

Quantum Hoare Logic

Junyi Liu, Bohua Zhan, Shuling Wang, Shenggang Ying,
Tao Liu, Yangjia Li, Mingsheng Ying, and Naijun Zhan

March 17, 2025

Abstract

We formalize quantum Hoare logic as given in [1]. In particular, we specify the syntax and denotational semantics of a simple model of quantum programs. Then, we write down the rules of quantum Hoare logic for partial correctness, and show the soundness and completeness of the resulting proof system. As an application, we verify the correctness of Grover's algorithm.

Contents

1	Complex matrices	2
1.1	Trace of a matrix	2
1.2	Conjugate of a vector	4
1.3	Inner product	4
1.4	Hermitian adjoint of a matrix	7
1.5	Algebraic manipulations on matrices	9
1.6	Hermitian matrices	11
1.7	Inverse matrices	11
1.8	Unitary matrices	12
1.9	Normalization of vectors	14
1.10	Spectral decomposition of normal complex matrices	18
1.11	Outer product	35
1.12	Semi-definite matrices	38
1.13	Löwner partial order	47
1.14	Density operators	53
2	Matrix limits	56
2.1	Definition of limit of matrices	56
2.2	Existence of least upper bound for the Löwner order	71
2.3	Finite sum of matrices	86
2.4	Measurement	95

3	Quantum programs	102
3.1	Syntax	102
3.2	Denotational semantics	102
4	Partial state	131
4.1	Encodings	141
4.2	Tensor product of vectors and matrices	144
4.3	Extension of matrices	152
4.4	Partial tensor product	154
4.5	Partial extensions	159
4.6	Commands on subset of variables	169
5	Standard gates	169
6	Partial and total correctness	171
6.1	Weakest liberal preconditions	175
6.2	Hoare triples for partial correctness	197
6.3	Consequences of completeness	208
7	Grover’s algorithm	209
7.1	Basic definitions	209
7.2	Grover operator	217
7.3	State of Grover’s algorithm	219
7.4	Grover’s algorithm	224
7.5	Correctness	231

1 Complex matrices

```

theory Complex-Matrix
  imports
    Jordan-Normal-Form.Matrix
    Jordan-Normal-Form.Conjugate
    Jordan-Normal-Form.Jordan-Normal-Form-Existence
begin

```

1.1 Trace of a matrix

definition *trace* :: 'a::ring mat ⇒ 'a **where**
trace A = (∑ i ∈ {0 ..< dim-row A}. A \$\$ (i,i))

lemma *trace-zero* [*simp*]:
trace (0_{m n n}) = 0
by (*simp add: trace-def*)

lemma *trace-id* [*simp*]:
trace (1_{m n}) = n
by (*simp add: trace-def*)

lemma *trace-comm*:

fixes $A B :: 'a::comm-ring\ mat$
assumes $A: A \in carrier-mat\ n\ n$ **and** $B: B \in carrier-mat\ n\ n$
shows $trace\ (A * B) = trace\ (B * A)$
proof (*simp add: trace-def*)
have $(\sum i = 0..<n. (A * B)\ \$\$ (i, i)) = (\sum i = 0..<n. \sum j = 0..<n. A\ \$\$ (i, j) * B\ \$\$ (j, i))$
apply (*rule sum.cong*) **using** *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: scalar-prod-def*)
also have $\dots = (\sum j = 0..<n. \sum i = 0..<n. A\ \$\$ (i, j) * B\ \$\$ (j, i))$
by (*rule sum.swap*)
also have $\dots = (\sum j = 0..<n. col\ A\ j \cdot row\ B\ j)$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) A B atLeastLessThan-iff carrier-matD index-col index-row scalar-prod-def sum.cong*)
also have $\dots = (\sum j = 0..<n. row\ B\ j \cdot col\ A\ j)$
apply (*rule sum.cong*) **apply** *auto*
apply (*subst comm-scalar-prod[where n=n]*) **apply** *auto*
using *assms* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = (\sum j = 0..<n. (B * A)\ \$\$ (j, j))$
apply (*rule sum.cong*) **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
finally show $(\sum i = 0..<dim-row\ A. (A * B)\ \$\$ (i, i)) = (\sum i = 0..<dim-row\ B. (B * A)\ \$\$ (i, i))$
using $A\ B$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *trace-add-linear*:

fixes $A B :: 'a::comm-ring\ mat$
assumes $A: A \in carrier-mat\ n\ n$ **and** $B: B \in carrier-mat\ n\ n$
shows $trace\ (A + B) = trace\ A + trace\ B$ (**is** $?lhs = ?rhs$)
proof –
have $?lhs = (\sum i=0..<n. A\ \$\$ (i, i) + B\ \$\$ (i, i))$ **unfolding** *trace-def* **using** $A\ B$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = (\sum i=0..<n. A\ \$\$ (i, i)) + (\sum i=0..<n. B\ \$\$ (i, i))$ **by** (*auto simp add: sum.distrib*)
finally have $l: ?lhs = (\sum i=0..<n. A\ \$\$ (i, i)) + (\sum i=0..<n. B\ \$\$ (i, i))$.
have $r: ?rhs = (\sum i=0..<n. A\ \$\$ (i, i)) + (\sum i=0..<n. B\ \$\$ (i, i))$ **unfolding** *trace-def* **using** $A\ B$ **by** *auto*
from $l\ r$ **show** $?thesis$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *trace-minus-linear*:

fixes $A B :: 'a::comm-ring\ mat$
assumes $A: A \in carrier-mat\ n\ n$ **and** $B: B \in carrier-mat\ n\ n$
shows $trace\ (A - B) = trace\ A - trace\ B$ (**is** $?lhs = ?rhs$)
proof –
have $?lhs = (\sum i=0..<n. A\ \$\$ (i, i) - B\ \$\$ (i, i))$ **unfolding** *trace-def* **using** $A\ B$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = (\sum i=0..<n. A\ \$\$ (i, i)) - (\sum i=0..<n. B\ \$\$ (i, i))$ **by** (*auto simp add: sum-subtractf*)

finally have l : $?lhs = (\sum i=0..<n. A\$\$(i, i)) - (\sum i=0..<n. B\$\$(i, i))$.
have r : $?rhs = (\sum i=0..<n. A\$\$(i, i)) - (\sum i=0..<n. B\$\$(i, i))$ **unfolding**
trace-def using A B by auto
from l r **show** $?thesis$ **by auto**
qed

lemma *trace-smult*:

assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
shows $\text{trace } (c \cdot_m A) = c * \text{trace } A$
proof –
have $\text{trace } (c \cdot_m A) = (\sum i = 0..<\text{dim-row } A. c * A \ \$\$ (i, i))$ **unfolding**
trace-def using assms by auto
also have $\dots = c * (\sum i = 0..<\text{dim-row } A. A \ \$\$ (i, i))$
by (*simp add: sum-distrib-left*)
also have $\dots = c * \text{trace } A$ **unfolding trace-def by auto**
ultimately show $?thesis$ **by auto**
qed

1.2 Conjugate of a vector

lemma *conjugate-scalar-prod*:

fixes $v \ w :: 'a::\text{conjugatable-ring } \text{vec}$
assumes $\text{dim-vec } v = \text{dim-vec } w$
shows $\text{conjugate } (v \cdot w) = \text{conjugate } v \cdot \text{conjugate } w$
using *assms by (simp add: scalar-prod-def sum-conjugate conjugate-dist-mul)*

1.3 Inner product

abbreviation *inner-prod* $:: 'a \ \text{vec} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{vec} \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{conjugatable-ring}$
where $\text{inner-prod } v \ w \equiv w \cdot c \ v$

lemma *conjugate-scalar-prod-Im* [*simp*]:

$\text{Im } (v \cdot c \ v) = 0$
by (*simp add: scalar-prod-def conjugate-vec-def sum.neutral*)

lemma *conjugate-scalar-prod-Re* [*simp*]:

$\text{Re } (v \cdot c \ v) \geq 0$
by (*simp add: scalar-prod-def conjugate-vec-def sum-nonneg*)

lemma *self-cscalar-prod-geq-0*:

fixes $v :: 'a::\text{conjugatable-ordered-field } \text{vec}$
shows $v \cdot c \ v \geq 0$
by (*auto simp add: scalar-prod-def, rule sum-nonneg, rule conjugate-square-positive*)

lemma *inner-prod-distrib-left*:

fixes $u \ v \ w :: ('a::\text{conjugatable-field}) \ \text{vec}$
assumes $\text{dim}u: u \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ **and** $\text{dim}v:v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ **and** $\text{dim}w: w \in \text{carrier-vec } n$
shows $\text{inner-prod } (v + w) \ u = \text{inner-prod } v \ u + \text{inner-prod } w \ u$ (**is** $?lhs = ?rhs$)
proof –

have $dimcv$: conjugate $v \in carrier\text{-}vec\ n$ **and** $dimcw$: conjugate $w \in carrier\text{-}vec\ n$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
have $dimvw$: conjugate $(v + w) \in carrier\text{-}vec\ n$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
have $u \cdot (conjugate\ (v + w)) = u \cdot conjugate\ v + u \cdot conjugate\ w$
using $dimv\ dimw\ dimu\ dimcv\ dimcw$
by (*metis conjugate-add-vec scalar-prod-add-distrib*)
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *inner-prod-distrib-right*:
fixes $u\ v\ w :: ('a::conjugatable\text{-}field)\ vec$
assumes $dimu$: $u \in carrier\text{-}vec\ n$ **and** $dimv$: $v \in carrier\text{-}vec\ n$ **and** $dimw$: $w \in carrier\text{-}vec\ n$
shows $inner\text{-}prod\ u\ (v + w) = inner\text{-}prod\ u\ v + inner\text{-}prod\ u\ w$ (**is** *?lhs = ?rhs*)
proof –
have $dimvw$: $v + w \in carrier\text{-}vec\ n$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
have $dimcu$: conjugate $u \in carrier\text{-}vec\ n$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
have $(v + w) \cdot (conjugate\ u) = v \cdot conjugate\ u + w \cdot conjugate\ u$
apply (*simp add: comm-scalar-prod[OF dimvw dimcu]*)
apply (*simp add: scalar-prod-add-distrib[OF dimcu dimv dimw]*)
apply (*insert dimv dimw dimcu, simp add: comm-scalar-prod[of - n]*)
done
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *inner-prod-minus-distrib-right*:
fixes $u\ v\ w :: ('a::conjugatable\text{-}field)\ vec$
assumes $dimu$: $u \in carrier\text{-}vec\ n$ **and** $dimv$: $v \in carrier\text{-}vec\ n$ **and** $dimw$: $w \in carrier\text{-}vec\ n$
shows $inner\text{-}prod\ u\ (v - w) = inner\text{-}prod\ u\ v - inner\text{-}prod\ u\ w$ (**is** *?lhs = ?rhs*)
proof –
have $dimvw$: $v - w \in carrier\text{-}vec\ n$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
have $dimcu$: conjugate $u \in carrier\text{-}vec\ n$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
have $(v - w) \cdot (conjugate\ u) = v \cdot conjugate\ u - w \cdot conjugate\ u$
apply (*simp add: comm-scalar-prod[OF dimvw dimcu]*)
apply (*simp add: scalar-prod-minus-distrib[OF dimcu dimv dimw]*)
apply (*insert dimv dimw dimcu, simp add: comm-scalar-prod[of - n]*)
done
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *inner-prod-smult-right*:
fixes $u\ v :: complex\ vec$
assumes $dimu$: $u \in carrier\text{-}vec\ n$ **and** $dimv$: $v \in carrier\text{-}vec\ n$
shows $inner\text{-}prod\ (a \cdot_v\ u)\ v = conjugate\ a * inner\text{-}prod\ u\ v$ (**is** *?lhs = ?rhs*)
using *assms* **apply** (*simp add: scalar-prod-def conjugate-dist-mul*)
apply (*subst sum-distrib-left*) **by** (*rule sum.cong, auto*)

lemma *inner-prod-smult-left*:

```

fixes  $u\ v :: \text{complex vec}$ 
assumes  $\text{dimu}: u \in \text{carrier-vec } n$  and  $\text{dimv}: v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
shows  $\text{inner-prod } u (a \cdot_v v) = a * \text{inner-prod } u v$  (is  $?lhs = ?rhs$ )
using assms apply (simp add: scalar-prod-def)
apply (subst sum-distrib-left) by (rule sum.cong, auto)

```

lemma *inner-prod-smult-left-right*:

```

fixes  $u\ v :: \text{complex vec}$ 
assumes  $\text{dimu}: u \in \text{carrier-vec } n$  and  $\text{dimv}: v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
shows  $\text{inner-prod } (a \cdot_v u) (b \cdot_v v) = \text{conjugate } a * b * \text{inner-prod } u v$  (is  $?lhs = ?rhs$ )
using assms apply (simp add: scalar-prod-def)
apply (subst sum-distrib-left) by (rule sum.cong, auto)

```

lemma *inner-prod-swap*:

```

fixes  $x\ y :: \text{complex vec}$ 
assumes  $y \in \text{carrier-vec } n$  and  $x \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
shows  $\text{inner-prod } y x = \text{conjugate } (\text{inner-prod } x y)$ 
apply (simp add: scalar-prod-def)
apply (rule sum.cong) using assms by auto

```

Cauchy-Schwarz theorem for complex vectors. This is analogous to `aux_Cauchy` and `Cauchy_Schwarz_ineq` in `Generalizations2.thy` in `QR_Decomposition`. Consider merging and moving to Isabelle library.

lemma *aux-Cauchy*:

```

fixes  $x\ y :: \text{complex vec}$ 
assumes  $x \in \text{carrier-vec } n$  and  $y \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
shows  $0 \leq \text{inner-prod } x x + a * (\text{inner-prod } x y) + (\text{cnj } a) * ((\text{cnj } (\text{inner-prod } x y)) + a * (\text{inner-prod } y y))$ 
proof -
  have  $(\text{inner-prod } (x + a \cdot_v y) (x + a \cdot_v y)) = (\text{inner-prod } (x + a \cdot_v y) x) + (\text{inner-prod } (x + a \cdot_v y) (a \cdot_v y))$ 
    apply (subst inner-prod-distrib-right) using assms by auto
  also have  $\dots = \text{inner-prod } x x + (a) * (\text{inner-prod } x y) + \text{cnj } a * ((\text{cnj } (\text{inner-prod } x y)) + (a) * (\text{inner-prod } y y))$ 
    apply (subst (1 2) inner-prod-distrib-left[of - n]) apply (auto simp add: assms)
    apply (subst (1 2) inner-prod-smult-right[of - n]) apply (auto simp add: assms)
    apply (subst inner-prod-smult-left[of - n]) apply (auto simp add: assms)
    apply (subst inner-prod-swap[of y n x]) apply (auto simp add: assms)
    unfolding distrib-left
    by auto
  finally show  $?thesis$  by (metis self-cscalar-prod-geq-0)
qed

```

lemma *Cauchy-Schwarz-complex-vec*:

```

fixes  $x\ y :: \text{complex vec}$ 
assumes  $x \in \text{carrier-vec } n$  and  $y \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
shows  $\text{inner-prod } x y * \text{inner-prod } y x \leq \text{inner-prod } x x * \text{inner-prod } y y$ 
proof -

```

define *cnj-a* **where** $cnj-a = - (inner-prod\ x\ y) / cnj\ (inner-prod\ y\ y)$
define *a* **where** $a = cnj\ (cnj-a)$
have *cnj-rw*: $(cnj\ a) = cnj-a$
unfolding *a-def* **by** (*simp*)
have *rw-0*: $cnj\ (inner-prod\ x\ y) + a * (inner-prod\ y\ y) = 0$
unfolding *a-def cnj-a-def* **using** *assms(1) assms(2) conjugate-square-eq-0-vec*
by *fastforce*
have $0 \leq (inner-prod\ x\ x + a * (inner-prod\ x\ y) + (cnj\ a) * ((cnj\ (inner-prod\ x\ y)) + a * (inner-prod\ y\ y)))$
using *aux-Cauchy assms* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = (inner-prod\ x\ x + a * (inner-prod\ x\ y))$ **unfolding** *rw-0* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = (inner-prod\ x\ x - (inner-prod\ x\ y) * cnj\ (inner-prod\ x\ y) / (inner-prod\ y\ y))$
unfolding *a-def cnj-a-def* **by** *simp*
finally have $0 \leq (inner-prod\ x\ x - (inner-prod\ x\ y) * cnj\ (inner-prod\ x\ y) / (inner-prod\ y\ y))$.
hence $0 \leq (inner-prod\ x\ x - (inner-prod\ x\ y) * cnj\ (inner-prod\ x\ y) / (inner-prod\ y\ y)) * (inner-prod\ y\ y)$
by (*auto simp: less-eq-complex-def*)
also have $\dots = ((inner-prod\ x\ x) * (inner-prod\ y\ y) - (inner-prod\ x\ y) * cnj\ (inner-prod\ x\ y))$
by (*smt (verit) add.inverse-neutral add-diff-cancel diff-0 diff-divide-eq-iff divide-cancel-right mult-eq-0-iff nonzero-mult-div-cancel-right rw-0*)
finally have $(inner-prod\ x\ y) * cnj\ (inner-prod\ x\ y) \leq (inner-prod\ x\ x) * (inner-prod\ y\ y)$ **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis*
apply (*subst inner-prod-swap[of y n x]*) **by** (*auto simp add: assms*)
qed

1.4 Hermitian adjoint of a matrix

abbreviation *adjoint* **where** $adjoint \equiv mat-adjoint$

lemma *adjoint-dim-row* [*simp*]:

$dim-row\ (adjoint\ A) = dim-col\ A$ **by** (*simp add: mat-adjoint-def*)

lemma *adjoint-dim-col* [*simp*]:

$dim-col\ (adjoint\ A) = dim-row\ A$ **by** (*simp add: mat-adjoint-def*)

lemma *adjoint-dim*:

$A \in carrier-mat\ n\ n \implies adjoint\ A \in carrier-mat\ n\ n$

using *adjoint-dim-col adjoint-dim-row* **by** *blast*

lemma *adjoint-def*:

$adjoint\ A = mat\ (dim-col\ A)\ (dim-row\ A)\ (\lambda(i,j). conjugate\ (A\ \$\$ (j,i)))$

unfolding *mat-adjoint-def mat-of-rows-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *adjoint-eval*:

assumes $i < \dim\text{-col } A \ j < \dim\text{-row } A$
shows $(\text{adjoint } A) \ \$\$ (i,j) = \text{conjugate } (A \ \$\$ (j,i))$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: adjoint-def*)

lemma *adjoint-row*:
assumes $i < \dim\text{-col } A$
shows $\text{row } (\text{adjoint } A) \ i = \text{conjugate } (\text{col } A \ i)$
apply (*rule eq-vecI*)
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: adjoint-eval*)

lemma *adjoint-col*:
assumes $i < \dim\text{-row } A$
shows $\text{col } (\text{adjoint } A) \ i = \text{conjugate } (\text{row } A \ i)$
apply (*rule eq-vecI*)
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: adjoint-eval*)

The identity $\langle v, A \ w \rangle = \langle A^* \ v, w \rangle$

lemma *adjoint-def-alter*:
fixes $v \ w :: 'a::\text{conjugatable-field } \text{vec}$
and $A :: 'a::\text{conjugatable-field } \text{mat}$
assumes $\text{dims}: v \in \text{carrier-vec } n \ w \in \text{carrier-vec } m \ A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ m$
shows $\text{inner-prod } v \ (A \ *_v \ w) = \text{inner-prod } (\text{adjoint } A \ *_v \ v) \ w$ (**is** $?lhs = ?rhs$)
proof –

from *dims* **have** $?lhs = (\sum i=0..<\dim\text{-vec } v. (\sum j=0..<\dim\text{-vec } w. \text{conjugate } (v\$i) * A\$(i, j) * w\$j))$
apply (*simp add: scalar-prod-def sum-distrib-right*)
apply (*rule sum.cong, simp*)
apply (*rule sum.cong, auto*)
done

moreover from *assms* **have** $?rhs = (\sum i=0..<\dim\text{-vec } v. (\sum j=0..<\dim\text{-vec } w. \text{conjugate } (v\$i) * A\$(i, j) * w\$j))$
apply (*simp add: scalar-prod-def adjoint-eval sum-conjugate conjugate-dist-mul sum-distrib-left*)
apply (*subst sum.swap[where ?A = {0..<n}]*)
apply (*rule sum.cong, simp*)
apply (*rule sum.cong, auto*)
done

ultimately show $?thesis$ **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *adjoint-one*:
shows $\text{adjoint } (1_m \ n) = (1_m \ n::\text{complex mat})$
apply (*rule eq-matI*)
by (*auto simp add: adjoint-eval*)

lemma *adjoint-scale*:
fixes $A :: 'a::\text{conjugatable-field } \text{mat}$
shows $\text{adjoint } (a \ \cdot_m \ A) = (\text{conjugate } a) \ \cdot_m \ \text{adjoint } A$
apply (*rule eq-matI*) **using** *conjugatable-ring-class.conjugate-dist-mul*

by (auto simp add: adjoint-eval)

lemma *adjoint-add*:

fixes $A B :: 'a::\text{conjugatable-field mat}$
assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ m \ B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ m$
shows $\text{adjoint } (A + B) = \text{adjoint } A + \text{adjoint } B$
apply (rule eq-matI)
using *assms conjugatable-ring-class.conjugate-dist-add*
by(auto simp add: adjoint-eval)

lemma *adjoint-minus*:

fixes $A B :: 'a::\text{conjugatable-field mat}$
assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ m \ B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ m$
shows $\text{adjoint } (A - B) = \text{adjoint } A - \text{adjoint } B$
apply (rule eq-matI)
using *assms* **apply**(auto simp add: adjoint-eval)
by (*metis add-uminus-conv-diff conjugate-dist-add conjugate-neg*)

lemma *adjoint-mult*:

fixes $A B :: 'a::\text{conjugatable-field mat}$
assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ m \ B \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ l$
shows $\text{adjoint } (A * B) = \text{adjoint } B * \text{adjoint } A$
proof (rule eq-matI, auto simp add: adjoint-eval adjoint-row adjoint-col)
fix $i \ j$
assume $i < \text{dim-col } B \ j < \text{dim-row } A$
show $\text{conjugate } (\text{row } A \ j \cdot \text{col } B \ i) = \text{conjugate } (\text{col } B \ i) \cdot \text{conjugate } (\text{row } A \ j)$
using *assms* **apply** (*simp add: conjugate-scalar-prod*)
apply (*subst comm-scalar-prod[where n=dim-row B]*)
by (auto simp add: carrier-vecI)

qed

lemma *adjoint-adjoint*:

fixes $A :: 'a::\text{conjugatable-field mat}$
shows $\text{adjoint } (\text{adjoint } A) = A$
by (rule eq-matI, auto simp add: adjoint-eval)

lemma *trace-adjoint-positive*:

fixes $A :: \text{complex mat}$
shows $\text{trace } (A * \text{adjoint } A) \geq 0$
apply (auto simp add: trace-def adjoint-col)
apply (rule sum-nonneg) **by** auto

1.5 Algebraic manipulations on matrices

lemma *right-add-zero-mat[simp]*:

$(A :: 'a :: \text{monoid-add mat}) \in \text{carrier-mat } nr \ nc \implies A + 0_m \ nr \ nc = A$
by (*intro eq-matI, auto*)

lemma *add-carrier-mat'*:

$A \in \text{carrier-mat } nr \ nc \implies B \in \text{carrier-mat } nr \ nc \implies A + B \in \text{carrier-mat } nr \ nc$

by *simp*

lemma *minus-carrier-mat'*:

$A \in \text{carrier-mat } nr \ nc \implies B \in \text{carrier-mat } nr \ nc \implies A - B \in \text{carrier-mat } nr \ nc$

by *auto*

lemma *swap-plus-mat*:

fixes $A \ B \ C :: 'a::\text{semiring-1 mat}$

assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n \ B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n \ C \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$

shows $A + B + C = A + C + B$

by (*metis assms assoc-add-mat comm-add-mat*)

lemma *uminus-mat*:

fixes $A :: \text{complex mat}$

assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$

shows $-A = (-1) \cdot_m A$

by *auto*

ML-file *mat-alg.ML*

method-setup *mat-assoc* = $\langle \text{mat-assoc-method} \rangle$

Normalization of expressions on matrices

lemma *mat-assoc-test*:

fixes $A \ B \ C \ D :: \text{complex mat}$

assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n \ B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n \ C \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n \ D \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$

shows

$$(A * B) * (C * D) = A * B * C * D$$

$$\text{adjoint } (A * \text{adjoint } B) * C = B * (\text{adjoint } A * C)$$

$$A * 1_m \ n * 1_m \ n * B * 1_m \ n = A * B$$

$$(A - B) + (B - C) = A + (-B) + B + (-C)$$

$$A + (B - C) = A + B - C$$

$$A - (B + C + D) = A - B - C - D$$

$$(A + B) * (B + C) = A * B + B * B + A * C + B * C$$

$$A - B = A + (-1) \cdot_m B$$

$$A * (B - C) * D = A * B * D - A * C * D$$

$$\text{trace } (A * B * C) = \text{trace } (B * C * A)$$

$$\text{trace } (A * B * C * D) = \text{trace } (C * D * A * B)$$

$$\text{trace } (A + B * C) = \text{trace } A + \text{trace } (C * B)$$

$$A + B = B + A$$

$$A + B + C = C + B + A$$

$$A + B + (C + D) = A + C + (B + D)$$

using *assms* **by** (*mat-assoc n*)**+**

1.6 Hermitian matrices

A Hermitian matrix is a matrix that is equal to its Hermitian adjoint.

definition *hermitian* :: 'a::conjugatable-field mat \Rightarrow bool **where**
hermitian A \longleftrightarrow (adjoint A = A)

lemma *hermitian-one*:

shows *hermitian* ((1_m n)::('a::conjugatable-field mat))

unfolding *hermitian-def*

proof –

have *conjugate* (1::'a) = 1

apply (*subst mult-1-right*[*symmetric*, of *conjugate* 1])

apply (*subst conjugate-id*[*symmetric*, of *conjugate* 1 * 1])

apply (*subst conjugate-dist-mul*)

apply *auto*

done

then show *adjoint* ((1_m n)::('a::conjugatable-field mat)) = (1_m n)

by (*auto simp add: adjoint-eval*)

qed

1.7 Inverse matrices

lemma *inverts-mat-symm*:

fixes A B :: 'a::field mat

assumes *dim*: A \in *carrier-mat* n n B \in *carrier-mat* n n

and AB: *inverts-mat* A B

shows *inverts-mat* B A

proof –

have A * B = 1_m n **using** *dim* AB **unfolding** *inverts-mat-def* **by** *auto*

with *dim* **have** B * A = 1_m n **by** (*rule mat-mult-left-right-inverse*)

then show *inverts-mat* B A **using** *dim* *inverts-mat-def* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *inverts-mat-unique*:

fixes A B C :: 'a::field mat

assumes *dim*: A \in *carrier-mat* n n B \in *carrier-mat* n n C \in *carrier-mat* n n

and AB: *inverts-mat* A B **and** AC: *inverts-mat* A C

shows B = C

proof –

have AB1: A * B = 1_m n **using** AB *dim* **unfolding** *inverts-mat-def* **by** *auto*

have A * C = 1_m n **using** AC *dim* **unfolding** *inverts-mat-def* **by** *auto*

then have CA1: C * A = 1_m n **using** *mat-mult-left-right-inverse*[of A n C] *dim*
by *auto*

then have C = C * 1_m n **using** *dim* **by** *auto*

also have ... = C * (A * B) **using** AB1 **by** *auto*

also have ... = (C * A) * B **using** *dim* **by** *auto*

also have ... = 1_m n * B **using** CA1 **by** *auto*

also have ... = B **using** *dim* **by** *auto*

finally show B = C ..

qed

1.8 Unitary matrices

A unitary matrix is a matrix whose Hermitian adjoint is also its inverse.

definition *unitary* :: 'a::conjugatable-field mat \Rightarrow bool **where**
 unitary A \longleftrightarrow A \in carrier-mat (dim-row A) (dim-row A) \wedge inverts-mat A (adjoint A)

lemma *unitaryD2*:
 assumes A \in carrier-mat n n
 shows *unitary* A \Longrightarrow inverts-mat (adjoint A) A
 using *assms adjoint-dim inverts-mat-symm unitary-def* **by** blast

lemma *unitary-simps* [*simp*]:
 A \in carrier-mat n n \Longrightarrow *unitary* A \Longrightarrow adjoint A * A = 1_m n
 A \in carrier-mat n n \Longrightarrow *unitary* A \Longrightarrow A * adjoint A = 1_m n
 apply (*metis adjoint-dim-row carrier-matD(2) inverts-mat-def unitaryD2*)
 by (*simp add: inverts-mat-def unitary-def*)

lemma *unitary-adjoint* [*simp*]:
 assumes A \in carrier-mat n n *unitary* A
 shows *unitary* (adjoint A)
 unfolding *unitary-def*
 using *adjoint-dim[OF assms(1)] assms* **by** (*auto simp add: unitaryD2[OF assms] adjoint-adjoint*)

lemma *unitary-one*:
 shows *unitary* ((1_m n)::('a::conjugatable-field mat))
 unfolding *unitary-def*
proof –
 define I **where** *I-def*[*simp*]: I \equiv ((1_m n)::('a::conjugatable-field mat))
 have *dim*: I \in carrier-mat n n **by** *auto*
 have *hermitian* I **using** *hermitian-one* **by** *auto*
 hence adjoint I = I **using** *hermitian-def* **by** *auto*
 with *dim* **show** I \in carrier-mat (dim-row I) (dim-row I) \wedge inverts-mat I (adjoint I)
 unfolding *inverts-mat-def* **using** *dim* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *unitary-zero*:
 fixes A :: 'a::conjugatable-field mat
 assumes A \in carrier-mat 0 0
 shows *unitary* A
 unfolding *unitary-def inverts-mat-def Let-def* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *unitary-elim*:
 assumes *dims*: A \in carrier-mat n n B \in carrier-mat n n P \in carrier-mat n n
 and *uP*: *unitary* P **and** *eq*: P * A * adjoint P = P * B * adjoint P

shows $A = B$
proof –
have $\text{dima}P$: $\text{adjoint } P \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **using** dims **by** auto
have iv : $\text{inverts-mat } P (\text{adjoint } P)$ **using** uP unitary-def **by** auto
then have $P * (\text{adjoint } P) = 1_m \ n$ **using** $\text{inverts-mat-def } \text{dims}$ **by** auto
then have aPP : $\text{adjoint } P * P = 1_m \ n$ **using** $\text{mat-mult-left-right-inverse}[OF \text{dims}(3) \ \text{dima}P]$ **by** auto
have $\text{adjoint } P * (P * A * \text{adjoint } P) * P = (\text{adjoint } P * P) * A * (\text{adjoint } P * P)$
using $\text{dims } \text{dima}P$ **by** $(\text{mat-assoc } n)$
also have $\dots = 1_m \ n * A * 1_m \ n$ **using** aPP **by** auto
also have $\dots = A$ **using** dims **by** auto
finally have $\text{eq}A$: $A = \text{adjoint } P * (P * A * \text{adjoint } P) * P$..
have $\text{adjoint } P * (P * B * \text{adjoint } P) * P = (\text{adjoint } P * P) * B * (\text{adjoint } P * P)$
using $\text{dims } \text{dima}P$ **by** $(\text{mat-assoc } n)$
also have $\dots = 1_m \ n * B * 1_m \ n$ **using** aPP **by** auto
also have $\dots = B$ **using** dims **by** auto
finally have $\text{eq}B$: $B = \text{adjoint } P * (P * B * \text{adjoint } P) * P$..
then show $?thesis$ **using** $\text{eq}A \ \text{eq}B \ \text{eq}$ **by** auto
qed

lemma $\text{unitary-is-corthogonal}$:
fixes U :: $'a::\text{conjugatable-field mat}$
assumes dim : $U \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
and U : $\text{unitary } U$
shows $\text{corthogonal-mat } U$
unfolding $\text{corthogonal-mat-def } \text{Let-def}$
proof ($\text{rule } \text{conj}I$)
have dima : $\text{adjoint } U \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **using** dim **by** auto
have aUU : $\text{mat-adjoint } U * U = (1_m \ n)$
apply ($\text{insert } U[\text{unfolded } \text{unitary-def}] \ \text{dim } \text{dima}, \ \text{drule } \text{conjunct}2$)
apply ($\text{drule } \text{inverts-mat-symm}[of \ U, \ OF \ \text{dim } \ \text{dima}], \ \text{unfold } \text{inverts-mat-def}, \ \text{auto}$)
done
then show $\text{diagonal-mat } (\text{mat-adjoint } U * U)$
by ($\text{simp } \text{add}: \ \text{diagonal-mat-def}$)
show $\forall i < \text{dim-col } U. (\text{mat-adjoint } U * U) \ \S\S (i, i) \neq 0$ **using** dim **by** ($\text{simp } \text{add}: \ aUU$)
qed

lemma $\text{unitary-times-unitary}$:
fixes $P \ Q$:: $'a:: \text{conjugatable-field mat}$
assumes dim : $P \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n \ Q \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
and uP : $\text{unitary } P$ **and** uQ : $\text{unitary } Q$
shows $\text{unitary } (P * Q)$
proof –
have dim-pq : $P * Q \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **using** dim **by** auto
have $(P * Q) * \text{adjoint } (P * Q) = P * (Q * \text{adjoint } Q) * \text{adjoint } P$ **using** dim

by (*mat-assoc n*)
also have $\dots = P * (1_m n) * \text{adjoint } P$ **using** *uQ dim* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = P * \text{adjoint } P$ **using** *dim* **by** (*mat-assoc n*)
also have $\dots = 1_m n$ **using** *uP dim* **by** *simp*
finally have $(P * Q) * \text{adjoint } (P * Q) = 1_m n$ **by** *auto*
hence *inverts-mat* $(P * Q)$ $(\text{adjoint } (P * Q))$
using *inverts-mat-def dim-pq* **by** *auto*
thus *unitary* $(P * Q)$ **using** *unitary-def dim-pq* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *unitary-operator-keep-trace*:

fixes $U A :: \text{complex mat}$
assumes $dU: U \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** $dA: A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** $u: \text{unitary } U$
shows $\text{trace } A = \text{trace } (\text{adjoint } U * A * U)$
proof –
have $u': U * \text{adjoint } U = 1_m n$ **using** *u* **unfolding** *unitary-def inverts-mat-def*
using *dU* **by** *auto*
have $\text{trace } (\text{adjoint } U * A * U) = \text{trace } (U * \text{adjoint } U * A)$ **using** *dU dA* **by**
(mat-assoc n)
also have $\dots = \text{trace } A$ **using** *u'* *dA* **by** *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

1.9 Normalization of vectors

definition *vec-norm* $:: \text{complex vec} \Rightarrow \text{complex}$ **where**

$\text{vec-norm } v \equiv \text{csqrt } (v \cdot c \ v)$

lemma *vec-norm-geq-0*:

fixes $v :: \text{complex vec}$

shows $\text{vec-norm } v \geq 0$

unfolding *vec-norm-def* **by** (*insert self-cscalar-prod-geq-0[of v]*, *simp add: less-eq-complex-def*)

lemma *vec-norm-zero*:

fixes $v :: \text{complex vec}$

assumes $\text{dim}: v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$

shows $\text{vec-norm } v = 0 \iff v = 0_v \ n$

unfolding *vec-norm-def*

by (*subst conjugate-square-eq-0-vec[OF dim, symmetric]*, *rule csqrt-eq-0*)

lemma *vec-norm-ge-0*:

fixes $v :: \text{complex vec}$

assumes $\text{dim}: v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ **and** $\text{neq0}: v \neq 0_v \ n$

shows $\text{vec-norm } v > 0$

proof –

have *geq*: $\text{vec-norm } v \geq 0$ **using** *vec-norm-geq-0* **by** *auto*

have *neq*: $\text{vec-norm } v \neq 0$

apply (*insert dim-v neq0*)

apply (*drule vec-norm-zero, auto*)
done
show *?thesis* **using** *neq geq* **by** (*rule dual-order.not-eq-order-implies-strict*)
qed

definition *vec-normalize* :: *complex vec* \Rightarrow *complex vec* **where**
vec-normalize v = (*if* (*v* = 0_v (*dim-vec v*)) *then v* *else* $1 / (\text{vec-norm } v) \cdot_v v$)

lemma *normalized-vec-dim[simp]*:
assumes (*v::complex vec*) \in *carrier-vec n*
shows *vec-normalize v* \in *carrier-vec n*
unfolding *vec-normalize-def* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *vec-eq-norm-smult-normalized*:
shows $v = \text{vec-norm } v \cdot_v \text{vec-normalize } v$
proof (*cases v = 0_v (dim-vec v)*)
define *n* **where** $n = \text{dim-vec } v$
then have *dimv*: $v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ **by** *auto*
then have *dimnv*: $\text{vec-normalize } v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ **by** *auto*
{
 case *True*
 then have *v0*: $v = 0_v n$ **using** *n-def* **by** *auto*
 then have *n0*: $\text{vec-norm } v = 0$ **using** *vec-norm-def* **by** *auto*
 have $\text{vec-norm } v \cdot_v \text{vec-normalize } v = 0_v n$
 unfolding *smult-vec-def* **by** (*auto simp add: n0 carrier-vecD[OF dimnv]*)
 then show *?thesis* **using** *v0* **by** *auto*
 next
 case *False*
 then have *v*: $v \neq 0_v n$ **using** *n-def* **by** *auto*
 then have *ge0*: $\text{vec-norm } v > 0$ **using** *vec-norm-ge-0 dimv* **by** *auto*
 have $\text{vec-normalize } v = (1 / \text{vec-norm } v) \cdot_v v$ **using** *False vec-normalize-def*
by *auto*
 then have $\text{vec-norm } v \cdot_v \text{vec-normalize } v = (\text{vec-norm } v * (1 / \text{vec-norm } v))$
 $\cdot_v v$
 using *smult-smult-assoc* **by** *auto*
 also have $\dots = v$ **using** *ge0* **by** *auto*
 finally have $v = \text{vec-norm } v \cdot_v \text{vec-normalize } v$.
 then show $v = \text{vec-norm } v \cdot_v \text{vec-normalize } v$ **using** *v* **by** *auto*
}
qed

lemma *normalized-cscalar-prod*:
fixes *v w* :: *complex vec*
assumes *dim-v*: $v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ **and** *dim-w*: $w \in \text{carrier-vec } n$
shows $v \cdot c w = (\text{vec-norm } v * \text{vec-norm } w) * (\text{vec-normalize } v \cdot c \text{vec-normalize } w)$
unfolding *vec-normalize-def* **apply** (*split if-split, split if-split*)
proof (*intro conjI impI*)
note *dim0 = dim-v dim-w*

```

have dim: dim-vec v = n dim-vec w = n using dim0 by auto
{
  assume w = 0_v n v = 0_v n
  then have lhs: v · c w = 0 by auto
  then moreover have rhs: vec-norm v * vec-norm w * (v · c w) = 0 by auto
  ultimately have v · c w = vec-norm v * vec-norm w * (v · c w) by auto
}
with dim show w = 0_v (dim-vec w) ⇒ v = 0_v (dim-vec v) ⇒ v · c w =
vec-norm v * vec-norm w * (v · c w) by auto
{
  assume asm: w = 0_v n v ≠ 0_v n
  then have w0: conjugate w = 0_v n by auto
  with dim0 have (1 / vec-norm v ·_v v) · c w = 0 by auto
  then moreover have rhs: vec-norm v * vec-norm w * ((1 / vec-norm v ·_v v)
· c w) = 0 by auto
  moreover have v · c w = 0 using w0 dim0 by auto
  ultimately have v · c w = vec-norm v * vec-norm w * ((1 / vec-norm v ·_v v)
· c w) by auto
}
with dim show w = 0_v (dim-vec w) ⇒ v ≠ 0_v (dim-vec v) ⇒ v · c w =
vec-norm v * vec-norm w * ((1 / vec-norm v ·_v v) · c w) by auto
{
  assume asm: w ≠ 0_v n v = 0_v n
  with dim0 have v · c (1 / vec-norm w ·_v w) = 0 by auto
  then moreover have rhs: vec-norm v * vec-norm w * (v · c (1 / vec-norm w
·_v w)) = 0 by auto
  moreover have v · c w = 0 using asm dim0 by auto
  ultimately have v · c w = vec-norm v * vec-norm w * (v · c (1 / vec-norm w
·_v w)) by auto
}
with dim show w ≠ 0_v (dim-vec w) ⇒ v = 0_v (dim-vec v) ⇒ v · c w =
vec-norm v * vec-norm w * (v · c (1 / vec-norm w ·_v w)) by auto
{
  assume asmw: w ≠ 0_v n and asmv: v ≠ 0_v n
  have vec-norm w > 0 by (insert asmw dim0, rule vec-norm-ge-0, auto)
  then have cw: conjugate (1 / vec-norm w) = 1 / vec-norm w
  by (simp add: complex-eq-iff complex-is-Real-iff less-complex-def)
  from dim0 have
    ((1 / vec-norm v ·_v v) · c (1 / vec-norm w ·_v w)) = 1 / vec-norm v * (v · c
(1 / vec-norm w ·_v w)) by auto
  also have ... = 1 / vec-norm v * (v · (conjugate (1 / vec-norm w) ·_v conjugate
w))
  by (subst conjugate-smult-vec, auto)
  also have ... = 1 / vec-norm v * conjugate (1 / vec-norm w) * (v · conjugate
w) using dim by auto
  also have ... = 1 / vec-norm v * (1 / vec-norm w) * (v · c w) using
vec-norm-ge-0 cw by auto
  finally have eq1: (1 / vec-norm v ·_v v) · c (1 / vec-norm w ·_v w) = 1 /
vec-norm v * (1 / vec-norm w) * (v · c w) .
}

```

then have $\text{vec-norm } v * \text{vec-norm } w * ((1 / \text{vec-norm } v \cdot_v v) \cdot c (1 / \text{vec-norm } w \cdot_v w)) = (v \cdot c w)$
by (*subst eq1, insert vec-norm-ge-0[of v n, OF dim-v asmv] vec-norm-ge-0[of w n, OF dim-w asmw], auto*)
}
with dim show $w \neq 0_v (\text{dim-vec } w) \implies v \neq 0_v (\text{dim-vec } v) \implies v \cdot c w = \text{vec-norm } v * \text{vec-norm } w * ((1 / \text{vec-norm } v \cdot_v v) \cdot c (1 / \text{vec-norm } w \cdot_v w))$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *normalized-vec-norm :*

fixes $v :: \text{complex vec}$
assumes $\text{dim-v}: v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$
and $\text{neq0}: v \neq 0_v n$
shows $\text{vec-normalize } v \cdot c \text{vec-normalize } v = 1$
unfolding *vec-normalize-def*
proof (*simp, rule conjI*)
show $v = 0_v (\text{dim-vec } v) \longrightarrow v \cdot c v = 1$ **using** *neq0 dim-v* **by** *auto*
have $\text{dim-a}: (\text{vec-normalize } v) \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ **conjugate** $(\text{vec-normalize } v) \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ **using** *dim-v vec-normalize-def* **by** *auto*
note $\text{dim} = \text{dim-v dim-a}$
have $\text{nvge0}: \text{vec-norm } v > 0$ **using** *vec-norm-ge-0 neq0 dim-v* **by** *auto*
then have $\text{vvvv}: v \cdot c v = (\text{vec-norm } v) * (\text{vec-norm } v)$ **unfolding** *vec-norm-def*
by (*metis power2-csqr power2-eq-square*)
from *nvge0* **have** $\text{conjugate } (\text{vec-norm } v) = \text{vec-norm } v$
by (*simp add: complex-eq-iff complex-is-Real-iff less-complex-def*)
then have $v \cdot c (1 / \text{vec-norm } v \cdot_v v) = 1 / \text{vec-norm } v * (v \cdot c v)$
by (*subst conjugate-smult-vec, auto*)
also have $\dots = 1 / \text{vec-norm } v * \text{vec-norm } v * \text{vec-norm } v$ **using** *vvvv* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{vec-norm } v$ **by** *auto*
finally have $v \cdot c (1 / \text{vec-norm } v \cdot_v v) = \text{vec-norm } v.$
then show $v \neq 0_v (\text{dim-vec } v) \longrightarrow \text{vec-norm } v \neq 0 \wedge v \cdot c (1 / \text{vec-norm } v \cdot_v v) = \text{vec-norm } v$
using *neq0 nvge0* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *normalize-zero:*

assumes $v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$
shows $\text{vec-normalize } v = 0_v n \longleftrightarrow v = 0_v n$
proof
show $v = 0_v n \implies \text{vec-normalize } v = 0_v n$ **unfolding** *vec-normalize-def* **by** *auto*
next
have $v \neq 0_v n \implies \text{vec-normalize } v \neq 0_v n$ **unfolding** *vec-normalize-def*
proof (*simp, rule impI*)
assume $\text{asm}: v \neq 0_v n$
then have $\text{vec-norm } v > 0$ **using** *vec-norm-ge-0 assms* **by** *auto*
then have $\text{nvge0}: 1 / \text{vec-norm } v > 0$ **by** (*simp add: complex-is-Real-iff less-complex-def*)

```

have  $\exists k < n. v \ \$ \ k \neq 0$  using asm assms by auto
then obtain  $k$  where  $kn: k < n$  and  $vkneq0: v \ \$ \ k \neq 0$  by auto
then have  $(1 / \text{vec-norm } v \cdot_v v) \ \$ \ k = (1 / \text{vec-norm } v) * (v \ \$ \ k)$ 
using assms carrier-vecD index-smult-vec(1) by blast
with  $nvge0 \ vkneq0$  have  $(1 / \text{vec-norm } v \cdot_v v) \ \$ \ k \neq 0$  by auto
then show  $1 / \text{vec-norm } v \cdot_v v \neq 0_v \ n$  using assms kn by fastforce
qed
then show  $\text{vec-normalize } v = 0_v \ n \implies v = 0_v \ n$  by auto
qed

```

```

lemma normalize-normalize[simp]:
   $\text{vec-normalize } (\text{vec-normalize } v) = \text{vec-normalize } v$ 
proof (rule disjE[of v = 0_v (dim-vec v) v ≠ 0_v (dim-vec v)], auto)
  let  $?n = \text{dim-vec } v$ 
  {
    assume  $v = 0_v \ ?n$ 
    then have  $\text{vec-normalize } v = v$  unfolding vec-normalize-def by auto
    then show  $\text{vec-normalize } (\text{vec-normalize } v) = \text{vec-normalize } v$  by auto
  }
  assume  $neq0: v \neq 0_v \ ?n$ 
  have  $\text{dim}: v \in \text{carrier-vec } ?n$  by auto
  have  $\text{vec-norm } (\text{vec-normalize } v) = 1$  unfolding vec-norm-def
    using normalized-vec-norm[OF dim neq0] by auto
  then show  $\text{vec-normalize } (\text{vec-normalize } v) = \text{vec-normalize } v$ 
    by (subst (1) vec-normalize-def, simp)
qed

```

1.10 Spectral decomposition of normal complex matrices

```

lemma normalize-keep-corthogonal:
  fixes  $vs :: \text{complex vec list}$ 
  assumes  $cor: \text{corthogonal } vs$  and  $\text{dims}: \text{set } vs \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
  shows  $\text{corthogonal } (\text{map } \text{vec-normalize } vs)$ 
  unfolding corthogonal-def
proof (rule allI, rule impI, rule allI, rule impI, goal-cases)
  case  $c: (1 \ i \ j)$ 
  let  $?m = \text{length } vs$ 
  have  $\text{len}: \text{length } (\text{map } \text{vec-normalize } vs) = ?m$  by auto
  have  $\text{dim}: \bigwedge k. k < ?m \implies (vs \ ! \ k) \in \text{carrier-vec } n$  using dims by auto
  have  $\text{map}: \bigwedge k. k < ?m \implies \text{map } \text{vec-normalize } vs \ ! \ k = \text{vec-normalize } (vs \ ! \ k)$ 
by auto

  have  $\text{eq1}: \bigwedge j \ k. j < ?m \implies k < ?m \implies ((vs \ ! \ j) \cdot_c (vs \ ! \ k) = 0) = (j \neq k)$ 
using assms unfolding corthogonal-def by auto
  then have  $\bigwedge k. k < ?m \implies (vs \ ! \ k) \cdot_c (vs \ ! \ k) \neq 0$  by auto
  then have  $\bigwedge k. k < ?m \implies (vs \ ! \ k) \neq (0_v \ n)$  using dim
    by (auto simp add: conjugate-square-eq-0-vec[of - n, OF dim])
  then have  $\text{vnneq0}: \bigwedge k. k < ?m \implies \text{vec-norm } (vs \ ! \ k) \neq 0$  using vec-norm-zero[OF dim] by auto

```

then have $i0: \text{vec-norm } (vs ! i) \neq 0$ **and** $j0: \text{vec-norm } (vs ! j) \neq 0$ **using** c **by**
auto
have $(vs ! i) \cdot c (vs ! j) = \text{vec-norm } (vs ! i) * \text{vec-norm } (vs ! j) * (\text{vec-normalize } (vs ! i) \cdot c \text{vec-normalize } (vs ! j))$
by (*subst normalized-cscalar-prod[of vs ! i n vs ! j], auto, insert dim c, auto*)
with $i0 j0$ **have** $(\text{vec-normalize } (vs ! i) \cdot c \text{vec-normalize } (vs ! j) = 0) = ((vs ! i) \cdot c (vs ! j) = 0)$ **by** *auto*
with $eq1 c$ **have** $(\text{vec-normalize } (vs ! i) \cdot c \text{vec-normalize } (vs ! j) = 0) = (i \neq j)$
by *auto*
with $\text{map } c$ **show** $(\text{map } \text{vec-normalize } vs ! i \cdot c \text{map } \text{vec-normalize } vs ! j = 0) = (i \neq j)$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *normalized-corthogonal-mat-is-unitary:*

assumes $W: \text{set } ws \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$
and $\text{orth}: \text{corthogonal } ws$
and $\text{len}: \text{length } ws = n$
shows $\text{unitary } (\text{mat-of-cols } n (\text{map } \text{vec-normalize } ws))$ (**is** $\text{unitary } ?W$)
proof –
define vs **where** $vs = \text{map } \text{vec-normalize } ws$
define W **where** $W = \text{mat-of-cols } n vs$
have $W': \text{set } vs \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$ **using** $\text{assms } vs\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*
then have $W'': \bigwedge k. k < \text{length } vs \implies vs ! k \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ **by** *auto*
have $\text{orth}'': \text{corthogonal } vs$ **using** $\text{assms } \text{normalize-keep-corthogonal } vs\text{-def}$ **by**
auto
have $\text{len}'[\text{simp}]: \text{length } vs = n$ **using** $\text{assms } vs\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*
have $\text{dim}W: W \in \text{carrier-mat } n n$ **using** $W\text{-def } \text{len}$ **by** *auto*
have $\text{adjoint } W \in \text{carrier-mat } n n$ **using** $\text{dim}W$ **by** *auto*
then have $\text{dima}W: \text{mat-adjoint } W \in \text{carrier-mat } n n$ **by** *auto*
{
fix $i j$ **assume** $i: i < n$ **and** $j: j < n$
have $\text{dimws}: (ws ! i) \in \text{carrier-vec } n (ws ! j) \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ **using** $W \text{len } i j$
by *auto*
have $(ws ! i) \cdot c (ws ! i) \neq 0 (ws ! j) \cdot c (ws ! j) \neq 0$ **using** $\text{orth } \text{corthogonal-def}[of ws] \text{len } i j$ **by** *auto*
then have $\text{neq}0: (ws ! i) \neq 0_v n (ws ! j) \neq 0_v n$
by (*auto simp add: conjugate-square-eq-0-vec[of ws ! i n]*)
then have $\text{vec-norm } (ws ! i) > 0 \text{vec-norm } (ws ! j) > 0$ **using** $\text{vec-norm-ge-0 } \text{dimws}$ **by** *auto*
then have $\text{ge}0: \text{vec-norm } (ws ! i) * \text{vec-norm } (ws ! j) > 0$ **by** (*auto simp: less-complex-def*)
have $ws': vs ! i = \text{vec-normalize } (ws ! i)$
 $vs ! j = \text{vec-normalize } (ws ! j)$
using $\text{len } i j vs\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*
have $\text{ii}1: (vs ! i) \cdot c (vs ! i) = 1$
apply (*simp add: ws'*)
apply (*rule normalized-vec-norm[of ws ! i], rule dimws, rule neq0*)
done
have $\text{ij}0: i \neq j \implies (ws ! i) \cdot c (ws ! j) = 0$ **using** $i j$

```

    by (insert orth, auto simp add: corthogonal-def[of ws] len)
    have  $i \neq j \implies (vs ! i) \cdot c (vs ! j) = (vec\text{-norm } (vs ! i) * vec\text{-norm } (vs ! j))$ 
  *  $((vs ! i) \cdot c (vs ! j))$ 
    apply (auto simp add: ws')
    apply (rule normalized-cscalar-prod)
    apply (rule dimws, rule dimws)
  done
  with  $ij0$  have  $ij0'$ :  $i \neq j \implies (vs ! i) \cdot c (vs ! j) = 0$  using  $ge0$  by auto
  have  $cWk$ :  $\bigwedge k. k < n \implies col\ W\ k = vs ! k$  unfolding  $W\text{-def}$ 
  apply (subst col-mat-of-cols)
  apply (auto simp add:  $W''$ )
  done
  have  $(mat\text{-adjoint } W * W) \$\$ (j, i) = row (mat\text{-adjoint } W) j \cdot col\ W\ i$ 
    by (insert  $dimW\ i\ j\ dimaW$ , auto)
  also have  $\dots = conjugate (col\ W\ j) \cdot col\ W\ i$ 
    by (insert  $dimW\ i\ j\ dimaW$ , auto simp add: mat-adjoint-def)
  also have  $\dots = col\ W\ i \cdot conjugate (col\ W\ j)$  using comm-scalar-prod[of col
 $W\ i\ n$ ]  $dimW$  by auto
  also have  $\dots = (vs ! i) \cdot c (vs ! j)$  using  $W\text{-def}$  col-mat-of-cols  $i\ j$  len  $cWk$  by
  auto
  finally have  $(mat\text{-adjoint } W * W) \$\$ (j, i) = (vs ! i) \cdot c (vs ! j)$ .
  then have  $(mat\text{-adjoint } W * W) \$\$ (j, i) = (if (j = i) then 1 else 0)$ 
    by (auto simp add:  $ii1\ ij0'$ )
}
note  $maWW = this$ 
then have  $mat\text{-adjoint } W * W = 1_m\ n$  unfolding one-mat-def using  $dimW$ 
 $dimaW$ 
  by (auto simp add:  $maWW$  adjoint-def)
then have  $iv0$ :  $adjoint\ W * W = 1_m\ n$  by auto
have  $dimaW$ :  $adjoint\ W \in carrier\text{-mat } n\ n$  using  $dimaW$  by auto
then have  $iv1$ :  $W * adjoint\ W = 1_m\ n$  using mat-mult-left-right-inverse  $dimW$ 
 $iv0$  by auto
then show unitary  $W$  unfolding unitary-def inverts-mat-def using  $dimW$   $di$ 
 $maW\ iv0\ iv1$  by auto
qed

```

lemma *normalize-keep-eigenvector*:

```

  assumes  $ev$ : eigenvector  $A\ v\ e$ 
    and  $dim$ :  $A \in carrier\text{-mat } n\ n\ v \in carrier\text{-vec } n$ 
  shows eigenvector  $A\ (vec\text{-normalize } v)\ e$ 
  unfolding eigenvector-def
proof
  show  $vec\text{-normalize } v \in carrier\text{-vec } (dim\text{-row } A)$  using  $dim$  by auto
  have  $eg$ :  $A *_{\cdot v} v = e \cdot_{\cdot v} v$  using  $ev$  eigenvector-def by auto
  have  $vneq0$ :  $v \neq 0_v\ n$  using  $ev$   $dim$  unfolding eigenvector-def by auto
  then have  $s0$ :  $vec\text{-normalize } v \neq 0_v\ n$ 
    by (insert  $dim$ , subst normalize-zero[of v], auto)
  from  $vneq0$  have  $vge0$ :  $vec\text{-norm } v > 0$  using  $vec\text{-norm-ge-0 } dim$  by auto
  have  $s1$ :  $A *_{\cdot v} vec\text{-normalize } v = e \cdot_{\cdot v} vec\text{-normalize } v$  unfolding  $vec\text{-normalize-def}$ 

```

```

using vneq0 dim
apply (auto, simp add: mult-mat-vec)
apply (subst eg, auto)
done
with s0 dim show vec-normalize v ≠ 0_v (dim-row A) ∧ A *_v vec-normalize v =
e *_v vec-normalize v by auto
qed

```

lemma four-block-mat-adjoint:

```

fixes A B C D :: 'a::conjugatable-field mat
assumes dim: A ∈ carrier-mat nr1 nc1 B ∈ carrier-mat nr1 nc2
C ∈ carrier-mat nr2 nc1 D ∈ carrier-mat nr2 nc2
shows adjoint (four-block-mat A B C D)
= four-block-mat (adjoint A) (adjoint C) (adjoint B) (adjoint D)
by (rule eq-matI, insert dim, auto simp add: adjoint-eval)

```

fun unitary-schur-decomposition :: complex mat ⇒ complex list ⇒ complex mat ×
complex mat × complex mat **where**

```

unitary-schur-decomposition A [] = (A, 1_m (dim-row A), 1_m (dim-row A))
| unitary-schur-decomposition A (e # es) = (let
n = dim-row A;
n1 = n - 1;
v' = find-eigenvector A e;
v = vec-normalize v';
ws0 = gram-schmidt n (basis-completion v);
ws = map vec-normalize ws0;
W = mat-of-cols n ws;
W' = corthogonal-inv W;
A' = W' * A * W;
(A1,A2,A0,A3) = split-block A' 1 1;
(B,P,Q) = unitary-schur-decomposition A3 es;
z-row = (0_m 1 n1);
z-col = (0_m n1 1);
one-1 = 1_m 1
in (four-block-mat A1 (A2 * P) A0 B,
W * four-block-mat one-1 z-row z-col P,
four-block-mat one-1 z-row z-col Q * W'))

```

theorem unitary-schur-decomposition:

```

assumes A: (A::complex mat) ∈ carrier-mat n n
and c: char-poly A = (∏ (e :: complex) ← es. [:- e, 1:])
and B: unitary-schur-decomposition A es = (B,P,Q)
shows similar-mat-wit A B P Q ∧ upper-triangular B ∧ diag-mat B = es ∧
unitary P ∧ (Q = adjoint P)
using assms
proof (induct es arbitrary: n A B P Q)
case Nil
with degree-monic-char-poly[of A n]

```

```

show ?case by (auto intro: similar-mat-wit-refl simp: diag-mat-def unitary-zero)
next
case (Cons e es n A C P Q)
let ?n1 = n - 1
from Cons have A: A ∈ carrier-mat n n and dim: dim-row A = n by auto
let ?cp = char-poly A
from Cons(?3)
have cp: ?cp = [: -e, 1 :] * (∏ e ← es. [: - e, 1:]) by auto
have mon: monic (∏ e ← es. [: - e, 1:]) by (rule monic-prod-list, auto)
have deg: degree ?cp = Suc (degree (∏ e ← es. [: - e, 1:])) unfolding cp
  by (subst degree-mult-eq, insert mon, auto)
with degree-monic-char-poly[OF A] have n: n ≠ 0 by auto
define v' where v' = find-eigenvector A e
define v where v = vec-normalize v'
define b where b = basis-completion v
define ws0 where ws0 = gram-schmidt n b
define ws where ws = map vec-normalize ws0
define W where W = mat-of-cols n ws
define W' where W' = corthogonal-inv W
define A' where A' = W' * A * W
obtain A1 A2 A0 A3 where splitA': split-block A' 1 1 = (A1,A2,A0,A3)
  by (cases split-block A' 1 1, auto)
obtain B P' Q' where schur: unitary-schur-decomposition A3 es = (B,P',Q')
  by (cases unitary-schur-decomposition A3 es, auto)
let ?P' = four-block-mat (1m 1) (0m 1 ?n1) (0m ?n1 1) P'
let ?Q' = four-block-mat (1m 1) (0m 1 ?n1) (0m ?n1 1) Q'
have C: C = four-block-mat A1 (A2 * P') A0 B and P: P = W * ?P' and Q:
Q = ?Q' * W'
  using Cons(4) unfolding unitary-schur-decomposition.simps
  Let-def list.sel dim
  v'-def[symmetric] v-def[symmetric] b-def[symmetric] ws0-def[symmetric] ws-def[symmetric]
W'-def[symmetric] W-def[symmetric]
  A'-def[symmetric] split splitA' schur by auto
have e: eigenvalue A e
  unfolding eigenvalue-root-char-poly[OF A] cp by simp
from find-eigenvector[OF A e] have ev': eigenvector A v' e unfolding v'-def .
then have v' ∈ carrier-vec n unfolding eigenvector-def using A by auto
  with ev' have ev: eigenvector A v e unfolding v-def using A dim normalize-keep-eigenvector by auto
from this[unfolded eigenvector-def]
have v[simp]: v ∈ carrier-vec n and v0: v ≠ 0v n using A by auto
interpret cof-vec-space n TYPE(complex) .
from basis-completion[OF v v0, folded b-def]
have span-b: span (set b) = carrier-vec n and dist-b: distinct b
  and indep: ¬ lin-dep (set b) and b: set b ⊆ carrier-vec n and hdb: hd b = v
  and len-b: length b = n by auto
from hdb len-b n obtain vs where bv: b = v # vs by (cases b, auto)
from gram-schmidt-result[OF b dist-b indep refl, folded ws0-def]
have ws0: set ws0 ⊆ carrier-vec n corthogonal ws0 length ws0 = n

```

```

    by (auto simp: len-b)
  then have ws: set ws  $\subseteq$  carrier-vec n corthogonal ws length ws = n unfolding
ws-def
    using normalize-keep-corthogonal by auto
  have ws0ne: ws0  $\neq$  [] using ⟨length ws0 = n⟩ n by auto
  from gram-schmidt-hd[OF v, of vs, folded bv] have hdws0: hd ws0 = (vec-normalize
v') unfolding ws0-def v-def .
  have hd ws = vec-normalize (hd ws0) unfolding ws-def using hd-map[OF
ws0ne] by auto
  then have hdws: hd ws = v unfolding v-def using normalize-normalize[of v]
hdws0 by auto
  have orth-W: corthogonal-mat W using orthogonal-mat-of-cols ws unfolding
W-def.
  have W: W  $\in$  carrier-mat n n
    using ws unfolding W-def using mat-of-cols-carrier(1)[of n ws] by auto
  have W': W'  $\in$  carrier-mat n n unfolding W'-def corthogonal-inv-def using
W
    by (auto simp: mat-of-rows-def)
  from corthogonal-inv-result[OF orth-W]
  have W'W: inverts-mat W' W unfolding W'-def .
  hence WW': inverts-mat W W' using mat-mult-left-right-inverse[OF W' W]
W' W
    unfolding inverts-mat-def by auto
  have A': A'  $\in$  carrier-mat n n using W W' A unfolding A'-def by auto
  have A'A-wit: similar-mat-wit A' A W' W
    by (rule similar-mat-witI[of - - n], insert W W' A A' W'W WW', auto simp:
A'-def
inverts-mat-def)
  hence A'A: similar-mat A' A unfolding similar-mat-def by blast
  from similar-mat-wit-sym[OF A'A-wit] have simAA': similar-mat-wit A A' W
W' by auto
  have eigen[simp]: A *v v = e *v v and v0: v  $\neq$  0v n
    using v-def v'-def find-eigenvector[OF A e] A normalize-keep-eigenvector
unfolding eigenvector-def by auto
  let ?f = (λ i. if i = 0 then e else 0)
  have col0: col A' 0 = vec n ?f
    unfolding A'-def W'-def W-def
    using corthogonal-col-ev-0[OF A v v0 eigen n hdws ws].
  from A' n have dim-row A' = 1 + ?n1 dim-col A' = 1 + ?n1 by auto
  from split-block[OF splitA' this] have A2: A2  $\in$  carrier-mat 1 ?n1
    and A3: A3  $\in$  carrier-mat ?n1 ?n1
    and A'block: A' = four-block-mat A1 A2 A0 A3 by auto
  have A1id: A1 = mat 1 1 (λ -. e)
    using splitA'[unfolded split-block-def Let-def] arg-cong[OF col0, of λ v. v $ 0]
A' n
    by (auto simp: col-def)
  have A1: A1  $\in$  carrier-mat 1 1 unfolding A1id by auto
  {
    fix i

```

```

    assume  $i < ?n1$ 
    with  $arg\text{-}cong[OF\ col0, of\ \lambda\ v.\ v\ \$\ Suc\ i]\ A'$ 
    have  $A'\ \$\$ (Suc\ i, 0) = 0$  by auto
  } note  $A'0 = this$ 
  have  $A0id: A0 = 0_m\ ?n1\ 1$ 
    using  $splitA'[unfolded\ split\text{-}block\text{-}def\ Let\text{-}def]\ A'0\ A'$  by auto
  have  $A0: A0 \in carrier\text{-}mat\ ?n1\ 1$  unfolding  $A0id$  by auto
  from  $cp\ char\text{-}poly\text{-}similar[OF\ A'A]$ 
  have  $cp: char\text{-}poly\ A' = [:-\ e, 1\ :] * (\prod\ e \leftarrow es.\ [:-\ e, 1:])$  by simp
  also have  $char\text{-}poly\ A' = char\text{-}poly\ A1 * char\text{-}poly\ A3$ 
    unfolding  $A'block\ A0id$ 
    by ( $rule\ char\text{-}poly\text{-}four\text{-}block\text{-}zeros\text{-}col[OF\ A1\ A2\ A3]$ )
  also have  $char\text{-}poly\ A1 = [:-\ e, 1\ :]$ 
    by ( $simp\ add: A1id\ char\text{-}poly\text{-}defs\ det\text{-}def$ )
  finally have  $cp: char\text{-}poly\ A3 = (\prod\ e \leftarrow es.\ [:-\ e, 1:])$ 
    by ( $metis\ mult\text{-}cancel\text{-}left\ pCons\text{-}eq\text{-}0\text{-}iff\ zero\text{-}neq\text{-}one$ )
  from  $Cons(1)[OF\ A3\ cp\ schur]$ 
  have  $simIH: similar\text{-}mat\text{-}wit\ A3\ B\ P'\ Q'$  and  $ut: upper\text{-}triangular\ B$  and  $diag:$ 
 $diag\text{-}mat\ B = es$ 
    and  $uP': unitary\ P'$  and  $Q'P': Q' = adjoint\ P'$ 
    by auto
  from  $similar\text{-}mat\text{-}witD2[OF\ A3\ simIH]$ 
  have  $B: B \in carrier\text{-}mat\ ?n1\ ?n1$  and  $P': P' \in carrier\text{-}mat\ ?n1\ ?n1$  and  $Q':$ 
 $Q' \in carrier\text{-}mat\ ?n1\ ?n1$ 
    and  $PQ': P' * Q' = 1_m\ ?n1$  by auto
  have  $A0\text{-}eq: A0 = P' * A0 * 1_m\ 1$  unfolding  $A0id$  using  $P'$  by auto
  have  $simA'C: similar\text{-}mat\text{-}wit\ A'\ C\ ?P'\ ?Q'$  unfolding  $A'block\ C$ 
    by ( $rule\ similar\text{-}mat\text{-}wit\text{-}four\text{-}block[OF\ similar\text{-}mat\text{-}wit\text{-}refl[OF\ A1]\ simIH\ -$ 
 $A0\text{-}eq\ A1\ A3\ A0]$ ,
     $insert\ PQ'\ A2\ P'\ Q',\ auto$ )
  have  $ut1: upper\text{-}triangular\ A1$  unfolding  $A1id$  by auto
  have  $ut: upper\text{-}triangular\ C$  unfolding  $C\ A0id$ 
    by ( $intro\ upper\text{-}triangular\text{-}four\text{-}block[OF\ -\ B\ ut1\ ut],\ auto\ simp: A1id$ )
  from  $A1id$  have  $diagA1: diag\text{-}mat\ A1 = [e]$  unfolding  $diag\text{-}mat\text{-}def$  by auto
  from  $diag\text{-}four\text{-}block\text{-}mat[OF\ A1\ B]$  have  $diag: diag\text{-}mat\ C = e \# es$  unfolding
 $diag\ diagA1\ C$  by simp

  have  $aW: adjoint\ W \in carrier\text{-}mat\ n\ n$  using  $W$  by auto
  have  $aW': adjoint\ W' \in carrier\text{-}mat\ n\ n$  using  $W'$  by auto
  have  $unitary\ W$  using  $W\text{-}def\ ws\text{-}def\ ws0\ normalized\text{-}corthogonal\text{-}mat\text{-}is\text{-}unitary$ 
  by auto
  then have  $ivWaW: inverts\text{-}mat\ W\ (adjoint\ W)$  using  $unitary\text{-}def\ W\ aW$  by
 $auto$ 
  with  $WW'$  have  $W'aW: W' = (adjoint\ W)$  using  $inverts\text{-}mat\text{-}unique\ W\ W'$ 
 $aW$  by auto
  then have  $adjoint\ W' = W$  using  $adjoint\text{-}adjoint$  by auto
  with  $ivWaW$  have  $inverts\text{-}mat\ W' (adjoint\ W')$  using  $inverts\text{-}mat\text{-}symm\ W$ 
 $aW\ W'aW$  by auto
  then have  $unitary\ W'$  using  $unitary\text{-}def\ W'$  by auto

```

```

have newP': P' ∈ carrier-mat (n - Suc 0) (n - Suc 0) using P' by auto
have rl:  $\bigwedge x1\ x2\ x3\ x4\ y1\ y2\ y3\ y4\ f. x1 = y1 \implies x2 = y2 \implies x3 = y3 \implies x4 = y4 \implies f\ x1\ x2\ x3\ x4 = f\ y1\ y2\ y3\ y4$  by simp
have Q'aP': ?Q' = adjoint ?P'
  apply (subst four-block-mat-adjoint, auto simp add: newP')
  apply (rule rl[where f2 = four-block-mat])
  apply (auto simp add: eq-matI adjoint-eval Q'P')
done
have adjoint P = adjoint ?P' * adjoint W using W newP' n
  apply (simp add: P)
  apply (subst adjoint-mult[of W, symmetric])
  apply (auto simp add: W P' carrier-matD[of W n n])
done
also have ... = ?Q' * W' using Q'aP' W'aW by auto
also have ... = Q using Q by auto
finally have QaP: Q = adjoint P ..

from similar-mat-wit-trans[OF simAA' simA'C, folded P Q] have smw: similar-mat-wit A C P Q by blast
then have dimP: P ∈ carrier-mat n n and dimQ: Q ∈ carrier-mat n n unfolding similar-mat-wit-def using A by auto
from smw have P * Q = 1m n unfolding similar-mat-wit-def using A by auto
then have inverts-mat P Q using inverts-mat-def dimP by auto
then have uP: unitary P using QaP unitary-def dimP by auto

from ut similar-mat-wit-trans[OF simAA' simA'C, folded P Q] diag uP QaP
show ?case by blast
qed

```

lemma complex-mat-char-poly-factorizable:

```

fixes A :: complex mat
assumes A ∈ carrier-mat n n
shows  $\exists as. \text{char-poly } A = (\prod a \leftarrow as. [:- a, 1:]) \wedge \text{length } as = n$ 
proof -
  let ?ca = char-poly A
  have ex0:  $\exists bs. \text{Polynomial.smult } (\text{lead-coeff } ?ca) (\prod b \leftarrow bs. [:- b, 1:]) = ?ca \wedge \text{length } bs = \text{degree } ?ca$ 
  by (simp add: fundamental-theorem-algebra-factorized)
  then obtain bs where  $\text{Polynomial.smult } (\text{lead-coeff } ?ca) (\prod b \leftarrow bs. [:- b, 1:]) = ?ca \wedge \text{length } bs = \text{degree } ?ca$  by auto
  moreover have lead-coeff ?ca = (1::complex)
  using assms degree-monic-char-poly by blast
  ultimately have ex1:  $?ca = (\prod b \leftarrow bs. [:- b, 1:]) \wedge \text{length } bs = \text{degree } ?ca$  by auto
  moreover have degree ?ca = n
  by (simp add: assms degree-monic-char-poly)

```

ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *complex-mat-has-unitary-schur-decomposition:*

fixes $A :: \text{complex mat}$
assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
shows $\exists B \ P \ es. \text{similar-mat-wit } A \ B \ P \ (\text{adjoint } P) \wedge \text{unitary } P$
 $\wedge \text{char-poly } A = (\prod (e :: \text{complex}) \leftarrow es. [- e, 1:]) \wedge \text{diag-mat } B = es$
proof –
have $\exists es. \text{char-poly } A = (\prod e \leftarrow es. [- e, 1:]) \wedge \text{length } es = n$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: complex-mat-char-poly-factorizable*)
then obtain es **where** $es: \text{char-poly } A = (\prod e \leftarrow es. [- e, 1:]) \wedge \text{length } es = n$ **by** *auto*
obtain $B \ P \ Q$ **where** $B: \text{unitary-schur-decomposition } A \ es = (B, P, Q)$ **by** (*cases unitary-schur-decomposition A es, auto*)

have $\text{similar-mat-wit } A \ B \ P \ Q \wedge \text{upper-triangular } B \wedge \text{unitary } P \wedge (Q = \text{adjoint } P) \wedge$
 $\text{char-poly } A = (\prod (e :: \text{complex}) \leftarrow es. [- e, 1:]) \wedge \text{diag-mat } B = es$ **using**
assms $es \ B$
by (*auto simp add: unitary-schur-decomposition*)
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *normal-upper-triangular-matrix-is-diagonal:*

fixes $A :: 'a::\text{conjugatable-ordered-field mat}$
assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
and *tri: upper-triangular A*
and *norm: A * adjoint A = adjoint A * A*
shows *diagonal-mat A*
proof (*rule disjE[of n = 0 n > 0], blast*)
have $\text{dim: dim-row } A = n \ \text{dim-col } A = n$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
from *norm* **have** $\text{eq0: } \bigwedge i \ j. (A * \text{adjoint } A) \$(i, j) = (\text{adjoint } A * A) \(i, j) **by** *auto*
have *nat-induct-strong:*
 $\bigwedge P. (P :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \ 0 \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge i. i < n \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge k. k < i \Longrightarrow P \ k) \Longrightarrow P \ i) \Longrightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge i. i < n \Longrightarrow P \ i)$
by (*metis dual-order.strict-trans infinite-descent0 linorder-neqE-nat*)
show $n = 0 \Longrightarrow ?thesis$ **using** *dim unfolding diagonal-mat-def* **by** *auto*
show $n > 0 \Longrightarrow ?thesis$ **unfolding** *diagonal-mat-def dim*
apply (*rule allI, rule impI*)
apply (*rule nat-induct-strong*)
proof (*rule allI, rule impI, rule impI*)
assume $asm: n > 0$
from *tri upper-triangularD[of A 0 j] dim* **have** $z0: \bigwedge j. 0 < j \Longrightarrow j < n \Longrightarrow$
 $A \$(j, 0) = 0$
by *auto*
then have $\text{ada00: } (\text{adjoint } A * A) \$(0, 0) = \text{conjugate } (A \$(0, 0)) * A \$(0, 0)$
using *asm dim* **by** (*auto simp add: scalar-prod-def adjoint-eval sum.atLeast-Suc-lessThan*)

have *aad00*: $(A * \text{adjoint } A)\$(0,0) = (\sum_{k=0..<n}. A\$(0, k) * \text{conjugate } (A\$(0, k)))$
using *asm dim by (auto simp add: scalar-prod-def adjoint-eval)*
moreover have
 $\dots = A\$(0,0) * \text{conjugate } (A\$(0,0))$
 $+ (\sum_{k=1..<n}. A\$(0, k) * \text{conjugate } (A\$(0, k)))$
using *dim asm by (subst sum.atLeast-Suc-lessThan[of 0 n $\lambda k. A\$(0, k) * \text{conjugate } (A\$(0, k))$], auto)*
ultimately have *f1tneq0*: $(\sum_{k=(\text{Suc } 0)..<n}. A\$(0, k) * \text{conjugate } (A\$(0, k))) = 0$
using *eq0 ada00 by (simp)*
have *geq0*: $\bigwedge k. k < n \implies A\$(0, k) * \text{conjugate } (A\$(0, k)) \geq 0$
using *conjugate-square-positive by auto*
have $\bigwedge k. 1 \leq k \implies k < n \implies A\$(0, k) * \text{conjugate } (A\$(0, k)) = 0$
by *(rule sum-nonneg-0[of {1..<n}], auto, rule geq0, auto, rule f1tneq0)*
with *dim asm show*
case0: $\bigwedge j. 0 < n \implies j < n \implies 0 \neq j \implies A\$(0, j) = 0$
by *auto*
{
fix *i*
assume *asm*: $n > 0 \ i < n \ i > 0$
and *ih*: $\bigwedge k. k < i \implies \forall j < n. k \neq j \longrightarrow A\$(k, j) = 0$
then have $\bigwedge j. j < n \implies i \neq j \implies A\$(i, j) = 0$
proof –
have *inter-part*: $\bigwedge b \ m \ e. (b::\text{nat}) < e \implies b < m \implies m < e \implies \{b..<m\} \cup \{m..<e\} = \{b..<e\}$ **by** *auto*
then have
 $\bigwedge b \ m \ e \ f. (b::\text{nat}) < e \implies b < m \implies m < e$
 $\implies (\sum_{k=b..<e}. f \ k) = (\sum_{k \in \{b..<m\} \cup \{m..<e\}}. f \ k)$
using *sum.union-disjoint by auto*
then have *sum-part*:
 $\bigwedge b \ m \ e \ f. (b::\text{nat}) < e \implies b < m \implies m < e$
 $\implies (\sum_{k=b..<e}. f \ k) = (\sum_{k=b..<m}. f \ k) + (\sum_{k=m..<e}. f \ k)$
by *(auto simp add: sum.union-disjoint)*
from *tri upper-triangularD*[of *A j i*] *asm dim have*
zsi0: $\bigwedge j. j < i \implies A\$(i, j) = 0$ **by** *auto*
from *tri upper-triangularD*[of *A j i*] *asm dim have*
zsi1: $\bigwedge k. i < k \implies k < n \implies A\$(k, i) = 0$ **by** *auto*
have
 $(A * \text{adjoint } A)\$(i, i)$
 $= (\sum_{k=0..<n}. \text{conjugate } (A\$(i, k)) * A\$(i, k))$ **using** *asm dim*
apply *(auto simp add: scalar-prod-def adjoint-eval)*
apply *(rule sum.cong, auto)*
done
also have
 $\dots = (\sum_{k=0..<i}. \text{conjugate } (A\$(i, k)) * A\$(i, k))$
 $+ (\sum_{k=i..<n}. \text{conjugate } (A\$(i, k)) * A\$(i, k))$
using *asm*
by *(auto simp add: sum-part[of 0 n i])*

also have
 $\dots = (\sum k=i..<n. \text{conjugate } (A\$\$(i, k)) * A\$\$(i, k))$
using *zsi0*
by *auto*
also have
 $\dots = \text{conjugate } (A\$\$(i, i)) * A\$\$(i, i)$
 $+ (\sum k=(\text{Suc } i)..<n. \text{conjugate } (A\$\$(i, k)) * A\$\$(i, k))$
using *asm*
by (*auto simp add: sum.atLeast-Suc-lessThan*)
finally have
adaii: $(A * \text{adjoint } A)\$\(i, i)
 $= \text{conjugate } (A\$\$(i, i)) * A\$\(i, i)
 $+ (\sum k=(\text{Suc } i)..<n. \text{conjugate } (A\$\$(i, k)) * A\$\$(i, k)) .$
have
 $(\text{adjoint } A * A)\$\$(i, i) = (\sum k=0..<n. \text{conjugate } (A\$\$(k, i)) * A\$\$(k, i))$
using *asm dim by (auto simp add: scalar-prod-def adjoint-eval)*
also have
 $\dots = (\sum k=0..<i. \text{conjugate } (A\$\$(k, i)) * A\$\$(k, i))$
 $+ (\sum k=i..<n. \text{conjugate } (A\$\$(k, i)) * A\$\$(k, i))$
using *asm by (auto simp add: sum-part[of 0 n i])*
also have
 $\dots = (\sum k=i..<n. \text{conjugate } (A\$\$(k, i)) * A\$\$(k, i))$
using *asm ih by auto*
also have
 $\dots = \text{conjugate } (A\$\$(i, i)) * A\$\$(i, i)$
using *asm zsi1 by (auto simp add: sum.atLeast-Suc-lessThan)*
finally have $(\text{adjoint } A * A)\$\$(i, i) = \text{conjugate } (A\$\$(i, i)) * A\$\$(i, i) .$
with *adaii eq0* **have**
fsitoneq0: $(\sum k=(\text{Suc } i)..<n. \text{conjugate } (A\$\$(i, k)) * A\$\$(i, k)) = 0$ **by**
auto
have $\bigwedge k. k < n \implies i < k \implies \text{conjugate } (A\$\$(i, k)) * A\$\$(i, k) = 0$
by (*rule sum-nonneg-0[of {(Suc } i)..<n}], auto, subst mult.commute,*
rule conjugate-square-positive, rule fsitoneq0)
then have $\bigwedge k. k < n \implies i < k \implies A\$\$(i, k) = 0$ **by** *auto*
with *zsi0* **show** $\bigwedge j. j < n \implies i \neq j \implies A\$\$(i, j) = 0$
by (*metis linorder-neqE-nat*)
qed
}
with *case0* **show** $\bigwedge i. ia.$
 $0 < n \implies$
 $i < n \implies$
 $ia < n \implies$
 $(\bigwedge k. k < ia \implies \forall j < n. k \neq j \implies A\$\$(k, j) = 0) \implies$
 $\forall j < n. ia \neq j \implies A\$\$(ia, j) = 0$ **by** *auto*
qed
qed
lemma *normal-complex-mat-has-spectral-decomposition:*
assumes $A: (A::\text{complex mat}) \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$

and normal: $A * \text{adjoint } A = \text{adjoint } A * A$
and c: *char-poly* $A = (\prod (e :: \text{complex}) \leftarrow \text{es. } [:- e, 1:])$
and B: *unitary-schur-decomposition* $A \text{ es} = (B, P, Q)$
shows similar-mat-wit $A \ B \ P \ (\text{adjoint } P) \wedge \text{diagonal-mat } B \wedge \text{diag-mat } B = \text{es}$
 $\wedge \text{unitary } P$
proof –
have smw: *similar-mat-wit* $A \ B \ P \ (\text{adjoint } P)$
and ut: *upper-triangular* B
and uP: *unitary* P
and dB: *diag-mat* $B = \text{es}$
and $(Q = \text{adjoint } P)$
using *assms by (auto simp add: unitary-schur-decomposition)*
from smw have dimP: $P \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and dimB:** $B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
and dimaP: $\text{adjoint } P \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
unfolding similar-mat-wit-def using A by auto
have dimaB: $\text{adjoint } B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **using dimB by auto**
note $\text{dims} = \text{dimP } \text{dimB } \text{dimaP } \text{dimaB}$

have inverts-mat $P \ (\text{adjoint } P)$ **using** *unitary-def uP dims by auto*
then have iaPP: *inverts-mat (adjoint P) P using inverts-mat-symm using dims*
by auto
have aPP: $\text{adjoint } P * P = 1_m \ n$ **using** *dims iaPP unfolding inverts-mat-def*
by auto
from smw have A: $A = P * B * (\text{adjoint } P)$ **unfolding similar-mat-wit-def**
Let-def by auto
then have aA: $\text{adjoint } A = P * \text{adjoint } B * \text{adjoint } P$
by *(insert A dimP dimB dimaP, auto simp add: adjoint-mult[of - n n - n]*
adjoint-adjoint)
have $A * \text{adjoint } A = (P * B * \text{adjoint } P) * (P * \text{adjoint } B * \text{adjoint } P)$ **using**
 $A \ aA$ **by auto**
also have $\dots = P * B * (\text{adjoint } P * P) * (\text{adjoint } B * \text{adjoint } P)$ **using** *dims*
by *(mat-assoc n)*
also have $\dots = P * B * 1_m \ n * (\text{adjoint } B * \text{adjoint } P)$ **using** *dims aPP by*
(auto)
also have $\dots = P * B * \text{adjoint } B * \text{adjoint } P$ **using** *dims by (mat-assoc n)*
finally have $A * \text{adjoint } A = P * B * \text{adjoint } B * \text{adjoint } P.$
then have $\text{adjoint } P * (A * \text{adjoint } A) * P = (\text{adjoint } P * P) * B * \text{adjoint } B$
 $* (\text{adjoint } P * P)$
using *dims by (simp add: assoc-mult-mat[of - n n - n - n])*
also have $\dots = 1_m \ n * B * \text{adjoint } B * 1_m \ n$ **using** *aPP by auto*
also have $\dots = B * \text{adjoint } B$ **using** *dims by auto*
finally have eq0: $\text{adjoint } P * (A * \text{adjoint } A) * P = B * \text{adjoint } B.$

have $\text{adjoint } A * A = (P * \text{adjoint } B * \text{adjoint } P) * (P * B * \text{adjoint } P)$ **using**
 $A \ aA$ **by auto**
also have $\dots = P * \text{adjoint } B * (\text{adjoint } P * P) * (B * \text{adjoint } P)$ **using** *dims*
by *(mat-assoc n)*
also have $\dots = P * \text{adjoint } B * 1_m \ n * (B * \text{adjoint } P)$ **using** *dims aPP by*
(auto)

also have $\dots = P * \text{adjoint } B * B * \text{adjoint } P$ **using** *dims* **by** (*mat-assoc n*)
finally have $\text{adjoint } A * A = P * \text{adjoint } B * B * \text{adjoint } P$ **by** *auto*
then have $\text{adjoint } P * (\text{adjoint } A * A) * P = (\text{adjoint } P * P) * \text{adjoint } B * B$
 $* (\text{adjoint } P * P)$
using *dims* **by** (*simp add: assoc-mult-mat[of - n n - n - n]*)
also have $\dots = 1_m n * \text{adjoint } B * B * 1_m n$ **using** *aPP* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{adjoint } B * B$ **using** *dims* **by** *auto*
finally have *eq1: adjoint P * (adjoint A * A) * P = adjoint B * B.*

from *normal* **have** $\text{adjoint } P * (\text{adjoint } A * A) * P = \text{adjoint } P * (A * \text{adjoint } A) * P$ **by** *auto*
with *eq0 eq1* **have** $B * \text{adjoint } B = \text{adjoint } B * B$ **by** *auto*
with *ut dims* **have** *diagonal-mat B* **using** *normal-upper-triangular-matrix-is-diagonal*
by *auto*
with *smw uP dB* **show** *similar-mat-wit A B P (adjoint P) ∧ diagonal-mat B ∧ diag-mat B = es ∧ unitary P* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *complex-mat-has-jordan-nf:*

fixes $A :: \text{complex mat}$
assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
shows $\exists n\text{-as. jordan-nf } A \ n\text{-as}$
proof –
have $\exists \text{as. char-poly } A = (\prod a \leftarrow \text{as. } [:- a, 1:]) \wedge \text{length as} = n$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: complex-mat-char-poly-factorizable*)
then show *?thesis* **using** *assms*
by (*auto simp add: jordan-nf-iff-linear-factorization*)
qed

lemma *hermitian-is-normal:*

assumes *hermitian A*
shows $A * \text{adjoint } A = \text{adjoint } A * A$
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: hermitian-def*)

lemma *hermitian-eigenvalue-real:*

assumes $\text{dim: } (A :: \text{complex mat}) \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
and *hA: hermitian A*
and $c: \text{char-poly } A = (\prod (e :: \text{complex}) \leftarrow \text{es. } [:- e, 1:])$
and $B: \text{unitary-schur-decomposition } A \ \text{es} = (B, P, Q)$
shows $\text{similar-mat-wit } A \ B \ P \ (\text{adjoint } P) \wedge \text{diagonal-mat } B \wedge \text{diag-mat } B = \text{es}$
 $\wedge \text{unitary } P \wedge (\forall i < n. B\$\$(i, i) \in \text{Reals})$
proof –
have *normal: A * adjoint A = adjoint A * A* **using** *hA hermitian-is-normal* **by** *auto*
then have *schur: similar-mat-wit A B P (adjoint P) ∧ diagonal-mat B ∧ diag-mat B = es ∧ unitary P*
using *normal-complex-mat-has-spectral-decomposition[OF dim normal c B]* **by** (*simp*)
then have *similar-mat-wit A B P (adjoint P)*

and uP : unitary P **and** dB : diag-mat $B = es$
using *assms* **by** *auto*
then have A : $A = P * B * (\text{adjoint } P)$
and $dimB$: $B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** $dimP$: $P \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
unfolding *similar-mat-wit-def* *Let-def* **using** dim **by** *auto*
then have $dimA$: $\text{adjoint } B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **by** *auto*
have $\text{adjoint } A = \text{adjoint } (\text{adjoint } P) * \text{adjoint } (P * B)$
apply (*subst A*)
apply (*subst adjoint-mult[of P * B n n adjoint P n]*)
apply (*insert dimB dimP, auto*)
done
also have $\dots = P * \text{adjoint } (P * B)$ **by** (*auto simp add: adjoint-adjoint*)
also have $\dots = P * (\text{adjoint } B * \text{adjoint } P)$ **using** $dimB \ dimP$ **by** (*auto simp add: adjoint-mult*)
also have $\dots = P * \text{adjoint } B * \text{adjoint } P$ **using** $dimB \ dimP$ **by** (*subst assoc-mult-mat[symmetric, of P n n adjoint B n adjoint P n], auto*)
finally have aA : $\text{adjoint } A = P * \text{adjoint } B * \text{adjoint } P$.
have $A = \text{adjoint } A$ **using** hA *hermitian-def[of A]* **by** *auto*
then have $P * B * \text{adjoint } P = P * \text{adjoint } B * \text{adjoint } P$ **using** $A \ aA$ **by** *auto*
then have BaB : $B = \text{adjoint } B$ **using** *unitary-elim[OF dimB dimA dimP]* uP
by *auto*
{
 fix i
 assume $i < n$
 then have $B\$\$(i, i) = \text{conjugate } (B\$\$(i, i))$
 apply (*subst BaB*)
 by (*insert dimB, simp add: adjoint-eval*)
 then have $B\$\$(i, i) \in \text{Reals}$ **unfolding** *conjugate-complex-def*
 using *Reals-cnj-iff* **by** *auto*
}
then have $\forall i < n. B\$\$(i, i) \in \text{Reals}$ **by** *auto*
with *schur* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *hermitian-inner-prod-real*:

assumes $dimA$: $(A :: \text{complex mat}) \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
and $dimv$: $v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$
and hA : *hermitian A*
shows $\text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v) \in \text{Reals}$
proof –
obtain es **where** es : $\text{char-poly } A = (\prod (e :: \text{complex}) \leftarrow es. [- e, 1:])$
using *complex-mat-char-poly-factorizable dimA* **by** *auto*
obtain $B \ P \ Q$ **where** *unitary-schur-decomposition A es = (B,P,Q)*
by (*cases unitary-schur-decomposition A es, auto*)
then have *similar-mat-wit* $A \ B \ P (\text{adjoint } P) \wedge \text{diagonal-mat } B \wedge \text{diag-mat } B = es$
 $\wedge \text{unitary } P \wedge (\forall i < n. B\$\$(i, i) \in \text{Reals})$
using *hermitian-eigenvalue-real dimA es hA* **by** *auto*
then have A : $A = P * B * (\text{adjoint } P)$ **and** dB : *diagonal-mat B*

and $Bii: \bigwedge i. i < n \implies B\$\$(i, i) \in Reals$
and $dimB: B \in carrier\text{-}mat\ n\ n$ **and** $dimP: P \in carrier\text{-}mat\ n\ n$ **and** $dimA: P \in carrier\text{-}mat\ n\ n$
adjoint $P \in carrier\text{-}mat\ n\ n$
unfolding $similar\text{-}mat\text{-}wit\text{-}def\ Let\text{-}def$ **using** $dimA$ **by** $auto$
define w **where** $w = (adjoint\ P) *_v\ v$
then have $dimw: w \in carrier\text{-}vec\ n$ **using** $dimA\ dimv$ **by** $auto$
from A **have** $inner\text{-}prod\ v\ (A *_v\ v) = inner\text{-}prod\ v\ ((P * B * (adjoint\ P)) *_v\ v)$ **by** $auto$
also have $\dots = inner\text{-}prod\ v\ ((P * B) *_v\ ((adjoint\ P) *_v\ v))$ **using** $dimP\ dimB\ dimv$
by $(subst\ assoc\text{-}mult\text{-}mat\text{-}vec[of\ -\ n\ n\ adjoint\ P\ n],\ auto)$
also have $\dots = inner\text{-}prod\ v\ (P *_v\ (B *_v\ ((adjoint\ P) *_v\ v)))$ **using** $dimP\ dimB\ dimv\ dimA$
by $(subst\ assoc\text{-}mult\text{-}mat\text{-}vec[of\ -\ n\ n\ B\ n],\ auto)$
also have $\dots = inner\text{-}prod\ w\ (B *_v\ w)$ **unfolding** $w\text{-}def$
apply $(rule\ adjoint\text{-}def\text{-}alter[OF\ -\ -\ dimP])$
apply $(insert\ mult\text{-}mat\text{-}vec\text{-}carrier[OF\ dimB\ mult\text{-}mat\text{-}vec\text{-}carrier[OF\ dimA\ dimv]])$, $auto\ simp\ add: dimv$
done

also have $\dots = (\sum i=0..<n. (\sum j=0..<n. conjugate\ (w\$i) * B\$\$(i, j) * w\$j))$ **unfolding** $scalar\text{-}prod\text{-}def$ **using** $dimw\ dimB$
apply $(simp\ add: scalar\text{-}prod\text{-}def\ sum\text{-}distrib\text{-}right)$
apply $(rule\ sum.cong,\ auto,\ rule\ sum.cong,\ auto)$
done

also have $\dots = (\sum i=0..<n. B\$\$(i, i) * conjugate\ (w\$i) * w\$i)$
apply $(rule\ sum.cong,\ auto)$
apply $(simp\ add: sum.remove)$
apply $(insert\ dB[unfolded\ diagonal\text{-}mat\text{-}def]\ dimB,\ auto)$
done

finally have $sum: inner\text{-}prod\ v\ (A *_v\ v) = (\sum i=0..<n. B\$\$(i, i) * conjugate\ (w\$i) * w\$i)$.
have $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies B\$\$(i, i) * conjugate\ (w\$i) * w\$i \in Reals$ **using** Bii **by** $(simp\ add: Reals\text{-}cnj\text{-}iff)$
then have $(\sum i=0..<n. B\$\$(i, i) * conjugate\ (w\$i) * w\$i) \in Reals$ **by** $auto$
then show $?thesis$ **using** sum **by** $auto$
qed

lemma $unit\text{-}vec\text{-}bracket:$

fixes $A :: complex\ mat$
assumes $dimA: A \in carrier\text{-}mat\ n\ n$ **and** $i: i < n$
shows $inner\text{-}prod\ (unit\text{-}vec\ n\ i)\ (A *_v\ (unit\text{-}vec\ n\ i)) = A\$\$(i, i)$
proof –
define w **where** $(w::complex\ vec) = unit\text{-}vec\ n\ i$
have $A *_v\ w = col\ A\ i$ **using** $i\ dimA\ w\text{-}def$ **by** $auto$
then have $1: inner\text{-}prod\ w\ (A *_v\ w) = inner\text{-}prod\ w\ (col\ A\ i)$ **using** $w\text{-}def$ **by** $auto$
have $conjugate\ w = w$ **unfolding** $w\text{-}def\ unit\text{-}vec\text{-}def\ conjugate\text{-}vec\text{-}def$ **using** i

by auto
 then have 2: inner-prod w (col A i) = A\$\$\$(i, i) using i dimA w-def by auto
 from 1 2 show inner-prod w (A *_v w) = A\$\$\$(i, i) by auto
 qed

lemma spectral-decomposition-extract-diag:

fixes P B :: complex mat
 assumes dimP: P ∈ carrier-mat n n and dimB: B ∈ carrier-mat n n
 and uP: unitary P and dB: diagonal-mat B and i: i < n
 shows inner-prod (col P i) (P * B * (adjoint P) *_v (col P i)) = B\$\$\$(i, i)
 proof –
 have dimaP: adjoint P ∈ carrier-mat n n using dimP by auto
 have uaP: unitary (adjoint P) using unitary-adjoint uP dimP by auto
 then have inverts-mat (adjoint P) P by (simp add: unitary-def adjoint-adjoint)
 then have iv: (adjoint P) * P = 1_m n using dimaP inverts-mat-def by auto
 define v where v = col P i
 then have dimv: v ∈ carrier-vec n using dimP by auto
 define w where (w::complex vec) = unit-vec n i
 then have dimw: w ∈ carrier-vec n by auto
 have BaPv: B *_v (adjoint P *_v v) ∈ carrier-vec n using dimB dimaP dimv by auto
 have (adjoint P) *_v v = (col (adjoint P * P) i)
 by (simp add: col-mult2[OF dimaP dimP i, symmetric] v-def)
 then have aPv: (adjoint P) *_v v = w
 by (auto simp add: iv i w-def)
 have inner-prod v (P * B * (adjoint P) *_v v) = inner-prod v ((P * B) *_v ((adjoint P) *_v v)) using dimP dimB dimv
 by (subst assoc-mult-mat-vec[of - n n adjoint P n], auto)
 also have ... = inner-prod v (P *_v (B *_v ((adjoint P) *_v v))) using dimP dimB dimv dimaP
 by (subst assoc-mult-mat-vec[of - n n B n], auto)
 also have ... = inner-prod (adjoint P *_v v) (B *_v (adjoint P *_v v))
 by (simp add: adjoint-def-alter[OF dimv BaPv dimP])
 also have ... = inner-prod w (B *_v w) using aPv by auto
 also have ... = B\$\$\$(i, i) using w-def unit-vec-bracket dimB i by auto
 finally show inner-prod v (P * B * (adjoint P) *_v v) = B\$\$\$(i, i).
 qed

lemma hermitian-inner-prod-zero:

fixes A :: complex mat
 assumes dimA: A ∈ carrier-mat n n and hA: hermitian A
 and zero: ∀ v ∈ carrier-vec n. inner-prod v (A *_v v) = 0
 shows A = 0_m n n
 proof –
 obtain es where es: char-poly A = (∏ (e :: complex) ← es. [:- e, 1:])
 using complex-mat-char-poly-factorizable dimA by auto
 obtain B P Q where unitary-schur-decomposition A es = (B, P, Q)
 by (cases unitary-schur-decomposition A es, auto)
 then have similar-mat-wit A B P (adjoint P) ∧ diagonal-mat B ∧ diag-mat B

```

= es
  ∧ unitary P ∧ (∀ i < n. B$$ (i, i) ∈ Reals)
  using hermitian-eigenvalue-real dimA es hA by auto
  then have A: A = P * B * (adjoint P) and dB: diagonal-mat B
  and Bii: ∧ i. i < n ⇒ B$$ (i, i) ∈ Reals
  and dimB: B ∈ carrier-mat n n and dimP: P ∈ carrier-mat n n and dimaP:
adjoint P ∈ carrier-mat n n
  and uP: unitary P
  unfolding similar-mat-wit-def Let-def unitary-def using dimA by auto
  then have uaP: unitary (adjoint P) using unitary-adjoint by auto
  then have inverts-mat (adjoint P) P by (simp add: unitary-def adjoint-adjoint)
  then have iv: adjoint P * P = 1_m n using dimaP inverts-mat-def by auto
  have B = 0_m n n
  proof -
    {
      fix i assume i: i < n
      define v where v = col P i
      then have dimv: v ∈ carrier-vec n using v-def dimP by auto
      have inner-prod v (A *_v v) = B$$ (i, i) unfolding A v-def
        using spectral-decomposition-extract-diag[OF dimP dimB uP dB i] by auto
      moreover have inner-prod v (A *_v v) = 0 using dimv zero by auto
      ultimately have B$$ (i, i) = 0 by auto
    }
  note zB = this
  show B = 0_m n n by (insert zB dB dimB, rule eq-matI, auto simp add:
diagonal-mat-def)
  qed
  then show A = 0_m n n using A dimB dimP dimaP by auto
  qed

```

lemma *complex-mat-decomposition-to-hermitian:*

```

  fixes A :: complex mat
  assumes dim: A ∈ carrier-mat n n
  shows ∃ B C. hermitian B ∧ hermitian C ∧ A = B + i ·_m C ∧ B ∈ carrier-mat
n n ∧ C ∈ carrier-mat n n
  proof -
    obtain B C where B: B = (1 / 2) ·_m (A + adjoint A)
      and C: C = (-i / 2) ·_m (A - adjoint A) by auto
    then have dimB: B ∈ carrier-mat n n and dimC: C ∈ carrier-mat n n using
dim by auto
    have hermitian B unfolding B hermitian-def using dim
      by (auto simp add: adjoint-eval)
    moreover have hermitian C unfolding C hermitian-def using dim
      apply (subst eq-matI)
      apply (auto simp add: adjoint-eval algebra-simps)
    done
    moreover have A = B + i ·_m C using dim B C
      apply (subst eq-matI)
      apply (auto simp add: adjoint-eval algebra-simps)

