

Relational Divisibility

Walter Guttmann

October 10, 2025

Abstract

We formalise key concepts and axioms of the divisibility relation on natural numbers using relation algebras. They use standard relational constructions for extrema, bounds, suprema, the univalent part and symmetric quotients, which we also formalise. We moreover prove that mono-atomic elements correspond to join-irreducible elements under the divisibility axioms.

Contents

1	Relational Constructions	1
1.1	Extrema, bounds and suprema	4
1.2	Univalent part	6
1.3	Symmetric quotients	8
2	Divisibility	13
2.1	Partial order	15
2.2	Bounds	15
2.3	Atoms	17
2.4	Fibers	22
2.5	Fiber decomposition	26
2.6	Support	36
2.7	Increments	41
3	Mono-Atomic Elements	50
3.1	Mono-atomic	50
3.2	Join-irreducible	54
3.3	Equivalence	55

1 Relational Constructions

theory *Relational-Constructions*

imports *Stone-Relation-Algebras.Relation-Algebras*

begin

This theory defines relational constructions for extrema, bounds and suprema, the univalent part and symmetric quotients. All definitions and most properties are standard; for example, see [1, 3, 4, 5]. Some properties are new. We start with a few general properties of relations and orders.

context *bounded-distrib-allegory*
begin

lemma *transitive-mapping-idempotent:*

transitive $x \implies \text{mapping } x \implies \text{idempotent } x$

by (*smt* (*verit*, *ccfv-threshold*) *conv-dist-comp conv-involutive epm-3*
inf.order-iff top-greatest total-conv-surjective transitive-conv-closed mult-assoc)

end

context *pd-allegory*
begin

lemma *comp-univalent-complement:*

assumes *univalent* x

shows $x * -y = x * \text{top} \sqcap -(x * y)$

proof (*rule order.antisym*)

show $x * -y \leq x * \text{top} \sqcap -(x * y)$

by (*simp add: assms comp-isotone comp-univalent-below-complement*)

show $x * \text{top} \sqcap -(x * y) \leq x * -y$

by (*metis inf.sup-left-divisibility inf-top.left-neutral theorem24xxiii*)

qed

lemma *comp-injective-complement:*

injective $x \implies -y * x = \text{top} * x \sqcap -(y * x)$

by (*smt* (*verit*, *ccfv-threshold*) *antisym-conv comp-injective-below-complement*
complement-conv-sub dedekind-2 inf.bounded-iff mult-left-isotone order-lesseq-imp
top.extremum)

lemma *strict-order-irreflexive:*

irreflexive ($x \sqcap -1$)

by *simp*

lemma *strict-order-transitive-1:*

antisymmetric $x \implies \text{transitive } x \implies x * (x \sqcap -1) \leq x \sqcap -1$

by (*smt* (*verit*, *best*) *bot-unique inf.order-trans inf.semilattice-order-axioms*
mult.monoid-axioms p-shunting-swap schroeder-5-p semiring.add-decreasing2
semiring.mult-left-mono sup.bounded-iff symmetric-one-closed
monoid.right-neutral semilattice-order.boundedI semilattice-order.cobounded1
semilattice-order.cobounded2)

lemma *strict-order-transitive-2:*

$\text{antisymmetric } x \implies \text{transitive } x \implies (x \sqcap -1) * x \leq x \sqcap -1$
by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) comp-commute-below-diversity dual-order.eq-iff
 inf.boundedE inf.order-iff inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc mult-left-isotone
 strict-order-transitive-1)

lemma *strict-order-transitive:*

$\text{antisymmetric } x \implies \text{transitive } x \implies (x \sqcap -1) * (x \sqcap -1) \leq x \sqcap -1$
using comp-isotone inf.cobounded1 inf.order-lesseq-imp strict-order-transitive-2
by blast

lemma *strict-order-transitive-eq-1:*

$\text{order } x \implies (x \sqcap -1) * x = x \sqcap -1$
by (metis comp-right-one dual-order.antisym mult-right-isotone
 strict-order-transitive-2)

lemma *strict-order-transitive-eq-2:*

$\text{order } x \implies x * (x \sqcap -1) = x \sqcap -1$
by (metis dual-order.antisym mult-1-left mult-left-isotone
 strict-order-transitive-1)

lemma *strict-order-transitive-eq:*

$\text{order } x \implies (x \sqcap -1) * x = x * (x \sqcap -1)$
by (simp add: strict-order-transitive-eq-1 strict-order-transitive-eq-2)

lemma *strict-order-asymmetric:*

$\text{antisymmetric } x \implies \text{asymmetric } (x \sqcap -1)$
by (metis antisymmetric-inf-closed antisymmetric-inf-diversity inf.order-iff
 inf.right-idem pseudo-complement)

end

The following gives relational definitions for extrema, bounds, suprema, the univalent part and symmetric quotients.

context *relation-algebra-signature*
begin

definition *maximal* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**

$\text{maximal } r \ s \equiv s \sqcap -((r \sqcap -1) * s)$

definition *minimal* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**

$\text{minimal } r \ s \equiv s \sqcap -((r^T \sqcap -1) * s)$

definition *upperbound* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**

$\text{upperbound } r \ s \equiv -(-r^T * s)$

definition *lowerbound* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**

$\text{lowerbound } r \ s \equiv -(-r * s)$

definition *greatest* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**

greatest $r\ s \equiv s \sqcap -(-r^T * s)$

definition *least* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
least $r\ s \equiv s \sqcap -(-r * s)$

definition *supremum* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
supremum $r\ s \equiv \text{least } r\ (\text{upperbound } r\ s)$

definition *infimum* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
infimum $r\ s \equiv \text{greatest } r\ (\text{lowerbound } r\ s)$

definition *univalent-part* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
univalent-part $r \equiv r \sqcap -(r * -1)$

definition *symmetric-quotient* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
symmetric-quotient $r\ s \equiv -(r^T * -s) \sqcap -(-r^T * s)$

abbreviation *noyau* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
noyau $r \equiv \text{symmetric-quotient } r\ r$

end

context *relation-algebra*
begin

1.1 Extrema, bounds and suprema

lemma *maximal-comparable*:

$r \sqcap (\text{maximal } r\ s) * (\text{maximal } r\ s)^T \leq r^T$

proof –

have $r \sqcap -r^T \leq r \sqcap -1$

by (*metis inf-commute inf-le2 le-inf-iff one-inf-conv p-shunting-swap*)

hence $\text{maximal } r\ s \sqcap (r \sqcap -r^T) * \text{maximal } r\ s \leq \text{maximal } r\ s \sqcap (r \sqcap -1) * s$

using *comp-inf.mult-right-isotone comp-isotone dual-order.eq-iff maximal-def*

by *fastforce*

also have $\dots \leq \text{bot}$

by (*simp add: inf-commute maximal-def*)

finally show *?thesis*

by (*smt (verit, best) double-compl inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc inf-commute le-bot pseudo-complement schroeder-2*)

qed

lemma *maximal-comparable-same*:

assumes *antisymmetric* r

shows $r \sqcap (\text{maximal } r\ s) * (\text{maximal } r\ s)^T \leq 1$

by (*meson assms inf.sup-left-divisibility le-infI order-trans maximal-comparable*)

lemma *transitive-lowerbound*:

$\text{transitive } r \implies r * \text{lowerbound } r\ s \leq \text{lowerbound } r\ s$

by (*metis comp-associative double-compl lowerbound-def mult-left-isotone schroeder-3-p*)

lemma *transitive-least*:

transitive $r \implies r * \text{least } r \text{ top} \leq \text{least } r \text{ top}$

using *least-def lowerbound-def transitive-lowerbound* **by** *auto*

lemma *transitive-minimal-not-least*:

assumes *transitive* r

shows $r^T * \text{minimal } r (-\text{least } r \text{ top}) \leq -\text{least } r \text{ top}$

proof –

have $\text{least } r \text{ top} \leq -\text{minimal } r (-\text{least } r \text{ top})$

by (*simp add: minimal-def*)

hence $r * \text{least } r \text{ top} \leq -\text{minimal } r (-\text{least } r \text{ top})$

using *assms dual-order.trans transitive-least* **by** *blast*

thus *?thesis*

using *schroeder-3-p* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *least-injective*:

assumes *antisymmetric* r

shows *injective* ($\text{least } r \text{ s}$)

proof –

have $(\text{least } r \text{ s}) * (\text{least } r \text{ s})^T \leq -(-r * s) * s^T \sqcap s * -(-r * s)^T$

by (*simp add: least-def comp-isotone conv-complement conv-dist-inf*)

also have $\dots \leq r \sqcap r^T$

by (*metis comp-inf.comp-isotone conv-complement conv-dist-comp*

pp-increasing schroeder-3 schroeder-5)

also have $\dots \leq 1$

by (*simp add: assms*)

finally show *?thesis*

.

qed

lemma *least-conv-greatest*:

$\text{least } r = \text{greatest } (r^T)$

using *greatest-def least-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *greatest-injective*:

antisymmetric $r \implies \text{injective } (\text{greatest } r \text{ s})$

by (*metis antisymmetric-conv-closed least-injective least-conv-greatest conv-involutive*)

lemma *supremum-upperbound*:

assumes *antisymmetric* r

and $s \leq r$

shows $\text{supremum } r \text{ s} = 1 \iff \text{upperbound } r \text{ s} \leq r^T$

proof (*rule iffI*)

assume $\text{supremum } r \text{ s} = 1$

hence $1 \leq \text{lowerbound } r \ (\text{upperbound } r \ s)$
using *least-def lowerbound-def supremum-def* **by** *auto*
thus $\text{upperbound } r \ s \leq r^T$
by (*metis comp-right-one compl-le-compl-iff compl-le-swap1 conv-complement schroeder-3-p lowerbound-def*)
next
assume $1: \text{upperbound } r \ s \leq r^T$
hence $2: 1 \leq \text{lowerbound } r \ (\text{upperbound } r \ s)$
by (*simp add: compl-le-swap1 conv-complement schroeder-3-p lowerbound-def*)
have $3: 1 \leq \text{upperbound } r \ s$
by (*simp add: assms(2) compl-le-swap1 conv-complement schroeder-3-p upperbound-def*)
hence $\text{lowerbound } r \ (\text{upperbound } r \ s) \leq r$
using *brouwer.p-antitone-iff mult-right-isotone lowerbound-def* **by** *fastforce*
hence $\text{supremum } r \ s \leq 1$
using 1 **by** (*smt (verit, del-insts) assms(1) least-def inf.sup-mono inf-commute order.trans lowerbound-def supremum-def*)
thus $\text{supremum } r \ s = 1$
using $2 \ 3$ *least-def order.eq-iff lowerbound-def supremum-def* **by** *auto*
qed

1.2 Univalent part

lemma *univalent-part-idempotent:*

$\text{univalent-part } (\text{univalent-part } r) = \text{univalent-part } r$
by (*smt (verit, best) inf.absorb2 inf.cobounded1 inf.order-iff inf-assoc mult-left-isotone p-antitone-inf univalent-part-def*)

lemma *univalent-part-univalent:*

$\text{univalent } (\text{univalent-part } r)$
by (*smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) inf.cobounded1 inf.sup-monoid.add-commute mult-left-isotone order-lesseq-imp p-antitone-iff regular-one-closed schroeder-3-p univalent-part-def*)

lemma *univalent-part-times-converse:*

$r^T * \text{univalent-part } r = (\text{univalent-part } r)^T * \text{univalent-part } r$
proof –
have $1: (r \sqcap r * -1)^T * \text{univalent-part } r \leq 1$
by (*smt (verit, best) compl-le-swap1 inf.cobounded1 inf.cobounded2 mult-left-isotone order-lesseq-imp regular-one-closed schroeder-3-p univalent-part-def*)
hence $2: (r \sqcap r * -1)^T * \text{univalent-part } r \leq -1$
by (*simp add: inf.coboundedI2 schroeder-3-p univalent-part-def*)
have $r^T * \text{univalent-part } r = (r \sqcap r * -1)^T * \text{univalent-part } r \sqcup (\text{univalent-part } r)^T * \text{univalent-part } r$
by (*metis conv-dist-sup maddux-3-11 mult-right-dist-sup univalent-part-def*)
thus *?thesis*
using $1 \ 2$ **by** (*metis inf.orderE inf-compl-bot-right maddux-3-13 pseudo-complement*)

qed

lemma *univalent-part-times-converse-1*:

$r^T * \text{univalent-part } r \leq 1$

by (*simp add: univalent-part-times-converse univalent-part-univalent*)

lemma *minimal-univalent-part*:

assumes *reflexive r*

and *vector s*

shows $\text{minimal } r \ s = s \sqcap \text{univalent-part } ((r \sqcap s)^T) * \text{top}$

proof (*rule order.antisym*)

have $1 \sqcap r^T * (-1 \sqcap s) \leq (r^T \sqcap -1 \sqcap s^T) * (-1 \sqcap s)$

by (*smt (z3) conv-complement conv-dist-inf dedekind-2 equivalence-one-closed inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc inf.sup-monoid.add-commute mult-1-left*)

also have $\dots \leq (r^T \sqcap -1) * s$

using *inf-le1 inf-le2 mult-isotone* **by** *blast*

finally have $1 \sqcap -((r^T \sqcap -1) * s) \leq -(r^T * (-1 \sqcap s))$

by (*simp add: p-shunting-swap*)

also have $1: \dots = -((r \sqcap s)^T * -1)$

by (*simp add: assms(2) conv-dist-inf covector-inf-comp-3 inf.sup-monoid.add-commute*)

finally have $2: 1 \sqcap -((r^T \sqcap -1) * s) \leq r^T \sqcap -((r \sqcap s)^T * -1)$

by (*simp add: assms(1) le-infI1 reflexive-conv-closed*)

have $\text{minimal } r \ s = (1 \sqcap -((r^T \sqcap -1) * s)) * s$

by (*metis assms(2) complement-vector inf-commute vector-export-comp-unit minimal-def mult-assoc*)

also have $\dots \leq (r^T \sqcap -((r \sqcap s)^T * -1)) * s$

using 2 *mult-left-isotone* **by** *blast*

also have $3: \dots = \text{univalent-part } ((r \sqcap s)^T) * \text{top}$

by (*smt (verit, ccv-threshold) assms(2) comp-inf.vector-top-closed comp-inf-covector comp-inf-vector conv-dist-inf inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc inf.sup-monoid.add-commute surjective-one-closed vector-conv-covector univalent-part-def*)

finally show $\text{minimal } r \ s \leq s \sqcap \text{univalent-part } ((r \sqcap s)^T) * \text{top}$

by (*simp add: minimal-def*)

have $s \sqcap (r^T \sqcap -1) * s \sqcap 1 \leq (r^T \sqcap -1) * s \sqcap 1$

using *comp-inf.comp-isotone inf.cobounded2* **by** *blast*

also have $\dots \leq (r^T \sqcap -1) * (s \sqcap (r^T \sqcap -1)^T)$

by (*metis comp-right-one dedekind-1*)

also have $\dots \leq r^T * (s \sqcap -1)$

using *comp-inf.mult-right-isotone conv-complement conv-dist-inf mult-isotone*

by *auto*

finally have $4: s \sqcap (r^T \sqcap -1) * s \sqcap 1 \leq r^T * (s \sqcap -1)$

have $s \sqcap (r^T \sqcap -1) * s \sqcap -1 \leq r^T * (s \sqcap -1)$

by (*metis assms(1) comp-inf.comp-left-subdist-inf inf.coboundedI1 inf.order-trans mult-1-left mult-left-isotone order.refl reflexive-conv-closed*)

hence $5: s \sqcap (r^T \sqcap -1) * s \leq r^T * (s \sqcap -1)$

using 4 *comp-inf.case-split-right heyting.implies-itself-top* **by** *blast*

have $s \sqcap (r^T \sqcap -1) * s \sqcap (r^T \sqcap -(r^T * (s \sqcap -1))) * s = (s \sqcap (r^T \sqcap -1) * s \sqcap r^T \sqcap -(r^T * (s \sqcap -1))) * s$
using *assms(2) inf-assoc vector-inf-comp mult-assoc by simp*
also have $\dots = \text{bot}$
using *5 le-infI1 semiring.mult-not-zero shunting-1 by blast*
finally have $s \sqcap \text{univalent-part } ((r \sqcap s)^T) * \text{top} \leq -((r^T \sqcap -1) * s)$
using *1 3 by (simp add: inf.sup-monoid.add-commute p-shunting-swap pseudo-complement)*
thus $s \sqcap \text{univalent-part } ((r \sqcap s)^T) * \text{top} \leq \text{minimal } r \ s$
by *(simp add: minimal-def)*
qed

1.3 Symmetric quotients

lemma *univalent-part-syq*:
 $\text{univalent-part } r = \text{symmetric-quotient } (r^T) \ 1$
by *(simp add: inf-commute symmetric-quotient-def univalent-part-def)*

lemma *minimal-syq*:
assumes *reflexive r*
and *vector s*
shows $\text{minimal } r \ s = s \sqcap \text{symmetric-quotient } (r \sqcap s) \ 1 * \text{top}$
by *(simp add: assms minimal-univalent-part univalent-part-syq)*

lemma *syq-complement*:
 $\text{symmetric-quotient } (-r) \ (-s) = \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s$
by *(simp add: conv-complement inf.sup-monoid.add-commute symmetric-quotient-def)*

lemma *syq-converse*:
 $(\text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s)^T = \text{symmetric-quotient } s \ r$
by *(simp add: conv-complement conv-dist-comp conv-dist-inf inf.sup-monoid.add-commute symmetric-quotient-def)*

lemma *syq-comp-transitive*:
 $\text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s * \text{symmetric-quotient } s \ p \leq \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ p$

proof –
have $r * -(r^T * -s) * -(s^T * -p) \leq s * -(s^T * -p)$
by *(metis complement-conv-sub conv-complement mult-left-isotone schroeder-5)*
also have $\dots \leq p$
by *(simp add: schroeder-3)*
finally have $1: -(r^T * -s) * -(s^T * -p) \leq -(r^T * -p)$
by *(simp add: p-antitone-iff schroeder-3-p mult-assoc)*
have $-(-r^T * s) * -(-s^T * p) * p^T \leq -(-r^T * s) * s^T$
by *(metis complement-conv-sub double-compl mult-right-isotone mult-assoc)*
also have $\dots \leq r^T$
using *brwower.pp-increasing complement-conv-sub inf.order-trans by blast*
finally have $2: -(-r^T * s) * -(-s^T * p) \leq -(-r^T * p)$
by *(metis compl-le-swap1 double-compl schroeder-4)*

have $\text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s * \text{symmetric-quotient } s \ p \leq -(r^T * -s) * -(s^T * -p) \sqcap -(-r^T * s) * -(-s^T * p)$
by (*simp add: mult-isotone symmetric-quotient-def*)
also have $\dots \leq -(r^T * -p) \sqcap -(-r^T * p)$
using 1 2 *inf-mono* **by** *blast*
finally show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: symmetric-quotient-def*)
qed

lemma *syq-comp-syq-top*:

$\text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s * \text{symmetric-quotient } s \ p = \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ p \sqcap \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s * \text{top}$

proof (*rule order.antisym*)

show $\text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s * \text{symmetric-quotient } s \ p \leq \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ p \sqcap \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s * \text{top}$

by (*simp add: mult-right-isotone syq-comp-transitive*)

have $\text{symmetric-quotient } r \ p \sqcap \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s * \text{top} \leq \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s * \text{symmetric-quotient } s \ r * \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ p$

by (*metis comp-right-one dedekind-1 inf-top-left inf-vector-comp mult-assoc syq-converse*)

also have $\dots \leq \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s * \text{symmetric-quotient } s \ p$

by (*simp add: mult-right-isotone mult-assoc syq-comp-transitive*)

finally show $\text{symmetric-quotient } r \ p \sqcap \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s * \text{top} \leq \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s * \text{symmetric-quotient } s \ p$

.

qed

lemma *syq-comp-top-syq*:

$\text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s * \text{symmetric-quotient } s \ p = \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ p \sqcap \text{top} * \text{symmetric-quotient } s \ p$

by (*metis conv-dist-comp conv-dist-inf symmetric-top-closed syq-comp-syq-top syq-converse*)

lemma *comp-syq-below*:

$r * \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s \leq s$

by (*simp add: schroeder-3 symmetric-quotient-def*)

lemma *comp-syq-top*:

$r * \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s = s \sqcap \text{top} * \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s$

proof (*rule order.antisym*)

show $r * \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s \leq s \sqcap \text{top} * \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s$

by (*simp add: comp-syq-below mult-left-isotone*)

have $s \sqcap \text{top} * \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s \leq s * \text{symmetric-quotient } s \ r * \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s$

by (*metis dedekind-2 inf-commute inf-top.right-neutral syq-converse*)

also have $\dots \leq r * \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s$

by (*simp add: comp-syq-below mult-left-isotone*)

finally show $s \sqcap \text{top} * \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s \leq r * \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s$

.

qed

lemma *syq-comp-isotone*:

symmetric-quotient $r\ s \leq \text{symmetric-quotient } (q * r) (q * s)$

proof –

have $q^T * -(q * s) \leq -s$

by (*simp add: conv-complement-sub-leq*)

hence $(q * r)^T * -(q * s) \leq r^T * -s$

by (*simp add: comp-associative conv-dist-comp mult-right-isotone*)

hence 1: $-(r^T * -s) \leq -((q * r)^T * -(q * s))$

by *simp*

have $-(q * r)^T * q \leq -r^T$

using *schröder-6* by *auto*

hence $-(q * r)^T * q * s \leq -r^T * s$

using *mult-left-isotone* by *auto*

hence $-(-r^T * s) \leq -(-(q * r)^T * q * s)$

by *simp*

thus *?thesis*

using 1 by (*metis comp-inf.comp-isotone mult-assoc symmetric-quotient-def*)

qed

lemma *syq-comp-isotone-eq*:

assumes *univalent* q

and *surjective* q

shows *symmetric-quotient* $r\ s = \text{symmetric-quotient } (q * r) (q * s)$

proof –

have *symmetric-quotient* $(q * r) (q * s) \leq \text{symmetric-quotient } (q^T * q * r) (q^T * q * s)$

by (*simp add: mult-assoc syq-comp-isotone*)

also have $\dots = \text{symmetric-quotient } r\ s$

using *assms antisym-conv mult-left-one surjective-var* by *auto*

finally show *?thesis*

by (*simp add: dual-order.antisym syq-comp-isotone*)

qed

lemma *univalent-comp-syq*:

assumes *univalent* p

shows $p * \text{symmetric-quotient } r\ s = p * \text{top} \sqcap \text{symmetric-quotient } (r * p^T)\ s$

proof –

have $p * \text{symmetric-quotient } r\ s = p * \text{top} \sqcap -(p * r^T * -s) \sqcap -(p * -r^T * s)$

by (*metis assms comp-associative comp-univalent-complement*

inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc mult-left-dist-sup p-dist-sup symmetric-quotient-def)

also have $\dots = p * \text{top} \sqcap -(p * r^T * -s) \sqcap -(p * \text{top} \sqcap -(p * r^T) * s)$

using *assms comp-univalent-complement vector-export-comp* by *auto*

also have $\dots = p * \text{top} \sqcap -(p * r^T * -s) \sqcap -(-(p * r^T) * s)$

by (*simp add: comp-inf.coreflexive-comp-inf-complement*)

also have $\dots = p * \text{top} \sqcap -((r * p^T)^T * -s) \sqcap -(-(r * p^T)^T * s)$

by (*simp add: conv-dist-comp*)

also have $\dots = p * \text{top} \sqcap \text{symmetric-quotient } (r * p^T)\ s$

by (simp add: inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc symmetric-quotient-def)
 finally show ?thesis

.
 qed

lemma coreflexive-comp-syq:
 coreflexive $p \implies p * \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s = p * \text{symmetric-quotient } (r * p) \ s$
 by (metis coreflexive-comp-top-inf coreflexive-injective coreflexive-symmetric
 univalent-comp-syq)

lemma injective-comp-syq:
 injective $p \implies \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s * p = \text{top} * p \sqcap \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ (s * p)$
 by (metis univalent-comp-syq[of $p^T \ s \ r$] conv-dist-comp conv-dist-inf
 conv-involutive symmetric-top-closed syq-converse)

lemma syq-comp-coreflexive:
 coreflexive $p \implies \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s * p = \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ (s * p) * p$
 by (simp add: injective-comp-syq coreflexive-idempotent coreflexive-symmetric
 mult-assoc)

lemma coreflexive-comp-syq-comp-coreflexive:
 coreflexive $p \implies \text{coreflexive } q \implies p * \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s * q = p * \text{symmetric-quotient } (r * p) \ (s * q) * q$
 by (metis coreflexive-comp-syq comp-associative syq-comp-coreflexive)

lemma surjective-syq:
 surjective $(\text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s) \implies r * \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s = s$
 by (metis comp-syq-top inf-top.right-neutral)

lemma comp-syq-surjective:
 assumes total $(-(\text{top} * r))$
 shows surjective $(\text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s) \longleftrightarrow r * \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s = s$
proof (rule iffI, fact surjective-syq)
 assume $r * \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s = s$
 hence 1: $\text{top} * s \leq \text{top} * \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s$
 by (metis comp-syq-top comp-inf-covector inf.absorb-iff1)
 have $-(\text{top} * s) = -(\text{top} * r) * -(\text{top} * s)$
 by (metis assms comp-associative complement-covector vector-top-closed)
 also have $\dots = \text{top} * (-(r^T * \text{top}) \sqcap -(\text{top} * s))$
 by (metis assms conv-complement conv-dist-comp covector-comp-inf
 covector-complement-closed inf-top.left-neutral symmetric-top-closed
 vector-top-closed mult-assoc)
 also have $\dots \leq \text{top} * (-(r^T * -s) \sqcap -(-r^T * s))$
 by (meson comp-inf.mult-isotone comp-isotone order-refl p-antitone
 top-greatest)
 finally have $-(\text{top} * s) \leq \text{top} * \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s$
 by (simp add: symmetric-quotient-def)
 thus surjective $(\text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s)$

using 1 by (metis compl-inter-eq inf.order-iff top-greatest)
qed

lemma *noyau-reflexive*:
 reflexive (noyau r)
 by (simp add: compl-le-swap1 conv-complement schroeder-3
 symmetric-quotient-def)

lemma *noyau-equivalence*:
 equivalence (noyau r)
 by (smt (z3) comp-associative comp-right-one conv-complement conv-dist-comp
 conv-dist-inf conv-involutive inf.antisym-conv inf.boundedI inf.cobounded1
 inf.sup-monoid.add-commute mult-right-isotone schroeder-5-p
 symmetric-quotient-def noyau-reflexive)

lemma *noyau-reflexive-comp*:
 $r * \text{noyau } r = r$
proof (rule order.antisym)
 show $r * \text{noyau } r \leq r$
 by (simp add: schroeder-3 symmetric-quotient-def)
 show $r \leq r * \text{noyau } r$
 using mult-right-isotone noyau-reflexive by fastforce
qed

lemma *syq-comp-reflexive*:
 $\text{noyau } r * \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s = \text{symmetric-quotient } r \ s$
 by (simp add: inf-absorb1 top-left-mult-increasing syq-comp-top-syq)

lemma *reflexive-antisymmetric-noyau*:
 assumes *reflexive* r
 and *antisymmetric* r
 shows $\text{noyau } r = 1$
proof –
 have 1: $-(r^T * -r) \leq r$
 using assms(1) brouwer.p-antitone-iff mult-left-isotone reflexive-conv-closed
 by fastforce
 have $-(-r^T * r) \leq r^T$
 by (metis assms(1) compl-le-swap2 mult-1-right mult-right-isotone)
 thus ?thesis
 using 1 by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) assms(2) inf.sup-mono
 inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc inf.sup-monoid.add-commute inf-absorb1
 symmetric-quotient-def noyau-equivalence)
qed

end

end

2 Divisibility

theory *Relational-Divisibility*

imports *Relational-Constructions*

begin

This theory gives relational axioms and definitions for divisibility. We start with the definitions, which are based on standard relational constructions. Then follow the axioms, which are relational formulations of axioms expressed in predicate logic in [2].

context *bounded-distrib-allegory-signature*

begin

definition *antichain* :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool **where**

antichain *r s* \equiv vector *s* \wedge *r* \sqcap *s* \sqcap *s*^{*T*} \leq 1

end

class *divisibility-op* =

fixes *divisibility* :: 'a (*D*)

class *divisibility-def* = *relation-algebra* + *divisibility-op*

begin

Dbot is the least element of the divisibility order, which represents the number 1.

definition *Dbot* :: 'a **where**

Dbot \equiv least *D* top

Datoms are the atoms of the divisibility order, which represent the prime numbers.

definition *Datoms* :: 'a **where**

Datoms \equiv minimal *D* (\neg *Dbot*)

Datoms are the mono-atomic elements of the divisibility order, which represent the prime powers.

definition *Dmono* :: 'a **where**

Dmono \equiv univalent-part $((D \sqcap \text{Datoms})^T) * \text{top}$

Dfactor relates *p* to *x* if and only if *p* is maximal prime power factor of *x*.

definition *Dfactor* :: 'a **where**

Dfactor \equiv maximal *D* (*D* \sqcap *Dmono*)

Dsupport relates *x* to *y* if and only if *y* is the product of all primes below *x*.

definition $Dsupport :: 'a \text{ where}$

$Dsupport \equiv \text{symmetric-quotient } (Datoms \sqcap D) \ Dfactor$

$Dsucc$ relates x to y if and only if y is the product of prime power x with its base prime.

definition $Dsucc :: 'a \text{ where}$

$Dsucc \equiv \text{greatest } D \ (D \sqcap -1)$

$Dinc$ relates x to y if and only if y is the product of x with all its base primes.

definition $Dinc :: 'a \text{ where}$

$Dinc \equiv \text{symmetric-quotient } Dfactor \ (Dsucc * Dfactor)$

$Datomsbot$ includes the number 1 with the prime numbers.

definition $Datomsbot :: 'a \text{ where}$

$Datomsbot \equiv Datoms \sqcup Dbot$

$Dmonobot$ includes the number 1 with the prime powers.

definition $Dmonobot :: 'a \text{ where}$

$Dmonobot \equiv Dmono \sqcup Dbot$

$Dfactorbot$ is like $Dfactor$ except it also relates 1 to 1.

definition $Dfactorbot :: 'a \text{ where}$

$Dfactorbot \equiv \text{maximal } D \ (D \sqcap Dmonobot)$

We consider the following axioms for D . They correspond to axioms A1–A3, A6–A9, A11–A13 and A15–A16 of [2].

abbreviation $D1\text{-reflexive} :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool where } D1\text{-reflexive} -$
 $\equiv \text{reflexive } D$

abbreviation $D2\text{-antisymmetric} :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool where } D2\text{-antisymmetric} -$
 $\equiv \text{antisymmetric } D$

abbreviation $D3\text{-transitive} :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool where } D3\text{-transitive} -$
 $\equiv \text{transitive } D$

abbreviation $D6\text{-least-surjective} :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool where } D6\text{-least-surjective} -$
 $\equiv \text{surjective } Dbot$

abbreviation $D7\text{-pre-f-decomposable} :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool where}$
 $D7\text{-pre-f-decomposable} - \equiv \text{supremum } D \ (D \sqcap Dmono) = 1$

abbreviation $D8\text{-fibred} :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool where } D8\text{-fibred} -$
 $\equiv Dmono \sqcap D^T * (Datoms \sqcap D) \sqcap Dmono^T \leq D \sqcup D^T$

abbreviation $D9\text{-f-decomposable} :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool where } D9\text{-f-decomposable} -$
 $\equiv Datoms \sqcap D \leq D * Dfactor$

abbreviation $D11\text{-atomic} :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool where } D11\text{-atomic} -$
 $\equiv D^T * Datoms = -Dbot$

abbreviation $D12\text{-infinite-base} :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool where } D12\text{-infinite-base} -$
 $\equiv -D^T * Datoms = \text{top}$

abbreviation $D13\text{-supportable} :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool where } D13\text{-supportable} -$
 $\equiv \text{total } Dsupport$

abbreviation $D15a\text{-discrete-fibers-succ} :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool where}$
 $D15a\text{-discrete-fibers-succ} - \equiv Dmono \leq Dsucc * \text{top}$

abbreviation *D15b-discrete-fibers-pred* :: 'a \Rightarrow bool **where**
D15b-discrete-fibers-pred - \equiv *Dmono* \leq *Dsucc*^T * *top*
abbreviation *D16-incrementable* :: 'a \Rightarrow bool **where** *D16-incrementable*
- \equiv *total Dinc*

2.1 Partial order

lemma *div-antisymmetric-equal*:
assumes *D1-reflexive* -
and *D2-antisymmetric* -
shows $D \sqcap D^T = 1$
by (*simp add: assms dual-order.antisym reflexive-conv-closed*)

lemma *div-idempotent*:
assumes *D1-reflexive* -
and *D3-transitive* -
shows *idempotent D*
using *assms preorder-idempotent* **by** *auto*

lemma *div-total*:
assumes *D1-reflexive* -
shows $D * \text{top} = \text{top}$
by (*simp add: assms reflexive-conv-closed reflexive-mult-closed total-var*)

lemma *div-surjective*:
assumes *D1-reflexive* -
shows $\text{top} * D = \text{top}$
by (*simp add: assms reflexive-conv-closed reflexive-mult-closed surjective-var*)

lemma *div-below-div-converse*:
assumes *D2-antisymmetric* -
and $x \leq D$
shows $D \sqcap x^T \leq x$
by (*smt assms conv-dist-inf conv-involutive coreflexive-symmetric*
inf.cobounded2 inf.orderE inf-left-commute)

2.2 Bounds

The least element can be introduced equivalently by

- * defining *Dbot* = *least D top* and axiomatising either *surjective Dbot* or *Dbot \neq bot*, or
- * axiomatising *point Dbot* and *Dbot $\leq D$* .

lemma *div-least-div*:
Dbot $\leq D$
by (*simp add: Dbot-def compl-le-swap2 least-def top-right-mult-increasing*)

lemma *div-least-vector*:

vector Dbot
by (*simp add: Dbot-def complement-vector least-def mult-assoc*)

lemma *div-least-injective*:
 assumes *D2-antisymmetric* -
 shows *injective Dbot*
by (*metis assms div-least-div div-least-vector antisymmetric-inf-closed inf.absorb2 vector-covector*)

lemma *div-least-point*:
 assumes *D2-antisymmetric* -
 and *D6-least-surjective* -
 shows *point Dbot*
using *assms div-least-injective div-least-vector* **by** *blast*

lemma *div-point-least*:
 assumes *D2-antisymmetric* -
 and *point x*
 and $x \leq D$
 shows $x = \text{least } D \text{ top}$
proof (*rule order.antisym*)
 show $x \leq \text{least } D \text{ top}$
by (*smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) assms(2,3) comp-associative double-comp inf-top.left-neutral least-def schroeder-4 vector-covector*)
 have $1: D \sqcap x^T \leq x$
by (*smt (verit, best) assms(1,3) conv-dist-inf inf.absorb1 inf.sup-same-context inf-assoc inf-le2 one-inf-conv*)
 have $-x = (-x \sqcap x^T) * \text{top}$
using *assms(2) complement-vector surjective-conv-total vector-inf-comp* **by** *auto*
 also have $\dots \leq -D * \text{top}$
using *1* **by** (*simp add: inf.sup-monoid.add-commute mult-left-isotone p-shunting-swap*)
 finally **show** $\text{least } D \text{ top} \leq x$
by (*simp add: compl-le-swap2 least-def*)
qed

lemma *div-least-surjective-iff*:
 assumes *D2-antisymmetric* -
 shows *D6-least-surjective* - $\longleftrightarrow (\exists x . \text{point } x \wedge x \leq D)$
using *Dbot-def assms div-least-div div-point-least div-least-point* **by** *auto*

lemma *div-least-converse*:
 assumes *D2-antisymmetric* -
 shows $D \sqcap Dbot^T \leq Dbot$
using *assms div-below-div-converse div-least-div* **by** *blast*

lemma *bot-div-bot*:
 assumes *D1-reflexive* -

and $D\beta$ -transitive -
shows $D * Dbot = Dbot$
by (*metis* *assms* *div-idempotent* *Dbot-def* *antisym-conv* *mult-1-left* *mult-left-isotone* *transitive-least*)

lemma *all-div-bot*:
assumes $D2$ -antisymmetric -
and $D6$ -least-surjective -
shows $D^T * Dbot = top$
using *assms* *div-least-div* *div-least-point* *inf.order-eq-iff* *schroeder-4-p* *schroeder-6* *shunt-bijective* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *div-strict-bot*:
assumes $D2$ -antisymmetric -
shows $(D \sqcap -1) * Dbot = bot$
proof -
have $(D^T \sqcap -1) * top \leq -D * top$
using *assms* *inf-commute* *mult-left-isotone* *p-shunting-swap* **by** *force*
thus *?thesis*
by (*smt* (*verit*, *ccfv-threshold*) *Dbot-def* *conv-complement* *conv-dist-comp* *conv-dist-inf* *conv-involutive* *equivalence-one-closed* *inf-p* *inf-top*.*left-neutral* *le-bot* *least-def* *regular-in-p-image-iff* *schroeder-6*)
qed

