

An implementation of ROBDDs for Isabelle/HOL

Julius Michaelis and Maximilian Haslbeck and Peter Lammich and Lars Hupel

March 17, 2025

Abstract

We present a verified and executable implementation of ROBDDs in Isabelle/HOL. Our implementation relates pointer-based computation in the Heap monad to operations on an abstract definition of boolean functions. Internally, we implemented the if-then-else combinator in a recursive fashion, following the Shannon decomposition of the argument functions. The implementation mixes and adapts known techniques and is built with efficiency in mind.

Contents

1	Preface	2
2	Boolean functions	2
2.1	Shannon decomposition	3
3	Binary Decision Trees	3
4	Option Helpers	13
5	Abstract ITE Implementation	14
6	Pointermap	20
7	Functional interpretation for the abstract implementation	23
8	Array List	26
9	Imparative implementation for Pointermap	28
10	Imparative implementation	29
10.1	A standard library of functions	35
10.2	Printing	35
11	Collapsing the levels	37

1 Preface

This work is not the first to deal with BDDs in Isabelle/HOL. Ortner and Schirmer have formalized BDDs in [4] and proved the correctness of an algorithm that transforms arbitrary BDDs to ROBDDs. However, their specification does not provide efficiently executable algorithms on BDDs. Giorgino and Strecker have presented efficiently executable algorithms for ROBDDs [2] by reducing their arguments to manipulating edges of graphs. However, they have, to the best of our knowledge, not made their theory files available. Thus, no library for efficient computation on (RO)BDDs in Isabelle/HOL existed. Our work is a response to that situation.

The theoretic background of the implementation is mostly based on [1].

2 Boolean functions

```
theory Bool-Func
imports Main
begin
```

The end result of our implementation is verified against these functions:

```
type-synonym 'a boolfunc = ('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
```

if-then-else on boolean functions.

```
definition bf-ite i t e  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda l$ . if i l then t l else e l)
```

if-then-else is interesting because we can, together with constant true and false, represent all binary boolean functions using maximally two applications of it.

```
abbreviation bf-True  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda l$ . True)
```

```
abbreviation bf-False  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda l$ . False)
```

A quick demonstration:

```
definition bf-and a b  $\equiv$  bf-ite a b bf-False
```

```
lemma (bf-and a b) as  $\longleftrightarrow$  a as  $\wedge$  b as <proof>
```

```
definition bf-not b  $\equiv$  bf-ite b bf-False bf-True
```

```
lemma bf-not-alt: bf-not a as  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\neg$ a as <proof>
```

For convenience, we want a few functions more:

```
definition bf-or a b  $\equiv$  bf-ite a bf-True b
```

```
definition bf-lit v  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda l$ . l v)
```

```
definition bf-if v t e  $\equiv$  bf-ite (bf-lit v) t e
```

```
lemma bf-if-alt: bf-if v t e = ( $\lambda l$ . if l v then t l else e l) <proof>
```

definition $bf_nand\ a\ b = bf_not\ (bf_and\ a\ b)$
definition $bf_nor\ a\ b = bf_not\ (bf_or\ a\ b)$
definition $bf_biimp\ a\ b = (bf_ite\ a\ b\ (bf_not\ b))$
lemma $bf_biimp_alt: bf_biimp\ a\ b = (\lambda l. a\ l \longleftrightarrow b\ l)$ *<proof>*
definition $bf_xor\ a\ b = bf_not\ (bf_biimp\ a\ b)$
lemma $bf_xor_alt: bf_xor\ a\ b = (bf_ite\ a\ (bf_not\ b)\ b)$
<proof>

All of these are implemented and had their implementation verified.

definition $bf_imp\ a\ b = bf_ite\ a\ b\ bf_True$
lemma $bf_imp_alt: bf_imp\ a\ b = bf_or\ (bf_not\ a)\ b$ *<proof>*

lemma $[dest!,elim!]: bf_False = bf_True \implies False\ bf_True = bf_False \implies False$
<proof>

lemmas $[simp] = bf_and_def\ bf_or_def\ bf_nand_def\ bf_biimp_def\ bf_xor_alt\ bf_nor_def\ bf_not_def$

2.1 Shannon decomposition

A restriction of a boolean function on a variable is creating the boolean function that evaluates as if that variable was set to a fixed value:

definition $bf_restrict\ (i::'a)\ (val::bool)\ (f::'a\ boolfunc) \equiv (\lambda v. f\ (v(i:=val)))$

Restrictions are useful, because they remove variables from the set of significant variables:

definition $bf_vars\ bf = \{v. \exists as. bf_restrict\ v\ True\ bf\ as \neq bf_restrict\ v\ False\ bf\ as\}$
lemma $var \notin bf_vars\ (bf_restrict\ var\ val\ ex)$
<proof>

We can decompose calculating if-then-else into computing if-then-else of two triples of functions with one variable restricted to true / false. Given that the functions have finite arity, we can use this to construct a recursive definition.

lemma $brace90shannon: bf_ite\ F\ G\ H\ ass =$
 $bf_ite\ (\lambda l. l\ i)$
 $(bf_ite\ (bf_restrict\ i\ True\ F)\ (bf_restrict\ i\ True\ G)\ (bf_restrict\ i\ True\ H))$
 $(bf_ite\ (bf_restrict\ i\ False\ F)\ (bf_restrict\ i\ False\ G)\ (bf_restrict\ i\ False\ H))$
 ass
<proof>

end

3 Binary Decision Trees

theory BDT
imports $Bool_Func$

begin

We first define all operations and properties on binary decision trees. This has the advantage that we can use a simple, structurally defined type and the disadvantage that we cannot represent sharing.

datatype $'a$ ifex = Trueif | Falseif | IF 'a 'a ifex 'a ifex

The type is the same as in Boolean Expression Checkers by Nipkow [3]. Internally, Boolean Expression Checkers transforms the boolean expressions to reduced BDTs of this type. Tests like being tautology testing are then trivial.

fun val-ifex :: $'a$ ifex \Rightarrow ($'a \Rightarrow$ bool) \Rightarrow bool **where**
val-ifex Trueif s = True |
val-ifex Falseif s = False |
val-ifex (IF n t1 t2) s = (if s n then val-ifex t1 s else val-ifex t2 s)

fun ifex-vars :: ($'a ::$ linorder) ifex \Rightarrow 'a list **where**
ifex-vars (IF v t e) = v # ifex-vars t @ ifex-vars e |
ifex-vars Trueif = [] |
ifex-vars Falseif = []

abbreviation ifex-var-set a \equiv set (ifex-vars a)

fun ifex-ordered :: ($'a ::$ linorder) ifex \Rightarrow bool **where**
ifex-ordered (IF v t e) = ((\forall tv \in (ifex-var-set t \cup ifex-var-set e). v < tv)
 \wedge ifex-ordered t \wedge ifex-ordered e) |
ifex-ordered Trueif = True |
ifex-ordered Falseif = True

fun ifex-minimal :: ($'a ::$ linorder) ifex \Rightarrow bool **where**
ifex-minimal (IF v t e) \iff t \neq e \wedge ifex-minimal t \wedge ifex-minimal e |
ifex-minimal Trueif = True |
ifex-minimal Falseif = True

abbreviation ro-ifex **where** ro-ifex t \equiv ifex-ordered t \wedge ifex-minimal t

definition bf-ifex-rel **where**
bf-ifex-rel = {(a,b). (\forall ass. a ass \iff val-ifex b ass) \wedge ro-ifex b}

lemma ifex-var-noinfluence: $x \notin$ ifex-var-set b \implies val-ifex b (ass(x:=val)) =
val-ifex b ass
<proof>

lemma roifex-var-not-in-subtree:
assumes ro-ifex b **and** b = IF v t e
shows v \notin ifex-var-set t **and** v \notin ifex-var-set e
<proof>

lemma *roifex-set-var-subtree*:

assumes *ro-ifex b* **and** $b = IF\ v\ t\ e$

shows $val\text{-ifex}\ b\ (ass(v:=True)) = val\text{-ifex}\ t\ ass$

$val\text{-ifex}\ b\ (ass(v:=False)) = val\text{-ifex}\ e\ ass$

<proof>

lemma *roifex-Trueif-unique*: $ro\text{-ifex}\ b \implies \forall\ ass.\ val\text{-ifex}\ b\ ass \implies b = Trueif$

<proof>

lemma *roifex-Falseif-unique*: $ro\text{-ifex}\ b \implies \forall\ ass.\ \neg\ val\text{-ifex}\ b\ ass \implies b = Falseif$

<proof>

lemma $(f, b) \in bf\text{-ifex}\text{-rel} \implies b = Trueif \longleftrightarrow f = (\lambda\cdot.\ True)$

<proof>

lemma $(f, b) \in bf\text{-ifex}\text{-rel} \implies b = Falseif \longleftrightarrow f = (\lambda\cdot.\ False)$

<proof>

lemma *ifex-ordered-not-part*: $ifex\text{-ordered}\ b \implies b = IF\ v\ b1\ b2 \implies w < v \implies w \notin ifex\text{-var}\text{-set}\ b$

<proof>

lemma *ro-ifex-unique*: $ro\text{-ifex}\ x \implies ro\text{-ifex}\ y \implies (\bigwedge\ ass.\ val\text{-ifex}\ x\ ass = val\text{-ifex}\ y\ ass) \implies x = y$

<proof>

theorem *bf-ifex-rel-single*: *single-valued* *bf-ifex-rel* *single-valued* $(bf\text{-ifex}\text{-rel}^{-1})$

<proof>

lemma *bf-ifex-eq*: $(af, at) \in bf\text{-ifex}\text{-rel} \implies (bf, bt) \in bf\text{-ifex}\text{-rel} \implies (af = bf) \longleftrightarrow (at = bt)$

<proof>

lemma *nonempty-if-var-set*: $ifex\text{-vars}\ (IF\ v\ t\ e) \neq []$ *<proof>*

fun *restrict where*

$restrict\ (IF\ v\ t\ e)\ var\ val = (let\ rt = restrict\ t\ var\ val;\ re = restrict\ e\ var\ val\ in$

$(if\ v = var\ then\ (if\ val\ then\ rt\ else\ re)\ else\ (IF\ v\ rt\ re)))\ |$

$restrict\ i\ -\ - = i$

declare *Let-def*[*simp*]

lemma *not-element-restrict*: $var \notin ifex\text{-var}\text{-set}\ (restrict\ b\ var\ val)$

<proof>

lemma *restrict-assignment*: $val\text{-ifex}\ b\ (ass(var := val)) \longleftrightarrow val\text{-ifex}\ (restrict\ b\ var\ val)\ ass$

<proof>

lemma *restrict-variables-subset*: $\text{ifex-var-set } (\text{restrict } b \text{ var } val) \subseteq \text{ifex-var-set } b$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *restrict-ifex-ordered-invar*: $\text{ifex-ordered } b \implies \text{ifex-ordered } (\text{restrict } b \text{ var } val)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *restrict-val-invar*: $\forall \text{ ass. } a \text{ ass} = \text{val-ifex } b \text{ ass} \implies$
 $(\text{bf-restrict var } val \ a) \text{ ass} = \text{val-ifex } (\text{restrict } b \text{ var } val) \text{ ass}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *restrict-untouched-id*: $x \notin \text{ifex-var-set } t \implies \text{restrict } t \ x \ val = t$
 ⟨proof⟩

fun *ifex-top-var* :: $'a \text{ ifex} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ option}$ **where**
 $\text{ifex-top-var } (\text{IF } v \ t \ e) = \text{Some } v \mid$
 $\text{ifex-top-var } - = \text{None}$

fun *restrict-top* :: $('a :: \text{linorder}) \text{ ifex} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ ifex}$ **where**
 $\text{restrict-top } (\text{IF } v \ t \ e) \ \text{var } val = (\text{if } v = \text{var} \ \text{then } (\text{if } val \ \text{then } t \ \text{else } e) \ \text{else } (\text{IF } v \ t \ e)) \mid$
 $\text{restrict-top } i \ - \ - = i$

lemma *restrict-top-id*: $\text{ifex-ordered } e \implies \text{ifex-top-var } e = \text{Some } v \implies v' < v \implies$
 $\text{restrict-top } e \ v' \ val = e$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *restrict-id*: $\text{ifex-ordered } e \implies \text{ifex-top-var } e = \text{Some } v \implies v' < v \implies$
 $\text{restrict } e \ v' \ val = e$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *restrict-top-IF-id*: $\text{ifex-ordered } (\text{IF } v \ t \ e) \implies v' < v \implies \text{restrict-top } (\text{IF } v \ t \ e)$
 $v' \ val = (\text{IF } v \ t \ e)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *restrict-IF-id*: **assumes** o : $\text{ifex-ordered } (\text{IF } v \ t \ e)$ **assumes** le : $v' < v$
shows $\text{restrict } (\text{IF } v \ t \ e) \ v' \ val = (\text{IF } v \ t \ e)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *restrict-top-eq*: $\text{ifex-ordered } (\text{IF } v \ t \ e) \implies \text{restrict } (\text{IF } v \ t \ e) \ v \ val =$
 $\text{restrict-top } (\text{IF } v \ t \ e) \ v \ val$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *restrict-top-ifex-ordered-invar*: $\text{ifex-ordered } b \implies \text{ifex-ordered } (\text{restrict-top } b \ \text{var } val)$
 ⟨proof⟩

fun *lowest-tops* :: $('a :: \text{linorder}) \text{ ifex list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ option}$ **where**

