

# Verified QBF Solving

Axel Bergström and Tjark Weber

March 8, 2024

## Abstract

Quantified Boolean logic extends propositional logic with universal and existential quantification over Boolean variables. A Quantified Boolean Formula (QBF) is satisfiable iff there is an assignment of Boolean values to the formula's free variables that makes the formula true, and a QBF solver is a software tool that determines whether a given QBF is satisfiable.

We formalise two simple QBF solvers and prove their correctness. One solver is based on naive quantifier expansion, while the other utilises a search-based algorithm. Additionally, we formalise a parser for the QDIMACS input format and use Isabelle's code generation feature to obtain executable versions of both solvers.

The formalisation is discussed in detail in [1].

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Naive Solver Implementation and Verification</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	QBF Datatype, Semantics, and Satisfiability . . . . .	3
1.1.1	QBF Datatype . . . . .	3
1.1.2	Formalisation of Semantics and Termination of Semantics . . . . .	3
1.1.3	Formalisation of Satisfiability . . . . .	4
1.2	Existential Closure . . . . .	4
1.2.1	Formalisation of Free Variables . . . . .	4
1.2.2	Formalisation of Existential Closure . . . . .	5
1.2.3	Preservation of Satisfiability under Existential Quantification . . . . .	5
1.2.4	Preservation of Satisfiability under Existential Closure . . . . .	5
1.2.5	Non-Existence of Free Variables in Existential Closure . . . . .	5
1.3	Sequence Utility Function . . . . .	6
1.4	Naive Solver . . . . .	6
1.4.1	Expanding Quantifiers . . . . .	6
1.4.2	Expanding Formulas . . . . .	7
1.4.3	Evaluating Expanded Formulas . . . . .	8
1.4.4	Naive Solver . . . . .	8

<b>2 Prenex Conjunctive Normal Form Datatype</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1 Prenex Conjunctive Normal Form Datatype . . . . .	9
2.1.1 PCNF Predicate for Generic QBFs . . . . .	9
2.1.2 Bijection with PCNF Subset of Generic QBF Datatype	9
2.1.3 Preservation of Semantics under the Bijection . . . . .	12
<b>3 QDIMACS Parser</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>4 Search-Based Solver Implementation and Verification</b>	<b>23</b>
4.1 Formalisation of PCNF Assignment . . . . .	24
4.2 Effect of PCNF Assignments on the Set of all Free Variables . . . . .	25
4.2.1 Variables, Prefix Variables, and Free Variables . . . . .	25
4.2.2 Free Variables is Variables without Prefix Variables . . . . .	26
4.2.3 Set of Matrix Variables is Non-increasing under PCNF Assignments . . . . .	27
4.2.4 PCNF Assignment Removes Variable from Prefix . . . . .	27
4.2.5 Set of Free Variables is Non-increasing under PCNF Assignments . . . . .	28
4.3 PCNF Existential Closure . . . . .	28
4.3.1 Formalization of PCNF Existential Closure . . . . .	28
4.3.2 PCNF Existential Closure Preserves Satisfiability . . . . .	28
4.3.3 No Free Variables in PCNF Existential Closure . . . . .	28
4.4 Search Solver (Part 1: Preliminaries) . . . . .	29
4.4.1 Conditions for True and False PCNF Formulas . . . . .	29
4.4.2 Satisfiability Equivalences for First Variable in Prefix . . . . .	29
4.5 Cleansed PCNF Formulas . . . . .	33
4.5.1 Predicate for Cleansed Formulas . . . . .	33
4.5.2 The Cleansed Predicate is Invariant under PCNF Assignment . . . . .	33
4.5.3 Cleansing PCNF Formulas . . . . .	34
4.5.4 Cleansing Yields a Cleansed Formula . . . . .	35
4.5.5 Cleansing Preserves the Set of Free Variables . . . . .	35
4.5.6 Cleansing Preserves Semantics . . . . .	36
4.6 Search Solver (Part 2: The Solver) . . . . .	37
4.6.1 Correctness of the Search Function . . . . .	38
4.6.2 Correctness of the Search Solver . . . . .	38
<b>5 Solver Export</b>	<b>39</b>

## 1 Naive Solver Implementation and Verification

```
theory NaiveSolver
  imports Main
begin
```

## 1.1 QBF Datatype, Semantics, and Satisfiability

### 1.1.1 QBF Datatype

QBFs based on [2].

```
datatype QBF = Var nat
| Neg QBF
| Conj QBF list
| Disj QBF list
| Ex nat QBF
| All nat QBF
```

### 1.1.2 Formalisation of Semantics and Termination of Semantics

Substitute True or False for a variable:

```
fun substitute-var :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\Rightarrow$  QBF where
  substitute-var z True (Var z') = (if z = z' then Conj [] else Var z')
  | substitute-var z False (Var z') = (if z = z' then Disj [] else Var z')
  | substitute-var z b (Neg qbf) = Neg (substitute-var z b qbf)
  | substitute-var z b (Conj qbf-list) = Conj (map (substitute-var z b) qbf-list)
  | substitute-var z b (Disj qbf-list) = Disj (map (substitute-var z b) qbf-list)
  | substitute-var z b (Ex x qbf) = Ex x (if x = z then qbf else substitute-var z b qbf)
  | substitute-var z b (All y qbf) = All y (if z = y then qbf else substitute-var z b qbf)
```

Measures the number of QBF constructors in argument, required to show termination of semantics.

```
fun qbf-measure :: QBF  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  qbf-measure (Var -) = 1
  | qbf-measure (Neg qbf) = 1 + qbf-measure qbf
  | qbf-measure (Conj qbf-list) = 1 + sum-list (map qbf-measure qbf-list)
  | qbf-measure (Disj qbf-list) = 1 + sum-list (map qbf-measure qbf-list)
  | qbf-measure (Ex - qbf) = 1 + qbf-measure qbf
  | qbf-measure (All - qbf) = 1 + qbf-measure qbf
```

Substituting for variable does not change the QBF measure.

```
lemma qbf-measure-substitute: qbf-measure (substitute-var z b qbf) = qbf-measure qbf
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

The measure of an element in a disjunction/conjunction is less than the measure of the disjunction/conjunction.

```
lemma qbf-measure-lt-sum-list:
  assumes qbf  $\in$  set qbf-list
  shows qbf-measure qbf < Suc (sum-list (map qbf-measure qbf-list))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

Semantics based on [2].

```
function qbf-semantics :: (nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  QBF  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
```

```

 $qbf\text{-semantics } I \ (\text{Var } z) = I z$ 
|  $qbf\text{-semantics } I \ (\text{Neg } qbf) = (\neg (qbf\text{-semantics } I \ qbf))$ 
|  $qbf\text{-semantics } I \ (\text{Conj } qbf\text{-list}) = \text{list-all} \ (qbf\text{-semantics } I) \ qbf\text{-list}$ 
|  $qbf\text{-semantics } I \ (\text{Disj } qbf\text{-list}) = \text{list-ex} \ (qbf\text{-semantics } I) \ qbf\text{-list}$ 
|  $qbf\text{-semantics } I \ (\text{Ex } x \ qbf) = ((qbf\text{-semantics } I \ (\text{substitute-var } x \ \text{True } qbf))$ 
    $\vee (qbf\text{-semantics } I \ (\text{substitute-var } x \ \text{False } qbf)))$ 
|  $qbf\text{-semantics } I \ (\text{All } x \ qbf) = ((qbf\text{-semantics } I \ (\text{substitute-var } x \ \text{True } qbf))$ 
    $\wedge (qbf\text{-semantics } I \ (\text{substitute-var } x \ \text{False } qbf)))$ 
⟨proof⟩
termination
⟨proof⟩

```

Simple tests.

```
definition test-qbf = (All 3 (Conj [Disj [Neg (Var 2), Var 3, Var 1], Disj [Neg (Var 1), Var 2]]]))
```

```

value substitute-var 1 False test-qbf
value substitute-var 1 True test-qbf
value substitute-var 2 False test-qbf
value substitute-var 2 True test-qbf
value substitute-var 3 False test-qbf
value substitute-var 3 True test-qbf

value qbf-semantics (λx. False) test-qbf
value qbf-semantics ((λx. False)(2 := True)) test-qbf
value qbf-semantics (((λx. False)(2 := True))(1 := True)) test-qbf

```

### 1.1.3 Formalisation of Satisfiability

```
definition satisfiable :: QBF ⇒ bool where
  satisfiable qbf = (exists I. qbf-semantics I qbf)
```

```
definition logically-eq :: QBF ⇒ QBF ⇒ bool where
  logically-eq qbf1 qbf2 = (forall I. qbf-semantics I qbf1 = qbf-semantics I qbf2)
```

## 1.2 Existential Closure

### 1.2.1 Formalisation of Free Variables

```

fun free-variables-aux :: nat set ⇒ QBF ⇒ nat list where
  free-variables-aux bound (Var x) = (if x ∈ bound then [] else [x])
| free-variables-aux bound (Neg qbf) = free-variables-aux bound qbf
| free-variables-aux bound (Conj list) = concat (map (free-variables-aux bound) list)
| free-variables-aux bound (Disj list) = concat (map (free-variables-aux bound) list)
| free-variables-aux bound (Ex x qbf) = free-variables-aux (insert x bound) qbf
| free-variables-aux bound (All x qbf) = free-variables-aux (insert x bound) qbf

fun free-variables :: QBF ⇒ nat list where
  free-variables qbf = sort (remdups (free-variables-aux {} qbf))

```

```

lemma bound-subtract-equiv:
  set (free-variables-aux (bound ∪ new) qbf) = set (free-variables-aux bound qbf)
  − new
  ⟨proof⟩

```

### 1.2.2 Formalisation of Existential Closure

```

fun existential-closure-aux :: QBF ⇒ nat list ⇒ QBF where
  existential-closure-aux qbf Nil = qbf
  | existential-closure-aux qbf (Cons x xs) = Ex x (existential-closure-aux qbf xs)

fun existential-closure :: QBF ⇒ QBF where
  existential-closure qbf = existential-closure-aux qbf (free-variables qbf)

```

### 1.2.3 Preservation of Satisfiability under Existential Quantification

```

lemma swap-substitute-var-order:
  assumes x1 ≠ x2 ∨ b1 = b2
  shows substitute-var x1 b1 (substitute-var x2 b2 qbf)
    = substitute-var x2 b2 (substitute-var x1 b1 qbf)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma remove-outer-substitute-var:
  assumes x1 = x2
  shows substitute-var x1 b1 (substitute-var x2 b2 qbf) = (substitute-var x2 b2 qbf)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qbf-semantics-substitute-eq-assign:
  qbf-semantics I (substitute-var x b qbf) ←→ qbf-semantics (I(x := b)) qbf
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sat-iff-ex-sat: satisfiable qbf ←→ satisfiable (Ex x qbf)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

### 1.2.4 Preservation of Satisfiability under Existential Closure

