proof (safe intro!: ex1I[where a=N])
fix M
assume M:sets M = sets (borel-of X) finite-measure M $(\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \ \text{euclideanreal } f \longrightarrow \varphi (\text{restrict } f \ (\text{topspace } X)) = \text{integral}^L \ M \ f)$
then have tight-on X M
using finite-measure.tight-on-compact-space lh(1) lh(2) by blast
thus M = N
using N(7) M(3) by (auto intro!: finite-tight-measure-eq[OF compact-imp-locally-compact-space[OF lh(1)] lh(2)] tight-on-N)
qed (use N in auto)
qed

theorem Riesz-representation-real-compact-metrizable-le1:

fixes $X :: 'a \text{ topology}$ **and** $\varphi :: ('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{real}$
assumes $lh: \text{compact-space } X \ \text{metrizable-space } X$
and $plf: \text{positive-linear-functional-on-CX } X \ \varphi$
shows $\exists ! N. \text{sets } N = \text{sets (borel-of } X) \wedge \text{finite-measure } N$
 $\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \ \text{euclideanreal } f \longrightarrow f \in \text{topspace } X \rightarrow \{0..1\})$
 $\longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f \ x) = (\int x. f \ x \ \partial N))$
proof –
have $hd: \text{Hausdorff-space } X$
by (simp add: lh(2) metrizable-imp-Hausdorff-space)

from Riesz-representation-real-compact-Hausdorff[OF lh(1) hd plf] obtain N
where N:

$\text{sets } N = \text{sets (borel-of } X) \ \text{finite-measure } N$
 $(\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N \ A = (\prod C \in \{C. \text{openin } X \ C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{emeasure } N \ C))$
 $(\forall A. \text{openin } X \ A \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N \ A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X \ K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N \ K))$
 $(\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N \ A < \infty \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N \ A = (\bigsqcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X \ K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N \ K))$
 $(\forall K. \text{compactin } X \ K \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N \ K < \infty)$
 $(\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \ \text{euclideanreal } f \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f \ x) = (\int x. f \ x \ \partial N))$
 $(\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \ \text{euclideanreal } f \longrightarrow \text{integrable } N \ f)$

by fastforce
then have *tight-on-N:tight-on X N*
using *finite-measure.tight-on-compact-space lh(1) lh(2) by metis*

show ?thesis
proof(*safe intro!*: *ex1I[where a=N]*)
fix *M*
assume *M:sets M = sets (borel-of X) finite-measure M (∀f. continuous-map X euclideanreal f → f ∈ topspace X → {0..1} → φ (restrict f (topspace X)) = integral^L M f)*
then have *tight-on X M*
using *finite-measure.tight-on-compact-space lh(1) lh(2) by blast*
thus *M = N*
using *N(7) M(3) by(auto intro!: finite-tight-measure-eg[OF compact-imp-locally-compact-space[OF lh(1)] lh(2)] tight-on-N)*
qed(*use N in auto*)
qed

theorem *Riesz-representation-complex-compact-metrizable:*
fixes *X :: 'a topology and φ :: ('a ⇒ complex) ⇒ complex*
assumes *lh:compact-space X metrizable-space X*
and *plf:positive-linear-functional-on-CX X φ*
shows $\exists! N. \text{sets } N = \text{sets (borel-of } X) \wedge \text{finite-measure } N$
 $\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial N))$
proof–
have *hd: Hausdorff-space X*
by (*simp add: lh(2) metrizable-imp-Hausdorff-space*)