$lowest-tops [] = None \mid$
 $lowest-tops ((IF\ v\ -)\#r) = Some\ (case\ lowest-tops\ r\ of\ Some\ u \Rightarrow (min\ u\ v) \mid$
 $None \Rightarrow v) \mid$
 $lowest-tops (-\#r) = lowest-tops\ r$

lemma *lowest-tops-NoneD*: $lowest-tops\ k = None \Longrightarrow (\neg(\exists\ v\ t\ e. ((IF\ v\ t\ e) \in set\ k)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lowest-tops-in*: $lowest-tops\ k = Some\ l \Longrightarrow l \in set\ (concat\ (map\ ifex-vars\ k))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *IFC* $v\ t\ e \equiv (if\ t = e\ then\ t\ else\ IF\ v\ t\ e)$

function *ifex-ite* $:: 'a\ ifex \Rightarrow 'a\ ifex \Rightarrow 'a\ ifex \Rightarrow ('a :: linorder)\ ifex\ where$
 $ifex-ite\ i\ t\ e = (case\ lowest-tops\ [i,\ t,\ e]\ of\ Some\ x \Rightarrow$
 $(IFC\ x\ (ifex-ite\ (restrict-top\ i\ x\ True)\ (restrict-top\ t\ x\ True)$
 $(restrict-top\ e\ x\ True))$
 $(ifex-ite\ (restrict-top\ i\ x\ False)\ (restrict-top\ t\ x\ False)$
 $(restrict-top\ e\ x\ False)))$
 $\mid\ None \Rightarrow (case\ i\ of\ True\ if \Rightarrow t \mid\ False\ if \Rightarrow e)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-size-le*: $size\ (restrict-top\ k\ var\ val) \leq size\ k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-size-less*: $ifex-top-var\ k = Some\ var \Longrightarrow size\ (restrict-top\ k\ var\ val) < size\ k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lowest-tops-cases*:
 $lowest-tops\ [i,\ t,\ e] = Some\ var \Longrightarrow ifex-top-var\ i = Some\ var \vee ifex-top-var\ t$
 $= Some\ var \vee ifex-top-var\ e = Some\ var$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lowest-tops-lowest*: $lowest-tops\ es = Some\ a \Longrightarrow e \in set\ es \Longrightarrow ifex-ordered\ e \Longrightarrow v \in ifex-var-set\ e \Longrightarrow a \leq v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *termlemma2*: $lowest-tops\ [i,\ t,\ e] = Some\ xa \Longrightarrow$
 $(size\ (restrict-top\ i\ xa\ val) + size\ (restrict-top\ t\ xa\ val) + size\ (restrict-top\ e\ xa\ val)) <$
 $(size\ i + size\ t + size\ e)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *termlemma*: $lowest-tops\ [i,\ t,\ e] = Some\ xa \Longrightarrow$
 $(case\ (restrict-top\ i\ xa\ val,\ restrict-top\ t\ xa\ val,\ restrict-top\ e\ xa\ val)\ of$
 $(i,\ t,\ e) \Rightarrow size\ i + size\ t + size\ e) <$

(case (i, t, e) of (i, t, e) \Rightarrow size i + size t + size e)
 ⟨proof⟩

termination ifex-ite
 ⟨proof⟩

definition const x - = x

declare const-def[simp]

lemma rel-true-false: (a, Trueif) \in bf-ifex-rel \Rightarrow a = const True (a, Falseif) \in bf-ifex-rel \Rightarrow a = const False
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-if: (a, IF v t e) \in bf-ifex-rel \Rightarrow (ta, t) \in bf-ifex-rel \Rightarrow (ea, e) \in bf-ifex-rel \Rightarrow a = (λ as. if as v then ta as else ea as)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma ifex-ordered-implied: (a, b) \in bf-ifex-rel \Rightarrow ifex-ordered b ⟨proof⟩

lemma ifex-minimal-implied: (a, b) \in bf-ifex-rel \Rightarrow ifex-minimal b ⟨proof⟩

lemma ifex-ite-induct2[case-names Trueif Falseif IF]:

(\bigwedge i t e. lowest-tops [i, t, e] = None \Rightarrow i = Trueif \Rightarrow sentence i t e) \Rightarrow
 (\bigwedge i t e. lowest-tops [i, t, e] = None \Rightarrow i = Falseif \Rightarrow sentence i t e) \Rightarrow
 (\bigwedge i t e a. sentence (restrict-top i a True) (restrict-top t a True) (restrict-top e a True) \Rightarrow
 sentence (restrict-top i a False) (restrict-top t a False) (restrict-top e a False) \Rightarrow
 lowest-tops [i, t, e] = Some a \Rightarrow sentence i t e) \Rightarrow sentence i t e
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma ifex-ite-induct[case-names Trueif Falseif IF]:

(\bigwedge i t e. lowest-tops [i, t, e] = None \Rightarrow i = Trueif \Rightarrow P i t e) \Rightarrow
 (\bigwedge i t e. lowest-tops [i, t, e] = None \Rightarrow i = Falseif \Rightarrow P i t e) \Rightarrow
 (\bigwedge i t e a. (\bigwedge val. P (restrict-top i a val) (restrict-top t a val) (restrict-top e a val)) \Rightarrow
 lowest-tops [i, t, e] = Some a \Rightarrow P i t e) \Rightarrow P i t e
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma restrict-top-subset: x \in ifex-var-set (restrict-top i vr vl) \Rightarrow x \in ifex-var-set i
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma ifex-vars-subset: x \in ifex-var-set (ifex-ite i t e) \Rightarrow (x \in ifex-var-set i) \vee (x \in ifex-var-set t) \vee (x \in ifex-var-set e)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma three-ins: i \in set [i, t, e] t \in set [i, t, e] e \in set [i, t, e] ⟨proof⟩

lemma *hlp3*: $\text{lowest-tops } (IF\ v\ uu\ uv\ \# \ r) \neq \text{lowest-tops } r \implies \text{lowest-tops } (IF\ v\ uu\ uv\ \# \ r) = \text{Some } v$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *hlp2*: $IF\ vi\ vt\ ve \in \text{set } is \implies \text{lowest-tops } is = \text{Some } a \implies a \leq vi$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *hlp1*: $i \in \text{set } is \implies \text{lowest-tops } is = \text{Some } a \implies \text{ifex-ordered } i \implies a \notin (\text{ifex-var-set } (\text{restrict-top } i\ a\ \text{val}))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *order-ifex-ite-invar*: $\text{ifex-ordered } i \implies \text{ifex-ordered } t \implies \text{ifex-ordered } e \implies \text{ifex-ordered } (\text{ifex-ite } i\ t\ e)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ifc-split*: $P\ (IFC\ v\ t\ e) \longleftrightarrow ((t = e) \longrightarrow P\ t) \wedge (t \neq e \longrightarrow P\ (IF\ v\ t\ e))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *restrict-top-ifex-minimal-invar*: $\text{ifex-minimal } i \implies \text{ifex-minimal } (\text{restrict-top } i\ a\ \text{val})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *minimal-ifex-ite-invar*: $\text{ifex-minimal } i \implies \text{ifex-minimal } t \implies \text{ifex-minimal } e \implies \text{ifex-minimal } (\text{ifex-ite } i\ t\ e)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *restrict-top-bf*: $i \in \text{set } is \implies \text{lowest-tops } is = \text{Some } vr \implies \text{ifex-ordered } i \implies (\bigwedge \text{ass. } fi\ ass = \text{val-ifex } i\ ass) \implies \text{val-ifex } (\text{restrict-top } i\ vr\ vl) \text{ ass} = \text{bf-restrict } vr\ vl\ fi\ ass$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *val-ifex-ite*:
 $(\bigwedge \text{ass. } fi\ ass = \text{val-ifex } i\ ass) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge \text{ass. } ft\ ass = \text{val-ifex } t\ ass) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge \text{ass. } fe\ ass = \text{val-ifex } e\ ass) \implies$
 $\text{ifex-ordered } i \implies \text{ifex-ordered } t \implies \text{ifex-ordered } e \implies$
 $(\text{bf-ite } fi\ ft\ fe)\ \text{ass} = \text{val-ifex } (\text{ifex-ite } i\ t\ e)\ \text{ass}$
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem *ifex-ite-rel-bf*:
 $(fi, i) \in \text{bf-ifex-rel} \implies$
 $(ft, t) \in \text{bf-ifex-rel} \implies$
 $(fe, e) \in \text{bf-ifex-rel} \implies$
 $((\text{bf-ite } fi\ ft\ fe), (\text{ifex-ite } i\ t\ e)) \in \text{bf-ifex-rel}$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *param-opt where* $\text{param-opt } i\ t\ e =$
 $(\text{if } i = \text{Trueif} \text{ then } \text{Some } t \text{ else } \dots)$

if $i = \text{Falseif}$ then *Some* e else
if $t = \text{Trueif} \wedge e = \text{Falseif}$ then *Some* i else
if $t = e$ then *Some* t else
if $e = \text{Trueif} \wedge i = t$ then *Some* Trueif else
if $t = \text{Falseif} \wedge i = e$ then *Some* Falseif else
None)

lemma *param-opt-ifex-ite-eq*: $\text{ro-ifex } i \implies \text{ro-ifex } t \implies \text{ro-ifex } e \implies$
 $\text{param-opt } i \ t \ e = \text{Some } r \implies r = \text{ifex-ite } i \ t \ e$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

function *ifex-ite-opt* :: $'a \text{ ifex} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ ifex} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ ifex} \Rightarrow ('a :: \text{linorder}) \text{ ifex}$ **where**
 $\text{ifex-ite-opt } i \ t \ e = (\text{case } \text{param-opt } i \ t \ e \text{ of } \text{Some } b \Rightarrow b \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow$
 $\quad (\text{case } \text{lowest-tops } [i, t, e] \text{ of } \text{Some } x \Rightarrow$
 $\quad \quad (\text{IFC } x \ (\text{ifex-ite-opt } (\text{restrict-top } i \ x \ \text{True}) \ (\text{restrict-top } t \ x \ \text{True})$
 $\quad \quad \quad (\text{restrict-top } e \ x \ \text{True}))$
 $\quad \quad (\text{ifex-ite-opt } (\text{restrict-top } i \ x \ \text{False}) \ (\text{restrict-top } t \ x \ \text{False})$
 $\quad \quad \quad (\text{restrict-top } e \ x \ \text{False})))$
 $\mid \text{None} \Rightarrow (\text{case } i \ \text{of } \text{Trueif} \Rightarrow t \mid \text{Falseif} \Rightarrow e)))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

termination *ifex-ite-opt*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ifex-ite-opt-eq*:
 $\text{ro-ifex } i \implies \text{ro-ifex } t \implies \text{ro-ifex } e \implies \text{ifex-ite-opt } i \ t \ e = \text{ifex-ite } i \ t \ e$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ro-ifexI*: $(a, b) \in \text{bf-ifex-rel} \implies \text{ro-ifex } b$ ⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *ifex-ite-opt-rel-bf*:
 $(fi, i) \in \text{bf-ifex-rel} \implies$
 $(ft, t) \in \text{bf-ifex-rel} \implies$
 $(fe, e) \in \text{bf-ifex-rel} \implies$
 $((\text{bf-ite } fi \ ft \ fe), (\text{ifex-ite-opt } i \ t \ e)) \in \text{bf-ifex-rel}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *restrict-top-bf-ifex-rel*:
 $(f, i) \in \text{bf-ifex-rel} \implies \exists f'. (f', \text{restrict-top } i \ \text{var } val) \in \text{bf-ifex-rel}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *param-opt-lowest-tops-lem*: $\text{param-opt } i \ t \ e = \text{None} \implies \exists y. \text{lowest-tops}$
 $[i, t, e] = \text{Some } y$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

fun *ifex-sat* **where**

```

ifex-sat Trueif = Some (const False) |
ifex-sat Falseif = None |
ifex-sat (IF v t e) =
  (case ifex-sat e of
    Some a ⇒ Some (a(v:=False)) |
    None ⇒ (case ifex-sat t of
      Some a ⇒ Some (a(v:=True)) |
      None ⇒ None))

