

Region Quadtrees

Tobias Nipkow
Technical University of Munich

March 17, 2025

Abstract

These theories formalize *region quadtrees*, which are traditionally used to represent two-dimensional images of (black and white) pixels. Building on these quadtrees, addition and multiplication of recursive block matrices are verified. The generalization of region quadtrees to k dimensions is also formalized.

1 Introduction

These theories formalize so-called *region quadtrees*, as opposed to *point quadtrees* [5, 6, 1]. The following variants are covered:

- Ordinary region quadtrees.
- Block matrices based on region quadtrees. Operations: matrix addition and multiplication. Based on the work of Wise [7, 8, 9, 10, 11].
- A k -dimensional generalization of region quadtrees. This is inspired by the k -dimensional point trees by Bentley [2, 3] which have already been formalized by Rau [4].

For the details of the operations covered see the individual theories.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Quad Tree Basics	3
3	Quad Trees	3
3.1	Compression	3
3.2	Abstraction function	4
3.3	Boolean Quadtrees	5
3.3.1	Abstraction of boolean quadtrees to sets of points . . .	5

3.3.2	Union, Intersection Difference and Complement	6
3.4	Operation <i>put</i>	10
3.5	Extract Square	11
3.6	From Matrix to Quadtree	14
3.6.1	Matrix as list of lists	14
3.7	From Quadtree to Matrix	15
4	Block Matrices via Quad Trees	16
4.1	Square Matrices	17
4.2	Matrix Lemmas	17
4.3	Real Quad Trees and Abstraction to Matrices	18
4.4	Matrix Operations on Trees	18
4.5	Correctness of Quad Tree Implementations	20
4.5.1	<i>add</i>	20
4.5.2	<i>mult</i>	21
5	K-dimensional Region Trees	22
5.1	Subtree	23
5.2	Shifting a coordinate by a boolean vector	24
5.3	Points in a tree	25
5.4	Compression	25
5.5	Extracting a point from a tree	26
5.6	Modifying a point in a tree	26
5.7	Union	28
6	K-dimensional Region Trees - Version 2	29
6.1	Subtree	30
6.2	Shifting a coordinate by a boolean vector	30
6.3	Points in a tree	31
6.4	Compression	32
6.5	Union	32
6.6	Extracting a point from a tree	33
7	K-dimensional Region Trees - Nested Trees	34

2 Quad Tree Basics

```

theory Quad-Base
imports HOL-Library.Tree
begin

datatype 'a qtree = L 'a | Q 'a qtree 'a qtree 'a qtree 'a qtree

instantiation qtree :: (type)height
begin

fun height-qtree :: 'a qtree ⇒ nat where
height (L _) = 0 |
height (Q t0 t1 t2 t3) =
Max {height t0, height t1, height t2, height t3} + 1

instance ..

end

end

```

3 Quad Trees

```

theory Quad-Tree
imports Quad-Base
begin

lemma mod-minus:  $\llbracket i < 2*m; \neg i < m \rrbracket \implies i \bmod m = i - (m::nat)$ 
by (simp add: div-if modulo-nat-def)

definition select :: bool ⇒ bool ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a where
select x y t0 t1 t2 t3 =
(if x then
 if y then t0 else t1
else
 if y then t2 else t3)

abbreviation qf where
qf q f i j d ≡ q (f i j) (f i (j+d)) (f (i+d) j) (f (i+d) (j+d))

```

3.1 Compression

```

fun compressed :: 'a qtree ⇒ bool where
compressed (L _) = True |
compressed (Q t0 t1 t2 t3) = ((compressed t0 ∧ compressed t1 ∧ compressed t2
∧ compressed t3)
∧ ¬ (∃x. t0 = L x ∧ t1 = t0 ∧ t2 = t0 ∧ t3 = t0))

```

```

fun Qc :: 'a qtree  $\Rightarrow$  'a qtree  $\Rightarrow$  'a qtree  $\Rightarrow$  'a qtree where
  Qc (L x0) (L x1) (L x2) (L x3) =
    (if x0=x1  $\wedge$  x1=x2  $\wedge$  x2=x3 then L x0 else Q (L x0) (L x1) (L x2) (L x3)) |
  Qc t0 t1 t2 t3 = Q t0 t1 t2 t3

```

Compressing version of *Q*:

```

lemma compressed-Qc: [[compressed t0; compressed t1; compressed t2; compressed
t3]]  $\Longrightarrow$ 
  compressed (Qc t0 t1 t2 t3)
by(induction t0 t1 t2 t3 rule: Qc.induct) (auto split!: qtree.split)

```

```

lemma compressedQD: compressed (Q t1 t2 t3 t4)
 $\Longrightarrow$  compressed t1  $\wedge$  compressed t2  $\wedge$  compressed t3  $\wedge$  compressed t4
using compressed.simps(2) by blast

```

```

lemma height-Qc-Q: [[height s0  $\leq$  n; height s1  $\leq$  n; height s2  $\leq$  n; height s3  $\leq$ 
n]]  $\Longrightarrow$ 
  height (Qc s0 s1 s2 s3)  $\leq$  Suc n
apply(cases (s0,s1,s2,s3) rule: Qc.cases)
using [[simp-depth-limit=1]]apply simp-all
done

```

Modify a quadrant addressed by *x* and *y*, and put things back together with *Qc*:

```

fun modify :: ('a qtree  $\Rightarrow$  'a qtree)  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\Rightarrow$  'a qtree *'a qtree *'a qtree
*'a qtree  $\Rightarrow$  'a qtree where
  modify f x y (t0, t1, t2, t3) =
    (if x then
      if y then Qc (f t0) t1 t2 t3 else Qc t0 (f t1) t2 t3
    else
      if y then Qc t0 t1 (f t2) t3 else Qc t0 t1 t2 (f t3))

```

3.2 Abstraction function

```

fun get :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a qtree  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a where
  get n (L b) - - = b |
  get (Suc n) (Q t0 t1 t2 t3) i j =
    get n (select (i < 2^n) (j < 2^n) t0 t1 t2 t3) (i mod 2^n) (j mod 2^n)

```

```

lemma get-Qc:
  height(Q t0 t1 t2 t3)  $\leq$  n  $\Longrightarrow$  get n (Qc t0 t1 t2 t3) i j = get n (Q t0 t1 t2 t3) i
j
apply(cases n)
apply simp
apply(cases (t0,t1,t2,t3) rule: Qc.cases)
apply(simp-all add: select-def)
done

```

3.3 Boolean Quadtrees

type-synonym $qtb = \text{bool qtree}$

3.3.1 Abstraction of boolean quadtrees to sets of points

Superceded by the more general *get* abstraction.

type-synonym $points = (\text{nat} \times \text{nat}) \text{ set}$

abbreviation $sq :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow points \text{ where}$
 $sq (n::\text{nat}) \equiv \{0..<2^n\} \times \{0..<2^n\}$

definition $shift :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} * \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} * \text{nat} \text{ where}$
 $shift di dj = (\lambda(i,j). (i+di, j+dj))$

lemma $shift\text{-pair}[simp]: shift di dj (a,b) = (a+di, b+dj)$
by (*simp add: shift-def*)

lemma $in\text{-}shift\text{-}image: (x,y) \in shift di dj ` M \longleftrightarrow di \leq x \wedge dj \leq y \wedge (x-di, y-dj) \in M$
by (*force simp: shift-def*)

lemma $inj\text{-}shift: inj (shift i j)$
by (*auto simp: inj-def*)

lemma $shift\text{-disj}\text{-}shift: \llbracket s \subseteq sq n; s' \subseteq sq n; i \geq i' + 2^n \vee i' \geq i + 2^n \vee j \geq j' + 2^n \vee j' \geq j + 2^n \rrbracket \implies shift i j ` s \cap shift i' j' ` s' = \{\}$
by (*auto simp add: in-shift-image*)

Convention: $A, B :: points$

The layout of the 4 subquadrants $Q t0 t1 t2 t3 / Qsq A0 A1 A2 A3: 1 3 0 2$ That is, the x and y coordinates are shifted as follows (where $1 = 2^n$):
 $(0,1) (1,1) (0,0) (1,0)$

definition $Qsq :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow points \Rightarrow points \Rightarrow points \Rightarrow points \text{ where}$
 $Qsq n A0 A1 A2 A3 = shift 0 0 ` A0 \cup shift 0 (2^n) ` A1 \cup shift (2^n) 0 ` A2 \cup shift (2^n) (2^n) ` A3$

lemma $sq\text{-Suc}\text{-}Qsq: \{0..<2 * 2^n\} \times \{0..<2 * 2^n\} = Qsq n (sq n) (sq n)$
 $(sq n) (sq n)$
by (*auto simp: in-shift-image Qsq-def*)

fun $points :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow qtb \Rightarrow (\text{nat} * \text{nat}) \text{ set} \text{ where}$
 $points n (L b) = (\text{if } b \text{ then } sq n \text{ else } \{\}) |$
 $points (Suc n) (Q t0 t1 t2 t3) = Qsq n (points n t0) (points n t1) (points n t2) (points n t3)$

lemma $points\text{-subset}: height t \leq n \implies points n t \subseteq sq n$
proof (*induction n t rule: points.induct*)

```

case 1
then show ?case by simp
next
case (2 n t0 t1 t2 t3)
from 2.prems have h: height t0 ≤ n height t1 ≤ n height t2 ≤ n height t3 ≤ n
    by (auto)
thus ?case
    using 2.prems 2.IH(1)[OF h(1)] 2.IH(2)[OF h(2)] 2.IH(3)[OF h(3)] 2.IH(4)[OF
h(4)]
        by (auto simp add: Let-def shift-def Qsq-def)
next
case 3 thus ?case
    by simp
qed

lemma point-Suc-Qc[simp]: points (Suc n) (Qc t0 t1 t2 t3) = points (Suc n) (Q
t0 t1 t2 t3)
by(induction t0 t1 t2 t3 rule: Qc.induct) (auto simp: in-shift-image Qsq-def)

lemma get-points: [height t ≤ n; (i,j) ∈ sq n] ⇒ get n t i j = ((i,j) ∈ points n
t)
proof(induction n t i j rule: get.induct)
case 1
then show ?case by simp
next
case (2 n t0 t1 t2 t3)
thus ?case using points-subset[of t0 n] points-subset[of t1 n] points-subset[of t2
n]
    by(auto simp: select-def in-shift-image mod-minus Qsq-def)
next
case 3
then show ?case by simp
qed

```

3.3.2 Union, Intersection Difference and Complement

```

fun union :: qtb ⇒ qtb ⇒ qtb where
    union (L b) t = (if b then L True else t) |
    union t (L b) = (if b then L True else t) |
    union (Q s1 s2 s3 s4) (Q t1 t2 t3 t4) = Qc (union s1 t1) (union s2 t2) (union
s3 t3) (union s4 t4)

fun inter :: qtb ⇒ qtb ⇒ qtb where
    inter (L b) t = (if b then t else L False) |
    inter t (L b) = (if b then t else L False) |
    inter (Q s1 s2 s3 s4) (Q t1 t2 t3 t4) = Qc (inter s1 t1) (inter s2 t2) (inter s3
t3) (inter s4 t4)

fun negate :: qtb ⇒ qtb where

```

```

negate ( $L\ b$ ) =  $L(\neg b)$  |
negate ( $Q\ t_1\ t_2\ t_3\ t_4$ ) =  $Q\ (\text{negate } t_1)\ (\text{negate } t_2)\ (\text{negate } t_3)\ (\text{negate } t_4)$ 

fun  $\text{diff} :: qtb \Rightarrow qtb \Rightarrow qtb$  where
   $\text{diff}\ (L\ b)\ t = (\text{if } b \text{ then } \text{negate } t \text{ else } L\ \text{False})$  |
   $\text{diff}\ t\ (L\ b) = (\text{if } b \text{ then } L\ \text{False} \text{ else } t)$  |
   $\text{diff}\ (Q\ s_1\ s_2\ s_3\ s_4)\ (Q\ t_1\ t_2\ t_3\ t_4) = Qc\ (\text{diff } s_1\ t_1)\ (\text{diff } s_2\ t_2)\ (\text{diff } s_3\ t_3)\ (\text{diff } s_4\ t_4)$ 

```

lemma $Qsq\text{-union}$:

```

 $Qsq\ n\ A_0\ A_1\ A_2\ A_3 \cup Qsq\ n\ B_0\ B_1\ B_2\ B_3 = Qsq\ n\ (A_0 \cup B_0)\ (A_1 \cup B_1)\ (A_2 \cup B_2)\ (A_3 \cup B_3)$ 
by(auto simp:  $Qsq\text{-def}$ )