```

done
ultimately show *?thesis* using *dimB dimC* by *auto*
qed

1.11 Outer product

definition *outer-prod* :: 'a::conjugatable-field vec \Rightarrow 'a vec \Rightarrow 'a mat **where**
outer-prod v w = mat (dim-vec v) 1 ($\lambda(i, j)$. v \$ i) * mat 1 (dim-vec w) ($\lambda(i, j)$.
 (conjugate w) \$ j)

lemma *outer-prod-dim[simp]*:
 fixes v w :: 'a::conjugatable-field vec
 assumes v: v \in carrier-vec n **and** w: w \in carrier-vec m
 shows *outer-prod* v w \in carrier-mat n m
unfolding *outer-prod-def* using *assms mat-of-cols-carrier mat-of-rows-carrier*
 by *auto*

lemma *mat-of-vec-mult-eq-scalar-prod*:
 fixes v w :: 'a::conjugatable-field vec
 assumes v \in carrier-vec n **and** w \in carrier-vec n
 shows mat 1 (dim-vec v) ($\lambda(i, j)$. (conjugate v) \$ j) * mat (dim-vec w) 1 ($\lambda(i, j)$. w \$ i)
 = mat 1 1 (λk . inner-prod v w)
apply (*rule eq-matI*) using *assms* **apply** (*simp add: scalar-prod-def*) **apply** (*rule sum.cong*) **by** *auto*

lemma *one-dim-mat-mult-is-scale*:
 fixes A B :: ('a::conjugatable-field mat)
 assumes B \in carrier-mat 1 n
 shows (mat 1 1 (λk . a)) * B = a \cdot_m B
apply (*rule eq-matI*) using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: scalar-prod-def*)

lemma *outer-prod-mult-outer-prod*:
 fixes a b c d :: 'a::conjugatable-field vec
 assumes a: a \in carrier-vec d1 **and** b: b \in carrier-vec d2
and c: c \in carrier-vec d2 **and** d: d \in carrier-vec d3
 shows *outer-prod* a b * *outer-prod* c d = inner-prod b c \cdot_m *outer-prod* a d

proof –

let ?ma = mat (dim-vec a) 1 ($\lambda(i, j)$. a \$ i)
 let ?mb = mat 1 (dim-vec b) ($\lambda(i, j)$. (conjugate b) \$ j)
 let ?mc = mat (dim-vec c) 1 ($\lambda(i, j)$. c \$ i)
 let ?md = mat 1 (dim-vec d) ($\lambda(i, j)$. (conjugate d) \$ j)
 have (?ma * ?mb) * (?mc * ?md) = ?ma * (?mb * (?mc * ?md))
apply (*subst assoc-mult-mat[of ?ma d1 1 ?mb d2 ?mc * ?md d3]*)
 using *assms* **by** *auto*
 also have ... = ?ma * ((?mb * ?mc) * ?md)
apply (*subst assoc-mult-mat[symmetric, of ?mb 1 d2 ?mc 1 ?md d3]*)
 using *assms* **by** *auto*
 also have ... = ?ma * ((mat 1 1 (λk . inner-prod b c)) * ?md)

apply (*subst mat-of-vec-mult-eq-scalar-prod*[of b $d2$ c]) **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = ?ma * (inner-prod\ b\ c \cdot_m\ ?md)$
apply (*subst one-dim-mat-mult-is-scale*) **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = (inner-prod\ b\ c) \cdot_m\ (?ma * ?md)$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **unfolding** *outer-prod-def* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *index-outer-prod*:
fixes $v\ w :: 'a::conjugatable-field\ vec$
assumes $v: v \in carrier-vec\ n$ **and** $w: w \in carrier-vec\ m$
and $ij: i < n\ j < m$
shows $(outer-prod\ v\ w)\ \$(i, j) = v\ \$\ i * conjugate\ (w\ \$\ j)$
unfolding *outer-prod-def* **using** *assms* **by** (*simp add: scalar-prod-def*)

lemma *mat-of-vec-mult-vec*:
fixes $a\ b\ c :: 'a::conjugatable-field\ vec$
assumes $a: a \in carrier-vec\ d$ **and** $b: b \in carrier-vec\ d$
shows $mat\ 1\ d\ (\lambda(i, j). (conjugate\ a)\ \$\ j) *_v\ b = vec\ 1\ (\lambda k. inner-prod\ a\ b)$
apply (*rule eq-vecI*)
apply (*simp add: scalar-prod-def carrier-vecD[OF a] carrier-vecD[OF b]*)
apply (*rule sum.cong*) **by** *auto*

lemma *mat-of-vec-mult-one-dim-vec*:
fixes $a\ b :: 'a::conjugatable-field\ vec$
assumes $a: a \in carrier-vec\ d$
shows $mat\ d\ 1\ (\lambda(i, j). a\ \$\ i) *_v\ vec\ 1\ (\lambda k. c) = c \cdot_v\ a$
apply (*rule eq-vecI*)
by (*auto simp add: scalar-prod-def carrier-vecD[OF a]*)

lemma *outer-prod-mult-vec*:
fixes $a\ b\ c :: 'a::conjugatable-field\ vec$
assumes $a: a \in carrier-vec\ d1$ **and** $b: b \in carrier-vec\ d2$
and $c: c \in carrier-vec\ d2$
shows $outer-prod\ a\ b *_v\ c = inner-prod\ b\ c \cdot_v\ a$
proof –
have $outer-prod\ a\ b *_v\ c$
 $= mat\ d1\ 1\ (\lambda(i, j). a\ \$\ i)$
 $* mat\ 1\ d2\ (\lambda(i, j). (conjugate\ b)\ \$\ j)$
 $*_v\ c$ **unfolding** *outer-prod-def* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = mat\ d1\ 1\ (\lambda(i, j). a\ \$\ i)$
 $*_v\ (mat\ 1\ d2\ (\lambda(i, j). (conjugate\ b)\ \$\ j))$
 $*_v\ c$ **apply** (*subst assoc-mult-mat-vec*) **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = mat\ d1\ 1\ (\lambda(i, j). a\ \$\ i)$
 $*_v\ vec\ 1\ (\lambda k. inner-prod\ b\ c)$ **using** *mat-of-vec-mult-vec*[of b] *assms* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = inner-prod\ b\ c \cdot_v\ a$ **using** *mat-of-vec-mult-one-dim-vec* *assms*
by *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *trace-outer-prod-right*:

fixes $A :: 'a::\text{conjugatable-field mat}$ **and** $v\ w :: 'a\ \text{vec}$

assumes $A: A \in \text{carrier-mat } n\ n$

and $v: v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ **and** $w: w \in \text{carrier-vec } n$

shows $\text{trace } (A * \text{outer-prod } v\ w) = \text{inner-prod } w\ (A *_v v)$ (**is** $?lhs = ?rhs$)

proof –

define B **where** $B = \text{outer-prod } v\ w$

then have $B: B \in \text{carrier-mat } n\ n$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

have $\text{trace}(A * B) = (\sum i = 0..<n. \sum j = 0..<n. A\ \$\$ (i,j) * B\ \$\$ (j,i))$

unfolding *trace-def* **using** $A\ B$ **by** (*simp add: scalar-prod-def*)

also have $\dots = (\sum i = 0..<n. \sum j = 0..<n. A\ \$\$ (i,j) * v\ \$\ j * \text{conjugate } (w\ \$\ i))$

unfolding *B-def*

apply (*rule sum.cong, simp, rule sum.cong, simp*)

by (*insert v w, auto simp add: index-outer-prod*)

finally have $?lhs = (\sum i = 0..<n. \sum j = 0..<n. A\ \$\$ (i,j) * v\ \$\ j * \text{conjugate } (w\ \$\ i))$ **using** *B-def* **by** *auto*

moreover have $?rhs = (\sum i = 0..<n. \sum j = 0..<n. A\ \$\$ (i,j) * v\ \$\ j * \text{conjugate } (w\ \$\ i))$ **using** $A\ v\ w$

by (*simp add: scalar-prod-def sum-distrib-right*)

ultimately show $?thesis$ **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *trace-outer-prod*:

fixes $v\ w :: ('a::\text{conjugatable-field vec})$

assumes $v: v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ **and** $w: w \in \text{carrier-vec } n$

shows $\text{trace } (\text{outer-prod } v\ w) = \text{inner-prod } w\ v$ (**is** $?lhs = ?rhs$)

proof –

have $(1_m\ n) * (\text{outer-prod } v\ w) = \text{outer-prod } v\ w$ **apply** (*subst left-mult-one-mat*)

using *outer-prod-dim* **assms** **by** *auto*

moreover have $1_m\ n *_v v = v$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

ultimately show $?thesis$ **using** *trace-outer-prod-right*[*of 1_m n n v w*] **assms** **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *inner-prod-outer-prod*:

fixes $a\ b\ c\ d :: 'a::\text{conjugatable-field vec}$

assumes $a: a \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ **and** $b: b \in \text{carrier-vec } n$

and $c: c \in \text{carrier-vec } m$ **and** $d: d \in \text{carrier-vec } m$

shows $\text{inner-prod } a\ (\text{outer-prod } b\ c *_v d) = \text{inner-prod } a\ b * \text{inner-prod } c\ d$ (**is** $?lhs = ?rhs$)

proof –

define P **where** $P = \text{outer-prod } b\ c$

then have $\text{dim}P: P \in \text{carrier-mat } n\ m$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

have $\text{inner-prod } a\ (P *_v d) = (\sum i=0..<n. (\sum j=0..<m. \text{conjugate } (a\ \$\ i) * P\ \$\$ (i, j) * d\ \$\ j))$ **using** *assms* $\text{dim}P$

apply (*simp add: scalar-prod-def sum-distrib-right*)

apply (*rule sum.cong, auto*)

apply (*rule sum.cong, auto*)

done
also have $\dots = (\sum i=0..<n. (\sum j=0..<m. \text{conjugate } (a\$i) * b\$i * \text{conjugate}(c\$j) * d\$j))$
using *P-def b c by (simp add: index-outer-prod algebra-simps)*
finally have *eq: ?lhs =* $(\sum i=0..<n. (\sum j=0..<m. \text{conjugate } (a\$i) * b\$i * \text{conjugate}(c\$j) * d\$j))$ **using** *P-def by auto*

have *?rhs =* $(\sum i=0..<n. \text{conjugate } (a\$i) * b\$i) * (\sum j=0..<m. \text{conjugate}(c\$j) * d\$j)$ **using** *assms*
by *(auto simp add: scalar-prod-def algebra-simps)*
also have $\dots = (\sum i=0..<n. (\sum j=0..<m. \text{conjugate } (a\$i) * b\$i * \text{conjugate}(c\$j) * d\$j))$
using *assms by (simp add: sum-product algebra-simps)*
finally show *?lhs = ?rhs using eq by auto*
qed

1.12 Semi-definite matrices

definition *positive :: complex mat \Rightarrow bool where*

positive A \longleftrightarrow
 $A \in \text{carrier-mat } (dim\text{-col } A) (dim\text{-col } A) \wedge$
 $(\forall v. dim\text{-vec } v = dim\text{-col } A \longrightarrow inner\text{-prod } v (A *_v v) \geq 0)$

lemma *positive-iff-normalized-vec:*

positive A \longleftrightarrow
 $A \in \text{carrier-mat } (dim\text{-col } A) (dim\text{-col } A) \wedge$
 $(\forall v. (dim\text{-vec } v = dim\text{-col } A \wedge vec\text{-norm } v = 1) \longrightarrow inner\text{-prod } v (A *_v v) \geq 0)$

proof *(rule)*

assume *positive A*
then show $A \in \text{carrier-mat } (dim\text{-col } A) (dim\text{-col } A) \wedge$
 $(\forall v. dim\text{-vec } v = dim\text{-col } A \wedge vec\text{-norm } v = 1 \longrightarrow 0 \leq inner\text{-prod } v (A *_v v))$
unfolding *positive-def by auto*

next

define *n where n = dim-col A*
assume $A \in \text{carrier-mat } (dim\text{-col } A) (dim\text{-col } A) \wedge (\forall v. dim\text{-vec } v = dim\text{-col } A \wedge vec\text{-norm } v = 1 \longrightarrow 0 \leq inner\text{-prod } v (A *_v v))$
then have *A: A \in carrier-mat (dim-col A) (dim-col A) and geq0: $\forall v. dim\text{-vec } v = dim\text{-col } A \wedge vec\text{-norm } v = 1 \longrightarrow 0 \leq inner\text{-prod } v (A *_v v)$* **by auto**
then have *dimA: A \in carrier-mat n n using n-def[symmetric] by auto*
{
fix *v assume dimv: (v::complex vec) \in carrier-vec n*
have $0 \leq inner\text{-prod } v (A *_v v)$
proof *(cases v = 0_v n)*
case True
then show $0 \leq inner\text{-prod } v (A *_v v)$ **using dimA by auto**
next
case False
then have *1: vec-norm v > 0 using vec-norm-ge-0 dimv by auto*

```

    then have cnv: cnj (vec-norm v) = vec-norm v
      using Reals-cnj-iff complex-is-Real-iff less-complex-def by auto
    define w where w = vec-normalize v
    then have dimw: w ∈ carrier-vec n using dimv by auto
    have nvw: v = vec-norm v ·v w using w-def vec-eq-norm-smult-normalized
  by auto
  have vec-norm w = 1 using normalized-vec-norm[OF dimv False] vec-norm-def
  w-def by auto
  then have 2: 0 ≤ inner-prod w (A *v w) using geq0 dimw dimA by auto
  have inner-prod v (A *v v) = vec-norm v * vec-norm v * inner-prod w (A *v
w) using dimA dimv dimw
  apply (subst (1 2) nvw)
  apply (subst mult-mat-vec, simp, simp)
  apply (subst scalar-prod-smult-left[of (A *v w) conjugate (vec-norm v ·v w)
vec-norm v], simp)
  apply (simp add: conjugate-smult-vec cnv)
  done
  also have ... ≥ 0 using 1 2 by auto
  finally show 0 ≤ inner-prod v (A *v v) by auto
qed
}
then have geq: ∀ v. dim-vec v = dim-col A ⟶ 0 ≤ inner-prod v (A *v v) using
dimA by auto
show positive A unfolding positive-def
  by (rule, simp add: A, rule geq)
qed

lemma positive-is-hermitian:
  fixes A :: complex mat
  assumes pA: positive A
  shows hermitian A
proof -
  define n where n = dim-col A
  then have dimA: A ∈ carrier-mat n n using positive-def pA by auto
  obtain B C where B: hermitian B and C: hermitian C and A: A = B + i ·m
C
  and dimB: B ∈ carrier-mat n n and dimC: C ∈ carrier-mat n n and dimiC:
i ·m C ∈ carrier-mat n n
  using complex-mat-decomposition-to-hermitian[OF dimA] by auto
  {
    fix v :: complex vec assume dimv: v ∈ carrier-vec n
    have dimvA: dim-vec v = dim-col A using dimv dimA by auto
    have inner-prod v (A *v v) = inner-prod v (B *v v) + inner-prod v ((i ·m C)
*v v)
    unfolding A using dimB dimiC dimv by (simp add: add-mult-distrib-mat-vec
inner-prod-distrib-right)
    moreover have inner-prod v ((i ·m C) *v v) = i * inner-prod v (C *v v) using
dimv dimC
    apply (simp add: scalar-prod-def sum-distrib-left cong: sum.cong)
  }

```

```

    apply (rule sum.cong, auto)
  done
  ultimately have ABC: inner-prod v (A *_v v) = inner-prod v (B *_v v) + i *
inner-prod v (C *_v v) by auto
  moreover have inner-prod v (B *_v v) ∈ Reals using B dimB dimv hermi-
tian-inner-prod-real by auto
  moreover have inner-prod v (C *_v v) ∈ Reals using C dimC dimv hermi-
tian-inner-prod-real by auto
  moreover have inner-prod v (A *_v v) ∈ Reals using pA unfolding positive-def

  apply (rule)
  apply (fold n-def)
  apply (simp add: complex-is-Real-iff[of inner-prod v (A *_v v)])
  apply (auto simp add: dimvA less-complex-def less-eq-complex-def)
  done
  ultimately have inner-prod v (C *_v v) = 0 using of-real-Re by fastforce
}
then have C = 0_m n n using hermitian-inner-prod-zero dimC C by auto
then have A = B using A dimC dimB by auto
then show hermitian A using B by auto
qed

```

lemma *positive-eigenvalue-positive:*

```

  assumes dimA: (A::complex mat) ∈ carrier-mat n n
    and pA: positive A
    and c: char-poly A = (∏ (e :: complex) ← es. [:− e, 1:])
    and B: unitary-schur-decomposition A es = (B,P,Q)
  shows ∧i. i < n ⇒ B$$ (i, i) ≥ 0
proof −
  have hA: hermitian A using positive-is-hermitian pA by auto
  have similar-mat-wit A B P (adjoint P) ∧ diagonal-mat B ∧ diag-mat B = es
    ∧ unitary P ∧ (∀ i < n. B$$ (i, i) ∈ Reals)
    using hermitian-eigenvalue-real dimA hA B c by auto
  then have A: A = P * B * (adjoint P) and dB: diagonal-mat B
    and Bii: ∧i. i < n ⇒ B$$ (i, i) ∈ Reals
    and dimB: B ∈ carrier-mat n n and dimP: P ∈ carrier-mat n n and dimaP:
adjoint P ∈ carrier-mat n n
    and uP: unitary P
    unfolding similar-mat-wit-def Let-def unitary-def using dimA by auto
  {
    fix i assume i: i < n
    define v where v = col P i
    then have dimv: v ∈ carrier-vec n using v-def dimP by auto
    have inner-prod v (A *_v v) = B$$ (i, i) unfolding A v-def
      using spectral-decomposition-extract-diag[OF dimP dimB uP dB i] by auto
    moreover have inner-prod v (A *_v v) ≥ 0 using dimv pA dimA positive-def
  }
by auto
  ultimately show B$$ (i, i) ≥ 0 by auto
}

```

qed

lemma *diag-mat-mult-diag-mat*:

fixes $B D :: 'a::\text{semiring-0 mat}$

assumes $\text{dim}B: B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** $\text{dim}D: D \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$

and $\text{dB}: \text{diagonal-mat } B$ **and** $\text{dD}: \text{diagonal-mat } D$

shows $B * D = \text{mat } n \ n \ (\lambda(i,j). (\text{if } i = j \text{ then } (B\$\$(i, i)) * (D\$\$(i, i)) \text{ else } 0))$

proof(*rule eq-matI, auto*)

have $Bij: \bigwedge x \ y. x < n \implies y < n \implies x \neq y \implies B\$\$(x, y) = 0$ **using** dB
diagonal-mat-def dimB by auto

have $Dij: \bigwedge x \ y. x < n \implies y < n \implies x \neq y \implies D\$\$(x, y) = 0$ **using** dD
diagonal-mat-def dimD by auto

{

fix $i \ j$ **assume** $ij: i < n \ j < n$

have $(B * D) \ \$\$ (i, j) = (\sum_{k=0..<n}. (B \ \$\$ (i, k)) * (D \ \$\$ (k, j)))$ **using** $\text{dim}B$
 $\text{dim}D$

by (*auto simp add: scalar-prod-def ij*)

also have $\dots = B\$\$(i, i) * D\$\(i, j)

apply (*simp add: sum.remove[of -i] ij*)

apply (*simp add: Bij Dij ij*)

done

finally have $(B * D) \ \$\$ (i, j) = B\$\$(i, i) * D\$\$(i, j).$

}

note $BDij = \text{this}$

from $BDij$ **show** $\bigwedge j. j < n \implies (B * D) \ \$\$ (j, j) = B \ \$\$ (j, j) * D \ \$\$ (j, j)$ **by**
auto

from $BDij$ **show** $\bigwedge i \ j. i < n \implies j < n \implies i \neq j \implies (B * D) \ \$\$ (i, j) = 0$
using $Bij \ Dij$ **by** *auto*

from *assms* **show** $\text{dim-row } B = n \ \text{dim-col } D = n$ **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *positive-only-if-decomp*:

assumes $\text{dim}A: A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** $pA: \text{positive } A$

shows $\exists M \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n. M * \text{adjoint } M = A$

proof –

from pA **have** $hA: \text{hermitian } A$ **using** *positive-is-hermitian by auto*

obtain es **where** $es: \text{char-poly } A = (\prod (e :: \text{complex}) \leftarrow es. [- e, 1:])$

using *complex-mat-char-poly-factorizable dimA by auto*

obtain $B \ P \ Q$ **where** $\text{schur}: \text{unitary-schur-decomposition } A \ es = (B, P, Q)$

by (*cases unitary-schur-decomposition A es, auto*)

then have $\text{similar-mat-wit } A \ B \ P \ (\text{adjoint } P) \wedge \text{diagonal-mat } B \wedge \text{diag-mat } B$
 $= es$

$\wedge \text{unitary } P \wedge (\forall i < n. B\$\$(i, i) \in \text{Reals})$

using *hermitian-eigenvalue-real dimA es hA by auto*

then have $A: A = P * B * (\text{adjoint } P)$ **and** $\text{dB}: \text{diagonal-mat } B$

and $Bii: \bigwedge i. i < n \implies B\$\$(i, i) \in \text{Reals}$

and $\text{dim}B: B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** $\text{dim}P: P \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** $\text{dim}pA:$
adjoint } P \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n

unfolding *similar-mat-wit-def Let-def* **using** $\text{dim}A$ **by** *auto*

have B_{ii} : $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies B_{ii} \geq 0$ **using** pA *dimA es schur positive-eigenvalue-positive*
by *auto*
define D **where** $D = \text{mat } n \ n \ (\lambda(i, j)). \text{ (if } (i = j) \text{ then } \text{csqrt } (B_{ii}) \text{ else } 0)$
then have $\text{dim}D$: $D \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** $\text{dima}D$: $\text{adjoint } D \in \text{carrier-mat } n$
 n **using** $\text{dim}B$ **by** *auto*
have dD : *diagonal-mat* D **using** dB *D-def* **unfolding** *diagonal-mat-def* **by** *auto*
then have $\text{da}D$: *diagonal-mat* ($\text{adjoint } D$) **by** (*simp add: adjoint-eval diagonal-mat-def*)
have D_{ii} : $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies D_{ii} = \text{csqrt } (B_{ii})$ **using** $\text{dim}D$ *D-def* **by**
auto
{
 fix i **assume** $i < n$
 define c **where** $c = \text{csqrt } (B_{ii})$
 have $c \geq 0$ **using** B_{ii} *i c-def* **by** (*auto simp: less-complex-def less-eq-complex-def*)
 then have *conjugate* $c = c$
 using *Reals-cnj-iff complex-is-Real-iff* **unfolding** *less-complex-def less-eq-complex-def*
by *auto*
 then have $c * \text{cnj } c = B_{ii}$ **using** *c-def c* **unfolding** *conjugate-complex-def*
by (*metis power2-csqrt power2-eq-square*)
}
note $cB_{ii} = \text{this}$
have $D * \text{adjoint } D = \text{mat } n \ n \ (\lambda(i, j)). \text{ (if } (i = j) \text{ then } B_{ii} \text{ else } 0)$
 apply (*simp add: diag-mat-mult-diag-mat[OF dimD dimaD dD daD]*)
 apply (*rule eq-matI, auto simp add: D-def adjoint-eval cBii*)
 done
also have $\dots = B$ **using** $\text{dim}B$ dB [*unfolded diagonal-mat-def*] **by** *auto*
finally have $\text{Da}DB$: $D * \text{adjoint } D = B$.
define M **where** $M = P * D$
then have $\text{dim}M$: $M \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **using** $\text{dim}P$ $\text{dim}D$ **by** *auto*
have $M * \text{adjoint } M = (P * D) * (\text{adjoint } D * \text{adjoint } P)$ **using** M -*def adjoint-mult[OF dimP dimD]* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = P * (D * \text{adjoint } D) * (\text{adjoint } P)$ **using** $\text{dim}P$ $\text{dim}D$ **by**
(*mat-assoc n*)
also have $\dots = P * B * (\text{adjoint } P)$ **using** $\text{Da}DB$ **by** *auto*
finally have $M * \text{adjoint } M = A$ **using** A **by** *auto*
with $\text{dim}M$ **show** $\exists M \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n. M * \text{adjoint } M = A$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *positive-if-decomp*:

assumes $\text{dim}A$: $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** $\exists M. M * \text{adjoint } M = A$
shows *positive A*

proof –

from *assms* **obtain** M **where** $M: M * \text{adjoint } M = A$ **by** *auto*

define m **where** $m = \text{dim-col } M$

have $\text{dim}M$: $M \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ m$ **using** M $\text{dim}A$ *m-def* **by** *auto*

{

fix v **assume** $\text{dim}v$: $(v::\text{complex vec}) \in \text{carrier-vec } n$

have $\text{dima}M$: $\text{adjoint } M \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ n$ **using** $\text{dim}M$ **by** *auto*

have $\text{dima}Mv$: $(\text{adjoint } M) *_v v \in \text{carrier-vec } m$ **using** $\text{dima}M$ $\text{dim}v$ **by** *auto*

```

  have inner-prod v (A *_v v) = inner-prod v (M * adjoint M *_v v) using M by
  auto
  also have ... = inner-prod v (M *_v (adjoint M *_v v)) using assoc-mult-mat-vec
  dimM dimaM dimv by auto
  also have ... = inner-prod (adjoint M *_v v) (adjoint M *_v v) using ad-
  joint-def-alter[OF dimv dimaMv dimM] by auto
  also have ... ≥ 0 using self-cscalar-prod-geq-0 by auto
  finally have inner-prod v (A *_v v) ≥ 0.
}
note geq0 = this
from dimA geq0 show positive A using positive-def by auto
qed

```

```

lemma positive-iff-decomp:
  assumes dimA: A ∈ carrier-mat n n
  shows positive A ↔ (∃ M ∈ carrier-mat n n. M * adjoint M = A)
proof
  assume pA: positive A
  then show ∃ M ∈ carrier-mat n n. M * adjoint M = A using positive-only-if-decomp
  assms by auto
next
  assume ∃ M ∈ carrier-mat n n. M * adjoint M = A
  then obtain M where M: M * adjoint M = A by auto
  then show positive A using M positive-if-decomp assms by auto
qed

```

```

lemma positive-dim-eq:
  assumes positive A
  shows dim-row A = dim-col A
  using carrier-matD(1)[of A dim-col A dim-col A] assms[unfolded positive-def]
  by simp

```

```

lemma positive-zero:
  positive (0_m n n)
  by (simp add: positive-def zero-mat-def mult-mat-vec-def scalar-prod-def)

```

```

lemma positive-one:
  positive (1_m n)
proof (rule positive-if-decomp)
  show 1_m n ∈ carrier-mat n n by auto
  have adjoint (1_m n) = 1_m n using hermitian-one hermitian-def by auto
  then have 1_m n * adjoint (1_m n) = 1_m n by auto
  then show ∃ M. M * adjoint M = 1_m n by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma positive-antisym:
  assumes pA: positive A and pnA: positive (-A)
  shows A = 0_m (dim-col A) (dim-col A)
proof -

```

```

define  $n$  where  $n = \text{dim-col } A$ 
from  $pA$  have  $\text{dim}A: A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$  and  $\text{dim}nA: -A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ 
  using  $\text{positive-def } n\text{-def}$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
from  $pA$  have  $hA: \text{hermitian } A$  using  $\text{positive-is-hermitian}$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
obtain  $es$  where  $es: \text{char-poly } A = (\prod (e :: \text{complex}) \leftarrow es. [- e, 1:])$ 
  using  $\text{complex-mat-char-poly-factorizable } \text{dim}A$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
obtain  $B \ P \ Q$  where  $\text{schur}: \text{unitary-schur-decomposition } A \ es = (B, P, Q)$ 
  by  $(\text{cases } \text{unitary-schur-decomposition } A \ es, \text{auto})$ 
then have  $\text{similar-mat-wit } A \ B \ P \ (\text{adjoint } P) \wedge \text{diagonal-mat } B \wedge \text{unitary } P$ 
  using  $\text{hermitian-eigenvalue-real } \text{dim}A \ es \ hA$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
then have  $A: A = P * B * (\text{adjoint } P)$  and  $dB: \text{diagonal-mat } B$  and  $uP: \text{unitary } P$ 
  and  $\text{dim}B: B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$  and  $\text{dim}nB: -B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ 
  and  $\text{dim}P: P \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$  and  $\text{dim}aP: \text{adjoint } P \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ 
  unfolding  $\text{similar-mat-wit-def } \text{Let-def}$  using  $\text{dim}A$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
from  $es \ \text{schur}$  have  $\text{geq}0: \bigwedge i. i < n \implies B\$\$(i, i) \geq 0$  using  $\text{positive-eigenvalue-positive}$ 
 $\text{dim}A \ pA$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
from  $A$  have  $nA: -A = P * (-B) * (\text{adjoint } P)$  using  $\text{mult-smult-assoc-mat}$ 
 $\text{dim}B \ \text{dim}P \ \text{dim}aP$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
from  $dB$  have  $dnB: \text{diagonal-mat } (-B)$  by  $(\text{simp } \text{add}: \text{diagonal-mat-def})$ 
  {
    fix  $i$  assume  $i: i < n$ 
    define  $v$  where  $v = \text{col } P \ i$ 
    then have  $\text{dim}v: v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$  using  $v\text{-def } \text{dim}P$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
    have  $\text{inner-prod } v \ ((-A) *_v v) = (-B)\$(i, i)$  unfolding  $nA \ v\text{-def}$ 
      using  $\text{spectral-decomposition-extract-diag}[OF \ \text{dim}P \ \text{dim}nB \ uP \ dnB \ i]$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
    moreover have  $\text{inner-prod } v \ ((-A) *_v v) \geq 0$  using  $\text{dim}v \ pnA \ \text{dim}nA \ \text{positive-def}$ 
      by  $\text{auto}$ 
    ultimately have  $B\$(i, i) \leq 0$  using  $\text{dim}B \ i$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
    moreover have  $B\$(i, i) \geq 0$  using  $i \ \text{geq}0$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
    ultimately have  $B\$(i, i) = 0$ 
      by  $(\text{metis } \text{antisym})$ 
  }
then have  $B = 0_m \ n \ n$  using  $\text{dim}B \ dB[\text{unfolded } \text{diagonal-mat-def}]$ 
  by  $(\text{subst } \text{eq-matI}, \text{auto})$ 
then show  $A = 0_m \ n \ n$  using  $A \ \text{dim}B \ \text{dim}P \ \text{dim}aP$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
qed

```

lemma positive-add :

```

assumes  $pA: \text{positive } A$  and  $pB: \text{positive } B$ 
  and  $\text{dim}A: A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$  and  $\text{dim}B: B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ 
shows  $\text{positive } (A + B)$ 
unfolding  $\text{positive-def}$ 
proof
  have  $\text{dim}ApB: A + B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$  using  $\text{dim}A \ \text{dim}B$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
  then show  $A + B \in \text{carrier-mat } (\text{dim-col } (A + B)) \ (\text{dim-col } (A + B))$  using
 $\text{carrier-matD}[of \ A+B]$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
  {
    fix  $v$  assume  $\text{dim}v: (v::\text{complex } \text{vec}) \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ 

```

```

    have 1: inner-prod v (A *_v v) ≥ 0 using dimv pA[unfolded positive-def] dimA
  by auto
    have 2: inner-prod v (B *_v v) ≥ 0 using dimv pB[unfolded positive-def] dimB
  by auto
    have inner-prod v ((A + B) *_v v) = inner-prod v (A *_v v) + inner-prod v (B
*_v v)
    using dimA dimB dimv by (simp add: add-mult-distrib-mat-vec inner-prod-distrib-right)

    also have ... ≥ 0 using 1 2 by auto
    finally have inner-prod v ((A + B) *_v v) ≥ 0.
  }
  note geq0 = this
  then have  $\bigwedge v. \dim\text{-vec } v = n \implies 0 \leq \text{inner-prod } v ((A + B) *_v v)$  by auto
  then show  $\forall v. \dim\text{-vec } v = \dim\text{-col } (A + B) \longrightarrow 0 \leq \text{inner-prod } v ((A + B)
*_v v)$  using dimApB by auto
qed

```

lemma positive-trace:

```

  assumes A ∈ carrier-mat n n and positive A
  shows trace A ≥ 0
  using assms positive-iff-decomp trace-adjoint-positive by auto

```

lemma positive-close-under-left-right-mult-adjoint:

```

  fixes M A :: complex mat
  assumes dM: M ∈ carrier-mat n n and dA: A ∈ carrier-mat n n
    and pA: positive A
  shows positive (M * A * adjoint M)
  unfolding positive-def
proof (rule, simp add: mult-carrier-mat[OF mult-carrier-mat[OF dM dA] adjoint-dim[OF
dM]] carrier-matD[OF dM], rule, rule)
  have daM: adjoint M ∈ carrier-mat n n using dM by auto
  fix v::complex vec assume dim-vec v = dim-col (M * A * adjoint M)
  then have dv: v ∈ carrier-vec n using assms by auto
  then have adjoint M *_v v ∈ carrier-vec n using daM by auto
  have assoc: M * A * adjoint M *_v v = M *_v (A *_v (adjoint M *_v v))
    using dA dM daM dv by (auto simp add: assoc-mult-mat-vec[of - n n - n])
  have inner-prod v (M * A * adjoint M *_v v) = inner-prod (adjoint M *_v v) (A
*_v (adjoint M *_v v))
    apply (subst assoc)
    apply (subst adjoint-def-alter[where ?A = M])
    by (auto simp add: dv dA daM dM carrier-matD[OF dM] mult-mat-vec-carrier[of
- n n])
  also have ... ≥ 0 using dA dv daM pA positive-def by auto
  finally show inner-prod v (M * A * adjoint M *_v v) ≥ 0 by auto
qed

```

lemma positive-same-outer-prod:

```

  fixes v w :: complex vec
  assumes v: v ∈ carrier-vec n

```

shows *positive (outer-prod v v)*
proof –
have $d1: \text{adjoint } (\text{mat } (\text{dim-vec } v) \ 1 \ (\lambda(i, j). \ v \ \$ \ i)) \in \text{carrier-mat } 1 \ n$ **using**
assms by auto
have $d2: \text{mat } 1 \ (\text{dim-vec } v) \ (\lambda(i, y). \ \text{conjugate } v \ \$ \ y) \in \text{carrier-mat } 1 \ n$ **using**
assms by auto
have $dv: \text{dim-vec } v = n$ **using** *assms by auto*
have $\text{mat } 1 \ (\text{dim-vec } v) \ (\lambda(i, y). \ \text{conjugate } v \ \$ \ y) = \text{adjoint } (\text{mat } (\text{dim-vec } v) \ 1 \ (\lambda(i, j). \ v \ \$ \ i))$ **(is ?r = adjoint ?l)**
apply *(rule eq-matI)*
subgoal for $i \ j$ **by** *(simp add: dv adjoint-eval)*
using $d1 \ d2$ **by** *auto*
then have $\text{outer-prod } v \ v = ?l * \text{adjoint } ?l$ **unfolding** *outer-prod-def by auto*
then have $\exists M. M * \text{adjoint } M = \text{outer-prod } v \ v$ **by** *auto*
then show $\text{positive } (\text{outer-prod } v \ v)$ **using** *positive-if-decomp[OF outer-prod-dim[OF v v]] by auto*
qed

lemma *smult-smult-mat:*
fixes $k :: \text{complex}$ **and** $l :: \text{complex}$
assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } nr \ n$
shows $k \cdot_m (l \cdot_m A) = (k * l) \cdot_m A$ **by** *auto*

lemma *positive-smult:*
assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
and *positive A*
and $c \geq 0$
shows $\text{positive } (c \cdot_m A)$

proof –
have $sc: \text{csqrt } c \geq 0$ **using** *assms(3) by (fastforce simp: less-eq-complex-def)*
obtain M **where** $\text{dim}M: M \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** $A: M * \text{adjoint } M = A$
using *assms(1-2) positive-iff-decomp by auto*
have $c \cdot_m A = c \cdot_m (M * \text{adjoint } M)$ **using** *A by auto*
have $\text{ccsq}: \text{conjugate } (\text{csqrt } c) = (\text{csqrt } c)$ **using** sc *Reals-cnj-iff[of csqrt c] complex-is-Real-iff*
by *(auto simp: less-eq-complex-def)*
have $MM: (M * \text{adjoint } M) \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **using** *A assms by fastforce*
have $\text{leftd}: c \cdot_m (M * \text{adjoint } M) \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **using** *A assms by fastforce*
have $\text{rightd}: (\text{csqrt } c \cdot_m M) * (\text{adjoint } (\text{csqrt } c \cdot_m M)) \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **using**
A assms by fastforce
have $(\text{csqrt } c \cdot_m M) * (\text{adjoint } (\text{csqrt } c \cdot_m M)) = (\text{csqrt } c \cdot_m M) * ((\text{conjugate } (\text{csqrt } c)) \cdot_m \text{adjoint } M)$
using *adjoint-scale assms(1) by (metis adjoint-scale)*
also have $\dots = (\text{csqrt } c \cdot_m M) * (\text{csqrt } c \cdot_m \text{adjoint } M)$ **using** sc *ccsq by fastforce*
also have $\dots = \text{csqrt } c \cdot_m (M * (\text{csqrt } c \cdot_m \text{adjoint } M))$
using *mult-smult-assoc-mat index-smult-mat(2,3) by fastforce*
also have $\dots = \text{csqrt } c \cdot_m ((\text{csqrt } c) \cdot_m (M * \text{adjoint } M))$
using *mult-smult-distrib by fastforce*

also have $\dots = c \cdot_m (M * \text{adjoint } M)$
using *smult-smult-mat*[of $M * \text{adjoint } M$ n n (*csqrt* c) (*csqrt* c)] *MM sc*
by (*metis power2-csqrt power2-eq-square*)
also have $\dots = c \cdot_m A$ **using** A **by** *auto*
finally have $(\text{csqrt } c \cdot_m M) * (\text{adjoint } (\text{csqrt } c \cdot_m M)) = c \cdot_m A$ **by** *auto*
moreover have $c \cdot_m A \in \text{carrier-mat } n$ n **using** *assms(1)* **by** *auto*
moreover have $\text{csqrt } c \cdot_m M \in \text{carrier-mat } n$ n **using** *dimM* **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *positive-iff-decomp* **by** *auto*
qed

Version of previous theorem for real numbers

lemma *positive-scale*:
fixes $c :: \text{real}$
assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n$ n
and *positive* A
and $c \geq 0$
shows *positive* $(c \cdot_m A)$
apply (*rule positive-smult*) **using** *assms* **by** (*auto simp: less-eq-complex-def*)

1.13 Löwner partial order

definition *lowner-le* :: *complex mat* \Rightarrow *complex mat* \Rightarrow *bool* (**infix** $\langle \leq_L \rangle$ 50)
where

$A \leq_L B \iff \text{dim-row } A = \text{dim-row } B \wedge \text{dim-col } A = \text{dim-col } B \wedge \text{positive } (B - A)$

lemma *lowner-le-refl*:
assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n$ n
shows $A \leq_L A$
unfolding *lowner-le-def*
apply (*simp add: minus-r-inv-mat*[*OF assms*])
by (*rule positive-zero*)

lemma *lowner-le-antisym*:
assumes $A: A \in \text{carrier-mat } n$ n **and** $B: B \in \text{carrier-mat } n$ n
and $L1: A \leq_L B$ **and** $L2: B \leq_L A$
shows $A = B$

proof –

from $L1$ **have** $P1: \text{positive } (B - A)$ **by** (*simp add: lowner-le-def*)
from $L2$ **have** $P2: \text{positive } (A - B)$ **by** (*simp add: lowner-le-def*)
have $A - B = - (B - A)$ **using** A B **by** *auto*
then have $P3: \text{positive } (- (B - A))$ **using** $P2$ **by** *auto*
have $BA: B - A \in \text{carrier-mat } n$ n **using** A B **by** *auto*
have $B - A = 0_m$ n n **using** BA **by** (*subst positive-antisym*[*OF P1 P3*], *auto*)
then have $B + (-A) + A = 0_m$ n $n + A$ **using** A B *minus-add-uminus-mat*[*OF B A*] **by** *auto*
then have $B + (-A + A) = 0_m$ n $n + A$ **using** A B **by** *auto*
then show $A = B$ **using** A B BA *uminus-l-inv-mat*[*OF A*] **by** *auto*
qed

```

lemma lower-le-inner-prod-le:
  fixes  $A B :: \text{complex mat}$  and  $v :: \text{complex vec}$ 
  assumes  $A: A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$  and  $B: B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ 
    and  $v: v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
    and  $A \leq_L B$ 
  shows  $\text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v) \leq \text{inner-prod } v (B *_v v)$ 
proof -
  from assms have positive  $(B-A)$  by (auto simp add: lower-le-def)
  with assms have geq:  $\text{inner-prod } v ((B-A) *_v v) \geq 0$ 
    unfolding positive-def by auto
  have  $\text{inner-prod } v ((B-A) *_v v) = \text{inner-prod } v (B *_v v) - \text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v)$ 
  unfolding minus-add-uminus-mat[OF B A]
    by (subst add-mult-distrib-mat-vec[OF B - v], insert A B v, auto simp add: inner-prod-distrib-right[OF v])
  then show ?thesis using geq by auto
qed

lemma lower-le-trans:
  fixes  $A B C :: \text{complex mat}$ 
  assumes  $A: A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$  and  $B: B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$  and  $C: C \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ 
    and  $L1: A \leq_L B$  and  $L2: B \leq_L C$ 
  shows  $A \leq_L C$ 
  unfolding lower-le-def
proof (auto simp add: carrier-matD[OF A] carrier-matD[OF C])
  have  $\text{dim}: C - A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$  using  $A C$  by auto
  {
    fix  $v$  assume  $v: (v::\text{complex vec}) \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
    from  $L1$  have  $\text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v) \leq \text{inner-prod } v (B *_v v)$  using lower-le-inner-prod-le
     $A B v$  by auto
    also from  $L2$  have  $\dots \leq \text{inner-prod } v (C *_v v)$  using lower-le-inner-prod-le
     $B C v$  by auto
    finally have  $\text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v) \leq \text{inner-prod } v (C *_v v)$ .
    then have  $\text{inner-prod } v (C *_v v) - \text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v) \geq 0$  by auto
    then have  $\text{inner-prod } v ((C - A) *_v v) \geq 0$  using  $A C v$ 
      apply (subst minus-add-uminus-mat[OF C A])
      apply (subst add-mult-distrib-mat-vec[OF C - v], simp)
      apply (simp add: inner-prod-distrib-right[OF v])
      done
    }
  note  $\text{leq} = \text{this}$ 
  show positive  $(C - A)$  unfolding positive-def
    apply (rule, simp add: carrier-matD[OF A] dim)
    apply (subst carrier-matD[OF dim], insert leq, auto)
    done
qed

lemma lower-le-imp-trace-le:

```

assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** $B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
and $A \leq_L B$
shows $\text{trace } A \leq \text{trace } B$
proof –
have $\text{positive } (B - A)$ **using** *assms lowner-le-def* **by** *auto*
moreover $B - A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
ultimately $\text{trace } (B - A) \geq 0$ **using** *positive-trace* **by** *auto*
moreover $\text{trace } (B - A) = \text{trace } B - \text{trace } A$ **using** *trace-minus-linear*
assms **by** *auto*
ultimately $\text{trace } B - \text{trace } A \geq 0$ **by** *auto*
then show $\text{trace } A \leq \text{trace } B$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *lowner-le-add*:

assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ $B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ $C \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ $D \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
and $A \leq_L B$ $C \leq_L D$
shows $A + C \leq_L B + D$
proof –
have $B + D - (A + C) = B - A + (D - C)$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
then have $\text{positive } (B + D - (A + C))$ **using** *assms* **unfolding** *lowner-le-def*
using *positive-add*
by (*metis minus-carrier-mat*)
then show $A + C \leq_L B + D$ **unfolding** *lowner-le-def* **using** *assms* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *lowner-le-swap*:

assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ $B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
and $A \leq_L B$
shows $-B \leq_L -A$
proof –
have $\text{positive } (B - A)$ **using** *assms lowner-le-def* **by** *fastforce*
moreover $B - A = (-A) - (-B)$ **using** *assms* **by** *fastforce*
ultimately $\text{positive } ((-A) - (-B))$ **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **using** *lowner-le-def* *assms* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *lowner-le-minus*:

assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ $B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ $C \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ $D \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
and $A \leq_L B$ $C \leq_L D$
shows $A - D \leq_L B - C$
proof –
have $\text{positive } (D - C)$ **using** *assms lowner-le-def* **by** *auto*
then have $-D \leq_L -C$ **using** *lowner-le-swap* *assms* **by** *auto*
then have $A + (-D) \leq_L B + (-C)$ **using** *lowner-le-add*[*of A n B*] *assms* **by** *auto*
moreover $A + (-D) = A - D$ **and** $B + (-C) = B - C$ **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *outer-prod-le-one*:

assumes $v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$

and $\text{inner-prod } v \ v \leq 1$

shows $\text{outer-prod } v \ v \leq_L 1_m \ n$

proof –

let $?o = \text{outer-prod } v \ v$

have $do: ?o \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

{

fix $u :: \text{complex vec}$ **assume** $\text{dim-vec } u = n$

then have $du: u \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ **by** *auto*

have $r: \text{inner-prod } u \ u \in \text{Reals}$ **apply** (*simp add: scalar-prod-def carrier-vecD[OF du]*)

using *complex-In-mult-cnj-zero complex-is-Real-iff* **by** *blast*

have $geq0: \text{inner-prod } u \ u \geq 0$

using *self-cscalar-prod-geq-0* **by** *auto*

have $\text{inner-prod } u \ (?o *_{\mathbb{C}} u) = \text{inner-prod } u \ v * \text{inner-prod } v \ u$

apply (*subst inner-prod-outer-prod*)

using *du assms* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots \leq \text{inner-prod } u \ u * \text{inner-prod } v \ v$ **using** *Cauchy-Schwarz-complex-vec*
du assms **by** *auto*

also have $\dots \leq \text{inner-prod } u \ u$ **using** *assms(2) r geq0*

by (*simp add: mult-right-le-one-le less-eq-complex-def*)

finally have $le: \text{inner-prod } u \ (?o *_{\mathbb{C}} u) \leq \text{inner-prod } u \ u.$

have $\text{inner-prod } u \ ((1_m \ n - ?o) *_{\mathbb{C}} u) = \text{inner-prod } u \ ((1_m \ n *_{\mathbb{C}} u) - ?o *_{\mathbb{C}} u)$

apply (*subst minus-mult-distrib-mat-vec*) **using** *do du* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = \text{inner-prod } u \ u - \text{inner-prod } u \ (?o *_{\mathbb{C}} u)$

apply (*subst inner-prod-minus-distrib-right*)

using *du do* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots \geq 0$ **using** *le* **by** *auto*

finally have $\text{inner-prod } u \ ((1_m \ n - ?o) *_{\mathbb{C}} u) \geq 0$ **by** *auto*

}

then have *positive* $(1_m \ n - \text{outer-prod } v \ v)$

unfolding *positive-def* **using** *do* **by** *auto*

then show *thesis* **unfolding** *lower-le-def* **using** *do* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *zero-lowner-le-positiveD*:

fixes $A :: \text{complex mat}$

assumes $dA: A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** $le: 0_m \ n \ n \leq_L A$

shows *positive* A

using *assms* **unfolding** *lower-le-def* **by** (*subgoal-tac A - 0_m n n = A, auto*)

lemma *zero-lowner-le-positiveI*:

fixes $A :: \text{complex mat}$

assumes $dA: A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** $le: \text{positive } A$
shows $0_m \ n \ n \leq_L A$
using *assms* **unfolding** *lower-le-def* **by** (*subgoal-tac* $A - 0_m \ n \ n = A$, *auto*)

lemma *lower-le-trans-positiveI*:

fixes $A \ B :: \text{complex mat}$

assumes $dA: A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** $pA: \text{positive } A$ **and** $le: A \leq_L B$

shows *positive* B

proof –

have $dB: B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **using** $le \ dA$ *lower-le-def* **by** *auto*

have $0_m \ n \ n \leq_L A$ **using** *zero-lower-le-positiveI* $dA \ pA$ **by** *auto*

then have $0_m \ n \ n \leq_L B$ **using** $dA \ dB \ le$ **by** (*simp add: lower-le-trans*[*of - n A B*])

then show *?thesis* **using** dB *zero-lower-le-positiveD* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *lower-le-keep-under-measurement*:

fixes $M \ A \ B :: \text{complex mat}$

assumes $dM: M \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** $dA: A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** $dB: B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$

and $le: A \leq_L B$

shows *adjoint* $M * A * M \leq_L \text{adjoint } M * B * M$

unfolding *lower-le-def*

proof (*rule conjI*, *fastforce*)+

have $daM: \text{adjoint } M \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **using** dM **by** *auto*

have $dBmA: B - A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **using** $dB \ dA$ **by** *fastforce*

have *positive* $(B - A)$ **using** le *lower-le-def* **by** *auto*

then have $p: \text{positive } (\text{adjoint } M * (B - A) * M)$

using *positive-close-under-left-right-mult-adjoint*[*OF daM dBmA*] *adjoint-adjoint*[*of M*] **by** *auto*

moreover have $e: \text{adjoint } M * (B - A) * M = \text{adjoint } M * B * M - \text{adjoint } M * A * M$ **using** $dM \ dB \ dA$ **by** (*mat-assoc* n)

ultimately show *positive* $(\text{adjoint } M * B * M - \text{adjoint } M * A * M)$ **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *smult-distrib-left-minus-mat*:

fixes $A \ B :: 'a::\text{comm-ring-1 mat}$

assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ $B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$

shows $c \cdot_m (B - A) = c \cdot_m B - c \cdot_m A$

using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: minus-add-uminus-mat add-smult-distrib-left-mat*)

lemma *lower-le-smultc*:

fixes $c :: \text{complex}$

assumes $c \geq 0$ $A \leq_L B$ $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ $B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$

shows $c \cdot_m A \leq_L c \cdot_m B$

proof –

have $eqBA: c \cdot_m (B - A) = c \cdot_m B - c \cdot_m A$

using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: smult-distrib-left-minus-mat*)

have $positive (B - A)$ **using** $assms(2)$ **unfolding** $lower-le-def$ **by** $auto$
then have $positive (c \cdot_m (B - A))$ **using** $positive-smult[of B-A n c]$ $assms$ **by**
 $fastforce$
moreover have $c \cdot_m A \in carrier-mat\ n\ n$ **using** $index-smult-mat(2,3)$ $assms(3)$
by $auto$
moreover have $c \cdot_m B \in carrier-mat\ n\ n$ **using** $index-smult-mat(2,3)$ $assms(4)$
by $auto$
ultimately show $?thesis$ **unfolding** $lower-le-def$ **using** $eqBA$ **by** $fastforce$
qed

lemma $lower-le-smult$:

fixes $c :: real$
assumes $c \geq 0$ $A \leq_L B$ $A \in carrier-mat\ n\ n$ $B \in carrier-mat\ n\ n$
shows $c \cdot_m A \leq_L c \cdot_m B$
apply $(rule\ lower-le-smultc)$ **using** $assms$ **by** $(auto\ simp:\ less-eq-complex-def)$

lemma $minus-smult-vec-distrib$:

fixes $w :: 'a::comm-ring-1\ vec$
shows $(a - b) \cdot_v w = a \cdot_v w - b \cdot_v w$
apply $(rule\ eq-vecI)$
by $(auto\ simp\ add:\ scalar-prod-def\ algebra-simps)$

lemma $smult-mat-mult-mat-vec-assoc$:

fixes $A :: 'a::comm-ring-1\ mat$
assumes $A: A \in carrier-mat\ n\ m$ **and** $w: w \in carrier-vec\ m$
shows $a \cdot_m A *_v w = a \cdot_v (A *_v w)$
apply $(rule\ eq-vecI)$
apply $(simp\ add:\ scalar-prod-def\ carrier-matD[OF\ A]\ carrier-vecD[OF\ w])$
apply $(subst\ sum-distrib-left)$ **apply** $(rule\ sum.cong,\ simp)$
by $auto$

lemma $mult-mat-vec-smult-vec-assoc$:

fixes $A :: 'a::comm-ring-1\ mat$
assumes $A: A \in carrier-mat\ n\ m$ **and** $w: w \in carrier-vec\ m$
shows $A *_v (a \cdot_v w) = a \cdot_v (A *_v w)$
apply $(rule\ eq-vecI)$
apply $(simp\ add:\ scalar-prod-def\ carrier-matD[OF\ A]\ carrier-vecD[OF\ w])$
apply $(subst\ sum-distrib-left)$ **apply** $(rule\ sum.cong,\ simp)$
by $auto$

lemma $outer-prod-left-right-mat$:

fixes $A\ B :: complex\ mat$
assumes $du: u \in carrier-vec\ d2$ **and** $dv: v \in carrier-vec\ d3$
and $dA: A \in carrier-mat\ d1\ d2$ **and** $dB: B \in carrier-mat\ d3\ d4$
shows $A * (outer-prod\ u\ v) * B = (outer-prod\ (A *_v u)\ (adjoint\ B *_v v))$
unfolding $outer-prod-def$

proof –

have $eq1: A * (mat\ (dim-vec\ u)\ 1\ (\lambda(i, j). u \$ i)) = mat\ (dim-vec\ (A *_v u))\ 1$
 $(\lambda(i, j). (A *_v u) \$ i)$

apply (rule eq-matI)
by (auto simp add: dA du scalar-prod-def)
have conj: conjugate a * b = conjugate ((a::complex) * conjugate b) **for** a b **by**
auto
have eq2: mat 1 (dim-vec v) (λ(i, y). conjugate v \$ y) * B = mat 1 (dim-vec
(adjoint B *_v v) (λ(i, y). conjugate (adjoint B *_v v) \$ y))
apply (rule eq-matI)
apply (auto simp add: carrier-matD[OF dB] carrier-vecD[OF dv] scalar-prod-def
adjoint-def conjugate-vec-def sum-conjugate)
apply (rule sum.cong)
by (auto simp add: conj)
have A * (mat (dim-vec u) 1 (λ(i, j). u \$ i) * mat 1 (dim-vec v) (λ(i, y).
conjugate v \$ y)) * B =
(A * (mat (dim-vec u) 1 (λ(i, j). u \$ i))) *(mat 1 (dim-vec v) (λ(i, y).
conjugate v \$ y)) * B
using dA du dv dB assoc-mult-mat[OF dA, of mat (dim-vec u) 1 (λ(i, j). u \$
i) 1 mat 1 (dim-vec v) (λ(i, y). conjugate v \$ y)] **by** fastforce
also have ... = (A * (mat (dim-vec u) 1 (λ(i, j). u \$ i))) *((mat 1 (dim-vec v)
(λ(i, y). conjugate v \$ y)) * B)
using dA du dv dB assoc-mult-mat[OF - - dB, of (A * (mat (dim-vec u) 1 (λ(i,
j). u \$ i))) d1 1] **by** fastforce
finally show A * (mat (dim-vec u) 1 (λ(i, j). u \$ i) * mat 1 (dim-vec v) (λ(i,
y). conjugate v \$ y)) * B =
mat (dim-vec (A *_v u)) 1 (λ(i, j). (A *_v u) \$ i) * mat 1 (dim-vec (adjoint B
*_v v) (λ(i, y). conjugate (adjoint B *_v v) \$ y))
using eq1 eq2 **by** auto
qed

1.14 Density operators

definition density-operator :: complex mat ⇒ bool **where**
density-operator A ⇔ positive A ∧ trace A = 1

definition partial-density-operator :: complex mat ⇒ bool **where**
partial-density-operator A ⇔ positive A ∧ trace A ≤ 1

lemma pure-state-self-outer-prod-is-partial-density-operator:

fixes v :: complex vec

assumes dimv: v ∈ carrier-vec n **and** nv: vec-norm v = 1

shows partial-density-operator (outer-prod v v)

unfolding partial-density-operator-def

proof

have dimov: outer-prod v v ∈ carrier-mat n n **using** dimv **by** auto

show positive (outer-prod v v) **unfolding** positive-def

proof (rule, simp add: carrier-matD(2)[OF dimov] dimov, rule allI, rule impI)

fix w **assume** dim-vec (w::complex vec) = dim-col (outer-prod v v)

then have dimw: w ∈ carrier-vec n **using** dimov carrier-vecI **by** auto

then have inner-prod w ((outer-prod v v) *_v w) = inner-prod w v * inner-prod
v w

```

    using inner-prod-outer-prod dimw dimv by auto
  also have ... = inner-prod w v * conjugate (inner-prod w v) using dimw dimv
  apply (subst conjugate-scalar-prod[of v conjugate w], simp)
  apply (subst conjugate-vec-sprod-comm[of conjugate v - conjugate w], auto)
  apply (rule carrier-vec-conjugate[OF dimv])
  apply (rule carrier-vec-conjugate[OF dimw])
  done
  also have ... ≥ 0 by (auto simp: less-eq-complex-def)
  finally show inner-prod w ((outer-prod v v) *v w) ≥ 0.
qed
have eq: trace (outer-prod v v) = (∑ i=0..

```

lemma *lower-le-trace*:

```

  assumes A: A ∈ carrier-mat n n
  and B: B ∈ carrier-mat n n
  shows A ≤L B ↔ (∀ ρ ∈ carrier-mat n n. partial-density-operator ρ → trace
(A * ρ) ≤ trace (B * ρ))
proof (rule iffI)
  have dimBmA: B - A ∈ carrier-mat n n using A B by auto
  {
    assume A ≤L B
    then have pBmA: positive (B - A) using lower-le-def by auto
    moreover have B - A ∈ carrier-mat n n using assms by auto
    ultimately have ∃ M ∈ carrier-mat n n. M * adjoint M = B - A using
positive-iff-decomp[of B - A] by auto
    then obtain M where dimM: M ∈ carrier-mat n n and M: M * adjoint M
= B - A by auto
    {
      fix ρ assume dimr: ρ ∈ carrier-mat n n and pdr: partial-density-operator ρ
      have eq: trace(B * ρ) - trace(A * ρ) = trace((B - A) * ρ) using A B dimr
      apply (subst minus-mult-distrib-mat, auto)
      apply (subst trace-minus-linear, auto)
      done
      have pr: positive ρ using pdr partial-density-operator-def by auto
      then have ∃ P ∈ carrier-mat n n. ρ = P * adjoint P using positive-iff-decomp
dimr by auto
      then obtain P where dimP: P ∈ carrier-mat n n and P: ρ = P * adjoint
P by auto
    }
  }

```

```

    have trace((B - A) * ρ) = trace(M * adjoint M * (P * adjoint P)) using P
  M by auto
    also have ... = trace((adjoint P * M) * adjoint (adjoint P * M)) using
dimM dimP by (mat-assoc n)
    also have ... ≥ 0 using trace-adjoint-positive by auto
    finally have trace((B - A) * ρ) ≥ 0.
    with eq have trace (B * ρ) - trace (A * ρ) ≥ 0 by auto
  }
  then show ∀ ρ ∈ carrier-mat n n. partial-density-operator ρ → trace (A * ρ)
≤ trace (B * ρ) by auto
}

{
  assume asm: ∀ ρ ∈ carrier-mat n n. partial-density-operator ρ → trace (A * ρ)
≤ trace (B * ρ)
  have positive (B - A)
  proof -
    {
      fix v assume dim-vec (v::complex vec) = dim-col (B - A) ∧ vec-norm v =
1
      then have dimv: v ∈ carrier-vec n and nv: vec-norm v = 1
        using carrier-matD[OF dimBmA] by (auto intro: carrier-vecI)
      have dimov: outer-prod v v ∈ carrier-mat n n using dimv by auto
      then have partial-density-operator (outer-prod v v)
        using dimv nv pure-state-self-outer-prod-is-partial-density-operator by auto
      then have leq: trace(A * (outer-prod v v)) ≤ trace(B * (outer-prod v v))
using asm dimov by auto
      have trace((B - A) * (outer-prod v v)) = trace(B * (outer-prod v v)) -
trace(A * (outer-prod v v)) using A B dimov
      apply (subst minus-mult-distrib-mat, auto)
      apply (subst trace-minus-linear, auto)
      done
      then have trace((B - A) * (outer-prod v v)) ≥ 0 using leq by auto
      then have inner-prod v ((B - A) *v v) ≥ 0 using trace-outer-prod-right[OF
dimBmA dimv dimv] by auto
    }
    then show positive (B - A) using positive-iff-normalized-vec[of B - A]
dimBmA A by simp
  qed
  then show A ≤L B using lower-le-def A B by auto
}
qed

```

lemma *lower-le-traceI*:

```

  assumes A ∈ carrier-mat n n
    and B ∈ carrier-mat n n
    and ∧ ρ. ρ ∈ carrier-mat n n ⇒ partial-density-operator ρ ⇒ trace (A * ρ)
≤ trace (B * ρ)
  shows A ≤L B

```

using *lower-le-trace assms* by *auto*

lemma *trace-pdo-eq-imp-eq*:

assumes $A: A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$

and $B: B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$

and $\text{teq}: \bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho \implies \text{trace } (A * \varrho) = \text{trace } (B * \varrho)$

shows $A = B$

proof –

from teq have $A \leq_L B$ using *lower-le-trace[OF A B]* teq by *auto*

moreover from teq have $B \leq_L A$ using *lower-le-trace[OF B A]* teq by *auto*

ultimately show $A = B$ using *lower-le-antisym A B* by *auto*

qed

lemma *lower-le-traceD*:

assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ $B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$

and $A \leq_L B$

and *partial-density-operator* ϱ

shows $\text{trace } (A * \varrho) \leq \text{trace } (B * \varrho)$

using *lower-le-trace assms* by *blast*

lemma *sum-only-one-neq-0*:

assumes *finite* A and $j \in A$ and $\bigwedge i. i \in A \implies i \neq j \implies g \ i = 0$

shows $\text{sum } g \ A = g \ j$

proof –

have $\{j\} \subseteq A$ using *assms* by *auto*

moreover have $\forall i \in A - \{j\}. g \ i = 0$ using *assms* by *simp*

ultimately have $\text{sum } g \ A = \text{sum } g \ \{j\}$ using *assms*

by (*auto simp add: comm-monoid-add-class.sum.mono-neutral-right[of A {j} g]*)

moreover have $\text{sum } g \ \{j\} = g \ j$ by *simp*

ultimately show *?thesis* by *auto*

qed

end

2 Matrix limits

theory *Matrix-Limit*

imports *Complex-Matrix*

begin

2.1 Definition of limit of matrices

definition *limit-mat* :: $(\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}) \Rightarrow \text{complex mat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{limit-mat } X \ A \ m \longleftrightarrow (\forall n. X \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m \wedge A \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m \wedge$
 $(\forall i < m. \forall j < m. (\lambda n. (X \ n) \ \text{\$} \$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow (A \ \text{\$} \$ (i, j))))$

lemma *limit-mat-unique*:

assumes $\text{lim}A$: *limit-mat* $X A m$ **and** $\text{lim}B$: *limit-mat* $X B m$
shows $A = B$
proof –
have dim : $A \in \text{carrier-mat } m m B \in \text{carrier-mat } m m$ **using** $\text{lim}A \text{ lim}B \text{ limit-mat-def}$
by *auto*
{
fix $i j$ **assume** $i: i < m$ **and** $j: j < m$
have $(\lambda n. (X n) \text{ $$ } (i, j)) \longrightarrow (A \text{ $$ } (i, j))$ **using** $\text{limit-mat-def } \text{lim}A i j$
by *auto*
moreover **have** $(\lambda n. (X n) \text{ $$ } (i, j)) \longrightarrow (B \text{ $$ } (i, j))$ **using** $\text{limit-mat-def } \text{lim}B i j$ **by** *auto*
ultimately **have** $(A \text{ $$ } (i, j)) = (B \text{ $$ } (i, j))$ **using** LIMSEQ-unique **by** *auto*
}
then show $A = B$ **using** $\text{mat-eq-iff } \text{dim}$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *limit-mat-const*:
fixes $A :: \text{complex mat}$
assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } m m$
shows $\text{limit-mat } (\lambda k. A) A m$
unfolding limit-mat-def **using** assms **by** *auto*

lemma *limit-mat-scale*:
fixes $X :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ **and** $A :: \text{complex mat}$
assumes $\text{lim}X$: *limit-mat* $X A m$
shows $\text{limit-mat } (\lambda n. c \cdot_m X n) (c \cdot_m A) m$
proof –
have $\text{dim}A$: $A \in \text{carrier-mat } m m$ **using** $\text{lim}X \text{ limit-mat-def}$ **by** *auto*
have $\text{dim}X$: $\bigwedge n. X n \in \text{carrier-mat } m m$ **using** $\text{lim}X$ **unfolding** limit-mat-def
by *auto*
have $\bigwedge i j. i < m \implies j < m \implies (\lambda n. (c \cdot_m X n) \text{ $$ } (i, j)) \longrightarrow (c \cdot_m A) \text{ $$ } (i, j)$
proof –
fix $i j$ **assume** $i: i < m$ **and** $j: j < m$
have $(\lambda n. (X n) \text{ $$ } (i, j)) \longrightarrow A \text{ $$ } (i, j)$ **using** $\text{lim}X \text{ limit-mat-def } i j$ **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $(\lambda n. c) \longrightarrow c$ **by** *auto*
ultimately **have** $(\lambda n. c * (X n) \text{ $$ } (i, j)) \longrightarrow c * A \text{ $$ } (i, j)$
using $\text{tendsto-mult}[of \lambda n. c c] \text{ lim}X \text{ limit-mat-def}$ **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $(c \cdot_m X n) \text{ $$ } (i, j) = c * (X n) \text{ $$ } (i, j)$ **for** n
using $\text{index-smult-mat}(1)[of i X n j c] i j \text{ dim}X[of n]$ **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $(c \cdot_m A) \text{ $$ } (i, j) = c * A \text{ $$ } (i, j)$
using $\text{index-smult-mat}(1)[of i A j c] i j \text{ dim}A$ **by** *auto*
ultimately **show** $(\lambda n. (c \cdot_m X n) \text{ $$ } (i, j)) \longrightarrow (c \cdot_m A) \text{ $$ } (i, j)$ **by** *auto*
qed
then show thesis **unfolding** limit-mat-def **using** $\text{dim}A \text{ dim}X$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *limit-mat-add*:

fixes $X :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ **and** $Y :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ **and** $A :: \text{complex mat}$
and $m :: \text{nat}$ **and** $B :: \text{complex mat}$
assumes $\text{lim}X: \text{limit-mat } X \ A \ m$ **and** $\text{lim}Y: \text{limit-mat } Y \ B \ m$
shows $\text{limit-mat } (\lambda k. X \ k + Y \ k) \ (A + B) \ m$
proof –
have $\text{dim}A: A \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ **using** $\text{lim}X$ limit-mat-def **by** auto
have $\text{dim}B: B \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ **using** $\text{lim}Y$ limit-mat-def **by** auto
have $\text{dim}X: \bigwedge n. X \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ **using** $\text{lim}X$ **unfolding** limit-mat-def **by** auto
have $\text{dim}Y: \bigwedge n. Y \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ **using** $\text{lim}Y$ **unfolding** limit-mat-def **by** auto
then have $\text{dim}XAB: \forall n. X \ n + Y \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m \wedge A + B \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ **using** $\text{dim}A$ $\text{dim}B$ $\text{dim}X$ $\text{dim}Y$ **by** (simp)

have $(\bigwedge i \ j. i < m \implies j < m \implies (\lambda n. (X \ n + Y \ n) \ \$(i, j)) \longrightarrow (A + B) \ \$(i, j))$
proof –
fix $i \ j$ **assume** $i: i < m$ **and** $j: j < m$
have $(\lambda n. (X \ n) \ \$(i, j)) \longrightarrow A \ \(i, j) **using** $\text{lim}X$ $\text{limit-mat-def } i \ j$ **by** auto
moreover have $(\lambda n. (Y \ n) \ \$(i, j)) \longrightarrow B \ \(i, j) **using** $\text{lim}Y$ $\text{limit-mat-def } i \ j$ **by** auto
ultimately have $(\lambda n. (X \ n) \ \$(i, j) + (Y \ n) \ \$(i, j)) \longrightarrow (A \ \$(i, j) + B \ \$(i, j))$
using $\text{tendsto-add}[of \ \lambda n. (X \ n) \ \$(i, j) \ A \ \$(i, j)]$ **by** auto
moreover have $(X \ n + Y \ n) \ \$(i, j) = (X \ n) \ \$(i, j) + (Y \ n) \ \$(i, j)$ **for** n
using $i \ j$ $\text{dim}X$ $\text{dim}Y$ $\text{index-add-mat}(1)[of \ i \ Y \ n \ j \ X \ n]$ **by** fastforce
moreover have $(A + B) \ \$(i, j) = A \ \$(i, j) + B \ \$(i, j)$
using $i \ j$ $\text{dim}A$ $\text{dim}B$ **by** fastforce
ultimately show $(\lambda n. (X \ n + Y \ n) \ \$(i, j)) \longrightarrow (A + B) \ \(i, j) **by** auto
qed
then show $?thesis$
unfolding limit-mat-def **using** $\text{dim}XAB$ **by** auto
qed

lemma limit-mat-minus :

fixes $X :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ **and** $Y :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ **and** $A :: \text{complex mat}$
and $m :: \text{nat}$ **and** $B :: \text{complex mat}$
assumes $\text{lim}X: \text{limit-mat } X \ A \ m$ **and** $\text{lim}Y: \text{limit-mat } Y \ B \ m$
shows $\text{limit-mat } (\lambda k. X \ k - Y \ k) \ (A - B) \ m$
proof –
have $\text{dim}A: A \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ **using** $\text{lim}X$ limit-mat-def **by** auto
have $\text{dim}B: B \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ **using** $\text{lim}Y$ limit-mat-def **by** auto
have $\text{dim}X: \bigwedge n. X \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ **using** $\text{lim}X$ **unfolding** limit-mat-def **by** auto

have $\dim Y: \bigwedge n. Y\ n \in \text{carrier-mat } m\ m$ **using** $\text{lim}Y$ **unfolding** limit-mat-def
by auto
have $-1 \cdot_m Y\ n = - Y\ n$ **for** n **using** $\dim Y$ **by** auto
moreover **have** $-1 \cdot_m B = - B$ **using** $\dim B$ **by** auto
ultimately **have** $\text{limit-mat } (\lambda n. - Y\ n) (- B)\ m$ **using** $\text{limit-mat-scale}[OF$
 $\text{lim}Y, \text{ of } -1]$ **by** auto
then **have** $\text{limit-mat } (\lambda n. X\ n + (- Y\ n)) (A + (- B))\ m$ **using** limit-mat-add
 $\text{lim}X$ **by** auto
moreover **have** $X\ n + (- Y\ n) = X\ n - Y\ n$ **for** n **using** $\dim X\ \dim Y$ **by** auto
moreover **have** $A + (- B) = A - B$ **by** auto
ultimately **show** $?thesis$ **by** auto
qed

lemma limit-mat-mult :

fixes $X :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ **and** $Y :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ **and** $A :: \text{complex mat}$

and $m :: \text{nat}$ **and** $B :: \text{complex mat}$

assumes $\text{lim}X: \text{limit-mat } X\ A\ m$ **and** $\text{lim}Y: \text{limit-mat } Y\ B\ m$

shows $\text{limit-mat } (\lambda k. X\ k * Y\ k) (A * B)\ m$

proof –

have $\dim A: A \in \text{carrier-mat } m\ m$ **using** $\text{lim}X$ limit-mat-def **by** auto

have $\dim B: B \in \text{carrier-mat } m\ m$ **using** $\text{lim}Y$ limit-mat-def **by** auto

have $\dim X: \bigwedge n. X\ n \in \text{carrier-mat } m\ m$ **using** $\text{lim}X$ **unfolding** limit-mat-def
by auto

have $\dim Y: \bigwedge n. Y\ n \in \text{carrier-mat } m\ m$ **using** $\text{lim}Y$ **unfolding** limit-mat-def
by auto

then **have** $\dim XAB: \forall n. X\ n * Y\ n \in \text{carrier-mat } m\ m \wedge A * B \in \text{carrier-mat } m\ m$ **using** $\dim A\ \dim B\ \dim X\ \dim Y$

by fastforce

have $(\bigwedge i\ j. i < m \implies j < m \implies (\lambda n. (X\ n * Y\ n)\ \$\$(i, j)) \longrightarrow (A * B)\ \$\$(i, j))$

proof –

fix $i\ j$ **assume** $i: i < m$ **and** $j: j < m$

have $\text{eqn}: (X\ n * Y\ n)\ \$\$(i, j) = (\sum k=0..<m. (X\ n)\ \$\$(i, k) * (Y\ n)\ \$\$(k, j))$

for n

using $i\ j\ \dim X[\text{of } n]\ \dim Y[\text{of } n]$ **by** $(\text{auto simp add: scalar-prod-def})$

have $\text{eq}: (A * B)\ \$\$(i, j) = (\sum k=0..<m. A\ \$\$(i, k) * B\ \$\$(k, j))$

using $i\ j\ \dim B\ \dim A$ **by** $(\text{auto simp add: scalar-prod-def})$

have $(\lambda n. (X\ n)\ \$\$(i, k)) \longrightarrow A\ \$\$(i, k)$ **if** $k < m$ **for** k **using** $\text{lim}X$
 limit-mat-def **that** i **by** auto

moreover **have** $(\lambda n. (Y\ n)\ \$\$(k, j)) \longrightarrow B\ \$\$(k, j)$ **if** $k < m$ **for** k **using**
 $\text{lim}Y$ limit-mat-def **that** j **by** auto

ultimately **have** $(\lambda n. (X\ n)\ \$\$(i, k) * (Y\ n)\ \$\$(k, j)) \longrightarrow A\ \$\$(i, k) * B\ \$\$(k, j)$
if $k < m$ **for** k

using $\text{tendsto-mult}[\text{of } \lambda n. (X\ n)\ \$\$(i, k)\ A\ \$\$(i, k) - \lambda n. (Y\ n)\ \$\$(k, j)\ B\ \$\$(k, j)]$ **that** **by** auto

then **have** $(\lambda n. (\sum k=0..<m. (X\ n)\ \$\$(i, k) * (Y\ n)\ \$\$(k, j))) \longrightarrow (\sum k=0..<m. A\ \$\$(i, k) * B\ \$\$(k, j))$

using *tendsto-sum*[of $\{0..<m\}$ $\lambda k n. (X n) \$(i,k) * (Y n) \$(k,j) \lambda k. A \$(i,k) * B \(k,j)] **by** *auto*
then show $(\lambda n. (X n * Y n) \$(i,j)) \longrightarrow (A * B) \(i,j) **using** *eqn eq*
by *auto*
qed
then show *?thesis*
unfolding *limit-mat-def* **using** *dimXAB* **by** *fastforce*
qed

Adding matrix A to the sequence X

definition *mat-add-seq* :: *complex mat* \Rightarrow (*nat* \Rightarrow *complex mat*) \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *complex mat* **where**
mat-add-seq A X = $(\lambda n. A + X n)$

lemma *mat-add-limit*:

fixes X :: *nat* \Rightarrow *complex mat* **and** A :: *complex mat* **and** m :: *nat* **and** B :: *complex mat*
assumes *dimB*: B \in *carrier-mat* m m **and** *limX*: *limit-mat* X A m
shows *limit-mat* (*mat-add-seq* B X) (B + A) m
unfolding *mat-add-seq-def* **using** *limit-mat-add* *limit-mat-const*[OF *dimB*] *limX*
by *auto*

lemma *mat-minus-limit*:

fixes X :: *nat* \Rightarrow *complex mat* **and** A :: *complex mat* **and** m :: *nat* **and** B :: *complex mat*
assumes *dimB*: B \in *carrier-mat* m m **and** *limX*: *limit-mat* X A m
shows *limit-mat* $(\lambda n. B - X n)$ (B - A) m
using *limit-mat-minus* *limit-mat-const*[OF *dimB*] *limX* **by** *auto*

Multiply matrix A by the sequence X

definition *mat-mult-seq* :: *complex mat* \Rightarrow (*nat* \Rightarrow *complex mat*) \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *complex mat* **where**
mat-mult-seq A X = $(\lambda n. A * X n)$

lemma *mat-mult-limit*:

fixes X :: *nat* \Rightarrow *complex mat* **and** A B :: *complex mat* **and** m :: *nat*
assumes *dimB*: B \in *carrier-mat* m m **and** *limX*: *limit-mat* X A m
shows *limit-mat* (*mat-mult-seq* B X) (B * A) m
unfolding *mat-mult-seq-def* **using** *limit-mat-mult* *limit-mat-const*[OF *dimB*] *limX*
by *auto*

lemma *mult-mat-limit*:

fixes X :: *nat* \Rightarrow *complex mat* **and** A B :: *complex mat* **and** m :: *nat*
assumes *dimB*: B \in *carrier-mat* m m **and** *limX*: *limit-mat* X A m
shows *limit-mat* $(\lambda k. X k * B)$ (A * B) m
unfolding *mat-mult-seq-def* **using** *limit-mat-mult* *limit-mat-const*[OF *dimB*] *limX*
by *auto*

lemma *quadratic-form-mat*:

```

fixes  $A :: \text{complex mat}$  and  $v :: \text{complex vec}$  and  $m :: \text{nat}$ 
assumes  $\text{dim}v: \text{dim-vec } v = m$  and  $\text{dim}A: A \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ 
shows  $\text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v) = (\sum i=0..<m. (\sum j=0..<m. \text{conjugate } (v\$i) * A\$\$(i, j) * v\$j))$ 
proof -
  have  $\text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v) = (\sum i=0..<m. (\sum j=0..<m. \text{conjugate } (v\$i) * A\$\$(i, j) * v\$j))$ 
unfolding  $\text{scalar-prod-def}$  using  $\text{dim}v \ \text{dim}A$ 
  apply ( $\text{simp add: scalar-prod-def sum-distrib-right}$ )
  apply ( $\text{rule sum.cong, auto, rule sum.cong, auto}$ )
done
then show  $?thesis$  by auto
qed

```

```

lemma  $\text{sum-subtractff}$ :
  fixes  $h \ g :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{ab-group-add}$ 
  shows  $(\sum x \in A. \sum y \in B. h \ x \ y - g \ x \ y) = (\sum x \in A. \sum y \in B. h \ x \ y) - (\sum x \in A. \sum y \in B. g \ x \ y)$ 
proof -
  have  $\forall x \in A. (\sum y \in B. h \ x \ y - g \ x \ y) = (\sum y \in B. h \ x \ y) - (\sum y \in B. g \ x \ y)$ 
proof -
  {
    fix  $x$  assume  $x: x \in A$ 
    have  $(\sum y \in B. h \ x \ y - g \ x \ y) = (\sum y \in B. h \ x \ y) - (\sum y \in B. g \ x \ y)$ 
      using  $\text{sum-subtractf}$  by auto
  }
  then show  $?thesis$  using  $\text{sum-subtractf}$  by blast
qed
  then have  $(\sum x \in A. \sum y \in B. h \ x \ y - g \ x \ y) = (\sum x \in A. ((\sum y \in B. h \ x \ y) - (\sum y \in B. g \ x \ y)))$  by auto
  also have  $\dots = (\sum x \in A. \sum y \in B. h \ x \ y) - (\sum x \in A. \sum y \in B. g \ x \ y)$ 
    by ( $\text{simp add: sum-subtractf}$ )
  finally have  $(\sum x \in A. \sum y \in B. h \ x \ y - g \ x \ y) = (\sum x \in A. \text{sum } (h \ x) \ B) - (\sum x \in A. \text{sum } (g \ x) \ B)$  by auto
  then show  $?thesis$  by auto
qed

```

```

lemma  $\text{sum-abs-complex}$ :
  fixes  $h :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex}$ 
  shows  $\text{cmod } (\sum x \in A. \sum y \in B. h \ x \ y) \leq (\sum x \in A. \sum y \in B. \text{cmod}(h \ x \ y))$ 
proof -
  have  $B: \forall x \in A. \text{cmod}(\sum y \in B. h \ x \ y) \leq (\sum y \in B. \text{cmod}(h \ x \ y))$  using  $\text{sum-abs norm-sum}$  by blast
  have  $\text{cmod } (\sum x \in A. \sum y \in B. h \ x \ y) \leq (\sum x \in A. \text{cmod}(\sum y \in B. h \ x \ y))$  using  $\text{sum-abs norm-sum}$  by blast
  also have  $\dots \leq (\sum x \in A. \sum y \in B. \text{cmod}(h \ x \ y))$  using  $\text{sum-abs norm-sum } B$ 
    by ( $\text{simp add: sum-mono}$ )
  finally have  $\text{cmod } (\sum x \in A. \sum y \in B. h \ x \ y) \leq (\sum x \in A. \sum y \in B. \text{cmod } (h \ x \ y))$ 
by auto

```

then show *?thesis* **by auto**
qed

lemma *hermitian-mat-lim-is-hermitian*:

fixes $X :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ **and** $A :: \text{complex mat}$ **and** $m :: \text{nat}$
assumes $\text{limX}: \text{limit-mat } X \ A \ m$ **and** $\text{herX}: \forall n. \text{hermitian } (X \ n)$
shows *hermitian A*

proof –

have $\text{dimX}: \forall n. X \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ **using** limX **unfolding** *limit-mat-def*
by auto

have $\text{dimA}: A \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ **using** limX **unfolding** *limit-mat-def* **by auto**

from herX **have** $\text{herXn}: \forall n. \text{adjoint } (X \ n) = (X \ n)$ **unfolding** *hermitian-def*
by auto

from limX **have** $\text{limXn}: \forall i < m. \forall j < m. (\lambda n. X \ n \ \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow A \ \$\$ (i, j)$
unfolding *limit-mat-def* **by auto**

have $\forall i < m. \forall j < m. (\text{adjoint } A) \ \$\$ (i, j) = A \ \$\$ (i, j)$

proof –

{

fix $i \ j$ **assume** $i: i < m$ **and** $j: j < m$

have $\text{aij}: (\text{adjoint } A) \ \$\$ (i, j) = \text{conjugate } (A \ \$\$ (j, i))$ **using** *adjoint-eval i j*
dimA **by blast**

have $\text{ij}: (\lambda n. X \ n \ \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow A \ \$\$ (i, j)$ **using** limXn $i \ j$ **by auto**

have $\text{ji}: (\lambda n. X \ n \ \$\$ (j, i)) \longrightarrow A \ \$\$ (j, i)$ **using** limXn $i \ j$ **by auto**

then have $\forall r > 0. \exists no. \forall n \geq no. \text{dist } (\text{conjugate } (X \ n \ \$\$ (j, i))) (\text{conjugate } (A \ \$\$ (j, i))) < r$

proof –

{

fix $r :: \text{real}$ **assume** $r: r > 0$

have $\exists no. \forall n \geq no. \text{cmod } (X \ n \ \$\$ (j, i) - A \ \$\$ (j, i)) < r$ **using** ji r
unfolding *LIMSEQ-def dist-norm* **by auto**

then obtain no **where** $\text{Xji}: \forall n \geq no. \text{cmod } (X \ n \ \$\$ (j, i) - A \ \$\$ (j, i)) < r$ **by auto**

then have $\forall n \geq no. \text{cmod } (\text{conjugate } (X \ n \ \$\$ (j, i) - A \ \$\$ (j, i))) < r$

using *complex-mod-cnj conjugate-complex-def* **by presburger**

then have $\forall n \geq no. \text{dist } (\text{conjugate } (X \ n \ \$\$ (j, i))) (\text{conjugate } (A \ \$\$ (j, i))) < r$ **unfolding** *dist-norm* **by auto**

then have $\exists no. \forall n \geq no. \text{dist } (\text{conjugate } (X \ n \ \$\$ (j, i))) (\text{conjugate } (A \ \$\$ (j, i))) < r$ **by auto**

}

then show *?thesis* **by auto**

qed

then have $\text{conjX}: (\lambda n. \text{conjugate } (X \ n \ \$\$ (j, i))) \longrightarrow \text{conjugate } (A \ \$\$ (j, i))$ **unfolding** *LIMSEQ-def* **by auto**

from herXn **have** $\forall n. \text{conjugate } (X \ n \ \$\$ (j, i)) = X \ n \ \$\$ (i, j)$ **using** *adjoint-eval i j dimX*

by (*metis adjoint-dim-col carrier-matD(1)*)

then have $(\lambda n. X \ n \ \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow \text{conjugate } (A \ \$\$ (j, i))$ **using** conjX

```

by auto
  then have conjugate (A $$$ (j,i)) = A$$$ (i, j) using ij by (simp add:
LIMSEQ-unique)
  then have (adjoint A)$$$ (i, j) = A$$$ (i, j) using adjoint-eval i j by (simp
add:aij)
}
then show ?thesis by auto
qed
then have hermitian A using hermitian-def dimA
  by (metis adjoint-dim carrier-matD(1) carrier-matD(2) eq-matI)
then show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

```

lemma quantifier-change-order-once:
  fixes P :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ bool and m :: nat
  shows ∀ j < m. ∃ no. ∀ n ≥ no. P n j ⇒ ∃ no. ∀ j < m. ∀ n ≥ no. P n j
proof (induct m)
  case 0
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Suc m)
  then show ?case
  proof -
    have mm: ∃ no. ∀ j < m. ∀ n ≥ no. P n j using Suc by auto
    then obtain M where MM: ∀ j < m. ∀ n ≥ M. P n j by auto
    have sucM: ∃ no. ∀ n ≥ no. P n m using Suc(2) by auto
    then obtain N where NN: ∀ n ≥ N. P n m by auto
    let ?N = max M N
    from MM NN have ∀ j < Suc m. ∀ n ≥ ?N. P n j
      by (metis less-antisym max.boundedE)
    then have ∃ no. ∀ j < Suc m. ∀ n ≥ no. P n j by blast
    then show ?thesis by auto
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma quantifier-change-order-twice:
  fixes P :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ bool and m n :: nat
  shows ∀ i < m. ∀ j < n. ∃ no. ∀ n ≥ no. P n i j ⇒ ∃ no. ∀ i < m. ∀ j < n. ∀ n ≥ no. P
n i j
proof -
  assume fact: ∀ i < m. ∀ j < n. ∃ no. ∀ n ≥ no. P n i j
  have one: ∀ i < m. ∃ no. ∀ j < n. ∀ n ≥ no. P n i j
    using fact quantifier-change-order-once by auto
  have two: ∀ i < m. ∃ no. ∀ j < n. ∀ n ≥ no. P n i j ⇒ ∃ no. ∀ i < m. ∀ j < n. ∀ n ≥ no.
P n i j
proof (induct m)
  case 0
  then show ?case by auto
next

```

```

case (Suc m)
then show ?case
proof –
  obtain M where MM:  $\forall i < m. \forall j < n. \forall n \geq M. P\ n\ i\ j$  using Suc by auto
  obtain N where NN:  $\forall j < n. \forall n \geq N. P\ n\ m\ j$  using Suc(2) by blast
  let ?N = max M N
  from MM NN have  $\forall i < \text{Suc } m. \forall j < n. \forall n \geq ?N. P\ n\ i\ j$ 
    by (metis less-antisym max.boundedE)
  then have  $\exists no. \forall i < \text{Suc } m. \forall j < n. \forall n \geq no. P\ n\ i\ j$  by blast
  then show ?thesis by auto
qed
qed
with fact show ?thesis using one by auto
qed

lemma pos-mat-lim-is-pos:
  fixes X :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  complex mat and A :: complex mat and m :: nat
  assumes limX: limit-mat X A m and posX:  $\forall n. \text{positive } (X\ n)$ 
  shows positive A
  proof (rule ccontr)
    have dimX :  $\forall n. X\ n \in \text{carrier-mat } m\ m$  using limX unfolding limit-mat-def
    by auto
    have dimA : A  $\in \text{carrier-mat } m\ m$  using limX unfolding limit-mat-def by auto
    have herX :  $\forall n. \text{hermitian } (X\ n)$  using posX positive-is-hermitian by auto
    then have herA : hermitian A using hermitian-mat-lim-is-hermitian limX by
    auto
    then have herprod:  $\forall v. \text{dim-vec } v = \text{dim-col } A \longrightarrow \text{inner-prod } v\ (A\ *_v\ v) \in$ 
    Reals
    using hermitian-inner-prod-real dimA by auto

    assume npA:  $\neg \text{positive } A$ 
    from npA have  $\neg (A \in \text{carrier-mat } (\text{dim-col } A)\ (\text{dim-col } A)) \vee \neg (\forall v. \text{dim-vec } v = \text{dim-col } A \longrightarrow 0 \leq \text{inner-prod } v\ (A\ *_v\ v))$ 
    unfolding positive-def by blast
    then have evA:  $\exists v. \text{dim-vec } v = \text{dim-col } A \wedge \neg \text{inner-prod } v\ (A\ *_v\ v) \geq 0$ 
    using dimA by blast
    then have  $\exists v. \text{dim-vec } v = \text{dim-col } A \wedge \text{inner-prod } v\ (A\ *_v\ v) < 0$ 
    proof –
      obtain v where vA:  $\text{dim-vec } v = \text{dim-col } A \wedge \neg \text{inner-prod } v\ (A\ *_v\ v) \geq 0$ 
      using evA by auto
      from vA herprod have  $\neg 0 \leq \text{inner-prod } v\ (A\ *_v\ v) \wedge \text{inner-prod } v\ (A\ *_v\ v) \in$ 
      Reals by auto
      then have inner-prod v  $(A\ *_v\ v) < 0$ 
      using complex-is-Real-iff by (auto simp: less-complex-def less-eq-complex-def)
      then have  $\exists v. \text{dim-vec } v = \text{dim-col } A \wedge \text{inner-prod } v\ (A\ *_v\ v) < 0$  using
      vA by auto
      then show ?thesis by auto
    qed

```

then obtain v **where** $neg: dim\text{-}vec\ v = dim\text{-}col\ A \wedge inner\text{-}prod\ v\ (A\ *_v\ v) < 0$
by *auto*

have $nzero: v \neq 0_v\ m$
proof (*rule ccontr*)
 assume $nega: \neg v \neq 0_v\ m$
 have $zero: v = 0_v\ m$ **using** $nega$ **by** *auto*
 have $(A\ *_v\ v) = 0_v\ m$ **unfolding** *mult-mat-vec-def* **using** $zero$
 using $dimA$ **by** *auto*
 then have $zerov: inner\text{-}prod\ v\ (A\ *_v\ v) = 0$ **by** (*simp add: zero*)
 from $neg\ zerov$ **have** $\neg v \neq 0_v\ m \implies False$ **using** $dimA$ **by** *auto*
 with $nega$ **show** $False$ **by** *auto*
qed

have $invgeq: inner\text{-}prod\ v\ v > 0$
proof –
 have $inner\text{-}prod\ v\ v = vec\text{-}norm\ v\ *\ vec\text{-}norm\ v$ **unfolding** *vec-norm-def*
 by (*metis carrier-matD(2) carrier-vec-dim-vec dimA mult-cancel-left1 neg normalized-cscalar-prod normalized-vec-norm nzero vec-norm-def*)
 moreover have $vec\text{-}norm\ v > 0$ **using** $nzero\ vec\text{-}norm\text{-}ge\text{-}0\ neg\ dimA$
 by (*metis carrier-matD(2) carrier-vec-dim-vec*)
 ultimately have $inner\text{-}prod\ v\ v > 0$ **by** (*auto simp: less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def*)
 then show $?thesis$ **by** *auto*
qed

have $invv: inner\text{-}prod\ v\ v = (\sum i = 0..<m. cmod\ (conjugate\ (v\ \$\ i)\ * (v\ \$\ i)))$
proof –
 {
 have $\forall i < m. conjugate\ (v\ \$\ i)\ * (v\ \$\ i) \geq 0$ **using** *conjugate-square-smaller-0*
 by (*simp add: less-eq-complex-def*)
 then have $vi: \forall i < m. conjugate\ (v\ \$\ i)\ * (v\ \$\ i) = cmod\ (conjugate\ (v\ \$\ i)\ * (v\ \$\ i))$ **using** *cmod-eq-Re*
 by (*simp add: complex.expand*)

 have $inner\text{-}prod\ v\ v = (\sum i = 0..<m. ((v\ \$\ i)\ * conjugate\ (v\ \$\ i)))$
 unfolding *scalar-prod-def conjugate-vec-def* **using** $neg\ dimA$ **by** *auto*
 also have $\dots = (\sum i = 0..<m. (conjugate\ (v\ \$\ i)\ * (v\ \$\ i)))$
 by (*meson mult.commute*)
 also have $\dots = (\sum i = 0..<m. cmod\ (conjugate\ (v\ \$\ i)\ * (v\ \$\ i)))$ **using** vi
by *auto*
 finally have $inner\text{-}prod\ v\ v = (\sum i = 0..<m. cmod\ (conjugate\ (v\ \$\ i)\ * (v\ \$\ i)))$ **by** *auto*
 }
 then show $?thesis$ **by** *auto*
qed

let $?r = inner\text{-}prod\ v\ (A\ *_v\ v)$ **have** $rl: ?r < 0$ **using** neg **by** *auto*
have $vAv: inner\text{-}prod\ v\ (A\ *_v\ v) = (\sum i=0..<m. (\sum j=0..<m.$

$\text{conjugate } (v\$i) * A\$\$(i, j) * v\$j)$ **using** *quadratic-form-mat dimA*

neg by auto

from *limX* **have** *limij*: $\forall i < m. \forall j < m. (\lambda n. X\ n\ \$\$(i, j)) \longrightarrow A\ \$\$(i, j)$

unfolding *limit-mat-def* **by** *auto*

then **have** *limXv*: $(\lambda n. \text{inner-prod } v ((X\ n) * v)) \longrightarrow \text{inner-prod } v (A * v)$

proof –

have *XAless*: $\text{cmod } (\text{inner-prod } v (X\ n * v) - \text{inner-prod } v (A * v)) \leq$
 $(\sum i = 0..<m. \sum j = 0..<m. \text{cmod } (\text{conjugate } (v\ \$\ i)) * \text{cmod } (X\ n\ \$\$(i, j)$
 $- A\ \$\$(i, j)) * \text{cmod } (v\ \$\ j))$ **for** *n*

proof –

have $\forall i < m. \forall j < m. \text{conjugate } (v\$i) * X\ n\ \$\$(i, j) * v\$j - \text{conjugate}$
 $(v\$i) * A\$\$(i, j) * v\$j =$
 $\text{conjugate } (v\$i) * (X\ n\ \$\$(i, j) - A\$\$(i, j)) * v\j

by (*simp add: mult.commute right-diff-distrib*)

then **have** *ele*: $\forall i < m. (\sum j = 0..<m. (\text{conjugate } (v\$i) * X\ n\ \$\$(i, j) * v\$j -$
 $\text{conjugate } (v\$i) * A\$\$(i, j) * v\$j)) = (\sum j = 0..<m. ($
 $\text{conjugate } (v\$i) * (X\ n\ \$\$(i, j) - A\$\$(i, j)) * v\$j))$ **by** *auto*

have $\forall i < m. \forall j < m. \text{cmod}(\text{conjugate } (v\ \$\ i) * (X\ n\ \$\$(i, j) - A\ \$\$(i,$
 $j)) * v\ \$\ j) =$
 $\text{cmod}(\text{conjugate } (v\ \$\ i)) * \text{cmod} (X\ n\ \$\$(i, j) - A\ \$\$(i, j)) * \text{cmod}(v$
 $\ \$\ j)$

by (*simp add: norm-mult*)

then **have** *less*: $\forall i < m. (\sum j = 0..<m. \text{cmod}(\text{conjugate } (v\ \$\ i) * (X\ n\ \$\$($
 $i, j) - A\ \$\$(i, j)) * v\ \$\ j)) =$
 $(\sum j = 0..<m. \text{cmod}(\text{conjugate } (v\ \$\ i)) * \text{cmod} (X\ n\ \$\$(i, j) - A$
 $\ \$\$(i, j)) * \text{cmod}(v\ \$\ j))$ **by** *auto*

have $\text{inner-prod } v (X\ n * v) - \text{inner-prod } v (A * v) = (\sum i = 0..<m. (\sum j = 0..<m.$
 $\text{conjugate } (v\$i) * X\ n\ \$\$(i, j) * v\$j)) - (\sum i = 0..<m. (\sum j = 0..<m.$
 $\text{conjugate } (v\$i) * A\$\$(i, j) * v\$j))$ **using** *quadratic-form-mat neg dimA*

dimX **by** *auto*

also **have** $\dots = (\sum i = 0..<m. (\sum j = 0..<m. ($
 $\text{conjugate } (v\$i) * X\ n\ \$\$(i, j) * v\$j - \text{conjugate } (v\$i) * A\$\$(i, j) *$
 $v\$j)))$

using *sum-subtractff* [*of* $\lambda i\ j. \text{conjugate } (v\ \$\ i) * X\ n\ \$\$(i, j) * v\ \$\ j$ λi
 $j. \text{conjugate } (v\ \$\ i) * A\ \$\$(i, j) * v\ \$\ j$ $\{0..<m\}$] **by** *auto*

also **have** $\dots = (\sum i = 0..<m. (\sum j = 0..<m. ($
 $\text{conjugate } (v\$i) * (X\ n\ \$\$(i, j) - A\$\$(i, j)) * v\$j)))$ **using** *ele* **by** *auto*

finally **have** *minusXA*: $\text{inner-prod } v (X\ n * v) - \text{inner-prod } v (A * v) =$
 $(\sum i = 0..<m. \sum j = 0..<m. \text{conjugate } (v\ \$\ i) * (X\ n\ \$\$(i, j) - A\ \$\$(i, j)) * v$
 $\ \$\ j)$ **by** *auto*

from *minusXA* **have** $\text{cmod } (\text{inner-prod } v (X\ n * v) - \text{inner-prod } v (A * v)) =$
 $\text{cmod } (\sum i = 0..<m. \sum j = 0..<m. \text{conjugate } (v\ \$\ i) * (X\ n\ \$\(i, j)
 $- A\ \$\$(i, j)) * v\ \$\ j)$ **by** *auto*

also **have** $\dots \leq (\sum i = 0..<m. \sum j = 0..<m. \text{cmod}(\text{conjugate } (v\ \$\ i) * (X$

$n \text{ } \text{\$ \$ } (i, j) - A \text{ } \text{\$ \$ } (i, j) * v \text{ } \text{\$ } j)$
using *sum-abs-complex* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = (\sum i = 0..<m. \sum j = 0..<m. \text{cmod}(\text{conjugate } (v \text{ } \text{\$ } i)) * \text{cmod}(X \text{ } n \text{ } \text{\$ \$ } (i, j) - A \text{ } \text{\$ \$ } (i, j)) * \text{cmod}(v \text{ } \text{\$ } j))$
using *less* **by** *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

from *limij* **have** *limijm*: $\forall i < m. \forall j < m. \forall r > 0. \exists no. \forall n \geq no. \text{cmod}(X \text{ } n \text{ } \text{\$ \$ } (i, j) - A \text{ } \text{\$ \$ } (i, j)) < r$
unfolding *LIMSEQ-def dist-norm* **by** *auto*
from *limX* **have** *mg*: $m > 0$ **using** *limit-mat-def*
by (*metis carrier-matD(1) carrier-matD(2) mat-eq-iff neq0-conv not-less0 npA posX*)

have *cmoda*: $\exists no. \forall n \geq no. (\sum i = 0..<m. \sum j = 0..<m. \text{cmod}(\text{conjugate } (v \text{ } \text{\$ } i)) * \text{cmod}(X \text{ } n \text{ } \text{\$ \$ } (i, j) - A \text{ } \text{\$ \$ } (i, j)) * \text{cmod}(v \text{ } \text{\$ } j)) < r$
if *r*: $r > 0$ **for** *r*
proof -
let *?u* = $(\sum i = 0..<m. \sum j = 0..<m. ((\text{cmod}(\text{conjugate } (v \text{ } \text{\$ } i)) * \text{cmod}(v \text{ } \text{\$ } j))))$
have *ug*: $?u > 0$
proof -
have *ur*: $?u = (\sum i = 0..<m. (\text{cmod}(\text{conjugate } (v \text{ } \text{\$ } i)) * (\sum j = 0..<m. (\text{cmod}(v \text{ } \text{\$ } j))))$ **by** (*simp add: sum-distrib-left*)
have $(\sum j = 0..<m. (\text{cmod}(v \text{ } \text{\$ } j))) \geq \text{cmod}(v \text{ } \text{\$ } i)$ **if** *i*: $i < m$ **for** *i*
using *member-le-sum*[of *i* { $0..<m$ } $\lambda j. \text{cmod}(v \text{ } \text{\$ } j)$] *cmod-def i* **by** *simp*
then have $\forall i < m. (\text{cmod}(\text{conjugate } (v \text{ } \text{\$ } i)) * (\sum j = 0..<m. (\text{cmod}(v \text{ } \text{\$ } j)))) \geq (\text{cmod}(\text{conjugate } (v \text{ } \text{\$ } i)) * \text{cmod}(v \text{ } \text{\$ } i))$
by (*simp add: mult-left-mono*)
then have $?u \geq (\sum i = 0..<m. (\text{cmod}(\text{conjugate } (v \text{ } \text{\$ } i)) * \text{cmod}(v \text{ } \text{\$ } i)))$
using *ur sum-mono*[of { $0..<m$ } $\lambda i. \text{cmod}(\text{conjugate } (v \text{ } \text{\$ } i)) * \text{cmod}(v \text{ } \text{\$ } i) \lambda i. \text{cmod}(\text{conjugate } (v \text{ } \text{\$ } i)) * (\sum j = 0..<m. \text{cmod}(v \text{ } \text{\$ } j))]$
by *auto*
moreover have $(\sum i = 0..<m. \text{cmod}(\text{conjugate } (v \text{ } \text{\$ } i)) * \text{cmod}(v \text{ } \text{\$ } i)) = (\sum i = 0..<m. \text{cmod}(\text{conjugate } (v \text{ } \text{\$ } i)) * (v \text{ } \text{\$ } i))$
using *norm-ge-zero norm-mult norm-of-real* **by** (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) abs-of-nonneg*)
moreover have $(\sum i = 0..<m. \text{cmod}(\text{conjugate } (v \text{ } \text{\$ } i)) * (v \text{ } \text{\$ } i)) = \text{inner-prod } v \text{ } v$ **using** *invv* **by** *auto*
ultimately have $?u \geq \text{inner-prod } v \text{ } v$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) Im-complex-of-real Re-complex-of-real invv less-eq-complex-def norm-mult sum.cong*)
then have $?u > 0$ **using** *invgeq* **by** (*auto simp: less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def*)
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

let *?s* = $r / (2 * ?u)$

