Rabin's Closest Pair of Points Algorithm

Emin Karayel Ziz

Zixuan Fan

March 17, 2025

Abstract

This entry formalizes Rabin's randomized algorithm for the closest pair of points problem with expected linear running time. Remarkable is that the best-known deterministic algorithms have super-linear running times. Hence this algorithm is one of the first known examples of randomized algorithms that outperform deterministic algorithms.

The formalization also introduces a probabilistic time monad, which builds on the existing deterministic time monad.

Contents

1	Introduction		1
	1.1	Preliminary Algorithms in the Time Monad	3
	1.2	Probabilistic Time Monad	4
	1.3	Randomized Closest Points Algorithm	7
2	Correctness		8
3	Gro	Growth of Close Points	
4	Spe	ed	25

1 Introduction

This entry formalizes Rabin's randomized closest points algorithm [6], with expected linear run-time.

Given a sequence of points in euclidean space, the algorithm finds the pair of points with the smallest distance between them.

Remarkable is that the best known deterministic algorithm for this problem has running time $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ for n points [1, Section 1]. Some of them have been formalized in Isabelle by Rau and Nipkow [7, 8].

The algorithm starts by choosing a grid-distance d, and storing the points in a square-grid whose cells have that side-length.

Then it traverses the points, computing the distance of each with the points in the same (or neighboring) cells in the square grid. (Two cells are considered neighboring, if they share an edge or a vertex.)

The fundamental dilemma of the algorithm is the correct choice of d. If it is too small, then it could happen that the two closest points of the sequence are not in neighboring cells. This means d must be chosen larger or equal to the closest-point distance of the sequence. On the other hand, if d is chosen too large, it may cause too many points ending up in the same cell, which increases the running time.

The original algorithm by Rabin, chooses d by sampling $n^{2/3}$ points and using the minimum distance of those points. This can be computed using recursion (or a sub-quadratic deterministic algorithm.)

An improvement to the algorithm, has been observed in a blog-post by Richard Lipton [5]. Instead of obtaining a sub-sample of the points in the first step to chose d, he observes that it is possible to sample n independent point pairs and computing the minimum distance of the pairs. The refined algorithm is considerably simpler, avoiding the need for recursion. Similarly, the running time proof is simpler. (This entry formalizes this later version.) In either case, the algorithm always returns the correct result with expected linear running time.

Note that, as far as I can tell, the proof of this new version has not been published. As such this entry contains an informal proof for the results in each section.

Something that should be noted is that we assume a hypothetical data structure for the square-grid, i.e., a mapping from a pair of integers identifying the cell to the points located in the cell, that can be initialized in time $\mathcal{O}(n)$ and access time proportional to the count of points in the cell (or $\mathcal{O}(1)$ if the cell is empty.) A naive implementation of such a data structure would however have unbounded initialization time, if some points are really far apart.

The above was a discussion point that was raised by Fortune and Hopcroft [3]. Later Dietzfelbinger [2] resolved the issue by providing a concrete implementation of the data structure using a hash table, with a hash function chosen randomly from a pair-wise independent family, to guarantee the presumed costs of the hypothetical data structure in expectation. However, for the sake of simplicity and consistency with Rabin's paper, we omit this implementation detail, and pretend the hypothetical data structure exists.

Note also that, even with the hash table, it would not be possible to implement the algorithm in linear time in Isabelle directly as it requires randomaccess arrays.

The following introduces a few primitive algorithms for the time monad, which will be followed by the construction of the probabilistic time monad, which is necessary for the verification of the expected running time. After which the algorithm will be formalized. Its properties will be verified in the following sections.

Related Work: Closely related is a recursive meshing based approach developed by Khuller and Matias [4] in 1995. Banyassady and Mulzer have given a new analysis of the expected running time [1] of Rabin's algorithm in 2007. However, this work follows Rabin's original paper.

theory Randomized-Closest-Pair

imports HOL–Probability.Probability-Mass-Function Root-Balanced-Tree.Time-Monad Karatsuba.Main-TM Closest-Pair-Points.Common begin

hide-const (open) Giry-Monad.return

1.1 Preliminary Algorithms in the Time Monad

Time Monad version of *min-list*.

fun min-list-tm :: 'a::ord list \Rightarrow 'a tm where min-list-tm (x # y # zs) = 1do { $r \leftarrow min-list-tm (y\#zs);$ Time-Monad.return (min x r) } | min-list-tm (x#[]) = 1 Time-Monad.return x | min-list-tm [] = 1 undefined

lemma val-min-list: $xs \neq [] \implies$ val (min-list-tm xs) = min-list xsby (induction xs rule:induct-list012) auto

lemma time-min-list: $xs \neq [] \implies time (min-list-tm xs) = length xs$ by (induction xs rule:induct-list012) (simp-all)

Time Monad version of *remove1*.

```
fun remove1-tm :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list tm

where

remove1-tm x (y#ys) =1 (

if x = y then

return ys

else

remove1-tm x ys \gg (\lambda r. return (y#r))

) |

remove1-tm x [] =1 return []
```

lemma val-remove1: val (remove1-tm x ys) = remove1 x ys
by (induction ys) simp+

lemma time-remove1: time (remove1-tm x ys) $\leq 1 + length ys$ by (induction ys) (simp-all)

The following is a substitute for accounting for operations, where it was not possible to do directly. One reason for this is that we abstract away the data structure of the grid (an infinite 2D-table), which properly implemented, would required the use of a hash table and 2-independent hash functions. A second reason is that we need to transfer the resource usage in the bind operation of the probabilistic time monad (See below in the definition bind-tpmf).

fun custom-tick :: nat \Rightarrow unit tm **where** custom-tick (Suc n) =1 custom-tick n | custom-tick 0 = return ()

lemma time-custom-tick: time (custom-tick n) = n by (induction n) auto

1.2 Probabilistic Time Monad

The following defines the probabilistic time monad using the type 'a tm pmf, i.e., the algorithm returns a probability space of pairs of values and time-consumptions.

Note that the alternative type 'a pmf tm, i.e., a constant time consumption with a value-distribution does not work since the running time may depend on random choices.

type-synonym 'a tpmf = 'a tm pmf

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } bind-tpmf :: 'a \ tpmf \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b \ tpmf) \Rightarrow 'b \ tpmf\\ \textbf{where } bind-tpmf \ m \ f = \\ do \ \{ \\ x \leftarrow m; \\ r \leftarrow f \ (val \ x); \\ return-pmf \ (custom-tick \ (time \ x) \gg (\lambda-. \ r)) \\ \} \end{array}$

definition return-tpmf :: $a \Rightarrow a$ tpmf where return-tpmf x = return-pmf (return x)

The following allows the lifting of a deterministic algorithm in the time monad into the probabilistic time monad.

definition *lift-tm* :: 'a $tm \Rightarrow$ 'a tpmfwhere *lift-tm* x = return-pmf x The following allows the lifting of a randomized algorithm into the probabilisitc time monad. Note this should only be done, for primitive cases, as it requires accounting of the time usage.

definition *lift-pmf* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *'a pmf* \Rightarrow *'a tpmf* **where** *lift-pmf k m* = *map-pmf* (λx . *custom-tick k* \gg (λ -. *return x*)) *m*

adhoc-overloading Monad-Syntax.bind \Rightarrow bind-tpmf

lemma val-bind-tpmf: map-pmf val (bind-tpmf m f) = map-pmf val m \gg (λx . map-pmf val (f x)) (is ?L = ?R) **proof** – **have** map-pmf val (bind-tpmf m f) = m \gg (λx . f (val x) \gg (λx . return-pmf (val x))) unfolding bind-tpmf-def map-bind-pmf by simp also have ... = ?R unfolding bind-map-pmf by (simp add: map-pmf-def) finally show ?thesis by simp qed

```
lemma val-return-tpmf:
    map-pmf val (return-tpmf x) = return-pmf x
    unfolding return-tpmf-def by simp
```

lemma val-lift-tpmf: map-pmf val (lift-pmf k x) = x unfolding lift-pmf-def val-bind-tpmf map-pmf-comp by simp

lemma val-lift-tm: map-pmf val (lift-tm x) = return-pmf (val x) **unfolding** lift-tm-def **by** simp

 $lemmas \ val-tpmf-simps = \ val-bind-tpmf \ val-lift-tpmf \ val-return-tpmf \ val-lift-tm$

lemma time-return-tpmf: map-pmf time (return-tpmf x) = return-pmf 0 unfolding return-tpmf-def by simp

lemma time-lift-pmf: map-pmf time (lift-pmf x p) = return-pmf xunfolding lift-pmf-def map-pmf-comp by (simp add: time-custom-tick)

lemma time-bind-tpmf: map-pmf time (bind-tpmf m f) =
 do {
 $x \leftarrow m;$ $y \leftarrow f (val x);$ return-pmf (time x + time y)
 }
 unfolding bind-tpmf-def map-bind-pmf by (simp add:time-custom-tick)

lemma bind-return-tm: bind-tm (Time-Monad.return x) f = f xby (simp add:tm-simps tm.case-eq-if) **lemma** bind-return-tpmf: bind-tpmf (return-tpmf x) f = (f x) **unfolding** bind-tpmf-def return-tpmf-def **by** (simp add:bind-return-pmf bind-return-tm bind-return-pmf')

Version of *replicate-pmf* for the probabilistic time monad.

```
fun replicate-tpmf :: nat \Rightarrow 'a tpmf \Rightarrow 'a list tpmf
  where
   replicate-tpmf \ 0 \ p = return-tpmf \ [] \ ]
   replicate-tpmf (Suc n) p =
     do \{
       x \leftarrow p;
       y \leftarrow replicate-tpmf \ n \ p;
       return-tpmf (x \# y)
     }
lemma time-replicate-tpmf:
 map-pmf time (replicate-tpmf n p) = map-pmf sum-list (replicate-pmf n (map-pmf
time p))
proof (induction n)
 case \theta thus ?case by (simp add:time-return-tpmf)
\mathbf{next}
 case (Suc n)
 have map-pmf time (replicate-tpmf (Suc n) p) =
   p \gg (\lambda x. \text{ replicate-tpmf } n \ p \gg (\lambda y. \text{ return-pmf } (\text{time } x + \text{time } y)))
   by (simp add: time-bind-tpmf return-tpmf-def)
    (simp add: bind-tpmf-def bind-assoc-pmf bind-return-pmf time-custom-tick)
 also have \ldots = map-pmf time p \gg 
   (\lambda x. map-pmf time (replicate-tpmf n p) \gg (\lambda y. return-pmf (x + y)))
   unfolding map-pmf-def by (simp add:bind-assoc-pmf bind-return-pmf)
 also have \ldots = map-pmf time p \gg (\lambda x. replicate-pmf n (map-pmf time p) \gg
   (\lambda y. return-pmf (x + sum-list y)))
   by (subst Suc) (metis (no-types, lifting) bind-map-pmf bind-pmf-cong)
 also have \ldots = map-pmf sum-list (replicate-pmf (Suc n) (map-pmf time p))
   by (simp add:map-bind-pmf)
 finally show ?case by simp
qed
lemma val-replicate-tpmf:
  map-pmf val (replicate-tpmf n x) = replicate-pmf n (map-pmf val x)
 by (induction n) (simp-all add:val-tpmf-simps)
lemma set-val-replicate-tpmf:
```

Termina set-val-replicate-tpmf: **assumes** $xs \in set-pmf$ (replicate-tpmf n p) **shows** length (val xs) = n set (val xs) \subseteq val ' set-pmf p **proof** – **have** val $xs \in set-pmf$ (map-pmf val (replicate-tpmf n p)) using assms by simp **thus** length (val xs) = n set (val xs) \subseteq val ' set-pmf p**unfolding** val-replicate-tpmf set-replicate-pmf by auto **lemma** replicate-return-pmf[simp]: replicate-pmf n (return-pmf x) = return-pmf (replicate n x)

by (*induction* n) (*simp-all* add:*bind-return-pmf*)

1.3 Randomized Closest Points Algorithm

Using the above we can express the randomized closests points algorithm in the probabilistic time monad.

type-synonym $point = real^2$

record grid =g-dist :: real $g-lookup :: int * int \Rightarrow point list tm$

definition to-grid :: real \Rightarrow point \Rightarrow int * int where to-grid $d x = (\lfloor x \$ 1/d \rfloor, \lfloor x \$ 2/d \rfloor)$

This represents the grid data-structure mentioned before. We assume the build time is linear to the number of points stored and the access time is at least $\mathcal{O}(1)$ and proportional to the number of points in the cell. (In practice this would be implemented using hash functions.)