```

lemma sat-iff-ex-close-aux-sat: satisfiable qbf ←→ satisfiable (existential-closure-aux
  qbf vars)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

theorem sat-iff-ex-close-sat: satisfiable qbf ←→ satisfiable (existential-closure qbf)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

### 1.2.5 Non-Existence of Free Variables in Existential Closure

```

lemma ex-closure-aux-vars-not-free:
  set (free-variables (existential-closure-aux qbf vars)) = set (free-variables qbf) −
  set vars
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
theorem ex-closure-no-free: set (free-variables (existential-closure qbf)) = {}  

  ⟨proof⟩
```

### 1.3 Sequence Utility Function

Like sequence in Haskell specialised for option types.

```
fun sequence-aux :: 'a option list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list option where  

  sequence-aux [] list = Some list  

  | sequence-aux (Some x # xs) list = sequence-aux xs (x # list)  

  | sequence-aux (None # xs) list = None

fun sequence :: 'a option list ⇒ 'a list option where  

  sequence list = map-option rev (sequence-aux list [])

lemma list-no-None-ex-list-map-Some:  

  assumes list-all (λx. x ≠ None) list  

  shows ∃xs. map Some xs = list ⟨proof⟩

lemma sequence-aux-content: sequence-aux (map Some xs) list = Some (rev xs @
list)  

⟨proof⟩

lemma sequence-content: sequence (map Some xs) = Some xs
⟨proof⟩
```

### 1.4 Naive Solver

#### 1.4.1 Expanding Quantifiers

```
fun list-max :: nat list ⇒ nat where  

  list-max Nil = 0  

  | list-max (Cons x xs) = max x (list-max xs)

fun qbf-quantifier-depth :: QBF ⇒ nat where  

  qbf-quantifier-depth (Var x) = 0  

  | qbf-quantifier-depth (Neg qbf) = qbf-quantifier-depth qbf  

  | qbf-quantifier-depth (Conj list) = list-max (map qbf-quantifier-depth list)  

  | qbf-quantifier-depth (Disj list) = list-max (map qbf-quantifier-depth list)  

  | qbf-quantifier-depth (Ex x qbf) = 1 + (qbf-quantifier-depth qbf)  

  | qbf-quantifier-depth (All x qbf) = 1 + (qbf-quantifier-depth qbf)

lemma qbf-quantifier-depth-substitute:  

  qbf-quantifier-depth (substitute-var z b qbf) = qbf-quantifier-depth qbf
⟨proof⟩

lemma qbf-quantifier-depth-eq-max:  

  assumes ¬qbf-quantifier-depth z < list-max (map qbf-quantifier-depth qbf-list)  

  and z ∈ set qbf-list
```

```

shows qbf-quantifier-depth z = list-max (map qbf-quantifier-depth qbf-list) ⟨proof⟩

function expand-quantifiers :: QBF ⇒ QBF where
  expand-quantifiers (Var x) = (Var x)
  | expand-quantifiers (Neg qbf) = Neg (expand-quantifiers qbf)
  | expand-quantifiers (Conj list) = Conj (map expand-quantifiers list)
  | expand-quantifiers (Disj list) = Disj (map expand-quantifiers list)
  | expand-quantifiers (Ex x qbf) = (Disj [substitute-var x True (expand-quantifiers
    qbf),
                                             substitute-var x False (expand-quantifiers qbf)])
  | expand-quantifiers (All x qbf) = (Conj [substitute-var x True (expand-quantifiers
    qbf),
                                             substitute-var x False (expand-quantifiers qbf)])
  ⟨proof⟩
termination
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Property 1: no quantifiers after expansion.

```

lemma no-quants-after-expand-quants: qbf-quantifier-depth (expand-quantifiers qbf)
= 0
⟨proof⟩

```

Property 2: semantics invariant under expansion (logical equivalence).

```

lemma semantics-inv-under-expand:
  qbf-semantics I qbf = qbf-semantics I (expand-quantifiers qbf)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sat-iff-expand-quants-sat: satisfiable qbf ↔ satisfiable (expand-quantifiers
qbf)
⟨proof⟩

```

Property 3: free variables invariant under expansion.

```

lemma set-free-vars-subst-all-eq:
  set (free-variables (substitute-var x b qbf)) = set (free-variables (All x qbf))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma set-free-vars-subst-ex-eq:
  set (free-variables (substitute-var x b qbf)) = set (free-variables (Ex x qbf))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma free-vars-inv-under-expand-quants:
  set (free-variables (expand-quantifiers qbf)) = set (free-variables qbf)
⟨proof⟩

```

#### 1.4.2 Expanding Formulas

```

fun expand-qbf :: QBF ⇒ QBF where
  expand-qbf qbf = expand-quantifiers (existential-closure qbf)

```

The important properties from the existential closure and quantifier expansion are preserved.

**lemma** *sat-iff-expand-qbf-sat*: *satisfiable (expand-qbf qbf)  $\longleftrightarrow$  satisfiable qbf*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *expand-qbf-no-free*: *set (free-variables (expand-qbf qbf)) = {}*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *expand-qbf-no-quants*: *qbf-quantifier-depth (expand-qbf qbf) = 0*  
*(proof)*

### 1.4.3 Evaluating Expanded Formulas

```
fun eval-qbf :: QBF ⇒ bool option where
  eval-qbf (Var x) = None |
  eval-qbf (Neg qbf) = map-option (λx. ¬x) (eval-qbf qbf) |
  eval-qbf (Conj list) = map-option (list-all id) (sequence (map eval-qbf list)) |
  eval-qbf (Disj list) = map-option (list-ex id) (sequence (map eval-qbf list)) |
  eval-qbf (Ex x qbf) = None |
  eval-qbf (All x qbf) = None
```

**lemma** *pred-map-ex*: *list-ex Q (map f x) = list-ex (Q ∘ f) x*  
*(proof)*

The evaluation implements the semantics.

**lemma** *eval-qbf-implements-semantics*:  
**assumes** *set (free-variables qbf) = {} and qbf-quantifier-depth qbf = 0*  
**shows** *eval-qbf qbf = Some (qbf-semantics I qbf)* *(proof)*

### 1.4.4 Naive Solver

```
fun naive-solver :: QBF ⇒ bool where
  naive-solver qbf = the (eval-qbf (expand-qbf qbf))
```

**theorem** *naive-solver-correct*: *naive-solver qbf  $\longleftrightarrow$  satisfiable qbf*  
*(proof)*

Simple tests.

```
value test-qbf
value existential-closure test-qbf
value expand-qbf test-qbf
value naive-solver test-qbf
```

end

## 2 Prenex Conjunctive Normal Form Datatype

**theory** *PCNF*

```

imports NaiveSolver
begin

```

## 2.1 Prenex Conjunctive Normal Form Datatype

```
datatype literal = P nat | N nat
```

```
type-synonym clause = literal list
type-synonym matrix = clause list
```

```
type-synonym quant-set = nat × nat list
type-synonym quant-sets = quant-set list
```

```
datatype prefix = UniversalFirst quant-set quant-sets
| ExistentialFirst quant-set quant-sets
| Empty
```

```
type-synonym pcnf = prefix × matrix
```

### 2.1.1 PCNF Predicate for Generic QBFs

```
fun literal-p :: QBF ⇒ bool where
  literal-p (Var -) = True
| literal-p (Neg (Var -)) = True
| literal-p - = False
```

```
fun clause-p :: QBF ⇒ bool where
  clause-p (Disj list) = list-all literal-p list
| clause-p - = False
```

```
fun cnf-p :: QBF ⇒ bool where
  cnf-p (Conj list) = list-all clause-p list
| cnf-p - = False
```

```
fun pcnf-p :: QBF ⇒ bool where
  pcnf-p (Ex - qbf) = pcnf-p qbf
| pcnf-p (All - qbf) = pcnf-p qbf
| pcnf-p (Conj list) = cnf-p (Conj list)
| pcnf-p - = False
```

### 2.1.2 Bijection with PCNF Subset of Generic QBF Datatype

Conversion functions, left-inverses thereof, and proofs of the left-inverseness.

```
fun convert-literal :: literal ⇒ QBF where
  convert-literal (P z) = Var z
| convert-literal (N z) = Neg (Var z)
```

```

lemma convert-literal-p: literal-p (convert-literal lit)
⟨proof⟩

fun convert-literal-inv :: QBF ⇒ literal option where
  convert-literal-inv (Var z) = Some (P z)
  | convert-literal-inv (Neg (Var z)) = Some (N z)
  | convert-literal-inv - = None

lemma literal-inv: convert-literal-inv (convert-literal lit) = Some lit
⟨proof⟩

fun convert-clause :: clause ⇒ QBF where
  convert-clause cl = Disj (map convert-literal cl)

lemma convert-clause-p: clause-p (convert-clause cl)
⟨proof⟩

fun convert-clause-inv :: QBF ⇒ clause option where
  convert-clause-inv (Disj list) = sequence (map convert-literal-inv list)
  | convert-clause-inv - = None

lemma clause-inv: convert-clause-inv (convert-clause cl) = Some cl
⟨proof⟩

fun convert-matrix :: matrix ⇒ QBF where
  convert-matrix matrix = Conj (map convert-clause matrix)

lemma convert-cnf-p: cnf-p (convert-matrix mat)
⟨proof⟩

fun convert-matrix-inv :: QBF ⇒ matrix option where
  convert-matrix-inv (Conj list) = sequence (map convert-clause-inv list)
  | convert-matrix-inv - = None

lemma matrix-inv: convert-matrix-inv (convert-matrix mat) = Some mat
⟨proof⟩

fun q-length :: 'a × 'a list ⇒ nat where
  q-length (x, xs) = 1 + length xs

fun measure-prefix-length :: pcnf ⇒ nat where
  measure-prefix-length (Empty, -) = 0

```