```

have sgz:  $?s > 0$  using ug rl
by (smt (verit) divide-pos-pos dual-order.strict-iff-order linordered-semiring-strict-class.mult-pos-pos
zero-less-norm-iff r)
from limijm have sj:  $\exists no. \forall n \geq no. cmod (X n \$\$ (i, j) - A \$\$ (i, j)) < ?s$ 
if i:  $i < m$  and j:  $j < m$  for i j
proof –
  obtain N where Ns:  $\forall n \geq N. cmod (X n \$\$ (i, j) - A \$\$ (i, j)) < ?s$  using
sgz limijm i j by blast
  then show ?thesis by auto
qed
then have  $\exists no. \forall i < m. \forall j < m. \forall n \geq no. cmod (X n \$\$ (i, j) - A \$\$ (i, j))$ 
 $< ?s$ 
  using quantifier-change-order-twice[of m m  $\lambda$  n i j. (cmod (X n \$\$ (i, j) -
A \$\$ (i, j)) < ?s)] by auto
  then obtain N where Nno:  $\forall i < m. \forall j < m. \forall n \geq N. cmod (X n \$\$ (i, j) -$ 
A \$\$ (i, j)) < ?s by auto
  then have mmN:  $cmod (conjugate (v \$ i)) * cmod (X n \$\$ (i, j) - A \$\$ (i,$ 
j)) * cmod (v \$ j)
 $\leq ?s * (cmod (conjugate (v \$ i)) * cmod (v \$ j))$ 
  if i:  $i < m$  and j:  $j < m$  and n:  $n \geq N$  for i j n
proof –
  have geq:  $cmod (conjugate (v \$ i)) \geq 0 \wedge cmod (v \$ j) \geq 0$  by simp
  then have  $cmod (conjugate (v \$ i)) * cmod (X n \$\$ (i, j) - A \$\$ (i, j))$ 
 $\leq cmod (conjugate (v \$ i)) * ?s$  using Nno i j n
  by (smt (verit) mult-left-mono)
  then have  $cmod (conjugate (v \$ i)) * cmod (X n \$\$ (i, j) - A \$\$ (i, j)) *$ 
cmod (v \$ j)
 $\leq cmod (conjugate (v \$ i)) * ?s * cmod (v \$ j)$  using geq
mult-right-mono by blast
  also have  $\dots = ?s * (cmod (conjugate (v \$ i)) * cmod (v \$ j))$  by simp
  finally show ?thesis by auto
qed
then have  $(\sum i = 0..<m. \sum j = 0..<m. cmod (conjugate (v \$ i)) * cmod$ 
 $(X n \$\$ (i, j) - A \$\$ (i, j)) * cmod (v \$ j)) < r$ 
  if n:  $n \geq N$  for n
proof –
  have mmX:  $\forall i < m. \forall j < m. cmod (conjugate (v \$ i)) * cmod (X n \$\$ (i, j)$ 
 $- A \$\$ (i, j)) * cmod (v \$ j)$ 
 $\leq ?s * (cmod (conjugate (v \$ i)) * cmod (v \$ j))$  using n mmN
by blast
  have  $(\sum j = 0..<m. cmod (conjugate (v \$ i)) * cmod (X n \$\$ (i, j) - A$ 
 $\$ \$ (i, j)) * cmod (v \$ j))$ 
 $\leq (\sum j = 0..<m. (?s * (cmod (conjugate (v \$ i)) * cmod (v \$ j))))$ 
if i:  $i < m$  for i
proof –
  have  $\forall j < m. cmod (conjugate (v \$ i)) * cmod (X n \$\$ (i, j) - A \$\$ (i,$ 
j)) * cmod (v \$ j)
 $\leq ?s * (cmod (conjugate (v \$ i)) * cmod (v \$ j))$  using mmX i by
auto

```

then show *?thesis*
using *sum-mono*[of $\{0..<m\} \lambda j. \text{cmod}(\text{conjugate}(v \$ i)) * \text{cmod}(X n \$\$ (i, j) - A \$\$ (i, j)) * \text{cmod}(v \$ j) \lambda j. (?s * (\text{cmod}(\text{conjugate}(v \$ i)) * \text{cmod}(v \$ j)))$]
atLeastLessThan-iff **by** *blast*
qed
then have $(\sum i = 0..<m. \sum j = 0..<m. \text{cmod}(\text{conjugate}(v \$ i)) * \text{cmod}(X n \$\$ (i, j) - A \$\$ (i, j)) * \text{cmod}(v \$ j))$
 $\leq (\sum i = 0..<m. \sum j = 0..<m. (?s * (\text{cmod}(\text{conjugate}(v \$ i)) * \text{cmod}(v \$ j))))$ **using** *sum-mono* *atLeastLessThan-iff*
by *(metis (no-types, lifting))*
also have $\dots = ?s * (\sum i = 0..<m. \sum j = 0..<m. (\text{cmod}(\text{conjugate}(v \$ i)) * \text{cmod}(v \$ j)))$ **by** *(simp add: sum-distrib-left)*
also have $\dots = r / 2$ **using** *nonzero-mult-divide-mult-cancel-right* *sgz* **by** *fastforce*
finally show *?thesis* **using** *r* **by** *auto*
qed
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
then have $XnAv: \exists no. \forall n \geq no. \text{cmod}(\text{inner-prod } v (X n *_v v) - \text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v)) < r$ **if** *r: r > 0* **for** *r*
proof –
obtain *no* **where** $nno: \forall n \geq no. (\sum i = 0..<m. \sum j = 0..<m. \text{cmod}(\text{conjugate}(v \$ i)) * \text{cmod}(X n \$\$ (i, j) - A \$\$ (i, j)) * \text{cmod}(v \$ j)) < r$
using *r cmoda neg* **by** *auto*
then have $\forall n \geq no. \text{cmod}(\text{inner-prod } v (X n *_v v) - \text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v)) < r$ **using** *XAless neg* **by** *(smt (verit))*
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
then have $(\lambda n. \text{inner-prod } v (X n *_v v)) \longrightarrow \text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v)$ **unfolding** *LIMSEQ-def dist-norm* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
from *limXv* **have** $\forall r > 0. \exists no. \forall n \geq no. \text{cmod}(\text{inner-prod } v (X n *_v v) - \text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v)) < r$ **unfolding** *LIMSEQ-def dist-norm* **by** *auto*
then have $\exists no. \forall n \geq no. \text{cmod}(\text{inner-prod } v (X n *_v v) - \text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v)) < -?r$ **using** *rl*
by *(auto simp: less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def)*
then obtain *N* **where** $Ng: \forall n \geq N. \text{cmod}(\text{inner-prod } v (X n *_v v) - \text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v)) < -?r$ **by** *auto*
then have $XN: \text{cmod}(\text{inner-prod } v (X N *_v v) - \text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v)) < -?r$ **by** *auto*
from *posX* **have** *positive* $(X N)$ **by** *auto*
then have $XNv: \text{inner-prod } v (X N *_v v) \geq 0$
by *(metis Complex-Matrix.positive-def carrier-matD(2) dimA dimX neg)*
from *rl XNv* **have** $XX: \text{cmod}(\text{inner-prod } v (X N *_v v) - \text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v)) < -?r$

$v)) = \text{cmod}(\text{inner-prod } v (X N *_v v)) - \text{cmod}(\text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v))$
using XN *cmod-eq-Re* **by** (*auto simp: less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def*)
then have $YY: \text{cmod}(\text{inner-prod } v (X N *_v v)) - \text{cmod}(\text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v))$
 $< -?r$ **using** XN **by** *auto*
then have $\text{cmod}(\text{inner-prod } v (X N *_v v)) - \text{cmod}(\text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v)) <$
 $\text{cmod}(\text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v))$
using *rl cmod-eq-Re* **by** (*auto simp: less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def*)
then have $\text{cmod}(\text{inner-prod } v (X N *_v v)) < 0$ **using** XNv XX YY *cmod-eq-Re*
by (*auto simp: less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def*)
then have *False* **using** XNv **by** *simp*
with npA **show** *False* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *limit-mat-ignore-initial-segment:*

$\text{limit-mat } g A d \implies \text{limit-mat } (\lambda n. g (n + k)) A d$

proof –

assume *asm: limit-mat g A d*

then have $\text{lim}: \forall i < d. \forall j < d. (\lambda n. (g n) \text{\$\$ } (i, j)) \longrightarrow (A \text{\$\$ } (i, j))$

using *limit-mat-def* **by** *auto*

then have $\text{limk}: \forall i < d. \forall j < d. (\lambda n. (g (n + k)) \text{\$\$ } (i, j)) \longrightarrow (A \text{\$\$ } (i, j))$

proof –

{

fix $i j$ **assume** *dims: i < d j < d*

then have $(\lambda n. (g n) \text{\$\$ } (i, j)) \longrightarrow (A \text{\$\$ } (i, j))$ **using** *lim* **by** *auto*

then have $(\lambda n. (g (n + k)) \text{\$\$ } (i, j)) \longrightarrow (A \text{\$\$ } (i, j))$ **using** *LIM-SEQ-ignore-initial-segment* **by** *auto*

}

then show $\forall i < d. \forall j < d. (\lambda n. (g (n + k)) \text{\$\$ } (i, j)) \longrightarrow (A \text{\$\$ } (i, j))$

by *auto*

qed

have $\forall n. g n \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **using** *asm unfolding limit-mat-def* **by** *auto*

then have $\forall n. g (n + k) \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **by** *auto*

moreover have $A \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **using** *asm limit-mat-def* **by** *auto*

ultimately show $\text{limit-mat } (\lambda n. g (n + k)) A d$ **using** *limit-mat-def limk* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *mat-trace-limit:*

$\text{limit-mat } g A d \implies (\lambda n. \text{trace } (g n)) \longrightarrow \text{trace } A$

proof –

assume *lim: limit-mat g A d*

then have $dgn: g n \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **for** n **using** *limit-mat-def* **by** *auto*

from *lim* **have** $dA: A \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **using** *limit-mat-def* **by** *auto*

have $\text{trg}: \text{trace } (g n) = (\sum_{k=0..<d.} (g n) \text{\$\$ } (k, k))$ **for** n **unfolding** *trace-def* **using** *carrier-matD[OF dgn]* **by** *auto*

have $\forall k < d. (\lambda n. (g n) \text{\$\$ } (k, k)) \longrightarrow A \text{\$\$ } (k, k)$ **using** *limit-mat-def lim* **by** *auto*

then have $(\lambda n. (\sum_{k=0..<d.} (g n) \text{\$\$ } (k, k))) \longrightarrow (\sum_{k=0..<d.} A \text{\$\$ } (k, k))$

using *tendsto-sum*[**where** $?I = \{0..<d\}$ **and** $?f = (\lambda k n. (g n) \$(k, k))$] **by**
auto
then show $(\lambda n. \text{trace } (g n)) \longrightarrow \text{trace } A$ **unfolding** *trace-def*
using *trg carrier-matD[OF dgn] carrier-matD[OF dA]* **by** *auto*
qed

2.2 Existence of least upper bound for the Löwner order

definition *lower-is-lub* :: $(\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}) \Rightarrow \text{complex mat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
lower-is-lub $f M \longleftrightarrow (\forall n. f n \leq_L M) \wedge (\forall M'. (\forall n. f n \leq_L M') \longrightarrow M \leq_L M')$

locale *matrix-seq* =
fixes $dim :: \text{nat}$
and $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$
assumes
 $dim: \bigwedge n. f n \in \text{carrier-mat } dim \ dim$ **and**
 $pdo: \bigwedge n. \text{partial-density-operator } (f n)$ **and**
 $inc: \bigwedge n. \text{lower-le } (f n) (f (Suc n))$
begin

definition *lower-is-lub* :: $\text{complex mat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
lower-is-lub $M \longleftrightarrow (\forall n. f n \leq_L M) \wedge (\forall M'. (\forall n. f n \leq_L M') \longrightarrow M \leq_L M')$

lemma *lower-is-lub-dim*:
assumes *lower-is-lub* M
shows $M \in \text{carrier-mat } dim \ dim$
proof –
have $f 0 \leq_L M$ **using** *assms lower-is-lub-def* **by** *auto*
then have $1: \text{dim-row } (f 0) = \text{dim-row } M \wedge \text{dim-col } (f 0) = \text{dim-col } M$
using *lower-le-def* **by** *auto*
moreover have $2: f 0 \in \text{carrier-mat } dim \ dim$
using dim **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *trace-adjoint-eq-u*:
fixes $A :: \text{complex mat}$
shows $\text{trace } (A * \text{adjoint } A) = (\sum i \in \{0 ..< \text{dim-row } A\}. \sum j \in \{0 ..< \text{dim-col } A\}. (\text{norm}(A \$(i,j))^2))$
proof –
have $\text{trace } (A * \text{adjoint } A) = (\sum i \in \{0 ..< \text{dim-row } A\}. \text{row } A \ i \cdot \text{conjugate } (\text{row } A \ i))$
by (*simp add: trace-def cmod-def adjoint-def scalar-prod-def*)
also have $\dots = (\sum i \in \{0 ..< \text{dim-row } A\}. \sum j \in \{0 ..< \text{dim-col } A\}. (\text{norm}(A \$(i,j))^2))$
proof (*simp add: scalar-prod-def cmod-def*)
have *cnjmul*: $\forall i \ i a. A \$(i, ia) * \text{cnj } (A \$(i, ia)) =$
 $((\text{complex-of-real } (\text{Re } (A \$(i, ia))))^2 + (\text{complex-of-real } (\text{Im } (A$

$\$ (i, ia)))^2$
by *(simp add: complex-mult-cnj)*
then have $\forall i. (\sum ia = 0..<dim-col A. A \$ (i, ia) * cnj (A \$ (i, ia))) =$
 $(\sum ia = 0..<dim-col A. ((complex-of-real (Re (A \$ (i, ia))))^2$
 $+ (complex-of-real (Im (A \$ (i, ia))))^2))$
by auto
then show $(\sum i = 0..<dim-row A. \sum ia = 0..<dim-col A. A \$ (i, ia) * cnj$
 $(A \$ (i, ia))) =$
 $(\sum x = 0..<dim-row A. \sum xa = 0..<dim-col A. (complex-of-real (Re (A \$$
 $(x, xa))))^2) +$
 $(\sum x = 0..<dim-row A. \sum xa = 0..<dim-col A. (complex-of-real (Im (A \$$
 $(x, xa))))^2)$
by auto
qed
finally show *?thesis .*
qed

lemma *trace-adjoint-element-ineq:*

fixes $A :: complex\ mat$
assumes $rindex: i \in \{0 ..< dim-row A\}$
and $cindex: j \in \{0 ..< dim-col A\}$
shows $(norm(A \$ (i,j)))^2 \leq trace (A * adjoint A)$
proof *(simp add: trace-adjoint-eq-u less-eq-complex-def)*
have $ineqi: (cmod (A \$ (i, j)))^2 \leq (\sum xa = 0..<dim-col A. (cmod (A \$ (i,$
 $xa))))^2)$
using *cindex member-le-sum[of j {0 ..< dim-col A} $\lambda x. (cmod (A \$ (i, x)))^2]$*
by auto
also have $ineqj: \dots \leq (\sum x = 0..<dim-row A. \sum xa = 0..<dim-col A. (cmod$
 $(A \$ (x, xa))))^2)$
using *rindex member-le-sum[of i {0 ..< dim-row A} $\lambda x. \sum xa = 0..<dim-col$*
 $A. (cmod (A \$ (x, xa)))^2]$
by *(simp add: sum-nonneg)*
then show $(cmod (A \$ (i, j)))^2 \leq (\sum x = 0..<dim-row A. \sum xa = 0..<dim-col$
 $A. (cmod (A \$ (x, xa))))^2)$
using *ineqi by linarith*
qed

lemma *positive-is-normal:*

fixes $A :: complex\ mat$
assumes $pos: positive\ A$
shows $A * adjoint A = adjoint A * A$
proof –
have $hA: hermitian\ A$ **using** *positive-is-hermitian pos by auto*
then show *?thesis by (simp add: hA hermitian-is-normal)*
qed

lemma *diag-mat-mul-diag-diag:*

fixes $A\ B :: complex\ mat$
assumes $dimA: A \in carrier-mat\ n\ n$ **and** $dimB: B \in carrier-mat\ n\ n$

and dA : diagonal-mat A **and** dB : diagonal-mat B
shows diagonal-mat $(A * B)$
proof –
have AB : $A * B = \text{mat } n \ n \ (\lambda(i,j). \text{if } (i = j) \text{ then } (A\$\$(i, i)) * (B\$\$(i, i)) \text{ else } 0)$
using diag-mat-mult-diag-mat[of $A \ n \ B$] $\text{dim}A \ \text{dim}B \ dA \ dB$ **by** auto
then have dAB : $\forall i < n. \forall j < n. i \neq j \longrightarrow (A*B) \ \$\$ (i,j) = 0$
proof –
{
fix $i \ j$ **assume** $i: i < n$ **and** $j: j < n$ **and** $ij: i \neq j$
have $(A*B) \ \$\$ (i,j) = 0$ **using** $AB \ i \ j \ ij$ **by** auto
}
then show ?thesis **by** auto
qed
then show ?thesis **using** diagonal-mat-def $dAB \ \text{dim}A \ \text{dim}B$
by (metis carrier-matD(1) carrier-matD(2) index-mult-mat(2) index-mult-mat(3))
qed

lemma diag-mat-mul-diag-ele:
fixes $A \ B :: \text{complex mat}$
assumes $\text{dim}A: A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** $\text{dim}B: B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
and dA : diagonal-mat A **and** dB : diagonal-mat B
shows $\forall i < n. (A*B) \ \$\$ (i,i) = A\$\$(i, i) * B\$\$(i, i)$
proof –
have AB : $A * B = \text{mat } n \ n \ (\lambda(i,j). \text{if } i = j \text{ then } (A\$\$(i, i)) * (B\$\$(i, i)) \text{ else } 0)$
using diag-mat-mult-diag-mat[of $A \ n \ B$] $\text{dim}A \ \text{dim}B \ dA \ dB$ **by** auto
then show ?thesis
using AB **by** auto
qed

lemma trace-square-less-square-trace:
fixes $B :: \text{complex mat}$
assumes $\text{dim}B: B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
and dB : diagonal-mat B **and** $pB: \bigwedge i. i < n \implies B\$\$(i, i) \geq 0$
shows $\text{trace } (B*B) \leq (\text{trace } B)^2$
proof –
have tB : $\text{trace } B = (\sum i \in \{0 ..<n\}. B \ \$\$ (i,i))$ **using** assms trace-def [of B] carrier-mat-def **by** auto
then have $tBtB$: $(\text{trace } B)^2 = (\sum i \in \{0 ..<n\}. \sum j \in \{0 ..<n\}. B \ \$\$ (i,i) * B \ \$\$ (j,j))$
proof –
show ?thesis
by (metis (no-types) semiring-normalization-rules(29) sum-product tB)
qed
have BB : $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies (B*B) \ \$\$ (i,i) = (B\$\$(i, i))^2$ **using** diag-mat-mul-diag-ele[of $B \ n \ B$] $\text{dim}B \ dB$
by (metis numeral-1-eq-Suc-0 power-Suc0-right power-add-numeral semiring-norm(2))
have tBB : $\text{trace } (B*B) = (\sum i \in \{0 ..<n\}. (B*B) \ \$\$ (i,i))$ **using** assms

trace-def[of $B*B$] *carrier-mat-def* **by auto**
also have $\dots = (\sum i \in \{0 \dots n\}. (B \text{ \textit{\$} \$}(i, i))^2)$ **using** BB **by auto**
finally have BBt : $\text{trace } (B * B) = (\sum i = 0 \dots n. (B \text{ \textit{\$} \$}(i, i))^2)$ **by auto**
have *lesseq*: $\forall i \in \{0 \dots n\}. (B \text{ \textit{\$} \$}(i, i))^2 \leq (\sum j \in \{0 \dots n\}. B \text{ \textit{\$} \$}(i, i) * B \text{ \textit{\$} \$}(j, j))$
proof –
{
 fix i **assume** $i: i < n$
 have $(\sum j = 0 \dots n. B \text{ \textit{\$} \$}(i, i) * B \text{ \textit{\$} \$}(j, j)) = (B \text{ \textit{\$} \$}(i, i))^2 + \text{sum } (\lambda j. (B \text{ \textit{\$} \$}(i, i) * B \text{ \textit{\$} \$}(j, j))) (\{0 \dots n\} - \{i\})$
 by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) BB *atLeastLessThan-iff dB diag-mat-mul-diag-ele dimB finite-atLeastLessThan i not-le not-less-zero sum.remove*)
 moreover have $(\text{sum } (\lambda j. (B \text{ \textit{\$} \$}(i, i) * B \text{ \textit{\$} \$}(j, j))) (\{0 \dots n\} - \{i\})) \geq 0$
 proof (*cases* $\{0 \dots n\} - \{i\} \neq \{\}$)
 case *True*
 then show *?thesis* **using** pB i *sum-nonneg*[of $\{0 \dots n\} - \{i\}$ $\lambda j. (B \text{ \textit{\$} \$}(i, i) * B \text{ \textit{\$} \$}(j, j))$] **by auto**
 next
 case *False*
 have $(\sum j \in \{0 \dots n\} - \{i\}. B \text{ \textit{\$} \$}(i, i) * B \text{ \textit{\$} \$}(j, j)) = 0$ **using** *False* **by fastforce**
 then show *?thesis* **by auto**
 qed
 ultimately have $(\sum j = 0 \dots n. B \text{ \textit{\$} \$}(i, i) * B \text{ \textit{\$} \$}(j, j)) \geq (B \text{ \textit{\$} \$}(i, i))^2$ **by auto**
 }
 then show *?thesis* **by auto**
qed
from $tBtB$ BBt *lesseq* **have** $\text{trace } (B*B) \leq (\text{trace } B)^2$
 using *sum-mono*[of $\{0 \dots n\}$ $\lambda i. (B \text{ \textit{\$} \$}(i, i))^2 \lambda i. (\sum j = 0 \dots n. B \text{ \textit{\$} \$}(i, i) * B \text{ \textit{\$} \$}(j, j))$]
 by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*))
 then show *?thesis* **by auto**
qed

lemma *trace-positive-eq*:
 fixes $A :: \text{complex mat}$
 assumes pos : *positive A*
 shows $\text{trace } (A * \text{adjoint } A) \leq (\text{trace } A)^2$
proof –
 from $assms$ **have** *normal*: $A * \text{adjoint } A = \text{adjoint } A * A$ **by** (*rule positive-is-normal*)
 moreover
 from $assms$ *positive-dim-eq* **obtain** n **where** cA : $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **by auto**
 moreover
 from $assms$ *complex-mat-char-poly-factorizable cA* **obtain** es **where** *charpo*:
 char-poly A = $(\prod a \leftarrow es. [:- a, 1:]) \wedge \text{length } es = n$ **by auto**
 moreover
 obtain $B \ P \ Q$ **where** B : *unitary-schur-decomposition A es = (B,P,Q)* **by** (*cases*

unitary-schur-decomposition A es , *auto*)
ultimately have
smw: *similar-mat-wit* A B P (*adjoint* P)
and *ut*: *diagonal-mat* B
and *uP*: *unitary* P
and *dB*: *diag-mat* $B = es$
and *QaP*: $Q = \text{adjoint } P$
using *normal-complex-mat-has-spectral-decomposition*[*of* A n es B P Q] *unitary-schur-decomposition* **by** *auto*
from *smw* *cA* *QaP* *uP* **have** *cB*: $B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** *cP*: $P \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** *cQ*: $Q \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
unfolding *similar-mat-wit-def* *Let-def* *unitary-def* **by** *auto*
then have *caP*: *adjoint* $P \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **using** *adjoint-dim*[*of* P n] **by** *auto*
from *smw* *QaP* *cA* **have** $A: A = P * B * \text{adjoint } P$ **and** *traceA*: $\text{trace } A = \text{trace } (P * B * Q)$ **and** *PB*: $P * Q = 1_m \ n \wedge Q * P = 1_m \ n$
unfolding *similar-mat-wit-def* **by** *auto*
have *traceAB*: $\text{trace } (P * B * Q) = \text{trace } ((Q * P) * B)$
using *cQ* *cP* *cB* **by** (*mat-assoc* n)
also have *traceelim*: $\dots = \text{trace } B$ **using** *traceAB* *PB* *cA* *cB* *cP* *cQ* *left-mult-one-mat*[*of* $P * Q$ $n \ n$]
using *similar-mat-wit-sym* **by** *auto*
finally have *traceAB*: $\text{trace } A = \text{trace } B$ **using** *traceA* **by** *auto*
from A *cB* *cP* **have** *aAa*: $\text{adjoint } A = \text{adjoint } ((P * B) * \text{adjoint } P)$ **by** *auto*
have *aA*: $\text{adjoint } A = P * \text{adjoint } B * \text{adjoint } P$
unfolding *aAa* **using** *cP* *cB* **by** (*mat-assoc* n)
have *hA*: *hermitian* A **using** *pos* *positive-is-hermitian* **by** *auto*
then have *AaA*: $A = \text{adjoint } A$ **using** *hA* *hermitian-def*[*of* A] **by** *auto*
then have *PBaP*: $P * B * \text{adjoint } P = P * \text{adjoint } B * \text{adjoint } P$ **using** A *aA* **by** *auto*
then have *BaB*: $B = \text{adjoint } B$ **using** *unitary-elim*[*of* B n *adjoint* B P] *uP* *cP* *cB* *adjoint-dim*[*of* B n] **by** *auto*
have *aPP*: $\text{adjoint } P * P = 1_m \ n$ **using** *uP* *PB* *QaP* **by** *blast*
have $A * A = P * B * (\text{adjoint } P * P) * B * \text{adjoint } P$
unfolding A **using** *cP* *cB* **by** (*mat-assoc* n)
also have $\dots = P * B * B * \text{adjoint } P$
unfolding *aPP* **using** *cP* *cB* **by** (*mat-assoc* n)
finally have *AA*: $A * A = P * B * B * \text{adjoint } P$ **by** *auto*
then have *tAA*: $\text{trace } (A * A) = \text{trace } (P * B * B * \text{adjoint } P)$ **by** *auto*
also have *tBB*: $\dots = \text{trace } (\text{adjoint } P * P * B * B)$ **using** *cP* *cB* **by** (*mat-assoc* n)
also have $\dots = \text{trace } (B * B)$ **using** *uP* *unitary-def*[*of* P] *inverts-mat-def*[*of* P *adjoint* P]
using *PB* *QaP* *cB* **by** *auto*
finally have *traceAABB*: $\text{trace } (A * A) = \text{trace } (B * B)$ **by** *auto*
have *BP*: $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies B\$(i, i) \geq 0$
proof –
{
 fix i **assume** $i < n$
 then have $B\$(i, i) \geq 0$ **using** *positive-eigenvalue-positive*[*of* A n es B P Q]
}

```

i] cA pos charpo B by auto
  then show  $B \cdot B \geq 0$  by auto
  }
qed
have Brel: trace (B*B) ≤ (trace B)2 using trace-square-less-square-trace[of B
n] cB ut BP by auto
from AaA traceAABB traceAB Brel have trace (A*adjoint A) ≤ (trace A)2 by
auto
then show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma lower-le-transitive:
  fixes m n :: nat
  assumes re: n ≥ m
  shows positive (f n - f m)
proof -
  from re show positive (f n - f m)
  proof (induct n)
    case 0
    then show ?case using positive-zero
    by (metis dim le-0-eq minus-r-inv-mat)
  next
    case (Suc n)
    then show ?case
    proof (cases Suc n = m)
      case True
      then show ?thesis using positive-zero
      by (metis dim minus-r-inv-mat)
    next
      case False
      then show ?thesis
      proof -
        from False Suc have nm: n ≥ m by linarith
        from Suc nm have pnm: positive (f n - f m) by auto
        from inc have positive (f (Suc n) - f n) unfolding lower-le-def by auto
        then have pf: positive ((f (Suc n) - f n) + (f n - f m)) using positive-add
        dim pnm
        by (meson minus-carrier-mat)
        have (f (Suc n) - f n) + (f n - f m) = f (Suc n) + ((- f n) + f n) + (-
f m)
        using local.dim by (mat-assoc dim, auto)
        also have ... = f (Suc n) + 0m dim dim + (- f m)
        using local.dim by (subst uminus-l-inv-mat[where nc=dim and nr=dim],
auto)
        also have ... = f (Suc n) - f m
        using local.dim by (mat-assoc dim, auto)
        finally have re: f (Suc n) - f n + (f n - f m) = f (Suc n) - f m .
        from pf re have positive (f (Suc n) - f m) by auto
        then show ?thesis by auto
      qed
    qed
  qed

```

qed
 qed
 qed
 qed

The sequence of matrices converges pointwise.

lemma *inc-partial-density-operator-converge*:

assumes $i: i \in \{0 \dots dim\}$ **and** $j: j \in \{0 \dots dim\}$

shows *convergent* $(\lambda n. f\ n\ \$\$ (i, j))$

proof –

have *tracefn*: $trace\ (f\ n) \geq 0 \wedge trace\ (f\ n) \leq 1$ **for** n

proof –

from *pdo* **show** *?thesis*

unfolding *partial-density-operator-def* **using** *positive-trace*[*of f n*]

using *dim* **by** *blast*

qed

from *tracefn* **have** *normf*: $norm(trace\ (f\ n)) \leq norm(trace\ (f\ (Suc\ n))) \wedge norm(trace\ (f\ n)) \leq 1$ **for** n

proof –

have *trless*: $trace\ (f\ n) \leq trace\ (f\ (Suc\ n))$

using *pdo inc dim positive-trace*[*of f(Suc n) – f n*] *trace-minus-linear*[*of f (Suc n) dim f n*]

unfolding *partial-density-operator-def lower-le-def*

using *Complex-Matrix.positive-def* **by** *force*

moreover from *trless tracefn* **have** $norm(trace\ (f\ n)) \leq norm(trace\ (f\ (Suc\ n)))$ **unfolding** *cmod-def*

by (*simp add: less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def*)

moreover from *trless tracefn* **have** $norm(trace\ (f\ n)) \leq 1$ **using** *pdo partial-density-operator-def cmod-def*

by (*simp add: less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def*)

ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

then have *inctrace*: $incseq\ (\lambda\ n. norm(trace\ (f\ n)))$ **by** (*simp add: incseq-SucI*)

then have *tr-sup*: $(\lambda\ n. norm(trace\ (f\ n))) \longrightarrow (SUP\ i. norm\ (trace\ (f\ i)))$

using *LIMSEQ-incseq-SUP*[*of* $\lambda\ n. norm(trace\ (f\ n))$] *pdo partial-density-operator-def normf* **by** (*meson bdd-aboveI2*)

then have *tr-cauchy*: $Cauchy\ (\lambda\ n. norm(trace\ (f\ n)))$ **using** *Cauchy-convergent-iff convergent-def* **by** *blast*

then have *tr-cauchy-def*: $\forall e > 0. \exists M. \forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq M. dist(norm(trace\ (f\ n))) (norm(trace\ (f\ m))) < e$ **unfolding** *Cauchy-def* **by** *blast*

moreover have $\forall m\ n. dist(norm(trace\ (f\ m))) (norm(trace\ (f\ n))) = norm(trace\ (f\ m) - trace\ (f\ n))$

using *tracefn cmod-eq-Re dist-real-def* **by** (*auto simp: less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def*)

ultimately have *norm-trace*: $\forall e > 0. \exists M. \forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq M. norm((trace\ (f\ n)) - (trace\ (f\ m))) < e$ **by** *auto*

have *eq-minus*: $\forall m\ n. trace\ (f\ m) - trace\ (f\ n) = trace\ (f\ m - f\ n)$ **using** *trace-minus-linear dim* **by** *metis*

from *eq-minus norm-trace* **have** *norm-trace-cauchy*: $\forall e > 0. \exists M. \forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq M.$

$\text{norm}(\text{trace}(f n - f m)) < e$ **by** *auto*
then have *norm-trace-cauchy-iff*: $\forall e > 0. \exists M. \forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq m. \text{norm}(\text{trace}(f n - f m)) < e$
by (*meson order-trans-rules(23)*)
then have *norm-square*: $\forall e > 0. \exists M. \forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq m. (\text{norm}(\text{trace}(f n - f m)))^2 < e^2$
by (*metis abs-of-nonneg norm-ge-zero order-less-le real-sqrt-abs real-sqrt-less-iff*)

have *tr-re*: $\forall m. \forall n \geq m. \text{trace}((f n - f m) * \text{adjoint}(f n - f m)) \leq (\text{trace}(f n - f m))^2$
using *trace-positive-eq lower-le-transitive* **by** *auto*
have *tr-re-g*: $\forall m. \forall n \geq m. \text{trace}((f n - f m) * \text{adjoint}(f n - f m)) \geq 0$
using *lower-le-transitive positive-trace trace-adjoint-positive* **by** *auto*
have *norm-trace-fmn*: $\text{norm}(\text{trace}((f n - f m) * \text{adjoint}(f n - f m))) \leq (\text{norm}(\text{trace}(f n - f m)))^2$ **if** *nm*: $n \geq m$ **for** $m n$
proof –
have *mnA*: $\text{trace}((f n - f m) * \text{adjoint}(f n - f m)) \leq (\text{trace}(f n - f m))^2$
using *tr-re nm* **by** *auto*
have *mnB*: $\text{trace}((f n - f m) * \text{adjoint}(f n - f m)) \geq 0$ **using** *tr-re-g nm* **by** *auto*
from *mnA mnB* **show** *?thesis*
by (*smt (verit) cmod-eq-Re less-eq-complex-def norm-power zero-complex.sel(1) zero-complex.sel(2)*)
qed
then have *cauchy-adj*: $\exists M. \forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq m. \text{norm}(\text{trace}((f n - f m) * \text{adjoint}(f n - f m))) < e^2$ **if** *e*: $e > 0$ **for** *e*
proof –
have $\exists M. \forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq m. (\text{cmod}(\text{trace}(f n - f m)))^2 < e^2$ **using** *norm-square e* **by** *auto*
then obtain *M* **where** $\forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq m. (\text{cmod}(\text{trace}(f n - f m)))^2 < e^2$ **by** *auto*
then have $\forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq m. \text{norm}(\text{trace}((f n - f m) * \text{adjoint}(f n - f m))) < e^2$ **using** *norm-trace-fmn* **by** *fastforce*
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

have *norm-minus*: $\forall m. \forall n \geq m. (\text{norm}((f n - f m) \text{\$} \text{\$} (i, j)))^2 \leq \text{trace}((f n - f m) * \text{adjoint}(f n - f m))$
using *trace-adjoint-element-ineq i j*
by (*smt (verit) adjoint-dim-row carrier-matD(1) index-minus-mat(2) index-mult-mat(2) lower-le-transitive matrix-seq-axioms matrix-seq-def positive-is-normal*)
then have *norm-minus-le*: $(\text{norm}((f n - f m) \text{\$} \text{\$} (i, j)))^2 \leq \text{norm}(\text{trace}((f n - f m) * \text{adjoint}(f n - f m)))$ **if** *nm*: $n \geq m$ **for** $n m$
proof –
have $(\text{norm}((f n - f m) \text{\$} \text{\$} (i, j)))^2 \leq (\text{trace}((f n - f m) * \text{adjoint}(f n - f m)))$ **using** *norm-minus nm* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{norm}(\text{trace}((f n - f m) * \text{adjoint}(f n - f m)))$ **using** *tr-re-g nm*
by (*smt (verit) Re-complex-of-real less-eq-complex-def matrix-seq.trace-adjoint-eq-u*)

matrix-seq-axioms mult-cancel-left2 norm-one norm-scaleR of-real-def of-real-hom.hom-zero)

finally show *?thesis* **by** *(auto simp: less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def)*

qed

from *norm-minus-le cauchy-adj* **have** *cauchy-ij*: $\exists M. \forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq m. (\text{norm } ((f\ n - f\ m) \ \$\$ (i, j)))^2 < e^2$ **if** *e*: $e > 0$ **for** *e*

proof –

have $\exists M. \forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq m. \text{norm}(\text{trace } ((f\ n - f\ m) * \text{adjoint } (f\ n - f\ m))) < e^2$ **using** *cauchy-adj e* **by** *auto*

then obtain *M* **where** $\forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq m. \text{norm}(\text{trace } ((f\ n - f\ m) * \text{adjoint } (f\ n - f\ m))) < e^2$ **by** *auto*

then have $\forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq m. (\text{norm } ((f\ n - f\ m) \ \$\$ (i, j)))^2 < e^2$ **using** *norm-minus-le* **by** *fastforce*

then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

then have *cauchy-ij-norm*: $\exists M. \forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq m. (\text{norm } ((f\ n - f\ m) \ \$\$ (i, j))) < e$ **if** *e*: $e > 0$ **for** *e*

proof –

have $\exists M. \forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq m. (\text{norm } ((f\ n - f\ m) \ \$\$ (i, j)))^2 < e^2$ **using** *cauchy-ij e* **by** *auto*

then obtain *M* **where** *mn*: $\forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq m. (\text{norm } ((f\ n - f\ m) \ \$\$ (i, j)))^2 < e^2$ **by** *auto*

have $(\text{norm } ((f\ n - f\ m) \ \$\$ (i, j))) < e$ **if** *m*: $m \geq M$ **and** *n*: $n \geq m$ **for** *m n* $:: \text{nat}$

proof –

from *m n mn* **have** $(\text{norm } ((f\ n - f\ m) \ \$\$ (i, j)))^2 < e^2$ **by** *auto*

then show *?thesis*

using *e power-less-imp-less-base* **by** *fastforce*

qed

then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

have *cauchy-final*: $\exists M. \forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq M. \text{norm } ((f\ m) \ \$\$ (i, j) - (f\ n) \ \$\$ (i, j)) < e$ **if** *e*: $e > 0$ **for** *e*

proof –

obtain *M* **where** *mn*: $\forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq m. \text{norm } ((f\ n - f\ m) \ \$\$ (i, j)) < e$ **using** *cauchy-ij-norm e* **by** *auto*

have $\text{norm } ((f\ m) \ \$\$ (i, j) - (f\ n) \ \$\$ (i, j)) < e$ **if** *m*: $m \geq M$ **and** *n*: $n \geq M$ **for** *m n*

proof (*cases n ≥ m*)

case *True*

then show *?thesis*

proof –

from *mn* *m True* **have** $\text{norm } ((f\ n) \ \$\$ (i, j) - (f\ m) \ \$\$ (i, j)) < e$

by (*metis atLeastLessThan-iff carrier-matD(1) carrier-matD(2) dim i index-minus-mat(1) j*)

then have $\text{norm } ((f\ m) \ \$\$ (i, j) - (f\ n) \ \$\$ (i, j)) < e$ **by** (*simp add: norm-minus-commute*)

then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed
next
case *False*
then show *?thesis*
proof –
from *False n mnm* **have** *norm: norm ((f m - f n) \$\$ (i, j)) < e* **by** *auto*
have *minus: (f m - f n) \$\$ (i, j) = f m \$\$ (i, j) - f n \$\$ (i, j)*
by (*metis atLeastLessThan-iff carrier-matD(1) carrier-matD(2) dim i index-minus-mat(1) j*)
also have *... = - (f n - f m) \$\$ (i, j)* **using** *dim*
by (*metis atLeastLessThan-iff carrier-matD(1) carrier-matD(2) i index-minus-mat(1) j minus-diff-eq*)
finally have *fmn: (f m - f n) \$\$ (i, j) = - (f n - f m) \$\$ (i, j)* **by** *auto*
then have *norm ((- (f n - f m)) \$\$ (i, j)) < e* **using** *norm*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) atLeastLessThan-iff carrier-matD(1) carrier-matD(2) i index-minus-mat(2) index-minus-mat(3) index-uminus-mat(1) j matrix-seq-axioms matrix-seq-def*)
then have *norm (((f n - f m)) \$\$ (i, j)) < e* **using** *fmn norm* **by** *auto*
then have *norm (f n \$\$ (i, j) - f m \$\$ (i, j)) < e*
by (*metis minus norm norm-minus-commute*)
then have *norm (f m \$\$ (i, j) - f n \$\$ (i, j)) < e* **by** (*simp add: norm-minus-commute*)
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
qed
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

from *cauchy-final* **have** *Cauchy (λ n. f n \$\$ (i, j))* **by** (*simp add: Cauchy-def dist-norm*)
then show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: Cauchy-convergent-iff*)
qed

definition *mat-seq-minus* :: $(\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}) \Rightarrow \text{complex mat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ **where**
 $\text{mat-seq-minus } X A = (\lambda n. X n - A)$

definition *minus-mat-seq* :: $\text{complex mat} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ **where**
 $\text{minus-mat-seq } A X = (\lambda n. A - X n)$

lemma *pos-mat-lim-is-pos-aux*:

fixes $X :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ **and** $A :: \text{complex mat}$ **and** $m :: \text{nat}$
assumes *limX: limit-mat X A m* **and** *posX: ∃ k. ∀ n ≥ k. positive (X n)*
shows *positive A*

proof –

from *posX* **obtain** k **where** *posk: ∀ n ≥ k. positive (X n)* **by** *auto*

let $?Y = \lambda n. X (n + k)$
have $posY: \forall n. \text{positive } (?Y n)$ **using** $posk$ **by** $auto$

from $limX$ **have** $dimXA: \forall n. X (n + k) \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m \wedge A \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$
unfolding $limit\text{-mat}\text{-def}$ **by** $auto$

have $(\lambda n. X (n + k) \ \ \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow A \ \ \$\$ (i, j)$ **if** $i: i < m$ **and** $j: j < m$ **for** $i \ j$
proof –
have $(\lambda n. X \ n \ \ \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow A \ \ \$\$ (i, j)$ **using** $limX$ $limit\text{-mat}\text{-def}$ $i \ j$ **by** $auto$
then have $limseqX: \forall r > 0. \exists no. \forall n \geq no. \text{dist } (X \ n \ \ \$\$ (i, j)) (A \ \ \$\$ (i, j)) < r$ **unfolding** $LIMSEQ\text{-def}$ **by** $auto$
then have $\exists no. \forall n \geq no. \text{dist } (X (n + k) \ \ \$\$ (i, j)) (A \ \ \$\$ (i, j)) < r$ **if** $r: r > 0$ **for** r
proof –
obtain no **where** $\forall n \geq no. \text{dist } (X \ n \ \ \$\$ (i, j)) (A \ \ \$\$ (i, j)) < r$ **using** $limseqX$ r **by** $auto$
then have $\forall n \geq no. \text{dist } (X (n + k) \ \ \$\$ (i, j)) (A \ \ \$\$ (i, j)) < r$ **by** $auto$
then show $?thesis$ **by** $auto$
qed
then show $?thesis$ **unfolding** $LIMSEQ\text{-def}$ **by** $auto$
qed
then have $limXA: \text{limit-mat } (\lambda n. X (n + k)) \ A \ m$ **unfolding** $limit\text{-mat}\text{-def}$ **using** $dimXA$ **by** $auto$

from $posY \ limXA$ **have** $positive \ A$ **using** $pos\text{-mat}\text{-lim}\text{-is}\text{-pos}[of \ ?Y \ A \ m]$ **by** $auto$
then show $?thesis$ **by** $auto$
qed

lemma $minus\text{-mat}\text{-limit}$:

fixes $X :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ **and** $A :: \text{complex mat}$ **and** $m :: \text{nat}$ **and** $B :: \text{complex mat}$
assumes $dimB: B \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ **and** $limX: \text{limit-mat } X \ A \ m$
shows $\text{limit-mat } (\text{mat}\text{-seq}\text{-minus } X \ B) (A - B) \ m$
proof –
have $dimXAB: \forall n. X \ n - B \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m \wedge A - B \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$
using $index\text{-minus}\text{-mat } dimB$ **by** $auto$
have $(\lambda n. (X \ n - B) \ \ \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow (A - B) \ \ \$\$ (i, j)$ **if** $i: i < m$ **and** $j: j < m$ **for** $i \ j$
proof –
from $limX \ i \ j$ **have** $(\lambda n. (X \ n) \ \ \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow (A) \ \ \$\$ (i, j)$ **unfolding** $limit\text{-mat}\text{-def}$ **by** $auto$
then have $X: \forall r > 0. \exists no. \forall n \geq no. \text{dist } (X \ n \ \ \$\$ (i, j)) (A \ \ \$\$ (i, j)) < r$ **unfolding** $LIMSEQ\text{-def}$ **by** $auto$
then have $XB: \exists no. \forall n \geq no. \text{dist } ((X \ n - B) \ \ \$\$ (i, j)) ((A - B) \ \ \$\$ (i, j)) < r$ **if** $r: r > 0$ **for** r
proof –

obtain no where $\forall n \geq no. \text{dist } (X n \ \$\$ (i, j)) (A \ \$\$ (i, j)) < r$ **using** $r X$
by auto
then have $\text{dist: } \forall n \geq no. \text{norm } (X n \ \$\$ (i, j) - A \ \$\$ (i, j)) < r$ **unfolding**
 dist-norm **by auto**
then have $\text{norm } ((X n - B) \ \$\$ (i, j) - (A - B) \ \$\$ (i, j)) < r$ **if** $n: n \geq no$
for n
proof –
have $(X n - B) \ \$\$ (i, j) - (A - B) \ \$\$ (i, j) = (X n) \ \$\$ (i, j) - A \ \$\$ (i, j)$
using $\text{dimB } i j$ **by auto**
then have $\text{norm } ((X n - B) \ \$\$ (i, j) - (A - B) \ \$\$ (i, j)) = \text{norm } ((X n) \ \$\$ (i, j) - A \ \$\$ (i, j))$ **by auto**
then show $?thesis$ **using** $\text{dist } n$ **by auto**
qed
then show $?thesis$ **using** dist-norm **by metis**
qed
then show $?thesis$ **unfolding** LIMSEQ-def **by auto**
qed
then show $?thesis$
unfolding $\text{limit-mat-def mat-seq-minus-def}$ **using** dimXAB **by auto**
qed

lemma mat-minus-limit :

fixes $X :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ **and** $A :: \text{complex mat}$ **and** $m :: \text{nat}$ **and** $B :: \text{complex mat}$

assumes $\text{dimA: } A \in \text{carrier-mat } m m$ **and** $\text{limX: limit-mat } X A m$

shows $\text{limit-mat } (\text{minus-mat-seq } B X) (B - A) m$

proof –

have $\text{dimX: } \forall n. X n \in \text{carrier-mat } m m$ **using** limX **unfolding** limit-mat-def **by auto**

then have $\text{dimXAB: } \forall n. B - X n \in \text{carrier-mat } m m \wedge B - A \in \text{carrier-mat } m m$ **using** $\text{index-minus-mat dimA}$

by $(\text{simp add: minus-carrier-mat})$

have $(\lambda n. (B - X n) \ \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow (B - A) \ \$\$ (i, j)$ **if** $i: i < m$ **and** $j: j < m$ **for** $i j$

proof –

from $\text{limX } i j$ **have** $(\lambda n. (X n) \ \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow (A) \ \$\$ (i, j)$ **unfolding** limit-mat-def **by auto**

then have $X: \forall r > 0. \exists no. \forall n \geq no. \text{dist } (X n \ \$\$ (i, j)) (A \ \$\$ (i, j)) < r$ **unfolding** LIMSEQ-def **by auto**

then have $\text{XB: } \exists no. \forall n \geq no. \text{dist } ((B - X n) \ \$\$ (i, j)) ((B - A) \ \$\$ (i, j)) < r$ **if** $r: r > 0$ **for** r

proof –

obtain no where $\forall n \geq no. \text{dist } (X n \ \$\$ (i, j)) (A \ \$\$ (i, j)) < r$ **using** $r X$ **by auto**

then have $\text{dist: } \forall n \geq no. \text{norm } (X n \ \$\$ (i, j) - A \ \$\$ (i, j)) < r$ **unfolding** dist-norm **by auto**

then have $\text{norm } ((B - X n) \ \$\$ (i, j) - (B - A) \ \$\$ (i, j)) < r$ **if** $n: n \geq no$

for n
proof –
have $(B - X n) \text{ } \text{\$} \text{\$} (i, j) - (B - A) \text{ } \text{\$} \text{\$} (i, j) = - ((X n) \text{ } \text{\$} \text{\$} (i, j) - A \text{ } \text{\$} \text{\$} (i, j))$
using $\text{dim}A \ i \ j$
by $(\text{smt} \ (\text{verit}) \ \text{cancel-ab-semigroup-add-class.diff-right-commute} \ \text{cancel-comm-monoid-add-class.diff-cancel} \ \text{carrier-mat}D(1) \ \text{carrier-mat}D(2) \ \text{diff-add-cancel} \ \text{dim}X \ \text{index-minus-mat}(1) \ \text{minus-diff-eq})$
then have $\text{norm} \ ((B - X n) \text{ } \text{\$} \text{\$} (i, j) - (B - A) \text{ } \text{\$} \text{\$} (i, j)) = \text{norm} \ ((X n) \text{ } \text{\$} \text{\$} (i, j) - A \text{ } \text{\$} \text{\$} (i, j))$
by $(\text{metis} \ \text{norm-minus-cancel})$
then show $?thesis$ **using** $\text{dist} \ n$ **by** auto
qed
then show $?thesis$ **using** dist-norm **by** metis
qed
then show $?thesis$ **unfolding** LIMSEQ-def **by** auto
qed
then have $\text{limit-mat} \ (\text{minus-mat-seq} \ B \ X) \ (B - A) \ m$
unfolding $\text{limit-mat-def} \ \text{minus-mat-seq-def}$ **using** $\text{dim}XAB$ **by** auto
then show $?thesis$ **by** auto
qed

lemma lower-lub-form :

$\text{lower-is-lub} \ (\text{mat} \ \text{dim} \ \text{dim} \ (\lambda \ (i, j). \ (\text{lim} \ (\lambda \ n. \ (f \ n) \ \text{\$} \text{\$} (i, j))))$
proof –
from $\text{inc-partial-density-operator-converge}$
have $\text{conf}: \forall \ i \in \{0 \ ..<\text{dim}\}. \ \forall \ j \in \{0 \ ..<\text{dim}\}. \ \text{convergent} \ (\lambda \ n. \ f \ n \ \text{\$} \text{\$} (i, j))$
by auto
let $?A = \text{mat} \ \text{dim} \ \text{dim} \ (\lambda \ (i, j). \ (\text{lim} \ (\lambda \ n. \ (f \ n) \ \text{\$} \text{\$} (i, j))))$
have $\text{dim-A}: ?A \in \text{carrier-mat} \ \text{dim} \ \text{dim}$ **by** auto
have $\text{lim-A}: (\lambda n. \ f \ n \ \text{\$} \text{\$} (i, j)) \longrightarrow \text{mat} \ \text{dim} \ \text{dim} \ (\lambda(i, j). \ \text{lim} \ (\lambda n. \ f \ n \ \text{\$} \text{\$} (i, j))) \ \text{\$} \text{\$} (i, j)$
if $i < \text{dim}$ **and** $j < \text{dim}$ **for** $i \ j$
proof –
from $i \ j$ **have** $ij: \text{mat} \ \text{dim} \ \text{dim} \ (\lambda(i, j). \ \text{lim} \ (\lambda n. \ f \ n \ \text{\$} \text{\$} (i, j))) \ \text{\$} \text{\$} (i, j) = \text{lim} \ (\lambda n. \ f \ n \ \text{\$} \text{\$} (i, j))$
by $(\text{metis} \ \text{case-prod-conv} \ \text{index-mat}(1))$
have $\text{convergent} \ (\lambda n. \ f \ n \ \text{\$} \text{\$} (i, j))$ **using** $\text{conf} \ i \ j$ **by** auto
then have $(\lambda n. \ f \ n \ \text{\$} \text{\$} (i, j)) \longrightarrow \text{lim} \ (\lambda n. \ f \ n \ \text{\$} \text{\$} (i, j))$ **using** $\text{convergent-LIMSEQ-iff}$ **by** auto
then show $?thesis$ **using** ij **by** auto
qed

from $\text{dim} \ \text{dim-A} \ \text{lim-A}$ **have** $\text{lim-mat-A}: \text{limit-mat} \ f \ ?A \ \text{dim}$ **unfolding** limit-mat-def **by** auto

have $\text{is-ub}: f \ n \leq_L \ ?A$ **for** n

proof –

have $\forall \ m \geq n. \ \text{positive} \ (f \ m - f \ n)$ **using** $\text{lower-le-transitive}$ **by** auto

then have $le: \forall m \geq n. f n \leq_L f m$ **unfolding** *lower-le-def* **using** *dim*
by (*metis carrier-matD(1) carrier-matD(2)*)
have $dimn: f n \in \text{carrier-mat } dim \text{ } dim$ **using** *dim* **by** *auto*
then have $limAf: \text{limit-mat } (\text{mat-seq-minus } f \text{ } (f n)) \text{ } (?A - f n) \text{ } dim$ **using**
minus-mat-limit lim-mat-A **by** *auto*

have $\forall m \geq n. \text{positive } (f m - f n)$ **using** *lower-le-transitive* **by** *auto*
then have $\exists k. \forall m \geq k. \text{positive } (f m - f n)$ **by** *auto*
then have $posAf: \exists k. \forall m \geq k. \text{positive } ((\text{mat-seq-minus } f \text{ } (f n)) m)$ **unfolding**
mat-seq-minus-def **by** *auto*

from $limAf \text{ } posAf$ **have** $\text{positive } (?A - f n)$ **using** *pos-mat-lim-is-pos-aux* **by**
auto
then have $f n \leq_L \text{mat } dim \text{ } dim \text{ } (\lambda(i, j). \text{lim } (\lambda n. f n \text{ } \$\$ (i, j)))$ **unfolding**
lower-le-def **using** *dim* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

have $is-lub: ?A \leq_L M'$ **if** $ub: \forall n. f n \leq_L M'$ **for** M'
proof –
have $dim-M: M' \in \text{carrier-mat } dim \text{ } dim$ **using** *ub* **unfolding** *lower-le-def*
using *dim*
by (*metis carrier-matD(1) carrier-matD(2) carrier-mat-triv*)
from ub **have** $posAf: \forall n. \text{positive } (\text{minus-mat-seq } M' f n)$ **unfolding** *mi-*
nus-mat-seq-def lower-le-def **by** *auto*
have $limAf: \text{limit-mat } (\text{minus-mat-seq } M' f) \text{ } (M' - ?A) \text{ } dim$
using *mat-minus-limit dim-A lim-mat-A* **by** *auto*
from $posAf \text{ } limAf$ **have** $\text{positive } (M' - ?A)$ **using** *pos-mat-lim-is-pos-aux* **by**
auto
then have $?A \leq_L M'$ **unfolding** *lower-le-def* **using** *dim dim-A dim-M* **by**
auto
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

from $is-ub \text{ } is-lub$ **show** *?thesis* **unfolding** *lower-is-lub-def* **by** *auto*
qed

Lower partial order is a complete partial order.

lemma *lower-lub-exists*: $\exists M. \text{lower-is-lub } M$
using *lower-lub-form* **by** *auto*

lemma *lower-lub-unique*: $\exists! M. \text{lower-is-lub } M$

proof (*rule HOL.ex-ex1I*)

show $\exists M. \text{lower-is-lub } M$

by (*rule lower-lub-exists*)

next

fix $M N$

assume $M: \text{lower-is-lub } M$ **and** $N: \text{lower-is-lub } N$

have $Md: M \in \text{carrier-mat } dim \text{ } dim$ **using** M **by** (*rule lower-is-lub-dim*)

have $Nd: N \in \text{carrier-mat dim dim}$ **using** N **by** (rule *lower-is-lub-dim*)
have $MN: M \leq_L N$ **using** $M N$ **by** (simp add: *lower-is-lub-def*)
have $NM: N \leq_L M$ **using** $M N$ **by** (simp add: *lower-is-lub-def*)
show $M = N$ **using** $MN NM$ **by** (auto intro: *lower-le-antisym[OF Md Nd]*)
qed

definition *lower-lub* :: complex mat **where**
lower-lub = (THE M . *lower-is-lub* M)

lemma *lower-lub-prop: lower-is-lub lower-lub*
unfolding *lower-lub-def*
apply (rule *HOL.theI'*)
by (rule *lower-lub-unique*)

lemma *lower-lub-is-limit:*
limit-mat f lower-lub dim

proof –
define A **where** $A = \text{lower-lub}$
then have $A = (\text{THE } M. \text{lower-is-lub } M)$ **using** *lower-lub-def* **by** auto
then have $Af: A = (\text{mat dim dim } (\lambda (i, j). (\text{lim } (\lambda n. (f n) \text{\$} (i, j))))))$
using *lower-lub-form lower-lub-unique* **by** auto
show *limit-mat f A dim* **unfolding** Af *limit-mat-def*
apply (auto simp add: *dim*)
proof –
fix $i j$ **assume** $\text{dims: } i < \text{dim } j < \text{dim}$
then have *convergent* $(\lambda n. f n \text{\$} (i, j))$ **using** *inc-partial-density-operator-converge*
by auto
then show $(\lambda n. f n \text{\$} (i, j)) \longrightarrow \text{lim } (\lambda n. f n \text{\$} (i, j))$ **using** *convergent-LIMSEQ-iff* **by** auto
qed
qed

lemma *lower-lub-trace:*

assumes $\forall n. \text{trace } (f n) \leq x$
shows *trace lower-lub* $\leq x$
proof –
have $\forall n. \text{trace } (f n) \geq 0$ **using** *positive-trace pdo* **unfolding** *partial-density-operator-def*
using *dim* **by** blast
then have $\text{Re: } \forall n. \text{Re } (\text{trace } (f n)) \geq 0 \wedge \text{Im } (\text{trace } (f n)) = 0$
by (auto simp: *less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def*)
then have $\text{lex: } \forall n. \text{Re } (\text{trace } (f n)) \leq \text{Re } x \wedge \text{Im } x = 0$ **using** *assms*
by (auto simp: *less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def*)

have *limit-mat f lower-lub dim* **using** *lower-lub-is-limit* **by** auto
then have *conv:* $(\lambda n. \text{trace } (f n)) \longrightarrow \text{trace lower-lub}$ **using** *mat-trace-limit*
by auto
then have $(\lambda n. \text{Re } (\text{trace } (f n))) \longrightarrow \text{Re } (\text{trace lower-lub})$
by (simp add: *tendsto-Re*)
then have $\text{Rell: } \text{Re } (\text{trace lower-lub}) \leq \text{Re } x$

using *lex Lim-bounded*[of ($\lambda n. \text{Re} (\text{trace} (f n))$) *Re (trace lower-lub) 0 Re x*]
by *simp*

from *conv* **have** ($\lambda n. \text{Im} (\text{trace} (f n))$) \longrightarrow *Im (trace lower-lub)*
by (*simp add: tendsto-Im*)
then **have** *Imll: Im (trace lower-lub) = 0* **using** *Re*
by (*simp add: Lim-bounded Lim-bounded2 dual-order.antisym*)

from *Rell Imll lex* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def*)
qed

lemma *lower-lub-is-positive:*

shows *positive lower-lub*

using *lower-lub-is-limit pos-mat-lim-is-pos pdo unfolding partial-density-operator-def*
by *auto*

end

2.3 Finite sum of matrices

Add f in the interval $[0, n)$

fun *matrix-sum* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{semiring-1 mat}) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ mat}$ **where**
matrix-sum d f 0 = 0_m d d
| *matrix-sum d f (Suc n) = f n + matrix-sum d f n*

definition *matrix-inf-sum* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}) \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ **where**
matrix-inf-sum d f = matrix-seq.lower-lub ($\lambda n. \text{matrix-sum d f n}$)

lemma *matrix-sum-dim:*

fixes $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{semiring-1 mat}$

shows ($\bigwedge k. k < n \implies f k \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$) $\implies \text{matrix-sum d f n} \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$

proof (*induct n*)

case *0*

show *?case* **by** *auto*

next

case (*Suc n*)

then **have** $f n \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **by** *auto*

then **show** *?case* **using** *Suc* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *matrix-sum-cong:*

fixes $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{semiring-1 mat}$

shows ($\bigwedge k. k < n \implies f k = f' k$) $\implies \text{matrix-sum d f n} = \text{matrix-sum d f' n}$

proof (*induct n*)

case *0*

show *?case* **by** *auto*

next

case (*Suc n*)

then show ?case unfolding matrix-sum.simps by auto
qed

lemma matrix-sum-add:

fixes $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{semiring-1 mat}$ and $g :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{semiring-1 mat}$ and $h :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{semiring-1 mat}$

shows $(\bigwedge k. k < n \implies f k \in \text{carrier-mat } d d) \implies (\bigwedge k. k < n \implies g k \in \text{carrier-mat } d d) \implies (\bigwedge k. k < n \implies h k \in \text{carrier-mat } d d) \implies$

$(\bigwedge k. k < n \implies f k = g k + h k) \implies \text{matrix-sum } d f n = \text{matrix-sum } d g n + \text{matrix-sum } d h n$

proof (induct n)

case 0

then show ?case by auto

next

case (Suc n)

then show ?case

proof -

have $gh: \text{matrix-sum } d g n \in \text{carrier-mat } d d \wedge \text{matrix-sum } d h n \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$

using matrix-sum-dim Suc(3, 4) by (simp add: matrix-sum-dim)

have $nSuc: n < \text{Suc } n$ by auto

have $sumf: \text{matrix-sum } d f n = \text{matrix-sum } d g n + \text{matrix-sum } d h n$ using Suc by auto

have $\text{matrix-sum } d f (\text{Suc } n) = \text{matrix-sum } d g (\text{Suc } n) + \text{matrix-sum } d h (\text{Suc } n)$

unfolding matrix-sum.simps Suc(5)[OF nSuc] sumf

apply (mat-assoc d) using gh Suc by auto

then show ?thesis by auto

qed

qed

lemma matrix-sum-smult:

fixes $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{semiring-1 mat}$

shows $(\bigwedge k. k < n \implies f k \in \text{carrier-mat } d d) \implies$

$\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. c \cdot_m f k) n = c \cdot_m \text{matrix-sum } d f n$

proof (induct n)

case 0

then show ?case by auto

next

case (Suc n)

then show ?case

apply auto

using add-smult-distrib-left-mat Suc matrix-sum-dim

by (metis lessI less-SucI)

qed

lemma matrix-sum-remove:

fixes $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{semiring-1 mat}$

assumes $j: j < n$
and $df: (\bigwedge k. k < n \implies f\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d)$
and $f': (\bigwedge k. f'\ k = (\text{if } k = j \text{ then } 0_m\ d\ d \text{ else } f\ k))$
shows $\text{matrix-sum } d\ f\ n = f\ j + \text{matrix-sum } d\ f'\ n$
proof –
have $df': \bigwedge k. k < n \implies f'\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **using** $f'\ df$ **by** *auto*
have $dsf: k < n \implies \text{matrix-sum } d\ f\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **for** k **using** $\text{matrix-sum-dim}[OF\ df]$ **by** *auto*
have $dsf': k < n \implies \text{matrix-sum } d\ f'\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **for** k **using** $\text{matrix-sum-dim}[OF\ df']$ **by** *auto*
have $flj: \bigwedge k. k < j \implies f'\ k = f\ k$ **using** $j\ f'$ **by** *auto*
then have $\text{matrix-sum } d\ f\ j = \text{matrix-sum } d\ f'\ j$ **using** $\text{matrix-sum-cong}[of\ j\ f'\ f, OF\ flj]\ df\ df'\ j$ **by** *auto*
then have $eqj: \text{matrix-sum } d\ f\ (\text{Suc } j) = f\ j + \text{matrix-sum } d\ f'\ (\text{Suc } j)$ **unfolding** matrix-sum.simps
by $(\text{subst } (1)\ f', \text{simp add: } df\ dsf'\ j)$
have $lm: (j + 1) + l \leq n \implies \text{matrix-sum } d\ f\ ((j + 1) + l) = f\ j + \text{matrix-sum } d\ f'\ ((j + 1) + l)$ **for** l
proof $(\text{induct } l)$
case 0
show $?case$ **using** $j\ eqj$ **by** *auto*
next
case $(\text{Suc } l)$ **then have** $eq: \text{matrix-sum } d\ f\ ((j + 1) + l) = f\ j + \text{matrix-sum } d\ f'\ ((j + 1) + l)$ **by** *auto*
have $s: ((j + 1) + \text{Suc } l) = \text{Suc } ((j + 1) + l)$ **by** *simp*
have $eqf': f'\ (j + 1 + l) = f\ (j + 1 + l)$ **using** $f'\ \text{Suc}$ **by** *auto*
have $\text{dims}: f\ (j + 1 + l) \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d\ f\ j \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ $\text{matrix-sum } d\ f'\ (j + 1 + l) \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **using** $df\ df'\ dsf'\ \text{Suc}$ **by** *auto*
show $?case$ **apply** $(\text{subst } (1\ 2)\ s)$ **unfolding** matrix-sum.simps
apply $(\text{subst } eq, \text{subst } eqf')$
apply $(\text{mat-assoc } d)$ **using** dims **by** *auto*
qed
have $p: (j + 1) + (n - j - 1) \leq n$ **using** j **by** *auto*
show $?thesis$ **using** $lm[OF\ p]\ j$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma $\text{matrix-sum-Suc-remove-head}$:

fixes $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$
shows $(\bigwedge k. k < n + 1 \implies f\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d) \implies$
 $\text{matrix-sum } d\ f\ (n + 1) = f\ 0 + \text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda k. f\ (k + 1))\ n$
proof $(\text{induct } n)$
case 0
then show $?case$ **by** *auto*
next
case $(\text{Suc } n)$
then have $dSS: \bigwedge k. k < \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n) \implies f\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **by** *auto*
have $ds: \text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda k. f\ (k + 1))\ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **using** $\text{matrix-sum-dim}[OF\ dSS, of\ n\ \lambda k. k + 1]$ **by** *auto*
have $\text{matrix-sum } d\ f\ (\text{Suc } n + 1) = f\ (n + 1) + \text{matrix-sum } d\ f\ (n + 1)$ **by**

auto
also have $\dots = f (n + 1) + (f 0 + \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. f (k + 1))) n$ **using**
Suc **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = f 0 + (f (n + 1) + \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. f (k + 1))) n$
using *ds* **apply** (*mat-assoc* *d*) **using** *dSS* **by** *auto*
finally show *?case* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *matrix-sum-positive*:
fixes $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$
shows $(\bigwedge k. k < n \Rightarrow f k \in \text{carrier-mat } d d) \Rightarrow (\bigwedge k. k < n \Rightarrow \text{positive } (f k))$
 $\Rightarrow \text{positive } (\text{matrix-sum } d f n)$
proof (*induct* *n*)
case *0*
show *?case* **using** *positive-zero* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Suc* *n*)
then have *dfn*: $f n \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **and** *psn*: $\text{positive } (\text{matrix-sum } d f n)$ **and**
pn: $\text{positive } (f n)$ **and** *d*: $k < n \Rightarrow f k \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **for** *k* **by** *auto*
then have *dsn*: $\text{matrix-sum } d f n \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **using** *matrix-sum-dim* **by**
auto
show *?case* **unfolding** *matrix-sum.simps* **using** *positive-add*[*OF* *pn psn dfn dsn*]
by *auto*
qed

lemma *matrix-sum-mult-right*:
shows $(\bigwedge k. k < n \Rightarrow f k \in \text{carrier-mat } d d) \Rightarrow A \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$
 $\Rightarrow \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. (f k) * A) n = \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. f k) n * A$
proof (*induct* *n*)
case *0*
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Suc* *n*)
then have $k < n \Rightarrow f k \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **and** *dfn*: $f n \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **for**
k **by** *auto*
then have *dsfn*: $\text{matrix-sum } d f n \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **using** *matrix-sum-dim* **by**
auto
have $(f n + \text{matrix-sum } d f n) * A = f n * A + \text{matrix-sum } d f n * A$
apply (*mat-assoc* *d*) **using** *Suc* *dsfn* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = f n * A + \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. f k * A) n$ **using** *Suc* **by** *auto*
finally show *?case* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *matrix-sum-add-distrib*:
shows $(\bigwedge k. k < n \Rightarrow f k \in \text{carrier-mat } d d) \Rightarrow (\bigwedge k. k < n \Rightarrow g k \in \text{carrier-mat } d d)$
 $\Rightarrow \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. (f k) + (g k)) n = \text{matrix-sum } d f n + \text{matrix-sum } d g n$
proof (*induct* *n*)
case *0*

then show *?case by auto*
next
case (*Suc n*)
then have *dfn: f n ∈ carrier-mat d d and dgn: g n ∈ carrier-mat d d*
and *dfk: k < n ⇒ f k ∈ carrier-mat d d and dgk: k < n ⇒ g k ∈ carrier-mat d d*
and *eq: matrix-sum d (λk. f k + g k) n = matrix-sum d f n + matrix-sum d g n for k by auto*
have *dsf: matrix-sum d f n ∈ carrier-mat d d using matrix-sum-dim dfk by auto*
have *dsg: matrix-sum d g n ∈ carrier-mat d d using matrix-sum-dim dgk by auto*
show *?case unfolding matrix-sum.simps eq*
using *dfn dgn dsf dsg by (mat-assoc d)*
qed

lemma *matrix-sum-minus-distrib:*

fixes *f g :: nat ⇒ complex mat*
shows $(\bigwedge k. k < n \Rightarrow f k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d) \Rightarrow (\bigwedge k. k < n \Rightarrow g k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d)$
 $\Rightarrow \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. (f k) - (g k)) \ n = \text{matrix-sum } d \ f \ n - \text{matrix-sum } d \ g \ n$
proof -
have *eq: -1 ·_m g k = - g k for k by auto*
assume *dfk: λk. k < n ⇒ f k ∈ carrier-mat d d and dgk: λk. k < n ⇒ (g k) ∈ carrier-mat d d*
then have *k < n ⇒ (f k) - (g k) = (f k) + (- (g k)) for k by auto*
then have *matrix-sum d (λk. (f k) - (g k)) n = matrix-sum d (λk. (f k) + (- (g k))) n*
using *matrix-sum-cong[of n λk. (f k) - (g k)] dfk dgk by auto*
also have $\dots = \text{matrix-sum } d \ f \ n + \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. - (g k)) \ n$
using *matrix-sum-add-distrib[of n f] dfk dgk by auto*
also have $\dots = \text{matrix-sum } d \ f \ n - \text{matrix-sum } d \ g \ n$
apply *(subgoal-tac matrix-sum d (λk. - (g k)) n = - matrix-sum d g n, auto)*
apply *(subgoal-tac - 1 ·_m matrix-sum d g n = - matrix-sum d g n)*
by *(simp add: matrix-sum-smult[of n g d -1, OF dgk, simplified], auto)*
finally show *?thesis .*
qed

lemma *matrix-sum-shift-Suc:*

shows $(\bigwedge k. k < (\text{Suc } n) \Rightarrow f k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d)$
 $\Rightarrow \text{matrix-sum } d \ f \ (\text{Suc } n) = f \ 0 + \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. f \ (\text{Suc } k)) \ n$
proof (*induct n*)
case *0*
then show *?case by auto*
next
case (*Suc n*)
have *dfk: k < Suc (Suc n) ⇒ f k ∈ carrier-mat d d for k using Suc by auto*
have *dsSk: k < Suc n ⇒ matrix-sum d (λk. f (Suc k)) n ∈ carrier-mat d d for k using matrix-sum-dim[of - λk. f (Suc k)] dfk by fastforce*

have $\text{matrix-sum } d f (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) = f (\text{Suc } n) + \text{matrix-sum } d f (\text{Suc } n)$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = f (\text{Suc } n) + f 0 + \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. f (\text{Suc } k)) n$ **using** *Suc dsSk assoc-add-mat*[*of f (Suc n) d d f 0*] **by** *fastforce*
also have $\dots = f 0 + (f (\text{Suc } n) + \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. f (\text{Suc } k)) n)$ **apply** (*mat-assoc d*) **using** *dsSk dfk* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = f 0 + \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. f (\text{Suc } k)) (\text{Suc } n)$ **by** *auto*
finally show *?case* .
qed

lemma *lower-le-matrix-sum*:

fixes $f g :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$

shows $(\bigwedge k. k < n \Rightarrow f k \in \text{carrier-mat } d d) \Rightarrow (\bigwedge k. k < n \Rightarrow g k \in \text{carrier-mat } d d)$

$\Rightarrow (\bigwedge k. k < n \Rightarrow f k \leq_L g k)$

$\Rightarrow \text{matrix-sum } d f n \leq_L \text{matrix-sum } d g n$

proof (*induct n*)

case *0*

show *?case* **unfolding** *matrix-sum.simps* **using** *lower-le-refl*[*of 0_m d d d*] **by** *auto*

next

case (*Suc n*)

then have *dfn*: $f n \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **and** *dgn*: $g n \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **and** *le1*: $f n \leq_L g n$ **by** *auto*

then have *le2*: $\text{matrix-sum } d f n \leq_L \text{matrix-sum } d g n$ **using** *Suc* **by** *auto*

have $k < n \Rightarrow f k \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **for** *k* **using** *Suc* **by** *auto*

then have *dsf*: $\text{matrix-sum } d f n \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **using** *matrix-sum-dim* **by** *auto*

have $k < n \Rightarrow g k \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **for** *k* **using** *Suc* **by** *auto*

then have *dsg*: $\text{matrix-sum } d g n \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **using** *matrix-sum-dim* **by** *auto*

show *?case* **unfolding** *matrix-sum.simps* **using** *lower-le-add* *dfn dsf dgn dsg le1 le2* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *lower-lub-add*:

assumes $\text{matrix-seq } d f \text{ matrix-seq } d g \forall n. \text{trace } (f n + g n) \leq 1$

shows $\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } (\lambda n. f n + g n) = \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } f + \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } g$

proof –

have *msf*: $\text{matrix-seq.lower-is-lub } f (\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } f)$ **using** *assms(1)* *matrix-seq.lower-lub-prop* **by** *auto*

then have *limit-mat f (matrix-seq.lower-lub f) d* **using** *matrix-seq.lower-lub-is-limit* *assms* **by** *auto*

then have *lim1*: $\forall i < d. \forall j < d. (\lambda n. f n \ \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow (\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } f) \ \$\$ (i, j)$ **using** *limit-mat-def* *assms* **by** *auto*

have *msg*: $\text{matrix-seq.lower-is-lub } g (\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } g)$ **using** *assms(2)* *matrix-seq.lower-lub-prop* **by** *auto*

then have *limit-mat g (matrix-seq.lower-lub g) d* **using** *matrix-seq.lower-lub-is-limit* *assms* **by** *auto*

then have *lim2: $\forall i < d. \forall j < d. (\lambda n. g\ n\ \$(i, j)) \longrightarrow (matrix-seq.lower-lub\ g)\ \(i, j)* **using** *limit-mat-def* *assms* **by** *auto*

have $\forall n. f\ n + g\ n \in carrier\ mat\ d\ d$ **using** *assms* **unfolding** *matrix-seq-def* **by** *fastforce*

moreover have $\forall n. partial\ density\ operator\ (f\ n + g\ n)$ **using** *assms*

unfolding *matrix-seq-def* *partial-density-operator-def* **using** *positive-add* **by** *blast*

moreover have $(f\ n + g\ n) \leq_L (f\ (Suc\ n) + g\ (Suc\ n))$ **for** *n*

using *assms*

unfolding *matrix-seq-def* **using** *lower-le-add[of f n d f (Suc n) g n g (Suc n)]* **by** *auto*

ultimately have *msfg: matrix-seq d ($\lambda n. f\ n + g\ n$)* **using** *assms* **unfolding** *matrix-seq-def* **by** *auto*

then have *mslfg: matrix-seq.lower-lub ($\lambda n. f\ n + g\ n$) (matrix-seq.lower-lub ($\lambda n. f\ n + g\ n$))*

using *matrix-seq.lower-lub-prop* **by** *auto*

then have *limit-mat ($\lambda n. f\ n + g\ n$) (matrix-seq.lower-lub ($\lambda n. f\ n + g\ n$)) d* **using** *matrix-seq.lower-lub-is-limit* *msfg* **by** *auto*

then have *lim3: $\forall i < d. \forall j < d. (\lambda n. (f\ n + g\ n)\ \$(i, j)) \longrightarrow (matrix-seq.lower-lub\ (\lambda n. f\ n + g\ n))\ \(i, j)* **using** *limit-mat-def* *assms* **by** *auto*

have $\forall i < d. \forall j < d. \forall n. (f\ n + g\ n)\ \$(i, j) = f\ n\ \$(i, j) + g\ n\ \(i, j) **using** *assms* **unfolding** *matrix-seq-def*

by (*metis carrier-matD(1) carrier-matD(2) index-add-mat(1)*)

then have *add: $\forall i < d. \forall j < d. (\lambda n. f\ n\ \$(i, j) + g\ n\ \$(i, j)) \longrightarrow (matrix-seq.lower-lub\ (\lambda n. f\ n + g\ n))\ \(i, j)* **using** *lim3* **by** *auto*

have *matrix-seq.lower-lub f $\$(i, j) + matrix-seq.lower-lub g\ \$(i, j) = matrix-seq.lower-lub (\lambda n. f\ n + g\ n)\ \(i, j)*

if *i: i < d* **and** *j: j < d* **for** *i j*

proof –

have $(\lambda n. f\ n\ \$(i, j)) \longrightarrow matrix-seq.lower-lub\ f\ \(i, j) **using** *lim1* *i j* **by** *auto*

moreover have $(\lambda n. g\ n\ \$(i, j)) \longrightarrow matrix-seq.lower-lub\ g\ \(i, j) **using** *lim2* *i j* **by** *auto*

ultimately have $(\lambda n. f\ n\ \$(i, j) + g\ n\ \$(i, j)) \longrightarrow matrix-seq.lower-lub\ f\ \$(i, j) + matrix-seq.lower-lub\ g\ \(i, j)

using *tendsto-add[of $\lambda n. f\ n\ \$(i, j)$ *matrix-seq.lower-lub f $\$(i, j)$ sequentially $\lambda n. g\ n\ \$(i, j)$ *matrix-seq.lower-lub g $\$(i, j)$*]* **by** *auto**

moreover have $(\lambda n. f\ n\ \$(i, j) + g\ n\ \$(i, j)) \longrightarrow matrix-seq.lower-lub\ (\lambda n. f\ n + g\ n)\ \(i, j) **using** *add* *i j* **by** *auto*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *LIMSEQ-unique* **by** *auto*

qed

moreover have *matrix-seq.lower-lub f $\in carrier-mat\ d\ d$* **using** *matrix-seq.lower-lub-is-lub-dim* *assms(1)* *msf* **unfolding** *matrix-seq-def* **by** *auto*

moreover have *matrix-seq.lower-lub g $\in carrier-mat\ d\ d$* **using** *matrix-seq.lower-lub-is-lub-dim* *assms(2)* *msg* **unfolding** *matrix-seq-def* **by** *auto*

moreover have $\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } (\lambda n. f n + g n) \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **using**
 $\text{matrix-seq.lower-is-lub-dim } \text{msfg } \text{mslfg}$ **unfolding** matrix-seq-def **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis* **unfolding** matrix-seq-def **using** mat-eq-iff **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *lower-lub-scale*:

fixes $c :: \text{real}$

assumes $\text{matrix-seq } d f \ \forall n. \text{trace } (c \cdot_m f n) \leq 1 \ c \geq 0$

shows $\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } (\lambda n. c \cdot_m f n) = c \cdot_m \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } f$

proof –

have $\text{msf} : \text{matrix-seq.lower-is-lub } f \ (\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } f)$

using $\text{assms}(1)$ $\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub-prop}$ **by** *auto*

then have $\text{limit-mat } f \ (\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } f) \ d$

using $\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub-is-limit}$ assms **by** *auto*

then have $\text{lim1} : \forall i < d. \forall j < d. (\lambda n. f n \ \S\$(i, j)) \longrightarrow (\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } f) \ \S\(i, j)

using limit-mat-def assms **by** *auto*

have $\text{dimcf} : \forall n. c \cdot_m f n \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **using** assms **unfolding** matrix-seq-def **by** *fastforce*

moreover have $\forall n. \text{partial-density-operator } (c \cdot_m f n)$ **using** assms

unfolding matrix-seq-def $\text{partial-density-operator-def}$ **using** positive-scale **by**
blast

moreover have $\forall n. c \cdot_m f n \leq_L c \cdot_m f (\text{Suc } n)$ **using** lower-le-smult
 $\text{assms}(1,3)$

unfolding matrix-seq-def $\text{partial-density-operator-def}$ **by** *blast*

ultimately have $\text{mscf} : \text{matrix-seq } d (\lambda n. c \cdot_m f n)$ **unfolding** matrix-seq-def
by *auto*

then have $\text{mslfg} : \text{matrix-seq.lower-is-lub } (\lambda n. c \cdot_m f n) \ (\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } (\lambda n. c \cdot_m f n))$

using $\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub-prop}$ **by** *auto*

then have $\text{limit-mat } (\lambda n. c \cdot_m f n) \ (\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } (\lambda n. c \cdot_m f n)) \ d$

using $\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub-is-limit}$ mscf **by** *auto*

then have $\text{lim3} : \forall i < d. \forall j < d. (\lambda n. (c \cdot_m f n) \ \S\$(i, j)) \longrightarrow (\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } (\lambda n. c \cdot_m f n)) \ \S\(i, j)

using limit-mat-def assms **by** *auto*

from $\text{mslfg } \text{mscf}$ **have** $\text{dleft} : \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } (\lambda n. c \cdot_m f n) \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$

using $\text{matrix-seq.lower-is-lub-dim}$ **by** *auto*

have $\text{dllf} : \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } f \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$

using $\text{matrix-seq.lower-is-lub-dim}$ $\text{assms}(1)$ msf **unfolding** matrix-seq-def **by**
auto

then have $\text{dright} : c \cdot_m \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } f \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **using** $\text{index-smult-mat}(2,3)$ **by** *auto*

have $\forall i < d. \forall j < d. \forall n. (c \cdot_m f n) \ \S\$(i, j) = c * f n \ \S\$(i, j)$

using $\text{assms}(1)$ **unfolding** matrix-seq-def **using** $\text{index-smult-mat}(1)$

by $(\text{metis } \text{carrier-matD}(1-2))$

then have $\text{smult} : \forall i < d. \forall j < d. (\lambda n. c * f n \ \S\$(i, j)) \longrightarrow (\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } f) \ \S\(i, j)

```

( $\lambda n. c \cdot_m f n$ ) $$ (i, j)
  using lim3 by auto
  have ij: ( $c \cdot_m \text{matrix-seq.lowner-lub } f$ ) $$ (i, j) = ( $\text{matrix-seq.lowner-lub } (\lambda n. c \cdot_m f n)$ ) $$ (i, j)
  if i:  $i < d$  and j:  $j < d$  for i j
  proof -
    have ( $\lambda n. f n$  $$ (i, j))  $\longrightarrow$   $\text{matrix-seq.lowner-lub } f$  $$ (i, j) using lim1 i j
  by auto
    moreover have  $\forall i < d. \forall j < d. (c \cdot_m \text{matrix-seq.lowner-lub } f) \$\$ (i, j) = c * \text{matrix-seq.lowner-lub } f \$\$ (i, j)$ 
    using index-smult-mat dllf by fastforce
    ultimately have  $\forall i < d. \forall j < d. (\lambda n. c * f n \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow (c \cdot_m \text{matrix-seq.lowner-lub } f) \$\$ (i, j)$ 
    using tendsto-intros(18)[of  $\lambda n. c$  c sequentially  $\lambda n. f n$  $$ (i, j) matrix-seq.lowner-lub } f $$ (i, j)] i j
    by (simp add: lim1 tendsto-mult-left)
    then show ?thesis using smult i j LIMSEQ-unique by metis
  qed

  from dleft dright ij show ?thesis
  using mat-eq-iff[of  $\text{matrix-seq.lowner-lub } (\lambda n. c \cdot_m f n)$   $c \cdot_m \text{matrix-seq.lowner-lub } f$ ]
  by (metis (mono-tags) carrier-matD(1) carrier-matD(2))
  qed

```

lemma *trace-matrix-sum-linear*:

```

  fixes f :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  complex mat
  shows  $(\bigwedge k. k < n \implies f k \in \text{carrier-mat } d d) \implies \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d f n) = \text{sum } (\lambda k. \text{trace } (f k)) \{0..<n\}$ 
  proof (induct n)
    case 0
    show ?case by auto
  next
    case (Suc n)
    then have  $\bigwedge k. k < n \implies f k \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$  by auto
    then have ds:  $\text{matrix-sum } d f n \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$  using matrix-sum-dim by auto
    have  $\text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d f (\text{Suc } n)) = \text{trace } (f n) + \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d f n)$ 
    unfolding matrix-sum.simps apply (mat-assoc d) using ds Suc by auto
    also have  $\dots = \text{sum } (\text{trace } \circ f) \{0..<n\} + (\text{trace } \circ f) n$  using Suc by auto
    also have  $\dots = \text{sum } (\text{trace } \circ f) \{0..<\text{Suc } n\}$  by auto
    finally show ?case by auto
  qed

```

lemma *matrix-sum-distrib-left*:

```

  fixes f :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  complex mat
  shows  $P \in \text{carrier-mat } d d \implies (\bigwedge k. k < n \implies f k \in \text{carrier-mat } d d) \implies \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. P * (f k)) n = P * (\text{matrix-sum } d f n)$ 
  proof (induct n)

```

case 0
show ?case **unfolding** *matrix-sum.simps* **using** 0 **by** *auto*
next
case (Suc n)
then have $\bigwedge k. k < n \implies f k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **by** *auto*
then have ds: *matrix-sum* d f n $\in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **using** *matrix-sum-dim* **by** *auto*
then have dPf: $\bigwedge k. k < n \implies P * f k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **using** Suc **by** *auto*
then have *matrix-sum* d ($\lambda k. P * f k$) n $\in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **using** *matrix-sum-dim*[OF dPf] **by** *auto*
have *matrix-sum* d ($\lambda k. P * f k$) (Suc n) = P * f n + *matrix-sum* d ($\lambda k. P * f k$) n **unfolding** *matrix-sum.simps* **using** Suc(2) **by** *auto*
also have ... = P * f n + P * *matrix-sum* d f n **using** Suc **by** *auto*
also have ... = P * (f n + *matrix-sum* d f n) **apply** (mat-assoc d) **using** ds dPf Suc **by** *auto*
finally show *matrix-sum* d ($\lambda k. P * f k$) (Suc n) = P * (*matrix-sum* d f (Suc n)) **by** *auto*
qed

2.4 Measurement

definition *measurement* :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow complex mat) \Rightarrow bool **where**
measurement d n M $\longleftrightarrow (\forall j < n. M j \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d)$
 $\wedge \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda j. (\text{adjoint } (M j)) * M j) n = 1_m \ d$

lemma *measurement-dim*:
assumes *measurement* d n M
shows $\bigwedge k. k < n \implies (M k) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
using *assms* **unfolding** *measurement-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *measurement-id2*:
assumes *measurement* d 2 M
shows *adjoint* (M 0) * M 0 + *adjoint* (M 1) * M 1 = 1_m d

proof –
have *ssz*: (Suc (Suc 0)) = 2 **by** *auto*
have M 0 $\in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ M 1 $\in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **using** *assms* *measurement-def* **by** *auto*
then have *adjoint* (M 0) * M 0 + *adjoint* (M 1) * M 1 = *matrix-sum* d ($\lambda j. (\text{adjoint } (M j)) * M j$) (Suc (Suc 0))
by *auto*
also have ... = *matrix-sum* d ($\lambda j. (\text{adjoint } (M j)) * M j$) (2::nat) **by** (*subst* *ssz*, *auto*)
also have ... = 1_m d **using** *measurement-def*[of d 2 M] *assms* **by** *auto*
finally show ?thesis **by** *auto*
qed

Result of measurement on ρ by matrix M

definition *measurement-res* :: complex mat \Rightarrow complex mat \Rightarrow complex mat **where**
measurement-res M $\varrho = M * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M$

lemma *add-positive-le-reduce1*:

assumes dA : $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** dB : $B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** dC : $C \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$

and pB : *positive* B **and** le : $A + B \leq_L C$

shows $A \leq_L C$

unfolding *lowner-le-def positive-def*

proof (*auto simp add: carrier-matD[OF dA] carrier-matD[OF dC] simp del: less-eq-complex-def*)

have eq : $C - (A + B) = (C - A + (-B))$ **using** $dA \ dB \ dC$ **by** *auto*

have *positive* $(C - (A + B))$ **using** le *lowner-le-def* $dA \ dB \ dC$ **by** *auto*

with eq **have** p : *positive* $(C - A + (-B))$ **by** *auto*

fix $v :: \text{complex vec}$ **assume** $n = \text{dim-vec } v$

then have dv : $v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ **by** *auto*

have ge : *inner-prod* $v \ (B *_{\mathbb{C}} v) \geq 0$ **using** $pB \ dv \ dB$ *positive-def* **by** *auto*

have $0 \leq \text{inner-prod } v \ ((C - A + (-B)) *_{\mathbb{C}} v)$ **using** p *positive-def* $dv \ dA \ dB$ *dC* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = \text{inner-prod } v \ ((C - A) *_{\mathbb{C}} v + (-B) *_{\mathbb{C}} v)$

using $dv \ dA \ dB \ dC$ *add-mult-distrib-mat-vec*[*OF minus-carrier-mat*[*OF dA*]]

by *auto*

also have $\dots = \text{inner-prod } v \ ((C - A) *_{\mathbb{C}} v) + \text{inner-prod } v \ ((-B) *_{\mathbb{C}} v)$

apply (*subst inner-prod-distrib-right*)

by (*rule dv, auto simp add: mult-mat-vec-carrier*[*OF minus-carrier-mat*[*OF dA*]] *mult-mat-vec-carrier*[*OF uminus-carrier-mat*[*OF dB*]] *dv*)

also have $\dots = \text{inner-prod } v \ ((C - A) *_{\mathbb{C}} v) - \text{inner-prod } v \ (B *_{\mathbb{C}} v)$ **using** dB *dv* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots \leq \text{inner-prod } v \ ((C - A) *_{\mathbb{C}} v)$ **using** ge **by** *auto*

finally show $0 \leq \text{inner-prod } v \ ((C - A) *_{\mathbb{C}} v)$.

qed

lemma *add-positive-le-reduce2*:

assumes dA : $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** dB : $B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** dC : $C \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$

and pB : *positive* B **and** le : $B + A \leq_L C$

shows $A \leq_L C$

apply (*subgoal-tac* $B + A = A + B$) **using** *add-positive-le-reduce1*[*of A n B C*] *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *measurement-le-one-mat*:

assumes *measurement* $d \ n \ f$

shows $\bigwedge j. j < n \implies \text{adjoint } (f \ j) * f \ j \leq_L 1_m \ d$

proof –

fix j **assume** $j < n$

define M **where** $M = \text{adjoint } (f \ j) * f \ j$

have df : $k < n \implies f \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **for** k **using** *assms measurement-dim* **by** *auto*

have daf : $k < n \implies \text{adjoint } (f \ k) * f \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **for** k

proof –

assume $k < n$

then have $f \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ $\text{adjoint } (f \ k) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **using** df *adjoint-dim* **by** *auto*

```

    then show  $\text{adjoint } (f k) * f k \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$  by auto
  qed
  have  $\text{pafj}: k < n \implies \text{positive } (\text{adjoint } (f k) * (f k))$  for  $k$ 
    apply (subst (2)  $\text{adjoint-adjoint}[of f k, \text{symmetric}]$ )
    by (metis  $\text{adjoint-adjoint}$   $\text{daf}$   $\text{positive-if-decomp}$ )
  define  $f'$  where  $\bigwedge k. f' k = (\text{if } k = j \text{ then } 0_m d d \text{ else } \text{adjoint } (f k) * f k)$ 
  have  $\text{pf}': k < n \implies \text{positive } (f' k)$  for  $k$  unfolding  $f'$ -def using  $\text{positive-zero}$ 
 $\text{pafj } j$  by auto
  have  $\text{df}': k < n \implies f' k \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$  for  $k$  using  $\text{daf } j$   $\text{zero-carrier-mat}$ 
 $f'$ -def by auto
  then have  $\text{dsf}': \text{matrix-sum } d f' n \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$  using  $\text{matrix-sum-dim}[of$ 
 $n f' d]$  by auto
  have  $\text{psf}': \text{positive } (\text{matrix-sum } d f' n)$  using  $\text{matrix-sum-positive}$   $\text{pafj}$   $\text{df}'$   $\text{pf}'$ 
  by auto
  have  $M + \text{matrix-sum } d f' n = \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (f k) * f k) n$ 
    using  $\text{matrix-sum-remove}[OF j, of (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (f k) * f k), OF \text{daf}, of f']$ 
 $f'$ -def unfolding  $M$ -def by auto
  also have  $\dots = 1_m d$  using  $\text{measurement-def assms}$  by auto
  finally have  $M + \text{matrix-sum } d f' n = 1_m d$ .
  moreover have  $1_m d \leq_L 1_m d$  using  $\text{lowner-le-refl}[of - d]$  by auto
  ultimately have  $(M + \text{matrix-sum } d f' n) \leq_L 1_m d$  by auto
  then show  $M \leq_L 1_m d$  unfolding  $M$ -def using  $\text{add-positive-le-reduce1}[OF -$ 
 $\text{dsf}'$   $\text{one-carrier-mat}$   $\text{psf}']$   $\text{daf } j$  by auto
  qed

```

lemma $\text{pdo-close-under-measurement}$:

```

  fixes  $M \ \varrho :: \text{complex mat}$ 
  assumes  $\text{dM}: M \in \text{carrier-mat } n n$  and  $\text{dr}: \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } n n$ 
    and  $\text{pdor}: \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho$ 
    and  $\text{le}: \text{adjoint } M * M \leq_L 1_m n$ 
  shows  $\text{partial-density-operator } (M * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M)$ 
  unfolding  $\text{partial-density-operator-def}$ 
  proof
    show  $\text{positive } (M * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M)$ 
      using  $\text{positive-close-under-left-right-mult-adjoint}[OF \text{dM } \text{dr}]$   $\text{pdor}$   $\text{partial-density-operator-def}$ 
    by auto
  next
    have  $\text{daM}: \text{adjoint } M \in \text{carrier-mat } n n$  using  $\text{dM}$  by auto
    then have  $\text{daMM}: \text{adjoint } M * M \in \text{carrier-mat } n n$  using  $\text{dM}$  by auto
    have  $\text{trace } (M * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M) = \text{trace } (\text{adjoint } M * M * \varrho)$ 
      using  $\text{dM } \text{dr}$  by (mat-assoc  $n$ )
    also have  $\dots \leq \text{trace } (1_m n * \varrho)$ 
      using  $\text{lowner-le-trace}[\text{where } ?B = 1_m n \text{ and } ?A = \text{adjoint } M * M, OF \text{daMM}$ 
 $\text{one-carrier-mat}]$   $\text{le } \text{dr } \text{pdor}$  by auto
    also have  $\dots = \text{trace } \varrho$  using  $\text{dr}$  by auto
    also have  $\dots \leq 1$  using  $\text{pdor}$   $\text{partial-density-operator-def}$  by auto
    finally show  $\text{trace } (M * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M) \leq 1$  by auto
  qed

```

lemma *trace-measurement*:

assumes *m*: *measurement* *d n M* **and** *dA*: $A \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
shows *trace* (*matrix-sum* *d* ($\lambda k. (M \ k) * A * \text{adjoint } (M \ k)$) *n*) = *trace* *A*
proof –
have *dMk*: $k < n \implies (M \ k) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **for** *k* **using** *m* **unfolding**
measurement-def **by** *auto*
then have *daMk*: $k < n \implies \text{adjoint } (M \ k) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **for** *k* **using** *m*
adjoint-dim **unfolding** *measurement-def* **by** *auto*
have *d1*: $k < n \implies M \ k * A * \text{adjoint } (M \ k) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **for** *k* **using** *dMk*
daMk *dA* **by** *fastforce*
then have *ds1*: $k < n \implies \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. M \ k * A * \text{adjoint } (M \ k)) \ k \in$
carrier-mat *d d* **for** *k*
using *matrix-sum-dim*[*of* *k* $\lambda k. M \ k * A * \text{adjoint } (M \ k) \ d$] **by** *auto*
have *d2*: $k < n \implies \text{adjoint } (M \ k) * M \ k * A \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **for** *k* **using**
daMk *dMk* *dA* **by** *fastforce*
then have *ds2*: $k < n \implies \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M \ k) * M \ k * A) \ k \in$
carrier-mat *d d* **for** *k*
using *matrix-sum-dim*[*of* *k* $\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M \ k) * M \ k * A \ d$] **by** *auto*
have *daMMk*: $k < n \implies \text{adjoint } (M \ k) * M \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **for** *k* **using**
dMk **by** *fastforce*
have $k \leq n \implies \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. (M \ k) * A * \text{adjoint } (M \ k))) \ k = \text{trace}$
 $(\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M \ k) * (M \ k) * A) \ k)$ **for** *k*
proof (*induct* *k*)
case *0*
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Suc* *k*)
then have *k*: $k < n$ **by** *auto*
have $\text{trace } (M \ k * A * \text{adjoint } (M \ k)) = \text{trace } (\text{adjoint } (M \ k) * M \ k * A)$
using *dA* **apply** (*mat-assoc* *d*) **using** *dMk* *k* **by** *auto*
then show *?case* **unfolding** *matrix-sum.simps* **using** *ds1* *ds2* *d1* *d2* *k* *Suc*
daMk *dMk* *dA*
by (*subst* *trace-add-linear*[*of* *- d*], *auto*)
qed
then have $\text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. (M \ k) * A * \text{adjoint } (M \ k))) \ n = \text{trace}$
 $(\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M \ k) * (M \ k) * A) \ n)$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M \ k) * (M \ k)) \ n * A)$ **using**
matrix-sum-mult-right[*OF* *daMMk*, *of* *n id A*] *dA* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{trace } A$ **using** *m* *dA* **unfolding** *measurement-def* **by** *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *mat-inc-seq-positive-transform*:

assumes *dfn*: $\bigwedge n. f \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
and *inc*: $\bigwedge n. f \ n \leq_L f \ (\text{Suc } n)$
shows $\bigwedge n. f \ n - f \ 0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and** $\bigwedge n. (f \ n - f \ 0) \leq_L (f \ (\text{Suc } n) - f \ 0)$
proof –
show $\bigwedge n. f \ n - f \ 0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **using** *dfn* **by** *fastforce*

have $f\ 0 \leq_L f\ 0$ **using** *lower-le-refl*[of $f\ 0\ d$] *dfn* **by** *auto*
then show $(f\ n - f\ 0) \leq_L (f\ (\text{Suc}\ n) - f\ 0)$ **for** n
using *lower-le-minus*[of $f\ n\ d\ f\ (\text{Suc}\ n)\ f\ 0\ f\ 0$] *dfn inc* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *mat-inc-seq-lub*:

assumes *dfn*: $\bigwedge n. f\ n \in \text{carrier-mat}\ d\ d$
and *inc*: $\bigwedge n. f\ n \leq_L f\ (\text{Suc}\ n)$
and *ub*: $\bigwedge n. f\ n \leq_L A$
shows $\exists B. \text{lower-is-lub}\ f\ B \wedge \text{limit-mat}\ f\ B\ d$
proof –
have *dmfn0*: $\bigwedge n. f\ n - f\ 0 \in \text{carrier-mat}\ d\ d$ **and** *incm0*: $\bigwedge n. (f\ n - f\ 0) \leq_L (f\ (\text{Suc}\ n) - f\ 0)$
using *mat-inc-seq-positive-transform*[OF *dfn*, of *id*] *assms* **by** *auto*
define *c* **where** $c = 1 / (\text{trace}\ (A - f\ 0) + 1)$
have $f\ 0 \leq_L A$ **using** *ub* **by** *auto*
then have *dA*: $A \in \text{carrier-mat}\ d\ d$ **using** *ub* **unfolding** *lower-le-def* **using** *dfn*[of 0] **by** *fastforce*
then have *dAmf0*: $A - f\ 0 \in \text{carrier-mat}\ d\ d$ **using** *dfn*[of 0] **by** *auto*
have *positive* $(A - f\ 0)$ **using** *ub* *lower-le-def* **by** *auto*
then have *tgeq0*: $\text{trace}\ (A - f\ 0) \geq 0$ **using** *positive-trace* *dAmf0* **by** *auto*
then have $\text{trace}\ (A - f\ 0) + 1 > 0$ **by** (*auto simp: less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def*)
then have *gtc*: $c > 0$ **unfolding** *c-def* **using** *complex-is-Real-iff*
by (*auto simp: less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def*)
then have *gtci*: $(1 / c) > 0$ **using** *complex-is-Real-iff*
by (*auto simp: less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def*)

have $\text{trace}\ (c \cdot_m (A - f\ 0)) = c * \text{trace}\ (A - f\ 0)$
using *trace-smult* *dAmf0* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = (1 / (\text{trace}\ (A - f\ 0) + 1)) * \text{trace}\ (A - f\ 0)$ **unfolding** *c-def*
by *auto*
also have $\dots < 1$ **using** *tgeq0* **by** (*simp add: complex-is-Real-iff less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def*)
finally have *lt1*: $\text{trace}\ (c \cdot_m (A - f\ 0)) < 1$.

have *le0*: $-f\ 0 \leq_L -f\ 0$ **using** *lower-le-refl*[of $-f\ 0\ d$] *dfn* **by** *auto*

have *dmf0*: $-f\ 0 \in \text{carrier-mat}\ d\ d$ **using** *dfn* **by** *auto*
have *mf0smcle*: $(c \cdot_m (X - f\ 0)) \leq_L (c \cdot_m (Y - f\ 0))$ **if** $X \leq_L Y$ **and** $X \in \text{carrier-mat}\ d\ d$ **and** $Y \in \text{carrier-mat}\ d\ d$ **for** $X\ Y$

proof –

have $(X - f\ 0) \leq_L (Y - f\ 0)$

using *lower-le-minus*[of $X\ d\ Y\ f\ 0\ f\ 0$] *that* *dfn* *lower-le-refl* **by** *auto*

then show *?thesis* **using** *lower-le-smultc*[of $c\ (X - f\ 0)\ Y - f\ 0\ d$] **using**

that *dfn* *gtc* **by** *fastforce*

qed

have $(c \cdot_m (f\ n - f\ 0)) \leq_L (c \cdot_m (A - f\ 0))$ **for** n

using *mf0smcle* *ub* *dfn* *dA* **by** *auto*

then have $\text{trace}\ (c \cdot_m (f\ n - f\ 0)) \leq \text{trace}\ (c \cdot_m (A - f\ 0))$ **for** n

using *lower-le-imp-trace-le*[of $c \cdot_m (f n - f 0) d$] *dmfn0 dAmf0* **by** *auto*
then have *trlt1*: $\text{trace}(c \cdot_m (f n - f 0)) < 1$ **for** n **using** *lt1*
unfolding *less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def*
by (*metis add.commute add-less-cancel-right add-mono-thms-linordered-field(3)*)

have $f 0 \leq_L f n$ **for** n
proof (*induct n*)
case 0
then show *?case* **using** *dfn lower-le-refl* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Suc n*)
then show *?case* **using** *dfn lower-le-trans*[of $f 0 d f n$] *inc* **by** *auto*
qed
then have *positive* ($f n - f 0$) **for** n **using** *lower-le-def* **by** *auto*
then have p : *positive* ($c \cdot_m (f n - f 0)$) **for** n
by (*intro positive-smult, insert gtc dmfn0, auto*)

have *inc'*: $c \cdot_m (f n - f 0) \leq_L c \cdot_m (f (\text{Suc } n) - f 0)$ **for** n
using *incm0 lower-le-smultc*[of $c f n - f 0$] *gtc dmfn0* **by** *fastforce*

define g **where** $g n = c \cdot_m (f n - f 0)$ **for** n
then have *positive* ($g n$) **and** $\text{trace}(g n) < 1$ **and** ($g n$) \leq_L ($g (\text{Suc } n)$) **and**
dgn: ($g n$) \in *carrier-mat d d* **for** n
unfolding *g-def* **using** p *trlt1 inc' dmfn0* **by** *auto*
then have ms : *matrix-seq d g* **unfolding** *matrix-seq-def partial-density-operator-def*
by (*simp add: less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def dual-order.strict-iff-not*)
then have $uniM$: $\exists ! M. \text{matrix-seq.lower-is-lub } g M$ **using** *matrix-seq.lower-lub-unique*
by *auto*
then obtain M **where** M : *matrix-seq.lower-is-lub g M* **by** *auto*
then have leg : $g n \leq_L M$ **and** $lubg$: $\bigwedge M'. (\forall n. g n \leq_L M') \longrightarrow M \leq_L M'$ **for**
 n
unfolding *matrix-seq.lower-is-lub-def*[*OF ms*] **by** *auto*
have $M = \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } g$
using *matrix-seq.lower-lub-def*[*OF ms*] $M uniM$ *theI-unique*[of *matrix-seq.lower-is-lub g*]
by *auto*
then have $limg$: *limit-mat g M d* **using** M *matrix-seq.lower-lub-is-limit*[*OF ms*]
by *auto*
then have dM : $M \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **unfolding** *limit-mat-def* **by** *auto*

define B **where** $B = f 0 + (1 / c) \cdot_m M$
have $eqinv$: $f 0 + (1 / c) \cdot_m (c \cdot_m (X - f 0)) = X$ **if** $X \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **for**
 X
proof –
have $f 0 + (1 / c) \cdot_m (c \cdot_m (X - f 0)) = f 0 + (1 / c * c) \cdot_m (X - f 0)$
apply (*subgoal-tac* ($1 / c$) $\cdot_m (c \cdot_m (X - f 0)) = (1 / c * c) \cdot_m (X - f 0)$),
simp)
using *smult-smult-mat dfn that* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = f 0 + 1 \cdot_m (X - f 0)$ **using** *gtc* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = f 0 + (X - f 0)$ **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = (-f\ 0) + f\ 0 + X$ **apply** $(mat\text{-}assoc\ d)$ **using** *that dfn* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = 0_m\ d\ d + X$ **using** *dfn uminus-l-inv-mat[of f 0 d]* **by** *fastforce*
also have $\dots = X$ **using** *that* **by** *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
have *limit-mat* $(\lambda n. (1 / c) \cdot_m g\ n) ((1 / c) \cdot_m M)\ d$ **using** *limit-mat-scale[OF limg]* **gtci** **by** *auto*
then have *limit-mat* $(\lambda n. f\ 0 + (1 / c) \cdot_m g\ n) (f\ 0 + (1 / c) \cdot_m M)\ d$
using *mat-add-limit[of f 0]* *limg* *dfn* **unfolding** *mat-add-seq-def* **by** *auto*
then have *limf*: *limit-mat* $f\ B\ d$ **using** *eqinv[OF dfn]* **unfolding** *B-def g-def* **by** *auto*

have *f0acmcile*: $(f\ 0 + (1 / c) \cdot_m X) \leq_L (f\ 0 + (1 / c) \cdot_m Y)$ **if** $X \leq_L Y$ **and** $X \in carrier\text{-}mat\ d\ d$ **and** $Y \in carrier\text{-}mat\ d\ d$ **for** $X\ Y$
proof –
have $((1 / c) \cdot_m X) \leq_L ((1 / c) \cdot_m Y)$
using *lower-le-smultc[of 1/c]* *that* **gtci** **by** *fastforce*
then show $(f\ 0 + (1 / c) \cdot_m X) \leq_L (f\ 0 + (1 / c) \cdot_m Y)$
using *lower-le-add[of - d - (1 / c) \cdot_m X (1 / c) \cdot_m Y]*
that **gtci** *dfn* *lower-le-refl[of f 0, OF dfn]* **by** *fastforce*
qed

have $(f\ 0 + (1 / c) \cdot_m g\ n) \leq_L (f\ 0 + (1 / c) \cdot_m M)$ **for** n
using *f0acmcile[OF leg dgn dM]* **by** *auto*
then have *lubf*: $f\ n \leq_L B$ **for** n **using** *eqinv[OF dfn]* *g-def B-def* **by** *auto*

{
fix B' **assume** *asm*: $\forall n. f\ n \leq_L B'$
then have $f\ 0 \leq_L B'$ **by** *auto*
then have dB' : $B' \in carrier\text{-}mat\ d\ d$ **unfolding** *lower-le-def* **using** *dfn[of 0]*
by *auto*
have $f\ n \leq_L B'$ **for** n **using** *asm* **by** *auto*
then have $(c \cdot_m (f\ n - f\ 0)) \leq_L (c \cdot_m (B' - f\ 0))$ **for** n
using *mf0smcle[of f n B']* *dfn* dB' **by** *auto*
then have $g\ n \leq_L (c \cdot_m (B' - f\ 0))$ **for** n **using** *g-def* **by** *auto*
then have $M \leq_L (c \cdot_m (B' - f\ 0))$ **using** *lubg* **by** *auto*
then have $(f\ 0 + (1 / c) \cdot_m M) \leq_L (f\ 0 + (1 / c) \cdot_m (c \cdot_m (B' - f\ 0)))$
using *f0acmcile[of M (c \cdot_m (B' - f\ 0)), OF - dM]* **using** dB' *dfn* **by** *fastforce*
then have $B \leq_L B'$ **unfolding** *B-def* **using** *eqinv[OF dB']* **by** *auto*
}
with *limf lubf* **have** $(\forall n. f\ n \leq_L B) \wedge (\forall M'. (\forall n. f\ n \leq_L M') \longrightarrow B \leq_L M')$
 \wedge *limit-mat* $f\ B\ d$ **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **unfolding** *lower-is-lub-def* **by** *auto*
qed

end

3 Quantum programs

```
theory Quantum-Program
imports Matrix-Limit
begin
```

3.1 Syntax

Datatype for quantum programs

```
datatype com =
  SKIP
| Utrans complex mat
| Seq com com (←-;/ → [60, 61] 60)
| Measure nat nat ⇒ complex mat com list
| While nat ⇒ complex mat com
```

A state corresponds to the density operator

```
type-synonym state = complex mat
```

List of dimensions of quantum states

```
locale state-sig =
fixes dims :: nat list
begin
```

```
definition d :: nat where
  d = prod-list dims
```

Wellformedness of commands

```
fun well-com :: com ⇒ bool where
  well-com SKIP = True
| well-com (Utrans U) = (U ∈ carrier-mat d d ∧ unitary U)
| well-com (Seq S1 S2) = (well-com S1 ∧ well-com S2)
| well-com (Measure n M S) =
  (measurement d n M ∧ length S = n ∧ list-all well-com S)
| well-com (While M S) =
  (measurement d 2 M ∧ well-com S)
```

3.2 Denotational semantics

Denotation of going through the while loop n times

```
fun denote-while-n-iter :: complex mat ⇒ complex mat ⇒ (state ⇒ state) ⇒ nat
⇒ state ⇒ state where
  denote-while-n-iter M0 M1 DS 0 ρ = ρ
| denote-while-n-iter M0 M1 DS (Suc n) ρ = denote-while-n-iter M0 M1 DS n (DS
(M1 * ρ * adjoint M1))
```