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition build-grid :: point list \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow grid tm \ \textbf{where}} \\ build-grid xs \ d = \\ do \ \{ \\ - \leftarrow \ custom-tick \ (length \ xs); \\ return \ ( \\ g-dist = d, \\ g-lookup = (\lambda q. \ map-tm \ return \ (filter \ (\lambda x. \ to-grid \ d \ x = q) \ xs)) \\ \end{pmatrix} \\ \end{array}
```

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } sample-distance :: point \ list \Rightarrow real \ tpmf \ \textbf{where} \\ sample-distance \ ps = \ do \ \{ \\ i \leftarrow \ lift-pmf \ 1 \ (pmf-of-set \ \{i. \ fst \ i < snd \ i \land snd \ i < length \ ps\}); \\ return-tpmf \ (dist \ (ps \ ! \ (fst \ i)) \ (ps \ ! \ (snd \ i))) \\ \} \end{array}$

lemma val-sample-distance:

 $map-pmf \ val \ (sample-distance \ ps) = map-pmf \ (\lambda i. \ dist \ (ps \ ! \ (fst \ i)) \ (ps \ ! \ (snd \ i)))$

 $(pmf-of-set \ \{i. fst \ i < snd \ i \land snd \ i < length \ ps\})$

unfolding sample-distance-def by (simp add:val-tpmf-simps) (simp add:map-pmf-def)

definition first-phase :: point list \Rightarrow real tpmf where first-phase ps = do { $ds \leftarrow$ replicate-tpmf (length ps) (sample-distance ps);

qed

```
}

definition lookup-neighborhood :: grid \Rightarrow point \Rightarrow point list tm

where lookup-neighborhood grid p =

do {

d \leftarrow tick (g-dist grid);

q \leftarrow tick (to-grid d p);

cs \leftarrow map-tm (\lambda x. tick (x + q)) [(0,0),(0,1),(1,-1),(1,0),(1,1)];

map-tm (g-lookup grid) cs \gg concat-tm \gg remove1-tm p

}
```

 $lift-tm \ (min-list-tm \ ds)$

This function collects all points in the cell of the given point and those from the neighboring cells. Here it is relevant to note that only half of the neighboring cells are taken. This is because of symmetry, i.e., if point p is north-east of point q, then q is south-west of point q. Since all points are being traversed it is enough to restrict the neighbor set.

```
definition calc-dists-neighborhood :: grid \Rightarrow point \Rightarrow real \ list \ tm
  where calc-dists-neighborhood grid p =
    do \{
      ns \leftarrow lookup-neighborhood grid p;
      map-tm (tick \circ dist p) ns
   }
definition second-phase :: real \Rightarrow point list \Rightarrow real tm where
  second-phase d ps = do {
   grid \leftarrow build-grid ps d;
   ns \leftarrow map-tm (calc-dists-neighborhood grid) ps;
   concat-tm \ ns \gg min-list-tm
  }
definition closest-pair :: point list \Rightarrow real tpmf where
  closest-pair ps = do {
    d \leftarrow first-phase \ ps;
    if d = 0 then
      lift-tm (tick \theta)
    else
      lift-tm (second-phase d ps)
  }
```

end

2 Correctness

This section verifies that the algorithm always returns the correct result. Because the algorithm checks every pair of points in the same or in neighboring cells. It is enough to establish that the grid distance is at least the distance of the closest pair.

The latter is true by construction, because the grid distance is chosen as a minimum of actually occurring point distances.

theory Randomized-Closest-Pair-Correct imports Randomized-Closest-Pair begin

definition min-dist :: ('a::metric-space) list \Rightarrow real where min-dist $xs = Min \{ dist \ x \ y | x \ y. \{ \# \ x, \ y \# \} \subseteq \# \ mset \ xs \}$

For a list with length at least two, the result is the minimum distance between the points of any two elements of the list. This means that *min-dist* xs = 0, if and only if the same point occurs twice in the list.

Note that this means, we won't assume the distinctness of the input list, and show the correctness of the algorithm in the above sense.

lemma image-conv-2: $\{f x y | x y, p x y\} = (case-prod f)$ ' $\{(x,y), p x y\}$ by auto

lemma min-dist-set-fin: finite {dist $x \ y | x \ y$. {# $x, \ y$ #} \subseteq # mset xs} proof – have a: finite (set $xs \times set xs$) by simp have $x \in \#$ mset $xs \land y \in \#$ mset xs if $\{\#x, y\#\} \subseteq \#$ mset xs for x yusing that by (meson insert-union-subset-iff mset-subset-eq-insertD) thus ?thesis unfolding image-conv-2 by (intro finite-imageI finite-subset[OF a]) auto qed **lemma** min-dist-ne: length $xs \ge 2 \iff \{dist \ x \ y | x \ y. \ \{\# \ x, y\#\} \subseteq \# \ mset \ xs\} \neq$ $\{\} (\mathbf{is} ?L \longleftrightarrow ?R)$ proof assume ?L then obtain xh1 xh2 xt where xs:xs=xh1 # xh2 # xt by (metis Suc-le-length-iff numerals(2)) hence $\{\#xh1, xh2\#\} \subseteq \#$ mset xs unfolding xs by simp thus ?R by auto \mathbf{next} assume ?Rthen obtain x y where xy: $\{\#x, y\#\} \subseteq \#$ mset xs by auto have $2 \leq size \{\#x, y\#\}$ by simp also have $\dots \leq size (mset xs)$ by (intro size-mset-mono xy) finally have $2 \leq size (mset xs)$ by simp thus ?L by simp qed **lemmas** min-dist-neI = iffD1[OF min-dist-ne]**lemma** *min-dist-nonneq*: **assumes** length xs > 2shows min-dist $xs \ge 0$

unfolding min-dist-def **by** (intro Min.boundedI min-dist-set-fin assms iffD1[OF min-dist-ne]) auto

lemma *min-dist-pos-iff*: **assumes** length xs > 2**shows** distinct $xs \leftrightarrow 0 < min$ -dist xsproof – have $\neg(distinct xs) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x. count (mset xs) x \neq of-bool (x \in set xs))$ unfolding of-bool-def distinct-count-atmost-1 by fastforce also have ... \longleftrightarrow ($\exists x. \ count \ (mset \ xs) \ x \notin \{0,1\}$) using count-mset-0-iff by (intro ex-cong1) simp also have ... $\longleftrightarrow (\exists x. \ count \ (mset \ xs) \ x \ge count \ \{\#x, \ x\#\} \ x)$ by (intro ex-cong1) (simp add:numeral-eq-Suc Suc-le-eq dual-order.strict-iff-order) **also have** ... \longleftrightarrow ($\exists x. \{\#x, x\#\} \subseteq \# mset xs$) by (intro ex-cong1) (simp add: subseteq-mset-def) **also have** ... $\longleftrightarrow 0 \in \{ \text{dist } x \ y \ | x \ y. \ \{\#x, \ y\#\} \subseteq \# \text{ mset } xs \}$ by auto also have ... \longleftrightarrow min-dist xs = 0 (is $?L \leftrightarrow ?R$) proof assume ?L hence min-dist $xs \leq 0$ unfolding min-dist-def by (intro Min-le min-dist-set-fin) thus min-dist xs = 0 using min-dist-nonneg[OF assms] by auto \mathbf{next} assume ?Rthus $0 \in \{ \text{dist } x \ y \ | x \ y. \ \{ \#x, \ y \# \} \subseteq \# \text{ mset } xs \}$ unfolding min-dist-def using Min-in[OF min-dist-set-fin min-dist-neI[OF assms]] by simp qed finally have $\neg(distinct xs) \longleftrightarrow min-dist xs = 0$ by simp thus ?thesis using min-dist-nonneg[OF assms] by auto qed **lemma** *multiset-filter-mono-2*: assumes $\bigwedge x. \ x \in set\text{-mset } xs \Longrightarrow P \ x \Longrightarrow Q \ x$ shows filter-mset $P xs \subseteq \#$ filter-mset Q xs (is $?L \subseteq \#$?R) proof – have ?L = filter-mset (λx . $Q x \wedge P x$) xs using assms by (intro filter-mset-conq) autoalso have $\dots = filter$ -mset P (filter-mset Q xs) by (simp add:filter-filter-mset) also have $\ldots \subseteq \#$?R by simp finally show ?thesis by simp qed **lemma** *filter-mset-disj*: filter-mset ($\lambda x. p \ x \lor q \ x$) xs = filter-mset ($\lambda x. p \ x \land \neg q \ x$) xs + filter-mset $q \ xs$ **by** (*induction xs*) *auto* **lemma** *size-filter-mset-decompose*:

assumes finite T

shows size (filter-mset ($\lambda x. f x \in T$) xs) = ($\sum t \in T.$ size (filter-mset ($\lambda x. f x$)

= t) xs))using assms **proof** (*induction* T) case empty thus ?case by simp next case (insert x F) thus ?case by (simp add:filter-mset-disj) metis qed **lemma** *size-filter-mset-decompose'*: size (filter-mset ($\lambda x. f x \in T$) xs) = sum' ($\lambda t. size$ (filter-mset ($\lambda x. f x = t$) xs)) T(is ?L = ?R)proof let ?T = f 'set-mset $xs \cap T$ have ?L = size (filter-mset ($\lambda x. f x \in ?T$) xs) by (intro arg-cong[where f=size] filter-mset-cong) auto also have ... = $(\sum t \in ?T. size (filter-mset (\lambda x. f x = t) xs))$ **by** (*intro size-filter-mset-decompose*) *auto* also have ... = sum' (λt . size (filter-mset (λx . f x = t) xs)) ?T **by** (*intro* sum.eq-sum[symmetric]) auto also have $\dots = ?R$ by (intro sum.mono-neutral-left) auto finally show ?thesis by simp qed

lemma filter-product: filter $(\lambda x. P (fst x) \land Q (snd x))$ (List.product xs ys) = List.product (filter P xs) (filter Q ys) **proof** (induction xs) **case** Nil **thus** ?case **by** simp **next case** (Cons xh xt) **thus** ?case **by** (simp add:filter-map comp-def) **qed**

lemma floor-diff-bound: $|\lfloor x \rfloor - \lfloor y \rfloor| \leq \lceil |x - (y::real)| \rceil$ by linarith

lemma power2-strict-mono: **fixes** x y :: 'a :: linordered-idom **assumes** |x| < |y| **shows** $x^2 < y^2$ **using** assms **unfolding** power2-eq-square **by** (metis abs-mult-less abs-mult-self-eq)

definition grid ps $d = (|g-dist = d, g-lookup = (\lambda q. map-tm return (filter (<math>\lambda x.$ to-grid d x = q) ps)))

lemma build-grid-val: val (build-grid ps d) = grid ps d unfolding build-grid-def grid-def by simp **lemma** *lookup-neighborhood*: mset (val (lookup-neighborhood (grid ps d) p)) =filter-mset (λx . to-grid d x - to-grid d $p \in \{(0,0), (0,1), (1,-1), (1,0), (1,1)\}$) $(mset \ ps) - \{\#p\#\}\$ proof define *ls* where ls = [(0::int, 0::int), (0,1), (1,-1), (1,0), (1,1)]define q where q = qrid ps ddefine cs where cs = map((+)(to-grid(g-distq)p))([(0,0),(0,1),(1,-1),(1,0),(1,1)])have distinct-ls: distinct ls unfolding ls-def by (simp add: upto.simps) have mset (concat (map (λx . val (g-lookup g (x + to-grid (g-dist g) p))) ls)) = mset (concat (map (λx . filter (λq . to-grid d q - to-grid d p = x) ps) ls)) by (simp add:grid-def filter-eq-val-filter-tm cs-def comp-def algebra-simps ls-def q-def) **also have** ... = { $\# q \in \#$ mset ps. to-grid d q - to-grid $d p \in$ set ls #} using distinct-ls by (induction ls) (simp-all add: filter-mset-disj, metis) also have $\dots = \{ \#x \in \# \text{ mset ps. to-grid } dx - \text{to-grid } dp \in \{(0,0), (0,1), (1,-1), (1,0), (1,1)\} \# \}$ unfolding *ls-def* by *simp* finally have a: mset (concat (map (λx . val (g-lookup g (x + to-grid (g-dist g) p))) ls)) = $\{\#x \in \# \text{ mset ps. to-grid } dx - \text{to-grid } dp \in \{(0,0), (0,1), (1,-1), (1,0), (1,1)\} \#\}$ by simp thus ?thesis **unfolding** *g-def*[*symmetric*] *lookup-neighborhood-def ls-def*[*symmetric*] by (simp add:val-remove1 comp-def) qed **lemma** fin-nat-pairs: finite $\{(i, j) : i < j \land j < (n::nat)\}$ by (rule finite-subset[where $B = \{.. < n\} \times \{.. < n\}$]) auto **lemma** *mset-list-subset*: **assumes** distinct ys set $ys \subseteq \{..< length xs\}$ **shows** mset $(map ((!) xs) ys) \subseteq \#$ mset $xs (is ?L \subseteq \# ?R)$ proof – have mset $ys \subseteq \#$ mset [0..< length xs] using assms by (metis finite-less Than mset-set-set mset-set-up to-eq-mset-up to subset-imp-msubset-mset-set) hence image-mset ((!) xs) (mset ys) $\subseteq \#$ image-mset ((!) xs) (mset ([θ ..<length xs]))**by** (*intro image-mset-subseteq-mono*) **moreover have** *image-mset* ((!) *xs*) (*mset* ([0..<*length xs*])) =*mset xs* by (*metis* map-nth mset-map) ultimately show ?thesis by simp qed **lemma** sample-distance: assumes length ps > 2