```

| measure-prefix-length (UniversalFirst q qs, -) = q-length q + sum-list (map q-length
qs)
| measure-prefix-length (ExistentialFirst q qs, -) = q-length q + sum-list (map
q-length qs)

function convert :: pcnf  $\Rightarrow$  QBF where
  convert (Empty, matrix) = convert-matrix matrix
  | convert (UniversalFirst (x, []), matrix) = All x (convert (Empty, matrix))
  | convert (ExistentialFirst (x, []), matrix) = Ex x (convert (Empty, matrix))
  | convert (UniversalFirst (x, [])(q # qs), matrix) = All x (convert (ExistentialFirst
q qs, matrix))
  | convert (ExistentialFirst (x, [])(q # qs), matrix) = Ex x (convert (UniversalFirst
q qs, matrix))
  | convert (UniversalFirst (x, y # ys) qs, matrix) = All x (convert (UniversalFirst
(y, ys) qs, matrix))
  | convert (ExistentialFirst (x, y # ys) qs, matrix) = Ex x (convert (ExistentialFirst
(y, ys) qs, matrix))
  <proof>
termination
  <proof>

theorem convert-pcnf-p: pcnf-p (convert pcnf)
  <proof>

fun add-universal-to-front :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  pcnf  $\Rightarrow$  pcnf where
  add-universal-to-front x (Empty, matrix) = (UniversalFirst (x, []), matrix)
  | add-universal-to-front x (UniversalFirst (y, ys) qs, matrix) = (UniversalFirst (x,
y # ys) qs, matrix)
  | add-universal-to-front x (ExistentialFirst (y, ys) qs, matrix) = (UniversalFirst
(x, [])((y, ys) # qs), matrix)

fun add-existential-to-front :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  pcnf  $\Rightarrow$  pcnf where
  add-existential-to-front x (Empty, matrix) = (ExistentialFirst (x, []), matrix)
  | add-existential-to-front x (ExistentialFirst (y, ys) qs, matrix) = (ExistentialFirst
(x, y # ys) qs, matrix)
  | add-existential-to-front x (UniversalFirst (y, ys) qs, matrix) = (ExistentialFirst
(x, [])((y, ys) # qs), matrix)

fun convert-inv :: QBF  $\Rightarrow$  pcnf option where
  convert-inv (All x qbf) = map-option ( $\lambda p.$  add-universal-to-front x p) (convert-inv
qbf)
  | convert-inv (Ex x qbf) = map-option ( $\lambda p.$  add-existential-to-front x p) (convert-inv
qbf)
  | convert-inv qbf = map-option ( $\lambda m.$  (Empty, m)) (convert-matrix-inv qbf)

```

**lemma** *convert-add-all*: *convert (add-universal-to-front x pcnf) = All x (convert pcnf)*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *convert-add-ex*: *convert (add-existential-to-front x pcnf) = Ex x (convert pcnf)*  
*(proof)*

**theorem** *convert-inv*: *convert-inv (convert pcnf) = Some pcnf*  
*(proof)*

**theorem** *convert-injective*: *inj convert*  
*(proof)*

There is a PCNF formula yielding any *pcnf-p* QBF formula:

**lemma** *convert-literal-p-ex*:  
**assumes** *literal-p lit*  
**shows**  $\exists l. \text{convert-literal } l = \text{lit}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *convert-clause-p-ex*:  
**assumes** *clause-p cl*  
**shows**  $\exists c. \text{convert-clause } c = \text{cl}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *convert-cnf-p-ex*:  
**assumes** *cnf-p mat*  
**shows**  $\exists m. \text{convert-matrix } m = \text{mat}$   
*(proof)*

**theorem** *convert-pcnf-p-ex*:  
**assumes** *pcnf-p qbf*  
**shows**  $\exists \text{pcnf}. \text{convert pcnf} = \text{qbf}$  *(proof)*

**theorem** *convert-range*: *range convert = {p. pcnf-p p}*  
*(proof)*

**theorem** *convert-bijective-on*: *bij-betw convert UNIV {p. pcnf-p p}*  
*(proof)*

### 2.1.3 Preservation of Semantics under the Bijection

```
fun literal-semantics :: (nat ⇒ bool) ⇒ literal ⇒ bool where
  literal-semantics I (P x) = I x
  | literal-semantics I (N x) = (¬I x)
```

```

fun clause-semantics :: (nat ⇒ bool) ⇒ clause ⇒ bool where
  clause-semantics I clause = list-ex (literal-semantics I) clause

fun matrix-semantics :: (nat ⇒ bool) ⇒ matrix ⇒ bool where
  matrix-semantics I matrix = list-all (clause-semantics I) matrix

function pcnf-semantics :: (nat ⇒ bool) ⇒ pcnf ⇒ bool where
  pcnf-semantics I (Empty, matrix) =
    matrix-semantics I matrix
  | pcnf-semantics I (UniversalFirst (y, []), matrix) =
    (pcnf-semantics (I(y := True)) (Empty, matrix))
    ∧ pcnf-semantics (I(y := False)) (Empty, matrix))
  | pcnf-semantics I (ExistentialFirst (x, []), matrix) =
    (pcnf-semantics (I(x := True)) (Empty, matrix))
    ∨ pcnf-semantics (I(x := False)) (Empty, matrix))
  | pcnf-semantics I (UniversalFirst (y, [])(q # qs), matrix) =
    (pcnf-semantics (I(y := True)) (ExistentialFirst q qs, matrix))
    ∧ pcnf-semantics (I(y := False)) (ExistentialFirst q qs, matrix))
  | pcnf-semantics I (ExistentialFirst (x, [])(q # qs), matrix) =
    (pcnf-semantics (I(x := True)) (UniversalFirst q qs, matrix))
    ∨ pcnf-semantics (I(x := False)) (UniversalFirst q qs, matrix))
  | pcnf-semantics I (UniversalFirst (y, yy # ys) qs, matrix) =
    (pcnf-semantics (I(y := True)) (UniversalFirst (yy, ys) qs, matrix))
    ∧ pcnf-semantics (I(y := False)) (UniversalFirst (yy, ys) qs, matrix))
  | pcnf-semantics I (ExistentialFirst (x, xx # xs) qs, matrix) =
    (pcnf-semantics (I(x := True)) (ExistentialFirst (xx, xs) qs, matrix))
    ∨ pcnf-semantics (I(x := False)) (ExistentialFirst (xx, xs) qs, matrix))
    ⟨proof⟩
termination
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem qbf-semantics-eq-pcnf-semantics:
  pcnf-semantics I pcnf = qbf-semantics I (convert pcnf)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma convert-inv-inv:
  pcnf-p qbf ⇒ convert (the (convert-inv qbf)) = qbf
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem qbf-semantics-eq-pcnf-semantics':
  assumes pcnf-p qbf
  shows qbf-semantics I qbf = pcnf-semantics I (the (convert-inv qbf))
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

### 3 QDIMACS Parser

```

theory Parser
  imports PCNF
begin

type-synonym 'a parser = string ⇒ ('a × string) option

fun trim-ws :: string ⇒ string where
  trim-ws Nil = Nil
  | trim-ws (Cons x xs) = (if x = CHR " " then trim-ws xs else Cons x xs)

lemma non-increasing-trim-ws [simp]: length (trim-ws s) ≤ length s
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma non-increasing-trim-ws-lemmas [intro]:
  shows length s ≤ length s' ⇒ length (trim-ws s) ≤ length s'
  and length s < length s' ⇒ length (trim-ws s) < length s'
  and length s ≤ length (trim-ws s') ⇒ length s ≤ length s'
  and length s < length (trim-ws s') ⇒ length s < length s'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma whitespace-and-parse-le [intro]:
  assumes ⋀s s' r. p s = Some (r, s') ⇒ length s' ≤ length s
  shows ⋀s s' r. p (trim-ws s) = Some (r, s') ⇒ length s' ≤ length s ⟨proof⟩

lemma whitespace-and-parse-unit-le [intro]:
  assumes ⋀s s'. p s = Some ((()), s') ⇒ length s' ≤ length s
  shows ⋀s s'. p (trim-ws s) = Some ((()), s') ⇒ length s' ≤ length s ⟨proof⟩

lemma whitespace-and-parse-less [intro]:
  assumes ⋀s s' r. p s = Some (r, s') ⇒ length s' < length s
  shows ⋀s s' r. p (trim-ws s) = Some (r, s') ⇒ length s' < length s ⟨proof⟩

lemma whitespace-and-parse-unit-less [intro]:
  assumes ⋀s s'. p s = Some ((()), s') ⇒ length s' < length s
  shows ⋀s s'. p (trim-ws s) = Some ((()), s') ⇒ length s' < length s ⟨proof⟩

fun match :: string ⇒ unit parser where
  match Nil str = Some ((()), str)
  | match (Cons x xs) Nil = None
  | match (Cons x xs) (Cons y ys) = (if x ≠ y then None else match xs ys)

lemma non-increasing-match [simp]: match xs s = Some ((()), s') ⇒ length s' ≤
length s
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma decreasing-match [simp]:
  xs ≠ [] ⇒ match xs s = Some ((()), s') ⇒ length s' < length s

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
fun digit-to-nat :: char ⇒ nat option where
```

```
digit-to-nat c = (
  if c = CHR "0" then Some 0 else
  if c = CHR "1" then Some 1 else
  if c = CHR "2" then Some 2 else
  if c = CHR "3" then Some 3 else
  if c = CHR "4" then Some 4 else
  if c = CHR "5" then Some 5 else
  if c = CHR "6" then Some 6 else
  if c = CHR "7" then Some 7 else
  if c = CHR "8" then Some 8 else
  if c = CHR "9" then Some 9 else
  None)
```

```
fun num-aux :: nat ⇒ nat parser where
```

```
num-aux n Nil = Some (n, Nil)
| num-aux n (Cons x xs) =
  (if List.member "0123456789" x
   then num-aux (10 * n + the (digit-to-nat x)) xs
   else Some (n, Cons x xs))
```

```
lemma non-increasing-num-aux [simp]: num-aux n s = Some (m, s') ⇒ length
```

```
s' ≤ length s
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
fun pnum-raw :: nat parser where
```

```
pnum-raw Nil = None
| pnum-raw (Cons x xs) = (if List.member "0123456789" x then num-aux 0 (Cons
x xs) else None)
```

```
lemma decreasing-pnum-raw [simp]: pnum-raw s = Some (n, s') ⇒ length s' <
length s
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
fun pnum :: nat parser where
```

```
pnum str = (case pnum-raw str of
  None ⇒ None |
  Some (n, str') ⇒ if n = 0 then None else Some (n, str'))
```

Simple tests.

```
value pnum "123"
value pnum "-123"
value pnum "0123"
value pnum "0"
```

```
lemma decreasing-pnum [simp]:
```

```

assumes pnum s = Some (n, s')
shows length s' < length s
⟨proof⟩

```

```

fun literal :: PCNF.literal parser where
  literal str = (case match "-" str of
    None => (case pnum str of
      None => None |
      Some (n, str') => Some (P n, str')) |
    Some (-, str') => (case pnum str' of
      None => None |
      Some (n, str'') => Some (N n, str''))))

```

Simple tests.

```

value literal "123"
value literal "-123"
value literal "- 123"
value literal "0123"
value literal "0"