from *Riesz-representation-complex-compact-Hausdorff[OF lh(1) hd plf]* **obtain**
N where N:
 $\text{sets } N = \text{sets (borel-of } X) \text{ finite-measure } N$
 $(\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigcap C \in \{C. \text{openin } X C \wedge A \subseteq C\}. \text{emeasure } N C))$
 $(\forall A. \text{openin } X A \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N K))$
 $(\forall A \in \text{sets } N. \text{emeasure } N A < \infty \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N A = (\bigcup K \in \{K. \text{compactin } X K \wedge K \subseteq A\}. \text{emeasure } N K))$
 $(\forall K. \text{compactin } X K \longrightarrow \text{emeasure } N K < \infty)$
 $(\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial N))$
 $(\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \longrightarrow \text{complex-integrable } N f)$
by fastforce
then have *tight-on-N:tight-on X N*
using *finite-measure.tight-on-compact-space lh(1) lh(2) by metis*

show ?thesis
proof(*safe intro!*: *ex1I[where a=N]*)
fix *M*
assume *M:sets M = sets (borel-of X) finite-measure M (∀f. continuous-map*

X euclidean $f \longrightarrow \varphi$ ($\text{restrict } f$ ($\text{topspace } X$)) = ($\int x. f x \partial M$)
then have $\text{tight-on-}M:\text{tight-on } X M$
using $\text{finite-measure.tight-on-compact-space } lh(1) lh(2)$ **by** blast
have ($\int x. f x \partial N$) = ($\int x. f x \partial M$) **if** $f:\text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f$ **for** f
proof –
have ($\int x. f x \partial N$) = Re ($\int x. \text{complex-of-real } (f x) \partial N$)
by simp
also have ... = Re (φ ($\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{complex-of-real } (f x)$))
by ($\text{intro arg-cong}[\text{where } f=\text{Re}] N(7)[\text{rule-format,symmetric}]$) (simp add:
 f)
also have ... = Re ($\int x. \text{complex-of-real } (f x) \partial M$)
by ($\text{intro arg-cong}[\text{where } f=\text{Re}] M(3)[\text{rule-format}]$) ($\text{simp add: } f$)
also have ... = ($\int x. f x \partial M$)
by simp
finally show $?thesis$.
qed
thus $M = N$
by ($\text{auto intro!}:\text{finite-tight-measure-eq}[\text{OF compact-imp-locally-compact-space}[\text{OF}$
 $lh(1)] lh(2)] \text{tight-on-}N \text{tight-on-}M$)
qed ($\text{use } N$ **in** auto)
qed

theorem $\text{Riesz-representation-real-compact-metrizable-subprob}$:

fixes $X :: 'a \text{ topology}$ **and** $\varphi :: ('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{real}$
assumes $lh:\text{compact-space } X \text{ metrizable-space } X$
and $plf:\text{positive-linear-functional-on-CX } X \varphi$
and $le1:\varphi$ ($\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. 1$) ≤ 1 **and** $ne:X \neq \text{trivial-topology}$
shows $\exists! N. \text{sets } N = \text{sets} (\text{borel-of } X) \wedge \text{subprob-space } N$
 $\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f \longrightarrow \varphi$ ($\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x$) =
 $(\int x. f x \partial N))$
proof –
from $\text{Riesz-representation-real-compact-metrizable}[\text{OF assms}(1-3)]$
obtain N **where** $N:\text{sets } N = \text{sets} (\text{borel-of } X) \text{finite-measure } N$ ($\forall f. \text{continuous-}$
 $\text{map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f \longrightarrow \varphi$ ($\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x$) = ($\int x. f x \partial N$))
 $\wedge M. \text{sets } M = \text{sets} (\text{borel-of } X) \Longrightarrow \text{finite-measure } M \Longrightarrow (\forall f. \text{continuous-map}$
 $X \text{ euclideanreal } f \longrightarrow \varphi$ ($\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x$) = ($\int x. f x \partial M$)) $\Longrightarrow M = N$
by fastforce
then interpret $\text{finite-measure } N$
by blast
have $\text{subN}:\text{subprob-space } N$
proof
have $\text{measure } N$ ($\text{space } N$) = ($\int x. 1 \partial N$)
by simp
also have ... = φ ($\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. 1$)
by ($\text{intro } N(3)[\text{rule-format,symmetric}]$) simp
also have ... ≤ 1
by fact
finally show $\text{emeasure } N$ ($\text{space } N$) ≤ 1
by ($\text{simp add: emeasure-eq-measure}$)

```

next
  show space N ≠ {}
  using sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF N(1)] ne by(auto simp: space-borel-of)
qed
show ?thesis
  using N(4)[OF - subprob-space.axioms(1)] subN N(1,3) by(auto intro!: ex1I[where
a=N])
qed

