

# An implementation of ROBDDs for Isabelle/HOL

Julius Michaelis and Maximilian Haslbeck and Peter Lammich and Lars Hupel

March 17, 2025

## Abstract

We present a verified and executable implementation of ROBDDs in Isabelle/HOL. Our implementation relates pointer-based computation in the Heap monad to operations on an abstract definition of boolean functions. Internally, we implemented the if-then-else combinator in a recursive fashion, following the Shannon decomposition of the argument functions. The implementation mixes and adapts known techniques and is built with efficiency in mind.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Preface</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Boolean functions</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Shannon decomposition . . . . .	3
<b>3</b>	<b>Binary Decision Trees</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Option Helpers</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Abstract ITE Implementation</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Pointermapping</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Functional interpretation for the abstract implementation</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Array List</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Imperative implementation for Pointermapping</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Imperative implementation</b>	<b>47</b>
10.1	A standard library of functions . . . . .	55
10.2	Printing . . . . .	56
<b>11</b>	<b>Collapsing the levels</b>	<b>57</b>

## 1 Preface

This work is not the first to deal with BDDs in Isabelle/HOL. Ortner and Schirmer have formalized BDDs in [4] and proved the correctness of an algorithm that transforms arbitrary BDDs to ROBDDs. However, their specification does not provide efficiently executable algorithms on BDDs. Giorgino and Strecker have presented efficiently executable algorithms for ROBDDs [2] by reducing their arguments to manipulating edges of graphs. However, they have, to the best of our knowledge, not made their theory files available. Thus, no library for efficient computation on (RO)BDDs in Isabelle/HOL existed. Our work is a response to that situation.

The theoretic background of the implementation is mostly based on [1].

## 2 Boolean functions

```
theory Bool-Func
imports Main
begin
```

The end result of our implementation is verified against these functions:

```
type-synonym 'a boolefunc = ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ bool
```

if-then-else on boolean functions.

```
definition bf-ite i t e ≡ (λl. if i l then t l else e l)
```

if-then-else is interesting because we can, together with constant true and false, represent all binary boolean functions using maximally two applications of it.

```
abbreviation bf-True ≡ (λl. True)
```

```
abbreviation bf-False ≡ (λl. False)
```

A quick demonstration:

```
definition bf-and a b ≡ bf-ite a b bf-False
```

```
lemma (bf-and a b) as ←→ a as ∧ b as unfolding bf-and-def bf-ite-def by meson
```

```
definition bf-not b ≡ bf-ite b bf-False bf-True
```

```
lemma bf-not-alt: bf-not a as ←→ ¬a as unfolding bf-not-def bf-ite-def by meson
```

For convenience, we want a few functions more:

```
definition bf-or a b ≡ bf-ite a bf-True b
```

```
definition bf-lit v ≡ (λl. l v)
```

```
definition bf-if v t e ≡ bf-ite (bf-lit v) t e
```

```

lemma bf-if-alt: bf-if v t e = ( $\lambda l. \text{if } l \text{ v then } t \text{ l else } e \text{ l}$ ) unfolding bf-if-def bf-ite-def
bf-lit-def ..
definition bf-nand a b = bf-not (bf-and a b)
definition bf-nor a b = bf-not (bf-or a b)
definition bf-biimp a b = (bf-ite a b (bf-not b))
lemma bf-biimp-alt: bf-biimp a b = ( $\lambda l. a \text{ l} \longleftrightarrow b \text{ l}$ ) unfolding bf-biimp-def
bf-not-def bf-ite-def by(simp add: fun-eq-iff)
definition bf-xor a b = bf-not (bf-biimp a b)
lemma bf-xor-alt: bf-xor a b = (bf-ite a (bf-not b) b)
unfolding bf-xor-def bf-biimp-def bf-not-def
unfolding bf-ite-def
by simp

```

All of these are implemented and had their implementation verified.

```

definition bf-imp a b = bf-ite a b bf-True
lemma bf-imp-alt: bf-imp a b = bf-or (bf-not a) b unfolding bf-or-def bf-not-def
bf-imp-def unfolding bf-ite-def unfolding fun-eq-iff by simp

lemma [dest!,elim!]: bf-False = bf-True  $\implies$  False bf-True = bf-False  $\implies$  False
unfolding fun-eq-iff by simp-all

lemmas [simp] = bf-and-def bf-or-def bf-nand-def bf-biimp-def bf-xor-alt bf-nor-def
bf-not-def

```

## 2.1 Shannon decomposition

A restriction of a boolean function on a variable is creating the boolean function that evaluates as if that variable was set to a fixed value:

```
definition bf-restrict (i::'a) (val::bool) (f::'a boolfunc)  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda v. f(v(i:=\text{val}))$ )
```

Restrictions are useful, because they remove variables from the set of significant variables:

```

definition bf-vars bf = {v.  $\exists a. \text{bf-restrict } v \text{ True } bf \text{ as} \neq \text{bf-restrict } v \text{ False } bf \text{ as}$ }
lemma var  $\notin$  bf-vars (bf-restrict var val ex)
unfolding bf-vars-def bf-restrict-def by(simp)

```

We can decompose calculating if-then-else into computing if-then-else of two triples of functions with one variable restricted to true / false. Given that the functions have finite arity, we can use this to construct a recursive definition.

```

lemma brace90shannon: bf-ite F G H ass =
bf-ite ( $\lambda l. l i$ )
(bf-ite (bf-restrict i True F) (bf-restrict i True G) (bf-restrict i True H))
(bf-ite (bf-restrict i False F) (bf-restrict i False G) (bf-restrict i False H))
ass
unfolding bf-ite-def bf-restrict-def by (auto simp add: fun-upd-idem)

```

**end**

### 3 Binary Decision Trees

```
theory BDT
imports Bool-Func
begin
```

We first define all operations and properties on binary decision trees. This has the advantage that we can use a simple, structurally defined type and the disadvantage that we cannot represent sharing.

```
datatype 'a ifex = Trueif | Falseif | IF 'a 'a ifex 'a ifex
```

The type is the same as in Boolean Expression Checkers by Nipkow [3]. Internally, Boolean Expression Checkers transforms the boolean expressions to reduced BDTs of this type. Tests like being tautology testing are then trivial.

```
fun val-ifex :: 'a ifex => ('a => bool) => bool where
  val-ifex Trueif s = True |
  val-ifex Falseif s = False |
  val-ifex (IF n t1 t2) s = (if s n then val-ifex t1 s else val-ifex t2 s)
```

```
fun ifex-vars :: ('a :: linorder) ifex => 'a list where
  ifex-vars (IF v t e) = v # ifex-vars t @ ifex-vars e |
  ifex-vars Trueif = [] |
  ifex-vars Falseif = []
```

```
abbreviation ifex-var-set a ≡ set (ifex-vars a)
```

```
fun ifex-ordered :: ('a::linorder) ifex => bool where
  ifex-ordered (IF v t e) = ((∀ tv ∈ (ifex-var-set t ∪ ifex-var-set e). v < tv)
    ∧ ifex-ordered t ∧ ifex-ordered e) |
  ifex-ordered Trueif = True |
  ifex-ordered Falseif = True
```

```
fun ifex-minimal :: ('a::linorder) ifex => bool where
  ifex-minimal (IF v t e) ↔ t ≠ e ∧ ifex-minimal t ∧ ifex-minimal e |
  ifex-minimal Trueif = True |
  ifex-minimal Falseif = True
```

```
abbreviation ro-ifex where ro-ifex t ≡ ifex-ordered t ∧ ifex-minimal t
```

```
definition bf-ifex-rel where
  bf-ifex-rel = {(a,b). (∀ ass. a ass ↔ val-ifex b ass) ∧ ro-ifex b}
```

```
lemma ifex-var-noinfluence: x ∉ ifex-var-set b ==> val-ifex b (ass(x:=val)) =
  val-ifex b ass
  by (induction b, auto)
```

```
lemma roifex-var-not-in-subtree:
  assumes ro-ifex b and b = IF v t e
```

**shows**  $v \notin \text{ifex-var-set } t$  **and**  $v \notin \text{ifex-var-set } e$   
**using assms by** (*induction, auto*)

**lemma** *roifex-set-var-subtree*:  
**assumes** *ro-ifex b and b = IF v t e*  
**shows** *val-ifex b (ass(v:=True)) = val-ifex t ass*  
*val-ifex b (ass(v:=False)) = val-ifex e ass*  
**using assms by** (*auto intro!: ifex-var-noinfluence dest: roifex-var-not-in-subtree*)

**lemma** *roifex-Trueif-unique*: *ro-ifex b*  $\implies \forall \text{ass. val-ifex b ass} \implies b = \text{Trueif}$   
**proof(induction b)**  
**case** (*IF v b1 b2*) **with** *roifex-set-var-subtree[OF <ro-ifex (IF v b1 b2)>]* **show**  
**?case by force**  
**qed(auto)**

**lemma** *roifex-Falseif-unique*: *ro-ifex b*  $\implies \forall \text{ass. } \neg \text{val-ifex b ass} \implies b = \text{Falseif}$   
**proof(induction b)**  
**case** (*IF v b1 b2*) **with** *roifex-set-var-subtree[OF <ro-ifex (IF v b1 b2), of v b1 b2]* **show** **?case**  
**by fastforce**  
**qed(auto)**

**lemma**  $(f, b) \in \text{bf-ifex-rel} \implies b = \text{Trueif} \longleftrightarrow f = (\lambda \_. \text{True})$   
**unfolding** *bf-ifex-rel-def* **using** *roifex-Trueif-unique* **by** *auto*

**lemma**  $(f, b) \in \text{bf-ifex-rel} \implies b = \text{Falseif} \longleftrightarrow f = (\lambda \_. \text{False})$   
**unfolding** *bf-ifex-rel-def* **using** *roifex-Falseif-unique* **by** *auto*

**lemma** *ifex-ordered-not-part*: *ifex-ordered b*  $\implies b = \text{IF v b1 b2} \implies w < v \implies$   
 $w \notin \text{ifex-var-set } b$   
**using less-asym by** *fastforce*

**lemma** *ro-ifex-unique*: *ro-ifex x*  $\implies \text{ro-ifex y} \implies (\bigwedge \text{ass. val-ifex x ass} = \text{val-ifex y ass}) \implies x = y$   
**proof(induction x arbitrary: y)**  
**case** (*IF xv xb1 xb2*) **note** *IFind = IF*  
**from** *<ro-ifex (IF xv xb1 xb2)>* *<ro-ifex y>*  $\bigwedge \text{ass. val-ifex (IF xv xb1 xb2) ass} = \text{val-ifex y ass}$   
**show** **?case**  
**proof(induction y)**  
**case** (*IF yv yb1 yb2*)  
**obtain** *x where* *x-def: x = IF xv xb1 xb2* **by** *simp*  
**obtain** *y' where* *y'-def: y' = IF yv yb1 yb2* **by** *simp*  
**from** *y'-def x-def IFind IF have* 0: *ro-ifex xb1 ro-ifex xb2 ro-ifex yb1 ro-ifex yb2 ro-ifex x ro-ifex y'* **by** *auto*  
**from** *IF IFind x-def y'-def have* 1:  $\bigwedge \text{ass. val-ifex x ass} = \text{val-ifex y' ass}$   
**by** *simp*  
**show** **?case**  
**proof(cases xv = yv)**

```

    case True
have xb1 = yb1
  by (auto intro: IFind simp add: 0 1 True roifex-set-var-subtree[OF - y'-def]
           roifex-set-var-subtree[OF - x-def, symmetric])
moreover have xb2 = yb2
  by (auto intro: IFind simp add: 0 1 True roifex-set-var-subtree[OF - y'-def]
           roifex-set-var-subtree[OF - x-def, symmetric])
ultimately show ?thesis using True by simp
next
case False note uneq = False show ?thesis
proof(cases xv < yv)
  case True
    from ifex-ordered-not-part[OF - y'-def True] ifex-var-noinfluence[of xv y'
- True]
      0(6) roifex-set-var-subtree(1)[OF 0(5) x-def] 1
      have 5:  $\bigwedge \text{ass. val-ifex } xb1 \text{ ass} = \text{val-ifex } x \text{ ass}$  by blast
      from 0(5) ifex-var-noinfluence[of xv xb1 - False]
        ifex-var-noinfluence[of xv xb2 - False]
        x-def
        have  $\bigwedge \text{ass. val-ifex } xb1 (\text{ass}(xv := \text{False})) = \text{val-ifex } xb1 \text{ ass}$ 
           $\bigwedge \text{ass. val-ifex } xb2 (\text{ass}(xv := \text{False})) = \text{val-ifex } xb2 \text{ ass}$  by auto
      from 5 this roifex-set-var-subtree(2)[OF 0(5) x-def]
        have  $\bigwedge \text{ass. val-ifex } xb1 \text{ ass} = \text{val-ifex } xb2 \text{ ass}$  by presburger
        from IFind(1)[OF 0(1) 0(2)] this IFind(3) have False by auto
        from this show ?thesis ..
  next
  case False
    from this uneq have 6: yv < xv by auto
    from ifex-ordered-not-part[OF - x-def this]
      ifex-var-noinfluence[of yv x] 0(5)
      have  $\bigwedge \text{ass val. val-ifex } x (\text{ass}(yv := \text{val})) = \text{val-ifex } x \text{ ass}$ 
         $\bigwedge \text{ass val. val-ifex } x (\text{ass}(yv := \text{val})) = \text{val-ifex } x \text{ ass}$  by auto
    from this roifex-set-var-subtree[OF 0(5) x-def]
      have  $\bigwedge \text{ass val. val-ifex } x (\text{ass}(xv := \text{True}, yv := \text{val})) = \text{val-ifex } xb1 \text{ ass}$ 
         $\bigwedge \text{ass val. val-ifex } x (\text{ass}(xv := \text{False}, yv := \text{val})) = \text{val-ifex } xb2 \text{ ass}$ 
    by blast+
      from ifex-ordered-not-part[OF - x-def 6] 0(5) ifex-var-noinfluence[of yv x]
    1
      roifex-set-var-subtree[OF 0(6) y'-def]
      have  $\bigwedge \text{ass val. val-ifex } x \text{ ass} = \text{val-ifex } yb1 \text{ ass}$ 
         $\bigwedge \text{ass val. val-ifex } x \text{ ass} = \text{val-ifex } yb2 \text{ ass}$  by blast+
      from this IF(1,2) x-def 0(5) y'-def 0(6) have x = yb1 x = yb2 by
    fastforce+
      from this have yb1 = yb2 by auto
      from 0(6) y'-def this have False by auto
      thus ?thesis ..
  qed
qed
qed (fastforce intro: roifex-Falseif-unique roifex-Trueif-unique)+
```

```

qed (fastforce intro: roifix-Falseif-unique[symmetric] roifix-Trueif-unique[symmetric])+

theorem bf-ifex-rel-single: single-valued bf-ifex-rel single-valued (bf-ifex-rel-1)
  unfolding single-valued-def bf-ifex-rel-def using ro-ifex-unique by auto

lemma bf-ifex-eq: (af, at) ∈ bf-ifex-rel  $\implies$  (bf, bt) ∈ bf-ifex-rel  $\implies$  (af = bf)
 $\longleftrightarrow$  (at = bt)
  unfolding bf-ifex-rel-def using ro-ifex-unique by auto

lemma nonempty-if-var-set: ifex-vars (IF v t e)  $\neq \emptyset$  by auto

fun restrict where
  restrict (IF v t e) var val = (let rt = restrict t var val; re = restrict e var val in
    (if v = var then (if val then rt else re) else (IF v rt re))) |
  restrict i - - = i

declare Let-def[simp]

lemma not-element-restrict: var  $\notin$  ifex-var-set (restrict b var val)
  by (induction b) auto

lemma restrict-assignment: val-ifex b (ass(var := val))  $\longleftrightarrow$  val-ifex (restrict b var val) ass
  by (induction b) auto

lemma restrict-variables-subset: ifex-var-set (restrict b var val)  $\subseteq$  ifex-var-set b
  by (induction b) auto

lemma restrict-ifex-ordered-invar: ifex-ordered b  $\implies$  ifex-ordered (restrict b var val)
  using restrict-variables-subset by (induction b) (fastforce)+

lemma restrict-val-invar:  $\forall$  ass. a ass = val-ifex b ass  $\implies$ 
  (bf-restrict var val a) ass = val-ifex (restrict b var val) ass
  unfolding bf-restrict-def using restrict-assignment by simp

lemma restrict-untouched-id: x  $\notin$  ifex-var-set t  $\implies$  restrict t x val = t
proof(induction t)
  case (IF v t e)
    from IF.preds have x  $\notin$  ifex-var-set t x  $\notin$  ifex-var-set e by simp-all
    note mIH = IF.IH(1)[OF this(1)] IF.IH(2)[OF this(2)]
    from IF.preds have x  $\neq$  v by simp
    thus ?case unfolding restrict.simps Let-def mIH by simp
qed simp-all

fun ifex-top-var :: 'a ifex  $\Rightarrow$  'a option where
  ifex-top-var (IF v t e) = Some v |
  ifex-top-var - = None

```

```

fun restrict-top :: ('a :: linorder) ifex  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\Rightarrow$  'a ifex where
  restrict-top (IF v t e) var val = (if v = var then (if val then t else e) else (IF v t
e)) |
  restrict-top i - - = i

lemma restrict-top-id: ifex-ordered e  $\Rightarrow$  ifex-top-var e = Some v  $\Rightarrow$  v' < v  $\Rightarrow$ 
  restrict-top e v' val = e
  by(induction e) auto

lemma restrict-id: ifex-ordered e  $\Rightarrow$  ifex-top-var e = Some v  $\Rightarrow$  v' < v  $\Rightarrow$ 
  restrict e v' val = e
  proof(induction e arbitrary: v)
    case (IF w e1 e2) thus ?case by (cases e1; cases e2; force)
  qed(auto)

lemma restrict-top-IF-id: ifex-ordered (IF v t e)  $\Rightarrow$  v' < v  $\Rightarrow$  restrict-top (IF v
t e) v' val = (IF v t e)
  using restrict-top-id by auto

lemma restrict-IF-id: assumes o: ifex-ordered (IF v t e) assumes le: v' < v
  shows restrict (IF v t e) v' val = (IF v t e)
  using restrict-id[OF o, unfolded ifex-top-var.simps, OF refl le, of val] .

lemma restrict-top-eq: ifex-ordered (IF v t e)  $\Rightarrow$  restrict (IF v t e) v val =
  restrict-top (IF v t e) v val
  using restrict-untouched-id by auto

lemma restrict-top-ifex-ordered-invar: ifex-ordered b  $\Rightarrow$  ifex-ordered (restrict-top
b var val)
  by (induction b) simp-all

fun lowest-tops :: ('a :: linorder) ifex list  $\Rightarrow$  'a option where
  lowest-tops [] = None |
  lowest-tops ((IF v - -)\#r) = Some (case lowest-tops r of Some u  $\Rightarrow$  (min u v) |
  None  $\Rightarrow$  v) |
  lowest-tops (-\#r) = lowest-tops r

lemma lowest-tops-NoneD: lowest-tops k = None  $\Rightarrow$  ( $\neg$ ( $\exists$  v t e. ((IF v t e)  $\in$  set
k)))
  by (induction k rule: lowest-tops.induct) simp-all

lemma lowest-tops-in: lowest-tops k = Some l  $\Rightarrow$  l  $\in$  set (concat (map ifex-vars
k))
  by(induction k rule: lowest-tops.induct) (simp-all split: option.splits if-splits add:
min-def)

definition IFC v t e  $\equiv$  (if t = e then t else IF v t e)

