

Relative Security

Andrei Popescu Jamie Wright

March 19, 2025

Abstract

This entry formalizes the notion of relative security, which can be used to model transient execution vulnerabilities in the style of Spectre and Meltdown. The notion was introduced in the CSF 2023 paper “Relative Security: Formally Modeling and (Dis)Proving Resilience Against Semantic Optimization Vulnerabilities” by Brijesh Dongol, Matt Griffin, Andrei Popescu and Jamie Wright [1].

It defines two versions of relative security: a finitary one (restricted to finite traces), and an infinitary one (working with both finite and infinite traces). It formalizes unwinding methods for verifying relative security in both the finitary and infinitary versions, and proves their soundness. The proof of soundness in the infinitary case is a substantial application of Isabelle’s corecursion and coinduction infrastructure.

Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 1 Finitary Relative Security | 2 |
| 1.1 Finite-trace versions of leakage models and attacker models | 2 |
| 1.2 Locales for increasingly concrete notions of finitary relative security | 3 |
| 2 Relative Security | 7 |
| 2.1 Leakage models and attacker models | 8 |
| 2.2 Locales for increasingly concrete notions of relative security | 8 |
| 3 Unwinding Proof Method for Finitary Relative Security | 12 |
| 3.1 The types and operators underlying unwinding: status, matching operators, etc. | 12 |
| 3.2 The definition of unwinding | 17 |
| 3.3 The soundness of unwinding | 18 |
| 3.4 Compositional unwinding | 19 |
| 4 Unwinding Proof Method for Relative Security | 29 |
| 4.1 The types and operators underlying unwinding: status, matching operators, etc. | 29 |

| | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------|----|
| 4.2 | The definition of unwinding | 34 |
| 4.3 | The soundness of unwinding | 35 |
| 4.4 | Compositional unwinding | 59 |

1 Finitary Relative Security

This theory formalizes the finitary version of relative security, more precisely the notion expressed in terms of finite traces.

```
theory Relative-Security-fin
imports Preliminaries/Transition-System
begin

declare Let-def[simp]
```

```
no-notation relcomp (infixr O 75)
no-notation relcompp (infixr OO 75)
```

1.1 Finite-trace versions of leakage models and attacker models

```
locale Leakage-Mod-fin = System-Mod istate validTrans final
for istate :: 'state ⇒ bool and validTrans :: 'state × 'state ⇒ bool and final :: 'state ⇒ bool
+
fixes leakVia :: 'state list ⇒ 'state list ⇒ 'leak ⇒ bool
```

```
locale Attacker-Mod-fin = System-Mod istate validTrans final
for istate :: 'state ⇒ bool and validTrans :: 'state × 'state ⇒ bool and final :: 'state ⇒ bool
+
fixes S :: 'state list ⇒ 'secret list
and A :: 'state trace ⇒ 'act list
and O :: 'state trace ⇒ 'obs list
begin
```

```
fun leakVia :: 'state list ⇒ 'state list ⇒ 'secret list × 'secret list ⇒ bool
where
leakVia tr tr' (sl,sl') = (S tr = sl ∧ S tr' = sl' ∧ A tr = A tr' ∧ O tr ≠ O tr')
```

```
lemmas leakVia-def = leakVia.simps
```

```
end
```

```
sublocale Attacker-Mod-fin < Leakage-Mod-fin
where leakVia = leakVia
⟨proof⟩
```

1.2 Locales for increasingly concrete notions of finitary relative security

```

locale Relative-Security"-fin =
  Van: Leakage-Mod-fin istateV validTransV finalV leakViaV
+
  Opt: Leakage-Mod-fin istateO validTransO finalO leakViaO
  for validTransV :: 'stateV × 'stateV ⇒ bool
  and istateV :: 'stateV ⇒ bool and finalV :: 'stateV ⇒ bool
  and leakViaV :: 'stateV list ⇒ 'stateV list ⇒ 'leak ⇒ bool

  and validTransO :: 'stateO × 'stateO ⇒ bool
  and istateO :: 'stateO ⇒ bool and finalO :: 'stateO ⇒ bool
  and leakViaO :: 'stateO list ⇒ 'stateO list ⇒ 'leak ⇒ bool

  and corrState :: 'stateV ⇒ 'stateO ⇒ bool
begin

  definition rsecure :: bool where
    rsecure ≡ ∀l s1 tr1 s2 tr2.
      istateO s1 ∧ Opt.validFromS s1 tr1 ∧ Opt.completedFrom s1 tr1 ∧
      istateO s2 ∧ Opt.validFromS s2 tr2 ∧ Opt.completedFrom s2 tr2 ∧
      leakViaO tr1 tr2 l
      →
      (∃sv1 trv1 sv2 trv2.
        istateV sv1 ∧ istateV sv2 ∧ corrState sv1 s1 ∧ corrState sv2 s2 ∧
        Van.validFromS sv1 trv1 ∧ Van.completedFrom sv1 trv1 ∧
        Van.validFromS sv2 trv2 ∧ Van.completedFrom sv2 trv2 ∧
        leakViaV trv1 trv2 l)

end

locale Relative-Security'-fin =
  Van: Attacker-Mod-fin istateV validTransV finalV SV AV OV
+
  Opt: Attacker-Mod-fin istateO validTransO finalO SO AO OO
  for validTransV :: 'stateV × 'stateV ⇒ bool
  and istateV :: 'stateV ⇒ bool and finalV :: 'stateV ⇒ bool
  and SV :: 'stateV list ⇒ 'secret list
  and AV :: 'stateV trace ⇒ 'actV list
  and OV :: 'stateV trace ⇒ 'obsV list

  and validTransO :: 'stateO × 'stateO ⇒ bool
  and istateO :: 'stateO ⇒ bool and finalO :: 'stateO ⇒ bool
  and SO :: 'stateO list ⇒ 'secret list
  and AO :: 'stateO trace ⇒ 'actO list
  and OO :: 'stateO trace ⇒ 'obsO list
  and corrState :: 'stateV ⇒ 'stateO ⇒ bool

```

```

sublocale Relative-Security'-fin < Relative-Security''-fin
where leakViaV = Van.leakVia and leakViaO = Opt.leakVia
⟨proof⟩

context Relative-Security'-fin
begin

lemma rsecure-def2:
rsecure  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
( $\forall s1 tr1 s2 tr2.$ 
 $istateO s1 \wedge Opt.validFromS s1 tr1 \wedge Opt.completedFrom s1 tr1 \wedge$ 
 $istateO s2 \wedge Opt.validFromS s2 tr2 \wedge Opt.completedFrom s2 tr2 \wedge$ 
 $AO tr1 = AO tr2 \wedge OO tr1 \neq OO tr2$ 
 $\longrightarrow$ 
( $\exists sv1 trv1 sv2 trv2.$ 
 $istateV sv1 \wedge istateV sv2 \wedge corrState sv1 s1 \wedge corrState sv2 s2 \wedge$ 
 $Van.validFromS sv1 trv1 \wedge Van.completedFrom sv1 trv1 \wedge$ 
 $Van.validFromS sv2 trv2 \wedge Van.completedFrom sv2 trv2 \wedge$ 
 $SV trv1 = SO tr1 \wedge SV trv2 = SO tr2 \wedge$ 
 $AV trv1 = AV trv2 \wedge OV trv1 \neq OV trv2)$ )
⟨proof⟩

end

locale Statewise-Attacker-Mod = System-Mod istate validTrans final
for istate :: 'state  $\Rightarrow$  bool and validTrans :: 'state  $\times$  'state  $\Rightarrow$  bool and final :: 'state  $\Rightarrow$  bool
+
fixes
isSec :: 'state  $\Rightarrow$  bool and getSec :: 'state  $\Rightarrow$  'secret
and
isInt :: 'state  $\Rightarrow$  bool and getInt :: 'state  $\Rightarrow$  'act  $\times$  'obs
assumes final-not-isInt:  $\bigwedge s. final s \implies \neg isInt s$ 
and final-not-isSec:  $\bigwedge s. final s \implies \neg isSec s$ 
begin

definition getAct :: 'state  $\Rightarrow$  'act where
getAct = fst o getInt

definition getObs :: 'state  $\Rightarrow$  'obs where
getObs = snd o getInt

definition eqObs trn1 trn2  $\equiv$ 
(isInt trn1  $\longleftrightarrow$  isInt trn2)  $\wedge$  (isInt trn1  $\longrightarrow$  getObs trn1 = getObs trn2)

```

```
definition eqAct trn1 trn2 ≡
  (isInt trn1 ↔ isInt trn2) ∧ (isInt trn1 → getAct trn1 = getAct trn2)
```

```
definition A :: 'state trace ⇒ 'act list where
  A tr ≡ filtermap isInt getAct (butlast tr)
```

```
sublocale A: FiltermapBL isInt getAct A
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition O :: 'state trace ⇒ 'obs list where
  O tr ≡ filtermap isInt getObs (butlast tr)
```

```
sublocale O: FiltermapBL isInt getObs O
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition S :: 'state list ⇒ 'secret list where
  S tr ≡ filtermap isSec getSec (butlast tr)
```

```
sublocale S: FiltermapBL isSec getSec S
  ⟨proof⟩
```

end

```
sublocale Statewise-Attacker-Mod < Attacker-Mod-fin
where S = S and A = A and O = O
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
locale Rel-Sec =
  Van: Statewise-Attacker-Mod istateV validTransV finalV isSecV getSecV isIntV
  getIntV
  +
  Opt: Statewise-Attacker-Mod istateO validTransO finalO isSecO getSecO isIntO
  getIntO
  for validTransV :: 'stateV × 'stateV ⇒ bool
  and istateV :: 'stateV ⇒ bool and finalV :: 'stateV ⇒ bool
  and isSecV :: 'stateV ⇒ bool and getSecV :: 'stateV ⇒ 'secret
  and isIntV :: 'stateV ⇒ bool and getIntV :: 'stateV ⇒ 'actV × 'obsV

  and validTransO :: 'stateO × 'stateO ⇒ bool
  and istateO :: 'stateO ⇒ bool and finalO :: 'stateO ⇒ bool
```

```

and isSecO :: 'stateO ⇒ bool and getSecO :: 'stateO ⇒ 'secret
and isIntO :: 'stateO ⇒ bool and getIntO :: 'stateO ⇒ 'actO × 'obsO

and corrState :: 'stateV ⇒ 'stateO ⇒ bool

sublocale Rel-Sec < Relative-Security'-fin
where SV = Van.S and AV = Van.A and OV = Van.O
and SO = Opt.S and AO = Opt.A and OO = Opt.O
⟨proof⟩

context Rel-Sec
begin

abbreviation getObsV :: 'stateV ⇒ 'obsV where getObsV ≡ Van.getObs
abbreviation getActV :: 'stateV ⇒ 'actV where getActV ≡ Van.getAct
abbreviation getObsO :: 'stateO ⇒ 'obsO where getObsO ≡ Opt.getObs
abbreviation getActO :: 'stateO ⇒ 'actO where getActO ≡ Opt.getAct

abbreviation reachV where reachV ≡ Van.reach
abbreviation reachO where reachO ≡ Opt.reach

abbreviation completedFromV :: 'stateV list ⇒ bool where completedFromV ≡ Van.completedFrom
abbreviation completedFromO :: 'stateO list ⇒ bool where completedFromO ≡ Opt.completedFrom

lemmas completedFromV-def = Van.completedFrom-def
lemmas completedFromO-def = Opt.completedFrom-def

lemma rsecure-def3:
rsecure  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
 $(\forall s1 tr1 s2 tr2.$ 
 $istateO s1 \wedge Opt.validFromS s1 tr1 \wedge completedFromO s1 tr1 \wedge$ 
 $istateO s2 \wedge Opt.validFromS s2 tr2 \wedge completedFromO s2 tr2 \wedge$ 
 $Opt.A tr1 = Opt.A tr2 \wedge Opt.O tr1 \neq Opt.O tr2 \wedge$ 
 $(isIntO s1 \wedge isIntO s2 \longrightarrow getActO s1 = getActO s2)$ 
 $\longrightarrow$ 
 $(\exists sv1 trv1 sv2 trv2.$ 
 $istateV sv1 \wedge istateV sv2 \wedge corrState sv1 s1 \wedge corrState sv2 s2 \wedge$ 
 $Van.validFromS sv1 trv1 \wedge completedFromV sv1 trv1 \wedge$ 
 $Van.validFromS sv2 trv2 \wedge completedFromV sv2 trv2 \wedge$ 
 $Van.S trv1 = Opt.S tr1 \wedge Van.S trv2 = Opt.S tr2 \wedge$ 
 $Van.A trv1 = Van.A trv2 \wedge Van.O trv1 \neq Van.O trv2))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```
definition eqSec trnO trnA ≡
  (isSecV trnO = isSecO trnA) ∧ (isSecV trnO → getSecV trnO = getSecO trnA)
```

lemma eqSec-S-Cons':

```
eqSec trnO trnA ⇒
  (Van.S (trnO # trO') = Opt.S (trnA # trA')) ⇒ Van.S trO' = Opt.S trA'
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma eqSec-S-Cons[simp]:

```
eqSec trnO trnA ⇒ trO' = [] ↔ trA' = [] ⇒
  (Van.S (trnO # trO') = Opt.S (trnA # trA')) ↔ (Van.S trO' = Opt.S trA')
⟨proof⟩
```

end

```
locale Relative-Security-Determ =
  Rel-Sec
    validTransV istateV finalV isSecV getSecV isIntV getIntV
    validTransO istateO finalO isSecO getSecO isIntO getIntO
    corrState
  +
  System-Mod-Deterministic istateV validTransV finalV nextO
    for validTransV :: 'stateV × 'stateV ⇒ bool
    and istateV :: 'stateV ⇒ bool
    and finalV :: 'stateV ⇒ bool
    and nextO :: 'stateV ⇒ 'stateV
    and isSecV :: 'stateV ⇒ bool and getSecV :: 'stateV ⇒ 'secret
    and isIntV :: 'stateV ⇒ bool and getIntV :: 'stateV ⇒ 'actV × 'obsV
    and validTransO :: 'stateO × 'stateO ⇒ bool
    and istateO :: 'stateO ⇒ bool
    and finalO :: 'stateO ⇒ bool
    and isSecO :: 'stateO ⇒ bool and getSecO :: 'stateO ⇒ 'secret
    and isIntO :: 'stateO ⇒ bool and getIntO :: 'stateO ⇒ 'actO × 'obsO
    and corrState :: 'stateV ⇒ 'stateO ⇒ bool
```

end

2 Relative Security

This theory formalizes the general notion of relative security, applicable to possibly infinite traces.

```
theory Relative-Security
imports Relative-Security-fin Preliminaries/Trivia
```

```

begin

no-notation relcomp (infixr O 75)
no-notation relcompp (infixr OO 75)

```

2.1 Leakage models and attacker models

```

locale Leakage-Mod = System-Mod istate validTrans final
for istate :: 'state ⇒ bool and validTrans :: 'state × 'state ⇒ bool and final :: 'state ⇒ bool
+
fixes lleakVia :: 'state llist ⇒ 'state llist ⇒ 'leak ⇒ bool

```

```

locale Attacker-Mod = System-Mod istate validTrans final
for istate :: 'state ⇒ bool and validTrans :: 'state × 'state ⇒ bool and final :: 'state ⇒ bool
+
fixes S :: 'state llist ⇒ 'secret llist
and A :: 'state ltrace ⇒ 'act llist
and O :: 'state ltrace ⇒ 'obs llist
begin

fun lleakVia :: 'state llist ⇒ 'state llist ⇒ 'secret llist × 'secret llist ⇒ bool
where
lleakVia tr tr' (sl,sl') = (S tr = sl ∧ S tr' = sl' ∧ A tr = A tr' ∧ O tr ≠ O tr')

lemmas lleakVia-def = lleakVia.simps

```

```
end
```

```

sublocale Attacker-Mod < Leakage-Mod
where lleakVia = lleakVia
⟨proof⟩

```

2.2 Locales for increasingly concrete notions of relative security

```

locale Relative-Security'' =
Van: Leakage-Mod istateV validTransV finalV lleakViaV
+
Opt: Leakage-Mod istateO validTransO finalO lleakViaO
for validTransV :: 'stateV × 'stateV ⇒ bool
and istateV :: 'stateV ⇒ bool and finalV :: 'stateV ⇒ bool
and lleakViaV :: 'stateV llist ⇒ 'stateV llist ⇒ 'leak ⇒ bool

and validTransO :: 'stateO × 'stateO ⇒ bool
and istateO :: 'stateO ⇒ bool and finalO :: 'stateO ⇒ bool
and lleakViaO :: 'stateO llist ⇒ 'stateO llist ⇒ 'leak ⇒ bool

```

```

and corrState :: 'stateV  $\Rightarrow$  'stateO  $\Rightarrow$  bool
begin

definition lrsecure :: bool where
lrsecure  $\equiv$   $\forall l s1 tr1 s2 tr2.$ 
 $istateO s1 \wedge Opt.lvalidFromS s1 tr1 \wedge Opt.lcompletedFrom s1 tr1 \wedge$ 
 $istateO s2 \wedge Opt.lvalidFromS s2 tr2 \wedge Opt.lcompletedFrom s2 tr2 \wedge$ 
 $lleakViaO tr1 tr2 l$ 
 $\longrightarrow$ 
 $(\exists sv1 trv1 sv2 trv2.$ 
 $istateV sv1 \wedge istateV sv2 \wedge corrState sv1 s1 \wedge corrState sv2 s2 \wedge$ 
 $Van.lvalidFromS sv1 trv1 \wedge Van.lcompletedFrom sv1 trv1 \wedge$ 
 $Van.lvalidFromS sv2 trv2 \wedge Van.lcompletedFrom sv2 trv2 \wedge$ 
 $lleakViaV trv1 trv2 l)$ 

```

end

```

locale Relative-Security' =
Van: Attacker-Mod istateV validTransV finalV SV AV OV
+
Opt: Attacker-Mod istateO validTransO finalO SO AO OO
for validTransV :: 'stateV  $\times$  'stateV  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and istateV :: 'stateV  $\Rightarrow$  bool and finalV :: 'stateV  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and SV :: 'stateV llist  $\Rightarrow$  'secret llist
and AV :: 'stateV ltrace  $\Rightarrow$  'actV llist
and OV :: 'stateV ltrace  $\Rightarrow$  'obsV llist

and validTransO :: 'stateO  $\times$  'stateO  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and istateO :: 'stateO  $\Rightarrow$  bool and finalO :: 'stateO  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and SO :: 'stateO llist  $\Rightarrow$  'secret llist
and AO :: 'stateO ltrace  $\Rightarrow$  'actO llist
and OO :: 'stateO ltrace  $\Rightarrow$  'obsO llist
and corrState :: 'stateV  $\Rightarrow$  'stateO  $\Rightarrow$  bool

sublocale Relative-Security' < Relative-Security"
where lleakViaV = Van.lleakVia and lleakViaO = Opt.lleakVia
⟨proof⟩

```

```

context Relative-Security'
begin

```

```

lemma lrsecure-def2:
  lrsecure  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
   $(\forall s1 \ tr1 \ s2 \ tr2.$ 
     $istateO \ s1 \wedge Opt.lvalidFromS \ s1 \ tr1 \wedge Opt.lcompletedFrom \ s1 \ tr1 \wedge$ 
     $istateO \ s2 \wedge Opt.lvalidFromS \ s2 \ tr2 \wedge Opt.lcompletedFrom \ s2 \ tr2 \wedge$ 
     $AO \ tr1 = AO \ tr2 \wedge OO \ tr1 \neq OO \ tr2$ 
   $\longrightarrow$ 
   $(\exists sv1 \ trv1 \ sv2 \ trv2.$ 
     $istateV \ sv1 \wedge istateV \ sv2 \wedge corrState \ sv1 \ s1 \wedge corrState \ sv2 \ s2 \wedge$ 
     $Van.lvalidFromS \ sv1 \ trv1 \wedge Van.lcompletedFrom \ sv1 \ trv1 \wedge$ 
     $Van.lvalidFromS \ sv2 \ trv2 \wedge Van.lcompletedFrom \ sv2 \ trv2 \wedge$ 
     $SV \ trv1 = SO \ tr1 \wedge SV \ trv2 = SO \ tr2 \wedge$ 
     $AV \ trv1 = AV \ trv2 \wedge OV \ trv1 \neq OV \ trv2))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
end

```

context Statewise-Attacker-Mod **begin**

```

definition lA :: 'state ltrace  $\Rightarrow$  'act llist where
  lA tr  $\equiv$  lfiltermap isInt getAct (lbutlast tr)

sublocale lA: LfiltermapBL isInt getAct lA
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lA: lcompletedFrom s tr  $\implies$  lA tr = lmap getAct (lfilter isInt tr)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition lO :: 'state ltrace  $\Rightarrow$  'obs llist where
  lO tr  $\equiv$  lfiltermap isInt getObs (lbutlast tr)

```

