

Relational Forests

Walter Guttmann

August 8, 2021

Abstract

We study second-order formalisations of graph properties expressed as first-order formulas in relation algebras extended with a Kleene star. The formulas quantify over relations while still avoiding quantification over elements of the base set. We formalise the property of undirected graphs being acyclic this way. This involves a study of various kinds of orientation of graphs. We also verify basic algorithms to constructively prove several second-order properties.

Contents

1	Overview	1
2	Orientations and Undirected Forests	2
2.1	Orientability	2
2.2	Undirected forests	14
2.3	Arc axiom	32
2.4	Counterexamples	34
3	Axioms and Algorithmic Proofs	35
3.1	Constructing a spanning tree	36
3.2	Breadth-first search	41
3.3	Extending partial orders to linear orders	47

1 Overview

The theories described in this document study second-order specifications of graph properties expressed as first-order formulas in Stone-Kleene relation algebras. Of particular interest are undirected forests and their orientations, developed in Section 2. We also verify the correctness of a number of basic graph algorithms, which we use in constructive proofs of graph properties in Section 3.

The theories formally verify results in [5]; results from this paper are annotated with the corresponding theorem numbers. See the paper for further details and related work.

2 Orientations and Undirected Forests

In this theory we study orientations and various second-order specifications of undirected forests. The results are structured by the classes in which they can be proved, which correspond to algebraic structures. Most classes are generalisations of Kleene relation algebras. None of the classes except *kleene-relation-algebra* assumes the double-complement law $--x = x$ available in Boolean algebras. The corresponding paper does not elaborate these fine distinctions, so some results take a different form in this theory. They usually specialise to Kleene relation algebras after simplification using $--x = x$.

theory *Forests*

imports *Stone-Kleene-Relation-Algebras.Kleene-Relation-Algebras*

begin

2.1 Orientability

context *bounded-distrib-allegory-signature*

begin

abbreviation *irreflexive-inf* :: 'a \Rightarrow bool **where** *irreflexive-inf* x \equiv x \sqcap 1 = bot

end

context *bounded-distrib-allegory*

begin

lemma *irreflexive-inf-arc-asymmetric*:

irreflexive-inf x \implies arc x \implies asymmetric x

proof –

assume *irreflexive-inf* x arc x

hence bot = (x * top)^T \sqcap x

by (*metis arc-top-arc comp-right-one schroeder-1*)

thus ?thesis

by (*metis comp-inf.semiring.mult-zero-right conv-inf-bot-iff inf.sup-relative-same-increasing top-right-mult-increasing*)

qed

lemma *asymmetric-inf*:

asymmetric x \longleftrightarrow *irreflexive-inf* (x * x)

using *inf.sup-monoid.add-commute schroeder-2* **by** *force*

lemma *asymmetric-irreflexive-inf:*

asymmetric x \implies irreflexive-inf x

by (*metis asymmetric-inf-closed coreflexive-symmetric inf.idem inf-le2*)

lemma *transitive-asymmetric-irreflexive-inf:*

transitive x \implies asymmetric x \longleftrightarrow irreflexive-inf x

by (*smt asymmetric-inf asymmetric-irreflexive-inf inf.absorb2 inf.cobounded1 inf.sup-monoid.add-commute inf-assoc le-bot*)

abbreviation *orientation x y \equiv y \sqcup y^T = x \wedge asymmetric y*

abbreviation *loop-orientation x y \equiv y \sqcup y^T = x \wedge antisymmetric y*

abbreviation *super-orientation x y \equiv x \leq y \sqcup y^T \wedge asymmetric y*

abbreviation *loop-super-orientation x y \equiv x \leq y \sqcup y^T \wedge antisymmetric y*

lemma *orientation-symmetric:*

orientation x y \implies symmetric x

using *conv-dist-sup sup-commute* **by** *auto*

lemma *orientation-irreflexive-inf:*

orientation x y \implies irreflexive-inf x

using *asymmetric-irreflexive-inf asymmetric-conv-closed inf-sup-distrib2* **by** *auto*

lemma *loop-orientation-symmetric:*

loop-orientation x y \implies symmetric x

using *conv-dist-sup sup-commute* **by** *auto*

lemma *loop-orientation-diagonal:*

loop-orientation x y \implies y \sqcap y^T = x \sqcap 1

by (*metis inf.sup-monoid.add-commute inf.sup-same-context inf-le2 inf-sup-distrib1 one-inf-conv sup.idem*)

lemma *super-orientation-irreflexive-inf:*

super-orientation x y \implies irreflexive-inf x

using *coreflexive-bot-closed inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc inf.sup-right-divisibility inf-bot-right loop-orientation-diagonal* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *loop-super-orientation-diagonal:*

loop-super-orientation x y \implies x \sqcap 1 \leq y \sqcap y^T

using *inf.sup-right-divisibility inf-assoc loop-orientation-diagonal* **by** *fastforce*

definition *orientable x \equiv \exists y . orientation x y*

definition *loop-orientable x \equiv \exists y . loop-orientation x y*

definition *super-orientable x \equiv \exists y . super-orientation x y*

definition *loop-super-orientable x \equiv \exists y . loop-super-orientation x y*

lemma *orientable-symmetric:*

orientable $x \implies$ *symmetric* x
using *orientable-def orientation-symmetric* **by** *blast*

lemma *orientable-irreflexive-inf*:
orientable $x \implies$ *irreflexive-inf* x
using *orientable-def orientation-irreflexive-inf* **by** *blast*

lemma *loop-orientable-symmetric*:
loop-orientable $x \implies$ *symmetric* x
using *loop-orientable-def loop-orientation-symmetric* **by** *blast*

lemma *super-orientable-irreflexive-inf*:
super-orientable $x \implies$ *irreflexive-inf* x
using *super-orientable-def super-orientation-irreflexive-inf* **by** *blast*

lemma *orientable-down-closed*:
assumes *symmetric* x
and $x \leq y$
and *orientable* y
shows *orientable* x
proof –
from *assms*(3) **obtain** z **where** $1: z \sqcup z^T = y \wedge$ *asymmetric* z
using *orientable-def* **by** *blast*
let $?z = x \sqcap z$
have *orientation* x $?z$
proof (*rule conjI*)
show *asymmetric* $?z$
using 1 **by** (*simp add: conv-dist-inf inf.left-commute*
inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc)
thus $?z \sqcup ?z^T = x$
using 1 **by** (*metis assms(1,2) conv-dist-inf inf.orderE inf-sup-distrib1*)
qed
thus *thesis*
using *orientable-def* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *loop-orientable-down-closed*:
assumes *symmetric* x
and $x \leq y$
and *loop-orientable* y
shows *loop-orientable* x
proof –
from *assms*(3) **obtain** z **where** $1: z \sqcup z^T = y \wedge$ *antisymmetric* z
using *loop-orientable-def* **by** *blast*
let $?z = x \sqcap z$
have *loop-orientation* x $?z$
proof (*rule conjI*)
show *antisymmetric* $?z$
using 1 *antisymmetric-inf-closed inf-commute* **by** *fastforce*

thus $?z \sqcup ?z^T = x$
using 1 **by** (*metis assms(1,2) conv-dist-inf inf.orderE inf-sup-distrib1*)
qed
thus *?thesis*
using *loop-orientable-def* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *super-orientable-down-closed*:
assumes $x \leq y$
and *super-orientable y*
shows *super-orientable x*
using *assms order-lesseq-imp super-orientable-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *loop-super-orientable-down-closed*:
assumes $x \leq y$
and *loop-super-orientable y*
shows *loop-super-orientable x*
using *assms order-lesseq-imp loop-super-orientable-def* **by** *auto*

abbreviation *orientable-1* $x \equiv \text{loop-super-orientable } x$
abbreviation *orientable-2* $x \equiv \exists y . x \leq y \sqcup y^T \wedge y \sqcap y^T \leq x \sqcap 1$
abbreviation *orientable-3* $x \equiv \exists y . x \leq y \sqcup y^T \wedge y \sqcap y^T = x \sqcap 1$
abbreviation *orientable-4* $x \equiv \text{irreflexive-inf } x \longrightarrow \text{super-orientable } x$
abbreviation *orientable-5* $x \equiv \text{symmetric } x \longrightarrow \text{loop-orientable } x$
abbreviation *orientable-6* $x \equiv \text{symmetric } x \longrightarrow (\exists y . y \sqcup y^T = x \wedge y \sqcap y^T \leq x \sqcap 1)$
abbreviation *orientable-7* $x \equiv \text{symmetric } x \longrightarrow (\exists y . y \sqcup y^T = x \wedge y \sqcap y^T = x \sqcap 1)$
abbreviation *orientable-8* $x \equiv \text{symmetric } x \wedge \text{irreflexive-inf } x \longrightarrow \text{orientable } x$

lemma *super-orientation-diagonal*:
 $x \leq y \sqcup y^T \implies y \sqcap y^T \leq x \sqcap 1 \implies y \sqcap y^T = x \sqcap 1$
using *inf.antisym loop-super-orientation-diagonal* **by** *auto*

lemma *orientable-2-implies-1*:
orientable-2 $x \implies \text{orientable-1 } x$
using *loop-super-orientable-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *orientable-2-3*:
orientable-2 $x \iff \text{orientable-3 } x$
using *eq-refl super-orientation-diagonal* **by** *blast*

lemma *orientable-5-6*:
orientable-5 $x \iff \text{orientable-6 } x$
using *loop-orientable-def loop-orientation-diagonal* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *orientable-6-7*:
orientable-6 $x \iff \text{orientable-7 } x$
using *super-orientation-diagonal* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *orientable-7-implies-8*:
orientable-7 $x \implies$ *orientable-8* x
using *orientable-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *orientable-5-implies-1*:
orientable-5 $(x \sqcup x^T) \implies$ *orientable-1* x
using *conv-dist-sup loop-orientable-def loop-super-orientable-def sup-commute*
by *fastforce*

ternary predicate S called *split* here

abbreviation *split* $x y z \equiv y \sqcap y^T = x \wedge y \sqcup y^T = z$

Theorem 3.1

lemma *orientation-split*:
orientation $x y \iff$ *split* *bot* $y x$
by *auto*

Theorem 3.2

lemma *split-1-loop-orientation*:
split $1 y x \implies$ *loop-orientation* $x y$
by *simp*

Theorem 3.3

lemma *loop-orientation-split*:
loop-orientation $x y \iff$ *split* $(x \sqcap 1) y x$
by (*metis inf.cobounded2 loop-orientation-diagonal*)

Theorem 3.4

lemma *loop-orientation-split-inf-1*:
loop-orientation $x y \iff$ *split* $(y \sqcap 1) y x$
by (*metis inf.sup-monoid.add-commute inf.sup-same-context inf-le2 one-inf-conv*)

lemma *loop-orientation-top-split*:
loop-orientation *top* $y \iff$ *split* $1 y$ *top*
by (*simp add: loop-orientation-split*)

injective and transitive orientations

definition *injectively-orientable* $x \equiv \exists y .$ *orientation* $x y \wedge$ *injective* y

lemma *injectively-orientable-orientable*:
injectively-orientable $x \implies$ *orientable* x
using *injectively-orientable-def orientable-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *orientable-orientable-1*:
orientable $x \implies$ *orientable-1* x
by (*metis bot-least order-refl loop-super-orientable-def orientable-def*)

lemma *injectively-orientable-down-closed*:
assumes *symmetric* x
and $x \leq y$
and *injectively-orientable* y
shows *injectively-orientable* x
proof –
from *assms*(3) **obtain** z **where** $1: z \sqcup z^T = y \wedge$ *asymmetric* $z \wedge$ *injective* z
using *injectively-orientable-def* **by** *blast*
let $?z = x \sqcap z$
have $2: \text{injective } ?z$
using 1 *inf-commute injective-inf-closed* **by** *fastforce*
have *orientation* x $?z$
proof (*rule conjI*)
show *asymmetric* $?z$
using 1 **by** (*simp add: conv-dist-inf inf.left-commute*
inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc)
thus $?z \sqcup ?z^T = x$
using 1 **by** (*metis assms(1,2) conv-dist-inf inf.orderE inf-sup-distrib1*)
qed
thus *?thesis*
using 2 *injectively-orientable-def* **by** *blast*
qed

definition *transitively-orientable* $x \equiv \exists y . \text{orientation } x y \wedge \text{transitive } y$

lemma *transitively-orientable-orientable*:
transitively-orientable $x \implies \text{orientable } x$
using *transitively-orientable-def orientable-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *irreflexive-transitive-orientation-asymmetric*:
assumes *irreflexive-inf* x
and *transitive* y
and $y \sqcup y^T = x$
shows *asymmetric* y
using *assms comp-inf.mult-right-dist-sup transitive-asymmetric-irreflexive-inf*
by *auto*

Theorem 12

lemma *transitively-orientable-2*:
transitively-orientable $x \iff \text{irreflexive-inf } x \wedge (\exists y . y \sqcup y^T = x \wedge \text{transitive } y)$
by (*metis irreflexive-transitive-orientation-asymmetric coreflexive-bot-closed*
loop-orientation-split transitively-orientable-def)

end

context *relation-algebra-signature*
begin

abbreviation *asymmetric-var* $:: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where** *asymmetric-var* $x \equiv$

irreflexive ($x * x$)

end

context *pd-allegory*

begin

[Theorem 1.4](#)

lemma *asymmetric-var*:

asymmetric $x \longleftrightarrow$ *asymmetric-var* x

using *asymmetric-inf pseudo-complement* **by** *auto*

[Theorem 1.3](#)