```

lemma $points\text{-union}$:

```

 $\max\ (\text{height } t_1)\ (\text{height } t_2) \leq n \implies points\ n\ (\text{union } t_1\ t_2) = points\ n\ t_1 \cup points\ n\ t_2$ 
proof(induction  $t_1\ t_2$  arbitrary:  $n$  rule:  $\text{union.induct}$ )
  case 1 thus ?case using  $Un\text{-absorb2}[OF\ points\text{-subset}]$  by simp
  next
  case 2 thus ?case using  $Un\text{-absorb1}[OF\ points\text{-subset}]$  by simp
  next
  case 3
  from 3.prems obtain  $m$  where  $n = Suc\ m$  by (auto dest:  $Suc\text{-le-D}$ )
  thus ?case using 3 by (simp add:  $Qsq\text{-union}$ )
qed

```

lemma $height\text{-union}$: $\text{height}\ (\text{union } t_1\ t_2) \leq \max\ (\text{height } t_1)\ (\text{height } t_2)$

```

proof(induction  $t_1\ t_2$  rule:  $\text{union.induct}$ )
  case 3 then show ?case
    by(auto simp add: height-Qc-Q le-max-iff-disj simp del: max.absorb1 max.absorb2
      max.absorb3 max.absorb4)
qed auto

```

lemma $height\text{-union2}$: $\llbracket \text{height } t_1 \leq n; \text{height } t_2 \leq n \rrbracket \implies \text{height}\ (\text{union } t_1\ t_2) \leq n$

by (meson $height\text{-union}$ le-trans max.bounded-iff)

lemma $get\text{-union}$:

```

 $\max\ (\text{height } t_1)\ (\text{height } t_2) \leq n \implies get\ n\ (\text{union } t_1\ t_2)\ i\ j = (get\ n\ t_1\ i\ j \vee get\ n\ t_2\ i\ j)$ 
proof(induction  $t_1\ t_2$  arbitrary:  $i\ j\ n$  rule:  $\text{union.induct}$ )
  case 3
  from 3.prems obtain  $m$  where  $n = Suc\ m$  by (auto dest:  $Suc\text{-le-D}$ )
  thus ?case using 3 by (auto simp add: get-Qc  $height\text{-union2}$  select-def)
qed auto

```

lemma $compressed\text{-union}$: $compressed\ t_1 \implies compressed\ t_2 \implies compressed(\text{union}$

```

 $t1 \ t2)$ 
proof(induction t1 t2 arbitrary: rule: union.induct)
  case 1 thus ?case using Un-absorb2[OF points-subset] by simp
next
  case 2 thus ?case using Un-absorb1[OF points-subset] by simp
next
  case 3
  thus ?case
    by (metis compressedQD compressed-Qc union.simps(3))
qed

lemma Qsq-inter:
 $\llbracket A0 \subseteq sq n; A1 \subseteq sq n; A2 \subseteq sq n; A3 \subseteq sq n;$ 
 $B0 \subseteq sq n; B1 \subseteq sq n; B2 \subseteq sq n; B3 \subseteq sq n \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies Qsq n A0 A1 A2 A3 \cap Qsq n B0 B1 B2 B3 = Qsq n (A0 \cap B0) (A1 \cap B1)$ 
 $(A2 \cap B2) (A3 \cap B3)$ 
by(simp add: Qsq-def Int-Un-distrib Int-Un-distrib2 shift-disj-shift image-Int inj-shift)

lemma points-inter:  $n \geq \max(\text{height } t1) (\text{height } t2) \implies$ 
 $\text{points } n (\text{inter } t1 t2) = \text{points } n t1 \cap \text{points } n t2$ 
proof(induction t1 t2 arbitrary: n rule: inter.induct)
  case 1 thus ?case by (simp add: inf-absorb2[OF points-subset])
next
  case 2 thus ?case by (simp add: inf-absorb1[OF points-subset])
next
  case 3
  from 3.prems obtain m where  $n = Suc m$  by (auto dest: Suc-le-D)
  thus ?case using 3.prems 3.IH[of m]
    by (simp add: Qsq-inter points-subset)
qed

lemma height-inter:  $\text{height } (\text{inter } t1 t2) \leq \max(\text{height } t1) (\text{height } t2)$ 
proof(induction t1 t2 rule: inter.induct)
  case 3 then show ?case
    by(auto simp add: height-Qc-Q le-max-iff-disj simp del: max.absorb1 max.absorb2
      max.absorb3 max.absorb4)
qed auto

lemma height-inter2:  $\llbracket \text{height } t1 \leq n; \text{height } t2 \leq n \rrbracket \implies \text{height } (\text{inter } t1 t2) \leq n$ 
by (meson height-inter le-trans max.bounded-iff)

lemma get-inter:
 $\llbracket \text{height } t1 \leq n; \text{height } t2 \leq n \rrbracket \implies \text{get } n (\text{inter } t1 t2) i j = (\text{get } n t1 i j \wedge \text{get}$ 
 $n t2 i j)$ 
proof(induction t1 t2 arbitrary: i j n rule: union.induct)
  case 3
  from 3.prems obtain m where  $n = Suc m$  by (auto dest: Suc-le-D)
  thus ?case using 3 by (auto simp add: get-Qc height-inter2 select-def)

```

```

qed auto

lemma compressed-inter: compressed t1 ==> compressed t2 ==> compressed(inter
t1 t2)
proof(induction t1 t2 arbitrary: rule: inter.induct)
  case 1 thus ?case using Un-absorb2[OF points-subset] by simp
next
  case 2 thus ?case using Un-absorb1[OF points-subset] by simp
next
  case 3
  thus ?case
    by (metis compressedQD compressed-Qc inter.simps(3))
qed

lemma Qsq-diff: [] B0 ⊆ sq n; B1 ⊆ sq n; B2 ⊆ sq n; B3 ⊆ sq n; A0 ⊆ sq n; A1
⊆ sq n; A2 ⊆ sq n; A3 ⊆ sq n ] ==>
  Qsq n B0 B1 B2 B3 - Qsq n A0 A1 A2 A3 = Qsq n (B0 - A0) (B1 - A1)
(B2 - A2) (B3 - A3)
by (auto simp add: in-shift-image Qsq-def)

lemma points-negate: n ≥ height t ==> points n (negate t) = sq n - points n t
proof(induction t arbitrary: n rule: negate.induct)
  case 1 thus ?case by (simp)
next
  case (2 t0 t1 t2 t3)
  obtain m where [simp]: n = Suc m using Suc-le-D 2.prems by auto
  thus ?case using 2.prems 2.IH[of m]
    by (simp add: sq-Suc-Qsq Qsq-diff points-subset)
qed

lemma negate-eq-L-iff: compressed t ==> negate t = L x ↔ t = L(¬x)
by(cases t) auto

lemma compressed-negate: compressed t ==> compressed(negate t)
proof(induction t)
  case L thus ?case by simp
next
  case Q
  thus ?case using negate-eq-L-iff by force
qed

lemma points-diff: n ≥ max (height t1) (height t2) ==>
  points n (diff t1 t2) = points n t1 - points n t2
proof(induction t1 t2 arbitrary: n rule: diff.induct)
  case 1 thus ?case by (simp add: points-negate)
next
  case 2 thus ?case using points-subset by (simp add: diff-shunt)
next

```

```

case 3
from 3.prems obtain m where n = Suc m by (auto dest: Suc-le-D)
thus ?case using 3.prems 3.IH[of m]
    by (simp add: Qsq-diff points-subset)
qed

lemma compressed-diff: compressed t1  $\Rightarrow$  compressed t2  $\Rightarrow$  compressed(diff t1 t2)
proof(induction t1 t2 arbitrary: rule: diff.induct)
  case 1 thus ?case
    by (simp add: compressed-negate)
  next
    case 2 thus ?case by simp
  next
    case 3
    thus ?case
      by (metis compressedQD compressed-Qc diff.simps(3))
qed

```

3.4 Operation put

```

fun put :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a qtree  $\Rightarrow$  'a qtree where
  put i j a 0 (L -) = L a |
  put i j a (Suc n) t = modify (put (i mod 2^n) (j mod 2^n) a n) (i < 2^n) (j < 2^n)
    (case t of L b  $\Rightarrow$  (L b, L b, L b, L b) | Q t0 t1 t2 t3  $\Rightarrow$  (t0,t1,t2,t3))

lemma points-put:  $\llbracket \text{height } t \leq n; (i,j) \in \text{sq } n \rrbracket \Rightarrow$ 
  points n (put i j b n t) = (if b then points n t  $\cup$  {(i,j)} else points n t - {(i,j)})
proof(induction i j b n t rule: put.induct)
  case 1
  then show ?case by (simp)
  next
    case 2
    thus ?case unfolding mem-Sigma-iff using points-subset
      apply(simp add: select-def sq-Suc-Qsq Qsq-def mod-minus split: qtree.split)
      by(fastforce simp: mod-minus in-shift-image)
  qed auto

lemma height-put: height t  $\leq$  n  $\Rightarrow$  height (put i j a n t)  $\leq$  n
proof(induction i j a n t rule: put.induct)
  case 2
  then show ?case by (auto simp: height-Qc-Q split: qtree.split)
qed auto

lemma get-put:  $\llbracket \text{height } t \leq n; (i,j) \in \text{sq } n; (i',j') \in \text{sq } n \rrbracket \Rightarrow$ 
  get n (put i j a n t) i' j' = (if i'=i  $\wedge$  j'=j then a else get n t i' j')
proof(induction i j a n t arbitrary: i' j' rule: put.induct)
  case 1

```

```

then show ?case by (auto)
next
  case 2
  thus ?case
    by(auto simp add: select-def mod-minus get-Qc height-put less-diff-conv2 split!
      qtree.split)
qed auto

lemma compressed-put:
   $\llbracket \text{height } t \leq n; \text{compressed } t \rrbracket \implies \text{compressed} (\text{put } i j a n t)$ 
proof(induction i j a n t rule: put.induct)
  case 1
  then show ?case by (simp)
next
  case 2
  thus ?case by (auto simp add: compressed-Qc split: qtree.split)
qed auto

```

3.5 Extract Square

```

fun get-sq :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a qtree  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a qtree where
  get-sq n (L b) m i j = L b |
  get-sq n t 0 i j = L (get n t i j) |
  get-sq (Suc n) (Q t0 t1 t2 t3) (Suc m) i j =
    (if i mod  $2^n + 2^{m+1} \leq 2^n \wedge j \mod 2^n + 2^{m+1} \leq 2^n$ 
     then get-sq n (select (i <  $2^n$ ) (j <  $2^n$ ) t0 t1 t2 t3) (m+1) (i mod  $2^n$ ) (j mod  $2^n$ )
     else qf Qc (get-sq (Suc n) (Q t0 t1 t2 t3) m) i j ( $2^m$ ))

lemma shift-shift: shift i j ` (shift i' j' ` s) = shift (i+i') (j+j') ` s
using image-iff by(fastforce simp add: shift-def)
lemma shift-shift2: shift i j ` (shift i' j' ` s) = shift (i'+i) (j'+j) ` s
by(simp add: shift-shift Groups.add-ac)

lemma shift-split: shift i j ` s =
  shift (i - i mod  $2^n$ ) (j - j mod  $2^n$ ) ` (shift (i mod  $2^n$ ) (j mod  $2^n$ ) ` s)
by (simp add: shift-shift)

lemma plus-pow-aux: (i::nat) +  $2^m \leq 2 * 2^n \implies i < 2 * 2^n$ 
by (metis add-leD1 le-neq-implies-less less-exp nat-add-left-cancel-less not-add-less1)

lemma Qsq-lem:  $\llbracket A0 \subseteq sq n; A1 \subseteq sq n; A2 \subseteq sq n; A3 \subseteq sq n;$ 
i + 2m ≤ 2n;  $j + 2^m \leq 2^n$ ;  $i \mod 2^n + 2^m \leq 2^n$ ;  $j \mod 2^n + 2^m \leq 2^n \rrbracket \implies$ 
  Qsq n A0 A1 A2 A3 ∩ shift i j ` sq m =
  shift (i - i mod  $2^n$ ) (j - j mod  $2^n$ ) ` select (i <  $2^n$ ) (j <  $2^n$ ) A0 A1
  A2 A3 ∩ shift i j ` sq m
by (auto simp: select-def Qsq-def mod-minus plus-pow-aux)