```

sublocale lO: LfiltermapBL isInt getObs lO
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lO: lcompletedFrom s tr  $\implies$  lO tr = lmap getObs (lfilter isInt tr)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition lS :: 'state llist  $\Rightarrow$  'secret llist where
  lS tr  $\equiv$  lfiltermap isSec getSec (lbutlast tr)

```

```

sublocale lS: LfiltermapBL isSec getSec lS
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lS: lcompletedFrom s tr ==> lS tr = lmap getSec (lfilter isSec tr)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

sublocale Statewise-Attacker-Mod < Attacker-Mod
where S = lS and A = lA and O = lO
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale Rel-Sec < Relative-Security'
where SV = Van.lS and AV = Van.lA and OV = Van.lO
and SO = Opt.lS and AO = Opt.lA and OO = Opt.lO
  ⟨proof⟩

context Rel-Sec
begin

abbreviation lcompletedFromV :: 'stateV ⇒ 'stateV llist ⇒ bool where lcompletedFromV ≡ Van.lcompletedFrom
abbreviation lcompletedFromO :: 'stateO ⇒ 'stateO llist ⇒ bool where lcompletedFromO ≡ Opt.lcompletedFrom

lemma eqSec-lS-Cons':
eqSec trnO trnA ==>
  (Van.lS (trnO $ trO') = Opt.lS (trnA $ trA')) ==> Van.lS trO' = Opt.lS trA'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eqSec-lS-Cons[simp]:
eqSec trnO trnA ==> trO' = [] ⟷ trA' = [] ==>
  (Van.lS (trnO $ trO') = Opt.lS (trnA $ trA')) ⟷ (Van.lS trO' = Opt.lS trA')
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

3 Unwinding Proof Method for Finitary Relative Security

This theory formalizes the notion of unwinding for finitary relative security, and proves its soundness.

```
theory Unwinding-fn
imports Relative-Security
begin
```

3.1 The types and operators underlying unwinding: status, matching operators, etc.

```
context Rel-Sec
begin
```

```
datatype status = Eq | Diff
```

```
fun newStat :: status ⇒ bool × 'a ⇒ bool × 'a ⇒ status where
  newStat Eq (True,a) (True,a') = (if a = a' then Eq else Diff)
  | newStat stat - - = stat

definition sstatO' statO sv1 sv2 = newStat statO (isIntV sv1, getObsV sv1)
(isIntV sv2, getObsV sv2)
definition sstatA' statA s1 s2 = newStat statA (isIntO s1, getObsO s1) (isIntO
s2, getObsO s2)
```

```
definition initCond :: 
(enat ⇒ 'stateO ⇒ 'stateO ⇒ status ⇒ 'stateV ⇒ 'stateV ⇒ status ⇒ bool) ⇒
bool where
initCond Δ ≡ ∀ s1 s2.
  istateO s1 ∧ istateO s2
  →
(∃ sv1 sv2. istateV sv1 ∧ istateV sv2 ∧ corrState sv1 s1 ∧ corrState sv2 s2
  ∧ Δ ∞ s1 s2 Eq sv1 sv2 Eq)
```

```
definition match1-1 Δ s1 s1' s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO ≡
  ∃ sv1'. validTransV (sv1,sv1') ∧
  Δ ∞ s1' s2 statA sv1' sv2 statO
```

```
definition match1-12 Δ s1 s1' s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO ≡
  ∃ sv1' sv2'.
  let statO' = sstatO' statO sv1 sv2 in
  validTransV (sv1,sv1') ∧
  validTransV (sv2,sv2') ∧
```

$\Delta \infty s1' s2 statA sv1' sv2' statO'$

definition $match1 \Delta s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \equiv$
 $\neg isIntO s1 \rightarrow$
 $(\forall s1'. validTransO (s1, s1'))$
 \rightarrow
 $(\neg isSecO s1 \wedge \Delta \infty s1' s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO) \vee$
 $(eqSec sv1 s1 \wedge \neg isIntV sv1 \wedge match1-1 \Delta s1 s1' s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO) \vee$
 $(eqSec sv1 s1 \wedge \neg isSecV sv2 \wedge Van.eqAct sv1 sv2 \wedge match1-12 \Delta s1 s1' s2$
 $statA sv1 sv2 statO))$

lemmas $match1\text{-}defs = match1\text{-}def match1\text{-}1\text{-}def match1\text{-}12\text{-}def$

lemma $match1\text{-}1\text{-}mono:$

$\Delta \leq \Delta' \implies match1\text{-}1 \Delta s1 s1' s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies match1\text{-}1 \Delta' s1 s1' s2$
 $statA sv1 sv2 statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $match1\text{-}12\text{-}mono:$

$\Delta \leq \Delta' \implies match1\text{-}12 \Delta s1 s1' s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies match1\text{-}12 \Delta' s1 s1'$
 $s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $match1\text{-}mono:$

assumes $\Delta \leq \Delta'$
shows $match1 \Delta s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies match1 \Delta' s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2$
 $statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $match2\text{-}1 \Delta s1 s2 s2' statA sv1 sv2 statO \equiv$
 $\exists sv2'. validTransV (sv2, sv2') \wedge$
 $\Delta \infty s1 s2' statA sv1 sv2' statO$

definition $match2\text{-}12 \Delta s1 s2 s2' statA sv1 sv2 statO \equiv$
 $\exists sv1' sv2'.$
 $let statO' = sstatO' statO sv1 sv2 in$
 $validTransV (sv1, sv1') \wedge$
 $validTransV (sv2, sv2') \wedge$
 $\Delta \infty s1 s2' statA sv1' sv2' statO'$

definition $match2 \Delta s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \equiv$
 $\neg isIntO s2 \rightarrow$
 $(\forall s2'. validTransO (s2, s2'))$
 \rightarrow
 $(\neg isSecO s2 \wedge \Delta \infty s1 s2' statA sv1 sv2 statO) \vee$
 $(eqSec sv2 s2 \wedge \neg isIntV sv2 \wedge match2\text{-}1 \Delta s1 s2 s2' statA sv1 sv2 statO) \vee$
 $(\neg isSecV sv1 \wedge eqSec sv2 s2 \wedge Van.eqAct sv1 sv2 \wedge match2\text{-}12 \Delta s1 s2 s2')$

$statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO))$

lemmas $match2\text{-}defs = match2\text{-}def\ match2\text{-}1\text{-}def\ match2\text{-}12\text{-}def$

lemma $match2\text{-}1\text{-}mono:$

$\Delta \leq \Delta' \implies match2\text{-}1\ \Delta\ s1\ s1'\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO \implies match2\text{-}1\ \Delta'\ s1\ s1'\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $match2\text{-}12\text{-}mono:$

$\Delta \leq \Delta' \implies match2\text{-}12\ \Delta\ s1\ s1'\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO \implies match2\text{-}12\ \Delta'\ s1\ s1'\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $match2\text{-}mono:$

assumes $\Delta \leq \Delta'$
shows $match2\ \Delta\ s1\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO \implies match2\ \Delta'\ s1\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ sv2$
 $statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $match12\text{-}1\ \Delta\ s1'\ s2'\ statA'\ sv1\ sv2\ statO \equiv$

$\exists sv1'. validTransV(sv1, sv1') \wedge$
 $\Delta \propto s1'\ s2'\ statA'\ sv1'\ sv2\ statO$

definition $match12\text{-}2\ \Delta\ s1'\ s2'\ statA'\ sv1\ sv2\ statO \equiv$

$\exists sv2'. validTransV(sv2, sv2') \wedge$
 $\Delta \propto s1'\ s2'\ statA'\ sv1\ sv2'\ statO$

definition $match12\text{-}12\ \Delta\ s1'\ s2'\ statA'\ sv1\ sv2\ statO \equiv$

$\exists sv1'\ sv2'.$
 $let statO' = sstatO'\ statO\ sv1\ sv2\ in$
 $validTransV(sv1, sv1') \wedge$
 $validTransV(sv2, sv2') \wedge$
 $(statA' = Diff \longrightarrow statO' = Diff) \wedge$
 $\Delta \propto s1'\ s2'\ statA'\ sv1'\ sv2'\ statO'$

definition $match12\ \Delta\ s1\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO \equiv$

$\forall s1'\ s2'.$

$let statA' = sstatA'\ statA\ s1\ s2\ in$
 $validTransO(s1, s1') \wedge$
 $validTransO(s2, s2') \wedge$
 $Opt.eqAct\ s1\ s2 \wedge$
 $isIntO\ s1 \wedge isIntO\ s2$
 \longrightarrow
 $(\neg isSecO\ s1 \wedge \neg isSecO\ s2 \wedge (statA = statA' \vee statO = Diff) \wedge \Delta \propto s1'\ s2')$
 $statA'\ sv1\ sv2\ statO)$
 \vee

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\neg \text{isSecO } s2 \wedge \text{eqSec } sv1 \ s1 \wedge \neg \text{isIntV } sv1 \wedge \\
& \quad (\text{statA} = \text{statA}' \vee \text{statO} = \text{Diff}) \wedge \\
& \quad \text{match12-1 } \Delta \ s1' \ s2' \ \text{statA}' \ sv1 \ sv2 \ \text{statO}) \\
& \vee \\
& (\neg \text{isSecO } s1 \wedge \text{eqSec } sv2 \ s2 \wedge \neg \text{isIntV } sv2 \wedge \\
& \quad (\text{statA} = \text{statA}' \vee \text{statO} = \text{Diff}) \wedge \\
& \quad \text{match12-2 } \Delta \ s1' \ s2' \ \text{statA}' \ sv1 \ sv2 \ \text{statO}) \\
& \vee \\
& (\text{eqSec } sv1 \ s1 \wedge \text{eqSec } sv2 \ s2 \wedge \text{Van.eqAct } sv1 \ sv2 \wedge \\
& \quad \text{match12-12 } \Delta \ s1' \ s2' \ \text{statA}' \ sv1 \ sv2 \ \text{statO})
\end{aligned}$$

lemmas $\text{match12-defs} = \text{match12-def } \text{match12-1-def } \text{match12-2-def } \text{match12-12-def}$

lemma $\text{match12-simpleI}:$

assumes $\bigwedge s1' \ s2' \ \text{statA}'.$

$\text{statA}' = \text{statA}' \ \text{statA} \ s1 \ s2 \implies$

$\text{validTransO } (s1, s1') \implies$

$\text{validTransO } (s2, s2') \implies$

$\text{Opt.eqAct } s1 \ s2 \implies$

$(\neg \text{isSecO } s1 \wedge \neg \text{isSecO } s2 \wedge (\text{statA} = \text{statA}' \vee \text{statO} = \text{Diff}) \wedge \Delta \propto s1' \ s2' \ \text{statA}' \ sv1 \ sv2 \ \text{statO})$

\vee

$(\text{eqSec } sv1 \ s1 \wedge \text{eqSec } sv2 \ s2 \wedge \text{Van.eqAct } sv1 \ sv2 \wedge$

$\text{match12-12 } \Delta \ s1' \ s2' \ \text{statA}' \ sv1 \ sv2 \ \text{statO})$

shows $\text{match12 } \Delta \ s1 \ s2 \ \text{statA} \ sv1 \ sv2 \ \text{statO}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{match12-1-mono}:$

$\Delta \leq \Delta' \implies \text{match12-1 } \Delta \ s1' \ s2' \ \text{statA}' \ sv1 \ sv2 \ \text{statO} \implies \text{match12-1 } \Delta' \ s1' \ s2'$

$\text{statA}' \ sv1 \ sv2 \ \text{statO}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{match12-2-mono}:$

$\Delta \leq \Delta' \implies \text{match12-2 } \Delta \ s1 \ s2' \ \text{statA}' \ sv1 \ sv2 \ \text{statO} \implies \text{match12-2 } \Delta' \ s1 \ s2'$

$\text{statA}' \ sv1 \ sv2 \ \text{statO}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{match12-12-mono}:$

$\Delta \leq \Delta' \implies \text{match12-12 } \Delta \ s1' \ s2' \ \text{statA}' \ sv1 \ sv2 \ \text{statO} \implies \text{match12-12 } \Delta' \ s1'$

$s2' \ \text{statA}' \ sv1 \ sv2 \ \text{statO}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{match12-mono}:$

assumes $\Delta \leq \Delta'$

shows $\text{match12 } \Delta \ s1 \ s2 \ \text{statA} \ sv1 \ sv2 \ \text{statO} \implies \text{match12 } \Delta' \ s1 \ s2 \ \text{statA} \ sv1 \ sv2$

statO

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

definition react  $\Delta s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \equiv$ 
  match1  $\Delta s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$ 
   $\wedge$ 
  match2  $\Delta s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$ 
   $\wedge$ 
  match12  $\Delta s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$ 

lemmas react-defs = match1-def match2-def match12-def
lemmas match-deep-defs = match1-defs match2-defs match12-defs

lemma match-mono:
assumes  $\Delta \leq \Delta'$ 
shows react  $\Delta s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies$  react  $\Delta' s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition move-1  $\Delta w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \equiv$ 
   $\exists sv1'. validTransV(sv1,sv1') \wedge$ 
   $\Delta w s1 s2 statA sv1' sv2 statO$ 

definition move-2  $\Delta w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \equiv$ 
   $\exists sv2'. validTransV(sv2,sv2') \wedge$ 
   $\Delta w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2' statO$ 

definition move-12  $\Delta w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \equiv$ 
   $\exists sv1' sv2'.$ 
  let statO' = sstatO' statO sv1 sv2 in
  validTransV(sv1,sv1')  $\wedge$  validTransV(sv2,sv2')  $\wedge$ 
   $\Delta w s1 s2 statA sv1' sv2' statO'$ 

definition proact  $\Delta w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \equiv$ 
   $(\neg isSecV sv1 \wedge \neg isIntV sv1 \wedge move-1 \Delta w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO)$ 
   $\vee$ 
   $(\neg isSecV sv2 \wedge \neg isIntV sv2 \wedge move-2 \Delta w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO)$ 
   $\vee$ 
   $(\neg isSecV sv1 \wedge \neg isSecV sv2 \wedge Van.eqAct sv1 sv2 \wedge move-12 \Delta w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO)$ 

lemmas proact-defs = proact-def move-1-def move-2-def move-12-def

lemma move-1-mono:
 $\Delta \leq \Delta' \implies move-1 \Delta meas s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies move-1 \Delta' meas s1 s2$ 
  statA sv1 sv2 statO
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma move-2-mono:

```

$\Delta \leq \Delta' \implies move\text{-}2 \Delta meas s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies move\text{-}2 \Delta' meas s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *move-12-mono*:

$\Delta \leq \Delta' \implies move\text{-}12 \Delta meas s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies move\text{-}12 \Delta' meas s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *proact-mono*:

assumes $\Delta \leq \Delta'$
shows $proact \Delta meas s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies proact \Delta' meas s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.2 The definition of unwinding

definition *unwindCond* ::

$(enat \Rightarrow 'stateO \Rightarrow 'stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow 'stateV \Rightarrow 'stateV \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$
bool
where
 $unwindCond \Delta \equiv \forall w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO.$
 $reachO s1 \wedge reachO s2 \wedge reachV sv1 \wedge reachV sv2 \wedge$
 $\Delta w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
 \rightarrow
 $(finalO s1 \longleftrightarrow finalO s2) \wedge (finalV sv1 \longleftrightarrow finalO s1) \wedge (finalV sv2 \longleftrightarrow finalO s2)$
 \wedge
 $(statA = Eq \rightarrow (isIntO s1 \longleftrightarrow isIntO s2))$
 \wedge
 $((\exists v < w. proact \Delta v s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO)$
 \vee
 $react \Delta s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
 $)$

lemma *unwindCond-simpleI*:

assumes
 $\wedge w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO.$
 $reachO s1 \implies reachO s2 \implies reachV sv1 \implies reachV sv2 \implies$
 $\Delta w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
 \implies
 $(finalO s1 \longleftrightarrow finalO s2) \wedge (finalV sv1 \longleftrightarrow finalO s1) \wedge (finalV sv2 \longleftrightarrow finalO s2)$
and
 $\wedge w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO.$

```

reachO s1 ==> reachO s2 ==> reachV sv1 ==> reachV sv2 ==>
Δ w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO ==> statA = Eq
==>
isIntO s1 <--> isIntO s2
and
∧w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO.
reachO s1 ==> reachO s2 ==> reachV sv1 ==> reachV sv2 ==>
Δ w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO
==>
react Δ s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO
shows unwindCond Δ
⟨proof⟩

```

3.3 The soundness of unwinding

```

definition ψ s1 tr1 s2 tr2 statO sv1 trv1 sv2 trv2 ≡
trv1 ≠ [] ∧ trv2 ≠ [] ∧
Van.validFromS sv1 trv1 ∧
Van.validFromS sv2 trv2 ∧
(finalV (lastt sv1 trv1) <--> finalO (lastt s1 tr1)) ∧ (finalV (lastt sv2 trv2) <-->
finalO (lastt s2 tr2)) ∧
Van.S trv1 = Opt.S tr1 ∧ Van.S trv2 = Opt.S tr2 ∧
Van.A trv1 = Van.A trv2 ∧
(statO = Eq ∧ Opt.O tr1 ≠ Opt.O tr2 → Van.O trv1 ≠ Van.O trv2)

```

```

lemma ψ-completedFrom: completedFromO s1 tr1 ==> completedFromO s2 tr2 ==>
ψ s1 tr1 s2 tr2 statO sv1 trv1 sv2 trv2
==> completedFromV sv1 trv1 ∧ completedFromV sv2 trv2
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma completedFromO-lastt: completedFromO s1 tr1 ==> finalO (lastt s1 tr1)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma rsecure-strong:
assumes
∧s1 tr1 s2 tr2 .
istateO s1 ∧ Opt.validFromS s1 tr1 ∧ completedFromO s1 tr1 ∧
istateO s2 ∧ Opt.validFromS s2 tr2 ∧ completedFromO s2 tr2 ∧
Opt.A tr1 = Opt.A tr2 ∧ (isIntO s1 ∧ isIntO s2 → getActO s1 = getActO
s2)
==>
∃ sv1 trv1 sv2 trv2 .
istateV sv1 ∧ istateV sv2 ∧ corrState sv1 s1 ∧ corrState sv2 s2 ∧
ψ s1 tr1 s2 tr2 Eq sv1 trv1 sv2 trv2
shows rsecure

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

proposition *unwindCond-ex-ψ*:
assumes *unwind*: *unwindCond* Δ
and $\Delta: \Delta w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$ **and** *stat*: (*statA* = *Diff* \longrightarrow *statO* = *Diff*)
and $v: Opt.validFromS s1 tr1 Opt.completedFrom s1 tr1 Opt.validFromS s2 tr2$
Opt.completedFrom $s2 tr2$
and $tr14: Opt.A tr1 = Opt.A tr2$
and $r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2$
shows $\exists trv1 trv2. \psi s1 tr1 s2 tr2 statO sv1 trv1 sv2 trv2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *unwind-rsecure*:
assumes *init*: *initCond* Δ
and *unwind*: *unwindCond* Δ
shows *rsecure*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.4 Compositional unwinding

We allow networks of unwinding relations that unwind into each other, which offer a compositional alternative to monolithic unwinding.