([Theorem 1.2](#) *is asymmetric-irreflexive* in *Relation-Algebras*)

lemma *transitive-asymmetric-irreflexive*:

transitive $x \implies$ *asymmetric* $x \longleftrightarrow$ *irreflexive* x

using *strict-order-var* **by** *blast*

lemma *orientable-irreflexive*:

orientable $x \implies$ *irreflexive* x

using *orientable-irreflexive-inf pseudo-complement* **by** *blast*

lemma *super-orientable-irreflexive*:

super-orientable $x \implies$ *irreflexive* x

using *pseudo-complement super-orientable-irreflexive-inf* **by** *blast*

lemma *orientation-diversity-split*:

orientation $(-1) y \longleftrightarrow$ *split bot* $y (-1)$

by *auto*

abbreviation *linear-orderable-1* $x \equiv$ *linear-order* x

abbreviation *linear-orderable-2* $x \equiv$ *linear-strict-order* x

abbreviation *linear-orderable-3* $x \equiv$ *transitive* $x \wedge$ *asymmetric* $x \wedge$ *strict-linear* x

abbreviation *linear-orderable-3a* $x \equiv$ *transitive* $x \wedge$ *strict-linear* x

abbreviation *orientable-11* $x \equiv$ *split 1* x *top*

abbreviation *orientable-12* $x \equiv$ *split bot* $x (-1)$

lemma *linear-strict-order-split*:

linear-strict-order $x \longleftrightarrow$ *transitive* $x \wedge$ *split bot* $x (-1)$

using *strict-order-var* **by** *blast*

[Theorem 1.6](#)

lemma *linear-strict-order-without-irreflexive*:

linear-strict-order $x \longleftrightarrow$ *transitive* $x \wedge$ *strict-linear* x

using *strict-linear-irreflexive* **by** *auto*

lemma *linear-order-without-reflexive*:

linear-order $x \longleftrightarrow$ *antisymmetric* $x \wedge$ *transitive* $x \wedge$ *linear* x


```

using linear-reflexive by blast

lemma linear-orderable-1-implies-2:
  linear-orderable-1 x  $\implies$  linear-orderable-2 (x  $\sqcap$  -1)
  using linear-order-strict-order by blast

lemma linear-orderable-2-3:
  linear-orderable-2 x  $\longleftrightarrow$  linear-orderable-3 x
  using linear-strict-order-split by auto

lemma linear-orderable-3-3a:
  linear-orderable-3 x  $\longleftrightarrow$  linear-orderable-3a x
  using strict-linear-irreflexive strict-order-var by blast

lemma linear-orderable-3-implies-orientable-12:
  linear-orderable-3 x  $\implies$  orientable-12 x
  by simp

lemma orientable-11-implies-12:
  orientable-11 x  $\implies$  orientable-12 (x  $\sqcap$  -1)
  by (smt inf-sup-distrib2 conv-complement conv-dist-inf conv-involutive
  inf-import-p inf-top.left-neutral linear-asymmetric maddux-3-13 p-inf
  symmetric-one-closed)

end

context stone-relation-algebra
begin

  Theorem 3.5

lemma split-symmetric-asymmetric:
  assumes regular x
  shows split x y z  $\longleftrightarrow$  y  $\sqcap$  yT = x  $\wedge$  (y  $\sqcap$  -yT)  $\sqcup$  (y  $\sqcap$  -yT)T = z  $\sqcap$  -x  $\wedge$  x
   $\leq$  z
proof
  assume split x y z
  thus y  $\sqcap$  yT = x  $\wedge$  (y  $\sqcap$  -yT)  $\sqcup$  (y  $\sqcap$  -yT)T = z  $\sqcap$  -x  $\wedge$  x  $\leq$  z
  by (metis conv-complement conv-dist-inf conv-involutive inf.cobounded1
  inf.sup-monoid.add-commute inf-import-p inf-sup-distrib2 le-supI1)
next
  assume y  $\sqcap$  yT = x  $\wedge$  (y  $\sqcap$  -yT)  $\sqcup$  (y  $\sqcap$  -yT)T = z  $\sqcap$  -x  $\wedge$  x  $\leq$  z
  thus split x y z
  by (smt (z3) assms conv-dist-sup conv-involutive inf.absorb2 inf.boundedE
  inf.cobounded1 inf.idem inf.sup-monoid.add-commute inf-import-p
  maddux-3-11-pp sup.left-commute sup-commute sup-inf-absorb)
qed

lemma orientable-1-2:
  orientable-1 x  $\longleftrightarrow$  orientable-2 x

```

proof
assume *orientable-1 x*
from this obtain y where $1: x \leq y \sqcup y^T \wedge y \sqcap y^T \leq 1$
using *loop-super-orientable-def* **by** *blast*
let $?y = (x \sqcap 1) \sqcup (y \sqcap -1)$
have $x \leq ?y \sqcup ?y^T \wedge ?y \sqcap ?y^T \leq x \sqcap 1$
proof
have $x \sqcap -1 \leq (y \sqcap -1) \sqcup (y^T \sqcap -1)$
using *1 inf.sup-right-divisibility inf-commute inf-left-commute*
inf-sup-distrib2 **by** *auto*
also have $\dots \leq ?y \sqcup ?y^T$
by (*metis comp-inf.semiring.add-mono conv-complement conv-dist-inf*
conv-isotone sup.cobounded2 symmetric-one-closed)
finally show $x \leq ?y \sqcup ?y^T$
by (*metis comp-inf.semiring.add-mono maddux-3-11-pp regular-one-closed*
sup.cobounded1 sup.left-idem)
have $x = (x \sqcap 1) \sqcup (x \sqcap -1)$
by (*metis maddux-3-11-pp regular-one-closed*)
have $?y \sqcap ?y^T = (x \sqcap 1) \sqcup ((y \sqcap -1) \sqcap (y^T \sqcap -1))$
by (*metis comp-inf.semiring.distrib-left conv-complement conv-dist-inf*
conv-dist-sup coreflexive-symmetric distrib-imp1 inf-le2 symmetric-one-closed)
also have $\dots = x \sqcap 1$
by (*metis 1 inf-assoc inf-commute pseudo-complement regular-one-closed*
selection-closed-id inf.cobounded2 maddux-3-11-pp)
finally show $?y \sqcap ?y^T \leq x \sqcap 1$
by *simp*
qed
thus *orientable-2 x*
by *blast*
next
assume *orientable-2 x*
thus *orientable-1 x*
using *loop-super-orientable-def* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *orientable-8-implies-5:*
assumes *orientable-8 (x \sqcap -1)*
shows *orientable-5 x*
proof
assume *1: symmetric x*
hence *symmetric (x \sqcap -1)*
by (*simp add: conv-complement symmetric-inf-closed*)
hence *orientable (x \sqcap -1)*
by (*simp add: assms pseudo-complement*)
from this obtain y where $2: y \sqcup y^T = x \sqcap -1 \wedge \text{asymmetric } y$
using *orientable-def* **by** *blast*
let $?y = y \sqcup (x \sqcap 1)$
have *loop-orientation x ?y*
proof

```

have ?y ⊔ ?yT = y ⊔ yT ⊔ (x ⊓ 1)
  using 1 conv-dist-inf conv-dist-sup sup-assoc sup-commute by auto
thus ?y ⊔ ?yT = x
  by (metis 2 maddux-3-11-pp regular-one-closed)
have ?y ⊓ ?yT = (y ⊓ yT) ⊔ (x ⊓ 1)
  by (simp add: 1 conv-dist-sup sup-inf-distrib2 symmetric-inf-closed)
thus antisymmetric ?y
  by (simp add: 2)
qed
thus loop-orientable x
  using loop-orientable-def by blast
qed

lemma orientable-4-implies-1:
  assumes orientable-4 (x ⊓ -1)
  shows orientable-1 x
proof -
  obtain y where 1: x ⊓ -1 ≤ y ⊔ yT ∧ asymmetric y
    using assms pseudo-complement super-orientable-def by auto
  let ?y = y ⊔ 1
  have loop-super-orientation x ?y
  proof
    show x ≤ ?y ⊔ ?yT
    by (smt 1 comp-inf.semiring.add-mono conv-dist-sup inf-le2 maddux-3-11-pp
reflexive-one-closed regular-one-closed sup.absorb1 sup.left-commute sup-assoc
symmetric-one-closed)
    show antisymmetric ?y
    using 1 conv-dist-sup distrib-imp1 inf-sup-distrib1 sup-monoid.add-commute
by auto
  qed
  thus ?thesis
  using loop-super-orientable-def by blast
qed

lemma orientable-1-implies-4:
  assumes orientable-1 (x ⊔ 1)
  shows orientable-4 x
proof
  assume 1: irreflexive-inf x
  obtain y where 2: x ⊔ 1 ≤ y ⊔ yT ∧ antisymmetric y
    using assms loop-super-orientable-def by blast
  let ?y = y ⊓ -1
  have super-orientation x ?y
  proof
    have x ≤ (y ⊔ yT) ⊓ -1
    using 1 2 pseudo-complement by auto
    thus x ≤ ?y ⊔ ?yT
    by (simp add: conv-complement conv-dist-inf inf-sup-distrib2)
    have ?y ⊓ ?yT = y ⊓ yT ⊓ -1

```

```

    using conv-complement conv-dist-inf inf-commute inf-left-commute by auto
  thus asymmetric ?y
    using 2 pseudo-complement by auto
qed
thus super-orientable x
  using super-orientable-def by blast
qed

```

lemma orientable-1-implies-5:

```

  assumes orientable-1 x
  shows orientable-5 x
proof
  assume 1: symmetric x
  obtain y where 2:  $x \leq y \sqcup y^T \wedge$  antisymmetric y
    using assms loop-super-orientable-def by blast
  let ?y =  $(x \sqcap 1) \sqcup (y \sqcap x \sqcap -1)$ 
  have loop-orientation x ?y
proof
  have  $?y \sqcup ?y^T = ((y \sqcup y^T) \sqcap x \sqcap -1) \sqcup (x \sqcap 1)$ 
    by (simp add: 1 conv-complement conv-dist-inf conv-dist-sup inf-sup-distrib2
sup.left-commute sup-commute)
  thus  $?y \sqcup ?y^T = x$ 
    by (metis 2 inf-absorb2 maddux-3-11-pp regular-one-closed)
  have  $?y \sqcap ?y^T = (x \sqcap 1) \sqcup ((y \sqcap x \sqcap -1) \sqcap (y^T \sqcap x^T \sqcap -1))$ 
    by (simp add: 1 conv-complement conv-dist-inf conv-dist-sup sup-inf-distrib1)
  thus antisymmetric ?y
    by (metis 2 antisymmetric-inf-closed conv-complement conv-dist-inf inf-le2
le-supI symmetric-one-closed)
qed
  thus loop-orientable x
    using loop-orientable-def by blast
qed

```

Theorem 2

lemma all-orientable-characterisations:

```

  shows  $(\forall x . \text{orientable-1 } x) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x . \text{orientable-2 } x)$ 
    and  $(\forall x . \text{orientable-1 } x) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x . \text{orientable-3 } x)$ 
    and  $(\forall x . \text{orientable-1 } x) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x . \text{orientable-4 } x)$ 
    and  $(\forall x . \text{orientable-1 } x) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x . \text{orientable-5 } x)$ 
    and  $(\forall x . \text{orientable-1 } x) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x . \text{orientable-6 } x)$ 
    and  $(\forall x . \text{orientable-1 } x) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x . \text{orientable-7 } x)$ 
    and  $(\forall x . \text{orientable-1 } x) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x . \text{orientable-8 } x)$ 
  subgoal using orientable-1-2 by simp
  subgoal using orientable-1-2 orientable-2-3 by simp
  subgoal using orientable-1-implies-4 orientable-4-implies-1 by blast
  subgoal using orientable-5-implies-1 orientable-1-implies-5 by blast
  subgoal using orientable-5-6 orientable-5-implies-1 orientable-1-implies-5 by
blast
  subgoal using orientable-5-6 orientable-5-implies-1 orientable-6-7

```

orientable-1-implies-5 **by force**
subgoal using *orientable-5-6 orientable-5-implies-1 orientable-6-7*
orientable-7-implies-8 orientable-1-implies-5 orientable-8-implies-5 **by auto**
done

lemma *orientable-12-implies-11*:
orientable-12 $x \implies$ *orientable-11* $(x \sqcup 1)$
by (*smt inf-top.right-neutral conv-complement conv-dist-sup conv-involutive*
inf-import-p maddux-3-13 p-bot p-dist-inf p-dist-sup regular-one-closed
symmetric-one-closed)

lemma *linear-strict-order-order*:
linear-strict-order $x \implies$ *linear-order* $(x \sqcup 1)$
by (*simp add: strict-order-order transitive-asymmetric-irreflexive*
orientable-12-implies-11)

lemma *linear-orderable-2-implies-1*:
linear-orderable-2 $x \implies$ *linear-orderable-1* $(x \sqcup 1)$
using *linear-strict-order-order* **by simp**

[Theorem 4](#)

[Theorem 12](#)

[Theorem 13](#)

lemma *exists-split-characterisations*:
shows $(\exists x . \text{linear-orderable-1 } x) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x . \text{linear-orderable-2 } x)$
and $(\exists x . \text{linear-orderable-1 } x) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x . \text{linear-orderable-3 } x)$
and $(\exists x . \text{linear-orderable-1 } x) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x . \text{linear-orderable-3a } x)$
and $(\exists x . \text{linear-orderable-1 } x) \longleftrightarrow \text{transitively-orientable } (-1)$
and $(\exists x . \text{linear-orderable-1 } x) \implies (\exists x . \text{orientable-11 } x)$
and $(\exists x . \text{orientable-11 } x) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x . \text{orientable-12 } x)$
subgoal 1 using *linear-strict-order-order linear-order-strict-order* **by blast**
subgoal 2 using *1 strict-order-var* **by blast**
subgoal using *1 linear-strict-order-without-irreflexive* **by auto**
subgoal using *2 transitively-orientable-def* **by auto**
subgoal using *loop-orientation-top-split* **by blast**
subgoal using *orientable-11-implies-12 orientable-12-implies-11* **by blast**
done

[Theorem 4](#)

[Theorem 12](#)

lemma *exists-all-orientable*:
shows $(\exists x . \text{orientable-11 } x) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x . \text{orientable-1 } x)$
and $\text{transitively-orientable } (-1) \implies (\forall x . \text{orientable-8 } x)$
subgoal apply (*rule iffI*)
subgoal using *loop-super-orientable-def top-greatest* **by blast**
subgoal using *loop-orientation-top-split loop-super-orientable-def top-le* **by blast**
blast

```

    done
    subgoal using orientable-down-closed pseudo-complement
    transitively-orientable-orientable by blast
    done
end

```

2.2 Undirected forests

We start with a few general results in Kleene algebras and a few basic properties of directed acyclic graphs.

```

context kleene-algebra
begin

```

Theorem 1.9

```

lemma plus-separate-comp-bot:
  assumes  $x * y = \text{bot}$ 
  shows  $(x \sqcup y)^+ = x^+ \sqcup y^+ \sqcup y^+ * x^+$ 
proof -
  have  $(x \sqcup y)^+ = x * y^* * x^* \sqcup y^+ * x^*$ 
  using assms cancel-separate-1 semiring.distrib-right mult-assoc by auto
  also have  $\dots = x^+ \sqcup y^+ * x^*$ 
  by (simp add: assms star-absorb)
  finally show ?thesis
  by (metis star.circ-back-loop-fixpoint star.circ-plus-same sup-assoc
  sup-commute mult-assoc)
qed
end

```

```

context bounded-distrib-kleene-allegory
begin

```

```

lemma reflexive-inf-plus-star:
  assumes reflexive  $x$ 
  shows  $x \sqcap y^+ \leq 1 \iff x \sqcap y^* = 1$ 
  using assms reflexive-inf-star sup.absorb-iff1 by auto
end

```

```

context pd-kleene-allegory
begin

```

```

lemma acyclic-star-inf-conv-iff:
  assumes irreflexive  $w$ 
  shows  $\text{acyclic } w \iff w^* \sqcap w^{T^*} = 1$ 

```

by (*metis assms acyclic-star-below-complement-1 acyclic-star-inf-conv conv-complement conv-order equivalence-one-closed inf.absorb1 inf.left-commute pseudo-complement star.circ-increasing*)

Theorem 1.7

lemma *acyclic-irreflexive-star-antisymmetric:*

acyclic $x \longleftrightarrow$ *irreflexive* $x \wedge$ *antisymmetric* (x^*)

by (*metis acyclic-star-inf-conv-iff conv-star-commute dual-order.trans eq-iff reflexive-inf-closed star.circ-mult-increasing star.circ-reflexive*)

Theorem 1.8

lemma *acyclic-plus-asymmetric:*

acyclic $x \longleftrightarrow$ *asymmetric* (x^+)

using *acyclic-asymmetric asymmetric-irreflexive star.circ-transitive-equal star.left-plus-circ mult-assoc* **by** *auto*