```

```

lemma f-select:  $f(\text{select } x \ y \ a \ b \ c \ d) = \text{select } x \ y \ (f \ a) \ (f \ b) \ (f \ c) \ (f \ d)$ 
by(simp add: select-def)

lemma height-get-sq:  $m \leq n \implies \text{height}(\text{get-sq } n \ t \ m \ i \ j) \leq m$ 
proof(induction n t m i j rule: get-sq.induct)
  case (3 n t0 t1 t2 t3 m i j)
    have *:  $i \text{ mod } 2 \wedge n + 2 * 2^m \leq 2^n \implies \text{Suc } m \leq n$ 
    using power-le-imp-le-exp[of 2::nat Suc m n] by simp
    show ?case
      using 3.IH 3.prems * by (auto simp add: height-Qc-Q Let-def)
  qed auto

lemma shift-Qsq:  $\text{shift } i \ j \ ` Qsq \ n \ A0 \ A1 \ A2 \ A3 =$ 
   $Qsq \ n \ (\text{shift } i \ j \ ` A0) \ (\text{shift } i \ j \ ` A1) \ (\text{shift } i \ j \ ` A2) \ (\text{shift } i \ j \ ` A3)$ 
by(simp add: Qsq-def image-Un shift-shift add.commute)

lemma points-get-sq:
   $\llbracket \text{height } t \leq n; i + 2^m \leq 2^n; j + 2^m \leq 2^n \rrbracket \implies$ 
   $\text{shift } i \ j \ ` \text{points } m \ (\text{get-sq } n \ t \ m \ i \ j) = \text{points } n \ t \cap (\text{shift } i \ j \ ` \text{sq } m)$ 
proof (induction n t m i j rule: get-sq.induct)
  case 2
    then show ?case by (auto simp: get-points)
  next
    case (3 n t0 t1 t2 t3 m1 i j)
    define m where m = Suc m1
    let ?t = Q t0 t1 t2 t3
    show ?case
      proof (cases i mod 2^n + 2^m ≤ 2^n ∧ j mod 2^n + 2^m ≤ 2^n)
        case True
        let ?sel = select (i < 2^n) (j < 2^n) t0 t1 t2 t3
        let ?i = i mod 2^n let ?j = j mod 2^n
        have 1:  $\text{height } ?sel \leq n$  using 3.prems by(auto simp: select-def)
        have 2:  $\text{points } m \ (\text{get-sq } (\text{Suc } n) ?t \ m \ i \ j) = \text{points } m \ (\text{get-sq } n ?sel \ m ?i ?j)$ 
          using True unfolding get-sq.simps m-def by(simp add: Let-def)
        have 3:  $\text{shift } ?i ?j \ ` \text{points } m \ (\text{get-sq } n ?sel \ m ?i ?j) = \text{points } n ?sel \cap \text{shift } ?i ?j \ ` \text{sq } m$ 
          using 3.IH(1) 1 True by (simp add: m-def)
        have shift i j ` points (Suc m1) (get-sq (Suc n) ?t (Suc m1) i j) =
           $\text{shift } i \ j \ ` \text{points } m \ (\text{get-sq } n ?sel \ m ?i ?j)$ 
          using True unfolding get-sq.simps m-def by(simp add: Let-def)
        also have ... = shift (i - ?i) (j - ?j) ` shift ?i ?j ` points m (get-sq n ?sel m ?i ?j)
          by (meson shift-split)
        also have ... = shift (i - ?i) (j - ?j) ` (points n ?sel ∩ shift ?i ?j ` sq m)
          using 3.IH(1) 1 True by (simp add: m-def)
        also have ... = shift (i - ?i) (j - ?j) ` points n ?sel ∩ shift i j ` sq m
          using image-Int[OF inj-shift] shift-split by presburger
        also have ... = shift (i - ?i) (j - ?j) ` select (i < 2^n) (j < 2^n) (points n t0) (points n t1) (points n t2) (points n t3) ∩ shift i j ` sq m

```

```

by(simp add: f-select)
also have ... = points (Suc n) (Q t0 t1 t2 t3) ∩ shift i j ` sq (Suc m1)
  using 3.prems True
  apply(subst Qsq-lem[symmetric])
  by(auto simp: points-subset m-def)
  finally show ?thesis .
next
case False
have shift i j ` points (Suc m1) (get-sq (Suc n) (Q t0 t1 t2 t3) (Suc m1) i j) =
  shift i j ` qf (Qsq m1) (λx y. points m1 (get-sq (Suc n) ?t m1 x y)) i j (2^m1)
  using False unfolding get-sq.simps m-def
  by(simp add: Let-def m-def del: de-Morgan-conj)
also have ... = qf (Qsq m1) (λx y. shift i j ` points m1 (get-sq (Suc n) ?t m1
x y)) i j (2^m1)
  by(simp add: shift-Qsq)
also have ... = points (Suc n) (Q t0 t1 t2 t3) ∩ shift i j ` sq (Suc m1)
  using 3.IH(2-5) 3.prems False unfolding get-sq.simps m-def
  by(simp add: sq-Suc-Qsq Qsq-def shift-shift2 image-Int[OF inj-shift] image-Un
Int-Un-distrib add.commute)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed auto

lemma get-get-sq:
  [| height t ≤ n; i + 2^m ≤ 2^n; j + 2^m ≤ 2^n; i' < 2^m; j' < 2^m |] ==>
  get m (get-sq n t m i j) i' j' = get n t (i+i') (j+j')
proof (induction n t m i j arbitrary: i' j' rule: get-sq.induct)
case (3 n t0 t1 t2 t3 m i j)
let ?t = Q t0 t1 t2 t3
let ?sel = select (i < 2^n) (j < 2^n) t0 t1 t2 t3
show ?case
proof (cases i mod 2^n + 2^(m+1) ≤ 2^n ∧ j mod 2^n + 2^(m+1) ≤ 2^n)
  case True
  have get (Suc m) (get-sq (Suc n) ?t (Suc m) i j) i' j'
    = get (m+1) (get-sq n ?sel (m+1) (i mod 2^n) (j mod 2^n)) i' j'
    using True by(simp)
  also have ... = get n ?sel (i mod 2^n + i') (j mod 2^n + j')
    using True 3.prems by(subst 3.IH(1))(simp-all add: select-def)
  also have ... = get (Suc n) ?t (i + i') (j + j')
    using True 3.prems by(auto simp add: select-def mod-minus)
  finally show ?thesis .
next
case False
have *: i + 2 * 2^m ≤ 2 * 2^n ==> m ≤ Suc n
  using power-le-imp-le-exp[of 2::nat m n] by linarith
show ?thesis using False 3.prems
  by(auto simp add: 3.IH(2-5) get-Qc mod-minus select-def height-Qc-Q
height-get-sq *)
qed

```

```

qed auto

lemma compressed-get-sq:
  [| height t ≤ n; compressed t |] ==> compressed (get-sq n t m i j)
proof (induction n t m i j rule: get-sq.induct)
  case (3 n t0 t1 t2 t3 m i j)
  then show ?case by (simp add: compressed-Qc select-def)
qed auto

```

3.6 From Matrix to Quadtree

3.6.1 Matrix as list of lists

type-synonym $'a mx = 'a list list$

definition $sq-mx\ n\ mx = (length\ mx = 2^n \wedge (\forall xs \in set\ mx.\ length\ xs = 2^n))$

lemma $sq-mx-0:\ sq-mx\ 0\ mx = (\exists x.\ mx = [[x]])$
by(auto simp: sq-mx-def length-Suc-conv)

Decompose matrix into submatrices

definition $decomp$ **where**
 $decomp\ n\ mx = (let\ mx01 = take\ (2^n)\ mx;\ mx23 = drop\ (2^n)\ mx$
 $\quad in\ (map\ (take\ (2^n))\ mx01,\ map\ (drop\ (2^n))\ mx01,\ map\ (take\ (2^n))\ mx23,$
 $\quad map\ (drop\ (2^n))\ mx23))$

lemma $decomp-sq-mx:\ sq-mx\ (Suc\ n)\ mx ==> (mx0,mx1,mx2,mx3) = decomp\ n\ mx ==>$
 $sq-mx\ n\ mx0 \wedge sq-mx\ n\ mx1 \wedge sq-mx\ n\ mx2 \wedge sq-mx\ n\ mx3$
by(auto simp add: sq-mx-def min-def decomp-def Let-def dest: in-set-takeD in-set-dropD)

Quadtree of matrix:

fun $qt-of :: nat \Rightarrow 'a mx \Rightarrow 'a qtree$ **where**
 $qt-of\ (Suc\ n)\ mx =$
 $\quad (let\ (mx0,mx1,mx2,mx3) = decomp\ n\ mx$
 $\quad \quad in\ Qc\ (qt-of\ n\ mx0)\ (qt-of\ n\ mx1)\ (qt-of\ n\ mx2)\ (qt-of\ n\ mx3))\ |$
 $\quad qt-of\ 0\ [[x]] = L\ x$

lemma $height-qt-of:\ sq-mx\ n\ mx ==> height\ (qt-of\ n\ mx) \leq n$
proof(induction n mx rule: qt-of.induct)
 case (1 n mx)
 obtain mx0 mx1 mx2 mx3 **where** *: $decomp\ n\ mx = (mx0,mx1,mx2,mx3)$ **by**
 (metis prod-cases4)
 show ?case
 using * 1 **by** (fastforce simp: height-Qc-Q dest!: decomp-sq-mx)
qed (auto simp: sq-mx-def)

lemma $compressed-qt-of:\ sq-mx\ n\ mx ==> compressed\ (qt-of\ n\ mx)$
proof(induction n mx rule: qt-of.induct)
 case (1 n mx)

```

obtain mx0 mx1 mx2 mx3 where *: decomp n mx = (mx0,mx1,mx2,mx3) by
(metis prod-cases4)
show ?case
  using * 1 decomp-sq-mx[OF 1.prem]
  by (simp add: compressed-Qc)
qed (auto simp: sq-mx-def)

lemma points-qt-of: sq-mx n mx ==> points n (qt-of n mx) = {(i,j) ∈ sq n. mx ! i ! j}
proof(induction n arbitrary: mx)
  case 0
  then show ?case by (auto simp: sq-mx-0 split: if-splits)
next
  case (Suc n)
  obtain mx0 mx1 mx2 mx3 where *: (mx0,mx1,mx2,mx3) = decomp n mx by
(metis prod-cases4)
  note ** = decomp-sq-mx[OF Suc.prem *]
  show ?case using Suc * **
    by(auto simp: Qsq-def decomp-def Let-def sq-mx-def add.commute in-shift-image
mult-2)
qed

lemma get-qt-of: [ sq-mx n mx; (i,j) ∈ sq n ] ==> get n (qt-of n mx) i j = mx ! i
! j
proof(safe,induction n arbitrary: mx i j)
  case 0
  then show ?case by (auto simp: sq-mx-0 split: if-splits)
next
  case (Suc n)
  obtain mx0 mx1 mx2 mx3 where *: (mx0,mx1,mx2,mx3) = decomp n mx by
(metis prod-cases4)
  note ** = decomp-sq-mx[OF Suc.prem(1) *]
  show ?case using Suc * **
    by(simp add: decomp-def Let-def get-Qc height-qt-of select-def sq-mx-def mod-minus)
qed

```

3.7 From Quadtree to Matrix

```

definition Qmx :: 'a mx => 'a mx => 'a mx => 'a mx => 'a mx where
Qmx mx0 mx1 mx2 mx3 = map2 (@) mx0 mx1 @ map2 (@) mx2 mx3

fun mx-of :: nat => 'a qtree => 'a mx where
mx-of n (L x) = replicate (2^n) (replicate (2^n) x) |
mx-of (Suc n) (Q t0 t1 t2 t3) =
  Qmx (mx-of n t0) (mx-of n t1) (mx-of n t2) (mx-of n t3)

lemma nth-Qmx-select: [ sq-mx n mx0; sq-mx n mx1; sq-mx n mx2; sq-mx n mx3;
i < 2*2^n; j < 2*2^n ] ==>
Qmx mx0 mx1 mx2 mx3 ! i ! j = select (i < 2^n) (j < 2^n) mx0 mx1 mx2 mx3

```

```

! (i mod 2^n) ! (j mod 2^n)
by(auto simp: sq-mx-def Qmx-def select-def nth-append mod-minus)

lemma sq-mx-mx-of: height t ≤ n ==> sq-mx n (mx-of n t)
by(induction n t rule: mx-of.induct)
  (auto simp: sq-mx-def Qmx-def mult-2 elim: in-set-zipE)

lemma mx-of-points: height t ≤ n ==> points n t = {(i,j) ∈ sq n. mx-of n t ! i !
j}
proof(induction n t rule: mx-of.induct)
  case (2 n t0 t1 t2 t3)
  then show ?case
    by (auto simp: Qsq-def nth-Qmx-select[of n] sq-mx-mx-of select-def in-shift-image
mod-if
      split!: if-splits)
qed auto

lemma mx-of-get: [| height t ≤ n; (i,j) ∈ sq n |] ==> mx-of n t ! i ! j = get n t i j
proof(induction n t arbitrary: i j rule: mx-of.induct)
  case (2 n)
  then show ?case
    by (simp add: nth-Qmx-select[of n] sq-mx-mx-of select-def)
qed auto

```

end

4 Block Matrices via Quad Trees

```

theory Quad-Matrix
imports
  Complex-Main
  Quad-Base
begin

```

There are two possible representations of marices as quadtrees. In this file we use the standard quadtree with two constructors L and Q . $L\ x$ represents the x -diagonal ma of arbitrary dimension. In particular $L\ 0$ is the "empty" case. Because $L\ x$ can be of arbitrary dimension, it can be added and multiplied with Q .

