## Cost Analysis of QuickSort

## Manuel Eberl

March 17, 2025

#### Abstract

We give a formal proof of the well-known results about the number of comparisons performed by two variants of QuickSort: first, the expected number of comparisons of randomised QuickSort (i. e. QuickSort with random pivot choice) is  $2(n + 1)H_n - 4n$ , which is asymptotically equivalent to  $2n \ln n$ ; second, the number of comparisons performed by the classic non-randomised QuickSort has the same distribution in the average case as the randomised one.

## Contents

1	Ran	domised QuickSort	<b>2</b>
	1.1	Deletion by index	2
	1.2	Definition	3
	1.3	Correctness proof	3
	1.4	Cost analysis	4
	1.5	Expected cost	4
	1.6	Version for lists with repeated elements	5
2	Average case analysis of deterministic QuickSort		8
	2.1	Definition of deterministic QuickSort	8
	2.2	Analysis	9

## 1 Randomised QuickSort

```
theory Randomised-Quick-Sort

imports

HOL–Probability.Probability

Landau-Symbols.Landau-More

Comparison-Sort-Lower-Bound.Linorder-Relations

begin
```

#### 1.1 Deletion by index

The following function deletes the n-th element of a list.

**fun** delete-index ::  $nat \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ list$  where delete-index - [] = []| delete-index 0 (x # xs) = xs| delete-index (Suc n) (x # xs) = x # delete-index n xs

```
lemma delete-index-altdef: delete-index n xs = take n xs @ drop (Suc n) xs
\langle proof \rangle
```

**lemma** delete-index-ge-length:  $n \ge \text{length } xs \implies \text{delete-index } n \ xs = xs$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** length-delete-index [simp]:  $n < \text{length } xs \implies \text{length} (\text{delete-index } n xs) = \text{length } xs - 1 \\ \langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

**lemma** delete-index-Cons: delete-index n (x # xs) = (if n = 0 then xs else x # delete-index (n - 1) xs) $<math>\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** insert-set-delete-index:  $n < \text{length } xs \implies \text{insert} (xs ! n) (\text{set} (\text{delete-index } n xs)) = \text{set } xs$  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

**lemma** add-mset-delete-index:

 $i < length xs \implies add-mset (xs ! i) (mset (delete-index i xs)) = mset xs \langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** *nth-delete-index*:

 $\begin{array}{l} i < length \ xs \implies n < length \ xs \implies \\ delete\text{-}index \ n \ xs \ ! \ i = (if \ i < n \ then \ xs \ ! \ i \ else \ xs \ ! \ Suc \ i) \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$ 

**lemma** set-delete-index-distinct: **assumes** distinct  $xs \ n < length \ xs$  **shows** set (delete-index  $n \ xs$ ) = set  $xs - \{xs \ ! \ n\}$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```
lemma mset-delete-index [simp]:
i < length xs \implies mset (delete-index i xs) = mset xs - \{\# xs! i \#\} 
\langle proof \rangle
```

## 1.2 Definition

The following is a functional randomised version of QuickSort that also records the number of comparisons that were made. The randomisation is in the selection of the pivot element: In each step, the next pivot is chosen uniformly at random from all remaining list elements.

The function takes the ordering relation to use as a first argument in the form of a set of pairs.

```
function rquicksort :: (a \times a) set \Rightarrow a list \Rightarrow (a \text{ list } \times nat) pmf where
  rguicksort R xs =
     (if xs = [] then
        return-pmf ([], \theta)
      else
        do \{
          i \leftarrow pmf-of-set {..<length xs};
          let x = xs \mid i;
          case partition (\lambda y. (y,x) \in R) (delete-index i xs) of
            (ls, rs) \Rightarrow do \{
               (ls, n1) \leftarrow rquicksort R ls;
              (rs, n2) \leftarrow rquicksort R rs;
              return-pmf (ls @ [x] @ rs, length xs - 1 + n1 + n2)
            ł
        })
  \langle proof \rangle
termination (proof)
```

declare rquicksort.simps [simp del]

**lemma** rquicksort-Nil [simp]: rquicksort R [] = return-pmf ([], 0)  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

## 1.3 Correctness proof

**lemma** set-pmf-of-set-lessThan-length [simp]:  $xs \neq [] \implies set-pmf (pmf-of-set \{..< length xs\}) = \{..< length xs\}$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

We can now prove that any list that can be returned by QuickSort is sorted w.r.t. the given relation. (as long as that relation is reflexive, transitive, and total)