Theorem 1.3

(Theorem 1.1 is *acyclic-asymmetric* in *Kleene-Relation-Algebras*)

lemma *transitive-acyclic-irreflexive:*

transitive $x \implies$ *acyclic* $x \longleftrightarrow$ *irreflexive* x

using *antisym star.circ-mult-increasing star-right-induct-mult* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *transitive-acyclic-asymmetric:*

transitive $x \implies$ *acyclic* $x \longleftrightarrow$ *asymmetric* x

using *strict-order-var transitive-acyclic-irreflexive* **by** *blast*

Theorem 1.5

lemma *strict-order-transitive-acyclic:*

strict-order $x \longleftrightarrow$ *transitive* $x \wedge$ *acyclic* x

using *transitive-acyclic-irreflexive* **by** *auto*

lemma *linear-strict-order-transitive-acyclic:*

linear-strict-order $x \longleftrightarrow$ *transitive* $x \wedge$ *acyclic* $x \wedge$ *strict-linear* x

using *transitive-acyclic-irreflexive* **by** *auto*

The following are various specifications of an undirected graph being acyclic.

definition *acyclic-1* $x \equiv \forall y . \text{orientation } x y \longrightarrow \text{acyclic } y$

definition *acyclic-1b* $x \equiv \forall y . \text{orientation } x y \longrightarrow y^* \sqcap y^{T^*} = 1$

definition *acyclic-2* $x \equiv \forall y . y \leq x \wedge \text{asymmetric } y \longrightarrow \text{acyclic } y$

definition *acyclic-2a* $x \equiv \forall y . y \sqcup y^T \leq x \wedge \text{asymmetric } y \longrightarrow \text{acyclic } y$

definition *acyclic-2b* $x \equiv \forall y . y \sqcup y^T \leq x \wedge \text{asymmetric } y \longrightarrow y^* \sqcap y^{T^*} = 1$

definition *acyclic-3a* $x \equiv \forall y . y \leq x \wedge x \leq y^* \longrightarrow y = x$

definition *acyclic-3b* $x \equiv \forall y . y \leq x \wedge y^* = x^* \longrightarrow y = x$

definition *acyclic-3c* $x \equiv \forall y . y \leq x \wedge x \leq y^+ \longrightarrow y = x$

definition *acyclic-3d* $x \equiv \forall y . y \leq x \wedge y^+ = x^+ \longrightarrow y = x$

definition *acyclic-4* $x \equiv \forall y . y \leq x \longrightarrow x \sqcap y^* \leq \text{--}y$

definition *acyclic-4a* $x \equiv \forall y . y \leq x \longrightarrow x \sqcap y^* \leq y$

definition *acyclic-4b* $x \equiv \forall y . y \leq x \longrightarrow x \sqcap y^* = y$
definition *acyclic-4c* $x \equiv \forall y . y \leq x \longrightarrow y \sqcap (x \sqcap -y)^* = \text{bot}$
definition *acyclic-5a* $x \equiv \forall y . y \leq x \longrightarrow y^* \sqcap (x \sqcap -y)^* = 1$
definition *acyclic-5b* $x \equiv \forall y . y \leq x \longrightarrow y^* \sqcap (x \sqcap -y)^+ \leq 1$
definition *acyclic-5c* $x \equiv \forall y . y \leq x \longrightarrow y^+ \sqcap (x \sqcap -y)^* \leq 1$
definition *acyclic-5d* $x \equiv \forall y . y \leq x \longrightarrow y^+ \sqcap (x \sqcap -y)^+ \leq 1$
definition *acyclic-5e* $x \equiv \forall y z . y \leq x \wedge z \leq x \wedge y \sqcap z = \text{bot} \longrightarrow y^* \sqcap z^* = 1$
definition *acyclic-5f* $x \equiv \forall y z . y \sqcup z \leq x \wedge y \sqcap z = \text{bot} \longrightarrow y^* \sqcap z^* = 1$
definition *acyclic-5g* $x \equiv \forall y z . y \sqcup z = x \wedge y \sqcap z = \text{bot} \longrightarrow y^* \sqcap z^* = 1$
definition *acyclic-6* $x \equiv \exists y . y \sqcup y^T = x \wedge \text{acyclic } y \wedge \text{injective } y$

Theorem 6

lemma *acyclic-2-2a*:

assumes *symmetric* x

shows *acyclic-2* $x \longleftrightarrow \text{acyclic-2a } x$

proof –

have $\bigwedge y . y \leq x \longleftrightarrow y \sqcup y^T \leq x$

using *assms conv-isotone* **by force**

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: acyclic-2-def acyclic-2a-def*)

qed

Theorem 6

lemma *acyclic-2a-2b*:

shows *acyclic-2a* $x \longleftrightarrow \text{acyclic-2b } x$

by (*simp add: acyclic-2a-def acyclic-2b-def acyclic-star-inf-conv-iff asymmetric-irreflexive*)

Theorem 5

lemma *acyclic-1-1b*:

shows *acyclic-1* $x \longleftrightarrow \text{acyclic-1b } x$

by (*simp add: acyclic-1-def acyclic-1b-def acyclic-star-inf-conv-iff asymmetric-irreflexive*)

Theorem 10

lemma *acyclic-6-1-injectively-orientable*:

acyclic-6 $x \longleftrightarrow \text{acyclic-1 } x \wedge \text{injectively-orientable } x$

proof

assume *acyclic-6* x

from this obtain y **where** $1: y \sqcup y^T = x \wedge \text{acyclic } y \wedge \text{injective } y$

using *acyclic-6-def* **by blast**

have *acyclic-1* x

proof (*unfold acyclic-1-def, rule allI, rule impI*)

fix z

assume $2: \text{orientation } x z$

hence $3: z = (z \sqcap y) \sqcup (z \sqcap y^T)$

by (*metis 1 inf-sup-absorb inf-sup-distrib1*)

have $(z \sqcap y) * (z \sqcap y^T) \leq z * z \sqcap y * y^T$

by (*simp add: comp-isotone*)

also have $\dots \leq -1 \sqcap 1$
using *1 2 asymmetric-var comp-inf.mult-isotone* **by** *blast*
finally have $\downarrow: (z \sqcap y) * (z \sqcap y^T) = \text{bot}$
by (*simp add: le-bot*)
have $z^+ = (z \sqcap y)^+ \sqcup (z \sqcap y^T)^+ \sqcup (z \sqcap y^T)^+ * (z \sqcap y)^+$
using *3 4 plus-separate-comp-bot* **by** *fastforce*
also have $\dots \leq y^+ \sqcup (z \sqcap y^T)^+ \sqcup (z \sqcap y^T)^+ * (z \sqcap y)^+$
using *comp-isotone semiring.add-right-mono star-isotone* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots \leq y^+ \sqcup y^{T+} \sqcup (z \sqcap y^T)^+ * (z \sqcap y)^+$
using *comp-isotone semiring.add-left-mono semiring.add-right-mono*
star-isotone **by** *auto*
also have $\dots \leq -1 \sqcup (z \sqcap y^T)^+ * (z \sqcap y)^+$
by (*smt 1 conv-complement conv-isotone conv-plus-commute inf.absorb2*
inf.orderE order-conv-closed order-one-closed semiring.add-right-mono
sup.absorb1)
also have $\dots = -1$
proof –
have $(z \sqcap y^T)^+ * (z \sqcap y)^+ \leq (z \sqcap y^T) * \text{top} * (z \sqcap y)^+$
using *comp-isotone* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots \leq (z \sqcap y^T) * \text{top} * (z \sqcap y)$
by (*metis inf.eq-refl star.circ-left-top star-plus mult-assoc*)
also have $\dots \leq -1$
by (*metis 4 bot-least comp-commute-below-diversity inf.absorb2*
pseudo-complement schroeder-1 mult-assoc)
finally show *?thesis*
using *sup.absorb1* **by** *blast*
qed
finally show *acyclic z*
by *simp*
qed
thus *acyclic-1 x \wedge injectively-orientable x*
using *1 injectively-orientable-def acyclic-asymmetric* **by** *blast*
next
assume *acyclic-1 x \wedge injectively-orientable x*
thus *acyclic-6 x*
using *acyclic-6-def acyclic-1-def injectively-orientable-def* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *acyclic-6-symmetric:*
acyclic-6 x \implies symmetric x
by (*simp add: acyclic-6-1-injectively-orientable injectively-orientable-orientable*
orientable-symmetric)

lemma *acyclic-6-irreflexive:*
acyclic-6 x \implies irreflexive x
by (*simp add: acyclic-6-1-injectively-orientable injectively-orientable-orientable*
orientable-irreflexive)

lemma *acyclic-4-irreflexive:*

acyclic-4 $x \implies$ *irreflexive* x
by (*metis acyclic-4-def bot-least inf.absorb2 inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc p-bot pseudo-complement star.circ-reflexive*)

Theorem 6.4

lemma *acyclic-2-implies-1*:
acyclic-2 $x \implies$ *acyclic-1* x
using *acyclic-2-def acyclic-1-def* **by** *auto*

Theorem 8

lemma *acyclic-4a-4b*:
acyclic-4a $x \iff$ *acyclic-4b* x
using *acyclic-4a-def acyclic-4b-def eq-iff star.circ-increasing* **by** *auto*

Theorem 7

lemma *acyclic-3a-3b*:
acyclic-3a $x \iff$ *acyclic-3b* x
by (*metis acyclic-3a-def acyclic-3b-def antisym star.circ-increasing star-involutive star-isotone*)

Theorem 7

lemma *acyclic-3a-3c*:
assumes *irreflexive* x
shows *acyclic-3a* $x \iff$ *acyclic-3c* x
proof
assume *acyclic-3a* x
thus *acyclic-3c* x
by (*meson acyclic-3a-def acyclic-3c-def order-lesseq-imp star.left-plus-below-circ*)
next
assume 1: *acyclic-3c* x
show *acyclic-3a* x
proof (*unfold acyclic-3a-def, rule allI, rule impI*)
fix y
assume $y \leq x \wedge x \leq y^*$
hence $y \leq x \wedge x \leq y^+$
by (*metis assms inf.order-lesseq-imp le-infI p-inf-sup-below star-left-unfold-equal*)
thus $y = x$
using 1 *acyclic-3c-def* **by** *blast*
qed
qed

Theorem 7

lemma *acyclic-3c-3d*:
shows *acyclic-3c* $x \iff$ *acyclic-3d* x
proof –
have $\bigwedge y z . y \leq z \wedge z \leq y^+ \iff y \leq z \wedge y^+ = z^+$
apply (*rule iffI*)

apply (*smt comp-associative plus-sup star.circ-transitive-equal*
star.left-plus-circ sup-absorb1 sup-absorb2)
by (*simp add: star.circ-mult-increasing*)
thus *?thesis*
by (*simp add: acyclic-3c-def acyclic-3d-def*)
qed

Theorem 8

lemma *acyclic-4a-implies-3a*:
acyclic-4a x \implies acyclic-3a x
using *acyclic-4a-def acyclic-3a-def inf.absorb1* **by** *auto*

lemma *acyclic-4a-implies-4*:
acyclic-4a x \implies acyclic-4 x
by (*simp add: acyclic-4-def acyclic-4a-4b acyclic-4b-def pp-increasing*)

lemma *acyclic-4b-implies-4c*:
acyclic-4b x \implies acyclic-4c x
by (*simp add: acyclic-4b-def acyclic-4c-def inf.sup-relative-same-increasing*)

Theorem 8.5

lemma *acyclic-4-implies-2*:
assumes *symmetric x*
shows *acyclic-4 x \implies acyclic-2 x*
proof –
assume *1: acyclic-4 x*
show *acyclic-2 x*
proof (*unfold acyclic-2-def, rule allI, rule impI*)
fix *y*
assume *2: y \leq x \wedge asymmetric y*
hence *y^T \leq x \sqcap \neg y*
using *assms conv-inf-bot-iff conv-isotone pseudo-complement* **by** *force*
hence *y^{*} \sqcap y^T \leq y^{*} \sqcap x \sqcap \neg y*
using *dual-order.trans* **by** *auto*
also have *... \leq \neg \neg y \sqcap \neg y*
using *1 2* **by** (*metis inf commute acyclic-4-def comp-inf.mult-left-isotone*)
finally show *acyclic y*
by (*simp add: acyclic-star-below-complement-1 le-bot*)
qed
qed

Theorem 10.3

lemma *acyclic-6-implies-4a*:
acyclic-6 x \implies acyclic-4a x
proof –
assume *acyclic-6 x*
from this obtain y where *1: y \sqcup y^T = x \wedge acyclic y \wedge injective y*
using *acyclic-6-def* **by** *auto*
show *acyclic-4a x*

proof (*unfold acyclic-4a-def, rule allI, rule impI*)
fix z
assume $z \leq x$
hence $z = (z \sqcap y) \sqcup (z \sqcap y^T)$
using 1 by (*metis inf.orderE inf-sup-distrib1*)
hence 2: $z^* = (z \sqcap y^T)^* * (z \sqcap y)^*$
using 1 by (*metis cancel-separate-2*)
hence $x \sqcap z^* = (y \sqcap (z \sqcap y^T)^* * (z \sqcap y)^*) \sqcup (y^T \sqcap (z \sqcap y^T)^* * (z \sqcap y)^*)$
using 1 inf-sup-distrib2 by auto
also have $\dots \leq z$
proof (*rule sup-least*)
have $y \sqcap (z \sqcap y^T)^* * (z \sqcap y)^* = (y \sqcap (z \sqcap y^T)^*) \sqcup (y \sqcap z^* * (z \sqcap y))$
using 2 by (*metis inf-sup-distrib1 star.circ-back-loop-fixpoint sup-commute*)
also have $\dots \leq (y \sqcap y^{T*}) \sqcup (y \sqcap z^* * (z \sqcap y))$
using *inf.sup-right-isotone semiring.add-right-mono star-isotone* **by auto**
also have $\dots = y \sqcap z^* * (z \sqcap y)$
using 1 by (*metis acyclic-star-below-complement bot-least*
inf.sup-monoid.add-commute pseudo-complement sup.absorb2)
also have $\dots \leq (z^* \sqcap y * (z \sqcap y^T)^*) * (z \sqcap y)$
using *dedekind-2 inf-commute* **by auto**
also have $\dots \leq y * y^T * z$
by (*simp add: conv-isotone inf.coboundedI2 mult-isotone*)
also have $\dots \leq z$
using 1 mult-left-isotone **by fastforce**
finally show $y \sqcap (z \sqcap y^T)^* * (z \sqcap y)^* \leq z$
 \cdot
have $y^T \sqcap (z \sqcap y^T)^* * (z \sqcap y)^* = (y^T \sqcap (z \sqcap y)^*) \sqcup (y^T \sqcap (z \sqcap y^T)^* * z^*)$
using 2 by (*metis inf-sup-distrib1 star.circ-loop-fixpoint sup-commute*)
also have $\dots \leq (y^T \sqcap y^*) \sqcup (y^T \sqcap (z \sqcap y^T)^* * z^*)$
using *inf.sup-right-isotone semiring.add-right-mono star-isotone* **by auto**
also have $\dots = y^T \sqcap (z \sqcap y^T)^* * z^*$
using 1 acyclic-star-below-complement-1 inf-commute **by auto**
also have $\dots \leq (z \sqcap y^T)^* * (z^* \sqcap (z \sqcap y^T)^T * y^T)$
using *dedekind-1 inf-commute* **by auto**
also have $\dots \leq z * y * y^T$
by (*simp add: comp-associative comp-isotone conv-dist-inf inf.coboundedI2*)
also have $\dots \leq z$
using 1 mult-right-isotone mult-assoc **by fastforce**
finally show $y^T \sqcap (z \sqcap y^T)^* * (z \sqcap y)^* \leq z$
 \cdot
qed
finally show $x \sqcap z^* \leq z$
 \cdot
qed
qed