```

lemma *ifex-sat-untouched-False*: $v \notin \text{ifex-var-set } i \implies \text{ifex-sat } i = \text{Some } a \implies a \ v = \text{False}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ifex-upd-other*: $v \notin \text{ifex-var-set } i \implies \text{val-ifex } i \ (a(v:=\text{any})) = \text{val-ifex } i \ a$
 ⟨proof⟩

```

fun ifex-no-twice where
ifex-no-twice (IF v t e) = (
  v ∉ (ifex-var-set t ∪ ifex-var-set e) ∧
  ifex-no-twice t ∧ ifex-no-twice e) |
ifex-no-twice - = True

```

lemma *ordered-ifex-no-twiceI*: $\text{ifex-ordered } i \implies \text{ifex-no-twice } i$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ifex-sat-NoneD*: $\text{ifex-sat } i = \text{None} \implies \text{val-ifex } i \ \text{ass} = \text{False}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ifex-sat-SomeD*: $\text{ifex-no-twice } i \implies \text{ifex-sat } i = \text{Some } \text{ass} \implies \text{val-ifex } i \ \text{ass} = \text{True}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ifex-sat-NoneI*: $\text{ifex-no-twice } i \implies (\bigwedge \text{ass. } \text{val-ifex } i \ \text{ass} = \text{False}) \implies \text{ifex-sat } i = \text{None}$

⟨proof⟩

```

fun ifex-sat-list where
ifex-sat-list Trueif = Some [] |
ifex-sat-list Falseif = None |
ifex-sat-list (IF v t e) =
  (case ifex-sat-list e of
    Some a ⇒ Some ((v,False)#a) |
    None ⇒ (case ifex-sat-list t of
      Some a ⇒ Some ((v,True)#a) |
      None ⇒ None))

```

definition *update-assignment-alt* $u \ \text{as} = (\lambda v. \text{case } \text{map-of } u \ v \ \text{of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{as } v \ | \ \text{Some } n \Rightarrow n)$

fun *update-assignment* **where**

update-assignment $((v,u)\#us)$ *as* = (*update-assignment* *us* *as*)(*v:=u*) |
update-assignment [] *as* = *as*

lemma *update-assignment-notin*: $a \notin \text{fst } \text{'set } us \implies \text{update-assignment } us \text{ as } a = \text{as } a$
<proof>

lemma *update-assignment-alt*: $\text{update-assignment } u \text{ as} = \text{update-assignment-alt } u \text{ as}$
<proof>

lemma *update-assignment*: $\text{distinct } (\text{map } \text{fst } ((v,u)\#us)) \implies \text{update-assignment } ((v,u)\#us) \text{ as} = \text{update-assignment } us \text{ (as}(v:=u))$
<proof>

lemma *ass-upd-same*: $\text{update-assignment } ((v, u) \# a) \text{ ass } v = u$ <proof>

lemma *ifex-sat-list-subset*: $\text{ifex-sat-list } t = \text{Some } u \implies \text{fst } \text{'set } u \subseteq \text{ifex-var-set } t$
<proof>

lemma *sat-list-distinct*: $\text{ifex-no-twice } t \implies \text{ifex-sat-list } t = \text{Some } u \implies \text{distinct } (\text{map } \text{fst } u)$
<proof>

lemma *ifex-sat-list-NoneD*: $\text{ifex-sat-list } i = \text{None} \implies \text{val-ifex } i \text{ ass} = \text{False}$
<proof>

lemma *ifex-sat-list-SomeD*: $\text{ifex-no-twice } i \implies \text{ifex-sat-list } i = \text{Some } u \implies \text{ass} = \text{update-assignment } u \text{ ass}' \implies \text{val-ifex } i \text{ ass} = \text{True}$
<proof>

fun *sat-list-to-bdt* **where**

sat-list-to-bdt [] = *Trueif* |
sat-list-to-bdt $((v,u)\#us)$ = (*if* *u* *then* *IF* *v* (*sat-list-to-bdt* *us*) *Falseif* *else* *IF* *v* *Falseif* (*sat-list-to-bdt* *us*))

lemma *ifex-sat-list* $i = \text{Some } u \implies \text{val-ifex } (\text{sat-list-to-bdt } u) \text{ as} \implies \text{val-ifex } i \text{ as}$
<proof>

lemma *bf-ifex-rel-consts[simp,intro!]*:

(*bf-True*, *Trueif*) \in *bf-ifex-rel*
(*bf-False*, *Falseif*) \in *bf-ifex-rel*

<proof>

lemma *bf-ifex-rel-lit[simp,intro!]*:

(*bf-lit* *v*, *IFC* *v* *Trueif* *Falseif*) \in *bf-ifex-rel*

<proof>

lemma *bf-ifex-rel-consts-ensured[simp]*:

```

(bf-True,x) ∈ bf-ifex-rel ↔ (x = Trueif)
(bf-False,x) ∈ bf-ifex-rel ↔ (x = Falseif)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma bf-ifex-rel-consts-ensured-rev[simp]:
(x,Trueif) ∈ bf-ifex-rel ↔ (x = bf-True)
(x,Falseif) ∈ bf-ifex-rel ↔ (x = bf-False)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

declare ifex-ite-opt.simps restrict-top.simps lowest-tops.simps[simp del]

```

```

end

```

4 Option Helpers

These definitions were contributed by Peter Lammich.

```

theory Option-Helpers
imports Main HOL-Library.Monad-Syntax
begin

```

```

primrec oassert :: bool ⇒ unit option where
  oassert True = Some () | oassert False = None

```

```

lemma oassert-iff[simp]:
  oassert Φ = Some x ↔ Φ
  oassert Φ = None ↔ ¬Φ
⟨proof⟩

```

The idea is that we want the result of some computation to be *Some v* and the contents of *v* to satisfy some property *Q*.

```

primrec ospec :: ('a option) ⇒ ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ bool where
  ospec None = False
| ospec (Some v) Q = Q v

```

```

named-theorems ospec-rules

```

```

lemma oreturn-rule[ospec-rules]: [[ P r ]] ⇒ ospec (Some r) P ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma obind-rule[ospec-rules]: [[ ospec m Q; ∧r. Q r ⇒ ospec (f r) P ]] ⇒ ospec
(m ≫=f) P
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ospec-alt: ospec m P = (case m of None ⇒ False | Some x ⇒ P x)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ospec-bind-simp: ospec (m ≫=f) P ↔ (ospec m (λr. ospec (f r) P)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ospec-cons:
  assumes ospec m Q
  assumes  $\bigwedge r. Q\ r \implies P\ r$ 
  shows ospec m P
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma oreturn-synth: ospec (Some x) ( $\lambda r. r=x$ )  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ospecD: ospec x P  $\implies x = Some\ y \implies P\ y$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma ospecD2: ospec x P  $\implies \exists y. x = Some\ y \wedge P\ y$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

5 Abstract ITE Implementation

```

theory Abstract-Impl
imports BDT
          Automatic-Refinement.Refine-Lib
          Option-Helpers
begin

datatype ('a, 'ni) IFEXD = TD | FD | IFD 'a 'ni 'ni

locale bdd-impl-pre =
  fixes R :: 's  $\Rightarrow$  ('ni  $\times$  ('a :: linorder) ifex) set
  fixes I :: 's  $\Rightarrow$  bool
begin
  definition les:: 's  $\Rightarrow$  's  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
    les s s' ==  $\forall ni\ n. (ni, n) \in R\ s \longrightarrow (ni, n) \in R\ s'$ 
end

locale bdd-impl = bdd-impl-pre R for R :: 's  $\Rightarrow$  ('ni  $\times$  ('a :: linorder) ifex) set +
  fixes Timpl :: 's  $\rightarrow$  ('ni  $\times$  's)
  fixes Fimpl :: 's  $\rightarrow$  ('ni  $\times$  's)
  fixes IFimpl :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'ni  $\Rightarrow$  'ni  $\Rightarrow$  's  $\rightarrow$  ('ni  $\times$  's)
  fixes DESTRimpl :: 'ni  $\Rightarrow$  's  $\rightarrow$  ('a, 'ni) IFEXD

  assumes Timpl-rule: I s  $\implies ospec (Timpl s) (\lambda(ni, s'). (ni, Trueif) \in R\ s' \wedge I\ s' \wedge les\ s\ s')$ 
  assumes Fimpl-rule: I s  $\implies ospec (Fimpl s) (\lambda(ni, s'). (ni, Falseif) \in R\ s' \wedge I\ s' \wedge les\ s\ s')$ 
  assumes IFimpl-rule:  $\llbracket I\ s; (ni1, n1) \in R\ s; (ni2, n2) \in R\ s \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies ospec (IFimpl\ v\ ni1\ ni2\ s) (\lambda(ni, s'). (ni, IFC\ v\ n1\ n2) \in R\ s' \wedge I\ s' \wedge les\ s\ s')$ 

  assumes DESTRimpl-rule1: I s  $\implies (ni, Trueif) \in R\ s \implies ospec (DESTRimpl\ ni\ s) (\lambda r. r = TD)$ 
  assumes DESTRimpl-rule2: I s  $\implies (ni, Falseif) \in R\ s \implies ospec (DESTRimpl$ 

```

$ni\ s) (\lambda r. r = FD)$
assumes *DESTRIimpl-rule3*: $I\ s \implies (ni, IF\ v\ n1\ n2) \in R\ s \implies$
 $ospec\ (DESTRIimpl\ ni\ s)$
 $(\lambda r. \exists\ ni1\ ni2. r = (IFD\ v\ ni1\ ni2) \wedge (ni1, n1) \in R\ s$
 $\wedge (ni2, n2) \in R\ s)$
begin

lemma *les-refl[simp,introl]*: $les\ s\ s$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *les-trans[trans]*: $les\ s1\ s2 \implies les\ s2\ s3 \implies les\ s1\ s3$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *DESTRIimpl-rules* = *DESTRIimpl-rule1* *DESTRIimpl-rule2* *DESTRIimpl-rule3*

lemma *DESTRIimpl-rule-useless*:

$I\ s \implies (ni, n) \in R\ s \implies ospec\ (DESTRIimpl\ ni\ s) (\lambda r. (case\ r\ of$
 $TD \Rightarrow (ni, Trueif) \in R\ s \mid$
 $FD \Rightarrow (ni, Falseif) \in R\ s \mid$
 $IFD\ v\ nt\ ne \Rightarrow (\exists\ t\ e. n = IF\ v\ t\ e \wedge (ni, IF\ v\ t\ e) \in R\ s)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DESTRIimpl-rule*:

$I\ s \implies (ni, n) \in R\ s \implies ospec\ (DESTRIimpl\ ni\ s) (\lambda r. (case\ n\ of$
 $Trueif \Rightarrow r = TD \mid$
 $Falseif \Rightarrow r = FD \mid$
 $IF\ v\ t\ e \Rightarrow (\exists\ tn\ en. r = IFD\ v\ tn\ en \wedge (tn, t) \in R\ s \wedge (en, e) \in R\ s)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *case-ifexi* *fti* *ffi* *fii* *ni* *s* $\equiv do\ \{$

$dest \leftarrow DESTRIimpl\ ni\ s;$
 $case\ dest\ of$
 $TD \Rightarrow fti\ s$
 $\mid FD \Rightarrow ffi\ s$
 $\mid IFD\ v\ ti\ ei \Rightarrow fii\ v\ ti\ ei\ s\}$

lemma *case-ifexi-rule*:

assumes *INV*: $I\ s$

assumes *NI*: $(ni, n) \in R\ s$

assumes *F'TI*: $\llbracket n = Trueif \rrbracket \implies ospec\ (fti\ s) (\lambda(r, s'). (r, ft) \in Q\ s \wedge I'\ s')$

assumes *F'FI*: $\llbracket n = Falseif \rrbracket \implies ospec\ (ffi\ s) (\lambda(r, s'). (r, ff) \in Q\ s \wedge I'\ s')$

assumes *F'II*: $\bigwedge ti\ ei\ v\ t\ e. \llbracket n = IF\ v\ t\ e; (ti, t) \in R\ s; (ei, e) \in R\ s \rrbracket \implies ospec\ (fii\ v\ ti\ ei\ s) (\lambda(r, s'). (r, fi\ v\ t\ e) \in Q\ s \wedge I'\ s')$

shows $ospec\ (case-ifexi\ fti\ ffi\ fii\ ni\ s) (\lambda(r, s'). (r, case-ifex\ ft\ ff\ fi\ n) \in Q\ s \wedge I'\ s')$

$\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *return* $x \equiv \lambda s. Some\ (x, s)$

primrec *lowest-tops-impl* **where**

lowest-tops-impl $\ []\ s = Some\ (None, s) \mid$

lowest-tops-impl $(e\#es) s =$

case-ifexi

$(\lambda s. lowest-tops-impl\ es\ s)$