In the second representation (not covered in this theory) $L\ x$ is the 1x1 ma x . The advantage is that there are fewer cases in function definitions because one cannot add/multiply L and Q : they have different dimensions. However, $L\ 0$ is special: it still represents the 0 ma of arbitrary dimension. This leads to a more complicated invariant wrt dimension. Or one introduces a new constructor, eg *Empty*.

4.1 Square Matrices

type-synonym $ma = nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow real$

Implicitly entries outside the dimensions of the ma are 0. This is maintained by addition; multiplication and diagonal need an explicit argument n to maintain it.

```
definition mk-sq :: nat ⇒ ma ⇒ ma where
  mk-sq n a = (λi j. if i < 2^n ∧ j < 2^n then a i j else 0)
```

```
abbreviation sq-ma n (a::ma) ≡ (forall i j. 2^n ≤ i ∨ 2^n ≤ j → a i j = 0)
```

Without $mk\text{-}sq$ a number of lemmas like $mult\text{-}ma\text{-}diag\text{-}ma\text{-}diag\text{-}ma$ don't hold.

```
definition diag-ma :: nat ⇒ real ⇒ ma where
  diag-ma n x = mk-sq n (λi j. if i=j then x else 0)
```

```
definition add-ma :: ma ⇒ ma ⇒ ma where
  add-ma a b = (λi j. a i j + b i j)
```

```
definition mult-ma :: nat ⇒ ma ⇒ ma ⇒ ma where
  mult-ma n a b = (λi j. sum k=0..<2^n. a i k * b k j)
```

4.2 Matrix Lemmas

```
lemma add-ma-diag-ma[simp]: add-ma (diag-ma n x) (diag-ma n y) = diag-ma n (x+y)
  by(simp add: diag-ma-def add-ma-def mk-sq-def fun-eq-iff)
```

```
lemma add-ma-diag-ma-0[simp]: add-ma (diag-ma n 0) a = a
  by(auto simp add: add-ma-def diag-ma-def mk-sq-def fun-eq-iff)
```

```
lemma add-ma-diag-ma-02[simp]: add-ma a (diag-ma n 0) = a
  by(auto simp add: add-ma-def diag-ma-def mk-sq-def fun-eq-iff)
```

```
lemma mult-ma-diag-ma-0[simp]: mult-ma n (diag-ma n 0) a = diag-ma n 0
  by(auto simp add: mult-ma-def diag-ma-def mk-sq-def fun-eq-iff)
```

```
lemma mult-ma-diag-ma-02[simp]: mult-ma n a (diag-ma n 0) = diag-ma n 0
  by(auto simp add: mult-ma-def diag-ma-def mk-sq-def fun-eq-iff)
```

```
lemma mult-ma-diag-ma-diag-ma[simp]: mult-ma n (diag-ma n x) (diag-ma n y) = diag-ma n (x*y)
  apply(auto simp add: mult-ma-def diag-ma-def mk-sq-def fun-eq-iff sum.neutral)
  subgoal for i
    apply(simp add: sum.remove[where x=i])
    done
  done
```

4.3 Real Quad Trees and Abstraction to Matrices

type-synonym $qtr = \text{real qtree}$

```

fun compressed ::  $qtr \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where
  compressed ( $L x$ ) =  $\text{True}$  |
  compressed ( $Q (L x0) (L x1) (L x2) (L x3)$ ) =  $(\neg (x1=0 \wedge x2=0 \wedge x0=x3))$  |
  compressed ( $Q t0 t1 t2 t3$ ) = (compressed  $t0 \wedge$  compressed  $t1 \wedge$  compressed  $t2$ 
   $\wedge$  compressed  $t3$ )

lemma compressed- $Q$ :
  compressed ( $Q t0 t1 t2 t3$ )  $\implies$  (compressed  $t0 \wedge$  compressed  $t1 \wedge$  compressed  $t2$ 
   $\wedge$  compressed  $t3$ )
  by(cases  $Q t0 t1 t2 t3$  rule: compressed.cases)(auto)

definition  $Qma :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow ma \Rightarrow ma \Rightarrow ma \Rightarrow ma$  where
 $Qma n a b c d =$ 
   $(\lambda i j. \text{if } i < 2^n \text{ then if } j < 2^n \text{ then } a i j \text{ else } b i (j - 2^n) \text{ else}$ 
   $\text{if } j < 2^n \text{ then } c (i - 2^n) j \text{ else } d (i - 2^n) (j - 2^n))$ 

lemma add-ma- $Qma$ :
  add-ma ( $Qma n a b c d$ ) ( $Qma n a' b' c' d'$ ) =
   $Qma n (\text{add-ma } a a') (\text{add-ma } b b') (\text{add-ma } c c') (\text{add-ma } d d')$ 
  by(simp add: Qma-def add-ma-def mk-sq-def fun-eq-iff)

lemma add-ma-diag-ma- $Qma$ : add-ma ( $\text{diag-ma } (\text{Suc } n) x$ ) ( $Qma n a b c d$ ) =
   $Qma n (\text{add-ma } (\text{diag-ma } n x) a) b c (\text{add-ma } (\text{diag-ma } n x) d)$ 
  by(auto simp add: Qma-def diag-ma-def add-ma-def mk-sq-def fun-eq-iff)

lemma add-ma- $Qma$ -diag-ma: add-ma ( $Qma n a b c d$ ) ( $\text{diag-ma } (\text{Suc } n) x$ ) =
   $Qma n (\text{add-ma } a (\text{diag-ma } n x)) b c (\text{add-ma } d (\text{diag-ma } n x))$ 
  by(auto simp add: Qma-def diag-ma-def add-ma-def mk-sq-def fun-eq-iff)

lemma diag-ma-Suc: diag-ma ( $\text{Suc } n$ )  $x = Qma n (\text{diag-ma } n x) (\text{diag-ma } n 0)$ 
  ( $\text{diag-ma } n 0$ ) ( $\text{diag-ma } n x$ )
  by(auto simp add: diag-ma-def Qma-def mk-sq-def fun-eq-iff)

```

Abstraction function:

```

fun ma ::  $\text{nat} \Rightarrow qtr \Rightarrow ma$  where
  ma  $n (L x) = \text{diag-ma } n x$  |
  ma ( $\text{Suc } n$ ) ( $Q t0 t1 t2 t3$ ) =
   $Qma n (\text{ma } n t0) (\text{ma } n t1) (\text{ma } n t2) (\text{ma } n t3)$ 

```

4.4 Matrix Operations on Trees

```

fun  $Qc :: qtr \Rightarrow qtr \Rightarrow qtr \Rightarrow qtr \Rightarrow qtr$  where
   $Qc (L x0) (L x1) (L x2) (L x3) =$ 
     $(\text{if } x1=0 \wedge x2=0 \wedge x0=x3 \text{ then } L x0 \text{ else } Q (L x0) (L x1) (L x2) (L x3))$  |
   $Qc t0 t1 t2 t3 = Q t0 t1 t2 t3$ 

```

```

lemma ma-Suc-Qc: ma (Suc n) (Qc t0 t1 t2 t3) = ma (Suc n) (Q t0 t1 t2 t3)
by(induction t0 t1 t2 t3 rule: Qc.induct)(auto simp: diag-ma-Suc)

lemma compressed-Qc:
  compressed (Qc t0 t1 t2 t3) = (compressed t0 ∧ compressed t1 ∧ compressed t2
  ∧ compressed t3)
by(induction t0 t1 t2 t3 rule: Qc.induct)(auto)

lemma height-Qc-Q:
  height (Qc t0 t1 t2 t3) ≤ height (Q t0 t1 t2 t3)
proof(induction t0 t1 t2 t3 rule: Qc.induct)
  case (1 x0 x1 x2 x3)
  then show ?case by simp
qed (insert Qc.simps,presburger+)

fun add :: qtr ⇒ qtr ⇒ qtr where
  add (Q s0 s1 s2 s3) (Q t0 t1 t2 t3) = Qc (add s0 t0) (add s1 t1) (add s2 t2)
  (add s3 t3) |
    add (L x) (L y) = L(x+y) |
    add (L x) (Q t0 t1 t2 t3) = Qc (add (L x) t0) t1 t2 (add (L x) t3) |
    add (Q t0 t1 t2 t3) (L x) = Qc (add t0 (L x)) t1 t2 (add t3 (L x))

fun mult :: qtr ⇒ qtr ⇒ qtr where
  mult (Q s0 s1 s2 s3) (Q t0 t1 t2 t3) =
    Qc (add (mult s0 t0) (mult s1 t2))
    (add (mult s0 t1) (mult s1 t3))
    (add (mult s2 t0) (mult s3 t2))
    (add (mult s2 t1) (mult s3 t3)) |
  mult (L x) (Q t0 t1 t2 t3) =
    Qc (mult (L x) t0)
    (mult (L x) t1)
    (mult (L x) t2)
    (mult (L x) t3) |
  mult (Q t0 t1 t2 t3) (L x) =
    Qc (mult t0 (L x))
    (mult t1 (L x))
    (mult t2 (L x))
    (mult t3 (L x)) |
  mult (L x) (L y) = L(x*y)

```

Initialization of qtr from ma

```

fun qtr :: nat ⇒ ma ⇒ qtr where
  qtr 0 a = L(a 0 0) |
  qtr (Suc n) a =
    (let t0 = qtr n a; t1 = qtr n (λi j. a i (j+2^n));
     t2 = qtr n (λi j. a (i+2^n) j); t3 = qtr n (λi j. a (i+2^n) (j+2^n))
     in Q t0 t1 t2 t3)

```

4.5 Correctness of Quad Tree Implementations

4.5.1 add

```

lemma ma-add:  $\llbracket \text{height } s \leq n; \text{height } t \leq n \rrbracket \implies$ 
 $\text{ma } n (\text{add } s t) = \text{add-ma } (\text{ma } n s) (\text{ma } n t)$ 
proof(induction s t arbitrary: n rule: add.induct)
  case 1
    then show ?case by(simp add: less-eq-nat.simps(2) add-ma-Qma ma-Suc-Qc
split: nat.splits)
  next
    case 2
      then show ?case by(simp)
  next
    case 3
      then show ?case by(simp add: add-ma-diag-ma-Qma ma-Suc-Qc less-eq-nat.simps(2)
split: nat.splits)
  next
    case 4
      then show ?case by(simp add: add-ma-Qma-diag-ma ma-Suc-Qc less-eq-nat.simps(2)
split: nat.splits)
  qed

lemma height-add:  $\text{height } (\text{add } s t) \leq \max(\text{height } s, \text{height } t)$ 
proof(induction s t rule: add.induct)
  case (1 s1 s2 s3 s4 t1 t2 t3 t4)
  thus ?case
    using height-Qc-Q[of add s1 t1 add s2 t2 add s3 t3 add s4 t4]
    by (auto simp: max.coboundedI1 max.coboundedI2
          simp del: max.absorb1 max.absorb2 max.absorb3 max.absorb4 elim!: le-trans)
  next
    case (3 x t1 t2 t3 t4)
    thus ?case using height-Qc-Q[of add (L x) t1 t2 t3 add (L x) t4]
      by auto
  next
    case (4 t1 t2 t3 t4 x)
    then show ?case using height-Qc-Q[of add t1 (L x) t2 t3 add t4 (L x)]
      by auto
  qed simp

lemma compressed-add:  $\llbracket \text{compressed } s; \text{compressed } t \rrbracket \implies \text{compressed } (\text{add } s t)$ 
by(induction s t rule: add.induct) (auto simp: compressed-Qc dest: compressed-Q)

lemma Max4:  $\text{Max}\{n_0, n_1, n_2, n_3\} = \max n_0 (\max n_1 (\max n_2 n_3))$  by simp

lemma height-mult:  $\text{height } (\text{mult } s t) \leq \max(\text{height } s, \text{height } t)$ 
proof(induction s t rule: mult.induct)
  case (1 s1 s2 s3 s4 t1 t2 t3 t4)
    let ?m11 = mult s1 t1 let ?m23 = mult s2 t3 let ?m12 = mult s1 t2 let ?m24
    = mult s2 t4