```
shows AE d in map-pmf val (sample-distance ps). min-dist ps \leq d
```

proof – let $?S = \{i. fst \ i < snd \ i \land snd \ i < length \ ps\}$ let ?p = pmf-of-set ?Shave $(0,1) \in ?S$ using assms by auto hence a: finite ?S ?S \neq {} using fin-nat-pairs [where n = length ps] by (auto simp: case-prod-beta') have min-dist $ps \leq dist (ps ! (fst x)) (ps ! (snd x))$ if $x \in ?S$ for x proof – have mset (map ((!) ps) [fst x, snd x]) $\subseteq \#$ mset ps using that by (intro mset-list-subset) auto **hence** $\{\#ps \mid fst x, ps \mid snd x\#\} \subseteq \# mset ps$ by simp hence $(\lambda(x, y))$. dist x y $(ps ! (fst x), ps ! (snd x)) \in \{dist x y | x y, \{\#x, y\#\}\}$ $\subseteq \# mset ps$ **unfolding** *image-conv-2* **by** (*intro imageI*) *simp* thus ?thesis unfolding min-dist-def by (intro Min-le min-dist-set-fin) simp qed thus ?thesis using a unfolding sample-distance-def map-pmf-def [symmetric] val-tpmf-simps by (intro AE-pmfI) (auto) \mathbf{qed} lemma first-phase: assumes length $ps \geq 2$ **shows** AE d in map-pmf val (first-phase ps). min-dist $ps \leq d$ proof have min-dist ps < val (min-list-tm ds) if ds-range:set $ds \subseteq set$ -pmf (map-pmf val (sample-distance ps)) and length ds=length ps for dsproof – have ds-ne: $ds \neq []$ using assms that (2) by auto have min-dist $ps \leq a$ if $a \in set ds$ for a proof have $a \in set-pmf$ (map-pmf val (sample-distance ps)) using ds-range that by auto thus ?thesis using sample-distance[OF assms] by (auto simp add: AE-measure-pmf-iff) qed hence min-dist $ps \leq Min$ (set ds) using ds-ne by (intro Min.boundedI) auto also have $\dots = \min$ -list ds unfolding min-list-Min[OF ds-ne] by simp also have $\dots = val (min-list-tm \ ds)$ by $(intro \ val-min-list[symmetric] \ ds-ne)$ finally show ?thesis by simp qed thus ?thesis **unfolding** first-phase-def val-tpmf-simps val-replicate-tpmf **by** (*intro* AE-pmfI) (*auto* simp:set-replicate-pmf)

 \mathbf{qed}

definition grid-lex-ord :: int * int \Rightarrow int * int \Rightarrow bool where grid-lex-ord $x \ y = (fst \ x < fst \ y \lor (fst \ x = fst \ y \land snd \ x \le snd \ y))$

lemma grid-lex-order-antisym: grid-lex-ord $x \ y \lor$ grid-lex-ord $y \ x$ unfolding grid-lex-ord-def by auto

```
lemma grid-dist:
 fixes p q :: point
 assumes d > \theta
 shows |\lfloor p \ k/d \rfloor - \lfloor q \ k/d \rfloor| \leq \lceil dist \ p \ q/d \rceil
proof -
 have |p\$k - q\$k| = sqrt ((p\$k - q\$k)^2) by simp
 also have ... = sqrt (\sum j \in UNIV. of bool(j=k)*(p\$j - q\$j)^2) by simp
 also have \dots \leq dist \ p \ q unfolding dist-vec-def L2-set-def
   by (intro real-sqrt-le-mono sum-mono) (auto simp: dist-real-def)
 finally have |p\$k - q\$k| \le dist \ p \ q by simp
 hence 0:|p\$k/d - q\$k/d| \le dist p q/d using assms by (simp add:field-simps)
 have ||p\$k/d| - |q\$k/d|| \leq [|p\$k/d - q\$k/d|] by (intro floor-diff-bound)
 also have \dots \leq \lfloor dist \ p \ q/d \rfloor by (intro ceiling-mono 0)
 finally show ?thesis by simp
\mathbf{qed}
lemma grid-dist-2:
 fixes p q :: point
 assumes d > \theta
 assumes \lceil dist \ p \ q/d \rceil \leq s
 shows to-grid d p - to-grid d q \in \{-s...s\} \times \{-s...s\}
proof -
 have f (to-grid d p) – f (to-grid d q) \in \{-s..s\} if f = fst \lor f = snd for f
 proof –
   have |f(to-grid \ d \ p) - f(to-grid \ d \ q)| \leq \lceil dist \ p \ q/d \rceil
     using that grid-dist[OF assms(1)] unfolding to-grid-def by auto
   also have \dots \leq s by (intro assms(2))
   finally have |f(to-grid \ d \ p) - f(to-grid \ d \ q)| \le s by simp
   thus ?thesis by auto
 qed
 thus ?thesis by (simp add:mem-Times-iff)
qed
lemma grid-dist-3:
 fixes p q :: point
 assumes d > \theta
 assumes \lceil dist \ q \ p/d \rceil \leq 1 \ grid-lex-ord \ (to-grid \ d \ p) \ (to-grid \ d \ q)
 shows to-grid d q - to-grid d p \in \{(0,0), (0,1), (1,-1), (1,0), (1,1)\}
proof -
 have a:\{-1...1\} = \{-1, 0, 1::int\} by auto
 let ?r = to-grid d q - to-grid d p
 have ?r \in \{-1..1\} \times \{-1..1\} by (intro grid-dist-2 assms(1-2))
```

moreover have $?r \notin \{(-1,0), (-1,-1), (-1,1), (0,-1)\}$ using assms(3)unfolding grid-lex-ord-def insert-iff de-Morgan-disj by (intro conjI notI) (simp-all add:algebra-simps) ultimately show ?thesis unfolding a by simp

qed

```
assms(3)]] by auto
```

```
then obtain u v where uv:

min-dist \ ps = dist \ u v \ \{\#u, v\#\} \subseteq \# \ mset \ ps

grid-lex-ord \ (to-grid \ d \ u) \ (to-grid \ d \ v)

using add-mset-commute dist-commute grid-lex-order-antisym by (metis (no-types, lifting))
```

have u-range: $u \in set \ ps \ using \ uv(2) \ set-mset-mono \ by \ fastforce$

have to-grid d v - to-grid $d u \in \{(0,0), (0,1), (1,-1), (1,0), (1,1)\}$ using assms(1,2) uv(1,3) by (intro grid-dist-3) (simp-all add:dist-commute)

hence $v \in \#$ mset (val (lookup-neighborhood (grid ps d) u)) using uv(2) unfolding lookup-neighborhood by (simp add: in-diff-count insert-subset-eq-iff)

thus ?thesis using that u-range uv by simp qed

lemma second-phase: **assumes** d > 0 min-dist $ps \le d$ length $ps \ge 2$ **shows** val (second-phase d ps) = min-dist ps (**is** ?L = ?R) **proof let** ?g = grid ps d

have $\exists u \ v. \ min-dist \ ps = dist \ u \ v \land \{\#u, \ v\#\} \subseteq \# \ mset \ ps$ unfolding min-dist-def using Min-in[OF min-dist-set-fin min-dist-neI[OF assms(3)]] by auto