2.3 Atoms

The atoms can be introduced equivalently by

- * defining $Datoms = \text{minimal } D (-Dbot)$ and axiomatising either $D^T * Datoms = -Dbot$ or $-Dbot \leq D^T * Datoms$ or $-D \leq D^T * Datoms$, or
- * axiomatising *antichain* $D Datoms$ and $D^T * Datoms = -Dbot$.

lemma *div-atoms-vector*:
vector $Datoms$
by (*simp* *add*: *Datoms-def* *div-least-vector* *comp-associative* *minimal-def* *vector-complement-closed* *vector-inf-closed*)

lemma *div-atoms-bot-vector*:
vector $Datomsbot$
by (*simp* *add*: *div-atoms-vector* *Datomsbot-def* *div-least-vector* *mult-right-dist-sup*)

lemma *div-least-not-atom*:
 $Dbot \leq -Datoms$
by (*simp* *add*: *Datoms-def* *minimal-def*)

lemma *div-atoms-antichain*:

antichain D Datoms
proof (*unfold antichain-def, rule conjI, fact div-atoms-vector*)
 have $(D \sqcap -1) * \text{Datoms} \leq (D \sqcap -1) * -((D^T \sqcap -1) * -\text{Dbot})$
 by (*simp add: Datoms-def minimal-def mult-right-isotone*)
 also have $\dots \leq \text{Dbot}$
 by (*metis complement-conv-sub conv-complement conv-dist-inf*
equivalence-one-closed schroeder-5)
 also have $\dots \leq -\text{Datoms}$
 by (*simp add: Datoms-def minimal-def*)
 finally have $\text{Datoms} * \text{Datoms}^T \leq -D \sqcup 1$
 by (*simp add: schroeder-4-p*)
 thus $D \sqcap \text{Datoms} \sqcap \text{Datoms}^T \leq 1$
 by (*simp add: div-atoms-vector heyting.implies-galois-var inf-assoc*
vector-covector)
qed

lemma *div-atomic-bot:*
 assumes *D2-antisymmetric -*
 and *D6-least-surjective -*
 shows $D^T * \text{Datomsbot} = \text{top}$
 using *assms all-div-bot Datomsbot-def semiring.distrib-left* **by** *auto*

lemma *div-via-atom:*
 assumes *D3-transitive -*
 and *D11-atomic -*
 shows $-\text{Dbot} \sqcap D \leq D^T * (D \sqcap \text{Datoms})$
proof –
 have $D^T * \text{Datoms} \sqcap D \leq D^T * (D \sqcap \text{Datoms})$
 by (*smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) assms(1) conv-involutive dedekind-1*
inf.sup-monoid.add-commute inf.boundedI inf.order-lesseq-imp inf-le1
mult-right-isotone)
 thus ?thesis
 by (*simp add: assms(2)*)
qed

lemma *div-via-atom-bot:*
 assumes *D1-reflexive -*
 and *D2-antisymmetric -*
 and *D3-transitive -*
 and *D6-least-surjective -*
 shows $D \leq D^T * (D \sqcap \text{Datomsbot})$
proof –
 have $D^T * \text{Datomsbot} \sqcap D \leq D^T * (D \sqcap \text{Datomsbot})$
 by (*metis assms(1,3) div-idempotent conv-involutive dedekind-1*
inf.sup-monoid.add-commute)
 thus ?thesis
 by (*simp add: assms(2,4) div-atomic-bot*)
qed

lemma *div-converse-via-atom*:
 assumes *D3-transitive* -
 and *D11-atomic* -
 shows $-Dbot^T \sqcap D^T \leq D^T * (D \sqcap Datoms)$
proof -
 have *symmetric* ($D^T * (D \sqcap Datoms)$)
 by (*simp add: div-atoms-vector conv-dist-comp conv-dist-inf*
covector-inf-comp-3 inf.sup-monoid.add-commute)
 thus ?thesis
 by (*metis assms div-via-atom conv-complement conv-dist-inf conv-isotone*)
qed

lemma *div-converse-via-atom-bot*:
 assumes *D1-reflexive* -
 and *D2-antisymmetric* -
 and *D3-transitive* -
 and *D6-least-surjective* -
 shows $D^T \leq D^T * (D \sqcap Datomsbot)$
 by (*metis assms div-atoms-bot-vector div-idempotent div-via-atom-bot*
comp-inf-vector conv-dist-comp conv-dist-inf conv-involutive schroeder-4
schroeder-6 symmetric-top-closed)

lemma *div-comparable-via-atom*:
 assumes *D3-transitive* -
 and *D11-atomic* -
 shows $-Dbot \sqcap -Dbot^T \sqcap (D \sqcup D^T) \leq D^T * (D \sqcap Datoms)$
proof -
 have $-Dbot \sqcap -Dbot^T \sqcap (D \sqcup D^T) = (-Dbot \sqcap -Dbot^T \sqcap D) \sqcup (-Dbot \sqcap -Dbot^T \sqcap D^T)$
 by (*simp add: comp-inf.semiring.distrib-left*)
 also have $\dots \leq (-Dbot \sqcap D) \sqcup (-Dbot^T \sqcap D^T)$
 by (*metis comp-inf.coreflexive-comp-inf-comp comp-inf.semiring.add-mono*
inf.cobounded1 inf.cobounded2 top.extremum)
 also have $\dots \leq D^T * (D \sqcap Datoms)$
 by (*simp add: assms div-converse-via-atom div-via-atom*)
 finally show ?thesis
 .
qed

lemma *div-comparable-via-atom-bot*:
 assumes *D1-reflexive* -
 and *D2-antisymmetric* -
 and *D3-transitive* -
 and *D6-least-surjective* -
 shows $D \sqcup D^T \leq D^T * (D \sqcap Datomsbot)$
 by (*simp add: assms div-converse-via-atom-bot div-via-atom-bot*)

lemma *div-atomic-iff-1*:
 assumes *D3-transitive* -

shows $D11\text{-atomic} - \longleftrightarrow -Dbot \leq D^T * Datoms$
using $Datoms\text{-def}$ $Dbot\text{-def}$ $assms$ $transitive\text{-minimal}\text{-not}\text{-least}$ **by** $force$

lemma $div\text{-atomic}\text{-iff}\text{-2}$:
assumes $D3\text{-transitive} -$
shows $D11\text{-atomic} - \longleftrightarrow -D \leq D^T * Datoms$
by ($metis$ $Dbot\text{-def}$ $assms$ $div\text{-atomic}\text{-iff}\text{-1}$ $div\text{-atoms}\text{-vector}$ $div\text{-least}\text{-div}$ $brouwer.p\text{-antitone}$ $comp\text{-associative}$ $double\text{-compl}$ $inf\text{-top}$ $left\text{-neutral}$ $least\text{-def}$ $mult\text{-left}\text{-isotone}$)

lemma $div\text{-atoms}\text{-antichain}\text{-minimal}$:
assumes $D2\text{-antisymmetric} -$
and $D3\text{-transitive} -$
and $antichain\ D\ x$
and $D^T * x = -Dbot$
shows $x = minimal\ D\ (-Dbot)$

proof ($rule\ order.\text{antisym}$)
have $1: x \leq -Dbot$
by (smt ($verit$, $del\text{-insts}$) $assms(4)$ $Dbot\text{-def}$ $ex231d$ $div\text{-least}\text{-vector}$ $compl\text{-le}\text{-compl}\text{-iff}$ $conv\text{-complement}\text{-sub}\text{-leq}$ $conv\text{-involutive}$ $double\text{-compl}$ $inf\text{-top}$ $left\text{-neutral}$ $least\text{-def}$ $order\text{-lesseq}\text{-imp}$ $schroeder\text{-4}\text{-p}$ $top\text{-right}\text{-mult}\text{-increasing}$)
have $-Dbot \leq ((D^T \sqcap -1) \sqcup 1) * x$
by ($metis$ $assms(4)$ $heyting.\text{implies}\text{-galois}\text{-increasing}$ $mult\text{-left}\text{-isotone}$ $regular\text{-one}\text{-closed}$ $sup\text{-commute}$)
also have $\dots \leq x \sqcup (D^T \sqcap -1) * x$
by ($simp$ $add: mult\text{-right}\text{-dist}\text{-sup}$)
also have $\dots \leq x \sqcup (D^T \sqcap -1) * -Dbot$
using 1 $mult\text{-isotone}$ $sup\text{-right}\text{-isotone}$ **by** $blast$
finally have $-Dbot \sqcap -((D^T \sqcap -1) * -Dbot) \leq x$
using $half\text{-shunting}$ $sup\text{-neg}\text{-inf}$ **by** $blast$
thus $minimal\ D\ (-Dbot) \leq x$
by ($simp$ $add: minimal\text{-def}$)
have $2: D \sqcap -1 \sqcap x \leq -x^T$
using $assms(3)$ $antichain\text{-def}$ $inf.\text{sup}\text{-monoid}.\text{add}\text{-commute}$ $inf\text{-left}\text{-commute}$ $shunting\text{-1}$ **by** $auto$
have $D * (D \sqcap -1) \leq D \sqcap -1$
by (smt ($verit$, $ccfv\text{-threshold}$) $assms(1,2)$ $antisymmetric\text{-inf}\text{-diversity}$ $conv\text{-complement}$ $conv\text{-involutive}$ $conv\text{-order}$ $le\text{-inf}\text{-iff}$ $mult\text{-left}\text{-one}$ $mult\text{-right}\text{-isotone}$ $order\text{-lesseq}\text{-imp}$ $schroeder\text{-4}\text{-p}$)
hence $(D^T \sqcap -1) * D^T \leq D^T \sqcap -1$
by ($metis$ ($mono\text{-tags}$, $opaque\text{-lifting}$) $conv\text{-complement}$ $conv\text{-dist}\text{-comp}$ $conv\text{-dist}\text{-inf}$ $conv\text{-order}$ $equivalence\text{-one}\text{-closed}$)
hence $(D^T \sqcap -1) * -Dbot \leq (D^T \sqcap -1) * x$
by ($metis$ $assms(4)$ $comp\text{-associative}$ $mult\text{-left}\text{-isotone}$)
also have $\dots = (D \sqcap -1 \sqcap x)^T * top$
using $assms(3)$ $antichain\text{-def}$ $conv\text{-complement}$ $conv\text{-dist}\text{-inf}$ $covector\text{-inf}\text{-comp}\text{-3}$ **by** $auto$
also have $\dots \leq -x * top$

```

    using 2 by (metis conv-complement conv-involutive conv-order
mult-left-isotone)
    also have ... = -x
    using assms(3) antichain-def complement-vector by auto
    finally show  $x \leq \text{minimal } D \text{ } (-\text{Dbot})$ 
    using 1 by (simp add: minimal-def p-antitone-iff)
qed

```

```

lemma div-atomic-iff-3:
  assumes D2-antisymmetric -
    and D3-transitive -
  shows D11-atomic  $\iff (\exists x . \text{antichain } D \ x \wedge D^T * x = -\text{Dbot})$ 
  using Datoms-def assms div-atoms-antichain-minimal div-atoms-antichain by
fastforce

```

The literal translation of axiom A12 is $-\text{Dbot} \leq -D^T * \text{Datoms}$. However, this allows a model without atoms, where $\text{Dbot} = \text{top}$ and $\text{Datoms} = \text{Dmono} = \text{Dfactor} = \text{bot}$. Nitpick finds a counterexample to *surjective Datoms*. With A2 and A12 the latter is equivalent to $-D^T * \text{Datoms} = \text{top}$, which we use as a replacement for axiom A12.

```

lemma div-atom-surjective:
  assumes D12-infinite-base -
  shows surjective Datoms
  by (metis assms invertible-surjective top-greatest)

```

```

lemma div-infinite-base-upperbound:
  assumes D12-infinite-base -
  shows upperbound D Datoms = bot
  by (simp add: assms upperbound-def)

```

```

lemma div-atom-surjective-iff-infinite-base:
  assumes D2-antisymmetric -
    and  $-\text{Dbot} \leq -D^T * \text{Datoms}$ 
  shows surjective Datoms  $\iff$  D12-infinite-base -

```

```

proof (rule iffI)

```

```

  assume 1: surjective Datoms
  have 2:  $\text{Dbot} \sqcap -\text{Dbot}^T \leq -D^T$ 
    by (metis assms(1) div-least-converse conv-dist-inf conv-involutive conv-order
double-compl inf.sup-monoid.add-commute p-shunting-swap)
  have  $\text{top} = \text{top} * \text{Datoms}$ 
    using 1 by simp
  also have ... =  $\text{top} * (-\text{Dbot} \sqcap \text{Datoms})$ 
    by (simp add: Datoms-def minimal-def)
  also have ... =  $-\text{Dbot}^T * \text{Datoms}$ 
    by (metis complement-vector conv-complement covector-inf-comp-3
div-least-vector inf-top.left-neutral)
  finally have  $\text{Dbot} = \text{Dbot} \sqcap -\text{Dbot}^T * \text{Datoms}$ 
    by simp
  also have ... =  $(\text{Dbot} \sqcap -\text{Dbot}^T) * \text{Datoms}$ 

```

```

    by (simp add: div-least-vector vector-inf-comp)
  also have ...  $\leq -D^T * \text{Datoms}$ 
    using 2 mult-left-isotone by auto
  finally have  $\text{Dbot} \leq -D^T * \text{Datoms}$ 
  .
  thus  $-D^T * \text{Datoms} = \text{top}$ 
    by (metis assms(2) sup-absorb2 sup-shunt)
next
  assume  $-D^T * \text{Datoms} = \text{top}$ 
  thus surjective  $\text{Datoms}$ 
    using div-atom-surjective by auto
qed

```

2.4 Fibers

lemma *div-mono-vector:*
vector Dmono
 by (simp add: Dmono-def comp-associative)

lemma *div-mono-bot-vector:*
vector Dmonobot
 by (simp add: div-mono-vector Dmonobot-def div-least-vector vector-sup-closed)

lemma *div-atom-mono-atom:*
 $\text{Datoms} \sqcap D * (D^T \sqcap \text{Dmono}) \sqcap \text{Datoms}^T \leq 1$
proof –
 let $?u = \text{univalent-part } ((D \sqcap \text{Datoms})^T)$
 have 1: $D^T \sqcap ?u * \text{top} \leq ?u * (?u^T * D^T)$
 by (metis dedekind-1 inf.absorb-iff1 inf-commute top-greatest)
 have 2: $(\text{Datoms} \sqcap D) * ?u \leq 1$
 by (metis conv-involutive inf.sup-monoid.add-commute
 univalent-part-times-converse-1)
 have $\text{Datoms} \sqcap D * (D^T \sqcap \text{Dmono}) \sqcap \text{Datoms}^T = (\text{Datoms} \sqcap D) * (D^T \sqcap ?u * \text{top}) \sqcap \text{Datoms}^T$
 by (metis div-atoms-vector Dmono-def vector-export-comp)
 also have ... $\leq (\text{Datoms} \sqcap D) * ?u * ?u^T * D^T \sqcap \text{Datoms}^T$
 using 1 by (simp add: comp-associative inf.sup-monoid.add-commute le-infI2
 mult-right-isotone)
 also have ... $\leq ?u^T * D^T \sqcap \text{Datoms}^T$
 using 2 by (metis comp-inf.mult-left-isotone mult-left-isotone
 comp-associative comp-left-one)
 also have ... $= ?u^T * (D \sqcap \text{Datoms})^T$
 using div-atoms-vector conv-dist-inf covector-comp-inf vector-conv-covector by
 force
 also have ... $= ?u^T * ?u$
 by (metis conv-dist-comp conv-involutive univalent-part-times-converse)
 also have ... ≤ 1
 by (simp add: univalent-part-univalent)
 finally show ?thesis

qed

lemma *div-atoms-mono*:

assumes *D1-reflexive* -

shows $Datoms \leq Dmono$

proof -

have $D^T \sqcap Datoms \sqcap Datoms^T \leq 1$

by (*smt* (*verit*, *ccfv-threshold*) *div-atoms-antichain antichain-def conv-dist-inf conv-involutive coreflexive-symmetric inf.left-commute inf.sup-monoid.add-commute*)

hence $1 \sqcap (D^T \sqcap Datoms \sqcap Datoms^T) * -1 \leq bot$

by (*metis* *bot-least coreflexive-comp-top-inf inf-compl-bot-right*)

hence $1 \sqcap Datoms \sqcap (D^T \sqcap Datoms^T) * -1 \leq bot$

by (*smt* (*verit*, *ccfv-threshold*) *div-atoms-vector inf.sup-monoid.add-commute inf-assoc vector-inf-comp*)

hence $1 \sqcap Datoms \leq -((D^T \sqcap Datoms^T) * -1)$

using *le-bot pseudo-complement* **by** *blast*

hence $1 \sqcap Datoms \sqcap Datoms^T \leq D^T \sqcap -((D^T \sqcap Datoms^T) * -1) \sqcap Datoms^T$

using *comp-inf.mult-left-isotone assms reflexive-conv-closed* **by** *fastforce*

hence $(1 \sqcap Datoms \sqcap Datoms^T) * top \leq (D^T \sqcap -((D^T \sqcap Datoms^T) * -1) \sqcap Datoms^T) * top$

using *mult-left-isotone* **by** *blast*

hence $Datoms \leq (D^T \sqcap -((D^T \sqcap Datoms^T) * -1) \sqcap Datoms^T) * top$

by (*smt* (*verit*) *div-atoms-vector inf.absorb2 inf.cobounded2 inf.left-commute inf-top.right-neutral one-inf-conv vector-export-comp-unit*)

also have $\dots = ((D \sqcap Datoms)^T \sqcap -((D \sqcap Datoms)^T * -1)) * top$

by (*smt* (*verit*) *conv-dist-inf inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc inf.sup-monoid.add-commute univalent-part-def*)

finally show *?thesis*

by (*simp* *add: Dmono-def univalent-part-def*)

qed

lemma *div-mono-downclosed*:

assumes *D3-transitive* -

and *D11-atomic* -

shows $-Dbot \sqcap D * Dmono \leq Dmono$

proof -

let $?u = \text{univalent-part } ((D \sqcap Datoms)^T)$

have $-Dbot \sqcap D * ?u = (-Dbot \sqcap D) * ?u$

by (*simp* *add: Dbot-def least-def vector-export-comp*)

also have $\dots \leq D^T * (D \sqcap Datoms) * ?u$

by (*simp* *add: assms div-via-atom mult-left-isotone*)

also have $\dots \leq D^T$

by (*metis* *comp-associative comp-right-one conv-involutive mult-right-isotone univalent-part-times-converse-1*)