```
fun denote-while-n :: complex mat ⇒ complex mat ⇒ (state ⇒ state) ⇒ nat ⇒
state ⇒ state where
```

$denote\text{-}while\text{-}n\ M0\ M1\ DS\ n\ \varrho = M0 * denote\text{-}while\text{-}n\text{-}iter\ M0\ M1\ DS\ n\ \varrho * adjoint\ M0$

fun $denote\text{-}while\text{-}n\text{-}comp :: complex\ mat \Rightarrow complex\ mat \Rightarrow (state \Rightarrow state) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow state$ **where**
 $denote\text{-}while\text{-}n\text{-}comp\ M0\ M1\ DS\ n\ \varrho = M1 * denote\text{-}while\text{-}n\text{-}iter\ M0\ M1\ DS\ n\ \varrho * adjoint\ M1$

lemma $denote\text{-}while\text{-}n\text{-}iter\text{-}assoc:$

$denote\text{-}while\text{-}n\text{-}iter\ M0\ M1\ DS\ (Suc\ n)\ \varrho = DS\ (M1 * (denote\text{-}while\text{-}n\text{-}iter\ M0\ M1\ DS\ n\ \varrho) * adjoint\ M1)$

proof ($induct\ n\ arbitrary: \varrho$)

case 0

show $?case$ **by** $auto$

next

case ($Suc\ n$)

show $?case$

apply ($subst\ denote\text{-}while\text{-}n\text{-}iter.\text{simps}$)

apply ($subst\ Suc, auto$)

done

qed

lemma $denote\text{-}while\text{-}n\text{-}iter\text{-}dim:$

$\varrho \in carrier\text{-}mat\ m\ m \Longrightarrow partial\text{-}density\text{-}operator\ \varrho \Longrightarrow M1 \in carrier\text{-}mat\ m\ m \Longrightarrow adjoint\ M1 * M1 \leq_L 1_m\ m$

$\Longrightarrow (\bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in carrier\text{-}mat\ m\ m \Longrightarrow partial\text{-}density\text{-}operator\ \varrho \Longrightarrow DS\ \varrho \in carrier\text{-}mat\ m\ m \wedge partial\text{-}density\text{-}operator\ (DS\ \varrho))$

$\Longrightarrow denote\text{-}while\text{-}n\text{-}iter\ M0\ M1\ DS\ n\ \varrho \in carrier\text{-}mat\ m\ m \wedge partial\text{-}density\text{-}operator\ (denote\text{-}while\text{-}n\text{-}iter\ M0\ M1\ DS\ n\ \varrho)$

proof ($induct\ n\ arbitrary: \varrho$)

case 0

then show $?case$ **unfolding** $denote\text{-}while\text{-}n\text{-}iter.\text{simps}$ **by** $auto$

next

case ($Suc\ n$)

then have $dr: \varrho \in carrier\text{-}mat\ m\ m$ **and** $dM1: M1 \in carrier\text{-}mat\ m\ m$ **by** $auto$

have $dMr: M1 * \varrho * adjoint\ M1 \in carrier\text{-}mat\ m\ m$ **using** $dr\ dM1$ **by** $fastforce$

have $pdoMr: partial\text{-}density\text{-}operator\ (M1 * \varrho * adjoint\ M1)$ **using** $pdo\text{-}close\text{-}under\text{-}measurement\ Suc$ **by** $auto$

from $Suc\ dMr\ pdoMr$ **have** $d: DS\ (M1 * \varrho * adjoint\ M1) \in carrier\text{-}mat\ m\ m$ **and** $partial\text{-}density\text{-}operator\ (DS\ (M1 * \varrho * adjoint\ M1))$ **by** $auto$

then show $?case$ **unfolding** $denote\text{-}while\text{-}n\text{-}iter.\text{simps}$

using Suc **by** $auto$

qed

lemma $pdo\text{-}denote\text{-}while\text{-}n\text{-}iter:$

$\varrho \in carrier\text{-}mat\ m\ m \Longrightarrow partial\text{-}density\text{-}operator\ \varrho \Longrightarrow M1 \in carrier\text{-}mat\ m\ m \Longrightarrow adjoint\ M1 * M1 \leq_L 1_m\ m$

$\Longrightarrow (\bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in carrier\text{-}mat\ m\ m \wedge partial\text{-}density\text{-}operator\ \varrho \Longrightarrow partial\text{-}density\text{-}operator\ (DS\ \varrho))$

$\implies (\bigwedge \rho. \rho \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m \wedge \text{partial-density-operator } \rho \implies DS \ \rho \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m)$
 $\implies \text{partial-density-operator } (\text{denote-while-n-iter } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \rho)$
proof (*induct n arbitrary: ρ*)
case 0
then show ?case **unfolding** *denote-while-n-iter.simps* **by auto**
next
case (*Suc n*)
have *partial-density-operator* ($M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1$) **using** *Suc pdo-close-under-measurement*
by auto
moreover have $M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ **using** *Suc* **by auto**
ultimately have $p: \text{partial-density-operator } (DS (M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1))$ **and**
 $d: DS (M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1) \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ **using** *Suc* **by auto**
show ?case **unfolding** *denote-while-n-iter.simps* **using** *Suc(1)[OF d p Suc(4) Suc(5)] Suc* **by auto**
qed

Denotation of while is simply the infinite sum of `denote_while_n`

definition *denote-while* :: $\text{complex mat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat} \Rightarrow (\text{state} \Rightarrow \text{state}) \Rightarrow \text{state} \Rightarrow \text{state}$ **where**
 $\text{denote-while } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ \rho = \text{matrix-inf-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \rho)$

lemma *denote-while-n-dim*:

assumes $\rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
 $M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
 $M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
partial-density-operator ρ
 $\bigwedge \rho'. \rho' \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \rho' \implies \text{positive } (DS \ \rho')$
 $\wedge \text{trace } (DS \ \rho') \leq \text{trace } \rho' \wedge DS \ \rho' \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
shows $\text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
proof (*induction n arbitrary: ρ*)
case 0
then show ?case
proof –
have $M0 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
using *assms assoc-mult-mat* **by auto**
then show ?thesis **by auto**
qed
next
case (*Suc n*)
then show ?case
proof –
have $\text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ (DS (M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1)) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
using *Suc assms* **by auto**
then show ?thesis **by auto**
qed
qed

lemma *denote-while-n-sum-dim:*

assumes $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
 $M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
 $M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
partial-density-operator ϱ
 $\bigwedge \varrho'. \varrho' \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho' \implies \text{positive } (DS \ \varrho')$
 $\wedge \text{trace } (DS \ \varrho') \leq \text{trace } \varrho' \wedge DS \ \varrho' \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
shows *matrix-sum* $d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho) \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
proof (*induct* n)
case 0
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Suc* n)
then show *?case*
proof –
have *denote-while-n* $M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
using *denote-while-n-dim* *assms* **by** *auto*
then have *matrix-sum* $d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho) \ (\text{Suc } n) \in$
carrier-mat $d \ d$
using *Suc* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
qed

lemma *trace-decrease-mul-adj:*

assumes *pdo*: *partial-density-operator* ϱ **and** *dimr*: $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
and *dimx*: $x \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and** *un*: *adjoint* $x * x \leq_L \ 1_m \ d$
shows *trace* $(x * \varrho * \text{adjoint } x) \leq \text{trace } \varrho$
proof –
have *ad*: *adjoint* $x * x \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **using** *adjoint-dim* *index-mult-mat* *dimx*
by *auto*
have *trace* $(x * \varrho * \text{adjoint } x) = \text{trace } ((\text{adjoint } x * x) * \varrho)$ **using** *dimx* *dimr* **by**
(mat-assoc d)
also have $\dots \leq \text{trace } (1_m \ d * \varrho)$ **using** *lowner-le-trace* *un* *ad* *dimr* *pdo* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{trace } \varrho$ **using** *dimr* **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *denote-while-n-positive:*

assumes *dim0*: $M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and** *dim1*: $M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and**
un: *adjoint* $M1 * M1 \leq_L \ 1_m \ d$
and *DS*: $\bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho \implies \text{positive}$
 $(DS \ \varrho) \wedge \text{trace } (DS \ \varrho) \leq \text{trace } \varrho \wedge DS \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
shows *partial-density-operator* $\varrho \wedge \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \text{positive } (\text{denote-while-n}$
 $M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho)$
proof (*induction* n *arbitrary*: ϱ)
case 0
then show *?case* **using** *positive-close-under-left-right-mult-adjoint* *dim0* **unfold-**

```

ing partial-density-operator-def by auto
next
  case (Suc n)
  then show ?case
  proof -
    have pdoM: partial-density-operator (M1 * ρ * adjoint M1) using pdo-close-under-measurement
    Suc dim1 un by auto
    moreover have cM: M1 * ρ * adjoint M1 ∈ carrier-mat d d using Suc dim1
    adjoint-dim index-mult-mat by auto
    ultimately have DSM1: positive (DS (M1 * ρ * adjoint M1)) ∧ trace (DS
    (M1 * ρ * adjoint M1)) ≤ trace (M1 * ρ * adjoint M1) ∧ DS (M1 * ρ * adjoint
    M1) ∈ carrier-mat d d
    using DS by auto
    moreover have trace (M1 * ρ * adjoint M1) ≤ trace ρ using trace-decrease-mul-adj
    Suc dim1 un by auto
    ultimately have partial-density-operator (DS (M1 * ρ * adjoint M1)) using
    Suc unfolding partial-density-operator-def by auto
    then have positive (M0 * denote-while-n-iter M0 M1 DS n (DS (M1 * ρ *
    adjoint M1)) * adjoint M0) using Suc DSM1 by auto
    then have positive (denote-while-n M0 M1 DS (Suc n) ρ) by auto
    then show ?thesis by auto
  qed
qed

```

lemma *denote-while-n-sum-positive:*

```

  assumes dim0: M0 ∈ carrier-mat d d and dim1: M1 ∈ carrier-mat d d and
  un: adjoint M1 * M1 ≤L 1m d
  and DS: ∧ρ. ρ ∈ carrier-mat d d ⇒ partial-density-operator ρ ⇒ positive
  (DS ρ) ∧ trace (DS ρ) ≤ trace ρ ∧ DS ρ ∈ carrier-mat d d
  and pdo: partial-density-operator ρ and r: ρ ∈ carrier-mat d d
  shows positive (matrix-sum d (λn. denote-while-n M0 M1 DS n ρ) n)
proof -
  have ∧k. k < n ⇒ positive (denote-while-n M0 M1 DS k ρ) using assms
  denote-while-n-positive by auto
  moreover have ∧k. k < n ⇒ denote-while-n M0 M1 DS k ρ ∈ carrier-mat d
  d using denote-while-n-dim assms by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using matrix-sum-positive by auto
qed

```

lemma *trace-measure2-id:*

```

  assumes dM0: M0 ∈ carrier-mat n n and dM1: M1 ∈ carrier-mat n n
  and id: adjoint M0 * M0 + adjoint M1 * M1 = 1m n
  and dA: A ∈ carrier-mat n n
  shows trace (M0 * A * adjoint M0) + trace (M1 * A * adjoint M1) = trace A
proof -
  have trace (M0 * A * adjoint M0) + trace (M1 * A * adjoint M1) = trace
  ((adjoint M0 * M0 + adjoint M1 * M1) * A)
  using assms by (mat-assoc n)
  also have ... = trace (1m n * A) using id by auto

```

also have $\dots = \text{trace } A$ using dA by *auto*
 finally show *?thesis*.
 qed

lemma *measurement-lowner-le-one1*:

assumes $\text{dim0}: M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ and $\text{dim1}: M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ and id :
 $\text{adjoint } M0 * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * M1 = 1_m \ d$

shows $\text{adjoint } M1 * M1 \leq_L 1_m \ d$

proof –

have paM0 : *positive* ($\text{adjoint } M0 * M0$)

apply (*subgoal-tac* $\text{adjoint } M0 * \text{adjoint } (\text{adjoint } M0) = \text{adjoint } M0 * M0$)

subgoal using *positive-if-decomp*[*of* $\text{adjoint } M0 * M0$] dim0 *adjoint-dim*[*OF*
 dim0] by *fastforce*

using *adjoint-adjoint*[*of* $M0$] by *auto*

have le1 : $\text{adjoint } M0 * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * M1 \leq_L 1_m \ d$ using *id* *lowner-le-refl*[*of*
 $1_m \ d$] by *fastforce*

show $\text{adjoint } M1 * M1 \leq_L 1_m \ d$

using *add-positive-le-reduce2*[*OF* - - paM0 le1] dim0 dim1 by *fastforce*

qed

lemma *denote-while-n-sum-trace*:

assumes $\text{dim0}: M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ and $\text{dim1}: M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ and id :
 $\text{adjoint } M0 * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * M1 = 1_m \ d$

and DS : $\bigwedge \rho. \rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \rho \implies \text{positive}$
 $(\text{DS } \rho) \wedge \text{trace } (\text{DS } \rho) \leq \text{trace } \rho \wedge \text{DS } \rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$

and r : $\rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$

and pdor : *partial-density-operator* ρ

shows $\text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } \ n \ \rho) \ n) \leq \text{trace } \rho$

proof –

have un : $\text{adjoint } M1 * M1 \leq_L 1_m \ d$ using *measurement-lowner-le-one1* using
 dim0 dim1 *id* by *auto*

have DS' : $(\text{DS } \rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d) \wedge \text{partial-density-operator } (\text{DS } \rho)$ if $\rho \in$
 $\text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ and *partial-density-operator* ρ for ρ

proof –

have res : *positive* ($\text{DS } \rho$) $\wedge \text{trace } (\text{DS } \rho) \leq \text{trace } \rho \wedge \text{DS } \rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
 using DS that by *auto*

moreover have $\text{trace } \rho \leq 1$ using *that partial-density-operator-def* by *auto*

ultimately have $\text{trace } (\text{DS } \rho) \leq 1$ by *auto*

with res show *?thesis* *unfolding* *partial-density-operator-def* by *auto*

qed

have dWk : *denote-while-n-iter* $M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } \ k \ \rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ for k

using *denote-while-n-iter-dim*[*OF* r pdor dim1 un] DS' dim0 dim1 by *auto*

have pdoWk : *partial-density-operator* (*denote-while-n-iter* $M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } \ k \ \rho$) for k

using *pdo-denote-while-n-iter*[*OF* r pdor dim1 un] DS' dim0 dim1 by *auto*

have $dW0k$: *denote-while-n* $M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } \ k \ \rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ for k using
denote-while-n-dim r dim0 dim1 pdor by *auto*

then have dsW0k : *matrix-sum* $d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } \ n \ \rho) \ k \in$
 $\text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ for k

using *matrix-sum-dim*[*of* k $\lambda k. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } \ k \ \rho$] by *auto*

have (*denote-while-n-comp* $M0\ M1\ DS\ n\ \varrho$) \in *carrier-mat* $d\ d$ **for** n **unfolding**
denote-while-n-comp.simps **using** *dim1 dWk* **by** *auto*
moreover **have**
pdoW1k: *partial-density-operator* (*denote-while-n-comp* $M0\ M1\ DS\ n\ \varrho$) **for** n
unfolding *denote-while-n-comp.simps*
using *pdo-close-under-measurement*[*OF dim1 dWk pdoWk un*] **by** *auto*
ultimately **have** *trace* (*DS* (*denote-while-n-comp* $M0\ M1\ DS\ n\ \varrho$)) \leq *trace*
(*denote-while-n-comp* $M0\ M1\ DS\ n\ \varrho$) **for** n
using *DS* **by** *auto*
moreover **have** *trace* (*denote-while-n-iter* $M0\ M1\ DS\ (Suc\ n)\ \varrho$) = *trace* (*DS*
(*denote-while-n-comp* $M0\ M1\ DS\ n\ \varrho$)) **for** n
using *denote-while-n-iter-assoc*[*folded denote-while-n-comp.simps*] **by** *auto*
ultimately **have** *leq3*: *trace* (*denote-while-n-iter* $M0\ M1\ DS\ (Suc\ n)\ \varrho$) \leq *trace*
(*denote-while-n-comp* $M0\ M1\ DS\ n\ \varrho$) **for** n **by** *auto*

have *mainleq*: *trace* (*matrix-sum* $d\ (\lambda n. \textit{denote-while-n}\ M0\ M1\ DS\ n\ \varrho)\ (Suc\ n))$
+ *trace* (*denote-while-n-comp* $M0\ M1\ DS\ n\ \varrho$) \leq *trace* ϱ **for** n
proof (*induct* n)
case 0
then show *?case unfolding matrix-sum.simps denote-while-n.simps denote-while-n-comp.simps*
denote-while-n-iter.simps
apply (*subgoal-tac* $M0 * \varrho * \textit{adjoint}\ M0 + 0_m\ d\ d = M0 * \varrho * \textit{adjoint}\ M0$)
using *trace-measure2-id*[*OF dim0 dim1 id r*] *dim0* **apply** *simp*
using *dim0* **by** *auto*
next
case ($Suc\ n$)

have *eq1*: *trace* (*matrix-sum* $d\ (\lambda n. \textit{denote-while-n}\ M0\ M1\ DS\ n\ \varrho)\ (Suc\ (Suc\ n))$)
= *trace* (*denote-while-n* $M0\ M1\ DS\ (Suc\ n)\ \varrho$) + *trace* (*matrix-sum* $d\ (\lambda n. \textit{denote-while-n}\ M0\ M1\ DS\ n\ \varrho)\ (Suc\ n)$)
unfolding *matrix-sum.simps*
using *trace-add-linear dW0k[of Suc n] dsW0k[of Suc n]* **by** *auto*

have *eq2*: *trace* (*denote-while-n* $M0\ M1\ DS\ (Suc\ n)\ \varrho$) + *trace* (*denote-while-n-comp*
 $M0\ M1\ DS\ (Suc\ n)\ \varrho$)
= *trace* (*denote-while-n-iter* $M0\ M1\ DS\ (Suc\ n)\ \varrho$)
unfolding *denote-while-n.simps denote-while-n-comp.simps* **using** *trace-measure2-id*[*OF*
dim0 dim1 id dWk[of Suc n]] **by** *auto*

have *trace* (*matrix-sum* $d\ (\lambda n. \textit{denote-while-n}\ M0\ M1\ DS\ n\ \varrho)\ (Suc\ (Suc\ n))$)
+ *trace* (*denote-while-n-comp* $M0\ M1\ DS\ (Suc\ n)\ \varrho$)
= *trace* (*matrix-sum* $d\ (\lambda n. \textit{denote-while-n}\ M0\ M1\ DS\ n\ \varrho)\ (Suc\ n)$) + *trace*
(*denote-while-n* $M0\ M1\ DS\ (Suc\ n)\ \varrho$) + *trace* (*denote-while-n-comp* $M0\ M1\ DS$
 $(Suc\ n)\ \varrho$)
using *eq1* **by** *auto*
also **have** $\dots = \textit{trace}\ (\textit{matrix-sum}\ d\ (\lambda n. \textit{denote-while-n}\ M0\ M1\ DS\ n\ \varrho)\ (Suc\ n))$
+ *trace* (*denote-while-n-iter* $M0\ M1\ DS\ (Suc\ n)\ \varrho$)

using *eq2* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots \leq \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho) \ (\text{Suc } n)) + \text{trace } (\text{denote-while-n-comp } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho)$
using *leq3* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots \leq \text{trace } \varrho$ **using** *Suc* **by** *auto*
finally show *?case*.
qed

have *reduce-le-complex*: $(b::\text{complex}) \geq 0 \implies a + b \leq c \implies a \leq c$ **for** *a b c*
by (*simp add: less-eq-complex-def*)
have *positive* (*denote-while-n-comp* *M0 M1 DS n ρ*) **for** *n* **using** *pdoW1k unfolding partial-density-operator-def* **by** *auto*
then have $\text{trace } (\text{denote-while-n-comp } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho) \geq 0$ **for** *n* **using** *positive-trace*
using $\langle \bigwedge n. \text{denote-while-n-comp } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \rangle$ **by** *blast*
then have $\text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho) \ (\text{Suc } n)) \leq \text{trace } \varrho$ **for** *n*
using *mainleq reduce-le-complex*[*of trace (denote-while-n-comp M0 M1 DS n ρ)*] **by** *auto*
moreover have $\text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho) \ 0) \leq \text{trace } \varrho$
unfolding *matrix-sum.simps*
using *trace-zero positive-trace pdor unfolding partial-density-operator-def*
using *r* **by** *auto*
ultimately show $\text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho) \ n) \leq \text{trace } \varrho$ **for** *n*
apply (*induct n*) **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *denote-while-n-sum-partial-density*:

assumes *dim0*: $M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and** *dim1*: $M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and** *id*:
 $\text{adjoint } M0 * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * M1 = 1_m \ d$

and *DS*: $\bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho \implies \text{positive } (DS \ \varrho) \wedge \text{trace } (DS \ \varrho) \leq \text{trace } \varrho \wedge DS \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$

and *pdo*: *partial-density-operator* ϱ **and** *r*: $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$

shows (*partial-density-operator* (*matrix-sum* $d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho) \ n$) *n*)

proof –

have $\text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho) \ n) \leq \text{trace } \varrho$

using *denote-while-n-sum-trace assms* **by** *auto*

then have $\text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho) \ n) \leq 1$

using *pdo unfolding partial-density-operator-def* **by** *auto*

moreover have *positive* (*matrix-sum* $d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho) \ n$)

using *assms DS denote-while-n-sum-positive measurement-lowner-le-one1*[*OF dim0 dim1 id*] **by** *auto*

ultimately show *?thesis* *unfolding partial-density-operator-def* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *denote-while-n-sum-lowner-le*:

assumes $dim0: M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and** $dim1: M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and** $id: \text{adjoint } M0 * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * M1 = 1_m \ d$
and $DS: \bigwedge \rho. \rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \rho \implies \text{positive } (DS \ \rho) \wedge \text{trace } (DS \ \rho) \leq \text{trace } \rho \wedge DS \ \rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
and $pdo: \text{partial-density-operator } \rho$ **and** $dimr: \rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
shows $(\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \rho) \ n \leq_L \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \rho) \ (Suc \ n))$
proof *auto*
have $whilenc: \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **using** *denote-while-n-dim assms* **by** *auto*
have $sumc: \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \rho) \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
using *denote-while-n-sum-dim assms* **by** *auto*
have $\text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \rho + \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \rho) \ n - \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \rho) \ n$
 $= \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \rho + \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \rho) \ n + (- \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \rho) \ n)$
using *minus-add-uminus-mat[of matrix-sum d (\lambda n. denote-while-n M0 M1 DS n \rho) n d d matrix-sum d (\lambda n. denote-while-n M0 M1 DS n \rho) n]* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \rho + 0_m \ d \ d$
by (*smt (verit) assoc-add-mat minus-add-uminus-mat minus-r-inv-mat sumc uminus-carrier-mat whilenc*)
also have $\dots = \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \rho$ **using** *whilenc* **by** *auto*
finally have $\text{simp}: \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \rho + \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \rho) \ n - \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \rho) \ n =$
 $\text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \rho$ **by** *auto*
have $\text{positive } (\text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \rho)$ **using** *denote-while-n-positive assms measurement-lowner-le-one1[OF dim0 dim1 id]* **by** *auto*
then have $\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \rho) \ n \leq_L (\text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \rho + \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \rho) \ n)$
unfolding *lowner-le-def* **using** *simp* **by** *auto*
then show $\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. M0 * \text{denote-while-n-iter } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \rho * \text{adjoint } M0) \ n \leq_L$
 $(M0 * \text{denote-while-n-iter } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \rho * \text{adjoint } M0 + \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. M0 * \text{denote-while-n-iter } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \rho * \text{adjoint } M0) \ n)$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *lowner-is-lub-matrix-sum:*

assumes $dim0: M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and** $dim1: M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and** $id: \text{adjoint } M0 * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * M1 = 1_m \ d$
and $DS: \bigwedge \rho. \rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \rho \implies \text{positive } (DS \ \rho) \wedge \text{trace } (DS \ \rho) \leq \text{trace } \rho \wedge DS \ \rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
and $pdo: \text{partial-density-operator } \rho$ **and** $dimr: \rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
shows $\text{matrix-seq.lowner-is-lub } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \rho) \ n) \ (\text{matrix-seq.lowner-lub } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \rho) \ n))$
proof –
have $sumdd: \forall n. \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \rho) \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$

using *denote-while-n-sum-dim assms* **by** *auto*
have *sumtr*: $\forall n. \text{trace} (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 M1 DS n \varrho) n) \leq \text{trace } \varrho$
using *denote-while-n-sum-trace assms* **by** *auto*
have *sumpar*: $\forall n. \text{partial-density-operator} (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 M1 DS n \varrho) n)$
using *denote-while-n-sum-partial-density assms* **by** *auto*
have *sumle*: $\forall n. \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 M1 DS n \varrho) n \leq_L \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 M1 DS n \varrho) (\text{Suc } n)$
using *denote-while-n-sum-lowner-le assms* **by** *auto*
have *seqd*: *matrix-seq* *d* (*matrix-sum* *d* ($\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 M1 DS n \varrho$))
using *matrix-seq-def sumdd sumpar sumle* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **using** *matrix-seq.lowner-lub-prop*[*of* *d* (*matrix-sum* *d* ($\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 M1 DS n \varrho$)))] **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *denote-while-dim-positive*:

assumes *dim0*: $M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **and** *dim1*: $M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **and** *id*:
 $\text{adjoint } M0 * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * M1 = 1_m d$

and *DS*: $\bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho \implies \text{positive}$
 $(DS \ \varrho) \wedge \text{trace} (DS \ \varrho) \leq \text{trace } \varrho \wedge DS \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$

and *pdo*: *partial-density-operator* ϱ **and** *dimr*: $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$

shows

$\text{denote-while } M0 M1 DS \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d d \wedge \text{positive} (\text{denote-while } M0 M1 DS \ \varrho) \wedge \text{trace} (\text{denote-while } M0 M1 DS \ \varrho) \leq \text{trace } \varrho$

proof –

have *sumdd*: $\forall n. \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 M1 DS n \varrho) n \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$

using *denote-while-n-sum-dim assms* **by** *auto*

have *sumtr*: $\forall n. \text{trace} (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 M1 DS n \varrho) n) \leq \text{trace } \varrho$

using *denote-while-n-sum-trace assms* **by** *auto*

have *sumpar*: $\forall n. \text{partial-density-operator} (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 M1 DS n \varrho) n)$

using *denote-while-n-sum-partial-density assms* **by** *auto*

have *sumle*: $\forall n. \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 M1 DS n \varrho) n \leq_L \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 M1 DS n \varrho) (\text{Suc } n)$

using *denote-while-n-sum-lowner-le assms* **by** *auto*

have *seqd*: *matrix-seq* *d* (*matrix-sum* *d* ($\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 M1 DS n \varrho$))

using *matrix-seq-def sumdd sumpar sumle* **by** *auto*

have *matrix-seq.lowner-is-lub* (*matrix-sum* *d* ($\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 M1 DS n \varrho$)) (*matrix-seq.lowner-lub* (*matrix-sum* *d* ($\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 M1 DS n \varrho$)))

using *lowner-is-lub-matrix-sum assms* **by** *auto*

then have *matrix-seq.lowner-lub* (*matrix-sum* *d* ($\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 M1 DS n \varrho$)) $\in \text{carrier-mat } d d$

$\wedge \text{positive} (\text{matrix-seq.lowner-lub} (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 M1 DS n \varrho)))$

$\wedge \text{trace} (\text{matrix-seq.lowner-lub} (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 M1 DS n \varrho))) \leq \text{trace } \varrho$

using *matrix-seq.lowerer-is-lub-dim seqd matrix-seq.lowerer-lub-is-positive matrix-seq.lowerer-lub-trace sumtr* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis unfolding denote-while-def matrix-inf-sum-def* **by** *auto*
qed

definition *denote-measure* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}) \Rightarrow ((\text{state} \Rightarrow \text{state}) \text{list}) \Rightarrow \text{state} \Rightarrow \text{state}$ **where**
denote-measure $n M DS \varrho = \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. (DS!k) ((M k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k))) n$

lemma *denote-measure-dim*:

assumes $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$

measurement $d n M$

$\bigwedge \varrho' k. \varrho' \in \text{carrier-mat } d d \implies k < n \implies (DS!k) \varrho' \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$

shows

denote-measure $n M DS \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$

proof –

have $dMk: k < n \implies M k \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **for** k **using** *assms measurement-def* **by** *auto*

have $d: k < n \implies (M k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k) \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **for** k

using *mult-carrier-mat[OF mult-carrier-mat[OF dMk assms(1)] adjoint-dim[OF dMk]]* **by** *auto*

then have $k < n \implies (DS!k) ((M k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k)) \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **for** k **using** *assms(3)* **by** *auto*

then show *?thesis unfolding denote-measure-def using matrix-sum-dim[of n $\lambda k. (DS!k) ((M k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k))]$* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *measure-well-com*:

assumes *well-com* $(\text{Measure } n M S)$

shows $\bigwedge k. k < n \implies \text{well-com } (S!k)$

using *assms unfolding well-com.simps* **using** *list-all-length* **by** *auto*

Semantics of commands

fun *denote* :: $\text{com} \Rightarrow \text{state} \Rightarrow \text{state}$ **where**

denote *SKIP* $\varrho = \varrho$

| *denote* $(Utrans U) \varrho = U * \varrho * \text{adjoint } U$

| *denote* $(Seq S1 S2) \varrho = \text{denote } S2 (\text{denote } S1 \varrho)$

| *denote* $(Measure n M S) \varrho = \text{denote-measure } n M (\text{map } \text{denote } S) \varrho$

| *denote* $(While M S) \varrho = \text{denote-while } (M 0) (M 1) (\text{denote } S) \varrho$

lemma *denote-measure-expand*:

assumes $m: m \leq n$ **and** $wc: \text{well-com } (\text{Measure } n M S)$

shows *denote* $(\text{Measure } m M S) \varrho = \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. \text{denote } (S!k) ((M k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k))) m$

unfolding *denote.simps denote-measure-def*

proof –

have $k < m \implies \text{map } \text{denote } S!k = \text{denote } (S!k)$ **for** k **using** *wc m* **by** *auto*

then have $k < m \implies (\text{map denote } S ! k) (M k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k)) = \text{denote } (S ! k) ((M k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k))$ **for** k **by** *auto*
then show $\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. (\text{map denote } S ! k) (M k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k))) m = \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. \text{denote } (S ! k) (M k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k))) m$
using $\text{matrix-sum-cong}[\text{of } m \lambda k. (\text{map denote } S ! k) (M k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k)) \lambda k. \text{denote } (S ! k) (M k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k))]$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *matrix-sum-trace-le:*

fixes $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ **and** $g :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$
assumes $(\bigwedge k. k < n \implies f k \in \text{carrier-mat } d d)$
 $(\bigwedge k. k < n \implies g k \in \text{carrier-mat } d d)$
 $(\bigwedge k. k < n \implies \text{trace } (f k) \leq \text{trace } (g k))$
shows $\text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d f n) \leq \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d g n)$

proof –

have $\text{sum } (\lambda k. \text{trace } (f k)) \{0..<n\} \leq \text{sum } (\lambda k. \text{trace } (g k)) \{0..<n\}$
using *assms* **by** *(meson atLeastLessThan-iff sum-mono)*
then show *?thesis* **using** *trace-matrix-sum-linear assms* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *map-denote-positive-trace-dim:*

assumes $\text{well-com } (\text{Measure } x1 \ x2a \ x3a)$
 $x4 \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$
partial-density-operator $x4$
 $\bigwedge x3aa \ \varrho. x3aa \in \text{set } x3a \implies \text{well-com } x3aa \implies \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d d \implies$
partial-density-operator ϱ
 $\implies \text{positive } (\text{denote } x3aa \ \varrho) \wedge \text{trace } (\text{denote } x3aa \ \varrho) \leq \text{trace } \varrho \wedge \text{denote } x3aa \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$
shows $\forall k < x1. \text{positive } ((\text{map denote } x3a ! k) (x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k)))$
 $\wedge ((\text{map denote } x3a ! k) (x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k))) \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$
 $\wedge \text{trace } ((\text{map denote } x3a ! k) (x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k))) \leq \text{trace } (x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k))$

proof –

have $x2ak: \forall k < x1. x2a \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **using** *assms(1)* *measurement-dim* **by** *auto*

then have $x2aa: \forall k < x1. (x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k)) \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **using** *assms(2)* **by** *fastforce*

have *posct*: $\text{positive } ((\text{map denote } x3a ! k) (x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k)))$
 $\wedge ((\text{map denote } x3a ! k) (x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k))) \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$

$\wedge \text{trace } ((\text{map denote } x3a ! k) (x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k))) \leq \text{trace } (x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k))$

if $k: k < x1$ **for** k

proof –

have *lea*: $\text{adjoint } (x2a \ k) * x2a \ k \leq_L 1_m \ d$ **using** *measurement-le-one-mat* *assms(1)* k **by** *auto*

have $(x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k)) \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **using** k *x2aa* *assms(2)* **by** *fastforce*

moreover have $(x3a ! k) \in \text{set } x3a$ **using** k *assms(1)* **by** *simp*
moreover have *well-com* $(x3a ! k)$ **using** k *assms(1)* **using** *measure-well-com*
by *blast*
moreover have *partial-density-operator* $(x2a k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a k))$
using *pdo-close-under-measurement* $x2ak$ *assms(2,3)* *lea* k **by** *blast*
ultimately have *positive* $(\text{denote } (x3a ! k) (x2a k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a k)))$
 $\wedge (\text{denote } (x3a ! k) (x2a k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a k))) \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$
 $\wedge \text{trace } (\text{denote } (x3a ! k) (x2a k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a k))) \leq \text{trace } (x2a k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a k))$
using *assms(4)* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **using** *assms(1)* k **by** *auto*
qed
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *denote-measure-positive-trace-dim:*

assumes *well-com* $(\text{Measure } x1 x2a x3a)$
 $x4 \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$
partial-density-operator $x4$
 $\wedge x3aa \varrho. x3aa \in \text{set } x3a \implies \text{well-com } x3aa \implies \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d d \implies$
partial-density-operator ϱ
 $\implies \text{positive } (\text{denote } x3aa \varrho) \wedge \text{trace } (\text{denote } x3aa \varrho) \leq \text{trace } \varrho \wedge \text{denote } x3aa \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$
shows *positive* $(\text{denote } (\text{Measure } x1 x2a x3a) x4) \wedge \text{trace } (\text{denote } (\text{Measure } x1 x2a x3a) x4) \leq \text{trace } x4$
 $\wedge (\text{denote } (\text{Measure } x1 x2a x3a) x4) \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$

proof –

have $x2ak: \forall k < x1. x2a k \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **using** *assms(1)* *measurement-dim*
by *auto*
then have $x2aa: \forall k < x1. (x2a k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a k)) \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$
using *assms(2)* **by** *fastforce*
have *posct*: $\forall k < x1. \text{positive } ((\text{map } \text{denote } x3a ! k) (x2a k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a k)))$
 $\wedge ((\text{map } \text{denote } x3a ! k) (x2a k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a k))) \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$
 $\wedge \text{trace } ((\text{map } \text{denote } x3a ! k) (x2a k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a k))) \leq \text{trace } (x2a k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a k))$
using *map-denote-positive-trace-dim* *assms* **by** *auto*

have $\text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. (\text{map } \text{denote } x3a ! k) (x2a k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a k)))) x1)$
 $\leq \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. (x2a k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a k)))) x1)$

using *posct* *matrix-sum-trace-le*[of $x1$ $(\lambda k. (\text{map } \text{denote } x3a ! k) (x2a k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a k)))$ $(\lambda k. x2a k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a k))$]
 $x2aa$ **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = \text{trace } x4$ **using** *trace-measurement*[of d $x1$ $x2a$ $x4$] *assms(1,2)*
by *auto*

finally have $\text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. (\text{map } \text{denote } x3a ! k) (x2a k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a k)))) x1 \leq \text{trace } x4$ **by** *auto*

then have $\text{trace } (\text{denote-measure } x1 \ x2a \ (\text{map } \text{denote } x3a) \ x4) \leq \text{trace } x4$
unfolding *denote-measure-def* **by** *auto*
then have $\text{trace } (\text{denote } (\text{Measure } x1 \ x2a \ x3a) \ x4) \leq \text{trace } x4$ **by** *auto*
moreover from *posct* **have** $\text{positive } (\text{denote } (\text{Measure } x1 \ x2a \ x3a) \ x4)$
apply *auto*
unfolding *denote-measure-def* **using** *matrix-sum-positive* **by** *auto*
moreover have $(\text{denote } (\text{Measure } x1 \ x2a \ x3a) \ x4) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
apply *auto*
unfolding *denote-measure-def* **using** *matrix-sum-dim* *posct*
by (*simp add: matrix-sum-dim*)
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *denote-positive-trace-dim:*

$\text{well-com } S \implies \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho$
 $\implies (\text{positive } (\text{denote } S \ \varrho) \wedge \text{trace } (\text{denote } S \ \varrho) \leq \text{trace } \varrho \wedge \text{denote } S \ \varrho \in$
carrier-mat } d \ d)

proof (*induction arbitrary: \varrho*)

case *SKIP*

then show *?case* **unfolding** *partial-density-operator-def* **by** *auto*

next

case (*Utrans x*)

then show *?case*

proof –

assume *wc: well-com (Utrans x)* **and** *r: \varrho \in carrier-mat d d* **and** *pdo: partial-density-operator \varrho*

show $\text{positive } (\text{denote } (\text{Utrans } x) \ \varrho) \wedge \text{trace } (\text{denote } (\text{Utrans } x) \ \varrho) \leq \text{trace } \varrho \wedge$
 $\text{denote } (\text{Utrans } x) \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$

proof –

have $\text{trace } (x * \varrho * \text{adjoint } x) = \text{trace } ((\text{adjoint } x * x) * \varrho)$

using *r* **apply** (*mat-assoc d*) **using** *wc* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = \text{trace } (1_m \ d * \varrho)$ **using** *wc* *inverts-mat-def* *inverts-mat-symm*
adjoint-dim **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = \text{trace } \varrho$ **using** *r* **by** *auto*

finally have *fst: trace (x * \varrho * adjoint x) = trace \varrho* **by** *auto*

moreover have $\text{positive } (x * \varrho * \text{adjoint } x)$ **using** *positive-close-under-left-right-mult-adjoint*
r pdo wc **unfolding** *partial-density-operator-def* **by** *auto*

moreover have $x * \varrho * \text{adjoint } x \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **using** *r wc* *adjoint-dim*
index-mult-mat **by** *auto*

ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

qed

next

case (*Seq x1 x2a*)

then show *?case*

proof –

assume *dx1: (\bigwedge \varrho. well-com x1 \implies \varrho \in carrier-mat d d \implies partial-density-operator*
 $\varrho \implies \text{positive } (\text{denote } x1 \ \varrho) \wedge \text{trace } (\text{denote } x1 \ \varrho) \leq \text{trace } \varrho \wedge \text{denote } x1 \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d)$

and $dx2a$: ($\bigwedge \varrho$. *well-com* $x2a \implies \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho \implies \text{positive} (\text{denote } x2a \ \varrho) \wedge \text{trace} (\text{denote } x2a \ \varrho) \leq \text{trace } \varrho \wedge \text{denote } x2a \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$)

and wc : *well-com* (*Seq* $x1 \ x2a$) **and** r : $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and** pdo : *partial-density-operator* ϱ

show *positive* (*denote* (*Seq* $x1 \ x2a$) ϱ) \wedge *trace* (*denote* (*Seq* $x1 \ x2a$) ϱ) \leq *trace* $\varrho \wedge$ *denote* (*Seq* $x1 \ x2a$) $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$

proof –

have ptc : *positive* (*denote* $x1 \ \varrho$) \wedge *trace* (*denote* $x1 \ \varrho$) \leq *trace* $\varrho \wedge$ *denote* $x1 \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$

using $wc \ r \ pdo \ dx1$ **by** *auto*

then have *partial-density-operator* (*denote* $x1 \ \varrho$) **using** *pdo unfolding partial-density-operator-def* **by** *auto*

then show *?thesis* **using** $ptc \ dx2a \ wc \ \text{dual-order.trans}$ **by** *auto*

qed

qed

next

case (*Measure* $x1 \ x2a \ x3a$)

then show *?case* **using** *denote-measure-positive-trace-dim* **by** *auto*

next

case (*While* $x1 \ x2a$)

then show *?case*

proof –

have *adjoint* ($x1 \ 0$) $*$ ($x1 \ 0$) $+$ *adjoint* ($x1 \ 1$) $*$ ($x1 \ 1$) $= 1_m \ d$

using *measurement-id2* *While* **by** *auto*

moreover have ($\bigwedge \varrho$. $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho \implies \text{positive} (\text{denote } x2a \ \varrho) \wedge \text{trace} (\text{denote } x2a \ \varrho) \leq \text{trace } \varrho \wedge \text{denote } x2a \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$)

using *While* **by** *fastforce*

moreover have $x1 \ 0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \wedge x1 \ 1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$

using *measurement-dim* *While* **by** *fastforce*

ultimately have *denote-while* ($x1 \ 0$) ($x1 \ 1$) (*denote* $x2a$) $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$

\wedge

positive (*denote-while* ($x1 \ 0$) ($x1 \ 1$) (*denote* $x2a$) ϱ) \wedge

trace (*denote-while* ($x1 \ 0$) ($x1 \ 1$) (*denote* $x2a$) ϱ) \leq *trace* ϱ

using *denote-while-dim-positive*[*of* $x1 \ 0 \ x1 \ 1 \ \text{denote } x2a \ \varrho$] *While* **by** *fastforce*

then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

qed

lemma *denote-dim-pdo*:

well-com $S \implies \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho$

$\implies (\text{denote } S \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d) \wedge (\text{partial-density-operator } (\text{denote } S \ \varrho))$

using *denote-positive-trace-dim* **unfolding** *partial-density-operator-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *denote-dim*:

well-com $S \implies \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho$

$\implies (\text{denote } S \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d)$

using *denote-positive-trace-dim* **by** *auto*

lemma *denote-trace*:

well-com $S \implies \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho$

$\implies \text{trace } (\text{denote } S \ \varrho) \leq \text{trace } \varrho$

using *denote-positive-trace-dim* **by** *auto*

lemma *denote-partial-density-operator*:

assumes *well-com* S *partial-density-operator* $\varrho \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$

shows *partial-density-operator* $(\text{denote } S \ \varrho)$

using *assms denote-positive-trace-dim unfolding partial-density-operator-def*

using *dual-order.trans* **by** *blast*

lemma *denote-while-n-sum-mat-seq*:

assumes $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and**

$x1 \ 0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and**

$x1 \ 1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and**

partial-density-operator ϱ **and**

wc: *well-com* $x2$ **and** *mea*: *measurement* $d \ 2 \ x1$

shows *matrix-seq* d (*matrix-sum* d $(\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } (x1 \ 0) \ (x1 \ 1) \ (\text{denote } x2) \ n \ \varrho)$)

proof –

let $?A = x1 \ 0$ **and** $?B = x1 \ 1$

have $dx2: \bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho \implies$

$\text{positive } ((\text{denote } x2) \ \varrho) \wedge \text{trace } ((\text{denote } x2) \ \varrho) \leq \text{trace } \varrho \wedge (\text{denote } x2)$

$\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$

using *denote-positive-trace-dim wc* **by** *auto*

have *lo1*: *adjoint* $?A * ?A + \text{adjoint } ?B * ?B = 1_m \ d$ **using** *measurement-id2* *assms* **by** *auto*

have $\forall n. \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } (x1 \ 0) \ (x1 \ 1) \ (\text{denote } x2) \ n \ \varrho) \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$

using *assms dx2*

by (*metis denote-while-n-dim matrix-sum-dim*)

moreover **have** $(\forall n. \text{partial-density-operator } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } (x1 \ 0) \ (x1 \ 1) \ (\text{denote } x2) \ n \ \varrho) \ n))$

using *assms dx2 lo1*

by (*metis denote-while-n-sum-partial-density*)

moreover **have** $(\forall n. \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } (x1 \ 0) \ (x1 \ 1) \ (\text{denote } x2) \ n \ \varrho) \ n \leq_L \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } (x1 \ 0) \ (x1 \ 1) \ (\text{denote } x2) \ n \ \varrho) \ (\text{Suc } n))$

using *assms dx2 lo1*

by (*metis denote-while-n-sum-lowner-le*)

ultimately show *?thesis*

unfolding *matrix-seq-def* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *denote-while-n-add*:

assumes $M0: x1 \ 0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and**

M1: $x1\ 1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **and**
wc: *well-com* $x2$ **and** **mea:** *measurement* $d\ 2\ x1$ **and**
DS: $(\bigwedge \varrho_1\ \varrho_2. \varrho_1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d \implies \varrho_2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho_1 \implies$
 $\text{partial-density-operator } \varrho_2 \implies \text{trace } (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2) \leq 1 \implies \text{denote } x2\ (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2)$
 $= \text{denote } x2\ \varrho_1 + \text{denote } x2\ \varrho_2)$
shows $\varrho_1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d \implies \varrho_2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho_1 \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho_2 \implies \text{trace } (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2) \leq 1 \implies$
 $\text{denote-while-n } (x1\ 0)\ (x1\ 1)\ (\text{denote } x2)\ k\ (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2) = \text{denote-while-n } (x1\ 0)\ (x1\ 1)\ (\text{denote } x2)\ k\ \varrho_1 + \text{denote-while-n } (x1\ 0)\ (x1\ 1)\ (\text{denote } x2)\ k\ \varrho_2$
proof (*auto*, *induct* k *arbitrary:* $\varrho_1\ \varrho_2$)
case 0
then show *?case*
apply *auto* **using** $M0$ **by** (*mat-assoc* d)
next
case (*Suc* k)
then show *?case*
proof –
let $?A = x1\ 0$ **and** $?B = x1\ 1$
have $dx2: (\bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho \implies \text{positive } ((\text{denote } x2)\ \varrho) \wedge \text{trace } ((\text{denote } x2)\ \varrho) \leq \text{trace } \varrho \wedge (\text{denote } x2)\ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d)$
using *denote-positive-trace-dim wc* **by** *auto*
have $lo1: \text{adjoint } ?B * ?B \leq_L 1_m\ d$ **using** *measurement-le-one-mat* **assms** **by** *auto*
have $dim1: x1\ 1 * \varrho_1 * \text{adjoint } (x1\ 1) \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **using** *assms* Suc **by** (*metis* *adjoint-dim* *mult-carrier-mat*)
moreover **have** $pdo1: \text{partial-density-operator } (x1\ 1 * \varrho_1 * \text{adjoint } (x1\ 1))$
using *pdo-close-under-measurement* *assms*(2) $Suc(2,4)$ $lo1$ **by** *auto*
ultimately **have** $dimr1: \text{denote } x2\ (x1\ 1 * \varrho_1 * \text{adjoint } (x1\ 1)) \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$
using $dx2$ **by** *auto*
have $dim2: x1\ 1 * \varrho_2 * \text{adjoint } (x1\ 1) \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **using** *assms* Suc **by** (*metis* *adjoint-dim* *mult-carrier-mat*)
moreover **have** $pdo2: \text{partial-density-operator } (x1\ 1 * \varrho_2 * \text{adjoint } (x1\ 1))$
using *pdo-close-under-measurement* *assms*(2) $Suc\ lo1$ **by** *auto*
ultimately **have** $dimr2: \text{denote } x2\ (x1\ 1 * \varrho_2 * \text{adjoint } (x1\ 1)) \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$
using $dx2$ **by** *auto*
have $pdor1: \text{partial-density-operator } (\text{denote } x2\ (x1\ 1 * \varrho_1 * \text{adjoint } (x1\ 1)))$
using *denote-partial-density-operator* *assms* $dim1\ pdo1$ **by** *auto*
have $pdor2: \text{partial-density-operator } (\text{denote } x2\ (x1\ 1 * \varrho_2 * \text{adjoint } (x1\ 1)))$
using *denote-partial-density-operator* *assms* $dim2\ pdo2$ **by** *auto*
have $\text{trace } (\text{denote } x2\ (x1\ 1 * \varrho_1 * \text{adjoint } (x1\ 1))) \leq \text{trace } (x1\ 1 * \varrho_1 * \text{adjoint } (x1\ 1))$
using $dx2\ dim1\ pdo1$ **by** *auto*
also **have** $tr1: \dots \leq \text{trace } \varrho_1$ **using** *trace-decrease-mul-adj* *assms* $Suc\ lo1$ **by** *auto*
finally **have** $trr1: \text{trace } (\text{denote } x2\ (x1\ 1 * \varrho_1 * \text{adjoint } (x1\ 1))) \leq \text{trace } \varrho_1$

```

by auto
  have trace (denote  $x2$  ( $x1\ 1 * \varrho_2 * \text{adjoint}$  ( $x1\ 1$ )))  $\leq$  trace ( $x1\ 1 * \varrho_2 * \text{adjoint}$  ( $x1\ 1$ ))
    using dx2 dim2 pdo2 by auto
    also have tr2: ...  $\leq$  trace  $\varrho_2$  using trace-decrease-mul-adj assms Suc lo1 by auto
    finally have trr2: trace (denote  $x2$  ( $x1\ 1 * \varrho_2 * \text{adjoint}$  ( $x1\ 1$ )))  $\leq$  trace  $\varrho_2$ 
by auto
  from tr1 tr2 Suc have trace ( $(x1\ 1 * \varrho_1 * \text{adjoint}$  ( $x1\ 1$ )) +  $(x1\ 1 * \varrho_2 * \text{adjoint}$  ( $x1\ 1$ )))  $\leq$  trace ( $\varrho_1 + \varrho_2$ )
    using trace-add-linear trace-add-linear[of ( $x1\ 1 * \varrho_1 * \text{adjoint}$  ( $x1\ 1$ )) d ( $x1\ 1 * \varrho_2 * \text{adjoint}$  ( $x1\ 1$ )))]
      trace-add-linear[of  $\varrho_1$  d  $\varrho_2$ ]
    using dim1 dim2 by (auto simp: less-eq-complex-def)
    then have trless1: trace ( $(x1\ 1 * \varrho_1 * \text{adjoint}$  ( $x1\ 1$ )) +  $(x1\ 1 * \varrho_2 * \text{adjoint}$  ( $x1\ 1$ )))  $\leq 1$  using Suc by auto
    from trr1 trr2 Suc have trace (denote  $x2$  ( $x1\ 1 * \varrho_1 * \text{adjoint}$  ( $x1\ 1$ )) + denote  $x2$  ( $x1\ 1 * \varrho_2 * \text{adjoint}$  ( $x1\ 1$ ))))  $\leq$  trace ( $\varrho_1 + \varrho_2$ )
      using trace-add-linear[of denote  $x2$  ( $x1\ 1 * \varrho_1 * \text{adjoint}$  ( $x1\ 1$ )) d denote  $x2$  ( $x1\ 1 * \varrho_2 * \text{adjoint}$  ( $x1\ 1$ )))]
        trace-add-linear[of  $\varrho_1$  d  $\varrho_2$ ]
      using dimr1 dimr2 by (auto simp: less-eq-complex-def)
      then have trless2: trace (denote  $x2$  ( $x1\ 1 * \varrho_1 * \text{adjoint}$  ( $x1\ 1$ )) + denote  $x2$  ( $x1\ 1 * \varrho_2 * \text{adjoint}$  ( $x1\ 1$ ))))  $\leq 1$ 
        using Suc by auto
        have  $x1\ 1 * (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2) * \text{adjoint}$  ( $x1\ 1$ ) =  $(x1\ 1 * \varrho_1 * \text{adjoint}$  ( $x1\ 1$ )) +  $(x1\ 1 * \varrho_2 * \text{adjoint}$  ( $x1\ 1$ ))
          using M1 Suc by (mat-assoc d)
          then have deadd: denote  $x2$  ( $x1\ 1 * (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2) * \text{adjoint}$  ( $x1\ 1$ ))) =
            denote  $x2$  ( $x1\ 1 * \varrho_1 * \text{adjoint}$  ( $x1\ 1$ )) + denote  $x2$  ( $x1\ 1 * \varrho_2 * \text{adjoint}$  ( $x1\ 1$ )))
          using assms(5) dim1 dim2 pdo1 pdo2 trless1 by auto
          from dimr1 dimr2 pdor1 pdor2 trless2 Suc(1) deadd show ?thesis by auto
qed
qed

```

lemma *denote-while-add*:

```

assumes r1:  $\varrho_1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$  and
  r2:  $\varrho_2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$  and
  M0:  $x1\ 0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$  and
  M1:  $x1\ 1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$  and
  pdo1: partial-density-operator  $\varrho_1$  and
  pdo2: partial-density-operator  $\varrho_2$  and tr12: trace ( $\varrho_1 + \varrho_2$ )  $\leq 1$  and
  wc: well-com  $x2$  and mea: measurement  $d\ 2\ x1$  and
  DS: ( $\bigwedge \varrho_1\ \varrho_2. \varrho_1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d \implies \varrho_2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho_1 \implies$ 
    partial-density-operator  $\varrho_2 \implies \text{trace } (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2) \leq 1 \implies \text{denote } x2\ (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2)$ 
    = denote  $x2\ \varrho_1 + \text{denote } x2\ \varrho_2$ )
shows

```

$denote_while\ (x1\ 0)\ (x1\ 1)\ (denote\ x2)\ (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2) = denote_while\ (x1\ 0)\ (x1\ 1)\ (denote\ x2)\ \varrho_1 + denote_while\ (x1\ 0)\ (x1\ 1)\ (denote\ x2)\ \varrho_2$

proof –

let $?A = x1\ 0$ **and** $?B = x1\ 1$

have $dx2: (\bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in carrier_mat\ d\ d \implies partial_density_operator\ \varrho \implies positive\ ((denote\ x2)\ \varrho) \wedge trace\ ((denote\ x2)\ \varrho) \leq trace\ \varrho \wedge (denote\ x2)\ \varrho \in carrier_mat\ d\ d)$

using *denote-positive-trace-dim wc* **by** *auto*

have $lo1: adjoint\ ?A * ?A + adjoint\ ?B * ?B = 1_m\ d$ **using** *measurement-id2* **assms** **by** *auto*

have $pdo12: partial_density_operator\ (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2)$ **using** *pdo1 pdo2 unfolding partial-density-operator-def* **using** *tr12 positive-add* **assms** **by** *auto*

have $ms1: matrix_seq\ d\ (matrix_sum\ d\ (\lambda n. denote_while_n\ ?A\ ?B\ (denote\ x2)\ n\ \varrho_1))$

using *denote-while-n-sum-mat-seq* **assms** **by** *auto*

have $ms2: matrix_seq\ d\ (matrix_sum\ d\ (\lambda n. denote_while_n\ ?A\ ?B\ (denote\ x2)\ n\ \varrho_2))$

using *denote-while-n-sum-mat-seq* **assms** **by** *auto*

have $dim1: (\forall n. matrix_sum\ d\ (\lambda n. denote_while_n\ (x1\ 0)\ (x1\ 1)\ (denote\ x2)\ n\ \varrho_1)\ n \in carrier_mat\ d\ d)$

using *assms dx2*

by (*metis denote-while-n-dim matrix-sum-dim*)

have $dim2: (\forall n. matrix_sum\ d\ (\lambda n. denote_while_n\ (x1\ 0)\ (x1\ 1)\ (denote\ x2)\ n\ \varrho_2)\ n \in carrier_mat\ d\ d)$

using *assms dx2*

by (*metis denote-while-n-dim matrix-sum-dim*)

have $trace\ (matrix_sum\ d\ (\lambda n. denote_while_n\ ?A\ ?B\ (denote\ x2)\ n\ \varrho_1)\ n + matrix_sum\ d\ (\lambda n. denote_while_n\ ?A\ ?B\ (denote\ x2)\ n\ \varrho_2)\ n) \leq trace\ (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2)$

for n

proof –

have $trace\ (matrix_sum\ d\ (\lambda n. denote_while_n\ ?A\ ?B\ (denote\ x2)\ n\ \varrho_1)\ n) \leq trace\ \varrho_1$

using *denote-while-n-sum-trace dx2 lo1* **assms** **by** *auto*

moreover **have** $trace\ (matrix_sum\ d\ (\lambda n. denote_while_n\ ?A\ ?B\ (denote\ x2)\ n\ \varrho_2)\ n) \leq trace\ \varrho_2$

using *denote-while-n-sum-trace dx2 lo1* **assms** **by** *auto*

ultimately **show** *?thesis*

using *trace-add-linear dim1 dim2*

by (*metis add-mono-thms-linordered-semiring(1) r1 r2*)

qed

then **have** $\forall n. trace\ (matrix_sum\ d\ (\lambda n. denote_while_n\ ?A\ ?B\ (denote\ x2)\ n\ \varrho_1)\ n + matrix_sum\ d\ (\lambda n. denote_while_n\ ?A\ ?B\ (denote\ x2)\ n\ \varrho_2)\ n) \leq 1$

using *assms(7) dual-order.trans* **by** *blast*

then **have** $lladd: matrix_seq.lower_lub\ (\lambda n. (matrix_sum\ d\ (\lambda n. denote_while_n\ ?A\ ?B\ (denote\ x2)\ n\ \varrho_1))\ n + (matrix_sum\ d\ (\lambda n. denote_while_n\ ?A\ ?B\ (denote\ x2)\ n\ \varrho_2))\ n) = matrix_seq.lower_lub\ (matrix_sum\ d\ (\lambda n. denote_while_n\ ?A\ ?B\ (denote\ x2)\ n\ \varrho_1)) + matrix_seq.lower_lub\ (matrix_sum\ d\ (\lambda n. denote_while_n\ ?A\ ?B\ (denote\ x2)$

$n \varrho_2$)
using *lower-lub-add ms1 ms2 by auto*

have *matrix-sum d* ($\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } (x1\ 0) (x1\ 1) (\text{denote } x2) n (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2)$)
 $m =$
matrix-sum d ($\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } ?A ?B (\text{denote } x2) n \varrho_1$) $m +$ *matrix-sum d*
($\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } ?A ?B (\text{denote } x2) n \varrho_2$) m
for m
proof –
have ($\bigwedge k. k < m \implies \text{denote-while-n } (x1\ 0) (x1\ 1) (\text{denote } x2) k (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2) \in$
carrier-mat d d)
using *denote-while-n-dim dx2 pdo12 assms measurement-dim by auto*
moreover have ($\bigwedge k. k < m \implies \text{denote-while-n } (x1\ 0) (x1\ 1) (\text{denote } x2) k$
 $\varrho_1 \in \text{carrier-mat d d}$)
using *denote-while-n-dim dx2 assms measurement-dim by auto*
moreover have ($\bigwedge k. k < m \implies \text{denote-while-n } (x1\ 0) (x1\ 1) (\text{denote } x2) k$
 $\varrho_2 \in \text{carrier-mat d d}$)
using *denote-while-n-dim dx2 assms measurement-dim by auto*
moreover have ($\forall k < m.$
 $\text{denote-while-n } (x1\ 0) (x1\ 1) (\text{denote } x2) k (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2) = \text{denote-while-n } (x1$
 $0) (x1\ 1) (\text{denote } x2) k \varrho_1 + \text{denote-while-n } (x1\ 0) (x1\ 1) (\text{denote } x2) k \varrho_2$)
using *denote-while-n-add assms by auto*
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *matrix-sum-add*[of m ($\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } (x1\ 0) (x1\ 1) (\text{denote } x2) n$
 $(\varrho_1 + \varrho_2)$) d ($\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } (x1\ 0) (x1\ 1) (\text{denote } x2) n \varrho_1$)
 $(\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } (x1\ 0) (x1\ 1) (\text{denote } x2) n \varrho_2)$] **by auto**
qed
then have *matrix-seq.lower-lub* (*matrix-sum d* ($\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } (x1\ 0) (x1$
 $1) (\text{denote } x2) n (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2)$)) =
matrix-seq.lower-lub ($\lambda n. (\text{matrix-sum d } (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } ?A ?B (\text{denote } x2) n \varrho_1)) n +$
 $(\text{matrix-sum d } (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } ?A ?B (\text{denote } x2) n \varrho_2)) n$)
using *lladd by presburger*
then show *?thesis unfolding denote-while-def matrix-inf-sum-def using lladd*
by auto
qed

lemma *denote-add*:
 $\text{well-com } S \implies \varrho_1 \in \text{carrier-mat d d} \implies \varrho_2 \in \text{carrier-mat d d} \implies$
 $\text{partial-density-operator } \varrho_1 \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho_2 \implies \text{trace } (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2)$
 $\leq 1 \implies$
 $\text{denote } S (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2) = \text{denote } S \varrho_1 + \text{denote } S \varrho_2$

proof (*induction arbitrary: $\varrho_1 \varrho_2$*)
case *SKIP*
then show *?case by auto*
next
case (*Utrans U*)
then show *?case by (clarsimp, mat-assoc d)*
next
case (*Seq x1 x2a*)

```

then show ?case
proof –
  have dim1: denote x1 ρ1 ∈ carrier-mat d d using denote-positive-trace-dim
  Seq by auto
  have dim2: denote x1 ρ2 ∈ carrier-mat d d using denote-positive-trace-dim
  Seq by auto
  have trace (denote x1 ρ1) ≤ trace ρ1 using denote-positive-trace-dim Seq by
  auto
  moreover have trace (denote x1 ρ2) ≤ trace ρ2 using denote-positive-trace-dim
  Seq by auto
  ultimately have tr: trace (denote x1 ρ1 + denote x1 ρ2) ≤ 1 using Seq(4,5,8)
  trace-add-linear dim1 dim2
  by (smt (verit) add-mono order-trans)

  have denote (Seq x1 x2a) (ρ1 + ρ2) = denote x2a (denote x1 (ρ1 + ρ2)) by
  auto
  moreover have denote x1 (ρ1 + ρ2) = denote x1 ρ1 + denote x1 ρ2 using
  Seq by auto
  moreover have partial-density-operator (denote x1 ρ1) using denote-partial-density-operator
  Seq by auto
  moreover have partial-density-operator (denote x1 ρ2) using denote-partial-density-operator
  Seq by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using Seq dim1 dim2 tr by auto
qed
next
  case (Measure x1 x2a x3a)
  then show ?case
  proof –
    have ptc:  $\bigwedge x3aa \rho. x3aa \in \text{set } x3a \implies \text{well-com } x3aa \implies \rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d$ 
     $d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \rho$ 
     $\implies \text{positive } (\text{denote } x3aa \rho) \wedge \text{trace } (\text{denote } x3aa \rho) \leq \text{trace } \rho \wedge \text{denote } x3aa$ 
     $\rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ 
    using denote-positive-trace-dim Measure by auto
    then have map:  $\bigwedge \rho. \rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \rho \implies \forall$ 
     $k < x1. \text{positive } ((\text{map } \text{denote } x3a ! k) (x2a k * \rho * \text{adjoint } (x2a k)))$ 
     $\wedge ((\text{map } \text{denote } x3a ! k) (x2a k * \rho * \text{adjoint } (x2a k))) \in \text{carrier-mat}$ 
     $d d$ 
     $\wedge \text{trace } ((\text{map } \text{denote } x3a ! k) (x2a k * \rho * \text{adjoint } (x2a k))) \leq \text{trace}$ 
     $(x2a k * \rho * \text{adjoint } (x2a k))$ 
    using Measure map-denote-positive-trace-dim by auto

    from map have mapd1:  $\bigwedge k. k < x1 \implies (\text{map } \text{denote } x3a ! k) (x2a k * \rho_1 * \text{adjoint } (x2a k)) \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ 
    using Measure by auto
    from map have mapd2:  $\bigwedge k. k < x1 \implies (\text{map } \text{denote } x3a ! k) (x2a k * \rho_2 * \text{adjoint } (x2a k)) \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ 
    using Measure by auto
    have dim1:  $\bigwedge k. k < x1 \implies x2a k * \rho_1 * \text{adjoint } (x2a k) \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ 
    using well-com.simps(5) measurement-dim Measure by fastforce

```

have $dim2: \bigwedge k. k < x1 \implies x2a\ k * \varrho_2 * adjoint\ (x2a\ k) \in carrier\text{-}mat\ d\ d$
using $well\text{-}com.simps(5)$ $measurement\text{-}dim$ $Measure$ **by** $fastforce$
have $\bigwedge k. k < x1 \implies (x2a\ k * (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2) * adjoint\ (x2a\ k)) \in carrier\text{-}mat\ d\ d$
using $well\text{-}com.simps(5)$ $measurement\text{-}dim$ $Measure$ **by** $fastforce$
have $lea: \bigwedge k. k < x1 \implies adjoint\ (x2a\ k) * x2a\ k \leq_L\ 1_m\ d$ **using** $measurement\text{-}le\text{-}one\text{-}mat$ $Measure$ **by** $auto$
moreover **have** $dimx: \bigwedge k. k < x1 \implies x2a\ k \in carrier\text{-}mat\ d\ d$ **using** $Measure$ $measurement\text{-}dim$ **by** $auto$
ultimately **have** $pdo12: \bigwedge k. k < x1 \implies partial\text{-}density\text{-}operator\ (x2a\ k * \varrho_1 * adjoint\ (x2a\ k)) \wedge partial\text{-}density\text{-}operator\ (x2a\ k * \varrho_2 * adjoint\ (x2a\ k))$
using $pdo\text{-}close\text{-}under\text{-}measurement$ $Measure$ $measurement\text{-}dim$ **by** $blast$

have $trless: trace\ (x2a\ k * \varrho_1 * adjoint\ (x2a\ k) + x2a\ k * \varrho_2 * adjoint\ (x2a\ k)) \leq 1$
if $k: k < x1$ **for** k
proof $-$
have $trace\ (x2a\ k * \varrho_1 * adjoint\ (x2a\ k)) \leq trace\ \varrho_1$ **using** $trace\text{-}decrease\text{-}mul\text{-}adj$ $dimx$ $Measure$ $lea\ k$ **by** $auto$
moreover **have** $trace\ (x2a\ k * \varrho_2 * adjoint\ (x2a\ k)) \leq trace\ \varrho_2$ **using** $trace\text{-}decrease\text{-}mul\text{-}adj$ $dimx$ $Measure$ $lea\ k$ **by** $auto$
ultimately **have** $trace\ (x2a\ k * \varrho_1 * adjoint\ (x2a\ k) + x2a\ k * \varrho_2 * adjoint\ (x2a\ k)) \leq trace\ (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2)$
using $trace\text{-}add\text{-}linear$ $dim1$ $dim2$ $Measure\ k$
by $(metis\ add\text{-}mono\text{-}thms\ linordered\text{-}semiring(1))$
then **show** $?thesis$ **using** $Measure(7)$ **by** $auto$
qed

have $dist: (x2a\ k * (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2) * adjoint\ (x2a\ k)) = (x2a\ k * \varrho_1 * adjoint\ (x2a\ k)) + (x2a\ k * \varrho_2 * adjoint\ (x2a\ k))$
if $k: k < x1$ **for** k
proof $-$
have $(x2a\ k * (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2) * adjoint\ (x2a\ k)) = ((x2a\ k * \varrho_1 + x2a\ k * \varrho_2) * adjoint\ (x2a\ k))$
using $mult\text{-}add\text{-}distrib\text{-}mat$ $Measure$ $well\text{-}com.simps(4)$ $measurement\text{-}dim$
by $(metis\ k)$
also **have** $\dots = (x2a\ k * \varrho_1 * adjoint\ (x2a\ k)) + (x2a\ k * \varrho_2 * adjoint\ (x2a\ k))$
apply $(mat\text{-}assoc\ d)$ **using** $Measure\ k$ $well\text{-}com.simps(4)$ $measurement\text{-}dim$
by $auto$
finally **show** $?thesis$ **by** $auto$
qed

have $mapadd: (map\ denote\ x3a\ !\ k)\ (x2a\ k * (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2) * adjoint\ (x2a\ k)) = (map\ denote\ x3a\ !\ k)\ (x2a\ k * \varrho_1 * adjoint\ (x2a\ k)) + (map\ denote\ x3a\ !\ k)\ (x2a\ k * \varrho_2 * adjoint\ (x2a\ k))$
if $k: k < x1$ **for** k
proof $-$
have $(map\ denote\ x3a\ !\ k)\ (x2a\ k * (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2) * adjoint\ (x2a\ k)) = denote\ (x3a\ !\ k)\ (x2a\ k * (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2) * adjoint\ (x2a\ k))$

```

    using Measure.premis(1) k by auto
    then have mapx: (map denote x3a ! k) (x2a k * (ρ1 + ρ2) * adjoint (x2a k))
= denote (x3a ! k) ((x2a k * ρ1 * adjoint (x2a k)) + (x2a k * ρ2 * adjoint (x2a
k)))
    using dist k by auto
    have denote (x3a ! k) ((x2a k * ρ1 * adjoint (x2a k)) + (x2a k * ρ2 * adjoint
(x2a k)))
    = denote (x3a ! k) (x2a k * ρ1 * adjoint (x2a k)) + denote (x3a ! k) (x2a
k * ρ2 * adjoint (x2a k))
    using Measure(1,2) dim1 dim2 pdo12 trless k
    by (simp add: list-all-length)
    then show ?thesis
    using Measure.premis(1) mapx k by auto
qed
    then have mapd12:( $\bigwedge k. k < x1 \implies$  (map denote x3a ! k) (x2a k * (ρ1 + ρ2)
* adjoint (x2a k))  $\in$  carrier-mat d d)
    using mapd1 mapd2 by auto

    have matrix-sum d (λk. (map denote x3a ! k) (x2a k * (ρ1 + ρ2) * adjoint (x2a
k))) x1 =
    matrix-sum d (λk. (map denote x3a ! k) (x2a k * ρ1 * adjoint (x2a k))) x1
+
    matrix-sum d (λk. (map denote x3a ! k) (x2a k * ρ2 * adjoint (x2a k))) x1
    using matrix-sum-add[of x1 (λk. (map denote x3a ! k) (x2a k * (ρ1 + ρ2) *
adjoint (x2a k))) d (λk. (map denote x3a ! k) (x2a k * ρ1 * adjoint (x2a k))) (λk.
(map denote x3a ! k) (x2a k * ρ2 * adjoint (x2a k)))]
    using mapd12 mapd1 mapd2 mapadd by auto
    then show ?thesis using denote.simps(4) unfolding denote-measure-def by
auto
qed
next
case (While x1 x2)
then show ?case
    apply auto using denote-while-add measurement-dim by auto
qed

```

lemma *multfact*:

fixes *c*:: real **and** *a*:: complex **and** *b*:: complex

assumes $c \geq 0$ $a \leq b$

shows $c * a \leq c * b$

using *assms mult-le-cancel-left-pos unfolding less-eq-complex-def* **by** *force*

lemma *denote-while-n-scale*:

fixes *c*:: real

assumes $c \geq 0$

measurement d 2 x1 well-com x2

$(\bigwedge \rho. \rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \rho \implies \text{trace } (c \cdot_m \rho) \leq 1$
 \implies

$denote\ x2\ (c \cdot_m \varrho) = c \cdot_m\ denote\ x2\ \varrho$
shows $\varrho \in carrier\text{-}mat\ d\ d \implies partial\text{-}density\text{-}operator\ \varrho \implies trace\ (c \cdot_m \varrho) \leq 1 \implies$
 $denote\text{-}while\text{-}n\ (x1\ 0)\ (x1\ 1)\ (denote\ x2)\ n\ (complex\text{-}of\text{-}real\ c \cdot_m \varrho) = c \cdot_m$
 $(denote\text{-}while\text{-}n\ (x1\ 0)\ (x1\ 1)\ (denote\ x2)\ n\ \varrho)$
proof *(auto, induct n arbitrary: ϱ)*
case 0
then show ?case
apply auto **apply** (mat-assoc d) **using** assms measurement-dim **by** auto
next
case (Suc n)
then show ?case
proof –
let ?A = x1 0 **and** ?B = x1 1
have dx2: $(\bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in carrier\text{-}mat\ d\ d \implies partial\text{-}density\text{-}operator\ \varrho \implies positive$
 $((denote\ x2)\ \varrho) \wedge trace\ ((denote\ x2)\ \varrho) \leq trace\ \varrho \wedge (denote\ x2)\ \varrho \in carrier\text{-}mat\ d$
 $d)$
using denote-positive-trace-dim assms **by** auto
have lo1: $adjoint\ ?B * ?B \leq_L\ 1_m\ d$ **using** measurement-le-one-mat assms **by**
auto
have dim1: $x1\ 1 * \varrho * adjoint\ (x1\ 1) \in carrier\text{-}mat\ d\ d$ **using** assms(2) Suc(2)
measurement-dim
by (meson adjoint-dim mult-carrier-mat one-less-numeral-iff semiring-norm(76))
moreover **have** pdo1: $partial\text{-}density\text{-}operator\ (x1\ 1 * \varrho * adjoint\ (x1\ 1))$
using pdo-close-under-measurement assms Suc lo1 measurement-dim
by (metis One-nat-def lessI numeral-2-eq-2)
ultimately **have** dimr: $denote\ x2\ (x1\ 1 * \varrho * adjoint\ (x1\ 1)) \in carrier\text{-}mat\ d$
d
using dx2 **by** auto
have pdor: $partial\text{-}density\text{-}operator\ (denote\ x2\ (x1\ 1 * \varrho * adjoint\ (x1\ 1)))$
using denote-partial-density-operator assms dim1 pdo1 **by** auto
have trace $(denote\ x2\ (x1\ 1 * \varrho * adjoint\ (x1\ 1))) \leq trace\ (x1\ 1 * \varrho * adjoint$
 $(x1\ 1))$
using dx2 dim1 pdo1 **by** auto
also **have** trr1: $\dots \leq trace\ \varrho$ **using** trace-decrease-mul-adj assms Suc lo1
measurement-dim **by** auto
finally **have** trr: $trace\ (denote\ x2\ (x1\ 1 * \varrho * adjoint\ (x1\ 1))) \leq trace\ \varrho$ **by**
auto
moreover **have** trace $(c \cdot_m\ denote\ x2\ (x1\ 1 * \varrho * adjoint\ (x1\ 1))) = c * trace$
 $(denote\ x2\ (x1\ 1 * \varrho * adjoint\ (x1\ 1)))$
using trace-smult dimr **by** auto
moreover **have** trcr: $trace\ (c \cdot_m \varrho) = c * trace\ \varrho$ **using** trace-smult Suc **by**
auto
ultimately **have** trace $(c \cdot_m\ denote\ x2\ (x1\ 1 * \varrho * adjoint\ (x1\ 1))) \leq trace\ (c$
 $\cdot_m \varrho)$
using assms(1) state-sig.mulfact **by** auto
then **have** trrc: $trace\ (c \cdot_m\ denote\ x2\ (x1\ 1 * \varrho * adjoint\ (x1\ 1))) \leq 1$ **using**
Suc **by** auto
have trace $(c \cdot_m\ (x1\ 1 * \varrho * adjoint\ (x1\ 1))) = c * trace\ (x1\ 1 * \varrho * adjoint$

$(x1\ 1))$
using *trace-smult dim1* **by** *auto*
then have $\text{trace } (c \cdot_m (x1\ 1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x1\ 1))) \leq \text{trace } (c \cdot_m \varrho)$ **using** *trcr trr1 assms(1)*
using *state-sig.mulfact* **by** *auto*
then have *trrle*: $\text{trace } (c \cdot_m (x1\ 1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x1\ 1))) \leq 1$ **using** *Suc* **by** *auto*
have $x1\ 1 * (\text{complex-of-real } c \cdot_m \varrho) * \text{adjoint } (x1\ 1) = \text{complex-of-real } c \cdot_m (x1\ 1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x1\ 1))$
apply (*mat-assoc d*) **using** *Suc.prem(1) assms measurement-dim* **by** *auto*
then have *denote x2* $(x1\ 1 * (\text{complex-of-real } c \cdot_m \varrho) * \text{adjoint } (x1\ 1)) = (\text{denote } x2\ (c \cdot_m (x1\ 1 * (\varrho) * \text{adjoint } (x1\ 1))))$
by *auto*
moreover have *denote x2* $(c \cdot_m (x1\ 1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x1\ 1))) = c \cdot_m (\text{denote } x2\ (x1\ 1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x1\ 1)))$
using *assms(4) dim1 pdo1 trrle* **by** *auto*
ultimately have *denote x2* $(x1\ 1 * (\text{complex-of-real } c \cdot_m \varrho) * \text{adjoint } (x1\ 1)) = c \cdot_m (\text{denote } x2\ (x1\ 1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x1\ 1)))$
using *assms* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **using** *Suc dimr pdor trrc* **by** *auto*
qed
qed

lemma *denote-while-scale*:

fixes *c*: *real*
assumes $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$
partial-density-operator ϱ
 $\text{trace } (c \cdot_m \varrho) \leq 1\ c \geq 0$
measurement d 2 x1 well-com x2
 $(\bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho \implies \text{trace } (c \cdot_m \varrho) \leq 1)$
 \implies
 $\text{denote } x2\ (c \cdot_m \varrho) = c \cdot_m (\text{denote } x2\ \varrho)$
shows *denote-while* $(x1\ 0)\ (x1\ 1)\ (\text{denote } x2)\ (c \cdot_m \varrho) = c \cdot_m (\text{denote-while } (x1\ 0)\ (x1\ 1)\ (\text{denote } x2)\ \varrho)$
proof –
let $?A = x1\ 0$ **and** $?B = x1\ 1$
have *dx2*: $(\bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho \implies \text{positive } ((\text{denote } x2)\ \varrho) \wedge \text{trace } ((\text{denote } x2)\ \varrho) \leq \text{trace } \varrho \wedge (\text{denote } x2)\ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d)$
using *denote-positive-trace-dim assms* **by** *auto*
have *lo1*: $\text{adjoint } ?A * ?A + \text{adjoint } ?B * ?B = 1_m\ d$ **using** *measurement-id2 assms* **by** *auto*
have *ms*: *matrix-seq d* $(\text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } ?A\ ?B\ (\text{denote } x2)\ n\ \varrho))$
using *denote-while-n-sum-mat-seq assms measurement-dim* **by** *auto*

have *trcless*: $\text{trace } (c \cdot_m \text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } (x1\ 0)\ (x1\ 1)\ (\text{denote } x2)\ n\ \varrho)\ n) \leq 1$ **for** *n*
proof –

have *dimr*: *matrix-sum d* ($\lambda n.$ *denote-while-n* (*x1 0*) (*x1 1*) (*denote x2*) *n* ϱ)
 $n \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
using *assms dx2 denote-while-n-dim matrix-sum-dim*
using *matrix-seq.dim ms by auto*
have *trace* (*matrix-sum d* ($\lambda n.$ *denote-while-n* ?*A* ?*B* (*denote x2*) *n* ϱ) *n*) \leq
trace ϱ
using *denote-while-n-sum-trace dx2 lo1 assms measurement-dim by auto*
moreover have *trace* (*c* \cdot_m *matrix-sum d* ($\lambda n.$ *denote-while-n* (*x1 0*) (*x1 1*)
(*denote x2*) *n* ϱ) *n*) = *c* * *trace* (*matrix-sum d* ($\lambda n.$ *denote-while-n* (*x1 0*) (*x1 1*)
(*denote x2*) *n* ϱ) *n*)
using *trace-smult dimr by auto*
moreover have *trace* (*c* \cdot_m ϱ) = *c* * *trace* ϱ **using** *trace-smult assms by auto*

ultimately have *trace* (*c* \cdot_m *matrix-sum d* ($\lambda n.$ *denote-while-n* (*x1 0*) (*x1 1*)
(*denote x2*) *n* ϱ) *n*) \leq *trace* (*c* \cdot_m ϱ)
using *assms(4) by (simp add: ordered-comm-semiring-class.comm-mult-left-mono*
less-eq-complex-def)
then show ?*thesis*
using *assms by auto*
qed

have *llscale*: *matrix-seq.lowner-lub* ($\lambda n.$ *c* \cdot_m (*matrix-sum d* ($\lambda n.$ *denote-while-n*
?*A* ?*B* (*denote x2*) *n* ϱ) *n*)
= *c* \cdot_m *matrix-seq.lowner-lub* (*matrix-sum d* ($\lambda n.$ *denote-while-n* ?*A* ?*B* (*denote*
x2) *n* ϱ)
using *lowner-lub-scale[of d (matrix-sum d (lambda n. denote-while-n (x1 0) (x1 1)*
(*denote x2*) *n* ϱ) *c*] *ms trcless assms(4) by auto*
have *matrix-sum d* ($\lambda n.$ *denote-while-n* (*x1 0*) (*x1 1*) (*denote x2*) *n* (*complex-of-real*
c \cdot_m ϱ)) *m*
= *c* \cdot_m (*matrix-sum d* ($\lambda n.$ *denote-while-n* ?*A* ?*B* (*denote x2*) *n* ϱ) *m*)
for *m*
proof –
have *dim*: ($\bigwedge k. k < m \implies$ *denote-while-n* (*x1 0*) (*x1 1*) (*denote x2*) *k* $\varrho \in$
carrier-mat d d)
using *denote-while-n-dim dx2 assms measurement-dim by auto*
then have *dimr*: ($\bigwedge k. k < m \implies$ *c* \cdot_m *denote-while-n* (*x1 0*) (*x1 1*) (*denote*
x2) *k* $\varrho \in$ *carrier-mat d d*)
using *smult-carrier-mat by auto*
have $\forall n < m.$ *denote-while-n* (*x1 0*) (*x1 1*) (*denote x2*) *n* (*complex-of-real c* \cdot_m
 ϱ) = *c* \cdot_m (*denote-while-n* (*x1 0*) (*x1 1*) (*denote x2*) *n* ϱ)
using *denote-while-n-scale assms by auto*
then have (*matrix-sum d* ($\lambda n.$ *c* \cdot_m *denote-while-n* ?*A* ?*B* (*denote x2*) *n* ϱ))
m =
matrix-sum d ($\lambda n.$ *denote-while-n* (*x1 0*) (*x1 1*) (*denote x2*) *n* (*complex-of-real*
c \cdot_m ϱ)) *m*
using *matrix-sum-cong[of m lambda n. complex-of-real c .m denote-while-n (x1 0)*
(*x1 1*) (*denote x2*) *n* ϱ] *dimr*
by *fastforce*
moreover have (*matrix-sum d* ($\lambda n.$ *c* \cdot_m *denote-while-n* ?*A* ?*B* (*denote x2*) *n*

```

ρ)  $m = c \cdot_m (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-}n \text{ ?}A \text{ ?}B (\text{denote } x2) n \rho))$   $m$ 
  using matrix-sum-smult[of  $m (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-}n (x1 \ 0) (x1 \ 1) (\text{denote } x2) n \rho) d \ c]$  dim by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
  then have matrix-seq.lower-lub ( $\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-}n (x1 \ 0) (x1 \ 1) (\text{denote } x2) n (\text{complex-of-real } c \cdot_m \rho))$ ) =
     $\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub} (\lambda n. c \cdot_m (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-}n \text{ ?}A \text{ ?}B (\text{denote } x2) n \rho)) n)$ 
  by meson
  then show ?thesis
  unfolding denote-while-def matrix-inf-sum-def using llscale by auto
qed

```

lemma *denote-scale*:

```

fixes  $c :: \text{real}$ 
assumes  $c \geq 0$ 
shows  $\text{well-com } S \implies \rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \rho \implies$ 
   $\text{trace } (c \cdot_m \rho) \leq 1 \implies \text{denote } S (c \cdot_m \rho) = c \cdot_m \text{denote } S \rho$ 
proof (induction arbitrary: ρ)
  case SKIP
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Utrans x)
  then show ?case
  unfolding denote.simps apply (mat-assoc d) using Utrans by auto
next
  case (Seq x1 x2a)
  then show ?case
  proof –
  have  $cd: \text{denote } x1 (c \cdot_m \rho) = c \cdot_m \text{denote } x1 \rho$  using Seq by auto
  have  $x1: \text{denote } x1 \rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \wedge \text{partial-density-operator } (\text{denote } x1 \rho) \wedge \text{trace } (\text{denote } x1 \rho) \leq \text{trace } \rho$ 
  using denote-positive-trace-dim Seq denote-partial-density-operator by auto
  have  $\text{trace } (c \cdot_m \text{denote } x1 \rho) = c * \text{trace } (\text{denote } x1 \rho)$  using trace-smult x1 by auto
  also have  $\dots \leq c * \text{trace } \rho$  using x1 assms
  by (metis Seq.prem1 cd denote-positive-trace-dim partial-density-operator-def positive-scale smult-carrier-mat trace-smult well-com.simps(3))
  also have  $\dots \leq 1$  using Seq(6) trace-smult Seq(4)
  by (simp add: trace-smult)
  finally have  $\text{trace } (c \cdot_m \text{denote } x1 \rho) \leq 1$  by auto
  then have  $\text{denote } x2a (c \cdot_m \text{denote } x1 \rho) = c \cdot_m \text{denote } x2a (\text{denote } x1 \rho)$ 
using x1 Seq by auto
  then show ?thesis using denote.simps(4) cd by auto
qed
next
  case (Measure x1 x2a x3a)
  then show ?case

```

proof –

have $ptc: \bigwedge x3aa \ \varrho. x3aa \in set \ x3a \implies well-com \ x3aa \implies \varrho \in carrier-mat \ d$
 $d \implies partial-density-operator \ \varrho$
 $\implies positive \ (denote \ x3aa \ \varrho) \wedge trace \ (denote \ x3aa \ \varrho) \leq trace \ \varrho \wedge denote \ x3aa$
 $\varrho \in carrier-mat \ d \ d$

using *denote-positive-trace-dim Measure* **by** *auto*

have $cad: x2a \ k * (c \cdot_m \ \varrho) * adjoint \ (x2a \ k) = c \cdot_m \ (x2a \ k * \varrho * adjoint \ (x2a$
 $k))$

if $k: k < x1$ **for** k

apply (*mat-assoc d*) **using** *well-com.simps Measure measurement-dim k* **by**
auto

have $\forall k < x1. x2a \ k * \varrho * adjoint \ (x2a \ k) \in carrier-mat \ d \ d$

using *Measure(2) measurement-dim Measure(3)* **by** *fastforce*

have $lea: \forall k < x1. adjoint \ (x2a \ k) * x2a \ k \leq_L \ 1_m \ d$ **using** *measurement-le-one-mat*
Measure(2) **by** *auto*

then **have** $pdox: \forall k < x1. partial-density-operator \ (x2a \ k * \varrho * adjoint \ (x2a$
 $k))$

using *pdo-close-under-measurement Measure(2,3,4) measurement-dim*
by (*meson state-sig.well-com.simps(4)*)

have $x2aa: \forall k < x1. (x2a \ k * \varrho * adjoint \ (x2a \ k)) \in carrier-mat \ d \ d$ **using**
Measure(2,3) measurement-dim **by** *fastforce*

have $dimm: (\bigwedge k. k < x1 \implies (map \ denote \ x3a \ ! \ k) \ (x2a \ k * \varrho * adjoint \ (x2a$
 $k)) \in carrier-mat \ d \ d)$

using *map-denote-positive-trace-dim Measure(2,3,4) ptc* **by** *auto*

then **have** $dimcm: (\bigwedge k. k < x1 \implies c \cdot_m \ (map \ denote \ x3a \ ! \ k) \ (x2a \ k * \varrho *$
 $adjoint \ (x2a \ k)) \in carrier-mat \ d \ d)$

using *smult-carrier-mat* **by** *auto*

have $tra: \forall k < x1. trace \ ((x2a \ k * \varrho * adjoint \ (x2a \ k))) \leq trace \ \varrho$
using *trace-decrease-mul-adj Measure lea measurement-dim* **by** *auto*

have $tra1: trace \ (c \cdot_m \ (x2a \ k * \varrho * adjoint \ (x2a \ k))) \leq 1$ **if** $k: k < x1$ **for** k

proof –

have $trle: trace \ (x2a \ k * \varrho * adjoint \ (x2a \ k)) \leq trace \ \varrho$ **using** *tra k* **by** *auto*

have $trace \ (c \cdot_m \ (x2a \ k * \varrho * adjoint \ (x2a \ k))) = c * trace \ ((x2a \ k * \varrho *$
 $adjoint \ (x2a \ k))$

using *trace-smult x2aa k* **by** *auto*

also **have** $\dots \leq c * trace \ \varrho$

using *trle assms mulfact* **by** *auto*

also **have** $\dots \leq 1$ **using** *Measure(3,5) trace-smult* **by** *metis*

finally **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

have $(map \ denote \ x3a \ ! \ k) \ (x2a \ k * (c \cdot_m \ \varrho) * adjoint \ (x2a \ k))$
 $= c \cdot_m \ (map \ denote \ x3a \ ! \ k) \ (x2a \ k * \varrho * adjoint \ (x2a \ k))$ **if** $k: k < x1$ **for** k

proof –

have $denote \ (x3a \ ! \ k) \ (x2a \ k * (c \cdot_m \ \varrho) * adjoint \ (x2a \ k)) = denote \ (x3a \ ! \ k)$
 $(c \cdot_m \ (x2a \ k * \varrho * adjoint \ (x2a \ k)))$

using *cad k* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = c \cdot_m \text{denote } (x3a ! k) ((x2a k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x2a k)))$
using *Measure(1,2) pdox x2aa tra1 k using measure-well-com by auto*
finally have $\text{denote } (x3a ! k) (x2a k * (\text{complex-of-real } c \cdot_m \varrho) * \text{adjoint } (x2a k)) = \text{complex-of-real } c \cdot_m \text{denote } (x3a ! k) (x2a k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x2a k))$
by auto
then show *?thesis using Measure.prem(1) k by auto*
qed

then have $\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. c \cdot_m (\text{map } \text{denote } x3a ! k) (x2a k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x2a k))) x1 =$
 $\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. (\text{map } \text{denote } x3a ! k) (x2a k * (c \cdot_m \varrho) * \text{adjoint } (x2a k)))$
 $x1$
using *matrix-sum-cong[of x1 (\lambda k. complex-of-real c \cdot_m (\text{map } \text{denote } x3a ! k) (x2a k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x2a k)))*
 $(\lambda k. (\text{map } \text{denote } x3a ! k) (x2a k * (\text{complex-of-real } c \cdot_m \varrho) * \text{adjoint } (x2a k)))]$ *dimcm by auto*
then have $\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. (\text{map } \text{denote } x3a ! k) (x2a k * (c \cdot_m \varrho) * \text{adjoint } (x2a k))) x1 =$
 $c \cdot_m \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. (\text{map } \text{denote } x3a ! k) (x2a k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x2a k)))$
 $x1$
using *matrix-sum-smult[of x1 (\lambda k. (\text{map } \text{denote } x3a ! k) (x2a k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x2a k))) d c] dimm by auto*
then have $\text{denote } (\text{Measure } x1 x2a x3a) (c \cdot_m \varrho) = c \cdot_m \text{denote } (\text{Measure } x1 x2a x3a) \varrho$
using *denote.simps(4)[of x1 x2a x3a c \cdot_m \varrho]*
using *denote.simps(4)[of x1 x2a x3a \varrho] unfolding denote-measure-def by auto*
then show *?thesis by auto*
qed

next
case *(While x1 x2a)*
then show *?case*
apply auto
using *denote-while-scale assms by auto*
qed

lemma *limit-mat-denote-while-n:*

assumes *wc: well-com (While M S) and dr: $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ and pdor: partial-density-operator ϱ*
shows $\text{limit-mat } (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. \text{denote-while-n } (M 0) (M 1) (\text{denote } S) k \varrho)) (\text{denote } (\text{While } M S) \varrho) d$
proof –
have *m: measurement $d \ 2 \ M$ using wc by auto*
then have *dM0: $M 0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ and dM1: $M 1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ and id: $\text{adjoint } (M 0) * (M 0) + \text{adjoint } (M 1) * (M 1) = 1_m d$*
using *measurement-id2 m measurement-def by auto*
have *wcs: well-com S using wc by auto*
have *DS: positive $(\text{denote } S \varrho) \wedge \text{trace } (\text{denote } S \varrho) \leq \text{trace } \varrho \wedge \text{denote } S \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$*
if $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **and** *partial-density-operator ϱ for ϱ*

```

using wcs that denote-positive-trace-dim by auto

have sumdd: (∀ n. matrix-sum d (λn. denote-while-n (M 0) (M 1) (denote S) n
  ρ) n ∈ carrier-mat d d)
  if ρ ∈ carrier-mat d d and partial-density-operator ρ for ρ
  using denote-while-n-sum-dim dM0 dM1 DS that by auto
  have sumtr: ∀ n. trace (matrix-sum d (λn. denote-while-n (M 0) (M 1) (denote
    S) n ρ) n) ≤ trace ρ
  if ρ ∈ carrier-mat d d and partial-density-operator ρ for ρ
  using denote-while-n-sum-trace[OF dM0 dM1 id DS] that by auto
  have sumpar: (∀ n. partial-density-operator (matrix-sum d (λn. denote-while-n
    (M 0) (M 1) (denote S) n ρ) n))
  if ρ ∈ carrier-mat d d and partial-density-operator ρ for ρ
  using denote-while-n-sum-partial-density[OF dM0 dM1 id DS] that by auto
  have sumle:(∀ n. matrix-sum d (λn. denote-while-n (M 0) (M 1) (denote S) n
    ρ) n ≤L matrix-sum d (λn. denote-while-n (M 0) (M 1) (denote S) n ρ) (Suc n))
  if ρ ∈ carrier-mat d d and partial-density-operator ρ for ρ
  using denote-while-n-sum-lowner-le[OF dM0 dM1 id DS] that by auto
  have seqd: matrix-seq d (matrix-sum d (λn. denote-while-n (M 0) (M 1) (denote
    S) n ρ))
  if ρ ∈ carrier-mat d d and partial-density-operator ρ for ρ
  using matrix-seq-def sumdd sumpar sumle that by auto

  have matrix-seq.lowner-is-lub (matrix-sum d (λn. denote-while-n (M 0) (M 1)
    (denote S) n ρ))
    (matrix-seq.lowner-lub (matrix-sum d (λn. denote-while-n (M 0) (M 1) (denote
      S) n ρ)))
  using DS lowner-is-lub-matrix-sum dM0 dM1 id pdor dr by auto
  then show limit-mat (matrix-sum d (λk. denote-while-n (M 0) (M 1) (denote
    S) k ρ)) (denote (While M S) ρ) d
  unfolding denote.simps denote-while-def matrix-inf-sum-def using matrix-seq.lowner-lub-is-limit[OF
    seqd[OF dr pdor]] by auto
qed

end

end

```

4 Partial state

```

theory Partial-State
imports Quantum-Program Deep-Learning.Tensor-Matricization
begin

lemma nth-intersection-eq:
  assumes {0.. $\text{length } xs$ } ⊆ A
  shows nth xs B = nth xs (A ∩ B)
proof –
  have ∧x. x ∈ set (zip xs [0.. $\text{length } xs$ ]) ⇒ snd x < length xs

```

by (metis atLeastLessThan-iff atLeastLessThan-upt in-set-*zip nth-mem*)
 then have $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } (\text{zip } xs \ [0..<\text{length } xs]) \implies \text{snd } x \in A$ **using** *assms* **by**
auto
 then have *eqp*: $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } (\text{zip } xs \ [0..<\text{length } xs]) \implies \text{snd } x \in B = (\text{snd } x \in$
 $(A \cap B))$ **by** *simp*
 then have *filter* $(\lambda p. \text{snd } p \in B) (\text{zip } xs \ [0..<\text{length } xs]) = \text{filter } (\lambda p. \text{snd } p \in$
 $(A \cap B)) (\text{zip } xs \ [0..<\text{length } xs])$
 using *filter-cong*[*of* $(\text{zip } xs \ [0..<\text{length } xs]) (\text{zip } xs \ [0..<\text{length } xs])$, *OF - eqp*]
by *auto*
 then show *nths* $xs \ B = \text{nths } xs \ (A \cap B)$ **unfolding** *nths-def* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *nths-minus-eq*:

assumes $\{0..<\text{length } xs\} \subseteq A$
 shows *nths* $xs \ (-B) = \text{nths } xs \ (A - B)$
proof –
 have $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } (\text{zip } xs \ [0..<\text{length } xs]) \implies \text{snd } x < \text{length } xs$
 by (metis atLeastLessThan-iff atLeastLessThan-upt in-set-*zip nth-mem*)
 then have $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } (\text{zip } xs \ [0..<\text{length } xs]) \implies \text{snd } x \in A$ **using** *assms* **by**
auto
 then have *eqp*: $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } (\text{zip } xs \ [0..<\text{length } xs]) \implies \text{snd } x \in (-B) = (\text{snd } x$
 $\in (A - B))$ **by** *simp*
 then have *filter* $(\lambda p. \text{snd } p \in (-B)) (\text{zip } xs \ [0..<\text{length } xs]) = \text{filter } (\lambda p. \text{snd } p$
 $\in (A - B)) (\text{zip } xs \ [0..<\text{length } xs])$
 using *filter-cong*[*of* $(\text{zip } xs \ [0..<\text{length } xs]) (\text{zip } xs \ [0..<\text{length } xs])$, *OF - eqp*]
by *auto*
 then show *nths* $xs \ (-B) = \text{nths } xs \ (A - B)$ **unfolding** *nths-def* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *nths-split-complement-eq*:

assumes $A \cap B = \{\}$
 and $\{0..<\text{length } xs\} \subseteq A \cup B$
 shows *nths* $xs \ A = \text{nths } xs \ (-B)$
proof –
 have *nths* $xs \ (-B) = \text{nths } xs \ (A \cup B - B)$ **using** *nths-minus-eq* *assms* **by** *auto*
 moreover have $A \cup B - B = A$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 ultimately show *nths* $xs \ A = \text{nths } xs \ (-B)$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *lt-set-card-lt*:

fixes $A :: \text{nat set}$
 assumes *finite* A and $x \in A$
 shows *card* $\{y. y \in A \wedge y < x\} < \text{card } A$
proof –
 have $x \notin \{y. y \in A \wedge y < x\}$ **by** *auto*
 then have $\{y. y \in A \wedge y < x\} \subseteq A - \{x\}$ **by** *auto*
 then have *card* $\{y. y \in A \wedge y < x\} \leq \text{card } (A - \{x\})$
 using *card-mono* *finite-Diff*[*OF* *assms*(1)] **by** *auto*
 also have $\dots < \text{card } A$ **using** *card-Diff1-less*[*OF* *assms*] **by** *auto*

finally show *?thesis* **by auto**
qed

definition *ind-in-set* **where**

ind-in-set $A\ x = \text{card } \{i. i \in A \wedge i < x\}$

lemma *bij-ind-in-set-bound*:

fixes $M :: \text{nat}$ **and** $v0 :: \text{nat set}$

assumes $\bigwedge x. f\ x = \text{card } \{y. y \in v0 \wedge y < x\}$

shows *bij-betw* $f\ (\{0..<M\} \cap v0)\ \{0..<\text{card } (\{0..<M\} \cap v0)\}$

unfolding *bij-betw-def*

proof

let $?dom = \{0..<M\} \cap v0$

let $?ran = \{0..<\text{card } (\{0..<M\} \cap v0)\}$

{

fix $x1\ x2 :: \text{nat}$ **assume** $x1: x1 \in ?dom$ **and** $x2: x2 \in ?dom$ **and** $f\ x1 = f\ x2$

then have $\text{card } \{y. y \in v0 \wedge y < x1\} = \text{card } \{y. y \in v0 \wedge y < x2\}$ **using**

assms **by auto**

then have $\text{pick } v0\ (\text{card } \{y. y \in v0 \wedge y < x1\}) = \text{pick } v0\ (\text{card } \{y. y \in v0 \wedge y < x2\})$ **by auto**

moreover have $\text{pick } v0\ (\text{card } \{y. y \in v0 \wedge y < x1\}) = x1$ **using** *pick-card-in-set*

x1 **by auto**

moreover have $\text{pick } v0\ (\text{card } \{y. y \in v0 \wedge y < x2\}) = x2$ **using** *pick-card-in-set*

x2 **by auto**

ultimately have $x1 = x2$ **by auto**

}

then show *inj-on* $f\ ?dom$ **unfolding** *inj-on-def* **by auto**

{

fix x **assume** $x: x \in ?dom$

then have $(y \in v0 \wedge y < x) = (y \in ?dom \wedge y < x)$ **for** y **using** x **by auto**

then have $\text{card } \{y. y \in v0 \wedge y < x\} = \text{card } \{y. y \in ?dom \wedge y < x\}$ **by auto**

moreover have $\text{card } \{y. y \in ?dom \wedge y < x\} < \text{card } ?dom$ **using** x *lt-set-card-lt*[*of*

?dom] **by auto**

ultimately have $f\ x \in ?ran$ **using** *assms* **by auto**

}

then have *sub*: $(f\ ' ?dom) \subseteq ?ran$ **by auto**

{

fix y **assume** $y: y \in ?ran$

then have $yle: y < \text{card } ?dom$ **by auto**

then have *pyindom*: $\text{pick } ?dom\ y \in ?dom$ **using** *pick-in-set-le*[*of* $y\ ?dom$] **by auto**

then have $\text{pick } ?dom\ y < M$ **by auto**

then have $\bigwedge z. (z < \text{pick } ?dom\ y \implies z \in v0 = (z \in ?dom))$ **by auto**

then have $\{z. z \in v0 \wedge z < \text{pick } ?dom\ y\} = \{z. z \in ?dom \wedge z < \text{pick } ?dom\ y\}$ **by auto**

then have $\text{card } \{z. z \in v0 \wedge z < \text{pick } ?dom\ y\} = \text{card } \{z. z \in ?dom \wedge z < \text{pick } ?dom\ y\}$ **by auto**

then have $f\ (\text{pick } ?dom\ y) = y$ **using** *card-pick-le*[*OF* yle] *assms* **by auto**

```

  with pyindom have  $\exists x \in ?dom. f x = y$  by auto
}
then have sup:  $?ran \subseteq (f \text{ ` } ?dom)$  by fastforce
show  $(f \text{ ` } ?dom) = ?ran$  using sub sup by auto
qed

```

lemma *ind-in-set-bound*:

```

fixes A :: nat set and M N :: nat
assumes  $N \geq M$ 
shows  $ind\text{-in}\text{-set } A \ N \notin (ind\text{-in}\text{-set } A \text{ ` } (\{0..<M\} \cap A))$ 
proof -
  have  $\{0..<M\} \cap A \subseteq \{i. i \in A \wedge i < N\}$  using assms by auto
  then have  $card (\{0..<M\} \cap A) \leq card \{i. i \in A \wedge i < N\}$ 
    using card-mono[of  $\{i. i \in A \wedge i < N\}$ ] by auto
  moreover have  $ind\text{-in}\text{-set } A \ N = card \{i. i \in A \wedge i < N\}$  unfolding ind-in-set-def
  by auto
  ultimately have  $ind\text{-in}\text{-set } A \ N \geq card (\{0..<M\} \cap A)$  by auto

  moreover have  $y \in ind\text{-in}\text{-set } A \text{ ` } (A \cap \{0..<M\}) \implies y < card (\{0..<M\} \cap A)$ 
  for y
  proof -
    let ?dom =  $\{0..<M\} \cap A$ 
    assume  $y \in ind\text{-in}\text{-set } A \text{ ` } (A \cap \{0..<M\})$ 
    then obtain x where  $x \in ?dom$  and  $y: ind\text{-in}\text{-set } A \ x = y$  by auto
    then have  $(y \in A \wedge y < x) = (y \in ?dom \wedge y < x)$  for y using x by auto
    then have  $card \{y. y \in A \wedge y < x\} = card \{y. y \in ?dom \wedge y < x\}$  by auto
    moreover have  $card \{y. y \in ?dom \wedge y < x\} < card ?dom$  using x lt-set-card-lt[of
    ?dom] by auto
    ultimately show  $y < card (\{0..<M\} \cap A)$  using y unfolding ind-in-set-def
  by auto
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

lemma *bij-minus-subset*:

```

bij-betw f A B  $\implies C \subseteq A \implies (f \text{ ` } A) - (f \text{ ` } C) = f \text{ ` } (A - C)$ 
by (metis Diff-subset bij-betw-imp-inj-on bij-betw-imp-surj-on inj-on-image-set-diff)

```

lemma *ind-in-set-minus-subset-bound*:

```

fixes A B :: nat set and M :: nat
assumes  $B \subseteq A$ 
shows  $(ind\text{-in}\text{-set } A \text{ ` } (\{0..<M\} \cap A)) - (ind\text{-in}\text{-set } A \text{ ` } B) = (ind\text{-in}\text{-set } A \text{ ` } (\{0..<M\} \cap A)) \cap (ind\text{-in}\text{-set } A \text{ ` } (A - B))$ 
proof -
  let ?dom =  $\{0..<M\} \cap A$ 
  let ?ran =  $\{0..<card (\{0..<M\} \cap A)\}$ 
  let ?f =  $ind\text{-in}\text{-set } A$ 
  let ?C =  $A - B$ 
  have bij:  $bij\text{-betw } ?f \ ?dom \ ?ran$ 