```

```

lemma decreasing-literal [simp]:
  assumes literal s = Some (l, s')
  shows length s' < length s
⟨proof⟩

```

```

fun clause :: PCNF.clause parser where
  clause str = (case literal (trim-ws str) of
    None => None |
    Some (l, str') =>
      (case clause str' of
        None =>
          (case match "0" (trim-ws str') of
            None => None |
            Some (-, str'') =>
              (case match "1 2 -3 4 0" (trim-ws str'') of
                None => None |
                Some (-, str''') => Some (Cons l Nil, str'''')) |
                Some (cl, str'') => Some (Cons l cl, str''))))

```

Simple tests.

```

value clause "1 2 -3 4 0" 

```

```

lemma decreasing-clause [simp]:
  assumes clause s = Some (c, s')
  shows length s' < length s ⟨proof⟩

```

```

fun clause-list :: PCNF.matrix parser where
  clause-list str = (case clause str of
    None ⇒ None |
    Some (cl, str') ⇒
      (case clause-list str' of
        None ⇒ Some (Cons cl Nil, str') |
        Some (cls, str'') ⇒ Some (Cons cl cls, str'')))

```

Simple tests.

```

value clause-list "1 2 -3 0[↔]1 -2 3 0[↔]-1 2 3 0[↔]"
value clause-list "1 2 -3 [↔]1 -2 3 0[↔]-1 2 3 0[↔]"
value clause-list "1 2 -3 0 [↔] 1 -2 3 0[↔]-1 2 3 0[↔]"

```

```

lemma decreasing-clause-list [simp]:
  assumes clause-list s = Some (cls, s')
  shows length s' < length s ⟨proof⟩

```

```

fun matrix :: PCNF.matrix parser where
  matrix s = clause-list s

```

Simple tests.

```

value matrix "1 2 -3 0[↔]1 -2 3 0[↔]-1 2 3 0[↔]"
value matrix "1 2 -3 [↔]1 -2 3 0[↔]-1 2 3 0[↔]"
value matrix "1 2 -3 0 [↔] 1 -2 3 0[↔]-1 2 3 0[↔]"

```

```

lemma decreasing-matrix [simp]: matrix s = Some (mat, s') ⇒ length s' < length s ⟨proof⟩

```

```

fun atom-set :: (nat × nat list) parser where
  atom-set str = (case pnum (trim-ws str) of
    None ⇒ None |
    Some (a, str') ⇒
      (case atom-set str' of
        None ⇒ Some ((a, Nil), str') |
        Some ((a', as), str'') ⇒ Some ((a, Cons a' as), str'')))

```

Simple tests.

```

value atom-set "1 2 3 4"
value atom-set "1 2 -3 4"
value atom-set "1 2 3 4 0 [↔]"
value atom-set "1 2 3 40"
value atom-set "1 2 3 4 0[↔]"

```

```

value atom-set "1 2 3 4"
value atom-set " 1 2 3 4 0 [←] "

```

```

lemma decreasing-atom-set [simp]:
  assumes atom-set s = Some (as, s')
  shows length s' < length s {proof}

```

```
datatype quant = Universal | Existential
```

```

fun quantifier :: quant parser where
  quantifier str = (case match "e" str of
    None => (case match "a" str of
      None => None |
      Some (-, str') => Some (Universal, str') |
      Some (-, str') => Some (Existential, str)))

```

Simple tests.

```

value quantifier "a 1 2 3"
value quantifier "e 1 2 3"
value quantifier "a 1 2 3"
value quantifier " e 1 2 3"

```

```

lemma non-increasing-quant [simp]:
  assumes quantifier s = Some (q, s')
  shows length s' ≤ length s
{proof}

```

```

fun quant-set :: (quant × (nat × nat list)) parser where
  quant-set str = (case quantifier (trim-ws str) of
    None => None |
    Some (q, str') =>
      (case atom-set (trim-ws str') of
        None => None |
        Some (as, str'') =>
          (case match "0" (trim-ws str'') of
            None => None |
            Some (-, str''') =>
              (case match "[←]" (trim-ws str''') of
                None => None |
                Some (-, str'''')) => Some ((q, as), str'''))))

```

Simple tests.

```

value quant-set "e 1 2 3 0[←]"
value quant-set "a 1 2 3 0[←]"
value quant-set "a 1 2 -3 0[←]"

```

```
lemma decreasing-quant-set [simp]:
```

```

assumes quant-set s = Some (q-set, s')
shows length s' < length s
⟨proof⟩

```

```

fun quant-sets :: (quant × (nat × nat list)) list parser where
  quant-sets str = (case quant-set str of
    None ⇒ None |
    Some (q-set, str') ⇒
      (case quant-sets str' of
        None ⇒ Some (Cons q-set Nil, str') |
        Some (q-sets, str'') ⇒ Some (Cons q-set q-sets, str'')))

```

Simple tests.

```

value quant-sets "a 1 2 3 0[↔]e 4 5 6 0[↔]a 7 8 9 0[↔]""
value quant-sets "a 1 2 3 0[↔] e 4 5 6 0[↔]e 7 8 9 0[↔]""

```

```

lemma decreasing-quant-sets [simp]:
assumes quant-sets s = Some (q-sets, s')
shows length s' < length s ⟨proof⟩

```

```

fun convert-quant-sets :: (quant × (nat × nat list)) list ⇒ PCNF.prefix option
where
  convert-quant-sets Nil = Some Empty
  | convert-quant-sets (Cons (Universal, as) qs) =
    (case convert-quant-sets qs of
      None ⇒ None |
      Some Empty ⇒ Some (UniversalFirst as Nil) |
      Some (ExistentialFirst as' qs') ⇒ Some (UniversalFirst as (Cons as' qs')) |
      Some (UniversalFirst - -) ⇒ None)
  | convert-quant-sets (Cons (Existential, as) qs) =
    (case convert-quant-sets qs of
      None ⇒ None |
      Some Empty ⇒ Some (ExistentialFirst as Nil) |
      Some (ExistentialFirst - -) ⇒ None |
      Some (UniversalFirst as' qs') ⇒ Some (ExistentialFirst as (Cons as' qs')))


```

```

fun prefix :: PCNF.prefix parser where
  prefix str = (case quant-sets str of
    None ⇒ Some (Empty, str) |
    Some (pre, str') ⇒
      (case convert-quant-sets pre of
        None ⇒ None |
        Some converted ⇒ Some (converted, str')))

```

Simple tests.

```

value prefix "a 1 2 3 0[↔]e 4 5 6 0[↔]a 7 8 9 0[↔]""
value prefix "a 1 2 3 0[↔]e 4 5 6 0[↔]e 7 8 9 0[↔]""

```

```

lemma non-increasing-prefix [simp]:
  assumes prefix s = Some (pre, s')
  shows length s' ≤ length s ⟨proof⟩

fun problem-line :: (nat × nat) parser where
  problem-line str = (case match "p" (trim-ws str) of
    None ⇒ None |
    Some (-, str1) ⇒
      (case match "cnf" (trim-ws str1) of
        None ⇒ None |
        Some (-, str2) ⇒
          (case pnum (trim-ws str2) of
            None ⇒ None |
            Some (lits, str3) ⇒
              (case pnum (trim-ws str3) of
                None ⇒ None |
                Some (clauses, str4) ⇒
                  (case match "cnf" (trim-ws str4) of
                    None ⇒ None |
                    Some (-, str5) ⇒ Some ((lits, clauses), str5))))))

```

Simple tests.

```

value problem-line "p cnf 123 321[←]""
value problem-line "p cnf 123 321[←]""
value problem-line "p cnf 123 -321[←]""
value problem-line "p cnf 123 321[←]""

```

```

lemma decreasing-problem-line [simp]:
  assumes problem-line s = Some (res, s')
  shows length s' < length s
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

fun consume-text :: unit parser where
  consume-text Nil = Some ((), Nil) |
  consume-text (Cons x xs) = (if x = CHR "cnf" then Some ((), Cons x xs) else
    consume-text xs)

```

```

lemma non-increasing-consume-text [simp]: consume-text s = Some ((), s') ==>
  length s' ≤ length s
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

fun comment-line :: unit parser where
  comment-line str = (case match "c" (trim-ws str) of
    None ⇒ None |
    Some (-, str') ⇒

```

```
(case consume-text str' of
  None => None |
  Some (-, str'') =>
    (case match "⟨--⟩" str'' of
      None => None |
      Some (-, str''') => Some (((), str''')))
```

Simple tests.

```
value comment-line "c e 1 2 3⟨--⟩e 1 2 3"
value comment-line "e 1 2 3⟨--⟩e 1 2 3"
value comment-line " c e 1 2 3 ⟨--⟩e 1 2 3"
```

```
lemma decreasing-comment-line [simp]:
  assumes comment-line s = Some (((), s'))
  shows length s' < length s
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
fun comment-lines :: unit parser where
  comment-lines str = (case comment-line str of
    None => None |
    Some (-, str') =>
      (case comment-lines str' of
        None => Some (((), str') |
        Some (-, str'') => Some (((), str''))))
```

Simple tests.

```
value comment-lines "c a comment⟨--⟩c another comment⟨--⟩"
value comment-lines "c a comment⟨--⟩ c another comment⟨--⟩"
```

```
lemma decreasing-comment-lines [simp]:
  assumes comment-lines s = Some (((), s'))
  shows length s' < length s
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
fun preamble :: (nat × nat) parser where
  preamble str = (case comment-lines str of
    None => problem-line str |
    Some (-, str') => problem-line str')
```

Simple tests.

```
value preamble "c an example⟨--⟩p cnf 4 5⟨--⟩"
value preamble " c an example⟨--⟩ p cnf 4 5⟨--⟩"
```

```
lemma decreasing-preamble [simp]:
  assumes preamble s = Some (p, s')
  shows length s' < length s
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

fun eof :: unit parser where
  eof Nil = Some (((), Nil))
  | eof (Cons x xs) = None

lemma eof-nil [simp]: eof s = Some (((), s'))  $\implies$  s' = Nil
  {proof}

fun input :: PCNF.pcnf parser where
  input str = (case preamble str of
    None  $\Rightarrow$  None |
    Some ((lits, clauses), str')  $\Rightarrow$ 
      (case prefix str' of
        None  $\Rightarrow$  None |
        Some (pre, str'')  $\Rightarrow$ 
          (case matrix str''' of
            None  $\Rightarrow$  None |
            Some (mat, str''')  $\Rightarrow$ 
              (case eof str'''' of
                None  $\Rightarrow$  None |
                Some (-, str''''')  $\Rightarrow$  Some ((pre, mat), str''''')))))