definition *unwindIntoCond* ::
 $(enat \Rightarrow 'stateO \Rightarrow 'stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow 'stateV \Rightarrow 'stateV \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow$
 $(enat \Rightarrow 'stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow 'stateV \Rightarrow 'stateV \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow bool)$
 $\Rightarrow bool$
where
 $unwindIntoCond \Delta \Delta' \equiv \forall w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO.$
 $reachO s1 \wedge reachO s2 \wedge reachV sv1 \wedge reachV sv2 \wedge$
 $\Delta w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \longrightarrow$
 $(finalO s1 \longleftrightarrow finalO s2) \wedge (finalV sv1 \longleftrightarrow finalO s1) \wedge (finalV sv2 \longleftrightarrow finalO s2)$
 \wedge
 $(statA = Eq \longrightarrow (isIntO s1 \longleftrightarrow isIntO s2))$
 \wedge
 $((\exists v < w. proact \Delta' v s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO)$
 \vee
 $react \Delta' s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO)$

lemma *unwindIntoCond-simpleI*:
assumes
 $\wedge w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO.$
 $reachO s1 \implies reachO s2 \implies reachV sv1 \implies reachV sv2 \implies$
 $\Delta w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
 \implies
 $(finalO s1 \longleftrightarrow finalO s2) \wedge (finalV sv1 \longleftrightarrow finalO s1) \wedge (finalV sv2 \longleftrightarrow finalO s2)$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \wedge w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO. \\ & reachO s1 \implies reachO s2 \implies reachV sv1 \implies reachV sv2 \implies \\ & \Delta w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies \\ & statA = Eq \\ & \implies \\ & isIntO s1 \longleftrightarrow isIntO s2 \\ & \wedge w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO. \\ & reachO s1 \implies reachO s2 \implies reachV sv1 \implies reachV sv2 \implies \\ & \Delta w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \\ & \implies \\ & react \Delta' s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \\ & \text{shows } unwindIntoCond \Delta \Delta' \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *unwindIntoCond-simpleI2*:

assumes

$$\begin{aligned} & \wedge w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO. \\ & reachO s1 \implies reachO s2 \implies reachV sv1 \implies reachV sv2 \implies \\ & \Delta w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \\ & \implies \\ & (finalO s1 \longleftrightarrow finalO s2) \wedge (finalV sv1 \longleftrightarrow finalO s1) \wedge (finalV sv2 \longleftrightarrow finalO s2) \\ & \text{and} \\ & \wedge w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO. \\ & reachO s1 \implies reachO s2 \implies reachV sv1 \implies reachV sv2 \implies \\ & \Delta w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies \\ & statA = Eq \\ & \implies \\ & isIntO s1 \longleftrightarrow isIntO s2 \\ & \text{and} \\ & \wedge w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO. \\ & reachO s1 \implies reachO s2 \implies reachV sv1 \implies reachV sv2 \implies \\ & \Delta w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \\ & \implies \\ & (\exists v < w. proact \Delta' v s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO) \\ & \text{shows } unwindIntoCond \Delta \Delta' \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *unwindIntoCond-simpleIB*:

assumes

$$\begin{aligned} & \wedge w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO. \\ & reachO s1 \implies reachO s2 \implies reachV sv1 \implies reachV sv2 \implies \\ & \Delta w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \\ & \implies \\ & (finalO s1 \longleftrightarrow finalO s2) \wedge (finalV sv1 \longleftrightarrow finalO s1) \wedge (finalV sv2 \longleftrightarrow finalO s2) \\ & \text{and} \\ & \wedge w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO. \end{aligned}$$

```

reachO s1 ==> reachO s2 ==> reachV sv1 ==> reachV sv2 ==>
Δ w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO ==>
statA = Eq
==>
isIntO s1 <-> isIntO s2
and
Λw s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO.
reachO s1 ==> reachO s2 ==> reachV sv1 ==> reachV sv2 ==>
Δ w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO
==>
(∃v<w. proact Δ' v s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO) ∨ react Δ' s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2
statO
shows unwindIntoCond Δ Δ'
⟨proof⟩

```

theorem distrib-unwind-rsecure:
assumes m: $0 < m$ **and** nxt: $\bigwedge i. i < (m::nat) \Rightarrow \text{nxt } i \subseteq \{0..<m\}$
and init: initCond ($\Delta s 0$)
and step: $\bigwedge i. i < m \Rightarrow$
 unwindIntoCond ($\Delta s i$) $(\lambda w s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO}.$
 $\exists j \in \text{nxt } i. \Delta s j w s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO})$
shows rsecure
⟨proof⟩

corollary linear-unwind-rsecure:
assumes init: initCond ($\Delta s 0$)
and step: $(\bigwedge i. i < m \Rightarrow$
 unwindIntoCond ($\Delta s i$) $(\lambda w s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO}.$
 $\Delta s i w s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \vee$
 $\Delta s (\text{Suc } i) w s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO}))$
and finish: unwindIntoCond ($\Delta s m$) ($\Delta s m$)
shows rsecure
⟨proof⟩

definition oor **where**
oor $\Delta \Delta_2 \equiv \lambda w s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO}.$
 $\Delta w s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \vee \Delta_2 w s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO}$

lemma oorI1:
 $\Delta w s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \Rightarrow \text{oor } \Delta \Delta_2 w s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO}$
⟨proof⟩

lemma oorI2:
 $\Delta_2 w s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \Rightarrow \text{oor } \Delta \Delta_2 w s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO}$
⟨proof⟩

definition oor3 **where**

$oor3 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \equiv \lambda w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO.$
 $\Delta w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \vee \Delta_2 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \vee$
 $\Delta_3 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$

lemma $oor3I1$:

$\Delta w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies oor3 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $oor3I2$:

$\Delta_2 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies oor3 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $oor3I3$:

$\Delta_3 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies oor3 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $oor4$ **where**

$oor4 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4 \equiv \lambda w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO.$
 $\Delta w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \vee \Delta_2 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \vee$
 $\Delta_3 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \vee \Delta_4 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$

lemma $oor4I1$:

$\Delta w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies oor4 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $oor4I2$:

$\Delta_2 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies oor4 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $oor4I3$:

$\Delta_3 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies oor4 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $oor4I4$:

$\Delta_4 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies oor4 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $oor5$ **where**

$oor5 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4 \Delta_5 \equiv \lambda w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO.$
 $\Delta w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \vee \Delta_2 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \vee$
 $\Delta_3 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \vee \Delta_4 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \vee$
 $\Delta_5 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$

lemma $oor5I1$:

$\Delta w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies oor5 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4 \Delta_5 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2$
 $statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $oor5I2$:

$\Delta_2 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies oor5 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4 \Delta_5 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $oor5I3$:

$\Delta_3 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies oor5 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4 \Delta_5 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $oor5I4$:

$\Delta_4 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies oor5 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4 \Delta_5 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $oor5I5$:

$\Delta_5 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies oor5 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4 \Delta_5 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $oor6$ **where**

$oor6 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4 \Delta_5 \Delta_6 \equiv \lambda w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO.$
 $\Delta w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \vee \Delta_2 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \vee$
 $\Delta_3 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \vee \Delta_4 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \vee$
 $\Delta_5 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \vee \Delta_6 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$

lemma $oor6I1$:

$\Delta w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies oor6 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4 \Delta_5 \Delta_6 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $oor6I2$:

$\Delta_2 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies oor6 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4 \Delta_5 \Delta_6 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $oor6I3$:

$\Delta_3 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies oor6 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4 \Delta_5 \Delta_6 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $oor6I4$:

$\Delta_4 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies oor6 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4 \Delta_5 \Delta_6 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $oor6I5$:

$\Delta_5 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies oor6 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4 \Delta_5 \Delta_6 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma oor6I6:
 $\Delta_6 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies oor6 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4 \Delta_5 \Delta_6 w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$ 
(proof)

```

```

lemma unwind-rsecure-foo:
assumes init: initCond  $\Delta_0$ 
    and step0: unwindIntoCond  $\Delta_0 \Delta NN$ 
    and stepNN: unwindIntoCond  $\Delta NN$  (oor5  $\Delta NN \Delta SN \Delta NS \Delta SS \Delta nonspec$ )
    and stepNS: unwindIntoCond  $\Delta NS$  (oor4  $\Delta NN \Delta SN \Delta NS \Delta SS$ )
    and stepSN: unwindIntoCond  $\Delta SN$  (oor4  $\Delta NN \Delta SN \Delta NS \Delta SS$ )
    and stepSS: unwindIntoCond  $\Delta SS$  (oor4  $\Delta NN \Delta SN \Delta NS \Delta SS$ )
    and stepNonspec: unwindIntoCond  $\Delta nonspec \Delta nonspec$ 
shows rsecure
(proof)

```

```

lemma isIntO-match1: isIntO s1  $\implies$  match1  $\Delta s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$ 
(proof)

```

```

lemma isIntO-match2: isIntO s2  $\implies$  match2  $\Delta s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$ 
(proof)

```

```

lemma match1-1-oorI1:
match1-1  $\Delta s1 s1' s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies$ 
match1-1 (oor  $\Delta \Delta_2$ )  $s1 s1' s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$ 
(proof)

```

```

lemma match1-1-oorI2:
match1-1  $\Delta_2 s1 s1' s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies$ 
match1-1 (oor  $\Delta \Delta_2$ )  $s1 s1' s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$ 
(proof)

```

```

lemma match1-oorI1:
match1  $\Delta s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies$ 
match1 (oor  $\Delta \Delta_2$ )  $s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$ 
(proof)

```

```

lemma match1-oorI2:
match1  $\Delta_2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies$ 
match1 (oor  $\Delta \Delta_2$ )  $s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$ 
(proof)

```

```

lemma match2-1-oorI1:

$$\text{match2-1 } \Delta s1 s2 s2' \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \implies$$


$$\text{match2-1 (oor } \Delta \Delta_2) s1 s2 s2' \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO}$$

(proof)

lemma match2-1-oorI2:

$$\text{match2-1 } \Delta_2 s1 s2 s2' \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \implies$$


$$\text{match2-1 (oor } \Delta \Delta_2) s1 s2 s2' \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO}$$

(proof)

lemma match2-oorI1:

$$\text{match2 } \Delta s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \implies$$


$$\text{match2 (oor } \Delta \Delta_2) s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO}$$

(proof)

lemma match2-oorI2:

$$\text{match2 } \Delta_2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \implies$$


$$\text{match2 (oor } \Delta \Delta_2) s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO}$$

(proof)

lemma match12-oorI1:

$$\text{match12 } \Delta s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \implies$$


$$\text{match12 (oor } \Delta \Delta_2) s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO}$$

(proof)

lemma match12-oorI2:

$$\text{match12 } \Delta_2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \implies$$


$$\text{match12 (oor } \Delta \Delta_2) s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO}$$

(proof)

lemma match12-1-oorI1:

$$\text{match12-1 } \Delta s1' s2' \text{ statA' sv1 sv2 statO} \implies$$


$$\text{match12-1 (oor } \Delta \Delta_2) s1' s2' \text{ statA' sv1 sv2 statO}$$

(proof)

lemma match12-1-oorI2:

$$\text{match12-1 } \Delta_2 s1' s2' \text{ statA' sv1 sv2 statO} \implies$$


$$\text{match12-1 (oor } \Delta \Delta_2) s1' s2' \text{ statA' sv1 sv2 statO}$$

(proof)

lemma match12-2-oorI1:

$$\text{match12-2 } \Delta s2 s2' \text{ statA' sv1 sv2 statO} \implies$$


$$\text{match12-2 (oor } \Delta \Delta_2) s2 s2' \text{ statA' sv1 sv2 statO}$$

(proof)

```

```

lemma match12-2-oorI2:

$$\text{match12-2 } \Delta_2 \ s2 \ s2' \text{ statA' sv1 sv2 statO} \implies$$


$$\text{match12-2 (oor } \Delta \ \Delta_2) \ s2 \ s2' \text{ statA' sv1 sv2 statO}$$

(proof)

lemma match12-12-oorI1:

$$\text{match12-12 } \Delta \ s1' \ s2' \text{ statA' sv1 sv2 statO} \implies$$


$$\text{match12-12 (oor } \Delta \ \Delta_2) \ s1' \ s2' \text{ statA' sv1 sv2 statO}$$

(proof)

lemma match12-12-oorI2:

$$\text{match12-12 } \Delta_2 \ s1' \ s2' \text{ statA' sv1 sv2 statO} \implies$$


$$\text{match12-12 (oor } \Delta \ \Delta_2) \ s1' \ s2' \text{ statA' sv1 sv2 statO}$$

(proof)

lemma match-oorI1:

$$\text{react } \Delta \ s1 \ s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \implies$$


$$\text{react (oor } \Delta \ \Delta_2) \ s1 \ s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO}$$

(proof)

lemma match-oorI2:

$$\text{react } \Delta_2 \ s1 \ s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \implies$$


$$\text{react (oor } \Delta \ \Delta_2) \ s1 \ s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO}$$

(proof)

lemma proact-oorI1:

$$\text{proact } \Delta \text{ meas s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO} \implies$$


$$\text{proact (oor } \Delta \ \Delta_2) \text{ meas s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO}$$

(proof)

lemma proact-oorI2:

$$\text{proact } \Delta_2 \text{ meas s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO} \implies$$


$$\text{proact (oor } \Delta \ \Delta_2) \text{ meas s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO}$$

(proof)

lemma move-1-oorI1:

$$\text{move-1 } \Delta \text{ meas s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO} \implies$$


$$\text{move-1 (oor } \Delta \ \Delta_2) \text{ meas s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO}$$

(proof)

lemma move-1-oorI2:

$$\text{move-1 } \Delta_2 \text{ meas s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO} \implies$$


$$\text{move-1 (oor } \Delta \ \Delta_2) \text{ meas s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO}$$

(proof)

```

```

lemma move-2-oorI1:
move-2  $\Delta$  meas  $s1\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO \implies$ 
move-2 (oor  $\Delta$   $\Delta_2$ ) meas  $s1\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma move-2-oorI2:
move-2  $\Delta_2$  meas  $s1\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO \implies$ 
move-2 (oor  $\Delta$   $\Delta_2$ ) meas  $s1\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma move-12-oorI1:
move-12  $\Delta$  meas  $s1\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO \implies$ 
move-12 (oor  $\Delta$   $\Delta_2$ ) meas  $s1\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma move-12-oorI2:
move-12  $\Delta_2$  meas  $s1\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO \implies$ 
move-12 (oor  $\Delta$   $\Delta_2$ ) meas  $s1\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

context Relative-Security-Determ
begin

lemma match1-1-defD: match1-1  $\Delta\ s1\ s1'\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $\neg finalV\ sv1 \wedge \Delta \infty\ s1'\ s2\ statA\ (nextO\ sv1)\ sv2\ statO$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma match1-12-defD: match1-12  $\Delta\ s1\ s1'\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $\neg finalV\ sv1 \wedge \neg finalV\ sv2 \wedge$ 
 $\Delta \infty\ s1'\ s2\ statA\ (nextO\ sv1)\ (nextO\ sv2)\ (sstatO'\ statO\ sv1\ sv2)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemmas match1-defsD = match1-def match1-1-defD match1-12-defD

lemma match2-1-defD: match2-1  $\Delta\ s1\ s2\ s2'\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $\neg finalV\ sv2 \wedge \Delta \infty\ s1\ s2'\ statA\ sv1\ (nextO\ sv2)\ statO$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma match2-12-defD: match2-12  $\Delta\ s1\ s2\ s2'\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $\neg finalV\ sv1 \wedge \neg finalV\ sv2 \wedge \Delta \infty\ s1\ s2'\ statA\ (nextO\ sv1)\ (nextO\ sv2)\ (sstatO'$ 
 $statO\ sv1\ sv2)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

lemmas $match2\text{-}defsD = match2\text{-}def\ match2\text{-}1\text{-}defD\ match2\text{-}12\text{-}defD$

lemma $match12\text{-}1\text{-}defD: match12\text{-}1 \Delta s1' s2' statA' sv1 sv2 statO \longleftrightarrow \neg finalV sv1 \wedge \Delta \infty s1' s2' statA' (nextO sv1) sv2 statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $match12\text{-}2\text{-}defD: match12\text{-}2 \Delta s1' s2' statA' sv1 sv2 statO \longleftrightarrow \neg finalV sv2 \wedge \Delta \infty s1' s2' statA' sv1 (nextO sv2) statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $match12\text{-}12\text{-}defD: match12\text{-}12 \Delta s1' s2' statA' sv1 sv2 statO \longleftrightarrow (let statO' = sstatO' statO sv1 sv2 in \neg finalV sv1 \wedge \neg finalV sv2 \wedge (statA' = Diff \longrightarrow statO' = Diff) \wedge \Delta \infty s1' s2' statA' (nextO sv1) (nextO sv2) statO')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $match12\text{-}defsD = match12\text{-}def\ match12\text{-}1\text{-}defD\ match12\text{-}2\text{-}defD\ match12\text{-}12\text{-}defD$

lemmas $match\text{-}deep\text{-}defsD = match1\text{-}defsD\ match2\text{-}defsD\ match12\text{-}defsD$

lemma $move\text{-}1\text{-}defD: move\text{-}1 \Delta w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \longleftrightarrow \neg finalV sv1 \wedge \Delta w s1 s2 statA (nextO sv1) sv2 statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $move\text{-}2\text{-}defD: move\text{-}2 \Delta w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \longleftrightarrow \neg finalV sv2 \wedge \Delta w s1 s2 statA sv1 (nextO sv2) statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $move\text{-}12\text{-}defD: move\text{-}12 \Delta w s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \longleftrightarrow (let statO' = sstatO' statO sv1 sv2 in \neg finalV sv1 \wedge \neg finalV sv2 \wedge \Delta w s1 s2 statA (nextO sv1) (nextO sv2) statO')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $proact\text{-}defsD = proact\text{-}def\ move\text{-}1\text{-}defD\ move\text{-}2\text{-}defD\ move\text{-}12\text{-}defD$

end

end

4 Unwinding Proof Method for Relative Security

This theory formalizes the notion of unwinding for relative security, and proves its soundness.

```
theory Unwinding
imports Relative-Security
begin
```

4.1 The types and operators underlying unwinding: status, matching operators, etc.

```
context Rel-Sec
begin
```

```
datatype status = Eq | Diff
```

```
fun newStat :: status ⇒ bool × 'a ⇒ bool × 'a ⇒ status where
  newStat Eq (True, a) (True, a') = (if a = a' then Eq else Diff)
| newStat stat - - = stat

definition sstatO' statO sv1 sv2 = newStat statO (isIntV sv1, getObsV sv1)
(isIntV sv2, getObsV sv2)
definition sstatA' statA s1 s2 = newStat statA (isIntO s1, getObsO s1) (isIntO
s2, getObsO s2)
```

```
lemma newStat-EqI:
  assumes ⟨R = S⟩
  shows ⟨newStat Eq (P, R) (Q, S) = Eq⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma newStat-diff:newStat stat r r = Diff ⟹ stat = Diff
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition initCond :: (enat ⇒ enat ⇒ enat ⇒ 'stateO ⇒ 'stateO ⇒ status ⇒ 'stateV ⇒ 'stateV ⇒ status ⇒ bool) ⇒ bool where
  initCond Δ ≡ ∀ s1 s2.
    istateO s1 ∧ istateO s2
    →
    (exists sv1 sv2. istateV sv1 ∧ istateV sv2 ∧ corrState sv1 s1 ∧ corrState sv2 s2
    ∧ Δ ∞ ∞ ∞ s1 s2 Eq sv1 sv2 Eq)
```

```

definition match1-1  $\Delta$  w1 w2 s1 s1' s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO  $\equiv$ 
   $\exists sv1'. validTransV(sv1,sv1') \wedge$ 
   $\Delta \infty w1 w2 s1' s2 statA sv1' sv2 statO$ 

definition match1-12  $\Delta$  w1 w2 s1 s1' s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO  $\equiv$ 
   $(\exists sv1' sv2'.$ 
     $let statO' = sstatO' statO sv1 sv2 in$ 
     $validTransV(sv1,sv1') \wedge$ 
     $validTransV(sv2,sv2') \wedge$ 
     $\Delta \infty w1 w2 s1' s2 statA sv1' sv2' statO')$ 

definition match1  $\Delta$  w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO  $\equiv$ 
   $\neg isIntO s1 \longrightarrow$ 
   $(\forall s1'. validTransO(s1,s1'))$ 
   $\longrightarrow$ 
   $(\exists w1' < w1. \exists w2' < w2. \neg isSecO s1 \wedge \Delta \infty w1' w2' s1' s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO) \vee$ 
   $(\exists w2' < w2. eqSec sv1 s1 \wedge \neg isIntV sv1 \wedge match1-1 \Delta \infty w2' s1 s1' s2$ 
   $statA sv1 sv2 statO) \vee$ 
   $(eqSec sv1 s1 \wedge \neg isSecV sv2 \wedge Van.eqAct sv1 sv2 \wedge match1-12 \Delta \infty \infty s1$ 
   $s1' s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO))$ 

```

lemmas match1-defs = match1-def match1-1-def match1-12-def

lemma match1-1-mono:

$\Delta \leq \Delta' \implies match1-1 \Delta w1 w2 s1 s1' s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies$
 $match1-1 \Delta' w1 w2 s1 s1' s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
(proof)

lemma match1-12-mono:

$\Delta \leq \Delta' \implies match1-12 \Delta w1 w2 s1 s1' s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies$
 $match1-12 \Delta' w1 w2 s1 s1' s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
(proof)

lemma match1-mono:

assumes $\Delta \leq \Delta'$
shows $match1 \Delta w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies match1 \Delta' w1 w2 s1 s2$
 $statA sv1 sv2 statO$
(proof)

definition match2-1 Δ w1 w2 s1 s2 s2' statA sv1 sv2 statO \equiv
 $\exists sv2'. validTransV(sv2,sv2') \wedge$
 $\Delta \infty w1 w2 s1 s2' statA sv1 sv2' statO$

definition match2-12 Δ w1 w2 s1 s2 s2' statA sv1 sv2 statO \equiv
 $\exists sv1' sv2'.$
 $let statO' = sstatO' statO sv1 sv2 in$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{validTransV } (\text{sv1}, \text{sv1}') \wedge \\
& \text{validTransV } (\text{sv2}, \text{sv2}') \wedge \\
& \Delta \propto w1 \text{ } w2 \text{ } s1 \text{ } s2' \text{ statA } \text{sv1}' \text{ sv2}' \text{ statO}' \\
\\
\text{definition } & \text{match2 } \Delta \text{ } w1 \text{ } w2 \text{ } s1 \text{ } s2 \text{ statA } \text{sv1 } \text{sv2 } \text{statO} \equiv \\
& \neg \text{isIntO } s2 \longrightarrow \\
& (\forall s2'. \text{validTransO } (s2, s2')) \\
& \longrightarrow \\
& (\exists w1' < w1. \exists w2' < w2. \neg \text{isSecO } s2 \wedge \Delta \propto w1' \text{ } w2' \text{ } s1 \text{ } s2' \text{ statA } \text{sv1 } \text{sv2 } \text{statO}) \vee \\
& (\exists w1' < w1. \text{eqSec sv2 } s2 \wedge \neg \text{isIntV sv2} \wedge \text{match2-1 } \Delta \text{ } w1' \propto s1 \text{ } s2 \text{ } s2' \text{ statA } \text{sv1 } \text{sv2 } \text{statO}) \vee \\
& (\neg \text{isSecV sv1} \wedge \text{eqSec sv2 } s2 \wedge \text{Van.eqAct sv1 sv2} \wedge \text{match2-12 } \Delta \propto \infty s1 \text{ } s2 \text{ } s2' \text{ statA } \text{sv1 } \text{sv2 } \text{statO})
\end{aligned}$$

lemmas $\text{match2-def} = \text{match2-def}$ match2-1-def match2-12-def

lemma $\text{match2-1-mono}:$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta \leq \Delta' \implies & \text{match2-1 } \Delta \text{ } w1 \text{ } w2 \text{ } s1 \text{ } s1' \text{ } s2 \text{ statA } \text{sv1 } \text{sv2 } \text{statO} \implies \text{match2-1 } \Delta' \\
& w1 \text{ } w2 \text{ } s1 \text{ } s1' \text{ } s2 \text{ statA } \text{sv1 } \text{sv2 } \text{statO} \\
& \langle \text{proof} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

lemma $\text{match2-12-mono}:$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta \leq \Delta' \implies & \text{match2-12 } \Delta \text{ } w1 \text{ } w2 \text{ } s1 \text{ } s1' \text{ } s2 \text{ statA } \text{sv1 } \text{sv2 } \text{statO} \implies \text{match2-12 } \Delta' \\
& w1 \text{ } w2 \text{ } s1 \text{ } s1' \text{ } s2 \text{ statA } \text{sv1 } \text{sv2 } \text{statO} \\
& \langle \text{proof} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

lemma $\text{match2-mono}:$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{assumes } & \Delta \leq \Delta' \\
\text{shows } & \text{match2 } \Delta \text{ } w1 \text{ } w2 \text{ } s1 \text{ } s2 \text{ statA } \text{sv1 } \text{sv2 } \text{statO} \implies \text{match2 } \Delta' \text{ } w1 \text{ } w2 \text{ } s1 \text{ } s2 \\
& \text{statA } \text{sv1 } \text{sv2 } \text{statO} \\
& \langle \text{proof} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

definition $\text{match12-1 } \Delta \text{ } w1 \text{ } w2 \text{ } s1' \text{ } s2' \text{ statA}' \text{ sv1 } \text{sv2 } \text{statO} \equiv$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \exists \text{sv1}'. \text{validTransV } (\text{sv1}, \text{sv1}') \wedge \\
& \Delta \propto w1 \text{ } w2 \text{ } s1' \text{ } s2' \text{ statA}' \text{ sv1}' \text{ sv2 } \text{statO}
\end{aligned}$$

definition $\text{match12-2 } \Delta \text{ } w1 \text{ } w2 \text{ } s1' \text{ } s2' \text{ statA}' \text{ sv1 } \text{sv2 } \text{statO} \equiv$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \exists \text{sv2}'. \text{validTransV } (\text{sv2}, \text{sv2}') \wedge \\
& \Delta \propto w1 \text{ } w2 \text{ } s1' \text{ } s2' \text{ statA}' \text{ sv1 } \text{sv2}' \text{ statO}
\end{aligned}$$

definition $\text{match12-12 } \Delta \text{ } w1 \text{ } w2 \text{ } s1' \text{ } s2' \text{ statA}' \text{ sv1 } \text{sv2 } \text{statO} \equiv$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \exists \text{sv1}' \text{ sv2}'. \\
& \text{let statO}' = \text{sstatO}' \text{ statO } \text{sv1 } \text{sv2 } \text{in} \\
& \text{validTransV } (\text{sv1}, \text{sv1}') \wedge \\
& \text{validTransV } (\text{sv2}, \text{sv2}') \wedge \\
& (\text{statA}' = \text{Diff} \longrightarrow \text{statO}' = \text{Diff}) \wedge
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta \propto w1\ w2\ s1'\ s2' \ statA' \ sv1'\ sv2' \ statO'$$

definition $match12 \Delta w1\ w2\ s1\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO \equiv$
 $\forall s1'\ s2'.$
 $let statA' = sstatA' statA\ s1\ s2 in$
 $validTransO(s1, s1') \wedge$
 $validTransO(s2, s2') \wedge$
 $Opt.eqAct s1\ s2 \wedge$
 $isIntO s1 \wedge isIntO s2$
 \rightarrow
 $(\exists w1' < w1. \exists w2' < w2. \neg isSecO s1 \wedge \neg isSecO s2 \wedge (statA = statA' \vee statO = Diff) \wedge$
 $\Delta \propto w1' w2' s1' s2' statA' sv1 sv2 statO)$
 \vee
 $(\exists w2' < w2. \neg isSecO s2 \wedge eqSec sv1 s1 \wedge \neg isIntV sv1 \wedge$
 $(statA = statA' \vee statO = Diff) \wedge$
 $match12-1 \Delta \propto w2' s1' s2' statA' sv1 sv2 statO)$
 \vee
 $(\exists w1' < w1. \neg isSecO s1 \wedge eqSec sv2 s2 \wedge \neg isIntV sv2 \wedge$
 $(statA = statA' \vee statO = Diff) \wedge$
 $match12-2 \Delta w1' \propto s1' s2' statA' sv1 sv2 statO)$
 \vee
 $(eqSec sv1 s1 \wedge eqSec sv2 s2 \wedge Van.eqAct sv1 sv2 \wedge$
 $match12-12 \Delta \propto \infty s1' s2' statA' sv1 sv2 statO)$

lemmas $match12-defs = match12-def\ match12-1-def\ match12-2-def\ match12-12-def$

lemma $match12-simpleI:$
assumes $\Delta \propto s1' s2' statA'.$
 $statA' = sstatA' statA\ s1\ s2 \implies$
 $validTransO(s1, s1') \implies$
 $validTransO(s2, s2') \implies$
 $Opt.eqAct s1\ s2 \implies$
 $(\exists w1' < w1. \exists w2' < w2. \neg isSecO s1 \wedge \neg isSecO s2 \wedge (statA = statA' \vee statO = Diff) \wedge$
 $\Delta \propto w1' w2' s1' s2' statA' sv1 sv2 statO)$
 \vee
 $(eqSec sv1 s1 \wedge eqSec sv2 s2 \wedge Van.eqAct sv1 sv2 \wedge$
 $match12-12 \Delta \propto \infty s1' s2' statA' sv1 sv2 statO)$
shows $match12 \Delta w1\ w2\ s1\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $match12-1-mono:$
 $\Delta \leq \Delta' \implies match12-1 \Delta w1\ w2\ s1'\ s2' \ statA' \ sv1\ sv2\ statO \implies match12-1 \Delta'$
 $w1\ w2\ s1'\ s2' \ statA' \ sv1\ sv2\ statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $match12-2-mono:$

$\Delta \leq \Delta' \implies \text{match12-2 } \Delta w1 w2 s1 s2' \text{ statA' sv1 sv2 statO} \implies \text{match12-2 } \Delta'$
 $w1 w2 s1 s2' \text{ statA' sv1 sv2 statO}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *match12-12-mono*:

$\Delta \leq \Delta' \implies \text{match12-12 } \Delta w1 w2 s1' s2' \text{ statA' sv1 sv2 statO} \implies \text{match12-12}$
 $\Delta' w1 w2 s1' s2' \text{ statA' sv1 sv2 statO}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *match12-mono*:

assumes $\Delta \leq \Delta'$
shows $\text{match12 } \Delta w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \implies \text{match12 } \Delta' w1 w2 s1 s2$
 $\text{statA sv1 sv2 statO}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *react* $\Delta w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \equiv$
 $\text{match1 } \Delta w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO}$
 \wedge
 $\text{match2 } \Delta w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO}$
 \wedge
 $\text{match12 } \Delta w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO}$

lemmas *react-defs* = *match1-def* *match2-def* *match12-def*
lemmas *match-deep-defs* = *match1-defs* *match2-defs* *match12-defs*

lemma *match-mono*:

assumes $\Delta \leq \Delta'$
shows $\text{react } \Delta w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \implies \text{react } \Delta' w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA}$
 sv1 sv2 statO
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *move-1* $\Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \equiv$
 $\exists sv1'. \text{validTransV } (sv1,sv1') \wedge$
 $\Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1' sv2 statO}$

definition *move-2* $\Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \equiv$
 $\exists sv2'. \text{validTransV } (sv2,sv2') \wedge$
 $\Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2' statO}$

definition *move-12* $\Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \equiv$
 $\exists sv1' sv2'.$
 $\text{let statO}' = \text{sstatO}' \text{ statO sv1 sv2 in}$
 $\text{validTransV } (sv1,sv1') \wedge \text{validTransV } (sv2,sv2') \wedge$
 $\Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1' sv2' statO'}$

```

definition proact  $\Delta$  w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO  $\equiv$ 
  ( $\neg$  isSecV sv1  $\wedge$   $\neg$  isIntV sv1  $\wedge$  move-1  $\Delta$  w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO)
   $\vee$ 
  ( $\neg$  isSecV sv2  $\wedge$   $\neg$  isIntV sv2  $\wedge$  move-2  $\Delta$  w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO)
   $\vee$ 
  ( $\neg$  isSecV sv1  $\wedge$   $\neg$  isSecV sv2  $\wedge$  Van.eqAct sv1 sv2  $\wedge$  move-12  $\Delta$  w w1 w2 s1 s2
  statA sv1 sv2 statO)

```

```
lemmas proact-defs = proact-def move-1-def move-2-def move-12-def
```

lemma move-1-mono:

```
 $\Delta \leq \Delta' \implies \text{move-1 } \Delta \text{ w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO} \implies \text{move-1 } \Delta' \text{ w w1}$ 
 $w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma move-2-mono:

```
 $\Delta \leq \Delta' \implies \text{move-2 } \Delta \text{ w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO} \implies \text{move-2 } \Delta' \text{ w w1}$ 
 $w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma move-12-mono:

```
 $\Delta \leq \Delta' \implies \text{move-12 } \Delta \text{ w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO} \implies \text{move-12 } \Delta' \text{ w w1}$ 
 $w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma proact-mono:

```
assumes  $\Delta \leq \Delta'$ 
shows proact  $\Delta$  w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO  $\implies$  proact  $\Delta'$  w w1 w2 s1 s2
statA sv1 sv2 statO
⟨proof⟩
```

4.2 The definition of unwinding

definition unwindCond ::

```
(enat  $\Rightarrow$  enat  $\Rightarrow$  enat  $\Rightarrow$  'stateO  $\Rightarrow$  'stateO  $\Rightarrow$  status  $\Rightarrow$  'stateV  $\Rightarrow$  'stateV  $\Rightarrow$ 
status  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
```

where

```
unwindCond  $\Delta \equiv \forall w w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO}.$ 
  reachO s1  $\wedge$  reachO s2  $\wedge$  reachV sv1  $\wedge$  reachV sv2  $\wedge$ 
   $\Delta$  w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO
   $\longrightarrow$ 
  (finalO s1  $\longleftrightarrow$  finalO s2)  $\wedge$  (finalV sv1  $\longleftrightarrow$  finalO s1)  $\wedge$  (finalV sv2  $\longleftrightarrow$  finalO
  s2)
   $\wedge$ 
  (statA = Eq  $\longrightarrow$  (isIntO s1  $\longleftrightarrow$  isIntO s2))
   $\wedge$ 
  ( $(\exists v < w. \text{ proact } \Delta v w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO})$ 
   $\vee$ 
  react  $\Delta$  w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO
```

)

```

lemma unwindCond-simpleI:
assumes
 $\wedge w w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{statA} sv1 sv2 \text{statO}.$ 
 $\text{reachO } s1 \implies \text{reachO } s2 \implies \text{reachV } sv1 \implies \text{reachV } sv2 \implies$ 
 $\Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{statA} sv1 sv2 \text{statO}$ 
 $\implies$ 
 $(\text{finalO } s1 \longleftrightarrow \text{finalO } s2) \wedge (\text{finalV } sv1 \longleftrightarrow \text{finalO } s1) \wedge (\text{finalV } sv2 \longleftrightarrow \text{finalO } s2)$ 
and
 $\wedge w w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{statA} sv1 sv2 \text{statO}.$ 
 $\text{reachO } s1 \implies \text{reachO } s2 \implies \text{reachV } sv1 \implies \text{reachV } sv2 \implies$ 
 $\Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{statA} sv1 sv2 \text{statO} \implies \text{statA} = \text{Eq}$ 
 $\implies$ 
 $\text{isIntO } s1 \longleftrightarrow \text{isIntO } s2$ 
and
 $\wedge w w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{statA} sv1 sv2 \text{statO}.$ 
 $\text{reachO } s1 \implies \text{reachO } s2 \implies \text{reachV } sv1 \implies \text{reachV } sv2 \implies$ 
 $\Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{statA} sv1 sv2 \text{statO}$ 
 $\implies$ 
 $\text{react } \Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{statA} sv1 sv2 \text{statO}$ 
shows unwindCond  $\Delta$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

4.3 The soundness of unwinding

The proof of soundness for general unwinding is significantly more elaborate than that for the finitary case.

```

definition  $\psi s1 tr1 s2 tr2 \text{statO} sv1 trv1 sv2 trv2 \equiv$ 
 $trv1 \neq [] \wedge trv2 \neq [] \wedge$ 
 $\text{Van.validFromS } sv1 trv1 \wedge$ 
 $\text{Van.validFromS } sv2 trv2 \wedge$ 
 $(\text{finalV } (\text{lastt } sv1 trv1) \longleftrightarrow \text{finalO } (\text{lastt } s1 tr1)) \wedge (\text{finalV } (\text{lastt } sv2 trv2) \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $\text{finalO } (\text{lastt } s2 tr2)) \wedge$ 
 $\text{Van.S } trv1 = \text{Opt.S } tr1 \wedge \text{Van.S } trv2 = \text{Opt.S } tr2 \wedge$ 
 $\text{Van.A } trv1 = \text{Van.A } trv2 \wedge$ 
 $(\text{statO} = \text{Eq} \wedge \text{Opt.O } tr1 \neq \text{Opt.O } tr2 \longrightarrow \text{Van.O } trv1 \neq \text{Van.O } trv2)$ 