```
theorem rquicksort-correct:

assumes trans R and total-on (set xs) R and \forall x \in set xs. (x,x) \in R

assumes (ys, n) \in set-pmf (rquicksort R xs)

shows sorted-wrt R ys \land mset ys = mset xs

\langle proof \rangle
```

#### 1.4 Cost analysis

The following distribution describes the number of comparisons made by randomised QuickSort in terms of the list length. (This is only valid if all list elements are distinct)

A succinct explanation of this cost analysis is given by Jacek Cichoń [1].

**fun**  $rqs\text{-}cost :: nat \Rightarrow nat pmf$ **where**  $<math>rqs\text{-}cost \ 0 = return\text{-}pmf \ 0$   $\mid rqs\text{-}cost \ (Suc \ n) =$  $do \{i \leftarrow pmf\text{-}of\text{-}set \{..n\}; a \leftarrow rqs\text{-}cost \ i; b \leftarrow rqs\text{-}cost \ (n - i); return\text{-}pmf \ (n + a + b)\}$ 

# **lemma** finite-set-pmf-rqs-cost [intro!]: finite (set-pmf (rqs-cost n)) $\langle proof \rangle$

We connect the *rqs-cost* function to the *rquicksort* function by showing that projecting out the number of comparisons from a run of *rquicksort* on a list with distinct elements yields the same distribution as *rqs-cost* for the length of that list.

**theorem** snd-rquicksort: **assumes** linorder-on  $A \ R$  and set  $xs \subseteq A$  and distinct xs **shows** map-pmf snd (rquicksort  $R \ xs$ ) = rqs-cost (length xs)  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

#### 1.5 Expected cost

It is relatively straightforward to see that the following recursive function (sometimes called the 'QuickSort equation') describes the expectation of *rqs-cost*, i.e. the expected number of comparisons of QuickSort when run on a list with distinct elements.

**fun** rqs-cost-exp ::  $nat \Rightarrow real$  where rqs-cost-exp 0 = 0| rqs-cost-exp (Suc n) = real  $n + (\sum i \le n. rqs$ -cost-exp i + rqs-cost-exp (n - i)) / real (Suc n)

**lemmas** rqs-cost-exp-0 = rqs-cost-exp.simps(1) **lemmas** rqs-cost-exp-Suc [simp del] = rqs-cost-exp.simps(2)**lemma** rqs-cost-exp-Suc-0 [simp]: rqs-cost-exp (Suc 0) = 0 (proof) The following theorem shows that *rqs-cost-exp* is indeed the expectation of *rqs-cost*.

**theorem** expectation-rqs-cost: measure-pmf.expectation (rqs-cost n) real = rqs-cost-exp n

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

We will now obtain a closed-form solution for rqs-cost-exp. First of all, we can reindex the right-most sum in the recursion step and obtain:

**lemma** rqs-cost-exp-Suc': rqs-cost-exp (Suc n) = real n + 2 / real (Suc n) \* ( $\sum i \le n$ . rqs-cost-exp i)  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

Next, we can apply some standard techniques to transform this equation into a simple linear recurrence, which we can then solve easily in terms of harmonic numbers:

**theorem** rqs-cost-exp-eq [code]: rqs-cost-exp n = 2 \* real (n + 1) \* harm n - 4 \* real n

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** asymp-equiv-harm [asymp-equiv-intros]: harm  $\sim$ [at-top] ( $\lambda n$ . ln (real n))  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**corollary** rqs-cost-exp-asymp-equiv: rqs-cost-exp  $\sim$  [at-top] ( $\lambda n. \ 2 * n * \ln n$ ) (proof)

**lemma** harm-mono:  $m \le n \Longrightarrow$  harm  $m \le (harm \ n :: real)$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** harm-Suc-0 [simp]: harm (Suc 0) = 1  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** harm-ge-1:  $n > 0 \implies$  harm  $n \ge (1::real)$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** *mono-rqs-cost-exp*: *mono rqs-cost-exp*  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** rqs-cost-exp-leI:  $m \le n \implies$  rqs-cost-exp  $m \le$  rqs-cost-exp  $n \land proof \land$ 

#### **1.6** Version for lists with repeated elements

 ${\bf definition} \ three way-partition \ {\bf where}$ 

threeway-partition x R xs =(filter  $(\lambda y. (y,x) \in R \land (x,y) \notin R) xs$ , filter  $(\lambda y. (x,y) \in R \land (y,x) \in R) xs$ , filter  $(\lambda y. (x,y) \in R \land (y,x) \notin R) xs$ ) The following version of randomised Quicksort uses a three-way partitioning function in order to also achieve expected logarithmic running time on lists with repeated elements.