```
then obtain u v where uv:

min-dist ps = dist u v \{ \#u, v\# \} \subseteq \# mset ps

grid-lex-ord (to-grid d u) (to-grid d v)
```

and u-range: $u \in set \ ps$ and v-range: $v \in set \ (val \ (lookup-neighborhood \ (grid \ ps \ d) \ u))$ using second-phase-aux[OF assms] by auto

hence a: val (lookup-neighborhood (grid ps d) u) $\neq []$ by auto

have $\exists x \in set \ ps. \ min-dist \ ps \in dist \ x \ `set \ (val \ (lookup-neighborhood \ (grid \ ps \ d) \ x))$

using v-range uv(1) by (intro bexI[where x=u] u-range) simp

hence b: Min $(\bigcup x \in set ps. dist x ` set (val (lookup-neighborhood (grid ps d) x)))$ $<math>\leq min-dist ps$

by (intro Min.coboundedI finite-UN-I) simp-all

have $\{\# x, y\#\} \subseteq \# mset ps$

if $x \in set \ ps \ y \in set \ (val \ (lookup-neighborhood \ (grid \ ps \ d) \ x))$ for $x \ y$ proof -

have $y \in \#$ mset (val (lookup-neighborhood (grid ps d) x)) using that by simp moreover have mset (val (lookup-neighborhood (grid ps d) x)) $\subseteq \#$ mset ps - {#x#}

using that(1) unfolding lookup-neighborhood subset-eq-diff-conv by simp ultimately have $y \in \#$ mset $ps - \{\#x\#\}$ by (metis mset-subset-eqD) moreover have $x \in \#$ mset ps using that(1) by simp

ultimately show $\{\#x, y\#\} \subseteq \#$ *mset ps* **by** (*simp add: insert-subset-eq-iff*) **qed**

hence c: min-dist $ps \leq Min (\bigcup x \in set ps. dist x ' set (val (lookup-neighborhood (grid ps d) x)))$

unfolding *min-dist-def* **using** *a u-range* **by** (*intro Min-antimono min-dist-set-fin*) *auto*

have $?L = val (min-list-tm (concat (map (<math>\lambda x. map (dist x) (val (lookup-neighborhood ?g x))) ps)))$

unfolding second-phase-def **by** (simp add:calc-dists-neighborhood-def build-grid-val) **also have** ... = min-list (concat (map (λx . map (dist x) (val (lookup-neighborhood ?g x))) ps))

using assms(3) a u-range by (intro val-min-list) auto

also have $\dots = Min (\bigcup x \in set \ ps. \ dist \ x \ `set \ (val \ (lookup-neighborhood \ ?g \ x)))$ using a u-range by (subst min-list-Min) auto

also have $\dots = min$ -dist ps using b c by simp

finally show ?thesis by simp

qed

Main result of this section:

theorem closest-pair-correct: **assumes** length $ps \ge 2$ **shows** AE r in map-pmf val (closest-pair ps). r = min-dist ps **proof define** fp where fp = map-pmf val (first-phase ps)

```
have r = min-dist ps if
   d \in fp
   r = (if d = 0 then 0 else val (second-phase d ps)) for r d
 proof –
   have d-ge: d \ge min-dist ps
   using that(1) first-phase[OF assms] unfolding AE-measure-pmf-iff fp-def[symmetric]
by simp
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases d > \theta)
    case True
     thus ?thesis using second-phase[OF True d-ge assms] that(2)
      by (simp add: AE-measure-pmf-iff)
   \mathbf{next}
    {\bf case} \ {\it False}
    hence d = 0 min-dist ps = 0 using d-ge min-dist-nonneg[OF assms] by auto
    then show ?thesis using that(2) by auto
   qed
 qed
 thus ?thesis unfolding closest-pair-def val-tpmf-simps fp-def [symmetric] if-distrib
   by (intro AE-pmfI) (auto simp:if-distrib)
\mathbf{qed}
```

end

3 Growth of Close Points

This section verifies a result similar to (but more general than) Lemma 2 by Rabin [6]. Let N(d) denote the number of pairs from the point sequence p_1, \ldots, p_n , with distance less than d:

$$N(d) := |\{(i,j) | d(p_i, p_j) < d \land 1 \le i, j \le n\}|$$

Obviously, N(d) is monotone. It is possible to show that the growth of N(d) is bounded.

In particular:

$$N(ad) \le (2a\sqrt{2}+3)^2 N(d)$$

for all a > 0, d > 0. As far as we can tell the proof below is new. *Proof:* Consider a 2D-grid with size $\alpha := \frac{d}{\sqrt{2}}$ and let us denote by G(x, y) the number of points that fall in the cell $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, i.e.:

$$G(x,y) := \left| \left\{ i \middle| \left\lfloor \frac{p_{i,1}}{\alpha} \right\rfloor = x \land \left\lfloor \frac{p_{i,2}}{\alpha} \right\rfloor = x \right\} \right|,$$

where $p_{i,1}$ (resp. $p_{i,2}$) denote the first (resp. second) component of point p. Let also $s := \lfloor a\sqrt{2} \rfloor$. Then we can observe that

$$\begin{split} N(ad) &\leq \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}}\sum_{i=-s}^{s}\sum_{j=-s}^{s}G(x,y)G(x+i,y+j)\\ &= \sum_{i=-s}^{s}\sum_{j=-s}^{s}\sum_{(x,y)\in\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}}G(x,y)G(x+i,y+j)\\ &\leq \sum_{i=-s}^{s}\sum_{j=-s}^{s}\left(\left(\sum_{(x,y)\in\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}}G(x,y)^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{(x,y)\in\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}}G(x+i,y+j)^{2}\right)\right)\right)^{1/2}\\ &\leq \sum_{i=-s}^{s}\sum_{j=-s}^{s}\left(\left(\sum_{(x,y)\in\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}}G(x,y)^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{(x,y)\in\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}}G(x,y)^{2}\right)\right)^{1/2}\\ &\leq (2s+1)^{2}\sum_{(x,y)\in\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}}G(x,y)^{2}\\ &\leq (2a\sqrt{(2)}+3)^{2}\sum_{(x,y)\in\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}}G(x,y)^{2}\\ &\leq (2a\sqrt{(2)}+3)^{2}N(d) \end{split}$$

The first inequality follows from the fact that if two points are ad close, their x-coordinates and y-coordinates will differ by at most ad. I.e. their grid coordinates will differ at most by s. This means the pair will be accounted for in the right hand side of the inequality.

The third inequality is an application of the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality.

The last inequality follows from the fact that the largest possible distance of two points in the same grid cell is d.

theory Randomized-Closest-Pair-Growth

```
imports

HOL-Library.Sublist

Randomized-Closest-Pair-Correct

begin

lemma inj-translate:

fixes a \ b :: int

shows inj (\lambda x. (fst \ x + a, snd \ x + b))

proof –

have \theta:(\lambda x. (fst \ x + a, snd \ x + b)) = (\lambda x. \ x + (a,b)) by auto

show ?thesis unfolding \theta by simp

qed

lemma of-nat-sum':
```

```
(of-nat (sum' f S) :: ('a :: {semiring-char-0})) = sum' (\lambda x. of-nat (f x)) S
unfolding sum.G-def by simp
```

lemma sum'-nonneg: **fixes** $f ::: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{ ordered-comm-monoid-add \}$ **assumes** $\bigwedge x. \ x \in S \implies f \ x \ge 0$ **shows** sum' $f \ S \ge 0$ **proof have** $0 \le sum f \ \{x \in S. \ f \ x \ne 0\}$ **using** assms **by** (intro sum-nonneg) auto **thus** ?thesis **unfolding** sum.G-def **by** simp **qed**

```
lemma sum'-mono:

fixes f ::: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{ ordered-comm-monoid-add \}

assumes \bigwedge x. x \in S \Longrightarrow f x \leq g x

assumes finite \{ x \in S. f x \neq 0 \}

assumes finite \{ x \in S. g x \neq 0 \}

shows sum' f S \leq sum' g S (is ?L \leq ?R)

