

Relational Forests

Walter Guttmann

March 17, 2025

Abstract

We study second-order formalisations of graph properties expressed as first-order formulas in relation algebras extended with a Kleene star. The formulas quantify over relations while still avoiding quantification over elements of the base set. We formalise the property of undirected graphs being acyclic this way. This involves a study of various kinds of orientation of graphs. We also verify basic algorithms to constructively prove several second-order properties.

Contents

1	Overview	1
2	Orientations and Undirected Forests	2
2.1	Orientability	2
2.2	Undirected forests	9
2.3	Arc axiom	17
2.4	Counterexamples	18
3	Axioms and Algorithmic Proofs	18
3.1	Constructing a spanning tree	19
3.2	Breadth-first search	21
3.3	Extending partial orders to linear orders	21

1 Overview

The theories described in this document study second-order specifications of graph properties expressed as first-order formulas in Stone-Kleene relation algebras. Of particular interest are undirected forests and their orientations, developed in Section 2. We also verify the correctness of a number of basic graph algorithms, which we use in constructive proofs of graph properties in Section 3.

The theories formally verify results in [5]; results from this paper are annotated with the corresponding theorem numbers. See the paper for further details and related work.

2 Orientations and Undirected Forests

In this theory we study orientations and various second-order specifications of undirected forests. The results are structured by the classes in which they can be proved, which correspond to algebraic structures. Most classes are generalisations of Kleene relation algebras. None of the classes except *kleene-relation-algebra* assumes the double-complement law $\neg\neg x = x$ available in Boolean algebras. The corresponding paper does not elaborate these fine distinctions, so some results take a different form in this theory. They usually specialise to Kleene relation algebras after simplification using $\neg\neg x = x$.

theory *Forests*

imports *Stone-Kleene-Relation-Algebras.Kleene-Relation-Algebras*

begin

2.1 Orientability

context *bounded-distrib-allegory-signature*
begin

abbreviation *irreflexive-inf* :: '*a* \Rightarrow bool' **where** *irreflexive-inf* *x* \equiv *x* \sqcap 1 = *bot*

end

context *bounded-distrib-allegory*
begin

lemma *irreflexive-inf-arc-asymmetric*:
 $\text{irreflexive-inf } x \implies \text{arc } x \implies \text{asymmetric } x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *asymmetric-inf*:
 $\text{asymmetric } x \longleftrightarrow \text{irreflexive-inf } (x * x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *asymmetric-irreflexive-inf*:
 $\text{asymmetric } x \implies \text{irreflexive-inf } x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *transitive-asymmetric-irreflexive-inf*:

transitive $x \implies \text{asymmetric } x \longleftrightarrow \text{irreflexive-inf } x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation $\text{orientation } x \ y \equiv y \sqcup y^T = x \wedge \text{asymmetric } y$
abbreviation $\text{loop-orientation } x \ y \equiv y \sqcup y^T = x \wedge \text{antisymmetric } y$
abbreviation $\text{super-orientation } x \ y \equiv x \leq y \sqcup y^T \wedge \text{asymmetric } y$
abbreviation $\text{loop-super-orientation } x \ y \equiv x \leq y \sqcup y^T \wedge \text{antisymmetric } y$

lemma $\text{orientation-symmetric}:$
 $\text{orientation } x \ y \implies \text{symmetric } x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{orientation-irreflexive-inf}:$
 $\text{orientation } x \ y \implies \text{irreflexive-inf } x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{loop-orientation-symmetric}:$
 $\text{loop-orientation } x \ y \implies \text{symmetric } x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{loop-orientation-diagonal}:$
 $\text{loop-orientation } x \ y \implies y \sqcap y^T = x \sqcap 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{super-orientation-irreflexive-inf}:$
 $\text{super-orientation } x \ y \implies \text{irreflexive-inf } x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{loop-super-orientation-diagonal}:$
 $\text{loop-super-orientation } x \ y \implies x \sqcap 1 \leq y \sqcap y^T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\text{orientable } x \equiv \exists y . \text{orientation } x \ y$
definition $\text{loop-orientable } x \equiv \exists y . \text{loop-orientation } x \ y$
definition $\text{super-orientable } x \equiv \exists y . \text{super-orientation } x \ y$
definition $\text{loop-super-orientable } x \equiv \exists y . \text{loop-super-orientation } x \ y$

lemma $\text{orientable-symmetric}:$
 $\text{orientable } x \implies \text{symmetric } x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{orientable-irreflexive-inf}:$
 $\text{orientable } x \implies \text{irreflexive-inf } x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{loop-orientable-symmetric}:$
 $\text{loop-orientable } x \implies \text{symmetric } x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *super-orientable-irreflexive-inf*:
super-orientable $x \implies \text{irreflexive-inf } x$
(proof)

lemma *orientable-down-closed*:
assumes *symmetric* x
and $x \leq y$
and *orientable* y
shows *orientable* x
(proof)