finally have $1: -Dbot \sqcap D * ?u \leq D^T$

have $(Datoms \sqcap D) * D \leq Datoms \sqcap D$

using *assms(1) div-atoms-vector inf-mono vector-inf-comp* **by** *auto*
 hence $D^T * (D \sqcap \text{Datoms})^T * -1 \leq (D \sqcap \text{Datoms})^T * -1$
by (*metis conv-dist-comp conv-isotone inf-commute mult-left-isotone*)
 hence 2: $D * -((D \sqcap \text{Datoms})^T * -1) \leq -((D \sqcap \text{Datoms})^T * -1)$
by (*simp add: comp-associative schroeder-3-p*)
 have $D * ?u \leq D * (D^T \sqcap \text{Datoms}^T) \sqcap D * -((D \sqcap \text{Datoms})^T * -1)$
 using *comp-right-subdist-inf conv-dist-inf univalent-part-def* **by** *auto*
 also have $\dots \leq \text{Datoms}^T \sqcap D * -((D \sqcap \text{Datoms})^T * -1)$
 using *div-atoms-vector comp-inf.mult-left-isotone covector-comp-inf*
vector-conv-covector **by** *force*
 finally have $-Dbot \sqcap D * ?u \leq D^T \sqcap D * -((D \sqcap \text{Datoms})^T * -1) \sqcap \text{Datoms}^T$
 using 1 **by** (*simp add: inf.coboundedI2*)
 also have $\dots \leq D^T \sqcap -((D \sqcap \text{Datoms})^T * -1) \sqcap \text{Datoms}^T$
 using 2 *comp-inf.comp-isotone* **by** *blast*
 also have $\dots = ?u$
by (*smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) conv-dist-inf inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc*
inf.sup-monoid.add-commute univalent-part-def)
 finally have $-Dbot \sqcap D * ?u * top \leq ?u * top$
by (*metis div-least-vector mult-left-isotone vector-complement-closed*
vector-inf-comp)
 thus $-Dbot \sqcap D * Dmono \leq Dmono$
by (*simp add: Dmono-def comp-associative*)
qed

lemma *div-mono-bot-downclosed:*

assumes *D1-reflexive* -
 and *D3-transitive* -
 and *D11-atomic* -
 shows $D * Dmonobot \leq Dmonobot$

proof -

have $D * Dmonobot = D * Dmono \sqcup D * Dbot$
 using *Dmonobot-def comp-left-dist-sup* **by** *auto*
 also have $\dots = (-Dbot \sqcap D * Dmono) \sqcup Dbot$
by (*simp add: assms(1,2) bot-div-bot sup-commute*)
 also have $\dots \leq Dmonobot$
 using *assms div-mono-downclosed Dmonobot-def sup-left-isotone* **by** *auto*
 finally **show** *?thesis*

qed

lemma *div-least-not-mono:*

assumes *D2-antisymmetric* -
 shows $Dbot \leq -Dmono$

proof -

let $?u = \text{univalent-part } ((D \sqcap \text{Datoms})^T)$
 have 1: $Dbot \sqcap D^T \leq Dbot^T$
by (*metis assms div-least-converse conv-dist-inf conv-involutive conv-order*
inf.sup-monoid.add-commute)

have $Dbot \sqcap ?u \leq Dbot \sqcap D^T \sqcap Datoms^T$
using *conv-dist-inf inf.sup-left-divisibility inf-assoc univalent-part-def* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots \leq Dbot^T \sqcap Datoms^T$
using *1 inf.sup-left-isotone* **by** *blast*
also have $\dots \leq bot$
by (*metis div-least-not-atom bot-least conv-dist-inf coreflexive-symmetric pseudo-complement*)
finally show *?thesis*
by (*metis Dmono-def compl-le-swap1 div-least-vector inf-top.right-neutral mult-left-isotone p-top pseudo-complement vector-complement-closed*)
qed

lemma *div-fibered-transitive-1*:

assumes *D1-reflexive -*
and *D2-antisymmetric -*
and *D3-transitive -*
and *D11-atomic -*
shows $Dmono \sqcap D^T * (Datoms \sqcap D) \sqcap Dmono^T = Dmono \sqcap (D \sqcup D^T) * (Dmono \sqcap (D \sqcup D^T)) \sqcap Dmono^T$
proof (*rule order.antisym*)
show $Dmono \sqcap D^T * (Datoms \sqcap D) \sqcap Dmono^T \leq Dmono \sqcap (D \sqcup D^T) * (Dmono \sqcap (D \sqcup D^T)) \sqcap Dmono^T$
using *assms(1) div-atoms-mono comp-inf.mult-right-isotone inf.sup-left-isotone inf.sup-mono mult-isotone sup.cobounded1 sup-ge2* **by** *auto*
have $Dmono \sqcap (D \sqcup D^T) * (Dmono \sqcap (D \sqcup D^T)) \sqcap Dmono^T = (Dmono \sqcap (D \sqcup D^T) \sqcap Dmono^T) * (Dmono \sqcap (D \sqcup D^T)) \sqcap Dmono^T$
by (*metis div-mono-vector covector-inf-comp-2 vector-export-comp*)
also have $\dots \leq (-Dbot \sqcap (D \sqcup D^T) \sqcap Dmono^T) * (Dmono \sqcap (D \sqcup D^T)) \sqcap Dmono^T$
using *assms(2) div-least-not-mono comp-inf.mult-left-isotone compl-le-swap1 mult-left-isotone* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots \leq (-Dbot \sqcap (D \sqcup D^T) \sqcap -Dbot^T) * (Dmono \sqcap (D \sqcup D^T)) \sqcap Dmono^T$
by (*smt (verit) assms(2) div-least-not-mono compl-le-compl-iff conv-complement conv-order double-comp inf.sup-monoid.add-commute inf.sup-right-isotone mult-left-isotone*)
also have $\dots \leq D^T * (D \sqcap Datoms) * (Dmono \sqcap (D \sqcup D^T)) \sqcap Dmono^T$
by (*smt (verit, del-insts) assms(3,4) div-comparable-via-atom inf-commute inf-left-commute inf-sup-distrib1 mult-right-dist-sup sup.order-iff*)
also have $\dots = D^T * (D \sqcap Datoms \sqcap Dmono^T) * (Dmono \sqcap (D \sqcup D^T) \sqcap Dmono^T)$
by (*smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) div-mono-vector covector-comp-inf covector-inf-comp-2 vector-conv-covector*)
also have $\dots \leq D^T * (D \sqcap Datoms \sqcap Dmono^T) * (-Dbot \sqcap (D \sqcup D^T) \sqcap Dmono^T)$
using *assms(2) div-least-not-mono comp-inf.mult-left-isotone compl-le-swap1 mult-right-isotone* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots \leq D^T * (D \sqcap Datoms \sqcap Dmono^T) * (-Dbot \sqcap (D \sqcup D^T) \sqcap -Dbot^T)$

by (*metis* *assms*(2) *div-least-not-mono* *comp-inf.mult-right-isotone*
compl-le-swap1 *conv-complement* *conv-order* *mult-right-isotone*)
also have ... = $D^T * (D \sqcap \text{Datoms} \sqcap \text{Dmono}^T) * (-\text{Dbot} \sqcap (D \sqcup D^T) \sqcap -\text{Dbot}^T)^T$
using *conv-complement* *conv-dist-inf* *conv-dist-sup* *conv-involutive*
inf.sup-monoid.add-commute *inf-assoc* *sup-commute* **by** *auto*
also have ... $\leq D^T * (D \sqcap \text{Datoms} \sqcap \text{Dmono}^T) * (D^T * (D \sqcap \text{Datoms}))^T$
using *assms*(3,4) *div-comparable-via-atom* *conv-order*
inf.sup-monoid.add-commute *inf-assoc* *mult-right-isotone* **by** *auto*
also have ... = $D^T * (D \sqcap \text{Datoms} \sqcap \text{Dmono}^T) * (D \sqcap \text{Datoms})^T * D$
by (*simp* *add: comp-associative* *conv-dist-comp*)
also have ... = $D^T * (\text{Datoms} \sqcap D * (\text{Dmono} \sqcap D^T) \sqcap \text{Datoms}^T) * D$
by (*smt* (*verit*, *ccfv-threshold*) *div-atoms-vector* *div-mono-vector*
comp-associative *conv-dist-inf* *covector-inf-comp-3* *inf.sup-monoid.add-commute*)
also have ... = $D^T * (\text{Datoms} \sqcap D * (\text{Dmono} \sqcap D^T) \sqcap \text{Datoms}^T) * (\text{Datoms} \sqcap D)$
using *div-atoms-vector* *covector-comp-inf* *covector-inf-comp-3*
vector-conv-covector **by** *auto*
also have ... $\leq D^T * (\text{Datoms} \sqcap D)$
by (*metis* *div-atom-mono-atom* *comp-right-one* *inf.sup-monoid.add-commute*
mult-left-isotone *mult-right-isotone*)
finally show $\text{Dmono} \sqcap (D \sqcup D^T) * (\text{Dmono} \sqcap (D \sqcup D^T)) \sqcap \text{Dmono}^T \leq$
 $\text{Dmono} \sqcap D^T * (\text{Datoms} \sqcap D) \sqcap \text{Dmono}^T$
by (*simp* *add: inf.coboundedI2* *inf.sup-monoid.add-commute*)
qed

lemma *div-fibered-iff*:

assumes *D1-reflexive* -
and *D2-antisymmetric* -
and *D3-transitive* -
and *D11-atomic* -
shows $\text{D8-fibered} \iff \text{Dmono} \sqcap (D \sqcup D^T) * (\text{Dmono} \sqcap (D \sqcup D^T)) \sqcap \text{Dmono}^T \leq D \sqcup D^T$
using *assms* *div-fibered-transitive-1* **by** *auto*

lemma *div-fibered-transitive*:

assumes *D1-reflexive* -
and *D2-antisymmetric* -
and *D3-transitive* -
and *D8-fibered* -
and *D11-atomic* -
shows $\text{Dmono} \sqcap (D \sqcup D^T) * (\text{Dmono} \sqcap (D \sqcup D^T)) \sqcap \text{Dmono}^T \leq D \sqcup D^T$
using *assms* *div-fibered-transitive-1* **by** *auto*

2.5 Fiber decomposition

lemma *div-factor-div-mono*:

$\text{Dfactor} \leq D \sqcap \text{Dmono}$
by (*metis* *Dfactor-def* *inf.cobounded1* *maximal-def*)

```

lemma div-factor-div:
   $D_{\text{factor}} \leq D$ 
  using div-factor-div-mono by auto

lemma div-factor-mono:
   $D_{\text{factor}} \leq D_{\text{mono}}$ 
  using div-factor-div-mono by auto

lemma div-factor-one-mono:
   $D_{\text{factor}} \sqcap 1 \leq D_{\text{mono}}$ 
  using div-factor-mono inf.coboundedI1 by blast

lemma div-pre-f-decomposable-1:
  assumes D2-antisymmetric -
    and D7-pre-f-decomposable -
  shows  $\text{upperbound } D (D \sqcap D_{\text{mono}}) \leq D^T$ 
  using assms supremum-upperbound by force

lemma div-pre-f-decomposable-iff:
  assumes D2-antisymmetric -
  shows  $D7\text{-pre-f-decomposable} - \longleftrightarrow \text{upperbound } D (D \sqcap D_{\text{mono}}) \leq D^T$ 
  using assms supremum-upperbound by force

lemma div-pre-f-decomposable-char:
  assumes D2-antisymmetric -
    and D7-pre-f-decomposable -
  shows  $\text{upperbound } D (D \sqcap D_{\text{mono}}) \sqcap (\text{upperbound } D (D \sqcap D_{\text{mono}}))^T = 1$ 
proof (rule order.antisym)
  have  $1 \leq \text{upperbound } D (D \sqcap D_{\text{mono}})$ 
    by (simp add: compl-le-swap1 conv-complement schroeder-3-p upperbound-def)
  thus  $1 \leq \text{upperbound } D (D \sqcap D_{\text{mono}}) \sqcap (\text{upperbound } D (D \sqcap D_{\text{mono}}))^T$ 
    using le-inf-iff reflexive-conv-closed by blast
  have  $\text{upperbound } D (D \sqcap D_{\text{mono}}) \sqcap (\text{upperbound } D (D \sqcap D_{\text{mono}}))^T \leq D^T \sqcap D$ 
    by (metis assms comp-inf.comp-isotone conv-involutive conv-order
div-pre-f-decomposable-1)
  thus  $\text{upperbound } D (D \sqcap D_{\text{mono}}) \sqcap (\text{upperbound } D (D \sqcap D_{\text{mono}}))^T \leq 1$ 
    by (metis assms(1) inf.absorb2 inf.boundedE inf-commute)
qed

lemma div-factor-bot:
  assumes D1-reflexive -
    and D2-antisymmetric -
    and D3-transitive -
    and D11-atomic -
  shows  $D_{\text{factorbot}} = D_{\text{factor}} \sqcup (D_{\text{bot}} \sqcap D_{\text{bot}}^T)$ 
proof -
  have  $D_{\text{bot}} \sqcap D_{\text{atoms}}^T \leq -1$ 
    by (metis comp-inf.semiring.mult-not-zero div-least-not-atom)

```

inf.sup-monoid.add-commute inf-left-commute one-inf-conv pseudo-complement
hence $Dbot \sqcap Datoms^T * D = (Dbot \sqcap Datoms^T \sqcap -1) * D$
by (*simp add: div-least-vector inf.absorb1 vector-inf-comp*)
also have $\dots = (Dbot \sqcap -1) * (D \sqcap Dmono)$
by (*smt (verit, del-insts) div-atoms-vector comp-inf-vector conv-dist-comp*
inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc inf.sup-monoid.add-commute symmetric-top-closed)
also have $\dots \leq (D \sqcap -1) * (D \sqcap Dmono)$
using *assms(1) div-atoms-mono div-least-div comp-isotone inf.sup-mono*
order-refl **by** *blast*
finally have $1: Dbot \sqcap Datoms^T * D \leq (D \sqcap -1) * (D \sqcap Dmono)$
•
hence $1: Dbot \sqcap -((D \sqcap -1) * (D \sqcap Dmono)) \leq Dbot^T$
by (*metis assms(4) conv-complement conv-dist-comp conv-involutive*
double-compl p-shunting-swap)
have $Dbot \sqcap Dbot^T \sqcap (D \sqcap -1) * (D \sqcap Dmono) \leq Dbot^T \sqcap (D \sqcap -1) * D$
using *comp-inf.mult-right-isotone comp-right-subdist-inf*
inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc **by** *force*
also have $\dots = bot$
by (*smt (verit, best) assms bot-div-bot div-atoms-vector div-strict-bot*
comp-associative comp-inf.vector-bot-closed complement-vector conv-dist-comp
schroeder-2 symmetric-top-closed)
finally have $Dbot \sqcap Dbot^T \leq -((D \sqcap -1) * (D \sqcap Dmono))$
using *le-bot pseudo-complement* **by** *blast*
hence $2: Dbot \sqcap -((D \sqcap -1) * (D \sqcap Dmono)) = Dbot \sqcap Dbot^T$
using 1 **by** (*smt (verit, del-insts) inf.absorb1 inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc*
inf-commute)
have $Dfactorbot = D \sqcap (Dmono \sqcup Dbot) \sqcap -((D \sqcap -1) * (D \sqcap Dmono)) \sqcap$
 $-((D \sqcap -1) * (D \sqcap Dbot))$
by (*simp add: Dfactorbot-def Dmonobot-def comp-inf.vector-inf-comp*
inf-sup-distrib1 maximal-def mult-left-dist-sup)
also have $\dots = D \sqcap (Dmono \sqcup Dbot) \sqcap -((D \sqcap -1) * (D \sqcap Dmono))$
by (*simp add: assms(2) div-strict-bot div-least-div inf.absorb2*)
also have $\dots = Dfactor \sqcup (Dbot \sqcap -((D \sqcap -1) * (D \sqcap Dmono)))$
using *div-least-div Dfactor-def comp-inf.mult-right-dist-sup inf.absorb2*
inf-sup-distrib1 maximal-def **by** *auto*
finally show *?thesis*
using 2 **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *div-factor-surjective*:

assumes *D1-reflexive -*
and *D3-transitive -*
and *D9-f-decomposable -*
and *D11-atomic -*
shows *surjective (Dbot^T \sqcup Dfactor)*

proof –

have $D \sqcap Datoms \leq top * Dfactor$

by (*metis assms(3) inf.sup-monoid.add-commute mult-left-isotone*
order-lesseq-imp top-greatest)

hence $D^T * (D \sqcap \text{Datoms}) \leq \text{top} * \text{Dfactor}$
 by (metis covector-mult-closed mult-isotone top-greatest vector-top-closed)
 hence $-D\text{bot} \sqcap D \leq \text{top} * \text{Dfactor}$
 using assms(2,4) div-via-atom by auto
 hence $\text{top} * (-D\text{bot} \sqcap D) \leq \text{top} * \text{Dfactor}$
 by (metis comp-associative mult-right-isotone vector-top-closed)
 hence $-D\text{bot}^T * D \leq \text{top} * \text{Dfactor}$
 by (simp add: Dbot-def comp-inf-vector conv-complement conv-dist-comp
 inf.sup-monoid.add-commute least-def)
 hence $-D\text{bot}^T \leq \text{top} * \text{Dfactor}$
 by (metis assms(1) bot-least case-split-right inf.sup-monoid.add-commute
 maddux-3-21 semiring.mult-not-zero shunting-1 sup.cobounded2)
 hence $\text{top} \leq D\text{bot}^T \sqcup \text{top} * \text{Dfactor}$
 by (simp add: sup-neg-inf)
 thus ?thesis
 using div-least-vector mult-left-dist-sup top-le vector-conv-covector by auto
 qed

lemma div-factor-bot-surjective:

assumes $D1\text{-reflexive}$ -
 and $D2\text{-antisymmetric}$ -
 and $D3\text{-transitive}$ -
 and $D9\text{-f-decomposable}$ -
 and $D11\text{-atomic}$ -
 shows surjective Dfactorbot
 proof -
 have $\text{top} * (D\text{bot} \sqcap D\text{bot}^T) = \text{top} * D\text{bot}^T$
 by (smt (verit) conv-dist-comp covector-inf-comp-3 div-least-vector ex231d
 mult-right-isotone order.eq-iff top-greatest vector-conv-covector vector-covector
 vector-top-closed)
 thus ?thesis
 using assms div-factor-bot div-factor-surjective mult-left-dist-sup
 sup-monoid.add-commute by force
 qed

lemma div-factor-surjective-2:

assumes $D1\text{-reflexive}$ -
 and $D3\text{-transitive}$ -
 and $D9\text{-f-decomposable}$ -
 and $D11\text{-atomic}$ -
 shows $-D \leq \text{Dfactor}^T * \text{top}$
 proof -
 have $-D^T \leq -D\text{bot}^T$
 using div-least-div conv-order by auto
 also have $\dots \leq \text{top} * \text{Dfactor}$
 by (metis assms div-factor-surjective conv-dist-comp equivalence-top-closed
 mult-left-dist-sup sup-shunt div-least-vector)
 finally show ?thesis
 by (metis conv-complement conv-dist-comp conv-involutive conv-order)

equivalence-top-closed)

qed

lemma *div-conv-factor-div-factor*:

assumes *D1-reflexive* -

shows $Dmono \sqcap D^T * Dfactor \sqcap D \leq D * Dfactor$

proof -

have $-(1 \sqcup -D^T)^T * (Dmono \sqcap D) \leq (D \sqcap -1) * (D \sqcap Dmono)$

by (*simp add: conv-complement conv-dist-sup inf.sup-monoid.add-commute*)

hence $(Dmono \sqcap D) * -((D \sqcap -1) * (D \sqcap Dmono))^T \leq 1 \sqcup -D^T$

using *schroeder-5 schroeder-6* **by** *blast*

hence $1: Dmono \sqcap D^T \sqcap D * -((D \sqcap -1) * (D \sqcap Dmono))^T \leq 1$

by (*simp add: Dmono-def heyting.implies-galois-var*

inf.sup-monoid.add-commute inf-assoc inf-vector-comp sup-commute)

have $Dfactor^T \leq -((D \sqcap -1) * (D \sqcap Dmono))^T$

by (*metis Dfactor-def conv-complement conv-order inf.sup-right-divisibility maximal-def*)

hence $2: Dmono \sqcap D^T \sqcap D * Dfactor^T \leq 1$

using *1* **by** (*meson inf.sup-right-isotone mult-right-isotone order-trans*)

hence $(Dmono \sqcap D^T \sqcap D * Dfactor^T) * Dfactor \sqcap D \leq D * Dfactor$

using *assms(1) dual-order.trans inf.coboundedI1 mult-left-isotone* **by** *blast*

thus *?thesis*

using *2* **by** (*smt (verit, del-insts) div-factor-div Dmono-def*

coreflexive-comp-top-inf dedekind-2 dual-order.trans inf.absorb1 inf-assoc

vector-export-comp)

qed

lemma *div-f-decomposable-mono*:

assumes *D1-reflexive* -

and *D2-antisymmetric* -

and *D3-transitive* -

and *D8-fibered* -

and *D9-f-decomposable* -

and *D11-atomic* -

shows $Dmono \sqcap D \leq D * Dfactor$

proof -

have $Dmono \sqcap D = Dmono \sqcap -Dbot \sqcap D$

by (*metis assms(2) div-least-not-mono compl-le-swap1 inf.order-iff*)

also have $\dots = Dmono \sqcap D^T * (D \sqcap Datoms) \sqcap D$

by (*smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) assms(2,3,6) div-least-not-mono div-via-atom compl-le-swap1 inf.le-iff-sup inf-assoc inf-left-commute*)

also have $\dots = Dmono \sqcap D^T * (D \sqcap Datoms \sqcap D * Dfactor) \sqcap D$

using *assms(5) inf.le-iff-sup inf.sup-monoid.add-commute* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = Dmono \sqcap D^T * (D \sqcap Datoms \sqcap D * (Dmono \sqcap Dfactor)) \sqcap D$

using *div-factor-mono inf.le-iff-sup* **by** *fastforce*

also have $\dots = Dmono \sqcap D^T * (D \sqcap (Datoms \sqcap D \sqcap Dmono^T) * Dfactor) \sqcap D$

using *div-atoms-vector div-mono-vector covector-inf-comp-3*

inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc vector-inf-comp **by** *auto*

also have $\dots \leq Dmono \sqcap D^T * (Datoms \sqcap D \sqcap Dmono^T) * Dfactor \sqcap D$

by (simp add: comp-associative inf.coboundedI2 inf.sup-monoid.add-commute
 mult-right-isotone)
 also have ... = $Dmono \sqcap (Dmono \sqcap D^T * (Datoms \sqcap D) \sqcap Dmono^T) *$
 $Dfactor \sqcap D$
 by (metis div-mono-vector covector-comp-inf inf.left-idem vector-conv-covector
 vector-export-comp)
 also have ... $\leq Dmono \sqcap (D \sqcup D^T) * Dfactor \sqcap D$
 by (metis assms(4) inf.sup-monoid.add-commute inf.sup-right-isotone
 mult-left-isotone)
 also have ... = $(Dmono \sqcap D * Dfactor \sqcap D) \sqcup (Dmono \sqcap D^T * Dfactor \sqcap D)$
 by (simp add: inf-sup-distrib1 inf-sup-distrib2 mult-right-dist-sup)
 also have ... $\leq D * Dfactor$
 by (simp add: assms(1) div-conv-factor-div-factor inf.coboundedI1)
 finally show ?thesis

qed

lemma *div-pre-f-decomposable-2:*

assumes *D2-antisymmetric* -
 and *D7-pre-f-decomposable* -
 shows $-D \leq (D \sqcap Dmono)^T * -D$
 by (metis assms brouwer.p-antitone-iff conv-complement conv-dist-comp
 conv-involutive conv-order div-pre-f-decomposable-1 upperbound-def)

lemma *div-f-decomposable-char-1:*

assumes *D1-reflexive* -
 and *D2-antisymmetric* -
 and *D3-transitive* -
 and *D7-pre-f-decomposable* -
 and *D8-fibered* -
 and *D9-f-decomposable* -
 and *D11-atomic* -
 shows $Dfactor^T * -D = -D$
proof (rule order.antisym)
 have $Dfactor * D \leq D$
 using assms(3) div-factor-div dual-order.trans mult-left-isotone by blast
 thus $Dfactor^T * -D \leq -D$
 by (simp add: schroeder-3-p)
 have $-D \leq (D \sqcap Dmono)^T * -D$
 by (simp add: assms(2,4) div-pre-f-decomposable-2)
 also have ... $\leq Dfactor^T * D^T * -D$
 by (metis assms(1-3,5-7) div-f-decomposable-mono conv-dist-comp
 conv-order inf-commute mult-left-isotone)
 also have ... $\leq Dfactor^T * -D$
 using assms(3) comp-associative mult-right-isotone schroeder-3 by auto
 finally show $-D \leq Dfactor^T * -D$

qed

lemma *div-f-decomposable-char-2*:
assumes *D1-reflexive* -
 and *D2-antisymmetric* -
 and *D3-transitive* -
 and *D7-pre-f-decomposable* -
 and *D8-fibered* -
 and *D9-f-decomposable* -
 and *D11-atomic* -
shows *noyau Dfactor = 1*
proof (rule *order.antisym*)
 show *reflexive (noyau Dfactor)*
 by (*simp add: noyau-reflexive*)
 have $-(Dfactor^T * -Dfactor) \leq -(Dfactor^T * -D)$
 by (*simp add: div-factor-div mult-right-isotone*)
 also have $\dots = D$
 by (*simp add: assms div-f-decomposable-char-1*)
 finally have $1: -(Dfactor^T * -Dfactor) \leq D$

 hence $-(-Dfactor^T * Dfactor) \leq D^T$
 by (*metis conv-complement conv-dist-comp conv-involutive conv-order*)
 thus *noyau Dfactor ≤ 1*
 using *1 assms(1,2) div-antisymmetric-equal comp-inf.comp-isotone*
symmetric-quotient-def **by** *force*
qed

lemma *div-mono-one-div-factor*:
assumes *D1-reflexive* -
 and *D2-antisymmetric* -
shows $Dmono \sqcap 1 \leq Dfactor$
proof -
 have $Dmono \sqcap 1 \sqcap (D \sqcap -1) * (D \sqcap Dmono) \leq 1 \sqcap (D \sqcap -1) * D$
 by (*meson comp-inf.mult-right-isotone comp-right-subdist-inf inf.bounded-iff*)
 also have $\dots \leq bot$
 by (*metis assms(2) compl-le-swap1 dual-order.eq-iff inf-shunt mult-1-left*
p-shunting-swap schroeder-4-p double-compl)
 finally have $1: Dmono \sqcap 1 \leq -((D \sqcap -1) * (D \sqcap Dmono))$
 using *le-bot pseudo-complement* **by** *auto*
 have $Dmono \sqcap 1 \leq D \sqcap Dmono$
 by (*simp add: assms(1) le-infI2*)
 thus *?thesis*
 using *1* **by** (*simp add: Dfactor-def maximal-def*)
qed

lemma *div-mono-one-div-factor-one*:
assumes *D1-reflexive* -
 and *D2-antisymmetric* -
shows $Dmono \sqcap 1 = Dfactor \sqcap 1$
using *assms div-mono-one-div-factor div-factor-mono inf.sup-same-context*
le-infI1 **by** *blast*

lemma *div-factor-div-mono-div-factor*:

assumes *D1-reflexive* -
 and *D2-antisymmetric* -
 and *D3-transitive* -
 and *D8-fibred* -
 and *D9-f-decomposable* -
 and *D11-atomic* -
 shows $Dfactor * D = Dmono \sqcap D * Dfactor$
proof (rule *order.antisym*)
 have $Dfactor * D \leq D * Dfactor$
 by (smt (verit, best) assms *div-f-decomposable-mono div-factor-div-mono*
div-idempotent div-mono-vector comp-isotone inf-commute order-trans
vector-export-comp)
 thus $Dfactor * D \leq Dmono \sqcap D * Dfactor$
 by (metis *div-factor-mono div-mono-vector inf.boundedI mult-isotone*
top-greatest)
 have $Dmono \sqcap D * Dfactor \leq Dmono \sqcap D * D$
 using *div-factor-div comp-inf.mult-isotone mult-isotone* **by** blast
 also have $\dots \leq Dmono \sqcap D$
 by (simp add: assms(3) *inf.coboundedI1 inf-commute*)
 also have $\dots = (Dmono \sqcap 1) * D$
 by (simp add: *div-mono-vector vector-inf-one-comp*)
 also have $\dots \leq Dfactor * D$
 by (simp add: assms(1,2) *div-mono-one-div-factor mult-left-isotone*)
 finally show $Dmono \sqcap D * Dfactor \leq Dfactor * D$
 .
qed

lemma *div-mono-strict-div-factor*:

assumes *D1-reflexive* -
 and *D2-antisymmetric* -
 and *D3-transitive* -
 shows $Dmono \sqcap (D \sqcap -1) * Dfactor \leq Dfactor * (D \sqcap -1)$
proof -
 have $Dmono \sqcap (D \sqcap -1) * Dfactor \leq Dmono \sqcap (D \sqcap -1) * D$
 using *div-factor-div comp-inf.mult-isotone mult-isotone* **by** blast
 also have $\dots \leq Dmono \sqcap D \sqcap -1$
 using assms(2,3) *comp-inf.semiring.mult-left-mono strict-order-transitive-2*
by auto
 also have $\dots = (Dmono \sqcap 1) * (D \sqcap -1)$
 by (simp add: *div-mono-vector inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc vector-inf-one-comp*)
 also have $\dots \leq Dfactor * (D \sqcap -1)$
 by (simp add: assms(1,2) *div-mono-one-div-factor mult-left-isotone*)
 finally show $\dots \leq Dfactor * (D \sqcap -1)$
 .
qed

lemma *div-factor-div-strict*:

```

assumes D1-reflexive -
  and D2-antisymmetric -
  and D3-transitive -
  and D8-fibred -
  and D9-f-decomposable -
  and D11-atomic -
  shows  $Dfactor * D \sqcap -1 = Dfactor * (D \sqcap -1)$ 
proof (rule order.antisym)
  have  $Dfactor * D \sqcap -1 \leq Dmono \sqcap D \sqcap -1$ 
    by (metis assms div-factor-div div-factor-div-mono-div-factor div-idempotent
inf.bounded-iff inf.cobounded1 inf.sup-left-isotone mult-left-isotone)
  also have  $\dots = (Dmono \sqcap 1) * (D \sqcap -1)$ 
    by (simp add: div-mono-vector inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc vector-inf-one-comp)
  also have  $\dots \leq Dfactor * (D \sqcap -1)$ 
    using assms(1,2) div-mono-one-div-factor mult-left-isotone by auto
  finally show  $Dfactor * D \sqcap -1 \leq Dfactor * (D \sqcap -1)$ 
  .
  have  $Dfactor * (D \sqcap -1) \leq D * (D \sqcap -1)$ 
    by (simp add: div-factor-div mult-left-isotone)
  also have  $\dots \leq -1$ 
    by (simp add: assms(1,2,3) strict-order-transitive-eq-2)
  finally show  $Dfactor * (D \sqcap -1) \leq Dfactor * D \sqcap -1$ 
    by (simp add: mult-right-isotone)
qed

lemma div-factor-strict:
  assumes D1-reflexive -
    and D2-antisymmetric -
    and D3-transitive -
    and D8-fibred -
    and D9-f-decomposable -
    and D11-atomic -
  shows  $Dfactor \sqcap -1 \leq Dfactor * (D \sqcap -1)$ 
  by (metis assms div-factor-div-strict comp-right-one
inf.sup-monoid.add-commute inf.sup-right-isotone mult-right-isotone)

lemma div-factor-div-mono-div:
  assumes D1-reflexive -
    and D2-antisymmetric -
    and D3-transitive -
  shows  $Dfactor * D = Dmono \sqcap D$ 
proof (rule order.antisym)
  show  $Dfactor * D \leq Dmono \sqcap D$ 
    by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) assms(3) div-factor-div div-factor-mono
div-mono-vector comp-isotone inf.boundedI inf.order-trans order.refl top-greatest)
  show  $Dmono \sqcap D \leq Dfactor * D$ 
    by (metis assms(1,2) div-mono-one-div-factor div-mono-vector
mult-left-isotone vector-export-comp-unit)
qed

```

lemma *div-factor-div-div-factor*:
 assumes *D1-reflexive* -
 and *D2-antisymmetric* -
 and *D3-transitive* -
 and *D8-fibred* -
 and *D9-f-decomposable* -
 and *D11-atomic* -
 shows $Dfactor * D \leq D * Dfactor$
 by (*simp add: assms div-factor-div-mono-div-factor*)

lemma *div-f-decomposable-eq*:
 assumes *D3-transitive* -
 and *D9-f-decomposable* -
 shows $Datoms \sqcap D = Datoms \sqcap D * Dfactor$
 by (*smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) assms div-factor-div inf.absorb2*
inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc inf-commute mult-isotone mult-right-isotone)

lemma *div-f-decomposable-iff-1*:
 assumes *D3-transitive* -
 shows $D9-f-decomposable \iff Datoms \sqcap D = Datoms \sqcap D * Dfactor$
 using *assms div-f-decomposable-eq* by *fastforce*

lemma *div-f-decomposable-iff-2*:
 assumes *D3-transitive* -
 shows $Dmono \sqcap D \leq D * Dfactor \iff Dmono \sqcap D = Dmono \sqcap D * Dfactor$
 by (*smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) assms div-factor-div div-mono-vector inf.absorb1*
inf.cobounded2 inf.le-iff-sup inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc mult-isotone
vector-inf-comp)

lemma *div-factor-not-bot-conv*:
 assumes *D2-antisymmetric* -
 shows $Dfactor \leq -Dbot^T$
 by (*smt (verit, best) assms div-least-converse div-least-not-mono*
div-factor-div-mono inf.absorb2 inf.coboundedI1 p-shunting-swap)

lemma *div-total-top-factor*:
 assumes *D2-antisymmetric* -
 and *D6-least-surjective* -
 shows $total \neg (top * Dfactor)$
proof -
 have $top = -(top * -Dbot^T) * top$
 using *assms div-least-point surjective-conv-total vector-conv-compl* by *auto*
 also have $\dots \leq -(top * Dfactor) * top$
 by (*simp add: assms(1) div-factor-not-bot-conv mult-isotone*)
 finally show *?thesis*
 by (*simp add: dual-order.antisym*)
qed

lemma *div-f-decomposable-iff-3*:
assumes *D1-reflexive* -
 and *D2-antisymmetric* -
 and *D3-transitive* -
 and *D8-fibered* -
 and *D11-atomic* -
shows *D9-f-decomposable* - \longleftrightarrow *Dmono* \sqcap *D* \leq *D* * *Dfactor*
using *assms div-atoms-mono div-f-decomposable-iff-1 div-f-decomposable-iff-2*
div-f-decomposable-mono inf.sup-relative-same-increasing **by** *blast*