```

```

function ifex-ite :: 'a ifex  $\Rightarrow$  'a ifex  $\Rightarrow$  'a ifex  $\Rightarrow$  ('a :: linorder) ifex where
  ifex-ite i t e = (case lowest-tops [i, t, e] of Some x  $\Rightarrow$ 
    (IFC x (ifex-ite (restrict-top i x True) (restrict-top t x True))
     (restrict-top e x True))
    (ifex-ite (restrict-top i x False) (restrict-top t x False)
     (restrict-top e x False)))
   | None  $\Rightarrow$  (case i of Trueif  $\Rightarrow$  t | Falseif  $\Rightarrow$  e))
by pat-completeness auto

lemma restrict-size-le: size (restrict-top k var val)  $\leq$  size k
by (induction k, auto)

lemma restrict-size-less: ifex-top-var k = Some var  $\implies$  size (restrict-top k var val)  $<$  size k
by (induction k, auto)

lemma lowest-tops-cases:
lowest-tops [i, t, e] = Some var  $\implies$  ifex-top-var i = Some var  $\vee$  ifex-top-var t
= Some var  $\vee$  ifex-top-var e = Some var
by ((cases i; cases t; cases e), auto simp add: min-def)

lemma lowest-tops-lowest: lowest-tops es = Some a  $\implies$  e  $\in$  set es  $\implies$  ifex-ordered
e  $\implies$  v  $\in$  ifex-var-set e  $\implies$  a  $\leq$  v
proof (induction arbitrary: a rule: lowest-tops.induct)
case 2 thus ?case
proof(cases e)
  case IF with 2 show ?thesis
  apply (simp add: min-def Ball-def less-imp-le split: if-splits option.splits)
  apply (meson less-imp-le lowest-tops-NoneD order-refl)
  by fastforce+
qed simp+
qed fastforce+

lemma termlemma2: lowest-tops [i, t, e] = Some xa  $\implies$ 
  (size (restrict-top i xa val) + size (restrict-top t xa val) + size (restrict-top e xa val)) <
  (size i + size t + size e)
  using restrict-size-le[of i xa val] restrict-size-le[of t xa val] restrict-size-le[of e xa val]
  by (auto dest!: lowest-tops-cases restrict-size-less[of - - val])

lemma termlemma: lowest-tops [i, t, e] = Some xa  $\implies$ 
  (case (restrict-top i xa val, restrict-top t xa val, restrict-top e xa val) of
   (i, t, e)  $\Rightarrow$  size i + size t + size e) <
  (case (i, t, e) of (i, t, e)  $\Rightarrow$  size i + size t + size e)
  using termlemma2 by fast

termination ifex-ite
  by (relation measure ( $\lambda(i,t,e).$  size i + size t + size e), rule wf-measure, unfold

```

*in-measure)*  
*(simp-all only: termlemma)*

```

definition const x - = x
declare const-def[simp]
lemma rel-true-false: (a, Trueif) ∈ bf-ifex-rel  $\implies$  a = const True (a, Falseif) ∈
bf-ifex-rel  $\implies$  a = const False
  unfolding fun-eq-iff const-def
  unfolding bf-ifex-rel-def
  by simp-all

lemma rel-if: (a, IF v t e) ∈ bf-ifex-rel  $\implies$  (ta, t) ∈ bf-ifex-rel  $\implies$  (ea, e) ∈
bf-ifex-rel  $\implies$  a = ( $\lambda$ as. if as v then ta as else ea as)
  unfolding fun-eq-iff const-def
  unfolding bf-ifex-rel-def
  by simp-all

lemma ifex-ordered-implied: (a, b) ∈ bf-ifex-rel  $\implies$  ifex-ordered b unfolding bf-ifex-rel-def
by simp
lemma ifex-minimal-implied: (a, b) ∈ bf-ifex-rel  $\implies$  ifex-minimal b unfolding
bf-ifex-rel-def by simp

lemma ifex-ite-induct2[case-names Trueif Falseif IF]:
  ( $\bigwedge i t e.$  lowest-tops [i, t, e] = None  $\implies$  i = Trueif  $\implies$  sentence i t e)  $\implies$ 
  ( $\bigwedge i t e.$  lowest-tops [i, t, e] = None  $\implies$  i = Falseif  $\implies$  sentence i t e)  $\implies$ 
  ( $\bigwedge i t e a.$  sentence (restrict-top i a True) (restrict-top t a True) (restrict-top e a
True)  $\implies$ 
    sentence (restrict-top i a False) (restrict-top t a False) (restrict-top e a
False)  $\implies$ 
    lowest-tops [i, t, e] = Some a  $\implies$  sentence i t e)  $\implies$  sentence i t e
proof(induction i t e rule: ifex-ite.induct, goal-cases)
  case (1 i t e) show ?case
  proof(cases lowest-tops [i, t, e])
    case None thus ?thesis by (cases i) (auto intro: 1)
  next
    case (Some a) thus ?thesis by(auto intro: 1)
  qed
qed

lemma ifex-ite-induct[case-names Trueif Falseif IF]:
  ( $\bigwedge i t e.$  lowest-tops [i, t, e] = None  $\implies$  i = Trueif  $\implies$  P i t e)  $\implies$ 
  ( $\bigwedge i t e.$  lowest-tops [i, t, e] = None  $\implies$  i = Falseif  $\implies$  P i t e)  $\implies$ 
  ( $\bigwedge i t e a.$  ( $\bigwedge val.$  P (restrict-top i a val) (restrict-top t a val) (restrict-top e a
val))  $\implies$ 
    lowest-tops [i, t, e] = Some a  $\implies$  P i t e)  $\implies$  P i t e
proof(induction i t e rule: ifex-ite-induct2)

```

```

case (IF i t e a)
have  $\wedge val$ . (P (restrict-top i a val) (restrict-top t a val) (restrict-top e a val))
  by (case-tac val) (clar simp, blast intro: IF)+
  with IF show ?case by blast
qed blast+

lemma restrict-top-subset:  $x \in \text{ifex-var-set} (\text{restrict-top } i \text{ } vr \text{ } vl) \implies x \in \text{ifex-var-set } i$ 
by(induction i) (simp-all split: if-splits)

lemma ifex-vars-subset:  $x \in \text{ifex-var-set} (\text{ifex-ite } i \text{ } t \text{ } e) \implies (x \in \text{ifex-var-set } i) \vee (x \in \text{ifex-var-set } t) \vee (x \in \text{ifex-var-set } e)$ 
proof(induction rule: ifex-ite-induct2)
  case (IF i t e a)
    have  $x \in \{x. x = a\} \vee x \in (\text{ifex-var-set} (\text{ifex-ite} (\text{restrict-top } i \text{ } a \text{ True}) (\text{restrict-top } t \text{ } a \text{ True}) (\text{restrict-top } e \text{ } a \text{ True}))) \vee x \in (\text{ifex-var-set} (\text{ifex-ite} (\text{restrict-top } i \text{ } a \text{ False}) (\text{restrict-top } t \text{ } a \text{ False}) (\text{restrict-top } e \text{ } a \text{ False}))$ 
    using IF by(simp add: IFC-def split: if-splits)
    hence  $x = a \vee$ 
       $x \in (\text{ifex-var-set} (\text{restrict-top } i \text{ } a \text{ True })) \vee x \in (\text{ifex-var-set} (\text{restrict-top } t \text{ } a \text{ True })) \vee x \in (\text{ifex-var-set} (\text{restrict-top } e \text{ } a \text{ True })) \vee$ 
       $x \in (\text{ifex-var-set} (\text{restrict-top } i \text{ } a \text{ False})) \vee x \in (\text{ifex-var-set} (\text{restrict-top } t \text{ } a \text{ False})) \vee x \in (\text{ifex-var-set} (\text{restrict-top } e \text{ } a \text{ False}))$ 
    using IF by blast
    thus ?case
      using restrict-top-subset apply -
      apply(erule disjE)
      subgoal using lowest-tops-in[OF IF(3)] apply(simp only: set-concat set-map set-simps) by blast
      by blast
qed simp-all

lemma three-ins:  $i \in \text{set } [i, t, e] \text{ } t \in \text{set } [i, t, e] \text{ } e \in \text{set } [i, t, e]$  by simp-all

lemma hlp3:  $\text{lowest-tops} (\text{IF } v \text{ } uu \text{ } uv \# r) \neq \text{lowest-tops} r \implies \text{lowest-tops} (\text{IF } v \text{ } uu \text{ } uv \# r) = \text{Some } v$ 
by(simp add: min-def split: option.splits if-splits)

lemma hlp2:  $\text{IF } vi \text{ } vt \text{ } ve \in \text{set } is \implies \text{lowest-tops } is = \text{Some } a \implies a \leq vi$ 
apply(induction is arbitrary: vt ve a rule: lowest-tops.induct)
  prefer 2
  subgoal
    apply(auto simp add: min-def split: if-splits option.splits dest: lowest-tops-NoneD)
    by (meson le-cases order-trans)
  by (auto)

lemma hlp1:  $i \in \text{set } is \implies \text{lowest-tops } is = \text{Some } a \implies \text{ifex-ordered } i \implies a \notin (\text{ifex-var-set} (\text{restrict-top } i \text{ } a \text{ val}))$ 
proof(rule ccontr, unfold not-not, goal-cases)

```

```

case 1
from 1(4) obtain vi vt ve where vi: i = IF vi vt ve by(cases i) simp-all
with 1 have ne: vi ≠ a by(simp split: if-splits) blast+
moreover have vi ≤ a using 1(3,4) proof(-,goal-cases)
case 1
hence a ∈ (ifex-var-set vt) ∨ a ∈ (ifex-var-set ve) using ne by(simp add: vi)
thus ?case using ‹ifex-ordered i› vi using less-imp-le by auto
qed
moreover have a ≤ vi using 1(1) unfolding vi using 1(2) hlp2 by metis
ultimately show False by simp
qed

lemma order-ifex-ite-invar: ifex-ordered i  $\implies$  ifex-ordered t  $\implies$  ifex-ordered e  $\implies$ 
ifex-ordered (ifex-ite i t e)
proof(induction i t e rule: ifex-ite-induct)
case (IF i t e) note goal1 = IF
note l = restrict-top-ifex-ordered-invar
note l[OF goal1(3)] l[OF goal1(4)] l[OF goal1(5)]
note mIH = goal1(1)[OF this]
note blubb = lowest-tops-lowest[OF goal1(2) - - restrict-top-subset]
show ?case using mIH
by (subst ifex-ite.simps,
auto simp del: ifex-ite.simps
simp add: IFC-def goal1(2) hlp1[OF three-ins(1) goal1(2) goal1(3)] hlp1[OF
three-ins(2) goal1(2) goal1(4)] hlp1[OF three-ins(3) goal1(2) goal1(5)]
dest: ifex-vars-subset blubb[OF three-ins(1) goal1(3)] blubb[OF three-ins(2)
goal1(4)] blubb[OF three-ins(3) goal1(5)]
intro!: le-neq-trans)
qed simp-all

lemma ifc-split: P (IFC v t e)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ((t = e)  $\longrightarrow$  P t)  $\wedge$  (t ≠ e  $\longrightarrow$  P (IF v t e))
unfolding IFC-def by simp

lemma restrict-top-ifex-minimal-invar: ifex-minimal i  $\implies$  ifex-minimal (restrict-top
i a val)
by(induction i) simp-all

lemma minimal-ifex-ite-invar: ifex-minimal i  $\implies$  ifex-minimal t  $\implies$  ifex-minimal
e  $\implies$  ifex-minimal (ifex-ite i t e)
by(induction i t e rule: ifex-ite-induct) (simp-all split: ifc-split option.split add:
restrict-top-ifex-minimal-invar)

lemma restrict-top-bf: i ∈ set is  $\implies$  lowest-tops is = Some vr  $\implies$ 
ifex-ordered i  $\implies$  (ass. fi ass = val-ifex i ass)  $\implies$  val-ifex (restrict-top i vr vl)
ass = bf-restrict vr vl fi ass
proof(cases i, goal-cases)
case (? x31 x32 x33) note goal3 = ?
have rr: restrict-top i vr vl = restrict i vr vl
proof(cases x31 = vr)

```

```

case True
note uf = restrict-top-eq[OF goal3(3)[unfolded goal3(5)], symmetric, unfolded goal3(5)[symmetric], unfolded True]
      thus ?thesis .

next
case False
have 1: restrict-top i vr vl = i by (simp add: False goal3(5))
have vr < x31 using le-neq-trans[OF hlp2[OF goal3(1)[unfolded goal3(5)] goal3(2)] False[symmetric]] by blast
with goal3(3,5) have 2: restrict i vr vl = i using restrict-IF-id by blast
show ?thesis unfolding 1 2 ..
qed
show ?case unfolding rr by(simp add: goal3(4) restrict-val-invar[symmetric])
qed (simp-all add: bf-restrict-def)

lemma val-ifex-ite:
( $\bigwedge \text{ass. } fi \text{ ass} = val\text{-ifex } i \text{ ass}$ )  $\implies$ 
( $\bigwedge \text{ass. } ft \text{ ass} = val\text{-ifex } t \text{ ass}$ )  $\implies$ 
( $\bigwedge \text{ass. } fe \text{ ass} = val\text{-ifex } e \text{ ass}$ )  $\implies$ 
ifex-ordered i  $\implies$  ifex-ordered t  $\implies$  ifex-ordered e  $\implies$ 
(bf-ite fi ft fe) ass = val-ifex (ifex-ite i t e) ass

proof(induction i t e arbitrary: fi ft fe rule: ifex-ite-induct)
case (IF i t e a)
note mIH = IF(1)[OF refl refl refl refl
restrict-top-ifex-ordered-invar[OF IF(6)]
restrict-top-ifex-ordered-invar[OF IF(7)]
restrict-top-ifex-ordered-invar[OF IF(8)], symmetric]
note uf1 = restrict-top-bf[OF three-ins(1) IF(2) <ifex-ordered i> IF(3)]
restrict-top-bf[OF three-ins(2) IF(2) <ifex-ordered t> IF(4)]
restrict-top-bf[OF three-ins(3) IF(2) <ifex-ordered e> IF(5)]
show ?case
by(rule trans[OF brace90shannon[where i=a]])
    (auto simp: restrict-top-ifex-ordered-invar IF(1,2,6-8) uf1 mIH bf-ite-def[of λl. l a]
     split: ifc-split)
qed (simp add: bf-ite-def bf-ifex-rel-def)+

theorem ifex-ite-rel-bf:
(fi,i)  $\in$  bf-ifex-rel  $\implies$ 
(ft,t)  $\in$  bf-ifex-rel  $\implies$ 
(fe,e)  $\in$  bf-ifex-rel  $\implies$ 
((bf-ite fi ft fe), (ifex-ite i t e))  $\in$  bf-ifex-rel
by (auto simp add: bf-ifex-rel-def order-ifex-ite-invar minimal-ifex-ite-invar val-ifex-ite simp del: ifex-ite.simps)

definition param-opt where param-opt i t e =
(if i = Trueif then Some t else
if i = Falseif then Some e else
if t = Trueif ∧ e = Falseif then Some i else

```

```

if  $t = e$  then  $\text{Some } t$  else
if  $e = \text{Trueif} \wedge i = t$  then  $\text{Some Trueif}$  else
if  $t = \text{Falseif} \wedge i = e$  then  $\text{Some Falseif}$  else
None)

lemma param-opt-ifex-ite-eq: ro-ifex  $i \implies$  ro-ifex  $t \implies$  ro-ifex  $e \implies$ 
param-opt  $i \ t \ e = \text{Some } r \implies r = \text{ifex-ite } i \ t \ e$ 
apply(rule ro-ifex-unique)
subgoal by (subst (asm) param-opt-def) (simp split: if-split-asm)
subgoal using order-ifex-ite-invar minimal-ifex-ite-invar by (blast)
by (subst val-ifex-ite[symmetric])
(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: bf-ite-def param-opt-def val-ifex-ite[symmetric])

function ifex-ite-opt :: 'a ifex  $\Rightarrow$  'a ifex  $\Rightarrow$  'a ifex  $\Rightarrow$  ('a :: linorder) ifex where
ifex-ite-opt  $i \ t \ e = (\text{case param-opt } i \ t \ e \text{ of Some } b \Rightarrow b \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow$ 
(case lowest-tops [i, t, e] of Some  $x \Rightarrow$ 
(IFC  $x$  (ifex-ite-opt (restrict-top  $i \ x \ True$ ) (restrict-top  $t \ x \ True$ )
(restrict-top  $e \ x \ True$ ))
(ifex-ite-opt (restrict-top  $i \ x \ False$ ) (restrict-top  $t \ x \ False$ )
(restrict-top  $e \ x \ False$ )))
 $\mid \text{None} \Rightarrow (\text{case } i \text{ of Trueif} \Rightarrow t \mid \text{Falseif} \Rightarrow e))$ )
by pat-completeness auto

termination ifex-ite-opt
by (relation measure ( $\lambda(i,t,e). \text{size } i + \text{size } t + \text{size } e$ ), rule wf-measure, unfold
in-measure)
(simp-all only: termlemma)

lemma ifex-ite-opt-eq:
ro-ifex  $i \implies$  ro-ifex  $t \implies$  ro-ifex  $e \implies$  ifex-ite-opt  $i \ t \ e = \text{ifex-ite } i \ t \ e$ 
apply(induction i t e rule: ifex-ite-opt.induct)
apply(subst ifex-ite-opt.simps)
apply(rename-tac i t e)
apply(case-tac  $\exists r. \text{param-opt } i \ t \ e = \text{Some } r$ )
subgoal
apply(simp del: ifex-ite.simps restrict-top.simps lowest-tops.simps)
apply(rule param-opt-ifex-ite-eq)
by (auto simp add: bf-ifex-rel-def)
subgoal for  $i \ t \ e$ 
apply(clarsimp simp del: restrict-top.simps ifex-ite.simps ifex-ite-opt.simps)
apply(cases lowest-tops [i,t,e] = None)
subgoal byclarsimp
subgoal
apply(clarsimp simp del: restrict-top.simps ifex-ite.simps ifex-ite-opt.simps)
apply(subst ifex-ite.simps)
apply(rename-tac y)
apply(subgoal-tac (ifex-ite-opt (restrict-top  $i \ y \ True$ ) (restrict-top  $t \ y \ True$ )
(restrict-top  $e \ y \ True$ )) =