proof -

let ?S = \{ i \in S. f i \neq 0 \} \cup \{ i \in S. g i \neq 0 \}
```

```
have ?L = sum' f ?S by (intro sum.mono-neutral-right') auto
also have ... = (\sum i \in ?S. f i) using assms by (intro sum.eq-sum) auto
also have ... \leq (\sum i \in ?S. g i) using assms by (intro sum-mono) auto
also have ... = sum' g ?S using assms by (intro sum.eq-sum[symmetric]) auto
also have ... = ?R by (intro sum.mono-neutral-left') auto
finally show ?thesis by simp
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma cauchy-schwarz':
 assumes finite \{i \in S, f \mid i \neq 0\}
 assumes finite \{i \in S. g \ i \neq 0\}
 shows sum' (\lambda i. f i * g i) S \leq sqrt (sum' (\lambda i. f i^2) S) * sqrt (sum' (\lambda i. g i^2)
S)
    (is ?L \leq ?R)
proof -
 let ?S = \{i \in S. f \ i \neq 0\} \cup \{i \in S. g \ i \neq 0\}
 have ?L = sum' (\lambda i. f i * g i) ?S by (intro sum.mono-neutral-right') auto
 also have ... = (\sum i \in ?S. f i * g i) using assms by (intro sum.eq-sum) auto also have ... \leq (\sum i \in ?S. |f i| * |g i|) by (intro sum-mono) (metis abs-ge-self
abs-mult)
  also have \dots \leq L2-set f ?S * L2-set g ?S by (rule L2-set-mult-ineq)
  also have ... = sqrt (sum' (\lambda i. f i^2) ?S) * sqrt (sum' (\lambda i. g i^2) ?S)
    unfolding L2-set-def using assms sum.eq-sum by simp
 also have \dots = ?R
    by (intro arg-cong2[where f = (\lambda x \ y. \ sqrt \ x \ sqrt \ y)] sum.mono-neutral-left')
auto
```

finally show ?thesis by simp qed

begin lemma reindex-bij-betw': assumes bij-betw h S T shows G $(\lambda x. g (h x))$ S = G g T proof – have h ' { $x \in S. g (h x) \neq 1$ } = { $x \in T. g x \neq 1$ } using bij-betw-imp-surj-on[OF assms] by auto hence 0: bij-betw h { $x \in S. g (h x) \neq 1$ } { $x \in T. g x \neq 1$ } by (intro bij-betw-subset[OF assms]) auto hence finite { $x \in S. g (h x) \neq 1$ } = finite { $x \in T. g x \neq 1$ } using bij-betw-finite by auto thus ?thesis unfolding G-def using reindex-bij-betw[OF 0] by simp ged

end

context comm-monoid-set

definition close-point-size $xs \ d = length$ (filter $(\lambda(p,q))$. dist $p \ q < d$) (List.product $xs \ xs$))

lemma grid-dist-upper: fixes p q :: pointassumes $d > \theta$ shows dist $p q < sqrt (\sum i \in UNIV.(d*(|\lfloor p\$i/d \rfloor - \lfloor q\$i/d \rfloor + 1))^2)$ (is ?L < ?R)proof have a:|x - y| < |d * real-of-int (||x/d| - |y/d|| + 1)| for x y :: realproof have |x - y| = d * |x/d - y/d|using assms by (simp add: abs-mult-pos' right-diff-distrib) also have ... < $d * real-of-int (|\lfloor x/d \rfloor - \lfloor y/d \rfloor| + 1)$ $\mathbf{by} \ (intro \ mult-strict-left-mono \ assms) \ linarith$ also have ... = |d * real-of-int (||x/d| - |y/d|| + 1)|using assms by simp finally show ?thesis by simp qed have $?L = sqrt (\sum i \in UNIV. (p \$ i - q \$ i)^2)$ unfolding dist-vec-def dist-real-def L2-set-def by simp also have $\ldots < ?R$ using assms by (intro real-sqrt-less-mono sum-strict-mono power2-strict-mono a) auto finally show ?thesis by simp qed **lemma** grid-dist-upperI: fixes p q :: point

fixes d :: real

assumes $d > \theta$ assumes $\bigwedge k$. $|\lfloor p\$k/d \rfloor - \lfloor q\$k/d \rfloor| \le s$ shows dist p q < d * (s+1) * sqrt 2proof – have s-ge-0: $s \ge 0$ using assms(2) [where k=0] by simphave dist $p \ q < sqrt \ (\sum i \in UNIV. \ (d*(|\lfloor p \$i/d \rfloor - \lfloor q \$i/d \rfloor | +1))^2)$ by (intro grid-dist-upper assms) also have $\dots \leq sqrt$ $(\sum i \in (UNIV::2 \text{ set}). (d*(s+1))^2)$ using assms by (intro real-sqrt-le-mono sum-mono power-mono mult-left-mono iffD2[OF of-int-le-iff]) auto also have ... = sqrt $(2 * (d*(s+1))^2)$ by simp also have ... = $sqrt \ 2 * sqrt ((d*(s+1))^2)$ by (simp add:real-sqrt-mult) also have ... = $sqrt \ 2 * (d * (s+1))$ using assms s-ge-0 by simp also have $\dots = d * (s+1) * sqrt 2$ by simp finally show ?thesis by simp qed **lemma** close-point-approx-upper: fixes xs :: point list fixes $G :: int \times int \Rightarrow real$ assumes $d > 0 \ e > 0$ defines $s \equiv \lfloor d / e \rfloor$ **defines** $G \equiv (\lambda x. real (length (filter (\lambda p. to-grid e p = x) xs)))$ shows close-point-size xs $d \leq (\sum i \in \{-s..s\} \times \{-s..s\}, sum'(\lambda x. G x * G (x+i)))$ UNIV) $(is ?L \leq ?R)$ proof let ?f = to-grid elet ?pairs = mset (List.product xs xs) define T where $T = \{-s...s\} \times \{-s...s\}$ have $s \geq 1$ unfolding *s*-def using assms by simp hence s-ge- θ : $s \ge \theta$ by simp have 0: finite T unfolding T-def by simp have a: size $\{\#p \in \# ?pairs. ?f (fst p) - ?f (snd p) = i \#\} = sum' (\lambda x. G x *$ G(x+i)) UNIV (is ?L1 = ?R1) for i proof – have $?L1 = size \{ \#p \in \# ?pairs. (?f (fst p), ?f (snd p)) \in \{(x,y). x - y = i\} \}$ #}

by simp also have ... = sum' (λq . size {# $p \in #$?pairs. (?f (fst p), ?f (snd p))= q #}) {(x,y). x-y=i}

unfolding size-filter-mset-decompose' by simp

also have ... = sum' (λq . size {# $p \in #$?pairs. (?f (fst p), ?f (snd p)) =

(q+i,q) # UNIV by (intro arg-cong[where f=real] sum.reindex-bij-betw'[symmetric] bij-betwI[where g = snd) autoalso have $\dots =$ $sum'(\lambda q. length (filter (\lambda p. ?f (fst p) = q+i \land ?f (snd p) = q) (List.product)$ xs xs))) UNIV **by** (*simp flip: size-mset mset-filter conj-commute*) also have ... = $sum'(\lambda x. G(x+i) * G x)$ UNIV **by** (*subst filter-product*) (simp add: G-def build-grid-def of-nat-sum' case-prod-beta' prod-eq-iff) finally show ?thesis by (simp add:algebra-simps) qed have $b:f(?fp) - f(?fq) \in \{-s..s\}$ if $f = fst \lor f = snd$ dist pq < d for pqfproof have $|f(?fp) - f(?fq)| \leq \lceil dist p q/e \rceil$ using grid-dist[OF assms(2), where p=p and q=q] that(1) unfolding to-grid-def by auto also have $\dots \leq s$ unfolding s-def using that(2) assms(1,2)**by** (simp add: ceiling-mono divide-le-cancel) finally have $|f(?fp) - f(?fq)| \le s$ by simp thus ?thesis using s-ge-0 by auto qed have $c: ?f p - ?f q \in T$ if dist p q < d for p qunfolding T-def using b[OF - that] unfolding mem-Times-iff by simp have ?L = size (filter-mset ($\lambda(p,q)$). dist $p \ q < d$) ?pairs) **unfolding** close-point-size-def by (metis mset-filter size-mset) **also have** ... \leq size (filter-mset (λp . ?f (fst p) - ?f (snd p) \in T) ?pairs) using c by (intro size-mset-mono of-nat-mono multiset-filter-mono-2) auto also have ... = $(\sum i \in T. size (filter-mset (\lambda p. ?f (fst p) - ?f (snd p) = i)))$?pairs)) by (intro size-filter-mset-decompose arg-cong[where f=of-nat] θ) also have ... = $(\sum i \in T. sum' (\lambda x. G x * G (x+i)) UNIV)$ unfolding of-nat-sum by (intro sum.cong a refl) also have $\dots = ?R$ unfolding *T*-def by simp finally show ?thesis by simp qed **lemma** close-point-approx-lower: fixes xs :: point list fixes $G :: int \times int \Rightarrow real$ fixes d :: realassumes d > 0**defines** $G \equiv (\lambda x. real (length (filter (\lambda p. to-grid d p = x) xs)))$ shows sum' (λx . $G x \uparrow 2$) UNIV \leq close-point-size xs (d * sqrt 2)

 $(is ?L \leq ?R)$ proof let ?f = to-grid d let ?pairs = mset (List.product xs xs) have $?L = sum' (\lambda x. length (filter (\lambda p. ?f p = x) xs)^2) UNIV$ unfolding build-grid-def G-def by (simp add: of-nat-sum' prod-eq-iff case-prod-beta') also have ... = $sum'(\lambda x. length(List.product (filter(\lambda p. ?f p=x)xs) (filter(\lambda p. ?f$ p=x(xs)))UNIV**unfolding** *length-product* **by** (*simp add:power2-eq-square*) also have ... = sum' (λx . length (filter(λp . ?f(fst p)=x \land?f(snd p)=x)(List.product xs xs))) UNIV**by** (*subst filter-product*) *simp* also have ... = sum' (λx . size {# $p \in #$?pairs. ?f (fst p) = $x \land ?f$ (snd p) = x#) UNIV by (intro arg-cong2[where f=sum'] arg-cong[where f=real] refl ext) (metis (no-types, lifting) mset-filter size-mset) also have ... = sum' (λx . size {# $p \in \#$ {# $p \in \#$? pairs. ?f(fst p)=?f(snd p) #}. $f(fst \ p) = x \ \#$ UNIV unfolding *filter-filter-mset* by (intro sum.cong' arg-cong[where f=real] arg-cong[where f=size] filter-mset-cong) auto**also have** ... = size $\{\# \ p \in \# \ \# \ p \in \# \ ?pairs. ?f \ (fst \ p) = ?f \ (snd \ p) \ \#\}$. ?f $(fst \ p) \in UNIV \ \#\}$ by (intro arg-cong[where f=real] size-filter-mset-decompose'[symmetric]) also have ... \leq size {# $p \in #$?pairs. ?f (fst p) = ?f (snd p) #} by simp also have ... = size {# $p \in #$?pairs. $\forall k$. |fst p k/d | = |snd p k/d | #} unfolding to-grid-def prod.inject by (intro arg-cong[where f=size] arg-cong[where f=of-nat] filter-mset-cong refl) (metis (full-types) exhaust-2 one-neq-zero) also have $\dots \leq size \{ \# p \in \# ? pairs. dist (fst p) (snd p) < d * of-int (0+1) * \}$ sqrt 2 #by (intro of-nat-mono size-mset-mono multiset-filter-mono-2 grid-dist-upperI[OF] assms(1)]) simpalso have $\dots = ?R$ unfolding *close-point-size-def* by (simp add:case-prod-beta') (metis (no-types, lifting) mset-filter size-mset) finally show ?thesis by simp qed lemma build-grid-finite: assumes inj f**shows** finite $\{x. \text{ filter } (\lambda p. \text{ to-grid } d \ p = f \ x) \ xs \neq []\}$ proof – have 0:finite (to-grid d ' set xs) by (intro finite-imageI) auto have finite {x. filter (λp . to-grid d p = x) $xs \neq []$ } **unfolding** filter-empty-conv by (intro finite-subset[OF - 0]) blast

hence finite $(f - \{x, filter (\lambda p, to-grid d p = x) xs \neq []\})$ by (intro finite-vimageI assms)

thus ?thesis by (simp add:vimage-def) qed

Main result of this section:

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma growth-lemma:} \\ \textbf{fixes } xs :: point list \\ \textbf{assumes } a > 0 \ d > 0 \\ \textbf{shows } close-point-size \ xs \ (a * d) \leq (2 * sqrt \ 2 * a + 3)^2 * close-point-size \ xs \\ d \\ (\textbf{is } ?L \leq ?R) \\ \textbf{proof } - \\ \textbf{let } ?s = \lceil a * sqrt \ 2 \rceil \\ \textbf{let } ?G = (\lambda x. \ real \ (length \ (filter \ (\lambda p. \ to-grid \ (d/sqrt \ 2) \ p = x) \ xs))) \\ \textbf{let } ?I = \{-?s..?s\} \times \{-?s..?s\} \end{array}$

have $?s \ge 1$ using assms by auto hence s-ge-0: $?s \ge 0$ by simp

have a: ?s = [a * d / (d / sqrt 2)] using assms by simp

have $?L \leq (\sum i \in \{-?s...?s\} \times \{-?s...?s\}$. $sum'(\lambda x. ?G x * ?G (x+i)) UNIV)$ using assms unfolding a by (intro close-point-approx-upper) auto

also have ... $\leq (\sum i \in ?I. \ sqrt \ (sum' \ (\lambda x. ?G \ x^2) \ UNIV) * \ sqrt \ (sum' \ (\lambda x. ?G \ x^2) \ UNIV))$

by (intro sum-mono cauchy-schwarz') (auto intro: inj-translate build-grid-finite) also have ... = $(\sum i \in ?I. \ sqrt \ (sum' \ (\lambda x. \ ?G \ x^2) \ UNIV) * \ sqrt \ (sum' \ (\lambda x. \ ?G \ x^2) \ UNIV))$

by (*intro* arg-cong2[**where** $f=(\lambda x \ y. \ sqrt \ x * \ sqrt \ y)$] sum.cong refl sum.reindex-bij-betw' bij-plus-right)

also have ... = $(\sum i \in ?I. |sum'(\lambda x. ?G x^2) UNIV|)$ by simp

also have ... = $(2* ?s + 1)^2 * |sum'(\lambda x. ?G x^2) UNIV|$

using s-ge-0 by (auto simp: power2-eq-square)

also have ... = $(2* ?s + 1)^2 * sum' (\lambda x. ?G x^2)$ UNIV

by (intro arg-cong2[where f=(*)] refl abs-of-nonneg sum'-nonneg) auto

also have $\dots \leq (2*?s+1)^2 * real$ (close-point-size xs ((d/sqrt 2)* sqrt 2)) using assms by (intro mult-left-mono close-point-approx-lower) auto

also have ... = $(2 * of-int ?s+1)^2 * real (close-point-size xs d)$ by simp

also have ... $< (2 * (a * sqrt 2 + 1) + 1)^2 * real (close-point-size xs d)$

using *s*-*ge*-0 **by** (*intro mult-right-mono power-mono add-mono mult-left-mono*) *auto*

also have $\dots = ?R$ by (auto simp:algebra-simps)

finally show ?thesis by simp

qed

 \mathbf{end}

4 Speed

In this section, we verify that the running time of the algorithm is linear with respect to the length of the point sequence p_1, \ldots, p_n .

Proof: It is easy to see that the first phase and construction of the grid requires time proportional to n. It is also easy to see that the number of point-comparisons is a bound for the number of operations in the second phase. It is also possible to observe that the algorithm never compares a point pair if they are in non-adjacent cells, i.e., if their distance is at least $2d\sqrt{2}$.

This means we need to show that the expectation of $N(2d\sqrt{2})$ is proportional to *n* when *d* is chosen according to the algorithm in the first phase. Because of the observation from the last section, i.e., $N(2d\sqrt{2}) \leq 11^2 N(d)$, it is enough to verify that the expectation of N(d) is linear.

Let us consider all pair distances: $d_1 := d(p_1, p_2), d_2 := d(p_1, p_3), \ldots, d_m := d(p_{n-1}, p_n)$ where $m = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$.

Then we can find a permutation $\sigma : \{1, \ldots, m\} \to \{1, \ldots, m\}$, s.t., the distances are ordered, i.e., $d_{\sigma(i)} \leq d_{\sigma(j)}$ if $1 \leq i \leq j \leq m$.

The key observation is that $N(d_{\sigma}(i)) \leq i-1$, because N counts the number of point pairs which are closer than $d_{\sigma(i)}$, which can only be those corresponding to $d_{\sigma(1)}, d_{\sigma(2)}, \ldots, d_{\sigma(i-1)}$.

On the other hand the algorithm chooses the smallest of n random samples from d_1, \ldots, d_m . So the problem reduces to the computation of the expectation of the smallest element from n random samples from $1, \ldots, m$. The mean of this can be estimated to be $\frac{m+1}{n+1}$ which is in $\mathcal{O}(n)$.

theory Randomized-Closest-Pair-Time

imports

Randomized-Closest-Pair-Growth Approximate-Model-Counting.ApproxMCAnalysis Distributed-Distinct-Elements.Distributed-Distinct-Elements-Balls-and-Bins begin

lemma time-sample-distance: map-pmf time (sample-distance ps) = return-pmf 1 unfolding sample-distance-def time-bind-tpmf

by (*simp* add:*return-tpmf-def bind-return-pmf*) (*simp* add:*map-pmf-def*[*symmetric*] *time-lift-pmf*)

lemma time-first-phase:

assumes length ps > 2

shows map-pmf time (first-phase ps) = return-pmf (2*length ps) (is ?L = ?R) **proof** -

let ?m = replicate-tpmf (length ps) (sample-distance ps)

have *ps-ne*: $ps \neq []$ using *assms* by *auto*

have ?L = bind-pmf $?m(\lambda x. lift-tm(min-list-tm(val x)) \gg (\lambda y. return-pmf)$ $(time \ x + time \ y)))$ unfolding first-phase-def time-bind-tpmf by simp also have $\ldots = bind-pmf$?m ($\lambda x. return-pmf$ (time x + time (min-list-tm (val x))))**unfolding** *lift-tm-def bind-return-pmf* **by** *simp* also have $\ldots = bind-pmf ?m (\lambda x. return-pmf (time x + length (val x)))$ using ps-ne set-val-replicate-tpmf(1) by (intro bind-pmf-cong refl arg-cong[where f=return-pmf] arg-cong2[where f=(+)] time-min-list)fastforce also have $\ldots = bind-pmf ?m (\lambda x. return-pmf (time x + length ps))$ using set-val-replicate-tpmf(1)by (intro bind-pmf-cong refl arg-cong[where f=return-pmf] arg-cong2[where f=(+)]) auto also have $\ldots = map-pmf(\lambda x. x + length ps)(map-pmf time ?m)$ **unfolding** *map-pmf-def*[*symmetric*] *map-pmf-comp* **by** *simp* also have \ldots = return-pmf (2 * length ps) **unfolding** time-replicate-tpmf time-sample-distance by (simp add:sum-list-replicate) finally show ?thesis by simp qed