lemma *loop-orientable-down-closed*:
assumes *symmetric* x
and $x \leq y$
and *loop-orientable* y
shows *loop-orientable* x
(proof)

lemma *super-orientable-down-closed*:
assumes $x \leq y$
and *super-orientable* y
shows *super-orientable* x
(proof)

lemma *loop-super-orientable-down-closed*:
assumes $x \leq y$
and *loop-super-orientable* y
shows *loop-super-orientable* x
(proof)

abbreviation *orientable-1* $x \equiv \text{loop-super-orientable } x$
abbreviation *orientable-2* $x \equiv \exists y . x \leq y \sqcup y^T \wedge y \sqcap y^T \leq x \sqcap 1$
abbreviation *orientable-3* $x \equiv \exists y . x \leq y \sqcup y^T \wedge y \sqcap y^T = x \sqcap 1$
abbreviation *orientable-4* $x \equiv \text{irreflexive-inf } x \longrightarrow \text{super-orientable } x$
abbreviation *orientable-5* $x \equiv \text{symmetric } x \longrightarrow \text{loop-orientable } x$
abbreviation *orientable-6* $x \equiv \text{symmetric } x \longrightarrow (\exists y . y \sqcup y^T = x \wedge y \sqcap y^T \leq x \sqcap 1)$
abbreviation *orientable-7* $x \equiv \text{symmetric } x \longrightarrow (\exists y . y \sqcup y^T = x \wedge y \sqcap y^T = x \sqcap 1)$
abbreviation *orientable-8* $x \equiv \text{symmetric } x \wedge \text{irreflexive-inf } x \longrightarrow \text{orientable } x$

lemma *super-orientation-diagonal*:
 $x \leq y \sqcup y^T \implies y \sqcap y^T \leq x \sqcap 1 \implies y \sqcap y^T = x \sqcap 1$
(proof)

lemma *orientable-2-implies-1*:
orientable-2 $x \implies \text{orientable-1 } x$
(proof)

lemma *orientable-2-3*:
orientable-2 $x \longleftrightarrow$ *orientable-3* x
(proof)

lemma *orientable-5-6*:
orientable-5 $x \longleftrightarrow$ *orientable-6* x
(proof)

lemma *orientable-6-7*:
orientable-6 $x \longleftrightarrow$ *orientable-7* x
(proof)

lemma *orientable-7-implies-8*:
orientable-7 $x \implies$ *orientable-8* x
(proof)

lemma *orientable-5-implies-1*:
orientable-5 $(x \sqcup x^T) \implies$ *orientable-1* x
(proof)

ternary predicate S called *split* here

abbreviation *split* $x y z \equiv y \sqcap y^T = x \wedge y \sqcup y^T = z$

Theorem 3.1

lemma *orientation-split*:
orientation $x y \longleftrightarrow$ *split* $\text{bot } y x$
(proof)

Theorem 3.2

lemma *split-1-loop-orientation*:
split $1 y x \implies$ *loop-orientation* $x y$
(proof)

Theorem 3.3

lemma *loop-orientation-split*:
loop-orientation $x y \longleftrightarrow$ *split* $(x \sqcap 1) y x$
(proof)

Theorem 3.4

lemma *loop-orientation-split-inf-1*:
loop-orientation $x y \longleftrightarrow$ *split* $(y \sqcap 1) y x$
(proof)

lemma *loop-orientation-top-split*:
loop-orientation $\text{top } y \longleftrightarrow$ *split* $1 y \text{ top}$
(proof)

injective and transitive orientations

definition *injectively-orientable* $x \equiv \exists y . \text{orientation } x y \wedge \text{injective } y$

lemma *injectively-orientable-orientable*:
injectively-orientable $x \implies$ *orientable* x
(proof)

lemma *orientable-orientable-1*:
orientable $x \implies$ *orientable-1* x
(proof)

lemma *injectively-orientable-down-closed*:
assumes *symmetric* x
and $x \leq y$
and *injectively-orientable* y
shows *injectively-orientable* x
(proof)

definition *transitively-orientable* $x \equiv \exists y . \text{orientation } x y \wedge \text{transitive } y$

lemma *transitively-orientable-orientable*:
transitively-orientable $x \implies$ *orientable* x
(proof)

lemma *irreflexive-transitive-orientation-asymmetric*:
assumes *irreflexive-inf* x
and *transitive* y
and $y \sqcup y^T = x$
shows *asymmetric* y
(proof)

Theorem 12

lemma *transitively-orientable-2*:
transitively-orientable $x \longleftrightarrow$ *irreflexive-inf* $x \wedge (\exists y . y \sqcup y^T = x \wedge \text{transitive } y)$
(proof)

end

context *relation-algebra-signature*
begin

abbreviation *asymmetric-var* :: $'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where** *asymmetric-var* $x \equiv$
irreflexive $(x * x)$

end

context *pd-allegory*
begin

Theorem 1.4

lemma *asymmetric-var*:

asymmetric $x \longleftrightarrow$ *asymmetric-var* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Theorem 1.3