```

Simple tests.

```

value input
"c an example from the QDIMACS specification
c multiple
c lines
cwith
c comments
p cnf 4 2
e 1 2 3 4 0
-1 2 0
2 -3 -4 0
"
"
```

  

```

value input
"c an extension of the example from the QDIMACS specification
c multiple
c lines
cwith
c comments
p cnf 40 4
e 1 2 3 4 0
a 11 12 13 14 0
e 21 22 23 24 0
-1 2 0
2 -3 -4 0
40 -13 -24 0
"
```

```

12 -23 -24 0
"

lemma input-nil [simp]:
assumes input s = Some (p, s')
shows s' = Nil {proof}

```

```

fun parse :: String.literal ⇒ pcnf option where
parse str = map-option fst (input (String.explode str))

```

Simple tests.

```

value parse (String.implode
"c an example from the QDIMACS specification
c multiple
c lines
cwith
c comments
p cnf 4 2
e 1 2 3 4 0
-1 2 0
2 -3 -4 0
")

```

```

value parse (String.implode
"c an extension of the example from the QDIMACS specification
c multiple
c lines
cwith
c comments
p cnf 40 4
e 1 2 3 4 0
a 11 12 13 14 0
e 21 22 23 24 0
-1 2 0
2 -3 -4 0
40 -13 -24 0
12 -23 -24 0
")

```

end

## 4 Search-Based Solver Implementation and Verification

```

theory SearchSolver
imports PCNF
begin

```

## 4.1 Formalisation of PCNF Assignment

```

fun lit-neg :: literal  $\Rightarrow$  literal where
    lit-neg (P l) = N l
    | lit-neg (N l) = P l

fun lit-var :: literal  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
    lit-var (P l) = l
    | lit-var (N l) = l

fun remove-lit-neg :: literal  $\Rightarrow$  clause  $\Rightarrow$  clause where
    remove-lit-neg lit clause = filter ( $\lambda l. l \neq$  lit-neg lit) clause

fun remove-lit-clauses :: literal  $\Rightarrow$  matrix  $\Rightarrow$  matrix where
    remove-lit-clauses lit matrix = filter ( $\lambda cl. \neg$ (list-ex ( $\lambda l. l =$  lit) cl)) matrix

fun matrix-assign :: literal  $\Rightarrow$  matrix  $\Rightarrow$  matrix where
    matrix-assign lit matrix = remove-lit-clauses lit (map (remove-lit-neg lit) matrix)

fun prefix-pop :: prefix  $\Rightarrow$  prefix where
    prefix-pop Empty = Empty
    | prefix-pop (UniversalFirst (x, Nil) Nil) = Empty
    | prefix-pop (UniversalFirst (x, Nil) (Cons (y, ys) qs)) = ExistentialFirst (y, ys)
      qs
    | prefix-pop (UniversalFirst (x, (Cons xx xs)) qs) = UniversalFirst (xx, xs) qs
    | prefix-pop (ExistentialFirst (x, Nil) Nil) = Empty
    | prefix-pop (ExistentialFirst (x, Nil) (Cons (y, ys) qs)) = UniversalFirst (y, ys)
      qs
    | prefix-pop (ExistentialFirst (x, (Cons xx xs)) qs) = ExistentialFirst (xx, xs) qs

fun add-universal-to-prefix :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  prefix  $\Rightarrow$  prefix where
    add-universal-to-prefix x Empty = UniversalFirst (x, [])
    | add-universal-to-prefix x (UniversalFirst (y, ys) qs) = UniversalFirst (x, y # ys)
      qs
    | add-universal-to-prefix x (ExistentialFirst (y, ys) qs) = UniversalFirst (x, []) ((y, ys) # qs)

fun add-existential-to-prefix :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  prefix  $\Rightarrow$  prefix where
    add-existential-to-prefix x Empty = ExistentialFirst (x, [])
    | add-existential-to-prefix x (ExistentialFirst (y, ys) qs) = ExistentialFirst (x, y # ys)
      qs
    | add-existential-to-prefix x (UniversalFirst (y, ys) qs) = ExistentialFirst (x, []) ((y, ys) # qs)

fun quant-sets-measure :: quant-sets  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
    quant-sets-measure Nil = 0
    | quant-sets-measure (Cons (x, xs) qs) = 1 + length xs + quant-sets-measure qs

fun prefix-measure :: prefix  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
    prefix-measure Empty = 0

```

```

| prefix-measure (UniversalFirst q qs) = quant-sets-measure (Cons q qs)
| prefix-measure (ExistentialFirst q qs) = quant-sets-measure (Cons q qs)

lemma prefix-pop-decreases-measure:
prefix ≠ Empty  $\implies$  prefix-measure (prefix-pop prefix) < prefix-measure prefix
⟨proof⟩

function remove-var-prefix :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  prefix  $\Rightarrow$  prefix where
remove-var-prefix x Empty = Empty
| remove-var-prefix x (UniversalFirst (y, ys) qs) = (if x = y
    then remove-var-prefix x (prefix-pop (UniversalFirst (y, ys) qs))
    else add-universal-to-prefix y (remove-var-prefix x (prefix-pop (UniversalFirst (y, ys) qs))))
| remove-var-prefix x (ExistentialFirst (y, ys) qs) = (if x = y
    then remove-var-prefix x (prefix-pop (ExistentialFirst (y, ys) qs))
    else add-existential-to-prefix y (remove-var-prefix x (prefix-pop (ExistentialFirst (y, ys) qs))))
⟨proof⟩
termination
⟨proof⟩

fun pcnf-assign :: literal  $\Rightarrow$  pcnf  $\Rightarrow$  pcnf where
pcnf-assign lit (prefix, matrix) =
    (remove-var-prefix (lit-var lit) prefix, matrix-assign lit matrix)

```

Simple tests.

```

value the (convert-inv test-qbf)
value pcnf-assign (P 1) (the (convert-inv test-qbf))
value pcnf-assign (P 3) (the (convert-inv test-qbf))

```

## 4.2 Effect of PCNF Assignments on the Set of all Free Variables

### 4.2.1 Variables, Prefix Variables, and Free Variables

```

fun variables-aux :: QBF  $\Rightarrow$  nat list where
variables-aux (Var x) = [x]
| variables-aux (Neg qbf) = variables-aux qbf
| variables-aux (Conj list) = concat (map variables-aux list)
| variables-aux (Disj list) = concat (map variables-aux list)
| variables-aux (Ex x qbf) = variables-aux qbf
| variables-aux (All x qbf) = variables-aux qbf

fun variables :: QBF  $\Rightarrow$  nat list where
variables qbf = sort (remdups (variables-aux qbf))

fun prefix-variables-aux :: QBF  $\Rightarrow$  nat list where
prefix-variables-aux (All y qbf) = Cons y (prefix-variables-aux qbf)
| prefix-variables-aux (Ex x qbf) = Cons x (prefix-variables-aux qbf)
| prefix-variables-aux - = Nil

```

```

fun prefix-variables :: QBF  $\Rightarrow$  nat list where
  prefix-variables qbf = sort (remdups (prefix-variables-aux qbf))

fun pcnf-variables :: pcnf  $\Rightarrow$  nat list where
  pcnf-variables pcnf = variables (convert pcnf)

fun pcnf-prefix-variables :: pcnf  $\Rightarrow$  nat list where
  pcnf-prefix-variables pcnf = prefix-variables (convert pcnf)

fun pcnf-free-variables :: pcnf  $\Rightarrow$  nat list where
  pcnf-free-variables pcnf = free-variables (convert pcnf)

lemma free-assgn-proof-skeleton:
  free = var - pre  $\implies$  free-assgn = var-assgn - pre-assgn
   $\implies$  var-assgn  $\subseteq$  var - lit
   $\implies$  pre-assgn = pre - lit
   $\implies$  free-assgn  $\subseteq$  free - lit
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

#### 4.2.2 Free Variables is Variables without Prefix Variables