Theorem 1.10

lemma *top-injective-inf-complement:*

assumes *injective x*

shows $top * (x \sqcap y) \sqcap top * (x \sqcap -y) = bot$
proof –
have $(x \sqcap -y) * (x^T \sqcap y^T) \leq -1$
by (*metis conv-dist-inf inf.cobounded2 inf-left-idem mult-left-one p-shunting-swap schroeder-4-p*)
hence $(x \sqcap -y) * (x^T \sqcap y^T) = bot$
by (*smt assms comp-isotone coreflexive-comp-inf coreflexive-idempotent coreflexive-symmetric dual-order.trans inf.cobounded1 strict-order-var*)
thus *?thesis*
by (*simp add: conv-dist-inf schroeder-2 mult-assoc*)
qed

lemma *top-injective-inf-complement-2:*

assumes *injective x*
shows $(x^T \sqcap y) * top \sqcap (x^T \sqcap -y) * top = bot$
by (*smt assms top-injective-inf-complement conv-dist-comp conv-dist-inf conv-involutive conv-complement conv-top conv-bot*)

Theorem 10.3

lemma *acyclic-6-implies-5a:*

acyclic-6 x \implies acyclic-5a x

proof –

assume *acyclic-6 x*
from this obtain y where 1: $y \sqcup y^T = x \wedge acyclic\ y \wedge injective\ y$
using *acyclic-6-def by auto*
show *acyclic-5a x*
proof (*unfold acyclic-5a-def, rule allI, rule impI*)
fix z
assume $z \leq x$
hence 2: $z = (z \sqcap y) \sqcup (z \sqcap y^T)$
by (*metis 1 inf.orderE inf-sup-distrib1*)
hence 3: $z^* = (z \sqcap y^T)^* * (z \sqcap y)^*$
by (*metis 1 cancel-separate-2*)
have $(x \sqcap -z)^* = ((y \sqcap -z) \sqcup (y^T \sqcap -z))^*$
using 1 inf-sup-distrib2 by auto
also have $\dots = (y^T \sqcap -z)^* * (y \sqcap -z)^*$
using 1 cancel-separate-2 inf-commute by auto
finally have $z^* \sqcap (x \sqcap -z)^* = (y^T \sqcap z)^* * (y \sqcap z)^* \sqcap (y^T \sqcap -z)^* * (y \sqcap -z)^*$
using 3 inf-commute by simp
also have $\dots = ((y \sqcap z)^* \sqcap (y^T \sqcap -z)^* * (y \sqcap -z)^*) \sqcup ((y^T \sqcap z)^+ * (y \sqcap z)^* \sqcap (y^T \sqcap -z)^* * (y \sqcap -z)^*)$
by (*smt inf.sup-monoid.add-commute inf-sup-distrib1 star.circ-loop-fixpoint sup-commute mult-assoc*)
also have $\dots = (1 \sqcap (y^T \sqcap -z)^* * (y \sqcap -z)^*) \sqcup ((y \sqcap z)^+ \sqcap (y^T \sqcap -z)^* * (y \sqcap -z)^*) \sqcup ((y^T \sqcap z)^+ * (y \sqcap z)^* \sqcap (y^T \sqcap -z)^* * (y \sqcap -z)^*)$
by (*metis inf-sup-distrib2 star-left-unfold-equal*)
also have $\dots \leq 1$
proof (*intro sup-least*)
show $1 \sqcap (y^T \sqcap -z)^* * (y \sqcap -z)^* \leq 1$

by simp
have $(y \sqcap z)^+ \sqcap (y^T \sqcap -z)^* * (y \sqcap -z)^* = ((y \sqcap z)^+ \sqcap (y^T \sqcap -z)^*) \sqcup ((y \sqcap z)^+ \sqcap (y^T \sqcap -z)^* * (y \sqcap -z)^+)$
by (*metis inf-sup-distrib1 star.circ-back-loop-fixpoint star.circ-plus-same sup-commute mult-assoc*)
also have $\dots \leq \text{bot}$
proof (*rule sup-least*)
have $(y \sqcap z)^+ \sqcap (y^T \sqcap -z)^* \leq y^+ \sqcap y^{T*}$
by (*meson comp-inf.mult-isotone comp-isotone inf.cobounded1 star-isotone*)
also have $\dots = \text{bot}$
using 1 by (*smt acyclic-star-inf-conv inf.orderE inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc pseudo-complement star.left-plus-below-circ*)
finally show $(y \sqcap z)^+ \sqcap (y^T \sqcap -z)^* \leq \text{bot}$
 \cdot
have $(y \sqcap z)^+ \sqcap (y^T \sqcap -z)^* * (y \sqcap -z)^+ \leq \text{top} * (y \sqcap z) \sqcap \text{top} * (y \sqcap -z)$
by (*metis comp-associative comp-inf.mult-isotone star.circ-left-top star.circ-plus-same top-left-mult-increasing*)
also have $\dots = \text{bot}$
using 1 by (*simp add: top-injective-inf-complement*)
finally show $(y \sqcap z)^+ \sqcap (y^T \sqcap -z)^* * (y \sqcap -z)^+ \leq \text{bot}$
 \cdot
qed
finally show $(y \sqcap z)^+ \sqcap (y^T \sqcap -z)^* * (y \sqcap -z)^* \leq 1$
using *bot-least le-bot* **by** *blast*
have $(y^T \sqcap z)^+ * (y \sqcap z)^* \sqcap (y^T \sqcap -z)^* * (y \sqcap -z)^* = ((y^T \sqcap z)^+ * (y \sqcap z)^* \sqcap (y^T \sqcap -z)^* * (y \sqcap -z)^*) \sqcup ((y^T \sqcap z)^+ * (y \sqcap z)^* \sqcap (y^T \sqcap -z)^+ * (y \sqcap -z)^*)$
by (*metis inf-sup-distrib1 star.circ-loop-fixpoint sup-commute mult-assoc*)
also have $\dots = ((y^T \sqcap z)^+ * (y \sqcap z)^* \sqcap 1) \sqcup ((y^T \sqcap z)^+ * (y \sqcap z)^* \sqcap (y \sqcap -z)^+) \sqcup ((y^T \sqcap z)^+ * (y \sqcap z)^* \sqcap (y^T \sqcap -z)^+ * (y \sqcap -z)^*)$
by (*metis inf-sup-distrib1 star-left-unfold-equal*)
also have $\dots \leq 1$
proof (*intro sup-least*)
show $(y^T \sqcap z)^+ * (y \sqcap z)^* \sqcap 1 \leq 1$
by simp
have $(y^T \sqcap z)^+ * (y \sqcap z)^* \sqcap (y \sqcap -z)^+ = ((y^T \sqcap z)^+ \sqcap (y \sqcap -z)^+) \sqcup ((y^T \sqcap z)^+ * (y \sqcap z)^+ \sqcap (y \sqcap -z)^+)$
by (*smt inf.sup-monoid.add-commute inf-sup-distrib1 star.circ-back-loop-fixpoint star.circ-plus-same sup-commute mult-assoc*)
also have $\dots \leq \text{bot}$
proof (*rule sup-least*)
have $(y^T \sqcap z)^+ \sqcap (y \sqcap -z)^+ \leq y^{T+} \sqcap y^+$
by (*meson comp-inf.mult-isotone comp-isotone inf.cobounded1 star-isotone*)
also have $\dots = \text{bot}$
using 1 by (*metis acyclic-asymmetric conv-inf-bot-iff conv-plus-commute star-sup-1 sup.idem mult-assoc*)
finally show $(y^T \sqcap z)^+ \sqcap (y \sqcap -z)^+ \leq \text{bot}$
 \cdot

have $(y^T \sqcap z)^+ * (y \sqcap z)^+ \sqcap (y \sqcap -z)^+ \leq \text{top} * (y \sqcap z) \sqcap \text{top} * (y \sqcap -z)$
by (*smt comp-inf.mult-isotone comp-isotone inf.cobounded1 inf.orderE*
star.circ-plus-same top.extremum mult-assoc)
also have $\dots = \text{bot}$
using 1 **by** (*simp add: top-injective-inf-complement*)
finally show $(y^T \sqcap z)^+ * (y \sqcap z)^+ \sqcap (y \sqcap -z)^+ \leq \text{bot}$
qed
finally show $(y^T \sqcap z)^+ * (y \sqcap z)^* \sqcap (y \sqcap -z)^+ \leq 1$
using *bot-least le-bot* **by** *blast*
have $(y^T \sqcap z)^+ * (y \sqcap z)^* \sqcap (y^T \sqcap -z)^+ * (y \sqcap -z)^* \leq (y^T \sqcap z) * \text{top} \sqcap$
 $(y^T \sqcap -z) * \text{top}$
by (*smt comp-inf.mult-isotone comp-isotone eq-iff top.extremum*
mult-assoc)
also have $\dots = \text{bot}$
using 1 **by** (*simp add: top-injective-inf-complement-2*)
finally show $(y^T \sqcap z)^+ * (y \sqcap z)^* \sqcap (y^T \sqcap -z)^+ * (y \sqcap -z)^* \leq 1$
using *bot-least le-bot* **by** *blast*
qed
finally show $(y^T \sqcap z)^+ * (y \sqcap z)^* \sqcap (y^T \sqcap -z)^* * (y \sqcap -z)^* \leq 1$
qed
finally show $z^* \sqcap (x \sqcap -z)^* = 1$
by (*simp add: antisym star.circ-reflexive*)
qed
qed

Theorem 9.7

lemma *acyclic-5b-implies-4*:
assumes *irreflexive x*
and *acyclic-5b x*
shows *acyclic-4 x*
proof (*unfold acyclic-4-def, rule allI, rule impI*)
fix y
assume $y \leq x$
hence $y^* \sqcap (x \sqcap -y)^+ \leq 1$
using *acyclic-5b-def assms(2)* **by** *blast*
hence $y^* \sqcap x \sqcap -y \leq 1$
by (*smt inf.sup-left-divisibility inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc*
star.circ-mult-increasing)
hence $y^* \sqcap x \sqcap -y = \text{bot}$
by (*smt assms(1) comp-inf.semiring.mult-zero-left inf.orderE*
inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc inf.sup-monoid.add-commute pseudo-complement)
thus $x \sqcap y^* \leq -y$
using *inf.sup-monoid.add-commute pseudo-complement* **by** *fastforce*
qed

Theorem 9

lemma *acyclic-5a-5b*:

acyclic-5a $x \longleftrightarrow$ *acyclic-5b* x
by (*simp add: acyclic-5a-def acyclic-5b-def star.circ-reflexive*
reflexive-inf-plus-star)

Theorem 9

lemma *acyclic-5a-5c*:

acyclic-5a $x \longleftrightarrow$ *acyclic-5c* x
by (*metis acyclic-5a-def acyclic-5c-def inf-commute star.circ-reflexive*
reflexive-inf-plus-star)

Theorem 9

lemma *acyclic-5b-5d*:

acyclic-5b $x \longleftrightarrow$ *acyclic-5d* x
proof –
have *acyclic-5b* $x \longleftrightarrow (\forall y . y \leq x \longrightarrow (y^+ \sqcup 1) \sqcap (x \sqcap -y)^+ \leq 1)$
by (*simp add: acyclic-5b-def star-left-unfold-equal sup-commute*)
also have ... \longleftrightarrow *acyclic-5d* x
by (*simp add: inf-sup-distrib2 acyclic-5d-def*)
finally show *?thesis*

qed

lemma *acyclic-5a-5e*:

acyclic-5a $x \longleftrightarrow$ *acyclic-5e* x
proof
assume *1: acyclic-5a* x
show *acyclic-5e* x
proof (*unfold acyclic-5e-def, intro allI, rule impI*)
fix $y z$
assume *2: $y \leq x \wedge z \leq x \wedge y \sqcap z = \text{bot}$*
hence $z \leq x \sqcap -y$
using *p-antitone-iff pseudo-complement* **by** *auto*
hence $y^* \sqcap z^* \leq 1$
using *1 2* **by** (*metis acyclic-5a-def comp-inf.mult-isotone inf.cobounded1*
inf.right-idem star-isotone)
thus $y^* \sqcap z^* = 1$
by (*simp add: antisym star.circ-reflexive*)

qed

next

assume *1: acyclic-5e* x
show *acyclic-5a* x
proof (*unfold acyclic-5a-def, rule allI, rule impI*)
fix y
let $?z = x \sqcap -y$
assume *2: $y \leq x$*
have $y \sqcap ?z = \text{bot}$
by (*simp add: inf.left-commute*)
thus $y^* \sqcap ?z^* = 1$
using *1 2* **by** (*simp add: acyclic-5e-def*)

qed
qed

Theorem 9

lemma *acyclic-5e-5f*:
acyclic-5e $x \longleftrightarrow$ *acyclic-5f* x
by (*simp add: acyclic-5e-def acyclic-5f-def*)

lemma *acyclic-5e-down-closed*:
assumes $x \leq y$
and *acyclic-5e* y
shows *acyclic-5e* x
using *assms acyclic-5e-def order.trans* **by** *blast*

lemma *acyclic-5a-down-closed*:
assumes $x \leq y$
and *acyclic-5a* y
shows *acyclic-5a* x
using *acyclic-5e-down-closed assms acyclic-5a-5e* **by** *blast*

further variants of the existence of a linear order

abbreviation *linear-orderable-4* $x \equiv$ *transitive* $x \wedge$ *acyclic* $x \wedge$ *strict-linear* x
abbreviation *linear-orderable-5* $x \equiv$ *transitive* $x \wedge$ *acyclic* $x \wedge$ *linear* (x^*)
abbreviation *linear-orderable-6* $x \equiv$ *acyclic* $x \wedge$ *linear* (x^*)
abbreviation *linear-orderable-7* $x \equiv$ *split 1* (x^*) *top*
abbreviation *linear-orderable-8* $x \equiv$ *split bot* (x^+) (-1)

lemma *linear-orderable-3-4*:
linear-orderable-3 $x \longleftrightarrow$ *linear-orderable-4* x
using *transitive-acyclic-asymmetric* **by** *blast*

lemma *linear-orderable-5-implies-6*:
linear-orderable-5 $x \implies$ *linear-orderable-6* x
by *simp*

lemma *linear-orderable-6-implies-3*:

assumes *linear-orderable-6* x
shows *linear-orderable-3* (x^+)

proof –

have 1: *transitive* (x^+)

by (*simp add: comp-associative mult-isotone star.circ-mult-upper-bound star.left-plus-below-circ*)

have 2: *asymmetric* (x^+)

by (*simp add: assms acyclic-asymmetric star.circ-transitive-equal star.left-plus-circ mult-assoc*)

have 3: *strict-linear* (x^+)

by (*smt assms acyclic-star-inf-conv conv-star-commute inf.sup-monoid.add-commute inf-absorb2 maddux-3-13 orientable-11-implies-12 star-left-unfold-equal*)

show *?thesis*
using 1 2 3 **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *linear-orderable-7-implies-1:*
linear-orderable-7 $x \implies$ *linear-orderable-1* (x^*)
using *star.circ-transitive-equal* **by** *auto*

lemma *linear-orderable-6-implies-8:*
linear-orderable-6 $x \implies$ *linear-orderable-8* x
by (*simp add: linear-orderable-6-implies-3*)

abbreviation *path-orderable* $x \equiv$ *univalent* $x \wedge$ *injective* $x \wedge$ *acyclic* $x \wedge$ *linear* (x^*)

lemma *path-orderable-implies-linear-orderable-6:*
path-orderable $x \implies$ *linear-orderable-6* x
by *simp*

definition *simple-paths* $x \equiv \exists y . y \sqcup y^T = x \wedge$ *acyclic* $y \wedge$ *injective* $y \wedge$ *univalent* y