```

using *bij-ind-in-set-bound*[of $?f A M$] **unfolding** *ind-in-set-def* **by** *auto*
then have $eq: ?f ' ?dom = ?ran$ **using** *bij-betw-imp-surj-on* **by** *fastforce*

have $(?f ' B) = (?f ' (\{0..<M\} \cap B)) \cup (?f ' (\{n. n \geq M\} \cap B))$
by *fastforce*
then have $(?f ' ?dom) - (?f ' B)$
 $= (?f ' ?dom) - (?f ' (\{n. n \geq M\} \cap B)) - (?f ' (\{0..<M\} \cap B))$
by *fastforce*
moreover have $(?f ' (\{n. n \geq M\} \cap B)) \cap (?f ' ?dom) = \{\}$ **using** *ind-in-set-bound*[of
 $M - A$] **by** *auto*
ultimately have $eq1: (?f ' ?dom) - (?f ' B) = (?f ' ?dom) - (?f ' (\{0..<M\}$
 $\cap B))$ **by** *auto*
have $\{0..<M\} \cap B \subseteq ?dom$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
then have $(?f ' ?dom) - (?f ' (\{0..<M\} \cap B)) = ?f ' (?dom - (\{0..<M\} \cap$
 $B))$
using *bij-bij-minus-subset*[of $?f$] **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = ?f ' (\{0..<M\} \cap ?C)$ **by** *auto*
finally have $eq2: (?f ' ?dom) - (?f ' B) = ?f ' (\{0..<M\} \cap ?C)$ **using** $eq1$ **by**
auto

have $(?f ' ?C) = (?f ' (\{0..<M\} \cap ?C)) \cup (?f ' (\{n. n \geq M\} \cap ?C))$ **by** *fastforce*
moreover have $(?f ' (\{n. n \geq M\} \cap ?C)) \cap (?f ' ?dom) = \{\}$ **using** *ind-in-set-bound*[of
 $M - A$] **by** *auto*
ultimately have $eq3: (ind-in-set A ' ?dom) \cap (?f ' ?C) = (ind-in-set A ' ?dom)$
 $\cap (?f ' (\{0..<M\} \cap ?C))$ **by** *auto*

have $\{0..<M\} \cap ?C \subseteq ?dom$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
then have $(ind-in-set A ' ?dom) \cap (?f ' (\{0..<M\} \cap ?C)) = (?f ' (\{0..<M\} \cap$
 $?C))$ **using** *bij* **by** *fastforce*
then show *?thesis* **using** $eq2$ $eq3$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *ind-in-set-iff*:
fixes $A B :: nat set$
assumes $x \in A$ **and** $B \subseteq A$
shows $ind-in-set A x \in (ind-in-set A ' B) = (x \in B)$
proof
have $lemm: card \{i. i \in A \wedge i < (x::nat)\} = card \{i. i \in A \wedge i < (y::nat)\}$
 $\implies x \in A \implies y \in A \implies x = y$ **for** $A x y$
by (*metis* (*full-types*) *pick-card-in-set*)
 $\{$
assume $ind-in-set A x \in (ind-in-set A ' B)$
then have $\exists y \in B. card \{i \in A. i < x\} = card \{i \in A. i < y\}$ **unfolding**
ind-in-set-def **by** *auto*
then obtain y **where** $y1: y \in B$ **and** $ceq: card \{i \in A. i < x\} = card \{i \in$
 $A. i < y\}$ **by** *auto*
with $y1$ *assms* **have** $y0: y \in A$ **by** *auto*
then have $x = y$ **using** *lemm*[*OF* ceq] $y0$ *assms* **by** *auto*
then show $x \in B$ **using** $y1$ **by** *auto*

```

}
qed (simp add: ind-in-set-def)

lemma nth-reencode-eq:
  assumes  $B \subseteq A$ 
  shows  $\text{nths } (\text{nths } xs \ A) \ (\text{ind-in-set } A \ ' \ B) = \text{nths } xs \ B$ 
proof (induction xs rule: rev-induct)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (snoc x xs)
  have seteq:  $\{i. i < \text{length } xs \wedge i \in A\} = \{i. i \in A \wedge i < \text{length } xs\}$  by auto

  show ?case
  proof (cases  $\text{length } xs \in B$ )
    case True
    have  $\text{nths } (xs \ @ \ [x]) \ B = \text{nths } xs \ B \ @ \ \text{nths } [x] \ \{l. l + \text{length } xs \in B\}$  using
    nth-append[of xs] by auto
    moreover have  $\text{nths } [x] \ \{l. l + \text{length } xs \in B\} = [x]$  using nth-singleton True
    by auto
    ultimately have eqT1:  $\text{nths } (xs \ @ \ [x]) \ B = \text{nths } xs \ B \ @ \ [x]$  by auto

    then have  $\text{length } xs \in A$  using True assms by auto
    then have  $\text{nths } [x] \ \{l. l + \text{length } xs \in A\} = [x]$  using nth-singleton by auto
    moreover have  $\text{nths } (xs \ @ \ [x]) \ A = \text{nths } xs \ A \ @ \ \text{nths } [x] \ \{l. l + \text{length } xs \in A\}$ 
    A} using nth-append[of xs] by auto
    ultimately have  $\text{nths } (xs \ @ \ [x]) \ A = \text{nths } xs \ A \ @ \ [x]$  by auto
    then have eqT2:  $\text{nths } (\text{nths } (xs \ @ \ [x]) \ A) \ (\text{ind-in-set } A \ ' \ B) = \text{nths } (\text{nths } xs \ A \ @ \ [x]) \ (\text{ind-in-set } A \ ' \ B)$  by auto
    have eqT3:  $\text{nths } (\text{nths } xs \ A \ @ \ [x]) \ (\text{ind-in-set } A \ ' \ B) = \text{nths } xs \ B \ @ \ (\text{nths } [x] \ \{l. l + \text{length } (\text{nths } xs \ A) \in (\text{ind-in-set } A \ ' \ B)\})$ 
    using nth-append[of nth xs A] snoc by auto

    have  $\text{ind-in-set } A \ (\text{length } xs) = \text{card } \{i. i < \text{length } xs \wedge i \in A\}$  using
    ind-in-set-def seteq by auto
    moreover have  $\text{length } (\text{nths } xs \ A) = \text{card } \{i. i < \text{length } xs \wedge i \in A\}$  using
    length-nths by auto
    ultimately have  $\text{length } (\text{nths } xs \ A) \in \text{ind-in-set } A \ (\text{length } xs)$  by auto
    moreover have  $\text{ind-in-set } A \ (\text{length } xs) \in \text{ind-in-set } A \ ' \ B$  using True by
    auto
    ultimately have  $\text{length } (\text{nths } xs \ A) \in \text{ind-in-set } A \ ' \ B$  by auto
    then have  $(\text{nths } [x] \ \{l. l + \text{length } (\text{nths } xs \ A) \in (\text{ind-in-set } A \ ' \ B)\}) = [x]$ 
    using nth-singleton by auto
    then have  $\text{nths } (\text{nths } xs \ A \ @ \ [x]) \ (\text{ind-in-set } A \ ' \ B) = \text{nths } xs \ B \ @ \ [x]$  using
    eqT3 by auto
    then show ?thesis using eqT1 eqT2 by auto
  next
  case False
  have  $\text{nths } (xs \ @ \ [x]) \ B = \text{nths } xs \ B \ @ \ \text{nths } [x] \ \{l. l + \text{length } xs \in B\}$  using

```

nths-append[of *xs*] **by auto**
moreover have *nths* [x] {l. l + length *xs* ∈ *B*} = [] **using** *nths-singleton False*
by auto
ultimately have *eqT1*: *nths* (*xs* @ [x]) *B* = *nths xs B* **by auto**

have *nths* (*nths* (*xs* @ [x]) *A*) (*ind-in-set A ' B*) = *nths xs B*
proof (*cases length xs* ∈ *A*)
case True
then have *nths* [x] {l. l + length *xs* ∈ *A*} = [x] **using** *nths-singleton by auto*
moreover have *nths* (*xs* @ [x]) *A* = *nths xs A* @ *nths* [x] {l. l + length *xs* ∈
A} **using** *nths-append*[of *xs*] **by auto**
ultimately have *nths* (*xs* @ [x]) *A* = *nths xs A* @ [x] **by auto**
then have *nths* (*nths* (*xs* @ [x]) *A*) (*ind-in-set A ' B*) = *nths* (*nths xs A* @
[x]) (*ind-in-set A ' B*) **by auto**
then have *eqT2*: *nths* (*nths* (*xs* @ [x]) *A*) (*ind-in-set A ' B*)
= *nths xs B* @ (*nths* [x] {l. l + length (*nths xs A*) ∈ (*ind-in-set A ' B*)})
using *nths-append*[of *nths xs A*] *snoc* **by auto**

have *length* (*nths xs A*) ∈ (*ind-in-set A ' B*) \implies *length xs* ∈ *B*
proof –
assume *length* (*nths xs A*) ∈ (*ind-in-set A ' B*)
moreover have *length* (*nths xs A*) = *card* {i. i ∈ *A* ∧ i < *length xs*}
using *length-nths*[of *xs*] *seteq* **by auto**
ultimately have *card* {i. i ∈ *A* ∧ i < *length xs*} ∈ (*ind-in-set A ' B*)
unfolding *ind-in-set-def* **by auto**
then show *length xs* ∈ *B* **using** *ind-in-set-iff True* *assms* **unfolding**
ind-in-set-def **by auto**
qed
then have *length* (*nths xs A*) ∉ (*ind-in-set A ' B*) **using** *False* **by auto**
then have *nths* [x] {l. l + length (*nths xs A*) ∈ (*ind-in-set A ' B*)} = [] **using**
nths-singleton **by auto**
then show *nths* (*nths* (*xs* @ [x]) *A*) (*ind-in-set A ' B*) = *nths xs B* **using**
eqT2 **by auto**
next
case False
then have *nths* [x] {l. l + length *xs* ∈ *A*} = [] **using** *nths-singleton* **by auto**
moreover have *nths* (*xs* @ [x]) *A* = *nths xs A* @ *nths* [x] {l. l + length *xs* ∈
A} **using** *nths-append*[of *xs*] **by auto**
ultimately have *nths* (*xs* @ [x]) *A* = *nths xs A* **by auto**
then show *?thesis* **using** *snoc* **by auto**
qed
with *eqT1* **show** *?thesis* **by auto**
qed
qed

lemma *nths-reencode-eq-comp*:
assumes *B* ⊆ *A*
shows *nths* (*nths xs A*) (– *ind-in-set A ' B*) = *nths xs* (*A* – *B*)
proof (*induction xs* rule: *rev-induct*)

```

case Nil
then show ?case by auto
next
  case (snoc x xs)
  have sub20:  $A - B \subseteq A$  using assms by auto
  have seteq:  $\{i. i < \text{length } xs \wedge i \in A\} = \{i. i \in A \wedge i < \text{length } xs\}$  by auto
  show ?case
  proof (cases length xs  $\in (A - B)$ )
    case True
    have nth (xs @ [x]) (A - B) = nth xs (A - B) @ nth [x] {l. l + length xs  $\in (A - B)$ } using nths-append[of xs] by auto
    moreover have nth [x] {l. l + length xs  $\in (A - B)$ } = [x] using nths-singleton
    True by auto
    ultimately have eqT1: nth (xs @ [x]) (A - B) = nth xs (A - B) @ [x] by auto

    then have length xs  $\in A$  using True sub20 by auto
    then have nth [x] {l. l + length xs  $\in A$ } = [x] using nths-singleton by auto
    moreover have nth (xs @ [x]) A = nth xs A @ nth [x] {l. l + length xs  $\in A$ } using nths-append[of xs] by auto
    ultimately have nth (xs @ [x]) A = nth xs A @ [x] by auto
    then have nth (nth (xs @ [x]) A) (- (ind-in-set A) ' B) = nth (nth xs A @ [x]) (- (ind-in-set A) ' B) by auto
    then have eqT2: nth (nth (xs @ [x]) A) (- (ind-in-set A) ' B)
      = nth xs (A - B) @ (nth [x] {l. l + length (nth xs A)  $\in (- (ind-in-set A) ' B)$ })
      using nths-append[of nth xs A] snoc by auto

    have length (nth xs A)  $\in ((\text{ind-in-set } A) ' B) \implies \text{length } xs \in B$ 
    proof -
      assume length (nth xs A)  $\in ((\text{ind-in-set } A) ' B)$ 
      moreover have length (nth xs A) = card {i. i  $\in A \wedge i < \text{length } xs$ }
        using length-nths[of xs] seteq by auto
      ultimately have ind-in-set A (length xs)  $\in (\text{ind-in-set } A ' B)$  unfolding
ind-in-set-def by auto
      then show length xs  $\in B$  using ind-in-set-iff True assms by auto
    qed
    moreover have length xs  $\notin B$  using True by auto
    ultimately have length (nth xs A)  $\in (- (\text{ind-in-set } A) ' B)$  by auto
    then have nth [x] {l. l + length (nth xs A)  $\in (- (\text{ind-in-set } A) ' B)$ } = [x]
      using nths-singleton by auto
    then have nth (nth (xs @ [x]) A) (- (ind-in-set A) ' B) = nth xs (A - B)
      @ [x] using eqT2 by auto
    then show ?thesis using eqT1 by auto
  next
  case False
  have nth (xs @ [x]) (A - B) = nth xs (A - B) @ nth [x] {l. l + length xs  $\in (A - B)$ } using nths-append[of xs] by auto
  moreover have nth [x] {l. l + length xs  $\in (A - B)$ } = [] using nths-singleton

```

False by auto
ultimately have $eqT1: nth (xs @ [x]) (A - B) = nth xs (A - B)$ **by auto**

have $nth (nth (xs @ [x]) A) (- (ind-in-set A) ' B) = nth xs (A - B)$
proof (*cases length xs ∈ A*)
 case True
 then have $True1: length xs ∈ B$ **using** *False by auto*
 then have $nth [x] \{l. l + length xs ∈ A\} = [x]$ **using** *nths-singleton True*
by auto
 moreover have $nth (xs @ [x]) A = nth xs A @ nth [x] \{l. l + length xs ∈ A\}$ **using** *nths-append[of xs] by auto*
 ultimately have $nth (xs @ [x]) A = nth xs A @ [x]$ **by auto**
 then have $nth (nth (xs @ [x]) A) (- (ind-in-set A) ' B) = nth (nth xs A @ [x]) (- (ind-in-set A) ' B)$ **by auto**
 then have $eqT2: nth (nth (xs @ [x]) A) (- (ind-in-set A) ' B) = nth xs (A - B) @ (nth [x] \{l. l + length (nth xs A) ∈ (- (ind-in-set A) ' B\})$
 using *nths-append[of nth xs A] snoc by auto*

 have $length (nth xs A) ∈ ((ind-in-set A) ' B)$
 proof -
 have $length (nth xs A) = card \{i. i ∈ A ∧ i < length xs\}$
 using *length-nths[of xs] seteq by auto*
 moreover have $card \{i. i ∈ A ∧ i < length xs\} ∈ (ind-in-set A ' B)$
 unfolding *ind-in-set-def* **using** *True ind-in-set-iff[of length xs] True1 by auto*
 ultimately show $length (nth xs A) ∈ (ind-in-set A) ' B$ **by auto**
 qed
 then have $nth [x] \{l. l + length (nth xs A) ∈ (- (ind-in-set A) ' B)\} = []$
using *nths-singleton by auto*
 then show $nth (nth (xs @ [x]) A) (- (ind-in-set A) ' B) = nth xs (A - B)$ **using** *eqT2 by auto*
next
 case False
 then have $nth [x] \{l. l + length xs ∈ A\} = []$ **using** *nths-singleton by auto*
 moreover have $nth (xs @ [x]) A = nth xs A @ nth [x] \{l. l + length xs ∈ A\}$ **using** *nths-append[of xs] by auto*
 ultimately have $nth (xs @ [x]) A = nth xs A$ **by auto**
 then show *?thesis* **using** *snoc by auto*
 qed
 with *eqT1* **show** *?thesis* **by auto**
 qed
qed

lemma *nths-prod-list-split*:
 fixes $A :: nat\ set$ **and** $xs :: nat\ list$
 assumes $B ⊆ A$
 shows $prod-list (nth xs A) = (prod-list (nth xs B)) * (prod-list (nth xs (A - B)))$

```

proof (induction xs rule: rev-induct)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  let ?C = A - B
  case (snoc x xs)
  have SA: nth (xs @ [x]) A = nth xs A @ nth [x] {j. j + length xs ∈ A} using
nth-append[of xs] by auto
  have SB: nth (xs @ [x]) B = nth xs B @ nth [x] {j. j + length xs ∈ B} using
nth-append[of xs] by auto
  have SC: nth (xs @ [x]) ?C = nth xs ?C @ nth [x] {j. j + length xs ∈ ?C}
using nth-append[of xs] by auto
  show ?case
  proof (cases length xs ∈ A)
    case True
    then have nth (xs @ [x]) A = nth xs A @ [x] using SA by auto
    then have eqA: prod-list (nth (xs @ [x]) A) = prod-list (nth xs A) * x by
auto
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases length xs ∈ B)
      case True
      then have nth (xs @ [x]) B = nth xs B @ [x] using SB by auto
      then have eqB: prod-list (nth (xs @ [x]) B) = prod-list (nth xs B) * x by
auto
      have length xs ∉ ?C using True assms by auto
      then have nth (xs @ [x]) ?C = nth xs ?C using SC by auto
      then have eqC: prod-list (nth (xs @ [x]) ?C) = prod-list (nth xs ?C) by
auto
      then show ?thesis using snoc eqA eqB eqC by auto
    next
    case False
    then have nth (xs @ [x]) B = nth xs B using SB by auto
    then have eqB: prod-list (nth (xs @ [x]) B) = prod-list (nth xs B) by auto

    then have length xs ∈ ?C using True False assms by auto
    then have nth (xs @ [x]) ?C = nth xs ?C @ [x] using SC by auto
    then have eqC: prod-list (nth (xs @ [x]) ?C) = prod-list (nth xs ?C) * x
by auto
    then show ?thesis using snoc eqA eqB eqC by auto
  qed
next
  case False
  then have ninB: length xs ∉ B and ninC: length xs ∉ ?C using assms by
auto

  have nth (xs @ [x]) A = nth xs A using SA False nth-singleton by auto
  then have eqA: prod-list (nth (xs @ [x]) A) = prod-list (nth xs A) by auto
  have nth (xs @ [x]) B = nth xs B using SB ninB nth-singleton by auto
  then have eqB: prod-list (nth (xs @ [x]) B) = prod-list (nth xs B) by auto

```

```

    have nth (xs @ [x]) ?C = nth xs ?C using SC ninC nth-singleton by auto
    then have eqC: prod-list (nth (xs @ [x]) ?C) = prod-list (nth xs ?C) by auto
    then show ?thesis using eqA eqB eqC snoc by auto
  qed
qed

```

4.1 Encodings

```

lemma digit-encode-take:
  take n (digit-encode ds a) = digit-encode (take n ds) a
proof (induct n arbitrary: ds a)
  case 0
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Suc n)
  then show ?case
  proof (cases ds)
    case Nil
    then show ?thesis by auto
  next
    case (Cons d ds')
    then show ?thesis by (auto simp add: Suc)
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma nth-minus-upt-eq-drop:
  nth l (-{.. $n$ }) = drop n l
  apply (induct l rule: rev-induct)
  by (auto simp add: nth-append)

```

```

lemma digit-encode-drop:
  drop n (digit-encode ds a) = digit-encode (drop n ds) (a div (prod-list (take n ds)))
proof (induct n arbitrary: ds a)
  case 0
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Suc n)
  then show ?case
  proof (cases ds)
    case Nil
    then show ?thesis by auto
  next
    case (Cons d ds')
    then show ?thesis by (auto simp add: Suc div-mult2-eq)
  qed
qed

```

List of active variables in the partial state
locale *partial-state* = *state-sig* +

fixes *vars* :: *nat set*

context *partial-state*
begin

Dimensions of active variables

abbreviation *avars* :: *nat set* **where**
avars \equiv $\{0..<\text{length } \textit{dims}\}$

definition *dims1* :: *nat list* **where**
dims1 = *nths* *dims* *vars*

definition *dims2* :: *nat list* **where**
dims2 = *nths* *dims* ($-$ *vars*)

lemma *dims1-alter*:
assumes *avars* \subseteq *A*
shows *dims1* = *nths* *dims* ($A \cap$ *vars*)
using *nths-intersection-eq* *assms* **unfolding** *dims1-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *dims2-alter*:
assumes *avars* \subseteq *A*
shows *dims2* = *nths* *dims* ($A -$ *vars*)
using *nths-minus-eq* *assms* **unfolding** *dims2-def* **by** *auto*

Total dimension for the active variables

definition *d1* :: *nat* **where**
d1 = *prod-list* *dims1*

Total dimension for the non-active variables

definition *d2* :: *nat* **where**
d2 = *prod-list* *dims2*

Translating dimension in *d* to dimension in *d1*

definition *encode1* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *nat* **where**
encode1 *i* = *digit-decode* *dims1* (*nths* (*digit-encode* *dims* *i*) *vars*)

lemma *encode1-alter*:
assumes *avars* \subseteq *A*
shows *encode1* *i* = *digit-decode* *dims1* (*nths* (*digit-encode* *dims* *i*) ($A \cap$ *vars*))
using *length-digit-encode*[of *dims* *i*] *nths-intersection-eq*[of *digit-encode* *dims* *i* A *vars*] *assms* **unfolding** *encode1-def*
by (*subgoal-tac* *nths* (*digit-encode* *dims* *i*) (*vars*) = *nths* (*digit-encode* *dims* *i*) ($A \cap$ *vars*), *auto*)

Translating dimension in *d* to dimension in *d2*

definition *encode2* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *nat* **where**
encode2 *i* = *digit-decode* *dims2* (*nths* (*digit-encode* *dims* *i*) ($-$ *vars*))

lemma *encode2-alter*:
assumes $avars \subseteq A$
shows $encode2\ i = digit-decode\ dims2\ (nth\ (digit-encode\ dims\ i)\ (A - vars))$
using *length-digit-encode*[of *dims i*] *nths-minus-eq*[of *digit-encode dims i A*] *assms*
unfolding *encode2-def*
by (*subgoal-tac nth* (*digit-encode dims i*) ($- vars$) = *nths* (*digit-encode dims i*) ($A - vars$), *auto*)

lemma *encode1-lt* [*simp*]:
assumes $i < d$
shows $encode1\ i < d1$
unfolding *d1-def encode1-def* **apply** (*rule digit-decode-lt*)
using *dims1-def assms d-def digit-encode-valid-index valid-index-nths* **by** *auto*

lemma *encode2-lt* [*simp*]:
assumes $i < d$
shows $encode2\ i < d2$
unfolding *d2-def encode2-def* **apply** (*rule digit-decode-lt*)
using *dims2-def assms d-def digit-encode-valid-index valid-index-nths* **by** *auto*

Given dimensions in *d1* and *d2*, form dimension in *d*

fun *encode12* :: $nat \times nat \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
 $encode12\ (i, j) = digit-decode\ dims\ (weave\ vars\ (digit-encode\ dims1\ i)\ (digit-encode\ dims2\ j))$
declare *encode12.simps* [*simp del*]

lemma *encode12-inv*:
assumes $k < d$
shows $encode12\ (encode1\ k, encode2\ k) = k$
unfolding *encode12.simps encode1-def encode2-def*
using *assms d-def digit-encode-valid-index dims1-def dims2-def valid-index-nths*
by *auto*

lemma *encode12-inv1*:
assumes $i < d1\ j < d2$
shows $encode1\ (encode12\ (i, j)) = i$
unfolding *encode12.simps encode1-def*
using *assms unfolding d1-def d2-def dims1-def dims2-def*
by (*metis digit-decode-encode-lt digit-encode-decode digit-encode-valid-index valid-index-weave*(1,2))

lemma *encode12-inv2*:
assumes $i < d1\ j < d2$
shows $encode2\ (encode12\ (i, j)) = j$
unfolding *encode12.simps encode2-def*
using *assms unfolding d1-def d2-def dims1-def dims2-def*
by (*metis digit-decode-encode-lt digit-encode-decode digit-encode-valid-index valid-index-weave*(1,3))

lemma *encode12-lt*:
assumes $i < d1\ j < d2$

shows $encode12 (i, j) < d$
using *assms* **unfolding** *encode12.simps d-def d1-def d2-def dims1-def dims2-def*
by (*simp add: digit-decode-lt digit-encode-valid-index valid-index-weave(1)*)

lemma *sum-encode*: $(\sum i = 0..<d1. \sum j = 0..<d2. f i j) = sum (\lambda k. f (encode1 k) (encode2 k)) \{0..<d\}$
apply (*subst sum.cartesian-product*)
apply (*rule sum.reindex-bij-witness*[**where** $i=\lambda k. (encode1 k, encode2 k)$ **and** $j=encode12$])
by (*auto simp: encode12-inv1 encode12-inv2 encode12-inv encode12-lt*)

4.2 Tensor product of vectors and matrices

Given vector $v1$ of dimension $d1$, and vector $v2$ of dimension $d2$, form the tensor vector of dimension $d1 * d2 = d$

definition *tensor-vec* :: $'a::times\ vec \Rightarrow 'a\ vec \Rightarrow 'a\ vec$ **where**
 $tensor-vec\ v1\ v2 = Matrix.vec\ d\ (\lambda i. v1\ \$\ encode1\ i * v2\ \$\ encode2\ i)$

lemma *tensor-vec-dim* [*simp*]:
 $dim-vec\ (tensor-vec\ v1\ v2) = d$
unfolding *tensor-vec-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *tensor-vec-carrier*:
 $tensor-vec\ v1\ v2 \in carrier-vec\ d$
unfolding *tensor-vec-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *tensor-vec-eval*:
assumes $i < d$
shows $tensor-vec\ v1\ v2\ \$\ i = v1\ \$\ encode1\ i * v2\ \$\ encode2\ i$
unfolding *tensor-vec-def* **using** *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *tensor-vec-add1*:
fixes $v1\ v2\ v3 :: 'a::comm-ring\ vec$
assumes $v1 \in carrier-vec\ d1$
and $v2 \in carrier-vec\ d1$
and $v3 \in carrier-vec\ d2$
shows $tensor-vec\ (v1 + v2)\ v3 = tensor-vec\ v1\ v3 + tensor-vec\ v2\ v3$
apply (*rule eq-vecI, auto*)
unfolding *tensor-vec-eval*
using *assms(2) comm-semiring-class.distrib* **by** *force*

lemma *tensor-vec-add2*:
fixes $v1\ v2\ v3 :: 'a::comm-ring\ vec$
assumes $v1 \in carrier-vec\ d1$
and $v2 \in carrier-vec\ d2$
and $v3 \in carrier-vec\ d2$
shows $tensor-vec\ v1\ (v2 + v3) = tensor-vec\ v1\ v2 + tensor-vec\ v1\ v3$
apply (*rule eq-vecI, auto*)
unfolding *tensor-vec-eval*

using *assms(3)* *semiring-class.distrib-left* **by force**

Given d_1 -by- d_1 matrix m_1 , and d_2 -by- d_2 matrix m_2 , form d -by- d matrix

definition *tensor-mat* :: 'a::comm-ring-1 mat \Rightarrow 'a mat \Rightarrow 'a mat **where**
tensor-mat m_1 m_2 = *Matrix.mat* d d ($\lambda(i,j)$).
 m_1 \$\$ (encode1 i , encode1 j) * m_2 \$\$ (encode2 i , encode2 j)

lemma *tensor-mat-dim-row* [*simp*]:
dim-row (*tensor-mat* m_1 m_2) = d
unfolding *tensor-mat-def* **by auto**

lemma *tensor-mat-dim-col* [*simp*]:
dim-col (*tensor-mat* m_1 m_2) = d
unfolding *tensor-mat-def* **by auto**

lemma *tensor-mat-carrier*:
tensor-mat m_1 m_2 \in *carrier-mat* d d
unfolding *tensor-mat-def* **by auto**

lemma *tensor-mat-eval*:
assumes $i < d$ $j < d$
shows *tensor-mat* m_1 m_2 \$\$ (i , j) = m_1 \$\$ (encode1 i , encode1 j) * m_2 \$\$ (encode2 i , encode2 j)
unfolding *tensor-mat-def* **using** *assms* **by simp**

lemma *tensor-mat-zero1*:
shows *tensor-mat* (0_m d_1 d_1) m_1 = 0_m d d
apply (*rule eq-matI*)
by (*auto simp add: tensor-mat-eval*)

lemma *tensor-mat-zero2*:
shows *tensor-mat* m_1 (0_m d_2 d_2) = 0_m d d
apply (*rule eq-matI*)
by (*auto simp add: tensor-mat-eval*)

lemma *tensor-mat-add1*:
assumes $m_1 \in$ *carrier-mat* d_1 d_1
and $m_2 \in$ *carrier-mat* d_1 d_1
and $m_3 \in$ *carrier-mat* d_2 d_2
shows *tensor-mat* ($m_1 + m_2$) m_3 = *tensor-mat* m_1 m_3 + *tensor-mat* m_2 m_3
apply (*rule eq-matI*, *auto*)
unfolding *tensor-mat-eval*
using *assms(2)* *comm-semiring-class.distrib* **by force**

lemma *tensor-mat-add2*:
assumes $m_1 \in$ *carrier-mat* d_1 d_1
and $m_2 \in$ *carrier-mat* d_2 d_2
and $m_3 \in$ *carrier-mat* d_2 d_2
shows *tensor-mat* m_1 ($m_2 + m_3$) = *tensor-mat* m_1 m_2 + *tensor-mat* m_1 m_3

apply (*rule eq-matI*, *auto*)
unfolding *tensor-mat-eval*
using *assms(3)* *semiring-class.distrib-left* **by force**

lemma *tensor-mat-minus1*:

assumes $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$
and $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$
and $m3 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$
shows $\text{tensor-mat } (m1 - m2) \ m3 = \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ m3 - \text{tensor-mat } m2 \ m3$
apply (*rule eq-matI*, *auto*)
unfolding *tensor-mat-eval*
apply (*subst index-minus-mat*)
subgoal using *assms* **by auto**
subgoal using *assms* **by auto**
using *assms(2)* *ring-class.left-diff-distrib* **by force**

lemma *tensor-mat-matrix-sum2*:

assumes $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$
shows $(\bigwedge k. k < n \implies f \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2)$
 $\implies \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ (f \ k)) \ n = \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ (\text{matrix-sum } d2 \ f \ n)$
proof (*induct n*)
case *0*
then show *?case* **apply** *simp* **using** *tensor-mat-zero2[of m1]* **by auto**
next
case (*Suc n*)
then have $k < n \implies f \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$ **for** k **by auto**
then have $ds: \text{matrix-sum } d2 \ f \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$ **using** *matrix-sum-dim*
by auto
have $dn: f \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$ **using** *Suc* **by auto**
have $\text{matrix-sum } d2 \ f \ (\text{Suc } n) = f \ n + \text{matrix-sum } d2 \ f \ n$ **by** *simp*
then have $eq: \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ (\text{matrix-sum } d2 \ f \ (\text{Suc } n))$
 $= \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ (f \ n) + \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ (\text{matrix-sum } d2 \ f \ n)$
using *tensor-mat-add2* $dn \ ds$ *assms* **by auto**

have $\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ (f \ k)) \ (\text{Suc } n)$
 $= \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ (f \ n) + \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ (f \ k)) \ n$ **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ (f \ n) + \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ (\text{matrix-sum } d2 \ f \ n)$
using *Suc* **by auto**
finally show *?case* **using** *eq* **by auto**
qed

lemma *tensor-mat-scale1*:

assumes $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$
and $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$
shows $\text{tensor-mat } (a \cdot_m m1) \ m2 = a \cdot_m \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ m2$
apply (*rule eq-matI*, *auto*)
unfolding *tensor-mat-eval*
using *assms* *comm-semiring-class.distrib* **by force**

```

lemma tensor-mat-scale2:
  assumes  $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$ 
    and  $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$ 
  shows  $\text{tensor-mat } m1 \ (a \cdot_m m2) = a \cdot_m \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ m2$ 
  apply (rule eq-matI, auto)
  unfolding tensor-mat-eval
  using assms comm-semiring-class.distrib by force

lemma tensor-mat-trace:
  assumes  $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$ 
    and  $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$ 
  shows  $\text{trace } (\text{tensor-mat } m1 \ m2) = \text{trace } m1 * \text{trace } m2$ 
  apply (auto simp add: tensor-mat-carrier trace-def tensor-mat-eval)
  apply (subst Groups-Big.sum-product)
  apply (subst sum-encode[symmetric])
  using assms by auto

lemma tensor-mat-id:
   $\text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ (1_m \ d2) = 1_m \ d$ 
proof (rule eq-matI, auto)
  show  $\text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ (1_m \ d2) \ \$\$ \ (i, i) = 1 \ \text{if } i < d \ \text{for } i$ 
    using that by (simp add: tensor-mat-eval)
next
  show  $\text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ (1_m \ d2) \ \$\$ \ (i, j) = 0 \ \text{if } i < d \ j < d \ i \neq j \ \text{for } i \ j$ 
    using that apply (simp add: tensor-mat-eval)
    by (metis encode12-inv)
qed

lemma tensor-mat-mult-vec:
  assumes  $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$ 
    and  $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$ 
    and  $v1 \in \text{carrier-vec } d1$ 
    and  $v2 \in \text{carrier-vec } d2$ 
  shows  $\text{tensor-vec } (m1 *_v v1) \ (m2 *_v v2) = \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ m2 *_v \text{tensor-vec } v1 \ v2$ 
proof (rule eq-vecI, auto)
  fix  $i \ j :: \text{nat}$ 
  assume  $i: i < d$ 
  let  $?i1 = \text{encode1 } i$  and  $?i2 = \text{encode2 } i$ 
  have  $\text{tensor-vec } (m1 *_v v1) \ (m2 *_v v2) \ \$ \ i = (m1 *_v v1) \ \$ \ ?i1 * (m2 *_v v2) \ \$ \ ?i2$ 
    using  $i$  by (simp add: tensor-vec-eval)
  also have  $\dots = (\text{row } m1 \ ?i1 \cdot v1) * (\text{row } m2 \ ?i2 \cdot v2)$ 
    using assms  $i$  by auto
  also have  $\dots = (\sum i = 0..<d1. m1 \ \$\$ \ (?i1, i) * v1 \ \$ \ i) * (\sum j = 0..<d2. m2 \ \$\$ \ (?i2, j) * v2 \ \$ \ j)$ 
    using assms  $i$  by (simp add: scalar-prod-def)
  also have  $\dots = (\sum i = 0..<d1. \sum j = 0..<d2. (m1 \ \$\$ \ (?i1, i) * v1 \ \$ \ i) * (m2$ 

```

$\$ \$ (?i2, j) * v2 \$ j)$
by (rule *Groups-Big.sum-product*)
also have $\dots = (\sum i = 0..<d. (m1 \$ \$ (?i1, encode1 i) * v1 \$ (encode1 i)) * (m2 \$ \$ (?i2, encode2 i) * v2 \$ (encode2 i)))$
by (rule *sum-encode*)
also have $\dots = \text{row } (tensor\text{-mat } m1 \ m2) \ i \cdot \text{tensor-vec } v1 \ v2$
apply (*simp add: scalar-prod-def tensor-mat-eval tensor-vec-eval i*)
by (rule *sum.cong, auto*)
finally show $\text{tensor-vec } (m1 *_v v1) (m2 *_v v2) \$ i = \text{row } (tensor\text{-mat } m1 \ m2) \ i \cdot \text{tensor-vec } v1 \ v2$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *tensor-mat-mult:*

assumes $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$
and $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$
and $m3 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$
and $m4 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$
shows $\text{tensor-mat } (m1 * m2) (m3 * m4) = \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ m3 * \text{tensor-mat } m2 \ m4$
proof (rule *eq-matI, auto*)
fix $i \ j :: \text{nat}$
assume $i: i < d$ **and** $j: j < d$
let $?i1 = \text{encode1 } i$ **and** $?i2 = \text{encode2 } i$ **and** $?j1 = \text{encode1 } j$ **and** $?j2 = \text{encode2 } j$
have $\text{tensor-mat } (m1 * m2) (m3 * m4) \$ \$ (i, j) = (m1 * m2) \$ \$ (?i1, ?j1) * (m3 * m4) \$ \$ (?i2, ?j2)$
using $i \ j$ **by** (*simp add: tensor-mat-eval*)
also have $\dots = (\text{row } m1 \ ?i1 \cdot \text{col } m2 \ ?j1) * (\text{row } m3 \ ?i2 \cdot \text{col } m4 \ ?j2)$
using *assms i j* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = (\sum i = 0..<d1. m1 \$ \$ (?i1, i) * m2 \$ \$ (i, ?j1)) * (\sum j = 0..<d2. m3 \$ \$ (?i2, j) * m4 \$ \$ (j, ?j2))$
using *assms i j* **by** (*simp add: scalar-prod-def*)
also have $\dots = (\sum i = 0..<d1. \sum j = 0..<d2. (m1 \$ \$ (?i1, i) * m2 \$ \$ (i, ?j1)) * (m3 \$ \$ (?i2, j) * m4 \$ \$ (j, ?j2)))$
by (rule *Groups-Big.sum-product*)
also have $\dots = (\sum i = 0..<d. (m1 \$ \$ (?i1, encode1 i) * m2 \$ \$ (encode1 i, ?j1)) * (m3 \$ \$ (?i2, encode2 i) * m4 \$ \$ (encode2 i, ?j2)))$
by (rule *sum-encode*)
also have $\dots = \text{row } (tensor\text{-mat } m1 \ m3) \ i \cdot \text{col } (tensor\text{-mat } m2 \ m4) \ j$
apply (*simp add: scalar-prod-def tensor-mat-eval i j*)
by (rule *sum.cong, auto*)
finally show $\text{tensor-mat } (m1 * m2) (m3 * m4) \$ \$ (i, j) = \text{row } (tensor\text{-mat } m1 \ m3) \ i \cdot \text{col } (tensor\text{-mat } m2 \ m4) \ j$.
qed

lemma *tensor-mat-adjoint:*

assumes $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$
and $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$
shows $\text{adjoint } (tensor\text{-mat } m1 \ m2) = \text{tensor-mat } (\text{adjoint } m1) (\text{adjoint } m2)$

```

apply (rule eq-matI, auto)
unfolding tensor-mat-def adjoint-def
using assms by (simp add: conjugate-dist-mul)

lemma tensor-mat-hermitian:
assumes  $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$ 
and  $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$ 
and hermitian  $m1$ 
and hermitian  $m2$ 
shows hermitian (tensor-mat  $m1 \ m2$ )
using assms by (metis hermitian-def tensor-mat-adjoint)

lemma tensor-mat-unitary:
assumes  $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$ 
and  $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$ 
and unitary  $m1$ 
and unitary  $m2$ 
shows unitary (tensor-mat  $m1 \ m2$ )
using assms apply (auto simp add: unitary-def tensor-mat-adjoint)
using assms unfolding inverts-mat-def
apply (subst tensor-mat-mult[symmetric], auto)
by (rule tensor-mat-id)

lemma tensor-mat-positive:
assumes  $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$ 
and  $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$ 
and positive  $m1$ 
and positive  $m2$ 
shows positive (tensor-mat  $m1 \ m2$ )
proof –
obtain  $M1$  where  $M1: m1 = M1 * \text{adjoint } M1$  and  $dM1:M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$ 
using positive-only-if-decomp assms by auto
obtain  $M2$  where  $M2: m2 = M2 * \text{adjoint } M2$  and  $dM2:M2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$ 
using positive-only-if-decomp assms by auto
have (adjoint (tensor-mat  $M1 \ M2$ )) = tensor-mat (adjoint  $M1$ ) (adjoint  $M2$ )
using tensor-mat-adjoint  $dM1 \ dM2$  by auto
then have tensor-mat  $M1 \ M2 * (\text{adjoint } (\text{tensor-mat } M1 \ M2)) = \text{tensor-mat}$ 
( $M1 * \text{adjoint } M1$ ) ( $M2 * \text{adjoint } M2$ )
using  $dM1 \ dM2$  adjoint-dim[OF  $dM1$ ] adjoint-dim[OF  $dM2$ ] by (auto simp
add: tensor-mat-mult)
also have ... = tensor-mat  $m1 \ m2$  using  $M1 \ M2$  by auto
finally have tensor-mat  $m1 \ m2 = \text{tensor-mat } M1 \ M2 * (\text{adjoint } (\text{tensor-mat}$ 
 $M1 \ M2))$ ..
then have  $\exists M. M * \text{adjoint } M = \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ m2$  by auto
moreover have tensor-mat  $m1 \ m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  using tensor-mat-carrier
by auto
ultimately show ?thesis using positive-if-decomp[of tensor-mat  $m1 \ m2$ ] by auto
qed

```

lemma *tensor-mat-positive-le*:
assumes $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$
and $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$
and *positive* $m1$
and *positive* $m2$
and $m1 \leq_L A$
and $m2 \leq_L B$
shows $\text{tensor-mat } m1 \ m2 \leq_L \text{tensor-mat } A \ B$
proof –
have $dA: A \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$ **using** *assms lower-le-def* **by** *auto*
have $pA: \text{positive } A$ **using** *assms dA lower-le-trans-positiveI*[of $m1$] **by** *auto*
have $dB: B \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$ **using** *assms lower-le-def* **by** *auto*
have $pB: \text{positive } B$ **using** *assms dB lower-le-trans-positiveI*[of $m2$] **by** *auto*
have $A - m1 = A + (- m1)$ **using** *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: minus-add-uminus-mat*)
then have *positive* $(A + (- m1))$ **using** *assms unfolding lower-le-def* **by** *auto*
then have $p1: \text{positive } (\text{tensor-mat } (A + (- m1)) \ m2)$ **using** *assms tensor-mat-positive* **by** *auto*
moreover have $\text{tensor-mat } (- m1) \ m2 = - \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ m2$ **using** *assms*
apply (*subgoal-tac - m1 = -1 .m m1*)
by (*auto simp add: tensor-mat-scale1*)
moreover have $\text{tensor-mat } (A + (- m1)) \ m2 = \text{tensor-mat } A \ m2 + (\text{tensor-mat } (- m1) \ m2)$ **using**
assms **by** (*auto simp add: tensor-mat-add1 dA*)
ultimately have $\text{tensor-mat } (A + (- m1)) \ m2 = \text{tensor-mat } A \ m2 - (\text{tensor-mat } m1 \ m2)$ **by** *auto*
with $p1$ **have** $le1: \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ m2 \leq_L \text{tensor-mat } A \ m2$ **unfolding** *lower-le-def* **by** *auto*

have $B - m2 = B + (- m2)$ **using** *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: minus-add-uminus-mat*)
then have *positive* $(B + (- m2))$ **using** *assms unfolding lower-le-def* **by** *auto*
then have $p2: \text{positive } (\text{tensor-mat } A \ (B + (- m2)))$
using *assms tensor-mat-positive positive-one dA dB pA* **by** *auto*
moreover have $\text{tensor-mat } A \ (-m2) = - \text{tensor-mat } A \ m2$
using *assms* **apply** (*subgoal-tac - m2 = -1 .m m2*)
by (*auto simp add: tensor-mat-scale2 dA*)
moreover have $\text{tensor-mat } A \ (B + (- m2)) = \text{tensor-mat } A \ B + \text{tensor-mat } A \ (- m2)$
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: tensor-mat-add2 dA dB*)
ultimately have $\text{tensor-mat } A \ (B + (- m2)) = \text{tensor-mat } A \ B - \text{tensor-mat } A \ m2$ **by** *auto*
with $p2$ **have** $le20: \text{tensor-mat } A \ m2 \leq_L \text{tensor-mat } A \ B$ **unfolding** *lower-le-def* **by** *auto*

show *?thesis* **apply** (*subst lower-le-trans*[of $- d \ \text{tensor-mat } (A) \ m2$])
subgoal using *tensor-mat-carrier* **by** *auto*
subgoal using *tensor-mat-carrier* **by** *auto*
using $le1 \ le20$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *tensor-mat-le-one*:

assumes $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$
and $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$
and *positive* $m1$
and *positive* $m2$
and $m1 \leq_L 1_m \ d1$
and $m2 \leq_L 1_m \ d2$
shows *tensor-mat* $m1 \ m2 \leq_L 1_m \ d$

proof –

have $1_m \ d1 - m1 = 1_m \ d1 + (- m1)$ **using** *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: minus-add-uminus-mat*)

then have *positive* $(1_m \ d1 + (- m1))$ **using** *assms* **unfolding** *lowner-le-def* **by** *auto*

then have $p1$: *positive* $(\text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1 + (- m1)) \ m2)$ **using** *assms* *tensor-mat-positive* **by** *auto*

moreover have $\text{tensor-mat } (- m1) \ m2 = - \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ m2$ **using** *assms* **apply** (*subgoal-tac - m1 = -1 .m m1*)
by (*auto simp add: tensor-mat-scale1*)

moreover have $\text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1 + (- m1)) \ m2 = \text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ m2 + (\text{tensor-mat } (- m1) \ m2)$ **using**
assms **by** (*auto simp add: tensor-mat-add1*)

ultimately have $\text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1 + (- m1)) \ m2 = \text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ m2 - (\text{tensor-mat } m1 \ m2)$ **by** *auto*

with $p1$ **have** $le1$: $(\text{tensor-mat } m1 \ m2) \leq_L \text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ m2$ **unfolding** *lowner-le-def* **by** *auto*

have $1_m \ d2 - m2 = 1_m \ d2 + (- m2)$ **using** *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: minus-add-uminus-mat*)

then have *positive* $(1_m \ d2 + (- m2))$ **using** *assms* **unfolding** *lowner-le-def* **by** *auto*

then have $p2$: *positive* $(\text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ (1_m \ d2 + (- m2)))$ **using** *assms* *tensor-mat-positive* *positive-one* **by** *auto*

moreover have $\text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ (-m2) = - \text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ m2$ **using** *assms* **apply** (*subgoal-tac - m2 = -1 .m m2*)
by (*auto simp add: tensor-mat-scale2*)

moreover have $\text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ (1_m \ d2 + (- m2)) = \text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ (1_m \ d2) + (\text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ (- m2))$ **using**
assms **by** (*auto simp add: tensor-mat-add2*)

ultimately have $\text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ (1_m \ d2 + (- m2)) = \text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ (1_m \ d2) - (\text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ m2)$ **by** *auto*

with $p2$ **have** $le20$: $\text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ m2 \leq_L \text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ (1_m \ d2)$ **unfolding** *lowner-le-def* **by** *auto*

then have $le2$: $\text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ m2 \leq_L 1_m \ d$ **apply** (*subst tensor-mat-id[symmetric]*) **by** *auto*

have $\text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ (1_m \ d2) = 1_m \ d$ **using** *tensor-mat-id* **by** *auto*

show *thesis* **apply** (*subst lowner-le-trans[of - d tensor-mat (1_m d1) m2]*)
subgoal **using** *tensor-mat-carrier* **by** *auto*
subgoal **using** *tensor-mat-carrier* **by** *auto*

using *le1 le2* by *auto*
 qed

4.3 Extension of matrices

definition *mat-extension* :: 'a::comm-ring-1 mat \Rightarrow 'a mat **where**
mat-extension *m* = *tensor-mat* *m* (*1_m* *d2*)

lemma *mat-extension-carrier*:
mat-extension *m* \in *carrier-mat* *d* *d*
 by (*simp* *add*: *mat-extension-def* *tensor-mat-carrier*)

lemma *mat-extension-add*:
 assumes *m1* \in *carrier-mat* *d1* *d1*
 and *m2* \in *carrier-mat* *d1* *d1*
 shows *mat-extension* (*m1* + *m2*) = *mat-extension* *m1* + *mat-extension* *m2*
 using *assms* by (*simp* *add*: *mat-extension-def* *tensor-mat-add1*)

lemma *mat-extension-trace*:
 assumes *m* \in *carrier-mat* *d1* *d1*
 shows *trace* (*mat-extension* *m*) = *d2* * *trace* *m*
 unfolding *mat-extension-def*
 using *assms* by (*simp* *add*: *tensor-mat-trace*)

lemma *mat-extension-id*:
mat-extension (*1_m* *d1*) = *1_m* *d*
 unfolding *mat-extension-def* by (*rule* *tensor-mat-id*)

lemma *mat-extension-mult*:
 assumes *m1* \in *carrier-mat* *d1* *d1*
 and *m2* \in *carrier-mat* *d1* *d1*
 shows *mat-extension* (*m1* * *m2*) = *mat-extension* *m1* * *mat-extension* *m2*
 using *assms* by (*simp* *add*: *mat-extension-def* *tensor-mat-mult*[*symmetric*])

lemma *mat-extension-hermitian*:
 assumes *m* \in *carrier-mat* *d1* *d1*
 and *hermitian* *m*
 shows *hermitian* (*mat-extension* *m*)
 using *assms* by (*simp* *add*: *hermitian-one* *mat-extension-def* *tensor-mat-hermitian*)

lemma *mat-extension-unitary*:
 assumes *m* \in *carrier-mat* *d1* *d1*
 and *unitary* *m*
 shows *unitary* (*mat-extension* *m*)
 using *assms* by (*simp* *add*: *mat-extension-def* *tensor-mat-unitary* *unitary-one*)

end

abbreviation *tensor-mat* \equiv *partial-state.tensor-mat*

abbreviation *mat-extension* \equiv *partial-state.mat-extension*

context *state-sig*
begin

Swapping the order of matrices, as well as switching vars, should have no effect

lemma *tensor-mat-comm*:

```
assumes vars1  $\cap$  vars2 = {}  
  and {0..length dims}  $\subseteq$  vars1  $\cup$  vars2  
  and m1  $\in$  carrier-mat (prod-list (nths dims vars1)) (prod-list (nths dims vars1))  
  and m2  $\in$  carrier-mat (prod-list (nths dims vars2)) (prod-list (nths dims vars2))  
shows tensor-mat dims vars1 m1 m2 = tensor-mat dims vars2 m2 m1  
proof -  
  {  
  fix i  
  have nths dims (- vars2) = nths dims vars1 using nths-split-complement-eq[symmetric]  
  assms by auto  
  then have eq2211: partial-state.dims2 dims vars2 = partial-state.dims1 dims vars1  
  unfolding partial-state.dims2-def partial-state.dims1-def by auto  
  have nths dims (- vars1) = nths dims vars2 using nths-split-complement-eq[symmetric, of vars2] assms by auto  
  then have eq2112: partial-state.dims2 dims vars1 = partial-state.dims1 dims vars2  
  unfolding partial-state.dims2-def partial-state.dims1-def by auto  
  
  have vars1  $\cup$  vars2 - vars2 = vars1 using assms by auto  
  then have e1:partial-state.encode2 dims vars2 i = partial-state.encode1 dims (vars1) i  
  using assms(2) partial-state.encode2-alter[of dims vars1  $\cup$  vars2 vars2]  
  unfolding partial-state.encode2-def partial-state.encode1-def apply (subst eq2211[symmetric])  
  by auto  
  
  have vars1  $\cup$  vars2 - vars1 = vars2 using assms by auto  
  then have e2:partial-state.encode2 dims vars1 i = partial-state.encode1 dims (vars2) i  
  using assms(2) partial-state.encode2-alter[of dims vars1  $\cup$  vars2 vars1]  
  unfolding partial-state.encode2-def partial-state.encode1-def apply (subst eq2112[symmetric])  
  by auto  
  
  note e1 e2  
  }  
  note e = this  
  show ?thesis  
  unfolding partial-state.tensor-mat-def apply (rule cong-mat, simp-all)  
  unfolding partial-state.dims1-def partial-state.dims2-def  
  using e by auto  
qed
```

end

4.4 Partial tensor product

In this context, we assume two disjoint sets of variables, and define the tensor product of two matrices on these variables

```
locale partial-state2 = state-sig +  
  fixes vars1 :: nat set  
    and vars2 :: nat set  
    assumes disjoint: vars1  $\cap$  vars2 = {}
```

begin

```
definition vars0 :: nat set where  
  vars0 = vars1  $\cup$  vars2
```

```
definition dims0 :: nat list where  
  dims0 = nths dims vars0
```

```
definition dims1 :: nat list where  
  dims1 = nths dims vars1
```

```
definition dims2 :: nat list where  
  dims2 = nths dims vars2
```

```
definition d0 :: nat where  
  d0 = prod-list dims0
```

```
definition d1 :: nat where  
  d1 = prod-list dims1
```

```
definition d2 :: nat where  
  d2 = prod-list dims2
```

lemma *dims-product*:

```
d0 = d1 * d2
```

```
unfolding d0-def d1-def d2-def dims0-def dims1-def dims2-def vars0-def
```

```
using disjoint nths-prod-list-split[of vars1 vars1  $\cup$  vars2 dims]
```

```
apply (subgoal-tac vars1  $\cup$  vars2 - vars1 = vars2)
```

```
by auto
```

Locations of variables in *vars1* relative to *vars0*. For example: if *vars0* = 0,1,2,4,5,6,9 and *vars1* = 1,4,6,9, then *vars1'* should be 1,3,5,6.

```
definition vars1' :: nat set where  
  vars1' = (ind-in-set vars0) 'vars1
```

```
definition vars2' :: nat set where  
  vars2' = (ind-in-set vars0) 'vars2
```

lemma *vars1'I*:

$x \in \text{vars1} \implies \text{card } \{y \in \text{vars0}. y < x\} \in \text{vars1}'$

unfolding *vars1'-def ind-in-set-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *vars1'D*:

$i \in \text{vars1}' \implies \exists x \in \text{vars1}. \text{card } \{y \in \text{vars0}. y < x\} = i$

unfolding *vars1'-def ind-in-set-def* **by** *auto*

Main property of *vars1'*

lemma *ind-in-set-bij*:

$\text{bij-betw } (\text{ind-in-set } \text{vars0}) (\{0..<\text{length } \text{dims}\} \cap \text{vars0}) \{0..<\text{card } (\{0..<\text{length } \text{dims}\} \cap \text{vars0})\}$

using *bij-ind-in-set-bound* **unfolding** *ind-in-set-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *length-dims0*:

$\text{length } \text{dims0} = \text{card } (\{0..<\text{length } \text{dims}\} \cap \text{vars0})$

unfolding *dims0-def* **using** *length-nths[of dims vars0]*

apply (*subgoal-tac* $\{i. i < \text{length } \text{dims} \wedge i \in \text{vars0}\} = \{0..<\text{length } \text{dims}\} \cap \text{vars0}$)

by *auto*

lemma *length-dims0-minus-vars2'-is-vars1'*:

$\{0..<\text{length } \text{dims0}\} - \text{vars2}' = \{0..<\text{length } \text{dims0}\} \cap \text{vars1}'$

proof –

have *sub20*: $\text{vars2} \subseteq \text{vars0}$ **unfolding** *vars0-def* **by** *auto*

have *sub1*: $\text{vars1} = \text{vars0} - \text{vars2}$ **unfolding** *vars0-def* **using** *disjoint* **by** *auto*

have *eq*: $\{0..<\text{length } \text{dims0}\} = \text{ind-in-set } \text{vars0} \text{ ' } (\{0..<\text{length } \text{dims}\} \cap \text{vars0})$

using *ind-in-set-bij length-dims0 bij-betw-imp-surj-on[of ind-in-set vars0]* **by**

auto

show *?thesis* **unfolding** *vars2'-def vars1'-def eq* **using** *ind-in-set-minus-subset-bound[OF sub20]* *sub1* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *length-dims0-minus-vars1'-is-vars2'*:

$\{0..<\text{length } \text{dims0}\} - \text{vars1}' = \{0..<\text{length } \text{dims0}\} \cap \text{vars2}'$

proof –

have *sub10*: $\text{vars1} \subseteq \text{vars0}$ **unfolding** *vars0-def* **by** *auto*

have *sub2*: $\text{vars2} = \text{vars0} - \text{vars1}$ **unfolding** *vars0-def* **using** *disjoint* **by** *auto*

have *eq*: $\{0..<\text{length } \text{dims0}\} = \text{ind-in-set } \text{vars0} \text{ ' } (\{0..<\text{length } \text{dims}\} \cap \text{vars0})$

using *ind-in-set-bij length-dims0 bij-betw-imp-surj-on[of ind-in-set vars0]* **by**

auto

show *?thesis* **unfolding** *vars2'-def vars1'-def eq* **using** *ind-in-set-minus-subset-bound[OF sub10]* *sub2* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *nths-vars1'*:

$\text{nths } \text{dims0} \text{ vars1}' = \text{dims1}$

using *nths-reencode-eq[of vars1 vars0 dims]*

using *nths-reencode-eq-comp[of vars1 vars0 dims]*

unfolding *vars0-def ind-in-set-def vars1'-def dims1-def dims0-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nths-vars1'-comp*:

nths dims0 (-vars2') = dims1

using *nths-reencode-eq-comp*[of *vars2 vars0 dims*] *disjoint*

unfolding *vars0-def ind-in-set-def vars2'-def dims1-def dims0-def*

apply (*subgoal-tac (vars1 \cup vars2 - vars2) = vars1*) **by** *auto*

lemma *nths-vars2'*:

nths dims0 (-vars1') = dims2

using *nths-reencode-eq-comp*[of *vars1 vars0 dims*] *disjoint*

unfolding *vars0-def ind-in-set-def vars1'-def dims2-def dims0-def*

apply (*subgoal-tac (vars1 \cup vars2 - vars1) = vars2*) **by** *auto*

lemma *nths-vars2'-comp*:

nths dims0 (vars2') = dims2

using *nths-reencode-eq*[of *vars2 vars0 dims*]

unfolding *vars0-def ind-in-set-def vars2'-def dims2-def dims0-def*

by *auto*

lemma *ptensor-encode1-encode2*:

partial-state.encode1 dims0 vars1' = partial-state.encode2 dims0 vars2'

proof –

have *partial-state.encode1 dims0 vars1' i*

= *digit-decode (partial-state.dims1 dims0 vars1') (nths (digit-encode dims0 i)*

*({0..*length dims0*} \cap vars1'))* **for** *i*

using *partial-state.encode1-alter* **by** *auto*

moreover have *partial-state.encode2 dims0 vars2' i*

= *digit-decode (partial-state.dims2 dims0 vars2') (nths (digit-encode dims0 i)*

*({0..*length dims0*} - vars2'))* **for** *i*

using *partial-state.encode2-alter* **by** *auto*

moreover have *partial-state.dims1 dims0 vars1' = partial-state.dims2 dims0 vars2'*

unfolding *partial-state.dims1-def partial-state.dims2-def* **using** *nths-vars1' nths-vars1'-comp* **by** *auto*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *length-dims0-minus-vars2'-is-vars1'* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *ptensor-encode2-encode1*:

partial-state.encode1 dims0 vars2' = partial-state.encode2 dims0 vars1'

proof –

have *partial-state.encode1 dims0 vars2' i*

= *digit-decode (partial-state.dims1 dims0 vars2') (nths (digit-encode dims0 i)*

*({0..*length dims0*} \cap vars2'))* **for** *i*

using *partial-state.encode1-alter* **by** *auto*

moreover have *partial-state.encode2 dims0 vars1' i*

= *digit-decode (partial-state.dims2 dims0 vars1') (nths (digit-encode dims0 i)*

*({0..*length dims0*} - vars1'))* **for** *i*

using *partial-state.encode2-alter* **by** *auto*

moreover have *partial-state.dims1 dims0 vars2' = partial-state.dims2 dims0 vars1'*

$vars1'$
unfolding $partial-state.dims1-def\ partial-state.dims2-def$ **using** $nths-vars2'$
 $nths-vars2'-comp$ **by** $auto$
ultimately show $?thesis$ **using** $length-dims0-minus-vars1'-is-vars2'$ **by** $auto$
qed

Given vector $v1$ of dimension $d1$, and vector $v2$ of dimension $d2$, form the tensor vector of dimension $d1 * d2 = d0$

definition $ptensor-vec :: 'a::times\ vec \Rightarrow 'a\ vec \Rightarrow 'a\ vec$ **where**
 $ptensor-vec\ v1\ v2 = partial-state.tensor-vec\ dims0\ vars1'\ v1\ v2$

lemma $ptensor-vec-dim$ [$simp$]:
 $dim-vec\ (ptensor-vec\ v1\ v2) = d0$
by ($simp\ add: ptensor-vec-def\ partial-state.tensor-vec-dim\ state-sig.d-def\ d0-def$)

lemma $ptensor-vec-carrier$:
 $ptensor-vec\ v1\ v2 \in carrier-vec\ d0$
by ($simp\ add: carrier-dim-vec$)

lemma $ptensor-vec-add$:
fixes $v1\ v2\ v3 :: 'a::comm-ring\ vec$
assumes $v1 \in carrier-vec\ d1$
and $v2 \in carrier-vec\ d1$
and $v3 \in carrier-vec\ d2$
shows $ptensor-vec\ (v1 + v2)\ v3 = ptensor-vec\ v1\ v3 + ptensor-vec\ v2\ v3$
unfolding $ptensor-vec-def$
apply ($rule\ partial-state.tensor-vec-add1$)
unfolding $partial-state.d1-def\ partial-state.d2-def$
 $partial-state.dims1-def\ partial-state.dims2-def\ nths-vars1'\ nths-vars2'$
using $assms$ **unfolding** $d1-def\ d2-def$ **by** $auto$

definition $ptensor-mat :: 'a::comm-ring-1\ mat \Rightarrow 'a\ mat \Rightarrow 'a\ mat$ **where**
 $ptensor-mat\ m1\ m2 = partial-state.tensor-mat\ dims0\ vars1'\ m1\ m2$

lemma $ptensor-mat-dim-row$ [$simp$]:
 $dim-row\ (ptensor-mat\ m1\ m2) = d0$
by ($simp\ add: ptensor-mat-def\ partial-state.tensor-mat-dim-row\ d0-def\ state-sig.d-def$)

lemma $ptensor-mat-dim-col$ [$simp$]:
 $dim-col\ (ptensor-mat\ m1\ m2) = d0$
by ($simp\ add: ptensor-mat-def\ partial-state.tensor-mat-dim-col\ d0-def\ state-sig.d-def$)

lemma $ptensor-mat-carrier$:
 $ptensor-mat\ m1\ m2 \in carrier-mat\ d0\ d0$
by ($simp\ add: carrier-matI$)

lemma $ptensor-mat-add$:
assumes $m1 \in carrier-mat\ d1\ d1$
and $m2 \in carrier-mat\ d1\ d1$

and $m3 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$
shows $\text{ptensor-mat } (m1 + m2) \ m3 = \text{ptensor-mat } m1 \ m3 + \text{ptensor-mat } m2 \ m3$
unfolding *ptensor-mat-def*
apply (*rule partial-state.tensor-mat-add1*)
unfolding *partial-state.d1-def partial-state.d2-def*
partial-state.dims1-def partial-state.dims2-def nths-vars1'
nths-vars2'
using *assms* **unfolding** *d1-def d2-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *ptensor-mat-trace*:
assumes $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$
and $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$
shows $\text{trace } (\text{ptensor-mat } m1 \ m2) = \text{trace } m1 * \text{trace } m2$
unfolding *ptensor-mat-def*
apply (*rule partial-state.tensor-mat-trace*)
unfolding *partial-state.d1-def partial-state.d2-def*
partial-state.dims1-def partial-state.dims2-def nths-vars1' nths-vars2'
using *assms* **unfolding** *d1-def d2-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *ptensor-mat-id*:
 $\text{ptensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ (1_m \ d2) = 1_m \ d0$
unfolding *ptensor-mat-def*
by (*metis d0-def d1-def d2-def nths-vars1' nths-vars2'*
partial-state.d1-def partial-state.d2-def partial-state.dims1-def
partial-state.dims2-def partial-state.tensor-mat-id state-sig.d-def)

lemma *ptensor-mat-mult*:
assumes $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$
and $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$
and $m3 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$
and $m4 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$
shows $\text{ptensor-mat } (m1 * m2) \ (m3 * m4) = \text{ptensor-mat } m1 \ m3 * \text{ptensor-mat } m2 \ m4$
proof –
interpret *st: partial-state dims0 vars1'*.
have $st.d1 = d1$ **unfolding** *st.d1-def st.dims1-def d1-def nths-vars1'* **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $st.d2 = d2$ **unfolding** *st.d2-def st.dims2-def d2-def nths-vars2'*
by *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis* **unfolding** *ptensor-mat-def*
using *st.tensor-mat-mult assms* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *ptensor-mat-mult-vec*:
assumes $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$
and $v1 \in \text{carrier-vec } d1$
and $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$
and $v2 \in \text{carrier-vec } d2$
shows $\text{ptensor-vec } (m1 *_v \ v1) \ (m2 *_v \ v2) = \text{ptensor-mat } m1 \ m2 *_v \ \text{ptensor-vec}$

```

v1 v2
proof –
  interpret st: partial-state dims0 vars1'.
  have st.d1 = d1 unfolding st.d1-def st.dims1-def d1-def nth-vars1' by auto
  moreover have st.d2 = d2 unfolding st.d2-def st.dims2-def d2-def nth-vars2'
by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding ptensor-mat-def ptensor-vec-def
    using st.tensor-mat-mult-vec assms by auto
qed

```

4.5 Partial extensions

definition *pmat-extension* :: '*a*::*comm-ring-1 mat* ⇒ '*a mat* **where**
pmat-extension m = ptensor-mat m (1_m d2)

lemma *pmat-extension-carrier*:
pmat-extension m ∈ carrier-mat d0 d0
by (*simp add: pmat-extension-def ptensor-mat-carrier*)

lemma *pmat-extension-add*:
assumes *m1 ∈ carrier-mat d1 d1*
and *m2 ∈ carrier-mat d1 d1*
shows *pmat-extension (m1 + m2) = pmat-extension m1 + pmat-extension m2*
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: pmat-extension-def ptensor-mat-add*)

lemma *pmat-extension-trace*:
assumes *m ∈ carrier-mat d1 d1*
shows *trace (pmat-extension m) = d2 * trace m*
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: pmat-extension-def ptensor-mat-trace*)

lemma *pmat-extension-id*:
pmat-extension (1_m d1) = 1_m d0
by (*simp add: pmat-extension-def ptensor-mat-id*)

lemma *pmat-extension-mult*:
assumes *m1 ∈ carrier-mat d1 d1*
and *m2 ∈ carrier-mat d1 d1*
shows *pmat-extension (m1 * m2) = pmat-extension m1 * pmat-extension m2*
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: pmat-extension-def ptensor-mat-mult[symmetric]*)

end

context *state-sig*
begin

abbreviation *ptensor-vec* ≡ *partial-state2.ptensor-vec*

abbreviation *ptensor-mat* ≡ *partial-state2.ptensor-mat*

abbreviation *pmat-extension* ≡ *partial-state2.pmat-extension*

Key property: commutativity of tensor product

```

lemma ptensor-mat-comm:
  fixes m1 m2 :: complex mat
  assumes vars1  $\cap$  vars2 = {}
  shows ptensor-mat dims vars1 vars2 m1 m2 = ptensor-mat dims vars2 vars1 m2
m1
proof –
  interpret st1: partial-state2 dims vars1 vars2
  apply unfold-locales using assms by auto
  interpret st2: partial-state2 dims vars2 vars1
  apply unfold-locales using assms by auto

  have eq1: partial-state.encode1 st1.dims0 st1.vars1' = partial-state.encode2 st2.dims0
st2.vars1'
  apply (subst st1.ptensor-encode1-encode2)
  unfolding st1.dims0-def st1.vars0-def st1.vars2'-def st2.dims0-def st2.vars0-def
st2.vars1'-def
  by (subgoal-tac vars1  $\cup$  vars2 = vars2  $\cup$  vars1, auto)
  have eq2: partial-state.encode2 st1.dims0 st1.vars1' = partial-state.encode1 st2.dims0
st2.vars1'
  apply (subst st1.ptensor-encode2-encode1[symmetric])
  unfolding st1.dims0-def st1.vars0-def st1.vars2'-def st2.dims0-def st2.vars0-def
st2.vars1'-def
  by (subgoal-tac vars1  $\cup$  vars2 = vars2  $\cup$  vars1, auto)

  show ?thesis unfolding st1.ptensor-mat-def st2.ptensor-mat-def partial-state.tensor-mat-def
  apply (rule cong-mat, auto)
  subgoal unfolding st1.dims0-def st1.vars0-def st2.dims0-def st2.vars0-def by
(subgoal-tac vars1  $\cup$  vars2 = vars2  $\cup$  vars1, auto)
  subgoal unfolding st1.dims0-def st1.vars0-def st2.dims0-def st2.vars0-def by
(subgoal-tac vars1  $\cup$  vars2 = vars2  $\cup$  vars1, auto)
  using eq1 eq2 by auto
qed

```

Key property: associativity of tensor product

```

lemma ind-in-set-mono:
  fixes a b :: nat and A :: nat set
  assumes a  $\in$  A b  $\in$  A a < b
  shows ind-in-set A a < ind-in-set A b
  unfolding ind-in-set-def
  apply (rule psubset-card-mono)
  subgoal by auto
proof –
  have x  $\in$  {i  $\in$  A. i < b} if x  $\in$  {i  $\in$  A. i < a} for x
  using assms that by auto
  moreover have a  $\in$  {i  $\in$  A. i < b} using assms by auto
  moreover have b  $\notin$  {i  $\in$  A. i < b} by auto
  ultimately show {i  $\in$  A. i < a}  $\subset$  {i  $\in$  A. i < b} by blast
qed

```

lemma *ind-in-set-inj*:

fixes $a\ b :: \text{nat}$ **and** $A :: \text{nat set}$

assumes $a \in A\ b \in A$ *ind-in-set* $A\ a = \text{ind-in-set } A\ b$

shows $a = b$

proof –

have *ind-in-set* $A\ a < \text{ind-in-set } A\ b$ **if** $a < b$

by (*rule ind-in-set-mono*[*OF* *assms*(1) *assms*(2) *that*])

moreover have *ind-in-set* $A\ b < \text{ind-in-set } A\ a$ **if** $b < a$

by (*rule ind-in-set-mono*[*OF* *assms*(2) *assms*(1) *that*])

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *assms*(3) **by** *arith*

qed

lemma *ind-in-set-mono2*:

fixes $a\ b :: \text{nat}$ **and** $A :: \text{nat set}$

assumes $a \in A\ b \in A$ *ind-in-set* $A\ a < \text{ind-in-set } A\ b$

shows $a < b$

using *ind-in-set-mono ind-in-set-inj*

by (*metis assms not-less-iff-gr-or-eq*)

lemma *ind-in-set-bij-betw*:

fixes $A\ B :: \text{nat set}$

assumes $B \subseteq A\ c \in B$

shows *bij-betw* (*ind-in-set* A) $\{i \in B.\ i < c\}$ $\{i \in \text{ind-in-set } A\ ' B.\ i < \text{ind-in-set } A\ c\}$

unfolding *bij-betw-def* **apply** *auto*

proof –

show *inj-on* (*ind-in-set* A) $\{i \in B.\ i < c\}$

unfolding *inj-on-def* **apply** *auto*

using *assms*(1) *ind-in-set-inj* **by** *blast*

show *ind-in-set* $A\ x < \text{ind-in-set } A\ c$ **if** $x \in B\ x < c$ **for** x

by (*meson assms that ind-in-set-mono subsetCE*)

show *ind-in-set* $A\ x \in \text{ind-in-set } A\ ' \{i \in B.\ i < c\}$ **if** *ind-in-set* $A\ x < \text{ind-in-set } A\ c\ x \in B$ **for** x

using *that ind-in-set-mono2 assms* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *ind-in-set-assoc*:

fixes $A\ B\ C :: \text{nat set}$

assumes $C \subseteq B\ B \subseteq A$

shows *ind-in-set* (*ind-in-set* $A\ ' B$) $'$ (*ind-in-set* $A\ ' C$) = *ind-in-set* $B\ ' C$

proof –

have $x \in \text{ind-in-set } (\text{ind-in-set } A\ ' B)\ ' (\text{ind-in-set } A\ ' C)$ **if** $x: x \in \text{ind-in-set } B\ ' C$ **for** x

proof –

obtain c **where** $c: c \in C$ **and** *x-eq*: $x = \text{card } \{i \in B.\ i < c\}$

using x **by** (*auto simp add: ind-in-set-def*)

have $\text{card } \{i \in B.\ i < c\} = \text{card } \{i \in \text{ind-in-set } A\ ' B.\ i < \text{ind-in-set } A\ c\}$

apply (*rule bij-betw-same-card*)

using c *assms* **by** (*auto intro: ind-in-set-bij-betw*)

then have $ind-in-set (ind-in-set A \text{ ‘ } B) (ind-in-set A c) = x$
apply (*subst ind-in-set-def*) **using** *x-eq* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis*
using $\langle c \in C \rangle$ **by** *blast*
qed
moreover have $x \in ind-in-set B \text{ ‘ } C$ **if** $x: x \in ind-in-set (ind-in-set A \text{ ‘ } B) \text{ ‘ } (ind-in-set A \text{ ‘ } C)$ **for** x
proof –
obtain c **where** $c: c \in C$ **and** $x-eq: x = card \{i \in ind-in-set A \text{ ‘ } B. i < ind-in-set A c\}$
using x **by** (*auto simp add: ind-in-set-def*)
have $card \{i \in B. i < c\} = card \{i \in ind-in-set A \text{ ‘ } B. i < ind-in-set A c\}$
apply (*rule bij-betw-same-card*)
using c *assms* **by** (*auto intro: ind-in-set-bij-betw*)
then have $ind-in-set B c = x$
apply (*subst ind-in-set-def*) **using** *x-eq* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis*
using $\langle c \in C \rangle$ **by** *blast*
qed
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *nths-reencode-eq3*:
fixes $A B C :: nat set$
assumes $C \subseteq B B \subseteq A$
shows $nths (nths xs (ind-in-set A \text{ ‘ } B)) (ind-in-set B \text{ ‘ } C) = nths xs (ind-in-set A \text{ ‘ } C)$
apply (*subst ind-in-set-assoc[OF assms, symmetric]*)
apply (*rule nths-reencode-eq*)
using *assms* **by** *blast*

lemma *nths-assoc-three-A*:
fixes $A B C :: nat set$
assumes $A \cap B = \{\}$
and $(A \cup B) \cap C = \{\}$
shows $nths (nths xs (ind-in-set (A \cup B \cup C) \text{ ‘ } (A \cup B))) (ind-in-set (A \cup B) \text{ ‘ } A)$
 $= nths xs (ind-in-set (A \cup B \cup C) \text{ ‘ } A)$
apply (*rule nths-reencode-eq3*) **by** *auto*

lemma *nths-assoc-three-B*:
fixes $A B C :: nat set$
assumes $A \cap B = \{\}$
and $(A \cup B) \cap C = \{\}$
shows $nths (nths xs (ind-in-set (A \cup B \cup C) \text{ ‘ } (A \cup B))) (ind-in-set (A \cup B) \text{ ‘ } B)$
 $= nths (nths xs (ind-in-set (A \cup B \cup C) \text{ ‘ } (B \cup C))) (ind-in-set (B \cup C) \text{ ‘ } B)$
proof –

have $nths$ ($nths$ xs ($ind-in-set$ ($A \cup B \cup C$) ‘ ($A \cup B$))) ($ind-in-set$ ($A \cup B$) ‘ B) = $nths$ xs ($ind-in-set$ ($A \cup B \cup C$) ‘ B)
using $nths-assoc-three-A$ [of $B A C xs$] $assms$ **by** ($simp$ add : $inf-commute$ $sup-commute$)
moreover have $nths$ ($nths$ xs ($ind-in-set$ ($A \cup B \cup C$) ‘ ($B \cup C$))) ($ind-in-set$ ($B \cup C$) ‘ B) = $nths$ xs ($ind-in-set$ ($A \cup B \cup C$) ‘ B)
using $nths-assoc-three-A$ [of $B C A xs$] $assms$ **by** (smt ($verit$) $Un-empty$ $inf-commute$ $inf-sup-distrib2$ $sup-assoc$ $sup-commute$)
ultimately show $?thesis$ **by** $auto$
qed

lemma $nths-assoc-three-C$:
fixes $A B C :: nat$ set
assumes $A \cap B = \{\}$
and $(A \cup B) \cap C = \{\}$
shows $nths$ ($nths$ xs ($ind-in-set$ ($A \cup B \cup C$) ‘ ($B \cup C$))) ($ind-in-set$ ($B \cup C$) ‘ C)
= $nths$ xs ($ind-in-set$ ($A \cup B \cup C$) ‘ C)
using $nths-assoc-three-A$ [of $C B A xs$] $assms$
by (smt ($verit$) $Un-empty$ $inf-commute$ $inf-sup-distrib2$ $sup-assoc$ $sup-commute$)

lemma $valid-index-ind-in-set$:
assumes $is \triangleleft nths$ $dims$ $A B \subseteq A$
shows $nths$ is ($ind-in-set$ A ‘ B) $\triangleleft nths$ $dims$ B
apply ($subst$ $nths-reencode-eq$ [OF $assms(2)$, $symmetric$])
apply ($rule$ $valid-index-nths$)
by ($rule$ $assms(1)$)

lemma $ind-in-set-id$:
fixes $A :: nat$ set
assumes $finite$ A
shows $ind-in-set$ A ‘ $A = \{0..< card A\}$
unfolding $ind-in-set-def$ **apply** $auto$
subgoal using $assms$ $lt-set-card-lt$ **by** $auto$
proof –
fix x **assume** $x: x < card A$
have $*$: $card$ $\{i \in A. i < pick A x\} = x$
apply ($rule$ $card-pick-le$) **by** ($rule$ x)
show $x \in (\lambda x. card \{i \in A. i < x\})$ ‘ A
apply ($subst$ $*[symmetric]$)
apply ($rule$ $imageI$)
apply ($rule$ $pick-in-set-le$) **by** ($rule$ x)
qed

lemma $nths-complement-ind-in-set$:
fixes $A B :: nat$ set
assumes $A \cap B = \{\}$
 $card$ ($A \cup B$) = $length$ xs
shows $nths$ xs ($- ind-in-set$ ($A \cup B$) ‘ A) = $nths$ xs ($ind-in-set$ ($A \cup B$) ‘ B)

```

apply (rule nths-split-complement-eq[symmetric])
subgoal apply auto using assms(1) ind-in-set-inj
  by (metis disjoint-iff-not-equal subsetCE sup-ge1 sup-ge2)
proof –
  have *: ind-in-set (A ∪ B) ‘ B ∪ ind-in-set (A ∪ B) ‘ A = ind-in-set (A ∪ B) ‘
(A ∪ B)
  by auto
  show {0..<length xs} ⊆ ind-in-set (A ∪ B) ‘ B ∪ ind-in-set (A ∪ B) ‘ A
  apply (auto simp add: * assms(2))
  using ind-in-set-id
  by (metis assms(2) atLeastLessThan-iff card.infinite not-le-imp-less not-less-zero)
qed

```

```

lemma ind-in-set-inj':
  fixes A B :: nat set
  assumes B ⊆ A
  shows inj-on (ind-in-set A) B
proof (rule inj-onI)
  fix x y assume x: x ∈ B and y: y ∈ B and eq: ind-in-set A x = ind-in-set A y
  have x': x ∈ A using x assms by auto
  have y': y ∈ A using y assms by auto
  show x = y by (rule ind-in-set-inj[OF x' y' eq])
qed

```

```

lemma ind-in-set-less:
  fixes x :: nat and A :: nat set
  assumes finite A x ∈ A
  shows ind-in-set A x < card A
  unfolding ind-in-set-def
  apply (rule psubset-card-mono) using assms by auto

```

```

lemma ptensor-mat-assoc:
  assumes vars1 ∩ vars2 = {}
  and (vars1 ∪ vars2) ∩ vars3 = {}
  and vars1 ∪ vars2 ∪ vars3 ⊆ {0..< length dims}
  shows ptensor-mat dims (vars1 ∪ vars2) vars3 (ptensor-mat dims vars1 vars2
m1 m2) m3 =
  ptensor-mat dims vars1 (vars2 ∪ vars3) m1 (ptensor-mat dims vars2 vars3
m2 m3)
proof –
  interpret a: partial-state2 dims vars1 vars2
  by (unfold-locales, rule assms(1))
  interpret b: partial-state2 dims vars1 ∪ vars2 vars3
  by (unfold-locales, rule assms(2))
  interpret c: partial-state2 dims vars2 vars3
  apply unfold-locales using assms(2) by auto
  interpret d: partial-state2 dims vars1 vars2 ∪ vars3
  apply unfold-locales using assms by auto

```

```

have uassoc:  $\text{vars1} \cup (\text{vars2} \cup \text{vars3}) = \text{vars1} \cup \text{vars2} \cup \text{vars3}$ 
by auto

have **:  $\{i. i < \text{length } \text{dims} \wedge (i \in \text{vars1} \vee i \in \text{vars2} \vee i \in \text{vars3})\} = \text{vars1} \cup$ 
 $\text{vars2} \cup \text{vars3}$ 
using assms(3) by auto

have finite-union: finite ( $\text{vars1} \cup \text{vars2} \cup \text{vars3}$ )
using assms(3)
using subset-eq-atLeast0-lessThan-finite by blast

have m1eq: digit-encode a.dims0 (digit-decode b.dims1 (nths (digit-encode b.dims0
i) b.vars1'))
= nths (digit-encode b.dims0 i) b.vars1' if  $i < \text{state-sig.d } b.\text{dims0}$  for i
unfolding a.dims0-def a.vars0-def b.dims1-def b.dims0-def b.vars0-def b.vars1'-def
apply (subst digit-encode-decode)
apply (rule valid-index-ind-in-set)
apply (rule digit-encode-valid-index)
using that unfolding state-sig.d-def b.dims0-def b.vars0-def by auto
have m1index: partial-state.encode1 a.dims0 a.vars1' (partial-state.encode1 b.dims0
b.vars1' i)
= partial-state.encode1 d.dims0 d.vars1' i if  $i < \text{state-sig.d } b.\text{dims0}$  for i
unfolding partial-state.encode1-def partial-state.dims1-def a.nths-vars1' d.nths-vars1'
b.nths-vars1'
apply (rule arg-cong[where f=digit-decode d.dims1])
apply (subst m1eq[OF that])
unfolding a.vars0-def a.vars1'-def b.dims0-def b.vars0-def b.vars1'-def d.dims0-def
d.vars0-def d.vars1'-def
using nths-assoc-three-A[OF assms(1-2)] using uassoc by auto

have m2eq1: digit-encode a.dims0 (digit-decode (nths b.dims0 b.vars1') (nths
(digit-encode b.dims0 i) b.vars1'))
= nths (digit-encode b.dims0 i) b.vars1'
if  $i < \text{state-sig.d } b.\text{dims0}$  for i
unfolding a.dims0-def a.vars0-def b.nths-vars1' b.dims1-def
apply (subst digit-encode-decode)
unfolding b.vars1'-def
apply (rule valid-index-ind-in-set)
unfolding b.dims0-def
apply (rule digit-encode-valid-index)
using that unfolding state-sig.d-def b.dims0-def b.vars0-def by auto

have m2eq2: digit-encode c.dims0 (digit-decode (nths d.dims0 ( $- d.\text{vars1}'$ )) (nths
(digit-encode d.dims0 i) ( $- d.\text{vars1}'$ )))
= nths (digit-encode d.dims0 i) ( $- d.\text{vars1}'$ )
if  $i < \text{state-sig.d } b.\text{dims0}$  for i
unfolding c.dims0-def c.vars0-def d.nths-vars2' d.dims2-def
apply (subst digit-encode-decode)
unfolding d.vars1'-def d.vars0-def

```

```

    apply (subst nth-complement-ind-in-set)
  subgoal using assms by auto
  subgoal apply (auto simp only: length-digit-encode d.dims0-def d.vars0-def
length-nths)
    by (auto simp add: ** uassoc)
  apply (rule valid-index-ind-in-set)
  unfolding d.dims0-def d.vars0-def
  apply (rule digit-encode-valid-index)
  using that unfolding state-sig.d-def b.dims0-def b.vars0-def using uassoc by
auto

  have m2index: partial-state.encode2 a.dims0 a.vars1' (partial-state.encode1 b.dims0
b.vars1' i) =
    partial-state.encode1 c.dims0 c.vars1' (partial-state.encode2 d.dims0 d.vars1' i)
  if i < state-sig.d b.dims0 for i
  unfolding partial-state.encode2-def partial-state.encode1-def
    partial-state.dims2-def a.nths-vars2' partial-state.dims1-def c.nths-vars1'
    a.dims2-def c.dims1-def
  apply (rule arg-cong[where f=digit-decode (nths dims vars2)])
  apply (subst m2eq1[OF that])
  apply (subst m2eq2[OF that])
  unfolding b.dims0-def b.vars0-def b.vars1'-def a.vars1'-def a.vars0-def
    d.dims0-def d.vars0-def d.vars1'-def c.vars1'-def c.vars0-def
  apply (subst nth-complement-ind-in-set)
  subgoal using assms by auto
  subgoal apply (auto simp only: length-nths length-digit-encode)
    apply (rule bij-betw-same-card[where f=ind-in-set (vars1 ∪ vars2 ∪ vars3)])
      unfolding bij-betw-def apply (rule conjI)
      subgoal apply (rule ind-in-set-inj') by auto
      apply auto using finite-union by (auto simp add: ** intro: ind-in-set-less)
    apply (subst nth-complement-ind-in-set)
  subgoal using assms by auto
  subgoal apply (auto simp only: length-digit-encode length-nths)
    by (auto simp add: ** uassoc)
  using nth-assoc-three-B[OF assms(1-2)] uassoc by auto

  have m3eq: digit-encode c.dims0 (digit-decode d.dims2 (nths (digit-encode d.dims0
i) (- d.vars1')))
    = nths (digit-encode d.dims0 i) (- d.vars1') if i < state-sig.d b.dims0 for i
  unfolding c.dims0-def c.vars0-def d.dims2-def d.dims0-def d.vars1'-def d.vars0-def
  apply (subst digit-encode-decode)
  apply (subst nth-complement-ind-in-set)
  subgoal using assms by auto
  subgoal apply (auto simp only: length-digit-encode length-nths)
    by (auto simp add: ** uassoc)
  apply (rule valid-index-ind-in-set)
  apply (rule digit-encode-valid-index)
  using that unfolding state-sig.d-def b.dims0-def b.vars0-def using uassoc by
auto

```

```

have m3index: partial-state.encode2 c.dims0 c.vars1' (partial-state.encode2 d.dims0
d.vars1' i) =
  partial-state.encode2 b.dims0 b.vars1' i
  if i < state-sig.d b.dims0 for i
  unfolding partial-state.encode2-def partial-state.dims2-def c.nths-vars2' d.nths-vars2'
b.nths-vars2'
  apply (rule arg-cong[where f=digit-decode c.dims2])
  apply (subst m3eq[OF that])
  unfolding d.dims0-def d.vars0-def d.vars1'-def c.vars1'-def b.dims0-def b.vars1'-def
b.vars0-def c.vars0-def
  apply (subst nths-complement-ind-in-set)
  subgoal using assms by auto
  subgoal apply (auto simp only: length-digit-encode length-nths)
  by (auto simp add: ** uassoc)
  apply (subst nths-complement-ind-in-set)
  subgoal using assms by auto
  subgoal apply (auto simp only: length-nths length-digit-encode)
  apply (rule bij-betw-same-card[where f=ind-in-set (vars1  $\cup$  vars2  $\cup$  vars3)])
  unfolding bij-betw-def apply (rule conjI)
  subgoal apply (rule ind-in-set-inj') by auto
  apply (auto simp add: uassoc) using finite-union
  by (auto simp add: ** intro: ind-in-set-less)
  apply (subst nths-complement-ind-in-set)
  subgoal using assms by auto
  subgoal apply (auto simp only: length-nths length-digit-encode)
  by (auto simp add: ** uassoc)
  using nths-assoc-three-C[OF assms(1-2)] uassoc by auto

show ?thesis
  unfolding a.ptensor-mat-def b.ptensor-mat-def c.ptensor-mat-def d.ptensor-mat-def
partial-state.tensor-mat-def
  apply (rule cong-mat)
  subgoal unfolding b.dims0-def d.dims0-def b.vars0-def d.vars0-def
  apply (subgoal-tac vars1  $\cup$  vars2  $\cup$  vars3 = vars1  $\cup$  (vars2  $\cup$  vars3)) by
auto
  subgoal unfolding b.dims0-def d.dims0-def b.vars0-def d.vars0-def
  apply (subgoal-tac vars1  $\cup$  vars2  $\cup$  vars3 = vars1  $\cup$  (vars2  $\cup$  vars3)) by
auto
  subgoal for i j
  proof –
    assume lti: i < state-sig.d b.dims0 and ltj: j < state-sig.d b.dims0
    have lti': i < state-sig.d d.dims0 using <state-sig.d b.dims0 = state-sig.d
d.dims0> lti by auto
    have ltj': j < state-sig.d d.dims0 using <state-sig.d b.dims0 = state-sig.d
d.dims0> ltj by auto
    have eq1: partial-state.d2 d.dims0 d.vars1' = state-sig.d c.dims0
    unfolding partial-state.d2-def partial-state.dims2-def d.nths-vars2'
d.dims2-def state-sig.d-def c.dims0-def c.vars0-def by auto

```

```

have eq2: partial-state.d1 b.dims0 b.vars1' = state-sig.d a.dims0
  unfolding partial-state.d1-def partial-state.dims1-def b.nths-vars1'
    b.dims1-def state-sig.d-def a.dims0-def a.vars0-def by auto
have lt1: partial-state.encode2 d.dims0 d.vars1' i < state-sig.d c.dims0
  using partial-state.encode2-lt[OF lti', where vars=d.vars1'] eq1 by auto
have lt2: partial-state.encode2 d.dims0 d.vars1' j < state-sig.d c.dims0
  using partial-state.encode2-lt[OF ltj', where vars=d.vars1'] eq1 by auto
have lt3: partial-state.encode1 b.dims0 b.vars1' i < state-sig.d a.dims0
  using partial-state.encode1-lt[OF lti, where vars=b.vars1'] eq2 by auto
have lt4: partial-state.encode1 b.dims0 b.vars1' j < state-sig.d a.dims0
  using partial-state.encode1-lt[OF ltj, where vars=b.vars1'] eq2 by auto
show ?thesis
  apply (auto simp add: lt1 lt2 lt3 lt4)
  apply (simp only: m1index[OF lti] m1index[OF ltj] m2index[OF lti] m2index[
    OF ltj] m3index[OF lti] m3index[OF ltj])
    by auto
qed
done
qed

```

Some simple consequences of associativity

```

lemma pmat-extension-assoc:
  assumes vars1  $\cap$  vars2 = {}
  and (vars1  $\cup$  vars2)  $\cap$  vars3 = {}
  and vars1  $\cup$  vars2  $\cup$  vars3  $\subseteq$  {0.. $\text{length } \text{dims}$ }
  shows pmat-extension dims vars1 (vars2  $\cup$  vars3) m =
    pmat-extension dims (vars1  $\cup$  vars2) vars3 (pmat-extension dims vars1
    vars2 m)
proof -
  interpret a: partial-state2 dims vars1 vars2
    by (unfold-locales, rule assms(1))
  interpret b: partial-state2 dims vars1  $\cup$  vars2 vars3
    by (unfold-locales, rule assms(2))
  interpret c: partial-state2 dims vars2 vars3
    apply unfold-locales using assms(2) by auto
  interpret d: partial-state2 dims vars1 vars2  $\cup$  vars3
    apply unfold-locales using assms by auto
  have a.d2 = c.d1
    by (simp add: c.d1-def a.d2-def c.dims1-def a.dims2-def)
  have c.d0 = d.d2
    by (simp add: c.d0-def d.d2-def c.dims0-def d.dims2-def c.vars0-def)
  show ?thesis
    unfolding a.pmat-extension-def b.pmat-extension-def d.pmat-extension-def
    apply (simp add: ptensor-mat-assoc[OF assms])
    apply (simp add: ⟨a.d2 = c.d1⟩ c.ptensor-mat-id)
    by (simp add: ⟨c.d0 = d.d2⟩)
qed
end

```

4.6 Commands on subset of variables

context *state-sig*
begin

definition *Utrans-P* :: *nat set* \Rightarrow *complex mat* \Rightarrow *com* **where**
Utrans-P vars U = *Utrans (mat-extension dims vars U)*

lemma *well-com-Utrans-P*:

assumes *U* \in *carrier-mat (prod-list (nth_s dims vars)) (prod-list (nth_s dims vars))*
and *unitary U*
shows *well-com (Utrans-P vars U)*

proof –

have 1: *mat-extension dims vars U* \in *carrier-mat d d*
by (*rule partial-state.mat-extension-carrier*)
have 2: *unitary (mat-extension dims vars U)*
apply (*rule partial-state.mat-extension-unitary*)
unfolding *partial-state.d1-def partial-state.dims1-def* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
show *well-com (Utrans-P vars U)*
using 1 2 *Utrans-P-def* **by** *auto*

qed

definition *Measure-P* :: *nat set* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow (*nat* \Rightarrow *complex mat*) \Rightarrow *com list* \Rightarrow *com* **where**
Measure-P vars n Ps Cs = *Measure n (λn . mat-extension dims vars (Ps n)) Cs*

definition *While-P* :: *nat set* \Rightarrow *complex mat* \Rightarrow *complex mat* \Rightarrow *com* \Rightarrow *com* **where**
While-P vars M0 M1 C = *While (λn .
if $n = 0$ then mat-extension dims vars M0
else if $n = 1$ then mat-extension dims vars M1
else undefined) C*

end

end

5 Standard gates

theory *Gates*
imports *Complex-Matrix*
begin

Pauli matrices

definition *sigma-x* :: *complex mat* **where**
sigma-x = *mat-of-rows-list 2 [[0, 1], [1, 0]]*

definition *sigma-y* :: *complex mat* **where**
sigma-y = *mat-of-rows-list 2 [[0, -i], [i, 0]]*

definition *sigma-z* :: complex mat **where**

sigma-z = mat-of-rows-list 2 [[1, 0], [0, -1]]

Hadamard matrices

definition *hadamard* :: complex mat **where**

hadamard = mat 2 2 ($\lambda(i, j)$. if ($i = 0 \vee j = 0$) then 1 / csqrt 2 else - 1 / sqrt 2)

lemma *hadamard-dim*:

hadamard \in carrier-mat 2 2

unfolding *hadamard-def* mat-of-rows-list-def **by** auto

lemma *hermitian-hadamard*:

hermitian hadamard

unfolding *hermitian-def hadamard-def*

apply (rule eq-matI) **by** (auto simp add: adjoint-eval adjoint-dim)

lemma *csqrt-2-sq*:

complex-of-real (sqrt 2) * complex-of-real (sqrt 2) = 2

by (smt (verit) of-real-add of-real-hom.hom-one of-real-power one-add-one power2-eq-square real-sqrt-pow2)

lemma *sum-le-2*:

$\bigwedge(f :: nat \Rightarrow complex)$. sum f {0..<2} = f 0 + f 1

by (simp add: numeral-2-eq-2)

lemma *unitary-hadamard*:

unitary hadamard

unfolding *unitary-def* **apply** (rule)

subgoal using carrier-matD[OF *hadamard-dim*] *hadamard-def* **by** auto

apply (subst *hermitian-hadamard*[unfolded *hermitian-def*])

unfolding *inverts-mat-def*

apply (rule eq-matI) **unfolding** *hadamard-def*

apply (auto simp add: carrier-matD[OF *hadamard-dim*] scalar-prod-def)

by (auto simp add: sum-le-2 csqrt-2-sq)

The matrix [0 0 .. 0 1 1 0 .. 0 0 0 1 .. 0 0 0 0 .. 1 0] implements $i := i + 1$ in the last variable.

definition *mat-incr* :: nat \Rightarrow complex mat **where**

mat-incr n = mat n n ($\lambda(i, j)$. if $i = 0$ then (if $j = n - 1$ then 1 else 0) else (if $i = j + 1$ then 1 else 0))

lemma *mat-incr-dim*:

mat-incr n \in carrier-mat n n

unfolding *mat-incr-def* **by** auto

lemma *adjoint-mat-incr*:

adjoint (*mat-incr* n) = mat n n ($\lambda(i, j)$. if $j = 0$ then (if $i = n - 1$ then 1 else 0) else (if $j = i + 1$ then 1 else 0))

apply (*rule eq-matI*) **unfolding** *mat-incr-def*
by (*auto simp add: adjoint-eval*)

lemma *mat-incr-mult-adjoint-mat-incr*:
shows $\text{mat-incr } n * (\text{adjoint } (\text{mat-incr } n)) = 1_m \ n$
apply (*rule eq-matI, simp*)
apply (*auto simp add: carrier-matD[OF mat-incr-dim] scalar-prod-def*)
unfolding *adjoint-mat-incr* **unfolding** *mat-incr-def*
apply (*simp-all*)
apply (*case-tac j = 0*)
subgoal for j by (*simp add: sum-only-one-neq-0[of - n - Suc 0]*)
subgoal for j by (*simp add: sum-only-one-neq-0[of - j - 1]*)
done

lemma *unitary-mat-incr*:
unitary (*mat-incr n*)
unfolding *unitary-def inverts-mat-def*
using *carrier-matD[OF mat-incr-dim] mat-incr-mult-adjoint-mat-incr* **by** *auto*

end

6 Partial and total correctness

theory *Quantum-Hoare*
imports *Quantum-Program*
begin

context *state-sig*
begin

definition *density-states* :: *state set* **where**
density-states = $\{\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d. \text{ partial-density-operator } \varrho\}$

lemma *denote-density-states*:
 $\varrho \in \text{density-states} \implies \text{well-com } S \implies \text{denote } S \ \varrho \in \text{density-states}$
by (*simp add: denote-dim-pdo density-states-def*)

definition *is-quantum-predicate* :: *complex mat* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
is-quantum-predicate $P \longleftrightarrow P \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \wedge \text{positive } P \wedge P \leq_L 1_m \ d$

lemma *trace-measurement2*:
assumes m : *measurement* $n \ 2 \ M$ **and** dA : $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
shows $\text{trace } ((M \ 0) * A * \text{adjoint } (M \ 0)) + \text{trace } ((M \ 1) * A * \text{adjoint } (M \ 1))$
 $= \text{trace } A$
proof –
from m **have** $dM0$: $M \ 0 \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** $dM1$: $M \ 1 \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
and id : $\text{adjoint } (M \ 0) * (M \ 0) + \text{adjoint } (M \ 1) * (M \ 1) = 1_m \ n$
using *measurement-def measurement-id2* **by** *auto*
have $\text{trace } (M \ 1 * A * \text{adjoint } (M \ 1)) + \text{trace } (M \ 0 * A * \text{adjoint } (M \ 0))$

```

    = trace ((adjoint (M 0) * M 0 + adjoint (M 1) * M 1) * A)
    using dM0 dM1 dA by (mat-assoc n)
  also have ... = trace (1m n * A) using id by auto
  also have ... = trace A using dA by auto
  finally show ?thesis
    using dA dM0 dM1 local.id state-sig.trace-measure2-id by blast
qed

```

```

lemma qp-close-under-unitary-operator:
  fixes U P :: complex mat
  assumes dU: U ∈ carrier-mat d d
    and u: unitary U
    and qp: is-quantum-predicate P
  shows is-quantum-predicate (adjoint U * P * U)
  unfolding is-quantum-predicate-def
proof (auto)
  have dP: P ∈ carrier-mat d d using qp is-quantum-predicate-def by auto
  show adjoint U * P * U ∈ carrier-mat d d using dU dP by fastforce
  have positive P using qp is-quantum-predicate-def by auto
  then show positive (adjoint U * P * U)
    using positive-close-under-left-right-mult-adjoint[OF adjoint-dim[OF dU] dP,
simplified adjoint-adjoint] by fastforce
  have adjoint U * U = 1m d apply (subgoal-tac inverts-mat (adjoint U) U)
    subgoal unfolding inverts-mat-def using dU by auto
  using u unfolding unitary-def using inverts-mat-symm[OF dU adjoint-dim[OF
dU]] by auto
  then have u': adjoint U * 1m d * U = 1m d using dU by auto
  have le: P ≤L 1m d using qp is-quantum-predicate-def by auto
  show adjoint U * P * U ≤L 1m d
    using lower-le-keep-under-measurement[OF dU dP one-carrier-mat le] u' by
auto
qed

```

```

lemma qps-after-measure-is-qp:
  assumes m: measurement d n M and qpk:  $\bigwedge k. k < n \implies$  is-quantum-predicate
(P k)
  shows is-quantum-predicate (matrix-sum d (λk. adjoint (M k) * P k * M k) n)
  unfolding is-quantum-predicate-def
proof (auto)
  have dMk:  $k < n \implies M k \in$  carrier-mat d d for k using m measurement-def
by auto
  moreover have dPk:  $k < n \implies P k \in$  carrier-mat d d for k using qpk
is-quantum-predicate-def by auto
  ultimately have dk:  $k < n \implies$  adjoint (M k) * P k * M k ∈ carrier-mat d d
for k by fastforce
  then show d: matrix-sum d (λk. adjoint (M k) * P k * M k) n ∈ carrier-mat
d d
    using matrix-sum-dim[of n λk. adjoint (M k) * P k * M k] by auto
  have  $k < n \implies$  positive (P k) for k using qpk is-quantum-predicate-def by auto

```

then have $k < n \implies \text{positive} (\text{adjoint} (M k) * P k * M k)$ **for** k
using *positive-close-under-left-right-mult-adjoint*[*OF adjoint-dim*[*OF dMk*] *dPk*,
simplified adjoint-adjoint] **by** *fastforce*
then show *positive (matrix-sum d (λk. adjoint (M k) * P k * M k) n)* **using**
matrix-sum-positive dk **by** *auto*
have $k < n \implies P k \leq_L 1_m d$ **for** k **using** *qpk is-quantum-predicate-def* **by** *auto*
then have $k < n \implies \text{positive} (1_m d - P k)$ **for** k **using** *lowner-le-def* **by** *auto*
then have $p: k < n \implies \text{positive} (\text{adjoint} (M k) * (1_m d - P k) * M k)$ **for** k
using *positive-close-under-left-right-mult-adjoint*[*OF adjoint-dim*[*OF dMk*], *simplified adjoint-adjoint*, *of - 1_m d - P k*] *dPk* **by** *fastforce*
{
 fix k **assume** $k: k < n$
 have $\text{adjoint} (M k) * (1_m d - P k) * M k = \text{adjoint} (M k) * M k - \text{adjoint}$
 $(M k) * P k * M k$
 apply (*mat-assoc d*) **using** *dMk dPk k* **by** *auto*
}
note *split = this*
have $dk': k < n \implies \text{adjoint} (M k) * M k - \text{adjoint} (M k) * P k * M k \in$
carrier-mat d d **for** k **using** *dMk dPk* **by** *fastforce*
have $k < n \implies \text{positive} (\text{adjoint} (M k) * M k - \text{adjoint} (M k) * P k * M k)$
for k **using** *p split* **by** *auto*
then have $p': \text{positive} (\text{matrix-sum} d (\lambda k. \text{adjoint} (M k) * M k - \text{adjoint} (M k)$
 $* P k * M k) n)$
 using *matrix-sum-positive*[*OF dk'*, *of n id*, *simplified*] **by** *auto*
 have $daMMk: k < n \implies \text{adjoint} (M k) * M k \in \text{carrier-mat} d d$ **for** k **using**
dMk **by** *fastforce*
 have $daMPMk: k < n \implies \text{adjoint} (M k) * P k * M k \in \text{carrier-mat} d d$ **for** k
using *dMk dPk* **by** *fastforce*
 have $\text{matrix-sum} d (\lambda k. \text{adjoint} (M k) * M k - \text{adjoint} (M k) * P k * M k) n$
 $= \text{matrix-sum} d (\lambda k. \text{adjoint} (M k) * M k) n - \text{matrix-sum} d (\lambda k. \text{adjoint} (M$
 $k) * P k * M k) n$
 using *matrix-sum-minus-distrib*[*OF daMMk daMPMk*] **by** *auto*
 also have $\dots = 1_m d - \text{matrix-sum} d (\lambda k. \text{adjoint} (M k) * P k * M k) n$ **using**
m measurement-def **by** *auto*
 finally have *positive (1_m d - matrix-sum d (λk. adjoint (M k) * P k * M k)*
 $n)$ **using** *p'* **by** *auto*
 then show $\text{matrix-sum} d (\lambda k. \text{adjoint} (M k) * P k * M k) n \leq_L 1_m d$ **using**
lowner-le-def d **by** *auto*
qed

definition *hoare-total-correct* :: *complex mat* \Rightarrow *com* \Rightarrow *complex mat* \Rightarrow *bool* ($\langle \models_t$
 $\{(1-)\} / (-) / \{(1-)\} \rangle 50$) **where**
 $\models_t \{P\} S \{Q\} \longleftrightarrow (\forall \rho \in \text{density-states. trace} (P * \rho) \leq \text{trace} (Q * \text{denote } S \rho))$

definition *hoare-partial-correct* :: *complex mat* \Rightarrow *com* \Rightarrow *complex mat* \Rightarrow *bool*
 $(\langle \models_p \{(1-)\} / (-) / \{(1-)\} \rangle 50)$ **where**
 $\models_p \{P\} S \{Q\} \longleftrightarrow (\forall \rho \in \text{density-states. trace} (P * \rho) \leq \text{trace} (Q * \text{denote } S \rho)$
 $+ (\text{trace } \rho - \text{trace} (\text{denote } S \rho)))$

```

lemma total-implies-partial:
  assumes  $S$ : well-com  $S$ 
    and  $total$ :  $\models_t \{P\} S \{Q\}$ 
  shows  $\models_p \{P\} S \{Q\}$ 
proof –
  have  $trace (P * \varrho) \leq trace (Q * denote\ S\ \varrho) + (trace\ \varrho - trace (denote\ S\ \varrho))$  if
 $\varrho$ :  $\varrho \in density-states$  for  $\varrho$ 
  proof –
    have  $trace (P * \varrho) \leq trace (Q * denote\ S\ \varrho)$ 
      using total hoare-total-correct-def  $\varrho$  by auto
    moreover have  $trace (denote\ S\ \varrho) \leq trace\ \varrho$ 
      using denote-trace[OF S]  $\varrho$  density-states-def by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis by (auto simp: less-eq-complex-def)
  qed
  then show ?thesis
    using hoare-partial-correct-def by auto
qed

```

```

lemma predicate-prob-positive:
  assumes  $0_m\ d\ d \leq_L P$ 
    and  $\varrho \in density-states$ 
  shows  $0 \leq trace (P * \varrho)$ 
proof –
  have  $trace (0_m\ d\ d * \varrho) \leq trace (P * \varrho)$ 
    apply (rule lower-le-traceD)
    using assms unfolding lower-le-def density-states-def by auto
  then show ?thesis
    using assms(2) density-states-def by auto
qed

```

```

lemma total-pre-zero:
  assumes  $S$ : well-com  $S$ 
    and  $Q$ : is-quantum-predicate  $Q$ 
  shows  $\models_t \{0_m\ d\ d\} S \{Q\}$ 
proof –
  have  $trace (0_m\ d\ d * \varrho) \leq trace (Q * denote\ S\ \varrho)$  if  $\varrho$ :  $\varrho \in density-states$  for  $\varrho$ 
  proof –
    have  $1$ :  $trace (0_m\ d\ d * \varrho) = 0$ 
      using  $\varrho$  unfolding density-states-def by auto
    show ?thesis
      apply (subst 1)
      apply (rule predicate-prob-positive)
      subgoal apply (simp add: lower-le-def, subgoal-tac Q - 0_m\ d\ d = Q) using
 $Q$  is-quantum-predicate-def[of Q] by auto
      subgoal using denote-density-states  $\varrho$   $S$  by auto
    done
  qed

