

Quantum and Classical Registers*

Dominique Unruh

March 17, 2025

Abstract

A formalization of the theory of quantum and classical registers as developed by Unruh [Unr24]. In a nutshell, a register refers to a part of a larger memory or system that can be accessed independently. Registers can be constructed from other registers and several (compatible) registers can be composed. For more details, see [Unr24]. This formalization develops both the generic theory of registers as well as specific instantiations for classical and quantum registers.

Note: This document assumes familiarity with the theoretical background developed in [Unr24]. [Unr24] also describes this formalization and mentions some of the design choices and challenges.

Some of the theories are autogenerated (*Laws_Classical*, *Laws_Quantum*, *Laws_Complement_Quantum*). Use the Python script *instantiate_laws.py* to recreate them after changing any of the theories starting with *Laws* or *Axioms*. See [Unr24] for an explanation of this mechanism and the reasons for it.

Contents

1	Axioms of registers	3
2	Generic laws about registers	4
2.1	Elementary facts	4
2.2	Preregisters	4
2.3	Registers	4
2.4	Tensor product of registers	4
2.5	Pairs and compatibility	5
2.6	Fst and Snd	7
2.7	Compatibility of register tensor products	8
2.8	Associativity of the tensor product	8
2.9	Iso-registers	9
2.10	Compatibility simplification	11
2.11	Notation	11
3	Axioms of complements	12
4	Generic laws about complements	12
5	Classical instantiation of registers	15

*Supported by the ERC consolidator grant CerQuS (819317), the PRG team grant Secure Quantum Technology (PRG946) from the Estonian Research Council, and the Estonian Centre of Excellence in IT (EXCITE) funded by ERDF.

6	Generic laws about registers, instantiated classically	17
6.1	Elementary facts	18
6.2	Preregisters	18
6.3	Registers	18
6.4	Tensor product of registers	18
6.5	Pairs and compatibility	19
6.6	Fst and Snd	21
6.7	Compatibility of register tensor products	22
6.8	Associativity of the tensor product	22
6.9	Iso-registers	23
6.10	Compatibility simplification	25
6.11	Notation	25
7	Miscellaneous facts	26
8	Derived facts about classical registers	28
9	Quantum instantiation of registers	30
10	Generic laws about registers, instantiated quantumly	32
10.1	Elementary facts	32
10.2	Preregisters	32
10.3	Registers	33
10.4	Tensor product of registers	33
10.5	Pairs and compatibility	34
10.6	Fst and Snd	35
10.7	Compatibility of register tensor products	37
10.8	Associativity of the tensor product	37
10.9	Iso-registers	38
10.10	Compatibility simplification	40
10.11	Notation	40
11	Quantum mechanics basics	40
11.1	Basic quantum states	40
11.1.1	EPR pair	40
11.1.2	Ket plus	41
11.2	Basic quantum gates	41
11.2.1	Pauli X	41
11.2.2	Pauli Z	41
11.2.3	Hadamard	41
11.2.4	CNOT	41
11.2.5	Qubit swap	42
12	Derived facts about quantum registers	42
13	Very simple Quantum Hoare logic	44
14	Quantum teleportation	45
15	Quantum instantiation of complements	47
15.1	Finite dimensional complement	48
16	Generic laws about complements, instantiated quantumly	49
17	More derived facts about quantum registers	52

1 Axioms of registers

```
theory Axioms
  imports Main
begin
```

```
class domain
```

```
instance prod :: (domain, domain) domain
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
typedecl 'a update
```

```
axiomatization comp-update :: 'a::domain update ⇒ 'a update ⇒ 'a update where
  comp-update-assoc: comp-update (comp-update a b) c = comp-update a (comp-update b c)
```

```
axiomatization id-update :: 'a::domain update where
```

```
  id-update-left: comp-update id-update a = a and
  id-update-right: comp-update a id-update = a
```

```
axiomatization preregister :: ⟨'a::domain update ⇒ 'b::domain update⟩ ⇒ bool
```

```
axiomatization where
```

```
  comp-preregister: preregister F ⇒ preregister G ⇒ preregister (G ∘ F) and
  id-preregister: ⟨preregister id⟩
```

```
for F :: ⟨'a::domain update ⇒ 'b::domain update⟩ and G :: ⟨'b update ⇒ 'c::domain update⟩
```

```
axiomatization where
```

```
  preregister-mult-right: ⟨preregister (λa. comp-update a z)⟩ and
  preregister-mult-left: ⟨preregister (λa. comp-update z a)⟩
  for z :: 'a::domain update
```

```
axiomatization tensor-update :: ⟨'a::domain update ⇒ 'b::domain update ⇒ ('a×'b) update⟩
```

```
where tensor-extensionality: preregister F ⇒ preregister G ⇒ (∧ a b. F (tensor-update a b) = G (tensor-update a b)) ⇒ F = G
```

```
for F G :: ⟨('a×'b) update ⇒ 'c::domain update⟩
```

```
axiomatization where tensor-update-mult: ⟨comp-update (tensor-update a c) (tensor-update b d) = tensor-update (comp-update a b) (comp-update c d)⟩
```

```
for a b :: ⟨'a::domain update⟩ and c d :: ⟨'b::domain update⟩
```

```
axiomatization register :: ⟨('a update ⇒ 'b update) ⇒ bool⟩
```

```
axiomatization where
```

```
  register-preregister: register F ⇒ preregister F and
  register-comp: register F ⇒ register G ⇒ register (G ∘ F) and
  register-mult: register F ⇒ comp-update (F a) (F b) = F (comp-update a b) and
  register-of-id: ⟨register F ⇒ F id-update = id-update⟩ and
  register-id: ⟨register (id :: 'a update ⇒ 'a update)⟩
```

```
for F :: 'a::domain update ⇒ 'b::domain update and G :: 'b update ⇒ 'c::domain update
```

```
axiomatization where register-tensor-left: ⟨register (λa. tensor-update a id-update)⟩
```

```
axiomatization where register-tensor-right: ⟨register (λa. tensor-update id-update a)⟩
```

```
axiomatization register-pair ::
```

```
  ⟨('a::domain update ⇒ 'c::domain update) ⇒ ('b::domain update ⇒ 'c update)
  ⇒ (('a×'b) update ⇒ 'c update)⟩ where
```

```
  register-pair-is-register: ⟨register F ⇒ register G ⇒ (∧ a b. comp-update (F a) (G b) = comp-update (G b) (F a))
```

```
  ⇒ register (register-pair F G)⟩ and
```

```
  register-pair-apply: ⟨register F ⇒ register G ⇒ (∧ a b. comp-update (F a) (G b) = comp-update (G b) (F a))
```

```
  ⇒ (register-pair F G) (tensor-update a b) = comp-update (F a) (G b)⟩
```

```
end
```

2 Generic laws about registers

```
theory Laws
  imports Axioms
begin
```

This notation is only used inside this file

```
notation comp-update (infixl *_u 55)
notation tensor-update (infixr ⊗_u 70)
notation register-pair ((';-')
```

2.1 Elementary facts

```
declare id-preregister[simp]
declare id-update-left[simp]
declare id-update-right[simp]
declare register-preregister[simp]
declare register-comp[simp]
declare register-of-id[simp]
declare register-tensor-left[simp]
declare register-tensor-right[simp]
declare preregister-mult-right[simp]
declare preregister-mult-left[simp]
declare register-id[simp]
```

2.2 Preregisters

```
lemma preregister-tensor-left[simp]: ⟨preregister (λb::'b::domain update. tensor-update a b)⟩
  for a :: ⟨'a::domain update⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma preregister-tensor-right[simp]: ⟨preregister (λa::'a::domain update. tensor-update a b)⟩
  for b :: ⟨'b::domain update⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

2.3 Registers

```
lemma id-update-tensor-register[simp]:
  assumes ⟨register F⟩
  shows ⟨register (λa::'a::domain update. id-update ⊗_u F a)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma register-tensor-id-update[simp]:
  assumes ⟨register F⟩
  shows ⟨register (λa::'a::domain update. F a ⊗_u id-update)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

2.4 Tensor product of registers

```
definition register-tensor (infixr ⊗_r 70) where
  register-tensor F G = register-pair (λa. tensor-update (F a) id-update) (λb. tensor-update id-update (G b))
```

```
lemma register-tensor-is-register:
  fixes F :: 'a::domain update ⇒ 'b::domain update and G :: 'c::domain update ⇒ 'd::domain update
  shows register F ⇒ register G ⇒ register (F ⊗_r G)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma register-tensor-apply[simp]:
  fixes F :: 'a::domain update ⇒ 'b::domain update and G :: 'c::domain update ⇒ 'd::domain update
  assumes ⟨register F⟩ and ⟨register G⟩
  shows (F ⊗_r G) (a ⊗_u b) = F a ⊗_u G b
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition separating (-::'b::domain itself) A ←→
```

$(\forall F G :: 'a::\text{domain update} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ update. } \text{preregister } F \longrightarrow \text{preregister } G \longrightarrow (\forall x \in A. F x = G x) \longrightarrow F = G)$

lemma *separating-UNIV*[simp]: $\langle \text{separating TYPE}(-) \text{ UNIV} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *separating-mono*: $\langle A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow \text{separating TYPE}('a::\text{domain}) A \Longrightarrow \text{separating TYPE}('a) B \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *register-eqI*: $\langle \text{separating TYPE}('b::\text{domain}) A \Longrightarrow \text{preregister } F \Longrightarrow \text{preregister } G \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge x. x \in A \Longrightarrow F x = G x) \Longrightarrow F = (G :: - \Rightarrow 'b \text{ update}) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *separating-tensor*:
fixes $A :: \langle 'a::\text{domain update set} \rangle$ **and** $B :: \langle 'b::\text{domain update set} \rangle$
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{separating TYPE}('c::\text{domain}) A \rangle$
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{separating TYPE}('c) B \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{separating TYPE}('c) \{a \otimes_u b \mid a \in A \wedge b \in B\} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *register-tensor-distrib*:
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{register } F \rangle \langle \text{register } G \rangle \langle \text{register } H \rangle \langle \text{register } L \rangle$
shows $\langle (F \otimes_r G) \circ (H \otimes_r L) = (F \circ H) \otimes_r (G \circ L) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The following is easier to apply using the *rule-method* than *separating-tensor*

lemma *separating-tensor'*:
fixes $A :: \langle 'a::\text{domain update set} \rangle$ **and** $B :: \langle 'b::\text{domain update set} \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{separating TYPE}('c::\text{domain}) A \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{separating TYPE}('c) B \rangle$
assumes $\langle C = \{a \otimes_u b \mid a \in A \wedge b \in B\} \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{separating TYPE}('c) C \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tensor-extensionality3*:
fixes $F G :: \langle ('a::\text{domain} \times 'b::\text{domain} \times 'c::\text{domain}) \text{ update} \Rightarrow 'd::\text{domain update} \rangle$
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{register } F \rangle \langle \text{register } G \rangle$
assumes $\bigwedge f g h. F (f \otimes_u g \otimes_u h) = G (f \otimes_u g \otimes_u h)$
shows $F = G$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tensor-extensionality3'*:
fixes $F G :: \langle ('a::\text{domain} \times 'b::\text{domain}) \times 'c::\text{domain} \rangle \text{ update} \Rightarrow 'd::\text{domain update} \rangle$
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{register } F \rangle \langle \text{register } G \rangle$
assumes $\bigwedge f g h. F ((f \otimes_u g) \otimes_u h) = G ((f \otimes_u g) \otimes_u h)$
shows $F = G$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *register-tensor-id*[simp]: $\langle \text{id} \otimes_r \text{id} = \text{id} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.5 Pairs and compatibility

definition *compatible* :: $\langle ('a::\text{domain update} \Rightarrow 'c::\text{domain update}) \Rightarrow ('b::\text{domain update} \Rightarrow 'c \text{ update}) \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{compatible } F G \iff \text{register } F \wedge \text{register } G \wedge (\forall a b. F a *_u G b = G b *_u F a) \rangle$

lemma *compatibleI*:
assumes *register* F **and** *register* G
assumes $\langle \bigwedge a b. (F a) *_u (G b) = (G b) *_u (F a) \rangle$
shows *compatible* $F G$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *swap-registers*:

assumes *compatible* $R\ S$
shows $R\ a\ *_u\ S\ b = S\ b\ *_u\ R\ a$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *compatible-sym*: *compatible* $x\ y \implies$ *compatible* $y\ x$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *pair-is-register*[*simp*]:
assumes *compatible* $F\ G$
shows *register* $(F; G)$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *register-pair-apply*:
assumes \langle *compatible* $F\ G$ \rangle
shows \langle $(F; G)\ (a\ \otimes_u\ b) = (F\ a)\ *_u\ (G\ b)$ \rangle
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *register-pair-apply'*:
assumes \langle *compatible* $F\ G$ \rangle
shows \langle $(F; G)\ (a\ \otimes_u\ b) = (G\ b)\ *_u\ (F\ a)$ \rangle
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *compatible-comp-left*[*simp*]: *compatible* $F\ G \implies$ *register* $H \implies$ *compatible* $(F\ \circ\ H)\ G$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *compatible-comp-right*[*simp*]: *compatible* $F\ G \implies$ *register* $H \implies$ *compatible* $F\ (G\ \circ\ H)$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *compatible-comp-inner*[*simp*]:
compatible $F\ G \implies$ *register* $H \implies$ *compatible* $(H\ \circ\ F)\ (H\ \circ\ G)$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *compatible-register1*: \langle *compatible* $F\ G \implies$ *register* F \rangle
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *compatible-register2*: \langle *compatible* $F\ G \implies$ *register* G \rangle
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *pair-o-tensor*:
assumes *compatible* $A\ B$ **and** [*simp*]: \langle *register* C \rangle **and** [*simp*]: \langle *register* D \rangle
shows $(A; B)\ o\ (C\ \otimes_r\ D) = (A\ o\ C; B\ o\ D)$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *compatible-tensor-id-update-left*[*simp*]:
fixes $F :: 'a::\text{domain}\ \text{update} \Rightarrow 'c::\text{domain}\ \text{update}$ **and** $G :: 'b::\text{domain}\ \text{update} \Rightarrow 'c::\text{domain}\ \text{update}$
assumes *compatible* $F\ G$
shows *compatible* $(\lambda a.\ \text{id-update}\ \otimes_u\ F\ a)\ (\lambda a.\ \text{id-update}\ \otimes_u\ G\ a)$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *compatible-tensor-id-update-right*[*simp*]:
fixes $F :: 'a::\text{domain}\ \text{update} \Rightarrow 'c::\text{domain}\ \text{update}$ **and** $G :: 'b::\text{domain}\ \text{update} \Rightarrow 'c::\text{domain}\ \text{update}$
assumes *compatible* $F\ G$
shows *compatible* $(\lambda a.\ F\ a\ \otimes_u\ \text{id-update})\ (\lambda a.\ G\ a\ \otimes_u\ \text{id-update})$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *compatible-tensor-id-update-rl*[*simp*]:
assumes *register* F **and** *register* G
shows *compatible* $(\lambda a.\ F\ a\ \otimes_u\ \text{id-update})\ (\lambda a.\ \text{id-update}\ \otimes_u\ G\ a)$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *compatible-tensor-id-update-lr*[*simp*]:
assumes *register* F **and** *register* G

shows *compatible* $(\lambda a. id\text{-update} \otimes_u F a) (\lambda a. G a \otimes_u id\text{-update})$
<proof>

lemma *register-comp-pair*:
assumes [simp]: $\langle register\ F \rangle$ **and** [simp]: $\langle compatible\ G\ H \rangle$
shows $(F \circ G; F \circ H) = F \circ (G; H)$
<proof>

lemma *swap-registers-left*:
assumes *compatible* $R\ S$
shows $R\ a\ *_u\ S\ b\ *_u\ c = S\ b\ *_u\ R\ a\ *_u\ c$
<proof>

lemma *swap-registers-right*:
assumes *compatible* $R\ S$
shows $c\ *_u\ R\ a\ *_u\ S\ b = c\ *_u\ S\ b\ *_u\ R\ a$
<proof>

lemmas *compatible-ac-rules* = *swap-registers comp-update-assoc[symmetric] swap-registers-right*