```

```

lemma  $\psi$ -completedFrom:  $\text{completedFromO } s1 tr1 \implies \text{completedFromO } s2 tr2 \implies$ 
 $\psi s1 tr1 s2 tr2 \text{statO} sv1 trv1 sv2 trv2$ 
 $\implies \text{completedFromV } sv1 trv1 \wedge \text{completedFromV } sv2 trv2$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma completedFromO-lastt:  $\text{completedFromO } s1 tr1 \implies \text{finalO } (\text{lastt } s1 tr1)$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rsecure-strong*:

assumes

$\wedge s1 \ tr1 \ s2 \ tr2.$

$istateO \ s1 \wedge Opt.validFromS \ s1 \ tr1 \wedge completedFromO \ s1 \ tr1 \wedge$

$istateO \ s2 \wedge Opt.validFromS \ s2 \ tr2 \wedge completedFromO \ s2 \ tr2 \wedge$

$Opt.A \ tr1 = Opt.A \ tr2$

\implies

$\exists sv1 \ trv1 \ sv2 \ trv2.$

$istateV \ sv1 \wedge istateV \ sv2 \wedge corrState \ sv1 \ s1 \wedge corrState \ sv2 \ s2 \wedge$

$\psi \ s1 \ tr1 \ s2 \ tr2 \ Eq \ sv1 \ trv1 \ sv2 \ trv2$

shows *rsecure*

$\langle proof \rangle$

proposition *unwindCond-ex- ψ* :

assumes *unwind*: *unwindCond* Δ

and Δ : $\Delta \ w \ w1 \ w2 \ s1 \ s2 \ statA \ sv1 \ sv2 \ statO$ **and** *stat*: ($statA = Diff \longrightarrow statO = Diff$)

and v : $Opt.validFromS \ s1 \ tr1 \ Opt.completedFrom \ s1 \ tr1 \ Opt.validFromS \ s2 \ tr2 \ Opt.completedFrom \ s2 \ tr2$

and $tr14$: $Opt.A \ tr1 = Opt.A \ tr2$

and r : $reachO \ s1 \ reachO \ s2 \ reachV \ sv1 \ reachV \ sv2$

shows $\exists trv1 \ trv2. \psi \ s1 \ tr1 \ s2 \ tr2 \ statO \ sv1 \ trv1 \ sv2 \ trv2$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unwindCond-final*:

unwindCond $\Delta \implies reachO \ s1 \implies reachO \ s2 \implies reachV \ sv1 \implies reachV \ sv2 \implies$

$\Delta \ w \ w1 \ w2 \ s1 \ s2 \ statA \ sv1 \ sv2 \ statO \implies$

$(finalV \ sv1 \longleftrightarrow finalO \ s1) \wedge (finalV \ sv2 \longleftrightarrow finalO \ s2)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition $\varphi \ \Delta \ w \ w1 \ w2 \ w1' \ w2' \ statA \ s1 \ tr1 \ s2 \ tr2 \ statAA \ statO \ sv1 \ trv1 \ sv2 \ trv2 \ statOO \equiv$

$trv1 \neq [] \wedge trv2 \neq [] \wedge$

$(length \ trv1 > Suc \ 0 \vee w1' \leq w1) \wedge (length \ trv2 > Suc \ 0 \vee w2' \leq w2) \wedge$

$Van.validFromS \ sv1 \ trv1 \wedge$

$Van.validFromS \ sv2 \ trv2 \wedge$

$Van.S \ trv1 = Opt.S \ tr1 \wedge Van.S \ trv2 = Opt.S \ tr2 \wedge$

$Van.A \ trv1 = Van.A \ trv2 \wedge$

$(statO = Eq \longrightarrow (statOO = Diff \longleftrightarrow Van.O \ trv1 \neq Van.O \ trv2)) \wedge$

$(statA = Eq \longrightarrow (statAA = Diff \longleftrightarrow Opt.O \ tr1 \neq Opt.O \ tr2)) \wedge$

$(statO = Diff \rightarrow statOO = Diff) \wedge$
 $(statAA = Diff \rightarrow statOO = Diff) \wedge$
 $\Delta w w1' w2' (lastt s1 tr1) (lastt s2 tr2) statAA (lastt sv1 trv1) (lastt sv2 trv2)$
 $statOO$

lemma φ -final:

assumes $unw: unwindCond \Delta$
and $r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2$
and $vtr14: Opt.validFromS s1 tr1 Opt.validFromS s2 tr2$
and $\varphi: \varphi \Delta w w1 w2 w1' w2' statA s1 tr1 s2 tr2 statAA statO sv1 trv1 sv2 trv2$
 $statOO$
shows $(finalV (lastt sv1 trv1) \leftrightarrow finalO (lastt s1 tr1)) \wedge (finalV (lastt sv2 trv2)$
 $\leftrightarrow finalO (lastt s2 tr2))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma φ -completedFrom: $unwindCond \Delta \Rightarrow$
 $reachO s1 \Rightarrow reachO s2 \Rightarrow reachV sv1 \Rightarrow reachV sv2 \Rightarrow$
 $Opt.validFromS s1 tr1 \Rightarrow completedFromO s1 tr1 \Rightarrow$
 $Opt.validFromS s2 tr2 \Rightarrow completedFromO s2 tr2 \Rightarrow$
 $\varphi \Delta statA w w1 w2 w1' w2' s1 tr1 s2 tr2 statAA statO sv1 trv1 sv2 trv2$
 $\Rightarrow completedFromV sv1 trv1 \wedge completedFromV sv2 trv2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $unwindCond\text{-ex-}\varphi$:

assumes $unwind: unwindCond \Delta$
and $\Delta: \Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
and $r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2$
and $stat: (statA = Diff \rightarrow statO = Diff)$
and $v: Opt.validFromS s1 tr1 Opt.validFromS s2 tr2$
and $i: isIntO (lastt s1 tr1) isIntO (lastt s2 tr2)$
and $nev: never isIntO (butlast tr1) never isIntO (butlast tr2)$
shows $\exists w' w1' w2' trv1 trv2 statAA statOO. \varphi \Delta w' w1 w2 w1' w2' statA s1 tr1$
 $s2 tr2 statAA statO sv1 trv1 sv2 trv2 statOO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $\varphi a \Delta w w1 w2 w1' w2' statA s1 tr1 s2 tr2 statAA statO sv1 trv1 sv2$
 $trv2 statOO \equiv$

$trv1 \neq [] \wedge trv2 \neq [] \wedge$
 $(length trv1 > Suc 0 \vee w1' < w1) \wedge (length trv2 > Suc 0 \vee w2' < w2) \wedge$

$Van.validFromS sv1 trv1 \wedge$

$Van.validFromS sv2 trv2 \wedge$

$Van.S trv1 = Opt.S tr1 \wedge Van.S trv2 = Opt.S tr2 \wedge$

$Van.A trv1 = Van.A trv2 \wedge$

$(statO = Eq \rightarrow (statOO = Diff \leftrightarrow Van.O trv1 \neq Van.O trv2)) \wedge$

$(statA = Eq \rightarrow (statAA = Diff \leftrightarrow Opt.O tr1 \neq Opt.O tr2)) \wedge$

$(statO = Diff \rightarrow statOO = Diff) \wedge$

$(statAA = Diff \rightarrow statOO = Diff) \wedge$

$\Delta w w1' w2' (lastt s1 tr1) (lastt s2 tr2) statAA (lastt sv1 trv1) (lastt sv2 trv2)$
 $statOO$

lemma *unwindCond-ex- φa -getActO*:
assumes *unwind: unwindCond* Δ
and $\Delta: \Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
and $r34: reachO s1 reachO s2$ **and** $r12: reachV sv1 reachV sv2$
and $stat: (statA = Diff \rightarrow statO = Diff)$
and $v: validTransO (s1, s1') validTransO (s2, s2')$
and $i34: isIntO s1 isIntO s2 getActO s1 = getActO s2$
shows $\exists w1' w2' trv1 trv2 statOO.$
 $\varphi a \Delta \infty w1 w2 w1' w2' statA s1 [s1, s1'] s2 [s2, s2'] (statAA' statA s1 s2)$
 $statO sv1 trv1 sv2 trv2 statOO$
(proof)

lemma *unwindCond-ex- $\varphi a'$ -aux*:
assumes *unwind: unwindCond* Δ
and $\Delta: \Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
and $r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2$
and $stat: (statA = Diff \rightarrow statO = Diff)$
and $tr14NE: tr1 \neq [] tr2 \neq []$
and $v3': Opt.validFromS s1 (tr1 \# s1')$ **and** $v4': Opt.validFromS s2 (tr2 \# s2')$
and $i: isIntO (lastt s1 tr1) isIntO (lastt s2 tr2)$
and $A34: getActO (lastt s1 tr1) = getActO (lastt s2 tr2)$
and $nev: never isIntO (butlast tr1) never isIntO (butlast tr2)$
shows $\exists w1' w2' trv1' trv2' statAA' statOO'.$
 $\varphi a \Delta \infty w1 w2 w1' w2' statA s1 (tr1 \# s1') s2 (tr2 \# s2') statAA' statO$
 $sv1 trv1' sv2 trv2' statOO'$
(proof)

lemma *unwindCond-ex- φa -aux2*:
assumes *unwind: unwindCond* Δ
and $\Delta: \Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
and $r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2$
and $stat: (statA = Diff \rightarrow statO = Diff)$
and $v3': Opt.validFromS s1 (tr1 @ [s1', s1''])$ **and** $v4': Opt.validFromS s2 (tr2 @ [s2', s2''])$
and $i: isIntO s1' isIntO s2'$
and $A34: getActO s1' = getActO s2'$
and $nev: never isIntO tr1 never isIntO tr2$
shows $\exists w1' w2' trv1 trv2 statAA statOO.$
 $\varphi a \Delta \infty w1 w2 w1' w2' statA s1 (tr1 @ [s1', s1'']) s2 (tr2 @ [s2', s2'']) statAA$
 $statO sv1 trv1 sv2 trv2 statOO$
(proof)

lemma *lastt-snoc[simp]: lastt s1 (tr1 @ [s1'']) = s1''*
(proof)

lemma *lastt-snoc2[simp]*: *lastt s1 (tr1 @ [s1', s1'']) = s1''*
(proof)

lemma *append-snoc2*: *tr1 @ [s1', s1''] = (tr1 ## s1') ## s1''*
(proof)

definition $\varphi' \Delta w1 w2 w1' w2' statA s1 tr1 s1' s1'' s2 tr2 s2' s2'' statAA statO sv1 trv1 sv1'' sv2 trv2 sv2'' statOO \equiv$
 $(trv1 \neq [] \vee w1' < w1) \wedge (trv2 \neq [] \vee w2' < w2) \wedge$
 $Van.validFromS sv1 (trv1 ## sv1'') \wedge Van.validFromS sv2 (trv2 ## sv2'') \wedge$
 $Van.S (trv1 ## sv1'') = Opt.S ((tr1 ## s1') ## s1'') \wedge Van.S (trv2 ## sv2'') = Opt.S ((tr2 ## s2') ## s2'') \wedge$
 $Van.A (trv1 ## sv1'') = Van.A (trv2 ## sv2'') \wedge$
 $(statO = Eq \rightarrow (statOO = Diff) = (Van.O (trv1 ## sv1'') \neq Van.O (trv2 ## sv2''))) \wedge$
 $(statA = Eq \rightarrow (statAA = Diff) = (Opt.O ((tr1 ## s1') ## s1'') \neq Opt.O ((tr2 ## s2') ## s2''))) \wedge$
 $(statO = Diff \rightarrow statOO = Diff) \wedge (statAA = Diff \rightarrow statOO = Diff) \wedge$
 $\Delta \infty w1' w2' s1'' s2'' statAA sv1'' sv2'' statOO$

proposition *unwindCond-ex- φ'* :

assumes *unwind: unwindCond Δ and $\Delta: \Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$*
and *r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2*
and *stat: statA = Diff \rightarrow statO = Diff*
and *v3': Opt.validFromS s1 ((tr1 ## s1') ## s1'') and v4': Opt.validFromS s2 ((tr2 ## s2') ## s2'')*
and *i: isIntO s1' isIntO s2'*
and *A34: getActO s1' = getActO s2'*
and *nev: never isIntO tr1 never isIntO tr2*
shows $\exists w1' w2' trv1 sv1'' trv2 sv2'' statAA statOO.$
 $\varphi' \Delta w1 w2 w1' w2' statA s1 tr1 s1' s1'' s2 tr2 s2' s2'' statAA statO sv1 trv1 sv1'' sv2 trv2 sv2'' statOO$
(proof)

definition $\chi^3 \Delta w (w1::enat) w2 w1' w2' s1 tr1 s2 statAA sv1 trv1 sv2 trv2 statOO \equiv$
 $trv1 \neq [] \wedge trv2 \neq [] \wedge (length trv2 > Suc 0 \vee w2' \leq w2) \wedge$
 $Van.validFromS sv1 trv1 \wedge Van.validFromS sv2 trv2 \wedge$
 $never isSecV (butlast trv1) \wedge$
 $isSecV (lastt sv1 trv1) \wedge getSecV (lastt sv1 trv1) = getSecO (lastt s1 tr1) \wedge$
 $never isSecV (butlast trv2) \wedge$
 $Van.A trv1 = Van.A trv2 \wedge$
 $\Delta w w1' w2' (lastt s1 tr1) s2 statAA (lastt sv1 trv1) (lastt sv2 trv2) statOO$

lemma χ^3 -final:

```

assumes unw: unwindCond  $\Delta$ 
and r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2
and vtr1: Opt.validFromS s1 tr1
and  $\chi_3: \chi_3 \Delta w w1 w2 w1' w2' s1 tr1 s2 statAA sv1 trv1 sv2 trv2 statOO$ 
shows (finalV (lastt sv1 trv1)  $\longleftrightarrow$  finalO (lastt s1 tr1))  $\wedge$  (finalV (lastt sv2 trv2)
 $\longleftrightarrow$  finalO s2)
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $\chi_3$ -completedFrom: unwindCond  $\Delta \Rightarrow$ 
reachO s1  $\Rightarrow$  reachO s2  $\Rightarrow$  reachV sv1  $\Rightarrow$  reachV sv2  $\Rightarrow$ 
Opt.validFromS s1 tr1  $\Rightarrow$  completedFromO s1 tr1  $\Rightarrow$ 
 $\chi_3 \Delta w w1 w2 w1' w2' s1 tr1 s2 statAA sv1 trv1 sv2 trv2 statOO$ 
 $\Rightarrow$  completedFromV sv1 trv1  $\wedge$  completedFromV sv2 trv2
⟨proof⟩

lemma unwindCond-ex- $\chi_3$ :
assumes unwind: unwindCond  $\Delta$ 
and  $\Delta: \Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$ 
and r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2
and vtr1: Opt.validFromS s1 tr1
and nis1:  $\neg$  isIntO s1 and nis2:  $\neg$  isIntO s2
and inter3: never isIntO tr1
and sec: never isSecO (butlast tr1) isSecO (lastt s1 tr1)
shows  $\exists w' w1' w2' trv1 trv2 statOO. \chi_3 \Delta w' w1 w2 w1' w2' s1 tr1 s2 statA sv1$ 
trv1 sv2 trv2 statOO
⟨proof⟩

definition  $\chi_3a$  where  $\chi_3a \Delta w (w1::enat) w2 w1' w2' s1 s1' s2 statAA sv1 trv1$ 
sv2 trv2 statOO  $\equiv$ 
trv1  $\neq [] \wedge$  trv2  $\neq [] \wedge$  (length trv2  $>$  Suc 0  $\vee$  w2'  $<$  w2)  $\wedge$ 
Van.validFromS sv1 trv1  $\wedge$  Van.validFromS sv2 trv2  $\wedge$ 
Van.S trv1 = [getSecO s1]  $\wedge$ 
never isSecV (butlast trv2)  $\wedge$ 
Van.A trv1 = Van.A trv2  $\wedge$ 
 $\Delta w w1' w2' s1' s2 statAA (lastt sv1 trv1) (lastt sv2 trv2) statOO$ 

lemma unwindCond-ex- $\chi_3a$ -getSec:
assumes unwind: unwindCond  $\Delta$ 
and  $\Delta: \Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$ 
and r34: reachO s1 reachO s2 and r12: reachV sv1 reachV sv2
and v: validTransO (s1, s1')
and ii3:  $\neg$  isIntO s1
and is1: isSecO s1 and isv13: isSecV sv1 getSecO s1 = getSecV sv1
shows  $\exists w1' w2' trv1 trv2 statOO.$ 
 $\chi_3a \Delta \infty w1 w2 w1' w2' s1 s1' s2 statA sv1 trv1 sv2 trv2 statOO$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

definition $\chi 3b \Delta w (w1::enat) w2 w1' w2' s1 tr1 s2 statAA sv1 trv1 sv2 trv2$
 $statOO \equiv$
 $trv1 \neq [] \wedge$
 $trv2 \neq [] \wedge (length trv2 > Suc 0 \vee w2' < w2) \wedge$
 $Van.validFromS sv1 trv1 \wedge$
 $Van.validFromS sv2 trv2 \wedge$
 $Van.S trv1 = Opt.S trv1 \wedge$
 $never isSecV (butlast trv2) \wedge Van.A trv1 = Van.A trv2 \wedge$
 $\Delta w w1' w2' (lastt s1 tr1) s2 statAA (lastt sv1 trv1) (lastt sv2 trv2) statOO$

lemma *unwindCond-ex- $\chi 3b$ -aux*:
assumes *unwind: unwindCond* Δ
and $\Delta: \Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$ **and**
 $r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2$
and $tr1NE: tr1 \neq []$
and $v3': Opt.validFromS s1 (tr1 \# s1')$
and $nis1: \neg isIntO s1$ **and** $nis2: \neg isIntO s2$
and $ninter3': never isIntO (tr1 \# s1')$
and $sec: never isSecO (butlast tr1) isSecO (lastt s1 tr1)$
shows $\exists w1' w2' trv1 trv2 statOO. \chi 3b \Delta \infty w1 w2 w1' w2' s1 (tr1 \# s1') s2$
 $statA sv1 trv1 sv2 trv2 statOO$
(proof)

lemma *unwindCond-ex- $\chi 3b$ -aux2*:
assumes *unwind: unwindCond* Δ
and $\Delta: \Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
and $r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2$
and $v3': Opt.validFromS s1 (tr1 @ [s1', s1'])$
and $nis1: \neg isIntO s1$ **and** $nis2: \neg isIntO s2$
and $ninter3': never isIntO (tr1 @ [s1', s1'])$
and $sec: never isSecO tr1 isSecO s1'$
shows $\exists w1' w2' trv1 trv2 statOO. \chi 3b \Delta \infty w1 w2 w1' w2' s1 (tr1 @ [s1', s1']) s2$
 $statA sv1 trv1 sv2 trv2 statOO$
(proof)

definition $\chi 3' \Delta w1 w2 w1' w2' s1 tr1 s1' s1'' s2 statAA sv1 trv1 sv1'' sv2 trv2$
 $sv2'' statOO \equiv$
 $Van.validFromS sv1 (trv1 \# sv1'') \wedge Van.validFromS sv2 (trv2 \# sv2'') \wedge$
 $Van.S (trv1 \# sv1'') = Opt.S ((tr1 \# s1') \# s1'') \wedge never isSecV trv2 \wedge$
 $Van.A (trv1 \# sv1'') = Van.A (trv2 \# sv2'') \wedge$
 $trv1 \neq [] \wedge (trv2 \neq [] \vee w2' < w2) \wedge$
 $\Delta \infty w1' w2' s1'' s2 statAA sv1'' sv2'' statOO$

proposition *unwindCond-ex- $\chi 3'$* :
assumes *unwind: unwindCond* Δ
and $\Delta: \Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$ **and**

$r: \text{reachO } s1 \text{ reachO } s2 \text{ reachV } sv1 \text{ reachV } sv2$
and $v3': \text{Opt.validFromS } s1 ((tr1 \# s1') \# s1'')$
and $\text{nis1}: \neg \text{isIntO } s1$ **and** $\text{nis2}: \neg \text{isIntO } s2$
and $\text{ninter3}': \text{never isIntO } ((tr1 \# s1') \# s1'')$
and $\text{sec}: \text{never isSecO } tr1 \text{ isSecO } s1'$
shows $\exists w1' w2' \text{ trv1 } sv1'' \text{ trv2 } sv2'' \text{ statOO}. \chi3' \Delta w1 w2 w1' w2' s1 \text{ trv1 } s1' s1'' s2 \text{ statAA } sv1 \text{ trv1 } sv1' \text{ sv2 } \text{ trv2 } sv2' \text{ statOO}$
(proof)

definition $\omega3 \Delta w1 w2 w1' w2' s1 s1' s2 \text{ statAA } sv1 \text{ trv1 } sv1' \text{ sv2 } \text{ trv2 } sv2' \text{ statOO} \equiv$
 $\text{Van.validFromS } sv1 (\text{trv1} \# sv1') \wedge \text{Van.validFromS } sv2 (\text{trv2} \# sv2') \wedge$
 $\text{never isSecV } \text{trv1} \wedge \text{never isSecV } \text{trv2} \wedge$
 $\text{Van.A } (\text{trv1} \# sv1') = \text{Van.A } (\text{trv2} \# sv2') \wedge$
 $(\text{trv1} \neq [] \vee w1' < w1) \wedge (\text{trv2} \neq [] \vee w2' < w2) \wedge$
 $\Delta \infty w1' w2' s1' s2 \text{ statAA } sv1' sv2' \text{ statOO}$

proposition *unwindCond-ex- $\omega3$* :
assumes *unwind*: *unwindCond* Δ
and $\Delta: \Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statAA } sv1 \text{ trv1 } sv2 \text{ statOO}$
and $r34: \text{reachO } s1 \text{ reachO } s2$ **and** $r12: \text{reachV } sv1 \text{ reachV } sv2$
and $v3: \text{validTransO } (s1, s1')$
and $\text{nis1}: \neg \text{isIntO } s1 \neg \text{isIntO } s1' \neg \text{isSecO } s1$
and $\text{nis2}: \neg \text{isIntO } s2$
shows $\exists w1' w2' \text{ trv1 } sv1' \text{ trv2 } sv2' \text{ statOO}. \omega3 \Delta w1 w2 w1' w2' s1 s1' s2 \text{ statAA } sv1 \text{ trv1 } sv1' \text{ sv2 } \text{ trv2 } sv2' \text{ statOO}$
(proof)

definition $\chi4 \Delta w w1 (w2::\text{enat}) w1' w2' s1 s2 \text{ tr2 } \text{ statAA } sv1 \text{ trv1 } sv2 \text{ trv2 } \text{ statOO} \equiv$
 $\text{trv1} \neq [] \wedge \text{trv2} \neq [] \wedge (\text{length } \text{trv1} > \text{Suc } 0 \vee w1' \leq w1) \wedge$
 $\text{Van.validFromS } sv1 \text{ trv1} \wedge \text{Van.validFromS } sv2 \text{ trv2} \wedge$
 $\text{never isSecV } (\text{butlast } \text{trv1}) \wedge$
 $\text{never isSecV } (\text{butlast } \text{trv2}) \wedge$
 $\text{isSecV } (\text{lastt } sv2 \text{ trv2}) \wedge \text{getSecV } (\text{lastt } sv2 \text{ trv2}) = \text{getSecO } (\text{lastt } s2 \text{ tr2}) \wedge$
 $\text{Van.A } \text{trv1} = \text{Van.A } \text{trv2} \wedge$
 $\Delta w w1' w2' s1 (\text{lastt } s2 \text{ tr2}) \text{ statAA } (\text{lastt } sv1 \text{ trv1}) (\text{lastt } sv2 \text{ trv2}) \text{ statOO}$

lemma $\chi4\text{-final}$:
assumes *unw*: *unwindCond* Δ
and $r: \text{reachO } s1 \text{ reachO } s2 \text{ reachV } sv1 \text{ reachV } sv2$
and $vtr2: \text{Opt.validFromS } s2 \text{ tr2}$
and $\chi4: \chi4 \Delta w w1 w2 w1' w2' s1 s2 \text{ tr2 } \text{ statAA } sv1 \text{ trv1 } sv2 \text{ trv2 } \text{ statOO}$
shows $(\text{finalV } (\text{lastt } sv1 \text{ trv1}) \longleftrightarrow \text{finalO } s1) \wedge (\text{finalV } (\text{lastt } sv2 \text{ trv2}) \longleftrightarrow \text{finalO } (\text{lastt } s2 \text{ tr2}))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\chi_4\text{-completedFrom}$: $unwindCond \Delta \Rightarrow$
 $reachO s1 \Rightarrow reachO s2 \Rightarrow reachV sv1 \Rightarrow reachV sv2 \Rightarrow$
 $Opt.validFromS s2 tr2 \Rightarrow completedFromO s2 tr2 \Rightarrow$
 $\chi_4 \Delta w w1 w2 w1' w2' s1 s2 tr2 statAA sv1 trv1 sv2 trv2 statOO$
 $\Rightarrow completedFromV sv1 trv1 \wedge completedFromV sv2 trv2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

proposition $unwindCond\text{-ex-}\chi_4$:
assumes $unwind$: $unwindCond \Delta$
and $\Delta: \Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
and $r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2$
and $vtr2: Opt.validFromS s2 tr2$
and $nis2: \neg isIntO s1$ **and** $nis2: \neg isIntO s2$
and $inter4: never isIntO tr2$
and $sec: never isSecO (butlast tr2) isSecO (lastt s2 tr2)$
shows $\exists w' w1' w2' trv1 trv2 statOO. \chi_4 \Delta w' w1 w2 w1' w2' s1 s2 tr2 statA sv1$
 $trv1 sv2 trv2 statOO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition χ_4a **where** $\chi_4a \Delta w w1 (w2::enat) w1' w2' s1 s2 s2' statAA sv1 trv1$
 $sv2 trv2 statOO \equiv$
 $trv1 \neq [] \wedge trv2 \neq [] \wedge (length trv1 > Suc 0 \vee w1' < w1) \wedge$
 $Van.validFromS sv1 trv1 \wedge Van.validFromS sv2 trv2 \wedge$
 $never isSecV (butlast trv1) \wedge$
 $Van.S trv2 = [getSecO s2] \wedge$
 $Van.A trv1 = Van.A trv2 \wedge$
 $\Delta w w1' w2' s1 s2' statAA (lastt sv1 trv1) (lastt sv2 trv2) statOO$