(Theorem 1.2 is *asymmetric-irreflexive* in *Relation-Algebras*)

lemma *transitive-asymmetric-irreflexive*:
transitive $x \implies$ *asymmetric* $x \longleftrightarrow$ *irreflexive* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *orientable-irreflexive*:
orientable $x \implies$ *irreflexive* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *super-orientable-irreflexive*:
super-orientable $x \implies$ *irreflexive* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *orientation-diversity-split*:
orientation $(-1) y \longleftrightarrow$ *split bot* $y (-1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *linear-orderable-1* $x \equiv$ *linear-order* x
abbreviation *linear-orderable-2* $x \equiv$ *linear-strict-order* x
abbreviation *linear-orderable-3* $x \equiv$ *transitive* $x \wedge$ *asymmetric* $x \wedge$ *strict-linear* x
abbreviation *linear-orderable-3a* $x \equiv$ *transitive* $x \wedge$ *strict-linear* x

abbreviation *orientable-11* $x \equiv$ *split 1* x *top*
abbreviation *orientable-12* $x \equiv$ *split bot* $x (-1)$

lemma *linear-strict-order-split*:
linear-strict-order $x \longleftrightarrow$ *transitive* $x \wedge$ *split bot* $x (-1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Theorem 1.6

lemma *linear-strict-order-without-irreflexive*:
linear-strict-order $x \longleftrightarrow$ *transitive* $x \wedge$ *strict-linear* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *linear-order-without-reflexive*:
linear-order $x \longleftrightarrow$ *antisymmetric* $x \wedge$ *transitive* $x \wedge$ *linear* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *linear-orderable-1-implies-2*:
linear-orderable-1 $x \implies$ *linear-orderable-2* $(x \sqcap -1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *linear-orderable-2-3*:
linear-orderable-2 $x \longleftrightarrow$ *linear-orderable-3* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *linear-orderable-3-3a*:
linear-orderable-3 $x \longleftrightarrow$ *linear-orderable-3a* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *linear-orderable-3-implies-orientable-12*:
linear-orderable-3 $x \implies$ *orientable-12* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *orientable-11-implies-12*:
orientable-11 $x \implies$ *orientable-12* $(x \sqcap -1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

context *stone-relation-algebra*
begin

Theorem 3.5

lemma *split-symmetric-asymmetric*:
assumes *regular* x
shows *split* $x y z \longleftrightarrow y \sqcap y^T = x \wedge (y \sqcap -y^T) \sqcup (y \sqcap -y^T)^T = z \sqcap -x \wedge x$
 $\leq z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *orientable-1-2*:
orientable-1 $x \longleftrightarrow$ *orientable-2* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *orientable-8-implies-5*:
assumes *orientable-8* $(x \sqcap -1)$
shows *orientable-5* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *orientable-4-implies-1*:
assumes *orientable-4* $(x \sqcap -1)$
shows *orientable-1* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *orientable-1-implies-4*:
assumes *orientable-1* $(x \sqcup 1)$
shows *orientable-4* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *orientable-1-implies-5*:
assumes *orientable-1* x
shows *orientable-5* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Theorem 2

lemma *all-orientable-characterisations*:

shows $(\forall x . \text{orientable-1 } x) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x . \text{orientable-2 } x)$

and $(\forall x . \text{orientable-1 } x) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x . \text{orientable-3 } x)$

and $(\forall x . \text{orientable-1 } x) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x . \text{orientable-4 } x)$

and $(\forall x . \text{orientable-1 } x) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x . \text{orientable-5 } x)$

and $(\forall x . \text{orientable-1 } x) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x . \text{orientable-6 } x)$

and $(\forall x . \text{orientable-1 } x) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x . \text{orientable-7 } x)$

and $(\forall x . \text{orientable-1 } x) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x . \text{orientable-8 } x)$

<proof>

lemma *orientable-12-implies-11*:

$\text{orientable-12 } x \implies \text{orientable-11 } (x \sqcup 1)$

<proof>

lemma *linear-strict-order-order*:

$\text{linear-strict-order } x \implies \text{linear-order } (x \sqcup 1)$

<proof>

lemma *linear-orderable-2-implies-1*:

$\text{linear-orderable-2 } x \implies \text{linear-orderable-1 } (x \sqcup 1)$

<proof>

[Theorem 4](#)

[Theorem 12](#)

[Theorem 13](#)

lemma *exists-split-characterisations*:

shows $(\exists x . \text{linear-orderable-1 } x) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x . \text{linear-orderable-2 } x)$

and $(\exists x . \text{linear-orderable-1 } x) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x . \text{linear-orderable-3 } x)$

and $(\exists x . \text{linear-orderable-1 } x) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x . \text{linear-orderable-3a } x)$

and $(\exists x . \text{linear-orderable-1 } x) \longleftrightarrow \text{transitively-orientable } (-1)$

and $(\exists x . \text{linear-orderable-1 } x) \implies (\exists x . \text{orientable-11 } x)$

and $(\exists x . \text{orientable-11 } x) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x . \text{orientable-12 } x)$