2.6 Fst and Snd

definition *Fst* **where** $\langle Fst\ a = a \otimes_u id\text{-update} \rangle$

definition *Snd* **where** $\langle Snd\ a = id\text{-update} \otimes_u a \rangle$

lemma *register-Fst*[simp]: $\langle register\ Fst \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *register-Snd*[simp]: $\langle register\ Snd \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *compatible-Fst-Snd*[simp]: $\langle compatible\ Fst\ Snd \rangle$
<proof>

lemmas *compatible-Snd-Fst*[simp] = *compatible-Fst-Snd[THEN compatible-sym]*

definition $\langle swap = (Snd; Fst) \rangle$

lemma *swap-apply*[simp]: $swap\ (a \otimes_u b) = (b \otimes_u a)$
<proof>

lemma *swap-o-Fst*: $swap\ o\ Fst = Snd$
<proof>

lemma *swap-o-Snd*: $swap\ o\ Snd = Fst$
<proof>

lemma *register-swap*[simp]: $\langle register\ swap \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *pair-Fst-Snd*: $\langle (Fst; Snd) = id \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *swap-o-swap*[simp]: $\langle swap\ o\ swap = id \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *swap-swap*[simp]: $\langle swap\ (swap\ x) = x \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *inv-swap*[simp]: $\langle inv\ swap = swap \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *register-pair-Fst*:
assumes $\langle compatible\ F\ G \rangle$

shows $\langle (F; G) \circ Fst = F \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *register-pair-Snd*:
assumes $\langle compatible\ F\ G \rangle$
shows $\langle (F; G) \circ Snd = G \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *register-Fst-register-Snd[simp]*:
assumes $\langle register\ F \rangle$
shows $\langle (F \circ Fst; F \circ Snd) = F \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *register-Snd-register-Fst[simp]*:
assumes $\langle register\ F \rangle$
shows $\langle (F \circ Snd; F \circ Fst) = F \circ swap \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *compatible3[simp]*:
assumes $[simp]:\ compatible\ F\ G$ **and** $compatible\ G\ H$ **and** $compatible\ F\ H$
shows $compatible\ (F; G)\ H$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *compatible3'[simp]*:
assumes $compatible\ F\ G$ **and** $compatible\ G\ H$ **and** $compatible\ F\ H$
shows $compatible\ F\ (G; H)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pair-o-swap[simp]*:
assumes $[simp]:\ compatible\ A\ B$
shows $(A; B) \circ swap = (B; A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.7 Compatibility of register tensor products

lemma *compatible-register-tensor*:
fixes $F :: \langle 'a::domain\ update \Rightarrow 'e::domain\ update \rangle$ **and** $G :: \langle 'b::domain\ update \Rightarrow 'f::domain\ update \rangle$
and $F' :: \langle 'c::domain\ update \Rightarrow 'e\ update \rangle$ **and** $G' :: \langle 'd::domain\ update \Rightarrow 'f\ update \rangle$
assumes $[simp]:\ \langle compatible\ F\ F' \rangle$
assumes $[simp]:\ \langle compatible\ G\ G' \rangle$
shows $\langle compatible\ (F \otimes_r G)\ (F' \otimes_r G') \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.8 Associativity of the tensor product

definition *assoc* :: $\langle (('a::domain \times 'b::domain) \times 'c::domain)\ update \Rightarrow ('a \times ('b \times 'c))\ update \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle assoc = ((Fst; Snd \circ Fst); Snd \circ Snd) \rangle$

lemma *assoc-is-hom[simp]*: $\langle preregister\ assoc \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *assoc-apply[simp]*: $\langle assoc\ ((a \otimes_u b) \otimes_u c) = (a \otimes_u (b \otimes_u c)) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *assoc'* :: $\langle ('a \times ('b \times 'c))\ update \Rightarrow (('a::domain \times 'b::domain) \times 'c::domain)\ update \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle assoc' = (Fst \circ Fst; (Fst \circ Snd; Snd)) \rangle$

lemma *assoc'-is-hom[simp]*: $\langle preregister\ assoc' \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *assoc'-apply[simp]*: $\langle assoc'\ (a \otimes_u (b \otimes_u c)) = ((a \otimes_u b) \otimes_u c) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *register-assoc*[simp]: $\langle \text{register } \text{assoc} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *register-assoc'*[simp]: $\langle \text{register } \text{assoc}' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pair-o-assoc*[simp]:
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{compatible } F \ G \rangle \langle \text{compatible } G \ H \rangle \langle \text{compatible } F \ H \rangle$
shows $\langle (F; (G; H)) \circ \text{assoc} = ((F; G); H) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pair-o-assoc'*[simp]:
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{compatible } F \ G \rangle \langle \text{compatible } G \ H \rangle \langle \text{compatible } F \ H \rangle$
shows $\langle ((F; G); H) \circ \text{assoc}' = (F; (G; H)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *assoc'-o-assoc*[simp]: $\langle \text{assoc}' \circ \text{assoc} = \text{id} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *assoc'-assoc*[simp]: $\langle \text{assoc}' (\text{assoc } x) = x \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *assoc-o-assoc'*[simp]: $\langle \text{assoc} \circ \text{assoc}' = \text{id} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *assoc-assoc'*[simp]: $\langle \text{assoc} (\text{assoc}' x) = x \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inv-assoc*[simp]: $\langle \text{inv } \text{assoc} = \text{assoc}' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inv-assoc'*[simp]: $\langle \text{inv } \text{assoc}' = \text{assoc} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bij-assoc*[simp]: $\langle \text{bij } \text{assoc} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bij-assoc'*[simp]: $\langle \text{bij } \text{assoc}' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.9 Iso-registers

definition $\langle \text{iso-register } F \longleftrightarrow \text{register } F \wedge (\exists G. \text{register } G \wedge F \circ G = \text{id} \wedge G \circ F = \text{id}) \rangle$
for $F :: \langle \text{::domain update} \Rightarrow \text{::domain update} \rangle$

lemma *iso-registerI*:
assumes $\langle \text{register } F \rangle \langle \text{register } G \rangle \langle F \circ G = \text{id} \rangle \langle G \circ F = \text{id} \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{iso-register } F \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iso-register-inv*: $\langle \text{iso-register } F \Longrightarrow \text{iso-register } (\text{inv } F) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iso-register-inv-comp1*: $\langle \text{iso-register } F \Longrightarrow \text{inv } F \circ F = \text{id} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iso-register-inv-comp2*: $\langle \text{iso-register } F \Longrightarrow F \circ \text{inv } F = \text{id} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iso-register-id*[simp]: $\langle \text{iso-register } \text{id} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iso-register-is-register*: $\langle \text{iso-register } F \implies \text{register } F \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *iso-register-comp*[*simp*]:
assumes [*simp*]: $\langle \text{iso-register } F \rangle \langle \text{iso-register } G \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{iso-register } (F \circ G) \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *iso-register-tensor-is-iso-register*[*simp*]:
assumes [*simp*]: $\langle \text{iso-register } F \rangle \langle \text{iso-register } G \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{iso-register } (F \otimes_r G) \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *iso-register-bij*: $\langle \text{iso-register } F \implies \text{bij } F \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *inv-register-tensor*[*simp*]:
assumes [*simp*]: $\langle \text{iso-register } F \rangle \langle \text{iso-register } G \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{inv } (F \otimes_r G) = \text{inv } F \otimes_r \text{inv } G \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *iso-register-swap*[*simp*]: $\langle \text{iso-register swap} \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *iso-register-assoc*[*simp*]: $\langle \text{iso-register assoc} \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *iso-register-assoc'*[*simp*]: $\langle \text{iso-register assoc}' \rangle$
<proof>

definition $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F G \iff (\text{register } F \wedge (\exists I. \text{iso-register } I \wedge F \circ I = G)) \rangle$
for $F G :: \langle \text{--::domain update} \implies \text{--::domain update} \rangle$

lemma *iso-register-equivalent-id*[*simp*]: $\langle \text{equivalent-registers id } F \iff \text{iso-register } F \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *equivalent-registersI*:
assumes $\langle \text{register } F \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{iso-register } I \rangle$
assumes $\langle F \circ I = G \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F G \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *equivalent-registers-refl*: $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F F \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{register } F \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *equivalent-registers-register-left*: $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F G \implies \text{register } F \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *equivalent-registers-register-right*: $\langle \text{register } G \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F G \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *equivalent-registers-sym*:
assumes $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F G \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } G F \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *equivalent-registers-trans*[*trans*]:
assumes $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F G \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } G H \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F H \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *equivalent-registers-assoc*[simp]:
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{compatible } F \ G \rangle$ $\langle \text{compatible } F \ H \rangle$ $\langle \text{compatible } G \ H \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } (F; (G; H)) \ ((F; G); H) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equivalent-registers-pair-right*:
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{compatible } F \ G \rangle$
assumes eq: $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } G \ H \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } (F; G) \ (F; H) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equivalent-registers-pair-left*:
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{compatible } F \ G \rangle$
assumes eq: $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F \ H \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } (F; G) \ (H; G) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equivalent-registers-comp*:
assumes $\langle \text{register } H \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F \ G \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } (H \circ F) \ (H \circ G) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equivalent-registers-compatible1*:
assumes $\langle \text{compatible } F \ G \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F \ F' \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{compatible } F' \ G \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equivalent-registers-compatible2*:
assumes $\langle \text{compatible } F \ G \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } G \ G' \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{compatible } F \ G' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.10 Compatibility simplification

The simproc *compatibility-warn* produces helpful warnings for subgoals of the form *compatible* $x \ y$ that are probably unsolvable due to missing declarations of variable compatibility facts. Same for subgoals of the form *register* x .

$\langle ML \rangle$

named-theorems *register-attribute-rule-immediate*
named-theorems *register-attribute-rule*

lemmas [*register-attribute-rule*] = *conjunct1 conjunct2 iso-register-is-register iso-register-is-register*[*OF iso-register-inv*]
lemmas [*register-attribute-rule-immediate*] = *compatible-sym compatible-register1 compatible-register2*
asm-rl[of $\langle \text{compatible } - \ \rightarrow \rangle$] *asm-rl*[of $\langle \text{iso-register } - \rightarrow \rangle$] *asm-rl*[of $\langle \text{register } - \rightarrow \rangle$] *iso-register-inv*

The following declares an attribute [*register*]. When the attribute is applied to a fact of the form *register* F , *iso-register* F , *compatible* $F \ G$ or a conjunction of these, then those facts are added to the simplifier together with some derived theorems (e.g., *compatible* $F \ G$ also adds *register* F).

In theory *Laws-Complement*, support for *is-unit-register* F and *complements* $F \ G$ is added to this attribute.

$\langle ML \rangle$

2.11 Notation

no-notation *comp-update* (**infixl** $*_u$ 55)
no-notation *tensor-update* (**infixr** \otimes_u 70)

```

bundle register-syntax begin
notation register-tensor (infixr  $\otimes_r$  70)
notation register-pair ('(-;-'))
end

```

```
end
```

3 Axioms of complements

```

theory Axioms-Complement
  imports Laws With-Type.With-Type
begin

```

```

typedecl ('a, 'b) complement-domain
instance complement-domain :: (domain, domain) domain<proof>
typedecl ('a, 'b) complement-domain-simple
instance complement-domain-simple :: (domain, domain) domain<proof>

```

```
<ML>
```

```
class domain-with-simple-complement = domain
```

— We need that there is at least one object in our category. We call it *some-domain*.

```

typedecl some-domain
instance some-domain :: domain-with-simple-complement <proof>

```

axiomatization where

```

  complement-exists-simple: <register F  $\implies \exists G :: ('a, 'b)$  complement-domain-simple update  $\implies$  'b update. compatible F G  $\wedge$  iso-register (F;G)>
  for F :: <'a::domain update  $\implies$  'b::domain-with-simple-complement update>

```

```

axiomatization cdc :: <'a::domain update  $\implies$  'b::domain update>  $\implies$  ('a,'b) complement-domain set> where
  complement-exists: <register F  $\implies$  let 'c::domain = cdc F in
     $\exists G ::$  'c update  $\implies$  'b update. compatible F G  $\wedge$  iso-register (F;G)>
  for F :: <'a::domain update  $\implies$  'b::domain update>

```

```

axiomatization where complement-unique: <compatible F G  $\implies$  iso-register (F;G)  $\implies$  compatible F H  $\implies$ 
  iso-register (F;H)
   $\implies$  equivalent-registers G H>
  for F :: <'a::domain update  $\implies$  'b::domain update> and G :: <'g::domain update  $\implies$  'b update> and H ::
  <'h::domain update  $\implies$  'b update>

```

```
end
```

4 Generic laws about complements

```

theory Laws-Complement
  imports Laws Axioms-Complement
begin

```

```

unbundle register-syntax
notation comp-update (infixl  $*_u$  55)
notation tensor-update (infixr  $\otimes_u$  70)

```

```
definition <complements F G  $\longleftrightarrow$  compatible F G  $\wedge$  iso-register (F;G)>
```

```
lemma complementsI: <compatible F G  $\implies$  iso-register (F;G)  $\implies$  complements F G>
  <proof>
```

```

lemma complement-exists:
  fixes F :: <'a::domain update  $\implies$  'b::domain update>

```

assumes $\langle \text{register } F \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{let } 'c::\text{domain} = \text{cdc } F \text{ in}$
 $\quad \exists G :: 'c \text{ update} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ update. complements } F G \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *complements-sym*: $\langle \text{complements } G F \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{complements } F G \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *complement* :: $\langle ('a::\text{domain update} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{domain-with-simple-complement update}) \Rightarrow (('a, 'b) \text{ complement-domain-simple update} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ update}) \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{complement } F = (\text{SOME } G :: ('a, 'b) \text{ complement-domain-simple update} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ update. compatible } F G \wedge \text{iso-register } (F; G)) \rangle$

lemma *register-complement[simp]*: $\langle \text{register } (\text{complement } F) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{register } F \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *complement-is-complement[simp]*:
assumes $\langle \text{register } F \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{complements } F (\text{complement } F) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *complement-unique*:
assumes $\langle \text{complements } F G \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{complements } F G' \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } G G' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *complement-unique'*:
assumes $\langle \text{complements } F G \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } G (\text{complement } F) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compatible-complement[simp]*: $\langle \text{register } F \Longrightarrow \text{compatible } F (\text{complement } F) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *complements-register-tensor*:
assumes $[simp]: \langle \text{register } F \rangle \langle \text{register } G \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{complements } (F \otimes_r G) (\text{complement } F \otimes_r \text{complement } G) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *is-unit-register* **where**
 $\langle \text{is-unit-register } U \longleftrightarrow \text{complements } U \text{ id} \rangle$

lemma *register-unit-register[simp]*: $\langle \text{is-unit-register } U \Longrightarrow \text{register } U \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unit-register-compatible[simp]*: $\langle \text{compatible } U X \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{is-unit-register } U \rangle \langle \text{register } X \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unit-register-compatible'[simp]*: $\langle \text{compatible } X U \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{is-unit-register } U \rangle \langle \text{register } X \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compatible-complement-left[simp]*: $\langle \text{register } X \Longrightarrow \text{compatible } (\text{complement } X) X \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compatible-complement-right[simp]*: $\langle \text{register } X \Longrightarrow \text{compatible } X (\text{complement } X) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unit-register-pair[simp]*: $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } X (U; X) \rangle$ **if** $[simp]: \langle \text{is-unit-register } U \rangle \langle \text{register } X \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unit-register-compose-left*:

assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{is-unit-register } U \rangle$
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{register } A \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{is-unit-register } (A \circ U) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unit-register-compose-right*:
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{is-unit-register } U \rangle$
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{iso-register } A \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{is-unit-register } (U \circ A) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unit-register-unique*:
assumes $\langle \text{is-unit-register } F \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{is-unit-register } G \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F \ G \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unit-register-domains-isomorphic*:
fixes $F :: \langle 'a::\text{domain update} \Rightarrow 'c::\text{domain update} \rangle$
fixes $G :: \langle 'b::\text{domain update} \Rightarrow 'd::\text{domain update} \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{is-unit-register } F \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{is-unit-register } G \rangle$
shows $\langle \exists I :: 'a \ \text{update} \Rightarrow 'b \ \text{update}. \ \text{iso-register } I \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *id-complement-is-unit-register*[simp]: $\langle \text{is-unit-register } (\text{complement } id) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

type-synonym *unit-register-domain* = $\langle (\text{some-domain}, \text{some-domain}) \ \text{complement-domain-simple} \rangle$

definition *unit-register* :: $\langle \text{unit-register-domain } \text{update} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{domain update} \rangle$ **where** $\langle \text{unit-register} = (\text{SOME } U. \ \text{is-unit-register } U) \rangle$

lemma *unit-register-is-unit-register*[simp]: $\langle \text{is-unit-register } (\text{unit-register} :: \text{unit-register-domain } \text{update} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{domain update}) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unit-register-domain-tensor-unit*:
fixes $U :: \langle 'a::\text{domain update} \Rightarrow - \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{is-unit-register } U \rangle$
shows $\langle \exists I :: 'b::\text{domain update} \Rightarrow ('a * 'b) \ \text{update}. \ \text{iso-register } I \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compatible-complement-pair1*:
assumes $\langle \text{compatible } F \ G \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{compatible } F \ (\text{complement } (F;G)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compatible-complement-pair2*:
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{compatible } F \ G \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{compatible } G \ (\text{complement } (F;G)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equivalent-complements*:
assumes $\langle \text{complements } F \ G \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } G \ G' \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{complements } F \ G' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *complements-complement-pair*:
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{compatible } F \ G \rangle$
assumes $FG' :: \langle \text{complements } (F;G) \ FG' \rangle$

shows $\langle \text{complements } F (G; FG') \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equivalent-registers-complement*:
assumes $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F G \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{complements } F F' \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{complements } G G' \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F' G' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equivalent-registers-complement'*:
assumes $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F G \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } (\text{complement } F) (\text{complement } G) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *complements-complement-pair'*:
assumes $[\text{simp}]$: $\langle \text{compatible } F G \rangle$
assumes FG' : $\langle \text{complements } (F;G) FG' \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{complements } G (F; FG') \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *complements-chain*:
assumes $[\text{simp}]$: $\langle \text{register } F \rangle \langle \text{register } G \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{complements } (F \circ G) (\text{complement } F; F \circ \text{complement } G) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *complements-Fst-Snd* $[\text{simp}]$: $\langle \text{complements } Fst Snd \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *complements-Snd-Fst* $[\text{simp}]$: $\langle \text{complements } Snd Fst \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compatible-unit-register* $[\text{simp}]$: $\langle \text{register } F \implies \text{compatible } F \text{ unit-register} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *complements-id-unit-register* $[\text{simp}]$: $\langle \text{complements id unit-register} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *complements-iso-unit-register*: $\langle \text{iso-register } I \implies \text{is-unit-register } U \implies \text{complements } I U \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iso-register-complement-is-unit-register* $[\text{simp}]$:
assumes $\langle \text{iso-register } F \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{is-unit-register } (\text{complement } F) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Adding support for *is-unit-register* F and *complements* $F G$ to the $[\text{register}]$ attribute

lemmas $[\text{register-attribute-rule}] = \text{is-unit-register-def}[\text{THEN iffD1}] \text{complements-def}[\text{THEN iffD1}]$

lemmas $[\text{register-attribute-rule-immediate}] = \text{asm-rl}[\text{of } \langle \text{is-unit-register } - \rangle]$

no-notation *comp-update* (**infixl** $*_u$ 55)