```
function rquicksort' :: (a \times a) set \Rightarrow a list \Rightarrow (a \text{ list } \times a) pmf where
  rquicksort' R xs =
     (if xs = [] then
        return-pmf ([], 0)
      else
        do \{
          i \leftarrow pmf-of-set {..<length xs};
          let x = xs \mid i;
          case threeway-partition x R (delete-index i xs) of
            (ls, es, rs) \Rightarrow do \{
              (ls, n1) \leftarrow rquicksort' R \ ls;
              (rs, n2) \leftarrow rquicksort' R rs;
              return-pmf (ls @ x \# es @ rs, length xs - 1 + n1 + n2)
            }
        })
  \langle proof \rangle
termination (proof)
```

```
declare rquicksort'.simps [simp del]
```

```
lemma rquicksort'-Nil [simp]: rquicksort' R [] = return-pmf ([], 0)
\langle proof \rangle
```

#### context begin

**qualified definition** lesss ::  $(a \times a)$  set  $\Rightarrow a \Rightarrow a$  list  $\Rightarrow a$  list where lesss  $R x xs = filter (\lambda y. (y, x) \in R \land (x, y) \notin R) xs$ 

**qualified definition** greaters ::  $(a \times a)$  set  $\Rightarrow a \Rightarrow a$  list  $\Rightarrow a$  list where greaters  $R x xs = filter (\lambda y. (x, y) \in R \land (y, x) \notin R) xs$ 

#### qualified lemma lesss-Cons:

#### $\langle proof \rangle$

The following function counts the comparisons made by the modified randomised Quicksort.

```
function rqs'-cost :: (a \times a) set \Rightarrow a list \Rightarrow nat pmf where
  rqs'-cost R xs =
    (if xs = [] then
       return-pmf 0
     else
       do \{
         i \leftarrow pmf-of-set {..<length xs};
         let x = xs \mid i;
         map-pmf (\lambda(n1,n2)). length xs - 1 + n1 + n2)
           (pair-pmf (rqs'-cost R (lesss R x xs)) (rqs'-cost R (greaters R x xs)))
       })
  \langle proof \rangle
termination (proof)
declare rqs'-cost.simps [simp del]
lemma rqs'-cost-nonempty:
  xs \neq [] \implies rqs' - cost \ R \ xs =
    do \{
      i \leftarrow pmf-of-set {..<length xs};
      let x = xs \mid i;
      n1 \leftarrow rqs' - cost \ R \ (lesss \ R \ x \ xs);
      n2 \leftarrow rqs' \text{-}cost \ R \ (greaters \ R \ x \ xs);
      return-pmf (length xs - 1 + n1 + n2)
    }
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma finite-set-pmf-rqs'-cost [simp, intro]:
 finite (set-pmf (rqs'-cost R xs))
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma expectation-pair-pmf-fst [simp]:
  fixes f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b::{banach, second-countable-topology}
 shows measure-pmf.expectation (pair-pmf p q) (\lambda x. f (fst x)) = measure-pmf.expectation
p f
\langle proof \rangle
lemma expectation-pair-pmf-snd [simp]:
 fixes f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b::{banach, second-countable-topology}
 shows measure-pmf.expectation (pair-pmf p q) (\lambda x. f (snd x)) = measure-pmf.expectation
qf
\langle proof \rangle lemma length-lesss-le-sorted:
  assumes sorted-wrt R xs i < length xs
  shows length (lesss R(xs \mid i) xs) \leq i
```

```
\langle proof \rangle lemma length-greaters-le-sorted:
assumes sorted-wrt R xs i < length xs
shows length (greaters R (xs ! i) xs) \leq length xs -i - 1
\langle proof \rangle lemma length-lesss-le':
assumes i < length xs linorder-on A R set xs \subseteq A
shows length (lesss R (insort-wrt R xs ! i) xs) \leq i
\langle proof \rangle lemma length-greaters-le':
assumes i < length xs linorder-on A R set xs \subseteq A
shows length (greaters R (insort-wrt R xs ! i) xs) \leq length xs -i - 1
\langle proof \rangle
```

We can show quite easily that the expected number of comparisons in this modified QuickSort is bounded above by the expected number of comparisons on a list of the same length with no repeated elements.