<proof>

[Theorem 4](#)

[Theorem 12](#)

lemma *exists-all-orientable*:

shows $(\exists x . \text{orientable-11 } x) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x . \text{orientable-1 } x)$

and $\text{transitively-orientable } (-1) \implies (\forall x . \text{orientable-8 } x)$

<proof>

end

2.2 Undirected forests

We start with a few general results in Kleene algebras and a few basic properties of directed acyclic graphs.

```
context kleene-algebra
begin
```

Theorem 1.9

```
lemma plus-separate-comp-bot:
assumes  $x * y = \text{bot}$ 
shows  $(x \sqcup y)^+ = x^+ \sqcup y^+ \sqcup y^+ * x^+$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

```
context bounded-distrib-kleene-allegory
begin
```

```
lemma reflexive-inf-plus-star:
assumes reflexive  $x$ 
shows  $x \sqcap y^+ \leq 1 \longleftrightarrow x \sqcap y^* = 1$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

```
context pd-kleene-allegory
begin
```

```
lemma acyclic-star-inf-conv-iff:
assumes irreflexive  $w$ 
shows acyclic  $w \longleftrightarrow w^* \sqcap w^{T*} = 1$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

Theorem 1.7

```
lemma acyclic-irreflexive-star-antisymmetric:
acyclic  $x \longleftrightarrow$  irreflexive  $x \wedge$  antisymmetric  $(x^*)$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

Theorem 1.8

```
lemma acyclic-plus-asymmetric:
acyclic  $x \longleftrightarrow$  asymmetric  $(x^+)$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

Theorem 1.3

(Theorem 1.1 is acyclic-asymmetric in Kleene-Relation-Algebras)

```
lemma transitive-acyclic-irreflexive:
transitive  $x \implies$  acyclic  $x \longleftrightarrow$  irreflexive  $x$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma transitive-acyclic-asymmetric:
transitive  $x \implies$  acyclic  $x \longleftrightarrow$  asymmetric  $x$ 
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

Theorem 1.5

lemma strict-order-transitive-acyclic:

strict-order $x \longleftrightarrow$ transitive $x \wedge$ acyclic x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma linear-strict-order-transitive-acyclic:

linear-strict-order $x \longleftrightarrow$ transitive $x \wedge$ acyclic $x \wedge$ strict-linear x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The following are various specifications of an undirected graph being acyclic.

definition acyclic-1 $x \equiv \forall y . orientation\ x\ y \rightarrow acyclic\ y$
definition acyclic-1b $x \equiv \forall y . orientation\ x\ y \rightarrow y^* \sqcap y^{T*} = 1$
definition acyclic-2 $x \equiv \forall y . y \leq x \wedge asymmetric\ y \rightarrow acyclic\ y$
definition acyclic-2a $x \equiv \forall y . y \sqcup y^T \leq x \wedge asymmetric\ y \rightarrow acyclic\ y$
definition acyclic-2b $x \equiv \forall y . y \sqcup y^T \leq x \wedge asymmetric\ y \rightarrow y^* \sqcap y^{T*} = 1$
definition acyclic-3a $x \equiv \forall y . y \leq x \wedge x \leq y^* \rightarrow y = x$
definition acyclic-3b $x \equiv \forall y . y \leq x \wedge y^* = x^* \rightarrow y = x$
definition acyclic-3c $x \equiv \forall y . y \leq x \wedge x \leq y^+ \rightarrow y = x$
definition acyclic-3d $x \equiv \forall y . y \leq x \wedge y^+ = x^+ \rightarrow y = x$
definition acyclic-4 $x \equiv \forall y . y \leq x \rightarrow x \sqcap y^* \leq --y$
definition acyclic-4a $x \equiv \forall y . y \leq x \rightarrow x \sqcap y^* \leq y$
definition acyclic-4b $x \equiv \forall y . y \leq x \rightarrow x \sqcap y^* = y$
definition acyclic-4c $x \equiv \forall y . y \leq x \rightarrow y \sqcap (x \sqcap -y)^* = bot$
definition acyclic-5a $x \equiv \forall y . y \leq x \rightarrow y^* \sqcap (x \sqcap -y)^* = 1$
definition acyclic-5b $x \equiv \forall y . y \leq x \rightarrow y^* \sqcap (x \sqcap -y)^+ \leq 1$
definition acyclic-5c $x \equiv \forall y . y \leq x \rightarrow y^+ \sqcap (x \sqcap -y)^* \leq 1$
definition acyclic-5d $x \equiv \forall y . y \leq x \rightarrow y^+ \sqcap (x \sqcap -y)^+ \leq 1$
definition acyclic-5e $x \equiv \forall y z . y \leq x \wedge z \leq x \wedge y \sqcap z = bot \rightarrow y^* \sqcap z^* = 1$
definition acyclic-5f $x \equiv \forall y z . y \sqcup z \leq x \wedge y \sqcap z = bot \rightarrow y^* \sqcap z^* = 1$
definition acyclic-5g $x \equiv \forall y z . y \sqcup z = x \wedge y \sqcap z = bot \rightarrow y^* \sqcap z^* = 1$
definition acyclic-6 $x \equiv \exists y . y \sqcup y^T = x \wedge acyclic\ y \wedge injective\ y$