```

```

(ifex-ite (restrict-top i y True) (restrict-top t y True) (restrict-top
e y True)))
apply(subgoal-tac (ifex-ite-opt (restrict-top i y False) (restrict-top t y False)
(restrict-top e y False)) =
(ifex-ite (restrict-top i y False) (restrict-top t y False) (restrict-top
e y False)))
subgoal by force
subgoal using restrict-top-ifex-minimal-invar restrict-top-ifex-ordered-invar
by metis
subgoal using restrict-top-ifex-minimal-invar restrict-top-ifex-ordered-invar
by metis
done
done
done
done

```

**lemma** ro-ifexI:  $(a, b) \in bf\text{-ifex-rel} \implies ro\text{-ifex } b$  **by** (simp add: ifex-minimal-implied  
ifex-ordered-implied)

**theorem** ifex-ite-opt-rel-bf:  
 $(fi, i) \in bf\text{-ifex-rel} \implies$   
 $(ft, t) \in bf\text{-ifex-rel} \implies$   
 $(fe, e) \in bf\text{-ifex-rel} \implies$   
 $((bf\text{-ite } fi \ ft \ fe), (ifex\text{-ite-opt } i \ t \ e)) \in bf\text{-ifex-rel}$   
**using** ifex-ite-rel-bf ifex-ite-opt-eq ro-ifexI **by** metis

**lemma** restrict-top-bf-ifex-rel:  
 $(f, i) \in bf\text{-ifex-rel} \implies \exists f'. (f', \text{restrict-top } i \ \text{var } val) \in bf\text{-ifex-rel}$   
**unfolding** bf-ifex-rel-def **using** restrict-top-ifex-minimal-invar restrict-top-ifex-ordered-invar  
by fast

**lemma** param-opt-lowest-tops-lem:  $\text{param-opt } i \ t \ e = \text{None} \implies \exists y. \text{lowest-tops}$   
 $[i, t, e] = \text{Some } y$   
**by** (cases i) (auto simp add: param-opt-def)

**fun** ifex-sat **where**  
 $\text{ifex-sat Trueif} = \text{Some } (\text{const False}) \mid$   
 $\text{ifex-sat Falseif} = \text{None} \mid$   
 $\text{ifex-sat (IF } v \ t \ e) =$   
 $(\text{case ifex-sat } e \ \text{of}$   
 $\quad \text{Some } a \Rightarrow \text{Some } (a(v:=\text{False})) \mid$   
 $\quad \text{None} \Rightarrow (\text{case ifex-sat } t \ \text{of}$   
 $\quad \quad \text{Some } a \Rightarrow \text{Some } (a(v:=\text{True})) \mid$   
 $\quad \quad \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{None}))$

**lemma** ifex-sat-untouched-False:  $v \notin \text{ifex-var-set } i \implies \text{ifex-sat } i = \text{Some } a \implies a$   
 $v = \text{False}$

```

proof(induction i arbitrary: a)
  case (IF v1 t e)
    have ni: v  $\notin$  ifex-var-set t v  $\notin$  ifex-var-set e using IF.prems(1) by simp-all
    have ne: v1  $\neq$  v using IF.prems(1) by force
    show ?case proof(cases ifex-sat e)
      case (Some as)
        with IF.prems(2) have au: a = as(v1 := False) by simp
        moreover from IF.IH(2)[OF ni(2)] have as v = False using Some .
        ultimately show ?thesis using ne by simp
    next
      case None
        obtain as where Some: ifex-sat t = Some as using None IF.prems(2) by
        fastforce
        with IF.prems(2) None have au: a = as(v1 := True) by (simp)
        moreover from IF.IH(1)[OF ni(1)] have as v = False using Some .
        ultimately show ?thesis using ne by simp
    qed
qed(simp-all add: fun-eq-iff)

lemma ifex-upd-other: v  $\notin$  ifex-var-set i  $\implies$  val-ifex i (a(v:=any)) = val-ifex i a
proof(induction i)
  case (IF v1 t e)
    have prems: v  $\notin$  ifex-var-set t v  $\notin$  ifex-var-set e using IF.prems by simp-all
    from IF.prems have ne: v1  $\neq$  v by clarsimp
    show ?case by(simp only: val-ifex.simps fun-upd-other[OF ne] ifex-vars.simps
    IF.IH(1)[OF prems(1)] IF.IH(2)[OF prems(2)] split: if-splits)
  qed simp-all

fun ifex-no-twice where
  ifex-no-twice (IF v t e) = (
    v  $\notin$  (ifex-var-set t  $\cup$  ifex-var-set e)  $\wedge$ 
    ifex-no-twice t  $\wedge$  ifex-no-twice e) |
  ifex-no-twice - = True
lemma ordered-ifex-no-twiceI: ifex-ordered i  $\implies$  ifex-no-twice i
  by(induction i) (simp-all,blast)

lemma ifex-sat-NoneD: ifex-sat i = None  $\implies$  val-ifex i ass = False
  by(induction i) (simp-all split: option.splits)
lemma ifex-sat-SomeD: ifex-no-twice i  $\implies$  ifex-sat i = Some ass  $\implies$  val-ifex i
  ass = True
proof(induction i arbitrary: ass)
  case (IF v t e)
    have ni: v  $\notin$  ifex-var-set t v  $\notin$  ifex-var-set e using IF.prems(1) by simp-all
    note IF.prems[unfolded ifex-sat.simps]
    thus ?case proof(cases ifex-sat e)
      case (Some a) thus ?thesis using IF.prems
        apply(clarsimp simp only: val-ifex.simps ifex-sat.simps option.simps fun-upd-same
        if-False ifex-upd-other[OF ni(2)])

```

```

apply(rule IF.IH(2), simp-all)
done
next
  case None
    obtain a where Some: ifex-sat t = Some a using None IF.prem(2) by
fastforce
    thus ?thesis using IF.prem
      by(clarsimp simp only: val-ifex.simps ifex-sat.simps option.simps fun-upd-same
if-True None ifex-upd-other[OF ni(1)])
      (rule IF.IH(1), simp-all)
qed
qed simp-all
lemma ifex-sat-NoneI: ifex-no-twice i ==> (∀ass. val-ifex i ass = False) ==>
ifex-sat i = None

proof(rule ccontr, goal-cases)
  case 1
    from 1(3) obtain as where ifex-sat i = Some as by blast
    from ifex-sat-SomeD[OF 1(1) this] show False using 1(2) by simp
qed

fun ifex-sat-list where
  ifex-sat-list Trueif = Some [] |
  ifex-sat-list Falseif = None |
  ifex-sat-list (IF v t e) =
    (case ifex-sat-list e of
      Some a => Some ((v,False)#a) |
      None => (case ifex-sat-list t of
        Some a => Some ((v,True)#a) |
        None => None))

definition update-assignment-alt u as = (λv. case map-of u v of None => as v |
Some n => n)
fun update-assignment where
  update-assignment ((v,u)#us) as = (update-assignment us as)(v:=u) |
  update-assignment [] as = as

lemma update-assignment-notin: a ∉ fst `set us ==> update-assignment us as a =
as a
by(induction us) clarsimp+

lemma update-assignment-alt: update-assignment u as = update-assignment-alt u as
by(induction u arbitrary: as) (clarsimp simp: update-assignment-alt-def fun-eq-iff)+

lemma update-assignment: distinct (map fst ((v,u)#us)) ==> update-assignment
((v,u)#us) as = update-assignment us (as(v:=u))
unfolding update-assignment-alt update-assignment-alt-def

```

```

unfolding fun-eq-if
by(clar simp split: option.splits) force

lemma ass-upd-same: update-assignment ((v, u) # a) ass v = u by simp

lemma ifex-sat-list-subset: ifex-sat-list t = Some u  $\implies$  fst ` set u  $\subseteq$  ifex-var-set t
proof(induction t arbitrary: u)
  case (IF v t e)
  show ?case
  proof(cases ifex-sat-list e)
    case (Some ue)
    note IF.IH(2)[OF this]
    hence fst ` set ue  $\subseteq$  ifex-var-set (IF v t e) by simp blast
    moreover have fst ` set u = insert v (fst ` set ue) using IF.prems Some by force
    ultimately show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case None
    with IF.prems obtain ut where Some: ifex-sat-list t = Some ut by(simp split: option.splits)
    note IF.IH(1)[OF this]
    hence fst ` set ut  $\subseteq$  ifex-var-set (IF v t e) by simp blast
    moreover have fst ` set u = insert v (fst ` set ut) using IF.prems None Some by force
    ultimately show ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed simp-all

lemma sat-list-distinct: ifex-no-twice t  $\implies$  ifex-sat-list t = Some u  $\implies$  distinct (map fst u)
proof(induction t arbitrary: u)
  case (IF v t e)
  from IF.prems have nt: ifex-no-twice t ifex-no-twice e by simp-all
  note mIH = IF.IH(1)[OF this(1)] IF.IH(2)[OF this(2)]
  show ?case
  proof(cases ifex-sat-list e)
    case (Some a)
    note mIH = mIH(2)[OF this]
    thus ?thesis using IF.prems ifex-sat-list.simps Some ifex-sat-list-subset by fastforce
  next
    case None
    with IF.prems obtain ut where Some: ifex-sat-list t = Some ut by(simp split: option.splits)
    note mIH(1)[OF this]
    thus ?thesis using IF.prems ifex-sat-list.simps None Some ifex-sat-list-subset by fastforce
  qed

```

```

qed simp-all

lemma ifex-sat-list-NoneD: ifex-sat-list i = None  $\Rightarrow$  val-ifex i ass = False
  by(induction i) (simp-all split: option.splits)
lemma ifex-sat-list-SomeD: ifex-no-twice i  $\Rightarrow$  ifex-sat-list i = Some u  $\Rightarrow$  ass =
  update-assignment u ass'  $\Rightarrow$  val-ifex i ass = True
proof(induction i arbitrary: ass ass' u)
  case (IF v t e)
  have nt: ifex-no-twice t ifex-no-twice e using IF.prems(1) by simp-all
  have ni: v  $\notin$  ifex-var-set t v  $\notin$  ifex-var-set e using IF.prems(1) by simp-all
  note IF.prems[unfolded ifex-sat.simps]
  thus ?case proof(cases ifex-sat-list e)
    case (Some a)
    have ef: u = (v, False) # a using IF.prems(2) Some by simp
    from IF.prems(3) have au: ass = update-assignment a (ass'(v := False)) unfolding ef using update-assignment[OF sat-list-distinct[OF IF.prems(1,2), unfolded ef]] by presburger
    have avF: ass v = False using IF.prems(3)[symmetric] unfolding ef by clar simp
    show ?thesis using IF.IH(2)[OF nt(2) Some au] Some IF.prems(2) avF by simp
  next
  case None
  obtain a where Some: ifex-sat-list t = Some a using None IF.prems(2) by fastforce
  have ef: u = (v, True) # a using IF.prems(2) None Some by simp
  from IF.prems(3) have au: ass = update-assignment a (ass'(v := True)) unfolding ef using update-assignment[OF sat-list-distinct[OF IF.prems(1,2), unfolded ef]] by presburger
  have avT: ass v = True using IF.prems(3)[symmetric] unfolding ef by clar simp
  show ?thesis using IF.IH(1)[OF nt(1) Some au] Some IF.prems(2) avT by simp
qed
qed simp-all

fun sat-list-to-bdt where
sat-list-to-bdt [] = Trueif |
sat-list-to-bdt ((v,u)#us) = (if u then IF v (sat-list-to-bdt us) Falseif else IF v Falseif (sat-list-to-bdt us))

lemma ifex-sat-list i = Some u  $\Rightarrow$  val-ifex (sat-list-to-bdt u) as  $\Rightarrow$  val-ifex i as
proof(induction i arbitrary: u)
  case (IF v t e)
  show ?case proof(cases ifex-sat-list e)
    case (Some a)
    note mIH = IF.IH(2)[OF this]
    have ef: u = (v, False) # a using IF.prems(1) Some by simp
    have avF: as v = False using IF.prems(2) unfolding ef by(simp split: if-splits)

```

```

have val-ifex (sat-list-to-bdt a) as using IF.prem(2) unfolding ef using avF
by simp
  note mIH = mIH[OF this]
  thus ?thesis using avF by simp
next
  case None
  obtain a where Some: ifex-sat-list t = Some a using None IF.prem(1) by
fastforce
    have ef: u = (v, True) # a using IF.prem(1) Some None by simp
    have avT: as v = True using IF.prem(2) unfolding ef by(simp split: if-splits)
    have val-ifex (sat-list-to-bdt a) as using IF.prem(2) unfolding ef using avT
by simp
    note mIH = IF.IH(1)[OF Some this]
    thus ?thesis using avT by simp
qed
qed simp-all

lemma bf-ifex-rel-consts[simp,intro!]:
  (bf-True, Trueif) ∈ bf-ifex-rel
  (bf-False, Falseif) ∈ bf-ifex-rel
by(fastforce simp add: bf-ifex-rel-def)+

lemma bf-ifex-rel-lit[simp,intro!]:
  (bf-lit v, IFC v Trueif Falseif) ∈ bf-ifex-rel
by(simp add: bf-ifex-rel-def IFC-def bf-lit-def)

lemma bf-ifex-rel-consts-ensured[simp]:
  (bf-True,x) ∈ bf-ifex-rel ↔ (x = Trueif)
  (bf-False,x) ∈ bf-ifex-rel ↔ (x = Falseif)
by(auto simp add: bf-ifex-rel-def
  intro: roifex-Trueif-unique roifex-Falseif-unique)

lemma bf-ifex-rel-consts-ensured-rev[simp]:
  (x,Trueif) ∈ bf-ifex-rel ↔ (x = bf-True)
  (x,Falseif) ∈ bf-ifex-rel ↔ (x = bf-False)
by(simp-all add: bf-ifex-rel-def fun-eq-iff)

declare ifex-ite-opt.simps restrict-top.simps lowest-tops.simps[simp del]

end

```

## 4 Option Helpers

These definitions were contributed by Peter Lammich.

```

theory Option-Helpers
imports Main HOL-Library.Monad-Syntax
begin

```

```
primrec oassert :: bool  $\Rightarrow$  unit option where
  oassert True = Some () | oassert False = None
```

```
lemma oassert-iff[simp]:
  oassert  $\Phi$  = Some  $x \longleftrightarrow \Phi$ 
  oassert  $\Phi$  = None  $\longleftrightarrow \neg\Phi$ 
by (cases  $\Phi$ ) auto
```

The idea is that we want the result of some computation to be *Some v* and the contents of *v* to satisfy some property *Q*.

```
primrec ospec :: ('a option)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  ospec None - = False
  | ospec (Some  $v$ )  $Q$  =  $Q v$ 
```

**named-theorems** ospec-rules

```
lemma oreturn-rule[ospec-rules]:  $\llbracket P r \rrbracket \implies \text{ospec}(\text{Some } r) P$  by simp
```

```
lemma obind-rule[ospec-rules]:  $\llbracket \text{ospec } m Q; \bigwedge r. Q r \implies \text{ospec}(f r) P \rrbracket \implies \text{ospec}(m \gg f) P$ 
apply (cases  $m$ )
apply (auto split: Option.bind-splits)
done
```

```
lemma ospec-alt:  $\text{ospec } m P = (\text{case } m \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid \text{Some } x \Rightarrow P x)$ 
by (auto split: option.splits)
```

```
lemma ospec-bind-simp:  $\text{ospec}(m \gg f) P \longleftrightarrow (\text{ospec } m (\lambda r. \text{ospec}(f r) P))$ 
apply (cases  $m$ )
apply (auto split: Option.bind-splits)
done
```

```
lemma ospec-cons:
  assumes  $\text{ospec } m Q$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge r. Q r \implies P r$ 
  shows  $\text{ospec } m P$ 
  using assms by (cases  $m$ ) auto
```

```
lemma oreturn-synth:  $\text{ospec}(\text{Some } x) (\lambda r. r=x)$  by simp
```

```
lemma ospecD:  $\text{ospec } x P \implies x = \text{Some } y \implies P y$  by simp
lemma ospecD2:  $\text{ospec } x P \implies \exists y. x = \text{Some } y \wedge P y$  by (cases  $x$ ) simp-all
```

end

## 5 Abstract ITE Implementation

```
theory Abstract-Impl
imports BDT
```

*Automatic-Refinement.Refine-Lib*  
*Option-Helpers*

```

begin

datatype ('a, 'ni) IFEXD = TD | FD | IFD 'a 'ni 'ni

locale bdd-impl-pre =
  fixes R :: 's ⇒ ('ni × ('a :: linorder) ifex) set
  fixes I :: 's ⇒ bool
begin
  definition les:: 's ⇒ 's ⇒ bool where
    les s s' == ∀ ni n. (ni, n) ∈ R s → (ni, n) ∈ R s'
end

locale bdd-impl = bdd-impl-pre R for R :: 's ⇒ ('ni × ('a :: linorder) ifex) set +
  fixes Timpl :: 's → ('ni × 's)
  fixes Fimpl :: 's → ('ni × 's)
  fixes IFimpl :: 'a ⇒ 'ni ⇒ 'ni ⇒ 's → ('ni × 's)
  fixes DESTRimpl :: 'ni ⇒ 's → ('a, 'ni) IFEXD

assumes Timpl-rule: I s ==> ospec (Timpl s) (λ(ni, s'). (ni, Trueif) ∈ R s' ∧ I
s' ∧ les s s')
assumes Fimpl-rule: I s ==> ospec (Fimpl s) (λ(ni, s'). (ni, Falseif) ∈ R s' ∧ I
s' ∧ les s s')
assumes IFimpl-rule: [|I s; (ni1, n1) ∈ R s; (ni2, n2) ∈ R s|]
  ==> ospec (IFimpl v ni1 ni2 s) (λ(ni, s'). (ni, IFC v n1 n2) ∈
R s' ∧ I s' ∧ les s s')
assumes DESTRimpl-rule1: I s ==> (ni, Trueif) ∈ R s ==> ospec (DESTRimpl
ni s) (λr. r = TD)
assumes DESTRimpl-rule2: I s ==> (ni, Falseif) ∈ R s ==> ospec (DESTRimpl
ni s) (λr. r = FD)
assumes DESTRimpl-rule3: I s ==> (ni, IF v n1 n2) ∈ R s ==>
  ospec (DESTRimpl ni s)
  (λr. ∃ ni1 ni2. r = (IFD v ni1 ni2) ∧ (ni1, n1) ∈ R s
  ∧ (ni2, n2) ∈ R s)
begin

lemma les-refl[simp,intro!]:les s s by (auto simp add: les-def)
lemma les-trans[trans]:les s1 s2 ==> les s2 s3 ==> les s1 s3 by (auto simp add:
les-def)
lemmas DESTRimpl-rules = DESTRimpl-rule1 DESTRimpl-rule2 DESTRimpl-rule3

lemma DESTRimpl-rule-useless:
  I s ==> (ni, n) ∈ R s ==> ospec (DESTRimpl ni s) (λr. (case r of
    TD ⇒ (ni, Trueif) ∈ R s |
    FD ⇒ (ni, Falseif) ∈ R s |
    IFD v nt ne ⇒ (∃ t e. n = IF v t e ∧ (ni, IF v t e) ∈ R s)))
  by(cases n; clarify; drule (1) DESTRimpl-rules; drule ospecD2; clarsimp)