```

```

let ?m31 = mult s3 t1 let ?m43 = mult s4 t3 let ?m32 = mult s3 t2 let ?m44
= mult s4 t4
show ?case
  using 1 height-Qc-Q[of add ?m11 ?m23 add ?m12 ?m24 add ?m31 ?m43 add
?m32 ?m44]
    height-add[of ?m11 ?m23] height-add[of ?m12 ?m24] height-add[of ?m31
?m43] height-add[of ?m32 ?m44]
  unfolding mult.simps height-qtree.simps One-nat-def add-Suc-right add-0-right
max-Suc-Suc Max4
  by (smt (z3) order.trans le-max-iff-disj not-less-eq-eq)
next
  case (?x t0 t1 t2 t3)
  thus ?case using height-Qc-Q[of mult (L x) t0 mult (L x) t1 mult (L x) t2 mult
(L x) t3]
    by (simp)
next
  case (?t0 t1 t2 t3 x)
  thus ?case using height-Qc-Q[of mult t0 (L x) mult t1 (L x) mult t2 (L x) mult
t3 (L x)]
    by simp
qed (simp)

```

4.5.2 mult

```

lemma bij-betw-minus-ivlco-nat:  $n \leq a \implies C = \{a - n.. < b - n\} \implies \text{bij-betw } (\lambda k :: \text{nat}. k - n) \{a.. < b\} C$ 
by(auto simp add: bij-betw-def inj-on-def image-minus-const-atLeastLessThan-nat)

```

lemma mult-ma-Qma-Qma:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mult-ma } (\text{Suc } n) \ (Qma \ n \ a \ b \ c \ d) \ (Qma \ n \ a' \ b' \ c' \ d') = \\ (Qma \ n \ (\text{add-ma } (\text{mult-ma } n \ a \ a') \ (\text{mult-ma } n \ b \ c'))) \\ (\text{add-ma } (\text{mult-ma } n \ a \ b') \ (\text{mult-ma } n \ b \ d')) \\ (\text{add-ma } (\text{mult-ma } n \ c \ a') \ (\text{mult-ma } n \ d \ c')) \\ (\text{add-ma } (\text{mult-ma } n \ c \ b') \ (\text{mult-ma } n \ d \ d')) \end{aligned}$$

```

by(auto simp add: mult-ma-def add-ma-def Qma-def mk-sq-def fun-eq-iff sum-Un
ivl-disj-un(17)[of 0 2^n 2*2^n,symmetric]
  intro:sum.reindex-bij-betw[of  $\lambda k. k - 2^n \{2^n.. < 2 * 2^n\} \{0.. < 2^n\}$ , OF
bij-betw-minus-ivlco-nat])

```

```

lemma ma-mult:  $\llbracket \text{height } s \leq n; \text{height } t \leq n \rrbracket \implies$ 
 $\text{ma } n \ (\text{mult } s \ t) = \text{mult-ma } n \ (\text{ma } n \ s) \ (\text{ma } n \ t)$ 
proof(induction s t arbitrary: n rule: mult.induct)
  case (1 s1 s2 s3 s4 t1 t2 t3 t4) thus ?case
    by(simp add: mult-ma-Qma-Qma ma-add ma-Suc-Qc le-trans[OF height-mult]
    less-eq-nat.simps(2) split: nat.splits)
next
  case 2 thus ?case
    by(simp add: diag-ma-Suc ma-Suc-Qc mult-ma-Qma-Qma
    less-eq-nat.simps(2) split: nat.splits)

```

```

next
  case 3 thus ?case
    by(simp add: diag-ma-Suc ma-Suc-Qc mult-ma-Qma-Qma
      less-eq-nat.simps(2) split: nat.splits)
qed simp

lemma compressed-mult:  $\llbracket \text{compressed } s; \text{compressed } t \rrbracket \implies \text{compressed}(\text{mult } s t)$ 
proof(induction s t rule: mult.induct)
  case 1 thus ?case unfolding mult.simps by (metis compressed-Q compressed-Qc
    compressed-add)
next
  case 2 thus ?case unfolding mult.simps by (metis compressed-Q compressed-Qc)
next
  case 3 thus ?case unfolding mult.simps by (metis compressed-Q compressed-Qc)
next
  case 4 thus ?case by simp
qed

end

```

5 K-dimensional Region Trees

```

theory KD-Region-Tree
imports
  HOL-Library.NList
  HOL-Library.Tree
begin

```

Generalizes quadtrees. Instead of having $2^{\hat{n}}$ direct children of a node, the children are arranged in a binary tree where each *Split* splits along one dimension.

```
datatype 'a kdt = Box 'a | Split 'a kdt 'a kdt
```

```
datatype-compat kdt
```

```
type-synonym kdः = bool kdt
```

A *kdt* is most easily explained by showing how quad trees are represented: $Q t_0 t_1 t_2 t_3$ becomes *Split* (*Split* $t'_0 t'_1$) (*Split* $t'_2 t'_3$) where t'_i is the representation of t_i ; $L a$ becomes *Box* a . In general, each level of an abstract k dimensional tree subdivides space into $2^{\hat{k}}$ subregions. This subdivision is represented by a *kdt* of depth at most k . Further subdivisions of the subregions are seamlessly represented as the subtrees at depth k . *Box* a represents a subregion entirely filled with a 's. In contrast to quad trees,

cubes can also occur half way down the subdivision. For example, $Q(L a)(L a)(L b)(L c)$ becomes $Split(Box a)(Split(Box b)(Box c))$.

```

instantiation kdt :: (type)height
begin

fun height-kdt :: 'a kdt  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
height (Box -) = 0 |
height (Split l r) = max (height l) (height r) + 1

instance ..

end

lemma height-0-iff: height t = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists x$ . t = Box x)
by(cases t)auto

definition bits :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool list set where
bits n = nlists n UNIV

lemma bits-0[code]: bits 0 = {[]}
by(simp add:bits-def)

lemma bits-Suc[code]:
bits (Suc n) = (let B = bits n in (#) True ‘ B  $\cup$  (#) False ‘ B)
by(simp-all add: bits-def nlists-Suc UN-bool-eq Let-def)

```

5.1 Subtree

```

fun subtree :: 'a kdt  $\Rightarrow$  bool list  $\Rightarrow$  'a kdt where
subtree t [] = t |
subtree (Box x) - = Box x |
subtree (Split l r) (b#bs) = subtree (if b then r else l) bs

lemma subtree-Box[simp]: subtree (Box x) bs = Box x
by(cases bs)auto

lemma height-subtree: height (subtree t bs)  $\leq$  height t – length bs
by(induction t bs rule: subtree.induct) auto

lemma height-subtree2:  $\llbracket \text{height } t \leq k * (\text{Suc } n); \text{length } bs = k \rrbracket \implies \text{height } (\text{subtree } t bs) \leq k * n$ 
using height-subtree[of t bs] by auto

lemma subtree-Split-Box: length bs  $\neq$  0  $\implies$  subtree (Split (Box b) (Box b)) bs =
Box b
by(auto simp: neq-Nil-conv)

```

5.2 Shifting a coordinate by a boolean vector

```

definition mv :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool list  $\Rightarrow$  nat list  $\Rightarrow$  nat list where
mv d = map2 (λb x. x + (if b then 0 else d))

lemma map-zip1: [ length xs = length ys;  $\forall p \in \text{set}(\text{zip } xs \text{ } ys). f p = \text{fst } p ] \implies$ 
map f (zip xs ys) = xs
by (metis (no-types, lifting) map-eq-conv map-fst-zip)

lemma map-mv1: [ ps  $\in$  nlists (length bs) {0..<n}; length ps = length bs ]
 $\implies$  map (λi. i < n) (mv (n) bs ps) = bs
by(fastforce simp: mv-def intro!: map-zip1 dest: set-zip-rightD nlistsE-set split:
if-splits)

lemma map-zip2: [ length xs = length ys;  $\forall p \in \text{set}(\text{zip } xs \text{ } ys). f p = \text{snd } p ] \implies$ 
map f (zip xs ys) = ys
by (metis (no-types, lifting) map-eq-conv map-snd-zip)

lemma map-mv2: [ ps  $\in$  nlists (length bs) {0..<2^n} ]  $\implies$  map (λx. x mod 2^n)
(mv (2^n) bs ps) = ps
by(fastforce simp: mv-def dest: set-zip-rightD nlistsE-set intro!: map-zip2)

lemma mv-map-map: set ps  $\subseteq$  {0..<2 * n}  $\implies$  mv (n) (map (λx. x < n) ps)
(map (λx. x mod n) ps) = ps
unfolding nlists-def mv-def
by(auto simp: map-eq-conv[where xs=ps and g=id,simplified] map2-map-map not-less
le-iff-add)

lemma mv-in-nlists:
[ p  $\in$  nlists k {0..<2^n}; bs  $\in$  bits k ]  $\implies$  mv (2^n) bs p  $\in$  nlists k {0..<2 *
2^n}
unfolding mv-def nlists-def bits-def
by (fastforce dest: set-zip-rightD)

lemma in-nlists2D: xs  $\in$  nlists k {0..<2 * 2^n}  $\implies$   $\exists$  bs  $\in$  bits k. xs  $\in$  mv (2^n)
bs ` nlists k {0..<2^n}
unfolding nlists-def bits-def image-def
apply(rule bexI[where x = map (λx. x < 2^n) xs])
apply(simp)
apply(rule exI[where x = map (λi. i mod 2^n) xs])
apply (auto simp add: mv-map-map)
done

lemma nlists2-simp: nlists k {0..<2 * 2^n} = ( $\bigcup$  bs  $\in$  bits k. mv (2^n) bs ` nlists
k {0..<2^n})
by (auto simp: mv-in-nlists in-nlists2D)

lemma in-mv-image: [ ps  $\in$  nlists k {0..<2*2^n}; Ps  $\subseteq$  nlists k {0..<2^n}; bs  $\in$ 
bits k ]  $\implies$ 
ps  $\in$  mv (2^n) bs ` Ps  $\longleftrightarrow$  map (λx. x mod 2^n) ps  $\in$  Ps  $\wedge$  (bs = map (λi. i <
```

```

 $2^n)$  ps)
by (auto simp: map-mv1 map-mv2 mv-map-map bits-def intro!: image-eqI)

```

5.3 Points in a tree

```

fun cube :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat list set where
cube k n = nlists k {0.. $<2^n$ }

fun points :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  kdtb  $\Rightarrow$  nat list set where
points k n (Box b) = (if b then cube k n else {})
points k (Suc n) t = ( $\bigcup$  bs  $\in$  bits k. mv ( $2^n$ ) bs ` points k n (subtree t bs))

lemma points-Suc: points k (Suc n) t = ( $\bigcup$  bs  $\in$  bits k. mv ( $2^n$ ) bs ` points k n
(subtree t bs))
by(cases t) (simp-all add: nlists2-simp)

lemma points-subset: height t  $\leq$  k*n  $\Longrightarrow$  points k n t  $\subseteq$  nlists k {0.. $<2^n$ }
proof(induction k n t rule: points.induct)
  case (2 k n l r)
  have  $\bigwedge$  bs. bs  $\in$  bits k  $\Longrightarrow$  height (subtree (Split l r) bs)  $\leq$  k*n
    unfolding bits-def using 2.prems height-subtree2 in-nlists-UNIV by blast
    with 2.IH show ?case
      by(auto intro: mv-in-nlists dest: subsetD)
  qed auto

```

5.4 Compression

Compressing Split:

```

fun SplitC :: 'a kdt  $\Rightarrow$  'a kdt  $\Rightarrow$  'a kdt where
SplitC (Box b1) (Box b2) = (if b1=b2 then Box b1 else Split (Box b1) (Box b2)) |
SplitC l r = Split l r

fun compressed :: 'a kdt  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
compressed (Box -) = True |
compressed (Split l r) = (compressed l  $\wedge$  compressed r  $\wedge$   $\neg$ ( $\exists$  b. l = Box b  $\wedge$  r = Box b))

lemma compressedI: [| compressed l; compressed r |]  $\Longrightarrow$  compressed (SplitC l r)
by(induction l r rule: SplitC.induct) auto

lemma subtree-SplitC:
  1  $\leq$  length bs  $\Longrightarrow$  subtree (SplitC l r) bs = subtree (Split l r) bs
by(induction l r rule: SplitC.induct)
(simp-all add: subtree-Split-Box Suc-le-eq)

lemma height-SplitC: height(SplitC l r)  $\leq$  Suc (max (height l) (height r))
by(cases (l,r) rule: SplitC.cases)(auto)

```

```

lemma height-SplitC2:  $\llbracket \text{height } l \leq n; \text{height } r \leq n \rrbracket \implies \text{height}(\text{SplitC } l \ r) \leq \text{Suc}_n$ 
using height-SplitC[of l r] by simp