Theorem 14.1

lemma *simple-paths-acyclic-6:*
simple-paths $x \implies$ *acyclic-6* x
using *simple-paths-def acyclic-6-def* **by** *blast*

Theorem 14.2

lemma *simple-paths-transitively-orientable:*
assumes *simple-paths* x
shows *transitively-orientable* ($x^+ \sqcap -1$)

proof –

from *assms* **obtain** y **where** 1: $y \sqcup y^T = x \wedge$ *acyclic* $y \wedge$ *injective* $y \wedge$ *univalent* y

using *simple-paths-def* **by** *auto*

let $?y = y^+$

have 2: *transitive* $?y$

by (*simp add: comp-associative mult-right-isotone star.circ-mult-upper-bound star.left-plus-below-circ*)

have 3: *asymmetric* $?y$

using 1 *acyclic-plus-asymmetric* **by** *auto*

have $?y \sqcup ?y^T = x^+ \sqcap -1$

proof (*rule antisym*)

have 4: $?y \leq x^+$

using 1 *comp-isotone star-isotone* **by** *auto*

hence $?y^T \leq x^+$

using 1 **by** (*metis conv-dist-sup conv-involutive conv-order conv-plus-commute sup-commute*)

thus $?y \sqcup ?y^T \leq x^+ \sqcap -1$

using 1 4 **by** (*simp add: irreflexive-conv-closed*)
have $x^+ \leq y^* \sqcup y^{*T}$
using 1 **by** (*metis cancel-separate-1-sup conv-star-commute*
star.left-plus-below-circ)
also have $\dots = ?y \sqcup ?y^T \sqcup 1$
by (*smt conv-plus-commute conv-star-commute star.circ-reflexive*
star.left-unfold-equal sup.absorb1 sup-assoc sup-monoid.add-commute)
finally show $x^+ \sqcap -1 \leq ?y \sqcup ?y^T$
by (*metis inf.order-lesseq-imp inf.sup-monoid.add-commute*
inf.sup-right-isotone p-inf-sup-below sup-commute)
qed
thus *?thesis*
using 2 3 *transitively-orientable-def* **by** *auto*
qed

abbreviation *spanning* $x \ y \equiv y \leq x \wedge x \leq (y \sqcup y^T)^* \wedge \text{acyclic } y \wedge \text{injective } y$
definition *spannable* $x \equiv \exists y . \text{spanning } x \ y$

lemma *acyclic-6-implies-spannable*:
acyclic-6 $x \implies \text{spannable } x$
by (*metis acyclic-6-def star.circ-increasing sup.cobounded1 spannable-def*)

lemma *acyclic-3a-spannable-implies-6*:
assumes *acyclic-3a* x
and *spannable* x
and *symmetric* x
shows *acyclic-6* x
by (*smt acyclic-6-def acyclic-3a-def assms conv-isotone le-supI spannable-def*)

Theorem 10.3

lemma *acyclic-6-implies-3a*:
acyclic-6 $x \implies \text{acyclic-3a } x$
by (*simp add: acyclic-6-implies-4a acyclic-4a-implies-3a*)

Theorem 10.3

lemma *acyclic-6-implies-2*:
acyclic-6 $x \implies \text{acyclic-2 } x$
by (*simp add: acyclic-6-implies-4a acyclic-6-symmetric acyclic-4-implies-2*
acyclic-4a-implies-4)

Theorem 11

lemma *acyclic-6-3a-spannable*:
acyclic-6 $x \iff \text{symmetric } x \wedge \text{spannable } x \wedge \text{acyclic-3a } x$
using *acyclic-6-implies-3a acyclic-3a-spannable-implies-6*
acyclic-6-implies-spannable acyclic-6-symmetric **by** *blast*

end

context *stone-kleene-relation-algebra*

begin

Theorem 11.3

lemma *point-spanning*:

assumes *point* p

shows *spanning* (-1) $(p \sqcap -1)$

spannable (-1)

proof –

let $?y = p \sqcap -1$

have 1 : *injective* $?y$

by (*simp add: assms injective-inf-closed*)

have $?y * ?y \leq -1$

using *assms cancel-separate-5 inf.sup-monoid.add-commute vector-inf-comp*

by *auto*

hence 2 : *transitive* $?y$

by (*simp add: assms vector-inf-comp*)

hence 3 : *acyclic* $?y$

by (*simp add: transitive-acyclic-irreflexive*)

have 4 : $p \leq ?y \sqcup 1$

by (*simp add: regular-complement-top sup-commute sup-inf-distrib1*)

have $top = p^T * p$

using *assms inf.eq-iff shunt-bijective top-greatest vector-conv-covector* **by** *blast*

also have $\dots \leq (?y \sqcup 1)^T * (?y \sqcup 1)$

using 4 **by** (*simp add: conv-isotone mult-isotone*)

also have $\dots = (?y \sqcup ?y^T)^*$

using 1 2 **by** (*smt antisym cancel-separate-1 conv-star-commute*

star.circ-mult-1 star.circ-mult-increasing star.right-plus-circ

star-right-induct-mult sup-commute)

finally have $-1 \leq (?y \sqcup ?y^T)^*$

using *top.extremum top-le* **by** *blast*

thus *spanning* (-1) $(p \sqcap -1)$

using 1 3 *inf.cobounded2* **by** *blast*

thus *spannable* (-1)

using *spannable-def* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *irreflexive-star*:

$(x \sqcap -1)^* = x^*$

proof –

have 1 : $x \sqcap 1 \leq (x \sqcap -1)^*$

by (*simp add: le-infI2 star.circ-reflexive*)

have $x \sqcap -1 \leq (x \sqcap -1)^*$

by (*simp add: star.circ-increasing*)

hence $x \leq (x \sqcap -1)^*$

using 1 **by** (*smt maddux-3-11-pp regular-one-closed sup.absorb-iff1 sup-assoc*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*metis antisym inf.cobounded1 star-involutive star-isotone*)

qed

Theorem 6.5

```

lemma acyclic-2-1:
  assumes orientable x
  shows acyclic-2 x  $\longleftrightarrow$  acyclic-1 x
proof
  assume acyclic-2 x
  thus acyclic-1 x
    using acyclic-2-implies-1 by blast
next
  assume 1: acyclic-1 x
  obtain y where 2: orientation x y  $\wedge$  symmetric x
    using assms orientable-def orientable-symmetric by blast
  show acyclic-2 x
  proof (unfold acyclic-2-def, rule allI, rule impI)
    fix z
    assume 3: z  $\leq$  x  $\wedge$  asymmetric z
    let ?z =  $(\neg\neg z \sqcap x) \sqcup (\neg(z \sqcup z^T) \sqcap y)$ 
    have orientation x ?z
    proof
      have ?z  $\sqcup$  ?zT =  $((\neg\neg z \sqcup \neg\neg z^T) \sqcap x) \sqcup (\neg(z \sqcup z^T) \sqcap (y \sqcup y^T))$ 
        by (smt 2 3 comp-inf.semiring.combine-common-factor conv-complement
conv-dist-inf conv-dist-sup inf-sup-distrib1 orientation-symmetric
sup.left-commute sup-assoc)
      also have ... = x
        by (metis 2 inf-commute maddux-3-11-pp pp-dist-sup
sup-monoid.add-commute)
      finally show ?z  $\sqcup$  ?zT = x
    .
    have ?z  $\sqcap$  ?zT =  $((\neg\neg z \sqcap x) \sqcup (\neg(z \sqcup z^T) \sqcap y)) \sqcap ((\neg\neg z^T \sqcap x) \sqcup (\neg(z \sqcup z^T) \sqcap y^T))$ 
      by (simp add: 2 conv-complement conv-dist-inf conv-dist-sup
inf.sup-monoid.add-commute)
    also have ... =  $((\neg\neg z \sqcap x) \sqcap (\neg\neg z^T \sqcap x)) \sqcup ((\neg\neg z \sqcap x) \sqcap (\neg(z \sqcup z^T) \sqcap y^T)) \sqcup ((\neg(z \sqcup z^T) \sqcap y) \sqcap (\neg\neg z^T \sqcap x)) \sqcup ((\neg(z \sqcup z^T) \sqcap y) \sqcap (\neg(z \sqcup z^T) \sqcap y^T))$ 
      by (smt comp-inf.semiring.distrib-left inf-sup-distrib2 sup-assoc)
    also have ... = bot
      by (smt 2 3 inf.cobounded1 inf.left-commute inf.orderE p-dist-sup
pseudo-complement sup.absorb-iff1)
    finally show ?z  $\sqcap$  ?zT = bot
  .
  qed
  hence 4: acyclic ?z
    using 1 acyclic-1-def by auto
  have z  $\leq$  ?z
    by (simp add: 3 le-supI1 pp-increasing)
  thus acyclic z
    using 4 comp-isotone star-isotone by fastforce
  qed
qed

```

Theorem 8

lemma *acyclic-4-4c*:

acyclic-4 $x \longleftrightarrow$ *acyclic-4c* x

proof

assume 1: *acyclic-4* x

show *acyclic-4c* x

proof (*unfold acyclic-4c-def*, *rule allI*, *rule impI*)

fix y

assume 2: $y \leq x$

have $x \sqcap (x \sqcap -y)^* \leq \neg\neg(x \sqcap -y)$

using 1 *acyclic-4-def inf.cobounded1* **by** *blast*

also have $\dots \leq -y$

by *simp*

finally have $x \sqcap y \sqcap (x \sqcap -y)^* = \text{bot}$

by (*simp add: p-shunting-swap pseudo-complement*)

thus $y \sqcap (x \sqcap -y)^* = \text{bot}$

using 2 *inf-absorb2* **by** *auto*

qed

next

assume 3: *acyclic-4c* x

show *acyclic-4* x

proof (*unfold acyclic-4-def*, *rule allI*, *rule impI*)

fix y

assume 4: $y \leq x$

have $x \sqcap -y \sqcap (x \sqcap -(x \sqcap -y))^* = \text{bot}$

using 3 *acyclic-4c-def inf-le1* **by** *blast*

hence $x \sqcap -y \sqcap (x \sqcap \neg\neg y)^* = \text{bot}$

using *inf-import-p* **by** *auto*

hence $x \sqcap -y \sqcap (x \sqcap y)^* = \text{bot}$

by (*smt p-inf-pp pp-dist-star pp-pp-inf-bot-iff*)

hence $x \sqcap -y \sqcap y^* = \text{bot}$

using 4 *inf-absorb2* **by** *auto*

thus $x \sqcap y^* \leq \neg\neg y$

using *p-shunting-swap pseudo-complement* **by** *auto*

qed

qed

Theorem 9

lemma *acyclic-5f-5g*:

acyclic-5f $x \longleftrightarrow$ *acyclic-5g* x

proof

assume *acyclic-5f* x

thus *acyclic-5g* x

using *acyclic-5f-def acyclic-5g-def* **by** *auto*

next

assume 1: *acyclic-5g* x

show *acyclic-5f* x

proof (*unfold acyclic-5f-def*, *intro allI*, *rule impI*)

fix $y z$

```

let ?y = x  $\sqcap$  --y
let ?z = x  $\sqcap$  -y
assume y  $\sqcup$  z  $\leq$  x  $\wedge$  y  $\sqcap$  z = bot
hence y  $\leq$  ?y  $\wedge$  z  $\leq$  ?z
  using inf.sup-monoid.add-commute pseudo-complement by fastforce
hence y*  $\sqcap$  z*  $\leq$  ?y*  $\sqcap$  ?z*
  using comp-inf.mult-isotone star-isotone by blast
also have ... = 1
  using 1 by (simp add: acyclic-5g-def inf.left-commute
inf.sup-monoid.add-commute maddux-3-11-pp)
  finally show y*  $\sqcap$  z* = 1
    by (simp add: antisym star.circ-reflexive)
qed
qed

```

lemma *linear-orderable-3-implies-5:*

```

assumes linear-orderable-3 x
shows linear-orderable-5 x
proof -
  have top = x  $\sqcup$  xT  $\sqcup$  1
    using assms conv-dist-sup orientable-12-implies-11 sup-assoc sup-commute by
fastforce
  also have ...  $\leq$  x*  $\sqcup$  x*T
    by (smt conv-star-commute star.circ-increasing star-sup-one sup-assoc
sup-commute sup-mono)
  finally show ?thesis
    by (simp add: assms top-le transitive-acyclic-asymmetric)
qed

```

lemma *linear-orderable-8-implies-7:*

```

linear-orderable-8 x  $\implies$  linear-orderable-7 x
using orientable-12-implies-11 star-left-unfold-equal sup-commute by fastforce

```

Theorem 13

lemma *exists-split-characterisations-2:*

```

shows ( $\exists$  x . linear-orderable-1 x)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  x . linear-orderable-4 x)
and ( $\exists$  x . linear-orderable-1 x)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  x . linear-orderable-5 x)
and ( $\exists$  x . linear-orderable-1 x)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  x . linear-orderable-6 x)
and ( $\exists$  x . linear-orderable-1 x)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  x . linear-orderable-7 x)
and ( $\exists$  x . linear-orderable-1 x)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  x . linear-orderable-8 x)
subgoal 1 using exists-split-characterisations(1) strict-order-transitive-acyclic
by auto
subgoal 2 using 1 linear-orderable-3-implies-5 linear-orderable-6-implies-3
transitive-acyclic-asymmetric by auto
subgoal 3 using 2 exists-split-characterisations(1) linear-orderable-6-implies-3
by auto
subgoal using 2 linear-orderable-8-implies-7 linear-orderable-6-implies-3
linear-orderable-7-implies-1 by blast
subgoal using 3 linear-orderable-8-implies-7 asymmetric-irreflexive