```

lemma lit-p-free-eq-vars:
  literal-p qbf  $\implies$  set (free-variables qbf) = set (variables qbf)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma cl-p-free-eq-vars:
  assumes clause-p qbf
  shows set (free-variables qbf) = set (variables qbf)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma cnf-p-free-eq-vars:
  assumes cnf-p qbf
  shows set (free-variables qbf) = set (variables qbf)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma pcnf-p-free-eq-vars-minus-prefix-aux:
  pcnf-p qbf  $\implies$  set (free-variables qbf) = set (variables qbf) - set (prefix-variables-aux qbf)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma pcnf-p-free-eq-vars-minus-prefix:
  pcnf-p qbf  $\implies$  set (free-variables qbf) = set (variables qbf) - set (prefix-variables qbf)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma pcnf-free-eq-vars-minus-prefix:
  set (pcnf-free-variables pcnf)

```

$= \text{set}(\text{pcnf-variables } \text{pcnf}) - \text{set}(\text{pcnf-prefix-variables } \text{pcnf})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

#### 4.2.3 Set of Matrix Variables is Non-increasing under PCNF Assignments

**lemma** *lit-not-in-matrix-assign-variables*:

$\text{set}(\text{variables}(\text{convert-matrix}(\text{matrix-assign lit matrix})))$   
 $\subseteq \text{set}(\text{variables}(\text{convert-matrix matrix})) - \{\text{lit-var lit}\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *matrix-assign-vars-subseteq-matrix-vars-minus-lit*:

$\text{set}(\text{variables}(\text{convert-matrix}(\text{matrix-assign lit matrix})))$   
 $\subseteq \text{set}(\text{variables}(\text{convert-matrix matrix})) - \{\text{lit-var lit}\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *pcnf-vars-eq-matrix-vars*:

$\text{set}(\text{pcnf-variables}(\text{prefix, matrix}))$   
 $= \text{set}(\text{variables}(\text{convert-matrix matrix}))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *pcnf-assign-vars-subseteq-vars-minus-lit*:

$\text{set}(\text{pcnf-variables}(\text{pcnf-assign x pcnf}))$   
 $\subseteq \text{set}(\text{pcnf-variables pcnf}) - \{\text{lit-var x}\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

#### 4.2.4 PCNF Assignment Removes Variable from Prefix

**lemma** *add-ex-adds-prefix-var*:

$\text{set}(\text{pcnf-prefix-variables}(\text{add-existential-to-front x pcnf}))$   
 $= \text{set}(\text{pcnf-prefix-variables pcnf}) \cup \{x\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *add-ex-to-prefix-eq-add-to-front*:

$(\text{add-existential-to-prefix x prefix, matrix}) = \text{add-existential-to-front x (prefix, matrix)}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *add-all-adds-prefix-var*:

$\text{set}(\text{pcnf-prefix-variables}(\text{add-universal-to-front x pcnf}))$   
 $= \text{set}(\text{pcnf-prefix-variables pcnf}) \cup \{x\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *add-all-to-prefix-eq-add-to-front*:

$(\text{add-universal-to-prefix x prefix, matrix}) = \text{add-universal-to-front x (prefix, matrix)}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *prefix-assign-vars-eq-prefix-vars-minus-lit*:

$\text{set}(\text{pcnf-prefix-variables}(\text{remove-var-prefix x prefix, matrix}))$   
 $= \text{set}(\text{pcnf-prefix-variables}(\text{prefix, matrix})) - \{x\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *prefix-vars-matrix-inv*:  
  set (pcnf-prefix-variables (prefix, matrix1))  
  = set (pcnf-prefix-variables (prefix, matrix2))  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *pcnf-prefix-vars-eq-prefix-minus-lit*:  
  set (pcnf-prefix-variables (pcnf-assign x pcnf))  
  = set (pcnf-prefix-variables pcnf) – {lit-var x}  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

#### 4.2.5 Set of Free Variables is Non-increasing under PCNF Assignments

**theorem** *pcnf-assign-free-subseteq-free-minus-lit*:  
  set (pcnf-free-variables (pcnf-assign x pcnf))  $\subseteq$  set (pcnf-free-variables pcnf) – {lit-var x}  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 4.3 PCNF Existential Closure

#### 4.3.1 Formalization of PCNF Existential Closure

**fun** *pcnf-existential-closure* :: *pcnf*  $\Rightarrow$  *pcnf* **where**  
  *pcnf-existential-closure* *pcnf* = *the* (*convert-inv* (*existential-closure* (*convert* *pcnf*)))

#### 4.3.2 PCNF Existential Closure Preserves Satisfiability

**lemma** *ex-closure-aux-pcnf-p-inv*:  
  *pcnf-p qbf*  $\implies$  *pcnf-p (existential-closure-aux qbf vars)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ex-closure-pcnf-p-inv*:  
  *pcnf-p qbf*  $\implies$  *pcnf-p (existential-closure qbf)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *pcnf-sat-iff-ex-close-sat*:  
  *satisfiable (convert pcnf) = satisfiable (convert (pcnf-existential-closure pcnf))*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

#### 4.3.3 No Free Variables in PCNF Existential Closure

**theorem** *pcnf-ex-closure-no-free*:  
  *pcnf-free-variables (pcnf-existential-closure pcnf) = []*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 4.4 Search Solver (Part 1: Preliminaries)

### 4.4.1 Conditions for True and False PCNF Formulas

**lemma** *single-clause-variables*:

*set* (*pcnf-variables* (*Empty*, [*cl*])) = *set* (*map lit-var cl*)  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *empty-prefix-cons-matrix-variables*:

*set* (*pcnf-variables* (*Empty*, *Cons cl cls*))  
= *set* (*pcnf-variables* (*Empty*, *cls*))  $\cup$  *set* (*map lit-var cl*)  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *false-if-empty-clause-in-matrix*:

[]  $\in$  *set matrix*  $\implies$  *pcnf-semantics I* (*prefix, matrix*) = *False*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *true-if-matrix-empty*:

*matrix* = []  $\implies$  *pcnf-semantics I* (*prefix, matrix*) = *True*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *matrix-shape-if-no-variables*:

*pcnf-variables* (*Empty, matrix*) = []  $\implies$  ( $\exists n.$  *matrix* = *replicate n []*)  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *empty-clause-or-matrix-if-no-variables*:

*pcnf-variables* (*Empty, matrix*) = []  $\implies$  []  $\in$  *set matrix*  $\vee$  *matrix* = []  
*⟨proof⟩*

### 4.4.2 Satisfiability Equivalences for First Variable in Prefix

**lemma** *clause-semantics-inv-remove-false*:

*clause-semantics* (*I(z := True)*) *cl* = *clause-semantics* (*I(z := True)*) (*remove-lit-neg*  
(*P z*) *cl*)  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *clause-semantics-inv-remove-true*:

*clause-semantics* (*I(z := False)*) *cl* = *clause-semantics* (*I(z := False)*) (*remove-lit-neg*  
(*N z*) *cl*)  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *matrix-semantics-inv-remove-true*:

*matrix-semantics* (*I(z := True)*) (*matrix-assign* (*P z*) *matrix*)  
= *matrix-semantics* (*I(z := True)*) *matrix*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *matrix-semantics-inv-remove-true'*:

**assumes** *y*  $\neq$  *z*  
**shows** *matrix-semantics* (*I(z := True, y := b)*) (*matrix-assign* (*P z*) *matrix*)

```

= matrix-semantics (I(z := True, y := b)) matrix
⟨proof⟩

lemma matrix-semantics-inv-remove-false:
  matrix-semantics (I(z := False)) (matrix-assign (N z) matrix)
  = matrix-semantics (I(z := False)) matrix
⟨proof⟩

lemma matrix-semantics-inv-remove-false':
  assumes y ≠ z
  shows matrix-semantics (I(z := False, y := b)) (matrix-assign (N z) matrix)
  = matrix-semantics (I(z := False, y := b)) matrix
⟨proof⟩

lemma matrix-semantics-disj-iff-true-assgn:
  (Ǝ b. matrix-semantics (I(z := b)) matrix)
  ⟷ matrix-semantics (I(z := True)) (matrix-assign (P z) matrix)
  ∨ matrix-semantics (I(z := False)) (matrix-assign (N z) matrix)
⟨proof⟩

lemma matrix-semantics-conj-iff-true-assgn:
  (forall b. matrix-semantics (I(z := b)) matrix)
  ⟷ matrix-semantics (I(z := True)) (matrix-assign (P z) matrix)
  ∧ matrix-semantics (I(z := False)) (matrix-assign (N z) matrix)
⟨proof⟩

lemma pcnf-assign-free-eq-matrix-assgn':
  assumes lit-var lit ∈ set (prefix-variables-aux (convert (prefix, matrix)))
  shows pcnf-assign lit (prefix, matrix) = (prefix, matrix-assign lit matrix)
⟨proof⟩

lemma pcnf-assign-free-eq-matrix-assgn:
  assumes lit-var lit ∈ set (pcnf-prefix-variables (prefix, matrix))
  shows pcnf-assign lit (prefix, matrix) = (prefix, matrix-assign lit matrix)
⟨proof⟩

lemma neq-first-if-notin-all-prefix:
  z ∈ set (pcnf-prefix-variables (UniversalFirst (y, ys) qs, matrix)) ⇒ z ≠ y
⟨proof⟩

lemma neq-first-if-notin-ex-prefix:
  z ∈ set (pcnf-prefix-variables (ExistentialFirst (x, xs) qs, matrix)) ⇒ z ≠ x
⟨proof⟩

lemma notin-pop-prefix-if-notin-prefix:

```

**assumes**  $z \notin \text{set}(\text{pcnf-prefix-variables}(\text{prefix}, \text{matrix}))$   
**shows**  $z \notin \text{set}(\text{pcnf-prefix-variables}(\text{prefix-pop prefix}, \text{matrix}))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *pcnf-semantics-inv-matrix-assign-true*:  
**assumes**  $z \notin \text{set}(\text{pcnf-prefix-variables}(\text{prefix}, \text{matrix}))$   
**shows**  $\text{pcnf-semantics}(I(z := \text{True})) (\text{prefix}, \text{matrix-assign}(P z) \text{ matrix})$   
 $= \text{pcnf-semantics}(I(z := \text{True})) (\text{prefix}, \text{matrix})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *pcnf-semantics-inv-matrix-assign-false*:  
**assumes**  $z \notin \text{set}(\text{pcnf-prefix-variables}(\text{prefix}, \text{matrix}))$   
**shows**  $\text{pcnf-semantics}(I(z := \text{False})) (\text{prefix}, \text{matrix-assign}(N z) \text{ matrix})$   
 $= \text{pcnf-semantics}(I(z := \text{False})) (\text{prefix}, \text{matrix})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *pcnf-semantics-disj-iff-matrix-assign-disj*:  
**assumes**  $z \notin \text{set}(\text{pcnf-prefix-variables}(\text{prefix}, \text{matrix}))$   
**shows**  $\text{pcnf-semantics}(I(z := \text{True})) (\text{prefix}, \text{matrix})$   
 $\vee \text{pcnf-semantics}(I(z := \text{False})) (\text{prefix}, \text{matrix})$   
 $\longleftrightarrow$   
 $\text{pcnf-semantics}(I(z := \text{True})) (\text{prefix}, \text{matrix-assign}(P z) \text{ matrix})$   
 $\vee \text{pcnf-semantics}(I(z := \text{False})) (\text{prefix}, \text{matrix-assign}(N z) \text{ matrix})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *pcnf-semantics-conj-iff-matrix-assign-conj*:  
**assumes**  $z \notin \text{set}(\text{pcnf-prefix-variables}(\text{prefix}, \text{matrix}))$   
**shows**  $\text{pcnf-semantics}(I(z := \text{True})) (\text{prefix}, \text{matrix})$   
 $\wedge \text{pcnf-semantics}(I(z := \text{False})) (\text{prefix}, \text{matrix})$   
 $\longleftrightarrow$   
 $\text{pcnf-semantics}(I(z := \text{True})) (\text{prefix}, \text{matrix-assign}(P z) \text{ matrix})$   
 $\wedge \text{pcnf-semantics}(I(z := \text{False})) (\text{prefix}, \text{matrix-assign}(N z) \text{ matrix})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *semantics-eq-if-free-vars-eq*:  
**assumes**  $\forall x \in \text{set}(\text{free-variables qbf}). I(x) = J(x)$   
**shows**  $\text{qbf-semantics } I \text{ qbf} = \text{qbf-semantics } J \text{ qbf}$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *pcnf-semantics-eq-if-free-vars-eq*:  
**assumes**  $\forall x \in \text{set}(\text{pcnf-free-variables pcnf}). I(x) = J(x)$   
**shows**  $\text{pcnf-semantics } I \text{ pcnf} = \text{pcnf-semantics } J \text{ pcnf}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *x-notin-assign-P-x*:

$x \notin \text{set}(\text{pcnf-variables}(\text{pcnf-assign}(P x) \text{ pcnf}))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *x-notin-assign-N-x*:  
 $x \notin \text{set}(\text{pcnf-variables}(\text{pcnf-assign}(N x) \text{ pcnf}))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *interp-value-ignored-for-pcnf-P-assign*:  
 $\text{pcnf-semantics}(I(x := b))(\text{pcnf-assign}(P x) \text{ pcnf})$   
 $= \text{pcnf-semantics } I(\text{pcnf-assign}(P x) \text{ pcnf})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *interp-value-ignored-for-pcnf-N-assign*:  
 $\text{pcnf-semantics}(I(x := b))(\text{pcnf-assign}(N x) \text{ pcnf})$   
 $= \text{pcnf-semantics } I(\text{pcnf-assign}(N x) \text{ pcnf})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sat-ex-first-iff-one-assign-sat*:  
**assumes**  $x \notin \text{set}(\text{pcnf-prefix-variables}(\text{prefix-pop}(\text{ExistentialFirst}(x, xs) \text{ qs}, \text{matrix})))$   
**shows**  $\text{satisfiable}(\text{convert}(\text{ExistentialFirst}(x, xs) \text{ qs}, \text{matrix}))$   
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{satisfiable}(\text{convert}(\text{pcnf-assign}(P x)(\text{ExistentialFirst}(x, xs) \text{ qs}, \text{matrix})))$   
 $\quad \vee \text{satisfiable}(\text{convert}(\text{pcnf-assign}(N x)(\text{ExistentialFirst}(x, xs) \text{ qs}, \text{matrix})))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**theorem** *sat-ex-first-iff-assign-disj-sat*:  
**assumes**  $x \notin \text{set}(\text{pcnf-prefix-variables}(\text{prefix-pop}(\text{ExistentialFirst}(x, xs) \text{ qs}, \text{matrix})))$   
**shows**  $\text{satisfiable}(\text{convert}(\text{ExistentialFirst}(x, xs) \text{ qs}, \text{matrix}))$   
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{satisfiable}(\text{Disj}$   
 $\quad [\text{convert}(\text{pcnf-assign}(P x)(\text{ExistentialFirst}(x, xs) \text{ qs}, \text{matrix})),$   
 $\quad \quad \text{convert}(\text{pcnf-assign}(N x)(\text{ExistentialFirst}(x, xs) \text{ qs}, \text{matrix}))])$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**theorem** *sat-all-first-iff-assign-conj-sat*:  
**assumes**  $y \notin \text{set}(\text{pcnf-prefix-variables}(\text{prefix-pop}(\text{UniversalFirst}(y, ys) \text{ qs}, \text{matrix})))$   
**shows**  $\text{satisfiable}(\text{convert}(\text{UniversalFirst}(y, ys) \text{ qs}, \text{matrix}))$   
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{satisfiable}(\text{Conj}$   
 $\quad [\text{convert}(\text{pcnf-assign}(P y)(\text{UniversalFirst}(y, ys) \text{ qs}, \text{matrix})),$   
 $\quad \quad \text{convert}(\text{pcnf-assign}(N y)(\text{UniversalFirst}(y, ys) \text{ qs}, \text{matrix}))])$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 4.5 Cleansed PCNF Formulas

### 4.5.1 Predicate for Cleansed Formulas