no-notation *tensor-update* (**infixr** \otimes_u 70)

unbundle *no register-syntax*

end

5 Classical instantiation of registers

theory *Axioms-Classical*

imports *Main*

begin

type-synonym $'a$ update = $\langle 'a \rightarrow 'a \rangle$

lemma *id-update-left*: $\text{Some } \circ_m a = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *id-update-right*: $a \circ_m \text{Some} = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *comp-update-assoc*: $(a \circ_m b) \circ_m c = a \circ_m (b \circ_m c)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

type-synonym $('a, 'b)$ preregister = $\langle 'a \text{ update} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ update} \rangle$

definition *preregister* :: $\langle ('a, 'b)$ preregister $\Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{preregister } F \longleftrightarrow (\exists g s. \forall a m. F a m = (\text{case } a (g m) \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{None} \mid \text{Some } x \Rightarrow s x m)) \rangle$

lemma *id-preregister*: $\langle \text{preregister } \text{id} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *preregister-mult-right*: $\langle \text{preregister } (\lambda a. a \circ_m z) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *preregister-mult-left*: $\langle \text{preregister } (\lambda a. z \circ_m a) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *comp-preregister*: *preregister* $(G \circ F)$ **if** *preregister* F **and** $\langle \text{preregister } G \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *tensor-update* :: $\langle 'a \text{ update} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ update} \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b) \text{ update} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{tensor-update } a b m = (\text{case } a (\text{fst } m) \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{None} \mid \text{Some } x \Rightarrow (\text{case } b (\text{snd } m) \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{None} \mid \text{Some } y \Rightarrow \text{Some } (x, y))) \rangle$

lemma *tensor-update-mult*: $\langle \text{tensor-update } a c \circ_m \text{tensor-update } b d = \text{tensor-update } (a \circ_m b) (c \circ_m d) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *update1* :: $\langle 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ update} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{update1 } x y m = (\text{if } m=x \text{ then } \text{Some } y \text{ else } \text{None}) \rangle$

lemma *update1-extensionality*:
assumes $\langle \text{preregister } F \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{preregister } G \rangle$
assumes $F \text{Geg}$: $\langle \bigwedge x y. F (\text{update1 } x y) = G (\text{update1 } x y) \rangle$
shows $F = G$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tensor-extensionality*:
assumes $\langle \text{preregister } F \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{preregister } G \rangle$
assumes $F \text{Geg}$: $\langle \bigwedge a b. F (\text{tensor-update } a b) = G (\text{tensor-update } a b) \rangle$
shows $F = G$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *valid-getter-setter* $g s \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall b. b = s (g b) b) \wedge (\forall a b. g (s a b) = a) \wedge (\forall a a' b. s a (s a' b) = s a b)$

definition $\langle \text{register-from-getter-setter } g s a m = (\text{case } a (g m) \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{None} \mid \text{Some } x \Rightarrow \text{Some } (s x m)) \rangle$

definition $\langle \text{register-apply } F a = \text{the } o F (\text{Some } o a) \rangle$

definition $\langle \text{setter } F a m = \text{register-apply } F (\lambda-. a) m \rangle$ **for** $F :: \langle 'a \text{ update} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ update} \rangle$

definition $\langle \text{getter } F m = (\text{THE } x. \text{setter } F x m = m) \rangle$ **for** $F :: \langle 'a \text{ update} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ update} \rangle$

lemma
assumes $\langle \text{valid-getter-setter } g s \rangle$
shows *getter-of-register-from-getter-setter*[*simp*]: $\langle \text{getter } (\text{register-from-getter-setter } g s) = g \rangle$
and *setter-of-register-from-getter-setter*[*simp*]: $\langle \text{setter } (\text{register-from-getter-setter } g s) = s \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

definition *register* :: ⟨('a,'b) preregister ⇒ bool⟩ **where**
⟨register F ⇔ (∃ g s. F = register-from-getter-setter g s ∧ valid-getter-setter g s)⟩

lemma *register-of-id*: ⟨register F ⇒ F Some = Some⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *register-id*: ⟨register id⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *register-tensor-left*: ⟨register (λa. tensor-update a Some)⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *register-tensor-right*: ⟨register (λa. tensor-update Some a)⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *register-preregister*: preregister F **if** ⟨register F⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *register-comp*: register (G ∘ F) **if** ⟨register F⟩ **and** ⟨register G⟩
for F :: ('a,'b) preregister **and** G :: ('b,'c) preregister
⟨proof⟩

lemma *register-mult*: register F ⇒ F a ∘_m F b = F (a ∘_m b)
⟨proof⟩

definition *register-pair* ::
⟨('a update ⇒ 'c update) ⇒ ('b update ⇒ 'c update) ⇒ (('a×'b) update ⇒ 'c update)⟩ **where**
⟨register-pair F G =
register-from-getter-setter (λm. (getter F m, getter G m)) (λ(a,b) m. setter F a (setter G b m))⟩

lemma *compatible-setter*:
assumes [simp]: ⟨register F⟩ ⟨register G⟩
assumes *compat*: ⟨∧ a b. F a ∘_m G b = G b ∘_m F a⟩
shows ⟨setter F x o setter G y = setter G y o setter F x⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *register-pair-apply*:
assumes [simp]: ⟨register F⟩ ⟨register G⟩
assumes ⟨∧ a b. F a ∘_m G b = G b ∘_m F a⟩
shows ⟨(register-pair F G) (tensor-update a b) = F a ∘_m G b⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *register-pair-is-register*:
fixes F :: ⟨'a update ⇒ 'c update⟩ **and** G
assumes [simp]: ⟨register F⟩ **and** [simp]: ⟨register G⟩
assumes *compat*: ⟨∧ a b. F a ∘_m G b = G b ∘_m F a⟩
shows ⟨register (register-pair F G)⟩
⟨proof⟩

end

6 Generic laws about registers, instantiated classically

theory *Laws-Classical*
imports *Axioms-Classical*
begin

This notation is only used inside this file

notation *map-comp* (**infixl** *_u 55)

notation *tensor-update* (**infixr** ⊗_u 70)

notation *register-pair* ('(-;-'))

6.1 Elementary facts

```

declare id-preregister[simp]
declare id-update-left[simp]
declare id-update-right[simp]
declare register-preregister[simp]
declare register-comp[simp]
declare register-of-id[simp]
declare register-tensor-left[simp]
declare register-tensor-right[simp]
declare preregister-mult-right[simp]
declare preregister-mult-left[simp]
declare register-id[simp]

```

6.2 Preregisters

```

lemma preregister-tensor-left[simp]: ⟨preregister (λb::'b::type update. tensor-update a b)⟩
  for a :: ⟨'a::type update⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma preregister-tensor-right[simp]: ⟨preregister (λa::'a::type update. tensor-update a b)⟩
  for b :: ⟨'b::type update⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

6.3 Registers

```

lemma id-update-tensor-register[simp]:
  assumes ⟨register F⟩
  shows ⟨register (λa::'a::type update. Some ⊗u F a)⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma register-tensor-id-update[simp]:
  assumes ⟨register F⟩
  shows ⟨register (λa::'a::type update. F a ⊗u Some)⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

6.4 Tensor product of registers

```

definition register-tensor (infixr ⊗r 70) where
  register-tensor F G = register-pair (λa. tensor-update (F a) Some) (λb. tensor-update Some (G b))

```

```

lemma register-tensor-is-register:
  fixes F :: 'a::type update ⇒ 'b::type update and G :: 'c::type update ⇒ 'd::type update
  shows register F ⇒ register G ⇒ register (F ⊗r G)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma register-tensor-apply[simp]:
  fixes F :: 'a::type update ⇒ 'b::type update and G :: 'c::type update ⇒ 'd::type update
  assumes ⟨register F⟩ and ⟨register G⟩
  shows (F ⊗r G) (a ⊗u b) = F a ⊗u G b
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition separating (-::'b::type itself) A ↔
  (∀ F G :: 'a::type update ⇒ 'b update. preregister F → preregister G → (∀ x∈A. F x = G x) → F = G)

```

```

lemma separating-UNIV[simp]: ⟨separating TYPE(-) UNIV⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma separating-mono: ⟨A ⊆ B ⇒ separating TYPE('a::type) A ⇒ separating TYPE('a) B⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma register-eqI: ⟨separating TYPE('b::type) A ⇒ preregister F ⇒ preregister G ⇒ (∧x. x∈A ⇒ F x = G x) ⇒ F = (G::- ⇒ 'b update)⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *separating-tensor*:
fixes $A :: \langle 'a::\text{type update set} \rangle$ **and** $B :: \langle 'b::\text{type update set} \rangle$
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{separating TYPE}('c::\text{type}) A \rangle$
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{separating TYPE}('c) B \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{separating TYPE}('c) \{a \otimes_u b \mid a b. a \in A \wedge b \in B\} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *register-tensor-distrib*:
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{register } F \rangle \langle \text{register } G \rangle \langle \text{register } H \rangle \langle \text{register } L \rangle$
shows $\langle (F \otimes_r G) o (H \otimes_r L) = (F o H) \otimes_r (G o L) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The following is easier to apply using the *rule-method* than *separating-tensor*

lemma *separating-tensor'*:
fixes $A :: \langle 'a::\text{type update set} \rangle$ **and** $B :: \langle 'b::\text{type update set} \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{separating TYPE}('c::\text{type}) A \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{separating TYPE}('c) B \rangle$
assumes $\langle C = \{a \otimes_u b \mid a b. a \in A \wedge b \in B\} \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{separating TYPE}('c) C \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tensor-extensionality3*:
fixes $F G :: \langle ('a::\text{type} \times 'b::\text{type} \times 'c::\text{type}) \text{ update} \Rightarrow 'd::\text{type update} \rangle$
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{register } F \rangle \langle \text{register } G \rangle$
assumes $\bigwedge f g h. F (f \otimes_u g \otimes_u h) = G (f \otimes_u g \otimes_u h)$
shows $F = G$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tensor-extensionality3'*:
fixes $F G :: \langle (('a::\text{type} \times 'b::\text{type}) \times 'c::\text{type}) \text{ update} \Rightarrow 'd::\text{type update} \rangle$
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{register } F \rangle \langle \text{register } G \rangle$
assumes $\bigwedge f g h. F ((f \otimes_u g) \otimes_u h) = G ((f \otimes_u g) \otimes_u h)$
shows $F = G$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *register-tensor-id*[simp]: $\langle \text{id} \otimes_r \text{id} = \text{id} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

6.5 Pairs and compatibility

definition *compatible* :: $\langle ('a::\text{type update} \Rightarrow 'c::\text{type update}) \Rightarrow ('b::\text{type update} \Rightarrow 'c \text{ update}) \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{compatible } F G \longleftrightarrow \text{register } F \wedge \text{register } G \wedge (\forall a b. F a *_u G b = G b *_u F a) \rangle$

lemma *compatibleI*:
assumes *register* F **and** *register* G
assumes $\langle \bigwedge a b. (F a) *_u (G b) = (G b) *_u (F a) \rangle$
shows *compatible* $F G$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *swap-registers*:
assumes *compatible* $R S$
shows $R a *_u S b = S b *_u R a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compatible-sym*: *compatible* $x y \implies \text{compatible } y x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pair-is-register*[simp]:
assumes *compatible* $F G$
shows *register* $(F; G)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *register-pair-apply*:

assumes $\langle \text{compatible } F \ G \rangle$

shows $\langle (F; G) (a \otimes_u b) = (F a) *_u (G b) \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *register-pair-apply'*:

assumes $\langle \text{compatible } F \ G \rangle$

shows $\langle (F; G) (a \otimes_u b) = (G b) *_u (F a) \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compatible-comp-left[simp]*: $\text{compatible } F \ G \implies \text{register } H \implies \text{compatible } (F \circ H) \ G$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compatible-comp-right[simp]*: $\text{compatible } F \ G \implies \text{register } H \implies \text{compatible } F \ (G \circ H)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compatible-comp-inner[simp]*:

$\text{compatible } F \ G \implies \text{register } H \implies \text{compatible } (H \circ F) \ (H \circ G)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compatible-register1*: $\langle \text{compatible } F \ G \implies \text{register } F \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compatible-register2*: $\langle \text{compatible } F \ G \implies \text{register } G \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pair-o-tensor*:

assumes $\text{compatible } A \ B$ **and** $[\text{simp}]$: $\langle \text{register } C \rangle$ **and** $[\text{simp}]$: $\langle \text{register } D \rangle$

shows $(A; B) \circ (C \otimes_r D) = (A \circ C; B \circ D)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compatible-tensor-id-update-left[simp]*:

fixes $F :: 'a::\text{type} \ \text{update} \Rightarrow 'c::\text{type} \ \text{update}$ **and** $G :: 'b::\text{type} \ \text{update} \Rightarrow 'c::\text{type} \ \text{update}$

assumes $\text{compatible } F \ G$

shows $\text{compatible } (\lambda a. \text{Some } \otimes_u F a) (\lambda a. \text{Some } \otimes_u G a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compatible-tensor-id-update-right[simp]*:

fixes $F :: 'a::\text{type} \ \text{update} \Rightarrow 'c::\text{type} \ \text{update}$ **and** $G :: 'b::\text{type} \ \text{update} \Rightarrow 'c::\text{type} \ \text{update}$

assumes $\text{compatible } F \ G$

shows $\text{compatible } (\lambda a. F a \otimes_u \text{Some}) (\lambda a. G a \otimes_u \text{Some})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compatible-tensor-id-update-rl[simp]*:

assumes $\text{register } F$ **and** $\text{register } G$

shows $\text{compatible } (\lambda a. F a \otimes_u \text{Some}) (\lambda a. \text{Some } \otimes_u G a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compatible-tensor-id-update-lr[simp]*:

assumes $\text{register } F$ **and** $\text{register } G$

shows $\text{compatible } (\lambda a. \text{Some } \otimes_u F a) (\lambda a. G a \otimes_u \text{Some})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *register-comp-pair*:

assumes $[\text{simp}]$: $\langle \text{register } F \rangle$ **and** $[\text{simp}]$: $\langle \text{compatible } G \ H \rangle$

shows $(F \circ G; F \circ H) = F \circ (G; H)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *swap-registers-left*:

assumes $\text{compatible } R \ S$

shows $R a *_u S b *_u c = S b *_u R a *_u c$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *swap-registers-right*:

assumes *compatible R S*

shows $c *_u R a *_u S b = c *_u S b *_u R a$

⟨proof⟩

lemmas *compatible-ac-rules* = *swap-registers comp-update-assoc[symmetric] swap-registers-right*

6.6 Fst and Snd

definition *Fst* **where** $\langle Fst\ a = a \otimes_u\ Some \rangle$

definition *Snd* **where** $\langle Snd\ a = Some \otimes_u\ a \rangle$

lemma *register-Fst[simp]*: $\langle register\ Fst \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *register-Snd[simp]*: $\langle register\ Snd \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *compatible-Fst-Snd[simp]*: $\langle compatible\ Fst\ Snd \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

lemmas *compatible-Snd-Fst[simp]* = *compatible-Fst-Snd[THEN compatible-sym]*

definition $\langle swap = (Snd; Fst) \rangle$

lemma *swap-apply[simp]*: $swap\ (a \otimes_u\ b) = (b \otimes_u\ a)$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *swap-o-Fst*: $swap\ o\ Fst = Snd$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *swap-o-Snd*: $swap\ o\ Snd = Fst$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *register-swap[simp]*: $\langle register\ swap \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *pair-Fst-Snd*: $\langle (Fst; Snd) = id \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *swap-o-swap[simp]*: $\langle swap\ o\ swap = id \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *swap-swap[simp]*: $\langle swap\ (swap\ x) = x \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *inv-swap[simp]*: $\langle inv\ swap = swap \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *register-pair-Fst*:

assumes $\langle compatible\ F\ G \rangle$

shows $\langle (F; G) \ o\ Fst = F \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *register-pair-Snd*:

assumes $\langle compatible\ F\ G \rangle$

shows $\langle (F; G) \ o\ Snd = G \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *register-Fst-register-Snd[simp]*:

assumes $\langle register\ F \rangle$

shows $\langle (F \ o\ Fst; F \ o\ Snd) = F \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *register-Snd-register-Fst*[simp]:
 assumes $\langle \text{register } F \rangle$
 shows $\langle (F \circ \text{Snd}; F \circ \text{Fst}) = F \circ \text{swap} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compatible3*[simp]:
 assumes [simp]: *compatible* F G **and** *compatible* G H **and** *compatible* F H
 shows *compatible* $(F; G)$ H
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compatible3'*[simp]:
 assumes *compatible* F G **and** *compatible* G H **and** *compatible* F H
 shows *compatible* F $(G; H)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pair-o-swap*[simp]:
 assumes [simp]: *compatible* A B
 shows $(A; B) \circ \text{swap} = (B; A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

6.7 Compatibility of register tensor products

lemma *compatible-register-tensor*:
 fixes $F :: \langle 'a::\text{type update} \Rightarrow 'e::\text{type update} \rangle$ **and** $G :: \langle 'b::\text{type update} \Rightarrow 'f::\text{type update} \rangle$
 and $F' :: \langle 'c::\text{type update} \Rightarrow 'e \text{ update} \rangle$ **and** $G' :: \langle 'd::\text{type update} \Rightarrow 'f \text{ update} \rangle$
 assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{compatible } F \ F' \rangle$
 assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{compatible } G \ G' \rangle$
 shows $\langle \text{compatible } (F \otimes_r G) \ (F' \otimes_r G') \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

6.8 Associativity of the tensor product

definition *assoc* :: $\langle (('a::\text{type} \times 'b::\text{type}) \times 'c::\text{type}) \text{ update} \Rightarrow ('a \times ('b \times 'c)) \text{ update} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{assoc} = ((\text{Fst}; \text{Snd} \circ \text{Fst}); \text{Snd} \circ \text{Snd}) \rangle$

lemma *assoc-is-hom*[simp]: $\langle \text{preregister } \text{assoc} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *assoc-apply*[simp]: $\langle \text{assoc} ((a \otimes_u b) \otimes_u c) = (a \otimes_u (b \otimes_u c)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *assoc'* :: $\langle ('a \times ('b \times 'c)) \text{ update} \Rightarrow (('a::\text{type} \times 'b::\text{type}) \times 'c::\text{type}) \text{ update} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{assoc}' = (\text{Fst} \circ \text{Fst}; (\text{Fst} \circ \text{Snd}; \text{Snd})) \rangle$

lemma *assoc'-is-hom*[simp]: $\langle \text{preregister } \text{assoc}' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *assoc'-apply*[simp]: $\langle \text{assoc}' (a \otimes_u (b \otimes_u c)) = ((a \otimes_u b) \otimes_u c) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *register-assoc*[simp]: $\langle \text{register } \text{assoc} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *register-assoc'*[simp]: $\langle \text{register } \text{assoc}' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pair-o-assoc*[simp]:
 assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{compatible } F \ G \rangle$ $\langle \text{compatible } G \ H \rangle$ $\langle \text{compatible } F \ H \rangle$
 shows $\langle (F; (G; H)) \circ \text{assoc} = ((F; G); H) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pair-o-assoc'[simp]*:
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{compatible } F \ G \rangle \langle \text{compatible } G \ H \rangle \langle \text{compatible } F \ H \rangle$
shows $\langle ((F; G); H) \circ \text{assoc}' = (F; (G; H)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *assoc'-o-assoc[simp]*: $\langle \text{assoc}' \circ \text{assoc} = \text{id} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *assoc'-assoc[simp]*: $\langle \text{assoc}' (\text{assoc } x) = x \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *assoc-o-assoc'[simp]*: $\langle \text{assoc} \circ \text{assoc}' = \text{id} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *assoc-assoc'[simp]*: $\langle \text{assoc} (\text{assoc}' x) = x \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inv-assoc[simp]*: $\langle \text{inv } \text{assoc} = \text{assoc}' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inv-assoc'[simp]*: $\langle \text{inv } \text{assoc}' = \text{assoc} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bij-assoc[simp]*: $\langle \text{bij } \text{assoc} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bij-assoc'[simp]*: $\langle \text{bij } \text{assoc}' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

6.9 Iso-registers

definition $\langle \text{iso-register } F \iff \text{register } F \wedge (\exists G. \text{register } G \wedge F \circ G = \text{id} \wedge G \circ F = \text{id}) \rangle$
for $F :: \langle \text{--} :: \text{type update} \Rightarrow \text{--} :: \text{type update} \rangle$

lemma *iso-registerI*:
assumes $\langle \text{register } F \rangle \langle \text{register } G \rangle \langle F \circ G = \text{id} \rangle \langle G \circ F = \text{id} \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{iso-register } F \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iso-register-inv*: $\langle \text{iso-register } F \implies \text{iso-register } (\text{inv } F) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iso-register-inv-comp1*: $\langle \text{iso-register } F \implies \text{inv } F \circ F = \text{id} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iso-register-inv-comp2*: $\langle \text{iso-register } F \implies F \circ \text{inv } F = \text{id} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iso-register-id[simp]*: $\langle \text{iso-register } \text{id} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iso-register-is-register*: $\langle \text{iso-register } F \implies \text{register } F \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iso-register-comp[simp]*:
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{iso-register } F \rangle \langle \text{iso-register } G \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{iso-register } (F \circ G) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iso-register-tensor-is-iso-register[simp]*:
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{iso-register } F \rangle \langle \text{iso-register } G \rangle$

shows $\langle \text{iso-register } (F \otimes_r G) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iso-register-bij*: $\langle \text{iso-register } F \implies \text{bij } F \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inv-register-tensor*[simp]:
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{iso-register } F \rangle \langle \text{iso-register } G \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{inv } (F \otimes_r G) = \text{inv } F \otimes_r \text{inv } G \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iso-register-swap*[simp]: $\langle \text{iso-register swap} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iso-register-assoc*[simp]: $\langle \text{iso-register assoc} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iso-register-assoc'*[simp]: $\langle \text{iso-register assoc}' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F G \iff (\text{register } F \wedge (\exists I. \text{iso-register } I \wedge F \circ I = G)) \rangle$
for $F G :: \langle \text{--::type update} \implies \text{--::type update} \rangle$

lemma *iso-register-equivalent-id*[simp]: $\langle \text{equivalent-registers id } F \iff \text{iso-register } F \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equivalent-registersI*:
assumes $\langle \text{register } F \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{iso-register } I \rangle$
assumes $\langle F \circ I = G \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F G \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equivalent-registers-refl*: $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F F \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{register } F \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equivalent-registers-register-left*: $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F G \implies \text{register } F \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equivalent-registers-register-right*: $\langle \text{register } G \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F G \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equivalent-registers-sym*:
assumes $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F G \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } G F \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equivalent-registers-trans*[trans]:
assumes $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F G \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } G H \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F H \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equivalent-registers-assoc*[simp]:
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{compatible } F G \rangle \langle \text{compatible } F H \rangle \langle \text{compatible } G H \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } (F; (G; H)) ((F; G); H) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equivalent-registers-pair-right*:
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{compatible } F G \rangle$
assumes *eq*: $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } G H \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } (F; G) (F; H) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma equivalent-registers-pair-left:
  assumes [simp]:  $\langle \text{compatible } F \ G \rangle$ 
  assumes eq:  $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F \ H \rangle$ 
  shows  $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } (F;G) \ (H;G) \rangle$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma equivalent-registers-comp:
  assumes  $\langle \text{register } H \rangle$ 
  assumes  $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F \ G \rangle$ 
  shows  $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } (H \circ F) \ (H \circ G) \rangle$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma equivalent-registers-compatible1:
  assumes  $\langle \text{compatible } F \ G \rangle$ 
  assumes  $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F \ F' \rangle$ 
  shows  $\langle \text{compatible } F' \ G \rangle$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma equivalent-registers-compatible2:
  assumes  $\langle \text{compatible } F \ G \rangle$ 
  assumes  $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } G \ G' \rangle$ 
  shows  $\langle \text{compatible } F \ G' \rangle$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

6.10 Compatibility simplification

The simproc *compatibility-warn* produces helpful warnings for subgoals of the form *compatible* $x \ y$ that are probably unsolvable due to missing declarations of variable compatibility facts. Same for subgoals of the form *register* x .

$\langle ML \rangle$