Theorem 6

lemma acyclic-2-2a:

assumes symmetric x
shows acyclic-2 $x \longleftrightarrow$ acyclic-2a x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Theorem 6

lemma acyclic-2a-2b:

shows acyclic-2a $x \longleftrightarrow$ acyclic-2b x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Theorem 5

lemma acyclic-1-1b:

shows acyclic-1 $x \longleftrightarrow$ acyclic-1b x

$\langle proof \rangle$

[Theorem 10](#)

lemma *acyclic-6-1-injectively-orientable*:
acyclic-6 $x \longleftrightarrow$ *acyclic-1* $x \wedge$ *injectively-orientable* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *acyclic-6-symmetric*:
acyclic-6 $x \implies$ *symmetric* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *acyclic-6-irreflexive*:
acyclic-6 $x \implies$ *irreflexive* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *acyclic-4-irreflexive*:
acyclic-4 $x \implies$ *irreflexive* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

[Theorem 6.4](#)

lemma *acyclic-2-implies-1*:
acyclic-2 $x \implies$ *acyclic-1* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

[Theorem 8](#)

lemma *acyclic-4a-4b*:
acyclic-4a $x \longleftrightarrow$ *acyclic-4b* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

[Theorem 7](#)

lemma *acyclic-3a-3b*:
acyclic-3a $x \longleftrightarrow$ *acyclic-3b* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

[Theorem 7](#)

lemma *acyclic-3a-3c*:
assumes *irreflexive* x
shows *acyclic-3a* $x \longleftrightarrow$ *acyclic-3c* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

[Theorem 7](#)

lemma *acyclic-3c-3d*:
shows *acyclic-3c* $x \longleftrightarrow$ *acyclic-3d* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

[Theorem 8](#)

lemma *acyclic-4a-implies-3a*:
acyclic-4a $x \implies$ *acyclic-3a* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *acyclic-4a-implies-4*:
 acyclic-4a $x \implies \text{acyclic-4 } x$
 (proof)

lemma *acyclic-4b-implies-4c*:
 acyclic-4b $x \implies \text{acyclic-4c } x$
 (proof)

[Theorem 8.5](#)

lemma *acyclic-4-implies-2*:
 assumes *symmetric* x
 shows *acyclic-4* $x \implies \text{acyclic-2 } x$
 (proof)

[Theorem 10.3](#)

lemma *acyclic-6-implies-4a*:
 acyclic-6 $x \implies \text{acyclic-4a } x$
 (proof)

[Theorem 1.10](#)

lemma *top-injective-inf-complement*:
 assumes *injective* x
 shows *top* * $(x \sqcap y) \sqcup \text{top} * (x \sqcap \neg y) = \text{bot}$
 (proof)

lemma *top-injective-inf-complement-2*:
 assumes *injective* x
 shows $(x^T \sqcap y) * \text{top} \sqcup (x^T \sqcap \neg y) * \text{top} = \text{bot}$
 (proof)

[Theorem 10.3](#)

lemma *acyclic-6-implies-5a*:
 acyclic-6 $x \implies \text{acyclic-5a } x$
 (proof)

[Theorem 9.7](#)

lemma *acyclic-5b-implies-4*:
 assumes *irreflexive* x
 and *acyclic-5b* x
 shows *acyclic-4* x
 (proof)

[Theorem 9](#)

lemma *acyclic-5a-5b*:
 acyclic-5a $x \longleftrightarrow \text{acyclic-5b } x$
 (proof)

[Theorem 9](#)

lemma *acyclic-5a-5c*:
acyclic-5a $x \longleftrightarrow$ *acyclic-5c* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Theorem 9

lemma *acyclic-5b-5d*:
acyclic-5b $x \longleftrightarrow$ *acyclic-5d* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *acyclic-5a-5e*:
acyclic-5a $x \longleftrightarrow$ *acyclic-5e* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Theorem 9

lemma *acyclic-5e-5f*:
acyclic-5e $x \longleftrightarrow$ *acyclic-5f* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *acyclic-5e-down-closed*:
assumes $x \leq y$
and *acyclic-5e* y
shows *acyclic-5e* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *acyclic-5a-down-closed*:
assumes $x \leq y$
and *acyclic-5a* y
shows *acyclic-5a* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

further variants of the existence of a linear order

abbreviation *linear-orderable-4* $x \equiv$ *transitive* $x \wedge$ *acyclic* $x \wedge$ *strict-linear* x
abbreviation *linear-orderable-5* $x \equiv$ *transitive* $x \wedge$ *acyclic* $x \wedge$ *linear* (x^*)
abbreviation *linear-orderable-6* $x \equiv$ *acyclic* $x \wedge$ *linear* (x^*)
abbreviation *linear-orderable-7* $x \equiv$ *split 1* (x^*) *top*
abbreviation *linear-orderable-8* $x \equiv$ *split bot* (x^+) (-1)