```

theorem *Riesz-representation-real-compact-metrizable-subprob-le1*:

```

fixes X :: 'a topology and φ :: ('a ⇒ real) ⇒ real
assumes lh:compact-space X metrizable-space X
  and plf:positive-linear-functional-on-CX X φ
  and le1: φ (λx∈topspace X. 1) ≤ 1 and ne: X ≠ trivial-topology
shows ∃!N. sets N = sets (borel-of X) ∧ subprob-space N
  ∧ (∀f. continuous-map X euclideanreal f ⟶ f ∈ topspace X ⟶ {0..1}
  ⟶ φ (λx∈topspace X. f x) = (∫ x. f x ∂N))

```

proof –

```

from Riesz-representation-real-compact-metrizable-le1[OF lh plf]
obtain N where N: sets N = sets (borel-of X) finite-measure N (∀f. continu-
ous-map X euclideanreal f ⟶ f ∈ topspace X ⟶ {0..1} ⟶ φ (λx∈topspace X.
f x) = (∫ x. f x ∂N))
  ∧ M. sets M = sets (borel-of X) ⟹ finite-measure M ⟹ (∀f. continuous-map
X euclideanreal f ⟶ f ∈ topspace X ⟶ {0..1} ⟶ φ (λx∈topspace X. f x) =
(∫ x. f x ∂M)) ⟹ M = N

```

by fastforce

then interpret finite-measure N

by blast

have subN:subprob-space N

proof

have measure N (space N) = (∫ x. 1 ∂N)

by simp

also have ... = φ (λx∈topspace X. 1)

by(intro N(3)[rule-format,symmetric]) simp-all

also have ... ≤ 1

by fact

finally show emeasure N (space N) ≤ 1

by (simp add: emeasure-eq-measure)

next

show space N ≠ {}

using sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF N(1)] ne by(auto simp: space-borel-of)

qed

show ?thesis

```

using N(4)[OF - subprob-space.axioms(1)] subN N(1,3) by(auto intro!: ex1I[where
a=N])

```

qed

theorem *Riesz-representation-real-compact-metrizable-prob*:

```

fixes X :: 'a topology and φ :: ('a ⇒ real) ⇒ real

```

assumes *lh:compact-space X metrizable-space X*
and *plf:positive-linear-functional-on-CX X φ*
and $\varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. 1) = 1$
shows $\exists ! N. \text{sets } N = \text{sets (borel-of } X) \wedge \text{prob-space } N$
 $\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) =$
 $(\int x. f x \partial N))$
proof –
from *Riesz-representation-real-compact-metrizable[OF lh plf]*
obtain *N where N: sets N = sets (borel-of X) finite-measure N* $(\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial N))$
 $\wedge M. \text{sets } M = \text{sets (borel-of } X) \implies \text{finite-measure } M \implies (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclideanreal } f \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial M)) \implies M = N$
by *fastforce*
then interpret *finite-measure N*
by *blast*
have *probN:prob-space N*
proof
have *measure N (space N) = (∫ x. 1 ∂N)*
by *simp*
also have $\dots = \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. 1)$
by *(intro N(3)[rule-format,symmetric]) simp*
also have $\dots = 1$
by *fact*
finally show *emeasure N (space N) = 1*
by *(simp add: emeasure-eq-measure)*
qed
show *?thesis*
using *N(4)[OF - prob-space.finite-measure] probN N(1,3) by(auto intro!: exII[where a=N])*
qed