2.6 Support

lemma *div-support-div*:
assumes *D1-reflexive* -
 and *D2-antisymmetric* -
 and *D3-transitive* -
 and *D7-pre-f-decomposable* -
 and *D8-fibered* -
 and *D9-f-decomposable* -
 and *D11-atomic* -
shows *Dsupport* \leq *D*^{*T*}
proof -
 have $-D^T = -D^T * Dfactor$
 by (*metis assms div-f-decomposable-char-1 conv-complement conv-dist-comp*
conv-involutive)
 also have $\dots \leq -(Datoms \sqcap D)^T * Dfactor$
 by (*simp add: conv-isotone mult-left-isotone*)
 finally have $-(-(Datoms \sqcap D)^T * Dfactor) \leq D^T$
 using *compl-le-swap2* **by** *blast*
 thus *?thesis*
 using *Dsupport-def symmetric-quotient-def inf.coboundedI2* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *div-support-univalent*:
assumes *D1-reflexive* -
 and *D2-antisymmetric* -
 and *D3-transitive* -
 and *D7-pre-f-decomposable* -
 and *D8-fibered* -
 and *D9-f-decomposable* -
 and *D11-atomic* -
shows *univalent Dsupport*
by (*metis assms div-f-decomposable-char-2 Dsupport-def syq-comp-transitive*
syq-converse)

lemma *div-support-mapping*:
assumes *D1-reflexive* -
 and *D2-antisymmetric* -
 and *D3-transitive* -

and *D7-pre-f-decomposable* -
 and *D8-fibred* -
 and *D9-f-decomposable* -
 and *D11-atomic* -
 and *D13-supportable* -
 shows mapping *Dsupport*
 by (*simp add: assms div-support-univalent*)

lemma *div-support-2*:
 assumes *D2-antisymmetric* -
 and *D3-transitive* -
 and *D9-f-decomposable* -
 and *D11-atomic* -
 shows $Dsupport = -((Datoms \sqcap D)^T * -Dfactor) \sqcap -(-D^T * (Datoms \sqcap D))$
proof (*rule order.antisym*)
 have $-D^T * (Datoms \sqcap D) \leq -D^T * D * Dfactor$
 by (*simp add: assms(3) comp-associative mult-right-isotone*)
 also have $\dots \leq -(Datoms \sqcap D)^T * Dfactor$
 by (*meson assms(2) comp-isotone inf-le2 order.refl order-trans schroeder-6*)
 finally show $Dsupport \leq -((Datoms \sqcap D)^T * -Dfactor) \sqcap -(-D^T * (Datoms \sqcap D))$
 using *Dsupport-def symmetric-quotient-def comp-inf.mult-right-isotone* by
auto
 have $D \sqcap Dfactor * Dfactor^T \leq D^T$
 using *Dfactor-def maximal-comparable* by *auto*
 hence $Datoms \sqcap D \sqcap Dfactor * Dfactor^T \leq Datoms \sqcap D \sqcap D^T$
 by (*simp add: inf.coboundedI2 inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc*)
 also have $\dots \leq Datoms^T$
 by (*smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) assms(1) comp-inf.covector-comp-inf*
comp-inf.mult-left-isotone inf-commute inf-top.left-neutral le-inf-iff one-inf-conv)
 finally have $Dfactor * Dfactor^T \leq Datoms^T \sqcup -D \sqcup -Datoms$
 by (*simp add: heyting.implies-galois-var sup.left-commute*
sup-monoid.add-commute)
 hence $Dfactor^T * -(Datoms^T \sqcup -D \sqcup -Datoms)^T \leq -Dfactor^T$
 using *schroeder-5* by *auto*
 hence 1: $Dfactor^T * (-Datoms \sqcap D^T \sqcap Datoms^T) \leq -Dfactor^T$
 by (*simp add: conv-complement conv-dist-sup*)
 have $Dfactor^T * (-Datoms \sqcap D^T * (Datoms \sqcap D)) = Dfactor^T * (-Datoms \sqcap D^T \sqcap Datoms^T) * (Datoms \sqcap D)$
 by (*metis div-atoms-vector covector-inf-comp-2 vector-complement-closed*
vector-inf-comp mult-assoc)
 also have $\dots \leq -Dfactor^T * (Datoms \sqcap D)$
 using 1 *mult-left-isotone* by *blast*
 finally have 2: $Dfactor^T * (-Datoms \sqcap D^T * (Datoms \sqcap D)) \leq -Dfactor^T * (Datoms \sqcap D)$
 have $Dfactor^T * (-Datoms \sqcap D^T * (Datoms \sqcap -D)) \leq D^T * D^T * (Datoms \sqcap -D)$

by (simp add: div-factor-div comp-associative comp-isotone conv-isotone)
 also have ... $\leq D^T * (Datoms \sqcap -D)$
 by (simp add: assms(2) mult-left-isotone transitive-conv-closed)
 finally have $\exists: Dfactor^T * (-Datoms \sqcap D^T * (Datoms \sqcap -D)) \leq D^T * (Datoms \sqcap -D)$
 .
 have $Dfactor^T * -(Datoms \sqcap D) = Dfactor^T * (-D \sqcap Datoms) \sqcup Dfactor^T * -Datoms$
 by (smt (verit, del-insts) double-compl inf-import-p mult-left-dist-sup p-dist-sup sup-monoid.add-commute)
 also have ... $\leq D^T * (-D \sqcap Datoms) \sqcup (Dfactor \sqcap Dmono)^T * -Datoms$
 using div-factor-div div-factor-mono comp-inf.semiring.add-right-mono conv-order mult-left-isotone sup-right-isotone by auto
 also have ... $= D^T * (-D \sqcap Datoms) \sqcup Dfactor^T * (-Datoms \sqcap Dmono)$
 by (simp add: Dmono-def comp-inf-vector conv-dist-comp conv-dist-inf)
 also have ... $\leq D^T * (-D \sqcap Datoms) \sqcup Dfactor^T * (-Datoms \sqcap -Dbot)$
 using assms(1) div-least-not-mono mult-right-isotone p-antitone-iff sup-right-isotone by force
 also have ... $\leq D^T * (-D \sqcap Datoms) \sqcup Dfactor^T * (-Datoms \sqcap D^T * Datoms)$
 by (simp add: assms(4))
 also have ... $= D^T * (-D \sqcap Datoms) \sqcup Dfactor^T * (-Datoms \sqcap D^T * (Datoms \sqcap D)) \sqcup Dfactor^T * (-Datoms \sqcap D^T * (Datoms \sqcap -D))$
 by (metis inf-sup-distrib1 inf-top-right mult-left-dist-sup sup-commute sup-compl-top sup-left-commute)
 also have ... $\leq D^T * (-D \sqcap Datoms) \sqcup -Dfactor^T * (Datoms \sqcap D)$
 using 2 3 by (smt (verit, best) comp-inf.semiring.add-right-mono inf.sup-monoid.add-commute sup-absorb2 sup-commute sup-monoid.add-assoc)
 also have ... $= -Dfactor^T * (Datoms \sqcap D) \sqcup (Datoms \sqcap D)^T * -D$
 by (simp add: div-atoms-vector conv-dist-inf covector-inf-comp-3 inf-commute sup-commute)
 finally have $Dfactor^T * -(Datoms \sqcap D) \leq -Dfactor^T * (Datoms \sqcap D) \sqcup (Datoms \sqcap D)^T * -D$
 .
 hence $-(Datoms \sqcap D)^T * Dfactor \leq (Datoms \sqcap D)^T * -Dfactor \sqcup -D^T * (Datoms \sqcap D)$
 by (smt (verit, best) conv-complement conv-dist-comp conv-dist-sup conv-involutive conv-order)
 hence $-((Datoms \sqcap D)^T * -Dfactor) \sqcap -(-D^T * (Datoms \sqcap D)) \leq -(-(Datoms \sqcap D)^T * Dfactor)$
 using brouwer.p-antitone by fastforce
 thus $-((Datoms \sqcap D)^T * -Dfactor) \sqcap -(-D^T * (Datoms \sqcap D)) \leq Dsupport$
 using Dsupport-def symmetric-quotient-def by simp
 qed

lemma noyau-div-support:
 assumes D1-reflexive -
 and D2-antisymmetric -
 and D3-transitive -
 and D7-pre-f-decomposable -

and *D8-fibred* -
 and *D9-f-decomposable* -
 and *D11-atomic* -
 and *D13-supportable* -
 shows $\text{noyau } (Datoms \sqcap D) = Dsupport * Dsupport^T$
 using *assms div-support-mapping Dsupport-def syq-comp-syq-top syq-converse*
 by *auto*

lemma *div-support-transitive:*

assumes *D1-reflexive* -
 and *D2-antisymmetric* -
 and *D3-transitive* -
 and *D7-pre-f-decomposable* -
 and *D8-fibred* -
 and *D9-f-decomposable* -
 and *D11-atomic* -
 and *D13-supportable* -
 shows *idempotent Dsupport*
proof -
 let $?r = Datoms \sqcap D$
 let $?s = Datoms \sqcap Dfactor$
 have $?r * -(?r^T * -?s) * -(?r^T * -?s) \leq ?s * -(?r^T * -?s)$
 by (*metis complement-conv-sub conv-complement mult-left-isotone schroeder-5*)
 also have $\dots \leq ?r * -(?r^T * -?s)$
 by (*simp add: div-factor-div le-infI2 mult-left-isotone*)
 also have $\dots \leq ?s$
 using *pp-increasing schroeder-3* by *blast*
 finally have $-(?r^T * -?s) * -(?r^T * -?s) \leq -(?r^T * -?s)$
 by (*simp add: p-antitone-iff schroeder-3-p mult-assoc*)
 hence 1: $-(?r^T * -Dfactor) * -(?r^T * -Dfactor) \leq -(?r^T * -Dfactor)$
 by (*simp add: div-atoms-vector conv-dist-inf covector-inf-comp-3*)
inf.sup-monoid.add-commute
 have $-(-?r^T * ?r) * -(-?r^T * ?r) * ?r^T \leq -(-?r^T * ?r) * ?r^T$
 by (*metis complement-conv-sub double-compl mult-right-isotone mult-assoc*)
 also have $\dots \leq ?r^T$
 using *brouwer.pp-increasing complement-conv-sub inf.order-trans* by *blast*
 finally have $-(-?r^T * ?r) * -(-?r^T * ?r) \leq -(-?r^T * ?r)$
 by (*simp add: p-antitone-iff schroeder-4-p*)
 hence 2: $-(-D^T * ?r) * -(-D^T * ?r) \leq -(-D^T * ?r)$
 by (*smt (verit, del-insts) div-atoms-vector conv-dist-inf covector-inf-comp-3*)
inf.sup-monoid.add-commute inf-import-p
 have $Dsupport * Dsupport \leq -(?r^T * -Dfactor) * -(?r^T * -Dfactor) \sqcap$
 $-(-D^T * ?r) * -(-D^T * ?r)$
 by (*simp add: assms(2,3,6,7) div-support-2 mult-isotone*)
 also have $\dots \leq Dsupport$
 using 1 2 *assms(2,3,6,7) div-support-2 inf.sup-mono* by *auto*
 finally have *transitive Dsupport*
 .
 thus *?thesis*

using *assms div-support-mapping transitive-mapping-idempotent* by *blast*
qed

lemma *div-support-below-noyau*:

assumes *D2-antisymmetric* -
and *D3-transitive* -
and *D9-f-decomposable* -
and *D11-atomic* -
shows $Dsupport \leq noyau (Datoms \sqcap D)$

proof -

have $\neg((Datoms \sqcap D)^T * \neg Dfactor) \leq \neg((Datoms \sqcap D)^T * \neg D)$
by (*simp add: div-factor-div mult-right-isotone*)
also have $\dots = \neg((Datoms \sqcap D)^T * \neg(Datoms \sqcap D))$
by (*smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) div-atoms-vector comp-inf-vector*
conv-dist-comp conv-dist-inf inf-commute inf-import-p symmetric-top-closed)
finally have 1: $\neg((Datoms \sqcap D)^T * \neg Dfactor) \leq \neg((Datoms \sqcap D)^T * \neg(Datoms \sqcap D))$
.
have $\neg(-D^T * (Datoms \sqcap D)) = \neg(-(Datoms \sqcap D)^T * (Datoms \sqcap D))$
by (*smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) div-atoms-vector comp-inf-vector*
conv-dist-comp conv-dist-inf inf-commute inf-import-p symmetric-top-closed)
thus $Dsupport \leq noyau (Datoms \sqcap D)$
using 1 *assms div-support-2 symmetric-quotient-def inf.sup-left-isotone* by
auto
qed

lemma *div-support-least-noyau*:

assumes *D1-reflexive* -
and *D2-antisymmetric* -
and *D3-transitive* -
and *D7-pre-f-decomposable* -
and *D8-fibered* -
and *D9-f-decomposable* -
and *D11-atomic* -
and *D13-supportable* -
shows $Dsupport = (least D (noyau (Datoms \sqcap D)))^T$

proof (*rule order.antisym*)

let $?n = noyau (Datoms \sqcap D)$
have $?n \leq Dsupport * D$
by (*metis assms div-support-div conv-involutive conv-order mult-right-isotone*
noyau-div-support)
hence $Dsupport^T * ?n \leq D$
using *assms div-support-mapping shunt-mapping* by *blast*
hence $Dsupport \leq \neg(?n * \neg D^T)$
by (*simp add: compl-le-swap1 conv-complement schroeder-6-p*)
hence $Dsupport \leq \neg(-D * ?n)^T$
by (*simp add: conv-complement conv-dist-comp syq-converse*)
thus $Dsupport \leq (least D ?n)^T$
using *assms(2,3,6,7) div-support-below-noyau least-def syq-converse*

conv-complement conv-dist-inf **by** *auto*
have $Dsupport \sqcap -1 \leq (Dsupport \sqcap -1) * (Dsupport \sqcap -1)^T * (Dsupport \sqcap -1)$
using *ex231c* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots \leq (D^T \sqcap -1) * Dsupport^T * Dsupport$
using *assms(1-7) div-support-div comp-inf.mult-left-isotone comp-isotone conv-order* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots \leq -D * Dsupport$
by (*metis assms div-antisymmetric-equal div-support-mapping div-support-transitive inf-commute mult-isotone order-refl p-shunting-swap pp-increasing shunt-mapping mult-assoc*)
finally have 1: *least D Dsupport* ≤ 1
by (*metis double-compl least-def p-shunting-swap*)
have *least D ?n* $= Dsupport * Dsupport^T \sqcap -(-D * Dsupport * Dsupport^T)$
by (*simp add: assms comp-associative least-def noyau-div-support*)
also have $\dots = (Dsupport \sqcap -(-D * Dsupport)) * Dsupport^T$
using *assms div-support-univalent comp-bijective-complement injective-comp-right-dist-inf total-conv-surjective* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots \leq Dsupport^T$
using 1 *least-def mult-left-isotone* **by** *fastforce*
finally show (*least D ?n*) $^T \leq Dsupport$
using *conv-order* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *div-factor-support*:
assumes *D13-supportable* -
shows $Datoms \sqcap D = Dfactor * Dsupport^T$
by (*metis assms Dsupport-def comp-syq-top inf.sup-monoid.add-commute inf-top.left-neutral surjective-conv-total syq-converse*)

lemma *div-supportable-iff*:
assumes *D2-antisymmetric* -
and *D6-least-surjective* -
shows *D13-supportable* $\iff Datoms \sqcap D = Dfactor * Dsupport^T$
by (*metis assms Dsupport-def div-total-top-factor comp-syq-surjective conv-dist-comp symmetric-top-closed syq-converse*)

2.7 Increments

lemma *least-div-atoms-succ*:
 $Dbot \sqcap Datoms^T \leq Dsucc$
proof -
have 1: $Dbot \sqcap Datoms^T \leq D$
using *div-least-div inf.coboundedI1* **by** *blast*
have 2: $Dbot \sqcap Datoms^T \leq -1$
by (*metis div-least-not-atom comp-inf.semiring.mult-not-zero inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc inf.sup-monoid.add-commute one-inf-conv pseudo-complement*)
have $(D \sqcap -1)^T * -Dbot \leq -Datoms$

by (simp add: Datoms-def minimal-def conv-complement conv-dist-inf)
 hence $(D \sqcap -1) * \text{Datoms} \leq \text{Dbot}$
 by (simp add: schroeder-3-p)
 hence $(D \sqcap -1) * \text{Datoms} * \text{Dbot}^T \leq \text{Dbot}$
 by (metis div-least-vector mult-isotone top-greatest)
 also have $\dots \leq D$
 by (simp add: div-least-div)
 finally have $\text{Dbot} * \text{Datoms}^T \leq -(-D^T * (D \sqcap -1))$
 by (metis comp-associative compl-le-swap1 conv-dist-comp conv-involutive
 schroeder-6)
 hence $\text{Dbot} \sqcap \text{Datoms}^T \leq -(-D^T * (D \sqcap -1))$
 by (simp add: div-atoms-vector div-least-vector vector-covector)
 thus ?thesis
 using 1 2 Dsucc-def greatest-def by auto
 qed

lemma least-div-succ:
 assumes *D12-infinite-base* -
 shows $\text{Dbot} \leq \text{Dsucc} * \text{top}$
proof -
 have $\text{Dbot} \leq (\text{Dbot} \sqcap \text{Datoms}^T) * \text{top}$
 using assms div-atom-surjective div-least-vector surjective-conv-total
 vector-inf-comp by auto
 also have $\dots \leq \text{Dsucc} * \text{top}$
 using least-div-atoms-succ mult-left-isotone by blast
 finally show ?thesis
 .
 qed

lemma noyau-div:
 assumes *D1-reflexive* -
 and *D2-antisymmetric* -
 shows $\text{noyau } D = 1$
 by (simp add: assms reflexive-antisymmetric-noyau)

lemma div-discrete-fibers-pred-geq:
 assumes *D1-reflexive* -
 and *D2-antisymmetric* -
 and *D3-transitive* -
 and *D7-pre-f-decomposable* -
 and *D8-fibered* -
 and *D9-f-decomposable* -
 and *D11-atomic* -
 shows $\text{Dsucc}^T * \text{top} \leq \text{Dmono}$
proof -
 have $\text{Dfactor} \sqcap -\text{Dmono}^T \leq -1$
 by (metis brouwer.p-antitone conv-complement div-factor-mono
 inf.coboundedI2 inf-commute one-inf-conv p-shunting-swap)
 hence $\text{Dfactor} \sqcap -\text{Dmono}^T \leq D \sqcap -1$

by (simp add: div-factor-div le-infI1)
 hence $D_{succ} \leq D \sqcap -1 \sqcap -(-D^T * (D_{factor} \sqcap -D_{mono}^T))$
 by (metis Dsucc-def greatest-def inf.sup-right-isotone mult-right-isotone
 p-antitone)
 also have $\dots = D \sqcap -1 \sqcap -(-D^T * D_{factor} \sqcap -D_{mono}^T)$
 by (simp add: covector-comp-inf div-mono-vector vector-conv-compl)
 also have $\dots = (D \sqcap -1 \sqcap -(-D^T * D_{factor})) \sqcup (D \sqcap -1 \sqcap D_{mono}^T)$
 by (simp add: comp-inf.semiring.distrib-left)
 also have $\dots = (D \sqcap -1 \sqcap D^T) \sqcup (D \sqcap -1 \sqcap D_{mono}^T)$
 by (metis assms div-f-decomposable-char-1 conv-complement conv-dist-comp
 conv-involutive double-compl)
 also have $\dots = D \sqcap -1 \sqcap D_{mono}^T$
 using assms(1,2) div-antisymmetric-equal inf-commute by fastforce
 also have $\dots \leq D_{mono}^T$
 by simp
 finally show ?thesis
 by (metis conv-involutive conv-order div-mono-vector mult-left-isotone)
 qed

lemma *div-discrete-fibers-pred-eq*:

assumes *D1-reflexive* -
 and *D2-antisymmetric* -
 and *D3-transitive* -
 and *D7-pre-f-decomposable* -
 and *D8-fibered* -
 and *D9-f-decomposable* -
 and *D11-atomic* -
 and *D15b-discrete-fibers-pred* -
 shows $D_{mono} = D_{succ}^T * top$
 by (simp add: assms div-discrete-fibers-pred-geq dual-order.eq-iff)

lemma *div-discrete-fibers-pred-iff*:

assumes *D1-reflexive* -
 and *D2-antisymmetric* -
 and *D3-transitive* -
 and *D7-pre-f-decomposable* -
 and *D8-fibered* -
 and *D9-f-decomposable* -
 and *D11-atomic* -
 shows $D15b\text{-discrete-fibers-pred} - \longleftrightarrow D_{mono} = D_{succ}^T * top$
 using assms div-discrete-fibers-pred-geq by force

lemma *div-succ-univalent*:

assumes *D1-reflexive* -
 and *D2-antisymmetric* -
 and *D3-transitive* -
 and *D7-pre-f-decomposable* -
 and *D8-fibered* -
 and *D9-f-decomposable* -

and *D11-atomic* -
 and *D15b-discrete-fibers-pred* -
 shows $Dsucc^T * (-Dbot \sqcap Dsucc) \leq 1$
proof -
 have 1: $Dsucc \leq D$
 by (*simp add: Dsucc-def greatest-def inf-assoc*)
 have 2: $D^T * Datoms \sqcap D \leq D^T * (Datoms \sqcap D)$
 using *assms(3,7) div-via-atom comp-inf.coreflexive-commutative* by *auto*
 have 3: $(D \sqcap -1) * Dsucc^T \leq D$
 by (*metis Dsucc-def conv-involutive double-compl greatest-def p-dist-sup schroeder-6 sup.cobounded2*)
 have $Dsucc^T * Dsucc \sqcap D \sqcap -1 \leq (Dsucc^T \sqcap (D \sqcap -1) * Dsucc^T) * Dsucc$
 by (*simp add: comp-inf.vector-inf-comp dedekind-2*)
 also have $\dots \leq (Dsucc^T \sqcap D) * Dsucc$
 using *3 inf.sup-right-isotone mult-left-isotone* by *blast*
 also have $\dots \leq ((D \sqcap -1)^T \sqcap D) * Dsucc$
 using *Dsucc-def conv-dist-inf greatest-def inf.cobounded1 inf.sup-left-isotone mult-left-isotone* by *auto*
 also have $\dots = bot$
 by (*metis assms(2) antisymmetric-inf-diversity conv-inf-bot-iff equivalence-one-closed inf-compl-bot-right mult-1-right mult-left-zero schroeder-2*)
 finally have 4: $Dsucc^T * Dsucc \sqcap D \leq 1$
 by (*simp add: shunting-1*)
 hence 5: $Dsucc^T * Dsucc \sqcap D^T \leq 1$
 by (*metis conv-dist-comp conv-dist-inf conv-involutive coreflexive-symmetric*)
 have $Dsucc^T * (-Dbot \sqcap Dsucc) \leq top * Dsucc$
 by (*simp add: mult-isotone*)
 also have $\dots = Dmono^T$
 using *assms div-discrete-fibers-pred-eq conv-dist-comp* by *fastforce*
 finally have $Dsucc^T * (-Dbot \sqcap Dsucc) = Dsucc^T * (-Dbot \sqcap Dsucc) \sqcap Dmono^T$
 using *inf.order-iff* by *auto*
 also have $\dots = Dmono \sqcap Dsucc^T * (-Dbot \sqcap Dsucc) \sqcap Dmono^T$
 by (*metis assms div-discrete-fibers-pred-eq div-mono-vector domain-comp vector-export-comp-unit vector-inf-comp*)
 also have $\dots \leq Dmono \sqcap D^T * (-Dbot \sqcap D) \sqcap Dmono^T$
 using *1 conv-order inf.sup-left-isotone inf.sup-right-isotone mult-isotone* by *auto*
 also have $\dots = Dmono \sqcap D^T * (D^T * Datoms \sqcap D) \sqcap Dmono^T$
 using *assms(7)* by *auto*
 also have $\dots \leq Dmono \sqcap D^T * D^T * (Datoms \sqcap D) \sqcap Dmono^T$
 using *2* by (*metis comp-inf.mult-left-isotone inf-commute mult-right-isotone mult-assoc*)
 also have $\dots = Dmono \sqcap D^T * (Datoms \sqcap D) \sqcap Dmono^T$
 by (*metis assms(1,3) div-idempotent conv-dist-comp*)
 also have $\dots \leq D \sqcup D^T$
 using *assms(5)* by *force*
 finally have $Dsucc^T * (-Dbot \sqcap Dsucc) = Dsucc^T * (-Dbot \sqcap Dsucc) \sqcap (D \sqcup D^T)$

```

    using inf.absorb1 by auto
  also have ...  $\leq Dsucc^T * Dsucc \sqcap (D \sqcup D^T)$ 
    using comp-inf.mult-left-isotone comp-isotone by force
  also have ...  $= (Dsucc^T * Dsucc \sqcap D) \sqcup (Dsucc^T * Dsucc \sqcap D^T)$ 
    using inf-sup-distrib1 by blast
  also have ...  $\leq 1$ 
    using 4 5 le-sup-iff by blast
  finally show ?thesis
    .
qed

lemma div-succ-injective:
  assumes D2-antisymmetric -
    shows injective Dsucc
  by (simp add: assms Dsucc-def greatest-injective)

lemma div-succ-below-div-irreflexive:
   $Dsucc \leq D \sqcap -1$ 
  by (metis Dsucc-def greatest-def inf-le1)

lemma div-succ-below-div:
   $Dsucc \leq D$ 
  using div-succ-below-div-irreflexive by auto

lemma div-succ-mono-bot:
  assumes D1-reflexive -
    and D2-antisymmetric -
    and D3-transitive -
    and D7-pre-f-decomposable -
    and D8-fibred -
    and D9-f-decomposable -
    and D11-atomic -
    and D12-infinite-base -
    and D15a-discrete-fibers-succ -
    shows  $Dsucc * top = Dmonobot$ 
  proof -
    have  $Dsucc * top \leq Dsucc * Dsucc^T * Dsucc * top$ 
      by (simp add: comp-isotone ex231c)
    also have ...  $\leq Dsucc * Dsucc^T * top$ 
      by (simp add: mult-right-isotone mult-assoc)
    also have ...  $\leq Dsucc * Dmono$ 
      by (simp add: assms div-discrete-fibers-pred-geq mult-right-isotone mult-assoc)
    also have ...  $\leq D * Dmono$ 
      using div-succ-below-div mult-left-isotone by auto
    also have ...  $\leq Dmonobot$ 
      using assms(3,7) div-mono-downclosed Dmonobot-def
    heyting.implies-galois-var sup-commute by auto
    finally have  $Dsucc * top \leq Dmonobot$ 
    .

```

```

thus ?thesis
  by (simp add: assms(8,9) least-div-succ Dmonobot-def order.antisym)
qed

lemma div-discrete-fibers-succ-iff:
  assumes D1-reflexive -
    and D2-antisymmetric -
    and D3-transitive -
    and D7-pre-f-decomposable -
    and D8-fibred -
    and D9-f-decomposable -
    and D11-atomic -
    and D12-infinite-base -
  shows D15a-discrete-fibers-succ -  $\longleftrightarrow$  Dsucc * top = Dmonobot
  using Dmonobot-def assms div-succ-mono-bot by force

lemma div-succ-bot-atoms:
  assumes D1-reflexive -
    and D2-antisymmetric -
    and D3-transitive -
    and D6-least-surjective -
  shows DsuccT * Dbot = Datoms
proof (rule order.antisym)
  have DsuccT * Dbot ≤ (D ⊓ -1)T * top
    using div-succ-below-div-irreflexive conv-order mult-isotone by auto
  also have ... ≤ -Dbot
    by (simp add: assms(2) div-strict-bot schroeder-3-p)
  finally have 1: DsuccT * Dbot ≤ -Dbot
  .
  have -Dbot * DbotT ≤ -D
    by (metis assms(1,3) bot-div-bot complement-conv-sub)
  hence (D ⊓ -1)T * -Dbot * DbotT ≤ (D ⊓ -1)T * -D
    by (simp add: comp-isotone mult-assoc)
  hence 2: -((D ⊓ -1)T * -D) * Dbot ≤ -((D ⊓ -1)T * -Dbot)
    by (simp add: schroeder-4-p)
  have Dsucc ≤ -(-DT * (D ⊓ -1))
    by (simp add: Dsucc-def greatest-def)
  hence DsuccT ≤ -((D ⊓ -1)T * -D)
    by (simp add: Dsucc-def conv-complement conv-dist-comp conv-dist-inf
    greatest-def)
  hence DsuccT * Dbot ≤ -((D ⊓ -1)T * -D) * Dbot
    using mult-left-isotone by blast
  also have ... ≤ -((D ⊓ -1)T * -Dbot)
    using 2 by blast
  finally show DsuccT * Dbot ≤ Datoms
    using 1 by (simp add: Datoms-def conv-complement conv-dist-inf
    minimal-def)
  have Datoms * DbotT ≤ DsuccT
    by (metis div-atoms-vector least-div-atoms-succ double-compl schroeder-3-p)

```

```

schroeder-5 vector-covector div-least-vector)
  thus  $Datoms \leq Dsucc^T * Dbot$ 
    using assms(2,4) div-least-point shunt-bijective by blast
qed

lemma div-succ-inverse-poly:
  assumes D1-reflexive -
    and D2-antisymmetric -
    and D3-transitive -
    and D6-least-surjective -
    and D7-pre-f-decomposable -
    and D8-fibred -
    and D9-f-decomposable -
    and D11-atomic -
    and D15b-discrete-fibers-pred -
  shows  $Dsucc^T * Dsucc * (Dmono \sqcap -Datoms \sqcap 1) = Dmono \sqcap -Datoms \sqcap 1$ 
proof (rule order.antisym)
  let  $?q = Dmono \sqcap -Datoms \sqcap 1$ 
  have  $?q = ?q \sqcap Dsucc^T * top$ 
    using assms(1-3,5-9) div-discrete-fibers-pred-eq inf-commute
  inf-left-commute by auto
  also have  $\dots = ?q \sqcap (Dsucc^T \sqcap ?q * top) * (top \sqcap Dsucc * ?q)$ 
    by (simp add: dedekind-eq inf.sup-monoid.add-commute)
  also have  $\dots \leq Dsucc^T * Dsucc * ?q$ 
    using comp-associative inf.coboundedI2 inf-vector-comp by auto
  finally show  $?q \leq Dsucc^T * Dsucc * ?q$ 
  .
  have  $Dsucc * ?q \leq Dsucc * -Datoms$ 
    by (simp add: inf.coboundedI1 mult-right-isotone)
  also have  $\dots \leq -Dbot$ 
    by (metis assms(1-4) div-succ-bot-atoms conv-complement-sub-leq
conv-involutive)
  finally have  $Dsucc^T * Dsucc * ?q = Dsucc^T * (-Dbot \sqcap Dsucc * ?q)$ 
    by (simp add: inf.le-iff-sup mult-assoc)
  also have  $\dots = Dsucc^T * (-Dbot \sqcap Dsucc) * ?q$ 
    by (simp add: Dbot-def comp-associative least-def vector-export-comp)
  also have  $\dots \leq ?q$ 
    by (metis assms(1-3,5-9) div-succ-univalent coreflexive-comp-inf
inf.sup-right-divisibility)
  finally show  $Dsucc^T * Dsucc * ?q \leq ?q$ 
  .
qed

lemma div-inc-injective:
  assumes D1-reflexive -
    and D2-antisymmetric -
    and D3-transitive -
    and D7-pre-f-decomposable -
    and D8-fibred -

```

and *D9-f-decomposable* -
 and *D11-atomic* -
 shows *injective Dinc*
 using *assms div-f-decomposable-char-2 Dinc-def syq-comp-top-syq syq-converse*
 by *force*

lemma *div-factor-not-bot*:
 assumes *D2-antisymmetric* -
 shows $Dfactor \leq -Dbot$
 using *assms div-factor-mono div-least-not-mono compl-le-swap1 inf.order-trans*
 by *blast*

lemma *div-factor-conv-inc*:
 assumes *D1-reflexive* -
 and *D2-antisymmetric* -
 and *D3-transitive* -
 and *D6-least-surjective* -
 shows $Dfactor * Dinc^T \leq Dmono \sqcap -Datoms$
proof -
 have 1: $Dfactor * Dinc^T \leq Dmono$
 by (*metis div-factor-mono div-mono-vector mult-isotone top-greatest*)
 have $Dfactor * Dinc^T = Dfactor * symmetric-quotient (Dsucc * Dfactor)$
Dfactor
 by (*simp add: Dinc-def syq-converse*)
 also have $\dots \leq Dfactor * -((Dsucc * Dfactor)^T * -Dfactor)$
 using *mult-right-isotone symmetric-quotient-def* by *force*
 also have $\dots \leq Dfactor * -((Dsucc * Dfactor)^T * Dbot)$
 using *assms(2) div-factor-not-bot mult-right-isotone p-antitone-iff* by *auto*
 also have $\dots = Dfactor * -(Dfactor^T * Datoms)$
 by (*simp add: assms div-succ-bot-atoms conv-dist-comp mult-assoc*)
 also have $\dots \leq -Datoms$
 by (*simp add: schroeder-3*)
 finally show *?thesis*
 using 1 by *auto*
qed

lemma *div-inc-univalent*:
 assumes *D1-reflexive* -
 and *D2-antisymmetric* -
 and *D3-transitive* -
 and *D6-least-surjective* -
 and *D7-pre-f-decomposable* -
 and *D8-fibered* -
 and *D9-f-decomposable* -
 and *D11-atomic* -
 and *D15b-discrete-fibers-pred* -
 shows *univalent Dinc*
proof -
 let *?sf* = *Dsucc * Dfactor*


```

let ?p = symmetric-quotient ?sf Dfactor * top  $\sqcap$  1
let ?q = Dmono  $\sqcap$  -Datoms  $\sqcap$  1
have Dfactor * ?p  $\leq$  Dfactor * DincT * top
  by (simp add: Dinc-def mult-right-isotone syq-converse mult-assoc)
also have ...  $\leq$  Dmono  $\sqcap$  -Datoms
  by (metis assms(1-4) div-atoms-vector div-factor-conv-inc Dmono-def
mult-left-isotone vector-complement-closed vector-export-comp)
finally have Dfactor * ?p  $\leq$  ?q * Dfactor * ?p
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) div-atoms-vector div-mono-vector
complement-vector inf.le-sup-iff mult-left-one order-refl vector-inf-comp)
hence Dfactor * ?p = ?q * Dfactor * ?p
  by (simp add: inf.absorb2 test-comp-test-inf)
hence 1: DsuccT * ?sf * ?p = Dfactor * ?p
  by (metis assms div-succ-inverse-poly mult-assoc)
have DincT * Dinc = symmetric-quotient ?sf Dfactor * symmetric-quotient
Dfactor ?sf
  by (simp add: Dinc-def syq-converse)
also have ... = symmetric-quotient ?sf Dfactor * top  $\sqcap$  symmetric-quotient ?sf
?sf  $\sqcap$  top * symmetric-quotient Dfactor ?sf
  by (smt (verit) comp-isotone inf.absorb-iff2 inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc
order.refl syq-comp-top-syq top.extremum)
also have ... = ?p * symmetric-quotient ?sf ?sf  $\sqcap$  top * symmetric-quotient
Dfactor ?sf
  using vector-export-comp-unit by auto
also have ... = ?p * symmetric-quotient ?sf ?sf * ?p
  by (simp add: comp-inf-vector inf-commute syq-converse)
also have ... = ?p * symmetric-quotient (?sf * ?p) (?sf * ?p) * ?p
  using coreflexive-comp-syq-comp-coreflexive inf-le2 by blast
also have ...  $\leq$  ?p * symmetric-quotient (DsuccT * ?sf * ?p) (DsuccT * ?sf *
?p) * ?p
  using comp-isotone order.refl syq-comp-isotone mult-assoc by auto
also have ... = ?p * symmetric-quotient (Dfactor * ?p) (Dfactor * ?p) * ?p
  using 1 by auto
also have ... = ?p * symmetric-quotient Dfactor Dfactor * ?p
  by (metis coreflexive-comp-syq-comp-coreflexive inf.cobounded2)
also have ...  $\leq$  symmetric-quotient Dfactor Dfactor
  by (simp add: assms(1-3,5-8) div-f-decomposable-char-2
vector-export-comp-unit)
also have ... = 1
  using assms(1-3,5-8) div-f-decomposable-char-2 by blast
finally show ?thesis
.
qed

```

lemma div-inc-mapping:

```

assumes D1-reflexive -
  and D2-antisymmetric -
  and D3-transitive -
  and D6-least-surjective -

```

```

    and D7-pre-f-decomposable -
    and D8-fibred -
    and D9-f-decomposable -
    and D11-atomic -
    and D15b-discrete-fibers-pred -
    and D16-incrementable -
    shows mapping Dinc
    using assms div-inc-univalent by blast

lemma div-inc-mapping:
  assumes D1-reflexive -
    and D2-antisymmetric -
    and D3-transitive -
    and D6-least-surjective -
    and D7-pre-f-decomposable -
    and D8-fibred -
    and D9-f-decomposable -
    and D11-atomic -
    and D13-supportable -
    and D15a-discrete-fibers-succ -
    and D15b-discrete-fibers-pred -
    and D16-incrementable -
  shows surjective Datoms
  nitpick[expect=genuine,card=2]
  oops

end

end

```

3 Mono-Atomic Elements

```

theory Mono-Atomic

imports Stone-Relation-Algebras.Relation-Algebras

begin

```

This theory defines mono-atomic elements in a bounded semilattice and shows that they correspond to join-irreducible elements under the divisibility axioms A1–A17 of [2]. In the model of natural numbers both types of elements correspond to prime powers.

3.1 Mono-atomic

```

context order-bot
begin

```

Divisibility axioms A1 (reflexivity), A2 (antisymmetry), A3 (transitivity) and A6 (least element) are the axioms of class *order-bot*, so not mentioned explicitly.

An *atom* in a partial order is an element that is strictly above only the least element *bot*.

definition *atom* $a \equiv a \neq \text{bot} \wedge (\forall x . x \leq a \longrightarrow x = \text{bot} \vee x = a)$

abbreviation *atom-below* $a \ x \equiv \text{atom } a \wedge a \leq x$

A mono-atomic element has exactly one atom below it.

definition *mono-atomic* $x \equiv (\exists ! a . \text{atom-below } a \ x)$

definition *mono-atomic-with* $x \ a \equiv \text{atom-below } a \ x \wedge (\forall b . \text{atom-below } b \ x \longrightarrow b = a)$

abbreviation *mono-atomic-below* $x \ y \equiv \text{mono-atomic } x \wedge x \leq y$

abbreviation *mono-atomic-above* $x \ y \equiv \text{mono-atomic } x \wedge y \leq x$

definition *mono-atomic-above-or-bot* $x \ y \equiv x = \text{bot} \vee \text{mono-atomic-above } x \ y$

Divisibility axiom A11 (atomicity) states that every element except *bot* is above some atom.

abbreviation *A11-atomic* $:: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where** *A11-atomic* $\equiv (\forall x . x \neq \text{bot} \longrightarrow (\exists a . \text{atom-below } a \ x))$

lemma *mono-atomic-above*:

mono-atomic $x \longleftrightarrow (\exists a . \text{mono-atomic-with } x \ a)$

by (*metis mono-atomic-with-def mono-atomic-def*)

Among others, the following divisibility axioms are considered in [2]. In the model of natural numbers,

- * A7 (pre-f-decomposability) expresses that every number x is the least upper bound of the prime powers below x ;
- * A8 (fibered) expresses that the prime powers can be partitioned into chains;
- * A9 (f-decomposability) expresses that for every number x above an atom a there is a maximal prime power of a below x ;
- * A14 (truncability) express that the prime powers contained in a number y can be restricted to those whose atoms are not below a number x .