lemma *linear-orderable-3-4*:
linear-orderable-3 $x \longleftrightarrow$ *linear-orderable-4* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *linear-orderable-5-implies-6*:
linear-orderable-5 $x \implies$ *linear-orderable-6* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *linear-orderable-6-implies-3*:
assumes *linear-orderable-6* x
shows *linear-orderable-3* (x^+)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *linear-orderable-7-implies-1*:
linear-orderable-7 $x \implies \text{linear-orderable-1 } (x^*)$
(proof)

lemma *linear-orderable-6-implies-8*:
linear-orderable-6 $x \implies \text{linear-orderable-8 } x$
(proof)

abbreviation *path-orderable* $x \equiv \text{univalent } x \wedge \text{injective } x \wedge \text{acyclic } x \wedge \text{linear } (x^*)$

lemma *path-orderable-implies-linear-orderable-6*:
path-orderable $x \implies \text{linear-orderable-6 } x$
(proof)

definition *simple-paths* $x \equiv \exists y . y \sqcup y^T = x \wedge \text{acyclic } y \wedge \text{injective } y \wedge \text{univalent } y$

Theorem 14.1

lemma *simple-paths-acyclic-6*:
simple-paths $x \implies \text{acyclic-6 } x$
(proof)

Theorem 14.2

lemma *simple-paths-transitively-orientable*:
assumes *simple-paths* x
shows *transitively-orientable* $(x^+ \sqcap -1)$
(proof)

abbreviation *spanning* $x y \equiv y \leq x \wedge x \leq (y \sqcup y^T)^* \wedge \text{acyclic } y \wedge \text{injective } y$
definition *spannable* $x \equiv \exists y . \text{spanning } x y$

lemma *acyclic-6-implies-spannable*:
acyclic-6 $x \implies \text{spannable } x$
(proof)

lemma *acyclic-3a-spannable-implies-6*:
assumes *acyclic-3a* x
and *spannable* x
and *symmetric* x
shows *acyclic-6* x
(proof)

Theorem 10.3

lemma *acyclic-6-implies-3a*:
acyclic-6 $x \implies \text{acyclic-3a } x$
(proof)

Theorem 10.3

```
lemma acyclic-6-implies-2:  
  acyclic-6  $x \implies$  acyclic-2  $x$   
  ⟨proof⟩
```

Theorem 11

```
lemma acyclic-6-3a-spannable:  
  acyclic-6  $x \longleftrightarrow$  symmetric  $x \wedge$  spannable  $x \wedge$  acyclic-3a  $x$   
  ⟨proof⟩
```

end

```
context stone-kleene-relation-algebra  
begin
```

Theorem 11.3

```
lemma point-spanning:  
  assumes point  $p$   
  shows spanning  $(-1)$   $(p \sqcap -1)$   
    spannable  $(-1)$   
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma irreflexive-star:  
   $(x \sqcap -1)^* = x^*$   
  ⟨proof⟩
```

Theorem 6.5

```
lemma acyclic-2-1:  
  assumes orientable  $x$   
  shows acyclic-2  $x \longleftrightarrow$  acyclic-1  $x$   
  ⟨proof⟩
```

Theorem 8

```
lemma acyclic-4-4c:  
  acyclic-4  $x \longleftrightarrow$  acyclic-4c  $x$   
  ⟨proof⟩
```

Theorem 9

```
lemma acyclic-5f-5g:  
  acyclic-5f  $x \longleftrightarrow$  acyclic-5g  $x$   
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma linear-orderable-3-implies-5:  
  assumes linear-orderable-3  $x$   
  shows linear-orderable-5  $x$   
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma linear-orderable-8-implies-7:  
  linear-orderable-8  $x \implies$  linear-orderable-7  $x$ 
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

Theorem 13

lemma *exists-split-characterisations-2*:
 shows $(\exists x . \text{linear-orderable-1 } x) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x . \text{linear-orderable-4 } x)$
 and $(\exists x . \text{linear-orderable-1 } x) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x . \text{linear-orderable-5 } x)$
 and $(\exists x . \text{linear-orderable-1 } x) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x . \text{linear-orderable-6 } x)$
 and $(\exists x . \text{linear-orderable-1 } x) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x . \text{linear-orderable-7 } x)$
 and $(\exists x . \text{linear-orderable-1 } x) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x . \text{linear-orderable-8 } x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

2.3 Arc axiom

class *stone-kleene-relation-algebra-arc* = *stone-kleene-relation-algebra* +
 assumes *arc-axiom*: $x \neq \text{bot} \implies \exists y . \text{arc } y \wedge y \leq \text{--}x$
begin

subclass *stone-relation-algebra-tarski*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context

assumes *orientable-path*: $\text{arc } x \implies x \leq \text{--}y^* \implies \exists z . z \leq y \wedge \text{asymmetric } z$
 $\wedge x \leq \text{--}z^*$
begin

Theorem 8.6

lemma *acyclic-2-4*:
 assumes *irreflexive* x
 and *symmetric* x
 shows *acyclic-2* $x \longleftrightarrow \text{acyclic-4 } x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

context *kleene-relation-algebra*
begin

Theorem 8

lemma *acyclic-3a-implies-4b*:
 assumes *acyclic-3a* x
 shows *acyclic-4b* x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *acyclic-3a-4b*:
 acyclic-3a $x \longleftrightarrow \text{acyclic-4b } x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma acyclic-4-4a:  
  acyclic-4 x  $\longleftrightarrow$  acyclic-4a x  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

2.4 Counterexamples

Calls to nitpick have been put into comments to save processing time.

independence of (0)