```

5.5 Extracting a point from a tree

Also the abstraction function.

```

fun get :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a kdt  $\Rightarrow$  nat list  $\Rightarrow$  'a where
get - (Box b) - = b |
get (Suc n) t ps = get n (subtree t (map ( $\lambda i. i < 2^n$ ) ps)) (map ( $\lambda i. i \bmod 2^n$ ) ps)

lemma get-Suc: get (Suc n) t ps =
get n (subtree t (map ( $\lambda i. i < 2^n$ ) ps)) (map ( $\lambda i. i \bmod 2^n$ ) ps)
by(cases t)auto

lemma points-get:  $\llbracket \text{height } t \leq k*n; ps \in \text{nlists } k \{0..<2^n\} \rrbracket \implies$ 
get n t ps = (ps  $\in$  points k n t)
proof(induction n arbitrary: k t ps)
case 0
then show ?case by(clarify simp add: height-0-iff)
next
case (Suc n)
show ?case
proof (cases t)
case Box
thus ?thesis using Suc.prems(2) by(simp)
next
case (Split l r)
obtain k0 where k = Suc k0 using Suc.prems(1) Split
by(cases k) auto
hence ps  $\neq$  []
using Suc.prems(2) by (auto simp: in-nlists-Suc-iff)
then show ?thesis using Suc.prems Split Suc.IH[OF height-subtree2[OF Suc.prems(1)]]
in-nlists2D
by(simp add: height-subtree2 in-mv-image points-subset bits-def)
qed
qed

```

5.6 Modifying a point in a tree

```

fun modify :: ('a kdt  $\Rightarrow$  'a kdt)  $\Rightarrow$  bool list  $\Rightarrow$  'a kdt  $\Rightarrow$  'a kdt where
modify f [] t = f t |
modify f (b # bs) (Split l r) = (if b then SplitC l (modify f bs r) else SplitC (modify f bs l) r) |
modify f (b # bs) (Box a) =
(let t = modify f bs (Box a) in if b then SplitC (Box a) t else SplitC t (Box a))

fun put :: nat list  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a kdt  $\Rightarrow$  'a kdt where

```

```

put ps a 0 (Box -) = Box a |
put ps a (Suc n) t = modify (put (map (λi. i mod 2^n) ps) a n) (map (λi. i < 2^n) ps) t

lemma height-modify: [ ] $\forall t. \text{height } t \leq nk \rightarrow \text{height } (f t) \leq nk;$ 
 $\text{height } t \leq k + nk; \text{length } bs = k]$ 
 $\implies \text{height } (\text{modify } f bs t) \leq k + nk$ 
apply(induction f bs t arbitrary: k rule: modify.induct)
by (auto simp: height-SplitC2 Let-def)

lemma height-put:  $\text{height } t \leq n * \text{length } ps \implies \text{height } (\text{put } ps a n t) \leq n * \text{length } ps$ 
proof(induction ps a n t rule: put.induct)
case 2
then show ?case by (auto simp: height-modify)
qed auto

lemma subtree-modify: [ ] $\text{length } bs' = \text{length } bs$ 
 $\implies \text{subtree } (\text{modify } f bs t) bs' = (\text{if } bs' = bs \text{ then } f(\text{subtree } t bs) \text{ else } \text{subtree } t bs')$ 
apply(induction f bs t arbitrary: bs' rule: modify.induct)
apply(auto simp add: length-Suc-conv Let-def subtree-SplitC split: if-splits)
done

lemma mod-eq1: [ ] $y < 2 * n; ya < 2 * n; \neg ya < n; \neg y < n; ya \bmod n = y \bmod n$ 
 $\implies ya = (y::nat)$ 
by(simp add: mod-if mult-2 split: if-splits)

lemma nlist-eq-mod: [ ] $ps \in \text{nlists } k \{0..<(2::nat) * 2^n\}; ps' \in \text{nlists } k \{0..<2 * 2^n\};$ 
 $\text{map } (\lambda i. i < 2^n) ps' = \text{map } (\lambda i. i < 2^n) ps; ps' \neq ps \implies$ 
 $\text{map } (\lambda i. i \bmod 2^n) ps' \neq \text{map } (\lambda i. i \bmod 2^n) ps$ 
apply(induction k arbitrary: ps ps')
apply simp
apply (fastforce simp: in-nlists-Suc-iff mod-eq1)
done

lemma get-put: [ ] $\text{height } t \leq k*n; ps \in \text{cube } k n; ps' \in \text{cube } k n \implies$ 
 $\text{get } n (\text{put } ps a n t) ps' = (\text{if } ps' = ps \text{ then } a \text{ else } \text{get } n t ps')$ 
proof(induction ps a n t arbitrary: ps' rule: put.induct)
case 1
then show ?case by (simp add: nlists-singleton)
next
case 2
thus ?case using in-nlists2D
by(auto simp add: subtree-modify get-Suc height-subtree2 nlist-eq-mod in-mv-image)
qed auto

```

```

lemma compressed-modify:  $\llbracket \text{compressed } t; \text{compressed } (f (\text{subtree } t \text{ } bs)) \rrbracket \implies \text{compressed } (f \text{ } bs \text{ } t)$ 
by(induction f bs t rule: modify.induct) (auto simp: compressedI Let-def)

lemma compressed-subtree: compressed t  $\implies$  compressed (subtree t bs)
by(induction t bs rule: subtree.induct) auto

lemma compressed-put:
 $\llbracket \text{height } t \leq k*n; k = \text{length } ps; \text{compressed } t \rrbracket \implies \text{compressed } (\text{put } ps \text{ } a \text{ } n \text{ } t)$ 
proof(induction ps a n t rule: put.induct)
  case 1
  then show ?case by (simp)
next
  case 2
  thus ?case by (simp add: compressed-modify compressed-subtree height-subtree2)
qed auto

```

5.7 Union

```

fun union :: kdtb  $\Rightarrow$  kdtb  $\Rightarrow$  kdtb where
  union (Box b) t = (if b then Box True else t) |
  union t (Box b) = (if b then Box True else t) |
  union (Split l1 r1) (Split l2 r2) = SplitC (union l1 l2) (union r1 r2)

lemma union-Box2: union t (Box b) = (if b then Box True else t)
by(cases t) auto

lemma subtree-union: subtree (union t1 t2) bs = union (subtree t1 bs) (subtree t2 bs)
proof(induction t1 t2 arbitrary: bs rule: union.induct)
  case 2 thus ?case by(auto simp: union-Box2)
next
  case 3 thus ?case by(cases bs) (auto simp: subtree-SplitC)
qed auto

lemma points-union:
 $\llbracket \max(\text{height } t1) (\text{height } t2) \leq k*n \rrbracket \implies \text{points } k \text{ } n \text{ } (\text{union } t1 \text{ } t2) = \text{points } k \text{ } n \text{ } t1 \cup \text{points } k \text{ } n \text{ } t2$ 
proof(induction n arbitrary: t1 t2)
  case 0 thus ?case by(clarify simp add: height-0-iff)
next
  case (Suc n)
  have height t1  $\leq$  k * Suc n height t2  $\leq$  k * Suc n
  using Suc.preds by auto
  from height-subtree2[OF this(1)] height-subtree2[OF this(2)] show ?case
    by(auto simp: Suc.IH subtree-union points-Suc bits-def)
qed

```

```

lemma get-union:
   $\llbracket \max(\text{height } t1) (\text{height } t2) \leq \text{length } ps * n \rrbracket \implies$ 
   $\text{get } n (\text{union } t1 t2) ps = (\text{get } n t1 ps \vee \text{get } n t2 ps)$ 
proof(induction n arbitrary: t1 t2 ps)
  case 0 thus ?case by(clarify simp add: height-0-iff)
next
  case (Suc n)
  have height t1  $\leq \text{length } ps * \text{Suc } n$  height t2  $\leq \text{length } ps * \text{Suc } n$ 
  using Suc.preds(1) by auto
  from height-subtree2[OF this(1)] height-subtree2[OF this(2)] show ?case
    by(simp add: Suc.IH subtree-union get-Suc)
qed

lemma height-union: height (union t1 t2)  $\leq \max(\text{height } t1) (\text{height } t2)$ 
by(induction t1 t2 rule: union.induct) (auto simp: height-SplitC2)

lemma compressed-union: compressed t1  $\implies$  compressed t2  $\implies$  compressed(union t1 t2)
by(induction t1 t2 rule: union.induct) (simp-all add: compressedI)

```

end

6 K-dimensional Region Trees - Version 2

```

theory KD-Region-Tree2
imports
  HOL-Library.NList
  HOL-Library.Tree
begin

datatype 'a kdt = Box 'a | Split 'a kdt 'a kdt

datatype-compat kdt

type-synonym kdtb = bool kdt

```

A *kdt* is most easily explained by showing how quad trees are represented: *Q t0 t1 t2 t3* becomes *Split (Split t0' t1') (Split t2' t3')* where *ti'* is the representation of *ti*; *L a* becomes *Box a*. In general, each level of an abstract *k* dimensional tree subdivides space into 2^k subregions. This subdivision is represented by a *kdt* of depth at most *k*. Further subdivisions of the subregions are seamlessly represented as the subtrees at depth *k*. *Box a* represents a subregion entirely filled with *a*'s. In contrast to quad trees, cubes can also occur half way down the subdivision. For example, *Q (L a) (L b) (L c)* becomes *Split (Box a) (Split (Box b) (Box c))*.

```

instantiation kdt :: (type)height
begin

fun height-kdt :: 'a kdt  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
height (Box -) = 0 |
height (Split l r) = max (height l) (height r) + 1

instance ..

end

lemma height-0-iff: height t = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  x. t = Box x)
by(cases t)auto

definition bits :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool list set where
bits n  $\equiv$  nlists n UNIV

lemma bits-Suc[code]:
bits (Suc n) = (let B = bits n in (#) True ` B  $\cup$  (#) False ` B)
by(simp-all add: bits-def nlists-Suc UN-bool-eq Let-def)

```

6.1 Subtree

```

fun subtree :: 'a kdt  $\Rightarrow$  bool list  $\Rightarrow$  'a kdt where
subtree t [] = t |
subtree (Box x) - = Box x |
subtree (Split l r) (b#bs) = subtree (if b then r else l) bs

lemma subtree-Box[simp]: subtree (Box x) bs = Box x
by(cases bs)auto

lemma height-subtree: height (subtree t bs)  $\leq$  height t - length bs
by(induction t bs rule: subtree.induct) auto

lemma height-subtree2: [ height t  $\leq$  k * (Suc n); length bs = k ]  $\Longrightarrow$  height (subtree t bs)  $\leq$  k * n
using height-subtree[of t bs] by auto

lemma subtree-Split-Box: length bs  $\neq$  0  $\Longrightarrow$  subtree (Split (Box b) (Box b)) bs = Box b
by(auto simp: neq-Nil-conv)

```

6.2 Shifting a coordinate by a boolean vector

The ?

```

definition mv :: bool list  $\Rightarrow$  nat list  $\Rightarrow$  nat list where
mv = map2 (λb x. 2*x + (if b then 0 else 1))

```

```

lemma map-zip1:  $\llbracket \text{length } xs = \text{length } ys; \forall p \in \text{set}(\text{zip } xs \text{ } ys). f p = \text{fst } p \rrbracket \implies$ 
 $\text{map } f (\text{zip } xs \text{ } ys) = xs$ 
by (metis (no-types, lifting) map-eq-conv map-fst-zip)

lemma map-mv1:  $\llbracket \text{length } ps = \text{length } bs \rrbracket \implies \text{map even } (\text{mv } bs \text{ } ps) = bs$ 
by(auto simp: mv-def intro!: map-zip1 split: if-splits)

lemma map-zip2:  $\llbracket \text{length } xs = \text{length } ys; \forall p \in \text{set}(\text{zip } xs \text{ } ys). f p = \text{snd } p \rrbracket \implies$ 
 $\text{map } f (\text{zip } xs \text{ } ys) = ys$ 
by (metis (no-types, lifting) map-eq-conv map-snd-zip)

lemma map-mv2:  $\llbracket \text{length } ps = \text{length } bs \rrbracket \implies \text{map } (\lambda x. x \text{ div } 2) (\text{mv } bs \text{ } ps) =$ 
 $ps$ 
by(auto simp: mv-def intro!: map-zip2)

lemma mv-map-map:  $\text{mv } (\text{map even } ps) (\text{map } (\lambda x. x \text{ div } 2) \text{ } ps) = ps$ 
unfolding nlists-def mv-def
by(auto simp add: map-eq-conv[where xs=ps and g=id,simplified] map2-map-map)

lemma mv-in-nlists:
 $\llbracket p \in \text{nlists } k \{0..<2 \wedge n\}; bs \in \text{bits } k \rrbracket \implies \text{mv } bs \text{ } p \in \text{nlists } k \{0..<2 * 2 \wedge n\}$ 
unfolding mv-def nlists-def bits-def
by (fastforce dest: set-zip-rightD)

lemma in-nlists2D:  $xs \in \text{nlists } k \{0..<2 * 2 \wedge n\} \implies \exists bs \in \text{bits } k. xs \in \text{mv } bs `$ 
 $\text{nlists } k \{0..<2 \wedge n\}$ 
unfolding nlists-def bits-def
apply(rule bexI[where x = map even xs])
apply(auto simp: image-def)[1]
apply(rule exI[where x = map (\lambda i. i div 2) xs])
apply(auto simp add: mv-map-map)
done

lemma nlists2-simp:  $\text{nlists } k \{0..<2 * 2 \wedge n\} = (\bigcup_{bs \in \text{bits } k.} \text{mv } bs ` \text{nlists } k \{0..<2 \wedge n\})$ 
by (auto simp: mv-in-nlists in-nlists2D)