```
fun cleansed-p :: pcnf  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  cleansed-p pcnf = distinct (prefix-variables-aux (convert pcnf))
```

```
lemma prefix-pop-cleansed-if-cleansed:
  cleansed-p (prefix, matrix)  $\implies$  cleansed-p (prefix-pop prefix, matrix)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma prefix-variables-aux-matrix-inv:
  prefix-variables-aux (convert (prefix, matrix1))
  = prefix-variables-aux (convert (prefix, matrix2))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma eq-prefix-cleansed-p-add-all-inv:
  cleansed-p (add-universal-to-front y (prefix, matrix1))
  = cleansed-p (add-universal-to-front y (prefix, matrix2))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma eq-prefix-cleansed-p-add-ex-inv:
  cleansed-p (add-existential-to-front x (prefix, matrix1))
  = cleansed-p (add-existential-to-front x (prefix, matrix2))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma cleansed-p-matrix-inv:
  cleansed-p (prefix, matrix1) = cleansed-p (prefix, matrix2)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma cleansed-prefix-first-ex-unique:
  assumes cleansed-p (ExistentialFirst (x, xs) qs, matrix)
  shows x  $\notin$  set (pcnf-prefix-variables (prefix-pop (ExistentialFirst (x, xs) qs),
matrix))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma cleansed-prefix-first-all-unique:
  assumes cleansed-p (UniversalFirst (y, ys) qs, matrix)
  shows y  $\notin$  set (pcnf-prefix-variables (prefix-pop (UniversalFirst (y, ys) qs), ma-
trix))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

### 4.5.2 The Cleansed Predicate is Invariant under PCNF Assignment

```
lemma cleansed-add-new-ex-to-front:
  assumes cleansed-p pcnf
  and x  $\notin$  set (pcnf-prefix-variables pcnf)
  shows cleansed-p (add-existential-to-front x pcnf)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```

lemma cleansed-add-new-all-to-front:
  assumes cleansed-p pcnf
    and y  $\notin$  set (pcnf-prefix-variables pcnf)
  shows cleansed-p (add-universal-to-front y pcnf)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pcnf-assign-p-ex-eq:
  assumes cleansed-p (ExistentialFirst (x, xs) qs, matrix)
  shows pcnf-assign (P x) (ExistentialFirst (x, xs) qs, matrix)
    = (prefix-pop (ExistentialFirst (x, xs) qs), matrix-assign (P x) matrix)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pcnf-assign-p-all-eq:
  assumes cleansed-p (UniversalFirst (y, ys) qs, matrix)
  shows pcnf-assign (P y) (UniversalFirst (y, ys) qs, matrix)
    = (prefix-pop (UniversalFirst (y, ys) qs), matrix-assign (P y) matrix)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pcnf-assign-n-ex-eq:
  assumes cleansed-p (ExistentialFirst (x, xs) qs, matrix)
  shows pcnf-assign (N x) (ExistentialFirst (x, xs) qs, matrix)
    = (prefix-pop (ExistentialFirst (x, xs) qs), matrix-assign (N x) matrix)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pcnf-assign-n-all-eq:
  assumes cleansed-p (UniversalFirst (y, ys) qs, matrix)
  shows pcnf-assign (N y) (UniversalFirst (y, ys) qs, matrix)
    = (prefix-pop (UniversalFirst (y, ys) qs), matrix-assign (N y) matrix)
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem pcnf-assign-cleansed-inv:
  cleansed-p pcnf  $\implies$  cleansed-p (pcnf-assign lit pcnf)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

#### 4.5.3 Cleansing PCNF Formulas

```

function pcnf-cleanse :: pcnf  $\Rightarrow$  pcnf where
  pcnf-cleanse (Empty, matrix) = (Empty, matrix)
  | pcnf-cleanse (UniversalFirst (y, ys) qs, matrix) =
    (if y  $\in$  set (pcnf-prefix-variables (prefix-pop (UniversalFirst (y, ys) qs), matrix))
     then pcnf-cleanse (prefix-pop (UniversalFirst (y, ys) qs), matrix)
     else add-universal-to-front y
       (pcnf-cleanse (prefix-pop (UniversalFirst (y, ys) qs), matrix)))
  | pcnf-cleanse (ExistentialFirst (x, xs) qs, matrix) =
    (if x  $\in$  set (pcnf-prefix-variables (prefix-pop (ExistentialFirst (x, xs) qs), matrix))
     then pcnf-cleanse (prefix-pop (ExistentialFirst (x, xs) qs), matrix)
     else add-existential-to-front x
       (pcnf-cleanse (prefix-pop (ExistentialFirst (x, xs) qs), matrix)))

```

$\langle proof \rangle$   
**termination**  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Simple tests.

**value** *pcnf-cleanse* (*UniversalFirst* (0, [0]) [(0, [1, 2, 0, 1])], [])

#### 4.5.4 Cleansing Yields a Cleansed Formula

**lemma** *prefix-pop-all-prefix-vars-set*:  
 $\text{set}(\text{pcnf-prefix-variables}(\text{UniversalFirst}(y, ys) qs, matrix))$   
 $= \{y\} \cup \text{set}(\text{pcnf-prefix-variables}(\text{prefix-pop}(\text{UniversalFirst}(y, ys) qs), matrix))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *prefix-pop-ex-prefix-vars-set*:  
 $\text{set}(\text{pcnf-prefix-variables}(\text{ExistentialFirst}(x, xs) qs, matrix))$   
 $= \{x\} \cup \text{set}(\text{pcnf-prefix-variables}(\text{prefix-pop}(\text{ExistentialFirst}(x, xs) qs), matrix))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cleanse-prefix-vars-inv*:  
 $\text{set}(\text{pcnf-prefix-variables}(prefix, matrix))$   
 $= \text{set}(\text{pcnf-prefix-variables}(\text{pcnf-cleanse}(prefix, matrix)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *pcnf-cleanse-cleanses*:  
*cleansed-p* (*pcnf-cleanse pcnf*)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

#### 4.5.5 Cleansing Preserves the Set of Free Variables

**lemma** *prefix-pop-all-vars-inv*:  
 $\text{set}(\text{pcnf-variables}(\text{UniversalFirst}(y, ys) qs, matrix))$   
 $= \text{set}(\text{pcnf-variables}(\text{prefix-pop}(\text{UniversalFirst}(y, ys) qs), matrix))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *prefix-pop-ex-vars-inv*:  
 $\text{set}(\text{pcnf-variables}(\text{ExistentialFirst}(x, xs) qs, matrix))$   
 $= \text{set}(\text{pcnf-variables}(\text{prefix-pop}(\text{ExistentialFirst}(x, xs) qs), matrix))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *add-all-vars-inv*:  
 $\text{set}(\text{pcnf-variables}(\text{add-universal-to-front } y \text{ pcnf}))$   
 $= \text{set}(\text{pcnf-variables pcnf})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *add-ex-vars-inv*:  
 $\text{set}(\text{pcnf-variables}(\text{add-existential-to-front } x \text{ pcnf}))$   
 $= \text{set}(\text{pcnf-variables pcnf})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cleanse-vars-inv*:  
 $\text{set}(\text{pcnf-variables}(\text{prefix}, \text{matrix}))$   
 $= \text{set}(\text{pcnf-variables}(\text{pcnf-cleanse}(\text{prefix}, \text{matrix})))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *cleanse-free-vars-inv*:  
 $\text{set}(\text{pcnf-free-variables}(\text{pcnf}))$   
 $= \text{set}(\text{pcnf-free-variables}(\text{pcnf-cleanse}(\text{pcnf})))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

#### 4.5.6 Cleansing Preserves Semantics

**lemma** *pop-redundant-ex-prefix-var-semantics-eq*:  
**assumes**  $x \in \text{set}(\text{pcnf-prefix-variables}(\text{prefix-pop}(\text{ExistentialFirst}(x, xs) \ qs), \text{matrix}))$   
**shows**  $\text{pcnf-semantics } I(\text{ExistentialFirst}(x, xs) \ qs, \text{matrix})$   
 $= \text{pcnf-semantics } I(\text{prefix-pop}(\text{ExistentialFirst}(x, xs) \ qs), \text{matrix})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *pop-redundant-all-prefix-var-semantics-eq*:  
**assumes**  $y \in \text{set}(\text{pcnf-prefix-variables}(\text{prefix-pop}(\text{UniversalFirst}(y, ys) \ qs), \text{matrix}))$   
**shows**  $\text{pcnf-semantics } I(\text{UniversalFirst}(y, ys) \ qs, \text{matrix})$   
 $= \text{pcnf-semantics } I(\text{prefix-pop}(\text{UniversalFirst}(y, ys) \ qs), \text{matrix})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *pcnf-semantics-disj-eq-add-ex*:  
 $\text{pcnf-semantics}(I(y := \text{True})) \text{pcnf} \vee \text{pcnf-semantics}(I(y := \text{False})) \text{pcnf}$   
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{pcnf-semantics } I(\text{add-existential-to-front } y \text{ pcnf})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *pcnf-semantics-conj-eq-add-all*:  
 $\text{pcnf-semantics}(I(y := \text{True})) \text{pcnf} \wedge \text{pcnf-semantics}(I(y := \text{False})) \text{pcnf}$   
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{pcnf-semantics } I(\text{add-universal-to-front } y \text{ pcnf})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *pcnf-cleanse-preserves-semantics*:  
 $\text{pcnf-semantics } I \text{pcnf} = \text{pcnf-semantics } I(\text{pcnf-cleanse } \text{pcnf})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *sat-ex-first-iff-assign-disj-sat'*:  
**assumes** *cleansed-p* ( $\text{ExistentialFirst}(x, xs) \ qs, \text{matrix}$ )  
**shows** *satisfiable* ( $\text{convert}(\text{ExistentialFirst}(x, xs) \ qs, \text{matrix})$ )  
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{satisfiable } (\text{Disj}$   
 $[\text{convert}(\text{pcnf-assign}(P x)(\text{ExistentialFirst}(x, xs) \ qs, \text{matrix})),$   
 $\text{convert}(\text{pcnf-assign}(N x)(\text{ExistentialFirst}(x, xs) \ qs, \text{matrix}))])$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

theorem sat-all-first-iff-assign-conj-sat':
  assumes cleansed-p (UniversalFirst (y, ys) qs, matrix)
  shows satisfiable (convert (UniversalFirst (y, ys) qs, matrix))
     $\longleftrightarrow$  satisfiable (Conj
      [convert (pcnf-assign (P y) (UniversalFirst (y, ys) qs, matrix)),
       convert (pcnf-assign (N y) (UniversalFirst (y, ys) qs, matrix))])
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

## 4.6 Search Solver (Part 2: The Solver)