lemma $unwindCond\text{-ex-}\chi_4a\text{-getSec}$:
assumes $unwind$: $unwindCond \Delta$
and $\Delta: \Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
and $r34: reachO s1 reachO s2$ **and** $r12: reachV sv1 reachV sv2$
and $v: validTransO (s2, s2')$
and $ii4: \neg isIntO s2$
and $is2: isSecO s2$ **and** $isv24: isSecV sv2 getSecO s2 = getSecV sv2$
shows $\exists w1' w2' trv1 trv2 statOO.$
 $\chi_4a \Delta \infty w1 w2 w1' w2' s1 s2 s2' statA sv1 trv1 sv2 trv2 statOO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition χ_4b **where** $\chi_4b \Delta w w1 w2 w1' (w2'::enat) s1 s2 tr2 statAA sv1 trv1 sv2 trv2$
 $statOO \equiv$
 $trv1 \neq [] \wedge trv2 \neq [] \wedge (length trv1 > Suc 0 \vee w1' < w1) \wedge$
 $Van.validFromS sv1 trv1 \wedge Van.validFromS sv2 trv2 \wedge$
 $never isSecV (butlast trv1) \wedge$
 $Van.S trv2 = Opt.S tr2 \wedge$
 $Van.A trv1 = Van.A trv2 \wedge$
 $\Delta w w1' w2' s1 (lastt s2 tr2) statAA (lastt sv1 trv1) (lastt sv2 trv2) statOO$

```

lemma unwindCond-ex- $\chi_4 b$ -aux:
assumes unwind: unwindCond  $\Delta$ 
and  $\Delta: \Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$ 
and  $r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2$ 
and  $tr2NE: tr2 \neq []$ 
and  $v4': Opt.validFromS s2 (tr2 \#\# s2')$ 
and  $nis1: \neg isIntO s1$  and  $nis2: \neg isIntO s2$ 
and  $ninter4': never isIntO (tr2 \#\# s2')$ 
and  $sec: never isSecO (butlast tr2) isSecO (lastt s2 tr2)$ 
shows  $\exists w1' w2' trv1 trv2 statOO. \chi_4 b \Delta \infty w1 w2 w1' w2' s1 s2 (tr2 \#\# s2')$ 
statA sv1 trv1 sv2 trv2 statOO
⟨proof⟩

lemma unwindCond-ex- $\chi_4 b$ -aux2:
assumes unwind: unwindCond  $\Delta$ 
and  $\Delta: \Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$  and
 $r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2$ 
and  $v4': Opt.validFromS s2 (tr2 @ [s2', s2'])$ 
and  $nis1: \neg isIntO s1$  and  $nis2: \neg isIntO s2$ 
and  $ninter4': never isIntO (tr2 @ [s2', s2'])$ 
and  $sec: never isSecO tr2 isSecO s2'$ 
shows  $\exists w1' w2' trv1 trv2 statOO. \chi_4 b \Delta \infty w1 w2 w1' w2' s1 s2 (tr2 @ [s2', s2'])$ 
statA sv1 trv1 sv2 trv2 statOO
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition  $\chi_4' \Delta w1 w2 w1' (w2'::enat) s1 s2 tr2 s2' s2'' statAA sv1 trv1 sv1''$ 
 $sv2 trv2 sv2'' statOO \equiv$ 
 $Van.validFromS sv1 (trv1 \#\# sv1'') \wedge Van.validFromS sv2 (trv2 \#\# sv2'') \wedge$ 
 $never isSecV (butlast (trv1 \#\# sv1'')) \wedge$ 
 $Van.S (trv2 \#\# sv2'') = Opt.S ((tr2 \#\# s2') \#\# s2'') \wedge$ 
 $Van.A (trv1 \#\# sv1'') = Van.A (trv2 \#\# sv2'') \wedge$ 
 $trv2 \neq [] \wedge (trv1 \neq [] \vee w1' < w1) \wedge$ 
 $\Delta \infty w1' w2' s1 s2'' statAA sv1'' sv2'' statOO$ 

```

```

proposition unwindCond-ex- $\chi_4'$ :
assumes unwind: unwindCond  $\Delta$ 
and  $\Delta: \Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$  and
 $r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2$ 
and  $v4': Opt.validFromS s2 ((tr2 \#\# s2') \#\# s2'')$ 
and  $nis1: \neg isIntO s1$  and  $nis2: \neg isIntO s2$ 
and  $ninter4': never isIntO ((tr2 \#\# s2') \#\# s2'')$ 
and  $sec: never isSecO tr2 isSecO s2'$ 
shows  $\exists w1' w2' trv1 sv1'' trv2 sv2'' statOO. \chi_4' \Delta w1 w2 w1' w2' s1 s2 tr2 s2'$ 
 $s2'' statA sv1 trv1 sv1'' sv2 trv2 sv2'' statOO$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition  $\omega_4 \Delta w1 w2 w1' (w2':\text{enat}) s1 s2 s2' \text{statAA} sv1 trv1 sv1' sv2 trv2$ 
 $sv2' \text{statOO} \equiv$ 
 $\text{Van.validFromS } sv1 (\text{trv1 } \#\# sv1') \wedge \text{Van.validFromS } sv2 (\text{trv2 } \#\# sv2') \wedge$ 
 $\text{never isSecV } trv1 \wedge \text{never isSecV } trv2 \wedge$ 
 $\text{Van.A } (\text{trv1 } \#\# sv1') = \text{Van.A } (\text{trv2 } \#\# sv2') \wedge$ 
 $(\text{trv1 } \neq [] \vee w1' < w1) \wedge (\text{trv2 } \neq [] \vee w2' < w2) \wedge$ 
 $\Delta \infty w1' w2' s1 s2' \text{statAA} sv1' sv2' \text{statOO}$ 

```

```

proposition unwindCond-ex- $\omega_4$ :
assumes unwind: unwindCond  $\Delta$ 
and  $\Delta: \Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{statA} sv1 sv2 \text{statO}$ 
and  $r34: \text{reachO } s1 \text{reachO } s2$  and  $r12: \text{reachV } sv1 \text{reachV } sv2$ 
and  $nis1: \neg \text{isIntO } s1$ 
and  $v4: \text{validTransO } (s2, s2')$ 
and  $nis2: \neg \text{isIntO } s2 \neg \text{isIntO } s2' \neg \text{isSecO } s2$ 
shows  $\exists w1' w2' \text{trv1 sv1' trv2 sv2' statOO}. \omega_4 \Delta w1 w2 w1' w2' s1 s2 s2' \text{statA}$ 
 $sv1 \text{trv1 sv1' sv2 trv2 sv2' statOO}$ 
(proof)

```

```

definition  $\varphi\varphi s1 ltr1 s2 ltr2 tr1 s1' s1'' ltr1' tr2 s2' s2'' ltr2' \equiv$ 
 $ltr1 = \text{lappend } (\text{llist-of } (\text{tr1 } \#\# s1')) (s1'' \$ ltr1') \wedge$ 
 $ltr2 = \text{lappend } (\text{llist-of } (\text{tr2 } \#\# s2')) (s2'' \$ ltr2') \wedge$ 
 $\text{Opt.validFromS } s1 ((\text{tr1 } \#\# s1') \#\# s1'') \wedge \text{Opt.validFromS } s2 ((\text{tr2 } \#\# s2') \#\# s2'') \wedge$ 
 $\text{never isIntO } tr1 \wedge \text{never isIntO } tr2 \wedge$ 
 $\text{isIntO } s1' \wedge \text{isIntO } s2' \wedge \text{getActO } s1' = \text{getActO } s2' \wedge$ 
 $\text{Opt.lvalidFromS } s1'' (s1'' \$ ltr1') \wedge \text{Opt.lcompletedFrom } s1'' (s1'' \$ ltr1') \wedge$ 
 $\text{Opt.lvalidFromS } s2'' (s2'' \$ ltr2') \wedge \text{Opt.lcompletedFrom } s2'' (s2'' \$ ltr2') \wedge$ 
 $\text{Opt.lA } (s1'' \$ ltr1') = \text{Opt.lA } (s2'' \$ ltr2')$ 

```

```

lemma isIntO- $\varphi\varphi$ :
assumes  $vltr1: \text{Opt.lvalidFromS } s1 ltr1 \text{Opt.lcompletedFrom } s1 ltr1$ 
and  $vltr2: \text{Opt.lvalidFromS } s2 ltr2 \text{Opt.lcompletedFrom } s2 ltr2$ 
and  $A: \text{Opt.lA } ltr1 = \text{Opt.lA } ltr2$  and  $\text{inter3}: \neg \text{lnever isIntO } ltr1$ 
shows  $\exists tr1 s1' s1'' ltr1' tr2 s2' s2'' ltr2'. \varphi\varphi s1 ltr1 s2 ltr2 tr1 s1' s1'' ltr1' tr2$ 
 $s2' s2'' ltr2'$ 
(proof)

```

```

definition  $\chi\chi s1 ltr1 tr1 s1' s1'' ltr1' \equiv$ 
 $ltr1 = lappend (llist-of (tr1 \#\# s1')) (s1'' \$ ltr1') \wedge$ 
 $Opt.validFromS s1 ((tr1 \#\# s1') \#\# s1'') \wedge$ 
 $\text{never } isIntO tr1 \wedge \neg isIntO s1' \wedge \neg isIntO s1'' \wedge$ 
 $\text{never } isSecO tr1 \wedge isSecO s1' \wedge$ 
 $Opt.lvalidFromS s1'' (s1'' \$ ltr1') \wedge Opt.lcompletedFrom s1'' (s1'' \$ ltr1')$ 

lemma  $isSecO-\chi\chi$ :
assumes  $vltr1: Opt.lvalidFromS s1 ltr1 Opt.lcompletedFrom s1 ltr1$ 
and  $inter: \text{lnever } isIntO ltr1 \text{ and } isec: \neg \text{lnever } isSecO ltr1$ 
shows  $\exists tr1 s1' s1'' ltr1'. \chi\chi s1 ltr1 tr1 s1' s1'' ltr1'$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

type-synonym ('stA,'stO) tuple34 =
enat × enat ×
'stA × 'stA llist ×
'stA × 'stA llist ×
status ×
'stO × 'stO × status

```

```

type-synonym ('stA,'stO) tuple12 =
'stO list × 'stO × 'stO list × 'stO × status × status

```

```

context
fixes  $\Delta :: enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow 'stateO \Rightarrow 'stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow 'stateV \Rightarrow$ 
 $'stateV \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow bool$ 
begin

```

```

fun  $isn :: turn \times ('stateO,'stateV) tuple34 \Rightarrow bool$ 
where
 $isn (trn,w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO) \longleftrightarrow ltr1 = [] \wedge ltr2 = []$ 

fun  $h-t ::$ 
 $turn \times ('stateO,'stateV) tuple34 \Rightarrow$ 
 $('stateO,'stateV) tuple12 \times$ 
 $turn \times ('stateO,'stateV) tuple34$ 
where
 $h-t (trn,w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO) =$ 
 $(\text{if } trn = L$ 
 $\text{then if lnever } isSecO ltr1$ 
 $\text{then let } (s1',ltr1') = (lhd (ltl ltr1), ltl ltr1)$ 
 $\text{in let } (w1',w2',trv1,sv1',trv2,sv2',statOO) =$ 
 $(SOME k. \text{case } k \text{ of } (w1',w2',trv1,sv1',trv2,sv2',statOO) \Rightarrow$ 

```

```

 $\omega_3 \Delta w1 w2 w1' w2' s1 s1' s2 statA sv1 trv1 sv1' sv2 trv2 sv2' statOO$ 
in ((trv1,sv1',trv2,sv2',statA,statOO),
  (if trv1 = [] then L else R,
   w1',w2',s1',ltr1',s2,ltr2,statA,sv1',sv2',statOO))
else
let (tr1,s1',s1'',ltr1') =
  (SOME k. case k of (tr1,s1',s1'',ltr1') =>
    $\chi\chi s1 ltr1 tr1 s1' s1'' ltr1'$ )
in let (w1',w2',trv1,sv1'',trv2,sv2'',statOO) =
  (SOME k'. case k' of (w1',w2',trv1,sv1'',trv2,sv2'',statOO) =>
    $\chi\beta' \Delta w1 w2 w1' w2' s1 tr1 s1' s1'' s2 statA sv1 trv1 sv1'' sv2 trv2$ 
   sv2'' statOO)
in ((trv1,sv1'',trv2,sv2'',statA,statOO),
  (R,w1',w2',s1'',s1'' $ ltr1',s2,ltr2,statA,sv1'',sv2'',statOO))
—
else if lnever isSecO ltr2
then let (s2',ltr2') = (lhd (ltl ltr2), ltl ltr2)
in let (w1',w2',trv1,sv1',trv2,sv2',statOO) =
  (SOME k. case k of (w1',w2',trv1,sv1',trv2,sv2',statOO) =>
    $\omega_4 \Delta w1 w2 w1' w2' s1 s2 s2' statA sv1 trv1 sv1' sv2 trv2 sv2' statOO$ )
in ((trv1,sv1',trv2,sv2',statA,statOO),
  (if trv2 = [] then R else L,
   w1',w2',s1,ltr1,s2',ltr2',statA,sv1',sv2',statOO))
else
let (tr2,s2',s2'',ltr2') =
  (SOME k. case k of (tr2,s2',s2'',ltr2') =>
    $\chi\chi s2 ltr2 tr2 s2' s2'' ltr2'$ )
in let (w1',w2',trv1,sv1'',trv2,sv2'',statOO) =
  (SOME k'. case k' of (w1',w2',trv1,sv1'',trv2,sv2'',statOO) =>
    $\chi\beta' \Delta w1 w2 w1' w2' s1 s2 tr2 s2' s2'' statA sv1 trv1 sv1'' sv2 trv2$ 
   sv2'' statOO)
in ((trv1,sv1'',trv2,sv2'',statA,statOO),
  (L,w1',w2',s1, ltr1, s2'',s2'' $ ltr2',statA,sv1'',sv2'',statOO))
)

```

declare h-t.simps[simp del]

definition h ≡ fst o h-t
definition t ≡ snd o h-t

fun econd **where** econd (trn,w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO) =
 (llength ltr1 ≤ Suc 0 ∨ llength ltr2 ≤ Suc 0)

fun e **where** e (trn,w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO) = [[([sv1],sv1,[sv2],sv2,statA,statO)]]

definition f :: turn × ('stateO,'stateV)tuple34 ⇒ ('stateO,'stateV)tuple12 llist
where f ≡ ccorec-lolist isn h econd e t

lemma *f-LNil*:

ltr1 = [] \implies *ltr2* = [] \implies *f* (*trn,w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO*) =

[]

{proof}

lemma *f-length-1*:

assumes *ltr1* \neq [] \vee *ltr2* \neq [] *llength ltr1* \leq *Suc 0* \vee *llength ltr2* \leq *Suc 0*

shows *f* (*trn,w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO*) = [[([*sv1*],*sv1*,[*sv2*],*sv2*,*statA,statO*)]]

{proof}

lemma *f-length-ge1*:

assumes *llength ltr1* $>$ *Suc 0* *llength ltr2* $>$ *Suc 0*

shows *f* (*trn,w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO*) =

LCons (*h* (*trn,w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO*)) (*f* (*t* (*trn,w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO*))))

{proof}

definition *lltrv1* :: *turn* \times ('stateO,'stateV)tuple34 \Rightarrow 'stateV llist **where**

lltrv1 trn-tp = *lconcat* (*lmap* (λ (*trv1,sv1'',trv2,sv2'',statAA,statOO*). *llist-of trv1*) (*f trn-tp*))

definition *then1* :: *turn* \times ('stateO,'stateV)tuple34 \Rightarrow nat **where**

then1 trn-tp = *firstNC* (*lmap* (λ (*trv1,sv1'',trv2,sv2'',statAA,statOO*). *trv1*) (*f trn-tp*))

definition *lltrv2* :: *turn* \times ('stateO,'stateV)tuple34 \Rightarrow 'stateV llist **where**

lltrv2 trn-tp = *lconcat* (*lmap* (λ (*trv1,sv1'',trv2,sv2'',statAA,statOO*). *llist-of trv2*) (*f trn-tp*))

definition *then2* :: *turn* \times ('stateO,'stateV)tuple34 \Rightarrow nat **where**

then2 trn-tp = *firstNC* (*lmap* (λ (*trv1,sv1'',trv2,sv2'',statAA,statOO*). *trv2*) (*f trn-tp*))

lemma *lltrv1-ne-imp*:

assumes *lltrv1 trn-tp* \neq []

shows \exists *trv1 sv1'' trv2 sv2'' statAA statOO*. (*trv1,sv1'',trv2,sv2'',statAA,statOO*)

\in *lset* (*f trn-tp*) \wedge

trv1 \neq []

{proof}

lemma *lltrv2-ne-imp*:

assumes *lltrv2 trn-tp* \neq []

shows \exists *trv1 sv1'' trv2 sv2'' statAA statOO*. (*trv1,sv1'',trv2,sv2'',statAA,statOO*)

\in *lset* (*f trn-tp*) \wedge

trv2 \neq []

{proof}

lemma *lltrv1-LNil*[simp]:
 $ltr1 = [] \implies ltr2 = [] \implies lltrv1 (trn, w1, w2, s1, ltr1, s2, ltr2, statA, sv1, sv2, statO) = []$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lltrv2-LNil*[simp]:
 $ltr1 = [] \implies ltr2 = [] \implies lltrv2 (trn, w1, w2, s1, ltr1, s2, ltr2, statA, sv1, sv2, statO) = []$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lltrv1-lnever*[simp]:
assumes $ltr1 \neq [] \vee ltr2 \neq []$ $llength ltr1 \leq Suc 0 \vee llength ltr2 \leq Suc 0$
shows $lltrv1 (trn, w1, w2, s1, ltr1, s2, ltr2, statA, sv1, sv2, statO) = [[sv1]]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lltrv2-lnever*[simp]:
assumes $ltr1 \neq [] \vee ltr2 \neq []$ $llength ltr1 \leq Suc 0 \vee llength ltr2 \leq Suc 0$
shows $lltrv2 (trn, w1, w2, s1, ltr1, s2, ltr2, statA, sv1, sv2, statO) = [[sv2]]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *h-t-lnever-L*:
assumes *unw: unwindCond* Δ
and $\Delta: \Delta \propto w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
and $r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2$
and $ltr1: Opt.lvalidFromS s1 ltr1 Opt.lcompletedFrom s1 ltr1$
and $l': lnever isIntO ltr1 \neg isIntO s2$
and $len: llength ltr1 > Suc 0 llength ltr2 > Suc 0$
and $l: trn = L lnever isSecO ltr1$
shows $\exists w1' w2' s1' ltr1' trv1 sv1' trv2 sv2' statOO.$
 $ltr1 = s1 \$ ltr1' \wedge validTransO (s1, s1') \wedge$
 $Opt.lvalidFromS s1' ltr1' \wedge Opt.lcompletedFrom s1' ltr1' \wedge lnever isIntO ltr1' \wedge$
 $\omega_3 \Delta w1 w2 w1' w2' s1 s1' s2 statA sv1 trv1 sv1' sv2 trv2 sv2' statOO \wedge$
 $h-t (trn, w1, w2, s1, ltr1, s2, ltr2, statA, sv1, sv2, statO) =$
 $((trv1, sv1', trv2, sv2', statA, statOO),$
 $(if trv1 = [] then L else R,$
 $w1', w2', s1', ltr1', s2, ltr2, statA, sv1', sv2', statOO))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lltrv1-lltrv2-lnever-L*:

```

assumes unw: unwindCond  $\Delta$ 
and  $\Delta \propto w1\ w2\ s1\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO$ 
and r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2
and ltr1: Opt.lvalidFromS s1 ltr1 Opt.lcompletedFrom s1 ltr1
and l': lnever isIntO ltr1  $\neg$  isIntO s2
and len: llength ltr1  $>$  Suc 0 llength ltr2  $>$  Suc 0
and l: trn = L lnever isSecO ltr1
shows  $\exists\ w1'\ w2'\ s1'\ ltr1'\ trv1\ sv1'\ trv2\ sv2'\ statOO.$ 
ltr1 = s1 \$ ltr1'  $\wedge$  validTransO (s1,s1')  $\wedge$ 
Opt.lvalidFromS s1' ltr1'  $\wedge$  Opt.lcompletedFrom s1' ltr1'  $\wedge$  lnever isIntO ltr1'  $\wedge$ 
 $\omega^3\ \Delta\ w1\ w2\ w1'\ w2'\ s1\ s1'\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ trv1\ sv1'\ sv2\ trv2\ sv2'\ statOO\ \wedge$ 
lltrv1 (trn,w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO) =
lappend (llist-of trv1) (lltrv1 (if trv1 = [] then L else R,
w1',w2',s1',ltr1',s2,ltr2,statA,sv1',sv2',statOO))  $\wedge$ 
lltrv2 (trn,w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO) =
lappend (llist-of trv2) (lltrv2 (if trv1 = [] then L else R,
w1',w2',s1',ltr1',s2,ltr2,statA,sv1',sv2',statOO))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma h-t-not-lnever-L:
assumes unw: unwindCond  $\Delta$ 
and  $\Delta \propto w1\ w2\ s1\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO$ 
and r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2
and ltr1: Opt.lvalidFromS s1 ltr1 Opt.lcompletedFrom s1 ltr1
and l': lnever isIntO ltr1  $\neg$  isIntO s2
and len: llength ltr1  $>$  Suc 0 llength ltr2  $>$  Suc 0
and l: trn = L  $\neg$  lnever isSecO ltr1
shows  $\exists\ w1'\ w2'\ tr1\ s1'\ s1''\ ltr1'\ trv1\ sv1''\ trv2\ sv2''\ statOO.$ 
 $\chi\chi\ s1\ ltr1\ tr1\ s1'\ s1''\ ltr1'\ \wedge$ 
 $\chi^3'\Delta\ w1\ w2\ w1'\ w2'\ s1\ tr1\ s1'\ s1''\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ trv1\ sv1''\ sv2\ trv2\ sv2''\ statOO$ 
 $\wedge$ 
h-t (trn,w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO) =
((trv1,sv1'',trv2,sv2'',statA,statOO),
(R,w1',w2',s1'',s1'' \$ ltr1',s2,ltr2,statA,sv1'',sv2'',statOO))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lltrv1-lltrv2-not-lnever-L:
assumes unw: unwindCond  $\Delta$ 
and  $\Delta \propto w1\ w2\ s1\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO$ 
and r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2
and ltr1: Opt.lvalidFromS s1 ltr1 Opt.lcompletedFrom s1 ltr1
and l': lnever isIntO ltr1  $\neg$  isIntO s2
and len: llength ltr1  $>$  Suc 0 llength ltr2  $>$  Suc 0
and l: trn = L  $\neg$  lnever isSecO ltr1
shows  $\exists\ w1'\ w2'\ tr1\ s1'\ s1''\ ltr1'\ trv1\ sv1''\ trv2\ sv2''\ statOO.$ 
 $\chi\chi\ s1\ ltr1\ tr1\ s1'\ s1''\ ltr1'\ \wedge$ 