```

```

lemma DESTImpl-rule:
  I s ==> (ni, n) ∈ R s ==> ospec (DESTImpl ni s) (λr. (case n of
    Trueif => r = TD |
    Falseif => r = FD |
    IF v t e => (exists tn en. r = IFD v tn en ∧ (tn,t) ∈ R s ∧ (en,e) ∈ R s)))
  by(cases n; clarify; drule (1) DESTImpl-rules; drule ospecD2; clar simp)

definition case-ifexi fti ffi fii ni s ≡ do {
  dest ← DESTImpl ni s;
  case dest of
    TD => fti s
  | FD => ffi s
  | IFD v ti ei => fii v ti ei s}

lemma case-ifexi-rule:
  assumes INV: I s
  assumes NI: (ni,n) ∈ R s
  assumes FTI: [n = Trueif] ==> ospec (fti s) (λ(r,s'). (r,ft) ∈ Q s ∧ I' s')
  assumes FFI: [n = Falseif] ==> ospec (ffi s) (λ(r,s'). (r,ff) ∈ Q s ∧ I' s')
  assumes FII: ∀ti ei v t e. [n = IF v t e; (ti,t) ∈ R s; (ei,e) ∈ R s] ==> ospec (fii v ti ei s) (λ(r,s'). (r,fii v t e) ∈ Q s ∧ I' s')
  shows ospec (case-ifexi fti ffi fii ni s) (λ(r,s'). (r,case-ifexi ft ffi fii n) ∈ Q s ∧ I' s')
  shows ospec (case-ifexi fti ffi fii ni s) (λ(r,s'). (r,case-ifexi ft ffi fii n) ∈ Q s ∧ I' s')
  unfold case-ifexi-def
  apply (cases n)
  subgoal
    apply (rule obind-rule)
    apply (rule DESTImpl-rule1[OF INV])
    using NI FTI by (auto)
  subgoal
    apply (rule obind-rule)
    apply (rule DESTImpl-rule2[OF INV])
    using NI FFI by (auto)
  subgoal
    apply (rule obind-rule)
    apply (rule DESTImpl-rule3[OF INV])
    using NI FII by (auto)
  done

abbreviation return x ≡ λs. Some (x,s)

primrec lowest-tops-impl where
  lowest-tops-impl [] s = Some (None,s) |
  lowest-tops-impl (e#es) s =
    case-ifexi
      (λs. lowest-tops-impl es s)
      (λs. lowest-tops-impl es s)
      (λv t e s. do {
        (rec,s) ← lowest-tops-impl es s;

```

```

(case rec of
  Some u => Some ((Some (min u v)), s) |
  None => Some ((Some v), s))
}) e s

declare lowest-tops-impl.simps[simp del]

fun lowest-tops-alt where
lowest-tops-alt [] = None |
lowest-tops-alt (e#es) =
  let rec = lowest-tops-alt es in
  case-ifex
    rec
    rec
    (λv t e. (case rec of
      Some u => (Some (min u v)) |
      None => (Some v)))
  ) e
)

lemma lowest-tops-alt: lowest-tops l = lowest-tops-alt l
  by (induction l rule: lowest-tops.induct) (auto split: option.splits simp: lowest-tops.simps)

lemma lowest-tops-impl-R:
  assumes list-all2 (in-rel (R s)) li l I s
  shows ospec (lowest-tops-impl li s) (λ(r,s'). r = lowest-tops l ∧ s' = s)
  unfolding lowest-tops-alt
  using assms apply (induction rule: list-all2-induct)
  subgoal by (simp add: lowest-tops-impl.simps)
  subgoal
    apply (simp add: lowest-tops-impl.simps)
    apply (rule case-ifexi-rule[where Q=λs. Id, unfolded pair-in-Id-conv])
    apply assumption+
    apply (rule obind-rule)
    apply assumption
    apply (clarsimp split: option.splits)
  done
done

definition restrict-top-impl where
restrict-top-impl e vr vl s =
  case-ifexi
    (return e)
    (return e)
    (λv te ee. return (if v = vr then (if vl then te else ee) else e))
  e s

```

```

lemma restrict-top-alt: restrict-top n var val = (case n of
  (IF v t e)  $\Rightarrow$  (if v = var then (if val then t else e) else (IF v t e))
| -  $\Rightarrow$  n)
  apply (induction n var val rule: restrict-top.induct)
  apply (simp-all)
  done

lemma restrict-top-impl-spec: I s  $\Longrightarrow$  (ni,n)  $\in$  R s  $\Longrightarrow$  ospec (restrict-top-impl ni
  vr vl s) ( $\lambda$ (res,s'). (res, restrict-top n vr vl)  $\in$  R s  $\wedge$  s' = s)
  unfolding restrict-top-impl-def restrict-top-alt
  by (rule case-ifexi-rule[where I' =  $\lambda$ s'. s' = s and Q = R, simplified]) auto

partial-function(option) ite-impl where
ite-impl i t e s = do {
  (lt,-)  $\leftarrow$  lowest-tops-impl [i, t, e] s;
  (case lt of
    Some a  $\Rightarrow$  do {
      (ti,-)  $\leftarrow$  restrict-top-impl i a True s;
      (tt,-)  $\leftarrow$  restrict-top-impl t a True s;
      (te,-)  $\leftarrow$  restrict-top-impl e a True s;
      (fi,-)  $\leftarrow$  restrict-top-impl i a False s;
      (ft,-)  $\leftarrow$  restrict-top-impl t a False s;
      (fe,-)  $\leftarrow$  restrict-top-impl e a False s;
      (tb,s)  $\leftarrow$  ite-impl ti tt te s;
      (fb,s)  $\leftarrow$  ite-impl fi ft fe s;
      IFimpl a tb fb s}
    | None  $\Rightarrow$  case-ifexi ( $\lambda$ -.(Some (t,s))) ( $\lambda$ -.(Some (e,s))) ( $\lambda$ ---. None) i s
  )}

lemma ite-impl-R: I s
   $\Longrightarrow$  in-rel (R s) ii i  $\Longrightarrow$  in-rel (R s) ti t  $\Longrightarrow$  in-rel (R s) ei e
   $\Longrightarrow$  ospec (ite-impl ii ti ei s) ( $\lambda$ (r, s'). (r, ifex-ite i t e)  $\in$  R s'  $\wedge$  I s'  $\wedge$  les s
  s')
proof(induction i t e arbitrary: s ii ti ei rule: ifex-ite.induct, goal-cases)
  case (1 i t e s ii ti ei) note goal1 = 1
  have la2: list-all2 (in-rel (R s)) [ii,ti,ei] [i,t,e] using goal1(4–6) by simp
  show ?case proof(cases lowest-tops [i,t,e])
    case None from goal1(3–6) show ?thesis
      apply(subst ite-impl.simps)
      apply(rule obind-rule[where Q =  $\lambda$ (r, s'). r = lowest-tops [i,t,e]  $\wedge$  s' = s])
      apply(rule ospec-cons)
      apply(rule lowest-tops-impl-R[OF la2])
      apply(assumption)
      apply(clar simp split: prod.splits)
      apply(simp add: None split: prod.splits)
      apply(clar simp)
      apply(rule ospec-cons)

```

```

apply(rule case-ifexi-rule[where  $I' = \lambda s'. s' = s$ ])
using None by (auto split: prod.splits ifex.splits simp: lowest-tops.simps)
next
case (Some lv)
  note mIH = goal1(1,2)[OF Some]
  from goal1(3–6) show ?thesis
    apply(subst ite-impl.simps)
    apply(rule obind-rule[where  $Q = \lambda(r, s'). r = \text{lowest-tops}[i, t, e]$ ])
    apply(rule ospec-cons)
    apply(rule lowest-tops-impl-R[OF la2])
    apply(assumption)
    apply(clarsimp split: prod.splits)
    apply(simp add: Some split: prod.splits)
    apply(clarsimp)

    apply(rule obind-rule, rule restrict-top-impl-spec, assumption+, clarsimp
split: prod.splits)+
    apply(rule obind-rule)
    apply(rule mIH(1))
    apply(simp; fail)+
    apply(clarsimp)
    apply(rule obind-rule)
    apply(rule mIH(2))
    apply(simp add: les-def; fail)+
    apply(simp split: prod.splits)
    apply(rule ospec-cons)
    apply(rule IFimpl-rule)
    apply(simp add: les-def; fail)+
    using les-def les-trans by blast+
qed
qed

lemma case-ifexi-mono[partial-function-mono]:
  assumes [partial-function-mono]:
    mono-option ( $\lambda F. fti F s$ )
    mono-option ( $\lambda F. ffi F s$ )
     $\bigwedge x_{31} x_{32} x_{33}. \text{mono-option } (\lambda F. fii F x_{31} x_{32} x_{33} s)$ 
  shows mono-option ( $\lambda F. \text{case-ifexi}(fti F) (ffi F) (fii F) ni s$ )
  unfolding case-ifexi-def by (tactic ‹Partial-Function.mono-tac @{context} 1›)

partial-function(option) val-impl :: 'ni  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  's  $\Rightarrow$  (bool  $\times$  's) option
where
val-impl e ass s = case-ifexi
  ( $\lambda s. \text{Some } (\text{True}, s)$ )
  ( $\lambda s. \text{Some } (\text{False}, s)$ )
  ( $\lambda v t e s. \text{val-impl } (\text{if } ass v \text{ then } t \text{ else } e) \text{ ass } s$ )
  e s

lemma I s  $\Longrightarrow$  (ni, n)  $\in R$  s  $\Longrightarrow$  ospec (val-impl ni ass s) ( $\lambda(r, s'). r = (\text{val-ifex } n$ 

```

```

ass) ∧ s' = s)
  apply (induction n arbitrary: ni)
  subgoal
    apply (subst val-impl.simps)
    apply (rule ospec-cons)
    apply (rule case-ifexi-rule[where I' = λs'. s' = s and Q = λs. Id]; assumption?)
      by auto
  subgoal
    apply (subst val-impl.simps)
    apply (rule ospec-cons)
    apply (rule case-ifexi-rule[where I' = λs'. s' = s and Q = λs. Id]; assumption?)
      by auto
  subgoal
    apply (subst val-impl.simps)
    apply (subst val-ifex.simps)
    apply (clar simp; intro impI conjI)
    apply (rule ospec-cons)
    apply (rule case-ifexi-rule[where I' = λs'. s' = s and Q = λs. Id]; assumption?)
      apply (simp; fail)
      apply (simp; fail)
    apply (rule ospec-cons)
    apply (rprems; simp; fail)
    apply (simp; fail)
    apply (simp; fail)
  apply (rule ospec-cons)
  apply (rule case-ifexi-rule[where I' = λs'. s' = s and Q = λs. Id]; assumption?)
    apply (simp; fail)
    apply (simp; fail)
  apply (simp)
  apply (rule ospec-cons)
  apply (rprems; simp; fail)
  apply (simp; fail)
  apply (simp; fail)
done
done

end

locale bdd-impl-cmp-pre = bdd-impl-pre
begin

definition map-invar-impl m s =
  ( ∀ ii ti ei ri. m (ii,ti,ei) = Some ri →
    ( ∃ i t e. ((ri,ifex-ite-opt i t e) ∈ R s) ∧ (ii,i) ∈ R s ∧ (ti,t) ∈ R s ∧ (ei,e) ∈ R s))

lemma map-invar-impl-les: map-invar-impl m s ==> les s s' ==> map-invar-impl m s'
  unfolding map-invar-impl-def bdd-impl-pre.les-def by blast

```

```

lemma map-invar-impl-update: map-invar-impl m s ==>
  (ii,i) ∈ R s ==> (ti,t) ∈ R s ==> (ei,e) ∈ R s ==>
  (ri, ifex-ite-opt i t e) ∈ R s ==> map-invar-impl (m((ii,ti,ei) ↦ ri)) s
unfolding map-invar-impl-def by auto

end

locale bdd-impl-cmp = bdd-impl + bdd-impl-cmp-pre +
  fixes M :: 'a ⇒ ('b × 'b × 'b) ⇒ 'b option
  fixes U :: 'a ⇒ ('b × 'b × 'b) ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'a
  fixes cmp :: 'b ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool
  assumes cmp-rule1: I s ==> (ni, i) ∈ R s ==> (ni', i) ∈ R s ==> cmp ni ni'
  assumes cmp-rule2: I s ==> cmp ni ni' ==> (ni, i) ∈ R s ==> (ni', i') ∈ R s ==>
  i = i'
  assumes map-invar-rule1: I s ==> map-invar-impl (M s) s
  assumes map-invar-rule2: I s ==> (ii,it) ∈ R s ==> (ti,tt) ∈ R s ==> (ei,et) ∈
  R s ==>
    (ri, ifex-ite-opt it tt et) ∈ R s ==> U s (ii,ti,ei) ri = s' ==>
    I s'
  assumes map-invar-rule3: I s ==> R (U s (ii, ti, ei) ri) = R s
begin

lemma cmp-rule-eq: I s ==> (ni, i) ∈ R s ==> (ni', i') ∈ R s ==> cmp ni ni' ↔
  i = i'
  using cmp-rule1 cmp-rule2 by force

lemma DESTImpl-Some: I s ==> (ni, i) ∈ R s ==> ospec (DESTImpl ni s) (λr.
  True)
  apply(cases i)
  apply(auto intro: ospec-cons dest: DESTImpl-rules)
done

fun param-opt-impl where
  param-opt-impl i t e s = do {
    ii ← DESTImpl i s;
    ti ← DESTImpl t s;
    ei ← DESTImpl e s;
    (tn,s) ← Timpl s;
    (fn,s) ← Fimpl s;
    Some ((if ii = TD then Some t else
      if ii = FD then Some e else
        if ti = TD ∧ ei = FD then Some i else
          if cmp t e then Some t else
            if ei = TD ∧ cmp i t then Some tn else
              if ti = FD ∧ cmp i e then Some fn else
                None), s)}

```

**declare** param-opt-impl.simps[simp del]

```

lemma param-opt-impl-lesI:
  assumes I s (ii,i) ∈ R s (ti,t) ∈ R s (ei,e) ∈ R s
  shows ospec (param-opt-impl ii ti ei s)
    ( $\lambda(r,s'). I\ s' \wedge les\ s\ s'$ )
  using assms apply(subst param-opt-impl.simps)
  by (auto simp add: param-opt-def les-def intro!: obind-rule
    dest: DESTRimpl-Some Timpl-rule Fimpl-rule)

lemma param-opt-impl-R:
  assumes I s (ii,i) ∈ R s (ti,t) ∈ R s (ei,e) ∈ R s
  shows ospec (param-opt-impl ii ti ei s)
    ( $\lambda(r,s'). case\ r\ of\ None \Rightarrow param-opt\ i\ t\ e = None$ 
     | Some r  $\Rightarrow (\exists r'. param-opt\ i\ t\ e = Some\ r' \wedge (r, r')$ 
      $\in R\ s')$ 
  using assms apply(subst param-opt-impl.simps)
  apply(rule obind-rule)
  apply(rule DESTRimpl-rule; assumption)
  apply(rule obind-rule)
  apply(rule DESTRimpl-rule; assumption)
  apply(rule obind-rule)
  apply(rule DESTRimpl-rule; assumption)
  apply(rule obind-rule)
  apply(rule Timpl-rule; assumption)
  apply(safe)
  apply(rule obind-rule)
  apply(rule Fimpl-rule; assumption)
  by (auto simp add: param-opt-def les-def cmp-rule-eq split: ifex.splits)

partial-function(option) ite-impl-opt where
ite-impl-opt i t e s = do {
  (ld, s)  $\leftarrow$  param-opt-impl i t e s;
  (case ld of Some b  $\Rightarrow$  Some (b, s) |
  None  $\Rightarrow$ 
  do {
    (lt,-)  $\leftarrow$  lowest-tops-impl [i, t, e] s;
    (case lt of
      Some a  $\Rightarrow$  do {
        (ti,-)  $\leftarrow$  restrict-top-impl i a True s;
        (tt,-)  $\leftarrow$  restrict-top-impl t a True s;
        (te,-)  $\leftarrow$  restrict-top-impl e a True s;
        (fi,-)  $\leftarrow$  restrict-top-impl i a False s;
        (ft,-)  $\leftarrow$  restrict-top-impl t a False s;
        (fe,-)  $\leftarrow$  restrict-top-impl e a False s;
        (tb,s)  $\leftarrow$  ite-impl-opt ti tt te s;
        (fb,s)  $\leftarrow$  ite-impl-opt fi ft fe s;
        IFimpl a tb fb s}
      | None  $\Rightarrow$  case-ifexi ( $\lambda\_.(Some\ (t,s))$ ) ( $\lambda\_.(Some\ (e,s))$ ) ( $\lambda\_.(None)$ ) i s
    )})}
```

```

lemma ospec-and: ospec f P  $\implies$  ospec f Q  $\implies$  ospec f ( $\lambda x. P x \wedge Q x$ )
  using ospecD2 by force

lemma ite-impl-opt-R:
  I s
   $\implies$  in-rel (R s) ii i  $\implies$  in-rel (R s) ti t  $\implies$  in-rel (R s) ei e
   $\implies$  ospec (ite-impl-opt ii ti ei s) ( $\lambda(r, s'). (r, ifex-ite-opt i t e) \in R s' \wedge I s' \wedge les s s')$ 
proof(induction i t e arbitrary: s ii ti ei rule: ifex-ite-opt.induct, goal-cases)
  note ifex-ite-opt.simps[simp del] restrict-top.simps[simp del]
  case (1 i t e s ii ti ei) note goal1 = 1
  have la2: list-all2 (in-rel (R s)) [ii,ti,ei] [i,t,e] using goal1(4–6) by simp
  note mIH = goal1(1,2)
  from goal1(3–6) show ?case
    apply(cases param-opt i t e)
    defer
    apply(subst ite-impl-opt.simps)
    apply(rule obind-rule)
    apply(rule ospec-and[OF param-opt-impl-R param-opt-impl-lesI])
      apply(auto simp add: les-def ifex-ite-opt.simps split: option.splits)[9]

    apply(frule param-opt-lowest-tops-lem)
    apply(clarsimp)
    apply(subst ite-impl-opt.simps)
    apply(rule obind-rule)
    apply(rule ospec-and[OF param-opt-impl-R param-opt-impl-lesI])
      apply(auto split: option.splits)[8]
    apply(clarsimp split: option.splits)
    apply(rule obind-rule[where Q=λ(r, s'). r = lowest-tops [i,t,e]])
      apply(rule ospec-cons)
      apply(rule lowest-tops-impl-R)
        using les-def apply(fastforce)
        apply(assumption)
        apply(fastforce)
      using BDT.param-opt-lowest-tops-lem apply(clarsimp split: prod.splits)

      apply(rule obind-rule, rule restrict-top-impl-spec, assumption, auto simp add:
les-def split: prod.splits)+
      apply(rule obind-rule)
      apply(rule mIH(1))
        apply(simp add: les-def;fail)+
      apply(clarsimp)
      apply(rule obind-rule)
      apply(rule mIH(2))
        apply(simp add: les-def;fail)+
      apply(simp add: ifex-ite-opt.simps split: prod.splits)
      apply(rule ospec-cons)
      apply(rule IFimpl-rule)