```

6.3 Points in a tree

```

fun cube :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat list set where
cube k n = nlists k {0..<2^n}

fun points :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  kdtb  $\Rightarrow$  nat list set where
points k n (Box b) = (if b then cube k n else {}) |
points k (Suc n) t = ( $\bigcup_{bs \in \text{bits } k.} \text{mv } bs ` \text{points } k \text{ } n (\text{subtree } t \text{ } bs)$ )

lemma points-Suc:  $\text{points } k (\text{Suc } n) \text{ } t = (\bigcup_{bs \in \text{bits } k.} \text{mv } bs ` \text{points } k \text{ } n (\text{subtree } t \text{ } bs))$ 

```

```

by(cases t) (simp-all add: nlists2-simp)

lemma points-subset: height t ≤ k*n ==> points k n t ⊆ nlists k {0..<2^n}
proof(induction k n t rule: points.induct)
  case (2 k n l r)
  have ⋀ bs. bs ∈ bits k ==> height (subtree (Split l r) bs) ≤ k*n
    unfolding bits-def using 2.prems height-subtree2 in-nlists-UNIV by blast
    with 2.IH show ?case
      by(auto intro: mv-in-nlists dest: subsetD)
qed auto

```

6.4 Compression

Compressing Split:

```

fun SplitC :: 'a kdt ⇒ 'a kdt ⇒ 'a kdt where
  SplitC (Box b1) (Box b2) = (if b1=b2 then Box b1 else Split (Box b1) (Box b2)) |
  SplitC t1 t2 = Split t1 t2

fun compressed :: 'a kdt ⇒ bool where
  compressed (Box -) = True |
  compressed (Split l r) = (compressed l ∧ compressed r ∧ ¬(∃ b. l = Box b ∧ r = Box b))

lemma compressedI: [| compressed t1; compressed t2 |] ==> compressed (SplitC t1 t2)
by(induction t1 t2 rule: SplitC.induct) auto

lemma subtree-SplitC:
  1 ≤ length bs ==> subtree (SplitC l r) bs = subtree (Split l r) bs
by(induction l r rule: SplitC.induct)
  (simp-all add: subtree-Split-Box Suc-le-eq)

```

6.5 Union

```

fun union :: kdtb ⇒ kdtb ⇒ kdtb where
  union (Box b) t = (if b then Box True else t) |
  union t (Box b) = (if b then Box True else t) |
  union (Split l1 r1) (Split l2 r2) = SplitC (union l1 l2) (union r1 r2)

lemma union-Box2: union t (Box b) = (if b then Box True else t)
by(cases t) auto

lemma in-mv-image: [| ps ∈ nlists k {0..<2*2^n}; Ps ⊆ nlists k {0..<2^n}; bs ∈ bits k |] ==>
  ps ∈ mv bs ‘ Ps ←→ map (λx. x div 2) ps ∈ Ps ∧ (bs = map even ps)
by (auto simp: map-mv1 map-mv2 mv-map-map bits-def intro!: image-eqI)

lemma subtree-union: subtree (union t1 t2) bs = union (subtree t1 bs) (subtree t2 bs)

```

```

proof(induction t1 t2 arbitrary: bs rule: union.induct)
  case 2 thus ?case by(auto simp: union-Box2)
next
  case 3 thus ?case by(cases bs) (auto simp: subtree-SplitC)
qed auto

lemma points-union:
   $\llbracket \max(\text{height } t1, \text{height } t2) \leq k * n \rrbracket \implies$ 
   $\text{points } k \ n (\text{union } t1 \ t2) = \text{points } k \ n \ t1 \cup \text{points } k \ n \ t2$ 
proof(induction n arbitrary: t1 t2)
  case 0 thus ?case by(clar simp simp add: height-0-iff)
next
  case (Suc n)
  have height t1  $\leq k * \text{Suc } n$  height t2  $\leq k * \text{Suc } n$ 
  using Suc.preds by auto
  from height-subtree2[OF this(1)] height-subtree2[OF this(2)] show ?case
    by(auto simp: Suc.IH subtree-union points-Suc bits-def)
qed

lemma compressed-union: compressed t1  $\implies$  compressed t2  $\implies$  compressed(union t1 t2)
by(induction t1 t2 rule: union.induct) (simp-all add: compressedI)

```

6.6 Extracting a point from a tree

```

lemma size-subtree: bs  $\neq [] \implies (\forall b. t \neq \text{Box } b) \implies \text{size}(\text{subtree } t \ bs) < \text{size } t$ 
by (induction t bs rule: subtree.induct) force+

```

For termination of get:

```

corollary size-subtree-Split[termination-simp]:
  bs  $\neq [] \implies \text{size}(\text{subtree}(\text{Split } l \ r) \ bs) < \text{Suc}(\text{size } l + \text{size } r)$ 
  using size-subtree by fastforce

```

```

fun get :: 'a kdt  $\Rightarrow$  nat list  $\Rightarrow$  'a where
  get (Box b) - = b |
  get t ps = (if ps = [] then undefined else get (subtree t (map even ps)) (map (λi. i div 2) ps))

lemma points-get:  $\llbracket \text{height } t \leq k * n; ps \in \text{nlists } k \{0..<2^n\} \rrbracket \implies$ 
  get t ps = (ps  $\in$  points k n t)
proof(induction n arbitrary: k t ps)
  case 0
  then show ?case by(clar simp simp add: height-0-iff)
next
  case (Suc n)
  show ?case
  proof (cases t)
    case Box
    thus ?thesis using Suc.preds(2) by(simp)
next

```

```

case (Split l r)
obtain k0 where k = Suc k0 using Suc.prems(1) Split
  by(cases k) auto
  hence ps ≠ []
    using Suc.prems(2) by (auto simp: in-nlists-Suc-iff)
  then show ?thesis using Suc.prems Split Suc.IH[OF height-subtree2[OF Suc.prems(1)]]
in-nlists2D
  by(simp add: height-subtree2 in-mv-image points-subset bits-def)
qed
qed

end

```

7 K-dimensional Region Trees - Nested Trees

```

theory KD-Region-Nested
imports HOL-Library.NList
begin

fun cube :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat list set where
  cube k n = nlists k {0..<2^n}

datatype 'a tree1 = Lf 'a | Br 'a tree1 'a tree1
datatype 'a kdt = Cube 'a | Dims 'a kdt tree1

datatype-compat tree1
datatype-compat kdt

type-synonym kdtb = bool kdt

lemma set-tree1-finite-ne: finite (set-tree1 t) ∧ set-tree1 t ≠ {}
  by(induction t) auto

lemma kdt-tree1-term[termination-simp]: x ∈ set-tree1 t ⇒ size-kdt f x < Suc
  (size-tree1 (size-kdt f) t)
  by(induction t)(auto)

fun h-tree1 :: 'a tree1 ⇒ nat where
  h-tree1 (Lf -) = 0 |
  h-tree1 (Br l r) = max (h-tree1 l) (h-tree1 r) + 1

function (sequential) h-kdt :: 'a kdt ⇒ nat where
  h-kdt (Cube -) = 0 |
  h-kdt (Dims t) = Max (h-kdt ` (set-tree1 t)) + 1
  by pat-completeness auto
termination
  by(relation measure (size-kdt (λ-. 1)))
    (auto simp add: wf-lex-prod kdt-tree1-term)

```

```

function (sequential) inv-kdt :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a kdt  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  inv-kdt k (Cube b) = True |
  inv-kdt k (Dims t) = (h-tree1 t  $\leq$  k  $\wedge$  ( $\forall kt \in set\text{-}tree1 t$ . inv-kdt k kt))
  by pat-completeness auto
termination
  by(relation {} <*lex*> measure (size-kdt (\lambda-. 1)))
    (auto simp add: wf-lex-prod kdt-tree1-term)

definition bits :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool list set where
  bits n = nlists n UNIV

lemma bits-0[code]: bits 0 =  $\{\}\}$ 
  by (auto simp: bits-def)

lemma bits-Suc[code]: bits (Suc n) = (let B = bits n in (#) True ` B  $\cup$  (#) False ` B)
  unfolding bits-def nlists-Suc UNBool-eq by metis

fun leaf :: 'a tree1  $\Rightarrow$  bool list  $\Rightarrow$  'a where
  leaf (Lf x) - = x |
  leaf (Br l r) (b#bs) = leaf (if b then r else l) bs |
  leaf (Br l r) [] = leaf l []

definition mv :: bool list  $\Rightarrow$  nat list  $\Rightarrow$  nat list where
  mv = map2 (\lambda b x. 2*x + (if b then 0 else 1))

fun points :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  kdtb  $\Rightarrow$  nat list set where
  points k n (Cube b) = (if b then cube k n else {}) |
  points k (Suc n) (Dims t) = ( $\bigcup bs \in bits k. mv bs ` points k n (leaf t bs)$ )

lemma bits-nonempty: bits n  $\neq \{\}$ 
  by(auto simp: bits-def Ex-list-of-length)

lemma finite-bits: finite (bits n)
  by (metis List.finite-set List.set-insert UNIV-bool bits-def empty-set nlists-set)

lemma mv-in-nlists:
   $\llbracket p \in nlists k \{0..<2^{\wedge}n\}; bs \in bits k \rrbracket \implies mv bs p \in nlists k \{0..<2 * 2^{\wedge}n\}$ 
  unfolding mv-def nlists-def bits-def
  by (fastforce dest: set-zip-rightD)

lemma leaf-append: length bs  $\geq$  h-tree1 t  $\implies$  leaf t (bs@bs') = leaf t bs
  by (induction t bs arbitrary: bs' rule: leaf.induct) auto

lemma leaf-take: length bs  $\geq$  h-tree1 t  $\implies$  leaf t (bs) = leaf t (take (h-tree1 t) bs)
  by (metis append-take-drop-id leaf-append length-take min.absorb2 order-refl)

lemma Union-bits-le:

```

```

h-tree1 t ≤ n ==> (∪ bs ∈ bits n. {leaf t bs}) = (∪ bs ∈ bits (h-tree1 t). {leaf t bs})
unfolding bits-def nlists-def
apply rule
using leaf-take apply (force)
by auto (metis Ex-list-of-length order.refl le-add-diff-inverse leaf-append length-append)

lemma set-tree1-leafs:
set-tree1 t = (∪ bs ∈ bits (h-tree1 t). {leaf t bs})
proof(induction t)
case (Lf x)
then show ?case by (simp add: bits-nonempty)
next
case (Br t1 t2)
then show ?case using Union-bits-le[of t1 h-tree1 t2] Union-bits-le[of t2 h-tree1 t1]
by (auto simp add: Let-def bits-Suc max-def)
qed

lemma points-subset: inv-kdt k t ==> h-kdt t ≤ n ==> points k n t ⊆ nlists k
{0..<2^n}
proof(induction k n t rule: points.induct)
case (2 k n t)
have mv bs ps ∈ nlists k {0..<2 * 2^n} if *: bs ∈ bits k ps ∈ points k n (leaf t bs) for bs ps
proof –
have inv-kdt k (leaf t bs) using *(1) 2.prems(1)
by(auto simp: set-tree1-leafs)
(metis bits-def leaf-take length-take min.absorb2 nlistsE-length nlistsI subset-UNIV)
moreover have h-kdt (leaf t bs) ≤ n using *(1) 2.prems
by(auto simp add: set-tree1-leafs bits-nonempty finite-bits)
(metis bits-def leaf-take length-take min.absorb2 nlistsE-length nlistsI subset-UNIV)
ultimately show ?thesis using * 2.IH[of bs] mv-in-nlists by(auto)
qed
thus ?case by(auto)
qed auto

fun comb1 :: ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a tree1 ⇒ 'a tree1 ⇒ 'a tree1 where
comb1 f (Lf x1) (Lf x2) = Lf (f x1 x2) |
comb1 f (Br l1 r1) (Br l2 r2) = Br (comb1 f l1 l2) (comb1 f r1 r2) |
comb1 f (Br l1 r1) (Lf x) = Br (comb1 f l1 (Lf x)) (comb1 f r1 (Lf x)) |
comb1 f (Lf x) (Br l2 r2) = Br (comb1 f (Lf x) l2) (comb1 f (Lf x) r2)