```

$$\begin{aligned}
& \chi^3' \Delta w1 w2 w1' w2' s1 tr1 s1' s1'' s2 statA sv1 trv1 sv1'' sv2 trv2 sv2'' statOO \\
\wedge & lltrv1 (trn, w1, w2, s1, ltr1, s2, ltr2, statA, sv1, sv2, statO) = \\
& lappend (llist-of trv1) (lltrv1 (R, w1', w2', s1'', s1''' $ ltr1', s2, ltr2, statA, sv1'', sv2'', statOO)) \\
\wedge & lltrv2 (trn, w1, w2, s1, ltr1, s2, ltr2, statA, sv1, sv2, statO) = \\
& lappend (llist-of trv2) (lltrv2 (R, w1', w2', s1'', s1''' $ ltr1', s2, ltr2, statA, sv1'', sv2'', statOO))
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *h-t-lnever-R*:

assumes *unw: unwindCond* Δ
and $\Delta: \Delta \propto w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
and $r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2$
and $ltr2: Opt.lvalidFromS s2 ltr2 Opt.lcompletedFrom s2 ltr2$
and $l': \neg isIntO s1 lnever isIntO ltr2$
and $len: llength ltr1 > Suc 0 llength ltr2 > Suc 0$
and $l: trn = R lnever isSecO ltr2$
shows $\exists w1' w2' s2' ltr2' trv1 sv1' trv2 sv2' statOO.$
 $ltr2 = s2 \$ ltr2' \wedge validTransO (s2, s2') \wedge$
 $Opt.lvalidFromS s2' ltr2' \wedge Opt.lcompletedFrom s2' ltr2' \wedge lnever isIntO ltr2' \wedge$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \omega_4 \Delta w1 w2 w1' w2' s1 s2 s2' statA sv1 trv1 sv1' sv2 trv2 sv2' statOO \wedge \\
& h-t (trn, w1, w2, s1, ltr1, s2, ltr2, statA, sv1, sv2, statO) = \\
& ((trv1, sv1', trv2, sv2', statA, statOO), \\
& (if trv2 = [] then R else L, \\
& w1', w2', s1, ltr1, s2', ltr2', statA, sv1', sv2', statOO))
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lltrv1-lltrv2-lnever-R*:

assumes *unw: unwindCond* Δ
and $\Delta: \Delta \propto w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
and $r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2$
and $ltr2: Opt.lvalidFromS s2 ltr2 Opt.lcompletedFrom s2 ltr2$
and $l': \neg isIntO s1 lnever isIntO ltr2$
and $len: llength ltr1 > Suc 0 llength ltr2 > Suc 0$
and $l: trn = R lnever isSecO ltr2$
shows $\exists w1' w2' s2' ltr2' trv1 sv1' trv2 sv2' statOO.$
 $ltr2 = s2 \$ ltr2' \wedge validTransO (s2, s2') \wedge$
 $Opt.lvalidFromS s2' ltr2' \wedge Opt.lcompletedFrom s2' ltr2' \wedge lnever isIntO ltr2' \wedge$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \omega_4 \Delta w1 w2 w1' w2' s1 s2 s2' statA sv1 trv1 sv1' sv2 trv2 sv2' statOO \wedge \\
& lltrv1 (trn, w1, w2, s1, ltr1, s2, ltr2, statA, sv1, sv2, statO) = \\
& lappend (llist-of trv1) (lltrv1 (if trv2 = [] then R else L, \\
& w1', w2', s1, ltr1, s2', ltr2', statA, sv1', sv2', statOO)) \wedge \\
& lltrv2 (trn, w1, w2, s1, ltr1, s2, ltr2, statA, sv1, sv2, statO) = \\
& lappend (llist-of trv2) (lltrv2 (if trv2 = [] then R else L,
\end{aligned}$$

$w1', w2', s1, ltr1, s2', ltr2', statA, sv1', sv2', statOO)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *h-t-not-lnever-R*:

assumes *unw: unwindCond* Δ
and $\Delta: \Delta \propto w1\ w2\ s1\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO$
and $r: reachO\ s1\ reachO\ s2\ reachV\ sv1\ reachV\ sv2$
and $ltr2: Opt.lvalidFromS\ s2\ ltr2\ Opt.lcompletedFrom\ s2\ ltr2$
and $l': \neg isIntO\ s1\ lnever\ isIntO\ ltr2$
and $len: llengt h\ ltr1 > Suc\ 0\ llengt h\ ltr2 > Suc\ 0$
and $l: trn = R \neg lnever\ isSecO\ ltr2$
shows $\exists w1'\ w2'\ tr2\ s2'\ s2''\ ltr2'\ trv1\ sv1''\ trv2\ sv2''\ statOO.$
 $\chi\chi\ s2\ ltr2\ tr2\ s2'\ s2''\ ltr2' \wedge$
 $\chi\chi'\Delta\ w1\ w2\ w1'\ w2'\ s1\ s2\ tr2\ s2'\ s2''\ statA\ sv1\ trv1\ sv1''\ sv2\ trv2\ sv2''\ statOO$
 \wedge
 $h-t\ (trn, w1, w2, s1, ltr1, s2, ltr2, statA, sv1, sv2, statO) =$
 $((trv1, sv1'', trv2, sv2'', statA, statOO),$
 $(L, w1', w2', s1, ltr1, s2'', s2'' \$ ltr2', statA, sv1'', sv2'', statOO))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lltrv1-lltrv2-not-lnever-R*:

assumes *unw: unwindCond* Δ
and $\Delta: \Delta \propto w1\ w2\ s1\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO$
and $r: reachO\ s1\ reachO\ s2\ reachV\ sv1\ reachV\ sv2$
and $ltr2: Opt.lvalidFromS\ s2\ ltr2\ Opt.lcompletedFrom\ s2\ ltr2$
and $l': \neg isIntO\ s1\ lnever\ isIntO\ ltr2$
and $len: llengt h\ ltr1 > Suc\ 0\ llengt h\ ltr2 > Suc\ 0$
and $l: trn = R \neg lnever\ isSecO\ ltr2$
shows $\exists w1'\ w2'\ tr2\ s2'\ s2''\ ltr2'\ trv1\ sv1''\ trv2\ sv2''\ statOO.$
 $\chi\chi\ s2\ ltr2\ tr2\ s2'\ s2''\ ltr2' \wedge$
 $\chi\chi'\Delta\ w1\ w2\ w1'\ w2'\ s1\ s2\ tr2\ s2'\ s2''\ statA\ sv1\ trv1\ sv1''\ sv2\ trv2\ sv2''\ statOO$
 \wedge
 $lltrv1\ (trn, w1, w2, s1, ltr1, s2, ltr2, statA, sv1, sv2, statO) =$
 $lappend\ (llist-of\ trv1)\ (lltrv1\ (L, w1', w2', s1, ltr1, s2'', s2'' \$ ltr2', statA, sv1'', sv2'', statOO))$
 \wedge
 $lltrv2\ (trn, w1, w2, s1, ltr1, s2, ltr2, statA, sv1, sv2, statO) =$
 $lappend\ (llist-of\ trv2)\ (lltrv2\ (L, w1', w2', s1, ltr1, s2'', s2'' \$ ltr2', statA, sv1'', sv2'', statOO))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *f-not-LNil*: $ltr1 \neq [] \vee ltr2 \neq [] \implies f(w1, w2, trn, s1, ltr1, s2, ltr2, statA, sv1, sv2, statO) \neq []$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lvalidFromS-lltrv1*:

```

assumes unw: unwindCond  $\Delta$ 
and  $\Delta: \Delta \propto w1\ w2\ s1\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO$ 
and r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2
and ltr1: Opt.lvalidFromS s1 ltr1 Opt.lcompletedFrom s1 ltr1 lnever isIntO ltr1
and ltr2: Opt.lvalidFromS s2 ltr2 Opt.lcompletedFrom s2 ltr2 lnever isIntO ltr2
shows Van.lvalidFromS sv1 (lltrv1 (trn,w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lvalidFromS-lltrv2:
assumes unw: unwindCond  $\Delta$ 
and  $\Delta: \Delta \propto w1\ w2\ s1\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO$ 
and r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2
and ltr1: Opt.lvalidFromS s1 ltr1 Opt.lcompletedFrom s1 ltr1 lnever isIntO ltr1
and ltr2: Opt.lvalidFromS s2 ltr2 Opt.lcompletedFrom s2 ltr2 lnever isIntO ltr2
shows Van.lvalidFromS sv2 (lltrv2 (trn,w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lcompletedFrom-lltrv1:
assumes unw: unwindCond  $\Delta$ 
and  $\Delta: \Delta \propto w1\ w2\ s1\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO$ 
and r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2
and ltr1: Opt.lvalidFromS s1 ltr1 Opt.lcompletedFrom s1 ltr1 lnever isIntO ltr1
and ltr2: Opt.lvalidFromS s2 ltr2 Opt.lcompletedFrom s2 ltr2 lnever isIntO ltr2
shows Van.lcompletedFrom sv1 (lltrv1 (trn,w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lcompletedFrom-lltrv2:
assumes unw: unwindCond  $\Delta$ 
and  $\Delta: \Delta \propto w1\ w2\ s1\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO$ 
and r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2
and ltr1: Opt.lvalidFromS s1 ltr1 Opt.lcompletedFrom s1 ltr1 lnever isIntO ltr1
and ltr2: Opt.lvalidFromS s2 ltr2 Opt.lcompletedFrom s2 ltr2 lnever isIntO ltr2
shows Van.lcompletedFrom sv2 (lltrv2 (trn,w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lS-lltrv1-ltr1:
assumes unw: unwindCond  $\Delta$ 
and  $\Delta: \Delta \propto w1\ w2\ s1\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO$ 
and r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2
and ltr1: Opt.lvalidFromS s1 ltr1 Opt.lcompletedFrom s1 ltr1 lnever isIntO ltr1
and ltr2: Opt.lvalidFromS s2 ltr2 Opt.lcompletedFrom s2 ltr2 lnever isIntO ltr2
shows Van.lS (lltrv1 (trn,w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO)) = Opt.lS
ltr1
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lS-lltrv2-ltr2:

```

```

assumes unw: unwindCond  $\Delta$ 
and  $\Delta: \Delta \propto w1\ w2\ s1\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO$ 
and r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2
and ltr1: Opt.lvalidFromS s1 ltr1 Opt.lcompletedFrom s1 ltr1 lnever isIntO ltr1
and ltr2: Opt.lvalidFromS s2 ltr2 Opt.lcompletedFrom s2 ltr2 lnever isIntO ltr2
shows Van.ls (lltrv2 (trn,w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO)) = Opt.ls
ltr2
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lA-lltrv1-lltrv2:
assumes unw: unwindCond  $\Delta$ 
and  $\Delta: \Delta \propto w1\ w2\ s1\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO$ 
and r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2
and ltr1: Opt.lvalidFromS s1 ltr1 Opt.lcompletedFrom s1 ltr1 lnever isIntO ltr1
and ltr2: Opt.lvalidFromS s2 ltr2 Opt.lcompletedFrom s2 ltr2 lnever isIntO ltr2
shows Van.lA (lltrv1 (trn,w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO)) =
Van.lA (lltrv2 (trn,w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

fun isN :: ('stateO,'stateV) tuple34  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
isN (w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ltr1 = []  $\vee$  ltr2 = []
fun H-T :: ('stateO,'stateV)tuple34  $\Rightarrow$ 
('stateO,'stateV)tuple12  $\times$ 
('stateO,'stateV)tuple34
where
H-T (w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO) =
(let (tr1,s1',s1'',ltr1',tr2,s2',s2'',ltr2') =
(SOME k. case k of (tr1,s1',s1'',ltr1',tr2,s2',s2'',ltr2')  $\Rightarrow$ 
 $\varphi\ s1\ ltr1\ s2\ ltr2\ tr1\ s1'\ s1''\ ltr1'\ tr2\ s2'\ s2''\ ltr2'$ )
in let (w1',w2',trv1,sv1'',trv2,sv2'',statAA,statOO) =
(SOME k'. case k' of (w1',w2',trv1,sv1'',trv2,sv2'',statAA,statOO)  $\Rightarrow$ 
 $\varphi'\ \Delta\ w1\ w2\ w1'\ w2'\ statA\ s1\ tr1\ s1'\ s1''\ s2\ tr2\ s2'\ s2''\ statAA\ statO$ 
sv1 trv1 sv1'' sv2 trv2 sv2'' statOO)
in ((trv1,sv1'',trv2,sv2'',statAA,statOO),
(w1',w2',s1'',s1'' $ ltr1',s2'',s2'' $ ltr2',statAA,sv1'',sv2'',statOO))
)
declare H-T.simps[simp del]

```

```

definition H ≡ fst o H-T
definition T ≡ snd o H-T

fun Econd where Econd (w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO) = (lnever
isIntO ltr1)

fun E where E (w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO) = f (L,w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO)

definition F :: ('stateO,'stateV)tuple34 ⇒ ('stateO,'stateV)tuple12 llist
where F ≡ ccorec-llist isN H Econd E T

lemma F-LNil:
ltr1 = [] ∨ ltr2 = [] ⇒ F (w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO) = []
⟨proof⟩

lemma F-lnever:
assumes ltr1 ≠ [] ltr2 ≠ [] lnever isIntO ltr1
shows F (w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO) = f (L, w1, w2, s1, ltr1, s2,
ltr2, statA, sv1, sv2, statO)
⟨proof⟩

lemma F-not-lnever:
assumes ltr1 ≠ [] ltr2 ≠ [] ¬ lnever isIntO ltr1
shows F (w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO) =
LCCons (H (w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO)) (F (T (w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO)))
⟨proof⟩

definition ltrv1 :: ('stateO,'stateV)tuple34 ⇒ 'stateV llist where
ltrv1 tp = lconcat (lmap (λ(trv1,sv1'',trv2,sv2'',statAA,statOO). llist-of trv1) (F
tp))

definition firstHolds1 :: ('stateO,'stateV)tuple34 ⇒ nat where
firstHolds1 tp = firstNC (lmap (λ(trv1,sv1'',trv2,sv2'',statAA,statOO). trv1) (F
tp))

definition ltrv2 :: ('stateO,'stateV)tuple34 ⇒ 'stateV llist where
ltrv2 tp = lconcat (lmap (λ(trv1,sv1'',trv2,sv2'',statAA,statOO). llist-of trv2) (F
tp))

definition firstHolds2 :: ('stateO,'stateV)tuple34 ⇒ nat where
firstHolds2 tp = firstNC (lmap (λ(trv1,sv1'',trv2,sv2'',statAA,statOO). trv2) (F
tp))

```

```

lemma ltrv1-ne-imp:
assumes ltrv1 tp ≠ []
shows ∃ trv1 sv1'' trv2 sv2'' statAA statOO. (trv1,sv1'',trv2,sv2'',statAA,statOO)
    ∈ lset (F tp) ∧
        trv1 ≠ []
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ltrv2-ne-imp:
assumes ltrv2 tp ≠ []
shows ∃ trv1 sv1'' trv2 sv2'' statAA statOO. (trv1,sv1'',trv2,sv2'',statAA,statOO)
    ∈ lset (F tp) ∧
        trv2 ≠ []
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ltrv1-LNil[simp]:
ltr1 = [] ∨ ltr2 = [] ⇒ ltrv1 (w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO) = []
⟨proof⟩
lemma ltrv2-LNil[simp]:
ltr1 = [] ∨ ltr2 = [] ⇒ ltrv2 (w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO) = []
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ltrv1-lnever:
assumes ltr1 ≠ [] ltr2 ≠ [] lnever isIntO ltr1
shows ltrv1 (w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO) = lltrv1 (L, w1, w2, s1,
    ltr1, s2, ltr2, statA, sv1, sv2, statO)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ltrv2-lnever:
assumes ltr1 ≠ [] ltr2 ≠ [] lnever isIntO ltr1
shows ltrv2 (w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO) = lltrv2 (L, w1, w2, s1,
    ltr1, s2, ltr2, statA, sv1, sv2, statO)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma H-T-not-lnever:
assumes unw: unwindCond Δ
and Δ: Δ ∞ w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO
and r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2
and stat: statA = Diff → statO = Diff
and ltr1: Opt.lvalidFromS s1 ltr1 Opt.lcompletedFrom s1 ltr1
and ltr2: Opt.lvalidFromS s2 ltr2 Opt.lcompletedFrom s2 ltr2
and l: ¬ lnever isIntO ltr1 Opt.lA ltr1 = Opt.lA ltr2

```

shows $\exists w1' w2' tr1 s1' s1'' ltr1' tr2 s2' s2'' ltr2' trv1 sv1'' trv2 sv2'' statAA statOO.$

$\varphi \varphi s1 ltr1 s2 ltr2 tr1 s1' s1'' ltr1' tr2 s2' s2'' ltr2' \wedge$
 $\varphi' \Delta w1 w2 w1' w2' statA s1 tr1 s1' s1'' s2 tr2 s2' s2'' statAA statO sv1 trv1$
 $sv1'' sv2 trv2 sv2'' statOO \wedge$
 $H\text{-}T (w1, w2, s1, ltr1, s2, ltr2, statA, sv1, sv2, statO) =$
 $((trv1, sv1'', trv2, sv2'', statAA, statOO),$
 $(w1', w2', s1'', s1'' \$ ltr1', s2'', s2'' \$ ltr2', statAA, sv1'', sv2'', statOO))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ltrv1-ltrv2-not-lnever*:

assumes *unw: unwindCond* Δ
and $\Delta: \Delta \propto w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
and $r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2$
and $stat: statA = Diff \rightarrow statO = Diff$
and $ltr1: Opt.lvalidFromS s1 ltr1 Opt.lcompletedFrom s1 ltr1$
and $ltr2: Opt.lvalidFromS s2 ltr2 Opt.lcompletedFrom s2 ltr2$
and $l: \neg lnever isIntO ltr1 Opt.lA ltr1 = Opt.lA ltr2$
shows $\exists w1' w2' tr1 s1' s1'' ltr1' tr2 s2' s2'' ltr2' trv1 sv1'' trv2 sv2'' statAA$
 $statOO.$
 $\varphi \varphi s1 ltr1 s2 ltr2 tr1 s1' s1'' ltr1' tr2 s2' s2'' ltr2' \wedge$
 $\varphi' \Delta w1 w2 w1' w2' statA s1 tr1 s1' s1'' s2 tr2 s2' s2'' statAA statO sv1 trv1$
 $sv1'' sv2 trv2 sv2'' statOO \wedge$
 $ltrv1 (w1, w2, s1, ltr1, s2, ltr2, statA, sv1, sv2, statO) =$
 $lappend (llist-of trv1) (ltrv1 (w1', w2', s1'', s1'' \$ ltr1', s2'', s2'' \$ ltr2', statAA, sv1'', sv2'', statOO))$
 \wedge
 $ltrv2 (w1, w2, s1, ltr1, s2, ltr2, statA, sv1, sv2, statO) =$
 $lappend (llist-of trv2) (ltrv2 (w1', w2', s1'', s1'' \$ ltr1', s2'', s2'' \$ ltr2', statAA, sv1'', sv2'', statOO))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lvalidFromS-ltrv1*:

assumes *unw: unwindCond* Δ
and $\Delta: \Delta \propto w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
and $r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2$
and $stat: statA = Diff \rightarrow statO = Diff$
and $ltr1: Opt.lvalidFromS s1 ltr1 Opt.lcompletedFrom s1 ltr1$
and $ltr2: Opt.lvalidFromS s2 ltr2 Opt.lcompletedFrom s2 ltr2$
and $ltr14: Opt.lA ltr1 = Opt.lA ltr2$
shows *Van.lvalidFromS sv1 (ltrv1 (w1, w2, s1, ltr1, s2, ltr2, statA, sv1, sv2, statO))*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lvalidFromS-ltrv2*:

assumes *unw: unwindCond* Δ
and $\Delta: \Delta \propto w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
and $r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2$
and $stat: statA = Diff \rightarrow statO = Diff$
and $ltr1: Opt.lvalidFromS s1 ltr1 Opt.lcompletedFrom s1 ltr1$

```

and ltr2: Opt.lvalidFromS s2 ltr2 Opt.lcompletedFrom s2 ltr2
and ltr14: Opt.lA ltr1 = Opt.lA ltr2
shows Van.lvalidFromS sv2 (ltrv2 (w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO))
<proof>