```

```

apply(auto simp add: les-def;fail) +
done
qed

partial-function(option) ite-impl-lu where
ite-impl-lu i t e s = do {
  (case M s (i,t,e) of Some b => Some (b,s) | None => do {
    (ld, s) ← param-opt-impl i t e s;
    (case ld of Some b => Some (b, s) |
    None =>
    do {
      (lt,-) ← lowest-tops-impl [i, t, e] s;
      (case lt of
        Some a => do {
          (ti,-) ← restrict-top-impl i a True s;
          (tt,-) ← restrict-top-impl t a True s;
          (te,-) ← restrict-top-impl e a True s;
          (fi,-) ← restrict-top-impl i a False s;
          (ft,-) ← restrict-top-impl t a False s;
          (fe,-) ← restrict-top-impl e a False s;
          (tb,s) ← ite-impl-lu ti tt te s;
          (fb,s) ← ite-impl-lu fi ft fe s;
          (r,s) ← IFimpl a tb fb s;
          let s = U s (i,t,e) r;
          Some (r,s)
        } |
        None => None
      )}))}

declare ifex-ite-opt.simps[simp del]

lemma ite-impl-lu-R: I s
  ==> (ii,i) ∈ R s ==> (ti,t) ∈ R s ==> (ei,e) ∈ R s
  ==> ospec (ite-impl-lu ii ti ei s)
  ==> (λ(r, s'). (r, ifex-ite-opt i t e) ∈ R s' ∧ I s' ∧ les s s')
proof(induction i t e arbitrary: s ii ti ei rule: ifex-ite-opt.induct, goal-cases)
  note restrict-top.simps[simp del]
  case (1 i t e s ii ti ei) note goal1 = 1
  have la2: list-all2 (in-rel (R s)) [ii,ti,ei] [i,t,e] using goal1(4–6) by simp
  note mIH = goal1(1,2)
  from goal1(3–6) show ?case
    apply(subst ite-impl-lu.simps)
    apply(cases M s (ii, ti, ei))
    defer

    apply(frule map-invar-rule1)
    apply(simp only: option.simps ospec.simps prod.simps simp-thms les-refl)
    apply(subst (asm) map-invar-impl-def)
    apply(erule allE[where x = ii])

```

```

apply(erule allE[where  $x = ti$ ])
apply(erule allE[where  $x = ei$ ])
apply(rename-tac a)
apply(erule-tac  $x = a$  in allE)
apply(metis cmp-rule-eq)

apply(clarsimp)
apply(cases param-opt i t e)
defer

apply(rule obind-rule)
apply(rule ospec-and[OF param-opt-impl-R param-opt-impl-lesI])
apply(auto simp add: map-invar-impl-les ifex-ite-opt.simps split: option.splits)[9]

apply(frule param-opt-lowest-tops-lem)
apply(clarsimp)
apply(rule obind-rule)
apply(rule ospec-and[OF param-opt-impl-R param-opt-impl-lesI])
apply(auto split: option.splits)[8]
apply(clarsimp split: option.splits)
apply(rule-tac obind-rule[where  $Q=\lambda(r, s'). r = \text{lowest-tops } [i, t, e]$ ])
apply(rule ospec-cons)
apply(rule lowest-tops-impl-R)
using les-def apply(fastforce)
apply(assumption)
apply(fastforce)
using BDT.param-opt-lowest-tops-lem apply(clarsimp split: prod.splits)
apply(rule obind-rule, rule restrict-top-impl-spec, assumption+, auto simp add: les-def split: prod.splits) +
apply(rule obind-rule)
apply(rule mIH(1))
apply(simp add: map-invar-impl-les les-def; fail) +
apply(clarsimp)
apply(rule obind-rule)
apply(rule mIH(2))
apply(simp add: map-invar-impl-les les-def; fail) +
apply(simp add: ifex-ite-opt.simps split: prod.splits)
apply(rule obind-rule)
apply(rule IFimpl-rule)
apply(simp)
apply(auto simp add: les-def)[2]
apply(clarsimp simp add: les-def)
apply(safe)
using map-invar-rule3 apply(presburger)
apply(rule map-invar-rule2)
prefer 6 apply(blast)
apply(blast)
apply(blast)

```

```

apply(blast)
apply(blast)
apply(clarsimp simp add: ifex-ite-opt.simps)
using map-invar-rule3 by presburger
qed

end
end

```

## 6 Pointermap

```

theory Pointer-Map
imports Main
begin

```

We need a datastructure that supports the following two operations:

- Given an element, it can construct a pointer (i.e., a small representation) of that element. It will always construct the same pointer for equal elements.
- Given a pointer, we can retrieve the element

```

record 'a pointermap =
entries :: 'a list
getentry :: 'a ⇒ nat option

definition pointermap-sane m ≡ (distinct (entries m) ∧
(∀ n ∈ {..

```

```

case 3 thus ?case by simp
next
  case 2
  {
    fix n
    have [distinct (entries s) ∧ (∀x. x ∈ {.. $\langle$ length (entries s)} → getentry s (entries s ! x) = Some x) ∧ (∀p i. getentry s p = Some i → entries s ! i = p ∧ i < length (entries s)); m ∉ set (entries s);
      n ∈ {.. $\langle$ length (entries (entries = entries s @ [m], getentry = (getentry s)(m ↪ length (entries s))))}; n < length (entries s)]
       $\implies$  getentry (entries = entries s @ [m], getentry = (getentry s)(m ↪ length (entries s))) (entries (entries = entries s @ [m], getentry = (getentry s)(m ↪ length (entries s)))) ! n) = Some n
      [distinct (entries s) ∧ (∀x. x ∈ {.. $\langle$ length (entries s)} → (getentry s) (entries s ! x) = Some x) ∧ (∀p i. getentry s p = Some i → entries s ! i = p ∧ i < length (entries s)); m ∉ set (entries s);
        n ∈ {.. $\langle$ length (entries (entries = entries s @ [m], getentry = (getentry s)(m ↪ length (entries s))))}; ¬ n < length (entries s)]
         $\implies$  getentry (entries = entries s @ [m], getentry = (getentry s)(m ↪ length (entries s))) (entries (entries = entries s @ [m], getentry = (getentry s)(m ↪ length (entries s)))) ! n) = Some n
    proof(goal-cases)
      case 1 note goal1 = 1
      from goal1(4) have sa:  $\bigwedge a.$  (entries s @ a) ! n = entries s ! n by (simp add: nth-append)
      from goal1(1,4) have ih: getentry s (entries s ! n) = Some n by simp
      from goal1(2,4) have ne: entries s ! n ≠ m using nth-mem by fastforce
      from sa ih ne show ?case by simp
    next
      case 2 note goal2 = 2
      from goal2(3,4) have ln: n = length (entries s) by simp
      hence sa:  $\bigwedge a.$  (entries s @ [a]) ! n = a by simp
      from sa ln show ?case by simp
    qed
  } note h = this
  with 2 show ?case by blast

next
  case 1 thus ?case
    by(clar simp simp add: nth-append fun-upd-same Ball-def) force
  qed

lemma luentries-noneD: getentry s a = None  $\implies$  pointermap-sane s  $\implies$  a ∉ set (entries s)
unfolding pointermap-sane-def
proof(rule ccontr, goal-cases)
  case 1
  from 1(3) obtain n where n < length (entries s) entries s ! n = a unfolding in-set-conv-nth by blast

```

```

with 1(2,1) show False by force
qed

lemma pm-pth-append: pointermap-p-valid p m  $\Rightarrow$  pm-pth (pointermap-insert a m) p = pm-pth m p
  unfolding pointermap-p-valid-def pm-pth-def pointermap-insert-def
  by(simp add: nth-append)

lemma pointermap-insert-in: u = (pointermap-insert a m)  $\Rightarrow$  pm-pth u (the (getentry u a)) = a
  unfolding pointermap-insert-def pm-pth-def
  by(simp)

lemma pointermap-insert-p-validI: pointermap-p-valid p m  $\Rightarrow$  pointermap-p-valid p (pointermap-insert a m)
  unfolding pointermap-insert-def pointermap-p-valid-def
  by simp

thm nth-eq-iff-index-eq
lemma pth-eq-iff-index-eq: pointermap-sane m  $\Rightarrow$  pointermap-p-valid p1 m  $\Rightarrow$  pointermap-p-valid p2 m  $\Rightarrow$  (pm-pth m p1 = pm-pth m p2)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (p1 = p2)
  unfolding pointermap-sane-def pointermap-p-valid-def pm-pth-def
  using nth-eq-iff-index-eq by blast

lemma pointermap-p-valid-updateI: pointermap-sane m  $\Rightarrow$  getentry m a = None  $\Rightarrow$  u = pointermap-insert a m  $\Rightarrow$  p = the (getentry u a)  $\Rightarrow$  pointermap-p-valid p u
  by(simp add: pointermap-sane-def pointermap-p-valid-def pointermap-insert-def)

lemma pointermap-get-validI: pointermap-sane m  $\Rightarrow$  getentry m a = Some p  $\Rightarrow$  pointermap-p-valid p m
  by(simp add: pointermap-sane-def pointermap-p-valid-def)

lemma pointermap-sane-getmkD:
  assumes sn: pointermap-sane m
  assumes res: pointermap-getmk a m = (p,u)
  shows pointermap-sane u  $\wedge$  pointermap-p-valid p u
  using sn res[symmetric]
  apply(cases getentry m a)
  apply(simp-all add: pointermap-getmk-def Let-def split: option.split)
  apply(rule)
  apply(rule pointermap-sane-appendD)
  apply(clarify;fail)+
  apply(rule luentries-noneD)
  apply(clarify;fail)+
  apply(rule pointermap-p-valid-updateI[OF - - refl refl])
  apply(clarify;fail)+
  apply(erule pointermap-get-validI)
  by simp

```

```

lemma pointermap-update-pthI:
  assumes sn: pointermap-sane m
  assumes res: pointermap-getmk a m = (p,u)
  shows pm-pth u p = a
  using assms
  apply(simp add: pointermap-getmk-def Let-def split: option.splits)
    apply(meson pointermap-insert-in)
  apply(clarsimp simp: pointermap-sane-def pm-pth-def)
done

lemma pointermap-p-valid-inv:
  assumes pointermap-p-valid p m
  assumes pointermap-getmk a m = (x,u)
  shows pointermap-p-valid p u
  using assms
by(simp add: pointermap-getmk-def Let-def split: option.splits) (meson pointermap-insert-p-validI)

lemma pointermap-p-pth-inv:
  assumes pv: pointermap-p-valid p m
  assumes u: pointermap-getmk a m = (x,u)
  shows pm-pth u p = pm-pth m p
  using pm-pth-append[OF pv] u
byclarsimp simp: pointermap-getmk-def Let-def split: option.splits)

lemma pointermap-backward-valid:
  assumes puv: pointermap-p-valid p u
  assumes u: pointermap-getmk a m = (x,u)
  assumes ne: x ≠ p
  shows pointermap-p-valid p m

  using assms
by (auto simp: Let-def pointermap-getmk-def pointermap-p-valid-def pointermap-insert-def
split: option.splits)

end

```

## 7 Functional interpretation for the abstract implementation

```

theory Middle-Impl
imports Abstract-Impl Pointer-Map
begin

```

For the lack of a better name, the suffix mi stands for middle-implementation. This reflects that this “implementation” is neither entirely abstract, nor has it been made fully concrete: the data structures are decided, but not their implementations.

```

record bdd =
  dpm :: (nat × nat × nat) pointermap
  dcl :: ((nat × nat × nat),nat) map

definition emptymi ≡ (dpm = empty-pointermap, dcl = Map.empty)

fun destrmi :: nat ⇒ bdd ⇒ (nat, nat) IFEXD where
  destrmi 0 bdd = FD |
  destrmi (Suc 0) bdd = TD |
  destrmi (Suc (Suc n)) bdd = (case pm-pth (dpm bdd) n of (v, t, e) ⇒ IFD v t e)
fun tmi where tmi bdd = (1, bdd)
fun fmi where fmi bdd = (0, bdd)
fun ifmi :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ bdd ⇒ (nat × bdd) where
  ifmi v t e bdd = (if t = e
    then (t, bdd)
    else (let (r,pm) = pointermap-getmk (v, t, e) (dpm bdd) in
      (Suc (Suc r), dpm-update (const pm) bdd)))

fun Rmi-g :: nat ⇒ nat ifex ⇒ bdd ⇒ bool where
  Rmi-g 0 Falseif bdd = True |
  Rmi-g (Suc 0) Trueif bdd = True |
  Rmi-g (Suc (Suc n)) (IF v t e) bdd = (pointermap-p-valid n (dpm bdd)
    ∧ (case pm-pth (dpm bdd) n of (nv, nt, ne) ⇒ nv = v ∧ Rmi-g nt t bdd ∧ Rmi-g
    ne e bdd)) |
  Rmi-g - - - = False

definition Rmi s ≡ {(a,b)|a b. Rmi-g a b s}

interpretation mi-pre: bdd-impl-cmp-pre Rmi by −

definition bdd-node-valid bdd n ≡ n ∈ Domain (Rmi bdd)
lemma [simp]:
  bdd-node-valid bdd 0
  bdd-node-valid bdd (Suc 0)
  apply(simp-all add: bdd-node-valid-def Rmi-def)
  using Rmi-g.simps(1,2) apply blast+
  done

definition ifexd-valid bdd e ≡ (case e of IFD - t e ⇒ bdd-node-valid bdd t ∧
  bdd-node-valid bdd e | - ⇒ True)

definition bdd-sane bdd ≡ pointermap-sane (dpm bdd) ∧ mi-pre.map-invar-impl
  (dcl bdd) bdd

lemma [simp,intro!]: bdd-sane emptymi
  unfolding emptymi-def bdd-sane-def bdd.simps
  by(simp add: mi-pre.map-invar-impl-def)

lemma prod-split3: P (case p of (x, xa, xaa) ⇒ f x xa xaa) = ( ∀ x1 x2 x3. p =

```

```

(x1, x2, x3) —> P (f x1 x2 x3))
by(simp split: prod.splits)

lemma IfI: (c ==> P x) ==> (¬c ==> P y) ==> P (if c then x else y) by simp
lemma fstsndI: x = (a,b) ==> fst x = a ∧ snd x = b by simp
thm nat.split
lemma Rmi-g-2-split: P (Rmi-g n x m) =
((x = Falseif —> P (Rmi-g n x m)) ∧
(x = Trueif —> P (Rmi-g n x m)) ∧
(∀ vs ts es. x = IF vs ts es —> P (Rmi-g n x m)))
by(cases x;simp)

lemma rmigeq: Rmi-g ni1 n1 s ==> Rmi-g ni2 n2 s ==> ni1 = ni2 ==> n1 = n2
proof(induction ni1 n1 s arbitrary: n2 ni2 rule: Rmi-g.induct, goal-cases)
  case (3 n v t e bdd n2 ni2) note goal3 = 3
  note 1 = goal3(1,2)
  have 2: Rmi-g (fst (snd (pm-pth (dpm bdd n))) t bdd Rmi-g (snd (snd (pm-pth
(dpm bdd n))) e bdd using goal3(3) by(clarsimp)+
  note mIH = 1(1)[OF -- 2(1) - refl] 1(2)[OF -- 2(2) - refl]
  obtain v2 t2 e2 where v2: n2 = IF v2 t2 e2 using Rmi-g.simps(4,6) goal3(3-5)
  by(cases n2) blast+
  thus ?case using goal3(3-4) by(clarsimp simp add: v2 goal3(5)[symmetric]
mIH)
  qed (rename-tac n2 ni2, (case-tac n2; clarsimp))+

lemma rmigneq: bdd-sane s ==> Rmi-g ni1 n1 s ==> Rmi-g ni2 n2 s ==> ni1 ≠
ni2 ==> n1 ≠ n2
proof(induction ni1 n1 s arbitrary: n2 ni2 rule: Rmi-g.induct, goal-cases)
  case 1 thus ?case by (metis Rmi-g.simps(6) old.nat.exhaust)
  next
  case 2 thus ?case by (metis Rmi-g.simps(4,8) old.nat.exhaust)
  next
  case (3 n v t e bdd n2 ni2) note goal3 = 3
  let ?bddpth = pm-pth (dpm bdd)
  note 1 = goal3(1,2)[OF prod.collapse prod.collapse]
  have 2: Rmi-g (fst (snd (?bddpth n))) t bdd Rmi-g (snd (snd (?bddpth n))) e bdd
using goal3(4) by(clarsimp)+
  note mIH = 1(1)[OF goal3(3) 2(1)] 1(2)[OF goal3(3) 2(2)]
  show ?case proof(cases 0 < ni2, case-tac 1 < ni2)
    case False
    hence e: ni2 = 0 by simp
    with goal3(5) have n2 = Falseif using rmigeq by auto
    thus ?thesis by simp
  next
  case True moreover assume 3: ¬ 1 < ni2
  ultimately have ni2 = 1 by simp
  with goal3(5) have n2 = Trueif using rmigeq by auto
  thus ?thesis by simp
next