```

named-theorems register-attribute-rule-immediate
named-theorems register-attribute-rule

```

```

lemmas [register-attribute-rule] = conjunct1 conjunct2 iso-register-is-register iso-register-is-register[OF iso-register-inv]
lemmas [register-attribute-rule-immediate] = compatible-sym compatible-register1 compatible-register2
  asm-rl[of  $\langle \text{compatible } - \ - \rangle$ ] asm-rl[of  $\langle \text{iso-register } - \rangle$ ] asm-rl[of  $\langle \text{register } - \rangle$ ] iso-register-inv

```

The following declares an attribute [*register*]. When the attribute is applied to a fact of the form *register* F , *iso-register* F , *compatible* $F \ G$ or a conjunction of these, then those facts are added to the simplifier together with some derived theorems (e.g., *compatible* $F \ G$ also adds *register* F).

In theory *Laws-Complement*, support for *is-unit-register* F and *complements* $F \ G$ is added to this attribute.

$\langle ML \rangle$

6.11 Notation

```

no-notation map-comp (infixl  $*_u$  55)
no-notation tensor-update (infixr  $\otimes_u$  70)

```

```

bundle register-syntax begin
notation register-tensor (infixr  $\otimes_r$  70)
notation register-pair ('(-;-')
end

```

end

7 Miscellaneous facts

This theory proves various facts that are not directly related to this developments but do not occur in the imported theories.

theory *Misc*

imports

Complex-Bounded-Operators.Cblinfun-Code

HOL-Library.Z2

Jordan-Normal-Form.Matrix

Hilbert-Space-Tensor-Product.Weak-Star-Topology

begin

— Remove notation that collides with the notation we use

no-notation *Order.top* (\top)

unbundle *no m-inv-syntax*

unbundle *no vec-syntax*

unbundle *no inner-syntax*

— Import notation from Bounded Operator and Jordan Normal Form libraries

unbundle *cblinfun-syntax*

unbundle *jnf-syntax*

The following declares the ML antiquotation **fact**. In ML code, `@{fact f}` for a theorem/fact name `f` is replaced by an ML string containing a printable(!) representation of `fact`. (I.e., if you print that string using `writeln`, the user can ctrl-click on it.)

This is useful when constructing diagnostic messages in ML code, e.g., "Use the theorem " `^ @{fact thmname}` `^ "here."`

<ML>

instantiation *bit :: enum* **begin**

definition *enum-bit* = `[0::bit,1]`

definition *enum-all-bit* $P \longleftrightarrow P (0::bit) \wedge P 1$

definition *enum-ex-bit* $P \longleftrightarrow P (0::bit) \vee P 1$

instance

<proof>

end

lemma *card-bit[simp]*: $CARD(bit) = 2$

<proof>

instantiation *bit :: card-UNIV* **begin**

definition *finite-UNIV* = `Phantom(bit) True`

definition *card-UNIV* = `Phantom(bit) 2`

instance

<proof>

end

lemma *mat-of-rows-list-carrier[simp]*:

mat-of-rows-list n $vs \in \text{carrier-mat } (\text{length } vs) n$

dim-row (*mat-of-rows-list* n vs) = `length vs`

dim-col (*mat-of-rows-list* n vs) = `n`

<proof>

lemma *mat-of-rows-list-carrier2xn[iff]*:

mat-of-rows-list n $[a,b] \in \text{carrier-mat } 2 n$

<proof>

lemma *mat-of-rows-list-carrier4xn[iff]*:

mat-of-rows-list n $[a,b,c,d] \in \text{carrier-mat } 4 n$

<proof>

lemma *prod-cases3'* [*cases type*]:
obtains (*fields*) *a b c* **where** *y = ((a, b), c)*
<proof>

We define the following abbreviations:

- *mutually f* (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) expands to the conjunction of all $f x_i x_j$ with $i \neq j$.
- *each f* (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) expands to the conjunction of all $f x_i$.

syntax *-mutually* :: '*a* \Rightarrow *args* \Rightarrow '*b* (*mutually* - '(-'))
syntax *-mutually2* :: '*a* \Rightarrow '*b* \Rightarrow *args* \Rightarrow *args* \Rightarrow '*c*

translations *mutually f* (*x*) \Rightarrow *CONST True*
translations *mutually f* (*-args x y*) \Rightarrow *f x y \wedge f y x*
translations *mutually f* (*-args x (-args x' xs)*) \Rightarrow *-mutually2 f x (-args x' xs) (-args x' xs)*
translations *-mutually2 f x y zs* \Rightarrow *f x y \wedge f y x \wedge -mutually f zs*
translations *-mutually2 f x (-args y ys) zs* \Rightarrow *f x y \wedge f y x \wedge -mutually2 f x ys zs*

syntax *-each* :: '*a* \Rightarrow *args* \Rightarrow '*b* (*each* - '(-'))
translations *each f* (*x*) \Rightarrow *f x*
translations *-each f* (*-args x xs*) \Rightarrow *f x \wedge -each f xs*

lemma *dim-col-mat-adjoint[simp]*: *dim-col (mat-adjoint m) = dim-row m*
<proof>

lemma *dim-row-mat-adjoint[simp]*: *dim-row (mat-adjoint m) = dim-col m*
<proof>

lemma *invI*:
assumes *<inj f>*
assumes *<x = f y>*
shows *<inv f x = y>*
<proof>

instantiation *prod* :: (*default, default*) *default begin*
definition *<default-prod = (default, default)>*
instance*<proof>*
end

instance *bit* :: *default<proof>*

lemma *surj-from-comp*:
assumes *<surj (g o f)>*
assumes *<inj g>*
shows *<surj f>*
<proof>

lemma *double-exists*: *<($\exists x y. Q x y$) \longleftrightarrow ($\exists z. Q (fst z) (snd z)$)>*
<proof>

lemma *Ex-iffI*:
assumes *< $\bigwedge x. P x \Longrightarrow Q (f x)$ >*
assumes *< $\bigwedge x. Q x \Longrightarrow P (g x)$ >*

shows $\langle Ex P \longleftrightarrow Ex Q \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cspan-space-as-set[simp]*: $\langle cspan (space-as-set X) = space-as-set X \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

thm *lift-cblinfun-comp*

lemma *lift-cblinfun-comp2*:

assumes $\langle a o_{CL} b = c \rangle$

shows $\langle (d o_{CL} a) o_{CL} b = d o_{CL} c \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *lift-cblinfun-comp = lift-cblinfun-comp lift-cblinfun-comp2*

unbundle *no cblinfun-syntax*

unbundle *no jnf-syntax*

end

8 Derived facts about classical registers

theory *Classical-Extra*

imports *Laws-Classical Misc*

begin

lemma *register-from-getter-setter-of-getter-setter[simp]*: $\langle register-from-getter-setter (getter F) (setter F) = F \rangle$
if $\langle register F \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *valid-getter-setter-getter-setter[simp]*: $\langle valid-getter-setter (getter F) (setter F) \rangle$ **if** $\langle register F \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *register-register-from-getter-setter[simp]*: $\langle register (register-from-getter-setter g s) \rangle$ **if** $\langle valid-getter-setter g s \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $\langle total-fun f = (\forall x. f x \neq None) \rangle$

lemma *register-total*:

assumes $\langle register F \rangle$

assumes $\langle total-fun a \rangle$

shows $\langle total-fun (F a) \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *register-apply*:

assumes $\langle register F \rangle$

shows $\langle Some o register-apply F a = F (Some o a) \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *register-empty*:

assumes $\langle preregister F \rangle$

shows $\langle F Map.empty = Map.empty \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *compatible-setter*:

fixes $F :: \langle ('a, 'c) preregister \rangle$ **and** $G :: \langle ('b, 'c) preregister \rangle$

assumes [simp]: $\langle register F \rangle \langle register G \rangle$

shows $\langle compatible F G \longleftrightarrow (\forall a b. setter F a o setter G b = setter G b o setter F a) \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *register-from-getter-setter-compatibleI[intro]*:

assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{valid-getter-setter } g \ s \rangle \langle \text{valid-getter-setter } g' \ s' \rangle$
assumes $\langle \bigwedge x \ y \ m. \ s \ x \ (s' \ y \ m) = s' \ y \ (s \ x \ m) \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{compatible } (\text{register-from-getter-setter } g \ s) \ (\text{register-from-getter-setter } g' \ s') \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *separating-update1*:
 $\langle \text{separating } \text{TYPE}(-) \ \{ \text{update1 } x \ y \mid x \ y. \ \text{True} \} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *permutation-register* $(p::'b \Rightarrow 'a) = \text{register-from-getter-setter } p \ (\lambda a \ -. \ \text{inv } p \ a)$

lemma *permutation-register-register*[simp]:
fixes $p :: 'b \Rightarrow 'a$
assumes [simp]: *bij* p
shows *register* $(\text{permutation-register } p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *getter-permutation-register*: $\langle \text{bij } p \Longrightarrow \text{getter } (\text{permutation-register } p) = p \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *setter-permutation-register*: $\langle \text{bij } p \Longrightarrow \text{setter } (\text{permutation-register } p) \ a \ m = \text{inv } p \ a \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *empty-var* $:: \langle 'a::\{\text{CARD-1}\} \ \text{update} \Rightarrow 'b \ \text{update} \rangle$ **where**
empty-var $= \text{register-from-getter-setter } (\lambda _. \ \text{undefined}) \ (\lambda _. \ m. \ m)$

lemma *valid-empty-var*[simp]: $\langle \text{valid-getter-setter } (\lambda _. \ (\text{undefined}:::\{\text{CARD-1}\})) \ (\lambda _. \ m. \ m) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *register-empty-var*[simp]: $\langle \text{register } \text{empty-var} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *getter-empty-var*[simp]: $\langle \text{getter } \text{empty-var} \ m = \text{undefined} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *setter-empty-var*[simp]: $\langle \text{setter } \text{empty-var} \ a \ m = m \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *empty-var-compatible*[simp]: $\langle \text{compatible } \text{empty-var } X \rangle$ **if** [simp]: $\langle \text{register } X \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *empty-var-compatible'*[simp]: $\langle \text{register } X \Longrightarrow \text{compatible } X \ \text{empty-var} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Example *record memory* =

$x :: \text{int} * \text{int}$
 $y :: \text{nat}$

definition $X = \text{register-from-getter-setter } x \ (\lambda a \ b. \ b(|x:=a|))$

definition $Y = \text{register-from-getter-setter } y \ (\lambda a \ b. \ b(|y:=a|))$

lemma *validX*[simp]: $\langle \text{valid-getter-setter } x \ (\lambda a \ b. \ b(|x:=a|)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *registerX*[simp]: $\langle \text{register } X \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *validY*[simp]: $\langle \text{valid-getter-setter } y \ (\lambda a \ b. \ b(|y:=a|)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *registerY*[simp]: $\langle \text{register } Y \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compatible.XY[simp]*: $\langle \text{compatible } X \ Y \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

hide-const (**open**) $x \ y \ x\text{-update} \ y\text{-update} \ X \ Y$

end

9 Quantum instantiation of registers

theory *Axioms-Quantum*

imports *Jordan-Normal-Form.Matrix-Impl HOL-Library.Rewrite*
Complex-Bounded-Operators.Complex-L2
Hilbert-Space-Tensor-Product.Hilbert-Space-Tensor-Product
Hilbert-Space-Tensor-Product.Weak-Star-Topology
Hilbert-Space-Tensor-Product.Partial-Trace
Hilbert-Space-Tensor-Product.Von-Neumann-Algebras
With-Type.With-Type
Misc

begin

unbundle *cblinfun-syntax*

unbundle *no m-inv-syntax*

type-synonym $'a \ \text{update} = \langle ('a \ \text{ell2}, 'a \ \text{ell2}) \ \text{cblinfun} \rangle$

definition *preregister* :: $\langle ('a \ \text{update} \Rightarrow 'b \ \text{update}) \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{preregister } F \longleftrightarrow \text{bounded-clinear } F \wedge \text{continuous-map weak-star-topology weak-star-topology } F \rangle$

lemma *preregister-mult-right*: $\langle \text{preregister } (\lambda a. a \ o_{CL} \ z) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *preregister-mult-left*: $\langle \text{preregister } (\lambda a. z \ o_{CL} \ a) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *comp-preregister*: $\text{preregister } F \Longrightarrow \text{preregister } G \Longrightarrow \text{preregister } (G \circ F)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *id-preregister*: $\langle \text{preregister } \text{id} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tensor-extensionality*:
 $\langle \text{preregister } F \Longrightarrow \text{preregister } G \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge a \ b. F(\text{tensor-op } a \ b) = G(\text{tensor-op } a \ b)) \Longrightarrow F = G \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *register* :: $\langle ('a \ \text{update} \Rightarrow 'b \ \text{update}) \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ **where**
 $\text{register } F \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{bounded-clinear } F$
 $\wedge \text{continuous-map weak-star-topology weak-star-topology } F$
 $\wedge F \ \text{id-cblinfun} = \text{id-cblinfun}$
 $\wedge (\forall a \ b. F(a \ o_{CL} \ b) = F \ a \ o_{CL} \ F \ b)$
 $\wedge (\forall a. F \ (a*) = (F \ a)*)$

lemma *register-of-id*: $\langle \text{register } F \Longrightarrow F \ \text{id-cblinfun} = \text{id-cblinfun} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *register-id*: $\langle \text{register } \text{id} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *register-preregister*: $\text{register } F \Longrightarrow \text{preregister } F$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *register-comp*: $\text{register } F \implies \text{register } G \implies \text{register } (G \circ F)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *register-mult*: $\text{register } F \implies \text{cblinfun-compose } (F \ a) \ (F \ b) = F \ (\text{cblinfun-compose } a \ b)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *register-tensor-left*: ⟨register $(\lambda a. \text{tensor-op } a \ \text{id-cblinfun})$ ⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *register-tensor-right*: ⟨register $(\lambda a. \text{tensor-op } \text{id-cblinfun } a)$ ⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *register-pair* ::
 ⟨('a update \Rightarrow 'c update) \Rightarrow ('b update \Rightarrow 'c update)
 \Rightarrow (('a \times 'b) update \Rightarrow 'c update)⟩ **where**
 ⟨register-pair $F \ G = (\text{if register } F \wedge \text{register } G \wedge (\forall a \ b. F \ a \ o_{CL} \ G \ b = G \ b \ o_{CL} \ F \ a) \ \text{then}$
 $\text{SOME } R. (\forall a \ b. \text{register } R \wedge R \ (a \ \otimes_o \ b) = F \ a \ o_{CL} \ G \ b) \ \text{else } (\lambda-. \ 0))$ ⟩

lemma *cilinear-F-comp-G[simp]*: ⟨cilinear $F \implies$ cilinear $G \implies$ cbilinear $(\lambda a \ b. F \ a \ o_{CL} \ G \ b)$ ⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *register-projector*:
assumes *register* F
assumes *is-Proj* a
shows *is-Proj* $(F \ a)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *register-unitary*:
assumes *register* F
assumes *unitary* a
shows *unitary* $(F \ a)$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition ⟨*register-decomposition-basis* $\Phi = (\text{SOME } B. \text{is-ortho-set } B \wedge (\forall b \in B. \text{norm } b = 1) \wedge \text{ccspan } B = \Phi$
 (*butterfly* (*ket undefined*) (*ket undefined*)) $*_S \ \top$)⟩
for Φ :: ⟨'a update \Rightarrow 'b update⟩

lemma *register-decomposition*:
fixes Φ :: ⟨'a update \Rightarrow 'b update⟩
assumes [*simp*]: ⟨*register* Φ ⟩
shows ⟨let 'c::type = *register-decomposition-basis* Φ in
 $(\exists U :: ('a \times 'c) \ \text{ell2} \Rightarrow_{CL} 'b \ \text{ell2}. \text{unitary } U \wedge$
 $(\forall \vartheta. \Phi \ \vartheta = \text{sandwich } U \ (\vartheta \ \otimes_o \ \text{id-cblinfun}))$)⟩
 — Proof based on [Daw21]
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *register-bounded-cilinear*: ⟨*register* $F \implies$ *bounded-cilinear* F ⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cilinear-register*: ⟨*register* $F \implies$ *cilinear* F ⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *weak-star-cont-register*: ⟨*register* $F \implies$ *continuous-map weak-star-topology weak-star-topology* F ⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *register-inv-weak-star-continuous*:
assumes ⟨*register* F ⟩
shows ⟨*continuous-map* (*subtopology weak-star-topology* (*range* F)) *weak-star-topology* (*inv* F)⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *register-inj*: ⟨*inj-on* $F \ X$ ⟩ **if** [*simp*]: ⟨*register* F ⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *register-norm*: $\langle \text{norm } (F a) = \text{norm } a \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{register } F \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unitary-sandwich-register*: $\langle \text{unitary } a \implies \text{register } (\text{sandwich } a) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *register-adj*: $\langle \text{register } F \implies F (a^*) = (F a)^* \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *right-register-tensor-ex*: $\langle \exists T :: ('a \times 'b) \text{ update} \Rightarrow ('a \times 'c) \text{ update}.$
 $\text{register } T \wedge (\forall a b. T (a \otimes_o b) = a \otimes_o F b) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{register } F \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma
fixes $F :: \langle 'a \text{ update} \Rightarrow 'c \text{ update} \rangle$ **and** G
assumes $[simp]$: $\langle \text{register } F \rangle \langle \text{register } G \rangle$
assumes $FG\text{-comm}$: $\langle \bigwedge a b. F a \text{ o}_{CL} G b = G b \text{ o}_{CL} F a \rangle$
shows *register-pair-apply*: $\langle (\text{register-pair } F G) (\text{tensor-op } a b) = F a \text{ o}_{CL} G b \rangle$
and *register-pair-is-register*: $\langle \text{register } (\text{register-pair } F G) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

unbundle *no cblinfun-syntax*

end

10 Generic laws about registers, instantiated quantumly

theory *Laws-Quantum*
imports *Axioms-Quantum*
begin

This notation is only used inside this file

notation *cblinfun-compose* (**infixl** $*_u$ 55)
notation *tensor-op* (**infixr** \otimes_u 70)
notation *register-pair* ($'(-;-)'$)

10.1 Elementary facts

declare *id-preregister* $[simp]$
declare *cblinfun-compose-id-left* $[simp]$
declare *cblinfun-compose-id-right* $[simp]$
declare *register-preregister* $[simp]$
declare *register-comp* $[simp]$
declare *register-of-id* $[simp]$
declare *register-tensor-left* $[simp]$
declare *register-tensor-right* $[simp]$
declare *preregister-mult-right* $[simp]$
declare *preregister-mult-left* $[simp]$
declare *register-id* $[simp]$

10.2 Preregisters

lemma *preregister-tensor-left* $[simp]$: $\langle \text{preregister } (\lambda b :: 'b :: \text{type} \text{ update}. \text{tensor-op } a b) \rangle$
for $a :: \langle 'a :: \text{type} \text{ update} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *preregister-tensor-right* $[simp]$: $\langle \text{preregister } (\lambda a :: 'a :: \text{type} \text{ update}. \text{tensor-op } a b) \rangle$
for $b :: \langle 'b :: \text{type} \text{ update} \rangle$

<proof>

10.3 Registers

lemma *id-update-tensor-register*[simp]:
 assumes *<register F>*
 shows *<register ($\lambda a::'a::\text{type update. id-cblinfun } \otimes_u F a$)>*
 <proof>

lemma *register-tensor-id-update*[simp]:
 assumes *<register F>*
 shows *<register ($\lambda a::'a::\text{type update. } F a \otimes_u \text{id-cblinfun}$)>*
 <proof>

10.4 Tensor product of registers

definition *register-tensor* (**infixr** \otimes_r 70) **where**
 register-tensor F G = register-pair ($\lambda a. \text{tensor-op } (F a) \text{id-cblinfun}$) ($\lambda b. \text{tensor-op id-cblinfun } (G b)$)

lemma *register-tensor-is-register*:
 fixes *F :: 'a::type update \Rightarrow 'b::type update* **and** *G :: 'c::type update \Rightarrow 'd::type update*
 shows *register F \Rightarrow register G \Rightarrow register (F \otimes_r G)*
 <proof>

lemma *register-tensor-apply*[simp]:
 fixes *F :: 'a::type update \Rightarrow 'b::type update* **and** *G :: 'c::type update \Rightarrow 'd::type update*
 assumes *<register F>* **and** *<register G>*
 shows *(F \otimes_r G) (a \otimes_u b) = F a \otimes_u G b*
 <proof>

definition *separating* (*-::'b::type itself*) *A \longleftrightarrow*
 ($\forall F G :: 'a::\text{type update } \Rightarrow 'b \text{ update. preregister } F \longrightarrow \text{preregister } G \longrightarrow (\forall x \in A. F x = G x) \longrightarrow F = G$)

lemma *separating-UNIV*[simp]: *<separating TYPE(-) UNIV>*
 <proof>

lemma *separating-mono*: *<A \subseteq B \Rightarrow separating TYPE('a::type) A \Rightarrow separating TYPE('a) B>*
 <proof>

lemma *register-eqI*: *<separating TYPE('b::type) A \Rightarrow preregister F \Rightarrow preregister G \Rightarrow ($\bigwedge x. x \in A \Rightarrow F x = G x$) \Rightarrow F = (G::- \Rightarrow 'b update)>*
 <proof>

lemma *separating-tensor*:
 fixes *A :: '<a::type update set>* **and** *B :: '<b::type update set>*
 assumes [simp]: *<separating TYPE('c::type) A>*
 assumes [simp]: *<separating TYPE('c) B>*
 shows *<separating TYPE('c) {a \otimes_u b | a b. a \in A \wedge b \in B}>*
 <proof>

lemma *register-tensor-distrib*:
 assumes [simp]: *<register F>* *<register G>* *<register H>* *<register L>*
 shows *<(F \otimes_r G) o (H \otimes_r L) = (F o H) \otimes_r (G o L)>*
 <proof>

The following is easier to apply using the *rule-method* than *separating-tensor*

lemma *separating-tensor'*:
 fixes *A :: '<a::type update set>* **and** *B :: '<b::type update set>*
 assumes *<separating TYPE('c::type) A>*
 assumes *<separating TYPE('c) B>*
 assumes *<C = {a \otimes_u b | a b. a \in A \wedge b \in B}>*
 shows *<separating TYPE('c) C>*
 <proof>

lemma *tensor-extensionality3*:

fixes $F G :: \langle ('a::type \times 'b::type \times 'c::type) \text{ update} \Rightarrow 'd::type \text{ update} \rangle$
assumes $[simp]: \langle \text{register } F \rangle \langle \text{register } G \rangle$
assumes $\bigwedge f g h. F (f \otimes_u g \otimes_u h) = G (f \otimes_u g \otimes_u h)$
shows $F = G$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tensor-extensionality3'*:

fixes $F G :: \langle (('a::type \times 'b::type) \times 'c::type) \text{ update} \Rightarrow 'd::type \text{ update} \rangle$
assumes $[simp]: \langle \text{register } F \rangle \langle \text{register } G \rangle$
assumes $\bigwedge f g h. F ((f \otimes_u g) \otimes_u h) = G ((f \otimes_u g) \otimes_u h)$
shows $F = G$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *register-tensor-id* $[simp]: \langle id \otimes_r id = id \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

10.5 Pairs and compatibility

definition *compatible* $:: \langle ('a::type \text{ update} \Rightarrow 'c::type \text{ update})$

$\Rightarrow ('b::type \text{ update} \Rightarrow 'c \text{ update}) \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ **where**

$\langle \text{compatible } F G \longleftrightarrow \text{register } F \wedge \text{register } G \wedge (\forall a b. F a *_u G b = G b *_u F a) \rangle$

lemma *compatibleI*:

assumes *register* F **and** *register* G
assumes $\langle \bigwedge a b. (F a) *_u (G b) = (G b) *_u (F a) \rangle$
shows *compatible* $F G$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *swap-registers*:

assumes *compatible* $R S$
shows $R a *_u S b = S b *_u R a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compatible-sym*: *compatible* $x y \implies \text{compatible } y x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pair-is-register* $[simp]:$

assumes *compatible* $F G$
shows *register* $(F; G)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *register-pair-apply*:

assumes $\langle \text{compatible } F G \rangle$
shows $\langle (F; G) (a \otimes_u b) = (F a) *_u (G b) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *register-pair-apply'*:

assumes $\langle \text{compatible } F G \rangle$
shows $\langle (F; G) (a \otimes_u b) = (G b) *_u (F a) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compatible-comp-left* $[simp]: \text{compatible } F G \implies \text{register } H \implies \text{compatible } (F \circ H) G$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compatible-comp-right* $[simp]: \text{compatible } F G \implies \text{register } H \implies \text{compatible } F (G \circ H)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compatible-comp-inner* $[simp]:$

compatible $F G \implies \text{register } H \implies \text{compatible } (H \circ F) (H \circ G)$

<proof>

lemma *compatible-register1*: $\langle \text{compatible } F \ G \implies \text{register } F \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *compatible-register2*: $\langle \text{compatible } F \ G \implies \text{register } G \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *pair-o-tensor*:

assumes *compatible* $A \ B$ **and** $[simp]: \langle \text{register } C \rangle$ **and** $[simp]: \langle \text{register } D \rangle$
shows $(A; B) \circ (C \otimes_r D) = (A \circ C; B \circ D)$
<proof>

lemma *compatible-tensor-id-update-left* $[simp]$:

fixes $F :: 'a::\text{type} \ \text{update} \Rightarrow 'c::\text{type} \ \text{update}$ **and** $G :: 'b::\text{type} \ \text{update} \Rightarrow 'c::\text{type} \ \text{update}$
assumes *compatible* $F \ G$
shows *compatible* $(\lambda a. \text{id-cblinfun} \otimes_u F \ a) \ (\lambda a. \text{id-cblinfun} \otimes_u G \ a)$
<proof>

lemma *compatible-tensor-id-update-right* $[simp]$:

fixes $F :: 'a::\text{type} \ \text{update} \Rightarrow 'c::\text{type} \ \text{update}$ **and** $G :: 'b::\text{type} \ \text{update} \Rightarrow 'c::\text{type} \ \text{update}$
assumes *compatible* $F \ G$
shows *compatible* $(\lambda a. F \ a \otimes_u \text{id-cblinfun}) \ (\lambda a. G \ a \otimes_u \text{id-cblinfun})$
<proof>

lemma *compatible-tensor-id-update-rl* $[simp]$:

assumes *register* F **and** *register* G
shows *compatible* $(\lambda a. F \ a \otimes_u \text{id-cblinfun}) \ (\lambda a. \text{id-cblinfun} \otimes_u G \ a)$
<proof>

lemma *compatible-tensor-id-update-lr* $[simp]$:

assumes *register* F **and** *register* G
shows *compatible* $(\lambda a. \text{id-cblinfun} \otimes_u F \ a) \ (\lambda a. G \ a \otimes_u \text{id-cblinfun})$
<proof>

lemma *register-comp-pair*:

assumes $[simp]: \langle \text{register } F \rangle$ **and** $[simp]: \langle \text{compatible } G \ H \rangle$
shows $(F \circ G; F \circ H) = F \circ (G; H)$
<proof>

lemma *swap-registers-left*:

assumes *compatible* $R \ S$
shows $R \ a \ *_u \ S \ b \ *_u \ c = S \ b \ *_u \ R \ a \ *_u \ c$
<proof>

lemma *swap-registers-right*:

assumes *compatible* $R \ S$
shows $c \ *_u \ R \ a \ *_u \ S \ b = c \ *_u \ S \ b \ *_u \ R \ a$
<proof>

lemmas *compatible-ac-rules* = *swap-registers cblinfun-compose-assoc* $[symmetric]$ *swap-registers-right*

10.6 Fst and Snd

definition *Fst* **where** $\langle Fst \ a = a \otimes_u \text{id-cblinfun} \rangle$

definition *Snd* **where** $\langle Snd \ a = \text{id-cblinfun} \otimes_u a \rangle$

lemma *register-Fst* $[simp]$: $\langle \text{register } Fst \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *register-Snd* $[simp]$: $\langle \text{register } Snd \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *compatible-Fst-Snd* $[simp]$: $\langle \text{compatible } Fst \ Snd \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas $\text{compatible-Snd-Fst}[\text{simp}] = \text{compatible-Fst-Snd}[\text{THEN compatible-sym}]$

definition $\langle \text{swap} = (\text{Snd}; \text{Fst}) \rangle$

lemma $\text{swap-apply}[\text{simp}]$: $\text{swap} (a \otimes_u b) = (b \otimes_u a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma swap-o-Fst : $\text{swap} \circ \text{Fst} = \text{Snd}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma swap-o-Snd : $\text{swap} \circ \text{Snd} = \text{Fst}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{register-swap}[\text{simp}]$: $\langle \text{register swap} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma pair-Fst-Snd : $\langle (\text{Fst}; \text{Snd}) = \text{id} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{swap-o-swap}[\text{simp}]$: $\langle \text{swap} \circ \text{swap} = \text{id} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{swap-swap}[\text{simp}]$: $\langle \text{swap} (\text{swap } x) = x \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{inv-swap}[\text{simp}]$: $\langle \text{inv swap} = \text{swap} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma register-pair-Fst :
assumes $\langle \text{compatible } F \ G \rangle$
shows $\langle (F; G) \circ \text{Fst} = F \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma register-pair-Snd :
assumes $\langle \text{compatible } F \ G \rangle$
shows $\langle (F; G) \circ \text{Snd} = G \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{register-Fst-register-Snd}[\text{simp}]$:
assumes $\langle \text{register } F \rangle$
shows $\langle (F \circ \text{Fst}; F \circ \text{Snd}) = F \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{register-Snd-register-Fst}[\text{simp}]$:
assumes $\langle \text{register } F \rangle$
shows $\langle (F \circ \text{Snd}; F \circ \text{Fst}) = F \circ \text{swap} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{compatible3}[\text{simp}]$:
assumes $[\text{simp}]$: $\text{compatible } F \ G$ **and** $\text{compatible } G \ H$ **and** $\text{compatible } F \ H$
shows $\text{compatible } (F; G) \ H$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{compatible3}'[\text{simp}]$:
assumes $\text{compatible } F \ G$ **and** $\text{compatible } G \ H$ **and** $\text{compatible } F \ H$
shows $\text{compatible } F \ (G; H)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{pair-o-swap}[\text{simp}]$:
assumes $[\text{simp}]$: $\text{compatible } A \ B$
shows $(A; B) \circ \text{swap} = (B; A)$

<proof>

10.7 Compatibility of register tensor products

lemma *compatible-register-tensor*:

fixes $F :: \langle 'a::\text{type update} \Rightarrow 'e::\text{type update} \rangle$ **and** $G :: \langle 'b::\text{type update} \Rightarrow 'f::\text{type update} \rangle$
and $F' :: \langle 'c::\text{type update} \Rightarrow 'e \text{ update} \rangle$ **and** $G' :: \langle 'd::\text{type update} \Rightarrow 'f \text{ update} \rangle$
assumes $[simp]: \langle \text{compatible } F \ F' \rangle$
assumes $[simp]: \langle \text{compatible } G \ G' \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{compatible } (F \otimes_r G) \ (F' \otimes_r G') \rangle$

<proof>

10.8 Associativity of the tensor product

definition *assoc* :: $\langle (('a::\text{type} \times 'b::\text{type}) \times 'c::\text{type}) \text{ update} \Rightarrow ('a \times ('b \times 'c)) \text{ update} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{assoc} = ((Fst; Snd \ o \ Fst); Snd \ o \ Snd) \rangle$

lemma *assoc-is-hom* $[simp]: \langle \text{preregister } \text{assoc} \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *assoc-apply* $[simp]: \langle \text{assoc } ((a \otimes_u b) \otimes_u c) = (a \otimes_u (b \otimes_u c)) \rangle$
<proof>

definition *assoc'* :: $\langle ('a \times ('b \times 'c)) \text{ update} \Rightarrow (('a::\text{type} \times 'b::\text{type}) \times 'c::\text{type}) \text{ update} \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{assoc}' = (Fst \ o \ Fst; (Fst \ o \ Snd; Snd)) \rangle$

lemma *assoc'-is-hom* $[simp]: \langle \text{preregister } \text{assoc}' \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *assoc'-apply* $[simp]: \langle \text{assoc}' (a \otimes_u (b \otimes_u c)) = ((a \otimes_u b) \otimes_u c) \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *register-assoc* $[simp]: \langle \text{register } \text{assoc} \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *register-assoc'* $[simp]: \langle \text{register } \text{assoc}' \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *pair-o-assoc* $[simp]:$
assumes $[simp]: \langle \text{compatible } F \ G \rangle \langle \text{compatible } G \ H \rangle \langle \text{compatible } F \ H \rangle$
shows $\langle (F; (G; H)) \circ \text{assoc} = ((F; G); H) \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *pair-o-assoc'* $[simp]:$
assumes $[simp]: \langle \text{compatible } F \ G \rangle \langle \text{compatible } G \ H \rangle \langle \text{compatible } F \ H \rangle$
shows $\langle ((F; G); H) \circ \text{assoc}' = (F; (G; H)) \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *assoc'-o-assoc* $[simp]: \langle \text{assoc}' \ o \ \text{assoc} = \text{id} \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *assoc'-assoc* $[simp]: \langle \text{assoc}' (\text{assoc } x) = x \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *assoc-o-assoc'* $[simp]: \langle \text{assoc} \ o \ \text{assoc}' = \text{id} \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *assoc-assoc'* $[simp]: \langle \text{assoc} (\text{assoc}' x) = x \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *inv-assoc* $[simp]: \langle \text{inv } \text{assoc} = \text{assoc}' \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *inv-assoc'* $[simp]: \langle \text{inv } \text{assoc}' = \text{assoc} \rangle$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *bij-assoc*[simp]: ⟨bij assoc⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *bij-assoc'*[simp]: ⟨bij assoc'⟩
⟨proof⟩

10.9 Iso-registers

definition ⟨iso-register $F \longleftrightarrow$ register $F \wedge (\exists G. \text{register } G \wedge F \circ G = \text{id} \wedge G \circ F = \text{id})$ ⟩
for $F :: \langle \text{::type update} \Rightarrow \text{::type update} \rangle$

lemma *iso-registerI*:
assumes ⟨register F ⟩ ⟨register G ⟩ ⟨ $F \circ G = \text{id}$ ⟩ ⟨ $G \circ F = \text{id}$ ⟩
shows ⟨iso-register F ⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *iso-register-inv*: ⟨iso-register $F \implies$ iso-register ($\text{inv } F$)⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *iso-register-inv-comp1*: ⟨iso-register $F \implies \text{inv } F \circ F = \text{id}$ ⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *iso-register-inv-comp2*: ⟨iso-register $F \implies F \circ \text{inv } F = \text{id}$ ⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *iso-register-id*[simp]: ⟨iso-register id⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *iso-register-is-register*: ⟨iso-register $F \implies$ register F ⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *iso-register-comp*[simp]:
assumes [simp]: ⟨iso-register F ⟩ ⟨iso-register G ⟩
shows ⟨iso-register ($F \circ G$)⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *iso-register-tensor-is-iso-register*[simp]:
assumes [simp]: ⟨iso-register F ⟩ ⟨iso-register G ⟩
shows ⟨iso-register ($F \otimes_r G$)⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *iso-register-bij*: ⟨iso-register $F \implies$ bij F ⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *inv-register-tensor*[simp]:
assumes [simp]: ⟨iso-register F ⟩ ⟨iso-register G ⟩
shows ⟨inv ($F \otimes_r G$) = inv $F \otimes_r$ inv G ⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *iso-register-swap*[simp]: ⟨iso-register swap⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *iso-register-assoc*[simp]: ⟨iso-register assoc⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma *iso-register-assoc'*[simp]: ⟨iso-register assoc'⟩
⟨proof⟩

definition ⟨equivalent-registers $F G \longleftrightarrow$ (register $F \wedge (\exists I. \text{iso-register } I \wedge F \circ I = G)$)⟩

for $F\ G :: \langle \text{--::type update} \Rightarrow \text{--::type update} \rangle$

lemma *iso-register-equivalent-id*[simp]: $\langle \text{equivalent-registers id } F \longleftrightarrow \text{iso-register } F \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equivalent-registersI*:
assumes $\langle \text{register } F \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{iso-register } I \rangle$
assumes $\langle F \circ I = G \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F\ G \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equivalent-registers-refl*: $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F\ F \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{register } F \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equivalent-registers-register-left*: $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F\ G \implies \text{register } F \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equivalent-registers-register-right*: $\langle \text{register } G \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F\ G \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equivalent-registers-sym*:
assumes $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F\ G \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } G\ F \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equivalent-registers-trans*[trans]:
assumes $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F\ G \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } G\ H \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F\ H \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equivalent-registers-assoc*[simp]:
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{compatible } F\ G \rangle$ $\langle \text{compatible } F\ H \rangle$ $\langle \text{compatible } G\ H \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } (F;(G;H)) ((F;G);H) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equivalent-registers-pair-right*:
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{compatible } F\ G \rangle$
assumes *eq*: $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } G\ H \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } (F;G) (F;H) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equivalent-registers-pair-left*:
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{compatible } F\ G \rangle$
assumes *eq*: $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F\ H \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } (F;G) (H;G) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equivalent-registers-comp*:
assumes $\langle \text{register } H \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F\ G \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } (H \circ F) (H \circ G) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equivalent-registers-compatible1*:
assumes $\langle \text{compatible } F\ G \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F\ F' \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{compatible } F'\ G \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equivalent-registers-compatible2*:
assumes $\langle \text{compatible } F\ G \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } G\ G' \rangle$

shows $\langle \text{compatible } F \ G' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

10.10 Compatibility simplification

The simproc *compatibility-warn* produces helpful warnings for subgoals of the form *compatible x y* that are probably unsolvable due to missing declarations of variable compatibility facts. Same for subgoals of the form *register x*.

$\langle ML \rangle$

named-theorems *register-attribute-rule-immediate*

named-theorems *register-attribute-rule*

lemmas [*register-attribute-rule*] = *conjunct1 conjunct2 iso-register-is-register iso-register-is-register [OF iso-register-inv]*

lemmas [*register-attribute-rule-immediate*] = *compatible-sym compatible-register1 compatible-register2*

asm-rl[of <compatible - >] asm-rl[of <iso-register ->] asm-rl[of <register ->] iso-register-inv

The following declares an attribute [*register*]. When the attribute is applied to a fact of the form *register F*, *iso-register F*, *compatible F G* or a conjunction of these, then those facts are added to the simplifier together with some derived theorems (e.g., *compatible F G* also adds *register F*).

In theory *Laws-Complement*, support for *is-unit-register F* and *complements F G* is added to this attribute.

$\langle ML \rangle$

10.11 Notation

no-notation *cblinfun-compose* (**infixl** $*_u$ 55)

no-notation *tensor-op* (**infixr** \otimes_u 70)

bundle *register-syntax* **begin**

notation *register-tensor* (**infixr** \otimes_r 70)

notation *register-pair* ('(-;-)')

end

end

11 Quantum mechanics basics

theory *Quantum*

imports

Misc

Hilbert-Space-Tensor-Product.Hilbert-Space-Tensor-Product

HOL-Library.Z2

Jordan-Normal-Form.Matrix-Impl

Real-Impl.Real-Impl

HOL-Library.Code-Target-Numeral

begin

unbundle *cblinfun-syntax*

type-synonym ('a,'b) *matrix* = $\langle ('a \ \text{ell}2, 'b \ \text{ell}2) \ \text{cblinfun} \rangle$

11.1 Basic quantum states

11.1.1 EPR pair

definition *vector-β00* = *vec-of-list* [$1/\sqrt{2}::\text{complex}, 0, 0, 1/\sqrt{2}$]

definition $\beta00 :: \langle (\text{bit} \times \text{bit}) \ \text{ell}2 \rangle$ **where** [*code del*]: $\beta00 = \text{basis-enum-of-vec } \text{vector-}\beta00$

lemma *vec-of-basis-enum-β00*[*simp*]: *vec-of-basis-enum* $\beta00 = \text{vector-}\beta00$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *vec-of-ell2-β00*[simp, code]: *vec-of-ell2 β00 = vector-β00*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *norm-β00*[simp]: *norm β00 = 1*
⟨proof⟩

11.1.2 Ket plus

definition *vector-ketplus* = *vec-of-list* [*1/sqrt 2::complex*, *1/sqrt 2*]

definition *ketplus* :: ⟨*bit ell2*⟩ (|+) **where** [code del]: *ketplus* = *basis-enum-of-vec vector-ketplus*

lemma *vec-of-basis-enum-ketplus*[simp]: *vec-of-basis-enum ketplus = vector-ketplus*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *vec-of-ell2-ketplus*[simp, code]: *vec-of-ell2 ketplus = vector-ketplus*
⟨proof⟩

11.2 Basic quantum gates

11.2.1 Pauli X

definition *matrix-pauliX* = *mat-of-rows-list* 2 [[*0::complex*, 1], [1, 0]]

definition *pauliX* :: ⟨(*bit*, *bit*) *matrix*⟩ **where** [code del]: *pauliX* = *cblinfun-of-mat matrix-pauliX*

lemma *mat-of-cblinfun-pauliX*[simp, code]: *mat-of-cblinfun pauliX = matrix-pauliX*
⟨proof⟩

derive (eq) *ceq bit*

instantiation *bit* :: *ccompare* **begin**

definition *CCOMPARE*(*bit*) = *Some* (λ*b1 b2*. *case* (*b1*, *b2*) *of* (*0*, *0*) ⇒ *order.Eq* | (*0*, *1*) ⇒ *order.Lt* | (*1*, *0*) ⇒ *order.Gt* | (*1*, *1*) ⇒ *order.Eq*)

instance
⟨proof⟩

end

derive (*dlist*) *set-impl bit*

lemma *pauliX-adjoint*[simp]: *pauliX** = *pauliX*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *pauliXX*[simp]: *pauliX o_{CL} pauliX = id-cblinfun*
⟨proof⟩

11.2.2 Pauli Z

definition *matrix-pauliZ* = *mat-of-rows-list* 2 [[*1::complex*, 0], [0, -1]]

definition *pauliZ* :: ⟨(*bit*, *bit*) *matrix*⟩ **where** [code del]: *pauliZ* = *cblinfun-of-mat matrix-pauliZ*

lemma *mat-of-cblinfun-pauliZ*[simp, code]: *mat-of-cblinfun pauliZ = matrix-pauliZ*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *pauliZ-adjoint*[simp]: *pauliZ** = *pauliZ*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *pauliZZ*[simp]: *pauliZ o_{CL} pauliZ = id-cblinfun*
⟨proof⟩

11.2.3 Hadamard

definition *matrix-hadamard* = *mat-of-rows-list* 2 [[*1/sqrt 2::complex*, *1/sqrt 2*], [*1/sqrt 2*, *-1/sqrt 2*]]

definition *hadamard* :: ⟨(*bit*, *bit*) *matrix*⟩ **where** [code del]: *hadamard* = *cblinfun-of-mat matrix-hadamard*

lemma *mat-of-cblinfun-hadamard*[simp, code]: *mat-of-cblinfun hadamard = matrix-hadamard*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *hada-adj*[simp]: *hadamard** = *hadamard*
⟨proof⟩

11.2.4 CNOT

definition *matrix-CNOT* = *mat-of-rows-list* 4 [[*1::complex*, 0, 0, 0], [0, 1, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0, 1], [0, 0, 1, 0]]

definition $CNOT$:: $\langle (bit * bit, bit * bit) \text{ matrix} \rangle$ **where** [code del]: $CNOT = cblinfun\text{-of}\text{-mat } matrix\text{-}CNOT$

lemma $mat\text{-of}\text{-cblinfun}\text{-}CNOT[simp, code]$: $mat\text{-of}\text{-cblinfun } CNOT = matrix\text{-}CNOT$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $CNOT\text{-adj}[simp]$: $CNOT^* = CNOT$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cnot\text{-apply}[simp]$: $\langle CNOT *_{\mathcal{V}} ket (i, j) = ket (i, j+i) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

11.2.5 Qubit swap

definition $matrix\text{-}Uswap = mat\text{-of}\text{-rows}\text{-list } 4 [[1::complex, 0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 1, 0], [0, 1, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0, 1]]$

definition $Uswap$:: $\langle (bit \times bit, bit \times bit) \text{ matrix} \rangle$ **where**
[code del]: $\langle Uswap = cblinfun\text{-of}\text{-mat } matrix\text{-}Uswap \rangle$

lemma $mat\text{-of}\text{-cblinfun}\text{-}Uswap[simp, code]$: $mat\text{-of}\text{-cblinfun } Uswap = matrix\text{-}Uswap$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dim\text{-col}\text{-}Uswap[simp]$: $dim\text{-col } matrix\text{-}Uswap = 4$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dim\text{-row}\text{-}Uswap[simp]$: $dim\text{-row } matrix\text{-}Uswap = 4$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Uswap\text{-adjoint}[simp]$: $Uswap^* = Uswap$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Uswap\text{-involution}[simp]$: $Uswap \circ_{CL} Uswap = id\text{-cblinfun}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $unitary\text{-}Uswap[simp]$: $unitary Uswap$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Uswap\text{-apply}[simp]$: $\langle Uswap *_{\mathcal{V}} s \otimes_s t = t \otimes_s s \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

unbundle $no \text{ cblinfun}\text{-syntax}$

end

12 Derived facts about quantum registers

theory $Quantum\text{-Extra}$

imports

$Laws\text{-Quantum}$

$Quantum$

begin

no-notation $meet$ (**infixl** \sqcap_1 70)

no-notation $Group.mult$ (**infixl** \otimes_1 70)

no-notation $Order.top$ (\top_1)

unbundle $lattice\text{-syntax}$

unbundle $register\text{-syntax}$

unbundle $cblinfun\text{-syntax}$

lemma $zero\text{-not}\text{-register}[simp]$: $\langle \sim register (\lambda. 0) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $register\text{-pair}\text{-is}\text{-register}\text{-converse}$:
 $\langle register (F; G) \implies register F \rangle \langle register (F; G) \implies register G \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $register\text{-id}'[simp]$: $\langle register (\lambda x. x) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma compatible-proj-intersect:

assumes *compatible R S* **and** *is-Proj a* **and** *is-Proj b*
shows $(R a *_S \top) \sqcap (S b *_S \top) = ((R a o_{CL} S b) *_S \top)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma compatible-proj-mult:

assumes *compatible R S* **and** *is-Proj a* **and** *is-Proj b*
shows *is-Proj* $(R a o_{CL} S b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma sandwich-tensor:

fixes $a :: \langle 'a \text{ ell2} \Rightarrow_{CL} 'a \text{ ell2} \rangle$ **and** $b :: \langle 'b \text{ ell2} \Rightarrow_{CL} 'b \text{ ell2} \rangle$
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{unitary } a \rangle \langle \text{unitary } b \rangle$
shows $(*_V) (\text{sandwich } (a \otimes_o b)) = \text{sandwich } a \otimes_r \text{sandwich } b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma sandwich-grow-left:

fixes $a :: \langle 'a \text{ ell2} \Rightarrow_{CL} 'a \text{ ell2} \rangle$
assumes *unitary a*
shows $\text{sandwich } a \otimes_r \text{id} = \text{sandwich } (a \otimes_o \text{id-cblinfun})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma register-sandwich: $\langle \text{register } F \Longrightarrow F (\text{sandwich } a b) = \text{sandwich } (F a) (F b) \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma assoc-ell2-sandwich: $\langle \text{assoc} = \text{sandwich assoc-ell2} \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma assoc-ell2'-sandwich: $\langle \text{assoc}' = \text{sandwich } (\text{assoc-ell2}') \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma swap-sandwich: $\text{swap} = \text{sandwich } U\text{swap}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma id-tensor-sandwich:

fixes $a :: 'a::\text{finite ell2} \Rightarrow_{CL} 'b::\text{finite ell2}$
assumes *unitary a*
shows $\text{id} \otimes_r \text{sandwich } a = \text{sandwich } (\text{id-cblinfun} \otimes_o a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma compatible-selfbutter-join:

assumes [register]: *compatible R S*
shows $R (\text{selfbutter } \psi) o_{CL} S (\text{selfbutter } \varphi) = (R; S) (\text{selfbutter } (\psi \otimes_s \varphi))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma register-mult':

assumes $\langle \text{register } F \rangle$
shows $\langle F a *_V F b *_V c = F (a o_{CL} b) *_V c \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma register-scaleC:

assumes $\langle \text{register } F \rangle$ **shows** $\langle F (c *_C a) = c *_C F a \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma register-adjoint: $F (a*) = (F a)*$ **if** $\langle \text{register } F \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma register-finite-dim: $\langle \text{register } F \longleftrightarrow \text{clinear } F \wedge F \text{ id-cblinfun} = \text{id-cblinfun} \wedge (\forall a b. F (a o_{CL} b) = F a o_{CL} F b) \wedge (\forall a. F (a*) = F a*) \rangle$

for $F :: \langle 'a::\text{finite update} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{finite update} \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

unbundle *no lattice-syntax*
unbundle *no register-syntax*
unbundle *no cblinfun-syntax*

end

13 Very simple Quantum Hoare logic

theory *QHoare*
imports *Quantum-Extra*
begin

unbundle *register-syntax*
unbundle *cblinfun-syntax*
unbundle *lattice-syntax*
no-notation *Order.top* (\top)

locale *qhoare* =
fixes *memory-type* :: *'mem itself*
begin

definition *apply* $U R = R U$ **for** $R :: \langle 'a \text{ update} \Rightarrow 'mem \text{ update} \rangle$
definition *ifthen* $R x = R (\text{butterfly } (\text{ket } x) (\text{ket } x))$ **for** $R :: \langle 'a \text{ update} \Rightarrow 'mem \text{ update} \rangle$
definition *program* $S = \text{fold } (o_{CL}) S \text{ id-cblinfun}$ **for** $S :: \langle 'mem \text{ update list} \rangle$

definition *hoare* :: $\langle 'mem \text{ ell2 ccspace} \Rightarrow ('mem \text{ ell2} \Rightarrow_{CL} 'mem \text{ ell2}) \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'mem \text{ ell2 ccspace} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$
where

hoare $C p D \longleftrightarrow (\forall \psi \in \text{space-as-set } C. \text{program } p *_{\psi} \psi \in \text{space-as-set } D)$ **for** $C p D$

definition *EQ* :: $\langle 'a \text{ update} \Rightarrow 'mem \text{ update} \rangle \Rightarrow 'a \text{ ell2} \Rightarrow 'mem \text{ ell2 ccspace}$ (**infix** $=_q$ 75) **where**
EQ $R \psi = R (\text{selfbutter } \psi) *_{\psi} \top$

lemma *program-skip[simp]*: $\text{program } [] = \text{id-cblinfun}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *program-seq*: $\text{program } (p1 @ p2) = \text{program } p2 \circ_{CL} \text{program } p1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *hoare-seq[trans]*: $\text{hoare } C p1 D \Longrightarrow \text{hoare } D p2 E \Longrightarrow \text{hoare } C (p1 @ p2) E$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *hoare-weaken-left[trans]*: $\langle A \leq B \Longrightarrow \text{hoare } B p C \Longrightarrow \text{hoare } A p C \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *hoare-weaken-right[trans]*: $\langle \text{hoare } A p B \Longrightarrow B \leq C \Longrightarrow \text{hoare } A p C \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *hoare-skip*: $C \leq D \Longrightarrow \text{hoare } C [] D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *hoare-apply*:
assumes $R U *_{\psi} \text{pre} \leq \text{post}$
shows $\text{hoare } \text{pre} [\text{apply } U R] \text{post}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *hoare-ifthen*:
fixes $R :: \langle 'a \text{ update} \Rightarrow 'mem \text{ update} \rangle$
assumes $R (\text{selfbutter } (\text{ket } x)) *_{\psi} \text{pre} \leq \text{post}$
shows $\text{hoare } \text{pre} [\text{ifthen } R x] \text{post}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
end

unbundle *no register-syntax*
unbundle *no cblinfun-syntax*
unbundle *no lattice-syntax*

end

14 Quantum teleportation

theory *Teleport*

imports

Real-Impl.Real-Impl
HOL-Library.Code-Target-Numeral
HOL-Library.Word
Hilbert-Space-Tensor-Product.Tensor-Product-Code
QHoare

begin

hide-const (**open**) *Finite-Cartesian-Product.vec*
hide-type (**open**) *Finite-Cartesian-Product.vec*
hide-const (**open**) *Finite-Cartesian-Product.mat*
hide-const (**open**) *Finite-Cartesian-Product.row*
hide-const (**open**) *Finite-Cartesian-Product.column*
no-notation *Group.mult* (**infixl** \otimes_1 70)
no-notation *Order.top* (\top_1)
unbundle *no vec-syntax*
unbundle *no inner-syntax*
unbundle *register-syntax*
unbundle *cblinfun-syntax*

locale *teleport-locale* = *qhoare* *TYPE('mem)* +
fixes $X :: \text{bit update} \Rightarrow \text{'mem update}$
and $\Phi :: (\text{bit*bit}) \text{ update} \Rightarrow \text{'mem update}$
and $A :: \text{'atype update} \Rightarrow \text{'mem update}$
and $B :: \text{'btype update} \Rightarrow \text{'mem update}$
assumes *compat[register]: mutually compatible* (X, Φ, A, B)

begin

abbreviation $\Phi 1 \equiv \Phi \circ Fst$
abbreviation $\Phi 2 \equiv \Phi \circ Snd$
abbreviation $X\Phi 2 \equiv (X; \Phi 2)$
abbreviation $X\Phi 1 \equiv (X; \Phi 1)$
abbreviation $X\Phi \equiv (X; \Phi)$
abbreviation $XAB \equiv ((X; A); B)$
abbreviation $AB \equiv (A; B)$
abbreviation $\Phi 2AB \equiv ((\Phi \circ Snd; A); B)$

definition *teleport* $a\ b = [$
apply *CNOT* $X\Phi 1,$
apply *hadamard* $X,$
ifthen $\Phi 1\ a,$
ifthen $X\ b,$
apply (*if* $a=1$ *then* *pauliX* *else* *id-cblinfun*) $\Phi 2,$
apply (*if* $b=1$ *then* *pauliZ* *else* *id-cblinfun*) $\Phi 2$
 $]$

lemma $\Phi \cdot X\Phi: \langle \Phi\ a = X\Phi\ (\text{id-cblinfun} \otimes_o a) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $X\Phi 1 \cdot X\Phi: \langle X\Phi 1\ a = X\Phi\ (\text{assoc}\ (a \otimes_o \text{id-cblinfun})) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $X\Phi 2 \cdot X\Phi: \langle X\Phi 2\ a = X\Phi\ ((\text{id} \otimes_r \text{swap})\ (\text{assoc}\ (a \otimes_o \text{id-cblinfun}))) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma  $\Phi 2$ -X $\Phi$ :  $\langle \Phi 2 a = X\Phi (id\text{-cblinfun} \otimes_o (id\text{-cblinfun} \otimes_o a)) \rangle$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma X-X $\Phi$ :  $\langle X a = X\Phi (a \otimes_o id\text{-cblinfun}) \rangle$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma  $\Phi 1$ -X $\Phi$ :  $\langle \Phi 1 a = X\Phi (id\text{-cblinfun} \otimes_o (a \otimes_o id\text{-cblinfun})) \rangle$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemmas to-X $\Phi = \Phi$ -X $\Phi$  X $\Phi 1$ -X $\Phi$  X $\Phi 2$ -X $\Phi$   $\Phi 2$ -X $\Phi$  X-X $\Phi$   $\Phi 1$ -X $\Phi$ 

lemma X-X $\Phi 1$ :  $\langle X a = X\Phi 1 (a \otimes_o id\text{-cblinfun}) \rangle$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemmas to-X $\Phi 1 = X$ -X $\Phi 1$ 

lemma XAB-to-X $\Phi 2$ -AB:  $\langle XAB a = (X\Phi 2; AB) ((swap \otimes_r id) (assoc' (id\text{-cblinfun} \otimes_o assoc a))) \rangle$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma X $\Phi 2$ -to-X $\Phi 2$ -AB:  $\langle X\Phi 2 a = (X\Phi 2; AB) (a \otimes_o id\text{-cblinfun}) \rangle$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

schematic-goal  $\Phi 2AB$ -to-X $\Phi 2$ -AB:  $\Phi 2AB a = (X\Phi 2; AB) ?b$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas to-X $\Phi 2$ -AB = XAB-to-X $\Phi 2$ -AB X $\Phi 2$ -to-X $\Phi 2$ -AB  $\Phi 2AB$ -to-X $\Phi 2$ -AB

lemma teleport:
  assumes [simp]: norm  $\psi = 1$ 
  shows hoare  $(XAB =_q \psi \sqcap \Phi =_q \beta 00)$  (teleport a b)  $(\Phi 2AB =_q \psi)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

locale concrete-teleport-vars begin

type-synonym a-state = 64 word
type-synonym b-state = 1000000 word
type-synonym mem = a-state * bit * bit * b-state * bit
type-synonym 'a var = 'a update  $\Rightarrow$  mem update

definition A :: a-state var where  $\langle A a = a \otimes_o id\text{-cblinfun} \otimes_o id\text{-cblinfun} \otimes_o id\text{-cblinfun} \otimes_o id\text{-cblinfun} \rangle$ 
definition X ::  $\langle bit \text{ var} \rangle$  where  $\langle X a = id\text{-cblinfun} \otimes_o a \otimes_o id\text{-cblinfun} \otimes_o id\text{-cblinfun} \otimes_o id\text{-cblinfun} \rangle$ 
definition  $\Phi 1$  ::  $\langle bit \text{ var} \rangle$  where  $\langle \Phi 1 a = id\text{-cblinfun} \otimes_o id\text{-cblinfun} \otimes_o a \otimes_o id\text{-cblinfun} \otimes_o id\text{-cblinfun} \rangle$ 
definition B ::  $\langle b\text{-state var} \rangle$  where  $\langle B a = id\text{-cblinfun} \otimes_o id\text{-cblinfun} \otimes_o id\text{-cblinfun} \otimes_o a \otimes_o id\text{-cblinfun} \rangle$ 
definition  $\Phi 2$  ::  $\langle bit \text{ var} \rangle$  where  $\langle \Phi 2 a = id\text{-cblinfun} \otimes_o id\text{-cblinfun} \otimes_o id\text{-cblinfun} \otimes_o id\text{-cblinfun} \otimes_o a \rangle$ 

end

interpretation teleport-concrete:
  concrete-teleport-vars +
  teleport-locale concrete-teleport-vars.X
     $\langle (concrete\text{-teleport}\text{-vars}.\Phi 1; concrete\text{-teleport}\text{-vars}.\Phi 2) \rangle$ 
    concrete-teleport-vars.A
    concrete-teleport-vars.B
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

thm teleport
thm teleport-def

unbundle no register-syntax
unbundle no cblinfun-syntax

end