```

lemma add-all-inc-prefix-measure:
  prefix-measure (add-universal-to-prefix y prefix) = Suc (prefix-measure prefix)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma add-ex-inc-prefix-measure:
  prefix-measure (add-existential-to-prefix x prefix) = Suc (prefix-measure prefix)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma remove-var-non-increasing-measure:
  prefix-measure (remove-var-prefix z prefix)  $\leq$  prefix-measure prefix
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

fun first-var :: prefix  $\Rightarrow$  nat option where
  first-var (ExistentialFirst (x, xs) qs) = Some x
  | first-var (UniversalFirst (y, ys) qs) = Some y
  | first-var Empty = None

```

```

lemma remove-first-var-decreases-measure:
  assumes prefix  $\neq$  Empty
  shows prefix-measure (remove-var-prefix (the (first-var prefix)) prefix)  $<$  prefix-measure prefix
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

fun first-existential :: prefix  $\Rightarrow$  bool option where
  first-existential (ExistentialFirst q qs) = Some True
  | first-existential (UniversalFirst q qs) = Some False
  | first-existential Empty = None

```

```

function search :: pcnf  $\Rightarrow$  bool option where
  search (prefix, matrix) =
    (if []  $\in$  set matrix then Some False
     else if matrix = [] then Some True
     else Option.bind (first-var prefix) ( $\lambda z.$ 
       Option.bind (first-existential prefix) ( $\lambda e.$  if e
         then combine-options ( $\vee$ )
         (search (pcnf-assign (P z) (prefix, matrix)))
         (search (pcnf-assign (N z) (prefix, matrix)))))

```

```

else combine-options ( $\wedge$ )
  (search (pcnf-assign ( $P z$ ) (prefix, matrix)))
    (search (pcnf-assign ( $N z$ ) (prefix, matrix))))))
⟨proof⟩
termination
⟨proof⟩

```

Simple tests.

```

value search (UniversalFirst (1, []) [(2, [3])], [])
value search (UniversalFirst (1, []) [(2, [3])], [[]])
value search (UniversalFirst (1, []) [(2, [3])], [[P 1]])
value search (UniversalFirst (1, []) [(2, [3])], [[P 1, N 2]])
value search (UniversalFirst (1, []) [(2, [3])], [[P 1, N 2], [N 1, P 3]]))

fun search-solver :: pcnf  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  search-solver pcnf = the (search (pcnf-cleanse (pcnf-existential-closure pcnf)))

```

Simple tests.

```

value search-solver (UniversalFirst (1, []) [(2, [3])], [])
value search-solver (UniversalFirst (1, []) [(2, [3])], [[]])
value search-solver (UniversalFirst (1, []) [(2, [3])], [[P 1]])
value search-solver (UniversalFirst (1, []) [(2, [3])], [[P 1, N 2]])
value search-solver (UniversalFirst (1, []) [(2, [3])], [[P 1, N 2], [N 1, P 3]])
value search-solver (UniversalFirst (1, []) [(2, [3])], [[P 1, N 2], [N 1, P 3], [P 4]])
value search-solver (UniversalFirst (1, []) [(2, [3, 3, 3])], [[P 1, N 2], [N 1, P 3], [P 4]])

```

#### 4.6.1 Correctness of the Search Function

```

lemma no-vars-if-no-free-no-prefix-vars:
  pcnf-free-variables pcnf = []  $\Longrightarrow$  pcnf-prefix-variables pcnf = []  $\Longrightarrow$  pcnf-variables
  pcnf = []
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma no-vars-if-no-free-empty-prefix:
  pcnf-free-variables (Empty, matrix) = []  $\Longrightarrow$  pcnf-variables (Empty, matrix) = []
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma search-cleaned-closed-yields-Some:
  assumes cleansed-p pcnf and pcnf-free-variables pcnf = []
  shows ( $\exists b$ . search pcnf = Some b) ⟨proof⟩

```

```

theorem search-cleaned-closed-correct:
  assumes cleansed-p pcnf and pcnf-free-variables pcnf = []
  shows search pcnf = Some (satisfiable (convert pcnf)) ⟨proof⟩

```

#### 4.6.2 Correctness of the Search Solver

**theorem** search-solver-correct:

```

search-solver pcnf  $\longleftrightarrow$  satisfiable (convert pcnf)
(proof)

```

```
end
```

## 5 Solver Export

```

theory SolverExport
imports NaiveSolver PCNF SearchSolver Parser
HOL-Library.Code-Abstract-Char HOL-Library.Code-Target-Numeral HOL-Library.RBT-Set
begin

```

```

fun run-naive-solver :: String.literal  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
run-naive-solver qdimacs-str = naive-solver (convert (the (parse qdimacs-str)))

```

```

fun run-search-solver :: String.literal  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
run-search-solver qdimacs-str = search-solver (the (parse qdimacs-str))

```

Simple tests.

```

value run-naive-solver (String.implode
"c an extension of the example from the QDIMACS specification
c multiple
c lines
cwith
c comments
p cnf 40 4
e 1 2 3 4 0
a 11 12 13 14 0
e 21 22 23 24 0
-1 2 0
2 -3 -4 0
40 -13 -24 0
12 -23 -24 0
")

```

```

value run-search-solver (String.implode
"c an extension of the example from the QDIMACS specification
c multiple
c lines
cwith
c comments
p cnf 40 4
e 1 2 3 4 0
a 11 12 13 14 0
e 21 22 23 24 0
-1 2 0
2 -3 -4 0
40 -13 -24 0
12 -23 -24 0
")

```

```

")
value parse (String.implode
"p cnf 7 12
e 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 0
-3 -1 0
3 1 0
-4 -2 0
4 2 0
-5 -1 -2 0
-5 1 2 0
5 -1 2 0
5 1 -2 0
6 -5 0
-6 5 0
7 0
-7 6 0
")

```

**code-printing** — This fixes an off-by-one error in the OCaml export.

```

code-module Str-Literal →
  (OCaml) <module Str-Literal =
  struct

    let implode f xs =
      let rec length xs = match xs with
        [] -> 0
        | x :: xs -> 1 + length xs
      let rec nth xs n = match xs with
        (x :: xs) -> if n <= 0 then x else nth xs (n - 1)
        in String.init (length xs) (fun n -> f (nth xs n));;

    let explode f s =
      let rec map-range f lo hi =
        if lo >= hi then [] else f lo :: map-range f (lo + 1) hi
        in map-range (fun n -> f (String.get s n)) 0 (String.length s);;

    let z-128 = Z.of-int 128;;

    let check-ascii (k : Z.t) =
      if Z.leq Z.zero k && Z.lt k z-128
      then k
      else failwith Non-ASCII character in literal;;

    let char-of-ascii k = Char.chr (Z.to-int (check-ascii k));;

    let ascii-of-char c = check-ascii (Z.of-int (Char.code c));;

    let literal-of-asciis ks = implode char-of-ascii ks;;
  
```

```

let asciis-of-literal s = explode ascii-of-char s;;
end;>; for constant String.literal-of-asciis String.asciis-of-literal

export-code
  run-naive-solver
  in SML file-prefix run-naive-solver

export-code
  run-naive-solver
  in OCaml file-prefix run-naive-solver

export-code
  run-naive-solver
  in Scala file-prefix run-naive-solver

export-code
  run-naive-solver
  in Haskell file-prefix run-naive-solver

export-code
  run-search-solver
  in SML file-prefix run-search-solver

export-code
  run-search-solver
  in OCaml file-prefix run-search-solver

export-code
  run-search-solver
  in Scala file-prefix run-search-solver

export-code
  run-search-solver
  in Haskell file-prefix run-search-solver

end

```

## References

- [1] A. Bergström. A verified QBF solver. Master's thesis, Dept. of Information Technology, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden, Mar. 2024.
- [2] H. Kleine Büning and U. Bubeck. Theory of quantified Boolean formulas. In A. Biere, M. Heule, H. van Maaren, and T. Walsh, editors, *Handbook of Satisfiability - Second Edition*, volume 336 of *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications*, pages 1131–1156. IOS Press, 2021.