```

then show *?thesis*
using *hoare-total-correct-def* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *partial-post-identity:*

assumes *S: well-com S*

and *P: is-quantum-predicate P*

shows $\models_p \{P\} S \{1_m d\}$

proof –

have $\text{trace } (P * \varrho) \leq \text{trace } (1_m d * \text{denote } S \varrho) + (\text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace } (\text{denote } S \varrho))$ **if** *ϱ: ϱ ∈ density-states* **for** *ϱ*

proof –

have $\text{denote } S \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$

using *S denote-dim ϱ density-states-def* **by** *auto*

then have $\text{trace } (1_m d * \text{denote } S \varrho) = \text{trace } (\text{denote } S \varrho)$

by *auto*

moreover have $\text{trace } (P * \varrho) \leq \text{trace } (1_m d * \varrho)$

apply *(rule lowner-le-traceD)*

using *ϱ unfolding density-states-def* **apply** *auto*

using *P is-quantum-predicate-def* **by** *auto*

ultimately show *?thesis*

using *density-states-def that* **by** *auto*

qed

then show *?thesis*

using *hoare-partial-correct-def* **by** *auto*

qed

6.1 Weakest liberal preconditions

definition *is-weakest-liberal-precondition* :: *complex mat ⇒ com ⇒ complex mat ⇒ bool* **where**

is-weakest-liberal-precondition W S P \longleftrightarrow

is-quantum-predicate W $\wedge \models_p \{W\} S \{P\} \wedge (\forall Q. \text{is-quantum-predicate } Q \longrightarrow \models_p \{Q\} S \{P\} \longrightarrow Q \leq_L W)$

definition *wlp-measure* :: *nat ⇒ (nat ⇒ complex mat) ⇒ ((complex mat ⇒ complex mat) list) ⇒ complex mat ⇒ complex mat* **where**

wlp-measure n M WS P = *matrix-sum d (λk. adjoint (M k) * ((WS!k) P) * (M k)) n*

fun *wlp-while-n* :: *complex mat ⇒ complex mat ⇒ (complex mat ⇒ complex mat) ⇒ nat ⇒ complex mat ⇒ complex mat* **where**

wlp-while-n M0 M1 WS 0 P = $1_m d$

$| \text{wlp-while-n } M0 M1 WS (Suc n) P = \text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * (WS (\text{wlp-while-n } M0 M1 WS n P)) * M1$

lemma *measurement2-leq-one-mat:*

assumes *dP: P ∈ carrier-mat d d* **and** *dQ: Q ∈ carrier-mat d d*

and $leP: P \leq_L 1_m d$ **and** $leQ: Q \leq_L 1_m d$ **and** $m: \text{measurement } d \ 2 \ M$
shows $(\text{adjoint } (M \ 0) * P * (M \ 0) + \text{adjoint } (M \ 1) * Q * (M \ 1)) \leq_L 1_m d$
proof –
define $M0$ **where** $M0 = M \ 0$
define $M1$ **where** $M1 = M \ 1$
have $dM0: M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and** $dM1: M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **using** m
 $M0\text{-def } M1\text{-def measurement-def}$ **by** auto

have $\text{adjoint } M1 * Q * M1 \leq_L \text{adjoint } M1 * 1_m d * M1$
using $\text{lower-le-keep-under-measurement}[OF \ dM1 \ dQ - leQ]$ **by** auto
then have $le1: \text{adjoint } M1 * Q * M1 \leq_L \text{adjoint } M1 * M1$ **using** $dM1 \ dQ$ **by**
 fastforce
have $\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 \leq_L \text{adjoint } M0 * 1_m d * M0$
using $\text{lower-le-keep-under-measurement}[OF \ dM0 \ dP - leP]$ **by** auto
then have $le0: \text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 \leq_L \text{adjoint } M0 * M0$
using $dM0 \ dP$ **by** fastforce
have $\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * Q * M1 \leq_L \text{adjoint } M0 * M0 +$
 $\text{adjoint } M1 * M1$
apply $(\text{rule } \text{lower-le-add}[of \ \text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 \ d \ \text{adjoint } M0 * M0 \ \text{adjoint}$
 $M1 * Q * M1 \ \text{adjoint } M1 * M1])$
using $dM0 \ dP \ dM1 \ dQ \ le0 \ le1$ **by** auto
also have $\dots = 1_m d$ **using** $m \ M0\text{-def } M1\text{-def measurement-id2}$ **by** auto
finally show $\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * Q * M1 \leq_L 1_m d.$
qed

lemma $wlp\text{-while-}n\text{-close}$:

assumes $\text{close}: \bigwedge P. \text{is-quantum-predicate } P \implies \text{is-quantum-predicate } (WS \ P)$
and $m: \text{measurement } d \ 2 \ M$ **and** $qpP: \text{is-quantum-predicate } P$
shows $\text{is-quantum-predicate } (wlp\text{-while-}n \ (M \ 0) \ (M \ 1) \ WS \ k \ P)$
proof $(\text{induct } k)$
case 0
then show $?case$
unfolding $wlp\text{-while-}n.\text{simps is-quantum-predicate-def}$ **using** $\text{positive-one}[of \ d]$
 $\text{lower-le-refl}[of \ 1_m \ d]$ **by** fastforce
next
case $(Suc \ k)$
define $M0$ **where** $M0 = M \ 0$
define $M1$ **where** $M1 = M \ 1$
define W **where** $W \ k = wlp\text{-while-}n \ M0 \ M1 \ WS \ k \ P$ **for** k
show $?case$ **unfolding** $wlp\text{-while-}n.\text{simps is-quantum-predicate-def}$
proof $(\text{fold } M0\text{-def } M1\text{-def}, \text{fold } W\text{-def}, \text{auto})$
have $dM0: M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and** $dM1: M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **using** m
 $M0\text{-def } M1\text{-def measurement-def}$ **by** auto
have $dP: P \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **using** $qpP \ \text{is-quantum-predicate-def}$ **by** auto
have $qpWk: \text{is-quantum-predicate } (W \ k)$ **using** $Suc \ M0\text{-def } M1\text{-def } W\text{-def}$ **by**
 auto
then have $qpWWk: \text{is-quantum-predicate } (WS \ (W \ k))$ **using** close **by** auto
from $qpWk$ **have** $dWk: W \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **using** $\text{is-quantum-predicate-def}$
by auto

```

from qpWWk have dWWk: WS (W k) ∈ carrier-mat d d using is-quantum-predicate-def
by auto
  show adjoint M0 * P * M0 + adjoint M1 * WS (W k) * M1 ∈ carrier-mat d
d using dM0 dP dM1 dWWk by auto

  have pP: positive P using qpP is-quantum-predicate-def by auto
  then have pM0P: positive (adjoint M0 * P * M0)
    using positive-close-under-left-right-mult-adjoint[OF adjoint-dim[OF dM0]]
dM0 dP adjoint-adjoint[of M0] by auto
  have pWWk: positive (WS (W k)) using qpWWk is-quantum-predicate-def by
auto
  then have pM1WWk: positive (adjoint M1 * WS (W k) * M1)
    using positive-close-under-left-right-mult-adjoint[OF adjoint-dim[OF dM1]]
dM1 dWWk adjoint-adjoint[of M1] by auto
  then show positive (adjoint M0 * P * M0 + adjoint M1 * WS (W k) * M1)
    using positive-add[OF pM0P pM1WWk] dM0 dP dM1 dWWk by fastforce

  have leWWk: WS (W k) ≤L 1m d using qpWWk is-quantum-predicate-def by
auto
  have leP: P ≤L 1m d using qpP is-quantum-predicate-def by auto
  show (adjoint M0 * P * M0 + adjoint M1 * WS (W k) * M1) ≤L 1m d
    using measurement2-leq-one-mat[OF dP dWWk leP leWWk m] M0-def M1-def
by auto
  qed
  qed

```

lemma wlp-while-n-mono:

```

assumes ∧P. is-quantum-predicate P ⇒ is-quantum-predicate (WS P)
and ∧P Q. is-quantum-predicate P ⇒ is-quantum-predicate Q ⇒ P ≤L Q
⇒ WS P ≤L WS Q
and measurement d 2 M
and is-quantum-predicate P
and is-quantum-predicate Q
and P ≤L Q
shows (wlp-while-n (M 0) (M 1) WS k P) ≤L (wlp-while-n (M 0) (M 1) WS k
Q)
proof (induct k)
  case 0
  then show ?case unfolding wlp-while-n.simps using lower-le-refl[of 1m d] by
fastforce
  next
  case (Suc k)
  define M0 where M0 = M 0
  define M1 where M1 = M 1
  have dM0: M0 ∈ carrier-mat d d and dM1: M1 ∈ carrier-mat d d using assms
M0-def M1-def measurement-def by auto
  define W where W P k = wlp-while-n M0 M1 WS k P for k P

  have dP: P ∈ carrier-mat d d and dQ: Q ∈ carrier-mat d d using assms

```

is-quantum-predicate-def **by** *auto*

have *eq1*: $W P (Suc\ k) = \text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * (WS\ (W\ P\ k))$
 $* M1$ **unfolding** *W-def wlp-while-n.simps* **by** *auto*
have *eq2*: $W Q (Suc\ k) = \text{adjoint } M0 * Q * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * (WS\ (W\ Q\ k))$
 $* M1$ **unfolding** *W-def wlp-while-n.simps* **by** *auto*
have *le1*: $\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 \leq_L \text{adjoint } M0 * Q * M0$ **using** *lowner-le-keep-under-measurement*
dM0 dP dQ assms **by** *auto*
have *leWk*: $(W\ P\ k) \leq_L (W\ Q\ k)$ **unfolding** *W-def M0-def M1-def* **using** *Suc*
by *auto*
have *qpWPk*: *is-quantum-predicate* $(W\ P\ k)$ **unfolding** *W-def M0-def M1-def*
using *wlp-while-n-close assms* **by** *auto*
then have *is-quantum-predicate* $(WS\ (W\ P\ k))$ **unfolding** *W-def M0-def M1-def*
using *assms* **by** *auto*
then have *dWWPk*: $(WS\ (W\ P\ k)) \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **using** *is-quantum-predicate-def*
by *auto*
have *qpWQk*: *is-quantum-predicate* $(W\ Q\ k)$ **unfolding** *W-def M0-def M1-def*
using *wlp-while-n-close assms* **by** *auto*
then have *is-quantum-predicate* $(WS\ (W\ Q\ k))$ **unfolding** *W-def M0-def M1-def*
using *assms* **by** *auto*
then have *dWWQk*: $(WS\ (W\ Q\ k)) \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **using** *is-quantum-predicate-def*
by *auto*

have $(WS\ (W\ P\ k)) \leq_L (WS\ (W\ Q\ k))$ **using** *qpWPk qpWQk leWk assms* **by**
auto
then have *le2*: $\text{adjoint } M1 * (WS\ (W\ P\ k)) * M1 \leq_L \text{adjoint } M1 * (WS\ (W\ Q\ k)) * M1$
using *lowner-le-keep-under-measurement dM1 dWWPk dWWQk* **by** *auto*

have $(\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * (WS\ (W\ P\ k)) * M1) \leq_L (\text{adjoint } M0 * Q * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * (WS\ (W\ Q\ k)) * M1)$
using *lowner-le-add[OF - - - le1 le2]* *dM0 dP dM1 dQ dWWPk dWWQk le1*
le2 **by** *fastforce*

then have $W\ P\ (Suc\ k) \leq_L W\ Q\ (Suc\ k)$ **using** *eq1 eq2* **by** *auto*
then show *?case* **unfolding** *W-def M0-def M1-def* **by** *auto*
qed

definition *wlp-while* :: *complex mat* \Rightarrow *complex mat* \Rightarrow (*complex mat* \Rightarrow *complex mat*) \Rightarrow *complex mat* \Rightarrow *complex mat* **where**

wlp-while $M0\ M1\ WS\ P = (\text{THE } Q. \text{limit-mat } (\lambda n. \text{wlp-while-n } M0\ M1\ WS\ n\ P))\ Q\ d)$

lemma *wlp-while-exists*:

assumes $\bigwedge P. \text{is-quantum-predicate } P \Longrightarrow \text{is-quantum-predicate } (WS\ P)$
and $\bigwedge P\ Q. \text{is-quantum-predicate } P \Longrightarrow \text{is-quantum-predicate } Q \Longrightarrow P \leq_L Q$
 $\Longrightarrow WS\ P \leq_L WS\ Q$
and *m*: *measurement* $d\ 2\ M$
and *qpP*: *is-quantum-predicate* P

shows *is-quantum-predicate* (*wlp-while* (*M 0*) (*M 1*) *WS P*)
 $\wedge (\forall n. (\text{wlp-while } (M\ 0)\ (M\ 1)\ WS\ P) \leq_L (\text{wlp-while-n } (M\ 0)\ (M\ 1)\ WS\ n\ P))$
 $\wedge (\forall W'. (\forall n. W' \leq_L (\text{wlp-while-n } (M\ 0)\ (M\ 1)\ WS\ n\ P)) \longrightarrow W' \leq_L (\text{wlp-while } (M\ 0)\ (M\ 1)\ WS\ P))$
 $\wedge \text{limit-mat } (\lambda n. \text{wlp-while-n } (M\ 0)\ (M\ 1)\ WS\ n\ P) (\text{wlp-while } (M\ 0)\ (M\ 1)\ WS\ P)\ d$

proof (*auto*)
define *M0* **where** *M0* = *M 0*
define *M1* **where** *M1* = *M 1*
have *dM0*: *M0* \in *carrier-mat d d* **and** *dM1*: *M1* \in *carrier-mat d d* **using** *assms*
M0-def M1-def measurement-def **by** *auto*
define *W* **where** *W k* = *wlp-while-n M0 M1 WS k P* **for** *k*
have *leP*: *P* \leq_L *1_m d* **and** *dP*: *P* \in *carrier-mat d d* **and** *pP*: *positive P* **using**
qpP is-quantum-predicate-def **by** *auto*
have *pM0P*: *positive (adjoint M0 * P * M0)*
using *positive-close-under-left-right-mult-adjoint[OF adjoint-dim[OF dM0]] adjoint-adjoint[of M0]* *dP pP* **by** *auto*

have *le-qp*: *W (Suc k)* \leq_L *W k* \wedge *is-quantum-predicate (W k)* **for** *k*
proof (*induct k*)
case *0*
have *is-quantum-predicate (1_m d)*
unfolding *is-quantum-predicate-def* **using** *positive-one lower-le-refl[of 1_m d]* **by** *fastforce*
then have *is-quantum-predicate (WS (1_m d))* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
then have *(WS (1_m d))* \in *carrier-mat d d* **and** *(WS (1_m d))* \leq_L *1_m d* **using**
is-quantum-predicate-def **by** *auto*
then have *W 1* \leq_L *W 0* **unfolding** *W-def wlp-while-n.simps M0-def M1-def*
using *measurement2-leq-one-mat[OF dP - leP - m]* **by** *auto*
moreover have *is-quantum-predicate (W 0)* **unfolding** *W-def wlp-while-n.simps*
is-quantum-predicate-def
using *positive-one lower-le-refl[of 1_m d]* **by** *fastforce*
ultimately show *?case* **by** *auto*

next
case (*Suc k*)
then have *leWSk*: *W (Suc k)* \leq_L *W k* **and** *qpWk*: *is-quantum-predicate (W k)*
by *auto*
then have *is-quantum-predicate (WS (W k))* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
then have *dWWk*: *WS (W k)* \in *carrier-mat d d* **and** *leWWk1*: *(WS (W k))*
 \leq_L *1_m d* **and** *pWWk*: *positive (WS (W k))*
using *is-quantum-predicate-def* **by** *auto*
then have *leWSk1*: *W (Suc k)* \leq_L *1_m d* **using** *measurement2-leq-one-mat[OF dP dWWk leP leWWk1 m]*
unfolding *W-def wlp-while-n.simps M0-def M1-def* **by** *auto*
then have *dWSk*: *W (Suc k)* \in *carrier-mat d d* **using** *lower-le-def* **by** *fastforce*
have *pM1WWk*: *positive (adjoint M1 * (WS (W k)) * M1)*
using *positive-close-under-left-right-mult-adjoint[OF adjoint-dim[OF dM1]*
dWWk pWWk] *adjoint-adjoint[of M1]* **by** *auto*

have $pWSk$: *positive* ($W (Suc\ k)$) **unfolding** W -def *wlp-while-n.simps* **apply**
(fold W-def)
using *positive-add*[$OF\ pM0P\ pM1WWk$] $dM0\ dP\ dM1$ **by** *fastforce*
have $qpWSk$: *is-quantum-predicate* ($W (Suc\ k)$) **unfolding** *is-quantum-predicate-def*
using $dWSk\ pWSk\ leWSk1$ **by** *auto*
then **have** $qpWWSk$: *is-quantum-predicate* ($WS (W (Suc\ k))$) **using** *assms(1)*
by *auto*
then **have** $dWWSk$: ($WS (W (Suc\ k))$) \in *carrier-mat* $d\ d$ **using** *is-quantum-predicate-def*
by *auto*

have $WS (W (Suc\ k)) \leq_L WS (W\ k)$ **using** *assms(2)*[$OF\ qpWSk\ qpWk$] $leWSk$
by *auto*
then **have** $adjoint\ M1 * WS (W (Suc\ k)) * M1 \leq_L adjoint\ M1 * WS (W\ k)$
 $* M1$
using *lower-le-keep-under-measurement*[$OF\ dM1\ dWWSk\ dWWk$] **by** *auto*
then **have** ($adjoint\ M0 * P * M0 + adjoint\ M1 * WS (W (Suc\ k)) * M1$)
 $\leq_L (adjoint\ M0 * P * M0 + adjoint\ M1 * WS (W\ k) * M1)$
using *lower-le-add*[*of - d - adjoint* $M1 * WS (W (Suc\ k)) * M1\ adjoint\ M1$
 $* WS (W\ k) * M1$,
 $OF\ -\ -\ -\ lower-le-refl[of\ adjoint\ M0 * P * M0]$] $dM0\ dM1\ dP\ dWWSk$
 $dWWk$ **by** *fastforce*
then **have** $W (Suc (Suc\ k)) \leq_L W (Suc\ k)$ **unfolding** W -def *wlp-while-n.simps*
by *auto*
with $qpWSk$ **show** *?case* **by** *auto*
qed

then **have** dWk : $W\ k \in$ *carrier-mat* $d\ d$ **for** k **using** *is-quantum-predicate-def*
by *auto*
then **have** $dmWk$: $- W\ k \in$ *carrier-mat* $d\ d$ **for** k **by** *auto*
have $incmWk$: $-(W\ k) \leq_L -(W (Suc\ k))$ **for** k **using** *lower-le-swap*[*of* W
 $(Suc\ k)\ d\ W\ k$] $dWk\ le-qp$ **by** *auto*
have pWk : *positive* ($W\ k$) **for** k **using** *le-qp is-quantum-predicate-def* **by** *auto*
have $ubmWk$: $- W\ k \leq_L 0_m\ d\ d$ **for** k
proof $-$
have $0_m\ d\ d \leq_L W\ k$ **for** k **using** *zero-lower-le-positiveI* $dWk\ pWk$ **by** *auto*
then **have** $- W\ k \leq_L - 0_m\ d\ d$ **for** k **using** *lower-le-swap*[*of* $0_m\ d\ d\ d\ W\ k$]
 dWk **by** *auto*
moreover **have** ($- 0_m\ d\ d ::$ *complex mat*) = ($0_m\ d\ d$) **by** *auto*
ultimately **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

have $\exists B$. *lower-is-lub* ($\lambda k. - W\ k$) $B \wedge$ *limit-mat* ($\lambda k. - W\ k$) $B\ d$
using *mat-inc-seq-lub*[*of* $\lambda k. - W\ k\ d\ 0_m\ d\ d$] $dmWk\ incmWk\ ubmWk$ **by** *auto*
then **obtain** B **where** $lubB$: *lower-is-lub* ($\lambda k. - W\ k$) B **and** $limB$: *limit-mat*
 $(\lambda k. - W\ k)\ B\ d$ **by** *auto*
then **have** dB : $B \in$ *carrier-mat* $d\ d$ **using** *limit-mat-def* **by** *auto*
define A **where** $A = - B$
then **have** dA : $A \in$ *carrier-mat* $d\ d$ **using** dB **by** *auto*
have *limit-mat* ($\lambda k. (-1) \cdot_m (- W\ k)$) $(-1 \cdot_m B)\ d$ **using** *limit-mat-scale*[OF
 $limB$] **by** *auto*

moreover have $W k = -1 \cdot_m (- W k)$ **for** k **using** dWk **by** *auto*
moreover have $-1 \cdot_m B = - B$ **by** *auto*
ultimately have $\text{lim}A$: *limit-mat* $W A d$ **using** $A\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*
moreover have (*limit-mat* $W A' d \implies A' = A$) **for** A' **using** *limit-mat-unique*[of $W A d$] $\text{lim}A$ **by** *auto*
ultimately have $\text{eq}A$: (*wlp-while* $(M 0) (M 1) WS P$) = A **unfolding** *wlp-while-def* $W\text{-def}$ $M0\text{-def}$ $M1\text{-def}$
using *the-equality*[of $\lambda X. \text{limit-mat} (\lambda n. \text{wlp-while-}n (M 0) (M 1) WS n P) X d A$] **by** *fastforce*

show *limit-mat* $(\lambda n. \text{wlp-while-}n (M 0) (M (\text{Suc } 0)) WS n P)$ (*wlp-while* $(M 0) (M (\text{Suc } 0)) WS P$) d
using $\text{lim}A$ $\text{eq}A$ **unfolding** $W\text{-def}$ $M0\text{-def}$ $M1\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*

have $- W k \leq_L B$ **for** k **using** $\text{lub}B$ *lower-is-lub-def* **by** *auto*
then have $\text{glb}A$: $A \leq_L W k$ **for** k **unfolding** $A\text{-def}$ **using** *lower-le-swap*[of $- W k d$] $dB dWk$ **by** *fastforce*
then show $\bigwedge n. \text{wlp-while} (M 0) (M (\text{Suc } 0)) WS P \leq_L \text{wlp-while-}n (M 0) (M (\text{Suc } 0)) WS n P$ **using** $\text{eq}A$ **unfolding** $W\text{-def}$ $M0\text{-def}$ $M1\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*

have $W k \leq_L 1_m d$ **for** k **using** *le-qp* **unfolding** *is-quantum-predicate-def* **by** *auto*
then have *positive* $(1_m d - W k)$ **for** k **using** *lower-le-def* **by** *auto*
moreover have *limit-mat* $(\lambda k. 1_m d - W k) (1_m d - A) d$ **using** *mat-minus-limit* $\text{lim}A$ **by** *auto*
ultimately have *positive* $(1_m d - A)$ **using** *pos-mat-lim-is-pos* **by** *auto*
then have $\text{le}A1$: $A \leq_L 1_m d$ **using** dA *lower-le-def* **by** *auto*

have pA : *positive* A **using** *pos-mat-lim-is-pos* $\text{lim}A$ pWk **by** *auto*

show *is-quantum-predicate* (*wlp-while* $(M 0) (M (\text{Suc } 0)) WS P$) **unfolding** *is-quantum-predicate-def* **using** pA dA $\text{le}A1$ $\text{eq}A$ **by** *auto*

{
fix W' **assume** $\text{asm}W'$: $\forall k. W' \leq_L W k$
then have dW' : $W' \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **unfolding** *lower-le-def* **using** *carrier-matD*[*OF* dWk] **by** *auto*
then have $- W k \leq_L - W'$ **for** k **using** *lower-le-swap* dWk $\text{asm}W'$ **by** *auto*
then have $B \leq_L - W'$ **using** $\text{lub}B$ **unfolding** *lower-is-lub-def* **by** *auto*
then have $W' \leq_L A$ **unfolding** $A\text{-def}$
using *lower-le-swap*[of $B d - W'$] $dB dW'$ **by** *auto*
then have $W' \leq_L \text{wlp-while} (M 0) (M 1) WS P$ **using** $\text{eq}A$ **by** *auto*
}
then show $\bigwedge W'. \forall n. W' \leq_L \text{wlp-while-}n (M 0) (M (\text{Suc } 0)) WS n P \implies W' \leq_L \text{wlp-while} (M 0) (M (\text{Suc } 0)) WS P$
unfolding $W\text{-def}$ $M0\text{-def}$ $M1\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *wlp-while-mono*:

assumes $\bigwedge P. \text{ is-quantum-predicate } P \implies \text{ is-quantum-predicate } (WS\ P)$
and $\bigwedge P\ Q. \text{ is-quantum-predicate } P \implies \text{ is-quantum-predicate } Q \implies P \leq_L Q$
 $\implies WS\ P \leq_L WS\ Q$
and *measurement* $d \geq M$
and *is-quantum-predicate* P
and *is-quantum-predicate* Q
and $P \leq_L Q$
shows *wlp-while* $(M\ 0)\ (M\ 1)\ WS\ P \leq_L \text{ wlp-while } (M\ 0)\ (M\ 1)\ WS\ Q$
proof –
define $M0$ **where** $M0 = M\ 0$
define $M1$ **where** $M1 = M\ 1$
have $dM0: M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **and** $dM1: M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **using** *assms*
M0-def M1-def measurement-def **by** *auto*
define Wn **where** $Wn\ P\ k = \text{wlp-while-n } M0\ M1\ WS\ k\ P$ **for** $P\ k$
define W **where** $W\ P = \text{wlp-while } M0\ M1\ WS\ P$ **for** P
have $lePQk: Wn\ P\ k \leq_L Wn\ Q\ k$ **for** k **unfolding** *Wn-def M0-def M1-def*
using *wlp-while-n-mono assms* **by** *auto*
have *is-quantum-predicate* $(Wn\ P\ k)$ **for** k **unfolding** *Wn-def M0-def M1-def*
using *wlp-while-n-close assms* **by** *auto*
then have $dWPk: Wn\ P\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **for** k **using** *is-quantum-predicate-def*
by *auto*
have *is-quantum-predicate* $(Wn\ Q\ k)$ **for** k **unfolding** *Wn-def M0-def M1-def*
using *wlp-while-n-close assms* **by** *auto*
then have $dWQk: Wn\ Q\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **for** k **using** *is-quantum-predicate-def*
by *auto*
have *is-quantum-predicate* $(W\ P)$ **and** $lePk: (W\ P) \leq_L (Wn\ P\ k)$ **and** *limit-mat*
 $(Wn\ P)\ (W\ P)\ d$ **for** k
unfolding *W-def Wn-def M0-def M1-def* **using** *wlp-while-exists assms* **by** *auto*
then have $dWP: W\ P \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **using** *is-quantum-predicate-def* **by**
auto
have *is-quantum-predicate* $(W\ Q)$ **and** $(W\ Q) \leq_L (Wn\ Q\ k)$
and $glb: (\forall k. W' \leq_L (Wn\ Q\ k)) \longrightarrow W' \leq_L (W\ Q)$ **and** *limit-mat* $(Wn\ Q)\ (W\ Q)$
 d **for** $k\ W'$
unfolding *W-def Wn-def M0-def M1-def* **using** *wlp-while-exists assms* **by** *auto*

have $W\ P \leq_L Wn\ Q\ k$ **for** k **using** *lower-le-trans*[of $W\ P\ d\ Wn\ P\ k\ Wn\ Q\ k$]
 $lePk\ lePQk\ dWPk\ dWQk\ dWP$ **by** *auto*
then show $W\ P \leq_L W\ Q$ **using** *glb* **by** *auto*
qed

fun *wlp* :: *com* \Rightarrow *complex mat* \Rightarrow *complex mat* **where**
wlp *SKIP* $P = P$
| *wlp* $(U\ \text{trans } U)\ P = \text{adjoint } U * P * U$
| *wlp* $(Seq\ S1\ S2)\ P = \text{wlp } S1\ (\text{wlp } S2\ P)$
| *wlp* $(Measure\ n\ M\ S)\ P = \text{wlp-measure } n\ M\ (\text{map } \text{wlp } S)\ P$
| *wlp* $(While\ M\ S)\ P = \text{wlp-while } (M\ 0)\ (M\ 1)\ (\text{wlp } S)\ P$

lemma *wlp-measure-expand-m*:
assumes $m: m \leq n$ **and** $wc: \text{well-com } (Measure\ n\ M\ S)$

shows $wlp (Measure\ m\ M\ S)\ P = matrix-sum\ d\ (\lambda k. adjoint\ (M\ k) * (wlp\ (S!k)\ P) * (M\ k))\ m$
unfolding $wlp.simps\ wlp-measure-def$
proof –
have $k < m \implies map\ wlp\ S\ !\ k = wlp\ (S!k)$ **for** k **using** $wc\ m$ **by** $auto$
then have $k < m \implies (map\ wlp\ S\ !\ k)\ P = wlp\ (S!k)\ P$ **for** k **by** $auto$
then show $matrix-sum\ d\ (\lambda k. adjoint\ (M\ k) * ((map\ wlp\ S\ !\ k)\ P) * (M\ k))\ m$
 $= matrix-sum\ d\ (\lambda k. adjoint\ (M\ k) * (wlp\ (S!k)\ P) * (M\ k))\ m$
using $matrix-sum-cong[of\ m\ \lambda k. adjoint\ (M\ k) * ((map\ wlp\ S\ !\ k)\ P) * (M\ k)]$
 $\lambda k. adjoint\ (M\ k) * (wlp\ (S!k)\ P) * (M\ k)]$ **by** $auto$
qed

lemma $wlp-measure-expand$:

assumes $wc: well-com\ (Measure\ n\ M\ S)$
shows $wlp (Measure\ n\ M\ S)\ P = matrix-sum\ d\ (\lambda k. adjoint\ (M\ k) * (wlp\ (S!k)\ P) * (M\ k))\ n$
using $wlp-measure-expand-m[OF\ Nat.le-refl[of\ n]]\ wc$ **by** $auto$

lemma $wlp-mono-and-close$:

shows $well-com\ S \implies is-quantum-predicate\ P \implies is-quantum-predicate\ Q \implies P \leq_L Q$

$\implies is-quantum-predicate\ (wlp\ S\ P) \wedge wlp\ S\ P \leq_L wlp\ S\ Q$

proof ($induct\ S\ arbitrary: P\ Q$)

case $SKIP$

then show $?case$ **by** $auto$

next

case ($Utrans\ U$)

then have $dU: U \in carrier-mat\ d\ d$ **and** $u: unitary\ U$ **and** $qp: is-quantum-predicate\ P$ **and** $le: P \leq_L Q$

and $dP: P \in carrier-mat\ d\ d$ **and** $dQ: Q \in carrier-mat\ d\ d$ **using** $is-quantum-predicate-def$ **by** $auto$

then have $qp': is-quantum-predicate\ (wlp\ (Utrans\ U)\ P)$ **using** $qp-close-under-unitary-operator$ **by** $auto$

moreover have $adjoint\ U * P * U \leq_L adjoint\ U * Q * U$ **using** $lower-le-keep-under-measurement[OF\ dU\ dP\ dQ\ le]$ **by** $auto$

ultimately show $?case$ **by** $auto$

next

case ($Seq\ S1\ S2$)

then have $qpP: is-quantum-predicate\ P$ **and** $qpQ: is-quantum-predicate\ Q$ **and** $wc1: well-com\ S1$ **and** $wc2: well-com\ S2$

and $dP: P \in carrier-mat\ d\ d$ **and** $dQ: Q \in carrier-mat\ d\ d$ **and** $le: P \leq_L Q$ **using** $is-quantum-predicate-def$ **by** $auto$

have $qpP2: is-quantum-predicate\ (wlp\ S2\ P)$ **using** $Seq\ qpP\ wc2$ **by** $auto$

have $qpQ2: is-quantum-predicate\ (wlp\ S2\ Q)$ **using** $Seq(2)[OF\ wc2\ qpQ\ qpQ]$ $lower-le-refl\ dQ$ **by** $blast$

have $qpP1: is-quantum-predicate\ (wlp\ S1\ (wlp\ S2\ P))$

using $Seq(1)[OF\ wc1\ qpP2\ qpP2]$ $qpP2\ is-quantum-predicate-def[of\ wlp\ S2\ P]$ $lower-le-refl$ **by** $auto$

have $wlp\ S2\ P \leq_L wlp\ S2\ Q$ **using** $Seq(2)\ wc2\ qpP\ qpQ\ le$ **by** $auto$

then have $wlp\ S1\ (wlp\ S2\ P) \leq_L wlp\ S1\ (wlp\ S2\ Q)$ **using** $Seq(1)\ wc1\ qpP2$
qpQ2 by auto
then show $?case$ **using** $qpP1$ **by auto**
next
case $(Measure\ n\ M\ S)$
then have $wc: well-com\ (Measure\ n\ M\ S)$ **and** $wck: k < n \implies well-com\ (S!k)$
and $l: length\ S = n$
and $m: measurement\ d\ n\ M$ **and** $le: P \leq_L Q$
and $qpP: is-quantum-predicate\ P$ **and** $dP: P \in carrier-mat\ d\ d$
and $qpQ: is-quantum-predicate\ Q$ **and** $dQ: Q \in carrier-mat\ d\ d$
for k **using** $measure-well-com\ is-quantum-predicate-def$ **by auto**
have $dMk: k < n \implies M\ k \in carrier-mat\ d\ d$ **for** k **using** m $measurement-def$
by auto
have $set: k < n \implies S!k \in set\ S$ **for** k **using** l **by auto**
have $qpk: k < n \implies is-quantum-predicate\ (wlp\ (S!k)\ P)$ **for** k
using $Measure(1)[OF\ set\ wck\ qpP\ qpQ]$ $lower-le-refl[of\ P]$ dP **by auto**
then have $dWkP: k < n \implies wlp\ (S!k)\ P \in carrier-mat\ d\ d$ **for** k **using**
is-quantum-predicate-def by auto
then have $dMkP: k < n \implies adjoint\ (M\ k) * (wlp\ (S!k)\ P) * (M\ k) \in carrier-mat$
d d for k using dMk by fastforce
have $k < n \implies is-quantum-predicate\ (wlp\ (S!k)\ Q)$ **for** k
using $Measure(1)[OF\ set\ wck\ qpQ\ qpQ]$ $lower-le-refl[of\ Q]$ dQ **by auto**
then have $dWkQ: k < n \implies wlp\ (S!k)\ Q \in carrier-mat\ d\ d$ **for** k **using**
is-quantum-predicate-def by auto
then have $dMkQ: k < n \implies adjoint\ (M\ k) * (wlp\ (S!k)\ Q) * (M\ k) \in carrier-mat$
d d for k using dMk by fastforce
have $k < n \implies wlp\ (S!k)\ P \leq_L wlp\ (S!k)\ Q$ **for** k
using $Measure(1)[OF\ set\ wck\ qpP\ qpQ\ le]$ **by auto**
then have $k < n \implies adjoint\ (M\ k) * (wlp\ (S!k)\ P) * (M\ k) \leq_L adjoint\ (M\ k)$
 $* (wlp\ (S!k)\ Q) * (M\ k)$ **for** k
using $lower-le-keep-under-measurement[OF\ dMk\ dWkP\ dWkQ]$ **by auto**
then have $le': wlp\ (Measure\ n\ M\ S)\ P \leq_L wlp\ (Measure\ n\ M\ S)\ Q$ **unfolding**
wlp-measure-expand[OF wc]
using $lower-le-matrix-sum\ dMkP\ dMkQ$ **by auto**
have $qp': is-quantum-predicate\ (wlp\ (Measure\ n\ M\ S)\ P)$ **unfolding** $wlp-measure-expand[OF$
 $wc]$
using $qps-after-measure-is-qp[OF\ m]$ qpk **by auto**
show $?case$ **using** $le'\ qp'$ **by auto**
next
case $(While\ M\ S)$
then have $m: measurement\ d\ 2\ M$ **and** $wcs: well-com\ S$
and $qpP: is-quantum-predicate\ P$
by auto
have $closeWS: is-quantum-predicate\ P \implies is-quantum-predicate\ (wlp\ S\ P)$ **for**
 P
proof –
assume $asm: is-quantum-predicate\ P$
then have $dP: P \in carrier-mat\ d\ d$ **using** $is-quantum-predicate-def$ **by auto**
then show $is-quantum-predicate\ (wlp\ S\ P)$ **using** $While(1)[OF\ wcs\ asm\ asm$

```

lower-le-refl] dP by auto
qed
have monoWS: is-quantum-predicate P  $\implies$  is-quantum-predicate Q  $\implies$  P  $\leq_L$ 
Q  $\implies$  wlp S P  $\leq_L$  wlp S Q for P Q
using While(1)[OF wcs] by auto
have is-quantum-predicate (wlp (While M S) P)
using wlp-while-exists[of wlp S M P] closeWS monoWS m qpP by auto
moreover have wlp (While M S) P  $\leq_L$  wlp (While M S) Q
using wlp-while-mono[of wlp S M P Q] closeWS monoWS m While by auto
ultimately show ?case by auto
qed

lemma wlp-close:
assumes wc: well-com S and qp: is-quantum-predicate P
shows is-quantum-predicate (wlp S P)
using wlp-mono-and-close[OF wc qp qp] is-quantum-predicate-def[of P] qp lower-le-refl
by auto

lemma wlp-soundness:
well-com S  $\implies$ 
( $\bigwedge$ P. (is-quantum-predicate P  $\implies$ 
( $\forall \rho \in$  density-states. trace (wlp S P *  $\rho$ ) = trace (P * (denote S  $\rho$ )) + trace
 $\rho$  - trace (denote S  $\rho$ ))))
proof (induct S)
case SKIP
then show ?case by auto
next
case (Utrans U)
then have dU: U  $\in$  carrier-mat d d and u: unitary U and wc: well-com (Utrans
U)
and qp: is-quantum-predicate P and dP: P  $\in$  carrier-mat d d using is-quantum-predicate-def
by auto
have qp': is-quantum-predicate (wlp (Utrans U) P) using wlp-close[OF wc qp]
by auto
have eq1: trace (adjoint U * P * U *  $\rho$ ) = trace (P * (U *  $\rho$  * adjoint U)) if
dr:  $\rho \in$  carrier-mat d d for  $\rho$ 
using dr dP apply (mat-assoc d) using wc by auto
have eq2: trace (U *  $\rho$  * adjoint U) = trace  $\rho$  if dr:  $\rho \in$  carrier-mat d d for  $\rho$ 
using unitary-operator-keep-trace[OF adjoint-dim[OF dU] dr unitary-adjoint[OF
dU u]] adjoint-adjoint[of U] by auto
show ?case using qp' eq1 eq2 density-states-def by auto
next
case (Seq S1 S2)
then have qp: is-quantum-predicate P and wc1: well-com S1 and wc2: well-com
S2 by auto
then have qp2: is-quantum-predicate (wlp S2 P) using wlp-close by auto
then have qp1: is-quantum-predicate (wlp S1 (wlp S2 P)) using wlp-close wc1
by auto
have eq1: trace (wlp S2 P *  $\rho$ ) = trace (P * denote S2  $\rho$ ) + trace  $\rho$  - trace

```

```

(denote S2 ρ)
  if ds: ρ ∈ density-states for ρ using Seq(2) wc2 qp ds by auto
  have eq2: trace (wlp S1 (wlp S2 P) * ρ) = trace ((wlp S2 P) * denote S1 ρ) +
trace ρ - trace (denote S1 ρ)
  if ds: ρ ∈ density-states for ρ using Seq(1) wc1 qp2 ds by auto
  have eq3: trace (wlp S1 (wlp S2 P) * ρ) = trace (P * (denote S2 (denote S1
ρ))) + trace ρ - trace (denote S2 (denote S1 ρ))
  if ds: ρ ∈ density-states for ρ
  proof -
  have denote S1 ρ ∈ density-states
  using ds denote-density-states wc1 by auto
  then have trace ((wlp S2 P) * denote S1 ρ) = trace (P * denote S2 (denote
S1 ρ)) + trace (denote S1 ρ) - trace (denote S2 (denote S1 ρ))
  using eq1 by auto
  then show trace (wlp S1 (wlp S2 P) * ρ) = trace (P * (denote S2 (denote S1
ρ))) + trace ρ - trace (denote S2 (denote S1 ρ))
  using eq2 ds by auto
  qed
  then show ?case using qp1 by auto
next
case (Measure n M S)
then have wc: well-com (Measure n M S)
and wck: k < n ⇒ well-com (S!k)
and qpP: is-quantum-predicate P
and dP: P ∈ carrier-mat d d
and qpWk: k < n ⇒ is-quantum-predicate (wlp (S!k) P)
and dWk: k < n ⇒ (wlp (S!k) P) ∈ carrier-mat d d
and c: k < n ⇒ ρ ∈ density-states ⇒ trace (wlp (S!k) P * ρ) = trace (P *
denote (S!k) ρ) + trace ρ - trace (denote (S!k) ρ)
and m: measurement d n M
and aMMkleq: k < n ⇒ adjoint (M k) * M k ≤L 1m d
and dMk: k < n ⇒ M k ∈ carrier-mat d d
for k ρ using is-quantum-predicate-def measurement-def measure-well-com mea-
surement-le-one-mat wlp-close by auto
{
  fix ρ assume ρ: ρ ∈ density-states
  then have dr: ρ ∈ carrier-mat d d and pdor: partial-density-operator ρ using
density-states-def by auto
  have dsr: k < n ⇒ (M k) * ρ * adjoint (M k) ∈ density-states for k unfolding
density-states-def
  using dMk pdo-close-under-measurement[OF dMk dr pdor aMMkleq] dr by
fastforce
  then have leqk: k < n ⇒ trace (wlp (S!k) P * ((M k) * ρ * adjoint (M k)))
=
  trace (P * (denote (S!k) ((M k) * ρ * adjoint (M k)))) +
  (trace ((M k) * ρ * adjoint (M k)) - trace (denote (S!k) ((M k) * ρ * adjoint
(M k)))) for k
  using c by auto
  have k < n ⇒ M k * ρ * adjoint (M k) ∈ carrier-mat d d for k using dMk

```

dr by fastforce
then have *dsMrk*: $k < n \implies \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. (M k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k))) k \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **for** k
using *matrix-sum-dim*[of $k \lambda k. (M k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k)) d$] **by** *fastforce*
have $k < n \implies \text{adjoint } (M k) * (\text{wlp } (S!k) P) * M k \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **for** k
using *dMk by fastforce*
then have *dsMW*: $k < n \implies \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M k) * (\text{wlp } (S!k) P) * M k) k \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **for** k
using *matrix-sum-dim*[of $k \lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M k) * (\text{wlp } (S!k) P) * M k d$] **by** *fastforce*
have *dSMrk*: $k < n \implies \text{denote } (S ! k) (M k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k)) \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **for** k
using *denote-dim*[OF *wck*, of $k M k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k)$] *dSr density-states-def* **by** *fastforce*
have *dsSMrk*: $k < n \implies \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. \text{denote } (S!k) (M k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k))) k \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **for** k
using *matrix-sum-dim*[of $k \lambda k. \text{denote } (S ! k) (M k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k)) d$, OF *dSMrk*] **by** *fastforce*
have $k \leq n \implies$
 $\text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M k) * (\text{wlp } (S!k) P) * M k) k * \varrho)$
 $= \text{trace } (P * (\text{denote } (\text{Measure } k M S) \varrho)) + (\text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. (M k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k)) k) - \text{trace } (\text{denote } (\text{Measure } k M S) \varrho))$ **for** k
unfolding *denote-measure-expand*[OF - *wc*]
proof (*induct k*)
case 0
then show ?*case unfolding matrix-sum.simps using dP dr by auto*
next
case (*Suc k*)
then have $k: k < n$ **by** *auto*
have *eq1*: $\text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M k) * (\text{wlp } (S!k) P) * M k) (S\text{uc } k) * \varrho)$
 $= \text{trace } (\text{adjoint } (M k) * (\text{wlp } (S!k) P) * M k * \varrho) + \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M k) * (\text{wlp } (S!k) P) * M k) k * \varrho)$
unfolding *matrix-sum.simps*
using *dMk*[OF k] *dWk*[OF k] *dr dsMW*[OF k] **by** (*mat-assoc d*)

have $\text{trace } (\text{adjoint } (M k) * (\text{wlp } (S!k) P) * M k * \varrho) = \text{trace } ((\text{wlp } (S!k) P) * (M k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k)))$
using *dMk*[OF k] *dWk*[OF k] *dr by (mat-assoc d)*
also have $\dots = \text{trace } (P * (\text{denote } (S!k) ((M k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k)))) + (\text{trace } ((M k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k)) - \text{trace } (\text{denote } (S ! k) ((M k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k))))$ **using** *leqk k by auto*
finally have *eq2*: $\text{trace } (\text{adjoint } (M k) * (\text{wlp } (S!k) P) * M k * \varrho) = \text{trace } (P * (\text{denote } (S!k) ((M k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k)))) + (\text{trace } ((M k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k)) - \text{trace } (\text{denote } (S ! k) ((M k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k))))$.

have *eq3*: $\text{trace } (P * \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. \text{denote } (S!k) (M k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k))) (S\text{uc } k))$

```

    = trace (P * (denote (S!k) (M k * ρ * adjoint (M k)))) + trace (P *
matrix-sum d (λk. denote (S!k) (M k * ρ * adjoint (M k))) k)
  unfolding matrix-sum.simps
  using dP dSMrk[OF k] dsSMrk[OF k] by (mat-assoc d)

  have eq4: trace (denote (S!k) (M k * ρ * adjoint (M k)) + matrix-sum d
(λk. denote (S!k) (M k * ρ * adjoint (M k))) k)
    = trace (denote (S!k) (M k * ρ * adjoint (M k))) + trace (matrix-sum d
(λk. denote (S!k) (M k * ρ * adjoint (M k))) k)
  using dSMrk[OF k] dsSMrk[OF k] by (mat-assoc d)

  show ?case
  apply (subst eq1) apply (subst eq3)
  apply (simp del: less-eq-complex-def)
  apply (subst trace-add-linear[of M k * ρ * adjoint (M k) d matrix-sum d
(λk. M k * ρ * adjoint (M k)) k])
  apply (simp add: dMk adjoint-dim[OF dMk] dr mult-carrier-mat[of - d d
- d] k)
  apply (simp add: dsMrk k)
  apply (subst eq4)
  apply (insert eq2 Suc(1) k, fastforce)
  done
  qed
  then have leq: trace (matrix-sum d (λk. adjoint (M k) * (wlp (S!k) P) * M k)
n * ρ)
    = trace (P * denote (Measure n M S) ρ) +
      (trace (matrix-sum d (λk. (M k) * ρ * adjoint (M k)) n) - trace (denote
(Measure n M S) ρ))
  by auto
  have trace (matrix-sum d (λk. (M k) * ρ * adjoint (M k)) n) = trace ρ using
trace-measurement m dr by auto

  with leq have trace (matrix-sum d (λk. adjoint (M k) * (wlp (S!k) P) * M k)
n * ρ)
    = trace (P * denote (Measure n M S) ρ) + (trace ρ - trace (denote (Measure
n M S) ρ))
  unfolding denote-measure-def by auto
}
then show ?case unfolding wlp-measure-expand[OF wc] by auto
next
  case (While M S)
  then have qpP: is-quantum-predicate P and dP: P ∈ carrier-mat d d
  and wcS: well-com S and m: measurement d 2 M and wc: well-com (While M
S)
  using is-quantum-predicate-def by auto
  define M0 where M0 = M 0
  define M1 where M1 = M 1
  have dM0: M0 ∈ carrier-mat d d and dM1: M1 ∈ carrier-mat d d using m
measurement-def M0-def M1-def by auto

```

have $leM1$: $adjoint\ M1 * M1 \leq_L 1_m\ d$ **using** $measurement-le-one-mat\ m\ M1-def$
by $auto$
define W **where** $W\ k = wlp\ while\ n\ M0\ M1\ (wlp\ S)\ k\ P$ **for** k
define DS **where** $DS = denote\ S$
define $D0$ **where** $D0 = denote\ while\ n\ M0\ M1\ DS$
define $D1$ **where** $D1 = denote\ while\ n\ comp\ M0\ M1\ DS$
define D **where** $D = denote\ while\ n\ iter\ M0\ M1\ DS$

have eqk : $\rho \in density\ states \implies trace\ ((W\ k) * \rho) = (\sum\ k=0..<k.\ trace\ (P * (D0\ k\ \rho))) + trace\ \rho - (\sum\ k=0..<k.\ trace\ (D0\ k\ \rho))$ **for** $k\ \rho$
proof ($induct\ k\ arbitrary$: ρ)
case 0
then **have** dSr : $\rho \in density\ states$ **by** $auto$
show $?case\ unfolding\ W-def\ wlp\ while\ n.\ simps$ **using** $dSr\ density\ states-def$
by $auto$
next
case ($Suc\ k$)
then **have** dSr : $\rho \in density\ states$ **and** dr : $\rho \in carrier\ mat\ d\ d$ **and** $pdor$:
 $partial\ density\ operator\ \rho$ **using** $density\ states-def$ **by** $auto$
then **have** $dsM1r$: $M1 * \rho * adjoint\ M1 \in density\ states$ **unfolding** $den-$
 $sity\ states-def$ **using** $pdo\ close\ under\ measurement[OF\ dM1\ dr\ pdor\ leM1]$ $dr\ dM1$
by $auto$
then **have** $dsDSM1r$: $(DS\ (M1 * \rho * adjoint\ M1)) \in density\ states$ **unfolding**
 $density\ states-def\ DS-def$
using $denote\ dim[OF\ wcS]$ $denote\ partial\ density\ operator[OF\ wcS]$ $dsM1r$
by $auto$
have $qpWk$: $is\ quantum\ predicate\ (W\ k)$
using $wlp\ while\ n\ close[OF\ -\ m\ qpP, folded\ M0-def\ M1-def, of\ wlp\ S, folded\ W-def]$
 $wlp\ close[OF\ wcS]$ **by** $auto$
then **have** $is\ quantum\ predicate\ (wlp\ S\ (W\ k))$ **using** $wlp\ close[OF\ wcS]$ **by**
 $auto$
then **have** $dWWk$: $wlp\ S\ (W\ k) \in carrier\ mat\ d\ d$ **using** $is\ quantum\ predicate-def$
by $auto$

have $trace\ (P * (M0 * \rho * adjoint\ M0)) + (\sum\ k=0..<k.\ trace\ (P * (D0\ k\ (DS\ (M1 * \rho * adjoint\ M1))))$
 $= trace\ (P * (D0\ 0\ \rho)) + (\sum\ k=0..<k.\ trace\ (P * (D0\ (Suc\ k)\ \rho)))$
unfolding $D0-def$ **by** $auto$
also **have** $\dots = trace\ (P * (D0\ 0\ \rho)) + (\sum\ k=1..<(Suc\ k).\ trace\ (P * (D0\ k\ \rho)))$
using $sum.shift\ bounds\ Suc\ ivl[symmetric, of\ \lambda k.\ trace\ (P * (D0\ k\ \rho))]$ **by**
 $auto$
also **have** $\dots = (\sum\ k=0..<(Suc\ k).\ trace\ (P * (D0\ k\ \rho)))$ **using** $sum.atLeast\ Suc\ lessThan[of\ 0\ Suc\ k\ \lambda k.\ trace\ (P * (D0\ k\ \rho))]$ **by** $auto$
finally **have** $eq1$: $trace\ (P * (M0 * \rho * adjoint\ M0)) + (\sum\ k=0..<k.\ trace\ (P * (D0\ k\ (DS\ (M1 * \rho * adjoint\ M1))))$
 $= (\sum\ k=0..<(Suc\ k).\ trace\ (P * (D0\ k\ \rho)))$.

have $eq2$: $trace\ (M1 * \rho * adjoint\ M1) = trace\ \rho - trace\ (M0 * \rho * adjoint\ M0)$

$M0$)
unfolding $M0$ -def $M1$ -def **using** m trace-measurement2[OF m dr] dr **by**
(*simp add: algebra-simps*)

have trace ($M0 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M0$) + ($\sum k=0..<k$. trace ($D0$ k (DS ($M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1$))))
= trace ($D0$ 0 ρ) + ($\sum k=0..<k$. trace ($D0$ (Suc k) ρ)) **unfolding** $D0$ -def
by auto

also have ... = trace ($D0$ 0 ρ) + ($\sum k=1..<(Suc$ $k)$. trace ($D0$ k ρ))
using *sum.shift-bounds-Suc-ivl[symmetric, of λk . trace ($D0$ k ρ)]* **by auto**
also have ... = ($\sum k=0..<(Suc$ $k)$. trace ($D0$ k ρ))
using *sum.atLeast-Suc-lessThan[of 0 Suc k λk . trace ($D0$ k ρ)]* **by auto**
finally have eq3: trace ($M0 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M0$) + ($\sum k=0..<k$. trace ($D0$ k (DS ($M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1$)))) = ($\sum k=0..<(Suc$ $k)$. trace ($D0$ k ρ)).

then have trace ($M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1$) - ($\sum k=0..<k$. trace ($D0$ k (DS ($M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1$))))
= trace ρ - (trace ($M0 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M0$) + ($\sum k=0..<k$. trace ($D0$ k (DS ($M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1$))))))
by (*simp add: algebra-simps eq2*)

then have eq4: trace ($M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1$) - ($\sum k=0..<k$. trace ($D0$ k (DS ($M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1$)))) = trace ρ - ($\sum k=0..<(Suc$ $k)$. trace ($D0$ k ρ))
by (*simp add: eq3*)

have trace ((W (Suc k)) * ρ) = trace ($P * (M0 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M0)$) + trace ((wlp S (W k)) * ($M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1$))
unfolding W -def *wlp-while-n.simps*
apply (*fold W-def*) **using** $dM0$ dP $dM1$ $dWWk$ dr **by** (*mat-assoc d*)

also have ... = trace ($P * (M0 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M0)$) + trace ((W k) * (DS ($M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1$))) + trace ($M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1$) - trace (DS ($M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1$))
using *While(1)[OF wcS, of W k] qpWk dsM1r DS-def* **by auto**
also have ... = trace ($P * (M0 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M0)$)
+ ($\sum k=0..<k$. trace ($P * (D0$ k (DS ($M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1$)))))) + trace (DS ($M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1$)) - ($\sum k=0..<k$. trace ($D0$ k (DS ($M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1$))))
+ trace ($M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1$) - trace (DS ($M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1$))
using *Suc(1)[OF dsDSM1r]* **by auto**

also have ... = trace ($P * (M0 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M0)$) + ($\sum k=0..<k$. trace ($P * (D0$ k (DS ($M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1$))))))
+ trace ($M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1$) - ($\sum k=0..<k$. trace ($D0$ k (DS ($M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1$))))
by auto

also have ... = ($\sum k=0..<(Suc$ $k)$. trace ($P * (D0$ k ρ))) + trace ρ - ($\sum k=0..<(Suc$ $k)$. trace ($D0$ k ρ))
by (*simp add: eq1 eq4*)

finally show ?case.
qed

```

{
  fix  $\varrho$  assume  $d_{sr}: \varrho \in \text{density-states}$ 
  then have  $dr: \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  and  $pdor: \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho$  using
  density-states-def by auto
  have  $limDW: \text{limit-mat } (\lambda n. \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. D0 \ k \ \varrho) \ (n)) \ (\text{denote } (\text{While } M \ S) \ \varrho) \ d$ 
  using limit-mat-denote-while-n[OF wc dr pdor] unfolding D0-def M0-def
M1-def DS-def by auto
  then have  $limit\text{-mat } (\lambda n. (P * (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. D0 \ k \ \varrho) \ (n)))) \ (P * (\text{denote } (\text{While } M \ S) \ \varrho)) \ d$ 
  using mat-mult-limit[OF dP] unfolding mat-mult-seq-def by auto
  then have  $limtrPm: (\lambda n. \text{trace } (P * (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. D0 \ k \ \varrho) \ (n)))) \ \longrightarrow \ \text{trace } (P * (\text{denote } (\text{While } M \ S) \ \varrho))$ 
  using mat-trace-limit by auto

  with  $limDW$  have  $limtrDW: (\lambda n. \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. D0 \ k \ \varrho) \ (n))) \ \longrightarrow \ \text{trace } (\text{denote } (\text{While } M \ S) \ \varrho)$ 
  using mat-trace-limit by auto

  have  $limm: (\lambda n. \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. D0 \ k \ \varrho) \ (n))) \ \longrightarrow \ \text{trace } (\text{denote } (\text{While } M \ S) \ \varrho)$ 
  using mat-trace-limit  $limDW$  by auto

  have  $closeWS: \text{is-quantum-predicate } P \ \Longrightarrow \ \text{is-quantum-predicate } (\text{wlp } S \ P)$  for
   $P$ 
  proof –
    assume  $asm: \text{is-quantum-predicate } P$ 
    then have  $dP: P \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  using is-quantum-predicate-def by auto
    then show  $\text{is-quantum-predicate } (\text{wlp } S \ P)$  using wlp-mono-and-close[OF
wcS asm asm] lowner-le-refl by auto
  qed
  have  $monoWS: \text{is-quantum-predicate } P \ \Longrightarrow \ \text{is-quantum-predicate } Q \ \Longrightarrow \ P \leq_L \ Q \ \Longrightarrow \ \text{wlp } S \ P \leq_L \ \text{wlp } S \ Q$  for  $P \ Q$ 
  using wlp-mono-and-close[OF wcS] by auto

  have  $\text{is-quantum-predicate } (\text{wlp } (\text{While } M \ S) \ P)$ 
  using wlp-while-exists[of wlp S M P] closeWS monoWS m qpP by auto

  have  $limit\text{-mat } W \ (\text{wlp-while } M0 \ M1 \ (\text{wlp } S) \ P) \ d$  unfolding W-def M0-def
M1-def
  using wlp-while-exists[of wlp S M P] closeWS monoWS m qpP by auto
  then have  $limit\text{-mat } (\lambda k. (W \ k) * \varrho) \ ((\text{wlp-while } M0 \ M1 \ (\text{wlp } S) \ P) * \varrho) \ d$ 
  using mult-mat-limit  $dr$  by auto
  then have  $lim1: (\lambda k. \text{trace } ((W \ k) * \varrho)) \ \longrightarrow \ \text{trace } ((\text{wlp-while } M0 \ M1 \ (\text{wlp } S) \ P) * \varrho)$ 
  using mat-trace-limit by auto

  have  $dD0kr: D0 \ k \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  for  $k$  unfolding D0-def
  using denote-while-n-dim[OF dr dM0 dM1 pdor] denote-positive-trace-dim[OF

```

wcS , folded DS -def] **by auto**
then have $(P * (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho) (n))) = \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. P * (D0\ k\ \varrho))\ n$ **for** n
using $\text{matrix-sum-distrib-left}[OF\ dP]$ **by auto**
moreover have $\text{trace} (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. P * (D0\ k\ \varrho))\ n) = (\sum k=0..<n. \text{trace} (P * (D0\ k\ \varrho)))$ **for** n
using $\text{trace-matrix-sum-linear } dD0kr\ dP$ **by auto**
ultimately have eqPsD0kr : $\text{trace} (P * (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho) (n))) = (\sum k=0..<n. \text{trace} (P * (D0\ k\ \varrho)))$ **for** n **by auto**
with limtrPm **have** lim2 : $(\lambda k. (\sum k=0..<k. \text{trace} (P * (D0\ k\ \varrho)))) \longrightarrow \text{trace} (P * (\text{denote} (While\ M\ S)\ \varrho))$ **by auto**

have $\text{trace} (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho) (n)) = (\sum k=0..<n. \text{trace} (D0\ k\ \varrho))$ **for** n
using $\text{trace-matrix-sum-linear } dD0kr$ **by auto**
with limtrDW **have** lim3 : $(\lambda k. (\sum k=0..<k. \text{trace} (D0\ k\ \varrho))) \longrightarrow \text{trace} (\text{denote} (While\ M\ S)\ \varrho)$ **by auto**

have $(\lambda k. (\sum k=0..<k. \text{trace} (P * (D0\ k\ \varrho))) + \text{trace } \varrho) \longrightarrow \text{trace} (P * (\text{denote} (While\ M\ S)\ \varrho)) + \text{trace } \varrho$
using $\text{tendsto-add}[of\ \lambda k. (\sum k=0..<k. \text{trace} (P * (D0\ k\ \varrho)))]\ \text{lim2}$ **by auto**
then have $(\lambda k. (\sum k=0..<k. \text{trace} (P * (D0\ k\ \varrho))) + \text{trace } \varrho - (\sum k=0..<k. \text{trace} (D0\ k\ \varrho))) \longrightarrow \text{trace} (P * (\text{denote} (While\ M\ S)\ \varrho)) + \text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace} (\text{denote} (While\ M\ S)\ \varrho)$
using $\text{tendsto-diff}[of\ -\ -\ \lambda k. (\sum k=0..<k. \text{trace} (D0\ k\ \varrho))]\ \text{lim3}$ **by auto**
then have lim4 : $(\lambda k. \text{trace} ((W\ k) * \varrho)) \longrightarrow \text{trace} (P * (\text{denote} (While\ M\ S)\ \varrho)) + \text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace} (\text{denote} (While\ M\ S)\ \varrho)$
using $\text{eqk}[OF\ dsr]$ **by auto**

then have $\text{trace} ((wlp\text{-while } M0\ M1\ (wlp\ S)\ P) * \varrho) = \text{trace} (P * (\text{denote} (While\ M\ S)\ \varrho)) + \text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace} (\text{denote} (While\ M\ S)\ \varrho)$
using $\text{eqk}[OF\ dsr]\ \text{tendsto-unique}[OF\ -\ \text{lim1}\ \text{lim4}]$ **by auto**
}
then show $?case\ \text{unfolding } M0\text{-def } M1\text{-def } DS\text{-def } wlp.\text{simps}$ **by auto**
qed

lemma $\text{denote-while-split}$:

assumes wc : $\text{well-com } (While\ M\ S)$ **and** dsr : $\varrho \in \text{density-states}$
shows $\text{denote} (While\ M\ S)\ \varrho = (M\ 0) * \varrho * \text{adjoint} (M\ 0) + \text{denote} (While\ M\ S)\ (\text{denote } S\ (M\ 1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint} (M\ 1)))$
proof –
have m : $\text{measurement } d\ 2\ M$ **using** wc **by auto**
have wcs : $\text{well-com } S$ **using** wc **by auto**
define $M0$ **where** $M0 = M\ 0$
define $M1$ **where** $M1 = M\ 1$
have $dM0$: $M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **and** $dM1$: $M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **using** m
 $\text{measurement-def } M0\text{-def } M1\text{-def}$ **by auto**
have $M1\text{leq}$: $\text{adjoint } M1 * M1 \leq_L 1_m\ d$ **using** $\text{measurement-le-one-mat } m\ M1\text{-def}$

```

by auto
define DS where DS = denote S
define D0 where D0 = denote-while-n M0 M1 DS
define D1 where D1 = denote-while-n-comp M0 M1 DS
define D where D = denote-while-n-iter M0 M1 DS
define DW where DW  $\varrho$  = denote (While M S)  $\varrho$  for  $\varrho$ 

{
  fix  $\varrho$  assume dsr:  $\varrho \in$  density-states
  then have dr:  $\varrho \in$  carrier-mat d d and pdor: partial-density-operator  $\varrho$  using
density-states-def by auto
  have pdoDkr:  $\bigwedge k$ . partial-density-operator (D k  $\varrho$ ) unfolding D-def
  using pdo-denote-while-n-iter[OF dr pdor dM1 M1leq]
  denote-partial-density-operator[OF wcs] denote-dim[OF wcs, folded DS-def]
  apply (fold DS-def) by auto
  then have pDkr:  $\bigwedge k$ . positive (D k  $\varrho$ ) unfolding partial-density-operator-def
by auto
  have dDkr:  $\bigwedge k$ . D k  $\varrho \in$  carrier-mat d d
  using denote-while-n-iter-dim[OF dr pdor dM1 M1leq denote-dim-pdo[OF wcs,
folded DS-def], of id M0, simplified, folded D-def] by auto
  then have dD0kr:  $\bigwedge k$ . D0 k  $\varrho \in$  carrier-mat d d unfolding D0-def de-
note-while-n.simps apply (fold D-def) using dM0 by auto
}
note dD0k = this
have matrix-sum d ( $\lambda k$ . D0 k  $\varrho$ )  $k \in$  carrier-mat d d if dsr:  $\varrho \in$  density-states
for  $\varrho$  k
using matrix-sum-dim[OF dD0k, of -  $\lambda k$ .  $\varrho$  id, OF dsr] dsr by auto
{
  fix k
  have matrix-sum d ( $\lambda k$ . D0 k  $\varrho$ ) (Suc k) = (D0 0  $\varrho$ ) + matrix-sum d ( $\lambda k$ . D0
(Suc k)  $\varrho$ ) k
  using matrix-sum-shift-Suc[of -  $\lambda k$ . D0 k  $\varrho$ ] dD0k[OF dsr] by fastforce
  also have ... = M0 *  $\varrho$  * adjoint M0 + matrix-sum d ( $\lambda k$ . D0 k (DS (M1 *
 $\varrho$  * adjoint M1))) k
  unfolding D0-def by auto
  finally have matrix-sum d ( $\lambda k$ . D0 k  $\varrho$ ) (Suc k) = M0 *  $\varrho$  * adjoint M0 +
matrix-sum d ( $\lambda k$ . D0 k (DS (M1 *  $\varrho$  * adjoint M1))) k.
}
note eqk = this

have dr:  $\varrho \in$  carrier-mat d d and pdor: partial-density-operator  $\varrho$  using den-
sity-states-def dsr by auto
then have M1 *  $\varrho$  * adjoint M1  $\in$  carrier-mat d d and partial-density-operator
(M1 *  $\varrho$  * adjoint M1)
using dM1 dr pdo-close-under-measurement[OF dM1 dr pdor M1leq] by auto
then have dSM1r: (DS (M1 *  $\varrho$  * adjoint M1))  $\in$  carrier-mat d d and pdoSM1r:
partial-density-operator (DS (M1 *  $\varrho$  * adjoint M1))
unfolding DS-def using denote-dim-pdo[OF wcs] by auto

```

have *limit-mat* (*matrix-sum* d ($\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho$)) (*DW* ϱ) d **unfolding** *M0-def* *M1-def* *D0-def* *DS-def* *DW-def*
using *limit-mat-denote-while-n*[*OF* *wc* *dr* *pdor*] **by** *auto*
then have *liml*: *limit-mat* ($\lambda k. \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho) (Suc\ k)$) (*DW* ϱ) d
using *limit-mat-ignore-initial-segment*[*of* *matrix-sum* d ($\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho$) *DW* ϱ d 1] **by** *auto*

have *dM0r*: $M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **using** *dM0* *dr* **by** *fastforce*
have *limit-mat* (*matrix-sum* d ($\lambda k. D0\ k\ (DS\ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))$)) (*DW* ($DS\ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1)$)) d
using *limit-mat-denote-while-n*[*OF* *wc* *dSM1r* *pdoSM1r*] **unfolding** *M0-def* *M1-def* *D0-def* *DS-def* *DW-def* **by** *auto*
then have
limr: *limit-mat*
(*mat-add-seq* ($M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0$) (*matrix-sum* d ($\lambda k. D0\ k\ (DS\ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))$))
($M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0 + (DW\ (DS\ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1)))$)
 d
using *mat-add-limit*[*OF* *dM0r*] **by** *auto*
moreover have
($\lambda k. \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho) (Suc\ k)$)
= (*mat-add-seq* ($M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0$) (*matrix-sum* d ($\lambda k. D0\ k\ (DS\ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))$))
using *eqk* *mat-add-seq-def* **by** *auto*
ultimately have
limit-mat
($\lambda k. \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho) (Suc\ k)$)
($M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0 + (DW\ (DS\ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1)))$)
 d **by** *auto*
with *liml* *limit-mat-unique* **have**
 $DW\ \varrho = (M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0 + (DW\ (DS\ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))))$ **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **unfolding** *DW-def* *M0-def* *M1-def* *DS-def* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *wlp-while-split*:

assumes *wc*: *well-com* (*While* $M\ S$) **and** *qpP*: *is-quantum-predicate* P
shows $wlp\ (While\ M\ S)\ P = \text{adjoint } (M\ 0) * P * (M\ 0) + \text{adjoint } (M\ 1) * (wlp\ S\ (wlp\ (While\ M\ S)\ P)) * (M\ 1)$
proof –
have *m*: *measurement* $d\ \geq\ M$ **using** *wc* **by** *auto*
have *wcs*: *well-com* S **using** *wc* **by** *auto*
define $M0$ **where** $M0 = M\ 0$
define $M1$ **where** $M1 = M\ 1$
have *dM0*: $M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **and** *dM1*: $M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **using** *m* *measurement-def* *M0-def* *M1-def* **by** *auto*
have *M1leq*: $\text{adjoint } M1 * M1 \leq_L\ 1_m\ d$ **using** *measurement-le-one-mat* *m* *M1-def* **by** *auto*
define DS **where** $DS = \text{denote } S$
define $D0$ **where** $D0 = \text{denote-while-n } M0\ M1\ DS$

```

define  $D1$  where  $D1 = \text{denote-while-n-comp } M0\ M1\ DS$ 
define  $D$  where  $D = \text{denote-while-n-iter } M0\ M1\ DS$ 
define  $DW$  where  $DW\ \rho = \text{denote } (\text{While } M\ S)\ \rho$  for  $\rho$ 

have  $dP$ :  $P \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$  using  $qpP$  is-quantum-predicate-def by auto
have  $qpWP$ : is-quantum-predicate ( $wlp\ (\text{While } M\ S)\ P$ ) using  $qpP$  wc wlp-close[OF wc qpP] by auto
then have is-quantum-predicate ( $wlp\ S\ (wlp\ (\text{While } M\ S)\ P)$ ) using wc wlp-close[OF wcs] by auto
then have  $dWWP$ : ( $wlp\ S\ (wlp\ (\text{While } M\ S)\ P)$ )  $\in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$  using is-quantum-predicate-def by auto
have  $dWP$ : ( $wlp\ (\text{While } M\ S)\ P$ )  $\in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$  using  $qpWP$  is-quantum-predicate-def by auto
{
  fix  $\rho$  assume  $dSr$ :  $\rho \in \text{density-states}$ 
  then have  $dr$ :  $\rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$  and  $pdor$ : partial-density-operator  $\rho$  using density-states-def by auto
  have  $dsM1r$ :  $M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1 \in \text{density-states}$  unfolding density-states-def
    using pdo-close-under-measurement[OF dM1 dr pdor]  $M1 \leq dM1\ dr$  by fastforce
  then have  $dsDSM1r$ :  $DS\ (M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1) \in \text{density-states}$  unfolding density-states-def DS-def
    using denote-dim-pdo[OF wcs] by auto
  have  $dM0r$ :  $M0 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$  using  $dM0\ dr$  by fastforce
  have  $dDWDSM1r$ :  $DW\ (DS\ (M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1)) \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ 
    unfolding DW-def using denote-dim[OF wc]  $dsDSM1r$  density-states-def by auto

  have  $eq2$ :  $\text{trace } ((wlp\ (\text{While } M\ S)\ P) * DS\ (M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1))$ 
     $= \text{trace } (P * (DW\ (DS\ (M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1)))) + \text{trace } (DS\ (M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1))$ 
     $- \text{trace } (DW\ (DS\ (M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1)))$ 
    unfolding DW-def using wlp-soundness[OF wc qpP]  $dsDSM1r$  by auto
  have  $eq3$ :  $\text{trace } (M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1) = \text{trace } \rho - \text{trace } (M0 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M0)$ 
    unfolding M0-def M1-def using  $m$  trace-measurement2[OF m dr]  $dr$  by (simp add: algebra-simps)

  have  $\text{trace } (\text{adjoint } M1 * (wlp\ S\ (wlp\ (\text{While } M\ S)\ P)) * M1 * \rho)$ 
     $= \text{trace } ((wlp\ S\ (wlp\ (\text{While } M\ S)\ P)) * (M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1))$  using  $dWWP\ dM1\ dr$  by (mat-assoc d)
  also have  $\dots = \text{trace } ((wlp\ (\text{While } M\ S)\ P) * DS\ (M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1))$ 
     $+ \text{trace } (M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1) - \text{trace } (DS\ (M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1))$ 
    unfolding DS-def using wlp-soundness[OF wcs qpWP]  $dsM1r$  by auto
  also have  $\dots = \text{trace } (P * (DW\ (DS\ (M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1))))$ 
     $+ \text{trace } (M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1) - \text{trace } (DW\ (DS\ (M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1)))$ 
    using  $eq2$  by auto
  also have  $\dots = \text{trace } (P * (DW\ (DS\ (M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1)))) + \text{trace } \rho -$ 
     $(\text{trace } (M0 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M0) + \text{trace } (DW\ (DS\ (M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1))))$ 

```

using *eq3* **by** *auto*
finally have *eq4*: $\text{trace} (\text{adjoint } M1 * (\text{wlp } S (\text{wlp } (\text{While } M S) P)) * M1 * \varrho)$
 $= \text{trace} (P * (\text{DW } (\text{DS } (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1)))) + \text{trace } \varrho - (\text{trace} (M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0) + \text{trace} (\text{DW } (\text{DS } (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))))$.
have $\text{trace} (\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 * \varrho) + \text{trace} (P * (\text{DW } (\text{DS } (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))))$
 $= \text{trace} (P * ((M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0) + (\text{DW } (\text{DS } (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))))$
using *dP dr dM0 dDWDSM1r* **by** (*mat-assoc d*)
also have $\dots = \text{trace} (P * (\text{DW } \varrho))$ **unfolding** *DW-def M0-def M1-def DS-def*
using *denote-while-split[OF wc dsr]* **by** *auto*
finally have *eq5*: $\text{trace} (\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 * \varrho) + \text{trace} (P * (\text{DW } (\text{DS } (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1)))) = \text{trace} (P * (\text{DW } \varrho))$.
have $\text{trace} (M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0) + \text{trace} (\text{DW } (\text{DS } (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1)))$
 $= \text{trace} (M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0 + (\text{DW } (\text{DS } (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))))$
using *dr dM0 dDWDSM1r* **by** (*mat-assoc d*)
also have $\dots = \text{trace} (\text{DW } \varrho)$
unfolding *DW-def DS-def M0-def M1-def denote-while-split[OF wc dsr]* **by** *auto*
finally have *eq6*: $\text{trace} (M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0) + \text{trace} (\text{DW } (\text{DS } (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))) = \text{trace} (\text{DW } \varrho)$.
from *eq5 eq4 eq6* **have**
eq7: $\text{trace} (\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 * \varrho) + \text{trace} (\text{adjoint } M1 * \text{wlp } S (\text{wlp } (\text{While } M S) P) * M1 * \varrho)$
 $= \text{trace} (P * \text{DW } \varrho) + \text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace} (\text{DW } \varrho)$ **by** *auto*
have *eq8*: $\text{trace} (\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 * \varrho) + \text{trace} (\text{adjoint } M1 * \text{wlp } S (\text{wlp } (\text{While } M S) P) * M1 * \varrho)$
 $= \text{trace} ((\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * \text{wlp } S (\text{wlp } (\text{While } M S) P) * M1) * \varrho)$
using *dM0 dM1 dr dP dWWP* **by** (*mat-assoc d*)
from *eq7 eq8* **have**
eq9: $\text{trace} ((\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * \text{wlp } S (\text{wlp } (\text{While } M S) P) * M1) * \varrho) = \text{trace} (P * \text{DW } \varrho) + \text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace} (\text{DW } \varrho)$ **by** *auto*
have *eq10*: $\text{trace} ((\text{wlp } (\text{While } M S) P) * \varrho) = \text{trace} (P * \text{DW } \varrho) + \text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace} (\text{DW } \varrho)$
unfolding *DW-def* **using** *wlp-soundness[OF wc qpP]* *dsr* **by** *auto*
with *eq9* **have** $\text{trace} ((\text{wlp } (\text{While } M S) P) * \varrho) = \text{trace} ((\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * \text{wlp } S (\text{wlp } (\text{While } M S) P) * M1) * \varrho)$ **by** *auto*
}
then have $(\text{wlp } (\text{While } M S) P) = (\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * \text{wlp } S (\text{wlp } (\text{While } M S) P) * M1)$
using *trace-pdo-eq-imp-eq[OF dWP, of adjoint M0 * P * M0 + adjoint M1 * wlp S (wlp (While M S) P) * M1]*
dM0 dP dM1 dWWP density-states-def **by** *fastforce*
then show *?thesis* **using** *M0-def M1-def* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *wlp-is-weakest-liberal-precondition*:
assumes *well-com S and is-quantum-predicate P*
shows *is-weakest-liberal-precondition (wlp S P) S P*
unfolding *is-weakest-liberal-precondition-def*
proof (*auto*)
show *qpWP: is-quantum-predicate (wlp S P) using wlp-close assms by auto*
have *eq: trace (wlp S P * ρ) = trace (P * (denote S ρ)) + trace ρ - trace (denote S ρ) if dsr: ρ ∈ density-states for ρ*
using *wlp-soundness assms dsr by auto*
then show $\vdash_p \{wlp\ S\ P\} S \{P\}$ **unfolding** *hoare-partial-correct-def by auto*
fix *Q assume qpQ: is-quantum-predicate Q and p: $\vdash_p \{Q\} S \{P\}$*
{
fix *ρ assume dsr: ρ ∈ density-states*
then have $trace\ (Q * \rho) \leq trace\ (P * (denote\ S\ \rho)) + trace\ \rho - trace\ (denote\ S\ \rho)$
using *hoare-partial-correct-def p by (auto simp: less-eq-complex-def)*
then have $trace\ (Q * \rho) \leq trace\ (wlp\ S\ P * \rho)$ **using** *eq[symmetric] dsr by auto*
}
then show $Q \leq_L wlp\ S\ P$ **using** *lower-le-trace density-states-def qpQ qpWP is-quantum-predicate-def by auto*
qed

6.2 Hoare triples for partial correctness

inductive *hoare-partial :: complex mat ⇒ com ⇒ complex mat ⇒ bool* (\vdash_p $\langle \{(1-)\} / (-) / \{(1-)\} \rangle$ 50) **where**
is-quantum-predicate P ⇒ $\vdash_p \{P\} SKIP \{P\}$
 $|$ *is-quantum-predicate P ⇒ $\vdash_p \{adjoint\ U * P * U\} Utrans\ U \{P\}$*
 $|$ *is-quantum-predicate P ⇒ is-quantum-predicate Q ⇒ is-quantum-predicate R*
 \implies
 $\vdash_p \{P\} S1 \{Q\} \implies \vdash_p \{Q\} S2 \{R\} \implies$
 $\vdash_p \{P\} Seq\ S1\ S2 \{R\}$
 $|$ $(\bigwedge k. k < n \implies is-quantum-predicate\ (P\ k)) \implies is-quantum-predicate\ Q \implies$
 $(\bigwedge k. k < n \implies \vdash_p \{P\ k\} S\ !\ k\ \{Q\}) \implies$
 $\vdash_p \{matrix-sum\ d\ (\lambda k. adjoint\ (M\ k) * P\ k * M\ k)\ n\} Measure\ n\ M\ S\ \{Q\}$
 $|$ *is-quantum-predicate P ⇒ is-quantum-predicate Q ⇒*
 $\vdash_p \{Q\} S \{adjoint\ (M\ 0) * P * M\ 0 + adjoint\ (M\ 1) * Q * M\ 1\} \implies$
 $\vdash_p \{adjoint\ (M\ 0) * P * M\ 0 + adjoint\ (M\ 1) * Q * M\ 1\} While\ M\ S \{P\}$
 $|$ *is-quantum-predicate P ⇒ is-quantum-predicate Q ⇒ is-quantum-predicate P'*
 $\implies is-quantum-predicate\ Q' \implies$
 $P \leq_L P' \implies \vdash_p \{P'\} S \{Q'\} \implies Q' \leq_L Q \implies \vdash_p \{P\} S \{Q\}$

theorem *hoare-partial-sound*:

$\vdash_p \{P\} S \{Q\} \implies well-com\ S \implies \vdash_p \{P\} S \{Q\}$

proof (*induction rule: hoare-partial.induct*)

case (*1 P*)

then show *?case*

```

    unfolding hoare-partial-correct-def by auto
next
  case (2 P U)
  then have dU:  $U \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  and unitary U and dP:  $P \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ 
  using is-quantum-predicate-def by auto
  then have uU:  $\text{adjoint } U * U = 1_m \ d$  using unitary-def by auto
  show ?case
    unfolding hoare-partial-correct-def denote.simps(2)
  proof
    fix  $\rho$  assume  $\rho \in \text{density-states}$ 
    then have dr:  $\rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  using density-states-def by auto
    have e1:  $\text{trace } (U * \rho * \text{adjoint } U) = \text{trace } ((\text{adjoint } U * U) * \rho)$ 
      using dr dU by (mat-assoc d)
    also have ... =  $\text{trace } \rho$ 
      using uU dr by auto
    finally have e1:  $\text{trace } (U * \rho * \text{adjoint } U) = \text{trace } \rho$  .
    have e2:  $\text{trace } (P * (U * \rho * \text{adjoint } U)) = \text{trace } (\text{adjoint } U * P * U * \rho)$ 
      using dU dP dr by (mat-assoc d)
    with e1 have  $\text{trace } (P * (U * \rho * \text{adjoint } U)) + (\text{trace } \rho - \text{trace } (U * \rho * \text{adjoint } U)) = \text{trace } (\text{adjoint } U * P * U * \rho)$ 
      using e1 by auto
    then show  $\text{trace } (\text{adjoint } U * P * U * \rho) \leq \text{trace } (P * (U * \rho * \text{adjoint } U)) + (\text{trace } \rho - \text{trace } (U * \rho * \text{adjoint } U))$ 
      by auto
    qed
  next
  case (3 P Q R S1 S2)
  then have wc1:  $\models_P \{P\} \ S1 \ \{Q\}$  and wc2:  $\models_P \{Q\} \ S2 \ \{R\}$  by auto
  show ?case
    unfolding hoare-partial-correct-def denote.simps(3)
  proof clarify
    fix  $\rho$  assume  $\rho \in \text{density-states}$ 
    have 1:  $\text{trace } (P * \rho) \leq \text{trace } (Q * \text{denote } S1 \ \rho) + (\text{trace } \rho - \text{trace } (\text{denote } S1 \ \rho))$ 
      using wc1 hoare-partial-correct-def  $\rho$  by auto
    have  $\rho' : \text{denote } S1 \ \rho \in \text{density-states}$ 
      using 3(8) denote-density-states  $\rho$  by auto
    have 2:  $\text{trace } (Q * \text{denote } S1 \ \rho) \leq \text{trace } (R * \text{denote } S2 \ (\text{denote } S1 \ \rho)) + (\text{trace } (\text{denote } S1 \ \rho) - \text{trace } (\text{denote } S2 \ (\text{denote } S1 \ \rho)))$ 
      using wc2 hoare-partial-correct-def  $\rho'$  by auto
    show  $\text{trace } (P * \rho) \leq \text{trace } (R * \text{denote } S2 \ (\text{denote } S1 \ \rho)) + (\text{trace } \rho - \text{trace } (\text{denote } S2 \ (\text{denote } S1 \ \rho)))$ 
      using 1 2 by (auto simp: less-eq-complex-def)
    qed
  next
  case (4 n P Q S M)
  then have wc:  $k < n \implies \text{well-com } (S!k)$ 
    and c:  $k < n \implies \models_P \{P \ k\} \ (S!k) \ \{Q\}$  and m:  $\text{measurement } d \ n \ M$ 
    and dMk:  $k < n \implies M \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ 
    and aMMkleg:  $k < n \implies \text{adjoint } (M \ k) * M \ k \leq_L 1_m \ d$ 

```

```

and dPk:  $k < n \implies P k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ 
and dQ:  $Q \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ 
for  $k$  using is-quantum-predicate-def measurement-def measure-well-com measurement-le-one-mat by auto

{
  fix  $\varrho$  assume  $\varrho: \varrho \in \text{density-states}$ 
  then have  $dr: \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  and  $pdor: \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho$  using
density-states-def by auto
  have  $dSr: k < n \implies (M k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k) \in \text{density-states}$  for  $k$ 
unfolding density-states-def
  using  $dMk$  pdo-close-under-measurement[OF dMk dr pdor aMMkleq]  $dr$  by
fastforce
  then have  $leqk: k < n \implies \text{trace } ((P k) * ((M k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k))) \leq$ 
 $\text{trace } (Q * (\text{denote } (S!k) ((M k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k)))) +$ 
 $(\text{trace } ((M k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k)) - \text{trace } (\text{denote } (S!k) ((M k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k))))$  for  $k$ 
  using c unfolding hoare-partial-correct-def by auto
  have  $k < n \implies M k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  for  $k$  using  $dMk$ 
 $dr$  by fastforce
  then have  $dsMrk: k < n \implies \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. (M k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k)))$ 
 $k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  for  $k$ 
  using matrix-sum-dim[of k λk. (M k * ρ * adjoint (M k)) d] by fastforce
  have  $k < n \implies \text{adjoint } (M k) * P k * M k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  for  $k$  using
 $dMk$   $dPk$  by fastforce
  then have  $dsMP: k < n \implies \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M k) * P k * M k)$ 
 $k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  for  $k$ 
  using matrix-sum-dim[of k λk. adjoint (M k) * P k * M k d] by fastforce
  have  $dSMrk: k < n \implies \text{denote } (S!k) (M k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k)) \in \text{carrier-mat}$ 
 $d \ d$  for  $k$ 
  using denote-dim[OF wc, of k M k * ρ * adjoint (M k)] dSr density-states-def
by fastforce
  have  $dsSMrk: k < n \implies \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. \text{denote } (S!k) (M k * \varrho * \text{adjoint}$ 
 $(M k)))$   $k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  for  $k$ 
  using matrix-sum-dim[of k λk. denote (S!k) (M k * ρ * adjoint (M k)) d,
 $OF dSMrk]$  by fastforce
  have  $k \leq n \implies$ 
 $\text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M k) * P k * M k) k * \varrho)$ 
 $\leq \text{trace } (Q * (\text{denote } (\text{Measure } k \ M \ S) \ \varrho)) + (\text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. (M$ 
 $k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k)) k) - \text{trace } (\text{denote } (\text{Measure } k \ M \ S) \ \varrho))$  for  $k$ 
  unfolding denote-measure-expand[OF - 4(5)]
  proof (induct k)
  case  $0$ 
  then show ?case using  $dQ$   $dr$   $pdor$  partial-density-operator-def positive-trace
by auto
  next
  case (Suc k)
  then have  $k: k < n$  by auto
  have  $eq1: \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M k) * P k * M k) (\text{Suc } k) *$ 

```

ϱ)
 $= \text{trace } (\text{adjoint } (M k) * P k * M k * \varrho) + \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M k) * P k * M k) k * \varrho)$
unfolding *matrix-sum.simps*
using *dMk[OF k] dPk[OF k] dr dsMP[OF k]* **by** (*mat-assoc d*)

have *trace (adjoint (M k) * P k * M k * \varrho) = trace (P k * (M k * \varrho * adjoint (M k)))*
using *dMk[OF k] dPk[OF k] dr* **by** (*mat-assoc d*)
also have $\dots \leq \text{trace } (Q * (\text{denote } (S!k) ((M k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k)))) +$
 $(\text{trace } ((M k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k)) - \text{trace } (\text{denote } (S!k) ((M k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k))))$ **using** *leqk k* **by** *auto*
finally have *eq2: trace (adjoint (M k) * P k * M k * \varrho) \leq trace (Q * (denote (S!k) ((M k) * \varrho * adjoint (M k)))) +*
 $(\text{trace } ((M k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k)) - \text{trace } (\text{denote } (S!k) ((M k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k))))$.

have *eq3: trace (Q * matrix-sum d (\lambda k. denote (S!k) (M k * \varrho * adjoint (M k))) (Suc k))*
 $= \text{trace } (Q * (\text{denote } (S!k) (M k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k)))) + \text{trace } (Q * \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. \text{denote } (S!k) (M k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k))) k)$
unfolding *matrix-sum.simps*
using *dQ dSMrk[OF k] dsSMrk[OF k]* **by** (*mat-assoc d*)

have *eq4: trace (denote (S!k) (M k * \varrho * adjoint (M k))) + matrix-sum d (\lambda k. denote (S!k) (M k * \varrho * adjoint (M k))) k*
 $= \text{trace } (\text{denote } (S!k) (M k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k))) + \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. \text{denote } (S!k) (M k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k))) k)$
using *dSMrk[OF k] dsSMrk[OF k]* **by** (*mat-assoc d*)

show *?case*
apply (*subst eq1*) **apply** (*subst eq3*)
apply (*simp del: less-eq-complex-def*)
apply (*subst trace-add-linear[of M k * \varrho * adjoint (M k) d matrix-sum d (\lambda k. M k * \varrho * adjoint (M k)) k]*)
apply (*simp add: dMk adjoint-dim[OF dMk] dr mult-carrier-mat[of - d d - d] k*)
apply (*simp add: dsMrk k*)
apply (*subst eq4*)
apply (*insert eq2 Suc(1) k, fastforce simp: less-eq-complex-def*)
done
qed
then have *leq: trace (matrix-sum d (\lambda k. adjoint (M k) * P k * M k) n * \varrho)*
 $\leq \text{trace } (Q * \text{denote } (\text{Measure } n M S) \varrho) +$
 $(\text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. (M k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k)) n) - \text{trace } (\text{denote } (\text{Measure } n M S) \varrho))$
by *auto*
have *trace (matrix-sum d (\lambda k. (M k) * \varrho * adjoint (M k)) n) = trace \varrho* **using** *trace-measurement m dr* **by** *auto*

```

    with leq have trace (matrix-sum d (λk. adjoint (M k) * P k * M k) n * ρ)
      ≤ trace (Q * denote (Measure n M S) ρ) + (trace ρ - trace (denote (Measure
n M S) ρ))
    unfolding denote-measure-def by auto
  }
  then show ?case unfolding hoare-partial-correct-def by auto
next
case (5 P Q S M)
define M0 where M0 = M 0
define M1 where M1 = M 1
from 5 have wcs: well-com S and c: ⊨p {Q} S {adjoint M0 * P * M0 + adjoint
M1 * Q * M1}
and m: measurement d 2 M
and dM0: M0 ∈ carrier-mat d d and dM1: M1 ∈ carrier-mat d d
and dP: P ∈ carrier-mat d d and dQ: Q ∈ carrier-mat d d
and qpQ: is-quantum-predicate Q
and wc: well-com (While M S)
using measurement-def is-quantum-predicate-def M0-def M1-def by auto
then have M0leq: adjoint M0 * M0 ≤L 1m d and M1leq: adjoint M1 * M1 ≤L
1m d using measurement-le-one-mat[OF m] M0-def M1-def by auto
define DS where DS = denote S

have ∀ ρ ∈ density-states. trace (Q * ρ) ≤ trace ((adjoint M0 * P * M0 + adjoint
M1 * Q * M1) * DS ρ) + trace ρ - trace (DS ρ)
using hoare-partial-correct-def[of Q S adjoint M0 * P * M0 + adjoint M1 *
Q * M1] c DS-def
by (auto simp: less-eq-complex-def)
define D0 where D0 = denote-while-n M0 M1 DS
define D1 where D1 = denote-while-n-comp M0 M1 DS
define D where D = denote-while-n-iter M0 M1 DS
{
  fix ρ assume dsr: ρ ∈ density-states
  then have dr: ρ ∈ carrier-mat d d and pr: positive ρ and pdor: partial-density-operator
ρ
  using density-states-def partial-density-operator-def by auto
  have pdoDkr: ∧k. partial-density-operator (D k ρ) unfolding D-def
  using pdo-denote-while-n-iter[OF dr pdor dM1 M1leq]
  denote-partial-density-operator[OF wcs] denote-dim[OF wcs, folded DS-def]
  apply (fold DS-def) by auto
  then have pDkr: ∧k. positive (D k ρ) unfolding partial-density-operator-def
by auto
  have dDkr: ∧k. D k ρ ∈ carrier-mat d d
  using denote-while-n-iter-dim[OF dr pdor dM1 M1leq denote-dim-pdo[OF wcs,
folded DS-def], of id M0, simplified, folded D-def] by auto
  then have dD0kr: ∧k. D0 k ρ ∈ carrier-mat d d unfolding D0-def de-
note-while-n.simps apply (fold D-def) using dM0 by auto
  then have dPD0kr: ∧k. P * (D0 k ρ) ∈ carrier-mat d d using dP by auto
  have ∧k. positive (D0 k ρ) unfolding D0-def denote-while-n.simps

```

by (fold *D-def*, rule *positive-close-under-left-right-mult-adjoint*[*OF dM0 dDkr pDkr*])
then have $\text{trge0: } \bigwedge k. \text{trace } (D0\ k\ \varrho) \geq 0$ **using** *positive-trace dD0kr* **by** *blast*
have *DSr*: $\varrho \in \text{density-states} \implies DS\ \varrho \in \text{density-states}$ **for** ϱ **unfolding** *DS-def density-states-def*
using *denote-partial-density-operator denote-dim wcs* **by** *auto*
have *dsD1nr*: $D1\ n\ \varrho \in \text{density-states}$ **for** n **unfolding** *D1-def denote-while-n-comp.simps*

apply (fold *D-def*) **unfolding** *density-states-def*
apply (*auto*)
apply (*insert dDkr dM1 adjoint-dim*[*OF dM1*], *auto*)
apply (*rule pdo-close-under-measurement*[*OF dM1 spec*[*OF allI*[*OF dDkr*], of $\lambda x. n$] *spec*[*OF allI*[*OF pdoDkr*], of $\lambda x. n$] *M1leq*])
done

have *leQn*: $\text{trace } (Q * D1\ n\ \varrho) \leq \text{trace } (P * D0\ (Suc\ n)\ \varrho) + \text{trace } (Q * D1\ (Suc\ n)\ \varrho) + \text{trace } (D1\ n\ \varrho) - \text{trace } (D\ (Suc\ n)\ \varrho)$ **for** n
proof –
have ($\forall \varrho \in \text{density-states}. \text{trace } (Q * \varrho) \leq \text{trace } ((\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * Q * M1) * \text{denote } S\ \varrho) + (\text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace } (\text{denote } S\ \varrho)))$)
using *c hoare-partial-correct-def* **by** *auto*
then have *leQn'*: $\text{trace } (Q * (D1\ n\ \varrho)) \leq \text{trace } ((\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * Q * M1) * (DS\ (D1\ n\ \varrho))) + (\text{trace } (D1\ n\ \varrho) - \text{trace } (DS\ (D1\ n\ \varrho)))$
using *dsD1nr*[*of n*] *DS-def* **by** *auto*
have $(DS\ (D1\ n\ \varrho)) \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **unfolding** *DS-def* **using** *denote-dim*[*OF wcs*] *dsD1nr density-states-def* **by** *auto*
then have $\text{trace } ((\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * Q * M1) * (DS\ (D1\ n\ \varrho))) = \text{trace } (P * (M0 * (DS\ (D1\ n\ \varrho)) * \text{adjoint } M0)) + \text{trace } (Q * (M1 * (DS\ (D1\ n\ \varrho)) * \text{adjoint } M1))$ **using** *dP dQ dM0 dM1*
by (*mat-assoc d*)
moreover have $\text{trace } (P * (M0 * (DS\ (D1\ n\ \varrho)) * \text{adjoint } M0)) = \text{trace } (P * D0\ (Suc\ n)\ \varrho)$
unfolding *D0-def denote-while-n.simps*
apply (*subst denote-while-n-iter-assoc*)
by (*fold denote-while-n-comp.simps D1-def*, *auto*)
moreover have $\text{trace } (Q * (M1 * (DS\ (D1\ n\ \varrho)) * \text{adjoint } M1)) = \text{trace } (Q * D1\ (Suc\ n)\ \varrho)$
apply (*subst* (2) *D1-def*) **unfolding** *denote-while-n-comp.simps*
apply (*subst denote-while-n-iter-assoc*)
by (*fold denote-while-n-comp.simps D1-def*, *auto*)
ultimately have $\text{trace } ((\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * Q * M1) * (DS\ (D1\ n\ \varrho))) = \text{trace } (P * D0\ (Suc\ n)\ \varrho) + \text{trace } (Q * D1\ (Suc\ n)\ \varrho)$ **by** *auto*
moreover have $\text{trace } (DS\ (D1\ n\ \varrho)) = \text{trace } (D\ (Suc\ n)\ \varrho)$
unfolding *D-def*

```

apply (subst denote-while-n-iter-assoc)
by (fold denote-while-n-comp.simps D1-def, auto)
ultimately show ?thesis using leQn' by (auto simp: less-eq-complex-def)
qed

have 12: trace (P * (M0 * ρ * adjoint M0)) + trace (Q * (M1 * ρ * adjoint
M1))
  ≤ ( $\sum k=0..<(n+2). \text{trace } (P * (D0\ k\ \rho))$ ) +  $\text{trace } (Q * (D1\ (n+1)\ \rho))$ 
  + ( $\sum k=0..<(n+1). \text{trace } (D1\ k\ \rho) - \text{trace } (D\ (k+1)\ \rho)$ ) for n
proof (induct n)
  case 0
  show ?case apply (simp del: less-eq-complex-def)
  unfolding D0-def D1-def D-def denote-while-n-comp.simps denote-while-n.simps
denote-while-n-iter.simps
  using leQn[of 0] unfolding D1-def D0-def D-def denote-while-n.simps
denote-while-n-comp.simps denote-while-n-iter.simps
  by (auto simp: less-eq-complex-def)
next
  case (Suc n)
  have  $\text{trace } (Q * D1\ (n + 1)\ \rho)$ 
    ≤  $\text{trace } (P * D0\ (Suc\ (Suc\ n))\ \rho) + \text{trace } (Q * D1\ (Suc\ (Suc\ n))\ \rho)$ 
    +  $\text{trace } (D1\ (Suc\ n)\ \rho) - \text{trace } (D\ (Suc\ (Suc\ n))\ \rho)$  using leQn[of n +
1] by auto
  with Suc show ?case apply (simp del: less-eq-complex-def) by (auto simp:
less-eq-complex-def)
qed

have tr-measurement: ρ ∈ carrier-mat d d
  ⇒  $\text{trace } (M0 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M0) + \text{trace } (M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1) = \text{trace } \rho$ 
for ρ
  using trace-measurement2[OF m, folded M0-def M1-def] by auto

have 14: ( $\sum k=0..<(n+1). \text{trace } (D1\ k\ \rho) - \text{trace } (D\ (k+1)\ \rho)$ ) = \text{trace } \rho -
trace (D (n+1) ρ) - ( $\sum k=0..<(n+1). \text{trace } (D0\ k\ \rho)$ ) for n
proof (induct n)
  case 0
  show ?case apply (simp) unfolding D1-def D0-def denote-while-n-comp.simps
denote-while-n.simps denote-while-n-iter.simps
  using tr-measurement[OF dr] by (auto simp add: algebra-simps)
next
  case (Suc n)
  have  $\text{trace } (D0\ (Suc\ n)\ \rho) + \text{trace } (D1\ (Suc\ n)\ \rho) = \text{trace } (D\ (Suc\ n)\ \rho)$ 
  unfolding D0-def D1-def denote-while-n.simps denote-while-n-comp.simps
apply (fold D-def)
  using tr-measurement dDkr by auto
  then have  $\text{trace } (D1\ (Suc\ n)\ \rho) = \text{trace } (D\ (Suc\ n)\ \rho) - \text{trace } (D0\ (Suc\ n)$ 
ρ)
  by (auto simp add: algebra-simps)
  then show ?case using Suc by simp

```

qed

have 15: $\text{trace } (Q * (D1\ n\ \varrho)) \leq \text{trace } (D\ n\ \varrho) - \text{trace } (D0\ n\ \varrho)$ for n
proof –

have QleId: $Q \leq_L 1_m\ d$ using is-quantum-predicate-def qpQ by auto

then have $\text{trace } (Q * (D1\ n\ \varrho)) \leq \text{trace } (1_m\ d * (D1\ n\ \varrho))$ using

dsD1nr[of n] unfolding density-states-def downer-le-trace[OF dQ one-carrier-mat]

by auto

also have $\dots = \text{trace } (D1\ n\ \varrho)$ using dsD1nr[of n] unfolding density-states-def

by auto

also have $\dots = \text{trace } (M1 * (D\ n\ \varrho) * \text{adjoint } M1)$ unfolding D1-def
denote-while-n-comp.simps D-def by auto

also have $\dots = \text{trace } (D\ n\ \varrho) - \text{trace } (M0 * (D\ n\ \varrho) * \text{adjoint } M0)$

using tr-measurement[OF dDkr[of n]] by (simp add: algebra-simps)

also have $\dots = \text{trace } (D\ n\ \varrho) - \text{trace } (D0\ n\ \varrho)$ unfolding D0-def de-
note-while-n.simps by (fold D-def, auto)

finally show ?thesis.

qed

have tmp: $\bigwedge a\ b\ c. 0 \leq a \implies b \leq c - a \implies b \leq (c::\text{complex})$

by (simp add: less-eq-complex-def)

then have 151: $\bigwedge n. \text{trace } (Q * (D1\ n\ \varrho)) \leq \text{trace } (D\ n\ \varrho)$

by (auto simp add: tmp[OF trge0 15] simp del: less-eq-complex-def)

have main-leq: $\bigwedge n. \text{trace } (P * (M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0)) + \text{trace } (Q * (M1 * \varrho$
 $* \text{adjoint } M1))$

$\leq \text{trace } (P * (\text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho)\ (n+2))) + \text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace}$
 $(\text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho)\ (n+2))$

proof –

fix n

have $(\sum k=0..<(n+2). \text{trace } (P * (D0\ k\ \varrho))) + \text{trace } (Q * (D1\ (n+1)\ \varrho))$

$+ (\sum k=0..<(n+1). \text{trace } (D1\ k\ \varrho) - \text{trace } (D\ (k+1)\ \varrho))$

$\leq (\sum k=0..<(n+2). \text{trace } (P * (D0\ k\ \varrho))) + \text{trace } (Q * (D1\ (n+1)\ \varrho))$

$+ \text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace } (D\ (n+1)\ \varrho) - (\sum k=0..<(n+1). \text{trace } (D0\ k\ \varrho))$

by (subst 14, auto)

also have

$\dots \leq (\sum k=0..<(n+2). \text{trace } (P * (D0\ k\ \varrho))) + \text{trace } (D\ (n+1)\ \varrho) - \text{trace}$
 $(D0\ (n+1)\ \varrho)$

$+ \text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace } (D\ (n+1)\ \varrho) - (\sum k=0..<(n+1). \text{trace } (D0\ k\ \varrho))$

using 15[of n+1] by (auto simp: less-eq-complex-def)

also have $\dots = (\sum k=0..<(n+2). \text{trace } (P * (D0\ k\ \varrho))) + \text{trace } \varrho -$
 $(\sum k=0..<(n+2). \text{trace } (D0\ k\ \varrho))$ by auto

also have $\dots = \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda k. (P * (D0\ k\ \varrho))\ (n+2))) + \text{trace } \varrho$
 $- (\sum k=0..<(n+2). \text{trace } (D0\ k\ \varrho))$

using trace-matrix-sum-linear[of n+2 $\lambda k. (P * (D0\ k\ \varrho))\ d$, symmetric]
dPD0kr by auto

also have $\dots = \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda k. (P * (D0\ k\ \varrho))\ (n+2))) + \text{trace } \varrho$
 $- \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho)\ (n+2))$

using trace-matrix-sum-linear[of n+2 $\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho\ d$, symmetric] dD0kr by

auto

also have $\dots = \text{trace } (P * (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho) (n+2))) + \text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho) (n+2))$

using *matrix-sum-distrib-left*[*OF dP dD0kr*, of *id n+2*] **by** *auto*

finally have

$(\sum k=0..<(n+2). \text{trace } (P * (D0\ k\ \varrho))) + \text{trace } (Q * (D1\ (n+1)\ \varrho))$
 $+ (\sum k=0..<(n+1). \text{trace } (D1\ k\ \varrho) - \text{trace } (D\ (k+1)\ \varrho))$

$\leq \text{trace } (P * (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho) (n+2))) + \text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho) (n+2)) .$

then show $\text{trace } (P * (M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0)) + \text{trace } (Q * (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))$

$\leq \text{trace } (P * (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho) (n+2))) + \text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho) (n+2))$ **using** *12*[of *n*] **by** *auto*

qed

have *limit-mat* $(\lambda n. \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho) (n))$ (*denote* (*While M S*) ϱ) *d*

using *limit-mat-denote-while-n*[*OF wc dr pdor*] **unfolding** *D0-def M0-def M1-def DS-def* **by** *auto*

then have *limp2*: *limit-mat* $(\lambda n. \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho) (n + 2))$ (*denote* (*While M S*) ϱ) *d*

using *limit-mat-ignore-initial-segment*[of $\lambda n. \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho) (n)$ (*denote* (*While M S*) ϱ) *d* 2] **by** *auto*

then have *limit-mat* $(\lambda n. (P * (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho) (n+2))))$ (*P* * (*denote* (*While M S*) ϱ)) *d*

using *mat-mult-limit*[*OF dP*] **unfolding** *mat-mult-seq-def* **by** *auto*

then have *limPm*: $(\lambda n. \text{trace } (P * (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho) (n+2)))) \longrightarrow \text{trace } (P * (\text{denote } (\text{While } M\ S)\ \varrho))$

using *mat-trace-limit* **by** *auto*

have *limm*: $(\lambda n. \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho) (n+2))) \longrightarrow \text{trace } (\text{denote } (\text{While } M\ S)\ \varrho)$

using *mat-trace-limit limp2* **by** *auto*

have *leq-lim*: $\text{trace } (P * (M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0)) + \text{trace } (Q * (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))$