```

```

assume 3:  $1 < ni2$ 
then obtain ni2s where [simp]:  $ni2 = Suc (Suc ni2s)$  unfolding One-nat-def
using less-imp-Suc-add by blast
obtain v2 t2 e2 where v2[simp]:  $n2 = IF v2 t2 e2$  using goal3(5) by (cases
( $ni2, n2, bdd$ ) rule: Rmi-g.cases) clar simp+
have 4: Rmi-g (fst (snd (?bddpth ni2s))) t2 bdd Rmi-g (snd (snd (?bddpth
ni2s))) e2 bdd using goal3(5) by clar simp+
show ?case unfolding v2
proof(cases fst (snd (?bddpth n)) = fst (snd (?bddpth ni2s)),
case-tac snd (snd (?bddpth n)) = snd (snd (?bddpth ni2s)),
case-tac  $v = v2$ )
have ne:  $ni2s \neq n$  using goal3(6) by simp
have ib: pointermap-p-valid n (dpm bdd) pointermap-p-valid ni2s (dpm bdd)
using Rmi-g.simps(3) goal3(4,5) by simp-all
assume goal1:
  fst (snd (pm-pth (dpm bdd) n)) = fst (snd (pm-pth (dpm bdd) ni2s))
  snd (snd (pm-pth (dpm bdd) n)) = snd (snd (pm-pth (dpm bdd) ni2s))
   $v = v2$ 
  hence ?bddpth n = ?bddpth ni2s unfolding prod-eq-iff using goal3(4)
goal3(5) by auto
  with goal3(3) ne have False unfolding bdd-sane-def using pth-eq-iff-index-eq[OF
- ib] by simp
  thus IF v t e  $\neq$  IF v2 t2 e2 ..
  qed (simp-all add: mIH(1)[OF 4(1)] mIH(2)[OF 4(2)])
  qed
qed simp-all

lemma ifmi-les-hlp: pointermap-sane (dpm s)  $\implies$  pointermap-getmk (v, ni1, ni2)
(dpm s) = (x1, dpm s')  $\implies$  Rmi-g nia n s  $\implies$  Rmi-g nia n s'
proof(induction nia n s rule: Rmi-g.induct, goal-cases)
  case (3 n v t e bdd) note goal3 = 3
  obtain x1a x2a where pth[simp]: pm-pth (dpm bdd) n = (v, x1a, x2a) using
goal3(5) by force
  have pth'[simp]: pm-pth (dpm s') n = (v, x1a, x2a) unfolding pth[symmetric]
using goal3(4,5) by (meson Rmi-g.simps(3) pointermap-p-pth-inv)
  note mIH = goal3(1,2)[OF pth[symmetric] refl goal3(3,4)]
  from goal3(5) show ?case
    unfolding Rmi-g.simps
    using pointermap-p-valid-inv[OF - goal3(4)] mIH
    by(simp split: prod.splits)
qed simp-all
lemma ifmi-les:
  assumes bdd-sane s
  assumes ifmi v ni1 ni2 s = (ni, s')
  shows mi-pre.les s s'
using assms
by(clar simp: bdd-sane-def comp-def apfst-def map-prod-def mi-pre.les-def Rmi-def
ifmi-les-hlp split: if-splits prod.splits)

```

```

lemma ifmi-notouch-dcl: ifmi v ni1 ni2 s = (ni, s')  $\Rightarrow$  dcl s' = dcl s
  by(clar simp split: if-splits prod.splits)

lemma ifmi-saneI: bdd-sane s  $\Rightarrow$  ifmi v ni1 ni2 s = (ni, s')  $\Rightarrow$  bdd-sane s'
  apply(subst bdd-sane-def)
  apply(rule conjI)
  apply(clar simp simp: comp-def apfst-def map-prod-def bdd-sane-def split: if-splits
option.splits split: prod.splits)
  apply(rule conjunct1[OF pointermap-sane-getmkD, of dpm s (v, ni1, ni2) -])
  apply(simp-all)[2]
  apply(frule (1) ifmi-les)
  apply(unfold bdd-sane-def, clarify)
  apply(rule mi-pre.map-invar-impl-les[rotated])
  apply assumption
  apply(drule ifmi-notouch-dcl)
  apply(simp)
done

lemma rmigif: Rmi-g ni (IF v n1 n2) s  $\Rightarrow$   $\exists n. ni = Suc (Suc n)$ 
  apply(cases ni)
  apply(simp split: if-splits prod.splits)
  apply(rename-tac nis)
  apply(case-tac nis)
  apply(simp split: if-splits prod.splits)
  apply(simp split: if-splits prod.splits)
done

lemma in-lesI:
  assumes mi-pre.les s s'
  assumes (ni1, n1)  $\in$  Rmi s
  assumes (ni2, n2)  $\in$  Rmi s
  shows (ni1, n1)  $\in$  Rmi s' (ni2, n2)  $\in$  Rmi s'
  by (meson assms mi-pre.les-def)+

lemma ifmi-modification-validI:
  assumes sane: bdd-sane s
  assumes ifm: ifmi v ni1 ni2 s = (ni, s')
  assumes vld: bdd-node-valid s n
  shows bdd-node-valid s' n
  proof(cases ni1 = ni2)
    case True with ifm vld show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case False
    {
      fix b
      from ifm have (n, b)  $\in$  Rmi s  $\Rightarrow$  (n, b)  $\in$  Rmi s'
      by(induction n b - rule: Rmi-g.induct) (auto dest: pointermap-p-pth-inv point-
ermap-p-valid-inv simp: apfst-def map-prod-def False Rmi-def split: prod.splits)

```

```

}

thus ?thesis
  using vld unfolding bdd-node-valid-def by blast
qed

definition tmi' s ≡ do {oassert (bdd-sane s); Some (tmi s)}
definition fmi' s ≡ do {oassert (bdd-sane s); Some (fmi s)}
definition ifmi' v ni1 ni2 s ≡ do {oassert (bdd-sane s ∧ bdd-node-valid s ni1 ∧
bdd-node-valid s ni2); Some (ifmi v ni1 ni2 s)}

lemma ifmi'-spec: [|bdd-sane s; bdd-node-valid s ni1; bdd-node-valid s ni2|] ==>
ospec (ifmi' v ni1 ni2 s) (λr. r = ifmi v ni1 ni2 s)
  unfolding ifmi'-def by(simp split: Option.bind-splits)
lemma ifmi'-ifmi: [|bdd-sane s; bdd-node-valid s ni1; bdd-node-valid s ni2|] ==>
ifmi' v ni1 ni2 s = Some (ifmi v ni1 ni2 s)
  unfolding ifmi'-def by(simp split: Option.bind-splits)

definition destrmi' ni s ≡ do {oassert (bdd-sane s ∧ bdd-node-valid s ni); Some
(destrmi ni s)}

lemma destrmi-someD: destrmi' e bdd = Some x ==> bdd-sane bdd ∧ bdd-node-valid
bdd e
by(simp add: destrmi'-def split: Option.bind-splits)

lemma Rmi-sv:
assumes bdd-sane s (ni,n) ∈ Rmi s (ni',n') ∈ Rmi s
shows ni=ni' ==> n=n'
and ni≠ni' ==> n≠n'
using assms
apply safe
apply (simp-all add: Rmi-def)
using rmigeq apply simp
apply (drule (3) rmigneq)
by clarify

lemma True-rep[simp]: bdd-sane s ==> (ni,Trueif)∈Rmi s ↔ ni=Suc 0
using Rmi-def Rmi-g.simps(2) Rmi-sv(2) by blast

lemma False-rep[simp]: bdd-sane s ==> (ni,Falseif)∈Rmi s ↔ ni=0
using Rmi-def Rmi-g.simps(1) Rmi-sv(2) by blast

definition updS s x r = dcl-update (λm. m(x ↠ r)) s
thm Rmi-g.induct

lemma updS-dpm: dpm (updS s x r) = dpm s
  unfolding updS-def by simp

lemma updS-Rmi-g: Rmi-g n i (updS s x r) = Rmi-g n i s
  apply(induction n i s rule: Rmi-g.induct)

```

```

apply(simp-all) unfolding updS-dpm by auto

lemma updS-Rmi: Rmi (updS s x r) = Rmi s
  unfolding Rmi-def updS-Rmi-g by blast

interpretation mi: bdd-impl-cmp bdd-sane Rmi tmi' fmi' ifmi' destrmi' dcl updS
(=)
proof -
  note s = mi-pre.les-def[simp] Rmi-def

  note [simp] = tmi'-def fmi'-def ifmi'-def destrmi'-def apfst-def map-prod-def

  show bdd-impl-cmp bdd-sane Rmi tmi' fmi' ifmi' destrmi' dcl updS (=)
  proof(unfold-locales, goal-cases)
    case 1 thus ?case by(clarsimp split: if-splits simp: Rmi-def)
    next case 2 thus ?case by(clarsimp split: if-splits simp: Rmi-def)
    next case (? s ni1 n1 ni2 n2 v) note goal3 = ?
      note [simp] = Rmi-sv[OF this]
      have e: n1 = n2 ==> ni1 = ni2 by(rule ccontr) simp
      obtain ni s' where[simp]: (ifmi' v ni1 ni2 s) = Some (ni, s')
        unfolding ifmi'-def bdd-node-valid-def using goal3 by(simp add: DomainI
del: ifmi.simps) fastforce
        hence ifm: ifmi v ni1 ni2 s = (ni, s')
        using goal3 unfolding ifmi'-def bdd-node-valid-def
        by(simp add: DomainI)
        have ifmi'-ospec:  $\bigwedge P$ . ospec (ifmi' v ni1 ni2 s) P  $\longleftrightarrow$  P (ifmi v ni1 ni2 s)
      by(simp del: ifmi'-def ifmi.simps add: ifm)
      from goal3 show ?case
        unfolding ifmi'-ospec
        apply(split prod.splits; clarify)
        apply(rule conjI)

      apply(clarsimp simp: Rmi-def IFC-def bdd-sane-def ifmi-les-hlp pointermap-sane-getmkD
pointermap-update-pthI split: if-splits prod.splits)

        using ifmi-les[OF ‹bdd-sane s› ifm] ifmi-saneI[OF ‹bdd-sane s› ifm] ifm
      apply(simp)
      done
    next case 4 thus ?case
      apply (clarsimp split: Option.bind-splits if-splits)
      done
    next case 5 thus ?case by(clarsimp split: if-splits)
    next case 6 thus ?case
      apply (clarsimp simp add: bdd-node-valid-def split: Option.bind-splits if-splits)
      apply (auto simp: Rmi-def elim: Rmi-g.elims)
      done
    next
      case 7 thus ?case using Rmi-sv by blast
  
```

```

next
  case 8 thus ?case using Rmi-sv by blast
next
  case 9 thus ?case unfolding bdd-sane-def by simp
next
  case 10 thus ?case unfolding bdd-sane-def mi-pre.map-invar-impl-def using
    updS-Rmi
      by(clar simp simp add: updS-def simp del: ifex-ite-opt.simps) blast
next
  case 11 thus ?case using updS-Rmi by auto
qed
qed

lemma p-valid-RmiI: (Suc (Suc na), b) ∈ Rmi bdd  $\Rightarrow$  pointermap-p-valid na
  (dpm bdd)
  unfolding Rmi-def by(cases b) (auto)
lemma n-valid-RmiI: (na, b) ∈ Rmi bdd  $\Rightarrow$  bdd-node-valid bdd na
  unfolding bdd-node-valid-def
  by(intro DomainI, assumption)
lemma n-valid-Rmi-alt: bdd-node-valid bdd na  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  b. (na, b) ∈ Rmi bdd)
  unfolding bdd-node-valid-def
  by auto

lemma ifmi-result-validI:
  assumes sane: bdd-sane s
  assumes vld: bdd-node-valid s ni1 bdd-node-valid s ni2
  assumes ifm: ifmi v ni1 ni2 s = (ni, s')
  shows bdd-node-valid s' ni
proof –
  from vld obtain n1 n2 where (ni1, n1) ∈ Rmi s (ni2, n2) ∈ Rmi s unfolding
    bdd-node-valid-def by blast
  note mi.IFimpl-rule[OF sane this]
  note this[unfolded ifmi'-ifmi[OF sane vld] ospec.simps, of v, unfolded ifm, unfolded prod.simps]
  thus ?thesis unfolding bdd-node-valid-def by blast
qed

end

```

## 8 Array List

Most of this has been contributed by Peter Lammich.

```

theory Array-List
imports
  Separation-Logic-Imperative-HOL.Array-Blit
begin

```

This implements a datastructure that efficiently supports two operations: appending an element and looking up the nth element. The implementation is straightforward.

As underlying data structure an array is used. Since changing the length of an array requires copying, we double the size whenever the array needs to be expanded. We use a counter for the current length to track which elements are used and which are spares.

```
type-synonym 'a array-list = 'a array × nat
```

```
definition is-array-list l ≡ λ(a,n). ∃ A l'. a ↦_a l' * ↑(n ≤ length l' ∧ l = take n l' ∧ length l' > 0)
```

```
definition initial-capacity ≡ 16::nat
```

```
definition arl-empty ≡ do {
    a ← Array.new initial-capacity default;
    return (a,0)
}
```

```
lemma [sep-heap-rules]: < emp > arl-empty <is-array-list []>
by (sep-auto simp: arl-empty-def is-array-list-def initial-capacity-def)
```

```
definition arl-nth ≡ λ(a,n) i. do {
    Array.nth a i
}
```

```
lemma [sep-heap-rules]: i < length l ⇒ < is-array-list l a > arl-nth a i <λx.
is-array-list l a * ↑(x = !i) >
by (sep-auto simp: arl-nth-def is-array-list-def split: prod.splits)
```

```
definition arl-append ≡ λ(a,n) x. do {
    len ← Array.len a;

    if n < len then do {
        a ← Array.upd n x a;
        return (a, n+1)
    } else do {
        let newcap = 2 * len;
        a ← array-grow a newcap default;
        a ← Array.upd n x a;
        return (a, n+1)
    }
}
```

```
lemma [sep-heap-rules]:
< is-array-list l a >
arl-append a x
<λa. is-array-list (l@[x]) a >_t
```

```

by (sep-auto
  simp: arl-append-def is-array-list-def take-update-last neq-Nil-conv
  split: prod.splits nat.split)

lemma is-array-list-prec: precise is-array-list
  unfolding is-array-list-def[abs-def]
  apply(rule preciseI)
  apply(simp split: prod.splits)
  using preciseD snga-prec by fastforce

lemma is-array-list-lengthIA: is-array-list l li  $\implies_A \uparrow(\text{snd } li = \text{length } l) * \text{true}$ 
  by(sep-auto simp: is-array-list-def split: prod.splits)
  find_consts assn  $\Rightarrow$  bool
lemma is-array-list-lengthI:  $x \models \text{is-array-list } l \text{ li} \implies \text{snd } li = \text{length } l$ 
  using is-array-list-lengthIA by (metis (full-types) ent-pure-post-iff star-aci(2))

end

```

## 9 Imperative implementation for Pointermap

```

theory Pointer-Map-Impl
imports Array-List
  Separation-Logic-Imperative-HOL.Sep-Main
  Separation-Logic-Imperative-HOL.Hash-Map-Impl
  Pointer-Map
begin

record 'a pointermap-impl =
  entriesi :: 'a array-list
  getentryi :: ('a,nat) hashtable
lemma pointermapieq-exhaust: entries a = entries b  $\implies$  getentry a = getentry b  $\implies$  a = (b :: 'a pointermap) by simp

definition is-pointermap-impl :: ('a::{hashable,heap}) pointermap  $\Rightarrow$  'a pointermap-impl  $\Rightarrow$  assn where
  is-pointermap-impl b bi  $\equiv$ 
    is-array-list (entries b) (entriesi bi)
    * is-hashmap (getentry b) (getentryi bi)

lemma is-pointermap-impl-prec: precise is-pointermap-impl
  unfolding is-pointermap-impl-def[abs-def]
  apply(rule preciseI)
  apply(clar simp)
  apply(rename-tac a a' x y p F F')
  apply(rule pointermapieq-exhaust)
  apply(rule-tac p = entriesi p and h = (x,y) in preciseD[OF is-array-list-prec])
  apply(unfold star-aci(1))
  apply blast
  apply(rule-tac p = getentryi p and h = (x,y) in preciseD[OF is-hashmap-prec])

```

```

apply(simp only: star-aci(2)[symmetric])
apply(simp only: star-aci(1)[symmetric])
apply(simp only: star-aci(2)[symmetric])
done

definition pointermap-empty where
  pointermap-empty ≡ do {
    hm ← hm-new;
    arl ← arl-empty;
    return ()entriesi = arl, getentryi = hm )
  }

lemma [sep-heap-rules]: < emp > pointermap-empty <is-pointermap-impl empty-pointermap>t
  unfolding is-pointermap-impl-def
  by (sep-auto simp: pointermap-empty-def empty-pointermap-def)

definition pm-pthi where
  pm-pthi m p ≡ arl-nth (entriesi m) p

lemma [sep-heap-rules]: pointermap-sane m ==> pointermap-p-valid p m ==>
  < is-pointermap-impl m mi > pm-pthi mi p <λai. is-pointermap-impl m mi * *
  ↑(ai = pm-pth m p)>
  by (sep-auto simp: pm-pthi-def pm-pth-def is-pointermap-impl-def pointermap-p-valid-def)

definition pointermap-getmki where
  pointermap-getmki a m ≡ do {
    lo ← ht-lookup a (getentryi m);
    (case lo of
      Some l ⇒ return (l,m) |
      None ⇒ do {
        p ← return (snd (entriesi m));
        ent ← arl-append (entriesi m) a;
        lut ← hm-update a p (getentryi m);
        u ← return ()entriesi = ent, getentryi = lut);
        return (p,u)
      })
    )
  }

lemmas pointermap-getmki-defs = pointermap-getmki-def pointermap-getmk-def
pointermap-insert-def is-pointermap-impl-def
lemma [sep-heap-rules]: pointermap-sane m ==> pointermap-getmk a m = (p,u)
==>
< is-pointermap-impl m mi >
  pointermap-getmki a mi
  <λ(pi,ui). is-pointermap-impl u ui * ↑(pi = p)>t
apply(cases getentry m a)
apply(unfold pointermap-getmki-def)
apply(unfold return-bind)