```

linear-orderable-6-implies-3 **by blast**
done

end

2.3 Arc axiom

class *stone-kleene-relation-algebra-arc* = *stone-kleene-relation-algebra* +
assumes *arc-axiom*: $x \neq \text{bot} \implies \exists y . \text{arc } y \wedge y \leq \text{--}x$
begin

subclass *stone-relation-algebra-tarski*

proof *unfold-locales*

fix x

assume 1: *regular* x **and** 2: $x \neq \text{bot}$

from 2 **obtain** y **where** $\text{arc } y \wedge y \leq \text{--}x$

using *arc-axiom* **by auto**

thus $\text{top} * x * \text{top} = \text{top}$

using 1 **by** (*metis mult-assoc le-iff-sup mult-left-isotone semiring.distrib-left sup.orderE top.extremum*)

qed

context

assumes *orientable-path*: $\text{arc } x \implies x \leq \text{--}y^* \implies \exists z . z \leq y \wedge \text{asymmetric } z \wedge x \leq \text{--}z^*$

begin

Theorem 8.6

lemma *acyclic-2-4*:

assumes *irreflexive* x

and *symmetric* x

shows *acyclic-2* $x \longleftrightarrow$ *acyclic-4* x

proof

show *acyclic-2* $x \implies$ *acyclic-4* x

proof (*unfold acyclic-4-def, intro allI, intro impI, rule ccontr*)

fix y

assume 1: *acyclic-2* x **and** 2: $y \leq x$ **and** 3: $\neg x \sqcap y^* \leq \text{--}y$

hence $x \sqcap y^* \sqcap \text{--}y \neq \text{bot}$

by (*simp add: pseudo-complement*)

from this obtain z **where** 4: $\text{arc } z \wedge z \leq \text{--}(x \sqcap y^* \sqcap \text{--}y)$

using *arc-axiom* **by blast**

from this obtain w **where** 5: $w \leq y \wedge \text{asymmetric } w \wedge z \leq \text{--}w^*$

using *orientable-path* **by auto**

let $?y = w \sqcup (z^T \sqcap x)$

have 6: $?y \leq x$

using 2 5 **by auto**

have $?y \sqcap ?y^T = (w \sqcap w^T) \sqcup (w \sqcap z \sqcap x^T) \sqcup (z^T \sqcap x \sqcap w^T) \sqcup (z^T \sqcap x \sqcap z \sqcap x^T)$

by (*simp add: inf commute sup commute inf.left-commute sup.left-commute conv-dist-inf conv-dist-sup inf-sup-distrib1*)


```

also have ... ≤ bot
proof (intro sup-least)
  show  $w \sqcap w^T \leq bot$ 
    by (simp add: 5)
  have  $w \sqcap z \sqcap x^T \leq y \sqcap z$ 
    by (simp add: 5 inf.coboundedI1)
  also have ... ≤  $y \sqcap -y$ 
    using 4 by (metis eq-refl inf.cobounded1 inf.left-commute
inf.sup-monoid.add-commute inf-p order-trans pseudo-complement)
  finally show  $w \sqcap z \sqcap x^T \leq bot$ 
    by simp
  thus  $z^T \sqcap x \sqcap w^T \leq bot$ 
    by (smt conv-dist-inf conv-inf-bot-iff inf.left-commute
inf.sup-monoid.add-commute le-bot)
  have irreflexive z
    by (meson 4 assms(1) dual-order.trans irreflexive-complement-reflexive
irreflexive-inf-closed reflexive-complement-irreflexive)
  hence asymmetric z
    using 4 by (simp add: pseudo-complement irreflexive-inf-arc-asymmetric)
  thus  $z^T \sqcap x \sqcap z \sqcap x^T \leq bot$ 
    by (simp add: inf.left-commute inf.sup-monoid.add-commute)
qed
finally have acyclic ?y
  using 1 6 by (simp add: le-bot acyclic-2-def)
hence  $?y^* \sqcap ?y^T = bot$ 
  using acyclic-star-below-complement-1 by blast
hence  $w^* \sqcap ?y^T = bot$ 
  using dual-order.trans pseudo-complement star.circ-sub-dist by blast
hence  $w^* \sqcap z \sqcap x^T = bot$ 
  by (simp add: comp-inf.semiring.distrib-left conv-dist-inf conv-dist-sup
inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc)
hence  $z \sqcap x^T = bot$ 
  using 5 by (metis comp-inf.p-pp-comp inf.absorb2 pp-pp-inf-bot-iff)
hence  $z \sqcap --x = bot$ 
  using assms(2) pseudo-complement by auto
hence  $z = bot$ 
  using 4 inf.orderE by auto
thus False
  using 3 4 comp-inf.coreflexive-pseudo-complement inf-bot-right by auto
qed
next
show acyclic-4 x ⇒ acyclic-2 x
  by (simp add: assms(2) acyclic-4-implies-2)
qed
end
end

```

context *kleene-relation-algebra*
begin

[Theorem 8](#)

lemma *acyclic-3a-implies-4b*:
assumes *acyclic-3a* x
shows *acyclic-4b* x
proof (*unfold acyclic-4b-def, rule allI, rule impI*)
fix y
let $?y = (x \sqcap -y^*) \sqcup y$
assume $1: y \leq x$
have $x = (x \sqcap -y^*) \sqcup (x \sqcap y^*)$
by *simp*
also have $\dots \leq ?y \sqcup y^*$
using *shunting-var* **by** *fastforce*
also have $\dots \leq ?y^*$
by (*simp add: star.circ-increasing star.circ-sub-dist sup-commute*)
finally have $?y = x$
using 1 *assms acyclic-3a-def* **by** *simp*
hence $x \sqcap y^* = y \sqcap y^*$
by (*smt (z3) inf.sup-monoid.add-commute inf-sup-absorb inf-sup-distrib2 maddux-3-13 sup-commute sup-inf-absorb*)
thus $x \sqcap y^* = y$
by (*simp add: inf-absorb1 star.circ-increasing*)
qed

lemma *acyclic-3a-4b*:
acyclic-3a $x \iff$ *acyclic-4b* x
using *acyclic-3a-implies-4b acyclic-4a-4b acyclic-4a-implies-3a* **by** *blast*

lemma *acyclic-4-4a*:
acyclic-4 $x \iff$ *acyclic-4a* x
by (*simp add: acyclic-4-def acyclic-4a-def*)

2.4 Counterexamples

Calls to `nitpick` have been put into comments to save processing time.

[independence of \(0\)](#)

lemma *symmetric* $x \implies$ *irreflexive-inf* $x \implies$ *orientable* x
nitpick[expect=genuine,card=4,timeout=600]
oops

lemma *linear-orderable-6* $x \implies$ *path-orderable* x
nitpick[expect=genuine,card=8,timeout=600]
oops

[\(5\) does not imply \(6\)](#)

```

lemma symmetric  $x \implies$  irreflexive  $x \implies$  acyclic-5a  $x \implies$  acyclic-6  $x$ 
  nitpick[expect=genuine,card=4,timeout=600]
  oops
  (2) does not imply (4)
lemma symmetric  $x \implies$  irreflexive  $x \implies$  acyclic-2  $x \implies$  acyclic-4  $x$ 
  nitpick[expect=genuine,card=8,timeout=600]
  oops
end
end
end

```

3 Axioms and Algorithmic Proofs

In this theory we verify the correctness of three basic graph algorithms. We use them to constructively prove a number of graph properties.

```

theory Algorithms

```

```

imports HOL-Hoare.Hoare-Logic Forests

```

```

begin

```

```

context stone-kleene-relation-algebra-arc

```

```

begin

```

Assuming the arc axiom we can define the function *choose-arc* that selects an arc in a non-empty graph.

```

definition choose-arc  $x \equiv$  if  $x = \text{bot}$  then bot else SOME  $y . \text{arc } y \wedge y \leq --x$ 

```

```

lemma choose-arc-below:

```

```

  choose-arc  $x \leq --x$ 

```

```

proof (cases  $x = \text{bot}$ )

```

```

  case True

```

```

  thus ?thesis

```

```

    using choose-arc-def by auto

```

```

next

```

```

  let  $?P = \lambda y . \text{arc } y \wedge y \leq --x$ 

```

```

  case False

```

```

  have  $?P$  (SOME  $y . ?P$   $y$ )

```

```

    apply (rule someI-ex)

```

```

    using someI-ex False arc-axiom by auto

```

```

  thus ?thesis

```

```

    using False choose-arc-def by auto

```

```

qed

```

lemma *choose-arc-arc*:
assumes $x \neq \text{bot}$
shows $\text{arc} (\text{choose-arc } x)$
proof –
let $?P = \lambda y . \text{arc } y \wedge y \leq --x$
have $?P (\text{SOME } y . ?P y)$
apply (*rule someI-ex*)
using *someI-ex assms arc-axiom* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis*
using *assms choose-arc-def* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *choose-arc-bot*:
 $\text{choose-arc } \text{bot} = \text{bot}$
by (*metis bot-unique choose-arc-below regular-closed-bot*)

lemma *choose-arc-bot-iff*:
 $\text{choose-arc } x = \text{bot} \longleftrightarrow x = \text{bot}$
using *covector-bot-closed inf-bot-right choose-arc-arc vector-bot-closed*
choose-arc-bot **by** *fastforce*

lemma *choose-arc-regular*:
 $\text{regular} (\text{choose-arc } x)$
proof (*cases x = bot*)
assume $x = \text{bot}$
thus *?thesis*
by (*simp add: choose-arc-bot*)
next
assume $x \neq \text{bot}$
thus *?thesis*
by (*simp add: arc-regular choose-arc-arc*)
qed

3.1 Constructing a spanning tree

definition *spanning-forest* $f g \equiv \text{forest } f \wedge f \leq --g \wedge \text{components } g \leq$
 $\text{forest-components } f \wedge \text{regular } f$

definition *kruskal-spanning-invariant* $f g h \equiv \text{symmetric } g \wedge h = h^T \wedge g \sqcap --h$
 $= h \wedge \text{spanning-forest } f (-h \sqcap g)$

lemma *spanning-forest-spanning*:
 $\text{spanning-forest } f g \implies \text{spanning } (--g) f$
by (*smt (z3) cancel-separate-1 order-trans star.circ-increasing*
spanning-forest-def)

lemma *spanning-forest-spanning-regular*:
assumes $\text{regular } f$
and $\text{regular } g$
shows $\text{spanning-forest } f g \longleftrightarrow \text{spanning } g f$

by (smt (z3) assms cancel-separate-1 components-increasing dual-order.trans forest-components-star star-isotone spanning-forest-def)

We prove total correctness of Kruskal's spanning tree algorithm (ignoring edge weights) [6]. The algorithm and proof are adapted from the AFP theory *Relational-Minimum-Spanning-Trees.Kruskal* to work in Stone-Kleene relation algebras [3, 4].

```

lemma kruskal-vc-1:
  assumes symmetric g
  shows kruskal-spanning-invariant bot g g
proof (unfold kruskal-spanning-invariant-def, intro conjI)
  show symmetric g
  using assms by simp
next
  show g = gT
  using assms by simp
next
  show g  $\sqcap$   $--g$  = g
  using inf.sup-monoid.add-commute selection-closed-id by simp
next
  show spanning-forest bot ( $-g$   $\sqcap$  g)
  using star.circ-transitive-equal spanning-forest-def by simp
qed

```

For the remainder of this theory we assume there are finitely many regular elements. This means that the graphs are finite and is needed for proving termination of the algorithms.

```

context
  assumes finite-regular: finite { x . regular x }
begin

```

```

lemma kruskal-vc-2:
  assumes kruskal-spanning-invariant f g h
  and h  $\neq$  bot
  shows (choose-arc h  $\leq$   $-forest-components$  f  $\longrightarrow$  kruskal-spanning-invariant
  ((f  $\sqcap$   $-(top * choose-arc h * f^{T*})$ )  $\sqcup$  (f  $\sqcap$  top * choose-arc h * fT*)T  $\sqcup$ 
  choose-arc h) g (h  $\sqcap$   $-choose-arc h$   $\sqcap$   $-choose-arc h^T$ )
   $\wedge$  card { x . regular x  $\wedge$  x  $\leq$   $--h$   $\wedge$  x  $\leq$ 
 $-choose-arc h$   $\wedge$  x  $\leq$   $-choose-arc h^T$  } < card { x . regular x  $\wedge$  x  $\leq$   $--h$  } )  $\wedge$ 
  ( $\neg$  choose-arc h  $\leq$   $-forest-components$  f  $\longrightarrow$  kruskal-spanning-invariant f
  g (h  $\sqcap$   $-choose-arc h$   $\sqcap$   $-choose-arc h^T$ )
   $\wedge$  card { x . regular x  $\wedge$  x  $\leq$   $--h$   $\wedge$  x  $\leq$ 
 $-choose-arc h$   $\wedge$  x  $\leq$   $-choose-arc h^T$  } < card { x . regular x  $\wedge$  x  $\leq$   $--h$  } )
proof -
  let ?e = choose-arc h
  let ?f = (f  $\sqcap$   $-(top * ?e * f^{T*})$ )  $\sqcup$  (f  $\sqcap$  top * ?e * fT*)T  $\sqcup$  ?e
  let ?h = h  $\sqcap$   $-?e$   $\sqcap$   $-?e^T$ 
  let ?F = forest-components f
  let ?n1 = card { x . regular x  $\wedge$  x  $\leq$   $--h$  }

```

```

let ?n2 = card { x . regular x ∧ x ≤ --h ∧ x ≤ -?e ∧ x ≤ -?eT }
have 1: regular f ∧ regular ?e
  by (metis assms(1) kruskal-spanning-invariant-def spanning-forest-def
choose-arc-regular)
  hence 2: regular ?f ∧ regular ?F ∧ regular (?eT)
    using regular-closed-star regular-conv-closed regular-mult-closed by simp
  have 3: ¬ ?e ≤ -?e
    using assms(2) inf.orderE choose-arc-bot-iff by fastforce
  have 4: ?n2 < ?n1
    apply (rule psubset-card-mono)
    using finite-regular apply simp
    using 1 3 kruskal-spanning-invariant-def choose-arc-below by auto
  show (?e ≤ -?F → kruskal-spanning-invariant ?f g ?h ∧ ?n2 < ?n1) ∧ (¬ ?e
≤ -?F → kruskal-spanning-invariant f g ?h ∧ ?n2 < ?n1)
  proof (rule conjI)
    have 5: injective ?f
      apply (rule kruskal-injective-inv)
      using assms(1) kruskal-spanning-invariant-def spanning-forest-def apply
simp
      apply (simp add: covector-mult-closed)
      apply (simp add: comp-associative comp-isotone star.right-plus-below-circ)
      apply (meson mult-left-isotone order-lesseq-imp star-outer-increasing
top.extremum)
      using assms(1,2) kruskal-spanning-invariant-def kruskal-injective-inv-2
choose-arc-arc spanning-forest-def apply simp
      using assms(2) arc-injective choose-arc-arc apply blast
      using assms(1,2) kruskal-spanning-invariant-def kruskal-injective-inv-3
choose-arc-arc spanning-forest-def by simp
    show ?e ≤ -?F → kruskal-spanning-invariant ?f g ?h ∧ ?n2 < ?n1
    proof
      assume 6: ?e ≤ -?F
      have 7: equivalence ?F
        using assms(1) kruskal-spanning-invariant-def
forest-components-equivalence spanning-forest-def by simp
      have ?eT * top * ?eT = ?eT
        using assms(2) by (simp add: arc-top-arc choose-arc-arc)
      hence ?eT * top * ?eT ≤ -?F
        using 6 7 conv-complement conv-isotone by fastforce
      hence 8: ?e * ?F * ?e = bot
        using le-bot triple-schroeder-p by simp
      show kruskal-spanning-invariant ?f g ?h ∧ ?n2 < ?n1
      proof (unfold kruskal-spanning-invariant-def, intro conjI)
        show symmetric g
          using assms(1) kruskal-spanning-invariant-def by simp
        next
          show ?h = ?hT
            using assms(1) by (simp add: conv-complement conv-dist-inf
inf-commute inf-left-commute kruskal-spanning-invariant-def)
        next