```

15 Quantum instantiation of complements

theory *Axioms-Complement-Quantum*

imports

Laws-Quantum

Quantum-Extra

Hilbert-Space-Tensor-Product.Weak-Star-Topology

Hilbert-Space-Tensor-Product.Partial-Trace

With-Type.With-Type

Hilbert-Space-Tensor-Product.Misc-Tensor-Product-TTS

begin

unbundle *no m-inv-syntax*

unbundle *cblinfun-syntax*

unbundle *lattice-syntax*

unbundle *register-syntax*

no-notation *Lattice.join* (**infixl** \sqcup 65)

no-notation *elt-set-eq* (**infix** $=_o$ 50)

no-notation *eq-closure-of* (*closure'-of*)

hide-const (**open**) *Order.top*

declare [*eta-contract*]

lemma *register-decomposition-converse:*

assumes $\langle \text{unitary } U \rangle$

shows $\langle \text{register } (\lambda x. \text{sandwich } U \text{ (id-cblinfun } \otimes_o x)) \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iso-register-decomposition:*

assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{iso-register } F \rangle$
shows $\langle \exists U. \text{unitary } U \wedge F = \text{sandwich } U \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma complement-exists:
fixes $F :: \langle 'a \text{ update} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ update} \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{register } F \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{let } 'c::\text{type} = \text{register-decomposition-basis } F \text{ in}$
 $\quad \exists G :: 'c \text{ update} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ update. compatible } F G \wedge \text{iso-register } (F;G) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma commutant-exchange:
fixes $F :: \langle 'a \text{ update} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ update} \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{iso-register } F \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{commutant } (F \text{ ' } X) = F \text{ ' commutant } X \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma complement-range:
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{compatible } F G \rangle$ **and** [simp]: $\langle \text{iso-register } (F;G) \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{range } G = \text{commutant } (\text{range } F) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma register-inv-G-o-F:
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{register } F \rangle$ **and** [simp]: $\langle \text{register } G \rangle$ **and** $\text{range-FG}: \langle \text{range } F \subseteq \text{range } G \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{register } (\text{inv } G \circ F) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma same-range-equivalent:
fixes $F :: \langle 'a \text{ update} \Rightarrow 'c \text{ update} \rangle$ **and** $G :: \langle 'b \text{ update} \Rightarrow 'c \text{ update} \rangle$
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{register } F \rangle$ **and** [simp]: $\langle \text{register } G \rangle$
assumes $\text{range-FG}: \langle \text{range } F = \text{range } G \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F G \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma complement-unique:
assumes $\text{compatible } F G$ **and** $\langle \text{iso-register } (F;G) \rangle$
assumes $\text{compatible } F H$ **and** $\langle \text{iso-register } (F;H) \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } G H \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

15.1 Finite dimensional complement

typedef $('a, 'b::\text{finite}) \text{ complement-domain-simple} = \langle \{.. \langle \text{if } \text{CARD}('b) \text{ div } \text{CARD}('a) \neq 0 \text{ then } \text{CARD}('b) \text{ div } \text{CARD}('a) \text{ else } 1 \} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

instance $\text{complement-domain-simple} :: (\text{type}, \text{finite}) \text{ finite}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma CARD-complement-domain:
assumes $\langle \text{CARD}('b::\text{finite}) = n * \text{CARD}('a) \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{CARD}(('a, 'b) \text{ complement-domain-simple}) = n \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma register-decomposition-finite-aux:
fixes $\Phi :: \langle 'a::\text{finite update} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{finite update} \rangle$
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{register } \Phi \rangle$

shows $\langle \exists U :: ('a \times ('a, 'b) \text{ complement-domain-simple}) \text{ ell2} \Rightarrow_{CL} 'b \text{ ell2. unitary } U \wedge$
 $(\forall \vartheta. \Phi \vartheta = \text{sandwich } U (\vartheta \otimes_o \text{id-cblinfun})) \rangle$
 — Proof based on [Daw21]
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *register-decomposition-finite*:
fixes $\Phi :: \langle 'a \text{ update} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{finite update} \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{simp} \rangle: \langle \text{register } \Phi \rangle$
shows $\langle \exists U :: ('a \times ('a, 'b) \text{ complement-domain-simple}) \text{ ell2} \Rightarrow_{CL} 'b \text{ ell2. unitary } U \wedge$
 $(\forall \vartheta. \Phi \vartheta = \text{sandwich } U (\vartheta \otimes_o \text{id-cblinfun})) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

hide-fact *register-decomposition-finite-aux*

lemma *complement-exists-simple*:
fixes $F :: \langle 'a \text{ update} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{finite update} \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{register } F \rangle$
shows $\langle \exists G :: ('a, 'b) \text{ complement-domain-simple update} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ update. compatible } F G \wedge \text{iso-register } (F;G) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

unbundle *no cblinfun-syntax*

unbundle *no lattice-syntax*

unbundle *no register-syntax*

end

16 Generic laws about complements, instantiated quantumly

theory *Laws-Complement-Quantum*
imports *Laws-Quantum Axioms-Complement-Quantum*
begin

unbundle *register-syntax*
notation *cblinfun-compose* (**infixl** $*_u$ 55)
notation *tensor-op* (**infixr** \otimes_u 70)

definition $\langle \text{complements } F G \iff \text{compatible } F G \wedge \text{iso-register } (F;G) \rangle$