```

```

apply(rule bind-rule[where  $R = \lambda r. \text{is-pointermap-impl } m \ mi * \uparrow(r = \text{None} \wedge$ 
( $\text{snd } (\text{entries}_i \ mi) = p\)) * \text{true}\)])
apply(sep-auto simp: pointermap-getmki-defs is-array-list-def split: prod.splits;fail)
apply(sep-auto simp: pointermap-getmki-defs)+$ 
```

done

end

## 10 Imparative implementation

```

theory Conc-Impl
imports Pointer-Map-Impl Middle-Impl
begin

record bddi =
  dpmi :: (nat × nat × nat) pointermap-impl
  dcli :: ((nat × nat × nat),nat) hashtable
lemma bdd-exhaust:  $dpm a = dpm b \implies dcl a = dcl b \implies a = (b :: bdd)$  by simp

instantiation prod :: (default, default) default
begin
  definition default-prod :: ('a × 'b) ≡ (default, default)
  instance ..
end

instantiation nat :: default
begin
  definition default-nat ≡ 0 :: nat
  instance ..
end

definition is-bdd-impl (bdd::bdd) (bddi::bddi) = is-pointermap-impl (dpm bdd) (dpmi
bddi) * is-hashmap (dcl bdd) (dcli bddi)

lemma is-bdd-impl-prec: precise is-bdd-impl
apply(rule preciseI)
apply(unfold is-bdd-impl-def)
apply(clarsimp)
apply(rename-tac a a' x y p F F')
apply(rule bdd-exhaust)
apply(rule-tac p = dpmi p and h = (x,y) in preciseD[OF is-pointermap-impl-prec])
apply(unfold star-aci(1))
apply blast
apply(rule-tac p = dcli p and h = (x,y) in preciseD[OF is-hashmap-prec])
apply(simp only: star-aci(2)[symmetric])
apply(simp only: star-aci(1)[symmetric])
apply(simp only: star-aci(2)[symmetric])

done
```

```

definition emptyci :: bddi Heap ≡ do { ep ← pointermap-empty; ehm ← hm-new;
return (dpmi=ep, dcli=ehm) }
definition tci bdd ≡ return (1::nat,bdd::bddi)
definition fci bdd ≡ return (0::nat,bdd::bddi)
definition ifci v t e bdd ≡ (if t = e then return (t, bdd) else do {
(p,u) ← pointermap-getmki (v, t, e) (dpmi bdd);
return (Suc (Suc p), dpmi-update (const u) bdd)
})
definition destrci :: nat ⇒ bddi ⇒ (nat, nat) IFEXD Heap where
destrci n bdd ≡ (case n of
0 ⇒ return FD |
Suc 0 ⇒ return TD |
Suc (Suc p) ⇒ pm-pthi (dpmi bdd) p ≫= (λ(v,t,e). return (IFD v t e)))

```

**term** mi.les

```

lemma emptyci-rule[sep-heap-rules]: <emp> emptyci <is-bdd-impl emptymi>t
by (sep-auto simp: is-bdd-impl-def emptyci-def emptymi-def)

lemma [sep-heap-rules]: tmi' bdd = Some (p,bdd')
⇒ <is-bdd-impl bdd bddi>
  tci bddi
  <λ(pi,bddi'). is-bdd-impl bdd' bddi' * ↑(pi = p)>
by (sep-auto simp: tci-def tmi'-def split: Option.bind-splits)

lemma [sep-heap-rules]: fmi' bdd = Some (p,bdd')
⇒ <is-bdd-impl bdd bddi>
  fci bddi
  <λ(pi,bddi'). is-bdd-impl bdd' bddi' * ↑(pi = p)>
by (sep-auto simp: fci-def fmi'-def split: Option.bind-splits)

lemma [sep-heap-rules]: ifmi' v t e bdd = Some (p, bdd') ⇒
<is-bdd-impl bdd bddi> ifci v t e bddi
<λ(pi,bddi'). is-bdd-impl bdd' bddi' * ↑(pi = p)>t
apply (clarsimp simp: is-bdd-impl-def ifmi'-def simp del: ifmi.simps)
by (sep-auto simp: ifci-def apfst-def map-prod-def is-bdd-impl-def bdd-sane-def
split: prod.splits if-splits Option.bind-splits)

lemma destrci-rule[sep-heap-rules]:
destrmi' n bdd = Some r ⇒
<is-bdd-impl bdd bddi> destrci n bddi
<λr'. is-bdd-impl bdd bddi * ↑(r' = r)>
unfolding destrmi'-def apply (clarsimp split: Option.bind-splits)
apply (cases (n, bdd) rule: destrmi.cases)
by (sep-auto simp: destrci-def bdd-node-valid-def is-bdd-impl-def ifexd-valid-def
bdd-sane-def
dest: p-valid-RmiI)+
```

```

term mi.restrict-top-impl

thm mi.case-ifexi-def

definition case-ifexici fti ffi fii ni bddi ≡ do {
  dest ← destrci ni bddi;
  case dest of TD ⇒ fti | FD ⇒ ffi | IFD v ti ei ⇒ fii v ti ei
}

lemma [sep-decon-rules]:
assumes S: mi.case-ifexi fti ffi fii ni bdd = Some r
assumes [sep-heap-rules]:
  destrmi' ni bdd = Some TD ⇒ fti bdd = Some r ⇒ <is-bdd-impl bdd bddi>
  ftc <Q>
  destrmi' ni bdd = Some FD ⇒ ffi bdd = Some r ⇒ <is-bdd-impl bdd bddi>
  ffci <Q>
  ∨v t e. destrmi' ni bdd = Some (IFD v t e) ⇒ fii v t e bdd = Some r
  ⇒ <is-bdd-impl bdd bddi> fici v t e <Q>
shows <is-bdd-impl bdd bddi> case-ifexici ftc & ffci & fici & ni bddi <Q>
using S unfolding mi.case-ifexi-def apply (clar simp split: Option.bind-splits
IFEXD.splits)
by (sep-auto simp: case-ifexici-def) +

```

  

```

definition restrict-topci p vr vl bdd =
  case-ifexici
  (return p)
  (return p)
  (λv te ee. return (if v = vr then (if vl then te else ee) else p))
  p bdd

```

  

```

lemma [sep-heap-rules]:
assumes mi.restrict-top-impl p var val bdd = Some (r,bdd')
shows <is-bdd-impl bdd bddi> restrict-topci p var val bddi
  <λri. is-bdd-impl bdd bddi * ↑(ri = r)>
using assms unfolding mi.restrict-top-impl-def restrict-topci-def by sep-auto

```

  

```

fun lowest-topsci where
  lowest-topsci [] s = return None |
  lowest-topsci (e#es) s =
    case-ifexici
    (lowest-topsci es s)
    (lowest-topsci es s)
    (λv t e. do {
      (rec) ← lowest-topsci es s;
      (case rec of
        Some u ⇒ return ((Some (min u v))) |
        None ⇒ return ((Some v)))
    }) e s

```

```

declare lowest-topsci.simps[simp del]

lemma [sep-heap-rules]:
  assumes mi.lowest-tops-impl es bdd = Some (r,bdd')
  shows <is-bdd-impl bdd bddi> lowest-topsci es bddi
  < $\lambda(ri). \text{is-bdd-impl } bdd \text{ bddi} * \uparrow(ri = r \wedge bdd' = bdd)$ >

proof -
  note [simp] = lowest-topsci.simps mi.lowest-tops-impl.simps
  show ?thesis using assms
    apply (induction es arbitrary: bdd r bdd' bddi)
    apply (sep-auto)

  apply (clar simp simp: mi.case-ifexi-def split: Option.bind-splits IFEXD.splits)
    apply (sep-auto simp: mi.case-ifexi-def)
    apply (sep-auto simp: mi.case-ifexi-def)
    apply (sep-auto simp: mi.case-ifexi-def)
    done

qed

partial-function(heap) iteci where
iteci i t e s = do {
  (lt)  $\leftarrow$  lowest-topsci [i, t, e] s;
  case lt of
    Some a  $\Rightarrow$  do {
      ti  $\leftarrow$  restrict-topci i a True s;
      tt  $\leftarrow$  restrict-topci t a True s;
      te  $\leftarrow$  restrict-topci e a True s;
      fi  $\leftarrow$  restrict-topci i a False s;
      ft  $\leftarrow$  restrict-topci t a False s;
      fe  $\leftarrow$  restrict-topci e a False s;
      (tb,s')  $\leftarrow$  iteci ti tt te s;
      (fb,s'')  $\leftarrow$  iteci fi ft fe s';
      (ifci a tb fb s'')
    }
  | None  $\Rightarrow$  do {
    case-ifexici (return (t,s)) (return (e,s)) ( $\lambda$ - - -. raise STR "Cannot happen") i
    s
  }
}

declare iteci.simps[code]

lemma iteci-rule:
  ( mi.ite-impl i t e bdd = Some (p,bdd') )  $\longrightarrow$ 
  <is-bdd-impl bdd bddi>
  iteci i t e bddi
  < $\lambda(pi,bddi'). \text{is-bdd-impl } bdd' \text{ bddi}' * \uparrow(pi=p)$ >_t
  apply (induction arbitrary: i t e bddi bdd p bdd' rule: mi.ite-impl.fixp-induct)
  subgoal

```

```

apply simp
using option-admissible[where P=
   $\lambda(((x_1,x_2),x_3),x_4) \ (r_1,r_2). \ \forall bddi.$ 
  <is-bdd-impl x4 bddi>
  iteci x1 x2 x3 bddi
   $\langle\lambda r. \ case \ r \ of \ (p_i, \ bddi') \Rightarrow \ is\text{-bdd-impl} \ r_2 \ bddi' * \uparrow(p_i = r_1)\rangle_t]$ 
apply auto[1]
apply (fo-rule subst[rotated])
  apply (assumption)
by auto
subgoal by simp
subgoal
  apply clarify
  apply (clar simp split: option.splits Option.bind-splits prod.splits)
    apply (subst iteci.simps)
    apply (sep-auto)
    apply (subst iteci.simps)
    apply (sep-auto)
    unfolding imp-to-meta apply rprems
    apply simp
  apply sep-auto
  apply (rule fi-rule)
    apply rprems
    apply simp
    apply frame-inference
  by sep-auto
done

declare iteci-rule[THEN mp, sep-heap-rules]

definition param-optci where
param-optci i t e bdd = do {
  (tr, bdd) ← tci bdd;
  (fl, bdd) ← fci bdd;
  id ← destrci i bdd;
  td ← destrci t bdd;
  ed ← destrci e bdd;
  return (
    if id = TD then Some t else
      if id = FD then Some e else
        if td = TD ∧ ed = FD then Some i else
          if t = e then Some t else
            if ed = TD ∧ i = t then Some tr else
              if td = FD ∧ i = e then Some fl else
                None, bdd)
}
}

lemma param-optci-rule:
( mi.param-opt-impl i t e bdd = Some (p,bdd') ) ==>

```

```

<is-bdd-impl bdd bddi>
  param-optci i t e bddi
  < $\lambda(pi, bddi'). is-bdd-impl bdd' bddi' * \uparrow(pi=p)$ >t
by (sep-auto simp add: mi.param-opt-impl.simps param-optci-def tmi'-def fmi'-def
    split: Option.bind-splits)

lemma bdd-hm-lookup-rule:
  (dcl bdd (i,t,e) = p)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  <is-bdd-impl bdd bddi>
    hm-lookup (i, t, e) (dcli bddi)
    < $\lambda(pi). is-bdd-impl bdd bddi * \uparrow(pi = p)$ >t
unfolding is-bdd-impl-def by (sep-auto)

lemma bdd-hm-update-rule'[sep-heap-rules]:
  <is-bdd-impl bdd bddi>
    hm-update k v (dcli bddi)
    < $\lambda r. is-bdd-impl (updS bdd k v) (dcli-update (const r) bddi) * true$ >
  unfolding is-bdd-impl-def updS-def by (sep-auto)

partial-function(heap) iteci-lu where
iteci-lu i t e s = do {
  lu  $\leftarrow$  ht-lookup (i,t,e) (dcli s);
  (case lu of Some b  $\Rightarrow$  return (b,s)
  | None  $\Rightarrow$  do {
    (po,s)  $\leftarrow$  param-optci i t e s;
    (case po of Some b  $\Rightarrow$  do {
      return (b,s)}
    | None  $\Rightarrow$  do {
      (lt)  $\leftarrow$  lowest-topsci [i, t, e] s;
      (case lt of Some a  $\Rightarrow$  do {
        ti  $\leftarrow$  restrict-topci i a True s;
        tt  $\leftarrow$  restrict-topci t a True s;
        te  $\leftarrow$  restrict-topci e a True s;
        fi  $\leftarrow$  restrict-topci i a False s;
        ft  $\leftarrow$  restrict-topci t a False s;
        fe  $\leftarrow$  restrict-topci e a False s;
        (tb,s)  $\leftarrow$  iteci-lu ti tt te s;
        (fb,s)  $\leftarrow$  iteci-lu fi ft fe s;
        (r,s)  $\leftarrow$  ifci a tb fb s;
        cl  $\leftarrow$  hm-update (i,t,e) r (dcli s);
        return (r,dcli-update (const cl) s)
      }
      | None  $\Rightarrow$  raise STR "Cannot happen" )})
  })
}

term ht-lookup
declare iteci-lu.simps[code]
thm iteci-lu.simps[unfolded restrict-topci-def case-ifexici-def param-optci-def low-
est-topsci.simps]

```

```

partial-function(heap) iteci-lu-code where iteci-lu-code i t e s = do {
    lu  $\leftarrow$  hm-lookup (i, t, e) (dcli s);
    case lu of None  $\Rightarrow$  let po = if i = 1 then Some t
        else if i = 0 then Some e else if t = 1  $\wedge$  e = 0 then Some
        i else if t = e then Some t else if e = 1  $\wedge$  i = t then Some 1 else if t = 0  $\wedge$  i = e
        then Some 0 else None
        in case po of None  $\Rightarrow$  do {
            id  $\leftarrow$  destrci i s;
            td  $\leftarrow$  destrci t s;
            ed  $\leftarrow$  destrci e s;
            let a = (case id of IFD v t e  $\Rightarrow$  v);
            let a = (case td of IFD v t e  $\Rightarrow$  min a v | -  $\Rightarrow$  a);
            let a = (case ed of IFD v t e  $\Rightarrow$  min a v | -  $\Rightarrow$  a);
            let ti = (case id of IFD v ti ei  $\Rightarrow$  if v = a then ti
            else i | -  $\Rightarrow$  i);
            let tt = (case td of IFD v ti ei  $\Rightarrow$  if v = a then ti
            else t | -  $\Rightarrow$  t);
            let te = (case ed of IFD v ti ei  $\Rightarrow$  if v = a then ti
            else e | -  $\Rightarrow$  e);
            let fi = (case id of IFD v ti ei  $\Rightarrow$  if v = a then ei
            else i | -  $\Rightarrow$  i);
            let ft = (case td of IFD v ti ei  $\Rightarrow$  if v = a then ei
            else t | -  $\Rightarrow$  t);
            let fe = (case ed of IFD v ti ei  $\Rightarrow$  if v = a then ei
            else e | -  $\Rightarrow$  e);
            (tb, s)  $\leftarrow$  iteci-lu-code ti tt te s;
            (fb, s)  $\leftarrow$  iteci-lu-code fi ft fe s;
            (r, s)  $\leftarrow$  ifci a tb fb s;
            cl  $\leftarrow$  hm-update (i, t, e) r (dcli s);
            return (r, dcli-update (const cl) s)
        }
        | Some b  $\Rightarrow$  return (b, s)
    | Some b  $\Rightarrow$  return (b, s)
}

```

**declare** iteci-lu-code.simps[code]

**lemma** iteci-lu-code[code-unfold]: iteci-lu i t e s = iteci-lu-code i t e s  
**oops**

**lemma** iteci-lu-rule:  
 $(\text{mi.ite-impl-lu } i \ t \ e \ bdd = \text{Some } (p, bdd')) \longrightarrow$   
 $\langle \text{is-bdd-impl } bdd \ bdd' \rangle$   
 $\text{iteci-lu } i \ t \ e \ bdd'$   
 $\langle \lambda(pi, bdd'). \text{is-bdd-impl } bdd' \ bdd' * \uparrow(pi=p) \rangle_t$   
**apply** (induction arbitrary: i t e bddi bdd p bdd' rule: mi.ite-impl-lu.fixp-induct)  
**subgoal**  
**apply** simp

```

using option-admissible[where P=
  λ(((x1,x2),x3),x4) (r1,r2). ∀ bddi.
  <is-bdd-impl x4 bddi>
  iteci-lu x1 x2 x3 bddi
  <λr. case r of (pi, bddi') ⇒ is-bdd-impl r2 bddi' * ↑ (pi = r1)>t]
apply auto[1]
apply (fo-rule subst[rotated])
  apply (assumption)
  by auto
subgoal by simp
subgoal
  apply clarify
  apply (clarsimp split: option.splits Option.bind-splits prod.splits)
subgoal
  unfolding updS-def
  apply (subst iteci-lu.simps)
  apply (sep-auto)
  using bdd-hm-lookup-rule apply(blast)
  apply(sep-auto)
  apply(rule fi-rule)
  apply(rule param-optci-rule)
  apply(sep-auto)
  apply(sep-auto)
  apply(sep-auto)
  unfolding imp-to-meta
  apply(rule fi-rule)
  apply(rprems)
  apply(simp; fail)
  apply(sep-auto)
  apply(sep-auto)
  apply(rule fi-rule)
  apply(rprems)
  apply(simp; fail)
  apply(sep-auto)
  apply(sep-auto)
  unfolding updS-def by (sep-auto)
subgoal
  apply(subst iteci-lu.simps)
  apply(sep-auto)
  using bdd-hm-lookup-rule apply(blast)
  apply(sep-auto)
  apply(rule fi-rule)
  apply(rule param-optci-rule)
  apply(sep-auto)
  apply(sep-auto)
  by (sep-auto)
subgoal
  apply(subst iteci-lu.simps)
  apply(sep-auto)

```

```

using bdd-hm-lookup-rule apply(blast)
by(sep-auto)
done
done

```

### 10.1 A standard library of functions

**declare iteci-rule**[THEN mp, sep-heap-rules]

```

definition notci e s ≡ do {
  (f,s) ← fci s;
  (t,s) ← tci s;
  iteci-lu e f t s
}
definition orci e1 e2 s ≡ do {
  (t,s) ← tci s;
  iteci-lu e1 t e2 s
}
definition andci e1 e2 s ≡ do {
  (f,s) ← fci s;
  iteci-lu e1 e2 f s
}
definition norci e1 e2 s ≡ do {
  (r,s) ← orci e1 e2 s;
  notci r s
}
definition nandci e1 e2 s ≡ do {
  (r,s) ← andci e1 e2 s;
  notci r s
}
definition biimpci a b s ≡ do {
  (nb,s) ← notci b s;
  iteci-lu a b nb s
}
definition xorci a b s ≡ do {
  (nb,s) ← notci b s;
  iteci-lu a nb b s
}
definition litci v bdd ≡ do {
  (t,bdd) ← tci bdd;
  (f,bdd) ← fci bdd;
  ifci v t f bdd
}
definition tautci v bdd ≡ do {
  d ← destrci v bdd;
  return (d = TD)
}