theorem *Riesz-representation-complex-compact-metrizable-subprob:*
fixes *X :: 'a topology and φ :: ('a ⇒ complex) ⇒ complex*
assumes *lh:compact-space X metrizable-space X*
and *plf:positive-linear-functional-on-CX X φ*
and *le1: Re (φ (λx∈topspace X. 1)) ≤ 1 and ne: X ≠ trivial-topology*
shows $\exists ! N. \text{sets } N = \text{sets (borel-of } X) \wedge \text{subprob-space } N$
 $\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial N))$
proof –
from *Riesz-representation-complex-compact-metrizable[OF lh plf]*
obtain *N where N: sets N = sets (borel-of X) finite-measure N* $(\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial N))$
 $\wedge M. \text{sets } M = \text{sets (borel-of } X) \implies \text{finite-measure } M \implies (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \longrightarrow \varphi (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial M)) \implies M = N$
by *fastforce*
then interpret *finite-measure N*
by *blast*
have *subN:subprob-space N*


```

proof
  have measure N (space N) = (∫ x. 1 ∂N)
    by simp
  also have ... = Re (∫ x. 1 ∂N)
    by simp
  also have ... = Re (∫ (λx∈topspace X. 1) ∂N)
    by(intro arg-cong[where f=Re] N(β)[rule-format,symmetric]) simp
  also have ... ≤ 1
    by fact
  finally show emeasure N (space N) ≤ 1
    by (simp add: emeasure-eq-measure)
next
  show space N ≠ {}
    using sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF N(1)] ne by(auto simp: space-borel-of)
qed
show ?thesis
  using N(4)[OF - subprob-space.axioms(1)] subN N(1,β) by(auto intro!: ex1I[where a=N])
qed

```

theorem *Riesz-representation-complex-compact-metrizable-prob:*

```

fixes X :: 'a topology and φ :: ('a ⇒ complex) ⇒ complex
assumes lh:compact-space X metrizable-space X
  and plf:positive-linear-functional-on-CX X φ
  and Re (∫ (λx∈topspace X. 1) ∂N) = 1
shows  $\exists! N. \text{sets } N = \text{sets (borel-of } X) \wedge \text{prob-space } N$ 
   $\wedge (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \longrightarrow \int (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial N))$ 

```

proof –

```

from Riesz-representation-complex-compact-metrizable[OF lh plf]
obtain N where N: sets N = sets (borel-of X) finite-measure N (∫ f. continuous-map X euclidean f → ∫ (λx∈topspace X. f x) = (∫ x. f x ∂N))
   $\wedge M. \text{sets } M = \text{sets (borel-of } X) \implies \text{finite-measure } M \implies (\forall f. \text{continuous-map } X \text{ euclidean } f \longrightarrow \int (\lambda x \in \text{topspace } X. f x) = (\int x. f x \partial M)) \implies M = N$ 

```

by *fastforce*

then interpret *finite-measure N*

by *blast*

have *probN:prob-space N*

proof

```

have measure N (space N) = (∫ x. 1 ∂N)

```

by *simp*

```

also have ... = Re (∫ x. 1 ∂N)

```

by *simp*

```

also have ... = Re (∫ (λx∈topspace X. 1) ∂N)

```

by(*intro arg-cong[where f=Re] N(β)[rule-format,symmetric]*) *simp*

```

also have ... = 1

```

by *fact*

```

finally show emeasure N (space N) = 1

```

by (*simp add: emeasure-eq-measure*)

```
qed
show ?thesis
  using N(4)[OF - prob-space.finite-measure] probN N(1,3) by(auto intro!
ex1I[where a=N])
qed
end
```

References

- [1] W. Rudin. *Real and Complex Analysis, 3rd Ed.* McGraw-Hill, Inc., USA, 1987.
- [2] O. van Gaans. Probability measures on metric spaces. <https://www.math.leidenuniv.nl/~vangaans/jancoll.pdf>. Accessed: March 2, 2023.