```

(λs. lowest-tops-impl es s)
(λv t e s. do {
  (rec,s) ← lowest-tops-impl es s;
  (case rec of
    Some u ⇒ Some ((Some (min u v)), s) |
    None ⇒ Some ((Some v), s))
}) e s

```

declare *lowest-tops-impl.simps*[simp del]

```

fun lowest-tops-alt where
lowest-tops-alt [] = None |
lowest-tops-alt (e#es) = (
  let rec = lowest-tops-alt es in
  case-ifex
    rec
    rec
  (λv t e. (case rec of
    Some u ⇒ (Some (min u v)) |
    None ⇒ (Some v))
  ) e
)

```

lemma *lowest-tops-alt*: $lowest-tops\ l = lowest-tops-alt\ l$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *lowest-tops-impl-R*:
assumes *list-all2* (*in-rel* (*R s*)) *li l I s*
shows *ospec* (*lowest-tops-impl li s*) ($\lambda(r,s'). r = lowest-tops\ l \wedge s'=s$)
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *restrict-top-impl* **where**
restrict-top-impl e vr vl s =
case-ifexi
 (*return e*)
 (*return e*)
 ($\lambda v te ee. return\ (if\ v = vr\ then\ (if\ vl\ then\ te\ else\ ee)\ else\ e)$)
e s

lemma *restrict-top-alt*: $restrict-top\ n\ var\ val = (case\ n\ of$
 ($IF\ v\ t\ e \Rightarrow (if\ v = var\ then\ (if\ val\ then\ t\ else\ e)\ else\ (IF\ v\ t\ e))$)
 | - $\Rightarrow n$)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *restrict-top-impl-spec*: $I\ s \Longrightarrow (ni,n) \in R\ s \Longrightarrow ospec\ (restrict-top-impl\ ni$
vr vl s) ($\lambda(res,s'). (res, restrict-top\ n\ vr vl) \in R\ s \wedge s'=s$)
 ⟨proof⟩

partial-function(*option*) *ite-impl* **where**
ite-impl *i t e s* = *do* {
 (*lt*,-) \leftarrow *lowest-tops-impl* [*i*, *t*, *e*] *s*;
 (*case lt of*
 Some a \Rightarrow *do* {
 (*ti*,-) \leftarrow *restrict-top-impl* *i a True s*;
 (*tt*,-) \leftarrow *restrict-top-impl* *t a True s*;
 (*te*,-) \leftarrow *restrict-top-impl* *e a True s*;
 (*fi*,-) \leftarrow *restrict-top-impl* *i a False s*;
 (*ft*,-) \leftarrow *restrict-top-impl* *t a False s*;
 (*fe*,-) \leftarrow *restrict-top-impl* *e a False s*;
 (*tb*,*s*) \leftarrow *ite-impl* *ti tt te s*;
 (*fb*,*s*) \leftarrow *ite-impl* *fi ft fe s*;
IFimpl a tb fb s
 | *None* \Rightarrow *case-ifexi* (λ -.(*Some* (*t*,*s*))) (λ -.(*Some* (*e*,*s*))) (λ - - - . *None*) *i s*
)}

lemma *ite-impl-R*: *I s*
 \Rightarrow *in-rel* (*R s*) *ii i* \Rightarrow *in-rel* (*R s*) *ti t* \Rightarrow *in-rel* (*R s*) *ei e*
 \Rightarrow *ospec* (*ite-impl ii ti ei s*) (λ (*r*, *s*). (*r*, *ifex-ite i t e*) \in *R s'* \wedge *I s'* \wedge *les s*
s')
 <*proof*>

lemma *case-ifexi-mono*[*partial-function-mono*]:
assumes [*partial-function-mono*]:
mono-option (λ *F*. *fti F s*)
mono-option (λ *F*. *ffi F s*)
 \wedge *x31 x32 x33*. *mono-option* (λ *F*. *fii F x31 x32 x33 s*)
shows *mono-option* (λ *F*. *case-ifexi (fti F) (ffi F) (fii F) ni s*)
 <*proof*>

partial-function(*option*) *val-impl* :: '*ni* \Rightarrow ('*a* \Rightarrow *bool*) \Rightarrow '*s* \Rightarrow (*bool* \times '*s*) *option*
where
val-impl e ass s = *case-ifexi*
 (λ *s*. *Some* (*True*,*s*))
 (λ *s*. *Some* (*False*,*s*))
 (λ *v t e s*. *val-impl (if ass v then t else e) ass s*)
e s

lemma *I s* \Rightarrow (*ni*,*n*) \in *R s* \Rightarrow *ospec* (*val-impl ni ass s*) (λ (*r*,*s*'). *r* = (*val-ifex n*
ass) \wedge *s'*=*s*)
 <*proof*>

end

locale *bdd-impl-cmp-pre* = *bdd-impl-pre*
begin

definition *map-invar-impl* $m\ s =$

$(\forall ii\ ti\ ei\ ri.\ m\ (ii,ti,ei) = \text{Some}\ ri \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists i\ t\ e.\ ((ri,ifex-ite-opt\ i\ t\ e) \in R\ s) \wedge (ii,i) \in R\ s \wedge (ti,t) \in R\ s \wedge (ei,e) \in R$
 $s))$

lemma *map-invar-impl-les*: $map-invar-impl\ m\ s \Longrightarrow les\ s\ s' \Longrightarrow map-invar-impl$
 $m\ s'$

<proof>

lemma *map-invar-impl-update*: $map-invar-impl\ m\ s \Longrightarrow$

$(ii,i) \in R\ s \Longrightarrow (ti,t) \in R\ s \Longrightarrow (ei,e) \in R\ s \Longrightarrow$
 $(ri,\ ifex-ite-opt\ i\ t\ e) \in R\ s \Longrightarrow map-invar-impl\ (m((ii,ti,ei) \mapsto ri))\ s$

<proof>

end

locale *bdd-impl-cmp* = *bdd-impl* + *bdd-impl-cmp-pre* +

fixes $M :: 'a \Rightarrow ('b \times 'b \times 'b) \Rightarrow 'b\ option$

fixes $U :: 'a \Rightarrow ('b \times 'b \times 'b) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a$

fixes $cmp :: 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool$

assumes *cmp-rule1*: $I\ s \Longrightarrow (ni,\ i) \in R\ s \Longrightarrow (ni',\ i) \in R\ s \Longrightarrow cmp\ ni\ ni'$

assumes *cmp-rule2*: $I\ s \Longrightarrow cmp\ ni\ ni' \Longrightarrow (ni,\ i) \in R\ s \Longrightarrow (ni',\ i') \in R\ s \Longrightarrow$
 $i = i'$

assumes *map-invar-rule1*: $I\ s \Longrightarrow map-invar-impl\ (M\ s)\ s$

assumes *map-invar-rule2*: $I\ s \Longrightarrow (ii,it) \in R\ s \Longrightarrow (ti,tt) \in R\ s \Longrightarrow (ei,et) \in$
 $R\ s \Longrightarrow$

$(ri,\ ifex-ite-opt\ it\ tt\ et) \in R\ s \Longrightarrow U\ s\ (ii,ti,ei)\ ri = s' \Longrightarrow$
 $I\ s'$

assumes *map-invar-rule3*: $I\ s \Longrightarrow R\ (U\ s\ (ii,\ ti,\ ei)\ ri) = R\ s$

begin

lemma *cmp-rule-eq*: $I\ s \Longrightarrow (ni,\ i) \in R\ s \Longrightarrow (ni',\ i') \in R\ s \Longrightarrow cmp\ ni\ ni' \longleftrightarrow$
 $i = i'$

<proof>

lemma *DESTRIimpl-Some*: $I\ s \Longrightarrow (ni,\ i) \in R\ s \Longrightarrow ospec\ (DESTRIimpl\ ni\ s)\ (\lambda r.$
 $True)$

<proof>

fun *param-opt-impl* **where**

param-opt-impl $i\ t\ e\ s = do\ \{$

$ii \leftarrow DESTRIimpl\ i\ s;$

$ti \leftarrow DESTRIimpl\ t\ s;$

$ei \leftarrow DESTRIimpl\ e\ s;$

$(tn,s) \leftarrow Timpl\ s;$

$(fn,s) \leftarrow Fimpl\ s;$

$Some\ ((if\ ii = TD\ then\ Some\ t\ else$

$if\ ii = FD\ then\ Some\ e\ else$

if $ti = TD \wedge ei = FD$ then Some i else
 if $cmp\ t\ e$ then Some t else
 if $ei = TD \wedge cmp\ i\ t$ then Some tn else
 if $ti = FD \wedge cmp\ i\ e$ then Some fn else
 None), s)}

declare *param-opt-impl.simps*[simp del]

lemma *param-opt-impl-lesI*:

assumes $I\ s\ (ii, i) \in R\ s\ (ti, t) \in R\ s\ (ei, e) \in R\ s$

shows *ospec* (*param-opt-impl ii ti ei s*)

$(\lambda(r, s'). I\ s' \wedge les\ s\ s')$

<proof>

lemma *param-opt-impl-R*:

assumes $I\ s\ (ii, i) \in R\ s\ (ti, t) \in R\ s\ (ei, e) \in R\ s$

shows *ospec* (*param-opt-impl ii ti ei s*)

$(\lambda(r, s'). case\ r\ of\ None \Rightarrow param-opt\ i\ t\ e = None$

$| Some\ r \Rightarrow (\exists r'. param-opt\ i\ t\ e = Some\ r' \wedge (r, r')$

$\in R\ s')$

<proof>

partial-function(*option*) *ite-impl-opt* **where**

ite-impl-opt i t e s = do {

(ld, s) ← param-opt-impl i t e s;

(case ld of Some b ⇒ Some (b, s) |

None ⇒

do {

(lt, -) ← lowest-tops-impl [i, t, e] s;

(case lt of

Some a ⇒ do {

(ti, -) ← restrict-top-impl i a True s;

(tt, -) ← restrict-top-impl t a True s;

(te, -) ← restrict-top-impl e a True s;

(fi, -) ← restrict-top-impl i a False s;

(ft, -) ← restrict-top-impl t a False s;

(fe, -) ← restrict-top-impl e a False s;

(tb, s) ← ite-impl-opt ti tt te s;

(fb, s) ← ite-impl-opt fi ft fe s;

IFimpl a tb fb s}

| None ⇒ case-ifexi ($\lambda-.(Some\ (t, s))$) ($\lambda-.(Some\ (e, s))$) ($\lambda- - - . None$) i s
))})

lemma *ospec-and*: *ospec f P ⇒ ospec f Q ⇒ ospec f ($\lambda x. P\ x \wedge Q\ x$)*

<proof>

lemma *ite-impl-opt-R*:

$I\ s$

$\Rightarrow in-rel\ (R\ s)\ ii\ i \Rightarrow in-rel\ (R\ s)\ ti\ t \Rightarrow in-rel\ (R\ s)\ ei\ e$

$\implies \text{ospec } (\text{ite-impl-opt } ii \text{ } ti \text{ } ei \text{ } s) (\lambda(r, s'). (r, \text{ifex-ite-opt } i \text{ } t \text{ } e) \in R \text{ } s' \wedge I \text{ } s' \wedge \text{les } s \text{ } s')$
 <proof>

partial-function(*option*) *ite-impl-lu* **where**
ite-impl-lu *i t e s* = do {
 (case *M s (i,t,e)* of *Some b* \implies *Some (b,s)* | *None* \implies do {
 (*ld, s*) \leftarrow *param-opt-impl i t e s*;
 (case *ld* of *Some b* \implies *Some (b, s)* |
None \implies
 do {
 (*lt,-*) \leftarrow *lowest-tops-impl [i, t, e] s*;
 (case *lt* of
Some a \implies do {
 (*ti,-*) \leftarrow *restrict-top-impl i a True s*;
 (*tt,-*) \leftarrow *restrict-top-impl t a True s*;
 (*te,-*) \leftarrow *restrict-top-impl e a True s*;
 (*fi,-*) \leftarrow *restrict-top-impl i a False s*;
 (*ft,-*) \leftarrow *restrict-top-impl t a False s*;
 (*fe,-*) \leftarrow *restrict-top-impl e a False s*;
 (*tb,s*) \leftarrow *ite-impl-lu ti tt te s*;
 (*fb,s*) \leftarrow *ite-impl-lu fi ft fe s*;
 (*r,s*) \leftarrow *IFimpl a tb fb s*;
 let *s* = *U s (i,t,e) r*;
Some (r,s)
 } |
None \implies *None*
)}}}}

declare *ifex-ite-opt.simps[simp del]*

lemma *ite-impl-lu-R: I s*

$\implies (ii,i) \in R \text{ } s \implies (ti,t) \in R \text{ } s \implies (ei,e) \in R \text{ } s$

$\implies \text{ospec } (\text{ite-impl-lu } ii \text{ } ti \text{ } ei \text{ } s)$

$(\lambda(r, s'). (r, \text{ifex-ite-opt } i \text{ } t \text{ } e) \in R \text{ } s' \wedge I \text{ } s' \wedge \text{les } s \text{ } s')$

<proof>

end

end

6 Pointermap

theory *Pointer-Map*

imports *Main*

begin

We need a datastructure that supports the following two operations:

- Given an element, it can construct a pointer (i.e., a small represen-

tation) of that element. It will always construct the same pointer for equal elements.

- Given a pointer, we can retrieve the element