```

The last two equations cover cases that do not arise but are needed to prove that *comb1* only applies *f* to elements of the two trees, which implies this congruence lemma:

```

lemma comb1-cong[fundef-cong]:
[|s1 = t1; s2 = t2; ∀x y. x ∈ set-tree1 t1 ==> y ∈ set-tree1 t2 ==> f x y = g x

```

```

y]  $\implies$  comb1 f s1 s2 = comb1 g t1 t2
apply(induction f t1 t2 arbitrary: s1 s2 rule: comb1.induct)
apply auto
done

```

This congruence lemma in turn implies that *union* terminates because the recursive calls of *union* via *comb1* only involve elements from the two trees, which are smaller.

```

function (sequential) union :: kdtb  $\Rightarrow$  kdtb  $\Rightarrow$  kdtb where
  union (Cube b) t = (if b then Cube True else t) |
  union t (Cube b) = (if b then Cube True else t) |
  union (Dims t1) (Dims t2) = Dims (comb1 union t1 t2)
  by pat-completeness auto
termination
by(relation measure (size-kdt ( $\lambda$ -. 1)) <*lex*> {})
  (auto simp add: wf-lex-prod kdt-tree1-term)

lemma leaf-comb1:
   $\llbracket \text{length } bs \geq \max(h\text{-tree1 } t1) (h\text{-tree1 } t2) \rrbracket \implies$ 
  leaf (comb1 f t1 t2) bs = f (leaf t1 bs) (leaf t2 bs)
apply(induction f t1 t2 arbitrary: bs rule: comb1.induct)
apply (auto simp: Suc-le-length-iff split: if-splits)
done

lemma leaf-in-set-tree1:  $\llbracket \text{length } bs \geq h\text{-tree1 } t \rrbracket \implies \text{leaf } t \text{ } bs \in \text{set-tree1 } t$ 
apply(auto simp add: set-tree1-leafs bits-def intro: nlistsI)
by (metis leaf-take length-take min.absorb2 nlistsI subset-UNIV)

lemma leaf-in-set-tree2:  $\llbracket x \in \text{nlists } k \text{ } UNIV; h\text{-tree1 } t1 \leq k \rrbracket \implies \text{leaf } t1 \text{ } x \in \text{set-tree1 } t1$ 
by (metis leaf-in-set-tree1 leaf-take length-take min.absorb2 nlistsE-length)

lemma points-union:
   $\llbracket \text{inv-kdt } k \text{ } t1; \text{inv-kdt } k \text{ } t2; n \geq \max(h\text{-kdt } t1) (h\text{-kdt } t2) \rrbracket \implies$ 
  points k n (union t1 t2) = points k n t1  $\cup$  points k n t2
proof(induction t1 t2 arbitrary: n rule: union.induct)
  case 1 thus ?case using Un-absorb2[OF points-subset] by simp
next
  case 2 thus ?case using Un-absorb1[OF points-subset] by simp
next
  case (3 t1 t2)
  from 3.prems obtain m where n = Suc m by (auto dest: Suc-le-D)
  with 3 show ?case
    by (simp add: leaf-comb1 bits-def leaf-in-set-tree2 set-tree1-finite-ne image-Un
      UN-Un-distrib)
qed

lemma size-leaf[termination-simp]: size (leaf t (map f ps)) < Suc (size-tree1 size t)

```

```

apply(induction t map f ps arbitrary: ps rule: leaf.induct)
  apply simp
  apply fastforce
  apply fastforce
done

fun get :: 'a kdt ⇒ nat list ⇒ 'a where
get (Cube b) = b |
get (Dims t) ps = get (leaf t (map even ps)) (map (λx. x div 2) ps)

lemma map-zip1: [length xs = length ys; ∀ p ∈ set(zip xs ys). f p = fst p] ⇒
map f (zip xs ys) = xs
by (metis (no-types, lifting) map-eq-conv map-fst-zip)

lemma map-mv1: [length ps = length bs] ⇒ map even (mv bs ps) = bs
unfolding nlists-def mv-def by(auto intro!: map-zip1 split: if-splits)

lemma map-zip2: [length xs = length ys; ∀ p ∈ set(zip xs ys). f p = snd p] ⇒
map f (zip xs ys) = ys
by (metis (no-types, lifting) map-eq-conv map-snd-zip)

lemma map-mv2: [length ps = length bs] ⇒ map (λx. x div 2) (mv bs ps) =
ps
unfolding nlists-def mv-def by(auto intro!: map-zip2)

lemma mv-map-map: mv (map even ps) (map (λx. x div 2) ps) = ps
unfolding nlists-def mv-def
by(auto simp add: map-eq-conv[where xs=ps and g=id,simplified] map2-map-map)

lemma in-mv-image: [ps ∈ nlists k {0..<2^?n}; Ps ⊆ nlists k {0..<2^?n}; bs ∈
bits k] ⇒
ps ∈ mv bs ‘ Ps ↔ map (λx. x div 2) ps ∈ Ps ∧ (bs = map even ps)
by (auto simp: map-mv1 map-mv2 mv-map-map bits-def intro!: image-eqI)

lemma get-points: [inv-kdt k t; h-kdt t ≤ n; ps ∈ nlists k {0..<2^?n}] ⇒
get t ps = (ps ∈ points k n t)
proof(induction t ps arbitrary: n rule: get.induct)
  case (? t ps)
  obtain m where [simp]: n = Suc m using ‹h-kdt (Dims t) ≤ n› by (auto dest:
Suc-le-D)
  have ∀ bs. length bs = k → inv-kdt k (leaf t bs) ∧ h-kdt (leaf t bs) ≤ m
    using 2.prems by (auto simp add: leaf-in-set-tree1 set-tree1-finite-ne)
  moreover have map (λx. x div 2) ps ∈ nlists k {0..<2 ^ m}
    using 2.prems(3) by(fastforce intro!: nlistsI dest: nlistsE-set)
  ultimately show ?case using 2.prems 2.IH[of m] points-subset[of k - m]
    by(auto simp add: in-mv-image bits-def intro: nlistsI)
qed auto

fun modify :: ('a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ bool list ⇒ 'a tree1 ⇒ 'a tree1 where

```

```

modify f [] (Lf x) = Lf (f x) |
modify f (b#bs) (Lf x) = (if b then Br (Lf x) (modify f bs (Lf x)) else Br (modify
f bs (Lf x)) (Lf x)) |
modify f (b#bs) (Br l r) = (if b then Br l (modify f bs r) else Br (modify
f bs l) r)

fun put :: 'a ⇒ nat ⇒ nat list ⇒ 'a kdt ⇒ 'a kdt where
put b' 0 ps (Cube -) = Cube b' |
put b' (Suc n) ps t =
  Dims (modify (put b' n (map (λi. i div 2) ps)) (map even ps)
    (case t of Cube b ⇒ Lf (Cube b) | Dims t ⇒ t))

lemma leaf-modify: [ h-tree1 t ≤ length bs; length bs' = length bs ] ⇒
  leaf (modify f bs t) bs' = (if bs' = bs then f(leaf t bs) else leaf t bs')
apply(induction f bs t arbitrary: bs' rule: modify.induct)
apply(auto simp: length-Suc-conv split: if-splits)
done

lemma in-nlists2D: xs ∈ nlists k {0..<2 * 2 ^ n} ⇒ ∃ bs∈nlists k UNIV. xs ∈
mv bs ` nlists k {0..<2 ^ n}
unfolding nlists-def
apply(rule bexI[where x = map even xs])
apply(auto simp: image-def)[1]
apply(rule exI[where x = map (λi. i div 2) xs])
apply(auto simp add: mv-map-map)
done

lemma nlists2-simp: nlists k {0..<2 * 2 ^ n} = (⋃ bs∈nlists k UNIV. mv bs ` nlists k {0..<2 ^ n})
by (auto simp: mv-in-nlists bits-def in-nlists2D)

lemma mv-diff:
  [ length qs = length bs; ∀ as ∈ A. length as = length bs ] ⇒ mv bs ` (A - {qs})
= mv bs ` A - {mv bs qs}
by (auto) (metis map-mv2)

lemma put-points: [ inv-kdt k t; h-kdt t ≤ n; ps ∈ nlists k {0..<2^n} ] ⇒
  points k n (put b n ps t) = (if b then points k n t ∪ {ps} else points k n t - {ps})
proof(induction b n ps t rule: put.induct)
  case 1 thus ?case by (simp add: nlists-singleton)
next
  case (2 b' n ps t)
  have *: ∀ x bs. t = Dims x → length bs = length ps → inv-kdt k (leaf x bs)
  using 2.prems(1,3) leaf-in-set-tree1 by fastforce
  have **: t = Dims x ⇒ length bs = length ps ⇒ h-kdt (leaf x bs) ≤ n for x bs
  using leaf-in-set-tree1[of x] 2.prems set-tree1-finite-ne[of x] by auto
  have ***: [ t = Dims x; length bs = length ps ] ⇒
    (∀ qs ∈ points (length ps) n (leaf x bs). length qs = length ps) = True for x bs

```

```

using 2.prems(3) by (metis * ** nlistsE-length points-subset subset-iff)

have Union-diff-aux:  $a \in A \implies (\bigcup x \in A. F x) = F a \cup (\bigcup x \in A - \{a\}. F x)$ 
for a A F
    by blast
have notin-aux:  $\forall x \in nlists (length ps) UNIV - \{map even ps\} \forall qs \in A. x. length qs = length ps \implies$ 
     $ps \notin (\bigcup x \in nlists (length ps) UNIV - \{map even ps\}). mv x ` A x)$  for A
    by (smt (verit) DiffE UN-E image-iff insert-iff map-mv1 nlistsE-length)
have set1:  $\bigwedge x y. \{x. x \neq y\} = UNIV - \{y\}$  by blast
have nlists-map:  $\bigwedge n xs f A. n = size xs \implies (map f xs \in nlists n A) = (f ` set xs \subseteq A)$  by simp

have ( $\lambda i. i \text{ div } 2$ ) ` set ps  $\subseteq \{0..<2 \wedge n\}$  using nlistsE-set[OF 2.prems(3)] by auto
moreover have  $\forall x. t = Dims x \longrightarrow inv-kdt k (Dims x)$ 
    using 2.prems(1) by blast
moreover have  $t = Dims x \implies length bs = length ps \implies points (length ps) n (leaf x bs) \subseteq nlists (length ps) \{0..<2 \wedge n\}$  for x bs
    using 2.prems(3) by (metis * ** nlistsE-length points-subset)
moreover have  $length ps = k$  using 2.prems(3) by simp
moreover from 2 * ** calculation show ?case
    by (clar simp simp: leaf-modify[of - map even ps] mv-map-map nlists-map bits-def
        nlistsE-length[of -::bool list k UNIV] nlists2-simp Union-diff-aux[of map even ps]
        mv-diff *** Diff-insert0[OF notin-aux]
        insert-absorb Diff-insert-absorb Int-absorb1 set1 Diff-Int-distrib Un-Diff
        split: kdt.split)
qed simp

end

```

References

- [1] S. Aluru. Quadtrees and octrees. In D. P. Mehta and S. Sahni, editors, *Handbook of Data Structures and Applications*. Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2nd edition, 2017.
- [2] J. L. Bentley. Multidimensional binary search trees used for associative searching. *Commun. ACM*, 18(9):509517, 1975.
- [3] J. H. Friedman, J. L. Bentley, and R. A. Finkel. An algorithm for finding best matches in logarithmic expected time. *ACM Trans. Math. Softw.*, 3(3):209226, 1977.
- [4] M. Rau. Multidimensional binary search trees. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, May 2019. https://isa-afp.org/entries/KD_Tree.html, Formal proof development.

- [5] H. Samet. The quadtree and related hierarchical data structures. *ACM Comput. Surv.*, 16(2):187–260, 1984.
- [6] H. Samet. *The Design and Analysis of Spatial Data Structures*. Addison-Wesley, 1990.
- [7] D. S. Wise. Representing matrices as quadtrees for parallel processors: extended abstract. *SIGSAM Bull.*, 18(3):24–25, 1984.
- [8] D. S. Wise. Representing matrices as quadtrees for parallel processors. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 20(4):195–199, 1985.
- [9] D. S. Wise. Parallel decomposition of matrix inversion using quadtrees. In *International Conference on Parallel Processing, ICPP'86*, pages 92–99. IEEE Computer Society Press, 1986.
- [10] D. S. Wise. Matrix algebra and applicative programming. In G. Kahn, editor, *Functional Programming Languages and Computer Architecture*, volume 274, pages 134–153, 1987.
- [11] D. S. Wise. Matrix algorithms using quadtrees (invited talk). In G. Hains and L. M. R. Mullin, editors, *ATABLE-92, Intl. Workshop on Arrays, Functional Languages and Parallel Systems*, 1992.