lemma *complementsI*: $\langle \text{compatible } F G \implies \text{iso-register } (F;G) \implies \text{complements } F G \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *complement-exists*:
fixes $F :: \langle 'a::\text{type update} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{type update} \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{register } F \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{let } 'c::\text{type} = \text{register-decomposition-basis } F \text{ in}$
 $\exists G :: 'c \text{ update} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ update. complements } F G \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *complements-sym*: $\langle \text{complements } G F \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{complements } F G \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *complement* :: $\langle ('a::\text{type update} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{finite update}) \Rightarrow (('a, 'b) \text{ complement-domain-simple update} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ update}) \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle \text{complement } F = (\text{SOME } G :: ('a, 'b) \text{ complement-domain-simple update} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ update. compatible } F G \wedge \text{iso-register } (F;G)) \rangle$

lemma *register-complement*[*simp*]: $\langle \text{register } (\text{complement } F) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{register } F \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *complement-is-complement*[*simp*]:
assumes $\langle \text{register } F \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{complements } F (\text{complement } F) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *complement-unique*:
assumes $\langle \text{complements } F \ G \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{complements } F \ G' \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } G \ G' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *complement-unique'*:
assumes $\langle \text{complements } F \ G \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } G \ (\text{complement } F) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compatible-complement[simp]*: $\langle \text{register } F \implies \text{compatible } F \ (\text{complement } F) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *complements-register-tensor*:
assumes $[simp]: \langle \text{register } F \rangle \langle \text{register } G \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{complements } (F \otimes_r G) \ (\text{complement } F \otimes_r \text{complement } G) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *is-unit-register where*
 $\langle \text{is-unit-register } U \longleftrightarrow \text{complements } U \ \text{id} \rangle$

lemma *register-unit-register[simp]*: $\langle \text{is-unit-register } U \implies \text{register } U \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unit-register-compatible[simp]*: $\langle \text{compatible } U \ X \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{is-unit-register } U \rangle \langle \text{register } X \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unit-register-compatible'[simp]*: $\langle \text{compatible } X \ U \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{is-unit-register } U \rangle \langle \text{register } X \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compatible-complement-left[simp]*: $\langle \text{register } X \implies \text{compatible } (\text{complement } X) \ X \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compatible-complement-right[simp]*: $\langle \text{register } X \implies \text{compatible } X \ (\text{complement } X) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unit-register-pair[simp]*: $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } X \ (U; X) \rangle$ **if** $[simp]: \langle \text{is-unit-register } U \rangle \langle \text{register } X \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unit-register-compose-left*:
assumes $[simp]: \langle \text{is-unit-register } U \rangle$
assumes $[simp]: \langle \text{register } A \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{is-unit-register } (A \circ U) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unit-register-compose-right*:
assumes $[simp]: \langle \text{is-unit-register } U \rangle$
assumes $[simp]: \langle \text{iso-register } A \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{is-unit-register } (U \circ A) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unit-register-unique*:
assumes $\langle \text{is-unit-register } F \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{is-unit-register } G \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{equivalent-registers } F \ G \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unit-register-domains-isomorphic*:
fixes $F :: \langle 'a::\text{type update} \Rightarrow 'c::\text{type update} \rangle$
fixes $G :: \langle 'b::\text{type update} \Rightarrow 'd::\text{type update} \rangle$

assumes $\langle is\text{-}unit\text{-}register\ F \rangle$
assumes $\langle is\text{-}unit\text{-}register\ G \rangle$
shows $\langle \exists I :: 'a\ update \Rightarrow 'b\ update.\ iso\text{-}register\ I \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *id-complement-is-unit-register[simp]*: $\langle is\text{-}unit\text{-}register\ (complement\ id) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

type-synonym *unit-register-domain* = $\langle (unit, unit)\ complement\text{-}domain\text{-}simple \rangle$

definition *unit-register* :: $\langle unit\text{-}register\text{-}domain\ update \Rightarrow 'a::type\ update \rangle$ **where** $\langle unit\text{-}register = (SOME\ U.\ is\text{-}unit\text{-}register\ U) \rangle$

lemma *unit-register-is-unit-register[simp]*: $\langle is\text{-}unit\text{-}register\ (unit\text{-}register :: unit\text{-}register\text{-}domain\ update \Rightarrow 'a::type\ update) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unit-register-domain-tensor-unit*:
fixes $U :: \langle 'a::type\ update \Rightarrow - \rangle$
assumes $\langle is\text{-}unit\text{-}register\ U \rangle$
shows $\langle \exists I :: 'b::type\ update \Rightarrow ('a * 'b)\ update.\ iso\text{-}register\ I \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *compatible-complement-pair1*:
assumes $\langle compatible\ F\ G \rangle$
shows $\langle compatible\ F\ (complement\ (F;G)) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *compatible-complement-pair2*:
assumes [simp]: $\langle compatible\ F\ G \rangle$
shows $\langle compatible\ G\ (complement\ (F;G)) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *equivalent-complements*:
assumes $\langle complements\ F\ G \rangle$
assumes $\langle equivalent\text{-}registers\ G\ G' \rangle$
shows $\langle complements\ F\ G' \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *complements-complement-pair*:
assumes [simp]: $\langle compatible\ F\ G \rangle$
assumes $FG' :: \langle complements\ (F;G)\ FG' \rangle$
shows $\langle complements\ F\ (G; FG') \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *equivalent-registers-complement*:
assumes $\langle equivalent\text{-}registers\ F\ G \rangle$
assumes $\langle complements\ F\ F' \rangle$
assumes $\langle complements\ G\ G' \rangle$
shows $\langle equivalent\text{-}registers\ F'\ G' \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *equivalent-registers-complement'*:
assumes $\langle equivalent\text{-}registers\ F\ G \rangle$
shows $\langle equivalent\text{-}registers\ (complement\ F)\ (complement\ G) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *complements-complement-pair'*:
assumes [simp]: $\langle compatible\ F\ G \rangle$
assumes $FG' :: \langle complements\ (F;G)\ FG' \rangle$
shows $\langle complements\ G\ (F; FG') \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma complements-chain:
  assumes [simp]: ⟨register F⟩ ⟨register G⟩
  shows ⟨complements (F o G) (complement F; F o complement G)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma complements-Fst-Snd[simp]: ⟨complements Fst Snd⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma complements-Snd-Fst[simp]: ⟨complements Snd Fst⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma compatible-unit-register[simp]: ⟨register F ⟹ compatible F unit-register⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma complements-id-unit-register[simp]: ⟨complements id unit-register⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma complements-iso-unit-register: ⟨iso-register I ⟹ is-unit-register U ⟹ complements I U⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma iso-register-complement-is-unit-register[simp]:
  assumes ⟨iso-register F⟩
  shows ⟨is-unit-register (complement F)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

Adding support for is-unit-register F and complements F G to the [register] attribute
lemmas [register-attribute-rule] = is-unit-register-def[THEN iffD1] complements-def[THEN iffD1]
lemmas [register-attribute-rule-immediate] = asm-rl[of ⟨is-unit-register -⟩]

no-notation cblinfun-compose (infixl *_u 55)
no-notation tensor-op (infixr ⊗_u 70)
unbundle no register-syntax

```

end

17 More derived facts about quantum registers

This theory contains some derived facts that cannot be placed in theory *Quantum-Extra* because they depend on *Laws-Complement-Quantum*.

```

theory Quantum-Extra2
  imports
    Laws-Complement-Quantum
    Quantum
  begin

  unbundle register-syntax

  definition empty-var :: ⟨'a::{CARD-1,enum} update ⟹ 'b update⟩ where
    empty-var a = one-dim-iso a *_C id-cblinfun

  lemma is-unit-register-empty-var[register]: ⟨is-unit-register empty-var⟩ (is ⟨is-unit-register ?e⟩)
  ⟨proof⟩

  instance complement-domain-simple :: (type, type) default ⟨proof⟩

  unbundle no register-syntax

end
theory Pure-States
  imports Quantum-Extra2 HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach

```

begin

unbundle *cblinfun-syntax*

unbundle *register-syntax*

definition $\langle \text{pure-state-target-vector } F \ \eta_F = (\text{if } \text{ket default} \in \text{range } (\text{cblinfun-apply } (F \ (\text{butterfly } \eta_F \ \eta_F))))$
then *ket default*
else $(\text{SOME } \eta'. \text{norm } \eta' = 1 \wedge \eta' \in \text{range } (\text{cblinfun-apply } (F \ (\text{butterfly } \eta_F \ \eta_F)))) \rangle$

lemma *pure-state-target-vector-eqI*:

assumes $\langle F \ (\text{butterfly } \eta_F \ \eta_F) = G \ (\text{butterfly } \eta_G \ \eta_G) \rangle$

shows $\langle \text{pure-state-target-vector } F \ \eta_F = \text{pure-state-target-vector } G \ \eta_G \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pure-state-target-vector-ket-default*: $\langle \text{pure-state-target-vector } F \ \eta_F = \text{ket default} \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{ket default} \in \text{range } (\text{cblinfun-apply } (F \ (\text{butterfly } \eta_F \ \eta_F))) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma

assumes [*simp*]: $\langle \eta_F \neq 0 \rangle$ $\langle \text{register } F \rangle$

shows *pure-state-target-vector-in-range*: $\langle \text{pure-state-target-vector } F \ \eta_F \in \text{range } ((*_V) (F \ (\text{selfbutter } \eta_F))) \rangle$ (**is** *?range*)

and *pure-state-target-vector-norm*: $\langle \text{norm } (\text{pure-state-target-vector } F \ \eta_F) = 1 \rangle$ (**is** *?norm*)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pure-state-target-vector-correct*:

assumes [*simp*]: $\langle \eta \neq 0 \rangle$ $\langle \text{register } F \rangle$

shows $\langle F \ (\text{selfbutter } \eta) *_V \text{pure-state-target-vector } F \ \eta \neq 0 \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\langle \text{pure-state}' F \ \psi \ \eta_F = F \ (\text{butterfly } \psi \ \eta_F) *_V \text{pure-state-target-vector } F \ \eta_F \rangle$

abbreviation $\langle \text{pure-state } F \ \psi \equiv \text{pure-state}' F \ \psi \ (\text{ket default}) \rangle$

nonterminal *pure-tensor*

syntax *-pure-tensor* :: $\langle 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{pure-tensor} \Rightarrow \text{pure-tensor} \rangle$ $(- \ - \otimes_p \ - \ [1000, 0, 0] \ 1000)$

syntax *-pure-tensor2* :: $\langle 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow 'd \Rightarrow \text{pure-tensor} \rangle$ $(- \ - \otimes_p \ - \ - \ [1000, 0, 1000, 0] \ 1000)$

syntax *-pure-tensor1* :: $\langle 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{pure-tensor} \rangle$

syntax *-pure-tensor-start* :: $\langle \text{pure-tensor} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$ ('(-))

translations

-pure-tensor2 $F \ \psi \ G \ \varphi \ \rightarrow \text{CONST } \text{pure-state } (F; G) \ (\psi \otimes_s \ \varphi)$

-pure-tensor $F \ \psi \ (\text{CONST } \text{pure-state } G \ \varphi) \ \rightarrow \text{CONST } \text{pure-state } (F; G) \ (\psi \otimes_s \ \varphi)$

-pure-tensor-start $x \ \rightarrow \ x$

-pure-tensor-start $(\text{-pure-tensor2 } F \ \psi \ G \ \varphi) \ \leftarrow \text{CONST } \text{pure-state } (F; G) \ (\psi \otimes_s \ \varphi)$

-pure-tensor $F \ \psi \ (\text{-pure-tensor2 } G \ \varphi \ H \ \eta) \ \leftarrow \text{-pure-tensor2 } F \ \psi \ (G; H) \ (\varphi \otimes_s \ \eta)$

term $\langle (F \ \psi \otimes_p \ G \ \varphi \otimes_p \ H \ z) \rangle$

term $\langle \text{pure-state } (F; G) \ (a \otimes_s \ b) \rangle$

lemma *register-pair-butterfly-tensor*: $\langle (F; G) \ (\text{butterfly } (a \otimes_s \ b) \ (c \otimes_s \ d)) = F \ (\text{butterfly } a \ c) \ o_{CL} \ G \ (\text{butterfly } b \ d) \rangle$

if [*simp*]: $\langle \text{compatible } F \ G \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pure-state-eqI*:

assumes $\langle F \ (\text{selfbutter } \eta_F) = G \ (\text{selfbutter } \eta_G) \rangle$

assumes $\langle F \ (\text{butterfly } \psi \ \eta_F) = G \ (\text{butterfly } \varphi \ \eta_G) \rangle$

shows $\langle \text{pure-state}' F \ \psi \ \eta_F = \text{pure-state}' G \ \varphi \ \eta_G \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\langle \text{regular-register } F \iff \text{register } F \wedge (\exists a. (F; \text{complement } F) (\text{selfbutter } (\text{ket default}) \otimes_o a) = \text{selfbutter } (\text{ket default})) \rangle$

lemma *regular-registerI*:

assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{register } F \rangle$
assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{complements } F \ G \rangle$
assumes eq: $\langle (F; G) (\text{selfbutter } (\text{ket default}) \otimes_o a) = \text{selfbutter } (\text{ket default}) \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{regular-register } F \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *regular-register-pair*:

assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{compatible } F \ G \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{regular-register } F \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{regular-register } G \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{regular-register } (F; G) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *regular-register-comp*: $\langle \text{regular-register } (F \circ G) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{regular-register } F \rangle$ $\langle \text{regular-register } G \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *regular-iso-register*:

assumes $\langle \text{regular-register } F \rangle$
assumes [register]: $\langle \text{iso-register } F \rangle$
shows $\langle F (\text{selfbutter } (\text{ket default})) = \text{selfbutter } (\text{ket default}) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pure-state-nested*:

assumes [simp]: $\langle \text{compatible } F \ G \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{regular-register } H \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{iso-register } H \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{pure-state } (F; G) (\text{pure-state } H \ h \otimes_s g) = \text{pure-state } ((F \circ H); G) (h \otimes_s g) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *state-apply1*:

assumes [register]: $\langle \text{compatible } F \ G \rangle$
shows $\langle F \ U \ *_V (F \ \psi \otimes_p G \ \varphi) = (F \ (U \ \psi) \otimes_p G \ \varphi) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Fst-regular*[simp]: $\langle \text{regular-register } Fst \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Snd-regular*[simp]: $\langle \text{regular-register } Snd \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *id-regular*[simp]: $\langle \text{regular-register } id \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *swap-regular*[simp]: $\langle \text{regular-register } swap \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *assoc-regular*[simp]: $\langle \text{regular-register } assoc \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *assoc'-regular*[simp]: $\langle \text{regular-register } assoc' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cspan-pure-state'*:

assumes $\langle \text{iso-register } F \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{cspan } (g \ ' X) = UNIV \rangle$
assumes $\eta\text{-cond}$: $\langle F (\text{selfbutter } \eta) *_V \text{pure-state-target-vector } F \ \eta \neq 0 \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{cspan } ((\lambda z. \text{pure-state}' F (g \ z) \ \eta) \ ' X) = UNIV \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cspan-pure-state*:
assumes [*simp*]: $\langle \text{iso-register } F \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{cspan } (g \text{ ' } X) = UNIV \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{cspan } ((\lambda z. \text{pure-state } F (g z)) \text{ ' } X) = UNIV \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pure-state-bounded-clinear*:
assumes [*register*]: $\langle \text{compatible } F G \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{bounded-clinear } (\lambda \psi. (F \psi \otimes_p G \varphi)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pure-state-bounded-clinear-right*:
assumes [*register*]: $\langle \text{compatible } F G \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{bounded-clinear } (\lambda \varphi. (F \psi \otimes_p G \varphi)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pure-state-clinear*:
assumes [*register*]: $\langle \text{compatible } F G \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{clinear } (\lambda \psi. (F \psi \otimes_p G \varphi)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

method *pure-state-flatten-nested* =
 $(\text{subst } \text{pure-state-nested}, (\text{auto}; \text{fail})[\beta])^+$

The following method *pure-state-eq* tries to solve a equality where both sides are of the form $F_1(\psi_1) \otimes_p F_2(\psi_2) \otimes_p \dots \otimes_p F_n(\psi_n)$ by reordering the registers and unfolding nested register pairs. (For the unfolding of nested pairs, it is necessary that the corresponding *compatible* $F G$ facts are provable by the simplifier.)

If the some of the pure states ψ_i themselves are \otimes_p -tensors, they will be flattened if possible. (If all necessary conditions can be proven, such as *regular-register* etc.)

The method may either succeed, fail, or reduce the equality to a hopefully simpler one.

method *pure-state-eq* =
 $(\text{pure-state-flatten-nested?},$
 $\text{rule } \text{pure-state-eqI};$
 $\text{auto } \text{simp: } \text{register-pair-butterfly-tensor } \text{compatible-ac-rules } \text{default-prod-def}$
 $\text{simp } \text{flip: } \text{tensor-ell2-ket})$

lemma *example*:
fixes $F :: \langle \text{bit update} \Rightarrow 'c::\{\text{finite,default}\} \text{ update} \rangle$
and $G :: \langle \text{bit update} \Rightarrow 'c \text{ update} \rangle$
assumes [*register*]: $\langle \text{compatible } F G \rangle$
shows $\langle (F;G) \text{ CNOT } o_{CL} (G;F) \text{ CNOT } o_{CL} (F;G) \text{ CNOT} = (F;G) \text{ swap-ell2} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

unbundle *no cblinfun-syntax*
unbundle *no register-syntax*

end

theory *Check-Autogenerated-Files*

imports *Laws-Classical Laws-Quantum Laws-Complement-Quantum*
begin

$\langle ML \rangle$

end

References

- [Daw21] Matthew Daws. Answer to mathoverflow question “unital $*$ -homomorphisms between matrices”. <https://mathoverflow.net/a/390180>, 2021. Last accessed 2021-10-12.
- [Unr24] Dominique Unruh. Quantum references. [arXiv:2105.10914](https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.10914) [cs.LO], 2021–2024.