```

```

lemma lcompletedFrom-ltrv1:
assumes unw: unwindCond Δ
and Δ: Δ ⊢ w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO
and r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2
and stat: statA = Diff → statO = Diff
and ltr1: Opt.lvalidFromS s1 ltr1 Opt.lcompletedFrom s1 ltr1
and ltr2: Opt.lvalidFromS s2 ltr2 Opt.lcompletedFrom s2 ltr2
and A34: Opt.lA ltr1 = Opt.lA ltr2
shows Van.lcompletedFrom sv1 (ltrv1 (w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO))
<proof>

```

```

lemma lcompletedFrom-ltrv2:
assumes unw: unwindCond Δ
and Δ: Δ ⊢ w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO
and r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2
and stat: statA = Diff → statO = Diff
and ltr1: Opt.lvalidFromS s1 ltr1 Opt.lcompletedFrom s1 ltr1
and ltr2: Opt.lvalidFromS s2 ltr2 Opt.lcompletedFrom s2 ltr2
and A34: Opt.lA ltr1 = Opt.lA ltr2
shows Van.lcompletedFrom sv2 (ltrv2 (w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO))
<proof>

```

```

lemma lS-ltrv1-ltr1:
assumes unw: unwindCond Δ
and Δ: Δ ⊢ w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO
and r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2
and stat: statA = Diff → statO = Diff
and ltr1: Opt.lvalidFromS s1 ltr1 Opt.lcompletedFrom s1 ltr1
and ltr2: Opt.lvalidFromS s2 ltr2 Opt.lcompletedFrom s2 ltr2
and A34: Opt.lA ltr1 = Opt.lA ltr2
shows Van.lS (ltrv1 (w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO)) = Opt.lS ltr1
<proof>

```

```

lemma lS-ltrv2-ltr2:
assumes unw: unwindCond Δ
and Δ: Δ ⊢ w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO
and r: reachO s1 reachO s2 reachV sv1 reachV sv2
and stat: statA = Diff → statO = Diff
and ltr1: Opt.lvalidFromS s1 ltr1 Opt.lcompletedFrom s1 ltr1
and ltr2: Opt.lvalidFromS s2 ltr2 Opt.lcompletedFrom s2 ltr2

```

and $A34$: $\text{Opt.lA ltr1} = \text{Opt.lA ltr2}$
shows $\text{Van.lS (lrv2 (w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO))} = \text{Opt.ls ltr2}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lA\text{-lrv1-lrv2}$:
assumes $unw: unwindCond \Delta$
and $\Delta: \Delta \propto w1\ w2\ s1\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO$
and $r: reachO\ s1\ reachO\ s2\ reachV\ sv1\ reachV\ sv2$
and $stat: statA = Diff \rightarrow statO = Diff$
and $ltr1: Opt.lvalidFromS\ s1\ ltr1\ Opt.lcompletedFrom\ s1\ ltr1$
and $ltr2: Opt.lvalidFromS\ s2\ ltr2\ Opt.lcompletedFrom\ s2\ ltr2$
and $A34: Opt.lA\ ltr1 = Opt.lA\ ltr2$
shows $\text{Van.lA (lrv1 (w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO))} =$
 $\text{Van.lA (lrv2 (w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO))}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lO\text{-lrv1-lrv2}$:
assumes $unw: unwindCond \Delta$
and $\Delta: \Delta \propto w1\ w2\ s1\ s2\ statA\ sv1\ sv2\ statO$
and $r: reachO\ s1\ reachO\ s2\ reachV\ sv1\ reachV\ sv2$
and $stat: statA = Diff \rightarrow statO = Diff$
and $ltr1: Opt.lvalidFromS\ s1\ ltr1\ Opt.lcompletedFrom\ s1\ ltr1$
and $ltr2: Opt.lvalidFromS\ s2\ ltr2\ Opt.lcompletedFrom\ s2\ ltr2$
and $A34: Opt.lA\ ltr1 = Opt.lA\ ltr2$
and $O12: Van.lO\ (lrv1 (w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO)) =$
 $\text{Van.lO (lrv2 (w1,w2,s1,ltr1,s2,ltr2,statA,sv1,sv2,statO))}$
and $stO: statO = Eq$
shows $Opt.lO\ ltr1 = Opt.lO\ ltr2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

theorem $unwind-lrsecure$:
assumes $init: initCond \Delta$ **and** $unwind: unwindCond \Delta$
shows $lrsecure$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.4 Compositional unwinding

We allow networks of unwinding relations that unwind into each other, which offer a compositional alternative to monolithic unwinding.

definition $unwindIntoCond ::$

```

(enat ⇒ enat ⇒ enat ⇒ 'stateO ⇒ 'stateO ⇒ status ⇒ 'stateV ⇒ 'stateV ⇒
status ⇒ bool) ⇒
(enat ⇒ enat ⇒ enat ⇒ 'stateO ⇒ 'stateO ⇒ status ⇒ 'stateV ⇒ 'stateV ⇒
status ⇒ bool)
⇒ bool
where
unwindIntoCond Δ Δ' ≡ ∀ w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO.
reachO s1 ∧ reachO s2 ∧ reachV sv1 ∧ reachV sv2 ∧
Δ w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO →
(finalO s1 ↔ finalO s2) ∧ (finalV sv1 ↔ finalO s1) ∧ (finalV sv2 ↔ finalO
s2)
∧
(statA = Eq → (isIntO s1 ↔ isIntO s2))
∧
(∃ v < w. proact Δ' v w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO)
∨
react Δ' w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO)

```

theorem distrib-unwind-lrsecure:
assumes m: 0 < m **and** nxt: ∀ i. i < (m::nat) ⇒ nxt i ⊆ {0..<m}
and init: initCond (Δs 0)
and step: ∀ i. i < m ⇒
unwindIntoCond (Δs i) (λ w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO.
 ∃ j ∈ nxt i. Δs j w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO)
shows lrsecure
⟨proof⟩

lemma unwindIntoCond-simpleI:
assumes
 ∀ w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO.
 reachO s1 ⇒ reachO s2 ⇒ reachV sv1 ⇒ reachV sv2 ⇒
 Δ w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO
 ⇒
 (finalO s1 ↔ finalO s2) ∧ (finalV sv1 ↔ finalO s1) ∧ (finalV sv2 ↔ finalO
s2)
and
 ∀ w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO.
 reachO s1 ⇒ reachO s2 ⇒ reachV sv1 ⇒ reachV sv2 ⇒
 Δ w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO ⇒
 statA = Eq
 ⇒
 isIntO s1 ↔ isIntO s2
 ∀ w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO.
 reachO s1 ⇒ reachO s2 ⇒ reachV sv1 ⇒ reachV sv2 ⇒
 Δ w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO
 ⇒
 react Δ' w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO
shows unwindIntoCond Δ Δ'

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unwindIntoCond-simpleI2*:

assumes

$$\begin{aligned} & \wedge w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO. \\ & reachO s1 \implies reachO s2 \implies reachV sv1 \implies reachV sv2 \implies \\ & \Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \\ & \implies \\ & (finalO s1 \longleftrightarrow finalO s2) \wedge (finalV sv1 \longleftrightarrow finalO s1) \wedge (finalV sv2 \longleftrightarrow finalO s2) \\ & \text{and} \\ & \wedge w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO. \\ & reachO s1 \implies reachO s2 \implies reachV sv1 \implies reachV sv2 \implies \\ & \Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies \\ & statA = Eq \\ & \implies \\ & isIntO s1 \longleftrightarrow isIntO s2 \\ & \text{and} \\ & \wedge w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO. \\ & reachO s1 \implies reachO s2 \implies reachV sv1 \implies reachV sv2 \implies \\ & \Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \\ & \implies \\ & (\exists v < w. proact \Delta' v w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO) \\ & \text{shows } unwindIntoCond \Delta \Delta' \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *unwindIntoCond-simpleIB*:

assumes

$$\begin{aligned} & \wedge w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO. \\ & reachO s1 \implies reachO s2 \implies reachV sv1 \implies reachV sv2 \implies \\ & \Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \\ & \implies \\ & (finalO s1 \longleftrightarrow finalO s2) \wedge (finalV sv1 \longleftrightarrow finalO s1) \wedge (finalV sv2 \longleftrightarrow finalO s2) \\ & \text{and} \\ & \wedge w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO. \\ & reachO s1 \implies reachO s2 \implies reachV sv1 \implies reachV sv2 \implies \\ & \Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies \\ & statA = Eq \\ & \implies \\ & isIntO s1 \longleftrightarrow isIntO s2 \\ & \text{and} \\ & \wedge w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO. \\ & reachO s1 \implies reachO s2 \implies reachV sv1 \implies reachV sv2 \implies \\ & \Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \\ & \implies \\ & (\exists v < w. proact \Delta' v w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO) \vee react \Delta' w1 w2 s1 s2 \\ & statA sv1 sv2 statO \\ & \text{shows } unwindIntoCond \Delta \Delta' \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition oor where

$oor \Delta \Delta_2 \equiv \lambda w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO.$

$\Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \vee \Delta_2 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$

lemma oorI1:

$\Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies oor \Delta \Delta_2 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma oorI2:

$\Delta_2 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies oor \Delta \Delta_2 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition oor3 where

$oor3 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \equiv \lambda w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO.$

$\Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \vee \Delta_2 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \vee \Delta_3 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$

lemma oor3I1:

$\Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies oor3 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma oor3I2:

$\Delta_2 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies oor3 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma oor3I3:

$\Delta_3 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies oor3 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition oor4 where

$oor4 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4 \equiv \lambda w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO.$

$\Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \vee \Delta_2 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \vee \Delta_3 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \vee \Delta_4 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$

lemma oor4I1:

$\Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies oor4 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma oor4I2:

$\Delta_2 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies oor4 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $oor4I3$:

$\Delta_3 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies oor4 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $oor4I4$:

$\Delta_4 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies oor4 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $oor5$ **where**

$oor5 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4 \Delta_5 \equiv \lambda w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO.$

$\Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \vee \Delta_2 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \vee$
 $\Delta_3 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \vee \Delta_4 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \vee$
 $\Delta_5 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$

lemma $oor5I1$:

$\Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies oor5 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4 \Delta_5 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $oor5I2$:

$\Delta_2 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies oor5 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4 \Delta_5 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $oor5I3$:

$\Delta_3 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies oor5 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4 \Delta_5 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $oor5I4$:

$\Delta_4 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies oor5 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4 \Delta_5 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $oor5I5$:

$\Delta_5 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies oor5 \Delta \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_4 \Delta_5 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *isIntO-match1*: $\text{isIntO } s1 \implies \text{match1 } \Delta w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA } sv1 sv2 \text{ statO}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *isIntO-match2*: $\text{isIntO } s2 \implies \text{match2 } \Delta w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA } sv1 sv2 \text{ statO}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *isIntO-match*:
assumes $\langle \text{isIntO } s1 \rangle$ and $\langle \text{isIntO } s2 \rangle$
and $\langle \text{match12 } \Delta w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA } sv1 sv2 \text{ statO} \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{react } \Delta w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA } sv1 sv2 \text{ statO} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *match1-1-oorI1*:
 $\text{match1-1 } \Delta w1 w2 s1 s1' s2 \text{ statA } sv1 sv2 \text{ statO} \implies$
 $\text{match1-1 (oor } \Delta \Delta_2) w1 w2 s1 s1' s2 \text{ statA } sv1 sv2 \text{ statO}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *match1-1-oorI2*:
 $\text{match1-1 } \Delta_2 w1 w2 s1 s1' s2 \text{ statA } sv1 sv2 \text{ statO} \implies$
 $\text{match1-1 (oor } \Delta \Delta_2) w1 w2 s1 s1' s2 \text{ statA } sv1 sv2 \text{ statO}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *match1-oorI1*:
 $\text{match1 } \Delta w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA } sv1 sv2 \text{ statO} \implies$
 $\text{match1 (oor } \Delta \Delta_2) w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA } sv1 sv2 \text{ statO}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *match1-oorI2*:
 $\text{match1 } \Delta_2 w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA } sv1 sv2 \text{ statO} \implies$
 $\text{match1 (oor } \Delta \Delta_2) w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA } sv1 sv2 \text{ statO}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *match2-1-oorI1*:
 $\text{match2-1 } \Delta w1 w2 s1 s2 s2' \text{ statA } sv1 sv2 \text{ statO} \implies$
 $\text{match2-1 (oor } \Delta \Delta_2) w1 w2 s1 s2 s2' \text{ statA } sv1 sv2 \text{ statO}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *match2-1-oorI2*:
 $\text{match2-1 } \Delta_2 w1 w2 s1 s2 s2' \text{ statA } sv1 sv2 \text{ statO} \implies$
 $\text{match2-1 (oor } \Delta \Delta_2) w1 w2 s1 s2 s2' \text{ statA } sv1 sv2 \text{ statO}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *match2-oorI1*:
 $\text{match2 } \Delta w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA } sv1 sv2 \text{ statO} \implies$

*match2 (oor Δ Δ₂) w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO
 ⟨proof⟩*

lemma *match2-oorI2:*

*match2 Δ₂ w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO ⇒
 match2 (oor Δ Δ₂) w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO
 ⟨proof⟩*

lemma *match12-oorI1:*

*match12 Δ w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO ⇒
 match12 (oor Δ Δ₂) w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO
 ⟨proof⟩*

lemma *match12-oorI2:*

*match12 Δ₂ w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO ⇒
 match12 (oor Δ Δ₂) w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO
 ⟨proof⟩*

lemma *match12-1-oorI1:*

*match12-1 Δ w1 w2 s1' s2' statA' sv1 sv2 statO ⇒
 match12-1 (oor Δ Δ₂) w1 w2 s1' s2' statA' sv1 sv2 statO
 ⟨proof⟩*

lemma *match12-1-oorI2:*

*match12-1 Δ₂ w1 w2 s1' s2' statA' sv1 sv2 statO ⇒
 match12-1 (oor Δ Δ₂) w1 w2 s1' s2' statA' sv1 sv2 statO
 ⟨proof⟩*

lemma *match12-2-oorI1:*

*match12-2 Δ w1 w2 s2 s2' statA' sv1 sv2 statO ⇒
 match12-2 (oor Δ Δ₂) w1 w2 s2 s2' statA' sv1 sv2 statO
 ⟨proof⟩*

lemma *match12-2-oorI2:*

*match12-2 Δ₂ w1 w2 s2 s2' statA' sv1 sv2 statO ⇒
 match12-2 (oor Δ Δ₂) w1 w2 s2 s2' statA' sv1 sv2 statO
 ⟨proof⟩*

lemma *match12-12-oorI1:*

*match12-12 Δ w1 w2 s1' s2' statA' sv1 sv2 statO ⇒
 match12-12 (oor Δ Δ₂) w1 w2 s1' s2' statA' sv1 sv2 statO
 ⟨proof⟩*

lemma *match12-12-oorI2:*

*match12-12 Δ₂ w1 w2 s1' s2' statA' sv1 sv2 statO ⇒
 match12-12 (oor Δ Δ₂) w1 w2 s1' s2' statA' sv1 sv2 statO
 ⟨proof⟩*

lemma *match-oorI1*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{react } \Delta w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \implies \\ & \quad \text{react } (\text{oor } \Delta \Delta_2) w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *match-oorI2*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{react } \Delta_2 w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \implies \\ & \quad \text{react } (\text{oor } \Delta \Delta_2) w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *proact-oorI1*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{proact } \Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \implies \\ & \quad \text{proact } (\text{oor } \Delta \Delta_2) w w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *proact-oorI2*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{proact } \Delta_2 w w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \implies \\ & \quad \text{proact } (\text{oor } \Delta \Delta_2) w w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *move-1-oorI1*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{move-1 } \Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \implies \\ & \quad \text{move-1 } (\text{oor } \Delta \Delta_2) w w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *move-1-oorI2*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{move-1 } \Delta_2 w w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \implies \\ & \quad \text{move-1 } (\text{oor } \Delta \Delta_2) w w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *move-2-oorI1*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{move-2 } \Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \implies \\ & \quad \text{move-2 } (\text{oor } \Delta \Delta_2) w w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *move-2-oorI2*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{move-2 } \Delta_2 w w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \implies \\ & \quad \text{move-2 } (\text{oor } \Delta \Delta_2) w w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *move-12-oorI1*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{move-12 } \Delta w w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \implies \\ & \quad \text{move-12 } (\text{oor } \Delta \Delta_2) w w1 w2 s1 s2 \text{ statA sv1 sv2 statO} \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

```

lemma move-12-oorI2:
move-12  $\Delta_2 w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \implies$ 
move-12 (oor  $\Delta \Delta_2$ )  $w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

context Relative-Security-Determ
begin

lemma match1-1-defD: match1-1  $\Delta w1 w2 s1 s1' s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $\neg finalV sv1 \wedge \Delta \infty w1 w2 s1' s2 statA (nextO sv1) sv2 statO$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma match1-12-defD: match1-12  $\Delta w1 w2 s1 s1' s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $\neg finalV sv1 \wedge \neg finalV sv2 \wedge$ 
 $\Delta \infty w1 w2 s1' s2 statA (nextO sv1) (nextO sv2) (sstatO' statO sv1 sv2)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemmas match1-defsD = match1-def match1-1-defD match1-12-defD

lemma match2-1-defD: match2-1  $\Delta w1 w2 s1 s2 s2' statA sv1 sv2 statO \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $\neg finalV sv2 \wedge \Delta \infty w1 w2 s1 s2' statA sv1 (nextO sv2) statO$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma match2-12-defD: match2-12  $\Delta w1 w2 s1 s2 s2' statA sv1 sv2 statO \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $\neg finalV sv1 \wedge \neg finalV sv2 \wedge \Delta \infty w1 w2 s1 s2' statA (nextO sv1) (nextO sv2)$ 
 $(sstatO' statO sv1 sv2)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemmas match2-defsD = match2-def match2-1-defD match2-12-defD

lemma match12-1-defD: match12-1  $\Delta w1 w2 s1' s2' statA' sv1 sv2 statO \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $\neg finalV sv1 \wedge \Delta \infty w1 w2 s1' s2' statA' (nextO sv1) sv2 statO$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma match12-2-defD: match12-2  $\Delta w1 w2 s1' s2' statA' sv1 sv2 statO \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $\neg finalV sv2 \wedge \Delta \infty w1 w2 s1' s2' statA' sv1 (nextO sv2) statO$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma match12-12-defD: match12-12  $\Delta w1 w2 s1' s2' statA' sv1 sv2 statO \longleftrightarrow$ 

```

```

(let statO' = sstatO' statO sv1 sv2 in
  ¬ finalV sv1 ∧ ¬ finalV sv2 ∧
  (statA' = Diff → statO' = Diff) ∧
  Δ ∞ w1 w2 s1' s2' statA' (nextO sv1) (nextO sv2) statO')
⟨proof⟩

lemmas match12-defsD = match12-def match12-1-defD match12-2-defD match12-12-defD

lemmas match-deep-defsD = match1-defsD match2-defsD match12-defsD

lemma move-1-defD: move-1 Δ w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO ↔
  ¬ finalV sv1 ∧ Δ w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA (nextO sv1) sv2 statO
⟨proof⟩

lemma move-2-defD: move-2 Δ w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO ↔
  ¬ finalV sv2 ∧ Δ w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 (nextO sv2) statO
⟨proof⟩

lemma move-12-defD: move-12 Δ w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA sv1 sv2 statO ↔
  (let statO' = sstatO' statO sv1 sv2 in
    ¬ finalV sv1 ∧ ¬ finalV sv2 ∧
    Δ w w1 w2 s1 s2 statA (nextO sv1) (nextO sv2) statO')
⟨proof⟩

lemmas proact-defsD = proact-def move-1-defD move-2-defD move-12-defD

end

end

```

References

- [1] A. P. Brijesh Dongol, Matt Griffin and J. Wright. Relative security: Formally modeling and (dis)proving resilience against semantic optimization vulnerabilities. In *37th IEEE Computer Security Foundations Symposium, CSF 2024*. To appear.