```
record 'a pointermap =
  entries :: 'a list
  getentry :: 'a ⇒ nat option
```

definition *pointermap-sane* $m \equiv (\text{distinct } (\text{entries } m) \wedge$
 $(\forall n \in \{..<\text{length } (\text{entries } m)\}. \text{getentry } m (\text{entries } m ! n) = \text{Some } n) \wedge$
 $(\forall p \ i. \text{getentry } m \ p = \text{Some } i \longrightarrow \text{entries } m ! i = p \wedge i < \text{length } (\text{entries } m)))$

definition *empty-pointermap* $\equiv (\text{entries} = [], \text{getentry} = \lambda p. \text{None})$

lemma *pointermap-empty-sane*[*simp, intro!*]: *pointermap-sane empty-pointermap*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *pointermap-insert* $a \ m \equiv (\text{entries} = (\text{entries } m)@[a], \text{getentry} = (\text{getentry } m)(a \mapsto \text{length } (\text{entries } m)))$

definition *pm-pth* $m \ p \equiv \text{entries } m ! p$

definition *pointermap-p-valid* $p \ m \equiv p < \text{length } (\text{entries } m)$

definition *pointermap-getmk* $a \ m \equiv (\text{case } \text{getentry } m \ a \ \text{of } \text{Some } p \Rightarrow (p, m) \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{let } u = \text{pointermap-insert } a \ m \ \text{in } (\text{the } (\text{getentry } u \ a), u))$

lemma *pointermap-sane-appendD*: *pointermap-sane* $s \Longrightarrow m \notin \text{set } (\text{entries } s) \Longrightarrow$
pointermap-sane (*pointermap-insert* $m \ s$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lentries-noneD*: *getentry* $s \ a = \text{None} \Longrightarrow \text{pointermap-sane } s \Longrightarrow a \notin \text{set } (\text{entries } s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pm-pth-append*: *pointermap-p-valid* $p \ m \Longrightarrow \text{pm-pth } (\text{pointermap-insert } a \ m) \ p = \text{pm-pth } m \ p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pointermap-insert-in*: $u = (\text{pointermap-insert } a \ m) \Longrightarrow \text{pm-pth } u \ (\text{the } (\text{getentry } u \ a)) = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pointermap-insert-p-validI*: *pointermap-p-valid* $p \ m \Longrightarrow \text{pointermap-p-valid } p \ (\text{pointermap-insert } a \ m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

thm *nth-eq-iff-index-eq*

lemma *pth-eq-iff-index-eq*: *pointermap-sane* $m \Longrightarrow \text{pointermap-p-valid } p1 \ m \Longrightarrow$
pointermap-p-valid $p2 \ m \Longrightarrow (\text{pm-pth } m \ p1 = \text{pm-pth } m \ p2) \longleftrightarrow (p1 = p2)$

<proof>

lemma *pointermmap-p-valid-updateI*: *pointermmap-sane m* \implies *getentry m a = None*
 \implies *u = pointermmap-insert a m* \implies *p = the (getentry u a)* \implies *pointermmap-p-valid*
p u
<proof>

lemma *pointermmap-get-validI*: *pointermmap-sane m* \implies *getentry m a = Some p* \implies
pointermmap-p-valid p m
<proof>

lemma *pointermmap-sane-getmkD*:
assumes *sn*: *pointermmap-sane m*
assumes *res*: *pointermmap-getmk a m = (p,u)*
shows *pointermmap-sane u* \wedge *pointermmap-p-valid p u*
<proof>

lemma *pointermmap-update-pthI*:
assumes *sn*: *pointermmap-sane m*
assumes *res*: *pointermmap-getmk a m = (p,u)*
shows *pm-pth u p = a*
<proof>

lemma *pointermmap-p-valid-inv*:
assumes *pointermmap-p-valid p m*
assumes *pointermmap-getmk a m = (x,u)*
shows *pointermmap-p-valid p u*
<proof>

lemma *pointermmap-p-pth-inv*:
assumes *pv*: *pointermmap-p-valid p m*
assumes *u*: *pointermmap-getmk a m = (x,u)*
shows *pm-pth u p = pm-pth m p*
<proof>

lemma *pointermmap-backward-valid*:
assumes *puv*: *pointermmap-p-valid p u*
assumes *u*: *pointermmap-getmk a m = (x,u)*
assumes *ne*: *x \neq p*
shows *pointermmap-p-valid p m*

<proof>

end

7 Functional interpretation for the abstract implementation

```

theory Middle-Impl
imports Abstract-Impl Pointer-Map
begin

```

For the lack of a better name, the suffix *mi* stands for middle-implementation. This reflects that this “implementation” is neither entirely abstract, nor has it been made fully concrete: the data structures are decided, but not their implementations.

```

record bdd =
  dpm :: (nat × nat × nat) pointermap
  dcl :: ((nat × nat × nat), nat) map

```

definition *emptymi* \equiv $(\lambda dpm = \text{empty-pointermap}, dcl = \text{Map.empty})$

```

fun destrmi :: nat ⇒ bdd ⇒ (nat, nat) IFEXD where
  destrmi 0 bdd = FD |
  destrmi (Suc 0) bdd = TD |
  destrmi (Suc (Suc n)) bdd = (case pm-pth (dpm bdd) n of (v, t, e) ⇒ IFD v t e)
fun tmi where tmi bdd = (1, bdd)
fun fmi where fmi bdd = (0, bdd)
fun ifmi :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ bdd ⇒ (nat × bdd) where
  ifmi v t e bdd = (if t = e
    then (t, bdd)
    else (let (r, pm) = pointermap-getmk (v, t, e) (dpm bdd) in
      (Suc (Suc r), dpm-update (const pm) bdd)))

```