$\leq \text{trace } (P * (\text{denote } (\text{While } M\ S)\ \varrho)) + \text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace } (\text{denote } (\text{While } M\ S)\ \varrho)$ (**is** *?lhs* \leq *?rhs*)

using *main-leq*

proof –

define *seq* **where** *seq* $n = \text{trace } (P * \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho) (n + 2)) - \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho) (n + 2))$ **for** n

define *seqlim* **where** *seqlim* $= \text{trace } (P * (\text{denote } (\text{While } M\ S)\ \varrho)) - \text{trace } (\text{denote } (\text{While } M\ S)\ \varrho)$

have *main-leq'*: $?lhs \leq \text{trace } \varrho + \text{seq } n$ **for** n

unfolding *seq-def* **using** *main-leq* **by** (*simp add: algebra-simps*)

have *limseq*: $\text{seq} \longrightarrow \text{seqlim}$

unfolding *seq-def seqlim-def* **using** *tendsto-diff*[*OF limPm limm*] **by** *auto*

have *limrs*: $(\lambda n. \text{trace } \varrho + \text{seq } n) \longrightarrow (\text{trace } \varrho + \text{seqlim})$ **using** *tendsto-add*[*OF - limseq*] **by** *auto*

```

have limrsRe: ( $\lambda n. \text{Re} (\text{trace } \varrho + \text{seq } n)$ )  $\longrightarrow$   $\text{Re} (\text{trace } \varrho + \text{seqlim})$  using
tendsto-Re[OF limrs] by auto
have main-leq-Re:  $\text{Re } ?lhs \leq \text{Re} (\text{trace } \varrho + \text{seq } n)$  for  $n$  using main-leq'
by (auto simp: less-eq-complex-def)
have Re:  $\text{Re } ?lhs \leq \text{Re} (\text{trace } \varrho + \text{seqlim})$ 
using Lim-bounded2[OF limrsRe] main-leq-Re by (auto simp: less-eq-complex-def)

have limrsIm: ( $\lambda n. \text{Im} (\text{trace } \varrho + \text{seq } n)$ )  $\longrightarrow$   $\text{Im} (\text{trace } \varrho + \text{seqlim})$  using
tendsto-Im[OF limrs] by auto
have main-leq-Im:  $\text{Im } ?lhs = \text{Im} (\text{trace } \varrho + \text{seq } n)$  for  $n$  using main-leq'
unfolding less-eq-complex-def by auto
then have limIm: ( $\lambda n. \text{Im} (\text{trace } \varrho + \text{seq } n)$ )  $\longrightarrow$   $\text{Im } ?lhs$  using tend-
sto-intros(1) by auto
have Im:  $\text{Im } ?lhs = \text{Im} (\text{trace } \varrho + \text{seqlim})$ 
using tendsto-unique[OF - limIm limrsIm] by auto

have  $?lhs \leq \text{trace } \varrho + \text{seqlim}$  using Re Im by (auto simp: less-eq-complex-def)
then show  $?lhs \leq ?rhs$  unfolding seqlim-def by (auto simp: less-eq-complex-def)
qed

have  $\text{trace} ((\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * Q * M1) * \varrho) =$ 
 $\text{trace} (P * (M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0)) + \text{trace} (Q * (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))$ 
using dr dM0 dM1 dP dQ by (mat-assoc d)
then have  $\text{trace} ((\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * Q * M1) * \varrho) \leq$ 
 $\text{trace} (P * (\text{denote } (\text{While } M S) \varrho)) + (\text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace} (\text{denote } (\text{While } M S)$ 
 $\varrho))$ 
using leq-lim by (auto simp: less-eq-complex-def)
}
then show ?case unfolding hoare-partial-correct-def denote.simps(5)
apply (fold M0-def M1-def DS-def D0-def D1-def) by auto
next
case ( $6 P Q P' Q' S$ )
then have wcs: well-com S and  $c: \models_p \{P'\} S \{Q'\}$ 
and  $dP: P \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$  and  $dQ: Q \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ 
and  $dP': P' \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$  and  $dQ': Q' \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ 
using is-quantum-predicate-def by auto
show ?case unfolding hoare-partial-correct-def
proof
fix  $\varrho$  assume pds:  $\varrho \in \text{density-states}$ 
then have pdor: partial-density-operator  $\varrho$  and  $dr: \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ 
using density-states-def by auto
have pdoSr: partial-density-operator ( $\text{denote } S \varrho$ )
using denote-partial-density-operator pdor dr wcs by auto
have  $dSr: \text{denote } S \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ 
using denote-dim pdor dr wcs by auto
have  $\text{trace} (P * \varrho) \leq \text{trace} (P' * \varrho)$  using lower-le-trace[OF dP dP']  $6 dr pdor$ 
by auto
also have  $\dots \leq \text{trace} (Q' * \text{denote } S \varrho) + (\text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace} (\text{denote } S \varrho))$ 

```

using *c* **unfolding** *hoare-partial-correct-def* **using** *pds* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots \leq \text{trace } (Q * \text{denote } S \varrho) + (\text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace } (\text{denote } S \varrho))$ **using**
lower-le-trace[OF dQ' dQ] 6 dSr pdoSr **by** *auto*
finally show $\text{trace } (P * \varrho) \leq \text{trace } (Q * \text{denote } S \varrho) + (\text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace } (\text{denote } S \varrho))$.
qed
qed

lemma *wlp-complete*:

well-com S \implies *is-quantum-predicate P* $\implies \vdash_p \{wlp S P\} S \{P\}$

proof (*induct S arbitrary: P*)

case *SKIP*

then show *?case* **unfolding** *wlp.simps* **using** *hoare-partial.intros* **by** *auto*

next

case (*Utrans U*)

then show *?case* **unfolding** *wlp.simps* **using** *hoare-partial.intros* **by** *auto*

next

case (*Seq S1 S2*)

then have *wc1: well-com S1* **and** *wc2: well-com S2* **and** *qpP: is-quantum-predicate P*

and *p2: $\vdash_p \{wlp S2 P\} S2 \{P\}$* **by** *auto*

have *qpW2P: is-quantum-predicate (wlp S2 P)* **using** *wlp-close[OF wc2 qpP]* **by** *auto*

then have *p1: $\vdash_p \{wlp S1 (wlp S2 P)\} S1 \{wlp S2 P\}$* **using** *Seq* **by** *auto*

have *qpW1W2P: is-quantum-predicate (wlp S1 (wlp S2 P))* **using** *wlp-close[OF wc1 qpW2P]* **by** *auto*

then show *?case* **unfolding** *wlp.simps* **using** *hoare-partial.intros qpW1W2P qpW2P qpP p1 p2* **by** *auto*

next

case (*Measure n M S*)

then have *wc: well-com (Measure n M S)* **and** *qpP: is-quantum-predicate P* **by** *auto*

have *set: $k < n \implies (S!k) \in \text{set } S$* **for** *k* **using** *wc* **by** *auto*

have *wck: $k < n \implies \text{well-com } (S!k)$* **for** *k* **using** *wc measure-well-com* **by** *auto*

then have *qpWkP: $k < n \implies \text{is-quantum-predicate } (wlp (S!k) P)$* **for** *k* **using** *wlp-close qpP* **by** *auto*

have *pk: $k < n \implies \vdash_p \{(wlp (S!k) P)\} (S!k) \{P\}$* **for** *k* **using** *Measure(1) set wck qpP* **by** *auto*

show *?case* **unfolding** *wlp-measure-expand[OF wc]* **using** *hoare-partial.intros qpWkP qpP pk* **by** *auto*

next

case (*While M S*)

then have *wc: well-com (While M S)* **and** *wcS: well-com S* **and** *qpP: is-quantum-predicate P* **by** *auto*

have *qpWP: is-quantum-predicate (wlp (While M S) P)* **using** *wlp-close[OF wc qpP]* **by** *auto*

then have *qpWWP: is-quantum-predicate (wlp S (wlp (While M S) P))* **using** *wlp-close wcS* **by** *auto*

have $\vdash_p \{wlp S (wlp (While M S) P)\} S \{wlp (While M S) P\}$ **using** *While(1)*

wcS $qpWP$ **by auto**
moreover have eq : $wlp (While\ M\ S)\ P = adjoint\ (M\ 0) * P * M\ 0 + adjoint\ (M\ 1) * wlp\ S\ (wlp\ (While\ M\ S)\ P) * M\ 1$
using wlp -while-split wc qpP **by auto**
ultimately have p : $\vdash_p \{wlp\ S\ (wlp\ (While\ M\ S)\ P)\} S \{adjoint\ (M\ 0) * P * M\ 0 + adjoint\ (M\ 1) * wlp\ S\ (wlp\ (While\ M\ S)\ P) * M\ 1\}$ **by auto**
then show $?case$ **using** $hoare$ -partial.intros(5)[OF qpP $qpWWP$ p] eq **by auto**
qed

theorem $hoare$ -partial-complete:

$\models_p \{P\} S \{Q\} \implies well\text{-com}\ S \implies is\text{-quantum-predicate}\ P \implies is\text{-quantum-predicate}\ Q \implies \vdash_p \{P\} S \{Q\}$

proof –

assume p : $\models_p \{P\} S \{Q\}$ **and** wc : $well\text{-com}\ S$ **and** qpP : $is\text{-quantum-predicate}\ P$ **and** qpQ : $is\text{-quantum-predicate}\ Q$

then have dQ : $Q \in carrier\text{-mat}\ d\ d$ **using** $is\text{-quantum-predicate-def}$ **by auto**

have $qpWP$: $is\text{-quantum-predicate}\ (wlp\ S\ Q)$ **using** wlp -close wc qpQ **by auto**

then have dWP : $wlp\ S\ Q \in carrier\text{-mat}\ d\ d$ **using** $is\text{-quantum-predicate-def}$ **by auto**

have eq : $trace\ (wlp\ S\ Q * \rho) = trace\ (Q * (denote\ S\ \rho)) + trace\ \rho - trace\ (denote\ S\ \rho)$ **if** dsr : $\rho \in density\text{-states}$ **for** ρ

using wlp -soundness wc qpQ dsr **by auto**

then have $\models_p \{wlp\ S\ Q\} S \{Q\}$ **unfolding** $hoare$ -partial-correct-def **by auto**

{

fix ρ **assume** dsr : $\rho \in density\text{-states}$

then have $trace\ (P * \rho) \leq trace\ (Q * (denote\ S\ \rho)) + trace\ \rho - trace\ (denote\ S\ \rho)$

using $hoare$ -partial-correct-def p **by** ($auto\ simp$: $less\text{-eq-complex-def}$)

then have $trace\ (P * \rho) \leq trace\ (wlp\ S\ Q * \rho)$ **using** eq [$symmetric$] dsr **by auto**

}

then have le : $P \leq_L wlp\ S\ Q$ **using** $lower$ -le-trace $density\text{-states-def}$ qpP $qpWP$ $is\text{-quantum-predicate-def}$ **by auto**

moreover have wlp : $\vdash_p \{wlp\ S\ Q\} S \{Q\}$ **using** wlp -complete wc qpQ **by auto**

ultimately show $\vdash_p \{P\} S \{Q\}$ **using** $hoare$ -partial.intros(6)[OF qpP qpQ $qpWP$ qpQ] $lower$ -le-refl[OF dQ] **by auto**

qed

6.3 Consequences of completeness

lemma $hoare$ -patial-seq- $assoc$ -sem:

shows $\models_p \{A\} (S1 ;; S2) ;; S3 \{B\} \longleftrightarrow \models_p \{A\} S1 ;; (S2 ;; S3) \{B\}$

unfolding $hoare$ -partial-correct-def $denote$.simps **by auto**

lemma $hoare$ -patial-seq- $assoc$:

assumes $well\text{-com}\ S1$ **and** $well\text{-com}\ S2$ **and** $well\text{-com}\ S3$

and $is\text{-quantum-predicate}\ A$ **and** $is\text{-quantum-predicate}\ B$

shows $\vdash_p \{A\} (S1 ;; S2) ;; S3 \{B\} \longleftrightarrow \vdash_p \{A\} S1 ;; (S2 ;; S3) \{B\}$

proof

```

assume  $\vdash_p \{A\} S1 ;; S2 ;; S3 \{B\}$ 
then have  $\models_p \{A\} (S1 ;; S2) ;; S3 \{B\}$  using hoare-partial-sound assms by
auto
then have  $\models_p \{A\} S1 ;; (S2 ;; S3) \{B\}$  using hoare-patial-seq-assoc-sem by
auto
then show  $\vdash_p \{A\} S1 ;; (S2 ;; S3) \{B\}$  using hoare-partial-complete assms by
auto
next
assume  $\vdash_p \{A\} S1 ;; (S2 ;; S3) \{B\}$ 
then have  $\models_p \{A\} S1 ;; (S2 ;; S3) \{B\}$  using hoare-partial-sound assms by auto
then have  $\models_p \{A\} S1 ;; S2 ;; S3 \{B\}$  using hoare-patial-seq-assoc-sem by auto
then show  $\vdash_p \{A\} S1 ;; S2 ;; S3 \{B\}$  using hoare-partial-complete assms by
auto
qed

end

end

```

7 Grover's algorithm

```

theory Grover
imports Partial-State Gates Quantum-Hoare
begin

```

7.1 Basic definitions

```

locale grover-state =
fixes  $n :: \text{nat}$ 
and  $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
assumes  $n: n > 1$ 
and  $\text{dim}M: \text{card} \{i. i < (2::\text{nat}) \wedge^n \wedge f i\} > 0$ 
 $\text{card} \{i. i < (2::\text{nat}) \wedge^n \wedge f i\} < (2::\text{nat}) \wedge^n$ 
begin

```

```

definition  $N$  where
 $N = (2::\text{nat}) \wedge^n$ 

```

```

definition  $M$  where
 $M = \text{card} \{i. i < N \wedge f i\}$ 

```

```

lemma N-ge-0 [simp]:  $0 < N$  by (simp add: N-def)

```

```

lemma M-ge-0 [simp]:  $0 < M$  by (simp add: M-def dimM N-def)

```

```

lemma M-neq-0 [simp]:  $M \neq 0$  by simp

```

```

lemma M-le-N [simp]:  $M < N$  by (simp add: M-def dimM N-def)

```

lemma *M-not-ge-N* [simp]: $\neg M \geq N$ **using** *M-le-N* **by** *arith*

definition ψ :: *complex vec* **where**

$\psi = \text{Matrix.vec } N (\lambda i. 1 / \text{sqrt } N)$

lemma ψ -dim [simp]:

$\psi \in \text{carrier-vec } N$

$\text{dim-vec } \psi = N$

by (*simp add: ψ -def*)⁺

lemma ψ -eval:

$i < N \implies \psi \$ i = 1 / \text{sqrt } N$

by (*simp add: ψ -def*)

lemma ψ -inner:

$\text{inner-prod } \psi \psi = 1$

apply (*simp add: ψ -eval scalar-prod-def*)

by (*smt (verit) of-nat-less-0-iff of-real-mult of-real-of-nat-eq real-sqrt-mult-self*)

lemma ψ -norm:

$\text{vec-norm } \psi = 1$

by (*simp add: ψ -eval vec-norm-def scalar-prod-def*)

definition α :: *complex vec* **where**

$\alpha = \text{Matrix.vec } N (\lambda i. \text{if } f \ i \ \text{then } 0 \ \text{else } 1 / \text{sqrt } (N - M))$

lemma α -dim [simp]:

$\alpha \in \text{carrier-vec } N$

$\text{dim-vec } \alpha = N$

by (*simp add: α -def*)⁺

lemma α -eval:

$i < N \implies \alpha \$ i = (\text{if } f \ i \ \text{then } 0 \ \text{else } 1 / \text{sqrt } (N - M))$

by (*simp add: α -def*)

lemma α -inner:

$\text{inner-prod } \alpha \alpha = 1$

apply (*simp add: scalar-prod-def α -eval*)

apply (*subst sum.mono-neutral-cong-right*[*of* $\{0..<N\}$ $\{0..<N\} - \{i. i < N \wedge f \ i\}$])

apply *auto*

apply (*subgoal-tac card* ($\{0..<N\} - \{i. i < N \wedge f \ i\} = N - M$))

subgoal by (*metis of-nat-0-le-iff of-real-of-nat-eq of-real-power power2-eq-square real-sqrt-pow2*)

unfolding *N-def M-def*

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) atLeastLessThan-iff card.infinite card-Diff-subset card-atLeastLessThan diff-zero dimM(1) mem-Collect-eq neq0-conv subsetI zero-order(1)*)

definition β :: *complex vec* **where**

$\beta = \text{Matrix.vec } N \ (\lambda i. \text{ if } f \ i \ \text{then } 1 / \text{sqrt } M \ \text{else } 0)$

lemma β -dim [simp]:

$\beta \in \text{carrier-vec } N$

$\text{dim-vec } \beta = N$

by (simp add: β -def)+

lemma β -eval:

$i < N \implies \beta \ \$ \ i = (\text{if } f \ i \ \text{then } 1 / \text{sqrt } M \ \text{else } 0)$

by (simp add: β -def)

lemma β -inner:

$\text{inner-prod } \beta \ \beta = 1$

apply (simp add: scalar-prod-def β -eval)

apply (subst sum.mono-neutral-cong-right[of $\{0..<N\}$ $\{i. i < N \wedge f \ i\}$])

apply auto

apply (fold M-def)

by (metis of-nat-0-le-iff of-real-of-nat-eq of-real-power power2-eq-square real-sqrt-pow2)

lemma alpha-beta-orth:

$\text{inner-prod } \alpha \ \beta = 0$

unfolding α -def β -def **by** (simp add: scalar-prod-def)

lemma beta-alpha-orth:

$\text{inner-prod } \beta \ \alpha = 0$

unfolding α -def β -def **by** (simp add: scalar-prod-def)

definition ϑ :: real **where**

$\vartheta = 2 * \arccos (\text{sqrt } ((N - M) / N))$

lemma cos-theta-div-2:

$\cos (\vartheta / 2) = \text{sqrt } ((N - M) / N)$

proof –

have $\vartheta / 2 = \arccos (\text{sqrt } ((N - M) / N))$ **using** ϑ -def **by** simp

then show $\cos (\vartheta / 2) = \text{sqrt } ((N - M) / N)$

by (simp add: cos-arccos-abs)

qed

lemma sin-theta-div-2:

$\sin (\vartheta / 2) = \text{sqrt } (M / N)$

proof –

have $a: \vartheta / 2 = \arccos (\text{sqrt } ((N - M) / N))$ **using** ϑ -def **by** simp

have $N: N > 0$ **using** N-def **by** auto

have $M: M < N$ **using** M-def dimM N-def **by** auto

then show $\sin (\vartheta / 2) = \text{sqrt } (M / N)$

unfolding a

apply (simp add: sin-arccos-abs)

proof –

have eq: $\text{real } (N - M) = \text{real } N - \text{real } M$ **using** N M

using M -not-ge- N nat-le-linear of-nat-diff **by** blast
have $1 - \text{real } (N - M) / \text{real } N = (\text{real } N - (\text{real } N - \text{real } M)) / \text{real } N$
unfolding eq **using** N
by (metis diff-divide-distrib divide-self-if eq gr-implies-not0 of-nat-0-eq-iff)
then show $1 - \text{real } (N - M) / \text{real } N = \text{real } M / \text{real } N$ **by** auto
qed
qed

lemma ϑ -neq-0:

$\vartheta \neq 0$
proof –
{
assume $\vartheta = 0$
then have $\vartheta / 2 = 0$ **by** auto
then have $\sin (\vartheta / 2) = 0$ **by** auto
}
note $z = \text{this}$
have $\sin (\vartheta / 2) = \text{sqrt } (M / N)$ **using** sin-theta-div-2 **by** auto
moreover have $M > 0$ **unfolding** M -def N -def **using** dimM **by** auto
ultimately have $\sin (\vartheta / 2) > 0$ **by** auto
with z **show** ?thesis **by** auto
qed

abbreviation ccos **where** $\text{ccos } \varphi \equiv \text{complex-of-real } (\cos \varphi)$

abbreviation csin **where** $\text{csin } \varphi \equiv \text{complex-of-real } (\sin \varphi)$

lemma ψ -eq:

$\psi = \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2) \cdot_v \alpha + \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2) \cdot_v \beta$
apply (simp add: cos-theta-div-2 sin-theta-div-2)
apply (rule eq-vecI)
by (auto simp add: α -def β -def ψ -def real-sqrt-divide)

lemma psi-inner-alpha:

$\text{inner-prod } \psi \alpha = \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2)$
unfolding ψ -eq
proof –
have $\text{inner-prod } (\text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2) \cdot_v \alpha) \alpha = \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2)$
apply (subst inner-prod-smult-right[of - N])
using α -dim α -inner **by** auto
moreover have $\text{inner-prod } (\text{csin } (\vartheta / 2) \cdot_v \beta) \alpha = 0$
apply (subst inner-prod-smult-right[of - N])
using α -dim β -dim beta-alpha-orth **by** auto
ultimately show $\text{inner-prod } (\text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2) \cdot_v \alpha + \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2) \cdot_v \beta) \alpha = \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2)$
apply (subst inner-prod-distrib-left[of - N])
using α -dim β -dim **by** auto
qed

lemma psi-inner-beta:

$inner-prod\ \psi\ \beta = c\sin\ (\vartheta / 2)$
unfolding $\psi\text{-eq}$
proof –
have $inner-prod\ (c\cos\ (\vartheta / 2) \cdot_v\ \alpha)\ \beta = 0$
apply (*subst inner-prod-smult-right[of - N]*)
using $\alpha\text{-dim}\ \beta\text{-dim}\ \alpha\beta\text{-orth}$ **by** *auto*
moreover have $inner-prod\ (c\sin\ (\vartheta / 2) \cdot_v\ \beta)\ \beta = c\sin\ (\vartheta / 2)$
apply (*subst inner-prod-smult-right[of - N]*)
using $\beta\text{-dim}\ \beta\text{-inner}$ **by** *auto*
ultimately show $inner-prod\ (c\cos\ (\vartheta / 2) \cdot_v\ \alpha + c\sin\ (\vartheta / 2) \cdot_v\ \beta)\ \beta = c\sin$
 $(\vartheta / 2)$
apply (*subst inner-prod-distrib-left[of - N]*)
using $\alpha\text{-dim}\ \beta\text{-dim}$ **by** *auto*
qed

definition $\alpha\text{-l} :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex}$ **where**
 $\alpha\text{-l}\ l = c\cos\ ((l + 1 / 2) * \vartheta)$

lemma $\alpha\text{-l}\text{-real}$:
 $\alpha\text{-l}\ l \in \text{Reals}$
unfolding $\alpha\text{-l}\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*

lemma $\text{cnj}\text{-}\alpha\text{-l}$:
 $\text{conjugate}\ (\alpha\text{-l}\ l) = \alpha\text{-l}\ l$
using $\alpha\text{-l}\text{-real}\ \text{Reals}\text{-cnj}\text{-iff}$ **by** *auto*

definition $\beta\text{-l} :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex}$ **where**
 $\beta\text{-l}\ l = c\sin\ ((l + 1 / 2) * \vartheta)$

lemma $\beta\text{-l}\text{-real}$:
 $\beta\text{-l}\ l \in \text{Reals}$
unfolding $\beta\text{-l}\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*

lemma $\text{cnj}\text{-}\beta\text{-l}$:
 $\text{conjugate}\ (\beta\text{-l}\ l) = \beta\text{-l}\ l$
using $\beta\text{-l}\text{-real}\ \text{Reals}\text{-cnj}\text{-iff}$ **by** *auto*

lemma $\text{csin}\text{-ccos}\text{-squared}\text{-add}$:
 $c\cos\ (a::\text{real}) * c\cos\ a + c\sin\ a * c\sin\ a = 1$
by (*smt (verit) cos-diff cos-zero of-real-add of-real-hom.hom-one of-real-mult*)

lemma $\alpha\text{-l}\text{-}\beta\text{-l}\text{-add}\text{-norm}$:
 $\alpha\text{-l}\ l * \alpha\text{-l}\ l + \beta\text{-l}\ l * \beta\text{-l}\ l = 1$
using $\alpha\text{-l}\text{-def}\ \beta\text{-l}\text{-def}\ \text{csin}\text{-ccos}\text{-squared}\text{-add}$ **by** *auto*

definition $\psi\text{-l}$ **where**
 $\psi\text{-l}\ l = (\alpha\text{-l}\ l) \cdot_v\ \alpha + (\beta\text{-l}\ l) \cdot_v\ \beta$

lemma $\psi\text{-l}\text{-dim}$:

$psi-l \in carrier-vec\ N$
unfolding $psi-l-def\ \alpha-def\ \beta-def$ **by** *auto*

lemma *inner-psi-l*:

$inner-prod\ (psi-l\ l)\ (psi-l\ l) = 1$

proof –

have $eq0: inner-prod\ (psi-l\ l)\ (psi-l\ l)$

$= inner-prod\ ((alpha-l\ l)\ \cdot_v\ \alpha)\ (psi-l\ l) + inner-prod\ ((beta-l\ l)\ \cdot_v\ \beta)\ (psi-l\ l)$

unfolding $psi-l-def$

apply (*subst inner-prod-distrib-left*)

using $\alpha-def\ \beta-def$ **by** *auto*

have $inner-prod\ ((alpha-l\ l)\ \cdot_v\ \alpha)\ (psi-l\ l)$

$= inner-prod\ ((alpha-l\ l)\ \cdot_v\ \alpha)\ ((alpha-l\ l)\ \cdot_v\ \alpha) + inner-prod\ ((alpha-l\ l)\ \cdot_v\ \alpha)\ ((beta-l\ l)\ \cdot_v\ \beta)$

unfolding $psi-l-def$

apply (*subst inner-prod-distrib-right*)

using $\alpha-def\ \beta-def$ **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = (conjugate\ (alpha-l\ l)) * (alpha-l\ l) * inner-prod\ \alpha\ \alpha$

$+ (conjugate\ (alpha-l\ l)) * (beta-l\ l) * inner-prod\ \alpha\ \beta$

apply (*subst (1 2) inner-prod-smult-left-right*) **using** $\alpha-def\ \beta-def$ **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = conjugate\ (alpha-l\ l) * (alpha-l\ l)$

by (*simp add: alpha-beta-orth alpha-inner*)

also have $\dots = (alpha-l\ l) * (alpha-l\ l)$ **using** *cnj-alpha-l* **by** *simp*

finally have $eq1: inner-prod\ (alpha-l\ l\ \cdot_v\ \alpha)\ (psi-l\ l) = alpha-l\ l * alpha-l\ l.$

have $inner-prod\ ((beta-l\ l)\ \cdot_v\ \beta)\ (psi-l\ l)$

$= inner-prod\ ((beta-l\ l)\ \cdot_v\ \beta)\ ((alpha-l\ l)\ \cdot_v\ \alpha) + inner-prod\ ((beta-l\ l)\ \cdot_v\ \beta)\ ((beta-l\ l)\ \cdot_v\ \beta)$

unfolding $psi-l-def$

apply (*subst inner-prod-distrib-right*)

using $\alpha-def\ \beta-def$ **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = (conjugate\ (beta-l\ l)) * (alpha-l\ l) * inner-prod\ \beta\ \alpha$

$+ (conjugate\ (beta-l\ l)) * (beta-l\ l) * inner-prod\ \beta\ \beta$

apply (*subst (1 2) inner-prod-smult-left-right*) **using** $\alpha-def\ \beta-def$ **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = (conjugate\ (beta-l\ l)) * (beta-l\ l)$ **using** $\beta-inner\ beta-alpha-orth$ **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = (beta-l\ l) * (beta-l\ l)$ **using** *cnj-beta-l* **by** *auto*

finally have $eq2: inner-prod\ (beta-l\ l\ \cdot_v\ \beta)\ (psi-l\ l) = beta-l\ l * beta-l\ l.$

show *?thesis* **unfolding** $eq0\ eq1\ eq2$ **using** $alpha-l-beta-l-add-norm$ **by** *auto*
qed

abbreviation $proj :: complex\ vec \Rightarrow complex\ mat$ **where**

$proj\ v \equiv outer-prod\ v\ v$

definition $psi'-l$ **where**

$psi'-l\ l = (alpha-l\ l)\ \cdot_v\ \alpha - (beta-l\ l)\ \cdot_v\ \beta$

lemma $psi'-l-dim$:

$psi'-l\ l \in carrier-vec\ N$
unfolding $psi'-l-def\ \alpha-def\ \beta-def$ **by** *auto*

definition $proj-psi'-l$ **where**
 $proj-psi'-l\ l = proj\ (psi'-l\ l)$

lemma $proj-psi'-dim$:
 $proj-psi'-l\ l \in carrier-mat\ N\ N$
unfolding $proj-psi'-l-def$ **using** $psi'-l-dim$ **by** *auto*

lemma $psi-inner-psi'-l$:
 $inner-prod\ \psi\ (psi'-l\ l) = (alpha-l\ l * ccos\ (\vartheta / 2) - beta-l\ l * csin\ (\vartheta / 2))$
proof –
have $inner-prod\ \psi\ (psi'-l\ l) = inner-prod\ \psi\ (alpha-l\ l \cdot_v\ \alpha) - inner-prod\ \psi\ (beta-l\ l \cdot_v\ \beta)$
unfolding $psi'-l-def$ **apply** (*subst inner-prod-minus-distrib-right[of - N]*) **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = alpha-l\ l * (inner-prod\ \psi\ \alpha) - beta-l\ l * (inner-prod\ \psi\ \beta)$
using $\psi-dim\ \alpha-dim\ \beta-dim$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = alpha-l\ l * (ccos\ (\vartheta / 2)) - beta-l\ l * (csin\ (\vartheta / 2))$
using $psi-inner-alpha\ psi-inner-beta$ **by** *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma $double-ccos-square$:
 $2 * ccos\ (a::real) * ccos\ a = ccos\ (2 * a) + 1$
proof –
have $eq: ccos\ (2 * a) = ccos\ a * ccos\ a - csin\ a * csin\ a$
using $cos-add[of\ a\ a]$ **by** *auto*
have $csin\ a * csin\ a = 1 - ccos\ a * ccos\ a$
using $csin-ccos-squared-add[of\ a]$
by (*metis add-diff-cancel-left'*)
then have $ccos\ a * ccos\ a - csin\ a * csin\ a = 2 * ccos\ a * ccos\ a - 1$
by *simp*
with eq show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma $double-csin-square$:
 $2 * csin\ (a::real) * csin\ a = 1 - ccos\ (2 * a)$
proof –
have $eq: ccos\ (2 * a) = ccos\ a * ccos\ a - csin\ a * csin\ a$
using $cos-add[of\ a\ a]$ **by** *auto*
have $ccos\ a * ccos\ a = 1 - csin\ a * csin\ a$
using $csin-ccos-squared-add[of\ a]$
by (*auto intro: add-implies-diff*)
then have $ccos\ a * ccos\ a - csin\ a * csin\ a = 1 - 2 * csin\ (a::real) * csin\ a$
by *simp*
with eq show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *csin-double*:

$2 * \text{csin } (a::\text{real}) * \text{ccos } a = \text{csin}(2 * a)$
using *sin-add*[of a] **by** *simp*

lemma *ccos-add*:

$\text{ccos } (x + y) = \text{ccos } x * \text{ccos } y - \text{csin } x * \text{csin } y$
using *cos-add*[of x y] **by** *simp*

lemma *alpha-l-Suc-l-derive*:

$2 * (\text{alpha-l } l * \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2) - \text{beta-l } l * \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2)) * \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2) - \text{alpha-l } l$
 $= \text{alpha-l } (l + 1)$
(is *?lhs* $=$ *?rhs**)***

proof –

have $2 * ((\text{alpha-l } l) * \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2) - (\text{beta-l } l) * \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2)) * \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2)$
 $= (\text{alpha-l } l) * (2 * \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2) * \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2)) - (\text{beta-l } l) * (2 * \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2))$
 $* \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2)$
by (*simp add: left-diff-distrib*)

also have $\dots = (\text{alpha-l } l) * (\text{ccos } (\vartheta) + 1) - (\text{beta-l } l) * \text{csin } \vartheta$

using *double-ccos-square csin-double* **by** *auto*

finally have $2 * ((\text{alpha-l } l) * \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2) - (\text{beta-l } l) * \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2)) * \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2)$
 $= (\text{alpha-l } l) * (\text{ccos } (\vartheta) + 1) - (\text{beta-l } l) * \text{csin } \vartheta.$

then have *?lhs* $= (\text{alpha-l } l) * \text{ccos } (\vartheta) - (\text{beta-l } l) * \text{csin } \vartheta$ **by** (*simp add: algebra-simps*)

also have $\dots = (\text{alpha-l } (l + 1))$

unfolding *alpha-l-def beta-l-def*

apply (*subst ccos-add*[of $(\text{real } l + 1 / 2) * \vartheta$, *symmetric*])

by (*simp add: algebra-simps*)

finally show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *csin-add*:

$\text{csin } (x + y) = \text{ccos } x * \text{csin } y + \text{csin } x * \text{ccos } y$
using *sin-add*[of x y] **by** *simp*

lemma *beta-l-Suc-l-derive*:

$2 * (\text{alpha-l } l * \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2) - (\text{beta-l } l) * \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2)) * \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2) + \text{beta-l } l$
 $= \text{beta-l } (l + 1)$
(is *?lhs* $=$ *?rhs**)***

proof –

have $2 * ((\text{alpha-l } l) * \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2) - (\text{beta-l } l) * \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2)) * \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2)$
 $= (\text{alpha-l } l) * (2 * \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2) * \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2)) - (\text{beta-l } l) * (2 * \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2))$
 $* \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2)$

by (*simp add: left-diff-distrib*)

also have $\dots = (\text{alpha-l } l) * (\text{csin } \vartheta) - (\text{beta-l } l) * (1 - \text{ccos } (\vartheta))$

using *double-csin-square csin-double* **by** *auto*

finally have $2 * ((\text{alpha-l } l) * \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2) - (\text{beta-l } l) * \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2)) * \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2)$

$(\vartheta / 2)$
 $= (\text{alpha-l } l) * (\text{csin } \vartheta) - (\text{beta-l } l) * (1 - \text{ccos } \vartheta)$.
then have $?lhs = (\text{alpha-l } l) * (\text{csin } \vartheta) + (\text{beta-l } l) * \text{ccos } \vartheta$ **by** (*simp add: algebra-simps*)
also have $\dots = (\text{beta-l } (l + 1))$
unfolding *alpha-l-def beta-l-def*
apply (*subst csin-add*[of $(\text{real } l + 1 / 2) * \vartheta$, *symmetric*])
by (*simp add: algebra-simps*)
finally show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *psi-l-Suc-l-derive*:

$2 * (\text{alpha-l } l * \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2) - \text{beta-l } l * \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2)) \cdot_v \psi - \text{psi}'\text{-l } l = \text{psi-l } (l + 1)$

(is $?lhs = ?rhs$)

proof –

let $?l = 2 * ((\text{alpha-l } l) * \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2) - (\text{beta-l } l) * \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2))$

have $?l \cdot_v \psi = ?l \cdot_v (\text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2) \cdot_v \alpha + \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2) \cdot_v \beta)$ **unfolding** $\psi\text{-eq}$ **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = ?l \cdot_v (\text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2) \cdot_v \alpha) + ?l \cdot_v (\text{csin } (\vartheta / 2) \cdot_v \beta)$

apply (*subst smult-add-distrib-vec*[of $- N$]) **using** $\alpha\text{-dim } \beta\text{-dim}$ **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = (?l * \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2)) \cdot_v \alpha + (?l * \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2)) \cdot_v \beta$ **by** *auto*

finally have $?l \cdot_v \psi = (?l * \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2)) \cdot_v \alpha + (?l * \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2)) \cdot_v \beta$.

then have $?l \cdot_v \psi - (\text{psi}'\text{-l } l) = ((?l * \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2)) \cdot_v \alpha - (\text{alpha-l } l) \cdot_v \alpha) + ((?l * \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2)) \cdot_v \beta + (\text{beta-l } l) \cdot_v \beta)$

unfolding *psi'-l-def* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = (?l * \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2) - \text{alpha-l } l) \cdot_v \alpha + (?l * \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2) + \text{beta-l } l) \cdot_v \beta$

apply (*subst minus-smult-vec-distrib*) **apply** (*subst add-smult-distrib-vec*) **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = (\text{alpha-l } (l + 1)) \cdot_v \alpha + (\text{beta-l } (l + 1)) \cdot_v \beta$

using *alpha-l-Suc-l-derive beta-l-Suc-l-derive* **by** *auto*

finally have $?l \cdot_v \psi - (\text{psi}'\text{-l } l) = (\text{alpha-l } (l + 1)) \cdot_v \alpha + (\text{beta-l } (l + 1)) \cdot_v \beta$.

then show *?thesis* **unfolding** *psi-l-def* **by** *auto*

qed

7.2 Grover operator

Oracle O

definition *proj-O* :: *complex mat* **where**

$\text{proj-O} = \text{mat } N N (\lambda(i, j). \text{if } i = j \text{ then (if } f \text{ i then } 1 \text{ else } 0) \text{ else } 0)$

lemma *proj-O-dim*:

$\text{proj-O} \in \text{carrier-mat } N N$

unfolding *proj-O-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *proj-O-mult-alpha*:

$\text{proj-O} *_v \alpha = \text{zero-vec } N$

by (*auto simp add: proj-O-def alpha-def scalar-prod-def*)

lemma *proj-O-mult-beta*:

proj-O *_v β = β

by (*auto simp add: proj-O-def β-def scalar-prod-def sum-only-one-neq-0*)

definition *mat-O* :: *complex mat* **where**

mat-O = *mat N N* (λ(*i,j*). *if i = j then (if f i then -1 else 1) else 0*)

lemma *mat-O-dim*:

mat-O ∈ *carrier-mat N N*

unfolding *mat-O-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *mat-O-mult-alpha*:

mat-O *_v α = α

by (*auto simp add: mat-O-def α-def scalar-prod-def sum-only-one-neq-0*)

lemma *mat-O-mult-beta*:

mat-O *_v β = - β

by (*auto simp add: mat-O-def β-def scalar-prod-def sum-only-one-neq-0*)

lemma *hermitian-mat-O*:

hermitian mat-O

by (*auto simp add: hermitian-def mat-O-def adjoint-eval*)

lemma *unitary-mat-O*:

unitary mat-O

proof -

have *mat-O* ∈ *carrier-mat N N* **unfolding** *mat-O-def* **by** *auto*

moreover have *mat-O* * *adjoint mat-O* = *mat-O* * *mat-O* **using** *hermitian-mat-O*

unfolding *hermitian-def* **by** *auto*

moreover have *mat-O* * *mat-O* = *1_m N*

apply (*rule eq-matI*)

unfolding *mat-O-def*

apply (*simp add: scalar-prod-def*)

subgoal for *i j* **apply** (*rule*)

subgoal apply (*subst sum-only-one-neq-0[of {0..*N*} *j*]*) **by** *auto*

apply (*subst sum-only-one-neq-0[of {0..*N*} *j*]*) **by** *auto*

by *auto*

ultimately show *?thesis* **unfolding** *unitary-def inverts-mat-def* **by** *auto*

qed

definition *mat-Ph* :: *complex mat* **where**

mat-Ph = *mat N N* (λ(*i,j*). *if i = j then if i = 0 then 1 else -1 else 0*)

lemma *hermitian-mat-Ph*:

hermitian mat-Ph

unfolding *hermitian-def mat-Ph-def*

apply (*rule eq-matI*)

by (*auto simp add: adjoint-eval*)

```

lemma unitary-mat-Ph:
  unitary mat-Ph
proof –
  have mat-Ph ∈ carrier-mat N N unfolding mat-Ph-def by auto
  moreover have mat-Ph * adjoint mat-Ph = mat-Ph * mat-Ph using hermi-
  tian-mat-Ph unfolding hermitian-def by auto
  moreover have mat-Ph * mat-Ph = 1m N
  apply (rule eq-matI)
  unfolding mat-Ph-def
  apply (simp add: scalar-prod-def)
  subgoal for i j apply (rule)
  subgoal apply (subst sum-only-one-neq-0[of {0..by auto
  apply (subst sum-only-one-neq-0[of {0..by auto
  by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding unitary-def inverts-mat-def by auto
qed

```

```

definition mat-G' :: complex mat where
  mat-G' = mat N N (λ(i,j). if i = j then 2 / N - 1 else 2 / N)

```

Geometrically, the Grover operator G is a rotation

```

definition mat-G :: complex mat where
  mat-G = mat-G' * mat-O

```

end

7.3 State of Grover's algorithm

The dimensions are [2, 2, ..., 2, n]. We work with a very special case as in the paper

```

locale grover-state-sig = grover-state + state-sig +
  fixes R :: nat
  fixes K :: nat
  assumes dims-def: dims = replicate n 2 @ [K]
  assumes R: R = pi / (2 * ϑ) - 1 / 2
  assumes K: K > R

```

begin

```

lemma K-gt-0:
  K > 0
  using K by auto

```

Bits q0 to q_(n-1)

```

definition vars1 :: nat set where
  vars1 = {0 ..< n}

```

Bit r

definition *vars2* :: *nat set* **where**
vars2 = {*n*}

lemma *length-dims*:
length dims = *n* + 1
unfolding *dims-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *dims-nth-lt-n*:
 $l < n \implies \text{nth } \text{dims } l = 2$
unfolding *dims-def* **by** (*simp add: nth-append*)

lemma *nths-Suc-n-dims*:
nths dims {*0..<(Suc n)*} = *dims*
using *length-dims nths-upt-eq-take*
by (*metis add-Suc-right add-Suc-shift lessThan-atLeast0 less-add-eq-less less-numeral-extra*(4)
not-less plus-1-eq-Suc take-all)

interpretation *ps2-P*: *partial-state2 dims vars1 vars2*
apply *unfold-locales* **unfolding** *vars1-def vars2-def* **by** *auto*

interpretation *ps-P*: *partial-state ps2-P.dims0 ps2-P.vars1'*.

abbreviation *tensor-P* **where**
tensor-P A B \equiv *ps2-P.ptensor-mat A B*

lemma *tensor-P-dim*:
tensor-P A B \in *carrier-mat d d*
proof –
have *ps2-P.d0* = *prod-list (nths dims ({0..<n} \cup {n}))* **unfolding** *ps2-P.d0-def*
ps2-P.dims0-def ps2-P.vars0-def
by (*simp add: vars1-def vars2-def*)
also have ... = *prod-list (nths dims ({0..<Suc n}))*
apply (*subgoal-tac {0..<n} \cup {n} = {0..<(Suc n)}*) **by** *auto*
also have ... = *prod-list dims* **using** *nths-Suc-n-dims* **by** *auto*
also have ... = *d* **unfolding** *d-def* **by** *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **using** *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-carrier* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *dims-nths-le-n*:
assumes $l \leq n$
shows *nths dims* {*0..<l*} = *replicate l 2*
proof (*rule nth-equalityI, auto*)
have $l \leq n \implies (i < \text{Suc } n \wedge i < l) = (i < l)$ **for** *i*
using *less-trans* **by** *fastforce*
then show *l*: *length (nths dims {0..<l})* = *l* **using** *assms*
by (*auto simp add: length-nths length-dims*)

have *llt*: $l < \text{length } \text{dims}$ **using** *length-dims assms* **by** *auto*
have *v1*: $\bigwedge i. i < l \implies \{a. a < i \wedge a \in \{0..<l\}\} = \{0..<i\}$ **unfolding** *vars1-def*

by auto
then have $\bigwedge i. i < l \implies \text{card } \{j. j < i \wedge j \in \{0..<l\}\} = i$ **by auto**
then have $\text{nths dims } \{0..<l\} ! i = \text{dims } ! i$ **if** $i < l$ **for** i
using $\text{nth-nths-card[of } i \text{ dims } \{0..<l\}]$ **that llt by auto**
moreover have $\text{dims } ! i = \text{replicate } n \ 2 ! i$ **if** $i < n$ **for** i **unfolding** dims-def
by $(\text{auto simp add: nth-append that})$
moreover have $\text{replicate } n \ 2 ! i = \text{replicate } l \ 2 ! i$ **if** $i < l$ **for** i **using** assms
that by auto
ultimately show $\text{nths dims } \{0..<l\} ! i = \text{replicate } l \ 2 ! i$ **if** $i < \text{length } (\text{nths}$
 $\text{dims } \{0..<l\})$ **for** i
using l **that assms by auto**
qed

lemma $\text{dims-nths-one-lt-n}$:

assumes $l < n$

shows $\text{nths dims } \{l\} = [2]$

proof –

have $\{i. i < \text{length } \text{dims} \wedge i \in \{l\}\} = \{l\}$ **using** assms length-dims **by auto**

then have $\text{nths dims } \{l\} = [\text{dims } ! l]$ **using** $\text{nths-only-one[of } \text{dims } \{l\} \ l]$ **by auto**

moreover have $\text{dims } ! l = 2$ **unfolding** dims-def **using** assms **by** $(\text{simp add: nth-append})$

ultimately show $?thesis$ **by auto**

qed

lemma dims-vars1 :

$\text{nths dims vars1} = \text{replicate } n \ 2$

proof $(\text{rule nth-equalityI, auto})$

show $l: \text{length } (\text{nths dims vars1}) = n$

apply $(\text{auto simp add: length-nths vars1-def length-dims})$

by $(\text{metis (no-types, lifting) Collect-cong Suc-lessD card-Collect-less-nat not-less-eq})$

have $v1: \bigwedge i. i < n \implies \{a. a < i \wedge a \in \text{vars1}\} = \{0..<i\}$ **unfolding** vars1-def
by auto

then have $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies \text{card } \{j. j < i \wedge j \in \text{vars1}\} = i$ **by auto**

then have $\text{nths dims vars1} ! i = \text{dims } ! i$ **if** $i < n$ **for** i

using $\text{nth-nths-card[of } i \text{ dims vars1]}$ **that length-dims vars1-def by auto**

moreover have $\text{dims } ! i = \text{replicate } n \ 2 ! i$ **if** $i < n$ **for** i **unfolding** dims-def

by $(\text{simp add: nth-append that})$

ultimately show $\text{nths dims vars1} ! i = \text{replicate } n \ 2 ! i$ **if** $i < \text{length } (\text{nths dims}$
 $\text{vars1})$ **for** i

using l **that by auto**

qed

lemma nths-rep-2-n :

$\text{nths } (\text{replicate } n \ 2) \ \{n\} = []$

by $(\text{metis (no-types, lifting) Collect-empty-eq card.empty length-0-conv length-replicate less-Suc-eq not-less-eq nths-replicate singletonD})$

lemma dims-vars2 :

$nths\ dims\ vars2 = [K]$
unfolding $dims-def\ vars2-def$
apply ($subst\ nths-append$)
apply ($subst\ nths-rep-2-n$)
by $simp$

lemma $d-vars1$:
 $prod-list\ (nths\ dims\ vars1) = N$
proof –
have $eq: \{0..<n\} = \{..<n\}$ **by** $auto$
have $nths\ (replicate\ n\ 2\ @\ [K])\ \{0..<n\} = (replicate\ n\ 2)$
apply ($subst\ eq$)
using $nths-upt-eq-take$ **by** $simp$
then show $?thesis$ **unfolding** $dims-def\ vars1-def\ N-def$ **by** $auto$
qed

lemma $ps2-P-dims0$:
 $ps2-P.dims0 = dims$
proof –
have $vars1 \cup vars2 = \{0..<Suc\ n\}$ **unfolding** $vars1-def\ vars2-def$ **by** $auto$
then have $dims: nths\ dims\ (vars1 \cup vars2) = dims$ **unfolding** $vars1-def\ vars2-def$
using $nths-Suc-n-dims$ **by** $auto$
then show $?thesis$ **unfolding** $ps2-P.dims0-def\ ps2-P.vars0-def$ **apply** ($subst\ dims$) **by** $auto$
qed

lemma $ps2-P-vars1'$:
 $ps2-P.vars1' = vars1$
unfolding $ps2-P.vars1'-def\ ps2-P.vars0-def$
proof –
have $eq: vars1 \cup vars2 = \{0..<(Suc\ n)\}$ **unfolding** $vars1-def\ vars2-def$ **by** $auto$
have $x < Suc\ n \implies \{i \in \{0..<Suc\ n\}. i < x\} = \{i. i < x\}$ **for** x **by** $auto$
then have $x < Suc\ n \implies ind-in-set\ \{0..<(Suc\ n)\}\ x = x$ **for** x **unfolding**
 $ind-in-set-def$ **by** $auto$
then have $x \in vars1 \implies ind-in-set\ \{0..<(Suc\ n)\}\ x = x$ **for** x **unfolding**
 $vars1-def$ **by** $auto$
then have $ind-in-set\ \{0..<(Suc\ n)\}\ 'vars1 = vars1$ **by** $force$
with eq **show** $ind-in-set\ (vars1 \cup vars2)\ 'vars1 = vars1$ **by** $auto$
qed

lemma $ps2-P-d0$:
 $ps2-P.d0 = d$
unfolding $ps2-P.d0-def$ **using** $ps2-P-dims0\ d-def$ **by** $auto$

lemma $ps2-P-d1$:
 $ps2-P.d1 = N$
unfolding $ps2-P.d1-def\ ps2-P.dims1-def$ **by** ($simp\ add: dims-vars1\ N-def$)

lemma $ps2-P-d2$:

```

ps2-P.d2 = K
unfolding ps2-P.d2-def ps2-P.dims2-def by (simp add: dims-vars2)

lemma ps-P-d:
  ps-P.d = d
  unfolding ps-P.d-def ps2-P-dims0 by auto

lemma ps-P-d1:
  ps-P.d1 = N
  unfolding ps-P.d1-def ps-P.dims1-def ps2-P.nths-vars1' using ps2-P-d1 un-
folding ps2-P.d1-def by auto

lemma ps-P-d2:
  ps-P.d2 = K
  unfolding ps-P.d2-def ps-P.dims2-def ps2-P.nths-vars2' using ps2-P-d2 un-
folding ps2-P.d2-def by auto

lemma nths-uminus-vars1:
  nths dims (- vars1) = nths dims vars2
  using ps2-P.nths-vars2' unfolding ps2-P-dims0 ps2-P-vars1' ps2-P.dims2-def
by auto

lemma tensor-P-mult:
  assumes m1 ∈ carrier-mat (2^n) (2^n)
  and m2 ∈ carrier-mat (2^n) (2^n)
  and m3 ∈ carrier-mat K K
  and m4 ∈ carrier-mat K K
  shows (tensor-P m1 m3) * (tensor-P m2 m4) = tensor-P (m1 * m2) (m3 *
m4)
proof –
  have eq:{0..^n} = {..^n} by auto
  have (nths dims vars1) = replicate n 2
  unfolding dims-def vars1-def apply (subst eq)
  by (simp add: nths-upt-eq-take[of (replicate n 2 @ [K]) n])

  have ps2-P.d1 = 2^n unfolding ps2-P.d1-def ps2-P.dims1-def using d-vars1
N-def by auto
  moreover have ps2-P.d2 = K unfolding ps2-P.d2-def ps2-P.dims2-def using
dims-vars2 by auto

  ultimately show ?thesis apply (subst ps2-P.ptensor-mat-mult) using assms
by auto
qed

lemma mat-ext-vars1:
  shows mat-extension dims vars1 A = tensor-P A (1m K)
  unfolding Utrans-P-def ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def partial-state.mat-extension-def
partial-state.d2-def partial-state.dims2-def ps2-P.nths-vars2' [simplified ps2-P-dims0
ps2-P-vars1 ]

```

using *ps2-P-d2* **unfolding** *ps2-P.d2-def* **using** *ps2-P-dims0 ps2-P-vars1'* **by**
auto

lemma *Utrans-P-is-tensor-P1*:

Utrans-P vars1 A = Utrans (tensor-P A (1_m K))

unfolding *Utrans-P-def ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def partial-state.mat-extension-def*
partial-state.d2-def partial-state.dims2-def ps2-P.nths-vars2'[simplified ps2-P-dims0
ps2-P-vars1']

using *ps2-P-d2* **unfolding** *ps2-P.d2-def* **using** *ps2-P-dims0 ps2-P-vars1'* **by**
auto

lemma *nths-dims-uminus-vars2*:

nths dims (-vars2) = nths dims vars1

proof –

have *nths dims (-vars2) = nths dims ({0..*length dims*} – *vars2*)*

using *nths-minus-eq* **by** *auto*

also have *... = nths dims vars1* **unfolding** *vars1-def vars2-def length-dims*

apply (*subgoal-tac {0..*n + 1*} – {*n*} = {0..*n*})* **by** *auto*

finally show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *mat-ext-vars2*:

assumes *A ∈ carrier-mat K K*

shows *mat-extension dims vars2 A = tensor-P (1_m N) A*

proof –

have *mat-extension dims vars2 A = tensor-mat dims vars2 A (1_m N)*

unfolding *Utrans-P-def partial-state.mat-extension-def*

partial-state.d2-def partial-state.dims2-def

nths-dims-uminus-vars2 dims-vars1 N-def **by** *auto*

also have *... = tensor-mat dims vars1 (1_m N) A*

apply (*subst tensor-mat-comm[of vars1 vars2]*)

subgoal unfolding *vars1-def vars2-def* **by** *auto*

subgoal unfolding *length-dims vars1-def vars2-def* **by** *auto*

subgoal unfolding *dims-vars1 N-def* **by** *auto*

unfolding *dims-vars2* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

finally show *mat-extension dims vars2 A = tensor-P (1_m N) A*

unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def ps2-P-dims0 ps2-P-vars1'* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *Utrans-P-is-tensor-P2*:

assumes *A ∈ carrier-mat K K*

shows *Utrans-P vars2 A = Utrans (tensor-P (1_m N) A)*

unfolding *Utrans-P-def* **using** *mat-ext-vars2 assms* **by** *auto*

7.4 Grover's algorithm

Apply hadamard operator to first n variables

definition *hadamard-on-i* :: *nat ⇒ complex mat* **where**

hadamard-on-i i = pmat-extension dims {i} (vars1 – {i}) hadamard

```

declare hadamard-on-i-def [simp]

fun hadamard-n :: nat ⇒ com where
  hadamard-n 0 = SKIP
| hadamard-n (Suc i) = hadamard-n i ;; Utrans (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i i) (1m
K))

  Body of the loop

definition D :: com where
  D = Utrans-P vars1 mat-O ;;
    hadamard-n n ;;
    Utrans-P vars1 mat-Ph ;;
    hadamard-n n ;;
    Utrans-P vars2 (mat-incr K)

lemma unitary-ex-mat-O:
  unitary (tensor-P mat-O (1m K))
unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def
apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-unitary)
subgoal using ps-P-d1 mat-O-def by auto
subgoal using ps-P-d2 by auto
subgoal using unitary-mat-O by auto
using unitary-one by auto

lemma unitary-ex-mat-Ph:
  unitary (tensor-P mat-Ph (1m K))
unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def
apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-unitary)
subgoal using ps-P-d1 mat-Ph-def by auto
subgoal using ps-P-d2 by auto
subgoal using unitary-mat-Ph by auto
using unitary-one by auto

lemma unitary-hadamard-on-i:
  assumes k < n
  shows unitary (hadamard-on-i k)
proof –
  interpret st2: partial-state2 dims {k} vars1 – {k}
  apply unfold-locales by auto
  show ?thesis unfolding hadamard-on-i-def st2.pmat-extension-def st2.ptensor-mat-def
  apply (rule partial-state.tensor-mat-unitary)
  subgoal unfolding partial-state.d1-def partial-state.dims1-def st2.nths-vars1'
st2.dims1-def
  using dims-nths-one-lt-n assms hadamard-dim by auto
  subgoal unfolding st2.d2-def st2.dims2-def partial-state.d2-def partial-state.dims2-def
st2.nths-vars2' st2.dims1-def
  by auto
  subgoal using unitary-hadamard by auto
  subgoal using unitary-one by auto

```

done
qed

lemma *unitary-exhadamard-on-i:*

assumes $k < n$

shows *unitary* (*tensor-P* (*hadamard-on-i* k) (1_m K))

proof –

interpret *st2*: *partial-state2* *dims* $\{k\}$ *vars1* – $\{k\}$

apply *unfold-locales* **by** *auto*

have *d1*: *st2.d0* = *partial-state.d1* *ps2-P.dims0* *ps2-P.vars1'*

unfolding *partial-state.d1-def* *partial-state.dims1-def* *ps2-P.nths-vars1'* *ps2-P.dims1-def*
st2.d0-def *st2.dims0-def* *st2.vars0-def* **using** *assms*

apply (*subgoal-tac* $\{k\} \cup (\text{vars1} - \{k\}) = \text{vars1}$) **apply** *simp*

unfolding *vars1-def* **by** *auto*

show *?thesis*

unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*

apply (*rule* *partial-state.tensor-mat-unitary*)

subgoal **unfolding** *hadamard-on-i-def* *st2.pmat-extension-def*

using *st2.ptensor-mat-carrier*[*of* *hadamard* 1_m *st2.d2*]

using *d1* **by** *auto*

subgoal **unfolding** *partial-state.d2-def* *partial-state.dims2-def* *ps2-P.nths-vars2'*

ps2-P.dims2-def *dims-vars2* **by** *auto*

using *unitary-hadamard-on-i* *unitary-one* *assms* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *hadamard-on-i-dim:*

assumes $k < n$

shows *hadamard-on-i* $k \in \text{carrier-mat } N \ N$

proof –

interpret *st*: *partial-state2* *dims* $\{k\}$ (*vars1* – $\{k\}$)

apply *unfold-locales* **by** *auto*

have *vars1*: $\{k\} \cup (\text{vars1} - \{k\}) = \text{vars1}$ **unfolding** *vars1-def* **using** *assms* **by**
auto

show *?thesis* **unfolding** *hadamard-on-i-def* *N-def* **using** *st.pmat-extension-carrier*

unfolding *st.d0-def* *st.dims0-def* *st.vars0-def*

using *vars1* *dims-vars1* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *well-com-hadamard-k:*

$k \leq n \implies \text{well-com} (\text{hadamard-n } k)$

proof (*induct* k)

case 0

then **show** *?case* **by** *auto*

next

case (*Suc* n)

then **have** *well-com* (*hadamard-n* n) **by** *auto*

then **show** *?case* **unfolding** *hadamard-n.simps* *well-com.simps* **using** *tensor-P-dim*
unitary-exhadamard-on-i *Suc* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *well-com-hadamard-n*:
well-com (hadamard-n n)
using *well-com-hadamard-k* **by** *auto*

lemma *well-com-mat-O*:
well-com (Utrans-P vars1 mat-O)
apply (*subst Utrans-P-is-tensor-P1*)
apply *simp using tensor-P-dim unitary-ex-mat-O* **by** *auto*

lemma *well-com-mat-Ph*:
well-com (Utrans-P vars1 mat-Ph)
apply (*subst Utrans-P-is-tensor-P1*)
apply *simp using tensor-P-dim unitary-ex-mat-Ph* **by** *auto*

lemma *unitary-exmat-incr*:
unitary (tensor-P (1_m N) (mat-incr K))
unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*
apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-unitary*)
using *unitary-mat-incr K unitary-one* **by** (*auto simp add: ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 mat-incr-def*)

lemma *well-com-mat-incr*:
well-com (Utrans-P vars2 (mat-incr K))
apply (*subst Utrans-P-is-tensor-P2*)
apply (*simp add: mat-incr-def*) **using** *tensor-P-dim unitary-exmat-incr* **by** *auto*

lemma *well-com-D*: *well-com D*
unfolding *D-def* **apply** *auto*
using *well-com-hadamard-n well-com-mat-incr well-com-mat-O well-com-mat-Ph*

by *auto*

Test at while loop

definition *M0* :: *complex mat where*
M0 = mat K K (λ(i,j). if i = j ∧ i ≥ R then 1 else 0)

lemma *hermitian-M0*:
hermitian M0
by (*auto simp add: hermitian-def M0-def adjoint-eval*)

lemma *M0-dim*:
M0 ∈ carrier-mat K K
unfolding *M0-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *M0-mult-M0*:
*M0 * M0 = M0*
by (*auto simp add: M0-def scalar-prod-def sum-only-one-neq-0*)

definition $M1$:: complex mat **where**
 $M1 = \text{mat } K \ K \ (\lambda(i,j). \text{ if } i = j \wedge i < R \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$

lemma $M1\text{-dim}$:
 $M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } K \ K$
unfolding $M1\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*

lemma $\text{hermitian-}M1$:
hermitian $M1$
by (*auto simp add: hermitian-def M1-def adjoint-eval*)

lemma $M1\text{-mult-}M1$:
 $M1 * M1 = M1$
by (*auto simp add: M1-def scalar-prod-def sum-only-one-neq-0*)

lemma $M1\text{-add-}M0$:
 $M1 + M0 = 1_m \ K$
unfolding $M0\text{-def}$ $M1\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*

Test at the end

definition $\text{test}N$:: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow$ complex mat **where**
 $\text{test}N \ k = \text{mat } N \ N \ (\lambda(i,j). \text{ if } i = k \wedge j = k \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$

lemma $\text{hermitian-test}N$:
hermitian ($\text{test}N \ k$)
unfolding hermitian-def $\text{test}N\text{-def}$
by (*auto simp add: scalar-prod-def adjoint-eval*)

lemma $\text{test}N\text{-mult-test}N$:
 $\text{test}N \ k * \text{test}N \ k = \text{test}N \ k$
unfolding $\text{test}N\text{-def}$
by (*auto simp add: scalar-prod-def sum-only-one-neq-0*)

lemma $\text{test}N\text{-dim}$:
 $\text{test}N \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } N \ N$
unfolding $\text{test}N\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*

definition $\text{test-fst-}k$:: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow$ complex mat **where**
 $\text{test-fst-}k \ k = \text{mat } N \ N \ (\lambda(i, j). \text{ if } (i = j \wedge i < k) \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$

lemma $\text{sum-test-}k$:
assumes $m \leq N$
shows $\text{matrix-sum } N \ (\lambda k. \text{test}N \ k) \ m = \text{test-fst-}k \ m$

proof –

have $m \leq N \implies \text{matrix-sum } N \ (\lambda k. \text{test}N \ k) \ m = \text{mat } N \ N \ (\lambda(i, j). \text{ if } (i = j \wedge i < m) \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$ **for** m

proof (*induct* m)

case 0

then show *?case apply simp apply (rule eq-matI)* **by** *auto*

```

next
  case (Suc m)
  then have m: m < N by auto
  then have m': m ≤ N by auto
  have matrix-sum N testN (Suc m) = testN m + matrix-sum N testN m by
simp
  also have ... = mat N N (λ(i, j). if (i = j ∧ i < (Suc m)) then 1 else 0)
  unfolding testN-def Suc(1)[OF m'] apply (rule eq-matI) by auto
  finally show ?case by auto
qed
then show ?thesis unfolding test-fst-k-def using assms by auto
qed

```

```

lemma test-fst-kN:
  test-fst-k N = 1_m N
  apply (rule eq-matI)
  unfolding test-fst-k-def by auto

```

```

lemma matrix-sum-tensor-P1:
  (∧k. k < m ⇒ g k ∈ carrier-mat N N) ⇒ (A ∈ carrier-mat K K) ⇒
  matrix-sum d (λk. tensor-P (g k) A) m = tensor-P (matrix-sum N g m) A
proof (induct m)
  case 0
  show ?case apply (simp) unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def
  using ps-P.tensor-mat-zero1[simplified ps-P-d ps-P-d1, of A] by auto
next
  case (Suc m)
  then have ind: matrix-sum d (λk. tensor-P (g k) A) m = tensor-P (matrix-sum
N g m) A
  and dk: ∧k. k < m ⇒ g k ∈ carrier-mat N N and A ∈ carrier-mat K K by
auto
  have ds: matrix-sum N g m ∈ carrier-mat N N apply (subst matrix-sum-dim)
  using dk by auto
  show ?case apply simp
  apply (subst ind)
  unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-add1)
  unfolding ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 using Suc ds by auto
qed

```

Grover's algorithm. Assume we start in the zero state

```

definition Grover :: com where
  Grover = hadamard-n n ;;
  While-P vars2 M0 M1 D ;;
  Measure-P vars1 N testN (replicate N SKIP)

```

```

lemma well-com-if:
  well-com (Measure-P vars1 N testN (replicate N SKIP))
  unfolding Measure-P-def apply auto
proof -

```

```

have eq0:  $\bigwedge n$ . mat-extension dims vars1 (testN n) = tensor-P (testN n) (1m K)
unfolding mat-ext-vars1 by auto
have eq1: adjoint (tensor-P (testN j) (1m K)) * tensor-P (testN j) (1m K) =
tensor-P (testN j) (1m K) for j
unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def
apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-adjoint)
apply (auto simp add: ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 testN-dim hermitian-testN[unfolded
hermitian-def] hermitian-one[unfolded hermitian-def])
apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-mult[symmetric])
by (auto simp add: ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 testN-dim testN-mult-testN)
have measurement d N ( $\lambda n$ . tensor-P (testN n) (1m K))
unfolding measurement-def
apply (simp add: tensor-P-dim)
apply (subst eq1)
apply (subst matrix-sum-tensor-P1)
apply (auto simp add: testN-dim)
apply (subst sum-test-k, simp)
apply (subst test-fst-kN)
unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def
using ps-P.tensor-mat-id ps-P-d ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 by auto
then show measurement d N ( $\lambda n$ . mat-extension dims vars1 (testN n)) using
eq0 by auto

show list-all well-com (replicate N SKIP)
apply (subst list-all-length) by simp
qed

```

lemma well-com-while:

```

well-com (While-P vars2 M0 M1 D)
unfolding While-P-def apply auto
apply (subst (1 2) mat-ext-vars2)
apply (auto simp add: M1-dim M0-dim)
proof –
have 2: 2 = Suc (Suc 0) by auto
have ad0: adjoint (tensor-P (1m N) M0) = (tensor-P (1m N) M0)
unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-adjoint)
unfolding ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 by (auto simp add: M0-dim adjoint-one hermi-
tian-M0[unfolded hermitian-def])
have ad1: adjoint (tensor-P (1m N) M1) = (tensor-P (1m N) M1)
unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-adjoint)
unfolding ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 by (auto simp add: M1-dim adjoint-one hermi-
tian-M1[unfolded hermitian-def])
have m0: tensor-P (1m N) M0 * tensor-P (1m N) M0 = tensor-P (1m N) M0
unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-mult[symmetric])
unfolding ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 using M0-dim M0-mult-M0 by auto
have m1: tensor-P (1m N) M1 * tensor-P (1m N) M1 = tensor-P (1m N) M1
unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-mult[symmetric])
unfolding ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 using M1-dim M1-mult-M1 by auto

```

have s : $\text{tensor-}P (1_m N) M1 + \text{tensor-}P (1_m N) M0 = 1_m d$
unfolding $\text{ps2-}P.\text{ptensor-mat-def}$ **apply** ($\text{subst ps-}P.\text{tensor-mat-add2}[\text{symmetric}]$)
unfolding $\text{ps-}P\text{-d1 ps-}P\text{-d2}$
by ($\text{auto simp add: } M1\text{-dim } M0\text{-dim } M1\text{-add-}M0 \text{ ps-}P.\text{tensor-mat-id}[\text{simplified}$
 $\text{ps-}P\text{-d1 ps-}P\text{-d2 ps-}P\text{-d}]$)
show $\text{measurement } d \ 2 (\lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } \text{tensor-}P (1_m N) M0 \text{ else if } n = 1$
 $\text{then } \text{tensor-}P (1_m N) M1 \text{ else undefined})$
unfolding measurement-def **apply** ($\text{auto simp add: tensor-}P\text{-dim}$) **apply** (subst
 2)
apply ($\text{simp add: ad0 ad1 m0 m1}$)
apply ($\text{subst assoc-add-mat}[\text{symmetric, of - } d \ d]$) **using** $\text{tensor-}P\text{-dim } s$ **by** auto
show $\text{well-com } D$ **using** $\text{well-com-}D$ **by** auto
qed

lemma well-com-Grover :
 well-com Grover
unfolding Grover-def **apply** auto
using $\text{well-com-hadamard-}n \ \text{well-com-if well-com-while}$ **by** auto

7.5 Correctness

Pre-condition: assume in the zero state

definition $\text{ket-pre} :: \text{complex vec}$ **where**
 $\text{ket-pre} = \text{Matrix.vec } N (\lambda k. \text{if } k = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$

lemma ket-pre-dim :
 $\text{ket-pre} \in \text{carrier-vec } N$ **using** ket-pre-def **by** auto

definition $\text{pre} :: \text{complex mat}$ **where**
 $\text{pre} = \text{proj ket-pre}$

lemma pre-dim :
 $\text{pre} \in \text{carrier-mat } N \ N$
using $\text{pre-def ket-pre-def}$ **by** auto

lemma norm-pre :
 $\text{inner-prod ket-pre ket-pre} = 1$
unfolding $\text{ket-pre-def scalar-prod-def}$
using $\text{sum-only-one-neq-0}[\text{of } \{0..<N\} \ 0 \ \lambda i. (\text{if } i = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0) * \text{cnj } (\text{if } i$
 $= 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)]$ **by** auto

lemma pre-trace :
 $\text{trace pre} = 1$
unfolding pre-def
apply ($\text{subst trace-outer-prod}[\text{of - } N]$)
subgoal unfolding ket-pre-def **by** auto **using** norm-pre **by** auto

lemma positive-pre :
 positive pre

using *positive-same-outer-prod* **unfolding** *pre-def ket-pre-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *pre-le-one*:

$pre \leq_L 1_m N$

unfolding *pre-def* **using** *outer-prod-le-one norm-pre ket-pre-def* **by** *auto*

Post-condition: should be in a state i with $f\ i = 1$

definition *post* :: *complex mat* **where**

$post = mat\ N\ N\ (\lambda(i, j).\ if\ (i = j \wedge f\ i)\ then\ 1\ else\ 0)$

lemma *post-dim*:

$post \in carrier\text{-}mat\ N\ N$

unfolding *post-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *hermitian-post*:

hermitian post

unfolding *hermitian-def post-def*

by (*auto simp add: adjoint-eval*)

Hoare triples of initialization

definition *ket-zero* :: *complex vec* **where**

$ket\text{-}zero = Matrix.\text{vec}\ 2\ (\lambda k.\ if\ k = 0\ then\ 1\ else\ 0)$

lemma *ket-zero-dim*:

$ket\text{-}zero \in carrier\text{-}vec\ 2$ **unfolding** *ket-zero-def* **by** *auto*

definition *proj-zero* **where**

$proj\text{-}zero = proj\ ket\text{-}zero$

definition *ket-one* **where**

$ket\text{-}one = Matrix.\text{vec}\ 2\ (\lambda k.\ if\ k = 1\ then\ 1\ else\ 0)$

definition *proj-one* **where**

$proj\text{-}one = proj\ ket\text{-}one$

definition *ket-plus* **where**

$ket\text{-}plus = Matrix.\text{vec}\ 2\ (\lambda k.\ 1 / csqrt\ 2)$

lemma *ket-plus-dim*:

$ket\text{-}plus \in carrier\text{-}vec\ 2$ **unfolding** *ket-plus-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *ket-plus-eval* [*simp*]:

$i < 2 \implies ket\text{-}plus\ \$\ i = 1 / csqrt\ 2$

apply (*simp only: ket-plus-def*)

using *index-vec less-2-cases* **by** *force*

lemma *csqrt-2-sq* [*simp*]:

$complex\text{-of}\text{-}real\ (sqrt\ 2) * complex\text{-of}\text{-}real\ (sqrt\ 2) = 2$

by (*smt (verit) of-real-add of-real-hom.hom-one of-real-power one-add-one power2-eq-square real-sqrt-pow2*)

lemma *ket-plus-tensor-n*:
partial-state.tensor-vec [2, 2] {0} *ket-plus ket-plus* = *Matrix.vec* 4 ($\lambda k. 1 / 2$)
unfolding *partial-state.tensor-vec-def state-sig.d-def*
proof (*rule eq-vecI, auto*)
fix *i* :: *nat* **assume** *i*: *i* < 4
interpret *st*: *partial-state* [2, 2] {0} .
have *d1-eq*: *st.d1* = 2
 by (*simp add: st.d1-def st.dims1-def nth-def*)
have *st.encode1* *i* < *st.d1*
 by (*simp add: st.d-def i*)
then have *i1-lt*: *st.encode1* *i* < 2
 using *d1-eq* **by** *auto*
have *d2-eq*: *st.d2* = 2
 by (*simp add: st.d2-def st.dims2-def nth-def*)
have *st.encode2* *i* < *st.d2*
 by (*simp add: st.d-def i*)
then have *i2-lt*: *st.encode2* *i* < 2
 using *d2-eq* **by** *auto*
show *ket-plus* \$ *st.encode1* *i* * *ket-plus* \$ *st.encode2* *i* * 2 = 1
 by (*auto simp add: i1-lt i2-lt*)
qed

definition *proj-plus* **where**
proj-plus = *proj ket-plus*

lemma *hadamard-on-zero*:
hadamard *_v *ket-zero* = *ket-plus*
unfolding *hadamard-def ket-zero-def ket-plus-def mat-of-rows-list-def*
apply (*rule eq-vecI, auto simp add: scalar-prod-def*)
subgoal for *i*
 apply (*drule less-2-cases*)
 apply (*drule disjE, auto*)
 by (*subst sum-le-2, auto*)⁺.

fun *exH-k* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *complex mat* **where**
 exH-k 0 = *hadamard-on-i* 0
| *exH-k* (*Suc* *k*) = *exH-k* *k* * *hadamard-on-i* (*Suc* *k*)

fun *H-k* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *complex mat* **where**
 H-k 0 = *hadamard*
| *H-k* (*Suc* *k*) = *ptensor-mat* *dims* {0..*Suc* *k*} {*Suc* *k*} (*H-k* *k*) *hadamard*

lemma *H-k-dim*:
 $k < n \implies H-k$ *k* \in *carrier-mat* ($2^{\wedge}(Suc$ *k*) ($2^{\wedge}(Suc$ *k*))
proof (*induct* *k*)
 case 0
 then show ?*case* **using** *hadamard-dim* **by** *auto*
next

```

case (Suc k)
interpret st: partial-state2 dims {0..<(Suc k)} {Suc k}
  apply unfold-locales by auto
have Suc (Suc k) ≤ n using Suc by auto
then have nthS dims ({0..<Suc (Suc k)}) = replicate (Suc (Suc k)) 2 using
dims-nths-le-n by auto
moreover have prod-list (replicate l 2) = 2l for l by simp
moreover have {0..<Suc k} ∪ {Suc k} = {0..<(Suc (Suc k))} by auto
ultimately have plssk: prod-list (nthS dims ({0..<Suc k} ∪ {Suc k})) = 2^(Suc
(Suc k)) by auto
  have dim-col (H-k (Suc k)) = 2^(Suc (Suc k)) using st.ptensor-mat-dim-col
unfolding st.d0-def st.dims0-def st.vars0-def using plssk by auto
  moreover have dim-row (H-k (Suc k)) = 2^(Suc (Suc k)) using st.ptensor-mat-dim-row
unfolding st.d0-def st.dims0-def st.vars0-def using plssk by auto
  ultimately show ?case by auto
qed

```

lemma *exH-k-eq-H-k*:

```

k < n ⇒ exH-k k = pmat-extension dims {0..<(Suc k)} {(Suc k)..<n} (H-k k)
proof(induct k)

```

```

  case 0
  have {(Suc 0)..<n} = vars1 - {0..<(Suc 0)} using vars1-def by fastforce
  then show ?case unfolding exH-k.simps using vars1-def by auto
next

```

```

  case (Suc k)
  interpret st: partial-state2 dims {0..<Suc k} {(Suc k)..<n}
  apply unfold-locales by auto
  interpret st1: partial-state2 dims {Suc k} {(Suc (Suc k))..<n}
  apply unfold-locales by auto
  interpret st2: partial-state2 dims {Suc k} vars1 - {Suc k}
  apply unfold-locales by auto
  interpret st3: partial-state2 dims {0..<Suc k} {Suc (Suc k)..<n}
  apply unfold-locales by auto
  interpret st4: partial-state2 dims {0..<Suc (Suc k)} {Suc (Suc k)..<n}
  apply unfold-locales by auto

```

```

from Suc have eq0: exH-k (Suc k)
  = (st.pmat-extension (H-k k)) * (st2.pmat-extension hadamard) by auto
have vars1 - {0..<Suc k} = {(Suc k)..<n} using vars1-def by auto

```

```

then have eql1: st.pmat-extension (H-k k) = st.ptensor-mat (H-k k) (1m st.d2)
  using st.pmat-extension-def by auto

```

```

from dims-nths-one-lt-n[OF Suc(2)] have st1d1: st1.d1 = 2 unfolding st1.d1-def
st1.dims1-def by fastforce
  have {Suc k} ∪ {Suc (Suc k)..<n} = {Suc k..<n} using Suc by auto
  then have st1.d0 = st.d2 unfolding st1.d0-def st1.dims0-def st1.vars0-def
st.d2-def st.dims2-def by fastforce
  then have eql2: st1.ptensor-mat (1m 2) (1m st1.d2) = 1m st.d2

```

using $st1.ptensor\text{-}mat\text{-}id\ st1d1$ **by** *auto*
have $eql3: st.ptensor\text{-}mat\ (H\text{-}k\ k)\ (1_m\ st.d2) = st.ptensor\text{-}mat\ (H\text{-}k\ k)\ (st1.ptensor\text{-}mat\ (1_m\ 2)\ (1_m\ st1.d2))$
apply ($subst\ eql2[symmetric]$) **by** *auto*

have $eqr1: (st2.pmat\text{-}extension\ hadamard) = st2.ptensor\text{-}mat\ hadamard\ (1_m\ st2.d2)$ **using** $st2.pmat\text{-}extension\text{-}def$ **by** *auto*
have $splitset: \{0..<Suc\ k\} \cup \{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\} = vars1 - \{Suc\ k\}$ **unfolding** $vars1\text{-}def$ **using** $Suc(2)$ **by** *auto*

have $Sksplit: \{Suc\ k\} \cup \{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\} = \{Suc\ k..<n\}$ **using** $Suc(2)$ **by** *auto*
have $Sksplit1: \{0..<Suc\ k\} \cup \{Suc\ k\} = \{0..<Suc\ (Suc\ k)\}$ **by** *auto*
have $st.ptensor\text{-}mat\ (H\text{-}k\ k)\ (st1.ptensor\text{-}mat\ (1_m\ 2)\ (1_m\ st1.d2))$
 $= ptensor\text{-}mat\ dims\ (\{0..<Suc\ k\} \cup \{Suc\ k\})\ \{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\}\ (ptensor\text{-}mat\ dims\ \{0..<Suc\ k\}\ \{Suc\ k\}\ (H\text{-}k\ k)\ (1_m\ 2))\ (1_m\ st1.d2)$
apply ($subst\ ptensor\text{-}mat\text{-}assoc[symmetric, of\ \{0..<Suc\ k\}\ \{Suc\ k\}\ \{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\}]\ H\text{-}k\ k\ 1_m\ 2\ 1_m\ st1.d2, simplified\ Sksplit$)
using $Suc\ length\text{-}dims$ **by** *auto*
also **have** $\dots = ptensor\text{-}mat\ dims\ (\{0..<Suc\ k\} \cup \{Suc\ k\})\ \{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\}$
 $(ptensor\text{-}mat\ dims\ \{Suc\ k\}\ \{0..<Suc\ k\}\ (1_m\ 2)\ (H\text{-}k\ k))\ (1_m\ st1.d2)$
using $ptensor\text{-}mat\text{-}comm[of\ \{0..<Suc\ k\}\ \{Suc\ k\}]$ **by** *auto*
also **have** $\dots = ptensor\text{-}mat\ dims\ \{Suc\ k\}\ (\{0..<Suc\ k\} \cup \{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\})$
 $(1_m\ 2)$
 $(ptensor\text{-}mat\ dims\ \{0..<Suc\ k\}\ \{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\}\ (H\text{-}k\ k)\ (1_m\ st1.d2))$
apply ($subst\ sup\text{-}commute$)
apply ($subst\ ptensor\text{-}mat\text{-}assoc[of\ \{Suc\ k\}\ \{0..<Suc\ k\}\ \{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\}]\ (1_m\ 2)\ H\text{-}k\ k\ 1_m\ st1.d2$)
using $Suc\ length\text{-}dims$ **by** *auto*
finally **have** $eql4: st.pmat\text{-}extension\ (H\text{-}k\ k)$
 $= st2.ptensor\text{-}mat\ (1_m\ 2)\ (st3.ptensor\text{-}mat\ (H\text{-}k\ k)\ (1_m\ st3.d2))$ **using** $eql1\ eql3\ splitset$ **by** *auto*

have $st2.ptensor\text{-}mat\ (1_m\ 2)\ (st3.ptensor\text{-}mat\ (H\text{-}k\ k)\ (1_m\ st3.d2)) * st2.ptensor\text{-}mat\ hadamard\ (1_m\ st2.d2)$
 $= st2.ptensor\text{-}mat\ ((1_m\ 2)*hadamard)\ ((st3.ptensor\text{-}mat\ (H\text{-}k\ k)\ (1_m\ st3.d2))* (1_m\ st2.d2)$
apply ($rule\ st2.ptensor\text{-}mat\text{-}mult[symmetric, of\ 1_m\ 2\ hadamard\ (st3.ptensor\text{-}mat\ (H\text{-}k\ k)\ (1_m\ st3.d2))\ (1_m\ st2.d2)]$)
subgoal **unfolding** $st2.d1\text{-}def\ st2.dims1\text{-}def$
by ($simp\ add: dims\text{-}nth\text{-}one\text{-}lt\text{-}n\ Suc(2)$)
subgoal **unfolding** $st2.d1\text{-}def\ st2.dims1\text{-}def$
apply ($simp\ add: dims\text{-}nth\text{-}one\text{-}lt\text{-}n\ Suc(2)$) **using** $hadamard\text{-}dim$ **by** *auto*
subgoal **unfolding** $st2.d2\text{-}def[unfolded\ st2.dims2\text{-}def]$
using $st3.ptensor\text{-}mat\text{-}dim\text{-}col[unfolded\ st3.d0\text{-}def\ st3.dims0\text{-}def\ st3.vars0\text{-}def, simplified\ splitset]$
 $st3.ptensor\text{-}mat\text{-}dim\text{-}row[unfolded\ st3.d0\text{-}def\ st3.dims0\text{-}def\ st3.vars0\text{-}def, simplified\ splitset]$ **by** *auto*
by *auto*

also have ... = $st2.ptensor\text{-}mat$ (*hadamard*) ($st3.ptensor\text{-}mat$ (*H-k k*) (1_m $st3.d2$))
unfolding $st2.d2\text{-}def[unfolding\ st2.dims2\text{-}def]$
using $hadamard\text{-}dim$ $st3.ptensor\text{-}mat\text{-}dim\text{-}col[unfolding\ st3.d0\text{-}def\ st3.dims0\text{-}def\ st3.vars0\text{-}def, simplified\ splitset]$
 $st3.ptensor\text{-}mat\text{-}dim\text{-}row[unfolding\ st3.d0\text{-}def\ st3.dims0\text{-}def\ st3.vars0\text{-}def, simplified\ splitset]$ **by auto**
also have ... = $ptensor\text{-}mat\ dims$ ($\{0..<Suc\ k\} \cup \{Suc\ k\}$) $\{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\}$
($ptensor\text{-}mat\ dims\ \{Suc\ k\}\ \{0..<Suc\ k\}\ hadamard\ (H-k\ k)$) ($1_m\ st3.d2$)
apply ($subst\ ptensor\text{-}mat\text{-}assoc[symmetric, of\ \{Suc\ k\}\ \{0..<Suc\ k\}\ \{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\} hadamard\ H-k\ k\ 1_m\ st3.d2, simplified\ splitset]$)
using $Suc\ length\text{-}dims$ **by auto**
also have ... = $ptensor\text{-}mat\ dims$ ($\{0..<Suc\ k\} \cup \{Suc\ k\}$) $\{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\}$
($H-k\ (Suc\ k)$) ($1_m\ st3.d2$)
using $ptensor\text{-}mat\text{-}comm[of\ \{Suc\ k\}]\ Sksplit1$ **by auto**
also have ... = $ptensor\text{-}mat\ dims$ ($\{0..<Suc\ (Suc\ k)\}$) $\{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\}$ ($H-k$
($Suc\ k$)) ($1_m\ st3.d2$) **using** $Sksplit1$ **by auto**
also have ... = $pmat\text{-}extension\ dims\ \{0..<Suc\ (Suc\ k)\}\ \{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\}$ ($H-k$
($Suc\ k$))
unfolding $st4.pmat\text{-}extension\text{-}def$ **by auto**
finally show $?case$ **using** $eq0\ eql4\ eqr1$ **by auto**
qed

lemma *mult-exH-k-left*:

assumes $Suc\ k < n$

shows $hadamard\text{-}on\text{-}i\ (Suc\ k) * exH-k\ k = exH-k\ (Suc\ k)$

proof –

interpret st : $partial\text{-}state2\ dims\ \{0..<Suc\ k\}\ \{(Suc\ k)..<n\}$

apply $unfold\text{-}locales$ **by auto**

interpret $st1$: $partial\text{-}state2\ dims\ \{Suc\ k\}\ \{(Suc\ (Suc\ k))..<n\}$

apply $unfold\text{-}locales$ **by auto**

interpret $st2$: $partial\text{-}state2\ dims\ \{Suc\ k\}\ vars1 - \{Suc\ k\}$

apply $unfold\text{-}locales$ **by auto**

interpret $st3$: $partial\text{-}state2\ dims\ \{0..<Suc\ k\}\ \{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\}$

apply $unfold\text{-}locales$ **by auto**

interpret $st4$: $partial\text{-}state2\ dims\ \{0..<Suc\ (Suc\ k)\}\ \{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\}$

apply $unfold\text{-}locales$ **by auto**

from $exH-k\text{-}eq\text{-}H-k\ assms$ **have** $eq0$: $exH-k\ (Suc\ k)$

= $(st.pmat\text{-}extension\ (H-k\ k)) * (st2.pmat\text{-}extension\ hadamard)$ **by auto**

have $vars1 - \{0..<Suc\ k\} = \{(Suc\ k)..<n\}$ **using** $vars1\text{-}def$ **by auto**

then have $eq1$: $st.pmat\text{-}extension\ (H-k\ k) = st.ptensor\text{-}mat\ (H-k\ k)\ (1_m\ st.d2)$

using $st.pmat\text{-}extension\text{-}def$ **by auto**

from $dims\text{-}nth\text{-}one\text{-}lt\text{-}n[OF\ assms]$ **have** $st1d1$: $st1.d1 = 2$ **unfolding** $st1.d1\text{-}def$
 $st1.dims1\text{-}def$ **by fastforce**

have $\{Suc\ k\} \cup \{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\} = \{Suc\ k..<n\}$ **using** $assms$ **by auto**

then have $st1.d0 = st.d2$ **unfolding** $st1.d0\text{-}def\ st1.dims0\text{-}def\ st1.vars0\text{-}def$

$st.d2$ -def $st.dims2$ -def **by** *fastforce*
then have $eql2$: $st1.ptensor\text{-}mat (1_m 2) (1_m st1.d2) = 1_m st.d2$
using $st1.ptensor\text{-}mat\text{-}id\ st1d1$ **by** *auto*
have $eql3$: $st.ptensor\text{-}mat (H\text{-}k\ k) (1_m st.d2) = st.ptensor\text{-}mat (H\text{-}k\ k) (st1.ptensor\text{-}mat (1_m 2) (1_m st1.d2))$
apply ($subst\ eq12[symmetric]$) **by** *auto*

have $eqr1$: $(st2.pmat\text{-}extension\ hadamard) = st2.ptensor\text{-}mat\ hadamard (1_m st2.d2)$ **using** $st2.pmat\text{-}extension\text{-}def$ **by** *auto*
have $splitset$: $\{0..<Suc\ k\} \cup \{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\} = vars1 - \{Suc\ k\}$ **unfolding** $vars1\text{-}def$ **using** $assms$ **by** *auto*

have $Sksplit$: $\{Suc\ k\} \cup \{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\} = \{Suc\ k..<n\}$ **using** $assms$ **by** *auto*
have $Sksplit1$: $\{0..<Suc\ k\} \cup \{Suc\ k\} = \{0..<Suc\ (Suc\ k)\}$ **by** *auto*
have $st.ptensor\text{-}mat (H\text{-}k\ k) (st1.ptensor\text{-}mat (1_m 2) (1_m st1.d2)) = ptensor\text{-}mat\ dims (\{0..<Suc\ k\} \cup \{Suc\ k\}) \{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\} (ptensor\text{-}mat\ dims \{0..<Suc\ k\} \{Suc\ k\} (H\text{-}k\ k) (1_m 2)) (1_m st1.d2)$
apply ($subst\ ptensor\text{-}mat\text{-}assoc[symmetric, of \{0..<Suc\ k\} \{Suc\ k\} \{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\} H\text{-}k\ k\ 1_m\ 2\ 1_m\ st1.d2, simplified\ Sksplit]$)
using $assms\ length\text{-}dims$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = ptensor\text{-}mat\ dims (\{0..<Suc\ k\} \cup \{Suc\ k\}) \{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\} (ptensor\text{-}mat\ dims \{Suc\ k\} \{0..<Suc\ k\} (1_m 2) (H\text{-}k\ k)) (1_m st1.d2)$
using $ptensor\text{-}mat\text{-}comm[of \{0..<Suc\ k\} \{Suc\ k\}]$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = ptensor\text{-}mat\ dims \{Suc\ k\} (\{0..<Suc\ k\} \cup \{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\}) (1_m 2) (ptensor\text{-}mat\ dims \{0..<Suc\ k\} \{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\} (H\text{-}k\ k) (1_m st1.d2))$
apply ($subst\ sup\text{-}commute$)
apply ($subst\ ptensor\text{-}mat\text{-}assoc[of \{Suc\ k\} \{0..<Suc\ k\} \{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\} (1_m 2) H\text{-}k\ k\ 1_m\ st1.d2]$) **using** $assms\ length\text{-}dims$ **by** *auto*
finally have $st.pmat\text{-}extension (H\text{-}k\ k) = st2.ptensor\text{-}mat (1_m 2) (st3.ptensor\text{-}mat (H\text{-}k\ k) (1_m st3.d2))$ **using** $eql1\ eq13\ splitset$ **by** *auto*
moreover have $st.pmat\text{-}extension (H\text{-}k\ k) = exH\text{-}k\ k$ **using** $exH\text{-}k\text{-}eq\text{-}H\text{-}k\ assms$ **by** *auto*
ultimately have $eql4$: $exH\text{-}k\ k = st2.ptensor\text{-}mat (1_m 2) (st3.ptensor\text{-}mat (H\text{-}k\ k) (1_m st3.d2))$ **by** *auto*

have $st2.ptensor\text{-}mat\ hadamard (1_m st2.d2) * st2.ptensor\text{-}mat (1_m 2) (st3.ptensor\text{-}mat (H\text{-}k\ k) (1_m st3.d2)) = st2.ptensor\text{-}mat (hadamard*(1_m 2)) ((1_m st2.d2)* (st3.ptensor\text{-}mat (H\text{-}k\ k) (1_m st3.d2)))$
apply ($rule\ st2.ptensor\text{-}mat\text{-}mult[symmetric, of\ hadamard\ 1_m\ 2\ (1_m\ st2.d2)\ (st3.ptensor\text{-}mat\ (H\text{-}k\ k)\ (1_m\ st3.d2))]$)
subgoal unfolding $st2.d1\text{-}def\ st2.dims1\text{-}def$ **apply** ($simp\ add: dims\text{-}nth\text{-}one\text{-}lt\text{-}n\ assms$) **using** $hadamard\text{-}dim$ **by** *auto*
subgoal unfolding $st2.d1\text{-}def\ st2.dims1\text{-}def$ **by** ($simp\ add: dims\text{-}nth\text{-}one\text{-}lt\text{-}n\ assms$)
subgoal by *auto*

subgoal unfolding $st2.d2-def[unfolded\ st2.dims2-def]$ **using** $st3.ptensor-mat-dim-col[unfolded\ st3.d0-def\ st3.dims0-def\ st3.vars0-def,\ simplified\ splitset]$
 $st3.ptensor-mat-dim-row[unfolded\ st3.d0-def\ st3.dims0-def\ st3.vars0-def,$
 $simplified\ splitset]$ **by auto**
done
also have $\dots = st2.ptensor-mat\ (hadamard)\ (st3.ptensor-mat\ (H-k\ k)\ (1_m\ st3.d2))$
unfolding $st2.d2-def[unfolded\ st2.dims2-def]$
using $hadamard-dim\ st3.ptensor-mat-dim-col[unfolded\ st3.d0-def\ st3.dims0-def\ st3.vars0-def,\ simplified\ splitset]$
 $st3.ptensor-mat-dim-row[unfolded\ st3.d0-def\ st3.dims0-def\ st3.vars0-def,$
 $simplified\ splitset]$ **by auto**
also have $\dots = ptensor-mat\ dims\ (\{0..<Suc\ k\}\cup\{Suc\ k\})\ \{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\}$
 $(ptensor-mat\ dims\ \{Suc\ k\}\ \{0..<Suc\ k\}\ hadamard\ (H-k\ k))\ (1_m\ st3.d2)$
apply $(subst\ ptensor-mat-assoc[symmetric,\ of\ \{Suc\ k\}\ \{0..<Suc\ k\}\ \{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\}$
 $hadamard\ H-k\ k\ 1_m\ st3.d2,\ simplified\ splitset])$
using $assms\ length-dims$ **by auto**
also have $\dots = ptensor-mat\ dims\ (\{0..<Suc\ k\}\cup\{Suc\ k\})\ \{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\}$
 $(H-k\ (Suc\ k))\ (1_m\ st3.d2)$
using $ptensor-mat-comm[of\ \{Suc\ k\}]\ Sksplit1$ **by auto**
also have $\dots = ptensor-mat\ dims\ (\{0..<Suc\ (Suc\ k)\})\ \{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\}\ (H-k\ (Suc\ k))\ (1_m\ st3.d2)$ **using** $Sksplit1$ **by auto**
also have $\dots = pmat-extension\ dims\ \{0..<Suc\ (Suc\ k)\}\ \{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\}\ (H-k\ (Suc\ k))$
unfolding $st4.pmat-extension-def$ **by auto**
also have $\dots = exH-k\ (Suc\ k)$ **using** $exH-k-eq-H-k[of\ Suc\ k]\ assms$ **by auto**
finally have $st2.ptensor-mat\ hadamard\ (1_m\ st2.d2) * st2.ptensor-mat\ (1_m\ 2)$
 $(st3.ptensor-mat\ (H-k\ k)\ (1_m\ st3.d2))$
 $= exH-k\ (Suc\ k).$
then show $?thesis$ **unfolding** $hadamard-on-i-def$
using $eql4\ eqr1$ **by auto**
qed

lemma $exH-eq-H:$

$$exH-k\ (n - 1) = H-k\ (n - 1)$$

proof –

have $\exists m. n = Suc\ (Suc\ m)$ **using** n **by presburger**
then obtain m **where** $m: n = Suc\ (Suc\ m)$ **using** n **by auto**
then have $exH-k\ m = pmat-extension\ dims\ \{0..<(Suc\ m)\}\ \{(Suc\ m)..<n\}\ (H-k\ m)$ **using** $exH-k-eq-H-k$ **by auto**
then have $exH-k\ (Suc\ m) = pmat-extension\ dims\ \{0..<(Suc\ m)\}\ \{(Suc\ m)..<n\}\ (H-k\ m)$
 $* (pmat-extension\ dims\ \{Suc\ m\}\ (vars1 - \{Suc\ m\}))$
 $hadamard)$ **by auto**
moreover have $\{(Suc\ m)..<n\} = \{Suc\ m\}$ **using** m **by auto**
moreover have $vars1 - \{Suc\ m\} = \{0..<Suc\ m\}$ **unfolding** $vars1-def$ **using**
 m **by auto**
ultimately have $eqSm: exH-k\ (Suc\ m) = pmat-extension\ dims\ \{0..<(Suc\ m)\}$
 $\{Suc\ m\}\ (H-k\ m)$

```

* (pmat-extension dims {Suc m} {0..<Suc m} hadamard)
by auto

interpret stm1: partial-state2 dims {Suc m} {0..<Suc m}
  apply unfold-locales by auto
interpret stm2: partial-state2 dims {0..<Suc m} {Suc m}
  apply unfold-locales by auto
have nthS dims {0..<Suc m} = replicate (Suc m) 2 using dims-nths-le-n m by
auto
then have stm2d1: stm2.d1 = 2^(Suc m) unfolding stm2.d1-def stm2.dims1-def
by auto
have stm2d2: stm2.d2 = 2 unfolding stm2.d2-def stm2.dims2-def using dims-nths-one-lt-n
m by auto

have m < n using m by auto
then have H-k m ∈ carrier-mat (2^(Suc m)) (2^(Suc m)) using H-k-dim by
auto
then have Hkm1: (H-k m) * (1_m stm2.d1) = (H-k m) unfolding stm2d1 by
auto

have eqd12: stm1.d2 = stm2.d1 unfolding stm1.d2-def stm1.dims2-def stm2.d1-def
stm2.dims1-def by auto
have pmat-extension dims {Suc m} {0..<Suc m} hadamard = stm1.ptensor-mat
hadamard (1_m stm1.d2) using stm1.pmat-extension-def by auto
also have ... = stm2.ptensor-mat (1_m stm2.d1) hadamard using ptensor-mat-comm
eqd12 by auto
finally have eqr: (pmat-extension dims {Suc m} {0..<Suc m} hadamard) =
stm2.ptensor-mat (1_m stm2.d1) hadamard.
then have exH-k (Suc m) = stm2.ptensor-mat (H-k m) (1_m stm2.d2) * stm2.ptensor-mat
(1_m stm2.d1) hadamard
using eqSm unfolding stm2.pmat-extension-def by auto
also have ... = stm2.ptensor-mat ((H-k m) * (1_m stm2.d1)) (1_m stm2.d2 *
hadamard)
apply (rule stm2.ptensor-mat-mult[symmetric, of H-k m 1_m stm2.d1 1_m
stm2.d2 hadamard])
unfolding stm2d1 stm2d2 using H-k-dim m hadamard-dim by auto
also have ... = stm2.ptensor-mat (H-k m) (hadamard) using H-k-dim hadamard-dim
stm2d1 stm2d2 Hkm1 by auto
also have ... = H-k (Suc m) unfolding stm2.ptensor-mat-def H-k.simps by
auto
finally have exH-k (Suc m) = H-k (Suc m) by auto
moreover have Suc m = n - 1 using m by auto
ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed

fun ket-zero-k :: nat ⇒ complex vec where
  ket-zero-k 0 = ket-zero
| ket-zero-k (Suc k) = ptensor-vec dims {0..<(Suc k)} {Suc k} (ket-zero k)
ket-zero

```

```

lemma ket-zero-k-dim:
  assumes  $k < n$ 
  shows  $\text{ket-zero-k } k \in \text{carrier-vec } (2^\wedge(\text{Suc } k))$ 
proof (cases k)
  case 0
  show ?thesis using ket-zero-dim 0 by auto
next
  case (Suc k)
  interpret st: partial-state2 dims {0..<(Suc k)} {Suc k}
  apply unfold-locales by auto
  have  $\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k) \leq n$  using assms Suc by auto
  then have  $\text{nths dims } (\{0..<\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)\}) = \text{replicate } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)) \ 2$  using
dims-nths-le-n by auto
  moreover have  $\text{prod-list } (\text{replicate } l \ 2) = 2^\wedge l$  for  $l$  by simp
  moreover have  $\{0..<\text{Suc } k\} \cup \{\text{Suc } k\} = \{0..<(\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k))\}$  by auto
  ultimately have  $\text{plssk: prod-list } (\text{nths dims } (\{0..<\text{Suc } k\} \cup \{\text{Suc } k\})) = 2^\wedge(\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k))$  by auto
  show ?thesis apply (rule carrier-vecI) unfolding ket-zero-k.simps Suc
  using st.ptensor-vec-dim[of ket-zero-k k ket-zero] plssk unfolding st.d0-def
st.dims0-def st.vars0-def by auto
qed

fun ket-plus-k where
  ket-plus-k 0 = ket-plus
| ket-plus-k (Suc k) = ptensor-vec dims {0..<(Suc k)} {Suc k} (ket-plus-k k)
ket-plus

lemma ket-plus-k-dim:
  assumes  $k < n$ 
  shows  $\text{ket-plus-k } k \in \text{carrier-vec } (2^\wedge(\text{Suc } k))$ 
proof (cases k)
  case 0
  show ?thesis using ket-plus-dim 0 by auto
next
  case (Suc k)
  interpret st: partial-state2 dims {0..<(Suc k)} {Suc k}
  apply unfold-locales by auto
  have  $\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k) \leq n$  using assms Suc by auto
  then have  $\text{nths dims } (\{0..<\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)\}) = \text{replicate } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)) \ 2$  using
dims-nths-le-n by auto
  moreover have  $\text{prod-list } (\text{replicate } l \ 2) = 2^\wedge l$  for  $l$  by simp
  moreover have  $\{0..<\text{Suc } k\} \cup \{\text{Suc } k\} = \{0..<(\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k))\}$  by auto
  ultimately have  $\text{plssk: prod-list } (\text{nths dims } (\{0..<\text{Suc } k\} \cup \{\text{Suc } k\})) = 2^\wedge(\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k))$  by auto
  show ?thesis apply (rule carrier-vecI) unfolding ket-zero-k.simps Suc
  using st.ptensor-vec-dim plssk unfolding st.d0-def st.dims0-def st.vars0-def
by auto
qed

```

lemma *H-k-ket-zero-k*:
 $k < n \implies (H-k\ k) *_v (ket-zero-k\ k) = (ket-plus-k\ k)$
proof (*induct k*)
 case 0
 show ?*case* **using** *hadamard-on-zero unfolding H-k.simps ket-zero-k.simps ket-plus-k.simps*
by *auto*
next
 case (*Suc k*)
 then **have** *k: k < n* **by** *auto*
 interpret *st: partial-state2 dims {0..<(Suc k)} {Suc k}*
 apply *unfold-locales* **by** *auto*
 have *nths dims {0..<Suc k} = replicate (Suc k) 2* **using** *dims-nths-le-n Suc* **by**
auto
 then **have** *std1: st.d1 = 2^(Suc k)* **unfolding** *st.d1-def st.dims1-def* **by** *auto*
 have *std2: st.d2 = 2* **unfolding** *st.d2-def st.dims2-def* **using** *dims-nths-one-lt-n*
Suc **by** *auto*
 have *H-k (Suc k) *_v ket-zero-k (Suc k) = st.ptensor-mat (H-k k) hadamard *_v*
st.ptensor-vec (ket-zero-k k) ket-zero **by** *auto*
 also **have** $\dots = st.ptensor-vec ((H-k\ k) *_v (ket-zero-k\ k)) (hadamard *_v ket-zero)$

 using *st.ptensor-mat-mult-vec[unfolded std1 std2, OF H-k-dim[OF k] ket-zero-k-dim[OF*
k] hadamard-dim ket-zero-dim] **by** *auto*
 also **have** $\dots = st.ptensor-vec (ket-plus-k\ k) ket-plus$ **using** *Suc hadamard-on-zero*
by *auto*
 finally **show** ?*case* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *encode1-replicate-2*:
partial-state.encode1 (replicate (Suc k) 2) {0..<k} i = i mod (2 ^ k)
proof –
 have *take-Suc: take k (replicate (Suc k) 2) = replicate k 2*
 apply (*subst take-replicate*) **by** *auto*
 have *take-encode: take k (digit-encode (replicate (Suc k) 2) i) = digit-encode*
(replicate k 2) i
 apply (*subst digit-encode-take*) **using** *take-Suc* **by** *metis*
 show ?*thesis*
 unfolding *partial-state.encode1-def partial-state.dims1-def*
 nths-upt-eq-take[simplified lessThan-atLeast0] take-Suc take-encode
 digit-decode-encode prod-list-replicate ..
qed

lemma *encode2-replicate-2*:
 assumes $i < 2 ^ Suc\ k$
 shows *partial-state.encode2 (replicate (Suc k) 2) {0..<k} i = i div (2 ^ k)*
proof –
 have *drop-Suc: drop k (replicate (Suc k) 2) = [2]*

apply (*subst drop-replicate*) **by** *auto*
have *drop-encode*: $\text{drop } k \text{ (digit-encode (replicate (Suc } k) 2) i) = \text{digit-encode [2]}$
(i div (2 ^ k))
unfolding *digit-encode-drop drop-Suc take-replicate prod-list-replicate*
by (*metis lessI min.strict-order-iff*)
have *le2*: $i \text{ div } 2^k < 2$
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: less-mult-imp-div-less*)
have *prod-list-2*: $\text{prod-list [2]} = 2$ **by** *simp*
show *?thesis*
unfolding *partial-state.encode2-def partial-state.dims2-def*
nths-minus-upt-eq-drop[simplified lessThan-atLeast0] drop-Suc drop-encode
digit-decode-encode prod-list-2
using *le2* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *ket-zero-k-decode*:

$k < n \implies \text{ket-zero-k } k = \text{Matrix.vec } (2^{Suc\ k}) \ (\lambda k. \text{if } k = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$

proof (*induct k*)

case *0*

show *?case* **apply** (*rule eq-vecI*) **by** (*auto simp add: ket-zero-def*)

next

case (*Suc k*)

then have *k*: $k < n$ **by** *auto*

have *kzkk*: $\text{ket-zero-k } k = \text{Matrix.vec } (2^{Suc\ k}) \ (\lambda k. \text{if } (k = 0) \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$

using *Suc(1)[OF k]* **by** *auto*

have *dSk*: $\text{ket-zero-k } (Suc\ k) \in \text{carrier-vec } (2^{Suc\ (Suc\ k)})$ **using** *ket-zero-k-dim[OF Suc(2)]* **by** *auto*

interpret *st*: *partial-state replicate (Suc (Suc k)) 2 {0..<Suc k}*.