```
theorem rqs'-cost-expectation-le:

assumes linorder-on A \ R \ set \ xs \subseteq A

shows measure-pmf.expectation (rqs'-cost \ R \ xs) real \leq rqs-cost-exp (length xs)

\langle proof \rangle
```

end end

## 2 Average case analysis of deterministic QuickSort

theory Quick-Sort-Average-Case imports Randomised-Quick-Sort begin

## 2.1 Definition of deterministic QuickSort

This is the functional description of the standard variant of deterministic QuickSort that always chooses the first list element as the pivot as given by Hoare in 1962 [2]. For a list that is already sorted, this leads to n(n-1) comparisons, but as is well known, the average case is not that bad.

**fun** quicksort ::  $('a \times 'a)$  set  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list **where** quicksort - [] = [] | quicksort R (x # xs) = quicksort R (filter ( $\lambda y$ . (y,x)  $\in R$ ) xs) @ [x] @ quicksort R (filter ( $\lambda y$ . (y,x)  $\notin$ R) xs)

We can easily show that this QuickSort is correct:

**theorem** mset-quicksort [simp]: mset (quicksort R xs) = mset xs  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**corollary** set-quicksort [simp]: set (quicksort R xs) = set xs  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```
theorem sorted-wrt-quicksort:

assumes trans R and total-on (set xs) R and \bigwedge x. x \in set xs \implies (x, x) \in R

shows sorted-wrt R (quicksort R xs)

\langle proof \rangle

corollary sorted-wrt-quicksort':

assumes linorder-on A R and set xs \subseteq A
```

We now define another version of QuickSort that is identical to the previous one but also counts the number of comparisons that were made.

```
 \begin{aligned} & \textbf{fun } quicksort' :: ('a \times 'a) \; set \Rightarrow 'a \; list \Rightarrow 'a \; list \times nat \; \textbf{where} \\ & quicksort' \cdot [] = ([], \; 0) \\ & | \; quicksort' \; R \; (x \; \# \; xs) = ( \\ & let \; (ls, \; rs) \; = \; partition \; (\lambda y. \; (y,x) \in R) \; xs; \\ & \; (ls', \; n1) = \; quicksort' \; R \; ls; \\ & \; (rs', \; n2) = \; quicksort' \; R \; rs \\ & in \\ & \; (ls' @ \; [x] \; @ \; rs', \; length \; xs \; + \; n1 \; + \; n2)) \end{aligned}
```

**shows** sorted-wrt R (quicksort R xs)

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

For convenience, we also define a function that computes only the number of comparisons that were made and not the result list.

**fun**  $qs\text{-}cost :: ('a \times 'a) \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow nat where$  $<math>qs\text{-}cost \ - [] = 0$   $| \ qs\text{-}cost \ R \ (x \ \# \ xs) =$  $length \ xs + \ qs\text{-}cost \ R \ (filter \ (\lambda y. \ (y,x) \in R) \ xs) + \ qs\text{-}cost \ R \ (filter \ (\lambda y. \ (y,x) \notin R) \ xs)$ 

It is obvious that the original QuickSort and the cost function are the projections of the cost-counting QuickSort.

**lemma** fst-quicksort' [simp]: fst (quicksort' R xs) = quicksort  $R xs \langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** snd-quicksort' [simp]: snd (quicksort' R xs) = qs-cost R xs  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

#### 2.2 Analysis

We will reduce the average-case analysis to showing that it is essentially equivalent to the randomised QuickSort we analysed earlier. Similar, but more direct analyses are given by Hoare [2] and Sedgewick [3].

The proof is relatively straightforward – but still a bit messy. We show that the cost distribution of QuickSort run on a random permutation of a set of size n is exactly the same as that of randomised QuickSort being run on any fixed list of size n (which we analysed before):

**theorem** *qs-cost-average-conv-rqs-cost*:

```
assumes finite A and linorder-on B R and A \subseteq B
shows map-pmf (qs-cost R) (pmf-of-set (permutations-of-set A)) = rqs-cost (card A)
(proof)
```

We therefore have the same expectation as well. (Note that we showed rqs-cost-exp n = 2 \* real (n + 1) \* harm n - 4 \* real n and rqs-cost-exp  $\sim [sequentially] (\lambda x. 2 * real x * ln (real x))$  before.

```
corollary expectation-qs-cost:

assumes finite A and linorder-on B R and A \subseteq B

defines random-list \equiv pmf-of-set (permutations-of-set A)

shows measure-pmf.expectation (map-pmf (qs-cost R) random-list) real =

rqs-cost-exp (card A)

\langle proof \rangle
```

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

## References

- [1] J. Cichoń. Quick Sort average complexity.
- [2] C. A. R. Hoare. Quicksort. The Computer Journal, 5(1):10, 1962.
- [3] R. Sedgewick. The analysis of Quicksort programs. Acta Inf., 7(4):327–355, Dec. 1977.