```

fun Rmi-g :: nat ⇒ nat ifex ⇒ bdd ⇒ bool where
  Rmi-g 0 Falseif bdd = True |
  Rmi-g (Suc 0) Trueif bdd = True |
  Rmi-g (Suc (Suc n)) (IF v t e) bdd = (pointermap-p-valid n (dpm bdd)
    ∧ (case pm-pth (dpm bdd) n of (nv, nt, ne) ⇒ nv = v ∧ Rmi-g nt t bdd ∧ Rmi-g
      ne e bdd)) |
  Rmi-g - - - = False

```

definition *Rmi s* \equiv $\{(a, b) \mid a \ b. \ Rmi\text{-}g \ a \ b \ s\}$

interpretation *mi-pre*: *bdd-impl-cmp-pre Rmi* \langle proof \rangle

definition *bdd-node-valid* *bdd n* \equiv $n \in \text{Domain} (Rmi \ bdd)$

lemma [*simp*]:
bdd-node-valid *bdd 0*
bdd-node-valid *bdd (Suc 0)*
 \langle proof \rangle

definition *ifexd-valid* *bdd e* \equiv $(\text{case } e \text{ of } IFD \ - \ t \ e \Rightarrow \text{bdd-node-valid } bdd \ t \ \wedge \ \text{bdd-node-valid } bdd \ e \mid \ - \Rightarrow \text{True})$

definition $bdd\text{-sane } bdd \equiv \text{pointerm}\text{-sane } (dpm\ bdd) \wedge \text{mi}\text{-pre}\text{-map}\text{-invar}\text{-impl } (dcl\ bdd)\ bdd$

lemma $[simp,intro!]$: $bdd\text{-sane } \text{emptymi}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{prod}\text{-split3}$: $P (\text{case } p \text{ of } (x, xa, xaa) \Rightarrow f\ x\ xa\ xaa) = (\forall x1\ x2\ x3. p = (x1, x2, x3) \longrightarrow P (f\ x1\ x2\ x3))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma IfI : $(c \Longrightarrow P\ x) \Longrightarrow (\neg c \Longrightarrow P\ y) \Longrightarrow P (\text{if } c \text{ then } x \text{ else } y)$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma fstsndI : $x = (a,b) \Longrightarrow \text{fst } x = a \wedge \text{snd } x = b$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

thm $\text{nat}\text{-split}$

lemma $\text{Rmi}\text{-g}\text{-2}\text{-split}$: $P (\text{Rmi}\text{-g } n\ x\ m) = ((x = \text{Falseif} \longrightarrow P (\text{Rmi}\text{-g } n\ x\ m)) \wedge (x = \text{Trueif} \longrightarrow P (\text{Rmi}\text{-g } n\ x\ m)) \wedge (\forall vs\ ts\ es. x = \text{IF } vs\ ts\ es \longrightarrow P (\text{Rmi}\text{-g } n\ x\ m)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma rmigeq : $\text{Rmi}\text{-g } ni1\ n1\ s \Longrightarrow \text{Rmi}\text{-g } ni2\ n2\ s \Longrightarrow ni1 = ni2 \Longrightarrow n1 = n2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma rmigneq : $bdd\text{-sane } s \Longrightarrow \text{Rmi}\text{-g } ni1\ n1\ s \Longrightarrow \text{Rmi}\text{-g } ni2\ n2\ s \Longrightarrow ni1 \neq ni2 \Longrightarrow n1 \neq n2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{ifmi}\text{-les}\text{-hlp}$: $\text{pointerm}\text{-sane } (dpm\ s) \Longrightarrow \text{pointerm}\text{-getmk } (v, ni1, ni2) (dpm\ s) = (x1, dpm\ s') \Longrightarrow \text{Rmi}\text{-g } nia\ n\ s \Longrightarrow \text{Rmi}\text{-g } nia\ n\ s'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{ifmi}\text{-les}$:

assumes $bdd\text{-sane } s$
assumes $\text{ifmi } v\ ni1\ ni2\ s = (ni, s')$
shows $\text{mi}\text{-pre}\text{-les } s\ s'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{ifmi}\text{-notouch}\text{-dcl}$: $\text{ifmi } v\ ni1\ ni2\ s = (ni, s') \Longrightarrow \text{dcl } s' = \text{dcl } s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{ifmi}\text{-saneI}$: $bdd\text{-sane } s \Longrightarrow \text{ifmi } v\ ni1\ ni2\ s = (ni, s') \Longrightarrow bdd\text{-sane } s'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma rmigif : $\text{Rmi}\text{-g } ni\ (\text{IF } v\ n1\ n2)\ s \Longrightarrow \exists n. ni = \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{in}\text{-lesI}$:

assumes $\text{mi}\text{-pre}\text{-les } s\ s'$
assumes $(ni1, n1) \in \text{Rmi } s$
assumes $(ni2, n2) \in \text{Rmi } s$

shows $(ni1, n1) \in Rmi\ s' \ (ni2, n2) \in Rmi\ s'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ifmi-modification-validI*:

assumes *sane*: *bdd-sane s*
assumes *ifm*: *ifmi v ni1 ni2 s = (ni, s')*
assumes *vld*: *bdd-node-valid s n*
shows *bdd-node-valid s' n*

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition *tmi' s* $\equiv do \{oassert (bdd-sane s); Some (tmi s)\}$

definition *fmi' s* $\equiv do \{oassert (bdd-sane s); Some (fmi s)\}$

definition *ifmi' v ni1 ni2 s* $\equiv do \{oassert (bdd-sane s \wedge bdd-node-valid s ni1 \wedge bdd-node-valid s ni2); Some (ifmi v ni1 ni2 s)\}$

lemma *ifmi'-spec*: $\llbracket bdd-sane\ s;\ bdd-node-valid\ s\ ni1;\ bdd-node-valid\ s\ ni2 \rrbracket \implies ospec (ifmi' v ni1 ni2 s) (\lambda r. r = ifmi v ni1 ni2 s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ifmi'-ifmi*: $\llbracket bdd-sane\ s;\ bdd-node-valid\ s\ ni1;\ bdd-node-valid\ s\ ni2 \rrbracket \implies ifmi' v ni1 ni2 s = Some (ifmi v ni1 ni2 s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *destrmi' ni s* $\equiv do \{oassert (bdd-sane s \wedge bdd-node-valid s ni); Some (destrmi ni s)\}$

lemma *destrmi-someD*: *destrmi' e bdd = Some x* $\implies bdd-sane\ bdd \wedge bdd-node-valid\ bdd\ e$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Rmi-sv*:

assumes *bdd-sane s* $(ni, n) \in Rmi\ s \ (ni', n') \in Rmi\ s$
shows $ni=ni' \implies n=n'$
and $ni \neq ni' \implies n \neq n'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *True-rep[simp]*: *bdd-sane s* $\implies (ni, Trueif) \in Rmi\ s \longleftrightarrow ni = Suc\ 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *False-rep[simp]*: *bdd-sane s* $\implies (ni, Falseif) \in Rmi\ s \longleftrightarrow ni = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *updS s x r* = *dcl-update* $(\lambda m. m(x \mapsto r))\ s$

thm *Rmi-g.induct*

lemma *updS-dpm*: *dpm (updS s x r) = dpm s*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *updS-Rmi-g*: *Rmi-g n i (updS s x r) = Rmi-g n i s*

<proof>

lemma *updS-Rmi*: $Rmi (updS s x r) = Rmi s$
<proof>

interpretation *mi*: *bdd-impl-cmp bdd-sane Rmi tmi' fmi' ifmi' destrmi' dcl updS*
(=)
<proof>

lemma *p-valid-RmiI*: $(Suc (Suc na), b) \in Rmi bdd \implies pointermap-p-valid na$
(*dpm bdd*)
<proof>

lemma *n-valid-RmiI*: $(na, b) \in Rmi bdd \implies bdd-node-valid bdd na$
<proof>

lemma *n-valid-Rmi-alt*: $bdd-node-valid bdd na \longleftrightarrow (\exists b. (na, b) \in Rmi bdd)$
<proof>

lemma *ifmi-result-validI*:

assumes *sane*: *bdd-sane s*

assumes *vld*: *bdd-node-valid s ni1 bdd-node-valid s ni2*

assumes *ifm*: *ifmi v ni1 ni2 s = (ni, s')*

shows *bdd-node-valid s' ni*

<proof>

end

8 Array List

Most of this has been contributed by Peter Lammich.

theory *Array-List*

imports

Separation-Logic-Imperative-HOL.Array-Blit

begin

This implements a datastructure that efficiently supports two operations: appending an element and looking up the *n*th element. The implementation is straightforward.

As underlying data structure an array is used. Since changing the length of an array requires copying, we double the size whenever the array needs to be expanded. We use a counter for the current length to track which elements are used and which are spares.

type-synonym *'a array-list* = *'a array* \times *nat*

definition *is-array-list* $l \equiv \lambda(a,n). \exists_A l'. a \mapsto_a l' * \uparrow(n \leq \text{length } l' \wedge l = \text{take } n \text{ } l' \wedge \text{length } l' > 0)$

definition *initial-capacity* $\equiv 16::nat$

definition *arl-empty* $\equiv do \{$
 $a \leftarrow Array.new\ initial-capacity\ default;$
 $return\ (a,0)$
 $\}$

lemma [*sep-heap-rules*]: $\langle emp \rangle arl-empty \langle is-array-list\ [] \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *arl-nth* $\equiv \lambda(a,n)\ i.\ do \{$
 $Array.nth\ a\ i$
 $\}$

lemma [*sep-heap-rules*]: $i < length\ l \implies \langle is-array-list\ l\ a \rangle arl-nth\ a\ i < \lambda x.$
 $is-array-list\ l\ a * \uparrow(x = !i) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *arl-append* $\equiv \lambda(a,n)\ x.\ do \{$
 $len \leftarrow Array.len\ a;$

 $if\ n < len\ then\ do \{$
 $a \leftarrow Array.upd\ n\ x\ a;$
 $return\ (a,n+1)$
 $\}$ $else\ do \{$
 $let\ newcap = 2 * len;$
 $a \leftarrow array-grow\ a\ newcap\ default;$
 $a \leftarrow Array.upd\ n\ x\ a;$
 $return\ (a,n+1)$
 $\}$
 $\}$

lemma [*sep-heap-rules*]:
 $\langle is-array-list\ l\ a \rangle$
 $arl-append\ a\ x$
 $\langle \lambda a.\ is-array-list\ (l@[x])\ a \rangle_t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-array-list-prec*: *precise is-array-list*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-array-list-lengthIA*: $is-array-list\ l\ li \implies_A \uparrow(snd\ li = length\ l) * true$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

find-consts *assn* $\Rightarrow bool$

lemma *is-array-list-lengthI*: $x \models is-array-list\ l\ li \implies snd\ li = length\ l$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

9 Imperative implementation for Pointermap

```

theory Pointer-Map-Impl
imports Array-List
          Separation-Logic-Imperative-HOL.Sep-Main
          Separation-Logic-Imperative-HOL.Hash-Map-Impl
          Pointer-Map
begin

  record 'a pointermap-impl =
    entriesi :: 'a array-list
    getentryi :: ('a,nat) hashtable
  lemma pointermapieq-exhaust: entries a = entries b  $\implies$  getentry a = getentry b  $\implies$  a = (b :: 'a pointermap) <proof>

  definition is-pointermap-impl :: ('a::{hashable,heap}) pointermap  $\Rightarrow$  'a pointermap-impl  $\Rightarrow$  assn where
    is-pointermap-impl b bi  $\equiv$ 
      is-array-list (entries b) (entriesi bi)
      * is-hashmap (getentry b) (getentryi bi)

  lemma is-pointermap-impl-prec: precise is-pointermap-impl
    <proof>

  definition pointermap-empty where
    pointermap-empty  $\equiv$  do {
      hm  $\leftarrow$  hm-new;
      arl  $\leftarrow$  arl-empty;
      return (entriesi = arl, getentryi = hm )
    }

  lemma [sep-heap-rules]: < emp > pointermap-empty <is-pointermap-impl empty-pointermap>t
    <proof>

  definition pm-pthi where
    pm-pthi m p  $\equiv$  arl-nth (entriesi m) p

  lemma [sep-heap-rules]: pointermap-sane m  $\implies$  pointermap-p-valid p m  $\implies$ 
    < is-pointermap-impl m mi > pm-pthi mi p < $\lambda$ ai. is-pointermap-impl m mi *
     $\uparrow$ (ai = pm-pth m p)>
    <proof>

  definition pointermap-getmki where
    pointermap-getmki a m  $\equiv$  do {
      lo  $\leftarrow$  ht-lookup a (getentryi m);
      (case lo of
        Some l  $\Rightarrow$  return (l,m) |
        None  $\Rightarrow$  do {
          p  $\leftarrow$  return (snd (entriesi m)));
    }

```