```
lemma symmetric x  $\implies$  irreflexive-inf x  $\implies$  orientable x  
  nitpick[expect=genuine,card=4,timeout=600]  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma linear-orderable-6 x  $\implies$  path-orderable x
```

nitpick[expect=genuine,card=8,timeout=600]

$\langle proof \rangle$

(5) does not imply (6)

```
lemma symmetric x  $\implies$  irreflexive x  $\implies$  acyclic-5a x  $\implies$  acyclic-6 x
```

nitpick[expect=genuine,card=4,timeout=600]

$\langle proof \rangle$

(2) does not imply (4)

```
lemma symmetric x  $\implies$  irreflexive x  $\implies$  acyclic-2 x  $\implies$  acyclic-4 x
```

nitpick[expect=genuine,card=8,timeout=600]

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

3 Axioms and Algorithmic Proofs

In this theory we verify the correctness of three basic graph algorithms. We use them to constructively prove a number of graph properties.

```
theory Algorithms
```

```
imports HOL-Hoare.Hoare-Logic_Forests
```

```
begin
```

```
context stone-kleene-relation-algebra-arc
```

begin

Assuming the arc axiom we can define the function *choose-arc* that selects an arc in a non-empty graph.

definition *choose-arc* $x \equiv$ if $x = \text{bot}$ then bot else *SOME* y . *arc* $y \wedge y \leq \text{--}x$

lemma *choose-arc-below*:

choose-arc $x \leq \text{--}x$

{proof}

lemma *choose-arc-arc*:

assumes $x \neq \text{bot}$

shows *arc* (*choose-arc* x)

{proof}

lemma *choose-arc-bot*:

choose-arc $\text{bot} = \text{bot}$

{proof}

lemma *choose-arc-bot-iff*:

choose-arc $x = \text{bot} \longleftrightarrow x = \text{bot}$

{proof}

lemma *choose-arc-regular*:

regular (*choose-arc* x)

{proof}

3.1 Constructing a spanning tree

definition *spanning-forest* $f g \equiv$ *forest* $f \wedge f \leq \text{--}g \wedge \text{components } g \leq \text{forest-components } f \wedge \text{regular } f$

definition *kruskal-spanning-invariant* $f g h \equiv \text{symmetric } g \wedge h = h^T \wedge g \sqcap \text{--}h = h \wedge \text{spanning-forest } f (-h \sqcap g)$

lemma *spanning-forest-spanning*:

spanning-forest $f g \implies \text{spanning} (\text{--}g) f$

{proof}

lemma *spanning-forest-spanning-regular*:

assumes *regular* f

and *regular* g

shows *spanning-forest* $f g \longleftrightarrow \text{spanning } g f$

{proof}

We prove total correctness of Kruskal's spanning tree algorithm (ignoring edge weights) [6]. The algorithm and proof are adapted from the AFP theory *Relational-Minimum-Spanning-Trees.Kruskal* to work in Stone-Kleene relation algebras [3, 4].

lemma *kruskal-vc-1*:

assumes symmetric g
shows kruskal-spanning-invariant bot g g
 $\langle proof \rangle$

For the remainder of this theory we assume there are finitely many regular elements. This means that the graphs are finite and is needed for proving termination of the algorithms.

context

assumes finite-regular: finite { x . regular x }
begin

lemma kruskal-vc-2:

assumes kruskal-spanning-invariant f g h
and $h \neq \text{bot}$
shows (choose-arc $h \leq -\text{forest-components } f \rightarrow \text{kruskal-spanning-invariant } ((f \sqcap -(\text{top} * \text{choose-arc } h * f^{T*})) \sqcup (f \sqcap \text{top} * \text{choose-arc } h * f^{T*})^T \sqcup \text{choose-arc } h) g (h \sqcap -\text{choose-arc } h \sqcap -\text{choose-arc } h^T)$
 $\wedge \text{card} \{ x . \text{regular } x \wedge x \leq --h \wedge x \leq -\text{choose-arc } h \wedge x \leq -\text{choose-arc } h^T \} < \text{card} \{ x . \text{regular } x \wedge x \leq --h \}) \wedge (\neg \text{choose-arc } h \leq -\text{forest-components } f \rightarrow \text{kruskal-spanning-invariant } f g (h \sqcap -\text{choose-arc } h \sqcap -\text{choose-arc } h^T)$
 $\wedge \text{card} \{ x . \text{regular } x \wedge x \leq --h \wedge x \leq -\text{choose-arc } h \wedge x \leq -\text{choose-arc } h^T \} < \text{card} \{ x . \text{regular } x \wedge x \leq --h \})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem kruskal-spanning:

VARS e f h
 [symmetric g]
 $f := \text{bot};$
 $h := g;$
WHILE $h \neq \text{bot}$
 $INV \{ \text{kruskal-spanning-invariant } f g h \}$
 $VAR \{ \text{card} \{ x . \text{regular } x \wedge x \leq --h \} \}$
 $DO e := \text{choose-arc } h;$
 $IF e \leq -\text{forest-components } f THEN$
 $f := (f \sqcap -(\text{top} * e * f^{T*})) \sqcup (f \sqcap \text{top} * e * f^{T*})^T \sqcup e$
 $ELSE$
 $SKIP$
 $FI;$
 $h := h \sqcap -e \sqcap -e^T$
 OD
[spanning-forest f g]
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma kruskal-exists-spanning:

$\text{symmetric } g \implies \exists f . \text{spanning-forest } f g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Theorem 16

lemma *symmetric-spinnable*:
symmetric g \implies *spinnable (–g)*
{proof}

3.2 Breadth-first search

We prove total correctness of a simple breadth-first search algorithm. It is a variant of an algorithm discussed in [1].

theorem *bfs-reachability*:
VARS p q t
[regular r \wedge regular s \wedge vector s]
t := bot;
q := s;
*p := –s \sqcap r^T * s;*
WHILE p \neq bot
INV { regular r \wedge regular q \wedge vector q \wedge asymmetric t \wedge t \leq r \wedge t \leq q \wedge q = t^{T} * s \wedge p = –q \sqcap r^T * q }*
VAR { card { x . regular x \wedge x \leq –(–q \sqcap r^{T} * s) } }*
*DO t := t \sqcup (r \sqcap q * p^T);*
q := q \sqcup p;
*p := –q \sqcap r^T * p*
OD
[asymmetric t \wedge t \leq r \wedge q = t^{T} * s \wedge q = r^{T*} * s]*
{proof}

Theorem 18

lemma *bfs-reachability-exists*:
regular r \wedge regular s \wedge vector s \implies $\exists t . \text{asymmetric } t \wedge t \leq r \wedge t^{T} * s = r^{T*} * s$*
{proof}

Theorem 17

lemma *orientable-path*:
arc x \implies x \leq –y^{} \implies $\exists z . z \leq y \wedge \text{asymmetric } z \wedge x \leq –z^*$*
{proof}

3.3 Extending partial orders to linear orders

We prove total correctness of Szpilrajn's algorithm [7]. A partial-correctness proof using Prover9 is given in [2].

theorem *szpilrajn*:
VARS e t
[order p \wedge regular p]
t := p;
WHILE t \sqcup t^T \neq top
INV { order t \wedge regular t \wedge p \leq t }
VAR { card { x . regular x \wedge x \leq –(t \sqcup t^T) } }
DO e := choose-arc (–(t \sqcup t^T));

```

 $t := t \sqcup t * e * t$ 
OD
[ linear-order  $t \wedge p \leq t$  ]
⟨proof⟩

Theorem 15

lemma szpilrajn-exists:
order  $p \wedge$  regular  $p \implies \exists t . \text{linear-order } t \wedge p \leq t$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma complement-one-transitively-orientable:
transitively-orientable (-1)
⟨proof⟩

end

end

end

```

References

- [1] R. Berghammer. Combining relational calculus and the Dijkstra–Gries method for deriving relational programs. *Information Sciences*, 119(3–4):155–171, 1999.
- [2] R. Berghammer and G. Struth. On automated program construction and verification. In C. Bolduc, J. Desharnais, and B. Ktari, editors, *Mathematics of Program Construction*, volume 6120 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 22–41. Springer, 2010.
- [3] W. Guttmann. Stone-Kleene relation algebras. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, 2017.
- [4] W. Guttmann. Verifying minimum spanning tree algorithms with Stone relation algebras. *Journal of Logical and Algebraic Methods in Programming*, 101:132–150, 2018.
- [5] W. Guttmann. Second-order properties of undirected graphs. In U. Fahrenberg, M. Gehrke, L. Santocanale, and M. Winter, editors, *Relational and Algebraic Methods in Computer Science (RAMiCS 2021)*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2021. To appear.
- [6] J. B. Kruskal, Jr. On the shortest spanning subtree of a graph and the traveling salesman problem. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 7(1):48–50, 1956.

- [7] E. Szpilrajn. Sur l'extension de l'ordre partiel. *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, 16:386–389, 1930.