```

```

    show  $g \sqcap \neg\neg ?h = ?h$ 
      using 1 2 by (metis (hide-lams) assms(1) kruskal-spanning-invariant-def
inf-assoc pp-dist-inf)
    next
    show spanning-forest ?f ( $\neg ?h \sqcap g$ )
    proof (unfold spanning-forest-def, intro conjI)
      show injective ?f
        using 5 by simp
    next
    show acyclic ?f
      apply (rule kruskal-acyclic-inv)
      using assms(1) kruskal-spanning-invariant-def spanning-forest-def
apply simp
      apply (simp add: covector-mult-closed)
      using 8 assms(1) kruskal-spanning-invariant-def spanning-forest-def
kruskal-acyclic-inv-1 apply simp
      using 8 apply (metis comp-associative mult-left-sub-dist-sup-left
star.circ-loop-fixpoint sup-commute le-bot)
      using 6 by (simp add: p-antitone-iff)
    next
    show  $?f \leq \neg\neg(\neg ?h \sqcap g)$ 
      apply (rule kruskal-subgraph-inv)
      using assms(1) kruskal-spanning-invariant-def spanning-forest-def
apply simp
      using assms(1) apply (metis kruskal-spanning-invariant-def
choose-arc-below order.trans pp-isotone-inf)
      using assms(1) kruskal-spanning-invariant-def apply simp
      using assms(1) kruskal-spanning-invariant-def by simp
    next
    show components ( $\neg ?h \sqcap g$ )  $\leq$  forest-components ?f
      apply (rule kruskal-spanning-inv)
      using 5 apply simp
      using 1 regular-closed-star regular-conv-closed regular-mult-closed apply
simp
      using 1 apply simp
      using assms(1) kruskal-spanning-invariant-def spanning-forest-def by
simp
    next
    show regular ?f
      using 2 by simp
    qed
  next
  show  $?n2 < ?n1$ 
    using 4 by simp
  qed
next
show  $\neg ?e \leq \neg ?F \longrightarrow$  kruskal-spanning-invariant  $f g ?h \wedge ?n2 < ?n1$ 
proof

```

```

assume  $\neg ?e \leq -?F$ 
hence 9:  $?e \leq ?F$ 
  using 2 assms(2) arc-in-partition choose-arc-arc by fastforce
show kruskal-spanning-invariant f g ?h  $\wedge$  ?n2 < ?n1
proof (unfold kruskal-spanning-invariant-def, intro conjI)
  show symmetric g
    using assms(1) kruskal-spanning-invariant-def by simp
next
  show  $?h = ?h^T$ 
    using assms(1) by (simp add: conv-complement conv-dist-inf
inf-commute inf-left-commute kruskal-spanning-invariant-def)
next
  show  $g \sqcap --?h = ?h$ 
    using 1 2 by (metis (hide-lams) assms(1) kruskal-spanning-invariant-def
inf-assoc pp-dist-inf)
next
  show spanning-forest f (-?h  $\sqcap$  g)
proof (unfold spanning-forest-def, intro conjI)
  show injective f
    using assms(1) kruskal-spanning-invariant-def spanning-forest-def by
simp
next
  show acyclic f
    using assms(1) kruskal-spanning-invariant-def spanning-forest-def by
simp
next
  have  $f \leq --(-h \sqcap g)$ 
    using assms(1) kruskal-spanning-invariant-def spanning-forest-def by
simp
  also have  $... \leq --(-?h \sqcap g)$ 
    using comp-inf.mult-right-isotone inf.sup-monoid.add-commute
inf-left-commute p-antitone-inf pp-isotone by auto
  finally show  $f \leq --(-?h \sqcap g)$ 
    by simp
next
  show components (-?h  $\sqcap$  g)  $\leq$  ?F
    apply (rule kruskal-spanning-inv-1)
    using 9 apply simp
    using 1 apply simp
    using assms(1) kruskal-spanning-invariant-def spanning-forest-def
apply simp
    using assms(1) kruskal-spanning-invariant-def
forest-components-equivalence spanning-forest-def by simp
next
  show regular f
    using 1 by simp
qed
next
  show  $?n2 < ?n1$ 

```



```

    using 4 by simp
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed

```

theorem *kruskal-spanning*:

```

  VARS e f h
  [ symmetric g ]
  f := bot;
  h := g;
  WHILE h ≠ bot
  INV { kruskal-spanning-invariant f g h }
  VAR { card { x . regular x ∧ x ≤ --h } }
  DO e := choose-arc h;
  IF e ≤ -forest-components f THEN
    f := (f □ -(top * e * fT*)) □ (f □ top * e * fT*)T □ e
  ELSE
    SKIP
  FI;
  h := h □ -e □ -eT
OD
[ spanning-forest f g ]
apply vcg-tc-simp
using kruskal-vc-1 apply simp
using kruskal-vc-2 apply simp
using kruskal-spanning-invariant-def by auto

```

lemma *kruskal-exists-spanning*:

```

  symmetric g ⇒ ∃ f . spanning-forest f g
using tc-extract-function kruskal-spanning by blast

```

[Theorem 16](#)

lemma *symmetric-spannable*:

```

  symmetric g ⇒ spannable (--g)
using kruskal-exists-spanning spanning-forest-spanning spannable-def by blast

```

3.2 Breadth-first search

We prove total correctness of a simple breadth-first search algorithm. It is a variant of an algorithm discussed in [1].

theorem *bfs-reachability*:

```

  VARS p q t
  [ regular r ∧ regular s ∧ vector s ]
  t := bot;
  q := s;
  p := -s □ rT * s;
  WHILE p ≠ bot

```

```

    INV { regular r ∧ regular q ∧ vector q ∧ asymmetric t ∧ t ≤ r ∧ t ≤ q ∧ q =
t^{T*} * s ∧ p = -q ⊔ r^T * q }
    VAR { card { x . regular x ∧ x ≤ --(-q ⊔ r^{T*} * s) } }
    DO t := t ⊔ (r ⊔ q * p^T);
      q := q ⊔ p;
      p := -q ⊔ r^T * p
    OD
  [ asymmetric t ∧ t ≤ r ∧ q = t^{T*} * s ∧ q = r^{T*} * s ]
proof vcg-tc
  fix p q t
  assume regular r ∧ regular s ∧ vector s
  thus regular r ∧ regular s ∧ vector s ∧ asymmetric bot ∧ bot ≤ r ∧ bot ≤ s ∧ s
= bot^{T*} * s ∧ -s ⊔ r^T * s = -s ⊔ r^{T*} * s
  by (simp add: star.circ-zero)
next
  fix p q t
  assume 1: (regular r ∧ regular q ∧ vector q ∧ asymmetric t ∧ t ≤ r ∧ t ≤ q ∧
q = t^{T*} * s ∧ p = -q ⊔ r^T * q) ∧ ¬ p ≠ bot
  have q = r^{T*} * s
  apply (rule antisym)
  using 1 conv-order mult-left-isotone star-isotone apply simp
  using 1 by (metis inf.sup-monoid.add-commute mult-1-left mult-left-isotone
mult-right-isotone order-lesseq-imp pseudo-complement star.circ-reflexive
star-left-induct-mult)
  thus asymmetric t ∧ t ≤ r ∧ q = t^{T*} * s ∧ q = r^{T*} * s
  using 1 by simp
next
  fix n p q t
  assume 2: ((regular r ∧ regular q ∧ vector q ∧ asymmetric t ∧ t ≤ r ∧ t ≤ q ∧
q = t^{T*} * s ∧ p = -q ⊔ r^T * q) ∧ p ≠ bot) ∧ card { x . regular x ∧ x ≤ --(-q
⊔ r^{T*} * s) } = n
  hence 3: vector p
  using vector-complement-closed vector-inf-closed vector-mult-closed by blast
  show -(q ⊔ p) ⊔ r^T * p, q ⊔ p, t ⊔ (r ⊔ q * p^T)
  ∈ { trip . (case trip of (p, q, t) ⇒ regular r ∧ regular q ∧ vector q ∧
asymmetric t ∧ t ≤ r ∧ t ≤ q ∧ q = t^{T*} * s ∧ p = -q ⊔ r^T * q) ∧
(case trip of (p, q, t) ⇒ card { x . regular x ∧ x ≤ --(-q ⊔ r^{T*}
* s) } < n }
  apply (rule CollectI, rule conjI)
  subgoal proof (intro case-prodI, intro conjI)
  show regular r
  using 2 by blast
  show regular (q ⊔ p)
  using 2 regular-conv-closed regular-mult-closed by force
  show vector (q ⊔ p)
  using 2 vector-complement-closed vector-inf-closed vector-mult-closed
vector-sup-closed by force
  show asymmetric (t ⊔ (r ⊔ q * p^T))
  proof -

```

have $t \sqcap (r \sqcap q * p^T)^T \leq t \sqcap p * q^T$
by (*metis comp-inf.mult-right-isotone conv-dist-comp conv-involutive conv-order inf.cobounded2*)
also have $\dots \leq t \sqcap p$
using 3 **by** (*metis comp-inf.mult-right-isotone comp-inf.star.circ-sup-sub-sup-one-1 inf.boundedE le-sup-iff mult-right-isotone*)
finally have 4: $t \sqcap (r \sqcap q * p^T)^T = \text{bot}$
using 2 **by** (*metis antisym bot-least inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc pseudo-complement*)
hence 5: $r \sqcap q * p^T \sqcap t^T = \text{bot}$
using *conv-inf-bot-iff inf-commute* **by force**
have $r \sqcap q * p^T \sqcap (r \sqcap q * p^T)^T \leq q * p^T \sqcap p * q^T$
by (*metis comp-inf.comp-isotone conv-dist-comp conv-involutive conv-order inf.cobounded2*)
also have $\dots \leq q \sqcap p$
using 2 3 **by** (*metis comp-inf.comp-isotone inf.cobounded1 vector-covector*)
finally have 6: $r \sqcap q * p^T \sqcap (r \sqcap q * p^T)^T = \text{bot}$
using 2 **by** (*metis inf.cobounded1 inf.sup-monoid.add-commute le-bot pseudo-complement*)
have $(t \sqcup (r \sqcap q * p^T)) \sqcap (t \sqcup (r \sqcap q * p^T))^T = (t \sqcap t^T) \sqcup (t \sqcap (r \sqcap q * p^T)^T) \sqcup (r \sqcap q * p^T \sqcap t^T) \sqcup (r \sqcap q * p^T \sqcap (r \sqcap q * p^T)^T)$
by (*simp add: sup commute sup.left-commute conv-dist-sup inf-sup-distrib1 inf-sup-distrib2*)
also have $\dots = \text{bot}$
using 2 4 5 6 **by auto**
finally show ?thesis
.

qed
show $t \sqcup (r \sqcap q * p^T) \leq r$
using 2 **by** (*meson inf.cobounded1 le-supI*)
show $t \sqcup (r \sqcap q * p^T) \leq q \sqcup p$
using 2 **by** (*metis comp-inf.star.circ-sup-sub-sup-one-1 inf.absorb2 inf.coboundedI2 inf.sup-monoid.add-commute le-sup-iff mult-right-isotone sup-left-divisibility*)
show $q \sqcup p = (t \sqcup (r \sqcap q * p^T))^{T*} * s$
proof (*rule antisym*)
have 7: $q \leq (t \sqcup (r \sqcap q * p^T))^{T*} * s$
using 2 **by** (*metis conv-order mult-left-isotone star-isotone sup-left-divisibility*)
have $-q \sqcap (r \sqcap q * p^T)^T * q \leq (t \sqcup (r \sqcap q * p^T))^T * t^{T*} * s$
using 2 *comp-associative conv-dist-sup inf.coboundedI2*
mult-right-sub-dist-sup-right **by auto**
also have $\dots \leq (t \sqcup (r \sqcap q * p^T))^{T*} * s$
by (*simp add: conv-dist-sup mult-left-isotone star.circ-increasing star.circ-mult-upper-bound star.circ-sub-dist*)
finally have 8: $-q \sqcap (r \sqcap q * p^T)^T * q \leq (t \sqcup (r \sqcap q * p^T))^{T*} * s$
.

have 9: $(r \sqcap -q)^T * q = \text{bot}$

using 2 by (*metis conv-dist-inf covector-inf-comp-3 pp-inf-p semiring.mult-not-zero vector-complement-closed*)
have $-q \sqcap (r \sqcap -(q * p^T))^T * q = -q \sqcap (r \sqcap (-q \sqcup -p^T))^T * q$
using 2 3 by (*metis p-dist-inf vector-covector*)
also have $\dots = (-q \sqcap (r \sqcap -q))^T * q \sqcup (-q \sqcap (r \sqcap -p^T))^T * q$
by (*simp add: conv-dist-sup inf-sup-distrib1 mult-right-dist-sup*)
also have $\dots = -q \sqcap (r \sqcap -p^T))^T * q$
using 9 by simp
also have $\dots = -q \sqcap -p \sqcap r^T * q$
using 3 by (*metis conv-complement conv-dist-inf conv-involutive inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc inf-vector-comp vector-complement-closed*)
finally have 10: $-q \sqcap (r \sqcap -(q * p^T))^T * q = \text{bot}$
using 2 inf-import-p pseudo-complement by auto
have $r = (r \sqcap q * p^T) \sqcup (r \sqcap -(q * p^T))$
using 2 by (*smt (z3) maddux-3-11-pp pp-dist-comp regular-closed-inf regular-conv-closed*)
hence $p = -q \sqcap ((r \sqcap q * p^T) \sqcup (r \sqcap -(q * p^T)))^T * q$
using 2 by auto
also have $\dots = (-q \sqcap (r \sqcap q * p^T))^T * q \sqcup (-q \sqcap (r \sqcap -(q * p^T)))^T * q$
by (*simp add: conv-dist-sup inf-sup-distrib1 semiring.distrib-right*)
also have $\dots \leq (t \sqcup (r \sqcap q * p^T))^{T*} * s$
using 8 10 le-sup-iff bot-least by blast
finally show $q \sqcup p \leq (t \sqcup (r \sqcap q * p^T))^{T*} * s$
using 7 by simp
have 11: $t^T * q \leq r^T * q$
using 2 conv-order mult-left-isotone by auto
have $t^T * p \leq t^T * \text{top}$
by (*simp add: mult-right-isotone*)
also have $\dots = t^T * q \sqcup t^T * -q$
using 2 regular-complement-top semiring.distrib-left by force
also have $\dots = t^T * q$
proof –
have $t^T * -q = \text{bot}$
using 2 by (*metis bot-least conv-complement-sub conv-dist-comp conv-involutive mult-right-isotone regular-closed-bot stone sup.absorb2 sup-commute*)
thus ?thesis
by simp
qed
finally have 12: $t^T * p \leq r^T * q$
using 11 order.trans by blast
have 13: $(r \sqcap q * p^T)^T * q \leq r^T * q$
by (*simp add: conv-dist-inf mult-left-isotone*)
have $(r \sqcap q * p^T)^T * p \leq p$
using 3 by (*metis conv-dist-comp conv-dist-inf conv-involutive inf.coboundedI2 mult-isotone mult-right-isotone top.extremum*)
hence 14: $(r \sqcap q * p^T)^T * p \leq r^T * q$
using 2 le-infE by blast
have $(t \sqcup (r \sqcap q * p^T))^T * (q \sqcup p) = t^T * q \sqcup t^T * p \sqcup (r \sqcap q * p^T)^T * q$