```

    ent ← arl-append (entriesi m) a;
    lut ← hm-update a p (getentryi m);
    u ← return (|entriesi = ent, getentryi = lut|);
    return (p,u)
  }
)
}

```

lemmas *pointermap-getmki-defs* = *pointermap-getmki-def* *pointermap-getmk-def*
pointermap-insert-def *is-pointermap-impl-def*

lemma [*sep-heap-rules*]: *pointermap-sane* $m \implies$ *pointermap-getmk* $a\ m = (p,u)$
 \implies
 \langle *is-pointermap-impl* $m\ mi$ \rangle
pointermap-getmki $a\ mi$
 $\langle \lambda(pi,ui). is-pointermap-impl\ u\ ui * \uparrow(pi = p) \rangle_t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

10 Imperative implementation

theory *Conc-Impl*

imports *Pointer-Map-Impl* *Middle-Impl*

begin

record *bddi* =

dpmi :: (*nat* × *nat* × *nat*) *pointermap-impl*

dcli :: ((*nat* × *nat* × *nat*), *nat*) *hashtable*

lemma *bdd-exhaust*: *dpm* $a = dpm\ b \implies dcl\ a = dcl\ b \implies a = (b :: bdd)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

instantiation *prod* :: (*default*, *default*) *default*

begin

definition *default-prod* :: ('*a* × '*b*) ≡ (*default*, *default*)

instance $\langle proof \rangle$

end

instantiation *nat* :: *default*

begin

definition *default-nat* ≡ 0 :: *nat*

instance $\langle proof \rangle$

end

definition *is-bdd-impl* (*bdd*::*bdd*) (*bddi*::*bddi*) = *is-pointermap-impl* (*dpm* *bdd*) (*dpmi* *bddi*) * *is-hashmap* (*dcl* *bdd*) (*dcli* *bddi*)

lemma *is-bdd-impl-prec*: *precise is-bdd-impl*

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition *emptyci* :: *bddi* *Heap* ≡ *do* { *ep* ← *pointermap-empty*; *ehm* ← *hm-new*;

return ($\langle dpmi=ep, dcli=ehm \rangle$)

definition *tci* *bdd* \equiv *return* ($1::nat, bdd::bddi$)

definition *fci* *bdd* \equiv *return* ($0::nat, bdd::bddi$)

definition *ifci* *v t e bdd* \equiv (if $t = e$ then *return* (t, bdd) else do {
 $(p, u) \leftarrow pointermap-getmki (v, t, e) (dpmi\ bdd)$;
return (*Suc* (*Suc* p), *dpmi-update* (*const* u) *bdd*)
})

definition *destrci* $:: nat \Rightarrow bddi \Rightarrow (nat, nat)$ *IFEXD Heap where*

destrci $n\ bdd \equiv$ (case n of

$0 \Rightarrow$ *return* *FD* |

Suc $0 \Rightarrow$ *return* *TD* |

Suc (*Suc* p) $\Rightarrow pm-pthi (dpmi\ bdd)\ p \gg= (\lambda(v,t,e). \textit{return} (IFD\ v\ t\ e))$)

term *mi.les*

lemma *emptyci-rule[sep-heap-rules]*: $\langle emp \rangle\ \textit{emptyci} \langle is-bdd-impl\ \textit{emptymi} \rangle_t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma [*sep-heap-rules*]: *tmi'* *bdd* = *Some* (p, bdd')
 $\Rightarrow \langle is-bdd-impl\ bdd\ bddi \rangle$
 $tci\ bddi$
 $\langle \lambda(pi, bddi'). is-bdd-impl\ bdd'\ bddi' * \uparrow(pi = p) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma [*sep-heap-rules*]: *fmi'* *bdd* = *Some* (p, bdd')
 $\Rightarrow \langle is-bdd-impl\ bdd\ bddi \rangle$
 $fci\ bddi$
 $\langle \lambda(pi, bddi'). is-bdd-impl\ bdd'\ bddi' * \uparrow(pi = p) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma [*sep-heap-rules*]: *ifmi'* *v t e bdd* = *Some* (p, bdd') \Rightarrow
 $\langle is-bdd-impl\ bdd\ bddi \rangle\ \textit{ifci}\ v\ t\ e\ bddi$
 $\langle \lambda(pi, bddi'). is-bdd-impl\ bdd'\ bddi' * \uparrow(pi = p) \rangle_t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *destrci-rule[sep-heap-rules]*:
 $\textit{destrmi}'\ n\ bdd = \textit{Some}\ r \Rightarrow$
 $\langle is-bdd-impl\ bdd\ bddi \rangle\ \textit{destrci}\ n\ bddi$
 $\langle \lambda r'. is-bdd-impl\ bdd\ bddi * \uparrow(r' = r) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

term *mi.restrict-top-impl*

thm *mi.case-ifexi-def*

definition *case-ifexici* *fti ffi fii ni bddi* \equiv do {
 $\textit{dest} \leftarrow \textit{destrci}\ ni\ bddi$;
case *dest* of *TD* \Rightarrow *fti* | *FD* \Rightarrow *ffi* | *IFD* $v\ ti\ ei \Rightarrow$ *fii* $v\ ti\ ei$
}

lemma [sep-decon-rules]:
assumes S : $mi.case\text{-}ifexi\ fti\ ffi\ fii\ ni\ bdd = Some\ r$
assumes [sep-heap-rules]:
 $destrmi'\ ni\ bdd = Some\ TD \implies fti\ bdd = Some\ r \implies \langle is\text{-}bdd\text{-}impl\ bdd\ bddi \rangle$
 $ftci\ \langle Q \rangle$
 $destrmi'\ ni\ bdd = Some\ FD \implies ffi\ bdd = Some\ r \implies \langle is\text{-}bdd\text{-}impl\ bdd\ bddi \rangle$
 $ffci\ \langle Q \rangle$
 $\bigwedge v\ t\ e.\ destrmi'\ ni\ bdd = Some\ (IFD\ v\ t\ e) \implies fii\ v\ t\ e\ bdd = Some\ r$
 $\implies \langle is\text{-}bdd\text{-}impl\ bdd\ bddi \rangle\ fici\ v\ t\ e\ \langle Q \rangle$
shows $\langle is\text{-}bdd\text{-}impl\ bdd\ bddi \rangle\ case\text{-}ifexici\ ftc\ i\ ffci\ fici\ ni\ bddi\ \langle Q \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $restrict\text{-}topci\ p\ vr\ vl\ bdd =$
 $case\text{-}ifexici$
 $(return\ p)$
 $(return\ p)$
 $(\lambda v\ te\ ee.\ return\ (if\ v = vr\ then\ (if\ vl\ then\ te\ else\ ee)\ else\ p))$
 $p\ bdd$

lemma [sep-heap-rules]:
assumes $mi.restrict\text{-}top\text{-}impl\ p\ var\ val\ bdd = Some\ (r, bdd')$
shows $\langle is\text{-}bdd\text{-}impl\ bdd\ bddi \rangle\ restrict\text{-}topci\ p\ var\ val\ bddi$
 $\langle \lambda ri.\ is\text{-}bdd\text{-}impl\ bdd\ bddi * \uparrow(ri = r) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

fun $lowest\text{-}topsci\ where$
 $lowest\text{-}topsci\ []\ s = return\ None\ |$
 $lowest\text{-}topsci\ (e\#es)\ s =$
 $case\text{-}ifexici$
 $(lowest\text{-}topsci\ es\ s)$
 $(lowest\text{-}topsci\ es\ s)$
 $(\lambda v\ t\ e.\ do\ \{$
 $(rec) \leftarrow lowest\text{-}topsci\ es\ s;$
 $(case\ rec\ of$
 $Some\ u \Rightarrow return\ ((Some\ (min\ u\ v)))\ |$
 $None \Rightarrow return\ ((Some\ v)))$
 $\})\ e\ s$

declare $lowest\text{-}topsci.simps[simp\ del]$

lemma [sep-heap-rules]:
assumes $mi.lowest\text{-}top\text{-}impl\ es\ bdd = Some\ (r, bdd')$
shows $\langle is\text{-}bdd\text{-}impl\ bdd\ bddi \rangle\ lowest\text{-}topsci\ es\ bddi$
 $\langle \lambda(ri).\ is\text{-}bdd\text{-}impl\ bdd\ bddi * \uparrow(ri = r \wedge bdd' = bdd) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

partial-function($heap$) $iteci\ where$

```

iteci i t e s = do {
  (lt) ← lowest-topsci [i, t, e] s;
  case lt of
    Some a ⇒ do {
      ti ← restrict-topci i a True s;
      tt ← restrict-topci t a True s;
      te ← restrict-topci e a True s;
      fi ← restrict-topci i a False s;
      ft ← restrict-topci t a False s;
      fe ← restrict-topci e a False s;
      (tb,s') ← iteci ti tt te s;
      (fb,s'') ← iteci fi ft fe s';
      (ifci a tb fb s'')
    }
  | None ⇒ do {
    case-ifexici (return (t,s)) (return (e,s)) (λ- - -. raise STR "Cannot happen") i
  }
}
s
}
}
}
declare iteci.simps[code]

```

lemma *iteci-rule*:

```

(mi.ite-impl i t e bdd = Some (p,bdd')) →
<is-bdd-impl bdd bddi>
  iteci i t e bddi
<λ(pi,bddi'). is-bdd-impl bdd' bddi' * ↑(pi=p)>_t
<proof>

```

declare *iteci-rule*[THEN mp, sep-heap-rules]

definition *param-optci* **where**

```

param-optci i t e bdd = do {
  (tr, bdd) ← tci bdd;
  (fl, bdd) ← fci bdd;
  id ← destrci i bdd;
  td ← destrci t bdd;
  ed ← destrci e bdd;
  return (
    if id = TD then Some t else
      if id = FD then Some e else
        if td = TD ∧ ed = FD then Some i else
          if t = e then Some t else
            if ed = TD ∧ i = t then Some tr else
              if td = FD ∧ i = e then Some fl else
                None, bdd)
}

```

lemma *param-optci-rule*:

```

(mi.param-opt-impl i t e bdd = Some (p,bdd')) ⇒

```



```

lu ← hm-lookup (i, t, e) (dcli s);
case lu of None ⇒ let po = if i = 1 then Some t
                    else if i = 0 then Some e else if t = 1 ∧ e = 0 then Some
i else if t = e then Some t else if e = 1 ∧ i = t then Some 1 else if t = 0 ∧ i = e
then Some 0 else None
                    in case po of None ⇒ do {
                        id ← destrci i s;
                        td ← destrci t s;
                        ed ← destrci e s;
                        let a = (case id of IFD v t e ⇒ v);
                        let a = (case td of IFD v t e ⇒ min a v | - ⇒ a);
                        let a = (case ed of IFD v t e ⇒ min a v | - ⇒ a);
                        let ti = (case id of IFD v ti ei ⇒ if v = a then ti
else i | - ⇒ i);
                        let tt = (case td of IFD v ti ei ⇒ if v = a then ti
else t | - ⇒ t);
                        let te = (case ed of IFD v ti ei ⇒ if v = a then ti
else e | - ⇒ e);
                        let fi = (case id of IFD v ti ei ⇒ if v = a then ei
else i | - ⇒ i);
                        let ft = (case td of IFD v ti ei ⇒ if v = a then ei
else t | - ⇒ t);
                        let fe = (case ed of IFD v ti ei ⇒ if v = a then ei
else e | - ⇒ e);
                        (tb, s) ← iteci-lu-code ti tt te s;
                        (fb, s) ← iteci-lu-code fi ft fe s;
                        (r, s) ← ifci a tb fb s;
                        cl ← hm-update (i, t, e) r (dcli s);
                        return (r, dcli-update (const cl) s)
                    }
                    | Some b ⇒ return (b, s)
                | Some b ⇒ return (b, s)
            }

```

declare *iteci-lu-code.simps*[*code*]

lemma *iteci-lu-code*[*code-unfold*]: *iteci-lu i t e s = iteci-lu-code i t e s*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *iteci-lu-rule*:

(*mi.ite-impl-lu i t e bdd = Some (p, bdd')*) →
 <*is-bdd-impl bdd bddi*>
 iteci-lu i t e bddi
 < $\lambda(pi, bddi). is-bdd-impl bdd' bddi' * \uparrow(pi=p)$ >_{*t*}
 ⟨*proof*⟩

10.1 A standard library of functions

declare *iteci-rule*[*THEN mp, sep-heap-rules*]

definition *notci e s* \equiv *do* {

(*f,s*) \leftarrow *fci s*;

(*t,s*) \leftarrow *tci s*;

iteci-lu e f t s

}

definition *orci e1 e2 s* \equiv *do* {

(*t,s*) \leftarrow *tci s*;

iteci-lu e1 t e2 s

}

definition *andci e1 e2 s* \equiv *do* {

(*f,s*) \leftarrow *fci s*;

iteci-lu e1 e2 f s

}

definition *norci e1 e2 s* \equiv *do* {

(*r,s*) \leftarrow *orci e1 e2 s*;

notci r s

}

definition *nandci e1 e2 s* \equiv *do* {

(*r,s*) \leftarrow *andci e1 e2 s*;

notci r s

}

definition *biimpci a b s* \equiv *do* {

(*nb,s*) \leftarrow *notci b s*;

iteci-lu a b nb s

}

definition *xorci a b s* \equiv *do* {

(*nb,s*) \leftarrow *notci b s*;

iteci-lu a nb b s

}

definition *litci v bdd* \equiv *do* {

(*t,bdd*) \leftarrow *tci bdd*;

(*f,bdd*) \leftarrow *fci bdd*;

ifci v t f bdd

}

definition *tautci v bdd* \equiv *do* {

d \leftarrow *destrci v bdd*;

return (d = TD)

}

10.2 Printing

The following functions are exported unverified. They are intended for BDD debugging purposes.

partial-function(*heap*) *serializeci* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *bddi* \Rightarrow ((*nat* \times *nat*) \times *nat*) *list Heap*

```

where
serializeci p s = do {
  d ← destrci p s;
  (case d of
    IFD v t e ⇒ do {
      r ← serializeci t s;
      l ← serializeci e s;
      return (remdups (((p,t),1),((p,e),0)] @ r @ l))
    } |
    - ⇒ return []
  )
}
declare serializeci.simps[code]

fun mapM where
mapM f [] = return [] |
mapM f (a#as) = do {
  r ← f a;
  rs ← mapM f as;
  return (r#rs)
}
definition liftM f ma = do { a ← ma; return (f a) }
definition sequence = mapM id
term liftM (map f)
lemma liftM (map f) (sequence l) = sequence (map (liftM f) l)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

fun string-of-nat :: nat ⇒ string where
string-of-nat n = (if n < 10 then [char-of-nat (48 + n)]
  else string-of-nat (n div 10) @ [char-of-nat (48 + (n mod
10))])

```