```
lemma time-build-grid: time (build-grid ps d) = length ps
unfolding build-grid-def by (simp add:time-custom-tick)
```

```
lemma time-lookup-neighborhood:
```

time (lookup-neighborhood (grid ps d) p) $\leq 39+3*(length(val(lookup-neighborhood (grid ps d) p)))$ (is ?L \leq ?R) proof – define s where s = [(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, -1), (1, 0), (1::int, 1::int)]define t where t = concat (map (λx . filter (λy . to-grid d y = x + to-grid d p) ps) s)

define u where $u = time (remove1-tm \ p \ t)$

have t-eq: length t+length $s=(\sum x \leftarrow s$. Suc (length (filter (λy . to-grid d y=x+to-grid d p) ps)))

unfolding t-def by (induction s) auto

have $a:u \leq 1 + length \ t$ unfolding u-def using time-remove1 by auto

have ?L = 5+5*length s + length t + (length t + length s) + uunfolding lookup-neighborhood-def s-def[symmetric] t-eq u-def by (simp add:time-map-tm comp-def grid-def sum-list-triv t-def) also have ... = 5+6*length s + 2*length t + u by simp also have ... $\leq 5+6*length s + 2*length t + (1+length t)$ using a by simp also have ... = 36 + 3*length t unfolding s-def by simp also have ... $\leq 36 + 3*(1+length (remove1 p t)))$ by (intro add-mono mult-left-mono) (auto simp:length-remove1) also have ... = 39 + 3*(length (val (lookup-neighborhood (grid ps d) p))))

unfolding *lookup-neighborhood-def s-def*[*symmetric*] *t-def* **by** (*simp add:val-remove1 comp-def grid-def*) finally show ?thesis by simp qed **lemma** time-calc-dists-neighborhood: time (calc-dists-neighborhood (grid ps d) p) \leq $40 + 5 * (length (val (lookup-neighborhood (grid ps d) p))) (is ?L \leq ?R)$ proof let $?g = grid \ ps \ d$ have ?L = 2* (length (val (lookup-neighborhood ?g p))) + 1 + time (lookup-neighborhood (g p)unfolding calc-dists-neighborhood-def by (simp add:time-map-tm sum-list-triv) also have $\ldots \leq 2*$ (length (val (lookup-neighborhood ?g p))) +1 + (39 + 3* (length (val (lookup-neighborhood ?q p))))by (intro add-mono mult-right-mono time-lookup-neighborhood) auto also have $\ldots = 40 + 5 * (length (val (lookup-neighborhood ?g p)))$ by simp finally show ?thesis by simp qed **lemma** *time-second-phase*: fixes ps :: point list assumes d > 0 min-dist $ps \leq d$ length $ps \geq 2$ shows time (second-phase d ps) $\leq 2 + 44 * \text{length } ps + 7 * \text{close-point-size } ps$ (2 * sqrt 2 * d)(**is** $?L \leq ?R)$ proof define s where s = concat (map (λx . val (calc-dists-neighborhood (val (build-grid ps d)) x)) ps) have len-s: length $s = (\sum x \leftarrow ps. length (val (lookup-neighborhood (grid ps d))))$ x)))unfolding s-def by (simp add:calc-dists-neighborhood-def build-grid-val length-concat comp-def) also have $\ldots = (\sum x \leftarrow ps. size (mset (val (lookup-neighborhood (grid ps d) x))))$ by simp also have $\ldots \leq$ $(\sum x \leftarrow ps. size(\{\#y \in \# mset ps. to-grid dy - to-grid dx \in \{(0,0), (0,1), (1,-1), (1,0), (1,1)\} \#\}))$ unfolding lookup-neighborhood by (intro sum-list-mono size-mset-mono) simp also have $\ldots \leq (\sum x \leftarrow ps. size(\{\#y \in \# mset ps. \forall k \in \{1,2\}, |\lfloor y k/d \rfloor - \lfloor x k/d \rfloor) \leq 1$ #})) unfolding to-grid-def by (intro sum-list-mono size-mset-mono multiset-filter-mono-2) autoalso have $\ldots \leq (\sum x \leftarrow ps. size(\{\#y \in \# mset ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * real-of-int (1 + ps. dist y x < d * re$ 1) * sqrt 2#))using exhaust-2 by (intro sum-list-mono size-mset-mono multiset-filter-mono-2 grid-dist-upperI[OF] assms(1)]) blast

also have ... = $(\sum x \leftarrow ps. length (filter (\lambda y. dist x y < 2 * sqrt 2 * d) ps))$ **by** (simp add:dist-commute ac-simps) (metis mset-filter size-mset)

also have $\ldots = close-point-size \ ps \ ((2* \ sqrt \ 2)*d)$

unfolding *close-point-size-def product-concat-map filter-concat length-concat* **by** (*simp add:comp-def*)

finally have len-s-bound: length $s \leq close-point-size \ ps \ (2* \ sqrt \ 2*d)$ by simp

obtain u v where $u \in set ps v \in set (val (lookup-neighborhood (grid ps d) u))$ using second-phase-aux[OF assms] that by metis

hence False if length s = 0

using that unfolding len-s sum-list-eq-0-iff by simp hence s-ne: $s \neq []$ by auto

have $?L = 2 + 4*length \ ps + (length \ s + time \ (min-list-tm \ s)) + (\sum i \leftarrow ps. \ time \ (calc-dists-neighborhood \ (val \ (build-grid \ ps \ d)) \ i))$

unfolding second-phase-def by (simp add:time-map-tm s-def[symmetric] time-build-grid)

also have $\ldots \leq 2 + 4 * length ps + (length s + time (min-list-tm s)) +$

 $(\sum i \leftarrow ps. \ 40+5* \ length \ (val \ (lookup-neighborhood \ (grid \ ps \ d) \ i)))$

unfolding *build-grid-val* **by** (*intro add-mono sum-list-mono time-calc-dists-neighborhood*) *auto*

also have ... = 2 + 44*length $ps + (length s + time (min-list-tm s)) + (<math>\sum i \leftarrow ps. 5*$ length (val (lookup-neighborhood (grid ps d) i)))

by (*simp add:sum-list-addf sum-list-triv*)

also have $\ldots = 2 + 44 * length \ ps + 7*(length \ s)$

unfolding time-min-list[OF s-ne] len-s by (simp add:sum-list-const-mult) also have $\ldots \leq 2 + 44*$ length ps + 7* close-point-size ps (2* sqrt 2*d)

by (*intro add-mono mult-left-mono len-s-bound*) *auto* **finally show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*

\mathbf{qed}

lemma mono-close-point-size: mono (close-point-size ps) **unfolding** close-point-size-def **by** (intro monoI length-filter-P-impl-Q) auto

lemma close-point-size-bound: close-point-size ps $x \leq \text{length } ps^2$ **unfolding** close-point-size-def power2-eq-square **using** length-filter-le length-product **by** metis

lemma map-product: map (map-prod f g) (List.product xs ys) = List.product (map f xs) (map g ys)

unfolding product-concat-map by (simp add:map-concat comp-def)

lemma *close-point-size-bound-2*:

close-point-size ps $d \leq length \ ps + 2 * card \ \{(u,v). \ dist \ (ps!u) \ (ps!v) < d \land u < v \land v < length \ ps \}$ (is $?L \leq ?R$) proof – let $?n = length \ ps$ let $?h = \lambda x. \ dist \ (ps ! \ fst \ x) \ (ps ! \ snd \ x) < d$ have $e : \ List.product \ ps \ ps = map \ (map-prod \ ((!)ps) \ ((!) \ ps)) \ (List.product$ [0..<?n] [0..<?n])

unfolding *map-product* **by** (*simp add:map-nth*)