$\sqcup (r \sqcap q * p^T)^T * p$
by (*metis conv-dist-sup semiring.distrib-left semiring.distrib-right sup-assoc*)
also have $\dots \leq r^T * q$
using 11 12 13 14 **by** *simp*
finally have $(t \sqcup (r \sqcap q * p^T))^T * (q \sqcup p) \leq q \sqcup p$
using 2 **by** (*metis maddux-3-21-pp sup.boundedE sup-right-divisibility*)
thus $(t \sqcup (r \sqcap q * p^T))^{T*} * s \leq q \sqcup p$
using 2 **by** (*smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) star.circ-loop-fixpoint star-left-induct sup.bounded-iff sup-left-divisibility*)
qed
show $-(q \sqcup p) \sqcap r^T * p = -(q \sqcup p) \sqcap r^T * (q \sqcup p)$
proof (*rule antisym*)
show $-(q \sqcup p) \sqcap r^T * p \leq -(q \sqcup p) \sqcap r^T * (q \sqcup p)$
using *inf.sup-right-isotone mult-left-sub-dist-sup-right* **by** *blast*
have 15: $-(q \sqcup p) \sqcap r^T * (q \sqcup p) = -(q \sqcup p) \sqcap r^T * q \sqcup -(q \sqcup p) \sqcap r^T * p$
by (*simp add: comp-inf.semiring.distrib-left mult-left-dist-sup*)
have $-(q \sqcup p) \sqcap r^T * q \leq -(q \sqcup p) \sqcap r^T * p$
using 2 **by** (*metis bot-least p-dist-inf p-dist-sup p-inf-sup-below pseudo-complement*)
thus $-(q \sqcup p) \sqcap r^T * (q \sqcup p) \leq -(q \sqcup p) \sqcap r^T * p$
using 15 *sup.absorb2* **by** *force*
qed
qed
subgoal proof *clarsimp*
have *card* $\{ x . \text{regular } x \wedge x \leq -q \wedge x \leq -p \wedge x \leq --(r^{T*} * s) \} < \text{card}$
 $\{ x . \text{regular } x \wedge x \leq --(-q \sqcap r^{T*} * s) \}$
proof (*rule psubset-card-mono*)
show *finite* $\{ x . \text{regular } x \wedge x \leq --(-q \sqcap r^{T*} * s) \}$
using *finite-regular* **by** *simp*
show $\{ x . \text{regular } x \wedge x \leq -q \wedge x \leq -p \wedge x \leq --(r^{T*} * s) \} \subset \{ x . \text{regular } x \wedge x \leq --(-q \sqcap r^{T*} * s) \}$
proof –
have $\forall x . x \leq -q \wedge x \leq --(r^{T*} * s) \longrightarrow x \leq --(-q \sqcap r^{T*} * s)$
by *auto*
hence 16: $\{ x . \text{regular } x \wedge x \leq -q \wedge x \leq -p \wedge x \leq --(r^{T*} * s) \} \subseteq \{ x . \text{regular } x \wedge x \leq --(-q \sqcap r^{T*} * s) \}$
by *blast*
have 17: *regular* p
using 2 *regular-conv-closed regular-mult-closed* **by** *force*
hence 18: $\neg p \leq -p$
using 2 **by** (*metis inf.absorb1 pp-inf-p*)
have 19: $p \leq -q$
using 2 **by** *simp*
have $r^T * q \leq r^{T*} * s$
using 2 **by** (*metis (no-types, lifting) comp-associative conv-dist-sup mult-left-isotone star.circ-increasing star.circ-mult-upper-bound star.circ-sub-dist sup-left-divisibility*)

hence 20: $p \leq \neg\neg(r^{T^*} * s)$
using 2 *le-infI2 order-lesseq-imp pp-increasing* **by** *blast*
hence 21: $p \leq \neg\neg(\neg q \sqcap r^{T^*} * s)$
using 2 **by** *simp*
show *?thesis*
using 16 17 18 19 20 21 **by** *blast*
qed
qed
thus $\text{card} \{ x . \text{regular } x \wedge x \leq \neg q \wedge x \leq \neg p \wedge x \leq \neg\neg(r^{T^*} * s) \} < n$
using 2 **by** *auto*
qed
done
qed

Theorem 18

lemma *bfs-reachability-exists:*

$\text{regular } r \wedge \text{regular } s \wedge \text{vector } s \implies \exists t . \text{asymmetric } t \wedge t \leq r \wedge t^{T^*} * s = r^{T^*} * s$
using *tc-extract-function bfs-reachability* **by** *blast*

Theorem 17

lemma *orientable-path:*

$\text{arc } x \implies x \leq \neg\neg y^* \implies \exists z . z \leq y \wedge \text{asymmetric } z \wedge x \leq \neg\neg z^*$
proof –

assume 1: *arc x* **and** **2:** $x \leq \neg\neg y^*$
hence $\text{regular } (\neg\neg y) \wedge \text{regular } (x * \text{top}) \wedge \text{vector } (x * \text{top})$
using *bijjective-regular mult-assoc* **by** *auto*
from this obtain t **where** **3:** $\text{asymmetric } t \wedge t \leq \neg\neg y \wedge t^{T^*} * (x * \text{top}) = (\neg\neg y)^{T^*} * (x * \text{top})$
using *bfs-reachability-exists* **by** *blast*
let $?z = t \sqcap y$
have $x^T * \text{top} * x^T \leq (\neg\neg y)^{T^*}$
using 1 2 **by** (*metis arc-top-arc conv-complement conv-isotone conv-star-commute arc-conv-closed pp-dist-star*)
hence $x^T \leq (\neg\neg y)^{T^*} * x * \text{top}$
using 1 *comp-associative conv-dist-comp shunt-bijjective* **by** *force*
also have $\dots = t^{T^*} * x * \text{top}$
using 3 *mult-assoc* **by** *force*
finally have $x^T * \text{top} * x^T \leq t^{T^*}$
using 1 *comp-associative conv-dist-comp shunt-bijjective* **by** *force*
hence $x^T \leq t^{T^*}$
using 1 **by** (*metis arc-top-arc arc-conv-closed*)
also have $\dots \leq (\neg\neg ?z)^{T^*}$
using 3 **by** (*metis conv-order inf.orderE inf-pp-semi-commute star-isotone*)
finally have $x \leq \neg\neg ?z^*$
using *conv-order conv-star-commute pp-dist-star* **by** *fastforce*
thus $\exists z . z \leq y \wedge \text{asymmetric } z \wedge x \leq \neg\neg z^*$
using 3 *asymmetric-inf-closed inf.cobounded2* **by** *blast*
qed

3.3 Extending partial orders to linear orders

We prove total correctness of Szpilrajn's algorithm [7]. A partial-correctness proof using Prover9 is given in [2].

theorem *szpilrajn*:

```

VAR e t
[ order p  $\wedge$  regular p ]
t := p;
WHILE  $t \sqcup t^T \neq \text{top}$ 
  INV { order t  $\wedge$  regular t  $\wedge$   $p \leq t$  }
  VAR { card {  $x . \text{regular } x \wedge x \leq -(t \sqcup t^T)$  } }
  DO e := choose-arc ( $-(t \sqcup t^T)$ );
    t :=  $t \sqcup t * e * t$ 
  OD
[ linear-order t  $\wedge$   $p \leq t$  ]

```

proof *vcg-tc-simp*

```

fix t
let ?e = choose-arc ( $-t \sqcap -t^T$ )
let ?tet =  $t * ?e * t$ 
let ?t =  $t \sqcup ?tet$ 
let ?s1 = {  $x . \text{regular } x \wedge x \leq -t \wedge x \leq -?tet \wedge x \leq -t^T$  }
let ?s2 = {  $x . \text{regular } x \wedge x \leq -t \wedge x \leq -t^T$  }
assume 1: reflexive t  $\wedge$  transitive t  $\wedge$  antisymmetric t  $\wedge$  regular t  $\wedge$   $p \leq t \wedge \neg$ 
linear t
show reflexive ?t  $\wedge$ 
  transitive ?t  $\wedge$ 
  antisymmetric ?t  $\wedge$ 
   $?t = t \sqcup --?tet \wedge$ 
   $p \leq ?t \wedge$ 
  card ?s1 < card ?s2
proof (intro conjI)
show reflexive ?t
  using 1 by (simp add: sup.coboundedI1)
have  $-t \sqcap -t^T \neq \text{bot}$ 
  using 1 regular-closed-top regular-conv-closed by force
hence 2: arc ?e
  using choose-arc-arc by blast
have  $?t * ?t = t * t \sqcup t * ?tet \sqcup ?tet * t \sqcup ?tet * ?tet$ 
  by (smt (z3) mult-left-dist-sup mult-right-dist-sup sup-assoc)
also have ...  $\leq ?t$ 
proof (intro sup-least)
show  $t * t \leq ?t$ 
  using 1 sup.coboundedI1 by blast
show  $t * ?tet \leq ?t$ 
  using 1 by (metis le-supI2 mult-left-isotone mult-assoc)
show  $?tet * t \leq ?t$ 
  using 1 mult-right-isotone sup.coboundedI2 mult-assoc by auto
have  $?e * t * t * ?e \leq ?e$ 
  using 2 by (smt arc-top-arc mult-assoc mult-right-isotone mult-left-isotone)

```

```

top-greatest)
  hence transitive ?tet
  by (smt mult-assoc mult-right-isotone mult-left-isotone)
  thus ?tet * ?tet ≤ ?t
  using le-supI2 by auto
qed
finally show transitive ?t
.
have 3: ?e ≤ -tT
  by (metis choose-arc-below inf.cobounded2 order-lesseq-imp p-dist-sup
regular-closed-p)
have 4: ?e ≤ -t
  by (metis choose-arc-below inf.cobounded1 order-trans regular-closed-inf
regular-closed-p)
have ?t ∩ ?tT = (t ∩ tT) ∪ (t ∩ ?tetT) ∪ (?tet ∩ tT) ∪ (?tet ∩ ?tetT)
  by (smt (z3) conv-dist-sup inf-sup-distrib1 inf-sup-distrib2
sup-monoid.add-assoc)
also have ... ≤ 1
proof (intro sup-least)
  show antisymmetric t
  using 1 by simp
  have t * t * t = t
  using 1 preorder-idempotent by fastforce
  also have ... ≤ -?eT
  using 3 by (metis p-antitone-iff conv-complement conv-order
conv-involutive)
  finally have tT * ?eT * tT ≤ -t
  using triple-schroeder-p by blast
  hence t ∩ ?tetT = bot
  by (simp add: comp-associative conv-dist-comp p-antitone
pseudo-complement-pp)
  thus t ∩ ?tetT ≤ 1
  by simp
  thus ?tet ∩ tT ≤ 1
  by (smt conv-isotone inf-commute conv-one conv-dist-inf conv-involutive)
  have ?e * t * ?e ≤ ?e
  using 2 by (smt arc-top-arc mult-assoc mult-right-isotone mult-left-isotone
top-greatest)
  also have ... ≤ -tT
  using 3 by simp
  finally have ?tet ≤ -?eT
  by (metis conv-dist-comp schroeder-3-p triple-schroeder-p)
  hence t * t * ?e * t * t ≤ -?eT
  using 1 by (metis preorder-idempotent mult-assoc)
  hence tT * ?eT * tT ≤ -?tet
  using triple-schroeder-p mult-assoc by auto
  hence ?tet ∩ ?tetT = bot
  by (simp add: conv-dist-comp p-antitone pseudo-complement-pp mult-assoc)
  thus antisymmetric ?tet

```



```

    by simp
  qed
  finally show antisymmetric ?t
  .
  show ?t = t  $\sqcup$   $--$ ?tet
    using 1 choose-arc-regular regular-mult-closed by auto
  show p  $\leq$  ?t
    using 1 by (simp add: le-supI1)
  show card ?s1 < card ?s2
  proof (rule psubset-card-mono)
    show finite { x . regular x  $\wedge$  x  $\leq$  -t  $\wedge$  x  $\leq$  -tT }
      using finite-regular by simp
    show { x . regular x  $\wedge$  x  $\leq$  -t  $\wedge$  x  $\leq$  -?tet  $\wedge$  x  $\leq$  -?tT }  $\subset$  { x . regular x
 $\wedge$  x  $\leq$  -t  $\wedge$  x  $\leq$  -tT }
      proof -
        have  $\forall x . \text{regular } x \wedge x \leq -t \wedge x \leq -?tet \wedge x \leq -?t^T \longrightarrow \text{regular } x \wedge x$ 
 $\leq -t \wedge x \leq -t^T$ 
          using conv-dist-sup by auto
        hence 5: { x . regular x  $\wedge$  x  $\leq$  -t  $\wedge$  x  $\leq$  -?tet  $\wedge$  x  $\leq$  -?tT }  $\subseteq$  { x .
regular x  $\wedge$  x  $\leq$  -t  $\wedge$  x  $\leq$  -tT }
          by blast
        have 6: regular ?e  $\wedge$  ?e  $\leq$  -t  $\wedge$  ?e  $\leq$  -tT
          using 2 3 4 choose-arc-regular by blast
        have  $\neg ?e \leq -?tet$ 
          proof
            assume 7: ?e  $\leq$  -?tet
            have ?e  $\leq$  ?e * t
              using 1 by (meson mult-right-isotone mult-sub-right-one order.trans)
            also have ?e * t  $\leq$  -(tT * ?e)
              using 7 p-antitone-iff schroeder-3-p mult-assoc by auto
            also have ...  $\leq$  -(1T * ?e)
              using 1 conv-isotone mult-left-isotone p-antitone by blast
            also have ... = -?e
              by simp
            finally show False
              using 1 2 by (smt (z3) bot-least eq-refl inf.absorb1 pseudo-complement
semiring.mult-not-zero top-le)
            qed
            thus ?thesis
              using 5 6 by blast
          qed
        qed
      qed
    qed
  qed
  qed
  qed

```

Theorem 15

lemma szpilrajn-exists:
 order p \wedge regular p $\implies \exists t . \text{linear-order } t \wedge p \leq t$
 using tc-extract-function szpilrajn by blast

```

lemma complement-one-transitively-orientable:
  transitively-orientable (-1)
proof -
  have  $\exists t$  . linear-order t
    using szpilrajn-exists bijective-one-closed bijective-regular order-one-closed by
    blast
  thus ?thesis
    using exists-split-characterisations(4) by blast
qed

end

end

end

```

References

- [1] R. Berghammer. Combining relational calculus and the Dijkstra–Gries method for deriving relational programs. *Information Sciences*, 119(3–4):155–171, 1999.
- [2] R. Berghammer and G. Struth. On automated program construction and verification. In C. Bolduc, J. Desharnais, and B. Ktari, editors, *Mathematics of Program Construction*, volume 6120 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 22–41. Springer, 2010.
- [3] W. Guttman. Stone-Kleene relation algebras. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, 2017.
- [4] W. Guttman. Verifying minimum spanning tree algorithms with Stone relation algebras. *Journal of Logical and Algebraic Methods in Programming*, 101:132–150, 2018.
- [5] W. Guttman. Second-order properties of undirected graphs. In U. Fahrenberg, M. Gehrke, L. Santocanale, and M. Winter, editors, *Relational and Algebraic Methods in Computer Science (RAMiCS 2021)*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2021. To appear.
- [6] J. B. Kruskal, Jr. On the shortest spanning subtree of a graph and the traveling salesman problem. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 7(1):48–50, 1956.
- [7] E. Szpilrajn. Sur l’extension de l’ordre partiel. *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, 16:386–389, 1930.