```

definition labelci :: bddi ⇒ nat ⇒ (string × string × string) Heap where
labelci s n = do {
  d ← destrci n s;
  let son = string-of-nat n;
  let label = (case d of
    TD ⇒ "T" |
    FD ⇒ "F" |
    (IFD v -) ⇒ string-of-nat v);
  return (label, son, son @ "[label=" @ label @ "];
  ")
}

```

```

definition graphifyci1 bdd a ≡ do {
  let ((f,t),y) = a;
  let c = (string-of-nat f @ " -> " @ string-of-nat t);
  return (c @ (case y of 0 ⇒ "[style=dotted]" | Suc - ⇒ "")) @ ";

```

```

')
}

```

definition $trd = snd \circ snd$

definition $fstp = apsnd fst$

definition $the-thing-By\ f\ l = (let$
 $nub = remdups (map\ fst\ l)\ in$
 $map\ (\lambda e. (e, map\ snd\ (filter\ (\lambda g. (f\ e\ (fst\ g)))\ l)))\ nub)$

definition $the-thing = the-thing-By\ (=)$

definition $graphifyci :: string \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bddi \Rightarrow string\ Heap\ \mathbf{where}$
 $graphifyci\ name\ ep\ bdd \equiv do\ \{$
 $s \leftarrow serializeci\ ep\ bdd;$
 $let\ e = map\ fst\ s;$
 $l \leftarrow mapM\ (labelci\ bdd)\ (rev\ (remdups\ (map\ fst\ e\ @\ map\ snd\ e)));$
 $let\ grp = (map\ (\lambda l. foldr\ (\lambda a\ t. t\ @\ a\ @\ ";\")\ (snd\ l)\ ""\{rank=same;\}\ @\ ""\}$
 $''\)\ (the-thing\ (map\ fstp\ l)));$
 $e \leftarrow mapM\ (graphifyci1\ bdd)\ s;$
 $let\ emptyhlp = (case\ ep\ of\ 0 \Rightarrow "F;$
 $''\ | Suc\ 0 \Rightarrow "T;$
 $''\ | - \Rightarrow ""');$
 $return\ ("digraph\ ''\ @\ name\ @\ ''\ \{$
 $''\ @\ concat\ (map\ trd\ l)\ @\ concat\ grp\ @\ concat\ e\ @\ emptyhlp\ @\ ''\}')$
 $\}$

end

11 Collapsing the levels

theory *Level-Collapse*

imports *Conc-Impl*

begin

The theory up to this point is implemented in a way that separated the different aspects into different levels. This is highly beneficial for us, since it allows us to tackle the difficulties arising in small chunks. However, exporting this to the user would be highly impractical. Thus, this theory collapses all the different levels (i.e. refinement steps) and relates the computations in the heap monad to *boolfunc*.

definition $bddmi-rel\ cs \equiv \{(a,c) \mid a\ b\ c. (a,b) \in bf-ifex-rel \wedge (c,b) \in Rmi\ cs\}$

definition $bdd-relator :: (nat\ boolfunc \times nat)\ set \Rightarrow bddi \Rightarrow assn\ \mathbf{where}$
 $bdd-relator\ p\ s \equiv \exists_A\ cs. is-bdd-impl\ cs\ s * \uparrow(p \subseteq (bddmi-rel\ cs) \wedge bdd-sane\ cs) * true$

The *assn* predicate *bdd-relator* is the interface that is exposed to the user. (The contents of the definition are not exposed.)

lemma *bdd-relator-mono*[intro!]: $q \subseteq p \implies \text{bdd-relator } p \ s \implies_A \text{bdd-relator } q \ s$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *bdd-relator-absorb-true*[simp]: $\text{bdd-relator } p \ s * \text{true} = \text{bdd-relator } p \ s$ ⟨proof⟩

thm *bdd-relator-def*[unfolded *bddmi-rel-def*, *simplified*]

lemma *join-hlp1*: $\text{is-bdd-impl } a \ s * \text{is-bdd-impl } b \ s \implies_A \text{is-bdd-impl } a \ s * \text{is-bdd-impl } b \ s * \uparrow(a = b)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *join-hlp*: $\text{is-bdd-impl } a \ s * \text{is-bdd-impl } b \ s = \text{is-bdd-impl } b \ s * \text{is-bdd-impl } a \ s * \uparrow(a = b)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *add-true-asm*:

assumes $\langle b * \text{true} \rangle \ p \ \langle a \rangle_t$

shows $\langle b \rangle \ p \ \langle a \rangle_t$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *add-anything*:

assumes $\langle b \rangle \ p \ \langle a \rangle$

shows $\langle b * x \rangle \ p \ \langle \lambda r. a \ r * x \rangle_t$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *add-true*:

assumes $\langle b \rangle \ p \ \langle a \rangle_t$

shows $\langle b * \text{true} \rangle \ p \ \langle a \rangle_t$

⟨proof⟩

definition *node-relator* **where** $\text{node-relator } x \ y \longleftrightarrow x \in y$

sep-auto behaves sub-optimal when having $(bf, bdd) \in \text{computed-pointer-relation}$ as assumption in our cases. Using *node-relator* instead fixes this behavior with a custom solver for *simp*.

lemma *node-relatorI*: $x \in y \implies \text{node-relator } x \ y$ ⟨proof⟩

lemma *node-relatorD*: $\text{node-relator } x \ y \implies x \in y$ ⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩

This is the general form one wants to work with: if a function on the bdd is called with a set of already existing and valid pointers, the arguments to the function have to be in that set. The result is that one more pointer is the set of existing and valid pointers.

thm *iteci-rule*[THEN *mp*] *mi.ite-impl-R ifex-ite-rel-bf*

lemma *iteci-rule*[*sep-heap-rules*]:

$\llbracket \text{node-relator } (ib, ic) \ rp; \text{node-relator } (tb, tc) \ rp; \text{node-relator } (eb, ec) \ rp \rrbracket \implies$

$\langle \text{bdd-relator } rp \ s \rangle$
 $\text{iteci-lu } ic \ tc \ ec \ s$
 $\langle \lambda(r,s'). \text{ bdd-relator } (\text{insert } (\text{bf-ite } ib \ tb \ eb,r) \ rp) \ s' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{tci-rule}[\text{sep-heap-rules}]$:
 $\langle \text{bdd-relator } rp \ s \rangle$
 $\text{tci } s$
 $\langle \lambda(r,s'). \text{ bdd-relator } (\text{insert } (\text{bf-True},r) \ rp) \ s' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{fci-rule}[\text{sep-heap-rules}]$:
 $\langle \text{bdd-relator } rp \ s \rangle$
 $\text{fci } s$
 $\langle \lambda(r,s'). \text{ bdd-relator } (\text{insert } (\text{bf-False},r) \ rp) \ s' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

IFC/ifmi/ifci require that the variable order is ensured by the user. Instead of using ifci, a combination of litci and iteci has to be used.

lemma $[\text{sep-heap-rules}]$:
 $\llbracket (tb, tc) \in rp; (eb, ec) \in rp \rrbracket \implies$
 $\langle \text{bdd-relator } rp \ s \rangle$
 $\text{ifci } v \ tc \ ec \ s$
 $\langle \lambda(r,s'). \text{ bdd-relator } (\text{insert } (\text{bf-if } v \ tb \ eb,r) \ rp) \ s' \rangle$

This probably doesn't hold.

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{notci-rule}[\text{sep-heap-rules}]$:
assumes $\text{node-relator } (tb, tc) \ rp$
shows $\langle \text{bdd-relator } rp \ s \rangle \text{ notci } tc \ s \langle \lambda(r,s'). \text{ bdd-relator } (\text{insert } (\text{bf-not } tb,r) \ rp) \ s' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cirules1}[\text{sep-heap-rules}]$:
assumes $\text{node-relator } (tb, tc) \ rp \ \text{node-relator } (eb, ec) \ rp$
shows
 $\langle \text{bdd-relator } rp \ s \rangle \text{ andci } tc \ ec \ s \langle \lambda(r,s'). \text{ bdd-relator } (\text{insert } (\text{bf-and } tb \ eb,r) \ rp) \ s' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{bdd-relator } rp \ s \rangle \text{ orci } tc \ ec \ s \langle \lambda(r,s'). \text{ bdd-relator } (\text{insert } (\text{bf-or } tb \ eb,r) \ rp) \ s' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{bdd-relator } rp \ s \rangle \text{ biimpci } tc \ ec \ s \langle \lambda(r,s'). \text{ bdd-relator } (\text{insert } (\text{bf-biimp } tb \ eb,r) \ rp) \ s' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{bdd-relator } rp \ s \rangle \text{ xorci } tc \ ec \ s \langle \lambda(r,s'). \text{ bdd-relator } (\text{insert } (\text{bf-xor } tb \ eb,r) \ rp) \ s' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cirules2}[\text{sep-heap-rules}]$:

assumes *node-relator* (tb, tc) *rp* *node-relator* (eb, ec) *rp*
shows
 <*bdd-relator* *rp* *s*> *nandci* tc ec *s* < $\lambda(r,s')$. *bdd-relator* (*insert* (bf-nand tb eb,r)
rp) *s'*>
 <*bdd-relator* *rp* *s*> *norci* tc ec *s* < $\lambda(r,s')$. *bdd-relator* (*insert* (bf-nor tb eb,r)
rp) *s'*>
 <*proof*>

lemma *litci-rule*[*sep-heap-rules*]:
 <*bdd-relator* *rp* *s*> *litci* v *s* < $\lambda(r,s')$. *bdd-relator* (*insert* (bf-lit v,r) *rp*) *s'*>
 <*proof*>

lemma *tautci-rule*[*sep-heap-rules*]:
shows *node-relator* (tb, tc) *rp* \implies <*bdd-relator* *rp* *s*> *tautci* tc *s* < λr . *bdd-relator*
rp *s* * $\uparrow(r \longleftrightarrow tb = \text{bf-True})$ >
 <*proof*>

lemma *emptyci-rule*[*sep-heap-rules*]:
shows <*emp*> *emptyci* < λr . *bdd-relator* {} *r*>
 <*proof*>

lemmas [*simp*] = *bf-ite-def*

Efficient comparison of two nodes.

definition *eqci* a b \equiv *return* (a = b)

lemma *iteeq-rule*[*sep-heap-rules*]:
 $\llbracket \text{node-relator } (xb, xc) \text{ } rp; \text{ node-relator } (yb, yc) \text{ } rp \rrbracket \implies$
 <*bdd-relator* *rp* *s*>
eqci xc yc
 < λr . $\uparrow(r \longleftrightarrow xb = yb)$ >_t
 <*proof*>

end

12 Tests and examples

theory *BDD-Examples*
imports *Level-Collapse*
begin

Just two simple examples:

lemma <*emp*> *do* {
s \leftarrow *emptyci*;
 (t,s) \leftarrow *tci* *s*;
tautci t *s*


```
} <λr. ↑(r = True)>t  
<proof>
```

```
lemma <emp> do {  
  s ← emptyci;  
  (a,s) ← litci 0 s;  
  (b,s) ← litci 1 s;  
  (c,s) ← litci 2 s;  
  (t1i,s) ← orci a b s;  
  (t1,s) ← andci t1i c s;  
  (t2i1,s) ← andci a c s;  
  (t2i2,s) ← andci b c s;  
  (t2,s) ← orci t2i1 t2i2 s;  
  eqci t1 t2  
}<↑>t  
<proof>
```

end

References

- [1] K. S. Brace, R. L. Rudell, and R. E. Bryant. Efficient implementation of a BDD package. In *Proceedings of the 27th ACM/IEEE design automation conference*, pages 40–45. ACM, 1991.
- [2] M. Giorgino and M. Strecker. Correctness of pointer manipulating algorithms illustrated by a verified BDD construction. In *FM 2012: Formal Methods*, pages 202–216. Springer, 2012.
- [3] T. Nipkow. Boolean Expression Checkers. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, June 2014. http://isa-afp.org/entries/Boolean_Expression_Checkers.shtml, Formal proof development.
- [4] V. Ortner and N. Schirmer. BDD Normalisation. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, Feb. 2008. <http://isa-afp.org/entries/BDD.shtml>, Formal proof development.