```

## 10.2 Printing

The following functions are exported unverified. They are intended for BDD debugging purposes.

```

partial-function(heap) serializeci :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  bddi  $\Rightarrow$   $((\text{nat} \times \text{nat}) \times \text{nat})$  list Heap
where
serializeci p s = do {
  d  $\leftarrow$  destrci p s;
  (case d of
    IFD v t e  $\Rightarrow$  do {
      r  $\leftarrow$  serializeci t s;
      l  $\leftarrow$  serializeci e s;
      return (remdups  $\{[(p,t),1],((p,e),0)\}$  @ r @ l)
    } |
    -  $\Rightarrow$  return []
  )
}
declare serializeci.simps[code]

fun mapM where
mapM f [] = return []
mapM f (a#as) = do {
  r  $\leftarrow$  f a;
  rs  $\leftarrow$  mapM f as;
  return (r#rs)
}
definition liftM f ma = do { a  $\leftarrow$  ma; return (f a) }
definition sequence = mapM id
term liftM (map f)
lemma liftM (map f) (sequence l) = sequence (map (liftM f) l)
  apply(induction l)
  apply(simp add: sequence-def liftM-def)
  apply(simp)
oops

fun string-of-nat :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  string where
string-of-nat n = (if n < 10 then [char-of-nat (48 + n)]
  else string-of-nat (n div 10) @ [char-of-nat (48 + (n mod 10))])
definition labelci :: bddi  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$   $(\text{string} \times \text{string} \times \text{string})$  Heap where
labelci s n = do {
  d  $\leftarrow$  destrci n s;
  let son = string-of-nat n;
  let label = (case d of
    TD  $\Rightarrow$  "T" |
    FD  $\Rightarrow$  "F" |
    (IFD v - -)  $\Rightarrow$  string-of-nat v);
}

```

```

    return (label, son, son @ "[label=" @ label @ "]");
  ")
}

definition graphifyci1 bdd a ≡ do {
  let ((f,t),y) = a;
  let c = (string-of-nat f @ " -> " @ string-of-nat t);
  return (c @ (case y of 0 ⇒ "[style=dotted]" | Suc -⇒ "") @ ";
")
}

definition trd = snd ∘ snd
definition fstp = apsnd fst

definition the-thing-By f l = (let
  nub = remdups (map fst l) in
  map (λe. (e, map snd (filter (λg. (f e (fst g))) l))) nub)
definition the-thing = the-thing-By (=)

definition graphifyci :: string ⇒ nat ⇒ bddi ⇒ string Heap where
graphifyci name ep bdd ≡ do {
  s ← serializeci ep bdd;
  let e = map fst s;
  l ← mapM (labelci bdd) (rev (remdups (map fst e @ map snd e)));
  let grp = (map (λl. foldr (λa t. t @ a @ ";") (snd l) "{rank=same;}" @ ")
") (the-thing (map fstp l));
  e ← mapM (graphifyci1 bdd) s;
  let emptyhlp = (case ep of 0 ⇒ "F;
" | Suc 0 ⇒ "T;
" | - ⇒ "");
  return ("digraph " @ name @ "
" @ concat (map trd l) @ concat grp @ concat e @ emptyhlp @ "}")
}

end

```

## 11 Collapsing the levels

```

theory Level-Collapse
imports Conc-Impl
begin

```

The theory up to this point is implemented in a way that separated the different aspects into different levels. This is highly beneficial for us, since it allows us to tackle the difficulties arising in small chunks. However, exporting this to the user would be highly impractical. Thus, this theory collapses all the different levels (i.e. refinement steps) and relates the computations

in the heap monad to *boolfunc*.

```
definition bddmi-rel cs ≡ {(a,c)|a b c. (a,b) ∈ bf-ifex-rel ∧ (c,b) ∈ Rmi cs}
definition bdd-relator :: (nat boolfunc × nat) set ⇒ bddi ⇒ assn where
bdd-relator p s ≡ ∃Acs. is-bdd-impl cs s * ↑(p ⊆ (bddmi-rel cs) ∧ bdd-sane cs) *
true
```

The *assn* predicate *bdd-relator* is the interface that is exposed to the user.  
(The contents of the definition are not exposed.)

```
lemma bdd-relator-mono[intro]: q ⊆ p ⇒ bdd-relator p s ⇒A bdd-relator q s
unfolding bdd-relator-def by sep-auto
```

```
lemma bdd-relator-absorb-true[simp]: bdd-relator p s * true = bdd-relator p s unfold
ing bdd-relator-def by simp
```

```
thm bdd-relator-def[unfolded bddmi-rel-def, simplified]
lemma join-hlp1: is-bdd-impl a s * is-bdd-impl b s ⇒A is-bdd-impl a s * is-bdd-impl
b s * ↑(a = b)
apply clarsimp
apply(rule preciseD[where p=s and R=is-bdd-impl and F=is-bdd-impl b s and
F'=is-bdd-impl a s])
apply(rule is-bdd-impl-prec)
apply(unfold mod-and-dist)
apply(rule conjI)
apply assumption
apply(simp add: star-aci(2))
done
```

```
lemma join-hlp: is-bdd-impl a s * is-bdd-impl b s = is-bdd-impl b s * is-bdd-impl
a s * ↑(a = b)
apply(rule ent-iffI[rotated])
apply(simp; fail)
apply(rule ent-trans)
apply(rule join-hlp1)
apply(simp; fail)
done
```

```
lemma add-true-asm:
assumes <b * true> p <a>t
shows <b> p <a>t
apply(rule cons-pre-rule)
prefer 2
apply(rule assms)
apply(simp add: ent-true-drop)
done
```

```
lemma add-anything:
assumes <b> p <a>
shows <b * x> p <λr. a r * x>t
proof –
```

```

note [sep-heap-rules] = assms
show ?thesis by sep-auto
qed

lemma add-true:
assumes <b> p <a>t
shows <b * true> p <a>t
using assms add-anything[where x=true] by force

```

**definition** node-relator **where** node-relator *x* *y*  $\longleftrightarrow$  *x*  $\in$  *y*

*sep-auto* behaves sub-optimal when having  $(bf, bdd) \in \text{computed-pointer-relation}$  as assumption in our cases. Using *node-relator* instead fixes this behavior with a custom solver for *simp*.

```

lemma node-relatorI: x  $\in$  y  $\Longrightarrow$  node-relator x y unfolding node-relator-def .
lemma node-relatorD: node-relator x y  $\Longrightarrow$  x  $\in$  y unfolding node-relator-def .

```

```

ML<fun TRY' tac = tac ORELSE' K all-tac>

setup <map-theory-simpset (fn ctxt =>
  ctxt addSolver (Simplifier.mk-solver node-relator
    (fn ctxt => fn n =>
      let
        val tac =
          resolve-tac ctxt @{thms node-relatorI} THEN'
          REPEAT-ALL-NEW (resolve-tac ctxt @{thms Set.insertI1 Set.insertI2})
      THEN'
        TRY' (dresolve-tac ctxt @{thms node-relatorD} THEN' assume-tac ctxt)
      in
        SOLVED' tac n
      end))
  )>

```

This is the general form one wants to work with: if a function on the bdd is called with a set of already existing and valid pointers, the arguments to the function have to be in that set. The result is that one more pointer is the set of existing and valid pointers.

```

thm iteci-rule[THEN mp] mi.ite-impl-R ifex-ite-rel-bf

```

```

lemma iteci-rule[sep-heap-rules]:
  [| node-relator (ib, ic) rp; node-relator (tb, tc) rp; node-relator (eb, ec) rp |]  $\Longrightarrow$ 
  <bdd-relator rp s>
  iteci-lu ic tc ec s
  < $\lambda(r,s'). bdd\text{-relator } (\text{insert } (bf\text{-ite } ib\ tb\ eb,r) rp) s'$ >
  apply(unfold bdd-relator-def node-relator-def)
  apply(intro norm-pre-ex-rule)
  apply(clarsimp)

```

```

apply(unfold bddmi-rel-def)
apply(drule (1) rev-subsetD)+
apply(clarsimp)
apply(drule (3) mi.ite-impl-lu-R[where ii=ic and ti=tc and ei=ec, unfolded
in-rel-def])
apply(drule ospecD2)
apply(clarsimp simp del: ifex-ite.simps)
apply(rule cons-post-rule)
apply(rule cons-pre-rule[rotated])
apply(rule iteci-lu-rule[THEN mp, THEN add-true])
apply(assumption)
apply(sep-auto; fail)
apply(clarsimp simp del: ifex-ite.simps)
apply(rule ent-ex-postI)
apply(subst ent-pure-post-iff)
apply(rule conjI[rotated])
apply(sep-auto; fail)
apply(clarsimp simp del: ifex-ite.simps)
apply(rule conjI[rotated])
apply(force simp add: mi.les-def)
apply(rule exI)
apply(rule conjI)
apply(erule (2) ifex-ite-opt-rel-bf[unfolded in-rel-def])
apply assumption
done

```

**lemma** tci-rule[sep-heap-rules]:  
 $\langle \text{bdd-relator } rp \ s \rangle$   
 $tci \ s$   
 $\langle \lambda(r,s'). \text{bdd-relator } (\text{insert } (\text{bf-True}, r) \ rp) \ s' \rangle$   
**apply**(unfold bdd-relator-def)
**apply**(intro norm-pre-ex-rule)
**apply**(clarsimp)
**apply**(frule mi.Timpl-rule)
**apply**(drule ospecD2)
**apply**(clarify)
**apply**(sep-auto)
**apply**(unfold bddmi-rel-def)
**apply**(clarsimp)
**apply**(force simp add: mi.les-def)
**done**

**lemma** fci-rule[sep-heap-rules]:  
 $\langle \text{bdd-relator } rp \ s \rangle$   
 $fci \ s$   
 $\langle \lambda(r,s'). \text{bdd-relator } (\text{insert } (\text{bf-False}, r) \ rp) \ s' \rangle$   
**apply**(unfold bdd-relator-def)
**apply**(intro norm-pre-ex-rule)
**apply**(clarsimp)

```

apply(frule mi.Fimpl-rule)
apply(drule ospecD2)
apply(clarify)
apply(sep-auto)
apply(unfold bddmi-rel-def)
apply(clarsimp)
apply(force simp add: mi.les-def)
done

```

IFC/ifmi/ifci require that the variable order is ensured by the user. Instead of using ifci, a combination of litci and iteci has to be used.

```

lemma [sep-heap-rules]:
 $\llbracket (tb, tc) \in rp; (eb, ec) \in rp \rrbracket \implies$ 
 $\langle \text{bdd-relator } rp \ s \rangle$ 
 $\text{ifci } v \ tc \ ec \ s$ 
 $\langle \lambda(r,s'). \text{bdd-relator } (\text{insert } (\text{bf-if } v \ tb \ eb,r) \ rp) \ s' \rangle$ 

```

This probably doesn't hold.

**oops**

```

lemma notci-rule[sep-heap-rules]:
assumes node-relator (tb, tc) rp
shows  $\langle \text{bdd-relator } rp \ s \rangle \text{notci } tc \ s \ <\!\lambda(r,s'). \text{bdd-relator } (\text{insert } (\text{bf-not } tb,r) \ rp) \ s' \rangle$ 
using assms
by(sep-auto simp: notci-def)

```

```

lemma cirules1[sep-heap-rules]:
assumes node-relator (tb, tc) rp node-relator (eb, ec) rp
shows
 $\langle \text{bdd-relator } rp \ s \rangle \text{andci } tc \ ec \ s \ <\!\lambda(r,s'). \text{bdd-relator } (\text{insert } (\text{bf-and } tb \ eb,r) \ rp) \ s' \rangle$ 
 $\langle \text{bdd-relator } rp \ s \rangle \text{orci } tc \ ec \ s \ <\!\lambda(r,s'). \text{bdd-relator } (\text{insert } (\text{bf-or } tb \ eb,r) \ rp) \ s' \rangle$ 
 $\langle \text{bdd-relator } rp \ s \rangle \text{biimpci } tc \ ec \ s \ <\!\lambda(r,s'). \text{bdd-relator } (\text{insert } (\text{bf-biimp } tb \ eb,r) \ rp) \ s' \rangle$ 
 $\langle \text{bdd-relator } rp \ s \rangle \text{xorci } tc \ ec \ s \ <\!\lambda(r,s'). \text{bdd-relator } (\text{insert } (\text{bf-xor } tb \ eb,r) \ rp) \ s' \rangle$ 
using assms
by (sep-auto simp: andci-def orci-def biimpci-def xorci-def)+
```

```

lemma cirules2[sep-heap-rules]:
assumes node-relator (tb, tc) rp node-relator (eb, ec) rp
shows
 $\langle \text{bdd-relator } rp \ s \rangle \text{nandci } tc \ ec \ s \ <\!\lambda(r,s'). \text{bdd-relator } (\text{insert } (\text{bf-nand } tb \ eb,r) \ rp) \ s' \rangle$ 
 $\langle \text{bdd-relator } rp \ s \rangle \text{norci } tc \ ec \ s \ <\!\lambda(r,s'). \text{bdd-relator } (\text{insert } (\text{bf-nor } tb \ eb,r) \ rp) \ s' \rangle$ 

```

```

using assms
by(sep-auto simp: nandci-def norci-def)+

lemma litci-rule[sep-heap-rules]:
  <bdd-relator rp s> litci v s < $\lambda(r,s'). \ bdd\text{-relator} (\text{insert} (\text{bf-lit } v, r) \ rp) \ s'$ >
  apply(unfold litci-def)
  apply(subgoal-tac < $\bigwedge t ab bb$ . — introducing some vars ...
    <bdd-relator (\text{insert} (\text{bf-False}, ab) (\text{insert} (\text{bf-True}, t) \ rp)) \ bb * true>
    ifci v t ab bb
    < $\lambda r. \ \text{case } r \text{ of } (r, x) \Rightarrow \ bdd\text{-relator} (\text{insert} (\text{bf-lit } v, r) \ rp) \ x$ >)
  apply(sep-auto; fail)
  apply(rename-tac tc fc sc)
  apply(unfold bdd-relator-def[abs-def])
  apply(clarsimp)
  apply(intro norm-pre-ex-rule)
  apply(clarsimp)
  apply(unfold bddmi-rel-def)
  apply(clarsimp simp only: bf-ifex-rel-consts-ensured)
  apply(frule mi.IFImpl-rule)
    apply(rename-tac tc fc sc sm a aa b ba fm tm)
    apply(thin-tac (fm, Falseif)  $\in Rmi sm$ )
    apply(assumption)
    apply(assumption)
    apply(clarsimp)
    apply(drule ospecD2)
    apply(clarify)
    apply(sep-auto)
    apply(force simp add: mi.les-def)
  done

lemma tautci-rule[sep-heap-rules]:
  shows node-relator (tb, tc) rp  $\implies$  <bdd-relator rp s> tautci tc s < $\lambda r. \ bdd\text{-relator}$ 
   $rp s * \uparrow(r \longleftrightarrow tb = \text{bf-True})$ >
  apply(unfold node-relator-def)
  apply(unfold tautci-def)
  apply(unfold bdd-relator-def)
  apply(intro norm-pre-ex-rule; clarsimp)
  apply(unfold bddmi-rel-def)
  apply(drule (1) rev-subsetD)
  apply(clarsimp)
  apply(rename-tac sm ti)
  apply(frule (1) mi.DESTRimpl-rule; drule ospecD2; clarify)
  apply(sep-auto split: ifex.splits)
done

lemma emptyci-rule[sep-heap-rules]:
  shows <emp> emptyci < $\lambda r. \ bdd\text{-relator } \{\} \ r$ >
by(sep-auto simp: bdd-relator-def)

```

```
lemmas [simp] = bf-ite-def
```

Efficient comparison of two nodes.

```
definition eqci a b ≡ return (a = b)
```

```
lemma iteeq-rule[sep-heap-rules]:
  [| node-relator (xb, xc) rp; node-relator (yb, yc) rp |] ==>
  <bdd-relator rp s>
    eqci xc yc
    <λr. ↑(r ↔ xb = yb)>_t
    apply(unfold bdd-relator-def node-relator-def eqci-def)
    apply(intro norm-pre-ex-rule)
    apply(clarsimp)
    apply(unfold bddmi-rel-def)
    apply(drule (1) rev-subsetD) +
    apply(rule return-cons-rule)
    apply(clarsimp)
    apply(rule iffI)
    using bf-ifex-eq mi.cmp-rule-eq apply(blast)
    using bf-ifex-eq mi.cmp-rule-eq apply(blast)
done
```

```
end
```

## 12 Tests and examples

```
theory BDD-Examples
imports Level-Collapse
begin
```

Just two simple examples:

```
lemma <emp> do {
  s ← emptyci;
  (t,s) ← tci s;
  tautci t s
} <λr. ↑(r = True)>_t
by sep-auto
```

```
lemma <emp> do {
  s ← emptyci;
  (a,s) ← litci 0 s;
  (b,s) ← litci 1 s;
  (c,s) ← litci 2 s;
  (t1i,s) ← orci a b s;
  (t1,s) ← andci t1i c s;
  (t2i1,s) ← andci a c s;
```

```

( $t2i2,s$ )  $\leftarrow$  andci b c s;  

( $t2,s$ )  $\leftarrow$  orci t2i1 t2i2 s;  

eqci t1 t2  

}  $\langle\!\rangle_t$   

by sep-auto

```

**end**

## References

- [1] K. S. Brace, R. L. Rudell, and R. E. Bryant. Efficient implementation of a BDD package. In *Proceedings of the 27th ACM/IEEE design automation conference*, pages 40–45. ACM, 1991.
- [2] M. Giorgino and M. Strecker. Correctness of pointer manipulating algorithms illustrated by a verified BDD construction. In *FM 2012: Formal Methods*, pages 202–216. Springer, 2012.
- [3] T. Nipkow. Boolean Expression Checkers. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, June 2014. [http://isa-afp.org/entries/Boolean\\_Expression\\_Checkers.shtml](http://isa-afp.org/entries/Boolean_Expression_Checkers.shtml), Formal proof development.
- [4] V. Ortner and N. Schirmer. BDD Normalisation. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, Feb. 2008. <http://isa-afp.org/entries/BDD.shtml>, Formal proof development.