Their definitions are based on join-irreducible elements and given in class *bounded-semilattice-sup-bot* below. Here we introduce corresponding axioms B7, B8, B9 and B14 based on mono-atomic elements.

abbreviation *B7-pre-f-decomposable* $:: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where** *B7-pre-f-decomposable* $\equiv (\forall x \ y . (\forall z . \text{mono-atomic-below } z \ x \longrightarrow z \leq y) \longrightarrow x \leq y)$

abbreviation *B8-fibered* :: 'a \Rightarrow bool **where** *B8-fibered* - $\equiv (\forall x \ y \ z . \text{mono-atomic } x \wedge \text{mono-atomic } y \wedge \text{mono-atomic } z \wedge ((x \leq z \wedge y \leq z) \vee (z \leq x \wedge z \leq y)) \longrightarrow x \leq y \vee y \leq x)$
abbreviation *B9-f-decomposable* :: 'a \Rightarrow bool **where** *B9-f-decomposable* - $\equiv (\forall x \ a . \text{atom } a \longrightarrow (\exists z . \text{mono-atomic-above-or-bot } z \ a \wedge z \leq x \wedge (\forall w . \text{mono-atomic-above-or-bot } w \ a \wedge w \leq x \longrightarrow w \leq z)))$

Function *mval* returns the value whose existence is asserted by axiom B9.

definition *mval* $a \ x \equiv \text{SOME } z . \text{mono-atomic-above-or-bot } z \ a \wedge z \leq x \wedge (\forall w . \text{mono-atomic-above-or-bot } w \ a \wedge w \leq x \longrightarrow w \leq z)$

lemma *mval-char*:

assumes *B9-f-decomposable* -
and *atom* a
shows $\text{mono-atomic-above-or-bot } (mval \ a \ x) \ a \wedge mval \ a \ x \leq x \wedge (\forall w . \text{mono-atomic-above-or-bot } w \ a \wedge w \leq x \longrightarrow w \leq mval \ a \ x)$
proof -
obtain z **where** $\text{mono-atomic-above-or-bot } z \ a \wedge z \leq x \wedge (\forall w . \text{mono-atomic-above-or-bot } w \ a \wedge w \leq x \longrightarrow w \leq z)$
using *assms* **by** *blast*
thus *?thesis*
using *mval-def* *someI* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *mval-unique*:

assumes *B9-f-decomposable* -
and *atom* a
and $\text{mono-atomic-above-or-bot } z \ a \wedge z \leq x \wedge (\forall w . \text{mono-atomic-above-or-bot } w \ a \wedge w \leq x \longrightarrow w \leq z)$
shows $z = mval \ a \ x$
by (*simp* *add: assms dual-order.antisym mval-char*)

lemma *atom-below-mval*:

assumes *B9-f-decomposable* -
and *atom* a
and $a \leq x$
shows $a \leq mval \ a \ x$
proof -
have $\text{mono-atomic-above-or-bot } a \ a$
using *assms*(2) *atom-def* *mono-atomic-above-or-bot-def* *mono-atomic-def* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis*
by (*simp* *add: assms mval-char*)
qed

abbreviation *B14-truncability* :: 'a \Rightarrow bool **where** *B14-truncability* - $\equiv (\forall x \ y . \exists z . \forall a . \text{atom } a \longrightarrow (\text{if } a \leq x \text{ then } mval \ a \ z = \text{bot else } mval \ a \ z = mval \ a \ y))$

Function *mtrunc* returns the value whose existence is asserted by axiom B14.

definition *mtrunc* $x\ y \equiv \text{SOME } z . \forall a . \text{atom } a \longrightarrow (\text{if } a \leq x \text{ then } \text{mval } a\ z = \text{bot else } \text{mval } a\ z = \text{mval } a\ y)$

lemma *mtrunc-char*:

assumes *B14-truncability* -

shows $\forall a . \text{atom } a \longrightarrow (\text{if } a \leq x \text{ then } \text{mval } a\ (\text{mtrunc } x\ y) = \text{bot else } \text{mval } a\ (\text{mtrunc } x\ y) = \text{mval } a\ y)$

proof -

obtain z **where** $\forall a . \text{atom } a \longrightarrow (\text{if } a \leq x \text{ then } \text{mval } a\ z = \text{bot else } \text{mval } a\ z = \text{mval } a\ y)$

using *assms* **by** *blast*

thus *?thesis*

by (*smt mtrunc-def someI*)

qed

lemma *mtrunc-char-1*:

assumes *B14-truncability* -

and *atom a*

and $a \leq x$

shows $\text{mval } a\ (\text{mtrunc } x\ y) = \text{bot}$

by (*simp add: assms mtrunc-char*)

lemma *mtrunc-char-2*:

assumes *B14-truncability* -

and *atom a*

and $\neg a \leq x$

shows $\text{mval } a\ (\text{mtrunc } x\ y) = \text{mval } a\ y$

by (*simp add: assms mtrunc-char*)

lemma *mtrunc-unique*:

assumes *B14-truncability* -

and $\forall a . \text{atom } a \longrightarrow (\text{if } a \leq x \text{ then } \text{mval } a\ z = \text{bot else } \text{mval } a\ z = \text{mval } a\ y)$

and *atom a*

shows $\text{mval } a\ z = \text{mval } a\ (\text{mtrunc } x\ y)$

by (*smt (z3) assms mtrunc-char*)

lemma *lesseq-iff-mval-below*:

assumes *B7-pre-f-decomposable* -

and *B9-f-decomposable* -

and *atom a*

shows $x \leq y \longleftrightarrow (\forall a . \text{atom } a \longrightarrow \text{mval } a\ x \leq y)$

proof (*rule iffI*)

assume $1: x \leq y$

show $\forall a . \text{atom } a \longrightarrow \text{mval } a\ x \leq y$

proof (*rule allI, rule impI*)

fix a

assume *atom a*

```

    thus mval a x ≤ y
    using 1 assms(2) dual-order.trans mval-char by blast
qed
next
assume 2: ∀ a . atom a ⟶ mval a x ≤ y
have ∀ z . mono-atomic-below z x ⟶ z ≤ y
proof (rule allI, rule impI)
  fix z
  assume 3: mono-atomic-below z x
  from this obtain a where 4: atom-below a z
  using mono-atomic-def by blast
  hence z ≤ mval a x
  using 3 assms(2) mono-atomic-above-or-bot-def mval-char by auto
  thus z ≤ y
  using 2 4 by auto
qed
thus x ≤ y
using assms(1) by blast
qed
end

```

3.2 Join-irreducible

context *bounded-semilattice-sup-bot*
begin

Divisibility axioms A1 (reflexivity), A2 (antisymmetry), A3 (transitivity), A5 (least upper bound) and A6 (least element) are the axioms of class *bounded-semilattice-sup-bot*, so not mentioned explicitly.

A join-irreducible element cannot be expressed as the join of two incomparable elements.

definition *join-irreducible* x $\equiv x \neq \text{bot} \wedge (\forall y z . x = y \sqcup z \longrightarrow x = y \vee x = z)$
abbreviation *join-irreducible-below* $x y$ $\equiv \text{join-irreducible } x \wedge x \leq y$
abbreviation *join-irreducible-above* $x y$ $\equiv \text{join-irreducible } x \wedge y \leq x$
definition *join-irreducible-above-or-bot* $x y$ $\equiv x = \text{bot} \vee \text{join-irreducible-above } x y$

Divisibility axioms A7, A8 and A9 based on join-irreducible elements are introduced here; axiom A14 is not needed for this development.

abbreviation *A7-pre-f-decomposable* $:: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where** *A7-pre-f-decomposable* $- \equiv (\forall x y . (\forall z . \text{join-irreducible-below } z x \longrightarrow z \leq y) \longrightarrow x \leq y)$
abbreviation *A8-fibred* $:: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where** *A8-fibred* $- \equiv (\forall x y z . \text{join-irreducible } x \wedge \text{join-irreducible } y \wedge \text{join-irreducible } z \wedge ((x \leq z \wedge y \leq z) \vee (z \leq x \wedge z \leq y)) \longrightarrow x \leq y \vee y \leq x)$
abbreviation *A9-f-decomposable* $:: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where** *A9-f-decomposable* $- \equiv (\forall x a . \text{atom } a \longrightarrow (\exists z . \text{join-irreducible-above-or-bot } z a \wedge z \leq x \wedge (\forall w . \text{join-irreducible-above-or-bot } w a \wedge w \leq x \longrightarrow w \leq z)))$

lemma *atom-join-irreducible*:
 assumes *atom a*
 shows *join-irreducible a*
 by (metis *assms join-irreducible-def atom-def sup.cobounded1 sup-bot-left*)

lemma *mono-atomic-with-downclosed*:
 assumes *A11-atomic -*
 and *mono-atomic-with x a*
 and $y \neq \text{bot}$
 and $y \leq x$
 shows *mono-atomic-with y a*
 using *assms mono-atomic-with-def[of y a] mono-atomic-with-def[of x a]*
order-lesseq-imp[of y] by blast

3.3 Equivalence

The following result shows that under divisibility axioms A1–A3, A5–A9 and A11, join-irreducible elements coincide with mono-atomic elements.

lemma *join-irreducible-iff-mono-atomic*:
 assumes *A7-pre-f-decomposable -*
 and *A8-fibred -*
 and *A9-f-decomposable -*
 and *A11-atomic -*
 shows $\text{join-irreducible } x \longleftrightarrow \text{mono-atomic } x$

proof (rule *iffI*)
 assume 1: *join-irreducible x*
 from this obtain a where 2: *atom-below a x*
 using *assms(4) join-irreducible-def* by blast
 have $\forall b. \text{atom-below } b \ x \longrightarrow b = a$
proof (rule *allI*, rule *impI*)
 fix b
 assume 3: *atom-below b x*
 hence $\text{join-irreducible } a \wedge \text{join-irreducible } b$
 using 2 *atom-join-irreducible* by auto
 hence $a \leq b \vee b \leq a$
 using 1 2 3 *assms(2)* by blast
 thus $b = a$
 using 2 3 *atom-def* by auto
 qed
 thus *mono-atomic x*
 using 2 *mono-atomic-def* by auto
 next
 assume *mono-atomic x*
 from this obtain a where 4: *mono-atomic-with x a*
 using *mono-atomic-above* by blast
 hence 5: $x \neq \text{bot}$
 using *atom-def le-bot mono-atomic-with-def* by blast
 have $\forall y \ z. x = y \sqcup z \longrightarrow x = y \vee x = z$

```

proof (intro allI, rule impI)
  fix y z
  assume 6:  $x = y \sqcup z$ 
  show  $x = y \vee x = z$ 
  proof (cases  $y = \text{bot} \vee z = \text{bot}$ )
    case True
      thus  $x = y \vee x = z$ 
      using 6 by auto
    next
      case False
        hence 7: mono-atomic-with y a  $\wedge$  mono-atomic-with z a
          using 4 6 assms(4) sup.cobounded1 sup.cobounded2
mono-atomic-with-downclosed by blast
        from this obtain u where 8: join-irreducible-above-or-bot u a  $\wedge$   $u \leq y \wedge$ 
( $\forall w . \text{join-irreducible-above-or-bot } w a \wedge w \leq y \longrightarrow w \leq u$ )
          using assms(3) mono-atomic-with-def by blast
        from 7 obtain v where 9: join-irreducible-above-or-bot v a  $\wedge$   $v \leq z \wedge$  ( $\forall w$ 
. join-irreducible-above-or-bot w a  $\wedge$   $w \leq z \longrightarrow w \leq v$ )
          using assms(3) mono-atomic-with-def by blast
        have join-irreducible a
          using 4 atom-join-irreducible mono-atomic-with-def by blast
        hence 10:  $u \leq v \vee v \leq u$ 
          using 8 9 assms(2) join-irreducible-above-or-bot-def by auto
        have 11:  $u \leq v \implies y \leq z$ 
        proof –
          assume 12:  $u \leq v$ 
          have  $\forall w . \text{join-irreducible-below } w y \longrightarrow w \leq z$ 
          proof (rule allI, rule impI)
            fix w
            assume 13: join-irreducible-below w y
            hence mono-atomic-with w a
              using 7 by (metis assms(4) join-irreducible-def
mono-atomic-with-downclosed)
            hence  $w \leq u$ 
              using 8 13 by (simp add: join-irreducible-above-or-bot-def
mono-atomic-with-def)
            thus  $w \leq z$ 
              using 9 12 by force
          qed
        thus  $y \leq z$ 
          using assms(1) by blast
        qed
      have  $v \leq u \implies z \leq y$ 
      proof –
        assume 14:  $v \leq u$ 
        have  $\forall w . \text{join-irreducible-below } w z \longrightarrow w \leq y$ 
        proof (rule allI, rule impI)
          fix w
          assume 15: join-irreducible-below w z

```



```

      hence mono-atomic-with w a
      using 7 by (metis assms(4) join-irreducible-def
mono-atomic-with-downclosed)
      hence  $w \leq v$ 
      using 9 15 by (simp add: join-irreducible-above-or-bot-def
mono-atomic-with-def)
      thus  $w \leq y$ 
      using 8 14 by force
    qed
    thus  $z \leq y$ 
    using assms(1) by blast
  qed
  thus ?thesis
  using 6 10 11 sup.order-iff sup-monoid.add-commute by force
qed
qed
thus join-irreducible x
using 5 join-irreducible-def by blast
qed

```

The following result shows that under divisibility axioms A1–A3, A5–A6, B7–B9, A11 and B14, join-irreducible elements coincide with mono-atomic elements.

```

lemma mono-atomic-iff-join-irreducible:
  assumes B7-pre-f-decomposable -
    and B8-fibered -
    and B9-f-decomposable -
    and A11-atomic -
    and B14-truncability -
  shows mono-atomic x  $\longleftrightarrow$  join-irreducible x
proof (rule iffI)
  assume 1: mono-atomic x
  from this obtain a where mono-atomic-below a x
  by blast
  hence 2:  $x \neq \text{bot}$ 
  using atom-def bot-unique mono-atomic-def by force
  have  $\forall y z . x = y \sqcup z \longrightarrow x = y \vee x = z$ 
  proof (intro allI, rule impI)
    fix y z
    assume 3:  $x = y \sqcup z$ 
    show  $x = y \vee x = z$ 
    proof (cases y = bot  $\vee$  z = bot)
      case True
      thus ?thesis
      using 3 by fastforce
    next
      case False
      hence mono-atomic y  $\wedge$  mono-atomic z
      using 1 3 by (metis assms(4) mono-atomic-above sup.cobounded1)
    qed
  qed

```

```

sup-right-divisibility mono-atomic-with-downclosed)
  hence  $y \leq z \vee z \leq y$ 
  using 1 3 assms(2) by force
  thus ?thesis
  using 3 sup.order-iff sup-monoid.add-commute by force
qed
qed
thus join-irreducible x
  using 2 join-irreducible-def by blast
next
  assume join-irreducible x
  from this obtain a where 4: atom a  $\wedge$  join-irreducible-above x a
  using assms(4) join-irreducible-def by blast
  let ?y = mval a x
  let ?z = mtrunc ?y x
  have 5: mval a ?z = bot
  using 4 by (smt (z3) assms(3,5) mono-atomic-above-or-bot-def mtrunc-char
mval-char)
  have 6: mono-atomic-above-or-bot ?y a
  using 4 assms(3) mval-char by simp
  hence  $\forall b. \text{atom } b \wedge b \neq a \longrightarrow \neg b \leq ?y$ 
  using 4 by (metis atom-def bot-unique mono-atomic-above-or-bot-def
mono-atomic-def)
  hence 7:  $\forall b. \text{atom } b \wedge b \neq a \longrightarrow \text{mval } b \text{ ?z} = \text{mval } b \text{ x}$ 
  by (simp add: assms(5) mtrunc-char)
  have 8: ?y  $\leq$  x
  using 4 assms(3) mval-char by blast
  have  $\forall b. \text{atom } b \longrightarrow \text{mval } b \text{ ?z} \leq x$ 
  proof (rule allI, rule impI)
    fix b
    assume 9: atom b
    show mval b ?z  $\leq$  x
    proof (cases b = a)
      case True
      thus ?thesis
      using 5 by auto
    next
      case False
      thus ?thesis
      using 7 9 by (simp add: assms(3) mval-char)
    qed
  qed
  hence 10: ?z  $\leq$  x
  using 4 assms(1,3) lesseq-iff-mval-below by blast
  have  $\forall w. ?y \leq w \wedge ?z \leq w \longrightarrow x \leq w$ 
  proof (rule allI, rule impI)
    fix w
    assume 11: ?y  $\leq$  w  $\wedge$  ?z  $\leq$  w
    have  $\forall c. \text{atom } c \longrightarrow \text{mval } c \text{ x} \leq w$ 

```

```

proof (rule allI, rule impI)
  fix c
  assume 12: atom c
  show mval c x ≤ w
  proof (cases c = a)
    case True
    thus ?thesis
    using 11 by blast
  next
  case False
  thus ?thesis
    using 7 11 12 by (smt (z3) assms(3) dual-order.trans mval-char)
  qed
qed
thus x ≤ w
  using 4 assms(1,3) lesseq-iff-mval-below by blast
qed
hence 13: x = ?y ⊔ ?z
  using 8 10 order.ordering-axioms ordering.antisym by force
have x ≠ ?z
proof (rule notI)
  assume x = ?z
  hence ?y = bot
  using 5 by force
  hence a = bot
  using 4 assms(3) atom-below-mval bot-unique by fastforce
  thus False
  using 4 atom-def by blast
qed
hence x = ?y
  using 4 13 join-irreducible-def by force
thus mono-atomic x
  using 4 6 join-irreducible-def mono-atomic-above-or-bot-def by auto
qed

end

end

```

References

- [1] R. Berghammer, G. Schmidt, and H. Zierer. Symmetric quotients and domain constructions. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 33(3):163–168, 1989.
- [2] P. Cegielski. The elementary theory of the natural lattice is finitely axiomatizable. *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, 30(1):138–150, 1989.

- [3] J. Riguet. Relations binaires, fermetures, correspondances de Galois.
Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France, 76:114–155, 1948.
- [4] G. Schmidt. *Relational Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, 2011.
- [5] G. Schmidt and T. Ströhlein. *Relationen und Graphen*. Springer-Verlag, 1989.