```
have ?L = length (filter (\lambda x. dist (ps ! fst x) (ps ! snd x) < d) (List.product[0..<?n][0..<?n]))
       unfolding close-point-size-def e by (simp add:comp-def case-prod-beta')
   also have \ldots = card \{x. ?h x \land fst x < ?n \land snd x < ?n\}
    by (subst distinct-length-filter) (simp-all add: distinct-product Int-def mem-Times-iff)
    also have ... = card (\{x. ?h x \land fst x < ?n \land snd x < ?n \land fst x \neq snd x\} \cup \{x. ?h
x \wedge fst \ x = snd \ x \wedge snd \ x < n
       by (intro arg-cong[where f=card]) auto
    also have ... \leq card\{x. ?h x \land fst x < ?n \land snd x < ?n \land fst x \neq snd x\} + card\{x. ?h
x \wedge fst \ x = snd \ x \wedge snd \ x < n
       by (intro card-Un-le)
    also have \ldots \leq card\{x. ?h x \land fst x < ?n \land snd x < ?n \land fst x \neq snd x\} + card((\lambda x.
(x,x)) '{k. k<?n})
       by (intro add-mono order.refl card-mono finite-imageI) auto
    also have \ldots \leq card\{x. ?h x \land fst x < ?n \land snd x < ?n \land fst x \neq snd x\} + ?n
       by (subst card-image) (auto intro:inj-onI)
    also have \ldots = card (\{x. ?h x \land fst x < snd x \land snd x < ?n\} \cup \{x. ?h x \land snd x < fst x < snd x < snd x < fst x < snd x < fst x < snd x < snd x < fst x < snd 
x \wedge fst \ x < ?n})+?n
       by (intro arg-cong2[where f=(+)] arg-cong[where f=card]) auto
    also have \ldots \leq (card \{x. ?h x \land fst x < snd x \land snd x < ?n\} + card \{x. ?h x \land snd x < ?n\}
x < fst x \land fst x < ?n})+?n
       by (intro add-mono card-Un-le order.refl)
   also have
          \dots = (card\{x. ?h x \land fst x < snd x \land snd x < ?n\} + card (prod.swap'\{x. ?h x \land snd x < ?n\})
x < fst x \land fst x < ?n}))+?n
      by (subst card-image) auto
    also have \ldots = (card\{x. ?h x \land fst x < snd x \land snd x < ?n\} + card (\{x. ?h x \land fst x < snd x < snd x < ?n\})
x < snd x \land snd x < ?n}))+?n
    by (intro arg-cong2[where f=(+)] arg-cong[where f=card]) (auto simp: dist-commute)
   also have \ldots = ?R by (simp add:case-prod-beta')
   finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma card-card-estimate:
   fixes f :: 'a \Rightarrow ('b :: linorder)
   assumes finite S
    shows card \{x \in S. a \leq card \{y \in S. f y < f x\}\} \leq card S - a (is ?L \leq ?R)
proof -
    define T where T = \{x \in S. \text{ card } \{y \in S. f \ y < f \ x\} < a\}
   have T-range: T \subseteq S unfolding T-def by auto
   hence fin-T: finite T using assms finite-subset by auto
   have d:a \leq card \ T \lor T = S
    proof (rule ccontr)
```

define x where x = arg-min-on f (S-T)

assume $a: \neg (a \leq card \ T \lor T = S)$ hence $c:S - T \neq \{\}$ using *T*-range by auto hence $b:x \in S - T$ using assms unfolding x-def by (intro arg-min-if-finite) autohave False if $y \in S - T f y < f x$ for y using arg-min-if-finite[OF - c] that assms unfolding x-def by auto hence card $\{y \in S, f y < f x\} \leq card T$ by (intro card-mono fin-T) auto also have $\ldots < a$ using a by simpfinally have card $\{y \in S, f y < f x\} < a$ by simp thus False using b unfolding T-def by simp qed have ?L = card (S - T) unfolding T-def by (intro arg-cong[where f=card]) autoalso have $\ldots = card S - card T$ using fin-T T-range by (intro card-Diff-subset) auto also have $\ldots \leq card S - a$ using d by auto finally show ?thesis by simp qed **lemma** *finite-map-pmf*: assumes finite (set-pmf S) **shows** finite (set-pmf (map-pmf f S)) using assms by simp **lemma** *finite-replicate-pmf*: assumes finite (set-pmf S) **shows** finite (set-pmf (replicate-pmf n S)) using assms unfolding set-replicate-pmf lists-eq-set **by** (*simp add:finite-lists-length-eq*) **lemma** power-sum-approx: $(\sum k < m. (real k) \hat{n}) \leq m \hat{(n+1)}/real (n+1)$ **proof** (*induction* m) case 0 thus ?case by simp next case (Suc m) have $(\sum k < Suc \ m. \ real \ k \ \hat{n}) = (\sum k < m. \ real \ k \ \hat{n}) + real \ m \ \hat{n}$ by simp also have $\dots \leq real \ m(n+1) \ / \ real(n+1) + real \ mn \ by$ (intro add-mono Suc order.refl) also have ... = $(real \ m^{(n+1)}+(real \ (m+1)-m)*real \ (n+1)*real \ m^{(n+1)-1}))$ / real (n+1)**by** (*simp add:field-simps*) also have $\ldots \leq (real \ m^{(n+1)}+(real \ (m+1)^{(n+1)}-real \ m^{(n+1)})) \ / \ real$ (n+1)by (intro divide-right-mono add-mono order.refl power-diff-est-2) simp-all also have \ldots = real (Suc m) (n + 1) / real (n + 1) by simp finally show ?case by simp qed

lemma *exp-close-point-size*: assumes length $ps \geq 2$ **shows** $(\int d. real (close-point-size ps d) \partial(map-pmf val (first-phase ps))) \leq 2*$ real (length ps) (is ?L < ?R)proof let ?n = length psdefine T where $T = \{i. fst \ i < snd \ i \land snd \ i < ?n\}$ let $?I = {..<?n}$ let ?dpmf = map-pmf ($\lambda i. dist (ps!fst i) (ps!snd i)$) (pmf-of-set T) let $?q = prod-pmf \{..<?n\} (\lambda-.?dpmf)$ let $?h = \lambda x$. dist (ps ! fst x) (ps ! snd x) let $?cps = \lambda d$. card $\{(u,v). dist (ps!u) (ps!v) < d \land u < v \land v < length ps\}$ let ?m = ?n * (?n - 1) div 2have card-T: card T = ?mproof have $2 * card T = 2 * card \{(x,y) \in \{..<?n\} \times \{..<?n\}$. $x < y\}$ unfolding *T*-def by (intro arg-cong[where f=card] arg-cong2[where f=(*)]) auto also have $\ldots = card \{\ldots < ?n\} * (card \{\ldots < ?n\} - 1)$ by (intro card-ordered-pairs) simpalso have $\ldots = ?n * (?n-1)$ by simp finally have 2 * card T = ?n * (?n-1) by simp thus ?thesis by simp qed have $2 * 1 \leq ?n * (?n-1)$ using assms by (intro mult-mono) auto hence card T > 0 unfolding card-T using assms by (intro div-2-qt-zero) simp hence T-fin-ne: finite $T T \neq \{\}$ by (auto simp: card-ge-0-finite) have x-neI: $x \neq []$ if $x \in set$ -pmf (replicate-pmf ?n ?dpmf) for x using that assms by (auto simp:set-replicate-pmf) have a:map-pmf val (first-phase ps) = map-pmf min-list (replicate-pmf ?n ?dpmf) unfolding first-phase-def val-tpmf-simps val-replicate-tpmf val-sample-distance T-def[symmetric] map-pmf-def[symmetric] by (intro map-pmf-cong val-min-list x-neI) auto

hence b: {x. t < ?cps x} = {} if $t \notin {...<?m}$ for t proof – have ?cps $x \le card T$ for x using T-fin-ne(1) unfolding T-def by (intro card-mono) auto moreover have card $T \le t$ using that unfolding card-T by (simp add:not-less) ultimately have ?cps $x \le t$ for x using order.trans by auto thus ?thesis using not-less by auto qed

have d: $\{y. \ t < ?cps \ (min-list \ (map \ y \ [0..<?n]))\} = \{..<?n\} \rightarrow \{y. \ t < ?cps \ y\}$

(is ?L2 = ?R2) for t **proof** (*rule Set.set-eqI*) fix xhave $x \in ?L2 \longleftrightarrow (t < ?cps (min-list (map x [0..<?n])))$ by simp also have $\ldots \longleftrightarrow (t < ?cps (Min (x ` \{0 .. < ?n\})))$ using assms by (subst min-list-Min) auto also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow (t < Min \ (?cps \ `x \ `\{0 \dots <?n\}))$ using assmed by (intro arg-cong2[where f=(<)] mono-Min-commute refl finite-imageI monoI $card-mono\ finite-subset[OF - T-fin-ne(1)])\ (auto\ simp: T-def)$ also have $\ldots \longleftrightarrow (\forall i \in \{0 \ldots < ?n\}, t < ?cps(x i))$ using assms by (subst Min-gr-iff) auto also have $\ldots \leftrightarrow x \in ?R2$ by *auto* finally show $x \in ?L2 \leftrightarrow x \in ?R2$ by simp qed have c: measure (replicate-pmf?n?dpmf) {x. t < ?cps(min-list x)} < (real (?m-(t+1))/real ?m)^?n (is $?L1 \leq ?R1$) for t proof – have $?L1 = measure(replicate-pmf(length [0..<?n]) ?dpmf) \{x. t < ?cps$ (min-list x)by simp also have $\ldots = measure (map-pmf (\lambda f. map f [0...<?n]) (prod-pmf(set[0...<?n])(\lambda-.?dpmf)))$ $\{x. \ t < ?cps(min-list \ x)\}$ by (intro arg-cong2[where $f = \lambda x$. measure (measure-pmf x)] replicate-pmf-Pi-pmf) autoalso have \ldots = measure $?q \{y. t < ?cps (min-list (map y [0..<?n]))\}$ **by** (*simp* add:atLeast0LessThan) also have ... = measure (prod-pmf {..<?n} (λ -. ?dpmf)) ({..<?n} \rightarrow {y. t < (cps y)unfolding d by simp also have \ldots = measure ?dpmf {y. t < ?cps y} ??n **by** (subst measure-Pi-pmf-Pi) simp-all also have ... = measure $?dpmf \{y. t+1 \leq ?cps y\}$??n by (intro measure-pmf-cong arg-cong2[where $f=(\lambda x y, x^{y})$] refl) auto also have $\ldots \leq measure (pmf-of-set T) \{y. t+1 \leq card \{x \in T. ?h x < ?h$ y} $^?n$ **unfolding** *T*-def **by** (auto simp:case-prod-beta' conj-commute) also have $\ldots = (real (card \{y \in T. t+1 \leq card \{x \in T. ?h x < ?h y\}\})/real$ $(card T))^{?}n$ unfolding measure-pmf-of-set[OF T-fin-ne(2,1)] Int-def by simp also have $\ldots \leq (real (card T - (t+1))/real (card T))^?n$ by (intro power-mono divide-right-mono of-nat-mono card-card-estimate T-fin-ne) auto also have ... = $(real (?m - (t+1))/real ?m)^?n$ unfolding card-T by auto finally show ?thesis by simp qed

have ennreal $L = (\int ds. real (close-point-size ps (min-list ds)) \partial replicate-pmf (n 2dpmf)$

unfolding a by simp