interpret *st2*: *partial-state2 dims {0..<Suc k} {Suc k}* **by** (*unfold-locales, auto*)

have *splitset*: $(\{0..<Suc\ k\} \cup \{Suc\ k\}) = \{0..<Suc\ (Suc\ k)\}$ **by** *auto*

then have *st2dims0*: $st2.dims0 = \text{replicate } (Suc\ (Suc\ k))\ 2$ **unfolding** *st2.dims0-def st2.vars0-def*

using *dims-nths-le-n[of Suc (Suc k)] Suc* **by** *auto*

have $\bigwedge x. (x \in \{0..<Suc\ k\} \implies \{y \in \{0..<Suc\ (Suc\ k)\}. y < x\} = \{0..<x\})$
by *auto*

then have *cardeq*: $\bigwedge x. (x \in \{0..<Suc\ k\} \implies \text{card } \{y \in \{0..<Suc\ (Suc\ k)\}. y < x\} = \text{card } \{0..<x\})$ **by** *auto*

have *setcong*: $\bigwedge g\ h\ I. (\bigwedge x. (x \in I \implies g\ x = h\ x)) \implies \{g\ x \mid x. x \in I\} = \{h\ x \mid x. x \in I\}$ **by** *metis*

have $\{\text{card } \{y \in \{0..<Suc\ (Suc\ k)\}. y < x\} \mid x. x \in \{0..<Suc\ k\}\} = \{\text{card } \{0..<x\} \mid x. x \in \{0..<Suc\ k\}\}$

using *setcong[OF cardeq, of {0..<Suc k}]* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = \{0..<Suc\ k\}$ **by** *auto*

finally have *st2vars1'*: $st2.vars1' = \{0..<Suc\ k\}$ **unfolding** *st2.vars1'-def st2.vars0-def splitset ind-in-set-def* **by** *fastforce*

have *st2pvsttv*: $st2.ptensor-vec = st.tensor-vec$ **unfolding** *st2.ptensor-vec-def*

```

using st2dims0 st2vars1' by auto
  have st.encode1 0 = 0 using encode1-replicate-2[of Suc k 0] by auto
  moreover have st.encode2 0 = 0 using encode2-replicate-2[of 0 Suc k] by auto
  moreover have std: st.d = 2^(Suc (Suc k)) unfolding st.d-def by auto
  ultimately have kzkk0: ket-zero-k (Suc k) $ 0 = 1
    unfolding ket-zero-k.simps st2pvsttv st.tensor-vec-def ket-zero-def using kzkk
by auto

  have kzkki: ket-zero-k (Suc k) $ i = 0 if ine0: i ≠ 0 and ile: i < 2^(Suc (Suc k))
for i
  proof (cases i mod (2^(Suc k)) ≠ 0)
    case True
      then have ket-zero-k k $ st.encode1 i = 0 unfolding kzkk using encode1-replicate-2[of Suc k i] ile by auto
      then show ?thesis unfolding ket-zero-k.simps st2pvsttv st.tensor-vec-def ket-zero-def std using ile by auto
    next
      case False
        have i div (2^(Suc k)) ≠ 0 ∨ i mod (2^(Suc k)) ≠ 0 using ine0 by fastforce
        then have i div (2^(Suc k)) ≠ 0 using False by auto
        moreover have i div (2^(Suc k)) < 2 using ile less-mult-imp-div-less by auto
        ultimately have i div (2^(Suc k)) = 1 by auto
        then have st.encode2 i = 1 using encode2-replicate-2[of i Suc k] ile by auto
        then have Matrix.vec 2 (λk. if k = 0 then 1 else 0) $ st.encode2 i = 0
          unfolding kzkk by fastforce
        then show ?thesis unfolding ket-zero-k.simps st2pvsttv st.tensor-vec-def ket-zero-def std using ile by auto
      qed

  show ?case apply (rule eq-vecI)
    subgoal for i using kzkk0 kzkki by auto
    using carrier-vecD[OF dSk] by auto
qed

lemma ket-plus-k-decode:
  k < n ⇒ ket-plus-k k = Matrix.vec (2^(Suc k)) (λl. 1 / csqrt (2^(Suc k)))
proof (induct k)
  case 0
    then show ?case unfolding ket-plus-k.simps ket-plus-def by auto
  next
    case (Suc k)
      then have kpkk: ket-plus-k k = Matrix.vec (2^(Suc k)) (λl. 1 / csqrt (2^(Suc k))
by auto

    have dSk: ket-plus-k (Suc k) ∈ carrier-vec (2^(Suc (Suc k))) using ket-plus-k-dim[OF Suc(2)] by auto

    interpret st: partial-state replicate (Suc (Suc k)) 2 {0..Suc k}.
    interpret st2: partial-state2 dims {0..Suc k} {Suc k} by (unfold-locales, auto)

```

have *splitset*: $(\{0..<Suc\ k\} \cup \{Suc\ k\}) = \{0..<Suc\ (Suc\ k)\}$ **by** *auto*
then have *st2dims0*: $st2.dims0 = replicate\ (Suc\ (Suc\ k))\ 2$ **unfolding** *st2.dims0-def*
st2.vars0-def
using *dims-nths-le-n*[of *Suc (Suc k)*] *Suc* **by** *auto*
have $\bigwedge x. (x \in \{0..<Suc\ k\} \implies \{y \in \{0..<Suc\ (Suc\ k)\}. y < x\} = \{0..<x\})$
by *auto*
then have *cardeg*: $\bigwedge x. (x \in \{0..<Suc\ k\} \implies card\ \{y \in \{0..<Suc\ (Suc\ k)\}. y < x\} = card\ \{0..<x\})$ **by** *auto*
have *setcong*: $\bigwedge g\ h\ I. (\bigwedge x. (x \in I \implies g\ x = h\ x)) \implies \{g\ x \mid x. x \in I\} = \{h\ x \mid x. x \in I\}$ **by** *metis*
have $\{card\ \{y \in \{0..<Suc\ (Suc\ k)\}. y < x\} \mid x. x \in \{0..<Suc\ k\}\} = \{card\ \{0..<x\} \mid x. x \in \{0..<Suc\ k\}\}$
using *setcong*[*OF cardeg, of {0..<Suc k}*] **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \{0..<Suc\ k\}$ **by** *auto*
finally have *st2vars1'*: $st2.vars1' = \{0..<Suc\ k\}$ **unfolding** *st2.vars1'-def*
st2.vars0-def *splitset ind-in-set-def* **by** *blast*
have *st2pvsttv*: $st2.ptensor-vec = st.tensor-vec$ **unfolding** *st2.ptensor-vec-def*
using *st2dims0 st2vars1'* **by** *auto*

have $csqrt\ (2 \wedge (Suc\ k)) = complex-of-real\ (sqrt\ (2 \wedge (Suc\ k)))$ **by** *simp*
moreover have $complex-of-real\ (sqrt\ (2 \wedge (Suc\ k))) * complex-of-real\ (sqrt\ 2) = complex-of-real\ (sqrt\ (2 \wedge (Suc\ (Suc\ k))))$
by (*metis of-real-mult power-Suc power-commutes real-sqrt-power*)
ultimately have $csqrt\ (2 \wedge (Suc\ k)) * csqrt\ 2 = csqrt\ (2 \wedge (Suc\ (Suc\ k)))$ **by** *auto*
moreover have $1 / csqrt\ (2 \wedge Suc\ k) * 1 / csqrt\ 2 = 1 / (csqrt\ (2 \wedge (Suc\ k)) * csqrt\ 2)$ **by** *simp*
ultimately have $csqrt2p : 1 / csqrt\ (2 \wedge Suc\ k) * 1 / csqrt\ 2 = 1 / (csqrt\ (2 \wedge (Suc\ (Suc\ k))))$ **by** *simp*

have *std*: $st.d = 2 \wedge (Suc\ (Suc\ k))$ **unfolding** *st.d-def* **by** *auto*

have *nthsSSk2*: $nths\ (replicate\ (Suc\ (Suc\ k))\ 2)\ \{0..<Suc\ k\} = replicate\ (Suc\ k)\ 2$
unfolding *nths-replicate*[of *Suc (Suc k)*] $2\ \{0..<Suc\ k\}$
by (*smt (verit) Collect-cong <{card {0..<x} | x. x ∈ {0..<Suc k}} = {0..<Suc k}> atLeastLessThan-iff card-atLeastLessThan diff-zero less-SucI*)
then have *std1*: $st.d1 = 2 \wedge (Suc\ k)$ **unfolding** *st.d1-def* *st.dims1-def* *nthsSSk2*
by *auto*
have $\{i. i < Suc\ (Suc\ k) \wedge i \in \{Suc\ k..\}\} = \{Suc\ k\}$ **by** *auto*
then have *nths* (*replicate (Suc (Suc k)) 2*) ($\{Suc\ k..\}$) = *replicate 1 2* **unfolding** *nths-replicate* **by** *auto*
moreover have $(-\ \{0..<Suc\ k\}) = \{Suc\ k..\}$ **by** *auto*
ultimately have *nthsSSk2c*: $nths\ (replicate\ (Suc\ (Suc\ k))\ 2)\ (-\ \{0..<Suc\ k\}) = replicate\ 1\ 2$ **by** *auto*
have *std2*: $st.d2 = 2$ **unfolding** *st.d2-def* *st.dims2-def* **apply** (*subst nthsSSk2c*)
by *auto*

have $st.encode1\ i < st.d1$ **if** $i < st.d$ **for** i **using** *that* $st.encode1-1t[OF\ that]$ **by** *auto*
then have $kpkki: ket-plus-k\ k\ \$\ st.encode1\ i = 1 / csqrt\ (2^\wedge(Suc\ k))$ **if** $i < st.d$
for i **unfolding** $kpkk\ std1$ **using** *that* **by** *auto*
have $st.encode2\ i < st.d2$ **if** $i < st.d$ **for** i **using** *that* $st.encode2-1t[OF\ that]$ **by** *auto*
then have $kpi: ket-plus\ \$\ st.encode2\ i = 1 / csqrt\ 2$ **if** $i < st.d$ **for** i **unfolding** $ket-plus-def\ std2$ **using** *that* **by** *auto*
have $kzkkki: ket-plus-k\ (Suc\ k)\ \$\ i = 1 / (csqrt\ (2^\wedge(Suc\ (Suc\ k))))$ **if** $i < st.d$
for i
unfolding $ket-plus-k.simps\ st2pvsttv\ st.tensor-vec-def$ **using** $csqrt2p\ kpkki\ kpi$
that **by** *auto*
show $?case$ **apply** $(rule\ eq-vecI)$
subgoal for i **using** $kzkkki$ **unfolding** std **by** *auto*
using $carrier-vecD[OF\ dSk]$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma $exH-k-mult-pre-is-psi:$

$exH-k\ (n - 1)\ *_v\ ket-pre = \psi$

proof $-$

have $exH-k\ (n - 1) = H-k\ (n - 1)$ **using** $exH-eq-H$ **by** *auto*

moreover have $ket-zero-k\ (n - 1) = ket-pre$ **using** $ket-zero-k-decode[of\ n - 1]$
 $ket-pre-def\ N-def\ n$ **by** *auto*

moreover have $ket-plus-k\ (n - 1) = \psi$ **using** $ket-plus-k-decode[of\ n - 1]$ $\psi-def\ N-def\ n$ **by** *auto*

moreover have $H-k\ (n - 1)\ *_v\ ket-zero-k\ (n - 1) = ket-plus-k\ (n - 1)$ **using** $H-k-ket-zero-k\ n$ **by** *auto*

ultimately show $?thesis$ **by** *auto*

qed

definition $ket-k :: nat \Rightarrow complex\ vec$ **where**

$ket-k\ x = Matrix.vec\ K\ (\lambda k. if\ k = x\ then\ 1\ else\ 0)$

lemma $ket-k-dim:$

$ket-k\ k \in carrier-vec\ K$

unfolding $ket-k-def$ **by** *auto*

lemma $mat-incr-mult-ket-k:$

$k < K \implies (mat-incr\ K)\ *_v\ (ket-k\ k) = (ket-k\ ((k + 1)\ mod\ K))$

apply $(rule\ eq-vecI)$

unfolding $mat-incr-def\ ket-k-def$

apply $(simp\ add: scalar-prod-def)$

apply $(case-tac\ k = K - 1)$

subgoal for i **apply** *auto* **by** $(simp\ add: sum-only-one-neq-0[of\ -\ K - 1])$

subgoal for i **apply** *auto* **by** $(simp\ add: sum-only-one-neq-0[of\ -\ i - 1])$

by *auto*

definition $proj-k$ **where**

$proj-k\ x = proj\ (ket-k\ x)$

lemma *proj-k-dim*:
proj-k $k \in \text{carrier-mat } K \ K$
unfolding *proj-k-def* **using** *ket-k-dim* **by** *auto*

lemma *norm-ket-k-lt-K*:
 $k < K \implies \text{inner-prod } (\text{ket-k } k) (\text{ket-k } k) = 1$
unfolding *ket-k-def* **apply** (*simp add: scalar-prod-def*)
using *sum-only-one-neq-0*[of $\{0..<K\}$ $k \ \lambda i. (\text{if } i = k \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0) * \text{cnj } (\text{if } i = k \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$] **by** *auto*

lemma *norm-ket-k-ge-K*:
 $k \geq K \implies \text{inner-prod } (\text{ket-k } k) (\text{ket-k } k) = 0$
unfolding *ket-k-def* **by** (*simp add: scalar-prod-def*)

lemma *norm-ket-k*:
 $\text{inner-prod } (\text{ket-k } k) (\text{ket-k } k) \leq 1$
apply (*case-tac k < K*)
using *norm-ket-k-lt-K norm-ket-k-ge-K* **by** (*auto simp: less-eq-complex-def*)

lemma *proj-k-mat*:
assumes $k < K$
shows *proj-k* $k = \text{mat } K \ K \ (\lambda(i, j). \text{if } (i = j \wedge i = k) \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$
apply (*rule eq-matI*)
apply (*simp add: proj-k-def ket-k-def index-outer-prod*)
using *proj-k-dim* **by** *auto*

lemma *positive-proj-k*:
positive (*proj-k* k)
using *positive-same-outer-prod* **unfolding** *proj-k-def ket-k-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *proj-k-le-one*:
 $(\text{proj-k } k) \leq_L 1_m \ K$
unfolding *proj-k-def* **using** *outer-prod-le-one norm-ket-k ket-k-def* **by** *auto*

definition *proj-psi* **where**
proj-psi $= \text{proj } \psi$

lemma *proj-psi-dim*:
proj-psi $\in \text{carrier-mat } N \ N$
unfolding *proj-psi-def* $\psi\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*

lemma *norm-psi*:
 $\text{inner-prod } \psi \ \psi = 1$
apply (*simp add: psi-eval scalar-prod-def*)
by (*metis norm-of-nat norm-of-real of-real-mult of-real-of-nat-eq real-sqrt-mult-self*)

lemma *proj-psi-mat*:
proj-psi $= \text{mat } N \ N \ (\lambda k. 1 / N)$

unfolding *proj-psi-def*
apply (*rule eq-matI, simp-all*)
apply (*simp add: psi-def index-outer-prod*)
apply (*smt (verit) of-nat-less-0-iff of-real-of-nat-eq of-real-power power2-eq-square*
real-sqrt-pow2)
by (*auto simp add: carrier-matD[OF outer-prod-dim[OF psi-dim(1) psi-dim(1)]]*)

lemma *hermitian-proj-psi*:
hermitian proj-psi
unfolding *hermitian-def proj-psi-mat* **apply** (*rule eq-matI*)
by (*auto simp add: adjoint-eval*)

lemma *hermitian-exproj-psi*:
hermitian (tensor-P proj-psi (1_m K))
unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*
apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-hermitian*)
using *proj-psi-dim ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 hermitian-proj-psi hermitian-one* **by** *auto*

lemma *proj-psi-is-projection*:
*proj-psi * proj-psi = proj-psi*
proof –
have *proj-psi * proj-psi = inner-prod psi psi ._m proj-psi*
unfolding *proj-psi-def*
apply (*subst outer-prod-mult-outer-prod*) **using** *psi-def* **by** *auto*
also have *... = proj-psi*
using *psi-inner* **by** *auto*
finally show *?thesis*.
qed

lemma *proj-psi-trace*:
trace (proj-psi) = 1
unfolding *proj-psi-def*
apply (*subst trace-outer-prod[of - N]*)
subgoal unfolding *psi-def* **by** *auto using norm-psi by auto*

lemma *positive-proj-psi*:
positive (proj-psi)
using *positive-same-outer-prod* **unfolding** *proj-psi-def psi-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *proj-psi-le-one*:
(proj-psi) ≤_L 1_m N
unfolding *proj-psi-def* **using** *outer-prod-le-one norm-psi psi-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *hermitian-hadamard-on-k*:
assumes *k < n*
shows *hermitian (hadamard-on-i k)*
proof –
interpret *st2: partial-state2 dims {k} (vars1 - {k})*
apply *unfold-locales* **by** *auto*

```

have st2d1: st2.dims1 = [2] unfolding st2.dims1-def dims-def
  using assms dims-nths-one-lt-n local.dims-def st2.dims1-def by auto
show hermitian (hadamard-on-i k) unfolding hadamard-on-i-def st2.pmat-extension-def
st2.ptensor-mat-def
  apply (rule partial-state.tensor-mat-hermitian)
  subgoal unfolding partial-state.d1-def partial-state.dims1-def st2.nths-vars1'
hadamard-def by (simp add: st2d1)
  subgoal unfolding partial-state.d2-def partial-state.dims2-def st2.nths-vars2'
st2.d2-def by auto
  subgoal unfolding hermitian-def hadamard-def apply (rule eq-matI) by (auto
simp add: adjoint-dim adjoint-eval)
  using hermitian-one by auto
qed

```

```

lemma hermitian-H-k:
   $k < n \implies \text{hermitian } (H\text{-}k\ k)$ 
proof (induct k)
  case 0
  show ?case unfolding H-k.simps hermitian-def hadamard-def apply (rule eq-matI)
by (auto simp add: adjoint-dim adjoint-eval)
next
  case (Suc k)
  interpret st2: partial-state2 dims {0..<Suc k} {Suc k}
  apply unfold-locales by auto
  have st2d1: prod-list st2.dims1 = (2~(Suc k)) unfolding st2.dims1-def dims-def
using Suc(2)
  using dims-nths-le-n local.dims-def st2.dims1-def by auto
  have st2d2: st2.dims2 = [2] unfolding st2.dims2-def dims-def using Suc(2)
  using dims-nths-one-lt-n local.dims-def st2.dims2-def by auto
  show ?case unfolding H-k.simps st2.ptensor-mat-def
  apply (rule partial-state.tensor-mat-hermitian)
  subgoal unfolding partial-state.d1-def partial-state.dims1-def st2.nths-vars1'
using st2d1 H-k-dim Suc by auto
  subgoal unfolding partial-state.d2-def partial-state.dims2-def st2.nths-vars2'
st2.d2-def using st2d2 by (simp add: hadamard-def)
  subgoal using Suc by auto
  using hermitian-hadamard by auto
qed

```

```

lemma unitary-H-k:
   $k < n \implies \text{unitary } (H\text{-}k\ k)$ 
proof (induct k)
  case 0
  show ?case using unitary-hadamard by auto
next
  case (Suc k)
  then have k: k < n by auto
  interpret st2: partial-state2 dims {0..<Suc k} {Suc k} by (unfold-locales, auto)

```

```

have st2d1: prod-list st2.dims1 = (2∧(Suc k)) unfolding st2.dims1-def dims-def
using Suc(2)
  using dims-nths-le-n local.dims-def st2.dims1-def by auto
have st2d2: st2.dims2 = [2] unfolding st2.dims2-def dims-def using Suc(2)
  using dims-nths-one-lt-n local.dims-def st2.dims2-def by auto
show ?case unfolding H-k.simps st2.ptensor-mat-def
  apply (rule partial-state.tensor-mat-unitary[of H-k k st2.dims0 st2.vars1' hadamard]
)
  unfolding partial-state.d1-def partial-state.dims1-def st2.nths-vars1' partial-state.d2-def
  partial-state.dims2-def
    st2.nths-vars2'
    apply (auto simp add: st2d1 st2d2 )
    subgoal using H-k-dim[OF k] by auto
    subgoal using hadamard-dim by auto
    subgoal using Suc by auto
    using unitary-hadamard by auto
qed

```

```

lemma exH-k-dim:
  shows k < n  $\implies$  exH-k k  $\in$  carrier-mat N N
  apply (induct k)
  using hadamard-on-i-dim by auto

```

```

lemma exH-n-dim:
  shows exH-k (n - 1)  $\in$  carrier-mat N N
  using exH-k-dim n by auto

```

```

lemma unitary-exH-k:
  shows k < n  $\implies$  unitary (exH-k k)
proof (induct k)
  case 0
    then show ?case unfolding exH-k.simps using unitary-hadamard-on-i 0 by
  auto
next
  case (Suc k)
    show ?case unfolding exH-k.simps apply (subst unitary-times-unitary[of - N])
    subgoal using exH-k-dim Suc by auto
    subgoal using hadamard-on-i-dim Suc by auto
    subgoal using Suc by auto
    using unitary-hadamard-on-i Suc by auto
qed

```

```

lemma hermitian-exH-n:
  hermitian (exH-k (n - 1))
  using hermitian-H-k exH-eq-H n by auto

```

```

lemma exH-k-mult-psi-is-pre:
  exH-k (n - 1) *v ψ = ket-pre
proof -

```

```

let ?H = exH-k (n - 1)
have hermitian ?H using hermitian-H-k exH-eq-H n by auto
then have eqad: adjoint ?H = ?H unfolding hermitian-def by auto
have d: ?H ∈ carrier-mat N N using exH-k-dim n by auto
have unitary ?H using unitary-exH-k n by auto
then have id: ?H * ?H = 1m N
  unfolding unitary-def inverts-mat-def
  using d apply (subst (2) eqad[symmetric]) by auto
have ?H *v ψ = ?H *v (?H *v ket-pre)
  using exH-k-mult-pre-is-psi by auto
also have ... = (?H * ?H) *v ket-pre
  using d ket-pre-def by auto
also have ... = ket-pre
  using id ket-pre-def by auto
finally show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

```

fun exexH-k :: nat ⇒ complex mat where
  exexH-k k = tensor-P (exH-k k) (1m K)

```

```

lemma unitary-exexH-k:
  k < n ⇒ unitary (exexH-k k)
  unfolding exexH-k.simps ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def
  apply (subst partial-state.tensor-mat-unitary)
  subgoal using exH-k-dim unfolding partial-state.d1-def partial-state.dims1-def
  ps2-P.nths-vars1' ps2-P.dims1-def dims-vars1 N-def by auto
  subgoal unfolding partial-state.d2-def partial-state.dims2-def ps2-P.nths-vars2'
  ps2-P.dims2-def dims-vars2 by auto
  using unitary-exH-k unitary-one by auto

```

```

lemma exexH-k-dim:
  k < n ⇒ exexH-k k ∈ carrier-mat d d
  unfolding exexH-k.simps using ps2-P.ptensor-mat-carrier ps2-P-d0 by auto

```

```

lemma hoare-seq-utrans:
  fixes P :: complex mat
  assumes unitary U1 and unitary U2 and is-quantum-predicate P
  and dU1: U1 ∈ carrier-mat d d and dU2: U2 ∈ carrier-mat d d
  shows
    ⊢p
    {adjoint (U2 * U1) * P * (U2 * U1)}
    Utrans U1;; Utrans U2
    {P}
  proof -
    have hp0: ⊢p {adjoint (U2) * P * (U2)} Utrans U2 {P}
      using assms hoare-partial.intros by auto
    have qp: is-quantum-predicate (adjoint (U2) * P * (U2))
      using qp-close-under-unitary-operator assms by auto
    then have hp1: ⊢p {adjoint U1 * (adjoint (U2) * P * (U2)) * U1} Utrans U1

```

```

{adjoint (U2) * P * (U2)}
  using hoare-partial.intros by auto
  have dP: P ∈ carrier-mat d d using assms is-quantum-predicate-def by auto
  have eq: adjoint U1 * (adjoint U2 * P * U2) * U1 = adjoint (U2 * U1) * P *
(U2 * U1)
  using dU1 dU2 dP by (mat-assoc d)
  with hp1 have hp2: ⊢p {adjoint (U2 * U1) * P * (U2 * U1)} Utrans U1
{adjoint (U2) * P * (U2)} by auto

  have is-quantum-predicate (adjoint U1 * (adjoint U2 * P * U2) * U1) using
qp qp-close-under-unitary-operator assms by auto
  then have is-quantum-predicate (adjoint (U2 * U1) * P * (U2 * U1)) using
eq by auto
  then show ?thesis using hoare-partial.intros(3)[OF - qp assms(3)] hp0 hp2 by
auto
qed

```

```

lemma qp-close-after-exexH-k:
  fixes P :: complex mat
  assumes is-quantum-predicate P
  shows k < n ⇒ is-quantum-predicate (adjoint (exexH-k k) * P * exexH-k k)
  apply (subst qp-close-under-unitary-operator)
  subgoal using exexH-k-dim by auto
  subgoal using unitary-exexH-k by auto
  using assms by auto

```

```

lemma hoare-hadamard-n:
  fixes P :: complex mat
  shows is-quantum-predicate P ⇒ k < n ⇒
  ⊢p
{adjoint (exexH-k k) * P * exexH-k k}
hadamard-n (Suc k)
{P}
proof (induct k arbitrary: P)
  case 0
  have qp: is-quantum-predicate (adjoint (exexH-k 0) * P * exexH-k 0)
  using qp-close-under-unitary-operator[OF - unitary-exhadamard-on-i[of 0]] ten-
sor-P-dim 0 by auto
  then have ⊢p {adjoint (exexH-k 0) * P * exexH-k 0} SKIP {adjoint (exexH-k
0) * P * exexH-k 0}
  using hoare-partial.intros(1) by auto
  moreover have ⊢p {adjoint (exexH-k 0) * P * exexH-k 0} Utrans (tensor-P
(hadamard-on-i 0) (1m K)) {P}
  using hoare-partial.intros(2) 0 by auto
  ultimately have ⊢p {adjoint (exexH-k 0) * P * exexH-k 0} SKIP;; Utrans
(tensor-P (hadamard-on-i 0) (1m K)) {P}
  using hoare-partial.intros(3) qp 0 by auto
  then show ?case using qp by auto
next

```

```

case (Suc k)
have h1:  $\vdash_p$ 
  {adjoint (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc k)) (1m K)) * P * (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i
(Suc k)) (1m K)))}
  Utrans (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc k)) (1m K))
  {P}
  using hoare-partial.intros Suc by auto
  have qp: is-quantum-predicate (adjoint (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc k)) (1m
K)) * P * (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc k)) (1m K)))
  apply (subst qp-close-under-unitary-operator)
  subgoal using ps2-P.ptensor-mat-carrier ps2-P-d0 by auto
  subgoal unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def apply (subst partial-state.tensor-mat-unitary
)
    subgoal unfolding partial-state.d1-def partial-state.dims1-def ps2-P.nths-vars1'
ps2-P.dims1-def d-vars1 using hadamard-on-i-dim Suc by auto
    subgoal unfolding partial-state.d2-def partial-state.dims2-def ps2-P.nths-vars2'
ps2-P.dims2-def using dims-vars2 by auto
      using unitary-hadamard-on-i unitary-one Suc by auto
      using Suc by auto
    then have h2:  $\vdash_p$ 
      {adjoint (exexH-k k) * (adjoint (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc k)) (1m K)) *
P * (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc k)) (1m K))) * exexH-k k}
      hadamard-n (Suc k)
      {adjoint (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc k)) (1m K)) * P * (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i
(Suc k)) (1m K)))}
      using Suc by auto
    have (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc k)) (1m K)) * exexH-k k
      = (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc k) * (exH-k k)) (1m K * (1m K)))
    apply (subst ps2-P.ptensor-mat-mult)
    subgoal using hadamard-on-i-dim ps2-P-d1 Suc by auto
    subgoal using exH-k-dim ps2-P-d1 Suc by auto
    using ps2-P-d2 by auto
    also have ... = exexH-k (Suc k) using mult-exH-k-left Suc by auto
    finally have eq1: (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc k)) (1m K)) * exexH-k k =
exexH-k (Suc k).
    then have eq2: adjoint (exexH-k k) * adjoint (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc k))
(1m K)) = adjoint (exexH-k (Suc k))
      apply (subst adjoint-mult[symmetric, of - d d - d])
      subgoal using tensor-P-dim by auto
      using exexH-k-dim Suc by auto
    have dP: P ∈ carrier-mat d d using is-quantum-predicate-def Suc by auto
    moreover have dH: exexH-k k ∈ carrier-mat d d using exexH-k-dim Suc by
auto
    moreover have dHi: tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc k)) (1m K) ∈ carrier-mat
d d using tensor-P-dim by auto
    ultimately have eq3: adjoint (exexH-k k) * (adjoint (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i
(Suc k)) (1m K)) * P * tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc k)) (1m K)) * exexH-k k
      = (adjoint (exexH-k k) * adjoint (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc k)) (1m K)))
* P * (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc k)) (1m K)) * exexH-k k

```

```

  by (mat-assoc d)
  show ?case apply (subst hadamard-n.simps)
  apply (subst hoare-partial.intros(3)[of - adjoint (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc
k)) (1m K)) * P * (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc k)) (1m K)))]
  subgoal using qp-close-after-exexH-k[of P Suc k] Suc by auto
  subgoal using qp by auto
  subgoal using Suc by auto
  subgoal using h2[simplified eq3 eq1 eq2] by auto
  using h1 by auto
qed

```

lemma *qp-pre*:

```

  is-quantum-predicate (tensor-P pre (proj-k 0))
  unfolding is-quantum-predicate-def
  proof (intro conjI)
  show tensor-P pre (proj-k 0) ∈ carrier-mat d d using tensor-P-dim by auto
  interpret st: partial-state dims vars1 .
  have d1: st.d1 = N unfolding st.d1-def st.dims1-def using d-vars1 by auto
  have d2: st.d2 = K unfolding st.d2-def st.dims2-def nth-s-uminus-vars1 dims-vars2
  by auto
  show positive (tensor-P pre (proj-k 0))
  unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def ps2-P-dims0 ps2-P-vars1'
  apply (subst st.tensor-mat-positive)
  subgoal unfolding pre-def using outer-prod-dim ket-pre-def d1 by auto
  subgoal unfolding proj-k-def using outer-prod-dim ket-k-def d2 by auto
  subgoal using positive-pre by auto
  using positive-proj-k[of 0] K-gt-0 by auto
  show tensor-P pre (proj-k 0) ≤L 1m d
  unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def ps2-P-dims0 ps2-P-vars1'
  apply (subst st.tensor-mat-le-one)
  subgoal using pre-def ket-pre-def outer-prod-dim d1 by auto
  subgoal using proj-k-def K-gt-0 ket-k-def outer-prod-dim d2 by auto
  using d1 d2 K-gt-0 outer-prod-dim positive-pre positive-proj-k pre-le-one proj-k-le-one
  by auto
qed

```

lemma *qp-init-post*:

```

  is-quantum-predicate (tensor-P proj-psi (proj-k 0))
  unfolding is-quantum-predicate-def
  proof (intro conjI)
  show tensor-P proj-psi (proj-k 0) ∈ carrier-mat d d using tensor-P-dim by auto
  interpret st: partial-state dims vars1 .
  have d1: st.d1 = N unfolding st.d1-def st.dims1-def using d-vars1 by auto
  have d2: st.d2 = K unfolding st.d2-def st.dims2-def nth-s-uminus-vars1 dims-vars2
  by auto
  show positive (tensor-P proj-psi (proj-k 0))
  unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def ps2-P-dims0 ps2-P-vars1'
  apply (subst st.tensor-mat-positive)
  subgoal unfolding proj-psi-def using outer-prod-dim ψ-def d1 by auto

```

subgoal unfolding *proj-k-def* **using** *outer-prod-dim ket-k-def d2* **by** *auto*
subgoal using *positive-proj-psi* **by** *auto*
using *positive-proj-k[of 0] K-gt-0* **by** *auto*
show *tensor-P proj-psi (proj-k 0) ≤_L 1_m d*
unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def ps2-P-dims0 ps2-P-vars1'*
apply (*subst st.tensor-mat-le-one*)
subgoal using *proj-psi-def outer-prod-dim d1* **by** *auto*
subgoal using *proj-k-def K-gt-0 ket-k-def outer-prod-dim d2* **by** *auto*
using *d1 d2 K-gt-0 outer-prod-dim positive-proj-psi positive-proj-k proj-psi-le-one*
proj-k-le-one **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *tensor-P-adjoint-left-right*:

assumes *m1 ∈ carrier-mat N N* **and** *m2 ∈ carrier-mat K K* **and** *m3 ∈ carrier-mat N N* **and** *m4 ∈ carrier-mat K K*

shows *adjoint (tensor-P m1 m2) * tensor-P m3 m4 * tensor-P m1 m2 = tensor-P (adjoint m1 * m3 * m1) (adjoint m2 * m4 * m2)*

proof –

have *eq1: adjoint (tensor-P m1 m2) = tensor-P (adjoint m1) (adjoint m2)*

unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*

apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-adjoint*)

using *ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 assms* **by** *auto*

have *eq2: adjoint (tensor-P m1 m2) * tensor-P m3 m4 = tensor-P (adjoint m1 * m3) (adjoint m2 * m4)*

unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*

apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-mult*)

using *ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 assms eq1* **unfolding** *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def* **by** (*auto simp add: adjoint-dim*)

have *eq3: tensor-P (adjoint m1 * m3) (adjoint m2 * m4) * (tensor-P m1 m2) = tensor-P (adjoint m1 * m3 * m1) (adjoint m2 * m4 * m2)*

unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*

apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-mult[of adjoint m1 * m3]*)

using *ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 assms* **by** (*auto simp add: adjoint-dim*)

show *?thesis* **using** *eq1 eq2 eq3* **by** *auto*

qed

abbreviation *exH-n* **where**

exH-n ≡ exH-k (n - 1)

lemma *hoare-triple-init*:

\vdash_p

$\{ \text{tensor-P pre (proj-k 0)} \}$

hadamard-n n

$\{ \text{tensor-P proj-psi (proj-k 0)} \}$

proof –

have *h: ⊢_p*

$\{ \text{adjoint (exH-k (n - 1)) * (tensor-P proj-psi (proj-k 0)) * (exH-k (n - 1))} \}$

hadamard-n n

```

{tensor-P proj-psi (proj-k 0)}
using hoare-hadamard-n[OF qp-init-post, of n - 1] qp-init-post n by auto
have adjoint (exH-k (n - 1)) * tensor-P proj-psi (proj-k 0) * exH-k (n -
1) =
  tensor-P (adjoint exH-n * proj-psi * exH-n) (adjoint (1m K) * proj-k 0 *
1m K)
unfolding exH-k.simps
apply (subst tensor-P-adjoint-left-right)
using exH-k-dim proj-psi-def ψ-def proj-k-def ket-k-def n by (auto)
moreover have adjoint exH-n * proj-psi * exH-n = pre
unfolding proj-psi-def pre-def
apply (subst outer-prod-left-right-mat[of - N - N - N - N])
subgoal using ψ-def by auto
subgoal using exH-k-dim n by (simp add: adjoint-dim)
subgoal using exH-k-dim n by simp
apply (subst (1 2) hermitian-exH-n[simplified hermitian-def])
apply (subst (1 2) exH-k-mult-psi-is-pre)
by auto
moreover have adjoint (1m K) * (proj-k 0) * (1m K) = proj-k 0
apply (subst adjoint-one) using proj-k-dim[of 0] K-gt-0 by auto
ultimately have adjoint (exH-k (n - 1)) * tensor-P proj-psi (proj-k 0) *
exH-k (n - 1) = tensor-P pre (proj-k 0)
by auto
with h show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

Hoare triples of while loop

definition *proj-psi-l* **where**
proj-psi-l l = proj (psi-l l)

lemma *positive-psi-l*:
k < K ⇒ positive (proj-psi-l k)
unfolding *proj-psi-l-def*
apply (subst *positive-same-outer-prod*)
using *psi-l-dim* **by** auto

lemma *hermitian-proj-psi-l*:
k < K ⇒ hermitian (proj-psi-l k)
using *positive-psi-l positive-is-hermitian* **by** auto

definition *P'* **where**
P' = tensor-P (proj-psi-l R) (proj-k R)

lemma *proj-psi-l-dim*:
proj-psi-l l ∈ carrier-mat N N
unfolding *proj-psi-l-def* **using** *psi-l-def* **by** auto

definition *Q :: complex mat* **where**
Q = matrix-sum d (λl. tensor-P (proj-psi-l l) (proj-k l)) R

lemma *psi-l-le-id*:
 shows *proj-psi-l* $l \leq_L 1_m N$
proof –
 have *inner-prod* (*psi-l* l) (*psi-l* l) = 1
 using *inner-psi-l* **by** *auto*
 then show *?thesis* using *outer-prod-le-one psi-l-def proj-psi-l-def* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *positive-proj-psi-l*:
 shows *positive* (*proj-psi-l* l)
 using *positive-same-outer-prod proj-psi-l-def psi-l-dim* **by** *auto*

definition *proj-fst-k* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *complex mat* **where**
proj-fst-k $k = \text{mat } K \ K \ (\lambda(i, j). \text{if } (i = j \wedge i < k) \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$

lemma *hermitian-proj-fst-k*:
adjoint (*proj-fst-k* k) = *proj-fst-k* k
by (*auto simp add: proj-fst-k-def adjoint-eval*)

lemma *proj-fst-k-is-projection*:
proj-fst-k $k * \text{proj-fst-k}$ $k = \text{proj-fst-k}$ k
by (*auto simp add: proj-fst-k-def scalar-prod-def sum-only-one-neq-0*)

lemma *positive-proj-fst-k*:
positive (*proj-fst-k* k)
proof –
 have (*proj-fst-k* k) * *adjoint* (*proj-fst-k* k) = (*proj-fst-k* k)
 using *hermitian-proj-fst-k proj-fst-k-is-projection* **by** *auto*
 then have $\exists M. M * \text{adjoint } M = (\text{proj-fst-k } k)$ **by** *auto*
 then show *?thesis* **apply** (*subst positive-if-decomp*) using *proj-fst-k-def* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *proj-fst-k-le-one*:
proj-fst-k $k \leq_L 1_m K$
proof –
 define M **where** $M \ l = \text{mat } K \ K \ (\lambda(i, j). \text{if } (i = j \wedge i \geq l) \text{ then } (1::\text{complex}) \text{ else } 0)$ **for** l
 have *eq*: $1_m K - \text{proj-fst-k}$ $k = M \ k$ **unfolding** *M-def proj-fst-k-def*
apply (*rule eq-matI*) **by** *auto*
 have $M \ k * M \ k = M \ k$ **unfolding** *M-def*
apply (*rule eq-matI*) **apply** (*simp add: scalar-prod-def*)
apply (*subst sum-only-one-neq-0[of - j]*) **by** *auto*
 moreover have *adjoint* ($M \ k$) = $M \ k$ **unfolding** *M-def*
apply (*rule eq-matI*) **by** (*auto simp add: adjoint-eval*)
 ultimately have $M \ k * \text{adjoint } (M \ k) = M \ k$ **by** *auto*
 then have $\exists M. M * \text{adjoint } M = 1_m K - \text{proj-fst-k}$ k **using** *eq* **by** *auto*
 then have *positive* ($1_m K - \text{proj-fst-k}$ k)
apply (*subst positive-if-decomp*) using *proj-fst-k-def* **by** *auto*

then show *?thesis unfolding lower-le-def using proj-fst-k-def by auto*
qed

lemma *sum-proj-k:*

assumes $m \leq K$

shows $\text{matrix-sum } K (\lambda k. \text{proj-k } k) m = \text{proj-fst-k } m$

proof –

have $m \leq K \implies \text{matrix-sum } K (\lambda k. \text{proj-k } k) m = \text{mat } K K (\lambda(i, j). \text{if } (i = j \wedge i < m) \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$ **for** m

proof (*induct m*)

case 0

then show *?case apply simp apply (rule eq-matI) by auto*

next

case (*Suc m*)

then have $m: m < K$ **by** *auto*

then have $m': m \leq K$ **by** *auto*

have $\text{matrix-sum } K \text{proj-k } (\text{Suc } m) = \text{proj-k } m + \text{matrix-sum } K \text{proj-k } m$ **by** *simp*

also have $\dots = \text{mat } K K (\lambda(i, j). \text{if } (i = j \wedge i < (\text{Suc } m)) \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$

unfolding *proj-k-mat[OF m] Suc(1)[OF m'] apply (rule eq-matI) by auto*

finally show *?case by auto*

qed

then show *?thesis unfolding proj-fst-k-def using assms by auto*

qed

lemma *proj-psi-proj-k-le-exproj-k:*

shows $\text{tensor-P } (\text{proj-psi-l } k) (\text{proj-k } l) \leq_L \text{tensor-P } (1_m N) (\text{proj-k } l)$

unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*

apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-positive-le*)

subgoal using *proj-psi-l-def psi-l-dim ps-P-d1 by auto*

subgoal using *proj-k-def ket-k-def ps-P-d2 by auto*

subgoal using *positive-proj-psi-l by auto*

subgoal using *positive-same-outer-prod proj-k-def ket-k-def by auto*

subgoal using *psi-l-le-id by auto*

apply (*subst lower-le-refl[of - K] by (auto simp add: proj-k-def ket-k-def)*)

definition *Q1 :: complex mat where*

$Q1 = \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda l. \text{tensor-P } (\text{proj-psi}'\text{-l } l) (\text{proj-k } l)) R$

lemma *tensor-P-left-right-partial1:*

assumes $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } N N$ **and** $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } N N$ **and** $m3 \in \text{carrier-mat } K K$ **and** $m4 \in \text{carrier-mat } N N$

shows $\text{tensor-P } m1 (1_m K) * \text{tensor-P } m2 m3 * \text{tensor-P } m4 (1_m K) = \text{tensor-P } (m1 * m2 * m4) m3$

proof –

have $\text{tensor-P } m1 (1_m K) * \text{tensor-P } m2 m3 = \text{tensor-P } (m1 * m2) m3$

unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*

apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-mult[symmetric]*)

using *assms ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 by auto*

moreover have $\text{tensor-P } (m1 * m2) m3 * \text{tensor-P } m4 (1_m K) = \text{tensor-P } (m1 * m2 * m4) m3$
unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*
apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-mult[symmetric]*)
using *assms ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2* **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *tensor-P-left-right-partial2*:

assumes $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } K K$ **and** $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } K K$ **and** $m3 \in \text{carrier-mat } N N$ **and** $m4 \in \text{carrier-mat } K K$

shows $\text{tensor-P } (1_m N) m1 * \text{tensor-P } m3 m2 * \text{tensor-P } (1_m N) m4 = \text{tensor-P } m3 (m1 * m2 * m4)$

proof –

have $\text{tensor-P } (1_m N) m1 * \text{tensor-P } m3 m2 = \text{tensor-P } m3 (m1 * m2)$

unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*

apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-mult[symmetric]*)

using *assms ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2* **by** *auto*

moreover have $\text{tensor-P } m3 (m1 * m2) * \text{tensor-P } (1_m N) m4 = \text{tensor-P } m3 (m1 * m2 * m4)$

unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*

apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-mult[symmetric]*)

using *assms ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2* **by** *auto*

ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *matrix-sum-mult-left-right*:

fixes $A B :: \text{complex mat}$

assumes $dg: (\bigwedge k. k < l \implies g k \in \text{carrier-mat } m m)$

and $dA: A \in \text{carrier-mat } m m$ **and** $dB: B \in \text{carrier-mat } m m$

shows $\text{matrix-sum } m (\lambda k. A * g k * B) l = A * \text{matrix-sum } m g l * B$

proof –

have $eq: A * \text{matrix-sum } m g l = \text{matrix-sum } m (\lambda k. A * g k) l$

using *matrix-sum-distrib-left* *assms* **by** *auto*

have $A * \text{matrix-sum } m g l * B = \text{matrix-sum } m (\lambda k. A * g k * B) l$

apply (*subst eq*)

using *matrix-sum-mult-right*[*of l* $\lambda k. A * g k$] *assms* **by** *auto*

then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *mat-O-split*:

$\text{mat-O} = 1_m N - 2 \cdot_m \text{proj-O}$

apply (*rule eq-matI*)

unfolding *mat-O-def* *proj-O-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *mat-O-mult-psi'-l*:

$\text{mat-O} *_v (\text{psi}'-l l) = \text{psi}-l l$

proof –

have $\text{mat-O} *_v (\text{psi}'-l l) = \text{mat-O} *_v ((\text{alpha}-l l) \cdot_v \alpha) - \text{mat-O} *_v ((\text{beta}-l l) \cdot_v$

β)
unfolding *psi'-l-def* **apply** (*subst mult-minus-distrib-mat-vec*)
using *mat-O-dim* α -*dim* β -*dim* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = (\alpha\text{-}l\ l) \cdot_v (\text{mat-O } * _v \ \alpha) - (\beta\text{-}l\ l) \cdot_v (\text{mat-O } * _v \ \beta)$
using *mult-mat-vec-smult-vec-assoc*[*of mat-O N N*] *mat-O-dim* α -*dim* β -*dim*
by *auto*
also have $\dots = (\alpha\text{-}l\ l) \cdot_v \alpha - (\beta\text{-}l\ l) \cdot_v (-\ \beta)$
using *mat-O-mult-alpha* *mat-O-mult-beta* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = (\alpha\text{-}l\ l) \cdot_v \alpha + (\beta\text{-}l\ l) \cdot_v \beta$
by *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **unfolding** *psi-l-def* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *mat-O-times-Q1*:

adjoint (*tensor-P mat-O* ($1_m\ K$)) * *Q1* * (*tensor-P mat-O* ($1_m\ K$)) = *Q*
proof –
let *?m1* = *tensor-P mat-O* ($1_m\ K$)
have *eq:adjoint* *?m1* = *?m1*
unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*
apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-adjoint*)
apply (*auto simp add: mat-O-dim ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2*)
by (*simp add: hermitian-mat-O*[*unfolded hermitian-def*] *hermitian-one*[*unfolded hermitian-def*])
{
fix *l*
let *?m2* = *tensor-P* (*proj-psi'-l l*) (*proj-k l*)
have *?m1* * *?m2* * *?m1* = *tensor-P* (*mat-O* * (*proj-psi'-l l*) * *mat-O*) (*proj-k l*)
apply (*subst tensor-P-left-right-partial1*)
using *mat-O-dim* *proj-psi'-dim* *proj-k-dim* **by** *auto*
moreover have *mat-O* * (*proj-psi'-l l*) * *mat-O* = *outer-prod* (*psi-l l*) (*psi-l l*)
unfolding *proj-psi'-l-def* **apply** (*subst outer-prod-left-right-mat*[*of - N - N - N - N*])
using *psi'-l-dim* *mat-O-dim* *mat-O-mult-psi'-l* *hermitian-mat-O*[*unfolded hermitian-def*] **by** *auto*
ultimately have *?m1* * *?m2* * *?m1* = *tensor-P* (*proj-psi-l l*) (*proj-k l*) **unfolding** *proj-psi-l-def* **by** *auto*
}
note *p1* = *this*
have *adjoint* (*tensor-P mat-O* ($1_m\ K$)) * *Q1* * (*tensor-P mat-O* ($1_m\ K$)) = *?m1* * *Q1* * *?m1*
using *eq* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda l. ?m1 * (\text{tensor-P } (\text{proj-psi'-}l\ l)\ (\text{proj-k } l)) * ?m1)\ R$
unfolding *Q1-def*
apply (*subst matrix-sum-mult-left-right*) **using** *tensor-P-dim* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = Q$
unfolding *Q-def* **using** *p1* **by** *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

definition $Q2$ where

$Q2 = \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda l. \text{tensor-}P \ (\text{proj-psi-}l \ (l + 1)) \ (\text{proj-k } l)) \ R$

lemma $Q2\text{-dim}$:

$Q2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$

unfolding $Q2\text{-def}$ **apply** (*subst matrix-sum-dim*) **using** *tensor-P-dim* **by** *auto*

lemma $Q2\text{-le-one}$:

$Q2 \leq_L 1_m \ d$

proof –

have leq : $Q2 \leq_L \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{tensor-}P \ (1_m \ N) \ (\text{proj-k } k)) \ R$

unfolding $Q2\text{-def}$

apply (*subst lower-le-matrix-sum*)

subgoal using *tensor-P-dim* **by** *auto*

subgoal using *tensor-P-dim* **by** *auto*

using *proj-psi-proj-k-le-exproj-k* **by** *auto*

have $\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{tensor-}P \ (1_m \ N) \ (\text{proj-k } k)) \ R$

$= \text{tensor-}P \ (1_m \ N) \ (\text{matrix-sum } K \ \text{proj-k } R)$

unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*

apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-matrix-sum2[simplified ps-P-d ps-P-d2]*)

subgoal using *ps-P-d1* **by** *auto*

using *proj-k-dim* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = \text{tensor-}P \ (1_m \ N) \ (\text{proj-fst-k } R)$ **using** *sum-proj-k K* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots \leq_L \text{tensor-}P \ (1_m \ N) \ (1_m \ K)$ **unfolding** *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*

apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-positive-le*)

subgoal using *ps-P-d1* **by** *auto*

subgoal using *ps-P-d2 proj-fst-k-def* **by** *auto*

subgoal using *positive-one* **by** *auto*

subgoal using *positive-proj-fst-k* **by** *auto*

subgoal using *lower-le-refl[of 1_m N N]* **by** *auto*

using *proj-fst-k-le-one* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = 1_m \ d$ **unfolding** *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*

using *ps-P.tensor-mat-id ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 ps-P-d* **by** *auto*

finally have $leq2$: $\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{tensor-}P \ (1_m \ N) \ (\text{proj-k } k)) \ R \leq_L 1_m \ d$
by *auto*

have ds : $\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{tensor-}P \ (1_m \ N) \ (\text{proj-k } k)) \ R \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$

apply (*subst matrix-sum-dim*) **using** *tensor-P-dim* **by** *auto*

then show *?thesis* **using** $leq \ leq2 \ \text{lower-le-trans}[OF \ Q2\text{-dim } ds, \ \text{of } 1_m \ d]$ **by**
auto

qed

lemma $qp\text{-}Q2$:

is-quantum-predicate Q2

unfolding *is-quantum-predicate-def*

proof (*intro conjI*)

show $Q2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **unfolding** $Q2\text{-def}$

apply (*subst matrix-sum-dim*) **using** *tensor-P-dim* **by** *auto*

```

next
  show positive Q2 unfolding Q2-def
    apply (subst matrix-sum-positive)
    subgoal using tensor-P-dim by auto
    subgoal for k unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def
      apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-positive)
      subgoal using proj-psi-l-def psi-l-dim ps-P-d1 by auto
      subgoal using proj-k-dim ps-P-d2 K by auto
      subgoal using positive-proj-psi-l by auto
      using positive-proj-k K by auto
    by auto
next
  show  $Q2 \leq_L 1_m d$  using Q2-le-one by auto
qed

lemma pre-mat:
  pre = mat N N ( $\lambda(i, j)$ . if  $i = j \wedge i = 0$  then 1 else 0)
  apply (rule eq-matI)
  subgoal for ij unfolding pre-def apply (subst index-outer-prod[OF ket-pre-dim ket-pre-dim])
    apply simp-all
    unfolding ket-pre-def by auto
    using outer-prod-dim[OF ket-pre-dim ket-pre-dim, folded pre-def] by auto

lemma mat-Ph-split:
  mat-Ph = 2 ·m pre - 1m N
  unfolding mat-Ph-def pre-mat
  apply (rule eq-matI) by auto

lemma H-Ph-H:
  exxH-k (n-1) * tensor-P mat-Ph (1m K) * exxH-k (n-1) = 2 ·m tensor-P proj-psi (1m K) - 1m d
  unfolding mat-Ph-split exxH-k.simps
  apply (subst tensor-P-left-right-partialI)
  subgoal using exH-k-dim[of n-1] n by auto
  subgoal using pre-dim by auto
  subgoal by auto
proof -
  have eq1: exH-n * exH-n = 1m N
    using unitary-exH-k[of n-1]
    unfolding unitary-def inverts-mat-def
    using n hermitian-exH-n[simplified hermitian-def] exH-n-dim by auto
  have eq2: exH-n * pre * exH-n = proj-psi
    unfolding pre-def proj-psi-def
    apply (subst outer-prod-left-right-mat[of - N - N - N - N])
    subgoal using ket-pre-dim by auto
    subgoal using exH-n-dim by auto
    apply (subst hermitian-exH-n[simplified hermitian-def])
    using exH-k-mult-pre-is-psi by auto

```

have $eq3: exH-n * (2 \cdot_m pre) * exH-n = 2 \cdot_m (exH-n * pre * exH-n)$
using $pre-dim\ exH-n-dim$ **by** $(mat-assoc\ N)$
have $exH-n * (2 \cdot_m pre - 1_m N) * exH-n = exH-n * (2 \cdot_m pre) * exH-n - exH-n * exH-n$
using $pre-dim\ exH-n-dim$ **apply** $(mat-assoc\ N)$ **by** $auto$
also **have** $\dots = 2 \cdot_m (exH-n * pre * exH-n) - 1_m N$
using $eq1\ eq3$ **by** $auto$
finally **have** $eq4: exH-n * (2 \cdot_m pre - 1_m N) * exH-n = 2 \cdot_m proj-psi - 1_m N$ **using** $eq2$ **by** $auto$
show $tensor-P\ (exH-n * (2 \cdot_m pre - 1_m N) * exH-n)\ (1_m K) = 2 \cdot_m tensor-P\ proj-psi\ (1_m K) - 1_m d$
unfolding $eq4$ **unfolding** $ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def$
apply $(subst\ ps-P.tensor-mat-minus1)$
unfolding $ps-P-d1\ ps-P-d2$ **apply** $(auto\ simp\ add: proj-psi-dim)$
apply $(subst\ ps-P.tensor-mat-scale1)$
unfolding $ps-P-d1\ ps-P-d2$ **apply** $(auto\ simp\ add: proj-psi-dim)$
apply $(subst\ ps-P.tensor-mat-id[simplified\ ps-P-d1\ ps-P-d2\ ps-P-d])$ **by** $auto$
qed

lemma $hermitian-proj-psi-minus-1:$
 $hermitian\ (2 \cdot_m proj-psi - 1_m N)$
unfolding $hermitian-def$
apply $(subst\ adjoint-minus[of\ -\ N\ N])$
apply $(auto\ simp\ add: proj-psi-dim)$
apply $(subst\ adjoint-scale)$
using $hermitian-proj-psi[simplified\ hermitian-def]$ $hermitian-def\ adjoint-one$ **by** $auto$

lemma $unitary-proj-psi-minus-1:$
 $unitary\ (2 \cdot_m proj-psi - 1_m N)$
proof $-$
have $a: adjoint\ (2 \cdot_m proj-psi) = 2 \cdot_m proj-psi$
apply $(subst\ adjoint-scale)$ **using** $hermitian-proj-psi[simplified\ hermitian-def]$
by $simp$
have $eq: adjoint\ (2 \cdot_m proj-psi - 1_m N) = 2 \cdot_m proj-psi - 1_m N$
apply $(subst\ adjoint-minus)$ **using** $proj-psi-dim\ a\ adjoint-one$ **by** $auto$
have $(2 \cdot_m proj-psi) * (2 \cdot_m proj-psi) = 4 \cdot_m (proj-psi * proj-psi)$
using $proj-psi-dim$ **by** $auto$
also **have** $\dots = 4 \cdot_m proj-psi$ **using** $proj-psi-is-projection$ **by** $auto$
finally **have** $sq: (2 \cdot_m proj-psi) * (2 \cdot_m proj-psi) = 4 \cdot_m proj-psi$
have $l: (2 \cdot_m proj-psi) * (2 \cdot_m proj-psi - 1_m N) = 4 \cdot_m proj-psi - (2 \cdot_m proj-psi)$
apply $(subst\ mult-minus-distrib-mat)$ **using** $proj-psi-dim\ sq$ **by** $auto$

have $(2 \cdot_m proj-psi - 1_m N) * adjoint\ (2 \cdot_m proj-psi - 1_m N)$
 $= (2 \cdot_m proj-psi - 1_m N) * (2 \cdot_m proj-psi - 1_m N)$ **using** eq **by** $auto$
also **have** $\dots = (2 \cdot_m proj-psi) * (2 \cdot_m proj-psi - 1_m N) - 2 \cdot_m proj-psi + 1_m N$
apply $(subst\ minus-mult-distrib-mat[of\ -\ N\ N])$ **using** $proj-psi-dim$ **by** $auto$

also have $\dots = 4 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - (2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi}) - 2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} + 1_m N$
using l **by auto**
also have $\dots = 1_m N$ **using** proj-psi-dim **by auto**
finally have $(2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - 1_m N) * \text{adjoint} (2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - 1_m N) = 1_m N$.
then show *?thesis* **unfolding** unitary-def inverts-mat-def **using** proj-psi-dim
by auto
qed

lemma $\text{proj-psi-minus-1-mult-psi'-l}$:

$$(2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - 1_m N) *_v \text{psi}'-l \ l = \text{psi}-l \ (l + 1)$$

proof –

$$\text{have eq1: } (2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - 1_m N) *_v \text{psi}'-l \ l = 2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} *_v \text{psi}'-l \ l - \text{psi}'-l \ l$$

apply $(\text{subst minus-mult-distrib-mat-vec})$

using $\text{psi}'-l\text{-dim}$ $\text{proj-psi}'-l\text{-dim}$ proj-psi-dim **by auto**

$$\text{have eq2: } 2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} *_v (\text{psi}'-l \ l) = 2 \cdot_v (\text{proj-psi} *_v (\text{psi}'-l \ l))$$

apply $(\text{subst smult-mat-mult-mat-vec-assoc})$

using proj-psi-dim $\text{psi}'-l\text{-dim}$ **by auto**

$$\text{have } \text{proj-psi} *_v (\text{psi}'-l \ l) = \text{inner-prod } \psi \ (\text{psi}'-l \ l) \cdot_v \psi$$

unfolding proj-psi-def

apply $(\text{subst outer-prod-mult-vec}[of \ N \ N])$

using $\psi\text{-dim}$ $\text{psi}'-l\text{-dim}$ **by auto**

$$\text{also have } \dots = ((\text{alpha}-l \ l) * \text{ccos} (\vartheta / 2) - (\text{beta}-l \ l) * \text{csin} (\vartheta / 2)) \cdot_v \psi$$

using $\text{psi-inner-psi}'-l$ **by auto**

$$\text{finally have } \text{proj-psi} *_v (\text{psi}'-l \ l) = ((\text{alpha}-l \ l) * \text{ccos} (\vartheta / 2) - (\text{beta}-l \ l) * \text{csin} (\vartheta / 2)) \cdot_v \psi \text{ by auto}$$

$$\text{then have eq3: } 2 \cdot_v (\text{proj-psi} *_v (\text{psi}'-l \ l)) = 2 * ((\text{alpha}-l \ l) * \text{ccos} (\vartheta / 2) - (\text{beta}-l \ l) * \text{csin} (\vartheta / 2)) \cdot_v \psi \text{ by auto}$$

$$\text{then show } (2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - (1_m N)) *_v (\text{psi}'-l \ l) = \text{psi}-l \ (l + 1)$$

using eq1 eq2 eq3 $\text{psi}-l\text{-Suc}-l\text{-derive}$ **by simp**

qed

lemma $\text{proj-psi-minus-1-mult-psi-Suc}-l$:

$$(2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - 1_m N) *_v \text{psi}-l \ (l + 1) = \text{psi}'-l \ l$$

proof –

$$\text{have id: } (2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - 1_m N) * (2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - 1_m N) = 1_m N$$

using $\text{unitary-proj-psi-minus-1}$ **unfolding** unitary-def $\text{hermitian-proj-psi-minus-1}$ $[simplified \ \text{hermitian-def}]$

unfolding inverts-mat-def **by auto**

$$\text{have } (2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - 1_m N) *_v \text{psi}-l \ (l + 1) = (2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - 1_m N) *_v ((2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - 1_m N) *_v \text{psi}'-l \ l)$$

using $\text{proj-psi-minus-1-mult-psi}'-l$ **by auto**

$$\text{also have } \dots = ((2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - 1_m N) * (2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - 1_m N)) *_v \text{psi}'-l \ l$$

apply $(\text{subst assoc-mult-mat-vec})$ **using** proj-psi-dim $\text{psi}'-l\text{-dim}$ **by auto**

$$\text{also have } \dots = \text{psi}'-l \ l \text{ using } \text{psi}'-l\text{-dim id} \text{ by auto}$$

finally show *?thesis* **by auto**

qed

lemma *exproj-psi-minus-1-tensor*:
 $(2 \cdot_m \text{tensor-}P \text{proj-psi } (1_m K)) - 1_m d = \text{tensor-}P (2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - (1_m N))$
 $(1_m K)$
unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*
apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-id[symmetric, simplified ps-P-d]*)
apply (*auto simp add: ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2*)
apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-scale1[symmetric]*)
apply (*auto simp add: ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 proj-psi-dim*)
apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-minus1*)
by (*auto simp add: ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 proj-psi-dim*)

lemma *unitary-exproj-psi-minus-1*:
 $\text{unitary } (2 \cdot_m \text{tensor-}P \text{proj-psi } (1_m K) - 1_m d)$
unfolding *exproj-psi-minus-1-tensor*
unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*
apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-unitary*)
using *ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 unitary-proj-psi-minus-1 unitary-one* **by** *auto*

lemma *proj-psi-minus-1-Q2*:
 $\text{adjoint } (2 \cdot_m \text{tensor-}P \text{proj-psi } (1_m K) - 1_m d) * Q2 * (2 \cdot_m \text{tensor-}P \text{proj-psi}$
 $(1_m K) - 1_m d) = Q1$
proof –
have *eq1: adjoint* $(2 \cdot_m \text{tensor-}P \text{proj-psi } (1_m K) - 1_m d) = 2 \cdot_m \text{tensor-}P$
 $\text{proj-psi } (1_m K) - 1_m d$
apply (*subst adjoint-minus[of - d d]*)
subgoal using *tensor-P-dim[of proj-psi]* **by** *auto*
subgoal by *auto*
apply (*subst adjoint-one*) **apply** (*subst adjoint-scale*)
using *hermitian-exproj-psi[simplified hermitian-def]* **by** *auto*
let *?m1 = tensor-P* $(2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - (1_m N)) (1_m K)$
{
fix *l*
let *?m2 = tensor-P* $(\text{proj-psi-}l (l + 1)) (\text{proj-k } l)$
have *l21: ?m1 * ?m2 * ?m1*
 $= \text{tensor-P } ((2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - (1_m N)) * (\text{proj-psi-}l (l + 1)) * (2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi}$
 $- (1_m N)))$
 $(\text{proj-k } l)$
apply (*subst tensor-P-left-right-partial1*)
using *proj-psi-dim proj-psi-l-dim proj-k-dim* **by** *auto*
have $(2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - (1_m N)) * (\text{proj-psi-}l (l + 1)) * (2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - (1_m$
 $N))$
 $= \text{outer-prod } ((2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - (1_m N)) *_v (\text{psi-}l (l + 1))) ((2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} -$
 $(1_m N)) *_v (\text{psi-}l (l + 1)))$
unfolding *proj-psi-l-def* **apply** (*subst outer-prod-left-right-mat[of - N - N -*
 $N - N]$)
using *proj-psi-dim psi-l-dim hermitian-proj-psi-minus-1[simplified hermi-*
 $\text{tarian-def}] **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{outer-prod } (\text{psi}'\text{-}l \ l) (\text{psi}'\text{-}l \ l)$
using *proj-psi-minus-1-mult-psi-Suc-l* **by** *auto*$

```

    finally have (2 ·m proj-psi - (1m N)) * (proj-psi-l (l + 1)) * (2 ·m proj-psi
- (1m N))
      = outer-prod (psi'-l l) (psi'-l l).
    then have ?m1 * ?m2 * ?m1 = tensor-P (proj-psi'-l l) (proj-k l)
      using 121 proj-psi'-l-def by auto
  }
  note p1 = this
  have adjoint (2 ·m tensor-P proj-psi (1m K) - 1m d) * Q2 * (2 ·m tensor-P
proj-psi (1m K) - 1m d)
    = (2 ·m tensor-P proj-psi (1m K) - 1m d) * Q2 * (2 ·m tensor-P proj-psi
(1m K) - 1m d)
      using eq1 by auto
  also have ... = matrix-sum d
    (λl. (2 ·m tensor-P proj-psi (1m K) - 1m d) * tensor-P (proj-psi-l (l + 1))
(proj-k l) * (2 ·m tensor-P proj-psi (1m K) - 1m d))
      R unfolding Q2-def apply (subst matrix-sum-mult-left-right)
        using tensor-P-dim by auto
  also have ... = matrix-sum d (λl. tensor-P (proj-psi'-l l) (proj-k l)) R
      using p1 exproj-psi-minus-1-tensor by auto
  also have ... = Q1 unfolding Q1-def by auto
  finally show ?thesis using eq1 by auto
qed

```

```

lemma qp-Q1:
  is-quantum-predicate Q1
  unfolding proj-psi-minus-1-Q2[symmetric]
  apply (subst qp-close-under-unitary-operator)
  using tensor-P-dim unitary-exproj-psi-minus-1 qp-Q2 by auto

```

```

lemma qp-Q:
  is-quantum-predicate Q
  proof -
    have u: unitary (tensor-P mat-O (1m K))
      unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def
      apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-unitary)
      subgoal unfolding ps-P-d1 mat-O-def by auto
      subgoal unfolding ps-P-d2 by auto
      subgoal using unitary-mat-O by auto
      using unitary-one by auto
    then show ?thesis using tensor-P-dim qp-Q1
      using qp-close-under-unitary-operator[OF tensor-P-dim u qp-Q1]
      by (simp add: mat-O-times-Q1 )
  qed

```

```

lemma hoare-triple-D1:
  ⊢p
  {Q}
  Utrans-P vars1 mat-O
  {Q1}

```

```

unfolding Utrans-P-is-tensor-P1
  mat-O-times-Q1 [symmetric]
apply (subst hoare-partial.intros(2))
using qp-Q1 by auto

lemma hoare-triple-D2:
   $\vdash_p$ 
  {Q1}
  hadamard-n n ;;
  Utrans-P vars1 mat-Ph ;;
  hadamard-n n
  {Q2}
proof –
  let ?H = exexH-k (n - 1)
  let ?Ph = tensor-P mat-Ph (1_m K)
  let ?O = tensor-P mat-O (1_m K)
  have h1:  $\vdash_p$ 
    {adjoint ?H * Q2 * ?H}
    hadamard-n n
    {Q2}
    using hoare-hadamard-n[OF qp-Q2, of n - 1] n by auto
  have qp1: is-quantum-predicate ((adjoint ?H) * Q2 * ?H)
    using qp-close-under-unitary-operator unitary-exexH-k n exexH-k-dim qp-Q2
by auto
  then have h2:  $\vdash_p$ 
    {adjoint ?Ph * (adjoint ?H * Q2 * ?H) * ?Ph}
    Utrans-P vars1 mat-Ph
    {adjoint ?H * Q2 * ?H}
    using qp1 Utrans-P-is-tensor-P1 hoare-partial.intros by auto
  have qp2: is-quantum-predicate (adjoint ?Ph * (adjoint ?H * Q2 * ?H) * ?Ph)
    using qp-close-under-unitary-operator[of tensor-P mat-Ph (1_m K)] ps2-P.ptensor-mat-carrier
ps2-P-d0 unitary-ex-mat-Ph qp1 by auto
  then have h3:  $\vdash_p$ 
    {adjoint ?H * (adjoint ?Ph * (adjoint ?H * Q2 * ?H) * ?Ph) * ?H}
    hadamard-n n
    {adjoint ?Ph * (adjoint ?H * Q2 * ?H) * ?Ph}
    using hoare-hadamard-n[OF qp2, of n - 1] n by auto
  have qp3: is-quantum-predicate (adjoint ?H * (adjoint ?Ph * (adjoint ?H * Q2
  * ?H) * ?Ph) * ?H)
    using qp-close-under-unitary-operator[of ?H] exexH-k-dim unitary-exexH-k qp2
n by auto
  have h4:  $\vdash_p$ 
    {adjoint ?H * (adjoint ?Ph * (adjoint ?H * Q2 * ?H) * ?Ph) * ?H}
    hadamard-n n ;;
    Utrans-P vars1 mat-Ph
    {adjoint ?H * Q2 * ?H}
    using h2 h3 qp1 qp2 qp3 hoare-partial.intros by auto
  then have h5:  $\vdash_p$ 
    {adjoint ?H * (adjoint ?Ph * (adjoint ?H * Q2 * ?H) * ?Ph) * ?H}

```

```

hadamard-n n ;;
Utrans-P vars1 mat-Ph ;;
hadamard-n n
{Q2}
using h1 qp-Q2 qp3 qp1 hoare-partial.intros(3)[OF qp3 qp1 qp-Q2 h4 h1] by
auto

```

```

have adjoint ?H * (adjoint ?Ph * (adjoint ?H * Q2 * ?H) * ?Ph) * ?H =
  adjoint (?H * ?Ph * ?H) * Q2 * (?H * ?Ph * ?H)
apply (mat-assoc d) using exH-k-dim n tensor-P-dim Q2-dim by auto
also have ... = Q1 using H-Ph-H proj-psi-minus-1-Q2 by auto
finally show ?thesis using h5 by auto
qed

```

definition *exM0* **where**
exM0 = tensor-P (1_m N) M0

lemma *M0-mult-ket-k-R*:
M0 *_v ket-k R = ket-k R
apply (rule eq-vecI)
unfolding M0-def ket-k-def
by (auto simp add: scalar-prod-def sum-only-one-neq-0)

lemma *exP0-P'*:
adjoint *exM0* * P' * *exM0* = P'
proof –
have eq: adjoint *exM0* = *exM0*
unfolding *exM0*-def ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def
apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-adjoint)
unfolding ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 **using** M0-dim adjoint-one hermitian-M0[unfolded
hermitian-def] **by** auto
have eq2: M0 * (proj-k R) * M0 = (proj-k R)
unfolding proj-k-def
apply (subst outer-prod-left-right-mat[of - K - K - K - K])
unfolding hermitian-M0[unfolded hermitian-def] M0-mult-ket-k-R
using ket-k-dim M0-dim **by** auto
show ?thesis **unfolding** eq **unfolding** *exM0*-def P'-def
apply (subst tensor-P-left-right-partial2)
using M0-dim proj-k-dim eq2 proj-psi-l-dim **by** auto
qed

definition *exM1* **where**
exM1 = tensor-P (1_m N) M1

lemma *M1-mult-ket-k*:
assumes k < R
shows M1 *_v ket-k k = ket-k k
apply (rule eq-vecI)
unfolding M1-def ket-k-def

by (auto simp add: scalar-prod-def assms R sum-only-one-neq-0)

lemma *exP1-Q*:
*adjoint exM1 * Q * exM1 = Q*

proof –
have *eq: adjoint exM1 = exM1*
unfolding *exM1-def ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*
apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-adjoint*)
unfolding *ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2* **using** *M1-dim adjoint-one hermitian-M1 [unfolded hermitian-def]* **by** *auto*
{
fix *k* **assume** *k: k < R*
let *?m = tensor-P (proj-psi-l k) (proj-k k)*
have *exM1 * ?m * exM1 = tensor-P (proj-psi-l k) (M1 * (proj-k k) * M1)*
unfolding *exM1-def* **apply** (*subst tensor-P-left-right-partial2*)
using *M1-dim proj-k-dim proj-psi-l-dim* **by** *auto*
also have *... = tensor-P (proj-psi-l k) (outer-prod (M1 *_v ket-k k) (M1 *_v ket-k k))*
unfolding *proj-k-def* **apply** (*subst outer-prod-left-right-mat [of - K - K - K - K]*)
unfolding *hermitian-M1 [unfolded hermitian-def]*
using *ket-k-dim M1-dim* **by** *auto*
finally have *exM1 * ?m * exM1 = ?m* **unfolding** *proj-k-def* **using** *k M1-mult-ket-k*
by *auto*
}
note *p1 = this*
have *adjoint exM1 * Q * exM1 = exM1 * Q * exM1* **using** *eq* **by** *auto*
also have *... = matrix-sum d (λk. exM1 * (tensor-P (proj-psi-l k) (proj-k k)) * exM1) R*
unfolding *Q-def*
apply (*subst matrix-sum-mult-left-right*)
using *tensor-P-dim exM1-def* **by** *auto*
also have *... = matrix-sum d (λk. tensor-P (proj-psi-l k) (proj-k k)) R*
apply (*subst matrix-sum-cong*)
using *p1* **by** *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **using** *Q-def* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *qp-P'*:
is-quantum-predicate P'
unfolding *is-quantum-predicate-def*

proof (*intro conjI*)
show *P' ∈ carrier-mat d d* **unfolding** *P'-def* **using** *tensor-P-dim* **by** *auto*
show *positive P'* **unfolding** *P'-def ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*
apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-positive*)
apply (*auto simp add: ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 proj-O-dim proj-k-dim*)
using *proj-psi-l-dim positive-proj-psi-l positive-proj-k K* **by** *auto*
show *P' ≤_L 1_m d* **unfolding** *P'-def ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*
apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-le-one [simplified ps-P-d]*)

by (*auto simp add: ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 proj-psi-l-dim K proj-k-dim positive-proj-psi-l positive-proj-k proj-k-le-one psi-l-le-id*)

qed

lemma *P'-add-Q*:

$P' + Q = \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda l. \text{tensor-P } (\text{proj-psi-l } l) (\text{proj-k } l)) (R + 1)$
apply *simp unfolding P'-def Q-def by auto*

lemma *positive-Qk*:

positive (*tensor-P* (*proj-psi-l* *l*) (*proj-k* *l*))
unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*
apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-positive*)
unfolding *ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2*
using *proj-psi-l-dim proj-k-dim positive-proj-psi-l positive-proj-k by auto*

lemma *P'-Q-dim*:

$P' + Q \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
unfolding *P'-add-Q*
apply (*subst matrix-sum-dim*)
using *tensor-P-dim by auto*

lemma *P'-add-Q-le-one*:

$P' + Q \leq_L 1_m \ d$

proof –

have *leq: matrix-sum d* ($\lambda l. \text{tensor-P } (\text{proj-psi-l } l) (\text{proj-k } l)$) ($R + 1$)
 $\leq_L \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. \text{tensor-P } (1_m \ N) (\text{proj-k } k)) (R + 1)$
unfolding *Q2-def*
apply (*subst lower-le-matrix-sum*)
subgoal using *tensor-P-dim by auto*
subgoal using *tensor-P-dim by auto*
using *proj-psi-proj-k-le-exproj-k by auto*
have $\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. \text{tensor-P } (1_m \ N) (\text{proj-k } k)) (R + 1)$
 $= \text{tensor-P } (1_m \ N) (\text{matrix-sum } K \ \text{proj-k } (R + 1))$
unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*
apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-matrix-sum2[simplified ps-P-d ps-P-d2]*)
subgoal using *ps-P-d1 by auto*
using *proj-k-dim by auto*
also have $\dots = \text{tensor-P } (1_m \ N) (\text{proj-fst-k } (R + 1))$ **using** *sum-proj-k[of R + 1] K by auto*
also have $\dots \leq_L \text{tensor-P } (1_m \ N) (1_m \ K)$ **unfolding** *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*
apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-positive-le*)
subgoal using *ps-P-d1 by auto*
subgoal using *ps-P-d2 proj-fst-k-def by auto*
subgoal using *positive-one by auto*
subgoal using *positive-proj-fst-k by auto*
subgoal using *lower-le-refl[of 1_m N N] by auto*
using *proj-fst-k-le-one by auto*
also have $\dots = 1_m \ d$ **unfolding** *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*
using *ps-P.tensor-mat-id ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 ps-P-d by auto*

finally have $leq2$: $matrix-sum\ d\ (\lambda k. tensor-P\ (1_m\ N)\ (proj-k\ k))\ (R + 1) \leq_L\ 1_m\ d$ **by auto**
have ds : $matrix-sum\ d\ (\lambda k. tensor-P\ (1_m\ N)\ (proj-k\ k))\ (R + 1) \in carrier-mat\ d\ d$
apply $(subst\ matrix-sum-dim)$ **using** $tensor-P-dim$ **by auto**
then show $?thesis$
using $leq\ leq2\ lowner-le-trans[OF\ P'-Q-dim\ ds,\ of\ 1_m\ d]$ **unfolding** $P'-add-Q$
by auto
qed

lemma $qp-P'-Q$:
 $is-quantum-predicate\ (P' + Q)$
unfolding $is-quantum-predicate-def$
proof $(intro\ conjI)$
show $P' + Q \in carrier-mat\ d\ d$
unfolding $P'-add-Q$ **apply** $(subst\ matrix-sum-dim)$
using $tensor-P-dim$ **by auto**
show $positive\ (P' + Q)$ **unfolding** $P'-add-Q$
apply $(subst\ matrix-sum-positive)$
using $tensor-P-dim\ positive-Qk$ **by auto**
show $P' + Q \leq_L\ 1_m\ d$ **using** $P'-add-Q-le-one$ **by auto**
qed

lemma $Q2-leq-lemma$:
 $tensor-P\ (1_m\ N)\ (mat-incr\ K) * Q2 * adjoint\ (tensor-P\ (1_m\ N)\ (mat-incr\ K)) \leq_L\ P' + Q$
proof $-$
have ad : $adjoint\ (tensor-P\ (1_m\ N)\ (mat-incr\ K)) = tensor-P\ (1_m\ N)\ (adjoint\ (mat-incr\ K))$
unfolding $ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def$ **apply** $(subst\ ps-P.tensor-mat-adjoint)$
using $ps-P-d1\ ps-P-d2\ mat-incr-dim\ adjoint-one$ **by auto**
let $?m1 = tensor-P\ (1_m\ N)\ (mat-incr\ K)$
let $?m3 = tensor-P\ (1_m\ N)\ (adjoint\ (mat-incr\ K))$
{
fix l **assume** $l < R$
then have $l < K - 1$ **using** K **by auto**
then have m : $(mat-incr\ K) *_v\ (ket-k\ l) = (ket-k\ (l + 1))$
using $mat-incr-mult-ket-k$ **by auto**
let $?m2 = tensor-P\ (proj-psi-l\ (l + 1))\ (proj-k\ l)$
have eq : $?m1 * ?m2 * ?m3 = tensor-P\ (proj-psi-l\ (l + 1))\ ((mat-incr\ K) * (proj-k\ l) * adjoint\ (mat-incr\ K))$
apply $(subst\ tensor-P-left-right-partial2)$
using $proj-k-dim\ proj-psi-l-dim\ mat-incr-dim\ adjoint-dim[OF\ mat-incr-dim]$
by auto
have $(mat-incr\ K) * (proj-k\ l) * adjoint\ (mat-incr\ K) = outer-prod\ ((mat-incr\ K) *_v\ (ket-k\ l))\ ((mat-incr\ K) *_v\ (ket-k\ l))$
unfolding $proj-k-def$ **apply** $(subst\ outer-prod-left-right-mat[of\ -\ K - K - K - K])$
using $ket-k-dim\ mat-incr-dim\ adjoint-dim[OF\ mat-incr-dim]\ adjoint-adjoint[of$

$\text{mat-incr } K]$ by auto
also have $\dots = \text{proj-k } (l + 1)$ **unfolding** proj-k-def **using** m **by auto**
finally have $?m1 * ?m2 * ?m3 = \text{tensor-P } (\text{proj-psi-l } (l + 1)) (\text{proj-k } (l + 1))$ **using** eq **by auto**
}
note $p1 = \text{this}$
have $?m1 * Q2 * ?m3$
 $= \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda l. ?m1 * (\text{tensor-P } (\text{proj-psi-l } (l + 1)) (\text{proj-k } l)) * ?m3) R$
unfolding $Q2\text{-def}$ **apply**($\text{subst matrix-sum-mult-left-right}$)
using tensor-P-dim **by auto**
also have $\dots = \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda l. \text{tensor-P } (\text{proj-psi-l } (l + 1)) (\text{proj-k } (l + 1)))$
 R
apply ($\text{subst matrix-sum-cong}$) **using** $p1$ **by auto**
finally have $\text{eq1: } ?m1 * Q2 * ?m3 = \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda l. \text{tensor-P } (\text{proj-psi-l } (l + 1)) (\text{proj-k } (l + 1))) R$ (**is** $= ?r$) .
have $\text{eq2: } P' + Q = \text{tensor-P } (\text{proj-psi-l } 0) (\text{proj-k } 0) + ?r$
unfolding $P'\text{-add-Q}$
apply ($\text{subst matrix-sum-Suc-remove-head}$) **using** tensor-P-dim **by auto**
have $\text{tensor-P } (\text{proj-psi-l } 0) (\text{proj-k } 0) + ?r \leq_L P' + Q$
unfolding eq2[symmetric] **apply** ($\text{subst lower-le-refl}$) **using** $P'\text{-Q-dim}$ **by auto**
moreover have $\text{positive } (\text{tensor-P } (\text{proj-psi-l } 0) (\text{proj-k } 0))$
unfolding $\text{ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def}$ **apply** ($\text{subst ps-P.tensor-mat-positive}$)
unfolding ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 **using** $\text{proj-psi-l-dim proj-k-dim positive-proj-psi-l positive-proj-k}$ **by auto**
moreover have $\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda l. \text{tensor-P } (\text{proj-psi-l } (l + 1)) (\text{proj-k } (l + 1)))$
 $R \in \text{carrier-mat } d$
apply ($\text{subst matrix-sum-dim}$) **using** tensor-P-dim **by auto**
ultimately have $?r \leq_L P' + Q$
apply ($\text{subst add-positive-le-reduce2[of } ?r \text{ d tensor-P } (\text{proj-psi-l } 0) (\text{proj-k } 0) P' + Q]$)
using $\text{tensor-P-dim } P'\text{-Q-dim}$ **by auto**
then show $?thesis$ **using** eq1 ad **by auto**
qed

lemma $Q2\text{-leq}$:

$Q2 \leq_L \text{adjoint } (\text{tensor-P } (1_m N) (\text{mat-incr } K)) * (P' + Q) * \text{tensor-P } (1_m N)$
 $(\text{mat-incr } K)$

proof –

let $?m1 = \text{tensor-P } (1_m N) (\text{mat-incr } K)$
let $?m2 = \text{adjoint } (\text{tensor-P } (1_m N) (\text{mat-incr } K))$
have $?m1 * ?m2 = 1_m d$
unfolding $\text{ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def}$
apply ($\text{subst ps-P.tensor-mat-adjoint}$)
unfolding ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 **apply** ($\text{auto simp add: mat-incr-dim adjoint-one}$)
apply ($\text{subst ps-P.tensor-mat-mult[symmetric]}$)
unfolding ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 **apply** ($\text{auto simp add: mat-incr-dim adjoint-dim mat-incr-mult-adjoint-mat-incr}$)
using $\text{ps-P.tensor-mat-id ps-P-d ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2}$ **by auto**

```

then have inv: ?m2 * ?m1 = 1m d
  using mat-mult-left-right-inverse[of ?m1 d ?m2]
    tensor-P-dim adjoint-dim by auto
have d: ?m1 * Q2 * ?m2 ∈ carrier-mat d d using tensor-P-dim adjoint-dim[OF
tensor-P-dim] Q2-dim by fastforce
have le: ?m2 * (?m1 * Q2 * ?m2) * ?m1 ≤L ?m2 * (P' + Q) * ?m1 (is
lower-le ?l ?r)
  apply (subst lower-le-keep-under-measurement[of - d])
  using Q2-leq-lemma tensor-P-dim P'-Q-dim d by auto
have ?l = (?m2 * ?m1) * Q2 * (?m2 * ?m1)
  apply (mat-assoc d) using tensor-P-dim Q2-dim by auto
also have ... = 1m d * Q2 * 1m d using inv by auto
also have ... = Q2 using Q2-dim by auto
finally have eq: ?l = Q2.
show thesis using eq le by auto
qed

```

lemma *hoare-triple-D3*:

```

  ⊢p
  {Q2}
  Utrans-P vars2 (mat-incr K)
  {adjoint exM0 * P' * exM0 + adjoint exM1 * Q * exM1}
  unfolding exP0-P' exP1-Q
proof –
  let ?m = tensor-P (1m N) (mat-incr K)
  have h1: ⊢p
    {adjoint ?m * (P' + Q) * ?m}
    Utrans ?m
    {P' + Q}
  using qp-P'-Q hoare-partial.intros by auto
  have qp: is-quantum-predicate (adjoint ?m * (P' + Q) * ?m)
  using qp-close-under-unitary-operator tensor-P-dim qp-P'-Q unitary-exmat-incr
by auto
  then have ⊢p
    {Q2}
    Utrans ?m
    {P' + Q}
  using hoare-partial.intros(6)[OF qp-Q2 qp-P'-Q qp qp-P'-Q] Q2-leq h1 lower-le-refl[OF
P'-Q-dim] by auto
  moreover have Utrans ?m = Utrans-P vars2 (mat-incr K)
  apply (subst Utrans-P-is-tensor-P2) unfolding mat-incr-def by auto
  ultimately show ⊢p {Q2} Utrans-P vars2 (mat-incr K) {P' + Q} by auto
qed

```

lemma *qp-D3-post*:

```

is-quantum-predicate (adjoint exM0 * P' * exM0 + adjoint exM1 * Q * exM1)
unfolding exP0-P' exP1-Q using qp-P'-Q by auto

```

lemma *hoare-triple-D*:

```

   $\vdash_p$ 
  {Q}
  D
  {adjoint exM0 * P' * exM0 + adjoint exM1 * Q * exM1}
proof –
  have  $\vdash_p$  {Q1} hadamard-n n;; (Utrans-P vars1 mat-Ph;; hadamard-n n) {Q2}
  using well-com-hadamard-n well-com-mat-Ph hoare-triple-D2 qp-Q1 qp-Q2 by
  (auto simp add: hoare-patual-seq-assoc)
  then have  $\vdash_p$  {Q} Utrans-P vars1 mat-O;; (hadamard-n n;; (Utrans-P vars1
  mat-Ph;; hadamard-n n)) {Q2}
  using hoare-triple-D1 qp-Q qp-Q1 qp-Q2 hoare-partial.intros(3) by auto
  moreover have well-com (Utrans-P vars1 mat-Ph;; hadamard-n n) using well-com-hadamard-n
  well-com-mat-Ph by auto
  ultimately have  $\vdash_p$  {Q} (Utrans-P vars1 mat-O;; hadamard-n n);; (Utrans-P
  vars1 mat-Ph;; hadamard-n n) {Q2}
  using well-com-hadamard-n well-com-mat-O qp-Q qp-Q2 by (auto simp add:
  hoare-patual-seq-assoc)
  moreover have well-com (Utrans-P vars1 mat-O;; hadamard-n n)
  using well-com-mat-O well-com-hadamard-n by auto
  ultimately have  $\vdash_p$  {Q} Utrans-P vars1 mat-O;; hadamard-n n;; Utrans-P vars1
  mat-Ph;; hadamard-n n {Q2}
  using well-com-hadamard-n well-com-mat-Ph qp-Q qp-Q2 by (auto simp add:
  hoare-patual-seq-assoc)
  with qp-Q qp-Q2 qp-D3-post hoare-triple-D3 show  $\vdash_p$ 
  {Q}
  D
  {adjoint exM0 * P' * exM0 + adjoint exM1 * Q * exM1}
  unfolding D-def using hoare-partial.intros(3) by auto
qed

```

lemma *psi-is-psi-l0*:

$\psi = \text{psi-l } 0$

unfolding ψ -eq psi-l-def alpha-l-def beta-l-def **by** auto

lemma *proj-psi-is-proj-psi-l0*:

$\text{proj-psi} = \text{proj-psi-l } 0$

unfolding proj-psi-def psi-is-psi-l0 proj-psi-l-def **by** auto

lemma *lowner-le-Q*:

$\text{tensor-P proj-psi (proj-k } 0) \leq_L \text{adjoint exM0 * P' * exM0 + adjoint exM1 * Q * exM1}$

proof –

let ?r = matrix-sum d (λl . tensor-P (proj-psi-l l) (proj-k l)) (R + 1)

let ?l = tensor-P (proj-psi-l 0) (proj-k 0)

have eq: ?r = ?l + matrix-sum d (λl . tensor-P (proj-psi-l (l + 1)) (proj-k (l + 1))) R (is - = - + ?s)

apply (subst matrix-sum-Suc-remove-head)

using tensor-P-dim **by** auto

have d: ?s \in carrier-mat d d

```

apply (subst matrix-sum-dim) using tensor-P-dim by auto
have pt: positive (tensor-P (proj-psi-l l) (proj-k l)) for l
unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-positive)
unfolding ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 using proj-psi-l-dim proj-k-dim positive-proj-psi-l
positive-proj-k by auto
have ps: positive ?s
apply (subst matrix-sum-positive)
subgoal using tensor-P-dim by auto
using pt by auto
have ?l ≤L ?r
unfolding eq
apply (subst add-positive-le-reduce1[of ?l d ?s])
subgoal using tensor-P-dim by auto
subgoal using d by auto
subgoal using tensor-P-dim d by auto
subgoal using ps by auto
apply (subst lower-le-refl[of - d])
using tensor-P-dim d by auto
then show ?thesis unfolding exP0-P' exP1-Q P'-add-Q proj-psi-is-proj-psi-l0
by auto
qed

```

lemma hoare-triple-while:

```


$$\vdash_p \{ \text{adjoint } exM0 * P' * exM0 + \text{adjoint } exM1 * Q * exM1 \}$$

While-P vars2 M0 M1 D
{P'}
proof –
let ?m = λ(n::nat). if n = 0 then mat-extension dims vars2 M0 else
if n = 1 then mat-extension dims vars2 M1 else undefined
have dM0: M0 ∈ carrier-mat K K unfolding M0-def by auto
have dM1: M1 ∈ carrier-mat K K unfolding M1-def by auto
have m0: ?m 0 = exM0 apply (simp) unfolding exM0-def ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def
mat-ext-vars2[OF dM0] by auto
have m1: ?m 1 = exM1 unfolding exM1-def ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def mat-ext-vars2[OF
dM1] by auto
have  $\vdash_p \{ Q \} D \{ \text{adjoint } (?m\ 0) * P' * (?m\ 0) + \text{adjoint } (?m\ 1) * Q * (?m\ 1) \}$ 
using hoare-triple-D m0 m1 by auto
then show ?thesis unfolding While-P-def using qp-D3-post qp-P' hoare-partial.intros(5)[OF
qp-P' qp-Q, of D ?m] m0 m1 by auto
qed

```

lemma R-and-a-half-∅:

```

(R + 1/2) * ∅ = pi / 2
using R ∅-neq-0 by auto

```

lemma psi-lR-is-beta:

```

psi-l R = β
unfolding psi-l-def alpha-l-def beta-l-def R-and-a-half-∅ by auto

```

lemma *post-mult-beta*:

post *_v $\beta = \beta$

by (*auto simp add: post-def β -def scalar-prod-def sum-only-one-neq-0*)

lemma *post-mult-post*:

post * *post* = *post*

by (*auto simp add: post-def scalar-prod-def sum-only-one-neq-0*)

lemma *post-mult-proj-psi-lR*:

post * *proj-psi-l R* = *proj-psi-l R*

proof –

let $?R = \text{proj-psi-l } R$

have *post* * $?R = \text{post} * ?R * 1_m N$

using *post-dim proj-psi-l-dim[of R]* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = \text{outer-prod} (\text{post} *_{\mathbf{v}} \text{psi-l } R) ((1_m N) *_{\mathbf{v}} \text{psi-l } R)$

unfolding *proj-psi-l-def*

apply (*subst outer-prod-left-right-mat[of - N - N - N - N]*)

by (*auto simp add: psi-l-dim post-dim adjoint-one*)

also have $\dots = ?R$ **unfolding** *proj-psi-l-def* **unfolding** *psi-lR-is-beta* **unfolding**

post-mult-beta

using *β -dim* **by** *auto*

finally show *post* * $?R = ?R$.

qed

lemma *proj-psi-lR-mult-post*:

proj-psi-l R * *post* = *proj-psi-l R*

proof –

let $?R = \text{proj-psi-l } R$

have $?R * \text{post} = 1_m N * ?R * \text{post}$

using *post-dim proj-psi-l-dim[of R]* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = \text{outer-prod} ((1_m N) *_{\mathbf{v}} \text{psi-l } R) (\text{post} *_{\mathbf{v}} \text{psi-l } R)$

unfolding *proj-psi-l-def*

apply (*subst outer-prod-left-right-mat[of - N - N - N - N]*)

by (*auto simp add: psi-l-dim post-dim hermitian-post[unfolded hermitian-def]*)

also have $\dots = ?R$ **unfolding** *proj-psi-l-def* **unfolding** *psi-lR-is-beta* **unfolding**

post-mult-beta

using *β -dim* **by** *auto*

finally show $?R * \text{post} = ?R$.

qed

lemma *proj-psi-lR-mult-proj-psi-lR*:

proj-psi-l R * *proj-psi-l R* = *proj-psi-l R*

unfolding *proj-psi-l-def psi-lR-is-beta*

apply (*subst outer-prod-mult-outer-prod[of - N - N - - N]*)

by (*auto simp add: β -inner*)

lemma *proj-psi-lR-le-post*:

proj-psi-l R \leq_L *post*

proof –
let $?R = \text{proj-psi-l } R$
let $?s = \text{post} - ?R$
have $\text{eq1: } \text{post} * (\text{post} - ?R) = \text{post} - ?R$
apply (*subst mult-minus-distrib-mat[of - N N - N]*)
apply (*auto simp add: post-dim proj-psi-l-dim[of R]*)
using *post-mult-post post-mult-proj-psi-lR* **by** *auto*
have $\text{eq2: } ?R * (\text{post} - ?R) = 0_m \text{ N N}$
apply (*subst mult-minus-distrib-mat[of - N N - N]*)
apply (*auto simp add: post-dim proj-psi-l-dim[of R]*)
unfolding *proj-psi-lR-mult-post proj-psi-lR-mult-proj-psi-lR*
using *proj-psi-l-dim[of R]* **by** *auto*
have *adjoint ?s = ?s*
apply (*subst adjoint-minus[of - N N]*)
using *post-dim proj-psi-l-dim hermitian-post hermitian-proj-psi-l K* **by** (*auto simp add: hermitian-def*)
then **have** $?s * \text{adjoint } ?s = ?s * ?s$ **by** *auto*
also **have** $\dots = \text{post} * (\text{post} - ?R) - ?R * (\text{post} - ?R)$
using *post-dim proj-psi-l-dim[of R]* **by** (*mat-assoc N*)
also **have** $\dots = \text{post} - ?R$
unfolding *eq1 eq2* **using** *post-dim proj-psi-l-dim[of R]* **by** *auto*
finally **have** $?s * \text{adjoint } ?s = ?s$.
then **have** $\exists M. M * \text{adjoint } M = ?s$ **by** *auto*
then **have** *positive ?s* **apply** (*subst positive-if-decomp[of ?s N]*) **using** *post-dim proj-psi-l-dim[of R]* **by** *auto*
then **show** *?thesis* **unfolding** *lowner-le-def* **using** *post-dim proj-psi-l-dim[of R]*
by *auto*
qed

lemma *P'-le-post-R:*

$$P' \leq_L (\text{tensor-P post } (\text{proj-k } R))$$

proof –

let $?r = \text{tensor-P post } (\text{proj-k } R)$
have $?r - P' = \text{tensor-P } (\text{post} - \text{proj-psi-l } R) (\text{proj-k } R)$
unfolding *P'-def ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*
apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-minus1*)
unfolding *ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2*
using *post-dim proj-psi-l-dim proj-k-dim* **by** *auto*
moreover **have** *positive (tensor-P (post - proj-psi-l R) (proj-k R))*
unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*
apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-positive*)
unfolding *ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2*
using *proj-psi-lR-le-post[unfolded lowner-le-def]*
post-dim proj-psi-l-dim[of R] proj-k-dim positive-proj-k
by *auto*
ultimately **show** $P' \leq_L ?r$
unfolding *lowner-le-def P'-def*
using *tensor-P-dim* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *positive-post*:
positive post
proof –
 have *ad*: *adjoint post = post* **using** *hermitian-post[unfolded hermitian-def]* **by**
auto
 then have *post * adjoint post = post*
 unfolding *ad post-mult-post* **by** *auto*
 then have $\exists M. M * \text{adjoint } M = \text{post}$ **by** *auto*
 then show *?thesis* **using** *positive-if-decomp post-dim* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *lowner-le-P'*:
 $P' \leq_L \text{tensor-}P \text{ post } (1_m K)$
proof –
 let *?r* = *tensor-P post (1_m K)*
 let *?m* = *tensor-P post (proj-k R)*
 have $?m \leq_L ?r$
 unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*
 apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-positive-le*)
 unfolding *ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2*
 using *post-dim proj-k-dim positive-post positive-proj-k*
lowner-le-refl[of post] proj-k-le-one **by** *auto*
 then show $P' \leq_L ?r$
 using *lowner-le-trans[of P' d ?m ?r] P'-le-post-R*
 unfolding *P'-def* **using** *tensor-P-dim* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *post-mult-testNk*:
 assumes *f k*
 shows *post * (testN k) = testN k*
 using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: post-def testN-def scalar-prod-def sum-only-one-neq-0*)

lemma *post-mult-testNk-neg*:
 assumes $\neg f k$
 shows *post * testN k = 0_m N N*
 using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: post-def testN-def scalar-prod-def sum-only-one-neq-0*)

lemma *testN-post1*:
 $f k \implies \text{adjoint } (\text{testN } k) * \text{post} * \text{testN } k = \text{testN } k$
 apply (*subst assoc-mult-mat[of - N N - N - N]*)
 apply (*auto simp add: adjoint-dim testN-dim post-dim*)
 apply (*subst post-mult-testNk, simp*)
 unfolding *hermitian-testN[unfolded hermitian-def]*
 using *testN-mult-testN* **by** *auto*

lemma *testN-post2*:
 $\neg f k \implies \text{adjoint } (\text{testN } k) * \text{post} * \text{testN } k = 0_m N N$
 apply (*subst assoc-mult-mat[of - N N - N - N]*)

apply (*auto simp add: adjoint-dim testN-dim post-dim*)
apply (*subst post-mult-testNk-neg, simp*)
unfolding *hermitian-testN[unfolded hermitian-def]*
using *testN-dim[of k]* **by** *auto*

definition *post-fst-k* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *complex mat* **where**
post-fst-k k = mat N N ($\lambda(i, j).$ if ($i = j \wedge f i \wedge i < k$) then 1 else 0)

lemma *post-fst-kN*:
post-fst-k N = post
unfolding *post-fst-k-def post-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *post-fst-k-Suc*:
f i \implies post-fst-k (Suc i) = testN i + post-fst-k i
apply (*rule eq-matI*)
unfolding *post-fst-k-def testN-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *post-fst-k-Suc-neg*:
 $\neg f i \implies post-fst-k (Suc i) = post-fst-k i$
apply (*rule eq-matI*)
unfolding *post-fst-k-def*
apply *auto*
using *less-antisym* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *testN-sum*:
*matrix-sum N ($\lambda k.$ adjoint (testN k) * post * testN k) N = post*
proof –
have $m \leq N \implies matrix-sum N (\lambda k.$ adjoint (testN k) * post * testN k) $m =$
post-fst-k m **for** m
proof (*induct m*)
case 0
then show *?case* **apply** *simp unfolding post-fst-k-def* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Suc m*)
then have $m: m \leq N$ **by** *auto*
show *?case*
proof (*cases f m*)
case *True*
show *?thesis* **apply** *simp*
apply (*subst testN-post1[OF True]*)
apply (*subst Suc(1)[OF m]*)
using *post-fst-k-Suc True* **by** *auto*
next
case *False*
show *?thesis* **apply** *simp*
apply (*subst testN-post2[OF False]*)
apply (*subst Suc(1)[OF m]*)
using *post-fst-k-Suc-neg False post-fst-k-def* **by** *auto*
qed

qed
then show *?thesis using post-fst-kN by auto*
qed

lemma *tensor-P-testN-sum:*

matrix-sum d ($\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (\text{tensor-P } (\text{testN } k) (1_m K)) * \text{tensor-P } \text{post } (1_m K)$
 $* \text{tensor-P } (\text{testN } k) (1_m K)$) $N =$
 $\text{tensor-P } \text{post } (1_m K)$

proof –

have *eq:* $\text{adjoint } (\text{tensor-P } (\text{testN } k) (1_m K)) * \text{tensor-P } \text{post } (1_m K) * \text{tensor-P } (\text{testN } k) (1_m K) =$

$\text{tensor-P } (\text{adjoint } (\text{testN } k) * \text{post } * (\text{testN } k)) (1_m K)$ **for** k

apply (*subst tensor-P-adjoint-left-right*)

subgoal unfolding *testN-def by auto*

subgoal by auto

subgoal using *post-dim by auto*

using *adjoint-one by auto*

moreover have *matrix-sum N* ($\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (\text{testN } k) * \text{post } * \text{testN } k$) $N = \text{post}$

using *testN-sum by auto*

show *?thesis unfolding eq*

apply (*subst matrix-sum-tensor-P1*)

subgoal unfolding *testN-def by auto*

subgoal by auto

using *testN-sum by auto*

qed

lemma *post-le-one:*

$\text{post} \leq_L 1_m N$

proof –

let $?s = 1_m N - \text{post}$

have *eq1:* $1_m N * (1_m N - \text{post}) = 1_m N - \text{post}$

apply (*mat-assoc N*) **using** *post-dim by auto*

have *eq2:* $\text{post} * (1_m N - \text{post}) = 0_m N N$

apply (*subst mult-minus-distrib-mat[of - N N]*)

using *post-dim by (auto simp add: post-mult-post)*

have *adjoint ?s = ?s*

apply (*subst adjoint-minus*)

apply (*auto simp add: post-dim adjoint-dim*)

using *adjoint-one hermitian-post[unfolded hermitian-def]* **by auto**

then have $?s * \text{adjoint } ?s = ?s * ?s$ **by auto**

also have $\dots = 1_m N * (1_m N - \text{post}) - \text{post} * (1_m N - \text{post})$

apply (*mat-assoc N*) **using** *post-dim by auto*

also have $\dots = ?s$ **unfolding** *eq1 eq2 using post-dim by auto*

finally have $?s * \text{adjoint } ?s = ?s.$

then have $\exists M. M * \text{adjoint } M = ?s$ **by auto**

then have *positive ?s* **apply** (*subst positive-if-decomp[of ?s N]*) **using** *post-dim by auto*

then show *?thesis unfolding lower-le-def using post-dim by auto*

qed

lemma *qp-post*:

is-quantum-predicate (*tensor-P post* ($1_m K$))

unfolding *is-quantum-predicate-def*

proof (*intro conjI*)

show *tensor-P post* ($1_m K$) \in *carrier-mat* $d d$

using *tensor-P-dim* **by** *auto*

show *positive* (*tensor-P post* ($1_m K$))

unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*

apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-positive*)

by (*auto simp add: ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 post-dim positive-post positive-one*)

show *tensor-P post* ($1_m K$) $\leq_L 1_m d$

unfolding *ps-P.tensor-mat-id*[*symmetric, unfolded ps-P-d ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2*]

unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*

apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-positive-le*)

unfolding *ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2* **using** *post-dim positive-post positive-one post-le-one*

lowner-le-refl[*of* $1_m K K$]

by *auto*

qed

lemma *hoare-triple-if*:

\vdash_p

$\{ \textit{tensor-P post} (1_m K) \}$

Measure-P vars1 N testN (*replicate N SKIP*)

$\{ \textit{tensor-P post} (1_m K) \}$

proof –

define *M* **where** $M = (\lambda n. \textit{mat-extension dims vars1} (\textit{testN} n))$

define *Post* **where** $Post = (\lambda (k::nat). \textit{tensor-P post} (1_m K))$

have *M*: $M = (\lambda n. \textit{tensor-P} (\textit{testN} n) (1_m K))$

unfolding *M-def* **using** *mat-ext-vars1* **by** *auto*

have *skip*: $\bigwedge k. k < N \implies (\textit{replicate N SKIP}) ! k = \textit{SKIP}$ **by** *simp*

have *h*: $\bigwedge k. k < N \implies \vdash_p \{ Post k \} \textit{replicate N SKIP} ! k \{ \textit{tensor-P post} (1_m K) \}$

unfolding *Post-def skip* **using** *qp-post hoare-partial.intros* **by** *auto*

moreover **have** $\bigwedge k. k < N \implies \textit{is-quantum-predicate} (Post k)$ **unfolding** *Post-def*

using *qp-post* **by** *auto*

ultimately show *?thesis*

unfolding *Measure-P-def* **apply** (*fold M-def*)

using *hoare-partial.intros(4)*[*of* $N Post \textit{tensor-P post} (1_m K) \textit{replicate N SKIP}$

M]

unfolding *M Post-def* **using** *tensor-P-testN-sum qp-post* **by** *auto*

qed

theorem *grover-partial-deduct*:

\vdash_p

$\{ \textit{tensor-P pre} (\textit{proj-k} 0) \}$

Grover

$\{ \textit{tensor-P post} (1_m K) \}$

```

unfolding Grover-def
proof –
have  $\vdash_p$ 
  {tensor-P pre (proj-k 0)}
  hadamard-n n
  {adjoint exM0 * P' * exM0 + adjoint exM1 * Q * exM1}
  using hoare-partial.intros(6)[OF qp-pre qp-D3-post qp-pre qp-init-post]
  hoare-triple-init lower-le-refl[OF tensor-P-dim] lower-le-Q by auto
then have  $\vdash_p$ 
  {tensor-P pre (proj-k 0)}
  hadamard-n n;
  While-P vars2 M0 M1 D
  {P'}
  using hoare-triple-while hoare-partial.intros(3) qp-pre qp-D3-post qp-P' by auto
then have  $\vdash_p$ 
  {tensor-P pre (proj-k 0)}
  hadamard-n n;
  While-P vars2 M0 M1 D
  {tensor-P post (1m K)}
  using lower-le-P' hoare-partial.intros(6)[OF qp-pre qp-post qp-pre qp-P']
  lower-le-P' lower-le-refl[OF tensor-P-dim] by auto
then show  $\vdash_p$ 
  {tensor-P pre (proj-k 0)}
  hadamard-n n;
  While-P vars2 M0 M1 D;
  Measure-P vars1 N testN (replicate N SKIP)
  {tensor-P post (1m K)}
  using hoare-triple-if qp-pre qp-post hoare-partial.intros(3) by auto
qed

```

theorem *grover-partial-correct*:

```

 $\vdash_p$ 
  {tensor-P pre (proj-k 0)}
  Grover
  {tensor-P post (1m K)}
  using grover-partial-deduct well-com-Grover qp-pre qp-post hoare-partial-sound
by auto
end

```

end

References

- [1] M. Ying. Floyd–Hoare logic for quantum programs. *ACM Transactions on Programming Languages and Systems*, 33(6):19:1–19:49, 2011.