also have $\ldots \leq (\int ds. real (?n + 2*?cps (min-list ds)) \partial replicate-pmf ?n ?dpmf)$ using *T*-fin-ne

by (*intro integral-mono-AE ennreal-leI AE-pmfI close-point-size-bound-2 of-nat-mono integrable-measure-pmf-finite finite-replicate-pmf) auto*

also have ... = ennreal $?n + 2*ennreal(\int ds. real(?cps(min-list ds))) \partial replicate-pmf ?n ?dpmf)$

by (simp add:ennreal-mult' integrable-measure-pmf-finite finite-replicate-pmf T-fin-ne)

also have ... = ennreal ?n + 2* \int^+ x. ennreal (real (?cps (min-list x))) ∂ replicate-pmf ?n ?dpmf

by (intro arg-cong2[where f=(+)] arg-cong2[where f=(*)] finite-replicate-pmf

nn-integral-eq-integral[symmetric] integrable-measure-pmf-finite) (auto simp: T-fin-ne) also have ... = ennreal ? $n + 2* \int^+ x$. ennreal-of-enat (?cps (min-list x)) ∂ replicate-pmf ?n ?dpmf

by (intro nn-integral-cong arg-cong2[where f=(+)] arg-cong2[where f=(*)] refl)

(simp add: ennreal-of-nat-eq-real-of-nat)

also have ... = ennreal ?n +2*($\sum t$. emeasure (replicate-pmf ?n ?dpmf) {x. t < ?cps (min-list x)})

by (subst nn-integral-enat-function) simp-all

also have ... = ennreal $?n+2*(\sum t < ?m. emeasure(replicate-pmf ?n ?dpmf)\{x. t < ?cps (min-list x)\})$

using b by (intro arg-cong2[where f=(+)] arg-cong2[where f=(*)] sum-inf-finite) auto

also have ... = ennreal $?n+2*ennreal(\sum t < ?m. measure(replicate-pmf ?n ?dpmf){x. t < ?cps(min-list x)})$

unfolding measure-pmf.emeasure-eq-measure by simp

also have $\ldots \leq ennreal ?n+2*ennreal (\sum t < ?m. (real (?m - (t+1))/real ?m)^?n)$

by (intro add-mono order.refl iffD2[OF ennreal-mult-le-mult-iff] ennreal-leI sum-mono c) auto

also have $\ldots = ennreal ?n + ennreal (2*(\sum t < ?m. (real (?m - (t+1))^?n/real ?m^?n)))$

using ennreal-mult' by (auto simp:algebra-simps power-divide)

also have $\ldots = ennreal (real ?n + (2*(\sum t < ?m. (real (?m - (t+1))^?n/real ?m^?n))))$

by (intro ennreal-plus[symmetric] mult-nonneg-nonneg sum-nonneg) simp-all also have $\ldots = ennreal (real ?n + (2*(\sum t < ?m. (real (?m - (t+1))^?n))/real ?m^?n))$

by (*simp add:sum-divide-distrib*[*symmetric*])

also have $\ldots = ennreal (real ?n + (2*(\sum t < ?m. (real t^?n))/real ?m^?n))$

by (intro arg-cong[where f=ennreal] arg-cong2[where f=(+)] arg-cong2[where f=(*)]

arg-cong2[where f=(/)] refl sum.reindex-bij-betw bij-betwI[where $g=\lambda x$. ?m - (x+1)])

autoalso have $\ldots \leq ennreal (real ?n + (2 * (real ?m^(?n+1)/real (?n+1)))/real$ $(m^?n)$ by (intro ennreal-leI add-mono divide-right-mono mult-left-mono power-sum-approx) auto also have $\ldots = ennreal (real ?n + (2 * (real ?m^? ?n+1)/real ?m^?)/real (?n)$ +1)))by simp also have $\ldots = ennreal (real ?n + ((2 * ?m) / real (?n+1)))$ by (simp add:field-simps) also have $\ldots = ennreal (real ?n + (?n*(?n-1)/real (?n+1)))$ by (metis even-mult-iff even-numeral even-two-times-div-two odd-two-times-div-two-nat) also have $\ldots = ennreal ((real ?n*(real ?n+1) + real ?n * (real ?n-real 1)) /$ *real* (?n+1)) using assms by (subst of-nat-diff[symmetric]) (auto simp:field-simps) also have $\ldots = ennreal (2*real ?n * real ?n / real (?n+1))$ using assms by (simp add:field-simps) also have $\ldots \leq ennreal (2*real ?n * real ?n / real ?n)$ using assms by (intro ennreal-leI mult-right-mono divide-left-mono mult-pos-pos) autoalso have $\ldots = ennreal (2*real ?n)$ by simp finally have ennreal $?L \leq ennreal (2*real ?n)$ by simp thus $?L \leq 2*real ?n$ by simp qed **definition** *time-closest-pair* :: *real* \Rightarrow *real* where time-closest-pair n = 2 + 1740 * nMain results of this section: theorem time-closest-pair: assumes length $ps \geq 2$ shows $(\int x. real (time x) \partial closest-pair ps) \leq time-closest-pair (length ps) (is ?L)$ $\leq ?R$) proof let ?n = length pslet ?cps = close-point-size pslet ?p = map-pmf val (first-phase ps)have $(0,1) \in \{i. fst \ i < snd \ i \land snd \ i < length \ ps\}$ using assms by auto **hence** a: finite $\{i. fst \ i < snd \ i \land snd \ i < length \ ps\}$ $\{i. fst \ i < snd \ i \land snd \ i < length$ $ps\} \neq \{\}$

using fin-nat-pairs [where n=length ps] by (auto simp:case-prod-beta')

have finite (set-pmf (map-pmf val (sample-distance ps)))
unfolding sample-distance-def val-tpmf-simps map-pmf-def[symmetric] using
a

by (intro finite-map-pmf) auto

hence int[simp]: integrable (measure-pmf (map-pmf val (first-phase ps))) f for f :: real \Rightarrow real

unfolding first-phase-def val-tpmf-simps val-replicate-tpmf **unfolding** map-pmf-def[symmetric] **by** (metis integrable-measure-pmf-finite finite-replicate-pmf finite-map-pmf)

have map-pmf time (closest-pair ps) = first-phase $ps \gg$

 $(\lambda x. return-pmf (if val x = 0 then (tick 0) else second-phase (val x) ps) \gg (\lambda y. return-pmf (time x + time y)))$

using time-first-phase[OF assms]

unfolding closest-pair-def time-bind-tpmf lift-tm-def if-distrib if-distribR by simp

also have ... = map-pmf (λx . time x + (if val x = 0 then 1 else time (second-phase (val x) ps)))

(first-phase ps)

unfolding *bind-return-pmf map-pmf-def* **by** (*simp cong:if-cong*)

also have ... = map-pmf (λx . 2*length ps +

(if val x = 0 then 1 else time (second-phase (val x) ps))) (first-phase ps) using time-first-phase[OF assms] unfolding map-pmf-eq-return-pmf-iff

by (intro map-pmf-cong refl arg-cong2[where f=(+)]) simp

also have ... = map-pmf (λx . 2*length ps + (if x=0 then 1 else time (second-phase x ps))) ?p

unfolding map-pmf-comp by simp

finally have a:map-pmf time (closest-pair ps) =

map-pmf (λx . 2*length ps + (if x=0 then 1 else time (second-phase x ps))) ?p by simp

have $(\int x. real (time x) \partial closest-pair ps) = (\int x. real x \partial map-pmf time (closest-pair ps))$

by simp

also have ... = $(\int d. 2 * real ?n + (if d=0 then 1 else time (second-phase d ps)) \partial ?p)$

unfolding a by simp

also have ... $\leq (\int d. \ 2 * real \ ?n + (if \ d \leq 0 \ then \ 1 \ else \ 2 + 44 * ?n + 7*?cps \ ((2* sqrt \ 2)*d)) \ \partial ?p)$

using first-phase[OF assms] min-dist-nonneg[OF assms] order.trans **unfolding** AE-measure-pmf-iff

by (intro integral-mono-AE int AE-pmfI of-nat-mono mono-intros

 $time\text{-}second\text{-}phase[OF - - assms(1)] \ refl \ dual\text{-}order\text{-}not\text{-}eq\text{-}order\text{-}implies\text{-}strict) \\ auto$

also have $\ldots = (\int d. \ 2*real \ ?n+(if \ d \le 0 \ then \ 1 \ else \ 2+44*real \ ?n+7*real(?cps ((2* \ sqrt \ 2)*d))) \ \partial ?p)$

by (intro integral-cong-AE) simp-all

also have $\ldots \leq (\int d. \ 2 * real \ ?n +$

(if $d \le 0$ then 1 else $2+44*real ?n+7*((2* sqrt 2 * (2* sqrt 2)+3)^2 * real (?cps d))) \partial ?p)$

using growth-lemma[where a=2* sqrt 2]

by (intro integral-mono-AE int AE-pmfI mono-intros mult-right-mono) auto also have $\dots \leq$

 $(\int d. \ 2 * real \ ?n + (2+44*real \ ?n+7*((2* \ sqrt \ 2 * (2* \ sqrt \ 2)+3)^2 * real (?cps \ d))) \ \partial ?p)$

by (intro integral-mono-AE int AE-pmfI mono-intros mult-right-mono) simp

also have ... = $(\int d. (2+46*real ?n)+847*real (?cps d) \partial ?p)$ by (simp add:algebra-simps)also have ... = $(\int d. 2+46*real ?n \partial ?p)+(\int d. 847*real (?cps d) \partial ?p)$ by (intro Bochner-Integration.integral-add int)also have ... = $(2+46*real ?n)+847*(\int d. real (?cps d) \partial ?p)$ by (intro arg-cong2[where f=(+)]) simp-allalso have ... $\leq (2+46*real ?n)+847*(2*real ?n)$ by (intro mono-intros mult-left-mono exp-close-point-size assms) simpalso have ... = 2+1740*real ?n by simpfinally show ?thesis unfolding time-closest-pair-def by simpqed theorem asymptotic-time-closest-pair:

time-closest-pair $\in O(\lambda x. x)$ unfolding time-closest-pair-def by simp

end

References

- B. Banyassady and W. Mulzer. A simple analysis of rabins algorithm for finding closest pairs. In European Workshop on Computational Geometry (EuroCG), 2007.
- [2] M. Dietzfelbinger, T. Hagerup, J. Katajainen, and M. Penttonen. A reliable randomized algorithm for the closest-pair problem. *Journal of Algorithms*, 25(1):19–51, 1997.
- [3] S. Fortune and J. Hopcroft. A note on rabin's nearest-neighbor algorithm. *Information Processing Letters*, 8(1):20–23, 1979.
- [4] S. Khuller and Y. Matias. A simple randomized sieve algorithm for the closest-pair problem. *Information and Computation*, 118(1):34–37, 1995.
- [5] R. Lipton. Rabin flips a coin. https://rjlipton.com/2009/03/01/ rabin-flips-a-coin/, 2009. Accessed: 2024-08-31.
- [6] M. O. Rabin. Probabilistic algorithms. In Algorithms and Complexity: New Directions and Recent Results, pages 21–39, USA, 1976. Academic Press, Inc.
- [7] M. Rau and T. Nipkow. Closest pair of points algorithms. Archive of Formal Proofs, January 2020. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Closest_ Pair_Points.html, Formal proof development.
- [8] M. Rau and T. Nipkow. Verification of closest pair of points algorithms. In N. Peltier and V. Sofronie-Stokkermans, editors, *Automated Reason*ing, pages 341–357, Cham, 2020. Springer International Publishing.